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## Want to learn more?

We hope you enjoy this McGraw-Hill eBook! If you'd like more information about this book, its author, or related books and websites, please click here.

This book is dedicated to the memory of my grandfather, Thomas Bertram (who taught me virtually everything I know about baseball history), to my son, Ryan Bertram (may he one day crack the top 100), and to all those in the on-deck circle.
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## Foreword

Before I begin singing the praises here for Craig Messmer's terrific and thought-provoking Stat One, in the interest of full disclosure I have to say I hate what all these stat geeks are doing to baseball. It's like we've totally removed the human element from the game, reducing players to numbers and percentages. Almost every general manager in baseball now employs at least one and usually two or three stat geeks to run numbers on a player before a making a trade. Whatever happened to the days when GMs would gather information from their scouts-including perhaps the most important factor of all, the player's makeup-and then consummate deals on cocktail napkins over drinks in the hotel bar? I had to laugh at what a GM told me during the summer of 2007 about a relief pitcher in his minor league system who was striking out more than a batter per inning but was reputed to have only one out pitch-a changeup. When informed that scouts who had been watching this kid every day maintained he had a fastball that could reach the mid-90s, the GM confessed: "I have to tell you, we never actually saw him. We signed him off stats."

Anyway, that's my rant on stats-and it's kind of what I told Craig Messmer when he contacted me for advice as to how to get his own statistics project off the ground. "Would you just take a little time to look at it and tell me what you think?" he said. Reluctantly, I agreed, and a couple of days later this rather sizeable package arrived at my office at the New York Daily News.

Perhaps it was because Craig was so persistent, but my curiosity got the best of me, and I started wading through the material, astonished that I was actually understanding it. His formulas for evaluating play-
ers' performance-nothing about makeup, mind you-make sense, to the point where I asked myself, "Why hasn't anyone else ever come up with something like this?" For instance, Craig uses what he calls "complete bases" (as opposed to the longtime standard "total bases") as part of his offensive performance formula, in which he includes walks, hit-by-pitches, and stolen bases and subtracts caught-stealings. All these years, we just assumed total bases was all-inclusive.

While, again, I would never suggest GMs should use Stat One as a primary tool for evaluating players, it seems to me this is an invaluable resource for comparing players in all eras. I was glad, for example, that Craig's formulas determined the Red Sox's David Ortiz had a slightly better offensive season than Alex Rodriguez in 2006, as I would have voted for Ortiz for MVP despite the fact he was a DH. I just thought he was a more productive player than A-Rod, especially in the clutch, and that A-Rod didn't win any games with his glove.

Just as interesting, however, is Craig's analysis of players' Hall of Fame credentials. I usually vote for the same five or six players every year, but I will definitely consult with Stat One in the future for "on the bubble" guys. In that respect, Craig has won me over on Jim Rice, whose lifetime numbers belie the dominant slugger he was in the American League for 10 years. I also found interesting his reaffirmation of the Baseball Writers Association's conclusion that Gil Hodges, popular as he was in New York, fell short of Hall of Fame status as a player. On the other hand, I respectfully differ with his opinion that my all-time favorite player, Nellie Fox, was not a Hall-of-Famer.

What I think will be most impressive to the average fan is the thoroughness of Craig's research and analysis in rating the all-time best players and the Hall of Fame. He didn't just limit his ratings to the usual suspects. He included just about everybody's favorite player.

## Acknowledgments

So many people have been helpful and instrumental in this project that I hope I don't forget anyone. Bill Parcells has been a good friend to my mother and our family for decades. He is the one who got the ball rolling for me when this entire book was nothing more than an idea and a whole bunch of handwritten statistics and notes with no sense of where it might lead. He introduced me to my first real contact, and for that I'm thankful.

Bill Madden of the Daily News was that contact, and he bought into my idea and my new statistic right from the beginning. I'll never forget that sunny Friday morning when I first met Bill in the newsroom for a face-to-face meeting that took my project to the next level. He's a tremendous source of baseball knowledge. He's also a great guy.

I owe a tremendous amount of gratitude to my agent, Robert Wilson, of Wilson Media. Rob just happens to be Bill Madden's guy as well, and I couldn't have hoped for a better agent with whom to do business. While I typed and typed, Rob did all of the other legwork necessary to get this into the hands, minds, and eyes of publishers. This book would not have happened without his expertise.

McGraw-Hill agreed to take a chance on a first-time author, and I'm certainly glad they did. Throughout, everyone there has been extremely cooperative, knowledgeable, and creative regarding this project. Especially, I'd like to recognize the outstanding work of Ron Martirano, who was our first contact at McGraw-Hill. A fellow Mets fan, Ron has really been a driving force in getting this done. I'd like to also recognize the tireless work of Craig Bolt, Stacy Shands, and their respective staffs. Thank you for all you've done.

My friends have been very important to me. They have had my back and always encouraged me to be my best. Rory Camangian, also my business advisor, has helped spread the word about my book. Mike Shatzer, the funniest man I know, provided some very helpful feedback and was immediately enthusiastic about the concept. Thanks to them and all my other close friends.

Baseballreference.com is the best website I found in terms of anything related to baseball. I used it extensively throughout this book's formulation, and I am grateful that it is available. I would recommend it to anyone interested in the sport, its players, and their statistics.

Naturally, these Acknowledgments wouldn't be complete without thanking my family. They have always been there for me. Their encouragement, support, and love have meant more to me than they could ever possibly know. My brother, Don, has taught me so much about baseball. My mother has taught me so much about life.

I've saved the best for last. My wife, Megan, has invested almost as much as I have in this book. When I have needed countless hours on the computer, she has been there taking care of everything else. She does it without asking for anything in return, and I love her for that and for a million other things. I couldn't have completed this long journey without her, and I wouldn't even have wanted to try. She has my eternal respect and devotion.

## Introduction

Baseball is a game ultimately defined by its history and its numbers: $755, .406,56$ in a row. These are some of the most recognizable statistics in all of sports, and they help provide baseball its identity and structure. They are eternal.

Growing up as a young baseball fan, I would often listen to my grandfather talk about these numbers and about the great players I never would have the chance to see play. Slowly, the statistics and the stories began to seep into my consciousness and take root. As I searched for a way to understand everything he was teaching me and to organize it into a form I could understand, I began to develop a love for statistical analysis. I also began to ask questions that I couldn't find plausible and consistent answers to regarding the game's immortal stars and what they accomplished. Who is the best shortstop ever? How does Mickey Mantle compare with Willie Mays? Did DiMaggio really deserve the MVP in 1941, or should it have gone to Ted Williams? Was Cobb better than Hornsby? Who is the greatest baseball player in history?

The idea behind this book was to search for a single measure of a baseball player's offensive effectiveness. The title, Stat One, is symbolic: it attempts to succinctly communicate the notion that a comprehensive statistic could be developed to analyze and compare baseball players across history with one another and to help answer those questions just posed and the countless others that remain unanswered. To my knowledge, no statistic like this currently exists, or has ever existed for that matter.

If you ask any baseball fan to give the one best statistic available to determine a player's offensive success, then you will probably get many different answers: Batting average. Runs batted in. Home-run percentage. OPS (on-base plus slugging). These statistics, while beneficial, are limited.

Measures of efficiency, such as batting average, on-base percentage, slugging percentage, OPS, walk-to-strikeout ratio, stolen bases, and so forth, do not take into account a player's run production. A player, for example, might have a high batting average and get on base a great deal, yet rarely score or drive in runs. Measures of production, runs scored and runs batted in, are often dependent on other players in a lineup. Unless he hits a home run, a player needs teammates to be on base in order to knock them in, and he needs to be driven home by those batting behind him. I have decided to fix this problem by combining traditional measures of production and efficiency into a single objective score that is easy to comprehend.

The goal of this book is twofold. First, it intends to introduce a new statistic never before formulated. That statistic is called Offensive Production and Efficiency Average, or P/E Average for short. Incorporating aspects of production and efficiency into one understandable number, P/E Average is a comprehensive measure of a player's overall offensive contribution, equitable in its design and applicable to every player who has ever played the game.

The second goal is to analyze, rate, and rank the greatest players in baseball history at each position. Objectively, I compare P/E Averages along with more traditional statistics, such as home runs, stolen bases, and slugging percentage. Subjectively, I study MVP performance, postseason success, defense, leadership, individual awards, and other measures of a player's overall contribution. I analyze a wide range of players, both past and present, rate them according to one of five cat-
egories, and then rank the 10 best players at each position. Finally, I offer my list of the 100 greatest players of all time. Again, these opinions are formed through analysis of both objective statistics and subjective measures of various types. I believe that is the best way to proceed.

Baseball will always be saturated with a hundred different statistics measuring everything from extra-base hits to intentional walks to grand slams. A big part of baseball's charm resides in the fact that it's fun to study all of these numbers and use them selectively in making the case for one player over another. My objective is not to replace what's out there. My objective is to enhance and clarify.

In examining various books and studies, charts and graphs, a vast array of mind-boggling formulas, and every type of all-time list imaginable, I have often come away feeling disappointed and more confused than when I began. My questions were not answered. In fact, the answers seemed to get more elusive because everything was scattered and random. There was no organization where there needed to be. In formulating Offensive Production and Efficiency Average and using it to review the game's all-time greats, I believe it to be the single best statistic available to measure a baseball player's offensive success and contribution. I hope you find my book to be informative, accurate, and enjoyable, and I hope it helps answer some of the questions you may have regarding the game's greatest players.

Please visit www.statonebaseball.com for more information about P/E Averages and baseball's greatest players.
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## 

## Methodology and Organization

## Methodology

Every time a batter steps to the plate, his level of success can be measured across two categories. First, effectiveness can be viewed in terms of production. Traditional statistics that measure production are runs batted in and runs scored. Second, a batter can be evaluated in terms of efficiency. I am defining efficiency as a player's ability to gain bases in relation to plate appearances. The more bases gained, the more efficient the player. Batting average, on-base percentage, slugging percentage, OPS (on-base plus slugging), stolen bases/caught stealing, and walks/strikeouts all measure efficiency. The problem with these traditional statistics is that they are limited and have inherent flaws. Let me elaborate on this notion of statistical limitations before I explain P/E Average and how it solves these problems.

## Production

The ultimate goal of an offensive baseball player is to produce as many runs as possible for his team in order to maximize its chances of winning. The team with more runs is victorious, so producing runs is of ultimate importance. Looking at a player's statistics in terms of runs scored and runs batted in provides an accurate measure of how productive that player is. Production statistics have a direct relationship to winning and losing; the more runs produced, the higher the likelihood of victory.

Measures of production are limited, however, because they are often dependent on other players on a team. Unless a player hits a home run or steals his way around the bases after a base hit or walk, he needs his teammates' help to produce runs. He needs those in front of him to be on base to accumulate RBI, and he needs those behind him in the order to drive him home to score runs. A player fortunate enough to be on a talented team of All-Stars and Hall of Famers will likely have better production statistics in comparison with someone laboring on a team lacking offensive threats.

## Efficiency

The majority of baseball statistics, such as batting average, slugging percentage, stolen bases, walks, and so on, measure efficiency. By efficiency I mean how many bases a player is able to gain in relation to plate appearances. A batter who has a .323 batting average is relatively efficient in comparison with the other players in a league where a . 265 average is the norm. Furthermore, players who are on base often, have high slugging percentages, walk a lot, and are able to steal bases without being caught can be considered efficient. The benefit of analyzing
efficiency statistics is that they are independent of teammates and, therefore, the fairest way to judge individual players. A player can have a high average, steal bases, and walk more than he strikes out even if he is on a poor team. A player can win the league batting title on a last-place team that doesn't score many runs. On the '96 Giants, a team that lost 94 games and scored only 752 runs, Barry Bonds was able to post high on-base (.461) and slugging (.615) percentages. Even though his teammates, who batted a combined .247 , were not very efficient that year, Bonds was.

Although efficiency measures are a fair way to evaluate individual players, those same measures are ultimately flawed because teams don't win or lose based on efficiency. They win or lose based on run production. In 1993 Tony Gwynn hit .358, which was the second best average in the National League. However, he scored just 70 runs and drove in only 59. The Padres lost 101 games during that forgettable campaign. It can be argued that Gwynn's lack of production was the result of him being on a poor team. But it can also be argued that the Padres had a disappointing season because Gwynn failed to be productive in clutch situations.

## The Confusion

Production statistics are tied to a team's ability to score runs. Therefore, they correlate directly with wins and losses, which are ultimately what matters. However, run production is often dependent on other players on a team, which creates an unequal playing field when comparing players on talented teams with those on poor offensive teams. Measures of efficiency, although fair and independent of teammates, have no direct result on scoring runs and winning games. Theoretically, a player can have high efficiency averages while rarely produc-
ing runs for his team's scoreboard. When analyzed separately, these statistics are limited and fail to present a definitive account of a player's overall offensive effectiveness.

## The Solution

The new statistic I have developed, Offensive Production and Efficiency Average, incorporates measures of production and efficiency into a single, comprehensive score to gauge offensive performance. It is not meant as a replacement for conventional statistics. Rather, its purpose is to enhance and streamline statistical analysis by combining measures of production and efficiency, which has never been done. In devising and formulating P/E Average, my goal has been to create a fair, comprehensive, objective value so that players throughout baseball history can be compared, rated, and ranked in a more thorough manner than ever before.

## The Statistic

Offensive Production and Efficiency Average assigns a point value for each plate appearance. A player accumulates points for generating runs and gaining bases through hits, walks, hit by pitch, and steals. This point accumulation is then divided by total plate appearances. The result, rounded off to the nearest thousandth, is a single, easy-tounderstand score of offensive effectiveness.

Before I explain the specifics of how P/E Average is formulated, however, it is first necessary to define two terms, net runs and complete bases. In calculating P/E Averages, the production component comes from net runs and the efficiency component from complete
bases. A thorough explanation with relevant examples is provided for each term.

Net Runs (Runs Scored + Runs Batted In - Home Runs). Net runs refers to the total number of team runs a player is directly responsible for providing. It is his individual contribution to his team's scoreboard. Net runs are calculated by adding runs scored and runs batted in and then subtracting home runs. For example, Willie Mays scored 123 runs, drove in 127, and hit 51 home runs for the Giants in 1955. By adding runs (123) plus RBI (127) and then subtracting home runs (51) we get Mays's net runs (199). For the 1955 season, Willie Mays was directly responsible for supplying 199 runs to his team's scoreboard over 152 games, which averages to 1.31 net runs per contest.

It is important to understand why home runs are subtracted when calculating this statistic. Let's pretend that Willie Mays came to bat in the third inning of a scoreless game. With men on first and third, Mays, as he did 660 times during his career, hits a home run. The Giants take a 3-0 lead. In our example, Mays registered one run scored and three runs batted in for a total of four. However, his team only has three runs on the scoreboard. If we simply add runs scored plus runs batted in, then we get an inaccurate measure. Therefore, to accurately define run production, it is necessary to add runs scored plus runs batted in and then subtract home runs. This total, which I call net runs, specifically delineates a player's contribution to his team's scoreboard.

Complete Bases (Total Bases + BB + Hit by Pitch + Stolen Bases Caught Stealing). This statistic measures how many bases a player gains through a variety of means. A player who gets a double is essen-
tially as efficient as a player who singles and steals second or a player who walks and steals. Furthermore, getting to first base through a base on balls has the same result as getting there by being hit by a pitch. Walk and HBP statistics are recorded separately from one another. Intentional walks, conversely, are already factored into walk totals and, therefore, do not get separate consideration when calculating complete bases.

I like the term complete bases because of the connotation that it is an all-encompassing measure of the number of bases a player gains. Analyzing only total bases as a sole efficiency statistic, which is how slugging percentage is determined, fails to take into account other ways players reach base and gain additional bases. By adding walks and hit-by-pitch totals, we get a more complete picture of how batters get on base. Furthermore, adding stolen bases accounts for players such as Ty Cobb and Rickey Henderson, who used their base-running expertise to move around the diamond without help from teammates. Stolen bases are then balanced by subtracting caught-stealing totals. While Henderson did steal 130 bags in 1982, he was also caught 42 times. It would favor base stealers unfairly if stolen bases were added without also subtracting the number of times they were unsuccessful.

In analyzing players from the early to mid-1900s, an unexpected problem became apparent. Although stolen-base statistics are complete, caught-stealing totals often are not. Henderson, who began his career in 1979, has complete totals. He swiped 1,406 bags and was caught 335 times during his career. In calculating his complete bases, one would take his total bases plus walks plus hit-by-pitch numbers, add 1,406 , and subtract 335 . Ty Cobb, on the other hand, played from 1905 through 1928 and had incomplete statistics. From 1905 to 1913 and 1917 to 1919, Cobb had 566 steals. However, baseball does not have any caught-stealing information over those 12 years. Common
sense dictates that it would be all but impossible for him to steal 566 times without ever being caught; caught-stealing statistics simply were not recorded during those years. It would be unfair to give Cobb credit for all of those stolen bases without also subtracting for the times he was unsuccessful.

To solve this problem I have devised the following system for any player with missing caught-stealing statistics. I calculated the ratio of stolen bases to caught stealing in the years when complete statistics were made available. For example, Cobb had complete statistics from 1914 to 1916 and 1920 to 1928. During that time, his ratio, rounded off to the nearest hundredth, was 1.83 steals for every time he was caught stealing. I then applied that ratio to the years with incomplete statistics and to his career numbers. By dividing 892 (Cobb's career stolen bases) by 1.83 (his known ratio), I arrived at an estimated total, rounded off to the nearest whole number, of 487 times caught stealing for his career. For players with no information, I used a standard ratio of 2 steals per 1 time caught stealing.

## P/E Average

Now that the calculation of net runs and complete bases is clear, we can progress to the formulation of P/E Average. P/E Average is calculated like this:

$$
\text { (net runs + net runs }+ \text { complete bases) } \div \text { plate appearances }
$$

As stated earlier, P/E Average incorporates both production and efficiency measures into one score. The production aspect comes from net runs. Efficiency is determined by complete bases. The value that divides them both is plate appearances.

Why do net runs get counted twice? The answer is simple. The goal is to combine production and efficiency as equally as possible so that the individual statistical limitations discussed earlier can be eliminated, like a system of checks and balances. To approach a perfect 50/50 split, it is necessary to double net runs. Over the course of a season, a player will normally have about twice the number of complete bases as net runs. By doubling net runs, an equitable contribution is made from both production and efficiency. Consider the following example of Tony Perez's statistics during the 1976 season:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 91 \mathrm{RBI}+77 \text { runs }-19 \mathrm{HR}=149 \text { net runs (production) } \\
& 238 \text { total bases }+50 \mathrm{BB}+5 \mathrm{HBP}+10 \mathrm{SB}-5 \mathrm{CS}= \\
& 298 \text { complete bases (efficiency) }
\end{aligned}
$$

By doubling the production side (net runs), we obtain a perfect 50/50 split. In 1976 Perez obtained 298 points of production ( 149 net runs +149 net runs) and 298 points of efficiency ( 298 complete bases) for a total of 596 points over 586 plate appearances. Dividing 596 by 586 gives a P/E Average of 1.017 for Perez in ' 76 . Of course, it doesn't normally work out this neatly. In 1974, for example, the Cincinnati slugger had 154 net runs and 335 complete bases. In 1975 he had 163 net runs and 294 complete bases. In 1974 Perez was more efficient. In 1975 he was more productive. In 1976 a perfect balance of 50 percent production and 50 percent efficiency was obtained. The goal is to combine equal parts of production and efficiency into a single comprehensive point value. This is best accomplished by doubling net runs.

Some players, such as Orlando Cepeda, got a majority of their points ( 52.7 percent for Cepeda) from efficiency. Some, such as Bill Terry, got a majority of their points ( 51.9 percent for Terry) from pro-
duction. And others, such as Lou Gehrig ( 50.6 percent production, 49.4 percent efficiency) and Jimmie Foxx (49.4 percent production, 50.6 percent efficiency), hovered just around a $50 / 50$ split for their careers. A player with a majority of his points from production is not necessarily productive in comparison with other players. It just means that he is more productive than efficient in comparison with himself.

P/E Averages should be viewed as a tool to help rate, rank, and compare players; however, subjective considerations to defense, leadership, individual awards, team performance, postseason success, and other intangibles are also extremely important. This balancing of objective statistical analysis and various subjective measures when evaluating players is employed throughout this book; I feel that it's the best way to rate, rank, and compare players.

## Organization

Beginning with the catchers in Chapter 2, each position is analyzed in the same numbered order they follow on the baseball diamond. Each chapter begins with an introduction followed by a career P/E Average list of a variety of players. The list is comprehensive only in terms of scope, intended to provide a representative sample of players from various eras. Many of the players selected are well-known names with histories and accomplishments that were noteworthy. Within each chapter, a selection of players from the list will be highlighted, and narrative summaries of players' careers will be provided.

I have grouped the players into five categories based on their career numbers and accomplishments; the standards for each category are detailed in the next section. Category 1,2 , and 3 players have short summaries, while Category 4 stars are given more in-depth narratives. The immortal Category 5 players are analyzed to the greatest degree.

After all Category 4 and 5 players have been presented, I set forth my top-10 list for each position with explanations as to why one player ranks ahead of another. Finally, I put forth individual cases for each of the top three players to be considered number one before completing the list. For those players who failed to play 60 percent of their games at a single position, Chapter 10 focuses on designated hitters and multiposition players.

Chapter 11 includes athletes who played the majority of their careers in the 1800s, and Chapter 12 documents the careers of famous Negro League stars. Because of the unique nature of the era and leagues in which these men played, I provide only brief narrative summaries of their careers and accomplishments. I refrain from rating them according to a specific category or ranking them against one another.

Chapter 13 serves as an all-important culminating piece to this work. I provide my list of the 100 greatest baseball players in history. Along the way, reasons and rationales are provided to support my argument for each ranking. As always, objective examination of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ Averages and other statistics are balanced with subjective analysis of various measures, such as MVP balloting, postseason performance, and defense.

Finally, Appendices A through D provide a multitude of statistical information to help you create your own ratings, rankings, and alltime lists. Please use this book as a handy reference tool and as a medium for generating conversations about your favorite players and teams from baseball history.

Throughout this book, I will often refer to specific terminology, which I feel needs to be explained before proceeding. Some of this terminology is of my own creation. Some of it is already widely used in baseball but needs elaboration and examples to make it understand-
able for the casual fan. You may want to refer to these descriptions as you read along.

## Categories of Baseball Players

I have developed my own system for rating players. This system is used throughout the book to place players into one of five categories based on their playing careers. The system, a balance of objective statistics and subjective analysis, follows:

- Category 5: A player in this category is one of the 50 best players ever and one of the top players at his position in history. Each position has from four to eight C5 players. Dominant statistical performances, multiple MVPs, defensive mastery, and postseason success are often characteristic of these legends. Above all else, these players clearly distinguished themselves from their peers.
- Category 4: These players had Hall of Fame careers, in my opinion, but not quite on the same level as a Category 5 player in terms of statistics, individual awards, impact, uniqueness, or postseason success.
- Category 3: These players had solid careers. Occasional outstanding seasons are offset by mediocre ones or a lack of sustainability over the long term. In my opinion, Category 3 players are not Hall of Famers. However, some players rated as Category 3 in this book have already been enshrined in Cooperstown or may one day gain induction.
- Category 2: These players had occasional success but lack the overall statistics and accomplishments of Category 3 players. A
rating of Category 2 means virtually no chance of Hall of Fame induction.
- Category 1: Category 1 players normally had brief careers that lacked statistical success and individual notoriety. However, I felt it would be worthwhile to analyze their statistics and accomplishments to better appreciate the overall rating system I have formulated.


## Hall of Fame Status

Going along with the five categories of players is my system for specifying Hall of Fame status. Based on career statistics, individual awards, postseason success, defensive ability, and leadership, players will be evaluated in terms of their chances for Cooperstown. For those already inducted, that will be noted. For those not already inducted, the following terms will apply:

- Imminent: These players await certain induction at retirement.
- Probable: Although not yet in the Hall of Fame, these players have had outstanding careers and are likely to be enshrined one day.
- Possible: These players are tough calls. They are most likely rated as Category 3 or 4 . They have had successful careers, but they also have substantial reasons counting against Hall of Fame consideration.
- Doubtful: Unless a small miracle occurs, these players will not be inducted. Sometimes, however, the Veteran's Committee grants access for the most unexpected of players.
- No Chance: Unfortunately, some players have no chance of ever making it to Cooperstown. All Category 1 players, and the vast majority of Category 2 players, fit this description.

The recent steroid controversy rears its ugly head at this time. Some players have established successful and even legendary careers but have also been associated with steroids. Barry Bonds, for example, falls into the "imminent" description in terms of his future Hall of Fame status, but he could be derailed one day if the federal government continues to force baseball's hand. Although I doubt they will ever go so far as to deny induction into Cooperstown based solely on steroid allegations, I do think there is a possibility that changes may occur. Nevertheless, these players have been included in the book because I can't specifically determine who took what, what effect it had on their performance, how long they took a substance, or what their competition was or wasn't taking. Their careers have been analyzed strictly based on statistics and accomplishments. I have tried to set personal opinions aside when rating and ranking them.

## MVP Shares

In rating and ranking the all-time great players, I have also relied heavily on Most Valuable Player awards and career shares. Let me provide an example to explain how MVP shares work. Over his illustrious career, Mickey Mantle won the American League MVP three times. He also finished second three times, third once, and fifth twice. In five other seasons, Mantle received votes but did not finish near the top of the balloting. For his career, Mantle totaled 5.79 MVP shares, which is a considerable number. Let's see how these shares were accumulated.

In 1956 Mantle received all 24 first-place votes. As the unanimous selection, he registered 336 points out of a possible 336 , which resulted in 1.00 shares. In 1957 he again won the award. This time, however, he received only six first-place votes and 233 points out of a possible 336, which amounts to 69 percent, or .69 shares. From 1956 to 1957, therefore, the Mick accumulated 1.69 combined MVP shares. In each
year in which he received votes, Mantle continued to add to his total. In 1958 he finished fifth in the balloting but still managed to gain .38 shares. Throughout his career, the Yankees legend received MVP consideration in 14 seasons, which resulted in a total of 5.79 career shares.*

## 162-Game Averages

As you read this book, you will notice that every Category 4 and Category 5 player has a section in which his 162-game averages are displayed. These are helpful statistics in comparing players who may have had vastly different careers in terms of games played per season. These averages are calculated by first dividing career games played by 162 and then dividing the other career totals by that factor. Batting average, on-base percentage, and slugging percentage remain the same, but all of the other career statistics are condensed into one season of 162 games.

## P/E Averages and SAT Scores

An easy way to think about P/E Averages is by relating them to the old system of calculating Scholastic Aptitude Test scores from high school. SAT scores were generally considered to be good around the $1,000-$ point mark. A student scoring in the 1,100 s or 1,200 s would be seen as very bright, and those in the range of $1,300-1,400$ would have their pick of almost any college. A pupil scoring in the 1,500 range was

[^0]through the 2006 season.
probably en route to an Ivy League school, and a score of 1,600 was perfect. Similarly, P/E Averages of 1.000 and above indicate solid performance by an offensive player. Averages of 1.100 or 1.200 indicate an outstanding season. Players scoring in the 1.300-1.400 range have had a career season or are destined for the Hall of Fame if those averages are attained year in and year out. Only a handful of players have ever attained a P/E Average of 1.500 for a single season, and no player has been able to maintain it for a career, although one did come close. Finally, a single-season score above 1.600 has only happened three times in the history of baseball.

Obviously, this book is saturated with statistics and numbercrunching analysis of the game's most memorable players. Don't be overwhelmed. Even if you are not a statistician, mathematician, or sabermetrician, you can still enjoy this book and use it to suit your needs. Offensive Production and Efficiency Average is meant to streamline statistical analysis, not confuse it even more. The formula to determine P/E Average is fairly simple and can be computed for any player as long as traditional statistics of production and efficiency are available. Of course, a calculator helps, too. Once again, here is the formula for P/E Average that has been the catalyst for this book:

$$
\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}=(\text { net runs }+ \text { net runs }+ \text { complete bases }) \div \text { plate appearances }
$$

It's time to get started. I hope you enjoy analyzing baseball's greatest players as much as I have.
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## catcher

With their backs to the backstop as the only defensive player to have a view of the whole field, catchers are a rare breed. They are their squad's field generals and, in my opinion, the most important defensive player on the team. They are involved in every play, from signaling to the pitcher what to throw to framing the received pitch so that balls look like strikes. Catchers are invaluable and often overlooked.

Not by coincidence, some of the greatest teams in history were led by outstanding men behind the mask. The New York Yankees, the most successful franchise in the history of baseball, have always been blessed at this critical position. From Dickey to Berra to Howard to Munson to Posada, Yankees catchers have won five Most Valuable Player awards and finished in the voting's top three on 11 different occasions.

The great catchers are tough, natural leaders, skilled with the glove, and incredibly clutch. Johnny Bench, Yogi Berra, and Mickey Cochrane each fit that description, and they round out my top three catchers of all time. These three legendary players combined for seven MVPs and 15 championships.

It takes a special individual to be a catcher. They get beat up badly and blamed often. Years of foul tips and home-plate collisions leave these heroes of the diamond with battle scars and wounds that sometimes last a lifetime. In the end, however, they take great pride in the fact that they wore the equipment, endured the pain, squatted pitch after pitch, and were essential contributors to the teams that they loved.

## Catcher: Career P/E Averages

Mickey Cochrane, 1.109; Mike Piazza, 1.093; Bill Dickey, 1.084; Roy Campanella, 1.069; Yogi Berra, 1.064; Jorge Posada, 1.040; Gabby Hartnett, 1.030; Johnny Bench, 1.008; Ivan Rodriguez, .986; Carlton Fisk, .966; Javy Lopez, .963; Ernie Lombardi, .942; Ted Simmons, .940; Roger Bresnahan, .934; Wally Schang, .929; Darrell Porter, .917; Gary Carter, .916; Lance Parrish, .892; Thurman Munson, .887; Sherm Lollar, .885; Elston Howard, .875; Bill Freehan, .837; Benito Santiago, .837; Rick Ferrell, .836; Earl Battey, .826; Del Crandall, .824; Ray Schalk, .767; Tony Pena, .762; Al Lopez, .755; Jim Sundberg, .739; Bob Boone, .734; Bob Uecker, . 660

## Category 1-3 Catchers

## Roger Bresnahan

Career P/E: .934; Postseason P/E: 1.000
Notable seasons (P/E): 1903 (1.179); 1904 (.976); 1905 (1.008)
Roger Philip Bresnahan began his career before the turn of the century as a catcher with the Washington Senators in 1897. The Duke of Tralee hit .312 and was on base in 11 of his 22 plate appearances in the 1905 World Series, which his Giants won in five games against the Athletics. Bresnahan was behind the plate as three Giants' pitchers, including the great Christy Mathewson, combined to throw 45 innings without allowing an earned run.

Statistically, Bresnahan's career does not compare with other Hall of Fame catchers. He hit just 26 home runs over 17 seasons and had more than 50 runs batted in only three times, peaking at 56 in 1902. His career slugging percentage, .377 , is actually lower than his career on-base percentage, .386 .

Roger Bresnahan was inducted into baseball's Hall of Fame in 1945, 30 years after his retirement. In my book, Bresnahan ranks only as high as Category 3 despite his Hall of Fame status. I think of him as a poor man's Mickey Cochrane; that's not too bad.

## Bill Freehan

Career P/E: .837; Postseason P/E: . 634
Notable seasons (P/E): 1964 (.962); 1967 (.911); 1968 (.940); 1971 (.874); 1974 (.920)

Born in Detroit and educated at the University of Michigan, Bill Freehan was a hometown prospect who played his entire career with
the Tigers. Freehan made the All-Star team every season from 1964 to 1975, excluding 1974. Over that stretch, he also won five straight Gold Gloves and finished in the top seven of MVP balloting three times, finishing third in 1967 and second in 1968.

Although Detroit was victorious in the '68 Series versus St. Louis, the Tigers backstop never had much individual success in the playoffs. In 36 postseason at-bats, Freehan managed just five hits and two runs scored while striking out nine times and slugging only .278 . He also managed to drive in 80 runs in a season only twice.

William Ashley Freehan was a solid signal caller for the Detroit Tigers in the 1960s and 1970s. In 1961, playing in his final season with the Michigan Wolverines, Freehan set an all-time Big Ten Conference record by batting .585 . Although his major league statistics were not as gaudy, he regularly received league notoriety, Gold Gloves, All-Star selections, and MVP consideration. Therefore, I rank him as Category 3.

## Al Lopez

Career P/E: .755; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason Notable seasons (P/E): 1930 (.929); 1934 (.851); 1936 (.781)

Alfonso Ramon Lopez caught more than 1,900 games in the majors for four different teams. He began his career in 1928 with Brooklyn and enjoyed his finest individual season with the Dodgers in 1930, when he hit .309 . Lopez was a much more successful manager than player. From '49 to '64, the Yankees won the pennant every season except two. In those two years, 1954 and 1959, Lopez was the pennantwinning skipper.

Al Lopez's career statistics are not good. He never came very close to 200 total bases in any season, and his best home-run effort occurred in 1939 when he hit eight for Boston's National League squad. Although he led the '54 Indians and the ' 59 White Sox to the World Series, he never tasted the playoffs during his playing days.

Lopez, a Category 2 ballplayer, should best be remembered as the man who guided the '54 Indians to 111 regular-season victories and a winning percentage of .721 .

## Thurman Munson

Career P/E: .887; Postseason P/E: 1.074
Notable seasons (P/E): 1973 (.991); 1975 (.989); 1976 (.964); 1977 (1.017)

Thurman Munson was a Yankees captain and recipient of the American League's MVP award in 1976. The American League Rookie of the Year for 1970, Munson led his Yankees squad to three pennants and two World Series crowns. He was often at his best in the playoffs, batting .357 for his postseason career. Munson had eight hits and 12 net runs when the Yankees defeated the Dodgers in the ' 78 Fall Classic.

Munson's life was tragically cut short when he perished in a plane crash on August 2, 1979. Munson finished his career with 113 home runs, 701 runs batted in, and 696 runs scored. Needless to say, these career marks would have been much more impressive had Munson never gotten on that plane.

I consider Munson to be Category 3. I believe he has a doubtful chance for Cooperstown, but it's possible for the Veteran's Committee to give him the nod one day in the future.

## Tony Pena

Career P/E: .762; Postseason P/E: . 833
Notable seasons (P/E): 1982 (.849); 1983 (.824); 1984 (.939); 1985
(.739); 1986 (.807)

Tony had his best years with the Pirates, going to four All-Star Games and winning three Gold Gloves. From 1982 to 1986, Pena averaged 217 total bases and 150 hits per season while batting over . 285 four times. After Gary Carter and Lance Parrish, Tony was generally considered the third best catcher in baseball in the early to mid-1980s.

Pena never had that one breakout season. His power was negligible. He never scored or drove in 80 runs in a season, and he hit over .300 only once, when he hit .301 playing for Pittsburgh. This catcher received MVP consideration only twice.

Pena was very good defensively but very ordinary with the bat. While he has no chance to enter Cooperstown, he did have a good run of success in the mid-'80s and again in the early '90s with Boston. I rate him as a Category 2 player for his career.

## Bob Uecker

Career P/E: .660; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable seasons (P/E): 1966 (.709); 1967 (.602)
Robert George Uecker spent six forgettable seasons behind the plate in the National League during the mid-'60s. Ever since, however, Uecker has been a household name with baseball fans throughout the country thanks to an engaging sense of humor and many years behind the microphone and in front of the camera. He played his final game in 1967, just in time to preserve a career batting average of exactly . 200.

Uecker hit just 14 home runs during his brief career. He caught only 271 games, and he finished with 74 runs batted in and 65 runs scored. His career slugging percentage, .287 , is even lower than his career on-base percentage, 293 , itself extremely poor.

Uecker went from unknown during his playing career to well known after it. He has written two books and appeared in a variety of movies, commercials, and television specials. Mr. Baseball has been broadcasting games for the Milwaukee Brewers since 1970. He earns further notoriety as this chapter's sole Category 1 catcher. Cooperstown may someday await Uecker as a broadcaster. Otherwise, he will have to buy a ticket like everyone else if he wants to get in.

## The Best Catchers

162-Game Averages

| Player | BA | OBP | SLP | HR | TB | R | RBI | H | XBH | BB | K | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bench | .267 | .342 | .476 | 29 | 274 | 82 | 103 | 154 | 60 | 67 | 96 | 5 |
| Berra | .285 | .348 | .482 | 27 | 278 | 90 | 109 | 164 | 56 | 54 | 32 | 2 |
| Campanella | .276 | .360 | .500 | 32 | 280 | 84 | 114 | 155 | 58 | 71 | 67 | 3 |
| Carter | .262 | .335 | .439 | 23 | 247 | 72 | 86 | 148 | 51 | 60 | 70 | 3 |
| Cochrane | .320 | .419 | .478 | 13 | 270 | 114 | 91 | 181 | 56 | 94 | 24 | 7 |
| Dickey | .313 | .382 | .486 | 18 | 277 | 84 | 109 | 178 | 56 | 61 | 26 | 3 |
| Fisk | .269 | .341 | .457 | 24 | 259 | 83 | 86 | 153 | 54 | 55 | 90 | 8 |
| Hartnett | .297 | .370 | .489 | 19 | 256 | 71 | 96 | 156 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 2 |
| Piazza | .308 | .377 | .545 | 36 | 319 | 89 | 113 | 180 | 66 | 64 | 94 | 1 |
| Rodriguez | .303 | .340 | .479 | 22 | 298 | 91 | 89 | 188 | 63 | 34 | 92 | 9 |
| Simmons | .285 | .348 | .437 | 16 | 250 | 71 | 92 | 163 | 51 | 56 | 46 | 1 |

## Category 4 Catchers

## Gary Carter

Career P/E: .916; Postseason P/E: . 922
MVP: never won; 1.93 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2003
Gary Edmund Carter was widely considered to be the best catcher in baseball in the years between Johnny Bench and Ivan Rodriguez. In 1986 he led the Mets to the World Series with his leadership and clutch hitting. What Carter lacked in athletic ability he made up for in terms of hustle, toughness, and smarts. From 1980 through 1986, Carter finished in the top six in MVP voting four times. He also won three Gold Gloves and five Silver Slugger awards during that stretch. An 11-time All-Star, Carter was twice named MVP of the midsummer clash (1981 and 1984).

Carter's career P/E Average of .916 ranks behind every other catcher in my top 10 . He never scored 100 runs, never hit .300 , and never won the MVP. In the late ' 80 s and early ' 90 s, his career production trailed off dramatically. Hitting only 33 home runs over his last five seasons, Carter never was able to return to his glory days.

Carter's leadership, toughness, consistency, and run-producing ability for the better part of his career outweigh the decline he suffered toward the end. The argument can be made that he was the best catcher in baseball for a decade. As the cleanup hitter on the 1986 Mets championship team, Carter often provided the clutch hit at the perfect time. I rate him as a Category 4 player.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1982 | 97 | 91 | 29 | 159 | 284 | 78 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 365 | 683 | 653 | 1.046 |
| 1985 | 100 | 83 | 32 | 151 | 271 | 69 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 346 | 648 | 633 | 1.024 |
| 1986 | 105 | 81 | 24 | 162 | 215 | 62 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 284 | 608 | 573 | 1.061 |
| Career | 1,225 | 1,025 | 324 | 1,926 | 3,497 | 848 | 68 | 39 | 42 | 4,410 | 8,262 | 9,019 | .916 |

## Carlton Fisk

Career P/E: .966; Postseason P/E: . 952
MVP: never won; 1.27 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2000
Carlton Fisk won the American League Rookie of the Year and Gold Glove awards in 1972 and went on to play 2,226 games behind the plate. The 11-time All-Star selection is best known for his home run in Game 6 of the 1975 World Series against Cincinnati. Hopping down the first-base line, thrusting both arms through the air, virtually willing the ball to stay fair, Fisk will always be remembered in baseball history for forcing a seventh game against the Reds. Fisk had consistent, if not great, home-run power. He hit at least 20 roundtrippers eight times, topping out at 37 for the ' 85 White Sox. Except for the shortened ' 81 season, Pudge posted double-digit home-run totals every year from 1972 through 1991.

Fisk's impressive career numbers are more the result of sustained productivity than concentrated excellence. Exceeding 100 runs batted in only twice, Fisk often had marginal years in terms of run production. In fact, he batted in more than 80 runs in a season just four times. He never finished better than third in MVP balloting.

Carlton Fisk makes it into the top-10 catchers of all time. He comes in as a Category 4 ballplayer, but he's on the lower end of the scale, much closer to Category 3 than 5 . Fisk compiled impressive numbers over an extensive career.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1977 | 102 | 106 | 26 | 182 | 279 | 75 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 364 | 728 | 632 | 1.152 |
| 1983 | 86 | 85 | 26 | 145 | 253 | 46 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 308 | 598 | 545 | 1.097 |
| 1985 | 107 | 85 | 37 | 155 | 265 | 52 | 17 | 17 | 9 | 342 | 652 | 620 | 1.052 |
| Career | 1,330 | 1,276 | 376 | 2,230 | 3,999 | 849 | 143 | 128 | 58 | 5,061 | 9,521 | 9,853 | .966 |

## Gabby Hartnett

Career P/E: 1.030; Postseason P/E: . 571
MVP: National League MVP in 1935; 2.52 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1955
Charles Leo Hartnett caught between 85 and 141 games with the Chicago Cubs every year but one from 1923 until 1939. He won the National League MVP in 1935 when he batted .344, leading the Cubs to the pennant. That season, he also led National League catchers in assists, double plays, and fielding average. In 1937, he was runner-up to Joe Medwick. In all, Hartnett finished in the top 10 of the balloting four times, and eight times he finished in the top 15 . Upon his retirement, he was regarded as the best catcher ever in the National League.

Unfortunately for him, the Cubs, and the city of Chicago, Hartnett was not very productive in the World Series. His .241 career postseason batting average is relatively poor, but not as poor as his $.571 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ mark. In 16 World Series games, Hartnett managed just four net runs and an on-base percentage of .255 . His teams needed him to be better. In the four World Series in which he appeared, the Cubs lost all four.

Hartnett cracks the top 10. He is a C4 player based on his MVP success and Hall of Fame status. While contemporary backstops have proven to be better, it should not be forgotten that Hartnett was once considered his league's best catcher.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1930 | 122 | 84 | 37 | 169 | 320 | 55 | 1 | 0 | $0^{*}$ | 376 | 714 | 578 | 1.235 |
| 1934 | 90 | 58 | 22 | 126 | 220 | 37 | 3 | 0 | $0^{*}$ | 260 | 512 | 487 | 1.051 |
| 1935 | 91 | 67 | 13 | 145 | 225 | 41 | 1 | 1 | $0^{*}$ | 268 | 558 | 461 | 1.210 |
| Career | 1,179 | 867 | 236 | 1,810 | 3,144 | 703 | 35 | 28 | $12^{*}$ | 3,898 | 7,518 | 7,297 | 1.030 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Mike Piazza

Career P/E: 1.093; Postseason P/E: . 835
MVP: never won; 3.15 career shares (through '06 voting)
Hall of Fame: imminent
Michael Joseph Piazza won the NL Rookie of the Year award in 1993 and has been hitting his way to the Hall of Fame ever since. Already with the most career home runs at the position, Piazza is often regarded as the greatest offensive catcher in history. One has only to look at his 1997 campaign for justification. He batted .362, belted 40 homers, drove in 124 runs, and topped 200 hits, a rare feat for a catcher (career-best P/E Average of 1.275). He hit at least 30 home runs every year from 1993 until 2002, excluding the strike-shortened '94 season. Over the same period, Piazza had P/E Averages exceeding 1.000 every year, often by wide margins.

Defensively, he was not good. Piazza lacked soft hands behind the plate. He would often lose strikes for his pitchers and, therefore, extend at-bats and innings by failing to frame pitches and receive the baseball in a fluid manner. Most fans don't notice that. Most umpires do.

Mike Piazza overcame his defensive shortcomings by hitting better and more consistently than any catcher in baseball history. However, catcher is the single most important defensive position on the field in my opinion. Had he been good or even average behind the plate, I would rank him much higher, but he's still a C 4 .

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1997 | 124 | 104 | 40 | 188 | 355 | 69 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 431 | 807 | 633 | 1.275 |
| 1999 | 124 | 100 | 40 | 184 | 307 | 51 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 359 | 727 | 593 | 1.226 |
| 2000 | 113 | 90 | 38 | 165 | 296 | 58 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 359 | 689 | 545 | 1.264 |
| Career | 1,335 | 1,048 | 427 | 1,956 | 3,768 | 759 | 30 | 17 | 20 | 4,554 | 8,466 | 7,745 | 1.093 |

## Ted Simmons

Career P/E: .940; Postseason P/E: . 750
MVP: never won; . 68 career shares
Hall of Fame: possible
Ted Simmons's best years were from 1972 through 1983. Excluding the strike-shortened season of 1981, Ted averaged 148 games played and 94 RBI per year. In 6 of those 11 seasons, he also batted over .300 , with a high of .332 in 1975 . Five times Simmons had season $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ scores above 1.000 . His highest average came in his last year in St. Louis, 1980, when he produced 161 net runs and attained a terrific $1.128 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$. Impressively, he finished his career with more walks (855) than strikeouts (694).

One of the major knocks against him was his defense. As with Piazza, Simmons would rate much higher on my list if he were better with the glove. Ted is not in the Hall of Fame, and he may never be inducted. Poor defense, unproductive postseasons, several years spent as a designated hitter, and the lack of any substantial MVP voting (he never finished better than sixth) are the reasons for his exclusion from the hallowed halls of Cooperstown.

On my list, Simmons just misses inclusion into the top 10. However, I still rate him as a Category 4 player, and I believe that his career is worthy of induction into the Hall of Fame. In my opinion, his poor defense and lack of postseason success are offset by his consistent, sometimes outstanding, production in the 1970s and 1980 s.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1975 | 100 | 80 | 18 | 162 | 285 | 63 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 347 | 671 | 649 | 1.034 |
| 1980 | 98 | 84 | 21 | 161 | 250 | 59 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 312 | 634 | 562 | 1.128 |
| 1983 | 108 | 76 | 13 | 171 | 269 | 41 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 314 | 656 | 650 | 1.009 |
| Career | 1,389 | 1,074 | 248 | 2,215 | 3,793 | 855 | 39 | 21 | 33 | 4,675 | 9,105 | 9,685 | .940 |

## Category 5 Catchers

## Johnny Bench

Height: 6' $1^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 208; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: August 28, 1967; Final game: September 29, 1983
Team(s): Cincinnati Reds (1967-1983)
MVP: National League MVP in 1970, 1972; 2.77 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1989
162-game avg.: . 267 batting, .342 on-base, .476 slugging, 29 home runs, 274 total bases, 82 runs scored, 103 runs batted in, 154 hits, 60 extra-base hits, $67 \mathrm{BB}, 96 \mathrm{~K}, 5 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.008; Postseason P/E: . 989

The Good. Johnny Lee Bench is considered by many to be the greatest catcher of all time and the pure embodiment of what a catcher should be. Bench was twice named Most Valuable Player; he finished in the top 10 of the league's voting for the award five times.

Playing his entire career with the Cincinnati Reds, Bench had few flaws. Defensively, he was without peer. In 1968 he was named National League Rookie of the Year, winning the first of his 10 consecutive Gold Glove awards and being named to his first All-Star Game. He would play in a total of 14 All-Star Games throughout his illustrious career.

Offensively, he was at the center of the Big Red Machine of the 1970s, which won four pennants and two World Series. Bench was a great run producer; he topped 100 runs batted in six times, including the 1970 campaign when he knocked in 148 runs while hitting 45 homers. That year also marked Bench's best individual season in terms of P/E Average: 1.210. Bench eclipsed a $1.000 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ Average eight seasons. He retired with 389 career home runs and 1,376 runs batted in.

The Bad. Not much. Bench was a complete player and put up some of the great individual seasons any catcher has ever had. However, he did have holes in his career. In 1971, the season after his breakout MVP year, Bench knocked in only 61 runs and produced a very pedestrian P/E Average of .842. Again in 1976, Bench failed to be a consistent run producer for the Reds, driving in 74 runs and hitting just 16 home runs (P/E Average: .937).

Bench was never a high-average hitter, topping out at .267 for his career and never reaching the .300 plateau except for the strikeshortened 1981 season when he hit .309 in only 52 games. A substantial knock against Bench can also be made in terms of strikeouts. From 1968 through 1978, he struck out more than 80 times every season, twice topping 100. For his career, the Little General struck out almost 1,300 times while drawing fewer than 900 bases on balls. Picky, I know, but perfection in baseball is saved for pitchers, and there it's usually across nine innings.

The Verdict. Johnny Bench is a Category 5 player and one of the three greatest catchers in the history of the game. It is hard to argue against Bench as the best ever. Indeed, his 1970 season may be the greatest of all time behind the plate. Most impressive statistically is the fact that Bench reached 200 net runs in two different seasons, a feat only equaled by one other catcher, Mickey Cochrane.

## Yogi Berra

Height: 5'8"; Weight: 194; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: September 22, 1946; Final game: May 9, 1965
Team(s): New York Yankees (1946-1963); New York Mets (1965)
MVP: American League MVP in 1951, 1954, 1955; 3.98 career shares

Hall of Fame: inducted in 1972
162-game avg.: . 285 batting, .348 on-base, .482 slugging, 27 home runs, 278 total bases, 90 runs scored, 109 runs batted in, 164 hits, 56 extra-base hits, $54 \mathrm{BB}, 32 \mathrm{~K}, 2 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: 1.064; Postseason P/E: . 969

The Good. Lawrence Peter Berra was an integral cog in the Yankees dynasties of the '40s, '50s, and '60s. Berra played in 14 Fall Classics, winning 10. A three-time Most Valuable Player, Berra symbolized everything a catcher is supposed to be: tough, dependable, productive, and, most important, a leader. Berra's best years came in the 1950s, when he finished in the top four of the American League's MVP voting for seven straight years.

Berra was a tremendously productive ballplayer. Averaging 1.06 net runs per game for his career, he knocked in at least 100 in five seasons. From 1948 through 1958, Yogi averaged more than 101 runs batted in per season. An All-Star selection every year from 1948 to 1962, Berra was at his best in the '56 World Series. In seven games, he led the Yankees to the World Series title over the rival Brooklyn Dodgers by batting .360 with three home runs and 10 runs batted in. He also caught Don Larsen's perfect game, the only one in Series history.

Berra's best individual season statistically was 1950 , when he produced 212 net runs and had a P/E Average of 1.224. Despite these gaudy numbers, Berra finished third in the MVP balloting; teammate Phil Rizzuto won the award that year.

The Bad. As with Bench, not much. However, the most ardent skeptic can find flaws with the best. Berra had seasons that were less than spectacular. After 1958, his production fell off dramatically, obviously the result of years behind the plate. Yogi had good, but not great, power. Twice he slugged 30 home runs in a season, but he was never considered a home-run threat like other players of his day and age. Defensively, Berra was also considered good but not great. One of the enduring moments in baseball history took place in the 1955 World Series when Jackie Robinson stole home under his tag. To this day, Berra insists Robinson was out.

Yogi Berra retired after playing just four games during the 1965 campaign. Notably, those games were played as a member of the New York Mets, the team he managed to the 1973 National League pennant.

The Verdict. A Category 5 player and one of the three greatest catchers of all time, Berra was the centerpiece in the greatest run of success in baseball history. He played in 75 World Series games, by far a record. Incredibly clutch and always a great quote, Berra finished his career with 358 home runs, 10 championships, and an indelible mark left on the game.

## Roy Campanella

Height: $5^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 200; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: April 20, 1948; Final game: September 29, 1957
Team(s): Brooklyn Dodgers (1948-1957)
MVP: National League MVP in 1951, 1953, 1955; 2.52 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1969
162-game avg.: . 276 batting, .360 on-base, .500 slugging, 32 home runs, 280 total bases, 84 runs scored, 114 runs batted in, 155 hits, 58 extra-base hits, $71 \mathrm{BB}, 67 \mathrm{~K}, 3 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.069; Postseason P/E: . 762

The Good. Despite playing only 10 seasons, Roy Campanella won three MVPs in the early to mid-1950s. In 1955 the Brooklyn Dodgers shocked the world by finally overcoming the rival Yankees in the World Series. Campy had two home runs, four runs batted in, and four runs scored in the seven-game series.

The 1953 season was a tremendous individual season for Roy-he exceeded 300 total bases and 200 net runs for the only time in his career. His $1.353 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ that season ranks as one of the highest singleseason averages ever for a catcher. In total, Campanella had six seasons out of seven from 1949 through 1955 when his P/E Average eclipsed 1.000, often going well above that plateau.

Statistically, his 1.02 net runs per game are extremely productive and place him only slightly behind Berra (1.06) and ahead of Bench (.96) for their careers. Roy Campanella played in the All-Star Game every year from 1949 through 1956.

The Bad. While Campanella was a tremendous player, he didn't do it long enough to be considered in the same class as Bench, Berra, and Cochrane. For his career, Campy compiled only 856 runs batted in and 627 runs scored, which pale in comparison with other Hall of Fame catchers.

The years in between his MVP seasons were far below his best performances. In 1952, he hit only .269. Campy had a terrible year in 1954. He hit .207 and had a .285 on-base percentage while managing only 75 net runs. His P/E Average for 1954 was a paltry .785. In each season, he struck out more than he walked.

Most notable, however, was Campanella's ineffectiveness in World Series play. Although he was somewhat productive in the '53 Series, he was anything but dangerous against the Yankees in 1952 and again in 1956 , when he combined to go 10 for 50 with only two runs scored in 14 games. His career postseason P/E number (.762) reminds us why 1955 was truly a magical, once-in-a-lifetime moment for Brooklyn fans to hold onto forever.

The Verdict. I have Roy Campanella ranked as one of the five best catchers ever. Certainly, his career numbers don't warrant that high of a ranking. However, he did win three MVP awards, and MVP voting is a critical measure in determining a player's overall value. Had he won only one or two, or if the Dodgers had lost as usual to the Yankees in 1955, I would have a much different opinion of Campanella. But that's not the case. He's a Category 5 player in my book.

## Mickey Cochrane

Height: 5'10"; Weight: 180; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: April 14, 1925; Final game: May 25, 1937
Team(s): Philadelphia Athletics (1925-1933); Detroit Tigers (1934-1937)
MVP: American League MVP in 1928, 1934; 2.69 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1947
162-game avg.: . 320 batting, .419 on-base, .478 slugging, 13 home runs, 270 total bases, 114 runs scored, 91 runs batted in, 181 hits, 56 extra-base hits, $94 \mathrm{BB}, 24 \mathrm{~K}, 7 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: 1.109; Postseason P/E: . 766

The Good. Gordon Stanley Cochrane, also known as Black Mike, was a two-time MVP, a three-time World Series winner, and considered to be the greatest catcher and leader of his day. Cochrane finished in the top five of MVP balloting three times, and six times he finished in the top 10 .

Cochrane batted over .300 eight times, hitting as high as .357 in 1930. Even more impressive was Cochrane's on-base percentages. From 1925 through 1937, he topped . 400 every year but three. In those three seasons, his on-base percentages were $.397, .369$, and .395 . For his career, Cochrane batted .320 with an outstanding on-base percentage of .419.

In terms of P/E Averages, Black Mike's best years came in the early 1930s, when he topped 1.200 three straight years. His best individual season may have been 1932 (P/E: 1.250), when he contributed 207 net runs to his team's scoreboard and batted a career-best 23 homers. Ironically, that was one of the rare seasons when he failed to hit .300 .

Cochrane was almost impossible to strike out. In 1927 and 1929 combined, he struck out just 15 times in 261 games! At the same time, Mickey was getting on base consistently through bases on balls. For his career, Cochrane walked 857 times while striking out only 217.

The Bad. The most glaring weakness in Cochrane's game was in the power department. Despite six seasons of double-digit home-run totals, Cochrane's career slugging percentage is .478 , only 59 points higher than his on-base percentage. Because of this relative lack of power, Cochrane knocked in 100 runs only once in his career.

Surprisingly, Cochrane was less than spectacular in the postseason. Although he won three championships behind the plate, his career batting average in the Fall Classic was only .245, and his slugging percentage in five postseason series was a dreadful .336. While Cochrane was great in the 1929 Series against the Cubs (. 400 batting average, .591 on-base percentage, five runs, seven walks, and no strikeouts in five games), he failed to reach those lofty heights again in the 1930s championship games. A postseason P/E Average of only .766 falls far below the expectations associated with a player as great as Cochrane.

The Verdict. Cochrane's illustrious career marks him as a Category 5 player and one of the three greatest catchers of all time. In today's game, Cochrane would be a perfect fit as a number two hitter in a lineup. Smart, tough, and a great leader, Cochrane was the reason Mr. Mantle named one of his sons Mickey.

## Bill Dickey

Height: 6' $2^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 185; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: August 15, 1928; Final game: September 8, 1946
Team(s): New York Yankees (1928-1943, 1946)
MVP: never won; 2.02 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1954
162-game avg.: . 313 batting, .382 on-base, .486 slugging, 18 home runs, 277 total bases, 84 runs scored, 109 runs batted in, 178 hits, 56 extra-base hits, $61 \mathrm{BB}, 26 \mathrm{~K}, 3 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: 1.084; Postseason P/E: . 913

The Good. Dickey was a part of eight pennant-winning teams, going $7-1$ in the World Series. An 11-time All-Star, he played with Ruth, Gehrig, and DiMaggio. Although he never won an MVP, he finished in the top five three times and in the top 10 five times. From 1929 to 1939, Dickey hit over . 30010 out of 11 seasons, topping out at .362 in 1936.

Bill Dickey had five outstanding seasons and a few very good ones as a member of the Yankees. The first one came in 1933 when he hit .318 and drove in 97 runs. When Joe DiMaggio was a rookie in 1936, Dickey was at his best. From 1936 through 1939, the catcher hit over .300 and knocked in more than 100 runs every season. His P/E Averages for those four years are outstanding (1.432, 1.253, 1.282, and 1.221).

In a four-game sweep of the Cubs in the '32 Series, Dickey had seven hits, four RBI, and a . 438 batting average. A .313 career batter, Bill Dickey was very difficult to strike out. Only twice did he have more than 30 Ks in a season, and his career ratio of 678 to 289 strikeouts demonstrates the outstanding plate discipline he displayed throughout his career.

The Bad. The most glaring knock against Dickey's Hall of Fame career is the fact that he never won an MVP. One could argue that immortal players such as Ruth, Gehrig, and DiMaggio overshadowed Dickey's accomplishments. Yet Berra, Campanella, Cochrane, and Bench all won MVP awards on outstanding teams loaded with stars. The fact remains, however, that Dickey finished better than fifth in the voting only once as runner-up to Jimmie Foxx in '38.

Aside from 1933 and 1936-1939, Dickey was never a great run producer. His totals for runs scored and runs batted in were average to good during the other dozen years of his career. A career 255 hitter in World Series play, Dickey was often disappointing in the postseason. In 10 games in the 1941 and 1942 World Series, he combined to go 8 for 37 with only one RBI.

The Verdict. I rate Bill Dickey as a Category 5 player based on his team's postseason success and his impressive statistics. He was a member of seven championship teams, and his 1936 P/E Average of 1.432 is the highest single-season mark ever for a catcher. His No. 8 was retired by the Yankees in honor of him and Yogi Berra, his protégé who would later wear that same number. In 1999, Sporting News published its "100 Greatest Baseball Players" list. Bill Dickey came in at number 57. I believe he is one of the 10 greatest catchers of all time.

## Ivan Rodriguez

Height: 5'9"; Weight: 205; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: June 20, 1991; Final game: still active
Team(s): Texas Rangers (1991-2002); Florida Marlins (2003);
Detroit Tigers (2004-present)
MVP: American League MVP in 1999; 1.04 career
shares (through '06 voting)
Hall of Fame: probable
162-game avg.: . 303 batting, .340 on-base, .479 slugging, 22 home runs, 298 total bases, 91 runs scored, 89 runs batted in, 188 hits, 63 extra-base hits, $34 \mathrm{BB}, 92 \mathrm{~K}, 9 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: .986; Postseason P/E: . 888

The Good. Ivan Rodriguez is a 13-time All-Star and winner of seven Silver Slugger awards and 12 Gold Gloves. Generally regarded as the best defensive catcher of his era, and one of the best of all time, Pudge was once described by a Sports Illustrated cover as "The Game's Most Indispensable Player."

He was at his best in the 2003 National League playoffs. After upsetting the San Francisco Giants in the first round with six runs batted in and a .353 batting average, Rodriguez was even better against the Cubs in the NLCS. Over seven games, the catcher pounded out nine hits, including two home runs. He scored five times and knocked in another 10 runs, earning NLCS MVP honors. The Marlins went on to beat the Yankees in six games in the World Series.

Ivan's career P/E Average of . 986 lags behind the likes of Berra, Cochrane, and Campanella, but it is just shy of Bench's 1.008. In 1997 Pudge finished his year with Texas with a P/E Average nearly identical to his career mark. Even his batting average, on-base percentage,
and slugging percentage for that season closely mirror his career numbers. Following up his MVP campaign of 1999, Rodriguez was posting monster numbers in 2000 before succumbing to injury. He played only 91 games but still managed 83 RBI and a P/E Average of 1.301.

The Bad. As with Berra, Rodriguez has good, but not great, power. He knocked in 100 or more runs only once, although he was certainly on pace to do it again in 2000. Despite his outstanding 2003 postseason, his P/E Average for the playoffs, .888 , is less than spectacular. In the 1998 ALDS against the Yankees, Rodriguez went 1 for 10 with five strikeouts in a three-game sweep by the eventual champs.

One significant argument against Ivan Rodriguez can be made in terms of strikeouts. In 11 seasons he has struck out at least 70 times, and his 1,221 career Ks overwhelms only 446 career walks. He has 32 strikeouts in 40 postseason games. In recent years, his name has also been associated on a casual basis with the steroid controversy. He is noticeably leaner than in the past, and his home-run totals have dropped every season but one since 1999.

The Verdict. I rate Rodriguez as a Category 5 catcher with a probable, almost imminent, Hall of Fame induction awaiting him on retirement. His résumé is loaded with Gold Gloves and MVP consideration. I-Rod is one of the game's five best catchers.

## Catcher: The Top 10

How do the 10 greatest catchers rank in relation to one another? As I mentioned in this chapter's introduction, mere statistical analysis by itself is insufficient, especially for a position such as this that demands defense, leadership, toughness, and other intangible qualities, which $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ scores cannot quantify. In the following list, I provide brief rationales for each player's ranking, which places him higher than the signal caller he precedes. The top three are then discussed in more detail, with an argument formulated for each. As I see it, these are the top 10 catchers in history.

## 10. Carlton Fisk

One of the most difficult decisions was choosing between Fisk and Ted Simmons for this spot. The original Pudge gets the nod based on better postseason play, more home runs, and his career MVP shares, which almost double those of Simmons. If I had to choose one of the two to have for an entire season, I'd take Simmons. But if I had one game I needed to win, Carlton Fisk would be my choice. Fisk wins by a nose.

## 9. Gabby Hartnett

In ranking Hartnett in front of Fisk, I gave way to trusting everything I read about him and listening to people who have watched baseball much longer than I have. Hartnett won an MVP and finished in the top 10 four times. That alone distances him quite considerably from Fisk. Add in the fact that his career P/E is better, and the choice becomes quite clear. I'll take Hartnett over Fisk by a wide margin.

## 8. Mike Piazza

Mike Piazza registers the second-highest career P/E Average for catchers in history, slightly behind Mickey Cochrane for the top spot. He combined the tools to hit for power and average better than any player at the position. However, his defense was subpar, he never won an MVP (although he certainly could have in 1997), and his teams never won a championship. Still, his 1.093 career mark is terrific and warrants him narrowly edging Gabby for eighth place.

## 7. Gary Carter

If Mike Piazza was hurt by the fact that I saw him play in so many games, then Gary Carter is helped by that same fact. Carter was a tremendous leader and a winning ballplayer. As a Mets fan, if I had to choose one or the other to backstop my team, I'd take Carter. He was clutch when it mattered most, and he was arguably the best catcher in baseball for a decade. His .916 career P/E mark pales in comparison with the others in my top 10 , but he certainly doesn't. He's a great example of the need to look beyond the numbers in determining a catcher's overall value and significance.

## 6. Bill Dickey

Bill Dickey comes in ahead of Gary Carter based on better offensive statistics. It's as simple as that. With a 168 -point advantage in Production and Efficiency Average, Dickey was clearly the better hitter. I think both players were probably great in terms of their overall defense, toughness, and leadership ability. Therefore, Dickey takes over spot number 6 based on his magnificent work with the lumber.

## 5. Ivan Rodriguez

I went back and forth several times deciding whom to put in this spot. I finally chose I-Rod over Dickey for two reasons. First, Rodriguez won an MVP, and I consider that a valid indicator of a player's value. Second, I-Rod's Gold Glove history is too impressive to overlook. Of course, Dickey never had the opportunity to win the award. Nevertheless, I don't think he would have fared quite as well as Pudge even if given the opportunity. I like Ivan Rodriguez for spot number 5 on this list of all-time backstops.

## 4. Roy Campanella

Three to one. At some point, I had to decide where Campy fits into this list, and I think this is the right spot. He won three MVPs to Rodriguez's one. That's convincing enough for me. Certainly, Campy's career lacks the overall numbers, but I simply can't ignore a player winning the Most Valuable Player over and over at such a critical position. It's too much of an advantage over Pudge, and it's the reason the Brooklyn Dodgers legend earns my vote for fourth place in my top 10.

## The Top Three

Johnny Bench, Yogi Berra, and Mickey Cochrane are the three best catchers of all time, and I state that with unquestioned certainty. Intending no disrespect to Campanella, Dickey, Rodriguez, and the other members of the top 10 , there is a wide margin between them and these three immortals. They each won multiple MVP awards and multiple championships. Each had outstanding statistical seasons and numerous reasons for them to earn the top spot. But it can only go to one man.

## The Case for Bench

10 consecutive Gold Gloves
His 1970 season, perhaps the greatest ever (P/E: 1.210)
389 home runs
Three seasons with 125 or more runs batted in
Two MVPs, five times in the top 10 , and 2.77 career shares

## The Case for Berra

Three MVPs and 3.98 career shares
14 pennants and 10 championships
11 seasons with $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ scores above 1.000
1,430 career runs batted in
358 career home runs and only 414 strikeouts

## The Case for Cochrane

Highest P/E Average of the three
1.18 net runs per game

Six top-10 finishes in MVP balloting
.320 lifetime batting average and eight seasons over . 300
.419 career on-base percentage with only 217 strikeouts

## 3. Mickey Cochrane

There is no shame in finishing third in this race. While Cochrane statistically outranks Berra and Bench, he did also play during a time when offensive numbers were extremely high. Even so, he did not hit well in 14 combined World Series games in 1931 and 1934, watching his team fall short both times. In fact, 1930 was the only time Black Mike ever drove in more than one run in five championship appearances. Nevertheless, he ranks ahead of Roy Campanella by a fairly wide margin. He falls short of the top two catchers of all time, however, failing to match their power and overall excellence, but only slightly. Mickey Cochrane finishes third, but a bronze medal in this field is an outstanding accomplishment.

## 2. Johnny Bench

Blasphemy! Johnny Bench is not the greatest catcher of all time? Not on my list at least. The toughest part about selecting Bench for the silver is that it goes against every commonsense notion I have as a baseball fan. His name is almost synonymous with the position he played so expertly. I rank him ahead of Cochrane because of these commonsense notions and because he may be the greatest defensive catcher ever. I think his 1970 MVP season, in which he amassed 355 total bases, is probably the best ever for a catcher. In terms of peak value, he is number one. Unfortunately for Reds fans everywhere, he's only second on my list.

## 1. Yogi Berra

Only after endless hours of careful scrutiny and analysis did I surmise that Yogi Berra is the greatest catcher of all time, beating Bench in a photo finish. In terms of the numbers, Yogi's career P/E score and individual season scores are better than Johnny's. The 10 best singleseason P/E Averages for these two catchers favor six for Berra and four for Bench. Furthermore, Yogi owns the highest single mark and two of the top three:

1. Berra, '50- 1.224
2. Bench, '70—1.210
3. Berra, '56-1.147
4. Bench, '74, Berra '53-1.140 (tie)
5. Berra, '48-1.133
6. Bench, '72-1.130
7. Bench, '75-1.129
8. Berra, '54-1.113
9. Berra, '49-1.102

The factor that finally tipped the scales in Berra's favor, for me, rested with MVP performance. Bench won two, finished fourth twice, and was in the top 10 one other time. In 1971, however, he struggled. Again in '76, he failed to produce a typical Bench masterpiece. Berra did not suffer these lapses. From 1950 through 1956, the Yankees catcher won three MVP awards and finished fourth once, second twice, and third in arguably his best season, 1950. During that stretch, the New York Yankees won six pennants and five World Series titles. It was the greatest individual run in history for any catcher at any time, and it's the reason Yogi Berra is number one in my book.

## Twins?

They say that everyone has a twin somewhere in the world. Does the same hold true for catchers? In analyzing this position, I discovered two sets of signal callers with striking resemblances. Rick Ferrell and Roger Bresnahan could have been separated at baseball birth in the early half of the twentieth century while Bob Boone and Benito Santiago mirrored one another more recently. Enjoy the comparisons!

## Rick Ferrell

.281 career batting average
.378 career on-base percentage
.363 career slugging percentage
28 lifetime home runs
$47.1 \%$ production and
52.9\% efficiency

Waited 37 years for Hall of
Fame induction
687 runs scored

## Roger Bresnahan

.279 career batting average
.386 career on-base percentage
.377 career slugging percentage
26 lifetime home runs
$47.2 \%$ production and
52.8\% efficiency

Waited 30 years for Hall of
Fame induction
682 runs scored

## Benito Santiago

115 postseason plate appearances 48 complete bases in the playoffs

23 net runs in the postseason
.817 postseason P/E
5 All-Star appearances
. 01 career MVP shares
Finished twentieth and
twenty-third in MVP voting
.263 career batting average
. 307 career on-base percentage

## Bob Boone

121 postseason plate appearances 48 complete bases in the playoffs
23 net runs in the postseason
. 777 postseason P/E
4 All-Star appearances
. 03 career MVP shares
Finished sixteenth and twenty-third in MVP voting .254 career batting average
.315 career on-base percentage

## 3

## First Base

Traditionally, first base has always been a power position in a team's lineup. While defensive prowess is an asset, first basemen are usually more valued for their bat than their glove. Sluggers at the heart of the batting order, the best players combine an ability to hit home runs with high marks in terms of production and efficiency. Not surprisingly, the top players at this position have some of the highest singleseason and career P/E Averages in history.

Jimmie Foxx, Lou Gehrig, and Hank Greenberg, who played against one another in the American League during the 1930s, make up my top three of all time. These immortals combined to drive in at least 150 runs in a season 14 times over their Hall of Fame careers. They also hit for high averages and won multiple championships and multiple MVP awards.

Along with left field and right field, first base is a position where I put more emphasis on objective statistics. Most important, of course, P/E Averages for these players weigh heavily when rating, ranking, and comparing them with one another. Foxx, Gehrig, and Greenberg set the bar extremely high. For others to gain entrance into my top 10, they needed to separate themselves from the pack. The best way to do that is with impressive offensive numbers.

Production and efficiency rolled into one, these first basemen set the standard with some of baseball's most dominant statistics. But who will emerge as the best ever? Who deserves a place in Cooperstown, and who falls just shy of the honor? Let's find out.

## First Base: Career P/E Averages

Lou Gehrig, 1.387; Hank Greenberg, 1.318; Jimmie Foxx, 1.315; Albert Pujols, 1.271; Todd Helton, 1.202; Johnny Mize, 1.185; Mark McGwire, 1.179; Jeff Bagwell, 1.173; Carlos Delgado, 1.139; Jason Giambi, 1.131; Hal Trosky, 1.130; Bill Terry, 1.107; Jim Bottomley, 1.102; Rudy York, 1.066; Will Clark, 1.061; Rafael Palmeiro, 1.058; Willie McCovey, 1.049; Fred McGriff, 1.047; Frank Chance, 1.045; Andres Galarraga, 1.042; Gil Hodges, 1.039; Ted Kluszewski, 1.030; George Sisler 1.030; Orlando Cepeda, 1.029; Cecil Fielder, 1.024; Kent Hrbek, 1.015; Norm Cash, 1.007; Eddie Murray, 1.006; John Olerud, 1.006; Tino Martinez, 1.004; Don Mattingly, .995; George Kelly, .992; Keith Hernandez, .984; Tony Perez, .977; Cecil Cooper, .973; Boog Powell, .972; Mark Grace, .969; Joe Adcock, .962; Mickey Vernon, .962; Bill White, .958; Joe Judge, .948; Moose Skowron, .943; Lee May, .941; Steve Garvey, .933; Wally Pipp, .924; George Scott, .903; Joe Pepitone, .868; Bill Buckner, .863; Marv Throneberry, .859; Wes Parker, .838; Vic Power, . 833

## Category 1-3 First Basemen

## Steve Garvey

Career P/E: .933; Postseason P/E: 1.009
Notable seasons (P/E): 1974 (1.031); 1977 (1.020); 1978 (1.055); 1979 (1.013)

Steve Garvey won the 1974 National League MVP, finished second to Dave Parker in '78, and was in the top 10 of the vote five times. He played his entire career in Southern California with the Dodgers and the Padres. Garvey won four Gold Gloves and was named NLCS MVP with Los Angeles in '78 and again with San Diego in '84.

Garvey never displayed the type of power managers usually like to see at first base. The 185 net runs he produced in ' 74 was his career high, and he retired with fewer than 300 homers and a slugging percentage below .450. In the '78 Fall Classic, Garvey managed just one net run while striking out seven times over six games.

Garvey is Category 3. He had at least 200 hits in a season six times, and he owns 2.46 career MVP shares. His homer off Lee Smith saved the NLCS for the Padres in '84. It is doubtful Steve Garvey will ever make it to Cooperstown, but he did achieve a significant amount of success during his playing career.

## Gil Hodges

Career P/E: 1.039; Postseason P/E: . 881
Notable seasons (P/E): 1951 (1.129); 1953 (1.120); 1954 (1.177)
Gil Hodges was signed by Brooklyn in 1943 and played the majority of his career in Dodger blue. Hodges was considered an outstanding defensive player; he won the first three Gold Gloves awarded in the National League. Had the award been around longer, he no doubt
would have won more. Hodges was an eight-time All-Star and averaged 112 runs batted in from 1949 through 1955.

Hodges never finished better than seventh in MVP balloting, and he owns only .65 shares for his career. He never slugged .600 and never reached a .400 on-base percentage. His 1.039 P/E mark only falls into the middle of the pack compared with the other first basemen on my list. His 881 postseason $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ is largely the result of going 4 for 39 during his first three World Series appearances.

Hodges is Category 3, very close to Category 4. I understand the arguments in favor of his enshrinement, but I don't think his career was quite good enough. He needed a few more solid years or that one breakout season, but I will rate his chances as possible.

## Don Mattingly

Career P/E: . 995 ; Postseason P/E: 1.360
Notable seasons (P/E): 1984 (1.091); 1985 (1.186); 1986 (1.132); 1987 (1.149)

In his prime, Donnie Baseball was arguably the best player in the game. From 1984 to 1989, Mattingly hit over . 300 each year, batting as high as 352 . In 1985 he won the American League MVP, easily beating out George Brett and teammate Rickey Henderson for the award. During that memorable season, Mattingly drove in 145 runs and won the first of his nine Gold Glove awards.

Longevity is the key argument against Mattingly's career. Back problems prevented this skilled player from sustaining his excellence. If he had been able to hang on a little bit longer, then I would consider him a Hall of Famer. At his best, he played like a Category 5 first baseman. Sadly, he wasn't able to maintain his dominance quite long enough.

## Wally Pipp

Career P/E: .924; Postseason P/E: . 571
Notable seasons (P/E): 1921 (1.010); 1922 (1.021); 1923 (.983);
1924 (1.075)
Often credited with having the most famous headache in the history of sports, Walter Clement Pipp was the man who gave way to Lou Gehrig in 1925. Although his alleged ailment is probably fiction, it is absolute fact that Pipp, and not Babe Ruth, was the first Yankee to lead the league in home runs. He hit 12, his career best, in 1916 before Ruth ushered in the era of the long ball in earnest.

After clearing the way for Gehrig, who was actually scouted by Pipp while at Columbia, Wally moved on to the Reds. For his career, he just missed some prominent offensive milestones, retiring with 90 homers, 997 RBI, 974 runs scored, and 1,941 hits.

Pipp will always be linked to Gehrig. Ironically, Gehrig's consecu-tive-game streak actually began as a pinch-hitter the day before he took Wally's place in the lineup for good. In his own right, Pipp was a good ballplayer for a number of years. Had he been able to sustain his success, I might rate him higher than the Category 2 nod he earns here.

## Moose Skowron

Career P/E: .943; Postseason P/E: 1.106
Notable seasons (P/E): 1956 (1.130); 1960 (.991); 1961 (.959); 1962

Skowron won four World Series with the Yankees and one against them as a member of the ' 63 Dodgers. Moose was often at his best in October. His P/E Average in the playoffs is more than 160 points higher than in the regular season. He boasts eight Series homers and, even more important, 40 net runs in 39 games. Although he never
won a Gold Glove, he did finish his career with a .992 fielding percentage.

Skowron was tremendously productive in the postseason, but he was not a great run producer from April to September. He never scored 80 runs, and he drove in at least 90 only twice. His career mark of .82 net runs per game is low for a first baseman. Despite playing on teams that frequently won the pennant, Moose collected only . 18 MVP shares and never finished better than ninth in the voting.

William Joseph Skowron Jr. rates as Category 2 for his career. Skowron was the kind of ballplayer who fit well when surrounded with talent, but he wasn't able to carry a team by himself. Playing with the likes of Berra, Mantle, and Koufax, Skowron produced when it mattered most, but he will not join his former teammates in the Hall of Fame.

## Marv Throneberry

Career P/E: .859; Postseason P/E: . 000
Notable seasons (P/E): 1960 (.946); 1962 (.675)
Marvin Eugene Throneberry was always more recognizable by his nickname, Marvelous Marv. Originally signed by the Yankees in 1952, Marv was probably best known for his time spent with the Mets. In terms of P/E Average, his best season came in 1960 as a member of the Kansas City Athletics.

In 1962 the Mets lost 120 games in their inaugural season, and Throneberry finished with more errors, 17 , than home runs, 16 . He epitomized the failure of this new franchise, and he was the player Casey Stengel had in mind when he uttered his famous question, "Can't anybody here play this game?"

Throneberry earns the distinction of this chapter's lone Category 1 entry. He played fewer than 500 career games and did not match the fielding prowess of Vic Power and Wes Parker, the men who trail him on the chapter's all-time P/E list.

## The Best First Basemen

162-Game Averages

| Player | BA | OBP | SLP | HR | TB | R | RBI | H | XBH | BB | K | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bagwell | .297 | .408 | .540 | 34 | 317 | 114 | 115 | 174 | 73 | 106 | 117 | 15 |
| Bottomley | .310 | .369 | .500 | 18 | 304 | 96 | 116 | 188 | 68 | 54 | 48 | 5 |
| Cepeda | .297 | .350 | .499 | 29 | 302 | 86 | 104 | 179 | 63 | 45 | 89 | 11 |
| Foxx | .325 | .428 | .609 | 37 | 347 | 122 | 134 | 185 | 76 | 102 | 92 | 6 |
| Gehrig | .340 | .447 | .632 | 37 | 379 | 141 | 149 | 204 | 89 | 113 | 59 | 8 |
| Greenberg | .313 | .412 | .605 | 38 | 365 | 122 | 148 | 189 | 90 | 99 | 98 | 7 |
| McCovey | .270 | .374 | .515 | 33 | 264 | 77 | 97 | 138 | 58 | 84 | 97 | 2 |
| McGriff | .284 | .377 | .509 | 32 | 294 | 89 | 102 | 164 | 63 | 86 | 124 | 5 |
| McGwire | .263 | .394 | .588 | 50 | 315 | 101 | 122 | 141 | 73 | 114 | 138 | 1 |
| Mize | .312 | .397 | .562 | 31 | 311 | 96 | 115 | 173 | 70 | 74 | 45 | 2 |
| Murray | .287 | .359 | .476 | 27 | 289 | 87 | 103 | 174 | 59 | 71 | 81 | 6 |
| Palmeiro | .288 | .371 | .515 | 33 | 308 | 95 | 105 | 173 | 68 | 77 | 77 | 6 |
| Perez | .279 | .341 | .463 | 22 | 264 | 74 | 96 | 159 | 56 | 54 | 109 | 3 |
| Pujols | .332 | .420 | .620 | 42 | 373 | 126 | 128 | 200 | 88 | 88 | $67 s$ | 6 |
| Sisler | .340 | .379 | .468 | 8 | 305 | 101 | 93 | 222 | 55 | 37 | 26 | 30 |
| Terry | .341 | .393 | .506 | 14 | 306 | 105 | 101 | 206 | 60 | 51 | 42 | 5 |

## Category 4 First Basemen

## Jim Bottomley

Career P/E: 1.102; Postseason P/E: . 694
MVP: National League MVP in 1928; 1.61 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1974
Jim Bottomley played his rookie season with Rogers Hornsby, and something must have rubbed off. In 1923 he batted .371, and he hit .367 two years later. In all, he topped .300 in eight different seasons. In 1928 Bottomley won the NL Most Valuable Player award, due in large part to his career-best total of 228 net runs. He slugged .628 that year, also his best ever. Productive throughout much of his career, Bottomley finished with an average of 1.20 net runs per game and more than 1,400 RBI.

Jim hit 60 homers in 1928 and 1929 combined, but he never reached 20 in any other season. His career slugging percentage, .500 , is good but not great, especially in comparison with other Hall of Fame players at his position. In the 1930s, his production and efficiency trailed off. He hit less than .260 in three of his last five seasons and never reached either 100 runs scored or 100 runs driven in after 1929. Aside from his first World Series, Bottomley went just 8 for 61 in the postseason.

I rank Sunny Jim in the top 10 at this position. He had tremendous seasons in the 1920 s but then failed to sustain those high levels into the next decade. He had one outstanding World Series and three bad ones.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1927 | 124 | 95 | 19 | 200 | 292 | 74 | 5 | 8 | $7^{*}$ | 372 | 772 | 679 | 1.137 |
| 1928 | 136 | 123 | 31 | 228 | 362 | 71 | 3 | 10 | $9^{*}$ | 437 | 893 | 667 | 1.339 |
| 1929 | 137 | 108 | 29 | 216 | 318 | 70 | 1 | 3 | $3^{*}$ | 389 | 821 | 648 | 1.267 |
| Career | 1,422 | 1,177 | 219 | 2,380 | 3,737 | 664 | 43 | 58 | $54^{*}$ | 4,448 | 9,208 | 8,355 | 1.102 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Orlando Cepeda

Career P/E: 1.029; Postseason P/E: . 714
MVP: National League MVP in 1967; 1.87 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1999
Cepeda began his career with a tremendous start. He was selected National League Rookie of the Year in 1958, a year in which he also finished in the top 10 of MVP balloting. From his rookie season through 1964, Cepeda averaged 107 runs batted in and 32 homers and hit between .297 and .316 each year. When he reached 122 home runs by the end of just his fourth season, it looked as if the Baby Bull was on his way to immortality. Orlando's best statistical season was 1961, when he finished second to Frank Robinson for the MVP. He slugged .609 and produced 201 net runs for the Giants.

When you consider the start Cepeda enjoyed in his first seven seasons, his career as a whole can be considered somewhat disappointing. He was great in 1967 and again in 1970, but his numbers generally declined after leaving the Giants. He slugged .600 just one time and finished with a career percentage below .500. Cepeda was also not good in World Series play. He appeared in the Fall Classic in '62 and again in ' 67 and combined to go 6 for 48 with three RBI. For his career, he posted a batting average of .207 in October ball.

He is deserving of his Hall of Fame status, but he fails to make my top 10 for this position. He showed flashes of brilliance but failed to sustain his greatness. The Baby Bull comes in as a solid selection for Category 4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1958 | 96 | 88 | 25 | 159 | 309 | 29 | 3 | 15 | 11 | 345 | 663 | 644 | 1.030 |
| 1961 | 142 | 105 | 46 | 201 | 356 | 39 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 408 | 810 | 636 | 1.274 |
| 1962 | 114 | 105 | 35 | 184 | 324 | 37 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 373 | 741 | 676 | 1.096 |
| Career | 1,365 | 1,131 | 379 | 2,117 | 3,959 | 588 | 102 | 142 | 80 | 4,711 | 8,945 | 8,695 | 1.029 |

## Willie McCovey

Career P/E: 1.049; Postseason P/E: 1.206
MVP: National League MVP in 1969; 1.63 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1986
Willie McCovey hit 521 home runs and had 1,555 runs batted in over a career that spanned four decades. Platooned with Orlando Cepeda early in his career, McCovey emerged as a legitimate star in the mid-1960s and enjoyed his best seasons through the early 1970s. He hit more than 35 homers six times and won the Rookie of the Year award in '59 with the Giants. Willie's best years came back-to-back in 1969 and 1970. He knocked in 126 each year and averaged 42 homers and 100 runs scored. His combined P/E Average for the two campaigns was 1.288 , a very high mark.

In many ways, McCovey is the opposite of Hank Greenberg. Stretch posted significantly higher career totals but never approached Greenberg's excellence in terms of run production. For his career, the lefty slugger averaged a modest .87 net runs per game, a mark far below the other Hall of Famers at his position. At .92, Tony Perez is the only other first baseman rated above Category 3 who falls below .95 in this crucial statistic of production.

Willie Lee McCovey is Category 4. He compiled some impressive career numbers but was only dominant for a few seasons. He had fewer than 90 RBI 15 times in 22 years. His team never won a postseason series, and he tallied only 1.63 MVP shares.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1963 | 102 | 103 | 44 | 161 | 319 | 50 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 380 | 702 | 627 | 1.120 |
| 1969 | 126 | 101 | 45 | 182 | 322 | 121 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 447 | 811 | 623 | 1.302 |
| 1970 | 126 | 98 | 39 | 185 | 303 | 137 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 443 | 813 | 638 | 1.274 |
| Career | 1,555 | 1,229 | 521 | 2,263 | 4,219 | 1,345 | 69 | 26 | 22 | 5,637 | 10,163 | 9,686 | 1.049 |

## Fred McGriff

Career P/E: 1.047; Postseason P/E: 1.161
MVP: never won; 1.41 career shares
Hall of Fame: possible
Fred McGriff hit 493 major league home runs and knocked in 1,550 runs over his 19-year career. McGriff was the model of consistency throughout his tenure. He topped 90 RBI 12 times, spanning from 92 to 107, and he eclipsed the century mark eight times. He never hit 40 homers, but he did smack at least 30 ten times. He was on his way to probably his best season in 1994 when the strike occurred. Playing 113 games for Atlanta that year, McGriff posted his highest single-season P/E mark, 1.257. He was hitting . 318 and had 34 homers and 94 RBI when the season ended.

Although he may have been on his way to a tremendous finish in '94, McGriff never had that one breakout season like many other Hall of Fame first sackers. He was in the top 10 of the MVP vote six times, but he never finished better than fourth, which he achieved in 1993, his first year with the Braves. Even more telling, Frederick Stanley McGriff never earned a single first-place vote in MVP balloting over his entire career.

Fred McGriff is not in the Hall of Fame, but I believe he should be. He topped 1,500 RBI and approached 500 homers and 2,500 hits. He was never dominant, but he was extremely consistent, and he was great in the postseason. He is Category 4, closer to 3 than 5, and a borderline call for induction. I think Crime Dog will be there one day.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | 104 | 79 | 35 | 148 | 295 | 96 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 394 | 690 | 632 | 1.092 |
| 1993 | 101 | 111 | 37 | 175 | 306 | 76 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 386 | 736 | 640 | 1.150 |
| 1994 | 94 | 81 | 34 | 141 | 264 | 50 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 319 | 601 | 478 | 1.257 |
| Career | 1,550 | 1,349 | 493 | 2,406 | 4,458 | 1,305 | 39 | 72 | 38 | 5,836 | 10,648 | 10,174 | 1.047 |

## Johnny Mize

Career P/E: 1.185; Postseason P/E: 1.064
MVP: never won; 2.46 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1981
John Robert Mize was known as Big Cat, and he lived up to that nickname by walloping 359 career homers despite missing three full seasons during the 1940s. Had he not missed that time, Mize might have accumulated upwards of 1,600-1,700 runs batted in and close to 500 home runs. The years he missed were during his peak. Mize was perfect in the World Series, going 5-0 with the Yankees during the last five seasons in his career. He was at his best in the ' 52 classic against the rival Dodgers. He batted . 400 and had a P/E mark of 1.722 against Brooklyn over the five games he played.

Mize just made it to 2,000 hits for his career. His career runs scored total is 1,118 , a tally that does not measure up to many other Hall of Famers. Of course, these totals are low because of the years he missed in the war effort. More important, the case can be made against Mize's selection for Category 5 based on his lack of winning an MVP. He had two second-place finishes, but he was never close to winning the award in either year.

In his prime, Big Cat was a tremendous offensive weapon. Mize was a lot like Hank Greenberg, although he was not quite as dominant. Both men missed extensive time in the 1940s and, therefore, have career numbers that don't reflect their greatness.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1940 | 137 | 111 | 43 | 205 | 368 | 82 | 5 | 7 | $4^{\star}$ | 458 | 868 | 666 | 1.303 |
| 1947 | 138 | 137 | 51 | 224 | 360 | 74 | 4 | 2 | $1^{*}$ | 439 | 887 | 664 | 1.336 |
| 1948 | 125 | 110 | 40 | 195 | 316 | 94 | 4 | 4 | $2^{*}$ | 416 | 806 | 658 | 1.225 |
| Career | 1,337 | 1,118 | 359 | 2,096 | 3,621 | 856 | 52 | 28 | $14^{*}$ | 4,543 | 8,735 | 7,371 | 1.185 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Eddie Murray

Career P/E: 1.006; Postseason P/E: . 946
MVP: never won; 3.33 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2003
Steady Eddie was just that over his 21 seasons in the majors. An RBI machine, Murray drove in 1,917 runs for his career. Excluding the strike-shortened season of 1981, Eddie averaged 99 RBI per season from 1977 until 1993, a tremendous level of sustained production. Murray began his career with a bang by winning the Rookie of the Year award with Baltimore in '77. He went on to make eight visits to the All-Star Game and won three Gold Gloves and three Silver Sluggers. He never won the MVP, but he did total 3.33 career shares, a fairly substantial number.

While he was steady, he was never as dominant as some of the others in this section. He never reached 125 runs batted in for a season, and he never hit more than 33 home runs. He never slugged .550 , and he reached a .400 on-base percentage only twice. Murray's highest sin-gle-season P/E score was 1.185 set in 1985 . He had 11 full seasons (at least 100 games played) in which he posted a P/E Average below 1.000.

Obviously, Murray deserves to be in Cooperstown. He was a tremendous run producer for more than a decade and a half. He reached the 500 -home-run plateau, batted .300 or better seven times, and drove in more than 1,900 runs. He is not on the level of Gehrig and Foxx, but he is in my top 10 for this position. Steady Eddie is Category 4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 116 | 100 | 32 | 184 | 322 | 54 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 383 | 751 | 683 | 1.100 |
| 1983 | 111 | 115 | 33 | 193 | 313 | 86 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 406 | 792 | 680 | 1.165 |
| 1985 | 124 | 111 | 31 | 204 | 305 | 84 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 394 | 802 | 677 | 1.185 |
| Career | 1,917 | 1,627 | 504 | 3,040 | 5,397 | 1,333 | 18 | 110 | 43 | 6,815 | 12,895 | 12,817 | 1.006 |

## Rafael Palmeiro

Career P/E: 1.058; Postseason P/E: . 868
MVP: never won; 1.20 career shares
Hall of Fame: possible
Over the course of a long career, Rafael Palmeiro compiled 569 home runs, 1,663 runs scored, and 1,835 runs batted in. Those are Hall of Fame numbers. In 1996 he knocked in 142 and generated 213 net runs of offense for Baltimore. His highest P/E score came in '99 with Texas. Rafael recorded career bests in all three efficiency averages: .324 batting, .420 on-base, and .630 slugging. Palmeiro won three Gold Gloves and made four All-Star appearances.

Like McGwire's, Rafael's career has had a dark shadow cast over it by the steroid controversy. He adamantly waved his finger at Congress and declared, in no uncertain terms, that he never took steroids. During the 2005 season, however, it was revealed that he had failed a drug test, and the public outcry against him was substantial. Palmeiro's dominant seasons occurred during the height of the steroid era. From '98 to '01, he hit 176 homers and drove in more than 500 runs as his career numbers went from very good to tremendous.

Palmeiro's career power numbers point to a Category 5 career, but his $1.058 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ mark tells otherwise. I rate him as Category 4, missing the top 10 list that follows shortly. He's similar to Murray, except that Palmeiro carries the weight and shame of serious steroid allegations.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1996 | 142 | 110 | 39 | 213 | 342 | 95 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 448 | 874 | 732 | 1.194 |
| 1999 | 148 | 96 | 47 | 197 | 356 | 97 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 454 | 848 | 674 | 1.258 |
| 2000 | 120 | 102 | 39 | 183 | 315 | 103 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 422 | 788 | 678 | 1.162 |
| Career | 1,835 | 1,663 | 569 | 2,929 | 5,388 | 1,353 | 87 | 97 | 40 | 6,885 | 12,743 | 12,046 | 1.058 |

## Tony Perez

Career P/E: .977; Postseason P/E: . 788
MVP: never won; .93 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2000
Tony Perez was an integral part of Cincinnati's Big Red Machine of the 1970s, helping the team win back-to-back titles in '75 and '76. Like Murray, Palmeiro, and McGriff, he was a consistent, and at times outstanding, run producer in the middle of his team's lineup. He made seven visits to the All-Star Game and won the MVP honors for the midsummer showdown in 1967. Tony had a resurgence when he went to Boston in 1980. In his first year in the AL, he hit 25 homers and drove in 105 for the Sox. When he finally hung up his spikes for the last time, he had amassed 1,652 runs batted in.

Tony Perez finished with a P/E Average well below 1.000 for his career. He surpassed 1.100 only once, when he posted an outstanding mark of 1.219 in 1970. He was often below 1.000, and his net runs per game average of .92 is better than only one player in this section. Perez was never a threat to win the MVP award, finishing with just . 93 shares. He was in the top 10 four times, but he was never able to win the honor.

Tony Perez deserves to be in the Hall of Fame based on his years of production and the dominance he displayed over back-to-back seasons in '69 and '70. That being said, I would probably rank him either last or next to last out of all the C4 first basemen. His totals were amassed over an extensively long career, and his P/E Averages are low.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1969 | 122 | 103 | 37 | 188 | 331 | 63 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 398 | 774 | 704 | 1.099 |
| 1970 | 129 | 107 | 40 | 196 | 346 | 83 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 438 | 830 | 681 | 1.219 |
| 1975 | 109 | 74 | 20 | 163 | 238 | 54 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 294 | 620 | 574 | 1.080 |
| Career | 1,652 | 1,272 | 379 | 2,545 | 4,532 | 925 | 43 | 49 | 33 | 5,516 | 10,606 | 10,861 | .977 |

## George Sisler

Career P/E: 1.030; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason MVP: American League MVP in 1922; . 92 career shares Hall of Fame: inducted in 1939

George Harold Sisler batted .340 for his career and was one of the great batsmen in baseball history. When he won the 1922 MVP honor, it was largely the result of his .420 batting average and 246 hits. Two years prior, Sisler was as good, if not better. For the 1920 St. Louis Browns, Gorgeous George totaled 257 hits and a .407 average. He also slugged .632 that year, the highest of his career. Amazingly, Sisler went over the .300 plateau 13 times, and five times he hit better than .350 . From 1920 to 1922, George averaged almost 230 net runs per season, posting P/E scores of 1.376, 1.296, and 1.367.

After 1925, Sisler's production fell off quite dramatically. He drove in more than 80 runs only once in his last five seasons and failed to score more than 87 runs over that period. His lack of power prevented him from posting consistently high P/E Averages, aside from '20-'22. His career P/E Average is only slightly better than those of Orlando Cepeda and Cecil Fielder.

It is hard to discount a career that includes multiple seasons hitting above . 400 . He had negligible power, however, and that prevents him from placing in the top five for this position. He does make the top 10 , though.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1920 | 122 | 137 | 19 | 240 | 399 | 46 | 2 | 42 | 17 | 472 | 952 | 692 | 1.376 |
| 1921 | 104 | 125 | 12 | 217 | 326 | 34 | 5 | 35 | 11 | 389 | 823 | 635 | 1.296 |
| 1922 | 105 | 134 | 8 | 231 | 348 | 49 | 3 | 51 | 19 | 432 | 894 | 654 | 1.367 |
| Career | 1,175 | 1,284 | 102 | 2,357 | 3,871 | 472 | 48 | 375 | $197^{*}$ | 4,569 | 9,283 | 9,013 | 1.030 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Bill Terry

Career P/E: 1.107; Postseason P/E: . 833
MVP: never won; 2.72 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1954
William Harold Terry, born in Atlanta, played his entire Hall of Fame career with the New York Giants. He had his best season in 1930, a year in which no MVP was given. Terry batted .401 , scored 139 times, and drove home 129 runs. His total of 245 net runs that year was the highest mark of his career and one of five times he surpassed 200 for a season. For his career, he amassed 2.72 career shares. Terry had six hits in each of his October appearances on the big stage, although his . $833 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ postseason score is modest, especially for a player of his stature.

Other than his stretch of excellence from '27 through '32, Terry's statistics are not overly impressive. He usually had fewer than 70 RBI, and he hit fewer than 10 home runs every year but one during the early and late stages of his career. He retired with fewer than 1,100 RBI and just over 150 home runs. His postseason batting average, .295 , is 46 points below his career mark, a sign that he wasn't at his best when it mattered most.

Memphis Bill is Category 4 and cracks my top 10. He averaged 1.19 net runs per game for his career, a substantial level of production. However, he failed to maintain that level beyond the six-year period in the middle of his career when his statistics were high.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1930 | 129 | 139 | 23 | 245 | 392 | 57 | 1 | 8 | $10^{*}$ | 448 | 938 | 710 | 1.321 |
| 1931 | 112 | 121 | 9 | 224 | 323 | 47 | 2 | 8 | $9^{*}$ | 371 | 819 | 662 | 1.237 |
| 1932 | 117 | 124 | 28 | 213 | 373 | 32 | 1 | 4 | $5^{*}$ | 405 | 831 | 677 | 1.227 |
| Career | 1,078 | 1,120 | 154 | 2,044 | 3,252 | 537 | 9 | 56 | $67^{*}$ | 3,787 | 7,875 | 7,111 | 1.107 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Category 5 First Basemen

## Jeff Bagwell

Height: $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 195; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: April 8, 1991; Final game: 2005
Team(s): Houston Astros (1991-2005)
MVP: National League MVP in 1994; 2.89 career shares
Hall of Fame: probable
162-game avg.: . 297 batting, .408 on-base, .540 slugging, 34 home
runs, 317 total bases, 114 runs scored, 115 runs batted in, 174
hits, 73 extra-base hits, $106 \mathrm{BB}, 117 \mathrm{~K}, 15 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.173; Postseason P/E: . 772

The Good. Jeff Bagwell was the 1991 National League Rookie of the Year and won the MVP, Gold Glove, and Player of the Year honors in his best season, 1994. During that strike-shortened campaign, Bagwell was on his way to one of the great seasons ever. In only 110 games, Bagwell drove in 116 runs, scored 104, and accumulated 300 total bases. His efficiency averages were outstanding: . 368 batting, .451 on-base, and .750 slugging. He finished the season with a P/E Average of 1.549 , one of the highest marks in baseball history.

While '94 was Bagwell's best season, it certainly was no aberration. From 1996 to 2001, the Houston slugger had P/E averages above 1.200 and produced at least 200 net runs for the Astros every season, including 237 in 2000, when he slugged .615 and knocked in 152 runs. His 1.338 mark that season was the second highest of his career.

Bagwell had more than 1,500 runs scored and 1,500 driven in for his career. He hit better than .300 six times and eclipsed a .400 on-base
percentage seven times. In 1997 he finished third in MVP balloting, and he was runner-up to Chipper Jones in '99. For his career, Bagwell owns an impressive total of 2.89 shares, finishing in the top 10 on six different occasions.

The Bad. Most notable has been Bagwell's lack of postseason success. In the '98 and '99 NLDS, he combined to manage only four singles while striking out 10 times over Houston's eight games. The Astros lost both series. Excluding the 2005 playoffs, when Jeff came to bat just 11 times, Houston went 1-5 in postseason series. For his career, the first bagger has hit .226 and slugged .321 in October games.

Statistically, Bagwell's regular-season numbers are outstanding. He does however, have a significant number of strikeouts, 1,558 , for his career. He fanned more than 100 times in 10 seasons and at least 90 times during two others. That fact needs to be given some consideration.

The Verdict. Jeffrey Robert Bagwell, C5, should one day make it into the Hall of Fame. His mark of 1.21 net runs per game indicates how productive he was throughout his career. In 2004 he was able to redeem himself somewhat in the postseason when he hit .318 and slugged . 682 while driving in five runs against the Braves. His Astros ultimately lost to St. Louis in the NLCS in seven games. The only way Jeff will not be enshrined is if voters view him as a beneficiary of the offensive explosion that surfaced after the strike in '94. I rank him in my top five first basemen, and I think Cooperstown should save a space for him in the near future.

## Jimmie Foxx

Height: $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 195; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: May 1, 1925; Final game: September 23, 1945
Team(s): Philadelphia Athletics (1925-1935); Boston Red Sox (1936-1942); Chicago Cubs (1942, 1944); Philadelphia Phillies (1945)

MVP: American League MVP in 1932, 1933, 1938; 4.21 career shares

Hall of Fame: inducted in 1951
162-game avg.: .325 batting, .428 on-base, .609 slugging, 37 home runs, 347 total bases, 122 runs scored, 134 runs batted in, 185
hits, 76 extra-base hits, $102 \mathrm{BB}, 92 \mathrm{~K}, 6 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.315; Postseason P/E: 1.151

The Good. Jimmie Foxx won three MVPs and came close to winning a fourth, finishing second to DiMaggio in '39. In 1933 Jimmie won the Triple Crown, batting .356 with 48 homers and 163 driven in. His RBI total for that season was only the third highest posted during his illustrious career. The year before, 1932, he knocked in 169 , and he set a career best in 1938 when he drove home 175 runs for Boston. Upon retirement, he had surpassed 1,900 RBI and 1,750 runs. He finished with 534 career homers.

Foxx was a rare combination of production and efficiency rolled into one and maintained over a long period of time. From 1929 through 1941, Foxx smacked 503 round-trippers while hitting above .333 nine times. Over that period, Double X averaged 134 RBI and 120 runs scored per season. He also slugged better than .700 three times and routinely surpassed 200 net runs for his teams. He is one
of only three players ever to have a single-season P/E Average above 1.500 more than once, and he is the only right-handed batter ever to accomplish that feat. He reached the 1.200 plateau in 15 of his 20 bigleague seasons, and his career score of 1.315 places him in the top five all-time in terms of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ Average.

In the World Series, Foxx was extremely consistent. In each of his three Series appearances, Double X scored between three and five runs, drove in between three and five, had seven or eight hits, and batted $.350, .333$, and .348 . His teams were triumphant twice in three straight visits from ' 29 to ' 31 .

The Bad. There's not much to complain about. Foxx was never great on the base paths, although he certainly couldn't be considered a liability either. He committed 192 errors in the field but did post a career fielding percentage of .992 , very strong and above his league's average. The first few years at the beginning and end of his career lacked substantial statistical performances, but they were more than compensated for from 1929 to 1941 . One could make the argument that Foxx's Philadelphia teams should have won more pennants or take shots at the fact that he failed to get the Red Sox into October. Other than that, it's hard to find many flaws.

The Verdict. Foxx is a no-doubt Category 5 player. I believe he's one of the three greatest first basemen ever, and I make the case for him being number one later in this chapter. Along with Aaron, Mays, DiMaggio, and Hornsby, he has to be considered one of the greatest hitters ever from the right side of the plate. More important, I feel he is one of the 10 best players in history.

## Lou Gehrig

Height: $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 200; Bats: left; Throws: left
First game: June 15, 1923; Final game: April 30, 1939
Team(s): New York Yankees (1923-1939)
MVP: American League MVP in 1927, 1936; 5.44 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1939
162-game avg.: .340 batting, .447 on-base, .632 slugging, 37 home runs, 379 total bases, 141 runs scored, 149 runs batted in, 204
hits, 89 extra-base hits, $113 \mathrm{BB}, 59 \mathrm{~K}, 8 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.387; Postseason P/E: 1.493

The Good. Where shall we begin? Trying to condense Gehrig's statistics and accomplishments into a few short paragraphs is like shoving an elephant into a phone booth. His numbers are mind-boggling. From 1926 to 1938, Gehrig played every single game for the New York Yankees. Over that stretch, he averaged 250 net runs per season! The level of production that many Hall of Famers achieve only once or twice was matched, and often far exceeded, by Gehrig for 13 consecutive seasons without ever taking a day off. In 1931 the Iron Horse scored 163 times, drove in 184, and knocked 46 balls out of the park. Adding 163 to 184 and then subtracting 46 gives a net run total of 301 , the highest mark ever in baseball history. Over 155 games that computes to an average of 1.94 runs produced by a single player every game.

In the postseason, Gehrig was even better than in the regular season. His $1.493 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ Average in World Series play is remarkable, especially considering the fact that it was accumulated over 34 games. For his career, Gehrig posted extremely efficient averages in the World

Series: . 361 batting, .477 on-base, and .731 slugging. His Yankees won six of the seven Series he played in, losing only the first in 1926.

Gehrig has the second highest P/E Average in history, and his career contribution of 1.57 net runs per game is number one and makes a strong argument that he is the most productive player of all time. He hit better than .350 six times and walked almost twice as much as he struck out. Twice, the Iron Horse had more home runs than strikeouts for an entire season.

The Bad. Lou never batted .400 , and he never hit 50 homers in one season. He stole 102 bases but was caught 101 times. He also made 196 errors, although his fielding percentage, .991 , is better than the league average. In the ' 38 World Series he was human, batting . 286 as the Yankees swept the title in four games. He hit .295 twice in his career, his lowest average aside from the .143 mark he suffered over eight games in 1939 as he was fighting for his life. He died in 1941.

The Verdict. I believe that Lou Gehrig is one of the more underrated players ever. His statistics are out of this world, he repeatedly led his team to victory, and he showed up to work every day for 15 years. Obviously, he is Category 5, and he will be analyzed further when comparing the top three first basemen in history at the end of this section. One can only imagine how much more impressive his career totals would have been had he replaced Wally Pipp sooner or had he remained healthy and been able to play first base in Yankee Stadium for a few more years.

## Hank Greenberg

Height: 6'3"; Weight: 210; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: September 14, 1930; Final game: September 18, 1947
Team(s): Detroit Tigers (1930, 1933-1941, 1945-1946); Pittsburgh Pirates (1947)
MVP: American League MVP in 1935, 1940; 3.69 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1956
162-game avg.: . 313 batting, .412 on-base, .605 slugging, 38 home runs, 365 total bases, 122 runs scored, 148 runs batted in, 189 hits, 90 extra-base hits, $99 \mathrm{BB}, 98 \mathrm{~K}, 7 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.318; Postseason P/E: 1.356

The Good. Hank Greenberg registers the fourth highest P/E Average in history and the highest among right-handed hitters. Although he finished his career in Pittsburgh, Hammerin' Hank will always be remembered as a Tiger. In 1935, he led Detroit to the World Series title, earning himself a unanimous MVP selection along the way. That year, Greenberg hit .328, smacked 98 extra-base hits, and drove in 170 runs. In 1937 he was even more productive, collecting 183 RBI in one of the great seasons of all time, substantiated by a remarkable $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ Average of 1.522 .

In 1940, Hank won his second MVP, this time as an outfielder. Again Detroit won the pennant before falling short in the World Series. Because of World War II, 1940 was the slugger's last full season until 1946. Greenberg missed three entire seasons, and parts of two others, while defending his country. Therefore, his career statistics don't seem as impressive as they should. If he hadn't missed those seasons in the 1940s, Greenberg might have another 400 runs batted in
and 100 home runs on his résumé, and those are probably conservative estimates. From 1934 to 1940, Hammerin' Hank posted season P/E Averages of at least 1.310 each year. He often went well above 200 net runs produced, and his batting averages never came close to falling under . 300 . He hit .318 in World Series play and managed to post higher on-base and slugging percentages in October than during his regular-season career.

The Bad. As I stated before, Hank's career numbers are not spectacular. He finished with 1,276 RBI, 1,051 runs, and 331 home runs. He never had 400 total bases, although he did manage at least 380 four times. When he won the MVP in 1940, Greenberg made 15 errors and posted a poor fielding percentage of .954 for the season. He never stole 10 bases in any year, and he had only one hit in the ' 35 Series.

The Verdict. Hank Greenberg is a great example to illustrate how P/E Averages can be used to accurately gauge a player's offensive contribution and success. If one were to look solely at Greenberg's final statistics, he or she might come to the conclusion that Greenberg was just a solid player. That would be an injustice. When healthy and not off at war, Greenberg was a ferocious run producer. He had three seasons of at least 200 hits and walked more than he struck out for his career. His statistics lag behind some other first basemen simply because he played only nine full seasons. His P/E Averages, however, tell a far different and far more accurate story. Hammerin' Hank is Category 5 and one of the top three at his position.

## Mark McGwire

Height: 6'5"; Weight: 225; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: August 22, 1986; Final game: October 7, 2001
Team(s): Oakland Athletics (1986-1997); St. Louis Cardinals (1997-2001)
MVP: never won; 1.94 career shares
Hall of Fame: probable
162-game avg.: . 263 batting, .394 on-base, .588 slugging, 50 home runs, 315 total bases, 101 runs scored, 122 runs batted in, 141
hits, 73 extra-base hits, $114 \mathrm{BB}, 138 \mathrm{~K}, 1 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.179; Postseason P/E: . 722

The Good. What Roger Maris did in one glorious season Mark McGwire maintained over four. From 1996 to 1999 Big Mac averaged 61 home runs per season. In 1998 he broke the major league singleseason home-run record when he outlasted Sammy Sosa to hit 70. He followed that magical campaign with 65 the next year. In both years, McGwire drove in 147 runs.

Some of McGwire's P/E Averages are simply outstanding. In his best season, ' 98 , he posted a 1.419 mark, which was actually bettered by his 1.439 average in 2000, but that occurred in only 89 games. In three other full seasons, Big Mac surpassed 1.300, often by a wide margin. He produced at least 200 net runs back-to-back with the Cardinals, and he surpassed 150 five other times. Mark McGwire won the 1987 Rookie of the Year award in the American League when he smacked 49 homers, scored 97 runs, and drove home 118. That season, in which he posted a $1.173 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$, comes the closest to matching his career figure of 1.179 .

Big Mac hit 583 homers, made 12 All-Star Games, and won three Silver Sluggers. In 1990 he was awarded the Gold Glove, and he was always considered to be good defensively. He finished second to Sosa for the ' 98 MVP, but he could have just as easily won; his statistics that year were tremendous. He finished in the top five three times and in the top 10 five times.

The Bad. McGwire's career and his accomplishments may forever remain tarnished because of steroid allegations. When he appeared before Congress, the slugger appeared nervous and guilty in my opinion. The court of public opinion may believe that he, along with Bonds, Giambi, and many other players from the past 10 years, in some way took supplements that helped his performance.

McGwire never won an MVP, and he was never dominant in October. He never hit more than one home run in any playoff series, and he managed just one net run and one extra-base hit when his Oakland squad swept the Giants in '89. In 42 postseason games, McGwire slugged just .349 and struck out 33 times. He was always susceptible to whiffing. He fanned more than 100 times in 10 seasons and struck out almost 1,600 times in his career. From 1997 to 1999, the slugger struck out 455 times, an average of more than 150 times per season.

The Verdict. McGwire is Category 5 for one simple reason. He has the best rate of at-bats per home run in history, better than Aaron, Bonds, and even Ruth. He is not, however, in my top three. He appears in the top 10, and I will leave it up to you to decide his fate with regard to steroids.

## Albert Pujols

Height: $6^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 210; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: April 2, 2001; Final game: still active
Team(s): St. Louis Cardinals (2001-present)
MVP: National League MVP in 2005; 3.96 career shares (through
'06 voting)
Hall of Fame: imminent
162-game avg.: . 332 batting, .420 on-base, .620 slugging, 42 home runs, 373 total bases, 126 runs scored, 128 runs batted in, 200
hits, 88 extra-base hits, $88 \mathrm{BB}, 67 \mathrm{~K}, 6 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.271; Postseason P/E: 1.191

The Good. Few players in the history of baseball have gotten off to starts as good as Albert Pujols. Beginning in 2001 the Cardinals first baseman has been tremendously efficient, productive, and consistent, and his 1.271 lifetime $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ is evidence of that. A career .332 batter, Albert has hit between .314 and .359 each season. Although he's never reached 400 total bases, he has finished a year with as many as 394, and his lowest total was 321.

Pujols combines great bat control with power and a very good eye at the plate. In short, he is an absolute nightmare for opposing pitchers. At 1.31 net runs per game, he is more productive than almost every other player mentioned in this book, except for a handful of legends. He has topped 200 net runs every season but one, remaining healthy and in the lineup consistently. Until 2006 Pujols never played fewer than 154 games. From '01 through '05, he had 590-592 at-bats each season.

In the playoffs, Pujols has been nearly as lethal as in the regular season. In 11 postseason series, Albert has hit .300 or better in eight of them. Against the Astros in the 2004 NLCS, the righty slugger torched Houston pitching to the tune of a .500 batting average and 1.000 slugging percentage. He also scored 10 and drove in 9 (P/E: 1.938). Pujols's excellence has been recognized by finishing in the top four of the MVP vote each year from '01 through ' 06 , including three runner-up finishes ('02, '03, and '06) to go along with his first-place honor in 2005.

The Bad. Albert began his career at third and in the outfield before finally finding a home at first base. He struggled at the hot corner and failed to distinguish himself in the outfield. At the plate, it's virtually impossible to find a flaw. He reaches base often, walks more than he strikes out, hits to all fields, and can steal a base if needed.

In the '06 World Series, Pujols hit .200, producing only four net runs against the Tigers. He did connect for one homer but failed to dominate the way he has usually done in the past.

The Verdict. Pujols added to his overall value by winning his first Gold Glove in '06. There is no telling exactly where his career will wind up, but I believe we're looking at one of the truly great players in history. My guess is that he will be considered one of the top dozen players of all time, if not better, in 8-10 years. According to my analysis, he rates as Category 5 and has already vaulted himself into this position's top five. That's pretty good for someone who won't turn 30 years old until January 16, 2010.

## First Base: The Top 10

When it comes to ranking the 10 greatest first basemen in history, there is difficulty in choosing from a very strong group of current and potential Hall of Famers. This position is loaded with players who accumulated tremendous statistics. My rankings rely on statistical measures and P/E Averages more heavily than at any other infield position. After careful analysis, my top 10 goes like this.

## 10. Jim Bottomley

Jim Bottomley averaged more than 200 net runs per season for six straight years. His career average of 1.20 net runs per game edges out Sisler. Most important, Jim earns spot number 10 over Gorgeous George based on a much higher P/E Average, 1.102 to 1.030 . Both men won an MVP, and both were tremendous hitters. Bottomley, however, played great in the ' 26 World Series while Sisler's teams never won a pennant. Bottomley wins this contest fairly easily.

## 9. Bill Terry

Bill Terry and Jim Bottomley share almost the exact same career P/E Averages, 1.107 and 1.102, respectively, so I need to look at other information to substantiate my ranking. Looking to MVP shares, even though Bottomley won the award in '28, he trails Terry in career shares quite substantially, by a count of 2.72 to 1.61 . If the award had been given in 1930, I think it is safe to say that Terry would have finished at least third and, therefore, added even more to his total, probably coming close to doubling Bottomley's for their careers. Finally, I think the lifetime Giant was the better fielder.

## 8. Eddie Murray

The MVP résumés of Eddie Murray and Bill Terry are very similar. Neither man won the award, but they both received considerable recognition over several years. Murray, 3.33 shares, was in the top five six times. Terry, 2.72 shares, was in the top seven six times and hit . 401 for the 1930 season, when no award was given. The steady one edges him out because of sustained run production and power. Murray drove in 839 more runs than Terry, and that is significant enough for me to overlook the fact that Terry's P/E Average is more than 100 points higher. Murray also hit more than 500 career homers while Terry retired with 154 . That's a respectable total, but it trails Murray's by 350 .

## 7. Johnny Mize

In my opinion, Mize was a better player than Murray. He was more productive ( 1.11 net runs per game to Eddie's average of 1.00 ) despite missing three full seasons in the mid-'40s. Johnny also has a 179-point P/E advantage in the regular season and a 118-point lead in postseason play, where his teams went 5-0 in the World Series.

## 6. Mark McGwire

Mark McGwire is the lowest ranked of the Category 5 first basemen, but there is no shame in that. Johnny Mize had a slightly higher career P/E Average ( 1.185 versus 1.179), and he was better in terms of net run production. McGwire earns this spot over Mize, however, because of the long ball. I cannot overlook the fact that Big Red smacked 224 more home runs than the Big Cat. Furthermore, McGwire's rate of one home run per 10.61 at-bats is the best in history, and that is quite significant.

## 5. Jeff Bagwell

Jeff Bagwell comes in ahead of Big Mac, and that may be surprising to some people. For me, I prefer Bagwell's production over McGwire's homers. McGwire reached 200 net runs in a season twice, something his rival accomplished six times, and that's not counting Bagwell's '94 strike-shortened season (181 scoreboard runs), when he was well on his way to 200 again. While they share nearly identical P/E scores and both won Rookie of the Year honors and one Gold Glove, Bagwell has an MVP trophy to his credit. McGwire hit balls over the fence more consistently, but Bagwell was the better player.

## 4. Albert Pujols

Albert has already put up numbers that few others have in the history of the sport. Beginning in '01, Pujols has produced more than 200 net runs for the Cardinals every year but one. Production like that hearkens back to DiMaggio and Williams, two immortal stars. Like Bagwell, Pujols has won an MVP. In fact, he's been in the top four every season through 2006. I don't see any reason why he'll slow down.

## The Top Three

In his 1985 work, The Bill James Historical Baseball Abstract, James described the amazing confluence of talent that occurred in the AL in the 1930s at first base. Referring to Foxx, Gehrig, and Greenberg, the author offered the following: "That is probably the most remarkable concentration of talent at one position in one league that there has ever been.' I couldn't agree more. These three immortals each accumulated unimaginable statistics while repeatedly playing against each other.

## The Case for Foxx

Sustained performance: 1929-1941
Three MVPs (two with Philadelphia, one with Boston)
534 career home runs
150 homers from 1932 to 1934
Two seasons with more than 50 round-trippers

## The Case for Gehrig

Sustained performance: 1926-1938
High career P/E Average, postseason P/E Average, and career net runs per game
5.44 MVP shares

Four seasons above $1.500 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$
$6-1$ in World Series play

## The Case for Greenberg

Two MVPs (one unanimous and one as an outfielder) 1.318 career P/E Average and 1.356 postseason P/E Average
1.43 net runs per game

Two seasons with at least 170 runs batted in
Three full seasons lost to World War II

## 3. Hank Greenberg

Although he was a tremendous player, Greenberg simply cannot match statistics with the other two. If he had not served in World War II, it might be a different story, but I think he would probably still be number 3. At this time, however, I still rank him ahead of Pujols. Two or three years down the road, that could change. For now, Hammerin' Hank gets the third spot on the strength of his multiple MVPs, his slightly better per-game run production, and the fact that he missed so much time to the war effort in the 1940s.

## 2. Jimmie Foxx

I think Hank Greenberg and Jimmie Foxx were extremely similar players. Their P/E scores, 1.318 and 1.315, are almost identical. Both were ferocious RBI machines from the right side of the plate, and both won multiple MVPs. Foxx earns the silver, however, because he simply did it longer. He finished with 646 more RBI, 700 more runs scored, and 203 more home runs. Also, Foxx slugged over . 700 three times, and Greenberg never reached that mark.

## 1. Lou Gehrig

Lou Gehrig is unquestionably the greatest first baseman of all time. What Foxx was able to accomplish, Gehrig surpassed. Except in terms of home runs, the Iron Horse comes out ahead in virtually every offensive category. True, Foxx did win three MVPs to Gehrig's two, but the lifetime Yankee outdistances him in career shares (5.44 to 4.21).

Over their careers, each man came to the plate almost the exact same number of times, 9,670 for Foxx and 9,660 for Gehrig. Therefore, it is very convenient to compare their overall totals. I found an even better way to determine the winner, though, and I call it the Baker's Dozen Argument. Both players had stretches of exactly 13 years in which they compiled the vast majority of their statistics and accomplishments. I compared these stretches to see which player came out on top. I think you'll agree with my contention.

|  | Jimmie Foxx | Lou Gehrig |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13-Year Span | $1929-1941$ | $1926-1938$ |
| Runs Batted In | 1,745 | 1,912 |
| Runs Scored | 1,560 | 1,805 |
| Home Runs | 503 | 472 |
| Net Runs | 2,802 | 3,245 |
| 200-Hit Seasons | 2 | 8 |
| Seasons of .350 Batting | 4 | 6 |
| Seasons of .450 On-Base | 5 | 3 |
| Seasons of .700 Slugging | 3 | Five times |
| 400 Total Bases | Two times | 1.358 |

## Octobers of 2.000

In theory, a perfect $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ score is 12.000 , but that can only be obtained by hitting a grand slam every time at the plate. Obviously, this has never been achieved. There have, however, been instances of extreme production and efficiency throughout the postseason by some of the first basemen cited in this chapter. They each had unbelievable individual success, although their teams didn't always prevail. Once, they even played against each other.

In the 1970 World Series, Cincinnati first baseman Lee May put on quite a show of offensive talent against Baltimore. Over five games, May hit .389 and slugged .833 while reaching safely 45 percent of the time. He had two homers and eight RBI en route to 12 net runs in 20 plate appearances. In spite of May's heroics, the Reds lost. May's 1970 World Series P/E Average: 2.050

The ' 89 NLCS featured a matchup of two of the game's top first basemen, Mark Grace and Will Clark. Grace paced the Cubs with 11 hits, 5 of which went for extra bases. He walked four times and only struck out once as he posted tremendous efficiency averages: . 647 batting, 682 on-base, and 1.118 slugging. He knocked in eight runs in five games. Unfortunately for the Windy City, Grace's counterpart was even better. Clark batted .650 and slugged 1.200 in leading the Giants to the World Series. He had 13 hits, 6 of which went for extra bases, in addition to 8 runs scored and 8 driven in. For his efforts, Clark walked away with the NLCS MVP.
Grace's 1989 NLCS P/E Average: 2.000
Clark's 1989 NLCS P/E Average: 2.455
The award for the best postseason series by a first baseman goes, not surprisingly, to Lou Gehrig, who demolished St. Louis pitching in
the '28 World Series. In a four-game sweep of the Cardinals, Gehrig gained 25 complete bases and contributed 10 net runs to the Yankees' scoreboard. His efficiency averages were off the charts: . 545 batting, .706 on-base, and 1.727 slugging. He hit four homers, knocked in nine, and walked six times without striking out. Needless to say, it was his greatest postseason performance.
Gehrig's 1928 World Series P/E Average: 2.647
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## 4

## Second Base

The fourth position on the diamond is second base. Players at this spot have traditionally been valued for their gloves and their ability to turn two. Whether taking the throw from shortstop or third, second basemen are involved in almost every double play. They have to be able to stand their ground and make strong relays to first as base runners are bearing down on them with their cleats up. Bill Mazeroski, Frank White, Roberto Alomar, and Ryne Sandberg were all virtuosos with the leather, winning 35 combined Gold Gloves over their illustrious careers.

Second basemen have also been dependable, and sometimes deadly, at the plate. Rogers Hornsby, Jeff Kent, and Alfonso Soriano all have broken the mold of a typical second baseman by hitting for power and knocking balls over the fence while being tremendous run producers. Not surprisingly, multiple MVPs have been granted to second basemen throughout history. These occurrences are listed in detail at the end of this chapter in the section called "Second to None."

I found second base to be one of the most difficult positions to rank. P/E Averages and other individual measures of offensive performance have been analyzed carefully and stringently. In addition, I
have included a new statistic, assist-to-error ratio, which I have employed when ranking players at second, third, and shortstop. Just as point guards in basketball are evaluated in terms of assists to turnovers, players at these three infield positions can be fairly judged according to their ability to make defensive plays.

Defensive considerations, always important at second base, must be balanced with offensive statistics. Ranking the top 10, and especially the top three, was difficult for me, but I think I got it right, although there will certainly be those who disagree.

## Second Base: Career P/E Averages

Rogers Hornsby, 1.214; Charlie Gehringer, 1.135; Tony Lazzeri, 1.081; Jeff Kent, 1.074; Nap Lajoie, 1.072; Alfonso Soriano, 1.037; Bobby Doerr, 1.036; Joe Gordon, 1.032; Eddie Collins, 1.025; Frankie Frisch, 1.019; Joe Morgan, 1.008; Roberto Alomar, .993; Ryne Sandberg, .971; Chuck Knoblauch, .963; Craig Biggio, .952; Lou Whitaker, .942; Jose Vidro, .936; Carlos Baerga, .923; Juan Samuel, .923; Davey Lopes, .918; Larry Doyle, .914; Billy Herman, .908; Bobby Grich, .907; Bobby Avila, .864; Willie Randolph, .848; Davey Johnson, .845; Red Schoendienst, .840; Tommy Herr, .832; Frank White, .806; Steve Sax .805; Nellie Fox, .799; Johnny Evers, .792; Harold Reynolds, .777; Bill Mazeroski, .750; Bobby Richardson, .708; Bobby Knoop, .688; Sparky Anderson, . 590

## Category 1-3 Second Basemen

## Sparky Anderson

Career P/E: .590; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable season (P/E): 1959 (.590)
George Lee Anderson was inducted into baseball's Hall of Fame in 2000 for his outstanding managerial career with the Reds and the Tigers. His playing career, however, lasted only one season and was less than spectacular. Sparky was the second baseman on the '59 Phillies, a team that lost 90 games and finished in eighth place in the National League. Anderson made the most of his only season, though, playing in 152 games.

While Anderson was solid in the field, he was quite inept at the plate. He failed to hit a home run in his only season in the majors, and he accounted for exactly .50 net runs per game for Philadelphia. His . 590 P/E Average is the lowest by far among the second basemen listed in this chapter. The righty second bagger had extremely poor efficiency averages as well: . 218 batting, 282 on-base, and .249 slugging.

Anderson, originally drafted by Brooklyn, had an extremely short and forgettable playing career. As a manager, conversely, he was extraordinary. He won three World Series and five pennants and led four 100 -win seasons over his tenure. As a skipper, I'd rate him Category 5 , but as a second baseman, it's Category 1.

## Carlos Baerga

Career P/E: .923; Postseason P/E: . 814
Notable seasons (P/E): 1992 (.990); 1993 (1.103); 1994 (1.147);
1995 (1.038)

Carlos Baerga was a very good offensive threat for Cleveland for several years. In '92 and again in '93, Baerga posted back-to-back 200hit seasons. He scored 197 runs and drove in 219 over the two years while averaging more than 300 total bases per season. He finished eleventh in MVP balloting in ' 92 and tenth in ' 93.

Baerga had only four seasons with Cleveland that stand out as anything special. Defensively, Baerga was not good. He had five straight seasons in which he committed at least 15 errors at second base, and his career fielding percentage, .976 , trails the league average, .981 , by five points over the same period. After performing great in the ' 95 ALCS, he was an easy out (. 192 batting average) for Atlanta in the Fall Classic.

Carlos Baerga, for a short time, was one of the better second basemen in the game. He teamed with Lofton, Belle, Ramirez, and Thome to form a potent lineup in 1995, the year Cleveland won the Central by 30 games. He failed to match that success after that memorable season, though. He is Category 2 with no chance for induction.

## Joe Gordon

Career P/E: 1.032; Postseason P/E: . 922
Notable seasons (P/E): 1939 (1.103); 1940 (1.108); 1942 (1.114);
1948 (1.166)
Joe Gordon played on some of the great Yankees teams of the late '30s through the mid-'40s and won the American League MVP in 1942. He was in the top 10 five times and ended up with 1.57 career shares and nine All-Star nominations. In ' 42 Gordon hit . 322 and reached base more than 40 percent of the time.

Gordon appeared in six World Series, but he was disappointing in four of them, batting. 235 or less and failing to generate much offense.

In 1942 he managed just a single and a double in 21 trips to the plate while striking out seven times against St. Louis.

Joe Gordon is the best player in this section. I went back and forth on his rating many times before deciding on C 3 . He had some tremendous offensive seasons and was often on MVP ballots, but his career statistics leave him just shy of HOF status in my eyes. Had he not missed two seasons in the mid-'40s, I might view him differently.

## Davey Lopes

Career P/E: .918; Postseason P/E: . 966
Notable seasons (P/E): 1974 (.904); 1975 (.912); 1977 (.964); 1978
(.943); 1979 (1.039)

Davey Lopes stole more than 40 bags seven times, and he led the National League in ' 75 and again in ' 76 . He was at his best when hitting at the top of the lineup, getting on base, and making things happen with his legs. He scored more than 100 runs twice, and he had at least 85 runs scored five times. In the ' 81 playoffs, Davey had 14 hits and 10 stolen bases without being caught as the Dodgers won all three of their postseason series.

Lopes was better offensively than defensively. His career fielding percentage, .977 , is lower than the league average. When he won the Gold Glove in 1978, he did so after committing 20 errors and, again, having a lower than average fielding mark. Although he was a fourtime All-Star, Lopes never received any serious MVP consideration. He retired with .04 lifetime shares, receiving votes only once (1978).

The Dodgers' second baseman comes in as Category 3. At his best, he was a multidimensional offensive weapon; he was a tremendous base runner. Defensively, he was average, and that prevents him from rating higher.

## Steve Sax

Career P/E: .805; Postseason P/E: . 726
Notable seasons (P/E): 1982 (.818); 1986 (.918); 1989 (.869)
Like so many other Dodgers players, Steve Sax won the Rookie of the Year, beating out fellow second basemen Johnny Ray and Ryne Sandberg for the award in 1982. He was a five-time All-Star, and he hit . 332 in 1986, finishing second to Tim Raines for the batting title. From ' 82 to ' 92 Steve averaged 39 steals per season. He swiped five bags in the ' 88 NLCS, scoring seven times in leading L.A. to victory over the Mets.

Steve Sax suffered through throwing problems in Los Angeles. He made 73 combined errors from 1983 to 1985, but his defense rebounded somewhat in the latter half of his career. He had very little power, finishing with 54 homers and a slugging percentage of 358 . His P/E score, .805 , trails the likes of Bobby Grich, Billy Herman, and Davey Lopes by more than 100 points each.

Stephen Louis Sax is Category 2, but I think he is at least close to C3. He hit better than .300 three times but retired with a .281 average. He just missed reaching 2,000 hits, 1,000 runs scored, and 500 steals, numbers that might warrant pushing him up a notch.

## Lou Whitaker

Career P/E: .942; Postseason P/E: . 869
Notable seasons (P/E): 1978 (.859); 1983 (.939); 1985 (.956); 1986 (.951); 1987 (.942)

In 1978 Sweet Lou beat out Paul Molitor and teammate Alan Trammel to win the AL Rookie of the Year after hitting .285. He won three consecutive Gold Gloves in the mid-'80s and was always known for his work with the leather. His assist-to-error ratio of $35.2: 1$ is outstanding and ranks as one of the best marks in this chapter.

Whitaker hit better than .300 in only one full season, 1983. He had some years when his bat was quiet. In 1980, for example, he hit just .233, and in 1990 he batted .237. He never slugged .500 in a full season, and the 85 runs he drove home in ' 89 were a career high. In the ' 87 ALCS, Whitaker hit just .176 . Detroit lost that series to the Twins.

Whitaker is Category 3. His career looks a lot like that of Red Schoendienst. Lou was a fixture at second base in Detroit from 1977 to 1995 . He was consistent and steady, making five straight All-Star teams in the mid-'80s. He is a doubtful choice for enshrinement, but lesser players at this position have already been inducted.

## The Best Second Basemen

162-Game Averages

| Player | BA | OBP | SLP | HR | TB | R | RBI | H | XBH | BB | K | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Alomar | .300 | .371 | .443 | 14 | 274 | 103 | 77 | 185 | 53 | 70 | 78 | 32 |
| Biggio | .281 | .363 | .433 | 17 | 268 | 105 | 67 | 174 | 58 | 66 | 100 | 24 |
| Collins | .333 | .424 | .429 | 3 | 245 | 104 | 75 | 190 | 39 | 86 | $20^{*}$ | 43 |
| Doerr | .288 | .362 | .461 | 19 | 284 | 95 | 108 | 177 | 60 | 70 | 53 | 5 |
| Frisch | .316 | .369 | .432 | 7 | 276 | 107 | 87 | 202 | 50 | 51 | 19 | 29 |
| Gehringer | .320 | .404 | .480 | 13 | 297 | 124 | 100 | 198 | 63 | 83 | 26 | 13 |
| Hornsby | .358 | .434 | .577 | 22 | 338 | 113 | 114 | 210 | 73 | 74 | 49 | 10 |
| Kent | .290 | .357 | .504 | 27 | 302 | 95 | 109 | 174 | 70 | 58 | 109 | 7 |
| Lajoie | .338 | .380 | .467 | 5 | 292 | 98 | 104 | 212 | 59 | 34 | $32^{*}$ | 25 |
| Lazzeri | .292 | .380 | .467 | 17 | 274 | 92 | 111 | 171 | 59 | 81 | 80 | 14 |
| Morgan | .271 | .392 | .427 | 16 | 242 | 101 | 69 | 154 | 49 | 114 | 62 | 42 |
| Sandberg | .285 | .344 | .452 | 21 | 284 | 99 | 79 | 179 | 57 | 57 | 94 | 26 |
| * Estimated total for strikeouts based on statistics from known seasons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Category 4 Second Basemen

## Craig Biggio

Career P/E: .952; Postseason P/E: . 724
MVP: never won; 1.02 career shares (through '06 voting)
Hall of Fame: imminent
Craig Allan Biggio is the rarest of modern-day ballplayers. He has spent his entire career with one team, the Houston Astros. Houston used the twenty-second pick of the 1987 amateur draft to select Biggio out of Seton Hall University, where he had starred with Mo Vaughn. When he broke into the big leagues in ' 88 , it was behind the plate, and he even won a Silver Slugger as a catcher. He moved to second base, however, and the rest is Astros history. Biggio has always been a hardnosed player who hustles. He is at his best at the top of the lineup, getting on and getting in. From 1995 to 1999, he averaged more than 125 runs scored per season.

Craig has been most disappointing in the playoffs, although he has fared much better in the postseason in the latter stages of his career. From 1997 to 2001, the Astros made it into October four times and failed to advance beyond the first round each time. Over 14 games, Biggio scored four runs and managed just one RBI. His batting averages were $.083, .182, .105$, and .167.

Biggio is Category 4. I believe he should be in Cooperstown when all is said and done. He has already reached 3,000 hits, which should ensure his nomination.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1995 | 77 | 123 | 22 | 178 | 267 | 80 | 22 | 33 | 8 | 394 | 750 | 673 | 1.114 |
| 1997 | 81 | 146 | 22 | 205 | 310 | 84 | 34 | 47 | 10 | 465 | 875 | 744 | 1.176 |
| 1998 | 88 | 123 | 20 | 191 | 325 | 64 | 23 | 50 | 8 | 454 | 836 | 738 | 1.133 |
| Career | 1,175 | 1,884 | 291 | 2,728 | 4,711 | 1,160 | 285 | 414 | 124 | 6,446 | 11,902 | 12,503 | .952 |

## Bobby Doerr

Career P/E: 1.036; Postseason P/E: . 875
MVP: never won; .93 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1986
Robert Pershing Doerr debuted on April 20, 1937, with the Boston Red Sox, the only team he ever played for over the course of 14 bigleague seasons. For his career, he averaged 1.14 net runs per game, a mark that tops those of Alomar, Collins, and Sandberg. Year after year, Doerr posted P/E scores above 1.000, setting his career-best mark of 1.175 in 1944. That year, Bobby also set career bests in each of the three main efficiency averages: . 325 batting, .399 on-base, and .528 slugging. In the field, he was great. His ratio of 26.7 assists for every error is very good. Doerr's solid combination of offensive production and defensive dependability made him a deserving Hall of Famer.

As with Ted Williams and his other Boston teammates, probably the most convincing argument against Doerr rests with his inability to secure a World Series title. The Red Sox sported talented teams in the ' 40 s and '50s. In ' 46 they won 104 games but lost the Series in seven games to the Cardinals. Doerr was good, hitting .409 with nine Series hits, but he wasn't able to deliver a championship to the faithful fans of Fenway.

I think Doerr was an excellent second baseman. He topped 100 RBI in a season six times and retired with more than 2,000 hits. He is Category 4, which means that I agree with his Hall of Fame status. Teddy Ballgame loved him as a teammate.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | P/E

## Frankie Frisch

Career P/E: 1.019; Postseason P/E: . 671
MVP: National League MVP in 1931; 2.58 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1947
Born in the Bronx in 1898, Frankie Frisch (also known as the Fordham Flash) played 19 seasons in the NL for the Giants and the Cardinals. In 1931, he beat out Chuck Klein and Bill Terry to win the MVP. He also finished second in '27, third in ' 24 , and in the top 10 four times to earn 2.58 career shares, an impressive number. Frisch walked 728 times while striking out only 272, and he never fanned 30 times in a single season. He topped 200 hits on three occasions and often was above .380 in terms of on-base percentage. His best season may have been 1930, when no MVP was awarded. He hit .346 and posted his career-best efficiency averages in on-base (.407) and slugging (.520).

The Fordham Flash appeared in eight World Series, winning four and losing four. He hit .363 over the first four but only .221 over the last four. His P/E postseason mark, .671 , is low because Frisch generated just 26 net runs over 50 contests, an average of .52 per game. He never hit more than 12 homers in a season, winding up with 105 in more than 10,000 plate appearances.

This career National Leaguer is rated as C4. If he had more power, I would move him up to the top grouping. He falls just shy. He does make the top 10 , however.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1921 | 100 | 121 | 8 | 213 | 300 | 42 | 1 | 49 | 13 | 379 | 805 | 687 | 1.172 |
| 1923 | 111 | 116 | 12 | 215 | 311 | 46 | 4 | 29 | 12 | 378 | 808 | 702 | 1.151 |
| 1930 | 114 | 121 | 10 | 225 | 281 | 55 | 0 | 15 | $6^{*}$ | 345 | 795 | 611 | 1.301 |
| Career | 1,244 | 1,532 | 105 | 2,671 | 3,937 | 728 | 31 | 419 | $167^{*}$ | 4,948 | 10,290 | 10,100 | 1.019 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Jeff Kent

Career P/E: 1.074; Postseason P/E: 1.006
MVP: National League MVP in 2000; 1.58 career shares (through '06 voting
Hall of Fame: possible
When the San Francisco Giants acquired Jeff Kent after the '96 season, it proved one of the smartest baseball moves of the decade. Kent was just coming into his own as a hitter, and the Giants struck at just the right time. Kent paid immediate dividends, knocking in 121 runs and posting a P/E Average of 1.086 . During his six seasons in San Francisco, he posted P/E scores between 1.036 and 1.243 every year. In 2000 he beat out teammate Barry Bonds for the National League MVP, due in large part to 206 net runs produced and a batting average of .334 . He has eight seasons of triple-digit RBI totals, and he has won four Silver Sluggers. Kent has been good in the playoffs also, hitting . 615 against the Mets in defeat in the first round in '06.

Kent may need a few more seasons of good production to gain entrance into the Hall. He has never been known for his work in the field, so it will be his offensive statistics that ultimately decide his fate. He should be able to reach 400 career home runs, but 500 is improbable.

I believe Jeff Kent is a Hall of Famer. He has solidified himself as a dangerous hitter by consistently posting high RBI numbers. I have also ranked him in my top 10 .

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1997 | 121 | 90 | 29 | 182 | 274 | 48 | 13 | 11 | 3 | 343 | 707 | 651 | 1.086 |
| 1998 | 128 | 94 | 31 | 191 | 292 | 48 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 354 | 736 | 594 | 1.239 |
| 2000 | 125 | 114 | 33 | 206 | 350 | 90 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 452 | 864 | 695 | 1.243 |
| Career | 1,459 | 1,278 | 365 | 2,372 | 4,062 | 776 | 118 | 94 | 59 | 4,991 | 9,735 | 9,063 | 1.074 |

## Nap Lajoie

Career P/E: 1.072; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason MVP: never won; .19 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1937
Napoleon Lajoie began his major league career before the turn of the nineteenth century and played for 21 seasons in both leagues. When he joined the upstart American League in its inaugural year of 1901, Lajoie put on a show for everyone to enjoy. Against weaker competition, Lajoie hit . 426 , smacked 14 homers, scored 145 times, and had 125 RBI while posting the highest P/E mark of his career, 1.550. He led the junior circuit in batting that year as well as in 1902, 1903, 1904, and 1910. He amassed 3,242 hits and surpassed 1,500 runs both scored and driven home. His average of 1.22 net runs per game is outstanding and points to a career that was extremely productive.

Lajoie failed to get his teams into the postseason every year. He never played on the big stage of the World Series. His teams were consistently in the middle to the back of the pack in league standings.

It is hard to get an accurate handle on Lajoie's career. His best season, 1901, came against significantly weaker competition in the upstart American League, but his numbers are outstanding nonetheless. He made a ton of errors, but he fielded much higher than his peers. He also played the majority of his career in the days before MVP voting.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1898 | 127 | 113 | 6 | 234 | 280 | 21 | 7 | 25 | $22^{*}$ | 311 | 779 | 641 | 1.215 |
| 1901 | 125 | 145 | 14 | 256 | 350 | 24 | 13 | 27 | $24^{*}$ | 390 | 902 | 582 | 1.550 |
| 1904 | 102 | 92 | 6 | 188 | 305 | 27 | 8 | 29 | $25^{\star}$ | 344 | 720 | 594 | 1.212 |
| Career | 1,599 | 1,504 | 83 | 3,020 | 4,474 | 516 | 134 | 380 | $333^{*}$ | 5,171 | 11,211 | 10,460 | 1.072 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Tony Lazzeri

Career P/E: 1.081; Postseason P/E: . 968
MVP: never won; .99 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1991
Tony Lazzeri joined Babe Ruth, Lou Gehrig, and Bob Meusel to form the infamous Murderer's Row on the New York Yankees in the 1920s. When he debuted in 1926, Tony was an instant success. He drove in 114 runs in his rookie season and posted a P/E score of 1.033. That season marked the first of seven when Lazzeri would reach the century mark for runs batted in. In 1927 the Yankees fielded a team that may have been the greatest in baseball history. Gehrig won the MVP, the Yankees won 110 games, and they swept the Pirates in the Series. Lazzeri hit . 309 that year and again topped 100 RBI.

Poosh 'Em Up Tony, as he was often called, had a career that started fast but ended unspectacularly. He had 10 seasons that ranged from good to excellent to go along with a handful that were forgettable. Six times he hit .275 or less, including his last season in the Bronx when he hit .244 . His .292 career batting average is very good but would have looked even better had it been a few points higher.

Tony is Category 4. I support his status as a Hall of Famer. He was a great run producer, and he overcame mediocre defensive statistics by piling up just enough numbers at the plate. Nevertheless, Lazzeri fails to gain entrance into my top 10 .

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1929 | 106 | 101 | 18 | 189 | 306 | 68 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 377 | 755 | 635 | 1.189 |
| 1930 | 121 | 109 | 9 | 221 | 264 | 60 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 327 | 769 | 650 | 1.183 |
| 1933 | 104 | 94 | 18 | 180 | 254 | 73 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 337 | 697 | 602 | 1.158 |
| Career | 1,191 | 986 | 178 | 1,999 | 2,938 | 869 | 21 | 148 | $79^{*}$ | 3,897 | 7,895 | 7,303 | 1.081 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Category 5 Second Basemen

## Roberto Alomar

Height: $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 185; Bats: both; Throws: right
First game: April 22, 1988; Final game: 2004
Team(s): San Diego Padres (1988-1990); Toronto Blue Jays
(1991-1995); Baltimore Orioles (1996-1998); Cleveland Indians (1999-2001); New York Mets (2002-2003); Chicago White Sox (2003-2004); Arizona Diamondbacks (2004)

MVP: never won; 1.91 career shares
Hall of Fame: probable
162-game avg.: . 300 batting, .371 on-base, .443 slugging, 14 home runs, 274 total bases, 103 runs scored, 77 runs batted in, 185 hits, 53 extra-base hits, $70 \mathrm{BB}, 78 \mathrm{~K}, 32 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: .993; Postseason P/E: 1.027

The Good. The player with the most Gold Gloves at the second base position is Roberto Alomar. He won a total of 10, all in the American League, from 1991 through 2001, failing to secure the award only in 1997 during that stretch. He was always a smooth-fielding, effortless player who seemed to do everything well on the diamond. He averaged 36.0 assists for every error and retired with a career fielding percentage of . 984 .

Alomar was certainly no slouch at the plate either. He hit .300 or better nine times and slugged at least .500 four times. His best season may have been 1999 with Cleveland. The switch-hitter batted .323 and produced 234 net runs for the Indians. He also recorded his best onbase percentage that year, .422 , while scoring 138 runs and driving
home 120. He finished third in the MVP vote that year, which was the best of his career.

Perhaps most impressive about Alomar was his work in the postseason. A career .313 hitter in the playoffs, Roberto was named ALCS MVP in 1992 after stroking 11 hits and stealing five bags as Toronto beat Oakland and advanced to the World Series, which they also won. When they repeated as champions in '93, Alomar was a big reason why. He hit .480 with 12 hits and six RBI, all postseason bests, against Philadelphia.

The Bad. Many people will always remember Alomar for spitting on umpire John Hirschbeck while wearing a Baltimore uniform in '96. That single incident did a lot to mar an otherwise stellar career. Alomar defended himself by reporting that he had been called a racial slur.

Alomar's last three seasons were forgettable to say the least. After leaving the Indians, Roberto played for the Mets, White Sox, and Diamondbacks, but he didn't play very well. He hit no better than .266 by the end of each season and seemed to be a shell of the once great player he was in Toronto, Baltimore, and Cleveland.

The Verdict. Roberto Alomar is Category 5 despite never winning an MVP. He's also a top-10 selection at second base according to my analysis. He is not eligible for Cooperstown yet, but he should become a Hall of Famer once his turn comes. He was outstanding defensively, multitalented on offense, and often at his best in big games. Aside from his incident with Hirschbeck, Alomar had a remarkable career, one of the best in baseball history at the position.

## Eddie Collins

Height: 5'9"; Weight: 175; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: September 17, 1906; Final game: August 2, 1930
Team(s): Philadelphia Athletics (1906-1914, 1927-1930); Chicago White Sox (1915-1926)

MVP: American League MVP in 1914; 3.86 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1939
162-game avg.: . 333 batting, 424 on-base, . 429 slugging, 3 home runs, 245 total bases, 104 runs scored, 75 runs batted in, 190 hits, 39 extra-base hits, $86 \mathrm{BB}, 22 \mathrm{~K}, 43 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: 1.025; Postseason P/E: . 938

The Good. Inducted into Cooperstown in 1939, Eddie Collins was one of the great second basemen of all time. He batted .333 for his career, going over . 300 in 16 full seasons. He finished with 3,315 hits and an on-base percentage of .424 . Collins played 25 seasons in the American League for the Athletics and the White Sox. In 1914 he was named AL MVP after batting . 344 and producing 205 net runs. Two years earlier, Eddie also surpassed 200 net runs for the season.

The year after his 1919 White Sox became infamously known as the Black Sox in reference to several players throwing the World Series, Collins had arguably his best season. He hit .372 and racked up 224 hits, both career bests. His P/E Average that year, 1.076, marked one of 11 seasons when he exceeded 1.000 .

Collins was frequently in the World Series, and he usually played well. He batted over .400 in three different Series and hit .328 for his postseason career. When his teams won the title in 1910, 1911, 1913, and 1917, Collins combined to record 32 hits, 18 runs scored, and 12 steals.

Edward Trowbridge Collins Sr. earned 3.86 career MVP shares, the highest total ever for a second baseman. He won only once, but he finished second twice-he was runner-up to Ruth in '23 and to Walter Johnson in '24. In all, he finished in the top three a remarkable five times.

The Bad. The only significant knock against Collins offensively was his lack of power. He retired with only 47 home runs and a slugging percentage, .429 , only five points higher than his on-base average. Except for Joe Morgan, that mark is also lower than every other second baseman in this section. He never slugged .500 in a season and never hit more than six homers. Therefore, he never reached a 1.200 P/E in any full season, topping out at 1.186 in his MVP campaign of 1914.

Collins's defensive statistics are a little ambiguous. His fielding percentage, .970 , was much higher than the league average, .958 , over the course of his career. However, he did have 15 seasons in which he committed at least 20 errors. In 1912 the second baseman goofed 38 times. His assist-to-error ratio of 17.5:1 trails many of the other players in this section, but I do think he was still pretty solid defensively.

The Verdict. Eddie Collins had a top-five career and is an easy mark for C 5 . He produced more than 3,000 career net runs and averaged 1.09 per game. Collins, who later worked as general manager of the Red Sox and was instrumental in signing a young prospect named Ted Williams, was a tremendous star but not quite as good as my top three.

## Charlie Gehringer

Height: 5'11"; Weight: 180; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: September 22, 1924; Final game: September 27, 1942
Team(s): Detroit Tigers (1924-1942)
MVP: American League MVP in 1937; 3.55 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1949
162-game avg.: . 320 batting, 404 on-base, .480 slugging, 13 home runs, 297 total bases, 124 runs scored, 100 runs batted in, 198
hits, 63 extra-base hits, $83 \mathrm{BB}, 26 \mathrm{~K}, 13 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.135; Postseason P/E: . 867

The Good. Charles Leonard Gehringer was a Michigan man through and through. Born in Fowlerville, he died in Bloomfield Hills and attended the University of Michigan along the way. He played 19 seasons with the Detroit Tigers and became a Hall of Famer in 1949. Gehringer was a tremendous talent, anchoring the Tigers at second base. He hit over . 30013 times and at least .330 half a dozen seasons. He was a great example of sustained excellence. Gehringer routinely scored 100 runs, drove in 100 runs, and exceeded 200 hits. His career mark of 1.30 net runs per game is the best ever for a second baseman.

In '37 Gehringer's accomplishments were recognized by being awarded the American League MVP. He hit .371, reached safely more than 45 percent, and scored 133 runs. His P/E of 1.244 that season was one of seven times he bettered 1.200. His career mark of 1.135 trails only the great Rogers Hornsby at this spot on the diamond.

Gehringer produced at least 200 net runs eight times. In 1934 he was responsible for 250 runs for the Tigers scoreboard, a remarkable total for a middle infielder. Two years later, he was nearly as produc-
tive. He scored 144 runs and drove home 116 with only 15 homers in 1936, a year that saw Charlie smack 60 doubles and slug . 555 for the season.

The lifelong member of the Tigers had a tremendous eye at the plate as well. From 1929 to 1930, he struck out 36 times in 309 games. Later in his career he was even better, striking out only 29 times in 304 games over the ' 35 and ' 36 campaigns. He retired with an outstanding ratio of 1,186 bases on balls to 372 strikeouts.

The Bad. Gehringer was not good in the ' 40 Series in which the Tigers lost to the Reds in seven games. Charlie managed six singles and only one RBI in defeat. He hit .321 in postseason play, but that was often accompanied by a lack of power. He slugged only . 407 in World Series games. Gehringer was solid defensively, but he did commit 85 errors over three seasons from 1927 to 1929. He had more than 20 errors six times but finished with a . 976 fielding mark. Other than that, it's hard to find fault with the man's career.

The Verdict. Gehringer is one of the most underrated players ever. He might be the least known superstar the game has produced. Year after year, Gehringer manned his second-base position, provided leadership in his clubhouse, and put up tremendous statistics. He is Category 5, and I believe he is one of the three greatest second basemen to ever lace up his spikes.

## Rogers Hornsby

Height: 5'11"; Weight: 175; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: September 10, 1915; Final game: July 20, 1937
Team(s): St. Louis Cardinals (1915-1926, 1933); New York Giants (1927); Boston Braves (1928); Chicago Cubs (1929-1932);

St. Louis Browns (1933-1937)
MVP: National League MVP in 1925, 1929; 3.33 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1942
162-game avg.: . 358 batting, .434 on-base, .577 slugging, 22 home runs, 338 total bases, 113 runs scored, 114 runs batted in, 210 hits, 73 extra-base hits, $74 \mathrm{BB}, 49 \mathrm{~K}, 10 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: 1.214; Postseason P/E: . 792

The Good. Rogers Hornsby may indeed be the greatest right-handed hitter of all time. His .358 career batting average is second only to Ty Cobb. Hornsby hit above . 400 three times, including an astonishing average of .424 in 1924. From '21 through '25, Rogers batted .402 , a mark so high that it is hard to comprehend. Twice he was named NL Most Valuable Player, and twice he won the league's Triple Crown honor. He owns the highest P/E Average of any second baseman, 1.214, a mark that is better than those of Cobb, Mantle, Mays, Musial, and Aaron.

From 1920 to 1929, Hornsby put together one of the great runs in the history of the sport. He batted .382 for the decade and managed at least 125 runs scored and batted in for half of those seasons. His combined P/E Average for that span is 1.320 , a phenomenal score. Hornsby exceeded 1.400 three times in the '20s, scoring as high as
1.557 in 1925 , a year in which he batted .403 , slugged .756 , and produced 237 net runs in just over 600 plate appearances.

Nineteen twenty-two was another season in which the second baseman reached a .400 batting average and a .700 slugging percentage. He totaled 250 hits, 102 of which went for extra bases. That season, he knocked in 152 and scored 141. When he hit . 424 two years later, he did so while fanning only 32 times. For his career, Rajah walked more than he struck out and hit 301 homers.

The Bad. Offensively, Hornsby has to be considered the greatest second baseman ever. Many of his statistics simply dwarf other outstanding players at this position. Still, he wasn't a perfect player. My calculations indicate that he was average in the field. He fielded .965, a mark that just betters the .964 league average of his day. He had fewer than 17 assists for every error. When he was dominant at the plate in ' 25 , he also coughed up 34 balls in the field and ended the season with a relatively low fielding percentage of .954 . Hornsby was also quite average in the World Series. He appeared twice, hit .245, slugged .327, and struck out 10 times.

The Verdict. Hornsby is unquestionably one of the three greatest ever at this position. The 450 total bases he accumulated in 1922 are the second most ever behind Ruth's 457 in '21. Naturally, I rate Rogers as Category 5 . He was a fierce competitor who also managed more than 1,500 big-league contests. The only question that remains, therefore, is whether Hornsby is the greatest second baseman of all time.

## Joe Morgan

Height: 5'7"; Weight: 160; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: September 21, 1963; Final game: September 30, 1984
Team(s): Houston Colt .45s/Astros (1963-1971, 1980); Cincinnati
Reds (1972-1979); San Francisco Giants (1981-1982); Philadel-
phia Phillies (1983); Oakland Athletics (1984)
MVP: National League MVP in 1975, 1976; 3.04 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1990
162-game avg.: 271 batting, .392 on-base, .427 slugging, 16 home runs, 242 total bases, 101 runs scored, 69 runs batted in, 154 hits, 49 extra-base hits, $114 \mathrm{BB}, 62 \mathrm{~K}, 42$ SB
Career P/E: 1.008; Postseason P/E: . 811

The Good. Joe Morgan's name often comes up when the discussion turns to the greatest second baseman in history. He was named to the All-Star team 10 times and won the National League Gold Glove every year from 1973 to 1977. Morgan was tremendous both in the field and at the top of the lineup. He had eight seasons in which he posted onbase percentages at or above .400 , and he stole 689 bases during his Hall of Fame career. Morgan also scored 1,650 runs.

At his peak, he was able to carry a team. He won back-to-back MVP honors in 1975 and 1976, and his Cincinnati squad won the World Series both seasons as well. The first year, Morgan hit .327 , had an onbase mark of .466 , drew 132 walks, stole 67 bags, and scored 107 runs. The next season, '76, he added some power to his game. Joe hit 27 bombs, slugged .576 , and knocked in 111 runs, the most ever in his career. Both years, this smart player won the MVP by comfortable
margins as he posted extremely high P/E Averages of 1.272 and 1.389, which were the two highest marks of his career.

While Morgan did not post great postseason statistics for his career, he was very good when the Reds swept the Yankees in '76. Joe hit . 333 and slugged .733 as Cincinnati proved to everyone once again that they were undoubtedly baseball's best team.

The Bad. Morgan had several years in which his statistics were less than spectacular. The 1975 and 1976 seasons marked the only two times in his career when Joe batted above .300 . He hit .250 or less in almost half of his seasons, and his average of .95 net runs per game is the lowest of any player listed in this section. Morgan retired with mediocre averages of .271 batting and .427 slugging.

Although his teams often made it into October, Joe wasn't always at the top of his game. He hit less than .200 in more than half of his postseason series. In the ' 72 World Series, his first with the Reds, the diminutive second baseman hit .125 and slugged .208 as Cincinnati lost to Oakland in seven games. Over back-to-back NLCS visits in '79 and '80, he managed just two hits in 33 plate appearances. His teams lost both times.

The Verdict. Morgan is Category 5 and will be featured a few pages later as one of the top three second basemen in history. He put together his best seasons in the mid-'70s, and his Cincinnati squads were able to win the title both times. Although he wasn't able to sustain that high level past 1976, he remained a very good player, both in the field and at the plate.

## Ryne Sandberg

Height: 6'2"; Weight: 180; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: September 2, 1981; Final game: September 28, 1997
Team(s): Philadelphia Phillies (1981); Chicago Cubs (1982-1994, 1996-1997)

MVP: National League MVP in 1984; 1.98 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2005
162-game avg.: . 285 batting, .344 on-base, .452 slugging, 21 home runs, 284 total bases, 99 runs scored, 79 runs batted in, 179 hits, 57 extra-base hits, $57 \mathrm{BB}, 94 \mathrm{~K}, 26 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: .971; Postseason P/E: 1.298

The Good. Chicago's original No. 23 won the MVP award in the National League in 1984 and played his entire career in the Windy City after being traded away by Philadelphia following his rookie campaign. In '84 Sandberg was tremendous to say the least. He had 200 hits, batted .314 , and scored 114 runs. In the field, he may have been even better. Winning his second Gold Glove, Ryno fielded .993 with 550 assists and only six errors. That computes to a ratio of 91.7 assists per error. He also had 19 triples while grounding into only seven double plays, taking the Cubs into October.

Sandberg was tremendous defensively throughout his career. His overall fielding percentage is .989 , and his career ratio of 58.4 assists for every error is the best of any second baseman in this chapter by a wide margin. He had nine full seasons with eight errors or fewer, and he won nine Gold Gloves, trailing only Roberto Alomar on the alltime list for second basemen.

Ryno's teams made the postseason twice but failed to advance both times. It wasn't his fault, however. In the ' 84 and ' 89 NLCS, he com-
bined to hit .385 and produce 14 net runs in 10 games. His postseason P/E of 1.298 is more than 300 points higher than his regular-season average, which tells me he was at his best when the games mattered most. That's what every manager hopes to see from the players on his team.

The Bad. It's hard to find fault with Sandberg. He always seemed to say the right thing and to uphold an image of dignified grace, much like Derek Jeter of today. His offensive statistics are not staggering like those of Collins, Hornsby, and Gehringer. He retired with 1,318 runs scored and 1,061 batted in, solid numbers but a far cry from those of the players previously mentioned.

Sandberg struck out more than 1,200 times in his career in comparison with 761 walks. He fanned 90 times or more in six seasons, and that has to count against him when considering his overall place and rank. Furthermore, his average of .97 net runs per game is good but not great. Defensively, it is almost impossible to find any flaws with his game or his career, so I won't even bother.

The Verdict. Ryne Sandberg makes it into the top five at second base. He is Category 5, but I admit he just qualifies for that high of a rating. I think he is probably underrated, at least outside of Wrigley Field and the greater Chicago area. Sandberg, once a twentieth-round pick of the 1978 amateur draft, will always be loved as one of the all-time great Cubs and always remembered by Philadelphia fans as the Hall of Famer they traded away to get Ivan DeJesus.

## Second Base: The Top 10

I had 12 second basemen to choose from in organizing my top 10. Bobby Doerr and Tony Lazzeri, both great players, fall short here. Joe Gordon, the great Yankees second baseman, also comes close to earning a spot even though I rate him as Category 3. In selecting this list I looked at a wide range of variables. Second basemen need to be skilled with the leather and the lumber, and they need to be winning ballplayers. Here is the top 10 of a talent-laden position.

## 10. Craig Biggio

Biggio sneaks in ahead of Doerr and Lazzeri because he has been able to maintain a high level of play for an extended career. He combined solid defense with speed and smarts to provide a spark plug at the top of the Astros lineup for nearly two decades. To me, Biggio is slightly underrated for his career, although I do think he'll earn his way into Cooperstown.

## 9. Jeff Kent

Jeff Kent edges out Biggio because of his MVP season of 2000 and his better success in the postseason. Biggio never won the prestigious award, so that tips the scales in Kent's favor. Maybe even more important, Kent has been the superior player in October. While he lacks Biggio's glove and speed, he more than makes up for it with power and tremendous RBI numbers.

## 8. Frankie Frisch

Kent won the MVP battle with Biggio, but he loses that same battle with Frisch. Frankie won the award and finished with 2.58 shares. He was also second and third during his career. Furthermore, Frisch was a .316 lifetime hitter, and he reached the .300 plateau more than a dozen times. Kent only did that three times. Finally, the Fordham Flash was more productive, averaging 1.16 net runs per game to Kent's mark of 1.09 .

## 7. Nap Lajoie

Lajoie, in my opinion, was a better second baseman than Frisch. He produced better, hit for a higher lifetime average, and topped Frisch by more than 50 points in terms of P/E numbers. He doesn't come close to Frisch's MVP accomplishments, but Nap played most of his career without any opportunity to win such an award. They seem to be similar players to me. They were both productive without hitting many homers, and they both consistently hit for high averages. In almost every offensive category, however, Lajoie trumps Frisch. He earns this spot on my top-10 list of second basemen.

## 6. Roberto Alomar

Alomar betters Lajoie simply because of defense. Roberto holds the record for Gold Gloves won by a second baseman while Napoleon's defensive statistics were contradictory, pointing to a player who was probably average in the field. Alomar was never average. In fact, he is probably one of the best defensive players at the position in history. He had great range, terrific instincts, and the numbers to back up his case. He also hit .313 in the postseason, and Nap never once played beyond the regular season.

## 5. Ryne Sandberg

Deciding between Sandberg and Alomar for number 5 was very difficult. Both men were tremendous defensively, and both elevated their games and their P/E scores in October. Alomar won ten Gold Gloves, and Sandberg won nine. I finally decided on Sandberg for two reasons. First, his assist-to-error ratio (58.4:1) is superior to Alomar's (36.0:1). And more important, Sandberg won an MVP; Alomar never achieved that distinction.

## 4. Eddie Collins

It was very hard not to include Eddie Collins in the top three. His statistics are tremendous. Like Sandberg, he won an MVP. He also played well in the World Series, although his postseason P/E does not come close to Ryno's. I chose Collins for fourth place fairly easily simply because Sandberg can't match statistics with him. Collins scored 503 more runs and batted 48 points higher. The Cub's best batting average was .314 . Collins bettered that mark in 15 full seasons. There is no shame in finishing behind Collins, who some consider to be the best ever at second base.

## The Top Three

My top three includes two men from the first half of the century and one from more modern times. Their styles and statistics were different, but they are all Hall of Famers with loaded résumés. As full of talented players as any infield position in this book, second base guarantees that the man at the top has earned his place by beating out his immortal peers.

## The Case for Gehringer

1.30 net runs per game

Eight top-10 MVP finishes and 3.55 career shares
The best walk-to-strikeout ratio of the three men 13 seasons batting above .300
Eight seasons of 200 or more net runs

## The Case for Hornsby

Sustained performance: 1920 to 1929
Lifetime efficiency averages of . 358 batting, .434 on-base, and .577 slugging

Two MVPs and two Triple Crowns
Three seasons with batting averages above .400 , including .424 in 1924

A lifetime P/E Average of 1.214, the highest for any second baseman

## The Case for Morgan

Two MVPs and two World Series titles in back-to-back seasons Best assist-to-error ratio and five Gold Gloves

689 stolen bases, including nine straight seasons of at least 40 steals

10 All-Star nominations and MVP honors in the 1972 game Went to the postseason seven times with three different teams

## 3. Joe Morgan

Joe Morgan did not put up the lifetime numbers of Eddie Collins. However, he was arguably the best player in baseball over the course of two seasons, 1975 and 1976, and I'm not sure Collins can stake that claim. In his prime, Morgan was flawless. He was always on base, he hit for high averages with power, and he was a run-generating machine because of his ability to draw walks, steal bases, and use his instincts to beat his opponent. In the field, he was equally adept at dominating a game. He didn't have the sustainability of Collins, but he did lead his team to consecutive titles as the league's Most Valuable Player. You can't expect anything more from a player. The choice between Morgan and Collins for number 3 wasn't easy by any stretch. In the end, I opted for Joe because of his dominance in the mid-'70s.

## 2. Rogers Hornsby

It is hard to write a statistical analysis of baseball and not put Hornsby at the top for this position. He put up numbers normally reserved for first basemen and outfielders. In fact, he put up some numbers that no one has ever been able to match. He earns the nod over Joe Morgan fairly easily. Morgan may have been more complete when considering defense and baserunning, but Hornsby is a legendary hitter, perhaps the best ever from his side of the plate. What Joe Morgan did in 1975 and 1976, Hornsby did for a decade. The Cincinnati star had only two seasons in which he surpassed Hornsby's 1.214 career P/E Average, and that fact by itself is more than enough to convince me that I made the right decision here.

## 1. Charlie Gehringer

I don't imagine many people share my belief that Charlie Gehringer is the greatest second baseman ever. The easy choice is to take Hornsby simply based on his statistics, which no other player at his position, and few other players at any position, can match. Gehringer comes closest of the second basemen. In some respects, he outranks Hornsby. For example, Gehringer produced more net runs per game than Hornsby. He also reached 200 net runs in a season eight times. Rogers reached that plateau only five times.

Charlie doesn't have the offensive résumé of Rajah, but he has the better of him in the field. Hornsby had nine full seasons of at least 100 games played at second. Of those nine, 1928 was arguably his best in terms of errors and fielding percentage. By my count, Gehringer had nine seasons that were better than that. He led his league in assists and fielding percentage several times each and had seven seasons with at least 100 double plays while Hornsby had only two.

To help decide who should own the top spot, I asked myself a simple question: If given the choice, which player would I rather have at second base? Hornsby, despite incomparable statistics, played for five different teams. From 1926 to 1929, he played for a different team in the National League each year. To me, that's a clue that he wasn't a great teammate and maybe wasn't a winning player. Gehringer, on the other hand, played exclusively for Detroit and was known to be a quiet leader, helping the Tigers win three pennants. Second base is a crucial position that demands teamwork and coordination with the rest of the infield. I'll take Gehringer's consistency, defense, baserunning, and per-game production over Hornsby's massive offensive statistics.

## Second to None

The Most Valuable Player award has been bestowed on a second baseman 14 times. Larry Doyle won the first in 1912, and Jeff Kent was the most recent recipient, selected in 2000. The following list briefly summarizes each MVP season in terms of statistical performance, both offensively and defensively. You can decide for yourself which campaign was most impressive.

- Larry Doyle, 1912 New York Giants (P/E Average: 1.086) .330 batting, .393 on-base, .471 slugging, 98 runs, 36 steals, 20 K , 38 errors, 948 fielding
- Johnny Evers, 1914 Boston Braves (P/E Average: .807) .279 batting, .390 on-base, .338 slugging, 81 runs, 87 walks, 26 K , 17 errors, 73 double plays
- Eddie Collins, 1914 Philadelphia Athletics (P/E Average: 1.186) . 344 batting, .452 on-base, .452 slugging, 122 runs, 58 steals, 97 walks, 23 errors, .970 fielding
- Rogers Hornsby, 1925 St. Louis Cardinals (P/E Average: 1.557) .403 batting, .489 on-base, .756 slugging, 237 net runs, 90 extrabase hits, 34 errors, .954 fielding
- Rogers Hornsby, 1929 Chicago Cubs (P/E Average: 1.445) .380 batting, .459 on-base, .679 slugging, 409 total bases, 266 net runs, 547 assists to 23 errors
- Frankie Frisch, 1931 St. Louis Cardinals (P/E Average: 1.082)
. 311 batting, .368 on-base, .396 slugging, 96 runs, 28 steals, 13 K , 19 errors, .974 fielding
- Charlie Gehringer, 1937 Detroit Tigers (P/E Average: 1.244) .371 batting, .458 on-base, .520 slugging, 209 hits, 215 net runs, 12 errors, .986 fielding
- Joe Gordon, 1942 New York Yankees (P/E Average: 1.114) .322 batting, .409 on-base, .491 slugging, 103 RBI, 173 hits, 28 errors, 121 double plays
- Jackie Robinson, 1949 Brooklyn Dodgers (P/E Average: 1.268) .342 batting, .432 on-base, .528 slugging, 203 hits, 230 net runs, 421 assists to 16 errors
- Nellie Fox, 1959 Chicago White Sox (P/E Average: .872) .306 batting, .380 on-base, .389 slugging, 191 hits, 84 runs, 13 K , 453 assists to 10 errors
- Joe Morgan, 1975 Cincinnati Reds (P/E Average: 1.272)
.327 batting, .466 on-base, .508 slugging, 107 runs, 67 steals, 132 walks, 11 errors, .986 fielding
- Joe Morgan, 1976 Cincinnati Reds (P/E Average: 1.389) .320 batting, .444 on-base, .576 slugging, 111 RBI, 113 runs, 60 steals, 27 homers, .981 fielding
- Ryne Sandberg, 1984 Chicago Cubs (P/E Average: 1.099)
.314 batting, .367 on-base, .520 slugging, 200 hits, 19 triples, 114 runs, 550 assists to 6 errors
- Jeff Kent, 2000 San Francisco Giants (P/E Average: 1.243) .334 batting, .424 on-base, .596 slugging, 350 total bases, 206 net runs, 33 homers, .986 fielding
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## Third Base

The hot corner! Playing third base can be a treacherous proposition at times. When a batter squares around to bunt, the third baseman must charge feverishly, putting himself dangerously close to home plate, praying that the hitter doesn't pull the bat back and send a scorching line drive directly at him. Third basemen also need to have catlike reflexes and strong arms.

For this position managers have traditionally favored players with power at the plate. Third basemen are expected to carry the offensive burden more so than the middle infielders, so they need to be as good with the lumber as they are with the leather. My choice for the best third baseman of all time embodied, better than anyone else, that pure blend of glove work and offensive might.

Only four players, George Brett, Eddie Mathews, Brooks Robinson, and Mike Schmidt, earn Category 5 status in my mind. Brett, Robinson, and Schmidt comprise my top three. They distanced themselves from the rest of the pack over their careers. They combined to win five MVPs while delivering World Series glory to their respective cities.

Three current players, Chipper Jones (1.160), Scott Rolen (1.108), and Eric Chavez (1.027), sport extremely high P/E Averages. Jones has
accomplished the most of this trio. I already see him as Cooperstown material even though his career has years remaining. Will Rolen and Chavez also be able to rise to Chipper's level?

Others, such as Bob Elliott, Terry Pendleton, and Al Rosen, were able to win Most Valuable Player honors but were not able to flourish over the long run. The demands of the position are often too great for players to overcome beyond a handful of seasons. That is why third base is the position where the fewest Hall of Famers reside.

## Third Base: Career P/E Averages

Chipper Jones, 1.160; Mike Schmidt, 1.111; Scott Rolen, 1.108; Al Rosen, 1.079; Eddie Mathews, 1.064; Pie Traynor, 1.044; George Brett, 1.032; Harlond Clift, 1.030; Eric Chavez, 1.027; Bob Elliott, 1.017; Home Run Baker, 1.010; Matt Williams, 1.005; Jimmy Collins, .984; Ken Boyer, .983; Ron Santo, .976; Robin Ventura, .968; Ken Caminiti, .967; Vinny Castilla, .961; Wade Boggs, .960; Ron Cey, .941; Bill Madlock, .937; Stan Hack, .909; Gary Gaetti, .903; Carney Lansford, .903; George Kell, .902; Graig Nettles, .894; Larry Gardner, .872; Terry Pendleton, .869; Doug Rader, .867; Buddy Bell, .861; Heinie Groh, .857; Tim Wallach, .856; Frank Malzone, .853; Brooks Robinson, .834; Ray Knight, .804; Clete Boyer, .775; Howard Johnson, .763; Loren Babe, . 648

## Category 1-3 Third Basemen

## Loren Babe

Career P/E: .648; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable seasons (P/E): 1952 (.400); 1953 (.663)
As with second base, this section begins with its lowest-ranked player. Babe played two seasons in the AL for the Yankees and the Athletics. In 1952 he appeared in just 12 games for the Bronx Bombers. In April of '53 the Athletics purchased his services, and he appeared in more than 100 contests for Philadelphia. He had 77 hits with the Athletics, including 16 doubles and two triples, and scored 34 runs for his new team.

Loren Babe's career statistics look like an average first half of a season for a marginal player. The 34 runs he scored in 1953 represent all but three of his career total in that category. He hit only two homers and drove home only 26 runs. His career P/E mark of 648 is the lowest of any third baseman in this chapter.

Can a Yankee named Babe really be a Category 1 ballplayer? In this case, the answer is a definitive yes. The 1950s Babe bore no resemblance to the Babe of the '20s and '30s. He lasted for only two seasons, appearing at the plate 426 times and not doing much in those plate appearances to make himself stick around the big leagues longer.

## Clete Boyer

Career P/E: .775; Postseason P/E: . 738
Notable seasons (P/E): 1962 (.883); 1965 (.856); 1967 (.892)
Clete Boyer played for the Kansas City Athletics, New York Yankees, and Atlanta Braves over 16 years in the majors. He won the Gold

Glove for 1969 with the Braves, fielding successfully .965 , almost 20 points higher than the National League average that year. Playing for New York against the San Francisco Giants in the '62 World Series, Boyer was very good at the plate. He hit .318 with a homer and four runs batted in.

Despite occasional success and a few career highlights, Clete Boyer was an average third baseman. He received MVP consideration just once, finishing twenty-first in the 1967 vote, earning the only shares, .02 , of his career. He retired with fewer than 700 runs scored and fewer than 700 runs batted in. His efficiency averages are also mediocre.

This Boyer (Ken appears next) rates as a Category 2 selection. He had some success and was able to play well enough to stick around from 1955 to 1971. Offensively, he doesn't have the statistics to warrant a higher rating, and he doesn't have any chance to make it into Cooperstown.

## Ken Boyer

Career P/E: .983; Postseason P/E: 1.103
Notable seasons (P/E): 1958 (1.071); 1960 (1.122); 1961 (1.125);
1964 (1.089)
Ken Boyer played the majority of his career with the St. Louis Cardinals. In 1964 he was selected National League MVP and Major League Player of the Year after scoring 100 runs and driving home 119. That year marked the eighth time in nine seasons that Boyer finished with at least 90 RBI , a solid run of production from the mid' 50 s through the mid-' 60 s . He made seven All-Star teams and won five Gold Gloves.

After 1964, Boyer's career began to decline. He never again hit better than .266 , and his production was seriously curtailed. Boyer was
never a great on-base player. He never reached the .400 plateau, and his career mark is less than .350 .

Ken Boyer, C3, is not in the Hall of Fame, and I don't think he should be, but I do think he's a close call. He won a handful of Gold Gloves, and his career numbers are solid. He was tremendous in '64, a year in which St. Louis also won the World Series.

## Howard Johnson

Career P/E: .763; Postseason P/E: . 333
Notable seasons (P/E): 1987 (1.087); 1989 (1.173); 1990 (.993); 1991 (1.169)

HoJo began his career with the Detroit Tigers but spent his best days in Shea Stadium with the Mets. New York traded pitcher Walt Terrell to get him in 1984, and it was a move that paid off very well for them. Johnson finished fifth in MVP voting twice and was in the top 10 on one other occasion. He also won two Silver Slugger awards. In his prime, he was a dangerous combination of power and speed.

When he was good, he was very good. Unfortunately, he also had several seasons that were very bad. In his last four years, HoJo hit .223, .238, .211 , and .195 to finish with a career batting average below .250 . He never won a Gold Glove. In fact, his ratio of 9.7 assists per error is terrible and ranks far behind his peers. In October ball, Johnson sports a lifetime P/E mark of .333 ; he managed just one single in 27 plate appearances.

Despite four good offensive seasons, Johnson is Category 2. He was terrible both defensively and in the playoffs. He was never a contact hitter, striking out at least 100 times in five consecutive seasons in the middle of his career. In the late ' 80 s and early ' 90 s, HoJo was a popular and talented player on the Mets. He declined rapidly, though.

## Ron Santo

Career P/E: .976; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable seasons (P/E): 1964 (1.133); 1967 (1.066); 1969 (1.102); 1970 (1.070)

Ron Santo won five Gold Gloves, was a nine-time All-Star, and drove in 1,331 runs over his 15 seasons in Chicago. From 1963 to 1970, Santo averaged 105 RBI per season, and he had 123 in 1969. During that stretch, he also had five consecutive seasons of 300 or more total bases.

Despite his consistent production and his work in the field, Santo never finished in the top three of MVP voting. He finished fourth in 1967 and fifth in 1969 to pick up the majority of his career shares, which total 1.23 . He also failed to lead his teams to a pennant. In 15 seasons, he never played on the big stage of October.

I thought long and hard about his rating. Is he a C3 or a C4? I once heard Mike Francesa, New York radio personality, say that if you have to think too much about whether someone is a Hall of Famer, then he's not. That's the case with Santo.

## Matt Williams

Career P/E: 1.005; Postseason P/E: . 869
Notable seasons (P/E): 1990 (1.048); 1993 (1.144); 1994 (1.159); 1999 (1.167)

After three forgettable early seasons, Matt busted out in 1990, knocking in 122 runs and smacking 33 over the fence. That year, he was an All-Star, won the Silver Slugger, and finished sixth in MVP bal-
loting. He was on his way to another great season in '94 when the strike hit. He already had 43 home runs in only 112 games.

Striking out is something this slugger did too often. He fanned 266 times in '90-'91, and he topped 100 Ks on five occasions. He batted .268 for his career and finished with a very low on-base percentage, .317. In the playoffs, his P/E Average was 136 points below his career mark.

Matt Williams is on my top-10 list for the game's best third basemen. I don't think of him as a Hall of Famer, but I do think he was good enough to be considered with the likes of Ron Santo and Ken Boyer. He went above 1.100 several times, and his assist-to-error ratio, 19.0:1, is one of the best in this chapter. It's Category 3 for Mr. Williams.

## The Best Third Basemen

162-Game Averages

| Player | BA | OBP | SLP | HR | TB | R | RBI | H | XBH | BB | K | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Boggs | .328 | .415 | .443 | 8 | 270 | 100 | 67 | 200 | 50 | 94 | 49 | 2 |
| Brett | .305 | .369 | .487 | 19 | 302 | 95 | 95 | 189 | 67 | 66 | 54 | 12 |
| Jones | .307 | .403 | .546 | 33 | 322 | 111 | 111 | 181 | 72 | 98 | 92 | 11 |
| Mathews | .271 | .376 | .509 | 35 | 295 | 102 | 98 | 157 | 64 | 98 | 101 | 5 |
| Robinson | .267 | .322 | .401 | 15 | 239 | 69 | 76 | 159 | 46 | 48 | 55 | 2 |
| Schmidt | .267 | .380 | .527 | 37 | 297 | 101 | 107 | 151 | 68 | 102 | 127 | 12 |
| Traynor | .320 | .362 | .435 | 5 | 275 | 99 | 106 | 202 | 50 | 39 | 23 | 13 |

## Category 4 Third Basemen

## Wade Boggs

Career P/E: . 960; Postseason P/E: . 764
MVP: never won; 1.20 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2005
In the 1999 season, Wade Boggs reached baseball immortality by collecting his three thousandth hit. From ' 83 to ' 89 , he averaged 211 hits per season and never finished a campaign with less than 200 . He led the American League in batting over that stretch five times and finished third in both of the other years. His averages were remarkable: $.361, .325, .368, .357, .363, .366$, and .330 . He reached base safely more than 40 percent of the time in 11 of his 18 seasons. From ' 85 to ' 88 , he posted four straight on-base percentages of at least .450 and averaged 108 walks to only 47 strikeouts per season. Defensively, Boggs was very good. He won two Gold Gloves and posted an assist-to-error ratio of 18.5:1, emblematic of a player that was reliable in the field.

The biggest knock against Boggs is that he lacked power. Only in 1987, when he hit 24 home runs and slugged .588 , did he show the type of long-ball ability that normally accompanies third base. Other than ' 87 , the lefty slap-hitter never slugged .500 in his career.

Boggs is Category 4 and ranks as one of the 10 best in history at his position. His lack of power is the only obstacle from rating him a C5. One of the great batsmen ever, Wade hit .357 or better five times.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | 71 | 107 | 8 | 170 | 282 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 383 | 723 | 693 | 1.043 |
| 1987 | 89 | 108 | 24 | 173 | 324 | 105 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 429 | 775 | 667 | 1.162 |
| 1988 | 58 | 128 | 5 | 181 | 286 | 125 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 413 | 775 | 719 | 1.078 |
| Career | 1,014 | 1,513 | 118 | 2,409 | 4,064 | 1,412 | 23 | 24 | 35 | 5,488 | 10,306 | 10,740 | .960 |

## Chipper Jones

Career P/E: 1.160; Postseason P/E: 1.010
MVP: National League MVP in 1999; 2.07 career shares (through '06 voting)

Hall of Fame: possible
Already, Chipper has won an MVP, earned a World Series championship ring, and posted the highest career P/E Average of any third baseman in history. If he is able to continue his outstanding play for a few more seasons, I would rate his Hall of Fame chances as probable or even imminent. I see him as Cooperstown material already. From 1996 through 2003, Chipper knocked in between 100 and 111 runs and hit better than .300 in every season but one. His P/E was above 1.100 every year, climaxing with a score of 1.243 in '99. That season, Jones was awarded the NL Most Valuable Player. He led the Braves to the pennant with 45 homers, 87 extra-base hits, and a . 633 slugging percentage.

Very simply, Jones lacks some of the numbers of Brett, Schmidt, Boggs, and Mathews because his career is not yet finished. As of this moment, many voters would probably opt against his induction. He has fewer than 400 homers while playing during a long-ball era. His averages in terms of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ and net runs ( 1.17 per game) are tremendous, but it will be interesting to see if he can sustain those levels.

As of now, I rate him as Category 4. I think he is a Hall of Famer; his P/E Average is higher than any third baseman. Incredibly clutch, Chipper makes my top five.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1998 | 107 | 123 | 34 | 196 | 329 | 96 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 436 | 828 | 707 | 1.171 |
| 1999 | 110 | 116 | 45 | 181 | 359 | 126 | 2 | 25 | 3 | 509 | 871 | 701 | 1.243 |
| 2000 | 111 | 118 | 36 | 193 | 328 | 95 | 2 | 14 | 7 | 432 | 818 | 686 | 1.192 |
| Career | 1,299 | 1,296 | 386 | 2,209 | 3,768 | 1,152 | 15 | 134 | 43 | 5,026 | 9,444 | 8,143 | 1.160 |

## Pie Traynor

Career P/E: 1.044; Postseason P/E: . 800
MVP: never won; 2.04 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1948
Harold Joseph Traynor, better known as Pie, was a lifetime .320 hitter who played his entire career with the Pittsburgh Pirates. Traynor was incredibly productive, averaging 1.24 net runs per game despite hitting only 58 home runs and slugging . 435 . From ' 27 to ' 30 he batted $.342, .337, .356$, and .366 while averaging 114 runs batted in per year. His 1.044 lifetime P/E number places him 12 points ahead of George Brett, 84 points higher than Wade Boggs, and 210 points above Brooks Robinson. He exceeded 1.100 five times and had only one full season below . 900 .

Traynor's defensive statistics are not very good, however. He averaged less than 11 assists for every miscue, and his overall fielding percentage of .947 only ties the league average for his time. He played shortstop in his first season, 1920, but was quickly moved to a corner position the following year after committing a dozen errors in only 17 games. His postseason $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ stands at just .800 , almost 250 points below his regular-season mark.

His per-game production average of 1.24 net runs is higher than any third baseman in history. He was never in the top five of MVP voting, though, and I feel that's a strong indictment against him. After careful scrutiny, I rate him Category 4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1925 | 106 | 114 | 6 | 214 | 274 | 52 | 2 | 15 | 9 | 334 | 762 | 658 | 1.158 |
| 1929 | 108 | 94 | 4 | 198 | 255 | 30 | 3 | 13 | $7^{*}$ | 294 | 690 | 597 | 1.156 |
| 1930 | 119 | 90 | 9 | 200 | 253 | 48 | 1 | 7 | $4^{*}$ | 305 | 705 | 569 | 1.239 |
| Career | 1,273 | 1,183 | 58 | 2,398 | 3,289 | 472 | 31 | 158 | $85^{*}$ | 3,865 | 8,661 | 8,293 | 1.044 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Category 5 Third Basemen

## George Brett

Height: 6'0"; Weight: 200; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: August 2, 1973; Final game: October 3, 1993
Team(s): Kansas City Royals (1973-1993)
MVP: American League MVP in 1980; 3.30 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1999
162-game avg.: . 305 batting, .369 on-base, .487 slugging, 19 home runs, 302 total bases, 95 runs scored, 95 runs batted in, 189 hits, 67 extra-base hits, $66 \mathrm{BB}, 54 \mathrm{~K}, 12 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: 1.032; Postseason P/E: 1.120

The Good. George Howard Brett played in only 117 games for the Kansas City Royals in 1980, but it was still one of the great individual performances in baseball history. Brett flirted with .400 throughout the season until he finally settled at .390 . He knocked in 118 runs, slugged .664 , and averaged more than 1.50 net runs per contest. He registered a tremendous $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ score of 1.414 during that memorable year. Despite missing more than a quarter of the season, Brett was selected as the American League MVP. He collected .85 shares that year and a total of 3.30 for his career, having finished in the top three on three other occasions.

Brett is the only player in history to win batting titles in three different decades. In 1976 he hit .333 . His .390 average in ' 80 was easily the best mark in the league, and he concluded with a .329 average in 1990, capping off a remarkable run of batting prowess spread over a long and successful career. He retired with a .305 average and more than 1,500 runs both scored and driven home. The 12-time All-Star won three Silver Sluggers and the 1985 Gold Glove.

The ' 85 season was certainly special for Brett. He finished runnerup to Don Mattingly in the MVP vote and, more important, led the Royals to the championship over their in-state rivals, the St. Louis Cardinals. Brett was named ALCS MVP during that run after batting .348 and smacking three home runs against Toronto. His combined P/E Average during the '85 postseason was 1.131 over two seven-game series victories.

The Bad. There is not much to find fault with Brett's career. He hit for average, hit for power, fielded his position well, was frequently dominant in the playoffs, won individual honors, and stole more than 200 bases. He did have two postseason series in which he batted .231 or less without generating any runs. He also hit below .300 during his last three seasons as he approached and passed 3,000 career base knocks. Otherwise, he was a tremendous player.

The Verdict. The greatest Royal of all time, Brett also earns the distinction of being highlighted and considered for the number one spot in this chapter's top three. Naturally, he is a Category 5 third baseman. He was always a fiery competitor who was able to put a team on his back and carry it to great heights, just as he did throughout the 1985 season and into the playoffs that year. Some people will best remember George for tearing out of the Kansas City dugout at Yankee Stadium in a fit of rage during baseball's infamous "Pine Tar Incident," but he should better be recalled as a complete ballplayer who spent his entire career with one team.

## Eddie Mathews

Height: 6' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ '; Weight: 200; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: April 15, 1952; Final game: September 27, 1968
Team(s): Boston/Milwaukee/Atlanta Braves (1952-1966); Houston
Astros (1967); Detroit Tigers (1967-1968)
MVP: never won; 1.61 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1978
162-game avg.: . 271 batting, .376 on-base, .509 slugging, 35 home runs, 295 total bases, 102 runs scored, 98 runs batted in, 157 hits, 64 extra-base hits, $98 \mathrm{BB}, 101 \mathrm{~K}, 5 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.064; Postseason P/E: . 909

The Good. Few players in baseball history began their careers and flashed early brilliance as well as Eddie Mathews did. He broke into the big leagues at age 20 and hit 40 or more home runs in three of his first four seasons. In '53 Mathews was only 21 years old when he smashed 47 out of the park, drove in 135 runs, and slugged .627 for the Braves in their first season in Milwaukee. He appeared to be well on his way to immortality when he blasted 81 more home runs over the next two seasons. From 1952 to 1960, Eddie was in the top five of the league for home runs every season and entered the '61 campaign with 338 dingers, before age 30 .

Mathews was a nine-time All-Star and earned 1.61 MVP shares over his career. He joined exclusive company during the 1967 season when he hit home run number 500 . He went on to strike 12 more to finish his tenure with as many homers as Ernie Banks and more than Mel Ott, Lou Gehrig, and Stan Musial. He slugged better than .500 for his career and drew almost 1,500 career walks, good enough for a . 376
lifetime on-base percentage. Mathews, who twice finished second in MVP balloting, averaged 1.02 net runs over his 17 seasons in the majors.

The Bad. Mathews's story bears resemblance to that of Orlando Cepeda. Both started their careers white hot but failed to maintain those levels of success in the latter stages of their playing days. At one point, it looked as if Eddie might be the man to break Ruth's homerun record of 714. Ironically, it was a teammate who took that title away. Hitting 512 home runs is a tremendous accomplishment, but one has to wonder how high that total might have been if the third baseman was able to hit 30 homers more than once over his last seven seasons.

Eddie Mathews never won a Gold Glove, but it should be noted that the award wasn't available during his first five seasons. From '52 to' 56 , his time in the majors before it was introduced, he committed more than 20 errors three times and had fielding percentages lower than the league average twice. In '54, his defensive statistics were good, but I'm not sure he would have won the honor anyway.

The Verdict. Mathews, who appeared on the first-ever Sports Illustrated cover, is a Category 5 ballplayer in my analysis. His career could be considered somewhat of a disappointment based on the amazing start with which it began. Nonetheless, he hit more than 500 home runs and garnered enough MVP shares to be considered one of the five best third basemen ever.

## Brooks Robinson

Height: 6' $1^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 190; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: September 17, 1955; Final game: August 13, 1977
Team(s): Baltimore Orioles (1955-1977)
MVP: American League MVP in 1964; 3.69 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1983
162-game avg.: . 267 batting, .322 on-base, .401 slugging, 15 home runs, 239 total bases, 69 runs scored, 76 runs batted in, 159 hits, 46 extra-base hits, $48 \mathrm{BB}, 55 \mathrm{~K}, 2 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: .834; Postseason P/E: . 891

The Good. It can be rightfully argued that Brooks Robinson is the greatest defensive player in the history of the game. He won the American League Gold Glove every year from 1960 through 1975, the greatest run ever for an everyday player. Only pitchers Jim Kaat and Greg Maddux have collected as many awards (16 through 2006). Ozzie Smith (13) is the only other nonhurler who comes close to matching Brooks. In the 1970 World Series, Robinson seemed to be everywhere at third, making diving stops and throwing out Cincinnati runners who were incredulous that they were heading back to the dugout instead of rounding first for a double. Robinson also hit .429, after batting . 583 in the ALCS, winning the World Series MVP honor without question.

While he piled up Gold Gloves year in and year out, Brooks also was selected to 15 consecutive All-Star Games. In the '66 contest, he was named Most Valuable Player. Two seasons prior, Robinson was selected as the best player in the American League for the regular season almost unanimously. Only Mickey Mantle received any other first-
place votes that year. The Orioles legend batted .317 in 1964 and drove home 118 runs while posting the highest P/E score, 1.050, of his career.

In total, Robinson collected 3.69 shares in the balloting. He finished second to teammate Frank Robinson in 1966, third twice, and fourth once. Only Mike Schmidt has more shares to his credit at the position. Brooks Robinson retired with 1,357 runs batted in, 1,232 runs scored, and more than 250 lifetime homers.

The Bad. Robinson's MVP campaign in 1964 was the only time he topped the $1.000 \mathrm{P} / E$ plateau. Often, he was below .850 , and his .834 mark is lower than the likes of Buddy Bell, Tim Wallach, and Terry Pendleton. Furthermore, his production average of .80 net runs per game is more likely to be seen by Category 2 and 3 players than by an immortal like Robinson. He hit less than .240 in more than a third of his seasons and retired with very low percentages in terms of on-base, .322, and slugging, .401 . Brooks also reached 300 total bases in a season only twice.

The Verdict. Robinson is one of the three best third basemen in history. In my opinion, he is the greatest defensive player ever at any position. He was often at his best when Baltimore needed him the most; his string of consecutive All-Star appearances and Gold Gloves, coupled with his MVP résumé, marks him as an easy choice for Category 5.

## Mike Schmidt

Height: 6'2"; Weight: 203; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: September 12, 1972; Final game: May 28, 1989
Team(s): Philadelphia Phillies (1972-1989)
MVP: National League MVP in 1980, 1981, 1986; 4.96 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1995
162-game avg.: . 267 batting, .380 on-base, .527 slugging, 37 home runs, 297 total bases, 101 runs scored, 107 runs batted in, 151
hits, 68 extra-base hits, $102 \mathrm{BB}, 127 \mathrm{~K}, 12 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.111; Postseason P/E: . 829

The Good. From the mid-1970s to the mid- to late 1980s, Michael Jack Schmidt was perhaps baseball's most feared hitter. Beginning in 1974 Schmidt generated at least 150 net runs of offense every season through 1987, except the strike-shortened campaign of '81 and '85, when he finished with 149 . In between, he hit at least 35 homers 11 times, reaching 40 dingers in a trio of years. He consistently posted high P/E Averages, going above 1.100 almost every year.

The 1980 season was especially meaningful for Schmidt. He won his first of three MVP awards, and he did so unanimously after belting 48 homers and driving home 121 runs. Schmidt led the Phillies to the pennant and was then dominant in the World Series, batting .381 and slugging . 714 with two homers as Philadelphia celebrated the only World Series title in club history. Schmidt walked away with MVP honors.

He was selected as the NL's best player again in '81. Posting his highest single-season P/E mark, 1.357, Mike was on his way to tremendous numbers when the strike hit. Again, he was the clear win-
ner in MVP balloting. Between the two years, Schmidt totaled 1.96 shares, earning 45 out of 48 possible first-place votes. In 1986 Schmidt again proved to everyone how great he was by winning his third MVP, sixth Silver Slugger, and tenth Gold Glove.

The righty slugger was also terrific at getting on base safely. Twelve times he finished a season with an on-base percentage of .375 or better. He led the National League in bases on balls four times to go along with eight seasons of hitting the most home runs.

The Bad. The only negatives I can find with Schmidt's Hall of Fame career are with regard to batting average and strikeouts. He hit better than .300 only once, over 102 games in ' 81 , and he retired with a career mark of .267 . He had six full seasons in which he batted .255 or less and a dozen years of triple-digit strikeout totals. Schmidt was still developing in 1973 when he batted a dreadful 196 and fanned 136 times in 132 games. In ' 75 and ' 76 he whiffed 329 times combined. His career total of 1,883 strikeouts is the seventh most in baseball history.

The Verdict. Despite occasional low batting averages and high strikeout totals, Mike Schmidt was a tremendous third baseman. I have included him, along with Brett and Robinson, in this chapter's top three. Therefore, he is Category 5. He combined offensive punch and defensive poise as well as any player in history. He is the only player in history to have 500 career home runs, 10 Gold Gloves, and three MVPs. Even the immortal Willie Mays can't make that claim to fame.

## Third Base: The Top 10

Third base is the only position in this book that needs to draw from Category 3 players to round out my top 10 . If you've been reading carefully and can follow my line of thinking, then it should come as no surprise as to whom I have selected as the best player in history at this difficult position. The hot corner calls for tough, instinctive players who aren't afraid to stare down the base line at the batter, who may only be bluffing when squaring around to bunt. Traditionally considered a power position, third base demands a combo of offense and defense rolled into one. Here's my top ten.

## 10. Ron Santo

Ron Santo begins the list because he was tremendously consistent in terms of run production from 1963 to 1970. He went to nine All-Star Games and won five Gold Gloves, and he retired with 342 home runs and 1,331 runs batted in. He never made the postseason, so that fact makes it a bit more difficult to place him, but I am fairly content with his position. He just beats out Bob Elliott, Scott Rolen, and Al Rosen for spot number 10 .

## 9. Matt Williams

Matt Williams was a slightly better player than Ron Santo in my opinion. He didn't win an MVP, but he did finish in the top three twice, which Santo never did. He was also in the top six four times. He won four Gold Gloves and four Silver Sluggers, emblematic of that offensedefense combo I've mentioned before. In addition, Williams's assist-to-error ratio, 19.0:1, is much better than Santo's, 14.5:1.

## 8. Ken Boyer

Ken Boyer did what Ron Santo and Matt Williams were unable to do: he won a Most Valuable Player award. In ' 64 Boyer was also selected Major League Player of the Year, and his Cardinals team won the championship, and that is enough by itself to convince me that he deserves the honor as the highest-ranking Category 3 third baseman. His 1.103 postseason P/E is 234 points higher than Matt Williams's score, and I also think Boyer was a bit more consistent.

## 7. Pie Traynor

Spot 7 begins the Category 4 players. Traynor's higher rating guarantees him a higher ranking than Boyer. More important, he earns spot number 7 because of his run production. Traynor was more than a quarter of a net run better per game than Boyer, and that's a significant difference. His P/E Average is 61 points better, and he totaled more MVP shares, 2.04 to 1.60 .

## 6. Wade Boggs

I think Boggs was probably a more complete player than Traynor. He won multiple Gold Gloves, multiple Silver Sluggers, and multiple batting titles. Pie was much more productive on a per-game basis, but he also played in an era when offensive statistics were high throughout baseball. After researching both players and thinking carefully about all of the facts, my gut instinct tells me that Boggs is simply the better choice. If it were a horse race, this one would be a photo finish.

## 5. Chipper Jones

I'd take Chipper over Boggs for two reasons. First of all, he has a considerable advantage in terms of power. Boggs was a great batsman, a real virtuoso with the stick, but Jones has been the more dangerous hitter because of his ability to drive the ball. Second, and more important, Jones has always been extremely clutch. As a Mets fan, I have been scared by no player more than Chipper Jones when the game is on the line.

## 4. Eddie Mathews

Eddie Mathews ranks ahead of Chipper Jones on my list. I like Mathews's defense and power combination, something neither Jones nor Boggs had in tandem. Chipper could provide the long ball from either side of the plate, but he couldn't pick it in the field like Mathews. That isn't to say Mathews was a perfect ballplayer; he had holes in his career just like almost every other player. In this matchup, however, he's the choice.

## The Top Three

The interesting thing about my top three is that each man played exclusively for one team. George Brett, Brooks Robinson, and Mike Schmidt played more than 8,000 combined games without ever switching uniforms. They each earned MVP honors and brought championship glory to their beloved cities. They were different ballplayers, however. Brett was a terrific batsman who combined good power with an ability to hit for high averages. Robinson was incredibly clutch and killed the opposition with his glove better than any player in the game's history. Schmidt, probably the best combination of offense and defense of the three, displayed a great eye at the plate while also hitting for power and fielding his position expertly.

## The Case for Brett

Three-time American League batting champion 1980 American League MVP and Major League Player of the Year Batted .390 with 118 RBI in 117 games in 1980

1985 ALCS Most Valuable Player; 1.120 career postseason P/E
Average
. 305 lifetime batting average

## The Case for Robinson

16 consecutive Gold Gloves
15 consecutive All-Star selections
MVP honors in 1964 (regular season), 1966 (All-Star), and 1970
(World Series)
3.69 career MVP shares

Batted . 485 in the postseason throughout Baltimore's '70
championship run

## The Case for Schmidt

Three MVPs and 4.96 career shares
10 Gold Gloves, six Silver Sluggers, and 12 All-Star selections
548 home runs
Lifetime efficiency percentages of .380 on-base and .527 slugging 1.111 P/E Average

## 3. Brooks Robinson

The Orioles legend is not able to finish any better than third in this race. Obviously, the reason is offense. He simply can't compete with Brett and Schmidt in the batter's box. Mathews was also the better hitter, but he never won an MVP, something that each man in the top three accomplished. Robinson was also a World Series MVP while Mathews was unspectacular on the big stage in October. Brooks takes over at number 3 primarily because of defense. Mathews was solid, but he isn't even close in comparison with Robinson, who just might be the best ever with the leather in the sport's history. Brooks slides in safely to take the bronze, and I'm sure there are people reading this who feel it is an injustice that Robinson doesn't rank even higher.

## 2. George Brett

In making the decision as to this spot, I asked myself if I'd rather have Brett's or Robinson's combination of offense and defense. I wavered back and forth: Brett was much better offensively, and Robinson was far superior in the field. I think Brett was the better player, though. His lifetime P/E Average is almost 200 points higher, and he comes close to matching Brooks's MVP résumé. Kansas City's favorite son also posted a much better postseason score in terms of production and efficiency, 1.120 to .891 . Maybe Brett gets the nod over Brooks simply because I saw him play a lot throughout his career, and I never saw Robinson play a single game. Maybe this ranking would have been reversed, but with my analysis I'm confident to write that George Brett is the second-best third baseman of all time.

## 1. Mike Schmidt

Like the decision at first base, this was an easy one. Schmidt comes closest to the perfect balance of offense and defense at third base. He hit more homers than Mathews, he is the only other player besides Robinson to have at least 10 Gold Gloves at the position, and he won as many MVPs as the other Category 4 and 5 third basemen combined.

Interestingly, Schmidt and Brett share some territory. Both men retired with exactly 1,595 runs batted in and more than 1,500 runs scored. They both averaged 1.06 net runs per game, and each man was a 12-time All-Star. In 1980 they each won the MVP in their respective league. When they met in the World Series, Schmidt (. $381 \mathrm{BA}, .462$ OBP, .714 SLP) was only slightly better than Brett ( $.375 \mathrm{BA}, .423$ OBP, . 667 SLP), who nearly mirrored him. In a final example of baseball coincidence, their careers began less than 11 months apart.

Nevertheless, there is no doubt in my mind that Schmidt was the better player throughout their careers. He was better in the field, hit more home runs, won three MVPs, reached base more often, and outslugged his American League rival. Brett was better in terms of batting average, and he struck out much less. He can also hang his hat on the fact that he, and not Schmidt, was selected as the Player of the Year for 1980. Schmidt got the last laugh that season, however, when Philadelphia won the World Series against Kansas City. He also comes in ahead of Brett in my analysis and search for the best third baseman in baseball history.

## A Third of the Time

There are five players not previously included in this chapter who deserve mention at this time. They each played multiple positions but failed to spend at least 60 percent of their games at any one spot. They did, however, have multiple seasons with at least 100 games played at the hot corner, which warrants analysis.

## Darrell Evans ('72-'75, Atlanta Braves; '78-'80, San Francisco Giants)

Evans played between 123 and 160 games at third over these years. Yet, he spent less than 54 percent of his career there while also playing 856 games at first base and 253 as a designated hitter. Over his seven full years at third, Evans made one All-Star team and collected just .03 MVP shares. His assist-to-error ratio was 12.7:1. His best single season during that stretch was 1973, when he hit .281 with 41 knocked over the fence. Combined P/E Average: . 941

## Harmon Killebrew ('59, Washington Senators; '66, '69-'70, Minnesota Twins)

Harmon Killebrew is enshrined in Cooperstown as a first baseman, but he also spent about one-third of his career at third. In four full years, he played 105-150 games, smashed 171 home runs, and was an All-Star every time. The '69 AL MVP, he collected 1.73 total shares over this span. Defensively, he was limited, as evidenced by a ratio of 11.1 assists for every error. Offensively, however, he was a nightmare for pitchers. Combined P/E Average: 1.133

## Paul Molitor ('82-'83, '85, '88-'89, Milwaukee Brewers)

Like Killebrew, Molitor is a Hall of Famer despite not having one main position. With the Brewers in the 1980s, Paul played between 105 and 150 games at third in five seasons, making it to two All-Star squads. He collected only . 20 MVP shares during this time, but he did average 105 runs scored. He also stole 171 bases, finishing the season with 41 three times. His ratio of 14.0 assists per error is solid but not spectacular. Combined P/E Average: . 941

## Pete Rose ('75-'78, Cincinnati Reds)

Third base was one of four positions at which Rose played more than 600 games. From 1975 to 1978, he was the regular third baseman for Cincinnati, playing between 137 and 161 games. He made the All-Star team every year and was the ' 75 World Series MVP. Over this span, he averaged 207 hits per season. He also collected .87 shares, twice finishing in the top five. Good in the field, he averaged 18.4 assists for each error. Combined P/E Average: . 942

## Joe Torre ('71-'72, St. Louis Cardinals)

The former Yankees' skipper had two full seasons at third with the Cardinals, playing 161 and 117 games at this spot. Both years, Torre was an All-Star. In '71 he was the National League MVP and the Major League Player of the Year after batting .363 with 137 runs batted in. He played less than a quarter of his games at third, but he was able to collect . 95 MVP shares over those two seasons. He averaged 14.2 assists for each error. Combined P/E Average: 1.073

## E

## Shortstop

The final infield position is shortstop. Throughout history, shortstops have been more valued for their gloves because good defense is critical at this spot. Shortstops need to have quick feet, good range in both directions, and a strong throwing arm to make plays in the hole and still get the runner at first. The best ever at this spot met all of those requirements and also were productive and efficient with the bat in their hands. A few of them have even become legendary players.

When thinking about defense at short, names such as Ozzie Smith, Luis Aparicio, Mark Belanger, and Omar Vizquel come to mind. They combined to win 41 Gold Gloves and set the bar incredibly high for others to try to reach. That total may even increase, as Vizquel is still active. "Defense, Defense, Defense" summarizes the career accomplishments of the Category 4 and 5 players, along with a few prominent Category 3 shortstops, with respect to their work in the field.

The shortstop position has undergone a transformation over the course of baseball's history. In the early days, Honus Wagner was the game's unquestioned star and the best at his position. Men such as Joe Cronin, Lou Boudreau, and Arky Vaughan came along later to continue in his tradition, but they never reached his level of success. In
the ' 50 s , ' 60 s , and ' 70 s , shortstops were often small, slick-fielding, light-hitting players who needed to use their quickness to make up for a deficiency in power. Ripken ushered in a new era in the ' 80 s, one in which shortstops were bigger and hit with authority. Since then, players such as Jeter, Rodriguez, Tejada, and Garciaparra have continued what Ripken began. Today, many of the sport's biggest stars are shortstops.

## Shortstop: Career P/E Averages

Alex Rodriguez, 1.234; Nomar Garciaparra, 1.108; Honus Wagner, 1.100; Joe Cronin, 1.089; Arky Vaughan, 1.043; Derek Jeter, 1.039; Miguel Tejada, 1.036; Barry Larkin, .994; Joe Sewell, .980; Travis Jackson, .948; Luke Appling, .946; Cal Ripken Jr., .946; Lou Boudreau, .925; Alan Trammell, .912; Pee Wee Reese, .908; Tony Fernandez, .868; Bobby Wallace, .863; Phil Rizzuto, .843; Joe Tinker, .828; Omar Vizquel, .822; Dave Concepcion, .806; Dave Bancroft, .805; Roger Peckinpaugh, .804; Ozzie Smith, .804; U. L. Washington, .791; Garry Templeton, .785; Charlie Babb, .783; Marty Marion, .779; Zoilo Versalles, .779; Maury Wills, .779; Luis Aparicio, .777; Rabbit Maranville, .767; Dick Groat, .763; Gene Alley, .741; Roy McMillan, .709; Larry Bowa, .702; Rey Ordonez, .675; Mark Belanger, . 669

## Category 1-3 Shortstops

## Charlie Babb

Career P/E: .783; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable seasons (P/E): 1903 (.880); 1904 (.751); 1905 (.674)
Charlie Babb stole 22 bases as a rookie in 1903 and led the National League in hit by pitch after getting plunked 22 times. He was hit 11 times in '04, but that didn't stop him from setting career highs with 34 steals, 138 hits, and 53 runs batted in. He also hit .265 that year and was on base almost 35 percent of the time.

Babb lasted only three seasons in the pros. He never knew what it felt like to hit a big-league home run, and he slugged just .300 for his career. He committed 121 errors in his first two seasons, and he was later tried at first base for 31 games in his final season, 1905.

He is our Category 1 entry for the shortstop position. Babb had the luxury of playing for John McGraw on the Giants. The '03 Giants sported two 30-game winners, Christy Mathewson and Joe McGinnity, but failed to win the pennant, finishing second in the National League. That was Babb's only season with New York. Along with Uecker, Throneberry, Anderson, and Babe, Charlie Babb rounds out the all-time C 1 infield.

## Dave Concepcion

Career P/E: .806; Postseason P/E: . 946
Notable seasons (P/E): 1974 (.914); 1978 (.907); 1979 (.959); 1981 (.955)

Dave Concepcion was an integral part of the Big Red Machine that won consecutive World Series in the mid-'70s. He played on four pen-nant-winning teams, won five Gold Gloves, and was a nine-time All-

Star selection. The Reds beat Pittsburgh for the pennant in '75, and Concepcion was tremendous in the NLCS. He hit .455, slugged .727, and reached base safely in half of his plate appearances.

The lifelong Reds player retired with fewer than 1,000 runs both scored and driven in, a fact that hurts his Hall of Fame chances. He averaged only .74 net runs per game, which is not very productive. His .806 P/E Average is only 15 points higher than U. L. Washington, a light-hitting Category 2 player who will be highlighted later in this section. Concepcion also earned only .52 shares in MVP votes over his 19 seasons in the NL.

I can understand why there is some support for Concepcion to be inducted into Cooperstown, but I disagree. Winning five Gold Gloves is an impressive accomplishment, as is nine All-Star appearances. But to me his career statistics are not impressive enough to gain induction in my mind. I think a Category 3 rating is perfect.

## Alan Trammell

Career P/E: .912; Postseason P/E: 1.241
Notable seasons (P/E): 1983 (1.007); 1984 (.973); 1986 (1.023);
1987 (1.172)
The Detroit Tigers have had some tremendous players wear their uniform, but few have been as loved as Alan Trammell. The shortstop spent his entire major league career, 20 years in total, with the Tigers. In '84 he helped lead Detroit to the World Series title. Alan was named WS MVP after posting a remarkable $1.565 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ versus San Diego.

In terms of MVP voting in the regular season, Trammell was in the top five only once. His total of 1.22 shares is solid but unspectacular.

He won four Gold Gloves but failed to take home that trophy from '85 through '96, his last professional season.

Trammell was an excellent shortstop who played his position well and was a crowd favorite for two decades. He teamed with Lou Whitaker to form one of the best middle infields in the game. I would say his chances of one day getting into Cooperstown are doubtful. I don't think he's a Hall of Famer, so I'll give him a rating of C3.

## Zoilo Versalles

Career P/E: .779; Postseason P/E: . 933
Notable seasons (P/E): 1963 (.790); 1964 (.864); 1965 (1.025)
Zoilo Versalles played for a handful of teams throughout his career, but he had his best seasons with Minnesota. In 1965 the Cuban shortstop won the AL MVP award, receiving 98 percent of the total available points. Versalles smacked a career-best 76 hits for extra bases and scored 126 runs. He also stole 27 bases in 32 attempts, won his second Gold Glove, and made his second All-Star team.

Versalles won the Gold Glove in '65 despite committing 39 errors and fielding 12 points lower than the league average. In fact, he made at least 30 miscues in a season six times and averaged less than 14 assists per error for his career-hardly impressive statistics. He retired as a .242 batter who reached base safely less than 30 percent of the time.

Versalles had one breakout season, but I still see him as a C2. His MVP casts the only doubt in my mind. I thought of assigning a Category 3 rating, but I don't see him on the same level as Trammell.

## U. L. Washington

Career P/E: .791; Postseason P/E: . 469
Notable seasons (P/E): 1980 (.853); 1982 (.949); 1983 (.796)
Washington, with his signature style of playing with a toothpick in his mouth, manned the shortstop position for the Royals from the late '70s through the mid-' 80 s. His best seasons were ' 80 and ' 82 . He batted .273 and .286 in those years and drove in 113 combined runs. In 1983 U.L. finished seventh in the American League with 40 stolen bases.

His career numbers are quite ordinary. He batted .251 with just 703 hits. He had only four full seasons of at least 100 games played, although he was on pace for a fifth in 1981 when the strike limited him to 98 games. U.L. never received any All-Star selections, Gold Gloves, Silver Sluggers, or MVP consideration over his 11-year career.

When I was a kid, Washington was always one of my favorite players even though he failed to distinguish himself among his peersmaybe it was his name, maybe it was the toothpick. Nonetheless, I can only rate him as high as Category 2.

## Maury Wills

Career P/E: .779; Postseason P/E: . 607
Notable seasons (P/E): 1961 (.812); 1962 (.984); 1965 (.804); 1967 (.819)

Only four men in the twentieth century stole 100 or more bases in a season. Lou Brock, Rickey Henderson, and Vince Coleman accomplished that feat, but not before Maury Wills did it first in 1962. Wills led the National League that season and took home almost every available piece of individual hardware. He was selected as the league MVP, Player of the Year, and MVP of the All-Star Game, and he won his sec-
ond straight Gold Glove. From '60 to '65, Maury was the top base stealer in the NL, and he retired with 586 thefts in 14 seasons. He used his speed to score 105 runs in '61, 130 in '62, and 1,067 total.

In the postseason, Wills hit just . 244 over four World Series. His . 607 lifetime P/E score for October is extremely disappointing for a player with his talent. He also never walked 60 times in any season, reaching safely .330 for his career.

Wills had tremendous talent but was unable to sustain his excellence over the long run. In my opinion, he's C3 and one of the players I would have truly loved to see play.

## The Best Shortstops

162-Game Averages

| Player | BA | OBP | SLP | HR | TB | R | RBI | H | XBH | BB | K | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Aparicio | .262 | .311 | .343 | 5 | 218 | 83 | 49 | 167 | 36 | 46 | 46 | 32 |
| Appling | .310 | .399 | .398 | 3 | 236 | 88 | 75 | 184 | 39 | 87 | 35 | 12 |
| Boudreau | .295 | .380 | .415 | 7 | 246 | 85 | 78 | 175 | 51 | 78 | 30 | 5 |
| Cronin | .301 | .390 | .468 | 13 | 270 | 94 | 109 | 174 | 61 | 81 | 53 | 7 |
| Jeter | .317 | .388 | .462 | 17 | 303 | 122 | 82 | 208 | 56 | 67 | 114 | 23 |
| Larkin | .295 | .371 | .444 | 15 | 262 | 99 | 71 | 174 | 54 | 70 | 61 | 28 |
| Ripken | .276 | .340 | .447 | 23 | 279 | 89 | 91 | 172 | 58 | 61 | 70 | 2 |
| Rodriguez | .306 | .389 | .578 | 44 | 362 | 128 | 128 | 191 | 80 | 78 | 130 | 23 |
| Sewell | .312 | .391 | .413 | 4 | 251 | 97 | 90 | 189 | 47 | 72 | 10 | 6 |
| Smith | .262 | .337 | .328 | 2 | 194 | 79 | 50 | 155 | 31 | 67 | 37 | 37 |
| Vaughan | .318 | .406 | .453 | 9 | 268 | 105 | 83 | 187 | 52 | 84 | 25 | 11 |
| Vizquel | .274 | .340 | .357 | 5 | 212 | 84 | 54 | 163 | 35 | 59 | 60 | 24 |
| Wagner | .327 | .391 | .466 | 6 | 282 | 101 | 100 | 198 | 58 | 56 | $51^{*}$ | 42 |
| * Estimated total for strikeouts based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Category 4 Shortstops

## Luis Aparicio

Career P/E: .777; Postseason P/E: . 467
MVP: never won; 1.24 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1984
Luis Aparicio won nine Gold Gloves throughout his 18 -year career, all of which was spent in the American League. In 1956 he was selected AL Rookie of the Year after collecting 142 hits, stealing 21 bags, and scoring 69 runs. Defense is what made Aparicio a special player, and it's what got him elected to the Hall of Fame in 1984. He averaged almost 22 assists per error, and he had tremendous range at the position. He was quick and agile, the type of player that often comes to mind when thinking of a prototypical slap-hitting shortstop with a great glove. His nine Gold Gloves trail only Ozzie Smith (13) and Omar Vizquel (11 through 2006) on the all-time list.

Aparicio's offensive power and efficiency numbers are not impressive. He slugged just .343 for his career, reaching the .400 level only once. Aparicio never recorded a P/E Average better than the .870 mark he produced in 1960. Had he walked more and reached safely closer to 35 percent of the time, he would undoubtedly have had many more stolen bases, more runs scored, and more wins for his teams.

Aparicio is a C4. Surrounded by offensive stars, he would be a perfect fit at shortstop, able to use his speed and his glove to make a contribution without being relied on to do much more. He lacked offensive punch, but he still makes the top 10 .

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1956 | 56 | 69 | 3 | 122 | 182 | 34 | 1 | 21 | 4 | 234 | 478 | 583 | .820 |
| 1959 | 51 | 98 | 6 | 143 | 203 | 53 | 3 | 56 | 13 | 302 | 588 | 686 | .857 |
| 1960 | 61 | 86 | 2 | 145 | 206 | 43 | 1 | 51 | 8 | 293 | 583 | 670 | .870 |
| Career | 791 | 1,335 | 83 | 2,043 | 3,504 | 736 | 27 | 506 | 136 | 4,637 | 8,723 | 11,230 | .777 |

## Luke Appling

Career P/E: .946; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
MVP: never won; 2.01 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1964
Appling entered the Hall of Fame in 1964 after collecting more than 2,700 hits and posting a career batting mark of .310 . Luke was terrific at getting on base. He finished with a .399 percentage, and he topped .400 in eight full seasons. He didn't hit many homers, but he did drive in more than 1,100 runs and average .99 net runs of offense per game. Without question, Appling's best season came in 1936, one of two times he finished as runner-up in MVP balloting. He paced the American League with a . 388 batting average, topped 200 hits, and produced an astounding total of 233 net runs. His P/E Average of 1.332 that year was 287 points higher than any other full season.

Appling appears to be the opposite player from Luis Aparicio when it comes to defense. While Luis was fluid and splendid in the field, Appling was not. He fielded four points lower than the league average for his career, an unusual occurrence for a Hall of Famer. From '31 to '37, Luke made almost 300 miscues in the field.

It would be helpful to know how he would have fared in October when analyzing his career and ranking him against other shortstops; he never appeared in the postseason. He had one breakout season and several very good ones, mostly highlighted by high efficiency averages for batting and on-base ability. He's Category 4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1936 | 128 | 111 | 6 | 233 | 267 | 85 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 357 | 823 | 618 | 1.332 |
| 1937 | 77 | 98 | 4 | 171 | 252 | 86 | 1 | 18 | 10 | 347 | 689 | 665 | 1.036 |
| 1940 | 79 | 96 | 0 | 175 | 250 | 69 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 318 | 668 | 639 | 1.045 |
| Career | 1,116 | 1,319 | 45 | 2,390 | 3,528 | 1,302 | 11 | 179 | 108 | 4,912 | 9,692 | 10,243 | .946 |

## Lou Boudreau

Career P/E: . 925; Postseason P/E: . 833
MVP: American League MVP in 1948; 2.66 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1970
In 1948 the voters overwhelmingly decided that Lou Boudreau was the best player in the American League. His three efficiency averages, $.355 \mathrm{BA}, .453 \mathrm{OBP}$, and .534 SLP, were the highest in his career. He generated 204 net runs of offense for the Indians and fielded above the league average for his position by 11 points. Earning MVP consideration was certainly nothing new to Lou; he finished in the top 10 of the voting eight times throughout his 15 seasons. In the mid-' 40 s , he hit better than .300 four out of five years, and he reached at least 185 hits three times in that decade. He led the league in batting in 1944 and made eight All-Star teams.

Having appeared at the plate only slightly more than 7,000 times, Boudreau's career numbers look quite ordinary. He finished with less than 1,800 hits and less than 800 runs batted in. Boudreau's .925 P/E is not great either. He topped 1.000 only twice (1.040 in '40 and 1.195 in '48) and was often below 900.

Even though he played in only 1,646 games, Lou Boudreau is a topfive shortstop in my book. His MVP résumé is impressive, and I think he had a very good combination of bat and glove, which is important at this skilled position. Category 4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1940 | 101 | 97 | 9 | 189 | 278 | 73 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 356 | 734 | 706 | 1.040 |
| 1944 | 67 | 91 | 3 | 155 | 255 | 73 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 341 | 651 | 681 | .956 |
| 1948 | 106 | 116 | 18 | 204 | 299 | 98 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 400 | 808 | 676 | 1.195 |
| Career | 789 | 861 | 68 | 1,582 | 2,500 | 796 | 34 | 51 | 50 | 3,331 | 6,495 | 7,023 | .925 |

## Joe Cronin

Career P/E: 1.089 Postseason P/E: . 591
MVP: never won; 1.96 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1956
Over 20 major league seasons, Joe Cronin piled up some tremendous offensive statistics. From 1930 to 1940, Cronin knocked in more than 100 runs eight times. He routinely hit above .300 , going as high as .346 in 1930. That season, Cronin also scored 127 runs, walked twice as much as he struck out, and posted the highest P/E Average, 1.261, of any full season. His 1.17 net runs per game average is better than those of Jeter, Sewell, and Vaughan, discussed later in this chapter. He made seven All-Star squads and earned 1.96 career MVP shares, although he never managed to win the award.

He appeared in the World Series only once, when his Washington squad took on the Giants in 1933. Cronin, serving as player-manager, was efficient but failed to hit for any power. His seven hits were all singles, and he generated only three runs for the Senators' scoreboard. The Giants won in five games, and Washington sank to seventh in the AL under his guidance the next year. In terms of defense, I don't think Joe was special. Although he out-fielded the league average for his career, he did commit 485 errors, including 62 in 1929.

I have Cronin, Category 4, ranked in my top 10 for the shortstop position. His defense was poor, but he compensated for his shortcomings with his work at the plate.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1930 | 126 | 127 | 13 | 240 | 301 | 72 | 5 | 17 | 10 | 385 | 865 | 686 | 1.261 |
| 1931 | 126 | 103 | 12 | 217 | 293 | 81 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 379 | 813 | 700 | 1.161 |
| 1932 | 116 | 95 | 6 | 205 | 274 | 66 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 345 | 755 | 629 | 1.200 |
| Career | 1,424 | 1,233 | 170 | 2,487 | 3,546 | 1,059 | 34 | 87 | 71 | 4,655 | 9,629 | 8,838 | 1.089 |

## Barry Larkin

Career P/E: .994; Postseason P/E: . 962
MVP: National League MVP in 1995; 1.10 career shares
Hall of Fame: possible
From 1988 to 2004, Larkin appeared in 12 All-Star Games and won nine Silver Slugger honors. In 1990 Barry led the Reds to the World Series and then hit . 353 against the Oakland Athletics as Cincinnati swept their way to the title. In 1995 he was again extremely efficient at the plate in the postseason, batting .385 in the NLDS and then .389 in the LCS. That year, this former University of Michigan star received the league's highest individual honor. In a tight race with Dante Bichette, Greg Maddux, and Mike Piazza, Larkin emerged victorious as the MVP. Playing his entire career in Cincy, Larkin collected 2,340 hits, scored 1,329 runs, and stole 379 bases.

Many people will probably disagree with Larkin's inclusion in this section. He only had four seasons with more than 150 games played, and his season-to-season statistics are not overwhelming. He missed considerable time in ' 89 , ' 97 , '01, and '03. He never came very close to 200 hits, 100 runs batted in, or 200 net runs. He won only three Gold Gloves, but he did play in the same league with Ozzie Smith.

Larkin, the fourth overall pick of the ' 85 draft, should have fared better in MVP balloting, especially in 1996, and he should one day be enshrined. I don't think he's a surefire candidate by any means, but I do think he was good enough to be called C4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1995 | 66 | 98 | 15 | 149 | 244 | 61 | 3 | 51 | 5 | 354 | 652 | 567 | 1.150 |
| 1996 | 89 | 117 | 33 | 173 | 293 | 96 | 7 | 36 | 10 | 422 | 768 | 627 | 1.225 |
| 1999 | 75 | 108 | 12 | 171 | 245 | 93 | 2 | 30 | 8 | 362 | 704 | 687 | 1.025 |
| Career | 960 | 1,329 | 198 | 2,091 | 3,527 | 939 | 55 | 379 | 77 | 4,823 | 9,005 | 9,057 | .994 |

## Joe Sewell

Career P/E: .980; Postseason P/E: . 644
MVP: never won; 1.08 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1977
Joe Sewell may have been the toughest batter for pitchers to strike out in the history of baseball. He averaged just 10 Ks per 162 games, and he had five full seasons in which he fanned fewer than five times each. A .312 lifetime hitter, he batted over .300 in 10 of his 14 seasons. From 1923 to 1925, Sewell finished in the top 10 of the MVP vote each year, twice making it into the top four. He hit .353 for Cleveland in '23 and drove home 109. In '25 he batted . 336 with 204 hits and only four strikeouts in 699 plate appearances. Sewell used a keen eye at the plate along with great bat control to reach base often and set the table for his teammates. His career on-base percentage, .391 , is outstanding.

Even though he generated a lot of runs, Sewell wasn't a base stealer of any renown. He swiped 74 bags but was also caught 72 times. In 1927 he had an unsightly ratio of 3 steals to 16 caught steals. He didn't give away outs at the plate, but at times he gave them away on the base paths. Defensively, Sewell fielded above his league's average, but I don't think he was overly impressive. From '21 to '23 he had 155 errors while being a part of 229 double plays, hardly the type of glove work needed at short.

Sewell rates as Category 4. He struck out less often than any player in history but needed to be better in the field to make it into my top 10.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1921 | 93 | 101 | 4 | 190 | 254 | 80 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 346 | 726 | 683 | 1.063 |
| 1923 | 109 | 98 | 3 | 204 | 265 | 98 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 373 | 781 | 682 | 1.145 |
| 1924 | 106 | 99 | 4 | 201 | 255 | 67 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 324 | 726 | 685 | 1.060 |
| Career | 1,055 | 1,141 | 49 | 2,147 | 2,945 | 842 | 80 | 74 | 72 | 3,869 | 8,163 | 8,329 | .980 |

## Ozzie Smith

Career P/E: .804; Postseason P/E: . 669
MVP: never won; 65 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2002
The Wizard of Oz won 13 Gold Gloves and is generally considered to be the greatest defensive shortstop ever. He won the coveted award every year from 1980 to 1992, a remarkable run of defensive mastery. Ozzie was as acrobatic and fluid as a shortstop could be. He once made a play where he ranged wide and dove to his left, only to have the ball take a bad hop and shoot off in the opposite direction against his momentum. Smith caught the ball, barehanded, and threw the runner out. ESPN analyst and fellow Hall of Famer Joe Morgan once called it the greatest play he'd ever seen. In '87 Smith scored 104 runs, batted .303 , and had an on-base average of .392 , all of which were career highs. He finished second in that year's MVP vote.

Aside from 1987, 1991, and his success in NLCS contests, Ozzie Smith was not a good hitter. He hit .230 or below in three of his first four seasons and was below .250 in five of his first six. His career batting average, .262 , isn't terrible, but his .328 slugging percentage is extremely low. He never slugged within 15 points of .400 , and he never finished a season with more than 230 total bases.

The Wizard's defense is Category 5, but his offense is a 2 or a 3 at best. Thus, I will settle on a final rating of C 4 for Smith. He also makes my top 10 .

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1987 | 75 | 104 | 0 | 179 | 230 | 89 | 1 | 43 | 9 | 354 | 712 | 706 | 1.008 |
| 1988 | 51 | 80 | 3 | 128 | 193 | 74 | 1 | 57 | 9 | 316 | 572 | 669 | .855 |
| 1991 | 50 | 96 | 3 | 143 | 202 | 83 | 1 | 35 | 9 | 312 | 598 | 641 | .933 |
| Career | 793 | 1,257 | 28 | 2,022 | 3,084 | 1,072 | 33 | 580 | 148 | 4,621 | 8,665 | 10,778 | .804 |

## Arky Vaughan

Career P/E: 1.043; Postseason P/E: 1.000
MVP: never won; 1.22 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1985
Despite missing three full seasons and playing only parts of two others during the 1940s, Arky Vaughan was able to compile some impressive career statistics. The nine-time All-Star selection led the league in batting in 1935 with a . 385 average. He also led the Senior Circuit in on-base percentage from ' 34 to ' 36 , and he retired with outstanding efficiency averages of .318 batting and .406 on-base. For his career, Arky hit .300 or better every year but two, and he hit .333 or better in three consecutive seasons in the mid-'30s. Vaughan was a very productive player, averaging 1.10 net runs per contest.

Arky chose to sit out in the mid-'40s because he clashed with manager Leo Durocher in 1943. Normally quiet and reserved, Vaughan handed in his uniform following a team squabble in which he disagreed with Leo. When he returned in 1947, he failed to regain his previous form, and I suspect he also lost some passion for the game after a three-year layoff. He hit .244 in '48, playing 65 games, before quitting for good.

Vaughan, who was nicknamed Arky because of his home state of Arkansas, had a relatively brief major league career, but he was very successful nonetheless. He was inducted into the Hall in 1985, and I agree with that decision, deeming him Category 4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1934 | 94 | 115 | 12 | 197 | 285 | 94 | 2 | 10 | $5^{*}$ | 386 | 780 | 660 | 1.182 |
| 1935 | 99 | 108 | 19 | 188 | 303 | 97 | 7 | 4 | $2^{*}$ | 409 | 785 | 610 | 1.287 |
| 1940 | 95 | 113 | 7 | 201 | 269 | 88 | 3 | 12 | $6^{*}$ | 366 | 768 | 689 | 1.115 |
| Career | 926 | 1,173 | 96 | 2,003 | 3,003 | 937 | 46 | 118 | $59^{*}$ | 4,045 | 8,051 | 7,721 | 1.043 |
| * CS totals estimated based on 2:1 success ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Omar Vizquel

Career P/E: .822; Postseason P/E: . 814
MVP: never won; .01 career shares (through '06 voting)
Hall of Fame: possible
Hailing from Caracas, Venezuela, Omar Vizquel has won more Gold Gloves at shortstop than any other player except Ozzie Smith. His .984 fielding percentage and 40.7:1 ratio are the best marks of any shortstop analyzed in this chapter. He has been selected as his league's best defensive shortstop 11 times and deserves consideration with Smith, Aparicio, and Belanger as the elite glove men at position 6 on the diamond. In 2000 he had one of the great seasons ever in the field. Playing 156 games at short for Cleveland that year, Vizquel fielded .995 and made only three errors. His percentage was 22 points higher than the AL average, and he finished the season with an unbelievable ratio of 138.7 assists per error. Vizquel has already put together nine full seasons in which he made less than 10 errors. Ozzie accomplished that feat just once.

Probably the biggest knock against his career is that he has just . 01 MVP shares to show for all of his defensive mastery. He finished sixteenth in ' 99 but has never gained a vote in any other season. He has also made it onto only three All-Star squads, which sounds more like the résumé of a Category 2 or 3 ballplayer.

I believe Vizquel belongs in the Hall of Fame. His work in the field is unparalleled in many ways, and he's been productive enough to earn induction. Omar is C4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1996 | 64 | 98 | 9 | 153 | 226 | 56 | 4 | 35 | 9 | 312 | 618 | 623 | .992 |
| 1999 | 66 | 112 | 5 | 173 | 250 | 65 | 1 | 42 | 9 | 349 | 695 | 664 | 1.047 |
| 2000 | 66 | 101 | 7 | 160 | 230 | 87 | 5 | 22 | 10 | 334 | 654 | 717 | .912 |
| Career | 869 | 1,337 | 77 | 2,129 | 3,387 | 941 | 47 | 380 | 152 | 4,603 | 8,861 | 10,782 | .822 |

## Category 5 Shortstops

## Derek Jeter

Height: $6^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 175; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: May 29, 1995; Final game: still active
Team(s): New York Yankees (1995-present)
MVP: never won; 2.04 career shares (through '06 voting)
Hall of Fame: imminent
162-game avg.: . 317 batting, .388 on-base, .462 slugging, 17 home runs, 303 total bases, 122 runs scored, 82 runs batted in, 208 hits, 56 extra-base hits, $67 \mathrm{BB}, 114 \mathrm{~K}, 23 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.039; Postseason P/E: . 948

The Good. Jeter is the pure embodiment of a winning ballplayer who rises to the occasion when the game is on the line. He carries himself much like DiMaggio. He doesn't think his team will win. He knows it. To truly appreciate him as a player, you need to look beyond the numbers. Jeter's intangible value was probably best demonstrated in Game 3 of the 2001 ALDS. The Yankees, on the verge of elimination, were clinging to a 1-0 lead when the A's Terrence Long laced a double to right. As Jeremy Giambi rounded third, prepared to tie the game, the throw from the outfield missed the cutoff man. Jeter instinctively retrieved the loose ball and flipped it backhand to Jorge Posada for the out. It was the kind of play that only Derek Jeter could make.

The Yankees' captain leads by example, but he has also put together some impressive statistics over his first 13 seasons. He averages 1.15 net runs per game and is a .317 hitter. He has scored more than 100 runs in a season 11 times, including 134 in 1999, when he posted the highest Production and Efficiency Average, 1.196, of his career. He
already has nine seasons with at least 190 hits and nine seasons batting over .300 . He is a tremendous base runner and is very good in the field. He owns three Gold Gloves through the '06 season to go along with his '96 Rookie of the Year.

Jeter is at his best in the postseason, though. The 2000 World Series MVP, he seems to always be able to reach base, get a big hit, or make an outstanding defensive play at just the right time. His instincts are uncanny, and he is a big reason why the Yankees have won four titles and six pennants with him. If he continues to play at such a high level, and if he continues to win in the playoffs, he will certainly be considered an immortal shortstop after his career is finished.

The Bad. Jeter has good power, but he isn't considered a home-run threat every time he steps to the plate. He has hit as many as 24 homers in a season, but his .462 slugging percentage is far from outstanding. Jeter also strikes out too much. He has fanned 99 times or more in 11 of his 12 full seasons, and he has 96 strikeouts compared with 51 free passes in the postseason. When his ' 01 Yanks lost to Arizona in the World Series, he batted only . 148 and struck out six times.

The Verdict. Jeter is a Category 5 shortstop. He always seems to do whatever it takes to win. In the end, that's about the best thing that can be said about an athlete. I definitely think, when all is said and done, that he'll rank as one of the three greatest in history at the position. For the time being, however, he barely misses making it into that elite company. He is top five, but he's not top three . . . yet. The Yankees' captain is guaranteed a spot in Cooperstown.

## Cal Ripken Jr.

Height: 6'4"; Weight: 225; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: August 10, 1981; Final game: October 6, 2001
Team(s): Baltimore Orioles (1981-2001)
MVP: American League MVP in 1983, 1991; 2.31 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2007
162-game avg.: . 276 batting, .340 on-base, .447 slugging, 23 home runs, 279 total bases, 89 runs scored, 91 runs batted in, 172 hits, 58 extra-base hits, $61 \mathrm{BB}, 70 \mathrm{~K}, 2 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: .946; Postseason P/E: . 855

The Good. Cal Ripken Jr. can truly be called the Iron Man of baseball. He played in more consecutive games, 2,632, than any player in history. His streak began on May 30, 1982, and continued until September 20, 1998. Along the way, Ripken won the Rookie of the Year, two MVPs, and two Major League Player of the Year honors. He made 19 consecutive All-Star teams and was twice (1991 and 2001) selected as the Midsummer Classic's best player.

In '83 Ripken won his first MVP. The Orioles won the pennant and the World Series that magical season. The Maryland native hit .318, scored 121 times, and drove home 102 runs with his 211 hits. He won the award again in 1991 even though Baltimore languished through a disappointing season that saw them lose 95 games. Voters were swayed by Cal's .323 batting average. He also slugged .566 , smashing 85 of his 210 hits for extra bases. His P/E that year, 1.100, was the best mark of his legendary career.

Ripken changed the way shortstop was played when he arrived in the early '80s. Traditionally, shortstops were small and light and usu-
ally unable to hit with much power. Ripken, standing $6^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ and weighing 225 pounds, looked more like a first baseman or a corner outfielder who lost his way and ended up between second and third. Derek Jeter and Alex Rodriguez have since come along and mirrored Ripken's image, along with his production, at the shortstop position. His size didn't prevent him from being a solid fielder, however. His ratio of 31.0 assists per error is better than Ozzie Smith's and trails only Omar Vizquel's in this chapter.

The Bad. Ripken's overall P/E score, . 946 , is not nearly as high as some of the other standout shortstops. He averaged less than one net run per game over his 3,001 major league contests, and he never exceeded 200 net runs in a season. Ripken stole only 36 bases and was caught 39 times. He had consistent power, but he never reached 35 homers in any season.

When Baltimore won the ' 83 Series, their shortstop hit only .167 and had more strikeouts, four, than total bases, three. When the Orioles fell to the Yankees in the '96 ALCS, Cal hit .250 and generated only one net run of offense in five games.

The Verdict. Cal Ripken Jr. had a tremendous career. He hit 431 homers and approached 1,700 runs both scored and driven in. He joined the $3,000-$ hit club in the 2000 season and won multiple MVPs. He is Category 5 without any hesitation, and I make a case for him as the best shortstop ever later in this chapter.

## Alex Rodriguez

Height: 6'3"; Weight: 190; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: July 8, 1994; Final game: still active
Team(s): Seattle Mariners (1994-2000); Texas Rangers (2001-2003);
New York Yankees (2004-2007)
MVP: American League MVP in 2003, 2005; 4.05 career shares (through '06 voting)
Hall of Fame: imminent
162-game avg.: . 306 batting, .389 on-base, .578 slugging, 44 home runs, 362 total bases, 128 runs scored, 128 runs batted in, 191 hits, 80 extra-base hits, $78 \mathrm{BB}, 130 \mathrm{~K}, 23 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.234; Postseason P/E: . 918

The Good. Alex Rodriguez has the highest P/E Average of any shortstop by a wide margin. He is the complete package in terms of speed, power, and glove work. The first overall pick of the 1993 draft, A-Rod burst onto the scene as a highly touted prospect in 1996 with Seattle. He batted .358 that year, smashed 215 hits, and scored 141 runs. His P/E, 1.343, was tremendous but ranks as only the third highest score of his amazing career. In 2000 he generated 225 net runs and slugged .606 en route to a 1.345 P/E. He collected 1.29 combined MVP shares over those two campaigns with the Mariners but failed to walk away with the trophy.

A-Rod has already won two MVPs (although one was as a third baseman, his current position), and I believe he should have won two more. He deserved the honor over Juan Gonzalez in '96, and he was better than Tejada in '02, when he outhomered Miguel 57 to 34 while playing the same position. His 4.05 career share total is tremendous and will likely only increase as he continues to pile up legendary
statistics. He will most likely win the ' 07 honor as well after driving in 156 runs and posting the highest $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}(1.415)$ of his career.

No one at this position can compete with Rodriguez's numbers. He recently hit his five hundredth home run, and he reached 1,500 runs scored and driven in by the end of the 2007 campaign. His average of 1.31 net runs per game is better than any shortstop in history. A-Rod's efficiency averages ( .306 batting, .389 on-base, and .578 slugging), outstanding for any position, are almost unthinkable for a shortstop.

Defensively, Alex is excellent. He owns two Gold Gloves and sports a high ratio of assists to errors. He has good range, dependable hands, and a strong throwing arm. In 2003 he fielded .989 and committed only eight errors in 158 games, earning his second defensive award.

The Bad. When he was traded to New York in 2004, he became a third baseman. Derek Jeter wasn't asked to give up his position, and A-Rod happily agreed to make the switch in order to have a better chance to win a ring. He struggled somewhat in his first season in the Bronx, often leaving runners on base and coming up short against Boston in the playoffs. New York's intense fans and ever-present media have converged to put enormous pressure on A-Rod of late. Despite a huge '07 campaign, he may need to win a World Series title in pinstripes in order to fully validate his career.

The Verdict. Rodriguez is Category 5 and one of the three best shortstops ever, even though he has a handful of years left to play. Currently, he still qualifies as a shortstop, despite the fact that he now patrols the hot corner.

## Honus Wagner

Height: 5'11"; Weight: 200; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: July 19, 1897; Final game: September 17, 1917
Team(s): Louisville Colonels (1897-1899); Pittsburgh Pirates (1900-1917)
MVP: never won; 1.20 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1936
162-game avg.: . 327 batting, 391 on-base, . 466 slugging, 6 home runs, 282 total bases, 101 runs scored, 100 runs batted in, 198 hits, 58 extra-base hits, $56 \mathrm{BB}, 51 \mathrm{~K}, 42$ SB

Career P/E: 1.100; Postseason P/E: 1.102

The Good. Serious debates raged on during the early days of baseball as to who the game's best player was. Many sided with Detroit's Ty Cobb, but others opted for Wagner, the longtime Pittsburgh shortstop who put up legendary statistics. In 1909 Wagner's Pirates defeated Cobb's Tigers in the World Series. The Flying Dutchman outplayed the Georgia Peach by hitting .333, stealing six bases, and driving home six runs. For some, that Series definitively proved Honus as the best.

Wagner led the National League in batting eight times, and he finished second in 1905 with a . 363 mark. He never won an MVP, but it should be noted that 1911 was the first season any such honor was given, and Wagner had already played 13 full seasons by then. He still managed to end his career with 1.20 shares and two finishes in the top three.

The Dutchman posted incredibly high averages year after year, and he matched that efficiency with run production as well. He scored 1,736 runs and drove in 1,732 over his Hall of Fame career. He man-
aged to do so while hitting only 101 round-trippers, which tells me he was probably extremely clutch with runners on base. Honus ended his career as a .327 batter with 3,415 hits. He had 640 doubles, 252 triples, and 722 stolen bases to his credit.

In the field, he made a lot of errors, as did everyone in the early 1900s, but he still fielded well above the National League average, . 940 compared to .927 . He was inducted into the Hall of Fame in 1936 along with Cobb, Babe Ruth, Walter Johnson, and Christy Mathewson as a member of baseball's first group of immortals.

The Bad. There is not very much to count against the career of Honus Wagner. At retirement, he held career National League records in virtually every significant offensive category, including hits, runs, runs batted in, and stolen bases. He did tail off a bit at the end of his career, however. In 1914 Wagner batted .252, the lowest mark he ever knew. He failed to reach .300 during his last four seasons, and his power numbers waned as well. The only caught-stealing information available was for 1915, when he stole 22 bases but was caught 15 times. That ratio was used in determining his career P/E Average as well as his single-season averages for the other 20 years.

The Verdict. Wagner is Category 5 and deserves serious consideration as the greatest shortstop in history. He hit .330 or better 11 consecutive years from 1899 to 1909 and undoubtedly would have received MVP acclaim frequently during that stretch. He'll battle it out with Cal Ripken Jr. and Alex Rodriguez to determine who claims the number one spot at this glamorous position.

## Shortstop: The Top 10

Thirteen shortstops earned Category 4 or 5 status in my opinion and, therefore, also received consideration for the top 10. Although Vaughan, Sewell, and Appling were all great players, they didn't make the list. Once I narrowed the field to 10 , finalizing the list was difficult because offensive statistics had to be weighed carefully alongside defensive accomplishments. These are the 10 best shortstops ever.

## 10. Luis Aparicio

Aparicio just sneaks into the top 10 because of his defensive prowess. He won nine Gold Gloves and was always recognized as a tremendous talent in the field. He certainly didn't have the bat of Arky Vaughan, but he did steal more than 500 bases, score more than 1,300 runs, and accumulate almost 2,700 hits over his career. If given the option, I would choose him over Vaughan to play shortstop on my team. Defense is just too important at this position.

## 9. Barry Larkin

Larkin beats out Aparicio for number 9 for a couple of reasons. First, he won an MVP, and Aparicio didn't. Second, it would appear from looking solely at Gold Gloves that the American Leaguer was much better, yet Larkin fielded at a higher rate and averaged more assists per error. He also had to compete with Ozzie Smith for the award more than half of his career as well. That doesn't mean I think Larkin was the better defensive player, but I do think it keeps him close. Offensively, there is no ambiguity. The Cincinnati star's $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ is 217 points higher in the regular season and nearly 500 points better in October.

## 8. Ozzie Smith

Ozzie comes in ahead of Larkin because he won 10 more Gold Gloves and was clearly the better defensive player. Smith's ' 87 season was just as good as Larkin's ' 95 campaign, and Ozzie won a postseason MVP, something Larkin never did. At the plate, Larkin was better, and he may have been a more complete player. Ozzie is number 8 , however, simply because of his glove.

## 7. Omar Vizquel

Surprise! I think Omar Vizquel deserves to be ranked higher than Ozzie Smith. I also see Vizquel as the better defensive player. He may not be able to match the Wizard's flair for the spectacular, but he has been better at fielding the shortstop position than any player in history. Ozzie never had more than one full season with less than 10 errors; Vizquel has nine. Ozzie's highest fielding percentage was .987 , a score eclipsed by Omar seven times and nearly matched by his .984 career mark. Vizquel's 2000 defensive season is the best ever at shortstop in my opinion, and it might be the best ever at any position. Vizquel was also slightly more productive on offense, although Smith has him by a wide margin in stolen bases. He has my vote, though, and he has spot number 7 .

## 6. Joe Cronin

Cronin was not in the same league as Vizquel defensively, but his offensive numbers are just too good to overlook. His P/E is 267 points higher, and he generated .35 more net runs every game on average. He also tops Vizquel by a wide margin when it comes to MVP shares. Cronin's bat is the choice over Vizquel's glove.

## 5. Lou Boudreau

Cronin doesn't hold the same advantage over Lou Boudreau. Boudreau won an MVP, collected 2.66 shares, and finished in the top 10 eight times. In ' 48 the voters decided he was the best player in either league, and Cronin can't make that claim. Boudreau's P/E doesn't stand up well against Cronin's, but he was far better in the field, averaging almost 10 more assists per error. Both men had 22 World Series at-bats, but Boudreau was more efficient in terms of total bases. I like his combination of offense and defense, and I think the sportswriters in his day did too.

## 4. Derek Jeter

Even though his career is not yet through, I believe Derek Jeter is the fourth best shortstop in history. He exudes a winning aura, and he has the statistics to back it up. Jeter's P/E Average is 114 points better than Boudreau's, and he averages 1.15 net runs per game. Jeter has topped 150 net runs in a season 11 times, and his Cleveland counterpart did it only three. Unlike Boudreau, he has never won an MVP in the regular season, but he has taken home that trophy as World Series MVP.

## The Top Three

The top three begins in 1897 and comes right up to the present moment. Their statistics and their accomplishments are legendary, and a strong case can be made for each to be number 1 . The argument is slightly muddied by the fact that A -Rod is now playing third but still qualifies here with more than 60 percent of his games played at shortstop. Right now, it's time to take a summarized look at the strongest arguments in favor of each.

## The Case for Ripken

Two MVP/Player of the Year seasons
19 consecutive All-Star nominations
Two Gold Gloves and a ratio of 31.0 assists per error
2,632 consecutive games played
More than 3,100 hits, 600 doubles, and 400 home runs

## The Case for Rodriguez

Two MVPs, five top-three finishes, and 4.05 total shares (and still counting)
1.234 career P/E Average

Seven seasons with more than 200 net runs produced; 1.31 net runs per game

Eight 40-homer seasons and 109 home runs from 2001 and 2002
Eight seasons with P/E scores above 1.200, three above 1.300, and one above 1.400

## The Case for Wagner

3,415 hits and more than 1,700 runs both scored and driven home
.327 lifetime batting average and six seasons at .350 or better
Eight-time league batting champion
1.21 net runs per game over 21 seasons in the dead-ball era No MVP given until 1911

## 3. Cal Ripken Jr.

The greatest Orioles player finishes ahead of Derek Jeter on the strength of his two MVP/Player of the Year campaigns in ' 83 and ' 91. Jeter has been in the top five only twice, as a third-place finisher in ' 98 and runner-up in '06. Ripken also finished third, in 1989, but had two seasons in which he was judged to be the best player in the game. Jeter can't make that assertion, and that by itself is enough to make this a fairly easy choice. Furthermore, I think Cal was probably a little more solid in the field, and he hit for more power at the plate. He also maintained a high standard of play over 21 seasons. Jeter may one day prove to be the better player, but he falls shy of Ripken at this time.

## 2. Alex Rodriguez

A-Rod wins the silver, edging out Cal but unable to overtake Honus for the top spot. Like Ripken, Rodriguez won two MVPs and finished third once. Alex also was runner-up twice, and I think he deserved the award in both of those seasons. Two other top-10 finishes (with the ' 07 vote still to be determined) give A-Rod a comfortable advantage, 4.05 to 2.31, in terms of MVP shares. When you consider that he rivals Ripken in the field and eclipses him at the plate, the decision to put Rodriguez in second place becomes fairly obvious. A career P/E Average of 1.234 puts the superstar slugger in elite company with the best players of all time regardless of position. His lifetime score is higher than those of Rogers Hornsby, Ty Cobb, and Mickey Mantle, and it's 288 points better than Ripken's, which is enough to earn him this place on my top 10 list for shortstops.

## 1. Honus Wagner

It wasn't easy to decide between Rodriguez and Honus Wagner for number 1 . First of all, they played more than 75 years apart. When the Flying Dutchman played, the game was entirely different from today; Rodriguez hit more home runs in his first two years in Texas than Wagner did in 21 seasons. Therefore, I needed to compare their careers less with one another and more with their peers.

Wagner was arguably the greatest player of his generation, and he was definitely regarded as the best in the game until Ty Cobb came along, established himself as a superstar, and clouded the issue. Despite playing during a time when runs were scored at one of the lowest levels in the sport's history, Wagner still managed to produce 1.21 net runs per game. He was able to average that over more than two decades throughout the dead-ball era, a fact that is quite remarkable and lends support to his number 1 ranking. Rodriguez's average, 1.31, is higher but has also been formulated since '94 and has not yet been maintained over the course of a long career.

I think Wagner would have won some MVPs if the award had been made available earlier in his career. He led the National League in batting seven times prior to 1911, the year the award was introduced. He finished third in that year's vote, second in 1912, and eighth in 1913. Wagner had 100 or more runs batted in eight times from 1898 to 1909, yet he had no chance to earn any MVP shares during his prime.

Finally, A-Rod may need to win a World Series ring to truly validate his career. Honus played poorly in the 1903 Series, and his team lost to Boston. But he rebounded six years later against Ty Cobb's Tigers, posting a $1.400 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ mark and leading his Pittsburgh team to victory. A-Rod hasn't yet proven he can do that.

## Defense, Defense, Defense

In the real-estate game, the saying is "location, location, location." In the game of baseball, the property where shortstops reside calls for defense, defense, and more defense. Shortstops, normally the best athletes on the field, must have quick feet, strong arms, and the ability to range to both sides to turn potential hits into outs. While I believe that catcher is the most important position because it is involved in every pitch, I also believe that it takes the most skill to play shortstop.

I have compared this position's Category 4 and 5 players, along with a few C3s, with respect to defense. Each player has been analyzed according to three defensive categories. The first, assist-to-error ratio, represents how many positive plays were made in relation to each miscue. The second measure, fielding percentage, looks at the player's career mark in relation to his league's average over the same time period. Finally, the number of Gold Gloves won gives a good indication of how well that player defended his position relative to other top shortstops in the league that season. For those players who had limited or no opportunity to win any Gold Gloves (the award did not start until 1957) I have noted that in their column.

| Player | Ratio | FP (Lg. Avg.) | Gold Gloves* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Luis Aparicio | $21.9: 1$ | $.972(.963)$ | 9 (began in '56) |
| Luke Appling | $11.2: 1$ | $.948(.952)$ | no opportunity |
| Mark Belanger | $27.6: 1$ | $.977(.964)$ | 8 |
| Lou Boudreau | $21.3: 1$ | $.973(.954)$ | no opportunity |
| Larry Bowa | $32.5: 1$ | $.980(.965)$ | 2 |
| Dave Concepcion | $21.2: 1$ | $.971(.964)$ | 5 |
| Joe Cronin | $12.0: 1$ | $.951(.946)$ | no opportunity |
| Derek Jeter | $24.2: 1$ | $.975(.972)$ | 3 (still active) |
| Barry Larkin | $24.9: 1$ | $.975(.968)$ | 3 |
| Cal Ripken Jr. | $31.0: 1$ | $.979(.969)$ | 2 |
| Alex Rodriguez | $27.5: 1$ | $.977(.972)$ | 2 (still active) |
| Joe Sewell | $11.8: 1$ | $.951(.944)$ | no opportunity |
| Ozzie Smith | $29.8: 1$ | $.978(.966)$ | 13 |
| Arky Vaughan | $12.0: 1$ | $.951(.949)$ | no opportunity |
| Omar Vizquel | $40.7: 1$ | $.984(.972)$ | 11 (still active) |
| Honus Wagner | $8.9: 1$ | $.940(.927)$ | no opportunity |
| * accurate through 2006 balloting |  |  |  |

## 7

## Left Field

We now move into the outfield, where we will begin in left. At this point, it is important to mention a slight change in how I have organized the outfielders in the next three chapters. Until now, a player had to play at least 60 percent of his games at one specific defensive position to be included at that spot. With the outfielders, it's a little bit different. As long as 60 percent of their games were played in the outfield, they will be recognized at the outfield position they played most often. There is little difference between playing left field and playing right field. On the other hand, there is a great difference between being a shortstop and being a catcher. Naturally, if a player did not play 60 percent of his games in the outfield, then he is considered multiposition.

The left-field position is loaded with superstars from the past right up to the present. My top three, which includes Barry Bonds, Stan Musial, and Ted Williams, have combined to amass the most impressive MVP credentials of any position. They each have a legitimate claim to the top spot, and the choice was not an easy one. In fact, it was one of the more difficult decisions of any position, a fact that is noted later in the book when I list my top 100 baseball players in the history of the game.

So it was with the rest of my top 10 . The left fielders analyzed include leadoff speedsters such as Lou Brock and Rickey Henderson, middle-of-the-order muscle such as Jim Rice and Al Simmons, and power hitters such as Albert Belle and Ralph Kiner, whose careers burned brightly but didn't last as long as they would have liked.

More than any other position, left fielders need to be offensively gifted. Whether generating runs with quick feet on the bases or with power at the plate, the best at this position added runs to the scoreboard and kept opposing pitchers up at night.

## Left Field: Career P/E Averages

Ted Williams, 1.346; Barry Bonds, 1.264; Al Simmons, 1.199; Albert Belle, 1.161; Stan Musial, 1.151; Ralph Kiner, 1.141; Ken Williams, 1.134; Bob Johnson, 1.131; Goose Goslin, 1.130; Chick Hafey, 1.128; Joe Jackson, 1.123; Joe Medwick, 1.116; Bob Meusel, 1.099; Heinie Manush, 1.071; Rickey Henderson, 1.062; Kevin Mitchell, 1.060; Dante Bichette, 1.059; Jim Rice, 1.046; Minnie Minoso, 1.044; Tim Raines, 1.020; Billy Williams, 1.012; George Foster, 1.006; Sherry Magee, .997; Carl Yastrzemski, .993; Bob Cerv, .992; Greg Luzinski, .991; Garret Anderson, .979; Zack Wheat, .968; Sandy Amoros, .958; Kevin McReynolds, .939; Ben Oglivie, .936; Lou Brock, .926; Dusty Baker, .922; Joe Rudi, .891; Vince Coleman, . 882

## Category 1-3 Left Fielders

## Sandy Amoros

Career P/E: .958; Postseason P/E: . 763
Notable seasons (P/E): 1954 (.993); 1955 (.927); 1956 (1.108)
Playing for the '55 Brooklyn Dodgers, Amoros appeared in 119 games, collecting 96 hits in the regular season. He stole 10 bases and scored 59 runs. These were all career highs. Most important, Amoros came up big in Game 7 of the World Series against the hated Yankees. Two men were on base in the sixth inning when Yogi Berra hit a shot to left that looked like it had a chance to tie the game. Amoros, however, made the catch and then threw back into the infield for a double play. Brooklyn won 2-0, finally overcoming the Yanks.

Unfortunately for Amoros and Dodgers fans, there wasn't much else to get excited about regarding his playing career. The Cuban left fielder played in only 517 games over seven seasons. He never distinguished himself as a bona fide everyday corner outfielder. Amoros never had 60 runs either scored or driven home.

Amoros is a Category 1 outfielder according to the system I've organized. He would probably be a C2 if he had stayed around a little bit longer or put up better offensive numbers.

## Bob Cerv

Career P/E: .992; Postseason P/E: . 484
Notable seasons (P/E): 1958 (1.185); 1959 (1.008); 1960 (.944)
Playing for the Kansas City Athletics in 1958, Bob Cerv became a textbook example of a player with a true breakout season. The righty outfielder set career highs in virtually every offensive category. He batted .305 , hit 38 homers, and knocked in 104 runs en route to an
impressive P/E score of 1.185 . That season, Bob received his only AllStar nomination as well as the only Most Valuable Player shares (.49) of his career by finishing fourth in the vote.

After '58 Cerv was decent the following season but never came close to matching his levels of production and efficiency from his breakout campaign. He had less than 45 RBI every season but two throughout his career and frequently had low batting averages. He was out of baseball by '62, appearing in only 33 games and retiring with fewer than 400 runs either scored or driven in.

Despite one memorable season, Bob Cerv rates as Category 2. He was great in '58, good in '59, and marginal at best for the remainder of his career. He hit 357 in the '60 Series for the Yankees, but he generated only one net run.

## Vince Coleman

Career P/E: .882; Postseason P/E: . 793
Notable seasons (P/E): 1985 (.925); 1986 (.849); 1987 (1.004)
In 1985 the St. Louis Cardinals were led by a speedy rookie named Vince Coleman. Nicknamed Vincent Van Go, Coleman hit leadoff and led the National League with 110 stolen bases. St. Louis won the pennant, and Coleman was given the Rookie of the Year award while also finishing eleventh in the MVP vote. He led the league in swipes for his first six years, averaging more than 90 steals per season.

While his first three seasons were electrifying, the rest of his career wasn't. He played three seasons for the Mets from 1991 to 1993 but failed to play 100 games in any of those campaigns. Vince reached base safely less than a third of the time for his career, and he averaged only .85 net runs per game.

The '85 World Series may have turned out differently had Coleman been able to play in it. He injured himself in the NLCS and didn't appear in the Fall Classic against the Royals. I see him as Category 2 with no chance for enshrinement.

## George Foster

Career P/E: 1.006; Postseason P/E: . 941
Notable seasons (P/E): 1976 (1.155); 1977 (1.303); 1978 (1.108); 1979 (1.147)

Throughout the mid- to late '70s, George Foster was one of the best sluggers in the NL. He led the league in runs batted in for three consecutive seasons and was named MVP in 1977 after belting 52 homers and driving in 149. The year before, Foster had finished second in the vote, losing out to teammate Joe Morgan. In the '76 World Series, George terrorized Yankees pitching with a .429 batting average and seven net runs over the Reds' four-game sweep, reaching base in 50 percent of his plate appearances.

Foster was on his way to a big season when the strike hit in 1981, but his career took a turn for the worse after being traded to the Mets. His production tailed off, his strikeouts remained high, and his batting averages were below .270 the rest of his career.

For a while during his playing days in the late '70s, it looked as if George Foster would end up as an all-time great. The strike of ' 81 seemed to be a line of demarcation in his career, after which he was never the same player. He rates as Category 3.

## Joe Jackson

Career P/E: 1.123; Postseason P/E: 1.035
Notable seasons (P/E): 1911 (1.265); 1912 (1.253); 1919 (1.109); 1920 (1.270)

Shoeless Joe has the third-highest batting average in history, yet he isn't in the Hall of Fame. Accused of throwing the 1919 World Series
with seven others, Jackson was banned from baseball for life. Had he not been banned, Jackson would be in the Hall. Immortals such as Cobb and Ruth pointed to Jackson as a tremendous hitter, one they emulated and respected greatly. Shoeless Joe hit better than .350 six times.

Jackson's name will always be linked to the infamous Black Sox Scandal. He admitted under oath that he had involvement with the fix, but he also pleaded his innocence throughout his life after being banned. His performance in the 1919 Series-a .375 average, 12 hits, 6 RBI, and no errors-speaks for itself. Sadly, the speculation and doubt will probably hover around this great player forever.

I think it's doubtful that Jackson will ever make it into the Hall of Fame. If it hasn't happened yet, then it most likely never will. It would take a strong commissioner to lift his ban. Jackson, considered Category 3 , insisted his innocence until his death.

## Tim Raines

Career P/E: 1.020; Postseason P/E: . 732
Notable seasons (P/E): 1983 (1.165); 1984 (1.033); 1985 (1.065);
1987 (1.219)
Rock stole more than 800 bases, scored almost 1,600 runs, and used his 2,605 hits to average . 294 at the plate over 23 seasons. His best years came in Montreal in the 1980s, when he teamed with Andre Dawson to form a terrific corner outfield duo. Raines served notice to the National League in the strike-shortened season of ' 81 , when he swiped 71 bags in only 88 games. He went on to record five more consecutive seasons with at least 70 steals, peaking at 90 in 1983. His 84 percent success rate is one of the best ever.

Raines never finished better than fifth in MVP balloting, a feat that he accomplished in 1983. He also finished sixth and seventh, but his
career total of 99 MVP shares is low. His postseason P/E is almost 300 points below his regular-season number.

As a few more years pass, I believe Tim Raines will begin to gain serious consideration for Cooperstown. As one of the game's all-time best base stealers, he ranks as one of only five men to top 800 career thefts. Raines also hit for good averages, scored a ton of runs, and made seven straight All-Star Games. Raines is Category 3 in my book.

## The Best Left Fielders

162-Game Averages

| Player | BA | OBP | SLP | HR | TB | R RBI | H | XBH | BB | K | SB |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belle | .295 | .369 | .564 | 40 | 347 | 103 | 130 | 182 | 83 | 72 | 101 | 9 |
| Bonds | .298 | .444 | .607 | 41 | 324 | 121 | 108 | 159 | 78 | 139 | 83 | 28 |
| Brock | .293 | .343 | .410 | 9 | 262 | 100 | 56 | 187 | 48 | 47 | 107 | 58 |
| Goslin | .316 | .387 | .500 | 18 | 306 | 105 | 114 | 194 | 65 | 67 | 41 | 12 |
| Henderson | .279 | .401 | .419 | 16 | 241 | 121 | 59 | 161 | 46 | 115 | 89 | 74 |
| Kiner | .279 | .398 | .548 | 41 | 314 | 107 | 112 | 160 | 69 | 111 | 82 | 2 |
| Manush | .330 | .377 | .479 | 9 | 296 | 104 | 95 | 204 | 62 | 41 | 28 | 9 |
| Medwick | .324 | .362 | .505 | 17 | 315 | 98 | 113 | 202 | 70 | 36 | 45 | 3 |
| Musial | .331 | .417 | .559 | 25 | 328 | 104 | 104 | 194 | 73 | 86 | 37 | 4 |
| Rice | .298 | .352 | .502 | 30 | 320 | 97 | 113 | 190 | 65 | 52 | 110 | 4 |
| Simmons | .334 | .380 | .535 | 22 | 343 | 110 | 134 | 214 | 72 | 45 | 54 | 6 |
| B. Williams | .290 | .361 | .492 | 28 | 299 | 92 | 96 | 177 | 62 | 68 | 68 | 6 |
| T. Williams | .344 | .482 | .634 | 37 | 345 | 127 | 130 | 188 | 79 | 143 | 50 | 2 |
| Yastrzemski | .285 | .379 | .462 | 22 | 271 | 89 | 90 | 167 | 57 | 90 | 68 | 8 |

## Category 4 Left Fielders

## Albert Belle

Career P/E: 1.161; Postseason P/E: 1.114
MVP: never won; 2.38 career shares
Hall of Fame: doubtful
I imagine many people reading this are somewhat surprised to find Albert Belle included in this section. He only played a dozen seasons, but he put up tremendous offensive numbers during that stretch. He hit for high averages with terrific power and averaged 1.19 net runs per game. His 1.161 lifetime $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ is the fourth highest score at this position, better than even Stan Musial's 1.151. It is also higher than the career averages of such immortals as Willie Mays, Hank Aaron, and Frank Robinson. I don't think he is their equal, but I do think he's a Hall of Famer. His average of 83 extra-base hits per 162 games is better than any left fielder in history.

Belle's overall numbers will count heavily against him when voters consider him for Cooperstown. He scored less than 1,000 runs and collected only 1,726 hits. He had three seasons when he hit 48 or more homers but retired with just 381, a total that might not be enough for him to gain the necessary votes. Moreover, Belle's personality and surliness may be the prime factors that ultimately keep him out.

I think it is doubtful that Belle will make it into Cooperstown. He didn't play very long, and he made too many enemies. However, I believe his career warrants induction one day. I rate him as Category 4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1995 | 126 | 121 | 50 | 197 | 377 | 73 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 459 | 853 | 629 | 1.356 |
| 1996 | 148 | 124 | 48 | 224 | 375 | 99 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 492 | 940 | 715 | 1.315 |
| 1998 | 152 | 113 | 49 | 216 | 399 | 81 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 483 | 915 | 706 | 1.296 |
| Career | 1,239 | 974 | 381 | 1,832 | 3,300 | 683 | 55 | 88 | 41 | 4,085 | 7,749 | 6,673 | 1.161 |

## Lou Brock

Career P/E: .926; Postseason P/E: 1.348
MVP: never won; 1.60 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1985
The St. Louis Cardinals were on the better end of one of baseball's most lopsided trades in 1964 when they received Louis Clark Brock, a speedy, young Chicago Cubs outfielder as part of a package for Ernie Broglio. As soon as Brock joined the Cardinals, his career blossomed. He hit . 348 in 103 games and helped lead them to the World Series, where he batted .300 as the Cardinals defeated the Yankees in seven games. He would return to the Fall Classic two other times, winning in '67 and losing in '68. Both times, Brock batted well over . 400 with 25 combined hits over those back-to-back Series. He generated 25 net runs in 21 postseason games. Brock was most dangerous to the opposition when he was on the base paths. He stole 938 career bags and led the league in that category eight times. In 1974 he broke Maury Wills's record by swiping 118 bases.

Brock never walked very much. Therefore, his career on-base percentage finished at just . 343 -he never came close to a .400 mark in that important category. Had he been able to reach base at a higher clip, he could have been Rickey Henderson. The Cardinals legend struck out almost 1,000 times more than he walked.

Brock has the lowest P/E Average and the lowest average net runs per game in this section. He finished in the top five of the MVP once and made six All-Star teams.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1965 | 69 | 107 | 16 | 160 | 281 | 45 | 10 | 63 | 27 | 372 | 692 | 697 | .993 |
| 1967 | 76 | 113 | 21 | 168 | 325 | 24 | 6 | 52 | 18 | 389 | 725 | 724 | 1.001 |
| 1971 | 61 | 126 | 7 | 180 | 272 | 76 | 1 | 64 | 19 | 394 | 754 | 720 | 1.047 |
| Career | 900 | 1,610 | 149 | 2,361 | 4,238 | 761 | 49 | 938 | 307 | 5,679 | 10,401 | 11,235 | .926 |

## Goose Goslin

Career P/E: 1.130; Postseason P/E: . 895
MVP: never won; .76 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1968
Long before there was a Goose Gossage blowing away hitters, Goose Goslin was terrorizing pitchers. He was a steady run producer, knocking in at least 100 runs 11 times. For his career, he drove home more than 1,600 runs while batting .316 lifetime. He hit as high as .379, which he did as a member of the Washington Senators in 1928. Goslin averaged 1.24 net runs per game over his major league tenure. In 1924 he generated 217 net runs, and he bettered that total a dozen years later when he was responsible for 223 runs to Detroit's scoreboard in 1936. When he retired, he had more than 2,700 hits.

Goslin played in an era when offensive statistics were high, and he was never able to distinguish himself from his peers. He made only one All-Star appearance, although the game was not around for much of his career. More important, he never finished in the top five of the American League's MVP vote. His . 76 career shares point to a player with big statistics who wasn't recognized as one of the best players of his time. When he was finally inducted into Cooperstown, it was already 30 years past his retirement.

His statistics point to a player who had a tremendous career, but I think they were probably a bit inflated by the era in which he played. Still, his production numbers are impressive, good enough for a Category 4 rating but not good enough for the top 10 .

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1925 | 113 | 116 | 18 | 211 | 329 | 53 | 6 | 27 | 8 | 407 | 829 | 670 | 1.237 |
| 1930 | 138 | 115 | 37 | 216 | 351 | 67 | 3 | 17 | 11 | 427 | 859 | 668 | 1.286 |
| 1936 | 125 | 122 | 24 | 223 | 301 | 85 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 396 | 842 | 660 | 1.276 |
| Career | 1,609 | 1,483 | 248 | 2,844 | 4,325 | 949 | 55 | 175 | 89 | 5,415 | 11,103 | 9,822 | 1.130 |

## Ralph Kiner

Career P/E: 1.141; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
MVP: never won; 1.31 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1975
Ralph Kiner wasted no time in establishing himself as a legitimate power hitter and a star when he broke in with the Pirates in 1946. He led the league in home runs that year, something that he would do every year through 1952, a remarkable string of seven consecutive seasons. He hit over . 300 three times, scored 100 or more runs six times, and reached base routinely. His career on-base percentage (.398) is outstanding, especially for a middle-of-the-order slugger like Ralph. The righty's rate of one home run per 14.1 times at bat is one of the best in history, better than Killebrew, Mantle, and Foxx. Kiner was an All-Star every year from 1948 to 1953.

Kiner was not an early selection for Cooperstown because he simply didn't play very long. He retired with less than 1,000 runs scored and fewer than 1,500 hits. Numbers like that make it difficult to earn the necessary votes.

I think Kiner deserves to be a Hall of Famer. He was the best slugger in the National League in the late ' 40 s and early '50s, and he complemented his power with excellent plate discipline and run production. A longer career would have been nice, just as a postseason appearance would have been. Nonetheless, Kiner is a C4 and in my top 10 .

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1947 | 127 | 118 | 51 | 194 | 361 | 98 | 2 | 1 | $0^{*}$ | 462 | 850 | 666 | 1.276 |
| 1949 | 127 | 116 | 54 | 189 | 361 | 117 | 1 | 6 | $1^{*}$ | 484 | 862 | 667 | 1.292 |
| 1951 | 109 | 124 | 42 | 191 | 333 | 137 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 473 | 855 | 670 | 1.276 |
| Career | 1,015 | 971 | 369 | 1,617 | 2,852 | 1,011 | 24 | 22 | $5^{*}$ | 3,904 | 7,138 | 6,256 | 1.141 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Heinie Manush

Career P/E: 1.071; Postseason P/E: . 400
MVP: never won; 2.25 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1964
Henry Emmett Manush made his major league debut on April 20, 1923, as a member of the Detroit Tigers. He hit .334 during his rookie campaign, and it was certainly a sign of things to come. A lifetime . 330 batter, Manush frequently hit for high averages. He hit . 378 for the '26 Tigers and then matched that two years later in St. Louis by batting .378 for the ' 28 Browns. In both seasons, he walked more than he struck out and reached base more than 40 percent of the time. Although Manush never won an MVP, he did finish in the top five four times, including a second-place finish in '28 and back-to-back third-place finishes in ' 32 and ' 33 . In total, he earned 2.25 career shares in the balloting.

Left field is a power position, meaning that home-run ability is usually stressed. Manush was never a big home-run threat. He hit only 110 for his career and never had 15 in any single season. That lack of power also contributed to his RBI totals being average. In '31, for example, he had 70 RBI to go along with a P/E Average of just .991.

Manush retired with solid career numbers and an outstanding batting average. I think he was a very good player but probably not quite as good as Joe Medwick or Goose Goslin, a pair of left fielders who played during a similar time.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1928 | 108 | 104 | 13 | 199 | 367 | 39 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 418 | 816 | 697 | 1.171 |
| 1932 | 116 | 121 | 14 | 223 | 325 | 36 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 371 | 817 | 677 | 1.207 |
| 1933 | 95 | 115 | 5 | 205 | 302 | 36 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 342 | 752 | 704 | 1.068 |
| Career | 1,183 | 1,287 | 110 | 2,360 | 3,665 | 506 | 70 | 114 | $62^{*}$ | 4,293 | 9,013 | 8,416 | 1.071 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Joe Medwick

Career P/E: 1.116; Postseason P/E: . 854
MVP: National League MVP in 1937; 2.27 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1968
With 31 home runs, 154 driven in, and a .374 batting average, Joseph Michael Medwick won the 1937 National League Triple Crown. The outfielder, better known as Ducky, won the Most Valuable Player award that year, collecting 88 percent of the available votes. His $1.357 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ score that season was the highest of his career and marked the second time in three years that he reached 1.300. Medwick was tremendously productive in the mid- to late '30s. Beginning in 1935 and continuing through 1939, Ducky generated more than 200 net runs each year.

Medwick had dominant years in the 1930s but failed to sustain that level of excellence. For that reason, he fails to rank with the likes of Al Simmons and Rickey Henderson, who both compiled more impressive career numbers. After the ' 42 season, Ducky's production tailed off dramatically.

Joe Medwick rates as a Category 4 left fielder according to my analysis. His numbers are very similar to Goose Goslin's, but I think he was a better player. He won an MVP and was recognized more frequently for that honor than Goslin. Coincidentally, both men were inducted into Cooperstown in 1968.

| Year | RBI | $\mathbf{R}$ | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1935 | 126 | 132 | 23 | 235 | 365 | 30 | 4 | 4 | $2^{*}$ | 401 | 871 | 670 | 1.300 |
| 1936 | 138 | 115 | 18 | 235 | 367 | 34 | 4 | 3 | $2^{*}$ | 406 | 876 | 677 | 1.294 |
| 1937 | 154 | 111 | 31 | 234 | 406 | 41 | 2 | 4 | $2^{*}$ | 451 | 919 | 677 | 1.357 |
| Career | 1,383 | 1,198 | 205 | 2,376 | 3,852 | 437 | 26 | 42 | $21^{*}$ | 4,336 | 9,088 | 8,142 | 1.116 |
| * CS totals estimated based on 2:1 success ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Jim Rice

Career P/E: 1.046; Postseason P/E: . 913
MVP: American League MVP in 1978; 3.15 career shares
Hall of Fame: possible
In the midst of one of baseball's great pennant races, Jim Rice was at his best for the 1978 Red Sox. The left fielder eclipsed 400 total bases, generated 214 net runs of offense, and was selected as the AL's Most Valuable Player even though Boston failed to make the playoffs thanks to Bucky Dent's improbable home run over the Green Monster. That year was one of eight in which Rice topped 100 runs batted in and one of four years in which he reached 200 hits. I believe his career numbers are good enough to warrant induction into Cooperstown. He batted . 298 lifetime and slugged just over .500. Rice finished his playing days with 382 home runs while playing during an era when 30-40 dingers in a season were near the top of the league leaders.

Jim Rice has been retired since the ' 89 season, and he has yet to earn the necessary votes to enter the hallowed halls of Cooperstown. He was never a favorite of many sportswriters, and that fact may permanently keep him out of the Hall. Statistically, Rice fell short of some offensive milestones. He was just shy of hitting .300 for his career and missed 400 home runs by $18,2,500$ hits by 48 , and 1,500 runs batted in by 49 .

I see Rice as Category 4. I think he did just enough to be in the Hall of Fame, but I'm not sure he'll ever get in. I also have him ranked in my top 10 for left field.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1978 | 139 | 121 | 46 | 214 | 406 | 58 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 471 | 899 | 746 | 1.205 |
| 1979 | 130 | 117 | 39 | 208 | 369 | 57 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 435 | 851 | 688 | 1.237 |
| 1983 | 126 | 90 | 39 | 177 | 344 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 400 | 754 | 689 | 1.094 |
| Career | 1,451 | 1,249 | 382 | 2,318 | 4,129 | 670 | 64 | 58 | 34 | 4,839 | 9,475 | 9,058 | 1.046 |

## Billy Williams

Career P/E: 1.012; Postseason P/E: . 125
MVP: never won; 1.61 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1987
The Cubs must have known they had something special in the early '60s with Billy Williams. He won the National League Rookie of the Year in 1961 after belting 25 home runs and driving in 86 runs. He was an All-Star in three of the next four seasons. Williams went on to hit 426 home runs and surpass 1,400 runs both scored and driven in for his career. He was inducted into the Hall of Fame in 1987. Twice, Williams finished second in the MVP vote. In 1970 he reached 200 hits in a season for the third time, batting .322 and generating 224 net runs. He was runner-up to Johnny Bench. In 1972 Billy again played second fiddle to the Cincinnati catcher despite batting . 333 and slugging . 606 with 122 runs batted in. While he failed to win the MVP, he was named Major League Player of the Year for that season.

Williams played for the Cubs for 16 seasons but was never able to get them into the playoffs. The only time he reached the postseason was when he joined the Oakland Athletics in 1975. Against Boston in the ALCS, Williams went 0 for 7 with only one walk throughout the three-game sweep by the Red Sox.

Williams, C4, had two outstanding seasons surrounded by some very good ones. He was steady, consistent, and reliable, and he could play for my team any time.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1965 | 108 | 115 | 34 | 189 | 356 | 65 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 433 | 811 | 719 | 1.128 |
| 1970 | 129 | 137 | 42 | 224 | 373 | 72 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 453 | 901 | 714 | 1.262 |
| 1972 | 122 | 95 | 37 | 180 | 348 | 62 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 418 | 778 | 650 | 1.197 |
| Career | 1,475 | 1,410 | 426 | 2,459 | 4,599 | 1,045 | 43 | 90 | 49 | 5,728 | 10,646 | 10,519 | 1.012 |

## Category 5 Left Fielders

## Barry Bonds

Height: $6^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 228; Bats: left; Throws: left
First game: May 30, 1986; Final game: still active
Team(s): Pittsburgh Pirates (1986-1992); San Francisco Giants (1993-2007)
MVP: National League MVP in 1990, 1992, 1993, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004; 9.30 career shares (through '06 voting)
Hall of Fame: imminent
162-game avg.: . 298 batting, .444 on-base, .607 slugging, 41 home runs, 324 total bases, 121 runs scored, 108 runs batted in, 159 hits, 78 extra-base hits, $139 \mathrm{BB}, 83 \mathrm{~K}, 28 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: 1.264; Postseason P/E: 1.120

The Good. Barry Bonds has now passed Hank Aaron to become the career home-run leader. No player comes close to matching his record of seven MVPs. He has walked more than any player in history, has scored more than 2,200 runs, and has been awarded the Gold Glove eight times. Only Ted Williams has a higher lifetime P/E Average for left fielders.

In 2001 Bonds was at his absolute best. He set the single-season record by smacking 73 home runs, a feat that might never be duplicated. He slugged .863 that year, the highest such percentage in the history of baseball. In fact, three of the five best seasons in terms of slugging percentage were accomplished by Barry Bonds. He also slugged . 799 in '02 and . 812 in '04.

Barry has won the National League MVP award seven times and finished second on two other occasions. Every time he has won, he
has garnered at least 90 percent of the available votes. In other words, no one was close to winning the award in those seven years, and that remarkable achievement has helped account for his record of 9.30 career MVP shares.

From 2001 to 2004 Bonds had tremendous efficiency averages. He batted between .328 and .370 , reached safely well over 50 percent of the time each season, and never slugged lower than .749. His P/E Averages over that stretch ranged from 1.398 to 1.495 , and he was named the best player in the National League each season.

The Bad. Perhaps no player's career has been tainted by steroid allegations more than Bonds's. Before 2001 he was a tremendous player destined for the Hall of Fame. Since then, he has become an immortal and vaulted himself into the same class as Ruth, Williams, and the other truly elite players in history. However, it is widely speculated that Bonds has risen to that level because of performance-enhancing supplements.

Outside of San Francisco, he is booed and jeered every time he steps to the plate, and opposing fans love to see him fail. Prior to 2005, failure was something Bonds rarely experienced. Since then, however, he has begun to break down, possibly the result of years of steroid abuse and the stress that accompanies the nonstop allegations, questions, and doubt.

The Verdict. Bonds is one of the great players in history, but the steroid controversy hovers over his head and will probably forever mar his legacy. His statistics and accomplishments are without peer. I see him as one of the three best left fielders in history and an easy choice for C5.

## Rickey Henderson

Height: 5'10"; Weight: 195; Bats: right; Throws: left
First game: June 24, 1979; Final game: September 19, 2003
Team(s): Oakland Athletics (1979-1984, 1989-1993, 1994-1995, 1998); New York Yankees (1985-1989); Toronto Blue Jays (1993); San Diego Padres (1996-1997, 2001); Anaheim Angels (1997);
New York Mets (1999-2000); Seattle Mariners (2000); Boston
Red Sox (2002); Los Angeles Dodgers (2003)
MVP: American League MVP in 1990; 2.46 career shares
Hall of Fame: imminent
162-game avg.: . 279 batting, 401 on-base, .419 slugging, 16 home runs, 241 total bases, 121 runs scored, 59 runs batted in, 161 hits, 46 extra-base hits, $115 \mathrm{BB}, 89 \mathrm{~K}, 74 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: 1.062; Postseason P/E: 1.099

The Good. No one in the history of major league baseball has stolen more bases or scored more runs than Rickey Henderson. The prototypical leadoff man, Henderson had a great knack for getting on, getting over, and getting in. In 1982 Rickey set the all-time single-season mark for stolen bases when he swiped 130 bags. It marked the third time in four seasons that he walked more than 100 times and stole at least 100 bases. His career mark of 1,406 thefts is almost 500 more than Lou Brock's total and is likely to remain the record for quite a long time.

Henderson reached base better than 40 percent of the time for his career by using a great eye at the plate with a low, crouched stance that made it difficult for pitchers to locate strikes. He hit .300 or better seven times, including his .325 average in 1990, the year he was
selected as the American League's Most Valuable Player. In just 136 games that season, the speedster scored 119 runs and had an on-base percentage of .439 , which was the highest of his career. Rickey stole 65 bags and knocked 28 over the fence, displaying good power and an ability to jump-start his team with first-inning leadoff homers, something he did more often than anyone in history.

In the postseason, this future Hall of Famer has often been at his best. His P/E Average in the playoffs, 1.099, is higher than his regularseason average. He was named ALCS MVP in 1989 against Toronto. In typical Henderson fashion, he walked seven times, stole eight bases, and scored eight runs. He smacked two home runs, didn't strike out, and wasn't caught stealing. His P/E for that series was a remarkable 2.304 .

The Bad. Henderson was not the same player over the last few years of his career. From 2000 through 2003, his batting averages were . 233 or lower each year. He also failed to reach base as often as he did throughout the earlier stages of his career. Henderson played 25 major league seasons, and he probably would have stayed around even longer. He bounced around the minors trying to earn another shot, but that opportunity never happened again after '03.

The Verdict. Rickey should get into Cooperstown on the first ballot without question. He was a great run producer who was truly unique. He walked 2,190 times, stole 1,406 bases, and scored 2,295 runs. A manager can't ask for anything more from a leadoff hitter. He's Category 5 and top five.

## Stan Musial

Height: 6'0"; Weight: 175; Bats: left; Throws: left
First game: September 17, 1941; Final game: September 29, 1963
Team(s): St. Louis Cardinals (1941-1944, 1946-1963)
MVP: National League MVP in 1943, 1946, 1948; 6.96 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1969
162-game avg.: 331 batting, .417 on-base, .559 slugging, 25 home runs, 328 total bases, 104 runs scored, 104 runs batted in, 194 hits, 73 extra-base hits, $86 \mathrm{BB}, 37 \mathrm{~K}, 4 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.151; Postseason P/E: . 788

The Good. Along with Willie Mays and Hank Aaron, Stan Musial is one of only three players in major league history with more than 6,000 total bases. Stan the Man led the National League in so many different categories over his career that it's virtually impossible to list them all here. Seven times he led the league in extra-base hits and six times he led in total bases. Until Barry Bonds came along, Musial held the all-time record in terms of career MVP shares with 6.96 . He collected 3,630 hits and retired with 1,951 runs batted in and 1,949 runs scored.

Stan won his first MVP in 1943 after leading the league with 220 hits and a .357 average. Three years later, Musial again topped the NL in those two categories and again walked away as the MVP. He was named Major League Player of the Year in ' 46 as well. He wasn't finished, though. The best season of his career was still to come. In 1948 he put together a season for the ages. Musial led the league in virtually every offensive category: batting average, on-base percentage, slugging percentage, hits, extra-base hits, total bases, runs scored, runs
batted in, doubles, and triples. It was indicative of how special of a player he was.

Musial dominated the National League in the 1940s. His Cardinals won four pennants and three World Series from ' 42 to ' 46 . He won three MVPs in the decade and routinely led the league in a variety of offensive categories. He never wore another uniform, establishing himself as probably the greatest Cardinals player in history and one of the best players to ever take the field.

The Bad. There is certainly not much negative associated with Musial's career. He never hit 40 homers in a season, although he was steady enough to amass 475 by the end of his playing days. He was never a great base stealer, and I estimated through known seasons that he was caught more often than he was successful. Furthermore, he batted just .256 in his World Series career, but his St. Louis squads were able to overcome his lack of efficiency and prevail three out of four times anyway.

The Verdict. Not only did Stan the Man win three MVPs, but he also was runner-up every year from 1949 through 1951 and again in 1957. Had the voting gone a little bit differently, Musial might have won a couple more times. Without question, he is an immortal, Category 5 player. He made 20 All-Star teams and splashed his name all over the record book year after year. He routinely smashed extra-base hits and was a perfect fit in the middle of a lineup. Amazingly, he only struck out more than 40 times twice, in the last two seasons he played. In my opinion, Stan Musial is one of the three greatest left fielders in baseball history.

## Al Simmons

Height: 5'11"; Weight: 190; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: April 15, 1924; Final game: July 1, 1944
Team(s): Philadelphia Athletics (1924-1932, 1940-1941, 1944);
Chicago White Sox (1933-1935); Detroit Tigers (1936);
Washington Senators (1937-1938); Boston Braves (1939);
Cincinnati Reds (1939); Boston Red Sox (1943)
MVP: never won; 2.43 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1953
162-game avg.: . 334 batting, .380 on-base, .535 slugging, 22 home runs, 343 total bases, 110 runs scored, 134 runs batted in, 214
hits, 72 extra-base hits, $45 \mathrm{BB}, 54 \mathrm{~K}, 6 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.199; Postseason P/E: 1.325

The Good. Only two men in baseball history have ever achieved a 1.600 P/E Average for an entire season. One of them is Babe Ruth, and the other is Al Simmons. Simmons played only 138 games in 1930 but put up one of the great years in the history of the sport. Bucketfoot Al batted .381 , slugged .708 , and had 211 hits. Most impressive was his level of production. He scored 152 runs, drove in 165 , and hit 36 homers for a total of 281 net runs, which works out to an average of 2.04 net runs per game, an amazing total. His P/E that season was 1.638.

Unlike some of the other big stars of his day who had tremendous individual seasons, Al was able to maintain his level of success beyond a handful of years. He knocked in more than 100 runs in 12 of his first 13 seasons, going over 150 three times from '29 to '32 when his Philadelphia Athletics were at their best. Simmons had four seasons
in which he hit better than .380 , and he led the AL in batting in consecutive seasons in ' 30 and ' 31.

Al Simmons appeared in the World Series four times, and he batted .300 or better in three of them. From ' 29 to '31, the Athletics won the pennant every year, and Al hit two home runs in each World Series. Philadelphia won the first two, establishing themselves as a legendary squad.

The Bad. There is not much wrong with Simmons's offensive numbers. He paired with Jimmie Foxx to form one of the most feared combinations in the sport's history. Critics can find fault with his meager total of 88 career stolen bases or his .380 lifetime on-base percentage, a very good mark but not quite on the same level as the game's other immortal players. Simmons didn't walk very much. He was a slugger, and he came to bat to swing, not to let pitchers off the hook by innocently strolling 90 feet down to first base when there were extra-base hits to be had.

In the latter part of his career, Simmons was tamer at the plate. The '36 season was the last time he reached 100 runs batted in, and he played only 90 games from ' 40 to ' 44 . Al Simmons just missed joining the 3,000-hit club, failing to reach that milestone by 73 knocks.

The Verdict. Simmons's statistics are amazing. At 1.37 net runs per game, he remains one of the game's best run producers. He topped $1.400 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ in four of five years in the late '20s and early ' 30 s and had one of the truly great seasons ever in 1930. He cracks my top five for this corner outfield spot, and I will assign him a rating of Category 5 without any hesitation.

## Ted Williams

Height: 6'3"; Weight: 205; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: April 20, 1939; Final game: September 28, 1960
Team(s): Boston Red Sox (1939-1942, 1946-1960)
MVP: American League MVP in 1946, 1949; 6.43 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1966
162-game avg.: . 344 batting, .482 on-base, .634 slugging, 37 home runs, 345 total bases, 127 runs scored, 130 runs batted in, 188
hits, 79 extra-base hits, $143 \mathrm{BB}, 50 \mathrm{~K}, 2$ SB
Career P/E: 1.346; Postseason P/E: . 533

The Good. Many people believe Ted Williams to be the greatest hitter in the history of baseball, and it is not hard to understand why after perusing his statistics. He combined great power and an uncanny eye at the plate with a tremendous understanding of hitting. His .482 lifetime on-base percentage is the highest mark in history, and his 1.346 P/E score trails only Ruth and Gehrig. He won the MVP twice, the Triple Crown twice, and the Major League Player of the Year five times. He finished as runner-up in MVP balloting four times and owns 6.43 career shares, the third highest total in history. He did all of this despite missing three entire seasons and the majority of two others defending his country in battle.

In 1941 Williams put together one of the great individual seasons in the sport's history. He batted .406 for the season, the last man to achieve that feat. When he had the option to sit out Boston's final two games on the last day of the season to preserve a .400 average, Williams balked at the chance. Instead, he went 6 for 8 and raised his
average to that legendary score. He walked 147 times that year and had more homers, 37, than strikeouts, 27.

To truly appreciate Williams's accomplishments, you must study his numbers, especially those posted from ' 39 through ' 49 when he was at his absolute best. Teddy Ballgame was a perfect blend of production and efficiency. He batted .353 in the eight seasons he played over that stretch and averaged 232 net runs per year. The Boston legend has the highest batting average (.344) of any player with 500 or more career home runs. He homered in his last at-bat.

The Bad. Offensively, Williams was a complete hitter. You cannot find fault with his work at the plate. Defensively, he wasn't great. He also wasn't fast, stealing only 24 bases. But that doesn't matter at all. His offensive prowess far overshadowed any deficiencies he had in the field or on the bases. The one glaring weakness from his illustrious career came in the postseason. Williams never won a World Series, and he was terrible on the game's biggest stage in his only appearance. Against St. Louis in the ' 46 Classic, he hit just .200 , managing only five singles, five walks, two runs scored, and one run driven home over seven games.

The Verdict. The Splendid Splinter may have been the game's greatest hitter. Had he not missed time in the war effort, especially from 1943 to 1945, his statistics would be even more mind-boggling. He easily rates as Category 5 and ranks as one of the three greatest left fielders ever. Some experts have even supported his case as the greatest player in history regardless of position.

## Carl Yastrzemski

Height: 5'11"; Weight: 182; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: April 11, 1961; Final game: October 2, 1983
Team(s): Boston Red Sox (1961-1983)
MVP: American League MVP in 1967; 2.23 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1989
162-game avg.: . 285 batting, .379 on-base, .462 slugging, 22 home runs, 271 total bases, 89 runs scored, 90 runs batted in, 167 hits, 57 extra-base hits, $90 \mathrm{BB}, 68 \mathrm{~K}, 8 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: .993; Postseason P/E: 1.240

The Good. The city of Boston and Red Sox Nation fell in love with Yaz in 1967, and they had every reason in the world to validate their affection. Winning the MVP, Player of the Year, and the AL Triple Crown, Yastrzemski led the Red Sox to the pennant and into the World Series against the Cardinals. In the Fall Classic, the left fielder batted .400 and slugged .840 , doing everything possible to win the title. His efforts were in vain, however, as Bob Gibson and St. Louis prevailed in a decisive Game 7. Yaz, who also won the Gold Glove in that magical season, paced the league with a .326 average, 44 round-trippers, and 121 runs driven home. His P/E mark in the regular season was 1.228. In the World Series, it was 1.310 .

The beloved outfielder also topped $1.200 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ three years later. In 1970 Carl set career highs in batting average, .329 , and on-base percentage, .452 , a mark that was tops in the American League. He finished fourth in the MVP ballot, adding .40 shares to his career total, which amounted to 2.23 . Boston failed to make the postseason that year, but Yaz returned to the October stage in '75. Again, he was tre-
mendous. After batting . 455 in the ALCS, Yaz generated 11 net runs and hit .310 against the Reds in a dramatic seven-game World Series. Fisk's homer in Game 6 was Boston's final highlight as Cincinnati won Game 7 by a score of 4-3.

Throughout his career, which was spent entirely in Boston, Yaz was an 18-time All-Star and the winner of seven Gold Gloves. He was MVP of the ' 70 Midsummer Classic between the rival leagues and topped 1,800 runs both scored and driven in over his 23 seasons.

The Bad. Yastrzemski compiled great overall numbers, but he had some years in which he wasn't great. In 1968, for example, Carl generated only 141 net runs. He also had similar years in the early '70s. At the end of his career, he batted less than .280 in eight of his last nine seasons.

This player exemplifies the value of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ Average in determining a player's overall worth. Yaz's career score, .993 , is good, but it trails behind those of George Foster, Minnie Minoso, and Tim Raines. Just looking at his career numbers may give the indication that he was a dominant player, but I think that was only the case for a few years. His P/E numbers support my opinion.

The Verdict. Yaz retired with more than 3,400 hits, which is a remarkable accomplishment. He was great in the playoffs, and he will always remain a Fenway legend. He's Category 5 in my book, just making it to the top level based on Gold Gloves, postseason excellence, overall numbers, and his '67 masterpiece.

## Left Field: The Top 10

The first outfield position is loaded with heavy hitters and MVPs. Perhaps more than any other position, left field places a premium on offense over defense. This outfield spot is so full of talent that players such as Billy Williams, Goose Goslin, and Lou Brock don't make it onto the list that follows. My top 10 left fielders look like this.

## 10. Joe Medwick

Medwick beats out Lou Brock for spot number 10 because he generated 33 percent more net runs per game, and that is a tremendous advantage in his favor. He also won an MVP, something Brock didn't, and made four more All-Star appearances. Brock was tremendous in the World Series, but Ducky was also exceptional in the ' 34 Classic despite being removed from the seventh game by Commissioner Kenesaw Mountain Landis after sliding in hard to third, which prompted Detroit fans to throw garbage at him. In terms of P/E Averages, Medwick betters his fellow Cardinals left fielder by nearly 200 points, enough to sway the decision slightly in his favor.

## 9. Albert Belle

Albert Belle bumps out Ducky for number 9 on the strength of his power numbers. He slugged .564 to Medwick's .505 , a figure that Belle routinely eclipsed. Medwick's highest percentage (.641) was bettered by Albert three times. Furthermore, Belle averaged 23 more homers and 13 more extra-base hits per 162 games. Medwick hit for higher averages, but he comes up short trying to outmuscle Albert Belle in this analysis.

## 8. Ralph Kiner

The comparison between Kiner and Belle is interesting because both men had tremendous power but didn't play very long. Neither won an MVP, and they are very close in terms of P/E Average, net runs per game, and slugging percentage. Kiner was much better at reaching base, but Belle had postseason success to help strengthen his claim. I finally chose Kiner over Belle because of one important fact: Ralph led the National League in home runs for seven straight seasons. Kiner edges out Belle because he was more dominant in relation to his peers.

## 7. Jim Rice

I'll take the lifelong Boston left fielder here because he won an MVP and helped get the Red Sox into the playoffs twice and into the Series once. Kiner didn't do any of that. Kiner had better power, and that's significant. He was also better at reaching base, but Rice's slight edge in net run production combines with his MVP résumé ( 3.15 career shares in balloting) to earn the nod over the Pirates slugger.

## 6. Carl Yastrzemski

Yaz and Rice comprise two-thirds of the Green Monster Trio and rank back-to-back on this list. Like Rice, Yaz won an MVP and helped lead the Sox into the postseason. When he got there, however, he was tremendous, while Rice was average. Yaz dominated in the ' 67 Series (P/E Average of 1.310) even though Boston came up short yet again. Yaz also won seven Gold Gloves, and Rice never earned that defensive honor. Add in 10 more All-Star selections and a Triple Crown and Yaz is the choice.

## 5. Al Simmons

Simmons is a legitimate Category 5 player. He put up huge statistics year in and year out. Yaz was dominant in ' 67 and had several other very good years; he never approached Simmons's numbers beyond his MVP campaign, however. Al Simmons has more than a 200 -point advantage in terms of career P/E Average, and that is simply too much for the Boston star to overcome. Both men were great in the postseason, and they both earned nearly the same number of career MVP shares.

## 4. Rickey Henderson

The fact that a player of Henderson's stature only ranks fourth speaks volumes about the talent at the left field position. Al Simmons had better power numbers and P/E Averages than Rickey, but he wasn't the type of player who stood out among his peers the way Henderson did. No one was better at getting on base and generating runs for his team than Henderson. He was the consummate leadoff man, and he perfected his art over a quarter of a century. Rickey also won an MVP, something that Simmons didn't. Henderson prevails here because he was so unique. There will never be another Rickey.

## The Top Three

Barry Bonds, Stan Musial, and Ted Williams make up my top three and are as talented as any final grouping at any position on the field; they combined to win 12 Most Valuable Player awards. In terms of career MVP shares, they rank one, two, and three all-time, totaling 22.69 shares between them. They each hit from the left side of the plate and had legendary careers. Only Musial, however, ever won a World Series ring.

## The Case for Bonds

Seven-time MVP with 9.30 career shares
Eight Gold Gloves and 12 Silver Sluggers
762 career home runs including 73 in 2001
High 2001-2004 efficiency averages
More than 500 stolen bases; three seasons with 40 or more steals

## The Case for Musial

Three MVPs and three World Series championships
3,630 career hits; six seasons with 200 or more hits
20 All-Star selections
Seven National League batting titles
Seven seasons leading the league in extra-base hits

## The Case for Williams

Career averages: 1.346 P/E, .344 batting, . 482 on-base, . 634 slugging
Missed three full seasons and parts of two others in his prime Two-time AL MVP; five-time Major League Player of the Year; two Triple Crowns
1.36 net runs per game; nine years with more than 200 net runs

Highest on-base percentage of any player in history

## 3. Stan Musial

Unfortunately for Stan the Man, he was up against two players who each make strong claims as the greatest player in history. Musial was extraordinary, but he comes up short when compared with Bonds and Williams. However, he betters Henderson for this spot for a couple of reasons. First, he won three MVPs to Rickey's one, and his advantage in career shares ( 6.96 to 2.46 ) clearly marks him as the superior player. He also batted 52 points higher, made twice as many All-Star appearances, and had P/E Averages higher than Rickey's lifetime number for the first 16 years of his career. The Cardinals legend was also a master batsman while Henderson was prone to the strikeout. Rickey was a special player, but he wasn't Stan Musial.

## 2. Barry Bonds

It was as close as a coin flip for the top spot. Bonds could easily be ranked as the greatest left fielder ever, but he only comes in at number 2 on my list. In comparison with Musial, on the other hand, Bonds wins fairly easily. He hit with more power, was better defensively, and was much better running the bases. He won as many MVPs as Musial even before winning four in a row from 2001 through 2004, vaulting himself to the top of the class of the all-time greats. At one time, Musial held the record with 6.96 career MVP shares. Bonds has since come along and shattered that mark, registering 9.30 shares over his major league tenure. Musial was far easier to root for, but Bonds is the better choice here.

## 1. Ted Williams

The last member of the Green Monster trio is the greatest of them all. Deciding between Bonds and Williams for the top spot was extremely difficult. Both players have statistics that are out of this world, and both of them repeatedly won individual honors and major awards. Bonds was much better in the field and on the bases. Williams may have been the greatest pure hitter the game has ever seen, he missed more than 500 games in the prime of his career, and he doesn't have a cloud of steroid speculation looming over him. Interestingly, Bonds also struggled in the postseason early in his career, but he later had the chance to redeem himself and prove his critics wrong when they said he wilted under the bright lights of October. Williams never had that opportunity for redemption. He also never had the chance to recoup the years lost to the war effort. Had he played uninterrupted in the mid-' 40 s and early '50s, his numbers would be even more staggering, perhaps the best ever.

Williams didn't win as many MVPs as Bonds, but he also played alongside much tougher competition. Berra, Mantle, and DiMaggio all won multiple MVP awards during Williams's playing days while players such as Terry Pendleton, Ken Caminiti, and Jeff Kent won the honor during Bonds's era. Twice, Williams won the Triple Crown but finished second in the MVP race. If he wasn't in the shadow of the Yankees stars, and if he hadn't missed major time at the peak of his career, Ted would have been more decorated.

I think choosing between the two is very tricky, and I can certainly understand why many people would select Bonds. In my opinion, though, Williams is the best left fielder of all time. His P/E Average is the third highest in history, and he was much more productive than Barry.

## What Might Have Been

Many players, including Joe DiMaggio, Bob Feller, and Warren Spahn, missed portions of their major league careers while serving their country in times of war. But perhaps no player gave more of himself than Ted Williams. Williams missed three full seasons, 1943-1945, at the zenith of his career to serve in World War II. He also missed time during the Korean War in the early '50s. What would his career statistics have looked like had he not served? I thought about that while I was analyzing his numbers, so I decided to do some statistical maneuvering to estimate what might have been.

First, I calculated Williams's totals from the four years (1939-1942) prior to his first leave of absence and his totals from the four years (1946-1949) immediately following his return. I then averaged them by dividing by eight to get an estimate of what he might have produced on a yearly basis. Since he missed three full seasons, I multiplied the yearly averages by 3 and added them to his total. I performed a similar routine for his second hiatus from baseball, when he played only 43 combined games in '52 and '53. In total, I estimated that Ted missed about four and a half years of his career. By figuring out yearly averages and then giving him credit for his time missed from the sport, I arrived at the following career statistics:

664 home runs (would have ranked fourth all-time) 2,364 runs scored (would have ranked first all-time)

2,390 runs batted in (would have ranked first all-time)
2,640 bases on balls (would have ranked first all-time)
6,300 total bases (would have ranked second all-time)
3,433 hits (would have ranked sixth all-time)
684 doubles (would have ranked fifth all-time)

If Ted Williams did not have to leave baseball to serve in two different wars, it is quite possible that he would be considered the greatest player of all time. The estimated statistics above, especially in terms of run production and reaching base, are emblematic of a player who by many accounts fulfilled his desire to be known as the greatest hitter to ever live.
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## Center Hie]d

Center field-the glamour position! Some of the game's biggest stars patrolled the area at this outfield spot. Names such as Mantle, Mays, DiMaggio, and Cobb are instantly recognizable, even by the most casual of baseball fans. Center fielders are the leaders in the outfield and are often extremely athletic and talented in all different aspects of the game. The position demands tremendous range, instincts, and strong throwing arms. At the plate, they are supposed to be heavy hitters.

Chapter 8 is probably more loaded with talent, especially at the top, than any other position. Tris Speaker, Mickey Mantle, and Ken Griffey Jr. have all had tremendous careers yet fail to make it into the top three. Cobb, DiMaggio, and Mays have a stranglehold on it, and they also rank very high in terms of the 100 greatest players ever.

Center field in Yankee Stadium has always been hallowed ground. From Earle Combs to Joe DiMaggio to Mickey Mantle, Hall of Famers seemed to continually patrol the outfield in the Bronx throughout the '20s, '30s, '40s, '50s, and '60s. These three great players, who all have a place in my top 10 , never wore another uniform. Aside from the years devoted to World War II, their careers blended seamlessly with one another from 1924, Combs's first season, until 1968, Mantle's last.

Center fielders are often the most gifted players on a team in a position that calls for speed and offensive punch, as well as the mental fortitude to be seen as stars, highlighted as the centerpieces of their outfield trios. It's a select group that makes it into my top 10, and even a more select group that earns consideration for the number one overall position. In the end, that honor went to a man with an outstanding résumé, both in terms of statistics and championships.

## Center Field: Career P/E Averages

Joe DiMaggio, 1.295; Hack Wilson, 1.209; Ty Cobb, 1.204; Earl Averill, 1.179; Mickey Mantle, 1.178; Willie Mays, 1.154; Ken Griffey Jr., 1.136; Duke Snider, 1.123; Carlos Beltran, 1.116; Jim Edmonds, 1.113; Tris Speaker, 1.107; Larry Doby, 1.094; Bernie Williams, 1.060; Earle Combs, 1.059; Andruw Jones, 1.052; Kirby Puckett, 1.011; Fred Lynn, 1.009; Kenny Lofton, 1.000; Dale Murphy, .992; Johnny Damon, .989; Dom DiMaggio, .978; Andy Van Slyke, .978; Bobby Murcer, .967; Edd Roush, .967; Amos Otis, .965; Vada Pinson, .926; Max Carey, .914; Marquis Grissom, .913; Willie McGee, .891; Garry Maddox, .879; Tommie Agee, .878; Brett Butler, .871; Richie Ashburn, .853; Mickey Rivers, .853; Lloyd Waner, .850; Doc Cramer, .841; Curt Flood, .832; Paul Blair, .806; Al Heist, . 793

## Category 1-3 Center Fielders

## Tommie Agee

Career P/E: .878; Postseason P/E: 1.083
Notable seasons (P/E): 1966 (.990); 1969 (.978); 1970 (.987)
Mets fans will always have a place in their hearts for Tommie Agee, who helped secure the team's '69 championship with his outstanding play in Game 3. Agee made two unbelievable catches, saving a handful of runs, and led off the bottom of the first with a homer off Orioles starter Jim Palmer. The Mets won the Series 4-1, and that critical game served as the high point of Tommie's career. The ' 69 season was his best since '66, when he won the Rookie of the Year, made the first of two All-Star teams, and won the first of two Gold Gloves.

Agee had only three seasons ('66, '69, and '70) when his statistics were good. Although he made the All-Star team in 1967, he batted only .234 with 52 RBI and had less than 200 total bases. In 1968 Agee batted .217 with 30 runs and 17 RBI in his first season in New York. He hit .227 in 1972 in his last season with the Mets and just .222 combined for St. Louis and Houston in '73.

Tommie Agee was instrumental in New York's '69 championship run, and he has a Rookie of the Year award, two Gold Gloves, and two All-Star nominations to his credit. However, his statistics are mediocre, leaving him as Category 2.

## AI Heist

Career P/E: .793; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable season (P/E): 1961 (.872)
After making a name for himself as a talented defensive center fielder in the Pacific Coast League, Al Heist broke into the majors with
the Cubs in 1960. Although he had just over 100 at-bats, Heist set career highs in the three efficiency averages (. 275 batting, .339 onbase, and .412 slugging) and made just one error in the field.

Alfred's career ended almost as soon as it began. He played in only 27 games in 1962, this time for Houston. That season would be his last. This little-known center fielder retired with 126 hits.

The point of including a C 1 player like Heist is simply for comparative purposes. By looking at players of this lowest rating, we can better understand why other players are rated in certain ways. Category 1 players are those with mostly unknown names, paltry statistics, and short-lived tenures in professional baseball.

## Fred Lynn

Career P/E: 1.009; Postseason P/E: 1.197
Notable seasons (P/E): 1975 (1.228); 1979 (1.322); 1982 (1.123)
In 1975 Fred Lynn became the first player in major league history to win the MVP and the Rookie of the Year in the same season. He hit .331 with 47 doubles, 103 runs scored, and 105 RBI. Lynn posted a tremendous P/E of 1.228 and also won the first of four Gold Gloves. The lefty had possibly his best season in 1979. A 333 batting average, combined with 199 net runs, earned him .41 shares and a fourth-place finish in the AL MVP race. Always good in October, Lynn batted . 517 over two AL Championship Series.

It looked as if Fred Lynn might one day be mentioned with the game's greatest center fielders as the 1980s rolled around. Yet, his career never actualized to the point that some had predicted. From ' 80 to ' 90 , Lynn never again reached 150 hits or 90 runs either scored or driven in, and he had batting averages below .275 all but three years.

Lynn's career got off to as good a start as possible, but he wasn't able to sustain that level after the 1970s, when he was at his best. Injuries always took their toll. His defense and clutch hitting in the playoffs combine with his early success to earn a rating of C3.

## Garry Maddox

Career P/E: .879; Postseason P/E: . 719
Notable seasons (P/E): 1976 (.988); 1977 (.978); 1978 (.853)


#### Abstract

"Two-thirds of the Earth is covered by water. The rest is covered by Garry Maddox." This witty quote, which explains Maddox in a nutshell, was delivered by either Phillies broadcaster Harry Kalas or Hall of Famer Ralph Kiner. I have seen it attributed to both men in various sources. Those who watched Maddox play center field from the mid-'70s into the early ' 80 s know how good he was defensively. Maddox won the Gold Glove every year from 1975 to 1982. Garry used his speed to run down balls in the gaps. His best season was 1976; he was fifth in the MVP race after batting .330 with 29 steals.

Maddox was never a great run producer, averaging just .81 net runs per game, a deficiency in production that helps explain a very modest .879 career P/E. His batting averages were usually solid, but he never scored more than 85 runs or drove home more than 76. The 29 shares he earned in ' 76 represent all but .02 of his total.

The offensive numbers and lack of MVP acclaim in every year but one suggests Category 2. However, Maddox was tremendous with the glove, and center field is an important position defensively. Therefore, the Secretary of Defense earns a rating of C3.


## Mickey Rivers

Career P/E: .853; Postseason P/E: . 677
Notable seasons (P/E): 1975 (.842); 1976 (1.003); 1977 (.926); 1980 (.915)

Mick the Quick starred in center field for the Angels, Yankees, and Rangers throughout the '70s and into the mid-'80s. In 1976 the speedy lefty hit .312 , stole 43 bags, and scored 95 runs. Rivers usually performed at his best in ALCS play, where he went 3-0 and batted . 386 for the Yankees from ' 76 to ' 78.

Rivers hit only 61 homers over 15 seasons. His career slugging percentage (.397) is low but not as disappointing as his .327 on-base mark. Leadoff hitters, ideally, approach .400 in that critical category. Rivers was never higher than .353 in any full year and reached base less than a third of the time in many seasons. The problem is that Mick never walked much. The 43 free passes he received in ' 75 represented his highest single-season total. In fact, he only had one other season in which he walked at least 30 times.

Rivers is Category 2. He only scored 785 runs, and he drove in less than 500 . His impressive stolen-base totals were limited to only a few years, and he never received much individual acclaim in terms of MVP, All-Star, or Gold Glove nominations.

## Hack Wilson

Career P/E: 1.209; Postseason P/E: . 660
Notable seasons (P/E): 1927 (1.297); 1929 (1.412); 1930 (1.540)
There are several major league records that have stood for quite a long time and may never be broken. One of them is Hack Wilson's mark of 191 RBI in 1930. That year, Wilson put together one of the
best campaigns of all time, hitting . 356 , slugging .723 , and accumulating 423 total bases. He also had 208 hits, including 56 homers, and he scored 146 times. His $1.540 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ for that season stands as one of the highest scores in baseball history.

After 1930 Wilson's numbers went down dramatically. His Hall of Fame induction came in 1979, 45 years after his retirement. In other words, baseball writers didn't see Wilson as a Hall of Famer for nearly four decades.

I understand why Wilson, C3, is in the Hall. He put together two tremendous years back-to-back and had enough other very good ones to earn the necessary votes.

## The Best Center Fielders

162-Game Averages

| Player | BA | OBP | SLP | HR | TB | R | RBI | H | XBH | BB | K | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Averill | .318 | .395 | .534 | 23 | 329 | 119 | 113 | 196 | 74 | 75 | 50 | 7 |
| Cobb | .366 | .433 | .512 | 6 | 312 | 120 | 103 | 224 | 61 | 67 | $29^{*}$ | 48 |
| Combs | .325 | .397 | .462 | 6 | 296 | 132 | 70 | 208 | 57 | 75 | 31 | 11 |
| DiMaggio | .325 | .398 | .579 | 34 | 368 | 130 | 143 | 207 | 82 | 74 | 34 | 3 |
| Griffey | .290 | .374 | .553 | 40 | 333 | 105 | 116 | 174 | 75 | 79 | 109 | 13 |
| Mantle | .298 | .421 | .557 | 36 | 304 | 113 | 102 | 163 | 64 | 117 | 115 | 10 |
| Mays | .302 | .384 | .557 | 36 | 328 | 112 | 103 | 178 | 72 | 79 | 83 | 18 |
| Puckett | .318 | .360 | .477 | 19 | 314 | 97 | 99 | 209 | 62 | 41 | 88 | 12 |
| Snider | .295 | .380 | .540 | 31 | 292 | 95 | 101 | 160 | 64 | 73 | 94 | 7 |
| Speaker | .345 | .428 | .500 | 7 | 296 | 109 | 89 | 204 | 66 | 80 | $16^{*}$ | 25 |
| * Estimated total for strikeouts based on known seasons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Category 4 Center Fielders

## Earl Averill

Career P/E: 1.179; Postseason P/E: . 000
MVP: never won; 1.85 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1975
From 1929 through 1938 Averill put up tremendous numbers with the Cleveland Indians. As a rookie, Earl batted .332 , scored 110 runs, and had 74 extra-base hits. He would hit better than .300 seven other times throughout his career, retiring with a terrific .318 average. He had two extraordinary seasons at the plate. In 1931, he surpassed 200 hits for the first time en route to a .333 batting average. He reached safely more than 40 percent and slugged .576 , the second highest mark of his career. His other dominant season was ' 36 . Earl set career highs in the three standard efficiency averages: .378 batting, .438 on-base, and .627 slugging. Again, his production was outstanding, producing 234 runs for Cleveland.

After '38 Averill's numbers fell off dramatically. He was dealt to Detroit in 1939, after the season had begun, and he batted just . 262 for the Tigers. His lifetime production totals are solid but not spectacular, especially in comparison with some of the immortals at this position.

I see him as Category 4, and I have placed him in my top 10 for center field. It took until 1975 to induct Averill into Cooperstown. I'm not sure what took so long.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1931 | 143 | 140 | 32 | 251 | 361 | 68 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 435 | 937 | 701 | 1.337 |
| 1934 | 113 | 128 | 31 | 210 | 340 | 99 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 445 | 865 | 702 | 1.232 |
| 1936 | 126 | 136 | 28 | 234 | 385 | 65 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 451 | 919 | 682 | 1.348 |
| Career | 1,164 | 1,224 | 238 | 2,150 | 3,390 | 774 | 33 | 70 | $57^{*}$ | 4,210 | 8,510 | 7,215 | 1.179 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Earle Combs

Career P/E: 1.059; Postseason P/E: 1.222
MVP: never won; .29 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1970
Earle Combs, the Kentucky Colonel, played his entire career with the New York Yankees and was at the heart of their World Series successes in the 1920s and 1930s. Combs played center field and hit leadoff, winning four pennants and three World Series in pinstripes. He reached base often, walked almost 400 more times than he struck out, and scored between 113 and 143 runs for eight consecutive seasons beginning in 1925. With Ruth, Gehrig, Meusel, and Lazzeri at the heart, the Yankees put together one of the game's great lineups, and Combs was the spark plug. For his career, Earle averaged 1.21 net runs per game, a score symbolic of a very productive player.

Certainly, Combs benefited greatly from two of the greatest run producers in history, Ruth and Gehrig, hitting behind him. His entire career was spent with at least one, if not both, of those Hall of Famers driving him home. If he had played for another team that lacked sluggers, then his career numbers would probably not be as good. The center fielder failed to reach 2,000 hits, falling shy by about a full season's worth.

Combs's career wasn't very long, but he was very successful in his limited time. For eight straight years, he was a perfect leadoff man at the top of a potent lineup. In my analysis, he deserves to be in Cooperstown. I see him as a Category 4 center fielder.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1927 | 64 | 137 | 6 | 195 | 331 | 62 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 404 | 794 | 724 | 1.097 |
| 1930 | 82 | 129 | 7 | 204 | 278 | 74 | 0 | 16 | 10 | 358 | 766 | 617 | 1.241 |
| 1932 | 65 | 143 | 9 | 199 | 269 | 81 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 346 | 744 | 675 | 1.102 |
| Career | 632 | 1,186 | 58 | 1,760 | 2,657 | 670 | 17 | 96 | 71 | 3,369 | 6,889 | 6,507 | 1.059 |

## Kirby Puckett

Career P/E: 1.011; Postseason P/E: 1.055
MVP: never won; 2.56 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2001
The best thing that can be said about a professional athlete, in my opinion, is that he played at his best when the games mattered most. Kirby Puckett was that kind of player. In the 1991 World Series, the Minnesota Twins faced elimination against Atlanta in Game 6. Puckett accounted for every single Twins run, going three for four with two runs scored and three batted in. In the third inning, he robbed Ron Gant of an extra-base hit as he leaped, crashed into the wall, and made a terrific catch. When he came to bat in the bottom of the eleventh, the score was tied, and the tension was palpable. No sooner did the inning begin than Puckett sent everyone home with a dramatic walkoff homer to force Game 7. Puckett won six Gold Gloves and six Silver Sluggers and made 10 straight All-Star teams.

There are people who don't think Puckett deserves to be in Cooperstown. He only topped 100 RBI three times, and he didn't have the power numbers of other Hall of Fame center fielders. Glaucoma caused Kirby to lose vision, which forced him into early retirement after he played 137 games in 1995.

Puckett was a tremendous talent whose career was unfortunately cut short. I think he would have easily reached 3,000 hits had he not lost vision, and then there would be no question regarding his enshrinement. I rate him Category 4 and a top-10 center fielder.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1988 | 121 | 109 | 24 | 206 | 358 | 23 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 382 | 794 | 691 | 1.149 |
| 1992 | 110 | 104 | 19 | 195 | 313 | 44 | 6 | 17 | 7 | 373 | 763 | 696 | 1.096 |
| 1994 | 112 | 79 | 20 | 171 | 237 | 28 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 275 | 617 | 482 | 1.280 |
| Career | 1,085 | 1,071 | 207 | 1,949 | 3,453 | 450 | 56 | 134 | 76 | 4,017 | 7,915 | 7,831 | 1.011 |

## Duke Snider

Career P/E: 1.123; Postseason P/E: 1.114
MVP: never won; 1.97 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1980
The mid-'50s belonged to Duke Snider. He hit 40 or more home runs five consecutive seasons from '53 to '57, exceeding 200 net runs by a wide margin three times during that span. Yankees fans had Mantle and Giants fans had Mays, but Brooklyn was proud to call Duke Snider their own. With Snider in center, Brooklyn won five National League pennants between 1949 and 1956. The Silver Fox never won an MVP, but he did finish in the top 10 six times, and he was in the top four each year from 1953 to 1955. His consistency was apparent in the regular season and the World Series. Duke had four straight seasons in which he topped 100 runs scored and driven home in the same year, and he had four straight World Series with 7 to 10 hits and 7 to 9 net runs.

Once the 1960s rolled around, Duke was not the same player. He hit just 53 homers during his last five seasons and batted less than .250 three times in the first half of that decade. When the Dodgers left Brooklyn, his slide downhill had already begun.

Snider was a very good player, and during the mid-'50s he was exceptional. However, he is not on the same level as Mantle and Mays. I have him rated as Category 4, and I think he should have been inducted before 1980.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1953 | 126 | 132 | 42 | 216 | 370 | 82 | 3 | 16 | 7 | 464 | 896 | 680 | 1.318 |
| 1954 | 130 | 120 | 40 | 210 | 378 | 84 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 466 | 886 | 679 | 1.305 |
| 1955 | 136 | 126 | 42 | 220 | 338 | 104 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 445 | 885 | 653 | 1.355 |
| Career | 1,333 | 1,259 | 407 | 2,185 | 3,865 | 971 | 21 | 99 | $76^{*}$ | 4,880 | 9,250 | 8,237 | 1.123 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Category 5 Center Fielders

## Ty Cobb

Height: 6' 1"; Weight: 175; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: August 30, 1905; Final game: September 11, 1928
Team(s): Detroit Tigers (1905-1926); Philadelphia Athletics (1927-1928)
MVP: American League MVP in 1911; 1.43 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1936
162-game avg.: 366 batting, .433 on-base, .512 slugging, 6 home runs, 312 total bases, 120 runs scored, 103 runs batted in, 224
hits, 61 extra-base hits, $67 \mathrm{BB}, 29 \mathrm{~K}, 48 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.204; Postseason P/E: . 845

The Good. When the Hall of Fame opened its doors for the first time in 1936, Ty Cobb was one of five immortal players inducted, and he received the most votes of them all. Cobb's status is legendary. He owns the highest career batting average, 366 , of any player to ever step into the batter's box. Generally considered to be the best player of his time, Cobb dominated the dead-ball era. After hitting .240 as a rookie in 1905, Cobb went on to hit better than 315 in every other season of his 24 -year career. He hit .350 or higher 16 times, a feat that is hard to comprehend and will likely never be duplicated. The Georgia Peach led the league in batting 11 times and never finished worse than second in that category from 1907 to 1919.

Undoubtedly, Cobb's best year was 1911. In my opinion, it remains one of the great individual seasons ever. Ty hit . 420 and slugged . 621 . Of his 248 hits, 79 went for extra bases, and he added to his value by swiping 83 bases. In terms of production, Cobb was tremendous. He
scored 147 times and drove in 127 runs with only eight homers. His average net runs per game for that season was 1.82 , a tremendous mark. Although he didn't know it at the time, Cobb joined elite company by becoming one of a select group of players to surpass 1.500 $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ in a single season. He was the unanimous selection to receive the first MVP awarded in the AL.

At his retirement, Ty Cobb was the most decorated player of all time. As his Hall of Fame plaque notes, Tyrus "created or equaled more major league records than any other player." In 1909 he won the American League's Triple Crown. He led the league in on-base percentage seven times and in slugging percentage eight times. His 1.204 lifetime P/E Average is the third best in this chapter.

The Bad. Cobb was a tough man to like. He had a vicious temper, and he placed winning and on-field success above all else. Perhaps more than any other player, Cobb played the villain well. In terms of his play, however, there is little with which to find fault. He slugged above .500 for his career, but he never hit more than a dozen home runs in any season. More important, Cobb never won a World Series. He had three chances from '07 to '09, but his Tigers squad failed each time. In two of those appearances, he batted a combined .217 with nine net runs in 12 games.

The Verdict. Despite his personality and his inability to win a championship, Cobb still ranks as one of the great baseball players in history. His statistics and achievements are legendary. There will probably never be another player like him. He's Category 5 with a place in this chapter's top three.

## Joe DiMaggio

Height: 6'2"; Weight: 193; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: May 3, 1936; Final game: September 30, 1951
Team(s): New York Yankees (1936-1942, 1946-1951)
MVP: American League MVP in 1939, 1941, 1947; 5.43 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1955
162-game avg.: . 325 batting, .398 on-base, .579 slugging, 34 home runs, 368 total bases, 130 runs scored, 143 runs batted in, 207
hits, 82 extra-base hits, $74 \mathrm{BB}, 34 \mathrm{~K}, 3 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.295; Postseason P/E: . 918

The Good. Throughout the summer of '41, baseball fans awoke each morning and checked their newspapers to find out if DiMaggio did it again. For 56 consecutive games, Joltin' Joe hit safely, captivating the city of New York and the entire baseball world with his remarkable accomplishment. DiMaggio went on to win the AL MVP that year, beating out runner-up Ted Williams for the award as the Yankees won yet another pennant and yet another World Series championship. The MVP was DiMaggio's second, having already won one in 1939, and he would finish his career with three MVPs, a Player of the Year, and 5.43 MVP shares.

Almost as soon as DiMaggio took his place in the Yankees outfield, he was a star. In his rookie season, Joe D. batted .323 with 206 hits and 132 runs scored. The '36 Yankees won 102 regular-season games before winning the title, establishing themselves as one of the great teams of all time. They repeated the trick the next year, 102 wins and a championship parade, as DiMaggio had arguably his best season.

The Yankee Clipper stroked 215 hits, 96 of which went for extra bases, to go along with 151 runs scored and 167 RBI. Baseball fans soon realized, if they hadn't already, that a very special player was in center field in the Bronx.

DiMaggio owns the highest career P/E Average of any center fielder in history, and he owns it by a wide margin. His 1.295 score is more than 140 points higher than that of Willie Mays, considered by many to be the greatest center fielder, if not the greatest player, in history. Like Williams and other stars from the '40s, DiMaggio missed considerable time due to World War II. If he had not left the game for three full seasons, then I think it is fair to assume that he could have approached 2,000 career RBI. He battled through injuries and pain later in his career, which also stunted his lifetime numbers. Nevertheless, DiMaggio achieved more in 13 seasons than almost any player in history. His statistics are fabulous, he won three MVPs, and his squads won 10 pennants and nine World Series championships. He also married Marilyn Monroe.

The Bad. Joe DiMaggio wasn't the friendliest or the most accommodating superstar that New York has ever seen. He was very careful in maintaining a certain image, and that probably rubbed many people the wrong way. On the field, he didn't hit 600 homers, steal 100 bases in a season, or hit .400 . Other players had more flash or more power or better numbers, but they weren't Joe.

The Verdict. The Yankee Clipper is Category 5. A case on his behalf is presented later in this chapter in consideration of the best center fielder ever. To me, he was the pure embodiment of a winner.

## Ken Griffey Jr.

Height: 6'3"; Weight: 205; Bats: left; Throws: left
First game: April 3, 1989; Final game: still active
Team(s): Seattle Mariners (1989-1999); Cincinnati Reds (2000-present)
MVP: American League MVP in 1997; 3.20 career shares (through '06 voting)

Hall of Fame: imminent
162-game avg.: . 290 batting, .374 on-base, .553 slugging, 40 home runs, 333 total bases, 105 runs scored, 116 runs batted in, 174 hits, 75 extra-base hits, 79 BB, $109 \mathrm{~K}, 13$ SB

Career P/E: 1.136; Postseason P/E: 1.206

The Good. If you were given the opportunity to build the perfect baseball player, he might very well look exactly like Ken Griffey Jr. The son of a major league father, Junior had great genes right from the beginning. He matured into a complete athlete, gifted with great speed, range, and leaping ability. At the plate, he used his effortless swing to hit for high averages with tremendous power. In short, there's almost nothing Junior can't do on the diamond, which is why the Mariners used the first pick of the ' 87 draft to select him. After batting .264 as a rookie, Griffey responded by hitting .300 or better in seven of his next eight seasons. In 1993 he found his power stroke. Junior blasted 45 homers that season and then added 40 more in 111 games in '94. From 1996 to 2000, a five-year stretch, he hit 249 homers, including 56 in both '97 and '98.

Griffey has been an extremely well decorated player in terms of individual awards throughout his major league tenure. From 1990 to 2000, he made every All-Star team, and he also made the squad in

2004 and 2007. He has already won seven Silver Sluggers. Griffey won the Gold Glove every year in the 1990s, 10 in a row. In ' 97 he was the unanimous Most Valuable Player as well as the Major League Player of the Year, and he has finished in the top five of the MVP ballot five times, earning a total of 3.20 career shares with years left to play.

The first time this superstar had the chance to appear in the postseason, he made the most of it. Against the Yankees, Junior smacked five home runs, scored nine runs, and slugged 1.043 in the ' 95 ALDS. His P/E Average for that series was 1.852 , and he scored the winning run on Edgar Martinez's double to send New York home in a decisive and thrilling Game 5 victory for Seattle.

The Bad. After leaving the Mariners, the star center fielder hasn't enjoyed the same level of success. He played in only 206 games with the Reds from 2002 to 2004, as it looked as if his career might be over prematurely. Griffey's first season in Cincinnati, 2000, was good, but things haven't gone as well since. He failed to reach 100 runs either scored or driven in from 2001 to 2006, and he has only .01 MVP shares to his credit since joining the National League.

The Verdict. Perhaps Junior should have stayed in the Pacific Northwest instead of following in his father's footsteps by joining the Cincinnati Reds. At one time, he looked like a sure bet to challenge the career home-run totals of Mays, Ruth, and even Henry Aaron. Although he has regained some of his power, he hasn't been able to come fully back to the player he was in Seattle. Nonetheless, he is a Category 5 center fielder and, in my opinion, one of the five best ever at the position.

## Mickey Mantle

Height: 5'11"; Weight: 198; Bats: both; Throws: right
First game: April 17, 1951; Final game: September 28, 1968
Team(s): New York Yankees (1951-1968)
MVP: American League MVP in 1956, 1957, 1962; 5.79 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1974
162-game avg.: . 298 batting, .421 on-base, .557 slugging, 36 home runs, 304 total bases, 113 runs scored, 102 runs batted in, 163 hits, 64 extra-base hits, $117 \mathrm{BB}, 115 \mathrm{~K}, 10 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.178; Postseason P/E: 1.073

The Good. The game of baseball may have never witnessed a more talented player than No. 7, Mickey Mantle. Mantle was blessed with one of the great combinations of speed and power in history. He could hit a ball 500 feet from either side of the plate just as easily as he could beat out a drag bunt, racing down the first-base line as fast as anyone in the game. In the mid-'50s, there was no bigger star and no better player than Mantle. In 1956 he won the AL Triple Crown, batting . 353 with 52 round-trippers and 130 runs batted in, leading the Yanks to the World Series title. The Mick was the unanimous MVP that year, and he won his second trophy the following season after batting . 365 and reaching base well over half the time thanks in part to a leaguehigh 146 bases on balls. By the end of his playing days, Mickey had three MVPs, three second-place finishes, and 5.79 career shares, good for fifth place all-time.

Mantle virtually lived in the World Series. He appeared in the Fall Classic 12 times, winning seven of them. His name is plastered all over
the record books. In terms of World Series play, Mantle is first in home runs (18), RBI (40), extra-base hits (26), walks (43), runs (42), and total bases (123). Twice, he had 10 hits in the Series, including the 1960 championship against the Pirates when Mantle registered a P/E score of 1.788 in a losing effort.

The Mick retired with outstanding career efficiency averages, especially in terms of on-base (.421) and slugging (.557). He joined the 500-home-run club in 1967 and ended up with 536 career dingers. He scored 1,677 runs, made 16 All-Star teams, and owns the fifth best P/E Average in this chapter. Fans idolized him, teammates loved him, and pitchers feared seeing him in the batter's box. Mickey Mantle was inducted into the Hall of Fame in 1974.

The Bad. Mantle had some bad luck with injuries, and he didn't take care of himself very well. In '51, as a rookie playing right field in the World Series, Mick stepped awkwardly onto a drainage ditch and hurt his knee. From '62 to '63, he played only 188 combined games as he again battled injuries and ailments with his legs, ankles, and feet. It was a well-known fact that Mantle also drank too much and stayed up too late too often. He lived life at a feverish pace, and it caught up with him in the end. Mantle died in 1995 at the age of 63.

The Verdict. Although he is a certain Category 5 player, Mantle could have been even better had he been able to avoid injuries and the bottle. He was as gifted as any player in history, yet the brilliance he flashed in the mid-'50s and early'60s wasn't sustained. Still, he ranks in this position's top five.

## Willie Mays

Height: 5'11"; Weight: 180; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: May 25, 1951; Final game: September 8, 1973
Team(s): New York/San Francisco Giants (1951-1952, 1954-1972);
New York Mets (1972-1973)
MVP: National League MVP in 1954, 1965; 6.06 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1979
162-game avg.: . 302 batting, .384 on-base, .557 slugging, 36 home runs, 328 total bases, 112 runs scored, 103 runs batted in, 178
hits, 72 extra-base hits, $79 \mathrm{BB}, 83 \mathrm{~K}, 18 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.154; Postseason P/E: . 859

The Good. Many people consider Willie Mays to be the greatest center fielder of all time. When you look at his statistics and his accomplishments and consider his tremendous talent, it's not hard to understand why. He hit 660 home runs, made 20 consecutive All-Star squads from 1954 to 1973, and played the position with more skill and flair than anyone before or since. From '57 through '68 Mays won every Gold Glove that was awarded for center field. Willie combined remarkable range, hustle, instincts, and a terrific throwing arm to become one of the game's best defensive players in history. In the '54 Series against Cleveland, he made one of the most famous and improbable catches ever in deep center field at the Polo Grounds. Racing with his back to home plate, Mays robbed Vic Wertz (who already had three hits) with an over-the-shoulder basket catch. Then he whirled around and fired the ball back into the infield before anyone knew what had happened. The Giants won the game and swept the Indians, who had won 111 games.

The '54 season also witnessed Mays win his first of two MVP awards. After batting .345 with 41 homers and a .667 slugging percentage, Mays earned 84 percent of the available points while also being named Major League Player of the Year. He won the Rookie of the Year honor in '51 and was twice named MVP of the All-Star Game. In terms of MVP balloting, Willie finished in the top six a dozen times, helping account for 6.06 career shares, the fourth best total in history.

Willie Mays scored more than 2,000 runs, drove in more than 1,900, and surpassed 6,000 total bases. Hank Aaron is the only other player in history that can make that claim. Willie scored more than 100 runs for 12 straight seasons, and he reached 40 homers six times, including two years with more than 50 .

The Bad. From 1967 to 1973 Mays failed to bat .300, hit 30 home runs, reach 150 hits, or score or drive in 100 runs in each season. When he finished his career with the Mets in '73, he wasn't nearly the same player. The other knock I can find with his résumé is that he won only one championship. Willie went 1 -for- 3 in four World Series attempts, and he batted just .239 with no home runs on the biggest stage. After winning Rookie of the Year, Mays hit and slugged . 182 against the '51 Yankees in defeat. His postseason P/E is 295 points lower than his regular-season score.

The Verdict. Mays is an easy pick for Category 5 . He ranks in my top three and deserves serious consideration as the best center fielder ever. There will never be another Say Hey Kid.

## Tris Speaker

Height: $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 193; Bats: left; Throws: left
First game: September 14, 1907; Final game: August 30, 1928
Team(s): Boston Red Sox (1907-1915); Cleveland Indians
(1916-1926); Washington Senators (1927); Philadelphia Athletics (1928)

MVP: American League MVP in 1912; 1.72 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1937
162-game avg.: . 345 batting, 428 on-base, . 500 slugging, 7 home runs, 296 total bases, 109 runs scored, 89 runs batted in, 204 hits, 66 extra-base hits, $80 \mathrm{BB}, 16 \mathrm{~K}, 25 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: 1.107; Postseason P/E: . 855

The Good. Tris Speaker is one of only five men in the history of major league baseball to reach 3,500 hits. He also scored 1,882 runs, batted .345 with a .428 on-base mark, and hit more doubles (792) than any player ever. Speaker was an outstanding center fielder in the early part of the twentieth century, playing the majority of his career with the Red Sox and Indians. He hit .380 or better five times, winning the AL batting crown with a .386 average in 1916, his first season in Cleveland. Speaker had great speed and was terrific at reaching base and scoring runs. From 1910 through 1927, Tris had on-base percentages that ranged from .395 to .483 , and he scored more than 100 runs in a season seven times during that stretch. He walked often and seldom struck out. Speaker received as many as 97 free passes in a season, yet available statistics show that he never struck out more than 25 times in any year. He also stole 432 bags, making him a nightmare for opposing pitchers to worry about, both at the plate and on the bases.

In 1912 Tris won the AL MVP in just the second year of the award's existence. He had 222 hits, including 53 doubles, and scored 136 runs in leading Boston to the pennant. Facing the New York Giants in the World Series, Speaker batted .300 with nine hits and four walks as he won the first of his three championships. The Grey Eagle would never lose a postseason series.

In terms of production and P/E scores, his best years came in Cleveland. Speaker generated 236 net runs in 1920, which helped account for his impressive $1.307 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$. Three years later he was even better, contributing 246 runs to the Indians scoreboard while racking up the highest Production and Efficiency Average (1.354) of his Hall of Fame career. Cooperstown opened its doors to this outstanding player in 1937, the Hall's second year of existence.

The Bad. Speaker's lifetime P/E Average, 1.107, is very good but falls short of some of the other Hall of Fame center fielders. He hit more than 10 homers in a season only once. His .500 lifetime slugging percentage is due in large part to his ability to hit the ball into the gaps and down the lines, legging out doubles and triples as well as anyone in history. While he hit .306 and never lost in the World Series, his .855 postseason P/E score is more than 250 points lower than his regu-lar-season number. Tris had only three RBI in 20 World Series contests.

The Verdict. The Red Sox had the opportunity to have Speaker and Babe Ruth in the same outfield. What a duo that would have been. Tris is Category 5 and one of the 10 best ever in center.

## Center Field: The Top 10

Exactly 10 center fielders were mentioned in the previous two sections, making it clear as to who comprises the top 10 . But what is the order? It wasn't easy to decide between such a talented group. In all, six center fielders earned Category 5 status. Three Yankees outfielders highlight the list that follows, while Snider and Mays do their part to give it even more of a New York feel. In my opinion, these are the 10 best center fielders in baseball history.

## 10. Earle Combs

The Kentucky Colonel begins my list. He deserves to be in the Hall of Fame, and he edges out the other contenders for this spot based on his run production and consistency with the Yankees from the mid'20s through the mid-'30s. Combs was a perfect leadoff man, routinely topping a 400 on-base percentage and staying in the lineup enough to score 996 runs from 1925 through 1932, a stretch that witnessed the Bronx Bombers win four pennants and three World Series.

## 9. Kirby Puckett

Imagine what Puckett's numbers would have been if he had Ruth, Gehrig, Meusel, and Lazzeri hitting behind him. Puckett proved he could take over and win games single-handedly, and I'm not sure Combs had that same ability. I'm uncertain about Combs's defensive prowess, but I can't imagine he was Kirby's equal. Ironically, both men had their careers suddenly cut short by injuries that quickly forced retirement on them. I think Puckett probably had a little more pop in his bat and a better glove in the field, making him my choice for ninth by a close margin.

## 8. Earl Averill

Earl Averill's numbers are just too good not to place him ahead of Puckett. His 1.179 lifetime P/E score was bettered only once by the Minnesota star, in the strike-shortened 1994 campaign. Averill slugged much higher and averaged .20 net runs per game more than Kirby. Both men hit .318 for their careers, but Earl reached base at a much better clip and had three seasons that bettered Kirby's top RBI year. Puckett's postseason success is a big advantage in his favor, but I'm willing to give Averill the benefit of the doubt that he would have performed well on the big stage had he been given more opportunity.

## 7. Duke Snider

Two words explain why Snider comes in at number 7 over Averill: power and postseason. Duke hit 40 or more homers five times, something Earl can't come close to claiming. He also hit for high batting and slugging averages in the World Series. That, in itself, is enough for me.

## 6. Tris Speaker

I see Tris Speaker as a much better player than Duke Snider. First, he batted 50 points higher and had about 1,400 more hits. He won an MVP, had a higher net-runs-per-game average, and simply had more good seasons than Duke. In my opinion, Speaker has always been underappreciated, despite his tremendous statistics and accomplishments. I have found that many casual fans simply don't know the name Tris Speaker, and that's a shame.

## 5. Ken Griffey Jr.

Starting with Speaker at number 6, we're left with only Category 5 center fielders, and it's not easy to rank them against one another. Junior edges out Speaker in my mind because of power. He equals Tris as a fielder, matched his MVP with one of his own, and hit above 300 for his postseason career, just like Speaker. Speaker's top home-run season was 17 , however, a number that Junior reached in just 72 games in '95. The Grey Eagle's 117 career dingers are only five more than Griffey hit over two seasons, '97-'98, in Seattle.

## 4. Mickey Mantle

Griffey toppled the immortal Speaker, but he can't eclipse Mantle. While they're both Category 5, Mantle was clearly the superior player. He won three MVPs and was runner-up three other times. The Mick owns so many World Series records that it's almost unbelievable. Interestingly, Mantle hit 536 home runs in 18 seasons. Through 2005, Junior hit exactly 536 home runs in 17 seasons. Their P/E Averages are similar (slight edge to Mickey), but the Yankees legend walked a lot more. Both players had injury issues, so that argument essentially cancels itself out.

## The Top Three

I think if you gave a hundred people my top three and asked them to rank these superstars, you'd get every possible combination over and over without a clear consensus. Cobb, DiMaggio, and Mays are the three best ever in center. Even the great Mantle can't break into this top grouping. My top three is legendary. Each one enjoyed his status as the game's best player at one time or another, but only one can be the winner.

## The Case for Cobb

.366 lifetime batting average and 11 league batting titles
892 stolen bases; 4,189 hits; 2,246 runs scored
Tremendous 1911 season (unanimous MVP and P/E Average of 1.512)

No MVP given in his 1909 Triple Crown season
On retirement, held or shared more major league records than any player

## The Case for DiMaggio

10 pennants and nine World Series championships
Three MVPs despite missing three full seasons in his prime
$1.295 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}, .579$ slugging, and 1.48 net runs per game career averages
361 career home runs with only 369 strikeouts
Made the All-Star team every year that he played

## The Case for Mays

6.06 MVP shares

660 home runs and more than 6,000 total bases
20 consecutive All-Star nominations
12 straight Gold Gloves (1957-1968)
Rookie of the Year, Player of the Year, two-time MVP, and two-time All-Star MVP

## 3. Willie Mays

When they were both at their best, I think Mickey was probably a better player than Willie, but Mays clearly had the better career. He avoided injuries and was able to put up bigger numbers more consistently, and that's why he edges out Mantle for number 3. The similarities between the two stars are fascinating. They began their careers less than a mile apart in New York City within six weeks of each other. Their P/E Averages are less than 25 points apart, and both averaged 1.10 net runs per game. They batted within four points of one another while both slugging .557 for their careers. When looking at total bases, however, Mays emerges as the winner. The Mick topped 300 total bases in a season five times. Say Hey accomplished that feat for 13 straight years.

## 2. Ty Cobb

I admit, it wasn't easy choosing Cobb over Mays for number 2, but I think it was the correct decision nonetheless. Cobb's career was one of a kind, and I just think he was the better player, albeit slightly, than Mays. The fact that he received more Hall of Fame votes than Babe Ruth and Walter Johnson in 1936 says something to me that I can't ignore. At his retirement, Cobb was thought by many to be the game's greatest of all time, and I'm not sure the same case can be made for the Say Hey Kid. Coming in behind Ty Cobb is no injustice. Mays had the advantages in terms of defense and power, and that is hard to overlook. I just think Ty Cobb was that special and that dominating; he deserves to be placed ahead of the National League star by the slimmest of margins.

## 1. Joe DiMaggio

The greatest center fielder in history is Joe DiMaggio, and I feel quite confident stating that as my opinion. DiMaggio had the statistics, and, more important, he had the championships to back it up. He won 10 pennants and nine World Series titles. He also ranks number one in terms of P/E Average and net runs per game for this position. What else can you ask of your star center fielder? Like Jeter and a handful of select players over baseball's history, DiMaggio exuded a winning persona. His Yankees weren't just favored to win, they were destined to, with no doubt about it, and he made sure that it happened. True, he was surrounded by talented players. But that shouldn't take away from Joltin' Joe's greatness. As I said before, all he did was win. His 1937 season ranks as one of the greatest of all time, and you have to take into account the years he lost during WWII.

He was more productive than Cobb (1.48 net runs per game to 1.34), he was better defensively, and he won and won and won. His career statistics don't seem as impressive as they could have been because he served in the war effort, missing three full seasons, which may have thrown off his momentum and prevented him from compiling truly legendary numbers. You can't go wrong with either one playing center field and hitting in the middle of your lineup, but I'm taking DiMaggio if given the chance.

## The Center of It All

In the mid-1950s, several major league cities witnessed great centerfield play, but nowhere was the talent more concentrated than in New York. From 1954 through 1957, Mickey Mantle, Willie Mays, and Duke Snider all were superstars for their respective teams while playing within a stone's throw of one another. Those four years were the only ones in which each played a full season (at least 100 games) in NYC. Mantle played in less than 100 games in '51, the year he and Willie broke into the majors. Mays appeared in only 34 games in '52 and didn't play at all in '53. After '57, Duke went to L.A. with the Dodgers while the Giants departed for San Francisco. But they were all together, playing full-time, from '54 to '57. So which one was the best over that span? Let's take a look at each player's four-year average.

Per-Year Offensive Averages (1954-1957)

| Player | $\mathbf{B A}$ | $\mathbf{O B P}$ | $\mathbf{S L P}$ | $\mathbf{H R}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ | $\mathbf{R B I}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ | $\mathbf{H}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ | $\mathbf{T B}$ * | $\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{E}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mantle | .330 | .453 | .625 | 38 | 126 | 106 | 171 | 323 | 1.310 |
| Mays | .323 | .397 | .627 | 41 | 114 | 105 | 187 | 362 | 1.231 |
| Snider | .305 | .403 | .616 | 41 | 112 | 115 | 166 | 335 | 1.241 |
| * Rounded off to the nearest whole number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Production and Efficiency Averages indicate that Mantle was the best of the three as a result of much higher walk totals and more runs scored. Mick, who won the Triple Crown in '56, also batted the highest and was at least 50 points ahead of both Willie and Duke in terms of on-base percentage. The idea that Mantle was the best center fielder
in New York over that time frame is also supported by each player's individual awards and team success.

| Player | MVP: shares | POY | All-Star Appearances | Pennants | WS Titles |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mantle | 2 MVP: 2.08 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Mays* | 1 MVP: 1.89 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Snider | 0 MVP: 1.25 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

* Mays also won the Gold Glove in 1957, the only one of the three to do so during the four-year span. The Gold Glove was first given in '57 as a combined award for both leagues.
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## Right Field

Power and production. Above everything else, that's what this position is about. The right-field position is dominated by heavy hitters and legendary run producers, including Ruth, Aaron, Ramirez, Sosa, Robinson, and Ott. Like those at first base and left field, right fielders need to wield powerful bats.

There are eight right fielders who have received Category 5 status, more than any other position. In addition, 12 other players are Category 4. I didn't go into this chapter with a predisposition toward anointing so many Hall of Famers; I simply couldn't help it. The position is loaded with power and production.

Tony Gwynn and Roberto Clemente, included in the top 10, are exceptions to the rule. What they lacked in home-run power and monster RBI numbers, they made up for with scintillating defense, multiple batting titles, and more than 6,000 combined hits between the two of them. Do they have enough to push ahead of the heavy hitters and into the top five? The answer to that question awaits you.

Henry Aaron, Frank Robinson, and Babe Ruth comprise the top three, and it should come as little surprise that I have chosen them for this elite group. They are legends, and their names are plastered all
over baseball's record books. The trio combined to hit more than 2,000 home runs and generate more than 10,000 runs for their teams' scoreboards. No other top three comes close to matching those numbers.

As we wind down our analysis of the outfield, it becomes clear, to me at least, that right field has been dominated by sluggers, more so than any other position. It was very difficult to put together the top10 list because so many players were worthy.

## Right Field: Career P/E Averages

Babe Ruth, 1.436; Manny Ramirez, 1.234; Vladimir Guerrero, 1.174; Mel Ott, 1.171; Chuck Klein, 1.160; Juan Gonzalez, 1.155; Harry Heilmann, 1.144; Hank Aaron, 1.140; Frank Robinson, 1.126; Bobby Abreu, 1.123; Gary Sheffield, 1.120; Sammy Sosa, 1.100; Kiki Cuyler, 1.092; Darryl Strawberry, 1.081; Paul Waner, 1.050; Bobby Bonds, 1.048; Elmer Flick, 1.040; Enos Slaughter, 1.040; Ken Griffey Sr., 1.036; Reggie Jackson, 1.033; Sam Crawford, 1.028; Dave Winfield, 1.025; Al Kaline, 1.023; Paul O'Neill, 1.018; Wally Post, 1.016; Roger Maris, 1.015; Rocky Colavito, 1.013; Ross Youngs, 1.007; Andre Dawson, .998; Roberto Clemente, .994; Carl Furillo, .994; Dave Parker, .986; Tony Gwynn, .981; Tony Oliva, .979; Sam Rice, .977; Ichiro Suzuki, .953; Harry Hooper, .883; Cory Snyder, .854; Mike Vail, . 807

## Category 1-3 Right Fielders

## Cory Snyder

Career P/E: .854; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable seasons (P/E): 1986 (.991); 1987 (.886); 1988 (.957)
Cory Snyder's career got off to a tremendous start with the Cleveland Indians in the mid- to late 1980s. Finishing fourth in the ' 86 AL Rookie of the Year vote, the young right-field prospect had Indian fans excited. He hit 83 home runs over his first three seasons, including 33 in 1987. That season also saw Cory set career highs in RBI (82), runs (74), and total bases (263).

Unfortunately for Cleveland, Snyder was never able to propel his game to the next level. After '88, he never again hit 20 homers in any season, and he never made an All-Star team, won a Gold Glove, or received any MVP support. Furthermore, Snyder had a penchant for striking out. He fanned almost 1,000 times in his career to just 226 walks.

Snyder is Category 2. At one time, he was a highly touted prospect with a bright future. As is normally the case with C2 players, however, he never reached his potential.

## Vladimir Guerrero

Career P/E: 1.174; Postseason P/E: . 672
Notable seasons (P/E): 1999 (1.212); 2000 (1.254); 2004 (1.265); 2005 (1.207)

There aren't many current stars in baseball bigger or better than Vladimir Guerrero. Having begun his career in '96 with the Expos, Vlad has since moved out to the West Coast, where he now patrols the
outfield for the Angels. In 2004 he generated 211 net runs for his team's scoreboard, a fact that impressed the MVP voters; Guerrero won the prestigious award with a 100-point advantage over runnerup Gary Sheffield. Vlad has a tremendous throwing arm, and he's known for being able to hit balls all over, and sometimes out of, the strike zone.

Despite owning a cannon for a right arm, Vladimir has as many errors (119) as assists (119) for his career. With seven seasons of at least 10 errors, he has never been able to win a Gold Glove even though base runners know better than to test his arm when going from first to third or trying to score from second on a single to right. Guerrero also hasn't been able to carry his prowess at the plate into October on a consistent basis.

If he continues on his current pace, Guerrero will end up in Cooperstown. He already has 2.32 MVP shares and 1,177 RBI. Currently, I see him as a C3 outfielder. If he can stay healthy, however, he has a chance to have his name immortalized in the Hall of Fame once he hangs up his spikes for good.

## Roger Maris

Career P/E: 1.015; Postseason P/E: . 877
Notable seasons (P/E): 1960 (1.220); 1961 (1.279); 1962 (1.016)
There may have been a few numerologists who saw it coming, but for the rest of the world, Roger Maris's accomplishment of 61 homers in 1961 was a complete shock. Even after winning the American League MVP in 1960 ( 39 home runs and 112 RBI), Roger's '61 masterpiece left the country in awe. For his outstanding campaign, Maris won another MVP, topping a $1.200 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ for the second time in as many seasons.

After '61 Maris never came close to reaching the same level of power and production. He did knock in 100 runs in 1962, but he never came close to that mark again. To this day, Roger Maris has failed to receive enough support to enter the Hall of Fame, and it's very possible that he will never make it in.

I think Maris had one very good year ('62), one great year ('60), and one magical year ('61). To me, he's Category 3 with a doubtful chance of enshrinement.

## Wally Post

Career P/E: 1.016; Postseason P/E: 1.000
Notable seasons (P/E): 1955 (1.168); 1956 (1.027); 1959 (1.022)
Walter Charles Post was a tall, strong right fielder who played the majority of his career in Cincinnati. In 1955 he had his best season when he smacked 40 homers, drove in 109, and scored 116, leading to the highest P/E Average (1.168) of his career. The next season, the righty slugger hit 36 more out of the park. In the field, Wally possessed a powerful throwing arm; he had at least a dozen outfield assists every year from '54 to '59.

Post was terrific in 1955 but then failed to come close to those numbers again throughout his career. He retired with fewer than 700 RBI, fewer than 600 runs, and a batting average of .266 ; he fanned more than 800 times while only drawing 331 bases on balls-not a good ratio. In MVP balloting, Post was almost nonexistent.

Wally Post is a good example of a Category 2 player. He played for a long time ( 15 seasons) but never put up great numbers, other than '55. Even when he hit 36 homers in 1956, he hit just .249 and drove in only 83 runs. His lifetime statistics are quite ordinary.

## Darryl Strawberry

Career P/E: 1.081; Postseason P/E: 1.028
Notable seasons (P/E): 1983 (1.059); 1986 (1.101); 1987 (1.225); 1988 (1.133)

The New York Mets used the first pick of the 1980 draft to select Darryl Strawberry, a tall outfield prospect with a powerful bat and all the tools a player could ask for. Darryl first appeared in the majors in ' 83 and proceeded to hit more than 25 homers every season through 1991. He was selected Rookie of the Year with the Mets and went on to make eight consecutive All-Star teams beginning in 1984. Straw had probably his best season in ' 87 . He hit .284, walked 97 times, and had 151 hits, 76 of which went for extra bases.

The negatives with Darryl Strawberry were usually off the field, where he has struggled with spousal abuse issues, alcohol, and narcotics. He has been arrested and in and out of rehab several times. Considering his immense talent, Strawberry's career could definitely be viewed as a disappointment. Once seen as a can't-miss prospect, he never hit 40 home runs, and he had only a few really big years in terms of production.

Strawberry deserves a Category 3 nomination, but he is nowhere near a Hall of Famer. In his first year of eligibility, he failed to receive the minimum 5 percent of votes from the baseball writers, meaning that only the Veteran's Committee can induct him once 20 years have passed following his retirement. That probably won't happen, however.

## Mike Vail

Career P/E: .807; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable seasons (P/E): 1975 (.813); 1977 (.782); 1980 (.872)
The New York Mets must have thought they had something special in Mike Vail in 1975. The rookie outfielder was named International League Player of the Year before joining the major league Mets. He then put together a 23-game hitting streak throughout the summer, captivating Mets fans and making them believe he was the real thing.

Vail's career never took hold after his rookie year in '75. After three seasons in New York, he bounced around six other teams between 1978 and 1984, playing mostly as a pinch hitter and fourth outfielder. He sports unsightly lifetime ratios of 81 walks to 317 strikeouts and 3 stolen bases to 17 times caught stealing.

Sorry, Mike, but it's the dreaded Category 1 label for you. Maybe a few breaks here or there would have steered his career in a different direction. Unfortunately for Vail, the hot start he enjoyed in ' 75 ended up being the highlight of a 10-year career.

## The Best Right Fielders

162-Game Averages

| Player | BA | OBP | SLP | HR | TB | R RBI | H | XBH | BB | K | SB |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Aaron | .305 | .374 | .555 | 37 | 337 | 107 | 113 | 185 | 73 | 69 | 68 | 12 |
| Clemente | .317 | .359 | .475 | 16 | 299 | 94 | 87 | 200 | 56 | 41 | 82 | 6 |
| Crawford | .309 | .362 | .452 | 6 | 279 | 90 | 98 | 191 | 55 | 49 | $27^{*}$ | 24 |
| Dawson | .279 | .323 | .482 | 27 | 295 | 85 | 98 | 171 | 64 | 36 | 93 | 19 |
| Gonzalez | .295 | .343 | .561 | 42 | 353 | 102 | 135 | 186 | 81 | 44 | 122 | 2 |
| Gwynn | .338 | .388 | .459 | 9 | 283 | 92 | 76 | 209 | 51 | 52 | 29 | 21 |
| Heilmann | .342 | .410 | .520 | 14 | 306 | 97 | 116 | 201 | 66 | 65 | 41 | 9 |
| Jackson | .262 | .356 | .490 | 32 | 278 | 89 | 98 | 148 | 62 | 79 | 149 | 13 |
| Kaline | .297 | .376 | .480 | 23 | 277 | 93 | 90 | 172 | 55 | 73 | 58 | 8 |
| Klein | .320 | .379 | .543 | 28 | 325 | 108 | 111 | 192 | 72 | 56 | 48 | 7 |
| Ott | .304 | .414 | .533 | 30 | 299 | 110 | 110 | 171 | 63 | 101 | 53 | 5 |
| Parker | .290 | .339 | .471 | 22 | 289 | 84 | 98 | 178 | 62 | 45 | 101 | 10 |
| Ramirez | .313 | .409 | .593 | 41 | 348 | 111 | 133 | 184 | 81 | 93 | 128 | 3 |
| Rice | .322 | .374 | .427 | 2 | 267 | 102 | 73 | 201 | 48 | 48 | 19 | 24 |
| Robinson | .294 | .389 | .537 | 34 | 310 | 106 | 105 | 170 | 68 | 82 | 88 | 12 |
| Ruth | .342 | .474 | .690 | 46 | 375 | 141 | 143 | 186 | 88 | 133 | 86 | 8 |
| Slaughter | .300 | .382 | .453 | 12 | 245 | 85 | 89 | 162 | 50 | 69 | 37 | 5 |
| Sosa | .273 | .344 | .534 | 42 | 324 | 102 | 115 | 166 | 71 | 64 | 159 | 16 |
| Waner | .333 | .404 | .473 | 7 | 285 | 103 | 83 | 200 | 57 | 69 | 24 | 7 |
| Winfield | .283 | .353 | .475 | 25 | 284 | 91 | 100 | 169 | 59 | 66 | 92 | 12 |

[^1]
## Category 4 Right Fielders

## Sam Crawford

Career P/E: 1.028; Postseason P/E: . 778
MVP: never won; .75 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1957
It's often said that the triple is the prettiest play in all of baseball. If that's true, then Sam Crawford was one good-looking ballplayer. With 309 three-baggers to his credit, Wahoo Sam is the game's all-time leader in that category. He led the league in triples six times and topped 20 five times. In every full season that he played, Crawford was in double digits. If you think that an inside-the-park homer is even prettier than a triple, then Crawford is still your man. He holds the major league record for home runs not going over the fence in a season (12 in 1901) as well as in a career (51). Teaming with Cobb for the 1911 Tigers, Wahoo Sam batted . 378 and set important career highs in net runs (217) and P/E Average (1.252).

Despite his impressive career numbers and records, Sam Crawford wasn't elected to the Hall of Fame until 1957. Voting for Cooperstown began in '36, almost 20 years after his retirement, so he certainly had plenty of time for induction. I think that may be a telling fact about the opinions of the writers of his day.

Wahoo Sam is C4. I think he would have been an exciting player to watch, legging out triples and inside-the-park homers.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1901 | 104 | 91 | 16 | 179 | 270 | 37 | 3 | 13 | $8^{*}$ | 315 | 673 | 559 | 1.204 |
| 1910 | 120 | 83 | 5 | 198 | 249 | 37 | 1 | 20 | $12^{*}$ | 295 | 691 | 650 | 1.063 |
| 1911 | 115 | 109 | 7 | 217 | 302 | 61 | 0 | 37 | $23^{\star}$ | 377 | 811 | 648 | 1.252 |
| Career | 1,525 | 1,391 | 97 | 2,819 | 4,328 | 760 | 23 | 366 | $225^{\star}$ | 5,252 | 10,890 | 10,594 | 1.028 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Andre Dawson

Career P/E: .998; Postseason P/E: . 516
MVP: National League MVP in 1987; 2.36 career shares
Hall of Fame: possible
Ideally, right fielders should be able to drive the ball deep, produce runs, and have cannons for arms to cut down base runners. Andre Dawson exemplified those qualities. Dawson hit 438 home runs and knocked in almost 1,600 runs over his 21 seasons. In the field, he was known for a powerful arm; he won eight Gold Gloves from 1980 through 1988. Dawson left Montreal for Chicago beginning in 1987, and he made an immediate impact with his new team, the Cubs. The Hawk set career highs in homers (49), RBI (137), slugging percentage (.568), and total bases (353). Despite Chicago finishing 18½ games back and in last place in the National League East, Dawson won the NL MVP.

Dawson's lifetime averages in terms of P/E (.998) and net runs per game (.96) are solid but unspectacular, especially for a corner outfielder who often hit in the middle of his teams' lineups. He never came close to 200 net runs in any year, topping out at 185 for the ' 83 Expos. Andre also had only one season, 1987, with great home-run numbers.

I think it's possible that Dawson will one day make it into the Hall, but I also wouldn't be surprised if he didn't. I see him as Category 4 because of his career home-run and RBI totals, his Gold Gloves, and his MVP success. He may ultimately come up short, however, because he failed to produce in the postseason, where he was just a .186 batter.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1983 | 113 | 104 | 32 | 185 | 341 | 38 | 9 | 25 | 11 | 402 | 772 | 698 | 1.106 |
| 1987 | 137 | 90 | 49 | 178 | 353 | 32 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 400 | 756 | 662 | 1.142 |
| 1990 | 100 | 72 | 27 | 145 | 283 | 42 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 341 | 631 | 581 | 1.086 |
| Career | 1,591 | 1,373 | 438 | 2,526 | 4,787 | 589 | 111 | 314 | 109 | 5,692 | 10,744 | 10,769 | .998 |

## Juan Gonzalez

Career P/E: 1.155; Postseason P/E: 1.303
MVP: American League MVP in 1996, 1998; 2.76 career shares
Hall of Fame: possible
In 1996 Juan Gonzalez won the American League Most Valuable Player award with the Texas Rangers. The Puerto Rican slugger batted .314 and slugged .643. He smacked 47 long balls and drove home 144 runs despite playing in only 134 games. Two years later, Gonzalez repeated his ' 96 performance, again taking home the AL MVP. Juan hit .318 in ' 98 and set a career best with 157 runs batted in, one of five times he exceeded 125 RBI in a six-year stretch from 1996 to 2001. The '96 Yankees eventually went on to win the World Series, but Juan Gonzalez did everything in his power to derail them in the AL Division Series. In four games, Juan hit five home runs and knocked in nine. He batted . 438 against New York and slugged 1.375. Juan's P/E for that series was 2.263.

Gonzalez may fall short of Cooperstown for several reasons. First, he failed to reach 2,000 hits. He also scored just over 1,000 runs, not a very big number for a Hall of Famer. While he had two great postseason series, he also had two very bad ones. In back-to-back ALDS against the Yankees in '98 and '99, Juan Gone batted . 083 and .182.

Dale Murphy and Roger Maris also won two MVPs, and I don't think they are Hall of Famers. Gonzalez, C4, is different in my mind, however, because he has superior numbers in terms of power, production, and P/E. I'll list his chances to get in as possible.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1996 | 144 | 89 | 47 | 186 | 348 | 45 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 398 | 770 | 592 | 1.301 |
| 1998 | 157 | 110 | 45 | 222 | 382 | 46 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 435 | 879 | 669 | 1.314 |
| 2001 | 140 | 97 | 35 | 202 | 314 | 41 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 362 | 766 | 595 | 1.287 |
| Career | 1,404 | 1,061 | 434 | 2,031 | 3,676 | 457 | 62 | 26 | 19 | 4,202 | 8,264 | 7,155 | 1.155 |

## Tony Gwynn

Career P/E: .981; Postseason P/E: . 778
MVP: never won; 1.93 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2007
Playing his entire career with the Padres, Gwynn hit 309 or better in 19 of his 20 major league seasons, retiring as a .338 batter. He exceeded 200 hits five times, including his career-high 220 in 1997, which may have been his best season. The former San Diego State star scored 97 runs and drove home 119, by far the highest output of his career. His ' 97 masterpiece came exactly 10 years after another great season. The ' 87 campaign saw Tony collect 218 hits, score 119 runs, and steal 56 bases. Again, his batting average (.370) was tops in the National League. With 15 All-Stars, five Gold Gloves, seven Silver Sluggers, and eight league batting titles, Gwynn was a lock for the HOF.

Power and production. That's the theme of this chapter, and unfortunately Tony Gwynn was lacking in both categories. He reached double figures in homers only five times, never hitting more than 17 in a season. Furthermore, his '97 campaign was the only time he topped 90 RBI.

Gwynn, C4, deserves his status as a first-ballot Hall of Famer without question. With eight batting titles under his belt, he has to be considered as one of the finest pure hitters ever. Add to that the facts that he was great in the field and, at one time, a very good base stealer, and it's easy to see why I've included him in my top 10 for right field.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1987 | 54 | 119 | 7 | 166 | 301 | 82 | 3 | 56 | 12 | 430 | 762 | 680 | 1.121 |
| 1995 | 90 | 82 | 9 | 163 | 259 | 35 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 307 | 633 | 577 | 1.097 |
| 1997 | 119 | 97 | 17 | 199 | 324 | 43 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 377 | 775 | 651 | 1.190 |
| Career | 1,138 | 1,383 | 135 | 2,386 | 4,259 | 790 | 24 | 319 | 125 | 5,267 | 10,039 | 10,232 | .981 |

## Harry Heilmann

Career P/E: 1.144; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason MVP: never won; 1.91 career shares

Hall of Fame: inducted in 1952
Heilmann broke in with the Tigers in 1914, playing his final season in Detroit in 1929. In between, he won four American League batting titles and finished in the top five of the MVP vote four times. Harry was runner-up to Lou Gehrig in '27, a year in which the Detroit outfielder batted .398, one of four times throughout his Hall of Fame career that he hit better than .390 . Throughout the 1920s, Heilmann was very good most years and simply dominant every other year beginning in 1921. In '21, '23, '25, and '27, the Detroit star averaged 219 hits, 127 RBI, and 44 doubles per season. He hit between .393 and .403 over those years and slugged better than .600 three times.

Heilmann was never in the postseason, so it is difficult to fully analyze his career without knowing how he might have fared on the game's biggest stage. He also never won an MVP, which tells me that his statistics, although very impressive, weren't necessarily overwhelming for the era in which he played. Of course, the fact that he never played on a pennant-winning squad would also hurt his chances to win the award.

It's a rating of C4 for Heilmann. Success in the postseason or an MVP would have certainly justified making him Category 5, but that's not the case.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1921 | 139 | 114 | 19 | 234 | 365 | 53 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 416 | 884 | 672 | 1.315 |
| 1923 | 115 | 121 | 18 | 218 | 331 | 74 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 412 | 848 | 626 | 1.355 |
| 1927 | 120 | 106 | 14 | 212 | 311 | 72 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 391 | 815 | 596 | 1.367 |
| Career | 1,539 | 1,291 | 183 | 2,647 | 4,053 | 856 | 40 | 113 | $102^{*}$ | 4,960 | 10,254 | 8,960 | 1.144 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Al Kaline

Career P/E: 1.023; Postseason P/E: 1.154
MVP: never won; 2.92 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1980
Kaline erupted in '55, breaking out with 200 hits, 121 runs, and a .340 batting average, the highest of his Hall of Fame career. The next season, '56, was equally good. Again, Kaline hit well above .300 and produced 197 net runs after 196 the previous year. His P/E scores were 1.172 for 1955 and 1.152 for 1956, and Kaline eclipsed 1.100 five other times throughout the ' 50 s and ' 60 s. In the field, Kaline was superb. In 1957 he won the Gold Glove as the best defensive right fielder in all of baseball, as only one award was granted to each position in that first year. He won the Gold Glove again in '58 and was named the best center fielder in the American League in '59. Then, Kaline won seven consecutive outfield Gold Gloves from '61 to ' 67.

Although Kaline hit 399 career homers, he never had that one huge power season that set him apart from the rest of the league. Al never hit 30 homers in any season, and he never slugged .600 . Furthermore, Kaline compiled excellent production numbers over a long career but wasn't great on a per-game basis (average of .99 net runs per contest).

Kaline's career would be even more impressive had he secured an MVP honor for himself. He was runner-up twice and in the top five four times. While that doesn't detract from a great career, it does prevent me from rating him higher than C4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1955 | 102 | 121 | 27 | 196 | 321 | 82 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 406 | 798 | 681 | 1.172 |
| 1956 | 128 | 96 | 27 | 197 | 327 | 70 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 404 | 798 | 693 | 1.152 |
| 1961 | 82 | 116 | 19 | 179 | 302 | 66 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 385 | 743 | 665 | 1.117 |
| Career | 1,583 | 1,622 | 399 | 2,806 | 4,852 | 1,277 | 55 | 137 | 65 | 6,256 | 11,868 | 11,597 | 1.023 |

## Chuck Klein

Career P/E: 1.160; Postseason P/E: 1.083
MVP: National League MVP in 1932; 2.46 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1980
Only two men in the history of major league baseball have reached 400 total bases in a season three times. One of them is Lou Gehrig (who did it five times), and the other is Chuck Klein. From 1929 to 1933, he starred for the Philadelphia Phillies and was a dominant player. Klein's 1930 season remains one of the game's great individual campaigns of all time. With 445 total bases, Klein recorded 250 hits, 107 extra-base hits, 288 net runs of offense, and 44 outfield assists. His P/E Average that season (1.503) stands as one of the highest ever for a single season. No MVP was awarded in '30, but Klein surely would have had a great chance to take home the hardware. He won the MVP in 1932 and followed up by winning the Triple Crown the next year.

After 1933 Klein's career began a sudden and drastic downturn. Although he put up good numbers in '36, he was a shell of his former self throughout the rest of his career. He appeared in less than 200 games in the '40s, hitting below . 220 every year from ' 40 through ' 44.

Klein, C4, didn't make it into Cooperstown until 1980, approximately three and a half decades after his retirement. He had a truly dominant stretch in the early part of his career, and he held on just long enough to reach 300 home runs and eclipse 2,000 hits.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1929 | 145 | 126 | 43 | 228 | 405 | 54 | 0 | 5 | $2^{*}$ | 462 | 918 | 679 | 1.352 |
| 1930 | 170 | 158 | 40 | 288 | 445 | 54 | 4 | 4 | $2^{*}$ | 505 | 1,081 | 719 | 1.503 |
| 1932 | 137 | 152 | 38 | 251 | 420 | 60 | 1 | 20 | $10^{*}$ | 491 | 993 | 711 | 1.397 |
| Career | 1,201 | 1,168 | 300 | 2,069 | 3,522 | 601 | 12 | 79 | $39^{*}$ | 4,175 | 8,313 | 7,168 | 1.160 |
| * CS totals estimated based on 2:1 success ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Dave Parker

Career P/E: .986; Postseason P/E: . 713
MVP: National League MVP in 1978; 3.19 career shares
Hall of Fame: possible
Dave Parker is not currently in the Hall of Fame, but he should be. With 3.19 MVP shares, more than 2,700 hits, and almost $1,500 \mathrm{RBI}$, Parker accomplished enough in his 19 major league seasons to stand alongside the game's greatest. The 1978 campaign saw him take home National League Most Valuable Player honors. The Cobra stroked 194 hits to the tune of a . 334 batting average, which again led the league. He stole 20 bags and generated 189 net runs of offense for Pittsburgh while winning the second of his three consecutive Gold Gloves. After a few down seasons in the early '80s, Parker responded with a gem in 1985. The slugger finished second in the MVP vote, driving home 125 runs with 350 total bases, the best mark of his career.

Parker had several disappointing years in the middle of his career. Between ' 81 and '82, he played in only 140 games, although it should be noted that the first of those two seasons was cut short for everyone by a strike. Even in ' 83 , when Dave was able to play a full season, his numbers were less than extraordinary, batting .279 with 69 RBI.

In the end, I chose him as a Hall of Famer because he won two batting titles, earned considerable MVP acclaim, and had very good career numbers in terms of hits, RBI, and batting average. His three Gold Gloves don't hurt either. I see him as Category 4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1978 | 117 | 102 | 30 | 189 | 340 | 57 | 2 | 20 | 7 | 412 | 790 | 642 | 1.231 |
| 1979 | 94 | 109 | 25 | 178 | 327 | 67 | 9 | 20 | 4 | 419 | 775 | 707 | 1.096 |
| 1985 | 125 | 88 | 34 | 179 | 350 | 52 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 397 | 755 | 694 | 1.088 |
| Career | 1,493 | 1,272 | 339 | 2,426 | 4,405 | 683 | 56 | 154 | 113 | 5,185 | 10,037 | 10,184 | .986 |

## Sam Rice

Career P/E: .977; Postseason P/E: . 687
MVP: never won; .28 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1963
Thirteen hits. That's how close Edgar Charles Rice (better known as Sam) came to joining the 3,000-hit club. Rice had six seasons with more than 200 hits, including 227 in 1925. Playing for the Washington Senators that year, Rice batted .350, scored 111 runs, and set a career high with 87 runs batted in. He generated 197 net runs of offense for Washington's scoreboard, which was also a career high. For his career, Rice hit . 322 and struck out far less than he walked. Always a tough out, he enjoyed many seasons with 20 or fewer strikeouts while reaching base at a good clip throughout his major league tenure. Rice also stole 351 bases, including 63 in 1920.

Rice had very little power, and that is a serious knock against any corner outfielder, regardless of the era in which he played. In the postseason, Rice was purely a singles hitter. Of his 19 World Series hits, none went for extra bases. He also wasn't a great run generator in October. Therefore, his lifetime Offensive Production and Efficiency Average for the postseason stands at .687, a very mediocre score.

Rice is Category 4. His totals for hits and runs scored are impressive, as are his .322 lifetime average and ratio of walks to strikeouts. He deserves to be in the Hall of Fame.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1923 | 75 | 117 | 3 | 189 | 268 | 57 | 6 | 20 | 8 | 343 | 721 | 671 | 1.075 |
| 1925 | 87 | 111 | 1 | 197 | 287 | 37 | 4 | 26 | 11 | 343 | 737 | 709 | 1.039 |
| 1930 | 73 | 121 | 1 | 193 | 271 | 55 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 334 | 720 | 668 | 1.078 |
| Career | 1,078 | 1,514 | 34 | 2,558 | 3,955 | 708 | 56 | 351 | $176^{*}$ | 4,894 | 10,010 | 10,246 | .977 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Enos Slaughter

Career P/E: 1.040; Postseason P/E: 1.010
MVP: never won; 2.10 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1985
In 1985 Enos Slaughter entered into baseball immortality when he was inducted into the Hall of Fame. Over his career, Slaughter was able to accumulate 2.10 shares in MVP voting despite missing three full seasons in the mid-'40s serving in the Army Air Corps.

Slaughter ended the 1946 season, his first one back after the war effort, with a P/E mark of 1.140, the highest of his career. When St. Louis faced Boston in the World Series, Enos was terrific, with eight hits, a . 320 average, and five runs scored. In Game 7, the score was tied in the eighth inning. Slaughter singled and then took off for second on a hit-and-run. He rounded second and then third, completely ignoring his coach's signal to stop. Catching the fielders off guard, Slaughter scored the eventual winning run.

Slaughter was never a big power hitter, as evidenced by 169 career home runs and a career slugging percentage of .453 . He never reached 300 total bases in a season, although he did have 290 or more three times. In 1946 Slaughter was very good, but he lacked that one outstanding season.

When I first looked at Slaughter's numbers, I didn't consider him to be a Hall of Famer. Then I realized he missed three full seasons because of WWII. It's C4 for Enos.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1942 | 98 | 100 | 13 | 185 | 292 | 88 | 6 | 9 | $6^{*}$ | 389 | 759 | 687 | 1.105 |
| 1946 | 130 | 100 | 18 | 212 | 283 | 69 | 2 | 9 | $6^{*}$ | 357 | 781 | 685 | 1.140 |
| 1947 | 86 | 100 | 10 | 176 | 249 | 59 | 4 | 4 | $2^{*}$ | 314 | 666 | 619 | 1.076 |
| Career | 1,304 | 1,247 | 169 | 2,382 | 3,599 | 1,018 | 37 | 71 | $44^{*}$ | 4,681 | 9,445 | 9,084 | 1.040 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Paul Waner

Career P/E: 1.050; Postseason P/E: . 706
MVP: National League MVP in 1927; 2.86 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1952
With 3,152 hits and more than 1,600 runs scored to his credit, Paul Waner was an easy choice for the Hall of Fame. Also known as Big Poison, he played the majority of his career with the Pirates. His best season was probably 1927. He reached his best P/E score that year (1.240) while taking home NL MVP honors as Pittsburgh won the pennant. Waner registered 237 hits and generated an impressive total of 236 net runs in leading the league in batting with a .380 average. Paul hit well above . 300 in each of his first 12 seasons (including six years above .350 ) and retired as a .333 batter. Averaging 1.11 net runs per game over 20 seasons, Waner reached 100 RBI twice and topped 100 runs scored nine times, including eight out of his first nine years with the Pirates.

Paul appeared in the postseason only once, in 1927, when his overmatched Pirates were swept by the Yankees. He batted .333 , but he hit with little power (. 400 slugging) against New York. A lack of long-ball ability was also evident throughout his career.

Big Poison was a three-time league batting champion who put together some outstanding career numbers. Waner lacked power and postseason success, however, so I have not included him in my top 10 for this position. I see him as a Category 4 player.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1927 | 131 | 114 | 9 | 236 | 342 | 60 | 3 | 5 | $3^{*}$ | 407 | 879 | 709 | 1.240 |
| 1928 | 86 | 142 | 6 | 222 | 329 | 77 | 5 | 6 | $3^{*}$ | 414 | 858 | 697 | 1.231 |
| 1929 | 100 | 131 | 15 | 216 | 318 | 89 | 3 | 15 | $7^{*}$ | 418 | 850 | 703 | 1.209 |
| Career | 1,309 | 1,627 | 113 | 2,823 | 4,478 | 1,091 | 38 | 104 | $52^{*}$ | 5,659 | 11,305 | 10,762 | 1.050 |
| * CS totals estimated based on 2:1 success ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Dave Winfield

Career P/E: 1.025; Postseason P/E: . 741
MVP: never won; 2.20 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2001
With a cannon for an arm, good speed, and home-run power, David Mark Winfield was a manager's dream in right field. Winfield won seven Gold Gloves for his outstanding outfield play and also earned six Silver Sluggers for his prowess at the plate. A 12-time AllStar, Winfield entered the Hall of Fame in 2001 on the strength of 3,110 hits, 1,833 RBI, and 465 home runs. After the strike in ' 81 , Winfield went on an RBI spree from ' 82 to '88, averaging 106 runs knocked in each season over that span. In ' 84 he teamed with Don Mattingly to form a lethal one-two punch in the middle of the Yankees lineup. Dave batted .340 for the season and reached 100 runs both scored and batted in.

Although he won a World Series title with the Blue Jays in '92 and appeared in 26 postseason games, Winfield was not usually a big-time player in October. He batted .208 for his playoff career and owns a postseason P/E Average of just .741. Dave's career would also have been more impressive had he been able to win an MVP. He never finished in the top two, and his 2.20 career shares fail to establish him as a true superstar.

Winfield, C4, who was also a basketball star at the University of Minnesota, is deserving of his Hall of Fame status but doesn't rank in my top 10. Although he was immensely talented, he failed to separate himself from this pack of gifted right fielders.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1979 | 118 | 97 | 34 | 181 | 333 | 85 | 2 | 15 | 9 | 426 | 788 | 686 | 1.149 |
| 1985 | 114 | 105 | 26 | 193 | 298 | 52 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 362 | 748 | 689 | 1.086 |
| 1992 | 108 | 92 | 26 | 174 | 286 | 82 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 368 | 716 | 670 | 1.069 |
| Career | 1,833 | 1,669 | 465 | 3,037 | 5,221 | 1,216 | 25 | 223 | 96 | 6,589 | 12,663 | 12,358 | 1.025 |

## Category 5 Right Fielders

## Hank Aaron

Height: 6' $0^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 180; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: April 13, 1954; Final game: October 3, 1976
Team(s): Milwaukee/Atlanta Braves (1954-1974); Milwaukee
Brewers (1975-1976)
MVP: National League MVP in 1957; 5.45 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1982
162-game avg.: 305 batting, .374 on-base, .555 slugging, 37 home runs, 337 total bases, 107 runs scored, 113 runs batted in, 185
hits, 73 extra-base hits, $69 \mathrm{BB}, 68 \mathrm{~K}, 12 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.140; Postseason P/E: 1.297

The Good. With 755 career home runs to his name, Henry Aaron reigned as baseball's king of the long ball until 2007. Aaron also ranks number one in RBI $(2,297)$, extra-base hits $(1,477)$, and total bases $(6,856)$, as well as third in hits $(3,771)$ and fourth in runs scored $(2,174)$. He compiled those one-of-a-kind totals over 23 seasons, during which time Aaron also made 21 consecutive All-Star teams ('55-'75) and earned MVP votes in 19 straight seasons ('55-'73). Year after year, he was a strong run producer with excellent batting averages. From 1955 through 1970, he scored at least 100 runs each year except one, and he knocked in more than 105 runs 11 times. Aaron retired as a .305 lifetime hitter, batting as high as .355 in 1959.

In '57 Aaron won the National League MVP. He hit 44 homers, one of eight times he'd hit 40 or more in a season, and knocked in 132 runs, the highest total ever in his career. With a P/E Average of 1.241, Hank led his Milwaukee Braves into the World Series against the Yan-
kees. Batting .393 with three homers and seven RBI, Aaron led the Braves to the championship in seven games. The Yanks got their revenge in '58, but Aaron was again a solid hitter, with a .333 average and a .419 on-base mark in defeat. When the Mets beat the Atlanta Braves in 1969 to capture the pennant, it was in spite of Aaron's heroics to derail New York. The righty slugger hit .357 with three homers and seven RBI in only 14 plate appearances.

Henry Aaron was a complete ballplayer. He hit for high averages, stole bases, and played tremendous defense. Playing in Milwaukee, he won three consecutive Gold Gloves from 1958 to 1960, with more than twice as many assists as errors each season. On the bases, Aaron was a smart runner with good speed. In '63 Hank stole 31 bases and was caught only five times. For his career, Aaron was successful 76 percent of the time, finishing with 240 stolen bases.

The Bad. Probably the biggest knock against Aaron's career is that he won only one MVP in almost a quarter of a century. In fact, Aaron never finished as runner-up in the league balloting, although he did finish third six times. His career total of 5.45 MVP shares is outstanding but would look even more impressive had he been able to win another individual honor. Aaron's career P/E score doesn't rank in the all-time top 25.

The Verdict. While he didn't homer at the rate of Ruth or win as many MVPs as Mantle, Hank Aaron was nevertheless a tremendous ballplayer. A case for him as the greatest right fielder ever will be made in this chapter's top-three analysis. Aaron's an easy choice for C5.

## Roberto Clemente

Height: 5'11"; Weight: 175; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: April 17, 1955; Final game: October 3, 1972
Team(s): Pittsburgh Pirates (1955-1972)
MVP: National League MVP in 1966; 2.80 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1973
162-game avg.: . 317 batting, .359 on-base, .475 slugging, 16 home runs, 299 total bases, 94 runs scored, 87 runs batted in, 200 hits, 56 extra-base hits, $41 \mathrm{BB}, 82 \mathrm{~K}, 6 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: .994; Postseason P/E: . 814

The Good. Perhaps no other right fielder in history ever played the position with more flair than Roberto Clemente. Clemente starred for the Pirates from 1955 to 1972, making 12 All-Star teams and winning 12 consecutive Gold Gloves. He is probably the best defensive player at his position ever. Longtime broadcaster Vin Scully once quipped that Roberto "could field the ball in New York and throw out a guy in Pennsylvania." In 1961 Clemente had 27 assists.

Roberto's powerful arm and great range in the outfield were paired with a dynamic bat. The Puerto Rican star hit .317 for his career, winning four league batting titles and going above a .350 average on three occasions. He had more than 200 hits in a season four times and ended his career with exactly 3,000 base knocks.

In 1966 Clemente won the National League MVP after batting . 317 and setting career highs in runs scored (105) and runs batted in (119). The next season, Clemente may have been even better. With 209 hits and a .357 batting average, Roberto proved that ' 66 was no fluke. He
finished the season with a P/E of 1.196, the highest score of his career and one of four times that the right fielder topped the 1.100 plateau.

Clemente was a career . 318 hitter in the postseason, and he was at his best in the ' 71 Fall Classic versus Baltimore. With 12 hits, including 5 for extra bases, Roberto walked away with Series MVP honors (P/E: 1.097) and earned his second championship ring. Clemente played in a total of 14 World Series contests, and he got at least one hit in each game.

The Bad. Other than 1966 and 1967, when he combined to generate 385 net runs, Clemente was never a big run producer. Throughout the other 16 years of his career, he never exceeded 170 net runs in a season. His 1.02 per-game average is good but trails the marks of several other right fielders in this section. He also never hit 30 homers in a season or came close to slugging .600, topping out at .559 in 1961. Therefore, his lifetime Production and Efficiency Average falls below the 1.000 level.

Roberto Clemente died in an airplane crash on December 31, 1972, while trying to deliver supplies and aid to earthquake victims in Nicaragua. His body was never recovered.

The Verdict. In terms of legend and aura, Clemente ranks as high as almost any player. Although I don't see him as high as Aaron or Ruth, I do see him as Category 5. His defense was superb, and he often played big on the big stage. In my opinion, he's one of the 10 best right fielders in history.

## Reggie Jackson

Height: $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 200; Bats: left; Throws: left
First game: June 9, 1967; Final game: October 4, 1987
Team(s): Kansas City/Oakland Athletics (1967-1975, 1987);
Baltimore Orioles (1976); New York Yankees (1977-1981);
California Angels (1982-1986)
MVP: American League MVP in 1973; 3.28 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1993
162-game avg.: . 262 batting, 356 on-base, .490 slugging, 32 home runs, 278 total bases, 89 runs scored, 98 runs batted in, 148 hits, 62 extra-base hits, $79 \mathrm{BB}, 149 \mathrm{~K}, 13 \mathrm{SB}$

Career P/E: 1.033; Postseason P/E: 1.035

The Good. Reginald Martinez Jackson earned one of the best nicknames in baseball history, Mr. October, by twice winning MVP honors in the World Series. In 1973 Jackson won after batting .310 with six runs batted in and five extra-base hits against the Mets. He was even better in '77, this time playing in pinstripes, terrorizing Dodgers pitching with five Series homers (including three in a decisive Game 6 victory) and 10 runs scored. Reggie's P/E for the ' 77 Series was a remarkable 2.292, symbolic of one of the great postseason series of all time.

Jackson's best season may have been 1969, a year in which he posted his best P/E score (1.264). The A's outfielder hit 47 homers, scored 123 runs, and drove home 118. He led the league in slugging (.608) and drew 114 free passes to help account for a .410 on-base percentage. Somehow, he finished only fifth in the MVP race. But he would make up for that four years later. The '73 campaign was special for Reggie
because it found him walking away with the unanimous MVP honor as well as Player of the Year recognition. When he won the World Series MVP, it must have felt like the icing on a perfect cake.

Mr. October finished his career with some very impressive regularseason statistics, including 563 round-trippers, 1,702 RBI, and more than 2,500 hits. Jackson was a 14 -time All-Star, won two Silver Sluggers, and earned 3.28 career MVP shares by finishing in the top five on five occasions. He was a well-known superstar, and he was elected to the Hall of Fame in 1993.

The Bad. No player in the history of baseball has struck out more than Reggie Jackson. In fact, no other player is even close to his career total of 2,597 whiffs. Jackson played for 21 seasons, and he fanned at least 105 times in 18 of them. He avoided that dubious distinction in only his first season ( 35 games played), his last season ( 115 games), and 1981 ( 94 games in a strike-shortened year). In 1968 Jackson struck out 171 times. For his career, the lefty slugger averaged 149 strikeouts per 162 games. Mr. October wasn't much better in the postseason either, having fanned 70 times in 77 career playoff games. Jackson's reputation is also hurt by his .262 career batting average and a relatively low average of .95 net runs per contest.

The Verdict. Reggie is C5 in my eyes. His MVP résumé is outstanding, and 563 homers qualify him for a top-10 spot. Players need to play at their best in the postseason, and that's exactly what Jackson did on a regular basis.

## Mel Ott

Height: 5'9"; Weight: 170; Bats: left; Throws: right
First game: April 27, 1926; Final game: July 11, 1947
Team(s): New York Giants (1926-1947)
MVP: never won; 2.75 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1951
162-game avg.: . 304 batting, 414 on-base, .533 slugging, 30 home runs, 299 total bases, 110 runs scored, 110 runs batted in, 171
hits, 63 extra-base hits, $101 \mathrm{BB}, 53 \mathrm{~K}, 5 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.171; Postseason P/E: . 971

The Good. It's rare for a superstar player to spend his entire career in one uniform, but that's exactly what Mel Ott did, playing 22 major league seasons exclusively with the New York Giants from 1926 to 1947. Although small in stature, Ott possessed great power and was extremely productive. The Giants outfielder averaged 1.18 net runs per game for his career and had five years with more than 200 . His best statistical season was 1929, a year in which Ott posted the highest P/E Average (1.427) of his career. He batted .328 and set personal bests in homers (42), runs scored (138), RBI (151), and slugging percentage (.635), although he failed to finish in the top 10 of the National League's MVP vote. That year also marked the first in a string of 10 that saw Ott drive in at least 95 runs per season, averaging 121 RBI over that span. Mel also topped 100 runs scored nine times, finishing his career with 1,859 runs and 1,860 RBI.

In 1945 Melvin Thomas Ott joined elite company when he smacked his five hundredth home run. He wound up with 511, cur-
rently good for a top-25 all-time ranking in that category. With 25 or more homers 13 times and 30 or more eight times, Ott was a consistent threat to go deep. Impressively, he also had great plate discipline for someone with long-ball power. In '29, for example, Mel homered 4 more times (42) than he struck out (38) while drawing 113 walks. Ten seasons, Ott drew at least 100 free passes, yet he never struck out 70 times. For his career, he walked 812 times more than he fanned. In terms of on-base percentage, the right fielder was frequently above .400 , finishing with a lifetime average of .414 .

The Bad. Ott's career would certainly look more impressive had he been able to win an MVP. His best finish was third in 1942, and he was in the top five only three times. He made 12 consecutive AllStar appearances but wasn't able to secure his league's top honor, something that prevents him from cracking the top three for this position.

In '36 and again in '37 Ott's Giants lost to the Yankees in the World Series. He combined to bat .256 , striking out more than he walked and producing only nine net runs in 11 games. His postseason P/E is 200 points lower than his regular-season average.

The Verdict. Mel Ott's lack of MVP success prevents him from being in the top three, but it doesn't stop him from earning a rating of C5. His overall numbers are great. He hit for high averages, reached base often, and was a consistent threat in terms of power and production, exactly what a manager wants from a corner outfielder. I think he's top five for this position.

## Manny Ramirez

Height: $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 200; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: September 2, 1993; Final game: still active
Team(s): Cleveland Indians (1993-2000); Boston Red Sox (2001-present)
MVP: never won; 2.75 career shares (through '06 voting)
Hall of Fame: imminent
162-game avg.: . 313 batting, .409 on-base, .593 slugging, 41 home runs, 348 total bases, 111 runs scored, 133 runs batted in, 184 hits, 81 extra-base hits, 93 BB, 128 K, 3 SB
Career P/E: 1.234; Postseason P/E: 1.028

The Good. Manny Ramirez is an RBI machine, plain and simple. Beginning in 1995 Manny has topped the century mark for runs batted in every season except two. In 1998 and 1999 the Dominican outfielder drove home 310 runs in 297 combined games. He has knocked in more than 120 runs six times, and he averages 133 per 162 games for his career. His ability to bring runners around is largely responsible for his gaudy average of 1.26 net runs per game. That is a figure that tops every right fielder in this section not named Ruth. He's been one of the leading offensive players in the sport for many years and doesn't seem to be slowing down very much.

Ramirez combines amazing run production with great power and the ability to hit for high averages and reach base often. Five times he has hit more than 40 homers in a season, and he has hit more than 30 in 11 of the past 13. A . 313 career batter, Ramirez has hit as high as .351, a mark he posted in 2000, his last season with the Indians.

In terms of P/E Average, Manny is superb. His 1.234 lifetime mark is better than those of Ty Cobb and Mickey Mantle. In 1999 and 2000 the slugger finished with P/Es above 1.400 in back-to-back seasons, a rare and significant accomplishment. The 252 net runs his bat produced in 1999 helped push his season P/E to 1.495, the highest mark of his Hall of Fame career.

Manny was named MVP of the 2004 World Series after his Boston squad swept the Cardinals. He hit .412, reached base safely in half of his plate appearances, and drove in four runs as the Red Sox finally broke the curse to win it all.

The Bad. Ramirez's World Series MVP notwithstanding, his postseason numbers pale in comparison with his regular-season stats. His 1.028 P/E in October is more than 200 points lower than his number from April through September, and he has driven in just 64 runs in 95 playoff games. Furthermore, Manny has been unable to win an MVP in the regular season, never having finished better than third on the American League ballot. Finally, Manny is not a very good fielder or base runner.

The Verdict. While he currently plays left field in front of the Green Monster at Fenway Park, Manny still has more career games played in right, so he's included here rather than Chapter 7. Forget about Ramirez's quirky personality or the fact that he isn't a model teammate at all times. The guy can hit, driving in runs at a tremendous pace and owning a career slugging percentage of .593 . His lethal bat qualifies him for Category 5 and a top-five spot. Cooperstown awaits him.

## Frank Robinson

Height: 6' $1^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 195; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: April 17, 1956; Final game: September 18, 1976
Team(s): Cincinnati Reds (1956-1965); Baltimore Orioles (1966-1971); Los Angeles Dodgers (1972); California Angels (1973-1974); Cleveland Indians (1974-1976)
MVP: National League MVP in 1961/American League MVP in 1966; 4.83 career shares

Hall of Fame: inducted in 1982
162-game avg.: . 294 batting, .389 on-base, .537 slugging, 34 home runs, 310 total bases, 106 runs scored, 105 runs batted in, 170 hits, 68 extra-base hits, 82 BB, 88 K, 12 SB

Career P/E: 1.126; Postseason P/E: 1.060

The Good. In 1956 a young rookie outfielder in Cincinnati stole the headlines after scoring 122 runs, batting .290, and hitting 38 home runs. Frank Robinson won the Rookie of the Year honor that season, and he would go on to become one of Cincinnati's best players ever. Frank won the Gold Glove in 1958, and he drove in 125 runs and batted .311 the next season. As the early '60s rolled around, Robinson was quickly establishing himself as one of the National League's best players. He won the MVP in '61, helping lead the Reds to the pennant. The next season may have been his best ever as Robinson stroked 208 hits, including 92 for extra bases, and generated 231 net runs of offense. Both seasons, Robinson's P/E Average topped 1.300.

After the 1965 season, Cincinnati traded Robinson to Baltimore for three players, including pitcher Milt Pappas, and it turned out to be a
huge mistake. Frank immediately made his impact felt with the Orioles in '66 by winning the AL Most Valuable Player, the Triple Crown, and eventually the World Series MVP as Baltimore upset the Dodgers to win the title. By winning the MVP in the American League, Robinson became the first player to win that prestigious honor in both leagues. He was a unanimous winner in addition to being named Player of the Year.

Frank Robinson made six NL All-Star teams and six AL All-Star teams and finished with 586 round-trippers. At the time of his retirement, Robinson was number four on the all-time home-run list, trailing only Hank Aaron, Babe Ruth, and Willie Mays. He also topped 1,800 runs both scored and driven in and came very close $(2,943)$ to 3,000 hits.

The Bad. Robinson had some disappointing seasons over the second half of his career. In 1968, for example, Frank hit . 268 with only 52 RBI. Returning to the National League as a Dodger in '72, he played in just over 100 games, batting .251 with only 26 extra-base hits. Two years later, Robinson suited up for California and Cleveland and combined to hit below .250 for the first time in his career. For those three seasons, Robinson's P/E Averages fell below 1.000.

Although he was named MVP of the '66 Fall Classic, Robinson struggled in the World Series in 1961 with the Reds and in 1969 with the Orioles. Frank hit . 200 against the ' 61 Yankees and .188 against the ' 69 Mets. He batted .200 or lower in half of his eight postseason series.

The Verdict. Robinson is C5. He put up great numbers and was enormously successful in both the American and National Leagues. In my opinion, he is one of the three best right fielders ever.

## Babe Ruth

Height: 6'2"; Weight: 215; Bats: left; Throws: left
First game: July 11, 1914; Final game: May 30, 1935
Team(s): Boston Red Sox (1914-1919); New York Yankees
(1920-1934); Boston Braves (1935)
MVP: American League MVP in 1923; 1.82 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1936
162-game avg.: 342 batting, .474 on-base, .690 slugging, 46 home runs, 375 total bases, 141 runs scored, 143 runs batted in, 186
hits, 88 extra-base hits, $133 \mathrm{BB}, 86 \mathrm{~K}, 8 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.436; Postseason P/E: 1.449

The Good. If you asked 100 people who they thought was the best baseball player of all time, I expect a very large percentage of them would name Babe Ruth as the sport's brightest star. It would be hard to argue with them. Ruth single-handedly changed baseball after he arrived in the Bronx in 1920. Prior to him donning the pinstripes, baseball was dominated by singles hitters and high-average stars with little power. Ruth altered baseball forever by introducing the long ball on a consistent basis. When he hit 54 homers in '20, he finished 35 ahead of his nearest American League competitor, George Sisler (19 home runs). Babe went on to hit 59 the next year in what may be the single greatest season a baseball player has ever enjoyed. In terms of P/E Averages, his '21 campaign (1.714) reigns supreme. Ruth scored 177 runs, batted in 171, and had tremendous efficiency averages (.378 BA, .512 OBP, and .846 SLP). The sport of baseball would never be the same again.

The Sultan of Swat led the league in on-base percentage 10 times, in slugging 13 times, in runs scored 8 times, in home runs 12 times, and in bases on balls 11 times. There was no other player like him,
and he simply dominated the nation's attention. In 1927 Babe did the unthinkable when he hit 60 home runs in a single season. His 1.562 P/E score that year was one of eight times when he surpassed 1.500 , a feat that no other player can even come close to claiming. The Babe's lifetime P/E of 1.436 is by far the highest mark in the history of the sport; only Lou Gehrig is within 50 points of him.

Before Ruth became an immortal hitter, he was an excellent pitcher with the Red Sox. He won 23 games in 1916 and 24 the next year, topping 320 innings pitched both times. His career winning percentage (.671) and his lifetime ERA (2.28) are both outstanding. Babe also went 3-0 in two Fall Classic visits with Boston, both wins, in 1916 and 1918. He pitched 31 innings in World Series play to an ERA of 0.87 .

The Bad. Ruth didn't take care of himself physically as well as he could have, and he certainly wasn't in line for sainthood. In 1925 Babe played in only 98 games and had his worst season in New York (P/E: 1.075) after suffering stomach cramps and fever early in the year.

The Verdict. There has never been another player like Babe Ruth, and there probably never will. He put up numbers and influenced the game beyond compare, and his name is synonymous with the sport he transformed. Naturally, he's a C5 and one of the three best right fielders ever.

## Sammy Sosa

Height: $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 220; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: June 16, 1989; Final game: still active
Team(s): Texas Rangers (1989); Chicago White Sox (1989-1991);
Chicago Cubs (1992-2004); Baltimore Orioles (2005); Texas
Rangers (2007)
MVP: National League MVP in 1998; 2.46 career shares (through '06 voting)
Hall of Fame: probable
162-game avg.: . 273 batting, .344 on-base, .534 slugging, 42 home runs, 324 total bases, 102 runs scored, 115 runs batted in, 166
hits, 71 extra-base hits, $64 \mathrm{BB}, 159 \mathrm{~K}, 16 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: 1.100; Postseason P/E: . 910

The Good. Sammy Sosa is the only player in major league history to have hit more than 60 home runs in three different seasons. The stoutly built Dominican, who hails from San Pedro de Macoris like so many other professional ballplayers, accomplished that feat in three of the four years from 1998 to 2001. With 50 home runs in 2000, Sosa clubbed an amazing 243 out of the park over that storied period. What Roger Maris miraculously accomplished in 1961, Sammy Sosa averaged for four years. Slammin' Sammy reached the 500-home-run club early in 2003, one of seven seasons in which the Wrigley favorite hit 40 or more, and after missing the 2006 season entirely, returned to the game in 2007 to join the exclusive 600-home-run club with the Texas Rangers.

Throughout the summer of '98, Sosa and Mark McGwire went back and forth with one another trying to break Roger Maris's homerun record. While Big Mac ultimately prevailed, Sosa was the one to
walk away with National League MVP honors, the Player of the Year award, and a postseason berth with the Cubs. Sammy finished the year with 66 bombs and 158 runs knocked in. His 226 net runs of offense helped account for a very high P/E of 1.317.

Even 1998 wasn't Sosa's best season statistically, however. In 2001 he registered a P/E of 1.447 after slugging .737 with 425 total bases, 64 home runs, and 242 net runs of offense for Chicago's scoreboard. The Cubs' right fielder also set career highs in walks (116), RBI (160), and runs scored (146), as well as batting average (.328) and on-base percentage (.437). He finished second in the MVP vote as the Cubbies finished in third place in the National League Central Division.

The Bad. After 2001 Sosa's numbers fell each year, although he still maintained great statistics in ' 02 and ' 03 . The 2004 season was Sammy's final year in Wrigley, and he hit just .253 with 80 RBI. He suited up for Baltimore in 2005 and had his worst season since the very early stages of his career. With a .221 batting average and 14 homers, he sank to a .764 P/E in Camden Yards.

Steroid allegations have tainted Sosa, just like many of the other superstars of the past five to ten years. When he appeared before Congress with McGwire, Palmeiro, and Canseco, among others, Sosa didn't present himself very well. A corked bat incident in 2003 also painted this Dominican legend in a bad light.

The Verdict. Sosa is one of the 10 best right fielders in history according to my analysis. His statistics over a four-year period are as good as anyone's, but there is strong doubt that his accomplishments were gained fairly. In my opinion, Sosa is Category 5 due to his tremendous power numbers.

## Right Field: The Top 10

It was very difficult to come up with this chapter's top 10 . While the top three were fairly easy to rank, I had a hard time deciding who else belonged here and an even harder time coming up with the exact order. It's a position dominated by sluggers, but there is also a significant number of skilled glove men (Kaline, Clemente) and those with impressive batting averages (Gwynn, Waner). Here's how I see the 10 best right fielders.

## 10. Tony Gwynn

Tony Gwynn won eight league batting titles and five Gold Gloves. He was a dominant hitter in his era, even if he never hit with a tremendous amount of power. He gets the nod for number 10 over Kaline, Waner, and other C4 players because of his consistency. Gwynn hit .309 or higher, often much higher, every season after his rookie campaign. That's 19 straight years with extremely impressive batting averages. Add to that the facts that he was great in the field and stole as many as 56 bases in one year and his value to a team is apparent.

## 9. Harry Heilmann

Like Gwynn, Heilmann hit for an extremely high average (.342), and he won multiple batting titles (four). I chose the longtime Tigers' right fielder as the better choice for ninth place because of run production. Harry averaged 1.23 net runs per contest to Tony's .98 , and that advantage of a quarter of a run per game is significant enough in my mind to separate the two. Gwynn definitely holds the upper hand in the postseason; Heilmann's teams never played in October. However, I would still choose Harry over the career National Leaguer, by a slim margin, if given the opportunity.

## 8. Reggie Jackson

While Reggie never hit for exceedingly high averages like Gwynn or Heilmann, he did hit with power ( 563 career homers). Furthermore, he won an MVP, something that the previous two weren't able to accomplish. Most important, I felt that Jackson's multiple World Series MVPs were enough to push him into a Category 5 ranking and ahead of Harry Heilmann for this spot.

## 7. Roberto Clemente

Clemente also won a regular-season MVP and one World Series MVP. He didn't hit with the same power as Jackson, but I prefer him for this spot because of his defense and his ability to hit for average. Clemente led the NL in batting four times and won the Gold Glove every year from 1961 to 1972, making him arguably the greatest defensive outfielder in history. Roberto also averaged more net runs per game, 1.02 to Jackson's 95 . I think many people would probably put Clemente even higher on this list.

## 6. Sammy Sosa

In placing Sosa ahead of Clemente, I have tried my best to ignore steroid allegations and simply focus on the numbers and the accomplishments. Slammin' Sammy put together years that Clemente never did. In fact, he put together years that no one else in history is able to match. While Roberto never hit 30 homers in a season, Sammy hit more than double that amount three times. From 1998 to 2001 Sosa hit more balls out of the park (243) than the Pittsburgh right fielder hit in 18 seasons (240). In terms of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$, Sosa's score is more than 100 points ahead of Clemente's.

## 5. Mel Ott

I chose Mel Ott over Sosa for a few reasons. First, his lifetime numbers are better. Ott scored 1,859 runs and drove in 1,860 . Sosa doesn't come close to those totals. Second, the lifelong Giants teammate was more productive, averaging 1.18 net runs to 1.08 for Sosa. Finally, I love Ott for the top five based on the fact that their walks-to-strikeouts ratios are nearly identical . . . in reverse. Ott received 1,708 free passes while fanning 896 times. Sammy walked 929 times while whiffing on more than 2,300 occasions. P/E Averages don't take strikeouts into account. If they did, Ott's lead in that statistic would be much higher than the 69-point advantage he currently owns.

## 4. Manny Ramirez

Manny earns this ranking over Ott for one reason: run production. Ramirez will one day retire and go down as one of the great RBI men of all time. While he hasn't yet reached Ott's lifetime numbers, he does better his National League peer in terms of net runs per game. Ramirez averages more than a run and a quarter (1.26) per contest.

## The Top Three

Hank Aaron, Frank Robinson, and Babe Ruth each put together incredible careers and were able to distance themselves from a talented field with their unparalleled numbers. The section titled "Power and Production" at the end of this chapter clearly illustrates just how dominant this trio was in comparison with the other top groups of the infield and outfield. At one time, Aaron, Ruth, and Robinson ranked one, two, and four, respectively, on the all-time home-run list. They currently rank two, three, and seven.

## The Case for Aaron

755 home runs; 2,297 runs batted in; 6,856 total bases
21 consecutive All-Star nominations (1955-1975)
19 consecutive years earning MVP votes (1955-1973)
Three National League Gold Gloves for right field (1958-1960)
3,771 career hits (third most in baseball history)

## The Case for Robinson

Won MVP in both National League and American League
National League Rookie of the Year in 1956
Player of the Year/Triple Crown winner in 1966 (. 316 BA, 49 home runs, 122 RBI )

Most Valuable Player of '66 World Series
Six All-Star appearances in each league

## The Case for Ruth

P/E Averages: 1.436 career; 1.449 postseason; eight seasons above 1.500
1.47 net runs per game

Lifetime averages of .342 batting, .474 on-base, and .690 slugging Nine seasons with more than 45 home runs; led league in homers 12 times

Played in 10 World Series and won 7

## 3. Frank Robinson

Frank Robinson won two MVPs, and Manny Ramirez hasn't won any as of yet. Therefore, the decision to put him in the top three over Manny was fairly easy. Most Valuable Player success, in my opinion, is an important indicator of a player's effectiveness. In addition, Robinson won a Gold Glove in the outfield. While I don't think Manny is as poor defensively as people often think, he wasn't nearly as good as Robinson. Granted, if Ramirez continues to put up incredible production numbers year after year, then he may one day deserve a spot ahead of Frank. It will take a lot for that to occur, however. Ramirez's best chance, in my eyes, is to take home some MVP hardware, something Frank Robinson did in each league.

## 2. Hank Aaron

It's the silver medal for Henry Aaron. The man with more All-Star appearances than any other deserves spot number 2. True, Frank Robinson won two MVPs to Aaron's sole award in '57. However, Aaron also finished third six times, and he owns more career shares ( 5.45 to 4.83 ). In the final analysis, I simply couldn't overlook Aaron's substantial lead in the lifetime numbers. While the two are similar in terms of P/E Average and the three standard efficiency measures, Frank is way behind Henry in overall statistics. Aaron hit 169 more homers, drove in 485 more runs, and registered 828 more hits. Aaron was slightly more productive on a per-game basis ( 1.13 net runs per contest to 1.09 ), but it's the longevity and sustainability that won me over. In their prime, I think they were probably similar players, about even in terms of their overall ability and worth. Hank Aaron simply had more good years, and that's the reason for his placement here.

## 1. Babe Ruth

Was there ever really any doubt? For as great as Hank Aaron was, Ruth was even better. He didn't hit as many homers, but he did hit them at a far superior rate. Aaron averaged a home run every 16.38 at-bats while Ruth hit one every 11.76 at-bats, the second best rate in history. Aaron hit more than 45 homers once; Ruth achieved that feat nine times. Hank hit 41 more home runs than Babe, approximately one good season's worth. However, he appeared in almost 800 more games, approximately five full seasons.

When it came to run production, it wasn't much of a contest either. The Sultan of Swat averaged 1.47 net runs per game, a mark 30 percent higher than Aaron's average of 1.13. It can certainly be argued that Ruth benefited from Gehrig hitting behind him, yet I think that the difference in run production goes beyond the Iron Horse's protection. Remember, Ruth's 1921 season, in which he scored 177 and drove in 171, occurred without Gehrig's presence. In 3,324 fewer plate appearances, Ruth scored as many runs as Aaron and only drove in 80 fewer. In substantially more time at the plate, Aaron produced only 39 more net runs than the Sultan of Swat, a gap that Ruth probably could have closed in approximately one month of playing time.

You can go through the numbers however you'd like, but I still see Ruth as the clear-cut choice for the top spot. Aaron fans shouldn't lament. There are worse things that can be said about a ballplayer than he finished second to Babe Ruth.

## Power and Production

More than any other position, the top three right fielders were legends when it came to power and production. The chart below lists my top three at each position and notes their combined totals for home runs, runs scored, RBI, and net runs. As you can see, no other spot in either the infield or the outfield can match the trio of Hank Aaron, Frank Robinson, and Babe Ruth in terms of knocking the ball over the fence and putting runs on the scoreboard.

| Position | My Top 3 Players | HR | Runs Scored | RBI | Net Runs |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | Bench, Berra, Campanella | 866 | 3,307 | 3,638 | 6,079 |
| 1B | Foxx, Gehrig, Greenberg | 1,358 | 4,690 | 5,193 | 8,525 |
| 2B | Gehringer, Hornsby, Morgan | 753 | 5,003 | 4,144 | 8,394 |
| 3B | Brett, Robinson, Schmidt | 1,133 | 4,321 | 4,547 | 7,735 |
| SS | Ripken, Rodriguez, Wagner | 1,050 | 4,884 | 4,930 | 8,764 |
| LF | Bonds, Musial, Williams | 1,732 | 5,900 | 5,709 | 9,877 |
| CF | Cobb, DiMaggio, Mays | 1,138 | 5,698 | 5,377 | 9,937 |
| RF | Aaron, Robinson, Ruth | 2,055 | 6,177 | 6,326 | 10,448 |
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## Multiposition

Some people simply have no place to go. Such is the case with this chapter's players, men who never found one position on the field at which to spend at least 60 percent of their games. For the sake of organization, I've also included designated hitters in this chapter; if a player was primarily a DH , even for more than 60 percent of his total games played, you will find him here with the multiposition athletes.

Perhaps the biggest complaint my readers may have will focus on Ernie Banks. I'm sure there will be those who feel that Banks should be included with the shortstops, where he would give Wagner, A-Rod, Ripken, and Jeter a good run for their money. After all, he won back-to-back MVPs and a Gold Glove at that position. I understand the thinking, but it doesn't meet the criteria I have set forth. Not only did Banks fail to play 60 percent of his games at short, but he actually played more career games at first base.

I have also decided not to include a top-10 list for this chapter. With each player appearing in a variety of positions spanning different eras in baseball history, I felt it would be fruitless and unfair to rank them against one another. Do I give a player credit for jumping from one position to another, or should that be looked on as a negative? I wasn't
sure how to answer that question, so I'm not even going to try. Besides, if you've read this far, then you are probably very familiar with my thinking and analysis. I prefer players who are run producers, have MVP credentials, and have succeeded in October.

Although it may appear as if these players have no place to call home, the more appropriate perspective is that this chapter focuses on some of the most talented and versatile players the game has ever known. Think of them as jacks-of-all-trades and enjoy the analyses that follow.

## Multiposition: Career P/E Averages

Frank Thomas, 1.169; Jim Thome, 1.168; David Ortiz, 1.163; Richie Allen, 1.108; Jose Canseco, 1.107; Jackie Robinson, 1.094; Edgar Martinez, 1.090; Willie Stargell, 1.078; Harmon Killebrew, 1.052; Jack Clark, 1.030; Ernie Banks, 1.000; Paul Molitor, .993; Freddie Lindstrom, .981; Harold Baines, .971; Al Oliver, .952; Darrell Evans, .941; Rod Carew, .938; Robin Yount, .938; Joe Torre, .940; Pete Rose, .890; Hubie Brooks, .850; Joel Youngblood, .833; Joe McEwing, . 752

## Category 1-3 Multiposition Players

## Harold Baines

Career P/E: .971; Postseason P/E: . 982
Notable seasons (P/E): 1982 (1.016); 1985 (1.004); 1999 (1.156)
With 2,866 hits and more than 1,600 RBI, there is legitimacy to any claim to induct Harold Baines into the Hall of Fame. Throughout the 1980s and occasionally in the 1990s, Baines was a solid run producer, playing the majority of his career with the White Sox. Harold topped 100 runs batted in three times, including 113 in 1985 and 103 near the end of his career in 1999, the year in which he posted his highest P/E. Most impressive is the fact that he hit better than .350 in five different postseason series.

Baines finished in the top 10 of the MVP vote twice but earned only .29 shares over 22 seasons in the big leagues. Aside from leading the AL in slugging in '84 (.541), Harold was never among the league leaders in the major offensive categories. For his career, he averaged .90 net runs per game, a respectable but not overwhelming score.

Looking quickly at his career statistics, it might appear that he was Hall of Fame material. On closer inspection, however, you find a very good ballplayer, but one who didn't distinguish himself among his peers. Therefore, I've decided on a rating of C3.

## Hubie Brooks

Career P/E: .850; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable seasons (P/E): 1985 (.911); 1988 (.900); 1990 (.917)
The New York Mets must have felt that they let another talented player slip away after they witnessed what Hubie Brooks did for the

Montreal Expos in 1985. Traded north after the ' 84 season in a package for Gary Carter, Brooks showed his former team that he could put up big numbers. Hubie knocked in 100 runs, reached 250 total bases for the season, and legged out 34 doubles. In limited action, Brooks hit .340 in 1986.

The Mets brought Brooks back for the '91 campaign after watching him perform very well in the second half of the 1980s. It turned out to be a bad move. Brooks batted just .238 with 50 RBI for New York. As it turned out, 1991 was Brooks's last noteworthy season. The Mets parted ways with him after one year, and then Hubie headed to the Angels and Royals for his last three seasons, playing 82 games or less in each one.

Brooks is a Category 2. He has no chance for Cooperstown; no C2 player does. Hubie had some good seasons in baseball, but he certainly can't be rated any higher. Brooks played in more than 500 games in both the outfield and at third base while also spending considerable time (371 games) at shortstop.

## Edgar Martinez

Career P/E: 1.090; Postseason P/E: 1.014
Notable seasons (P/E): 1995 (1.340); 1996 (1.300); 1997 (1.174); 2000 (1.266)

At DH, Martinez was a hitting machine. He won two batting titles and led the league in on-base percentage three times. Edgar retired with tremendous efficiency averages in the regular season (.311 BA, $.418 \mathrm{OBP}, .515 \mathrm{SLP}$ ), but his display in the first round of the ' 95 playoffs truly showed his worth. In addition to his game-winning double in Game 5, Martinez also smacked two homers with seven RBI in Game 4 to keep hope alive.

Martinez's chances for Cooperstown will probably be hurt by two facts: he was primarily a designated hitter, and he earned only 1.01 career shares in MVP voting.

I always loved watching Martinez hit. He routinely killed the Yankees and was one of the few batters to give Mariano Rivera trouble. However, I don't see Edgar as a Hall of Famer. His chances are in the doubtful to possible range, and he's C3.

## Joe McEwing

Career P/E: .752; Postseason P/E: 3.500
Notable seasons (P/E): 1999 (.791); 2001 (.887)
The player with the highest postseason $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ score in this entire book is Joe McEwing, who registers a huge number of 3.500 . Why is his average so high? Simple. McEwing frequently appeared in games as a pinch-runner, thereby scoring runs without accumulating plate appearances. In the 2000 postseason, Super Joe scored three runs while only appearing twice in the batter's box. Therefore, his P/E number is inflated.

The 141 hits Joe laced in 1999 represent almost a third of his career base knocks. He has failed to stake a claim for himself in a team's lineup on a daily basis, even though he is versatile and has a reputation for hitting Randy Johnson.

McEwing is Category 1 for the multiposition chapter. A true jack-of-all-trades, Super Joe has been a valuable complementary player on some talented teams, but he has never really established himself as an everyday player.

## Joe Torre

Career P/E: .940; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable seasons (P/E): 1964 (1.071); 1966 (1.075); 1970 (1.028); 1971 (1.191)

Before he won multiple championships as skipper of the Yankees, Joe Torre had a very successful playing tenure in the 1960s and 1970s. Torre, who played his entire career as a National Leaguer, had unquestionably his best season in 1971. Walking away with a near-unanimous MVP (he received .95 shares) as well as Major League Player of the Year honors, Torre established himself as a top player. He led the NL with a . 363 average and set career highs in runs (97), hits (230), RBI (137), and on-base percentage (.421). Although he played third and first, he spent the most career games behind the plate.

Torre will certainly make it into the Hall of Fame one day, but that will come as a result of his managerial résumé and not his playing one. Although his career numbers are very good, they are probably a little shy of what's needed for induction. Joe just missed hitting .300 for his career by three points and was only four runs short of 1,000 . Ironically, Joe Torre the player never once appeared in the postseason, a place that has become like a second home to him since becoming the manager of the New York Yankees.

Joe Torre had a C3 playing career. Like many baseball writers, I think he was just a notch below a Hall of Famer. Certainly, his MVP season of '71 was spectacular. I just think he needed a couple more big seasons to earn my vote. In terms of managerial success, however, Joe has an imminent induction ceremony in Cooperstown, New York, awaiting him one day in the future.

## Joel Youngblood

Career P/E: .833; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason
Notable seasons (P/E): 1979 (.898); 1980 (.851); 1983 (1.005)
Joel Youngblood played 14 major league seasons, enjoying limited success over a fairly long career. Mainly an outfielder, Youngblood also saw significant time at third and second. For the ' 79 Mets, he scored 90 runs, hit 16 homers, and batted .275. Two years later, Joel made the All-Star team despite playing only 43 games for New York.

Youngblood was a relatively well-known name in baseball in the '70s and '80s, but his career totals are nothing to write home about. He retired with less than 1,000 hits even though he appeared in more than 1,400 games.

Were it not for a 14-year stay in the big leagues, Youngblood would be C1. Being good enough to play professionally for almost a decade and a half earns him Category 2.

## The Best Multiposition Players

162-Game Averages

| Player | BA | OBP | SLP | HR | TB | R | RBI | H | XBH | BB | K | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Banks | .274 | .330 | .500 | 33 | 302 | 84 | 105 | 166 | 65 | 49 | 79 | 3 |
| Carew | .328 | .393 | .429 | 6 | 262 | 93 | 67 | 200 | 42 | 67 | 67 | 23 |
| Killebrew | .256 | .376 | .509 | 38 | 276 | 85 | 105 | 139 | 59 | 104 | 113 | 1 |
| Molitor | .306 | .369 | .448 | 14 | 293 | 108 | 79 | 200 | 58 | 66 | 75 | 30 |
| Robinson | .311 | .409 | .474 | 16 | 271 | 111 | 86 | 178 | 54 | 87 | 34 | 23 |
| Rose | .303 | .375 | .409 | 7 | 262 | 98 | 60 | 194 | 47 | 71 | 52 | 9 |
| Stargell | .282 | .360 | .529 | 33 | 288 | 82 | 106 | 153 | 66 | 64 | 133 | 1 |
| Thomas | .303 | .421 | .561 | 37 | 321 | 106 | 120 | 173 | 73 | 117 | 96 | 2 |
| Yount | .285 | .342 | .430 | 14 | 268 | 93 | 80 | 178 | 54 | 55 | 77 | 15 |

## Category 4 Multiposition Players

## Rod Carew

Career P/E: .938; Postseason P/E: . 618
MVP: American League MVP in 1977; 1.80 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1991
The best quote I ever read about Rod Carew was delivered by Alan Bannister, also a multiposition player from the '70s and ' 80 s . Describing Carew's uncanny hitting ability, Bannister quipped, "He's the only guy I know who can go four for three." That short, witty description perfectly described Carew; he was one of the game's most deft and skilled hitters, a maestro with a bat in his hand. He was never better than in 1977. Ending the season with a . 388 average, Carew set career highs in virtually every major offensive category. His 239 hits included 68 that went for extra bases. He drove in 100, scored 128, and surpassed 350 total bases. Rod walked away with the AL MVP trophy.

Other than in '77, Carew never reached 100 runs either scored or driven in. His career average of .95 net runs per game fails to distinguish him among the game's elite run producers. Furthermore, Carew lacked power, hitting more than nine homers in a season only twice and playing in 142 games in 1972 without a single long ball over the fence.

Carew's lack of power and production relegate him to C 4 status. Granted, I was very tempted to make him a C5 based on his batting titles and expertise with the lumber. Another MVP, a few Gold Gloves, or postseason success would have pushed him higher.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1975 | 80 | 89 | 14 | 155 | 266 | 64 | 1 | 35 | 9 | 357 | 667 | 617 | 1.081 |
| 1976 | 90 | 97 | 9 | 178 | 280 | 67 | 1 | 49 | 22 | 375 | 731 | 687 | 1.064 |
| 1977 | 100 | 128 | 14 | 214 | 351 | 69 | 3 | 23 | 13 | 433 | 861 | 694 | 1.241 |
| Career | 1,015 | 1,424 | 92 | 2,347 | 3,998 | 1,018 | 25 | 353 | 187 | 5,207 | 9,901 | 10,550 | .938 |

## Paul Molitor

Career P/E: .993; Postseason P/E: 1.326
MVP: never won; 1.43 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 2004
Like wine, some athletes seem to get better with age. Such was the case with Paul Molitor. Playing for the Twins in 1996, the 40-year-old Molitor had arguably his best season, setting career bests in hits (225) and RBI (113). He batted . 341 and was directly responsible for more than 200 runs on Minnesota's scoreboard. October is when Molitor normally was at his best. Joining the Blue Jays just in time to win a championship with them in 1993, Molitor was selected World Series MVP after batting .500, generating 16 net runs, and producing an eyepopping P/E Average of 2.179, making his performance one of the greatest ever in the history of the Fall Classic. In '87, a season in which he hit .353 , Paul captured the nation's attention with a 39 -game hitting streak.

I think there are probably two knocks that can be made against Molitor's career. First, he never won an MVP, finishing second in '93 and earning only 1.43 career shares. Second, he never hit with a lot of power, except perhaps in his first season with Toronto (22 homers, .509 slugging). He averaged only 14 long balls every 162 games.

Molitor is a no-doubt Hall of Famer, but I don't think he's on the elite level in terms of my rating system. Without an MVP, a Gold Glove, or a batting title, I am forced to relegate him to Category 4.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1987 | 75 | 114 | 16 | 173 | 263 | 69 | 2 | 45 | 10 | 369 | 715 | 542 | 1.319 |
| 1993 | 111 | 121 | 22 | 210 | 324 | 77 | 3 | 22 | 4 | 422 | 842 | 725 | 1.161 |
| 1996 | 113 | 99 | 9 | 203 | 309 | 56 | 3 | 18 | 6 | 380 | 786 | 728 | 1.080 |
| Career | 1,307 | 1,782 | 234 | 2,855 | 4,854 | 1,094 | 47 | 504 | 131 | 6,368 | 12,078 | 12,160 | .993 |

## Jackie Robinson

Career P/E: 1.094; Postseason P/E: . 863
MVP: National League MVP in 1949; 1.74 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1962
When Robinson appeared in a Dodgers uniform in '47 (originally at first base), his impact became readily apparent. He walked away with the NL's Rookie of the Year honor while also finishing fifth in the MVP race. Two years later, Robinson turned in a virtuoso performance. The 1949 campaign saw Jackie dominate National League pitching to the tune of a .342 batting average, 203 hits, and 230 net runs of offense. The voters had no choice but to select him as the league's Most Valuable Player. In only his third season, Robinson had done the unthinkable. Not only had he survived the insults and threats and obvious racism that plagued society, but he had also risen to the top of his profession, earning recognition as the best player in the National League.

Robinson's career lasted only 10 seasons, so many of his cumulative statistics are not overwhelmingly impressive. For example, he failed to reach 1,000 runs scored, and he recorded just over 1,500 hits. In five of his six World Series, Jackie batted less than .260 .

Robinson's amazing determination and bravery cannot be measured; it can only be appreciated and learned from. On the diamond, he was a multitalented player who should have been able to display his talents sooner. It's C4 for baseball's ultimate hero.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1947 | 48 | 125 | 12 | 161 | 252 | 74 | 9 | 29 | $9^{*}$ | 355 | 677 | 701 | .966 |
| 1949 | 124 | 122 | 16 | 230 | 313 | 86 | 8 | 37 | $11^{*}$ | 433 | 893 | 704 | 1.268 |
| 1953 | 95 | 109 | 12 | 192 | 243 | 74 | 7 | 17 | 4 | 337 | 721 | 574 | 1.256 |
| Career | 734 | 947 | 137 | 1,544 | 2,310 | 740 | 72 | 197 | $61^{*}$ | 3,258 | 6,346 | 5,802 | 1.094 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Willie Stargell

Career P/E: 1.078; Postseason P/E: . 967
MVP: National League co-MVP in 1979; 3.30 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1988
Not many athletes have ever been as beloved as Willie Stargell in Pittsburgh. Pops played his entire 21 years with the Pirates, belting 475 homers and driving in 1,540 runs over his tenure. Despite winning co-MVP honors with Keith Hernandez in '79, Stargell's best seasons were probably 1971 and 1973, years in which the lovable Pirates outfielder finished as runner-up in league balloting. Willie hit 48 home runs in ' 71 and then connected for another 44 two years later, establishing himself as one of the game's best long-ball threats. His blasts didn't just clear the fences either; he hit some of the longest home runs in National League history. Both seasons, Stargell generated 181 net runs while finishing the season with remarkably similar $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ numbers.

I think Hernandez definitely had the better year in '79 and probably deserved the MVP outright. Keith hit .344 with 210 hits and 210 net runs, but his Cardinals finished in third place in their division. Stargell, conversely, generated exactly 100 fewer net runs and played in only 126 games, yet his Pirates ball club won 98 games and made the playoffs.

For me, Stargell's most impressive number is 3.30; that's his career total of MVP shares. Willie, Category 4, finished in the top three four times and in the top 10 seven times.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1971 | 125 | 104 | 48 | 181 | 321 | 83 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 411 | 773 | 606 | 1.276 |
| 1973 | 119 | 106 | 44 | 181 | 337 | 80 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 782 | 609 | 1.284 |
| 1979 | 82 | 60 | 32 | 110 | 234 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 283 | 503 | 480 | 1.048 |
| Career | 1,540 | 1,195 | 475 | 2,260 | 4,190 | 937 | 78 | 17 | 16 | 5,206 | 9,726 | 9,026 | 1.078 |

## Robin Yount

Career P/E: .938; Postseason P/E: 1.000
MVP: American League MVP in 1982, 1989; 1.80 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1999
The 1982 Milwaukee Brewers were led by shortstop Robin Yount, who was named American League MVP. That year, Yount set career highs in batting average (.331), net runs (214), and P/E Average (1.223). In a loaded lineup that featured Paul Molitor, Cecil Cooper, Ben Oglivie, and Ted Simmons, Yount was the unquestioned star, and his near-unanimous MVP win (he earned .98 shares) demonstrated that. Although the Cardinals won the World Series in seven games, Yount was nonetheless magnificent, with 12 hits, 11 net runs, and a .414 batting average. His P/E against St. Louis in ' 82 was 1.355 . Robin proved his first MVP was no fluke when he won the honor again in '89. For the second time, Yount surpassed 100 runs both scored and driven home in the same season.

Yount never hit with a tremendous amount of power, although his 29 homers and .578 slugging average in ' 82 were impressive. He hit 251 career round-trippers but only topped 20 four times, never reaching 30. Surprisingly, Yount accumulated only 1.80 career MVP shares despite twice taking home the trophy.

Yount is Category 4. He starred at both shortstop and center field, winning two MVPs, but failed to have enough other great seasons to warrant a higher rating.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 87 | 121 | 23 | 185 | 317 | 26 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 359 | 729 | 647 | 1.127 |
| 1982 | 114 | 129 | 29 | 214 | 367 | 54 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 433 | 861 | 704 | 1.223 |
| 1989 | 103 | 101 | 21 | 183 | 314 | 63 | 6 | 19 | 3 | 399 | 765 | 690 | 1.109 |
| Career | 1,406 | 1,632 | 251 | 2,787 | 4,730 | 966 | 48 | 271 | 105 | 5,910 | 11,484 | 12,249 | .938 |

## Category 5 Multiposition Players

## Ernie Banks

Height: 6' $1^{\prime \prime}$; Weight: 180; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: September 17, 1953; Final game: September 26, 1971
Team(s): Chicago Cubs (1953-1971)
MVP: National League MVP in 1958, 1959; 2.83 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1977
162-game avg.: . 274 batting, .330 on-base, .500 slugging,
33 home runs, 302 total bases, 84 runs scored,
105 runs batted in, 166 hits, 65 extra-base hits, 49 BB ,
79 K, 3 SB
Career P/E: 1.000; Postseason P/E: never in the postseason

The Good. Let's play two! Ernie Banks was the well-known and muchloved face of the Chicago Cubs franchise for almost two decades, earning him the nickname Mr. Cub. Banks played mostly shortstop from 1953 to 1961, yet he wasn't the conventional player at this position normally reserved for light-hitting, slick-fielding glove men. In 1955, playing every game at short, Banks hit 44 homers, knocked in 117, and slugged .596 , unheard-of numbers for his position.

Three years later, in 1958, Banks won the first of his two consecutive Most Valuable Player awards. Mr. Cub again topped 40 homers (47) while generating 201 net runs of offense. He led the league in long balls as well as runs batted in (129). In '59 Banks again led the league in RBI, this time knocking in 143 runs. Ernie reached 350 total bases for the third time in his career, winning another MVP. In both '58 and '59 Banks hit better than . 300 and posted P/E Averages above 1.200.

Mr. Cub finished his career with 1,636 RBI, more than 1,300 runs scored, more than 2,500 hits, and 512 home runs. In addition to his back-to-back MVPs, he also finished third, fourth, and sixth, totaling 2.83 career shares. Banks was runner-up for the 1954 Rookie of the Year honor, won a Gold Glove at shortstop in 1960, and made 11 AllStar contests between 1955 and 1969. He led the league in homers twice and was a mainstay in the Cubs lineup, playing in at least 150 games in a season a dozen times.

The Bad. Ernie Banks never appeared in the postseason. By far, that is the biggest hole in his great, Hall of Fame career. The Cubs posted losing records in each of Banks's first 10 seasons and were below .500 in 13 of 14 years to begin his career. Notably, Chicago finished with a winning record in each of Banks's last five major league seasons, but they were unable to make the playoffs every time.

After 1961 Ernie Banks never appeared at shortstop again. Aside from a few games at third, Banks became a first baseman. Interestingly, he ended up with more games played at first $(1,259)$ than short $(1,125)$, a fact I didn't realize until I began my research for this book.

The Verdict. Mr. Cub deserves C5 status. He drove in more than 100 runs eight times and hit more than 40 homers five times from ' 55 to '60, when Banks was truly a dominant player in the National League. It's a shame we can't talk about his postseason record, however, but that fact doesn't hold me back from placing him among the game's best with a Category 5 rating.

## Harmon Killebrew

Height: 5'11"; Weight: 213; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: June 23, 1954; Final game: September 26, 1975
Team(s): Washington Senators (1954-1960); Minnesota Twins (1961-1974); Kansas City Royals (1975)
MVP: American League MVP in 1969; 3.23 career shares
Hall of Fame: inducted in 1984
162-game avg.: . 256 batting, .376 on-base, .509 slugging, 38 home runs, 276 total bases, 85 runs scored, 105 runs batted in, 139 hits, 59 extra-base hits, $104 \mathrm{BB}, 113 \mathrm{~K}, 1$ SB

Career P/E: 1.052; Postseason P/E: . 963

The Good. Hitting 40 home runs in a season is a major accomplishment. Back in the '50s, ' 60 s , and ' 70 s , it was an even rarer occurrence, normally reserved for baseball's true superstars. Harmon Clayton Killebrew accomplished that feat eight times from 1959 to 1970, establishing himself as one of the game's most feared hitters during that span. Killebrew also smacked 25 or more out of the park in five other seasons, and he hung up his spikes with 573 dingers, more than Reggie Jackson, Mike Schmidt, and Mickey Mantle.

Harmon began his career with the Senators but became best known (and entered the Hall of Fame) as a member of the Minnesota Twins. When he joined the team in 1961, he was an instant success, hitting 46 home runs and knocking in 122 while reaching base safely more than 40 percent of the time. Throughout the 1960s, Harmon continued to terrorize AL pitchers, who often steered clear of him by issuing free passes to first. He capped the decade off with his best season (P/E: 1.233). In 1969 Killer was named American League MVP after
leading the league in on-base percentage (.427), walks (145), home runs (49), and runs batted in (140).

The stocky power hitter from Idaho made 11 All-Star teams over his major league tenure. He led the league in RBI three times and in home runs six times. In the second half of his career especially, Killer often walked far more than he struck out, including a ratio of 273 bases on balls to 168 whiffs in ' 69 and '70, especially good for a power hitter. In addition to his MVP, Killebrew also finished in the top four on five other occasions, earning 3.23 career shares.

The Bad. Harmon's teams went $0-3$ in the postseason, and he wasn't much of a factor in any of those appearances. In the ' 65 World Series, he knocked in only two runs over seven games. In back-to-back ALCS in '69 and '70, Killebrew batted a combined .211, although he did hit two home runs against Baltimore pitching in their 1970 meeting.

Harmon Killebrew never hit better than .288, and he retired as a .256 lifetime batter. He had a rough year in 1968, playing in only 100 games and batting .210 with 40 RBI (P/E: .868). With almost no speed to speak of, he managed just 19 career steals.

The Verdict. Killebrew's power and MVP success make him Category 5. Most impressive are his eight 40-homer and nine 100-RBI seasons. The quiet man with the powerful stroke was a fearsome hitter in the American League and should have been inducted earlier than 1984.

## Pete Rose

Height: 5'11"; Weight: 200; Bats: both; Throws: right
First game: April 8, 1963; Final game: August 17, 1986
Team(s): Cincinnati Reds (1963-1978, 1984-1986); Philadelphia Phillies (1979-1983); Montreal Expos (1984)
MVP: National League MVP in 1973; 3.68 career shares
Hall of Fame: doubtful
162-game avg.: . 303 batting, 375 on-base, .409 slugging, 7 home runs, 262 total bases, 98 runs scored, 60 runs batted in, 194 hits, 47 extra-base hits, $71 \mathrm{BB}, 52 \mathrm{~K}, 9 \mathrm{SB}$
Career P/E: .890; Postseason P/E: . 797

The Good. With 4,256 career base knocks, Pete Rose is officially baseball's hit king. Over the course of 24 seasons, Rose accumulated some tremendous numbers. In addition to ranking number one all-time in hits, Rose also ranks sixth in runs scored and first in at-bats. Perhaps most impressive, Rose's total of 5,929 times on base is the highest in history, with Ty Cobb in second place almost 400 behind. Rose was an integral piece on Cincinnati's championship teams of the 1970s. In 1973 Charlie Hustle won the NL Most Valuable Player award after hitting .338 on 230 hits while scoring 115 runs. Rose hit .381 throughout the NLCS that year against the Mets.

Over the course of his major league tenure, Rose won three batting titles, two Gold Gloves (both as an outfielder), and the 1963 Rookie of the Year honor. He made his way onto 17 All-Star teams as well. When Cincinnati's Big Red Machine won the World Series in 1975, Pete Rose was named MVP. He batted .370 with 10 hits and five walks against the Red Sox after hitting . 357 in the National League Cham-
pionship Series. For his career, Rose batted .321 in the postseason, going 6-1 in NLCS play and winning three championship rings.

Rose was always known for his hustling, hard-nosed style of play. His longevity and ability to stay in the lineup day after day was remarkable. Fifteen times, Rose had 700 or more plate appearances in a season. He accomplished that feat every year from 1969 to 1980, an amazing run of playing nearly every single day for a dozen years.

Charlie Hustle hustled his way to 3.68 career MVP shares. In addition to winning the award in ' 73 , Rose also finished second in ' 68 , in the top five three other times, and in the top 10 a total of 10 times. He led the NL in runs scored four times, in hits seven times, and in doubles five times.

The Bad. Rose's lack of power is the reason for his .890 career P/E mark. He hit singles and doubles almost exclusively, which explains his career slugging percentage of .409 . Pete topped a $1.000 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ only twice in his career despite frequently batting above .300 .

The Verdict. If Pete Rose is ever to be inducted into the Hall of Fame, then he will need to be reinstated first. He received a lifetime ban from baseball in 1989 after gambling allegations and evidence thereof continued to mount. I think that baseball may one day reinstate him and allow him to enter Cooperstown. His play on the field unmistakably made him a Hall of Famer. Unfortunately, other actions may have sealed his fate. I believe Rose is Category 5.

## Frank Thomas

Height: 6'5"; Weight: 257; Bats: right; Throws: right
First game: August 2, 1990; Final game: still active
Team(s): Chicago White Sox (1990-2005); Oakland Athletics (2006); Toronto Blue Jays (2007-present)

MVP: American League MVP in 1993, 1994; 4.79 career shares (through '06 voting)

Hall of Fame: imminent
162-game avg.: . 303 batting, .421 on-base, .561 slugging, 37 home runs, 321 total bases, 106 runs scored, 120 runs batted in, 173 hits, 73 extra-base hits, $117 \mathrm{BB}, 96 \mathrm{~K}, 2$ SB

Career P/E: 1.169; Postseason P/E: . 794

The Good. Big Hurt has been injuring the careers of American League pitchers for almost two decades. The former first-round pick (seventh overall) of the 1989 amateur draft by the White Sox has put together a legendary career, evidenced by a lifetime P/E of 1.169 and almost five full career shares (4.79) in balloting.

Twice named American League MVP, Thomas first won the prestigious award in 1993. The Chicago slugger batted .317 and used his 41 home runs to help generate 193 runs of offense for his club. Impressively, he walked far more often (112 times) than he struck out (54) that season. His ability to draw free passes and avoid swinging at pitchers' pitches has stayed with him throughout much of his career; his lifetime on-base percentage of .421 is outstanding.

Baseball came to a grinding halt in the strike-shortened season of 1994, but Frank Thomas kept chugging along. Again walking away with AL MVP honors, Thomas recorded the best P/E Average (1.429)
of his career. In only 113 games, Big Hurt scored 106 times and drove in 101 . He batted .353 that season and slugged .729 while reaching base safely in nearly half of his plate appearances (.487).

When it looked as if Thomas's career was headed south, he put up huge numbers in 2000. Frank set career marks in home runs (43), extra-base hits (87), runs (115), and RBI (143). The 2006 season was also one that witnessed a resurgence in his career. Playing in an Oakland uniform, the former Auburn University star drove home 114 runs and finished fourth in league balloting for Most Valuable Player.

The Bad. In the postseason, Thomas has been up and down. Although he was great in the '93 ALCS and the '06 ALDS, he also had two other postseason series, the '00 ALDS and the ' 06 ALCS, in which he failed to register a hit, a run, or an RBI. A player of Thomas's stature occupying a prime spot in the middle of a lineup needs to be more consistent in October. In a twist of unfortunate irony for Frank, the 2005 White Sox won the World Series without him on their postseason roster.

The Verdict. Thomas should be a first-ballot Hall of Famer when his turn comes up. He was simply dominant in the 1990s, and he's had enough other success ('00, '03, and '06) to guarantee himself a place in Cooperstown. According to my system, he rates as Category 5 and would be the top-ranked player in this chapter if a top-10 list were given.

## Jack-of-All-Trades

So exactly where did these multiposition stars play? Some spread themselves thin at a variety of positions while others just missed reaching 60 percent of their total games played at one spot. Perhaps by looking at where they played, we can more accurately assess their worth. For example, a shortstop with a .285 batting average and 175 net runs is probably far more valuable than a left fielder with the same statistics. The following list offers the career games played at each position by every Category 4 and 5 player from this chapter. Simply adding each position's total will not result in total games played. Pinch-hitting, pinch-running, and single games played at more than one position affect each player's career total, from which the 60 percent threshold is calculated.

- Ernie Banks

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{~B}-1,259 ; \text { SS }-1,125 ; 3 \mathrm{~B}-69 ; \text { OF- } 23 ; 2,528 \text { total games } \\
& \text { played }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Rod Carew

$$
\text { 1B- } 1,184 ; 2 \mathrm{~B}-1,130 ; \text { DH- } 68 ; \text { SS— } 4 ; 3 \mathrm{~B}-2 ; \mathrm{OF}-1 ; 2,469
$$

total games played

- Harmon Killebrew

1B-969; 3B-791; OF-470; DH—158; 2B—11; 2,435 total games played

- Paul Molitor

DH—1,174; 3B-791; 2B-400; 1B—197; SS— 57 ; OF- 50 ;
2,683 total games played

- Jackie Robinson

2B—748; 3B—256; 1B—197; OF—162; SS—1; 1,382 total games played

- Pete Rose

OF-1,327; 1B—939; 3B—634; 2B—628; 3,562 total games played

- Willie Stargell

OF-1,296; 1B—848; 2,360 total games played

- Frank Thomas

DH—1,242; 1B—971; 2,251 total games played

- Robin Yount

SS—1,479; OF-1,218; DH—138; 1B—12; 2,856 total games played
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## Nineteenth-Century Stars

Perhaps more than any other sport, baseball has a history and a past second to none. Essentially, all you really need to play is a ball, a bat, some willing participants, and enough open space for a field. Although simple bat and ball games have been around for hundreds (and probably even thousands) of years, the organized game we know so well today took root in the 1840s in New York City. The New York Knickerbockers were founded on September 23, 1845, adopting a set of rules by which they would be governed. One of the most important guidelines restricted players from throwing the ball at one another; players needed to be either tagged or forced out. Ever since, the rules have transformed, and the game has evolved, but the essence of baseball has remained the same.

In this chapter, I have analyzed 20 Hall of Famers who played before the turn of the twentieth century. Some of them date back to the 1870s, while others played well into the modern era. I felt it was appropriate to give these players special recognition in their own chapter. They each played a part in laying the foundation for the sport they loved. Many of them played for teams unknown to most people, such as the Boston Beaneaters and the Louisville Colonels. From Cap

Anson to Monte Ward, Chapter 11 provides a brief glimpse into the careers of the stars from the nineteenth century.

Statistical record keeping was not always what it is today. Many of the players featured in the upcoming pages had incomplete stats, especially for stolen bases, strikeouts, and hit by pitch. Furthermore, there was a time in the 1800s when bases on balls were counted as hits, thereby inflating batting averages. Therefore, I have kept my comments on these players brief and have avoided calculating P/E Averages, which I thought would be quite futile. In terms of statistical commentary, I have abided by the numbers set forth by baseball -reference.com, an outstanding Internet resource for anyone interested in anything about baseball.

The game has changed since the days of Dan Brouthers, King Kelly, and Wee Willie Keeler, but the essential nature of the sport has remained. Baseball is beautiful because there is no clock, and it is a natural human instinct to pick up an object (like a ball) and hurl it through the air to someone else trying to either hit it or catch it. The sport owes a great deal to the 20 men featured here. They helped pave the way for today's generation of ballplayers.

## Cap Anson

Born in 1852, Cap Anson played professional ball for 27 seasons. He retired with a . 333 lifetime average, just under 2,000 runs scored, and 2,076 RBI. Cap hit . 415 in 46 games for the Philadelphia Athletics in 1872. The majority of his career was played in Chicago with the White Stockings and the Colts of the National League. Anson, unfortunately, often refused to play against teams with players of dark skin color, which somewhat marred a tremendous career.

## Jake Beckley

Nicknamed Eagle Eye, Jacob Peter Beckley played all but one of his seasons in the National League. He scored exactly 1,600 career runs and just missed inclusion in the 3,000-hit club $(2,930)$. Beckley played almost exclusively at first base, where he recorded more than 1,500 putouts in 1892 and again in 1904. Cooperstown welcomed him in 1971.

## Dan Brouthers

Slight controversy surrounds this player, as various sources list his career batting average differently due to the fact that walks were once counted as hits. The source I have used throughout this book, baseball-reference.com, lists it as .342 , and that sounds good to me. Big Dan originally broke into organized ball with the Troy Trojans in 1879.

## Jesse Burkett

In 1895 and 1896 Jesse Burkett led the National League in batting with averages of .409 and .410 , respectively. An outfielder for the Cleveland Spiders, Burkett scored a remarkable combined total of 313 runs over those two years. His Hall of Fame induction came in 1946.

## Fred Clarke

Fred Clarke played in the 1900s but had his most productive seasons before the turn of the century. Playing for the 1897 Louisville Colonels, Clarke batted .390 with 202 hits and 120 runs scored. In 1900 Clarke shared the outfield with a young player who would later
be moved to shortstop, Honus Wagner. He also served as a very successful player-manager for almost two decades, winning three straight pennants from 1901 to 1903 and a World Series in 1909.

## Roger Connor

Until Babe Ruth began his onslaught on the record books with the Yankees in the 1920s, Roger Connor was baseball's home-run king. His total of 138 long balls was tops until Ruth broke it in ' 21 . Connor played all but one year of his career in the National League, scoring more than 100 runs eight times. Mainly a first baseman, Connor led his leagues in slugging percentage twice and in extra-base hits three times.

## George Davis

George Davis was a true multiposition star before the turn of the century. While he played more than 300 games in the outfield and more than 500 at third base, Davis was primarily a shortstop, a position he played for the New York Giants and Chicago White Sox. In 1897 George batted .353 and drove in 136 runs. He also stole more than 600 bases in his career.

## Ed Delahanty

Big Ed, who also had four brothers who played professionally, batted .397 or higher four times in his career, including three years that saw him hit better than .400. Mainly an outfielder, Delahanty amassed
some tremendous lifetime numbers: 1,599 runs, 2,596 hits, and a .346 batting average. He was inducted into the Hall of Fame in 1945.

## Hugh Duffy

Duffy began his career in the National League with the Chicago White Stockings. After two years, he joined the Players' League for one season and then the American Association for one before finally settling back into the NL with the Boston Beaneaters. In his first season, Boston went 102-48, winning the pennant. Then, in 1894, he made Beaneaters fans ecstatic as he put up one of the greatest seasons ever. Duffy batted .440, the highest mark in history, and generated 287 net runs for his team's scoreboard.

## Buck Ewing

Before there was Berra or Bench there was Buck, considered one of the greatest players ever from the nineteenth century. Playing for the Cleveland Spiders in 1893, Ewing set career bests in runs (117), hits (172), runs batted in (122), and batting average (.344). He became the first catcher to enter the Hall of Fame when he was inducted in 1939.

## Billy Hamilton

Born in Newark, New Jersey, Sliding Billy Hamilton stole more than 100 bases in each of his first three full seasons. His career total of 912 swipes ranks third all-time. In addition to stealing bases, Hamilton was known for scoring runs. Over 14 seasons, Billy scored 1,690 runs
in 1,591 games, including his remarkable total of 192 in 1894. From 1894 to 1897, he averaged more than 165 runs scored per year while playing in only 123-131 games each season.

## Hughie Jennings

Also a great manager, Hughie Jennings was inducted into Cooperstown in 1945 after playing most of his career as a shortstop. Hughie led the National League in being hit by pitches for five straight years from 1894 to 1898. Plunked an amazing 202 times over that short span, he is also baseball's all-time leader in that painful category, although Craig Biggio came close to breaking his record.

## Willie Keeler

Wee Willie Keeler is the man who advised to "hit 'em where they ain't." He certainly took those words to heart, compiling a . 341 lifetime average. Keeler posted eight consecutive 200-hit seasons from 1894 to 1901. He batted . 424 in 1897 and scored more than 1,700 runs over his professional career. This outfielder joined baseball's elite in Cooperstown in 1939.

## Joe Kelley

Always a great on-base player, Joe Kelley outdid himself in 1894 when he reached safely in more than half of his plate appearances (.502). The Orioles left fielder also scored 165 runs, helping Baltimore to win the National League pennant. Kelley batted .317 for his career and was inducted into Cooperstown in 1971, exactly 100 years after he was born.

## King Kelly

Michael Joseph Kelly began his professional career all the way back in 1878 as a right fielder for the Cincinnati Reds. Often given credit for inventing the hit-and-run, Kelly was an extremely popular player in his day. The 1886 season witnessed King Kelly bat . 388 and score 155 runs in only 118 games. The Boston Beaneaters were so in love with this player that they paid $\$ 10,000$ to secure his services on Valentine's Day in 1887.

## Tommy McCarthy

Hall of Famer Tommy McCarthy played from 1884 until 1896 in three different professional leagues. Mainly a corner outfielder, McCarthy also pitched early in his career, but that did not work out. Much better with the bat in his hands, Tommy proceeded to hit .310 or higher in four seasons between 1890 and 1894. He was immortalized in Cooperstown in 1946.

## Bid McPhee

Recently inducted into the Hall of Fame (2000), John Alexander McPhee was baseball's last gloveless second baseman. Bid played his entire career in Cincinnati, first with the Red Stockings of the American Association and then with the better-known Reds of the National League. He stole 568 bases and scored almost 1,700 career runs.

## Jim O'Rourke

On April 22, 1876, the National League began play for the first time, and Jim O'Rourke got the first hit. O'Rourke played baseball for 23
seasons, originally appearing with the 1872 Middletown Mansfields of the National Association. His best season may have been 1890; he batted .360 , scored 112 runs, and knocked in 115.

## Sam Thompson

Sam Thompson comprised one-third of a legendary outfield for the 1894 Philadelphia Phillies. Thompson (.407), Billy Hamilton (.404), and Ed Delahanty (.407) each eclipsed .400 batting averages for the season. Even the team's fourth outfielder, Tuck Turner (.416), managed to top that magical mark. The entire Philadelphia team combined to bat .349. Thompson posted a .331 career average and was inducted in 1974.

## Monte Ward

John Montgomery Ward was originally a pitcher but then became a middle infielder for the majority of his career. He won 47 games on the mound in 1879 , then added another 39 victories the following year. Ward scored 100 or more runs five times and batted as high as .338, which he did in 1887. Monte Ward became a Hall of Famer during Cooperstown's annual ceremony in 1964.


## Negro League Stars

From spitballs, corked bats, and labor stoppages to the Chicago Black Sox and the tainted 1919 World Series, a tied All-Star Game, and steroids, baseball has suffered through and survived much negativity and shame. More than anything else, however, baseball will never be able to fully escape the disgrace it brought upon itself by not allowing black players to participate until Jackie Robinson changed the sport and the country in 1947. While men such as Bud Fowler and Moses Fleetwood Walker played organized ball before the turn of the century, it wasn't until Robinson's debut on April 15, 1947, that baseball officially ended its unwritten policy of not allowing black players to participate.

The Negro Leagues were a natural and necessary reaction to baseball's racism. The players featured in this chapter were stars in those leagues and were deserving of better treatment than they received. Of the 20 players analyzed here, 19 are Hall of Famers. Buck O'Neill, not yet enshrined in Cooperstown, was an important figure in Negro League history as both a player and a manager, so he has been included as well.

As with the nineteenth-century stars of the previous chapter, the ballplayers listed here are analyzed briefly. Without full statistical data, I have again decided not to attempt to calculate P/E Averages. Also similar to the players from the 1800 s, I have refrained from assigning ratings or ranking a top-10 list. I admit that my knowledge of many of these men is elementary. Therefore, I thought it only fair to present quick sketches of their careers and not attempt to go beyond my capabilities. Trying to do too much, in my opinion, runs the risk of discrediting their careers, and that has certainly been done enough already.

In his Illustrated History of Baseball (1995), author Alex Chadwick, referring to the racial integration of the sport, made the following remark: "History has shown us that if Branch Rickey and Jackie Robinson were not the first men to attempt to break baseball's selfimposed color line, they definitely had the best timing." Unfortunately, the timing of that integration occurred after countless other players had suffered and were alienated, not able to showcase their talents on a bigger stage with the other great athletes of the sport. Baseball can only be thankful that Branch Rickey was wise and that Jackie Robinson was courageous. They certainly did their parts to ensure that baseball's cloud of racism wouldn't linger forever.

## Cool Papa Bell

Josh Gibson claimed that Bell was so fast he could turn out the lights and be under the covers of his bed before it got dark. Blessed with tremendous speed, James Thomas Bell played mostly in center field for a number of teams, including the Kansas City Monarchs, the Homestead Grays, and the St. Louis Stars, his primary team. Bell was a prototypical leadoff man with great range in the outfield.

## Willard Brown

Home Run Brown played center field and was known for his outstanding skills at home plate. He played briefly in the major leagues, signing with the St. Louis Browns in 1947, but he was most recognized for his time spent with the Kansas City Monarchs and several teams in the Puerto Rican League. Brown helped the Monarchs win six pennants in the '30s and '40s.

## Oscar Charleston

Charleston spent 40 years in the Negro Leagues as both player and manager. An outstanding center fielder earlier in his career, he was a part of the 1932 Pittsburgh Crawfords, playing first base. That team won 99 games, and Oscar batted .363 , one of many years in which he topped a .300 average.

## Ray Dandridge

At the hot corner, nothing got by Ray Dandridge, a tremendous defensive star who spent a half-dozen seasons with the Newark Eagles in the 1930s and 1940s. Purported to be the best ever defensively at his position by many who either played with him or watched him, Dandridge earned his due recognition in 1987, the year he gained entrance into Cooperstown.

## Josh Gibson

Perhaps the greatest of them all, catcher Josh Gibson played for the Pittsburgh Crawfords and the Homestead Grays from 1930 to 1946. Accounts of his statistics and accomplishments range widely, some
crediting him with hitting more home runs than any player in history, including Hank Aaron. Especially lethal in the mid-'30s, at the height of his career, Gibson is widely regarded as the greatest power hitter in Negro League history. Tragically, he died in January of '47, only months before Jackie Robinson stepped onto the field in a Dodgers uniform.

## Frank Grant

A recent inductee into Cooperstown (2006), Ulysses F. Grant was a gifted middle infielder, playing primarily at second base before the twentieth century. Despite being small in stature, Grant had good power and was excellent defensively. In reading about his career, I was reminded of Joe Morgan as probably a similar player, and that's quite a compliment.

## Pete Hill

Like Grant, Hill was inducted to the Hall of Fame in 2006 as part of a long list of players of color. Hill was an outfielder who threw righthanded but swung from the left side of the plate. A skilled batsman, Hill played for several teams in the early half of the twentieth century and also managed the Milwaukee Bears. Notably, Hill was made captain of the 1910 Leland Giants, a team that allegedly went 123-6, a fact substantiated by several sources during my research.

## Monte Irvin

It's been a while since I've noted a P/E Average, but I can do so here. Monte Irvin (lifetime score of 1.037) played for eight seasons in the majors with the Giants and the Cubs. In 1951 he finished third in the

MVP race after knocking in 121 runs and batting 312 (P/E: 1.183). In the World Series that year, he hit 458 versus the Yankees in defeat. Before joining the Giants, Irvin was a high-average hitter for the Newark Eagles.

## Judy Johnson

Inducted into the HOF in 1975, Johnson was a standout third baseman, playing the majority of his career with the Hilldale Daisies. In 1924 the first Negro World Series was played, and Judy Johnson batted .341. As player-coach for the Homestead Grays, Johnson discovered an up-and-coming star named Josh Gibson.

## Buck Leonard

Walter Fenner Leonard, better known as Buck, was a part of nine consecutive pennant winners with the Homestead Grays of the '30s and '40s. The legacy of Leonard, who played first base, is succinctly captured on his Hall of Fame plaque, which includes the following: "Teamed with Josh Gibson to form most feared batting twosome in Negro baseball from 1937 to 1946." I can only wonder how Leonard and Gibson would have fared against Gehrig and Ruth.

## Pop Lloyd

One of the best black players in the dead-ball era, Pop often drew favorable comparisons to Honus Wagner, one of the greatest players of that time. At shortstop, Lloyd was blessed with soft hands and great range. He played for many teams over the course of 27 seasons, many of which saw him bat above .400. The Hall of Fame welcomed him in 1977.

## Biz Mackey

Biz was a tremendous catcher who once mentored a young Roy Campanella, a legendary player who went on to win three MVPs with the Brooklyn Dodgers. Mackey hit better than 400 several times and won the nomination as the starting catcher over Josh Gibson on the inaugural 1933 Negro League All-Star squad.

## Buck O'Neill

Buck O'Neill is the only player in this chapter not to be in the Hall of Fame, but I think he may one day get there. O'Neill batted well over . 300 several times and also made a name for himself as a major league scout after signing Lou Brock to his first contract. In 2006 he became the oldest person ever to step to the plate when, at age 94, he appeared in an All-Star Game as a member of the Kansas City T-Bones. Buck O'Neill drew an intentional walk.

## Louis Santop

Louis Santop was a left-handed-hitting catcher who played from 1909 to 1926. Blessed with tremendous power at the plate, Santop was enshrined as part of the 2006 induction class in Cooperstown, New York. Santop hit . 470 in 1911, although statistics from Negro teams in that era were often less than complete. Regardless of that fact, it can be stated with confidence that he was a great hitter and a top star in his day.

## Turkey Stearnes

This gifted center fielder won several home-run titles and hit better than .300 in 14 of 19 seasons. Primarily, Stearnes played for the

Detroit Stars, but he also spent time with many other squads throughout the '20s, '30s, and ' 40 s . A quiet and unassuming man in his day, Stearnes reportedly hit .350 for his career. He entered the Hall of Fame in 2000 after receiving the necessary votes from the Veterans Committee.

## Mule Suttles

George Suttles was a power-hitting first baseman who starred for a number of teams as a player and also managed the Newark Eagles. Suttles was a huge man, standing $6^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ and weighing 250 pounds. Mule was especially brilliant in the East-West All-Star Games of the Negro Leagues. He hit the first home run ever in that contest and won the ' 35 game with a three-run blast in the eleventh inning.

## Ben Taylor

Like Suttles, Ben Taylor was a first baseman who recently entered the Hall of Fame as a member of the 2006 class. Great in the field, Taylor was also a great role model and teacher to younger players. That reputation helped earn him managerial positions with several teams. Negro League star Buck Leonard credited Taylor with being instrumental to his development.

## Cristobal Torriente

Born in Cuba in 1893, Torriente went on to establish an outstanding career both on his home island and in the United States. Cristobal, inducted into Cooperstown in 2006, was a member of Cuba's inaugural class for its own baseball Hall of Fame in 1939. Torriente excelled at the plate as well as in the outfield.

## Willie Wells

This eight-time Negro League All-Star was an all-around talent at the shortstop position in the '20s, '30s, and '40s. Wells had range in the field and power at the plate, a combination of Ozzie Smith and Ernie Banks rolled into one. He also played in the Cuban Winter League, where he won two MVPs.

## Jud Wilson

Jud Wilson played mostly as a third baseman, although his talents were such that he could handle several infield positions. Wilson played for the Baltimore Black Sox, the Homestead Grays, the Pittsburgh Crawfords, and the Philadelphia Stars from the 1920s into the 1940s. He was a line-drive hitter from the left side of the plate, respected greatly by opposing pitchers, including Satchel Paige. Paige claimed he was one of the two toughest hitters he had ever faced.
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## The Top 100

One of the major goals of this book has been to systematically determine the 100 best baseball players in the sport's history. It certainly wasn't easy. I had to compare players at all different positions ranging from the dead-ball era to today, all the while taking into consideration several important factors, which I feel determine a player's overall worth. Most notably, I focused on the following areas in organizing my list:

- Statistics. Numbers don't often lie in baseball. P/E Averages, as well as more formal statistical categories, weighed heavily in determining value.
- MVP Résumé. By this I am referring to regular-season and postseason awards as well as career shares. All-Star MVPs don't matter very much to me, but it is fair to mention it for players who have won them. Special attention needed to be given to those who played prior to 1931, the year MVP voting was streamlined.
- Postseason Performance. This carried a lot of weight in my analyses. After all, winning championships is the objective at the major league level.
- Defense. P/E Averages are based solely on offense, but that's only half the game. In terms of defense, I focused on Gold Gloves, assist-to-error ratios at second base, third base, and shortstop, and overall quality of play in the field.
- Individual Success. This includes league leadership in offensive and defensive categories, All-Star nominations, and Hall of Fame voting.
- Intangibles and Special Considerations. Some players outperform their statistics by saving their best for when it matters most. Consideration also needed to be given to the time in which the athlete played and whether he was a truly unique player for his position or generation.

In ranking the top 100, I have not included players from the nineteenth century or the Negro League simply because they competed in settings far different from modern ballplayers. Therefore, I focused only on the men from Chapters $2-10$. To form my list, I started at 1 , taking the player I considered the best available choice at each stop along the way. However, I have presented it by beginning at 100 and finishing with my pick for the top spot, with detailed explanations for each selection. The top 100 includes the 50 Category 5 players (1-50) and 50 of the Category 4 (51-100). In my opinion, these are the 100 best players ever.

## 100. Tony Lazzeri

I'll begin with Lazzeri, a terrific second baseman for the Yankees in the '20s and '30s. He had some outstanding offensive seasons, with great numbers for a middle infielder. Impressively, he averaged 1.15 net runs per contest. Poosh 'Em Up Tony starts the top 100.

## 99. Luis Aparicio

Like Lazzeri, Aparicio was a middle infielder. Although he lacked the offensive might of the man at number 100, he was tremendous in the field. Aparicio won nine Gold Gloves and stole more than 500 bases. I opt for his speed and defense over Lazzeri's bat.

## 98. Enos Slaughter

Slaughter outgained Aparicio in terms of MVP shares (2.10 to 1.24) despite missing three full seasons in the middle of his career. They played different positions, a fact that always makes it hard to compare players. Nevertheless, I like Slaughter's track record in the postseason (4-1 in the World Series with a P/E of 1.010), and I like him over Luis for number 98.

## 97. Chuck Klein

Klein has a lifetime P/E Average (1.160) that is much higher than Slaughter's (1.040). He also won an MVP and a Triple Crown. Overall, I think Klein was probably more dynamic and more dominant in his prime than Slaughter. That's good enough for me to place him at number 97.

## 96. Barry Larkin

Larkin lacks the offensive numbers of Klein, but he played well enough at a premium position to warrant a higher ranking. The era in which Chuck Klein played also has to be considered here. Offensive statistics were inflated in the 1930s. I like Larkin's combination of leadership, speed, glove work, and pop at the plate.

## 95. Dave Winfield

Winfield gets the nod over Larkin based on his longevity and power. Like Larkin, Winfield made a dozen All-Star squads, and he betters the Reds shortstop in Gold Gloves, seven to three. Although he never won a Most Valuable Player award, he did reach 3,000 hits and came within one good season of 500 homers (465). I'll take Winfield in right over Larkin at short . . . but only by a little.

## 94. Dave Parker

The Cobra is my choice here because of his MVP résumé. Parker won the award in ' 78 with a 95 percent share of the available points. He also finished runner-up once and in third place twice. In all, Parker collected 3.19 career shares to Winfield's 2.20. Both were Gold Glove corner outfielders. At peak performance, I think Parker was probably the better player.

## 93. Pie Traynor

I wasn't exactly sure where Traynor belonged. He played in the '20s and '30s and put up some terrific offensive statistics. Most impressive is his lifetime average of 1.24 net runs per game, which is more than a quarter of a run better than Parker's average (.98). And although there have been many, many talented players in right field, third base is sparse. My ranking of Pie as the seventh-best third baseman ever gives the edge to him over Dave Parker, who failed to make it into the top 10 for his position.

## 92. Craig Biggio

Craig Biggio comes in at spot 92, ahead of Traynor. Biggio has scored a ton of runs in his career, reaching 100 in a season eight times, including 146 in 1997. Defensively, he has four Gold Gloves to his credit. He only made 10 errors in '95 and again in '96 while winning the award and fielding .986 and .988 respectively. His glove and run-scoring consistency win out here.

## 91. Wade Boggs

The lifetime P/E Averages for Boggs and Biggio are extremely close, as are their MVP shares and average net runs per game. I chose Wade as the superior player for a couple of reasons. First, he won five batting titles. He also made a dozen consecutive All-Star appearances from the mid-'80s through the mid-' 90 s. Finally, Boggs averaged 211 hits a season from 1983 to 1989, a time when he was probably the best pure hitter in the game. Can you imagine a lineup with Biggio leading off and Boggs hitting second?

## 90. Andre Dawson

The Hawk earns my vote over Boggs. He betters Wade slightly in terms of lifetime P/E (. 998 to .960 ), but it's his MVP season of 1987 that tipped the scales in his favor. Dawson won the award while languishing on a team that was terrible, which shows you how truly dominant he was that year. He was also runner-up in the balloting twice. Add in eight Gold Gloves and the choice is clear. Dawson is number 90 on my all-time list.

## 89. Gabby Hartnett

Obviously, Hartnett lacks the offensive numbers of Dawson, although his 1930 campaign ( .339 BA, .404 OBP, .630 SLP, 122 RBI, 1.235 P/E) was tremendous. Catcher is such a tough position to play. Therefore, Gabby edges Andre based on position value. I think having Hartnett behind the plate would better serve a team than having Dawson in right field.

## 88. Billy Williams

I like Williams's consistency. From '61 through '73, the Cubs' star averaged 98 runs batted in per season in an era when pitchers dominated. He also won Rookie of the Year and Player of the Year honors during that stretch as well. While he didn't make my top 10 for left field, he does come in ahead of Hartnett for spot 88.

## 87. Paul Waner

Amazingly, Big Poison reached 200 hits in a season eight times, finishing his career with 3,152 base knocks. I prefer him over Williams because he was more productive ( 1.11 net runs to .99 ) and sported much better batting averages. Waner retired with a mark of .333 , and he hit above .350 six times. Paul had the advantage of playing in the '20s and '30s, but I still consider him the better choice.

## 86. Orlando Cepeda

Cepeda had an interesting run. Like Waner, he had his best seasons in the first half of his career. Baby Bull also had an outstanding campaign in 1967, winning the MVP and helping to lead the Cardinals to the

World Series title over Boston. Cepeda had much better power than Waner (379 homers to 113) and had better RBI totals ( 1,365 to 1,309 ) despite 2,000 fewer plate appearances.

## 85. Goose Goslin

Goslin's 1.130 lifetime $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ is terrific, and it's more than 100 points higher than Cepeda's. Goose topped 200 net runs in five different seasons; Cepeda reached that number only once. On a per-game basis, Goslin averaged almost a quarter net run more while amassing more than 1,600 runs batted in throughout the '20s and '30s. Goose Goslin slides in safely at number 85 .

## 84. Juan Gonzalez

Juan begins a short run of more recent players on my list. Gonzalez won two MVPs and was an RBI machine throughout his career. He had one of the great postseason series ever against the Yankees in the '96 ALDS (2.263 P/E). Although he wasn't a polished ballplayer in terms of defense and baserunning, I would opt for him over Goslin if I were picking a team.

## 83. Mike Piazza

Like Hartnett, Piazza earns points for being a catcher. I see him as a superior player to Gonzalez because he distinguished himself as his position's best offensive force in history. True, his defense hurts his case. Nevertheless, Piazza enters the list at number 83, just ahead of the powerful slugger.

## 82. Jeff Kent

Kent and Piazza have both been recognized for great offense at positions normally known for defense. Jeff Kent knocked in more than 100 runs every year from 1997 to 2005 except one, tremendous production for a second baseman. I chose him over Piazza for three reasons. First, he won an MVP. Second, he has been slightly better in the postseason. Third, he is better defensively at second than Piazza is behind the plate.

## 81. Ozzie Smith

This is an interesting one. Obviously, Ozzie has the glove while Kent has the bat. I opt for the defensive master at shortstop, the position that probably requires the most skill to play. Smith's Gold Glove collection is extremely impressive. The Wizard never won an MVP, but he was instrumental on several Cardinals teams that went deep into the postseason.

## 80. Omar Vizquel

If I had the chance, I'd take Vizquel over Ozzie. His defensive numbers are just better. Offensively, they have similar résumés. For a more detailed comparative analysis, check out the top-10 list for shortstop, where Omar is seventh and Ozzie is eighth.

## 79. Paul Molitor

At number 79, I'm going with offense over defense. Molitor racked up more than 3,300 hits over his career, seemingly getting better with age. Paul also scored 1,782 runs and registered more than 600 lifetime
doubles. I'll take his offense, leadership, and postseason success (1.326 $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ in the playoffs) over Vizquel's glove and range at short.

## 78. Robin Yount

Both members of the 3,000-hit club, Yount and Molitor were longtime teammates in Milwaukee, which makes this an interesting comparison. Yount wins here because he won two Most Valuable Player awards and because he played his career at short and in center field. Molitor played mostly as a DH . Therefore, Yount is the better choice.

## 77. Willie McCovey

Stretch was a dominant player in the late '60s and early '70s; he was one of the most feared hitters in the game. I'm not sure the same can be said for Yount, a great hitter but not the same threat in terms of power. McCovey's production average of .87 net runs per game is disappointing for a first baseman, but he did play in a pitcher's era, and he was a platoon player for much of his early career. In the final analysis, 521 homers are hard to overlook.

## 76. Chipper Jones

Many people probably won't agree with this ranking, but I can't ignore how clutch Chipper has been. From both sides of the plate, he has gotten big hits in big spots. Jones is also helped by the fact that he's played the majority of his games at third, a position that is not as deep in talent as first base. Finally, he's been more productive on a per-game basis than Stretch.

## 75. Lou Brock

I left Lou Brock out of my top 10 for left field, which was a very difficult decision. Here, he comes in ahead of Jones for spot 75. Most notably, Brock was tremendous in the World Series as evidenced by a 1.348 P/E mark in the Fall Classic. That's a terrific average, especially considering that Brock was a speedster and not a slugger. If I had the opportunity, I'd take Lou at the top of my lineup rather than Chipper in the middle of it.

## 74. George Sisler

Gorgeous George was a tremendously skilled batsman who hit better than 30013 times. Twice, he batted over .400 , including his .420 mark in 1922. A great run producer as well, Sisler is my choice over Brock. While Sisler walked more than he whiffed, Brock was just the opposite, registering almost 1,000 more strikeouts than bases on balls.

## 73. Joe Medwick

Sisler (with the Browns) and Medwick (with the Cardinals) both played the majority of their careers in St. Louis. Both won MVPs and finished with terrific lifetime batting averages. Medwick, however, was a little more productive and hit with a little more power, two facts that contribute to his 86-point advantage in terms of career Production and Efficiency Average.

## 72. Earle Combs

I'll take Combs over Medwick. Earle scored more than 100 runs in eight consecutive seasons. More important, he was great in the World

Series, batting .350 and scoring 17 runs in 16 games. In the ' 32 Classic, he helped the Yanks to a sweep over the Cubs with a P/E of 1.800 . Medwick was very good, but I think Combs was a little bit better.

## 71. Jim Bottomley

Bottomley didn't have the same World Series success as Combs, but he was a great player nonetheless. Sunny Jim put up great numbers in terms of batting average and on-base percentage and was a consistent run producer. In 1928 he was the NL MVP, generating 228 net runs of offense while posting his career-best P/E Average (1.339). Sunny Jim is the choice for position 71 on my top 100 list.

## 70. Albert Belle

Although Belle wasn't a widely popular player in his day, it's hard to ignore his numbers. In ' 95 he reached 50 homers and 52 doubles while slugging .690. Albert averaged 40 homers per 162 games played and owns a very high career P/E mark (1.161). His power and postseason production earn him the higher placement over Bottomley.

## 69. Joe Cronin

One of the best offensive shortstops in history, Joseph Edward Cronin was a lifetime .301 batter with eight seasons of more than 100 RBI. He never hit for as much power as Belle, but he played a tremendously demanding position on the diamond while Belle was a corner outfielder and a designated hitter.

## 68. Kirby Puckett

Puckett had a great combination of glove work and prowess at the plate. He won six Gold Gloves and had a penchant for making the big catch at the big moment, just as he did in Game 6 of the 1991 World Series. Cronin, in my opinion, doesn't stand up against Kirby when defense is included in the analysis.

## 67. Gary Carter

Like Puckett, Gary Carter was clutch in the big game and was a terrific team leader. He's my choice here, however, simply because of the position he played. Getting 100 or more RBI in from the catcher spot, something Carter did four times, is tremendous production. The Kid also won three consecutive Gold Gloves (1980-1982) and was a perennial All-Star selection in the National League.

## 66. Earl Averill

Earl Averill was a name I knew very little about before writing this book. He had some huge seasons in the 1930s, including his ' 31 showing ( 251 net runs). His lifetime averages of $1.179 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ and 1.29 net runs were too impressive when comparing him with Carter.

## 65. Frankie Frisch

Frisch was a great combination of offense and defense. Considering he was a second baseman, I think he would have been more valuable to a team than Averill. Four times, Frankie finished in the top 10 of MVP balloting, including a first-place finish in '31. The Fordham Flash is my choice for number 65.

## 64. Nap Lajoie

As with Omar Vizquel and Ozzie Smith, Frisch and Lajoie are a pair of players from the same position who piggyback one another on my list. I like the fact that Nap led his league in batting five times. His Triple Crown season in 1901 may have been against lesser competition but was miraculous nonetheless.

## 63. Ralph Kiner

Many people don't see Kiner as a Hall of Famer, but I do. Although he didn't play that long, he was lethal when he was in the lineup. And he wasn't one dimensional either. He scored runs, drew a lot of walks, and hit for good averages. Against Lajoie, he wins out simply based on his power numbers ( .548 lifetime slugging and five straight seasons with 40 or more homers).

## 62. Duke Snider

I chose Snider over Kiner for a couple of reasons. First, he played 18 seasons and topped 400 career homers. He was the Major League Player of the Year in '55, a year in which his Brooklyn squad finally overcame the Yankees in the World Series. Duke hit .320 with four home runs in that Series. Kiner never appeared in the postseason, a fact that hurts him in this comparison.

## 61. AI Kaline

Like Snider, Kaline was a popular outfielder who enjoyed some of his best seasons in the mid-'50s. He also played well in big games. Against the Cardinals in the ' 68 Series, Al batted .379 with 11 hits, good
enough for a $1.467 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ over seven games. The choice between the two wasn't easy. Ultimately, I selected Kaline as the better player based on 10 Gold Gloves and 15 All-Star appearances.

## 60. Rod Carew

Carew was a great batsman who had one unbelievable season in 1977. Rodney batted .388 with 239 hits and 128 runs scored in winning the American League MVP. His consistently high batting averages led to 18 All-Star nominations and seven league batting titles. That's enough convincing for me. Carew enters my list ahead of Al Kaline at number 60 .

## 59. Tony Gwynn

As hitters, Gwynn and Carew were two peas in a pod. Both were artists with a bat in their hands. In my opinion, Gwynn was the better player, however. He hit 10 points higher for his career and was slightly more productive. While Tony also has a higher lifetime P/E mark (. 981 to .938), I made my final decision based on defense. The lifetime Padre won the Gold Glove five times, something Carew never did once during his career.

## 58. Willie Stargell

Like Gwynn, Pops played his entire career for one team, becoming a legendary figure in Pittsburgh. If given the choice between the two, I'd take him over Tony because of power considerations. Stargell led the NL in homers twice and finished with 475 for his career. His longball ability also accounts greatly for an advantage of almost 100 points in terms of P/E.

## 57. Bill Terry

Terry didn't have the same power as Stargell, but he was a tremendous hitter nonetheless. He batted .350 or higher four times and was over . 30011 times. My mind was made up when comparing Terry and Stargell in terms of production. Memphis Bill averaged 1.19 runs for his team's scoreboard each game, almost a quarter run per game higher than Pops.

## 56. Lou Boudreau

Boudreau's status as a shortstop helps him greatly here. I like his combination of glove and stick better than Terry's. He also won an MVP, which Terry didn't, and was in the top 10 of the balloting eight times. I think Lou Boudreau is an underrated ballplayer in most circles, but he comes in quite high on my list.

## 55. Eddie Murray

At number 55, Steady Eddie makes the list. Most impressive about Murray was his ability to be a consistent run producer over many, many seasons. Add in his three Gold Gloves ('82-'84), Rookie of the Year, All-Star accomplishments, and 3.33 career shares in MVP voting, and you can understand why he's so high on my top 100.

## 54. Harry Heilmann

Heilmann narrowly beats out Murray for this spot. He won four batting titles and retired with a . 342 lifetime mark. His career P/E Average, 1.144 , is also outstanding. Murray has consistency on his side, but I think Heilmann was probably more exceptional. Just take a look at
his numbers in the 1920s. Despite not being a home-run hitter, Heilmann sports a higher career slugging percentage (.520) than Murray (.476).

## 53. Jim Rice

Rice isn't in the Hall of Fame, but I definitely think he should be. Rice hit for power and high averages, and he delivered in the clutch. When the Red Sox were battling the Yankees for the division title in '78, Rice eclipsed 400 total bases, slugged .600, and won the AL MVP. Unlike Heilmann, he didn't have the luxury of playing during a high-scoring era like the 1920s.

## 52. Johnny Mize

Mize missed three full years (' 43 , ' 44 , and '45) in the prime of his career. Just imagine how impressive his numbers would be had he not missed that time. The Big Cat tops Rice in terms of lifetime postseason P/E (1.064 to .913). He also has him by 139 points for their regu-lar-season averages ( 1.185 to 1.046 ).

## 51. Jackie Robinson

Jackie comes in as the highest-ranked Category 4 player on my list, a distinction that lands him firmly at number 51. It's hard to say exactly what Robinson would have accomplished had he been able to join the Dodgers before 1947 and had he not needed to endure the endless onslaught of racial prejudice. His MVP campaign of '49 has to be considered one of the great individual seasons of all time. Robinson's speed, ability to play multiple positions, and courage to fight through 10 heroic seasons give him the nod over Mize.

## 50. Pete Rose

Rose begins the discussion of the Category 5 players. I felt that his overwhelming numbers ( 4,256 hits, 2,165 runs, 746 doubles, and so on) were worthy of that highest rating even though he may never have been a truly dominant player, and his lifetime $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ number is below .900. Rose played two dozen seasons and accumulated some unparalleled statistics that earn him a spot in my top 50 .

## 49. Mark McGwire

McGwire comes in ahead of Rose based on his power advantage. Rose's MVP campaign of ' 73 helps his case considerably, but I can't ignore Big Mac's advantage of 423 lifetime homers. Whether or not McGwire belongs in the Hall of Fame based on steroid allegations is an argument for another time. This analysis has been formulated strictly by the numbers and on-field accomplishments.

## 48. Carl Yastrzemski

Yaz won an MVP and was a terrific defensive outfielder (seven Gold Gloves). Moreover, he was tremendous in the postseason, sporting a lifetime P/E of 1.240 when it mattered most. In the ' 67 Series Yaz nearly beat the Cardinals single-handedly (P/E: 1.310). McGwire can't boast that, and that's enough ammunition to put the Boston legend at number 48 .

## 47. Eddie Mathews

The decision between Yaz and Mathews was a hard one for me to make. Ultimately, I chose Mathews based on his position and better
home-run numbers. A third baseman with long-ball power like Mathews doesn't come along very often. His ranking as the fourth best at the hot corner earns him a slight advantage over Yastrzemski.

## 46. Roberto Alomar

Alomar is my choice because he did so many things well on the diamond. He was a tremendous fielder, a smart base runner, and a clutch hitter with enough power to make him dangerous to opposing pitchers. Arguably, he is the greatest defensive second baseman of all time. He's also my choice as the forty-sixth best baseball player in history.

## 45. Ryne Sandberg

The argument for Sandberg over Alomar was spelled out in greater detail in the second base top 10. I don't expect many to agree with Sandberg's high ranking. However, when considering his defense, postseason success, and ' 84 MVP campaign, I think 45 is a deserving spot for Ryno.

## 44. Reggie Jackson

While Sandberg played well in the playoffs twice, Reggie was a twotime World Series MVP. In and of itself, that counts heavily in his favor. He also hit 563 homers and drove in more than 1,700 runs. Too many strikeouts? Yes. A lifetime batting average of just .262? Yes. Nevertheless, Mr. October is my choice for number 44, the same number the Yankees retired in honor of him.

## 43. Bill Dickey

Also a Yankees legend, Dickey splits the two right fielders. I'll take him over Jackson because of position. Having a catcher with Dickey's bat is a tremendous advantage for any manager. Extremely productive, he averaged 1.08 net runs per game, an outstanding mark for a backstop. From 1929 to 1939, the lifelong pinstriper hit over .30010 out of 11 seasons.

## 42. Roberto Clemente

Clemente holds the MVP advantage over Dickey. He won the regularseason award in '66 and the World Series version in '71. Dickey never won, although it can certainly be argued that his greatness was often overshadowed by the likes of Ruth, Gehrig, and DiMaggio. Nevertheless, I'd take Clemente on my team to play right field if both players were available.

## 41. Harmon Killebrew

Killebrew's 58-point advantage in terms of lifetime P/E isn't enough by itself for him to better Clemente on my list. Both men won MVPs and both had their moments in the postseason. What impressed me most about Harmon's career was eight seasons with more than 40 home runs from the late '50s through the early '70s. In today's game, he probably would have routinely knocked $50-55$ balls over the fence year in and year out.

## 40. Sammy Sosa

While I think Killebrew would have been a 50 -homer player today, I'm not sure he'd be able to hit 60 , which Sosa did three times. In those three years ('98, '99, '01), Sammy hit 193 round-trippers, a remarkable achievement. Did performance-enhancing substances have anything to do with it? Possibly and maybe even probably. However, my choice has been to focus on what we know for sure. Although he may be guilty in the eyes of the public, he accomplished enough on the field to warrant a top-40 ranking.

## 39. Jeff Bagwell

When the strike hit in 1994, Bagwell was on his way to one of the great seasons in the sport's history (P/E of 1.549 in 110 games). He was the unanimous MVP that year and was in the top 10 of the vote five other times. The lifelong Astros teammate eclipses Sosa based on better production ( 1.21 net runs per game to 1.08) and better consistency. Year in and year out, Bagwell was a nightmare for opposing pitchers to handle.

## 38. Derek Jeter

The main reason Jeter ranks ahead of Bagwell and so many other notable players is that he is incredibly clutch when games are on the line. His leadership, other intangibles, and sheer will to win make him a legendary player. With very good defense at a premium position as well, Jeter deserves to be this high on my list.

## 37. Ivan Rodriguez

I have to opt for I-Rod's defense and MVP résumé. Having Rodriguez behind the plate would be more valuable, albeit slightly, than having Jeter at short in my opinion. In winning the 2003 NLCS Most Valuable Player award, Rodriguez was a one-man wrecking crew, driving in 10 runs over seven games against the Cubs.

## 36. Roy Campanella

Here's another case where two players from the same position are back-to-back. While Rodriguez's career has been longer and more distinguished in terms of overall All-Star appearances and Gold Gloves, it must also be noted that Campy's last year (1957) was the first in which the defensive award was given. I can't ignore his three MVPs, the fact that he made eight straight All-Star teams, and his impressive 1.069 lifetime Production and Efficiency Average.

## 35. Mel Ott

Ott doesn't have the advantage of playing at a premium defensive position like Campanella, and he never even won an MVP. However, he was a tremendous power hitter and run producer for the Giants from the late 1920s. Beginning in '29, Ott averaged more than 120 RBI per season for the next 10 years. Mel also hit over .300 in half of his 22 major league seasons. Campanella, while great in '51, '53, and '55, didn't display the consistency of Ott.

## 34. Brooks Robinson

In my opinion, Robinson is the greatest defensive player in baseball history. That unique distinction is enough to warrant placing him ahead of Ott. He won the Gold Glove every year from 1960 through 1975, a tremendous achievement at an extremely demanding infield position. In the 1970 World Series, he made one miraculous play after another, almost sucking the will out of the Cincinnati hitters. He doesn't compare with many players on this list in terms of P/E, but sometimes looking beyond the numbers is necessary for measuring greatness. Having a player of Robinson's caliber at the hot corner is a tremendous advantage for any team.

## 33. Cal Ripken Jr.

One of the most difficult decisions was choosing between Ripken and Robinson for spot 33. Both Baltimore immortals, they would team to form a dynamic left side of the infield on the ultimate Orioles squad. I selected Ripken as the superior player, however. Cal won two MVPs and was the Major League Player of the Year in both of those seasons as well. With almost 1,700 career RBI and 431 home runs, Ripken's offensive prowess at the shortstop position won out over Brooks.

## 32. Ernie Banks

Even though Banks played more career games at first base, he won his back-to-back Most Valuable Player honors as a shortstop. Therefore, the comparison between him and Ripken is fairly easy. Banks had much better power and was a better run producer. Mr. Cub led the NL in RBI twice and in home runs twice despite never playing on a team talented enough to make it into October. He would be the num-
ber 3 shortstop on that top-10 list if he had played at least 60 percent of his games there. He didn't, so Cal ended up there, but Banks prevails in this head-to-head comparison.

## 31. George Brett

Brett's case is helped by the fact that I ranked him as the second best third baseman of all time. Incredibly clutch, he proved himself over and over again in his team's biggest games. In terms of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$, he betters Banks slightly, 1.032 to 1.000 for their careers. If Banks had remained a shortstop for his entire career, then I might opt for him over Brett. I'll take the lifelong Royals infielder to anchor third base on my team anytime.

## 30. Manny Ramirez

Manny is not a good fielder, can be a clubhouse distraction, and always seems to be mentioned in various trade rumors. So why is he positioned so high on my list? Simply because he's one of the best run producers the game has ever known. With a lifetime P/E score of 1.234, Ramirez is an offensive force. Brett was a great hitter, but I'd take Manny based on his penchant for plating runs on such a consistent basis.

## 29. Eddie Collins

There are probably many baseball experts who would rate Eddie Collins as the best second baseman ever, and it would be hard to argue with them. Collins had a long career, consistently hitting for high averages while generating runs for his team. He had a 400 or better on-
base percentage in 15 full seasons. He's my choice ahead of Ramirez based on his steadiness, defense, and status as a second baseman.

## 28. Albert Pujols

Deciding where to include Pujols in the top 100 was one of the harder decisions I had to make when writing this book. Obviously, he still has years to play, and no one knows how many more championships or how many more MVPs he'll win. I think twenty-eighth is a good spot for him now. Ten or fifteen years in the future, I think it's conceivable that Pujols could be in the top 10; he's that good. His power and run production are too much for Eddie Collins to overcome.

## 27. Frank Thomas

Thomas has enjoyed a late-career resurgence, which helps him to be ranked so high. In 2006 he smacked 39 homers and drove in 114 for Oakland, leading them into the playoffs without much protection around him. In the 1990s Thomas was arguably the best player in baseball. He won back-to-back MVPs and routinely put up huge offensive numbers. One day, Pujols will pass him on my list. For the time being, though, Big Hurt's overall numbers win out.

## 26. Mickey Cochrane

As with Collins, some people feel Cochrane is the best ever at his position. He was certainly a unique ballplayer, reaching base often and hitting for incredibly high averages ( 331 or better in five of his first seven seasons) year in and year out. I like Cochrane's toughness, leadership, and defense behind the plate over Big Hurt's power. Finding a player like Cochrane is more difficult than finding one like Thomas.

## 25. Joe Morgan

The top 25 begins with Morgan, the two-time MVP spark plug who ignited Cincinnati's Big Red Machine of the 1970s. In the mid-'70s especially, Morgan was a lethal combination of defensive mastery, speed, power, and intelligence on the diamond. He's another player who would get a lot of acclaim as the best ever at his position, although I have him ranked third in that discussion. His back-to-back MVP seasons in ' 75 and ' 76 give him the edge over Black Mike.

## 24. Tris Speaker

Like Morgan, Speaker had a great combination of glove and bat. I favor him here, however, because he simply had more good seasons than Joe. Was he as dominant as Morgan in the prime of their careers? I doubt it. But Speaker was much more consistent, in the regular season as well as in the World Series, and that fact convinced me that he was the better choice.

## 23. Al Simmons

Other than Babe Ruth, Simmons is the only man in history to record a P/E mark above 1.600 ( 1.638 in 1930) for an entire season. That year, Simmons averaged more than two full net runs per contest (281 in 138 games), a phenomenal accomplishment. He also maintained an average of 1.37 net runs per game for his career. In comparison with Speaker, Simmons was more productive, more powerful, and my choice for 23 on the top-100 list.

## 22. Ken Griffey Jr.

For a while it looked as if Junior would be the best candidate to break Aaron's home-run record. From '96 through '99 he knocked 209 balls over the fence while also driving in 567 runs for Seattle. With the Reds he hasn't been able to stay healthy and maintain that same level of dominance. Nevertheless, membership in the 500 -home-run club along with 10 Gold Gloves gives him the nod over Al Simmons.

## 21. Hank Greenberg

The only reason Greenberg doesn't rank higher on my list is longevity. Hank played in fewer than 1,400 career games, but he was a legendary hitter and run producer. His 1.318 lifetime P/E trails only Ruth, Gehrig, and Ted Williams. In the postseason, he was even better, sporting a 1.356 mark in the World Series. For those reasons, I rank him ahead of Junior.

## 20. Rickey Henderson

The reason Greenberg fails to come in higher is the same reason Henderson makes my top 20. Henderson played at a high level over an extremely long career. He amassed unbelievable numbers in terms of runs scored, stolen bases, and walks. More important, he established himself as a truly unique player, the greatest leadoff hitter of all time. The Man of Steal was the pure embodiment of what a table setter should be, getting on, getting over, and getting in better than anyone in history. And he did it for a quarter of a century.

## 19. Frank Robinson

Robinson won two MVPs to Henderson's one, hit with much more power, and was simply more dangerous offensively. Frank's 1.126 lifetime $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ is outstanding, buoyed by 586 homers and more than 1,800 runs both scored and driven home. Henderson was a leadoff hitter while Robinson was a middle-of-the-order threat, so it's sort of an apples and oranges thing. My gut instinct tells me that Robinson was the superior player.

## 18. Rogers Hornsby

Not only did Hornsby put up better numbers than Robinson, but he also did so while playing mainly at second base, a position normally highlighted by defensive-minded fielders. In fact, Hornsby put up some of the great offensive seasons, regardless of position, in the history of baseball. In 1925 he finished the season with a P/E score of 1.557, a tremendously high average. He wasn't the greatest teammate, and he wasn't a virtuoso in the field, but his phenomenal offensive numbers warrant this high of a ranking.

## 17. Charlie Gehringer

My reasons for selecting Gehringer over Hornsby were spelled out in detail in Chapter 4. I don't think there's another player in baseball history more underrated than Charlie Gehringer. He was a complete ballplayer, and he was tremendously consistent, earning the nickname the Mechanical Man for his steady play year after year.

## 16. Alex Rodriguez

When all is said and done, A-Rod may indeed rank as one of the top 5 players of all time. His power advantage over Gehringer is the main reason he is ranked ahead of the lifelong Detroit second baseman.

## 15. Johnny Bench

Arguably the greatest defensive catcher in history, Bench was also incredibly clutch. His 1970 campaign ( 45 homers, 148 RBI, 355 total bases) may be the best ever for a backstop. I guess I'd take Bench's combination of power, production, and defense behind the plate over A-Rod's skill set on the left side of the infield. But it's not an easy choice.

## 14. Yogi Berra

This is another case of players from the same position back-to-back on my list. Ranking Bench at number 15 and Berra at number 14 just goes to show how I view these players in comparison with one another. There probably wasn't a closer call in the entire book. I'll use Berra's rings and extra MVP as evidence to support my decision.

## 13. Honus Wagner

The greatest shortstop as well as the greatest middle infielder of all time is up next. If MVPs had been awarded throughout Wagner's career, he no doubt would have won one, if not many. He led the league in batting eight times and was considered a giant in the game. Although he can't match Berra in terms of championships, he did have so many outstanding seasons that I feel he deserves this spot.

## 12. Mike Schmidt

The gap between Schmidt and the next-best third baseman (Brett) is wider than at any other position. I can't imagine anyone with a solid knowledge of baseball history making a logical argument that Michael Jack Schmidt isn't the greatest third baseman ever. His defense, power, and MVP résumé make him a solid choice over Wagner. The fact that his career $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ is 11 points higher just adds fuel to the fire. The Philadelphia legend is one of the best dozen ever.

## 11. Stan Musial

Musial has the edge over Schmidt in terms of lifetime P/E (by 40 points), career net runs per game (by .07), and by a wide margin in the three efficiency averages ( $.331 \mathrm{BA}, .417 \mathrm{OBP}$, and .559 SLP vs. .267 , .380, and .527). My final choice, however, was also based on MVP shares. Both men won the award three times, but Musial holds a distinct advantage with 6.96 career shares to 4.96 for Schmidt.

## The Top 10

If you've been paying close attention, then you can probably predict who is still left. Leaving Musial out was hardest of all. Several times I had him in, and several times I had him out. His exclusion is certainly no knock against his outstanding Hall of Fame career. Someone had to be number 11 .

Four of my top 10 wore pinstripes in the Bronx. Four were center fielders. Only two were infielders, and they were both first basemen. Therefore, you won't find any catchers, second basemen, third basemen, or shortstops.

## 10. Mickey Mantle

The Mick begins the top 10. Cardinals fans reading this book are probably not pleased that Stan the Man didn't make it in. I just think Mantle was better. He was a great defensive center fielder while Musial played left and first base. Mickey hit for better power and was better on the bases. In his prime, Mantle was without peer in the mid-'50s. Finally, the man who wore number 7 was simply better in the big games, bettering Musial in terms of postseason P/E scores by a comfortable margin (1.073 to .788 ).

## 9. Hank Aaron

I don't think Hank Aaron was better than Mantle when both were at their best, but he wins out over the course of a long and storied career. Aaron's accomplishments are just too noteworthy not to give him the edge over Mickey. Both were complete players in terms of power, average, defense, and speed. Aaron merely did it longer.

## 8. Jimmie Foxx

Some might argue that Foxx's numbers are inflated by the era in which he played and that, therefore, this is too high of a ranking. I don't care if Foxx played softball. His power and production numbers are awesome, as is his 1.315 career Production and Efficiency Average. Double X won three MVPs and nearly came away with a fourth. Aaron only won the award once. That is enough to convince me that Foxx was better.

## 7. Willie Mays

I'm sure there will be several outcries against various rankings, ratings, and opinions in this work. I'm also sure that none will be louder than those speaking out against Mays at number 7. Most baseball experts would certainly put Mays in their top five if not higher. I don't agree, which isn't to say that Mays wasn't an immortal ballplayer. His speed and defense are obvious advantages over Foxx. To rank higher on my list, however, he needed to win more than one championship, and he needed to hit better than the .247 lifetime average he managed in the postseason.

## 6. Ty Cobb

My reasoning for Cobb over Mays has already been discussed, so I will keep this explanation brief. Despite hitting only 117 home runs and playing a large portion of his career in the dead-ball era, Cobb still averaged almost a quarter of a net run better per game than Mays and bettered him by 50 points in lifetime P/E.

## 5. Barry Bonds

In selecting Bonds as the fifth best player in history, I have ignored the issue of steroids and looked only at the numbers and on-field accomplishments. I don't know if that's the best way to do it, but it was the only way I felt I could be fair and objective. He ranks ahead of Cobb because he played better defense, hit with tremendous power, and won seven Most Valuable Player awards, a fact that is the strongest argument in his favor.

## 4. Ted Williams

This is the final case of two same-position players coming in together in the top 100. I think Williams was the better hitter and played in a better league, coming up short against DiMaggio on more than one occasion. If he hadn't missed so many games in two separate war efforts, then he might own records that no one else, not even Barry Bonds, could touch.

## The Top Three

In my opinion, the three greatest baseball players of all time are Joe DiMaggio, Lou Gehrig, and Babe Ruth. You might find it amazing, based on that opinion, that I am not a Yankees fan. My team, for better or worse, is the Mets. Yet I cannot let fan loyalty sway my judgment. What is amazing is that these three immortals came within an eyelash of all playing with one another. Ruth played with the Yankees through 1934 and was still in baseball in 1935, the year before Joltin' Joe appeared on the scene. Gehrig knew what it was like to play with them both. Imagine a lineup with Ruth batting third, DiMaggio hitting cleanup, and Gehrig providing ultimate protection in the fifth spot. It almost happened. The stars nearly aligned, but it wasn't quite meant to be. Nevertheless, the fact they all wore pinstripes in the mid'30s paved the way for the sport's greatest dynasty. It also created a great ending to my top-100 list.

## The Case for DiMaggio

Three American League MVPs (1939, 1941, and 1947)
Three full seasons missed in the prime of his career (1943-1945)
An All-Star nomination in every season he played
The best defense at the most critical position of the three players
10 pennants and nine World Series championships

## The Case for Gehrig

Averaged 1.57 net runs per game as the most productive player ever 1.493 career P/E Average in the World Series

Averaged 250 net runs per season from 1926 to 1938
5.44 career MVP shares and the 1934 Triple Crown

2,130 consecutive games played

## The Case for Ruth

1.436 lifetime $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$, the highest average in history

714 home runs and a .690 career slugging percentage
His 1921 season, arguably the greatest ever (P/E: 1.714)
Revolutionized the sport, hitting more home runs than entire teams early in his career

94-46 lifetime record as a pitcher and 0.87 ERA over 31 World Series innings

## 3. Joe DiMaggio

The Yankee Clipper comes in third, unable to wrestle away one of the top two spots from Gehrig and Ruth. DiMaggio put up tremendous numbers beginning in his rookie year. Had he not left for the war effort, or had he not suffered from various pain and injuries at the end of his career, his lifetime statistics would be even more impressive. I chose him over Williams for a couple of reasons. First, from '36 to ' 42 , the years before his career was interrupted, Joe was unbelievable, averaging 224 net runs per season and batting .339 over that span. He earned 3.97 MVP shares and had a combined P/E of 1.358 for those first seven seasons. Second, and more important, DiMaggio's teams won 10 pennants and nine World Series. While he wasn't always great in October, he did play center field and hit in the middle of the Yankees' lineup. Ted Williams never won a championship, and he hit 200 in his only postseason appearance. Ted was the better hitter, but Joe was more complete in terms of defense and leadership. It would be a very difficult decision, but if I needed to win one game, I'd take DiMaggio in center over Williams in left.

## 2. Lou Gehrig

The Iron Horse didn't have DiMaggio's flair, and he didn't win as many titles. He also wasn't as important in the field. Nonetheless, it can easily be argued that Gehrig was the most productive player ever, and that is extremely impressive. His average of 1.57 net runs generated per game is better than any player in history. I simply can't ignore that level of production. Need more proof that Gehrig deserves to be number 2? Consider this. Imagine a "perfect" offensive season in which the following conditions were met: 165 or more RBI, more than 400 total bases, more than 90 extra-base hits, more than 100 walks,
less than 85 strikeouts, an on-base percentage above .440 with a batting average above .340 , more than 40 home runs, and at least 210 hits. Only one player in history has ever achieved this amazing combination of production and efficiency in the same season. That man was Lou Gehrig, and he did it four times (1927, 1930, 1931, and 1934).

## 1. Babe Ruth

In my opinion, it would be extremely difficult to analyze baseball history and all of its players and their statistics and accomplishments and not come to the conclusion that Babe Ruth was the best ever. In terms of P/E Average, no one is close to Ruth's 1.436 lifetime mark or his standard of eight seasons above 1.500. I believe his 1921 campaign ( 171 RBI, 177 runs, 457 total bases, $1.714 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ ) is the greatest individual season in the sport's history, not likely to ever be matched. Ruth changed the face of baseball, taking it out of its dead-ball era and into a brave new world where 50 and 60 home runs in a season were possible. When you factor in Ruth's achievements as a pitcher, which I have done, I think the choice becomes quite clear. Babe Ruth is the greatest baseball player in history.
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## Conclusion

Baseball is our greatest sport. The NFL is organized and run the best, there's nothing quite like March Madness, and a bad day of golf will always beat a good day of work. Nevertheless, baseball, in its purest form, reigns supreme in my eyes. I truly consider it an honor to have written this book and, in some small way, contributed something to this great American pastime.

As I stated at the beginning, my objective in this endeavor has been twofold. First, I wanted to formulate and introduce Offensive Production and Efficiency Average as a new tool in determining and quantifying a baseball player's value, worth, and contributions. Because it is comprehensive, incorporating both measures of production and efficiency, I feel it is the single most telling statistic available to date. My second goal was to use P/E Averages, in combination with a variety of other objective and subjective data, to rate, rank, and compare the greatest (and some of the not-so-great) players in baseball history. I hope that you have enjoyed reading my book, and I also hope that you continue to use it as a resource any time you have a question or need to settle an argument regarding the game's biggest stars.

One of the best aspects of my statistic is that it is extremely easy to use. If you want to calculate the P/E Average for a certain player not already listed, then you can do it in a matter of seconds. All you need is the formula, access to the necessary statistics, and a calculator. I think it also works well for anyone involved in a fantasy baseball league. If you can dominate your fellow fantasy owners in P/E Aver-
age at each position, then you deserve your league's crown-with an eye for talent worthy of GM status.

There will never be a clear consensus on many of the arguments I have posed and tried to answer in this work. Someone who saw Mays play in the 1950s and 1960s, I'm sure, will not be swayed by my opinion that Joe DiMaggio and Ty Cobb were both better center fielders. In fact, I imagine that my opinions have caused more than a few readers to slam the book shut and proclaim that I don't know what the hell I'm talking about. And that's fabulous. A big part of baseball's charm rests with the fact that it's fun to pore over the statistics and debate the best catcher of all time, Williams versus Musial, and who does and doesn't belong in Cooperstown.

Thank you for taking the time to read my book. And thank you for continuing to be a part of baseball, the greatest sport ever imagined.

## Appendix A

## Career P/E Averages

The following are the top 25 players of all time in terms of career Production and Efficiency Average. While obvious candidates such as Gehrig, Foxx, and Bonds make the list, you may be surprised not to see the names of Aaron, Mays, or Schmidt.

1. Babe Ruth ..... 1.436
2. Lou Gehrig ..... 1.387
3. Ted Williams ..... 1.346
4. Hank Greenberg ..... 1.318
5. Jimmie Foxx ..... 1.315
6. Joe DiMaggio ..... 1.295
7. Albert Pujols* ..... 1.271
8. Barry Bonds* ..... 1.264
9. Manny Ramirez ${ }^{*}$ ..... 1.234 (tie)
10. Alex Rodriguez* ..... 1.234 (tie)
11. Rogers Hornsby ..... 1.214
12. Hack Wilson ..... 1.209
13. Ty Cobb ..... 1.204
14. Todd Helton ${ }^{*}$ ..... 1.202
15. Al Simmons ..... 1.199
16. Johnny Mize ..... 1.185
17. Earl Averill (tie) ..... 1.179
18. Mark McGwire (tie) ..... 1.179
19. Mickey Mantle ..... 1.178
20. Vladimir Guerrero* ..... 1.175
21. Jeff Bagwell ..... 1.173
22. Mel Ott ..... 1.171
23. Frank Thomas* ..... 1.169
24. Jim Thome* ..... 1.168
25. David Ortiz ${ }^{*}$ ..... 1.163
[^2]
## Appendix B

## Single-Season P/E Averages

According to $\boldsymbol{m y}$ research, a P/E Average above 1.500 has been attained only 23 times in major league history. Barry Bonds (1.495 in 2001), Manny Ramirez (1.495 in 1999), Joe DiMaggio (1.494 in 1937), and Babe Ruth (1.493 in 1928) all had years that just failed to make it onto this list. Bagwell's '94 masterpiece was in a strike-shortened season, while every other instance was in the first half of the twentieth century. In terms of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$, these are the best seasons ever.
Babe Ruth, 1921 ..... 1.714
Babe Ruth, 1920 ..... 1.663
Al Simmons, 1930 ..... 1.638
Babe Ruth, 1931 ..... 1.563
Babe Ruth, 1927 ..... 1.562
Rogers Hornsby, 1925 ..... 1.557
Lou Gehrig, 1927 ..... 1.555
Nap Lajoie, 1901 ..... 1.550
Jeff Bagwell, 1994 ..... 1.549
Hack Wilson, 1930 ..... 1.540
Lou Gehrig, 1931 ..... 1.537
Jimmie Foxx, 1932 ..... 1.532
Babe Ruth, 1926 ..... 1.531
Jimmie Foxx, 1938 ..... 1.527
Lou Gehrig, 1930 ..... 1.526
Hank Greenberg, 1937 ..... 1.522
Ted Williams, 1941 ..... 1.517
Babe Ruth, 1930 ..... 1.515
Ty Cobb, 1911 ..... 1.512
Babe Ruth, 1923 ..... 1.504
Babe Ruth, 1929 ..... 1.504
Chuck Klein, 1930 ..... 1.503
Lou Gehrig, 1936 ..... 1.501

## Appendix C

## Career MVP Shares

I have relied heavily on Most Valuable Player data to rate and rank the players in this book. The following table lists the 15 best in terms of career MVP shares; the data is accurate only through the 2006 season. Next to each player's name, I have given his career total of shares along with the number of times he has finished first, second, third, in the top five, and in the top 10. Frank Thomas, Alex Rodriguez, and Albert Pujols are all still active, so their totals will likely increase in coming years.

| Player | Shares | First | Second | Third | Top 5 | Top 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Barry Bonds | 9.30 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 13 |
| 2. Stan Musial | 6.96 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 14 |
| 3. Ted Williams | 6.43 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 12 |
| 4. Willie Mays | 6.06 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 12 |
| 5. Mickey Mantle | 5.79 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 9 |
| 6. Hank Aaron | 5.45 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 13 |
| 7. Lou Gehrig | 5.44 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 9 |
| 8. Joe DiMaggio | 5.43 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 10 |
| 9. Mike Schmidt | 4.96 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 9 |
| 10. Frank Robinson | 4.83 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 10 |
| 11. Frank Thomas | 4.79 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 |
| 12. Jimmie Foxx | 4.21 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 6 |


| Player | Shares | First | Second | Third | Top 5 | Top 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. Alex Rodriguez | 4.05 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| 14. Yogi Berra | 3.98 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 7 |
| 15. Albert Pujols | 3.96 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 6 |

## Appendix D

## C5 P/E Calculations

Fifty players in my book have been rated Category 5 . All of these players' statistics necessary for calculating P/E Averages are listed here. The following formulas have been listed to serve as reminders to you of how P/E Average is calculated:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{NR}=\text { net runs }(\mathrm{RBI}+\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{HR}) \\
\mathrm{CB}=\text { complete bases }(\mathrm{TB}+\mathrm{BB}+\mathrm{HBP}+\mathrm{SB}-\mathrm{CS}) \\
\mathrm{PTS}=\text { points }(\mathrm{NR}+\mathrm{NR}+\mathrm{CB}) \\
\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}=\text { production and efficiency average }(\mathrm{PTS} \div \mathrm{PA})
\end{gathered}
$$

The production aspect of my statistic comes from net runs, which I have also called "scoreboard runs" at various times throughout the book. Net runs are calculated by adding a player's total for runs batted in and runs scored and then subtracting home runs.

Efficiency is measured by complete bases. This refers to the sum total of bases a player accumulates through a variety of means. Adding total bases, walks, hit by pitch, and stolen bases, and then subtracting times caught stealing, gives you a player's complete bases. When this is achieved, the second component of $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ Average is in place.

Offensive Production and Efficiency Average is derived by assigning two points for every net run and one point for every complete base. In this way, an equitable 50/50 balance is approximated as best as possible. A player's total number of points is then divided by the
number of times he appeared at the plate. The quotient, rounded off to the nearest thousandth, is the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ Average. It's easy to calculate and understand, and it's a very telling statistic.

You may find it helpful to flip back and forth between the players' statistical pages in this appendix with the individual pages devoted to them in their respective chapters. In this way, my commentaries in "The Good," "The Bad," and "The Verdict" sections may make more sense when reading them in combination with the numbers.

This book has been heavily saturated with statistics. What better way to end it than with the numbers that have served as the backbone to this entire project. Enjoy!

## Hank Aaron

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1954 | 69 | 58 | 13 | 114 | 209 | 28 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 240 | 468 | 509 | .919 |
| 1955 | 106 | 105 | 27 | 184 | 325 | 49 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 379 | 747 | 665 | 1.123 |
| 1956 | 92 | 106 | 26 | 172 | 340 | 37 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 377 | 721 | 660 | 1.092 |
| 1957 | 132 | 118 | 44 | 206 | 369 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 426 | 838 | 675 | 1.241 |
| 1958 | 95 | 109 | 30 | 174 | 328 | 59 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 391 | 739 | 664 | 1.113 |
| 1959 | 123 | 116 | 39 | 200 | 400 | 51 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 463 | 863 | 693 | 1.245 |
| 1960 | 126 | 102 | 40 | 188 | 334 | 60 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 405 | 781 | 664 | 1.176 |
| 1961 | 120 | 115 | 34 | 201 | 358 | 56 | 2 | 21 | 9 | 428 | 830 | 671 | 1.237 |
| 1962 | 128 | 127 | 45 | 210 | 366 | 66 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 443 | 863 | 667 | 1.294 |
| 1963 | 130 | 121 | 44 | 207 | 370 | 78 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 474 | 888 | 714 | 1.244 |
| 1964 | 95 | 103 | 24 | 174 | 293 | 62 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 373 | 721 | 634 | 1.137 |
| 1965 | 89 | 109 | 32 | 166 | 319 | 60 | 1 | 24 | 4 | 400 | 732 | 639 | 1.146 |
| 1966 | 127 | 117 | 44 | 200 | 325 | 76 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 420 | 820 | 688 | 1.192 |
| 1967 | 109 | 113 | 39 | 183 | 344 | 63 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 418 | 784 | 669 | 1.172 |
| 1968 | 86 | 84 | 29 | 141 | 302 | 64 | 1 | 28 | 5 | 390 | 672 | 676 | .994 |
| 1969 | 97 | 100 | 44 | 153 | 332 | 87 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 420 | 726 | 639 | 1.136 |
| 1970 | 118 | 103 | 38 | 183 | 296 | 74 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 381 | 747 | 598 | 1.249 |
| 1971 | 118 | 95 | 47 | 166 | 331 | 71 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 404 | 736 | 573 | 1.284 |
| 1972 | 77 | 75 | 34 | 118 | 231 | 92 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 328 | 564 | 544 | 1.037 |
| 1973 | 96 | 84 | 40 | 140 | 252 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 321 | 601 | 465 | 1.292 |
| 1974 | 69 | 47 | 20 | 96 | 167 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 207 | 399 | 382 | 1.045 |
| 1975 | 60 | 45 | 12 | 93 | 165 | 70 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 421 | 543 | .775 |
| 1976 | 35 | 22 | 10 | 47 | 100 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 228 | 308 | .740 |
| Career | 2,297 | 2,174 | 755 | 3,716 | 6,856 | 1,402 | 32 | 240 | 73 | 8,457 | 15,889 | 13,940 | 1.140 |

## Roberto Alomar

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1988 | 41 | 84 | 9 | 116 | 208 | 47 | 3 | 24 | 6 | 276 | 508 | 611 | .831 |
| 1989 | 56 | 82 | 7 | 131 | 234 | 53 | 1 | 42 | 17 | 313 | 575 | 702 | .819 |
| 1990 | 60 | 80 | 6 | 134 | 223 | 48 | 2 | 24 | 7 | 290 | 558 | 646 | .864 |
| 1991 | 69 | 88 | 9 | 148 | 278 | 57 | 4 | 53 | 11 | 381 | 677 | 719 | .942 |
| 1992 | 76 | 105 | 8 | 173 | 244 | 87 | 5 | 49 | 9 | 376 | 722 | 671 | 1.076 |
| 1993 | 93 | 109 | 17 | 185 | 290 | 80 | 5 | 55 | 15 | 415 | 785 | 683 | 1.149 |
| 1994 | 38 | 78 | 8 | 108 | 177 | 51 | 2 | 19 | 8 | 241 | 457 | 455 | 1.004 |
| 1995 | 66 | 71 | 13 | 124 | 232 | 47 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 306 | 554 | 577 | .960 |
| 1996 | 94 | 132 | 22 | 204 | 310 | 90 | 1 | 17 | 6 | 412 | 820 | 699 | 1.173 |
| 1997 | 60 | 64 | 14 | 110 | 206 | 40 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 255 | 475 | 469 | 1.013 |
| 1998 | 56 | 86 | 14 | 128 | 246 | 59 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 320 | 576 | 657 | .877 |
| 1999 | 120 | 138 | 24 | 234 | 300 | 99 | 7 | 37 | 6 | 437 | 905 | 694 | 1.304 |
| 2000 | 89 | 111 | 19 | 181 | 290 | 64 | 6 | 39 | 4 | 395 | 757 | 697 | 1.086 |
| 2001 | 100 | 113 | 20 | 193 | 311 | 80 | 4 | 30 | 6 | 419 | 805 | 677 | 1.189 |
| 2002 | 53 | 73 | 11 | 115 | 222 | 57 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 292 | 522 | 655 | .797 |
| 2003 | 39 | 76 | 5 | 110 | 180 | 59 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 252 | 472 | 598 | .789 |
| 2004 | 24 | 18 | 4 | 38 | 67 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 80 | 156 | 190 | .821 |
| Career | 1,134 | 1,508 | 210 | 2,432 | 4,018 | 1,032 | 50 | 474 | 114 | 5,460 | 10,324 | 10,400 | .993 |

## Jeff Bagwell

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1991 | 82 | 79 | 15 | 146 | 242 | 75 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 333 | 625 | 650 | .962 |
| 1992 | 96 | 87 | 18 | 165 | 260 | 84 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 360 | 690 | 697 | .990 |
| 1993 | 88 | 76 | 20 | 144 | 276 | 62 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 350 | 638 | 609 | 1.048 |
| 1994 | 116 | 104 | 39 | 181 | 300 | 65 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 380 | 742 | 479 | 1.549 |
| 1995 | 87 | 88 | 21 | 154 | 222 | 79 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 314 | 622 | 539 | 1.154 |
| 1996 | 120 | 111 | 31 | 200 | 324 | 135 | 10 | 21 | 7 | 483 | 883 | 719 | 1.228 |
| 1997 | 135 | 109 | 43 | 201 | 335 | 127 | 16 | 31 | 10 | 499 | 901 | 717 | 1.257 |
| 1998 | 111 | 124 | 34 | 201 | 301 | 109 | 7 | 19 | 7 | 429 | 831 | 661 | 1.257 |
| 1999 | 126 | 143 | 42 | 227 | 332 | 149 | 11 | 30 | 11 | 511 | 965 | 729 | 1.324 |
| 2000 | 132 | 152 | 47 | 237 | 363 | 107 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 488 | 962 | 719 | 1.338 |
| 2001 | 130 | 126 | 39 | 217 | 341 | 106 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 461 | 895 | 717 | 1.248 |
| 2002 | 98 | 94 | 31 | 161 | 296 | 101 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 411 | 733 | 691 | 1.061 |
| 2003 | 100 | 109 | 39 | 170 | 317 | 88 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 418 | 758 | 702 | 1.080 |
| 2004 | 89 | 104 | 27 | 166 | 266 | 96 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 372 | 704 | 679 | 1.037 |
| 2005 | 19 | 11 | 3 | 27 | 38 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 111 | 123 | .902 |
| Career | 1,529 | 1,517 | 449 | 2,597 | 4,213 | 1,401 | 128 | 202 | 78 | 5,866 | 11,060 | 9,431 | 1.173 |

## Ernie Banks

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1953 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 38 | 39 | .974 |
| 1954 | 79 | 70 | 19 | 130 | 253 | 40 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 296 | 556 | 649 | .857 |
| 1955 | 117 | 98 | 44 | 171 | 355 | 45 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 408 | 750 | 646 | 1.161 |
| 1956 | 85 | 82 | 28 | 139 | 285 | 52 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 334 | 612 | 593 | 1.032 |
| 1957 | 102 | 113 | 43 | 172 | 344 | 70 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 421 | 765 | 674 | 1.135 |
| 1958 | 129 | 119 | 47 | 201 | 379 | 52 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 435 | 837 | 682 | 1.227 |
| 1959 | 143 | 97 | 45 | 195 | 351 | 64 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 420 | 810 | 671 | 1.207 |
| 1960 | 117 | 94 | 41 | 170 | 331 | 71 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 404 | 744 | 678 | 1.097 |
| 1961 | 80 | 75 | 29 | 126 | 259 | 54 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 314 | 566 | 573 | .988 |
| 1962 | 104 | 87 | 37 | 154 | 307 | 30 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 348 | 656 | 657 | .998 |
| 1963 | 64 | 41 | 18 | 87 | 174 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 214 | 388 | 484 | .802 |
| 1964 | 95 | 67 | 23 | 139 | 266 | 36 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 304 | 582 | 637 | .914 |
| 1965 | 106 | 79 | 28 | 157 | 277 | 55 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 336 | 650 | 680 | .956 |
| 1966 | 75 | 52 | 15 | 112 | 221 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 254 | 478 | 554 | .863 |
| 1967 | 95 | 68 | 23 | 140 | 261 | 27 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 291 | 571 | 615 | .928 |
| 1968 | 83 | 71 | 32 | 122 | 259 | 27 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 293 | 537 | 595 | .903 |
| 1969 | 106 | 60 | 23 | 143 | 235 | 42 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 570 | 629 | .906 |
| 1970 | 44 | 25 | 12 | 57 | 102 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 123 | 237 | 247 | .960 |
| 1971 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 27 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 47 | 92 | .511 |
| Career | 1,636 | 1,305 | 512 | 2,429 | 4,706 | 763 | 70 | 50 | 53 | 5,536 | 10,394 | 10,395 | 1.000 |

## Johnny Bench

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1967 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 50 | 93 | .538 |
| 1968 | 82 | 67 | 15 | 134 | 244 | 31 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 273 | 541 | 607 | .891 |
| 1969 | 90 | 83 | 26 | 147 | 259 | 49 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 312 | 606 | 592 | 1.024 |
| 1970 | 148 | 97 | 45 | 200 | 355 | 54 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 412 | 812 | 671 | 1.210 |
| 1971 | 61 | 80 | 27 | 114 | 238 | 49 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 288 | 516 | 613 | .842 |
| 1972 | 125 | 87 | 40 | 172 | 291 | 100 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 393 | 737 | 652 | 1.130 |
| 1973 | 104 | 83 | 25 | 162 | 239 | 83 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 325 | 649 | 651 | .997 |
| 1974 | 129 | 108 | 33 | 204 | 315 | 80 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 399 | 807 | 708 | 1.140 |
| 1975 | 110 | 83 | 28 | 165 | 275 | 65 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 353 | 683 | 605 | 1.129 |
| 1976 | 74 | 62 | 16 | 120 | 183 | 81 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 277 | 517 | 552 | .937 |
| 1977 | 109 | 67 | 31 | 145 | 267 | 58 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 324 | 614 | 560 | 1.096 |
| 1978 | 73 | 52 | 23 | 102 | 190 | 50 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 243 | 447 | 451 | .991 |
| 1979 | 80 | 73 | 22 | 131 | 213 | 67 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 282 | 544 | 538 | 1.011 |
| 1980 | 68 | 52 | 24 | 96 | 174 | 41 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 219 | 411 | 407 | 1.010 |
| 1981 | 25 | 14 | 8 | 31 | 87 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 102 | 164 | 196 | .837 |
| 1982 | 38 | 44 | 13 | 69 | 158 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 194 | 332 | 439 | .756 |
| 1983 | 54 | 32 | 12 | 74 | 134 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 157 | 305 | 334 | .913 |
| Career | 1,376 | 1,091 | 389 | 2,078 | 3,644 | 891 | 19 | 68 | 43 | 4,579 | 8,735 | 8,669 | 1.008 |

## Yogi Berra

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1946 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 26 | 23 | 1.130 |
| 1947 | 54 | 41 | 11 | 84 | 136 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 148 | 316 | 306 | 1.033 |
| 1948 | 98 | 70 | 14 | 154 | 229 | 25 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 255 | 563 | 497 | 1.133 |
| 1949 | 91 | 59 | 20 | 130 | 199 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 228 | 488 | 443 | 1.102 |
| 1950 | 124 | 116 | 28 | 212 | 318 | 55 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 379 | 803 | 656 | 1.224 |
| 1951 | 88 | 92 | 27 | 153 | 269 | 44 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 317 | 623 | 594 | 1.049 |
| 1952 | 98 | 97 | 30 | 165 | 255 | 66 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 324 | 654 | 605 | 1.081 |
| 1953 | 108 | 80 | 27 | 161 | 263 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 313 | 635 | 557 | 1.140 |
| 1954 | 125 | 88 | 22 | 191 | 285 | 56 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 344 | 726 | 652 | 1.113 |
| 1955 | 108 | 84 | 27 | 165 | 254 | 60 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 322 | 652 | 615 | 1.060 |
| 1956 | 105 | 93 | 30 | 168 | 278 | 65 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 349 | 685 | 597 | 1.147 |
| 1957 | 82 | 74 | 24 | 132 | 211 | 57 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 268 | 532 | 545 | .976 |
| 1958 | 90 | 60 | 22 | 128 | 204 | 35 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 244 | 500 | 476 | 1.050 |
| 1959 | 69 | 64 | 19 | 114 | 218 | 43 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 264 | 492 | 521 | .944 |
| 1960 | 62 | 46 | 15 | 93 | 160 | 38 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 202 | 388 | 404 | .960 |
| 1961 | 61 | 62 | 22 | 101 | 184 | 35 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 223 | 425 | 437 | .973 |
| 1962 | 35 | 25 | 10 | 50 | 90 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 115 | 215 | 263 | .817 |
| 1963 | 28 | 20 | 8 | 40 | 73 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 170 | 164 | 1.037 |
| 1965 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 | .444 |
| Career | 1,430 | 1,175 | 358 | 2,247 | 3,643 | 704 | 52 | 30 | 26 | 4,403 | 8,897 | 8,361 | 1.064 |

## Barry Bonds

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | 48 | 72 | 16 | 104 | 172 | 65 | 2 | 36 | 7 | 268 | 476 | 484 | .983 |
| 1987 | 59 | 99 | 25 | 133 | 271 | 54 | 3 | 32 | 10 | 350 | 616 | 611 | 1.008 |
| 1988 | 58 | 97 | 24 | 131 | 264 | 72 | 2 | 17 | 11 | 344 | 606 | 614 | .987 |
| 1989 | 58 | 96 | 19 | 135 | 247 | 93 | 1 | 32 | 10 | 363 | 633 | 679 | .932 |
| 1990 | 114 | 104 | 33 | 185 | 293 | 93 | 3 | 52 | 13 | 428 | 798 | 621 | 1.285 |
| 1991 | 116 | 95 | 25 | 186 | 262 | 107 | 4 | 43 | 13 | 403 | 775 | 634 | 1.222 |
| 1992 | 103 | 109 | 34 | 178 | 295 | 127 | 5 | 39 | 8 | 458 | 814 | 612 | 1.330 |
| 1993 | 123 | 129 | 46 | 206 | 365 | 126 | 2 | 29 | 12 | 510 | 922 | 674 | 1.368 |
| 1994 | 81 | 89 | 37 | 133 | 253 | 74 | 6 | 29 | 9 | 353 | 619 | 474 | 1.306 |
| 1995 | 104 | 109 | 33 | 180 | 292 | 120 | 5 | 31 | 10 | 438 | 798 | 635 | 1.257 |
| 1996 | 129 | 122 | 42 | 209 | 318 | 151 | 1 | 40 | 7 | 503 | 921 | 675 | 1.364 |
| 1997 | 101 | 123 | 40 | 184 | 311 | 145 | 8 | 37 | 8 | 493 | 861 | 690 | 1.248 |
| 1998 | 122 | 120 | 37 | 205 | 336 | 130 | 8 | 28 | 12 | 490 | 900 | 697 | 1.291 |
| 1999 | 83 | 91 | 34 | 140 | 219 | 73 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 308 | 588 | 434 | 1.355 |
| 2000 | 106 | 129 | 49 | 186 | 330 | 117 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 458 | 830 | 607 | 1.367 |
| 2001 | 137 | 129 | 73 | 193 | 411 | 177 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 607 | 993 | 664 | 1.495 |
| 2002 | 110 | 117 | 46 | 181 | 322 | 198 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 536 | 898 | 612 | 1.467 |
| 2003 | 90 | 111 | 45 | 156 | 292 | 148 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 457 | 769 | 550 | 1.398 |
| 2004 | 101 | 129 | 45 | 185 | 303 | 232 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 549 | 919 | 617 | 1.489 |
| 2005 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 63 | 52 | 1.212 |
| 2006 | 77 | 74 | 26 | 125 | 200 | 115 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 328 | 578 | 493 | 1.172 |
| 2007 | 66 | 75 | 28 | 113 | 192 | 132 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 332 | 558 | 477 | 1.170 |
| Career | 1,996 | 2,227 | 762 | 3,461 | 5,976 | 2,558 | 106 | 514 | 141 | 9,013 | 15,935 | 12,606 | 1.264 |

## George Brett

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1973 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 41 | .268 |
| 1974 | 47 | 49 | 2 | 94 | 166 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 190 | 378 | 486 | .778 |
| 1975 | 89 | 84 | 11 | 162 | 289 | 46 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 340 | 664 | 697 | .953 |
| 1976 | 67 | 94 | 7 | 154 | 298 | 49 | 1 | 21 | 11 | 358 | 666 | 705 | .945 |
| 1977 | 88 | 105 | 22 | 171 | 300 | 55 | 2 | 14 | 12 | 359 | 701 | 627 | 1.118 |
| 1978 | 62 | 79 | 9 | 132 | 238 | 39 | 1 | 23 | 7 | 294 | 558 | 558 | 1.000 |
| 1979 | 107 | 119 | 23 | 203 | 363 | 51 | 0 | 17 | 10 | 421 | 827 | 701 | 1.180 |
| 1980 | 118 | 87 | 24 | 181 | 298 | 58 | 1 | 15 | 6 | 366 | 728 | 515 | 1.414 |
| 1981 | 43 | 42 | 6 | 79 | 168 | 27 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 204 | 362 | 379 | .955 |
| 1982 | 82 | 101 | 21 | 162 | 279 | 71 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 356 | 680 | 629 | 1.081 |
| 1983 | 93 | 90 | 25 | 158 | 261 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 318 | 634 | 525 | 1.208 |
| 1984 | 69 | 42 | 13 | 98 | 173 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 209 | 405 | 422 | .960 |
| 1985 | 112 | 108 | 30 | 190 | 322 | 103 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 436 | 816 | 665 | 1.227 |
| 1986 | 73 | 70 | 16 | 127 | 212 | 80 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 295 | 549 | 529 | 1.038 |
| 1987 | 78 | 71 | 22 | 127 | 212 | 72 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 288 | 542 | 508 | 1.067 |
| 1988 | 103 | 90 | 24 | 169 | 300 | 82 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 396 | 734 | 681 | 1.078 |
| 1989 | 80 | 67 | 12 | 135 | 197 | 59 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 269 | 539 | 528 | 1.021 |
| 1990 | 87 | 82 | 14 | 155 | 280 | 56 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 343 | 653 | 607 | 1.076 |
| 1991 | 61 | 77 | 10 | 128 | 203 | 58 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 263 | 519 | 572 | .907 |
| 1992 | 61 | 55 | 7 | 109 | 235 | 35 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 278 | 496 | 637 | .779 |
| 1993 | 75 | 69 | 19 | 125 | 243 | 39 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 287 | 537 | 612 | .877 |
| Career | 1,595 | 1,583 | 317 | 2,861 | 5,044 | 1,096 | 33 | 201 | 97 | 6,277 | 11,999 | 11,624 | 1.032 |

## Roy Campanella

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1948 | 45 | 32 | 9 | 68 | 116 | 36 | 1 | 3 | $3^{*}$ | 153 | 289 | 321 | .900 |
| 1949 | 82 | 65 | 22 | 125 | 217 | 67 | 3 | 3 | $2^{*}$ | 288 | 538 | 507 | 1.061 |
| 1950 | 89 | 70 | 31 | 128 | 241 | 55 | 2 | 1 | $1^{*}$ | 298 | 554 | 494 | 1.121 |
| 1951 | 108 | 90 | 33 | 165 | 298 | 53 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 354 | 684 | 562 | 1.217 |
| 1952 | 97 | 73 | 22 | 148 | 212 | 57 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 276 | 572 | 533 | 1.073 |
| 1953 | 142 | 103 | 41 | 204 | 317 | 67 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 390 | 798 | 590 | 1.353 |
| 1954 | 51 | 43 | 19 | 75 | 159 | 42 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 200 | 350 | 446 | .785 |
| 1955 | 107 | 81 | 32 | 156 | 260 | 56 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 321 | 633 | 522 | 1.213 |
| 1956 | 73 | 39 | 20 | 92 | 153 | 66 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 221 | 405 | 461 | .879 |
| 1957 | 62 | 31 | 13 | 80 | 128 | 34 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 167 | 327 | 380 | .861 |
| Career | 856 | 627 | 242 | 1,241 | 2,101 | 533 | 30 | 25 | $21^{*}$ | 2,668 | 5,150 | 4,816 | 1.069 |
| * CS totals estimated based on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Roberto Clemente

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1955 | 47 | 48 | 5 | 90 | 181 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 198 | 378 | 501 | .754 |
| 1956 | 60 | 66 | 7 | 119 | 234 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 251 | 489 | 572 | .855 |
| 1957 | 30 | 42 | 4 | 68 | 157 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 176 | 312 | 475 | .657 |
| 1958 | 50 | 69 | 6 | 113 | 212 | 31 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 249 | 475 | 556 | .854 |
| 1959 | 50 | 60 | 4 | 106 | 171 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 188 | 400 | 456 | .877 |
| 1960 | 94 | 89 | 16 | 167 | 261 | 39 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 301 | 635 | 620 | 1.024 |
| 1961 | 89 | 100 | 23 | 166 | 320 | 35 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 361 | 693 | 614 | 1.129 |
| 1962 | 74 | 95 | 10 | 159 | 244 | 35 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 282 | 600 | 581 | 1.033 |
| 1963 | 76 | 77 | 17 | 136 | 282 | 31 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 327 | 599 | 642 | .933 |
| 1964 | 87 | 95 | 12 | 170 | 301 | 51 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 357 | 697 | 683 | 1.020 |
| 1965 | 65 | 91 | 10 | 146 | 273 | 43 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 329 | 621 | 642 | .967 |
| 1966 | 119 | 105 | 29 | 195 | 342 | 46 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 390 | 780 | 690 | 1.130 |
| 1967 | 110 | 103 | 23 | 190 | 324 | 41 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 376 | 756 | 632 | 1.196 |
| 1968 | 57 | 74 | 18 | 113 | 242 | 51 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 293 | 519 | 557 | .932 |
| 1969 | 91 | 87 | 19 | 159 | 276 | 56 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 338 | 656 | 570 | 1.151 |
| 1970 | 60 | 65 | 14 | 111 | 229 | 38 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 272 | 494 | 455 | 1.086 |
| 1971 | 86 | 82 | 13 | 155 | 262 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 287 | 597 | 553 | 1.080 |
| 1972 | 60 | 68 | 10 | 118 | 181 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 446 | 413 | 1.080 |
| Career | 1,305 | 1,416 | 240 | 2,481 | 4,492 | 621 | 35 | 83 | 46 | 5,185 | 10,147 | 10,212 | .994 |

## Ty Cobb

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1905 | 15 | 19 | 1 | 33 | 45 | 10 | 0 | 2 | $1^{*}$ | 56 | 122 | 164 | . 744 |
| 1906 | 34 | 45 | 1 | 78 | 141 | 19 | 3 | 23 | 13* | 173 | 329 | 394 | . 835 |
| 1907 | 119 | 97 | 5 | 211 | 283 | 24 | 5 | 49 | $27 *$ | 334 | 756 | 646 | 1.170 |
| 1908 | 108 | 88 | 4 | 192 | 276 | 34 | 6 | 39 | 21* | 334 | 718 | 635 | 1.131 |
| 1909 | 107 | 116 | 9 | 214 | 296 | 48 | 6 | 76 | $42^{*}$ | 384 | 812 | 651 | 1.247 |
| 1910 | 91 | 106 | 8 | 189 | 279 | 64 | 4 | 65 | 36* | 376 | 754 | 590 | 1.278 |
| 1911 | 127 | 147 | 8 | 266 | 367 | 44 | 8 | 83 | 45* | 457 | 989 | 654 | 1.512 |
| 1912 | 83 | 120 | 7 | 196 | 323 | 43 | 5 | 61 | 33* | 399 | 791 | 609 | 1.299 |
| 1913 | 67 | 70 | 4 | 133 | 229 | 58 | 4 | 51 | 28* | 314 | 580 | 501 | 1.158 |
| 1914 | 57 | 69 | 2 | 124 | 177 | 57 | 6 | 35 | 17 | 258 | 506 | 414 | 1.222 |
| 1915 | 99 | 144 | 3 | 240 | 274 | 118 | 10 | 96 | 38 | 460 | 940 | 700 | 1.343 |
| 1916 | 68 | 113 | 5 | 176 | 267 | 78 | 2 | 68 | 24 | 391 | 743 | 636 | 1.168 |
| 1917 | 102 | 107 | 6 | 203 | 335 | 61 | 4 | 55 | $30^{*}$ | 425 | 831 | 669 | 1.242 |
| 1918 | 64 | 83 | 3 | 144 | 217 | 41 | 2 | 34 | 18* | 276 | 564 | 473 | 1.192 |
| 1919 | 70 | 92 | 1 | 161 | 256 | 38 | 1 | 28 | 15* | 308 | 630 | 545 | 1.156 |
| 1920 | 63 | 86 | 2 | 147 | 193 | 58 | 2 | 15 | 10 | 258 | 552 | 495 | 1.115 |
| 1921 | 101 | 124 | 12 | 213 | 302 | 56 | 3 | 22 | 15 | 368 | 794 | 581 | 1.367 |
| 1922 | 99 | 99 | 4 | 194 | 297 | 55 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 352 | 740 | 612 | 1.209 |
| 1923 | 88 | 103 | 6 | 185 | 261 | 66 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 329 | 699 | 647 | 1.080 |
| 1924 | 78 | 115 | 4 | 189 | 281 | 85 | 1 | 23 | 14 | 376 | 754 | 726 | 1.039 |
| 1925 | 102 | 97 | 12 | 187 | 248 | 65 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 322 | 696 | 490 | 1.420 |
| 1926 | 62 | 48 | 4 | 106 | 119 | 26 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 151 | 363 | 273 | 1.330 |
| 1927 | 93 | 104 | 5 | 192 | 236 | 67 | 5 | 22 | 16 | 314 | 698 | 574 | 1.216 |
| 1928 | 40 | 54 | 1 | 93 | 152 | 34 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 187 | 373 | 393 | . 949 |
| Career | 1,937 | 2,246 | 117 | 4,066 | 5,854 | 1,249 | 94 | 892 | 487* | 7,602 | 15,734 | 13,072 | 1.204 |

## Mickey Cochrane

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1925 | 55 | 69 | 6 | 118 | 188 | 44 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 237 | 473 | 474 | .998 |
| 1926 | 47 | 50 | 8 | 89 | 151 | 56 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 210 | 388 | 452 | .858 |
| 1927 | 80 | 80 | 12 | 148 | 214 | 50 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 269 | 565 | 507 | 1.114 |
| 1928 | 57 | 92 | 10 | 139 | 217 | 76 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 296 | 574 | 568 | 1.011 |
| 1929 | 95 | 113 | 7 | 201 | 244 | 69 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 316 | 718 | 606 | 1.185 |
| 1930 | 85 | 110 | 10 | 185 | 256 | 55 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 317 | 687 | 561 | 1.225 |
| 1931 | 89 | 87 | 17 | 159 | 254 | 56 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 312 | 630 | 521 | 1.209 |
| 1932 | 112 | 118 | 23 | 207 | 264 | 100 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 367 | 781 | 625 | 1.250 |
| 1933 | 60 | 104 | 15 | 149 | 221 | 106 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 332 | 630 | 542 | 1.162 |
| 1934 | 76 | 74 | 2 | 148 | 180 | 78 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 266 | 562 | 524 | 1.073 |
| 1935 | 47 | 93 | 5 | 135 | 185 | 96 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 285 | 555 | 522 | 1.063 |
| 1936 | 17 | 24 | 2 | 39 | 48 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 94 | 172 | 178 | .966 |
| 1937 | 12 | 27 | 2 | 37 | 48 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 73 | 147 | 126 | 1.167 |
| Career | 832 | 1,041 | 119 | 1,754 | 2,470 | 857 | 29 | 64 | 46 | 3,374 | 6,882 | 6,206 | 1.109 |

## Eddie Collins

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | cs | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1906 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0* | 3 | 7 | 18 | . 389 |
| 1907 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0* | 10 | 14 | 24 | . 583 |
| 1908 | 40 | 39 | 1 | 78 | 125 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 4* | 148 | 304 | 364 | . 835 |
| 1909 | 56 | 104 | 3 | 157 | 257 | 62 | 6 | 67 | 36* | 356 | 670 | 660 | 1.015 |
| 1910 | 81 | 81 | 3 | 159 | 243 | 49 | 6 | 81 | 43* | 336 | 654 | 658 | . 994 |
| 1911 | 73 | 92 | 3 | 162 | 237 | 62 | 15 | 38 | $20^{*}$ | 332 | 656 | 588 | 1.116 |
| 1912 | 64 | 137 | 0 | 201 | 236 | 101 | 0 | 63 | 34* | 366 | 768 | 673 | 1.141 |
| 1913 | 73 | 125 | 3 | 195 | 242 | 85 | 7 | 55 | 29* | 360 | 750 | 652 | 1.150 |
| 1914 | 85 | 122 | 2 | 205 | 238 | 97 | 6 | 58 | 30 | 369 | 779 | 657 | 1.186 |
| 1915 | 77 | 118 | 4 | 191 | 227 | 119 | 5 | 46 | 30 | 367 | 749 | 680 | 1.101 |
| 1916 | 52 | 87 | 0 | 139 | 216 | 86 | 3 | 40 | 21 | 324 | 602 | 673 | . 895 |
| 1917 | 67 | 91 | 0 | 158 | 205 | 89 | 3 | 53 | 28* | 322 | 638 | 689 | . 926 |
| 1918 | 30 | 51 | 2 | 79 | 109 | 73 | 0 | 22 | 12* | 192 | 350 | 425 | . 824 |
| 1919 | 80 | 87 | 4 | 163 | 210 | 68 | 2 | 33 | 19* | 294 | 620 | 628 | . 987 |
| 1920 | 76 | 117 | 3 | 190 | 297 | 69 | 2 | 20 | 8 | 380 | 760 | 706 | 1.076 |
| 1921 | 58 | 79 | 2 | 135 | 223 | 66 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 293 | 563 | 607 | . 928 |
| 1922 | 69 | 92 | 1 | 160 | 241 | 73 | 3 | 20 | 12 | 325 | 645 | 701 | . 920 |
| 1923 | 67 | 89 | 5 | 151 | 229 | 84 | 4 | 48 | 29 | 336 | 638 | 632 | 1.009 |
| 1924 | 86 | 108 | 6 | 188 | 253 | 89 | 3 | 42 | 17 | 370 | 746 | 676 | 1.104 |
| 1925 | 80 | 80 | 3 | 157 | 188 | 87 | 4 | 19 | 6 | 292 | 606 | 533 | 1.137 |
| 1926 | 62 | 66 | 1 | 127 | 172 | 62 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 242 | 496 | 455 | 1.090 |
| 1927 | 15 | 50 | 1 | 64 | 93 | 56 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 153 | 281 | 290 | . 969 |
| 1928 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 37 | 37 | 1.000 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 | . 222 |
| 1930 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1.500 |
| Career | 1,300 | 1,821 | 47 | 3,074 | 4,268 | 1,499 | 77 | 744 | 398* | 6,190 | 12,338 | 12,037 | 1.025 |

## Bill Dickey

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1928 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 15 | .800 |
| 1929 | 65 | 60 | 10 | 115 | 217 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 233 | 463 | 473 | .979 |
| 1930 | 65 | 55 | 5 | 115 | 178 | 21 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 205 | 435 | 396 | 1.098 |
| 1931 | 78 | 65 | 6 | 137 | 211 | 39 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 251 | 525 | 523 | 1.004 |
| 1932 | 84 | 66 | 15 | 135 | 204 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 236 | 506 | 459 | 1.102 |
| 1933 | 97 | 58 | 14 | 141 | 234 | 47 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 282 | 564 | 532 | 1.060 |
| 1934 | 72 | 56 | 12 | 116 | 195 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 232 | 464 | 438 | 1.059 |
| 1935 | 81 | 54 | 14 | 121 | 205 | 35 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 246 | 488 | 491 | .994 |
| 1936 | 107 | 99 | 22 | 184 | 261 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 308 | 676 | 472 | 1.432 |
| 1937 | 133 | 87 | 29 | 191 | 302 | 73 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 380 | 762 | 608 | 1.253 |
| 1938 | 115 | 84 | 27 | 172 | 258 | 75 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 338 | 682 | 532 | 1.282 |
| 1939 | 105 | 98 | 24 | 179 | 246 | 77 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 332 | 690 | 565 | 1.221 |
| 1940 | 54 | 45 | 9 | 90 | 132 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 179 | 359 | 424 | .847 |
| 1941 | 71 | 35 | 7 | 99 | 145 | 45 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 194 | 392 | 397 | .987 |
| 1942 | 37 | 28 | 2 | 63 | 100 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 127 | 253 | 295 | .858 |
| 1943 | 33 | 29 | 4 | 58 | 119 | 41 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 161 | 277 | 284 | .975 |
| 1946 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 18 | 49 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 68 | 104 | 156 | .666 |
| Career | 1,209 | 930 | 202 | 1,937 | 3,062 | 678 | 31 | 36 | 29 | 3,778 | 7,652 | 7,060 | 1.084 |

## Joe DiMaggio

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1936 | 125 | 132 | 29 | 228 | 367 | 24 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 399 | 855 | 668 | 1.280 |
| 1937 | 167 | 151 | 46 | 272 | 418 | 64 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 490 | 1,034 | 692 | 1.494 |
| 1938 | 140 | 129 | 32 | 237 | 348 | 59 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 414 | 888 | 660 | 1.345 |
| 1939 | 126 | 108 | 30 | 204 | 310 | 52 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 369 | 777 | 524 | 1.483 |
| 1940 | 133 | 93 | 31 | 195 | 318 | 61 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 381 | 771 | 572 | 1.348 |
| 1941 | 125 | 122 | 30 | 217 | 348 | 76 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 430 | 864 | 621 | 1.391 |
| 1942 | 114 | 123 | 21 | 216 | 304 | 68 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 376 | 808 | 680 | 1.188 |
| 1946 | 95 | 81 | 25 | 151 | 257 | 59 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 319 | 621 | 567 | 1.095 |
| 1947 | 97 | 97 | 20 | 174 | 279 | 64 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 349 | 697 | 601 | 1.160 |
| 1948 | 155 | 110 | 39 | 226 | 355 | 67 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 430 | 882 | 669 | 1.318 |
| 1949 | 67 | 58 | 14 | 111 | 162 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 218 | 440 | 329 | 1.337 |
| 1950 | 122 | 114 | 32 | 204 | 307 | 80 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 796 | 606 | 1.314 |
| 1951 | 71 | 72 | 12 | 131 | 175 | 61 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 504 | 482 | 1.046 |
| Career | 1,537 | 1,390 | 361 | 2,566 | 3,948 | 790 | 46 | 30 | 9 | 4,805 | 9,937 | 7,671 | 1.295 |

## Jimmie Foxx

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1925 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 1.222 |
| 1926 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 42 | 35 | 1.200 |
| 1927 | 20 | 23 | 3 | 40 | 67 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 83 | 163 | 146 | 1.116 |
| 1928 | 79 | 85 | 13 | 151 | 219 | 60 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 275 | 577 | 473 | 1.220 |
| 1929 | 118 | 123 | 33 | 208 | 323 | 103 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 430 | 846 | 638 | 1.326 |
| 1930 | 156 | 127 | 37 | 246 | 358 | 93 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 451 | 943 | 673 | 1.401 |
| 1931 | 120 | 93 | 30 | 183 | 292 | 73 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 367 | 733 | 593 | 1.236 |
| 1932 | 169 | 151 | 58 | 262 | 438 | 116 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 550 | 1,074 | 701 | 1.532 |
| 1933 | 163 | 125 | 48 | 240 | 403 | 96 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 500 | 980 | 670 | 1.463 |
| 1934 | 130 | 120 | 44 | 206 | 352 | 111 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 473 | 885 | 652 | 1.357 |
| 1935 | 115 | 118 | 36 | 197 | 340 | 114 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 456 | 850 | 649 | 1.310 |
| 1936 | 143 | 130 | 41 | 232 | 369 | 105 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 484 | 948 | 693 | 1.368 |
| 1937 | 127 | 111 | 36 | 202 | 306 | 99 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 408 | 812 | 673 | 1.207 |
| 1938 | 175 | 139 | 50 | 264 | 398 | 119 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 518 | 1,046 | 685 | 1.527 |
| 1939 | 105 | 130 | 35 | 200 | 324 | 89 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 416 | 816 | 563 | 1.449 |
| 1940 | 119 | 106 | 36 | 189 | 299 | 101 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 397 | 775 | 618 | 1.254 |
| 1941 | 105 | 87 | 19 | 173 | 246 | 93 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 336 | 682 | 582 | 1.172 |
| 1942 | 33 | 43 | 8 | 68 | 105 | 40 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 148 | 284 | 347 | .818 |
| 1944 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 22 | .364 |
| 1945 | 38 | 30 | 7 | 61 | 94 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 239 | 248 | .964 |
| Career | 1,922 | 1,751 | 534 | 3,139 | 4,956 | 1,452 | 13 | 87 | 72 | 6,436 | 12,714 | 9,670 | 1.315 |

## Lou Gehrig

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1923 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 14 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 50 | 29 | 1.724 |
| 1924 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 22 | 13 | 1.692 |
| 1925 | 68 | 73 | 20 | 121 | 232 | 46 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 283 | 525 | 497 | 1.056 |
| 1926 | 112 | 135 | 16 | 231 | 314 | 105 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 421 | 883 | 696 | 1.269 |
| 1927 | 175 | 149 | 47 | 277 | 447 | 109 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 561 | 1,115 | 717 | 1.555 |
| 1928 | 142 | 139 | 27 | 254 | 364 | 95 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 456 | 964 | 677 | 1.424 |
| 1929 | 126 | 127 | 35 | 218 | 323 | 122 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 450 | 886 | 692 | 1.280 |
| 1930 | 174 | 143 | 41 | 276 | 419 | 101 | 3 | 12 | 14 | 521 | 1,073 | 703 | 1.526 |
| 1931 | 184 | 163 | 46 | 301 | 410 | 117 | 0 | 17 | 12 | 532 | 1,134 | 738 | 1.537 |
| 1932 | 151 | 138 | 34 | 255 | 370 | 108 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 474 | 984 | 708 | 1.390 |
| 1933 | 139 | 138 | 32 | 245 | 359 | 92 | 1 | 9 | 13 | 448 | 938 | 687 | 1.365 |
| 1934 | 165 | 128 | 49 | 244 | 409 | 109 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 524 | 1,012 | 690 | 1.467 |
| 1935 | 119 | 125 | 30 | 214 | 312 | 132 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 450 | 878 | 672 | 1.307 |
| 1936 | 152 | 167 | 49 | 270 | 403 | 130 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 539 | 1,079 | 719 | 1.501 |
| 1937 | 159 | 138 | 37 | 260 | 366 | 127 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 498 | 1,018 | 700 | 1.454 |
| 1938 | 114 | 115 | 29 | 200 | 301 | 107 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 418 | 818 | 689 | 1.187 |
| 1939 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 33 | .455 |
| Career | 1,995 | 1,888 | 493 | 3,390 | 5,060 | 1,508 | 45 | 102 | 101 | 6,614 | 13,394 | 9,660 | 1.387 |

## Charlie Gehringer

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1924 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 13 | .923 |
| 1925 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 20 | .500 |
| 1926 | 48 | 62 | 1 | 109 | 183 | 30 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 216 | 434 | 517 | .839 |
| 1927 | 61 | 110 | 4 | 167 | 224 | 52 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 287 | 621 | 571 | 1.088 |
| 1928 | 74 | 108 | 6 | 176 | 272 | 69 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 353 | 705 | 691 | 1.020 |
| 1929 | 106 | 131 | 13 | 224 | 337 | 64 | 6 | 27 | 9 | 425 | 873 | 715 | 1.221 |
| 1930 | 98 | 144 | 16 | 226 | 326 | 69 | 7 | 19 | 15 | 406 | 858 | 699 | 1.227 |
| 1931 | 53 | 67 | 4 | 116 | 165 | 29 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 203 | 435 | 414 | 1.051 |
| 1932 | 107 | 112 | 19 | 200 | 307 | 68 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 379 | 779 | 692 | 1.126 |
| 1933 | 105 | 103 | 12 | 196 | 294 | 68 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 366 | 758 | 705 | 1.075 |
| 1934 | 127 | 134 | 11 | 250 | 311 | 99 | 3 | 11 | 8 | 416 | 916 | 708 | 1.294 |
| 1935 | 108 | 123 | 19 | 212 | 306 | 79 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 395 | 819 | 709 | 1.155 |
| 1936 | 116 | 144 | 15 | 245 | 356 | 83 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 446 | 936 | 731 | 1.280 |
| 1937 | 96 | 133 | 14 | 215 | 293 | 90 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 391 | 821 | 660 | 1.244 |
| 1938 | 107 | 133 | 20 | 220 | 276 | 113 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 406 | 846 | 688 | 1.230 |
| 1939 | 86 | 86 | 16 | 156 | 221 | 68 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 291 | 603 | 486 | 1.241 |
| 1940 | 81 | 108 | 10 | 179 | 230 | 101 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 344 | 702 | 629 | 1.116 |
| 1941 | 46 | 65 | 3 | 108 | 132 | 95 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 229 | 445 | 537 | .829 |
| 1942 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 46 | 52 | .885 |
| Career | 1,427 | 1,774 | 184 | 3,017 | 4,257 | 1,186 | 50 | 181 | 89 | 5,585 | 11,619 | 10,237 | 1.135 |

## Hank Greenberg

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | .000 |
| 1933 | 87 | 59 | 12 | 134 | 210 | 46 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 261 | 529 | 498 | 1.062 |
| 1934 | 139 | 118 | 26 | 231 | 356 | 63 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 425 | 887 | 667 | 1.330 |
| 1935 | 170 | 121 | 36 | 255 | 389 | 87 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 477 | 987 | 710 | 1.390 |
| 1936 | 16 | 10 | 1 | 25 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 89 | 55 | 1.618 |
| 1937 | 183 | 137 | 40 | 280 | 397 | 102 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 507 | 1,067 | 701 | 1.522 |
| 1938 | 146 | 144 | 58 | 232 | 380 | 119 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 504 | 968 | 681 | 1.421 |
| 1939 | 112 | 112 | 33 | 191 | 311 | 91 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 409 | 791 | 604 | 1.310 |
| 1940 | 150 | 129 | 41 | 238 | 384 | 93 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 481 | 957 | 670 | 1.428 |
| 1941 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 22 | 31 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 48 | 92 | 83 | 1.108 |
| 1945 | 60 | 47 | 13 | 94 | 147 | 42 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 191 | 379 | 312 | 1.215 |
| 1946 | 127 | 91 | 44 | 174 | 316 | 80 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 400 | 748 | 604 | 1.238 |
| 1947 | 74 | 71 | 25 | 120 | 192 | 104 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 540 | 510 | 1.059 |
| Career | 1,276 | 1,051 | 331 | 1,996 | 3,142 | 852 | 16 | 58 | 26 | 4,042 | 8,034 | 6,096 | 1.318 |
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## Ken Griffey Jr.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1989 | 61 | 61 | 16 | 106 | 191 | 44 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 246 | 458 | 506 | .905 |
| 1990 | 80 | 91 | 22 | 149 | 287 | 63 | 2 | 16 | 11 | 357 | 655 | 666 | .983 |
| 1991 | 100 | 76 | 22 | 154 | 289 | 71 | 1 | 18 | 6 | 373 | 681 | 633 | 1.076 |
| 1992 | 103 | 83 | 27 | 159 | 302 | 44 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 356 | 674 | 617 | 1.092 |
| 1993 | 109 | 113 | 45 | 177 | 359 | 96 | 6 | 17 | 9 | 469 | 823 | 691 | 1.191 |
| 1994 | 90 | 94 | 40 | 144 | 292 | 56 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 358 | 646 | 493 | 1.310 |
| 1995 | 42 | 52 | 17 | 77 | 125 | 52 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 179 | 333 | 314 | 1.061 |
| 1996 | 140 | 125 | 49 | 216 | 342 | 78 | 7 | 16 | 1 | 442 | 874 | 638 | 1.370 |
| 1997 | 147 | 125 | 56 | 216 | 393 | 76 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 488 | 920 | 704 | 1.307 |
| 1998 | 146 | 120 | 56 | 210 | 387 | 76 | 7 | 20 | 5 | 485 | 905 | 720 | 1.257 |
| 1999 | 134 | 123 | 48 | 209 | 349 | 91 | 7 | 24 | 7 | 464 | 882 | 706 | 1.249 |
| 2000 | 118 | 100 | 40 | 178 | 289 | 94 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 394 | 750 | 631 | 1.189 |
| 2001 | 65 | 57 | 22 | 100 | 194 | 44 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 244 | 444 | 417 | 1.065 |
| 2002 | 23 | 17 | 8 | 32 | 84 | 28 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 114 | 178 | 232 | .767 |
| 2003 | 26 | 34 | 13 | 47 | 94 | 27 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 128 | 222 | 201 | 1.104 |
| 2004 | 60 | 49 | 20 | 89 | 154 | 44 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 201 | 379 | 348 | 1.089 |
| 2005 | 92 | 85 | 35 | 142 | 283 | 54 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 339 | 623 | 555 | 1.123 |
| 2006 | 72 | 62 | 27 | 107 | 208 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 463 | 472 | .981 |
| 2007 | 93 | 78 | 30 | 141 | 262 | 85 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 353 | 635 | 623 | 1.019 |
| Career | 1,701 | 1,545 | 593 | 2,653 | 4,884 | 1,162 | 77 | 184 | 68 | 6,239 | 11,545 | 10,167 | 1.136 |

## Rickey Henderson

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1979 | 26 | 49 | 1 | 74 | 118 | 34 | 2 | 33 | 11 | 176 | 324 | 398 | .814 |
| 1980 | 53 | 111 | 9 | 155 | 236 | 117 | 5 | 100 | 26 | 432 | 742 | 722 | 1.028 |
| 1981 | 35 | 89 | 6 | 118 | 185 | 64 | 2 | 56 | 22 | 285 | 521 | 493 | 1.057 |
| 1982 | 51 | 119 | 10 | 160 | 205 | 116 | 2 | 130 | 42 | 411 | 731 | 656 | 1.114 |
| 1983 | 48 | 105 | 9 | 144 | 216 | 103 | 4 | 108 | 19 | 412 | 700 | 622 | 1.125 |
| 1984 | 58 | 113 | 16 | 155 | 230 | 86 | 5 | 66 | 18 | 369 | 679 | 597 | 1.137 |
| 1985 | 72 | 146 | 24 | 194 | 282 | 99 | 3 | 80 | 10 | 454 | 842 | 654 | 1.287 |
| 1986 | 74 | 130 | 28 | 176 | 285 | 89 | 2 | 87 | 18 | 445 | 797 | 701 | 1.137 |
| 1987 | 37 | 78 | 17 | 98 | 178 | 80 | 2 | 41 | 8 | 293 | 489 | 440 | 1.111 |
| 1988 | 50 | 118 | 6 | 162 | 221 | 82 | 3 | 93 | 13 | 386 | 710 | 647 | 1.097 |
| 1989 | 57 | 113 | 12 | 158 | 216 | 126 | 3 | 77 | 14 | 408 | 724 | 674 | 1.074 |
| 1990 | 61 | 119 | 28 | 152 | 282 | 97 | 4 | 65 | 10 | 438 | 742 | 594 | 1.249 |
| 1991 | 57 | 105 | 18 | 144 | 199 | 98 | 7 | 58 | 18 | 344 | 632 | 578 | 1.093 |
| 1992 | 46 | 77 | 15 | 108 | 181 | 95 | 6 | 48 | 11 | 319 | 535 | 500 | 1.070 |
| 1993 | 59 | 114 | 21 | 152 | 228 | 120 | 4 | 53 | 8 | 397 | 701 | 610 | 1.149 |
| 1994 | 20 | 66 | 6 | 80 | 108 | 72 | 5 | 22 | 7 | 200 | 360 | 376 | .957 |
| 1995 | 54 | 67 | 9 | 112 | 182 | 72 | 4 | 32 | 10 | 280 | 504 | 487 | 1.035 |
| 1996 | 29 | 110 | 9 | 130 | 160 | 125 | 10 | 37 | 15 | 317 | 577 | 602 | .958 |
| 1997 | 34 | 84 | 8 | 110 | 138 | 97 | 6 | 45 | 8 | 278 | 498 | 509 | .978 |
| 1998 | 57 | 101 | 14 | 144 | 188 | 118 | 5 | 66 | 13 | 364 | 652 | 670 | .973 |
| 1999 | 42 | 89 | 12 | 119 | 204 | 82 | 2 | 37 | 14 | 311 | 549 | 526 | 1.044 |
| 2000 | 32 | 75 | 4 | 103 | 128 | 88 | 4 | 36 | 11 | 245 | 451 | 519 | .869 |
| 2001 | 42 | 70 | 8 | 104 | 133 | 81 | 3 | 25 | 7 | 235 | 443 | 465 | .953 |
| 2002 | 16 | 40 | 5 | 51 | 63 | 38 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 111 | 213 | 222 | .959 |
| 2003 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 22 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 37 | 57 | 84 | .679 |
| Career | 1,115 | 2,295 | 297 | 3,113 | 4,588 | 2,190 | 981,406 | 335 | 7,947 | 14,173 | 13,346 | 1.062 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Rogers Hornsby

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1915 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 34 | 61 | . 557 |
| 1916 | 65 | 63 | 6 | 122 | 220 | 40 | 4 | 17 | 19* | 262 | 506 | 550 | . 920 |
| 1917 | 66 | 86 | 8 | 144 | 253 | 45 | 4 | 17 | 19* | 300 | 588 | 589 | . 998 |
| 1918 | 60 | 51 | 5 | 106 | 173 | 40 | 3 | 8 | 9* | 215 | 427 | 466 | . 916 |
| 1919 | 71 | 68 | 8 | 131 | 220 | 48 | 7 | 17 | 19* | 273 | 535 | 577 | . 927 |
| 1920 | 94 | 96 | 9 | 181 | 329 | 60 | 3 | 12 | 15 | 389 | 751 | 660 | 1.138 |
| 1921 | 126 | 131 | 21 | 236 | 378 | 60 | 7 | 13 | 13 | 445 | 917 | 674 | 1.361 |
| 1922 | 152 | 141 | 42 | 251 | 450 | 65 | 1 | 17 | 12 | 521 | 1,023 | 704 | 1.453 |
| 1923 | 83 | 89 | 17 | 155 | 266 | 55 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 320 | 630 | 487 | 1.294 |
| 1924 | 94 | 121 | 25 | 190 | 373 | 89 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 457 | 837 | 640 | 1.308 |
| 1925 | 143 | 133 | 39 | 237 | 381 | 83 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 468 | 942 | 605 | 1.557 |
| 1926 | 93 | 96 | 11 | 178 | 244 | 61 | 0 | 3 | 4* | 304 | 660 | 604 | 1.093 |
| 1927 | 125 | 133 | 26 | 232 | 333 | 86 | 4 | 9 | $10^{*}$ | 422 | 886 | 684 | 1.295 |
| 1928 | 94 | 99 | 21 | 172 | 307 | 107 | 1 | 5 | 6* | 414 | 758 | 619 | 1.225 |
| 1929 | 149 | 156 | 39 | 266 | 409 | 87 | 1 | 2 | $2^{*}$ | 497 | 1,029 | 712 | 1.445 |
| 1930 | 18 | 15 | 2 | 31 | 45 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 * | 58 | 120 | 120 | 1.000 |
| 1931 | 90 | 64 | 16 | 138 | 205 | 56 | 0 | 1 | $1^{*}$ | 261 | 537 | 418 | 1.285 |
| 1932 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 16 | 18 | 10 | 2 | 0 | $0 *$ | 30 | 62 | 70 | . 886 |
| 1933 | 23 | 11 | 3 | 31 | 46 | 14 | 2 | 1 | $1^{*}$ | 62 | 124 | 108 | 1.148 |
| 1934 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 44 | 31 | 1.419 |
| 1935 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 27 | . 704 |
| 1936 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 1.500 |
| 1937 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 17 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 65 | 63 | 1.032 |
| Career | 1,584 | 1,579 | 301 | 2,862 | 4,712 | 1,038 | 48 | 135 | 154* | 5,779 | 11,503 | 9,475 | 1.214 |

## Reggie Jackson

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1967 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 18 | 36 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 51 | 87 | 135 | .644 |
| 1968 | 74 | 82 | 29 | 127 | 250 | 50 | 5 | 14 | 4 | 315 | 569 | 614 | .927 |
| 1969 | 118 | 123 | 47 | 194 | 334 | 114 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 468 | 856 | 677 | 1.264 |
| 1970 | 66 | 57 | 23 | 100 | 195 | 75 | 8 | 26 | 17 | 287 | 487 | 514 | .947 |
| 1971 | 80 | 87 | 32 | 135 | 288 | 63 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 363 | 633 | 642 | .986 |
| 1972 | 75 | 72 | 25 | 122 | 236 | 59 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 304 | 548 | 572 | .958 |
| 1973 | 117 | 99 | 32 | 184 | 286 | 76 | 7 | 22 | 8 | 383 | 751 | 629 | 1.194 |
| 1974 | 93 | 90 | 29 | 154 | 260 | 86 | 4 | 25 | 5 | 370 | 678 | 604 | 1.123 |
| 1975 | 104 | 91 | 36 | 159 | 303 | 67 | 3 | 17 | 8 | 382 | 700 | 669 | 1.046 |
| 1976 | 91 | 84 | 27 | 148 | 250 | 54 | 4 | 28 | 7 | 329 | 625 | 558 | 1.120 |
| 1977 | 110 | 93 | 32 | 171 | 289 | 74 | 3 | 17 | 3 | 380 | 722 | 606 | 1.191 |
| 1978 | 97 | 82 | 27 | 152 | 244 | 58 | 9 | 14 | 11 | 314 | 618 | 581 | 1.064 |
| 1979 | 89 | 78 | 29 | 138 | 253 | 65 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 321 | 597 | 537 | 1.112 |
| 1980 | 111 | 94 | 41 | 164 | 307 | 83 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 391 | 719 | 601 | 1.196 |
| 1981 | 54 | 33 | 15 | 72 | 143 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 187 | 331 | 382 | .866 |
| 1982 | 101 | 92 | 39 | 154 | 282 | 85 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 368 | 676 | 621 | 1.089 |
| 1983 | 49 | 43 | 14 | 78 | 135 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 189 | 345 | 458 | .753 |
| 1984 | 81 | 67 | 25 | 123 | 213 | 55 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 275 | 521 | 584 | .892 |
| 1985 | 85 | 64 | 27 | 122 | 224 | 78 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 302 | 546 | 541 | 1.009 |
| 1986 | 58 | 65 | 18 | 105 | 171 | 92 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 266 | 476 | 517 | .921 |
| 1987 | 43 | 42 | 15 | 70 | 135 | 33 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 173 | 313 | 374 | .837 |
| Career | 1,702 | 1,551 | 563 | 2,690 | 4,834 | 1,375 | 96 | 228 | 115 | 6,418 | 11,798 | 11,416 | 1.033 |

## Derek Jeter

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1995 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 45 | 51 | .882 |
| 1996 | 78 | 104 | 10 | 172 | 250 | 48 | 9 | 14 | 7 | 314 | 658 | 654 | 1.006 |
| 1997 | 70 | 116 | 10 | 176 | 265 | 74 | 10 | 23 | 12 | 360 | 712 | 748 | .952 |
| 1998 | 84 | 127 | 19 | 192 | 301 | 57 | 5 | 30 | 6 | 387 | 771 | 694 | 1.111 |
| 1999 | 102 | 134 | 24 | 212 | 346 | 91 | 12 | 19 | 8 | 460 | 884 | 739 | 1.196 |
| 2000 | 73 | 119 | 15 | 177 | 285 | 68 | 12 | 22 | 4 | 383 | 737 | 679 | 1.085 |
| 2001 | 74 | 110 | 21 | 163 | 295 | 56 | 10 | 27 | 3 | 385 | 711 | 686 | 1.036 |
| 2002 | 75 | 124 | 18 | 181 | 271 | 73 | 7 | 32 | 3 | 380 | 742 | 730 | 1.016 |
| 2003 | 52 | 87 | 10 | 129 | 217 | 43 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 279 | 537 | 542 | .991 |
| 2004 | 78 | 111 | 23 | 166 | 303 | 46 | 14 | 23 | 4 | 382 | 714 | 721 | .990 |
| 2005 | 70 | 122 | 19 | 173 | 294 | 77 | 11 | 14 | 5 | 391 | 737 | 752 | .980 |
| 2006 | 97 | 118 | 14 | 201 | 301 | 69 | 12 | 34 | 5 | 411 | 813 | 715 | 1.137 |
| 2007 | 73 | 102 | 12 | 163 | 289 | 56 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 366 | 692 | 714 | .969 |
| Career | 933 | 1,379 | 195 | 2,117 | 3,435 | 761 | 129 | 264 | 70 | 4,519 | 8,753 | 8,425 | 1.039 |

## Harmon Killebrew

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1954 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 15 | 1.000 |
| 1955 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 15 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 68 | 89 | .764 |
| 1956 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 18 | 39 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 85 | 110 | .773 |
| 1957 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 33 | 33 | 1.000 |
| 1958 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 33 | .455 |
| 1959 | 105 | 98 | 42 | 161 | 282 | 90 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 380 | 702 | 647 | 1.085 |
| 1960 | 80 | 84 | 31 | 133 | 236 | 71 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 309 | 575 | 517 | 1.112 |
| 1961 | 122 | 94 | 46 | 170 | 328 | 107 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 437 | 777 | 656 | 1.184 |
| 1962 | 126 | 85 | 48 | 163 | 301 | 106 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 410 | 736 | 666 | 1.105 |
| 1963 | 96 | 88 | 45 | 139 | 286 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 639 | 596 | 1.072 |
| 1964 | 111 | 95 | 49 | 157 | 316 | 93 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 731 | 682 | 1.072 |
| 1965 | 75 | 78 | 25 | 128 | 201 | 72 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 533 | 479 | 1.113 |
| 1966 | 110 | 89 | 39 | 160 | 306 | 103 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 409 | 729 | 677 | 1.077 |
| 1967 | 113 | 105 | 44 | 174 | 305 | 131 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 440 | 788 | 689 | 1.144 |
| 1968 | 40 | 40 | 17 | 63 | 124 | 70 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 322 | 371 | .868 |
| 1969 | 140 | 106 | 49 | 197 | 324 | 145 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 480 | 874 | 709 | 1.233 |
| 1970 | 113 | 96 | 41 | 168 | 288 | 128 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 415 | 751 | 665 | 1.129 |
| 1971 | 119 | 61 | 28 | 152 | 232 | 114 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 347 | 651 | 624 | 1.043 |
| 1972 | 74 | 53 | 26 | 101 | 195 | 94 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 289 | 491 | 532 | .923 |
| 1973 | 32 | 29 | 5 | 56 | 86 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 240 | 290 | .828 |
| 1974 | 54 | 28 | 13 | 69 | 120 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 303 | 382 | .793 |
| 1975 | 44 | 25 | 14 | 55 | 117 | 54 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 171 | 281 | 369 | .762 |
| Career | 1,584 | 1,283 | 573 | 2,294 | 4,143 | 1,559 | 48 | 19 | 18 | 5,751 | 10,339 | 9,831 | 1.052 |

## Mickey Mantle

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1951 | 65 | 61 | 13 | 113 | 151 | 43 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 195 | 421 | 386 | 1.091 |
| 1952 | 87 | 94 | 23 | 158 | 291 | 75 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 369 | 685 | 626 | 1.094 |
| 1953 | 92 | 105 | 21 | 176 | 229 | 79 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 312 | 664 | 540 | 1.230 |
| 1954 | 102 | 129 | 27 | 204 | 285 | 102 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 390 | 798 | 651 | 1.226 |
| 1955 | 99 | 121 | 37 | 183 | 316 | 113 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 439 | 805 | 638 | 1.262 |
| 1956 | 130 | 132 | 52 | 210 | 376 | 112 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 499 | 919 | 652 | 1.410 |
| 1957 | 94 | 121 | 34 | 181 | 315 | 146 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 474 | 836 | 623 | 1.342 |
| 1958 | 97 | 127 | 42 | 182 | 307 | 129 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 453 | 817 | 654 | 1.249 |
| 1959 | 75 | 104 | 31 | 148 | 278 | 93 | 2 | 21 | 3 | 391 | 687 | 639 | 1.075 |
| 1960 | 94 | 119 | 40 | 173 | 294 | 111 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 417 | 763 | 644 | 1.185 |
| 1961 | 128 | 132 | 54 | 206 | 353 | 126 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 490 | 902 | 646 | 1.396 |
| 1962 | 89 | 96 | 30 | 155 | 228 | 122 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 360 | 670 | 502 | 1.335 |
| 1963 | 35 | 40 | 15 | 60 | 107 | 40 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 148 | 268 | 213 | 1.258 |
| 1964 | 111 | 92 | 35 | 168 | 275 | 99 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 377 | 713 | 567 | 1.257 |
| 1965 | 46 | 44 | 19 | 71 | 163 | 73 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 239 | 381 | 435 | .876 |
| 1966 | 56 | 40 | 23 | 73 | 179 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 236 | 382 | 393 | .972 |
| 1967 | 55 | 63 | 22 | 96 | 191 | 107 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 299 | 491 | 553 | .888 |
| 1968 | 54 | 57 | 18 | 93 | 173 | 106 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 284 | 470 | 547 | .859 |
| Career | 1,509 | 1,677 | 536 | 2,650 | 4,511 | 1,733 | 13 | 153 | 38 | 6,372 | 11,672 | 9,909 | 1.178 |

Eddie Mathews

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1952 | 58 | 80 | 25 | 113 | 236 | 59 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 298 | 524 | 593 | .884 |
| 1953 | 135 | 110 | 47 | 198 | 363 | 99 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 462 | 858 | 681 | 1.260 |
| 1954 | 103 | 96 | 40 | 159 | 287 | 113 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 409 | 727 | 601 | 1.210 |
| 1955 | 101 | 108 | 41 | 168 | 300 | 109 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 409 | 745 | 616 | 1.209 |
| 1956 | 95 | 103 | 37 | 161 | 286 | 91 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 384 | 706 | 651 | 1.084 |
| 1957 | 94 | 109 | 32 | 171 | 309 | 90 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 401 | 743 | 666 | 1.116 |
| 1958 | 77 | 97 | 31 | 143 | 250 | 85 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 342 | 628 | 649 | .968 |
| 1959 | 114 | 118 | 46 | 186 | 352 | 80 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 436 | 808 | 682 | 1.185 |
| 1960 | 124 | 108 | 39 | 193 | 302 | 111 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 419 | 805 | 671 | 1.200 |
| 1961 | 91 | 103 | 32 | 162 | 306 | 93 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 406 | 730 | 672 | 1.086 |
| 1962 | 90 | 106 | 29 | 167 | 266 | 101 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 371 | 705 | 643 | 1.096 |
| 1963 | 84 | 82 | 23 | 143 | 248 | 124 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 372 | 658 | 675 | .975 |
| 1964 | 74 | 83 | 23 | 134 | 207 | 85 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 293 | 561 | 590 | .951 |
| 1965 | 95 | 77 | 32 | 140 | 256 | 73 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 333 | 613 | 626 | .979 |
| 1966 | 53 | 72 | 16 | 109 | 190 | 63 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 253 | 471 | 517 | .911 |
| 1967 | 57 | 53 | 16 | 94 | 171 | 63 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 235 | 423 | 511 | .828 |
| 1968 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 43 | 57 | .754 |
| Career | 1,453 | 1,509 | 512 | 2,450 | 4,349 | 1,444 | 26 | 68 | 39 | 5,848 | 10,748 | 10,101 | 1.064 |

## Willie Mays

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1951 | 68 | 59 | 20 | 107 | 219 | 57 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 281 | 495 | 524 | .945 |
| 1952 | 23 | 17 | 4 | 36 | 52 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 72 | 144 | 144 | 1.000 |
| 1954 | 110 | 119 | 41 | 188 | 377 | 66 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 448 | 824 | 640 | 1.288 |
| 1955 | 127 | 123 | 51 | 199 | 382 | 79 | 4 | 24 | 4 | 485 | 883 | 670 | 1.318 |
| 1956 | 84 | 101 | 36 | 149 | 322 | 68 | 1 | 40 | 10 | 421 | 719 | 650 | 1.106 |
| 1957 | 97 | 112 | 35 | 174 | 366 | 76 | 1 | 38 | 19 | 462 | 810 | 668 | 1.213 |
| 1958 | 96 | 121 | 29 | 188 | 350 | 78 | 1 | 31 | 6 | 454 | 830 | 685 | 1.212 |
| 1959 | 104 | 125 | 34 | 195 | 335 | 65 | 2 | 27 | 4 | 425 | 815 | 648 | 1.258 |
| 1960 | 103 | 107 | 29 | 181 | 330 | 61 | 4 | 25 | 10 | 410 | 772 | 669 | 1.154 |
| 1961 | 123 | 129 | 40 | 212 | 334 | 81 | 2 | 18 | 9 | 426 | 850 | 659 | 1.290 |
| 1962 | 141 | 130 | 49 | 222 | 382 | 78 | 4 | 18 | 2 | 480 | 924 | 706 | 1.309 |
| 1963 | 103 | 115 | 38 | 180 | 347 | 66 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 420 | 780 | 671 | 1.162 |
| 1964 | 111 | 121 | 47 | 185 | 351 | 82 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 448 | 818 | 665 | 1.230 |
| 1965 | 112 | 118 | 52 | 178 | 360 | 76 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 441 | 797 | 638 | 1.249 |
| 1966 | 103 | 99 | 37 | 165 | 307 | 70 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 383 | 713 | 629 | 1.134 |
| 1967 | 70 | 83 | 22 | 131 | 220 | 51 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 279 | 541 | 544 | .994 |
| 1968 | 79 | 84 | 23 | 140 | 243 | 67 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 318 | 598 | 573 | 1.044 |
| 1969 | 58 | 64 | 13 | 109 | 176 | 49 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 232 | 450 | 459 | .980 |
| 1970 | 83 | 94 | 28 | 149 | 242 | 79 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 329 | 627 | 566 | 1.108 |
| 1971 | 61 | 82 | 18 | 125 | 201 | 112 | 3 | 23 | 3 | 336 | 586 | 537 | 1.091 |
| 1972 | 22 | 35 | 8 | 49 | 98 | 60 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 158 | 256 | 309 | .828 |
| 1973 | 25 | 24 | 6 | 43 | 72 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 101 | 187 | 239 | .782 |
| Caree | 1,903 | 2,062 | 660 | 3,305 | 6,066 | 1,464 | 44 | 338 | 103 | 7,809 | 14,419 | 12,493 | 1.154 |

## Mark McGwire

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1986 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 16 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 56 | 58 | .966 |
| 1987 | 118 | 97 | 49 | 166 | 344 | 71 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 420 | 752 | 641 | 1.173 |
| 1988 | 99 | 87 | 32 | 154 | 263 | 76 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 343 | 651 | 635 | 1.025 |
| 1989 | 95 | 74 | 33 | 136 | 229 | 83 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 315 | 587 | 587 | 1.000 |
| 1990 | 108 | 87 | 39 | 156 | 256 | 110 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 374 | 686 | 650 | 1.055 |
| 1991 | 75 | 62 | 22 | 115 | 185 | 93 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 282 | 512 | 585 | .875 |
| 1992 | 104 | 87 | 42 | 149 | 273 | 90 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 367 | 665 | 571 | 1.165 |
| 1993 | 24 | 16 | 9 | 31 | 61 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 82 | 144 | 107 | 1.346 |
| 1994 | 25 | 26 | 9 | 42 | 64 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 185 | 172 | 1.076 |
| 1995 | 90 | 75 | 39 | 126 | 217 | 88 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 316 | 568 | 422 | 1.346 |
| 1996 | 113 | 104 | 52 | 165 | 309 | 116 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 433 | 763 | 548 | 1.392 |
| 1997 | 123 | 86 | 58 | 151 | 349 | 101 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 462 | 764 | 657 | 1.163 |
| 1998 | 147 | 130 | 70 | 207 | 383 | 162 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 552 | 966 | 681 | 1.419 |
| 1999 | 147 | 118 | 65 | 200 | 363 | 133 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 498 | 898 | 661 | 1.359 |
| 2000 | 73 | 60 | 32 | 101 | 176 | 76 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 260 | 462 | 321 | 1.439 |
| 2001 | 64 | 48 | 29 | 83 | 147 | 56 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 372 | 364 | 1.022 |
| Career | 1,414 | 1,167 | 583 | 1,998 | 3,639 | 1,317 | 75 | 12 | 8 | 5,035 | 9,031 | 7,660 | 1.179 |
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## Joe Morgan

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1963 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 30 | 30 | 1.000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 20 | 43 | .465 |
| 1965 | 40 | 100 | 14 | 126 | 251 | 97 | 3 | 20 | 9 | 362 | 488 | 708 | .689 |
| 1966 | 42 | 60 | 5 | 97 | 166 | 89 | 3 | 11 | 8 | 261 | 455 | 528 | .862 |
| 1967 | 42 | 73 | 6 | 109 | 203 | 81 | 2 | 29 | 5 | 310 | 528 | 580 | .910 |
| 1968 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 29 | 27 | 1.074 |
| 1969 | 43 | 94 | 15 | 122 | 199 | 110 | 1 | 49 | 14 | 345 | 589 | 657 | .896 |
| 1970 | 52 | 102 | 8 | 146 | 217 | 102 | 1 | 42 | 13 | 349 | 641 | 658 | .974 |
| 1971 | 56 | 87 | 13 | 130 | 237 | 88 | 1 | 40 | 8 | 358 | 618 | 689 | .897 |
| 1972 | 73 | 122 | 16 | 179 | 240 | 115 | 6 | 58 | 17 | 402 | 760 | 680 | 1.118 |
| 1973 | 82 | 116 | 26 | 172 | 284 | 111 | 4 | 67 | 15 | 451 | 795 | 698 | 1.139 |
| 1974 | 67 | 107 | 22 | 152 | 253 | 120 | 3 | 58 | 12 | 422 | 726 | 641 | 1.133 |
| 1975 | 94 | 107 | 17 | 184 | 253 | 132 | 3 | 67 | 10 | 445 | 813 | 639 | 1.272 |
| 1976 | 111 | 113 | 27 | 197 | 272 | 114 | 1 | 60 | 9 | 438 | 832 | 599 | 1.389 |
| 1977 | 78 | 113 | 22 | 169 | 249 | 117 | 2 | 49 | 10 | 407 | 745 | 645 | 1.155 |
| 1978 | 75 | 68 | 13 | 130 | 170 | 79 | 2 | 19 | 5 | 265 | 525 | 533 | .985 |
| 1979 | 32 | 70 | 9 | 93 | 164 | 93 | 1 | 28 | 6 | 280 | 466 | 538 | .866 |
| 1980 | 49 | 66 | 11 | 104 | 172 | 93 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 283 | 491 | 562 | .874 |
| 1981 | 31 | 47 | 8 | 70 | 116 | 66 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 191 | 331 | 378 | .876 |
| 1982 | 61 | 68 | 14 | 115 | 203 | 85 | 2 | 24 | 4 | 310 | 540 | 554 | .975 |
| 1983 | 59 | 72 | 16 | 115 | 163 | 89 | 4 | 18 | 2 | 272 | 502 | 504 | .996 |
| 1984 | 43 | 50 | 6 | 87 | 128 | 66 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 200 | 374 | 438 | .854 |
| Career | 1,133 | 1,650 | 268 | 2,515 | 3,962 | 1,865 | 40 | 689 | 162 | 6,394 | 11,424 | 11,329 | 1.008 |

## Stan Musial

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1941 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 14 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 1 | $1^{\star}$ | 29 | 57 | 49 | 1.163 |
| 1942 | 72 | 87 | 10 | 149 | 229 | 62 | 2 | 6 | $6^{\star}$ | 293 | 591 | 536 | 1.103 |
| 1943 | 81 | 108 | 13 | 176 | 347 | 72 | 2 | 9 | $10^{\star}$ | 420 | 772 | 701 | 1.101 |
| 1944 | 94 | 112 | 12 | 194 | 312 | 90 | 5 | 7 | $8^{\star}$ | 406 | 794 | 667 | 1.190 |
| 1946 | 103 | 124 | 16 | 211 | 366 | 73 | 3 | 7 | $8^{\star}$ | 441 | 863 | 702 | 1.229 |
| 1947 | 95 | 113 | 19 | 189 | 296 | 80 | 4 | 4 | $4^{\star}$ | 380 | 758 | 677 | 1.120 |
| 1948 | 131 | 135 | 39 | 227 | 429 | 79 | 3 | 7 | $7^{\star}$ | 511 | 965 | 694 | 1.390 |
| 1949 | 123 | 128 | 36 | 215 | 382 | 107 | 2 | 3 | $3^{\star}$ | 491 | 921 | 721 | 1.277 |
| 1950 | 109 | 105 | 28 | 186 | 331 | 87 | 3 | 5 | $5^{\star}$ | 421 | 793 | 645 | 1.229 |
| 1951 | 108 | 124 | 32 | 200 | 355 | 98 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 453 | 853 | 678 | 1.258 |
| 1952 | 91 | 105 | 21 | 175 | 311 | 96 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 409 | 759 | 676 | 1.123 |
| 1953 | 113 | 127 | 30 | 210 | 361 | 105 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 465 | 885 | 698 | 1.268 |
| 1954 | 126 | 120 | 35 | 211 | 359 | 103 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 460 | 882 | 705 | 1.251 |
| 1955 | 108 | 97 | 33 | 172 | 318 | 80 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 407 | 751 | 656 | 1.145 |
| 1956 | 109 | 87 | 27 | 169 | 310 | 75 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 390 | 728 | 682 | 1.067 |
| 1957 | 102 | 82 | 29 | 155 | 307 | 66 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 375 | 685 | 579 | 1.183 |
| 1958 | 62 | 64 | 17 | 109 | 249 | 72 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 322 | 540 | 549 | .984 |
| 1959 | 44 | 37 | 14 | 67 | 146 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 204 | 338 | 404 | .837 |
| 1960 | 63 | 49 | 17 | 95 | 161 | 41 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 204 | 394 | 378 | 1.042 |
| 1961 | 70 | 46 | 15 | 101 | 182 | 52 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 437 | 431 | 1.014 |
| 1962 | 82 | 57 | 19 | 120 | 220 | 64 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 290 | 530 | 505 | 1.050 |
| 1963 | 58 | 34 | 12 | 80 | 136 | 35 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 175 | 335 | 379 | .884 |
| Career | 1,951 | 1,949 | 475 | 3,425 | 6,134 | 1,599 | 53 | 78 | $83^{\star}$ | 7,781 | 14,631 | 12,712 | 1.151 |
| ${ }^{*}$ CS totals estimated based 00 | $k n o w n$ | statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Mel Ott

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1926 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 * | 27 | 49 | 61 | . 803 |
| 1927 | 19 | 23 | 1 | 41 | 62 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 1* | 76 | 158 | 180 | . 878 |
| 1928 | 77 | 69 | 18 | 128 | 228 | 52 | 2 | 3 | $2 *$ | 283 | 539 | 499 | 1.080 |
| 1929 | 151 | 138 | 42 | 247 | 346 | 113 | 6 | 6 | 3* | 468 | 962 | 674 | 1.427 |
| 1930 | 119 | 122 | 25 | 216 | 301 | 103 | 2 | 9 | 4* | 411 | 843 | 646 | 1.305 |
| 1931 | 115 | 104 | 29 | 190 | 271 | 80 | 2 | 10 | 5* | 358 | 738 | 580 | 1.272 |
| 1932 | 123 | 119 | 38 | 204 | 340 | 100 | 4 | 6 | 3 * | 447 | 855 | 673 | 1.270 |
| 1933 | 103 | 98 | 23 | 178 | 271 | 75 | 2 | 1 | 1* | 348 | 704 | 661 | 1.065 |
| 1934 | 135 | 119 | 35 | 219 | 344 | 85 | 3 | 0 | $0 *$ | 432 | 870 | 671 | 1.297 |
| 1935 | 114 | 113 | 31 | 196 | 329 | 82 | 3 | 7 | 3* | 418 | 810 | 683 | 1.186 |
| 1936 | 135 | 120 | 33 | 222 | 314 | 111 | 5 | 6 | 3* | 433 | 877 | 660 | 1.329 |
| 1937 | 95 | 99 | 31 | 163 | 285 | 102 | 3 | 7 | 4* | 393 | 719 | 654 | 1.099 |
| 1938 | 116 | 116 | 36 | 196 | 307 | 118 | 5 | 2 | 1* | 431 | 823 | 652 | 1.262 |
| 1939 | 80 | 85 | 27 | 138 | 230 | 100 | 1 | 2 | 1* | 332 | 608 | 508 | 1.197 |
| 1940 | 79 | 89 | 19 | 149 | 245 | 100 | 6 | 6 | 3 * | 354 | 652 | 647 | 1.008 |
| 1941 | 90 | 89 | 27 | 152 | 260 | 100 | 3 | 5 | $2^{*}$ | 366 | 670 | 634 | 1.057 |
| 1942 | 93 | 118 | 30 | 181 | 273 | 109 | 3 | 6 | 3* | 388 | 750 | 664 | 1.130 |
| 1943 | 47 | 65 | 18 | 94 | 159 | 95 | 3 | 7 | 4* | 260 | 448 | 482 | . 929 |
| 1944 | 82 | 91 | 26 | 147 | 217 | 90 | 3 | 2 | 1* | 311 | 605 | 494 | 1.225 |
| 1945 | 79 | 73 | 21 | 131 | 225 | 71 | 8 | 1 | 0 * | 305 | 567 | 532 | 1.066 |
| 1946 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 * | 17 | 27 | 78 | . 346 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 * | 0 | 0 | 4 | . 000 |
| Career | 1,860 | 1,859 | 511 | 3,208 | 5,041 | 1,708 | 64 | 89 | 44* | 6,858 | 13,274 | 11,337 | 1.171 |

## Albert Pujols

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2001 | 130 | 112 | 37 | 205 | 360 | 69 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 436 | 846 | 676 | 1.251 |
| 2002 | 127 | 118 | 34 | 211 | 331 | 72 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 410 | 832 | 675 | 1.233 |
| 2003 | 124 | 137 | 43 | 218 | 394 | 79 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 487 | 923 | 685 | 1.347 |
| 2004 | 123 | 133 | 46 | 210 | 389 | 84 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 480 | 900 | 692 | 1.301 |
| 2005 | 117 | 129 | 41 | 205 | 360 | 97 | 9 | 16 | 2 | 480 | 890 | 700 | 1.271 |
| 2006 | 137 | 119 | 49 | 207 | 359 | 92 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 460 | 874 | 634 | 1.379 |
| 2007 | 103 | 99 | 32 | 170 | 321 | 99 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 423 | 763 | 679 | 1.124 |
| Career | 861 | 847 | 282 | 1,426 | 2,514 | 592 | 55 | 38 | 23 | 3,176 | 6,028 | 4,741 | 1.271 |

## Manny Ramirez

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1993 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 34 | 55 | .618 |
| 1994 | 60 | 51 | 17 | 94 | 151 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 195 | 383 | 336 | 1.140 |
| 1995 | 107 | 85 | 31 | 161 | 270 | 75 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 350 | 672 | 571 | 1.177 |
| 1996 | 112 | 94 | 33 | 173 | 320 | 85 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 411 | 757 | 647 | 1.170 |
| 1997 | 88 | 99 | 26 | 161 | 302 | 79 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 387 | 709 | 651 | 1.089 |
| 1998 | 145 | 108 | 45 | 208 | 342 | 76 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 426 | 842 | 663 | 1.270 |
| 1999 | 165 | 131 | 44 | 252 | 346 | 96 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 453 | 957 | 640 | 1.495 |
| 2000 | 122 | 92 | 38 | 176 | 306 | 86 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 395 | 747 | 532 | 1.404 |
| 2001 | 125 | 93 | 41 | 177 | 322 | 81 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 410 | 764 | 620 | 1.232 |
| 2002 | 107 | 84 | 33 | 158 | 282 | 73 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 363 | 679 | 518 | 1.311 |
| 2003 | 104 | 117 | 37 | 184 | 334 | 97 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 441 | 809 | 679 | 1.191 |
| 2004 | 130 | 108 | 43 | 195 | 348 | 82 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 434 | 824 | 663 | 1.243 |
| 2005 | 144 | 112 | 45 | 211 | 329 | 80 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 420 | 842 | 650 | 1.295 |
| 2006 | 102 | 79 | 35 | 146 | 278 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 378 | 670 | 558 | 1.201 |
| 2007 | 88 | 84 | 20 | 152 | 238 | 71 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 620 | 569 | 1.090 |
| Career | 1,604 | 1,342 | 490 | 2,456 | 4,184 | 1,125 | 85 | 34 | 31 | 5,397 | 10,309 | 8,352 | 1.234 |

## Cal Ripken Jr.

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1981 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 40 | .200 |
| 1982 | 93 | 90 | 28 | 155 | 284 | 46 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 333 | 643 | 655 | .982 |
| 1983 | 102 | 121 | 27 | 196 | 343 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 397 | 789 | 726 | 1.087 |
| 1984 | 86 | 103 | 27 | 162 | 327 | 71 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 401 | 725 | 716 | 1.013 |
| 1985 | 110 | 116 | 26 | 200 | 301 | 67 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 368 | 768 | 718 | 1.070 |
| 1986 | 81 | 98 | 25 | 154 | 289 | 70 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 365 | 673 | 707 | .952 |
| 1987 | 98 | 97 | 27 | 168 | 272 | 81 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 352 | 688 | 717 | .960 |
| 1988 | 81 | 87 | 23 | 145 | 248 | 102 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 352 | 642 | 689 | .932 |
| 1989 | 93 | 80 | 21 | 152 | 259 | 57 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 320 | 624 | 712 | .876 |
| 1990 | 84 | 78 | 21 | 141 | 249 | 82 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 338 | 620 | 695 | .892 |
| 1991 | 114 | 99 | 34 | 179 | 368 | 53 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 431 | 789 | 717 | 1.100 |
| 1992 | 72 | 73 | 14 | 131 | 233 | 64 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 305 | 567 | 715 | .793 |
| 1993 | 90 | 87 | 24 | 153 | 269 | 65 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 337 | 643 | 718 | .896 |
| 1994 | 75 | 71 | 13 | 133 | 204 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 241 | 507 | 484 | 1.048 |
| 1995 | 88 | 71 | 17 | 142 | 232 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 285 | 569 | 613 | .928 |
| 1996 | 102 | 94 | 26 | 170 | 298 | 59 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 360 | 700 | 707 | .990 |
| 1997 | 84 | 79 | 17 | 146 | 247 | 56 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 309 | 601 | 686 | .876 |
| 1998 | 61 | 65 | 14 | 112 | 234 | 51 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 287 | 511 | 659 | .775 |
| 1999 | 57 | 51 | 18 | 90 | 194 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 209 | 389 | 354 | 1.099 |
| 2000 | 56 | 43 | 15 | 84 | 140 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 334 | 339 | .985 |
| 2001 | 68 | 43 | 14 | 97 | 172 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 198 | 392 | 516 | .760 |
| Career | 1,695 | 1,647 | 431 | 2,911 | 5,168 | 1,129 | 66 | 36 | 39 | 6,360 | 12,182 | 12,883 | .946 |

## Brooks Robinson

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1955 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 22 | .182 |
| 1956 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 28 | 45 | .622 |
| 1957 | 14 | 13 | 2 | 25 | 42 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 51 | 101 | 126 | .802 |
| 1958 | 32 | 31 | 3 | 60 | 141 | 31 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 176 | 296 | 507 | .584 |
| 1959 | 24 | 29 | 4 | 49 | 120 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 139 | 237 | 333 | .712 |
| 1960 | 88 | 74 | 14 | 148 | 262 | 35 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 297 | 593 | 651 | .911 |
| 1961 | 61 | 89 | 7 | 143 | 265 | 47 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 314 | 600 | 736 | .815 |
| 1962 | 86 | 77 | 23 | 140 | 308 | 42 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 353 | 633 | 697 | .908 |
| 1963 | 67 | 67 | 11 | 123 | 215 | 46 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 261 | 507 | 648 | .782 |
| 1964 | 118 | 82 | 28 | 172 | 319 | 51 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 375 | 719 | 685 | 1.050 |
| 1965 | 80 | 81 | 18 | 143 | 249 | 47 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 301 | 587 | 616 | .953 |
| 1966 | 100 | 91 | 23 | 168 | 275 | 56 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 335 | 671 | 686 | .978 |
| 1967 | 77 | 88 | 22 | 143 | 265 | 54 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 321 | 607 | 681 | .891 |
| 1968 | 75 | 65 | 17 | 123 | 253 | 44 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 301 | 547 | 667 | .820 |
| 1969 | 84 | 73 | 23 | 134 | 236 | 56 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 296 | 564 | 670 | .842 |
| 1970 | 94 | 84 | 18 | 160 | 261 | 53 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 318 | 638 | 673 | .948 |
| 1971 | 92 | 67 | 20 | 139 | 243 | 63 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 309 | 587 | 663 | .885 |
| 1972 | 64 | 48 | 8 | 104 | 190 | 43 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 236 | 444 | 612 | .725 |
| 1973 | 72 | 53 | 9 | 116 | 189 | 55 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 249 | 481 | 619 | .777 |
| 1974 | 59 | 46 | 7 | 98 | 207 | 56 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 268 | 464 | 622 | .746 |
| 1975 | 53 | 50 | 6 | 97 | 132 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 371 | 539 | .688 |
| 1976 | 11 | 16 | 3 | 24 | 67 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 124 | 232 | .534 |
| 1977 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 28 | 52 | .538 |
| Career | 1,357 | 1,232 | 268 | 2,321 | 4,270 | 860 | 53 | 28 | 22 | 5,189 | 9,831 | 11,782 | .834 |

## Frank Robinson

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1956 | 83 | 122 | 38 | 167 | 319 | 64 | 20 | 8 | 4 | 407 | 741 | 668 | 1.109 |
| 1957 | 75 | 97 | 29 | 143 | 323 | 44 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 387 | 673 | 677 | .994 |
| 1958 | 83 | 90 | 31 | 142 | 279 | 62 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 357 | 641 | 623 | 1.029 |
| 1959 | 125 | 106 | 36 | 195 | 315 | 69 | 8 | 18 | 8 | 402 | 792 | 626 | 1.265 |
| 1960 | 83 | 86 | 31 | 138 | 276 | 82 | 9 | 13 | 6 | 374 | 650 | 562 | 1.157 |
| 1961 | 124 | 117 | 37 | 204 | 333 | 71 | 10 | 22 | 3 | 433 | 841 | 636 | 1.322 |
| 1962 | 136 | 134 | 39 | 231 | 380 | 76 | 11 | 18 | 9 | 476 | 938 | 701 | 1.338 |
| 1963 | 91 | 79 | 21 | 149 | 213 | 81 | 14 | 26 | 10 | 324 | 622 | 580 | 1.072 |
| 1964 | 96 | 103 | 29 | 170 | 311 | 79 | 9 | 23 | 5 | 417 | 757 | 662 | 1.144 |
| 1965 | 113 | 109 | 33 | 189 | 314 | 70 | 18 | 13 | 9 | 406 | 784 | 674 | 1.163 |
| 1966 | 122 | 122 | 49 | 195 | 367 | 87 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 467 | 857 | 680 | 1.260 |
| 1967 | 94 | 83 | 30 | 147 | 276 | 71 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 353 | 647 | 563 | 1.149 |
| 1968 | 52 | 69 | 15 | 106 | 187 | 73 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 281 | 493 | 508 | .970 |
| 1969 | 100 | 111 | 32 | 179 | 291 | 88 | 13 | 9 | 3 | 398 | 756 | 643 | 1.176 |
| 1970 | 78 | 88 | 25 | 141 | 245 | 69 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 322 | 604 | 553 | 1.092 |
| 1971 | 99 | 82 | 28 | 153 | 232 | 72 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 316 | 622 | 545 | 1.141 |
| 1972 | 59 | 41 | 19 | 81 | 151 | 55 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 207 | 369 | 405 | .911 |
| 1973 | 97 | 85 | 30 | 152 | 261 | 82 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 353 | 657 | 630 | 1.043 |
| 1974 | 68 | 81 | 22 | 127 | 216 | 85 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 314 | 568 | 579 | .981 |
| 1975 | 24 | 19 | 9 | 34 | 60 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 157 | 149 | 1.054 |
| 1976 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 12 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 59 | 79 | .747 |
| $C a r e e r$ | 1,812 | 1,829 | 586 | 3,055 | 5,373 | 1,420 | 198 | 204 | 77 | 7,118 | 13,228 | 11,743 | 1.126 |

412

## Alex Rodriguez

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1994 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 29 | 59 | .492 |
| 1995 | 19 | 15 | 5 | 29 | 58 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 66 | 124 | 149 | .832 |
| 1996 | 123 | 141 | 36 | 228 | 379 | 59 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 453 | 909 | 677 | 1.343 |
| 1997 | 84 | 100 | 23 | 161 | 291 | 41 | 5 | 29 | 6 | 360 | 682 | 638 | 1.069 |
| 1998 | 124 | 123 | 42 | 205 | 384 | 45 | 10 | 46 | 13 | 472 | 882 | 748 | 1.179 |
| 1999 | 111 | 110 | 42 | 179 | 294 | 56 | 5 | 21 | 7 | 369 | 727 | 572 | 1.271 |
| 2000 | 132 | 134 | 41 | 225 | 336 | 100 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 454 | 904 | 672 | 1.345 |
| 2001 | 135 | 133 | 52 | 216 | 393 | 75 | 16 | 18 | 3 | 499 | 931 | 732 | 1.272 |
| 2002 | 142 | 125 | 57 | 210 | 389 | 87 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 491 | 911 | 725 | 1.257 |
| 2003 | 118 | 124 | 47 | 195 | 364 | 87 | 15 | 17 | 3 | 480 | 870 | 715 | 1.217 |
| 2004 | 106 | 112 | 36 | 182 | 308 | 80 | 10 | 28 | 4 | 422 | 786 | 698 | 1.126 |
| 2005 | 130 | 124 | 48 | 206 | 369 | 91 | 16 | 21 | 6 | 491 | 903 | 715 | 1.263 |
| 2006 | 121 | 113 | 35 | 199 | 299 | 90 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 408 | 806 | 674 | 1.196 |
| 2007 | 156 | 143 | 54 | 245 | 376 | 95 | 21 | 24 | 4 | 512 | 1,002 | 708 | 1.415 |
| Career | 1,503 | 1,501 | 518 | 2,486 | 4,251 | 915 | 127 | 265 | 64 | 5,494 | 10,466 | 8,482 | 1.234 |

## Ivan Rodriguez

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1991 | 27 | 24 | 3 | 48 | 99 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 103 | 199 | 288 | .691 |
| 1992 | 37 | 39 | 8 | 68 | 151 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 312 | 454 | .687 |
| 1993 | 66 | 56 | 10 | 112 | 195 | 29 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 229 | 453 | 519 | .873 |
| 1994 | 57 | 56 | 16 | 97 | 177 | 31 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 218 | 412 | 405 | 1.017 |
| 1995 | 67 | 56 | 12 | 111 | 221 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 239 | 461 | 517 | .892 |
| 1996 | 86 | 116 | 19 | 183 | 302 | 38 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 349 | 715 | 685 | 1.044 |
| 1997 | 77 | 98 | 20 | 155 | 289 | 38 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 339 | 649 | 648 | 1.002 |
| 1998 | 91 | 88 | 21 | 158 | 297 | 32 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 341 | 657 | 617 | 1.065 |
| 1999 | 113 | 116 | 35 | 194 | 335 | 24 | 1 | 25 | 12 | 373 | 761 | 630 | 1.208 |
| 2000 | 83 | 66 | 27 | 122 | 242 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 262 | 506 | 389 | 1.301 |
| 2001 | 65 | 70 | 25 | 110 | 239 | 23 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 273 | 493 | 470 | 1.049 |
| 2002 | 60 | 67 | 19 | 108 | 221 | 25 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 249 | 465 | 440 | 1.057 |
| 2003 | 85 | 90 | 16 | 159 | 242 | 55 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 307 | 625 | 578 | 1.081 |
| 2004 | 86 | 72 | 19 | 139 | 269 | 41 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 316 | 594 | 575 | 1.033 |
| 2005 | 50 | 71 | 14 | 107 | 224 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 241 | 455 | 525 | .867 |
| 2006 | 69 | 74 | 13 | 130 | 239 | 26 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 271 | 531 | 580 | .916 |
| 2007 | 63 | 50 | 11 | 102 | 211 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 221 | 425 | 515 | .825 |
| Career | 1,182 | 1,209 | 288 | 2,103 | 3,953 | 446 | 52 | 114 | 58 | 4,507 | 8,713 | 8,835 | .986 |

## Pete Rose

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1963 | 41 | 101 | 6 | 136 | 231 | 55 | 5 | 13 | 15 | 289 | 561 | 695 | .807 |
| 1964 | 34 | 64 | 4 | 94 | 168 | 36 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 200 | 388 | 558 | .695 |
| 1965 | 81 | 117 | 11 | 187 | 299 | 69 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 381 | 755 | 757 | .997 |
| 1966 | 70 | 97 | 16 | 151 | 301 | 37 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 334 | 636 | 700 | .909 |
| 1967 | 76 | 86 | 12 | 150 | 260 | 56 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 324 | 624 | 647 | .964 |
| 1968 | 49 | 94 | 10 | 133 | 294 | 56 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 350 | 616 | 692 | .890 |
| 1969 | 82 | 120 | 16 | 186 | 321 | 88 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 411 | 783 | 728 | 1.076 |
| 1970 | 52 | 120 | 15 | 157 | 305 | 73 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 385 | 699 | 728 | .960 |
| 1971 | 44 | 86 | 13 | 117 | 266 | 68 | 3 | 13 | 9 | 341 | 575 | 707 | .813 |
| 1972 | 57 | 107 | 6 | 158 | 269 | 73 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 356 | 672 | 729 | .922 |
| 1973 | 64 | 115 | 5 | 174 | 297 | 65 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 371 | 719 | 752 | .956 |
| 1974 | 51 | 110 | 3 | 158 | 253 | 106 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 362 | 678 | 770 | .881 |
| 1975 | 74 | 112 | 7 | 179 | 286 | 89 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 385 | 743 | 764 | .973 |
| 1976 | 63 | 130 | 10 | 183 | 299 | 86 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 395 | 761 | 759 | 1.003 |
| 1977 | 64 | 95 | 9 | 150 | 283 | 66 | 5 | 16 | 4 | 366 | 666 | 731 | .911 |
| 1978 | 52 | 103 | 7 | 148 | 276 | 62 | 3 | 13 | 9 | 345 | 641 | 729 | .879 |
| 1979 | 59 | 90 | 4 | 145 | 270 | 95 | 2 | 20 | 11 | 376 | 666 | 730 | .912 |
| 1980 | 64 | 95 | 1 | 158 | 232 | 66 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 308 | 624 | 735 | .849 |
| 1981 | 33 | 73 | 0 | 106 | 168 | 46 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 217 | 429 | 484 | .886 |
| 1982 | 54 | 80 | 3 | 131 | 214 | 66 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 287 | 549 | 718 | .765 |
| 1983 | 45 | 52 | 0 | 97 | 141 | 52 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 195 | 389 | 555 | .701 |
| 1984 | 34 | 43 | 0 | 77 | 126 | 40 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 169 | 323 | 421 | .767 |
| 1985 | 46 | 60 | 2 | 104 | 129 | 86 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 226 | 434 | 500 | .868 |
| 1986 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 40 | 64 | 30 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 101 | 181 | 272 | .665 |
| Career | 1,314 | 2,165 | 160 | 3,319 | 5,752 | 1,566 | 107 | 198 | 149 | 7,474 | 14,112 | 15,861 | .890 |

## Babe Ruth

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1914 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0* | 3 | 9 | 10 | . 900 |
| 1915 | 21 | 16 | 4 | 33 | 53 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0* | 62 | 128 | 103 | 1.243 |
| 1916 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 30 | 57 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0* | 67 | 127 | 150 | . 847 |
| 1917 | 12 | 14 | 2 | 24 | 58 | 12 | 0 | 0 | $0 *$ | 70 | 118 | 142 | . 831 |
| 1918 | 66 | 50 | 11 | 105 | 176 | 58 | 2 | 6 | 6 * | 236 | 446 | 380 | 1.174 |
| 1919 | 114 | 103 | 29 | 188 | 284 | 101 | 6 | 7 | 8* | 390 | 766 | 542 | 1.413 |
| 1920 | 137 | 158 | 54 | 241 | 388 | 150 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 541 | 1,023 | 615 | 1.663 |
| 1921 | 171 | 177 | 59 | 289 | 457 | 145 | 4 | 17 | 13 | 610 | 1,188 | 693 | 1.714 |
| 1922 | 99 | 94 | 35 | 158 | 273 | 84 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 355 | 671 | 495 | 1.356 |
| 1923 | 131 | 151 | 41 | 241 | 399 | 170 | 4 | 17 | 21 | 569 | 1,051 | 699 | 1.504 |
| 1924 | 121 | 143 | 46 | 218 | 391 | 142 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 533 | 969 | 681 | 1.423 |
| 1925 | 66 | 61 | 25 | 102 | 195 | 59 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 254 | 458 | 426 | 1.075 |
| 1926 | 150 | 139 | 47 | 242 | 365 | 144 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 514 | 998 | 652 | 1.531 |
| 1927 | 164 | 158 | 60 | 262 | 417 | 137 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 555 | 1,079 | 691 | 1.562 |
| 1928 | 142 | 163 | 54 | 251 | 380 | 137 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 519 | 1,021 | 684 | 1.493 |
| 1929 | 154 | 121 | 46 | 229 | 348 | 72 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 425 | 883 | 587 | 1.504 |
| 1930 | 153 | 150 | 49 | 254 | 379 | 136 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 516 | 1,024 | 676 | 1.515 |
| 1931 | 163 | 149 | 46 | 266 | 374 | 128 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 504 | 1,036 | 663 | 1.563 |
| 1932 | 137 | 120 | 41 | 216 | 302 | 130 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 434 | 866 | 589 | 1.470 |
| 1933 | 103 | 97 | 34 | 166 | 267 | 114 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 382 | 714 | 575 | 1.242 |
| 1934 | 84 | 78 | 22 | 140 | 196 | 104 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 300 | 580 | 471 | 1.231 |
| 1935 | 12 | 13 | 6 | 19 | 31 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 * | 51 | 89 | 92 | . 967 |
| Career | 2,217 | 2,174 | 714 | 3,677 | 5,793 | 2,062 | 43 | 123 | 131* | 7,890 | 15,244 | 10,616 | 1.436 |

## Ryne Sandberg

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1981 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | .833 |
| 1982 | 54 | 103 | 7 | 150 | 236 | 36 | 4 | 32 | 12 | 296 | 596 | 687 | .868 |
| 1983 | 48 | 94 | 8 | 134 | 222 | 51 | 3 | 37 | 11 | 302 | 570 | 699 | .815 |
| 1984 | 84 | 114 | 19 | 179 | 331 | 52 | 3 | 32 | 7 | 411 | 769 | 700 | 1.099 |
| 1985 | 83 | 113 | 26 | 170 | 307 | 57 | 1 | 54 | 11 | 408 | 748 | 673 | 1.111 |
| 1986 | 76 | 68 | 14 | 130 | 258 | 46 | 0 | 34 | 11 | 327 | 587 | 682 | .861 |
| 1987 | 59 | 81 | 16 | 124 | 231 | 59 | 2 | 21 | 2 | 311 | 559 | 587 | .952 |
| 1988 | 69 | 77 | 19 | 127 | 259 | 54 | 1 | 25 | 10 | 329 | 583 | 679 | .859 |
| 1989 | 76 | 104 | 30 | 150 | 301 | 59 | 4 | 15 | 5 | 374 | 674 | 672 | 1.003 |
| 1990 | 100 | 116 | 40 | 176 | 344 | 50 | 1 | 25 | 7 | 413 | 765 | 675 | 1.133 |
| 1991 | 100 | 104 | 26 | 178 | 284 | 87 | 2 | 22 | 8 | 387 | 743 | 684 | 1.086 |
| 1992 | 87 | 100 | 26 | 161 | 312 | 68 | 1 | 17 | 6 | 392 | 714 | 687 | 1.039 |
| 1993 | 45 | 67 | 9 | 103 | 188 | 37 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 234 | 440 | 503 | .875 |
| 1994 | 24 | 36 | 5 | 55 | 87 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 110 | 220 | 247 | .891 |
| 1996 | 92 | 85 | 25 | 152 | 246 | 54 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 311 | 615 | 621 | .990 |
| 1997 | 64 | 54 | 12 | 106 | 180 | 28 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 213 | 425 | 480 | .885 |
| Career | 1,061 | 1,318 | 282 | 2,097 | 3,787 | 761 | 34 | 344 | 107 | 4,819 | 9,013 | 9,282 | .971 |

## Mike Schmidt

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1972 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 24 | 40 | .600 |
| 1973 | 52 | 43 | 18 | 77 | 137 | 62 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 214 | 368 | 443 | .831 |
| 1974 | 116 | 108 | 36 | 188 | 310 | 106 | 4 | 23 | 12 | 455 | 831 | 686 | 1.211 |
| 1975 | 95 | 93 | 38 | 150 | 294 | 101 | 4 | 29 | 12 | 416 | 716 | 674 | 1.062 |
| 1976 | 107 | 112 | 38 | 181 | 306 | 100 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 422 | 784 | 705 | 1.112 |
| 1977 | 101 | 114 | 38 | 177 | 312 | 104 | 9 | 15 | 8 | 432 | 786 | 667 | 1.178 |
| 1978 | 78 | 93 | 21 | 150 | 223 | 91 | 4 | 19 | 6 | 331 | 631 | 616 | 1.024 |
| 1979 | 114 | 109 | 45 | 178 | 305 | 120 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 432 | 788 | 675 | 1.167 |
| 1980 | 121 | 104 | 48 | 177 | 342 | 89 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 440 | 794 | 652 | 1.218 |
| 1981 | 91 | 78 | 31 | 138 | 228 | 73 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 313 | 589 | 434 | 1.357 |
| 1982 | 87 | 108 | 35 | 160 | 281 | 107 | 3 | 14 | 7 | 398 | 718 | 631 | 1.138 |
| 1983 | 109 | 104 | 40 | 173 | 280 | 128 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 410 | 756 | 669 | 1.130 |
| 1984 | 106 | 93 | 36 | 163 | 283 | 92 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 377 | 703 | 632 | 1.112 |
| 1985 | 93 | 89 | 33 | 149 | 292 | 87 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 380 | 678 | 645 | 1.051 |
| 1986 | 119 | 97 | 37 | 179 | 302 | 89 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 397 | 755 | 657 | 1.149 |
| 1987 | 113 | 88 | 35 | 166 | 286 | 83 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 372 | 704 | 613 | 1.148 |
| 1988 | 62 | 52 | 12 | 102 | 158 | 49 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 216 | 420 | 451 | .931 |
| 1989 | 28 | 19 | 6 | 41 | 55 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 75 | 157 | 172 | .913 |
| Career | 1,595 | 1,506 | 548 | 2,553 | 4,404 | 1,507 | 79 | 174 | 92 | 6,072 | 11,178 | 10,062 | 1.111 |

## Al Simmons

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1924 | 102 | 69 | 8 | 163 | 256 | 30 | 2 | 16 | 15 | 289 | 615 | 644 | .955 |
| 1925 | 129 | 122 | 24 | 227 | 392 | 35 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 421 | 875 | 696 | 1.257 |
| 1926 | 109 | 90 | 19 | 180 | 329 | 48 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 386 | 746 | 642 | 1.162 |
| 1927 | 108 | 86 | 15 | 179 | 262 | 31 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 302 | 660 | 458 | 1.441 |
| 1928 | 107 | 78 | 15 | 170 | 259 | 31 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 290 | 630 | 509 | 1.238 |
| 1929 | 157 | 114 | 34 | 237 | 373 | 31 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 407 | 881 | 629 | 1.401 |
| 1930 | 165 | 152 | 36 | 281 | 392 | 39 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 439 | 1,001 | 611 | 1.638 |
| 1931 | 128 | 105 | 22 | 211 | 329 | 47 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 379 | 801 | 563 | 1.423 |
| 1932 | 151 | 144 | 35 | 260 | 367 | 47 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 417 | 937 | 718 | 1.305 |
| 1933 | 119 | 85 | 14 | 190 | 291 | 39 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 336 | 716 | 648 | 1.105 |
| 1934 | 104 | 102 | 18 | 188 | 296 | 53 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 352 | 728 | 613 | 1.188 |
| 1935 | 79 | 68 | 16 | 131 | 224 | 33 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 257 | 519 | 561 | .925 |
| 1936 | 112 | 96 | 13 | 195 | 275 | 49 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 328 | 718 | 620 | 1.158 |
| 1937 | 84 | 60 | 8 | 136 | 182 | 27 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 214 | 486 | 453 | 1.073 |
| 1938 | 95 | 79 | 21 | 153 | 240 | 38 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 281 | 587 | 512 | 1.146 |
| 1939 | 44 | 39 | 7 | 76 | 144 | 24 | 2 | 0 | $0 *$ | 170 | 322 | 381 | .845 |
| 1940 | 19 | 7 | 1 | 25 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 86 | 85 | 1.012 |
| 1941 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 25 | .360 |
| 1943 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 20 | 35 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 82 | 141 | .582 |
| 1944 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 1.500 |
| Career | 1,827 | 1,507 | 307 | 3,027 | 4,685 | 615 | 30 | 88 | $64^{*}$ | 5,354 | 11,408 | 9,515 | 1.199 |
| $*$ *S totals estimated based | on | known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sammy Sosa

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1989 | 13 | 27 | 4 | 36 | 67 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 82 | 154 | 203 | .759 |
| 1990 | 70 | 72 | 15 | 127 | 215 | 33 | 6 | 32 | 16 | 270 | 524 | 579 | .905 |
| 1991 | 33 | 39 | 10 | 62 | 106 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 129 | 253 | 338 | .749 |
| 1992 | 25 | 41 | 8 | 58 | 103 | 19 | 4 | 15 | 7 | 134 | 250 | 291 | .859 |
| 1993 | 93 | 92 | 33 | 152 | 290 | 38 | 4 | 36 | 11 | 357 | 661 | 641 | 1.031 |
| 1994 | 70 | 59 | 25 | 104 | 232 | 25 | 2 | 22 | 13 | 268 | 476 | 458 | 1.039 |
| 1995 | 119 | 89 | 36 | 172 | 282 | 58 | 5 | 34 | 7 | 372 | 716 | 629 | 1.138 |
| 1996 | 100 | 84 | 40 | 144 | 281 | 34 | 5 | 18 | 5 | 333 | 621 | 541 | 1.148 |
| 1997 | 119 | 90 | 36 | 173 | 308 | 45 | 2 | 22 | 12 | 365 | 711 | 694 | 1.024 |
| 1998 | 158 | 134 | 66 | 226 | 416 | 73 | 1 | 18 | 9 | 499 | 951 | 722 | 1.317 |
| 1999 | 141 | 114 | 63 | 192 | 397 | 78 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 477 | 861 | 712 | 1.209 |
| 2000 | 138 | 106 | 50 | 194 | 383 | 91 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 479 | 867 | 705 | 1.230 |
| 2001 | 160 | 146 | 64 | 242 | 425 | 116 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 545 | 1,029 | 711 | 1.447 |
| 2002 | 108 | 122 | 49 | 181 | 330 | 103 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 438 | 800 | 666 | 1.201 |
| 2003 | 103 | 99 | 40 | 162 | 286 | 62 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 352 | 676 | 589 | 1.148 |
| 2004 | 80 | 69 | 35 | 114 | 247 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 533 | 539 | .989 |
| 2005 | 45 | 39 | 14 | 70 | 143 | 39 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 184 | 324 | 424 | .764 |
| 2007 | 92 | 53 | 21 | 124 | 193 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 478 | 454 | 1.053 |
| Career | 1,667 | 1,475 | 609 | 2,533 | 4,704 | 929 | 59 | 234 | 107 | 5,819 | 10,885 | 9,896 | 1.100 |

## Tris Speaker

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1907 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $0^{*}$ | 4 | 6 | 20 | .300 |
| 1908 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 21 | 32 | 4 | 2 | 3 | $2^{*}$ | 39 | 81 | 125 | .648 |
| 1909 | 77 | 73 | 7 | 143 | 241 | 38 | 7 | 35 | $28^{*}$ | 293 | 579 | 606 | .955 |
| 1910 | 65 | 92 | 7 | 150 | 252 | 52 | 6 | 35 | $27^{*}$ | 318 | 618 | 608 | 1.016 |
| 1911 | 70 | 88 | 8 | 150 | 251 | 59 | 13 | 25 | $20^{*}$ | 328 | 628 | 589 | 1.066 |
| 1912 | 90 | 136 | 10 | 216 | 329 | 82 | 6 | 52 | $41^{*}$ | 428 | 860 | 675 | 1.274 |
| 1913 | 71 | 94 | 3 | 162 | 277 | 65 | 7 | 46 | $36^{*}$ | 359 | 683 | 608 | 1.123 |
| 1914 | 90 | 101 | 4 | 187 | 287 | 77 | 7 | 42 | 29 | 384 | 758 | 668 | 1.135 |
| 1915 | 69 | 108 | 0 | 177 | 225 | 81 | 7 | 29 | 25 | 317 | 671 | 652 | 1.029 |
| 1916 | 79 | 102 | 2 | 179 | 274 | 82 | 4 | 35 | 27 | 368 | 726 | 647 | 1.122 |
| 1917 | 60 | 90 | 2 | 148 | 254 | 67 | 7 | 30 | $24^{*}$ | 334 | 630 | 612 | 1.029 |
| 1918 | 61 | 73 | 0 | 134 | 205 | 64 | 3 | 27 | $21^{*}$ | 278 | 546 | 549 | .995 |
| 1919 | 63 | 83 | 2 | 144 | 214 | 73 | 8 | 15 | $12^{*}$ | 298 | 586 | 595 | .985 |
| 1920 | 107 | 137 | 8 | 236 | 310 | 97 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 409 | 881 | 674 | 1.307 |
| 1921 | 75 | 107 | 3 | 179 | 272 | 68 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 340 | 698 | 588 | 1.187 |
| 1922 | 71 | 85 | 11 | 145 | 258 | 77 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 341 | 631 | 516 | 1.223 |
| 1923 | 130 | 133 | 17 | 246 | 350 | 93 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 446 | 938 | 693 | 1.354 |
| 1924 | 65 | 94 | 9 | 150 | 248 | 72 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 322 | 622 | 575 | 1.082 |
| 1925 | 87 | 79 | 12 | 154 | 248 | 70 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 325 | 633 | 518 | 1.222 |
| 1926 | 86 | 96 | 7 | 175 | 253 | 94 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 352 | 702 | 661 | 1.062 |
| 1927 | 73 | 71 | 2 | 142 | 232 | 55 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 292 | 576 | 597 | .965 |
| 1928 | 30 | 28 | 3 | 55 | 86 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 102 | 212 | 212 | 1.000 |
| Career | 1,529 | 1,882 | 117 | 3,294 | 5,101 | 1,381 | 103 | 432 | $340^{*}$ | 6,677 | 13,265 | 11,988 | 1.107 |
| * CS totals estimated based | on known statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Frank Thomas

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1990 | 31 | 39 | 7 | 63 | 101 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 146 | 272 | 240 | 1.133 |
| 1991 | 109 | 104 | 32 | 181 | 309 | 138 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 447 | 809 | 700 | 1.156 |
| 1992 | 115 | 108 | 24 | 199 | 307 | 122 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 437 | 835 | 711 | 1.174 |
| 1993 | 128 | 106 | 41 | 193 | 333 | 112 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 449 | 835 | 676 | 1.235 |
| 1994 | 101 | 106 | 38 | 169 | 291 | 109 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 401 | 739 | 517 | 1.429 |
| 1995 | 111 | 102 | 40 | 173 | 299 | 136 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 442 | 788 | 647 | 1.218 |
| 1996 | 134 | 110 | 40 | 204 | 330 | 109 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 444 | 852 | 649 | 1.313 |
| 1997 | 125 | 110 | 35 | 200 | 324 | 109 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 436 | 836 | 649 | 1.288 |
| 1998 | 109 | 109 | 29 | 189 | 281 | 110 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 404 | 782 | 712 | 1.098 |
| 1999 | 77 | 74 | 15 | 136 | 229 | 87 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 325 | 597 | 590 | 1.012 |
| 2000 | 143 | 115 | 43 | 215 | 364 | 112 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 479 | 909 | 707 | 1.286 |
| 2001 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 14 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 68 | 79 | .861 |
| 2002 | 92 | 77 | 28 | 141 | 247 | 88 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 345 | 627 | 628 | .998 |
| 2003 | 105 | 87 | 42 | 150 | 307 | 100 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 419 | 719 | 662 | 1.086 |
| 2004 | 49 | 53 | 18 | 84 | 135 | 64 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 203 | 371 | 311 | 1.193 |
| 2005 | 26 | 19 | 12 | 33 | 62 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 144 | 124 | 1.161 |
| 2006 | 114 | 77 | 39 | 152 | 254 | 81 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 645 | 559 | 1.154 |
| 2007 | 95 | 63 | 26 | 132 | 255 | 81 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 343 | 607 | 624 | .973 |
| Career | 1,674 | 1,467 | 513 | 2,628 | 4,458 | 1,628 | 84 | 32 | 23 | 6,179 | 11,435 | 9,785 | 1.169 |
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## Honus Wagner

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1897 | 39 | 37 | 2 | 74 | 111 | 15 | 1 | 19 | 13* | 133 | 281 | 258 | 1.089 |
| 1898 | 105 | 80 | 10 | 175 | 241 | 31 | 6 | 27 | 18* | 287 | 637 | 635 | 1.003 |
| 1899 | 113 | 98 | 7 | 204 | 282 | 40 | 11 | 37 | 25* | 345 | 753 | 626 | 1.203 |
| 1900 | 100 | 107 | 4 | 203 | 302 | 41 | 8 | 38 | $26 *$ | 363 | 769 | 580 | 1.326 |
| 1901 | 126 | 101 | 6 | 221 | 271 | 53 | 7 | 49 | 33* | 347 | 789 | 619 | 1.275 |
| 1902 | 91 | 105 | 3 | 193 | 247 | 43 | 14 | 42 | 29* | 317 | 703 | 599 | 1.174 |
| 1903 | 101 | 97 | 5 | 193 | 265 | 44 | 7 | 46 | 31* | 331 | 717 | 571 | 1.256 |
| 1904 | 75 | 97 | 4 | 168 | 255 | 59 | 4 | 53 | 36* | 335 | 671 | 558 | 1.203 |
| 1905 | 101 | 114 | 6 | 209 | 277 | 54 | 7 | 57 | 39* | 356 | 774 | 616 | 1.256 |
| 1906 | 71 | 103 | 2 | 172 | 237 | 58 | 10 | 53 | 36* | 322 | 666 | 590 | 1.129 |
| 1907 | 82 | 98 | 6 | 174 | 264 | 46 | 5 | 61 | 42* | 334 | 682 | 580 | 1.176 |
| 1908 | 109 | 100 | 10 | 199 | 308 | 54 | 5 | 53 | 36* | 384 | 782 | 641 | 1.220 |
| 1909 | 100 | 92 | 5 | 187 | 242 | 66 | 3 | 35 | $24 *$ | 322 | 696 | 591 | 1.178 |
| 1910 | 81 | 90 | 4 | 167 | 240 | 59 | 5 | 24 | 16* | 312 | 646 | 640 | 1.009 |
| 1911 | 89 | 87 | 9 | 167 | 240 | 67 | 6 | 20 | 14* | 319 | 653 | 558 | 1.170 |
| 1912 | 102 | 91 | 7 | 186 | 277 | 59 | 6 | 26 | 18* | 350 | 722 | 634 | 1.139 |
| 1913 | 56 | 51 | 3 | 104 | 159 | 26 | 5 | 21 | 14* | 197 | 405 | 454 | . 892 |
| 1914 | 50 | 60 | 1 | 109 | 175 | 51 | 2 | 23 | 16* | 235 | 453 | 616 | . 735 |
| 1915 | 78 | 68 | 6 | 140 | 239 | 39 | 4 | 22 | 15 | 289 | 569 | 625 | . 910 |
| 1916 | 39 | 45 | 1 | 83 | 160 | 34 | 8 | 11 | 7* | 206 | 372 | 484 | . 769 |
| 1917 | 24 | 15 | 0 | 39 | 70 | 24 | 1 | 5 | 3* | 97 | 175 | 264 | . 663 |
| Career | 1,732 | 1,736 | 101 | 3,367 | 4,862 | 963 | 125 | 722 | 491* | 6,181 | 12,915 | 11,739 | 1.100 |

Ted Williams

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1939 | 145 | 131 | 31 | 245 | 344 | 107 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 454 | 944 | 677 | 1.394 |
| 1940 | 113 | 134 | 23 | 224 | 333 | 96 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 432 | 880 | 661 | 1.331 |
| 1941 | 120 | 135 | 37 | 218 | 335 | 147 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 483 | 919 | 606 | 1.517 |
| 1942 | 137 | 141 | 36 | 242 | 338 | 145 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 488 | 972 | 671 | 1.449 |
| 1946 | 123 | 142 | 38 | 227 | 343 | 156 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 501 | 955 | 672 | 1.421 |
| 1947 | 114 | 125 | 32 | 207 | 335 | 162 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 498 | 912 | 693 | 1.316 |
| 1948 | 127 | 124 | 25 | 226 | 313 | 126 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 446 | 898 | 638 | 1.408 |
| 1949 | 159 | 150 | 43 | 266 | 368 | 162 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 532 | 1,064 | 730 | 1.458 |
| 1950 | 97 | 82 | 28 | 151 | 216 | 82 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 301 | 603 | 416 | 1.450 |
| 1951 | 126 | 109 | 30 | 205 | 295 | 144 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 439 | 849 | 675 | 1.258 |
| 1952 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19 | 12 | 1.583 |
| 1953 | 34 | 17 | 13 | 38 | 82 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 176 | 110 | 1.600 |
| 1954 | 89 | 93 | 29 | 153 | 245 | 136 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 382 | 688 | 526 | 1.308 |
| 1955 | 83 | 77 | 28 | 132 | 225 | 91 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 320 | 584 | 417 | 1.400 |
| 1956 | 82 | 71 | 24 | 129 | 242 | 102 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 345 | 603 | 503 | 1.199 |
| 1957 | 87 | 96 | 38 | 145 | 307 | 119 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 430 | 720 | 546 | 1.319 |
| 1958 | 85 | 81 | 26 | 140 | 240 | 98 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 343 | 623 | 517 | 1.205 |
| 1959 | 43 | 32 | 10 | 65 | 114 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 298 | 331 | .900 |
| 1960 | 72 | 56 | 29 | 99 | 200 | 75 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 278 | 476 | 390 | 1.221 |
| Career | 1,839 | 1,798 | 521 | 3,116 | 4,884 | 2,021 | 39 | 24 | 17 | 6,951 | 13,183 | 9,791 | 1.346 |
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## Carl Yastrzemski

| Year | RBI | R | HR | NR | TB | BB | HBP | SB | CS | CB | PTS | PA | P/E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1961 | 80 | 71 | 11 | 140 | 231 | 50 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 285 | 565 | 643 | .879 |
| 1962 | 94 | 99 | 19 | 174 | 303 | 66 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 375 | 723 | 719 | 1.006 |
| 1963 | 68 | 91 | 14 | 145 | 271 | 95 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 370 | 660 | 668 | .988 |
| 1964 | 67 | 77 | 15 | 129 | 256 | 75 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 334 | 592 | 646 | .916 |
| 1965 | 72 | 78 | 20 | 130 | 265 | 70 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 337 | 597 | 571 | 1.046 |
| 1966 | 80 | 81 | 16 | 145 | 256 | 84 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 340 | 630 | 680 | .926 |
| 1967 | 121 | 112 | 44 | 189 | 360 | 91 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 457 | 835 | 680 | 1.228 |
| 1968 | 74 | 90 | 23 | 141 | 267 | 119 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 395 | 677 | 664 | 1.020 |
| 1969 | 111 | 96 | 40 | 167 | 306 | 101 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 416 | 750 | 707 | 1.061 |
| 1970 | 102 | 125 | 40 | 187 | 335 | 128 | 1 | 23 | 13 | 474 | 848 | 697 | 1.217 |
| 1971 | 70 | 75 | 15 | 130 | 199 | 106 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 307 | 567 | 620 | .915 |
| 1972 | 68 | 70 | 12 | 126 | 178 | 67 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 250 | 502 | 535 | .938 |
| 1973 | 95 | 82 | 19 | 158 | 250 | 105 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 357 | 673 | 652 | 1.032 |
| 1974 | 79 | 93 | 15 | 157 | 229 | 104 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 341 | 655 | 633 | 1.035 |
| 1975 | 60 | 91 | 14 | 137 | 220 | 87 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 313 | 587 | 634 | .926 |
| 1976 | 102 | 71 | 21 | 152 | 236 | 80 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 316 | 620 | 636 | .975 |
| 1977 | 102 | 99 | 28 | 173 | 282 | 73 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 366 | 712 | 643 | 1.107 |
| 1978 | 81 | 70 | 17 | 134 | 221 | 76 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 299 | 567 | 611 | .928 |
| 1979 | 87 | 69 | 21 | 135 | 233 | 62 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 297 | 567 | 590 | .961 |
| 1980 | 50 | 49 | 15 | 84 | 168 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 210 | 378 | 412 | .917 |
| 1981 | 53 | 36 | 7 | 82 | 120 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 168 | 332 | 390 | .851 |
| 1982 | 72 | 53 | 16 | 109 | 198 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 258 | 476 | 523 | .910 |
| 1983 | 56 | 38 | 10 | 84 | 155 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 379 | 437 | .867 |
| Career | 1,844 | 1,816 | 452 | 3,208 | 5,539 | 1,845 | 40 | 168 | 116 | 7,476 | 13,892 | 13,991 | .993 |

## Index

Aaron, Hank, 198, 247-48, 254, 267-68, 285-87, 289, 358, 371, 375
Abreu, Bobby, 248
Adcock, Joe, 50
Agee, Tommie, 216, 217
Allen, Richie, 292
Alley, Gene, 148
Alomar, Roberto, 87, 88, 93, 100-101, 113, 346, 376
Amoros, Sandy, 180, 181
Anderson, Garret, 180
Anderson, Sparky, 88, 89
Anson, Cap, 314
Aparicio, Luis, 148, 153, 154, 171, 178, 331
Appling, Luke, 148, 153, 155, 178
Ashburn, Richie, 216
Averill, Earl, 216, 222, 239, 340, 368
Avila, Bobby, 88
Babb, Charlie, 148, 149
Babe, Loren, 122, 123
Baerga, Carlos, 88-90
Bagwell, Jeff, 50, 55, 66-67, 80, 348, 368, 369, 377
Baines, Harold, 292, 293
Baker, Dusty, 180
Baker, Home Run, 122
Bancroft, Dave, 148
Banks, Ernie, 291, 292, 297, 303-4, 311, 350-51, 378
Bannister, Alan, 298

Battey, Earl, 18
Beckley, Jake, 315
Belanger, Mark, 148, 178
Bell, Buddy, 122
Bell, Cool Papa, 322
Belle, Albert, 180, 185, 186, 206, 339, 368
Beltran, Carlos, 216
Bench, Johnny, 17, 18, 23, 29-30, $33,38,39,43-45,356,379$
Berra, Yogi, 17, 18, 23, 31-33, 38, 43-44, 46, 181, 356, 372, 380
Bichette, Dante, 180
Biggio, Craig, 88, 93, 94, 112, 333
The Bill James Historical Baseball Abstract (James), 80
Blair, Paul, 216
Boggs, Wade, 122, 127, 128, 130, 140, 333
Bonds, Barry, 3, 13, 179, 180, 185, 194-95, 208-10, 359, 367, 371, 381
Bonds, Bobby, 248
Boone, Bob, 18, 48
Bottomley, Jim, 50, 55, 56, 78, 339
Boudreau, Lou, 148, 153, 156, 173, 178, 343
Bowa, Larry, 148, 178
Boyer, Clete, 122-24
Boyer, Ken, 122, 124-25, 140
Bresnahan, Roger, 18, 19, 47
Brett, George, 121, 122, 127, 130-32, 141-43, 351, 382
Brock, Lou, 152, 180, 185, 187, 338
Brooks, Hubie, 292-94

Brouthers, Dan, 315
Brown, Willard, 323
Buckner, Bill, 50
Burkett, Jesse, 315
Butler, Brett, 216

Caminiti, Ken, 122
Campanella, Roy, 18, 23, 33-34, 38, 43, 349, 383
Canseco, Jose, 292
Career P/E Averages
catchers, 18
center fielders, 216
first basemen, 50
left fielders, 180
multiposition players, 292
right fielders, 248
second basemen, 88
shortstops, 148
third basemen, 122
top 25 players, 367-68
Carew, Rod, 292, 297, 298, 311, 342
Carey, Max, 216
Carter, Gary, 18, 22-24, 42, 340
Cash, Norm, 50
Castilla, Vinny, 122
Catchers, 17-18
best 162-game averages, 23
career P/E averages, 18
Categories 1-3, 19-23
Category 4, 24-28
Category 5, 29-40
resemblances between, 47-48
Top 10, 41-46
Categories of players, 9, 11-12
Category 1 players, 12
catchers, 22-23
center fielders, 217-18
first basemen, 54-55
left fielders, 181
multiposition players, 295
right fielders, 253
second basemen, 89
shortstops, 149
third basemen, 123
Category 2 players, $11-12$
catchers, 20-22
center fielders, 217, 220
first basemen, 53-54
left fielders, 181-83
multiposition players, 293-94, 297
right fielders, 249, 251
second basemen, 89-90, 92
shortstops, 152
third basemen, 123-25
Category 3 players, 11
catchers, 19-21
center fielders, 218-21
first basemen, 51-52
left fielders, 183-85
multiposition players, 293-96
right fielders, 249-52
second basemen, 90-93
shortstops, 149-52
third basemen, 124-27
Category 4 players, 11
catchers, 24-28
center fielders, 222-25
first basemen, 56-65
left fielders, 186-93
multiposition players, 298-302
right fielders, 255-66
second basemen, 94-99
shortstops, 154-62
third basemen, 128-30
Category 5 players, 11
calculating P/E Averages, 373-424
catchers, 29-40
center fielders, 226-37
first basemen, 66-77
left fielders, 194-205
multiposition players, 303-10
right fielders, 267-82
second basemen, 100-111
shortstops, 163-70
third basemen, 131-38
Caught-stealing totals, 6-7
Center fielders, 215-16
best 162 -game averages, 221
career P/E Averages, 216
Categories 1-3, 217-21
Category 4, 222-25
Category 5, 226-37
Top 10, 238-43
Cepeda, Orlando, 8, 50, 55, 57, 335-36
Cerv, Bob, 180-82
Cey, Ron, 122
Chance, Frank, 50
Charleston, Oscar, 323
Chavez, Eric, 121, 122
Clark, Jack, 292
Clark, Will, 50, 84
Clarke, Fred, 315-16
Clemente, Roberto, 247, 248, 254, 269-70, 284, 347, 384
Clift, Harlond, 122
Cobb, Ty, 6-7, 170, 216, 226-27, 240-42, 307, 359, 367, 370, 385
Cochrane, Mickey, 17, 18, 23, 30, 35-36, 38, 43-45, 352, 386
Colavito, Rocky, 248
Coleman, Vince, 152, 180, 182-83
Collins, Eddie, 88, 93, 102-3, 114, 118, 351-52, 387
Collins, Jimmy, 122
Combs, Earle, 215, 216, 223, 238, 338-39
Complete bases, 5-7

Concepcion, Dave, 148-50, 178
Connor, Roger, 316
Cooper, Cecil, 50
Cramer, Doc, 216
Crandall, Del, 18
Crawford, Sam, 248, 254, 255
Cronin, Joe, 148, 153, 157, 172, 178, 339
Cuyler, Kiki, 248
Damon, Johnny, 216
Dandridge, Ray, 323
Davis, George, 316
Dawson, Andre, 184, 248, 254, 256, 333
Delahanty, Ed, 316-17
Delgado, Carlos, 50
Dent, Bucky, 192
Dickey, Bill, 18, 23, 37-38, 42, 347, 388
DiMaggio, Dom, 216
DiMaggio, Joe, 215, 216, 228-29, 240-41, 243, 360, 362, 367, 371, 389
Doby, Larry, 216
Doerr, Bobby, 88, 93, 95
Doubtful Hall of Fame players, 12
Doyle, Larry, 88, 118
Duffy, Hugh, 317
Durocher, Leo, 161
Edmonds, Jim, 216
Effectiveness, 1. See also Production
Efficiency, 1-3
complete bases, 5-7
defined, 2
measures of, xii, 3-4
Elliott, Bob, 122
Evans, Darrell, 145, 292

Evers, Johnny, 88, 118
Ewing, Buck, 317

Fernandez, Tony, 148
Ferrell, Rick, 18, 47
Fielder, Cecil, 50
First basemen, 49-50
best 162-game averages, 55
best postseason series, 84-85
career P/E averages, 50
Categories 1-3, 51-55
Category 4, 56-65
Category 5, 66-77
Top 10, 78-83
Fisk, Carlton, 18, 23, 25, 41
Flick, Elmer, 248
Flood, Curt, 216
Foster, George, 180, 183
Fox, Nellie, 88, 119
Foxx, Jimmie, 9, 49, 50, 55, 68-69, 80-82, 201, 358, 367, 369-71, 390
Francesa, Mike, 126
Freehan, Bill, 18-20
Frisch, Frankie, 88, 93, 96, 113, 118, 340
Furillo, Carl, 248
Gaetti, Gary, 122
Galarraga, Andres, 50
Garciaparra, Nomar, 148
Gardner, Larry, 122
Garvey, Steve, 50, 51
Gehrig, Lou, 9, 49, 50, 55, 70-71, 80-81, 83, 261, 360, 362-63, 367, 369-71, 391
best postseason series, 84-85
Murderer's Row, 99
and Wally Pipp, 53
Gehringer, Charlie, 88, 93, 104-5, $115,117,119,355,392$

Giambi, Jason, 50
Giambi, Jeremy, 163
Gibson, Bob, 204
Gibson, Josh, 323-24
Gonzalez, Juan, 248, 254, 257, 335
Gordon, Joe, 88, 90-91, 119
Goslin, Goose, 180, 185, 188, 335
Grace, Mark, 50, 84
Grant, Frank, 324
Greenberg, Hank, 49, 50, 55, 72-73, 80-82, 354, 367, 370, 393
and Willie McCovey, 58
missed playing time, 60
Grich, Bobby, 88
Griffey, Ken, Jr., 216, 230-31, 240, 354, 394
Griffey, Ken, Sr., 248
Grissom, Marquis, 216
Groat, Dick, 148
Groh, Heinie, 122
Guerrero, Vladimir, 248-50, 368
Gwynn, Tony, 3, 247, 248, 254, 258, 283, 342

Hack, Stan, 122
Hafey, Chick, 180
Hall of Fame status, system for specifying, 12-13
Hamilton, Billy, 317-18
Hartnett, Gabby, 18, 23, 26, 41, 335
Heilmann, Harry, 248, 254, 259, 283, 343-44
Heist, Al, 216-18
Helton, Todd, 50, 367
Henderson, Rickey, 6, 152, 180, 185, 196-97, 208, 354, 395
Herman, Billy, 88
Hernandez, Keith, 50, 301
Herr, Tommy, 88
Hill, Pete, 324

Hirschbeck, John, 101
Hit-by-pitch totals, 6
Hodges, Gil, 50-52
Home runs, 5
Hooper, Harry, 248
Hornsby, Rogers, 87, 88, 93, 106-7, $115,116,118,355,367,369$, 396
Howard, Elston, 18
Hrbek, Kent, 50
Imminent Hall of Fame players, 12
Intentional walks, 6
Irvin, Monte, 324-25

Jackson, Joe, 180, 183-84
Jackson, Reggie, 248, 254, 271-72, 284, 346, 397
Jackson, Travis, 148
James, Bill, 80
Jennings, Hughie, 318
Jeter, Derek, 148, 153, 163-64, 168, 173, 178, 348, 398
Johnson, Bob, 180
Johnson, Davey, 88
Johnson, Howard, 122, 125
Johnson, Judy, 325
Johnson, Walter, 170
Jones, Andruw, 216
Jones, Chipper, 67, 121-22, 127, 129, 141, 337
Judge, Joe, 50
Kaat, Jim, 135
Kalas, Harry, 219
Kaline, Al, 248, 254, 260, 341-42
Keeler, Willie, 318
Kell, George, 122
Kelley, Joe, 318
Kelly, George, 50

Kelly, King, 319
Kent, Jeff, 87, 88, 93, 97, 112, 119, 336
Killebrew, Harmon, 145, 292, 297, 305-6, 311, 347, 399
Kiner, Ralph, 180, 185, 189, 207, 219, 341
Klein, Chuck, 248, 254, 261, 331, 370
Kluszewski, Ted, 50
Knight, Ray, 122
Knoblauch, Chuck, 88
Knoop, Bobby, 88
Lajoie, Nap, 88, 93, 98, 113, 341, 369
Landis, Kenesaw Mountain, 206
Lansford, Carney, 122
Larkin, Barry, 148, 153, 158, 171, 178, 331
Larsen, Don, 31
Lazzeri, Tony, 88, 93, 99, 330
Left fielders, 179-80
best 162 -game averages, 185
career P/E Averages, 180
Categories 1-3, 181-85
Category 4, 186-93
Category 5, 194-205
Top 10, 206-11
Leonard, Buck, 325
Lindstrom, Freddie, 292
Lloyd, Pop, 325
Lofton, Kenny, 216
Lollar, Sherm, 18
Lombardi, Ernie, 18
Long, Terrence, 163
Lopes, Davey, 88, 91
Lopez, Al, 18, 20-21
Lopez, Javy, 18
Luzinski, Greg, 180
Lynn, Fred, 216, 218-19

Mackey, Biz, 326
Maddox, Garry, 216, 219
Maddux, Greg, 135
Madlock, Bill, 122
Magee, Sherry, 180
Malzone, Frank, 122
Mantle, Mickey, 13-14, 135-36, 215, 216, 232-33, 240, 244, 358, 368, 371, 400
Manush, Heinie, 180, 185, 190
Maranville, Rabbit, 148
Marion, Marty, 148
Maris, Roger, 248, 250-51, 257
Martinez, Edgar, 292, 294-95
Martinez, Tino, 50
Mathews, Eddie, 121, 122, 127, 133-34, 141, 345-46, 401
Mathewson, Christy, 19, 149, 170
Mattingly, Don, 50, 52
May, Lee, 50, 84
Mays, Willie, 5, 198, 216, 234-35, 240-42, 244, 359, 371, 402
Mazeroski, Bill, 87, 88
McCarthy, Tommy, 319
McCovey, Willie, 50, 55, 58, 337
McEwing, Joe, 292, 295
McGee, Willie, 216
McGinnity, Joe, 149
McGriff, Fred, 50, 55, 59
McGwire, Mark, 50, 55, 74-75, 79, 281, 345, 368, 403
McMillan, Roy, 148
McPhee, Bid, 319
McReynolds, Kevin, 180
Medwick, Joe, 180, 185, 191, 206, 338
Meusel, Bob, 99, 180
Military service, 212
Minoso, Minnie, 180
Mitchell, Kevin, 180

Mize, Johnny, 50, 55, 60, 79, 344, 367
Molitor, Paul, 146, 292, 297, 299, 311, 336-37
Monroe, Marilyn, 229
Morgan, Joe, 88, 93, 108-9, 115-16, 119, 353, 404
Most Valuable Players. See MVP shares
Multiposition players, 291-92
best 162-game averages, 297
career P/E Averages, 292
Categories 1-3, 293-97
Category 4, 298-302
Category 5, 303-10
Munson, Thurman, 18, 21
Murcer, Bobby, 216
Murphy, Dale, 216, 257
Murray, Eddie, 50, 55, 61, 79, 343
Musial, Stan, 179, 180, 185, 186, 198-99, 208-10, 357, 371, 405
MVP shares, 13-14
career, 371-72
for New York Yankees, 17
second basemen, 118-19
Negro League stars, 321-28
Net runs, 5, 8
Nettles, Graig, 122
Nineteenth-century stars, 313-20
No chance for Hall of Fame players, 12

Offensive Production and Efficiency Average (P/E Average), xii, 4-9 career. See Career P/E Averages complete bases in, 5-7
components of, 4-7
formulation of, 7-9
levels of, 14-15
measures incorporated in, 4
net runs in, 5
single-season, 369-70
Oglivie, Ben, 180
Olerud, John, 50
Oliva, Tony, 248
Oliver, Al, 292
162-game averages, 14
catchers, 23
center fielders, 221
first basemen, 55
left fielders, 185
multiposition players, 297
right fielders, 254
second basemen, 93
shortstops, 153
third basemen, 127
O’Neill, Buck, 326
O'Neill, Paul, 248
Ordonez, Rey, 148
O'Rourke, Jim, 319-20
Ortiz, David, 292, 368
Otis, Amos, 216
Ott, Mel, 248, 254, 273-74, 285, 349, 368, 406

Palmeiro, Rafael, 50, 55, 62
Palmer, Jim, 217
Parker, Dave, 51, 248, 254, 262, 332
Parker, Wes, 50
Parrish, Lance, 18, 22
P/E Average. See Offensive
Production and Efficiency Average
Peckinpaugh, Roger, 148
Pena, Tony, 18, 22
Pendleton, Terry, 122
Pepitone, Joe, 50
Perez, Tony, 8, 50, 55, 58, 63
Piazza, Mike, 18, 23, 27, 42, 335

Pinson, Vada, 216
Pipp, Wally, 50, 53
Porter, Darrell, 18
Posada, Jorge, 18, 163
Possible Hall of Fame players, 12
Post, Wally, 248, 251
Powell, Boog, 50
Power, Vic, 50
Probable Hall of Fame players, 12
Production
measures of, xii, 1-3
net runs, 5
as ultimate goal of players, 2
Puckett, Kirby, 216, 224, 238, 340
Pujols, Albert, 50, 55, 76-77, 80, 352, 367, 372, 407

Rader, Doug, 122
Raines, Tim, 180, 184-85
Ramirez, Manny, 248, 254, 273-74, 285, 351, 367, 408
Randolph, Willie, 88
Reese, Pee Wee, 148
Reynolds, Harold, 88
Rice, Jim, 180, 185, 192, 207, 344
Rice, Sam, 248, 254, 263
Richardson, Bobby, 88
Right fielders, 247-48
best 162-game averages, 254
career P/E Averages, 248
Categories 1-3, 249-53
Category 4, 255-66
Category 5, 267-82
Top 10, 283-88
Ripken, Cal, Jr., 148, 153, 165-66, 174, 175, 178, 350, 409

Rivers, Mickey, 216, 220
Rizzuto, Phil, 32, 148

Robinson, Brooks, 121, 122, 127, 130, 135-36, 141-43, 350, 410
Robinson, Frank, 247-48, 254, 277-78, 285-87, 289, 355, 371, 411
Robinson, Jackie, 32, 119, 292, 297, 300, 312, 344
Rodriguez, Alex, 148, 153, 167-68, 174, 175, 178, 349, 356, 367, 372, 412
Rodriguez, Ivan, 18, 23, 39-40, 43, 413
Rolen, Scott, 121, 122
Rose, Pete, 146, 292, 297, 307-8, 312, 345, 414
Rosen, Al, 122
Roush, Edd, 216
Rudi, Joe, 180
Runs batted in, 5
Runs scored, 5
Ruth, Babe, 170, 200, 247-48, 254, 279-80, 285, 286, 288, 289, 360, 363, 367, 369, 370, 415
home runs, 53
Murderer's Row, 99

Samuel, Juan, 88
Sandberg, Ryne, 87, 88, 93, 110-11, 114, 119, 346, 416
Santiago, Benito, 18, 48
Santo, Ron, 122, 126, 139
Santop, Louis, 326
Sax, Steve, 88, 92
Schalk, Ray, 18
Schang, Wally, 18
Schmidt, Mike, 121, 122, 127, 137-38, 141-42, 144, 357, 371, 417
Schoendienst, Red, 88

Scott, George, 50
Scully, Vin, 269
Second basemen, 87-88
best 162-game averages, 93
career P/E Averages, 88
Categories 1-3, 89-93
Category 4, 94-99
Category 5, 100-111
MVPs, 118-19
Top 10, 112-17
Sewell, Joe, 148, 153, 159, 178
Sheffield, Gary, 248
Shortstops, 147-48
best 162-game averages, 153
career P/E Averages, 148
Categories 1-3, 149-53
Category 4, 154-62
Category 5, 163-70
defensive analysis, 177-78
Top 10, 171-76
Simmons, Al, 180, 185, 200-201, 208, 353, 367, 369, 418
Simmons, Ted, 18, 23, 28
Single-season P/E Averages, 369-70
Sisler, George, 50, 55, 64, 338
Skowron, Moose, 50, 53-54
Slaughter, Enos, 248, 254, 264, 331
Smith, Ozzie, 135, 148, 153, 154, 160, 172, 178, 336
Snider, Duke, 216, 225, 239, 244, 341
Snyder, Cory, 248, 249
Soriano, Alfonso, 87, 88
Sosa, Sammy, 248, 254, 281-82, 284, 348, 419
Speaker, Tris, 216, 236-37, 239, 353, 420

Stargell, Willie, 292, 297, 301, 312, 342
Statistics. See also Offensive
Production and Efficiency Average
efficiency, 2-3
limitations of, 1-4
production, 2
Stearnes, Turkey, 326-27
Stengel, Casey, 54
Steroid controversy, 13
Stolen bases, 6-7, 152
Strawberry, Darryl, 248, 252
Sundberg, Jim, 18
Suttle, Mule, 327
Suzuki, Ichiro, 248

Taylor, Ben, 327
Tejada, Miguel, 148
Templeton, Garry, 148
Terry, Bill, 8, 50, 55, 65, 78, 343
Third basemen, 121-22
best 162-game averages, 127
career P/E Averages, 122
Categories 1-3, 123-27
Category 4, 128-30
Category 5, 131-38
honorable mentions, 145-46
Top 10, 139-44
Thomas, Frank, 292, 297, 309-10, 312, 352, 368, 371, 421
Thome, Jim, 292, 368
Thompson, Sam, 320
Throneberry, Marv, 50, 54-55
Tinker, Joe, 148
Top 3 players
Joe DiMaggio, 362
Lou Gehrig, 362-63
Babe Ruth, 363

Top 10 players
Hank Aaron, 358
Barry Bonds, 359
catchers, 41-46
center fielders, 238-43
Ty Cobb, 359
Joe DiMaggio, 362
first basemen, 78-83
Jimmie Foxx, 358
Lou Gehrig, 362-63
left fielders, 206-11
Mickey Mantle, 358
Willie Mays, 359
right fielders, 283-88
Babe Ruth, 363
second basemen, 112-17
shortstops, 171-76
third basemen, 139-44
Ted Williams, 360
Top 100 players, 329-63
factors in arriving at, 329-30
list of, 330-63
Torre, Joe, 146, 292, 296
Torriente, Cristobal, 327
Total bases, 6
Trammell, Alan, 148, 150-51
Traynor, Pie, 122, 127, 130, 140, 332
Troskey, Hal, 50
Uecker, Bob, 18, 22-23

Vail, Mike, 248, 253
Van Slyke, Andy, 216
Vaughan, Arky, 148, 153, 161, 178
Ventura, Robin, 122
Vernon, Mickey, 50
Versalles, Zoilo, 148, 151

Vidro, Jose, 88
Vizquel, Omar, 148, 153, 154, 162, 172, 178, 336

Wagner, Honus, 147, 148, 153, $169-70,174,176,178,356$, 422
Walks, 6
Wallace, Bobby, 148
Wallach, Tim, 122
Waner, Lloyd, 216
Waner, Paul, 248, 254, 265, 335
Ward, Monte, 320
Washington, U. L., 148, 150, 152
Wells, Willie, 328
Wheat, Zack, 180
Whitaker, Lou, 88, 92-93, 151
White, Bill, 50
White, Frank, 87, 88
Williams, Bernie, 216

Williams, Billy, 180, 185, 193, 335
Williams, Ken, 180
Williams, Matt, 122, 126-27, 139
Williams, Ted, 179, 180, 185, 202-3, 208, 209, 211-13, 360, 367, 370, 371, 423

Wills, Maury, 148, 152-53
Wilson, Hack, 216, 220-21, 367, 369
Wilson, Jud, 328
Winfield, Dave, 248, 254, 266, 332

Yastrzemski, Carl, 180, 185, 204-5, 207, 345, 424
York, Rudy, 50
Youngblood, Joel, 292, 297
Youngs, Ross, 248
Yount, Robin, 292, 297, 302, 312, 337


[^0]:    *All MVP as well as Gold Glove and Silver Slugger information in Stat One is accurate

[^1]:    * Estimated total for strikeouts based on known seasons

[^2]:    * Active player

