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## Preface

For the past 25 years, I have been teaching an inorganic chemistry course primarily to graduate students in chemistry, chemical oceanography, geochemistry, soil and plant science, and civil and environmental engineering. My goal in the course has been to use a physical inorganic chemistry approach with many chemical examples from geochemistry and environmental and marine chemistry so the students could gain better understanding of environmental processes at the molecular level. Frequently, as the students performed their own research, they encountered some puzzling aspects, which they wished to better understand or explain. I wish to thank all my former students, postdoctoral students, and colleagues both at the University of Delaware and elsewhere for encouraging me in this endeavor. I note only a few including those who provided valuable input or information for some of the chapters: Herbert Allen, Rachael Austin, Alison Butler, Thomas Church, Dominic DiToro, Alyssa Findlay, Amy Gartman, Chin-Pao Huang, Rob Mason, Frank Millero, James Morgan, Véronique Oldham, Ann Ploskonka, David Rickard, Charles Riordan, Tim Rozan, Timothy Shaw, Donald Sparks, Werner Stumm, Martial Taillefert, Adam Wallace, and Jessica Wallick. Of course, any errors are due to my carelessness or lack of attention to detail.

Although inorganic chemists study all the elements of the periodic table, those studying inorganic chemistry in an environmental setting must sometimes do it at trace or ultra-trace level concentrations. In this book, the concepts of physical inorganic chemistry are used to study natural chemical processes occurring in the ocean, water, soil, sediment, and atmosphere as well as those related to anthropogenic activities. A couple of relevant examples of inorganic chemistry on other planets such as Mars and in interstellar space are also provided. Understanding the principles of inorganic chemistry including chemical bonding, one and two electron transfer processes in oxidation-reduction chemistry (redox), acid-base chemistry, transition metal ligand complexes, metal catalysis including enzyme catalysis, and more are essential to describing earth processes over all time scales ranging from $\sim 1 \mathrm{nsec}$ to geologic time (Gyr). The fields of geochemistry and environmental chemistry depend on the principles of physical inorganic chemistry. I hope the student will understand the relationship between these fields by using the fundamental concepts from thermodynamics, kinetics, and a detailed understanding of electronic structure. To aid in visualizing orbitals and molecular structures, I have used the most recent version (8.0.10) of the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program from Hypercube, Inc. (Gainesville, FL). Still, students should be able to "draw" orbitals and structures so the book uses both idealized drawings and computer-generated models throughout.

Broadly speaking, the book has three sections. Chapter 1 discusses the distribution of the elements through the cosmos and on earth with emphasis on large-scale chemical processes that occur on earth, which is profoundly influenced by the presence of water as solvent. The other chapters reference many of the processes in Chapter 1. Chapters 2 through 9 give the foundations of inorganic chemistry with traditional examples that most inorganic chemists would be familiar with; in addition, there are many geochemical and environmental reactions and processes given as examples to introduce or to explain concepts. In the last four chapters, the concepts from Chapters 2 through 9 are used to describe a host of geochemical, environmental and bioinorganic chemistry examples, which are also cross-referenced to processes in Chapter 1.

Chapter 2 introduces the thermodynamics of redox chemistry, describes the oxidation state of important elements in nature, and emphasizes one and two electron transfer step reactions; data and concepts from this
chapter are used throughout the text. Chapter 3 describes atomic theory, the buildup of the periodic table, and the periodic properties of the elements. Chapter 4 describes molecules using the principles of symmetry and group theory, and I decided to have a separate chapter rather than intersperse these topics into other chapters. Chapter 5 introduces bonding theories for nonmetals, and the frontier molecular orbital approach is used to describe numerous examples of chemical reactivity for small molecules of geochemical and environmental interest. The frontier molecular orbital theory approach is used often in subsequent chapters to gain understanding and predict chemical reactivity. Although this approach is well used in inorganic and organic chemistry, it is less used by scientists studying the environment. Chapter 6 continues the description of covalent bonding in metals and semiconductors, and then proceeds with the ionic bonding model including the importance of nanoparticles in inorganic chemistry. Chapter 7 reviews acid-base chemistry and leads directly into transition metal chemistry, which is described in detail in Chapters 8 and 9. Chapter 8 provides the basics of transition metal chemistry including bonding theories (e.g., valence bond theory, crystal field theory, and molecular orbital theory), and the spectroscopy and magnetic properties of metal ligand complexes. Chapter 9 gives details on the thermodynamics and the kinetics of metal ligand complexes and their substitution electron transfer reactions while introducing concepts of transition metal catalysis.

Chapters 10 through 13 give many examples of transition metal chemistry in the environment. Chapter 10 describes the chemistry of metals with molecular oxygen including the oxidation of reduced iron and manganese, the reversible binding of oxygen in reduced iron and copper for transport in blood, the use of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and enzyme systems to oxidize hydrocarbons and ammonium ion, and the photochemical formation of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the oxygen-evolving complex. Chapter 11 describes the chemistry of the dissolution of manganese and iron oxides with hydrogen sulfide and the oxidation of pyrite by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and soluble $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$. The formation of metal sulfide nanoparticles and particles is described in Chapter 12, which ends with a discussion on FeS phases as a catalytic source for the origin of life and with the ability of ferredoxins to activate small molecules such as carbon dioxide. Chapter 13 describes the uptake of metals primarily in single-celled organisms, and uses information on stability constants of metal-ligand complexes and their kinetics from Chapter 9 to provide a quantitative description.

In this book, attempts are made to show the interrelationship between topics in different chapters so that the reader can better understand the principles of physical inorganic chemistry. The discovery and use of these relationships by the student should further our knowledge of environmental processes from the molecular level to the global level.
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## 1

## Inorganic Chemistry and the Environment

### 1.1 Introduction

Understanding the atomic structure of the atom is important to understanding how atoms combine to build molecules including minerals, aqueous materials, and gases. However, nature builds the atoms of the elements starting with the simplest elements, hydrogen and helium, which are the most abundant in the cosmos. As described in the Big Bang Theory [1-6 and references therein], the origin of the universe (and the periodic table) started with elementary particles under enormous gravitational attraction concentrated in an extremely densely packed point, which exploded causing an expanding universe and the release of enormous energy and fundamental particles. Within 0.01 s of the Big Bang, temperatures have been predicted to be in the range of $10^{9}-10^{11}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. After 100 s and on cooling to $\sim 10^{9}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, the elementary particles began to combine under the force of gravity. Here, positively charged protons and neutral neutrons start to combine to form the lighter elements (nucleosynthesis) and their isotopes. Their combination in the nucleus occurs by the strong force, which is the short-range $\left(10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}\right)$ attractive force between protons and neutrons that binds these particles in the nucleus while overcoming the repulsive force of the protons with each other. At this time and under these conditions, the electrons are totally ionized from the nucleus and cannot combine with the elements until cooling occurs at about $10^{6}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. At this lower temperature, the electromagnetic force begins to take effect and the combination of the electrons with the positive nuclei to form neutral atoms occurs. Once there is a buildup of neutral atoms, chemical processes can occur that eventually lead to life and biological processes.

### 1.1.1 Energetics of Processes

To understand the energies associated with a wide range of processes at temperatures from absolute zero to these extreme Big Bang temperatures, the Boltzmann energy-temperature relationship (Equation 1.1) provides perspective:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\text { Joule })=k T \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=1.38065 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$; multiplying $k$ by $6.2415 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{eV} \mathrm{J}^{-1}$ (as $1 \mathrm{eV}=1.602189 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$ ) gives $E$ in units of eV (electron volts). Figure 1.1 is a plot of $E(\mathrm{eV})$ versus $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ that gives the temperature and corresponding energy at which several well-known processes occur. Multiplying $k$ by Avogadro's number ( $A=6.022 \times 10^{23}$ atoms mol ${ }^{-1}$ ) provides $R$, the gas constant, $8.314 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $E$ in units of $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-10} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$.

[^0]

Figure 1.1 Log-log plot of energy versus temperature; circles include the temperature at which some familiar chemical and physical processes including hydrothermal vents ( $\sim 360^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) found on deep ocean ridges occur. Triangles indicate the T and E parameters for nucleosynthesis in a sun that has 10-20 times the mass of our sun

Before continuing with the process of nucleosynthesis, it is necessary to define the general symbol used for nuclides, which includes their nuclear and charged properties, as ${ }_{Z}^{A} \mathrm{El}^{x \pm}$ where El is the element symbol, $A=$ atomic mass (total number of protons and neutrons or total nucleons), $Z=$ atomic number (number of protons) and $x^{ \pm}$is the charge due to loss or gain of electrons. The difference of $A-Z$ equals the number of neutrons $(N)$. Isotopes of an element have different atomic masses and the same atomic number due to a different number of neutrons in the nucleus.

Immediately after the Big Bang, the buildup of He (and other light elements) from protons and neutrons occurred through several multistep nuclear processes. Equations 1.2-1.5 show one example (positive charges are omitted for simplicity after Equation 1.2). The free neutron has a half-life of 13 min so the formation of hydrogen (Equation 1.2) occurs rapidly with formation of the electron ( $\mathrm{e}^{-}$or $\beta^{-}$) and one of the neutrinos $\mathbf{v}^{(+)}$ (another radiation component; see Table 1.1). The first nuclear reaction in this sequence is between the proton $\left({ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$or $\left.\mathrm{p}^{+}\right)$and the neutron to form positively charged deuterium (deuteron, $\left.{ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$, and the buildup of ${ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ eventually leads to positively charged tritium $\left({ }_{1}^{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$and positively charged helium $\left({ }_{2}^{3} \mathrm{He}^{2+}\right)$ formation. For example, continued reaction of the proton with the deuteron produces the doubly charged ${ }_{2}^{3} \mathrm{He}^{2+}$ (Helium-3). Under these extreme temperatures ( $\sim 10^{8-9}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ), the repulsive forces of the positively charged particles can be overcome so that the charged particles combine in the nucleus, which has a size on the order of $10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}$ diameter. (At higher temperatures, the ${ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$can decay due to photodissociation.) ${ }_{2}^{3} \mathrm{He}^{2+}$ can then combine with another neutron to form ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}^{2+}$ (also known as the alpha particle; Helium-4) where $\gamma$ indicates gamma rays that are at the high energy region of the electromagnetic spectrum (Figure 1.2). The energy released for Equation 1.3 and subsequent reactions is substantial, and maintains or increases the initial temperature. Because of these extreme temperatures, the elements were actually in a plasma state.

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \rightarrow{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}+v^{(+)}  \tag{1.2}\\
& { }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \rightarrow{ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}+\gamma  \tag{1.3}\\
& { }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{3} \mathrm{He}+\gamma  \tag{1.4}\\
& { }_{2}^{3} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+\gamma \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 1.1 Some important atomic and subatomic particles. One atomic mass unit (amu) equals $1.6606 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}$ (the atomic mass constant) and the elementary charge is $1.602 \times 10^{-19}$ coulomb (C). Spin is in units of $h /(2 \pi)$ or $\hbar\left(h=\right.$ Planck's constant). $\beta^{-}$and $\beta^{+}$are ejected from the nucleus with $\beta^{+}$formally an antiparticle to $\beta^{-}$; the reaction of $\beta^{-}$with $\beta^{+}$leads to their annihilation and release of $\gamma$-ray energy. The electron neutrino, $v_{e}$ or $v^{-}$, and the positron neutrino, $v_{e}$ or $v^{+}$(also known as the antineutrino), account for excess energy release during nuclear reactions (there are two other neutrinos and antineutrinos that are not important to this discussion)

| Symbol | Particle | Mass $(\mathrm{amu})[7,8]$ | Mass \# | Charge | Spin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta^{-}$or $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ | beta particle | $5.486 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0 | -1 | $+1 / 2$ |
| $\beta^{+}$or $\mathrm{e}^{+}$ | Positron | $5.486 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0 | +1 | $+1 / 2$ |
| ${ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ | Proton | 1.007277 | 1 | +1 | $+1 / 2$ |
| ${ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | H atom | 1.007825 | 1 | 0 | $+1 / 2$ |
| ${ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}$ | Neutron | 1.008665 | 1 | 0 | $+1 / 2$ |
| ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ or $\alpha$ | alpha particle | 4.00120 | 4 | +2 | 0 |
| $\gamma^{-r a y}$ | gamma ray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $v_{\mathrm{e}}$ or $\mathrm{v}^{-}$ | Neutrino | $\ll 5.486 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0 | 0 | $+1 / 2$ |
| $\bar{v}_{\mathrm{e} \text { or } \mathrm{v}^{+}}$ | Antineutrino | $\ll 5.486 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0 | 0 | $+1 / 2$ |



Figure 1.2 The electromagnetic spectrum is given in terms of wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) and frequency ( $\nu$, bottom axis) and energy in eV and Joule per atom (top axis; see Equation 3.2; recall $c=v \lambda$ ). $1 \mathrm{eV}=1.602 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$. The types of spectroscopic techniques for these energy regions are at the bottom

### 1.2 Neutron-Proton Conversion

The proton and the neutron interconvert in atoms to increase nuclear stability via the weak nuclear force that operates at $10^{-18} \mathrm{~m}$ [4]. Equation 1.2 is an example of spontaneous beta emission (decay) of the neutron. Other examples of neutron conversion to a proton via reaction with a particle and an electron neutrino are given in Equations 1.6a and 1.6b, respectively. The proton is stable to decay so requires another particle
(Equation 1.6c) or energy (antineutrino; Equation 1.6d) to transform it to a neutron.

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}+\beta^{+} \rightarrow{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}^{+}+v^{(+)}  \tag{1.6a}\\
& { }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}+v^{(-)} \rightarrow{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}^{+}+\beta^{-}  \tag{1.6b}\\
& { }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}^{+}+\beta^{-} \rightarrow{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}+v^{(-)}  \tag{1.6c}\\
& { }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}^{+}+v^{(+)} \rightarrow{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}+\beta^{+} \tag{1.6d}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.3 Element Burning Reactions - Buildup of Larger Elements

Further buildup of the elements occurs in the stars and during stellar explosions (supernovae), and the energy released for those reactions above and subsequent reactions is substantial (Section 1.4). The initial process in stellar nuclear synthesis is hydrogen burning (our sun is undergoing this process), which is described with the following set of equations ( $1.7 \mathrm{a}-1.7 \mathrm{~d}$ ) and which occurs at temperatures of $1-3 \times 10^{7}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left({ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}+\beta^{+}+\mathrm{v}^{(-)}\right) \tag{1.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\beta^{+}$is the positron (also given as $\mathrm{e}^{+}$) and $\mathrm{v}^{(+)}$is one of the neutrinos.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left({ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{3} \mathrm{He}+\gamma\right)  \tag{1.7b}\\
& { }_{2}^{3} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{2}^{3} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+2{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \tag{1.7c}
\end{align*}
$$

The sum of Equations $1.7 \mathrm{a}-1.7 \mathrm{c}$ gives the overall nuclear reaction to produce the alpha particle, ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ (Equation 1.7 d ), and is one of three proton-proton chain sequences [6].

$$
\begin{equation*}
4{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+2 \beta^{+}+2 \gamma+2 v^{(-)} \tag{1.7d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once helium is produced, the core of the star continues to collapse and gravitational forces drive the temperature up to $1-3 \times 10^{80} \mathrm{~K}$ where ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ fuses with itself in a reaction sequence (Equations $1.8 \mathrm{a}-1.8 \mathrm{~d}$ ) to form ${ }_{4}^{8} \mathrm{Be}$ (half-life of $2 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{~s}$ ), which rapidly fuses with another ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ to form carbon (the triple $\alpha$ process), which can react further with helium. This sequence is one of the helium burning reaction sequences.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }_{6}^{12} \mathrm{C}+\gamma  \tag{1.8a}\\
& { }_{6}^{12} \mathrm{C}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }_{8}^{16} \mathrm{O}+\gamma  \tag{1.8b}\\
& { }_{8}^{16} \mathrm{O}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }_{10}^{20} \mathrm{Ne}+\gamma  \tag{1.8c}\\
& { }_{10}^{20} \mathrm{Ne}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }_{12}^{24} \mathrm{Mg}+\gamma \tag{1.8d}
\end{align*}
$$

Another hydrogen burning nuclear reaction sequence that occurs is the carbon-nitrogen-oxygen cycle where these elements act as catalysts to convert protons into helium as in Equations 1.9a-1.9g.

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{6}^{12} \mathrm{C}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{7}^{13} \mathrm{~N}+\gamma  \tag{1.9a}\\
& { }_{7}^{13} \mathrm{~N} \rightarrow{ }_{6}^{13} \mathrm{C}+\beta^{+}+v^{(-)}  \tag{1.9b}\\
& { }_{6}^{13} \mathrm{C}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{7}^{14} \mathrm{~N}+\gamma \tag{1.9c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{7}^{14} \mathrm{~N}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{8}^{15} \mathrm{O}+\gamma  \tag{1.9d}\\
& { }_{8}^{15} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow{ }_{7}^{15} \mathrm{~N}+\beta^{+}+v^{(-)}  \tag{1.9e}\\
& { }_{7}^{15} \mathrm{~N}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{6}^{12} \mathrm{C}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} \tag{1.9f}
\end{align*}
$$

with the net sum of these reactions being equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
4_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+2 \beta^{+}+3 \gamma+2 v^{(-)} \tag{1.9~g}
\end{equation*}
$$

When carbon and oxygen become abundant, carbon and oxygen burning continues the buildup of the heavier elements, for example, Equations 1.10a-1.10d. These carbon burning and oxygen burning processes occur inside stars that have a mass of 1.4 or greater than the mass of our sun and lead to production of ${ }_{14}^{28} \mathrm{Si}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{6}^{12} \mathrm{C}+{ }_{6}^{12} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow{ }_{11}^{23} \mathrm{Na}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}+\gamma  \tag{1.10a}\\
{ }_{6}^{12} \mathrm{C}+{ }_{6}^{12} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow{ }_{12}^{23} \mathrm{Mg}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}+\gamma  \tag{1.10b}\\
{ }_{8}^{16} \mathrm{O}+{ }_{8}^{16} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow{ }_{14}^{28} \mathrm{Si}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+\gamma  \tag{1.10c}\\
{ }_{8}^{16} \mathrm{O}+{ }_{8}^{16} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow{ }_{15}^{31} \mathrm{P}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}+\gamma \tag{1.10d}
\end{align*}
$$

Silicon burning is the addition of seven alpha particles starting with ${ }_{14}^{28} \mathrm{Si}$ in a series of reactions similar to Equations 1.8a-1.8d to form the successive elements until ${ }_{28}^{56} \mathrm{Ni}$ is formed. ${ }_{28}^{56} \mathrm{Ni}$ then decays to Co and stable ${ }_{26}^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ via $\beta^{+}$decay (Equation 1.11). A stable nucleus is defined as one that does not emit particles and/or radiation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{28}^{56} \mathrm{Ni} \rightarrow{ }_{27}^{56} \mathrm{Co}+\beta^{+} \rightarrow{ }_{26}^{56} \mathrm{Fe}+\beta^{+} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.4 Nuclear Stability and Binding Energy

Nuclear stability reaches a maximum at ${ }_{26}^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ and can be calculated for each nuclide using the Einstein mass-energy relationship of Equation 1.12 where $c$ is the velocity of light ${ }_{26}^{58} \mathrm{Fe}$ and ${ }_{28}^{62} \mathrm{Ni}$ are slightly more stable than ${ }_{26}^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ by 2 and 4 keV , respectively, but are not found in abundance on earth). Here, the sum of the individual particle masses of the neutron and proton show a loss in mass compared to the actual atomic mass (after nucleosynthesis); thus, the mass loss can be converted into energy, which is known as the binding energy of the nucleus. Figure 1.3 shows a plot of the binding energy per nucleon versus atomic number. The binding energy increases substantially from the proton to carbon, and then increases gradually to iron.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\mathrm{eV})=\Delta m c^{2} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta m=m_{\text {nucleons }}-m_{\text {nucleus }}$ in units of amu. For $c=2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and the atomic mass constant of a nuclide $=1.6606 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{amu}^{-1}$, the energy unit is $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ (or Joule) where $1 \mathrm{~J}=6.2415 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{eV}$ (or $1 \mathrm{eV}=1.602189 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$ ). Thus, the energy associated with a mass loss of 1 amu (the proton mass) is $1.492 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~J}$ or $9.315 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{eV}(0.9315 \mathrm{GeV})$, and on a molar basis, it is $8.9875 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$. For a mass loss of $5.486 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{amu}$ (the electron mass), the energy in Joules is $8.187 \times 10^{-14}$ or $5.11 \times$ $10^{5} \mathrm{eV}(0.511 \mathrm{MeV})$ and on a molar basis, it is $4.93 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$. Frequently, masses for nuclear particles are given in units of energy (eV).


Figure 1.3 Plot of the binding energy per nucleon for the most stable isotope of each atom [data from 7, 8]. Although the binding energy is normally plotted as a positive entity, the energy released is an exothermic process and has a negative value

### 1.4.1 The " $r$ " and " $s$ " Processes

The binding energy decreases with increasing atomic number after iron, and nucleosynthesis of the elements after ${ }_{26}^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ becomes more difficult for two highly charged nuclei to overcome the coulombic repulsion to get close enough for fusion to occur. At this point, neutron capture is the primary pathway for nucleosynthesis as the neutron can penetrate a positively charged nucleus thereby increasing the mass of the nucleus. There are two neutron capture processes that result in the production of new elements. First, slow neutron capture or the " $s$ " process occurs with the addition of one neutron to the nucleus, which is then followed by beta emission ( $\beta^{-}$); this occurs in stars with a mass of $0.6-10$ times that of the sun and the process terminates at the most stable massive nucleus, ${ }_{83}{ }^{209} \mathrm{Bi}$. The " s " process results in an increase in the proton to neutron ratio and a new element, as in the formation of technetium (Equation 1.13), which does not occur as a natural element on earth.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{42}^{98} \mathrm{Mo}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \rightarrow{ }_{42}^{99} \mathrm{Mo}+\gamma \rightarrow{ }_{43}^{99} \mathrm{Tc}+\beta^{-}+v^{(+)} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second neutron capture process is rapid neutron capture or the " $\mathbf{r}$ " process, which occurs in environments with high neutron density (e.g., core collapse supernovae; $\sim 10^{9} \mathrm{~K}$ ), so that several neutrons may be captured by a heavy seed nucleus such as ${ }_{26}^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ on the order of a second or less before $\beta^{-}$decay occurs, resulting in a new element as in Equation 1.14.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{26}^{56} \mathrm{Fe}+3{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \rightarrow{ }_{26}^{59} \mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow{ }_{27}^{59} \mathrm{Co}+\beta^{-} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1.4 Number of neutrons versus atomic number for the most stable isotope of each atom
The " $r$ " process occurs at higher temperatures than the " $s$ " process. It is energetic enough to allow for the formation of nuclei larger than ${ }_{83}^{209} \mathrm{Bi}$, and results in a faster increase of atomic mass in the nucleus than the " s " process. The result of both neutron capture processes is an increase in the number of neutrons and protons in the nucleus with increasing atomic number so that the heavier elements have neutron-rich nuclei as shown in Figure 1.4.

For the elements lighter than Ne , there is a tendency for the number of protons to equal the number of neutrons. The study of empirical binding energies and the development of theory for nuclear processes have led to the concept of nuclear shells that are complete when one of the following magic numbers is obtained for the proton or neutron: $2,8,20,28,50,82$ (also 114 for the proton and 126 and 184 for the neutron; recently 34 has been shown to be a magic number) [9]. When the number of protons or neutrons equals a magic number in the nucleus, the nuclei are highly stable to nuclear decay processes. When both have one of the magic numbers, the nucleus is considered to have "double magic" [10]. Examples of particularly stable elements are ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He},{ }_{8}^{16} \mathrm{O},{ }_{20}^{40} \mathrm{Ca},{ }_{28}^{48} \mathrm{Ni}$ and, ${ }_{82}^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$. These elements have even numbers of protons $(Z)$, neutrons $(N)$, and thus atomic mass (A). The number of stable nuclides with the four possibilities of even and odd character of $Z, N$, and $A$ are as follows:

## Even $Z$, even $N$ (even $A$ ) 164 nuclei

Even $Z$, odd $\mathrm{N}(\operatorname{odd} A) 55$ nuclei
Odd $Z$, even $N(\operatorname{odd} A) \quad 50$ nuclei
Odd $Z$, odd $N($ even $A) \quad 4$ nuclei $\left({ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H},{ }_{3}^{6} \mathrm{Li},{ }_{5}^{10} \mathrm{~B},{ }_{7}^{14} \mathrm{~N}\right)$

### 1.5 Nuclear Stability (Radioactive Decay)

Inspection of Figures 1.3 and 1.4 also indicates that the heavier elements are unstable to radioactive decay; they release alpha particles and beta particles with the formation of stable atoms. Figure 1.5 shows the natural radioactive decay (first-order kinetics) along with the half-life ( $t_{1 / 2}$ ) for each decay process for three different atomic series; uranium-238, thorium-232, and uranium-235 with the end result being the formation of stable lead isotopes lead-206, lead-208, and lead-207, respectively. The use of the uranium series for geochronology or dating purposes resulted in the determination of the age of the earth ( $4.55 \times 10^{9}$ year) by Patterson [12] - but not until he was able to build a trace metal clean facility to avoid Pb contamination as lead was used commonly in gasoline, paints, plumbing, pesticides and other uses before and after World War II. Several isotopes in these series are presently used for other dating purposes and to provide reliable information on earth and ocean processes (e.g., Th is used to track the transport of organic carbon from the ocean surface through the water column to the sediments).

Of course neutron capture by some of the heavier elements leads to fission or the splitting of the heavier elements (see Figure 1.3) into two intermediate elements. Fission of uranium also results in significant energy release and produces enough energy to synthesize heavier nuclides (e.g., ${ }_{98}^{254} \mathrm{Cf}$ ).

### 1.6 Atmospheric Synthesis of Elements

In addition to the formation of the elements in stars and supernovae, which is ongoing, cosmic rays have sufficient energy to induce neutron capture followed by proton emission as in the reaction to form carbon-14 from nitrogen-14 present in earth's atmosphere (Equation 1.15a). This reaction results in a relatively constant supply of carbon-14 ( $t_{1 / 2}=5730$ year $)$ on the earth. C-14 is also fixed into organic matter along with C-12 and $\mathrm{C}-13$ during photosynthesis, and once an organism dies, the $\mathrm{C}-14$ decays with first-order kinetics and beta particle release (Equation 1.15b) allowing radio-dating of the dead material.

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{7}^{14} \mathrm{~N}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}+\text { cosmic rays } \rightarrow{ }_{6}^{14} \mathrm{C}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}  \tag{1.15a}\\
& { }_{6}^{14} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow{ }_{7}^{14} \mathrm{~N}+\beta^{-}+v^{(+)} \tag{1.15b}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.7 Abundance of the Elements

The abundance of the elements is directly related to nucleosynthesis, and this section provides information on their abundance in the cosmos and on earth, then on their abundance in the atmosphere, the oceans, and the human body. Discussion of the transport of the elements between land, atmosphere, rivers, and the oceans couples physical, chemical, and biological processes. The incorporation of the elements into the hard (e.g., bone, shell) and soft (tissue) parts of organisms profoundly impacts their distribution in the environment.

### 1.7.1 The Cosmos and the Earth's Lithosphere

It should be no surprise that the most abundant elements in the cosmos are hydrogen and helium as shown in Figure 1.6, which shows a general decrease in elemental abundance with atomic number increase. Oxygen, silicon, and iron are also significant in abundance relative to the elements near them. The abundance of the elements on earth (lithosphere only) shows a similar trend (Figure 1.6). The earth consists of three zones, which are the continental crust (to about 36 km from the surface), the mantle (from 36 to 2900 km ), and


Figure 1.5 Radioactive decay series for U-238, Th-232, and U-235 to produce stable Pb isotopes. The half-lives $\left(t_{1 / 2}\right)$ for each nuclide are given on the right (Source: Data from [11])


Figure 1.6 Log plot of the Cosmic and Earth (continental crust or lithosphere) relative elemental abundances, which are normalized to $\mathrm{Si}=10^{6}$ atoms in each case (Source: Data from [13-15])
the core (from 2900 km to the core), and the elements vary in concentration between these three zones or compartments. The crust is the most available for study and for use as a source of the elements and their compounds.

Goldschmidt [16] gave a primary differentiation for the elements on the basis of the types of chemical phases that they could be found in these three zones. He classified the elements into four groups; siderophilic or iron loving (e.g., iron, cobalt, nickel), chalcophilic or copper loving but principally associated with sulfide (copper, silver, zinc, cadmium, mercury, lead), lithophilic or stone loving based on silicate minerals (oxygen, alkaline, and alkaline earth metals) and atmophilic or vapor loving (elements mainly as gases such as hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen, and the noble gases). Obviously, many elements are found in each of the four groups; for example, iron is found in the siderophilic, chalcophilic, and lithophilic groups with the latter groups being considered secondary.

The earth data in Figure 1.6 do not include the composition of water in the oceans and the composition of the elements in the ocean (see Section 1.7.2.1). However, the interaction of the elements and their transport between the atmosphere, hydrosphere, and lithosphere are important to understanding environmental and geochemical processes. The next sections will present information to give context for how the earth functions globally.

### 1.7.2 Elemental Abundance (Atmosphere, Oceans, and Human Body)

Figure 1.7 shows the major components of the atmosphere, the oceans, and the adult human body. Oxygen is a major component of the atmosphere as a gas $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ and as water $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ in the oceans combined with hydrogen. The human body is also mainly composed of water followed by organic carbon. Seventy percent


Figure 1.7 Pie charts showing the major constituents in the atmosphere, seawater, and the human body. Note that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in seawater is imperceptible in the plot
of the surface of the earth is covered by water in the oceans, which have a mean water depth of 4 km . Water is key to many processes on earth so this text will emphasize reactions that occur in water. There are several master variables (ionic strength (I), pH , redox potential, and light to name prominent ones) that are helpful in describing the chemical speciation of the elements in the environment and thus their reactivity. These will be noted throughout the book. Ionic strength varies from freshwater to the oceans to brines, which have 10 times the salinity of seawater and where sodium chloride precipitates. The pH of the environment can range from zero in acid mine drains to near 14 in alkaline lakes. The redox potential (or oxidation-reduction state of a system determined by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ as the ultimate oxidant and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as the ultimate reductant; see Sections 2.4 and 2.7) affects the element's oxidation state, which in turn affects other chemical processes. Although the Earth's crust is a dynamic system as a result of plate tectonics, a geochemical interest in this text is the sedimentary system where minerals and nanoparticles form and dissolve. Many of the reactions in the environment occur in the absence of light so it is important to make a distinction between thermal and photochemical reactions when discussing chemical reactivity. Photochemistry is important in surface waters as well as in the atmosphere. Using thermodynamics, kinetics, and quantum mechanics, it is possible to explain and predict important environmental reactions.

Freshwater systems include lakes and rivers, which flow into estuaries where salinity varies from essentially zero salinity to full ocean salinity of 35 g dissolved salt per kg of seawater ( ppt ). The major ions in seawater are given in Table 1.2. The ionic strength of seawater with a salinity of $35 \mathrm{gkg}^{-1}$ is 0.7 ; surface seawater has a pH of 8.1. The major ions in seawater are those that are unreactive or conservative as will be shown in Figure 2.7 for chloride ion in $\mathrm{pH}-e \mathrm{H}$ plots.

### 1.7.2.1 Distribution of the Elements in the Water Column of the Ocean

The following discussion describes first the distribution of the elements in the ocean, second the physical transport pathways of the elements into and through the ocean, and then the incorporation of the elements into phytoplankton via photosynthesis at the ocean surface. Lastly, as phytoplankton decay and sink to the sediments, the elements are released back into the water column and in the sediments through the biological pump (see Figure 1.12).

Marine chemists have measured the total concentration of almost every known element in the periodic table from the surface of the ocean to its depths. To measure low or ultra-trace levels (e.g., nanomolar to femtomolar) has required the fabrication of trace metal or element clean facilities as well as instrumentation

Table 1.2 Major anions and cations in seawater. The last two columns are mass/mass and molar/molar ratios of various ions to chloride ion

| ANIONS | $\mathrm{gkg}^{-1}(\%)$ | Molal | Charge (eq. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ) | Ion $/ \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | Ion / $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | 19.354 | 0.54591 | 0.54591 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | 2.712 | 0.02825 | 0.05650 | 0.1401 | 0.05175 |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | 0.0673 | 0.000842 | 0.000842 | 0.00384 | 0.00154 |
| $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ | 0.0013 | 0.000068 | 0.000068 | $6.7 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | 0.142 | 0.00230 | 0.00230 | 0.00734 | 0.00421 |
| CATIONS | $\mathbf{g ~ k g}{ }^{-1}(\%)$ | Molal | Charge (eq. $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ) | Ion $/ \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | Ion / $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{M})$ |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | 10.770 | 0.46850 | 0.46850 | 0.5565 | 0.8582 |
| $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ | 1.290 | 0.05308 | 0.10616 | 0.6665 | 0.09723 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ | 0.4121 | 0.01028 | 0.02056 | 0.02129 | 0.01883 |
| $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ | 0.3990 | 0.01021 | 0.01021 | 0.02062 | 0.01870 |
| $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ | 0.0079 | 0.00009 | 0.00018 | $4.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ |



Figure 1.8 Four types of water column profiles for dissolved species of the elements in the ocean. Dissolved is operationally defined as that material which passes through a $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter
that can measure these trace levels; here radiochemistry has been an important tool along with advances in electrochemistry and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). As a result of this great body of work, chemical oceanographers have produced a classification of the dissolved elements in the ocean with water column profiles (plots of element concentration versus ocean depth). Figure 1.8 shows idealized profiles for conservative, recycled (biolimiting and biointermediate), and scavenged elements.

Conservative elements show no significant change in concentration with depth in the ocean as these are largely unreactive over geologic time. Sodium and chloride ions are the predominant conservative species in seawater. Although there is no significant change in chloride concentration in the ocean, trace amounts of chloride can undergo oxidation to molecular chlorine and eventually form organic chlorine compounds, which can be released to the atmosphere. The recycled elements are those elements that are taken up by phytoplankton
into both hard (e.g., bone, shells) and soft (e.g., muscle, tissue, skin) parts. The recycled elements can show a couple of diverse trends: one for biolimiting elements such as hydrogen, phosphorus, silicon, and iron, and the other for biointermediate elements such as calcium. The hard parts are composed of silica or calcium carbonate. Many elements, particularly metals, can be included into the hard part as a co-precipitate or as a defect in the crystal structure (Section 6.3.8). In addition to carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphorus, the soft parts contain many of the metals used in enzyme systems such as nitrite reductase that contains iron. Recycled elements show a low concentration in the surface of the ocean due to uptake by algae (phytoplankton) and an increase with depth in the ocean, which is related to the decay of phytoplankton as they die and fall to the bottom of the ocean. The ratio of a recycled element's concentration in the deep Pacific Ocean to its concentration in the deep Atlantic Ocean is greater than one and is related to the mixing time of the ocean. As an example, Si as silicate increases in concentration with time and depth as diatoms sink to the deep ocean and dissolve. Because cold ocean water low in Si content forms in the North Atlantic Ocean and travels south around Antarctica and then into the Indian and Pacific oceans (the ocean conveyor belt is a useful first order approximation of ocean water movement; see http://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/conveyor.html and http://www.mbari.org/chemsensor/pteo.htm), these older waters have more dissolved silicate. Elements that are under the scavenged classification show a high concentration in the surface ocean and a decreasing concentration with depth. Manganese, aluminum, and lead are among the scavenged elements. Manganese is used in photosystem center II and is in the soft tissue of the organisms. There is more manganese in the surface than other metals that are used in enzyme systems, but manganese is in excess to what the organisms actually need so is not biolimiting. The ratio of a scavenged element's concentration in the deep Pacific Ocean to its concentration in the deep Atlantic Ocean is less than one.

### 1.7.2.2 Residence Time of the Elements in the Ocean

Figure 1.9a shows an idealized plot of the concentrations versus mean oceanic residence time of many of the elements in the ocean. The oceanic residence time can be calculated using Equation 1.16:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Residence time }(\tau)=\frac{[X] \text { ocean } * \text { Ocean volume }}{[X] \text { river } * \text { Annual river flux }} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[X]$ is the concentration of the element concerned, and the ocean volume is $1.37 \times 10^{21} \mathrm{~L}$ and the annual river flux is $3.6 \times 10^{16} \mathrm{~L}$ per year. The major elements $\left(>10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ have long residence times that approach $10 \%$ of the age of the earth. The minor elements $\left(10^{-4}-10^{-6} \mathrm{M}\right)$, including the macronutrients nitrogen and phosphorus, have intermediate residence times. The trace elements ( $10^{-6} \mathrm{M}-10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$ ), which include many of the transition metals used in enzyme systems, have residence times less than 1000 years, and finally the ultra-trace elements $\left(<10^{-9} \mathrm{M}\right)$ have the smallest residence times in the ocean. The residence time of an element is a function of that element's chemical reactivity, and the first hydrolysis constant ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$, Equation 1.17, Section 7.3) of the "free" metal ion $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{x}\right]^{y+}$ is a reasonable measure as shown in Figure 1.9 b . As the tendency for an element to form a hydroxo species increases and thus becoming more reactive, the residence time of the element decreases. Figure 1.9 a and b shows that the concentration of Fe , the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$ of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$, and the residence time for Fe are low (small), indicating that oceanic Fe chemistry is governed predominantly by Fe (III) and not Fe (II) (note the $10^{8}$ difference between $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ in $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$ ). This observation of reactivity is true for many other elements that can exist in higher oxidation states (e.g., $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{Th}$ ).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The residence time for particles in sediments is similar to that for $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. The chemical residence time of a water molecule in the ocean is greater than 10,000 years whereas the physical oceanic mixing or stirring time is 1000 years. Oceanic mixing is described by the conveyor belt model noted above.


Figure 1.9 (a) The average concentration. (b) First hydrolysis constant, $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$, versus residence time of many important elements in the ocean

### 1.7.2.3 Box Model Describing Element Flux to the Ocean and its Sediments

Figure 1.10 shows a six box model where the atmosphere and the rivers provide input of the elements to the surface layer of the ocean. The weathering of the continents through the action of rain and oxygen brings elements primarily in their dissolved form into the rivers and then into the estuary (some elements precipitate and get trapped in the estuary as shown in Figure 1.11) and finally in the ocean. The surface layer is dominated by the solubility of the elements and the photosynthesis/respiration cycle, as the elements partition between dissolved and particulate phases. In the center of the ocean, the atmosphere provides transport of the elements in dust particles and nanoparticles, which are deposited in the surface ocean by dry and wet deposition (rain) and which are solubilized to some extent so that organisms can uptake nutrients and metals ( $\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mn}$, etc.). Particles from dust that are not solubilized and particles from organisms formed in the surface layer settle into the deep layer where they can dissolve and release elements as shown in the classification of elements (Figure 1.8). Eventually particles not dissolved in the deep layer reach the sediment-water interface where they undergo continued dissolution and oxidation. Particles not dissolved at the sediment-water interface become part of the sediments and add to the net sedimentation rate. Oceanic waters also undergo downwelling and upwelling, which allow for dissolved elemental species to be transported between boxes. Upwelling of dissolved biolimiting and biointermediate elements (Figure 1.8) into the surface ocean via storm activity enhances primary productivity, much as river and atmospheric transport of these elements to surface waters does. Hydrothermal vents and seeps are important advective flux components exporting material (dissolved, nanoparticulate, and particulate states) from the crust to the lower ocean. This box model can also be applied to lakes.

### 1.7.2.4 Elemental Distribution at the Land-Ocean Boundary (Estuaries and Coasts)

Although the atmosphere is the major pathway to transport elements to the center of the surface ocean, the rivers are a major entry for many elements to the coastal ocean. The rivers mix with the coastal ocean waters via


Figure 1.10 Six box model of the ocean including the atmosphere and rivers as inputs into the surface ocean. Hydrothermal vents and sediment flux provide input to the deep ocean. Numbers indicate the six boxes
the estuary system, and mixing can result in a homogeneous distribution of chemical species (such as sodium and chloride ions with depth at a given location) or in vertical stratification, which shows an increase in the distribution of chemical species with depth at a given location. Figure 1.11 shows three major classifications or types of estuaries, which are determined by the ratio of tidal flow from seawater to river flow [17]. The salt wedge estuary (Figure 1.11a) is an extreme case of stratification at a given location where the concentration of an element is constant over some surface depth and then increases dramatically with depth as seawater intrudes from below. The Bosporus Strait, which enters into the Black Sea, is an excellent example of this classification, and the ratio of tidal to river flow is $\leq 1$. The partially mixed estuary (Figure 1.11 b ) shows a regular increase in concentration of an element with depth at a given location; that is, there is some stratification with depth, and the ratio of tidal to river flow ranges from 10 to 1000 . The northern Chesapeake Bay above the Potomac River is an example of this type of system. The vertically homogeneous estuary (Figure 1.11c) shows no change in concentration of an element with depth at a given location; that is, there is no stratification with depth, and the ratio of tidal flow to river flow is $>1000$. The Delaware Bay is an example of a homogeneous estuary in the absence of severe storms that results in freshwater runoff from rain (during storms the freshwater remains on the surface and does not mix readily with the seawater coming in with the tides).

Figure 1.11 d shows an example of a property-property plot for the mixing of a conservative element at high concentration and low salinity (terrestrial source) with an oceanic water mass that has a low concentration


Figure 1.11 (a-c) Three classifications for the types of estuaries based upon the mixing of river water with oceanic water. In each figure, the solid line shows an actual profile of salinity with depth whereas the dashed lines show idealized plots for each classification. (d) Idealized property-property plot of a property (e.g., concentration of a chemical species) versus salinity
of the element. The straight line shows dilution or conservative behavior on mixing of freshwater with ocean water. For the vertically homogeneous Delaware Bay (Figure 1.11c), silicate shows conservative behavior. The curved dashed line (triangles, concave downward) above the straight line is an example of an element that shows estuarine source behavior. For the Delaware Bay, phosphate shows source behavior due to sediment resuspension and dissolution. The curved dashed line (squares, concave upward) below the straight line is an example of an element that shows removal behavior (also termed an estuarine sink). In the Delaware Bay, iron, manganese, cobalt, and cadmium show removal behavior whereas copper, nickel, and nitrate show a gradual removal. For iron, oxidation of Fe (II) to Fe (III) results in precipitation of iron oxyhydroxides, which can adsorb many of the other metals, to the sediment. An element with an oceanic source $(\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Cl})$ would have an inverse plot.

### 1.8 Scope of Inorganic Chemistry in Geochemistry and the Environment

The above geochemical discussion describes the distribution of the elements and how they are affected primarily by physical forcing. As noted, the atmosphere and the rivers provide the elements to the surface of the ocean far from land and to the coastal ocean, respectively. For example, the atmosphere provides dust particles including sand $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ and aluminosilicates from deserts that are rich in metals such as Al and Si and that contain trace elements such as $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Zn}$, and Cu . These particles are partially soluble in seawater, and the elements released can be taken up by phytoplankton during photosynthesis for various biochemical and structural functions. Table 1.3 shows some elements essential for life.

### 1.8.1 Elemental Distribution Based on Photosynthesis and Chemosynthesis

Environmental inorganic chemistry can be broadly broken into two subject areas, that which is natural and that which is anthropogenic. Many natural processes on earth (often referred to as (bio)geochemistry) are driven by the carbon cycle via photosynthesis that requires macronutrients (such as nitrate and phosphate) and micronutrients (such as the transition metals), which are used in a host of enzyme systems. In photosynthesis, the transformation of carbon dioxide into organic matter requires light to initiate electron and hydrogen ion transfer to eventually produce phytoplankton and sea grasses in the ocean and grasses and forests on land. A molecular understanding of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Sections 5.7.2 and 7.7.3.1) is important as it is a poor electron acceptor, which affects its dissolution from the atmosphere to surface waters (known as the solubility pump in Figure 1.12) and its reactivity with other molecules (e.g., Section 12.6.3).

During photosynthesis, the elements are combined in surface waters to form organic matter in the form of phytoplankton. Figure 1.12 shows the biological pump for lakes and the ocean. Here, carbon dioxide, the major nutrients (nitrate, phosphate, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ), and trace elements used for enzymes along with the major elements, Ca and Si , used to form the hard parts of organisms are taken up by phytoplankton with the production and release of oxygen to the water column and the atmosphere. Much of the phytoplankton organic carbon produced becomes part of the ocean food web, and some organic compounds are released as soluble entities to the water column. Prior to the 1970s, transition metals dissolved in the oceanic water column were considered

Table 1.3 Selected elements and their uses in biochemistry and bioinorganic chemistry. The first five are considered major and minor elements as shown in Figure 1.9

| Element | Some biological uses |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nitrogen | Protein and nucleic acid synthesis |
| Phosphorus | Nucleic acids, teeth, bones, shells |
| Sulfur | Protein synthesis, cell division, electron transport |
| Silicon | Diatom hard parts $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ |
| Calcium | Foraminifera shells, coral, bones, teeth, electrical conduction |
| Iron | Electron transport, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ fixation, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ activation, storage and transport |
| Copper | Electron transport, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ activation, storage and transport |
| Manganese | Photosystem center II - the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ evolving complex (OEC), oxidative stress |
| Zinc | Carbonic anhydrase, nucleic acid regulation |
| Vanadium | Haloperoxidases, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ fixation |
| Molybdenum | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ fixation |



Figure 1.12 The biological pump (also white downward arrows) shows carbon transfer from the ocean surface to its sediments. Burial of organic carbon and calcium carbonate, which are produced via photosynthesis, occurs in sediments. Emissions from hydrothermal vents release metals and other chemicals to the deep ocean
to exist as only inorganic compounds such as chloride (halide), hydroxide, and carbonate complexes before they precipitated as oxides and carbonates. In reducing sediments, many metals then reacted with hydrogen sulfide to form metal sulfides. Now, most transition metals are known to complex with organic chelates so that greater than $99 \%$ of the metal is organically complexed [18]; this includes metals known to hydrolyze and precipitate as oxides or hydroxides such as $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$.

On death of the phytoplankton, the soft or fleshy parts are decomposed by oxygen back to bicarbonate and carbon dioxide, and this process is known as respiration. Additional chemical constituents in organic compounds are also released during decomposition (Figure 1.8) and are available for reaction with other compounds. Although much respiration occurs in the water column, the hard parts of dead phytoplankton (silica and calcium carbonate) have a density greater than that of seawater, which permits them to sink to the sediments at the bottom of the ocean where further organic matter decomposition occurs. The entire process of organic matter production in the surface and its transport to the sediments is known as the biological pump.

Chemosynthesis occurs in the dark as inorganic chemical reactions (e.g., the oxidation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) mediated by chemolithic autotrophic microbes fuel the energy, hydrogen ions, and electrons needed to fix carbon dioxide into organic matter. [Chemolithic means stone or inorganic chemicals that microbes use to fix $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Autotrophic process)] Chemosynthesis is found primarily near hydrothermal vents on ocean ridges; here, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}$, and other reduced materials are released from the crust to the ocean.

The decomposition of dead organic matter ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ORG}}$ ) occurs with a variety of oxidizing agents and leads to the partial regeneration of carbon dioxide and bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$. However, a significant amount of organic matter results in the production and burial of oil and natural gas, which are used as raw materials to produce a wealth of materials beneficial to society. The transformation of natural products from photosynthesis and chemosynthesis frequently occurs with the use of inorganic reagents, many of which are catalysts. Chemical reactions that are performed in the laboratory can be used to gain understanding about reaction kinetics and pathways that occur in nature. For example, the Fischer-Tropsch reaction (Section 9.13.4), which
is a catalytic process for organic compound formation from simple molecules with metals as catalysts, is now being studied as a possible reaction that occurs in the chimneys of hydrothermal vents [19]. Thus, it is important to demonstrate the synergism between laboratory and field studies. In order to better understand environmental chemistry and geochemistry, researchers can benefit from an understanding of the principles of physical inorganic chemistry, which can explain and predict fundamental molecular interactions.

### 1.8.2 Stratified Waters and Sediments - the Degradation of Organic Matter by Alternate Electron Acceptors

On death, the soft parts from phytoplankton undergo oxidation via bacterial mediation. The oxidation of organic matter both in the water column and sediments is represented by the following seven equations (1.18-1.23) where $\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{263} \mathrm{O}_{110} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{P}$ is the Redfield ratio for carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and phosphorus [20, 21]. Here, amino acids, peptides, proteins, DNA, and RNA can be decomposed with the release of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and phosphate to the water column as shown in Figure 1.8 for biolimiting and biointermediate elements. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is oxidized to $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. The first oxidant used to decompose organic matter is oxygen, which provides the maximum free energy for the oxidation of organic carbon. Once oxygen is consumed, nitrate is used as an oxidant followed by manganese dioxide and then iron(III) oxyhydroxides and sulfate. Methanogenesis finally occurs when no other natural oxidants are available. For all these reactions, phosphate is a product; however, the nitrogen product changes from nitrate (Equation 1.18) to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (Equations 1.19-1.21) and ammonium ion (Equations 1.22-1.24) as shown in Section 12.7.

## OXYGEN REDUCTION/RESPIRATION (reverse of photosynthesis)

$$
\begin{align*}
138 \mathrm{O}_{2} & +\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{263} \mathrm{O}_{110} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow 106 \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}+16 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+16 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+124 \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
\Delta G & =-3190\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

## NITRATE REDUCTION

$$
\begin{align*}
& 94.4 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{263} \mathrm{O}_{110} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow 106 \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}+55.2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+71.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+13.6 \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
& \quad \Delta G=-3030\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{align*}
$$

## IODATE REDUCTION

$$
\begin{align*}
& 78.7 \mathrm{IO}_{3}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{263} \mathrm{O}_{110} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow 106 \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}+8 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+78.7 \mathrm{I}^{-}+24 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+108 \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
& \Delta G=-2868\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

## $\mathbf{M n O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ REDUCTION

$$
\begin{align*}
& 236 \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{263} \mathrm{O}_{110} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{P}+364 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow 8 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+106 \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+236 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+636 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \quad \Delta G=-3090\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \tag{1.21}
\end{align*}
$$

## FeOOH REDUCTION

$$
\begin{align*}
& 424 \mathrm{FeOOH}+\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{263} \mathrm{O}_{110} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{P}+756 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow 106 \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}+16 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+424 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+636 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \quad \Delta G=-1330\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

## SULFATE REDUCTION

$$
\begin{align*}
& 53 \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{263} \mathrm{O}_{110} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow 106 \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}+16 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+53 \mathrm{HS}^{-}+36 \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
& \quad \Delta G=-380\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \tag{1.23}
\end{align*}
$$

## METHANOGENESIS

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{263} \mathrm{O}_{110} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{P}+53 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 53 \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}+53 \mathrm{CH}_{4}+16 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+39 \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
& \quad \Delta G=-350\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \tag{1.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 1.13a provides a schematic representation of pore water vertical profiles for dissolved oxygen, nitrate, manganese(II), iron(II), and hydrogen sulfide as determined by microelectrode profiling [21] along with the profile for metal oxide phases. Vertically stratified or stagnant water columns such as the Black Sea and stratified lakes, which are maintained by physical forcing, also give similar chemical profiles. Although the oceanic water column is expected to be oxygenated (oxic), there are several large ocean basins (e.g., the Arabian Sea and the tropical Eastern Pacific Ocean off Peru) that have significant consumption of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ below the photic (or light) penetration zone for 1000 m of a 4000 m water column. This $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ consumption zone is called the oxygen minimum zone ( $\mathrm{OMZ} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentrations are as low as 10 nM [22]. Here, nitrogen processes ${ }^{2}$, ${ }^{2}$. and reactions become more important with release of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and consumption of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. The initial increase in nitrate in these zones is due to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ oxidizing the $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$from peptides in organic matter. When both $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ are not detectable in the OMZ , this zone is often termed suboxic, indicating that other elements are more important in maintaining the redox state of the zone. When $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is absent and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is present, the zone is termed anoxic. The flow of chemical species and/or electrons at the interfaces of these zones allows for catalytic cycles between the elements that facilitate important chemical transformations that have had a major impact on earth processes. These catalytic cycles are composed of many reactions, some of which are purely inorganic (or abiotic) chemical reactions that occur in conjunction or in competition with biotic reactions mediated by microbes, which can use the free energy from the reactions to grow and multiply. For example, some iron oxidizing bacteria ( FeOB ) live at the interface where there is a decreasing oxygen gradient from above and a decreasing $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ gradient from below as in Figure 1.13b. At the interface of these opposing gradients, the chemical kinetics of the reaction between $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ are slow due to the low concentration (ranging from nanomolar to a few micromolar) of each reactant. These FeOB facilitate and can use the energy of the reaction between $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at low concentrations to fix carbon dioxide into organic matter. The major waste byproduct is the formation of Fe (III) minerals or solids; some researchers believe FeOB were major contributors to some of the banded iron formations (BIFs) that formed over 2 billion years ago [23]. Figure 1.13c shows an extreme mixing pattern of reduced vent fluids with oxygenated seawater right above the vent orifice. A range of chemical reactions occurs including oxidation and precipitation of reduced metals to form metal (oxy)hydroxides. Similar to vertical mixing in Figure 1.13c, horizontal mixing of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ also occurs when reduced ground (or seep) waters from aquifers are ejected or mixed with coastal oxygenated waters, for example, in the beach zone and on the continental shelf (submarine groundwater discharge, SGD) [24].

These organic matter decomposition reactions as well as the secondary abiotic and biotic reactions (e.g., $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ oxidation) are exceptionally important for geochemistry and environmental chemistry. Many of the element transformations are multielectron transfer processes, which undergo one or two electron transfers per reaction. In particular, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ frequently undergoes four separate one-electron reductions to water (forming reactive oxygen species or ROS as intermediates), manganese dioxide undergoes two-electron reduction to manganese(II) [sometimes via $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ ], sulfate undergoes eight-electron reduction to hydrogen sulfide (forming a host of $S$ intermediate oxidation states including elemental sulfur, polysulfides, thiosulfate, and


Figure 1.13 (a) Idealized vertical porewater profiles (concentration versus depth) of major dissolved redox chemical species in sediments and stagnant water basins based on Equations 1.18 through 1.24. The colors on the left indicate the metal oxide (brown), pyrite (gray), and FeS zones (black). (b) Overlap of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ in lakes. (c) Mixing of reduced $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ from vents with oxygenated ocean waters. All figures represent one-dimensional profiles
sulfite before forming sulfate) and reduced nitrogen in peptides undergoes an eight-electron transfer to nitrate (forming several intermediate oxidation states) as reduced carbon is oxidized to carbon dioxide. During these multielectron reactions, many of the formed intermediates provide unique oxidation-reduction chemical species or redox properties that cannot be readily reproduced in the laboratory. In many instances, these reactions are facilitated by microbial enzymes that are actively being investigated by bioinorganic chemists, geochemists, and environmental chemists.

### 1.9 Summary

This chapter showed that the distribution of elements in the cosmos and on earth is first a function of physical processes. The synthesis of the elements occurs via high-energy nuclear processes. The broad distribution of the elements on earth is a result of geophysical, hydrological, and atmospheric processes. As the elements undergo dynamic physical transport, chemical transformations occur. The emphasis has been on natural biogeochemical processes; however, humans have manufactured a variety of chemicals that have entered the atmosphere and the hydrosphere. Some have resulted in harmful effects as in the case of halocarbon emissions resulting in depletion of ozone in the stratosphere. Chemicals entering the atmosphere are transported
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rather quickly to all regions of the earth whereas chemicals entering rivers and other local waterways are transported primarily to the coastal ocean. Finally chemicals also percolate through surface soils and enter aquifers potentially contaminating ground waters.

### 1.9.1 Environmental Inorganic Chemistry

Some general questions and items of relevance in studying (geo)chemical and environmental chemical reactions include the following:

1. Why is a particular reaction kinetically slow although thermodynamically favorable?
2. What are the exact chemical species of two elements that lead to a chemical reaction?
3. How do master variables such as pH , ionic strength, and redox state influence how chemical speciation varies in the environment?

Some of the specific examples of geochemical and environmental relevance, which focus on electron transfer reactions in the environment, include the following:

1. Kinetic slowness of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ oxidation by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Why then is $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ a "weak" oxidant that requires microbial intervention to speed up the reaction?
2. Biogeochemical reactions including activation of small molecules $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ with metals as catalysts; synthesis of organic molecules, and the origins of life (e.g., hydrothermal vents).
3. Manganese(II) and iron(II) oxidation by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (implications regarding banded iron formations and oxygen transport carriers in organisms).
4. $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III}, \mathrm{IV})$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ mineral dissolution by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and organic ligands (siderophores and other ligands solubilize and stabilize these higher oxidation states in solution).
5. Mechanisms of pyrite, $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$, oxidation [ Fe (III) versus $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reactivity].
6. Pyrite formation via different sulfur reactants ( FeS with polysulfides or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ).
7. Metal complexation and centers in enzymes, metal uptake by organisms (thermodynamics and kinetics of metal acquisition by organisms).
8. Metalloenzymes capable of oxidizing $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and of reducing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
9. Properties of manganese in the oxygen-evolving complex found in photosystem center II.
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## 2

## Oxidation-Reduction Reactions (Redox)

### 2.1 Introduction

A countless number of metal and non-metal reactions occur that undergo electron transfer. Oxidation involves the loss of electrons, and reduction involves the gain of electrons. The oxidation state of an element in a compound or ion is positive when electrons are lost and negative when electrons are gained relative to the element in its standard state, which has an oxidation state or number of zero. In a large number of compounds, the oxidation state of hydrogen is typically +1 (when bound to metals it is normally -1 ) and that of oxygen is -2 .

### 2.1.1 Energetics of Half Reactions

In a complete redox reaction, there are two half-reactions where one chemical species must accept electrons (the oxidizing reagent, oxidant, or electron acceptor) and another chemical species must donate the electrons (the reducing agent, reductant, or electron donor). Redox reactions can occur between two chemical species in the same physical state (solution) or in different physical states (e.g., solid FeOOH reacting with aqueous hydrogen sulfide, Section 11.2). There are many important redox reactions that are exploited for their production of energy in voltaic or galvanic cells (battery technology). Equation 2.1 shows the relationship between $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{0}$ (the Gibbs free energy), $E^{0}$ (the standard reduction potential), and $K$ (the equilibrium constant) of a redox reaction. $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin, and standard state conditions are $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(298.16^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$ and 1 atm with all reactants at unit activity (molarity is often used). Positive $E^{0}$ values indicate a spontaneous or thermodynamically favorable reaction (exergonic reaction) whereas negative $E^{0}$ values indicate an unfavorable reaction (endergonic reaction). Equation 2.1 is applicable to half-reactions and full reactions. Although $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{0}$ values for half-reactions are additive, the number of electrons ( $n$ ) must be considered when adding $E^{0}$ values (see Frost diagrams below).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}=-n F E^{0}=-R T \ln K \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 2.1 shows experimental setups for the determination of $E^{0}$ for a redox reaction and for half-reactions. Figure 2.1a shows that Zn metal (oxidation state of 0 ) loses two electrons to dissolve and form $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ (oxidation state of +2 ) as $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ in solution gains two electrons and is deposited on the original Cu electrode, which grows

[^1]

Figure 2.1 (a) Galvanic cell with a KCl salt bridge for the internal circuit and a voltmeter (V). (b) Measurement of potential for half-reactions using the SHE. At standard state, all concentrations of chemical species are at unit activity ( $\sim 1$ atm or bar; 1 M)
in size. The shorthand notation for metals in aqueous solution is given as $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{M}^{x+}$, which is a simplification of the free metal ion that has water molecules attached to it, $\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ or $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{x+}$ (see Section 7.3). When the circuit is closed, the reaction produces a voltage of +1.100 V . These two half-reactions and the overall reaction are written as

$$
\mathrm{Zn}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad \text { plus } \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \text { equals } \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+\mathrm{Zn}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}
$$

The voltage for each half-reaction is determined with a standard hydrogen electrode (SHE), which is defined by convention with a voltage of 0.000 V .

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}
$$

From the SHE voltage, the standard reduction potential for the reduction half-reactions of the metal ions is determined as in Figure 2.1b; the values and notation for the Cu and Zn reduction couples are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{s}} & E_{\mathrm{red}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cu}\right)=+0.337 \mathrm{~V} ; E_{\text {ox }}^{0}=-0.337 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}_{\mathrm{s}} & E_{\mathrm{red}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}\right)=-0.763 \mathrm{~V} ; E_{\mathrm{ox}}^{0}=+0.763 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

The half-reaction with the largest positive value is the cathode where reduction occurs (e.g., $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$, the oxidized species, is reduced) and the least positive value is the anode where oxidation occurs ( Zn , the reduced species, is oxidized). Zn metal is commonly used as a sacrificial anode attached to the hulls of boats. To determine a spontaneous redox reaction, the reduction potential of the anode is subtracted from the reduction potential of the cathode as follows (similar to adding the $E^{0}$ for the reduction reaction to the $E^{0}$ for the oxidation reaction).

$$
E_{\mathrm{cell}}^{0}=E_{\mathrm{cat}}^{0}-E_{\mathrm{an}}^{0}=E_{\mathrm{red}}^{0}+E_{\mathrm{ox}}^{0}=+0.337-(-0.763)=+1.100 \mathrm{~V}
$$

From these potential measurements, the electrochemical series of the elements and of their compounds is produced. Oxidants have a positive standard reduction potential, and reductants have a negative standard reduction potential. The following half-reactions and standard potentials at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ demonstrate the series. Standard potentials are typically given in 1 M acid $(\mathrm{pH}=0)$ or 1 M base $(\mathrm{pH}=14)$ at unity activity of all chemical species.

| Selected Oxidants | V | Selected Reductants | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}$ | +2.87 | $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | -0.763 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | +1.36 | $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | -1.68 |
| $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | +1.065 | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | -2.84 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{I}^{-}$ | +0.535 | $\mathrm{Li}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Li}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | -3.04 |

### 2.1.2 Standard Potential and the Stability of a Chemical Species of an Element

The standard potential for each chemical species of an element can be used to predict stability of species in solution. Here, the standard reduction potentials for the eight equations representing six manganese species below in 1 M acid are used as the example.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & E^{0}=-1.18 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+} & E^{0}=+1.50 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & E^{0}=+0.95 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{3-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & E^{0}=+4.27 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{3-} & E^{0}=+0.27 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{2-} & E^{0}=+0.56 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow{\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{E^{0}=+1.23 \mathrm{~V}} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & E^{0}=+1.70 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+5 \mathrm{e}^{-}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow{\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{0} E^{0}=+1.51 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

### 2.1.2.1 Latimer Diagrams

These electrochemical reactions can be summarized in a Latimer diagram (Figure 2.2), which shows the reduction potentials of the above reactions by indicating the most oxidized species on the left and the most reduced on the right of the diagram while omitting the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$needed to balance the equations. Summing the three reduction potentials for the one electron transfers from $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{-}$to $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(0.56+0.27+$ 4.27) gives a value of $E^{0}=5.1$; likewise, the three electron reduction of $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$to $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ gives a value of $n E^{0}=(3(+1.70))=5.1$. This is an example of Hess's law, which indicates that the total energy change during the course of a chemical reaction is the same whether the reaction progresses in one step or several steps; i.e., the energy change is independent of the path taken from the initial to the final state. This is a statement of the principle of the conservation of energy.


Figure 2.2 Latimer diagram for Mn species at $\mathrm{pH}=0 . E^{0}$ data from [1] (Source: Data from [1])

$$
\begin{gathered}
+1.989 \quad+0.799 \\
\mathrm{Ag}^{2+} \xrightarrow{+} \mathrm{Ag}^{+} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \mathrm{Ag}_{(\mathrm{s})}
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 2.3 Latimer diagram for Ag species at $\mathrm{pH}=0$. $E^{0}$ data from [1] (Source: Data from [1])

A species tends to disproportionate into its two neighbors if the standard reduction potential on the right is greater than that on the left of the Latimer diagram as in the case of $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$.

$$
2 \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II}) \quad \text { or } \quad 2 \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})+\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})
$$

In this case, the reduction of $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ to $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ has $E^{0}$ right $=+1.50 \mathrm{~V}$ whereas the reduction of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ has $E_{\text {left }}^{0}=+0.95 \mathrm{~V}$. The difference between these two one-electron step reactions is thermodynamically favorable.

$$
E_{\text {right }}^{0}-E_{\text {left }}^{0}=1.50 \mathrm{~V}-(0.95 \mathrm{~V})=+0.55 \mathrm{~V}
$$

where the negative sign in front of $E^{0}{ }_{\text {left }}$ indicates an oxidation reaction for $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3++}$.
The reverse of disproportionation is comproportionation where two species of the same element with different oxidation states form a product that has an intermediate oxidation state.

The comproportionation reaction of $\mathrm{Ag}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{Ag}_{(\mathrm{s})}$ leads to formation of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$.

$$
\mathrm{Ag}^{2+}+\mathrm{Ag}_{(\mathrm{s})} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}
$$

The relevant reactions and standard potentials are given below and Figure 2.3 (above) is the Latimer diagram.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{Ag}^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+} \quad E_{\text {left }}^{0}=+1.989 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}_{(\mathrm{s})} \quad E_{\text {right }}^{0}=+0.799 \\
E_{\text {comp }}^{0}=E_{\text {left }}^{0}-E_{\text {right }}^{0}=+1.989-(+0.799)=+1.190 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Comproportionation reactions can also occur in multielectron transfer reactions. The reaction of $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{-}$with excess $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$forms $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$, which can disproportionate over time as above. However, the stoichiometric reaction of $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{-}$with $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ forms stable $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$; in this case, $E^{0}{ }_{\text {left }}>E_{\text {right }}^{0}$ in the Latimer diagram for the Mn species above.

### 2.1.2.2 Frost Diagrams

A Frost diagram uses the same equations and data as in the Latimer diagram but explicitly accounts for the number of electrons transferred between each chemical couple for an element based on Equation 2.1. The plot is $n E^{0}$ (or $-\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{0} / F$ ) in volts versus the oxidation state of the element and is formally a plot of the Gibbs free energy of the different redox species versus their oxidation states. An element in its standard state has a


Figure 2.4 Frost diagrams for Mn species at $\mathrm{pH}=0$ and $\mathrm{pH}=14$
value of $n E^{0}=0$ by definition as $\Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{0}=0$. The acidic Mn half-reactions above yield the black line in the Frost diagram (Figure 2.4). The plot starts with $\operatorname{Mn}(0)$ with a value of $n E^{0}=0$; then $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ with $n E^{0}$ value of -2.36 from $\left[\left(2^{*}(-1.18)\right)+(0)\right]$; then $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ with $n E^{0}$ value of -0.86 from $\left[\left(1^{*}(+1.50)\right)+(-2.36)\right]$, and so on. There are five consecutive one-electron transfer half-reactions from $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$to $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$.

The slopes of the lines give an indication of the direction of a reaction. For $\mathrm{Mn}(0)$ oxidation to $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in acidic solution, there is a negative slope, which indicates that Mn should be a reducing agent and should be oxidized. For couples with positive slopes, the redox species with the highest $n E^{0}$ value should be readily reduced. In a Frost diagram, stability of an oxidation state increases from top to bottom as in Figure 2.4, which shows that $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ is the most stable species under acidic conditions. A chemical species that tends to disproportionate will have a $n E^{0}$ value above the line between its two nearest neighbors as shown for $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ above the dark blue line between $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$. A chemical species that will form due to comproportionation will have an $n E^{0}$ value below the line between its two nearest neighbors (this is the case for $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$). The dashed blue line in Figure 2.4 shows the comproportionation reaction to form $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ from the stoichiometric reaction of $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ with $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. Inspection of Figure 2.4 (and Figure 2.5) shows that high oxidation state redox species (dashed blue line Figure 2.4) are also more stable in basic solution than in acidic solution indicating that the central atom can undergo oxidation in base. At $\mathrm{pH}=14, \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ are the most stable species.

Figure 2.5 shows the Frost diagram for chlorine species (most half-reactions are two electron transfers). In this example, the most stable redox species is the chloride ion under both acidic and basic conditions. Thus, the formation of any other chlorine species under natural conditions should lead to their reduction and eventual formation of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, which is the dominant form in nature over all pH . In Chapter 1 (Section 1.7.1), $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$was shown to be a conservative species in seawater. The dashed and solid blue lines indicate that $\mathrm{HClO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right)$ will disproportionate to HClO or $\mathrm{HOCl}\left(\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right)$and $\mathrm{ClO}_{3}^{-}$; this is an example of forming a higher oxidation state chemical species without the need for an additional oxidant.


Figure 2.5 Frost diagrams for Cl species at $\mathrm{pH}=0$ and $\mathrm{pH}=14$

### 2.2 Variation of Standard Potential with pH (the Nernst Equation)

Reactants and products are not normally at unit activity so the voltage of a redox reaction (Equation 2.2) is dependent on the concentration of each species. The voltage is then expressed using the Nernst equation (Equation 2.3) where $Q$ is the reaction quotient (Equation 2.4) (or the equilibrium constant $K$ at equilibrium). At the standard state, the $\ln Q$ term in Equation 2.3 yields the simplified $\log$ expression in Equation 2.4. The numbers 1 and 2 indicate the chemical species of elements 1 and 2 , whereas the letters indicate the stoichiometry of the reaction. For solids, the activity is defined as 1 ; however, this may not be true for nanoparticles.

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \mathrm{Ox}_{1}+b \operatorname{Red}_{2} \rightarrow c \operatorname{Red}_{1}+d \mathrm{Ox}_{2}  \tag{2.2}\\
& E=E^{0}-\frac{R T}{n F} \ln Q=E^{0}-\frac{0.059}{n} \log Q  \tag{2.3}\\
& Q=\frac{[\operatorname{Red}]_{1}{ }^{c}[\mathrm{Ox}]_{2}{ }^{d}}{[\mathrm{Ox}]_{1}{ }^{a}[\operatorname{Red}]_{2}{ }^{b}} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

For an initial concentration of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ of 1 M and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ of $0.1 \mathrm{M}, Q$ equals 10 ; thus, $E=+1.100-0.030=$ +1.070 V . The change in voltage across a membrane in a pH electrode is the basis for determining the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ concentration or activity of the solution.

### 2.3 Thermodynamic Calculations and $\mathbf{p H}$ Dependence

An excellent way to demonstrate the thermodynamics and redox state of a chemical species or chemical environment over pH is to use Equation 2.3 in the following manner to calculate the $E_{\mathrm{h}}$ (the potential of a chemical system) and the negative $\log$ of the electron activity $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-}\right\}$(Equation 2.5) where the brackets $\}$
indicate the activity of a species. Calculations are performed for aqueous species

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=-\log \left\{\mathrm{e}^{-}\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the pH range of $1-14$, which covers the environmental span of acid mine drainage areas to photosynthetically active and alkali lake areas. Thermodynamic data in $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 atm are from three main sources [1-3; Appendix 2.1]. The value used for the Gibbs free energy for $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\left(-90.53 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ is that discussed in [4]. The basic mathematical approach has been fully developed in standard textbooks and publications [5, 6]. First, a reduction half-reaction for each redox couple is written as for the case of aqueous oxygen reduction to water in Equation 2.6a. From the known Gibbs free energies or standard redox potentials, $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}(=\log K)$ is calculated at the standard state conditions for each half-reaction (Equations 2.6b and 2.6c), which is normalized to a one-electron reaction (e.g., Equation 2.6a becomes Equation 2.6d).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \quad 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& 16.32 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 2(-237.18) \quad \Delta G^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{f}} \text { in }\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \tag{2.6a}
\end{align*}
$$

The standard state $\Delta G_{f}^{0}$ for the reaction $=-490.68 \mathrm{~kJ} / 2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or 4 mol of electrons. The quotient now referred to as the equilibrium constant $\left(K^{0}{ }_{4}\right)$ is given in Equation 2.6 b where $\}$ indicates activity for each chemical species. $\log K^{0}{ }_{4}=\Delta G /[(-2.303) R T]=86.00$ for 4 mol of electrons or 21.50 for 1 mol of electrons where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{4}^{0}=\frac{\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}^{2}}{\left\{\mathrm{O}_{2}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{H}^{+}\right\}^{4}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-}\right\}^{4}} \text { so } \log K_{4}^{0}=86.00=\log \frac{\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}^{2}}{\left\{\mathrm{O}_{2}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{H}^{+}\right\}^{4}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-}\right\}^{4}} \tag{2.6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{4}^{0}=-\log \left\{\mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}\right\}-\log \left\{\mathrm{H}^{+}\right\}^{4}-\log \left\{\mathrm{e}^{-}\right\}^{4} \tag{2.6c}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an one-electron reaction, Equation 2.6a becomes Equation 2.6d.

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / 4 \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{2.6d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, Equation 2.6c then becomes Equation 2.6e, which is rearranged to Equation 2.6f.

$$
\begin{align*}
1 / 4 \log K_{4}^{0} & =-1 / 4 \log \left\{\mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}\right\}-\log \left\{\mathrm{H}^{+}\right\}-\log \left\{\mathrm{e}^{-}\right\}=-1 / 4 \log \left\{\mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}\right\}+\mathrm{pH}+\mathrm{p} \varepsilon  \tag{2.6e}\\
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =1 / 4 \log {K^{0}}_{4}+1 / 4 \log \left\{\mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}\right\}-\mathrm{pH} \tag{2.6f}
\end{align*}
$$

From the Nernst equation, $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}=1 / 4 \log K^{0}{ }_{4}=21.50$ (standard state value) and on substituting into Equation 2.6f becomes Equation 2.6g.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}+1 / 4 \log \left\{\mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}\right\}-\mathrm{pH}=21.50+1 / 4 \log \left\{\mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}\right\}-\mathrm{pH} \tag{2.6~g}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $250 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(250 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ; 100 \%\right.$ air saturation at $\left.\sim 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, this expression becomes Equation 2.6 h .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=21.50+1 / 4 \log \left\{250 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}\right\}-\mathrm{pH}=20.60-\mathrm{pH} \tag{2.6h}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{M}\right)$, this expression becomes Equation 2.6i.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=21.50+1 / 4 \log \left\{10^{-6} \mathrm{M}\right\}-\mathrm{pH}=20.00-\mathrm{pH} \tag{2.6i}
\end{equation*}
$$

At unit activity for all reagents $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}$. At unit activity of all reagents other than the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, the approximate pH dependence is calculated for all reactions. In the $\mathrm{O}_{2(\text { aq) }}$ example, Equation 2.6 g becomes Equation 2.6j, which will be used for all aqueous solution calculations unless stated otherwise.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=21.50-\mathrm{pH} \tag{2.6j}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same process can be performed for the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas couple using Equation 2.7a or 2.7b and assuming the activity of hydrogen or $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ is 1 . The final result is Equation 2.8.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}  \tag{2.7a}\\
& \mathrm{H}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}  \tag{2.7b}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=-\mathrm{pH} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4 Stability Field of Aqueous Chemical Species

Plots of $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon$ versus pH (Figure 2.6 or the Pourbaix diagram) for Equations 2.6j and 2.8 show the redox stability field of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ between the two diagonal lines. $E_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon$ are related through Equations 2.1 and 2.3 to give Equation 2.9 ( $n=1$ for $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reduction). $E_{\mathrm{h}}$ is plotted on the right of Figure 2.6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0.059) \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=E_{\mathrm{h}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar manner, these calculations can be used to show what ions and compounds of the elements are stable within the stability field of water. Thus, the two master variables, pH and $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon\left(E_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$, together can predict thermodynamic stability in the natural environment. In Chapter 1 (Section 1.7.1), $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and other simple ions were shown to have conservative behavior in seawater. Using the equations in Figure 2.7, the p $\varepsilon^{\circ}$ versus pH diagram for four chlorine species $\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{HOCl}, \mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right)$in water shows that only $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is predicted to exist in water under standard state conditions. The calculation assumes that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is the strongest or only


Figure 2.6 The $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}$ versus pH diagram for water stability. The solid blue lines are calculated from Equations 2.6j and 2.8 and represent the stability field of water


Figure 2.7 Pourbaix ( $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}$ versus pH ) diagram for low oxidation state chlorine species. The area between the blue solid lines represents the stability field of water. The numbers indicate the lines calculated from the five chlorine reactions
oxidant in nature. For stronger oxidants such as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and the OH radical ( $\cdot \mathrm{OH}$ ), which are at nanomolar concentrations (or transient species) in the environment, the blue stability lines would shift and HOCl and $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$could exist (see Figure 2.10). Although chlorate can form from disproportionation of $\mathrm{ClO}_{2}{ }^{-}$, chlorate and perchlorate are more oxidized species of chlorine; thus, they are prepared via stronger oxidants and/or by electrolysis, photochemical, electrical discharge, or radiolysis.

### 2.5 Natural Environments

Figure 2.8 shows where several natural waters exist within the stability field of water. These are approximate as they can vary pending the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration found in the environment. For example, several regions of the ocean have oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) as discussed in Chapter 1 (Section 1.8.2) so that the stability field of ocean water can extend from pH 7.5 to 8.1 , and from pE of fully oxygenated to non-detectable $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (note the dashed vertical lines in Figure 2.8).

### 2.6 Calculations to Predict Favorable Chemical Reactions

$\mathrm{A} \mathrm{p} \varepsilon(\mathrm{pH})$ for a half-reaction at a given pH can be calculated for any chemical species and some common ones of environmental relevance are presented in Table 2.1. These reactions are multistep reactions normalized to one electron so that the thermodynamic favorability of a reaction can be calculated (see Equation 2.1). The calculated value for each half-reaction is given as a function of pH in the lower part of Table 2.1, and these can easily be entered into spreadsheets for quick calculations of full reactions from two half-reactions


Figure 2.8 Selected natural waters within the stability field of water

Table 2.1 Important half-reactions for $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$calculated as in the text. The $p \varepsilon^{\circ}\left(\log \mathrm{K}^{0}\right)$ is the standard state condition (activity $=1$ in all species including $\mathrm{H}^{+}$). Multiplying the calculated pe by 0.059 V gives the reduction potential, E, at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Selected half-reactions and $\mathbf{p} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{p H})=\mathbf{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}\left(\log K^{\circ}\right)-\boldsymbol{n} \mathbf{p H}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) | $1 / 4 \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=21.50-\mathrm{pH}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (B) | $1 / 5 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+6 / 5 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 10 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+3 / 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-1.2 \mathrm{pH}=21.05-1.2 \mathrm{p}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (C) | $1 / 8 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+5 / 4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 8 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+3 / 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-1.25 \mathrm{pH}=14.9-1.25 \mathrm{pH}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (D) | $1 / 6 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+4 / 3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 3 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \quad \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-1.33 \mathrm{pH}=4.65-1.33 \mathrm{pH}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E) | $1 / 2 \mathrm{MnO}_{2(\mathrm{~s})}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (F) | $\mathrm{FeOOH}_{(\mathrm{s})}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-3 \mathrm{pH}=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{p} \mathrm{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{pH})=\log K(\mathrm{pH})$ for half-reactions A through F at the selected pH values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH | p [ A ] | p [ B$]$ | $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon[\mathrm{C}]$ | $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon[\mathrm{D}]$ | p [ [E] | p [ [F] |
| 0 | 21.50 | 21.05 | 14.9 | 4.65 | 20.8 | 13.37 |
| 1 | 20.50 | 19.85 | 13.65 | 3.32 | 18.8 | 10.37 |
| 2 | 19.50 | 18.65 | 12.4 | 1.98 | 16.8 | 7.37 |
| 3 | 18.50 | 17.45 | 11.15 | 0.65 | 14.8 | 4.37 |
| 4 | 17.50 | 16.25 | 9.90 | -0.68 | 12.8 | 1.37 |
| 5 | 16.50 | 15.05 | 8.65 | -2.02 | 10.8 | -1.63 |
| 6 | 15.50 | 13.85 | 7.4 | -3.35 | 8.8 | -4.63 |
| 7 | 14.50 | 12.65 | 6.15 | -4.7 | 6.8 | -7.63 |
| 8 | 13.50 | 11.45 | 4.9 | -6.02 | 4.8 | -10.63 |
| 9 | 12.50 | 10.25 | 3.65 | -7.35 | 2.8 | -13.63 |
| 10 | 11.50 | 9.05 | 2.4 | -6.7 | 0.8 | -16.63 |

(see next section). When $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is not in a balanced equation for a half-reaction, there is no pH dependence on the half-reaction. The $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon$ calculated is termed $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon(\mathrm{pH})$, which provides $\log K$ for each half-reaction at a given pH . Concentration dependence for the other reactants is not considered in the calculation; thus, these are considered standard state calculations. Note that Equations 2.6h-2.6j show a $1.50 \log$ change for an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration range from $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to unity activity so the calculations could vary an order of magnitude or more in either direction when concentration dependence is included. To test that a reaction is thermodynamically favorable, calculations are performed by combining different half-reactions at a given pH .

### 2.6.1 Coupling Half-Reactions

Using the data from Table 2.1, the reaction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Equation A) with $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ (Equation F ) to form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ at $\mathrm{pH}=7$ is used as an example of coupling two half-reactions to determine whether a reaction is favorable. In this case, $\log K$ [for the complete reaction] $=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{p} \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}\right)=14.50-(6.8)=7.70$. The negative sign in front of $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}\right)$ indicates that the half-reaction is now an oxidation half-reaction. This calculation is for the complete reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to water (a four-electron process).

Figure 2.9a shows the oxidation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form various Fe (II,III) and Mn (II, III,IV) (oxy)hydroxide solids over the pH range $0-14$. From this analysis, the reactions are predicted to occur over all


Figure 2.9 (a) Thermodynamic calculations for the multielectron reactions of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from Table 2.2 (Reaction O1 coupled with reactions Mn1-Mn3 and Fe1-Fe3). Positive values for $\Delta \log K$ on the $y$-axis indicate a favorable forward reaction. A negative value for $\Delta \log K$ indicates an unfavorable forward reaction; however, the reverse reaction is favorable. (b) Thermodynamic calculations for the one-electron transfer reactions of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$. Reaction O6 coupled with reactions Mn1-Mn3 and Fe1-Fe3 (Source: From [5], Figures 1A, 4A. Reproduced with permission from Springer)
pH for $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and over most pH values for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. However, this analysis is in contrast to the known reactivity and kinetics of these reactions that indicate that $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ is mainly unreactive below a pH of 9 , and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ is unreactive below a pH of 5 [3; see Section 10.4.1].

To make better predictions of reactivity, calculations for the thermodynamics of one-electron or two-electron transfers need to be made as most chemical reactions proceed in one or two electron steps at most [7]. Table 2.2 gives a list of the $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon(\mathrm{pH})$ equations for $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$, and halogen species for relevant one- and two-electron transfer reactions [5, 6] to be considered throughout this text. For dissolved $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$, only the $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ species are provided for simplicity. The hydroxo (or hydrolysis) complexes $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}{ }^{+}$or $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}{ }^{0}$ start to become important as pH increases.

### 2.6.2 One-Electron Oxygen Transformations with $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{Form} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$

Stumm and Morgan [3] noted that the one-electron reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ by $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ leads to the reactive oxygen species, superoxide $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right)$, which is unstable (see reaction O6 in Table 2.2). In their analysis, they used the iron species $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ at $\mathrm{pH}=1, \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})^{+}$at $\mathrm{pH}=4$, and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ at $\mathrm{pH}=7$. Their data showed that the first electron transfer from $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is a key step and controls the reaction progress and rate at a $\mathrm{pH}>5$.

Figure 2.9 b shows that the same predictions are made over the pH range from 1 to 14 but without specifying the Fe (II) and Mn (II) hydroxo species. In fact, Figure 2.9 b shows that the one-electron oxidation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ by

Table 2.2 Reduction equations for relevant $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{C}$, and halogen reactions normalized to one electron - all soluble species are aqueous forms. Activities of all reactants (except $\mathrm{H}^{+}$) are unity. Multiplying the calculated pe by 0.059 V gives the reduction potential E , at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the desired pH

## OXYGEN AND HYDROGEN REACTIONS

## Four-electron reaction normalized to one electron

$1 / 4 \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=21.50-\mathrm{pH} \tag{O1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two-electron reactions normalized to one electron

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}  \tag{O2}\\
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{O3}\\
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{O4}\\
& 1 / 2^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}  \tag{O5}\\
& \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \tag{H1}
\end{align*}
$$

## One-electron transfer reactions only

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}^{-}  \tag{O6}\\
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq)}}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}  \tag{O7}\\
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\bullet \mathrm{OH}  \tag{O8}\\
& \bullet \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{OH}^{-}  \tag{O9}\\
& \bullet \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{O9a}\\
& { }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}^{-}  \tag{O10}\\
& \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{3}^{-} \tag{O11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=13.18-\mathrm{pH}
$$

$$
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=29.82-\mathrm{pH}
$$

$$
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=34.64-\mathrm{pH}
$$

$$
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=21.57-\mathrm{pH}
$$

$$
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=0-\mathrm{pH}=-\mathrm{pH}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=-2.72 \\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-2 \mathrm{pH}=29.08-2 \mathrm{pH} \\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=16.71-\mathrm{pH} \\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=28.92+\mathrm{pOH} \\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=42.92-\mathrm{pH} \\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=14.04 \\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=17.08
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 2.2 (continued)

## MANGANESE REACTIONS

Two-electron reactions normalized to one electron

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 3 / 2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

## One-electron transfer reaction only

$\mathrm{MnOOH}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{MnO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnOOH}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{o}}-2 \mathrm{pH}=20.80-2 \mathrm{pH} \\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{0}-4 \mathrm{pH}=30.82-4 \mathrm{pH} \tag{Mn2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{o}}-3 \mathrm{pH}=25.35-3 \mathrm{pH}  \tag{Mn3}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=16.22-\mathrm{pH} \tag{Mn4}
\end{align*}
$$

## IRON REACTIONS

Two-electron reaction normalized to one electron
$1 / 2 \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 3 / 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-4 \mathrm{pH}=18.20-4 \mathrm{pH} \tag{Fe1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One-electron transfer reactions only
$\mathrm{FeOOH}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{Fe3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-3 \mathrm{pH}=13.37-3 \mathrm{pH}  \tag{Fe2}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-3 \mathrm{pH}=18.03-3 \mathrm{pH}
\end{align*}
$$

## IRON-SULFIDE REACTIONS

## Two-electron reaction normalized to one electron

$1 / 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+1 / 16 \mathrm{~S}_{8}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{FeS}_{\text {mack }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{HS}^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{FeS}_{\text {mack }}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{FeS2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=1.34  \tag{FeS1}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=18.50+\mathrm{pH}
\end{align*}
$$

## $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ REDUCTION

$\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{+} \quad \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=2.72$

## SULFIDE REACTIONS

Two-electron reactions normalized to one electron

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}  \tag{S1}\\
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{~S}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{HS}^{-} \tag{S2}
\end{align*}
$$

One-electron transfer reactions only
$\mathrm{HS} \bullet+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{HS}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=18.26
$$

$$
\mathrm{HS} \bullet+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}
$$

$$
\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{HS} \bullet
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=2.44-\mathrm{pH} \\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-0.5 \mathrm{pH}=-1.06-0.5 \mathrm{pH}
\end{aligned}
$$

Eight-electron reactions normalized to one electron
$1 / 8 \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+5 / 4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-1.25 \mathrm{pH}=18.03-1.25 \mathrm{pH}$

Table 2.2 (continued)

## NITROGEN REACTIONS

Two-electron reactions (per $\mathbf{N}$ ) normalized to one electron
$1 / 2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{2-}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+3 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}+3 / 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}+3 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+1.5 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$1 / 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+5 / 4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}$
$1 / 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}+3 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$
$\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}^{+}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=14.15-\mathrm{pH}$
(N1)
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=10.78-\mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-1.5 \mathrm{pH}=24.97-1.5 \mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=29.91-\mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-1.5 \mathrm{pH}=21.56-1.5 \mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-2 \mathrm{pH}=25.51-2 \mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=15.86-\mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=22.77-\mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=25.16-\mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-1.5 \mathrm{pH}=29.77-1.5 \mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-1.25 \mathrm{pH}=-3.89-1.25 \mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=-5.80-\mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-1.5 \mathrm{pH}=-0.92-1.5 \mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-0.5 \mathrm{pH}=24.02-0.5 \mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=-22.82-\mathrm{pH}$
One-electron reactions (per $\mathbf{N}$ ) transfer reactions only
$\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-2 \mathrm{pH}=13.07-2 \mathrm{pH}  \tag{N11}\\
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=15.6  \tag{N12}\\
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-2 \mathrm{pH}=19.87-2 \mathrm{pH}  \tag{N13}\\
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=3.04  \tag{N14}\\
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=26.82-\mathrm{pH} \tag{N15}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}
$$

$\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$2 \mathrm{NO}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}$
$\mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Hydrolysis decomposition reaction to form $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-2 \mathrm{pH}=25.13-2 \mathrm{pH}$
Three-electron reactions normalized to one electron
$1 / 3 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 3 \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=3.69-\mathrm{pH}$
(N17)

## Four-electron reactions (per $\mathbf{N}$ ) normalized to one electron

$1 / 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}+1 / 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=-7.87-\mathrm{pH}$
$1 / 4 \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}+5 / 4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 4 \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+1 / 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-1.25 \mathrm{pH}=7.73-1.25 \mathrm{pH}$
$1 / 4 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 8 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+1 / 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=11.27-\mathrm{pH}$

## Six-electron reactions (per $\mathbf{N}$ ) normalized to one electron ( $\mathbf{N H}_{3}$ product)

$1 / 6 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 3 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=1.55-\mathrm{pH}$
$1 / 6 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+4 / 3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 3 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-1.33 \mathrm{pH}=4.65-1.33 \mathrm{pH}$
$1 / 6 \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+4 / 3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 6 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+1 / 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-1.33 \mathrm{pH}=15.08-1.33 \mathrm{pH}$

Eight-electron reactions normalized to one electron ( $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ product)
$1 / 8 \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}+9 / 8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 8 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+3 / 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-1.125 \mathrm{pH}=13.72-1.125 \mathrm{pH}$

Table 2.2 (continued)

## CARBON REACTIONS

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{CH}_{4}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{C1}\\
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{CO}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{C2}\\
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{HCOOH}  \tag{C3}\\
& \mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}  \tag{C4}\\
& 1 / 2 \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{C5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=9.94-\mathrm{pH} \\
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}-\mathrm{pH}=-1.74-\mathrm{pH} \\
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=-1.93-\mathrm{pH} \\
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}=-10.04 \\
\mathrm{p} \varepsilon & =\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}=-0.663-\mathrm{pH}
\end{aligned}
$$

## halogen reactions

## Two-electron reactions normalized to one electron

```
\(1 / 2 \mathrm{~F}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}^{-}\)
\(1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\)
\(1 / 2 \mathrm{Br}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}^{-}\)
\(1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}^{-}\)
\(1 / 2 \mathrm{HOCl}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\)
\(\mathrm{HOCl}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\)
\(1 / 2 \mathrm{OCl}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\)
\[
\begin{equation*}
1 / 2 \mathrm{HOBr}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{Br2}
\end{equation*}
\]
\(1 / 2 \mathrm{HOBr}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\)
\(1 / 2 \mathrm{HOI}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{I}^{-}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\)
\(1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\)
```

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=48.51  \tag{F1}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=23.62  \tag{CL1}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=18.58  \tag{Br1}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}=10.50  \tag{IO1}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-0.5 \mathrm{pH}=25.29-0.5 \mathrm{pH}  \tag{CL2}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=26.96-\mathrm{pH}  \tag{CL2a}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{pH}=29.06-\mathrm{pH}  \tag{CL2b}\\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-0.5 \mathrm{pH}=20.00-0.5 \mathrm{pH} \\
& \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{o}}-0.5 \mathrm{pH}=16.66-0.5 \mathrm{pH} \tag{IO2}
\end{align*}
$$

## One-electron transfer reactions only

| $\mathrm{Cl} \bullet+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}=40.76$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Br} \bullet+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}=32.47$ |
| $\mathrm{I} \bullet+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{\circ}=22.49$ |

$1 \cdot+\mathrm{e}^{-1^{-}}$
$\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=\mathrm{p} \varepsilon^{0}=22.49$
Source: Reproduced and updated with permission from Ref. [5].
$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ begins to occur at a pH value as low as 5 depending on the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ species formed whereas the one-electron oxidation of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ begins to occur at a pH value as low as 8 depending on the $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ species formed. Kinetic data [8,9] indicate that oxidation of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is very slow at a pH near 8 , the pH of surface seawater. These calculations indicate that the oxidation reactions for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ are controlled by the first electron accepted by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$, a thermodynamically unfavorable species (Section 10.4.1).

Plots such as those in Figure 2.9a and b predict the direction in which a reaction is favorable. For example, a negative value for $\Delta \log K$ indicates an unfavorable forward reaction; however, the reverse reaction is favorable. In Figure 2.9b, although the oxidation of soluble Mn (II) species by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is unfavorable, the reduction of metal oxides by $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$in acid forms the $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ species.

### 2.7 Highly Oxidizing Conditions

### 2.7.1 Ozonolysis Reactions

Table 2.2 gives the reactions and redox equations for the aqueous reactive oxygen species ( ROS are $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{OH} \cdot$ and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ ), which can be produced in the atmosphere and in natural waters (at nanomolar levels).


Figure 2.10 Pourbaix diagram shows the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{OH} \bullet$ stability lines ( ROS reduce to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{OH} \bullet$ are capable of oxidizing $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$

The Pourbaix diagram in Figure 2.10 shows the oxidative strength of aqueous hydrogen peroxide, ozone, and hydroxyl radicals compared to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in water. To decompose organic compounds and to kill bacteria and viruses, ozone is used to treat drinking water, and often with UV radiation. On oxidation of organic compounds, ozone decomposes to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and O atoms, which insert into $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds to eventually form $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and carbonate species. O atom transfer is formally a two-electron transfer reaction. Hydroxyl radicals, one-electron transfer oxidants, are also formed in ozonolysis reactions, and they also quickly oxidize organic compounds to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ species and inorganic compounds. Dissolved manganese(II) and iron(II) are readily oxidized by ozone to form oxide $\left(\mathrm{MnO}_{2}\right)$ or (oxy)hydroxide $(\mathrm{FeOOH})$ precipitates that are easily removed from solution. Metals bound to organic ligands such as humic acids are released from the organic ligands, which are also oxidized.

A potential problem with $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ (as well as $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and HOCl as disinfectants) occurs when chloride and bromide are present in source water containing natural organic compounds from plant degradation. When the halides oxidize to $\mathrm{OX}^{-}$(Figure 2.11 for $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$), they react with organic matter to form $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}$ (organohalogen) compounds as HOX is a source of positive halogen $\left(\mathrm{HO}^{-} \mathrm{X}^{+}\right)$whereas gaseous HOX leads to radicals ( $\mathrm{HO}+\mathrm{X}$ ). The $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}$ by-products can be carcinogenic.

### 2.7.2 Atmospheric Redox Reactions

### 2.7.2.1 Ozone Formation and Destruction

In the atmosphere, UV radiation dissociates $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form O atoms $(|\mathrm{O}|$ in Equation 2.10), which can react with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to reform $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Equation 2.11; Table 2.2, O4) and with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to form another potent oxidant, the hydroxyl radical ( $\mathrm{OH} \bullet$ or OH ; Table 2.2, O9) (Equation 2.12). M is a third neutral body absorbing the kinetic energy of O atoms colliding with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. O atoms are potent oxidants (see Section 5.7.3) when in the excited state $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{D}\right.$; all electrons paired; Section 3.8.2), which occurs at wavelengths $<242 \mathrm{~nm}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}_{2}+h v(<242 \mathrm{~nm}) \rightarrow 2|\underline{\mathrm{O}}|  \tag{2.10}\\
& |\underline{\mathrm{O}}|+\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{M}^{*}  \tag{2.11}\\
& |\underline{\mathrm{O}}|+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{OH} \cdot \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2.11 Thermodynamic calculations for the two-electron transfer reactions of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(reaction CL2b) with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ (reactions O2, O3, O4; Table 2.2) to form $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$and the one-electron transfer of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$with $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ (O9; 'Table 2.2). Positive values for $\Delta \log K$ on the $y$-axis indicate a favorable forward reaction; negative values indicate an unfavorable forward reaction

Ozone is a major component of the upper stratosphere that is formed continuously, and it is essential in preventing UV electromagnetic radiation (Figure 1.2) from the sun reaching the earth's surface as it absorbs UVB ( $280-230 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and UVC ( $<280 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) radiation. $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ is very reactive and can recombine with O atoms to form $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Equation 2.13). Unfortunately, the escape of natural and in particular man-made organohalogen ( $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}$; $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds) compounds including freons to the upper atmosphere also undergo UV bond breakage to form X atoms (Equations 2.14 and 2.15), which react with $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Equation 2.16) and create an ozone hole, which is monitored closely by several agencies, over Antarctica in the austral spring.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}_{3} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}  \tag{2.13}\\
\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}+h v & \rightarrow \mathrm{CFCl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}  \tag{2.14}\\
\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+h v & \rightarrow \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}  \tag{2.15}\\
\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{O}_{3} & \rightarrow \mathrm{XO}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Although X atoms do not readily form in solution, they are a common species in the atmosphere, and atmospheric reactions are typically not complicated by water and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$transfers or formation. In addition to photochemical decomposition of anthropogenic gaseous $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}$ compounds, the formation of halogen atoms in the atmosphere is also related to major natural processes involving plants and algae in marine and other natural
waters, which facilitate volatile $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{S}$ formation and subsequent photochemical decomposition via homolytic bond cleavage of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond as in Equations 2.14 and 2.15. For example, aquatic macroalgae and microalgae form $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ or HOX as in Equations 2.17-2.19 [10]. Also, iodide in the interstitial fluids of the brown kelp, Laminaria digitata, and other plants can be released and used as an antioxidant to react with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ during oxidative stress [11]. Oxidation of iodide above or out of the water leads to formation of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (Equation 2.19), and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ formation can be three orders of magnitude larger than $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{I}$ and other $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}$ compound formation.

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \mathrm{X}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} & \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{2.17}\\
\mathrm{X}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} & \rightarrow \mathrm{HOX}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{2.18}\\
2 \mathrm{X}^{-}+\mathrm{O}_{3}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} & \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Once $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ and HOX are formed (Equations 2.17-2.19), they can react with natural organic matter in surface waters to form $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}$ compounds, which are released to the atmosphere. Then, $\mathrm{X}_{2}$, HOX, and R-X chemical species can be photochemically decomposed to X atoms, R radicals, and OH radical as in Equations 2.20-2.22. Because bonds of iodine with other atoms are weaker than the corresponding Cl and Br analogs, iodine reactions are more facile.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{I}_{2}+h v & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{I}  \tag{2.20}\\
\mathrm{RI}+h v & \rightarrow \mathrm{R}+\mathrm{I}  \tag{2.21}\\
\mathrm{HOI}+h v & \rightarrow \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{I} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Upon formation, X atoms can undergo a variety of thermodynamically favorable $\mathbf{O}$ atom transfer reactions with ROS and NO (Equations 2.23-2.25) [12]. The destruction of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ is a main reaction that leads to XO radicals that can react with NO to form $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{O}_{3} & \rightarrow \mathrm{XO}+\mathrm{O}_{2}  \tag{2.23}\\
\mathrm{XO}+\mathrm{NO} & \rightarrow \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{NO}_{2} \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

XO can also react with $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ to abstract an $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ atom to form HOX (Equation 2.25), which is photochemically sensitive and regenerates to OH (Equation 2.22).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{XO}+\mathrm{HO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{HOX}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both OH and $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ (protonated superoxide) also result in $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ destruction (Equations 2.26 and 2.27) in a similar manner to the halogen reactions above. Thus, decreasing halogen emissions to the atmosphere is of critical importance.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{OH} & \rightarrow \mathrm{HO}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}  \tag{2.26}\\
\mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{HO}_{2} & \rightarrow \mathrm{OH}+2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.7.2.2 Gas Phase Reactions Leading to the Formation of Nitrate, Sulfate, and Perchlorate

Unfortunately, the release of anthropogenic and natural organohalogen compounds to the atmosphere is not the only major cause for the destruction of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$. The burning of fossil fuels leads to the production of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ compounds such as $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$. There are three possible ways to form nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$ in the atmosphere [13]. First, there is the direct reaction of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{OH} \bullet$ as in Equation 2.28. Second, $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ reacts with $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ followed by proton abstraction from the hydrocarbon such as dimethyl sulfide (DMS; Equations 2.29 and 2.30). Third, $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ can react to form $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, which then reacts with water to form nitric acid (Equations 2.31 and 2.32).

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{OH} \bullet+\mathrm{M} & \rightarrow \mathrm{HNO}_{3}+\mathrm{M}  \tag{2.2.2}\\
\mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{3} & \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2}  \tag{2.29}\\
\mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{HC}, \mathrm{DMS} & \rightarrow \mathrm{HNO}_{3}+\text { products }  \tag{2.30}\\
\mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{NO}_{3} & \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}  \tag{2.31}\\
\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\operatorname{surf}_{(\mathrm{aq})} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

The burning of fossil fuels enriched in sulfur also leads to $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ formation and release to the atmosphere. The emissions are in addition to those from volcanoes, which are a natural source of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{HOCl}$, and HCl to the atmosphere. $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ can react to form sulfuric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ in the atmosphere as in Equations 2.33-2.35. Although $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ is produced in Equation 2.34, further reaction of the products can occur (see Equation 2.25).

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{SO}_{2}+\mathrm{OH} & \rightarrow \mathrm{HSO}_{3}  \tag{2.33}\\
\mathrm{HSO}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2} & \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{3}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}  \tag{2.34}\\
\mathrm{SO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Perchlorate, $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$, has been found on the surface of Mars and on Earth's deserts [14]. The release of HCl to the atmosphere leads to the formation of $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$from the reaction of $\mathrm{ClO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{ClO}_{2}$ with reactive oxygen species. The exact pathways for the formation of $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$are not known, but several pathways have been proposed, and two are noted. First, the reaction of BrO with ClO in an O atom transfer reaction leads to formation of $\mathrm{ClO}_{2}$, which then reacts with $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ to form $\mathrm{ClO}_{3}$ (Equations 2.36 and 2.37), which further reacts with $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{OH} \cdot\left(\right.$ Equation 2.38) to form $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ [15].

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{BrO}+\mathrm{ClO} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{ClO}_{2}  \tag{2.36}\\
\mathrm{ClO}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{3} & \rightarrow \mathrm{ClO}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2}  \tag{2.37}\\
\mathrm{ClO}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{M} & \rightarrow \mathrm{HClO}_{4}+\mathrm{M} \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

The direct reaction of Cl atoms with $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ has been proposed to form $\mathrm{ClO}_{3}$ (Equation 2.39), which then reacts as in Equation 2.38 to form $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$. Of course, chlorine atoms can react with reactive oxygen species in three separate oxygen transfer reactions to form $\mathrm{ClO}_{3}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{ClO}_{3} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

## APPENDIX 2.1

Gibbs free energies of formation for selected environmentally important chemical materials.

|  | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |  | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |  | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |  | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Br}_{2 \text { (aq) }}$ | 4.18 | $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}{ }_{\text {(aq) }}$ | 50.0 | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$(aq) | -111.3 | $\mathrm{O}_{2 \text { (aq) }}$ | 16.32 |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$(aq) | -104.0 | $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | 65.5 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$(aq) | -37.2 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$(aq) | 31.84 |
| $\mathrm{HOBr}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | -82.2 |  |  | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 51.3 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { (aq) }}$ | 112 |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{\text {(aq) }}$ | 81.3 | $\mathrm{F}^{-}{ }_{\text {(aq) }}$ | -278.8 | $\mathrm{NO}_{(\mathrm{g})}$ | 86.57 | $\mathrm{O}_{3(\mathrm{~g})}$ | 163.2 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}{ }_{(\text {aq) }}$ | -90.53 | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{g})}$ | 104.2 | $\mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | 65.7 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{2 \text { (aq) }}$ | 6.90 | $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ (aq) | -4.60 | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}{ }_{(a q)}$ | 139 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text { (aq) }}$ | -134.1 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(aq) | -131.3 | $\alpha$-FeOOH | -488.6 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | 23.4 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | -237.18 |
| $\mathrm{HOCl}_{(\text {(aq) }}$ | -79.9 | $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ | -699 | $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | -56.55 | - $\mathrm{OH}_{(\mathrm{g})}$ | 34.22 |
| $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | -36.8 | $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | -1012.6 | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$ | 132.57 | - $\mathrm{OH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | 7.74 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{(\text {(aq) }}$ | 101 | $\mathrm{FeS}_{\text {mackinawite }}$ | -98.2 | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4(\mathrm{~g})}$ | 159.3 | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}{ }_{\text {(aq) }}$ | -157.29 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{FeS}_{2 \text {, pyrite }}$ | -160.2 | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}$ | 82.4 |  |  |
| CO | -137.27 |  |  | $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{~g})}$ | -16.5 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | -27.87 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -394.37 | $\mathrm{I}_{2 \text { (aq) }}$ | 16.43 | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (aq) | -26.57 | $\mathrm{HS}^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | 12.05 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$ | -129.7 | $\mathrm{I}^{-}$(aq) | -51.59 | $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}{ }_{(a q)}$ | -79.37 | HS | 116.2 |
| HCOOH | -372.3 | HOI | -99.2 |  |  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}{ }_{\text {(aq) }}$ | -744.6 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | -175.4 | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (aq) | 76.8 |  |  | $\mathrm{SO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ | -300.2 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}{ }_{\text {(aq) }}$ | -527.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$(aq) | -586.8 | $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ | -228.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$ | -34.39 | $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | -1281 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4(\mathrm{~g})}$ | -50.79 | $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ | -465.1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}{ }_{\text {(aq) }}$ | 674.04 | MnOOH | -557.7 |  |  |  |  |
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## 3

## Atomic Structure

### 3.1 History

The development of atomic theory was one of the most successful endeavors in the history of science as it has led to major advances in physics, chemistry, and biology benefitting the human race. This chapter reviews many major findings that are important in understanding atomic properties that are codified in the periodic table of elements. Once these properties are understood, it is possible to understand chemical bonding, redox properties, and ultimately chemical reactivity. At the heart of these developments is the use of absorption and emission spectroscopy (UV, Vis, X-ray) as a tool to provide data to understand the structure of the atom. Later, electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis (ESCA) or X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was important in providing further information including accurate orbital energies for atoms and molecules. Historical articles [1-3] have been written celebrating the 100th anniversary of the Bohr atom and the results leading up to it.

When atoms are energetically stimulated by heating or electrical discharge across two electrodes in a glass or quartz tube, colors are frequently observed. The study of the wavelength of spectral lines once atoms were energetically stimulated was well developed in the 19th century, and emission spectroscopy even led to the discovery of helium during a solar eclipse in the 1860s. On earth, helium was found to emanate from the uranium ore, cleveite, in the 1890s, and large reserves were later found in natural gas fields in the United States. The wavelength, $\lambda$, and the frequency, $\nu$ (in Hz, $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ), of light are related through the velocity of light, $c(c=\lambda v)$, which is $2.99792458 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(\sim 3.0 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$.

During the 1880 s, Balmer studied the visible region of the hydrogen atom $(\mathrm{H} \bullet)$ spectrum and found an empirical relation, which Rydberg modified for all transitions of the $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ spectrum (Equation 3.1). (H atoms are created via electrical discharge of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at low pressure to break the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lambda}=\bar{v}=\mathcal{R}\left[\frac{1}{n_{i}^{2}}-\frac{1}{n_{i+1}{ }^{2}}\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\bar{v}$ is the wavenumber (units of $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ or Kaiser, K ) and $\lambda$ in centimeters is the wavelength of the spectral line, $\mathcal{R}$ is the Rydberg constant of $109,677 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $n_{i}$ and $n_{i+1}$ are simple integers indicating the energy state ( $i=$ initial or reference state). For the $\mathrm{H} \bullet, n_{i}=1$ corresponds to the UV series of spectral lines (Lynam lines),
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Figure 3.1 Spectral lines for the Lynam, Balmer, and Paschen series for the hydrogen atom
$n_{i}=2$ corresponds to the visible series of spectral lines (Balmer), and $n_{i}=3$ corresponds to the infrared series of spectral lines (Paschen). Figure 3.1 shows the wavelengths for these transitions, and Figure 1.2 shows that they occur near the center of the electromagnetic spectrum.

In 1901, Planck related the energy of a given state, $n$, to the frequency of light such that electromagnetic energy behaved as both wave and particle (Equation 3.2). Here $h v$ is termed a photon or particle of light and the frequency is a multiple of an elementary unit ( $h=6.627 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}$ ); that is, it is quantized.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=h v=h \frac{c}{\lambda}=h c \bar{v} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Einstein used Planck's work to show that electrons are emitted with a kinetic energy once they absorb enough light to overcome the work function or the binding (potential) energy of the electron (Equation 3.3); that is, the photoelectric effect.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\text { kinetic energy })=h v-w(\text { potential energy })=h v-w \text { (orbital energy }) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The photoelectric effect led to the development of electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis (ESCA) by Siegbahn et al. [4] in the 1960s. Here X-rays of known energy are used to bombard an atom and the kinetic energy of the ejected electron is then used to calculate the work function or orbital energy ( $w$; Equation 3.3). ESCA data will be used throughout this book to give orbital energies for atoms and molecules.

### 3.2 The Bohr Atom

In 1909, Ernest Rutherford's group demonstrated that atoms were composed of a dense nuclear core $\left(10^{-14}-10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}\right)$ containing neutrons and $Z$ protons (where $Z$ is the atomic number) with electrons revolving around the core. The overall size of an atom is about $1 \AA\left(10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}, 100 \mathrm{pm}, 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}\right)$. To show that the electrons would not collapse into the nucleus and thus remain in discrete orbits or energy states around the nucleus, Bohr used all these developments to develop a model of the hydrogen atom, $\mathrm{H} \cdot$. There are three main requirements in Bohr's atomic theory:

1. The electron revolves around the nucleus in an orbit or stationary state so that the centripetal force equals the electrostatic force of attraction between the electron and the nucleus.
2. The angular momentum is quantized; that is, $m v r=n h /(2 \pi)$ where $m$ is the mass of the electron, $v=$ its velocity, $r$ is the radius, and $n=$ an integer for each state (principal quantum number).
3. An electron moves from one state to another when excited such that $\Delta E=E_{2}-E_{1}=h \nu$.

With these insights in 1913, Bohr derived the expression (3.4) for the potential energy of a given electron orbit or stationary state for the hydrogen atom and other one-electron ions such that $E \propto-Z^{2} / n^{2}$ where $e$ is the electronic charge, $n$ is the principal quantum number, and $m$ is the mass of the electron.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=\left[\frac{-2 \pi^{2} m e^{4} Z^{2}}{h^{2} n_{i}^{2}}\right]=-\frac{\mathcal{R} Z^{2}}{n_{i}^{2}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression for $r$, the radius in picometers, is Equation 3.5 where $a_{0}=52.9 \mathrm{pm}$, the radius of the hydrogen atom.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{a_{0} n^{2}}{Z} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On computing the constants ( $2 \pi^{2} m e^{4} / h^{2}$ ) in Equation 3.4, the value for $R$, the Rydberg constant, was found [3]. Thus the experimental data for the $\mathrm{H} \bullet$ spectrum were reconciled with theory. The Rydberg expression can be rewritten for all one-electron atoms and ions as Equation 3.6 where $Z=$ atomic number.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\lambda}=\bar{v}=\mathcal{R} Z^{2}\left[\frac{1}{n_{i}^{2}}-\frac{1}{n_{i+1}{ }^{2}}\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 3.2 shows the $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ and $\mathrm{He}^{+}$energy states from Equation 3.6 plotted versus $n$ state and how the energies of the states become increasingly more positive (less stable) with increasing $n$ value. Because these states are related to orbital energies as shown by Bohr in Equation 3.4, the negative values indicate the orbital energy of each state. As an electron goes from a state $n_{i}$ to states with increasing $n$, the $\Delta E$ value increases, but the $\lambda$ of the transition approaches a lower limit. The ionization energy or potential (IP) is the energy required to remove the electron from the atom and in the case of H atom it is -13.6 eV , the energy of the $n=1$ state. For the helium cation, $\mathrm{He}^{+}$, the ionization energy is four times that of the H atom because of the $\mathrm{Z}^{2}$ term in Equation 3.4.

### 3.3 The Schrodinger Wave Equation

In 1923, DeBroglie suggested that electron motion has a wave aspect and derived Equation 3.7:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{h}{m v} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the electron and $v$ is the velocity of the electron; at $40 \mathrm{kV}, \lambda=5 \mathrm{pm}$. Thus electrons can behave as waves and particles, and this is known as the "wave particle duality." This expression was confirmed shortly thereafter and is the basis for electron microscopy. This duality led Heisenberg to state his uncertainty principle, which indicates that the electron's position and momentum cannot be both known with certainty (Equation 3.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{m v} \Delta_{x} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{h}{2 \pi}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering this wave particle expression, Schrodinger postulated his equation for the electron in the hydrogen atom and instituted quantum or wave mechanics. Here the wave function, $\psi$, is a mathematical description of the atom and corresponds to the amplitude of a wave. The function can be a real function (with,+- signs) or complex. The sign of $\psi$ is important for bonding considerations.


Figure 3.2 Hydrogen atom and helium cation, $\mathrm{He}^{+}$, energy states. Bottom figure shows that the high energy or low wavelength lines approach a limit; the first transition in each series is circled

The wave function has the following limitations imposed on it:

1. It must be finite; if not, a solution cannot be found.
2. It must be continuous as all waves are.
3. It must be single valued; that is, two possible values at the same point in space are impossible.

Here, $N^{2} \int \psi \psi d \tau=1$ where $\tau$ means over all space and $N$ is the normalization constant. Normalization indicates that finding the electron is certainty. Thus, $\psi^{2}$ is proportional to the probability of finding the electron over all space; Figure 3.3 shows a plot of the cosine function and its squared plot, indicating that $\psi^{2}$ is positive. This is similar to the intensity of light being proportional to the amplitude squared. This is the Born interpretation of $\psi$.

As demonstrated in Figure 3.4, combining of waves leads to constructive and destructive interference, which will be important when discussing bonding between atoms. When a wave traverses from a positive to a negative value, it crosses through zero, which is called a node.


Figure 3.3 A plot of the cosine function (as shown below in Table 3.2, this is the function for the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ orbital; $n=2 ; l=1 ; m_{l}=0$ ) and the cosine squared


Figure 3.4 The constructive and destructive interference of waves for a sine function

The Schrodinger equation for hydrogen-like atoms is given in Equation 3.9

$$
\begin{equation*}
Ж \Psi=E \Psi \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Ж$ is the Hamiltonian operator. The result is that the operation times the wave function gives the wave function times its energy. The energy of the atom is computed by performing the following mathematical operation (3.10) where an electron's energy is estimated and recomputed until it reaches a minimum energy using Hartree-Fock self-consistent field theory (SCF, [5]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{\int \psi Ж \psi d \tau}{\int \psi \psi d \tau} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.5 Variables of the polar coordinates for the Schrodinger equation

The electron can be described in three dimensions as in Figure 3.5 where $P$ is the electron; $\theta$ is the angle between the $Z$ axis and $r$, the distance of the electron from the nucleus; $\phi$ is the angle between the $x$ axis and the projection of $r$ into the $X Y$ plane.

The Schrodinger equation for the motion of a particle in three dimensions is given as

$$
\frac{\delta^{2} \psi}{\delta^{2} x}+\frac{\delta^{2} \psi}{\delta^{2} y}+\frac{\delta^{2} \psi}{\delta^{2} z}+\frac{8 \pi^{2} m}{h^{2}}(E-V) \psi=0
$$

and in polar coordinates as ( $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the vacuum permittivity $=8.854 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{~J}^{-1}$ )

$$
\frac{\delta}{r^{2} \delta r}\left[\frac{r^{2} \delta_{\psi}}{\delta r}\right]+\frac{\delta}{r^{2} \sin \delta \theta}\left[\frac{\sin \theta \delta \psi}{\delta \theta}\right]+\frac{\delta^{2} \psi}{r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \delta \phi^{2}}+\frac{8 \pi^{2} m}{h^{2}}\left[E+\frac{Z e^{2}}{\left(4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r\right)}\right] \psi=0
$$

The wavefunction, $\psi_{n l m l}$, consists of three components, one for each dimension in space.

$$
\psi_{n l m l}=N R_{n l}(r) * \Theta_{l m l}(\theta) * \Phi \phi_{l m l}(\phi)=R_{n l}(r) * Y_{l m l}(\theta, \phi)
$$

where $R(r)$ is the radial distribution function and $Y_{\operatorname{lml}}(\theta, \phi)$ the spherical harmonics. The three quantum numbers $n, l, m_{1}$ result from the solution of the Schrodinger equation, where " $n$ " is related to $r$ and gives information on the main energy level that the electron resides in as well as its size or the distance of the electron from the nucleus (this is analogous to n in the Bohr atom); " $l$ " is related to $r, \theta$, and $\phi$ and gives information on the number of sublevels in a given n and on the shapes of the orbitals; and " $m_{1}$ " is related to $\theta$ and $\phi$ and indicates the number of orbitals in a given 1 and their orientation in space. The wave function for a given combination of $n, l$ and $m_{1}$ value is termed an orbital. A relativistic correction to $\psi$ was made by Dirac, resulting in a fourth quantum number (the spin quantum number, $m_{s}$ ) to define the electron's intrinsic magnetic moment in a magnetic field. The values allowed for $m_{s}$ are $+1 / 2(\uparrow$, anticlockwise or parallel with an applied magnetic field) and $-1 / 2$ ( $\downarrow$, clockwise or opposed to an applied magnetic field).

### 3.4 Components of the Wave Function

### 3.4.1 Radial Part of the Wave Function, $R(r)$

The radial distribution function, $R(r)$, is first discussed, followed by the spherical harmonics, $Y_{l m l}(\theta, \phi)$. Hydrogen-like atom wave functions for $R(r)$ and $Y_{I m l}(\theta, \phi)$ are provided in Tables 3.1 and 3.2, respectively. The radial functions are products of an exponential function with a polynomial described in the variable $r / a_{0}$.

Table 3.1 Radial distribution functions for energy levels $n=1,2,3$ for the hydrogen atom. For multielectron atoms, replace $r$ by Zr and multiply each function by $(Z)^{3 / 2}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=1, I=0 & R_{10}(r)=2\left(\frac{1}{a_{0}}\right)^{3 / 2} e^{-r / a_{0}} \\
n=2, I=0 & R_{20}(r)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}\left(\frac{1}{a_{0}}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(2-\frac{r}{a_{0}}\right) e^{-r / 2 a_{0}} \\
n=2, I=1 & R_{21}(r)=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{24}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a_{0}}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{r}{a_{0}} e^{-r / 2 a_{0}} \\
n=3, l=0 & R_{30}(r)=\left(\frac{2}{81 \sqrt{3}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a_{0}}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(27-18 \frac{r}{a_{0}}+2 \frac{r^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right) e^{-r / 3 a_{0}} \\
n=3, l=1 & R_{31}(r)=\left(\frac{4}{81 \sqrt{6}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a_{0}}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(6 \frac{r}{a_{0}}-\frac{r^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right) e^{-r / 3 a_{0}} \\
n=3, l=2 & R_{32}(r)=\frac{4}{81 \sqrt{30}}\left(\frac{1}{a_{0}}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{r^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}} e^{-r / 3 a_{0}}
\end{array}
$$

Plots of $R(r)$ show typical decay type curves. In Figure 3.6a for the hydrogen atom 1s orbital, the black line shows the decay curve for $R(r)(n=l ; l=0$ from above). Of most importance to chemists for bonding considerations is the square of $R(r)$, which Max Born attributed to the probability of finding the electron or the electron density of a given orbital. The radial probability function $R(r)^{2}$ and the radial distribution function $R(r)$ are related via the volume of the atom as a spherical atom can be considered as composed of layers (Figure 3.6b) like an onion skin. Thus, the probability of finding the electron in a given spherical layer from $r$ to $r+d r$ can be calculated. Recalling that the volume of sphere is

$$
\begin{gathered}
V=\frac{4}{3} \pi r^{3} \text { then } \\
d V=4 \pi r^{2} d r \text { and } R^{2} d V=4 \pi r^{2} R^{2} d r
\end{gathered}
$$

The blue line in Figure 3.6a shows the contribution of $4 \pi r^{2}$. The black dashed line in Figure 3.6a shows the contributions of $R(r)$ and $4 \pi r^{2}$ to the probability ( $\psi^{2}=4 \pi r^{2} R^{2}$ ) with $\psi$ or $R(r)$ decaying quickly to zero and $4 \pi r^{2}$ increasing as the radius or distance from the nucleus increases. At $r=0$ and at large values of $r$, the probability of finding the electron is zero, but at intermediate values of $r$, it reaches a maximum.

Figure 3.7 shows plots of the radial distribution functions and radial probability functions for $n=1,2,3$ and all $l$ values for each $n$ level of the hydrogen atom (Table 3.1). There are several features of the radial distribution functions and the radial probability functions that are important for understanding the properties of atoms, chemical bonding, and electron transfer processes.

The radial distribution functions show that as $n$ increases, the radius increases as the decay is more spread out. There are also nodes where the $R(r)$ function is zero at some distance from the nucleus such that for s orbitals there are $n-1$ nodes where the function changes sign; for p orbitals there are $n-2$ nodes, etc.

For the radial probability functions $[R(r)]^{2} r^{2}$, all values are positive as a result of squaring $R(r)$. Electrons in s orbitals have electron density close to the nucleus regardless of the $n$ value whereas p and d electrons

Table 3.2 The $(\theta \phi)$ equations for the hydrogen atom

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \quad 0 \quad 1 \mathrm{~s} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 20 \quad 0 \quad 2 \mathrm{~s} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 21( \pm 1) \quad 2 p_{x} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{3}(\sin \Theta \cos \phi)}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 2102 p_{z} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{3}(\cos \Theta)}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 21( \pm 1) \quad 2 p_{y} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{3}(\sin \Theta \sin \phi)}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 30 \quad 0 \quad 3 \mathrm{~s} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 31( \pm 1) \quad 3 p_{x} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{3}(\sin \Theta \cos \phi)}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 310 \quad 3 p_{z} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{3}(\cos \Theta)}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 31( \pm 1) \quad 3 p_{y} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{3}(\sin \Theta \sin \phi)}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 32( \pm 2) \quad 3 d_{x^{2}-y^{2}} \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{15}\left[\sin ^{2} \Theta\left(\cos ^{2} \phi-\sin ^{2} \Theta\right)\right]}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 32( \pm 1) \quad 3 d_{x z} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{30}(\sin \Theta \cos \Theta \cos \phi)}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi}} \\
& 320 \quad 3 d_{z^{2}} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{5}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \Theta-1\right)}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
& 32( \pm 1) \quad 3 d_{y z} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{30}(\sin \Theta \cos \Theta \sin \phi)}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi}} \\
& 32( \pm 2) \quad 3 d_{x y} \quad \Theta \phi=\frac{\sqrt{15}\left(\sin ^{2} \Theta \sin \phi \cos \phi\right)}{2 \sqrt{\pi}}
\end{aligned}
$$

are found further away from the nucleus. Note that inner electrons can shield the nuclear charge from the outer electrons, and shielding will be discussed when discussing atomic properties (Section 3.8.1). These radial probability functions will be useful for several chemical bonding and electron transfer processes in subsequent chapters.


Figure 3.6 (a) Plots of the 1 s orbital $(n=1 ; I=0) H$ atom wave function $R(r)$ from Table 3.1, $4 \pi r^{2}$, and $4 \pi r^{2} R(r)^{2}$. (b) Volume of a sphere (shell) of thickness dr


Figure 3.7 (a) Plots of the hydrogen atom radial wave function versus ror $R_{n \mid}(r)$ versus $r$ for functions in Table 3.1; (b) Plots of the hydrogen atom probability function or density versus $r$ or $\left[R_{n l}(r)\right]^{2}$ versus $r$

### 3.4.2 Angular Part of the Wavefunction $\boldsymbol{Y}_{l m l}(\theta, \phi)$ and Atomic Orbitals

The angular part of the wave function gives information on the number of sublevels and the orientation or shape of the electron in space. Table 3.2 on page 52 gives the hydrogen-like atom spherical harmonic wave functions, $Y_{l m l}(\theta, \phi)$.

Figures 3.8 and 3.9 show plots of the $(\theta \phi)$ functions and of their squared functions $(\theta \phi)^{2}$ for the 1 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals in two dimensions, respectively. The 1 s orbital is spherical over all space. However, the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital has positive and negative contributions from the cosine function (see also Figure 3.3) so has ungerade symmetry with respect to the center of inversion (see Section 4.2.3.2) through the nucleus. The angular probability plots $(\theta \phi)^{2}$ for the 1 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals are shown in Figure 3.9.

For the 1s orbital, these latter plots show no significant change in the shape but for $p$ as well as $d$ and $f$ orbitals, the plots become elongated. The angular probability plots $(\theta \phi)^{2}$ are a picture or representation of what chemists describe as orbitals, and Figure 3.10 shows idealized angular probability plots or the orbital shapes for the s, p, and d orbitals. The signs of the original parts of the wave function, which are important for bonding considerations, for each set of orbitals are also shown. With respect to an inversion center through the nucleus of the atom at the center of the coordinate system, s orbitals are symmetric to inversion so are termed gerade (German for even) as the sign is the same everywhere. The p orbitals are not symmetric as the sign changes from " + " to " - " on going from one location to an equivalent location on the opposite side through the center of the nucleus; thus, they are termed ungerade (German for uneven). Figure 3.3 shows the change in sign for the cosine function representing the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital. Likewise, d orbitals are gerade and $f$ orbitals are ungerade. The reader can also generate orbitals from freeware programs at the following web sites (https://www.wolframalpha.com/; http://www.winportal.com/winplot; https://www.wavefun.com/ products/spartan.html).

Figure 3.11 shows the general set representation for the angular probability plots of the $f$ orbitals. The cubic set (not drawn) would have the same $f_{5 z^{3}-3 z r^{2}}$ orbital ( $f_{z^{3}}$ for short) plus an $f_{x^{3}}$ and an $f_{y^{3}}$ orbital with the same shape on the $x$ and $y$-axis, respectively. The $f_{x y z}$ is identical in both the general and cubic sets. However, in the


Figure 3.8 Two-dimensional plots of the $\theta \varphi$ functions for the $1 s$ and $2 p_{z}$ orbitals


Figure 3.9 Two-dimensional angular probability plots $(\theta \phi)^{2}$ for the $1 s$ and $2 p_{z}$ orbitals


Figure 3.10 Shapes of the $s, p$, and d orbitals from angular probability $(\theta \phi)^{2}$ plots. For this figure and Figure 3.11, blue color or shading indicates a " + " sign for the original function $(\theta \phi)$ and white shading indicates a " - " sign for the original function $(\theta \phi)$. Dashed lines indicate that the object is in the plane of the paper and bold blue lines indicate the object coming out of the plane of the paper
cubic set, the remaining three orbitals are identical to the $f_{x y z}$ orbital but are rotated $45^{\circ}$ about the $x, y$, and $z$ axes, respectively.

Methods for showing the total probability of finding the electron (multiplying the radial probability function by the angular probability function) anywhere in space are more quantitative descriptions and use shading or contour plots as in Figure 3.12. In these plots, there is an approach to a maximum electron density some


Figure 3.11 The general set for the shapes of the $f$ orbitals from angular probability $(\theta \phi)^{2}$ plots. The Cartesian coordinate axes are as in Figure 3.10 and the $\mathrm{f}_{5 z^{3}-3 z r^{2}}$ or $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{z}^{3}}$ orbital on the left
distance from the nucleus followed by a decrease in electron density on moving farther away from the nucleus. The contour plots are relative to the maximum electron density, which is assigned a value of 1 .

### 3.5 The Four Quantum Numbers

As noted above, when the Schrodinger equation is applied to the hydrogen atom or to any system with one electron and one nucleus, the solution gives the three quantum numbers $n, l$, and $m_{l}$. The "principal" quantum number $\boldsymbol{n}$ indicates the main energy level that an electron resides in and may have any integral value from 1 to infinity.

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots, \infty
$$

The value of $n$ also indicates the number of sublevels or types of orbitals in the main energy level (for $n=2$, there are " $s$ " and " p " orbitals or sublevels) and $2 n^{2}$ indicates the maximum number of electrons in the level $n$ for $n=2 ; 2 n^{2}=8$ ). The lower the $n$ value, the more stable the orbital.

The "azimuthal" or "orbital angular momentum" quantum number $l$ determines the shape of the orbital and indicates the sublevels in an energy level, $n$, and may have any integral value from zero to $n-1$ :

$$
l=0,1,2, \ldots,(n-1)
$$



Figure 3.12 (a) Pictorial representation of electron density (Source: From Ref. [6], Figure 5. Reproduced with permission from American Chemical Society). (b) Electron density contours for the hydrogen-like $2 p$ orbital of carbon (Source: From Ref. [7], Figure 8. Reproduced with permission from American Chemical Society). (c) $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ orbital contour (Source: From Ref. [8], Figure 3. Reproduced with permission from American Chemical Society). The signs indicate the sign of the original angular function $(\theta \phi)$. (d) Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {M }}$ (version 8.0. 10 from Hypercube Inc.) three-dimensional isosurface rendition encompassing the total electron density for the carbon $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ orbital at four different contour levels (blue is the "+" part of $\psi$ and black is the " - " part of $\psi$ ); from left to right, the distance from the end of one lobe from the atom's center is 171, 144, 100, and 61 pm, respectively

For historical reasons related to spectroscopy, $l$ is not normally specified by these integers, but rather by the letters $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \ldots$ (continuing alphabetically), which correspond to $l=0,1,2,3,4, \ldots$, respectively, where $(2 l+1)$ gives the number of orbitals in a given sublevel. These orbitals have the same energy; that is, they are said to be degenerate in the absence of an electric or magnetic field. The $n$ and $l$ values of an electron are often designated by the notation $n l$, in which the value of $l$ is indicated by the appropriate letter. Thus a $2 s$ electron has $n=2$ and $l=0$; a 2 p electron has $n=2$ and $l=1$; a 3 d electron has $n=3, l=2$.

The "magnetic" quantum number, $m_{l}$, is related to the component of the angular momentum on a given axis ( $z$ ) upon application of a magnetic field, which removes degeneracy, and describes the orbital's orientation in space. It may have an integral value ranging from $-l$ to $+l$ :

$$
m_{l}=-l,-(l-1), \ldots,-1,0,+1,+2, \ldots, l-1,+l
$$

For the p orbitals where $l=1, m_{l}$ has three values $1,0,-1$ for a total of three orbitals. For the d orbitals where $l=2$, there are five values, and for the f orbitals where $l=3$, there are seven values. The s orbital is spherically symmetric around the nucleus and Unsöld's theorem indicates that the sum of the electron density for the set of three $p$ orbitals, set of five $d$ orbitals and set of seven $f$ orbitals is also spherical.

Because an electron has spin, and consequently a magnetic moment that can be oriented either up or down in an applied magnetic field, a fourth quantum number, the "spin" quantum number $m_{s}$, must be specified. The permissible values of $m_{s}$ are $\pm 1 / 2$. The values allowed for $m_{s}$ are $+1 / 2$ ( $\uparrow$ or parallel with an applied magnetic field) and $-1 / 2$ ( $\downarrow$ or opposed to an applied magnetic field). The magnitude of the magnetic moment, $\mu$, in Bohr magnetons $[e h /(4 \pi m)]$ with units of $9.27 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{JT}^{-1}$ is given in Equations 3.11 and 3.12.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=2[S(S+1)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { where } S=\sum m_{s} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=[n(n+2)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { where } n=\# \text { of unpaired electrons } \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $S=0$, all the electrons in the atom are paired as the individual spins of the electrons in the atom cancel. The atom is termed diamagnetic and the electrons are repelled slightly in a magnetic field. When $S>0$, there are unpaired electrons in the atom, which are attracted in a magnetic field and the atom is called paramagnetic.

As a consequence of the restrictions on the quantum numbers, the electron of a hydrogen atom may be assigned only certain combinations of quantum numbers. These permissible combinations for $n=1,2,3,4$, and 5 are summarized below. Each allowed combination of $n, l$, and $m_{l}$ corresponds to an atomic "orbital." Chemists indicate that the electron may be "put into" or "assigned to" a particular orbital or region of space as shown in Table 3.3. Of course, in any orbital, the $m_{s}$ quantum number may be either $+1 / 2$ or $-1 / 2$.

### 3.6 The Polyelectronic Atoms and the Filling of Orbitals for the Atoms of the Elements

The Schrodinger wave functions for polyelectronic atoms are more complex but several techniques are now available to obtain relatively precise energies and probability densities. Not only are there multiple electrons but the additional nuclear charge, $Z$, is a principal difference in describing the electrons and their energies. The increased nuclear charge contracts all the orbitals and these adjusted orbitals are called hydrogen-like orbitals [for the wave functions in Table 3.1, replace $r$ by $Z r$ and multiply each function by $(Z)^{3 / 2}$ ]. Spectroscopic methods have provided the data leading to the ground state electron configuration of all the elements in order to build up the periodic table of the elements. In a given energy level, the stability of the atomic orbital energies (recall that $E$ is negative in Equation 3.4) tends to increase as $\mathrm{s}>\mathrm{p}>\mathrm{d}>\mathrm{f}$ (see Figure 3.15a). At higher $n$ values, the energies of orbitals with different $n$ and $l$ values overlap such that a staggering occurs; for example, $4 \mathrm{~s}<3 \mathrm{~d}$ for Ca so the 4 s orbital is filled. The filling of the outermost electrons into the orbitals is best described by the following order (part of the Aufbau principle, Section 3.7) $1 \mathrm{~s}<2 \mathrm{~s}<2 \mathrm{p}<3 \mathrm{~s}<3 \mathrm{p}<4 \mathrm{~s}<$

Table 3.3 Some allowed values of the hydrogenic atom quantum numbers to give orbitals and distributions of electrons

| $n$ | 1 | $m_{1}$ | $m_{s}$ | Number of electrons by orbital (I) | Number of electrons by $n$ level | n/ orbital designation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 2 | 2 | 1 s |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 2 | 8 | 2s |
| 2 | 1 | $-1,0,+1$ | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 6 |  | 2p |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 2 | 18 | 3s |
| 3 | 1 | $-1,0,+1$ | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 6 |  | 3 p |
| 3 | 2 | $-2,-1,0,+1,+2$ | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 10 |  | 3d |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 2 | 32 | 4 s |
| 4 | 1 | $-1,0,+1$ | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 6 |  | 4 p |
| 4 | 2 | -2, $-1,0,+1,+2$ | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 10 |  | 4 d |
| 4 | 3 | -3, -2, -1, $0,+1,+2,+3$ | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 14 |  | 4f |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 2 | 50 | 5 s |
| 5 | 1 | -1, 0, +1 | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 6 |  | 5 p |
| 5 | 2 | -2, $-1,0,+1,+2$ | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 10 |  | 5 d |
| 5 | 3 | -3, -2, -1, $0,+1,+2,+3$ | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 14 |  | $5 f$ |
| 5 | 4 | -4, -3, -2, -1, $0,+1,+2,+3,+4$ | $\pm 1 / 2$ | 18 |  | 5 g |



Figure 3.13 (a) Schematic for the filling of the electrons into the known orbitals of atoms to give their ground state electronic configurations. (b) The number of sublevels and orbitals for a given sublevel from $n=1$ through 4
$3 \mathrm{~d}<4 \mathrm{p}<5 \mathrm{~s}<4 \mathrm{~d}<5 \mathrm{p}<6 \mathrm{~s}<5 \mathrm{~d} \simeq 4 \mathrm{f}<6 \mathrm{p}<7 \mathrm{~s}<6 \mathrm{~d}<5 \mathrm{f}$. The blue arrows (Figure 3.13) show the order of filling for orbitals, which are known to be filled or partially filled with electrons. Although this order is not fully accurate, it is very useful. The staggering of orbitals is a consequence of the shielding of the outermost electrons by the nuclear charge so that the effective nuclear charge $\left(Z_{\text {eff }}\right.$ or $\left.Z^{*}\right)$ is given by $Z_{\text {eff }}=Z-S$, where $S$ is a shielding or screening constant (see Section 3.8.1).

The entire periodic table with the ground state electronic configuration based on known orbital energies is given in Figure 3.14. The complete electron configuration is normally given by using the noble gas configuration and then the outermost electrons. For example, $\mathrm{Fe}(Z=26)$ has a complete electron configuration of $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} s p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{6}$. As the argon configuration is $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} s p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6}$, Fe would have the shorthand notation: $\operatorname{Ar~} 4 s^{3} 3 d^{6}$. In Figure 3.14, only the outermost or valence electrons are given, and the noble gas electron configurations are assumed.

Figure 3.14 The ground state electron configuration of the elements

There are seven periods or rows of the known 118 elements, which are also given the notation K through Q . Of the first 92 elements, 91 are found in nature (Tc has been made in nuclear reactions).

From left to right, there are 18 columns or families that can be divided into four blocks (s, p, d, and f) based on the last orbitals filled by electrons. Elements in the same family or group of elements are also called congeners and have similar atomic or chemical properties.

Groups 1 (alkali metals) and 2 (alkaline earth metals) have the $n s^{1}$ and $n s^{2}$ electron configurations; thus they are called the " $s$ " block elements. Group 1 and 2 elements readily lose one or two electrons, respectively so that they exist as ions with +1 or +2 oxidation states.
The " p " block elements are groups $13-18$ with the $n \mathrm{~s}^{2} n \mathrm{p}^{n}$ electron configuration. Group 16 elements are the chalcogens, Group 17 elements are the halogens, and Group 18 elements are the noble gases. The " s " and " p " block elements are also called the main group elements.
The "d" block elements are Groups 3-12 and are called transition metals with the general electron configuration $n \mathrm{~s}^{2}(n-1) \mathrm{d}^{n}$.
The " f " block elements have the $n \mathrm{~s}^{2}(n-1) \mathrm{d}^{1}(n-2) \mathrm{f}^{n}$ electron configuration. Their most common oxidation state is +3 for the removal of the three $n s^{2}(n-1) \mathrm{d}^{1}$ electrons. The lanthanides start after lanthanum and the actinides start after actinium.

### 3.7 Aufbau Principle

The Aufbau or building up principle gives the method for determining the ground state electron configuration by taking the above orbital ordering and combining it with Hund's rule and the Pauli Exclusion Principle. Hund's rule is the rule of maximum multiplicity, which states that every orbital in a subshell or sublevel is occupied by a single electron before any orbital is doubly occupied and all electrons in singly occupied orbitals have their spins in the same direction or aligned with the magnetic field. This rule takes note of the fact that electrons are of negative charge, and repulsion of electrons in the same region of space is minimized by placing them in different orbitals of the same sublevel. In addition, these electrons with aligned spins shield each other less from the nucleus. The Pauli Exclusion Principle states that no two electrons of the same atom can have the same four quantum numbers (i.e., the same energy); that is, two electrons cannot occupy the same region of space with the same spin. They can occupy the same region of space (orbital) if they have a different magnetic moment $\left(m_{s}\right)$. The following are the allowed ground states for the last electron from H through N showing these rules.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}=1 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ | $1,0,0,+1 / 2$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{He}=1 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $1,0,0,-1 / 2$ |  |
| ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Li}=2 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ | $2,0,0,+1 / 2$ |  |
| ${ }_{4} \mathrm{Be}=2 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ | $2,0,0,-1 / 2$ |  |
| ${ }_{5} \mathrm{~B}=2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{1}$ | $2,1,+1,+1 / 2$ | $\mathrm{p}_{x} 1=1 ; m_{1}=+1$ |
| ${ }_{6} \mathrm{C}=2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{2}$ | $2,1,0,+1 / 2$ | $\mathrm{p}_{z} 1=1 ; m_{l}=0$ as the p electrons are filled below |
| ${ }_{7} \mathrm{~N}=2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{3}$ | $2,1,-1,+1 / 2$ | $\mathrm{p}_{y} 1=1 ; m_{1}=-1$ |

For carbon, the ground electronic state of the 2 p orbitals is represented on the left below:

\[

\]

and its full electron configuration can be represented as $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}_{x}{ }^{1} 2 \mathrm{p}_{z}{ }^{1}$. The $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital is assigned $m_{1}=0$; this is true for the $3 \mathrm{~d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital as the $z$ axis is chosen as the axis of the applied magnetic field. Two excited energy states (also called microstates) for carbon are on the right of the ground state, and are not in accordance with Hund's rule.

The filling of the d orbitals for the transition metals and of the f orbitals for the lanthanides and actinides occurs in a similar manner. For $\mathrm{Fe}(Z=26)$, the electronic configuration is $\operatorname{Ar} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{6}$ where four of the $d$ orbitals are singly occupied with electrons. For Cr and Mo, the outermost electron configurations are $\mathrm{s}^{1} \mathrm{~d}^{5}$, which gives maximum multiplicity of six unpaired electrons, but W has the $\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{4}$ configuration. $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Ag}$, and Au all show the $s^{1} d^{10}$ configuration. The filling of the d orbitals with half-filled $s$ orbitals for these five elements gives a symmetrical and energetically favorable arrangement of electrons around these atoms.

### 3.8 Atomic Properties

### 3.8.1 Orbitals Energies and Shielding

The energy of a polyelectronic atom is a function of $Z^{2} / n^{2}$ (Equation 3.4) but $Z$ increases faster than $n$ as electrons are added. On adding electrons around the nucleus, the inner electrons now shield the outer electrons from the nuclear charge so the energy of an electron is now given as Equation 3.13.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n} \alpha-\left[\frac{(Z-S)^{2}}{n_{i}^{2}}\right]=\frac{-Z_{\mathrm{eff}}{ }^{2}}{n_{i}^{2}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ or $\mathrm{Z}^{*}=\mathrm{Z}-S . \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ or $\mathrm{Z}^{*}$ is the effective nuclear charge and $S$ is the shielding or screening constant (sometimes given as $\sigma$ ). This update to the energy of the electron (Equation 3.4) is obviously necessary when one compares the ionization potential (IP) of the H atom with the lithium atom. For $\mathrm{H}, Z^{2} / n^{2}=1$ whereas for $\mathrm{Li}, Z^{2} / n^{2}=9 / 4=2.25$. However, the IP of H is 13.6 eV and almost twice that of Li , which has an IP of 5.39 eV . A principal reason for this lowering of the IP with increase in the principal quantum number can be seen with the probability density plots (Figure 3.7) for the 1 s and 2 s electrons. Here, the 1 s electrons penetrate closer to the nucleus than does the 2 s electron, which is now shielded by the 1 s electrons. Also, the 1 s electrons will repel the 2 s electron and this combination makes the 2 s electron in Li easier to remove. Thus, $Z_{\text {eff }}$ must be some number between 1 and 2 to account for the lower IP of Li .

Further inspection of the probability density plots (Figure 3.7) indicates that selectrons are not shielded well by p or d electrons. Electrons from d and f orbitals (higher $l$ values) are poor shielders as they penetrate less to the nucleus. These effects have been taken into account by Slater who provided a set of empirical rules that estimated a value for the shielding constant, $S$. These rules are listed here:

1. Write out the electronic configuration of the element as follows:
(1s) $(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{p})(3 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{p})(3 \mathrm{~d})(4 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{p})(4 \mathrm{~d})(4 \mathrm{f})(5 \mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{p})$ etc.
This is different from the Aufbau principle.
2. Electrons in any group to the right of a ( $n \mathrm{~s}, n \mathrm{p}$ ) group contribute zero to $S$. This rule neglects that the 4 s and higher ns electrons can penetrate to the nucleus and shield 3d and $(n-1)$ d electrons.
3. All of the other electrons in an ( $n \mathrm{~s}, n \mathrm{p}$ ) group contribute 0.35 to $S$.
4. All electrons in the $n-1$ level contribute 0.85 to $S$.
5. All electrons in the $n-2$ level are considered to shield the outer electron completely and contribute 1.00 to $S$.
6. If the outermost electron being considered is an $n$ d or nf electron, rules 4 and 5 now become: all electrons in the groups to the left of the $n \mathrm{~d}$ and $n \mathrm{f}$ group contribute 1.00 to $S$.

For the C atom $(Z=6)$, the orbital grouping would be $(1 \mathrm{~s})^{2}(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{p})^{4} . S=(2 \times 0.85)+(3 \times 0.35)=2.75$. Thus, $Z-S=6-2.75=3.25$.

For transition metals, we need to consider both s and d electrons. For $\mathrm{Cu}(Z=29)$, the orbital grouping would be $(1 \mathrm{~s})^{2}(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{p})^{8}(3 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{p})^{8}(3 \mathrm{~d})^{9}(4 \mathrm{~s})^{1}$.

For the 4s electron, $S=(10 \times 1.00)+(17 \times 0.85)=24.45$ so $Z-S=29-24.45=4.55$.
For a 3d electron, $S=(18 \times 1.00)+(8 \times 0.35)=20.8$ so $Z-S=29-20.8=8.2$.
Using Cu as an example, the electrons in d and f orbitals $(S=1.00)$ are screened much better than s and p electrons ( $S=0.85$ ) by the electrons immediately below them in $n$ value. Also $n \mathrm{~s}$ and $n \mathrm{p}$ electrons shield $n \mathrm{~d}$ and $n \mathrm{f}$ effectively ( $S=1.0$ ). These contributions are consistent with Figure 3.7. However, the 4 s orbital is ignored even though it has a finite probability to be near the nucleus. These calculations still allow us to predict that the 4 s electron will be removed before a 3 d electron in agreement with the known chemistry of the transition metals. Because transition metals have the general electron configuration of $n \mathrm{~s}^{2}(n-1) \mathrm{d}^{n}$, the +2 oxidation state where the $n \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ electrons are lost first is very common. Thus, $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ have the $(n-1) \mathrm{d}^{n}$ configuration.

However, this removal of outermost electrons is in contrast to the Aufbau principle, which has the 3d orbitals being occupied last. When the energies of all the electrons are summed, the loss or ionization of the 4 s electrons is favored as the 3 d orbitals are more diffuse and electron-electron repulsions are less than electron-electron repulsions in the 4 s orbitals. As $Z$ and $Z_{\text {eff }}$ increase across a row, the polyelectronic orbitals behave much as hydrogen orbitals with all orbitals in a given $n$ level residing at a lower or more stable energy than the orbitals in the $n+1$ level. This ordering of 3 d versus 4 s and 4 p orbitals is observed in ESCA spectra, and Figure 3.15a shows this ordering for Kr .

Since Slater proposed these rules, better information on shielding constants has been obtained and Table 3.4 shows values of the effective nuclear charge for the atoms. The trend for $Z_{\text {eff }}$ is to increase across a row in the periodic table and decrease for the outermost orbital on descending a family (given $n$ value; e.g., 2 s for Li versus 3 s for Na ) in the periodic table. The periodic properties of the elements, which are described shortly, are directly related to $Z_{\text {eff }}$.

### 3.8.2 Term Symbols: Coupling of Spin and Orbital Angular Momentum

Figure 3.15a shows the ESCA spectra for the noble gases. Neon has single peaks for the $1 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~s}$, and 2 p orbitals, but $\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Kr}$, and Xe show a splitting of peaks in some instances. For Ar , there are peaks for electrons occupying $2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{p}, 3 \mathrm{~s}$, and 3 p orbitals. Note the significant splitting of the peak due to the 2 p orbitals; the splitting of the valence p orbitals for $\mathrm{Ar}(3 \mathrm{p}), \mathrm{Kr}(4 \mathrm{p})$, and $\mathrm{Xe}(5 \mathrm{p})$ is smaller and only resolved with low energy radiation (Figure 3.15b). The splitting of these peaks is related to the coupling of the orbital angular momentum ( $L$, which is related to rotation of the electron cloud) and the spin angular momentum of the electron $(S)$ to give the


Figure 3.15 (a) X-ray photoelectron (XPS or ESCA) spectra for $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{Ne}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Kr}$, and Xe excited by $\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{K} \alpha \mathrm{X}$-radiation (Source: From Ref. [4], Figure 4.1. Reproduced with permission). Mg Ka X-radiation (1.254 KeV) is not energetic enough (1) to ionize the 1 s electrons for Ar to Xe and not monochromatic enough to resolve the $3 \mathrm{p}_{1 / 2}$ and $3 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ peaks for Ar; (2) to ionize the $1 s$ and $2 s$ electrons and not monochromatic enough to resolve the $4 p_{1 / 2}$ and $4 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ peaks for Kr; (3) to ionize the $1 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~s}$, and 3 s electrons and not monochromatic enough to resolve the $4 \mathrm{p}_{1 / 2}$ and $4 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ peaks for Xe . (b) Ar, Kr, and Xe UV photoelectron spectra excited with the low energy He emission of 21.22 eV resolves the 3p, 4p, and 5p peaks of Ar, Kr, and Xe, respectively (Source: From Ref. [9], Figures 3.1-3.3. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)
total angular momentum of the electron (spin-orbit or Russell-Saunders coupling), which is represented by the spectroscopic term symbol, $J$ (given as the absolute value of $L$ and $S$ as described in the next paragraph). This coupling is due to the electron-electron repulsions that occur as orbitals are filled because there is more than one option for assigning the electrons to degenerate orbitals that are in different regions of space (as noted for the Carbon 2p orbitals in Section 3.7). The various assignments are called microstates and have different energies, which can be resolved spectroscopically into different peaks. This type of coupling is valid for the elements with $Z \leq 30$ and for the examples given throughout this book including the noble gases (Figure 3.15).

Table 3.4 Effective nuclear charges $\left(Z_{\text {eff }}\right)$ for all occupied orbitals of the first 36 elements


The value of $L=\sum m_{l}$ and $S=\sum m_{s} ; J=|L+S|,|L+S|-1, \ldots,|L-S|$ in integer steps. A value of $m_{l}=1$ and -1 corresponds to $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals, respectively, and the value of $m_{l}=0$ corresponds to a $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital when an electric or magnetic field is applied. For the removal of a single $p$ electron from the noble gases to form the $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ion as occurs during an ESCA experiment, the five p electrons can be arranged in six separate microstates (Figure 3.16).

For the top left or ground state, $L=\sum m_{l}=2(1)+2(0)+1(-1)=1$, and $S=1 / 2$; for the bottom left, $L=$ $\sum m_{l}=2(1)+2(0)+1(-1)=1$, and $S=-1 / 2$. For the top center configuration, $L=\Sigma m_{1}=1(1)+2(0)+$ $2(-1)=-1$, and $S=1 / 2$; for the bottom center, $L=\sum m_{l}=1(1)+2(0)+2(-1)=-1$, and $S=-1 / 2$. For the top right configuration, $L=\sum m_{l}=2(1)+1(0)+2(-1)=0$, and $S=1 / 2$; for the bottom right, $L=\sum m_{l}=$ $2(1)+1(0)+2(-1)=0$, and $S=-1 / 2$. These six individual $m_{1}$ and $m_{s}$ values are assembled into the matrix table on the right of Figure 3.16.



|  | $1 / 2$ | $-1 / 2$ | $m_{\mathrm{s}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{1}$ |  |  |  |

111
$0 \quad 1 \quad 1$
(b)

Figure 3.16 (a) The six microstates of different energy for the filling of five $p$ electrons into the $p_{x}, p_{y}$, and $p_{z}$ orbitals. (b) The $m_{1}$ and $m_{s}$ matrix comprising the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}$ term symbol

For a $p^{1}$ electronic configuration, the values for $L$ and $S$ are the same as for the $\mathrm{p}^{5}$ case (a concept known as hole formalism as one electron in one of the three p orbitals is similar to a vacancy or missing an electron in one of the three p orbitals as the other positions are occupied). The vector sum of $L$ and $S$ gives the following $J$ values.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J=\frac{3}{2}=|L+S|=\left|1+\frac{1}{2}\right|=\left|-1-\frac{1}{2}\right| \\
& J=\frac{1}{2}=|L+S|-1=\left|1+\frac{1}{2}\right|-1=\left|-1-\frac{1}{2}\right|-1
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result of this spin-orbit coupling, the electron states or levels are now given as spectroscopic term symbols of the form

$$
n^{(2 S+1)} L_{J}
$$

When $L \geq S$, the multiplicity term $(2 S+1)$ indicates the total number of $J$ states for a given $L$ and $S$ where $L=0$ is an S state; $L=1$ is $\mathrm{P} ; L=2$ is $\mathrm{D}, L=3$ is F , then continuing alphabetically but omitting $J$ as $J$ is the combination of $L$ and $S$.

The most stable energy state is the one with maximum multiplicity $(2 S+1)$, then the state with highest $L$. For atoms in the ground state and with sublevels less than half filled, the minimum $J$ value is the lowest energy state, and for sublevels more than half filled, the maximum $J$ value is the lowest energy state (for ions the $J$ values reverse). For $\mathrm{Ar}^{+}$in Figure 3.15 b, the coupling of electron spin with the angular momentum of the 3 p orbitals results in two energy states $3^{2} \mathrm{P}_{1 / 2}$ (representing the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital) and $3^{2} \mathrm{P}_{3 / 2}$ (representing the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals), which are observed in a 1:2 ratio, respectively. The term ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}$ is called a doublet P state as the multiplicity is 2 ; for a multiplicity of 1 , the term is singlet; for a multiplicity of 3 , the term is triplet. Kr and Xe also have two energy states, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{1 / 2}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{3 / 2}$, for the 4 p and 5 p orbitals, respectively.

The s orbitals have only one value of $m_{1}$, which is 0 , so there is no coupling and no splitting of the peak for 1s orbitals as shown in Figure 3.15a for ionized helium ( $1 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ ), which has a single term symbol or energy state, ${ }^{2} S_{1 / 2}$ (the electron spin can be aligned with or against an applied magnetic field).

$$
J=\frac{1}{2}=|L+S|=|L-S|=\left|0+\frac{1}{2}\right|=\left|0-\frac{1}{2}\right|
$$

Russell-Saunders coupling will be discussed in more detail in the discussion on transition metals and the spectroscopy of their complexes (Section 8.8.2.2; the determination of the spectroscopic terms for the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$
state is explicitly detailed). In Section 2.7.2.1, the dissociation of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ led to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and O atoms. On dissociation, the O atom can be in the ground state $\left(\mathrm{p}_{x}{ }^{2} \mathrm{p}_{z}{ }^{1} \mathrm{p}_{y}{ }^{1}\right.$ or the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ state $)$ or in an excited state $\left(\mathrm{p}_{x}{ }^{2} \mathrm{p}_{z}{ }^{2} \mathrm{p}_{y}{ }^{0}\right.$ or the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}$ state). As the excited state has an empty orbital, it is more reactive. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ also has a ground state $\left({ }^{3} \sum_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{-}\right)$and an excited state $\left({ }^{1} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ as discussed in Section 5.4.8.1. Dissociation leads to spin conservation so both products must be triple or singlet.

### 3.8.3 Periodic Properties - Atomic Radius

There are several atomic properties that follow from understanding the orbital energies of the atom. The first is the atomic radius, $r$, for which Equation 3.5 is now modified for a multielectron atom to the relationship in Equation 3.14.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \propto \frac{a_{0} n^{2}}{Z_{\text {eff }}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}=52.9 \mathrm{pm}$. This function indicates a trend that the radius will increase on going down a column or family in the periodic table and will decrease from left to right on going across a row of the periodic table.

Here, the atomic radius is defined for nonmetallic and metallic elements as follows. For nonmetallic elements, the atomic radius is defined as half of the bond or internuclear distance between adjacent atoms of the same element in a molecule (preferably with single bonds). For metals, the metallic radius is defined as half of the experimentally determined distance between the centers of adjacent atoms in the solid. However, different metals have a varying number of adjacent or nearest neighbors so the atomic radius can be normalized to a maximum coordination number of 12 nearest neighbors (Section 6.2.7.1). With these caveats, Table 3.5 provides information on atomic radii.

The table of atomic radii shows the regular increase in radius going down the periodic table signifying the increase in the value of $n$ and the regular decrease on going across the periodic table signifying the increase of the atomic number $Z$. Of particular note is the first filling of the $f$ orbitals after lanthanum. The atomic radius for the transition metal atoms in row or period 6 is almost identical to the atomic radius of the transition metal atoms in row 5 . The similarity in atomic radii is called the lanthanide contraction and is a consequence of the poor shielding of the nuclear charge by the electrons in 4 f orbitals, which are filled before the 5 d orbitals. A similar contraction is also found for gallium and germanium when compared to aluminum and silicon, respectively. This is a consequence of the first filling of the 3 d orbitals and is called the scandide contraction. Figure 3.17 provides the atomic radii as $Z$ varies.

For cations of a given element, the ionic radius is smaller than the atomic radius as a result of the increased charge attracting the outermost electrons. For anions of a given element, the ionic radius is larger than the atomic radius as the outermost electrons are not as easily attracted by the nuclear charge. More information on ionic radii is provided in Table 6.4 during the discussion of ionic solids and bonding.

### 3.8.4 Periodic Properties - Ionization Potential (IP)

The ionization potential (IP) is defined as the energy required to remove an electron from an atom so the value of the IP is endergonic and has a positive sign. It can be described by the following chemical equation:

$$
\mathrm{A}_{(\mathrm{g})} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{+}+\mathrm{e}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{-} \quad \Delta E_{\mathrm{IP}}=-E_{n}=+ \text { value }
$$

Note that the energy of the orbital is negative as it is stable; thus, the IP is defined as $\Delta E_{\mathrm{IP}}=-E_{n}$. First ionization energies of the elements are given in Table 3.6. Figure 3.18 also shows that the ionization potential

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{H} \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | He |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Li $157$ | Be 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{B} \\ 88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{C} \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N} \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{O} \\ 73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{F} \\ 71 \end{array}$ | Ne |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathrm{Na} \\ 191 \end{array}$ | Mg <br> 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Al} \\ 143 \end{array}$ | Si <br> 118 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{P} \\ 110 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{S} \\ 104 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{C l} \\ 99 \end{array}$ | Ar |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{K} \\ 235 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathbf{C a} \\ 197 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{S c} \\ 164 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{T i} \\ 147 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{V} \\ 135 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{C r} \\ & 129 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{M n} \\ & 137 \end{aligned}$ | Fe $126$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{C o} \\ 125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{N i} \\ 125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{C u} \\ 128 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{Z n} \\ & 137 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G a} \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{G e} \\ 122 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As } \\ & 122 \end{aligned}$ | Se $117$ | Br $114$ | Kr |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{R b} \\ 250 \end{array}$ | Sr $215$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{Y} \\ & 182 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathbf{Z r} \\ 160 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Nb} \\ 147 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Mo } \\ 140 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tc } \\ & 135 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathbf{R u} \\ 134 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{R h} \\ 134 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{P d} \\ 137 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ag } \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{C d} \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{I n} \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sn } \\ 140 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{S b} \\ & 141 \end{aligned}$ | Te 135 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I} \\ & 133 \end{aligned}$ | Xe |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathbf{C s} \\ 272 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{B a} \\ 224 \end{array}$ | La 188 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{H f} \\ & \mathbf{1 5 9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ta} \\ & 147 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline W \\ 141 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{R e} \\ & 137 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { Os } \\ 135 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Ir } \\ 136 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{P t} \\ & 139 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Au} \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ | Hg <br> 155 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{TI} \\ 155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{P b} \\ 154 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{B i} \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ | Po | At | Rn |

Atomic number ( $Z$ ) versus atomic radius


Figure 3.17 Variation of atomic radius for the elements
generally decreases on going down a family of the periodic table and increases on going across a row in the periodic table. This trend is almost an exact replica of what was seen for atomic radius, but in reverse order as the equations for atomic radius and ionization potential are inverse in both $Z$ and $n$. The shielding effect is also observed for the ionization potential of the elements after the first filling of the " f " orbitals (the lanthanide contraction). The elements with 5 d electrons (from Hf through Pb ) have higher IP values than the family members above them. In nature, Tl exists in the +1 (not +3 ) oxidation state, and Sn and Pb exist in the +2 (not the +4 ) oxidation state; this is called the inert " $s$ " pair effect as the s electrons are not readily removed. Ga and Ge also have values of the IP that are close to Al and Si , respectively.

The ionization potential is useful for describing other properties of the atom and in providing energies of the electrons in their respective orbitals. Figure 3.19 shows the orbital energies, which are the negative value of the ionization energies, and the electron configurations for the first 11 elements in the periodic table. Note that there is a decrease in orbital stability with an increase in $n$ on going down the periodic table (e.g., hydrogen to lithium to sodium). Also, there is an increase in orbital stability on increasing $Z$ on going across the second row of the periodic table, but there are some slight deviations in orbital energies as different orbitals fill up across the periodic table. For boron, it is easier to remove a 2 p electron than one of the 2 s electrons in beryllium. Recall that $s$ electrons have a finite probability of being found near the nucleus whereas electrons in p, d, and f orbitals do not (see Figure 3.7). For oxygen, there is the first pairing of two electrons in one of the 2 p orbitals. As noted before, placing two electrons of opposite spin in the same orbital is a way of balancing the repulsive nature of two electrons that are negative in charge. Thus, it is easier to remove the first electron from oxygen relative to that of nitrogen, which has a filled sub level. Note that the energy gap between the 2 s and 2 p orbitals increases with the nuclear charge on going across the second row.
Table 3．6 First ionization energy and electron affinity values in electron volts．IE and EA values in blue show the effects of the lanthanide contraction

|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \circ \\ \stackrel{O}{O} \\ \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{array}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\wedge} \hat{0}$ |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 3.18 Variation in first ionization potential (eV) for the elements (Source: From Ref. [11])

The first eight ionization potentials of the first 36 elements are given in Table 3.7. Inspection of these values provides information on the loss of electrons from different levels ( $n$ ) and sublevels $(l)$ as also shown in Figure 3.19. For example, the second ionization energy of Li is significant as there is removal of a 1 s electron after removing the 2 s electron. These energies may be used to speculate on the oxidation state or chemical form of an element on another planet such as Mars. Analysis of surface soils from the Martian surface showed that perchlorate and not chloride is the dominant chlorine species unlike Earth [12] (Section 2.7.2.2). The total energy for the first seven electrons to ionize Cl to $\mathrm{Cl}^{7+}$ is 409 eV . As the Martian atmosphere is $0.6 \%$ of the Earth (as Mars lost its early atmosphere), 409 eV is easily accessible via X-ray and cosmic radiation, which bombards the Martian surface and its atmosphere, allowing formation of a variety of oxidants. Any oxygen or water present would permit stabilization of $\mathrm{Cl}^{7+}$ as perchlorate. Similar calculations can be done for ferrate $\left(\mathrm{FeO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right)$ and permanganate $\left(\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}\right)$and indicate that much of the oxygen on the surface of Mars is locked up with higher nonmetal and metal oxidation states.

### 3.8.5 Periodic Properties - Electron Affinity (EA)

The electron affinity (EA) is defined as the energy gained or released when an atom accepts an electron into its valence shell. Typically, the energy for this reaction is exergonic for the first electron accepted and has a negative value; thus, $\mathrm{EA}=-\Delta E$ to provide a positive number for EA (Table 3.6). There are two equations that can describe the process, and the first is

$$
\mathrm{A}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{e}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{-} \quad \Delta E_{\mathrm{EA}}=- \text { usually }
$$



Figure 3.19 The electron occupation for the orbitals and the orbital energies for the first eleven atoms in the periodic table. Brackets show the $2 s, 2 p$ orbitals for a given atom

The second way to express electron affinity is as the energy required to remove an electron from a negative ion, which is the reverse process of the above. The energy for this process has a positive sign as does the EA value.

$$
\mathrm{A}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \quad \Delta E_{\mathrm{EA})}=+ \text { usually }
$$

Removal of the second electron to produce the dianion of an atom (e.g., O and S ) results in negative values for the second EA values (endergonic process) as it is energetically difficult for the nuclear charge to overcome the added electron repulsion effects. In fact, the sum of both EA values for $\mathrm{O}(-6.25 \mathrm{eV})$ and $\mathrm{S}(-2.65 \mathrm{eV})$ [13] to become $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ shows an endergonic process indicating that these anions are not stable unless stabilized in a crystal (chapter 6) or in a hydrolysis product. Figure 3.20 shows a plot of atomic number versus the first electron affinity for many of the elements. On descending a family of the periodic table, the electron affinity decreases whereas when going across a row of the periodic table there is a tendency for the electron affinity to increase. Table 3.6 also shows that the metals in the second transition metal series frequently have larger EA values than the elements above them in the first transition series whereas the metals in the third transition metal series have similar to or higher EA values than the metals in the second transition metal series. This tendency to attract electrons is related to the filling of the "d" orbitals and is important in metal catalysis (Chapters 9 and 10).

In many instances electron affinity can be negative, indicating that there is no tendency for the atom to accept an electron. For example, helium $\left(1 \mathrm{~s}^{2}\right)$ would have to add an electron to a 2 s orbital, which is far

Table 3.7 Ionization energies (eV) for the first 36 elements [11]. Energy required to remove each electron sequentially. To obtain values in $\mathrm{MJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ divide by 10.364 . ( $1 \mathrm{eV} \mathrm{atom}^{-1}=96.4869 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ )

| Z element |  | I | II | III | IV | V | VII | VII | VIII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | H | 13.598 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | He | 24.587 | 54.416 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Li | 5.392 | 75.638 | 122.451 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Be | 9.322 | 18.211 | 153.893 | 217.713 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | B | 8.298 | 25.154 | 37.930 | 259.368 | 340.217 |  |  |  |
| 6 | C | 11.260 | 24.383 | 47.887 | 64.492 | 392.077 | 489.981 |  |  |
| 7 | N | 14.534 | 29.601 | 47.448 | 77.472 | 97.888 | 552.057 | 667.029 |  |
| 8 | O | 13.618 | 35.116 | 54.934 | 77.412 | 113.896 | 138.116 | 739.315 | 871.387 |
| 9 | F | 17.422 | 34.970 | 62.707 | 87.138 | 114.240 | 157.161 | 185.182 | 953.886 |
| 10 | Ne | 21.564 | 40.962 | 63.45 | 97.11 | 126.21 | 157.93 | 207.27 | 239.09 |
| 11 | Na | 5.139 | 47.286 | 71.64 | 98.91 | 138.39 | 172.15 | 208.47 | 264.18 |
| 12 | Mg | 7.646 | 15.035 | 80.143 | 109.24 | 141.26 | 186.50 | 224.94 | 265.90 |
| 13 | AI | 5.986 | 18.828 | 28.44 | 119.99 | 153.71 | 190.47 | 241.43 | 284.59 |
| 14 | Si | 8.151 | 16.345 | 33.492 | 45.141 | 166.77 | 205.05 | 246.52 | 303.17 |
| 15 | P | 10.486 | 19.725 | 30.18 | 51.37 | 65.023 | 220.43 | 263.22 | 309.41 |
| 16 | S | 10.360 | 23.33 | 34.83 | 47.30 | 72.68 | 88.049 | 280.93 | 328.23 |
| 17 | CI | 12.967 | 23.81 | 39.61 | 53.46 | 67.8 | 97.03 | 114.193 | 348.28 |
| 18 | Ar | 15.759 | 27.629 | 40.74 | 59.81 | 75.02 | 91.007 | 124.319 | 143.456 |
| 19 | K | 4.341 | 31.625 | 45.72 | 60.91 | 82.66 | 100.0 | 117.56 | 154.86 |
| 20 | Ca | 6.113 | 11.871 | 50.908 | 67.10 | 84.41 | 108.78 | 127.7 | 147.24 |
| 21 | Sc | 6.54 | 12.80 | 24.76 | 73.47 | 91.66 | 111.0 | 138.0 | 158.7 |
| 22 | Ti | 6.82 | 13.58 | 27.491 | 43.266 | 99.22 | 119.36 | 140.8 | 168.5 |
| 23 | V | 6.74 | 14.65 | 29.310 | 46.707 | 65.23 | 128.12 | 150.17 | 173.7 |
| 24 | Cr | 6.766 | 15.50 | 30.96 | 49.1 | 69.3 | 90.56 | 161.1 | 184.7 |
| 25 | Mn | 7.435 | 15.640 | 33.667 | 51.2 | 72.4 | 95 | 119.27 | 196.46 |
| 26 | Fe | 7.870 | 16.18 | 30.651 | 54.8 | 75.0 | 99 | 125 | 151.06 |
| 27 | Co | 7.86 | 17.06 | 33.50 | 51.3 | 79.5 | 102 | 129 | 157 |
| 28 | Ni | 7.635 | 18.168 | 35.17 | 54.9 | 75.5 | 108 | 133 | 162 |
| 29 | Cu | 7.726 | 20.292 | 36.83 | 55.2 | 79.9 | 103 | 139 | 166 |
| 30 | Zn | 9.394 | 17.964 | 39.722 | 59.4 | 82.6 | 108 | 134 | 174 |
| 31 | Ga | 5.999 | 20.51 | 30.71 | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Ge | 7.899 | 15.934 | 34.22 | 45.71 | 93.5 |  |  |  |
| 33 | As | 9.81 | 18.633 | 28.351 | 50.13 | 62.63 | 127.6 |  |  |
| 34 | Se | 9.752 | 21.19 | 30.820 | 42.944 | 68.3 | 81.70 | 155.4 |  |
| 35 | Br | 11.814 | 21.8 | 36 | 47.3 | 59.7 | 88.6 | 103.0 | 192.8 |
| 36 | Kr | 13.999 | 24.359 | 36.95 | 52.5 | 64.7 | 78.5 | 111.0 | 126 |

removed from the nuclear charge. This is true of all the noble gases. An electron would also have to be added to the 2 p orbital of beryllium $\left(2 \mathrm{~s}^{2}\right)$, which is also farther removed from the nucleus; $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Sr}$, and Ba (beryllium's family members) show similar tendencies. The nitrogen atom would have to add an electron so that it would have the oxygen atom configuration where two electrons would occupy the same p orbital; the electron pairing cannot be stabilized easily by nitrogen's nuclear charge.


Figure 3.20 Variation in the first electron affinity (eV) for the elements (Source: From Refs. [14-16])

### 3.8.6 Periodic Properties - Electronegativity ( $\chi$ )

An important atomic parameter is the electronegativity $(\chi)$, which is defined as the ability of an atom to draw electrons to itself in a chemical bond. The general trends for electronegativity are an increase across a row in the periodic table and a general decrease down a group in the periodic table, following the general trends for both ionization potential and electron affinity. The value of an atom's electronegativity has been defined in several ways. Linus Pauling produced the first electronegativity values based on bond energies (Equation 5.2). These have been the most frequently used data so all other scales of electronegativity are benchmarked to Pauling's values ( $\chi_{\mathrm{P}}$ ).

Allred and Rochow defined electronegativity ( $\chi_{\mathrm{AR}}$ ) based on the effective nuclear charge and atomic radius (Equation 3.15) so that these data are related to the atomic properties as discussed above. This scale formally describes the electric field at the surface of the atom.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mathrm{AR}}=\frac{Z_{\mathrm{eff}}}{r^{2}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in Pauling units (where $r$ is in picometer) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mathrm{P}}=0.744+35.90 \frac{Z_{\mathrm{eff}}}{r^{2}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Mulliken defined electronegativity as the average value of the ionization potential and the electron affinity (Equation 3.17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{M}=\frac{\mathrm{IP}+\mathrm{EA}}{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 3.8 Electronegativity values of the main group elements [17-21].
Allred-Rochow and Mulliken units are given in Pauling units calculated with Equations 3.16 and 3.18, respectively

| $\mathbf{H}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{H e}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.20 |  | Pauling <br> Mulliken <br> A-R |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{L i}$ | $\mathbf{B e}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{N e}$ |
| 0.98 | 1.57 | 2.04 | 2.55 | 3.04 | 3.44 | 3.98 | - |
| 1.28 | 1.99 | 1.83 | 2.67 | 3.08 | 3.22 | 4.43 | 4.60 |
| 0.97 | 1.47 | 2.01 | 2.50 | 3.07 | 3.50 | 4.10 | 5.10 |
| $\mathbf{N a}$ | $\mathbf{M g}$ | $\mathbf{A l}$ | $\mathbf{S i}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{C l}$ | $\mathbf{A r}$ |
| 0.93 | 1.31 | 1.61 | 1.90 | 2.19 | 2.58 | 3.16 | - |
| 1.21 | 1.63 | 1.37 | 2.03 | 2.39 | 2.65 | 3.54 | 3.36 |
| 1.01 | 1.23 | 1.47 | 1.74 | 2.06 | 2.44 | 2.83 | 3.30 |
| $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{C a}$ | $\mathbf{G a}$ | $\mathbf{G e}$ | $\mathbf{A s}$ | $\mathbf{S e}$ | $\mathbf{B r}$ | $\mathbf{K r}$ |
| 0.82 | 1.00 | 1.81 | 2.01 | 2.18 | 2.55 | 2.96 | 3.0 |
| 1.03 | 1.30 | 1.34 | 1.95 | 2.26 | 2.51 | 3.24 | 2.98 |
| 0.91 | 1.04 | 1.82 | 2.02 | 2.20 | 2.48 | 2.74 | 3.10 |
| $\mathbf{R b}$ | $\mathbf{S r}$ | $\mathbf{I n}$ | $\mathbf{S n}$ | $\mathbf{S b}$ | $\mathbf{T e}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{X e}$ |
| 0.82 | 0.95 | 1.78 | 1.96 | 2.05 | 2.10 | 2.66 | 2.6 |
| 0.99 | 1.21 | 1.30 | 1.83 | 2.06 | 2.34 | 2.88 | 2.59 |
| 0.89 | 0.99 | 1.49 | 1.72 | 1.82 | 2.01 | 2.21 | 2.40 |
| $\mathbf{C s}$ | $\mathbf{B a}$ | $\mathbf{T l}$ | $\mathbf{P b}$ | $\mathbf{B i}$ | $\mathbf{P o}$ | $\mathbf{A t}$ | $\mathbf{R n}$ |
| 0.79 | 0.89 | 2.04 | 2.33 | 2.02 | 2.0 | 2.2 | - |
| 0.70 | 0.90 | 1.80 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 2.48 | 2.85 | 2.12 |
| 0.86 | 0.97 | 1.44 | 1.55 | 1.67 | 1.76 | 1.90 | 2.06 |

and in Pauling units is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{P}=1.35 \chi_{M}^{1 / 2}-1.37 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the electron affinity is a measure of an atom's tendency to accept an electron and the ionization potential is a measure of an atom's tendency to hold onto an electron as well as its tendency to give up an electron. This scale is considered an absolute scale as it actually uses ionization potential and electron affinity data for the atom in the valence state; that is, for electron configurations of the atom when they are part of the molecule; for example carbon would be $2 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 2 \mathrm{p}_{x}{ }^{1} 2 \mathrm{p}_{y}{ }^{1} 2 \mathrm{p}_{z}{ }^{1}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. Electronegativity values for these three scales are provided in Table 3.8 for comparison and reveal some significant differences in some cases (e.g., $\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Ge}$, Pb ). However, the general trends on descending a group and going across a row are similar.

### 3.8.7 Periodic Properties - Hardness ( $\eta$ )

Another important atomic parameter is hardness, which indicates that an atom's or ion's electron cloud is not easily distorted. Pearson [22] defined hardness $(\eta)$ with Equation 3.19.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{\mathrm{IP}-\mathrm{EA}}{2} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.21 Plots demonstrating the relationship of IP and EA to electronegativity and hardness for Na, CS, F, and I atoms

In this case, a larger value for the hardness indicates that the atom is harder or the electron cloud is not easily distorted by another atom or ion. Because IP and EA are used, it is considered an absolute electronegativity scale. Polarizability ( $\alpha$ ), which is also called softness, is the inverse of hardness so that $\alpha=\eta^{-1}$. A polarizable ion or atom can have its electron cloud or distribution easily distorted. More polarizable species tend to be covalent. Fajans summarized the factors causing polarization into three rules.

1. Small and highly charged cations (hard species) have polarizing ability.
2. Large and highly charged anions (soft species) are easily polarized.
3. Cations that do not have a noble gas configuration such as the transition metals (soft species; see Section 7.8.3) are easily polarized.

A good way to show the relationship of electronegativity and hardness to compare different elements is to plot their values calculated from the energy for the electron affinity and the ionization potential according to Equations 3.17 and 3.19. Figure 3.21 shows a comparison of the ionization potential energy, electron affinity, electronegativity, and the hardness for sodium atom versus cesium atom (a), and fluorine atom versus iodine atom (b); note that the larger the gap between IP and EA, the harder the atom. Here, the electronegativity and the hardness of sodium are slightly larger than those of cesium as expected. Clearly fluorine is much more electronegative and harder than iodine (also sodium and cesium). In addition, iodine is more electronegative (and harder) than sodium and cesium. Lastly, iodine and cesium can be considered softer or more polarizable atoms in their respective families.

Once sodium gives up an electron from its 3 s orbital and becomes sodium ion, it has the neon configuration. Removing an extra electron from the second energy level ( $\mathrm{IP}=47.286 \mathrm{eV}$ ) is particularly difficult, and the EA is the first ionization potential so the sodium ion is harder than the sodium atom by an order of magnitude $\eta=(47.286-5.139) / 2=42.147$. Likewise, when the fluorine atom accepts an electron, it obtains a full shell or the neon configuration but does not easily give up its extra electron. Thus, the fluoride ion is also considered a hard anion. Atoms and cations with a large value for hardness have great polarizing capability or ability to distort another atom or ion's electron cloud.

Although ionization potential, electron affinity, electronegativity, and hardness have been provided mainly for atoms, values are known for molecules and ions. In subsequent chapters, the ionization potential will be related to the highest occupied molecular orbital energy (HOMO), and the electron affinity will be related to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital energy (LUMO). These orbital energies can be determined experimentally by ESCA and other techniques.
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## 4

## Symmetry

### 4.1 Introduction

A non-mathematical definition of symmetry is that symmetry is regularity of form, periodicity, or harmonious arrangement. Objects that demonstrate symmetry are everywhere around us and range from nanomaterials to the cosmos. Nature produces a variety of minerals such as pyrite, which exists in several morphologies and symmetrical forms (Figure 4.1a and b), and biominerals, which are the hard parts of organisms as shown in Figure 4.1c and d for diatoms $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$.

There are many reasons to study symmetry as symmetry helps us classify types of molecules. Once classified, the application of symmetry arguments in the form of group theory (and its application to molecular orbitals and compound reactivity) is one of the most powerful theoretical tools of the chemist. There have been many texts covering symmetry and group theory [2-5] and the following discussion is derived from them.

### 4.2 Symmetry Concepts

There are two important symmetry concepts, which should not be confused. Both concepts depend on determining the center of the molecule, which may or may not be occupied by an atom.

### 4.2.1 Symmetry Operation

A symmetry operation is an operation that, when performed on a molecule, results in a new orientation of the object that is indistinguishable from and superimposable on the original. Thus, a symmetry operation takes a body into an equivalent configuration.

### 4.2.2 Symmetry Element

A symmetry element is a geometrical entity (a line, a point, or a plane), which is related to one or more symmetry operations that may be carried out.

[^3]

Figure 4.1 Several symmetrical objects produced in nature. (a) Pyrite $\left(\mathrm{FeS}_{2}\right)$ particles (framboids, octahedra). (b) pyrite hexagons (Source: Reproduced from [1], Figure 9b, with permission from Elsevier. Note the micrometer marking at the lower right of each figure; other SEM photos from the collaborative work of Alyssa Findlay, Amy Gartman, and George Luther). (c) Silica $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ diatom. (d) Partially dissolved diatom frustule

Symmetry elements and symmetry operations (Table 4.1) are so closely interrelated because the operation can only be defined with respect to the element and at the same time the symmetry element can be demonstrated only by showing that the appropriate symmetry operation exists. Thus, it is necessary to discuss the element in terms of the operation and vice versa.

### 4.2.3 Symmetry Elements and Operations

### 4.2.3.1 Rotation about a Symmetry Axis

If rotation of a molecule about some axis by some angle (the operation) results in an orientation of the molecule that is superimposable on the original, the axis is called a rotational axis (the symmetry element). Figure 4.2 shows the $C_{2}$ operation. The drawings note the actual hydrogen atoms before and after performing the operation, which will be important later when using group theory. Molecular models can also be used so drawings and/or models will be used interchangeably in the text.

Table 4.1 Symmetry elements and their symmetry operations

| Symmetry <br> element - symbol | Symmetry <br> element - description | Symmetry operation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $E$ | Identity | No change |
| $\sigma$ | Plane of Symmetry |  |
| $i$ | Center of symmetry | Reflection through a plane <br> $C_{n}$ |
| Inversion through the center of symmetry <br> Rotation about the axis of symmetry by $360 / r$ <br> degrees |  |  |
| $S_{n}$ | Rotation-reflection axis of |  |
| symmetry (Improper rotation) | Rotation about the axis of symmetry by $360 / r$ <br> degrees followed by reflection through a <br> plane perpendicular to the rotation axis |  |


(b)

Figure 4.2 (a) Rotation $180^{\circ}$ about the z axis bisecting the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angle ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ operation), which is in the xz plane. (b) Mirror planes ( $\sigma$ ) for the water molecule discussed below. Model produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {M }}$ program version 8.0.10

The symbol for the rotation of the water molecule is $C_{2}$ for its axis of symmetry. The general symbol is $C_{n}$. The value of n is arrived at in the following manner: $n=360^{\circ} / r$, where $r$ is the angle of rotation to obtain a superimposable form of the molecule.

The Cartesian axes are used in determining the rotational axes of molecules. For uniformity, the $z$ axis is usually the principal rotational axis and this reference axis must be determined in a regular manner.

General rules for the determination of the Cartesian coordinate axes of a molecule:

1. Place the center of gravity of the molecule at the origin of the coordinate system.
2. For the assignment of the $z$ axis, follow these rules [3]:
A. If there is only one rotational axis in the molecule, this axis is the $z$ axis.
B. If the molecule has several rotational axes, the one of the highest order is the $z$ axis.
C. If there are several axes of the highest order, the axis that passes through the greatest number of atoms is the $z$ axis.
3. For assignment of the $\boldsymbol{x}$ axis, three cases need to be considered: two apply to planar molecules and the third to nonplanar molecules.
A. If the molecule is planar and the $z$ axis lies in this plane, the $x$ axis is chosen to the axis normal to the plane. It is important to specify the Cartesian coordinates in a figure (see Figure 4.2) and remain consistent. Because water is a bent molecule, the $x$ and $y$ axes could be interchanged. For consistency the coordinate system in Figure 4.2 will be used for triatomic molecules and ions that have $C_{2 v}$ symmetry (as defined later).
B. If the molecule is planar and the $z$ axis is perpendicular to the plane, the $x$ axis (which must lie in the plane) is chosen to pass through the largest number of atoms.
C. If nonplanar molecules have one plane containing a larger number of atoms than any other plane, they are treated as if they were planar and as if this preferred plane were the plane of the molecule. If a decision cannot be made on this basis, it will usually be immaterial as to how the assignments of the $x$ and $y$ axes are made.

For practice, determine the positions of the coordinate axes for these molecules: boron trifluoride; ethylene; trans-dichloroethylene; benzene (Table 4.2 in Section 4.3 has these as examples).

### 4.2.3.2 Inversion or Reflection at a Center of Symmetry

If, in a molecule, a straight line is drawn from every atom through the center of the molecule and continued in the same direction, the line encounters an equivalent atom equidistant from the center (operation), then the molecule possesses a center of symmetry (the element) designated as $i$ for inversion. The inversion process requires that every point $(x, y, z)$ is converted to the position $(-x,-y,-z)$. In some molecules $x=0$ and therefore $-x=0$.

For practice, determine that the following chemical species have centers of inversion. These are also in Table 4.2 (Section 4.3).

1. $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ (square planar)
2. Benzene
3. Nitrogen molecule
4. The staggered form of ethane (number the hydrogens consecutively)

### 4.2.3.3 Reflection at a Plane of Symmetry

If a molecule is bisected by a plane and each atom in one half of the molecule is reflected through the plane and encounters a similar atom in the other half (operation), the molecule is said to possess a mirror plane (symmetry element). The mirror plane is designated as $\sigma$. For the bent or angular water molecule in Figure 4.2, both planes are vertical and are designated $\sigma_{v}$. The $x z$ mirror plane has no change and the $y z$ mirror plane reflects the hydrogen atoms through the plane. A horizontal plane $\left(\sigma_{h}\right)$ is perpendicular to the $z$ axis.

### 4.2.3.4 Rotation About an Axis, Followed by Reflection at a Plane Normal to this Axis

Assume that a molecule is rotated around an axis and the resulting orientation is reflected in a plane perpendicular to the axis (operation); if the resulting orientation is superimposable on the original molecule, the molecule is said to possess a rotation-reflection axis (the element). The symbol for the rotation-reflection axis is $S_{n}$ where $n$ is as defined above. Figure 4.3 shows an $S_{2}$ improper rotation. Dashed bonds are behind the plane of the paper and bold bonds are in front of the plane of the paper. Here, the $z$ axis is the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond


Figure $4.3 \quad C_{2}$ rotation on the z axis followed by reflection through the xy plane ( $S_{2}$ operation) for transdichloroethane. The dashed and heavy bolded blue lines indicate bonds perpendicular to the plane of the paper


Rotate $90^{\circ}$ about
atoms 1-0-4 then reflect through
dashed plane

Figure 4.4 Using this figure, show that $S_{4}$ and $S_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ exist
axis as this axis goes through the greatest number of atoms. Note that the $S_{2}$ improper axis is also an inversion through a center of symmetry.
$S_{4}$ would indicate $90^{\circ}$ clockwise rotations, while $S_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ indicates counterclockwise rotations. For practice, show that $\mathrm{AB}_{4}$ tetrahedral molecules have an $S_{4}$ symmetry axis (Figure 4.4).

The rotation reflection axis, $S_{n}$, is a complex symmetry element consisting of a series of two operations, neither of which alone is necessarily a symmetry operation but which together represent a symmetry operation. The same operation can be broken down into rotation and inversion and this complex operation is sometimes called rotation-inversion. Both rotation-reflection and rotation-inversion axes are frequently called improper axes as distinguished from rotational axes, which are called proper axes.

### 4.2.3.5 Identity (E)

The identity could be regarded as a trivial element of symmetry since anything goes into itself if left unchanged. However, the identity operation is important in arriving at a favorable combination of orbitals by the application of the Group Theory. Often it is necessary to carry out two or more symmetry operations consecutively. If the final result can be expressed as equivalent to another symmetry operation, the latter is identified. Rotation of the square planar $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ion by $90^{\circ}$ about the $C_{4}$ axis gives an equivalent arrangement, but if the individual Cl atoms are tracked, the two arrangements are not identical as the chloride ions have moved in space. Four successive rotations of $90^{\circ}$ each would be the same as the identity operation. Thus,
there are three $90^{\circ}$ rotations $\left(C_{4}, C_{4}{ }^{2}=C_{2}, C_{4}{ }^{3}\right)$. Because $C_{4}{ }^{2}=C_{2}$, it is identical to another symmetry element. Thus, there are two $C_{4}$ separate operations to indicate two separate rotations that do not give $E$; the number 2 indicates the number of symmetry elements in a class of operations $\left(C_{4}\right)$.

Note: Figure 4.2 for the water molecule as the first $C_{2}$ does not give an identity operation when each atom is identified. The second rotation of $180^{\circ}$ is a symmetry operation that can be defined as $C_{2}{ }^{2} \equiv E$. For water, there is only one $C_{2}$ axis of rotation.

### 4.3 Point Groups

It is possible to compile a list of all of the symmetry elements possessed by a given molecule and then list all of the symmetry operations generated by each of these elements. It can be demonstrated that such a complete list of symmetry operations satisfies the criteria for a mathematical group. Once this is shown, it is necessary to consider what kinds of symmetry groups will be obtained from various possible collections of symmetry operations. The symmetry groups are frequently called point groups, because all symmetry elements in a molecule will intersect at a common point, which is not shifted by any of the symmetry operations. The point groups are represented by Schoenflies symbols such as $C_{n}, D_{n}, C_{n h}$, and $C_{n v}$ as shown in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 Examples of important point groups and of molecular species belonging to these point groups. Summing the coefficients for each symmetry element gives the total number of elements in a point group (or $h$, the order of the group). Dihedral (vertical) planes in D symmetry are labeled $\sigma_{d}$

| Point group | Symmetry elements | Examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | E | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CHClBr}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | E, C ${ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (cis-nonplanar) |
| $C_{i}$ | E, i | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CHCl}-\mathrm{CHCl}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (trans) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{s}$ | $E, \sigma_{h}$ | $\mathrm{NOCl}, \mathrm{HOBr}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2 v}$ | $E, C_{2}, \sigma_{v}(x z), \sigma_{v}(y z)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (cis-planar) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{v}}$ | $E, 2 C_{3}, 3 \sigma_{v}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CCl}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ov }}$ | $E, C_{\infty}, \infty \sigma_{v}$ | HF, HCN, OCS, CO |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2 h}$ | $E, C_{2}, \sigma_{h}, i$ | $\mathrm{ClHC}=\mathrm{CHCl}$ (trans), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (trans-planar) |
| $D_{2 d}$ | $E, 3 C_{2}$ (mutually $\perp$ ), $2 S_{4}$ (coincident with one of the $C_{2}$ ), $2 \sigma_{d}$ (thru the $S_{4}$ axis) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| $D_{3 d}$ | $E, 2 C_{3}, 3 C_{2}\left(\perp C_{3}\right), 2 S_{6}$ (coincident with the $\left.C_{3}\right), i, 3 \sigma_{d}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ (both staggered) |
| $D_{2 h}$ or $V_{h}$ | $E, 3 C_{2}$ (mutually $\perp$ and exclusive), $3 \sigma$ (mutually $\perp$ and exclusive), $i$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$ |
| $D_{3 h}$ | $E, 2 C_{3}, 3 C_{2}\left(\perp C_{3}\right.$ axis), $3 \sigma_{v}, \sigma_{h}, 2 S_{3}$ | $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}, \mathrm{PF}_{5}$ |
| $D_{4 h}$ | $E, 2 C_{6}, 3 C_{2}^{\prime}$ and $3 C_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(\perp C_{6}\right), 3 \sigma_{v}, 3 \sigma_{d^{\prime}} \sigma_{h}, C_{2}$ and $2 C_{3}$, $2 S_{6}$ (both coincident with $C_{6}$ ), i | $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ |
| $D_{6 h}$ | $E, 2 C_{6}, 3 C_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $3 C_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(\perp C_{6}\right), \sigma_{v}, 3 \sigma_{d^{\prime}} \sigma_{h}, C_{2}$ and $2 C_{3}$, $2 S_{6}$ (both coincident with $C_{6}$ ), $i$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ (benzene), $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| $D_{\infty}$ | $E, C_{\infty}, \infty C_{2}\left(\perp C_{\infty}\right), \infty \sigma_{v}, \sigma_{h}, i$ |  |
| $T_{d}$ | $E, 3 C_{2}$ (mutually $\perp 8 C_{3}, 6 S_{4}$ (coincident with $C_{2}$ ), $6 \sigma_{d}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{SiF}_{4},\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ |
| $O_{h}$ | $E, 6 C_{4}$ (mutually $\perp$ ), $8 C_{3}, 6 S_{4}$ and $3 C_{2}\left(=C_{4}{ }^{2}\right.$ coincident with $C_{4}$ ), $6 C_{2}, 3 \sigma_{h}, 6 \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}, 8 \mathrm{~S}_{6}$ (coincident with $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ), $i$ | $\mathrm{SF}_{6},\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{6}\right]^{2-},\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+},\left[\mathrm{CoF}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ |
| $I_{h}$ | $E, 12 C_{5}, 12 C_{5}^{2}, 20 C_{3}, 15 C_{2}, i, 12 S_{10}, 12 S_{10}{ }^{3}, 20 S_{6}, 15 \sigma$ | $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{C}_{60}$ |

Overall, a point group is a short hand method of classifying a molecule that indicates all of the symmetry elements that it contains.

There are also symmetry groups called space groups, which contain operations involving translatory motions. These are helpful in describing crystal lattice types, but are not considered here.

### 4.3.1 Special Groups and Platonic Solids/Polyhedra

Before showing a scheme to classify molecules, it is important to note the special groups, which are linear molecules, and the regular polyhedral (Platonic solids). Linear molecules are either $C_{\infty v}$ or $D_{\infty h}$ because of the presence or absence of $\sigma_{h}$ or $i$, respectively.

The Platonic solids are those of high symmetry and are readily recognized. They are the tetrahedron $\left(T_{d}\right)$, octahedron and cube $\left(O_{h}\right)$ and the icosahedron and dodecahedron $\left(I_{h}\right)$. The drawn structures in Figure 4.5 and the model structures in Figure 4.6 show the relationship of the cube to the tetrahedron and the octahedron. In the tetrahedron, there are four vertices, four triangular faces (equilateral triangles) and six edges. When comparing the tetrahedron to the cube, alternate vertices of the cube are occupied; the tetrahedron has no $\sigma_{h}$ or $i$. One of the eight $C_{3}$ axes goes from the bottom left occupied position through the center of the cube and to the base of the pyramid created by the other three occupied positions.

The cube has 6 square faces, 8 vertices and 12 edges whereas the octahedron has 8 triangular faces, 6 vertices and 12 edges. The six vertices of the octahedron occupy the six square faces of the cube. Another important way to look at the octahedron is to view it down one of the eight $C_{3}$ axes so that three positions are out of the plane of the paper and three are below the plane of the paper. This representation is called a trigonal antiprism and will be discussed further in the sections on transition metal complexes.


Figure 4.5 The five Platonic solids. Note the spatial relation of the top structures (tetrahedron, cube, and octahedron) to each other and the bottom structures (icosahedron and dodecahedron) to each other. The $C_{n}$ axes given are those normal to the plane of the paper


Figure 4.6 Models of the Platonic solids including fullerene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)$, which has $\mathrm{I}_{h}$ symmetry, produced with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ program (version 8.0.10 from Hypercube, Inc.). The $\perp$ symbol indicates an axis perpendicular to the plane of the paper. The blackened atoms on $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ show the pentagonal faces similar to the dodecahedron, and the triangle on $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ shows the similarity with the icosahedron on a $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ axis. The lower right icosahedral representation shows four atoms above and below the four atoms in one of two square planes; when the upper and lower atoms also form square planes antiprismatic to the central square plane, the structure is termed cuboctahedral

The icosahedron has 20 vertices, 12 triangular faces, and 30 edges. The structure on the left shows one of the $12 C_{5}$ axes in the plane of the paper from top to bottom with two pentagons in antiprismatic arrangement between the top and bottom vertices. The structure with the 12 vertices occupied shows one of the $20 S_{6}$ axes (this one is perpendicular to the plane of the paper). Note the 2 triangular faces (anti-prismatic) at the top and bottom of the structure and the 6 positions in a hexagon between the triangular faces; this is also one of the $20 C_{3}$ axes (see below for coordination number).

The dodecahedron has 12 pentagonal faces, 20 vertices, and 30 edges. The center of the 12 pentagonal faces coincides with the 12 vertices of the icosahedron. The structure with the center of the 12 pentagonal faces occupied is similar to looking down one of the $C_{5}$ axes of the icosahedron. Sandwiched between the top

Table 4.3 Maximum coordination number for an atom at the center of a given polyhedron. The coordination numbers are seen in Figures 4.5 and 4.6

| Polyhedron | Coordination number |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tetrahedron | 4 (vertices); 4 (triangular faces) |
| Cube | 8 (vertices); 6 (square faces) |
| Octahedron | 6 (vertices); 8 (triangular faces) |
| Icosahedron | 12 (vertices); 20 (triangular faces) |
| Dodecahedron | 20 (vertices); 12 (pentagonal faces) |

and bottom vertices are two antiprismatic pentagons (one has positions with solid lined circles and the other with dashed lined circles).

Lastly, $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ fullerene is a special case of the icosahedron in Figure 4.6. There are 20 hexagonal faces that form 10 pairs of opposite faces and 12 pentagonal faces that form 6 pairs of opposite faces. The centers of the pentagonal faces are located at the vertices of an icosahedron. There are a total of 60 pentagonal edges and 30 hexagonal edges.

These high symmetry point groups are frequently found in molecules and solids as shown in Table 4.2, and understanding their major symmetry elements is very helpful in assigning the point group to molecules that have lower symmetry. Table 4.3 summarizes the maximum coordination number (C.N.) for an atom that is at the center of the polyhedron. The C.N. is typically defined when the vertices or the center of the faces of a polyhedron are occupied by the coordinating atoms. A coordination number of 12 is observed in many metals (see Figures 6.8 and 6.9 ) and is demonstrated with the icosahedron (look down a $S_{6} / C_{3}$ axis) where the central atom is surrounded in a plane by six atoms and by three atoms from a triangular face above the hexagon and another three atoms from a triangular face below the hexagon.

There are several systematic ways to decide on how to classify molecules into point groups, and Figure 4.7 shows a common one that has six major decision steps. Note that linear molecules and the Platonic solids are easy to discern.

Directions for using the scheme for point group selections are as follows.

1. Linear molecules are considered first (step 1) and are designated either $C_{\infty \nu}$ or $D_{\infty h}$. In order to distinguish between them, look for the presence of a $C_{\infty}$ axis on the bond axis and $\infty C_{2} \perp C_{\infty}$. If these conditions are verified, the molecule belongs to the point group $D_{\infty h}$; if not, it belongs to the point group $C_{\infty v}$.
2. Molecules with especially high symmetry are also placed in step 2 of the scheme. These are easily recognized: tetrahedron, $T_{d}$; octahedron, $O_{h}$; and icosahedron, $I_{h}$, symmetries.
3. If none of the above are found, look to step $\mathbf{3}$ of the diagram and search for the proper rotation axis of highest order ( $C_{n}, n=$ maximum). If there is no such axis, then the molecule is of very low symmetry, that is, $C_{s}, C_{i}$ or $C(\mathbf{s t e p} \mathbf{6})$. Any plane of symmetry or the presence of an inversion center can be used to distinguish between them.
4. In step 3, if there are one or more $C_{n}$ axes, select the one of highest order. Inspect the molecule for $n C_{2}$ axes perpendicular to the major $C_{n}$ axis $\left(n C_{2} \perp C_{n}\right)$ [steps 4 and 5]. Lack of such a set implies a $C_{n h}, C_{n v}$, or $C_{n}$ species (step 4). Distinction can be made by looking FIRST for a $\sigma_{h}$ (indicating $C_{n h}$ symmetry) or


Figure 4.7 Scheme for the symmetry classification of molecules

NEXT for $n \sigma_{v}$ (indicating $C_{n v}$ symmetry). If no plane of symmetry is observed, the point group is $C_{n}$. If the molecule possesses ONLY an $S_{2 n}$ axis, with or without an inversion center, then the point group designation is $S_{n}(n=$ even $)$.
5. If the condition of $n C_{2} \perp C_{n}$ (step 5) is satisfied, the molecule belongs to one of the point groups: $D_{n h}$, $D_{n d}$ or $D_{n}$. These are confirmed by detecting either $\sigma_{h}$ or $n \sigma_{d}$ or no $\sigma$, respectively.

### 4.3.2 Examples of the Use of the Scheme for Determining Point Groups

1. Methyl chloride (Figure 4.8): Although methane is a $T_{d}$ molecule, the presence of a substituted halogen lowers the symmetry. Therefore, look to the bottom of the scheme (steps 3,4 , and 5). There exists only one rotation axis, a $C_{3}$ axis collinear with the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond. Thus, the molecule must belong to the C or D class. $D$ symmetries can easily be eliminated as there are no $C_{2}$ axes. The only planes of symmetry are the $3 \sigma_{v}$ lying in the three $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ planes; thus, the point group designation is $C_{3 v} . \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PCl}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ are pyramidal also and have the same point group.
2. Ethylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$; Figure 4.9): Inspection of the geometry of ethylene excludes any of the special high symmetry point groups; so go to step 3. There are three mutually perpendicular $C_{2}$ axes. The major axis may be arbitrarily chosen perpendicular to the molecular plane, but the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond axis has the greatest number of atoms, so is the $z$ axis. The remaining $C_{2}$ axes can represent $2 C_{2} \perp C_{2}$ and establish a $D$ type point group (step 5). Finally, there is a $\sigma_{h}$ (the $x y$ plane) perpendicular to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond axis indicating $D_{2 h}$ symmetry.


Figure 4.8 Methyl chloride, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$. The molecular model shows the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ axis in the plane of the paper. Model produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10


Figure 4.9 Ethylene sketch and molecular model with the bond axis on the z axis. Model produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10


Figure 4.10 Benzene. The atom symbols and hydrogen atoms are removed for simplicity
3. Benzene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right.$; Figure 4.10): The highest order rotation axis for benzene is $C_{6}$, which is perpendicular to the molecular plane, $\sigma_{h}$. There are many more symmetry elements ( $C_{3}, C_{2}, S_{6}$, etc.) in addition to $i$. Continuing with the scheme at step 5 , the molecule has $D$ symmetry due to the $6 C_{2} \perp C_{6}$ (only two are drawn) and the existence of a $\sigma_{h}$ in the molecular plane takes precedence over the $6 \sigma_{v}$ s that are coincident with the $C_{2}$ symmetry elements. Thus, the benzene molecule has $D_{6 h}$ symmetry.
4. trans- $\left.\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{+}$(Figure 4.11): The symmetry of this molecule is less than that of $O_{h}$ due to the presence of chloride ions; the hydrogen atoms are ignored in this analysis. Following the scheme to step 3 , there is a higher order $C_{4}$ axis passing through the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Cl}$ axis or $z$ axis. At step 5 , there are $4 C_{2} \perp C_{4}$ (only two are drawn) observed bisecting the equatorial sides and angles, thereby confirming a $D$ symmetry. The $x y$ plane is a $\sigma_{h}$ which is $\perp C_{4}$; thus, the point group is $D_{4 h}$.
5. cis- $\left.\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{+}$(Figure 4.12): Ignoring the hydrogen atoms again, this ion does not possess a $C_{4}$ axis as the one above. The highest $C_{n}$ (step 4) is a twofold rotation axis bisecting the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond angle along the $z$ axis. There are $2 \sigma_{v}$ : one coincident with the $C_{2}$ or $z$ axis and the other is the $y z$ plane. Thus, the point group is $C_{2 v}$.


Figure 4.11 trans-Tetraaminedichloridocobalt(III) ion


Figure 4.12 cis-Tetraaminedichloridocobalt(III) ion. Note the difference in the Cartesian coordinate axis between this figure and Figure 4.11
6. The hydrogen peroxide molecule, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, has three forms to consider (Figure 4.13).
A. The nonplanar equilibrium configuration.

The top structure in Figure 4.13 shows the hydrogen peroxide molecule in its nonplanar form. There is a $C_{2}$ axis and no other proper axis. There are no planes of symmetry or $S_{n}$. The group is therefore $C_{2}$.
B. The cis-planar configuration $\left(\theta=0^{\circ}\right)$.

The middle structure in Figure 4.13 is the cis-planar structure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The $C_{2}$ axis, of course, remains. There are still no other proper axes. The molecule now lies in the $x z$ plane, which is a plane of symmetry, and the $y z$ plane, another plane of symmetry, intersects the molecular plane and contains the $C_{2}$ axis. The group is $C_{2 v}$, which is the point group for water.
C. The trans-planar configuration $\left(\theta=90^{\circ}\right)$.

The $C_{2}$ axis is still present, and there are no other proper axes. There is now a $\sigma_{h}$, which is the molecular plane (xy plane). The group is $C_{2 h}$.
7. 1,3,5,7-Tetramethylcyclooctatetraene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)$ : Figure 4.14 shows the molecule top down ( $z$ axis perpendicular to the plane of the paper) and side on ( $z$ axis is vertical in the plane of the paper). At step 4 , there is a $C_{2}$ axis but there is also a $S_{4}$ axis ( $C_{4}$ followed by rotation through the $y z$ plane). There are no additional independent symmetry elements. The group is therefore $S_{4}$. Models produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program version 8.0.10.
8. Allene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ Figure 4.15: The structure on the left of Figure 4.15 shows the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond axis and the $x z$ plane, and the right structure shows the $x y$ plane looking down on the bond axis, which contains both the coincident $C_{2}$ and $S_{4}$ symmetry elements. A way of looking at these model structures is that the hydrogen atoms occupy alternate positions of a cube that is elongated with the three carbon atoms at the center. There are two $C_{2}$ rotational axes perpendicular to the bond axis so the group must be a $D$ type group; however, using the procedure at step 5 , there is no $\sigma_{h}$. There are two vertical or dihedral planes, which lie between the $C_{2}$ axes perpendicular to the bond axis. Thus, the point group is $D_{2 d}$. Another example of $D_{n d}$ symmetry is cyclic crown-like $\mathrm{S}_{8}$, which is $D_{4 d}$ as there is no $\sigma_{h}$. There is a $C_{4}, 4 C_{2} \perp C_{4}$ (see Xs bisecting the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds in Figure 4.15), and $4 \sigma_{v}\left(\sigma_{d}\right)$ that contain the 1,$1 ; 2,2 ; 3,3$; and 4,4 atoms across the $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ ring in Figure 4.15.


Figure 4.13 Possible structures and their point groups for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The top structure shows a model on the left similar to the drawing ( $\perp$ to the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond axis) and the model on the right looking down the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond axis. Models produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {M }}$ program version 8.0.10


Figure 4.14 1,3,5,7-Tetramethylcyclooctatetraene; models and stick diagrams viewed down the z axis (top) and the y axis (bottom). Models produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10


Figure 4.15 Allene models viewed in the xz plane (left, $\perp$ to the $C=C=C$ bond axis) and in the xy plane (right) down the $C=C=C$ bond axis. $S_{8}$ models with the xz plane in the plane of the paper and containing the $C_{4}$ axis; the $X$ in the box shows one of the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \perp$ to the $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ axis and the xz plane. Models produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program version 8.0.10

### 4.4 Optical Isomerism and Symmetry

Molecules, which are not superimposable on their mirror images, are normally termed optically active (chiral), but the best term is that they are dissymmetric. This latter term is used rather than asymmetric because asymmetric means having no symmetry. Dissymmetric molecules are those that either have no symmetry or have only axes of proper rotation. Chiral molecules are those with the point groups $C_{1}$ (asymmetric), $C_{n}$ (dissymmetric), and $D_{n}$ (dissymmetric). The following are examples of molecules that possess symmetry but are chiral.

### 4.4.1 Dichloro-Allene Derivatives $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$

Substituting a chlorine atom for one hydrogen on each terminal carbon atom in allene from Figure 4.15 gives two possible structures, which are mirror images of each other but are not superimposable on each other, as shown in Figure 4.16. Notice that the point group is $C_{2}$ as there is a $C_{2}$ axis that is normal to the bond axis, but no other symmetry elements. The chlorine atoms are above the bond axis and the hydrogen atoms below the bond axis in the models of Figure 4.16. The Newman projections look down the bond axis and show the rotation about the $C_{2}$ axis. On the left of Figure 4.16, the B Newman projection has the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ in solid lines for the left part of molecular model A whereas the $\underline{\mathrm{B}}$ projection has the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ in solid lines for the right part of molecular model B . Also, the arrows indicate the Cl atoms for the molecular models that are the same Cl atoms with the solid line to the H atom in the two dimensional form. In these dichloro-allenes, the terminal carbons are not "asymmetric" because of the double bonds.


Figure 4.16 Dichloro substituted allene optical isomers ( 1 Cl on each terminal C). Carbon (blue), chlorine (black), hydrogen (white). Molecular models $A$ with $\underline{A} \perp$ to the $C=C=C$ bond axis are enatiomers, and their Newman projections $B$ with $\underline{B}$ looking down the $C=C=C$ bond axis are enantiomers. Models produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10

### 4.4.2 Tartaric Acid

Figure 4.17 shows two structures of tartaric acid that are mirror images of each other and not superimposable on each other. Notice that there is again a $C_{2}$ axis normal to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond axis, and it is perpendicular to the plane of the paper in the model structures whereas the $C_{2}$ axis is in the plane of the paper for the Newman projections (bottom part of Figure 4.17). In tartaric acid, both central carbons are termed "asymmetric" as there are four different substituents on each of the central carbon atoms supposedly indicating their chirality. However, the compound does possess symmetry. Again, the point group is $C_{2}$.

In both examples, the reader should also build models to confirm the non-superimposition of mirror images, and finally the symmetry point group.

### 4.4.3 Cylindrical Helix Molecules

Similar to the disubstituted allene and tartaric acid isomers above, a perfect cylindrical helix has a mirror image that possesses $C_{2}$ symmetry as the $C_{2}$ axis is perpendicular to the coils of the helix. Figure 4.18 shows this arrangement as well as linear $\mathrm{S}_{10}$ with a helix-like structure. A conical helix has $C_{1}$ symmetry.

### 4.5 Fundamentals of Group Theory

The material presented so far is mechanical in operation. Identification of symmetry elements and identification of point groups helps understand the geometry of molecules, so it is important to use molecular models to gain practice and become proficient in understanding symmetry elements and their operations.
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Figure 4.17 Tartaric acid enantiomers. Top models with carbon (blue), oxygen (black), hydrogen (white); bottom models show two dimensional Newman projections. Models produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program version 8.0.10


Figure 4.18 Cylindrical helices (similar to springs) are dissymmetric. Bottom part shows linear $\mathrm{S}_{10}$ looking down the helix (left) and vertically (right). Models produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program version 8.0. 10

The determination of point groups is an essential and primary step in the elucidation of more interesting molecular properties such as bond hybridization, molecular orbital wave functions, transition probabilities, and the number of infrared and Raman fundamentals. This section provides some fundamentals of group theory including how to generate and use a character table. Although this material is not absolutely essential for an understanding of chemical bonding, it is extremely useful, and the methods shown will be referred to in subsequent chapters describing bonding. The point groups $C_{2 v}, C_{3 v}$, and $T_{d}$ are first used as examples because several molecules of environmental interest $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right)$, respectively] are represented by these point groups. Then applications are demonstrated for determining the number of vibrations of a molecule (number of infrared and Raman fundamentals), orbitals used in bonding for the central atom molecule, and group or symmetry adapted linear combinations for terminal atoms bound to a central atom. The techniques are similar as bonds, atoms, and orbitals transform with similar symmetry properties. An understanding of matrix algebra is useful but not essential for the material presented.

### 4.5.1 $\quad C_{2 v}$ Point Group

Every symmetry operation can be expressed as a $3 \times 3$ matrix, also known as a transformation matrix, which shows how the vectors of a point (e.g., position of an atom) vary in Cartesian coordinate space. The operation is represented by multiplying the original $x, y$, and $z$ coordinates (a $1 \times 3$ matrix) by this $3 \times 3$ matrix to yield the new coordinates where $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$, and $z^{\prime}$ are the new coordinates. As a first example, the identity operation, $E$, results in no change of the vectors or coordinates ( $+x,+y$, and $+z$ do not change) such that the matrix yields the following full equation. The values of 1 and 0 in the $3 \times 3$ matrix indicate that a vector was unchanged or changed, respectively, after performing the operation.

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=y \quad z \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
z^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\begin{array}{ccc}
x & +0 y & +0 z \\
0 x & +y & +0 z \\
0 x & 0 y & +z
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

The sum of the on-diagonal elements for all three vectors from upper left to lower right is 3 , and the value for these on-diagonal elements is given the notation $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{R})$, which is the matrix value for the class (denoted by $\mathbf{R}$ ) and which will be used to determine molecular properties. Each class of operations has a value of $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{R})$.

Figure 4.19 shows the change in the $x, y$, and $z$ vectors of an atom after a $C_{2}$ operation on the $z$ axis. Note that $x$ becomes $-x$ and $y$ becomes $-y$ whereas $z$ is unchanged. The sign of the wave function for the $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{x}}, \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$, and $p_{z}$ orbitals will also transform in a similar way as these vectors so this description will be useful for bonding discussions later.


Figure 4.19 Change of $x, y$ and $z$ vectors after a $C_{2}$ rotation

Table 4.4 Character table for $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ point group

| Point group | Symmetry classes ( $R$ ) |  |  |  |  | Order of group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2 v}$ | E | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\sigma_{v} x z$ | $\sigma_{v} y z$ |  | $h=4$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | z | $x^{2}, y^{2}, z^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | $R_{z}$ | $x y$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | $x, R_{y}$ | $x z$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | $y, R_{x}$ | $y z$ |

The full mathematical operation for $C_{2}$ is represented by the following matrix equation where $x^{\prime}=-x$ and $y^{\prime}=-y$. The right hand section is the final product of the two matrices.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
-x \\
-y \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
z^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\begin{array}{ccc}
-x & +0 y & +0 z \\
0 x & -y & +0 z \\
0 x & 0 y & +z
\end{array}
$$

The corresponding $3 \times 3$ matrix for the $C_{2}$ rotation is different from $E$ as expected. The sum of the on-diagonal elements for the $C_{2}$ operation gives a $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{R})$ value of -1 .

The vertical $x z$ plane, which would be the plane of the water molecule, results in no change for the $x$ and $z$ vectors, but $y$ becomes $-y$. The vertical $y z$ plane results in no change for the $y$ and $z$ vectors, but $x$ becomes $-x$. The sum of the on-diagonal elements for both the $\sigma_{v} x z$ plane and $\sigma_{v} y z$ plane operations gives a value of 1 for $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{R})$ for each plane.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{v} x z \text { plane } \\
\left(\begin{array}{r}
x \\
-y \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y^{\prime} \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{c}
-x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Every symmetry operation for every point group has a transformation matrix, and these can now be complied for the $C_{2 v}$ point group from the above matrices. The complete set of matrices in every point group is called a mathematical group. In describing the mathematics of symmetry operations, it is important to note that the new arrangement is not identical to the previous or initial arrangement after performing the operation (as shown in Figure 4.2 where both hydrogen atoms are interchanged). Every point group is represented by a character table, which contains these matrices, and Table 4.4 shows the character table for the $C_{2 v}$ point group.

### 4.5.2 Explanation of the Character Table

1. At the top left, the Schoenflies symbol for the point group and all the symmetry elements in a class $R$ of the point group are arranged from left to right by the number of symmetry operations in each class ( $E, C_{n}$, etc.). The sum of all of the coefficients for each operation is the order of the group, $\boldsymbol{h}$, at the top right.
2. Numbers or characters $(\chi)$ in the center are in a square matrix, and the values of a character from left to right correspond to a particular irreducible representation $(A, B, E, T)$ of the point group on the far left. Note that the irreducible representations are not italicized whereas the classes of the symmetry operations are. The number of irreducible representations equals the number of classes as the matrix is a square. The vertical characters under each operation are called dimensions.
3. On the right are functions (transformations of the Cartesian coordinate $x, y, z$ axes that indicate the p orbitals and dipole moment vectors for the $x, y, z$ axes for infra-red spectroscopy; rotations about $x, y, z$
axes or $R_{x}, R_{y}, R_{z}$; note that rotation about the $z$ axis $\left(R_{z}\right)$ leaves the $x y$ plane unaffected but changes the $x z$ and $y z$ planes from their original position (a $180^{\circ}$ rotation inverts each of these two planes). The other rotations show similar behavior.
4. On the far right, quadratic functions (representing d and f orbitals, and polarizability tensors for Raman spectroscopy activity). Polarizability tensors have functions that transform as $x^{2}, y^{2}, z^{2}, x y, y z, x z$.

### 4.5.3 Generation of the Irreducible Representations ( $C_{2 v}$ Case)

The irreducible representations $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ are generated from the transformation matrices above where the only positions in the square block diagonalized matrix that are important are the 1,1 or $x, x ; 2,2$ or $y, y$; and 3,3 or $z, z$ positions. For the operations above,
the $z$ vector is +1 for $E, C_{2}, \sigma_{v} x z$, and $\sigma_{v} y z$;
the $x$ vector is +1 for $E$ and $\sigma_{v} x z$ whereas it is -1 for $C_{2}$ and $\sigma_{v} y z$; and the $y$ vector is +1 for $E$ and $\sigma_{v} y z$ whereas it is -1 for $C_{2}$ and $\sigma_{v} x z$.

At this point for the $C_{2 v}$ point group, there are three irreducible representations ( $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ), and there must be a fourth, which is not identical to the other three, as the order of the group is 4 . One of the several criteria of irreducible representations is that different irreducible representations are orthogonal to each other as defined by the mathematical Equation 4.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{R} g(C) \chi_{i}(R) \chi_{j}(R)=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\chi_{i}$ and $\chi_{j}$ are the characters for each symmetry operation or class $(R)$ for each irreducible representation, and $g(C)$ is the number of operations in each class. These are multiplied together and then summed to equal 0 .

For $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$, the following result is obtained.

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
E & C_{2} & \sigma_{v} x z & \sigma_{v} y z \\
(1)(1)(1)+(1)(1)(-1)+(1)(1)(1)+(1)(1)(-1)=1+-1+1+-1=0 \\
g_{E} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}} & g_{C_{\mathbf{2}}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}} & g_{\sigma} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}} & g_{\sigma} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}
\end{array}
$$

The last irreducible representation must be orthogonal to the other three ( $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ), and it is readily seen by inspection that $A_{2}$ should have a value of +1 for $E$ and $C_{2}$, and -1 for $\sigma_{v} x z$ and $\sigma_{v} y z$. Note that multiplying $A_{1}$ by $B_{1}$ returns the values of the characters for $B_{1}$ because $A_{1}$ is a totally symmetric representation where all the characters are one for all the operations.

### 4.5.4 Notation for Irreducible Representations

The shorthand notation or labels for irreducible representations is defined according to their change in symmetry properties once the following symmetry operations are performed:

Letters (uppercase is used for representations and lower case is used for orbital assignments)
A, B (or a,b) indicate an one-dimensional representation (e.g., one orbital) where
A (or a) is symmetric to the principal axis $C_{n}$.
B (or b) is antisymmetric to the principal axis $C_{n}$.
$\mathbf{E}$ (or e) indicates a two-dimensional representation (e.g., two orbitals of similar energy).
$\mathbf{T}$ (ort) indicates a three-dimensional representation (e.g., three orbitals of similar energy).

Subscripts are defined as
1 indicates symmetric to $C_{2}$ perpendicular to $C_{n}$, or to $\sigma_{v}$.
2 indicates antisymmetric to $C_{2}$ perpendicular to $C_{n}$, or to $\sigma_{v}$.
$\mathbf{g}$ indicates symmetric to $i$.
$\mathbf{u}$ indicates antisymmetric to $i$.
Superscripts are defined as
${ }^{\prime}$ indicates symmetric to $\sigma_{h}$.
$"$ indicates antisymmetric to $\sigma_{h}$.

### 4.5.5 Some Important Properties of the Characters and their Irreducible Representations

1. All groups include a totally symmetric representation where all the characters are one for all the operations (e.g., $A_{1}$ in $C_{2 v}$ ). The s orbitals are spherically symmetric and transform as this irreducible representation.
2. The characters of the $E$ operation must always be positive.
3. The number of irreducible representations of a group is equal to the number of classes in the group as they generate a square matrix.
4. The sum of the squares of the characters or dimensions for each irreducible representation directly under $E$, the identity operation, equals the order of the group, $h$. For the other symmetry classes the squares must be multiplied by $g(C)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\sum_{i}\left[\chi_{i}(E)\right]^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. For any irreducible representation, the sum of the squares of the characters multiplied by the number of operations, $g(C)$, in the class equals the order the group, $h$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\sum_{R}\left[g(C) \chi_{i}(R)\right]^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $B_{1}$ in $C_{2 v}$ symmetry: $\quad 1(1)^{2}+1(-1)^{2}+1(1)^{2}+1(-1)^{2}=4$.
For $A_{1}$ in $C_{3 v}$ symmetry (see below): $1(1)^{2}+2(1)^{2}+3(1)^{2}=6$.
6. The product of two nondegenerate $(A, B)$ irreducible representations gives one of the other irreducible representations. Multiplying $B_{1}$ by $B_{2}$ in $C_{2 v}$ symmetry gives $A_{2}$ as a result.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllll}
E & C_{2} & \sigma_{v} x z & \sigma_{v} y z & E & C_{2} & \sigma_{v} x z & \sigma_{v} y z
\end{array} \\
& (1)(1)+(-1)(-1)+(1)(-1)+(-1)(1)=+1 \quad+1 \quad-1 \quad-1=0 \\
& B_{1} B_{2} \quad B_{1} B_{2} \quad B_{1} B_{2} \quad B_{1} B_{2}=\text { the characters for } A_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ transform as $x$ and $y$, respectively, then $B_{1} \times B_{2}=A_{2}$ or $x(y)=x y$. Similarly

$$
B_{1} \times B_{1}=A_{1}, \text { also } x(x)=x^{2} ; A_{2} \times B_{2}=B_{1} ; A_{2} \times B_{1}=B_{2}
$$

### 4.5.6 Nonindependence of $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ Transformations (Higher Order Rotations)

### 4.5.6.1 $\quad \mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{v}}$ Point Group

The character table for this point group is in Table 4.5.

Table 4.5 Character table for $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{v}}$ point group

| $C_{3 v}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{3}$ | $3 \sigma_{v}$ |  | $h=6$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z$ | $x^{2}+y^{2}, z^{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | $R_{z}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 2 | -1 | 0 | $(x, y)\left(R_{x}, R_{y}\right)$ | $\left(x^{2}-y^{2}, x y\right)(x z, y z)$ |



Figure 4.20 Transformation of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ into $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}^{\prime}$ after a proper rotation of $120^{\circ}\left(C_{3}\right)$
$C_{3 v}$ has some significant differences from the $C_{2 v}$ point group above. The coefficients in front of each symmetry element at the top of the $C_{3 v}$ character table indicate the number of symmetry elements in the class that behave identically in space, and this number is important in describing the character table of a group as discussed below. There are now numbers different from 1 and -1 . The value under $E$ of 2 indicates a degeneracy and the functions show $(x, y)$ rather than $x, y$.

The transformation matrices do not always follow the simple $x, x ; y, y$; and $z, z$ transformations noted above for $C_{2 v}$ symmetry. For example, a $C_{3}$ rotational axis in $C_{3 v}$ symmetry (e.g., $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ ) results in new $x$ and $y$ that are not independent of each other; that is, they are degenerate. Thus, the characters for the symmetry operation are the vector sums of $x$ and $y$ so need to be calculated using trigonometric functions according to Figure 4.20. Here the arrow does not change its length, but its vector is now a function of $x$ and $y$ on a $120^{\circ}$ rotation.

Substituting the trigonometric functions into a $3 \times 3$ matrix gives the matrix known as the general transformation matrix, which is used for these types of calculations. For $C_{3 v}$ symmetry, the $z$ component does not change and does not mix with $x$ and $y$ so $z$ transforms differently from $x$ and $y$.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

To calculate the new $x$ [or $\left.x^{\prime}\right]$ and $y\left[\right.$ or $\left.y^{\prime}\right]$ for a $C_{3}$ rotational axis, both the $x$ and $y$ values in a row must be calculated and substituted into the general transformation matrix as follows. The angle is $120^{\circ}$ [or $2 \pi / 3$ ].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\prime}=x \cos (120)-y \sin (120)=-0.5 x-0.866 y \\
& y^{\prime}=x \sin (120)+y \cos (120)=0.866 x-0.5 y \\
& C_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-0.5 & -0.866 \\
0.866 & -0.5
\end{array}\right. & \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array} & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The $3 \times 3$ matrix is now evaluated as a $2 \times 2$ and a $1 \times 1$ (lower right in brackets above) matrix where the $2 \times 2$ matrix becomes

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-0.5 & -0.866 \\
0.866 & -0.5
\end{array}\right)=-1
$$

The value of the character of the $2 \times 2$ matrix is calculated only with the on-diagonal elements from upper left to lower right; thus, the character is $-0.5+(-0.5)=-1$.

There are two $C_{3}$ operations as rotation can occur clockwise twice (or clockwise and counterclockwise) without giving the $E$ operation. The sum of all of the on-diagonal elements gives an $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{R})$ value of 0 . A general equation to determine the sum of the on-diagonal characters, $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{R})$, for a proper axis of rotation, $C_{n}$, is Equation 4.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(R)=2 \cos (2 \pi / n)+1 \text { for } C_{n} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other operations must be calculated in a similar way for consistency. The identity operation is below and the character value is 2 for the $x, y$ system. The sum of all of the on-diagonal elements for the $E$ operation again gives an $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{R})$ value of 3 .

The sum of the $2 \times 2$ matrix is $1+(-1)=0$. The sum of all the on-diagonal elements for $\sigma_{v}$ gives an $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{R})$ value of 1 . There are $3 \sigma_{v}$ or vertical planes in $C_{3 v}$ symmetry that are identical as two of the atoms remain unchanged whereas the other two atoms are changed in space (see $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ in Figure 4.8). This is in contrast to water in $C_{2 v}$ symmetry where the two vertical planes are distinctly different as the hydrogen atoms vary differently in space when performing the $\sigma$ operation. The $x z$ plane shows no change for any of the atoms whereas the $y z$ plane shows an interchange of the hydrogen atoms.

The three $2 \times 2$ matrices defining the $x$ and $y$ vectors give the following characters that result in the $E$ irreducible representation. Because $x$ and $y$ are not independent of each other, $E$ is called doubly degenerate.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E & C_{3} & \sigma_{x z} \\
2 & -1 & 0
\end{array}
$$

The three $1 \times 1$ matrices defining the $z$ vector give the following characters that result in the $A_{1}$ irreducible representation, which satisfies point 1 above.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E & C_{3} & \sigma_{x z} \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}
$$

Thus, there are two irreducible representations defined by the calculation using the general transformation matrix, and a third is needed. Using rule 4 in section 4.5 .5 , the identity operation, $E$, must be 1 for this third irreducible representation so that the order of the group is 6 . The $C_{3 v}$ character table above shows that the irreducible representation $A_{1}$ defines the $z$ vector whereas the irreducible representation $E$ defines the $(x, y)$ vector as well as the rotations about the $x$ and $y$ axes ( $R_{x}, R_{y}$ ). The only component of rotations and translations in Cartesian coordinate space not identified is rotation about the $z$ or $C_{3}$ axis $\left(R_{z}\right)$, which has a character value of 1 for $C_{3}$ as there is no change. Thus $A_{2}$ must be the third irreducible representation as it must be orthogonal to $A_{1}$ and can only be so if the vertical planes $\left(3 \sigma_{v}\right)$ have a character of -1 . These conditions for $A_{2}$ also satisfy rule 5 and the character table given above for $C_{3 v}$ is complete.

### 4.6 Selected Applications of Group Theory

The use of group theory and character tables will be used when necessary to explain bonding and other characteristics of a molecule. The $C_{2 v}$ and $C_{3 v}$ point groups demonstrated what irreducible representations the p and d orbitals transform as. Table 4.6 provides information about the values of characters pertinent to orbitals and how they change after performing a symmetry operation.

Before using these to describe bonding, one of the best ways to demonstrate the wealth of information that is contained in character tables is to predict how free molecules exhibit translations ( $x, y$, and $z$ ), rotations, and vibrations. It is also one of the more important chemical uses of group theory, and the approach as shown here leads to a description of bonding. The vibrations can be detected by infra-red and Raman spectroscopy. In infrared spectroscopy, vibrations corresponding to dipole moment changes result in the absorption of IR light from the ground to an excited state (Figure 1.2). In Raman spectroscopy, incident visible light undergoes scattering, and most of the scattered light has the same energy or frequency as the incident light. However, a small fraction of the incident light is shifted in energy that corresponds to energy or frequency differences in the vibrational states of the molecule (similar to Figures 5.8 and 5.9).

All motions can be represented by the $3 N$ Cartesian coordinate vectors of the $N$ atoms in a given molecule, and these vectors serve to form a reducible representation within the molecule's point group that can be reduced into a number of irreducible representations of the point group. Before demonstrating this, it is easy to calculate the number of vibrations for a molecule.

The number of vibrations for linear molecules can be calculated as $3 N-5$ where five represents three translations and two rotations (the $z$ axis contains the atoms and any rotation about the $z$ axis shows no change in the molecule). Thus for a molecule with two atoms, $3(2)-5=1$ vibration and for three atoms [3(3)-5 = 4] vibrations and so on.

The number of vibrations for nonlinear molecules can be calculated as $3 N-6$ where six represents three translations and three rotations. For a nonlinear molecule such as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with three atoms, it is $3(3)-6=3$ vibrations.

Because the irreducible representations of translations and rotations are given in the character table they can be separated from the vibrations, which are identified by the process of elimination. To assign the translations, vibrations, and rotations, it is necessary to first generate the reducible representation for the molecule and then mathematically reduce the reducible representation into the irreducible representations.

### 4.6.1 Generation of a Reducible Representation to Describe a Molecule

The procedure is not difficult, but there are six principal steps. In this process, the reducible representation for all the vectors of the atoms in the molecule, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {all }}$, are first obtained. $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {all }}$ is then reduced to give all of the irreducible representations that make up the translations, rotations, and vibrations of the molecule. Then

Table 4.6 Summary of select character (operation change) values found after performing a symmetry operation on an atom, bond, or orbital

| Character | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | No change in vector, orbital <br> Vector or orbital changes sign <br> Complex change |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |

the irreducible representations for the translations and rotations are obtained from the character table, which leaves the vibrations, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {vib }}$. The vibrations are the internal coordinates of the molecule and are composed of stretching and bending modes, which are used to produce another reducible representation(s) (e.g., $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{A}}$ where $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$ indicates a bond and $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{A}$ indicates a bond angle), which is then reduced to irreducible representations for proper spectroscopic assignment. Nakamoto's book [6] is recommended for those who seek an in-depth understanding of the vibrational analysis of inorganic compounds. The steps using this procedure are demonstrated for the water molecule with $C_{2 v}$ symmetry. Then other simple molecules of importance are described.

1. Determine the molecule's point group.
2. For each class of symmetry operation, $R$, determine the number of atoms that are unchanged after the symmetry operation is performed. This result is given the notation $n_{u}(R)$.
3. The factor $f(R)$ is determined from all of the on-diagonal elements of a symmetry operation (all $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$, $z$ vectors) as above and several values are given in Table 4.7. Values of $f(R)$ can be calculated for $C_{n}$ as in Equation 4.4, and for $S_{n}$ with Equation 4.5.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(R)=2 \cos (2 \pi / n)-1 \quad \text { for } S_{n} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply $n_{u}(R)$ and $f(R)$ for each class of operations. The products obtained are the characters of the reducible representation for the 3 N Cartesian coordinate vectors.
To demonstrate these three steps, the water molecule has $C_{2 v}$ symmetry and three atoms. Thus, for all of the symmetry operations, the products of $n_{u}(R)$ and $f(R)$ give the following characters. Note that all three atoms are unchanged after performing the operations $E$ and $\sigma_{v}(x z)$ whereas only the oxygen atom is unchanged after performing the operations $C_{2}$ and $\sigma_{v}(y z)$.

|  | $n_{u}(\boldsymbol{R}) \times \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{R})$ | $=$ | $\chi_{\text {vectors }}(\boldsymbol{R})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | $3 \times(3)$ | $=$ | 9 |
| $C_{2}$ | $1 \times(-1)$ | $=$ | -1 |
| $\sigma_{v} x z$ | $3 \times(1)$ | $=$ | 3 |
| $\sigma_{v} y z$ | $1 \times(1)$ | $=$ | 1 |

In summary, the reducible representation is given the symbol $\Gamma_{\text {all }}$ for all vector changes of the atoms and is written out horizontally with its characters as the irreducible representations are.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& E & C_{2} & \sigma_{v} x z & \sigma_{v} y z \\
\Gamma_{\text {all }} & 9 & -1 & 3 & 1
\end{array}
$$

4. Reduce the reducible representation using the following reduction formula (Equation 4.6).

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(i)=\frac{1}{h} \sum_{R} g(C) \chi_{i}(R) \chi(R) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 4.7 Some values for $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{R})$. Note that $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{C}_{1} ; \sigma=S_{1} ;$ and $i=\mathrm{S}_{2}$

| $R$ | $E$ | $\sigma$ | $i$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}{ }^{1}, C_{3}{ }^{2}$ | $C_{4}{ }^{1}, C_{4}{ }^{3}$ | $C_{6}{ }^{1}, C_{6}{ }^{5}$ | $S_{3}{ }^{1}, S_{3}{ }^{2}$ | $S_{4}{ }^{1}, S_{4}{ }^{3}$ | $S_{6}{ }^{1}, S_{6}{ }^{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(R)$ | 3 | 1 | -3 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | -2 | -1 | 0 |

where $c(i)$ is the number of times an $i$ th irreducible representation occurs in the reducible representation; $h$ is the order of the group, $g(C)$ is the number of operations in the class, $\chi_{\mathrm{i}}(R)$ is the character of the irreducible representation, and $\chi(R)$ is the character of the reducible representation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c\left(A_{1}\right)=1 / 4[(1)(9)+1(+1)(-1)+1(1)(3)+1(1)(1)]=1 / 4(12)=3 \\
& c\left(A_{2}\right)=1 / 4[1(1)(9)+1(+1)(-1)+1(-1)(3)+1(-1)(1)]=1 / 4(4)=1 \\
& c\left(B_{1}\right)=1 / 4[1(1)(9)+1(-1)(-1)+1(1)(3)+1(-1)(1)]=1 / 4(12)=3 \\
& c\left(B_{2}\right)=1 / 4[1(1)(9)+1(+1)(-1)+1(1)(3)+1(1)(1)]=1 / 4(8)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\Gamma_{\text {all }}=3 A_{1}+A_{2}+3 B_{1}+2 B_{2}$.
5. Using the right side of the character table ( $C_{2 v}$ in this case), determine the rotations and the translations that make up the irreducible representation from $\Gamma_{\text {all }}$. Then the remainder of the calculated irreducible representations from $\Gamma_{\text {all }}$ are the vibrations. For $C_{2 v}$ symmetry and water,

Translations Rotations

| $A_{1}, z$ | $A_{2}, R_{z}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $B_{1}, x$ | $B_{2}, R_{x}$ |
| $B_{2}, y$ | $B_{1}, R_{y}$ |

Now subtract those irreducible representations from $\Gamma_{\text {all }}$ to give the remaining irreducible representations that are the three molecular vibrations, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {vib }}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{\text {vib }}=\Gamma_{\text {all }}-\left[A_{1}+A_{2}+2 B_{1}+2 B_{2}\right]=3 A_{1}+A_{2}+3 B_{1}+2 B_{2}-\left[A_{1}+A_{2}+2 B_{1}+2 B_{2}\right] \\
& \Gamma_{\text {vib }}=2 A_{1}+B_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

6. The three vibrations are internal coordinates of a molecule. The dipole moment vectors are represented by $x, y$, and $z$ as in the character table, and they are all infrared active. For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, there are two $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond distances and one $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ angle and each of these vibrations represent a single vector or internal coordinate (unlike an atom which has three vectors of $x, y$, and $z$ ). To specify the irreducible representations for the specific vibrations, another reducible representation can be made by using the following operation for the two $\mathbf{O}-\mathbf{H}$ bonds (once they are determined then the remaining $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ angle can be). From the data in Table 4.6, multiply the number of bonds unchanged, $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R})$, when performing a symmetry operation by1 as the operation did not cause a vector change after performing the operation.

|  | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R})$ | $\times$ | operation change | $=$ | $\chi_{\mathbf{b o n d}}(\mathbf{R})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 2 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ |
| $C_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  | 2 |
| $\sigma_{V}(x z)$ | 2 | $\times$ | 1 |  | 0 |
| $\sigma_{V}(y z)$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  |  |

These characters now represent the change in the vector of the bond or vibration after the operation is performed, and the symbol for the reducible representation $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}}$ represents the bond. [This procedure can also be done for the angle being studied and the notation would be $\left.\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}} \cdot\right]$

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& E & C_{2} & \sigma_{v} x z & \sigma_{v} y z \\
\Gamma_{\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}} & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0
\end{array}
$$

$\Gamma_{\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}}$ can be reduced using Equation 4.6 with the operation change character, $\chi_{\text {bond }}(R)$, replacing $\chi(R)$. The equations below show that only $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are possible $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond stretches from $\Gamma_{\mathrm{vib}}$ above.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c\left(A_{1}\right)=1 / 4[1(1)(2)+1(+1)(0)+1(1)(2)+1(1)(0)]=1 / 4(4)=1 \\
& c\left(B_{1}\right)=1 / 4[1(1)(2)+1(-1)(0)+1(1)(2)+1(-1)(0)]=1 / 4(4)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus one bond stretch transforms as $A_{1}$ (symmetric to $C_{2}$ ) and the other as $B_{1}$ (antisymmetric to $C_{2}$ ) whereas by the process of elimination, the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angle is an in-plane bending mode that transforms as $A_{1}$ (symmetric bending to $C_{2}$ axis). Figure 4.21 shows the vibrations and their respective irreducible representations for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which have similar symmetry to the two symmetry adapted linear combination of orbitals for the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in water (see Section 4.7.1.1).

### 4.6.2 Determining the IR and Raman Activity of Vibrations in Molecules

The vibrations of a molecule can be determined by infrared and Raman spectroscopy; however, not all vibrations are infrared and Raman active. Polar molecules have a permanent electric dipole moment, which indicates that the charge distributions on opposite sides of the molecule are different. Absorption of infrared radiation can occur when vibration results in a dipole moment change, which is a function of the $x, y, z$, Cartesian coordinates, whereas a Raman transition can occur when a vibration causes a change in the polarizability of a molecule, which is a binary product of two Cartesian coordinates; for example, $x z$ or $x^{2}$.

For molecules that have an inversion center, each of the $x, y, z$, Cartesian coordinates transform into $-x,-y,-z$ whereas the binary product of these will transform into a positive function. Thus, if a molecule has a center of inversion (centrosymmetric), then none of its modes of vibration can be both IR and Raman active; this is known as the exclusion rule. However, a particular vibrational mode may be both IR and Raman inactive in non-centrosymmetric molecules. Molecules that have permanent dipole moments are those with the point groups $C_{1}, C_{s}, C_{n}$, and $C_{n v}$; these are also termed symmetry allowed with regard to a dipole moment. All other point groups have symmetry elements that prohibit a dipole, and they are termed symmetry forbidden.

For example, in a simple homonuclear diatomic molecule such as $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, there is only one internal coordinate, which is the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ bond, and this is the symmetric stretching vibration $\left(A_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right.$ or $\sum_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{+}$in $D_{\infty h}$ ) as the bond does not change after performing any symmetry operation. There is no permanent electric dipole moment so the vibration is infrared inactive; however, the vibration is Raman active as the polarizability $\left(z^{2}\right)$ of the molecule changes on the bond axis.

For a heteronuclear diatomic molecule such as $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$, the bond is again the only internal coordinate and does not change after any symmetry operation. Both the dipole moment and polarizability transform as $A_{1}$ or $\Sigma^{+}$(see $C_{\infty v}$ character table in Appendix 4.1). Thus, this vibrational mode (symmetric stretching vibration) is both infrared and Raman active.

$\mathrm{A}_{1}$

$\mathrm{B}_{1}$


Figure 4.21 The schematic shows the normal vibrations of the water molecule: symmetric stretch $A_{1}$, asymmetric stretch $B_{1}$, and symmetric bend ( $A_{1}$ in-plane symmetric or scissors-like bending vibration)

For the water molecule, all three vibrational modes create a change in the dipole moment. Thus all three vibrational modes are IR active. Inspection of the $C_{2 v}$ character table shows that these three vibrational modes are also Raman active as the polarizability tensors transform as $A_{1}, B_{1}$, and $B_{2}$. In the next section, the methane molecule and its vibrations are described.

### 4.6.3 Determining the Vibrational Modes of Methane, $\mathbf{C H}_{4}$

Methane is a tetrahedral molecule with five atoms, and the character table for the $T_{d}$ point group, which has five symmetry classes $(R)$, is given below in Table 4.8. Figure 4.22 shows tetrahedral models with four single or sigma bonds and six $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angles.

The five atoms give 15 Cartesian coordinate displacement vectors, and we can use Table 4.7 to help generate the reducible representation for these vectors. For $E$, all five atoms show no displacement of the vectors so that the character equals 15 . For the $C_{3}$ operation, two atoms do not change but the vectors change so the character equals 0 . For both the $C_{2}$ and $S_{4}$ operations, the character equals -1 in analogy to the water molecule (one atom is unchanged) whereas for the vertical planes, $\sigma_{d}$, three atoms in one plane do not change so generate a character of +3 .

|  | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{R})$ | $\times \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{R})$ | $=$ | $\chi_{\text {vectors }}(\mathbf{R})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 5 | $\times(3)$ | $=$ | 15 |
| $C_{3}$ | 2 | $\times(0)$ | $=$ | 0 |
| $C_{2}$ | 1 | $\times(-1)$ | $=$ | -1 |
| $S_{4}$ | 1 | $\times(-1)$ | $=$ | -1 |
| $\sigma_{d}$ | 3 | $\times(1)$ | $=$ | 3 |

Table 4.8 Character table for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$ point group

| $T_{d}$ | $E$ | $8 C_{3}$ | $3 C_{2}$ | $6 S_{4}$ | $6 \sigma_{d}$ | $h=24$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 2 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | $\left(2 z^{2}-x^{2}-y^{2}, x^{2}-y^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 3 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | $\left(R_{x}, R_{y}, R_{z}\right)$ |  |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 3 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | $(x, y, z)$ | $(x y, x z, y z)$ |



Figure 4.22 The left and center structures show the atoms in methane in relation to a cube, and the right structure shows one of the $C_{3}$ axes vertically in the plane of the paper. Models produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program version 8.0.10

The reducible representation for all the vectors of the atoms is below.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc} 
& E & 8 C_{3} & 3 C_{2} & 6 S_{4} & 6 \sigma_{d} \\
\Gamma_{\text {all }} & 15 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 3
\end{array}
$$

This representation reduces to $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {all }}=A_{1}+E+T_{1}+3 T_{2}$, and the calculation is reproduced here only for these irreducible representations.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c\left(A_{1}\right)=(1 / 24)[1(1)(15)+8(1)(0)+3(1)(-1)+6(1)(-1)+6(1)(3)] & =(1 / 24)(24)=1 \\
c(E)=(1 / 24)[1(2)(15)+8(-1)(0)+3(2)(-1)+6(0)(-1)+6(0)(3)] & =(1 / 24)(24)=1 \\
c\left(T_{1}\right)=(1 / 24)[1(3)(15)+8(0)(0)+3(-1)(-1)+6(1)(-1)+6(-1)(3)]=(1 / 24)(24)=1 \\
c\left(T_{2}\right)=(1 / 24)[1(3)(15)+8(0)(0)+3(-1)(-1)+6(-1)(-1)+6(1)(3)]=(1 / 24)(72)=3
\end{array}
$$

The character table indicates that the three rotations transform as $T_{1}$ and that the three translations transform as $T_{2}$; therefore the nine vibrations [3(5) - $6=9$ ] transform as $A_{1}, E, 2 T_{2}$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds and the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angles are the internal coordinates for methane and contribute to these nine vibrations.

The reducible representation for the $\mathbf{4 C - H}$ bonds is obtained as follows. Each of the vectors for the four bonds does not change after the $E$ operation has been performed so the character equals 4 . Only one bond along the $C_{3}$ axis is unchanged so the character equals 1 . On performing a $C_{2}$ operation, all bonds change so the character equals 0 ; the same is true for the $S_{4}$ operation. The vertical planes contain two of the bonds, which are unchanged after the operation, so the value of the character equals 2 . These operations generate the following reducible representation, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$, or $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {tetra }}$, for tetrahedral structures with five atoms.

|  | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R})$ | $\times$ | operation change | $=$ | $\chi_{\text {bond }}(\mathbf{R})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 4 | $\times$ | 1 | $=$ | 4 |  |
| $C_{3}$ | 1 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ | 1 |
| $C_{2}$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  | $=$ | 0 |
| $S_{4}$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  | $=$ | 0 |
| $\sigma_{d}$ | 2 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $8 C_{3}$ | $3 C_{2}$ | $6 S_{4}$ | $6 \sigma_{d}$ |
|  | $\Gamma_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ reduces to $A_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ (see Section 5.6.1) and the calculations for only these are presented.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c\left(A_{1}\right)=(1 / 24)[1(1)(4)+8(1)(1)+3(1)(0)+6(1)(0)+6(1)(2)] \quad=(1 / 24)(24)=1 \\
& c\left(T_{2}\right)=(1 / 24)[1(3)(4)+8(0)(1)+3(-1)(0)+6(-1)(0)+6(1)(2)]=(1 / 24)(24)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

The reducible representation for the six $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angles is obtained as below; note that $4 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $6 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angles give 10 vibrational modes so there is one redundancy. The notation, $\mathbf{N}_{\text {angles }}(\mathbf{R})$, is the number of angles unchanged. Each of the vectors for the six bond angles does not change after the $E$ operation has been performed so the character equals 6 . On performing $C_{2}$ and $S_{4}$ operations, all bond angles change so the character equals $0 . C_{2}$ does exchange atoms but the two bond angles that $C_{2}$ bisect are unchanged in the plane. The operation, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d}$, has a redundancy for two $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angles so the character equals 2 . These operations generate the following reducible representation, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\text {angles }}(\mathbf{R})$ | $\times$ | operation change | $=$ | $\chi_{\text {bond }}(\mathbf{R})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 6 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ | 6 |
| $C_{3}$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  | $=$ | 0 |
| $C_{2}$ | 2 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ | 2 |
| $S_{4}$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  | $=$ | 0 |
| $\sigma_{d}$ | 2 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $8 C_{3}$ | $3 C_{2}$ |
|  |  | $6 S_{4}$ | $6 s_{d}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ reduces to $A_{1}, E$, and $T_{2}$, and the calculations for only these are presented.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c\left(A_{1}\right)=(1 / 24)[1(1)(6)+8(1)(0)+3(1)(2)+6(1)(0)+6(1)(2)] & =(1 / 24)(24)=1 \\
c(E)=(1 / 24)[1(2)(6)+8(-1)(0)+3(2)(2)+6(0)(0)+6(0)(2)] & =(1 / 24)(24)=1 \\
c\left(T_{2}\right)=(1 / 24)[1(3)(6)+8(0)(0)+3(-1)(2)+6(-1)(0)+6(1)(2)] & =(1 / 24)(24)=1
\end{array}
$$

As noted above, this analysis provides one extra vibrational mode, which must be $A_{1}$ as it is the only single irreducible representation. This extra mode arises from the H-C-H bond angle analysis as it is not possible for all six bond angles to change independently.

Inspection of the $T_{d}$ character table with consideration of the irreducible representations composing $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ indicates that $A_{1}$ is only due to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibration; $E$ is only due to angle bending or deformation; and $T_{2}$ consists of both bond stretching and angle bending vibrations. For the methane molecule, the $\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{H}$ bond displacement vectors transform into two irreducible representations, $A_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. From the $T_{d}$ character table, $A_{1}$ transforms like $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}$, indicating it is Raman active, but IR inactive. $T_{2}$ transforms like $(x, y, z)$ and $(x y, x z, y z)$ indicating that these modes are both IR and Raman active. The H-C-H bond angle vectors transform as $E$ and $T_{2}$. $E$ is Raman active but IR inactive whereas $T_{2}$ is both Raman and IR active. Thus infrared spectroscopy should show both stretching and bending vibrational modes for a total of two signals whereas Raman spectroscopy shows that all three irreducible representations are active.

The spectroscopic analysis of simple gaseous molecules is of great importance not only in the laboratory but also in determining the existence of these molecules in the atmosphere on other planets and solar systems and in the cosmos or interstellar space. The scientific literature is replete with the analysis of simple molecules, which has shed light on the conditions of other planetary and exosolar systems as scientists search for the possible existence of life (for example microbial) elsewhere in the universe.

### 4.6.4 Determining the Irreducible Representations and Symmetry of the Central Atom's Atomic Orbitals that Form Bonds

The reducible representation, which is used to determine the number of vibrations for bonds, can also be used to determine the symmetry of the orbitals of the central atom in a molecule as well as the symmetry of the orbitals of similar terminal atoms bound to the central atom (these atoms combine to form group orbitals). All three of these items are components of the internal coordinates of the molecule; e.g., for water, there are two $\boldsymbol{O}-\boldsymbol{H}$ bonds, two hydrogen atoms and two 1s hydrogen atom orbitals. The technique shown to determine the irreducible representations from the reducible representation for the bond vibrations of a molecule is the same for determining the symmetry of the orbitals of the central atom in a molecule as well as the orbitals binding to the central atom. In this section, the orbitals of the central atom are described. After this section,
the terminal atoms bound to the central atom are described to give a full combination of atomic orbitals to form molecular orbitals (These two sections are useful for chapters 5 and 8 on bonding).

### 4.6.4.1 Tetrahedral Case

Many organic and inorganic molecules and ions such as $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{SiF}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{4}{ }^{-}$exhibit tetrahedral geometry like $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. As shown in Figure 4.22, there are four bonds that lead to the reducible representation $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {tetra }}$ so that $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {tetra }}=A_{1}+T_{2}$.

This same analysis shows that the four sigma bonds are not equivalent and are made from two sets of the four atomic orbitals of the central atom. Looking at the right of the character table, $T_{2}$ is a combination of the set $(x, y, z)$ or of the set $(x y, x z, y z)$ indicating that these sets are triply degenerate. These combinations are due to the p orbitals or the d orbitals that are in between the Cartesian coordinate axes. $A_{1}$ is a totally symmetric irreducible representation, and the s orbital from the central atom is totally symmetric. From a simplified valence bond theory perspective, the central atom in a tetrahedral molecule has either $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{or} \mathrm{sd}^{3}$ hybridization with four equivalent orbitals (see Figure 5.5).

### 4.6.4.2 Octahedral Case

The analysis for the six bonds to the central atom for octahedral molecules (e.g., $\mathrm{SF}_{6}, \mathrm{CoF}_{6}{ }^{3-}$ ) is shown in Figure 4.23.Table 4.9 is the character table for $O_{h}$ symmetry.

The reducible representation for the six bonds is obtained as follows. Each of the vectors for the six bonds does not change after the $E$ operation has been performed so the character equals 6 . Only two bonds along


Octahedral $C_{4} \perp$


Octahedral $C_{3} \perp$

Figure 4.23 The left structures show the atoms in $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ with a $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ axis normal to the paper, and the right structures show a $C_{3}$ axis normal to the paper. Models produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10

Table 4.9 Character table for $O_{h}$ point group

| $O_{h}$ | $E$ | $8 C_{3}$ | $6 C_{2}$ | $6 C_{4}$ | $3 C_{2}\left(=C_{4}{ }^{2}\right)$ | $i$ | $6 S_{4}$ | $8 S_{6}$ | $6 \sigma_{h}$ | $6 \sigma_{d}$ | $h=48$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1 g}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2 g}}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{g}}$ | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | -1 | 2 | 0 |  |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 3 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | $\left(R_{x}, R_{y}, R_{z}\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2 g}}$ | 3 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 3 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 |  |
| $\mathbf{A}_{1 \mathbf{u}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  |
| $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2 u}}-1$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{u}}$ | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 0 | $\left.y^{2}, x^{2}-y^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}_{1 \mathbf{u}}$ | 3 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 | $-3 z)$ | 1 | -2 | 0 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2 u}}$ | 3 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -3 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $(x, y, z)$ |

the $C_{4}$ and $C_{4}{ }^{2}$ axes are unchanged so the character equals 2 . On performing $i, C_{2}, C_{3}, S_{4}$, and $S_{6}$ operations, all bonds change so the character equals 0 . All $\sigma_{d}$ planes contain two of the bonds, which are unchanged after the operation, giving a character value of 2 whereas $\sigma_{h}$ planes contain four bonds that are unchanged after performing the operation. These operations generate the following reducible representation, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {oct }}$, for octahedral structures with six bonds.

| $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{R})$ | $\times$ | operation change | $=$ | $\chi_{\text {bond }}(\mathbf{R})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 6 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ | 6 |
| $C_{3}$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  | $=$ | 0 |
| $C_{2}$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  | $=$ | 0 |
| $C_{4}$ | 2 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ | 2 |
| $C_{4}{ }^{2}$ | 2 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ | 2 |
| $i$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  | $=$ | 0 |
| $S_{4}$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  | $=$ | 0 |
| $S_{6}$ | 0 | $\times$ | 0 |  | $=$ | 0 |
| $\sigma_{h}$ | 4 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ | 2 |
| $\sigma_{d}$ | 2 | $\times$ | 1 |  | $=$ | 2 |

$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {oct }}$ reduces to $A_{1 g}, E_{g}$ and $T_{1 u}$, and the calculations for only these are presented.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(A_{1 g}\right)= & (1 / 48)[1(1)(6)+8(1)(0)+6(1) 0+6(1) 2+3(1)(2)+1(1) 0+6(1)(0)+8(1) 0+6(1)(2)+6(1) 2] \\
= & (1 / 48)(48)=1 \\
c\left(E_{g}\right)= & (1 / 48)[1(2)(6)+8(-1)(0)+6(0) 0+6(0) 2+3(2)(2)+1(2) 0+6(0)(0)+8(-1) 0+6(2)(2)+6(0) 2] \\
= & (1 / 48)(48)=1 \\
c\left(T_{1 u}\right)= & (1 / 48)[1(3)(6)+8(0)(0)+6(-1) 0+6(1) 2+3(-1)(2)+1(-3) 0+6(-1)(0)+8(0)(0) \\
& \quad+6(1)(2)+6(1) 2]=(1 / 48)(48)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

This analysis shows that the six sigma bonds are not equivalent because they are made from three sets of the six atomic orbitals of the central atom. Looking at the right of the $O_{h}$ character table, $T_{1 u}$ is a combination of the set $(x, y, z)$ indicating that the three p orbitals are triply degenerate. $E_{g}$ is a combination of the set $\left(2 z^{2}-x^{2}-y^{2} ; x^{2}-y^{2}\right)$ indicating that two d orbitals are doubly degenerate; the $2 z^{2}-x^{2}-y^{2}$ function is abbreviated as $z^{2} . A_{1}$ is a totally symmetric irreducible representation, and the s orbital from the central atom is totally symmetric. From the valence bond theory perspective, the central atom in an octahedral molecule has $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{2}$ hybridization with six equivalent orbitals.

### 4.6.4.3 $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ - The Pyramidal Case

Figure 4.24 shows the ammonia molecule of $C_{3 v}$ symmetry with which the reducible representation for the $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds is obtained.

Each of the vectors for the three bonds does not change after the $E$ operation is performed so the character equals 3. All bonds along the $C_{3}$ axis are changed so the character equals 0 . Each vertical plane contains


Figure 4.24 A drawing and molecular model of the ammonia molecule. Model produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {M }}$ program version 8.0.10
one bond, which is unchanged after the operation, so the value of the character equals 1 . These operations generate the following reducible representation, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{p y r}}$, for a pyramidal structure with four atoms.

|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{R})$ | $\times$ ope |  | n ch | ge $=$ | $\chi_{\text {bond }}(\mathbf{R})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | B | $\times$ |  | 1 | $=$ |  |
| $C_{3}$ | 0 | $\times$ |  | 0 | $=$ | 0 |
| $\sigma_{v}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | 1 | $=$ | 1 |
|  |  | $\Gamma_{\text {pyr }}$ | $E$ 3 | $2 C_{3}$ 0 | $3 \sigma_{v}$ |  |

$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{p y r}}$ reduces to $A_{1}$ and $E$ as shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c\left(A_{1}\right)=(1 / 6)[1(+1)(3)+2(+1)(0)+3(1)(1)]=(1 / 6)(6)=1 \\
& c\left(B_{1}\right)=(1 / 6)[1(+1)(3)+2(+1)(0)+3(-1)(1)]=(1 / 6)(0)=0 \\
& c(E)=(1 / 6)[1(+2)(3)+2(-1)(0)+3(0)(1)]=(1 / 6)(4)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

In this analysis, the three bonds are not considered equivalent as they are made from two separate sets of the four atomic central atom orbitals. Inspection of the character table (Table 4.5) shows that $A_{1}$ transforms as the $s$ and the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals, and $E$ is from the $(x, y)$ set. Again, the three bonds are not equivalent as indicated in the molecular orbital theory approach (section 5.6.2).

### 4.6.4.4 $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$- Trigonal Planar Case

The methyl cation and the $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$cation have $D_{3 h}$ symmetry (Appendix 4.1) with the structures shown in Figure 4.25. The $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$cation is an example of a two-electron three-atom bond without a central atom (see Section 5.5.1) and is of fundamental importance in interstellar space.

Each of the vectors for the three bonds does not change after the $E$ and $\sigma_{h}$ operations are performed so the character equals 3 for each. All bonds along the $C_{3}$ and $S_{3}$ axis are changed so the character equals 0 . Each vertical plane and $C_{2}$ axis contains one bond, which is unchanged after the operation, so the value of


Figure 4.25 The trigonal planar methyl and $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$cations. Models produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {M }}$ program version 8.0.10
the character for each equals 1. These operations generate the following reducible representation, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\text {trig }}$, for a pyramidal structure with four atoms.

|  | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{R})$ | $\times$ | opera | ation ch | ange |  | $\chi_{\text {bond }}(\mathbf{R})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 3 | $\times$ |  | 1 |  | $=$ | 3 |
| $C_{3}$ | 0 | $\times$ |  | 0 |  | = | 0 |
| $C_{2}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | 1 |  | = | 1 |
| $\sigma_{h}$ | 3 | $\times$ |  | 1 |  | = | 3 |
| $S_{3}$ | 0 | $\times$ |  | 0 |  | = | 0 |
| $\sigma_{v}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | 1 |  | = | 1 |
|  |  | E | $2 C_{3}$ | $3 C_{2}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $2 S_{3}$ | $3 \sigma_{v}$ |
|  | $\Gamma_{\text {trig }}$ | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |

$\Gamma_{\text {trig }}$ reduces to $A_{1}^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}$ as shown below.

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
c\left(A_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(+1)(3)+2(+1)(0)+3(1)(1)+1(1) 3+2(1) 0+3(1) 1] \quad \\
c\left(A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(+1)(3)+2(+1)(0)+3(-1)(1)+1(-1) 3+2(-1) 0+3(1) 1]=(1 / 12)(0)=0 \\
c\left(E^{\prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(+2)(3)+2(-1)(0)+3(0)(1)+1(2) 3+2(-1) 0+3(0) 1] \quad
\end{array}\right)=(1 / 12)(12)=1\right)
$$

In this analysis, the three bonds are not considered equivalent as they are made from two separate sets of the four atomic central atom orbitals. Inspection of the character table (Table 4.5) shows that $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ transforms as the s and the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals, and $E^{\prime}$ is from the $(x, y)$ set. Again, the three bonds are not equivalent as indicated in the molecular orbital theory approach.

### 4.7 Symmetry Adapted Linear Combination (SALC) of Orbitals

Although the method to obtain wave functions is described in detail later, some aspects of molecular orbitals naturally follow from this discussion of group theory. In this section, which can be postponed and referred to later for the discussion of chemical bonding, the process is described for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$. In the previous section, the types of atomic orbitals that are involved in bonding for the central atom were described. Now, these central atom orbitals of a given symmetry must be combined with the terminal atoms orbitals of similar symmetry in order to form bonds with proper orbital overlap. When there are two or more similar atoms bound to the central atom, then those atoms can be combined into a group or ligand group orbital of a particular symmetry. The resulting group orbitals are called Symmetry Adapted Linear Combinations or SALCs. Because the sign of the wave function for all of the atomic orbitals is known, the terminal atoms' atomic orbitals can be arranged by inspection with the central atom's designated orbital for overlap or non-overlap. However, this procedure does not give symmetry information readily for molecules with many atoms. Thus, the projection operator method is used. It is now described for water and ammonia.

### 4.7.1 Sigma Bonding with Hydrogen as Terminal Atom

### 4.7.1.1 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, refer to Figure 4.2, which shows the two hydrogen atoms with separate labels, A and B. Because there are only two ways to linearly combine the two 1 s orbitals for these hydrogen atoms, this system results
in the following two combinations where the 1 s orbitals are added together or subtracted from each other.

$$
1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \text { or } \quad 1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

These two expressions, which are not normalized, can be shown to transform as two irreducible representations if the signs of the wave functions are specified and the operations performed. Because water has $C_{2 v}$ symmetry, the two SALC orbital combinations $\left[\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)\right.$ and $\left.\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)\right]$ transform as $\mathrm{A}_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)$ irreducible representations. The $\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ combination does not change the sign of the wave function after any symmetry operations so all operations have a character of 1 . However, the $\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ does invert sign after $C_{2}$ and $\sigma_{v} y z$ operations so their characters are -1 . Compare these values with the $C_{2 v}$ character table as well as the reducible representation described above, $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{O - H}}$, which reduces to $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$.

|  | $E$ | $C_{2}$ | $\sigma_{v} x z$ | $\sigma_{v} y z$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ or $A_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ or $B_{1}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{O}-\mathbf{H}}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

The projection operator method is used for molecules with more atoms to consider as the atom movement is more complex. It is used here to describe water, and provides the same information as just shown. The method specifically notes how one particular atom, e.g., $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$, changes into itself or to another atom, e.g., $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$, once a specific symmetry operation is performed. Equation 4.7 gives the mathematical formula for the procedure where $\phi$ is the resulting group or SALC orbital, and $\mathrm{R} \psi$ is the "wave function" describing each individual orbital of the terminal atoms that will comprise $\phi$. Once the function $\phi$ is determined, it is combined with the central atom's orbitals to give the full wave function of the molecule.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\sum_{R} \chi_{i}(R) R \psi \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 1) To generate $\mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{\psi}$, assign the point group for the molecule first. Then use the following table to keep track of how $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ changes after each symmetry operation from the character table. For $E$ and $\sigma_{v} x z$ operations, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ does not change; after the $C_{2}$ and $\sigma_{v} y z$ operations, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ becomes $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc} 
& E & C_{2} & \sigma_{v} x z & \sigma_{v} y z & \\
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} \text { transforms to } & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} & \mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{\Psi}
\end{array}
$$

Step 2) Multiply $\mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{\psi}$ for each operation by the characters for each irreducible representation generated from the reducible representation as in Equation 4.6; for water, these are $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$. Then sum these.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{R \Psi} & (1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} \\
\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{R \Psi} & (1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}
\end{array}
$$

Adding the products together gives the SALCs, $\phi$, for each combination or irreducible representation.
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{R \Psi}$ becomes SALC for $\phi_{\mathrm{A} 1}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}=2\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)=\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}+\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}$
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{R \Psi}$ becomes SALC for $\phi_{\mathrm{B} 1}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}=2\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)=\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}$
These two linear combinations of the two hydrogen atomic orbitals are simplified as there are no coefficients and are thus not normalized. The non-normalized wave functions are still useful to show combinations and describe the general bonding process. The function, $1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$, transforms as $A_{1}$ after performing
all the symmetry operations; the function, $1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$, transforms as $B_{1}$ after performing all the symmetry operations.

These two SALC functions of $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ symmetry are then paired with the irreducible representations representing the atomic orbitals of the central atom with the same symmetry as calculated above. $A_{1}$ for oxygen transforms as a 2 s and a $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital; the 2 s orbital combines with the linear combination, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$. $B_{1}$ for oxygen transforms as a $2 \mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital, which combines with the linear combination, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$. Thus, two bonding and two antibonding orbitals are produced.

In the molecular orbital theory approach, the electrons are delocalized across all three atoms for each bond that is represented as $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ (orbitals are lowercase whereas irreducible representations are uppercase). As will be shown later with use of photoelectron spectroscopy data, the $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital of oxygen interacts strongly with the two hydrogen atoms having the $A_{1}$ symmetry so is not a lone pair of electrons or non-bonding. The oxygen $2 \mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbital does not interact with the hydrogen atoms, and is thus a lone pair of electrons that is non-bonding.

### 4.7.1.2 $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{3}$

The process is now shown for the ammonia molecule with $\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry. It is important to note one major feature in using the projection operator method; that is, all symmetry operations must be explicitly calculated when using equation 4.7 . For example, there are two $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ operations indicated as one class in the character table; however, each $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ operation results in a different orientation in space for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ as shown in step 1. The vertical planes also have to be specified separately as $\sigma_{A}$ contains the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ bond; $\sigma_{\mathrm{B}}$ contains the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ bond and $\sigma_{\mathrm{C}}$ contains the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$ bond.

## Step 1:

$$
\begin{array}{lccccccc} 
& E & C_{3} & C_{3}^{2} & \sigma_{A} & \sigma_{C} & \sigma_{B} & \\
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} & \text { transforms to } & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}
\end{array} \quad \mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{\Psi}
$$

Step 2: Multiply $\mathrm{R} \psi$ by the character of the irreducible representations; then sum the products.
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{R \Psi} \quad(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$
$\mathbf{A}_{2} \mathbf{R \Psi} \quad(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$
E RY $\quad(2) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}+(0) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(0) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(0) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$
which gives SALCs $\phi$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}} & \mathbf{R \Psi} & \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{A} 1}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}=2\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)=\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}+\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}+\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}} \\
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{R} \Psi & \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{A} 2}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{E} & \mathbf{R} \Psi & \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{E}}=2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}}
\end{array}
$$

Only two linear combinations of the three hydrogen atomic orbitals are found with one being degenerate with $E$ symmetry; thus there are two orbitals of similar symmetry and energy. The resulting combinations are given without coefficients and are thus not normalized. The function, $1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{C}}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$, transforms as $A_{1}$ after performing all the symmetry operations; the function, $1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{C}}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$, transforms as $E$ after performing all the symmetry operations.

These two functions with their irreducible representations are then paired with the appropriate irreducible representations representing the atomic orbitals of the central atom. $A_{1}$ for nitrogen transforms as a 2 s and a
$2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital; the 2 s orbital combines with the linear combination, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} . E$ for nitrogen transforms as a $2 \mathrm{p}_{x}, 2 \mathrm{p}_{y}$ degenerate set, which combines with the linear combination, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$. Again these bonding orbitals represent a pair of electrons distributed across three atoms. The nitrogen $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital is a filled non-bonding orbital, which can donate a pair of electrons, and transforms as an $A_{1}$ irreducible representation.

### 4.7.1.3 $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$

The process is now shown for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$, which also has a $C_{3}$ major axis with $D_{3 h}$ symmetry (Appendix 4.1).

## Step 1:

$$
\begin{array}{lccccccccccccc} 
& E & C_{3} & C_{3}{ }^{2} & C_{2} & C_{2}{ }^{\prime} & C_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} & \sigma_{h} & S_{3} & S_{3}{ }^{2} & \sigma_{A} & \sigma_{C} & \sigma_{\mathrm{B}} & \\
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} \text { transforms to } & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} & \mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{\psi}
\end{array}
$$

Step 2: Multiply $\mathrm{R} \psi$ by the character of the irreducible representations determined from the reducible representation; then sum the products.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{R \Psi} & (1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} \\
& +(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} \\
\mathbf{E}^{\prime} \mathbf{R \Psi} \quad & (2) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}+(0) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(0) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(0) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}+(2) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(-1) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}} \\
& +(0) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+(0) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+(0) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives SALCs $\phi$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\prime} \mathbf{R \Psi} & \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathrm{A} \mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}=4\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)=\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}+\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}} \\
\mathbf{E}^{\prime} \mathbf{R \Psi} & \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{E}}=4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}-2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}}
\end{array}
$$

Notice the similarity in the final irreducible representations ( $A$ and $E$ ) and SALCs between $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ even though they have different point groups.

### 4.7.2 Sigma and Pi Bonding with Atoms Other than Hydrogen as Terminal Atom

### 4.7.2.1 $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \sigma$ and $\pi$ Bonding ( $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ )

These three species have $C_{2 v}$ symmetry as does water; however, all three atoms in each species now have p orbitals to consider for a total of 12 orbitals rather than the 6 for water. The six additional orbitals are involved with $\pi$ type bonding molecular orbitals. For molecules that have terminal atoms with $p$ orbitals, a reducible representation is made based on how each p orbital set changes with each symmetry operation and the sign of the wave function is also considered. These are reduced to irreducible representations that are then combined with the same irreducible representations of the central atom. Figure 4.26 shows the bonding arrangement for the nitrite ion as Lewis structures (see Sections 5.1.1 and 5.7.3) and the spatial representation for the $\mathrm{p}_{x}, \mathrm{p}_{y}$, and $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals for each atom with which reducible representations can be determined.

The s orbitals of the two oxygen atoms will transform as $A_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $B_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)$ irreducible representations similar to the two hydrogen atoms in water. The s and $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals of the central nitrogen atom also transform as $A_{1}$ whereas the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$ orbital transforms as $B_{1}$. To complete the group theory analysis of the molecule, the p orbitals of the terminal oxygen atoms need to be determined.



Figure 4.26 Nitrite ion structure with all of the $p$ orbitals including the sign of the wave function. The xz plane is in the plane of the paper with the y axis normal to the paper

The $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ orbitals for the two O atoms in nitrite are in the plane of the paper and produce the following reducible representation after performing the $C_{2 v}$ symmetry operations where the operation change indicates whether the orbital and the sign of its wave function are unchanged, changed or inverted in sign. Here $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{R})$ indicates the number of orbitals affected by the operation.

|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{R})$ |  | operatio | on change | $=\chi(\mathbf{R})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 2 | $\times$ |  | (1) | 2 |
| $C_{2}$ | 0 | $\times$ |  | (0) | 0 |
| $\sigma_{v} x z$ | 2 | $\times$ |  | (1) | 2 |
| $\sigma_{v} y z$ | 0 | $\times$ |  | (0) | 0 |
|  | $E$ | $C_{3}$ | $\sigma_{v} x z$ | $\sigma_{v} y z$ |  |
| $\chi(\mathbf{R}) \mathrm{p}_{x}$ | ${ }_{x} \quad 2$ | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |

The $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital set reduces to $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ as shown above for the s orbital set of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $C_{2 v}$ symmetry. Thus, the SALC wave functions will be similar to those for the water s orbital set.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{x}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{x}\right) \\
& B_{1}=\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{x}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\boldsymbol{p}_{z}$ orbitals for the two O atoms in nitrite are in the plane of the paper and produce the following reducible representation after performing the $C_{2 v}$ symmetry operations where the operation change indicates whether
the orbital and the sign of its wave function are unchanged, changed, or inverted in sign.

| $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{R})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | $\times$ | operation change | $=$ | $\boldsymbol{\chi}(\mathbf{R})$ |  |  |
| $E$ | 2 | $\times$ | $(1)$ | $=$ | 2 |  |
| $C_{2}$ | 0 | $\times$ | $(0)$ | $=$ | 0 |  |
| $\sigma_{v} x z$ | 2 | $\times$ | $(1)$ | $=$ | 2 |  |
| $\sigma_{v} y z$ | 0 | $\times$ | $(0)$ | $=$ | 0 |  |
|  | $E$ | $C_{3}$ | $\sigma_{v} x z$ | $\sigma_{v} y z$ |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\chi}(\mathbf{R}) \mathrm{p}_{z}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |

The $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital for nitrogen transforms as $A_{1}$ and the oxygen atom $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ set also reduces to $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ as shown above for the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ s orbital set in $C_{2 v}$ symmetry. Thus, the SALC wave functions will be similar to those for the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ s orbital set.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{z}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{z}\right) \\
& B_{1}=\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{z}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ orbitals for the two O atoms in nitrite are normal to the plane of the paper and produce the following reducible representation after performing the $C_{2 v}$ symmetry operations. Note that the sign of the wave function for these orbitals is inverted after performing the $\sigma_{v} x z$ operation.

|  | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{R})$ | $\times$ | operation change | $=$ | $\boldsymbol{\chi}(\mathbf{R})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 2 | $\times$ | $(1)$ | $=$ | 2 |
| $C_{2}$ | 0 | $\times$ | $(0)$ | $=$ | 0 |
| $\sigma_{v} x z$ | 2 | $\times$ | $(-1)$ | $=$ | -2 |
| $\sigma_{v} y z$ | 0 | $\times$ | $(0)$ | $=$ | 0 |
|  | $E$ | $C_{3}$ | $\sigma_{v} x z$ | $\sigma_{v} y z$ |  |
| $\chi(\mathbf{R}) \mathrm{p}_{y}$ | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 |  |

By inspection, the $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbital set reduces to $A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$, and generates one of the nitrite ion's $\pi$ bonding set of orbitals. These SALC combinations give a different sign to the a and b orbitals as the $y$ axis is normal to the $x z$ plane of the molecule.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}=\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{y}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{y}\right) \\
& B_{2}=\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{y}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combination of Atomic Orbitals from $N$ with $O$ Atoms to Form Molecular Orbitals. Coupling the p orbital analysis for the two oxygen atoms with the s and p orbitals from the nitrogen atom gives the orbitals indicated next and that are shown in Figures 5.49 and 5.50.

The nitrogen 2 s orbital transforms as $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$. The oxygen s and $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ SALC orbitals transform as $A_{1}$ to give the $1 a_{1}$ and $2 a_{1}$ bonding orbitals with the nitrogen 2 s orbital whereas the nitrogen $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and oxygen SALC s orbitals combine to form $1 b_{1}$; there is also a $5 a_{1}$ antibonding combination between the oxygen $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and nitrogen s orbitals. The oxygen $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ SALC orbitals transform as $B_{1}$ and interact with the nitrogen $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital to form $2 b_{1}$; there is also a $4 b_{1}$ antibonding combination between the oxygen $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ SALCs and the nitrogen $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital. This analysis yields 6 of the 12 molecular orbitals.

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 a_{1} & =\mathrm{Ns}+\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~s}\right) \\
2 a_{1} & =\mathrm{Ns}+\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{x}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{x}\right) \\
1 b_{1} & =\mathrm{N} p_{x}+\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~s}\right) \\
2 b_{1} & =\mathrm{Np} p_{x}+\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{x}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{x}\right) \\
5 a_{1}{ }^{*} & =\mathrm{Ns}-\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{x}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{x}\right) \\
4{b_{1}}^{*} & =\mathrm{Np}_{x}-\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{x}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The nitrogen $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ transforms as $A_{1}$ and the oxygen $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ SALC orbitals transform as $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$; thus there can be only a total of three molecular orbitals. These combinations lead to $3 a_{1}, 3 b_{1}$, and $4 a_{1}$, which are bonding, nonbonding, and antibonding type orbitals, respectively. The nitrogen $2 p_{z}$ orbital interacts more strongly with the $\mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals (not the O s orbital) because the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals are closer in energy.

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 a_{1} & =\mathrm{Np}_{z}+\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{z}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{z}\right) \\
3 b_{1} & =\mathrm{Np}_{z}+\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{z}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{z}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad 3 b_{1}=\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{z}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{z}\right) \\
4 a_{1}^{*} & =\mathrm{Np}_{z}-\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{z}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The nitrogen $2 \mathrm{p}_{y}$ transforms as $B_{2}$ and the oxygen $2 \mathrm{p}_{y}$ SALC orbitals transform as $A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$. Again only three combinations are possible: bonding $1 b_{2}$, nonbonding $1 a_{2}$, and antibonding $2 b_{2}$ orbitals.

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 b_{2} & =\mathrm{Np}_{y}+\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{y}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{y}\right) \\
1 a_{2} & =\mathrm{Np}_{y}+\left(\mathrm{O}_{A} \mathrm{p}_{y}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{y}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad 1 a_{2}=\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{y}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{y}\right) \\
2 b_{2}{ }^{*} & =\mathrm{Np}_{y}-\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{p}_{y}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{p}_{y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.7.2.2 $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-} \sigma$ and $\pi$ Bonding

As for nitrite, reducible representations need to be obtained for the p orbital system for the three oxygen atoms. Figure 4.27 shows the 2 p orbital sets for the terminal oxygen atoms that bind to carbon in the carbonate ion, $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$.

The $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ orbitals for the three O atoms in carbonate are in the plane of the paper and produce the following reducible representation after performing the $D_{3 h}$ symmetry operations where the operation change indicates whether the orbital and the sign of its wave function are unchanged, changed, or inverted in sign.

|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathbf{R})$ |  | operati | ion | ange |  | $\chi(\mathbf{R})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 3 | $\times$ |  | (1) |  |  | 3 |
| $C_{3}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | (0) |  |  | 0 |
| $C_{2}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | (-1) |  | = |  |
| $\sigma_{h}$ | 3 | $\times$ |  | (1) |  | = | 3 |
| $S_{3}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | (0) |  | = | 0 |
| $\sigma_{v}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | (-1) |  | = |  |
|  | E | $C_{3}$ | $3 C_{2}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ |  |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\chi}(\mathbf{R}) \mathrm{p}$ | $p_{x} 3$ |  | -1 | 3 | 0 | - |  |



Figure 4.27 The $2 s$ and three $2 p$ orbital sets for the oxygen atoms in $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$. The $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ orbital set is $\perp$ to the plane of the paper, which contains $\sigma_{h}$

The $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital set reduces to $A_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}$ as shown below for all symmetry operations, $D_{3 h}$. Thus, the SALC wave functions will be similar to those for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+} \mathrm{s}$ orbital set.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(A_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(+1)(3)+2(+1)(0)+3(1)(-1)+1(1) 3+2(1) 0+3(1)-1]=(1 / 12)(0)=0 \\
c\left(A_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(+1)(3)+2(+1)(0)+3(-1)(-1)+1(1) 3+2(1) 0+3(-1)-1]=(1 / 12)(12)=1 \\
c\left(E^{\prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(+2)(3)+2(-1)(0)+3(0)(-1)+1(2) 3+2(-1) 0+3(0)-1]=(1 / 12)(12)=1 \\
c\left(A_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(1)(3)+2(1)(0)+3(1)(-1)+1(-1) 3+2(-1) 0+3(-1)-1]=(1 / 12)(0)=0 \\
c\left(A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(1)(3)+2(1)(0)+3(-1)(-1)+1(-1) 3+2(-1) 0+3(1)-1]=(1 / 12)(0)=0 \\
c\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(+2)(3)+2(-1)(0)+3(0)(-1)+1(-2) 3+2(1) 0+3(0)-1]=(1 / 12)(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ orbitals for the O atoms in carbonate are also in the plane of the paper and produce the following reducible representation after performing the $D_{3 h}$ symmetry operations. The $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbital is in the plane of the paper, and the $3 C_{2}$ and $3 \sigma_{v}$ symmetry elements contain one of the orbitals.

|  | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{R})$ | $\times$ | operation change | $=\boldsymbol{\chi}(\mathbf{R})$ |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 3 | $\times$ | $(1)$ | $=$ | 3 |
| $C_{3}$ | 1 | $\times$ | $(0)$ | $=$ | 0 |
| $C_{2}$ | 1 | $\times$ | $(1)$ | $=$ | 1 |
| $\sigma_{h}$ | 3 | $\times$ | $(1)$ | $=$ | 3 |
| $S_{3}$ | 1 | $\times$ | $(0)$ | $=$ | 0 |
| $\sigma_{v}$ | 1 | $\times$ | $(1)$ | $=$ | 1 |

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc} 
& E & C_{3} & 3 C_{2} & \sigma_{h} & 2 S_{3} & 3 \sigma_{v} \\
\chi(\mathbf{R}) \mathrm{p}_{y} & 3 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}
$$

The $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbital set reduces to $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}$ as shown below. The SALC wave functions for $E^{\prime}$ will be similar to those for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+} \mathrm{s}$ orbital set.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(A_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(+1)(3)+2(+1)(0)+3(1)(1)+1(1) 3+2(1) 0+3(1) 1]=(1 / 12)(12)=1 \\
c\left(E^{\prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(+2)(3)+2(-1)(0)+3(0)(1)+1(2) 3+2(-1) 0+3(0) 1]=(1 / 12)(12)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\boldsymbol{p}_{z}$ orbitals for the O atoms in carbonate are perpendicular to the plane of the paper and produce the following reducible representation after performing the $D_{3 h}$ symmetry operations.

|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathbf{R})$ |  | operatio | ion ch | ange |  | $\chi(\mathbf{R})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 3 | $\times$ |  | (1) |  | = | 3 |
| $C_{3}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | (0) |  |  | 0 |
| $C_{2}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | (-1) |  | $=$ |  |
| $\sigma_{h}$ | 3 | $\times$ |  | (-1) |  |  | -3 |
| $S_{3}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | (0) |  | = | 0 |
| $\sigma_{v}$ | 1 | $\times$ |  | (1) |  | = | 1 |
| $\chi(\mathbf{R}) \mathrm{p}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{z} \quad 3$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{3} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 C_{2} \\ -1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{h} \\ -3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 S_{3} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 30 1 |  |

The $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital set reduces to $A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ and $E^{\prime \prime}$ as shown below. The SALC wave functions for $E^{\prime \prime}$ will be similar to those for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+} \mathrm{s}$ orbital set.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(1)(3)+2(1)(0)+3(-1)(-1)+1(-1)-3+2(-1) 0+3(1) 1]=(1 / 12)(12)=1 \\
c\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right) & =(1 / 12)[1(+2)(3)+2(-1)(0)+3(0)(-1)+1(-2)-3+2(1) 0+3(0) 1]=(1 / 12)(12)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Coupling this analysis of the three sets of oxygen p orbitals with the oxygen s orbitals as in $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$(the oxygen s orbitals are similar to the hydrogen s orbitals and transform as ${A_{1}}^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}$ ) and the carbon orbitals gives the carbonate molecular orbitals that are summarized below and in Figure 4.28.

The oxygen s orbital set ( $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}$ ) combines with the carbon 2s orbital (transforms as $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ ) to give the $1 a_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ $\sigma$ bonding orbital; there is also a $3 a_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ antibonding combination. The carbon $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals ( $E^{\prime}$ ) combine with the $E^{\prime}$ oxygen set to give the $1 \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ set of weakly bonding s orbitals.

The oxygen $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbital set ( $E^{\prime}$ ) interacts with the carbon $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals (transform as $E^{\prime}$ ) to give the $2 \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ set of $\sigma$ bonding orbitals with carbon. INVERT the carbon $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals to obtain the $4 \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \sigma$ antibonding set, which is not shown. The oxygen $p_{y}$ orbital set also transforms as $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and combines with the carbon 2 s orbital ( $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ ) to give the $2 a_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ bonding orbital.

The oxygen $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital set ( $E^{\prime}$ ) interacts with the carbon $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals (transform as $E^{\prime}$ ) to give the $3 \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ set of $\sigma$ nonbonding orbitals with carbon. The oxygen $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital set also transforms as $A_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, which is another $\sigma$ nonbonding orbital.

The carbon $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ transforms as $A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, and the three oxygen $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals transform as $A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ and $E^{\prime \prime}$; thus, only the $1 a_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ is a $\pi$ bonding combination; there is also a $2 a_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} \pi$ antibonding combination. The $1 \mathrm{e}^{\prime \prime}$ set from the oxygen $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals is a $\pi$ nonbonding set of two orbitals.

The description for carbonate is similar for $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{SO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$. Compare these results with Figure 5.51 and its discussion in Chapter 5 (Section 5.8).


Figure 4.28 Carbonate ion molecular orbitals. Oxygen $2 \mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals point to the carbon atom; thus, the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ are $\perp$ to the $p_{y}$ orbitals and point away from the carbon atom. No s-p mixing of the central atom is considered. The xy plane is the plane of the paper for the $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbital sets. The $p_{z}$ orbital set is redrawn so that the $z$ axis is in or parallel to the plane of the paper to better observe the $\pi$ orbital overlap

## APPENDIX 4.1

## Some additional useful character tables

| $C_{4 v}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{4}$ | $C_{2}$ | $2 \sigma_{v}$ | $2 \sigma_{d}$ |  | $h=8$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z$ | $x^{2}+y^{2}, z^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | $R_{z}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{1}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |  | $x^{2}-y^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |  | $x y$ |
| E | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | $(x, y)\left(R_{x}, R_{y}\right)$ | $(x z, y z)$ |


| $C_{5 v}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{5}$ | $2 C_{5}^{2}$ | $5 \sigma_{v}$ |  | $h=10, \alpha=72$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z$ | $x^{2}+y^{2}, z^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | $R_{z}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ | 2 | $2 \cos \alpha$ | $2 \cos 2 \alpha$ | 0 | $(x, y)\left(R_{x}, R_{y}\right)$ | $(x z, y z)$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ | 2 | $2 \cos 2 \alpha$ | $2 \cos \alpha$ | 0 |  | $\left(x^{2}-y^{2}, x y\right)$ |


| $C_{\infty v}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{\infty}{ }^{\phi}$ | $\ldots$ | $\infty \sigma_{v}$ |  | $h=\infty$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1} \equiv \Sigma^{+}$ | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 1 | $z$ | $x^{2}+y^{2}, z^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2} \equiv \Sigma^{-}$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | -1 | $R_{z}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{1} \equiv \Pi$ | 2 | $2 \cos \phi$ | $\cdots$ | 0 | $(x, y)\left(R_{x}, R_{y}\right)$ | $(x z, y z)$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{2} \equiv \Delta$ | 2 | $2 \cos 2 \phi$ | $\cdots$ | 0 |  | $\left(x^{2}-y^{2}, x y\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{3} \equiv \Phi$ | 2 | $2 \cos 3 \phi$ | $\cdots$ | 0 |  |  |
| $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |


| $D_{3 h}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{3}$ | $3 C_{2}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $2 S_{3}$ | $2 \sigma_{v}$ |  | $h=12$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | $x^{2}+y^{2}, z^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | $R_{z}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ | 2 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -1 | 0 | $(x, y)$ | $\left(x^{2}-y^{2}, x y\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | $z$ |  |
| $\mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime}$ | 2 | -1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 0 | $\left(R_{x}, R_{y}\right)$ | $(x z, y z)$ |


| $D_{4 h}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{4}$ | $C_{2}$ | $2 C_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $2 C_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $i$ | $2 S_{4}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $2 \sigma_{v}$ | $2 \sigma_{d}$ |  | $h=16$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | $x^{2}+y^{2}, z^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | $R_{z}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |  | $\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |  | $x y$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | $\left(R_{x}, R_{y}\right)$ | $(x z, y z)$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | $z$ |  |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $(x, y)$ |  |


| $D_{\infty h}$ | E | $2 C_{\infty}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\ldots$ | $\infty \sigma_{v}$ | $i$ | $2 S_{\infty}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\ldots$ | $\infty C_{2}$ |  | $h=\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{+}$ | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 1 |  | $x^{2}+y^{2}, z^{2}$ |
| $\Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{-}$ | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | -1 | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | -1 | $R_{z}$ |  |
| $\Pi_{g}$ | 2 | $2 \cos \phi$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 2 | $-2 \cos \phi$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | ( $R_{x}, R_{y}$ ) | ( $x z, y z$ ) |
| $\Delta_{\mathrm{g}}$ | 2 | $2 \cos 2 \phi$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | 2 | $2 \cos 2 \phi$ | $\ldots$ | 0 |  | $\left(x^{2}-y^{2}, x y\right)$ |
| $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| $\Sigma_{\text {u }}{ }^{+}$ | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | $\ldots$ | -1 | $z$ |  |
| $\Sigma_{\text {u }}{ }^{-}$ | 1 | 1 | $\ldots$ | -1 | -1 | -1 | $\ldots$ | 1 |  |  |
| $\Pi_{u}$ | 2 | $2 \cos \phi$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | -2 | $2 \cos \phi$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | ( $x, y$ ) |  |
| $\Delta_{\text {u }}$ | 2 | $2 \cos 2 \phi$ | $\ldots$ | 0 | -2 | $-2 \cos 2 \phi$ | $\ldots$ | 0 |  |  |
| $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |
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## 5

## Covalent Bonding

### 5.1 Introduction

In 1916, after Bohr's development of the hydrogen atom and before Schrodinger's development of quantum mechanics, Lewis [1] proposed that atoms share electrons to form covalent bonds so that each atom has an octet or eight electrons around it. This sharing of eight electrons is known as the octet rule. For the main group elements, an octet of electrons results in a noble gas configuration. A single bond shares two electrons, which are represented by two dots or a single dashed line; a double bond shares four electrons and a triple bond shares six electrons. Any other electrons that are not in bonds are called lone pairs or nonbonding pairs. The placement of the electrons around each atom is called a Lewis structure, which is a two-dimensional arrangement. A three-dimensional spatial arrangement comes from other bonding theories including valence shell electron pair repulsion theory, valence bond theory (VBT), and molecular orbital theory (MOT).

### 5.1.1 Lewis Structures and the Octet Rule

A convenient method to write Lewis structures with each atom having eight electrons is to follow these four rules, which work in myriad instances.

1. Count the total number of valence electrons of all atoms in the formula. If the formula has a charge, add electrons to the valence electrons if the formula is negative. Subtract electrons from the valence electrons, if the formula is positive.
2. Count the total number of electrons needed for each atom to have an octet except for hydrogen, which can have only two electrons.
3. Subtract rule \#1 from rule \#2. This gives the number of electrons that are not available for an octet and which must be shared to form bonds for each atom to have an octet. Divide this number by 2 giving the number of bonds for the formula.
[^4]For example, $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$

1. S has 6 electrons in its valence shell $(1 \mathrm{~S}$-atom $)=6$ O has 6 electrons in its valence shell ( 4 O -atoms) $=24$

2 electrons for -2 charge $=2$
Total electrons available $=32$
2. S needs 8 electrons $\times 1 \mathrm{~S}$-atom $=8$

O needs 8 electrons $\times 4 \mathrm{O}$-atoms $=32$
Total electrons needed $=40$
3. $40-32=8$ electrons; therefore there are 4 bonds $(8 / 2) ; 8$ of the 32 electrons are in bonds and the remaining 24 of the 32 electrons are in lone pairs or nonbonding pairs that will be distributed around the oxygen atoms to obtain octets.
Once this calculation is complete, it is possible to assemble the electrons to form a proper Lewis structure for the sulfate ion. Here, the sulfur atom is the central atom and the four oxygen atoms are terminal atoms bound to the sulfur. The Lewis structure results in four single bonds between each oxygen atom and the central sulfur atom. Each bond is normally drawn as a line or dash and the lone pairs as two dots. All the nonbonding pairs of electrons are then distributed around each oxygen atom so all atoms have an octet. Figure 5.1 shows the correct structure for $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$.
4. To fully account for all charges on each atom, it is necessary to calculate the formal charge (F.C.) so that the sum of all formal charges on each atom equals the charge of the formula and the Lewis structure. The formal charges are calculated from the Lewis structure and are defined mathematically as
F.C. $=\#$ valence shell electrons $-(\#$ electrons in bonds/2) - (\# electrons not in bonds $)$.

For the sulfur atom, $\mathrm{S}=6-(8 / 2)-0=+2$
For each oxygen atom, $\mathrm{O}=6-(2 / 2)-6=-1$
As there are four oxygen atoms with a -1 one charge and one sulfur atom with a +2 charge, the total charge for sulfate is -2 .

Owing to repulsive effects, a Lewis structure is not correct (or a stable species) when two adjacent atoms have the same charge. The most electronegative atom will normally be the central atom and the more electropositive atoms will be bound to that. However, the formal charges calculated may not reflect a negative charge on the most electronegative atom. For example, carbon monoxide (CO) has a total of 10 valence electrons when 16 are needed; thus, CO has a triple bond between the carbon and the oxygen atoms. To complete an octet, CO has the Lewis structure (: $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ :). The formal charge on the carbon is -1 and the formal charge on the oxygen atom is +1 so that the molecule is neutral. The cyanide ion $\left(\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right)$has the same number of valence electrons as carbon monoxide so we can expect the same Lewis structure with the N atom replacing the O atom. Molecules and ions, which have the same number of valence electrons, are called isoelectronic. The isoelectronic principle or analogy is useful to understand bonding. Again, the formal charge on carbon will be -1 and the formal charge on the nitrogen atom will be zero. For both the cyanide ion and carbon monoxide, the negative charge on the carbon atoms indicates that the carbon will donate a pair of electrons to an electron acceptor or Lewis base. For these two cases, the carbon will actually bond with iron in hemoglobin and cause asphyxiation when $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{HCN})$ enters the lungs.

The octet rule suggests that all electrons are paired so that all structures are diamagnetic. For example, the ozone molecule, $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, has two possible Lewis structures as shown in Figure 5.1. Because the double bond could be on either side of the central oxygen atom, both structures are considered correct and are called resonance structures; the two headed arrow indicates that both structures combined represent the ozone molecule. It is common to sum the double bond and single bond, and then divide by two indicating that there are one




Figure 5.1 Lewis structures for sulfate ion, carbon monoxide, cyanide ion, ozone, carbonate, and boron trifluoride with the formal charges for each atom in each species. The formal charges are 0 for all the atoms of ammonia, carbon dioxide, dinitrogen, and methane
and a half bonds between the central oxygen atom and each of the terminal oxygen atoms. In fact, the bond lengths are 1.5 bonds. Sulfur dioxide, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, and the nitrite ion, $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$, also have 18 valence electrons and are isoelectronic with ozone; thus, they would have an identical Lewis structure to ozone with the sulfur and nitrogen being the central atoms in each of these chemical species. For the nitrite ion, there would be a -1 charge. The carbonate ion $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right)$ has three resonance structures and the number of bonds between each C and O atom is 1.33 . For boron trifluoride, the Lewis structure could be written as one $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{F}$ bond for a total of three bonds, and this representation would be an electron-deficient molecule. However, Figure 5.1 shows the possible double bond character in $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ that results in a total of three resonance structures and four bonds for the molecule (note the differences in formal charge between the isoelectronic $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ and the carbonate ion). Likewise, the sulfur-oxygen bond in sulfate is not one for all sulfur-oxygen bonds; it is 1.5 based on experimental evidence. The bottom portion of Figure 5.1 shows two more of the possible six forms for $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$, which can have two sulfur-oxygen double bonds. Note the difference of the formal charges for these two structures versus the one where sulfate only has sulfur oxygen single bonds.

Another way to represent the ozone molecule is to use " $o$ " and " $x$ " to represent electrons with different spins. In this way, it is possible to have eight electrons around each oxygen atom in ozone and 1.5 bonds from the central O atom to each of the terminal O atoms. Figure 5.2 shows this particular Lewis structure. In addition, there are molecules with an odd number of electrons that can be represented with an octet around each atom. The molecule NO is nitric oxide, which is produced as a by-product of combustion of fossil fuels with air. NO is a free radical and there are a total of 11 valence electrons so that we can predict 2.5 bonds


Figure 5.2 Lewis structures for ozone and nitric oxide with the formal charges for each atom in each species. Here fractional bonds and radicals are possible
(five electrons are shared). The remaining six electrons are divided equally between the N atom and the O atom. The formal charge is $-1 / 2$ for the N atom and $+1 / 2$ for the O atom so that the molecule is neutral. Because of the negative charge on the N atom, the N atom is expected to donate electrons. In fact, the NO molecule can donate 1,2 , or 3 electrons to a metal ion.

### 5.1.2 Valence Shell Electron Pair Repulsion Theory (VSEPR)

This theory was developed by Gillespie and Nyholm [2], and it is a method to draw correct Lewis structures in order to give three-dimensional geometries. This theory also allows for arrangements of less than eight electrons and greater than eight electrons (expanded octets) around the central atom in a given molecule or ion. This theory takes into account the optimal geometry to minimize repulsions by atoms and lone pairs of electrons in molecules; i.e., to place all electron pairs in positions of a given geometry as far apart as possible. There are seven relatively simple rules that can be used to give correct Lewis structures and geometries.

1. Count all the electrons ( $\mathrm{e}^{-} \mathrm{s}$ ) on the central atom. Include charges of ions in this count recalling to add electrons for negative charges and to subtract electrons for positive charges on the molecule/ion.
2. Count each halogen as donating one $\mathrm{e}^{-}$to the central atom. Hydrogen atoms are also considered to donate one electron to the central atom.
3. Count each oxygen as accepting two $\mathrm{e}^{-}$s from the central atom; thus, no electrons are added to the formal count of valence electrons.
4. Add the total of rules 1 and 2 and then divide by 2 to obtain the number of chemical bonds and lone pairs of electrons to the central atom.
5. Place all the pairs of electrons around the central atom according to the following geometries.

NOTE: In considering the geometry of a molecule or ion, double and triple bonds count as one pair of electrons only; that is, they take only one position in the geometrical structure. For example, the carbon atoms in ethylene would be considered to have three pairs of electrons because each C atom contributes to the double bond; the carbon atoms in acetylene would be considered to have only two pairs of electrons as each C atom is involved in the triple bond.
Examples of the total number of pairs of electrons and the energetically most stable geometry for each are provided in Table 5.1. A square planar geometry is also possible for a total of four pairs of electrons around the central atom, and a square pyramid geometry is possible for a total of five pairs of electrons around the central atom (one pair removed from the octahedral geometry in Table 5.1). These two geometries are important in transition metal chemistry (Chapters 8 and 9).
6. For the final molecular geometry, consider the atoms only (not the lone pairs of electrons); however, it is necessary to consider how the lone pairs of electrons would interact with other electron pairs to make subtle changes in geometry to the bond angle. The order of decreasing electron pair repulsive interactions is as follows:
lone pair-lone pair $>$ lone pair-bonding pair $>$ bonding pair-bonding pair.

Table 5.1 Typical numbers of electron pairs, geometry, and bond angles when using the valence shell electron pair repulsion theory

| Number of pairs | Geometry | Bond angles | Examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Linear | $180^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}, \mathrm{TICl}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ |
| 3 | Trigonal planar | $120^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| 4 | Tetrahedral | $109.47^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{4}, \mathrm{SiF}_{4}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| 5 | Trigonal bipyramid | $120^{\circ} ; 90^{\circ} ; 180^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{PF}_{5}, \mathrm{XeF}_{2}$ |
| 6 | Octahedral | $90^{\circ} ; 180^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{SF}_{6}, \mathrm{SiF}_{6}{ }^{2-}$ |
| 7 | Pentagonal bipyramid | $72^{\circ} ; 90^{\circ} ; 180^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{IF}_{7}$ |

For methane, ammonia, and water, there are a total of four pairs of electrons; methane has four bonding pairs, ammonia has three bonding pairs, and water has two bonding pairs. Initially, these three compounds would be considered to have tetrahedral geometry, but the lone pair-lone pair interactions for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ would be substantial and result in a smaller $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond angle as below.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{CH}_{4} & 109.47^{\circ} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \text { bond angles (no lone pairs) } \\
\mathrm{NH}_{3} & 106.8^{\circ} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \text { bond angles (one lone pair) } \\
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & 104.5^{\circ} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \text { bond angle (two lone pairs) }
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is a tetrahedral molecule; $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is a pyramidal molecule and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is a bent molecule. Figure 5.3 shows the number of arrangements for molecules and ions for two through six pairs of electrons around an atom.
7. When the octet rule is obeyed and the central atom is from the third row or below in the periodic table, the lone pair of " $s$ " electrons are inactive in forming bonds for $\mathrm{AX}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{AX}_{3}$ compounds (also called the inert "s" pair effect). Thus, bonds are formed primarily from the "p" orbitals. For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Te}$, the bond angles are $92^{\circ}, 91^{\circ}$, and $89.5^{\circ}$, respectively. $\mathrm{For}_{\mathrm{PH}_{3}}, \mathrm{AsH}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{SbH}_{3}$ the bond angles are $93.8^{\circ}, 91.8^{\circ}$, and $91.3^{\circ}$, respectively.

The trigonal bipyramid structure is an important case because the five geometric positions around the central atom are not equivalent based upon bond angles. The trigonal planar portion has three bonds to the central atom (the equatorial positions), which are shorter than the two bonds to the central atom that are above and below the trigonal plane (these are considered axial positions). Typically, these axial positions have atoms that are highly electronegative such as fluorine. For example, the molecule $\mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ would have one fluorine atom in each of the axial positions whereas the trigonal planar positions are occupied by one fluorine atom, one oxygen atom, and one lone pair of electrons.

The Lewis structure and valence shell electron pair repulsion theory models do not give us any information on the atomic orbitals that are used to form electron pair bonds. Two major theories have been used to formally describe bonding, and they are the VBT and MOT, which are described in the following sections.

### 5.2 Valence Bond Theory (VBT)

Wave functions can be used to describe the electrons that interact between atoms to form chemical bonds, and the solution of the wave functions will give information on the bond energy and the bond distance, which are


Figure 5.3 Possible geometrical arrangements for two through seven coordination to the central atom are given for the total number of electron pairs (bonding and lone pairs) with examples for each. In drawn structures, white circles represent the central atoms and the blue circles represent the terminal atoms bound to the central atom. Lone pairs are given as two black dots in the blue circles. Model structures $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{BeF}_{2}, \mathrm{BF}_{3}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right.$, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{PF}_{5}, \mathrm{SF}_{4}, \mathrm{ClF}_{3}, \mathrm{SF}_{6}, \mathrm{IF}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{XeF}_{4}$ ) produced using the version 8.0.10 HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program from Hypercube, Inc.
well known from experimental observations. Figure 5.4 shows the Morse curve for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, where the potential energy $(V)$ of the interaction of the two hydrogen atoms is plotted versus the internuclear distance of the two approaching atoms using Equation 5.1. $D_{\text {eq }}$ is the dissociation energy measured from the equilibrium position, and $\alpha$ is a constant for each molecule (in this case $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) that includes $D_{\text {eq }}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=D_{\mathrm{eq}}\left(1-e^{\alpha\left(r-r_{\mathrm{eq}}\right)}\right)^{2} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

At distances where the atoms are far apart there is no interaction, and as the atoms approach each other, they begin to interact to form a bond, which is where the potential energy reaches a minimum value at the bond length (or equilibrium distance) between the two hydrogen atoms. The bond dissociation energy or bond strength is the difference in energy between the separation of atoms at infinity and the potential energy minimum. For $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, the bond length is 74.2 pm and the bond energy is $436 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. As the internuclear separation decreases toward zero between atoms A and B, the potential energy increases dramatically due to repulsive forces.


Figure 5.4 Morse curve for the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule. The energies on the $y$-axis on the left are from Equation 5.1. The energies on the right $y$-axis indicate the zero point (dashed line) where the atoms do not form a bond and the potential energy minimum, which is the bond energy for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. As noted in the text, the circles are energies calculated for various valence bond wave function modifications; the triangles are energies calculated for various molecular orbital wave function modifications

### 5.2.1 $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and Valence Bond Theory

The simplest molecule is $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and it is possible to describe the various ways that the electrons will be around each atom in the molecule using VBT [3]. First, each electron remains with its original atom so is attracted by only one nucleus as in the following wave function with its corresponding detailed Lewis structure.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{(\mathrm{b})}=\psi_{(\mathrm{A})(1)} \psi_{(\mathrm{B})(2)} \\
\mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{A})(1)} \cdot \bullet \mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{B})(2)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $(\mathrm{A})(1)$ refers to atom A having electron 1 and $(\mathrm{B})(2)$ refers to atom $B$ having electron 2 with no sharing of electrons between the atoms. This bonding representation is not very effective as it results in a stabilization of only $24 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and a bond distance of 90 pm (\#1 on Figure 5.4).

Heitler and London [3] added a covalent interaction where each electron is shared between the two atoms so the nuclei cannot distinguish the two electrons, which must have opposite spin to occupy the same region of space between the two atoms in a chemical bond. This interaction is known as the Exchange Energy, and the corresponding wave function and Lewis structure are given as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{(\mathrm{b})}=\psi_{(\mathrm{A})(1)} \psi_{(\mathrm{B})(2)}+\left[\psi_{(\mathrm{B})(1)} \psi_{(\mathrm{A})(2)}\right] \text { or } \mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{A})(1)} \mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{B})(2)}+\mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{A})(2)} \mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{B})(1)} \\
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The bond energy resulting from this wave function is $303 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and the bond distance is 86.9 pm (\#2 on Figure 5.4). It is obvious that the sharing of electrons enhances the stability of the bond. The wave function for the exchange energy can be improved by the addition of shielding where electron 1 shields nucleus A from electron 2 and electron 2 shields nucleus B from electron 1. This improvement to the wave function gives a bond energy of $365 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and a bond distance of 74.3 pm (\#3 on Figure 5.4).

Addition of ionic interactions where both electrons reside on one atom but at different periods of time yields the following wave function.

$$
\psi_{(\mathrm{b})}=\psi_{(\mathrm{A})(1)} \psi_{(\mathrm{B})(2)}+\psi_{(\mathrm{B})(1)} \psi_{(\mathrm{A})(2)}+\lambda\left[\psi_{(\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{1})} \psi_{(\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{2})}+\psi_{(\mathbf{B})(\mathbf{1})} \psi_{(\mathbf{B})(\mathbf{2})}\right]
$$

Or

$$
\mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{A})(1)} \mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{B})(2)}+\mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{A})(2)} \mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{B})(1)}+\lambda\left[\mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{1})} \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{2})}+\mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{B})(\mathbf{1})} \mathbf{H}_{(\mathbf{B})(\mathbf{2})}\right]
$$

where $\lambda$ is a weighting factor to allow for more or less ionic bonding depending on the molecule under consideration. Ionic contributions improve the bond energy to $388 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (\#4 on Figure 5.4), which is a modest increase as two electrons around one H atom would experience some repulsive effects. The second term in Lewis structures is equivalent to the two resonance structures below:

$$
: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}^{-} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}^{+} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}^{+} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}:^{-}
$$

with the major covalent and ionic bonding contributions for the hydrogen molecule given as

$$
\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H} \leftrightarrow: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}^{-} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}^{+} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}^{+}+\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}:^{-}
$$

### 5.2.2 Ionic Contributions to Covalent Bonding

For molecules composed of atoms from the same element, it is clear that ionic contributions will not be very significant compared to the covalent contributions. Thus, the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond has no dipole moment or charge separation and is called a nonpolar molecule. However, for molecules composed of two atoms from different elements such as hydrogen and fluorine to form HF, the ionic contributions will become more important. This is readily seen by comparing the bond energies for the homonuclear diatomic molecules $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(432.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(154.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ with the heteronuclear molecule $\mathrm{HF}\left(565 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right.$; see Appendix 5.1 for a table of bond energies). Pauling [3] noticed that the bond energy for heteronuclear molecules such as HF was larger than the arithmetic mean of the bond energies for the two homonuclear diatomic molecules. This energy difference is called the ionic resonance energy and can be used to calculate the electronegativity difference between two atoms in a chemical bond. As shown in the calculation below, the bond energy (BE) for HF is almost twice that of the arithmetic mean of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ so there should be significant ionic bonding in the molecule HF.

$$
\Delta E=\mathrm{BE}_{\mathrm{HF}}-0.5\left(\mathrm{BE}_{\mathrm{HH}}+\mathrm{BE}_{\mathrm{FF}}\right)=565-0.5(432.0+154.8)=293.4
$$

Pauling [3] defined the electronegativity difference in electron volts (eV) between two atoms in a bond with Equation 5.2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mathrm{A}}-\chi_{\mathrm{B}}=0.102(E)^{0.5} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value for $\Delta E$ above for HF gives an electronegativity difference of 1.68. Assigning the electronegativity of fluorine as 3.98 , the electronegativity of hydrogen can be calculated to be 2.30 . This is close to the value in Table 3.7. The slight difference to the hydrogen atom value of 2.20 in Table 3.7 is due to the consideration of other experimental data for these atoms. For example, performing the same calculation for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(239.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ to form $\mathrm{HCl}\left(428.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ gives an electronegativity difference of 0.98 . The electronegativity difference for the H and Cl atoms given in Table 3.7 is 0.96 .

Because of the significant difference in electronegativity values between H and F , the covalent $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}$ bond has a dipole moment and thus partial ionic or polar character, which will permit HF to align to the respective
electric poles when placed in an electric field. The $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}$ bond is described as $\mathrm{H}^{\delta+}-\mathrm{F}^{\delta-}$ to indicate the polar nature of the bond. The partial charge can be calculated from the electric dipole moment, $\mu$, which is equal to the product of the charge at one end or pole of the molecule and the distance between the poles of the molecule, $d$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=q d \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dipole moment is given in Debye units where one Debye unit is $3.336 \times 10^{-30}$ Coulomb meter ( Cm ) and the charge of an electron is $1.6022 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$. The observed dipole moment and bond distance for HF are 1.98 Debye units $\left(6.60 \times 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}\right)$ and 91.7 pm , respectively, so the calculated charge, $q$, is $0.72 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$. Thus, the ionic charge as a fraction of an electron is $0.72 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C} /\left(1.6022 \times 10^{-19}\right.$ Celectron $\left.^{-1}\right)$ or 0.45 electron, and the percent ionic character is $45 \%$. HF could now be represented as $\mathrm{H}^{+0.45}-\mathrm{F}^{-0.45}$. A similar calculation for $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$ ( 1.03 Debye and 127.5 pm bond distance) shows that the percent ionic character is $16.8 \%$.The measurement of dipole moments is an excellent way to describe the polarity of a bond in diatomic molecules (as well as overall polarity in polyatomic molecules). When the dipole moment is larger, it is due to the greater ionic character in the bond and the charge separation in the bond.

### 5.2.3 Polyatomic Molecules and Valence Bond Theory

To describe polyatomic molecules, VBT developed the concept of hybridization to explain bonding. To describe the bonding in the molecule $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ requires an unpairing of the two electrons in the 2 s atomic orbital of Be so that there are two unpaired electrons to combine with the individual electrons from each hydrogen atom. Here one of the 2 s electrons is promoted (which costs some energy but is offset by removing electron-electron repulsions and the lowering of the 2 p orbital energies on mixing) to a vacant 2 p orbital so that there are two unpaired electrons, which can now combine with the electrons from the two hydrogen atoms. Two sp hybrid orbitals, which are equivalent in energy, form from the mixing.

$$
\frac{\uparrow \downarrow}{2 \mathrm{~s}^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{\uparrow}{2 \mathrm{~s}^{1}} \quad \frac{\uparrow}{2 \mathrm{p}_{z}{ }^{1}} \quad \overline{2 \mathrm{p}_{x}{ }^{0}} \quad \overline{2 \mathrm{p}_{y}{ }^{0}} \rightarrow \frac{\uparrow}{\mathrm{sp}} \quad \frac{\uparrow}{\mathrm{sp}} \quad \overline{2 \mathrm{p}_{x}{ }^{0}} \quad \overline{2 \mathrm{p}_{y}{ }^{0}}
$$

The energetics for mixing is qualitatively described in Figure 5.5 for the carbon atom. Because $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ is linear and the $z$ axis is the $C_{\infty}$ axis, the Be hybrid orbitals are termed sp hybrids and the bond angle is $180^{\circ}$. The same process describes the bonding in $\mathrm{BeF}_{2}$, but the electrons from F also come from the $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ atomic orbitals of the two F atoms.

The promotion of $s$ electrons into vacant $p$ orbitals in VBT is consistent with the VSEPR model approach, but provides further information on the atomic orbitals that are used in bonding. The promotion of s electrons into vacant d orbitals for elements in rows 3 and higher also permits expanded octets. Table 5.2 shows the


Figure 5.5 Simplified mixing of carbon atomic orbitals to give hybrid orbitals of equivalent energy for tetrahedral $\mathrm{CH}_{4}\left(s p^{3}\right)$, planar $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(s p^{2}\right)$, and linear $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}(s p)$

Table 5.2 Some of the possible geometries from hybrid orbitals composed from atomic orbitals

| Number of pairs | Geometry | Hybrid orbitals | Atomic orbitals combined |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Linear | $\mathrm{sp} ; \mathrm{sd}$ | $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}_{z} ; \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}_{z}{ }^{2}$ |
| 3 | Trigonal planar | $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}_{x}, \mathrm{p}_{y}$ |
| 4 | Tetrahedral | $\mathrm{sp}^{3} ; \mathrm{sd}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}_{x}, \mathrm{p}_{y^{\prime}}, \mathrm{p}_{z} ; \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}, \mathrm{~d}_{x y}$ |
| 5 | Trigonal bipyramid | $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}_{x}, \mathrm{p}_{y}, \mathrm{p}_{z^{\prime}}, \mathrm{d}_{z}{ }^{2}$ |
| 5 | Square pyramid | $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}$ | $\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}_{x}, \mathrm{p}_{y}, \mathrm{p}_{z}, \mathrm{~d}_{x}{ }^{2}-y^{2}$ |
| 6 | Octahedral | $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}_{x}, \mathrm{p}_{y}, \mathrm{p}_{z^{\prime}}, \mathrm{d}_{x}{ }^{2}-{ }^{2}, \mathrm{~d}_{z}{ }^{2}$ |

hybrid orbitals used for some important geometries where only s, p, and d orbitals are combined; combining these with f orbitals permits more bonds. The atomic orbitals, which comprise these sets of hybrid orbitals, are consistent with those found from a group theory analysis of bonding for the central atom (Section 4.6.4).

### 5.3 Molecular Orbital Theory (MOT)

In the MOT $[4,5]$, atomic orbitals from different atoms will be combined to form molecular orbitals, which will be filled according to Hund's rule and the Pauli exclusion principle as in atomic theory. The total number of molecular orbitals that are formed equals the sum of all the atomic orbitals available from each atom. Every atomic orbital that is available from each atom is used to form molecular orbitals so that the total energy of the molecule can be calculated. For bonding purposes, the principal atomic orbitals that are used to describe a molecule come from the valence or outer shell of each atom. These outer orbitals are called frontier orbitals and the combination of frontier molecular orbitals from one molecule with another molecule permits prediction of a symmetry allowed or symmetry forbidden (kinetically hindered) reaction when a reaction is thermodynamically favorable (see Section 5.4).

### 5.3.1 $\quad \mathbf{H}_{2}$

In VBT, the first molecule described was $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. However, the molecule ion $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$, which has one-electron shared between two H atoms, each with one 1s orbital, is described first. The simplest mathematical function that can describe this behavior in the form of an electronic wave function is the linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) to form molecular orbitals. There are two possible linear combinations. For $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$, electron 1 can reside in the linear positive combination of both 1 s orbitals (near both atoms) to form a molecular orbital, which has the following wave function.

$$
\psi_{(\mathrm{b} 1)}=\psi_{(\mathrm{A})(1)}+\psi_{(\mathrm{B})(1)}
$$

Here "b" indicates the orbital designated for bonding, A and B indicate the atoms, and 1 indicates the first electron. The other linear negative combination of these two orbitals is written as

$$
\psi_{(\mathrm{a} 1)}=\psi_{(\mathrm{A})(1)}-\psi_{(\mathrm{B})(1)}
$$

For $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$, electron 1 can reside in the negative linear combination of both orbitals where "a" indicates the orbital designated for antibonding, which is the absence or cancellation of bonding.

The general method for writing wave functions for all electrons using the linear combination of atomic orbitals is given in Equation 5.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\sum c_{i} \varphi_{i} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ equals each atomic orbital (e.g., s, p, d orbital) and $c$ is the coefficient for the original atomic orbital used in forming a molecular orbital. The coefficient is not normally specified when atoms from the same element are combined to form a molecule. A shorthand notation typically used is

$$
\psi_{(\mathrm{b})}=1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \psi_{(\mathrm{a})}=1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

where the actual atomic orbitals used in combination are given. Here for $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$, the 1s orbitals from each atom are specified. As in atomic theory, the square of each wave function gives the probability of finding the electron around each atom in the molecule.

For $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, a wave function is necessary for each electron; thus the mathematics becomes more complicated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{(\mathrm{b} 1)}=\psi_{(\mathrm{A})(1)}+\psi_{(\mathrm{B})(1)}, \text { where electron } 1 \text { can reside near both atoms } \\
& \psi_{(\mathrm{b} 2)}=\psi_{(\mathrm{A})(2)}+\psi_{(\mathrm{B})(2)}, \text { where electron } 2 \text { can reside near both atoms }
\end{aligned}
$$

where b equals the molecular orbital designated for each bonding electron. The complete wave function is then the product of the one-electron wave functions. Thus the result for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is,

$$
\psi_{(\mathrm{b})}=\psi_{(\mathrm{b} 1)} \Psi_{(\mathrm{b} 2)}=\left[\psi_{(\mathrm{A})(1)} \psi_{(\mathrm{B})(2)}+\psi_{(\mathrm{B})(1)} \psi_{(\mathrm{A})(2)}\right]+\left[\Psi_{(\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{1})} \Psi_{(\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{2})}+\Psi_{(\mathbf{B})(\mathbf{1})} \Psi_{(\mathbf{B})(\mathbf{2})}\right]
$$

Covalent contribution Ionic contribution
Note that this wave function explicitly gives both covalent and ionic interactions and only differs from the valence bond approach by the coefficient $\lambda$. Thus the ionic and covalent contributions appear to be equivalent. Solution of this wave function gives an energy of $260 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and a bond distance of 85 pm (see "a" in Figure 5.4). Addition of shielding effects as discussed in the VBT approach provides a potential energy of $337 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and a bond distance of 73 pm (see "b" in Figure 5.4).

To understand chemical bonding, it is important to provide the electron distribution in each of these orbitals. For simplicity, $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$is used and squaring the wave functions for the bonding and antibonding orbitals gives the following equations.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { bonding: } & \psi(\mathrm{b})=\sigma=1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}} ; & \psi^{2}(\mathrm{~b})=1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}+2\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}} 1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} \\
\text { antibonding: } & \psi(\mathrm{a})=\sigma^{*}=1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}} ; & \psi^{2}(\mathrm{a})=1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}-2\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}} 1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

### 5.3.1.1 Overlap Integral

The difference between these two equations is the cross term $S\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, which is known as the overlap integral and the sign of the cross term indicates the bonding type. Figure 5.6 shows the plots of these wave functions with their probability functions. These plots are from the radial distribution function for the hydrogen atom where $n=1$ and $l=0$. Figure 5.6 a shows the radial distribution function versus distance for hydrogen atoms A and B; here there is no linear combination of the two atomic orbitals. Figure 5.6 b shows the positive linear combination of the two 1 s orbitals from hydrogen atoms A and B to form a sigma ( $\sigma$ ) bond. Figure 5.6c shows a plot of the probability distribution function for Figure 5.6 b where the electron density is directly between the two nuclei. Figure 5.6 b and c shows that the electron density between the nuclei of the two hydrogen atoms is increased from that found in Figure 5.6a. Thus the overlap integral $S$ is greater than zero $(S>0)$.


Figure 5.6 (a) Plots of the individual radial distribution functions for each hydrogen atom in the absence of bonding. (b) Positive linear combination of the individual radial distribution functions to form a bond. (c) Probability plot for the positive linear combination. (d) Negative linear combination of the individual radial distribution functions to form an antibond. (e) Probability plot for the negative linear combination

Figure 5.6d shows the negative linear combination of the two 1s orbitals from the hydrogen atoms A and B to form a sigma antibond, which is represented as $\sigma^{*}$ where the $*$ indicates an antibond. The wave function goes through zero so there is a node. Figure 5.6 e shows the probability distribution plot for Figure 5.6d indicating that there is no electron density between the two; thus, the overlap integral $S$ is less than zero $(S<0)$. Figure 5.6 d and e shows that the electron density between the nuclei of the two hydrogen atoms is decreased compared to the individual atoms in Figure 5.6. The antibonding orbital is a repulsive situation. As will be shown later, the filling of electrons in antibonding orbitals during a chemical reaction can result in the breaking of a chemical bond. Later $S=0$ will be shown to be a nonbonding orbital.

Figure 5.7 shows a simplified molecular orbital description for the hydrogen molecule; there are three major items to describe. On the lower left is the shape of the orbital and the electron density contour for the bonding situation where electron density can be found between and around the two hydrogen atoms. In the


Figure 5.7 The molecular orbitals for the hydrogen molecule. On the left are the electron density contours (3-D isosurface maps) representing $90 \%$ probability ( 0.1 contour) of finding the electrons calculated at the AM1 level with HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0.10; the blue color indicates the positive part of the wave function and the black color indicates the negative part of the wave function (this convention will be used throughout the book). In the center is the molecular orbital energy diagram indicating the atomic orbitals used to form the molecular orbitals. On the right are 2-D sketches of the original orbitals from the hydrogen atoms to form the molecular orbitals
upper left is a representation for the antibonding situation where electron density cannot be found between the two hydrogen atoms; there is a nodal surface or plane indicated by the dashed line. On the lower right is a simplified sketch describing the positive linear combination of the two 1 s orbitals to overlap to form the bonding orbital. The upper right sketch is a description for the negative linear combination of the two 1 s orbitals to form the antibonding orbital. In the center is the normal representation for the energy level diagram, and the dashed lines between the atomic orbitals and the molecular orbitals indicate which atomic orbitals combine to form the molecular orbitals. The hydrogen 1 s orbitals are of equivalent energy, but when they combine, the bonding orbital is more stable and of lower energy than the two atomic orbitals used to combine it. The difference between the energy for the hydrogen atomic orbitals and the bonding orbital for the hydrogen molecule is known as the exchange energy $\left(\Delta E_{c}\right)$ and the two electrons pair up and occupy the molecular orbital. Likewise, the antibonding orbital is less stable than the two atomic orbitals as its energy is more positive than the hydrogen 1s orbitals. Because there are only two electrons total between the two hydrogen atoms, the antibonding orbital is not filled. The total number of bonds is called the bond order (B.O.) and can be calculated with the following equation.

$$
\text { Bond order }=(\# \text { bonding electrons }-\# \text { antibonding electrons }) / 2
$$

The bonding orbital is fully occupied and is called the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the antibonding orbital is unoccupied and called the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). Because the sign of the wave function for the HOMO is positive everywhere, it is symmetric to the center of inversion and given the subscript $\mathrm{g}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$. Because the sign of the wave function for the LUMO inverts to the center of inversion, it is antisymmetric to the center of inversion and is given the subscript $u\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{*}\right)$.

The energy level diagram in Figure 5.7 is commonly used to show the energetics of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Unfortunately the energies of the atomic orbitals and of the molecular orbitals are not in this description. Figure 5.8 shows


Figure 5.8 On the left is the UV photoelectron spectrum for the hydrogen molecule [all photoelectron spectra are excited with the He emission of 21.22 eV J. On the right are the energies of the HOMO and the LUMO orbitals for the hydrogen molecule; note the significant energy gap of 10.45 eV between the hydrogen atomic orbitals and the LUMO energy for the hydrogen molecule (Source: Turner 1970 [6], Figure 3.5. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)
a more detailed representation of the energies of the orbitals as well as the UV photoelectron spectrum of the hydrogen molecule, which gives one electronic transition with several lines due to molecular vibrations (discussed in the next section) compared to the single sharp peaks in the spectrum of the hydrogen atom (not shown) and the helium atom in Figure 3.15.

### 5.3.1.2 HOMO and LUMO Energies

Koopmans' theorem [4,5] states that the first ionization potential energy (IP) of a molecule is equal to the negative of the orbital energy of the highest occupied molecular orbital; likewise, the electron affinity (EA) of a molecule is equal to the negative of the orbital energy of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital. A table of HOMO and LUMO energies for many important small molecules and ions is given at the end of the chapter (Appendix 5.2).

$$
E_{\mathrm{HOMO}}=-\mathrm{IP} \quad E_{\mathrm{LUMO}}=-\mathrm{EA}
$$

Here, the energy of the HOMO for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is determined from the UV photoelectron spectrum and has a value centered at -15.45 eV due to the loss of the electron from the $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ bonding orbital.

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}
$$

The several sharp peaks composing this band are the result of the vibrations of the molecule ion $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$, which is formed on ionization of the hydrogen molecule. This feature is different from single atoms as shown in Figure 3.15 for the noble gases and as will be shown below for nonbonding orbitals in molecules that are not involved with bonding. Figure 5.9 shows a Morse curve with all the vibrational levels for the hydrogen molecule. Several peaks, which are represented by the four blue arrows of different height and thus energy, in a band or energy level indicate a bonding orbital whereas only one peak for an energy level indicates


Figure 5.9 The Morse curve for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ showing the vibrational levels possible along with the plot of the probability functions for the vibrational levels from 0 to 3. These levels are partially populated and give rise to several sharp vibrational energy peaks (only four are represented with blue arrows) for the hydrogen molecule ion ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$) UV photoelectron spectrum in Figure 5.7
no or negligible bonding. The energy difference between each of these peaks is in the IR region of the electromagnetic spectrum (Figure 1.2). The exchange energy ( $\Delta E_{c}$ ) in Figure 5.8 is found to be 1.85 or 3.70 eV when accounting for both electrons occupying the orbital, and this total energy is $358 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, which is close to that found in Figure 5.4. The LUMO is determined from the electron affinity of the hydrogen molecule and has an energy of -5.0 eV [7]. The difference in energy between the hydrogen atomic orbitals and the LUMO is 10.45 eV so the energy gap is significantly higher than the gap of 3.70 eV between the hydrogen atomic orbitals and the HOMO. These data indicate that putting an electron into the antibonding orbital would result in the cancellation of bonding and the breaking of the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond (see Sections 9.12-13). In effect, these energy differences show the repulsive effects between the nuclei when electrons occupy antibonding orbitals.

### 5.3.2 Types of Orbital Overlap

So far, only the formation of single bonds from the s orbitals has been considered. Figure 5.10 shows many possible orbital overlaps for the formation of sigma, pi $(\pi)$, and delta ( $\delta$ ) bonds where $S>0$. As noted above, $\sigma$ bonds have electron density on the internuclear axis between the two nuclei. The $\pi$ bonds have electron density above and below the internuclear axis such that two lobes of one orbital interact with two lobes of another orbital. The $\delta$ bonds also have electron density above and below the internuclear axis and are formed when two d orbitals combine such that all four lobes of each orbital interact with each other.
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Figure 5.10 Common types of atomic orbital overlap $(\mathrm{S}>0)$ to form bonds. The blue color indicates the positive sign of the atomic wave function whereas white indicates the negative side of the atomic weight function

### 5.3.3 Writing Generalized Wave Functions

For many molecules, it is possible to find atomic orbital combinations and relative energy level diagrams in the literature [8]. This applies to many small inorganic and organic molecules in particular. The following is a brief description of a useful qualitative approach for writing wave functions for a molecule using the linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) approach.

1. Choose a geometry for the molecule under study. VSEPR or valence bond descriptions can be useful in the choice.
2. Choose valence (outer shell) atomic orbitals (AOs) of similar energy and symmetry for overlap to form the combinations (e.g., bonds). The energies of the orbitals to be combined should be within about 6 eV $\left(579 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ for different atoms. This is a "rule of thumb." Here it is important to have information about the energies of the atomic orbitals to be used (knowing the experimental energies of the molecular orbitals that are formed is most useful).
3. Combine the AOs according to the signs of the wave functions for the orbitals. $\boldsymbol{S}>\boldsymbol{0}$ for bonding; $\boldsymbol{S}<\mathbf{0}$ for antibonding; $S=\mathbf{0}$ for nonbonding combinations. Sketch a diagram of the orbitals with their signs for the linear combinations to aid selections. Remember the number of molecular orbitals formed must equal the number of atomic orbitals at the start.
4. Write an MO energy level diagram with bonding choices at highest negative energy and antibonding at the lowest negative energy.
5. Fill the electrons into the MO energy level according to Hund's rule and the Pauli exclusion principle.
6. Calculate the bond order (the number of bonds) from

$$
\text { Bond order = }(\# \text { bonding electrons }-\# \text { anti-bonding electrons }) / 2
$$

As the bond order increases, the bond length decreases and the bond energy increases.

### 5.3.4 Brief Comments on Computational Methods and Computer Modeling

Although the discussion has involved the qualitative molecular orbital approach, there are powerful computational techniques to calculate the properties for molecules including solids. There are two main approaches to solving the Schrodinger equation numerically for polyatomic molecules using the linear combination of atomic orbital approach [4]. Ab initio methods calculate structures and molecular properties from first principles using the atomic orbitals from each of the atoms (Equation 5.4); the atomic orbitals chosen are referred to as a basis set. A correct molecular Hamiltonian is used and a solution is attempted (see Equation 3.10) without the use of experimental data to aid the calculation. The Hartree-Fock or self-consistent field (SCF) method is the primary approximation and is applied to all electron-electron repulsions. The wave functions for each electron are solved in an iterative manner so that the molecular orbitals are refined until an energy minimum, which no longer changes in value, is achieved; i.e., becomes self-consistent.

Semi-empirical methods solve the Schrodinger equation with a simpler Hamiltonian that uses approximations and adjustable parameters including experimental data to provide best fits. An important approach is the neglect or partial neglect of differential overlap terms; for example, many of the integrals from the complete wave function are not used in order to simplify the calculation. This makes the Schrodinger equation easier to solve and requires less computational time; however, these methods are not as accurate as the ab initio method. To overcome the use of the neglect of differential overlap approximation, empirical and adjustable parameters such as valence orbital ionization energies for the atoms (Table 5.3) are introduced into the Schrodinger equation to help achieve the best fit. In the book and especially the following discussion, results from the HyperChem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program (version 8.0.10) are presented as above in Figure 5.7. The primary semi-empirical method used is the AM1 method, which is recommended for most of the molecules discussed below.

Table 5.3 Valence Orbital lonization energies in electron volts (eV); many determined by ESCA. These ionization energies are not the successive potential energies given in Table 3.6 and are used as estimates in semi-empirical MO calculations

| Atom | 1 s | 2 s | 2 p | Atom | 3 s | 3 p | Atom | 4 s | 4 p |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | 13.6 |  |  | $\mathbf{N a}$ | 5.1 |  | $\mathbf{K}$ | 4.3 |  |
| $\mathbf{H e}$ | 24.6 |  |  | $\mathbf{M g}$ | 7.6 |  | $\mathbf{C a}$ | 6.1 |  |
| $\mathbf{L i}$ |  | 5.5 |  | $\mathbf{A l}$ | 11.3 | 5.9 | $\mathbf{Z n}$ | 9.4 |  |
| $\mathbf{B e}$ |  | 9.3 |  | $\mathbf{S i}$ | 14.9 | 7.7 | $\mathbf{G a}$ | 12.6 | 6.0 |
| $\mathbf{B}$ |  | 14.0 | 8.3 | $\mathbf{P}$ | 18.8 | 10.1 | $\mathbf{G e}$ | 15.6 | 7.6 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ |  | 19.4 | 10.6 | $\mathbf{S}$ | 20.7 | 11.6 | $\mathbf{A s}$ | 17.6 | 9.1 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ |  | 25.6 | 13.2 | $\mathbf{C l}$ | 25.3 | 13.7 | $\mathbf{S e}$ | 20.8 | 10.8 |
| $\mathbf{O}$ |  | 32.2 | 15.8 | $\mathbf{A r}$ | 29.2 | 15.8 | $\mathbf{B r}$ | 24.1 | 12.5 |
| $\mathbf{F}$ |  | 40.2 | 18.6 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{K r}$ | 27.5 | 14.3 |

Density functional theory (DFT) is an alternate third method to determining molecular structure and properties and is as powerful as the ab initio method. Here, the total energy depends on the total electron density rather than solving the energy of the wave function for each electron. DFT methods express the Schrodinger equation as a set of equations called the Kohn-Sham equations; these are also solved in an iterative manner until they are self-consistent in finding an energy minimum. The DFT method does not require as much computer time and total calculations as the ab initio method. Reference [9] gives a 50-year perspective of this method.

### 5.3.5 Homonuclear Diatomic Molecules ( $\mathbf{A}_{2}$ )

Using the generalized wave function approach, it is now possible to look at the possible formation of homonuclear diatomic molecules $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ starting with a combination of helium atoms through the elements in the second row of the periodic table.
$\mathrm{He}_{2}$ has the same linear combination of atomic orbitals as the hydrogen molecule as given below. Note that many notations can be used for the wave function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{(\mathrm{b})}=\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}=\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}=1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}} \\
& \psi_{(\mathrm{a})}=\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{*}=\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}=1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, only the bonding orbital is filled and it can be represented in shorthand notation as $\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2}$. $\mathrm{For}^{\mathrm{He}}{ }_{2}$ both the bonding and antibonding orbitals are completely filled and can be given in shorthand notation as $\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2}{\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}}^{* 2}=\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{* 2}=\mathrm{KK}$ where KK indicates the filling of the first energy level of the periodic table. Thus, $\mathrm{He}_{2}$ is predicted to not exist because the antibonding electrons cancel out the bonding electrons for a bond order of zero.
$\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ is similar to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Be}_{2}$ is similar to $\mathrm{He}_{2}$ as lithium and beryllium combine their outer 2s orbitals to form sigma bonding and antibonding orbitals. The linear combination of their atomic orbitals is given in the following wave functions.

$$
\psi_{(\mathrm{b})}=\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}=\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}=2 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+2 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}} ; \quad \psi_{(\mathrm{a})}=\sigma_{\mathrm{u}} *=\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}} *=2 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-2 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

The shorthand notation for $\mathrm{Li} i_{2}$ is $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2}$ or $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ and the shorthand notation for $\mathrm{Be}_{2}$ is $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}} *^{2}$ or $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{* 2}$. The energy level diagram for $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ is similar to that in Figures 5.7 and 5.8. $\mathrm{Be}_{2}$ is also predicted not to exist because the antibonding electrons cancel out the bonding electrons for a bond order of zero.

The linear combination of atomic orbitals for the molecules $\mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ requires combination of the three 2 p orbitals for these elements. In the absence of any $s-p$ mixing or hybridization, these can be given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}=2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{~A}}-2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{~B}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{g}} & \sigma^{*}{ }_{2 \mathrm{p}}=2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{~A}}+2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{~B}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{u}} * \\
\pi_{2 \mathrm{p} x}=2 \mathrm{p}_{x \mathrm{~A}}+2 \mathrm{p}_{x \mathrm{~B}}=\pi_{\mathrm{u}} & \pi^{*}{ }_{2 \mathrm{p} x}=2 \mathrm{p}_{x \mathrm{~A}}-2 \mathrm{p}_{x \mathrm{~B}}=\pi_{\mathrm{g}}^{*} \\
\pi_{2 \mathrm{p} y}=2 \mathrm{p}_{y \mathrm{~A}}+2 \mathrm{p}_{y \mathrm{~B}}=\pi_{\mathrm{u}} & \pi^{*}{ }_{2 \mathrm{p} y}=2 \mathrm{p}_{y \mathrm{~A}}-2 \mathrm{p}_{y \mathrm{~B}}=\pi_{\mathrm{g}}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 5.11 demonstrates the linear combination of the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$, the $\mathrm{p}_{y}$, and the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals. As the $z$ axis is the bond axis, the $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals are linearly combined to form a $\sigma$ bond and a $\sigma^{*}$. Because the p orbitals have ungerade symmetry, the sigma 2 p orbital $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)$ is formed by the negative combination of the two $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals. The sigma 2 p antibonding orbital $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)$ is formed by the positive combination of the two $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals. The $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ (and $p_{y}$ ) orbitals on each atom are parallel to each other and combine to form a $\pi$ bonding orbital ( $\pi_{\mathrm{p} x}$ ) and a $\pi$ antibonding $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{p} x}{ }^{*}\right)$ orbital. Here, the electron density is above and below the internuclear axis between the


Figure 5.11 Linear combination of the $2 p$ orbitals to give $\sigma$ and $\pi$ bonds and antibonds; only the $\pi$ bond and antibond on the x -axis are shown. The z axis is the bond axis, which contains the $\mathrm{C}_{\infty}$ axis of rotation. The predicted energy level diagram is in the center, and the molecular orbitals with 0.10 electron density contours are on the right (calculated for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10 from Hypercube, Inc.)
two atoms. A positive linear combination of the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ (and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ ) orbital results in overlap so that $S>0$; a negative linear combination of the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ (and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ ) orbital does not result in overlap of the orbitals as $S<0$; note the node between the atoms in Figure 5.11. Because both the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals are perpendicular to the bond axis, the linear combinations result in orbitals of the same energy; that is, they are called degenerate. Note that the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals have electron density pointed away from each atom. The final result of these linear combinations is the formation of six molecular orbitals from six p atomic orbitals; there are three bonding orbitals and three antibonding orbitals. Figure 5.11 also shows the notation of the molecular orbitals based on the sign of the wave function to the center of inversion. The $\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}, \pi_{\mathrm{p} x}{ }^{*}$, and $\pi_{y}{ }^{*}$ orbitals are called gerade whereas the $\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}$, $\pi_{\mathrm{p} x}$, and $\pi_{\mathrm{p} y}$ orbitals are called ungerade.

The arrangement of the eight molecular orbitals formed from the 2 s and 2 p atomic orbitals into energy levels requires data from electronic absorption spectroscopy and photoelectron spectroscopy as well as from quantum mechanical calculations. Figure 5.12 shows two energy arrangements for the eight molecular orbitals that explain the bonding of the diatomic molecules in the second row of the periodic table.

For the diatomic molecules $\mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, the arrangement of the molecular orbitals according to their energies is on the left of Figure 5.12. For the diatomic molecules $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, the arrangement is on the right of Figure 5.12. The major noticeable difference between these arrangements is the ordering of the energies for the $\pi_{\mathrm{u}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ bonding molecular orbitals. $\mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ are formed from elements that have low electronegativity or effective nuclear charge to radius ratio $\left(Z_{\text {eff }} / r\right)$. Inspection of Figure 3.17 and Table 5.3 indicates that the energy difference between the 2 s and 2 p orbitals for $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and N is significantly smaller than the energy difference between the $2 s$ and 2 p orbitals for O and F. For B, C, and N, the $2 s$ and 2 p orbitals on each atom are able to mix via hybridization prior to forming molecular orbitals. Because the energy difference between the 2 s and 2 p orbitals is so great for O and F , hybridization is not possible and the 2 s orbitals and 2 p orbitals for
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } \mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}: \text { Low } \chi, \mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }} / \mathrm{r} & \text { (b) } \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}: \operatorname{High} \chi, \mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }} / \mathrm{r}\end{array}$


Figure 5.12 Qualitative ordering of the energy levels for the diatomic molecules of the elements in the second row of the periodic table
each atom only interact with their counterpart on the other atom. The energy order and filling of the molecular orbitals for the diatomic molecules from $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ is in Figure 5.13.The blue solid lines and arrows for the electrons in the $3 \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbital show the change in ordering of the energy levels with the $1 \pi_{\mathrm{u}}$ on increasing atomic number across the second row.

The results from Figure 5.13 indicate the following for each diatomic molecule of the second row of the periodic table. The filling of electrons follows Hund's rule and the Pauli Exclusion Principle as was done in the filling of atomic orbitals.
$\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ has one bond and is diamagnetic. The actual bond energy is $110 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ with a bond distance of 267 pm . The shorthand notation for filling of the molecular orbitals is $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2}$ or $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$.
$\mathrm{Be}_{2}$ is predicted not to exist as there are an equivalent number of bonding and antibonding electrons. The shorthand notation for filling of the molecular orbitals is $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}} *^{2}$ or $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{* 2}$.
$\mathrm{B}_{2}$ has one bond and is paramagnetic (two electrons for a spin multiplicity of 3 ) as the $1 \pi_{\mathrm{u}}$ bonding orbitals are filled before the $3 \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbital. The actual bond energy is $272 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ with a bond distance of 159 pm . The shorthand notation for filling of the molecular orbitals is $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{* 2} \pi_{x}{ }^{1} \pi_{y}{ }^{1}$ or $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{* 2} \pi_{\mathrm{ux}}{ }^{1} \pi_{\mathrm{uy}}{ }^{1}$.
$\mathrm{C}_{2}$ has two bonds and is diamagnetic as the $1 \pi_{\mathrm{u}}$ bonding orbitals are again filled before the $3 \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbital. The actual bond energy is $602 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ with a bond distance of 124 pm . The shorthand notation for filling of the molecular orbitals is $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}} *^{2} \pi_{x}^{2} \pi_{y}^{2}$ or $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{u}} *^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{u} x}{ }^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{u} y}{ }^{2}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}$ has three bonds and is diamagnetic as the $1 \pi_{\mathrm{u}}$ bonding orbitals and the $3 \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbital are now all filled. The actual bond energy is $941 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ with a bond distance of 110 pm . The shorthand notation for filling of the molecular orbitals is $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{* 2} \pi_{x}{ }^{2} \pi_{y}{ }^{2} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{pz}}{ }^{2}$ or $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{* 2} \pi_{\mathrm{ux}}{ }^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{uy}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{gpz}}{ }^{2}$.
$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has two bonds and is paramagnetic (two electrons for a spin multiplicity of 3 ) as the $1 \pi_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ antibonding orbitals are now partially filled. The actual bond energy is $493 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ with a bond distance of 121 pm . Note that the HOMO and LUMO orbitals are the same for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ due to the partial filling of the $1 \pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ * orbitals. These are called singly occupied molecular orbitals (SOMO) and have an impact on the reactivity of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. The shorthand notation for filling of the molecular orbitals is $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{* 2} \pi_{x}{ }^{2} \pi_{y}{ }^{2} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{p} z}{ }^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{p} x}{ }^{* 1} \pi_{\mathrm{p} y}{ }^{* 1}$ or $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{* 2} \pi_{\mathrm{up} x}{ }^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{upy} y}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{gg} z}{ }^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{g} x}{ }^{* 1} \pi_{\mathrm{g} y}{ }^{* 1}$.


Figure 5.13 Orbital diagrams for the diatomic molecules of the second row of the periodic table ( $\mathrm{Li} \mathrm{i}_{2}$ through to $F_{2}$ ). Energies from ESCA data [6] for $N_{2}, O_{2}$ and from IP data for $L i_{2}, C_{2}$, and $F_{2}$ (Appendix 5.2). AM1 level calculations are used for $\sigma_{g}$ and $\sigma_{u}{ }^{*}$ orbital energies for the other molecules, and $B e_{2}$ orbital energies are calculated without a bonding interaction
$\mathrm{F}_{2}$ has one bond and is diamagnetic. The actual bond energy is $138 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ with a bond distance of 142 pm . The shorthand notation for filling of the molecular orbitals is $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{* 2} \pi_{x}{ }^{2} \pi_{y}{ }^{2} \sigma_{2 \mathrm{pz}}{ }^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{p} x}{ }^{* 2} \pi_{\mathrm{p} y}{ }^{* 2}$ or $\mathrm{KK} \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{* 2} \pi_{\mathrm{upx}}{ }^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{upy}}{ }^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{gpz}}{ }^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{gx}}{ }^{* 2} \pi_{\mathrm{gy}}{ }^{* 2}$.
$\mathrm{Ne}_{2}$ is not shown but is predicted not to exist as there is an equivalent number of bonding and antibonding electrons, and all orbitals are completely filled.

The prediction of paramagnetism for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in accordance with experimental data was a major reason for the acceptance of the molecular orbital approach. The X-ray and UV photoelectron spectra of these diatomic molecules provided energies for the molecular orbitals and confirmed the ordering of the molecular orbitals in Figures 5.12 and 5.13. The experimental results above also show the effect of increased bond energy and decreased bond distance with increased bond order or number of bonds. Comparing the bond energy and bond distance of the diatomic molecules with single bonds $\left[\mathrm{Li}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{F}_{2}\right]$ shows that $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ has the highest bond energy, which is likely due to both electrons being in different orbitals and having the same electron spin so that repulsive effects are minimized. The bond distance in molecules with only one bond decreases for $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$, $\mathrm{B}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ in accordance with the increased effective nuclear charge on going across a row.


Figure 5.14 Atomic orbitals (left) combined to form the molecular orbitals of $N_{2}$ on the right ( 0.10 contour plots produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10). The UV photoelectron spectrum correlates with the ordering in Figure 5.12A [10]. The shorthand notation ( $1 \sigma, 2 \sigma$, etc.) is described in the next section (5.3.6) (Source: Turner 1970 [6], Figure 3.5. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)

Figure 5.14 shows the UV photoelectron spectrum for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$. The spectrum for the $\pi$ bond system shows that these bonds are slightly lower in energy than the $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbital formed from the combination of the nitrogen atom $2 p_{z}$ orbitals. In addition, it also shows the expected fine structure for strong bonding as observed for $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$above. The spectrum confirms that the HOMO orbital is the $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbital, which is weakly bonding due to the lack of detailed fine structure. In support of weak bonding, the bond distance for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is 109.76 pm and for $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$is 111.6 pm and the loss of bonding is $102 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ from the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ value of $941 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ( $11 \%$ loss in bonding).The low reactivity of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ toward donating electrons is related to this weakly bonding orbital and its very stable energy. The $\sigma_{u} *$ orbital is weakly antibonding and the $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ is bonding [5, 6]. The group theory notation for the molecular orbitals is also given in Figure 5.14 (confirm using Table 4.6 and the $D_{\infty h}$ character table in Chapter 4, Appendix 4.1 and compare with Figure 5.48 for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ).

### 5.3.6 Heteronuclear Diatomic Molecules and Ions (AB; HX) - Sigma Bonds Only

A description of heteronuclear diatomic molecules in the absence of $\pi$ bonding is first considered. Here one of the atoms has a higher effective nuclear charge or electronegativity than the other atom. In this case, the generalized wave functions require the use of coefficients for each atomic orbital from each atom to adequately describe the molecular orbitals that are formed. For example, the combination of the wave functions of atoms A and B to form a bonding orbital, $\psi_{b}$, would have the following form.

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{b}}=c_{\mathrm{A}} \psi_{\mathrm{A}}+c_{\mathrm{B}} \psi_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

When A is the more electronegative atom, the bonding orbital would have more contribution from atom A. Thus, $\psi_{\mathrm{A}}$ will have assigned to it the coefficient of higher value, which is $c_{\mathrm{A}}$ so that $c_{\mathrm{A}}>c_{\mathrm{B}}$. The wave functions of atoms A and B to form an antibonding orbital would have a similar form. Here the coefficient of


Figure 5.15 Relative molecular orbital energy diagrams for a diatomic molecule, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, compared to a polar molecule HCl and a highly polar molecule HF
higher value is assigned to the wave function for atom B , as the antibonding orbital will have more contribution from atom B.

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}=c_{\mathrm{B}} \psi_{\mathrm{A}}-c_{\mathrm{A}} \psi_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

Figure 5.15 shows a schematic diagram comparing a homonuclear diatomic molecule such as $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with two heteronuclear diatomic molecules with different electronegativity. $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Cl}$ would have a smaller electronegativity difference than $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$. The exchange energy, $\Delta E_{c}$, decreases with increasing electronegativity difference between atoms A and B because ionic bonding or polar character in the bond increases as shown above for $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$.

The first molecule described is $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$, and only the valence atomic orbitals are needed. The hydrogen atom has one 1 s orbital and the chlorine atom has one 3 s orbital and three 3 p orbitals. Figure 5.16 shows the energies of all the atomic orbitals as well as the bonding and nonbonding molecular orbitals formed from their combination. On the right of Figure 5.16 are the 3-D isosurface electron density plots of the five orbitals at the 0.05 contour level. The bonding and antibonding combination from the atomic orbitals are also drawn, and the dashed vertical line indicates the node of the antibonding orbital. The hydrogen atom 1 s orbital combines with the $3 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital of the chlorine atom (note that these two orbitals are very close in energy) to form a sigma bond (the $2 \sigma$ MO that exhibits a broad peak with vibrational structure). Likewise, these two orbitals also combine to form an antibonding sigma orbital ( $3 \sigma$ ), which is the LUMO orbital. Although the $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}_{x}$, and $p_{y}$ orbitals from the chlorine atom are not involved with bonding to a significant extent, the $1 \sigma$ MO shows that there is some electron density near the hydrogen atom's 1 s orbital. This minor amount of bonding character likely results from hybridization or $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{p}$ mixing of the Cl atom's orbitals. The HOMO orbitals are the $\pi$ orbitals ( $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals from the chlorine atom) and are thus nonbonding orbitals.

The generalized wave functions for the bonding and antibonding orbitals in HCl would have the following form where the coefficients for the H and Cl orbitals would follow $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Cl}}>\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}}$ as chlorine is more electronegative than hydrogen. The sketches of the molecular orbitals are drawn to show the relative contribution (by size) of the atomic orbitals; i.e., a larger contribution from the atomic orbital with the largest coefficient.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{(\mathrm{b})}=\sigma=2 \sigma=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Cl}}\left(3 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{Cl}}\right) \\
& \psi_{(\mathrm{a})}=\sigma^{*}=3 \sigma=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Cl}}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(3 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{Cl}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because HCl is composed of two different atoms, the use of gerade or ungerade in shorthand naming of orbitals is not needed so the following is a convention for the numbering and naming of the molecular orbitals. Here the first $\sigma$-like orbital is given the number 1 for the first valence orbital. Because this orbital comes from the chlorine 3 s orbital, it is a nonbonding orbital. The other $\sigma$ orbitals are increased accordingly. The first $\pi$


Figure 5.16 The combination of the hydrogen and chlorine atomic orbitals to form HCl . The $\mathrm{C}_{\infty}$ axis is the z axis. The atomic orbital energies are from ESCA, and the UV photoelectron spectrum of HCl is shown; arrows indicate the orbitals of HCl . To the right of the chlorine atomic orbitals are line drawings for both the bonding and antibonding orbitals. To the far right are orbitals produced with HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0.10 (Source: Turner 1970 [6], Figure 3.20. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)
orbitals are given the number 1 and any subsequent $\pi$ orbitals of higher energy are given the number 2 . (In some texts, the $1 \sigma$ orbital will be given the symbol $5 \sigma$ when the chlorine 1 s and 2 s orbitals are given the $1 \sigma$ and $3 \sigma$ designations, respectively.)

There are several molecules and ions that are isoelectronic with the HCl molecule and their molecular orbitals will have similar characteristics as shown in Figure 5.16. These chemical species include $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{HI}$, $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$. Any differences for these isoelectronic species are attributed to the energies of the originating atomic orbitals that form the resulting molecular orbitals. Another important chemical species that would have this MO arrangement is the $\mathrm{OH} \cdot$ (hydroxyl radical) where one electron is removed from one of the two $1 \pi$ orbitals, thus making this a very reactive species. Figure 5.17 a shows the energies of the HOMO orbitals for the hydrogen halides and the HOMO orbitals for the anions, $\mathrm{X}^{-}$. The gap between the energies for the hydrogen halide HOMO orbitals and the HOMO of their respective anions is greater than 6 eV ; the large differences are an indication of the hardness of the halogens. The energy gap between the halogen species decreases in the order fluorine $>$ chlorine $>$ bromine $>$ iodine and is consistent with the hardness for the halogens. Figure 5.17 b shows a similar pattern for water, hydrogen peroxide, oxygen, and their anions. Note that removing hydrogen atoms from a molecule results in a new HOMO that is unstable relative to the parent compound. In the case of a neutral molecule such as $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, the addition of an electron(s) results in the HOMO becoming more unstable relative to the parent compound. The more unstable or higher in energy the HOMO is, the better it will be as an electron pair donor or nucleophile (Figure 5.17 b). Note that $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$has a higher HOMO energy than all of the halide ions so is a better electron donor.


Figure 5.17 (a) Comparison of the HOMO energies for HX compounds with the orbital energies of their anions; although not drawn all orbitals are filled with a pair of electrons. (b) Comparison of the HOMO energies for water, hydrogen peroxide, and oxygen and their anions

### 5.3.7 Heteronuclear Diatomic Molecules and Ions (AB) - Sigma and Pi Bonds

There are several heteronuclear diatomic molecules and ions that are important in the environment. Among these are carbon monoxide (CO), cyanide ion $\left(\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right)$and nitric oxide (NO). Carbon monoxide occurs naturally and is produced during incomplete hydrocarbon combustion. The cyanide ion is a degradation product during the decomposition of the peptide bond in natural organic matter. Nitric oxide is also produced during incomplete hydrocarbon combustion $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right.$ reacts with $\left.\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ and is an intermediate in many biological processes (section 12.7). In this section, CO , which is isoelectronic with $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$, is described in detail.

Carbon monoxide is formed from the combination of the valence carbon and oxygen atomic orbitals, and for the moment, no s-p mixing or hybridization of the oxygen or carbon atoms is considered. Figure 5.18 shows the energies of both the carbon and oxygen 2 s and 2 p orbitals. The form of the wave functions and distribution of their energies would be close to what is shown in Figure 5.12a, but with some differences. Because the oxygen 2 s orbital is extremely stable and about 13 eV lower in energy compared to the carbon 2 s orbital, negligible combination of the oxygen 2 s orbital with any of the carbon atomic orbitals is predicted, and this 2 s orbital is assigned as a nonbonding molecular orbital in carbon monoxide. There are now seven atomic orbitals remaining for combination to form seven molecular orbitals. The oxygen 2 p orbitals are of similar energy to all the other carbon atomic orbitals. To form a $\sigma$ bonding molecular orbital, the 2 s orbital of carbon will combine with the $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital of oxygen as shown in Figure 5.18. A corresponding $\sigma^{*}$ also results. This leaves the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and the $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals from oxygen to react with the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and the $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals from carbon


Figure 5.18 Molecular orbital energy diagram for CO with sketches of the atomic orbitals used to form the molecular orbitals. No s-p mixing or hybridization for the oxygen atom is considered. The size of the atomic orbitals indicates their relative contribution to the molecular orbital.
to form two $\pi$ bonding orbitals and two $\pi$ antibonding orbitals. The remaining carbon $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital becomes a nonbonding sigma orbital $\left(\sigma^{\mathrm{nb}}\right)$, and is the HOMO for carbon monoxide. This HOMO is used in bonding as an electron donor. Because there are two $\sigma$ nonbonding orbitals and three $\sigma$ bonding orbitals, the bond order is 3. This molecular orbital analysis indicates that in the HOMO, the carbon atom is negative and the oxygen atom positive in carbon monoxide, which is consistent with the Lewis octet rule and the formal charges of each atom. The LUMO orbitals have significant contribution from the carbon atom and are $\pi^{*}$ orbitals, which are able to accept electrons ( $\pi$ acceptors; Section 8.6.3) when bound to transition metals in low oxidation states; e.g., $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ (Section 9.9) and for abiotic organic compound formation (Section 9.13.4).

The shorthand notation for numbering and naming of the molecular orbitals for CO is similar to that described above for HCl . The first $\sigma$-like orbital is given the number 1 and all higher energy $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{*}$ orbitals increase accordingly. Because the $1 \sigma$ orbital comes from the oxygen 2 s orbital, it is a nonbonding orbital. The first $\pi$ orbitals are given the number 1 and the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals are given the number 2 (In some texts, the $1 \sigma^{\mathrm{nb}}$ orbital will be given the symbol $3 \sigma^{\mathrm{nb}}$ when the 1 s orbitals of carbon and oxygen are explicitly considered).

This orbital analysis is not entirely consistent with the UV photoelectron spectrum of CO, which is given in Figure 5.19 along with the actual molecular orbital energy level diagram, the sketch of the atomic orbitals that are combined to form the molecular orbitals, and the shape of the molecular orbitals. The resulting molecular orbitals also show the relative contribution of the carbon and oxygen atomic orbitals on combination. The vibrational fine structure or extra peaks for the $1 \pi$ orbitals in the UV photoelectron spectrum indicate that they are strongly bonding (similar to $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$above). The $2 \sigma$ orbital is slightly bonding rather than strongly bonding as shown in Figure 5.18, but the $3 \sigma$ orbital has mainly one sharp peak and is nonbonding as expected. The $1 \sigma$ orbital is primarily a bonding orbital as it shows a broad peak with an energy centered at -40 eV , and is much more stable than the 2 s orbital of the oxygen atom at -32.2 eV . Thus, $s-\boldsymbol{p}$ mixing or hybridization of the oxygen atom 2 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals occurred [an equal mixing of the oxygen 2 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals would result in an energy of 24 eV for the two hybridized orbitals, and the energies of these hybridized oxygen orbitals will


Figure 5.19 UV photoelectron spectrum for CO with the energy level diagram along with the atomic orbital combinations from Figure 5.18 used to form the molecular orbitals, which are on the right ( 0.1 contour leve); carbon is blue and hydrogen white; produced with HyperChem ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ version 8.0.10). The assignment of the peaks and the molecular orbitals demonstrate s-p mixing or hybridization of the oxygen (Source: Turner 1970 [6], Figure 3.10. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)
be comparable to the carbon orbitals]. These hybridized orbitals will form two group orbitals (Sections 4.7 and 5.5.2) that will combine with the carbon atom 2 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals; thus, all the $\sigma$ orbitals will have some contribution from the carbon and oxygen 2 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ atomic orbitals. The $\pi$ bonds are more oxygen like so oxygen orbitals are drawn larger whereas the $\pi^{*}$ are more carbon like so carbon orbitals are drawn larger. The HOMO $3 \sigma^{\mathrm{nb}}$ orbital ( -14.1 eV ) is largely nonbonding and more carbon like; thus, the carbon atom donates the pair of electrons in a reaction. The analysis indicates that the bond order for CO is still three.

The cyanide ion and nitric oxide will have similar molecular orbital energy diagrams and molecular orbital shapes. $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$is isoelectronic with CO and has the same Lewis octet structure with the carbon atom having a negative charge and the nitrogen atom being neutral. For both $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$and CO , it is the carbon atom that will bind with iron in hemoglobin, resulting in asphyxiation. Comparing the molecular orbital diagrams of CO (Figure 5.19) with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (Figure 5.14) gives an indication why $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is unreactive; the HOMO for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is a weak $\sigma$ bonding orbital whereas the HOMO for CO is a $\sigma$ nonbonding orbital that has negative charge on the C atom. Thus, for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to donate a pair of electrons requires loss of bond energy between the two nitrogen atoms, which is not the case for CO .

It is also useful to compare the ionization energies for CO and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with the diatomic molecules $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and NO to show the importance of the energies of the HOMOs. For NO, there is one extra electron in one of the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals so the molecule is paramagnetic. Likewise for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, there are two extra electrons, one in each of the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals so the molecule is paramagnetic.

The relevant information for $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and NO are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{CO}=\mathrm{Be}_{2} \pi^{4} \sigma^{2}{ }_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{nb}} & 112.8 \mathrm{pm} ; 3 \text { bonds; } \mathrm{IE}=-14.10 \mathrm{eV} \\
\mathrm{~N}_{2}=\mathrm{Be}_{2} \pi^{4} \sigma^{2}{ }_{2 \mathrm{pz}} & 110 \mathrm{pm} ; 3 \text { bonds; } \mathrm{IE}=-15.58 \mathrm{eV} \\
\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{Be}_{2} \sigma^{2}{ }_{2 \mathrm{p}} \pi^{4} \pi^{2} * & 121 \mathrm{pm} ; 2 \text { bonds; } \mathrm{IE}=-12.07 \mathrm{eV} \\
\text { vsNO }=\mathrm{Be}_{2} \sigma^{2}{ }_{2 \mathrm{p}} \pi^{4} \pi^{1} * & 115 \mathrm{pm} ; 2.5 \text { bonds; } \mathrm{IE}=-9.26 \mathrm{eV}
\end{array}
$$

Loss of an electron from each of these molecules shows significant differences in the ionization energy of the HOMO orbitals. For $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, an electron is lost from a weak $\sigma$ bonding orbital and results in loss of bond energy; in CO, the electron is lost from a nonbonding orbital so there is no loss of bond energy. For $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and NO , an electron is lost from a $\pi^{*}$ orbital and this electron loss results in increasing the bond order (with a decrease in the bond distance) and a partial gain of bond energy that helps to compensate for the energy required to remove the electron.

Similarly, when oxygen is reduced, it accepts an electron into its $\pi^{*}$ orbital to form a superoxide ion, and there is a decrease in bond order to 1.5 . On accepting a second electron, the peroxide ion forms and the bond order becomes 1.0. In both cases, the bond distance increases.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-} \text {(superoxide) } & 126 \mathrm{pm} ; 1.5 \text { bonds } \\
\mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-} \text { (peroxide) } & 149 \mathrm{pm} ; 1.0 \text { bond }
\end{array}
$$

### 5.4 Understanding Reactions and Electron Transfer (Frontier Molecular Orbital Theory)

It is possible to predict reactions between molecules or electron transfer between molecules once the highest occupied molecular orbitals and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals are available for these molecules. The following rules were developed by Pearson [5, 11].

1. The highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO) donate electrons and the molecule acts as a reductant. The lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO) accept electrons and the molecule acts as an oxidant. Electrons flow from the HOMO to the LUMO. For two-electron transfer reactions, a Lewis base donates to a Lewis acid (also section 7.7).
2. The symmetry of the HOMO and the LUMO must be similar for good overlap or a bonding interaction to occur; that is, the molecular orbitals must be positioned for good overlap.
3. The energies of the HOMO and LUMO must be comparable for net positive overlap, and the energy of the LUMO should be lower than that of the HOMO or no more than 6 eV above the HOMO. See Appendix 5.2 for a table of HOMO and LUMO energies.
4. For electron transfer to occur from the HOMO to LUMO, the net effect of electron transfer must correspond to bonds being made and broken. The bonds being made or broken must be consistent with the expected end products of the reaction.

If all these criteria are met, then a reaction is termed symmetry allowed. If not, the reaction is termed symmetry forbidden.

For electron transfer processes (see Marcus theory, Section 9.7.1), items 1-3 above must occur to be symmetry allowed. For electron transfer processes, bonds can also be broken, but many electron transfer reactions only involve electron transfer from one molecule or ion to another.

### 5.4.1 Angular Overlap

To illustrate whether there is proper symmetry or overlap and the combination of orbitals, Figure 5.19 demonstrates three possible interactions of two p orbitals from two different atoms, M and L. Figure 5.19a shows two p orbitals on the $z$ axis interacting head on to form a linear $\sigma$ bond $\left(S_{\sigma}\right)$. The $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbitals have an angular distribution function based on the cosine function. The overlap integral for M bonding with L is defined as $S_{\mathrm{ML}}$ and is related to $S_{\sigma}$ by the equation

$$
S_{\mathrm{ML}}=S_{\sigma} \cos \theta
$$

In Figure $5.20 \mathrm{a}, \theta$ is $0^{\circ}$ and $\cos \theta$ equals 1 , which is the maximum overlap possible; that is, $S_{\mathrm{ML}}=S_{\sigma}$. In Figure 5.20 b , an angular $\sigma$ bond is possible when $\theta$ is $45^{\circ}$ and $S_{\mathrm{ML}}=0.707 S_{\sigma}$, indicating that the bond is only $70 \%$ as strong as a linear $\sigma$ bond. When the two orbitals ( $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ versus $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ or $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ versus $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ ) are at an angle of $90^{\circ}$ (Figure 5.20 c ), $\cos \theta$ equals 0 and there is no possible bonding interaction ( $S=0$ ). This latter case is known as the orthogonality of the p orbitals and the interaction is symmetry forbidden.

There are numerous possibilities for molecular orbital overlap, and Figure 5.21 provides a number of possible interactions. Figure 5.21 c shows another nonbonding interaction that might occur when an orbital on the $z$ axis from $S_{2}{ }^{2-}$ would interact with a metal, $\mathrm{M}, d_{x z}$ orbital.

### 5.4.2 $\quad \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$

A symmetry-allowed reaction will have no activation energy when the HOMO is of higher energy than the LUMO; that is, the electrons will flow to a more stable orbital. An example of this type of reaction is the acid-base neutralization reaction of the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from a strong acid with $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from a strong base, which is an instantaneous reaction.

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

This reaction also describes the proton affinity (PA, Section 7.6) for the hydroxide ion, which is isoelectronic with HCl (Figure 5.16). Figure 5.22a shows the $\mathrm{H}^{+} 1 \mathrm{~s}$ atomic orbital, which has an energy of -13.6 eV , and the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$nonbonding $1 \pi$ or $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital, which has an energy of -1.825 eV . Here, the flow of the electrons is from the


Figure 5.20 (a) linear sigma bond between $2 p_{z}$ orbitals; (b) angular sigma bond between $2 p_{\mathrm{z}}$ orbitals; and (c) nonbonding sigma interaction between $2 p_{z}$ orbitals


Figure 5.21 Some examples of (a) an angular $\sigma$ bond between two diatomic molecules, ( $b$ ) the $\pi$ bond between a $p_{\mathrm{x}}$ orbital and a $d_{\mathrm{xz}}$ orbital, (c) a nonbond between a $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the diatomic molecule with a d orbital from a metal, (d) and a linear $\sigma$ bond between a $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of a diatomic molecule and a $p_{z}$ orbital of an atom (results in a redox reaction)

(a)

Figure 5.22 (a) The orbitals and their energies from the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$involved in bonding to form water. (b) The respective orbitals that are used to form water including the hydroxide ion $1 \pi \mathrm{HOMO}$ at the 0.1 contour level produced with HyperChem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ version 8.0.10. For this figure and the discussion of all linear molecules, the Cartesian coordinate system will be the same
$\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$so the reaction is a downhill reaction with no activation energy $\left(E_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ to overcome. Figure 5.22 b shows the overlap of the $1 \pi$ HOMO from the hydroxide ion with the 1 s atomic orbital for the proton; the signs of the wave functions for the two orbitals are positive so there is overlap and the reaction is symmetry allowed. The product, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, will be angular and not linear based on the orbital overlap in Figure 5.22b.

### 5.4.3 $\quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}}+\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$

To gain a better understanding of possible reactivity for thermodynamically favorable reactions, it is important to consider possible reactions that are either symmetry forbidden or have significant activation energy to


Figure 5.23 (a) An idealized reaction sequence for $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}$; (b) molecular orbital diagrams $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}$; (c) line drawing of the frontier molecular orbitals $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}$; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}$ molecular orbitals ( 0.1 contour leve); produced with HyperChem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ version 8.0.10)
overcome for reaction to occur. The reactions between $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and several diatomic molecules are now considered. The first reaction is the isotopic exchange reaction between $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ to form HD.

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HD}
$$

Figure 5.23a shows a possible exchange mechanism to form the product HD. Figure 5.23b gives the molecular orbital diagrams and the energies of the MOs for both $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$. Here electron transfer would have to occur from the $\sigma$ HOMO of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to the $\sigma^{*}$ LUMO of $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ followed by the formation of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{D}$ bonds. This process has significant uphill activation energy of over 11 eV so violates rule 3 above. In addition, Figure 5.23c shows that this reaction is a symmetry-forbidden process (rule 2 ) as there is positive overlap between one H atom in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with one D atom in $\mathrm{D}_{2}$, but there is negative overlap between the other H and D atoms. Thus, the overall contribution to forming an H-D bond is zero. Figure 5.23d shows the molecular orbitals for the two reactants. From this analysis, the reaction is predicted to not occur under normal pressures and temperatures. However, the reaction could occur by breaking the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond and/or the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{D}$ bond so that free radicals would be created and react to form the product $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{D}$.

### 5.4.4 $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{F}_{2}$

The reaction between $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ to form 2 HF molecules has two possibilities that can be considered, and these are electron transfer from $\mathrm{HOMO}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{LUMO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HOMO}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{LUMO}_{2}$. Figure 5.24a shows the possible reaction for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, and Figure 5.24b shows the molecular orbital diagrams. Process 1 in Figure 5.24b shows electron transfer from HOMO $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to LUMO $\mathrm{F}_{2}$; this process also has a significant uphill activation energy of over 12 eV . In addition, Figure 5.24 c demonstrates that there is positive overlap between one H atom and one F atom but negative overlap for the other H atom and F atom in the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ molecules. Thus, as in the isotopic exchange of hydrogen molecules above, this is a symmetry-forbidden reaction. Figure 5.24b


Figure 5.24 (a) An idealized reaction sequence for $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{F}_{2}$; (b) molecular orbital diagrams $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{F}_{2}$; (c) line drawing and 0.1 contour plots of the frontier molecular orbitals $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)+\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)$; (d) line drawing and molecular orbital ( 0.1 contour level; produced with HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0.10) plots for $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\sigma_{u}{ }^{*}\right)+\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\pi^{*}\right)$
and d shows process 2 where electron transfer would occur from $\mathrm{HOMO} \mathrm{F}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{LUMO}_{2}$. Although there is complete positive overlap between the $\pi^{*}$ orbital of $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ with the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, this process would result in the strengthening of the $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}$ bond rather than bond breaking, which is required to form $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}$. This process also has significant uphill activation energy of over 11 eV as shown in Figure 5.24 b (blue arrow). Although process 2 is a symmetry-allowed reaction, the energetics of the process do not permit formation of the end products as both rules 3 and 4 are violated. To form HF from these reactants would require free radical formation by homolytic cleavage of the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond and or the $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}$ bond.

### 5.4.5 $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$

The reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ to form acetylene, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ is shown in Figure 5.25a. Figure 5.25 b shows the molecular orbitals for both $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Here, there is significant uphill activation energy for the donation of electrons from HOMO $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to LUMO $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ in violation of rule 3 . Figures 5.25 c and d show that the process is symmetry allowed as a result of complete positive overlap from $\mathrm{HOMO}_{2}$ to LUMO $\mathrm{C}_{2}$.

### 5.4.6 $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (also $\mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ )

The possible reaction between $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ is given in Figure 5.26a, as $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ is a possible intermediate in the formation of ammonia but has not been detected. Here, one possible electron transfer would be from HOMO $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to LUMO $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ( 1 in Figure 5.26b; Figure 5.26c). The molecular orbital energy level diagrams for the reactants are given in Figure 5.26b, and their energies indicate that there is a very large activation energy of over 17 eV to overcome, partially because of the unstable positive energy for

(a)

(c)

(d)
$\mathrm{H}_{2}$
(b)

Figure 5.25 (a) An idealized reaction sequence for $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$; (b) molecular orbital diagrams $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2}$; (c) line drawing of the frontier molecular orbitals for $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$; (d) molecular orbital (0.1 contour level; produced with HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0.10) plots for $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$

(b)

Figure 5.26 (a) An idealized reaction sequence for $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2}$; (b) molecular orbital diagrams $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2}$; (c) line drawing of the frontier molecular orbitals $\mathrm{HOMO} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)+\mathrm{LUMO} \mathrm{N}_{2}\left(\pi^{*}\right)$; (d) line drawing of the frontier molecular orbitals LUMO $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{*}\right)+\mathrm{HOMO} \mathrm{N}_{2}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$
the LUMO of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ [10]. In addition, Figure 5.26c shows that the net overlap between the $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{HOMO}$ orbital of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and the $\pi^{*}$ LUMO orbital of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is zero. Another possibility for electron transfer is HOMO $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to LUMO $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (2 in Figure 5.26b; Figure 5.26d). Again this possibility has a large activation energy of over 11 eV to overcome and is symmetry forbidden. The frontier molecular orbital analysis for this reaction shows that the reaction is energetically and symmetry forbidden, and that $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is inert and one of the most difficult small molecules to activate. The direct reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ occurs at high temperature ( $>400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and high pressure ( $>100 \mathrm{~atm}$ ) over an iron catalyst; this is known as the Haber process (Section 9.13.5).The reaction of CO (isoelectronic with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ has similar problems (see Figure 5.19).

### 5.4.7 Dihalogens as Oxidants

From the above analyses, it is tempting to conclude that many diatomic molecules may not be very reactive chemical species. However, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ and the other dihalogen $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ molecules are reactive because halogen atoms have high electronegativity and $Z_{\text {eff }}$. These properties result in the energies of their LUMO orbitals being negative and stable as shown in Figure 5.27a. Thus, the LUMO is able to accept electrons so that the halogen-halogen bond breaks with formation of the anion ( $\mathrm{X}^{-}$), and all $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ molecules are excellent oxidizing agents as evidenced by iodometric titrations with reductants such as thiosulfate, bisulfide, and polysulfide anions ( $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{I}^{-}+\mathrm{S}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}{ }^{2-} \\
\mathrm{I}_{2}+\mathrm{HS}^{-} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{I}^{-}+\mathrm{S}(0)+\mathrm{H}^{+} \\
\mathrm{I}_{2}+\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{I}^{-}+x \mathrm{~S}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5.27 (a) HOMO and LUMO energies plotted for $\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. (b) Change in bond order when an electron is removed or accepted by a dihalogen


Figure 5.28 Molecular orbitals used during the reaction of $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$donating an electron pair to dihalogens. MO energy level diagrams for the reactants

Figure 5.27a also notes the areas in the plot for good electron donors and acceptors. Good electron acceptors have stable orbitals characterized with negative energy values. Poor electron donors are those with very stable orbitals characterized with negative energy values; conversely, good electron donors have unstable orbitals characterized with positive energy values.

Isoelectronic with the dihalogen molecules are the peroxide anion $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}\right)$, the persulfide anion $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}\right)$, and the hypohalous acid anions $\left(\mathrm{OX}^{-}\right)$. Figure 5.27 b shows the effect of adding one electron to the LUMO in decreasing the bond order as well as the effect of removing one electron from the HOMO of these species in increasing the bond order (also Figure 5.24d; the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ ).

Figure 5.28 shows a schematic representation for the donation of a pair of electrons from the bisulfide ion (isoelectronic with HF and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$) to a dihalogen - $\mathrm{HS}{ }^{-} \mathrm{HOMO} 1 \pi_{x}$ to $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{LUMO} \sigma_{\mathrm{p} z} *$ or $\sigma_{\mathrm{u}} *$. The direct donation would be an inner sphere process with formation of the intermediate below prior to electron transfer. There is no significant activation energy to overcome.

$$
[\mathrm{H}-\underline{\bar{S}}: \rightarrow \underline{: \overline{\mathrm{X}}}-\overline{\bar{X}} \underline{\underline{0}}]^{-}
$$

This process would be similar for other two electron reductants reacting with $X_{2}$ and peroxide.

### 5.4.8 $\quad \mathrm{O}_{2}$ as an Oxidant and its Reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$

$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is normally perceived as a strong oxidant in nature. However, the two electron transfer reactions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$with $\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ are instantaneous whereas the $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ has a half-life in freshwater of 15 minutes [12] and with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ a half-life of 2 days [13]. Because $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has two partially occupied (SOMO) orbitals (Figure 5.29), it cannot accept two electrons into one orbital from two electron donor reductants such as sulfide. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ would have to react with a two-electron donor by accepting one electron at a time or the reductant would have to donate two electrons into each of the SOMOs of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ as below.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \mathrm{HS} \bullet+\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} & \text {(one-electron transfer) } \\
\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{0}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} & \text { (two-electron transfer) }
\end{array}
$$

Figure 5.29a shows the thermodynamics of the reactions for the dihalogens, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$. The dihalogens and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ have no thermodynamic barrier for the reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$as these oxidants can accept two electrons into a vacant $\sigma^{*}$ LUMO. There is no thermodynamic barrier for the two-electron reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, but there is a significant kinetic barrier. The two-electron transfer


Figure 5.29 (a) Thermodynamics from the redox half-reactions in Table 2.2 [Reactions F1, CL1, Br1, IO1, O2, O3, and O6 minus S1 through S4]. The $\mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is $\sim 7$ so sulfide speciation changes with pH . $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$also reacts with the dihalogens to form HOX, which reacts with sulfide species such as $\mathrm{X}_{2}$. (b) Overlap of the frontier MOs for $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ along with their energy level diagrams
reactivity pattern generally follows the energy of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital for these oxidants $\left[\mathrm{F}_{2}(-3.1 \mathrm{eV})>\mathrm{I}_{2}(-2.55 \mathrm{eV})>\mathrm{Br}_{2}(-2.53 \mathrm{eV})>\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(-2.35 \mathrm{eV})>\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}(-1.19 \mathrm{eV})>\mathrm{O}_{2}(-0.44 \mathrm{eV})\right]$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ being the least reactive. However, the one-electron reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$(superoxide) is thermodynamically unfavorable at all pH values because the unstable free radicals, $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$and $\mathrm{HS} \cdot$, would be the products. Thus, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ should not accept one electron at a time from these electron donors.

The reaction of $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is used near hydrothermal vents where the production of organic matter by chemosynthesis occurs, and a two-electron transfer is thermodynamically favorable but kinetically hindered. Figure 5.29 b shows the $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ energy level diagrams and frontier orbitals, which give insight into the slow reactivity of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. The energies of the degenerate HOMOs and LUMOs are within 6 eV so are reasonable for electron transfer. However, an electron from each $1 \pi \mathrm{HS}^{-}$HOMO would have to enter a corresponding $\pi^{*} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ SOMO simultaneously. This would result in a significant kinetic barrier to electron transfer. The HOMO-LUMO energy gap of 1.88 eV is accessible at 660 nm so the reaction may occur via photolysis. The kinetic barriers to reaction are overcome by organisms at hydrothermal vents as the rates of this reaction are enhanced by $>40,000$ by microbial mediation [14]. It is not clear how the organisms deal with the transfer of electrons, but one way may be to convert ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ shown in Figure 5.30. The kinetic barrier would be removed as two electrons could transfer from $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ readily.

Figure 5.30 shows the MO energy level diagram and orbital occupation for the ground and excited states of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, as well as the energy needed to produce the excited states from the ground state. The singlet oxygen state, ${ }^{1} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}$, where two electrons are paired in one $\pi^{*}$ orbital from the original SOMOs, is very reactive as two electrons can be accepted from a reductant in the other empty $\pi^{*}$ orbital. The other singlet state, ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}$, where the electrons are of opposite spin and in different $\pi^{*}$ SOMOs, is also not reactive. The singlet $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ state, ${ }^{1} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}$, is one of the reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are formed as transients in nature. The superoxide ion MO energy level diagram and orbital occupation are in the lower left of Figure 5.30.


Figure 5.30 MO energy level diagrams and orbital populations for the ground and excited states of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and for the superoxide ion

### 5.4.8.1 Term Symbols for Linear Molecules

The shorthand notation for symbols to describe the energy states for linear molecules such as $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ above is now described and is similar to the Russell-Saunders discussion for atoms in Chapter 3. In this discussion, the term symbols for the different $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules ( $D_{\infty h}$ symmetry) will be provided as an example. The full term symbol indicating the energy and state of the molecular orbital with respect to the spin and angular momentum about the $z$ axis is described as ${ }^{2 S+1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\Omega}{ }^{ \pm}$.
$S$ (spin multiplicity) has the same significance as already described for atoms. For ground state $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, the sum of the spins is 1 and $2 S+1=3$ for a triplet state. The angular momentum of the molecular orbitals is described based on the angular momentum, $m_{l}$, of the atomic orbitals, which are combined to form them as below.

| Molecular orbitals | $m_{l}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ | Atomic orbitals |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\sigma$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{p}_{z}, \mathrm{~d}_{z}{ }^{2}$ |
| $\pi$ | $\pm 1$ | 1 | $\mathrm{p}_{x}, \mathrm{p}_{y}, \mathrm{~d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}$ |
| $\delta$ | $\pm 2$ | 2 | $\mathrm{~d}_{x y}, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}-y^{2}}$ |

Because there are $\pi_{x}$ and $\pi_{y}$ bonding and antibonding orbitals, $\Lambda=1$ for $\pi_{x}$ and -1 for $\pi_{y} . \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{L}}$ (for the molecular orbital $)=m_{11}+m_{12}+\ldots+m_{l n}$. Thus, $\Lambda=\left|\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{L}}\right|$

$$
\text { where } \Lambda=\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots \\
\Sigma & \Pi & \Delta & \Phi & \ldots
\end{array}
$$

For the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ground state, the sum of the $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for all electrons will be zero so $\Lambda$ is $\Sigma$. At this point, the term symbol is ${ }^{3} \Sigma$.

If spin-orbit coupling is important, then the value of the total angular momentum $(\Omega)$ can be indicated as a right subscript. For $\Sigma$ states, $\Omega$ equals S , and for singlet states, $\Omega$ equals $\Lambda$ so $\Omega$ is rarely given. Otherwise, $\Omega$ is determined as follows.

$$
\Omega=\Lambda+\mathrm{S}, \Lambda+\mathrm{S}-1, \ldots,|\Lambda-\mathrm{S}|
$$

The symbol g is given for a state symmetric to i (inversion) and u for a state antisymmetric to i . Here, the product of the wave functions of the original atomic orbitals combining to make the molecular orbital is used to determine g and u . The direct products of orbitals is

$$
\mathrm{g} \times \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{g} ; \quad \mathrm{u} \times \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{g} ; \quad \mathrm{g} \times \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{u}
$$

For ground state ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, the $\pi$ orbitals are $\mathrm{g} \times \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{g}$ and the term symbol is now ${ }^{3} \Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$.
The $\pm$ symbol is needed for $\Sigma$ states and is " + " when the state is symmetric to $\sigma$ (reflection in any plane containing the molecular axis) and is "-" when the state is antisymmetric to $\sigma$. Typically a "-" state occurs when two electrons of parallel spin are in different $\pi$ (or $\delta$ ) orbitals. For ground state ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, the full term symbol is now ${ }^{3} \Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{-}$.

For the singlet oxygen state, ${ }^{1} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}, 2 \mathrm{~S}+1=1$ and $\Lambda=2$ as both electrons are paired in the same orbital $\left(\pi_{x}^{*}\right)$. As two $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbitals on two different O atoms are combined to form the $\pi_{x} *$ orbital, the orbital is symmetric to inversion and is gerade.

### 5.4.8.2 More on Sulfide and Halide Oxidation

The oxidation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$by a two-electron transfer process results in the formation of a sulfur atom $\left(\mathrm{S}^{0}\right)$ in aqueous solution. $\mathrm{S}^{0}$ reacts with $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$to form a linear polysulfide anion, $\mathrm{HS}_{2}{ }^{-}$or $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$. Continued reaction of additional $S^{0}$ atoms (from sulfide oxidation) with the polysulfide in solution results in the formation and precipitation of cyclic $S_{8}$ as below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HS}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 1 / 8 \mathrm{~S}_{8}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \\
& 1 / 8 \mathrm{~S}_{8}+\mathrm{SH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{-} \\
& 1 / 8 \mathrm{~S}_{8}+\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{-} \ldots \ldots \\
& 1 / 8 \mathrm{~S}_{8}+\mathrm{S}_{8} \mathrm{H}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{8}+\mathrm{HS}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

The terminal S atom of polysulfides can oxidize further to form linear $\mathrm{S}_{x}-\mathrm{S}_{-} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$. Cleavage of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds can lead to $\mathrm{S}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ (thiosulfate) or $\mathrm{S}_{x+1}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ (sulfite). $\mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ have been identified as products or intermediates in sulfide oxidation back to $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$.

The halides are isoelectronic with $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$and have the same thermodynamic barrier to one-electron oxidation by ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ [15]. The two-electron reactions of halides with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ to form $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ and HOX are thermodynamically favorable. The reaction kinetics with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ are kinetically hindered, and a variety of marine eukaryotic organisms as well as marine and terrestrial microorganisms have developed haloperoxidases, which contain metal centers that activate the oxidant, to increase the halide oxidation rates [16].

### 5.5 Polyatomic Molecules and Ions

The discussion has been limited to diatomic molecules and their reactivity. The rest of the chapter describes the formation of the molecular orbitals for molecules and ions containing three or more atoms. The description starts with $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{AH}_{2}, \mathrm{AH}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{AH}_{4}$ species containing only sigma bonds and ends with species having sigma and pi bonds $\left(\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{AB}_{3}\right)$. The Group Theory section (Chapter 4.5 through 4.7) can be used in conjunction with these examples, but is not totally necessary. The reactivity of these polyatomic molecules and ions will be briefly discussed in this chapter and in the later chapters that describe transition metal bonding and reactivity.

### 5.5.1 $\quad \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$Molecular Cation

The simplest polyatomic molecule is the triatomic molecular hydrogen cation, $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$, which was originally found in electrical discharges by J. J. Thompson in 1911 using mass spectrometry. Although this may seem to be an unimportant or trivial chemical species, it is one of the most abundant ions in the universe and is stable in the interstellar medium [17-19]. $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$is produced by the following reaction as cosmic rays are capable of easily ionizing $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$.

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{H}
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$is an important reactant for interstellar chemistry as it is a $\mathrm{H}^{+}$donor or acid (Section 7.6.1) that reacts with other elements or simple molecules according to the following general equation.

$$
\mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{HX}^{+}
$$
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Figure 5.31 Possible $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$bonding arrangements from the combination of H atom 1s orbitals. Linear $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$has $\sigma$, $\sigma^{\mathrm{nb}}$, and $\sigma^{*}$ orbitals. Angular $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$has a $\sigma$ bonding $\mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ orbital and two $\sigma$ antibonding orbitals $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$. The $1 \mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ orbital wave function contains the $1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}$ orbital twice to denote that the system is delocalized

Thus, knowing the structure and molecular orbitals of $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$is critical to assessing its reactivity in the universe and how it protonates other atoms and small molecules to form organic compounds. There are two possible ways to combine the three hydrogen atoms: first as a linear molecule with only the center hydrogen atom binding the other two hydrogen atoms (an open three-center two-electron bond) and second as a triangular molecule with all three hydrogen atoms bonding to each other (a closed three-center two-electron bond). Figure 5.31 shows both geometric arrangements for $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$along with the generalized wave functions and the relative MO energy level diagram as three atomic orbitals combine to form three molecular orbitals (see Sections 4.6.4.4 and 4.7 for the formation of group orbitals or symmetry-adapted linear combinations, SALC). The change in geometry and orbital energies from linear to triangular is known as a correlation diagram. The shorthand notation for orbitals or irreducible representations is given in Section 4.5.4.

### 5.5.1.1 Open Three-Center Two-Electron (3c-2e) Bond

For the linear open three-center two-electron bond, the combining of atomic orbitals is straightforward and gives $\sigma$ bonding, nonbonding, and antibonding orbitals. The bonding orbital has the central atom combining with both terminal atoms to give two bonding interactions; the nonbonding orbital has the central atom combining with one terminal atom to give one bonding interaction and the other terminal atom to give one antibonding interaction; the antibonding arrangement has the central atom combining with both terminal atoms to give two antibonding interactions. Only the $1 \sigma$ bonding orbital would be occupied, and the electrons would be delocalized across the three hydrogen atoms. However, the angular arrangement is more stable.

### 5.5.1.2 Closed three-center two-electron (3c-2e) bond

For the closed three-center two electron bond, the combining of atomic orbitals is more complex as all three atoms combine with each other to form a sigma bonding orbital with three bonding interactions, $1 \mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, which is more stable than the $1 \sigma$ bonding MO above. This bonding wave function explicitly shows atom $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$ combining with atom $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ unlike the linear arrangement so that each atom has two bonding interactions for a total of three bonding interactions for $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$. In this case, cyclic delocalization of the two electrons across the three hydrogen atoms is superior to the linear arrangement above. For the other possible combinations, two atoms and their atomic orbitals (e.g., $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) can have one bonding interaction with each other, and the third atom and its atomic orbital $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ would have two antibonding interactions with the first two $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$. Another identical set is shown in Figure 5.31 indicating that these two orbitals are degenerate and of similar energy. These are termed $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ antibonding orbitals and are predicted to be intermediate in energy to the nonbonding and antibonding MOs for the linear geometry. The triangular arrangement has been confirmed experimentally [18]. The HOMO is the bonding orbital $1 \mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, which is much more stable than the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule due to the electron delocalization between three atoms. The bond distance between the atoms in $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$is 88 pm .

### 5.5.2 $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ - Linear Molecule with Sigma Bonds Only

Beryllium dihydride is the next molecule of increasing complexity to describe using MOT; it only exists as a monomer in the gas phase but exists as a polymeric solid phase at ambient conditions [20]. It is a linear molecule as discussed above using the valence shell electron pair repulsion theory. Here, beryllium has a filled 2 s orbital and these electrons will bind with the electrons from each hydrogen atom to form two bonds. In addition, beryllium has three p orbitals, which could potentially be involved with bonding; however, only one of these p orbitals will be used. Figure 5.32 shows the combinations for the four atomic orbitals of beryllium with the two atomic orbitals from the two hydrogen atoms to give the six molecular orbitals for beryllium dihydride.

The generalized wave functions for the bonding and the antibonding orbitals are given in Figure 5.32 and take into account the different effective nuclear charges for beryllium and hydrogen. In addition, the two hydrogen orbitals can be combined to give two "group" or symmetry-adapted linear combination (SALC, Section 4.7) orbitals of the following form.

$$
\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \text { and }\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)
$$

The parentheses indicate a group or SALC orbital. The SALC is generated using the principles of group theory (Section 4.7). The $1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}$ combination has the same sign as the wave function for each orbital. On performing the operations for the $D_{\infty h}$ point group, the atoms and the sign of each wave function remain unchanged so the two s orbitals transform as the $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\left(\right.$ also $\Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{+}$) irreducible representation. For the $1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}$ combination, the signs of the wave function for each orbital change. On performing the operations for the $D_{\infty h}$ point group, the atoms and the sign of each wave function change for the $C_{2}, i$, and other operations so the two s orbitals transform as the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{lu}}$ (also $\Sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{+}$) irreducible representation.

These then combine with the beryllium 2 s and 2 p atomic orbitals. For example, the $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ bonding molecular orbital and the $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}} *$ antibonding orbital from the combination of all the beryllium and hydrogen atom s orbitals are given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{b}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)  \tag{5.5}\\
& \psi_{\mathrm{a}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{*}=\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{a}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the $\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ group orbital is used for both of these combinations. The coefficient " a " before the 2 s orbital of beryllium is smaller than the coefficient "b" before the $1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}$ orbital combination for the two


$\mathrm{Be} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{+}=\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}=c 2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}+d\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)
$$
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Figure 5.32 The wave functions derived from the combination of the six atomic orbitals from beryllium and the two hydrogen atoms to form six molecular orbitals. The z axis is the bond axis ( $C_{\infty}$ axis). Contour plots ( 0.1 level) of the molecular orbitals produced using the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10
hydrogen atoms based on the energies in Figure 5.33. For the corresponding $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ antibonding orbital when all the atomic s orbitals are combined, the negative sign is placed before the group orbital and the coefficients are reversed as described above for HCl . At this point, only two molecular orbitals have been formed (one bond and one antibond).

The second bonding and antibonding combination comes from the beryllium $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ atomic orbital and the same hydrogen atomic orbitals, but with the $\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ group orbital. Because the $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital is ungerade, the group orbitals for the hydrogen atoms must now be subtracted from each other to have the proper symmetry match for the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital. Coefficients " c " and " d " are used to discriminate this bonding combination of the beryllium $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ atomic orbital from that with the beryllium 2 s atomic orbital. Thus the bonding orbital, $\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}$, and the antibonding orbital, $\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{*}$, become Equations 5.7 and 5.8.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{+}\right)=\mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{p}_{z}+\mathrm{d}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)  \tag{5.7}\\
& \psi_{\mathrm{a}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{*}=\mathrm{d} 2 \mathrm{p}_{z}-\mathrm{c}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding antibonding orbital has a negative sign before the group orbital and the coefficients before the $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ atomic orbital beryllium and the group orbital from the hydrogen atoms are reversed. At this point, two bonding and two antibonding molecular orbitals have been created from the four atomic orbitals (the beryllium 2 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ atomic orbitals and the two hydrogen atom 1 s atomic orbitals). The remaining $2 \mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $2 \mathrm{p}_{y}$ atomic orbitals from beryllium are nonbonding orbitals and do not contribute anything to the bond order of the molecule; these are also degenerate in energy $\left(e_{1 u}, \Pi_{u}\right)$. Both $\sigma$ bonding orbitals will have two electrons in each and the electrons are delocalized over all three atoms. Figure 5.33 shows the relative molecular orbital energy level diagram with the electron filling of the orbitals for beryllium dihydride.


Figure 5.33 Idealized molecular orbital energy diagram for $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$

### 5.5.3 $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - Angular Molecule with Sigma Bonds Only

For the water molecule, there are the same total number of atomic orbitals, which can combine to form six molecular orbitals. However, the oxygen atom has six electrons in its outermost state compared to the two electrons in beryllium so there are a total of eight electrons, which results in an angular molecule. Figure 5.34 shows how the atomic orbitals for the oxygen atom and the two hydrogen atoms combine to form the six molecular orbitals; note that the $z$ axis is the $C_{2}$ axis of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. There is a $\sigma$ bonding $\left(2 \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)$ and antibonding $\left(4 \mathrm{a}_{1}\right)$ combination of the three s atomic orbitals as well as a $\sigma$ bonding ( $1 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ ) and antibonding ( $2 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ ) combination of the two $s$ hydrogen atomic orbitals with the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital from oxygen. The generalized wave functions for these bonding and antibonding orbitals (5.9-5.12) have some differences from those described for the beryllium hydride molecule above with the coefficients including appropriate consideration for the differences in $Z_{\text {eff }}$ for the oxygen and hydrogen atoms and the angular bonding.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\phi_{2 \mathrm{~A} 1}=\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{b}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)  \tag{5.9}\\
& \psi_{\mathrm{a}}=\phi_{4 \mathrm{~A} 1} *=\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{b}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)  \tag{5.10}\\
& \psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\phi_{1 \mathrm{~B} 1}=\mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{p}_{x}+\mathrm{d}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)  \tag{5.11}\\
& \psi_{\mathrm{a}}=\phi_{2 \mathrm{~B} 1} *=\mathrm{d} 2 \mathrm{p}_{x}-\mathrm{c}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Group theory details for water are provided in Section 4.7.1.1. Because water has $C_{2 v}$ symmetry, the two SALC orbital combinations $\left[\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)\right.$ and $\left.\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)\right]$ transform as the $\mathrm{A}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)$ irreducible representations.

The nonbonding orbitals show a major difference between $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ and water. The $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital (which also transforms as an $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ irreducible representation) of the oxygen atom actually interacts in a bonding manner with both of the hydrogen atoms so has the following form for its wave function.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\phi_{3 \mathrm{~A} 1}=\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{b}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{A}}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5.34 The atomic orbitals combined to form the molecular orbitals for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ along with their respective electron contour plots ( 0.1 contour level) produced using the HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10

The $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbital of the oxygen atom (transforms as $1 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ ) is a nonbonding HOMO orbital, which is perpendicular to the plane of the water molecule. Although an individual $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule does not form a stable $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$species, bulk water does solvate electrons, and water clusters do bind excess electrons [21]. The LUMO energy for the $4 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ LUMO in Figure 5.35 is for the smallest cluster of six water molecules that accepts an electron; higher clusters are more stable [21].

Figure 5.35 shows the molecular orbital energy diagram for water. The $2 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ or $\sigma$ (s) molecular orbital is primarily composed by the oxygen 2 s orbital; the diagram does not show hybridization or s-p mixing for the oxygen atom. Although the interaction of the $p_{z}$ orbital of the oxygen atom with the two hydrogen atom s orbitals, $\sigma(z)$, or $3 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ might be considered a nonbonding orbital, there is significant bonding, which is shown in the UV photoelectron spectrum of water. The $\pi(y)^{\mathrm{nb}}$ HOMO orbital is primarily composed by the oxygen $2 p_{y}$ orbital and shows some fine structure in the UV photoelectron spectrum. The energy for the HOMO indicates a very stable orbital that will not be a strong electron donor, thus leading to the dissociation of water from $\mathrm{M}^{x+}$ ions (lability, Section 9.2.1). Figure 5.17 shows a HOMO energy comparison of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with other oxygen species.
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Te}$ are isoelectronic with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ when considering the outermost electrons for each molecule, and these compounds will have similar molecular orbitals. The major difference between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is that $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ has a bond angle of $92^{\circ}$ compared to $104.5^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. To account for the bond angle differences, the oxygen atom in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exhibits hybridization or $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{p}$ mixing, which is not that important for the sulfur atom.

The change in bond angle for triatomic molecules from $180^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$ results in a change in the energies and their ordering for the four molecular orbitals that are fully occupied. Figure 5.36 shows a Walsh


Figure 5.35 The molecular orbital energy level diagram correlated with the UV photoelectron spectrum for water. Two different shorthand annotations for the labeling of the molecular orbitals are given (Source: Turner 1970 [6], Figure 4.26. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)


Figure 5.36 Idealized Walsh correlation energy diagram for $\mathrm{AH}_{2}$ bonding orbitals on changing the bond angle. The $z$-axis is coincident with the major rotational axis of the molecules; e.g., $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 v}\right)$ and $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}\left(D_{\infty}\right)$. If the x and z coordinates are exchanged for $\mathrm{C}_{2 v}$ symmetry, then the $1 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ and $3 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ orbitals exchange; i.e., the $1 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ increases and the $3 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ decreases in energy with no energy crossover occurring on changing the bond angle
correlation diagram [4, 5, 8] for the change in energy for $\mathrm{AH}_{2}$ compounds as the bond angle varies from $180^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$. The bonding $2 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ orbital becomes more stable than the bonding $2 \mathrm{a}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\left(2 \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ orbital as discussed for the $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$molecule. The $3 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ orbital in angular geometry becomes less stable relative to the bonding $\mathrm{a}_{1 \mathrm{u}}\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z})\right]$ orbital in linear geometry as overlap between the H atom 1 s orbitals with the central atom $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital is less efficient in angular geometry (as the H atom orbitals are no longer on the $z$ axis). $\mathrm{The}^{1 \mathrm{~b}_{1}}$ orbital in angular geometry becomes more stable than the $\mathrm{e}_{1 \mathrm{u}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{x}, \mathrm{p}_{y}\right)$ orbitals in linear geometry as the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital now interacts with the H atom 1s orbitals, which are no longer on the $z$ axis but are in the $x z$ plane. The $1 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ nonbonding orbital, which is not involved in bonding, does not experience any energy change with geometry change from $180^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$ as it is still perpendicular to the $x z$ plane. In summary for the angular $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ molecules, the $2 \mathrm{a}_{1}, 1 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$, and $3 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ orbitals are bonding orbitals as seen in the X-ray photoelectron spectrum for water; the $1 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ orbital is more nonbonding.

### 5.6 Tetrahedral and Pyramidal Species with Sigma Bonds only $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathbf{N H}_{4}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{\mathbf{2 -}}\right)$

### 5.6.1 $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{4}$

Before discussing $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and other pyramidal chemical species, methane and other tetrahedral species including the ammonium ion are discussed. The total number of atomic orbitals available for combination to form molecular orbitals is eight (carbon 2 s and three 2 p atomic orbitals plus a 1 s orbital for each of the four hydrogen atoms). Obviously, there will be four bonding orbitals and four antibonding orbitals. A convenient way to look at the bonding interactions is to put the carbon atom at the center of the cube and the four hydrogen atoms at alternating vertices of the cube. Figure 5.37 shows this arrangement with all of the $s$ atomic orbitals from all five atoms in the lower portion of figure. The bonding interaction, $\sigma(\mathrm{s})$, shows a group orbital, which is the positive combination of all the four hydrogen atom orbitals. The antibonding interaction, $\sigma(\mathrm{s})^{*}$, shows a negative sign for this group orbital. The top portion of Figure 5.37 shows the interaction of a $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital with another set of group orbitals for the four hydrogen atoms, $\sigma \mathrm{p}_{x}$. Because of the ungerade symmetry for the carbon $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital, the sign of the wave function for hydrogen atoms 3 and 4 has a different sign from the wave functions for hydrogen atoms 1 and 2 in order to have proper symmetry overlap with the carbon orbital. For simplicity, coefficients are not provided in the wave functions shown in Figure 5.37. Likewise the antibonding configuration, $\sigma \mathrm{p}_{x}{ }^{*}$, has the same group orbital with a negative sign. The $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals will combine to give a similar set of molecular orbitals as the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbitals, but the group orbitals will be different to reflect their ungerade symmetry on their respective axes. Thus, four bonding and four antibonding orbitals result for methane, and the molecular orbitals from the carbon atomic p orbitals produce a triply degenerate set, $t_{2}$, as shown in Section 4.6.3. The resulting orbital contour plots for the $\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{*}, \sigma \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}$, and $\sigma \mathrm{p}_{x}{ }^{*}$ molecular orbitals are also given at the 0.05 contour level.

The four 1s orbitals make one SALC combination that is bonding and another that is antibonding.

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\phi_{\mathrm{A} 1}=\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{b}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right) \quad \psi_{\mathrm{b}}^{*}=\phi_{\mathrm{A} 1} *=\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{b}\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right)
$$

From the group theory analysis of the $T_{d}$ bonds for methane (Section 4.6.3), the ( $1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}$ ) SALC transforms as $A_{1}$. The other three SALC combinations $\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right)$, $\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}-\right.$ $1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}$ ), and ( $1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}$ ) transform as the triply degenerate $t_{2}$ set $(x, y, z)$. Thus, the interaction of the carbon 2 p orbitals with the three hydrogen 1 s orbitals makes three bonding and antibonding combinations


$$
\psi_{b}\left(p_{x}\right)=2 p_{x}+\left[1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right]
$$

$p_{y}$ and $p_{z}$ also

$\psi_{b}(2 s)=2 s+\left[1 s_{1}+1 s_{2}+1 s_{3}+1 s_{4}\right]$

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{a}}^{*} *(2 \mathrm{~s})=2 \mathrm{~s}-\left[1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right]
$$

Figure 5.37 The bonding interactions for all the s orbitals for carbon and hydrogen atoms and the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital of carbon with four hydrogen atoms. The $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ orbitals for carbon will combine with the hydrogen atoms in a similar manner as the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital of carbon. Orbital contour plots (produced using the HyperChem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program version 8.0.10) are drawn to represent the cubic arrangement of the carbon and hydrogen atoms
of similar energy with the following form.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}=\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{p}_{x}+\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right) & \psi_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}=\phi_{\mathrm{T} 2} *=\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{p}_{x}-\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right) \\
\psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}=\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{p}_{y}+\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right) & \psi_{\mathrm{a}} *=\phi_{\mathrm{T} 2} * \mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{p}_{y}-\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right) \\
\psi_{\mathrm{b}}=\phi_{\mathrm{T} 2}=\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{p}_{z}+\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right) & \psi_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}=\phi_{\mathrm{T} 2} *=\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{p}_{z}-\left(1 \mathrm{~s}_{1}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{2}-1 \mathrm{~s}_{3}+1 \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Figure 5.38 shows the molecular orbital energy level diagram and the UV photoelectron spectrum for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$.The three HOMOs of methane show vibrational fine structure, indicating that these are bonding orbitals. The LUMO is the antibonding orbital, $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ *, whose energy is taken from [22,23]. Although the oxidation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(E_{\mathrm{SOMO}}=-0.44 \mathrm{eV}\right)$ is thermodynamically favorable, the stable HOMO energy and the unstable LUMO energy (large HOMO-LUMO gap) indicate that methane is a stable molecule. Thus, activation of both $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (see Section 10.6.1) are required in biochemical reactions. The same is also true for the ammonium ion. The oxidation of both methane and ammonium ion by chemical and/or microbial mediation in the environment


Figure 5.38 UV photoelectron spectrum of the HOMO and molecular orbital energy level diagram for methane. Orbital contour plots (produced with the HyperChem program version 8.0.10) are drawn along one of the $C_{3}$ axes; - in this case the $\mathbf{z}$-axis from Figure 5.37 (Source: Turner 1970 [6], Figure 6.1. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)
is an area of intense study. $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ would have a similar molecular orbital energy level diagram, but the wave functions would differ as the oxygen atoms would use p orbitals rather than s orbitals for bonding with sulfur.

### 5.6.2 $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{3}\left(C_{3 v}\right)$

The total number of atomic orbitals available for combination to form molecular orbitals is seven (carbon 2 s and three 2 p atomic orbitals plus a 1 s orbital for each of the three hydrogen atoms). Three sigma bonding orbitals, three sigma antibonding, and a nonbonding orbital are predicted. Again a convenient way to look at all bonding and antibonding interactions is to put the nitrogen atom at the center of the cube and the three hydrogen atoms with the lone pair of electrons at alternating vertices of the cube. Figure 5.39 shows the generalized wave functions for the seven possible atomic orbital combinations to form the molecular orbitals for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, and these agree with the group theory analysis in Section 4.6.4.3. As in methane, the s orbitals from the three hydrogen atoms and the one nitrogen atom combine to form one sigma bond, $1 \mathrm{a}_{1}$, and one sigma antibond, $3 \mathrm{a}_{1}$. The $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals interact with the three s orbitals from the hydrogen atoms to give a degenerate set of bonding orbitals, 1 e , and a degenerate set of antibonding orbitals, 2 e .

The nitrogen $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital (transforms as $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ) is on the $C_{3}$ major axis of the molecule and can interact with the three hydrogen atoms to produce the HOMO in a similar manner as the $3 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ molecular orbital produced for the water molecule (the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital for the O atom with the two hydrogen atom s orbitals in Figure 5.35). Although


Figure 5.39 The atomic orbital combinations giving the seven molecular orbitals for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and their orbital contours (0.05 level) produced using the HyperChem program version 8.0.10). (a) The "a" orbital set. (b) The "e" orbital set. Note the z or $C_{3}$ axis coincides with the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ orbital of ammonia $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3 v}\right)$ and is similar to the z-axis for methane in Figure 5.37. Coefficients for the wave functions are omitted for simplicity


Figure 5.40 The UV photoelectron spectrum, molecular orbitals ( 0.1 contour level produced with HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10), and the molecular orbital energy diagram for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (Source: Turner 1970 [6], Figure 14.1. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)
the HOMO is anticipated to be primarily a nonbonding orbital that can donate a pair of electrons, the UV photoelectron spectrum in Figure 5.40 shows significant fine structure, which indicates that the orbital has bonding properties. The HOMO $2 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ molecular orbital has an energy of -10.2 eV , which is less stable than the HOMO of water. Thus, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is a pyramidal molecule and a better electron donor than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The LUMO, $3 a_{1}$, is from the antibonding combination of all the s orbitals and is unstable with an energy estimated as +0.16 eV based on the solvated electron in ammonia [24].

### 5.6.3 $\quad \mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and the Methyl Cation, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\left(D_{3 h}\right)$

### 5.6.3.1 The Hypothetical $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$

The molecule $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ does not exist as a discrete entity, but exists as a dimer known as diborane $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, which has the two B atoms in $\mathrm{BH}_{2}$ groups bridged by two hydrogen atoms in two open three-center two-e bonds (Section 5.5.1.1). Nevertheless, $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ is more convenient to describe in molecular orbital terms relative to the boron halides, $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$, as $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ has only hydrogen s orbitals that bind with boron whereas the halide p orbitals can also bind with boron. $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ is also an electron-deficient compound as the total number of valence electrons available for bonding total only six. Thus, the theoretical $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ is a planar molecule in comparison to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, which has a pyramidal shape. Figure 5.41 shows the combinations of the seven atomic orbitals to produce the seven molecular orbitals for $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$, which agree with the group theory analysis in Section 4.6.4.4. In this case, the $z$ axis is perpendicular to the plane of the paper and the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital is not involved in $\sigma$ bonding with the H atoms. It is a vacant nonbonding orbital, $1 \mathrm{a}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, that can readily accept a pair of electrons from a Lewis base or electron donor. As in $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, the s orbitals from the three hydrogen atoms and the one nitrogen atom


Figure 5.41 The atomic orbital combinations for the seven molecular orbitals of $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$
combine to form one sigma bond, $1 \mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, and one sigma antibond, $2 \mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$. The $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals interact with the three s orbitals from the hydrogen atoms to give a degenerate set of $\sigma$ bonding orbitals, $1 \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$, and a degenerate set of $\sigma$ antibonding orbitals, $2 \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$. The generalized wave functions are similar to those for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ except for the coefficients and the fact that the overlap is more directional.

Figure 5.42 shows the molecular orbital energy diagram for the hypothetical $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ as well as the orbital contour plots of the molecular orbitals at the 0.05 contour level. For the boron trihalides, the halogen atoms can also use p orbitals for bonding with boron. In the earlier discussion on the use of the VSEPR and the Lewis octet rule (Figure 5.1), the possibility of $\pi$ bonding between the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital from the boron atom and the p orbitals from the fluorine atoms in $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ was noted. This type of ( $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}$ ) $\pi$ bonding is similar to that described for the homonuclear and heteronuclear diatomic molecules, and results in a stronger bond between the boron atom and the halide atoms.

As in the linear case for $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ and the angular case for water, a Walsh correlation diagram can be derived for the planar $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and a pyramidal $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ case. The main feature is the way that the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital is a purely nonbonding orbital for the planar case as it is not occupied with electrons whereas the $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital in the pyramidal case is occupied with electrons and interacts with the three hydrogen atomic orbitals to give a more stable molecular orbital.

### 5.6.3.2 $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$

The methyl cation $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$is isoelectronic with $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and has a similar molecular orbital energy level diagram and orbital contour plots for the seven molecular orbitals. Figure 5.43 shows the major tetrahedral and planar species for compounds and ions that have the central atom from the second row of the periodic table binding with hydrogen atoms. The tetrahedral species are stable and unreactive when compared with the pyramidal


Figure 5.42 Molecular orbital energy diagram for $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and orbital contour plots ( 0.10 contour level produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\top \mathrm{TM}}$ program version 8.0.10) for the seven molecular orbitals


Figure 5.43 The relative molecular orbital energy level diagrams for tetrahedral, pyramidal, and planar species. The energies for the HOMO and LUMO orbitals are given for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$with the appropriate number of electrons filling the molecular orbitals; $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$will only have six electrons whereas the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \bullet$ will have seven electrons
species that can donate a pair of electrons and the planar species which can accept a pair of electrons. Note that for the tetrahedral molecules the HOMO is very stable and the LUMO is unstable. For the pyramidal $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-}$species, the HOMO is slightly stable but more able to donate a pair of electrons than even the halide anions (see Figure 5.17 for HOMO energies). For the planar $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$species, the LUMO is very stable and will accept electrons readily. The methyl radical $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \bullet\right)$, which has one more electron than $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$, has been experimentally determined to be a planar species whereas other seven electron chemical species can have a pyramidal shape [25].

### 5.6.3.3 Methylation Reactions

Methyl lithium is a versatile reagent used in the laboratory, but in the environment, methyl groups enter into several different kinds of reactions as shown below. The second reaction shows how acetate under appropriate light conditions can release carbon dioxide and form a methyl anion that can be transferred to a metal cation. Methyl halides can transfer $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$to a metal. The last reaction shows the unprotonated and water soluble zwitterion dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP), which can decompose to dimethyl sulfide and acrylic acid. DMSP is produced by salt marsh plants such as Spartina Alterniflora and by phytoplankton as alleochemicals (reagents that are released as toxins so other organism do not eat it) and as reagents to regulate osmotic pressure. DMSP is also an excellent reagent to transfer $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$to metals and nonmetals alike. Dimethyl sulfide (DMS) and other methylated compounds are formed by natural processes involving DMSP, and the transport of methylated compounds from the ocean surface to the atmosphere is considered important in forming clouds; e.g., DMS oxidizes to sulfate nanoparticles that create cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) or albedo [26].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Li}^{+} \mathrm{CH}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{Hg}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Hg}^{+}+\mathrm{Li}^{+} \\
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}+\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}+h v \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Hg}^{+}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \text { (formally methyl anion transfer) } \\
& \mathrm{CH}_{3}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}+\mathrm{Hg} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Hg}^{+}+\mathrm{I}^{-} \text {(formally methyl cation transfer) }
\end{aligned}
$$

This is an example of an oxidative addition reaction (Section 9.12); the $\mathrm{E}_{\text {LUMO }}$ is -0.2 eV for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$.

$$
\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}^{-}(\mathrm{DMSP}) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COOH}
$$

### 5.7 Triatomic Compounds and Ions Involving $\pi$ Bonds $\left(\mathbf{A}_{3}, \mathbf{A B}_{2}\right.$, and $\left.\mathbf{A B C}\right)$

### 5.7.1 $\quad A_{3}$ Linear Species

For triatomic compounds and ions involving main group elements of row 2 and below in the periodic table, there are a total of four atomic orbitals (one $s$ and three $p$ outermost orbitals) from each atom that are used to produce molecular orbitals. The best way to demonstrate the combination of these atomic orbitals is to use the linear azide ion, $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{-}$, without any mixing or hybridization of the nitrogen 2 s and 2 p orbitals prior to forming molecular orbitals. The azide ion has a total of 16 electrons including the negative charge; it is an extremely strong base and is used often as a metabolic inhibitor to kill microbes and thus prevent bacterial mediation of a reaction of environmental significance [27]. Figure 5.44 is split into three sections to show the linear combination of all these atomic orbitals to form molecular orbitals; the wave functions are provided, but coefficients are not necessary in this case as all the atoms in this anion are from nitrogen. The lower section is the combination of the s orbitals from three nitrogen atoms to form a sigma bonding, antibonding, and nonbonding set of molecular orbitals. This arrangement is similar to the linear or open three-center combination of atomic


Figure 5.44 Combination of the 12 atomic orbitals from three nitrogen atoms to form the four bonding, four nonbonding, and four antibonding molecular orbitals of the azide ion $\left(D_{\infty h}\right)$ with no $s-p$ mixing on the central $N$ atom. A positive wave function corresponding to a particular orientation of an atomic orbital is defined in each of the three sections
orbitals for the molecular cation, $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$. Another sigma combination of orbitals is given in the central section of Figure 5.44 where $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals, which are on the major rotational axis $\left(C_{\infty}\right)$, are used to form a bonding, antibonding and nonbonding set of molecular orbitals. This arrangement is similar to $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ except that the hydrogen s atomic orbitals are now replaced by nitrogen $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals. In writing generalized wave functions, it is important to specify the direction of any $p$ orbital so that the sign of the wave function is known. The black dots in the central portion of Figure 5.44 indicate the nitrogen atoms. These two $\sigma$ sets account for six of the twelve molecular orbitals to be formed, and are on the internuclear axis. The $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ (and $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ ) orbitals, which are perpendicular to the bond axis, are now combined to form a $\pi$ bonding, antibonding and nonbonding set of molecular orbitals. For the $\pi$ case, the bonding interaction has all three orbitals overlapping so that the electrons are delocalized across all three atoms; for the nonbonding interaction, two adjacent orbitals overlap


Figure 5.45 The relative energy level diagram for the azide ion showing the atomic orbitals with s-p mixing on the central $N$ atom (see next section) used to create the molecular orbitals
and one of the terminal orbitals gives an antibonding interaction so that $S=0$ overall; for the antibonding interaction, the orbital for the central atom does not overlap with either of the terminal atoms so that $S<0$. The upper portion of Figure 5.44 shows another convention for the drawing of the three atomic orbitals in a nonbonding interaction (boxed set); here, only the terminal atomic orbitals are drawn and the central atomic orbital is not drawn and is represented by a black dot. In this book, the three atomic orbitals will always be drawn when a reaction with another species occurs so that it will be easier to ascertain chemical reactivity.

Figure 5.45 shows the energy level diagram for $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{-}$with the order of the molecular orbitals, which are consistent with the X-ray photoelectron spectrum [28]. The azide ion is a good electron donor as the HOMO $\pi$ nonbonding orbitals have an energy between the energies of the halide anions and the hydroxide ion (see Appendix 5.2). The energy of the LUMO has not been determined experimentally, but putting an electron into the antibonding $\pi$ LUMO would result in a -2 charge and a loss of bonding.

Another important anion is the well-known tri-iodide ion, $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$. The same atomic orbitals are used to form the same 12 molecular orbitals shown above for the azide ion, but $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$has a total of 22 electrons. $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$has a bond order of one, indicating that two bonding electrons are being shared across the three iodine atoms (open three-centered two-electron bond similar to $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$). $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$is also a linear molecule as predicted by VSEPR theory, where the central iodine atom would have 7 electrons plus the 1 electron for the negative charge with each of the other two iodine atoms donating 1 electron to the central atom for a total of 10 electrons around the central iodine atom. To minimize the repulsive forces between the lone pairs of electrons, the three lone pairs would occupy the equatorial positions of a trigonal bipyramidal structure and the two terminal iodine atoms would occupy the axial positions. Figure 5.46 shows the idealized energy level diagram for $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$. The energies for both the HOMO and the LUMO are positive, indicating that these are not particularly stable orbitals and that $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$should be an electron donor.


Figure 5.46 The $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$energy level diagram with the atomic orbitals used to create the HOMO and the LUMO (no $s-p$ mixing $)$. The $5 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{DI} 2}\right)$ and $6 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\sigma^{*}{ }_{\mathrm{DI} 2}\right)$ orbitals are labeled to compare with Figure 7.3

### 5.7.2 $\quad \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ Linear Species $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{COS}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$

Before considering other homonuclear triatomic species such as ozone that are bent molecules, one of the most important molecules of environmental interest, carbon dioxide, is now discussed. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ also has 16 electrons and is isoelectronic with the azide ion; thus it is a linear molecule. However, the energies of the atomic orbitals for oxygen are more stable than those for carbon as shown in Figure 5.47 and as noted above for CO. The combination of the 12 atomic orbitals is thus slightly different from what was discussed for the azide ion. In the absence of any $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{p}$ mixing or hybridization, the two 2 s orbitals of oxygen are expected to be nonbonding orbitals. Thus for the central carbon atom, the 2 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals are expected to interact with the $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals from the two oxygen atoms; these four atomic orbitals result in two bonding molecular orbitals [ $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{s})$ and $\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z})\right]$ and two antibonding molecular orbitals $\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{s}) *\right.$ and $\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z}) *\right]$. The generalized wave functions for these molecular orbitals are given below, and the coefficients are omitted for simplicity ( $a$ and $b$ refer to the two different oxygen atoms). These atomic orbital combinations would be similar to the molecule $\mathrm{BeF}_{2}$ and other beryllium dihalides.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{~s})=2 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{C}}+\left(2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{a}}-2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{~b}}\right) & \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{~s})^{*}=2 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{C}}-\left(2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{a}}-2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{~b}}\right) \\
\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z})=2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{C}}-\left(2 \mathrm{p}_{z a}+2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{~b}}\right) & \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z})^{*}=2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{C}}+\left(2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{p}_{z \mathrm{~b}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Six of the 12 molecular orbitals have now been described, and the $\pi$ bonding, nonbonding, and antibonding sets for the $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and the $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals remain. These are essentially identical to that described above for the azide ion. The major difference for these orbitals is that the HOMO $\pi$ nonbonding orbitals have more contribution from the oxygen atomic p orbitals than from the carbon atomic p orbital as a result of the electronegativity difference between carbon and oxygen. The energy of the HOMO is -13.77 eV and indicates that it is also


Figure 5.47 Molecular orbital energy level diagram and possible atomic orbital combinations for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The diagram and the atomic orbitals used for combination show s-p mixing. Figure 5.48 shows the molecular orbitals and their assignment based on ESCA data
a very stable molecular orbital. The LUMO $\pi^{*}$ orbitals have more contribution from the carbon atomic p orbital than from the oxygen atomic p orbitals, and are not very good electron acceptors because the LUMO energy is positive at 0.6 eV [29]. Thus, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is a poor electron acceptor, which has consequences for its solubility in water and its fixation into organic carbon via photosynthesis and chemosynthesis.

The UV photoelectron spectrum for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is given in Figure 5.48. The HOMO $\pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals are assigned at -13.77 eV , and the peak has very little fine structure so these are nonbonding orbitals ( $\pi_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\text {nb }}$ ). The $\pi_{\mathrm{u}}$ bonding orbitals are centered near -17.5 eV and have extended vibrational fine structure so are bonding orbitals. The four $\sigma$ orbitals are assigned as shown, but require further comment. The $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{s})(-19.4 \mathrm{eV})$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z})$ $(-18.1 \mathrm{eV})$ orbitals are single peaks indicating that they are nonbonding orbitals. The $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{s})$ and the $\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{z})$ orbitals give a continuous band centered near -38 eV (not shown), which is significantly lower in energy than the 2 s atomic orbitals for the oxygen atoms at -32.2 eV . Because the 2 s orbitals of the oxygen atoms are much more stable than the 2 s orbital of the carbon atom, some $s-p$ mixing or hybridization of the oxygen atoms must occur prior to their combination with the 2 s and the $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals from the carbon atom. As noted above for carbon monoxide, an equal mixing of the oxygen 2 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals would result in an energy of -24 eV for the hybridized orbitals, which should permit combination of these carbon and oxygen orbitals. Thus, the $\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{s})$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}(\mathrm{s})$ orbitals at -38 eV are assigned as $\sigma$ bonding orbitals from the combination of the carbon 2 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals with the hybridized 2 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ oxygen atomic orbitals rather than nonbonding oxygen 2 s orbitals. Thus, carbon dioxide has a total bond order of four.

Carbonyl sulfide, COS, and nitrous oxide, $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, are isoelectronic with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and have similar UV photoelectron spectra to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ indicating that the molecular orbital assignments are also similar. Both COS and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are important environmental gases and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is formed during denitrification. All of these compounds are stable. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ along with $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ are among the most important greenhouse gases affecting climate on the earth.


Figure $5.48 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ UV photoelectron spectrum with the assignment of the molecular orbitals (including group theory notation; produced with the HyperChem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program version 8.0.10) and the filling of the 16 electrons into the orbitals (Source: Turner 1970 [6], Figure 4.16. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)

### 5.7.3 $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ (Angular Molecules)

Ozone, sulfur dioxide, and the nitrite ion are important in environmental processes. All of these chemical species are diamagnetic and have a total of 18 valence electrons. As a result they are angular molecules as noted previously using the Lewis octet rule and the valence shell electron pair repulsion theory. The contribution of the atomic orbitals to the molecular orbitals and the molecular orbital diagram of the homonuclear ozone molecule will be similar to that of the azide ion; however, as in the sigma bonding triatomic linear case for $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ changing to the angular case for water or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, the $\pi$ type orbitals are no longer degenerate on a change from linear geometry. Figure 5.49 shows the Walsh correlation diagram for the molecular orbitals on changing from linear to angular geometry with s-p mixing or hybridization. The $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$is given on the right and is consistent with the group theory analysis in Section 4.7.2.1.

Figure 5.50 gives the relative molecular orbital energy level diagrams for $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$. The contribution of the nitrogen (sulfur) atomic orbital with the terminal oxygen atomic orbitals to form the molecular orbitals for the heteronuclear $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$ will be similar to that of carbon in carbon dioxide as the nitrogen atom has lower electronegativity than the oxygen atoms. If the $x$ and $z$ coordinates are exchanged for $C_{2 v}$ symmetry as in water, then the $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ orbital labels exchange also.

Because the degeneracy of the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals is removed as shown in Figure 5.50, $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ are diamagnetic. The $1 a_{2}$ and $3 b_{1}$ orbitals are similar to the $\pi^{\mathrm{nb}}$ orbitals in carbon dioxide whereas the $4 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ and $2 b_{2}$ are similar to the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals in carbon dioxide. For $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$, the HOMO has an energy of -2.5 eV , and because the two electrons are delocalized across the three atoms in the nitrite ion, it is possible to have the


Figure 5.49 Walsh-type correlation diagram for linear to angular triatomic molecules with $\pi$ bonding (hybridization or s-p mixing is included). The direction of the arrows indicates the relative stability of the orbitals for the bent molecules relative to the orbitals for the linear molecules. The orbitals derived from $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbitals are perpendicular to the plane of the paper
nitrogen atom or one of the oxygen atoms donate a pair of electrons and form a bond with an electron acceptor such as a metal cation (linkage isomers; Section 8.4.4). It is also possible to have another atom bridge across an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond (Figure 8.4). For $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, the energies of the HOMO and the LUMO are -12.5 eV and -1.1 eV , respectively; $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ can also bind metals as described above for $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$. For $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, the energies of the HOMO and the LUMO are -12.43 eV and -2.3 eV , respectively. The stable LUMO energies make $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ excellent electron acceptors or oxidants. All three are potential oxygen atom transfer reagents. For example, in Chapter 2 (Section 2.7.2.1), UV dissociation of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ was shown to lead to ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and O atoms, ( IOl in Equation 2.10). Over $90 \%$ of the O atoms exist in the diamagnetic electronic configuration $2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}_{x}{ }^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}_{z}{ }^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}_{y}{ }^{0}$ with the atomic term symbol ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}$. The empty orbital makes the O atom a powerful oxidant that can accept one or two electrons readily.


Figure 5.50 The molecular orbital diagram and possible atomic orbital combinations for the HOMO and LUMO of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ are similar $)$; the z -axis is the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ rotational axis. Note that the $3 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ and $4 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ orbitals are in the yz plane (the $2 \mathrm{~b}_{2}{ }^{*}$ orbital is perpendicular to the plane of the paper)
$\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$forms on addition of an electron to the nitrogen dioxide radical, $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$, which is a key atmospheric pollutant produced during the combustion of fossil fuels.

$$
\mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}
$$

$\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ has a SOMO $2 \mathrm{~b}_{2} *$ orbital ( -2.273 eV ), which allows $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ to be an effective oxidant in the atmosphere (Section 2.7.2). The buildup of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ in a localized area due to low winds, which would remove $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ to another location, gives that atmosphere an orange red (smog) color. The coloration of concentrated nitric acid on exposure to light is also due to the formation of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$, which enhances the oxidizing properties of nitric acid. In the atmosphere, $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ also reacts readily with $\mathrm{OH} \bullet$ to form $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. Equations 2.31-2.33 show that $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ also reacts in three steps with $\mathrm{OH} \cdot, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to form sulfuric acid, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The dissolution of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ during rain events leads to acid rain that falls on land and waterways. The $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ species also add fixed nitrogen as fertilizer to those systems, which can lead to phytoplankton growth including algal blooms.

### 5.8 Planar Species $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)$

These compounds and ions are 24 electron systems with 16 orbitals. $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ are electron acceptors whereas $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ are electron donors. The combination of atomic orbitals to give the molecular orbitals for $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ is described in the group theory section (chapter 4.7.2.2). The molecular orbital energy level diagram along with the shapes of the MOs is in Figure 5.51. For $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, the HOMO is the $\pi_{\mathrm{nb}}$ or


Figure 5.51 Carbonate ion energy level diagram with atomic and molecular orbitals. The group theory notation indicates the atomic orbitals used for bonding. Molecular orbitals and their energies calculated with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program version 8.0.10 (AM1 level; 0.05 contour level)
$1 \mathrm{e}^{\prime \prime}$ degenerate orbitals, which bind with $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in an acid-base titration as they are positive in energy, and the electron density is maximized on one of the oxygen atoms. The unstable LUMO is the $2 \mathrm{a}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime *}$ or $\pi^{*}$ orbital. This analysis is what would be predicted using Lewis structures and the VBT.

The orbital arrangement is similar for $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, but the energies are different due to the higher $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }} / \mathrm{r}$ for N over C. The $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$HOMO $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{nb}}\right.$ or $\left.1 \mathrm{e}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is more stable at -3.11 eV , and the $2 \mathrm{a}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime *}$ or $\pi^{*}$ is the LUMO
( +5.5 eV ). $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ has the same HOMO and LUMO orbitals, but the LUMO is now calculated to be stable with an energy of -3.0 eV (the experimental value is -1.7 eV ; Appendix 5.2) indicating that it will accept electrons. This is consistent with the reaction of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to form $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}$, and its two-electron reduction to form sulfite, $\mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$. This MO diagram can explain the formation of a fourth bond between $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ and other $B X_{3}$ compounds with amines $\left(X_{3} B-\mathrm{NR}_{3}\right)$. However, $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ is less reactive with amines than the other $\mathrm{BX} X_{3}$ compounds. The LUMO energy for $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ is near 0 eV , likely due to the strong $\pi$ bonding between B and F , which is consistent with the HOMO energy for $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ being very stable at -15.95 eV [30]. The HOMO energies for the other boron halides range from -9.36 to -11.62 eV . AM1 level MO calculations of $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ also suggest that it may be less reactive than the other $\mathrm{BX} \mathrm{X}_{3}$ compounds because the $3 \mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{* *}\left(\sigma^{*}\right)$ orbital is lower in energy than the $2 \mathrm{a}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime *}\left(\pi^{*}\right)$ orbital. The $3 \mathrm{a}_{1}{ }^{* *}\left(\sigma^{*}\right)$ orbital has significant B 2 s character but provides less overlap for an attacking nucleophile or Lewis base.

## APPENDIX 5.1

Bond energies for selected bonds [31-33]

| Bond | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | Bond | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | Bond | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | Bond | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H-H | 432.0 | C-C | 345.6 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | 247 | Si-O | 452 |
| H-O | 458.8 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | 602 | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ | 418 | $\mathrm{Si}=0$ | 642 |
| H-C | 411 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ | 835.1 | $\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ | 941.69 | $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{O}$ | 350 |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ | 386 | C-N | 304.6 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)$ | 201 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | 201 |
| H-P | 322 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ | 615 | $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{As}\left(\mathrm{As}_{4}\right)$ | 146.4 | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{O}$ | 607 |
| H-S | 363 | $\mathbf{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ | 887 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ | 207.1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ | 335 |
| H-Se | 276 | C-P | 264 | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}$ | 493.59 | $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ | 544 |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Te}$ | 238 | C-O | 357.7 | S-S ( $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ ) | 421.58 | As-O | 301 |
| H-F | 565 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 798.9 | S-S ( $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ ) | 226 | S-O | 468.3 |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 428.02 | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ | 1072 | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ | 268 | $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ | 532.2 |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 362.3 | C-S | 272 | $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}$ | 424.7 | O-F | 189.5 |
| H-I | 294.6 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ | 573 | $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Se}\left(\mathrm{Se}_{6}\right)$ | 172 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 218 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Si}$ | 318 | $\mathrm{Se}=\mathrm{Se}$ | 272 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 201 |
| Li-F | 573 | $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Si}$ | 222 |  |  | O-I | 201 |
| Li-Cl | 464 | $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{Ge}$ | 188 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 280 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 418 | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 293 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 272 | F-F | 154.8 |
| Li-I | 347 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 272 | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 293 | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 239.7 |
| Na-F | 477 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 280 | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 188 | $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 190.16 |
| $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 408 | $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 452 | $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 226 | I-I | 148.95 |
| $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 363 | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 334 | $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 247 |  |  |
| Na-I | 304 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | 293 | $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 209 | $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{F}$ | 268 |
|  |  |  | 234 |  |  | $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 225 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 185 |
| K-F | 490 | Rb-F | 490 | Cs-F | 481 | $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}$ | 145 |
| $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 423 | $\mathrm{Rb}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 444 | $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 416 | Be-F | 632 |
| $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 379 | $\mathrm{Rb}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 385 | Cs-Br | 481 | $\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 461 |
| K-I | 326 | Rb-I | 331 | Cs-I | 315 | $\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{Br}$ | 372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Be-I | 289 |

## APPENDIX 5.2

Energies of LUMOs (of oxidants) and HOMOs (of reductants) for important small molecules from several compilations [34-43]. A value for $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ is estimated based on water exchange for labile complexes [44]. Negative values indicate stable orbitals. For more discussion on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ EA for $O$ and $S$ atoms (HOMO energy $\mathrm{O}^{2-}, \mathrm{S}^{2-}$ ), see Refs. [43, 45, 46]

| LUMO (eV) | Species | LUMO (eV) | Species | HOMO (eV) | Species | HOMO (eV) | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.62 | $\mathrm{OI}^{-}$ | -2.093 | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 7.74 | $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ | -9.1 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| 2.3 | HCN | -2.10 | $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 6.18 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ | -9.19 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| 2.2 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | -2.2 | SCN• | 4.73 | $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ | -9.26 | NO |
| 1.9 | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | -2.273 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ - | 1.36 | $\mathrm{S}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | -9.3 | HOI |
| 0.5 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | -2.276 | ClO- | 0.5 | FeS | -9.39 | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |
| 0.0 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ | -2.35 | $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | -0.44 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | -9.538 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ - $\mathrm{I} \cdot$ |
| -0.03 | NO- | -2.32 | HS | -0.516 | $\mathrm{S}_{5}{ }^{2-}$ | -9.75 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| -0.080 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ - | -2.353 | BrO• | -1.078 | $\mathrm{HO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | -10.07 | $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ |
| -0.16 | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | -2.378 | IO• | -1.825 | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | -10.16 | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ |
| -0.2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ - I• | -2.4 | NO | -1.907 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | -10.39 | HI |
| -0.313 | HCO | -2.50 | HOI | -2.10 | $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ | -10.47 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| -0.47 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | -2.53 | $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | -2.276 | $\mathrm{ClO}^{-}$ | -10.51 | $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ |
| -0.5 | OCS | -2.55 | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | -2.3 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | -11.2 | OCS |
| -0.6 | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ | -2.6 | OCN• | -2.32 | $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$ | -11.49 | $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| -0.75 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | -2.7 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ - | -2.353 | $\mathrm{BrO}^{-}$ | -11.67 | HBr |
| -0.8 | $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ | -3.1 | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | -2.378 | $\mathrm{IO}^{-}$ | -12.07 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| -1.078 | $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ - | -3.269 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | -2.7 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | -12.15 | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ |
| -1.1 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | -3.6 | NCO• | -3.06 | $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | -12.43 | $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| -1.107 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | -3.7 | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ - | -3.11 | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | -12.5 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ |
| -1.263 | $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ | -3.82 | CN | -3.36 | $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | -12.7 | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ |
| -1.37 | CO | -4.226 | $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ - | -3.4 | $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ | -12.61 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| -1.47 | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | -5.0 | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -3.5 | $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ | -12.74 | HCl |
| -1.59 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ - I• | -15 | $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ | -3.61 | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | -12.89 | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| -1.67 | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ |  |  | -3.82 | $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ | -13.59 | HCN |
| -1.7 | $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ |  |  | -4.67 | $\mathrm{S}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ | -13.77 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
| -1.825 | $\mathrm{OH} \cdot$ |  |  | -5.145 | $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ | -14.01 | CO |
| -1.861 | $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  |  | -8.5 | HOI | -14.1 | CN |
| -1.867 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{S}$ - |  |  | -9.04 | $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ | -15.43 | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| -1.907 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |  |  | -9.1 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | -15.58 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |
| -2.00 | CNS |  |  | -9.19 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | -15.69 | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ |
| -2.077 | $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ as S |  |  | -9.26 | NO | -16.01 | HF |
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## 6

## Bonding in Solids

### 6.1 Introduction

At the beginning of the 20th century, inorganic chemists and geochemists began to realize that the external symmetry found in solids was related to the arrangement of atoms in the solid. Soon they centered much of their attention on common minerals and ionic solids, ultimately leading to a comprehensive description of ionic bonding and how cations and anions packed in a crystal. With the advent of x-ray diffraction techniques, the seven crystal classes and the fourteen unit cells (Bravais lattices) for solids were described. Because many simple ionic solids $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{X}^{-}\right)$assume one of the three cubic unit cells, which gave the length of the unit cell and the internuclear distance, it was possible for Victor Goldschmidt and Linus Pauling to produce the first summary of ionic radii for simple cations and anions. The first part of this chapter discusses band theory and the bonding of atoms in metals and semiconductors, which is a natural extension of covalent bonding in the previous chapter. This is followed by how atoms pack in a crystal and a description of the crystal classes and their unit cells. Ionic bonding models are then discussed.

### 6.2 Covalent Bonding in Metals: Band Theory

### 6.2.1 Atomic Orbital Combinations for Metals

The bonding in pure metals can be simply described using the molecular orbital approach. In the previous chapter, the discussion was limited to simple molecules with less than six or seven atoms, but this is now extended to describe band theory. Figure 6.1 shows the linear arrangement for "s" orbitals when two, three, and four atoms combine to produce bonding ( $\psi_{\mathrm{b}}$ ), nonbonding $\left(\psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, and antibonding $\left(\psi_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ orbitals. When the number of atoms is odd numbered, nonbonding orbitals are produced.

Figure 6.2 shows the relative energies for the combinations of "s" orbitals up to 20 atoms. In a solid with an infinite number of atoms, all the orbitals will overlap to form a band of orbitals (right of Figure 6.2). Half of the orbitals within the band are bonding orbitals and the other half are antibonding orbitals. If the band is only partially filled, the metal will conduct electricity when an applied electric field is imposed upon it. The smooth parabola-like curve describes the relative number of orbitals at a given energy (see density of states (DOS) Section 6.2.5). The larger number of orbitals at the center of the band is due to the larger number of orbital combinations near the center.

| Number of net |
| :---: |
| Number |
| of nodes |

$\psi_{\mathrm{a}}$

Figure 6.1 The arrangement of " $s$ " orbitals in a one-dimensional linear array. The dotted lines indicate a node and a net antibonding interaction


Figure 6.2 Energy level diagram showing the arrangement of bonding and antibonding orbitals to produce a band of orbitals

### 6.2.2 Metal Conductors

For metals and elements that primarily have covalent bonding, Figure 6.3a shows the general arrangement of the bands for all the s and p orbitals for metals in the third row of the periodic table. The $1 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~s}$, and 2 p bands are core bands that are closer to the nucleus. The energies of the 2 s and 2 p bands are significantly different (e.g., see Figure 3.19) so that there is no overlap of these bands; thus, there is a band gap. In this example, the 3 s band is completely full $(\mathrm{Mg})$, but it overlaps with the 3 p band resulting in mixing and combining of the orbitals so that there is no band gap and the conduction of electricity is possible. Figure 6.3 b shows the upper band for the partially filled 2 s band for lithium, and Figure 6.3 c shows the overlap of the 3 s and 3 p bands for aluminum. In all cases, there is no energy gap between the higher energy bands so these are called conduction bands.

### 6.2.3 Semiconductors and Insulators

Figure 6.4 shows schematic band theory energy level diagrams for an insulator and a semiconductor, and Table 6.1 gives band gap energy data between the valence and conductor bands for common materials. For NaCl , Figure 6.4 a shows a large energy or band gap between the 3 p orbitals of the chloride ion (the valence band) and the 3 s orbitals (conduction band) for the sodium ion; thus, sodium chloride cannot conduct electricity. The reason for the large energy gap between the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is due to the transfer of an electron from sodium to chlorine to give localized electron clouds or density exhibiting mainly ionic bonding (Section 6.3).

Figure 6.4 b is an example of an intrinsic semiconductor such as silicon where each Si atom has four tetrahedral covalent bonds. For Si , there is a very small band gap between the $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{*}$ orbitals, which are the HOMO and the LUMO orbitals or bands, respectively. For semiconductors, the HOMO orbitals are called the valence band, and the LUMO orbitals are called the conduction band. Excitation of electrons from the valence band to the conduction band is required before the semiconductor can conduct electricity; however, the number of electrons excited is very dilute compared to a true metal. The excitation of electrons creates a negative conduction band and a positive valence band, which contains positive holes. Table 6.2 gives electrical


Figure 6.3 Energy level diagrams for the bands of (a) Mg, (b) Li, and (c) Al. Blue coloration indicates that the bands are filled with electrons, and white coloration indicates that the bands are empty


Figure 6.4 Schematic diagram showing the relative energy gaps, $E_{\mathrm{g}}$, for insulators, conductors, and intrinsic semiconductors

Table 6.1 The energies of the band gaps for some common materials and minerals [1, 2]

| Material | $E_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | Material | $E_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | Material | $E_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{eV})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Germanium | 0.66 | Magnetite, $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 0.10 | FeS | 0.10 |
| Silicon | 1.11 | Hematite, $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 2.20 | $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ | 0.95 |
| Gallium Arsenide | 1.35 | Birnessite, $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ | 0.25 | CdS | 2.53 |
| Silicon Carbide | 3.00 | Anatase, $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 3.20 | ZnS | 3.20 |
| Diamond (Carbon) | 5.47 | Ilmenite, $\mathrm{FeTiO}_{3}$ | 2.80 | $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | $\sim 8.5,9.00$ |

Table 6.2 Electrical conductivity $(\sigma)$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for some common materials [3]. One siemens $(S)$ is the reciprocal of one ohm

| Nonmetal | $\sigma\left(\mathrm{S} \mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)$ | Metal | $\sigma\left(\mathrm{S} \mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Germanium | 2.17 | Li | $1.17 \times 10^{7}$ |
| Silicon | $1.56 \times 10^{-3}$ | Fe | $1.04 \times 10^{7}$ |
| Gallium Arsenide | $1 \times 10^{-8}$ to $1 \times 10^{3}$ | Au | $4.52 \times 10^{7}$ |
| Graphite (Carbon) | $\sim 2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | Cu | $5.98 \times 10^{7}$ |
| Diamond (Carbon) | $10^{-13}$ | Ag | $6.30 \times 10^{7}$ |

conductivity data for common materials, with silver metal having the highest electrical conductivity $(\sigma)$. $\sigma \sigma$ is the inverse of the electrical resistivity $\left(\rho=E A l^{-1}\right)$ where $E$ is the electrical resistance in ohms, $A$ is the cross-sectional area of the material in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, and $l$ is the material's length in m ].

Tables 6.1 and 6.2 indicate that the best intrinsic semiconductors are Ge and Si , which are in the carbon family of the periodic table, and which have the outer electron configuration of $\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{p}^{2}$. A general rule for
semiconductors is that their valence electron configuration adds up to eight with the general formula $M^{\mathrm{IV}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{IV}}$. This electron configuration can be achieved by $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{V}}$ (e.g., GaAs, Group 13 with 15 elements) and $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{VI}}$ (CdS, Group 12 with 16 elements).

### 6.2.4 Fermi Level

At $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, the electrons fill or occupy the molecular orbitals, which make up their band, according to the Aufbau principle. Thus, for lithium metal (Figure 6.5a), all of the lowest energy orbitals are completely filled, and the highest occupied orbital, which is at the center of the band in Figure 6.5a, is called the Fermi level ( $\mu$ ) [4]. Only the electrons nearest the Fermi level move within the metal lattice to conduct electricity. On increasing temperature, the electrons collide with the atoms so that the electrons scatter and lose their energy causing electrical resistance and a decrease in conductivity. Electrical resistance also increases as the cross-sectional area of the atom decreases and the conductor becomes longer. Impurities in the metal also lead to more electron - atom collisions and a decrease in electrical conductivity. Figure 6.5 b shows that the Fermi level increases in energy on an increase in temperature for a conductor as the electrons from the lower energy states are excited to higher energy states.

For small band gaps (Figure 6.5c), the electrical conductivity for semiconductors will increase with increasing absolute temperature in contrast to the behavior found for metals. At thermodynamic equilibrium, the electron population $(P)$ for the orbitals in a band of energy $(E)$ is given by the Fermi-Dirac distribution in Equation 6.1 [4] where $\mu$ is the total chemical potential of electrons or Fermi level and $k$ is the Boltzmann constant.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{1}{1+e^{(E-\mu) /(k T)}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6.5 (a) The Fermi level for lithium lies at the center of the band for the $2 s$ orbitals at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. (b) The change in Fermi level on an increase in temperature. (c) Semiconductor at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. (d) The change in Fermi level for a semiconductor on an increase in temperature

The ratio of probabilities that two states across the band gap (Figure 6.5d) will be occupied by an electron is given by the Arrhenius-like temperature dependence expression in Equation 6.2 where $\sigma$ represents the conduction band and $\sigma_{0}$ represents the valence band.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\sigma_{0} e^{\left(-\frac{-E_{g}}{2 k T}\right)} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On increasing temperature, the Fermi level is found at the center of the band gap energy, $E_{\mathrm{g}}$, and $E_{-}$is the lowest energy orbital for the conduction band. The energy of activation for electron transfer is equal to $1 / 2 E_{\mathrm{g}}=E_{-}-\mu$. Figure 1.1 (Chapter 1) shows that thermal excitation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ only provides 0.03 eV of energy, which is insufficient energy for electrons to move from the valence to the conduction band. The visible region of the electromagnetic spectrum is from 400 to 800 nm (Figure 1.2), which corresponds to a range from 3.10 to 1.55 eV , respectively. Because most semiconductors have $E_{\mathrm{g}}$ values typically below 2 eV , absorption of visible light is enough to affect electron transfer between the valence and conduction bands. Table 6.1 shows that many oxide and sulfide minerals found in the environment have band gap energies accessible in the visible region.

For an insulator such as NaCl , the band gap is about 8.5 eV . The ultraviolet region in the electromagnetic spectrum is from 200 to 400 nm , which corresponds to a range from 6.20 to 3.10 eV , respectively. Thus, for insulators, thermal, visible, and ultraviolet activation do not provide enough energy to permit conduction of electricity. Only at much higher temperatures where the solid can melt is electrical conductivity possible via the mobility of ions in the melt. ZnS and $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ have band gap energies accessible in the UV region (Table 6.1).

### 6.2.5 Density of States (DOS)

The number of energy levels in a band is not an even distribution as shown in Figures 6.3 through 6.5. The energy levels of the orbitals are actually packed together more closely or densely at some energies over other energies within the band (e.g., Figure 6.2). The term DOS is defined as the number of energy levels in a given energy range divided by the width of that energy range and has a parabola-like shape. Figure 6.6 a shows that the greatest density of the energy states occurs near the center of the band and the lowest density occurs above and below the energy of that center. As shown in Figure 6.2, there is only one possible molecular orbital


Figure 6.6 (a) The density of states for a semiconductor with a small band gap. (b) The density of states for a semi-metal such as graphite
combination at the low and high energy extremes of the band. For the lattice of a metal with an infinite number of atomic orbitals (referred to as an extended lattice), there are more bonding and antibonding molecular orbital arrangements toward the center of the band. Thus, there are numerous orbitals at the center of the band and if one draws a parabolic shape around these orbitals, a smooth energy band (as in Figures 6.2 and 6.6) is produced. Figure 6.6a shows a representation for the DOS of the valence and conduction bands in a semiconductor. For a semi-metal such as graphite (Figure 6.6b), the high-energy region of the fully occupied DOS and the lower energy region of the completely unfilled DOS meet so that electrical conduction occurs. The planar nature of the carbon atoms in graphite (similar in planar structure to benzene) accounts for graphite's high electrical conductivity (Table 6.2) compared to the tetrahedral structure of the carbon atoms in diamond.

### 6.2.6 Doping of Semiconductors

The data in Table 6.1 indicate that intrinsic semiconductors have approximately a million times lower electrical conductivity than pure metals. The electrical conductivity of intrinsic semiconductors can be improved by adding impurities or dopants uniformly throughout the lattice; thus, they become extrinsic semiconductors. There are two ways to dope intrinsic semiconductors as shown in Figure 6.7. First, for an intrinsic semiconductor such as Si or Ge with an electron configuration of $\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{p}^{2}$, adding an impurity atom with one more electron such as As ( $s^{2} \mathrm{p}^{3}$ ) provides a donor band of slightly lower energy (Figure 6.7b) than the conduction band of the pure intrinsic semiconductor. This is termed an n-type semiconductor as the extrinsic semiconductor has negative charges due to the added electrons that carry the electrical charge. Overall, the dopant lowers the band gap energy and increases the electrical conductivity by about $10^{4}$. Second, adding an impurity atom with one less electron such as boron or gallium ( $s^{2} p^{1}$ ) provides an acceptor band of slightly higher energy (Figure 6.7c) than the valence band of the pure intrinsic semiconductor. This is known as a p-type semiconductor as the extrinsic semiconductor has positive holes or charges that carry the electrical charge. In both n-type and p-type semiconductors, the energy gap may be so small that electrons can be promoted from the donor band to the conduction band (valence band to the acceptor band) via thermal activation. In some sensor


Figure 6.7 Representative energy level diagrams for (a) an intrinsic semiconductor (Si); (b) an intrinsic semiconductor doped with a donor atom (As); (c) and intrinsic semiconductor doped with an acceptor atom (Ga or B)
applications where thermal activation would provide background electrical noise, the semiconductor sensor is cooled with liquid nitrogen.

### 6.2.7 Structures of Solids

### 6.2.7.1 Packing of Metal Atoms in a Crystal

The covalent analysis of solids does not describe how the atoms of the metal pack into an extended lattice, which is a three-dimensional infinite and regular array of points or spheres that represent atoms, ions, or molecules. As a first approximation, each atom and its neighboring atom are considered perfect spheres and are bound identically to each other within the lattice; i.e., each atom has the same number of nearest neighbors within the lattice. For pure metals, the atoms pack in the lattice with one of the following high symmetries: hexagonal close packed (hcp), cubic close-packed (ccp), body centered cube (bcc), and primitive cube ( P ).

Figure 6.8 displays the possible ways to closely pack the same atoms as perfect spheres in three dimensions. Figure 6.8a shows the top view of four rows of atoms (open circles; this row is referred to as row A) in the same plane with each atom touching its neighbor in the same row and the rows adjacent to it. Each atom has six nearest neighbors in the same plane. To place atoms on a plane above that, there are two mutually exclusive possibilities labeled $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ because placing another atom on top of one of those positions results in a tetrahedral arrangement of four atoms (there is a tetrahedral ( $T$ ) hole between these four atoms in which a smaller atom could be placed). Figure 6.8 b shows another plane of atoms that overlay the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ sites in Figure 6.6a (the circles outlined in blue; this plane is referred to as plane $B$ ); the blue colored atom now has six nearest neighbors in row A and three nearest neighbors from plane B . Figure 6.8 c shows a third plane of atoms that overlay the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ sites (the dashed circles outlined in blue; this plane is referred to as plane $C$ and creates a second series of tetrahedral holes). When all three (or more) planes are placed on top of each other (e.g., $A B C A B C$ or $A B A B A B$ ), the blue colored atom now has a total of 12 nearest neighbors: 6 within plane $A, 3$ arranged in a trigonal plane from plane $B$, and 3 more from plane $C$ (or $B$ ). Figure 6.8 d shows that there are octahedral sites represented by the opposing triangles between planes $A$ and $B$. These are in addition to the tetrahedral sites between two planes $A$ and $B$. The number of octahedral sites between any two planes is one half of the number of the tetrahedral sites. These are important in describing ionic lattices below (Section 6.3).

Figure 6.9 shows the two primary ways of packing atoms in a lattice. In the sequence from left to right in Figure 6.9 a, the first three schematics are a top down view showing (1) atoms from plane $A$ have atoms from plane $B$ placed above $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ sites, (2) atoms from plane $A$ have atoms from plane $B$ placed underneath $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ sites, (3) the resulting combination of planes as $B, A, B$, and (4) the side view of this combination. The $A B A B$

(a) Tetrahedral 1 and 2 sites

(b) Tetrahedral 1 sites overlain

(c) Tetrahedral 2 sites overlain

(d) Octahedral site

Figure 6.8 (a) Atoms of a metal as circles in a plane or row A. (b) Atoms from row B overlaying the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ sites from plane A. (c) Atoms from a third plane C overlaying the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ sites from plane A. (d) Formation of octahedral sites between plane A atoms and atoms from plane B that overlay the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ sites from plane A


Figure 6.9 Schematic diagrams of the stacking of planes or sheets of atoms in (a) hexagonal close packing and (b) cubic close packing lattice arrays. Bold spheres are out of the plane of the paper and dashed spheres are below the plane of the paper. Space filled models created using the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {M }}$ program version 8.0.10
layering of rows is called hcp. In the sequence from left to right in Figure 6.9b, the first three schematics are a top down view showing that (1) atoms from plane $A$ have atoms from plane $B$ placed above $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ sites, (2) atoms from plane $A$ have atoms from plane $C$ placed underneath $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ sites, (3) the resulting combination of planes as $C, A, B$ (or $A B C$ ), and (4) the side view of this combination. The $A B C A B C$ layering of planes is called ccp. Both the hcp and ccp schemes result in 12 nearest neighbors for each individual atom.

### 6.2.7.2 Crystal Systems

A crystal is an infinite repetition in three dimensions of a parallelepiped called the unit cell, which is the smallest volume of the crystal containing all the structural and symmetry information. Thus, the crystal structure is known if all the unit cell parameters are known, and unit cells are constructed to conform to the outer symmetry of the crystal while containing the smallest integer number of atoms (ions, molecules). The unit cell edges have lengths $a, b$, and $c$ and are parallel to the crystal axes, $x, y$, and $z$. The system to which a crystal belongs can be obtained from the relationship between the unit cell lengths $(a, b, c)$ and the angles $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ formed between the cell edges [ $a b$ for $\alpha$; bc for $\beta$;ac for $\gamma$ ]. There are seven lattice or crystal systems that are defined by the lengths $(a, b, c)$ and the angles $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ that the lattice points occupy in three-dimensional space (Table 6.3). Within the seven crystal systems, there are 14 unit cells or Bravais lattices. Figure 6.10 shows the three unit cells in the cubic system: the primitive or simple cube ( P ), the body-centered cube (bcc) and the face-centered cube (fcc). There is only one unit cell in the hexagonal system. Only examples from these systems will be discussed in some detail. To better show the unit cells, the spheres of the atoms are drawn so they do not touch as discussed in Figures 6.8 and 6.9.

The total number of atoms in a unit cell can be readily calculated as follows for the cubic structures.
First, each corner of a unit cell (Figure 6.10a) is shared with 8 other unit cells and counts as $1 / 8$ of an atom to each unit cell; thus, for a primitive cube, the total number of atoms in the unit cell equals 1 from $(1 / 8(8))=1$.

Second, an atom in the center of the unit cell counts as one atom; thus, for a bcc (Figure 6.10b), the total number of atoms is $(1+1 / 8(8))=2$.
Third, an atom occupying a face is shared between two unit cells and counts as $1 / 2$ of an atom to each. For a face-centered cube (Figure 6.10d), the total number of atoms is $(1 / 2(6)+1 / 8(8))=4$.
Fourth, in the event that an edge is occupied as in Figure 6.10f, an atom on the edge is shared with four other unit cells so that it contributes $1 / 4$ of an atom to each unit cell.

The primitive cube (Figure 6.10a) and the bcc (Figure 6.10b) are not close packed structures as the hexagonal and cubic closed packing schemes are. Figure 6.10c shows two representations for the face-centered cube. The structure on the right shows black spheres that correspond to plane $A$ (in the ABC close packing scheme); the white spheres correspond to plane $B$ and the blue spheres correspond to plane $C$. The distinct dashed triangles drawn through the blue and white spheres help describe the $C_{3}$ axis, which passes through

Table 6.3 The seven crystal systems, their lattice parameters, and unit cell types. The $\neq$ sign indicates that two or more items are not equivalent. $P=$ primitive; $B C=$ body-centered; $F C=$ face-centered

| Crystal system | Lattice parameters |  | Unit cells (Bravais lattice) types |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cubic | $a=b=c$ | $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}$ | P, BC, FC |
| Hexagonal | $a=b \neq c$ | $\alpha=\beta=90^{\circ} ; \gamma=120^{\circ}$ | P |
| Tetragonal | $a=b \neq c$ | $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}$ | P, BC |
| Rhombohedral | $a=b=c$ | $\alpha=\beta=\gamma \neq 90^{\circ}$ | $P$ |
| Orthorhombic | $a \neq b \neq c$ | $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}$ | P, BC, FC, base centered |
| Monoclinic | $a \neq b \neq c$ | $\alpha \neq \beta \neq 90^{\circ} ; \beta=90^{\circ}$ | P, base centered |
| Triclinic | $a=b \neq c$ | $\alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma \neq 90^{\circ}$ | P |



Figure 6.10 Examples of unit cells for (a) simple or primitive cube; (b) body-centered cube; (c) face-centered cube; (d) primitive hexagon; (e) base-centered orthorhombic; (f) primitive cube with an edge occupied. Dashed spheres are behind the plane of the paper and bold spheres are in front of the plane of the paper
the black spheres, of an octahedron. Figure 6.10d shows the position of atoms occupying a primitive hexagon on the left. The unit cell is a rectangular box as shown on the right. The three atoms in the trigonal plane are in the faces of the interior planes (they could also occupy the centers of each of three rectangular boxes), and there are two tetrahedral holes on each edge of each plane above and below the trigonal plane. Figure 6.10e demonstrates the base-centered system that is found in the orthorhombic and monoclinic crystal classes, and Figure 6.10 f shows one edge occupied in a primitive cube that is shared by four other unit cells.

The coordination number of one metal atom to the others in hexagonal and cubic close packing is 12 ; in a primitive cube it is 6 and in a bcc it is 8 . At normal temperature and pressure, cubic close packing structures are found for Al and members of the Ni and Cu families, which have some of the highest values for electrical conductivity. The alkali metals, the members of the Vanadium and chromium families, and Fe are found in the body-centered structure. Most of the other metals are found in the hexagonal structure. The increased coordination number leads to an increase in metallic or covalent bonding as all the d orbitals can be used to form $\sigma, \pi$, and $\delta$ bonds (as in Figure 6.11) in addition to bonds formed from $s$ and $p$ orbitals. The result is higher melting points for the metals in the center of the transition series, which have partially filled d orbitals that overlap to form more bonds. For example, the melting points (in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for elements in row 4 are $64(\mathrm{~K})$, 839 (Ca), 1539 (Sc), 1660 (Ti), 1890 (V), 1857 (Cr), 1245 (Mn), 1535 (Fe), 1495 (Co), 1452 (Ni), 1083 (Cu), $420(\mathrm{Zn})$, and $30(\mathrm{Ga})$.


Figure 6.11 Overlap of d orbitals on adjacent atoms to form $\sigma, \pi$, and $\delta$ bonds

On increasing the temperature, the highly ordered or close packed structures undergo disorder resulting in structures with less efficient packing and lower coordination number (entropy effect). Increasing pressure tends to favor the highly ordered close packed structures.

### 6.3 Ionic Solids

Ionic solids are crystals composed of an extended lattice of positive and negative ions, which are stabilized by maximizing the attractive forces and minimizing the repulsive forces in the lattice. In a purely ionic solid, a metal gives up its electron(s) to a nonmetal, and the ions formed have electron clouds that cannot be distorted and are considered hard spheres (see Sections 3.8.7 and 7.8.3 for $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$). The forces or bonds between the positive and negative ions are very strong and they are omnidirectional; thus, they have high melting points. They are characterized by low electrical conductivity in the solid (see Figure 6.4 for the band gap of an insulator), but on melting, they have high electrical conductivity. Under compression or external pressure, they tend to break apart due to their brittle nature. Ionic solids are soluble in polar solvents of high dielectric constant $\left(c \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ as the attractive forces $\left(E_{c}\right)$ between the cation and anion decrease according to Coulomb's law (Equation 6.3) where $Z^{+}$and $Z^{-}$are the ionic charges for the cation and the anion, respectively; $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the vacuum permittivity $\left[8.854 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{~J}^{-1}\right]$ and c is a constant for the solvent $\left(c_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=82 ; c_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=25\right.$; $\left.\left.c_{\text {vacuo }}=1\right)\right]$; and $r\left(\right.$ or $\left.r_{0}\right)$ is the bond distance between the cation and the anion. In water, $E_{c}$ (the Coulombic attraction of ions) is $1.2 \%$ of that in vacuum.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{c}=\frac{Z^{+} Z^{-}}{4 \pi\left(c \varepsilon_{0}\right) r} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The breakup of the lattice and the solvation of both the cation and the anion lead to an increase in entropy and a more favorable heat of solvation. Ionic solids that readily dissolve in water are those of the group 1 and group 2 metals bound to halogens, hydroxide, and oxide. Ionic solids with polyatomic cations and anions such as ammonium, nitrate and carbonate also dissolve in water to an appreciable extent. Although aluminum, silicon, and transition metal oxides (and sulfides) are frequently thought of as ionic solids, they have significant covalent bonding between the metal and the oxygen (sulfur) so are sparingly soluble in water at best.

### 6.3.1 Solids AX Stoichiometry

### 6.3.1.1 Sodium Chloride

Ionic solids crystallize in the seven crystal systems shown in Table 6.3 and Figure 6.10, but only ionic solids with high symmetry will be discussed. One of the simplest to describe is the NaCl or rock salt lattice, which crystallizes in a fcc structure. Both the chloride ion and the sodium ion have a coordination number of six as shown in Figure 6.12. Inspection of the figure shows that the blue sphere marked as $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$has six sodium ions as nearest neighbors; there are 12 chloride ions as next nearest neighbors (as expected for ccp of anions); then there are eight sodium ions after that and so on to maximize the attractive forces and minimize the repulsive forces. Figure 6.9 b shows the packing of perfect spheres, which can represent the chloride ions in Figure 6.12 even though the chloride ions should repel each other if they touch each other (using space-filled models the larger ions touch or are very close to touching each other). The sodium ions fill every one of the octahedral holes between the layers of the chloride ions. Figure 6.12 shows that the bond distance, $r$, for the nearest neighbors in NaCl is the sum of the sodium ion radius $\left(r_{+}\right)$and the chloride ion radius ( $r_{-}$). The next nearest neighbors have the distance $h$ (the diagonal of the square planar face) and the next nearest neighbors have


Figure 6.12 (a) Face-centered cube of sodium chloride or rock salt. The equations indicate the geometrical relationships for the FCC structure; the arrows are not drawn to 3D scale. (b) Body-centered cube of cesium chloride. Both unit cells produced using Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ version 8.0.10
the distance d (the diagonal across the cube). The $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions marked as $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ are discussed in the spinel section (6.3.2.2.2).

### 6.3.1.2 Cesium Chloride

Cesium chloride ( CsCl ; Figure 6.12 b ) crystallizes in the body-centered cubic structure, which has the primitive cubic lattice of cesium ions interpenetrating with the primitive lattice of chloride ions. Thus, the coordination number for both the cesium and chloride ions is eight.

### 6.3.1.3 Zinc Sulfide

Figure 6.13 describes the two distinct crystal lattices for zinc sulfide ( ZnS ). Sphalerite or zinc blende is cubic ZnS (Figure 6.13a), and wurtzite is a hcp structure (Figure 6.13b). Sphalerite is the favored structure at low temperatures, and wurtzite is the favored structure at higher temperatures. In Figure 6.13a, the ccp sulfide ions for layers A, B, and C are clearly marked, and one half of the tetrahedral sites between these layers is occupied by the zinc ions. The white solid and dashed lines show a six-membered ring of alternating zinc and sulfide ions in chair conformation. Superimposed upon that ring is a $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ moiety where each Zn ion bridges a sulfide ion from the ring with the sulfur anion at the top; this is similar to the adamantane structure of carbon atoms in organic chemistry. Figure 6.13 b shows the A and B layers of the hcp sulfide ions, and again one half of the tetrahedral sites are occupied by the zinc ions. The dark solid and dashed lines show a six-membered ring of alternating zinc and sulfide ions in the boat conformation. In these structures as well as in the cubic structures in Figure 6.12, there is a significant amount of volume that is not occupied by ions (or spheres). Note that in Figure 6.13 the sulfur ions are very close to touching each other. Carbon as diamond also exhibits the zinc blende structure.

Figure 6.14 displays the unit cells of the sphalerite and wurtzite structures. In Figure 6.14a, the black spheres indicate the A layer, the white spheres indicate the B layer, and the blue spheres indicate the C layer of sulfide


Figure 6.13 Space-filled models produced using Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0 .10 for (a) cubic close packing structure for sphalerite. (b) Hexagonal close packing structure for wurtzite


Figure 6.14 ( $a$ and b) Two different ways to view the cubic close packing arrangement of sulfide ions. (c) The unit cell for sphalerite. (d) Space-filled model for the unit cell of sphalerite. (e) Hexagonal close packing arrangement of sulfide ions. (f) The unit cell for wurtzite. (g) Space-filled model for the unit cell of wurtzite. C, D, E, F, and G produced using Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0.10
ions, respectively. In Figure 6.14b, the triangle indicates the $C_{3}$ axis; a zinc ion slips in the tetrahedral space between the triangle and the black sphere. Figure 6.14 c and d shows the cubic close packed unit cell for sphalerite, and the A, B, and C layers for the sulfide anions are labeled. The solid and dashed black lines in Figure 6.14c (white lines in Figure 6.14d) show the six-membered ring structure with alternating zinc and sulfide ions in a chair conformation. Eight sulfur ions occupy the corners of the cube and six sulfur ions occupy the faces of the cube for a total of four sulfur ions in the unit cell. All four zinc ions are within the unit cell for a total of four zinc ions in the unit cell. The overall unit cell stoichiometry is $\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$.

Figure 6.14 e shows the sulfur ions in a hcp structure. Figure 6.14 f and g shows how the zinc ions pack into the tetrahedral holes of the sulfur ions shown in Figure 6.14e. Figure 6.14f shows black solid and dashed lines (white lines in Figure 6.14 g ) outlining the six-membered ring structure with alternating zinc and sulfide ions in a boat confirmation. Eight sulfur ions occupy the corners of the unit cell, two sulfur ions occupy a face, and three sulfur ions are in the center of the unit cell for a total of five sulfur ions in the unit cell. Four zinc ions are within the unit cell and four more occupy edges of the unit cell for a total of five zinc ions within the unit cell. The overall unit cell stoichiometry is $\mathrm{Zn}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{5}$, and comparison of Figure 6.14 d with Figure 6.14 g shows more empty space between the ions in the unit cell of wurtzite.

### 6.3.2 Solids with Stoichiometry of $\mathrm{AX}_{2}, \mathrm{AO}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{ABO}_{3}$ (Perovskite), $\mathrm{AB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (Spinel)

6.3.2.1 $\mathrm{AX}_{2}, \mathrm{AO}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$

Many solids have a stoichiometry that is not one to one. Thus, when these solids adopt a particular geometry such as 1:2 (or 2:1), only one half of the possible cation or anion sites are occupied. In Figure 6.15, every other calcium site is occupied in the BCC lattice. Thus, in fluorite or calcium difluoride $\left(\mathrm{CaF}_{2}\right)$, the coordination of the calcium ion is 8 whereas each fluoride ion has a coordination number of $4 . \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ also adopts the fluorite structure but the potassium and oxide ions have a coordination number of 4 and 8 , respectively; this is referred to as an antifluorite structure because the cations and anions are reversed to that of $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$.


Figure 6.15 Comparison of the unit cell structures of fluorite (BCC), rutile (hcp), rock salt (ccp), and perovskite (сср). For rutile the unit cell is extended. Structures produced using Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ version 8.0.10

Rutile $\left(\mathrm{TiO}_{2}\right)$ crystallizes in a tetragonal or hexagonal closest packing structure and not the ccp or fcc structure of NaCl . Figure 6.15 shows an extended $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ unit cell; the arrow indicates the direction of the packing of O anions in an AB or $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$ arrangement. Every other octahedral site in the lattice is occupied by $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ ions; thus, each Ti is six coordinate and each O is three coordinate.

Corundum ( $\alpha-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, not shown) crystallizes in a cubic close packing of Al atoms between O atoms with $2 / 3$ of the octahedral sites occupied. Each Al is six coordinate and each O is four coordinate.

### 6.3.2.2 Ternary Compounds

$\mathrm{ABO}_{3}$ - Perovskites. Figure 6.15 also shows the ideal $\mathrm{ABO}_{3}$ perovskite structure (perovskite is the mineral name for $\mathrm{CaTiO}_{3}$ ). Coordination numbers of 12 and 6 are found for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ (A ions) and $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ (B ions), respectively, and the structure is an example of cubic close packing of Ti atoms between O atoms (note the similarity to NaCl , Figure 6.12). The $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ sits above and below two planes (sheets) with alternating Ti and O atoms of $\mathrm{Ti}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ stoichiometry; these planes or extended sheets are bound through four $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds along the edge of the unit cell. There is significant interest in this structure as certain materials having it show superconducting properties. The $\mathrm{ABO}_{3}$ stoichiometry also displays a hcp structure, which is not discussed.
$\mathrm{AB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ - Spinels. The $\mathrm{AB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{B})_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ stoichiometry is found in the spinels (e.g., $\mathrm{MgAl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ), which are common in nature. For a normal spinel, the O anions form in a cubic close packed arrangement, where the A cations occupy one-eighth of all of the tetrahedral holes and the B cations occupy one-half of the octahedral holes. The spinel structure has similarity to NaCl as the O anions occupy $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$sites and the B cations ${ }^{1 / 2}$ of the octahedral $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$sites. The A cations slip into tetrahedral sites as shown in Figure 6.12 with the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$sites marked A, B, C, and D. Figure 6.16 shows two structures for $\mathrm{MgAl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$; (a) is the unit cell showing the tetrahedral Mg cations bound to four O anions and the octahedral Al cation bound to six O anions. Figure 6.16b is the unit cell tilted to show the cubic close packing of $O$ anions in the ABC arrangement as shown in Figure 6.9b. For an inverse spinel, which occurs frequently, all the A cations switch positions with $1 / 2$ of the B cations. Magnetite $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \mathrm{Fe}^{3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$ instead of $\left.\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]$ is an example of an inverse spinel that is stabilized as explained by crystal field energetics when an $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ moves to an octahedral hole (see Table 8.9). Olivine $\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right.$ or $(\mathrm{MgFe})_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ where $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ substitutes for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ] is an orthosilicate that forms with an hcp arrangement of O anions (not shown). The coordination numbers of the Mg and Si cations are four and six, respectively.


Figure 6.16 (a) Unit cell for $\mathrm{MgAl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. (b) Unit cell showing the ccp of $O$ atoms. Structures produced using Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0.10

### 6.3.3 Crystal Radii

In the early 20th century, X-ray diffraction techniques provided information on the interatomic distances between atoms in the unit cell of a crystal lattice. From this, the radius of the cation and the anion could be obtained as the unit cell length is related to the sum of the ionic radii for the cation and the anion. For ionic crystals, an assumption was made that the spheres of the cation and anion were not distorted; i.e., they were considered hard. For sodium chloride in the face-centered cubic structure, the unit cell length is equal to $2 r_{0}$ where $r_{0}$ is the sum of the cation radius ( $r_{+}$) and the anion radius ( $r_{-}$). Using this methodology, Goldschmidt [5] began a systematic experimental program to determine the ionic radii from simple inorganic solids and minerals with halides and oxides as anions where the oxide ion radius was found to be 132 pm . Pauling [6] also calculated the ionic radii from theoretical principles and arrived at a value of 140 pm for the oxide ion. Despite this difference, there was general agreement between the Goldschmidt and Pauling tabulations. Pauling's tabulation of radii was refined by Shannon and Prewitt [7, 8] and then Shannon [9]; the latter are based on the fact that X-rays are scattered by electrons so that electron density maps can be generated. The minimum in electron density of the cation and the anion in a crystal mark the start of one ion and the end of another ion. Table 6.4 provides crystal radii for selected cations and anions from [9]. The radii of cations increase with an increase in coordination number as repulsions increase with the larger number of coordinating anions. The radii of the anions vary less than 5 pm with geometry changes so no specific geometry is given. The accepted anion radii are 14 pm smaller and the cation radii 14 pm larger than the traditional values of Pauling [also known as the effective ionic radii (IR)].

Inspection of Table 6.4 shows that there are some important trends for the crystal radii. Both cation and anion ionic radii increase on descending a group in the periodic table. The loss of electrons for cations relative to the neutral atom results in a decrease in size for the cation. For cations of the same element, an increase in positive charge results in a shorter radius for the same geometry (e.g., in tetrahedral geometry, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ is 77 pm and $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ is 63 pm ). On moving across a row of the periodic table, cations of the same charge will have a smaller cation radius (e.g., in tetrahedral geometry, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ is 77 pm and $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ is 72 pm ) because of the increased nuclear charge. The effect of the poor shielding of the d electrons, which resulted in the lanthanide contraction for atomic radii, results in only a 2 pm difference between the crystal radii for six coordinate $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$. The gain of electrons for anions relative to the neutral atom results in an increase in size for the anion. On moving across the periodic table, anions decrease in size because of the increased nuclear charge and smaller ionic charge (e.g., $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ is 126 pm and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$is 119 pm ). For ions with the same electron configuration, the ion with the highest atomic number has the smallest ionic radius (e.g., for the ions with the neon electron configuration in octahedral geometry, the ionic radii for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ is $86 \mathrm{pm} ; \mathrm{Na}^{+}$is $116 \mathrm{pm} ; \mathrm{F}^{-}$is $119 \mathrm{pm} ; \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ is 126 pm ). Thus, cations are smaller than anions when each has the same electron configuration.

### 6.3.4 Radius Ratio Rule

For purely ionic solids with little or no covalent bonding, there is no distortion of their spherical electron clouds; thus, their spheres are considered to be hard. Using the close packing schemes that assume that the anions touch each other with the cation slipping into the hole between the anions, it is possible to calculate the limiting ratio of the cation radius to the anion radius to predict the coordination number of the cation and the anion. Figure 6.12 shows NaCl , a six-coordinate FCC structure, and the geometrical equations representing the distances between cations and anions. Assuming the anions touch each other, the radius of the anion is given as

$$
2 r_{-}=h=r_{0} \sqrt{2} ; \text { thus } r_{-}=0.707 r_{0}
$$

and

$$
r_{+}=r_{0}-0.707 r_{0}=0.293 r_{0}
$$

Table 6.4 List of crystal radii in picometers (pm) for some common ions from [9]. CN= coordination number. $C N=4$ is high spin $T_{d} . C N=6$ is $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}} . C N=8$ is $B C C . H S=$ high spin; $L S=$ low spin

| +1 Ion | CN | $r$ (pm) | +2 Ion | CN | $r$ (pm) | Transition metals | CN | $r(\mathrm{pm})$ | Anions $r$ (pm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 90 \\ & 106 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}$ | 4 | 41 | $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | 6 | 93 | $\mathrm{F}^{-119}$ |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 113 \\ & 116 \\ & 132 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{M g}{ }^{\mathbf{+}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 86 \\ & 103 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \mathrm{LS} \\ & 6 \mathrm{HS} \end{aligned}$ | 87 | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-} 167$ |
| K ${ }^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 151 \\ & 152 \\ & 165 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 114 \\ & 126 \end{aligned}$ | Mn ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \mathrm{LS} \\ & 6 \mathrm{HS} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 81 \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Br}^{-} 182$ |
| $\mathbf{R b}{ }^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | Sr ${ }^{2+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 132 \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 6 \mathrm{LS} \\ & 6 \mathrm{HS} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 75 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{I}^{-} 206$ |
| Cs ${ }^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181 \\ & 188 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{B a}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149 \\ & 156 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \mathrm{LS} \\ & 6 \mathrm{HS} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 79 \\ & 88.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}^{2-} 126 \\ & \mathrm{OH}^{-} 121 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$ | 2 4 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 74 \\ & 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 109 \\ & 124 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ | S ${ }^{2-170}$ |
| $\mathbf{A g}^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 114 \\ & 129 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{H g}^{\mathbf{+}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 110 \\ & 116 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Se}^{2-} 184$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A u}^{+} \\ & \mathbf{A u}^{3+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 151 \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{P b}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133 \\ & 143 \end{aligned}$ | Zn ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | Te ${ }^{2-} 207$ |
| Important ions with charge greater than 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 67.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{S i}^{\mathbf{4 +}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | Ti ${ }^{4+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 74.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{3-132}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ | 6 | 75.5 | $\mathbf{M n}^{3+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \mathrm{LS} \\ & 6 \mathrm{HS} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 72 \\ & 78.5 \end{aligned}$ | Mn ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \mathrm{LS} \\ & 6 \mathrm{HS} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 69 \\ & 78.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \mathrm{LS} \\ & 6 \mathrm{HS} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.5 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P t}^{\mathbf{2 +}} \\ & \mathbf{P t}^{4+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 76.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |

The minimum or limiting ratio of the cation radius to the anion radius for the cation and anion to crystallize in an octahedral geometry is given below.

$$
\frac{r_{+}}{r_{-}}=\frac{0.293 r_{0}}{0.707 r_{0}}=0.414
$$

Table 6.5 lists minimum radius ratios for common coordination numbers, geometries, and lattice types. A value between 0.225 and 0.414 (or 4.45 to 2.42 ) predicts a tetrahedral geometry. Using radii from Table 6.4,

Table 6.5 Limiting radius ratios for different geometries and coordination numbers (CN). Values in parentheses are the inverse of the smaller radius divided by the larger radius

| CN | Geometry | Limiting radius ratio | Lattice structure types |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cation:anion |  |  |  |
| $4: 4$ | Tetrahedral | $0.225(4.45)$ | Sphalerite, wurtzite |
| 4 | Square planar | $0.414(2.42)$ |  |
| 6:6 and 6:3 | Octahedral | $0.414(2.42)$ | $\mathrm{NaCl}^{2}, \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ |
| $8: 8$ and $8: 4$ | BCC | $0.732(1.37)$ | $\mathrm{CsCl}_{2} \mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ |
| 12 | CCP, HCP, dodecahedron | $1.000(1.000)$ | Metals; $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ in perovskite |

we can predict that NaCl is octahedral $(116 / 167=0.69), \mathrm{CsCl}$ is $\mathrm{BCC}(188 / 167=1.08)$, and $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ is eight coordinate for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(126 / 119=1.05)$. Interestingly, the sulfides of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ are all found as four coordinate (sphalerite and wurtzite) structures and violate the radius ratio rule; e.g., for ZnS , we predict octahedral $(74 / 170=0.435)$. The reason for this is that these solids are infinite covalent and not ionic lattices (see Section 12.2). The radius ratio rules assume hard anion spheres that are not distorted by the cation. Thus, the tabulation of crystal radii in Table 6.4 is best used with the oxide and fluoride ions. As will be shown in the discussion of hard-soft acids and bases (Section 7.8.3), these metals are soft acids and sulfide is a soft base; thus, they are highly polarizable ions. A coordination number of 12 is not found in purely ionic substances but is found in alloys and metals and in certain solids with more covalent character (e.g., $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ in perovskite) as noted above.

### 6.3.5 Lattice Energy

For ionic compounds, the energy of the crystal lattice is the energy released when cations and anions (as perfect spheres or point charges) come together from infinite separation to form a perfect crystal as in Equation 6.4. The energy, $E_{\mathrm{C}}$, in Joules when one gaseous cation and one gaseous anion combine in vacuo at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ is described by the Coulombic attraction Equation 6.5, which is modified from Equation 6.3 to consider the electrostatic charge, $e=1.602 \times 10^{-19}$ Coulomb (C), the vacuum permittivity, $\varepsilon_{0}=8.854 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{~J}^{-1}$, and $r$ is in meters. Because of the negative charge on the anion, the Coulombic energy is negative and is representative of the Gibbs free energy of the interaction.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{+}+\mathrm{X}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MX}(\mathrm{~s})  \tag{6.4}\\
& E_{c}=\frac{Z^{+} Z^{-} e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r_{\mathrm{o}}} \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 6.5 only describes one ion pair interaction between nearest neighbors, but as shown in Figure 6.12 for sodium chloride there are significantly more interactions based on the geometry of the lattice. The first attractive interaction, $r_{0}$, has six nearest neighbors for each cation (or anion); the second interaction, $h=$ $r_{0} \sqrt{2}$, is repulsive and has 12 next nearest neighbors; the third interaction, $d=r_{0} \sqrt{3}$, is again attractive and has eight next nearest neighbors, and so on. Continued analysis of all of the ionic interactions between cations and anions leads to a convergent series that is known as the Madelung constant ( M or A ), which varies with the geometry of the crystal lattice or unit cell. Thus, Equation 6.5 becomes Equation 6.6a where $N$ equals the Avogadro's number for 1 mol of ion pairs in the fcc structure. Equation 6.6 a accounts for about $70 \%$ of the forces in the lattice, and is also known as the Lande attraction expression. Equation 6.6 b is the

Table 6.6 Values of the geometrical Madelung constant for structures with different geometries and the Born exponent for different electron configurations of the ions

| Structure | Coordination number <br> Cation:anion | Madelung Constant | lon Configuration | n |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sphalerite, ccp | $4: 4$ | 1.63806 | He | 5 |
| Wurtzite, hcp | $4: 4$ | 1.64132 | Ne | 7 |
| Sodium chloride | $6: 6$ | 1.74756 | $\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Cu}^{+}$ | 9 |
| Cesium chloride | $8: 8$ | 1.76267 | $\mathrm{Kr}, \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ | 10 |
| Fluorite | $8: 4$ | 2.51939 | $\mathrm{Xe}, \mathrm{Au}^{+}$ | 12 |

general expression for a given geometry and Madelung constant, which are found in Table 6.6.

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{c}=\frac{N Z^{+} Z^{-} e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{\mathrm{o}} r_{\mathrm{o}}}\left(6-\frac{12}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} \cdots\right)  \tag{6.6a}\\
& E_{c}=\frac{A N Z^{+} Z^{-} e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{\mathrm{o}} r_{\mathrm{o}}} \tag{6.6b}
\end{align*}
$$

However, ions are not perfect spheres or point charges as they have electron clouds, which repel each other at short distances and occupy volume; thus, the ions are compressible. A repulsive force must balance the Coulombic attraction between ions, and Born represented the repulsive force, $E_{\mathrm{R}}$, in Equation 6.7 where $B$ is a constant and the exponent $n$ is evaluated from compressibility data. As the ions move closer to each other, the bond distance $(r)$ decreases, and the repulsive force increases. As $r$ increases, the value of $n$ will increase because larger ions have higher electron density with more electron charge to be pulled toward the nucleus (see Table 6.6). The total lattice energy, $U$, is the sum of $E_{c}$ and $E_{\mathrm{R}}$ (Equation 6.8).

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\mathrm{R}} & =\frac{B}{r_{o}{ }^{n}}  \tag{6.7}\\
U & =E_{c}+E_{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{A N Z^{+} Z^{-} e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r_{\mathrm{o}}}+\frac{N B}{r_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{n}} \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the derivative (Equation 6.9) of the lattice energy with respect to the bond distance, $r$, minimizes the lattice energy, $U_{\mathrm{O}}$, at the equilibrium distance between ions so that the attractive and repulsive forces are balanced. Thus, the constant, $B$, can now be evaluated as in Equation 6.10 for a given lattice with a Madelung constant, $A$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d U}{d r} & =0=\frac{A N Z^{+} Z^{-} e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{\mathrm{o}} r_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}}-\frac{n N B}{r_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{n+1}}  \tag{6.9}\\
B & =\frac{-A Z^{+} Z^{-} e^{2} r_{\mathrm{o}}^{n-1}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{\mathrm{o}} n} \tag{6.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of $B$ from Equation 6.10 into Equation 6.8 leads to Equation 6.11a, which is known as the Born-Landé expression. Equation 6.11b evaluates the constants in Equation 11a so that $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ is in units of $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. For ionic crystals with the same A value, increasing the bond distance $r_{0}$ results in an increase of
the lattice energy ( $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ becomes more positive); recall that the radius as shown in Table 6.3 also increases with an increase in the coordination number. Thus, four coordinate structures are predicted to be more stable as the lattice energy is more favorable. $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ is easily evaluated from X-ray diffraction data when A and $r_{0}$ are known. The value of $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ decreases (becomes more negative) with an increase in ionic charge and with an increase in the Madelung constant, which increases as the coordination number increases.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\mathrm{O}}=\frac{A N Z^{+} Z^{-} e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{\mathrm{o}} r_{\mathrm{o}}}-\frac{A N Z^{+} Z^{-} e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{\mathrm{o}} r_{\mathrm{o}} n}=\frac{A N Z^{+} Z^{-} e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{\mathrm{o}} r_{\mathrm{o}}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{6.11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\mathrm{O}}\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)=1.39 \times 10^{5} \frac{A Z^{+} Z^{-}}{r_{\mathrm{o}}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{6.11b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the radii for $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, the Madelung constant of 1.74756 (Table 6.6) and the Born exponent of 8 (Table 6.6; the average of the neon and argon electron configurations for $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, respectively), a $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ value of $-755.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ is obtained. The experimentally determined Born exponent is 9.1 , which leads to a value of $-766.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for $U_{\mathrm{O}}$. Using corrections for van der Waals forces, the zero point energy, and heat capacity effects, the generally accepted calculated value for $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ is $-777.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. All are in good agreement.

### 6.3.6 Born-Haber Cycle

According to Hess's law, the enthalpy of a reaction $\left(\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ is the same whether the reaction occurs in one or several steps. Equation 6.12 shows the formation of $\mathrm{MX}_{(\mathrm{s})}$ from the elements in their standard states. Equation 6.4 above describes the reaction of the gaseous $\mathrm{M}^{+}$and $\mathrm{X}^{-}$ions to form the same crystal, $\mathrm{MX}_{(\mathrm{s})}$. Born and Haber demonstrated a thermodynamic cycle (Equation 6.13, Figure 6.17) to calculate $U_{\mathrm{O}}$. The cycle can also be used to estimate one of the other parameters ( $\Delta H_{\mathrm{IE}}$ or $\Delta H_{\mathrm{EA}}$ ) when $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ can be determined experimentally or calculated from Equation 6.11 or 6.14.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}_{(\mathrm{s})}+1 / 2 \mathrm{X}_{2(\mathrm{~g})} \rightarrow \mathrm{MX}_{(\mathrm{s})} \quad \Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}  \tag{6.12}\\
& \Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}=\Delta H_{\mathrm{AM}}+\Delta H_{\mathrm{AX}}+\Delta H_{\mathrm{IE}}+\Delta H_{\mathrm{EA}}+U_{\mathrm{O}} \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

There are accurate atomic experimental data for the first four terms in Equation 6.13 where $\Delta H_{\mathrm{AM}}$ is the enthalpy of atomization of the metal, $\Delta H_{\mathrm{IP}}$ is the enthalpy of atomization of a nonmetal, $\Delta H_{\mathrm{AX}}$ is the enthalpy of dissociation of a diatomic molecule, and $\Delta H_{\mathrm{EA}}$ is the electron affinity of the gaseous nonmetal. For the simple alkali halides, accurate experimental data are available for $\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}$. Using these experimental data for sodium chloride, a $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ value of $-770.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ is calculated. This is in excellent agreement with the calculated value of $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ using Equation 6.11.

Kapustinskii [10] realized that the internuclear distance, the stoichiometry of a compound or crystal, and the Madelung constant are interrelated. In the absence of any X-ray data that would give the crystal structure and thus the Madelung constant, the lattice energy can be calculated for compounds that are not well known using Equation 6.14 and the crystal radii from Table 6.4, where $v$ is the number of ions per molecule based on the stoichiometry. The value of 34.5 pm indicates the repulsion of ions at short distances from each other.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\mathrm{O}}\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)=\frac{120,200 v \mathrm{Z}^{+} Z^{-}}{r_{0}}\left(1-\frac{34.5 \mathrm{pm}}{r_{0}}\right) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6.17 Born-Haber cycle for the formation of a crystal, $\mathrm{MX}_{(\mathrm{s})}$, from the elements in their standard states

Table 6.7 Thermochemical radii in picometers for environmentally relevant polyatomic ions from Refs. [10, 11] are corrected to be consistent with radii in Table 6.4

| Cations | Anions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N H}_{4}{ }^{+}, 151$ | $\mathbf{N O}_{3}{ }^{-}, 165$ | $\mathbf{C O}_{3}{ }^{\mathbf{2 -}}, 164$ | $\mathbf{P O}_{4}{ }^{\mathbf{3 -}}, 252$ | $\mathbf{S e O}_{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 -}}, 235$ |
| $\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{N}^{+}, 215$ | $\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{-}, 178$ | $\mathbf{H C O}_{3}{ }^{-}, 142$ | $\mathbf{S O}_{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 -}}, 244$ | $\mathbf{I O}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{-}, 108$ |

There are many examples of ionic solids $\left(\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}\right)$ that have polyatomic cations $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$and/or anions $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right.$ ). Because the $\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}$ of these ionic solids is known, use of the Born-Haber cycle permits calculation of $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ when the other ion is not polyatomic. Also, substitution of $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ into Equation 6.11 or 6.14 permits calculation of $r_{0}$ and then calculation of the $r_{+}$or $r_{-}$of the polyatomic ion. These calculated values of the radii obtained are known as thermochemical radii (Table 6.7), and are reliable for spherical ions (e.g., $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ) that will "pack" into a lattice. Many ions are planar $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right)$ or linear ( $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$), and their calculated radii should be regarded as an estimate.

### 6.3.7 Thermal Stability of Ionic Solids

Large negative vaues for $\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ of ionic solids lead to high melting points. For ionic solids with polyatomic anions, decomposition to other products can occur. For example, the metal carbonates decompose to metal oxides on heating as represented by the following reaction.

$$
\mathrm{MCO}_{3(\mathrm{~s})}+\text { heat } \rightarrow \mathrm{MO}_{(\mathrm{s})}+\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}
$$

Group II or alkaline earth carbonates crystallize mainly in the calcite $\left(\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}\right)$ or aragonite structures (Figure 6.18, Table 6.8). Only $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ crystallizes in both structures. In hexagonal calcite, there are two planes of alternating $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions that point in opposite directions ( $180^{\circ}$ rotation to each other). The metal cation $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right)$ is six coordinate (oxygen atoms are numbered in the bottom of Figure 6.18a) as six $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions surround ( 3 above and 3 below) the $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ion. There is one O atom from each $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ion octahedrally arranged about one $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$. In orthorhombic aragonite, there are also two planes of alternating $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions (slightly deformed from planar geometry) that also point in opposite directions. However, the $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ions $\left(\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right)$ are displaced laterally from the calcite structure so that the six $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions surrounding each $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ion have nine oxygen atoms binding the metal; three $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions have two O atoms (bidentate) binding via 1,2; 4,5; 6,7 (Figure 6.18b), and the other three $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions have only one O atom


Figure 6.18 Crystal structure representations of calcite (a; unit cell of $a=499.00 \mathrm{pm} ; b=499.00 \mathrm{pm}$; $c=1706.15 \mathrm{pm} ; \alpha=90 ; \beta=90 ; \gamma=120$ ) and aragonite ( $b ;$ unit cell of $a=496.14 \mathrm{pm} ; b=796.71 \mathrm{pm}$; $c=574.04 \mathrm{pm} ; \alpha=\beta=\gamma=90$ ) made using Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0.10. Colors: White $=$ Ca; blue $=\mathrm{C}$; black $=\mathrm{O}$

Table 6.8 Parameters for the alkaline earth carbonates. $\mathrm{CN}=$ coordination number. The $\mathrm{MCO}_{3}$ temperature occurs at a dissociation pressure of $1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Metal oxide melting points (m.p.) from Ref. [12]; $M O$ and $M C O_{3}$ coordination numbers from Refs. [12, 13]

|  | $\mathrm{BeCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{SrCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{MCO}_{3}$ dissoc. $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 250 | 540 | 900 | $\sim 1290$ | 1360 |
| Cation CN in $\mathrm{MCO}_{3}$ | 4 | 6 | 6 or 9 | 9 | 9 |
| $r_{\mathrm{M}} / r_{\mathrm{CO}}^{3}$ |  | 0.524 | 0.695 | 0.804 | 0.908 |
| MO m.p. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 0.25 | 2530 | 2826 | 2613 | 2430 |
| Cation CN in MO | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1923 |
| $r_{\mathrm{M}} / r_{\mathrm{O}}$ | 0.325 | 0.683 | 0.905 | 1.045 | 1.118 |

(monodentate) binding via atoms 3; 8; 9. Aragonite is denser (more stable at high pressure and lower T) and more soluble than calcite.

As shown in Table 6.8, the thermal stability of the Group II carbonates increases on going from beryllium to barium, which parallels the increase in cation radius shown in Table 6.4. The ionic radius for $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ is 164 pm , and the radius is 126 pm for $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$. The coordination number for the cations increases on descending

Group II in the periodic table, and indicates that large cations stabilize large anions whereas small cations stabilize small anions. However, the thermal stability of the alkaline earth oxides ( $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ radius is 126 pm ) decreases on going from beryllium to barium; this $\mathrm{M}^{2+} \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ pattern parallels the more stable $U_{\mathrm{O}}$ due to the decrease in the radius for metal oxides and the lower coordination number for the cations at the top of the periodic table. The smaller cations are harder (see Section 7.8.3) and can polarize the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ so that one of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds is broken to form MO solids with release of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

### 6.3.8 Defect Crystal Structures

All solids contain some kind of defect or imperfection in their structure and/or composition. Defects can be intrinsic, which occur in the pure material, and extrinsic, which occur when impurities substitute for part of the pure material. The driving force for defects is the increase in entropy that results from creating disorder or imperfection according to $\Delta G=\Delta H-T \Delta S$.

### 6.3.8.1 Point Defects (Intrinsic Defects)

There are many types of defect structures and only a few point defects will be described. A Schottky defect arises when a vacancy occurs in a perfect lattice. For example, the same number of sodium and chloride ions are missing from their normal sites (Figure 6.19a) so that the solid retains its $1: 1$ stoichiometry. For ionic solids with the stoichiometry of $1: 2$ as in $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$, for every calcium ion that is missing, two fluoride ions are also missing; thus, the charges are balanced and the stoichiometry is not changed. Some solids have apparent non-stoichiometry as in the examples of $\mathrm{Fe}_{0.95} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1.77} \mathrm{~S}$; however, these solids are stoichiometric with respect to charge. For example, in $\mathrm{Fe}_{0.95} \mathrm{O}$, for every $3 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ions removed, they are replaced by two $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ to achieve the atomic stoichiometry. Similarly in $\mathrm{Cu}_{1.77} \mathrm{~S}$, for every $2 \mathrm{Cu}^{+}$ions removed, they are replaced by one $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ion. In all these examples, the cations and anions are located at the appropriate site in the crystal lattice.

Another example of a point defect is the Frenkel defect where an atom or an ion has moved to an interstitial site from its normal site in the crystal lattice. AgCl has the rocksalt structure and a small number of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions move from octahedral to tetrahedral sites (Figure 6.19b), but the stoichiometry remains unchanged. Frenkel defects occur most often in structures with low coordination number where the radius of the cation is smaller than the radius of the anion. Table 6.4 shows that the radius of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$changes from 129 to 114 pm on going from octahedral to tetrahedral geometry $\left(\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right.$only changes from 116 to 113 pm$)$. Figures 6.13 and 6.14 show that there is significant open space in the crystal lattices described by the hexagonal and cubic close packing


Figure 6.19 (a) Schottky defect: arrows indicate missing of equal number of cation and anion sites. (b) Frenkel defect: arrow shows $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ion moved from an octahedral to a tetrahedral site. (c) Farbe center. Structures produced using Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0.10
schemes so movement of the cation (or the anion) to interstitial sites can occur. Although the ionic lattice has a balanced charge, there is a slight imbalance in charge in the area of the lattice where the cation moved, and this may affect reactivity.

Another example of point defects is the Farbe or colored center (Figure 6.19c), which occurs when an electron in the crystal lattice is excited by heat or by radiation from visible, UV, and X-ray energy. The electron occupies a vacant anion site and a characteristic color specific to the ionic compound is observed when it is excited. For example, sodium iodide produces a yellow color when ionized by an X-ray beam during an X-ray diffraction experiment. The color can be enhanced by doping a small amount of sodium metal into the crystal of sodium iodide.

In some instances, two different atoms or ions can interchange in the crystal lattice. For example, in $\beta$-brass with alternating Cu and Zn atoms, two Cu or Zn atoms can become next nearest neighbors on heating. The atom interchange defect occurs more frequently in alloys. The repulsive forces of two cations or two anions together in a crystal lattice prevents ions of the same charge from interchanging to be nearest neighbors. In ternary compounds like the spinels, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ions can interchange between octahedral and tetrahedral sites. If one Mg and one Al ion interchange as in Figure 6.16, which shows the spinel $\mathrm{MgAl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, it would be similar to interchange of a tetrahedral $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ with an octahedral $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ to produce the inverse spinel. Octahedral $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ has a radius of 78.5 pm similar to the radius of tetrahedral $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(77 \mathrm{pm})$ whereas tetrahedral $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ and octahedral $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ have radii of 63 and 92 pm , respectively.

### 6.3.8.2 Impurities (Extrinsic Defects)

Gemstones. The incorporation in nature of low levels of one atom for another atom in a crystal structure leads to different chemical and physical properties. Corundum $\left(\alpha-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ crystallizes in a cubic close packing of Al atoms between O atoms with two-thirds of the octahedral sites occupied. Al is six coordinate and each O is four coordinate. In nature, $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ substitutes stoichiometrically for $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}(0.2-1 \%$ atomic $)$ leading to the gemstone ruby, which has a red color from the absorption of green light due to the excitation of its delectrons (see Section 8.8.5). Other gemstones also have stoichiometric replacement of a major ion with impurities.

In Figure 6.7b and c , the doping of small amounts ( 1 ppm or less) of material into intrinsic semiconductors leads to enhanced electrical properties.

Substitution in Biogenic Carbonates. Many organisms make shells or skeleton material from calcium carbonate. When organisms form minerals as a part of their life process, the process is called biomineralization. Macrofauna (clams, mussels, some corals, etc.) typically produce calcite shells or skeletons, but other corals as well as otoliths (a structure in the inner ear of fish) form aragonite. Phytoplankton such as coccolithophores and foraminifera also produce calcite skeletons whereas pteropods produce aragonite skeletons. Figure 6.18 shows that there is significant space between $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions in calcite and aragonite, but the unit cell is largest for calcite. Thus, substitution of other metal and/or nonmetal ions for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions in $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ minerals occurs during their formation. It is easier for larger ions $\left(\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right)$ to replace $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ in aragonite as these larger ions have the aragonite structure.

In many types of corals, carbonate shells or skeletons that have the aragonite structure, metals such as $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ substitute more for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ when waters become colder [14]. Thus, the measurement of Sr concentration over the shell's length (a measure of its life span) reflects the temperature of the waters latitudinally or seasonally (if the shell is studied over its entire length using microscopic techniques or laser ablation with elemental analysis by inductively coupled plasma -mass spectrometry, ICP-MS). These types of measurements have also been used on older material in museum and other collections to obtain data on sea surface temperature
over time. This type of metal substitution is an inorganic or abiotic process that can be characterized by the temperature-dependent "distribution coefficient" ( $D_{\mathrm{M}}$; Equation 6.15).

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{\left\{\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{Ca}}\right\}_{\text {shell }}}{\left\{\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{Ca}}\right\}_{\text {water }}} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\{\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Ca}\}_{\text {shell }}$ and $\{\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Ca}\}_{\text {water }}$ are the molar ratios of a given metal (M) in the shell (coral, otolith, etc.) and ambient water, respectively. Equation 6.15 ignores kinetic and metabolic controls on $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ formation [15]. Organisms that grow in polluted environments also easily incorporate other divalent metal ions $(\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Hg}$, Pb , etc.) in their carbonate structures along with $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$, and can be used to give a record of pollution history [16]. Likewise, because $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions are planar, spherical ions such as $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ can substitute for them alone or in combination with Ba as $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$. As arsenic exists normally as $\mathrm{AsO}_{3}{ }^{3-}$ and $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ incorporation of arsenic in carbonate biominerals indicates possible pollution. The study of cations and anions in carbonate materials is a very active research area.

### 6.4 Nanoparticles and Molecular Clusters

Emphasis in this chapter has been on the discussion of the extended or infinite lattice of solids. However, the reaction of solution materials that form solids frequently leads to the formation of nanoparticles, which are defined as materials ranging between 1 and 100 nm in size (see Chapter 12). Nanoparticles are frequently found in the environment [17], but they are being actively synthesized for semiconductor, energy, medical, and other applications. Nanoparticle size can be calculated from spectroscopic data, and the calculations are in reasonable agreement with size information from electron microscopy. Table 6.1 gives information on the band gap for several important minerals including some that have semiconductor behavior (e.g., the metal sulfides), and these band gaps lie in the UV or visible region. The excitation of an electron from the valence band to the conductance band of a semiconductor (with formation of an electron-hole pair) is analogous to the electronic transition from the HOMO to the LUMO in a molecule. The UV-Vis spectra of nanoparticles are blue shifted from that of the bulk material; i.e., the absorption peak shifts to lower wavelength or higher energy. The difference between the energy of the peak for the bulk material and the peak of the nanoparticulate material $(\Delta E)$ is related to the radius $(R)$ of the nanoparticle (Equation 6.16; [18]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E=E_{\mathrm{np}}-E_{\text {bulk }}=\frac{h c}{\lambda}-E_{\text {bulk }}=\frac{\pi^{2} \hbar^{2}}{2 R^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{m_{\mathrm{e}}}+\frac{1}{m_{\mathrm{h}}}\right)-\frac{1.8 e^{2}}{\varepsilon R} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $m_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $m_{\mathrm{h}}$ are the effective masses of the electron and the hole, respectively, $e$ is the charge of an electron, $\hbar=(h / 2 \pi)$, and $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric constant for the semiconductor $\left(\varepsilon=4 \pi c \varepsilon_{0}\right.$, where $c$ is the constant for the particle as in Equation 6.3).The highest wavelength, $\lambda$, of the absorption peak is related to the first excitation of an electron from the valence band to the conduction band of the nanoparticle and is used to find its band gap energy (Figure 6.20). The first term in Equation 6.16, which dominates when $R$ is small, corresponds to the confinement energies for the electron-hole pair (i.e., particle-in-a-box), and the second term accounts for the Coulombic interaction between the electron and the hole. This process is also known as the quantum confinement effect. These model calculations are upper estimates because coupling of electronic states to vibrational contributions and the structure of the surface are ignored. Still, there is good agreement between these size calculations and the size determined by electron microscopy.


Figure 6.20 UV/vis absorbance spectrum of a typical thiolate capped CdS nanoparticle solution. The sample had $600 \mu \mathrm{M}$ cysteine, $150 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}(I I)$, and $150 \mu \mathrm{MS}(-\mathrm{II})$ in $2.2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO}$ ( pH 7.8 )

Nanoparticles do not exhibit identical chemical behavior with the bulk solid or mineral for several reasons. First, they have increased surface area. Figure 6.21 demonstrates the decreasing number and fraction $(F)$ of surface atoms to total atoms in a cube as the number of atoms increases. For a cube with a length of 30 atoms on a side, the fraction of surface atoms is $<19 \%$. Although the individual atoms composing the nanoparticle are more exposed to the medium in which they reside, they may not be more reactive. For example, they can be effectively capped by organic material, which may prevent their dissolution or their growth to larger particles. The CdS nanoparticles giving the spectrum in Figure 6.20 were capped by the thiol-containing amino acid, cysteine, which binds $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ preventing further reaction with sulfide and growth of CdS. Second, the nanoparticles in Figure 6.21 are ideal or "perfect" as they have no defects; thus, the lattice energy is maximized. As defects increase, lattice energy becomes more positive and nanoparticle reactivity, such as dissolution, can increase. Third, the band gap increases compared to the bulk solid as in Equation 6.16, which can make the LUMO band more positive and less ready to accept electrons during nanoparticle reduction reactions. Likewise, the HOMO will become more stable and less ready to donate electrons during nanoparticle oxidation reactions.

Molecular cluster is a term used to describe a very small group of atoms about the size of a unit cell or more. In Figure 6.21, the cube with eight atoms is an example of a cluster. Ferredoxins are $\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ units with $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bonds (Figure 12.8); the Fe atoms are also bound to organic substrates and are an example of a bioinorganic molecular cluster. In Figures 6.13 and 6.14, the cyclic $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{6}$ subunits of sphalerite and wurtzite are examples of possible molecular clusters that may be stabilized with capping agents (see Sections 12.2 and 12.4).

Figure 4.6 shows cage structures that are found in tetrahedral $\mathrm{P}_{4}$, icosahedral $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ as well as various allotropes of B , and in $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ fullerene. Cyclic $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ (Figure 4.15) is another cluster example. The neutral compounds $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ are cage structures where O atoms insert between the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds of the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ tetrahedron


For a cube; Number of atoms in the cube $=n^{3}$
Number of atoms inside a cube $=(n-2)^{3}$
Figure 6.21 Cubic nanoparticles (e.g., NaCl or PbS ) show the decrease in the number of surface atoms with increasing size of the cube. Models produced with Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ version 8.0.10


Figure 6.22 Structures of the cage compounds $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$. White $=\mathrm{P}$; Blue $=\mathrm{O}$
(Figure 6.22). The $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ structure is similar to adamantane and the $\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{6}$ structure in Figure 6.13a. In $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, P is +3 and in $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$, P is +5 .

The reaction of molybdate with phosphate in acidic solution forms the phosphomolybdate anion $\left[\mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{3-}$, which is used to determine phosphate in natural waters.

$$
\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}+12 \mathrm{MoO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+27 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}+12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Its ammonium salt $\left\{\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{3}\left[\mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]\right\}$ was first synthesized by Berzelius in 1826 and exhibits the $\alpha$-Keggin cage-like structure shown in Figure 6.23. The P atom in $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ is tetrahedral and $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ is surrounded by 12 neutral $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}$ units with the $12 \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{VI})$ ions in a cuboctahedral arrangement (also see Figure 4.6); each O in $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ coordinates to three Mo. Thus, each Mo is six coordinate $\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{6}\right.$ octahedra) as there are five bridging O atoms (four $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mo}$ bridges and one $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ bridge for each Mo ) plus one $\mathrm{Mo}=\mathrm{O}$ for each Mo. There are a number of anions with the stoichiometry of $\left[\mathrm{XM}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{n-}$ or $\left[\left(\mathrm{XO}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{M}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{36}\right)\right]^{n-}$ where $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{VI})$ or $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{VI})$ and $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{P}^{5+}, \mathrm{Si}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{3+}$. These are known as heteropoly compounds or anions, which are important


Figure 6.23 Two views of the same $\alpha$-Keggin structure for the phosphomolybdate anion $\left[\mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{3-}$ produced with Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0.10. White $=$ Mo; Black $=\mathrm{P} ;$ Blue $=\mathrm{O}$
catalysts in organic synthesis [19] and water splitting (oxidation) to form $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ [20]. For $\left[\mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{3-}$, mild reducing agents such as ascorbic acid can reduce $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{VI})$ to $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{V})$, resulting in a blue color with absorption peaks at 660 and 882 nm for $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ analysis.
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## 7

## Acids and Bases

### 7.1 Introduction

Acids and bases have been known since before Roman times, for their ability to transform a chemical substance into other chemical forms. For example, sulfuric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right.$, oil of vitriol; vitriolic acid) could be produced by the aqueous oxidation of pyrite $\left(\mathrm{FeS}_{2}\right)$, which is a common mineral used for many purposes [1]. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ dehydrates sugar as well as metal hydrates with sometimes dramatic color changes, and also dissolves metals via redox reactions. It is normally the chemical that is produced and sold in greatest quantity in the world each year.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6} \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{C}_{\text {black }}+5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\text { sulfuric acid/water mix } \\
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\text {(blue) }} \rightarrow \mathrm{CuSO}_{4 \text { (white) }}+5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-} \\
& 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{Cu} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first base was called lye, which was obtained by leaching ashes with water producing potassium hydroxide solution. Lye is a common name for bases, which are used in a variety of purposes including wood degradation into paper or fibers and soap production.

### 7.2 Arrhenius and Bronsted-Lowry Definitions

Common acids such as hydrochloric acid $(\mathrm{HCl})$ and nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$ were not formally prepared until about the 16th century, so formal definitions of acids and bases similar to bonding theories are relatively new. In 1884, Svante Arrhenius defined an acid as a chemical species that when dissolved in water produced the hydrogen ion, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. Although useful, the definition is limited as it does not encompass a large variety of reactions and only considers water as solvent.

In 1923, Brønsted and Lowry defined an acid and base reaction as one that involves a hydrogen ion transfer between two reactants. The acid is the hydrogen ion donor (the Brønsted acid) and the base is the hydrogen

[^5]ion acceptor (the Brønsted base). This definition applies to all solvents and the gas phase. For example, the reaction between HCl and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can occur in water as solvent or in the gas phase (an atmospheric reaction) and results in complete $\mathrm{H}^{+}$transfer to form the hydronium ion, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$. The reactions are written as follows to express the physical state of the species: $\mathrm{aq}=$ aqueous or water as solvent; $\mathrm{g}=$ gas; $\mathrm{l}=$ liquid (solvent other than water).

HCl is termed the acid and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(a product) is termed its conjugate base. Likewise, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is the base and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ (a product) is the conjugate acid of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

The reaction between HCl and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ can occur in water as solvent, in liquid ammonia as solvent, or in the gas phase (forming an aerosol particle) as in the following three reactions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{l})}+\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{aq)}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})} \\
& \mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{l})}+\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{l})} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{(\mathrm{l})}^{-}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{l})} \\
& \mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{~g})} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{g})} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right][\mathrm{Cl}]_{(\mathrm{g})} \text { or } \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}
\end{aligned}
$$

Formation of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{(\mathrm{g})}$ as an ion pair results in aerosol or gas particle formation. Aerosol formation reactions are very important in the atmosphere and are dominated by the reaction of $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{~g})}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4(\mathrm{~g})}$. Both reactants can come from natural (e.g., $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ from volcanoes followed by oxidation) and anthropogenic sources, to form a variety of (nano)particles of different stoichiometry depending on the number of gaseous acid and base molecules present.

The HCl reactions result in complete hydrogen ion transfer so HCl is defined as a strong acid. The acid strength of an aqueous solution can be determined by the pH of the solution (Equation 7.1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left\{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right\} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right\}$is the activity of the hydrogen ion although $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$, the molar hydrogen ion concentration, is frequently used. For a 0.1 M HCl solution, the pH will be 1 from Equation 7.1 whereas a 0.01 M HCl solution has a pH of 2.

HF , acetic or ethanoic acid $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right.$ or HAc$)$ and carbonic acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ are examples of weak acids as complete hydrogen ion transfer to water does not occur. The reactions below show a two-edged arrow indicating an equilibrium reaction between the reactants and the products as the reaction does not result in complete dissociation of hydrogen ion from the parent acid.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{HF}_{(\mathrm{l})}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{l})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{F}^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})} & K_{\mathrm{a}}=3.5 \times 10^{-4} \text { at } 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{HAc}_{(\mathrm{l})}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{l})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Ac}^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})} & K_{\mathrm{a}}=1.74 \times 10^{-5} \text { at } 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{l})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}{ }_{(\text {aq })} & K_{\mathrm{a}}=4.3 \times 10^{-7} \text { at } 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
\end{array}
$$

The strength of an acid is represented by the equilibrium reaction as in Equation 7.2 for HF where $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the acid ionization constant (also dissociation constant or acidity constant). Here [ ] are used to denote concentration, but for accurate work, the activity denoted by \{ \} should be used. The activity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the solvent, is assumed to be 1 when the acid solution is dilute so is omitted as in the right of Equation 7.2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]}{[\mathrm{HF}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]}{[\mathrm{HF}]} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A value of $K_{\mathrm{a}}<1$ or $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}>1$ (where $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log K_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) indicates that the acid does not dissociate or ionize to a significant extent; thus, the fraction of ionization can be readily calculated from the initial concentration of the weak acid and the $K_{\mathrm{a}}$. Physical and chemical parameters need to be specified as $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is a function of temperature as well as pressure ( $P$ ) and ionic strength (abbreviated as $I$ or $\mu$ ) of the solution. Table 7.1 provides some $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for common acids. Strong acids have negative values.

Table 7.1 shows that some acids can lose two or more $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. For $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, there are three acid ionization constants listed as $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 1}=2.12, \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 2}=7.21$, and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 3}=12.67$ ). There is only one $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ listed for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ because of the uncertainty in the value of $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 2}$, which is estimated to be $>18$ [2] compared to the value of $\sim 14$ that is reported in many texts.

An important way to show the fraction $(\alpha)$ of each chemical species to the total concentration of all species versus pH is with a speciation or species distribution diagram. The fraction of each acid-base species is calculated using all the acid dissociation constants for the chemical species [3, 4]. Figure 7.1 shows diagrams for the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (7.7.3.1) systems. On increasing pH , the conversion of equilibrium species from one to the other normally occurs within 3 pH units.

The dissolution of a base, such as ammonia, in water can be represented in a similar way (Equation 7.3) where $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the basicity constant. The activity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the solvent, is assumed to be 1 . Here, the extra pair

Table 7.1 Common acids and their $p K_{a}$ values at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Acid | $p K_{a}$ | Acid | $p K_{a}$ | Acid | $p K_{a}$ | Acid | $p K_{a}$ | Acid | $p K_{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| HI | -11 | $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ | -10 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | -9 | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ | -2 | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | 2.12 |
| HBr | -9 | $\mathrm{HClO}_{3}$ | -1 | $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | 1.92 | $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ | 3.25 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-$ | 7.21 |
| HCl | -7 | $\mathrm{HClO}_{2}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ | 1.81 | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ | 2.23 | $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | 12.67 |
| HF | 3.45 | $\mathrm{HClO}^{2}$ | 7.2 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 7.04 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}-$ | 6.95 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 4.75 | $\mathrm{CClH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 2.85 | HCOOH | 3.75 | $\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2}$ | 11.5 |  |  |



Figure 7.1 Speciation diagrams for the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (seawater) and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (freshwater) systems
of electrons on N bond to $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.


$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing a H atom on $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ with electron-withdrawing groups such as OH produces weaker bases; e.g., $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (hydroxylamine) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$ (hydrazine) withdraw electrons from the central N atom and their $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ values are $1.07 \times 10^{-8}$ and $1.70 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively. Alkyl amines are stronger bases so have a higher $K_{\mathrm{b}}$ than $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$; the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\left(K_{\mathrm{b}}=4.37 \times 10^{-4}\right)$ donates electron density relative to H atoms to the central N atom.

### 7.3 Hydrolysis of Metal-Water Complexes

On dissolution of a salt in water, metals form complexes with water such as $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$. Here, the metal is fully coordinated or hydrated with water molecules, and is called a free metal ion. Free metal cations, $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{x+}$, hydrolyze in water releasing hydrogen ions and forming hydroxo species according to Equation 7.4 for $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$. This reaction can be written as an equilibrium reaction that gives the hydrolysis constant $K_{\mathrm{H}}$ (Equation 7.5), which is another way to express $K_{\mathrm{a}}$. The activity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the solvent, is assumed to be 1 .

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+\underset{\text { base }}{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})\right]^{2+}}+\begin{array}{c}
\text { conjugate base }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \\
\text {conjugate acid }
\end{array}  \tag{7.4}\\
& K_{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}} \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$ value ( $-\log K_{\mathrm{H}}$ or the tendency for free metal ions to hydrolyze) is similar to $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ and generally decreases with an increase in the square of the ionic charge to radius of the cation $\left(Z^{2} / r\right)$ as shown in Table 7.2. $Z^{2} / r$ and $Z / r$ are similar to electronegativity and are a good measure of the molecule's or ion's tendency to draw electrons to itself in bonds and stabilize higher oxidation states. The increasing tendency to hydrolysis can be high for elements in the same family of the periodic table (e.g., it decreases for the ions from Li to K ; and Be to Ba ) or for elements with two or more oxidation states (e.g., $\mathrm{Tl}^{3+}>\mathrm{Tl}^{+} ; \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}>\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ). However, the values of the radii, which are used for the $Z^{2} / r$ calculation, are Shannon [7] crystal radii and not solution radii. Thus, there is not as smooth an increase of $Z^{2} / r$ with decreasing $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$; e.g., notice the slight differences going across the first transition series ( Mn to Zn ) for the +2 cations. There is an irregular pattern for Al and the elements below it probably due to the first filling of the $d$ and $f$ orbitals (Scandide and Lanthanide contractions).

The elements with the smallest $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$ will hydrolyze further, resulting in precipitation of metal oxides or metal (oxy)hydroxides. In the case of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$, the result will be precipitates such as FeOOH (goethite) and $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (hematite). Precipitation can be prevented by binding with organic compounds or chelates with functional groups containing two or more $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$, and S atoms (e.g., Table 8.1).

The addition of base $\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right.$or $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ to free metal cations is an acid-base titration and results in the precipitation of metal hydroxides, (oxy)hydroxides, or oxides. These are condensation reactions that lose $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$as $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$leading to polymeric materials (e.g., the dimer in Equation 7.6 has bridging hydroxide groups;

Table 7.2 The first hydrolysis constants ( $p \mathrm{~K}_{H}$ ) for selected metal ions at infinite dilution from [5, 6] arranged to decrease on descending the periodic table. Data for $Z^{2} / r$ in units of ( $\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \times 10^{28}$ ) are calculated from the six coordinate radii given by Shannon [7] except for Be, which is four coordinate

| Main group | $Z^{2} / r$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$ | First transition series | $Z^{2} / r$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$ | Second/third/post transition series | $Z^{2} / r$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ | 1.69 | 14.46 | Mn ${ }^{\text {2+ }}$ | 10.6 | 10.6 | $\mathbf{A g}^{+}$ | 1.99 | 12.0 |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | 2.21 | 14.18 | $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | 12.4 | 9.86 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ | 2.85 | 13.64 | $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ | 11.6 | 9.65 | $\mathrm{Ac}^{3+}$ | 18.3 | <10.4 |
| $\mathrm{TI}^{+}$ | 1.57 | 13.21 | $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | 11.2 | 9.58 | $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ | 9.42 | 10.08 |
| $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ | 6.89 | 13.47 | $\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | 11.7 | 8.96 | $\mathbf{P b}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | 7.72 | 7.71 |
| $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ | 7.78 | 13.29 | $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ | 11.8 | <8.0 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ | 9.01 | 12.85 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{S n}^{2+}$ | 7.55 | 3.40 |
| $\mathbf{M g}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | 11.9 | 11.44 | Sc ${ }^{3+}$ | 26.1 | 4.3 | $\mathbf{H g}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | 8.85 | 3.40 |
| Lanthanides | 19-23 | 7.6-8.5 | $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ | 30.6 | 4.01 | Th ${ }^{4+}$ | 38.0 | 3.20 |
| Be ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 17.4 | 5.40 | Co ${ }^{3+}$ | 30.8 | 2.92 | Pu ${ }^{4+}$ | 41.1 | 1.60 |
| $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ | 34.2 | 4.97 | $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ | 29.4 | 2.19 | $\mathrm{U}^{4+}$ | 39.9 | 0.65 |
| $\mathbf{I n}^{3+}$ | 24.6 | 4.00 | $\mathrm{V}^{3+}$ | 29.6 | 2.26 | $\mathrm{Zr}^{4+}$ | 47.7 | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{Ga}^{3+}$ | 30.4 | $2.6$ | $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ | 22.5 | $2.2$ | Hf ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 48.3 | 0.25 |
| T ${ }^{3+}$ | 22.5 | 0.62 | $\mathbf{M n}^{3+}$ | 29.4 | 0.08 |  |  |  |

Section 8.3) or solids with dimensions ranging from 1 nm to $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. In the range from 1 to 100 nm , these are termed nanoparticles and in the range from 0.1 to $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, they are also called colloidal suspensions. The earth's crust and mantle are composed of Fe and Si polyoxo anions that form extended three dimensional structures. Equation 7.6 is an example of an entropy favored reaction as is Equation 12.2 (Section 12.2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+} \leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]^{4+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other solvents undergo solvolysis (general term for solvent molecules binding an ion); for example, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ reacts with metal ions as in Equation 7.4 to form $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{+}$, which can form the species $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)+$ $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$.

### 7.4 Hydration of Anhydrous Acidic and Basic Oxides

### 7.4.1 Acidic Oxides

The addition of water to anhydrous nonmetal oxides (Equations 7.7 and 7.8 ) from Groups $14-17$ of the periodic table leads to the generation of oxoacids, which generate hydrogen ions; nonmetal oxides are thus acidic oxides. For sulfuric $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=-9\right)$ and perchloric $\left(\mathrm{HClO}_{4}, \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=-10\right)$ acids, the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is fully dissociated so that hydrolysis is virtually complete.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}  \tag{7.7}\\
& \mathrm{ClO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{HClO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-} \tag{7.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The strength of an oxoacid is related to the electronegativity or formal charge on the central atom. Thus, nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$, perchloric acid and sulfuric acid are among the strongest acids known. Carbonic acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$
is weak and boric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}\right)$ is even weaker. There are four oxoacids of chlorine: $\mathrm{HOCl}, \mathrm{HOClO}, \mathrm{HOClO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{HOClO}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of $7.2,2.0,-1$, and -10 , respectively. Increasing the number of O atoms not bound to H atoms also increases the acidity of these acids as well as the formal charge on the central atom ( $0,1,2$, and 3 for these acids). A useful rule or equation to determine the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ for these acids is Equation 7.9 where $m$ is the formal charge on the central atom and $n$ is the number of O atoms not bound to H atoms [8].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=8.0-m(9.0)+n(4.0) \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same is true for the oxoacids of nitrogen (nitric acid, $\mathrm{HONO}_{2}$, and nitrous acid, HONO ) and sulfur [sulfuric acid $(\mathrm{HO})_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and sulfurous acid $(\mathrm{HO})_{2} \mathrm{SO}$. As shown in Table 7.1, replacing a H atom on $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ with a Cl atom results in a stronger acid, as the Cl atoms withdraw electrons from the carboxyl group, thereby releasing hydrogen ions to solution more readily.

Acidic oxides also react with base to form the conjugate base as in Equation 7.10.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.4.2 Basic Oxides

Addition of water to anhydrous metal oxides results in the production of hydroxide ions (Equations 7.11 and 7.12); metal oxides are thus basic oxides. Except for BeO , Group I and II metal oxides are basic oxides, and on descending each group, the basicity of the metal oxide increases.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{LiOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{Li}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}  \tag{7.11}\\
& \mathrm{BaO}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \tag{7.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The reaction of a nonmetal oxide with a metal oxide (Equations 7.13 and 7.14) leads to salt formation.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{BaO}+\mathrm{SO}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}  \tag{7.13}\\
& \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{HSO}_{4}\right]^{-} \tag{7.14}
\end{align*}
$$

### 7.4.3 Amphoteric Oxides

Many oxides (e.g., $\mathrm{BeO}, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Ga}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) react with both acids and bases and are called amphoteric oxides (Equations 7.15 and 7.16; note the change in coordination for Al ). Boron oxides are acidic but the other metal oxides in Group 13 are amphoteric. For transition metals, low oxidation state metal oxides (e.g., CrO ) are normally basic. Intermediate oxidation states (e.g., $\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) tend to be amphoteric, and high oxidation states are acidic (e.g., $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}$ ).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+6 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}  \tag{7.15}\\
& \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2\left[\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]^{-} \tag{7.16}
\end{align*}
$$

### 7.5 Solvent System Definition

Water and other solvents autoionize by forming a cation (the acid) and an anion (the base) representative of that solvent. The following three reactions show $\mathrm{H}^{+}$transfer and the formation of cations and anions.

The reverse reaction is termed the neutralization reaction.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { acid base conjugate base conjugate acid } \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{l})}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{l})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{OH}^{-}{ }_{(\text {aq })} & +\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}{ }_{(\text {aq })} & K_{\text {diss }}=10^{-14} \\
\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{l})}+\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{l})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{l})} & +\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{l})} & K_{\text {diss }}=10^{-30} \\
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4(\mathrm{l})}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4(\mathrm{l})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{l})} & +\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{l})} & K_{\text {diss }}=10^{-4}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The autoionization reaction is an equilibrium reaction as shown in Equation 7.17 for water where $K_{\mathrm{w}}$ is $1.01 \times 10^{-14}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (neutral $\mathrm{pH}=7$ ) and $1.148 \times 10^{-15}$ at the freezing point of water (neutral $\mathrm{pH}=$ 7.47 at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). In seawater, $K_{\mathrm{w}}$ is $6.166 \times 10^{-14}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (neutral $\mathrm{pH}=6.605$ ) due to the increased ionic strength $[9,10]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{w}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other solvents do not transfer an $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, but their autoionization reaction still results in forming a cation (acid) and an anion (base) as in these solvents that transfer a chloride ion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{OPCl}_{3(\mathrm{I})}+\mathrm{OPCl}_{3(\mathrm{I})} & \leftrightarrow \mathrm{OPCl}_{2}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{l})}+\mathrm{OPCl}_{4}^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{I})} \\
\mathrm{BCl}_{3(\mathrm{I})}+\mathrm{BCl}_{3(\mathrm{I})} & \leftrightarrow \mathrm{BCl}_{2}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{l})}+\mathrm{BCl}_{4}^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{l})}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.5.1 Leveling Effect

The strength of an acid has only been discussed in the context of water as a solvent, but it can vary depending on the solvent. The dissolution of acetic acid into water, liquid ammonia, and sulfuric acid gives much different products, indicating that it can even be a base in sulfuric acid. This phenomenon is known as the leveling concept, which states that all acids and bases stronger than the characteristic cation and anion of a given solvent be leveled to the latter. Note that the conjugate base $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}$of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the conjugate acid $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ are formed in the acetic acid reactions.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-} & \text {weak acid } \\
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-} & \text {strong acid } \\
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}_{2}^{+} & \text {base }
\end{array}
$$

### 7.6 Gas Phase Acid-Base Strength

Many reactions involving the hydrogen ion occur in the atmosphere or gas phase where solvent effects are negligible so another measure of acid-base strength is needed. The proton affinity (PA) of a base in the gas phase is the enthalpy or energy released (negative thermodynamic quantity) during reactions as defined in Equations 7.18a and 7.18b. The PA value is defined as the negative value of the $\Delta H_{\text {reaction }}$. It is a positive quantity as shown for neutral and negative ions in Table 7.3, and can be determined experimentally using ion cyclotron resonance spectroscopy.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{B}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{H}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{g})} \rightarrow \mathrm{BH}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{g})}  \tag{7.18a}\\
& \mathrm{PA}=-\Delta H_{\text {reaction }} \text { or } \Delta H_{\mathrm{PA}} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{g})} \rightarrow \mathrm{BH}_{(\mathrm{g})} \tag{7.18b}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 7.3 Proton affinity (PA) data for selected chemical species from Refs. [11, 12]. The values are arranged to increase for the neutral species (blue column) for comparison with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$

| Neutral species | IP (eV) | PA (eV) | $\mathrm{PA}\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Ionic species | $\mathrm{PA}\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Species | $\mathrm{PA}\left(\mathrm{k} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| He | 24.581 | 1.84 | 178 |  |  |  |  |
| H | 13.595 | 2.65 | 256 | $\mathrm{H}^{-}$ | 1675 |  |  |
| N | 14.545 | 3.39 | 327 | $\mathrm{N}^{3-}$ | 3084 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 12.071 | 4.38 | 423 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | 1476 | $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ | 661 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 15.426 | 4.39 | 424 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 13.615 | 5.04 | 486 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 15.581 | 5.13 | 495 | $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | 1439 |  |  |
| NO | 9.264 | 5.51 | 532 | $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$ | 1519 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 13.769 | 5.68 | 548 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 12.99 | 5.72 | 552 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | 1745 |  |  |
| CO | 14.014 | 6.15 | 593 |  |  |  |  |
| OH | 12.9 | 6.2 | 598 | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | 1635 | $\mathrm{HO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | 1573 |
| C | 11.265 | 6.42 | 619 |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 678 |
| $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | 11.41 | 6.65 | 642 |  |  |  |  |
| S | 10.357 | 6.86 | 662 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 12.15 | 6.9 | 666 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 12.62 | 7.22 | 697 | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | 1635 | $\mathrm{O}^{\mathbf{2 -}}$ | 2318 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 10.47 | 7.38 | 712 | HS ${ }^{-}$ | 1469 | $\mathrm{S}^{\mathbf{2 -}}$ | 2300 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ |  | 7.43 | 717 | $\mathrm{HSe}^{-}$ | 1466 | $\mathbf{S e}^{\mathbf{2 -}}$ | 2200 |
| HCN | 13.91 | 7.43 | 717 | $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ | 1469 |  |  |
| CH | 10.64 | 7.7 | 743 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ |  | 8.18 | 789 | $\mathrm{PH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | 1552 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 10.15 | 8.85 | 854 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | 1689 | $\mathrm{NH}^{\mathbf{2 -}}$ | 2565 |

The data listed in Table 7.3 can be understood when the proton affinity Equation 7.18 is broken into the sum of three separate processes via a Born-Haber cycle as in Figure 7.2 resulting in Equation 7.19 (the + sign for each process indicates that the enthalpy of the process costs energy as in the IP for the H atom, and the - sign indicates that the process releases energy). The first reaction $[I P(H)]$ does not vary, but the bond dissociation energy and the electron affinity vary for each base considered. Note the minus sign in Equation 7.19 indicating the reverse of each process. The EA for B is the same as the $\operatorname{IP}$ for $\mathrm{B}^{-}\left[\operatorname{IP}\left(\mathrm{B}^{-}\right)\right]$. When the PA is known, the cycle can be used to estimate one of the other parameters ( $\Delta H_{\mathrm{IP}(\mathrm{B}-)}$ or $\left.\Delta H_{\mathrm{EA}(\mathrm{B})}\right)$, which is similar to Equation 6.13 for lattice energy.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{g})} & \text { reverse of the ionization potential (IP) for the H atom [IP(H)] (+) } \\
\mathrm{B}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{g})} \rightarrow \mathrm{BH}_{(\mathrm{g})} & \text { reverse of the bond dissociation energy (BDE) (+) } \\
\mathrm{B}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{-} \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{B}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{e}^{-} & \text {reverse of the electron affinity (EA) for B [EA(B)] (-); IP for } \mathrm{B}^{-}(+) \\
\mathrm{B}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{g})} \rightarrow \mathrm{BH}_{(\mathrm{g})} & \text { proton affinity as } \Delta H_{\mathrm{PA}}(-) \\
\Delta H_{\mathrm{PA}}=-\left[\Delta H_{\mathrm{IP}(\mathrm{H})}+\Delta H_{\mathrm{EA}(\mathrm{~B})}+\Delta H_{\mathrm{BDE}(\mathrm{BH})}\right]=-\left[\Delta H_{\mathrm{IP}(\mathrm{H})}-\Delta H_{\mathrm{IP}(\mathrm{~B}-)}+\Delta H_{\mathrm{BDE}(\mathrm{BH})}\right] \tag{7.19}
\end{array}
$$

The higher the value of PA in Table 7.3, the more basic the chemical species. Comparison of neutral and ionic species for the same central atom (e.g., $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ) shows that ionic species have larger PA values as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}_{(\mathrm{g})}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{g})} \xrightarrow{\Delta H_{\mathrm{PA}}} \mathrm{BH}_{(\mathrm{g})} \\
& \uparrow \begin{array}{l}
\Delta H_{\text {EA }} \\
+\mathrm{e}^{-}
\end{array} \quad \uparrow \begin{array}{l}
\Delta H_{\text {IP }} \\
-\mathrm{e}^{-}
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{B}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{g})} \underset{\Delta H_{\text {BDE }}}{\leftarrow} \mathrm{BH}_{(\mathrm{g})}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 7.2 Born-Haber cycle for the determination of the $\Delta H_{\text {PA }}$
they are more basic. For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, the PA values increase slightly because the bond dissociation energy decreases on descending the periodic table more than the electron affinity increases (e.g., 1.1 eV for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ versus 0.75 eV for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ).

The proton affinity of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is greater than that of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ because the bond dissociation energy of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds is higher than that of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds; i.e., the inverse of the bond dissociation energy is a higher positive enthalpy so decreases the PA for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Also the electron affinity for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.75 \mathrm{eV})$ is greater than that for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(0.16 \mathrm{eV})$ and contributes to decreasing the PA value.

For $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, the PA value is larger than that of $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ as it is more basic. In this case, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is stronger than the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ bond; however, the electron affinity of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(0.16 \mathrm{eV})$ is substantially smaller than that for $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ of 1.263 eV . Thus, the larger EA for $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ results in its lower PA value. In many other instances, the EA is the dominant term in calculating PA.

### 7.6.1 $\quad \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$as a Reactant

As noted in Section 5.5.1, the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$forms the cation $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$, which is the most common cation in the universe. Table 7.3 shows that it should be an excellent hydrogen ion donor as all species with a larger PA value than $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ will accept $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$as in Equation 7.20.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{HX}^{+} \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Among the chemical species ( X ) in Table 7.3 that can accept $\mathrm{H}^{+}$are $\mathbf{N}_{2}$, the carbon compounds not containing hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{C}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ as well as those already containing hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right.$ and HCN$)$. These carbon reactions in interstellar space can lead to the formation of small organic molecules that are the building blocks for simple biochemicals such as amino acids [13].

### 7.7 Lewis Definition

In 1923, Lewis also defined an acid as an electron pair acceptor and a base as an electron pair donor. Inspection of all the acid-base reactions above shows that they meet the criterion of this definition. However, the Lewis definition can also account for neutral species and transition metal reactions in addition to ionization reactions as in the examples below. The reaction of a neutral acid and neutral base results in the formation of a Lewis acid-base adduct or complex, and the bond has been referred to as a coordinate covalent or dative bond. Planar $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ and $: \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ which is pyramidal, react to form such a bond. The arrow between N and B indicates donation of the electron pair from N to B . Both the B and N atoms assume tetrahedral geometry in the complex.

$$
\mathrm{BF}_{3}+: \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BF}_{3} \text { or }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N} \rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}
$$

These reactions are important for all metal ions in aqueous solution as free metal ions have bound water molecules, which are displaced by another base, which is also called a ligand.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ag}^{+}{ }_{(\text {aq })}+2: \mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+} ; \text {which is formally ammonia substitution for water } \\
& \mathrm{Fe}+5: \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}: \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Lewis acid-base definition has some similarity with redox chemistry (Chapter 2). An oxidizing agent accepts electrons; therefore, it is formally an acid whereas a reducing agent donates electrons and is formally a base.

### 7.7.1 MOT

The Lewis definition of an acid-base reaction corresponds well with the frontier molecular orbital theory (FMOT) approach, which indicates donation of an electron or electron pair from the HOMO of a base to the LUMO of an acid. If the symmetry characteristics of the HOMO and LUMO match and their energies are appropriate, the reaction should proceed quickly. This is the case for the hydroxide ion ( -1.825 eV HOMO) donating a pair of electrons to $\mathrm{H}^{+}\left(-13.6 \mathrm{eV}\right.$ LUMO) to form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as discussed in Figure 5.22 and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$ donating electrons to reduce $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{I}^{-}$in Figures 5.27 and 5.28.

### 7.7.2 Molecular Iodine Adducts or Complexes as Examples

Many solvents act as electron pair donors to iodine to form a Lewis acid-base complex according to the following reaction, which can be monitored by UV-Vis spectroscopy (see Figure 1.2). $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ absorbs at a wavelength $(\lambda)$ of 500 nm (violet color is reflected), and the acid-base complexes absorb at wavelengths that are shifted toward the blue or UV region (giving a brownish color) as in Table 7.4. The shift in wavelength can be rationalized using MOT as these are examples of charge transfer transitions.

$$
\mathrm{D}:+\mathrm{I}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{I}_{2}
$$

On the left of Figure 7.3, the upper orbitals are shown for $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ including the stable LUMO ( $\sigma^{*}=-2.55 \mathrm{eV}$ ). The absorption at 500 nm (blue dashed arrow in Figure 7.3) is due to a $\pi^{*} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}$ transition between the $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ orbitals. On reaction, the donor HOMO combines with the $\mathrm{I}_{2} \sigma^{*}$ LUMO to form two new molecular orbitals (the bonding $\sigma \mathrm{DI}_{2}$ and antibonding $\sigma * \mathrm{DI}_{2}$ ). Two absorption peaks are now possible; the first is the $\pi * \mathrm{I}_{2} \rightarrow \sigma * \mathrm{DI}_{2}$ transition (blue arrow in Figure 7.3), which is of higher energy and lower wavelength than the original $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ transition and which is responsible for the absorptions in Table 7.4. This transition is a direct measure of the bond strength; the greater the $\Delta E$ (also smaller the $\lambda$ ) of this transition, the greater the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{I}_{2}$ bond strength. The second possible absorption is due to the $\sigma \mathrm{DI}_{2}$ to $\sigma * \mathrm{DI}_{2}$, but it is of high enough energy to be observed in the UV region. Both of these absorptions result from the mixing of electron donor and acceptor orbitals

Table 7.4 Spectroscopic data for oxygen and nitrogen donor compounds to form a Lewis acid-base complex with $I_{2}$ [14]

| Donor compound (D) | $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | Donor compound (D) | $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 464 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ | 436 |
| 1,4-dioxane $\left[\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ | 447 | pyridine | 407 |



Figure 7.3 Iodine molecular orbitals are on the left, the donor HOMO is on the right, and the LCAO of these orbitals to form the molecular orbitals of the complex is in the center (compare with Figure 5.46). The upper figure is a possible orbital combination between the donor and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$
so are considered charge transfer transitions from the donor to the acceptor. The frontier MO diagram for complex formation between $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and Lewis bases in Figure 7.3 will be similar for formation of other Lewis acid-base complexes.

### 7.7.3 Thermodynamics of Lewis Acid-Base Reactions

Drago and his group [14, 15] proposed Equation 7.21 to calculate the enthalpy of a Lewis acid-base reaction in nonpolar and nonbasic solvents; i.e., they do not solvate or coordinate with the metal. Here, A and B refer to the acid and base, respectively. Nonsolvating solvents do not exhibit cation (acid) or anion (base) character as in the solvent system definition of acids and bases; thus, their reactions resemble gas-phase-like behavior.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta H=E_{\mathrm{A}} E_{\mathrm{B}}+C_{\mathrm{A}} C_{\mathrm{B}} \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter $E$ indicates the electrostatic contribution (combination of ionic or dipole-dipole) and $C$ the covalent contribution to bonding. Equation 7.21 bears similarity to the Heitler-London and Mulliken wave function equations (Section 5.2.1) for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as there are ionic and covalent terms for the total energy. $E_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $C_{\mathrm{A}}$ were defined with a value of 1 for $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. Base parameters then were calculated from known enthalpy data collected via calorimetric and spectroscopic data between the reactions of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ with neutral bases. Table 7.5 shows $E$ and $C$ parameters for a few neutral acids and bases from [15]. Note that the $C$ term is larger than the $E$ term for all species other than $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, indicating that the complexes formed exhibit more covalent bonding. A general rule from these data indicates that acids tending to bind covalently will bind to bases that bind covalently; the same is true for acids and bases that tend to bind as ionic species. Note that Table 7.4 showed that the wavelengths for these complexes shift to the blue region in accordance with these $E$ and $C$ data.

Table 7.5 E and C parameters for select acids and bases in kcal mol${ }^{-1}$ [15]

| Acid | $E_{A}$ | $C_{A}$ | Base | $E_{B}$ | $C_{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | Pyridine | 0.88 | 6.92 |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | 1.12 | 0.726 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ | 0.969 | 3.42 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ | 5.77 | 1.76 | 1,4 dioxane | 0.68 | 2.82 |
| ICl | 4.15 | 1.61 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ | 0.533 | 1.77 |

The calculations using Equation 7.21 work well for neutral acids and bases; e.g., the calculated and experimental values for the reaction of pyridine with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ are within $1 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta H_{\text {calc }} & =(1 \times 6.92)+(1.0 \times 0.88)=-7.80 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \text { or }-32.6 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
\Delta H_{\text {expt }} & =-33.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

However, to accommodate charged species, which transfer electron density from negative ions to positive ions or neutral molecules, additional $t_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $t_{\mathrm{B}}$ terms were later added. Overall, this method developed parameters for hundreds of molecules and allowed the prediction of reactivity between molecules for which no experimental data were available.

### 7.7.4 Lewis Acid-Base Reactions of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ with Water and Hydroxide Ion

### 7.7.4.1 $\quad \mathrm{CO}_{2}$

The carbon dioxide acid-base system is the most important to biological and geochemical processes on earth. Natural waters on most of the earth's surface have a pH in the range of $4-8$. The value of the Henry's Law constant at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the dissolution of gaseous $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in pure water is low (Equation 7.22 a ) as the final $\mathrm{pH}=$ 5.5. In contrast, the Henry's Law constant for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in seawater at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{pH}=8.10)$, which has significant base content, is five orders of magnitude higher (Equation 7.22b). The kinetics of the reaction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (Equation 7.22c) is also over five orders of magnitude slower than the reaction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to form $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$(Equation 7.22d). Equations 7.22e and 7.22f give the acid dissociation constants of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in freshwater at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{aq})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} & K_{\mathrm{H}} & =3.30 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~Pa}^{-1}=\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}\right) / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})} \\
\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{sw})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} & K_{\mathrm{H}} & =3.24 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~Pa}^{-1} \\
\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} & k & =3.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{aq})} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} & k & =8.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} & K_{\mathrm{a} 1} & =4.50 \times 10^{-7} \\
\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-} & K_{\mathrm{a} 1} & =4.70 \times 10^{-11}
\end{array}
$$

Although the oceans cover $70 \%$ of the earth's surface, over time increased $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ dissolution into seawater has made the ocean more acidic so it will absorb less $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Thus, as gaseous $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions to the atmosphere continue to increase, the ocean is less able to uptake the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

The difference in the Henry's Law constant for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in pure water (Equation 7.22a) versus seawater (Equation 7.22b) can be explained by the unfavorable energy for the LUMO of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(+0.6 \mathrm{eV})$; i.e., $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is


Figure 7.4 Frontier orbitals for the reaction of (a) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. (b) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. (c) $\mathrm{MM}+$ rendition of hydrogen bonding, which permits hydrogen ion exchange during the reaction of water with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Blue arrows indicate direction of electron transfer, and black dashed arrows indicate hydrogen ion movement. Models produced with HyperChem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ version 8.0.10
a poor electron acceptor. The HOMO energies for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$are -12.61 and -1.825 eV , respectively. There is a substantial uphill energy barrier of 13.21 eV for the HOMO of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to donate a pair of electrons to the LUMO of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. However, the uphill barrier for $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to donate electrons is only 2.425 eV ; thus, seawater can dissolve more $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ than waters at $\mathrm{pH} \leq 7$. Figure 7.4 a and b shows the orbitals and energetics involved in the dissolution of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in water and base, respectively. Data in Equation 7.22a indicate that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ still dissolves in pure water despite the prediction of the orbital analysis energetics. In Figure 7.4c, hydrogen bonding between adjacent water molecules allows formation of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$that can then attack $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ [16, 17]. Thus, the dissolution of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in pure water is very slow. Other gases such as $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, which has a LUMO energy of -1.107 eV and a $K_{\mathrm{H}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~Pa}^{-1}$, also do not quickly dissolve in water. The uphill HOMO-LUMO barrier is 11.5 eV for $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$.

### 7.7.4.2 Lewis Acid-Base Reaction of $I_{2}$ with Water and Hydroxide Ion

In a similar way, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ also does not react with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ but does react with $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to form HOI . The energy of the $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ LUMO is -2.55 eV whereas the HOMO energies for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$are -12.61 eV and -1.825 eV , respectively.

### 7.7.5 Lewis Acid-Base Competitive Reactions

Another way to measure acid-base strength is to perform competitive reactions such as displacement reactions or metathesis reactions. Displacement reactions occur when one Lewis base or acid replaces or substitutes for another as in the reaction where $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ donates electrons more readily than ammonia to $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$. Transition metal ions are also well known to participate in substitution or displacement reactions (Chapter 9). Both of the gases CO and HCN (which dissociates in the blood to $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$) are toxic to animals as CO and $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ readily displace $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ from Fe (II) in hemoglobin leading to asphyxiation and death.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BF}_{3}+: \mathrm{N}_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N} \rightarrow \mathrm{BF}_{3}+: \mathrm{NH}_{3}} \\
& \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+6 \mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Formally, the hydrogen ion transfer reaction of a Bronsted acid is a displacement reaction as water acts as a base to accept a $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from the acid.

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{l})} \rightarrow \mathrm{HS}^{-}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}
$$

A metathesis reaction results in an interchange of the individual acid and base components from two compounds so is a double displacement reaction. It frequently leads to a precipitate when metal ions are involved. In this case, the methyl anion $\left[: \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]$ displaces Cl from the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond. The reaction is formally a competition reaction. The formation of solid LiCl drives the reaction energetics.

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{Li}+\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow \mathrm{LiCl}_{(\mathrm{s})}+\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Si}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)
$$

### 7.8 Classification of Acids and Bases

### 7.8.1 Irving-Williams Stability Relationship for the First Transition Metal Series

The competitive nature of chemical reactions has been well studied, and several classifications have been produced over the years. The Irving-Williams series [18] showed that the stability constant (Equation 7.23; where \{\} indicate activity; see Section 13.1.1) of a series of stable $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ high spin ions with a given ligand to form ML complexes varies as $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}<\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}<\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}<\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}<\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}<\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}<\mathrm{Co}^{2+}<\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}<$ $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}>\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}+\mathrm{L} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{ML}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad K_{1}=\frac{\{\mathrm{ML}\}}{\{\mathrm{M}\}\{\mathrm{L}\}} \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in Table 7.6, the ligands contain two atoms (combination of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$, and S ), which donate one electron pair each to the metal ion (Lewis acid-base covalent bonding). The increase in stability of the ML complex follows the charge to size ( $Z / r$ or $Z_{\text {eff }} / r$ ) ratio for the metal ion. On going across the periodic table, $Z_{\text {eff }} / r$ and stability increase whereas on descending the periodic table, $Z_{\text {eff }} / r$ and stability decrease. For ${ }^{-} \mathrm{OOC}-\mathrm{COO}^{-}$(oxalate) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$(glycine) there is an increase in $\log K_{1}$ from $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, then an increase from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and a sharp decrease to $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$. The discontinuity for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is due to the Jahn-Teller effect (Section 8.5.1.5). The strength of the different ligands binding the metals also varies in a regular manner. Group 2 metals bind better with O atoms from carboxylic acids than with ligands containing N and S atoms. Ligands with N and S atoms bind better with the transition metal ions in an increasing manner across the periodic table. $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ reduces to $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$with ligands containing S atoms. $\mathrm{The}^{2} \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ data show that the S and N atoms in $\mathrm{HSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (mercaptoethylamine) bind better to $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ than the S and O atoms in

Table 7.6 The $\log$ of $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ for the divalent cations of Group 2 and selected first row transition metals from [19-22] (thermodynamic constants Section 13.1.2). Atoms in bold font are those binding to the metal ions. Empty cells indicate data are not available for that complex

| Ligand | $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $-\mathbf{O O C -} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$ | 2.31 | 2.54 | 3.00 | 3.43 | 3.95 | 4.31 | 4.72 | 5.16 | 6.23 | 4.87 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{N C H}_{2} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$ |  | 0.91 | 1.39 | 2.08 | 3.19 | 4.31 | 5.07 | 6.18 | 8.57 | 5.38 |
| $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{N H}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.98 | 5.7 | 3.7 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathbf{N C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{N H}_{2}$ |  |  |  | 0.37 | 2.74 | 4.34 | 5.5 | 7.31 | 10.5 | 5.7 |
| $\mathrm{HSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$ |  |  |  |  | 4.38 |  | 5.84 |  |  | 7.86 |
| $\mathrm{HSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{N H}_{2}$ | 1.37 | 1.55 | 2.21 | 2.30 |  |  | 7.68 | 10.0 |  | 10.2 |
| $\mathrm{HS}(\mathrm{CH})\left(\mathrm{COO}^{-}\right) \mathbf{N H}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  | 4.7 |  | 8.00 | 9.82 | 9.17 |  |

$\mathrm{HSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$(mercaptoactetate). The amino acid cysteine contains $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$, and O atoms, but the stability constant data indicate that the N and S atoms preferentially bind $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$.

### 7.8.2 Class " $a$ " and " $b$ " Acids and Bases

Ahrland, Chatt, and Davies [23] characterized metals as Class "a" and "b" according to their preference in bonding to certain ligands or donor atoms, which follows from the Irving-Williams series. Class "a" metals are the alkali and alkaline earth metals and the first transition series metals with higher oxidation states (e.g., $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}, \mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ ) along with $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. The Class " b " metals include the second and third transition series metals with lower oxidation states and usually have six or more "d" electrons (e.g., $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ag}^{+}, \mathrm{Hg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ ). In general, Class " $a$ " cations and anions are smaller and at the top of a group in the periodic table whereas Class " b " metals and ligands are larger and near the bottom of a group in the periodic table. The ligands that prefer to bind to these classes of metals are in Table 7.7. For example, sulfide (and thioethers) prefer to bind with $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$so displace other metals from these ligands during reactions as shown in natural waters [24] whereas water, hydroxide, ammonia, and amines bind better with $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}, \mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$, and $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$.

### 7.8.3 Hard Soft Acid Base (HSAB) Theory

Pearson [25] coined the terms "hard" and "soft" to describe Class "a" and Class "b," respectively. Thus, a hard acid is a Class "a" metal and a hard base is a Class "a" ligand; these tend to be smaller chemical species. The Class "b" metals and ligands are larger and more polarizable as their electron clouds are more easily distorted.

| Table 7.7 Tendency for metals to bind with <br> different donor atoms |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tendency to bind <br> to Class "a" metals | Tendency to bind <br> to Class "b" metals |
| $\mathrm{N}>\mathrm{P}>\mathrm{As}>\mathrm{Sb}$ | $\mathrm{N} \ll \mathrm{P}<\mathrm{As}<\mathrm{Sb}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}>\mathrm{S}>\mathrm{Se}>\mathrm{Te}$ | $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{S}<\mathrm{Se} \sim \mathrm{Te}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{Cl}>\mathrm{Br}>1$ | $\mathrm{~F}<\mathrm{Cl}<\mathrm{Br}<\mathrm{l}$ |

Table 7.8 Classification of chemical species as acids and bases according to HSAB theory

| Hard | Borderline | Soft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acids |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Be}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \\ & \mathrm{Sc}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}, \mathrm{Cr}^{6+}, \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}, \\ & \mathrm{Mn}^{7+}, \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}, \mathrm{Al}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ga}^{3+}, \mathrm{Be}^{2+}, \\ & \mathrm{Be}^{2+}, \mathrm{La}^{3+}, \mathrm{Sn}^{4+}, \mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}, \mathrm{Co}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Sn}^{2+}, \\ \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}, \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ru}^{3+}, \mathrm{Sb}^{3+}, \mathrm{Rh}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ir}^{3+}, \\ \mathrm{Os}^{2+}, \mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{+}, \\ \mathrm{NO}^{+} \\ \text {Bases } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metal atoms, } \mathrm{Cu}^{+}, \mathrm{Au}^{+}, \mathrm{Ag}^{+}, \mathrm{Tl}^{+}, \\ & \mathrm{Hg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Hg}_{2}^{2+}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Hg}^{+}, \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}, \\ & \mathrm{Pd}^{2+}, \mathrm{Pt}^{2+}, \mathrm{Pt}^{++}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{I}^{+}, \mathrm{II}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{BH}_{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}^{-}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{O}^{2-}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{ROH}, \\ & \mathrm{RO}^{-}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}, \\ & \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}, \text { acetate, } \\ & \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{SCN}^{-}, \\ & \text {pyridine, } \mathrm{S}_{2}^{2^{-}}, \mathrm{HS}^{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{-}, \mathrm{I}^{-}, \mathrm{SCN}^{-}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CN}^{-}, \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{As}$, $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{RS}^{-}, \mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, benzene, ethylene |

Many soft acids are $\pi$ acids as they can accept electron density into their $\pi$ or $\pi^{*}$ orbitals ("backbonding," Section 8.6.3). He also added several other ligands or molecules to the list and noted acids and bases that have borderline behavior (Table 7.8). The base $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$can bind through S or N so it is a soft base when binding via the N atom and a borderline base when binding through the S atom.

Pearson suggested two rules for HASB theory. First, "Hard acids prefer to bind to hard bases and soft acids prefer to bind to soft bases." Second, the hard acid-hard base interaction is stronger than the soft acid-soft base interaction. Based on these rules, it is possible to predict the reactivity between a wide variety of compounds as in the following competitive and displacement reactions. For the competitive reactions below (bond energies from Appendix 5.1, Chapter 5), the lattice energy dominates the energetics of attractive forces.

| Competitive reactions | Best hard acid - hard base interaction |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{LiI}+\mathrm{CsF} \rightarrow \mathrm{LiF}+\mathrm{CsI}$ | $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ |
| $-347-481 \rightarrow-573-315$ | $\Delta{\text { Bond energies }=-60 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}}^{\mid \mathrm{HgF}_{2}+\mathrm{BeI}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{BeF}_{2}+\mathrm{HgI}_{2}}$ |
| $-368-289 \rightarrow-632-145$ | $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ |
| Displacement reactions | $\Delta$ Bond energies $=-240 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}$ |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BF}_{3}+\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{BF}_{3}+\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | F is more electron withdrawing so B is harder |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}+\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}+\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | H is less electron withdrawing so B is softer |

Pearson was able to quantify hardness and polarizability (softness) using the ionization potential of the base (HOMO) and the electron affinity (LUMO) of the acids (see Section 3.8.7). Thus, hard molecules have a large HOMO-LUMO gap and soft molecules have a small HOMO-LUMO gap. For example, the hardness values for gas phase $\mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{HCl}$, and HF are $5.3,8.0$, and 8.8 eV , respectively. These values indicate the following order for dissociation $\mathrm{HI}>\mathrm{HCl}>\mathrm{HF}$, which reflects the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for these strong acids in water (Table 7.1).

HSAB theory gives insights into the earth's mineral composition. In oxygenated environments, metal ions with high oxidation states form oxides and oxyhydroxides, e.g., $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{FeOOH}, \mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{FeTiO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$. These minerals can also include other high oxidation state metal ions into their crystal structure; e.g.,

Table 7.9 The $\mathrm{pH}_{\text {(ZPC) }}$ for several common minerals from Ref. [26]

| Mineral | $\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$ | Mineral | pH | Mineral | pH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(\mathrm{ZPC})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corundum, $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 9.4 | Spinel, $\mathrm{MgAl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 9.0 | Pyrite, $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ | 1.4 |
| Quartz, $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | 2.9 | Magnetite, $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 6.5 | Pyrrhotite, FeS | 3.0 |
| Anatase, $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 5.8 | Hematite, $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 8.6 | Sphalerite, ZnS | 1.7 |
| Ilmenite, $\mathrm{FeTiO}_{3}$ | 6.3 | Goethite, $\mathrm{FeOOH}_{\mathrm{OO}}$ | 9.7 | Galena, PbS | 1.4 |
| Zincite, ZnO | 8.8 | Birnessite, $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ | 4.6 | Chalcopyrite, $\mathrm{FeCuS}_{2}$ | 1.8 |

small amounts of $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ in $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ lead to the gemstone ruby. In sulfidic environments, metal ions with lower oxidations states form sulfides and persulfides (e.g., $\mathrm{FeS}, \mathrm{FeS}_{2}, \mathrm{ZnS}, \mathrm{PbS}, \mathrm{FeCuS}_{2}$ ), and they can include other low oxidation state metal ions into their crystal structure. Formally all these minerals are composed of covalent bonds between the acid and base so are insoluble or sparingly soluble in water.

### 7.9 Acid-Base Properties of Solids

The focus in Chapter 6 was on the energetics and packing of ions and atoms in solids. However, all solids have surfaces (e.g., Figure 6.21), which have an interface with the atmosphere or a solution. The surfaces of common solids and minerals also have acid-base character in aqueous solutions. The zero point of charge (ZPC) is used as an indicator of acid-base strength, and occurs when half of the surface sites are protonated and the other half are not; thus, the surface is neutral. The pH where the solid surface is neutral is defined as the $\mathbf{p H}(\mathbf{Z P C})$. The following equation represents the surface acid-base character for $\delta-\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ (birnessite) where > indicates the solid. The other symbol, $* \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a} 2}^{\mathrm{S}}$, indicates the apparent dissociation constant of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, and the subscript 2 indicates the second dissociation constant as $>\mathrm{MnOH}_{2}{ }^{+}$exists at very low pH .

$$
>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}=* \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a} 2}^{\mathrm{S}}=10^{-4.6}
$$

When the solution $\mathrm{pH}<\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$, the surface is positive (and acts as an acid) and when the solution $\mathrm{pH}>$ $\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$, the surface is negative (and acts as a base). Table 7.9 lists common oxide and sulfide minerals along with their $\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$ values. Sulfide minerals have smaller values of $\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$ than oxide minerals because sulfide is a softer base than oxide, which binds better with the hydrogen ion, a hard acid. Thus, the surfaces of sulfide minerals are not protonated except at very low pH values; i.e., loss of hydrogen ions is easier as in the hydrogen halide acids noted in Section 7.8.2 and Table 7.1. Likewise, the $\mathrm{p} K_{1}$ value for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is 7.0 and compares with the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{w}}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ of 14 in fresh water. The result is that sulfide minerals have a negative surface at lower pH rendering them more basic and more reactive to dissolved metal ions, which can absorb to their surface.
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## 8

## Introduction to Transition Metals

### 8.1 Introduction

In Section 7.8, transition metals were shown to accept a pair of electrons from another molecule, which is known as a ligand. The bond is a covalent bond between the metal and the ligand. There is a large assortment of ligands that can donate one or more pairs of electrons to a single metal ion. Those that only donate one pair of electrons are called monodentate ligands whereas those that donate more than one pair of electrons are called multidentate or polydentate ligands (also known as chelates; from the Greek word khele for "claw" of a crab). For organometallic compounds, there are other possibilities; e.g., the five carbon atoms in the cyclopentadiene anion donate six electrons to a metal center, and the six carbon atoms in benzene also donate six electrons to a metal center. Table 8.1 shows some typical monodentate and multidentate ligands with their names, common abbreviations, and the atoms that donate the pair(s) of electrons to the metal (such atoms are known as the ligating atoms). Figure 8.1 shows several examples of multidentate ligands. Organisms in nature produce a wide variety of multidentate chelates to bind metals; for example, terrestrial and aquatic plants synthesize chlorophyll, which binds magnesium and other metals, and bacteria synthesize siderophores such as desferrioxmaine-B to bind and acquire iron (III).

### 8.2 Coordination Geometries

The study of transition metal or coordination chemistry demonstrated the need to understand which ligands actually bind the metal in the inner coordination sphere in addition to understanding the primary oxidation state or valence of the metal. Transition metals display a wide range of coordination geometries starting with one ligating atom or electron pair donor bonding to a metal. Figure 8.2 shows common coordination geometries for metals when 4-7 ligands bind or complex the metal, and Figure 8.3 shows some 8 coordinate geometries (coordination numbers up to 12 are possible as shown for pure metals, Section 6.2.7.1). Some of the geometries are identical to what was discussed using the valence shell electron pair repulsion theory (see Figure 5.3); e.g., there are no additional geometries for coordination two (linear) and three (trigonal planar). Note that four coordination includes a square planar geometry in addition to the tetrahedral geometry.

[^6]Table 8.1 Common monodentate and multidentate ligands and nomenclature

| Name (abbreviation or old name) | Formula | Donor atom(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetate | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$ | O |
| Aqua (aquo) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | O |
| Amido | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | $N$ |
| Ammine | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | $N$ |
| Azido | $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | N |
| Bromido (bromo) | $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | Br |
| Carbonato | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ | O |
| Carbonyl | CO | C |
| Chlorido (Chloro) | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | Cl |
| Cyanido (cyano) | $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ | C |
| (iso)cyano | $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ | N |
| Fluoride (fluoro) | $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ | F |
| Hydrido | $\mathrm{H}^{-}$ | H |
| Hydroxido | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | O |
| lodido (lodo) | $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | I |
| Nitride | $\mathrm{N}^{3-}$ | N |
| Nitrato | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | O |
| Nitrito - $\kappa \mathrm{N}$ (nitro) | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | N |
| Nitrito - $\kappa \mathrm{O}$ (nitrito) | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | O |
| Oxido (oxo) | $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ | O |
| Pyridine (py) | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | N |
| Sulfido | $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ | S |
| Thiocyanato - $\kappa \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ | N |
| Thiocyanato - $\kappa$ S | $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ | S |
| Thiolato | RS ${ }^{-}$ | S |
| Triphenylphosphine | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | P |
| Multidenate ligands |  |  |
| Ethylenediamine (en; 1,2-diaminoethane) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | N(2) |
| 1,3-Diaminopropane (tn) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | N (2) |
| Glycinato (gly) | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| Oxalato (ox) | See figure ${ }^{-} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ |
| 2,4-Pentanediono or acetylacetonato (acac) | See figure $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCHCOCH}$ | O (2) |
| o-Dihydroxybenzene (catechol; cat) | See figure | O (2) |
| 8-Hydroxyquniolinato or oxinate (oxine) | See figure | $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| 2,2'-Bipyridine or bipyridyl (bipy) | See figure | N(2) |
| 1,10-Phenanthroline (phen) | See figure | N(2) |
| Diethylenetriamine (dien) | $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | N(3) |
| Cyclam | See figure | N (4) |
| Tet-b | See figure | N(4) |
| Triaminotriethylamine (tren) | See figure $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ | N (4) |
| Porphyrin macrocycle | See figure | N (4) |
| Corrin macrocycle | See figure | N (4) |
| Nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) | See figure | $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{O}(3)$ |
| Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) | See figure | $\mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{O}(4)$ |
| Desferrioxamine-B (DFOB) | See figure | $\mathrm{O}(6)$ |



Ethylenediamine


1,10-Phenanthroline


Tren
Acac


Oxalate


Catechol




Cyclam or 1,4,8,11tetraazacyclotetradecane



Tet-b (d-1,4,8,11-tetraaza-5,5,7,12,12,14-
hexamethylcyclotetradecane)

Figure 8.1 Models for multidentate ligands. Carbon (aqua); hydrogen (white); oxygen (black), and nitrogen (dark blue). The corrin group has one C atom less than the porphyrin macrocycle ring (one C atom is missing between two of the cyclic pyrroles). Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)

Five coordination includes a square pyramidal geometry in addition to the trigonal pyramidal geometry. Six coordination includes trigonal prismatic geometry ( $D_{3 \mathrm{~d}}$; note the overlap of atoms of the two trigonal planes along the $C_{3}$ axis) in addition to the octahedral geometry (distortions will be discussed later), which is formally a trigonal antiprism looking down the $C_{3}$ axis as the atoms for the trigonal planes do not overlap. Looking down the $C_{4}$ axis shows a square plane capped at the top and the bottom. Seven coordination includes the trigonal-face capped octahedron, the square-face capped trigonal prismatic geometry, and the pentagonal bipyramidal geometry (see Figure 5.3).

Eight coordination includes the cube (square prism), square antiprism $\left(D_{4 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$, and the trigonal dodecahedron $\left(D_{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$ geometries. The cube and trigonal dodecahedron are related to sliding of the atoms from a cube on the $C_{4}$ axis; note that atoms $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{F}$, and H move upward as A and C move downward and $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}$, and G move inward so that the original planes (ABCD and DEFG) of the cube are lost. Atoms A, B, C, and D form a wing-like geometry as do atoms E, F, G, and H.

All of these geometries can display a range of geometrical and/or optical isomers. In the following discussion, the isomers of transition metal complexes will center on four and six coordination.


Figure 8.2 Geometries for four, five, six, and seven ligating atoms attached to a central metal atom. The octahedron is shown in three separate arrangements to show its relation to the cube and as a trigonal antiprism. Two arrangements are given for the trigonal prism; the central metal atom is not drawn for this structure. The seven coordinate structures are related to the octahedron and the trigonal prism

### 8.3 Nomenclature

In the examples below, the chemical formula will be specified and the proper name provided. The International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) has provided extensive rules for the nomenclature of inorganic complex compounds [1] that are briefly outlined here.
First, the cation name is given followed by the anion name. For cations, the metal name is given without a
suffix whereas anionic ligands are given an "o" suffix and neutral ligands retain their normal name. To indicate the number of ligands bound to a given metal, the prefixes in Table 8.2 are used.
Second, the oxidation states and the inner sphere coordination number of the metal in the complex metal ion are explicitly considered; brackets [ ] are used to enclose the metal with its inner sphere ligands in the metal complex. For chemical formulae, the central atom symbol is given first and the ligand symbols listed alphabetically as in $\left[\mathrm{CoBrCl}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}$.


Trigonal dodecahedron


Figure 8.3 Possible eight coordinate geometries; the central metal atom is not drawn in these structures. Top down views are also given for the cube and square antiprism

Third, as noted in Table 8.1, the ligating atom(s) of the ligand need to be described. When naming compounds where more than one ligating atom can bind the central metal, $\kappa$ (kappa) notation is used before the ligating atom to specify that it is the electron donor to the metal.
Fourth, the type of ligand bonding to the metal or metals needs to be described - e.g., terminal ligand versus bridging ligand ( $\mu$ is used explicitly) versus capping ligand ( $\eta$-Hapto) for organometallic compounds (Section 9.10).
Fifth, geometrical isomers are given a prefix - e.g., cis or trans (see below).
Sixth, optical isomers are given a symbol prefix for left ( $\Lambda$ ) or right $(\Delta)$ handedness (see Section 8.4.6).
Nomenclature examples are now provided. In the following discussion of bonding and isomers (Section 8.4), the proper IUPAC name will be provided for the compounds discussed.

### 8.3.1 Complex Ion is Positive

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{SO}_{4}} & \text { Tetraamminecopper(II) sulfate } \\
& \text { Tetraamminecopper }(2+) \text { sulfate } \\
& \text { Tetraamminecupric sulfate }
\end{array}
$$

Table 8.2 The names/prefixes used in the nomenclature for multiple numbers of the same ligand bound to a metal. The second column of prefixes are used with composite ligand names [e.g., (bis)ethylenediamine indicates two ethylenediamine molecules bound to a metal center] or to avoid ambiguity

| Number | Prefix I | Prefix II | Number | Prefix I | Prefix II |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Mono | Mono | 7 | Hepta | Heptakis |
| 2 | Di | Bis | 8 | Octa | Octakis |
| 3 | Tri | Tris | 9 | Nona | Nonakis |
| 4 | Tetra | Tetrakis | 10 | Deca | Decakis |
| 5 | Penta | Pentakis | 11 | Undeca | Undeca |
| 6 | Hexa | Hexakis | 12 | Dodeca | Eicosa |

The complex ion is $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}$ as four ammonia molecules bind to the copper metal center. Note that the Roman numeral II or the number $2+$ can be used to signify copper's oxidation state or the complex ion's total charge, respectively. The use of the Roman numeral system is called the Stock system whereas the charge on the complex is known as the Ewing-Bassett system.

The use of a number before the plus or minus sign as in $2+$ for the complex ion $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}$ indicates charge whereas the use of +2 is reserved for exponents in mathematical equations. Tetra from Table 8.2 is used to indicate the total number of ammonia molecules bound to Cu . The use of cupric for the $2+$ oxidation state of copper was once common but is not commonly used now.

### 8.3.2 Complex Ion is Negative

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{K}_{3}\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-} & \left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Potassium hexacyanidoferrate }(\mathrm{III}) \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\text { Pripotassium hexacyanidoferrate }(3-)
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Cyano has been replaced by cyanido but is still commonly used. Note that the Roman numeral III or the number 3- can be used to signify iron's oxidation state or the complex ion's total charge, respectively. The suffix "ate" is used for the metal to indicate that the complex ion is negative (note that iron is not negative as it is $3+$ ). The Latin words with the "ate" suffix are still used for iron (ferrate), silver (argentate), lead (plumbate), tin (stannate), and gold (aurate) as the words are the source of their symbols: $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Au}$.

### 8.3.3 Complex Ion with Multiple Ligands

$$
\left[\mathrm{CoBr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \quad \text { Pentaamminebromidocobalt(III)chloride }
$$

The ligands are named in alphabetical order but the prefix is not considered part of the ligand name. The complex ion is $\left[\mathrm{CoBr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]^{2+}$.

### 8.3.4 Complex Ion with Ligand that can Bind with More Than One Atom (Ambidentate)

|  | with $N$ bonding |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ | Tetraamminechloridonitrito- $\kappa \mathrm{N}$-cobalt(III)chloride |
| Old name | Tetraamminechloridonitrocobalt(III)chloride |
|  | with $O$ bonding |
| $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ or $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{ONO})\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ | Tetraamminechloridonitrito- $\mathrm{KO}-$ cobalt(III)chloride |
| Old name | Tetraamminechloridonitrito-cobalt(III)chloride |

The complex ion in each case is $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$.

### 8.3.5 Complex Ion with Multidentate Ligands

$$
\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \quad \text { tris(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III)chloride }
$$

The complex ion is $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{3+}$.

### 8.3.6 Two Complex Ions with a Bridging Ligand

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]^{4+} \quad \mu \text {-Oxido-bis(pentaamminecobalt(III)) }} \\
& {\left[\left\{\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right\}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{O})\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The complex ion is $\left[\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]^{4+}$. Here one oxide ion, $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ligand, bridges two Co atoms by donating a pair of electrons to each Co .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right] \quad \text { di- } \mu \text {-Hydroxido-bis(pentaamminecobalt(III)) }} \\
& {\left[\left(\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The complex ion is $\left[\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Co}-(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]^{4+}$. Here two hydroxide ions, $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ligands, bridge two Co atoms by donating a pair of electrons to each Co.

### 8.4 Bonding and Isomers for Octahedral Geometry

Alfred Werner in a detailed series of experiments in the late 19th and early 20th century demonstrated how to distinguish between the inner and outer coordination sphere of a metal. He was able to do this for square planar and octahedral coordination geometries to the metal using the aqueous metal compounds of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$, $\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$, and $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})\left(\mathrm{d}^{6}, \mathrm{~d}^{3}\right.$, and $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ electron configurations, respectively), which are stable with long half-lives to dissociation and ligand exchange (Table 9.1). Transition metal complexes exhibit a wide range of isomers. When metals and ligands have the same overall chemical formula but have different ligands bound to the central metal ion or atom, the isomers are called structural or constitutional isomers, and there are four types (hydrate isomers, ionization isomers, coordination isomers, and linkage isomers). When metals and ligands
have the same overall chemical formula and the ligands bound to the central metal ion or atom are the same, these isomers are called geometrical isomers and may be optical isomers.

### 8.4.1 Ionization Isomerism

Ionization isomerism is shown for compounds with the same chemical formula but which give different ions upon dissolution and dissociation; hydrate isomers below can be included in this definition. Again ligands attached to the metal in the inner coordination sphere remain bound to a metal ion. An example of ionization isomerism is given by the following pairs of compounds when ligand spectator ions exchange places.
$\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ or pentaamminesulfatocobalt(III) chloride dissolves with chloride being the spectator ion, which can be readily precipitated with the addition of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$from a solution of silver nitrate but not a solution of $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ag}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{AgCl} \downarrow+\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right]^{+}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ba}^{2+} \rightarrow \text { no reaction }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ or pentaamminechloridocobalt(III) sulfate dissolves with sulfate being the spectator ion, which can be readily precipitated with $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ from a solution of barium chloride but not a solution of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{Ag}^{+} \rightarrow \text { no reaction }} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{Ba}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{BaSO}_{4} \downarrow+\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 8.4.2 Hydrate (Solvate) Isomers

The stoichiometry for the solid compound, $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$, has three different solid chemical species that are called hydrate isomers as the number of water molecules around the coordination sphere of the metal vary; those not in the coordination sphere hydrate the molecule. The first hydrate isomer, which is violet in color, has six water molecules directly binding the $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ in the inner coordination sphere; the three chloride ions are spectator ions in the outer coordination sphere. On dissolving this molecule in water, the three chloride ions would react with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$from silver nitrate to form AgCl precipitate, which can be collected and analyzed. This molecule is given the following chemical notation, $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$, where as noted in the nomenclature discussion, the brackets indicate that this is a complex ion with a positive charge of three and that all waters bind the inner sphere of chromium. The complex ion is represented as $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$, and the coordination number for the metal is six due to the six water molecules directly donating a pair of electrons to chromium. The three chloride ions are in the outer sphere part of the complex to balance or associate with the positive charge of the complex ion (Equation 8.1) - a 1 cation:3 anion or 1:3 electrolyte. The proper name for this compound is hexaaquachromium(III) chloride.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}+3 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second molecule, which is light green in color, has five water molecules and one chloride ion directly binding the $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ in the inner coordination sphere; thus, only two $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$are spectator ions and would react with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$once the molecule is dissolved in water (Equation 8.2; 1:2 electrolyte). This molecule is represented as $\left[\mathrm{CrCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ where the one water in the outer coordination sphere is a water of hydration or a water of crystallization (other solvent molecules can also do this). The complex ion is represented as $\left[\mathrm{CrCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{2+}$. The proper name for this compound is pentaaquachloridochromium(III) chloride.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{CrCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{CrCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{2+}+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly the third molecule, which is dark green, has four water molecules and two chloride ions binding the $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ in the inner coordination sphere with two waters of hydration in the solid or $\left[\mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; thus, only one $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is a spectator ion and would react with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$once the molecule is dissolved in water (Equation 8.3; 1:1 electrolyte). The complex ion is represented as $\left[\mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}$. The proper name for this compound is tetraaquadichloridochromium(III) chloride.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter also has two geometrical isomers, cis and trans, which are discussed below.
These three molecules are an example of hydrate isomerism. Another experimental test to determine the number of ions in solution is to measure the ionic conductivity in solution as each of these dissolutions of the solid material leads to a different number of ions in solution.

### 8.4.3 Coordination Isomerism

Coordination isomerism occurs when the cation and the anion are both complex metal ions with different ligands attached to the metals even though the chemical formula is identical. Examples with different metal ions for the cation and anion on switching the ligands are shown here.
$\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]$ hexaamminecobalt(III) hexacyanidochromate(III) versus
$\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]$ hexaamminechromium(III) hexacyanidocobaltate(III)
An example with the same metal ion as both cation and anion but having different inner sphere coordination is Pt .
$\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{6}\right]$ tetraammineplatinum(II) hexachloridoplatinate(IV) - the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complex cation is square planar whereas $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ complex anion is octahedral.

## Versus

$\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}\right]$ tetraamminedichloroplatinum(IV) tetrachloridoplatinate(II) - the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{IV})$ complex is octahedral whereas the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complex anion is square planar.

### 8.4.4 Linkage Isomerism

Linkage isomerism occurs when a ligand can bind a metal from either of two different atoms (also known as ambidentate), and two different examples are given for the nitrite ion and the thiocyanate ion. Nitrite can bind a metal either from the terminal oxygen atom or the central nitrogen atom. Thiocyanate, $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$, can bind either from the terminal sulfur or the terminal nitrogen atom. Hard soft acid base theory can be used to predict the mode of binding when different metals are involved. For example in thiocyanate, the sulfur atom is softer than the nitrogen atom so sulfur would be predicted to combine with softer metals and nitrogen would be predicted to combine with harder metals.

The nitrite ion produces two different isomers with the following chemical formula, $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{2+}$, during the aqueous reaction of nitrite with $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}$ as the chloride ion undergoes ligand exchange with the nitrite ion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{ONO})\right]^{2+} \text { (red) }} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+} \text { (yellow) }}
\end{aligned}
$$


(a)


Nitro Nitrito-kN


Nitrito Nitrito-кO
(b)

coordinate intermediate
(c)

Figure 8.4 (a) Circled electron pairs indicate that bonding of nitrite from the nitrogen or oxygen atom to a metal cation can occur. (b) Possible $N$ and $O$ bonding modes using the $2 \mathrm{~b}_{2}{ }^{*}$ orbital (see Figure 5.50, $\pi^{*}$ ), respectively. (c) Possible pentagonal bipyramid intermediate with both $N$ and $O$ binding Co ("side on" bonding)

When the reaction is performed at colder temperatures, a red compound is formed first and on subsequent warming it is converted to the yellow compound. In the red compound, the nitrite binds via the oxygen atom (nitrito) whereas in the yellow compound, the nitrite ion binds via the nitrogen atom (nitro) to the cobalt. The use of O-18 radiolabeled nitrite [2] showed that nitrite did not dissociate from the metal (was not released to solution) during the transformation even under photochemical excitation, confirming that this conversion between isomers is an intramolecular rearrangement. This process can be explained recalling that the HOMO of nitrite is a $\pi^{*}$ orbital (Figure 5.50) that is delocalized across all three atoms as shown in Figure 8.4. Although the HOMO has slightly more nitrogen that oxygen character, statistically the cobalt complex ion will encounter oxygen twice as many times as nitrogen in the transition state. Figure 8.4 indicates that the binding between cobalt and nitrite could occur via the oxygen atom and then slide to the nitrogen atom. Alternately, bonding to Co could occur via N and O simultaneously in a possible seven-coordinate intermediate.

The proper nomenclature for each of these complex ions is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{ONO})\right]^{2+}} & \text { Pentaamminenitrito- } \mathrm{KO}-\text { cobalt(III) } \\
{\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}} & \text { Pentaamminenitrito- } \mathrm{KN} \text {-cobalt(III) } .
\end{array}
$$

Because nitrite is an intermediate in $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ oxidation to $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$in environments with low oxygen, and in $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ reduction to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, the linkage bonding scenario is very important in describing $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$reactivity in the environment.

These ambidentate ligands also have the capability of bridging between two metal complex ions. In this case, two different atoms from the ambidentate ligand will bind the two metal centers. For example, the S in $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$will bind metal center one whereas the N in $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$will bind to the second metal center ( $\mathrm{M}_{1}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ).

### 8.4.5 Geometrical Isomerism - Four Coordination

Geometrical isomerism is very important in transition metal complexes. For four coordination, tetrahedral geometries do not allow for geometrical isomers, but optical isomers are possible as in carbon chemistry. However, square planar complexes readily accommodate geometrical isomerism. Figure 8.5 shows the square planar complexes for platinum(II) ( $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ electron configuration) in the neutral compound diamminedichloridoplatinum(II) [or diamminedichloridoplatinum(0)] with the chemical formula $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. In the cis compound, the two chloride anions (and the two ammonia molecules) are cis to each other with an angle of $90^{\circ}$


trans-Diamminedichloridoplatinum(II)

Figure 8.5 Cis and trans geometries for diamminedichloridoplatinum(II), a neutral square planar molecule
for the chloride-platinum-chloride bond angle; this molecule has a dipole moment. In the trans compound, the chloride ions are trans to each other with a chloride-platinum-chloride bond angle of $180^{\circ}$; this molecule does not have a dipole moment. In naming these compounds, the prefixes cis and trans are placed before the complex's name.

Square planar complexes have a plane of symmetry; therefore, optical isomers are not possible.

### 8.4.5.1 Geometrical Isomerism - Octahedral Coordination

There are more possibilities for geometrical isomerism in octahedral complexes. The top two structures in Figure 8.6 show cis and trans isomers for the cation, $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{+}$or tetraamminedichloridocobalt(III). Here, cis and trans are defined similarly as above for the neutral diamminedichloridoplatinum(II). If one of the ammonia molecules were exchanged for a third ligand, the chloride ions would still be placed in either the cis or trans position with a similar naming of the resulting complex ion. For example, the complex ion, $\left[\mathrm{CoBrCl} 2\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{0}$, would be named cis or trans triamminebromidodichloridocobalt(III).

In the case when there are three identical ligands attached to the metal center, there are two possible arrangements. The first geometrical structure is to have all three similar ligands occupying positions of the octahedron that share a triangular face. This is known as a facial or "fac" isomer, and for the example on

cis-Tetraamminedichloridocobalt(III)

trans-Tetraamminedichloridocobalt(III)
(a)

fac-Triamminetrinitrito- $\kappa \mathrm{N}$-cobalt(III)

mer-Triamminetrinitrito- $\kappa \mathrm{N}$-cobalt(III)
(b)

Figure 8.6 Geometrical isomers in octahedral complexes using (a) cis, trans $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$and (b) fac, mer $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\right]^{0}$; the N atom from nitrite binds to the cobalt
the bottom left of Figure 8.6b, the name for this neutral molecule is fac-triamminetrinitrito-kN-cobalt(III), $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\right]^{0}$, as the nitrogen group binds to the cobalt. The second geometrical arrangement is for all three similar ligands to occupy three positions of the octahedron that are perpendicular to the $C_{4}$ axis; this is called a meridional isomer and is given the prefix "mer." The example on the bottom right of Figure 8.6b is named mer-triamminetrinitrito- $\kappa \mathrm{N}$-cobalt(IIII), $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}\right]^{0}$. For multidentate ligands that have three ligating atoms binding to a metal, the ligand can bind in a facial or meridional manner, and the complex is named accordingly.

### 8.4.6 Optical Isomerism in Octahedral Geometry

In octahedral geometry, there are a significant number of possibilities for optical isomerism so discussion will be limited to just a couple of these. Figure 8.7 demonstrates optical isomerism for the two cis geometrical isomers of the complex ion $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}(\mathrm{en})_{2}\right]^{+}$, which has two monodentate ligands (chloride) and two bidentate ligands (en = ethylenediamine). The trans isomer would have a plane symmetry and thus not exhibit optical isomerism. Figure 8.7 a shows two cis isomers, which are mirror images of each other but which are not superimposable upon each other. These two structures are drawn to explicitly show the square plane or pseudo $C_{4}$ axis of the molecules. The two structures in Figure 8.7b are drawn to explicitly show the trigonal plane or pseudo $C_{3}$ axis of the molecules. The triangular face with the solid line for each lower complex is identical to the darker triangular face of the structure directly above it whereas the triangular face with the dashed lines for each lower complex is identical to the triangular face with the dashed lines directly above it. The structures in Figure 8.7b are also drawn with arrows indicating the direction of rotation about the $C_{3}$ axis. Here, the bottom left structure screws counterclockwise from the top triangular face to the bottom triangular face so is laevo or left handed, and the bottom right structure screws clockwise from the top triangular face to the bottom triangular face so is dextro or right handed. As shown in Figure 8.7, the naming for each of these complexes is the same except for the Greek symbol $\Lambda$ (lambda) indicating left handedness and the Greek symbol $\Delta$ (delta) indicating right handedness.

$\Lambda$ (Cis)-dichloridobis(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III)

$\Delta$ (Cis)-dichloridobis(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III)
(a)

(b)

Right ( $\Delta$ ) rotation

Figure 8.7 Optical isomerism for two optically active cis complexes of $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}(\mathrm{en})_{2}\right]^{+}$with their proper nomenclature. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in ethylenediamine is represented by the bracket. (a) Square plane explicitly drawn. (b) Rotation about trigonal plane $\left(\sim C_{3}\right)$ axis


Figure 8.8 (Top) Schematic representation of the complex ion $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{3+}$ looking down the $C_{3}$ axis. (Bottom) Bailar twist showing racemization

Metal complexes, which have three bidentate ligands, also have optical isomers. The complex ion, $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{3+}$, is shown in a schematic form in the upper part of Figure 8.8. The two nitrogen atoms with blue color have now been replaced by the two chloride ions that were shown in Figure 8.7b. The dark solid and dashed triangular faces have the same meaning as in Figure 8.7; counterclockwise and clockwise rotations about the trigonal plane or pseudo $C_{3}$ axis are identical to that shown in Figure 8.7. The bottom part of Figure 8.8 shows a possible pathway for intramolecular racemization known as the Bailar twist [3], which does not have any bond breaking or dissociation of the ligating atoms from the cobalt atom; note the direction of the arrows. Here, clockwise rotation by $60^{\circ}$ of the bottom triangular face with positions numbered 2,3 , and 6 forms an intermediate with trigonal prismatic structure so that positions 1,$3 ; 5,2 ; 4,6$ now overlap. Another clockwise rotation by $60^{\circ}$ of the bottom triangular face ( $120^{\circ}$ full rotation) results in the conversion of the left-handed complex ion into the right-handed complex ion; note the change in the direction of the arrows representing the chelate, ethylenediamine. The Bailar twist is also known as the trigonal twist because of the rotation of the trigonal face about $C_{3}$ the axis.

Figure 8.9 shows another twist mechanism for the racemization of optical isomers that is known as the Ray-Dutt twist [3] (also known as the rhombic or tetragonal twist). The example shown is for the $\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Cl})_{2}(\mathrm{en})_{2}\right]$ system, and the two chloride ions will remain in the same position throughout the twist. Molecules A1 and B1 are the $\Lambda$ and $\Delta$ optical isomers, respectively, as displayed earlier in Figure 8.7 for perspective. Molecules A2 and B2 are the same optical isomers rotated by $90^{\circ}$ and show the four nitrogen atoms in a roughly square or tetragonal arrangement so that the twist mechanism can be demonstrated.


Figure 8.9 (Top) $\Lambda$ and $\Delta$ optical isomers for a cis-octahedral complex. (Bottom) Ray-Dutt twist showing racemization

Molecule C is the intermediate formed during a concerted counterclockwise movement of molecule A2 along the edges of the triangular faces such that $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ moves toward the original $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ as $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ moves toward the original $\mathrm{N}_{6}$; likewise, $\mathrm{N}_{6}$ moves toward $\mathrm{N}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{N}_{5}$ moves toward $\mathrm{N}_{1}$. Continued counterclockwise motion results in molecule B2. The overall counterclockwise rotation from molecule A2 to molecule B2 is a pseudo- $C_{4}$ rotation (the four N atoms are not in a square arrangement in A 2 or B 2 ); thus, it is called a rhombic or tetragonal twist.

Both the Bailar twist and the Ray-Dutt twist are examples of intramolecular rearrangements without any bond breaking between the ligands and the central metal atom in the complex. Because of the fluid motion during these intramolecular processes, these molecules are called fluxional, and these twist mechanisms are examples of Berry pseudorotation; that is, the atoms exchange positions with each other. Pseudorotation can be enhanced by increasing the temperature, and it can be decreased or stopped by decreasing the temperature.

### 8.5 Bonding Theories for Transition Metal Complexes

There are three major theories that have been used to describe the bonding and coordination geometries for transition metal complexes. The first theory that found favor with inorganic chemists was valence bond
theory, but it was unable to provide the information that inorganic chemists desired concerning the color and spectroscopy of transition metal complexes. Its use was supplanted by Bethe's [4] crystal field theory (CFT), which centered on the metal ion in the complex but not the ligands. Ligand field theory (LFT) developed when van Vleck [5] added a description of the bonding between the metals in the ligands to crystal field theory; frequently, the names LFT and CFT are used interchangeably. Eventually, molecular orbital theory (MOT), which describes all the bonding possible between the metal and the ligands, gave a thorough understanding of the bonding for transition metal complexes. The major points of crystal field theory and valence bond theory are part of molecular orbital theory. A brief history of these theories has been provided by Ballhausen in a series of three papers [6-8] and Figgis and Hitchman [9].

### 8.5.1 Valence Bond Theory

Many of the first transition metal complexes that were studied exhibited tetrahedral, square planar, or octahedral geometries. Because valence bond theory had reasonable success in describing the structure of many nonmetal compounds, its use also found great favor with inorganic chemists particularly before World War II. Major questions that inorganic chemists were interested in revolved around the paramagnetic or diamagnetic nature of transition metal complexes. Figure 8.10 shows how valence bond theory dealt with the octahedral complexes of $\mathrm{Co}($ III $)$ with a $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ electron configuration with different ligands. With halide ions such as fluoride, the five 3d orbitals would be occupied as expected using the Aufbau principle where there would be four electrons unpaired (a high spin complex). To have an octahedral geometry with $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{2}$ hybridization, the cobalt would accept six pairs of electrons from ligands to form bonds using $s$, $p$, and d orbitals from the fourth energy level. However, when $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ formed an octahedral complex with $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$, the cobalt $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ electrons are all paired so that the complex is diamagnetic (a low spin complex). In this case, the 3d orbitals could be used to provide $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{2}$ hybridization as they would be vacant and available for accepting lone pairs of electrons from the ligands.

A similar procedure was used to describe many other transition metal complexes - e.g., the four coordinate structures of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})\left[\mathrm{d}^{8}\right]$ with different ligands. For $\mathrm{NiCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$, the complex is paramagnetic with two electrons unpaired as expected when using the Aufbau principle; thus, all of the 3d orbitals are occupied or partially occupied and a tetrahedral complex ( $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization) results. In contrast, the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}$ complex is diamagnetic so that four of the 3d orbitals are completely occupied, and one 3d orbital is empty and available for accepting a lone pair of electrons from a cyanide ligand. The resulting complex is square planar with $\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{~d}$ hybridization.

One important principle that is amply demonstrated by valence bond theory is that before understanding the bonding and the coordination geometry of a transition metal complex, it is important to know the oxidation


Figure 8.10 The electron configurations displayed for Co (III) as a free ion using the Aufbau principle, in the octahedral fluoride complex and in the octahedral ammonia complex
state of the metal and its electron configuration for the oxidation state. This information is essential regardless of the bonding theory (CFT, LFT, MOT) that is used to describe the metal complex.

### 8.5.2 Crystal Field Theory

Crystal theory was first developed by Hans Bethe for ions in crystals [4] and improved by van Vleck [5] to describe the electronic structure of transition metal complexes. These theories were a major improvement over valence bond theory because they could explain a wide variety of chemical and physical properties for metal complexes. Crystal field theory describes how the five d orbitals and the electrons in these orbitals interact with ligands, which donate a pair of electrons but which are considered a negative and spherical point charge. The five d orbitals will lose their spherical symmetry and degeneracy from the free ion based upon the geometry of the transition metal complex as the ligands will experience a repulsive force due to electrons in some of the d orbitals. The main geometries for discussion are octahedral and tetrahedral as well as square planar geometry and tetragonal distortions to the octahedral geometry.

Prior to discussing the ligand and metal d orbital interactions, it is necessary to take a further look at the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital (Figure 8.11). This orbital can have electron density on all three axes, and Figure 8.11 shows that it can be looked at as a combination of two orbitals, $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}-x^{2}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}-y^{2}}$. As shown in the $O_{h}$ character table (Table 4.9), the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital is an abbreviation for $2 \mathrm{~d}_{z^{2}}-\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}}-\mathrm{d}_{y^{2}}$.

### 8.5.2.1 Octahedral Transition Metal Complexes

Figure 8.12 shows the five d orbitals for the central metal ion in an octahedral complex and the approach of the six ligands as point charges to the metal ion along the Cartesian coordinate axes, which are the bond axes. It is clear from the spatial arrangement that the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital and the $\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbital have a strong repulsion with the six ligand negative point charges. As shown in Table 4.9, these two d orbitals have the symmetry $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ (and are involved in the formation of bonding and antibonding orbitals as will be discussed in Section 8.6 on molecular orbital theory). The other three orbitals ( $\mathrm{d}_{x y}, \mathrm{~d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}$ ) are in between the ligands that are on the bond axes and are not repelled by the negative point charges of the six ligands. Inspection of the octahedral character table shows that these three orbitals have the symmetry $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$.

As a result of these ligand and d orbital interactions, there is a splitting of the two sets of d orbitals, which is represented in Figure 8.13 as an orbital energy diagram. On the left are the five degenerate $d$ orbitals in a spherical environment (as if they were in a free atom) whereas on the right these d orbitals are now split into the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ sets. Because of the repulsion on the bond axes, the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals $\left(\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}\right)$ are at a higher energy in the energy level diagram, and the $t_{2 g}$ orbitals ( $\mathrm{d}_{x y}, \mathrm{~d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}$ ) lie at a more stable energy. The difference in energy between these two sets of orbitals is the crystal field splitting parameter, $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$ or $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$. The energy of the d orbitals in the spherical environment is the barycenter (or the midpoint in energy) for the


Figure 8.11 More information on the nature of the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ orbital


Figure 8.12 The approach of six ligand point charges in an octahedral field to the five $d$ orbitals


Figure 8.13 Splitting of the d orbitals in an octahedral field
orbitals split in an octahedral environment. Because the maximum occupancy of electrons in the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ set is six and in the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ set it is four, each electron in the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ set has a splitting parameter of $0.4 \Delta_{\text {oct }}$ or four $D_{\mathrm{q} O_{h}}$ units whereas each electron in the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ set has a splitting parameter of $0.6 \Delta_{\text {oct }}$ or $\operatorname{six} D_{\mathrm{q} O_{h}}$ units. The actual value for the difference in energies between these two orbital sets is a function of the ligand and the oxidation state of the metal and will be described shortly. The energy gap between these two sets of orbitals can be measured by UV-Vis spectroscopy (the electromagnetic spectrum is given in Figure 1.2). It is important to note that the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals are closer to the nucleus because of their enhanced stability, and the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals are farther away from the nucleus.

For a simple $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ or $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1}$ octahedral metal complex ion, the absorption of energy by the electron results in a transition from the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbital to one of the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals for the purple $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ complex ion (Figure 8.14a). The transition of the electron between the two energy states is described with one of the following equations.

$$
t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}}^{0} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{0} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1} \quad \text { or } \quad e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1} \leftarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1}
$$

The maximum absorbance for this complex is at a wavelength of $493 \mathrm{~nm}\left(20,300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ or $\left.243 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ so that yellow and green light are absorbed. The wavelength(s) of light absorbed by the complex when white light is shone on the complex indicates the wavelength(s) of light reflected by the complex. The light reflected is the color actually observed and is the complement of the color absorbed; in this case, red and blue mix to give purple. The visible spectrum consists of the following primary colors in increasing energy and decreasing wavelength; red ( $620-750 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), orange ( $590-620 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), yellow ( $570-590 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), green ( $495-570 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), blue ( $450-495 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), indigo ( $420-450 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and violet ( $380-420 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) (ROYGBIV). Complementary colors are on the opposite edge of a color wheel; e.g., red and green; orange and blue; and yellow and violet. Thus, when yellow and green light are absorbed by the $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ complex, the complex reflects purple, and the splitting of these d orbitals can immediately be seen as a way to describe the color and spectroscopy of a metal complex. The simplicity of this model in explaining the spectroscopy of the complex is an improvement over the valence bond theory approach. The large absorption peak in the UV region is a ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT) band that will be explained using the molecular orbital approach below.
$\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ also gives a similar spectrum (Figure 8.14b). Octahedral $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ ions have a similar electron configuration as Ti (III) because one of the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4}$ electrons (in the paired orbital) is excited to an $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbital. The transition can be represented as

$$
t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{3}
$$

Because the ionic charge is +2 for $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ the peak is at a longer wavelength (lower energy) in the near IR region than that for $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$.

To describe high spin and low spin complexes, the filling of the electrons into the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals is provided in Figure 8.15 for the $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ electron configuration [e.g., $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ ]. Because the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals are lower in energy (more stable than the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ and the original d orbitals in a spherical environment), a concept known as the crystal field stabilization energy (CFSE) can be described. There are two energy level diagrams in Figure 8.15. The energy level diagram on the left is for the high spin complex, and the difference in the energy levels between the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals is smaller by a significant amount compared to the diagram on the right for the low spin complex. Because the d orbital splitting is smaller for the high spin complex, the complex is called weak field. In the weak field case, the extra stabilization energy for the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals is not high enough to overcome the pairing energy $(P)$ of two electrons into each of the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals. Pairing energy is a positive energy term and results in a decrease in the stabilization of the complex because of the repulsive effect of two electrons in the same orbital and the loss of exchange energy related to the loss of maximum multiplicity as noted in Hund's rule (thus, $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}<P$ ).

When crystal field splitting results in significant stabilization of the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals as in the energy level diagram on the right of Figure 8.15, all of the electrons are paired in the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals as the stabilization of these orbitals is more than enough to compensate the pairing energy (thus, $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}>P$ ). This latter low spin case is known as the strong field case in crystal field theory terminology. Table 8.3 and Figure 8.16 summarize the relative CFSE for each d orbital electron configuration in both the weak and strong field cases.

A couple of important aspects of the pairing energy need to be described. First, the pairing energy decreases in magnitude on descending a given family in the periodic table because the 4 d and 5 d orbitals are much more diffuse. Thus, it becomes easier to put two electrons into the same orbital. Metals in the second and


$$
\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{0} \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}, \mathrm{d}^{1}
$$


(a)


$$
t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}^{3}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}, \mathrm{d}^{6}
$$


(b)

Figure 8.14 Electronic spectra of (a) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1}\right)$ and $(b)\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{6}, \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}\right)$. The shoulders on the peaks of the Ti and the Fe complexes will be explained later. The vertical blue arrow represents the electronic transition



Figure 8.15 Comparison of energy level diagrams for the weak field (high spin) and for the strong field (low spin) octahedral d ${ }^{6}$ cases. $\mathrm{Fe}^{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+} \text { is an example of the weak field case and pyrite, } \mathrm{FeS}_{2} \text {, is an example of the }}$ strong field case

Table 8.3 Simplified crystal field stabilization energies for weak and strong field octahedral complexes. Note that $10 \mathrm{D}_{q}=1.0 \Delta_{\text {oct }}$

| Weak field (high spin) |  |  |  | Strong field (low spin) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}^{n}$ | Configuration | Unpaired electrons | CFSE | $\mathrm{d}^{n}$ | Configuration | Unpaired electrons | CFSE |
| $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1}$ | 1 | $-4 D_{\mathrm{q}}\left(-0.4 \Delta_{\text {oct }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1}$ | 1 | $-4 D_{\text {q }}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{2}$ | 2 | $-8 D_{\mathrm{q}}\left(-0.8 \Delta_{\text {oct }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{2}$ | 2 | $-8 D_{\text {q }}$ |
| $d^{3}$ | $t_{28}{ }^{3}$ | 3 | $-12 D_{\text {q }}\left(-1.2 \Delta_{\text {oct }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ | $t_{28}{ }^{3}$ | 3 | $-12 D_{\text {q }}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{3} e{ }^{1}$ | 4 | $-6 D_{\text {q }}\left(-0.6 \Delta_{\text {oct }}\right)$ | $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{4}$ | 2 | $-16 D_{\mathrm{q}}+P$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{3} e^{8}{ }^{2}$ | 5 | $0 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{5}$ | 1 | $-20 D_{\mathrm{q}}+2 P$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ | $t_{28}{ }^{4} e^{8}{ }^{2}$ | 4 | $-4 D_{\mathrm{q}}+P$ | $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6}$ | 0 | $-24 D_{\mathrm{q}}+3 P$ |
| $d^{7}$ | $t_{28}{ }^{5} e^{8}{ }^{2}$ | 3 | $-8 D_{\mathrm{q}}+2 P$ | $d^{7}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6} e_{g}{ }^{1}$ | 1 | $-18 D_{\mathrm{q}}+3 P$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6} e^{\text {g }}{ }^{2}$ | 2 | $-12 D_{\mathrm{q}}+3 P$ | $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e^{8}{ }^{2}$ | 2 | $-12 D_{\mathrm{q}}+3 P$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ | $t_{28}{ }^{6} e^{8}{ }^{3}$ | 1 | $-6 D_{\mathrm{q}}+4 P$ | $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6} e^{8}{ }^{3}$ | 1 | $-6 D_{\mathrm{q}}+4 P$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6} e^{\text {g }}{ }^{4}$ | 0 | $0 D_{\mathrm{q}}+5 P$ | $d^{10}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{4}$ | 0 | $0 D_{\mathrm{q}}+5 P$ |



Figure 8.16 Crystal field stabilization energies for octahedral weak and strong field complexes
third transition series (rows five and six) of the periodic table exhibit almost exclusively strong field or low spin metal complexes whereas metals in the first row of the transition series (row four) of the periodic table can exhibit either strong field or weak field complexes. Second, some ligands have electron acceptor properties, which allow electrons in the same d orbital to interact or bond with a ligand orbital; this phenomenon is described in detail below using the molecular orbital theory approach and is called backbonding (Section 8.6.3). This type of ligand-metal bonding is important for the metals in the first transition metal row of the periodic table, and dictates whether the complex is a strong field or low spin complex. The difference between high spin and low spin complexes for the first row of transition metals results in unique chemical properties that occur in enzyme systems of a host of organisms (chapter 10).

There are numerous experimental data, which have been used to show that metal complexes have CFSE. One of the major outcomes of Figures 8.15 and 8.16 is that there is a significant energy difference between the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals for the weak field and strong field cases. The energy difference for an electron transition from a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbital to an $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbital is greater in the strong field case than in the weak field case. Thus, strong field complexes will absorb light toward the blue region and weak field complexes will absorb light toward the red region of the visible spectrum. Subsequent sections explore crystal field stabilization energies in octahedral and tetrahedral complexes using a variety of experimental data.

### 8.5.2.2 Evidence for Crystal Field Stabilization Energies (Visible Spectroscopy)

Ligand Effects on $d$ Orbital Splitting. As noted previously, $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})\left[\mathrm{d}^{3}, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}\right]$ and Co (III) [ $\left.\mathrm{d}^{6}\right]$ octahedral complexes with a great variety of ligands have been well studied. Table 8.4 shows several complexes of these transition metal ions and the values of $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ in energy units of $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and cm${ }^{-1}$ as well as the wavelength of the first major electronic transition (e.g., Figure 8.43a). For $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes, the pairing energy $(P)$ is about $21,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; the splitting of the d orbitals for the ammonia complex exceeds the pairing energy. The d orbital splitting for the cyanide and ethylenediamine $\operatorname{Co}($ III $)$ complexes greatly exceeds the pairing energy. Additionally, Co (III) complexes typically have a larger splitting of the d orbitals than $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes with the same ligand because of the effective nuclear charge increase on going across the periodic table.

Table 8.4 Data on d orbital splitting and crystal field stabilization energy for various ligands in Cr (III) and Co (III) complexes

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cr}^{3+} \text { complex } \\ & \left(\mathrm{d}^{3} ; \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta_{\text {oct }}=10 D_{\text {q }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Co}^{3+} \text { complex } \\ & \left(\mathrm{d}^{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta_{\text {oct }}=10 D_{\text {q }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kJ mol ${ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~nm})$ |  | kJ mol ${ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~nm})$ |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{CrCl}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ | 158 | 13,200 (757) |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{CrF}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ | 182 | 15,200 (658) | $\left[\mathrm{CoF}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ | 182 | 13,100 (763) | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | 208 | 17,400 (574) | $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | 218 | 20,700 (483) | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | 258 | 21,600 (463) | $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | 274 | 22,900 (437) | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{3+}$ | 262 | 21,900 (457) | $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{3+}$ | 278 | 23,200 (431) | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6}$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ | 318 | 26,600 (376) | $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ | 401 | 33,500 (299) | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6}$ |

Data for these and other ligands resulted in a tabulation of ligand effects on transition metal ions, which is known as the spectrochemical series in increasing field strength. The relative order of several important ligands in this series is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}^{-} & <\mathrm{Br}^{-}<\mathrm{S}^{2-}<\mathrm{SCN}^{-}<\mathrm{Cl}^{-}<\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}<\mathrm{F}^{-}<\mathrm{OH}^{-}<\mathrm{ox}^{2-}<\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}<\mathrm{NCS}^{-} \\
& <\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}<\mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}<\text { en }<\text { bipy }<\text { phen }<\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{2}}^{-}<\mathbf{R}_{3} \mathbf{P}<\mathbf{R}_{2} \mathbf{S}<\mathbf{C N}^{-}<\mathbf{C O} \sim \text { olefins } \sim \mathbf{O}_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The ligands that are in bold font are the low spin/strong field ligands whereas the other ligands are the high spin/weak field ligands. An interesting point is that the halides are most representative of being negative point charges, but are weak field ligands with the least splitting of the d orbitals. The relative position of ligands in the spectrochemical series will be explained using molecular orbital theory below. Interestingly, $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ is the only low spin water complex in the first transition series, but is unstable as it oxidizes water (Section 8.7.3).

Transition Metal Oxidation State Effects on $d$ Orbital Splitting. The splitting of the d orbitals is also affected by the oxidation state of the transition metal. Table 8.5 provides spectroscopic data for the same metal in different oxidation states bound to the same ligand. The difference in $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ on change in metal oxidation state can be substantial as in the cobalt and ruthenium complexes. The value of $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ increases with an increase in metal oxidation state as the metal-ligand bonds are shorter and thus stronger. Also the value of $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ increases

Table 8.5 Data on $d$ orbital splitting for some +2 and +3 complexes of chromium, iron, cobalt, and ruthenium. The wavelength of absorption is in parentheses

| Complex | $\Delta_{\text {oct }}=10 D_{\text {q }}$ | $\mathrm{M}^{\text {n+ }}$ | Complex | $\Delta_{\text {oct }}=10 D_{\text {q }}$ | $\mathrm{M}^{\text {n+ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | 17,400 (574) | +3 | $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | 22,900 (437) | +3 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | 14,000 (714) | +2 | $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ | 10,200 (980) | +2 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | 14,000 (714) | +3 | $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | 20,700 (483) | +3 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ | 10,400 (962) | +2 | $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ | 7,900 (1265) | +2 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ | 35,000 (286) | +3 | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | 32,200 (311) | +3 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{4-}$ | 32,200 (311) | +2 | $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ | 19,800 (505) | +2 |

Table 8.6 Data on $d$ orbital splitting for +3 complexes of the chromium and cobalt families

| Complex | $\Delta_{\text {oct }}=10 D_{\text {q }}$ |  | Complex | $\Delta_{\text {oct }}=10 D_{\text {q }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kJ mol ${ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~nm})$ |  | kJ mol ${ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~nm})$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{CrCl}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ | 158 | 13,200 (757) | $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathrm{l}^{3+}\right.$ | 218 | 22,900 (437) |
| $\left[\mathrm{MoCl}_{6}{ }^{3-}\right.$ | 230 | 19,200 (521) |  | 408 | $34,100(293)$ |
|  |  |  | $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | 490 | $41,000(244)$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ | 243 | 20,300 (493) |  |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{IrCl}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ | 299 | 25,000 (400) | $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{3+}$ | 278 | 23,600 (423) |
|  |  |  | $\left[\mathbf{R h}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{3+}$ | 414 | 34,600 (289) |
|  |  |  | $\left[\operatorname{lr}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{3+}$ | 495 | 41,400 (242) |

on descending a given metal group (e.g., iron and ruthenium bound to water). The increase in d orbital splitting on descending a group is also shown in Table 8.6.

The Effects of Second and Third Transition Metals on d Orbital Splitting. On the left of Table 8.6, there are metal complexes with the weak field ligand, chloride ion. On descending the periodic table from chromium to molybdenum and from rhodium to iridium, there is a substantial increase in $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$. The $\left[\mathrm{CrCl}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ and the $\left[\mathrm{MoCl}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ complex ions have the electronic configuration of $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ whereas the rhodium and iridium chloride complexes are $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$. The right-hand side of Table 8.6 shows the significant increase in $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ on descending the cobalt family for complexes with ammonia and with ethylenediamine, which are strong field ligands. For these complexes, the electron configuration is $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$. From the data in Tables 8.4 through 8.6, it becomes obvious that the ligand dictates the spin state (high versus low) for the first transition metal series whereas in the second and third transition metal series, only the low spin case occurs because the 4 d and 5 d orbitals are more diffuse thus allowing the pairing of electrons to be easier in the same region of space.
Radii of Transition Metal Ions and CFSE. The radii of $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ ions also provide further evidence for CFSE and d orbital splitting. Figure 8.17a shows that ionic radii decrease for high spin $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ions from d ${ }^{0}$ to $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ as the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals are filled sequentially to obtain a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ electron configuration as these $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals are of lower energy and thus closer to the nucleus. For $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ electron configurations, the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals are filled. Because electrons in the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals interact with the ligands' electrons, there is a repulsion and the ionic radius increases due to the d orbital splitting. Likewise from $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ to $\mathrm{d}^{8}$, the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals are filled until they all have a pair of electrons and the ionic radius again decreases. For $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ electron configurations, the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals are filled and the ionic radius increases again. For low spin $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ions from $\mathrm{d}^{0}$ to $\mathrm{d}^{6}$, the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals are filled sequentially to obtain a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ electron configuration and the ionic radius decreases until electrons are added to the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals from $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ to $\mathrm{d}^{10}$.

Figure 8.17 b shows similar behavior for the low spin and high spin $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ ions. Low spin cases are more prevalent for $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ ions than for $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ions in the first transition metal series. Note that the radii for $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ ions are shorter than the radii for $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ions, which correlates with the increased d orbital splitting for $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ ions as in Table 8.5.

Lattice and Hydration Energies and CFSE. Because the lattice energy ( $U_{0}$; Section 6.3.5) is inversely proportional to ionic radius, an increase in lattice stability is observed on filling the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals as shown in Figure 8.18 for chloride and fluoride solids of the divalent cations. The characteristic double hump feature is


Figure 8.17 (a) ionic radii for low spin and high spin $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ions. (b) ionic radii for low spin and high spin $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ ions


Figure 8.18 (a) Lattice energy data for $\mathrm{MCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{MF}_{2}$ of the first transition series. (b) Hydration energy data (triangles) for the divalent ions of the first transition series; $\Delta H_{\text {hydr }}-\Delta_{\text {oct }}$ (stars)
the inverse of what is observed in Figure 8.17. Likewise, the hydration energies of a gaseous +2 metal cation, which can be calculated from thermochemical data, yield the double hump feature.

$$
\mathrm{M}^{2+}{ }_{(\mathrm{g})}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}{ }_{(\mathrm{aq})}
$$

Data in Table 8.7 show that subtraction of the CFSE for the aqua complexes from the hydration energy $\left(\Delta H_{\text {hydr }}-\Delta_{\text {oct }}\right.$ ) gives values that fall on the lines from $\mathrm{d}^{0}$ to $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ to $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ and indicate that d orbital splitting occurs.

Magnetic Properties and CFSE. The magnetic properties of metal complexes also indicate the importance of d orbital splitting and CFSE. Table 8.8 provides the experimental and calculated magnetic moment for both high spin and low spin complexes of the first transition metal series. The agreement is quite good in

Table 8.7 Spectroscopic and hydration energy data for hexaaqua complexes for +2 cations of the first transition series. Multiply $10 \mathrm{D}_{q}$ by relative CFSE in Table 8.3 to obtain CFSE in $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

| $\begin{aligned} & +2 \\ & \text { Ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 D_{\mathrm{q}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 D_{\mathrm{q}} \\ & \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | CFSE <br> kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | Hydration E kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\Delta H_{\text {hydr }}-\Delta_{\text {oct }}\right) \\ & \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ca |  |  |  | 2468 | 2468 |
| V | 12,100 | 144.7 | 173.6 | 2814 | 2640 |
| Cr | 14,000 | 167.4 | 100.4 | 2799 | 2698 |
| $\mathbf{M n}$ | 8,500 | 101.7 | 0 | 2743 | 2746 |
| Fe | 10,400 | 124.4 | 49.8 | 2843 | 2793 |
| Co | 7,900 | 94.5 | 75.6 | 2904 | 2828 |
| Ni | 8,500 | 101.7 | 122.0 | 2986 | 2864 |
| Cu | 12,000 | 143.5 | 86.1 | 2989 | 2902 |
| Zn |  |  |  | 2936 | 2936 |

Table 8.8 Magnetic properties for some complexes of the first row transition metals. The number of electrons is indicated by \#e- ${ }^{-}$. BM indicates Bohr magneton

| Metal | \# d $\mathbf{e}^{-}$ | \# $\mathbf{e}^{-}$unpaired (high spin) | $\begin{aligned} & \mu(\mathrm{BM}) \\ & (\text { expt.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mu$ (BM) (spin only calc) | \# $\mathbf{e}^{-}$unpaired (low spin) | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \text { (BM) } \\ & \text { (expt.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mu$ (BM) (spin only calc) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ | 1 | $1, t_{2 g}{ }^{1}$ | 1.73 | 1.73 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{V}^{4+}$ | 1 | 1, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1}$ | 1.68-1.78 | 1.73 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{V}^{\mathbf{3 +}}$ | 2 | $2, t_{2 g}{ }^{2}$ | 2.75-2.85 | 2.83 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{V}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 3 | $3, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ | 3.80-3.90 | 3.88 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ | 3 | $3, t_{2 g}{ }^{3}$ | 3.70-3.9 | 3.88 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ | 3 | $3, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ | 3.80-4.0 | 3.88 | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ | 4 | 4, $t_{2 g}{ }^{3} e^{\text {g }}$ | 4.75-4.90 | 4.90 | $2, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4}$ | 3.20-3.30 | 2.83 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ | 4 | 4, $t_{2 g}{ }^{3} e^{\text {g }}{ }^{1}$ | 4.90-5.00 | 4.90 | $2, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}^{4}$ | 3.18 | 2.83 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | 5 | 5, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ | 5.65-6.10 | 5.92 | 1, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}^{5}$ | 1.80-2.10 | 1.73 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ | 5 | 5, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ | 5.70-6.0 | 5.92 | 1, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5}$ | 2.0-2.5 | 1.73 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | 6 | 4, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ | 5.10-5.70 | 4.90 | $0, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ | - | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ | 6 | 4, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ | - | 4.90 | $0, t_{2 g}{ }_{6}$ | - | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ | 7 | 3, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ | 4.30-5.20 | 3.88 | $1, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}$ | 1.8 | 1.73 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}^{3+}$ | 7 | $3, t_{2 g}{ }^{5} e^{2}$ | - | 3.88 | 1, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}$ | 1.8-2.0 | 1.73 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | 8 | 2, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ | 2.80-3.50 | 2.83 | - ${ }_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | - |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ | 9 | $1, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{3}$ | 1.70-2.20 | 1.73 | - | - | - |

almost every case. Equations 3.11 and 3.12 determine the magnetic moment, $\mu$ (units in Bohr magnetons $[e h /(4 \pi m)])$, for the spin only case of the electrons in the atomic orbitals. It is also used for the transition metal cations in complexes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=[n(n+2)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \text { where } n=\# \text { of unpaired electrons } \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As was observed in Section 3.8.2, orbital angular momentum of the electron can contribute to $\mu$. The value of $\mu_{\text {expt }}$ normally increases over the value for the spin only case, and $\mu$ becomes larger for low spin $\mathrm{d}^{5}$, and
high spin $d^{6}$ and $d^{7}$ cases. In these cases, the electrons, for example, in the $d_{x y}$ and/or $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbitals, can be induced to circulate around the $z$-axis in the $x y$ plane when a perpendicular field on the $z$-axis is applied to the $x y$ plane.

Before discussing some other pertinent experimental data regarding CFSE, the crystal field splitting pattern for tetrahedral complexes is given.

### 8.5.2.3 Tetrahedral Transition Metal Complexes

Figure 8.19 shows the five d orbitals for the central metal ion at the center of the cube and the approach of the four ligands as point charges to the metal ion at alternate vertices of the cube.

It is clear from the spatial arrangement that all of the five $d$ orbitals do not have a substantial interaction with the four point charges as in the octahedral field case. However, the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital and the $\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbital have the least interaction with the four ligands and are now stabilized relative to the other three d orbitals. These two d orbitals have the symmetry e from the character table for the tetrahedron, $T_{d}$ (Table 4.8). The other three orbitals $\left(\mathrm{d}_{x y}, \mathrm{~d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}\right)$ point toward the four ligands and are repelled to some extent by the negative point charges of the four ligands. They are involved in the formation of bonding and antibonding orbitals. Inspection of the tetrahedral character table shows that these three orbitals have the symmetry $t_{2}$.

Figures 8.20 and 8.21 summarize the relative CFSE for each d orbital electron configuration in tetrahedral geometry. Because the interaction of the ligand point charges is significantly less than what is found in octahedral geometry, the splitting of the d orbitals is much smaller in a tetrahedral geometry as shown in the energy level diagrams of Figure 8.20, and the relationship is given by the following equation (Equation 8.4; see AOM, 8.7.4.1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\text {tet }}=10 D_{\mathrm{q} T_{\mathrm{d}}}=(4 / 9) \Delta_{\text {oct }} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison of electronic spectra for the same metal cation with the same ligand in octahedral and tetrahedral geometries shows this relationship in many instances [9]; e.g., $\mathrm{MnCl}_{6}{ }^{4-}\left(7500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ versus $\mathrm{MnCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}\left(3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) ; \mathrm{FeCl}_{6}{ }^{4-}\left(7600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ versus $\mathrm{FeCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}\left(4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.


Figure 8.19 The approach of four ligand point charges in a tetrahedral field to the five $d$ orbitals


Figure 8.20 Comparison of energy level diagrams for high spin or weak field octahedral complexes with high spin tetrahedral complexes

| $\mathbf{d}^{n}$ | Configuration | Unpaired <br> electrons | CFSE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{1}$ | 1 | $-6 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{2}$ | 2 | $-12 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{1}$ | 3 | $-8 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{2}$ | 4 | $-4 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{3}$ | 5 | $0 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{3} \mathrm{t}^{3}$ | 4 | $-6 D_{\mathrm{q}}+\mathrm{P}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{4} \mathrm{t}^{3}$ | 3 | $-12 D_{\mathrm{q}}+2 \mathrm{P}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{4} \mathrm{t}^{4}$ | 2 | $-8 D_{\mathrm{q}}+3 \mathrm{P}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{4} \mathrm{t}^{5}$ | 1 | $-4 D_{\mathrm{q}}+4 \mathrm{P}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{4} \mathrm{t}^{6}$ | 0 | $0 D_{\mathrm{q}}+5 \mathrm{P}$ |



Figure 8.21 On the left is a table of simplified crystal field stabilization energies in tetrahedral geometry; on the right is a figure showing a comparison of crystal field stabilization energies in both tetrahedral and octahedral weak field cases

Figure 8.21 provides the relative crystal field stabilization energies in a tetrahedral geometry on the left. These are then compared with crystal field stabilization energies in an octahedral weak field on the right; all values are normalized to values in octahedral field. The major outcome of this analysis is that weak field (high spin) complexes are found in tetrahedral geometry. In four coordinate geometry, strong field or low spin complexes exhibit square planar geometry.

### 8.5.2.4 Spinel Structures

The difference in crystal field stabilization energies between the tetrahedral and octahedral cases can be used to explain or to predict structures of the minerals known as spinels (Section 6.3.2.2). Spinels are solids with the stoichiometry $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{B}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{III}} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ where the Roman numerals indicate the oxidation states of A and B . Normal spinels have $\mathrm{A}^{2+}$ cations in $T_{d}$ sites and both $\mathrm{B}^{3+}$ cations in $O_{h}$ sites. Inverse spinels have A cations in $O_{h}$ sites and half the B cations are in $T_{d}$ sites and the other half in $O_{h}$ sites. One of the most important minerals having an inverse spinel structure is magnetite, $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, or $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{\text {III }} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ where the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ cation occupies an $O_{h}$ site so the formula is best represented as $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\right) \mathrm{O}_{4}$. A simple calculation takes into account the CFSE for a given cation in both octahedral and tetrahedral geometries (normalized to octahedral CFSE units). The difference is known as the octahedral site stabilization energy (OSSE) and is given in the following equation.

$$
\text { OSSE }=\text { Octahedral site stabilization energy }=\operatorname{CFSE}\left(O_{h}\right)-\operatorname{CFSE}\left(T_{d} \text { in } O_{h} \text { units }\right)
$$

Table 8.9 displays the results of the calculation for electron configurations $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ to $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ for both high spin and low spin complexes. Because tetrahedral symmetry leads to high spin complexes, only one calculation is required to convert CFSE $T_{d}$ units to CFSE $O_{h}$ units. Fe(III) is a d ${ }^{5}$ electron configuration, and in high spin tetrahedral or octahedral geometries, the CFSE is zero (the same value is also obtained for the $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ electron configuration). Fe (II) is a $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ electron configuration, and in a high spin octahedral geometry, the CFSE is 4. In a tetrahedral geometry, Fe (II) has a CFSE of 2.67 ; thus, the OSSE is 1.33 . These data show that Fe (II) should occupy an $O_{h}$ site as there is no stabilization for Fe (III) whether it would occupy an $O_{h}$ site or a $T_{d}$ site. Thus, magnetite is predicted to be more stable in the inverse spinel structure. In contrast, $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ has the normal spinel structure.

Using the data from Table 8.9, Table 8.10 predicts the structure of normal versus inverse spinels based on changes of the B or +3 cations with different A or +2 cations. Overall agreement is very reasonable.

### 8.5.2.5 Splitting of $d$ Orbitals in a Tetragonal Field

In octahedral geometry, several metal electron configurations permit the placement of an electron into one or more of the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ or $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals. For example, in the case of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$, the $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ electron could be placed in any one of the three degenerate $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals. The Jahn-Teller theorem states that if the ground electronic configuration of a nonlinear molecule is degenerate, then the molecule distorts so as to remove the degeneracy

Table 8.9 Octahedral site stabilization energies for the $d^{1}$ to $d^{9}$ electron configurations. These data indicate that the octahedral geometry is more stable than the tetrahedral geometry

| \# d $\mathbf{e}^{-}$ | CFSE , $T_{\mathrm{d}}$ <br> in units of $D_{\mathrm{q}}$ for $T_{\mathrm{d}}$ | CFSE, $T_{d}$ <br> in units of $D_{q}$ for $O_{h}$ | CFSE for octahedral high spin in units of $D_{q}$ for $O_{h}$ | OSSE high spin in units of $D_{q}$ for $O_{h}$ | CFSE for octahedral low spin in units of $D_{q}$ for $O_{h}$ | OSSE low spin in units of $D_{q}$ for $O_{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ | $6 \times(4 / 9)=$ | 2.67 | 4 | 1.33 | 4 | 1.33 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ | $12 \times(4 / 9)=$ | 5.33 | 8 | 2.67 | 8 | 2.67 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ | $8 \times(4 / 9)=$ | 3.55 | 12 | 8.45 | 12 | 8.45 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ | $4 \times(4 / 9)=$ | 1.78 | 6 | 4.22 | 16-P | 14.22-P |
| $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ | $0 \times(4 / 9)=$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20-P | 20-P |
| $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ | $6 \times(4 / 9)=$ | 2.67 | 4 | 1.33 | 24-P | 21.33-P |
| $d^{7}$ | $12 \times(4 / 9)=$ | 5.33 | 8 | 2.67 | 18-P | 12.67-P |
| $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ | $8 \times(4 / 9)=$ | 3.55 | 12 | 8.45 | 12 | 8.45 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ | $4 \times(4 / 9)=$ | 1.78 | 6 | 4.22 | 6 | 4.22 |

Table 8.10 Use of OSSE data from Table 8.9 to predict normal versus inverse spinel structure. $N=$ normal; $I=$ inverse; $N O=$ no prediction by OSSE

| Cation (A) | B cations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ |  | $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ |  |
|  | Exp. | Calc. | Exp. | Calc. | Exp. | Calc. |
| $\mathbf{M g}{ }^{\mathbf{+}}$ | 0.881 | NO | 1 | NO | N | N |
| Z ${ }^{\text {2+ }}$ | N | NO | N | NO | N | N |
| $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ | N | NO | N | NO | N | N |
| $\mathbf{M n}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | N | NO | I | NO | N | N |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | N | I | 1 | I | N | N |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ | N | 1 | 1 | I | N | N |



Figure 8.22 Electronic energy level diagrams for z-out (a) and z-in (b) tetragonal distortions
and become more stable. In an octahedral geometry, there are two possible distortions that can occur. The first and the one found most frequently is the tetragonal case where two ligands on the $z$-axis move away from the transition metal so that the bonds are longer; this is known as the $z$-out case. The second case is termed the $z$-in case as the two ligands on the $z$-axis move closer to the transition metal. Both cases are described in Figure 8.22 , and both have the symmetry $D_{4 h}$ rather than $O_{h}$ when all six ligands are identical in bond length.

As a result of the tetragonal distortion, the d orbitals in both the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ sets split further. For the $z$-out case, all the $z$ type orbitals become more stable as there is less interaction with the ligands on the $z$-axis. Likewise, the d orbitals interact more with the ligands in the $x y$ plane so that they become destabilized. For the $z$-in case, all the $z$-type orbitals become destabilized whereas the $x y$-type orbitals become stabilized. Figure 8.23 shows the relative stabilization of the tetragonal $z$-out case as compared to the octahedral case for both the $d^{1}$ and $d^{6}$ electron configurations. A major consequence of the $d$ orbitals splitting is that the electron in the original $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbital can now be excited to two energetically different $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals because of the splitting of the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ set. In Figure 8.14a, the spectrum of the $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ complex showed a shoulder at longer wavelength


Figure 8.23 Electronic energy level diagrams for $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ (a) and $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ (b) octahedral to z-out tetragonal distortion
than the maximum at 493 nm ; a shoulder at $8300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can also be observed for $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$. The shoulder is evidence that the complex gives two absorption peaks that overlap. The d orbital splitting is more substantial for salts of $\mathrm{d}^{6} \mathrm{CoF}_{6}{ }^{3-}$ with two peaks centered at 14,500 and $11,400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [10] because of the ligand and the higher effective nuclear charge for Co (III) than $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{III})$.

The only electron configurations in octahedral geometry that cannot exhibit tetragonal distortion are the $\mathrm{d}^{3}$, $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ high spin, $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ low spin, and $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ cases as the filling of the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals have only one possible combination. There are several other electron configurations in octahedral geometry that exhibit significant tetragonal distortions; e.g., $d^{4}$ high spin (see $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ in Figure 8.42 a), $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ low spin and $\mathrm{d}^{9}\left(\right.$ see $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ in Figure 8.42 b ). These electron configurations result in placing an electron in the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals. For d ${ }^{4}$ high spin and $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ low spin, one electron is finally placed into an $e_{\text {g }}$ orbital whereas for the $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ case, there is a partially occupied orbital typically referred to as a hole as one electron is missing from the complete filling of the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals. Because the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals are formally antibonding $\sigma$ orbitals (see Section 8.6) and partially occupied, the splitting of the orbitals is greater, and the resulting tetragonal distortion is more significant than in the $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ high spin cases where $t_{2 g}$ orbitals are filled last.

Some of the best experimental data for showing tetragonal distortion from an octahedral geometry are metal-ligand bond distances for $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ electron configurations, which are given for a few compounds of $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$, and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ in Table 8.11. Both $z$-in and $z$-out cases are given, and these exhibit two short and four long bonds, and two long bonds and four short bonds, respectively. The bond differences are substantial in both cases. Metal ions bound to porphyrin ligands (as shown in Figure 8.1), which have four nitrogen ligating atoms for binding in the $x y$ plane, also exhibit tetragonal distortion.

### 8.5.2.6 Splitting of d Orbitals in a Square Planar Field

The ultimate tetragonal distortion is when the ligands on the $z$-axis are completely removed and there is no bonding on the $z$-axis resulting in a square planar geometry. Figure 8.24 shows the relative energy level diagrams for octahedral, tetragonal distortion, and square planar geometries. The diagram for tetragonal

Table 8.11 Data for tetragonal distortion (the Jahn-Teller effect) based on metal-ligand bond distances from [11]

| Compound | Short distances (pm) | Long distances (pm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " $z$ " out case (long bonds on $z$ axis) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CuF}_{2}$ | 4 F at 193 | 2 F at 227 |
| $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ | 4 Cl at 230 | 2 Cl at 295 |
| $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ | 4 Br at 240 | 2 Br at 318 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6} \mathbf{2}^{+}$ | $4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at 207 | $2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at 262 |
| $\mathrm{CrF}_{2}$ | 4 F at 200 | 2 F at 243 |
| $\mathrm{MnF}_{3}$ | 2 F at 179 | 2 F at $191 ; 2 \mathrm{~F}$ at 209 |
| MnOOH | 4 O at 188 | 2 O at 230 |
| " $z$ " in case (long bonds on $x$ and $y$ axes) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{KCrF}_{3}$ | 2 F at 200 | 4 F at 214 |
| $\mathrm{KCuF}_{3}$ | 2 F at 196 | 4 F at 207 |



Figure 8.24 Comparison of d-orbital splitting in six-coordinate versus four-coordinate structures; ( $\left.\mathrm{d}^{8}\right)$ octahedral, tetragonal distortion, and square planar complexes, respectively
distorted and square planar geometries is similar except that in the square planar geometry all orbitals with a $z$ component are lower in energy that those with $x y$ components only. Krishnamurthy and Schaap [12] noted that d orbital splitting for square planar geometry could be either of the cases in Figure 8.24 based on potential energy considerations. As will be shown with the angular overlap model approach (Section 8.7), square planar complexes normally have the splitting shown for the tetragonally distorted case. The square planar geometry is a low spin four-coordinate structure as compared to tetrahedral geometry, which is a high spin four-coordinate structure.

### 8.5.2.7 Splitting of the Orbitals and Other Geometries

The crystal field splitting parameters have been calculated for a variety of geometries [3, 12], and the values of $D_{\mathrm{q}}$ are normalized to the octahedral case. Figure 8.25 gives details on the splitting of several important geometries, which will be used when discussing ligand substitution reactions in Section 9.2. The square pyramid ( $C_{4 v}$ ) and the trigonal bipyramid ( $D_{3 h}$ ) geometries can form when a square planar compound can gain a ligand to form a five coordinate intermediate, or when an octahedral compound can lose a ligand. The pentagonal bipyramidal geometry can form when an octahedral compound can gain a ligand to form a seven coordinate intermediate.

### 8.6 Molecular Orbital Theory

The discussion above only centered on the d orbitals of the metal, and did not explicitly consider the orbitals from the ligands. Thus, it was not possible to explain certain experimental data such as the spectrochemical series order of ligands binding to transition metals. The molecular orbital approach explicitly considers all the orbitals from the ligands and the transition metal. There are three cases, which can be used to explain the different bonding schemes between the ligands in the transition metal in an octahedral environment. They are (1) the sigma bonding only case between the ligands and the transition metal, (2) sigma bonding plus pi donor electron capability of the ligand to the metal and (3) sigma bonding plus pi acceptor capability of the ligand from the metal.

### 8.6.1 Case 1 - Octahedral Geometry (Sigma Bonding Only)

For case 1, the transition metal atom or ion has 1-s orbital, 3-p orbitals, and 5-d orbitals for a total of nine atomic orbitals. Table 4.9 gives the character table for the bonding of the central atom in octahedral geometry,


Figure 8.25 Possible ways to split the metal d orbitals in several important four, five, six, and seven coordination number geometries (Source: Data from Ref. [12])


$$
a_{1 \mathrm{~g}}=4 \mathrm{~s}+\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}+\sigma_{4}+\sigma_{5}+\sigma_{6}\right)
$$


$t_{1 \mathrm{u}}=4 \mathrm{p}_{y}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{4}\right)$

$$
t_{1 \mathrm{u}}=4 \mathrm{p}_{x}+\left(\sigma_{5}-\sigma_{3}\right)
$$


$e_{\mathrm{g}}=3 \mathrm{~d}_{x}{ }^{2}-y^{2}-\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}+\sigma_{4}-\sigma_{5}\right)$

Figure 8.26 The combination of transition metal atomic orbitals with ligand orbitals to form bonding molecular orbitals for case 1 sigma only bonding. The atomic orbitals or lobes of the atomic orbitals in blue color have a + sign of the wave function; white coloration indicates - sign of the wave function
which shows that the 1 -s orbital transforms as $a_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$, the 3 -p orbitals transform as $t_{1 \mathrm{u}}$, and the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbitals transform as $e_{\mathrm{g}}$. These six atomic orbitals form six molecular orbitals when combined with six ligand orbitals, which donate electrons to the transition metal and are combined into group or SALC orbitals using the group theory approach. Figure 8.26 provides the six sigma bonding molecular orbitals (note that the overlap integral is everywhere positive for these combinations) in octahedral geometry along with the generalized wave functions for these bonding molecular orbitals. For simplicity, the ligand orbitals are drawn as "s"-like orbitals, and are given the symbol, $\sigma$, to represent sigma bonding. Ammonia and simple amines are examples of ligands that bind with transition metals in a sigma bonding only manner.

The $\mathrm{d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}$, and $\mathrm{d}_{x y}$ orbitals transform as $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$, and are nonbonding orbitals as they have no electron density along the bond axes, which are also along the Cartesian coordinate axes. Clearly, the approaching ligands would have been an overlap integral (S) equal to zero (Figure 8.27).

There are six antibonding molecular orbitals, which are similar to the bonding molecular orbitals above, except for the sign of the wave function for the ligand orbitals. Figure 8.28 gives the combination of transition metal and ligand orbitals along with the generalized wave function for each resulting molecular orbital. On combination of the metal and ligand orbitals, the overlap integral gives a negative value ( $S<0$ ).

$t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ nonbonding set
Figure 8.27 The $t_{2 g}$ nonbonding molecular orbitals for case 1; sigma only bonding


Metal


$$
a_{1 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{*}=4 \mathrm{~s}-\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}+\sigma_{4}+\sigma_{5}+\sigma_{6}\right)
$$



$$
e_{\mathrm{g}}^{*}=3 \mathrm{~d}_{x}^{2}-y^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}+\sigma_{4}-\sigma_{5}\right)
$$


$e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}=3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{2}-\left(2 \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{4}-\sigma_{5}+2 \sigma_{6}\right)$


Figure 8.28 The combination of transition metal atomic orbitals with ligand orbitals to form antibonding molecular orbitals for case 1; sigma only bonding

The molecular orbital energy level diagram for these 15 molecular orbitals is shown in Figure 8.29. The atomic orbitals for the transition metal are on the left and the ligand-donating orbitals are on the right; the resulting molecular orbitals are in the center of the diagram. Because each ligand orbital donates two electrons to the transition metal complex, there are six bonding orbitals, which have both ligand and metal character, but have more ligand character. Likewise, the six antibonding orbitals also have ligand and metal character, but the contribution is mainly metal. Of particular interest is the fact that the metal $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals are no longer


Figure 8.29 Idealized molecular orbital energy diagram for a transition metal with a $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ electron configuration when only sigma bonding occurs. For this figure and Figures 8.31 and 8.32 , the metal d orbitals in the complex are drawn with blue lines to distinguish them from all other orbitals of the metal and the ligand. The energy terms $3 \mathrm{e}_{\sigma}$ and $3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ will be discussed under the angular overlap model (Section 8.7)
pure metal d orbitals and have antibonding character. The nonbonding $t_{2 g}$ orbitals only have metal character as there is no interaction with any ligand orbitals in a sigma bonding mode. The HOMO and LUMO orbitals depend on the orbital occupation; e.g., for octahedral high spin $\mathrm{d}^{1}, \mathrm{~d}^{2}, \mathrm{~d}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}^{6}$, and $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals are both HOMO and LUMO (also SOMO); for octahedral high spin $\mathrm{d}^{4}, \mathrm{~d}^{5}, \mathrm{~d}^{8}$, and $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals are HOMO and LUMO (also SOMO); for low spin $\mathrm{d}^{6}$, the HOMO are the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals and the LUMO are the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals.

### 8.6.2 Case 2 - Octahedral Geometry (Sigma Bonding Plus Ligand $\pi$ Donor)

When ligands have more than one lone pair of electrons to donate to the transition metal, $\pi$ bonding can result between the metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals and the additional lone pairs of electrons from the ligand. Figure 8.30 shows $\pi$ bonding overlap for ligand pure $\mathrm{p}_{x}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals with the $\mathrm{d}_{x z}$ orbital of the metal atom; there are two other sets with similar overlap for the $\mathrm{d}_{x y}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{y z}$ metal orbitals. In this case, each ligand has two ligand $\pi$ orbitals, which are perpendicular to the sigma bond axis, possible for bonding. The six ligand atoms have $12 \pi$ bonding vectors shown on the right side of Figure 8.30, and these give the reducible representation $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{\pi} \text { oct }}$, which reduces to $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{\pi} \text { oct }}=t_{1 \mathrm{~g}}+t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}+t_{1 \mathrm{u}}+t_{2 \mathrm{u}}$. Thus, the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ ligand orbital set can combine with the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ metal orbitals set.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 
& E & 8 C_{3} & 6 C_{2} & 6 C_{4} & 3 C_{2}\left(=C_{4}{ }^{2}\right) & i & 6 S_{4} & 8 S_{6} & 6 \sigma_{h} & 6 \sigma_{d} \\
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{\pi} \text { oct }} & 12 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$



Figure 8.30 The possible $\pi$ bonding overlap of four pligand orbitals with a $\mathrm{d}_{x z}$ metal orbital. The bonding vectors for six sets of ligand $p$ orbitals bound to a central metal atom

Figure 8.31 shows the molecular orbital energy level diagram for the $\pi$ donor ligand case 2 . The sigma bonding orbitals for the metal ligand complex are again identical to case 1 , and the energy of the $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ orbitals is unchanged. However, there are three more filled ligand $t_{2 g}$ orbitals that now interact with the empty or partially empty metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals, which now are less stable than in the sigma bonding only case 1 . The ligand $t_{2 g}$ orbitals are more stable as shown in the $\pi$ donor complex part of the figure. The separation in energy between the metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ orbitals $\left(\Delta_{\text {oct }}\right)$ is less than in case 1 ; thus, the electron transition between these orbitals is of less energy and thus higher wavelength. Representative ligands for case 2 are the halides, hydroxide, oxide, sulfide, and water as shown in the spectrochemical series above. Another consequence of lowering the stability of the metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals is that ionization of the metal ion becomes easier; this effect will be shown for the environmentally significant oxidation of the hexaaqua complexes of Fe (II) and Mn (II) as pH increases in aqueous solutions (Section 10.4.1).

The energy level diagram also shows the possibility of two more electron transitions due to the excitation of ligand $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ electrons. These are called ligand to metal charge transfers (LMCT), which are very intense peaks toward the UV region as shown in Figure 8.14 and Section 8.8.1. The first transition is a low energy transition of a ligand $t_{2 g}$ electron to a metal $t_{2 g}$ orbital. The second is a higher energy transition of a ligand $t_{2 g}$ electron to a metal $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ orbital.

### 8.6.3 Case 3 - Octahedral Geometry (Sigma Bonding Plus Ligand $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ Acceptor)

Case 3 results when a filled or partially filled metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbital overlaps with empty $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals of the ligand and results in $\pi$ bonding (backbonding). There are several possible ligand $t_{2 g}$ orbitals that exhibit this type of $\pi$ bonding. As shown in Figure 8.30, ligand molecular orbitals must have a positive and negative component of the wave function to interact with a metal $t_{2 g}$ orbital. Three empty molecular orbitals other than p orbitals that satisfy this requirement include the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital for $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right)$, and other alkanes; the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals found in carbon-carbon double bonds $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ and in triple bonds of $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}^{-}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$; and the $\mathrm{d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}$, and $\mathrm{d}_{x y}$ orbitals of organic sulfur $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ and phosphorus compounds $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$. The resulting $\pi$ bonding orbitals

## Octahedral complexes Case 2 (pi donor ligands)



Figure 8.31 Idealized molecular orbital energy diagram for a transition metal with a d ${ }^{1}$ electron configuration when both sigma and pi donor bonding occur. $\mathrm{CoF}_{6}{ }^{3-}\left(\mathrm{d}^{6}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{1}\right)$ are good case 2 examples. The metal d orbitals are shown with blue lines
(signifying the metal to ligand electron flow) are given the following shorthand notation $\mathrm{d}_{\pi} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}, \mathrm{~d}_{\pi} \rightarrow \pi^{*}$, and $\mathrm{d}_{\pi} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}_{\pi}$, respectively, where $\mathrm{d}_{\pi}$ after the arrow indicates $\mathrm{d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}$, and $\mathrm{d}_{x y}$ orbitals to $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$.

Figure 8.32 shows the molecular orbital energy level diagram for the $\pi$ acceptor case 3 . The sigma bonding orbitals for the metal-ligand complex are again similar to case 1 , and the energy of the $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ orbitals is unchanged. However, there are three more empty ligand $t_{2 g}$ orbitals that now interact with the filled or partially filled metal $t_{2 g}$ orbitals, which become more stable than in the sigma bonding only case 1 . The ligand $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals are less stable as shown in the $\pi$ acceptor complex part of Figure 8.32. The separation in energy between the metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ orbitals $\left(\Delta_{\text {oct }}\right)$ is more than in the sigma bonding only case 1 ; thus, the electron transition between these orbitals is of higher energy and thus lower wavelength. Representative ligands for case 3 are olefins, dioxygen, and carbon monoxide as shown in the spectrochemical series above. Another consequence of increasing the stability of the metal $t_{2 g}$ orbitals is that ionization of the metal ion becomes more difficult. However, as will be shown in Section 9.10, this type of bonding interaction is extremely important in transition metal catalysis when oxidative addition occurs.

The energy level diagram also shows the possibility of another higher energy electron transition due to the excitation of the metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ electrons to a ligand $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbital (the black arrow for the $\pi$ acceptor complex in Figure 8.32). These are called metal to ligand charge transfer transitions (MLCT).

Figure 8.33a shows a $\pi$ donor bonding example for case $2\left(p \rightarrow d_{\pi}\right)$ and Figure 8.33b-e shows $\pi$ acceptor examples for case $3\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\pi} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}, \mathrm{~d}_{\pi} \rightarrow \pi^{*}\right.$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\pi} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}_{\pi}$ ). Figure 8.33 b shows that carbon monoxide binds

## Octahedral complexes Case 3 (pi acceptor ligands)



Figure 8.32 Idealized molecular orbital energy diagram for a transition metal with a $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ electron configuration when both sigma and pi acceptor bonding occur. The metal d orbitals are shown with blue horizontal lines
a metal "end on." Figure 8.33d and e shows that ethylene in Zeise's salt binds Pt in a "side on" manner; here, there is a $\sigma$ bond formed from the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} \pi$ bond of ethylene to a $\mathrm{d}^{8} \mathrm{Pt}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}\right)$ orbital (not shown) and then a $\pi$ acceptor bond from the $\mathrm{d}_{x z}$ orbital of Pt to the $\pi^{*}$ orbital of ethylene (the plane of the paper is the $x z$ plane). $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ can form a $\sigma$ bond in either end on or side on attack of the metal (Figures 10.3, $10.7,10.8,10.9)$. The side on bonding formally has two carbons bonding equally to the Pt forming a trigonal planar arrangement, and is given the symbol $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (hapto nomenclature for 2 C atoms binding; see Section 8.3).

### 8.7 Angular Overlap Model

The discussion above did not explicitly describe the energetics of the d orbital splittings as only the changes in $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ were noted. The angular overlap model (AOM, [13]) provides semi-quantitative understanding of the d orbital splittings in Figures 8.29, 8.31, and 8.32. For orbitals other than s, the extent of the overlap is dependent on the angle between the orbitals. Angular overlap was briefly discussed and described for p orbitals in Figure 5.20. The overlap integral $S$ for an ML complex is given the symbol $S_{\mathrm{ML}}$ and for two p orbitals combining on the same axis $S_{\mathrm{ML}}=S_{\sigma} \cos \theta$. When $\theta$ is $0^{\circ}$, maximum bonding occurs.


Figure 8.33 Examples of $\pi$ bonding in metal complexes. (a) Case $2 \pi$ donor ligand; (b) case $3 \pi$ acceptor ligand end on attack by C in CO $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\pi} \rightarrow \pi^{*}\right)$; (c) case $3 \pi$ acceptor ligand $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\pi} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}_{\pi}\right)$; (d) case $3 \pi$ acceptor ligand side on attack by $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\pi \rightarrow \mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{d}_{\pi} \rightarrow \pi^{*}\right)$ in a square planar complex; (e) orbital overlap for case $3 \pi$ acceptor ligand side on attack ( $\mathrm{d}_{\pi} \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ )

The energy of interaction, $e$, between the metal and ligand orbitals is given as

$$
e_{j}=\sum_{L=1}^{N} \beta S_{\mathrm{ML}}{ }^{2}=\beta S_{\sigma}^{2} f(\delta, \phi)
$$

where $S_{\mathrm{ML}}$ is the overlap integral between orbitals on the metal and each ligand and $\beta$ is a constant inversely proportional to the difference in energy between the orbitals. Only the angular dependence need be considered because the radial portions of the wave functions are constant for a given set of ligands bound to a given metal ion. The total $\sigma$ bond molecular orbital stabilization energy (MOSE) is the number of electrons ( $n_{j}$ ) occupying each orbital summed over all five $e_{j}$ orbitals.

$$
\Sigma(\sigma)=\sum_{j=1}^{5} n_{j} e_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{5} n_{j} \sum_{L=1}^{N} \beta S_{\mathrm{ML}}{ }^{2}
$$

For bonding orbitals, the value is positive and for antibonding orbitals the value is negative. The $S_{\mathrm{ML}}$ functions for each of the five "d" orbitals are given in Figure 8.34.

The value of $S_{\mathrm{ML}}$ for each d orbital can be evaluated, and as an example, the value for the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital is solved using $S_{\mathrm{ML}}$ for $S\left(\mathrm{~d}_{z^{2}}, \sigma\right)=[(1+3 \cos 2 \theta) / 4] S_{\sigma}$. For the $L_{1}$ and $L_{6}$ positions on the $z$ axis, the solutions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1} \theta=0^{\circ} \text { so }[(1+3(1)) / 4] S_{\sigma}=1 S_{\sigma} ; \text { squaring gives }\left(1 S_{\sigma}\right)^{2}=1 S_{\sigma}{ }^{2} \\
& L_{6} \theta=180^{\circ} \text { so }[(1+3(1)) / 4] S_{\sigma}=1 S_{\sigma} ; \text { squaring gives }\left(1 S_{\sigma}\right)^{2}=1 S_{\sigma}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $L_{1}$ and $L_{6}$ contribute $2 S_{\sigma}^{2}$ to the energy value of the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital.

$S_{\mathrm{ML}}$ for each of the 5 'd' orbitals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{z}^{2}, \sigma\right)=[(1+3 \cos 2 \theta) / 4] \mathrm{S}_{\sigma} \\
& \left.\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{y z}, \sigma\right)=[(\sqrt{3 / 2}) \sin \varphi \sin 2 \theta)\right] \mathrm{S}_{\sigma} \\
& \left.\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{x z}, \sigma\right)=[(\sqrt{3 / 2}) \cos \varphi \sin 2 \theta)\right] \mathrm{S}_{\sigma} \\
& \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{x y}, \sigma\right)=[(\sqrt{3 / 4}) \sin 2 \varphi(1-\cos 2 \theta)] \mathrm{S}_{\sigma} \\
& \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{x}^{2}-y^{2}, \sigma\right)=[(\sqrt{3 / 4}) \cos 2 \varphi(1-\cos 2 \theta)] \mathrm{S}_{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$




Figure 8.34 Octahedral arrangement of ligands and the Cartesian coordinate axes representing the $\mathrm{ML}_{6}$ complex along with the angular functions for sigma bonding, $S_{\mathrm{ML}}$, for each d orbital. Ligand positions 7 through 12 are also provided to calculate energy contributions for other geometries

For the $x y$ planar positions $\left(L_{2}, L_{3}, L_{4}, L_{5}\right)$ on the $x$ and $y$ axes, the solution of $S_{\mathrm{ML}}$ for each position is the same as all $x, y$ ligands have $\theta=90^{\circ}$. Thus, each position has the solution of

$$
\cos 2 \theta=\cos 180=-1
$$

and substituting " -1 " into

$$
S\left(\mathrm{~d}_{z^{2}}, \sigma\right)=[(1+3 \cos 2 \theta) / 4] S_{\sigma} \text { gives }([1+3(-1)] / 4) S_{\sigma}=(-2 / 4) S_{\sigma}
$$

On squaring the value ( $-2 / 4 S_{\sigma}$ ), it becomes $1 / 4 S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ for each position. Thus, $L_{2}, L_{3}, L_{4}$, and $L_{5}$ each contribute this amount to the total $S_{\mathrm{ML}}{ }^{2}$ of the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital.

Summing the values of all six positions gives a value of the square of the overlap integral as

$$
S_{\mathrm{ML}}^{2}=[1+1+4(1 / 4)] S_{\sigma}^{2}=3 S_{\sigma}^{2}
$$

Multiplying this total value by $\beta$ yields the total molecular orbital stabilization energy contribution of the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital as

$$
E=\beta S_{\mathrm{ML}}{ }^{2}=3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}
$$

The value of $3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ is also expressed as $3 e_{\sigma}$. Note that bonding is more important on the $z$ axis than the $x$ and $y$ axes for $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$. The same energy value of $3 \beta S_{\sigma}^{2}$ is also obtained for the $\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbital (as it should because it is an $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbital also); here bonding is exclusively on the x and y axes. The energy value of zero is obtained for each of the $t_{2 g}$ orbitals ( $\mathrm{d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}, \mathrm{~d}_{x y}$ ) as they are not involved with sigma bonding.

Figure 8.35a shows the splitting of d orbitals using CFT and the angular overlap method of MOT. For the sigma bonding only case, the splitting of d orbitals in octahedral geometry gives

$$
\Delta_{\text {oct }}\left(10 D_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=3 \beta S_{\sigma}^{2}=3 e_{\sigma}
$$

The MOT method explicitly considers the overlap of the ligand orbitals with the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ metal orbitals. Thus, the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ bonding orbitals in Figure 8.35 (and 8.29) are mainly ligand bonding orbitals whereas the metal $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals


Figure 8.35 (a) Comparison of d orbital splitting for CFT and MOT (angular overlap method) and their respective energy terms. (b) Comparison of the relative stabilization energies in CFT and MOT
are mainly antibonding orbitals $\left(e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}\right)$. The decrease in energy for each electron in a bonding orbital is $3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ and the total contribution from two ligands donating two electrons each is $12 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$. The energy contribution of each electron in an antibonding orbital is $-3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$; thus, these cancel the effect of bonding. The sum of the energies for the bonding and antibonding electrons of a metal-ligand complex is known as the molecular orbital stabilization energy (MOSE).

A comparison of the stabilization energies between these two approaches is given in Table 8.12 with a plot in Figure 8.35 b. Table 8.12 shows that the MOSE for electron configurations $\mathrm{d}^{0}$ through $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ are constant; however, the Irving-Williams relationship [14; Section 7.8.1] indicates that the bonding strength of the complex increases going across the periodic table because of the increase in the charge to size ratio. It is common to plot the MOSE with a slope as in Figure 8.35b to account for the increase in bond strength.

### 8.7.1 AOM and $\pi$ Ligand Donor Bonding

The metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals also can accept electrons from lower energy $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ ligand orbitals in $\pi$ donor complexes. The interaction stabilizes the ligand orbitals but destabilizes the metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals as they become weakly antibonding (see Figure 8.31) and more able to lose the electron. Without any development, the energy for the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ destabilization of each metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbital is denoted as $-4 \mathrm{e}_{\pi}$ [9]. The overall d orbital splitting is then

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{oct}}=3 \beta S_{\sigma}^{2}-4 e_{\pi}=3 e_{\sigma}-4 e_{\pi}
$$

The $\pi$ donor bonding has a much smaller value than that for $3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$, and ranges from $10 \%$ to $50 \%$ of the $3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ value. Figure 8.31 explicitly shows that there is a loss in MOSE of $-4 e_{\pi}$ for each electron added to a metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbital.

Table 8.12 Comparison of the stabilization energies using the angular orbital method (MOT, $3 \beta \mathrm{~S}_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$, or $3 \mathrm{e}_{\sigma}$ ) versus stabilization energies using the crystal field $\left(10 \mathrm{D}_{q}\right)$ approach

| Configuration | MOSE 3 $\beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ <br> (high spin) | MOSE 3e <br> (low spin) | CFSE $10 D_{q}$ <br> (high spin) | CFSE $10 D_{q}$ <br> (low spin) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}^{0}$ | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{1}$ | 12 | 12 | 4 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{2}$ | 12 | 12 | 8 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{3}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{4}$ | 9 | 12 | 6 | 16 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{5}$ | 6 | 12 | 0 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{6}$ | 6 | 12 | 4 | 24 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{7}$ | 6 | 9 | 8 | 18 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{8}$ | 6 | 6 | 12 | 12 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{9}$ | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{90}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 8.7.2 AOM and $\pi$ Ligand Acceptor Bonding

Electrons in metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals also can be donated to higher energy empty $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ ligand orbitals in $\pi$ acceptor complexes as shown in Figure 8.32. The bonding interaction stabilizes the metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals, which are $\pi$ bonding orbitals, but destabilizes the ligand $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals, which become antibonding orbitals. The energy for each $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ is denoted as $+4 \mathrm{e}_{\pi}$ and the overall d orbital splitting is then

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{oct}}=3 \beta S_{\sigma}^{2}+4 e_{\pi}=3 e_{\sigma}+4 e_{\pi}
$$

The $\pi$ acceptor bond has a much smaller value than that for the sigma bonding value of $3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$, as overlap on the sigma bond axis is better. Figure 8.32 explicitly shows a gain in molecular orbital stabilization energy of $+4 \mathrm{e}_{\pi}$ for each electron added to an empty metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbital.

### 8.7.3 MOT, Electrochemistry, and the Occupancy of Electrons in d Orbitals in $O_{h}$

The energies derived for the electrons in the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ orbitals from the angular overlap method are very useful in explaining oxidation and reduction reactions as adding electrons to an antibonding orbital loses MOSE or stability ( $-3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ for each electron added). Adding electrons to $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ nonbonding orbital does not result in loss of stability. The following $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ reactions with their reduction potentials [15] indicate the usefulness of the MOT approach and MOSE data in explaining the redox potentials of metal complexes. The first three reactions have ligands bound to $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ normally considered as weak field and the other four reactions have strong field ligands.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}} & E^{\circ}=1.92 \mathrm{~V} \\
{\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ox})_{3}\right]^{3-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{ox})_{3}\right]^{2-}} & E^{\circ}=0.55 \mathrm{~V} \\
{[\mathrm{Co}(\text { edta })]^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{edta})]^{2-}} & E^{\circ}=0.38 \mathrm{~V} \\
{\left[\mathrm{Co}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{2+}} & E^{\circ}=0.42 \mathrm{~V} \\
{\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}} & E^{\circ}=0.11 \mathrm{~V} \\
{\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{2+}} & E^{\circ}=-0.18 \mathrm{~V} \\
{\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{3-}+\mathrm{CN}^{-}} & E^{\circ}=-0.83 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

### 8.7.3.1 Weak Field Ligands

Even though water is considered a weak field ligand, $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ is low spin $\left(t_{2 g}{ }^{6}\right)$ due to the high $Z_{\text {eff }} / r$ for $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$. Nevertheless, $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ oxidizes water and reduces to high spin $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}\left(t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2 *}\right)$ over time so $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ is not stable. Formation of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ may seem at odds with MOSE data (energy loss of $6 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ ) as in the equation below.

$$
\mathrm{Co}^{3+}\left[t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}^{* 0}\right]\left(12 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}^{2+}\left[t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} e_{\mathrm{g}}^{* 2}\right]\left(6 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}\right) \Delta E_{\text {loss }}=6 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}
$$

However, when bound to weak field $\pi$ donor ligands such as ox and edta, Co (III) reduction occurs even though the electron initially enters an $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ orbital. Rearrangement of a low spin Co (II) complex to a high spin Co (II) complex does not cost as much energy (see spin crossover, Section 8.8.4) as the metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ orbitals become closer in energy (MOT case 2 ; Section 8.6.2). $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ has a lower $Z_{\text {eff }} / r$ so cannot be stabilized as low spin with these ligands. The following two equations represent a two-step process showing reduction followed by spin state conversion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Co}^{3+}\left[t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 0}\right]\left(12 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}^{2+}\left[t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 1}\right]\left(9 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}\right) \Delta E_{\text {loss }}=3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2} \\
& \mathrm{Co}^{2+}\left[t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}^{* * 1}\right]\left(9 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}^{2+}\left[t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} e_{\mathrm{g}}^{* 2}\right]\left(6 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}\right) \Delta E_{\text {loss }}=3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

On increasing the number of lone pairs of electrons on the ligating atom(s) and the negative charge, Co (III) reduction becomes more difficult than for $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ because more $\pi$ bonding between the metal and ligand $t_{2 g}$ orbitals pushes electron density into the metal $t_{2 g}$ orbitals. Oxalate and EDTA are negatively charged and have more $\pi$ donor electron pairs than does water; thus, their reduction potentials are lower. Also, oxalate and edta are multidentate ligands that can stabilize higher oxidation states due to the favorable thermodynamics associated with the chelate effect (see Section 9.1.2).

### 8.7.3.2 Strong Field Ligands

Co (III) becomes increasingly more stable to reduction (reduction is less favorable) when bound to strong field (low spin) ligands. Reduction is difficult because the added electron again must enter an antibonding $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ orbital, and rearrangement to a high spin $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ complex costs more energy than with weak field ligands so the product is $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ in the low spin state.

$$
\mathrm{Co}^{3+}\left[t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 0}\right]\left(12 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}^{2+}\left[t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 1}\right]\left(9 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}\right) \Delta E_{\text {loss }}=3 \beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}
$$

Phenanthroline's (phen) aromatic character in $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{phen})_{3}\right]^{3+}$ aids reduction as the $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{III}) \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ electrons are already delocalized into the aromatic ring due to $\pi$ acceptor bonding so there is less electron density at $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$. This electron delocalization is an example of the nephelauxetic or cloud expanding effect (see Section 8.8.2.4). In contrast, cyanide ion has a negative charge, which hinders delocalization of the $\operatorname{Co}$ (III) $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ electrons into $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$; thus, $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ in $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ is electron rich and reduction is not favorable. Because $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and en are neutral $\sigma$ only electron donors, their $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes have $E^{0}$ values between $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{phen})_{3}\right]^{3+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$.

The above interpretation using MOSE data is more instructive than using crystal or ligand field stabilization energies because MOSE values are directly related to the electrons being placed in either stable bonding or unstable antibonding orbitals.

### 8.7.4 AOM and Other Geometries

The angular orbital method is useful in describing other metal-ligand geometries, and a brief discussion of tetrahedral and cubic geometries are provided. First, using the equations in Figure 8.34, it is possible to

Table 8.13 Angular overlap energy contributions, $\mathrm{S}_{M L}{ }^{2}$, of $d$ orbitals for a variety of ligand geometries and positions (L\# = ligand position in Figure 8.34) for metal-ligand sigma bonds

| CN | Geometry | Positions | $L \#$ | $z^{2}$ | $x^{2}-y^{2}$ | $x z$ | $y z$ | $x y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Linear | 1,6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Trigonal planar | $2,11,12$ | 2 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | T-shape | $1,3,5$ | 3 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Tetrahedral | $7,8,9,10$ | 4 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Square planar | $2,3,4,5$ | 5 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Trigonal bipyramid | $1,2,6,11,12$ | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Square pyramid | $1,2,3,4,5$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0.333 | 0.333 | 0.333 |
| 6 | Octahedral | 1 through 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0.333 | 0.333 | 0.333 |
| 8 | Cube | $2 \times(7,8,9,10)$ | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0.333 | 0.333 | 0.333 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0.333 | 0.333 | 0.333 |
|  |  |  | 11 | 0.25 | 0.1875 | 0 | 0 | 0.5625 |
|  |  |  | 12 | 0.25 | 0.1875 | 0 | 0 | 0.5625 |

calculate the relative energy values for a variety of positions in different geometries. Table 8.13 gives values of energy for each of the 12 positions for $\sigma$ bonds. For $O_{h}$, the sum of positions 1 through 6 under $z^{2}$ and $x^{2}-y^{2}$ gives a value of 3 . The other geometries exhibited by metal-ligand complexes can be calculated in a similar way.

### 8.7.4.1 Tetrahedral Geometry

Tetrahedral geometry is dominated by high spin complexes in the first transition metal series as noted above in the discussion on crystal field theory. The atomic orbitals used for the central atom (metal) and the terminal atoms (ligands) bound to it have been described in Sections 4.6.3 and 5.6.1 for methane. In the case of the metal-ligand complex, the metal also has the d orbitals to be considered. The metal atom's p orbitals as well as the d orbitals with $t_{2}$ symmetry can interact with the appropriate ligand orbitals to form a tetrahedral complex, and Figure 8.36 shows the molecular orbital energy level diagram for a metal in tetrahedral geometry. In this case, the metal d orbitals of $t_{2}$ symmetry are used to form $\sigma$ bonds $\left(\mathrm{sd}^{3}\right)$ as well as $\sigma$ antibonding orbitals with the ligand orbitals of $t_{2}$ symmetry; the metal p orbitals are considered not to be involved in bond formation. Because halides, hydroxide, water, and other ligands with extra lone pairs of electrons can induce tetrahedral geometry, there are additional filled orbitals of $t_{2}$ symmetry as discussed in the octahedral case above; in addition, there are filled orbitals of $t_{1}$ symmetry of slightly higher energy than the $t_{2}$ set. The primary electronic transition, which represents the d orbital splitting, is from the nonbonding e orbital to the antibonding $t_{2}$ orbital (the solid blue arrow in Figure 8.36). The dashed arrows in the figure are known as ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT) transitions (see below).

The energy separation between the $e$ and $t_{2}$ orbitals is obtained from the angular overlap model data in Table 8.13. The sum of the $S_{\mathrm{ML}}{ }^{2}$ values for the tetrahedral ligand positions $7,8,9$, and 10 gives a value of 1.333, which is 0.444 (or 4/9) that of the value for the octahedral ligand positions 1 through 6 .

### 8.7.4.2 Cubic Geometry

In Chapter 4, octahedral, $\mathrm{ML}_{6}$, and cubic, $\mathrm{ML}_{8}$, structures were shown to have the same symmetry point group, $O_{h}$, even though the metal coordination numbers differ. The cubic structure has two tetrahedra that


Figure 8.36 Idealized molecular orbital energy diagram for a transition metal with a $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ electron configuration in tetrahedral geometry $\left(\mathrm{sd}^{3}\right)$. The metal $d$ orbitals are shown with blue lines. The $t_{1}$ molecular orbitals are filled but are not drawn that way in order to simplify the diagram. LMCT bands are the horizontal black dashed arrows
interlock so that all eight vertices of the cube in Figure 8.34 are occupied. The data in Table 8.13 indicates that the molecular orbital stabilization energy is equal to two times that of the tetrahedral structure or 2.667, which is $8 / 9$ of the MOSE for an octahedral structure $\left[D_{\text {q(cube })}=(8 / 9) D_{\text {q(oct) }}\right]$. The splitting of the d orbitals is similar to the tetrahedral geometry so that the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals are of lower energy. Because the cube has a center of inversion, the $g$ subscript can again be used.

### 8.8 More on Spectroscopy of Metal-Ligand Complexes

The spectrochemical series indicates that $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$ increases in the following relative order (the bold font indicates ligands in complexes that are low spin, and the others are high spin).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}^{-} & <\mathrm{Br}^{-}<\mathrm{S}^{2-}<\mathrm{SCN}^{-}<\mathrm{Cl}^{-}<\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}<\mathrm{F}^{-}<\mathrm{OH}^{-}<\mathrm{ox}^{2-}<\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}<\mathrm{NCS}^{-} \\
& <\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}<\mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}<\text { en }<\text { bipy }<\text { phen }<\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{2}}^{-}<\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{P}<\mathbf{R}_{2} \mathbf{S}<\mathbf{C N}^{-}<\mathbf{C O} \sim \text { olefins } \sim \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This order can be roughly broken down into ligands with the following $\pi$ bonding properties: $\pi$ donor < weak $\pi$ donor $<$ no $\pi$ effects ( $\sigma$ donor) $<\pi$ acceptor. The softer halides $\left(\mathrm{I}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right.$) with a total of four lone pairs of electrons exhibit strong $\pi$ donor effects so do not act as point charges as initially predicted when using the crystal field approach. The weak $\pi$ donors are those with only two lone pairs of electrons such as water and hydrogen sulfide with one available for sigma bonding and the other for $\pi$ bonding. Cyanide has a negative charge so is less able to participate in $\pi$ acceptor bonding than neutral isoelectronic species such as CO.

### 8.8.1 Charge Transfer Electronic Transitions

So far, the discussion has centered on relatively simple d orbital splitting of the metal. However, the number of peaks observed in a metal-ligand complex is more complicated and depends on the number of metal $d$ electrons in the metal-ligand complex as well as the interactions of the metal d orbitals and electrons with the $\pi$ donor electrons and $\pi$ acceptor ligand orbitals. The latter are known as charge transfer complexes and two limiting cases are noted. Ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT) complexes describe the excitation of ligand $\sigma$ and $\pi$ electrons to metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ orbitals for $\pi$ donor complexes as in Figure 8.37. Metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) complexes describe the excitation of metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ electrons to $\pi$ acceptor orbitals. In both cases, Figure 8.37 shows a solid blue arrow for the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ to $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ spectrochemical series electron transition (or $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$ ). It is possible to have both types of transitions occur in the same complex; e.g., especially for second and third row transition metals with chloride, bromide, iodide, and organosulfur ligands, which have two or more $\pi$ donor orbitals and empty d or $\pi$ acceptor orbitals.

For tetrahedral complexes, Figure 8.36 demonstrated four potential LMCT transitions from the ligand $t_{2}$ and $t_{1}(\mathrm{p} \pi)$ orbitals with the dashed arrows. There are many examples of these types of transitions in tetrahedral geometry, and the compounds in Table 8.14 have been used by artists over the centuries when painting their masterpieces.

Thus, high oxidation state metal oxides and sulfides (weak field ligands) are common components of pigments. Permanganate (Figure 8.38a) and chromate (Figure 8.38b) exhibit color for ligand $\mathrm{p} \pi \rightarrow$ metal 3 d transitions. In Figure 8.38a, all four of the permanganate peaks are assigned according to the black dashed arrows in Figure 8.36. Because these metal anions have no d electrons in the e orbitals (see Figure 8.36), there


Figure 8.37 LMCT (black lines on left) and MLCT (dashed blue lines) electron transitions in octahedral metalligand complexes

Table 8.14 Some chemical compounds use pigments in their charge transfer reactions and transitions [16]

| Pigment | Charge transfer reaction | Primary orbitals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PbCrO | (chrome yellow) | $\mathrm{Cr}^{6+} \mathrm{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}^{5+} \mathrm{O}^{-}$ | | ligand $\mathrm{p} \pi \rightarrow$ metal 3d |
| :--- |
| HgS (vermilion) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+} \mathrm{S}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}^{+} \mathrm{S}^{-}$ | | ligand $\mathrm{p} \pi \rightarrow$ metal 6 s |
| :--- |
| Iron oxides (red/yellow ochres) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+} \mathrm{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \mathrm{O}^{-}$ | | ligand $\mathrm{p} \pi \rightarrow$ metal 3d |
| :--- |



Figure 8.38 Electronic spectra of (a) $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{-}$; (b) $\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{MoO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$
is no $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ electronic transition. On descending a family in the periodic table, the energy gap between the $\mathrm{p} \pi \rightarrow$ metal d orbitals increases; thus, the complex or anion does not absorb light in the visible region. For example, $\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is colored but the $\mathrm{MoO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{WO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ anions are not as they absorb light in the UV region (blue shift of the peak as in Figure 8.38b).

### 8.8.2 Electronic Spectra, Spectroscopic Terms, and the Energies of the Terms for $\mathbf{d} \rightarrow \mathbf{d}$ Transitions

In the above discussion on the UV-Vis spectroscopy of $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ (and $\mathrm{d}^{6}, \mathrm{~d}^{9}$ ) high spin octahedral complexes, only one absorption peak or electronic transition was noted unless there was tetragonal distortion to remove the
degeneracy of the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals. For other electron configurations, more than one absorption peak is possible, but every possible transition may not be observed in a spectrum.

### 8.8.2.1 Selection Rules for Electronic Transitions

Spectroscopic selection rules are a statement about which transitions are allowed and which are forbidden. The electric dipole model is used to understand absorption of electromagnetic radiation because the electric dipole moment of the molecule changes upon receiving incident radiation, and the intensity of the electronic transition is proportional to the strength of the dipole. The equation below gives the integral of the wave functions of the ground and excited states using the dipole moment vector operator, $\mu$, where f and i are final (excited) and initial (ground) states, respectively, and $\mu$ is the electric dipole moment operator. The transition dipole moment, $\mu_{\mathrm{f}}$, is a measure of the impulse that a transition imparts to the electromagnetic field. A large impulse gives an intense transition; a zero impulse is forbidden. The intensity of an impulse is proportional to the square of $\mu_{\mathrm{fi}}$. Two major selection rules result in the following equation.

$$
\text { transition dipole moment }=\mu_{\mathrm{fi}}=\int \psi_{\mathrm{f}}^{*} \mu \psi_{\mathrm{i}} d \tau
$$

First, the Laporte selection rule states that in a centrosymmetric molecule or ion, the only allowed transitions are those accompanied by a change in parity; i.e., change from gerade to ungerade ( $\mathrm{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{u}$ or $\mathrm{u} \rightarrow \mathrm{g}$ ) but not $\mathrm{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{g}$ or $\mathrm{u} \rightarrow \mathrm{u}$. Thus, for octahedral complexes, $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ transitions are forbidden as are $\mathrm{s} \rightarrow \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{f} \rightarrow \mathrm{f}$. Such transitions are weak when the selection rule is relaxed by the following.
(a) The complex may not be perfectly centrosymmetric in its ground state (e.g., ligands may be polyatomic or the metal has some distortion).
(b) The complex may undergo an asymmetrical vibration destroying its center of inversion.
(c) Most importantly, the $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ * orbitals in octahedral geometry are a mix of ligand and metal character so are not purely d orbitals (Laporte allowed).
(d) Tetrahedral complexes are not centrosymmetric (there is no assignment of $g$ or $u$ subscripts for the orbitals), and show more intense $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ absorptions.

The second spin selection rule states that transitions can only occur between energy states with the same spin multiplicity. Thus, promotion of an electron from one energy state to another cannot result in a change of spin for the electron; i.e., $\Delta S=0$. Spin forbidden transitions are significantly less intense than spin allowed transitions. For example, $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ has a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ (five unpaired electrons) ground state, and the transition of an electron from the ground state to the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{3}$ excited state results in three unpaired electrons. As a result, $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ has a very weak pink color even in concentrated solutions (see Figure 8.48).

The relative intensities of spectroscopic absorption peaks in metal complexes with 3d orbitals comes from Beer's law, $A=\varepsilon_{\max } b C$, where $\varepsilon_{\max }$ is the molar absorptivity, $b$ is the path length of the spectroscopic cell in centimeters, and $C$ is the molar concentration of the metal complex. The list in Table 8.15 shows the relative intensities for different types of spectroscopic peaks or bands. The charge transfer transitions are the most intense as they are spin allowed and symmetry (parity) allowed (e.g., ligand $\mathrm{p} \pi \rightarrow$ metal d or ligand $\mathrm{p} \pi \rightarrow$ metal s .

### 8.8.2.2 Spectroscopic Terms for Atoms

In the initial discussion of electronic absorption spectroscopy using the crystal field theory approach (Figure 8.14), the focus of attention was on a single absorption band between the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ orbitals except

Table 8.15 Types of spectroscopic peaks and their molar absorptivity, which indicates the intensity of the electronic transition

| Band type | $\varepsilon_{\max }\left(\mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Spin forbidden | $<1$ |
| LaPorte forbidden $(\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d})$ | $20-100$ |
| LaPorte allowed $(\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d})$ | $\sim 250$ |
| Symmetry allowed $(\mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g} .$, Charge transfer $)$ | $1,000-50,000$ |

when splitting could occur due to Jahn-Teller distortions. However, many transition metal complexes exhibit two or more peaks as in Figure 8.39 depending upon the number of electrons occupying the d orbitals. The spectra of the free metal octahedral ions, $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{n+}$, of the first transition metal series [15] provide excellent examples to describe the principles of spectroscopy.

In order to describe the possible $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ absorption peaks, it is necessary to describe the variety of ways that electrons can be arranged into atomic orbitals using spectroscopic term symbols. This is done first for the electron configuration of the free atom or ion in spherical geometry. When the atom or ion is in a molecular complex of lower geometry or symmetry such as octahedral, the atomic spectroscopic term symbol can split into other spectroscopic terms or states. This additional splitting of states can result in additional peaks in the UV-Vis spectrum. Fortunately, the maximum number of allowed peaks for octahedral geometry in the other electron configurations is three, but they may not all be observed due to overlap with charge transfer absorptions.

In Section 3.8.2, coupling of electron spin with the electron's orbital angular momentum (Russell-Saunders or $\boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{S}$ coupling) resulted in two energy states (microstates) that were detected by X-ray or UV photoelectron spectroscopy for the noble gases other than helium. This coupling considers the electron-electron repulsions that occur when there is more than one electron that can occupy a given set of orbitals as well as when one electron can be placed into different degenerate orbitals.

In order to describe all the microstates and the energy states or term symbols (spectroscopic terms) that they represent, the following systematic approach is provided for the free metal ion.

1. Determine the possible values of both $m_{l}$ and $m_{s}$ for the given electron configuration. For the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ electron configuration, $m_{l}$ values equal $-2,-1,0,+1,+2$. The maximum value of $L=\Sigma m_{1}=4$ when two electrons are paired in the same orbital, which has a $m_{l}$ value of 2 .
2. Using the Pauli exclusion principle, determine the number of electron configurations or microstates that are allowed. Each microstate has one value for $m_{l}$ and one value for $m_{s}$. For the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ electron configuration, the two electrons can occupy the same orbital when the spins are opposite (Table 8.16A); the two electrons can be in different orbitals but the spins can be aligned up or down ( $m_{s}=1$ or -1 ) (Table 8.16B); the two electrons can be in different orbitals when the spins are opposite ( $m_{s}=0$ ) (Table 8.16C).
3. Produce a chart of all the microstates possible. Below are the 45 microstates possible for the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ electron configuration.

The total number of microstates, $N$, for a given electron configuration, $l^{x}$, can be calculated using the following formula where $N_{l}=2(2 l+1)$ [the number of $m_{l}$ and $m_{s}$ combinations for a single electron in the orbital set], $x$ is the number of electrons, and $l$ is the azimuthal quantum number.

$$
N=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{1}!}{x!\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-x\right)!}
$$



Figure 8.39 Electronic spectra for (a) $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{2}, \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2}\right)$ and (b) $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{7}, \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2}\right)$ in 3.0 M HCl . The ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ transition for $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ is hidden under the LMCT band

These 45 microstates can be put into a table, which shows every microstate with its $m_{l}$ and $m_{s}$ values. The 45 microstates can be reduced to the five Russell-Saunders term symbols [of form ${ }^{x} L$ in the absence of spin orbit coupling $(J)]:{ }^{1} \mathrm{G},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~F},{ }^{3} \mathrm{P},{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}$, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}$, which represent rectangular matrices shown in Table 8.17 (recall that $\mathrm{S}=0, \mathrm{P}=1, \mathrm{D}=2, \mathrm{~F}=3$, and $\mathrm{G}=4$; and the multiplicity $=2 \Sigma m_{s}+1$ ). The left and right sections of Table 8.17 show the matrices and how the microstates produce the appropriate term symbols.

The ground state of a particular electron configuration may be chosen using Hund's rules. The first rule states that the ground state will be the one of maximum multiplicity $\left(\sum \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{s}}\right)$ as the state will have

Table 8.16A Electrons paired in the same orbital so that $\sum \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}=0$ spins paired; (five individual microstates distributed vertically with a different value of $\Sigma \mathrm{m}_{1}$ )

| $\sum m_{s}=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $m_{l}$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -2 |
|  | $\uparrow \downarrow$ | $\uparrow \downarrow$ | $\uparrow \downarrow$ | $\uparrow \downarrow$ | $\uparrow \downarrow$ |
| $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | 4 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -4 |

Table 8.16B No electrons paired in the same orbital; all spins aligned (20 individual microstates distributed vertically)

| $\sum m_{s}=1$ (all spins up) |  |  |  |  | $\sum m_{s}=-1($ all spins down) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{1}$ |  |  |  |  | $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 2 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| 1 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | 1 | , |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 0 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| -1 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | -1 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| -2 |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | -2 |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| $m_{1}$ |  |  |  |  | $m_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | 1 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| 0 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | 0 | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| -1 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| -2 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | -2 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | 1 | 0 | -1 |  | $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | 1 | 0 | -1 |  |
| $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  | $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 0 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| -1 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | -1 | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| -2 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -2 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | -1 | -2 |  |  | $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | -1 | -2 |  |  |
| $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  | $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  |
| -1 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | -1 | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| -2 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | -2 | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | -3 |  |  |  | $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | -3 |  |  |  |

the maximum number of parallel spins with electrons in different regions of space, which results in less electron-electron repulsion. If two states have the same multiplicity, then the state with the higher value of $L$ will lie at lower energy. Although this is true for the term symbols of atoms, there can be differences for molecules. For the $d^{2}$ electron configuration, the following order is predicted for the five states: ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}<{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}<{ }^{1} \mathrm{G}<{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}<{ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}$.

Table 8.18 shows the atomic terms that arise from each of the $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}}$ electron configurations. Fortunately, the total number of terms is the same for the pairs with $\mathrm{d}^{1}, \mathrm{~d}^{9} ; \mathrm{d}^{2}, \mathrm{~d}^{8} ; \mathrm{d}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}^{7} ;$ and $\mathrm{d}^{4}, \mathrm{~d}^{6}$ electron configurations.

Table 8.16C No electrons paired in the same orbital but $\sum \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}=0$ or spins opposed (20 individual microstates distributed vertically)

|  | $\sum m_{s}=0$ |  |  |  | $\sum m_{s}=0$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  | $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 2 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| 1 | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  | 1 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | 0 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| -1 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  | -1 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| -2 |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | -2 |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  | $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | 1 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| 0 | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  | 0 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |
| -1 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | -1 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| -2 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  | -2 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | 1 | 0 | -1 |  | $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | 1 | 0 | -1 |  |
| $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  | $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 0 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| -1 | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  | -1 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |
| -2 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | -2 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | -1 | -2 |  |  | $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | -1 | -2 |  |  |
| $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  | $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  |
| -1 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | -1 | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| -2 | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  | -2 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | -3 |  |  |  | $\Sigma m_{l}=$ | -3 |  |  |  |

Table 8.17 The assignment of the term symbols from the individual microstates where the value " 1 " indicates each individual microstate of the 45 shown in Tables 8.16A-8.16C

| $\sum m_{l}=4, \sum m_{s}=0$ equals ${ }^{1} \mathrm{G} ; 9$ by 1 matrix $=9$ microstates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sum m_{l}=3, \sum m_{s}=1$ equals ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F} ; 7$ by 3 matrix $=21$ microstates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sum m_{l}=1, \sum m_{s}=1$ equals ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P} ; 3$ by 3 matrix $=9$ microstates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sum m_{l}=2, \sum m_{s}=0$ equals ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D} ; 5$ by 1 matrix $=5$ microstates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sum m_{l}=0, \sum m_{s}=0$ equals ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~S} ; 1$ by 1 matrix $=1$ microstate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0 | -1 | $m_{s}$ | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| $m_{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  | G |  |
| 3 | 1 | 11 | 1 |  | F | GF | F |
| 2 | 1 | 111 | 1 |  | F | GFD | F |
| 1 | 11 | 1111 | 11 |  | FP | GFPD | FP |
| 0 | 11 | 11111 | 11 |  | FP | GFPDS | FP |
| -1 | 11 | 1111 | 11 |  | FP | GFPD | FP |
| -2 | 1 | 111 | 1 |  | F | GFD | F |
| -3 | 1 | 11 | 1 |  | F | GF | F |
| -4 |  | 1 |  |  |  | G |  |

Table 8.18 Terms for the $d^{n}$ configurations

| Electron <br> configurations | Atomic <br> terms |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{d}^{1}, \mathrm{~d}^{9}$ | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{D}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{2}, \mathrm{~d}^{8}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F},{ }^{3} \mathrm{P},{ }^{1} \mathrm{G},{ }^{1} \mathrm{D},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}^{7}$ | ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~F},{ }^{4} \mathrm{P},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{G},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~F},{ }^{2} \mathrm{D}$ (twice), ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{4}, \mathrm{~d}^{6}$ | ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{G},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}$ (twice), ${ }^{3} \mathrm{D},{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ |
|  | (twice), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{I},{ }^{1} \mathrm{G}$ (twice), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~F}$ |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{5}$ | ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~S},{ }^{4} \mathrm{G},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~F},{ }^{4} \mathrm{D},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~F},{ }^{2} \mathrm{I},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H},{ }^{2} \mathrm{G}$ (twice), |
|  | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}$ (twice), ${ }^{2} \mathrm{D}$ (thrice), ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~S}$ |

Table 8.19 The splitting of atomic terms into terms in octahedral geometry

| Atomic term | Number of energy states | Group theory terms or states $\left(O_{h}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 1 | $A_{1 g}$ |
| P | 3 | $T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| D | 5 | $T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{g}}$ |
| F | 7 | $T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}, T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| G | 9 | $A_{1 \mathrm{~g}}, E_{\mathrm{g}^{\prime}} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| H | 11 | $E_{\mathrm{g}^{\prime}} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}^{\prime}} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| 1 | 13 | $A_{1 g^{\prime}} A_{2 g^{\prime}} E_{\mathrm{g}^{\prime}} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |

In addition, the ground state terms are $D$ for the $d^{1}, d^{9}$ and $d^{4}, d^{6}$ electron configurations and $F$ for the $d^{2}, d^{8}$ and $d^{3}, d^{7}$ electron configurations.

### 8.8.2.3 Spectroscopic Terms of Complexes

In an octahedral metal-ligand complex, the five 3d orbitals are no longer equally degenerate with spherical geometry, and it is necessary to take into account the difference in energy between the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals. Thus, the atomic term symbols from Table 8.18 split into additional terms as in Table 8.19; i.e., the atomic terms correlate to terms in octahedral geometry using group theory approaches. The symbols for the terms have their usual meaning where A is one-dimensional representation, E is two-dimensional representation, and T is three-dimensional representation.

Thus, the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}$ atomic term for a $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ electron configuration in an octahedral field transforms into three discrete terms ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$, and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ ) representing seven energy states consisting of 21 microstates. The ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ atomic term transforms into one discrete term $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$ representing three energy states consisting of nine microstates. These $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ terms will be used below to describe the absorption peaks expected in Figure 8.39a above.

### 8.8.2.4 Splitting Diagrams for Octahedral Complexes

Figure 8.40 demonstrates the types of d orbital splitting expected for all high spin electron configurations from the ground state atomic spectroscopic term symbol. The figure shows the ground state as well as the


Figure 8.40 Arrangement of electrons in ground and excited octahedral states of highest multiplicity for the $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ through $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ through $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ high spin electron configurations. The terms for each ground and excited electron configuration are also provided
possible first and second excited states for each splitting based on Table 8.19. Note that the spin multiplicity in all electronic states for each electron configuration is identical so that the spin selection rule is not violated on electron excitation. The first excited state is a one-electron excitation and the second excited state has two electrons excited at the same time. Because the $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ electron configuration can have only one electron configuration in the ground state, any excited electronic state must have a different spin multiplicity so the spin selection rule is violated. Thus, there are no spin allowed transitions or absorption peaks.
The $d^{l}, d^{4}, d^{6}, d^{9}$ Electron Configurations. The $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ electron configuration has no electron repulsions to consider and is the easiest splitting to describe. The atomic term symbol is ${ }^{2} D$ and splits into the terms $T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{g}}$ in octahedral geometry. In Figure 8.40, the only possible spin allowed electron transition is from $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{0} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{0} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}$ or ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$. Similarly, the $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ electron configuration transforms the same way despite the electron-electron repulsions, and the only possible electron transition is from $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{3}$ or ${ }^{5} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{5} E_{\mathrm{g}}$. Figure 8.14 showed the similarity in electronic spectra for $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$. The similarity of the terms for different electron configurations in octahedral geometry are easily described with Orgel splitting or correlation diagrams (Figure 8.41). As the $t_{2}$ and $e$ orbitals are inverted or reversed in octahedral and tetrahedral geometry, the diagram can be used to describe similar electron configurations in both geometries; e.g., $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ octahedral is similar to $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ tetrahedral as one electron or one hole can be placed into any one of the $t_{2}$ orbitals (a concept known as hole formalism). The diagram shows energy on the vertical axis and increasing field strength on the horizontal axis; the intersection of the $T_{2}$ and $E$ lines indicates the ${ }^{2} D$ atomic term, which has no splitting of the d orbitals.


Figure 8.41 (a) Orgel correlation diagram demonstrating the splitting of a D term symbol as ligand field strength, $D_{q^{\prime}}$ increases in octahedral and tetrahedral geometries for $\mathrm{d}^{1}, \mathrm{~d}^{4}, \mathrm{~d}^{6}$, and $\mathrm{d}^{9}$. The subscript $g$ is omitted as it does not apply to tetrahedral geometry. (b) Correlation diagram for the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ term symbols of the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ electron configuration on going from the free atom to the octahedral state without bending due to mixing of states of the same term. (c) Correlation diagram for the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ term symbols of the $\mathrm{d}^{2}, \mathrm{~d}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}^{7}$, and $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ electron configurations on going from the free atom to the octahedral state when bending due to mixing of states of the same term occurs. The subscript " g " is dropped in figures a and c , which also note electron configurations in tetrahedral geometry

The $d^{2}, d^{7}$ Electron Configurations. The $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ case is the simplest electron configuration that has d orbital splitting and the effect of electron repulsion. As shown above, it has two terms of maximum spin multiplicity for the free ion $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}\right.$ is the ground state and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ is an excited state) as well as three singlet excited terms $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}\right.$, ${ }^{1} G,{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}$ ). The energy separations for spectroscopic terms are expressed with the empirically derived Racah parameters ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C ). A is a measure of the average of all interelectron repulsions possible whereas B and C are related to the repulsion energies between individual d electrons. All three Racah parameters are positive in energy as they represent electron-electron repulsions. For the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ case, the energies for each term have the following form.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~S}\right)=\mathrm{A}+14 \mathrm{~B}+7 \mathrm{C} & E\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)=\mathrm{A}+7 \mathrm{~B} \\
E\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{G}\right)=\mathrm{A}+4 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{C} & E\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}\right)=\mathrm{A}-8 \mathrm{~B} \\
E\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{D}\right)=\mathrm{A}-3 \mathrm{~B}+2 \mathrm{C} &
\end{array}
$$

Because A is a measure of all interelectron repulsions, it is found in each of the equations. The parameter C is only found in equations for excited states that differ in spin multiplicity from the ground state. For terms of the same spin multiplicity separation is only a function of B . Thus, the difference in energy between the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}$ ground state and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ excited state equals 15 B as shown on the left of Figure 8.41 b and c . This is also true for the $\mathrm{d}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}^{7}$, and $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ electron configurations. The parameters B and C differ for each atom or ion and are determined from atomic spectra. $B$ indicates that the Racah parameter is for the free ion and is larger than $B$ for the complex, which is given the notation $B^{\prime}$. $B^{\prime}$ normally ranges from 0.7 B to 0.9 B because of mixing and delocalization of the d electrons with the ligand orbitals of the complex, which reduces electron-electron repulsions. All three molecular orbital theory cases ( 1,2 , and 3 ) provide ample information regarding the sharing of d electrons with ligand orbitals; the more covalent the bonding in the complex is, the lower the value of $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ is. This phenomenon is known as the nephelauxetic effect, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, or "cloud-expanding" effect where $\beta=\mathrm{B}^{\prime} / \mathrm{B}$ and has a value $<1$. The effect is independent of the metal ion and a series of common ligands follows an ionic to covalent transition; $\mathrm{F}^{-}>\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}>\mathrm{NH}_{3}>\mathrm{en}>\mathrm{Cl}^{-}>\mathrm{Br}^{-}>\mathrm{S}^{2-}>\mathrm{I}^{-}$. The effect is greatest for softer ligands that are highly polarizable.

As noted in Table 8.19, the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}$ ground state splits into ${ }^{3} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}},{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$, and ${ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ terms; these and their d electron orbital populations are shown in Figure 8.40. Using the d orbital splitting calculations as in Table 8.3, the energies for these three terms are as follows at infinitely high and intermediate ligand field strength. The relative energies for these terms in infinitely high ligand field strength are thus $0 D_{\mathrm{q}}, 10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$, and $20 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ as shown on the far right of Figure 8.41b.

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& \text { Strong field } & \text { Intermedia } \\
E\left(t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \text { or }{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right) & =\left(2 \times-4 D_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=-8 D_{\mathrm{q}} & =-6 D_{\mathrm{q}} \\
E\left(t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1} \text { or }{ }^{3} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right) & =\left(-4 D_{\mathrm{q}}+6 D_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=+2 D_{\mathrm{q}} & =+2 D_{\mathrm{q}} \\
E\left(e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \text { or }{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right) & =\left(2 \times 6 D_{\mathrm{q}}\right)=+12 D_{\mathrm{q}} & =+12 D_{\mathrm{q}}
\end{array}
$$

In the absence of any mixing of terms of similar symmetry, Figure 8.41 b gives the relative energies of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ atomic terms for the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ case on the left, and the relative energies of the metal-ligand complex terms in infinitely strong field on the right. From table 8.19, the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ excited state transforms as a single term $\left[{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{P})\right]$ (these two terms are given in Figure 8.41b with blue color) and remains unchanged in energy on going from the atomic free ion state to the metal-ligand octahedral complex (horizontal line at 15B energy units on the left). Figure 8.41 b shows that there are three spin allowed $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ electronic transitions (solid blue lines) for a weaker field as in the left part of Figure 8.41 b and the right part of Figure 8.41c. The electronic transitions in increasing energy for a very weak field case are assigned using Equations 8.5 through 8.7 (the term symbols for the complex are indicated in parentheses with the atomic term that they came from).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{v}_{1}={ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) & \text { or } \quad t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}=8 D_{\mathrm{q}} \\
\mathrm{v}_{2}={ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) & \text { or } \\
t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}=18 D_{\mathrm{q}}  \tag{8.7}\\
\mathrm{v}_{3}={ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{P}) & \text { or } \\
t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}=6 D_{\mathrm{q}}+15 \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

For this weaker field, the value of $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$ can be determined from $v_{2}-v_{1}$ in both $d^{2}$ and $d^{7}$ cases (Figure 8.41c). The $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ ion spectrum (Figure 8.39b) has been assigned these bands from Equations 8.5-8.7 [9]. The first transition $\left[{ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})\right.$ or $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{3}$ ] occurs at $8100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ peak $\left[{ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow\right.$ ${ }^{4} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ or $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{4}$ ] near $16,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is weak as it is a two-electron jump whereas the $\mathrm{v}_{3}$ peak $\left[{ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{P})\right.$ or $\left.t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{3}\right]$ is at $19,400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Using these data and Equations 8.5-8.7, $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ is $7900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ is $977 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

As the ligand field strength increases (Figure 8.41b and c), the separation in energy between the terms also increases, and the energies for peaks $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ become inverted as the term ${ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ increases in energy whereas the ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ term remains constant in energy (note solid blue versus dashed blue arrows in Figure 8.41b). [The energy of the ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ or $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ peak now is twice that of the ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ or $\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}$ peak on increasing the ligand field strength.] The dashed blue arrows in Figure 8.41b show the possible peaks as the d orbital splitting approaches a strong octahedral field and the transitions are given in Equations 8.8-8.10.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
v_{1}={ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) & \text { or } & t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}=8 D_{\mathrm{q}} \\
v_{2}={ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{P}) & \text { or } & t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}=6 D_{\mathrm{q}}+15 B^{\prime} \\
v_{3}={ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) & \text { or } & t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}=18 D_{\mathrm{q}} \tag{8.10}
\end{array}
$$

For this intermediate field strength, the value of $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$ can be determined from $v_{3}-v_{1}$ in both $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ cases (Figure 8.41c). Fortunately, most weak field $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ cases have the type of electron transition sequence given in Equations 8.8-8.10. A convenient method to help assign the peaks is to calculate the ratio of $\mathrm{v}_{3} / \mathrm{v}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{v}_{2} / \mathrm{v}_{1}$; a value of near 2 indicates the lowest energy peak as the ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ transition and the other as the ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ transition.

For an infinitely strong field (right of Figure 8.41b), the electron transitions are described using Equations 8.11-8.13. The term " $c$ " is related to mixing of the ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ and ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{P})$ states (see Section 8.8.2.5 and Figure 8.41 c ). Frequently " $c$ " is omitted from these equations.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{1}={ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) & \text { or } \\
t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}=10 D_{\mathrm{q}}+c \\
v_{2}={ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{P}) & \text { or }  \tag{8.13}\\
t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}=6 D_{\mathrm{q}}+15 \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}+2 c \\
v_{3}={ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) & \text { or } \\
t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}=20 D_{\mathrm{q}}+c
\end{array}
$$

The value of $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$ can be determined from $v_{3}-v_{1}$ at infinite field strength for the $d^{2}$ case and the $d^{7}$ case (Figure 8.41 c ); also $v_{1}$ now equals $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$. Note that the difference in energy for the two transitions to determine $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$ is from the same transitions as above for the very weak field case because the ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{P})$ term remains constant in energy as the ${ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ term increases in energy.

Aqueous solutions of $\mathrm{V}^{3+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{2}\right.$ case) salts form $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ and reflect green as the spectrum consists (Figure 8.39a) of two broad bands with one in the red/yellow region at $17,200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with $\varepsilon_{\max }$ of 6 assigned as $\mathrm{v}_{1}\left[{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})\right]$ and the other peak in the blue/violet region at $25,600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with $\varepsilon_{\text {max }}$ of 8 assigned as $\mathrm{v}_{2}\left[{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{P})\right]$. The third $\mathrm{v}_{3}$ absorption peak $\left[{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})\right]$ at $34,500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is not detected in water but can be detected when $\mathrm{V}^{3+}$ is doped into $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[15]$. The +3 charge on V in $\left[\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ enhances d orbital splitting so $\left[\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ tends to show stronger field character as the ratio of $\mathrm{v}_{2} / \mathrm{v}_{1}$ is less than 2 (for the very weak field case, the ratio should be $>2$ from Equations $8.5,8.6$ ), but $v_{3} / v_{1}$ is 2 . Although $v_{1}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ are spin allowed, they are formally Laporte or parity forbidden; $\mathrm{v}_{3}$ is also parity forbidden and requires the excitation of two electrons simultaneously.
Spectroscopy of Octahedral High Spin $d^{n}$ Configurations: $d^{l}, d^{4}, d^{6}, d^{9}$. Figures 8.40 and 8.41 show similarity among the high spin configurations so that the number of spin allowed $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ absorption peaks is the same for different electron configurations. The $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ as well as the $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ electron configurations are expected to have one $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ absorption peak, which may be split because of Jahn-Teller distortions. The single absorption peak gives the energy for the splitting of the d orbitals as $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ or $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$. These four electron
configurations have ground state $D$ atomic terms of maximum multiplicity that split into $T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{g}}$ octahedral terms.

As shown in Figure 8.40, the $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ octahedral electron configurations have a similar arrangement of energy levels with a single electron transition from the $T_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow E_{\mathrm{g}}$ levels. Figure 8.14a and b shows the spectra for $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$. Likewise, the $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ electron configurations have a similar arrangement of energy levels but are inverse to the $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ cases. Thus, the octahedral $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ cases have a single electron transition from the $E_{g} \rightarrow T_{2 g}$ level as shown for $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ in Figure 8.42; the broadness or shoulders on the peaks indicate that there is Jahn-Teller distortion as expected. Because of the similarity of the tetrahedral and octahedral electron configurations shown in Figure 8.41a and discussed previously, the electron transitions for tetrahedral $d^{1}$ and $d^{6}$ and for $d^{4}$ and $d^{9}$ are inverse to the transitions for their octahedral counterparts. The energy for the d orbital splitting in tetrahedral geometry is $\Delta_{\text {tet }}$.


Figure 8.42 Electronic spectra of (a) $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{4}, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 1}\right)$; (b) $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{9}, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 3}\right)$

### 8.8.2.5 Overview of $d^{2}, d^{3}, d^{7}, d^{8}$ Electron Configurations

Figure 8.40 shows that the $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ electron configuration (or the two-hole case) has the same atomic terms as the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ electron configuration and thus the same splitting of the terms as the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ electron configuration. Note that the terms are reversed in energy; i.e, the ground state for the $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ case is now the ${ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ term because there is only one possible combination to place the three unpaired electrons in the ground state (the value of $D_{\mathrm{q}}$ is also reversed in sign). The ${ }^{3} T_{1 g}$ term is now the second excited state of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}$ term so it is possible to mix or interact with the ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ term of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ term. When these two different ${ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ terms have near similar energy so they can interact or mix (much as two molecular orbitals of similar energy can interact), their energies will deviate from the predicted values in the absence of any interaction with one state increasing in energy and the other decreasing in energy; thus, the energy lines will no longer be linear and will bend so that they will not cross. This is known as the non-crossing rule and is shown in Figure 8.41c.

Similar to the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ case, the $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ along with the $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ electron configurations are expected to have three spin allowed $d \rightarrow d$ absorption peaks. These four electron configurations have ground state F atomic terms of maximum multiplicity that split into $T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}, T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$, and $A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ octahedral terms. The $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ electron configurations have the same relative energy level diagram as noted above, whereas the energy states for $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ electron configurations, which have the same relative energy level diagram as shown in Figures 8.40 and 8.41 c , are inverse in order to the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ cases.

The Orgel diagram in Figure 8.41c contains much information and differs to some extent from Figure 8.41b. Left of $0 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ in Figure 8.41c are the $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ octahedral cases and to the right of $0 D_{\mathrm{q}}$ are the $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ octahedral cases. The difference between the atomic F and P terms is 15 B as above. However, the $T_{1}(\mathrm{P})$ term is no longer linear (the difference between the upward curve versus dashed horizontal line is assigned a value of " $c$ "), and neither is the $T_{1}(\mathrm{~F})$ term (downward curve versus the diagonal dashed line). These curvatures are most pronounced for the $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ octahedral cases on the left of the diagram because of the closeness in energy of the two $T_{1}$ terms; the higher value of " $c$ " indicates more mixing between the states. For the $d^{2}$ and $d^{7}$ octahedral cases, there is less interaction.

### 8.8.2.6 Spectroscopy of high spin $d^{3}, d^{8}$ Electron Configurations

An excellent example of electron transitions starting with a ${ }^{4} A_{2 g}(\mathrm{~F})$ ground state are aqueous solutions of $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ ( $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ case) that produce the complex $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$, which is light green (Figure 8.43). There are two broad absorption bands at $17,400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (yellow region) and $24,390 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (blue region) with a very weak band at $37,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the UV region. Using Figure 8.40 and 8.41 c for the octahedral d ${ }^{3}$ electron configuration, these three absorption bands are assigned as Equations 8.14-8.16. Note that the equations for the absorption peaks have a correction of " $c$ " for the interaction of the two $T_{1}$ terms. The value of $\Delta_{\text {oct }}\left(10 D_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ is obtained from $v_{1}$ for both the $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ and d ${ }^{8}$ octahedral cases. Using Equations 8.14-8.16, $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{v}_{1}=17,400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $D_{\mathrm{q}}=1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; c=6930 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; B^{\prime}=613 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
v_{1}={ }^{4} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) & \text { or } & t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}=17,400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}=10 D_{\mathrm{q}} \\
v_{2}={ }^{4} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) & \text { or } & t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}=24,390 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}=18 D_{\mathrm{q}}-c \\
v_{3}={ }^{4} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{P}) & \text { or } & t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}=37,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}=15 B^{\prime}+12 D_{\mathrm{q}}+c \tag{8.16}
\end{array}
$$

The electronic spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{8}\right)$ in Figure 8.43b is similar to that of $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$. The $v_{1}$ transition $\left[{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})\right.$ or $\left.t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{3}\right]$ is at $8700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; the $v_{2}$ transition $\left[{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})\right.$ or


Figure 8.43 Electronic spectra of (a) $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{3} ; \mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}\right)$; (b) $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{8}, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2}\right)$
$t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{3}$ ] is found at $14,500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the $v_{3}$ peak $\left[{ }^{3} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{3} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{P})\right.$ or $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{4}$ ] is at $25,300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Using Equations 8.14-8.16, $10 D_{\mathrm{q}}=v_{1}=8700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $D_{\mathrm{q}}=870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; c=1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $B^{\prime}=913 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

### 8.8.3 Energy and Spatial Description of the Electron Transitions Between $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ Orbitals

Equations 8.14 and 8.15 both describe a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}$ electronic transition between the F atomic terms. The difference in energy for the absorption peaks $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are related to the type of $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ transitions possible. Figure 8.44 shows two possible transitions between $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals and $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ orbitals. On the left is a transition from a $\mathrm{d}_{x y}$ to the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital; here the electron moves from the $x y$ plane to the $z$-axis, which already has some electron density because of the occupancy of the $\mathrm{d}_{x z}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{y z}$ orbitals. Thus, there is significant increase in the


Figure 8.44 Schematic diagram of the movement of $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ electrons from different $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals to the same $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ orbitals; Left ( $v_{2}$ transition); Right ( $v_{1}$ transition)
electron-electron repulsion from that in the ground state. In contrast, the transition from the $\mathrm{d}_{x z}$ orbital to the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital does not significantly increase electron-electron repulsions from the ground state. The absorption peaks $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are thus different in energy because of these electron repulsions. The $v_{1}$ peak has the lower energy transition assigned as ${ }^{4} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ whereas the $v_{2}$ peak has the higher energy transition and is assigned as ${ }^{4} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$. There are four other electron transitions; two are similar lower energy transitions to the $\mathrm{d}_{x z} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital transition, and they are the $\mathrm{d}_{y z} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}_{z^{2}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{x y} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ transitions. The two higher energy transitions similar to the $\mathrm{d}_{x y}$ to the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital transition are the $\mathrm{d}_{x z} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ and the $\mathrm{d}_{y z} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ transitions.

### 8.8.4 More Details on Correlation Diagrams

More complete correlation diagrams are given in Figures 8.45 through 8.47 for the $\mathrm{d}^{3}, \mathrm{~d}^{6}$, and $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ electron configurations to show the number of energy states available and how the $d$ orbitals split on increasing field strength. In each figure, the left-hand free atom or ion term indicates that there is zero d orbital splitting and the splitting increases from high to low spin on going right. There is a significant crossover (indicated by the dashed blue lines) for the energy of states that have different terms, but which do not mix as they have different symmetry.

### 8.8.4.1 The $d^{3}$ Correlation Diagram

In the $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ case for $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ (Figure 8.45), the ground state energy does not change on increasing field strength as the three electrons can only be in one ground state of maximum multiplicity, the ${ }^{4} A_{2 g}$, for both weak and strong fields. Under very strong field conditions, the doublet states become more stable, and it is possible to have spin forbidden transitions from the ${ }^{4} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ term to ${ }^{2} E_{\mathrm{g}}$ and other doublet states with very low $\varepsilon_{\text {max }}$ values along with the three spin allowed transitions discussed above (Figure 8.43a) and noted in Figure 8.45 (three black arrows on left and right).

### 8.8.4.2 The $d^{6}$ Correlation Diagram

With increasing field strength, the correlation diagram for the $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ electron configuration (Figure 8.46 and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ as example) shows that the ground state changes from a paramagnetic complex with maximum multiplicity ( ${ }^{5} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ state) to a diamagnetic complex with a ground state term of ${ }^{1} A_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$. The diagram indicates that there should be only one spin allowed $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ absorption peak from the ${ }^{1} A_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ to ${ }^{1} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ state for an infinitely strong field, and this gives $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$. Other spin forbidden transitions are possible.


Figure 8.45 Correlation diagram for the $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ case in octahedral symmetry ( $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ in tetrahedral). The energies in units of $D_{\mathrm{q}}$ of the infinitely strong field case are given on the right with the relative energies in units of $\Delta$ in parentheses. The arrows at the top pointing up indicate other higher energy terms not drawn on the diagram (Source: From [9], Figure 6.5. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)

### 8.8.4.3 The $d^{5}$ Correlation Diagram

With increasing field strength, the correlation diagram for the $d^{5}$ electron configuration (Figure 8.47 with the hexaaqua ions of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ as examples) shows that the ground state changes from a paramagnetic complex with maximum multiplicity ( ${ }^{5} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ state) to a slightly paramagnetic complex with a ground state term of ${ }^{2} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$. The left part of the diagram indicates that there should be NO spin allowed $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ absorption peak from the ${ }^{6} A_{1 g}$ to any other state for weak field cases (the six arrows indicate spin forbidden transitions).
$\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ shows very weak spin forbidden transitions peaks and a slight pink coloration at about 1.0 molar concentration (Figure 8.48). Note that the $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ peaks are $10-100$ times lower in absorbance than that of the other hexaaqua ions of the first transition series, which have spin allowed $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ transitions. For the strong field case, only one spin allowed transition is available in the visible region and it is the ${ }^{2} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{2} E_{\mathrm{g}}$, which gives $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$.

Tanabe-Sugano [17] diagrams for each electron configuration provide a more quantitative approach than that shown above, but are only mentioned here. These diagrams plot the ratio of the energy of the states versus $B$, the Racah parameter, $(E / B)$ versus $D_{\mathrm{q}} / B$. The $E / B$ ratio of a state can vary as a line and/or curve with $D_{\mathrm{q}} / B$, and the spectral peaks are assigned as above. Using the energies from the peak assignments gives a measure of $D_{\mathrm{q}} / B$ for the complex.


Figure 8.46 Correlation diagram for the $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ case in octahedral symmetry ( $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ in tetrahedral). The energies in units of $D_{\mathrm{q}}$ of the infinitely strong field case are given on the right with the relative energies in units of $\Delta$ in parentheses. The arrows at the top pointing up indicate other higher energy terms not drawn on the diagram (Source: From Ref. [9], Figure 6.8. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)

### 8.8.5 Luminescence

The discussion on spectroscopy has centered on the electronic transitions that result in absorption peaks, and the light that is reflected, which determines the color of the metal-ligand complex observed. Metal-ligand complexes can also exhibit luminescence, which is the emission of radiation after the complex has been excited by the absorption of radiation. There are two kinds of luminescence. The first is fluorescence, which is the decay of the radiation from an excited state to the ground state when both states have the same multiplicity. Fluorescence is a spin allowed transition and is quite fast with half-lives on the order of nanoseconds. Phosphorescence is the second form of luminescence and is the decay of the radiation from an excited state back to a ground state when both have different spin multiplicity; thus, phosphorescence is a spin forbidden transition, and is significantly slower than fluorescence.

Figure 8.49 shows the absorption transitions and the luminescence emissions for the mineral ruby, which is alumina $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ with up to $2 \% \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ ions replacing and substituting for $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ ions. Both aluminum and chromium are surrounded by six oxide anions so have octahedral geometry, but only the $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ d electrons are involved in electron transitions. More details on the lower energy states for $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ ions are also provided in Figure 8.43. The $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ visible absorption transitions in ruby are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{4} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{4} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \text {, which is the green absorption peak and } \\
& { }^{4} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F}) \text {, which is the blue absorption peak. }
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 8.47 Correlation diagram for the $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ case in octahedral symmetry. The energies in units of $D_{q}$ of the infinitely strong field case are given on the right with the relative energies in units of $\Delta$ in parentheses. The arrows at the top pointing up indicate other higher energy terms not drawn on the diagram (Source: From Ref. [9], Figure 6.9. Reproduced with permission from Wiley, Inc.)


Figure $\mathbf{8 . 4 8}$ Electronic spectrum of $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$. The six peaks from left to right arise from transitions from the ${ }^{6} A_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ ground state to the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{P}$ states $\left({ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{4} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{4} E_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{4} A_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$ and ${ }^{4} \mathrm{E}$ states $\left({ }^{4} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}},{ }^{4} E_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ as shown by the arrows in Figure 8.47


Figure 8.49 The electronic transitions and energy states that occur during the absorption and the luminescence of light by $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ ions in the mineral ruby. The difference in energy for the two excited states $\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}\left({ }^{4} \mathrm{~T}\right)$ is shown on the left in Figure 8.45. Transition (loss of energy) from the ${ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ to ${ }^{4} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ is known as internal conversion

These lead to reflection of red light, which gives ruby its characteristic color. Fluorescence occurs by emission of the light absorbed, but is not a major feature for the luminescence of ruby. Phosphorescence occurs when an electron in one of the excited states from a spin allowed electron transition undergoes intersystem crossing to another excited state with a different multiplicity. This process is nonradiative decay via thermal loss of energy to the surroundings, and occurs on a much faster timescale than fluorescence; i.e., on the order of picoseconds compared to nanoseconds. For $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$, the excited $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ electron in a ${ }^{4} T_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ or ${ }^{4} T_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{~F})$ state $\left(t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}\right)$ crosses to a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ excited ${ }^{2} E_{\mathrm{g}}$ state (see blue lines in Figure 8.45 ; there is a slight splitting of the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals in the ${ }^{2} E_{\mathrm{g}}$ state in Figure 8.49 due to a distortion from octahedral geometry) so that there is only one electron unpaired. Because this doublet state is of different multiplicity than the quartet ground state $\left({ }^{4} A_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$, radiative decay or emission of light is now a spin forbidden process. Radiative decay back to the ground state is slow (microseconds or longer), and the phosphorescence emission of red light occurs at 627 nm . The red phosphorescence emission also adds to the reflected red color from the absorption of green and blue light. Emission of some phosphorescent materials can continue even when the light source is turned off.

### 8.8.6 Magnetism and Spin Crossover in Octahedral Complexes and Natural Minerals

An important feature of the correlation diagrams of Figures 8.45-8.47 is the crossover of the energy states (indicated by the dashed blue lines) as ligand field strength increases in octahedral complexes. Some first transition series metal complexes can exist as both high and low spin complexes simultaneously or can be changed from high to low spin with increasing pressure and/or decreasing temperature. This phenomenon is known as spin crossover and has been reviewed in Topics in Current Chemistry [18]. The largest number of examples are for metals with octahedral geometry and $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ electron configurations with Fe (II) comprising the largest majority as low spin $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ has maximum CFSE and MOSE. The first report for a synthetic Fe (II) complex was $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{2}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}\right]$.

The changeover from high to low spin in octahedral geometry results in a decrease in the bond length for the metal-ligand bond as electrons move from the $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ orbital to the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals, which are closer to the nucleus (see

Figure 8.17; Table 6.4). For $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$, the decrease in bond length is 17 pm and for $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ it is 9.5 pm . An increase in pressure would stimulate the high to low spin change so that the volume of the complex would decrease. In nature, extreme pressures are found at depth in the earth's mantle and core. Examples where both Fe (II) and Fe(III) undergo a change from high to low spin under high pressure and high temperature are found in the most abundant minerals of Earth's lower mantle (between about 670 and 2890 km depth). Two important deep earth minerals are ferropericlase [ $(\mathrm{MgFe}) \mathrm{O}$ with Mg and Fe as 6 coordinate] and the $\mathrm{MgSiO}_{3}$ perovskite structure named bridgmanite [19], which has Fe substituting for Mg and Si as in $(\mathrm{MgFe})(\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Fe}) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with six coordination [20]. $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ [21] and FeS [22] also have six coordinate Fe . The spin crossover for ferropericlase and bridgmanite has been hypothesized to be responsible for seismic discontinuities [23, 24]. Note that the ligands ( $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}$ ) are normally considered high spin inducing ligands, but under high pressure can stabilize low spin metal ions.

An increase in temperature results in enhanced electron movement within the complex with higher vibrational energy levels being occupied (Figure 5.9) according to Equation 8.17 where $i$ is the ground vibrational or electronic state and $j$ is an excited state. Increased T leads to an increase in the bond length and the volume with a consequent shift from the low spin to the high spin complex occurring. A plot of the percentage of high spin species versus increasing absolute temperature will give an "s" shaped curve that increases in one of three ways: gradual, steep, or in steps depending on the compound or solid.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N_{i}}{N_{j}}=\left(e^{-\left(E_{i}-E_{j}\right) /(k T)}\right) \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 8.50 shows a plot of the molar magnetic susceptibility $\left(\chi_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$ versus temperature for materials that do not show spin crossover. Diamagnetic species undergo no change in $\chi_{\mathrm{M}}$ with increasing absolute $T$, but dilute solutions of paramagnetic species with a permanent paramagnetic moment ( $\mu$, in Bohr magnetons, Equation 3.12) follow the Curie Law (Equation 8.18) and show a decrease with increasing absolute $T$. The magnetic dipoles of neighboring atoms in paramagnetic species are randomly distributed.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{C}{T}=\frac{N^{2} \mu^{2}}{3 R T} \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8.50 (a) Idealized plots of magnetic substances versus temperature. (b) Alignment of magnetic dipoles for paramagnetic, ferromagnetic, and antiferromagnetic species

Ferromagnetic substances have the magnetic dipoles on neighboring atoms aligned in parallel, but as temperature increases there is a tendency for random distribution of dipoles. Below the Curie temperature ( $T_{\mathrm{C}}$ ), ferromagnetism dominates. Antiferromagnetic materials have magnetic dipoles aligned in antiparallel, and below the Neel temperature ( $T_{\mathrm{N}}$ ), antiferromagnetism dominates.

Geochemists use the ferromagnetic properties of magnetite $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$ formed during the serpentization process, Equations 8.19 and 8.20 (not balanced)] and the diamagnetic properties of pyrite ( $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ formed at black smoker hydrothermal vents) to determine whether hydrothermal vents are present at mid-ocean spreading centers [25, 26]. Reduced crustal magnetization indicates the formation of pyrite (and other metal sulfides). Magnetization measurements are used by mining companies to search for hydrothermal deposits to mine precious metals.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Mg}_{1.8} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.2} \mathrm{SiO}_{4}+1.37 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \underset{\text { serpentine }}{0.5 \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}}+\underset{\text { brucite }}{0.3 \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}+\underset{\text { magnetite }}{0.067 \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}}+0.067 \mathrm{H}_{2} \\
& \text { Olivine }  \tag{8.19}\\
& \text { Olivine }\left[\left(\mathrm{MgFe}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right]+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \rightarrow \text { serpentine }\left[\mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]+\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right. \tag{8.20}
\end{align*}
$$

### 8.8.7 Note about f Orbitals in Cubic Symmetry ( $O_{h}$ )

The $\mathrm{f}_{x^{3}}, \mathrm{f}_{y^{3}}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{z^{3}}$ orbitals are on the Cartesian coordinate axes and transform as $t_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ in $O_{h}$ symmetry similar to the metal " p " orbitals that are involved with $\sigma$ bonding; these orbitals can also bind with the ligand orbitals used in $\pi$ bonding (see Figure 8.30). The $\mathrm{f}_{z\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)}, \mathrm{f}_{x\left(z^{2}-y^{2}\right)}$, and $\mathrm{f}_{y\left(z^{2}-x^{2}\right)}$ orbitals, which are similar in shape to the $\mathrm{f}_{x y z}$ orbital, transform as $t_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ and can bind with ligand orbitals used in $\pi$ bonding. The $\mathrm{f}_{x y z}$ orbital transforms as the nonbonding $a_{2 u}$ orbital.
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## 9

# Reactivity of Transition Metal Complexes: Thermodynamics, Kinetics and Catalysis 

### 9.1 Thermodynamics Introduction

Transition metal complexes undergo ligand substitution reactions in which the first coordination sphere of ligands bound to the metal are substituted by ligands of different composition. There are four main types of substitution reactions that describe metal complexes other than water (or solvent) exchange, that is, the water molecule replaced by a water solvent molecule. They are metal complex formation (Equation 9.1; where ligand replaces a water molecule), aquation or solvolysis (Equation 9.2; where a water or solvent molecule replaces another ligand that is not the solvent), anation (Equation 9.3; complex formation where a ligand(s) replaces a water molecule in the free metal ion complex, $\mathrm{M}_{\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6} \text {, with other ligands bound to }}$ the metal), and ligand exchange (Equation 9.4; where one ligand replaces another ligand and neither is a solvent molecule).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}+\mathrm{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \text { complex formation } \\
\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{ML}_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{X} & \text { aquation } \\
\mathrm{ML}_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \text { anation } \\
\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{X} & \text { ligand exchange } \tag{9.4}
\end{array}
$$

### 9.1.1 Successive Stability Constants on Water Substitution

The simplest substitution or metal complex formation reaction is when a water molecule(s) is replaced by another ligand(s). For an octahedral complex, six water molecules can be replaced by six different ligands or six ligating atoms from multidentate ligands. The successive replacement of water molecules by another monodentate ligand (entering group) is given by the expressions $9.5 \mathrm{a}-9.5 \mathrm{c}$, which describe the individual formation constants, $K_{\mathrm{f}}$, for each substitution reaction. Water is not part of the mathematical expression since

[^7]it is the solvent and is incorporated in $K$. Species surrounded by [] indicate concentrations whereas species surrounded by $\}$ indicate activities (see Sections 7.8.1, 13.1.1 and 13.1.2).
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}+\mathrm{L} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{ML}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & K_{1}=\frac{[\mathrm{ML}]}{[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{L}]} \\
\mathrm{ML}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}+\mathrm{L} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{ML}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & K_{2}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{ML}_{2}\right]}{[\mathrm{ML}][\mathrm{L}]} \\
\vdots &  \tag{9.5c}\\
\mathrm{ML}_{n-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{L} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{ML}_{n}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & K_{n}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{ML}_{n}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{ML}_{n-1}\right][\mathrm{L}]}
\end{array}
$$
\]

The overall formation constant of the final product is given by Equation 9.6a and is the product of the stepwise formation constants (Equation 9.6b). The formation constant of the complex is also known as the stability constant, a term which is used interchangeably with formation constant.

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{n} & =\frac{\left[\mathrm{ML}_{n}\right]}{[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{L}]^{n}}  \tag{9.6a}\\
\beta_{n} & =K_{1} K_{2} K_{3} \cdots K_{n} \tag{9.6b}
\end{align*}
$$

The inverse of $K_{\mathrm{f}}$, the formation constant, is the dissociation constant, $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ (Equation 9.7).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ML} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{L} \quad K_{d}=\frac{[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{L}]}{[\mathrm{ML}]} \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formation constant, $K_{\mathrm{f}}$, is related to the Gibbs free energy $(\Delta G)$ and the redox potential, $E$, of the substitution reaction via Equation 9.8.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G=-n F E=-(R T) \ln K_{\mathrm{f}}=\Delta H-T \Delta S \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Successive stepwise formation constants typically follow the order $K_{1}>K_{2}>K_{3}>\cdots>K_{n}$.
Thus, each $K$ value has a statistical contribution from entropy, $\Delta S$, if the enthalpy, $\Delta H$, is constant (a reasonable first approximation). The formation constants of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ amines, $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{n}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6-n}\right]^{2+}$, display this behavior well [1].

| $n$ (ligand number) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\log K_{n}$ | 2.72 | 2.17 | 1.66 | 1.12 | 0.67 | 0.03 |

However, a reversal of the relation $K_{n}<K_{n-1}$ can occur and indicates a change in the electronic structure or coordination of the complex as more monodentate ligands are added. For Cd complexes with bromide, the successive equilibrium constants are $\log K_{1}=1.56, \log K_{2}=0.54, \log K_{3}=0.06$, and $\log K_{4}=0.37$ [1]. Aqua complexes are six coordinate (octahedral) whereas halide complexes are four coordinate (tetrahedral). The loss of three water molecules as the fourth bromide replaces the fourth water molecule results in an increase in entropy ( $\Delta S>0$; four product molecules vs two reactant molecules in Equation 9.9), a decrease in Gibbs free energy, and an increase in $K_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\log K_{3}=0.06\right.$ and $\left.\log K_{4}=0.37\right)$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathrm{CdBr}_{3}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right]^{-}+\mathrm{Br}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{CdBr}_{4}\right]^{2-}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \\
\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{N} .=6 & \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{N} .=4 \tag{9.9}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ and other anions in group 16 induce a similar increase in $K_{n}$; for example, as bisulfide displaces water from $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$, there is a loss of the proton from $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$and the resulting sulfide $\left(\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right)$ complexes of Cu and Zn become tetrahedral [2,3]. For these $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ metals, there is no MOSE advantage to have an octahedral complex (Table 8.12).

### 9.1.2 The Chelate Effect

When bidentate or multidentate ligands (edta ${ }^{4-}$, porphyrins, siderophores) substitute for monodentate ligands, an increase in entropy $(\Delta S>0)$ and hence $K$ is also observed. This increase in stability for multidentate ligands over monodentate ligands is referred to as the chelate effect, and is most important for macrocyclic ligands such as the porphyrins, which are produced by phytoplankton, and siderophores, which are produced by bacteria. A few simple examples of the chelate effect follow. In the metal-ligand complex reactions of Equations 9.10a,b (at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mu=1.0 \mathrm{M}$ ), replacement of two water molecules by ethylenediamine and two ammonia molecules in $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ gives different thermodynamic data [1].

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{en} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{en})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \\
& \log K_{1}=10.54 \quad \Delta H=-54.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \quad \Delta S=+25 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{deg}^{-1}  \tag{9.10a}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \\
& \log \beta_{2}=7.83 \quad \Delta H=-46.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \quad \Delta S=-4.2 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{deg}^{-1} \tag{9.10b}
\end{align*}
$$

The bonds formed in each reaction are $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ so should be similar in bond strength or enthalpy. However, reaction 9.10a has three product molecules versus two reactant molecules whereas reaction 9.10b has the same number of reactant and product molecules. The increase in product molecules causes an increase in entropy, which increases the stability constant of the complex and lowers the $\Delta G$ of the complex as in Equation 9.8.

The chelate effect occurs regardless of the monodentate ligand initially bound to the transition metal as in Equation 9.11 for the substitution of two ammonia molecules by ethylenediamine ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mu=1.0 \mathrm{M}$ ). This reaction is formally a ligand exchange reaction (Equation 9.4) as a molecule other than water is replaced. The $\Delta H$ of the reaction is not large as the total number of $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds is the same in the reactant and the product, but the $\Delta S$ value is quite positive contributing significantly to the $\log K_{1}$ value.

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{en} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{en})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}} \\
& \log K_{1}=2.27 \quad \Delta H=-5.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \quad \Delta S=+29 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{deg}^{-1} \tag{9.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The formation of five-membered rings counting the atoms in the chelate and the metal are also more stable than six-membered rings as in the case of Equations $9.12 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ with en and $\operatorname{tn}(1,3$-diaminopropane). Here, the $\Delta S$ value does not change but the $\Delta H$ value decreases with a consequent decrease in $\log K\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; $\mu=0.10 \mathrm{M}$ ).

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{en} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{en})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \\
& \log K_{1}=10.48 \quad \Delta H=-52.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \quad \Delta S=+25 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{deg}^{-1}  \tag{9.12a}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{tn} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{tn})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \\
& \log K_{1}=9.68 \quad \Delta H=-47.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \quad \Delta S=+25 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{deg}^{-1} \tag{9.12b}
\end{align*}
$$

In the first transition series, the ligands attached to the metal dictate whether the transition metal exhibits a high or low spin d electron configuration. In the examples above, all metals exhibit a high spin electron
configuration. Bipyridyl is an example of a bidentate ligand that can induce a low spin electron configuration. When replacing the first two water molecules in $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ to form $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { bipy })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}, \log K_{1}=4.2$. When replacing the third and fourth water molecules to form $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}, \log K_{2}=3.7$. However, when replacing the last two water molecules to form $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { bipy })_{3}\right]^{2+}, \log K_{3}=9.3$. The total number of ligating atoms bound to $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ is still six so an increase in stability is not due to a change in coordination number as in the cadmium bromide example above. The increase in stability for the tris complex, $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{bipy})_{3}\right]^{2+}$, is due to $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ now being a low spin $\left(t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}\right)$ ion with the d electrons closer to the nucleus whereas the bis complex, $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { bipy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}$, has $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ in a high spin state $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$. The two bound water molecules in the bis complex induce the weak field complex. The stability of the low spin complex is related to the molecular orbital stabilization energy (MOSE) as all d electrons are in nonbonding $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals, whereas for the high spin complex, two electrons are in the antibonding $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ orbitals.

The stability of a metal ion with a multidentate chelate has been used in medicine to detoxify lead that has been ingested when children are inadvertently exposed to Pb . A solution of EDTA is administered intravenously (intramuscular administration can also be done), and the EDTA binds the lead ion to form a $\mathrm{Pb}-E D T A$ complex, which is then excreted in the urine. A solution of dimercaptosuccinic acid can be drunk with the same result. These are examples of chelation therapy. Many bacteria make hexadentate ligands called siderophores, which are excellent at binding Fe(III) [and other metals] to keep it in solution and prevent its precipitation as iron(III)oxyhydroxides (Table 10.1 and Section 13.1).

Substitution reactions occur not only in solution but also at the surface of solids and membranes found in single cell organisms such as phytoplankton (Section 13.2.2). Here, a soluble chelated metal must undergo dechelation at the surface so that the surface ligating atoms can bind the metal. The transport of a metal from the surface of the membrane into the inner portion of the cell is governed by the thermodynamics and kinetics of the substitution processes. In the next section, the kinetics of substitution processes is discussed.

### 9.2 Kinetics of Ligand Substitution Reactions

The thermodynamic stability constants indicate how stable a complex is but do not indicate anything about how fast or slowly the complex may form. The kinetics of complex formation can vary substantially; as an example, the rate of water exchange for a metal in different oxidation states $\left(\mathrm{Cr}^{2+} \mathrm{vs}_{\mathrm{Cr}}{ }^{3+}\right)$ can vary by 15 orders of magnitude (Table 9.1). The terms inert and labile are used to indicate how slowly and fast ligand substitution can occur, respectively. Taube [10] suggested that complexes that react to completion in about 1 min (half-life, $t_{1 / 2}$, less than 30 s ) are substitution labile, and those with longer half-lives are substitution inert. Inert complexes are considered to have kinetic stability, which is unrelated to thermodynamic stability described above. Complexes that are extremely labile require the use of stopped flow, P-jump, or T-jump experimental techniques.

The simplest ligand substitution reaction is the replacement of one water molecule by another for fully hydrated metal ions (the "free" ion). The exchange rate of one water molecule by another is governed by the nature of the coordinated metal, its charge, size and CFSE or LFSE (MOSE) energy. These rates have been determined using a variety of physical instrumentation, in particular by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Equation 9.13 is a formal way of describing the water exchange reaction where * indicates a bulk water molecule that exchanges with one water molecule bound to the metal. In NMR experiments, ${ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ labeled water is the solvent. Table 9.1 gives the water exchange rate constants for several metal $+1,+2,+3$, and +4 ions as well as some monohydroxo complexes of +3 metal ions (Equation 9.14).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{*} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{*}\right)+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{9.13}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{*} \leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{*}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \tag{9.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 9.1 Water exchange rate constants (k) for several metal ions with their half-lives and d orbital splitting primarily in octahedral field. Bolded ions show the range of values

| $1 \mathrm{ON}(+1)$ | $k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $t_{1 / 2}$ (s) |  | $\operatorname{ION}(+2)$ | $k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $t_{1 / 2}$ (s) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Li}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}{ }^{+}$ | $1 \times 10^{8}$ | $6.9 \times 10^{-9}$ |  | $\mathrm{Be}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$ | $7.3 \times 10^{2}$ | $3.30 \times 10^{-4}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $5 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-9}$ |  | $\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $6.7 \times 10^{5}$ | $1.03 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $1 \times 10^{9}$ | $6.9 \times 10^{-10}$ |  | $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{8}$ | $2.16 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Rb}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $2 \times 10^{9}$ | $3.4 \times 10^{-10}$ |  | $\mathrm{Sr}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}{ }^{2+}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.98 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Cs}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $5 \times 10^{9}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-10}$ |  | $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}{ }^{2+}$ | $7.2 \times 10^{8}$ | $9.6 \times 10^{-10}$ |  |
| ION (+3) | $k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $t_{1 / 2}$ (s) |  | $1 \mathrm{ON}(+2)$ | $k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $t_{1 / 2}$ (s) |  |
| $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{5}$ | $3.85 \times 10^{-6}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $8.7 \times 10^{1}$ | $7.97 \times 10^{-3}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{2}$ | $1.39 \times 10^{-3}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathbf{C r}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $1 \times 10^{9}$ | $6.9 \times 10^{-10}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.89 \times 10^{5}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{7}$ | $3.30 \times 10^{-8}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{2}$ | $4.33 \times 10^{-3}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.58 \times 10^{-7}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.98 \times 10^{5}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5}$ | $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{6}$ | $2.17 \times 10^{-7}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{5} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-9}$ | $3.15 \times 10^{8}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ | $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{4}$ | $2.17 \times 10^{-5}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{I r}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{\mathbf{6}}{ }^{\mathbf{3 +}}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-10}$ | $6.30 \times 10^{9}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{9}$ | $1.58 \times 10^{-10}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | 1.29 | $5.37 \times 10^{-1}$ |  | $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $7 \times 10^{7}$ | $9.90 \times 10^{-9}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6} e^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{2}$ | $1.73 \times 10^{-3}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $3 \times 10^{8}$ | $2.31 \times 10^{-9}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6} e^{4}{ }^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{In}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $4 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.73 \times 10^{-5}$ |  | $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$ | $2 \times 10^{9}$ | $3.47 \times 10^{-10}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}{ }^{3+}$ | $1 \times 10^{8}$ | $6.93 \times 10^{-9}$ |  | $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.85 \times 10^{1}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6}$ |
| $\mathbf{E u}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{7}{ }^{\mathbf{3 +}}$ | $5.00 \times 10^{9}$ | $1.39 \times 10^{-10}$ |  | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$ | $5.6 \times 10^{2}$ | $1.24 \times 10^{-3}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}{ }^{3+}$ | $8.30 \times 10^{8}$ | $8.35 \times 10^{-10}$ |  | $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.78 \times 10^{3}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}{ }^{3+}$ | $5.58 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.24 \times 10^{-9}$ |  | $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $7 \times 10^{9}$ | $9.90 \times 10^{-11}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}{ }^{3+}$ | $4.34 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ho}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}{ }^{3+}$ | $2.14 \times 10^{8}$ | $3.24 \times 10^{-9}$ |  | $\mathrm{ION}(+4)$ | $k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $t_{1 / 2}$ (s) |  |
| $\mathrm{Er}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}{ }^{3+}$ | $1.33 \times 10^{8}$ | $5.21 \times 10^{-9}$ |  | $\mathrm{Th}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{10}{ }^{4+}$ | $5.00 \times 10^{7}$ | $1.39 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Tm}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}{ }^{3+}$ | $9.10 \times 10^{7}$ | $7.62 \times 10^{-9}$ |  | $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{10}{ }^{4+}$ | $5.00 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.39 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{8}{ }^{3+}$ | $4.70 \times 10^{7}$ | $1.47 \times 10^{-8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{O H})^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | $k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $t_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~s})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.85 \times 10^{3}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{5}$ | $5.78 \times 10^{-6}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ | $5.9 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.17 \times 10^{3}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.65 \times 10^{4}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{lr}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ | $5.6 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.24 \times 10^{6}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ | $3.10 \times 10^{4}$ | $2.23 \times 10^{-5}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ga}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ | $4.00 \times 10^{2}$ | $1.73 \times 10^{-3}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{0}$ |  |  |  |  |

[^8]The rate of water exchange is considered first order in the metal-aqua complex as water is the solvent and no other ligands are present. Table 9.1 shows that the rate of water exchange for these metal complexes varies over a range of 20 orders of magnitude (from $\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ to $\left.\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}\right)$ as do their first order half-lives. Values larger than $10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ indicate a diffusion-controlled process. Values larger than $10^{1.5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ indicate a labile complex whereas those less than that indicate an inert complex as suggested by Taube [10]. Using water exchange rates, Langford and Gray [11,12] divided metal ions into four broad classes as follows.

### 9.2.1 Kinetics of Water Exchange for Aqua Complexes

CLASS I: $k \geq 10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ Diffusion-controlled ions are very fast and LABILE.
Ions in this class are the alkali and alkaline earths (except for $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ), $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}, \mathrm{Hg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cr}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$, $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$, and many of the lanthanide +3 ions. Note that these ions have noble gas or pseudo-noble gas configurations or are Jahn-Teller distorted ions $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mn}^{3+}\right.\right.$ both $\left.\mathrm{d}^{4}\right)$ and $\left.\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{d}^{9}\right]$. The lanthanides have higher coordination numbers so that water loss is statistically more possible. The ratio of the charge squared to the radius is small $\left(Z^{2} / r \leq 10 \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right)$.
CLASS II: $k \sim 10^{4}-10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ water exchange is fast and the ions are LABILE.
In this class are all divalent first row transition metal ions (except for $\mathrm{V}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cr}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ), $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, and several trivalent lanthanide ions $\left(\mathrm{M}^{3+}\right) . Z^{2} / r$ ranges from 10 to $30 \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$.
CLASS III: $k \sim 1-10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
This class contains $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}, \mathrm{V}^{2+}, \mathrm{Al}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ga}^{3+}$, and several trivalent first row transition metal ions. $Z^{2} / r>$ $30 \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$. Ions (e.g., $\mathrm{V}^{2+}$ ) may exhibit some LFSE.
CLASS IV: $k \sim 10^{-9}-10^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
Water exchange is INERT as originally defined by Taube.
This class is dominated by octahedral ions that exhibit very high LFSE such as $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}, \mathrm{Rh}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ir}^{3+}$, and $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ along with the low spin cases of $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$. Note that the octahedral d ${ }^{3}\left(t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{d}^{6}\left(t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}\right)$ as well as the square planar $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ configurations of $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Co}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{Pt}^{2+}$ have very high LFSE. In tetrahedral geometry, first row transition elements are high spin and labile whereas in square planar geometry, all transition elements are low spin and inert.
When LFSE is not a factor, there are some straightforward comparisons based on size and charge of the free ions.

1. An increase in the rate constant corresponds with an increase in the radius of the metal; for example,

$$
\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}>\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}>\mathrm{Be}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}
$$

2. An increase in the rate constant corresponds with a decrease in ionic charge; for example,

$$
\mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{+}>\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}>\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}
$$

### 9.2.2 Intimate Mechanisms for Ligand Substitution Reactions

The term intimate describes the details of the activation and the energetics of formation of the activated complex in the rate-determining step. For octahedral complexes, Langford and Gray [11] described two limiting mechanistic cases, dissociative and associative mechanisms.

A dissociative (D) mechanism results in the loss of a ligand (the leaving group) from an octahedral complex to form a five-coordinate intermediate $\left[\mathrm{ML}_{5}\right]$ in Equation 9.15 and Figure 9.1a, and is referred to as an
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Figure 9.1 Schematic diagrams representing (a) a dissociative mechanism with formation of a five-coordinate square pyramid intermediate and (b) an associative mechanism with formation of a seven-coordinate pentagonal bipyramid intermediate
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ limiting reaction. Bond breaking of the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ bond is the rate-controlling factor for the activation energy; thus, the reaction rate is more sensitive to variation of the leaving group. The entering group is Y .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{ML}_{5}\right]+\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{X} \tag{9.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate equation for formation of the product, $\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{Y}$, is given in Equation 9.16, and shows that the rate is only proportional to the concentration of the starting reactant, $\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{X}$. Thus, this is a first-order reaction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Rate }=\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}\right]=k_{\mathrm{obs}}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right] \tag{9.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

An associative (A) mechanism results in the gain of a ligand for an octahedral complex to form the seven-coordinate intermediate [ $\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{XY}$ ] in Equation 9.17 and Figure 9.1 b, and is referred to as an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ limiting reaction. Bond making ( $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Y}$ bond) is the rate-controlling factor for the activation energy; thus, the reaction rate is sensitive to variation of both the leaving and the entering groups. In this process, as the M-Y bond begins to form and increase in bond strength, the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ bond must gradually weaken and then be broken to form the product.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{XY}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{X} \tag{9.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate equation for formation of the product, $\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{Y}$, is given in Equation 9.18, and shows that the rate is proportional to the concentration of each reactant. Thus, this is a second-order reaction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Rate }=\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}\right]=k_{\text {obs }}\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right][\mathrm{Y}] \tag{9.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.2.3 Kinetic Model and Activation Parameters

The description of dissociative and associative reaction mechanisms and the formation of their intermediates indicate that there is a significant change in potential energy from the initial energy states of the reactants to the formation of the intermediate. In transition state theory, the energy maximum required to form the activated complex is the activation energy, $E_{\mathrm{a}}$, as shown in Figure 9.2a. This reaction progress along the reaction coordinate (or pathway) requires collisions of the reactants (left of the maximum in Figure 9.2a) with enough energy to form the activated complex in the transition state (maximum in the curve for Figure 9.2a). During this


Figure 9.2 Representative reaction coordinate diagrams showing concerted processes (a, c) that do not result in an intermediate and (b) showing the formation of an intermediate
process, the initial reactants undergo bond breaking and making, which require bond stretching and angular distortions to form the activated complex. A large $E_{\mathrm{a}}$ decreases the reaction rate so that the reaction progress will be slow. Then, the activated complex undergoes another set of internal atomic movements to form (or relax to) the products (right of Figure 9.2a). Because the products are of lower energy than the reactants, the Gibbs free energy of formation or reaction, $\Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}$ or $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}$, is negative and the equilibrium constant, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}$, is positive indicating that the reaction is favorable. The smooth curve in Figure 9.2a indicates a concerted process such that an intermediate does not form or has a very small but constant concentration. Although $\Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}<0$, the intermediate could decompose back to the reactants; if the reaction would proceed backward from the intermediate (or the products) to reactants, the same reaction coordinate is followed. This is known as the principle of microscopic reversibility, and requires that when the reaction is at equilibrium, the forward and reverse reactions proceed at equal rates.

Figure 9.2 b shows a double maximum for the curve, and the energy valley or potential well indicates that an intermediate forms over the reaction coordinate. If the potential well is very deep, then the intermediate may be (meta)stable and thus be detected. Again, this reaction shows a negative value for $\Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}$ and is a favorable reaction. $\Delta G^{0}$ is the free energy of formation for the intermediate from the reactants.

Figure 9.2c shows another concerted process without formation of an intermediate. However, the products have a higher potential energy than the reactants so formation of products is not favored; that is, $\Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}>0$.

As temperature and pressure increase, reactions generally proceed faster, and it is possible to determine the activation energies associated with the reaction. These are important in determining whether a reaction undergoes dissociative or associative mechanisms.

### 9.2.3.1 Arrhenius Equation

There are two approaches to determining the energetics along the reaction coordinate. The first of these is the Arrhenius equation 9.19 , which relates the reaction rate constant to the $E_{\mathrm{a}}^{\ddagger}$, the activation energy. Here, $k$ is an abbreviation for $k_{\text {obs }}$, which is used to describe the rate constant in Equations 9.15 and 9.18.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=A e^{\frac{-E_{a} \ddagger}{R T}} \tag{9.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Arrhenius behavior indicates that as the temperature increases, the number of collisions, $A$, increases, and the reaction rate increases. The approach to determining the $E_{\mathrm{a}}$ is to determine the rate constant at three or more
temperatures, and then plot the $\ln k$ versus $1 / T$.

$$
\ln k=\frac{-E_{\mathrm{a}}^{\ddagger}}{R T}+\ln A
$$

The slope of this plot is $E_{\mathrm{a}}^{\ddagger} / R$ where $R=8.314 \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K})^{-1}$. If $E_{\mathrm{a}}<42 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, then the reaction is a diffusion-controlled process. If $E_{\mathrm{a}}>42 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, then the reaction is a chemically controlled reaction process.

### 9.2.3.2 Eyring Equation

In the Eyring equation 9.20, the reaction rate constant is related to the Gibbs free energy of activation, $\Delta G^{\neq}$, which is graphically portrayed in Figure $9.2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$. The $\Delta G^{0}$ is the Gibbs free energy for the activated complex.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{k^{\prime} T}{h} e^{\frac{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{R T}}=\frac{k^{\prime} T}{h} e^{\frac{-\Delta H^{\ddagger}}{R T}} e^{\frac{\Delta S^{\ddagger}}{R}} \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k^{\prime}$ is the Boltzmann constant and ${ }^{*}$ indicates activation. Rearranging and taking the logarithm gives the following equation.

$$
\ln \frac{k}{T}=\frac{-\Delta H^{\ddagger}}{R T}+\ln \frac{k^{\prime}}{h}+\frac{\Delta S^{\ddagger}}{R}
$$

A plot of $[\ln (k / T)]$ versus $1 / T$ yields a slope of $-\Delta H^{\ddagger} / R$ and an intercept of $\left[\ln \left(k^{\prime} / h\right)+\Delta S^{\ddagger} / R\right]$.
If $\Delta S^{\ddagger}<-10$ entropy units (e.u., $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ), an associative mechanism occurs as a metal complex undergoes bond making in the transition state. If $\Delta S^{\ddagger}>+10$ entropy units (e.u. or $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ), a dissociative mechanism occurs as the metal complex undergoes bond breaking. If the value is in between these two limits, then it is not possible to indicate a particular mechanism.

Note that $\ln k$ is proportional to $\Delta G^{\neq}$, and $\Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}$ may be proportional to $\Delta G^{\neq}$. Thus $\ln k$ can be related to $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}$, or the equilibrium constant, $K$, or the standard reduction potential ( $E^{\circ}$ ) for a series of metal complexes (same metal reacting with different ligands). The following linear free energy relationship (LFER) then results.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln k=n \ln K+C \tag{9.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Swaddle [13] showed that the coefficient $n$ gives information on dissociative versus associative mechanisms in octahedral substitution for a series of reactions with the same Y. If $n=1.0$, a dissociative mechanism is likely because there is little difference in the transition states for the different complexes studied; that is, the kinetic parameter ( $k$ or $\Delta G^{\neq}$) varies the same as the thermodynamic parameter ( $K$ or $\Delta G_{\text {reaction }}$ ). If $n=0.5$, an associative mechanism occurs for a series of complexes.

### 9.2.3.3 Pressure-Dependent Reactions

In addition to the rate depending upon temperature, the reaction rate may depend upon variation in pressure (e.g., every 10 m of water depth is another atmosphere of overpressure so the reaction rate can increase with ocean depth). The volume of activation, $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$, is complex because it is a component of volume changes in the reactants and volume changes in the surrounding solvent, which is known as solvent electrostriction ( $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$ solvent $)$.

$$
\Delta V^{\ddagger}=\text { volume of activation }=\Delta V_{\text {intrinsic }}^{\ddagger}+\Delta V_{\text {solvent }}^{\ddagger}
$$

$\Delta V^{\ddagger}(P)$ and $k$ are related to the rate constant via the ideal gas law (Equation 9.22).

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=e^{\frac{-P \Delta D^{\frac{1}{*}}}{R T}} \tag{9.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

A plot of $\ln k$ versus $P$ gives a slope of $-\Delta V^{\ddagger} /(R T)$. Similar to $\Delta S$, a negative value indicates an associative mechanism, and a positive value indicates a dissociative mechanism unless solvent effects are important.

Electrostriction ( $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$ solvent $)$ deals with charges in the activated complex and how $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (or the solvent) affects that complex. Any process that creates charge (two charges of the same sign come together) leads to solvent electrostriction and gives a "negative" contribution to $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$ as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ around each ion counteracts repulsion. $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$ is often large and negative when anionic ligands leave cationic complexes in D mechanisms (solvent $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ coordinates each ion). Neutralization of charge in the intermediate (two charges of opposite sign come together) gives a "positive" contribution to $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$ as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ leaves each ion. $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$ is large and positive when anionic ligands leave anionic complexes as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is less tightly bound to ions of smaller charge.

### 9.2.4 Dissociative Versus Associative Preference for Octahedral Ligand Substitution Reactions

A possible way of predicting whether associative or dissociative mechanisms are preferred for octahedral metal complexes is to calculate the crystal field activation energy (CFAE) on going from an octahedral starting geometry to a square pyramid $\left(C_{4 v}\right)$ intermediate using CFSE for each geometry [14, 15]. The same calculation is done on going from an octahedral starting geometry to an intermediate with the pentagonal bipyramid ( $D_{5 h}$ ) geometry. Tables 9.2A and 9.2B give the CFAE values for both high spin and low spin cases on going from an octahedral geometry to square pyramid and pentagonal bipyramid geometries, respectively.

The CFAE low spin data assume no change in spin multiplicity on going from an electron configuration in octahedral geometry to one of the intermediate geometries. The CFAE data for low spin $d^{3}, d^{4}$, and $d^{8}$ electron configurations are more stable in square pyramid as the $d^{3}$ and $d^{4}$ electron configurations in pentagonal bipyramidal have electrons that go into orbitals of higher energy to maintain electron spin (see Figure 8.25).

Negative CFAE values in Tables 9.2A and 9.2B refer to loss of crystal field stabilization energy and the destabilization of the complex intermediate. When comparing CFAE for high spin complexes in square pyramid and pentagonal bipyramidal geometries, dissociation is favored or preferred over association for $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ to $d^{5}$ and $d^{8}-d^{9}$ electron configurations, but not for $d^{1}, d^{2}$, and $d^{7}$ electron configurations. When comparing

Table 9.2A CFAE data for a square pyramid $\left(S_{N} 1\right)$ and pentagonal bipyramidal $\left(S_{N} 2\right)$ high spin intermediates. Note that positive energies are considered to be stable

| $\mathrm{d}^{n}$ | $O_{h}$ CFSE <br> high spin | $C_{4 v}$ CFSE <br> high spin <br> C.N. $=5$ | CFAE <br> high spin <br> C.N. $=5$ | $O_{h}$ CFSE <br> high spin | $D_{5 h}$ CFSE <br> high spin <br> C.N. $=7$ | CFAE <br> high spin <br> C.N. $=7$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{1}$ | 4 | 4.57 | 0.57 | 4 | 5.28 | 1.28 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{2}$ | 8 | 9.14 | 1.1 | 8 | 10.56 | 2.56 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{3}$ | 12 | 10.0 | -2.0 | 12 | 7.74 | -4.26 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{4}$ | 6 | 9.14 | 3.14 | 6 | 4.92 | -1.08 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{6}$ | 4 | 4.57 | 0.57 | 4 | 5.28 | 1.28 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{7}$ | 8 | 9.14 | 1.14 | 8 | 10.56 | 2.56 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{8}$ | 12 | 10 | -2.0 | 12 | 7.74 | -4.26 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{9}$ | 6 | 9.14 | 3.14 | 6 | 4.92 | -1.08 |
| $\mathrm{~d}^{10}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9.2B CFAE data for a square pyramid $\left(S_{N} 1\right)$ and pentagonal bipyramidal $\left(S_{N} 2\right)$ low spin intermediates. Note that positive energies are considered to be stable

| $\mathrm{d}^{n}$ | $O_{h}$ CFSE low spin | $C_{4 v}$ CFSE <br> low spin <br> C.N. $=5$ | CFAE low spin C.N. $=5$ | $O_{h}$ CFSE low spin | $D_{5 h}$ CFSE <br> low spin <br> C.N. $=7$ | CFAE low spin C.N. $=7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{d}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{d}^{1}$ | 4 | 4.57 | 0.57 | 4 | 5.28 | 1.28 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ | 8 | 9.14 | 1.14 | 8 | 10.56 | 2.56 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ | 12 | 10.00 | -2.00 | 12 | 7.74 | -4.26 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ | 16 | 14.57 | -1.43 | 16 | 13.02 | -2.98 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ | 20 | 19.14 | -0.86 | 20 | 18.3 | -1.70 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ | 24 | 20 | -4.00 | 24 | 15.48 | -8.52 |
| $d^{7}$ | 18 | 19.14 | 1.14 | 18 | 12.66 | -5.34 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ | 12 | 10.00 | -2.00 | 12 | 9.84 | -2.16 |
| $d^{9}$ | 6 | 9.14 | 3.14 | 6 | 4.92 | -1.08 |
| $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

square pyramid and pentagonal bipyramidal geometries in low spin complexes, dissociation is favored for $d^{3}-d^{9}$ electron configurations, but not for $d^{1}$ and $d^{2}$. Thus, a dissociative mechanism is preferred more often than an associative mechanism. However, octahedral complexes, which have the inert electron configurations $\left[d^{3}, d^{6}\right.$ (low spin), $\left.d^{8}\right]$, have negative CFAE values so ligand substitution reactions in octahedral geometry are considered "inert" to both types of mechanisms.

Figure 9.3 shows plots of the water exchange rate constant, CFAE, and MOSE versus high spin d electron configuration for the $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ free ions. Positive values for CFAE and MOSE indicate a stable energy configuration. The water exchange rate shows a significant decrease for the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ and the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ electron configurations. For these electron configurations, these decreases correspond to the increase in MOSE (CFSE) and the decrease in CFAE when octahedral metal complexes undergo dissociation to five-coordinate square pyramid geometry. These data indicate that a dissociative mechanism is viable for the water exchange reaction of many metal ions.

### 9.2.5 Stoichiometric Mechanisms

Langford and Gray [11] described three classes for stoichiometric mechanisms: dissociative (D), associative (A) and interchange (I), which can be either $I_{\mathrm{d}}$ (for dissociative activation) or $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ (for associative activation). Representative changes in potential energy versus reaction coordinate profiles are shown in Figure 9.4. In determining stoichiometric mechanisms, it is necessary to determine the sequence of elementary steps leading from reactants to products. Mathematically, the observed rate expressions in Equations 9.15 and 9.18 are related to the elementary steps so that $k_{\text {obs }}$ is found to be a function of other kinetic and/or thermodynamic constants related to these elementary steps as well as the concentrations of $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{X}$, or Y . In each of these three cases, the rate law is written as a function of the rate limiting or determining step. Because the lifetime of the intermediate is considered negligible, its concentration is assumed to be zero; thus, the steady state approximation can be used to solve for the rate law using the mathematical expressions for the elementary steps. Figure 9.4 shows representative reaction coordinate diagrams for these three main classes.


Figure 9.3 Figure (a) shows a variation of the water exchange rate constant with d electron configuration. Figure (b) shows the variation of MOSE and CFAE with high spin electron configuration. The MOSE data are plotted with a slight slope (see discussion of Figure 8.35)


Figure 9.4 Generalized plots of the potential energy versus reaction coordinate for the three stoichiometric mechanisms of octahedral complexes. The dashed lines for interchange indicate the formation of an ion pair $\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right][\mathrm{Y}]$ that interchanges to $\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}\right][\mathrm{X}]$ prior to forming products

### 9.2.5.1 Dissociative Mechanism ( $\boldsymbol{S}_{N} \mathbf{1}$ Limiting)

A dissociative mechanism must follow dissociative activation and energetics. The mathematical treatment for the sequence of elementary steps leading from reactants to products for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ dissociative reactions starts with the equilibrium and rate-limiting step that involves breaking the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{L}$ bond to form the intermediate, $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{M}$, as in Equation 9.23. This represents the dissociation of the reactant. The intermediate also has a second coordination sphere or an outer sphere of loosely held water (solvent) molecules, entering group (Y), and other spectator ions around it.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MXX} \underset{k_{-1}}{\stackrel{k_{1}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{X} \tag{9.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{-1}}
$$

The entering group, Y , then bonds with the five-coordinate intermediate, $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{M}$, to form the product $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}$ (Equation 9.24).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Y} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY} \tag{9.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate law for the formation of $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}$ (from Equation 9.24) is Equation 9.25.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}\right]=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{M}\right][\mathrm{Y}] \tag{9.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the steady-state approximation to these equations for the intermediate $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{M}$ gives Equation 9.26, which indicates that there is only one formation term for $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{M}$ with the two loss terms.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{M}\right]=0=k_{1}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]-k_{-1}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{M}\right][\mathrm{X}]-k_{2}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{M}\right][\mathrm{Y}] \tag{9.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging Equation 9.26 to solve for $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{M}$ gives Equation 9.27.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{M}\right]=\frac{k_{1}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]}{k_{-1}[\mathrm{X}]+k_{2}[\mathrm{Y}]} \tag{9.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Equation 9.27 into Equation 9.25 gives the complete rate law (Equation 9.28) for the formation of the product $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}$, which indicates second-order kinetics under certain conditions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}\right]=\frac{k_{1} k_{2}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right][\mathrm{Y}]}{k_{-1}[\mathrm{X}]+k_{2}[\mathrm{Y}]}=k_{\mathrm{obs}}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right] \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the rate is a function of $k_{\text {obs }}$ and $\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]$, which is measurable with time. The intermediate, $\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{M}\right]$, needs to have a long lifetime for detection and "proof" of the dissociative mechanism ( $\mathbf{D}$ or $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{1}$ limiting). The full form of $k_{\text {obs }}$ is Equation 9.29.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{obs}}=\frac{k_{1} k_{2}[\mathrm{Y}]}{k_{-1}[\mathrm{X}]+k_{2}[\mathrm{Y}]} \tag{9.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k_{2}[\mathrm{Y}]$ is very large (or $k_{-1}[\mathrm{X}]$ is very small, the intermediate does not react readily with X to reform the reactant), then Equation 9.29 reduces to $k_{\text {obs }}=k_{1}$, and the rate depends on [ $\left.\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]$ and $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ bond breaking or dissociation.

### 9.2.5.2 Interchange Mechanism $\left(I_{a}, I_{d}\right)$

The interchange mechanism is an example of a concerted process, and it is not possible to trap the intermediate. In an $I_{\mathrm{d}}$ or dissociative interchange process, any bonding of the metal to the entering and leaving groups is weak so it resembles a dissociation process. In an $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ or associative interchange process, bonding of the metal to the entering and leaving groups is more substantial so it resembles an association process. The mathematical treatment for the sequence of elementary steps for an interchange reaction is also known as the Eigen-Wilkins mechanism [16]. In this mechanism the two reactants form an ion pair, [ $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{Y}$ ], which is an outer sphere (OS) complex and can be represented by an equilibrium constant (Equation $9.30 ; K$ or $K_{\text {OS }}$ which is sometimes referred to as a pre-equilibrium or pre-association constant). In the ion pair, the leaving group X is still bound to M , but the entering group Y is not.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}+\mathrm{Y} \rightleftarrows\left(\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{Y}\right) \text { and } K \text { or } K_{\mathrm{OS}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{Y}\right]}{[\mathrm{Y}]\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]} \tag{9.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate determining step is the interchange between X in the inner coordination sphere of M and Y in the second or outer coordination sphere of M so that Y becomes bound to the inner coordination sphere of M (Equation 9.31), which has a rate constant, $k$, and a rate law as in Equation 9.32.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{Y}\right) \xrightarrow{k}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}, \mathrm{X}\right) \text { rate limiting step }  \tag{9.31}\\
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}\right]=k\left[\left(\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{Y}\right)\right] \tag{9.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the expression for $K_{\mathrm{OS}}$ and Equation 9.32 gives a rate law, Equation 9.33, for the interchange ligand substitution reaction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}\right]=k\left[\left(\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{Y}\right)\right]=k K_{\mathrm{OS}}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right][\mathrm{Y}] \tag{9.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once the exchange is complete, the product, $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}$, is formed and X can go into the bulk solution (Equation 9.34). The activated complex in this process has a very small potential energy well so a metastable intermediate cannot be detected as shown in Figure 9.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}, \mathrm{X}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { fast }} \mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}+\mathrm{X} \tag{9.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial concentration of the reacting complex, $\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]_{0}$, is the sum of the remaining $\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]$ and the ion pair, $\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{Y}\right]$, as in Equation 9.35 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]_{0}=\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]+\left[\left(\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{Y}\right)\right] \tag{9.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the equilibrium expression for the ion pair (Equation 9.30), Equation 9.35 becomes Equation 9.36 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]_{0}=\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]+K_{\mathrm{OS}}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right][\mathrm{Y}] \tag{9.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging this expression to solve for $\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]$ gives Equation 9.37.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]=\frac{\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]_{0}}{1+K_{\mathrm{OS}}[\mathrm{Y}]} \tag{9.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting [ $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}$ ] from Equation 9.37 into Equation 9.33 gives the full rate law (Equation 9.38) for the interchange ligand substitution reaction, which again shows second order kinetics.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}\right]=k\left[\left(\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}, \mathrm{Y}\right)\right]=k K_{\mathrm{OS}}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right][\mathrm{Y}]=\frac{k K_{\mathrm{OS}}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right]_{0}[\mathrm{Y}]}{1+K_{\mathrm{OS}}[\mathrm{Y}]} \tag{9.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the rate of formation of the product is a function of the initial concentration of [ $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}$ ]. There are two limiting cases for the interchange reaction. First, if $K_{\mathrm{OS}}[\mathrm{Y}] \gg 1$, then $k_{\mathrm{obs}}=k$ where $k$ is the rate-limiting step for the interchange of X and Y (Equation 9.31); the mechanism is considered $\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{d}}$ or $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{1}$. Second, if $K_{\mathrm{OS}}[\mathrm{Y}] \ll$ 1 , then $k_{\text {obs }}=k K_{\text {OS }}$ (see Equation 9.33), and the mechanism is considered $\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{a}}$ or $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathbf{2}$. If the equilibrium constant cannot be determined experimentally, it can be calculated using the following equilibrium expression known as the Fuoss-Eigen equation 9.39.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{OS}}=\frac{4}{3} \pi a^{3} N_{\mathrm{A}}\left(e^{-\frac{V}{k T}}\right) \tag{9.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the distance of closest approach for the ion pair; $N_{\mathrm{A}}$ is the Avogadro constant; $V$ is the coulombic potential energy (Equation 9.40) where $z$ is the charge on the entering and leaving groups, $e$ is the electrostatic charge, and $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric constant of the solvent ( 78 for water).

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{z_{\mathrm{X}} z_{\mathrm{Y}} e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon a} \tag{9.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one of the reactants is neutral or uncharged as in the case of ammonia and the amines, $V=0$ and the exponential term for $K_{\mathrm{OS}}$ vanishes.

### 9.2.5.3 Associative Mechanism ( $\boldsymbol{S}_{N} 2$ Limiting)

An associative mechanism must follow associative activation and energetics. The mathematical treatment for the sequence of elementary steps leading from reactants to products for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ associative reactions starts with the equation for the formation of the seven coordinate intermediate, $\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{XY}$, which leads to the equilibrium expression in Equation 9.41.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y} \underset{k_{-1}}{\stackrel{k_{1}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{XY} \tag{9.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 9.42 leading to the formation of products from the seven-coordinate intermediate, $\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{XY}$, is considered irreversible and leads to the rate expression in Equation 9.43.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{XY} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{X} \text { rate limiting step }  \tag{9.42}\\
& \frac{d\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}\right]}{d t}=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{XY}\right] \tag{9.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the steady-state approximation to the formation of the seven-coordinate intermediate gives the rate expression 9.44.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { rate }=\frac{d\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MXY}\right]}{d t}=0=-k_{2}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MXY}\right]+k_{1}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right][\mathrm{Y}]-k_{-1}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MXY}\right] \tag{9.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for $\left[\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{XY}\right]$ gives Equation 9.45.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MXY}\right]=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}+k_{-1}}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right][\mathrm{Y}] \tag{9.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate of formation of the product, $\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{Y}$, can be written from combining Equations 9.43 and 9.45 by substituting for [ $\left.\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{MXY}\right]$ into Equation 9.43. The result is the complete associative rate expression in Equation 9.46.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MY}\right]}{d t}=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{XY}\right]=\frac{k_{2} k_{1}}{k_{2}+k_{-1}}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{MX}\right][\mathrm{Y}]=k_{\mathrm{obs}}\left[\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{X}\right][\mathrm{Y}] \tag{9.46}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 9.5 Schematic reaction coordinate diagrams for (a) diffusion and (b) activation controlled limits for associative reactions $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2\right)$. The diagrams are also useful for indicating $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ reactions when the three kinetic constants can be evaluated (see also Equation 9.62 and Table 9.11). (c) Schematic shows a stable energy well for an intermediate

The associative mechanism has two limiting cases (Figure 9.5a and b) for the determination of $k_{\text {obs }}$, which are calculated from the kinetic constants in Equation 9.46. The diffusion-controlled limit ( $k_{2} \gg k_{-1}$ ) indicates that the formation of the intermediate $\mathrm{ML}_{5} \mathrm{XY}$ (and the products) is more favored than its breakup to reform the reactants. Thus, $k_{-1}$ vanishes in the denominator and $k_{\text {obs }}$ depends only on the rate of bond formation leading to the seven-coordinate intermediate (Equation 9.47).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{2} k_{1}}{k_{2}}=k_{\mathrm{obs}}=k_{1} \tag{9.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The activation-controlled reaction $\left(k_{2} \ll k_{-1}\right)$ leads to a linear free energy relationship relating $k_{\text {obs }}$ to the equilibrium formation of the seven-coordinate intermediate (Equation 9.48). Here, bond rupture of the intermediate is the rate-determining step.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{2} k_{1}}{k_{-1}}=k_{\mathrm{obs}}=k_{2} K \tag{9.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

If a stable intermediate can be formed and detected, the energy of the intermediate will be between the energies of the reactants and the products as in Figure 9.5 c . In this case, $k_{2}$ and $k_{-1}$ are slow and the intermediate has significant activation energies to overcome and form products or reform reactants.

### 9.2.6 Tests for Reaction Mechanisms

To determine the possible intimate reaction mechanism (dissociative or associative), it is useful to compare the rates of reactions for a series of complexes of the same metal cation where (1) the entering group is the same but the leaving group varies and (2) the entering group varies and the leaving group is the same. The limiting rate constant is calculated from the stoichiometric mechanisms above. Our understanding of mechanisms for reactions with water as solvent comes mainly from the published data on $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{IIII}), \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$, and $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes in the period 1950-1970 because their substitution reactions are sufficiently slow or "inert" to follow reaction progress.

### 9.3 Substitution in Octahedral Complexes

### 9.3.1 Examples of Dissociative Activated Mechanisms

### 9.3.1.1 Aquation or Acid Hydrolysis Reaction (Leaving Group Varies)

The kinetics of the aquation reaction 9.49 for $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}$ complexes shows that the rate constant varies five orders of magnitude as the bound ligand, X , varies (Table 9.3). This large change in $k$ indicates that the leaving group bond strength $(\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{X})$ is significant so the reaction undergoes dissociative or $d$ activation. Using Equation 9.21, the $\log k$ versus $-\log K$ of formation $\left(K_{\text {diss }}\right)$ of the $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}$ leads to a linear free energy relationship with a value of $n=1.00 \pm 0.03$ indicating $d$ activation $[11]$ for $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}\right]^{2+}$ complexes with a charge of -1 for X .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{X} \tag{9.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.3.1.2 Anation Reaction (Entering Group Varies)

The anation reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{3+}$ with different entering groups, Y, (Equation 9.50) shows that the rate constant varies less than one order of magnitude as the entering ligand, Y, varies (Table 9.4). Here the small change in $k$ indicates that the entering group is not significant so undergoes dissociative activation. Although the Co-Y bond strength varies, it has no influence on the rate. The key to substitution here is that

Table 9.3 Rate constants ( $s^{-1}$ ) for aquation of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}\right]^{n+}$ complexes at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Complex | $k$ | $K_{\text {eq }}\left(M^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]^{2+}$ | $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.077 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{l})\right]^{2+}$ | $8.3 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.21 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{3+}$ | $5.8 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.018 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{Cl})\right]^{2+}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.25 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{Br})\right]^{2+}$ | $6.3 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.37 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)\right]^{2+}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-7}$ | 7.4 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$ | $8.9 \times 10^{-7}$ | 12.4 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{~F})\right]^{2+}$ | $8.6 \times 10^{-8}$ | 25 |
| $\left.\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)\right]^{2+}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-9}$ |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{NCS}^{2+}\right]^{2+}\right.$ | $3.7 \times 10^{-10}$ | 470 |

Source: Data from [14, 17].
Table 9.4 Rate constants (note units) at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for anation of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{3+}$ with different Y ligands from [14, 18, 19]

| Y | $k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $100 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.6 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $100 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $24 \times 10^{-6}$ | $15 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $21 \times 10^{-6}$ | $0.1 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| NCS | $16 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$ |

there be a significant excess of Y. Because water is the solvent and is in great excess, the rate constant for other entering ligands cannot exceed the rate of water exchange when $d$ activation occurs.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{3+}+\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{Y})\right]+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{9.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.3.1.3 Change in Oxidation State of the Complex (Associative vs Dissociative Activation)

The anation reactions of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ appear to proceed by an interchange mechanism because both fiveand seven-coordinate intermediates are not favored due to low CFAE (Tables 9.2A and 9.2B). In the anation reaction for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ and its first hydrolysis $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{2+}$ complex the charge of the complex changes from +3 to +2 . Comparing the rate constants for these reactants with the same entering ligand, Y , (Table 9.5) shows that there is significant increase in the rate constant for the +2 complex over the +3 complex. A higher positive charge on the complex indicates associative activation as the $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds are stronger and more difficult to break. In contrast, the +2 complexes appear to undergo dissociative activation as the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ ion can help stabilize the intermediate by donating electron density to the metal via $\pi$ donor bonding (see Figure 8.31 and see Cis in Figure 9.6).

### 9.3.2 Associative Activated Mechanisms

### 9.3.2.1 Change in the Metal Atom of the Complex

The anation reactions of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]^{3+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ir}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]^{3+}$ show a decrease going down the periodic table (Table 9.6), which correlates with an increase in the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ bond energy. Also, the rate constant for $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$as well as other entering ligands, which are lower in concentration than water, exceeds the rate of water exchange, indicating that $a$ activation occurs.

### 9.3.2.2 Aquation or Acid Hydrolysis Reaction

Swaddle [13] used Equation 9.21 and data in Table 9.7 for a series of $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}\right]^{2+}$ complexes to show that a plot of the rate constants, $k$, for the aquation reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}\right]^{2+}$ (reverse of Equation 9.51)

Table 9.5 Limiting rate constants $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ for anation of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{2+}$ with different Y ligands from [20]

| Y (entering ligand) | $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{2+}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.14 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | 4.8 | $5.5 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | 1.58 | $2.8 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{NCS}^{-}$ | 90 | $5.0 \times 10^{3}$ |

Table 9.6 Limiting rate constants ( $s^{-1}$ ) for anation of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} I^{3+}\right.$ at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{I}^{3+}\right.$ at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with different $Y$ ligands

| $\mathrm{Y}^{x-}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]^{3+}[21]$ | $\left[\operatorname{lr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]^{3+}[22]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.2 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ |

versus the $-\log K$ of the forward reaction ( $K_{\text {diss }}$ ) for Equation 9.49 gave a value of $n=0.56$, indicating an associative activation. For the hydrolyzed $\left[\mathrm{CrOH}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{2+}$ complex, the rate constants are much faster for the anation reaction (Equation 9.52) suggesting a dissociative mechanism with $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$stabilizing a five-coordinate intermediate via $\pi$ donor bonding as for the Fe(III) species in Table 9.5 (see Figure 9.6).

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}+\mathrm{X} \leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}  \tag{9.51}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{CrOH}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{Y}^{n-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{CrOH}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Y}\right]^{-n+1}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \tag{9.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 9.7 Second order limiting rate constant data for the anation of $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$

| $Y^{n-}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ |  | $\underline{\left[\mathrm{CrOH}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $k_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $K_{\text {eq }}\left(M^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| NCS ${ }^{-}$ | $170 \times 10^{-8}$ | 136 | $9.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $73 \times 10^{-8}$ | $9.7 \times 10^{-8}$ | $15 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $2.9 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-1}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | $0.9 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | $0.08 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ | $0.28 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| F- | $2000 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.14 \times 10^{4}$ |  |
| SCN ${ }^{-}$ | $0.4 \times 10^{-8}$ | $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ |  |

Source: Data from [13, 16, 23].
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Figure 9.6 (Cis) Stabilization of a square pyramid intermediate by donation of $\pi$ electrons from $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ (p) orbital of the metal ion. (Trans) Rotation of three atoms to form a trigonal bipyramid intermediate in order to donate $\pi$ electrons from $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(p)$ orbital of the metal ion. The $x z$ plane is the plane of the paper

### 9.4 Intimate Mechanisms Affected by Steric Factors (Dissociative Preference)

Substitution of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups for H atoms on carbon atoms in (bis)ethylenediamine complexes of Co (III) produces larger rate constants (Table 9.8) for the aquation of $\mathrm{Co}($ III) complexes (Equation 9.53). Increased steric factors (as well as the electron donation capacity of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups) indicate dissociative activation as the entering ligand is hindered from attacking and binding $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { trans }-\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \text { trans }-\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{2+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \tag{9.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar kinetic effects are exhibited for the trans complexes of the quadridentate macrocyclic ligand, cyclam (Equation 9.54), and its hexamethylated derivative, tet-b in Equation 9.55 [25], which reacts over 10 times faster than the cyclam complex.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Co}(\text { Cyclam }) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}(\text { cyclam }) \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{2+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \quad k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)=1.1 \times 10^{-6}  \tag{9.54}\\
& \text { Co(tet-b) } \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}(\text { tet-b }) \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{2+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \quad k\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)=9.3 \times 10^{-4} \tag{9.55}
\end{align*}
$$

### 9.4.1 Intimate Mechanisms Affected by Ligands in Cis versus Trans Positions (Dissociative Preference)

For the aquation reactions of cis and trans (bis)ethylenediamine complexes of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ with two different monodentate ligands $\left(\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \mathrm{LX}\right.$, Equations 9.56 and 9.57), Table 9.9 shows that the cis complexes have higher rate constants than trans complexes for $\pi$-donor ligands $\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right)$and $\sigma$-donor ligands $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$. However, $\pi$-acceptor ligands $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right)$have higher rate constants for trans versus cis complexes.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { cis- } \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{Cl}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \text { cis- } \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~L})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{2+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}  \tag{9.56}\\
& \text {trans } \left.-\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{Cl}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \text { trans-Co(en }\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~L})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{2+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \tag{9.57}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, the rate constants follow the order $k_{\pi \text { donor }}>k_{\pi \text { acceptor }}>k_{\sigma \text { donor }}$ whether the complex has cis or trans isomerism. All cis complexes react and form products that remain cis whereas the trans $\pi$ donor complexes form complexes that undergo rearrangement to form cis complexes [14]. These results indicate that $\pi$ donor ligands can stabilize a five-coordinate square pyramid intermediate as shown in Figure 9.6 and enhance M-L bond breaking, indicating that dissociative activation occurs for cis complexes. Figure 9.6 also demonstrates that the trans complex would have to undergo an intramolecular rotation of the O atom in $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$along with the two N atoms in one ethylenediamine molecule (movement around a trigonal face similar to the Bailar twist, Section 8.4.6) to get to a trigonal bipyramid intermediate. This increased motion would slow down the reaction and lead to isomeric rearrangement (see Figure 9.7).

Table 9.8 Rate constants ( $s^{-1}$ ) for aquation of Co (III) complexes with substituted ethylenediamine ligands from [24]

| Ethylenediamine derivative (N-N) | $k\left(s^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | Instantaneous |

Table 9.9 Acid hydrolysis of Co(III) complexes [14]

| Complex | $k\left(s^{-1}\right)$ | Ligand bonding |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| trans-Co(en) $)_{2}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{Cl}^{+}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | $\pi$ donor |
| cis-Co(en) $(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{Cl}^{+}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $\pi$ donor |
| trans-Co(en) $)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}^{+}$ | $9.8 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\pi$ acceptor |
| cis-Co(en) $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}^{+}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\pi$ acceptor |
| trans-Co(en) $)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}^{+}$ | $3.4 \times 10^{-7}$ | $\sigma$ donor |
| cis-Co(en) $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}^{+}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ | $\sigma$ donor |



Figure 9.7 Square pyramid rearranges to trigonal bipyramid $A$ or $B$ by in plane bend of positions 1, 2 or 3, 4 . For structure $A$ converting to $B$, each of the equatorial positions 3 and 4 move $30^{\circ}$ away from each other in the xy plane as axial positions A1 and A2 move $30^{\circ}$ toward each other in the xz plane. The three black spheres for structure B indicate three possible positions for attack by the entering ligand

### 9.4.2 Base Hydrolysis

The overall reaction for replacement of a ligand by hydroxide ion is known as base hydrolysis (Equation 9.58), and the rate equation is second order in reactant and hydroxide ion (Equation 9.59).

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]^{+}+\mathrm{X}^{-}}  \tag{9.58}\\
& \text {rate }=k\left\{\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}\right]^{2+}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right\} \tag{9.59}
\end{align*}
$$

However, the same rate equation is valid in basic solution when a ligand Y (rather than $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$) replaces $\mathrm{X}^{-}$ as in Equation 9.60 for metal complexes, which have the monodentate ligands $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{RNH}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which can be deprotonated. These data indicate that a unique mechanism operates.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{X}\right]^{2}+\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Y}\right]^{+}+\mathrm{X}^{-} \tag{9.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mechanism that was proposed by Garrick in 1937 [14] has three steps (Equation 9.61a-c). The first step is the deprotonation of one $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to form $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$, which is a $\pi$ donor ligand, to form a conjugate base of the starting material. The second slow and rate-determining step is the dissociation of the leaving group from the metal center to form a five-coordinate intermediate, which is stabilized by the $\pi$ donor ligand. Finally, $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$
binds with the metal center, and the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$group accepts a $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from water, regenerating the base.

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \text { (fast, equilibrium, } \mathrm{H}^{+} \text {removal) }}  \tag{9.61a}\\
& \left.\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \quad \text { (slow, dissociation of } \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right)  \tag{9.61b}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \text { (fast) }} \tag{9.61c}
\end{align*}
$$

When the entering group is another ligand $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Y}$ replaces $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$in Equation 9.61c; the first two steps are identical as the rate law is the same. This mechanism is termed $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N} 1} \mathrm{CB}$ (substitution, nucleophilic, unimolecular, conjugate base). For the $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{3-}$ complex where $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$replaces all $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ligands, the reaction does not occur as the rate is $>10^{6}$ slower.

For metals in the first transition series, base hydrolysis reactions are about $10^{6}$ times faster than acid hydrolysis or aquation reactions (Table 9.10; compare with data in Tables 9.3 and 9.4). The rate constants are slower when the leaving group X is more basic ( $\mathrm{F}^{-}>\mathrm{Cl}^{-}>\mathrm{Br}^{-}>\mathrm{I}^{-}$) and the reactions show a large positive entropy of activation consistent with a dissociative mechanism. The $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}$ complex reacts very slowly as the electron-donating capability of the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$group to Co is offset by the electron-accepting capability of the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$group; thus, the leaving group is less labile to dissociation. The cis and trans isomers of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}$ complexes frequently have similar reaction rates (Table 9.10), indicating similar characteristics that often undergo stereochemical change. All these factors combined indicate the formation of a five-coordinate trigonal bipyramid intermediate for Equation 9.61b.

The top structure in Figure 9.7 shows formation of a square pyramid intermediate on losing a ligand trans to position 5. Rapid attack of ligand Y leads to retention of geometry. However, the square pyramid can rearrange to one of the trigonal bipyramid structures A or B if it has a significant lifetime, and then attack of the nucleophile Y (Equation 9.61c) can occur at any of the three trigonal planar sites (black spheres, B) as the $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{L}$ bond angles are $120^{\circ}$ allowing Y to approach the metal with less steric constraints. This would result in a trans complex rearranging statistically to a product with a $2: 1$ ratio of cis to trans provided there are no electronic or steric factors in play that could stabilize one geometry over the other. The trigonal bipyramid A can also interconvert through a square pyramid to form trigonal bipyramid B, which is known as Berry pseudorotation. Here, one equatorial position remains constant as the other two equatorial positions exchange with the two axial positions via vibrational motions.

Table 9.10 The rate constants $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ and entropy of activation $\left(\mathrm{Jmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$ for base hydrolysis of Co(III) complexes

| Complex | $k_{\text {OH }}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\Delta S^{\ddagger}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Complex | ${ }^{\text {OH }}$ ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]^{2+}$ | 23 | +42 |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Br}\right]^{2+}$ | 7.5 | +40 | cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}$ | 0.03 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}$ | 0.85 | +36 | trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}$ | 0.08 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{~F}\right]^{2+}$ | 0.012 | +20 | cis-[Co(en) $2_{2} \mathrm{OHCl}^{2+}$ | 0.37 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right)\right]^{2+}$ | 0.0007 |  | trans-[Co(en) $\left.2^{(O H C l}\right]^{2+}$ | 0.017 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]^{2+}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-6}$ | +30 |  |  |
| cis- $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ | 1,000 |  | cis- $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ | 15.1 |
| trans- $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ | 3,000 |  | trans-[Co(en) $\left.2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ | 85 |
| trans-[Co(en) $2_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ | 64 |  | cis $-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \mathrm{BrCl}\right]^{2+}$ | 23 |
| trans-[Co(en) $\left.\mathrm{Se}^{2} \mathrm{Sr}_{2}\right]^{2+}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12,000 |  | trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \mathrm{BrCl}\right]^{2+}$ | 110 |
| trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{cyclam}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ | 67,000 | +49 |  |  |

[^9]
### 9.5 Intimate Versus Stoichiometric Mechanisms

The discussion above tried to distinguish dissociative from associative mechanisms. However, purely associative mechanisms are not known as no seven-coordinate intermediate has been detected. The interchange reaction (Equation 9.38) provides tests to discriminate dissociative from associative activation. The anation reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ with various entering ligands (Equation 9.62; Table 9.11) has been studied by Wilkins [27]. The $k_{\text {obs }}$ data show that there is a three order of magnitude difference on changing the entering group. However, when calculating $K_{\mathrm{OS}}$ from Equation 9.39, the ratio of $k_{\mathrm{obs}} / K_{\mathrm{OS}}$, which is $k$ for the interchange of the entering group with water as the leaving group, is nearly constant. Thus, $k_{\text {obs }}$ differences stem from $K_{\mathrm{OS}}$, indicating that this is an $I_{\mathrm{d}}$ mechanism.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{Y}^{n-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Y}\right]^{-n+2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{9.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

For water exchange reactions of the free $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{n+}$ ions, the mechanism starts as A or $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$. As the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals are filled on going across the periodic table, $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ becomes less effective. For the first hydrolysis products $\left[\mathrm{MOH}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{n-1}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}$can stabilize a five-coordinate intermediate via $\pi$ donor bonding (Figure 9.6) so $I_{\mathrm{d}}$ is important. This is observed in Table 9.1 for the rate constants of water exchange.

Measurement of $k_{1}, k_{-1}$, and $k_{2}$ from Equations 9.23 and 9.24 versus Equation 9.46 permit an assessment of dissociative versus associative activation as shown for the anation reaction shown in Equation 9.63. Both reactants are anions so formation of an outer sphere complex should be slow. Ratios of the product formation rate constant, $k_{2}$, to the rate constant for reformation of the reactant, $k_{-1}$, should be $<1$ for an associative mechanism and $>1$ for a dissociative mechanism. Table 9.12 shows that for the $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2-}$ reaction that the ratios are $<1$, and the reaction coordinate can be represented by the activation-controlled diagram in Figure 9.5b.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2-}+\mathrm{Y}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{RhCl}_{5} \mathrm{Y}\right]^{3-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{9.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 9.11 Second order limiting rate constant data showing the Eigen-Wilkins mechanism

| $\mathrm{Y}^{n-}$ | $k_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {os }}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ | $k=k_{\text {obs }} / K_{\text {os }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}$ | $1 \times 10^{5}$ | 3 | $3 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ | $6 \times 10^{3}$ | 1 | $6 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{~F}^{-}$ | $8 \times 10^{3}$ | 1 | $8 \times 10^{3}$ |
| HF | $3 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.15 | $2 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  | $3 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | $5 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.15 | $3 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{+}$ | $4 \times 10^{2}$ | 0.02 | $2 \times 10^{4}$ |

Table 9.12 Ratios of $\mathrm{k}_{2} / \mathrm{k}_{-1}$ for the reaction in Equation 9.63 ( $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) from [28]

| $\mathrm{Y}^{-}$ | $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}^{-}$ | $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | 0.021 | $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ | 0.079 |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | 0.016 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | 0.106 |
| $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | 0.018 | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | 0.143 |

### 9.6 Substitution in Square Planar Complexes (Associative Activation Predominates)

Square planar geometry is important for metal ions with the $\mathrm{d}^{8}\left[\mathrm{Pt}^{2+}, \mathrm{Pd}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ir}^{+}, \mathrm{Rh}^{+}, \mathrm{Co}^{+}\right]$electron configuration, and their complexes are classified "inert" to substitution even though two axial positions are available for attack to form a six-coordinate complex. Group theory and the angular overlap method (Table 8.13) demonstrate that the bonding orbitals are a mix of the $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}_{x}, \mathrm{p}_{y}$, and $\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbitals with the ligand orbitals. These approaches also indicate that the $\mathrm{p}_{z}, \mathrm{~d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}, \mathrm{~d}_{x y}$ orbitals are not stabilized or destabilized; however, the $\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbital and $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital have overlap energy contributions (de)stabilized by 0.75 and 0.25 , respectively. Figure 9.8 displays the molecular orbital energy level diagram for $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ in square planar geometry $\left(D_{4 h}\right)$ for the sigma bond only case. The HOMO orbital is the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital and the LUMO is the $\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbital, although the 4 s and $4 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals are also empty and have electron-accepting capability. In the case of significant mixing of the 4 s and $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbitals (both with $a_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ symmetry), the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital is lowered in energy and can be more stable than the $\mathrm{d}_{x y}$ orbital.
$\mathrm{Pt}^{2+}$ reactions have been studied extensively and Equation 9.64 describes the general substitution reaction. The trans effect is an important feature in substitution of these complexes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{X}^{-} \tag{9.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, ligands that are trans to a leaving group in square planar complexes have a stronger trans ligand-metal bond so influence the rate of substitution by enhancing bond breaking of the spectator ligand trans to it. Figure 9.9 shows the stereospecific formation of cis- and trans-diamminedichloridoplatinum(II). Addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ forms $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]^{-}$, and on addition of a second $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, the cis compound forms. Addition


Figure 9.8 Relative molecular orbital diagram for Ni(II) complexes with square planar geometry. The $a_{2 u} M O$ $\left(p_{z}\right)$ is nonbonding.



Figure 9.9 The first displacement of a ligand in $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}$ followed by the trans effect of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to give stereospecific displacement on addition of the second ligand
of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}$ forms $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{-}$, and on addition of a second $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, the trans compound forms. In both cases, the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$trans to another $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$or $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ directs substitution of either $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to a trans position, respectively.

The ligands that are the best trans directors $(\mathrm{T})$ are $\pi$ acceptors and $\sigma$ donors that form strong bonds with the metal ion; the decreasing order for trans direction is $\mathrm{CN}^{-} \sim \mathrm{CO} \sim \mathrm{NO} \sim \mathrm{H}^{-} \sim \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-} \sim \mathrm{SC}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2} \sim \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \sim$ $\mathrm{PR}_{3}>\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{-}>\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \sim \mathrm{I}^{-} \sim \mathrm{SCN}^{-}>\mathrm{Br}^{-}>\mathrm{Cl}^{-}>$py $>\mathrm{RNH}_{2} \sim \mathrm{NH}_{3}>\mathrm{OH}^{-}>\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The stereospecific displacement of the leaving ligand $(\mathrm{X})$ indicates that the mechanism involves a potential stabilization of an intermediate as the trans ligand ( T ) influences the weakening of the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ bond.

Square planar complexes can undergo dissociative or associative mechanisms as well as interchange mechanisms, $I_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{d}}$. The rate law for substitution of square planar complexes is typically a combination of first order and second order pathways as shown in Equation 9.65. Running the experiment under pseudo-first order conditions gives a linear increase of $k_{\mathrm{obs}}$ with [Y], and $k_{\mathrm{obs}}$ is related to $k_{\mathrm{S}}$ (first-order pathway) and $k_{\mathrm{Y}}$ (second-order pathway) (note that the subscripts S and Y indicate the reaction order (first and second order, respectively) and not the stoichiometric steps in the reaction, which are numerals) as in Equation 9.66.

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Rate } & =k_{\mathrm{S}}\left[\mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right]+k_{\mathrm{Y}}\left[\mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right][\mathrm{Y}]=\left(k_{\mathrm{S}}+k_{\mathrm{Y}}[\mathrm{Y}]\right)\left[\mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right]  \tag{9.65}\\
k_{\mathrm{obs}} & =k_{\mathrm{S}}+k_{\mathrm{Y}}[\mathrm{Y}] \tag{9.66}
\end{align*}
$$

A linear plot of $k_{\text {obs }}$ versus [Y] gives $k_{\mathrm{S}}$ as the intercept and $k_{\mathrm{Y}}$ as the slope where $k_{\mathrm{S}}$ represents the slow loss of $\mathrm{X}^{-}$and its displacement by the solvent (solvent path) whereas $k_{\mathrm{Y}}$ represents direct nucleophilic displacement of $\mathrm{X}^{-}$by $\mathrm{Y}^{-}$(reagent path). Both paths are bimolecular, and the $\Delta S^{*}$ for these reactions is very negative, indicating associative activation and rate-determining steps. The solvent path has the following mechanistic steps (Equations $9.67 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) where both steps have associative activation through formation of the five-coordinate intermediate $\left[\mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$, which then reacts with $\mathrm{Y}^{-}$to form $\left[\mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{MA}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{+}+\mathrm{X}^{-}  \tag{9.67a}\\
& \mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{+}+\mathrm{Y}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)+\mathrm{X}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{Y}+\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \tag{9.67b}
\end{align*}
$$

The reaction path has the following stoichiometric mechanistic steps (Equation 9.68) indicating associative activation through the five-coordinate intermediate $\left[\mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{XY}\right]^{-}$. Figure 9.5 gives possible reaction coordinate diagrams for these reactions (where $k_{-2}$ is negligible for irreversible reactions).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}^{-} \underset{k_{-1}}{\stackrel{k_{1}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{XY}^{-} \underset{k_{-2}}{\stackrel{k_{2}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{MA}_{3} \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{X}^{-} \tag{9.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.6.1 Effect of Leaving Group

Table 9.13 provides data for the displacement of $\mathrm{X}^{-}$in $\mathrm{Pt}($ dien $) \mathrm{X}^{+}$complexes by pyridine (py) (Equation 9.69) where dien $=$ diethylenetriamine, $\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}$. The rates vary by $10^{6}$, indicating that $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{X}$ bond breaking is involved in the transition state and is comparable to $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Y}$ bond making.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Pt}(\text { dien }) \mathrm{X}^{+}+\mathrm{py} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pt}(\text { dien }) \mathrm{py}^{2+}+\mathrm{X}^{-} \tag{9.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.6.2 Effect of Charge

The ligand exchange reaction of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for the hydrolysis of various aminechlorido $\mathrm{Pt}^{2+}$ complexes, which have different charges, does not show a large difference in rate constant data (Table 9.14) even though the charge of the complex varies from -2 to +1 . These data indicate that both bond making and bond breaking in the transition state are similar and that both the solvent path (Equation 9.67a) and the reagent path (Equation 9.67b) are operative.

### 9.6.3 Nature of the Intermediate - Electronic Factors

The reaction of chloridobis(triethylphosphine)(ligand)Pt(II) complexes with pyridine (Equation 9.70) have been investigated to understand the transition state where T indicates different trans director ligands. As above, the first-order and second-order pathways have the rate laws in Equation 9.71.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { trans }-\left[\mathrm{PtCl}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right]+\mathrm{py} \rightarrow \text { trans- }\left[\mathrm{Ptpy}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right]^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}  \tag{9.70}\\
& \text {1st order rate }=k_{\mathrm{S}}\left[\mathrm{PtCl}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right] ; \quad \text { 2nd order rate }=k_{\mathrm{Y}}\left[\mathrm{PtCl}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~T}\right][\mathrm{py}] \tag{9.71}
\end{align*}
$$

Ligands trans to each other interact with the same metal orbitals for bonding so a strong $\sigma$ donor (or $\pi$ acceptor) trans to a weak $\sigma$ donor will weaken the bond of that weak $\sigma$ donor ligand to the metal; for example, the metal

Table 9.13 Data for reaction Equation 9.69 in water at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from [14]

| Ligand $X$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | Ligand X | $k_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $1900 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $0.83 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $35 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ | $0.30 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | $23 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}-$ | $0.050 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | $10 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ | $0.017 \times 10^{-6}$ |

Table 9.14 Rate of hydrolysis for platinum(II)chloridoamine complexes at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from [14]

| Complex | $k_{\text {obs }}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | Product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-5}$ | $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}-$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $0.62 \times 10^{-5}$ | cis- $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (replace $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$trans to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $5.6 \times 10^{-5}$ | trans $-\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (replace $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$cis to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) |
| cis- $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | cis- $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| trans- $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 2$ | $9.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | trans- $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{+}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-5}$ | $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |

Table 9.15 Rate data in ethanol for complexes in Equation 9.70 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from [14]

| T | $k_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | Ligand bonding |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}^{-}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-2}$ | 4.2 | $\sigma$ donor |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3.8 | $\pi$ acceptor and $\sigma$ donor |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.7 \times 10^{-2}$ | $\sigma$ donor |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}$ | $3.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ | $\sigma$ donor |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\pi$ donor; weaker $\sigma$ donor |



Figure 9.10 Possible trigonal bipyramid intermediate formed for Equation 9.70 with a $\pi$ acceptor orbital on $T$ (e.g., $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Et})_{3}$ ) trans to $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. Note that the solvent path would have a similar intermediate. The xz plane is the plane of the paper
$\mathrm{p}_{z}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbitals are localized and would interact with $\sigma$ donor ligands. Table 9.15 shows that the rates are fastest for the strong $\sigma$ donor and $\pi$ acceptor ligands trans to $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and vary by $10^{4}$.

Triethylphosphine, $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$, is an excellent example of a $\pi$ acceptor as empty d orbitals on phosphorus accept electrons from the Pt d orbitals (e.g., $\mathrm{d}_{x z}$; Figure 9.10) and strengthen the Pt-P bond while weakening the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond. The top pathway in Figure 9.10 shows retention of configuration, which is exclusively found for reactions with square planar geometry. Note that the entering pyridine group and the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$leaving ligand occupy axial positions in the intermediate as the metal s and $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbitals can accept electrons; thus, axial attack by py (the entering Y group) is likely. The bottom pathway leads to the cis isomer, if the intermediate is long lived and can undergo Berry pseudorotation.

### 9.6.4 Nature of the Intermediate - Steric Factors

Convincing evidence, that trigonal bipyramid intermediates and associative activation control occur with square planar $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes, is presented in Table 9.16, which shows that $k_{1}$ decreases when methyl groups are added to the 2 positions of pyridine (the T ligand). The methyl groups on the ligand in either cis or trans positions to Y prevent axial attack of Y at the metal, thus inhibiting the associative mechanism

Table 9.16 Rate data in ethanol for complexes in Equation 9.70 from [11] for py and methyl-substituted pyridine ligands

| Complex | T (cis) $k_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | T (trans) $k_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| py | $8.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.24 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 2-Methylpyridine | $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.70 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2,6-Dimethylpyridine | $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.42 \times 10^{-6}$ |



Figure 9.11 (a) Possible trigonal planar intermediate formed for Equation 9.70 with $T$ (as pyridine and its methylated derivatives) trans to $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, (b) 2-methylpyridine complex, (c) 2,6-dimethylpyridine complex. Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)
and favoring the dissociative mechanism with formation of a trigonal planar intermediate (Figure 9.11a). One $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group (Figure 9.11b) on the T ligand would prevent axial attack at one position whereas two $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups (Figure 9.11c) would prevent axial attack at both axial positions. The reactions are 80,000 times slower for cis complexes on adding methyl groups to pyridine as the entering and leaving groups would be closer to ligand T and cause more steric hindrance for Y attack. The decrease in rate is only 36 for trans complexes as methyl groups are added to pyridine.

### 9.7 Metal Electron Transfer Reactions

Taube [29] defined two mechanisms of redox reactions for metal complexes: inner sphere and outer sphere. An inner sphere mechanism occurs when ligand exchange is faster than electron transfer; thus, ligand transfer between metal centers occurs. The ligand is an ion or molecule with two or more pairs of electrons to donate to both metal centers. In the transition state, the metal centers bind a common ligand as a bridge, which facilitates electron transfer in one direction from one metal d orbital to the other metal's d orbital as ligand exchange between the metals occurs in the opposite direction. Outer sphere reactions occur when electron transfer is faster than ligand exchange so no substitution or ligand exchange occurs. In the transition state, the intact
metal complexes collide and come together without any bridging ligand, and the electron transfers between the two metal centers when their d orbitals overlap in a symmetry allowed combination. Both mechanisms are second-order reactions, first order in oxidant and reductant leading to the rate expression in Equation 9.72.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { rate }=k_{2}[\text { oxidant }][\text { reductant }] \tag{9.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 9.7.1 Outer Sphere Electron Transfer

Marcus [30] derived quantitative expressions to model outer sphere electron processes, and two redox reactions are defined first. The cross reaction (Equation 9.73) occurs when two different metals (1 and 2) undergo electron transfer (rate constant $=k_{12}$ ) and there is a net chemical change $\left(\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}<0\right)$. The self-exchange reaction (Equation 9.74) occurs when the same metal in two different oxidation states undergoes electron transfer (rate constant $=k_{11}$ or $k_{22}$ ) with no net chemical exchange ( $\Delta G_{\text {reaction }}=0 ; *$ indicates a radiolabeled Fe ).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{4+} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+ \\
+\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}  \tag{9.73}\\
\mathrm{Ox}_{1} & +\mathrm{Red}_{2} \quad \rightarrow \mathrm{Ox}_{2} \\
+\operatorname{Red}_{1}
\end{array}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Fe} *\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{*}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+} \tag{9.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

The outer sphere mechanism has five steps. The rate-determining step could be step 2,3 or 4 .

1. Reactants diffuse together to form an outer sphere complex in which the first coordination spheres of both metals remain intact. No sigma bond breaking or making occurs in the reaction (this is very important for nonlabile/inert metal ions).
2. Bond distances around each metal change to become more like the products. There is shortening and elongation of metal-ligand bond distances due to vibrational motion.
3. The solvent shell around the outer sphere complex reorganizes. The metal orbitals can now overlap for eventual electron transfer; their nuclei are considered stationary during any electronic transitions as stated by the Franck-Condon principle.
4. The electron is transferred between the metal centers once a symmetrical transition state is achieved with little difference in the metal-ligand bond lengths due to vibrational motion.
5. After electron transfer occurs, a successor complex is formed that decomposes to the products, which diffuse away in a normally fast step.

The energetics of these features can be explained using potential energy curves. Figure 9.12a is similar to the Morse curve (Figure 5.9) and shows the ground electronic state (in black) with the first two vibrational levels for $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe} *\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$. Each vibrational level has a range for the metal-ligand distance due to thermal motion, indicating that both reactants at some point in time can have the same metal-ligand distance to achieve a symmetrical transition state (the blue curves in Figure 9.12a indicate step 2 above). In this case, $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ must have some bond contraction as $\mathrm{Fe} *\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ must have bond lengthening (arrows in Figure 9.12a).

Figure 9.12 b shows energy wells (black color) for the initial state of both reactants in the outer sphere complex and the final state of the products after electron transfer for a self-exchange reaction. These potential energy curves overlap at the transition state and create intermediate states of higher energy. The dashed lines indicate the overlap without electronic interaction, but the splitting at the intersection of the curves lowers the barrier of electron transfer by $2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{AB}}$, which is a measure of the degree of mixing of the reactant and


Figure 9.12 (a) Simplified potential energy curves with vibrational levels (horizontal lines) versus distance for each metal complex; each vibrational level has a range for the metal-ligand bond distance [black curves for the initial or ground state (i); blue curves for the transition state (t)]. (b) Potential energy curves versus nuclear configuration of all atoms in the system for both reactants (left) and products (right) indicating $\Delta G^{\neq}=0$ for the self-exchange redox reaction; the dashed blue line indicates tunneling and the ho line indicates light-induced electron transfer. (c) Potential energy curves for both reactants (left) and products (right) indicating $\Delta G^{\neq}<0$ for a heteronuclear redox reaction. (d) Potential energy curves for both reactants (left) and products (right) indicating $\Delta G^{\neq}>0$ for a heteronuclear redox reaction
product states. The Gibbs free energy of activation $\left(\Delta G^{\neq}\right)$is the thermal barrier to electron transfer and is the free energy needed to change the atomic coordinates from equilibrium values to the atomic coordinates in the activated complex (or intermediate) and then onto the products (the smooth black curve along the surface
 nearly the same nuclear configuration by thermal motion. The rate of electron transfer is greatest when the nuclear configurations are similar (the initial and final states in the reaction are similar), and $\Delta G^{\neq}$is small.

In addition to thermal activation, there are two other pathways for electron transfer in Figure 9.12b [31]. First, light stimulation can promote an electron to higher electronic energy levels that permit ease of electron transfer down the well to the products. Second, electron tunneling, which allows electron transfer at greater distances beyond which collisions allow, is possible through $t_{2 g}$ orbitals. (Figure 3.7 shows the extent that
hydrogen-like "d" orbitals can extend from the nucleus.) Figure 9.12c and d shows the reaction coordinate for the crossover reaction involving heteronuclear species for favorable and unfavorable reactions.

The Marcus equation states that the electron transfer (ET) rate constant, $k_{\mathrm{ET}}$, is proportional to $\Delta G^{\neq}$ (Equation 9.75), which is related to the Gibbs free energy of the reaction, $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$ (Equation 9.76), which can be determined from the standard potentials of the reactants. The parameter $\kappa_{\mathrm{e}}$ (Equation 9.75) is an electronic probability factor ranging from 0 to 1 ; it is 1 in adiabatic reactions (in non-adiabatic reactions, it is $<1)$ when the system remains on the lower energy surface. The parameter $v_{n}$ is the nuclear collision frequency factor ( $10^{13} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for uncharged ions in solution). $\Delta G^{\neq}$is a measure of the reorganization energy required around the metal ions and is the sum of $w_{11}$ (the non-electrostatic work required to bring the reactants together), $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ES}}^{\neq}$(the electrostatic interaction energy between the two reactants), $\Delta G_{\mathrm{IS}}^{\neq}$(the inner sphere rearrangement energy), and $\Delta G_{\mathrm{OS}}^{\neq}$(the outer sphere solvent reorganization energy). Many reactions are performed at high ionic strength so the $w_{11}$ and $\Delta G_{\mathrm{ES}}^{\neq}$terms are negligible. Thus, the parameter $\lambda\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ is the reorganization energy required around the metal ions and is normally broken down into inner-shell vibration and outer-shell solvent components. The inner-shell component is a function of the change in M-L distance $(\Delta d)$ on going from the ground to the transition state and, for simple solution reactions (e.g., $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ) ranges from 0 to 20 pm .

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{\mathrm{ET}} & =k_{11}=v_{\mathrm{N}} \kappa_{\mathrm{e}} e^{\frac{-\Delta G^{\not}}{R T}}  \tag{9.75}\\
\Delta G^{\neq} & =w_{11}+\Delta G_{\mathrm{ES}}^{\neq}+\Delta G_{\mathrm{IS}}^{\neq}+\Delta G_{\mathrm{OS}}^{\neq}=\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left[1+\frac{\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \tag{9.76}
\end{align*}
$$

For the $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+, 3+}$ self-exchange reaction, $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$ is zero and $\Delta G^{\neq}=1 / 4 \lambda$ (discussed further in Figure 9.16a). When $\lambda$ is small and $\kappa_{\mathrm{e}}$ is one, the reaction is fast. For $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\pi)$ nonbonding orbitals in octahedral geometry, $\lambda$ is small as there would be good overlap in the outer sphere precursor complex and changes in metal-ligand bond distances cost a minimum of energy. However, for $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}(\sigma)$ antibonding orbitals, $\lambda$ is high as these orbitals are directly involved with bonding and antibonding, and changes in metal-ligand bond distances cost more energy. For aqua metal complexes, $\lambda$ is on the order of 1 eV or higher as the metal ions are not far from second coordination or outer sphere water molecules, but $\lambda$ can be as low as 0.25 eV for metal centers that are shielded from water as in proteins and enzymes. When $\pi$ acceptor ligands ( $o$-phen; bipy) are bound to metal ions such as $\mathrm{Fe}, t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\pi)$ electrons are delocalized to the ligand, thus lowering the reorganization energy and increasing $\kappa_{\mathrm{e}}$ when $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ electrons are transferred. The rate constants for several self-exchange reactions are in Table 9.17 and show the effects of electron transfer between $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ orbitals as well as metals bound to $\pi$ acceptor ligands. The reactant and product spin states of each reactant pair show symmetrical electron arrangements except for the cobalt complexes. Clearly, the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ transitions are more favorable as they are not shielded by the ligand. Figure 9.13 demonstrates the symmetry allowed and forbidden overlap of d orbitals in outer sphere electron transfer reactions.

Co reactions are a special case because most $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes are high spin and Co (III) complexes including water are low spin; thus, $\mathrm{Co}^{2+, 3+}$ self-exchange reactions are slow due to electronic factors. The $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+, 3+}$ and $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+, 3+}$ systems show a $10^{9}$ difference in $k_{11}$ indicating significant ligand effects as well. Reaction A in Figure 9.14 shows the unsymmetrical arrangement of the electron configurations for $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ hexaaqua complexes where a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ electron from $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ could not transfer to an empty $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ orbital. Also, in the unsymmetrical reaction A , an $e_{\mathrm{g}} *$ electron transfer would not occur as the reactants would not have a transition state similar to the products. However, the $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ complex can rearrange via thermal motion or light induced activation from low spin ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ to high spin ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ (where the blue

Table 9.17 Self-exchange rate constants ( $M^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) for metals with octahedral coordination at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from [14]

| Redox couple | $k_{11}$ | Electron configuration | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $\leq 2 \times 10^{-5}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{eg}^{*}{ }^{* 1} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{eg}^{*}{ }^{*} 0$ | $\mathrm{eg}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{eg}^{*}{ }^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $<10^{-9}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{5} \mathrm{eg}^{*}{ }^{*} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{eg}^{*}{ }^{*}$ | (Unsymmetrical) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} \mathrm{eg}^{* 2} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{eg}^{*}{ }^{*}$ | (Unsymmetrical) |
| $\mathrm{Co}\left(\right.$ edta) ${ }^{2-}+\mathrm{Co}\left(\right.$ edta) ${ }^{-}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{5} \mathrm{eg}^{* 2} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e^{*}{ }^{*}{ }^{0}$ | (Unsymmetrical) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{phen})_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{o}\right.$-phen) ${ }_{3}{ }^{3+}$ | 1.1 | $t_{2 g}{ }^{5} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e^{\text {e }}{ }^{*}{ }^{0}$ | (Unsymmetrical) |
| $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | 5 | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} \mathrm{eg}^{* 2} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{eg}^{* *}$ | (Unsymmetrical) |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | 0.01 | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{2}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | 4 | $t_{2 g}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2}$ | $t_{2 g} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}$ | 740 | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(o-\text { phen })_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Fe}(\text { o-phen })_{3}{ }^{3+}$ | $>10^{5}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ | $t_{2 g} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | 800 | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bipy}){ }_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{bipy}){ }_{3}{ }^{3+}$ | $5 \times 10^{4}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\mathrm{IrCl}_{6}{ }^{3-}+\mathrm{IrCl}_{6}{ }^{2-}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{3}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{5} / t_{2 g}{ }^{4}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bipy}){ }_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bipy}) 3^{3+}$ | $2 \times 10^{9}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} / t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |



Figure 9.13 (Top) Symmetry allowed and forbidden orbital overlap for outer sphere electron transfer. Blue indicates $+\psi$; white indicates $-\psi$
lines cross in Figure 8.46). Figure 9.14 shows the low to high spin conversion with the blue arrow between reactions $A$ and $B$ as the ligand field strength for $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ is low [32].

However, this is not the case for the other $\operatorname{Co}($ III $)$ complexes in Table 9.17 as the rearrangement from low to high spin for these $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes to form a symmetrical system with the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes requires a reasonable energy or $\Delta G^{\neq}$(toward the right of Figure 8.46). Although reaction B in Figure 9.14 is a $t_{2 g}$


Figure 9.14 Reactions $A, B$, and $C$ show the electron configurations of the reactant and products after electron transfer. Reaction $B$ is a symmetrical reactant state after $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ converts from low to high spin. Reaction C is a symmetrical reactant state after $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ converts from high to low spin. The circled electron is the electron being transferred. In every case, a product complex must undergo spin conversion to a ground state
electron transfer and should be efficient, $k_{\mathrm{ET}}$ is still slower than other $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ electron transfers in Table 9.17 due to the reorganization of cobalt's electronic structure.

The $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+, 3+}$ system cannot undergo the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ spin crossover because $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ has a much higher $\Delta G^{\neq}$than $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}[32,33]$. In this case, $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ would need to undergo a high spin to low spin conversion or crossover to form a symmetrical state (blue arrow between reactions A and C in Figure 9.14c). After electron transfer, the newly formed low spin $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ would crossover back to high spin leading to an unfavorable $\Delta G^{\neq}$for the product state. All these electronic factors can lead to a very small $k_{11}$ for the $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+, 3+}$ system, for which an inner sphere process is not available $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ has only one lone pair of electrons).

### 9.7.2 Cross Reactions

For electron transfer between two different metals, Equation 9.76 depends on $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$. Figure 9.15 shows a plot of the variation in $k_{12}$ and $\Delta G^{\neq}$as the $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$ decreases when one metal ion reacts with several other metal ions with a constant $\lambda$ of $50,000 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. As $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$ decreases (becomes more negative), there is a short linear to slight exponential increase (blue dashed arrow Figure 9.15a) in $k_{12}$ followed by a sharp exponential increase and then a decrease in $k_{12}$. This inverted behavior with $k_{12}$ is important in photosynthesis [30] where there is a transfer of electronic excitation from antenna chlorophylls to a specialized chlorophyll molecule, which


Figure 9.15 (a) $k_{12}$ variation (from Equation 9.75) and (b) $\Delta G^{\neq}$variation (from Equation 9.76) as $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$ increases. The rate is a relative rate as $v_{n}$ and $\kappa_{\mathrm{e}}$ are set to unity


Figure 9.16 (a) Self-exchange process. (b) Activationless process. (c) Inverted behavior
then transfers the electron onward for the eventual reduction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to organic carbon in photosystem I (PSI) center. To prevent the back reaction of the electron, $\Delta G^{\neq}$and $\lambda$ must be small, which occurs when the size of the reactants is large and the protein environment is largely nonpolar.

Figure 9.16 shows plots of nuclear coordinate as the $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$ decreases for three conditions. Figure 9.16a is the self-exchange reaction where $\Delta G^{\neq}=1 / 4 \lambda$ and when the energy state of the products crosses (mixes with) the energy state of the reactants to the right of the parabola representing the reactants; note the $\Delta G^{\neq}>0$. When the energy of the products parabola intersects at the minimum energy of the reactants' parabola (Figure 9.16b), then $\Delta G^{\neq}$is zero and electron transfer occurs readily and is activationless as $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}=-\lambda$. When the energy
of the products parabola intersects to the left of the energy in the reactants' parabola (Figure 9.16c), then $\Delta G^{\neq}>0$ again and inverted behavior is found; at this point, $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}<-\lambda$ or $\left|\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}\right|>\lambda$.
For the limiting case where $\left|\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}\right| \ll \lambda$, expanding the quadratic expression of Equation 9.76 leads to Equation 9.77 as $\left(\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0} / \lambda\right)^{2}$ becomes small. Substitution into Equation 9.75 for $k_{\mathrm{ET}}$ yields Equation 9.78, and the dashed blue arrow in Figure 9.15a indicates the linear to exponential shape.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta G^{\neq} & =\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left[1+\frac{\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}}{\lambda}\right]^{2} \approx \frac{1}{4} \lambda\left[1+\frac{2 \Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}}{\lambda}\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda+2 \Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}\right)  \tag{9.77}\\
k_{\mathrm{ET}} & \approx v_{\mathrm{N}} \kappa_{\mathrm{e}} e^{-\frac{\left(\lambda+2 \Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}\right)}{4 R T}} \tag{9.78}
\end{align*}
$$

Marcus combined Equations 9.75 and 9.76 for two self-exchange reactions and formulated the cross relation Equation 9.79 to calculate $k_{12}$ for the cross reaction (Equation 9.73). $K_{12}$ is the equilibrium constant of the reaction, which can be experimentally determined or calculated from standard redox potentials or free energy data. The parameter $f_{12}$ is normally 1 for simple ions in solution but is often calculated with Equation 9.80 when there is nonlinearity between $\Delta G^{\neq}$and $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$. The cross relation works best when $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$ is not too large (e.g., blue dashed arrow in Figure 9.15a). Equation 9.79 has been used (1) to estimate $k_{11}$ values and (2) to derive redox potentials when they are difficult to measure experimentally.

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{12} & =\left(k_{11} k_{22} K_{12} f_{12}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{9.79}\\
\log f_{12} & =\frac{\left(\log K_{12}\right)^{2}}{4 \log \left(k_{11} k_{22} / Z^{2}\right)} \tag{9.80}
\end{align*}
$$

Agreement between experimentally determined and calculated rate constants is good when the electron transitions are symmetry allowed, but poor agreement occurs when transitions are symmetry forbidden as shown in Table 9.18. Rapid redox cross reactions are usually faster than each of the electron self-exchange reactions that are used to calculate the cross relation rate constant. Note that the ligand on each metal can be different.

### 9.7.3 Inner Sphere Electron Transfer

Inner sphere electron transfer reactions proceed with an additional step compared to outer sphere reactions as the ligand must also be transferred between the metal centers. There is substantial reorganization of the inner coordination sphere of each metal center to form a bridged $\mathrm{M}_{1}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}_{2}$ complex as intermediate, which is similar to the Eigen-Wilkins mechanism.

The inner sphere mechanism has six main steps, which are outlined in Equations 9.81a-f.

1. Reactants diffuse together to form an outer sphere complex so that the bridging ligand on the reduced metal center $1\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$ is aligned to interact with the oxidized metal center $2\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$.
2. Once a ligand dissociates from $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, the bridging ligand from $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ attacks $\mathrm{M}_{1}$.
3. Then the bridging ligand from $M_{2}$ binds with $M_{1}$ to form a ligand bridged intermediate $\left(M_{1}-L-M_{2}\right)$ between both metal centers.
4. The electron is transferred from reduced $M_{1}$ to oxidized $M_{2}$ with the bridged intermediate $\left(M_{1}-L-M_{2}\right)$ remaining intact.
5. After electron transfer occurs, ligand exchange occurs from $M_{2}$ to $M_{1}$, which is now the oxidized metal center.
6. A successor complex is formed that decomposes to the products, which diffuse away in a fast step.

Table 9.18 Cross reaction and $\mathrm{k}_{11}\left(\mathrm{k}_{22}\right)$ rate constants $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ for octahedrally coordinated metals at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from [34]. Agreement between experimental and calculated values within an order of magnitude is considered good. In each system the cross reactions are given in bold font

| Redox couple | $k_{12}\left(k_{11}\right)$ | $k_{12}$ calc. | Transition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symmetry forbidden |  |  |  |
| (A) $\mathbf{C r}\left(\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{\mathbf{2 +}}+\mathbf{F e}\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{\mathbf{3 +}}$ | $\mathbf{2 \times 1 0}{ }^{3}$ | $1 \times 10^{6}$ | $\mathrm{e}_{g}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{t}_{2 g}$ (high spin metals) |
| $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $\left(\leq 2 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ |  | $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | (4) |  | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| (B) $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{\mathbf{2 +}}+\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{\mathbf{3 +}}$ | 250 | $5.8 \times 10^{7}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{2 g}} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_{g}{ }^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | (4) |  | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | (5) |  |  |
| (C) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{phen})_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{4}$ | $4 \times 10^{-2}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{2 g}} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(o-\text { phen })_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Fe}(o-\mathrm{phen})_{3}{ }^{3+}$ | $\left(3 \times 10^{7}\right)$ |  | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | (5) |  |  |

Symmetry allowed

| (D) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{o} \text {-phen) })^{3+}$ | $>1 \times 10^{8}$ | $>1 \times 10^{8}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{2 g}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{2 g}}$ (low spin metals) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(o-\mathrm{phen}){ }_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Fe}(o-\mathrm{phen})_{3}{ }^{3+}$ | $\left(3 \times 10^{7}\right)$ |  | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}$ | (740) |  | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| (E) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{IrCl}_{6}{ }^{2-}$ | $3.8 \times 10^{5}$ | $1 \times 10^{6}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ (low spin metals) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}$ | (740) |  | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\mathrm{IrCl}_{6}{ }^{3-}+\mathrm{IrCl}_{6}{ }^{2-}$ | (103) |  | $t_{2 g} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$ |
| (F) $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{3}$ | $2 \times 10^{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $\left(1.2 \times 10^{-2}\right)$ |  | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | $\left(4 \times 10^{3}\right)$ |  | $t_{2 g} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$ |

(D) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{Fe}(\text { o-phen })_{3}{ }^{3+}$
$\mathrm{Fe}(o-\text { phen })_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Fe}(o-\text {-phen })_{3}{ }^{3+}$
$\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}$
(E) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{IrCl}_{6}{ }^{2-}$
$\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}$
$\mathrm{IrCl}_{6}{ }^{3-}+\mathrm{IrCl}_{6}{ }^{2-}$
(F) $\mathbf{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$
$\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$
$\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$
$>1 \times 10^{8}$
$\left(3 \times 10^{7}\right)$
(740)
$3.8 \times 10^{5}$
(103)
$1.3 \times 10^{3}$
$\left(1.2 \times 10^{-2}\right)$
$\left(4 \times 10^{3}\right)$
$\boldsymbol{t}_{2 g} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{t}_{2 g}$ (low spin metals)
$t_{2 g} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$ $t_{2 g} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$
$t_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ (low spin metals)
$t_{2 g} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$
$t_{2 g} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$
$\boldsymbol{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$
$t_{2 g} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$
$t_{2 g} \rightarrow t_{2 g}$

Equation 9.81a was the first example demonstrating the mechanism [29]. $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}$ is an inert complex with a ligand substitution time scale on the order of hours in acid whereas $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ has a rate constant of water exchange on the order of $10^{9} \mathrm{~s}$, yet the redox reaction occurs $<1 \mathrm{~s}$. The mechanism has been explained as follows and is consistent with the fact that radiolabeled $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$in solution does not bind to Cr in the product. $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ loses a water and $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ then forms a precursor complex (Equation 9.81b) and binds with the Cl attached to the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ to form the binuclear metal complex as intermediate (Equation 9.81c). Electron transfer proceeds across the bridging $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ligand to $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ (Equation 9.81d), and then the successor complex forms (Equation 9.81e) with transfer of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to form the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond (Equation 9.81e). The successor complex decomposes (Equation 9.81f) to products with the complex, $\left[\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+}$, losing ammonia to form $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{6}\right)-\mathrm{Cl}---\left(\mathrm{d}^{4}\right) \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{4+}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \text {form precursor complex }}  \tag{9.81b}\\
& {\left[\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{6}\right)---\mathrm{Cl}---\left(\mathrm{d}^{4}\right) \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{4+}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \text {form bridged activated complex }} \tag{9.81c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{7}\right)----\mathrm{Cl}----\left(\mathrm{d}^{3}\right) \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{4+} \text { electron transfer to } \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})}  \tag{9.81d}\\
& {\left[\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{7}\right)----\mathrm{Cl}-\left(\mathrm{d}^{3}\right) \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}\right]^{4+} \text { form successor complex }}  \tag{9.81e}\\
& {\left[\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+}+\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+} \text { bridged complex breaks up }} \tag{9.81f}
\end{align*}
$$

An inner sphere process is likely when there is a symmetry-forbidden mismatch between the metal orbitals as in the $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ reaction (Table 9.18). Also, rate constant calculations with the Marcus cross relation (Equation 9.79) do not agree with the experiment.

The bridging ligand can be a $\pi$ donor ligand, which has two or more electron pairs available or a $\pi$ acceptor ligand as long as there are two lone pairs of electrons to bind with the two metal centers. In the case of cyanide ion (: $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ :), both the C and N atoms form bonds with the two different metals. From an environmental standpoint, the halides, hydroxide ion, sulfate ion, acetate ion, and phosphate ion are excellent bridging ligands for inner sphere electron transfer reactions. Also, solid surfaces with hydroxide, oxide, and sulfide ions are excellent bridging ligands (Section 7.9).

### 9.8 Photochemistry

### 9.8.1 Redox

Figure 9.12 b shows the electron transition for the reactants' ground state outer sphere complex to the intermediate state during a possible outer sphere transfer reaction. Once excited, the electron can proceed to the product state more rapidly than the thermal reaction in many cases. For a self-exchange symmetrical process, the reorganization energy $\lambda$ ( or $4 \Delta G^{\neq}$) equals the energy of light ( $h v$ ).

The electron transfer rate can increase or decrease depending on the excited state versus the ground state after light activation. For example, Figure 9.14 describes the change in spin state for $\mathrm{Co}^{2+, 3+}$ complexes that results in faster electron transfer rates for the aqua complexes but not the ammonia complexes. For the self-exchange reaction of Fe (bipy) ${ }_{3}{ }^{2+, 3+}, k_{11}$ is $10^{9} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but when light activated, $k_{11}$ slows to $10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ because the $\mathrm{Fe}(\text { bipy })_{3}{ }^{2+}$ is converted from $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ to $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$, leading to an unsymmetrical state for reaction with the $t_{2 g}{ }^{5}$ configuration for Fe (bipy) ${ }_{3}{ }^{3+}$ [35].

### 9.8.2 Photosubstitution Reactions $\mathbf{d} \rightarrow \mathbf{d}$

Visible light is important in activating inert $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ and $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ octahedral metal complexes to ligand substitution, which is rather slow as noted in Tables 9.3-9.9. The light energy must match or exceed that of the $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$ absorption peak(s). In $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ and $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ complexes, electrons transfer from $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ to $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ orbitals (mainly metal orbital to orbitals with metal and ligand character as in Figure 8.49 for $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ ) resulting in bond lengthening that can lead to ligand dissociation and ligand substitution reactions. All $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ complexes for $\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Rh}$, and Ir react with water in the light to form $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{3+}$ complexes at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Equation 9.82 a ); for example, the $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ is inert to substitution without visible light activation, and the reaction is instantaneous with proper light activation. Similar behavior is found for cyanide complexes (Equation 9.82b).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+h v \rightarrow \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{3+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3}  \tag{9.82a}\\
& \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+h v \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{3-}+\mathrm{CN}^{-} \tag{9.82b}
\end{align*}
$$

However, the quantum efficiency for these $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ reactions is higher for Rh and Ir complexes by 10 -fold or more and typically increases with ligand field strength. However, the aquation of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}$ to form $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{2-}$ has a quantum efficiency five times that of the Rh and Ir ammine complexes [36].

### 9.8.3 LMCT and Photoreduction

If enough light energy (blue into the UV region) is provided, ligand to metal charge transfer occurs, and the metal ion can be fully reduced and the ligand is oxidized (e.g., nitrite and oxalate in Equations 9.83a,b).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{2+}+h v \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+5 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{+}  \tag{9.83a}\\
& 2 \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}{ }^{3-}+h v \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}+2 \mathrm{CO}_{2} \tag{9.83b}
\end{align*}
$$

### 9.8.4 MLCT Simultaneous Substitution and Photo-Oxidation Redox

In the UV region, metal to ligand charge transfer to $\pi$ acceptor ligands results in oxidation of the metal. The following reaction shows $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ oxidation and reduction of the ligand $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$to NO with water substitution.

$$
\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{5}(\mathrm{NO})^{2-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+h v \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{2-}+\mathrm{NO}
$$

Flash photolysis can lead to photo-oxidation of the metal as an electron is ejected to the solvent water forming the solvated electron as in these three examples. In acid, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ production occurs. Metal +3 ions can readily hydrolyze.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+h v \rightarrow \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+h v \rightarrow \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}+1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+h v \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{aq}}^{-} \text {Solvated electron with UV light }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 9.9 Effective Atomic Number (EAN) Rule or the Rule of 18

The Lewis octet rule was important in describing simple bonding for the main group elements. Likewise, the transition series metals can achieve a completely filled $n s^{2} n \mathrm{p}^{6}(n-1) \mathrm{d}^{10}$ electron configuration of 18 electrons, which is known as the rule of 18 or the effective atomic number (EAN) rule [37]. Although there are many compounds that can have less than or even more than 18 electrons and be stable, the EAN rule is very important for describing many stable compounds and catalytic cycles. These include neutral metal atoms reacting with carbon monoxide to form metal carbonyl compounds and with tri-organo-phosphine ( $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ) compounds to form metal phosphine compounds, both of which are important in metal catalysis (see Section 9.10). Figure 9.17 shows the simple metal carbonyl compounds for the first transition series, and includes the electron count to reach the rule of 18 . Metal carbonyl compounds can be formed at ambient temperature and 1 atm of CO pressure with the powdered metal, but frequently are formed at higher temperatures and under higher CO pressures. They can also be formed by the reaction of a metal salt with a reducing agent in the presence of CO.

To reach 18 electrons, Cr has a total of 6 electrons in its outer shell and accepts 12 electrons when it reacts with six CO molecules (each of which donates 2 electrons to the Cr ). Similarly, Fe and Ni react with five


Electron count for first transition series metals with carbon monoxide

| Cr | $=6$ | Mn | $=7$ | Fe |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |$=8 \quad \mathrm{Co}=9 \mathrm{Ni}=10$

Figure 9.17 Simple metal carbonyl compounds of the first transition series with the method for electron counting to reach the rule of 18 . Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)
and four CO molecules to reach an 18 electron count. However, Mn and Co have an odd number of electrons in their outer shells; thus, they form dimers where each metal supplies an electron to the other for an M-M bond. For $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$, there are five terminal CO ligands bound to each Mn for a total of 17 electrons, and the sharing of an electron between each Mn results in achieving the 18 electron count. For $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$, two structures are known to exist in solution. The first is similar to $\mathrm{Mn}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}$. The second has three terminal CO ligands bound to each Co plus two bridging ( $\mu=$ bridging, Section 8.3) CO ligands, which still only donate two electrons per CO molecule to the total structure.

It is possible to distinguish the type of metal-carbon monoxide bonding using infrared spectroscopy. Free CO has a $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency at $2143 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ whereas terminal $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CO}$ bonds have a $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency ranging from 1852 to $2125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is in between $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency for saturated ketones is $1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Figure 8.33 b demonstrated the $\pi$ acceptor capability of CO as the $\pi^{*}$ LUMO CO can accept electrons from the metal $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals; placing electron density into the $\pi^{*}$ orbital decreases the bond order and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency for CO . (Figure 8.33c shows similar back bonding for the M-P bond.)

Although bridging CO compounds have an $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{M}$ bond angle near $90^{\circ}$ rather than the $120^{\circ}$ bond angle ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ ) in organic compounds, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency in bridging metal carbonyl compounds ranges from 1850 to $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Thus, more electron density is placed into the $\mathrm{CO} \pi^{*}$ orbitals with a further decrease in the bond order and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency for CO .

It is possible to have more complex polymeric or cluster forms with CO and metal atoms. For example, in one structural form of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$, the three Fe atoms form an equilateral triangle similar to the three C atoms in cyclopropane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$. Each iron atom has three CO molecules, and there are three bridging CO molecules along with the three $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}$ bonds to reach a total electron count of 54 electrons (54/3 = 18 electrons for each Fe atom). Also, each Ir in $\mathrm{Ir}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ has three terminal CO groups and the four Ir atoms bind to each other in a tetrahedron, which is similar to phosphorus in $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ (Figure 4.6).

The electron counting of these and other cluster structures are similar to that used for the octet rule. $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ has 17 electrons and is similar to a halogen atom $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\bullet}\right)$ and the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \bullet$, which are 1 electron short of the

Table 9.19 Carbon fragments, main group elements, and metal cluster fragments are each deficient by the same number of electrons

| C fragment | Main group <br> analog | $\mathrm{e}^{-}$count | Metal cluster <br> fragment | $\mathrm{e}^{-}$count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | Ar | 8 | $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ | 18 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Cl | 7 | $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ | 17 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ | S | 6 | $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ | 16 |
| HC | P | $5 \mathrm{CO})_{3}$ | 15 |  |

octet. Similarly, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$has an octet whereas $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]^{-}$has 18 electrons. Hoffmann [38] developed the concept of isolobal fragments to describe the parallel between the rule of 18 and the octet rule, "We will call two fragments isolobal if the number, symmetry properties, approximate energy and shape of the frontier orbitals and the number of electrons in them are similar - not identical, but similar." Table 9.19 shows some examples.

It is important to discuss the "weak" sigma bonding between the pair of electrons on the carbon atom in the CO to the neutral metal atom. The $3 \sigma^{n \mathrm{~b}}$ orbital of CO has a very stable energy of -14.1 eV (see Figure 5.19) - one reason that higher pressures are needed to form some metal carbonyl compounds. Metal carbonyl compounds are typically diamagnetic and low spin, because of the low metal oxidation state, but the weak $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$ bond indicates that $\mathbf{C O}$ is labile to dissociation. Thus, metal carbonyl compounds are very useful as transition metal catalysts (Section 9.13.1) where CO can be substituted readily.

### 9.10 Thermodynamics and Kinetics of Organometallic Compounds

Organic compounds also react with low oxidation state metal atoms to form $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds. These can be direct donation of two electrons in a normal $\sigma$ bond as in the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bond of vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ where : $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ is formally an anion. There are also many $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ donor ligands that donate electrons to metals from the double bond of an unsaturated compound such as ethylene (two-electron donor), butadiene (two- or four-electron donor), and aromatic compounds (six-electron donor). An excellent example is the anion of Zeise's salt, $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$, or the trichlorido $\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-ethylene) platinate(II) ion. Figure 8.33 d and e shows ethylene $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ donating two electrons from its $\pi$ bond to the $\operatorname{Pt~}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ (formally a $\sigma$ bond as the electron density is on the internuclear axis). $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ also donates $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ electrons to the $\pi^{*}$ orbital of ethylene in a $\pi$ bond. Because both C atoms in ethylene bind equally to the Pt , they are considered to be held or fastened to the Pt , which for nomenclature purposes is represented by the symbol $\eta$ (eta) indicating hapto. The olefin compounds and the M-C (M-alkyl) bonds are exceptionally important in catalysis reactions as they lead to new products as described in Section 9.11.

Benzene and the cyclopentadiene anion ( -1 charge) donate six electrons to a metal, and are aromatic ligands. The EAN rule is obeyed for the neutral molecule $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ with the chemical name ( $\eta^{6}$-benzene)(tricarbonyl)chromium (Figure 9.18) where $\eta^{6}$ indicates that all six C atoms bind to Cr equally. The best known cyclopentadiene anion compound is $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$, which is a Fe (II) compound that also obeys the EAN rule. Its chemical name is $\operatorname{bis}\left(\eta^{5}\right.$-cyclopentadienyl) iron, but its common name is ferrocene (Figure 9.18). It is an example of a sandwich compound as the Fe atom is between the two cyclopentadiene anion pentagons that are staggered to each other. It is the first example of the class of compounds called metallocenes (organometallic compounds where the metal binds between two planar ring systems).


Figure 9.18 Structure of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ with a vertical $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ axis and of ferrocene, $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ with a vertical $C_{5}$ axis, and pentagonal antiprisms

### 9.11 Electron Transfer to Molecules during Transition Metal Catalysis

A catalyst is a chemical species that increases the reaction rate for a thermodynamically favorable reaction $\left(\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}<0\right)$. Although it is not consumed, it can form with the reactants a new metastable intermediate species, which then decomposes to regenerate the catalyst and the products. Figure 9.19 a shows a reaction coordinate diagram indicating that the $\Delta G^{\neq}$decreases from the uncatalyzed thermal reaction. In Figure 9.19b, the reaction coordinate diagram for the same reaction (note that $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}$ is the same) shows that a stable intermediate forms of lower energy than the products; thus, the reaction would stop at this point before products form as further reaction is unfavorable $\left(\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}^{0}>0\right)$. In both figures, there are more elementary steps and intermediates formed along the reaction coordinate as shown by the additional lower energy potential wells. A thermodynamically unfavorable reaction cannot be enhanced via catalysts. However, there are chemical species, which are called catalytic poisons that retard a reaction; that is, they prevent one or more elementary steps along the reaction coordinate from occurring so that products cannot form.

For transition metals to be effective catalysts, a metal-ligand bond must be labile so that ligand substitution occurs readily at the various steps along the reaction path so that an inert/stable compound does not form and terminate the reaction. Transition metal catalysis is important for the production of many common chemicals used in industry and the laboratory such as acetic acid, aldehydes, and benzene. In the production of these


Figure 9.19 Reaction coordinate diagrams for the thermal uncatalyzed reaction (black) (a) with catalyzed reactions leading to metastable intermediates (blue); (b) with catalyzed reactions leading to a stable intermediate (blue)
chemicals, several steps occur in a catalytic cycle so that the reactants form the products and regenerate the catalyst. There are two key reactions for this process: oxidation addition (OXAD) and reductive elimination (Redel).

### 9.12 Oxidation Addition (OXAD) and Reductive Elimination (Redel) Reactions

In OXAD reactions, metals act as two electron donors (the reducing agent) and acceptors of two ligands from a reactant (the oxidizing agent). Thus, the metal loses two $\mathrm{e}^{-}$and gains two ligands. Metals in lower oxidation states are more susceptible to losing electrons or acting as bases (metal basicity). In the $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ example below (Equation 9.84b), $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is reduced to two chloride ions as square planar $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ is oxidized to form octahedral Pt(IV); then the chloride ions bind to Pt(IV). Formally, the Pt loses two electrons and then obtains two lone pairs of electrons so Pt appears to act as an electron donor and acceptor in the same reaction. These reactions are important for square planar metal complexes (metal with a $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ electron configuration and four ligands has a total of 16 electrons). Thus, the metal has two less electrons than the total 18 electrons required to achieve the rule of 18 . OXAD reactions occur with electron acceptor molecules that have stable LUMOs as well as some of the strongest bonds known; for example, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{H}$-halogen (HX), halogen-halogen (X-X), $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{I}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. These reactions lead to activation of small molecules for further chemistry.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{M L}_{\mathbf{4}}+\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{Y} \rightarrow & \mathbf{M L}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{X Y} \\
\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} & \rightarrow \mathrm{PtCl}_{6}{ }^{2-}  \tag{9.84b}\\
\mathrm{d}^{8}, 16 \mathrm{e}^{-}(\text {unsaturated }) & \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{d}^{6}, 18 \mathrm{e}^{-}(\text {saturated }) \\
\text { square planar }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

Redel reactions are the reverse of OXAD reactions; that is, the metal gains two electrons and loses two ligands (reverse of Equation 9.84b). For some reactions, a combination of OXAD and Redel leads to a reversible reaction as in Vaska's complex trans $-\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$, which reversibly binds both $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Equations 9.85a and 9.85b). In both cases, $\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ is oxidized to $\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ react side on, not end on, with Ir. On heating the product or passing inert gas into the solution, the original Ir complex is regenerated. Figure 9.20a shows that the weakly antibonding $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ HOMO orbital of square planar $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ (Figure 9.8) donates two electrons (one each) into the $\pi_{x}{ }^{*}$ and $\pi_{y}{ }^{*}$ (not drawn) SOMO orbitals of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ so $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ forms the peroxide ion. Figure 9.20b also shows that $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ reacts to accept two electrons in its $\sigma_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{*}$ LUMO from the $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ HOMO orbital of $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ so that hydride ions formally bind $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ in the product. The two O (and H ) atoms formally occupy cis positions around $\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$. For $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is broken (homolytic cleavage) and the hydride ions are a source of reducing power. The following Equations 9.85a and 9.85b show these reaction types.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M L}_{\mathbf{4}}+\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}} & \rightarrow \mathbf{M L}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}} \text { or } \mathbf{M L}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{X})_{\mathbf{2}} \\
\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{O}_{2} & \leftrightarrow \operatorname{IrO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}-\text { phenyl }_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}  \tag{9.85a}\\
\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} & \rightarrow \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{H})_{2}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{P}-\text { phenyl }_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \tag{9.85b}
\end{align*}
$$

Many biologically relevant reactions occur as shown for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The types of sigma bonds that can be broken include dihalogen (Figure 9.20c) and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-halide bonds (Figure 9.20d, the latter leads to methylation of metals; Section 5.6.3.3). The C-H bond in methane is possible, but its LUMO is not stable. The $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X}$ (Chapter 4,

$\longleftrightarrow$
(a)


(b)


Figure 9.20 Reactions showing electron donation from the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ HOMO of $\operatorname{Ir}(I)$ to the LUMOs of (a) $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\pi^{*}\right)$ and (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\sigma^{*}\right)$. Electron probability plots of the orbitals directly involved in electron transfer are provided on the right. Electron density contours (0.05) of (c) $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ HOMO to $\sigma^{*}$ LUMO of dihalogens. (d) $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ HOMO to $\sigma^{*}$ LUMO of $C-X$ in methyl halides. (e) $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ HOMO to $\sigma^{*}$ LUMO of $\mathrm{C}-H$ in methane. Orbitals created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program (version 8.0.10)

Figure 9.11) and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds (Figure 5.37) are broken as they accept electrons into the $\sigma^{*}$ orbitals as shown in Figure 9.20. Figure 9.21 shows the orbital energy level diagrams for the metal, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The LUMO energies for $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ are stable so can accept electrons leading to bond cleavage and formation of $\mathrm{ML}_{4} \mathrm{HH}$ and $\mathrm{ML}_{4} \mathrm{ClCl}$ species. The HOMO energy for metals has been estimated by Pearson [39].

### 9.13 Metal Catalysis

Many catalytic reactions occur in homogeneous solutions and a key step is that Redel reactions are not truly reversible as new products rather than the original reactants are formed. Thus, the metal center is now different from the original material. On further reaction, the metal center may react with another chemical species, and the original metal center can be regenerated. In this case, the metal center is a catalyst and the catalytic cycle is restarted. For a catalyst to be effective and activate small molecules to do the chemistry and biochemistry, it must be regenerated at a high frequency (turnover rate). There are numerous examples of metal catalysis that are routes to chemicals and pharmaceuticals that are used daily throughout the world. Several of the scientists,


Figure 9.21 Donation of electrons from a square planar metal to the LUMOs of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
who have designed these catalysts, have won Nobel prizes for their discovery. The following reactions show the synthesis of larger molecules from simple starting materials. Depending on the catalyst, these reactions frequently occur at room temperature for the second and third transition series metals but at higher pressures and temperatures for the first transition series.

```
\(3 \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CH} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\)
\(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\)
\(3 \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3} \quad\) Haber process ( Fe at \(>100 \mathrm{~atm}\) and \(>400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) )
```


### 9.13.1 OXO or Hydroformylation Process

This is one of the most studied catalytic processes and it uses $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and olefins to produce aldehydes in a chain extension reaction [40]. The starting compound is $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}, \mathrm{a}^{0}$ compound. It reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to form the catalyst $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$, which has a C.N. of 5 with 18 electrons around the Co . The $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{H}$ bond formally contains hydride $\left(\mathrm{H}^{-}\right)$so both Co and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ act as electron acceptors and donors. Co in $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ is labile as it forms $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$, which is $\mathrm{Co}^{+}\left(\mathrm{d}^{8}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{EAN}=16\right)$; thus, the Co becomes unsaturated. Figure 9.22a shows four major steps that are considered important in the catalytic cycle, and Figure 9.22b shows a schematic catalytic cycle.

The first step shows that $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ loses a CO to form the unsaturated four-coordinate $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ intermediate. In step $2, \mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ then reacts with the olefin to form a saturated complex (I) formed when the olefin double bond donates two electrons to the $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$center. In step 3, the $\mathrm{H}:^{-}$shifts from the $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$center to the olefin in an anti-Markownikoff addition to form a linear $\mathrm{RCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Co}$ species (II) with a $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$ bond where the $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$is four coordinate; another CO inserts into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Co}$ bond to form a linear $\mathrm{C}-(\mathrm{CO})-\mathrm{Co}$ arrangement (III) where the $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$is still four coordinate. In step $4, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ adds to the $\mathrm{Co}^{+}$in an OXAD reaction to form the $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ complex, which after another $\mathrm{H}^{-}$or $(\mathrm{H}:)^{-}$shift to the CO bound to cobalt and a Redel step, produces the aldehyde and regenerates the $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ catalyst. Under high CO pressures, the reaction slows down as the $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ reforms the saturated $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$, which is excellent evidence for the formation of



(a)

(b)

Figure 9.22 (a) Proposed reaction sequence for the OXO process. (b) Tolman loop or catalytic cycle. The numbers indicate the steps in (a). The arrows show the regeneration of $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$
the catalyst, $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$. Figure 9.22 b shows a Tolman loop or catalytic cycle that describes the reactions in Figure 9.22a. Although $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ are drawn as square pyramid and tetrahedral, theoretical calculations indicate that these may be closer to trigonal bipyramid for $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ and for $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$, which has a vacant site in the trigonal bipyramid structure. Pseudorotation between square pyramid and trigonal bipyramid structures also occurs.


Figure 9.23 The Heck reaction starting with $\mathrm{Pd}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Ph})_{3}\right]_{4}$ in the box. Loss of a triphenylphosphine group leads to the catalyst $\mathrm{Pd}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Ph})_{3}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Ph}=$ phenyl or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$

### 9.13.2 Heck Reaction

This reaction performs C - C coupling between two organic molecules [41] and uses the formation of a $\mathrm{Pd}-$ halogen bond to activate aromatic compounds (e.g., monobromobenzene). The general reaction is given below and Figure 9.23 shows the possible mechanism with a Tolman loop.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{RHC}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHR}+\mathrm{HBr}
$$

Figure 9.23 shows the formation of a five-coordinate Pd center when the catalyst, $\mathrm{Pd}\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)\right]_{3}$, reacts with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Br}$ (1). The olefin displaces a $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Ph})_{3}$ group in step 2. At step 3, a $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$ bond $\left[\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right]$ forms when the phenyl group adds across the double bond. At step $4, \mathrm{HBr}$ is lost with the H atom coming from the alkyl group, which results in a new $\pi$ donor bond between Pd and the aromatic olefin compound. The olefin leaves when a $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Ph})_{3}$ group displaces it (Redel step).

### 9.13.3 Methyl Transferases

An excellent biochemical example of an oxidative addition type reaction is the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$-corrin complex (cobalamin) found in all organisms except plants [42]. Figure 9.24 shows the methionine synthase process, which cycles $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$between three chemical species. $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ is a $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ ion and is a potent electron donor, which displaces


Methyl-tetrahydrofolate cation

Figure 9.24 The methionine synthase reaction mediated by $\operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{I}) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ transformations. (Upper) Electron configurations for $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Co}(I I I)$. (Lower) Structures show the cycling of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$via cobalamin from methyltetrahydrofolate cation to homocysteine. Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)
$\mathrm{aCH}_{3}{ }^{+}$group from methyl tetrahydrofolate cation (nucleophilic substitution reaction) to form methylcobal$\operatorname{amin}[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})]$. A $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bond forms $\left(\mathrm{Co}^{3+}, \mathrm{d}^{6}\right)$, and in a Redel type reaction, the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$group is transferred to one of the two lone pairs of electrons on the S atom in homocysteine to form methionine $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$is released to solution). The $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ transformation is an essential and efficient $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$shuttling reaction; however, Co needs to be consumed or eaten as vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ to replace any Co loss or excretion from the body. A significant buildup of homocysteine in the blood indicates lack of vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ in humans, which increases the risk of cardiovascular diseases including blood clots, stroke, and heart attacks. Other methyl transfer reactions occur in the environment in a similar way with naturally produced methylating agents $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right.$ and DMSP) as noted in Section 5.6.3.3.

### 9.13.4 Examples of Abiotic Organic Synthesis (Laboratory and Nature)

Many catalytic reactions are heterogeneous as the catalyst is a solid with an activated surface, and the reactants are gaseous or dissolved that can adsorb to the surface. Table 7.9 showed that the surfaces of common materials or minerals can have acidic or basic sites depending on the solution pH . Thus, the catalyst's properties can change as a function of pH . In the early 20th century, Fischer and Tropsch reacted CO from coal
with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (see Section 5.4.6) in the presence of metal catalysts to produce liquid hydrocarbons that were sold as fuels and lubricants. This commercial catalytic process allows CO to adsorb on the surface of solids containing $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}$, and Ni on alumina or high silica zeolites. Reaction of adsorbed CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ leads to generation of $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, with $\mathrm{H}^{-}$migrating to bind CO similar to the oxo process. The reduction of CO produces simple alkanes as in the following reaction.

$$
\mathrm{CO}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \text {, etc. }
$$

Figure 9.25a shows a top down view of how CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ can approach a metal surface. There are three ways that CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ can bind with the density of states of the Fe atoms: (1) across Fe atoms, (2) side on to an Fe atom in the plane or (3) side on perpendicular to the Fe plane (not shown). Figure 9.25 b shows a side view with only CO across the Fe plane ( $x z$ ). Figure 9.25 c shows the Fe in the $y z$ plane, and the possible side on orbital overlap of the $\mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{d}_{y z}$ HOMO with the $\pi^{*}{ }_{y}$ LUMO of CO. The $\pi^{*}{ }_{y}$ LUMO of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and the $\sigma^{*}{ }_{u}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are on the right and show that they have similar symmetry for the reaction with Fe . The s and partially filled d orbitals on $\mathrm{Fe}^{0}$ clusters can interact (density of states for $E_{\text {НОМО }}=-5.2 \mathrm{eV} ; E_{\mathrm{LUMO}}=-2.5 \mathrm{eV}$ from [43]) with $\mathrm{CO}\left(E_{\mathrm{LUMO}}=-1.37 \mathrm{eV}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(E_{\mathrm{LUMO}}=-5.0 \mathrm{eV}\right)$. The most favorably energetic interaction is that of the Fe HOMO with the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{LUMO}$ to form $\mathrm{H}^{-}$, but it likely reacts end on or perpendicular to one Fe atom ( $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}^{*}$; not drawn) rather than across Fe atoms as the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length is only 74 pm . The CO (and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) bond lengths are $113\left(110 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{N}_{2}\right.$ ), respectively, and the nearest $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Fe}$ distance is 260 pm (similar to mackinawite, Section 12.6.1). The radius of $\mathrm{H}^{-}$is 139.9 pm in simple hydrides [44]; thus, it could bind across Fe atoms and then react with adsorbed CO and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

The Fischer-Tropsch process may also occur at hydrothermal vents (water depths > 2000 m ). These systems provide a rich source of raw materials (e.g., $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, reduced metals, metal sulfides, solid silicates, and aluminosilicates) as well as high temperatures ( $>360^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Figure 1.1) and pressures ( $>250 \mathrm{~atm}$ ) to activate small molecules to adsorb to surfaces. These extreme conditions lead to abiotic synthesis of small organic molecules [45]. At vents, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is produced via the serpentization reaction (Equation 8.19) or the formation of pyrite given below (Section 12.6.2). In the presence of excess $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and FeS (alone or with NiS), thiol (R-SH) compounds also form [46].

$$
\mathrm{FeS}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \mathrm{FeS}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}
$$



Figure 9.25 (a) View of Fe atoms in the xz plane. (b) $90^{\circ}$ rotation of the xz plane in (a) to show a side on view of the Fe atoms. (c) Possible side on orbital overlap with the Fe $\mathrm{d}_{y z} H O M O$ and $\pi^{*}$ y $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ LUMO; $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ LUMO given for comparison. Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)

### 9.13.5 The Haber Process Revisited

The Haber process to form $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ from $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, which uses a powdered Fe catalyst bound to $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Os and Ru can also be used), likely proceeds as a Fischer-Tropsch reaction. As noted in Section 5.4.6, there is a kinetic barrier to the reaction of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, and the catalyst overcomes it. Although $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is isoelectronic with CO , it is a nonpolar molecule and does not readily donate a pair of electrons. Also, the $E_{\mathrm{HOMO}}$ of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is $-15.58 \mathrm{eV}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, which is more stable than that of $\mathrm{CO}\left(E_{\mathrm{HOMO}}=-14.01 \mathrm{eV}\right)$, and its $E_{\mathrm{LUMO}}=2.2 \mathrm{eV}$ so is unstable. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ has a reasonable proton affinity (Table 7.3) so reaction with $\mathrm{H}^{+}$(and Fe ) can lower the energy of its LUMO (e.g., Figure 5.17b for O species). For laboratory (and geochemical) reactions, high temperatures and pressures are necessary to activate the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to bind the Fe catalyst with a $\sigma$ bond. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is also a $\pi$ acceptor ligand as noted for the CO molecule above (see Figure 8.33b), and backbonding between the metal and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ likely aids its reduction to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. Overall, the reaction of adsorbed $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ leads to generation of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ with hydride $\left(\mathrm{H}^{-}\right)$or $\mathrm{H} \bullet$ atoms migrating to the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ bound to Fe . The overall process is similar to the oxo process and to the ferredoxins in nitrogenase [47; Section 12.6.4.1]. Because $\mathrm{H}^{-}$has an $E_{\text {Номо }}$ of +0.754 eV , it is an excellent reductant. $\mathrm{H} \bullet$ will react as a free radical. Thus, both species can react with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.
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## 10

## Transition Metals in Natural Systems

### 10.1 Introduction

Transition metals are very important in many geochemical and biological processes. There are some important differences between the family members of the first transition series and those of the second and third transition series. Recall that the "d" orbitals for the second and third transition series metals are more diffuse so pairing of electron spins occurs more readily. Also, shielding of nuclear charge by inner electrons leads to higher IP and EA values (Table 3.6); thus, they tend to have higher effective nuclear charges for congeners with comparable electron configurations.

In nature, the first transition series metals are typically characterized by +2 and +3 complexes, which exhibit tetrahedral, square planar, or octahedral geometry. In octahedral geometry, both high and low spin complexes are possible whereas square planar complexes are low spin and tetrahedral complexes are typically high spin. Although metal-metal bonds are known, they are much rarer than what is found in the second and third transition series metals. The metals are attacked or dissolved by dilute acids whereas the second and third transition series metals normally require concentrated oxidizing acids such as nitric acid alone or in combination with HCl (aqua regia). The second and third transition series metals form low spin complexes and exhibit coordination geometries of $4,6,7$, and 8 . There is a tendency for second and third transition series metals to form and remain in higher oxidation state compounds and ions than the first transition series metals. For example, molybdate as $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{VI})$ is the dominant form of Mo in aquatic systems whereas chromate [with $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{VI})]$ and $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ exist in nature; $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ converts to chromate when exposed to oxidants such as $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ (an inner sphere electron transfer process).

### 10.2 Factors Governing Metal Speciation in the Environment and in Organisms

Many factors affect the reactivity of a metal ion in the environment. Section 1.8.2 indicated that the oxidation state of a metal [e.g., $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ versus $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})]$ depends on the redox condition of the environment. For example, once dissolved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$are consumed, microbes decompose organic matter with other oxidants such as $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ and FeOOH , resulting in reduction to $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$. Metal ions can exist as inorganic complexes
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Table 10.1 Fe(III)/Fe(II) redox couples for selected ligands bound to iron [1-4]. $E$ values for porphyrin compounds vary with substituents on the ring. E values for $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ are at $\mathrm{pH}=0$ and 4, respectively. All other E data are for $\mathrm{pH}=7$. The relationship between $E$ and the ratio of the thermodynamic stability constants ( $\beta$, see also Equation 13.1.2) for the Fe(III)L and Fe(II)L complexes is at the top of the table

$$
E_{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III}) \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{L}}=E_{\mathrm{aq}}^{0}-0.05915 \log \left(\frac{[\beta]^{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})}}{[\beta]^{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})}}\right)
$$

| Redox reaction | $E$ (Volts) at $\mathrm{pH}=7$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}{ }^{2+}$ | 1.07 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ | 0.77 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{+}$ | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{asp})^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{asp})^{+}$ | 0.33 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\right.$ NTA) ${ }^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NTA})$ | 0.32 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{EDTA})^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(E D T A)$ | 0.12 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\right.$ porphyrin) ${ }^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\text { porphyrin) })^{2+}$ | 0.100 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{Mb})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{Mb})$ | 0.046 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Ox})_{3}{ }^{3-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{ox})_{3}{ }^{4-}$ | 0.02 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | -0.02 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ | -0.07 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\text { oxinate })_{3}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}\left(\right.$ oxinate) ${ }_{3}$ | -0.20 |
| $\alpha \mathrm{FeOOH}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ | -0.25 |
| $[4 \mathrm{Fe}-4 \mathrm{~S}]^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow[4 \mathrm{Fe}-4 \mathrm{~S}]^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.25 \text { to }-0.65 \\ & \quad \text { (see Table 12.2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ (ferrioxamine B$)+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ (ferrioxamine B$)$ | -0.468 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}($ enterobactin $)+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}($ enterobactin $)$ | -0.750 |

with the following common inorganic ligands: chloride, carbonate, sulfate, phosphate, and sulfide. However, there are a variety of organic ligands that are naturally produced and that outcompete the inorganic ligands for bonding with metal ions. Ligands also affect the redox and spin state of a metal couple such as Fe (III) $/ \mathrm{Fe}$ (II) (Section 8.7.3 for Co ; Table 10.1 for Fe ), and nature uses ligand-metal bonding to affect reactivity and catalysis. The left of Figure 10.1 shows potentials for several reduction couples that are important in life processes (sometimes termed a redox spectrum). An oxidized partner of a redox couple at the top such as $\mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be reduced by the reduced partner of any couple below it as $E_{\text {reaction }}=E_{\mathrm{red}}+E_{\mathrm{ox}}$. Thermodynamically, the $\mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ couple is one of the most efficient, but it also shows the energy needed for water splitting (the reverse reaction that requires photochemistry; Section 10.7). The FeS proteins (see Section 12.6.4) have different oxidation states and ligand attachments that tune their redox potential over 1 volt; reduction of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is possible for some $\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ redox centers (ferredoxins). Selected aspects of the redox chemistry for the metal redox centers in Figure 10.1 are described below and in Chapter 12.

For the first transition metal series, the spin state of the metal ion is affected by ligand attachment, which affects metal reactivity; e.g., octahedral $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ can exist in high spin $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2}$ in FeS (pyrrhotite) or low spin $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ in $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ (pyrite). Metal ions and low spin states have stronger bonds because of the shorter metal bond distances and extra stability due to CFSE/MOSE. As a result, they are less labile and reactive; e.g., pyrite is inert to ligand dissociation in HCl , but can be oxidized with nitric acid whereas FeS does dissolve in HCl (because sulfide is released, FeS is termed acid volatile sulfide or AVS).


Figure 10.1 Redox couples at pH 7 for important reactions in nature along with the potential ranges of sugars and some metal ligand redox centers. HiPIP indicates the $\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ high potential ferredoxins (Fd). The $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}_{2}$ couple is highest at 1.35 V

Environmental chemists and geochemists frequently ask the question "Is the metal dissolved or colloidal?" However, the physical state of the metal ion is frequently operationally defined by what passes through 0.2 or $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filters. Interestingly, quantum-sized particles, nanoparticles, and molecular clusters (Chapter 12) can readily pass through these filters.

### 10.3 Transition Metals Essential for Life

In the first transition series, $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cu}$, and Zn have been found to be necessary for life in a variety of organisms [5]. In the second transition series metals, only Mo and Cd have been shown to be essential or capable of supporting life processes whereas only W in the third transition series has been found to be capable of supporting life processes. As different forms of life and chemical species occur in oxygenated environments versus sulfide-rich environments, the reactivity of a given metal ion with sulfide becomes critical in establishing that metal ion's availability to an organism. Before the Great Oxidation Event, which occurred between 2.0 and 2.4 billion years ago and resulted in the oxidation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ during photosynthesis, elements were in their reduced state and many metals formed insoluble metal sulfides. Based on solubility criteria of simple metal sulfides, $\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{W}$, and Mo would be available for organisms whereas Cu and Zn would not be available for organisms. Also, any sulfide present would have reacted with Cu and Zn first because of their faster water exchange rates (Table 9.1). Although $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ has a faster water exchange rate than $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$, it does not form a sulfide mineral readily. $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ forms FeS and $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$, but the total amount of Fe atoms is greater than that of $S$ on earth by orders of magnitude (Figure 1.6). Thus, the ocean would have had significant concentrations of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ for organisms to uptake to support life processes. A couple of brief examples follow.

Table 1.3 noted the importance of several metals in processes necessary for life. Many processes center around the binding and/or activation of small molecules or ions (e.g., $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ) so they can react with each other or another chemical. Organisms have taken advantage of transition metal chemistry because their "d" orbitals permit them to act as electron donors and acceptors at the same time (in low oxidation states), to be efficient redox species, and to be labile or inert to substitution reactions based upon the occupation of the "d" orbitals, which is a function of the ligand bound to the metal. These characteristics are exploited in nature for catalysis using metals in enzymes (metalloenzymes); i.e., biochemically mediated reactions using a metal center.

Nitrogen is an essential nutrient, and organisms (archaea, bacteria, plants) have developed chemical strategies using metalloenzymes to perform the eight-electron conversion between ammonia and nitrate. In most instances, metal enzymatic reactions involve a catalytic cycle that bears similarity to the hydroformylation reaction in the previous chapter. Some specific enzymatic processes that couple metal catalytic cycles with $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, electron, and/or O atom transfer include the nitrogenases, reductases and oxidases, and cytochromes. Nitrogenases bind $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ using Fe in an enzyme containing FeS, FeMoS, FeWS, or V complexes or clusters (Section 12.6.4); the overall reaction is given below and shows the addition of protons and electrons to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at ambient temperature. Although, assimilatory $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ reduction to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ only requires six electrons, the biochemical process uses eight electrons as $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is also a product. Nitrite reductase also uses FeS proteins and cytochromes to affect reduction of nitrite to ammonia (Section 12.7).

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2}+8 \mathrm{e}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+16 \mathrm{MgATP} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+16 \mathrm{MgADP}+16 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\text { or } \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right)
$$

Examples of oxidases are the Mo (and W ) enzymes, which have $\mathrm{Mo}=\mathrm{O}$ bonds and oxidize sulfite, nitrite, and dimethylsulfide (DMS) as below. They also perform dissimilatory sulfate and nitrate reduction (the reverse processes). These are considered O atom transfer reactions, and for the oxidations, the lone pair of electrons on the central atom attacks the labile $\mathrm{Mo}=\mathrm{O}$ bond [6].

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{LMo}^{6+}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{O})(\text { s-cysteine })+\mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{LMo}^{4+}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})(\text { s-cysteine })+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-} & \text { (reversible) } \\
\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}^{6+}(\mathrm{O})(\text { s-serine })+\mathrm{DMS} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}^{4+}(\text { s-serine })+\mathrm{DMSO} & \text { (reversible) }
\end{array}
$$

Before describing selected biological metal centers, the oxidation reactions of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ are first presented. These redox reactions are model examples that describe possible strategies that organisms need to employ for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ binding, storage, and transport within the organism.

### 10.4 Important Environmental Iron and Manganese Reactions

Iron and manganese are the two most important redox metals in the environment as the cycling between their reduced and oxidized phases occurs seasonally when environments change redox state (e.g., from oxic to sulfidic; Figure 2.8) due to increased organic matter decomposition (Figure 1.13a). At circumneutral pH [7], an interesting feature of these reactions is that $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ oxidation by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is slow ( 5 yrs.), but $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}[\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})]$ reduction by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is fast $(<10 \mathrm{~min})$. However, $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ oxidation and Fe (III) mineral reduction occur at roughly "similar" rates ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~min}$.). This reactivity feature of the oxidation and reduction reactions for each system suggests a common pathway in the electron transfer steps for the forward and reverse reactions in each system. However, the pathways for the high spin iron and manganese reactions are discreetly different from each other. For Fe reactions, the reactivity is based on electrons being added to or removed from $t_{2 g}(\pi)$ orbitals whereas for Mn reactions, the reactivity is based on electrons being added to or removed from $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}\left(\sigma^{*}\right)$
orbitals as in the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Fe}(\text { II }) t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}^{* 2} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Fe}(\mathrm{III}) t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}^{* 2} \\
& \operatorname{Mn}(\mathrm{II}) t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Mn}(\mathrm{IV}) t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \text { or } \\
& \operatorname{Mn}(\mathrm{II}) t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Mn}(\mathrm{III}) t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The octahedral aqueous ions of $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III}), \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$, and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ are all labile and undergo ligand exchange. However, octahedral $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$ has an inert electron configuration so does not undergo ligand exchange readily even under acidic conditions. This inertness can retard chemical reactivity. With this brief overview, the reaction of the aqueous reduced metal ions with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and their solid oxidized phases with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (Chapter 11) over a range of pH values are described.

### 10.4.1 Oxidation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ - Environmentally Important Metal Electron Transfer Reactions

In Chapter 9, electron transfer reactions were shown to be first order in the oxidant and reductant (Equation 9.72) for an overall second-order reaction. The experimentally determined rate laws are normally more complicated because of pH dependence for the chemical species in the reactions and the formation of solid products that autocatalyze the redox reaction. The oxidation reactions of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ are examples of these complications. They are also examples of metal-centered redox reactions as the metal loses an electron directly to the oxidant.

Figure 10.2a shows idealized kinetic plots obtained for the reactions of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. For Fe (II), pseudo first order plots are obtained, and the experimentally determined rate law over pH is given in Equations 10.1a and 10.1 b where $k_{\mathrm{H}}=3 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{d}{d t}[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})] & =k_{\mathrm{H}}[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})  \tag{10.1a}\\
& =\left(k_{0}\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right]+k_{1}\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})^{+}\right]+k_{2}\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]\right)\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})\right] \tag{10.1b}
\end{align*}
$$

[ Fe (II)] indicates all forms of dissolved Fe (II) (Equation 10.1a) or total Fe (II) in solution. Equation 10.1b from [10] explicitly indicates the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ speciation; on increasing $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}$replaces $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a ligand and binds $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$. Note the overall second-order dependence in Equation 10.1b where each Fe (II) species is considered in the parentheses. As pH increases the number of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$bound to $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ increases.

However, for $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$, there is curvature in the kinetic plots (Figure 10.2a) indicating that more $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ is lost than expected; this behavior suggests an autocatalytic reaction [11]. Although the initial oxidation is first order in $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, the experimentally determined rate law is Equation 10.2, and the second autocatalytic term indicates that Mn (II) adsorbs or binds to the surface of solid $\mathrm{MnO}_{x}\left(\mathrm{MnOOH}, \mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$, or other solid-phase Mn compound), which forms in the reaction. In the first term of Equation 10.2, $k_{1}$ is a pseudo first order constant representing the loss of dissolved $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$, and it depends on $\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right] \mathrm{aq}, \mathrm{pH}$, temperature, and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ species.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d t}[\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})]=k_{1}[\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})]+k_{2}[\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})]\left[\mathrm{MnO}_{x}\right] \tag{10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that Figure 2.9a described the thermodynamics of $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ oxidation by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and oxidized Fe and Mn solid phases in a multielectron process for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. However, that analysis is in contrast to the known reactivity and kinetics of these reactions that indicate that $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ is mainly unreactive below a pH of $8-9$, and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ is unreactive below a pH of 5 . Figure 10.2 b (also see Figure 2.9 b)


Figure 10.2 (a) Idealized kinetics plots for $\mathrm{Fe}(I I)$ and Mn (II) oxidation with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. (b) Calculated thermodynamic data with experimental kinetics data for the oxidation of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$. Favorable reactions are those above the horizontal line. Hausmannite $\left(\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ is the first formed oxide [8, 9]
shows the available kinetic data for the reactions of Fe (II) species and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ compiled by [10] and of $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ species and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ compiled by [11] over the pH range from 4-10 as well as the calculated thermodynamic data for the first electron transfer $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ to $\left.\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right)$to form FeOOH and $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. The arrows indicate the predicted lowest pH at which the oxidation reactions are favorable. As noted in Chapter 2, these calculations are reasonable to one $\Delta \log K$ unit. The rate constants are very slow at the lower pH values; for $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$, the abiotic reaction has not been observed to occur below $\mathrm{pH}=8$. These data indicate that these reactions are controlled by the first electron accepted by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$, whose formation becomes thermodynamically more favorable as the pH increases $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right.$as a ligand binding to these $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{II})$ species becomes important].The first electron transfer steps can be represented by these reactions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{O}_{2} } & \rightarrow \mathrm{FeOOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
{\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{O}_{2} } & \rightarrow \mathrm{MnOOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

An important geochemical point is that the banded iron formations (BIFs), which are alternating layers of silica and iron(III) oxyhydroxides, have very low Mn content. Thus, the oxidation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ in the ancient ocean must have occurred at pH values less than 8 or 9 .

The primary reason for the difference in reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is related to the metal HOMOs and the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ LUMO or SOMO. $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ have HOMO and LUMO orbitals of $\pi$ character as shown in Figure 10.3. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ can interact with $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ in either an "end on" or "side on" position without a $\sigma$ bond forming between the reactants. The $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \mathrm{d}_{x z}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{y z}$ orbitals can interact with the $\mathrm{O}_{2} \pi^{*}{ }_{x}$ and $\pi^{*}{ }_{y}$ orbitals, respectively, for electron transfer. Thus, these reactants are ideal for an outer sphere electron transfer process with a low kinetic barrier [12]. Use of the Marcus cross relation for these reactants provides excellent agreement with experimental data [13]. For $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$, deviation of the kinetics from linearity at $\mathrm{pH}>8$ suggests that an inner sphere electron transfer process may also occur, and that the chemical species is $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]^{0}$. As pH increases, the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ligand forms a $\sigma$ bond with $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ through its $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbital and a $\pi$ bond to $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ through its $1 \pi \mathrm{p}_{x}$ orbital (lower part of Figure 10.3). This enhances the metal's


Figure 10.3 Frontier MOs for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$; both side on and end on attack by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ are shown. There are no $\sigma$ bonds between $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, but there is $\pi$ overlap for an outer sphere electron transfer. At higher pH , the bonding of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to $\mathrm{Fe}(I I)$ results in both $\sigma$ and $\pi$ overlap (dashed arrows), which enhances $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ reducing power (the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is on the z axis). The circles in the energy level diagrams indicate that these $\pi$ orbitals have continuous overlap between $\mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$, and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. The black and gray lobes for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ are in the yz plane
ability to lose an electron (see Figure 8.31 for case 2 octahedral MO diagrams). In essence, the addition of $\pi$ donor ligands to the metal center enhances its basicity (metal basicity) or reducing power. Also, the hydroxide stabilizes the Fe (III) product, which can hydrolyze further to oxides such as $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (hematite). Because this outer sphere reaction is thermodynamically favorable and kinetically unhindered, it is possible to create $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$ and other ROS in groundwater and deep waters, where light does not penetrate and there is a supply of Fe (II) and $\mathrm{O}_{2}[14]$ (see also Figure 1.13b and c).

In contrast, Figure 10.4 shows that $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ has a HOMO orbital $\left(\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}\right)$ with $\sigma$ character that cannot overlap with the $\pi^{*}$ LUMO of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in an outer sphere electron transfer process as in Figure 10.3. Thus, this is a symmetry-forbidden process. Use of the Marcus cross relation for these reactants indicates that experimental kinetic data are $4-5$ orders of magnitude faster than the calculated rate [13], further indicating that an outer sphere process is not accessible. The oxidation occurs at higher pH , indicating that the reactive species is not $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$, but $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]^{0}$. To overcome this barrier to an outer sphere electron transfer process, an inner sphere electron transfer process with a $\sigma$ bond between $\left(\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}\right.$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\pi^{*}{ }_{x}\right)$ must occur. Here, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ binds with $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ in an "end on" or angular $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ arrangement. At higher pH , a similar inner sphere process is also possible for $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$.

Equation 10.2 indicated that autocatalytic behavior occurs in $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ oxidation with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Oxide ions on the $\mathrm{MnO}_{x}$ surface would serve to replace $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$as a $\pi$ donor ligand as they would bind dissolved $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ (surface


Figure 10.4 Frontier MOs for $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. There is no $\pi$ overlap for an outer sphere electron transfer. An angular $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} \sigma$ bond occurs between $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. At higher pH , both $\sigma$ and $\pi$ overlaps from $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to $M n(I I)$ occur to enhance the reducing power of $M n(I I)$. The black and gray lobes for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and Mn(II) are in the yz plane
complexation); thus, loss of an electron from Mn (II) should occur readily. Mn (II) oxidation can occur at lower pH values than shown in Figure 10.2b when $\mathrm{MnO}_{x}$ is present as in soils and sediments. The $\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$ of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ is 4.6 (see Table 7.9 ; different $\mathrm{Mn}($ III,IV) solid phases have values ranging from 2.8 to 4.6) indicating that $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binds readily to its surface at $\mathrm{pH} \geq 4.6$. The following reaction steps describe Mn (II) oxidation with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and are consistent with the rate law. The $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ adsorption step occurs at the water exchange rate of the individual $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ species, which depends on the pH .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]^{0}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{2}} & \text { (slow) } \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{2}+\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{2} \bullet \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II}) & \text { (adsorption is very fast) } \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{MnO}_{2} & \text { (fast) } \tag{fast}
\end{array}
$$

In addition to surface complexation, ligands in soluble metal-ligand complexes can donate electrons to $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and enhance the loss of electrons to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and other electron acceptors so that $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ oxidation can occur at lower pH values [15-18]. Bacteria can also facilitate metal oxidation as both direct (enzymatic) and indirect (e.g., non-enzymatic bacterial spore coat mediation) processes are well documented for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ oxidation [19].

### 10.4.2 Redox Properties of Iron-Ligand Complexes

The redox potentials (Table 10.1 in Section 10.2) for the reduction of Fe (III) complexes to Fe (II) complexes or $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ are instructive with regard to the stabilization of Fe (III) versus Fe (II). Fe (II) is more stable at higher E values, and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ is more stable when the E value is negative. Note that $\pi$ acceptor ligands stabilize $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$, which is important for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ storage and transport discussed in the next section, and $\pi$ donor ligands stabilize Fe (III). The increased number of ligating atoms (denticity) in a ligand binding to Fe also influences the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III}) / \mathrm{Fe}$ (II) reduction potential [1, 2]. The reduction potentials for the last two entries in Table 1 are siderophore compounds, which have six ligating atoms per ligand bound to Fe. These complexes are so stable
that free ligands secreted by organisms can solubilize $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ solid phases to acquire Fe . Table 10.1 also shows that Fe-ligand chemistry spans the entire redox range found in Figure 10.1; thus, a variety of Fe-ligand compounds are found in organisms to affect biochemical processes (see Chapter 12).

Oxygen reduction to $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$and ultimately $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ occurs via the following four one-electron steps with consumption of hydrogen ions (Equation 10.3a-10.3d; Half reaction potentials at standard state and $\mathrm{pH}=7$ from Table 2.2) so that four $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ are needed to fully reduce each $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule with formation of Fe and Mn solid oxidation products.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-} & \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=-2.72 ; E_{\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{pH} 7)}=0.059(-2.72)=-0.16 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} & \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=29.08-2 \mathrm{pH} ; E_{\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{pH} 7)}=0.059(15.08)=+0.89 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \cdot \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} & \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=16.71-\mathrm{pH} ; E_{\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{pH} 7)}=0.059(9.71)=+0.57 \mathrm{~V} \\
\cdot \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{p} \varepsilon=42.92-\mathrm{pH} ; E_{\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{pH} 7)}=0.059(35.92)=2.12 \mathrm{~V} \tag{10.3d}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 10.5 shows that the $\operatorname{ROS}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \cdot \mathrm{OH}\right)$ have almost no thermodynamic barrier from $\mathrm{pH} 0-14$ [20] or significant kinetic barrier for reaction with $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. Thus, it is possible to oxidize $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ at lower pH in environmental waters if $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ or other ROS are present. For example, the kinetics of the reactions of $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$with $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ bound to sulfate, phosphate, and pyrophosphate has been shown to have high rate constants that exceed $10^{7} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ [21]. Thus, these reactions are not rate limiting. The reaction of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is known as the Fenton reaction, which has high oxidizing capability when the Fe (III) formed can be reduced back to $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ in situ. Complexation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ with siderophore-type ligands (Table 10.1) prevents the thermal reduction of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ and the Fenton reaction from proceeding. With light activation, ligand to metal charge transfer can occur, leading to Fe (II) complexes.

### 10.4.3 Metal Ions Exhibiting Outer Sphere Electron Transfer

In addition to $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\left[t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2}\right.$; octahedral] exhibiting outer sphere electron transfer reactions, $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}\left[e^{4} t_{2}{ }^{6}\right.$; tetrahedral $]$ and $\mathrm{V}^{4+}\left[t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{1} e_{\mathrm{g}} 0 *\right.$; octahedral $]$ lose electrons from $t_{2}$ orbitals during oxidation by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. A plot of the $\log K_{\text {reaction }}$ versus $\log k_{e t}$ for the oxidation of these ions, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}, \mathrm{FeOH}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ yields a linear free energy relationship as expected from Marcus theory [13].

### 10.5 Oxygen $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ Storage and Transport

From the above discussion on $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ and Fe (II) oxidation in natural waters, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is a potent oxidant, and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ stabilize these metal ions in their higher oxidation states resulting in the formation of solid oxides or oxyhydroxides in the absence of ligands, which can bind and stabilize metals in solution. Vertebrate and invertebrate animals breathe or use $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ for life processes so must prevent the oxidation of reduced metal ions and the formation of their higher oxidation state metal oxide or (oxy)hydroxide solids. The porphyrins are four-coordinate nitrogen-containing ligands that can complex a metal ion efficiently, and when housed within a protein, the metal center binds with histidine residues to form five-coordinate metal centers that then react with small molecules such as $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CN}^{-}$, and NO while simultaneously preventing solid metal oxide formation. Formally, the metal center goes from 16 electrons to 18 electrons (EAN rule), and the reaction with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is reversible.

Figure 10.6a shows the basic porphyrin building block without any substitution of hydrogen atoms on the carbon atoms. When a metal binds with a porphyrin, the two N-H hydrogen atoms are removed and all four


Figure 10.5 Thermodynamics of the four successive one electron transfer reactions for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Source: From [20]. Reproduced with permission from Springer.)
nitrogen atoms bind with the metal; the resulting complex is known as a metalloporphyrin (Figure 10.6b). Figure 10.6 c shows the primary structure of the heme group, which contains four methyl groups and two carboxylic acid groups, as well as two side chains $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ that are hydrophobic. Figure 10.6 d shows the bonding of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$, which is bound to the nitrogen atoms of the heme group and a histidine group. The bonding of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes found in blood is now described.

### 10.5.1 Hemoglobin

Hemoglobin (also spelled as haemoglobin) is the metalloprotein that contains iron for oxygen transport in the red blood cells of all vertebrates. In the lungs (or gills), hemoglobin binds $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and transports it to the rest of


Figure 10.6 (a) The porphyrin molecule. (b) Basic structure of a metalloprotein. (c) Structure of the heme group with representative porphyrin substitutions. (d) $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ binding in a Fe(II) porphyrin. Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)
the body (tissues, muscles) where it is then released in the process of respiration, which provides energy to run the metabolic machinery of the body, producing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ as products. Although hemoglobin must bind and transport $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, it must be able to release it. In the tissues, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is released to myoglobin, which has a stronger binding affinity for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ than hemoglobin at lower $\mathbf{p H}$ and lower partial pressures of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$; thus, the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ formed aids in the release of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ from the hemoglobin to myoglobin. The bonding and chemistry of hemoglobin has been well studied, and involves the stability and size of Fe (II) once it is in a low spin state.

In hemoglobin, there are four heme groups, which bind one $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ each. Fe (II) is bound to the four nitrogen atoms in the heme group and an additional histidine nitrogen atom from a side chain of the protein (termed the proximal region) for a coordination number of five (Figure 10.7a).

In human lungs, the sixth coordination site has a water molecule loosely bound to the Fe (II) prior to binding with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, and this complex is known as deoxyhemoglobin. Assuming a localized octahedral geometry, the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ has the high spin electron configuration, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2}$. Because high spin Fe (II) is 92 pm in size and has $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ electrons pointing to the ligands, it cannot fit easily into the porphyrin ring so it sits above the plane of the porphyrin ligand by about 40 pm . As $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ enters the lungs, it displaces water and binds the Fe (II) as shown in the schematic diagram at the right of Figure 10.7a (also the structure in Figure 10.6d). The binding results in an angular $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ bond (angular $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$; Figure 10.7b), and this complex is known as oxyhemoglobin. The $\mathrm{O}_{2} \pi_{x}$ * orbital forms a $\sigma$ bond with the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ orbital similar to that noted in Figure 10.4; in addition,


$$
\begin{gathered}
\uparrow \underset{\sim}{\uparrow} \\
\underset{\sim}{\uparrow} \uparrow \underset{\mathrm{g}^{*}, \sigma^{*}}{\uparrow} \\
t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}, \pi
\end{gathered}
$$


(a)



(b)

Figure 10.7 (a) The change in coordination number and spin state for Fe(II) on going from deoxyhemoglobin ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ weakly bonds at the six site) to oxyhemoglobin. (b) The Fe(II) and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ frontier molecular orbitals involved in $\sigma$ (dashed arrow) and $\pi$ (solid arrows) bonding. The black and gray lobes for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(I I)$ are in the yz plane
the $\mathrm{O}_{2} \pi_{y} *$ orbital forms a $\pi$ bond with the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{d}_{y z}$ orbital as $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is a $\pi$ acceptor ligand. However, the spin state of Fe (II) changes from high spin to low spin, $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$, which reduces the size of Fe (II) to 75 pm so it can now fit into the square plane of the porphyrin ligand. The more stable bonding in the plane and the increase in MOSE arising from the low spin conversion further stabilizes the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ bond to dissociation. The overall result of $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ bonding is a diamagnetic complex (formally one $\pi^{*}$ orbital of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has two electrons so becomes ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ whereas the other $\pi^{*}$ orbital of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ accepts electrons from $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ). At the tissues, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is released from hemoglobin to deoxymyoglobin (initially high spin then converted to low spin on bonding with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ), which contains only one heme group, and the hemoglobin returns to the lungs to repeat the process.

There are a couple of essential features to this $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ exchange process at the tissues. First, at the tissues in the muscles where respiration occurs, the local pH value is approximately 6.8 due to the production of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ compared to the 7.4 found in the blood. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is a waste product, which binds to histidine residues in the side chains of the protein. The lower pH weakens the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ bond so that hemoglobin can release $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to myoglobin, which has a higher affinity for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at this lower pH [22]. Second, the proteins in hemoglobin and myoglobin help prevent the oxidation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ via steric factors; formation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ renders the heme group incapable of oxygen transport. Formation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ results in the loss of Fe from the body as in
the equation below. Nevertheless, in the human body, the iron needs to be replaced on the timescale of 100 to 120 days in an adult.

$$
4 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right] \rightarrow \text { excretion or loss of } \mathrm{Fe}
$$

The size of red blood cells varies from 6 to $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in adult humans, and the average molecular mass for hemoglobin is approximately four times that of myoglobin, which is $\sim 17 \mathrm{kDa}$. Thus, the bonding and chemistry involved with oxygen transport by iron is not formally a solution process with dissolved chemical species. As a result of the size of the red blood cells and the molecular mass of the metalloproteins, only small diatomic molecules can easily get to the distal or ligand substitution site in the heme group. There are several small molecules and ions that are toxic to the system at the pH of the blood (7.4). HCN and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ are predominantly $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$at blood pH so can readily bind with $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$. High concentrations of these ions along with carbon monoxide result in asphyxiation and death.

Interestingly, the deep-sea tubeworm, Riftia pachyptila, has red blood $(\mathrm{pH}=7.4)$ that contains hemoglobin similar to humans. However, it grows and survives by hosting endosymbiont chemoautotrophic bacteria that can perform chemosynthesis using the reaction between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. X-ray data indicate that the hemoglobin has separate sites containing $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ions that bind $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$so that both reactants are transported on the protein to the location where the endosymbionts perform chemosynthesis; thus, the $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ centers do not react with sulfide [23].

### 10.5.2 Hemocyanin and Hemerythrin

There are many other known biological $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ carriers, and two more are noted that have different bonding between the metal and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ than hemoglobin as there is no heme group (formally the word "heme" comes from the Greek work meaning blood). Hemocyanin (a blue copper protein) gives the blood a blue color and is found in snails (mollusks) and crabs (arthropods) [24]. The active site contains two $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$ions with each bound to three histidine groups from the protein; thus, each Cu has 16 electrons. On reaction with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, both $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$ions are oxidized to $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ as $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is reduced to $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ (Figure 10.8).The $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ binds side on between the two copper atoms similar to the binding of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in Vaska's complex (Figure 9.20a; see analogy to ethylene in Figure 8.33 d ) so that each Cu now has 18 electrons. The complex is diamagnetic, indicating that the spins are antiparallel for the two $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ions.

Hemerythrin is found in sea worms, and gives the blood a red color. The active site again contains two $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ions bound to donor atoms from histidine groups in the protein rather than a heme group similar to hemocyanin. However, there is one bridging OH group between the two Fe atoms with one $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ six coordinate (bound to three histidine groups and two carboxyl bridging groups) whereas the other $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ is five coordinate (bound to two histidine groups and the two carboxyl bridging groups); thus, the latter $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ can accept 2 electrons from a donor ligand to obtain 18 electrons. Figure 10.9 shows that the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ binds end on to only the five-coordinate $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ion (similar to hemoglobin); also, the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ does hydrogen bond to the H atom from the OH group bridging the two $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ions [25].

### 10.6 Oxidation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, Hydrocarbons, $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$

As noted in Equation 1.20, methane is produced in the environment during organic matter decomposition (methanogenesis; formally a disproportionation reaction) when all other electron acceptors have been consumed. In Section 5.6.1, the reaction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ was shown to be kinetically hindered although thermodynamically favorable. Fortunately, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is oxidized by microbial processes [26] as it diffuses through the


Figure 10.8 Reaction of two $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$groups with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to produce hemocyanin (structures prepared using Hyperchem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ version 8.0.10). (Lower right) Blood containing hemocyanin from the deep-sea vent snail Alviniconcha spp. collected at the Eastern Lau Spreading Center (2100 m water depth)


Figure 10.9 Reaction of deoxyhemerythrin with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form oxyhemerythrin. $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ indicate the $O$ atoms in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ that bind to $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, respectively. Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program (version 8.0.10)
sediment and/or water column and before it reaches the atmosphere where it acts as a greenhouse gas that is 20 times more potent than $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. In marine systems, sulfate is an oxidant and in fresh water, oxidized Fe and/or Mn solid phases are possible oxidants used by bacteria. The thermodynamics for the reactions of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ with these metal oxide phases is not always favorable at near neutral pH (Figure 10.10). Thus, organisms have produced efficient enzyme systems to activate both reactants using mainly iron and copper for metal catalysis and then to use the energy from the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ oxidation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and other hydrocarbons, which are produced naturally (including oil and gas formation).

### 10.6.1 Cytochrome P450: An Example of Cytochrome (Heme - $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) Redox Chemistry

Cytochrome P 450 is a monooxidase enzyme or metalloenzyme system [27, 28] that has a Fe-heme complex that absorbs light at 450 nm (a sharp Soret band) as well as a redox potential at the lower end of cytochromes in Table 10.1. In this biochemical system, electron transfer reactions between redox partners (NADH to P450)


Figure 10.10 Reaction of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{Cu}(I I)$, and natural metal oxide and oxyhydroxide phases using the equations from Table 2.2
within the protein occur as in photosynthesis (see below), but the reactions are not light activated as they are thermodynamically favorable (Figure 10.1). Although the P450 redox potential is not sufficient to oxidize hydrocarbons directly [e.g.; in Table 10.1, $E_{(\mathrm{pH} 7)}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)=0.173 \mathrm{~V}$ ], cytochromes are capable of oxidizing hydrocarbons to alcohols as the Fe -porphyrin complex cycles Fe between the +2 , +3 , and +4 oxidation states. Also, the Fe center and its redox potential are tuned as in Figure 10.1. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is reduced to form a $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{O}$ oxo intermediate (known as ferryl Fe ), which also forms a free radical on the porphyrin ring that in turn abstracts a $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ from the hydrocarbon allowing incoming water to form ROH . In this process (Equation 10.4), two $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and two one-electron transfers occur so formally $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is first reduced to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, which then oxidizes the alkane. Figure 10.10 shows that the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction with $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is more favorable than the $\mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ reaction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{RH})+\mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{ROH})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 10.11 shows some of the known mechanistic details. The Fe in the heme (an iron protoporphyrin IX) is coordinated on the proximal side by a thiolate ion and by water on the distal side (I). $\mathrm{The}^{2+}$ is $d^{5}(E A N=17)$ and low spin. On addition of the hydrocarbon $(R H)$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ displacement, $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ becomes high spin (II, EAN $=15$ ), and the Fe redox potential shifts 300 mV , enabling it to accept an electron from a redox partner (e.g., NADH). After reduction, $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ becomes $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and binds $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in a manner similar to hemoglobin (III, oxy compound low spin $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{EAN}=18$ ). On addition of a second electron from a redox partner and a first $\mathrm{H}^{+}$transfer to the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, a peroxy, $\mathrm{HO}_{2}, \mathrm{Fe}$ species (species IV), forms; $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ also provides an electron to the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ species reforming $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{EAN}=17)$. On additional $\mathrm{H}^{+}$transfer to the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$, water is lost and an O atom is left bound to the $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$. Loss of another electron from $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ to form $\mathrm{Fe}^{4+}$ as well as a second from the porphyrin to form a $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ radical cation $\left(\mathrm{P}^{+}\right)$on the delocalized porphyrin ring leads to the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{O}$ ferryl or oxo intermediate ( $\mathbf{V}, \mathrm{EAN}=18$; both high spin and low spin complexes are possible). The porphyrin free radical ion abstracts a $\mathrm{H} \bullet$ from the alkane to form $\mathrm{R} \cdot$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{OH}$ species (VI). Addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ results in ROH and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$formation as $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IV})$ is reduced to $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$, reforming the starting species I. Because the redox process forms a $\pi$ radical cation on the porphyrin ( $\mathrm{P}^{+}+$), which reacts with the alkane substrate, this can be


Figure 10.11 Cytochrome P450 cycle mechanistic details. Fe electron configurations are for approximate $\mathrm{D}_{4 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry; the high spin diagram at the left is for structure II. Electrons at steps II $\rightarrow$ III and III $\rightarrow$ IV come from a redox partner
considered a ligand-centered redox reaction (Equation 10.5) in contrast to the metal-centered reactions in Section 10.4.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}^{n+}(\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{Ox} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{n+}\left(\mathrm{P}^{+}\right)+\mathrm{Ox}^{-} ; \quad \text { then } \quad \mathrm{M}^{n+}\left(\mathrm{P}^{+}\right)+\mathrm{RH} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{n+}(\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{R} \bullet \tag{10.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{M}^{n+}(\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{Ox} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{n+}\left(\mathrm{P}^{+}\right)+\mathrm{Ox}^{-} ; \quad \text { then } \quad \mathrm{M}^{n+}\left(\mathrm{P}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{n+1}(\mathrm{P})
$$

Other heme-Fe enzymes include the peroxidases, which have similar mechanistic details that start with structure IV in Figure 10.11. Peroxidases convert $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ while oxidizing an organic substrate (e.g., glutathione or GSH in Equation 10.6). Other metals are used in peroxidase enzymes including Vanadium in the haloperoxidases.

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{GSH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{GS}-\mathrm{SG}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \tag{10.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 10.6.2 Conversion of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$to $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$(Nitrification or Aerobic Ammonium Oxidation)

Other monooxidases use Cu blue proteins similar to hemocyanin and Cu -heme groups to catalyze the oxidation of alkanes, nitrogen species, and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$in the first two-electron transfer step [24, 29]. Ammonium monooxygenase is a $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Fe}$ metalloenzyme that archaea and bacteria use to convert ammonia to $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ as the first intermediate along the path to form nitrate. Similar to cytochrome $\mathrm{P} 450, \mathrm{O}_{2}$ must be converted to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Equation 10.4) as the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction with ammonia to form $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ is unfavorable thermodynamically

Table 10.2 Half reactions (equations from Table 2.2), voltages and enzymes for the three reactions resulting in nitrate formation (nitrification)

| Half reaction (Table 2.2 equation) | $\mathrm{mV}(\mathrm{pH} 7)$ | Enzyme |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $(\mathrm{N} 6 \mathrm{c})$ | -1137 | Fe/Cu ammonium monooxygenase |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | $(\mathrm{N} 19)$ | +60 | P460 heme hydroxylamine oxidoreductase |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $(\mathrm{N} 1)$ | +55 | Mo nitrite oxidoreductase |

(Equations 10.7a and 10.7b) whereas the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction is favorable (Equations 10.7 c and 10.7 d ).

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} & -707 \mathrm{mV} & {[\mathrm{~N} 6 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{O} 2 \text { Table 2.2] }} \\
\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} & -722 \mathrm{mV} & {[\mathrm{~N} 6 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{O} 2 \text { Table 2.2] }} \\
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}^{+} & 209 \mathrm{mV} & {[\mathrm{~N} 6 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{O} 3 \text { Table 2.2] }} \\
\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & 275 \mathrm{mV} & {[\mathrm{~N} 6 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{O} 3 \text { Table 2.2] }} \tag{10.7d}
\end{array}
$$

The four-electron oxidation of $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ to $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$is mediated by a P 460 -heme known as hydroxylamine oxidoreductase, and the oxidation of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$to $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$is performed by an MoS complex in the enzyme system known as nitrite oxidoreductase (Table 10.2). Thus, three distinct enzyme systems are used for the eight-electron oxidation of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$to $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$(nitrification), and the key step is the monooxygenase converting $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to oxidize $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$to $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$. Section 12.7 discusses anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) in which a similar process occurs when NO and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$react to form $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

### 10.7 Oxygen Production in Photosynthesis

Thus far, the discussion has centered on $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ as an oxidant and its conversion to water as in Equations 10.3a10.3 d , or $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ binding with metals for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ transport within organisms. The reverse process for Equations $10.3 \mathrm{a}-10.3 \mathrm{~d}$ is the oxidation and splitting of water to form $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ during photosynthesis (Equation 10.8), which is a complex process that continues to be elucidated [30,31]. In the water oxidation reaction, an $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Ca}\right] \mathrm{Mn}$ complex (where brackets indicate a cluster) known as the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) in photosystem center II (PSII) stores oxidizing equivalents for the four-electron oxidation of two water molecules to form $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Equation 10.3 d shows that the first electron transfer (half-reaction) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ oxidation has a significant thermodynamic barrier of -2.12 V .

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} \tag{10.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As noted above, oxidation of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ is not a thermodynamically favorable process at neutral pH . During photosynthesis, there are several transformations involving electrons and hydrogen ions that use light harvesting from chlorophyll- $a$ to oxidize Mn. During the redox transformations, the $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Ca}\right] \mathrm{Mn}$ cluster (Figure 10.12a) contains a different number of $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III}, \mathrm{IV}$ ) ions (Figure 10.12b) and possibly a $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{V})$ ion with a $\mathrm{Mn}=\mathrm{O}$ bond. Also, it has a distorted $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Ca}\right]$ cube as $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ ions exhibit Jahn-Teller distortion. There are O bridging atoms between the metal ions so that all metal ions are six coordinate, and these O atoms may become protonated during photosynthesis. Interestingly, $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ are labile metal ions (Table 9.1), but $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ tends to be inert and has shorter bonds. The $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ lability is important in the first part


Figure 10.12 (a) Structure and numbering system representing the [ $\left.\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Ca}\right] \mathrm{Mn}$ oxygen-evolving complex produced using Hyperchem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ version 8.0.10. The Mn and Ca ions are bound by other protein residues (not shown) including histidine, and bridging carboxyl groups of aspartate and glutamate. (b) The Kok cycle with the probable Mn oxidation states and intermediate oxygen species leading to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ formation
of the process and the $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ inertness later in the process. The electrons and hydrogen ions produced in PSII are ultimately used to reduce $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to produce carbohydrates via the Calvin Benson cycle. Once formed, the carbohydrates are precursors for the formation of other molecules needed by the organism; thus, energy from sunlight is stored as chemical energy.
Figure 10.12b shows the Kok cycle, which indicates the five proposed redox states ( $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ through $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ ) for the Mn ions in each S state. These states have been studied with dark acclimation followed by light flashes. The $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state is the most reduced state, but the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ redox state is the native dark state, which has $2 \mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ and $2 \mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ ions (both in the cage) and where $\mu_{4}-\mathrm{O}_{5}$ forms in the OEC (an open cluster exists at $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and becomes a closed cluster at $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ ). After dark acclimation and providing the first three light flashes, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ formation is highest. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is formed again at every fourth subsequent flash, but production decreases ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is produced at each S state when there is full light). The OEC is near a membrane containing chlorophyll- $a$, and in the dark, no absorption of a photon occurs at the chlorophyll- $a$ in the PSII reaction center $\left(\mathrm{P}_{680}\right.$ for the absorption peak maximum at 680 nm ). When exposed to flashes of light, $\mathrm{P}_{680}$ is oxidized leaving an electron hole that oxidizes tyrosine (an intermediate donor molecule) in a protein that is part of the reaction center. Once tyrosine is oxidized, it oxidizes one of the Mn ions in the OEC, which in turn oxidizes water. The entire water oxidation process occurs when four successive photons are absorbed by the reaction center so that there are four consecutive Mn photo-oxidations between states $S_{0}-S_{1}, S_{1}-S_{2}, S_{2}-S_{3}$, and $S_{3}-S_{4}$ resulting in the loss of electrons and hydrogen ions during each photo-oxidation step (the duration of each flash of light occurs over the range of $30-300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ and increases with S redox state number). Although two water molecules are bound to the OEC at the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ state, the formation of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond appears to first occur at the $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ state [32, 33]. At $\mathrm{S}_{3}$, all Mn ions are +4 so that the Mn distances are at their shortest and ligand dissociation is less. Once the four oxidizing equivalents are formed at $S_{4}$, the spontaneous formation ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) and release of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ occurs, leading to the $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ to $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ transformation. During the entire process, water molecule 2 bound to $\mathrm{Mn}_{4}$ or water molecule 3 bound to

Ca moves to $\mathrm{O}_{5}$ that binds with $\mathrm{Mn}_{1}$ to form the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond prior to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ release [33]. The Mn oxidation states in the $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ state are not precisely known at this time, but a $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{V})$ oxidation state has been suggested. Because the metal and other redox centers are stabilized or embedded in the protein, the reorganization energy $\lambda$ from Equation 9.76 is $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{eV}$, and electron transfer is fast.

At present, the energies of the Mn oxidation state transformations are not well documented. Because $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ is reduced to $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ in the OEC by water, a possible thermodynamic way to look at these S state conversions is to look at the energetics of the $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}\right)$ to $\mathrm{MnOOH}\left(\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}\right)$ reduction coupled with the water oxidation reactions using the equations from Chapter 2 (Table 2.2; reaction Mn4 - reactions 06,07,08,09a). Equations 10.9 through 10.13 represent possible $S$ redox transitions shown in Figure 10.12b. The last reaction $\left(\mathrm{S}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)$ is thermodynamically spontaneous. The other four are not, so require light activation. The reactions which form $\cdot \mathrm{OH}$ are the steps that require the most energy ( -1.57 V ), and in the $\mathrm{S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ step, the $\cdot \mathrm{OH}$ becomes the $\mu_{4}-\mathrm{O}_{5}$ bridged species. The unfavorable energetics of these full reactions (Equations 10.9-10.12) are compensated for by the oxidation of $\mathrm{P}_{680}$ (photon of 1.82 eV ), which has a redox difference of +1.7 V when the phaeophytin electron acceptor is considered [34].

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{MnO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnOOH}+\cdot \mathrm{OH}\left(\text { as } \mu_{4}-\mathrm{O}_{5}\right) & \Delta E=-1.57 \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{~S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{1} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnOOH}+\cdot \mathrm{OH} & \Delta E=-1.57 \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{~S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{2} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{2}+\cdot \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}\left(\text {as } \mu_{4}-\mathrm{O}_{5}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{MnOOH}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}^{-} & \Delta E=-0.03 \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{~S}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{3} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{2}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnOOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-} & \Delta E=-0.35 \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{~S}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{4} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnOOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} & \Delta E=+0.71 \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{~S}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{0} \tag{10.13}
\end{array}
$$
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## 11

## Solid Phase Iron and Manganese Oxidants and Reductants

### 11.1 Introduction

When Fe and Mn are oxidized in the environment, metal (oxy)hydroxides $\left[\mathrm{MnOOH}, \mathrm{FeOOH}, \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]$ and oxides $\left(\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ form. These are susceptible to reduction by a variety of natural reductants including sulfide and organic compounds, and the reactions are pH dependent. In environmental systems, the most powerful and abundant oxidant after $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ (Equation 1.21). Sulfide is used to describe metal oxide and (oxy)hydroxide reduction (other reductants undergo similar pathways). In natural sediments and stratified waters, the iron cycle would appear to be limited to one-electron transfer reactions whereas the manganese cycle can undergo one- or two-electron transfer reactions [1]. However, these are solids with bands of orbitals so two-electron transfers are possible. Figure 11.1 shows aspects of these environmental cycles that were noted in Section 1.8.2 and in Figure 1.13, which showed the overlap of dissolved oxidants and reductants due to molecular diffusion.

In some natural systems (e.g., the Black Sea and sediments), Mn is the dominant metal at the oxic/suboxic (OMZ)/anoxic transition zones (Section 1.8.2), but Fe is also important in most systems. The reaction of upward diffusing $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ with downward diffusing $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ leads to ROS, and the reactions of oxidized Mn and Fe with upward diffusing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ lead to intermediate oxidation state sulfur compounds ( $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{S}_{8}$, $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ). During these latter reactions, $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ forms iron sulfide phases ( $\mathrm{FeS}, \mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ ) with either $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ or $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ (see Section 12.6) whereas Mn does not. Lastly, the reaction of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ compounds with $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III}, \mathrm{IV})$ phases is also favorable and facile.

### 11.2 Reduction of Solid $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ by Sulfide

In Section 5.4.8, the oxidation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ was discussed, and the one-electron transfer was shown to be thermodynamically unfavorable. The oxidation of sulfide by oxidized iron and manganese phases is now discussed, and they are important reactions serving to recycle solid phase iron and manganese back to the dissolved state. These are more complicated reactions as the solids have a band of orbitals to accept electrons

[^10]
(a)

(b)

Figure 11.1 (a) $\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ cycle. (b) $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{FeS}_{x}$ cycle. Dashed arrows show electron transfer reactions for the metals; blue arrows indicate two-electron transfer reactions. On oxidation of $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{M}^{3+}, \mathrm{MOOH}$ solids or metal-ligand complexes $\left(\mathrm{M}^{3+} \mathrm{L}\right)$ can form as products
for reduction (conduction band) rather than discrete orbitals as for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. They also have a band of orbitals (valence band) to donate electrons to form inner sphere complexes. Also, sulfide exists in solution as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and/or $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ in freshwater equals $7.0 ; 6.55$ in seawater). With this as the starting point, the calculations in Figure 11.2 show that the two-electron transfer reactions of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$to form $\mathrm{S}(0)$ are thermodynamically favorable from low pH to nearly $9-10$; the $\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$ for many $\mathrm{Fe}($ III $)$ solids ranges from 5.4 to 8.0.

Calculations show that there is no apparent thermodynamic barrier for the two-electron transfer reactions of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$; the $\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$ for $\delta \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ is 4.6 . Two-electron transfer steps to form $\mathrm{S}(0)$ are chosen as one-electron transfer steps have a thermodynamic barrier in forming the product HS• [1] similar to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacting with $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$(Section 5.4.8). As the metal oxide solid phases have a band of orbitals, two-electron transfer from the sulfide species should be facile. However, the kinetics [2,3] of these reactions show a clear increase and then decrease with pH (Figure 11.2); the peak reaction rate occurs at a pH of 5.2 for $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ and 6.3 for $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$. Understanding the kinetics of these reactions requires more detailed knowledge of the chemical speciation of the reactants. The nature of the oxidized metal is now discussed.

### 11.2.1 $\quad \mathrm{Fe}$ (III) and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$ Electron Configurations

The electron configurations for the high spin octahedral metal ions are $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2}$ for $\mathrm{Fe}($ III $)$ and $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3}$ for $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$. $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ in $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\left(\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{ZPC}} \sim 5.4\right)$ is a labile metal cation. At lower pH , the surface iron atoms can be represented as $>\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OH}$ or $>\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}{ }^{+}$. The $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can dissociate from the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ so that a sulfide species could attack in an inner sphere process and transfer two electrons into the conduction band of the solid. In contrast, $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$ has an inert electron configuration so that $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ will not readily dissociate from Mn . The $E_{\mathrm{HOMO}}$ value for the valence band in $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ is -5 eV and attributed to the oxide 2 p orbitals [4] with a band gap of 0.25 eV (Table 6.1); the conduction band is -4.75 eV . In Appendix 5.2 , the $E_{\text {Номо }}$


Figure 11.2 Calculated thermodynamic data from Table 2.2 with experimental kinetics data for the oxidation of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ in seawater [2, 3] to form dissolved Fe(II) and Mn(II) as well as $S(0)$ as $\mathrm{S}_{8}$. Favorable reactions are those above the horizontal line. The arrows indicate from left to right the peak kinetics for $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$, and the calculated pH when the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ reaction becomes unfavorable
values and orbitals of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$are $-10.47 \mathrm{eV}\left(1 \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right.$ orbital) and $-2.32 \mathrm{eV}(1 \pi$ orbital), respectively, and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$is the better reductant or electron donor. These are formally $\mathrm{p}_{y}(\pi)$ orbitals from the S atom so an outer sphere process is possible to $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals for $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IIII})$ but not $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$. The $\mathrm{p}_{y}$ orbital can also attack Fe and Mn on the bond axis $\left(e_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ in an inner sphere process. The $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\neq}$is $-14 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{Mn}((\mathrm{IV})$ [5] indicating an associative step prior to electron transfer. Because of the reasonably fast second-order reaction kinetics, these are surface-controlled and inner sphere electron transfer reactions.

### 11.2.2 $\quad \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ Reaction with Sulfide

Because these are redox reactions, a second-order rate law (Equation 11.1), which is first-order in the total concentration of each reactant, can be written as in the example of the $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ reaction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}=\text { Rate }=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{MnO}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]_{\mathrm{T}} \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To better understand the system's reactivity, the speciation of the aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ system (Figure 7.1) and the surface speciation of the $\delta \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ surface over pH need to be determined. Surface MnO speciation is governed by the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{zpc}}$ expression below (see Table 7.9).

$$
>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad * \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a} 2}^{\mathrm{S}}=10^{-4.6}
$$

At the $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{zpc}}$ of 4.6, there are two dominant Mn species, $>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{MnO}^{-}$. [At extremely low pH , the surface $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ species would be $>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}{ }^{+}$] Both $>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{MnO}^{-}$may react with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$so that there would be a total of four precursor complexes (between the reaction arrows in the following equations) with surface reaction rate constants $k_{1}{ }^{\prime}, k_{2}{ }^{\prime}, k_{3}{ }^{\prime}$, and $k_{4}{ }^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OSH}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} & k_{1}{ }^{\prime} \\
>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{HS}^{-} \rightarrow>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HS}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OSH}+\mathrm{H}^{+} & k_{2}{ }^{\prime} \\
>\mathrm{MnO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow>\mathrm{MnO}^{-} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OSH}+\mathrm{H}^{+} & k_{3}{ }^{\prime} \\
>\mathrm{MnO}^{-}+\mathrm{HS}^{-} \rightarrow>\mathrm{MnO}^{-} \cdot \mathrm{HS}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OSH} & k_{4}{ }^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

The overall rate law with Mn surface and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ speciation is given in Equation 11.2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}=k_{1}^{\prime}\left[>\mathrm{MnOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]+k_{2}^{\prime}[>\mathrm{MnOH} \cdot \mathrm{HS}]+k_{3}^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{MnO} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]+k_{4}^{\prime}[\mathrm{MnO} \cdot \mathrm{HS}] \tag{11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the fraction of each $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ species by each $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ species at each pH and plotting versus pH predicts the fraction of each precursor complex over pH . The major surface precursor species, which correlates well with the observed second-order rate constants [2] in Figure 11.3, is $>\mathrm{MnO}^{-} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ with the rate constant $k_{3}{ }^{\prime}$. Also, a minor amount of the precursor complex, $>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HS}^{-}$, exists in the same pH region but contributes a negligible amount to $k_{2}$. The precursor complex $>\mathrm{MnO}^{-} \cdot \mathrm{HS}^{-}$has two negative species, which can repel in the transition state, and increases in concentration as $k_{2}$ decreases with increasing pH so does not contribute to the reaction rate. Likewise, the precursor complex $>\mathrm{MnOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ decreases in concentration with increasing pH to pH 5 as $k_{2}$ increases so does not contribute to the reaction rate.

The thermodynamic speciation calculations in Figure 11.3 trend with the kinetics data, which show that the reactions slow down significantly above and below pH 5.6. However, Figure 11.2 showed that there was no


Figure 11.3 Predicted fraction of the four $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ surface precursor complexes occurring at each pH along with the second-order rate constant data, $k_{2}$ [2]


Figure 11.4 (a) Electron transfer from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 1 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ (HOMO) to $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}} \mathrm{Mn}$ (IV). (b) Formation of the $>\mathrm{MnO}^{-} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ precursor complex; $\mathrm{p}_{y} \mathrm{O}$ atom on $\mathrm{MnO}^{-}$interacts with $4 \mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} L U M O$; after $\mathrm{H}^{+}$transfer from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ to $\mathrm{MnO}^{-}$, $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$can donate two electrons to the $\mathrm{MnO}^{-} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ LUMOs. (c) Orbital energies; solid arrows indicate direct electron transfer from sulfide species to $\mathrm{Mn}(I V)$ and dashed arrow represents possible interaction of MnO surface with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ prior to $\mathrm{H}^{+}$transfer
thermodynamic barrier to the reaction over all pH , yet the reaction occurs over a limited pH range with a peak at $\mathrm{pH}=5.6$. These data indicate that the transition state should be affected by pH . Although the speciation calculations indicate that several possible surface precursor complexes form, they do not indicate the type of bonding interactions that occur for each in the transition state.

The speciation calculations in Figure 11.3 predict that the most reactive inner sphere surface complex is $>\mathrm{MnO}^{-} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and Figure 11.4 gives the framework for the formation of the complex and redox electron transfer. As the $\mathrm{MnO}_{2} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ conduction band is -4.75 eV , the stable HOMO of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left(E_{\mathrm{HOMO}}=\right.$ $-10.47 \mathrm{eV} ; 1 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ ) can donate electrons directly to $\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}\right)$ in $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ (within the 6 eV uphill barrier limit; Figure 11.4 a and c ) if there is a vacant (or defect) surface site at Mn , but $\mathrm{HS}^{-}\left(E_{\mathrm{HOMO}}=-2.32 \mathrm{eV}\right)$ can donate even better ( 2.43 eV downhill). The oxidation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ or $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$results in $\mathrm{S}(0)$ formation, which can lead to polysulfide and/or $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ as identifiable products [5]. Electron transfer to Mn atoms in the solid could go to surface or interior Mn atoms as electron transfer depends on which atoms make up the lower orbitals of the conduction band. For example, two different Mn(IV) ions could each accept an electron to form two $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$ ions or one $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$ could accept two electrons to form one $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$. Once dissolution occurs more vacant Mn sites are available for sulfide species to attack and continue the redox reaction.

There is an alternate inner sphere redox possibility (Figure 11.4 b and c). $E_{\text {LUMO }}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is -1.1 eV so the oxide on the $>\mathrm{MnO}^{-}$surface ( $E_{\mathrm{HOMO}}=-5 \mathrm{eV}$; also the $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ band ranges from -5 to -10 eV ) can donate electrons to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ to form a weak surface complex ( 3.9 eV uphill barrier). Once formed, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$transfer to an


Figure 11.5 Lewis structure schematic of the $>\mathrm{MnO}^{-} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ surface complex followed by $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and O atom (twoelectron) transfer. Brackets indicate bond breakage. Formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ may lead to dissociation from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$
oxide ion on the surface would produce $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$(HSAB interaction Section 7.8.3), which could displace $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. Direct bonding between $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{SH}$ would then permit two-electron transfer into the conduction band of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ (Figure 11.4a) leading to polysulfide and $\mathrm{S}_{8}$. Alternately, a $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ bond can form with O atom transfer from $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ to sulfide (Figure 11.5). Continued reaction of $\mathrm{HSO}^{-}$should lead to sulfite, thiosulfate, and sulfate, which have been identified as products [5], as in the following reactions (Equations 11.3a-11.3d). Note that the electrons as products in these half reactions are transferred into the $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ conduction band to form $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{HSO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{HSO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}  \tag{11.3a}\\
& \mathrm{HSO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{HSO}_{3}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\left(\mathrm{HSO}_{2}^{-} \text {is not stable species }\right)  \tag{11.3b}\\
& \mathrm{HSO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \tag{11.3c}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HSO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{S}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{11.3d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Above a pH of 7, the precursor complex, $\left[>\mathrm{MnO}^{-}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{HS}^{-}\right]$for $k_{4}{ }^{\prime}$, dominates, and the second-order rate constant decreases significantly. In the transition state, both species have negative charge and would repel each other so that formation of the precursor complex is hindered.

Below a pH of 4 , the predicted precursor complex, $[>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH}] \cdot\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]$ for $k_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, is the dominant species and corresponds to the other region with smaller second-order rate constants. In the transition state, both species have neutral character so there is no electrostatic driving force. However, the oxide ion surface binds $\mathrm{H}^{+}$( $E_{\text {LUMO }}$ ) better than S in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (HSAB; Chapter 7) so binding to S is not expected. There is evidence that surface $>\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{H})^{+}$[6] exists at $\mathrm{pH}<3$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~S}^{+}$exists at $\mathrm{pH} \leq 5$ [7]. Thus, in the transition state, both species have positive charge and would repel each other so that formation of the precursor complex is hindered.

### 11.2.3 $\quad \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ Reaction with Sulfide

Similar kinetic data and calculations have shown that clusters or nanoparticles of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ are also important environmental oxidants of thiols [5] and nitrite [8]. These data indicate that the transition state should vary with increasing pH as the $\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$ of any metal oxide phase changes from positive to negative at the same time that $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (or any acid) loses $\mathrm{H}^{+}$to form its conjugate base. The behavior mentioned above for $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ also occurs when $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, and a second-order rate law (Equation 11.4) can be written similar to Equation 11.1. The Fe(III) surface has two acid dissociation constants as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]_{\mathrm{T}} \tag{11.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 11.6 Predicted fractions of four $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ surface precursor complexes occurring at each pH along with the second-order rate constant data, $k_{2}$ [3]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
>\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})+\mathrm{H}^{+} & * \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a} 1}^{\mathrm{S}}=10^{-5.4} \\
>\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH}) \rightarrow \mathrm{FeO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} & * \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a} 2}^{\mathrm{S}}=10^{-10.3}
\end{array}
$$

The kinetic data for the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ plus $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ reaction from Figure 11.2 are replotted with the speciation data for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ species and the possible precursor complexes in Equation 11.5 (note the similarity to Equation 11.2) and Figure 11.6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}=k_{1}^{\prime}\left[>\mathrm{FeOH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]+k_{2}^{\prime}\left[>\mathrm{FeOH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HS}\right]+k_{3}^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{FeOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]+k_{4}^{\prime}[\mathrm{FeOH} \cdot \mathrm{HS}] \tag{11.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and $\mathrm{FeO}^{-}$surface precursor complexes are unimportant. The most important predicted surface precursor complex (by a factor of 10 ) is $\mathrm{FeOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ followed by $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HS}^{-}$. These two predicted complexes account for the kinetic data. Unlike the $\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ reaction, $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ is the dominant oxidation product [3]. Because of the lability of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$, a likely mechanism is the dissociation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and/or $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ from $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$, followed by formation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{SH}$ complexes and then electron transfer from $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$to the $\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ conduction band of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$.

For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ to give two electrons to an $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\right.$ III ) phase would require that each $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ donate the electrons to a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ band containing several orbitals that are partially occupied so that two Fe (III) ions would accept the electrons. Although $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IIII})$ is a labile ion, the slower kinetics for oxidation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ by Fe (III) versus $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$ are likely due to (1) the lower number of reactive surface precursor complexes at the pH of the transition state ( $\alpha_{\mathrm{T}}=0.8$ in Figure 11.3 and 0.7 in Figure 11.6) and (2) the partially filled $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ conduction band (LUMO) for $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IIII})$ and empty $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}$ conduction band for $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$. Thus, there is less negative charge in the $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{IV})$ conduction band.

### 11.3 Pyrite, $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$, Oxidation

$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ formed during sulfide oxidation of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ minerals reacts with sulfide, which leads to the formation of the iron sulfides, FeS and $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$, which are described in Section 12.6. Pyrite is the most stable and insoluble iron sulfide mineral, but when exposed to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and other oxidants, it oxidizes. Understanding the oxidation of pyrite is an important environmental problem in iron and sulfur recycling as it occurs in freshwater and marine systems as well as acid mine areas. Available data on the oxidation of pyrite indicate that the rate of oxidation with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is 100 times less than that with soluble $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ at a $\mathrm{pH} \leq 2.2$. At higher $\mathrm{pH}(>5)$, the rates become similar. Kinetic data indicate that a surface precursor complex must form prior to electron transfer. Thus, $\mathrm{Fe}($ III $)$ solubility is critical, and at higher pH , dissolved Fe (III)-organic complexes can enhance the rate of pyrite oxidation [9, 10]. The following overall stoichiometric reactions (Equations 11.6a-11.6d) are often used to characterize pyrite oxidation at low pH . Hydrogen ions are a major product of pyrite oxidation, and oxygen isotope data indicate that the oxygen atoms in sulfate ion come from water, which results in the release of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. Thus, a dramatic decrease in pH occurs in many environmental systems, and microbial Fe (II) oxidation is necessary to sustain the oxidation. For example, in acid mine areas where pyrite is a constituent in coal, the pH can actually be near or below zero [11].

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{FeS}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+7 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} & \text {aerobic } \\
\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{Fe}^{3+} \text { formation } \\
\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}^{+} & \mathrm{Fe}^{3+} \text { solubility } \\
\mathrm{FeS}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+14 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 15 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+16 \mathrm{H}^{+} & \text {anaerobic } \tag{11.6d}
\end{array}
$$

Thiosulfate has been shown to be one of the major intermediate products during pyrite oxidation near pH 7 . When $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ is the oxidant and is in excess concentration to pyrite, the following equations (Equations $11.7 \mathrm{a}-11.7 \mathrm{c}$ ) represent the complete oxidation of pyrite. Sulfite and polythionates have also been detected as minor products or intermediates in solution.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{FeS}_{2}+6 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow 7 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}  \tag{11.7a}\\
& 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}+8 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow 8 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+10 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}  \tag{11.7b}\\
& \mathrm{FeS}_{2}+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+14 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow 15 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+16 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{NET}) \tag{11.7c}
\end{align*}
$$

### 11.3.1 Pyrite Reacting with $\mathbf{O}_{2}$

Despite the complexity of pyrite oxidation, the initial phase of the reaction of nanoparticulate $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at seawater pH has been shown to be first order in each reactant [12].

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{FeS}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{T}}=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{FeS}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right] \tag{11.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To understand pyrite reactivity [13], it is important to review its crystal structure and orbitals. Figure 11.7a shows that pyrite has a sodium chloride lattice with the $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ions octahedrally coordinated to six sulfur atoms from the disulfide ions, $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ (formally persulfide ions), and each S atom is coordinated to three $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ions. The center of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bond would be analogous to the Cl site in NaCl (see NaCl in Figure 6.14). The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ bonding arrangement is angular and not linear where A indicates the S atom bound to $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{A}}$, and B indicates the terminal S atom (although it is formally bound to one $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{B}}$, it is pointing toward the solution so can be


Figure 11.7 (a) $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ unit cell indicating the relative positions of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ (see NaCl Figure 6.14). (b) Frontier molecular orbitals for $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ (persulfide is analogous to peroxide); black lines indicate the Fe-S-S bond angle shown in (a). Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program (version 8.0.10)
considered terminal). On the crystal surface, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ gives pyrite its low $\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{zpc}}$ of 1.4 (Table 7.9), but within the crystal, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is bound to three other $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ions. $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ has a low spin $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6}$ configuration (Figure 11.7b) so there is no dissociation of the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ ligand from the $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ (low solubility) because of high MOSE (CFSE). One of the $\pi^{*}$ HOMO orbitals ( $\pi_{x}{ }^{*}$ in Figure 11.7b) from $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ forms a $\sigma$ bond with one of the $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{~d}^{2}$ orbitals from $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$; the other $\pi_{y} *$ HOMO can interact with chemical species in solution. Because both $\pi^{*}$ orbitals are filled, $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ is formally a $\sigma$ donor (like $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, see Section 8.6.1) to $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$. This discussion is simplified as each one of these sets of orbitals interacts with similar orbitals in the crystal to form a band of orbitals.

Using this information and Figure 11.8, the surface reaction of pyrite with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is now described [13].
$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ cannot bind to the pyrite surface with a normal two-electron bond in an inner sphere process because of its partially occupied $\pi^{*}$ SOMO orbitals. This is similar to the reaction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$(Section 5.4.8). However, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is a neutral molecule so can approach and possibly chemisorb to the pyrite surface. An outer sphere electron transfer process is possible because the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ acceptor SOMOs have the same symmetry as the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ donor HOMOs. The energy gap between these SOMO and HOMO orbitals is about 3 eV so there is a possible kinetic barrier to fast electron transfer. The loss of an electron from the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-} \mathrm{HOMO}$ (about 3.5 eV ) results in an increase of its bond order from 1.0 to 1.5 whereas the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ bond order decreases from 2.0 to 1.5 . The strengthening of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bond leads to $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ as a metastable product (see next section).

### 11.3.2 Pyrite Reacting with Soluble Fe(III)

Figure 11.9a shows a possible surface reaction for the first electron transfer from the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ in pyrite with soluble Fe(III) to form $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$. $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{IIII})\left(\right.$ as $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ at low pH$)$ is a labile ion with a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 2}$ electron configuration; thus, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or another ligand can dissociate from the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ so it becomes unsaturated ( $\mathbf{E A N}=15$ ). To achieve EAN $=17$, two electrons on $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ in $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ from the $\pi_{y} * \operatorname{HOMO}\left(\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{ZPC}}=1.4\right)$ can donate to the vacant $\mathrm{p}_{z}\left(\right.$ or $\left._{z^{2}}\right)$


Figure 11.8 Pyrite and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ frontier molecular orbitals describing a possible outer sphere electron transfer process (dashed arrows). Energy level diagrams for $\mathrm{Fe}(I I), \mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ show electron transfer
orbital of Fe (III) forming a surface complex on pyrite. Thus, the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ acts as a bridge between pyrite- $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and Fe (III) in solution. This new $\sigma$ bond begins the weakening of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ bond with the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$ atom from $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ in pyrite.

Although an outer sphere electron transfer process $\left(\pi_{x}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}_{x z}\right.$ or $\left.\pi_{y}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}_{y z}\right)$ is possible without the formation of a surface $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ bond, the formation of an angular $\sigma$ bond brings the oxidant and reductant closer together for easier and faster inner sphere electron transfer between a $\pi^{*}$ HOMO from $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ and a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbital from $\mathrm{Fe}($ III $)$. Thus, there should be less reorganization energy $(\lambda)$ in the transition state. Because of the vacant orbital from ligand dissociation on $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$, there is no significant HOMO-LUMO energy gap between pyrite and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$. As noted in the reaction with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond orders both become 1.5. During this process, free radical cations are formed on the surface of pyrite as in Figure 11.9b, and then the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ atom binds with oxygen atoms from water. Formally $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions are lost to solution as water attacks the pyrite cation ( $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{2+}$ ) allowing the oxide ion, $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$, to bind the terminal $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$. The reaction lowers the pH to $<2$ in acid mine areas undergoing oxidation. To produce thiosulfate, $\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]$, six Fe (III) ions are necessary to fully break the bond between $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ as indicated in the following reactions (Equations 11.9a-11.9d).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{FeS}_{2}+2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{FeSSO}  \tag{11.9}\\
& \mathrm{FeSSO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{FeSSO}_{2}  \tag{11.9b}\\
& \mathrm{FeSSO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{FeSSO}_{3}  \tag{11.9c}\\
& \mathrm{FeSSO}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-} \quad \text { (detachment) } \tag{11.9d}
\end{align*}
$$

The SSO in FeSSO is isoelectronic with $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$(see Section 5.7.3) so an inner sphere bridge for electron transfer between the pyrite $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and aqueous $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ can easily occur. Also, the $\mathrm{SSO}_{2}$ group in $\mathrm{FeSSO}_{2}$ is isoelectronic with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ (see Section 5.8) so another inner sphere bridge for electron transfer between the pyrite $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and the $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ can easily occur. The SSO and $\mathrm{SSO}_{2}$ groups have delocalized $\pi$ systems so can accept $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ electrons from pyrite $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ to form $\pi$ bonds to stabilize FeSSO and $\mathrm{FeSSO}_{2}$ as intermediates during electron transfer to $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$.

The $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ ligand is unique as it not only bridges two iron atoms but also transfers electrons from its $\pi^{*}$ HOMO and acts as a reducing agent in pyrite. The process described allows for the pyritic iron to remain as $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ in solution where it can then become oxidized. The strengthening of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bond during the process is


Figure 11.9 (a) $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ and Fe (III) frontier molecular orbitals describing a possible inner sphere surface precursor complex (black dashed arrow); energy level diagrams show electron transfer. (b) Plausible reactions for the transfer of the first two electrons from $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ to Fe (III)
a possible driving force during the oxidation, and the radical cations (e.g., $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{+}$) can lead to electron delocalization in the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ band of orbitals in the solid.

### 11.3.3 Pyrite Reacting with Dihalogens and $\mathbf{C r}^{2+}$

Pyrite is also reactive with strong oxidants and reductants [13, 14]. For example, the dihalogens have an unfilled LUMO $\sigma^{*}$ orbital so can readily accept electrons to oxidize pyrite in a manner similar to that described for the reaction of $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$with $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ (Section 5.4.7). In addition, the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ ligand can accept electrons from a reductant such as $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ to form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ (Equation 11.10), and this reaction is used as a method for the analysis of pyrite.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{FeS}_{2}+2\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}+2\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+} \tag{11.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ has a $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 1}$ electron configuration so transfer of the $e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 1}\left(\sigma^{*}\right)$ electron to the $\sigma^{*}$ LUMO of $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ is a symmetry-allowed outer sphere electron transfer process (Figure 11.10). Because this reaction occurs readily at room temperature, formation of an inner sphere $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Cr}$ precursor complex is likely prior to electron transfer. $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ is very labile and $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ is a "soft metal" so can react with $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ in pyrite. For example, the $\pi_{y}{ }^{*}$ orbital from $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ in $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ donates a pair of electrons to the vacant $\mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ to form an angular $\sigma$ bond followed by electron transfer from $\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ to pyrite. This new $\sigma$ bond also begins to weaken


Figure 11.10 Pyrite and $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ frontier molecular orbitals describing a possible surface precursor complex (black dashed arrow) and inner sphere electron transfer process (blue dashed arrow). Energy level diagrams show electron transfer
the binding of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ with the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$ atom from $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ in pyrite. Again, there should be less reorganization energy $(\lambda)$ in the transition state for electron transfer.
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## 12

# Metal Sulfides in the Environment and in Bioinorganic Chemistry 

### 12.1 Introduction

The discussion in Chapter 6 centered on the structure of solids or crystals, and Chapter 11 centered on the dissolution of minerals; however, there was no discussion regarding the formation of solids or minerals. In this chapter, the formation of solids via clusters and nanoparticles is presented using metal sulfides (MS) as the starting point. Natural MS clusters or nanoparticles are found in fresh and marine waters, sediments, waste waters, and hydrothermal vent waters; they are typically resistant to oxidation by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. In addition, manufactured MS nanoparticles (i.e., quantum dots), which are used for a variety of applications, are released to the environment. The properties of nanoparticles can deviate from the bulk material because of their high surface area and diverse structural features, which affect their reactivity.

Although the free metal ions and hydrogen sulfide can each be toxic to many organisms on the surface of the earth, the metal sulfides are typically not toxic to organisms because of their high thermodynamic stability and low solubility. Also, copper and zinc in sulfides are typically not bioavailable for life processes. However, iron sulfides are used in many biochemical processes, and researchers have shown that the formation of FeS membranes at neutral pH as well as the formation of $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ at lower pH may have been essential pathways for the production of organic matter and the origin of life.

For the purposes of the following discussion, the following definitions with approximate size ranges are provided.

A complex is a mononuclear metal coordination compound in which the central metal atom unites one or more ligands; a complex is a dissolved species and has a size typically less than 0.4 nm .
A cluster is a polynuclear metal complex containing a discrete number of metal atoms, which are typically bridged by ligands; it behaves as a dissolved species. Size range is from a complex to about 1 nm .
A nanoparticle ( $\mathbf{N P}$ ) is a higher order material with a structural characteristic of that of the first condensed or solid phase (see Section 6.4). Size range is from 1 to 100 nm and can pass through filters that are commonly used (200 and 400 nm ).
A solid or mineral is an infinite lattice of atoms or ions as described in Chapter 6.

### 12.2 Idealized Molecular Reaction Schemes from Soluble Complexes to ZnS and CuS Solids

The Ostwald step rule or the "rule of stages" postulates that the precipitate with the highest solubility will form first in a consecutive precipitation reaction indicating that mineral formation occurs via precursor intermediates that are molecular. The reaction of the free divalent metal ions of the first transition series $\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Co}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right)$ at $\mathrm{pH} 7-8$ with sulfide shows an example of the complexity of the reaction process even though it is rather rapid $(<1-100 \mathrm{~ms})$ [1-3]. The process can be broken into three major reactions (Equations $12.1-12.3$ ) as in the following reaction sequence for $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right.$ is similar). Several principles outlined in Chapters 8 and 9 and data in Table 12.1 are used to show that metal sulfide mineral formation is an entropy-driven process and an example of self-assembly reactions.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{+}+3 \mathrm{HS}^{-} \rightarrow 3\left[{\left.\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{SH})\right]^{+}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{HS}^{-} \text {substitution; Eigen-Wilkins }}_{3\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{SH})\right]^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \text { ring formation; } \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Oloss} ; \Delta S \text { favored }}^{5 \mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}+3 \mathrm{HS}^{-} \rightarrow 3\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]^{4-}+3 \mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+} ; \text {cluster forms; } \Delta H \text { favored }}\right. \tag{12.1}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mathrm{pH} 7-8$, there is no significant hydrolysis for these free ions (Table 12.1). Thus, these metal ions are formally six coordinate, $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ (there is evidence for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ being five coordinate), and in the MS mineral, the metal ions become four coordinate. Hydrogen sulfide exists as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$and also becomes four coordinate in the mineral. Overall, there are significant intra- and intermolecular arrangements occurring during the transformation of the reactants to the products. In order to form the products, a series of reactions or steps must occur between the metal and sulfide species to form covalent bonds, which give ZnS and CuS minerals their inherent insolubility.

The first step is the nucleophilic displacement of water by $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$(Equation 12.1) to form a covalent $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{S}$ bond in $\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{SH})\right]^{+}$. This step is fast for all the free metal ions listed in Table 12.1 (except for $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ ) because of their fast water exchange rates, and Equation 12.1 is an example of an Eigen-Wilkins mechanism. For $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ (also $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}, \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ ), Equation 12.1 is a diffusion-controlled reaction

Table 12.1 Molecular orbital stabilization energy for octahedral geometry in units of $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ from Table 8.2 (maximum stability is $12 \beta \mathrm{~S}_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ for the $d^{0}$ to $d^{3}$ cases). The smaller $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\sigma}{ }^{2}$ values indicate a tendency toward tetrahedral geometry over octahedral. Water exchange is $\mathrm{k}_{-w}$ and the negative log of the hydrolysis constant is $p \mathrm{~K}_{H}$

| Ion |  | Octahedral configuration | $\operatorname{MOSE}\left(\beta S_{\sigma}{ }^{2}\right)$ | $k_{-w}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{H}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{eg}^{* 2}$ | 6.00 | $2.15 \times 10^{7}$ | 10.70 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{4} \mathrm{eg}^{* 2}$ | 6.00 | $4.4 \times 10^{6}$ | 10.10 |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{7}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{5} \mathrm{e}^{* 2}$ | 6.00 | $3.2 \times 10^{6}$ | 9.60 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{e}^{*}{ }^{* 2}$ | 6.00 | $3.15 \times 10^{4}$ | 9.40 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{eg}^{* 3}$ | 3.00 | $8.9 \times 10^{9}$ | 7.53 |
| $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 4}$ | 0 | $6.0 \times 10^{8}$ | 9.60 |
| $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ | $t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 4}$ | 0 | $3.0 \times 10^{8}$ | 10.08 |
| $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{10}$ | $t_{2 g}{ }^{6} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 4}$ | 0 | $7.0 \times 10^{9}$ | 7.71 |



Figure 12.1 (a) $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ ring. (b) Zn and S atoms on different rings overlap to form $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds. (c) S atoms on different rings overlap to form $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds. The waters coordinated to Zn are omitted for clarity in $b$ and c. Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ program (version 8.0.10)
as $k_{\mathrm{f}}>10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} .\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{SH})\right]^{+}$can then polymerize to form cyclic six-membered $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ (Equation 12.2), which results in four coordination for Zn , two coordination for S and alternating $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds as shown in Figure 12.1a. Equation 12.2 also shows $\mathrm{H}^{+}$as well as water loss, which results in an increase in entropy and therefore a decrease in $\Delta G$ (see Equations 9.8 and 9.9, also Equation 7.6). The loss of MOSE (Table 12.1) for $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is another driving force for the change in coordination from six to four. The choice of $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ as a polymeric building block is due to the geometrical arrangement of Zn and S in the mineral (see Figures 6.13 and 6.14 ). The $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ring is structurally similar to cyclohexane, and can then further polymerize so that the coordination of the sulfide changes to four prior to precipitation as a solid (enthalpy favored process). Once this occurs ZnS exhibits the diamond structure as the four $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ ions provide an octet of electrons around tetrahedral $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$. Figures 12.1 b and c show two possible ways for $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ rings to overlap or cross-link completely to form a larger dimeric cluster $\mathrm{Zn}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{6}$ (examples of Ostwald ripening). Figure 12.1b shows bonding overlap between Zn and S whereas Figure 12.1c shows bonding overlap between only $S$ atoms. In both cases, the bonding can be viewed as the symmetry-allowed overlap of p orbitals between the atoms on one ring with the p orbitals of the atoms on the other. Figure 12.1 c is not possible for the ZnS system because this would require oxidation of two $\mathrm{S}(-2)$ ions to form $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$. There is only one statistical opportunity for collision in these two cases.

The probability of more collisions between two $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ rings increases to eight when two Zn atoms on one ring can overlap with two S atoms on the other ring (another example of Ostwald ripening); this will enhance the reaction rate for cluster, nanoparticle, and mineral formation. Figure 12.2 a shows the overlap of two $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ rings in the presence of excess $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$, which would be likely in anoxic basins and sediments. The $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$provides another way to cross-link the $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ rings through Zn atoms on the two rings to form $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]^{4-}$. In Equation 12.3 and Figure $12.2 \mathrm{a},\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]^{4-}$ is chosen for cluster formation because it gives the adamantine-like structure shown in Figure 6.13 for sphalerite, and because it has been found in laboratory and field studies [4]. The overall process results in one $\mathrm{Zn}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ring being capped by a $\mathrm{ZnS} \mathrm{S}_{3}$ group with $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ being released to solution. In the absence of capping agents such as thiol ( RSH ) compounds, the reaction will lead to nanoparticles and eventually minerals depending upon the concentration of the zinc and sulfide in solution.

The same reactivity pattern is available for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$; however, it is also reduced by $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$to $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$once the $\mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ rings or other clusters form [5, 6]. The one-electron transfer reaction of $\mathrm{HS}^{-}(\pi)$ with $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\left(t_{2 \mathrm{~g}}{ }^{6} e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{* 3}\right)$ is symmetry forbidden $\left(\pi \rightarrow \sigma\right.$ or $\left.e_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{*}\right)$ as an outer sphere process, and is thermodynamically unfavorable


Figure 12.2 (a) Overlap of metal atoms on one ring with the sulfur atoms on the second ring in the presence of excess $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$to form $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]^{4-}$. (b) Overlap between sulfur atoms on both rings to form the $\mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{6}$ species. The waters coordinated to Zn and Cu are omitted for clarity
[Cu1, S3 in Table $2.2(\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=2.72-18.26=-15.5)$ ]. However, $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$donates an electron pair as a ligand to $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$. Once a cluster forms, a two-electron inner sphere transfer from $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$to two $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is favorable at $\mathrm{pH}=7$ [Cu1, S2 in Table $2.2(\mathrm{p} \varepsilon=2.72-(-1.06-(0.5 * 7))=7.28)]$ through the delocalized band of orbitals in the cluster. At this point, $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ is formed during the redox reaction. Figure 12.2 b shows the cross-linking of two $\mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ rings in the presence of excess $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$; a similar adamantane-like structure results but $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds form between the two rings because of redox. Both $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bonding between planes (similar to that found in both of the adamantane-type structures in Figure 12.2) are found in the mineral covellite (CuS) [7, 8].The structure with $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bonding shows more planar character (closer to benzene) in the $\mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ rings and some of the Cu atoms in covellite have trigonal bipyramidal five coordination. $\mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{6}$ stoichiometries have been detected by mass spectrometry in laboratory and field samples [2, 4].

The energetics of these reactions along the reaction coordinate is summarized in Figure 12.3. Figure 12.3a describes the reaction of the complexes to form the mineral as the final product, and Figure 12.3b describes the formation of a stable nanoparticle. In both figures, there is very little activation energy when going from the complex ions to the first $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ring as well as going from the $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ring to the larger nanoparticle because the formation of neutral six-membered rings allows for more collisions in the transition state as described above. Neutral rings are excellent building blocks and react quickly with each other as well as with positive or negative ions (e.g., $\left[\mathrm{Zn}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]^{4-}$ ). Sulfur becomes four coordinate on formation of higher order clusters, nanoparticles, and minerals, which completes the conversion of solution species to the covalent mineral. These initial entropy-driven reactions (Equation 12.2) are examples of self-assembly reactions, which are rapid, as there is no thermodynamic barrier or significant kinetic barrier to reactivity. The main difference between Figures 12.3 a and b is that $\Delta G_{\mathrm{r}}$ for the nanoparticle is more stable than that for the mineral.


Figure 12.3 (a) Reaction coordinate in number of atoms for the formation of the mineral via metastable clusters or nanoparticles. (b) Reaction coordinate for the formation of a stable nanoparticle over the mineral; the double arrow notes that the NP is more stable than the mineral

### 12.3 Nanoparticle Size and Filtration

It is important to note the cutoff diameter for filters, and the number of atoms found in clusters and nanoparticles in Figure 12.3. For example, a PbS nanoparticle (cubic NaCl structure) of $10^{3}$ total Pb and S ions with a length of 1.515 nm on each edge (see Table 6.4 for ionic radii and Figure 6.21) has a cubic diameter of 2.62 nm and volume of $3.48 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}$. This particle size is two orders of magnitude smaller than the 200 or 400 nm diameter Nuclepore ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ filters commonly used in environmental sampling (see Appendix Figure 12A.1).

### 12.4 Ostwald Ripening versus Oriented Attachment

Nanoparticles can react with the basic cluster building block via Ostwald ripening as above and with each other in a process termed oriented attachment. The growth of nanoparticles by these two methods has been described mathematically. Growth by Ostwald ripening can be described by the Lifshiz-Slyozov-Wagner model [3, 9] (Equation 12.4), which is represented schematically in Figure 12.4a. Note that smaller clusters in this example react with a PbS nanoparticle A (model with 64 atoms) to form a slightly larger nanoparticle B.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=a t^{1 / n}+d_{0} \tag{12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $t$ is time, $a$ is a temperature-dependent kinetic constant, $d$ is the average particle diameter, and $d_{0}$ is the diameter at $t=0$. The exponent $n$ will have a value between 2 and 4 , depending on what controls nanoparticle growth. When $n=2$, growth is controlled by surface diffusion at the solid/liquid interface; when $n=3$, growth is controlled by volume diffusion of ions in solution; and when $n=4$, growth is controlled by the dissolution kinetics of the initially formed species.


Figure 12.4 (a) Nanoparticle $A$ reacts with several clusters to form nanoparticle $B$ with no doubling in size (white arrows show covalent bonding alignment). (b) Doubling of $A$ without any capping agent to form $B$. (c) Doubling of $A$ with capping agents to form $B$

In addition to Ostwald ripening, nanoparticle growth can also occur by a mechanism of coalescence when growth occurs as two nanoparticles come together to form larger species (schematic in Figure 12.4b). First, two nanoparticles form a "floc," which is held together by weak van der Waals interactions or electrostatic forces. Then, the two nanoparticles coalesce (Figure 12.4b). In the absence of surface adsorbed species or capping agents, the reaction rate can be $<1 s$. If there are capping agents, these need to be eliminated via $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{L}$ dissociation before coalescing occurs (Figure 12.4c). Capping agents and increased ionic strength increase the reaction rate to $\gg 1 s$ [10]. These mechanisms are referred to as oriented attachment because adjoining nanoparticles must adopt a crystallographic orientation that will allow new covalent bonds to be formed for coalescence and self-integration to occur. The models in Figure 12.4 b show perfect alignment of two PbS nanoparticles of the same size ( 64 atoms) reacting to form one nanoparticle of 128 atoms, which is twice the size of the original. There are many more ways to orient the two PbS nanoparticles in Figure 12.4b to form covalent bonds, but these lead to other structures or materials that are less stable. Equation 12.5 shows a simple
mathematical model for the doubling of average nanoparticle size when two nanoparticles come together; all the variables are defined as in Equation 12.4 and $k$ is the rate constant for nanoparticle growth [9].

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{d_{0}(\sqrt[3]{2} k t+1)}{(k t+1)} \tag{12.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 12.5 Metal Availability and Detoxification for MS Species

Zinc and copper sulfide nanoparticles are kinetically inert to ligand dissociation, decomposition, and oxidation by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Interestingly, metals such as $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$, which react even more strongly with sulfide than $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$, can displace these metal ions to form AgS nanoparticles as in Equation 12.6 [2]. The order of thermodynamic stability based on these reactions is $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{NP}}>\mathrm{CdS}_{\mathrm{NP}}>\mathrm{CuS}_{\mathrm{NP}}>\mathrm{ZnS}_{\mathrm{NP}}$, which aligns with the inverse order of solubility for these MS minerals. Thus, these metals and others (e.g., $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}, \mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ ) bound to sulfide should not be available to organisms for uptake and use as indicated by Williams and da Silva [11], who used solubility criteria alone. In fact, the reaction of these metals with sulfide is a metal detoxification process as documented for Daphnia magna using the chemistry in Equation 12.6 [12]. Metal binding with sulfide is also a way to detoxify sulfide.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ZnS}_{\mathrm{NP}}+2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{NP}}+\mathrm{Zn}^{2+} \tag{12.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 12.6 Iron Sulfide Chemistry

### 12.6.1 $\quad \mathrm{FeS}_{\text {mack }}$ (Mackinawite)

The reaction of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$also proceeds via an Eigen-Wilkins mechanism as in Equation 12.1 with $k=1.1 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}[1,11]$. The first product formed is mackinawite with the stoichiometry FeS $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]$. Figure 12.5 a shows that this is a tetragonal solid with a spacing of $503 \mathrm{pm}(5.03 \AA)$ between the iron layers on the $z$ axis; the other two axes have a distance of 367.4 pm . Within the iron layers, the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bond distance of 259.8 pm is identical to that found in metallic iron, indicating that there is $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bonding. Instead of an $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ring as shown in the copper and zinc sulfides, the basic building block is a $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring. Figure 12.5 b shows a $90^{\circ}$ rotation (the orientation of atoms $\mathrm{Fe}_{1}, \mathrm{Fe}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ are identical in Figures 12.5 a and b). The $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ions have almost perfect tetrahedral coordination with four S atoms ( $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ in Figure 12.5b) whereas the S atoms are bound asymmetrically to four Fe atoms that are in a plane directly above or below the two $S$ atom layers.

Figure 12.5 c shows the structure for a $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ or $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ cluster, which is the simplest possible molecule with a $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring. Evidence for it and higher order clusters, which are electroactive and detectable by voltammetry, have been found in freshwater and marine waters [13]. The first solid or condensed phase appears to have the stoichiometry $\mathrm{Fe}_{150} \mathrm{~S}_{150}$. Because $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ is high spin, replacement of water by other ligands and the Fe by other cations such as $\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}$ is possible.

Figure 12.5 a and b shows that the surface S and Fe atoms are exposed to the solution. The $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ ions can act as nucleophiles (bases), and the unsaturated $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ions can act as Lewis acids to accept a pair of electrons from a ligand and increase the Fe coordination number, or to accept electrons from a reductant such as $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Also, Figure 12.5 a shows that there is significant spacing between the S atom layers. Because $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{M}^{+2}$ ions are typically smaller than $100 \mathrm{pm}(0.100 \mathrm{~nm}$, Table 6.4), they can insert between the $S$ atom layers and change the properties of mackinawite.


Figure 12.5 (a) Mackinawite structure with the xz plane in the plane of the paper. (b) Same structure with the xy plane in the plane of the paper. (c) $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right.$ structure ( $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ferredoxin type). Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)

### 12.6.2 $\mathrm{FeS}_{\text {mack }}$ Conversion to Pyrite, $\mathrm{FeS}_{\mathbf{2}}$

$\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ is the principal iron sulfide formed in anoxic waters and sediments. The kinetics and rate laws of $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ formation have been described for two major $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ forming reactions (1) FeS and polysulfides as reactants (Equations $12.7 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) and (2) FeS and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ as reactants (Equations 12.8a,b). The FeS starting material is nanoparticulate mackinawite and acts differently in each reaction; also, it is formally a soluble entity that has been given the formula $\mathrm{FeS}_{\mathrm{aq}}$ [13]. Although the reactions increase with increasing temperature, the conversion of $\mathrm{FeS}_{\mathrm{aq}}$ to solid phase mackinawite also increases and prevents optimal kinetics [11].

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{FeS}+\mathrm{S}_{x}^{2-}(x=4,5) \rightarrow \mathrm{FeS}_{2}+\mathrm{S}_{(x-1)}^{2-}  \tag{12.7a}\\
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{FeS}_{2}\right]=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{FeS}_{\mathrm{aq}}\right]\left[\mathrm{S}_{x}^{2-}\right], \text { simplified form }  \tag{12.7b}\\
& \mathrm{FeS}_{\mathrm{aq}}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \mathrm{FeS}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \quad \Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}=-33.93 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}  \tag{12.8a}\\
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{FeS}_{2}\right]=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{FeS}_{\mathrm{aq}}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right] \tag{12.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

### 12.6.2.1 Polysulfide Reaction

Linear polysulfide ions ( $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ ) form as intermediates during the oxidation of sulfide [13]. The internal S atoms approach zero charge as the chain lengthens and with increasing protonation or binding. Thus, they are a source of $\mathrm{S}(0)$, which can react with FeS to form $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$. Figure 12.6 a shows that the starting FeS has similar characteristics to the product $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ (the cubic unit cell axes have a length of 541.7 pm compared with the $503 \mathrm{pm} \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Fe}$ layer distance in $\mathrm{FeS}_{\text {mack }}$ ). Looking down the $z$ axis of each, there is a plane of five Fe atoms. Figure 12.6 b shows a schematic of Equation 12.7 a with $\mathrm{S}_{5}{ }^{2-}$ as the polysulfide. Sulfur isotopic data [13, 14] indicate that the reaction of FeS with linear polysulfides $\left(\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}\right)$ results in both S atoms in $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ coming from $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$. The kinetics for Equation 12.7a indicate that $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ attacks $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ to form a $\left[\mathrm{FeS}\left(\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}\right)\right]$ complex as in Figure 12.6 b and c . Figure 12.6 b shows that there are two bonds formed (dashed arrows) and two bonds broken (two brackets). Because $S^{2-}$ is a strong nucleophile, it can attack the $S(0)$ atoms at the center of the


Figure 12.6 (a) Relationship between FeS and $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ surfaces in their unit cells. (b) Schematic of reaction in Equation 12.7a; blue color for $S$ atoms from $\mathrm{S}_{5}{ }^{2-}$. (c) Attack of $\mathrm{S}_{5}{ }^{2-}$ on the FeS surface to form an [ $\mathrm{FeS}\left(\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}\right)$ ] surface complex; the dashed arrow indicates that the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ formed slips between Fe atoms. Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)
$\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ chain resulting in the formation of a five-membered $\mathrm{FeS}_{4}$ ring, which can break up to form $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ (from $\left.\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}\right), \mathrm{S}_{(x-1)}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$. Although an S atom from FeS leaves the surface to form $\mathrm{S}_{(x-1)}{ }^{2-}$, the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ slips between the Fe atoms to open up the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bond distance from 260 to 542 pm along the $x y$ plane. The FeS bond distance is 226 pm in FeS with a range from $223-230 \mathrm{pm}$ in $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$. The Fe (II) changes from high to low spin during the reaction. Overall, FeS acts as a Lewis acid when $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ attacks surface $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and as a Lewis base when $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ from FeS attacks $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$. Thus, both reactants exhibit amphoteric (Lewis acid-base) properties.

### 12.6.2.2 $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ Reaction

For the reaction of FeS with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, sulfur isotopic data indicate that one S atom comes from each reactant [13, 14]. There are only two requirements for this pyrite formation pathway; (1) the reactants must lead to S-S bond formation and weakening of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds to form $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, and (2) in the intermediate, tetrahedral Fe (II) must undergo a high spin $\left(e^{3} t_{2}{ }^{3}\right)$ to low spin $\left(t_{2 g}{ }^{6}\right)$ octahedral conversion. In this case, FeS acts only as a Lewis base attacking the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ LUMO (Figure 12.7a), which has a stable energy of -1.1 eV and is delocalized across all three atoms. This nucleophilic attack permits simultaneous $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bond formation and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}$ bond weakening to form H atoms adsorbed to the surface. $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bond formation is facilitated by the $\sim 93^{\circ} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ bond angle.

Overall, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is the oxidant of FeS , but it is the sulfur and not the Fe in FeS that is oxidized as $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ forms. The FeS HOMO energy has been calculated to be +0.5 eV [13]. Figure 12.7 b shows $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ molecules interacting with the FeS surface to form $\mathrm{FeS} \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{2}$ intermediates; the dashed arrow indicates slippage of the S atom on FeS to accommodate the extra S atom from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ to open up the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bond distance in the plane.


Figure 12.7 (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ LUMO; blue and black colors indicate + and - sign for the wave function, respectively. (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ molecules interacting with the FeS surface. (c) Dashed arrow indicates the formation of the $\mathrm{FeS} \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{2}$ intermediate before breakup to products; blue S atom from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$. Models and orbital created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)

Figure 12.7 c shows a schematic of the reaction with formation of a Lewis acid-base complex $\left(\mathrm{FeS} \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}_{2}\right)$ as an intermediate. The $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ product can remain adsorbed to the surface in another Lewis acid-base complex as its LUMO is -5 eV , which is lower in energy than the $\mathrm{FeS}(+0.5 \mathrm{eV})$ and $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ (near-3.5 eV) HOMOs. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ adsorption on $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ is likely important in the catalytic conversion of CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to small organic compounds at hydrothermal vents (Section 12.6.3).

### 12.6.2.3 $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ Formation Pathways and pH

The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ pathway forms pyrite two orders of magnitude faster than the polysulfide pathway [11, 12]. Figures 12.6 c and 12.7 b give a possible indication as to why this is so. First, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ should be faster because there are less steric factors for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ to adsorb onto the FeS surface versus $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}(x>4)$. Thus, more $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ molecules can attack the same FeS surface area than can $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$. Second, there is more ordering needed for the $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ to adsorb to the surface for the formation of the five-membered $\mathrm{FeS}_{4}$ ring. Once formed, the $\mathrm{FeS}_{4}$ ring reduces the amount of $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ that can attack the same FeS surface. Third, the mobility of the $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ to slip between the Fe plane of atoms is more facile as less atomic motion is necessary for the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ pathway.

Both $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ formation reactions occur, and depending on the pH , occur simultaneously. The $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ reaction is fastest at $\mathrm{pH}>\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 2}$, which is $\mathrm{pH}>6.05$ for $\mathrm{S}_{5}{ }^{2-}$ and $>6.6$ for $\mathrm{S}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ reaction is fastest at $\mathrm{pH}<$ $7\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a} 1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \sim 7\right)$, whereas at $\mathrm{pH}>7, \mathrm{HS}^{-}$is not a reactant because it is a Lewis base and cannot accept electrons from $\mathrm{FeS}_{\mathrm{aq}}$. Thus, at $\mathrm{pH} \geq 8$, the polysulfide pathway is dominant. Pyrite is ubiquitous as it forms in many environments on earth including sediments, stagnant water columns, and hydrothermal vents (see Figure 2.8). In marine sediments and stagnant water columns, the pH is typically $>7.5$. In near shore sediments, the pH is nearer 7. In wetlands, salt marsh sediments, and hydrothermal vents, the pH is typically $<6.5$. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ pathway seems to be the more important one in a host of environments, and during the early earth period before the Great Oxidation Event, it was likely the dominant pathway as oxidants, which would oxidize $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ to $\mathrm{S}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ or $\mathrm{S}_{8}$, were not present in the environment to a great extent.

### 12.6.3 FeS as a Catalyst in Organic Compound Formation

The reaction of CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with FeS alone or in the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ leads to the formation of $\mathrm{COS}, \mathrm{CS}_{2}$, and simple organic sulfur compounds (e.g., $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SH}$ ) indicating that the FeS system has significant reducing power to activate $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ [15]. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SH}$ is an excellent starting material for biochemistry as CO insertion (similar to the oxo process; Section 9.13.1) forms $\mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{SH}$, which is a precursor for acetyl-CoA found in the reverse or reductive citrate cycle [16]. The FeS HOMO energy ( +0.5 eV [13]) is similar to the energy of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \pi_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{*}$ LUMO (Section 5.7.2) so direct electron transfer is possible with little kinetic barrier when compared to the $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$HOMO of -2.32 eV .

Wächtershäuser $[17,18]$ proposed the hydrothermal synthesis of organic compounds during the inorganic synthesis of $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ as a primary pathway. The $\mathrm{FeS} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ reaction (Equation 12.8a) is an important source of H atom production on the pyrite surface and eventual $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ production by inorganic (abiotic) means. Ni has been added to the FeS starting material, and it is possible that a Fischer-Tropsch type reaction (see Section 9.13.4) can occur during the formation of $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ as the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (or H atoms) along with the oxidant CO or $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ can remain on the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Ni}) \mathrm{S}$ or $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Ni}) \mathrm{S}_{2}$ surface. As an example, the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to form formic acid (Equation 12.9) is endergonic but when coupled with Equation 12.8a, the reaction (Equation 12.10) is favorable. Thus, reduction and activation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ can be accomplished with the FeS system. These reactions would occur in a more acidic ocean because $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and not $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$is the reactant.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCOOH} & \Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}=+22.07 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{FeS}_{\mathrm{aq}}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCOOH}+\mathrm{FeS}_{2} & \Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}=-11.86 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \tag{12.10}
\end{array}
$$

Under basic conditions (as high as pH 11 ), the oxidation of $\mathrm{FeS}_{\text {mack }}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ as well as other electron acceptors leads to $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ (and other S species) and $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$. This and the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bond distance led Russell [19] to hypothesize that FeS semipermeable membranes doped with $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ and/or $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ could be a primordial source of electrons and catalysis for the formation of organic compounds from inorganic substrates such as CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. On one side of the $\mathrm{FeS}_{\text {mack }}$ membrane, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is oxidized to $\mathrm{H}^{+}$with the electrons going into the Fe layers with metallic bonding. These electrons then reduce the oxidant (e.g., organic acids, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) on the other side of the FeS species. The Fe layers act as an electrical conduit.

### 12.6.4 FeS as an Electron Transfer Agent in Biochemistry

FeS is an excellent reducing agent as noted in the above reactions; thus, it is not surprising to find that FeS clusters are used as reducing agents in nature [20, 21]. FeS proteins, which are responsible for electron transfer in many biochemical reactions, have embedded FeS clusters including $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ clusters (formally $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)\right]$ or [2Fe-2S]) and $\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ clusters (formally $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{S}_{4}\right)\right]$ or [ $\left.4 \mathrm{Fe}-4 \mathrm{~S}\right]$ ) known as ferredoxins where the brackets indicate the cluster within the protein. Figure 12.5 c shows the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right](\mathrm{L})_{4}$ structure with water as ligand. In proteins, the fully oxidized form is $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ with $2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ions. Figure 12.8 shows the cubic $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right](\mathrm{L})_{4}$ structure with the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]$ ring. L is usually cysteine, but can also be inorganic S , methionine, or histidine. The structural similarity of these FeS clusters to the $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ structural unit in mackinawite is striking.

The ferredoxin clusters have a wide potential range (Table 12.2, Figure 10.1), which can be tuned by changing the ligand bound to Fe . Replacing cysteine ( $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bond) with histidine ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bond) leads to an increase in the reduction potential for the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]$ cluster core (also Table 10.1) whereas a higher cluster oxidation state leads to a higher reduction potential for the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{3+}$ cluster.

Fully reduced $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{0}$ and fully oxidized $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{4+}$ clusters have been produced in the laboratory but are unknown in nature [21], so only the $+1,+2$, and +3 clusters are found in proteins. Each Fe has unpaired electrons, but $m_{s}$ equals 0 for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{2+}$, which is diamagnetic and not active to electron paramagnetic resonance


Figure 12.8 Examples of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ structure in biochemistry. (a) Ferredoxins. (b) P-cluster in nitrogenase. (c) FeMo-cofactor cluster in nitrogenase. Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ program (version 8.0.10)

Table 12.2 Reduction potentials for some oxidized $\left[F e_{n} S_{n}\right] L_{4}$ ferredoxin clusters [20]. $L=$ cysteine unless stated otherwise. HiPIP = high potential ferredoxin

| Cluster | Potential ( $V$ ) | Redox state of oxidant; Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{+}$ | -0.10 to +0.49 | $2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ with 2 histidines on 1 Fe ; $\boldsymbol{H i P I P}$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{+}$ | -0.40 to 0 | $2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ |
| $[3 \mathrm{Fe}-4 \mathrm{~S}]^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[3 \mathrm{Fe}^{-}-4 \mathrm{~S}\right]^{0}$ | -0.05 to -0.45 | $3 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+} ;$ pH dependent |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{+}$ | -0.25 to -0.65 | $2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}, 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{++}$ | 0.025 to 0.45 | $3 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}, 1 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+} ;$ HiPIP |

spectroscopy. The value of $m_{s}$ is 0.5 for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{3+}$ and can be $0.5,1.5$, or 2.5 for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{+}$. Ferredoxins are used to provide electrons in a variety of processes including photosynthesis and P450 cytochrome reactions (e.g., Section 10.6.1). The $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{CO}$ couple has a reduction potential of -515 mV at $\mathrm{pH}=7$, and this is within the potential range of many of the highly reduced $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{+}$ferredoxins, which are effective reducing agents.

### 12.6.4.1 Assimilatory $N_{2}$ Reduction

Figure 12.8 b and c shows the two metal clusters in the iron-molybdenum ( FeMo ) protein called nitrogenase, which is involved with assimilatory reduction of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ for amino acid and peptide synthesis. The P -cluster is involved with electron transfer from the Fe protein to the FeMo -cofactor, which is the site where $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ becomes bound and is reduced. The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bond distances in these rings is similar to that found in mackinawite and Fe metal, which would permit $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (or $\mathrm{H}^{-}$) to bridge across Fe atoms [22]. The extended structures of the P and $M$ clusters permit more bonding of the substrates $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ with the advantage of having more bridging hydride ions (Section 9.13.4) across the increased number of $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ rings.

Because the thermodynamics of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ is unknown, the operative reactions to reduce $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ are Equations 12.11 and 12.12. The potential for these reactions at pH 7 is also given, and the reduction of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to hydrazine, $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, is similar to the -515 mV potential of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{CO}$ couple. Thus, the highly reduced $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{2+} /\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right]^{+}$ferredoxin couple can effect $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ reduction. There is no barrier to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ formation once hydrazine $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ forms.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
1 / 4\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) & -511 \mathrm{mV} \\
1 / 2\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) & 523 \mathrm{mV} \tag{12.12}
\end{array}
$$

If the N species become protonated, the hydrazine reduction reactions (Equations 12.13 and 12.14) are now more unfavorable, and out of the range of the ferredoxins' reducing power. Thus, reduction of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ must be completely efficient at the catalytic site.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
1 / 4\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-}+5 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}\right) & -745 \mathrm{mV} \\
1 / 2\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right) & 652 \mathrm{mV} \tag{12.14}
\end{array}
$$

### 12.7 More on the Nitrogen Cycle (Nitrate Reduction, Denitrification, and Anammox)

The nitrogen cycle is one of the most important element cycles in nature and conversion from ammonia to nitrate involves eight electrons. The following inorganic compounds and ions represent the nine oxidation states of nitrogen.

| -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +2 | +3 | +4 | +5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | NO | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}-$ |

Figure 12.9 summarizes the chemical species involved with the major processes of the nitrogen cycle. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ oxidation reactions are on the left, and on the right are reduction reactions to form $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

Once $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is fixed as $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in an organism, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is used to form amino acids, peptides, RNA, and DNA, which comprise the soft parts of the organism. $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$can also be assimilated by organisms and reduced to $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (see Table 12.3). The assimilatory reduction (assimilatory ammonification) of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$has been described as a two-step process. However, plants appear to have a three-step process; the third reaction in Table 12.3 is a four-electron transfer process and $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ appears to be an intermediate in the process. The potentials for these processes are accessible with the metalloenzymes indicated. Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ occurs during organic matter decomposition when $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is $<5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Section 1.8.2). The overall reactions are similar to those for assimilatory reduction of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$in Table 12.3, but the enzyme at step 2 is different.
$\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$are called fixed- N as they are soluble entities that are not lost to the atmosphere so can be recycled leading to eutrophication. However, nitrogen can be lost from an aquatic ecosystem as the gases

| nitrification |  | $N_{2}$ fixation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{N}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$ |  |
| anammox |  | Assimilatory / Dissimilatory $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$reduction (ammonification) |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2} \leftarrow \mathrm{NO} \leftarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \leftarrow \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ |
|  | $\downarrow$ | denitrification |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}$ |

Figure 12.9 The chemical species formed during the major processes in the nitrogen cycle (gases are in blue). $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (blue italics) is a possible intermediate in plant assimilatory $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$reduction

Table 12.3 Half reactions (equations from Table 2.2), voltages, and enzymes for eventual $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ formation during assimilatory nitrate reduction reactions

| Half reaction (Table 2.2 equation) | $\mathrm{mV}(\mathrm{pH} 7)$ | Enzyme |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{N} 1)$ | +422 | Mo nitrate reductase |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{N} 23)$ | +340 | Siroheme nitrite reductase and $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{S}$ |
| $\mathrm{Possible} \mathrm{plant} \mathrm{pathway} \mathrm{after} \mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{N} 19)}$ | -60 | Cytochrome-c-Fe heme nitrite reductase |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{N} 6 \mathrm{c})$ | +1137 | Octaheme hydroxylamine reductase |

Table 12.4 Reduction half reactions (equations from Table 2.2), their voltages, and enzymes for the four denitrification reactions

| Half reaction (Table 2.2 equation) | $\mathrm{mV}(\mathrm{pH} 7)$ | Enzyme |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{N} 1)$ | +55 | Mo nitrate reductase |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{N} 13)$ | +346 | Fe heme/Cu nitrite reductase |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N} 15)$ | +1169 | Fe heme/non-heme Fe nitric oxide reductase |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~N} 4)$ | +1352 | Fe, Cu nitrous oxide reductase |

$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. During organic matter decomposition with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ as electron acceptor, $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is released to solution and oxidized to $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$as shown in Section 10.6.2. Because many processes occur under low or zero $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ conditions, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$becomes the electron acceptor for dissimilatory organic matter decomposition, leading to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (denitrification) or $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$formation (ammonification) (see Section 1.8.2). Table 12.4 shows that the half reactions for each electron transfer step are quite favorable so ferredoxins are not required as reductants (see Figure 10.1).

Structural studies on $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$reduction to NO using a Cu nitrite reductase indicate that Cu binds across one of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds (bidentate or side on bonding) in $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$[23] as shown in Figure 8.4c. The hapto nomenclature from Section 9.10 is used as in $\left[\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cu}\right]$. This type of bonding facilitates breaking of the other $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$and formation of NO.

NO formation is also important in anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) [24], which leads to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ as product. As in denitrification, NO forms from reduction of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$, which reacts with $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$via cytochrome-c-heme hydrazine synthase to form $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ (Equation 12.15; formally a comproportionation-type reaction). The hydrazine intermediate then forms $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ readily via cytochrome-c-heme hydrazine oxidoreductase (Equation 12.16). The reaction between NO and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$alone (Equations 12.17a-12.17c) is unfavorable ( -427 mV ; Equation 12.17c). Thus, the enzyme needs to supply hydrogen ions and electrons to affect NO reduction (much like $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in cytochrome P 450 in Section 10.6.1), which then leads to $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$oxidation (the unfavorable step in the process). Formally, a three-electron reduction of NO with addition of two $\mathrm{H}^{+}$as in Equation 12.15 would give $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$(deprotonated hydroxylamine) as an intermediate, which would react with $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$.

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & +109 \mathrm{mV} ; \text { overall with enzyme } \\
\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} & +712 \mathrm{mV} ; \text { reverse eq. N7b in Table } 2.2 \\
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} & -679 \mathrm{mV} ; \text { reverse eq. N5b in Table } 2.2 \tag{12.17a}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 / 4 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 8 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+{ }^{1} / 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & +252 \mathrm{mV} ; \text { eq. N20 in Table } 2.2 \\
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+1 / 4 \mathrm{NO} \rightarrow 5 / 8 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+1 / 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & -427 \mathrm{mV} ; \text { overall } \tag{12.17c}
\end{array}
$$

Both denitrification and anammox lead to loss of fixed nitrogen mainly as $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas to the atmosphere from the water body where the organisms reside. $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$ also react with $\mathrm{Mn}($ III,IV $)$ to form $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, respectively. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is a major greenhouse gas and is produced in many OMZs (Section 1.8.2), and its presence indicates that denitrification is occurring, but not anammox.

## APPENDIX 12.1



Figure 12A.1 (a) Model of a PbS nanoparticle with 500 Pb and 500 S atoms. Model created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10). (b) Operationally defined size ranges of natural materials found in waters, and what size ranges are considered "soluble", "colloidal" and "solid". On the left, molecules, viruses and nanoparticles as well as some colloids (with a size range between the blue vertical arrows) and clays can pass through 200 or 450 nm filters. The cutoff for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and particulate organic carbon (POC) is commonly defined as 450 nm .
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## 13

## Kinetics and Thermodynamics of Metal Uptake by Organisms

### 13.1 Introduction

Metals such as manganese, iron, copper, and zinc are needed by organisms for enzyme function. At the base of the food chain are phytoplankton, which live in river, lake, or near-shore environments that are replete with these metals. However, in the center of the ocean, phytoplankton need to uptake these metals, which are at nanomolar levels or lower. Thus, oceanic phytoplankton have developed specialized uptake strategies to take the metal from the solution environment into the cell. However, too much metal uptake can be "toxic" to an organism. Toxicity can occur when one metal such as cadmium (1) enters an organism at high concentrations (bioaccumulates) due to anthropogenic effects, and thus replaces a necessary metal or (2) enters an organism even at low levels where it can outcompete for the ligands within the cell that normally bind the necessary metal.

In natural waters, metals are bound to organic ligands, which normally are in excess to the total metal concentration. There is a variety of natural metal binding ligands that are derived from a variety of sources - either as direct excretions from an organism or as degradation products on cell lysis or death. These include natural humic material, which is not well-defined structurally. Diatoms and plants produce porphyrins and phytochelatins (RSH; thiol groups). Bacteria produce siderophores (a term for iron bearing ligands) to acquire iron, but can also bind a host of other metals. The functional groups include hydroxamate, catecholate, and $\beta$-hydroxyaspartate bidentate functional groups with up to three bidentate groups in a molecule. Freshwater and marine mussels produce peptides and proteins containing catecholate groups. Figure 13.1 (also Figure 8.1) shows some specific structural features for these natural ligands, which can be obtained from isolation of excreted material in laboratory cultures and sometimes by laboratory syntheses. Based on the structure of these natural ligands, chemists have also used known ligands or synthesized model ligands that contain similar functional groups including carboxylic acid, amine, hydroxyl, catecholate, hydroxamate, phosphate, and sulfhydryl (thiol) functional groups in order to mimic natural conditions in the laboratory.
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Figure 13.1 (a) Two structures for select siderophores with six ligating oxygen atoms showing the hydroxamate, catecholate and $\beta$-hydroxyaspartate bidentate functional groups. (b) Three dimensional models when bound to Fe(III). Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)

### 13.1.1 Conditional Metal-Ligand Stability Constants

As these natural or synthetic metal-ligand complexes approach the surface of the cell, a protein transporter site (another ligand) must then acquire the metal from the metal-ligand complex in solution via an associative or dissociative pathway. The uptake of metals is related to the strength of these metal-ligand binding interactions, which can be described by the kinetic and thermodynamic expressions for metal-ligand complexes in Chapter 9. There are three principal ways to report the value of a stability constant (recall Equation 7.23 and Equations 9.5-9.6), which are discussed before describing metal uptake.

Because the metal concentration $\left(c_{\mathrm{M}}\right.$ or $\left.[\mathrm{M}]_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ is the parameter measured in natural water by metal-specific techniques (e.g., inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy, atomic absorption spectroscopy,
voltammetry, ion selective electrodes), metal complexation is expressed as the conditional equilibrium constant, $K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ (Equation (13.1)), which is also equal to the ratio of the rate constant of complex formation, $k_{\mathrm{f}}$, to the rate constant of complex dissociation, $k_{\mathrm{d}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{cond} \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}=\frac{[\mathrm{ML}]}{\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{f}}}{k_{\mathrm{d}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ are the concentrations of the metal and ligand that are not bound to each other. $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is defined as all the inorganic forms $(X)$ of $M$ (where $X=$ chloride, sulfate, carbonate, hydroxide, etc.) and $L^{\prime}$ is defined as all ligand forms that are bound to $\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$, etc. These are related to the total metal $[\mathrm{M}]_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $[\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{T}}$ where Equation (13.2) shows $[\mathrm{M}]_{\mathrm{T}}$.

$$
c_{\mathrm{M}}=[\mathrm{M}]_{\mathrm{T}}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right]+[\mathrm{ML}]+\left[\mathrm{MCl}^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{MSO}_{4}\right]+\cdots
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mathrm{M}}=[\mathrm{M}]_{\mathrm{T}}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right]+[\mathrm{ML}]+\Sigma[\mathrm{MX}]_{i} \tag{13.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The free metal $\mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{6}{ }^{n+}$, which is abbreviated as $\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right]$ or $[\mathrm{M}]_{\text {free }}$ to indicate its activity $\{\mathrm{M}\}$, plus the metal bound to only other inorganic ligands (chloride, sulfate, carbonate, hydroxide, etc.) equals $\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]$ as in Equation (13.3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]=[\mathrm{M}]_{\mathrm{T}}-[\mathrm{ML}]=\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{MCl}^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{MSO}_{4}\right]+\cdots \tag{13.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (13.3) can be expanded using the equilibrium expressions for each metal-inorganic ligand complex to give Equations (13.4) and (13.5).

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]=\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right]\left\{1+K_{\mathrm{MCl}}\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]+K_{\mathrm{MSO} 4}\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]+\cdots\right\}}  \tag{13.4}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]=\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right] \sum K_{\mathrm{MX}}[\mathrm{X}]_{i}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right]\left(1+\sum K_{\mathrm{MX} i}[\mathrm{X}]_{i}\right)} \tag{13.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The fraction of a free metal, $\alpha_{\mathbf{M}}$, in solution without the organic ligand is given by Equation (13.6).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right]}{\left[M^{\prime}\right]} \tag{13.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On substitution of the equilibria for all inorganic forms of M (Equation (13.5)) into Equation (13.6), $\alpha_{\mathrm{M}}$ is expanded into Equation (13.7).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{1}{1+\sum K_{\mathrm{MX} i}[\mathrm{X}]_{i}} \tag{13.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reciprocal of Equation (13.7) is known as the inorganic side reaction coefficient for $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ (given the symbol $\alpha_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}$ ) as in Equation (13.8), and is frequently used in the literature.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right]} \tag{13.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same procedure is also used to express the fraction of free ligand, $\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$, and the side reaction coefficient for $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ (given the symbol $\alpha_{\mathbf{L}^{\prime}}$ ). Unfortunately, in natural waters, the ligand is normally unknown because there are several ligands that can bind the metal; thus, the conditional constant from Equation (13.1) can only be corrected for the free metal ion. A measure of the total ligand concentration can be determined by metal
titration and other experiments [1-5]. Substituting from Equation (13.8) for $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ into Equation (13.1) leads to Equation (13.9).

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{cond} \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}=\frac{[\mathrm{ML}]}{\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]}=\frac{[\mathrm{ML}]}{\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right] \alpha_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}\left[\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]} \tag{13.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding Equation (13.9) for the correction of all inorganic ligands gives a new conditional stability constant known as $K_{\text {cond ML' }}$, which is related to $K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ by Equation (13.10). These two stability constants are those normally reported in the environmental literature so it is important that the reader be able to discriminate between them easily. Also, $K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{ML}^{\prime}}>K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}\right) K_{\mathrm{cond} \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}=\frac{[\mathrm{ML}]}{\left[\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]}=K_{\mathrm{cond} \mathrm{ML}^{\prime}} \tag{13.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 13.1.2 Thermodynamic Metal-Ligand Stability Constants

When the ligand is known as in laboratory experiments, similar corrections can be made for the free ligand concentration as its $\alpha_{\mathrm{L}}$ ( and $\alpha_{\mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ ) for complexes with $\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, etc. can be calculated. Thus, the activities, expressed as $\}$, of both the metal and ligand are known, and the thermodynamic constant can be calculated as in Equation (13.11); $K_{\text {therm }}>K_{\text {cond ML }}>K_{\text {cond M }}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\text {therm }}=\beta=\frac{\{\mathrm{ML}\}}{\left\{\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{L}^{n-}\right\}}=K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}\right)\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{L}^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{k_{\mathrm{f}}}{k_{\mathrm{d}}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}\right)\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{L}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{13.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting $K_{\text {therm }}$ is then a pH - and solution species-independent constant as all solution conditions are properly specified. However, in environmental samples, the interactions of $\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ with the ligand are almost always unknown, so only conditional stability constants ( $K_{\text {cond ML }}{ }^{\prime}$ or $K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ ) are reported. Table 13.1 shows the conditional and thermodynamic constants for $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$-EDTA or [M(EDTA] ${ }^{2-}$ complexes determined in seawater by stripping voltammetry techniques; these constants are several orders of magnitude higher than those for the Group II cations (see Table 7.6). Table 13.2 shows the conditional and thermodynamic constants for several natural ligands bound to Fe (III) in seawater. Note that the side reaction coefficient for $\mathrm{Fe}^{\prime}$ in seawater is over eight orders of magnitude larger than that for the divalent cations because of Fe (III) hydrolysis.

Equation (13.11) can also been expressed using the free metal fraction, $\alpha_{\mathrm{M}}$, to give Equation (13.12).

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\text {therm }}=\beta=\frac{[\mathrm{ML}] \alpha_{\mathrm{ML}}}{\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right] \alpha_{\mathrm{M}}\left[\mathrm{~L}^{\prime}\right] \alpha_{\mathrm{L}}} \tag{13.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 13.1 Relationship between the conditional stability constants ( $K_{\text {cond } M^{\prime} L^{\prime}}$ and $K_{\text {cond ML }}$ ) determined in seawater ( $\mathrm{pH}=8.0$ ) and the ACTUAL thermodynamic stability constant ( $\log K_{\text {therm }}$ ) for several metals bound with EDTA and. The log of $\alpha_{\text {EDTA }^{\prime}}$ equals 8.0 [1-4]

| Complex | $\log K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ | $\log \alpha_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}$ | $\log K_{\text {cond } M L^{\prime}}$ | $\log K_{\text {therm }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zn-EDTA | 7.9 | 0.32 | 8.22 | 16.3 |
| Cu-EDTA | 8.6 | 1.38 | 9.98 | 17.94 |
| Cd-EDTA | 7.7 | 1.55 | 9.25 | 16.5 |
| Pb-EDTA | 8.6 | 1.54 | 10.14 | 18.0 |

Table 13.2 Relationship between the conditional stability constants and the thermodynamic stability constant for Fe(III)-complexes bound to several natural ligands in seawater modified from [5]. The log of $\alpha_{\mathrm{Fe}^{\prime}}$ in seawater equals 10.0

| Complex | $\log k_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\log K_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\log K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ | $\log K_{\mathrm{cond}_{\mathrm{ML}}}$ | $\log K_{\text {therm }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe-ferrichrome (hydroxamate) | 5.56 | -7.30 | 12.9 | 22.9 | 29.1 |
| Fe-desferrioxamine-B (hydroxamate) | 6.29 | -5.82 | 12.1 | 22.1 | 31.0 |
| Fe-alterobactin-A | 5.58 | -6.76 | 12.3 | 22.3 | 51 |
| Fe-protoporphyrin-IX | 5.79 | -6.15 | 11.9 | 21.9 | - |

For a complex with more than one metal bound to more than one ligand combining to form a cluster $\left(\mathrm{M}_{x} \mathrm{~L}_{y}\right)$, Equation (13.11) is expressed as Equation (13.13).

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\text {therm }}=\beta=\frac{\left\{\mathrm{M}_{x} \mathrm{~L}_{y}\right\}}{\left\{\mathrm{M}^{n+}\right\}^{x}\left\{\mathrm{~L}^{n-}\right\}^{y}}=K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}\right)^{x}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{L}^{\prime}}\right)^{y} \tag{13.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 13.2 Metal Uptake Pathways

There are multiple ways in which single cell organisms can uptake or assimilate ions, nutrients, and metals. Figure 13.2 shows a schematic of a cell with several transport proteins $(\mathrm{P})$ for the transport of elements across a membrane, which has hydrophobic components extending out into solution. There are different membrane transport proteins for cations and anions, and the focus is primarily on metal ions. Figure 13.2 demonstrates several different cation possibilities. First, the Group I ions (Na, K) exist as hexaaqua complexes, which dissociate at the cell surface to form $\mathrm{M}^{+} \mathrm{P}$ complexes (these are discussed in detail in the next section). Second, divalent cations including $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}, \mathrm{Co}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ exist in nature mainly as metal ligand complexes ML or $[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{L})]^{2+}$, which can dissociate at the surface to give metal chloro complexes (as in seawater) or the free ion, which in turn forms $\mathrm{M}^{2+} \mathrm{P}$ complexes. Third, higher oxidation state cations such as $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ also exist as metal-ligand complexes, but because of significant hydrolysis, any dissociation would result in hydroxide or oxyhydroxide species rather than the free ion prior to forming $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$. The term $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is used to distinguish the free metal ion from metal complexes with inorganic ligands such as the halides, carbonate, and hydroxide. Thus, the transport protein must have the capability of outcompeting the inorganic ions for $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ so that iron can be transported into the cell. For all cases, the dissociation or partial dissociation of a metal-ligand complex in solution is important to form the surface MP complex, and the kinetics of this process is discussed in Section 13.2.2. Once MP forms, the metal is transferred into the cell ( $\mathrm{M}_{\text {cell }}$ ) with a rate constant of $k_{\text {in }}$ and goes to a center, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, where it can perform its biochemical function.

For the monovalent and divalent cations, Figure 13.2 shows that the free ion normally is formed prior to formation of an MP complex. For higher oxidation state cations, the metal-ligand complex can be reduced in solution or at the cell surface by chemical reagents or in solution by photochemical pathways. $\mathrm{For}_{\mathrm{Fe}}{ }^{3+}$, the result would be faster uptake of the resulting $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ as the thermodynamic stability constants for Fe (II) L complexes are twenty log units lower than those reported in Table 13.2 for Fe (III)L complexes [6]. In early Earth history prior to the Great Oxidation Event, iron existed predominantly as $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ so the uptake of iron would not have required a more specialized membrane transport protein to bind and acquire $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$.


Figure 13.2 Schematic of a phytoplankton cell showing membrane transport proteins ( $P$ ) for metal ions along with the reactions and rate constants for $\left[M\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{n+}, M L, M P$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\text {cell }}$. $\mathrm{C}_{2}, E$ (efflux site) and $\mathrm{M}_{\text {toxic }} \mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}$ are discussed in Section 13.2.2.3

### 13.2.1 Ion Channels for Potassium

There are specific cation membrane transport proteins for $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$(also $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ), which are major ions in natural waters and are required for many biological functions including electrical conduction and cell signaling. These cations pass through membrane transporter or ion channels based on a chemical gradient across the membrane. Because they have fast water exchange rates and form weak complexes in solution, their ligands dissociate readily so that the rate of cation conduction is fast, on the order of diffusion control. To enter the pore and pass through ion channels, the $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{+}$complexes lose all six water molecules and any ion pair partners such as chloride so the metal ions can complex the O atoms from carbonyl groups in peptides within the transporter channel (Figure 13.3). The stability constants, $K_{\text {therm }}$, of these ligand complexes with $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ in the channel are about $10^{2}$, which is larger than that for simple ion pairing in solution. These metal-ligand complexes in the channel are also labile to dissociation so movement is fast. To ensure this cation movement, the channel size appears to be thermodynamically tuned to the ionic radius of the specific ion much like ions pack in a crystal more efficiently; the only difference is that there are no atoms or ions between $\mathrm{K}^{+}$in the channel resulting in Coulombic repulsion between adjacent $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions that aid their movement through the channel [7]. The ionic radii for $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$are 116 and 152 pm for six coordinate complexes, respectively. Thus, selectivity may be achieved with the proper pore or channel size (called a selectivity filter). Channels or compounds that are metal selective are called ionophores.

As in the case of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$, the smaller size and higher charge of the transition and post transition metals normally prevent them from entering the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$membrane transport protein. In addition, the transition and post transition metals are lower in concentration in natural waters and have significantly stronger complexes (are also inert or slowly dissociating) with anions and ligands in solution; thus, the free ion is in low concentration. Thus, metals such as Hg and Ag cannot pass through these channels. Unfortunately, thallium(I) $\left(r_{+}=164 \mathrm{pm}\right)$ can pass through these channels along with $\mathrm{K}^{+}$, and once inside the cell can oxidize to $\mathrm{Tl}(\mathrm{III})$, which binds well with internal cell ligands leading to toxic side effects for the cell. $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ has an ionic radius of 133 pm so may also be able to pass through the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel.

The synthesis of crown ethers, which are cyclic oligomers of - $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-, showed that cyclic complexes with a specific ring size can lead to better Group I-ligand binding, which encourages the correct ion to enter the cavity (in this case $\mathrm{K}^{+}$). Figure 13.4 shows the structure of the crown ether, 18 -crown- 6 , which has


Figure 13.3 Depiction of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions passing through a portion of a membrane transport protein at the cell surface. The tetrapeptides are bound to membranes above and below (not shown). Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)


Figure 13.4 The structure of 18 -crown- 6 -ether without metal binding, bound to $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and bound to $\mathrm{K}^{+}$. Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)
$6-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - groups. The number 18 refers to the total number of structural atoms ( C and O ) in the molecule, and the number 6 refers to the total number of oxygen atoms, which can bind to $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$. The $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$complex has a distorted octahedral geometry, and the crown ether is no longer open but partially buckled in order to bind with the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$. The $\mathrm{K}^{+}$complex has an overall $D_{3 d}$ symmetry and has an open structure with a cavity or hole for the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$to sit in. The thermodynamic stability constants for these complexes vary with the solvent. As shown in Figure 13.4, the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$complex is more stable than the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$complex by almost $2 \log$ units in methanol, but is only more stable by $0.2 \log$ units in water [8]. Also, the stability constants for each complex are 3-4 log
units more stable in methanol than in water. As shown in Chapter 9 and Equations (13.14) and (13.15), the stability constant is a function of the formation and dissociation rate constants. For ions to be mobile through the membrane transport protein and to carry charge, these rate constants must be high because dissociation and formation must occur frequently for the cations to get through the channel for ion conduction. For the $\mathrm{K}^{+}-18$-crown-6 ether complex in water, $k_{\mathrm{f}}$ is $4.8 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $k_{\mathrm{d}}$ is $3.7 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ so transport of the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$could occur quickly as these complexes are very labile.
$\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ are major anions that cross the membrane via anion membrane transport proteins. $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is required for balancing the cation charge. $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is a nutrient required for adenosine triphosphate (ATP), adenosine diphosphate (ADP), DNA, and RNA as well as the hard parts or the structural material for bone and teeth. Isoelectronic anions such as $\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ can also pass through the phosphate membrane transport protein, resulting in toxic effects to organisms.

Because $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is toxic to many organisms, $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is assimilated via ion channels for reduction to sulfide, and its fast incorporation into cysteine and glutathione as well as ferredoxins. Thiosulfate, $\mathrm{SSO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, can also enter and pass through the $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ channel. However, if $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$is bound to thiosulfate, silver enters the organism, leading to toxic side effects [9]. Other isoelectronic metal anions such as $\mathrm{MoO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ can also pass through an $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ channel with possible toxic effects for Cr. Interestingly, $\mathrm{MoO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{WO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ are actually used as inhibitors of sulfate reduction because they cannot be easily reduced.

Neutral and nonpolar species $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ can diffuse directly across the bilayer membranes due to their lipid solubility. Unfortunately, these and metal species $\left(\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Hg}^{0}\right)$ can pass across the hydrophobic component of the membrane causing toxic effects [10].

### 13.2.2 Metal Uptake by Cells via Ligands on Membranes

The transition and post transition metals exist in the environment at trace or ultra-trace levels (see Figure 1.9), and also have significantly stronger complexes with anions and ligands in solution than Group I metals. Thus, the free ion is in low concentration. The membrane has more specialized membrane transporter protein sites with ligands that can outcompete the metal-ligand complex in solution. The thermodynamics and kinetics of transition metal complexes can be used to make quantitative predictions of metal uptake at the cell surface.

Figure 13.2 shows a schematic of metal transport across a cell membrane when free metal cations, inorganic metal ion complexes, and metal-ligand complexes are all present. This model relies on the thermodynamics and kinetics of free metal or $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ in solution as well as MP formation on the cell surface and transfer into the cell, $\mathrm{M}_{\text {cell }}$, to make quantitative predictions of metal uptake. The student should correlate the discussion below with the metal-ligand kinetics Section 9.2.5. Although associative reactions can occur, they can be broken down into two or more elementary steps that involve dissociation of the metal-ligand complex.

Outside the cell, ML dissociation is a key step as many complexes do not dissociate rapidly based on Equations (13.14) and (13.15). Thus organic complexation in solution can exert a major influence on metal uptake rates. $K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ in Equation (13.15) is the same conditional constant $K_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ from Equation (13.1) without any correction for the side reaction coefficients for the metal and the ligand as discussed above. Thus, $K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ is dependent upon the conditions of the experiment such as ionic strength, pH , etc. It is also related to the formation and dissociation rate constants (see Table 13.2 for data on selected Fe (III)-ligand complexes).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{M}^{\prime}+\mathrm{L}^{\prime} \underset{k_{\mathrm{d}}}{\stackrel{k_{\mathrm{f}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{ML}  \tag{13.14}\\
K_{\mathrm{cond} \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}=\frac{[\mathrm{ML}]}{\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{f}}}{k_{\mathrm{d}}} \tag{13.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

At the cell surface, the dissociated metal reacts with the metal transporter protein, P , which is represented by Equation (13.16). Note the notation to discriminate ligands (L) in solution and on the cell surface (P).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{P} \underset{k_{\mathrm{dMP}}}{\stackrel{k_{\mathrm{fMP}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{MP} \xrightarrow{k_{\mathrm{in}}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cell}} \tag{13.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For metal uptake to be effective, $k_{\mathrm{f}}$ of MP must always be greater than $k_{\mathrm{d}}$ of MP as shown in the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel example above. The rate of metal uptake ( $V$ ) by the cell can be expressed as Equations (13.17) and (13.18) (similar to 9.43).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{MP} \xrightarrow[\text { in }]{\xrightarrow{k_{\text {in }}} \mathrm{M}_{\text {cell }} \quad \text { rate limiting step }}  \tag{13.17}\\
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{M}_{\text {cell }}\right]}{d t}=V=k_{\text {in }}[\mathrm{MP}] \tag{13.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying the steady-state approximation for an associative reaction to form the cell surface complex MP gives the rate expression Equation (13.19), which is similar to Equation 9.44.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { rate }=\frac{d[\mathrm{MP}]}{d t}=0=-k_{\mathrm{in}}[\mathrm{MP}]+k_{\mathrm{fMP}}[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{P}]-k_{\mathrm{dMP}}[\mathrm{MP}] \tag{13.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for [MP] gives Equation (13.20).

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{MP}]=\frac{k_{\mathrm{fMP}}}{k_{\mathrm{in}}+k_{\mathrm{dMP}}}[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{P}] \tag{13.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging leads to the conditional constant for MP formation, $K_{\mathrm{MP}}$, in Equation (13.21) also known as $K_{\text {aff }}$ or the affinity constant for the metal with the membrane binding site (P). When $k_{\text {in }} \ll k_{\mathrm{dMP}}$, then $K_{\mathrm{MP}}=$ $k_{\mathrm{fMP}} / k_{\mathrm{dMP}}$. In many cases, $k_{\text {in }} \gg k_{\mathrm{dMP}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{MP}}=\frac{[\mathrm{MP}]}{[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{P}]}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{fMP}}}{k_{\mathrm{in}}+k_{\mathrm{dMP}}}=K_{\mathrm{aff}} \tag{13.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reciprocal or inverse of Equation (13.21) is the MP dissociation constant, which is also known as the Michaelis-Menten half saturation constant, $K_{\mathrm{M}}$. Equation (13.20) is now written as Equation (13.22).

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{MP}]=K_{\mathrm{aff}}[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{P}] \text { or }[\mathrm{MP}]=\frac{\mathrm{M}[\mathrm{P}]}{K_{\mathrm{M}}} \tag{13.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

At any time, $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}-\mathbf{M P}$ and on substituting this expression for P in Equation (13.22) and rearranging gives Equations (13.23) and (13.24).

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{MP}]\left(K_{\mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{M}\right)=\mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right] \tag{13.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{MP}]=\frac{\mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]}{K_{\mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{M}} \tag{13.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate of formation of the product, $\mathrm{M}_{\text {cell }}$, can be rewritten by substituting Equation (13.24) for [MP] into Equation (13.18). The result is the complete associative rate expression for a metal reacting with P in Equation (13.25). $V$ is the uptake rate and is dependent upon the concentration of both the metal and the membrane transporter protein.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{M}_{\text {cell }}\right]}{d t}=V=k_{\mathrm{in}}[\mathrm{MP}]=\frac{k_{\mathrm{in}} \mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]}{K_{\mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{M}} \tag{13.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximum uptake rate $\left(V_{\mathrm{MAX}}\right)$ is related to the total concentration of $\mathrm{P},\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right]$, and $k_{\text {in }}$ as in Equation (13.26). Substituting Equation (13.26) into Equation (13.25) gives Equation (13.27), which is the Michaelis-Menten equation. At ( $V_{\mathrm{MAX}}$ ), the rate constant, $k_{\text {in }}$, is also called the turnover number, which is the maximum number of metal ions in solution converted to produce metal ions in the cell per membrane transporter protein site per second.

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{\mathrm{MAX}}=k_{\mathrm{in}}[\mathrm{P}]_{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{13.26}\\
\frac{d\left[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{cell}}\right]}{d t}=V=k_{\mathrm{in}}[\mathrm{MP}]=\frac{V_{M A X}(\mathrm{M})}{K_{\mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{M}} \tag{13.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

On rearrangement and plotting [M] versus ([M]/V) for data obtained on increasing [M], $V_{\mathrm{MAX}}$ (the slope) and $K_{\mathrm{M}}$ (the intercept) can be obtained (Equation (13.28)).

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{M}]=\frac{V_{M A X}(\mathrm{M})}{V}-K_{\mathrm{M}} \tag{13.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing Equation (13.27) through by $K_{\mathrm{M}}$ gives an alternate form of it as shown in Equation (13.29).

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{V_{M A X}[\mathrm{M}] K_{\mathrm{aff}}}{1+[\mathrm{M}] K_{\mathrm{aff}}} \tag{13.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$[M]$ is evaluated by calculation from the stability constant with known [M] and [L] and/or by analytical determination of samples (environmental or laboratory incubations).

### 13.2.2.1 Metal Uptake Limiting Cases

1. There are two limiting cases for the uptake of the metal as discussed in the stoichiometric mechanisms for an associative mechanism ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ limiting) in Section 9.2.5.3. Equation 9.48 described the $k_{\text {obs }}$ for an activation-controlled reaction. For the first case of $k_{\text {in }} \ll k_{\mathbf{d M P}}\left(k_{\mathbf{d M P}} \gg k_{\text {in }}\right)$, Equation (13.16) leads to $k_{\text {obs }}$ (Equation (13.30)) and to $V$ (Equation (13.31)), which is similar to Equation 9.46.

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{\mathrm{obs}}=k_{\mathrm{in}} K_{\mathrm{MP}}  \tag{13.30}\\
V=k_{\mathrm{obs}}[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{P}]=k_{\mathrm{in}} K_{\mathrm{MP}}[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{P}] \tag{13.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

At equilibrium of M with L and $\mathrm{P},\left[\mathrm{M}^{x+}\right]_{\text {free }}$ depends on binding with L external to the cell. The uptake rate, $V$, is under thermodynamic or equilibrium ML control (depends on the $k_{d}$ of ML) and is slow compared to all complexation and dissociation reactions. This case is found for metals with high ligand exchange rates (water exchange rate constants are a guide) and no significant hydrolysis. Examples include the discussion on the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel above as well as many essential divalent cations such as $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Co}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ and the more toxic metals, $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$.
2. For $\dot{k}_{\mathbf{d M P}} \ll k_{\text {in }}$ (see Equations 9.46 and 9.47 that transform to Equations (13.32) and (13.33)), $V$ depends on the $k_{\mathrm{f}}$ of [ M$]^{\prime}$ (free plus labile inorganic complexes) with P or MP in Equation (13.16) so is under kinetic control (for formation of MP). This case is important for biolimiting trace elements (Figure 1.8) and especially for those metals with higher oxidation states. Metals or ML complexes with very small $k_{\mathrm{d}}$ values such as $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III}) \mathrm{L}$ complexes are in this category.

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{\mathrm{obs}}=k_{\mathrm{fMP}}  \tag{13.32}\\
V=k_{\mathrm{obs}}[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{P}]=k_{\mathrm{fMP}}[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{P}] \tag{13.33}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 13.5 Catecholate bonding to Fe(III) on the left slips to salicylate on decreasing pH . Models created with the Hyperchem ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ program (version 8.0.10)

For very low values of $k_{\mathrm{d}}$, dissociation of the ML complex is rate limiting, and Equation (13.15) can be arranged to give a limiting uptake rate as in Equation (13.34).

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=k_{\mathrm{d}}[\mathrm{ML}]=k_{\mathrm{f}}[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{L}] \tag{13.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

As noted in Figure 13.2, another way to enhance the uptake of a high oxidation state metal such as Fe is to reduce the Fe (III)-ligand complex to Fe (II), which has a significantly lower thermodynamic stability constant and a faster water exchange rate. In the light, $\pi$ donor complexes can undergo internal photoredox as in Equation (13.35). Lowering the pH can also decrease the FeL stability constant by several orders of magnitude as in the case of catechol binding to Fe (III) in alterobactin-B (Figure 13.5). Here, Fe (III) binding slips to the salicylate binding mode [11].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{L})+h \nu \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{L}^{+}\right) \tag{13.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 13.2.2.2 Example Phytoplankton Uptake Data

Because the oceanic concentrations of trace metals are nanomolar or lower, trace metals are limiting nutrients (sometimes referred to as micronutrients) for the growth of phytoplankton. To measure the cellular uptake rate of a metal at these levels, researchers commonly use radiolabeled isotopes of the metal along with the addition of the metal at natural environmental levels. The isotope is added at ultra-trace levels to a culture containing an organism of interest so as not to affect organism function. Over a period of time, aliquots of the culture are filtered, and then washed with seawater or an appropriate reagent to remove traces of the isotope from the surface of the cell. The filter is then counted to determine the amount of the isotope and the metal in the cell, from which $V$ can be calculated. Figure 13.6 shows the use of the equations to describe iron uptake for two different phytoplankton species. The cellular uptake rate, $V$, increases with increasing $\mathrm{Fe}^{\prime}$ concentration and asymptotically approaches its maximum value, $V_{\mathrm{MAX}}$. At this point, all the membrane proteins sites are bound to $\mathrm{Fe}^{\prime}$. The Michaelis-Menten constant, $K_{\mathrm{M}}$, is the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\prime}$ concentration at which the uptake rate, $V$, is at half maximum ( $V_{\mathrm{MAX}}$ ). The smaller the $K_{\mathrm{M}}$ value (the inverse indicates higher affinity of P for $\mathrm{Fe}^{\prime}$ ), the faster the rate approaches $V_{\text {MAX }}$. In both examples of Figure 13.6, $V_{\text {MAX }}$ is reached quickly and does not decrease


Figure 13.6 Fe uptake data for two different oceanic phytoplankton; data from [12]. (a) Emiliania huxleyi. (b) Thalassiosira oceania
with increasing $\mathrm{Fe}^{\prime}$ concentration. However, the $K_{\mathrm{M}}$ values are different for each organism, indicating that the organisms would likely compete for the available iron (and other nutrients) in seawater when both are present.

The specific growth rate $(\mu)$ is the uptake rate normalized to the concentration of M in the cell as in Equation (13.36).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{V_{\mathrm{Fe}}}{[\mathrm{M}]_{\mathrm{cell}}} \tag{13.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 13.2.2.3 Competitive Interactions of Metals at the Membrane Transporter Site, $P$

The first row transition metals from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ have ionic radii descending in size from 97 to 83 pm , and their stability constants increase on going across the row according to the Irving-Williams stability relationship (Section 7.8.1). Thus, these kinetic equations can also be expanded to describe the competition of two different metal ions for the same membrane transporter protein site for $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ions [13]. Competition can be antagonistic, leading to a toxic metal such as $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\left(r_{+}=109 \mathrm{pm}\right)$ or $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ (at high levels; $\left.r_{+}=87 \mathrm{pm}\right)$ replacing a metal needed for biochemical function such as $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}\left(r_{+}=97 \mathrm{pm}\right)$ or can be favorable.

In the antagonistic case, organisms have developed positive and negative feedback systems (Figure 13.2), which permit the release or efflux of a toxic metal from the organism. When site $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is bound to Mn but more importantly a toxic metal such as Cd , a negative feedback signal is sent to decrease the number of active membrane P sites, thus decreasing $V_{\mathrm{MAX}}$. A second site, $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, when bound to Cd can initiate its complexation with an internal ligand like a phytochelatin (RSH compound), which binds $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ more strongly than the required metal, $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$, resulting in the toxic metal-ligand complex $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {toxic }} \mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}\right)$ being excreted from the cell at efflux site, E.

In the synergistic favorable case, a metal considered toxic, $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$, may in fact be able to replace the required metal, $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\left(r_{+}=88 \mathrm{pm}\right)$, which may be lower in concentration than the toxic metal. Here Cd and Zn are
in the same family of the periodic table and have similar chemistry. Certain organisms have shown that they can use Cd to replace Zn when Zn is lower in concentration than Cd in seawater [14, 15].

### 13.2.3 Evaluation of $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{f}}, \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{d}}$, and $\boldsymbol{K}_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ from Laboratory and Natural Samples

Two experiments can determine these parameters. First, the formation of an $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ complex (Equations (13.37a), (13.37b)) can be accomplished by adding excess $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ to a solution with $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ in the medium of interest and determining $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ over time. Figure 13.7 a shows a plot of the loss of $\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]$ over time as $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ forms. In this case, $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is detectable but the complex $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ is not. The integrated rate expression, Equation (13.38), can be used to calculate the second order rate constant $k_{\mathrm{f}}$ from a plot of t versus the right side of Equation (13.38), which gives a straight line. Figure 13.7 a is an example of the reaction rate for an oxidized metal such as $\mathrm{Fe}^{\prime}$ with a siderophore. To give perspective, $\mathrm{K}^{+}$has a second-order rate constant, $k_{\mathrm{f}}$, of $4.8 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ when reacting with 18 -crown- 6 -ether. At nanomolar $\mathrm{K}^{+}$levels, the reaction would be complete within 1.5 s . Because $\mathrm{K}^{+}$is at tens of millimolar in cells, the reaction would be complete in less than a microsecond.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{M}^{\prime}+\mathrm{L}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{k_{\mathrm{f}}} \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}  \tag{13.37a}\\
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]=k_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]  \tag{13.37b}\\
k_{\mathrm{f}} t=\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{M}_{0}^{\prime}\right]-\left[\mathrm{L}_{0}^{\prime}\right]} x \ln \left\{\frac{\left[\mathrm{~L}_{0}^{\prime}\right]\left(\left[\mathrm{M}_{0}^{\prime}\right]-\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]\right)}{\left(\left[\mathrm{L}_{0}^{\prime}\right]-\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]\right)\left[\mathrm{M}_{0}^{\prime}\right]}\right\} \tag{13.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly, a competitive ligand, Y , can be used to assess $k_{\mathrm{d}}$ as shown in the following discussion. The method is a ligand exchange reaction (Equation (13.39)) for the known (or unknown) $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ complex with excess Y ligand. The reaction is performed under pseudo-first-order conditions, and the greater the excess of Y, the faster the reaction. A plot of $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}$, which is measurable, versus time is shown in Figure 13.7b. For the


Figure 13.7 (a) Determination of $M^{\prime}$ after addition of excess metal to a solution containing 4.1 nM ligand. (b) The recovery of $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ from $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ with another ligand, Y (using Equation 13.48)
membrane transporter protein on a cell surface, Y becomes P .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}+\mathrm{Y} \xrightarrow{k_{\mathrm{obs}}} \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{L}^{\prime} \tag{13.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate expression for Equation (13.39) is given in Equation (13.40).

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]=\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}\right]=k_{\mathrm{obs}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right][\mathrm{Y}] \tag{13.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this expression results in Equation (13.41).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]=-k_{\mathrm{obs}}[\mathrm{Y}] t \tag{13.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dissociation rate constant of an $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ complex can be determined using the steady-state approximation from the associative reaction (Equation (13.39)), which can be broken into two elementary reaction steps: First, the dissociation of the $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ complex to form $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ (Equation (13.42), is the inverse of $K_{\text {cond }}$ for $\mathrm{Mn}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ in Equation (13.15)), where $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ represents all inorganic forms of M in the solution of interest at ambient pH ; and second, the reaction of $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ with Y (Equation (13.43)):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime} \underset{k_{\mathrm{f}}}{\stackrel{k_{\mathrm{d}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}+\mathrm{L}^{\prime}  \tag{13.42}\\
& \mathrm{M}^{\prime}+\mathrm{Y} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y} \tag{13.43}
\end{align*}
$$

The rate expression for Equation (13.43) is Equation (13.44).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}\right]=k_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right][\mathrm{Y}] \tag{13.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ concentration will always be very small and, thus, applying the steady-state approximation to Equations (13.42) and (13.43) yields Equation (13.45):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]=0=k_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]-k_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]-k_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right][\mathrm{Y}] \tag{13.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging and solving for $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ gives Equation (13.46).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]=\frac{k_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]}{k_{\mathrm{f}}[\mathrm{~L}]+k_{2}[\mathrm{Y}]} \tag{13.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\right]$ from Equation (13.46) into Equation (13.44) gives Equation (13.47).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Y})\right]=-\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}\right]=\frac{k_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right] k_{2}[\mathrm{Y}]}{k_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{~L}^{\prime}\right]+k_{2}[\mathrm{Y}]} \tag{13.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the $\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ complexes react completely with excess Y so that $k_{2}[\mathrm{Y}] \gg k_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]$, Equation (13.47) reduces to Equation (13.48).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime}(\mathrm{Y})\right]=-\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]=k_{\mathrm{d}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right] \tag{13.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating Equation (13.48) gives Equation (13.49).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]=-k_{\mathrm{d}} t=-k_{\mathrm{obs}}[\mathrm{Y}] t \tag{13.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

A plot of $\ln \left[\mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right]$ versus time gives $k_{\mathrm{d}}$. The half-life for the $\mathrm{Mn}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ complex dissociation (an estimate of its residence time) is given in Equation (13.50).

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1 / 2}=\frac{0.693}{k_{\mathrm{d}}}=\frac{0.693}{k_{\mathrm{obs}}[\mathrm{Y}]} \tag{13.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K_{\text {cond } \mathrm{M}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ is now evaluated from $k_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{d}}$ using Equation (13.15).
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Fuoss-Eigen equation, 319

Geochemistry, 17
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Great Oxidation Event, 358, 399, 410
Greenhouse gas, 179
Ground state, 58-62, 159-160
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Group theory, and symmetry, 79, 91-119
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Hydrogen halides (HX), 146-7, 220-1
Hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$, 146-7
Hydrogen sulfide ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{HS}^{-}$), 127, 221, 368
oxidation by
$\mathrm{O}_{2}, 157-8$
ROS, 158
$\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}, 382$
$\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, 379$
in pyrite formation, 398
Hydrolysis, 13-14, 35, 222-4 constants, table of, 223
Hydrothermal vents, 14-15, 303, 352, 399-400
Hydroxyl radical (OH), 39, 41
see also reactive oxygen species

Icosahedron, 85-87, 215
Identity operation $(E)$, 83-4
Imperfections in crystals, see defect crystal structures
Improper rotation (S, rotation-reflection axis), 82-3
Impure semiconductors, see defect crystal structure
Ionization isomerism, 244
Inert complex, 308
Inert 's' pair effect, 69, 127
Infrared spectroscopy, 101, 104-7, 343
Inner coordination sphere, 237
Inner sphere electron transfer, 332-9
(Tri)iodide ( $\mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}$), MO diagram, 177-8
Iodine ( $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ), 228-230
Ionic bonding, 130
Ionic charge (Partial), 131
Ionic (crystal) radii, 206
Ionic resonance energy, 130
Ionic solids, 200-1
Ionization isomerism, 244
Ionization potential (IP, IE), 62-4, 67-70, 209, 226-7
Ion channel, 411-12
Ionophore, 411
Interchange substitution reactions, 315, 318-19
IUPAC nomenclature, 240
Insulator, 191-192
Intermediate, 310-312, 320, 391
Internuclear distance, 128-9
Interstellar medium (space), 107, 161
Intersystem crossing, 301
Intimate mechanisms, 310-11
Intrinsic semiconductor, 195
Inversion center, 54
Inverted behavior, 337-9
Irreducible representation, 96-7
properties of, 98-100
shorthand notation for, 97
Iron,
biochemistry of, 400-2
cycle, 378
iron(II) oxidation, 34, 360-2
iron(III) oxide reduction, 377, 382-3
iron oxidizing bacteria (FeOB), 20
iron sulfide, FeS (see ferredoxins, mackinawite)
Irving-Williams series, 232-3, 277, 417
Isoelectronic principle, 124
Isolobal fragment, 344
Isomeric rearrangement, 324
Isomerism,
coordination, 245
geometric, 246-8

Isomerism (continued)
hydrate, 244
ionization, 244
linkage, 245
optical, 92-95, 248-250
Isomerization and racemization, 249-250

Jahn-Teller distortion (theorem), 264-7, 372

Kapustinskii equation, 209
Keggin cage structure, 216-17
Kinetic model, 311
activated complex, 311
activation parameters, 311-314
barrier, 157, 159
control, of metal uptake, 415
elementary steps, 315
reaction coordinate, 311
stability (kinetically hindered), 132, 159, 161, 368
Kok cycle, 373
Koopmans' theorem, 136
Krypton, 64
Labile complex, 308-310
Langford and Gray, 310, 315
Lanthanide contraction, 67-70
Lanthanide elements, 61-62
LaPorte rule, and electronic transitions, 284-5
Latimer diagram, 26-7
Lattice energy $(U), 207-9,259-260$
Lattice, efficiency of packing, 196
Leaving group, 310-11
Lewis, 123
Lewis acid-base definition, 227-8
Lewis acid-base (Thermodynamics), 229-230
Lewis structure, 123-6
Life,
metals essential for, 17, 358-9
origin of, 390
Ligand, 228, 232, 406-7
bridging, 241-3, 246, 339-341, 343
nephelauxetic effect, 292
table of, 238
Ligand centered redox reaction, 371
Ligand exchange, 305
Ligand field theory (LFT), 251
Ligand field stabilization energy (LFSE), 279
Ligand group orbitals, see SALC
Ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT), 254, 272, 282

Ligand substitution reactions, 308
octahedral, 310, 321
intimate mechanisms, 310
stoichiometric mechanisms, 315
square planar, 328
Ligating atom, 237
Linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO), 132, 138
Linear free energy relationship (LFER), 313, 320-1
Linkage isomer, 181, 245
Lithosphere, 8-10
Low spin complex, 251
Lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), 135, 185
Luminescence, 299, 301

Mackinawite (FeS), 396-400
Macrocycles, 238-239
Madelung constant, 208-9
Magnetic properties, metal complexes, 261
Magnetic susceptibility, 302
Magnetism,
diamagnetic, 58
ferromagnetic (anti), 302-3
paramagnetic, 58
Magnetite $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right), 192,204,235,263,303$
Manganese
cycle, 378
manganese(II) oxidation, 34, 360-3
manganese(IV) oxide reduction, 377, 379-382
Marcus theory, 333-339
cross relation, 339, 362
Mars, 42, 71
Master variables, 11, 31
Maximum multiplicity, 61-62, 66
Mechanisms,
see inner sphere
see outer sphere
see ligand substitution reactions
Membrane transport protein (site), 410-13
anion, 413
cation, 411
Meridional isomer, 247-8
Metal basicity, 346, 362
Metal carbonyl complexes, 342-4
Metal centered redox reaction, 360, 371
Metal complex formation, (see stability constant), 232, 305
Metal conductor, 191-2
Metal detoxification, 396

Metal electron transfer reactions,
inner sphere, 332-339
outer sphere, 323, 339-341
photochemistry, 341-2
Metal sulfides, 214-215, 391-4
Metal uptake (availability), 410, 413
Metallocenes, 344
Metalloenzyme, 359
Metalloporphyrin (Metalloprotein), 365
Metal to ligand charge (MLCT) transfer, 273, 282
Methane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right), 105-108,125,127$
MO diagram, 168-170
oxidation, 368
Methanogenesis, 20
Metathesis reaction, 232
Methyl anion $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}^{-}\right), 175$
Methyl cation $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}^{+}\right), 110,114,172-5,350-1$
(Di)methylsulfide (DMS), 42, 175

Methyl transferrase, 350-351
Michaelis-Menten half saturation constant, 414
Microscopic reversibility, principle of, 312
Microstates, electron, 62-66, 285-8
Mineral(s), 192, 235, 390
Mirror plane ( $\sigma$ ), 82
Molecular orbitals, in heteronuclear diatomic molecules, 144
in homonuclear diatomic molecules, 140
in octahedral complexes, 268-274
high spin, 271-2
low spin, 268-270, 272-4
in square planar complexes, 328
in tetrahedral complexes, 280-1
in triatomic molecules and ions, 161, 175
Molecular orbital stabilization energy (MOSE), 275
Molecular orbital theory (MOT), 132, 228
delta ( $\delta$ ) bond, 137-8
pi $(\pi)$ bond, $137-8$
sigma ( $\sigma$ ) bond, 133
transition metals, 268-274
Molecular structures, 128, 132, 239-241
Monoclinic crystal system, 198-9
Monodentate ligand, 211, 237
Morse curve, 128-9, 136-7
Mulliken electronegativity, 74-75
Multidentate ligand, 237-8
Myoglobin, 366

Nanoparticles, 214-16, 390
Filtration, 394, 404
Neon, 64

Nephelauxetic effect, 279, 292
Nernst equation, 29
Neutralization, 151, 225
Neutron, see particles
Nitrate ( $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$), 17, 19
formation, 42
molecular orbitals, 182-3
reduction, 402
Nitric acid, 42, 223
Nitric Oxide (NO), 41, 125-6, 147, 150
Nitrification, 402
Nitrite ( $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$), 114-17, 125, 245-6, 359, 392
MO diagram, 180-2
Nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 125,150$
cycle, 402-4
fixation, 402
molecular orbitals, 141-4
Nitrogen dioxide ( $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ), 42, 181-2
Nitrous oxide ( $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 178, 402
Noble gases, 61, 63-5
Nomenclature, metal complexes, 240
Nonbonding orbitals, 134, 138
Non-crossing rule, 295
Nonmetal uptake, 413
Normalization constant, 48
Nonsolvating solvents, 229
Nuclear stability, 5-8
Nucleon, 2, 5-6
Nucleus, 2-3, 46
Nucleophilic substitution, 326
Nucleosynthesis, 1-6, 8 "r" ("s") process, 6-7

O atom (transfer), 39, 41-42, 382
Ocean conveyor belt, 13
Octahedron, 85-7, 239-240
Octahedral complexes, 126. 127, 132 crystal field theory, 252-264
molecular orbital theory, 268-274
valence bond theory, 251
Octahedral site stabilization energy (OSSE), 264-5
Octet rule, 123-7, 344
Olivine, 204
Open three-center two-electron bond, 162-3, 175
Optical isomers, activity, 92, 247
Orbitals, see atomic orbitals and molecular orbitals, band of, 189
contour plots, 55-6
energies, table of, 185

Orbitals (continued)
frontier, 132
isosurface plot, 57
Oriented Attachment, 394-6
Organic matter, degradation, 19-21, 239, 359, 368, 402-3
Organohalogen, 40-1
Organometallic chemistry, 344
Orgel diagrams, 290-1
Orthogonality, 151
Orthorhombic Crystal system, 198-9
Ostwald ripening (step rule), 394-5
Outer sphere electron transfer mechanism, 332
cross reaction, 333
self-exchange reaction, 333
Overlap integral (S), 133, 138
Oxic, 20-1, 33, 359, 377-8
Oxidant, 24
Oxidation and Oxidation states, 24
Oxidative addition (OXAD), 175, 273, 346-7
Oxides (or oxyhydroxides),
acidic, 223-234
amphoteric, 234
basic, 234
iron and manganese, 377-8
Oxo acids, 223-4
Oxo process, 248-9
Oxygen ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ )
binding, 362
evolving complex (OEC), 372-3
to Fe (II) in heme, $365-8$
molecular orbitals, 141-3
singlet, 159
Oxygen minimum zone (OMZ), 20, 377
Ozone ( $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ ), 39
MO diagram, 180-1
Hole, 40

Packing of atoms in a crystal lattices, 196
Pairing energy, 254
Paramagnetism, 58
Parity, see Laporte rule
Particles (atomic and subatomic),
alpha particle, 2-3
beta particle, 3-4
(anti)neutrino, 2-4
neutron, 1-3
proton, 1-4
positron, 3-4
table of, 3

Pauli exclusion principle, 61, 285
Pauling electronegativity, 74-75, 130, 205
$p$ block elements, 61
$p$ orbitals, shape, 55
Pearson, 75, 233
Pentagonal bipyramid, 127-8, 239, 246, 268
Perchlorate, 42, 71
Perchloric acid $\left(\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right), 42,223-4$
Periodic properties of elements, 67-77
Periodic table, 58-60
Perovskite $\left(\mathrm{CaTiO}_{3}\right), 203-4,302$
pe, 30-1
pH ,
$\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$, table of, 235
speciation dependence on, 221
standard potential dependence on, 29
$\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}, 220-1$
Photic, 20
Phosphate, 17, 19, 413
Phosphomolybdate anion $\left[\mathrm{PMo}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{3-}, 216-7$
Phosphorescence, 299-301
Phytochelatin, 417
Photochemistry, 11, 341
Photoelectric effect, 46
Photoelectron spectroscopy,
UV, 64,
X-ray, 46
Photooxidation, 342
Photoreduction, 342
Photosystem center II (PSII), 13, 17, 372-3
Photosynthesis, 17-18, 372-3
Phytoplankton, $11-13,406,411,416-17$
$\mathrm{Pi}(\pi)$ acceptor ligand, 272, 324-5
Pi bonding,
in metal complexes, 271-2
p orbitals, 138, 140-1
d orbitals, 138, 199
$\mathrm{Pi}(\pi)$ donor ligand, 271, 322-5, 344
Planck, 46
Platonic solids, 85-86
Point defects, 212
Point groups, see symmetry
Poison, catalysis, 345
Polar character (bonds), 130-1
Polarizability, 76, 234
tensors, 97
Polarization of atoms and ions, 76
Pollution, in solids, 214
Polyatomic molecules and ions, 161-183
Polyelectronic atom, see atom

Polyoxo anions, 233
Polysulfide ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2-}$ ), 156, 161, 381-2, 397-8
Polythionates $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{SO}_{3}^{2-}\right), 161,384$
Porewater, 21
Porphyrins, 239, 307, 364, 366, 406
Positive oxidation states, 24
Potentials, electrode, 26-9
Pourbaix diagram, 31-2
Pressure, 312-13, 342, 352
Primitive cube, 196
Principle of microscopic reversibility, 312
Principal axis, 81
Probability function, 51-57, 133-5
Projection operator method, 111-13
Proteins, 17, 335, 357, 365, 400-1
Proton, see particles
Proton affinity (PA), 225-7
Proximal region, 366-7
Pyrite ( $\mathrm{FeS}_{2}$ ), 80, 219
formation, 397-9
oxidation, 384-7
reduction $\left(\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}\right), 387$

Quantum confinement effect, 214
Quantum numbers, 56-9
principal ( $n$ ), 46, 56
orbital angular momentum $(l), 56$
magnetic ( $m_{l}$ ), 58
$\operatorname{spin}\left(m_{s}\right), 58$

Racah parameters, 291-2
Radii, tables of
atomic, 68
ionic (crystal), 206
metal ions, 259-260
thermochemical of polyatomic anions, 210
Radioactive decay, 8
Radius ratio rules, 205-7
Raman spectroscopy, 101, 104
Rate law, metals
nucleophilic substitution, 315, 329
electron transfer reactions, 333
Ray- Dutt twist, 249-250
Reactive oxygen species (ROS), 20, 38, 41, 362, 364, 377
Reactivity, chemical
symmetry allowed (forbidden), 150-7, 333-9, 392
Redfield ratio, 19
Redox reactions, 20-44, 332-342
Redox spectrum, 357

Reducible representations, generation of, 101-4
Reductant, 24
Reduction, 20
Reduction formula, 102
Reduction potentials, 26-9
$\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ complexes, 278-280
$\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ complexes, 357
Reductive elimination (Redel), 346
Repulsive forces, 128
Residence time, 13-14
Resonance structures, 124-5, 130
Respiration, 18-20
Rhombohedral crystal system, 198
Rotational axis, 80-2
Ruby, 299
Russell-Saunders coupling, 64-66, 285-6
Rutile ( $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ ), 203-4
Rydberg constant, 45, 47
$s$ block elements, 61
$s$ orbitals, shape, 55
SALC orbitals, 111-14, 162-3, 165, 168
Sandwich compound, 344
Schoenflies symbols, 84
Schottky defect, 212
Schrodinger equation, 47-51
angular (spherical harmonics), 54-7
radial distribution, 51-3
Screening constant, 62
Sediments, 11, 14, 377
Selection rules for electronic transitions, 284
Self-assembly reaction, 391
Self-consistent field (SCF) theory, 49
Self-exchange electron transfer reaction, 333
table of rate constants, 336
Semiconductors, 191-2
doping of, 195
extrinsic, n-type, p-type, 195-6
Semi-empirical methods, 139
Serpentine, 303, 352
Sham-Kohn equations, 140
Shannon, 205
Shielding, 59, 62-64, 133
Side on bonding, 246, 274, 346, 361, 368
Side reaction coefficient, 408-9
Siderophore, 237, 307-8, 406-7
Siegbahn, see ESCA
Silica $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right), 80$
Silicate minerals (ortho, alumino), 10, 13, 17, 204

Silver chloride (AgCl), 212
Singly occupied molecular orbital (SOMO), 142
Slater's rules, see shielding
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N} 1} \mathrm{CB}, 326$
Sodium chloride ( NaCl , rock salt), 200-1
Soft acids and bases, see HSAB
Solids,
covalent, 391
ionic, 200
metallic, 199
Solubility (soluble), 200, 391, 396
Solvent system acid-base definition, 224-5
Solvolysis, 223
Soret band, 369
Speciation, 221, 235, 379-383
Spectrochemical series, 258, 268, 281
Spectroscopy, 3, 45
metals, 253-4, 281
splitting diagrams, 289-293
techniques, 3
terms, 63-64, 283-289
Sphalerite, (ZnS), 201-3, 392
Spinels ( $\mathrm{AB}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ), 204, 264
normal versus inverse (OSSE), 264
Spin conservation (see also Laporte rule), 67
Spin crossover, 279, 301, 337
Spin forbidden (electronic transition), 284-5
Spin multiplicity (see quantum number, $m_{s}$ ), 142, 160
Spin orbit coupling, see Russell-Saunders
Spontaneous reaction, 24
Square antiprism, 239, 241
Square planar, 126, 128
complexes,
Square pyramid, 126, 128, 132, 268
complexes, 239-240
Standard reduction potential, 26
Stability constant, metal-ligand, 232, 306
conditional, 407
evaluation of, 418
successive (overall), 305-6
table of, 233
table metal-EDTA complexes, 409
table Fe (III) siderophore complexes, 410
thermodynamic, 232, 409
Stability field (water), 31-3
Stratified waters, see estuarine classification, 15, 377
Steady state approximation, 315, 317, 319, 414
Steric factors, 324, 331
Strong field (low spin), 254-6

Strong force, 1
Submarine groundwater discharge (SGD), 20, 362
Suboxic, 20-1, 377-8
Substitution reactions,
in carbonyl complexes, 348
in octahedral complexes, 321
in square planar complexes, 328
Sulfate $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}\right), 20,42,413$
Sulfite ( $\mathrm{SO}_{3}^{2-}$ ), 161, 184, 384
Sulfur dioxide ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ), 180
Sulfur hexafluoride ( $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ ), 108, 127-8
Sulfur trioxide ( $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ ), 42, 128, 142, 182-3
Sulfuric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, vitriolic acid, 42, 219, 223
Sulfur tetrachloride $\left(\mathrm{SF}_{4}\right), 128$
Superimposable, 79
Superoxide ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$), 150, 159, 364
Surface complex, 235, 381-2, 386
Surface complexation, 362-3
Symmetry,
point group classification scheme, 88
group theory, 93
of molecular orbitals, 107-120
Symmetry adapted linear combinations
(see SALC), 111-120
Symmetry electron transfer, 333-339, 392
Symmetry allowed (forbidden) transition, 104, 285, 392
Symmetry element, 79, 81
Symmetry point groups, 84-8
Symmetry operation, 79, 81

Tanabe-Sugano diagram, 298
Taube, 308, 332
Term symbols, see Irreducible representation
atoms and ions, 63-6
linear molecules and ions, 160
metals, 285-9
Ternary compounds (solids), 204
Tetragonal complexes, 264-7
Tetragonal crystal system, 198
Tetrahedral complexes, 127-128, 168
crystal field theory, 262-4
Tetrahedron, 85-7, 240
Thermal barrier, 334
Thermodynamics, 24, 305
chelate effect, 307
control, of metal uptake, 415
favorable reactions, prediction of, 32-6
half reactions, 26, 33-38
Lewis acid-base reactions (EC parameters), 230

Thiosulfate, 384-7, 413
Tolman catalytic loop, 349-350
Toxicity, 232, 368, 406, 411-3, 415, 417
Trans effect, 328-9
Transformation matrix, 95-6, 99
diagonal elements, 95-6, 100
Transition state theory, 311
Transitions (electronic), see also spectroscopy
charge transfer, 282-3
Laporte rule, 284-5
Triclinic crystal system, 198
Trigonal bipyramid structure, 127-8, 240, 268, 323-6, 331, 349
Trigonal prism, 239-240
Turnover rate (number), 347, 415

Ungerade orbital, 54
Unit cell, 197-198
Uptake rate, cells, 414-16
UV-Vis spectroscopy, see transitions

Valence band, 191
Valence electrons, 59, 123
Valence Bond theory (VBT), 127-131
Valence shell electron pair repulsion (VSEPR)
theory, 126-8
Valence state, 75
Van Vleck, 251-2
Vaska's complex, 346
Vertical plane of symmetry $\left(\sigma_{v}, \sigma_{d}\right), 82,90$
Vibrational levels, 137

Vibrations (molecular), 101, 104, 136
Volume of activation, 313-14

Walsh correlation diagrams, 166-7, 180-1
Water $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 111-12,127$
exchange, 308
exchange rate constants, table of, 309
MO diagram, 165-8
stability field, 31
Wavefunctions, see Schrodinger equation, 47-50
basis set, 139
generalized, 138
Waves, 46-47
interference, 49
node, 51
particle duality, 47
Weak field (see high spin), 254-6
Weak nuclear force, 3
Weathering, 14
Werner, 243
Wurtzite, (ZnS), 201-3

Xenon, 64
X-ray Diffraction, 205
XPS, see photoelectron spectroscopy

Zeise's salt, 274, 344
Zero point of charge (ZPC), 235, 378-380, 385
Table of $\mathrm{pH}_{(\mathrm{ZPC})}$ for minerals, 235
Ziegler-Natta catalyst, 348
Zinc blende ( ZnS ), see sphalerite, wurtzite

## The Elements

| Atomic number | Name | Symbol | Mass $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Atomic number | Name | Symbol | Mass $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hydrogen | H | 1.008 | 32 | Germanium | Ge | 72.61 |
| 2 | Helium | He | 4.003 | 33 | Arsenic | As | 74.92 |
| 3 | Lithium | Li | 6.941 | 34 | Selenium | Se | 78.96 |
| 4 | Beryllium | Be | 9.012 | 35 | Bromine | Br | 79.90 |
| 5 | Boron | B | 10.81 | 36 | Krypton | Kr | 83.80 |
| 6 | Carbon | C | 12.01 | 37 | Rubidium | Rb | 85.47 |
| 7 | Nitrogen | N | 14.01 | 38 | Strontium | Sr | 87.62 |
| 8 | Oxygen | O | 16.00 | 39 | Yttrium | Y | 88.91 |
| 9 | Fluorine | F | 19.00 | 40 | Zirconium | Zr | 91.22 |
| 10 | Neon | Ne | 20.18 | 41 | Niobium | Nb | 92.91 |
| 11 | Sodium | Na | 22.99 | 42 | Molybdenum | Mo | 95.94 |
| 12 | Magnesium | Mg | 24.30 | 43 | Technetium | Tc | 98.91 |
| 13 | Aluminum | Al | 26.98 | 44 | Ruthenium | Ru | 101.1 |
| 14 | Silicon | Si | 28.09 | 45 | Rhodium | Rh | 102.9 |
| 15 | Phosphorus | P | 30.97 | 46 | Palladium | Pd | 106.4 |
| 16 | Sulfur | S | 32.07 | 47 | Silver | Ag | 107.9 |
| 17 | Chlorine | Cl | 35.45 | 48 | Cadmium | Cd | 112.4 |
| 18 | Argon | Ar | 39.95 | 49 | Indium | In | 114.8 |
| 19 | Potassium | K | 39.10 | 50 | Tin | Sn | 118.7 |
| 20 | Calcium | Ca | 40.08 | 51 | Antimony | Sb | 121.8 |
| 21 | Scandium | Sc | 44.96 | 52 | Tellurium | Te | 127.6 |
| 22 | Titanium | Ti | 47.87 | 53 | Iodine | I | 126.9 |
| 23 | Vanadium | V | 50.94 | 54 | Xenon | Xe | 131.3 |
| 24 | Chromium | Cr | 52.00 | 55 | Cesium | Cs | 132.9 |
| 25 | Manganese | Mn | 54.94 | 56 | Barium | Ba | 137.3 |
| 26 | Iron | Fe | 55.85 | 57 | Lanthanum | La | 138.9 |
| 27 | Cobalt | Co | 58.93 | 58 | Cerium | Ce | 140.1 |
| 28 | Nickel | Ni | 58.69 | 59 | Praseodymium | Pr | 140.9 |
| 29 | Copper | Cu | 63.55 | 60 | Neodymium | Nd | 144.2 |
| 30 | Zinc | Zn | 65.39 | 61 | Promethium | Pm | 145 |
| 31 | Gallium | Ga | 69.72 | 62 | Samarium | Sm | 150.4 |


| Atomic number | Name | Symbol | Mass $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Atomic number | Name | Symbol | Mass $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63 | Europium | Eu | 152.0 | 91 | Protactinium | Pa | 231.0 |
| 64 | Gadolinium | Gd | 157.2 | 92 | Uranium | U | 238.0 |
| 65 | Terbium | Tb | 158.9 | 93 | Neptunium | Np | 237.0 |
| 66 | Dysprosium | Dy | 162.5 | 94 | Plutonium | Pu | 244 |
| 67 | Holium | Ho | 164.9 | 95 | Americium | Am | 243 |
| 68 | Erbium | Er | 167.3 | 96 | Curium | Cm | 247 |
| 69 | Thulium | Tm | 168.9 | 97 | Berkelium | Bk | 247 |
| 70 | Ytterbium | Yb | 173.0 | 98 | Californium | Cf | 251 |
| 71 | Lutetium | Lu | 175.0 | 99 | Einsteinium | Es | 252 |
| 72 | Hafnium | Hf | 178.5 | 100 | Fermium | Fm | 257 |
| 73 | Tantalum | Ta | 180.9 | 101 | Mendelevium | Md | 258 |
| 74 | Tungsten | W | 183.8 | 102 | Nobelium | No | 259 |
| 75 | Rhenium | Ru | 186.2 | 103 | Lawrencium | Lw | 262 |
| 76 | Osmium | Os | 190.2 | 104 | Rutherfordium | Rf | 261 |
| 77 | Iridium | Ir | 192.2 | 105 | Dubnium | Db | 262 |
| 78 | Platinum | Pt | 195.1 | 106 | Seaborgium | Sg | 266 |
| 79 | Gold | Au | 197.0 | 107 | Bohrium | Bh | 264 |
| 80 | Mercury | Hg | 200.6 | 108 | Hassium | Hs | 269 |
| 81 | Thallium | Tl | 204.4 | 109 | Meitnerium | Mt | 268 |
| 82 | Lead | Pb | 207.2 | 110 | Darmstadtium | Ds | 271 |
| 83 | Bismuth | Bi | 209.0 | 111 | Roentgenium | Rg | 272 |
| 84 | Polonium | Po | 209 | 112 | Copernicium | Cn | 277 |
| 85 | Astatine | At | 210 | 113 | Ununtrium | Uut |  |
| 86 | Radon | Rn | 222 | 114 | Flerovium | Fl | 289 |
| 87 | Francium | Fr | 223.0 | 115 | Ununpentium | Uup |  |
| 88 | Radium | Ra | 226.0 | 116 | Livermorium | Lv | 293 |
| 89 | Actinium | Ac | 227.0 | 117 | Ununseptium | Uus |  |
| 90 | Thorium | Th | 232.0 | 118 | Ununoctium | Uuo |  |
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