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## PREFACE

## Comfortable Words (and Others)



There is really no fast and easy way to learn a language: the feast is simply too vast and too varied to be completely digested in anything less than a full lifetime, even by the most efficient metabolism. On the other hand, it isn't always necessary to make away with the whole spread to feel some measure of pleasant satisfaction. Besides, there is always more.

This book is intended as a course in Latin for the nibbler and the glutton alike: it may be read in considerably less than a lifetime
and with infinitely less effort, and from it you may gain a good feel for the Latin language in the variety of its natural settings, past and present.

A few words about the preparation of Latin for People. In it we have sought to present the fundamentals of Classical Latin grammar together with some idea of the ways in which the language could actually be used as a tool for shaping reality. What else, after all, is a language for? Each of the following chapters therefore tells you something about the nuts and bolts of Latin grammar, how they may be put together and taken apart again, and to what ends. Exercises in both Latin and English are included for readers who may wish to put anything into practice, that being the only sure way of definitively getting the best of a language. The exercises of each chapter are preceded by a list of the vocabulary in order of appearance. At the end of the book appear the trots (as basic a part of the history of Latin as the first declension or Caesar's Gallic Wars), a general Glossary and Synopsis of the Grammar, and a few suggestions as to where to go for seconds.


## LATIN FOR PEOPLE| LATINA PRO POPULO

## CHAPTERI

## Sling and Stone: The Latin Language So Far



Languages are extremely elusive creatures, quite impossible to capture and very difficult to describe with any kind of precision. The main reason for this is their apparently universal tendency to change while your back is turned. Latin has been no exception. As a result, "Latin" can refer to any or all of an infinite variety of different "Latins," depending on the specific who, what, where, and when being discussed at the time - and who's doing the discussing.

This book is about a particular "Latin" which we might now try to point out in the crowd. After this has been done, more formal introductions will be in order. (They will, in fact, take up the rest of the book.) We may approach our subject from two different directions. First, we can have a look at the life and times of the Latin language and its various speakers. Having gotten a feeling for the general social contexts in which Latin has served as a medium of communication, we can then look at the inner workings of the language itself, its overall grammatical system.

Where did Latin come from and where did it go? To attempt an answer to this question, we might do best to go back to a time before there was any such thing as Latin and work forward to the present.

The story begins some 6,000 years ago just north of the Caucasus Mountains. Here seems to have lived a group of nomads, the IndoEuropeans, so called because we know for sure that they wound up in the general vicinities of India and Europe a couple of thousand years later. (They also wound up in Turkey, where they were known as the Hittites, though this fact was not discovered until the term "Indo-European" had already become firmly ensconced as the one for those people from just north of the Caucasus Mountains.)

By about 2500 B.C., some of these Indo-Europeans began to appear in Eastern Europe. They and their eastbound cousins are presumed to have spoken essentially the same language, generally called Proto-Indo-European (PIE for short). As the Indo-Europeans gradually settled down in different places, different dialects of PIE
began to make their appearance, as is the rule with languages whose speakers take up residence in far-flung and relatively isolated clumps and don't stay in touch. No two communities ever develop in quite the same way, so it is hardly surprising that their linguistic habits and conventions are never quite the same, either. This is most obviously so when a group which speaks one language and has one set of customs settles in a region already inhabited by another group with a totally different language and way of looking at the cosmos, as happened with the Indo-Europeans wherever they went in those days. (Just what sorts of people were living in Europe and speaking just what sorts of languages at the time of the Indo-Europeans' arrival is unclear, but somebody was living there and they weren't, apparently, Indo-Europeans.)

Then as now, when large numbers of new neighbors move in who speak a different language from the one spoken by the natives, there is bound to be a fight about which of the two will be the one that you have to know to get a good job or at least not get left out altogether. When one wins out, as Indo-European seems to have done for the most part, the victory is never unequivocal: the people who have to learn the new language generally leave their mark on it, and this mark becomes part of the language while nobody's looking. Within a generation or two, the "official" or "high prestige" language is quite changed from the one that the fight was originally all about. So it went with Indo-European wherever the IndoEuropeans made themselves at home.

By 1000 B.C. or so, several groups of Indo-Europeans had at one time or another over the years muscled their way into Italy and settled there. Of special interest to us is one such group, the Latini, who settled in Latium in the western-central region of the peninsula. If this all begins to sound familiar, it will come as no surprise that by the middle of the millennium, Latium's biggest local attraction was a city called Rōma where the official language was lingua Latina.

The Latini did not remain settled for very long and, in consequence, their language did not long remain local. Once consolidated by the Romans - that is, by the Latini who lived in Rome - the
speakers of Latin really got down to business. First, there were the neighbors to be brought into line. These included the Etruscans to the northwest, the Ligurians (farther north and west), the Illyrians to the east and northeast, the Oscans and Umbrians to the south, the colonial Greeks (farther south), and the people living on Sicily, the Siculi. The first of these to be battled off were the Etruscans, a group of apparently non-Indo-European origin who held all but linguistic sway over the Latini and many of their neighbors until 475 B.C., when the Romans, with a little help from their friends, booted them out. After a substantial but temporary setback around 390 b.C., when an invasion of Celts came over the Alps and put Rome to the sack, the Romans began their conquests in earnest, first in the north, then, more or less simultaneously, toward the west (along the southern coast of France) and toward the south (inside the Italic peninsula). By about 100 B.C. (and for nearly two hundred years thereafter), the Romans dominated all of the land touching the Mediterranean Sea, and then some.

Naturally, the Romans brought their language along wherever they went, and anybody who wanted to get a proper job with the civil service, or just stay healthy, learned it, at least after a fashion. Actually, the "their language" which the Romans took with them on their travels to new and exotic places was really two closely related but by no means identical Latins. First, there was the Latin of official business, literature, and speech-making, "high class" Latin; and there was the Latin which everybody in fact spoke, a decidedly more colloquial variety. This more colloquial variety is usually termed "Vulgar Latin," not because it was especially suited for telling dirty jokes - all languages are - but because it was spoken by the vulgus, that is, the people. The histories of these two Latins intertwine but are ultimately quite distinct.

What happened to Vulgar Latin is easily enough told: since this was the Latin that the advancing legions of Romans actually spoke, it was the Latin that got learned by the unlettered masses, which in those days was practically everybody. Even the people who could read and write spoke Vulgar Latin, saving the fancier spread, now generally known as Classical Latin, exclusively for writing and
speechifying. (And the speechifiers who were really interested in being understood by the people used something like Vulgar Latin: St. Jerome's translation of the Bible, which appeared around A.D. 400 , is not called the Vulgate for nothing.) So early on, what was learned in the cradle was the local brand of Vulgar Latin. By A.D. 600 or so, Vulgar Latin learned in the cradle was no longer really Latin, Vulgar or otherwise: in Spain, it had become an early dialect of Spanish; in France, an early dialect of French; and so on throughout the Romanized parts of Europe.

This is not to say that Vulgar Latin quietly disappeared as a unified, single language. Far from it. Vulgar Latin continued to serve as the lingua franca of the "civilized" world long after the final dismemberment of the Roman Empire, a process substantially completed by A.D. 500 . The only thing was, you could no longer pick up Vulgar Latin by listening to what the folks spoke at home. You had to go out and get somebody to teach it to you out of a book. This was essentially what had happened much earlier to Classical Latin, which is the subject of this book.

As we have suggested, Classical Latin (which people wrote) and Vulgar Latin (which people spoke) were originally not all that different. Written languages generally work in the following way: first comes the spoken language. Then a system of transcribing speech is invented or, more often, borrowed from somebody else. Then it occurs to the people who know how to write that they don't have to limit their literary activities to the mere transcription of things that have actually been said. Instead, they can write down what should have been said, fix the original up a little to make it all sound better: "style" is invented and "literary language" is on its way.

Ordinarily, the literary language keeps in touch with the spoken language. In societies with a high literacy rate, the reverse also tends to hold. In such societies, the literary language tells the spoken language to pull its socks up and stand straight, and the spoken language tells the literary language not to be so damned stuffy, with the result that both stay alive and well.

However, in the time of the Roman expansion, and for quite a
few centuries thereafter, the literate could safely count themselves among the few rather than the many. Those who could read and write Latin during the second and first centuries before Christ therefore went about their literary business as they pleased, working out the high style of Classical Latin. In the first and second centuries of the Christian Era, when Rome was beginning to show the first signs of decay, the literate mostly toyed with a language which had been essentially fixed in the preceding two centuries. (To give an idea, the "Golden Age" of Latin literature covers the period from about 100 B.C. to A.D. 14 , the end of the reign of Caesar Augustus. Then comes the "Silver Age," which peters out somewhere around A.D. 150.)

At about this time, the Roman Empire (and Classical Latin) began to fall upon hard times. Around A.D. 300, the empire split into a mostly Latin-speaking western half and a mostly Greekspeaking eastern half. For the next five to eight hundred years, the only Europeans urgently concerned with written Latin were the Christians, who were decidedly more interested in spreading the Word than they were with the high style of the Classical Age. Classical Latin at this point did not so much die as go into a state of suspended animation. It was still the kind of Latin that was taught in the Eastern Empire (which hadn't been nearly so badly hurt as the western half by the marauding Germanic tribes that terrorized Europe in the third and fourth centuries). Indeed, the classic Classical Latin grammar, by one Priscian, dates from A.D. 500.

With the rise of the universities in the twelfth century came a renewed interest in Latin and Latin grammar of the sort found in the grammar books, namely, "good" or "Classical" Latin. The standard academic program of the day, the trivium and quadrivium (grammar, logic, rhetoric and music, arithmetic, geometry, and astronomy), prominently featured the study of Classical Latin grammar. When the Renaissance arrived a couple of centuries later, it brought with it a rekindled enthusiasm for the "classics" of both Greek and Latin, as well as for the languages in which these had been written.

From the Renaissance, it is a short jump to the present day when, if nobody writes learned treatises in Latin so that everybody in the scholarly community may be able to read them (as was the custom until this century), Latin is still very much with us, continuing to exert a profound influence on our own language, as on others.

This influence is of two orders. First, Latin has provided (and continues to provide) an incredible proportion of our minimum daily requirement of vocabulary. Even though English, like German, Danish, and Swedish, is descended from the Germanic branch of the Indo-European family, its vocabulary is preponderantly Latinate. And second, by providing us with words, Latin has also provided us with the concepts which those words express. What else, after all, are words for if not to mean something? As a result, the Latin language has continued to play a substantial role in shaping the way we look at the world, since we can't help but filter the world through our language. To know something about Latin, then, is to know something about how and why we perceive the cosmos as we do.

Latin, like all other languages, has a grammatical system. Indeed, it is largely thanks to the early grammarians of Classical Latin that we have the framework in which to discuss grammar that we do. (If the Latin grammarians borrowed freely from the Greeks the bulk of their terms and their notions, it was all of a piece with the way the Romans operated in those days.)

Broadly speaking, a language's "grammatical system" is a set of linguistic units (of various shapes and sizes) plus a set of rules that tell you how they may be combined: "meanings" go together in certain ways to form "ideas"; "words," to make "sentences"; "sounds," to make "words"; and so on. For now, it will be convenient to divide Latin's grammatical system into two parts, its "sound system" and its "grammatical system proper." We will have a look at the grammatical system proper first.

As good an introduction as any to Latin's grammatical system
proper is provided by the old-timers, like Varro (first century B.C.), Donatus (fourth century A.D.), and Priscian (late fifth century A.D.). For them, the main order of business was to establish a workable system for classifying Latin words. This involved looking at the vocabulary of literary Latin and asking the following questions:
(1) What kinds of semantic properties can words share?
(2) What kinds of syntactic properties can they share?
(3) What purely formal properties can they share?
(4) How would the Greeks have handled everything?

With the possible exception of the last, these questions were eminently logical. Linguists are still asking them, in fact. Even the last question was not as unreasonable as it might appear. For one thing, Greek and Latin have a great deal in common (not altogether surprisingly, considering their shared Indo-European origin). Perhaps more important was the simple fact that the Greeks had been thinking about the first three questions themselves in the study of their own language and had already come up with some useful ways of classifying words. Why go to the bother of inventing something when you might be able to borrow it from the Greeks?

The upshot was a general agreement on the following categories: nōmen, prōnōmen, verbum, adverbium, participium, praepositiō, conjunctiō, and interjectio. Of these, all but the last were lifted in virtually mint condition from the Greeks; and English, one of the most notorious borrowers of all time, has followed suit by lifting them all. A quick look at the kinds of words that belonged to each of these categories may be helpful.

Nōmen originally meant "name." A word was said to be a nōmen if it "named" a substance or quality. Thus, "Caesar," "Rome," "cat," "stone," "truth," "marvelous," and "green" would all have belonged to the class nōmen. Some of the members of the nōmen family could be used to describe or "modify" others. (A grammarian would have said that the quality named by a word could
be attributed to the quality or substance named by another, but that's a grammarian for you.) A nōmen that was used to describe another was called a nōmen adjectivum, which means, roughly, "an assistant noun." Thus, in the sentences "Say, here's a marvelous green" and "Say, here's a marvelous green stone," "marvelous," "green," and "stone" would each have been considered a nōmen. "Marvelous" would have been a nōmen adjectīvum in both sentences; "green" would have been a regular nōmen in the first sentence and a nōmen adjectivum in the second; and "stone" would have been a regular nōmen. In English, we would classify "marvelous" and "green" as adjectives, of course, and "stone" as a noun. We would say that "green" was used "substantively" in the first sentence, which is simply a way of avoiding calling a nōmen a noun.

So one reason why the early grammarians classed nouns and adjectives together was to avoid the question of what to call an adjective that is used as a noun (and what to call a noun that is used as an adjective, as in "Say, that's a marvelous car radio," where "car" looks for all the world like an adjective): if they're all the same part of speech, what's the problem?

Besides, there were other properties shared by nouns and adjectives in Latin. For one thing, all the members of the nömen class had the same structure. Each could be seen as a sequence of two elements: a stem followed by an ending. The stem carried the meaning of the word, essentially, while the ending told you whether the word was singular or plural and gave you some idea of what it was doing in the sentence. (English still bears the faint trace of this IndoEuropean delicacy in such noun sets as "cat, cat's, cats, cats'" and "dog, dog's, dogs, dogs'," where "cat-" and "dog-" are stems and the rest are endings.) Depending on how you reckon it, there were six or twelve different kinds of ending that all members of the nōmen class carried around with them. These different kinds of ending are called cases, and they are: nominative (singular), vocative (singular), genitive (singular), dative (singular), accusative (singular), ablative (singular), nominative (plural), vocative (plural), genitive (plural), dative (plural), accusative (plural), and ablative (plural).

Nouns-we will look at adjectives presently-appeared in the nominative case, that is, with a nominative ending, chiefly when they were the subject of a verb. (In the sentence "George ate his shoes," "George" would appear in the nominative case.) The vocative case was used for directly addressing somebody or something. (In the sentence "George, you're fired," "George" would appear in the vocative case.) The genitive case was mostly used to express possession. (In the sentence "George's parents were not surprised," "George" would be in the genitive case.) A noun appears in the dative case when it is an indirect object. (In the sentences "I gave George an improving book" and "I baked George a cake," "George" would appear in the dative case.) Direct objects get to be in the accusative case: "I give you George" (in the accusative). The ablative, sometimes known as the Latin case (because Greek didn't have one) or the sixth case (because Greek had the other five) has a number of uses. (How the Greeks managed to get along without an ablative case is a mystery.) In the following sentences, "George" would be in the ablative: "This book was written by George," "I went to school with George," "With George at the helm (as long as George is at the helm), we have nothing to fear."

Two more things must be said about nouns and endings. First, each noun in Latin belonged to one of three categories, or genders. (The word "gender" comes from the Latin genus, which means "family, race, sort, variety.") These are masculine, feminine, and neuter. (Neuter simply means "neither" in Latin.) For all practical purposes, they might as well have been vanilla, chocolate, and strawberry, since sex has very little to do with grammatical gender all nouns are one gender or another, most are either masculine or feminine, and, when you think of it, an awful lot of nouns are simply asexual. The one thing going for the traditional classification is that, by and large, nouns designating female people or animals are feminine in gender; those designating masculine people or animals, masculine. For the rest, it is hit or miss.

So when you learn a new Latin noun, you have to ask whether it's masculine, feminine, or neuter. You also have to ask which "declension" it belongs to. A declension, of which there are basically
five in Latin, is a specific set of case endings. The best of all possible worlds in which there had to be both gender and declension would naturally work it so that for each gender there would be one set of endings and one set only. Apparently, the Indo-Europeans had other things on their minds than the best of all possible worlds when they invented their language. In Latin, the fit between gender and declension is consequently rather sloppy, though not entirely random: two of the five declensions hardly get used at all; one that does get used is almost exclusively for feminine gender, another is almost exclusively for masculine and neuter, and the third is catch as catch can.

Adjectives are easy once you have gotten past the nouns. Adjectives have to "agree" with the nouns they modify in number, gender, and case. That is, singular adjectives go with singular nouns; plural, with plural; masculine, with masculine; nominative, with nominative; and so on. Because adjectives belong to the same declensions as nouns, once you have learned the endings for nouns, you are home free, at least as far as the nōmen family is concerned.

As for the other parts of speech mentioned earlier, they are not nearly as far out as the nomen (which, after all, is not as far out as all that). The prōnōmen class contains a mercifully small number of words that fill in for their nōmen cousins. For example, instead of having to say "One morning Caesar looked into Caesar's mirror and said to Caesar, 'Caesar knows, Caesar should knock over Gaul,' " one can say, "One morning, Caesar looked into his mirror and said to himself, 'You know, you should knock over Gaul.' " The members of the prōnömen class (otherwise known as pronouns) also come with the standard issue of cases.

Verbum originally meant "word." Later, of course, it came to mean a particular kind of word, the kind that tells you what the action is: a verb. Latin verbs, like Latin nouns, adjectives, and pronouns, can be seen as sequences of stems and endings. Again, the stem carries the basic meaning of the word and the endings handle the details. The endings of the verb tell you who the subject is, that is, who is doing the action expressed by the verb.

There are six possibilities, each with a different personal ending:
first person singular (I, myself), second person singular (you, yourself), third person singular (he, himself; she, herself; it, itself; George: Mary; the cat), first person plural (we, ourselves), second person plural (you, yourselves), and third person plural (they, themselves). English again shows only a trace of the original IndoEuropean system of stems and endings in "I cry," "he cries," "I cried," to which may be added "thou criest" which, of course, nobody actually says anymore. The endings of the verb also tell you when the action is happening (or happened), that is, what "tense" the verb is in. ("Tense" comes, ultimately, from Latin tempus, which means "time.")

Two further pieces of information are carried by the verb endings. The first of these is whether the verb is active or passive, that is, whether the subject of the verb is doing the action or the action is being done to him (or her or it). Latin would have one verb form for "(I) was fleeced" and another for "(I) fleeced (somebody)." The final piece of information carried by the verb ending is what "mood" the verb is, indicative, or subjunctive. Mood takes a bit of explaining. Forget about it for the time being. What is important for now is that there are four things that verb endings have to tell you, and the price of this wealth of information is that you have a lot of endings to learn. Well, not that many, really, and a pleasant side benefit is: languages like Latin that are big on endings are often quite relaxed about word order-you can put most of the constituents of a Latin sentence pretty much where you please and still be perfectly understood.

The adverbium is-miräbile dict $\bar{u}$ the adverb, the word that tells you how the action is performed - quickly, slowly, foolishly, and so on. No problem here.

The participium, or participle, in Latin is a cross between a verbum and a nōmen: it has case endings like a nōmen, but it has a distinctly verbal aspect. Case endings aside, the Latin participles, of which there are four varieties, are not very different from the English ones. (Consider, for example, the sentence "When the going gets tough, the tough get going," which, in addition to its aphoristic
merit, has fifty percent of all you will ever have to know about participles. The first "going" is a gerund, that is, a verb form functioning as a noun; and the second "going" is a real verb form. Both "goings" are participles in English and Latin.)

That leaves the praepositio, or preposition; the conjunctiō, or conjunction; and the interjectiō, or interjection. Prepositions are small endingless words that come right before a nōmen (or prōnōmen) and tell you "where," "how," "when," and sometimes "why," as in: "under water," "with a smile," "before the Ides of March," and "for no particular reason." Conjunctions are small endingless words that come between two (or more) of the same parts of speech and join them together, as in "George and Mary," "they laughed or cried." Interjections, like "hey," "fooey," and "damn!" are none of the above.

If the early grammarians get high marks for their handling of the grammatical system proper, they may perhaps be forgiven their less than exemplary treatment of Latin's "sound system." Even today, there are those who hold that if you take care of the sense, the sounds will take care of themselves, a methodological principle which is great for saving paper but which is apt to result in certain difficulties for later learners of the language.

The variety of sounds which people produce in conversing in their language is infinite. No two sounds are ever quite identical. Fortunately, they don't have to be, because the speakers of a language have a tacit agreement that all speech sounds falling within a particular range will be considered to be the same sound, and all those falling outside that range, different. The number of contrasting ranges that a language uses in this way is generally quite small. (Most languages make do comfortably with twenty or thirty.) The way you discover where the boundaries are between ranges in a language is to play some variation on the old Same/Different or Minimal Pair game with a card-carrying native speaker of the language: "Did you say 'Hi' or did you say 'How' (or 'He' or 'Who') and are these the same thing or what?" (Note that it will not do to
play this game with a native speaker of some other language, for it is rare indeed that two different languages wind up having the exact same inventory of sound ranges.)

What were the sound ranges of Latin, and how can anyone be sure, since there are no native speakers to play the Minimal Pair game with anymore? The Romans and their heirs have provided a number of clues. For one thing, somebody told them about the alphabet fairly early in the game. This ingenious Near Eastern invention had worked its way onto the Italic peninsula in a variety of forms by the fourth century B.C. (For a time, there were three major contenders for the title of official alphabet on the peninsula, the so-called Illyrian, Italic, and Etruscan scripts, the last of which eventually won out. The Etruscans themselves should have done so well.)

We also have something like firsthand testimony from the early Latin grammarians, people whose native language was Latin. To be sure, the early grammarians were letter- rather than sound-oriented and their rules for pronouncing the letters of the alphabet were less systematic than we'd like. Moreover, there is ample reason to suspect that the Latin which they wrote about and the Latin which they in fact spoke were never quite the same. But later grammarians weren't all that more thorough on the phonetic end of things, and they were certainly lax when it came to checking with native speakers of the language.

In a way, the best information about Latin's sounds is provided by the modern-day Romance languages, Portuguese, Spanish, Catalan, Provençal, French, Rhaeto-Romance, Rumanian, Italian, and their many dialects. This "best" information merely has to be finessed a little.

Suppose that when we compare the vocabularies of these languages we find many striking similarities, such as the following:

| Spanish | puerta | puentes | puerco |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| French | porte | ponts | porc |
| Italian | porta | ponti | porco |
|  | door | bridges | swine |

It's a safe bet that Spanish, French, and Italian didn't just happen to make up nearly identical words for "door" (and "bridges" and "swine" and many, many more). Nor should it come as any great surprise that the Latin words for "door," "bridges," and "swine" are porta, pontès, and porcus. Even if we'd never heard of Latin, we would still presumably have reasoned that these languages are so similar, not only in their vocabularies and sound systems, but in their grammatical systems proper, that they must share a common ancestor. That is, they must have evolved from the same language or from different dialects of the same language.

In any case, whether we know that the Romance languages have a common origin or we have merely inferred as much, it all boils down to the same thing. When we observe some feature, like the unaspirated " $p$ " sound in the words just cited, which is shared by the Romance languages and is probably not the result of borrowing or "independent innovation," we assume that that feature was part of the parent language or was shared by the parental dialects of that language. By this method of comparing the sound systems of the Romance languages, seeing what characteristics they share in common, we eventually arrive at a set of sound ranges, which we hypothesize were shared by the dialects of Latin where it all began. The availability of Latin grammars and numerous Latin texts guard against any howling blunders and help to fill in the missing pieces. It doesn't hurt a bit that we also have Old French, Old Spanish, Vulgar Latin, and other texts of intermediate ages between Classical Latin and the modern Romance languages.

Latin's sound system almost without a doubt contained the following:

| p | t | k |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b | f | s | g |
| m | n | h |  |
|  |  | l |  |
|  |  | r |  |
|  |  |  | y |

The $p, t$, and $k$ sounds were probably unaspirated, that is, when you made a Latin $p, t$, or $k$ sound, it entered the world unaccompanied by the little puff of air that attends the birth of all such sounds in English (except when they follow an s, as in "spot," "start," and "skip," or are swallowed at the end of a word, as in "stop," "start," and "stick"). The $t, d, n, 1$, and $r$ were probably made with the tip of the tongue against the upper teeth; the s, with the tip of the tongue against the lower teeth. The $\square$ is essentially the nasal sound which we have in English in "sing," "ringer," and the like. The $r$ was doubtless of the flap, or trill, variety found in Spanish, Italian, or highly theatrical English.

These were represented by the following letters of the alphabet:

| p |  | t | $c$ and $q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b |  | d | g |
|  | f | s | h |
| m |  | n | n (before c, q, g) |
|  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | r |  |
| $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{u})$ |  |  | j (i) |

A final note on the consonants before moving on to vowels: the evidence from the Romance languages strongly suggests that Latin made a phonetic distinction between single and double "twin" consonant sounds, much as modern Italian does in such words as fato (fate) and fatto (deed). In Italian, this distinction involves a longer vowel and a more quickly released consonant versus a shorter vowel and a not-so-quickly released consonant.

Latin also had, as far as we can tell, ten vowels, five short and five long. The short vowels probably differed from their long coun terparts in two respects. First, the long vowels were held longer. Second, there was probably a difference in timbre, a difference in the position of your tongue when making one vowel as opposed to another, similar to that found in modern German.

Latin's long vowels were comparable to the vowels in English
"meet," "mate," "Ma," "moot," and "mote." The "short" vowels were comparable to those in English "mit," "met," "Ma" (only more perfunctory), "foot," and "motley" (if you're from Bawston, Massachusetts). They were represented as follows:

| i (meet) | i (mit) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ (mate) | e (met) |
| $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ (Maaa) | a (Ma) |
| $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ (moot) | u (foot) |
| $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ (mote) | o (motley) |

Latin had three diphthongs as well: "ay" (as in English "buy"), which was spelled $a e$; "oy" (as in English "boy"), which was spelled $o e$ : and "ow" (as in English "wow"), which was spelled au.

Finally, Latin had an admirably straightforward set of rules for stressing words on the right syllable. The rules are as follows. (1) If the vowel in the next-to-last syllable of a word is long, stress it and ask no questions. (2) If the vowel in the next-to-last syllable is short, either of two things can happen. If the vowel is followed by two or more consonants, it is considered long by position and it gets stressed. Otherwise, stress the vowel in the next-to-next-to-last (antepenultimate) syllable and call it a day.

## CHAPTER II

## Nouns to Nouns



The inhabitants of Magna Graecia (Greater Greece) were among the very last on the Italic peninsula to be "Romanized." They had long formed the Greek-speaking sole of what was to become an officially Latin-speaking boot. Of the many interesting and influential people who lived there at one time or another, perhaps the most remarkable was an immigrant from Samos at the end of the sixth century B.C., Pythagoras.

Pythagoras and his followers were first and foremost a religious sect, very big on cosmic symmetry and pattern. Not surprisingly, they were very heavily into mathematics, their researches revealing all sorts of hitherto unsuspected symmetries and patterns there for the taking. Small wonder that they were fond of the sphere and the circle, finding one or the other at every turn. The earth was a sphere,
the other celestial spheres moved in circular orbit, and the case forms of a nōmen (noun or adjective) were radii in the upper right-hand quadrant of a circle.

The nominative case was considered to be the vertical radius; the others, oblique radii, that is, neither vertical nor horizontal. The nominative was therefore known as the "upright" case (cāsus rēctus) and the others, as the "oblique" cases (cāsūs obliqui).

The term case (cāsus) comes from the verb "to fall," the idea being that when you ran through the complete set of case forms of a nōmen, you started from straight up and down in the nominative and fell precipitously through the vocative, genitive, dative, accusative, and (in Latin) ablative, coming to a crashing halt at fourteen past the hour, and not a moment too soon. This makes the upright case a contradiction in terms, of course, but what right-thinking Pythagorean, having come this far, is going to quibble?

The process of running - or falling - through the complete set of case forms for a nōmen was called "declining": to decline is to turn away from, in this instance, to turn away from the nominative and make a break for it along the perimeter. To turn down, we might say. In any event, if Latin has five declensions, this means that there are five possible tracks around the nominal circle. We will have a look at two of them here.

The first declension is probably so named because it is the most straightforward and, therefore, the one you get to hear about first in a Latin grammar. Samples of first declension nouns are:

SINGULAR

FEM
NOM matella
VOC matella
GEN matellae
DAT matellae
ACC matellam
ABL matellā

## FEM

insula
insula
insulae
insulae
insulam
insulā

## PLURAL

| NOM | matellae | insulae |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | matellae | insulae |
| GEN | matellārum | insulārum |
| DAT | matellis | insulis |
| ACC | matellās | insulās |
| ABL | matellis | insulis |

Matella, matellae chamber pot;insula, insulae island.
Matell- and insul- are stems; -a, -ae, -ae, -am, $-\bar{a},-a e,-a e,-\bar{a} r u m$, $-i s,-\bar{u} s$, and $-i s$, the set of endings of the first declension. Since a number of these are identical in appearance, the question immediately arises: when someone says matellae, for example, how do you know which case form it is, as it could equally well be genitive singular (of a chamber pot), dative singular (to a chamber pot), nominative plural (chamber pots), or vocative plural ( 0 chamber pots!)? The answer is to have a look at the rest of what that someone is saying and see which reading makes the most -or any -sense.

Some examples:
İnsula nōn in Galliä (est). (The) island is not in Gaul.
Insula is in the nominative singular because it's the subject;
Galliā is in the ablative singular because it's the object of the preposition in; and est is in parentheses because literate speakers of Latin would probably not have bothered to put it in a sentence like this, but would have left it understood.
Agricolae matellae in Galliä (sunt). The farmer's chamber pots are in
Gaul. (The alternate reading "The chamber pots' farmers are in Gaul," while thinkable, is less likely.) Agricolae is the genitive singular of agricola (farmer), and matellae is the nominative plural of matella.
Puella matellam agricolae dat. The girl gives the chamber pot to the farmer. Puella is nominative singular, being the subject; matellam is accusative singular because that's the case that direct objects
get to be in in Latin; and agricolae is in the dative because the farmer is being given something. (Dative comes from the verb "to give," of which dat is a form.)

The so-called second declension is a little more complicated than the first, but not much. We mentioned earlier that Latin nouns are either masculine, feminine, or neuter in grammatical gender. Most of the nouns of the first declension happen to be feminine in gender, the tiny number of exceptions, such as agricola (farmer) and nauta (sailor), being words that designate male people. All nouns of the first declension, regardless of gender, are declined in the manner just shown. The second declension is made up of both masculine and neuter nouns and these are declined slightly differently from each other:

## SINGULAR

|  | MASC | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | mundus | bellum |
| VOC | munde | bellum |
| GEN | mundi | belli |
| DAT | mundō | bellō |
| ACC | mundum | bellum |
| ABL | mundō | bellō |

## PLURAL

| NOM | mundi | bella |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | mundi | bella |
| GEN | mundōrum | bellōrum |
| DAT | mundis | bellis |
| ACC | mundōs | bella |
| ABL | mundis | bellis |

Mundus, mundi world; bellum, belli war.

Mund- and bell- are stems, and the only appreciable difference between masculine and neuter nouns of this declension is to be found in the nominative, vocative, and accusative case endings. It is a general feature of neuter nouns that they have the same ending for the nominative, vocative, and accusative, making less work for the student of Latin, which is all to the good.

Neuters are not unique in having the same ending for the nominative and vocative. Most Latin nouns and adjectives make no such distinction. In fact, the only ones which do have separate case endings for the nominative and vocative are those of the second declension, like mundus, which end in -us in the nominative singular and $-e$ in the vocative singular. And not all such -us forms obey this rule: those ending in -ius in the nominative singular, like filius (son), have a different vocative form. "O son" is $O$ fili, and that's that. So, for all practical purposes, the only time you have to go to the bother of learning a vocative ending is for masculine nouns and adjectives of the second declension.

This is not quite all there is to the second declension, however, as witness the following:

## SINGULAR

| NOM | adulter |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | adulter |  |
| GEN | adulterī |  |
| DAT | adulterō |  |
| ACC | adulterum |  |
| ABL | adulterō |  |
|  |  | agri |
|  |  | PLURAL |
| NOM | adulterī |  |
| VOC | adulterī | agrum |
| GEN | adulterōrum |  |
| DAT | adulteris |  |
| ACC | adulterōs |  |
| ABL | adulteris |  |
|  |  |  |

Adulter, adulteri adulterer; ager, agri field.

Nouns of the adulter type might be said to differ from those like mundus in not having any visible (or audible) ending in the nominative and vocative singular. Nouns like ager don't seem to have any ending in the nominative and vocative singular, and there is a further wrinkle: the $e$ of the stem seems to disappear when we arrive at the genitive singular and is never heard of again. How you know whether to decline a noun that ends in er in the nominative like adulter or like ager is simple: you look it up in the dictionary where the nominative will be followed by the genitive singular form, which tells all. Thus, socer, soceri (father-in-law) versus cancer, cancri (crab). Fortunately, most second-declension nouns are like mundus, mundi and bellum, belli.

Adjectives of the first and second declensions are perfectly straightforward once you've encountered their nominal cousins. Mostly, they are like triquetrus, triquetra, triquetrum (threecornered).

SINGULAR

## MASC

| NOM | triquetrus |
| :--- | :--- |
| VOC | triquetre |
| GEN | triquetrī |
| DAT | triquetrō |
| ACC | triquetrum |
| ABL | triquetrō |


| NOM | triquetrī |
| :--- | :--- |
| VOC | triquetrī |
| GEN | triquetrōrum |
| DAT | triquetris |
| ACC | triquetrōs |
| ABL | triquetris |

FEM
triquetra
triquetra
triquetrae
triquetrae
triquetram
triquetrā

## PLURAL

The ones that aren't like these-and most are-are either like liber, libera, liberum (free) or else like taeter, taetra, taetrum (foul, abominable).

SINGULAR

| NOM | liber | libera | liberum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | liber | libera | liberum |
| GEN | liberi | liberae | liberi |
|  | etc. | etc. | etc. |

## PLURAL

NOM liberi
VOC liberi
GEN liberōrum
etc.

| NOM | taeter |
| :--- | :--- |
| VOC | taeter |
| GEN | taetri |
|  | etc. |

VOC taeter
GEN
taetri
etc.

| NOM | taetrī |
| :--- | :--- |
| VOC | taetrī |
| GEN | taetrōrum |

NOM taetri
VOC
GEN
taetrōrum
liberae
liberae
liberārum etc.

SINGULAR
etc.
taetra
taetra
taetrae
etc.

## PLURAL

.
taetrae
taetrae
taetrārum
etc.
taetrum
taetrum
taetri
etc.
libera
libera
liberōrum
etc.
taetra
taetra
taetrōrum
etc.

A hint and two reminders about adjectives, then some vocabulary. First, the hint: when you have a noun that could be declined one way or another, the dictionary puts you onto the right one by giving the nominative singular and genitive singular forms; for adjectives, the dictionary simply gives the masculine, feminine, and neuter forms of the nominative singular from which you can deduce all you need to know. Usually. (When there is anything exceptional in the declension, they generally tell you in the dictionary as a point of interest.)

The two reminders: adjectives "agree" with the nouns they modify in number and gender and case, which means that if you want to modify, say, a masculine singular noun appearing in the ablative, then you need an adjective of similar persuasion, as, in agrō triquetrō (in a three-cornered field). Again, adjectives can be used substantively, as though they were nouns: boni, mali, et taetri (the good, the bad, and the abominable [people]) or bona, mala, et taetra (the good, the bad, and the abominable [things]).

## Vocabulary

The vocabulary is given as it would be in the dictionary, listing the nominative and genitive singular forms for nouns and the masculine, feminine, and neuter nominative singular for adjectives. Words are given in their order of appearance in this chapter; they appear alphabetically in the Glossary at the end of the book.
matella, matellae (f.) chamber pot
insula, insulae (f.) island
nōn not, no
in in, on (with the ablative).
Latin has another in which means "into, against" with the accusative.
Gallia, Galliae (f.) Gaul est is
agricola, agricolae (m.) farmer sunt (they) are
puella, puellae (f.) girl
dat gives
nauta, nautae (m.) sailor
mundus, mundi (m.) world
bellum, belli (n.) war filius, filiī (m.) son
adulter, adulteri (m.) adulterer ager, agri (m.) field socer, soceri (m.) father-in-law cancer, cancrī (m.) crab
triquetrus, triquetra, triquetrum three-cornered
liber, libera, liberum free. In the plural, liberi is the customary word for "children." In the singular and with a capital L, Liber is Bacchus. Finally, liber is not to be confused with liber, libri (m.) book.
taeter, taetra, taetrum foul, abominable, noisome bonus, bona, bonum good malus, mala, malum bad. Not to be confused with mālum, māli (n.) apple.
et and
sed but
locus, loci (m.) place, location idōneus, idōnea, idōneum fit for, suitable for. When $A$ is suitable for B , idōneus
agrees with $\mathbf{A}$ in number, gender, and case, and B appears in the dative. Thus, in locō idōneō matellis in a place suitable for chamber pots.
filia, filiae (f.) daughter super on, above (with the accusative)
sub under (with the ablative)
Britannia, Britanniae (f.)
Britain
I. Translate into English
a. Bellum in Galliā malum, sed in matellā taetrum.
b. Liberi liberi adulteri.
c. Mundus locus idōneus insulis.
d. Nauta agricolae cancrum dat; agricola. mālum nautae.
e. Agricolae filia bona agrōrum dat socerō.
f. Fïlius nautae super insulam; insula, sub matellā.
g. Mundus adulteri triquetrus.
h. Britannia insula nōn idōnea bellō.

## II. Translate into Latin

a. An island is not a suitable place for an adulterer.
b. The fields are under the farmers and the world is under the fields.
c. A father-in-law does not give good things to an adulterer.
d. Books are good; but children, noisome.
e. In England, an adulterer gives the girls good books; but in Gaul, crabs.
f. O sons and daughters of Gaul, the apples of England are foul!
g. The sailor's son is in a place suitable for sailors' sons.
h. Gaul is not a three-cornered island.

## CHAPTER III

## Evens and Ends



When the Pythagoreans decided that it would be handy to distinguish between those numbers which could be divided by two and those which couldn't, they termed the evens "perfect" numbers; and the odds, "uncommon, extraordinary." The Latins spoke of equal ( $p \overline{a r}$ ) and unequal (impär) numbers, and we speak of even and odd, the implication always being that if it can be divided by two, it's great, and if it can't, there's something wrong with it.

The original Indo-Europeans were so fond of the number 2 that they set up a special grammatical category called the dual, which contrasted with both the singular and the plural. Nouns and adjectives could be singular, dual, or plural, as could forms of the verb, and there were different endings for each. If you wanted to talk about something singular, like George or the cat, then you used the appropriate singular forms; and if you wanted to talk about three or more, then you used the plural. But if it was a question of George's two feet or some other boxed set of two, you used the dual. Thus, if all scholars of Latin are liars except for the two authors of this book (and I'm not so sure about one of them), "all," "scholars," "are," and "liars" would be plural forms, "the," "two," "authors," and "them" would be in the dual, and "Latin," "this," "book," "I," "'m," and "one" would be singular.

Most of the Indo-European languages eventually merged the dual with the plural. Latin has reluctantly given up all but the memory of the dual and two reminders: the words for "two" (duo) and "both" ( $a m b \bar{o}$ ) which are declined as follows:

MASC

| NOM | duo | ambō | duae | ambae | duo | ambō |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | duo | ambō | duae | ambae | duo | ambō |
| GEN | duōrum | ambōrum | duārum | ambārum | duōrum | ambō̄ı |
| DAT | duōbus | ambōbus | duābus | ambābus | duōbus | ambōb |
| ACC | duōs | ambōs | duās | ambās | duo | ambō |
| ABL | duōbus | ambōbus | duābus | ambābus | duōbus | ambōb |

Duo, duae, duo and $a m b \bar{o}, a m b a e, ~ a m b \bar{o}$ are treated as though they were regular, everyday plural adjectives in Latin. That is, what they modify are plural nouns: duo mundi (two worlds), in ambōbus locis (in both places), duae agricolae filiae (two farmer's daughters), super ambās matellās triquetrās (on both three-cornered chamber pots), locus idōneus ambōbus beltis (a place suitable for both wars).

Latin has another word for "two" that is worthy of mention here: bini, binae, bina, which is declined like buni, bonae, bona, the
plural of bonus, bona, bonum. Bini, binae, bina means "two (of the same sort), a pair." Thus, the agricola glöriōsus (braggart farmer) might say, "Bīnōs agrōs habeō, pirōs in dexterō et mā̄ōs in sinistrō" ("I have a pair of fields, pear trees in the right-hand one and apple trees in the left-hand one"). One more modest might simply remark, "Duōs agrōs habeō, pōmōs in ambōbus" ("I have a couple of fields, fruit trees in both'), and let it go at that.

The Indo-European distinction "one, two, many" apparently proved to be one too many for the Latini, and the dual was quietly put out to pasture and was never heard of again. Actually, when you think of it, what this amounted to was giving up a three-way number distinction in favor of a split down the middle: they had to kill the dual to save it. The Latins were generally pretty good at turning three into two. Witness the way they handled their three-way gender distinction: masculine, feminine, and neither of the two (neuter, neutra, neutrum neither). They even went to the trouble to coin the term tertium quid (literally, third whatsis) to designate, in Mr. Webster's words, "something that escapes a division into two groups that are supposed to be exhaustive," like, neither dead nor alive. (The Theory of Logical Types, unfortunately, has come too late to be of any help to the Romans.)

This is not to say that speakers of Latin found the number three totally inimical. Latin's verb system features the number three quite prominently, there being three "persons" (each with a singular and a plural designation) who can be subjects of verbs. These are the socalled first person (singular " $I$ " and plural "we"), the second person (singular "you" and plural "you [yourselves]"), and the third person (singular "he. she, it, George, the cat" and plural "they, the cats," etc.).

Traditionally, there are said to be four conjugation classes, that is, four slightly different sets of endings that go on the ends of verb stems. (Conjugation literally means yoking together.) Actually, there are five such classes, as will become clear from the following examples of the present (active indicative):

|  | 1. | vastō | arō | habeō | videō |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | vastās | arās | habēs | vidēs |
|  | 3. | vastat | arat | habet | videt |
|  | 1. | vastāmus | arāmus | habēmus | vidēmus |
| PLUR | 2. | vastātis | arātis | habētis | vidētis |
|  | 3. | vastant | arant | habent | vident |

Vastō I lay waste, I'm laying waste; arō I plow, I'm plowing; habeō I have, I'm having; vide $\bar{O}$ I see, I'm seeing. Note that where English has two present active indicative tenses, "I X" and "I'm Xing," Latin has but one that we translate sometimes one way, sometimes the other, depending on which English version sounds better.

|  | 1. | currō | ag $\overline{0}$ | faciō | capi $\bar{o}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | curris | agis | facis | capis |
|  | 3. | currit | agit | facit | capit |
|  | 1. | currimus | agimus | facimus | capimus |
| PLUR | 2. | curritis | agitis | facitis | capitis |
|  | 3. | currunt | agunt | faciunt | capiunt |

Currō I run, I'm running; $a g \bar{o}$ I lead, I'm leading; faciō I do, I make, I'm doing, I'm making; capiō I seize, I'm seizing.

SING 2. venis
3. venit

1. venimus

PLUR 2. venitis
3. veniunt
audiō
audis
audit
audimus
auditis
audiunt

Veniō I come, I'm coming; audiō I hear, I'm hearing.
Where these differ from each other from class to class is not so much in the endings but, rather, in what comes just before the
endings. In the first conjugation, exemplified here by vastō and arō, what comes just before the endings is an $a$ (sometimes long and sometimes short, and it's a good idea to learn where they come, as this distribution of longs and shorts runs through a good deal of the Latin verb system). This vowel is called a theme vowel and it will appear in virtually all of the other tenses of the verb. The second conjugation, exemplified by habeō and vide $\bar{o}$, differs hardly at all from the first: where vastō and arō have an $a$, habe $\bar{o}$ and vide $\bar{\sigma}$ have an $e$. The second conjugation even goes so far as to stick this $e$ into the first person singular form for good measure. Currō, ag $\overline{0}$, faciō, and capiō are all traditionally classed in the third conjugation, even though faciō and capiō seem to have snuck in an $i$ in the first person singular and third person plural forms. Otherwise, the third conjugation differs from the first and second in having an $i$ instead of an $a$ or $e$, which have already been spoken for. (A further difference is that the third conjugation doesn't have these long vowels in the present the way the others do.) Finally, if you are still counting, the fourth conjugation, to which veniō and audiō belong, tenaciously maintains its thematic $i$ vowel throughout, making it "long" where vast $\overline{0}, a r \bar{o}$ and habē, vide $\bar{o}$ have long vowels, and making it short elsewhere.

As you have probably already guessed, the way you know which conjugation class has which verbs in it is to look up the verbs in the dictionary. Traditionally, again, Latin verbs are listed under the first person singular active indicative form, just as nouns and adjectives are listed under the nominative singular. As the dictionary supplies you with the genitive of nouns to tell all, so it gives you the principal parts of the verb-namely, the first person singular present active indicative; the infinitive; and a couple of other forms which we need not worry about here, the first person singular perfect active indicative, and the past participle.

All you really need to know to be able to conjugate a verb in the present active indicative in Latin is the first person singular and the infinitive: vast $\overline{0}$, vastāre is easy because the infinitive has $\bar{a}$; habe $\overline{0}$, habēre is even easier, since verbs of the second conjugation are the
only ones that have that $e$ in the first person singular and are also the only ones with $\bar{e}$ in the infinitive: venio, venire has to be fourth conjugation because that's the only class with $i$ in the infinitive; currō currere can't be any of the above because of the short vowel in the infinitive, and it can't be like faciö, facere because it doesn't have the $i$ in the first person singular.

Well, perhaps that's not all you really need to know, as there is the matter of the endings and which vowels go where, but that's not so much. Besides, because each "person" (singular and plural) gets a different verb ending, you don't have to worry about learning any pronouns for a while - the subject of vastō can only be "I"; the subject of vastās, "you (yourself)," and so on. The Latini were casual about pronouns themselves, simply omitting the subject and letting the verb do all the work as often as not.

A final gloss on the number 2: here are the forms of the present active indicative of two very useful and wildly irregular Latin verbs, the verb "to be" and the verb "to be able":

|  | 1. | sum | possum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | es | potes |
|  | 3. | est | potest |
|  | 1. | sumus | possumus |
| PLUR | 2. | estis | potestis |
|  | 3. | sunt | possunt |

Esse to be;posse to be able.Possum is easy enough once sum has been mastered: just take the forms of the verb "to be" and stick pot- on the beginning of the ones that start with vowels, and pos- on the ones that start with $s$. (True, this won't work for the infinitive, but you can't have everything.)

## Vocabulary

duo, duae, duo two ambō, ambae, ambō both bint, binae, bina two (of the same sort), a pair; two by two
glōriōsus, glōriōsa, glōriōsum
fully of glory, braggart
pirus, piri (f.) pear tree
dexter, dextera, dexterum right
$m \bar{a} l u s$, māli (f.) apple tree
sinister, sinistra, sinistrum left
ро̄mus, $p \bar{o} m i$ ( f .) fruit tree
neuter, neutra, neutrum neither. The genitive and dative singular is irregular across the board and is considered in Chapter IV.
tertius, tertia, tertium third vastō, vastäre to lay waste $a r \bar{o}$, arāre to plow
habeō, habēre to have videō, vidēre to see currō, currere to run agō, agere to lead; grātiās agere to give thanks
faciō, facere to do, make capiō, capere to seize veniō, venire to come audiō, audire to hear sum, esse to be possum, posse to be able sedeō, sedēre to sit ad toward, to, at (with the accusative)
pirum, piri (n.) pear. You already know about mālum, mälī (n.) apple.
Rōma, Rōmae (f.) Rome propter for, because of (with the accusative)
etiam still, yet, also, even
erg $\bar{o}$ therefore
semper always
pōmārium, pōmārii (n.) orchard
$a b$ (before vowels), $\bar{a}$ (before consonants) from (with the ablative)
pōmum, pōmi (n.) fruit
I. Translate into English
a. Nauta sedet ad dexteram agricolārum. (Motto of the New

England Successionist Movement)
b. Mālī pira nōn habent, sed māla.
c. Rōmae grātiās nōn agitis, $\boldsymbol{O}$ agricolae Galliae, propter bellum taetrum.
d. Triquetrum faciō agrum propter binās pirōs et mālum.
e. Venimus ad Galliam sed nōn currimus.
f. Dexterum habēs; sinistrum habēs; tertium etiam habēs neutrum: ergō triquetrus es.

## II. Translate into Latin

a. Braggart sailors are always running into islands.
b. You can't see the orchard for the (fruit) trees.
c. The good adulterer gives thanks to both: to the girl and to the father-in-law.
d. A chamber pot is not a suitable place for a pear tree.
e. You are foul, $\mathbf{O}$ sons and daughters of England, not fit for a chamber pot.
f. I'm taking a couple of apples from the apple tree.

## III. A Syllogism

Pōma nōn bona (sunt). Māla pōma (sunt).
Māla ergō mala (sunt).

## CHAPTERIV

## A Couple of Numbers, A Number of Nouns



So much for the number 2 . Now for the number 1 and the number 3, the only remaining cardinals below 100 that get declined in Latin. With these, and a little help from the conjunctions and quantifiers, you make any whole number you like, and then some. "One" is declined as follows:

|  | MASC | FEM | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | ūnus | ūna | ūnum |
| GEN | ūnius | ūnius | ūnius |
| DAT | ūni | ūni | ūni |
| ACC | ūnum | ūnam | ūnum |
| ABL | ūnō | ūnā | ūnō |

There are essentially four other words that are declined just like $\bar{u} n u s, \bar{u} n a, \bar{u} n u m$, and three more that are declined almost just like
 (any), nūllus, nūlla, nūllum (not any, none, no), sōlus, sōla, sōlum (alone, sole), and tōtus, tōta, tōtum (whole, all). The plurals of these are like boni, bonae, bona:

| NOM | sōli | sōlae | sōla |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | solōrum | sōlarum | sō̄ōrum |
| DAT | sōlis | sōlis | sōlis |
| ACC | sōlōs | sōlās | sōla |
| ABL | sōlis | sōlis | solis |

Almost the same as these are alter, altera, alterum (the other), uter, utra, utrum (which [of two]), and neuter, neutra, neutrum (neither [of two]).

# SINGULAR 

MASC

| NOM | alter | uter | altera | utra | alterum | utrum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | alterius | utrius | alterius <br> etc. | utrius | alterius | utrius |

This set of adjectives is doubly remarkable. First, of course, there are two traps, the genitive and dative singular case endings. Were it not for these, $\bar{u} n u s, \bar{u} n a, \bar{u} n u m$ and the others would be just so many run of the mill first-second declension adjectives. The second feature of note is that each of the adjectives of this set provides us with much the same kind of information: how many. Are we dealing with one of a kind, one of a boxed set, some, all, or none? Such words are termed quantifiers and are to the logician what metric wrenches are to the fixer of foreign cars: not quite indispensable, but far and away the best tools for the job.

If we didn't have quantifiers, we would have to make do with conjunctions. (One is reminded of the story of the farmer who wasn't sure what the plural of mongoose was and so wrote away, saying, "Send me a mongoose - in fact, make that two.") We have already encountered et (and), the hoary progenitor of the conjunction clan. Other conjunctions include the words for "neither ... nor . . .," "either . . . or . . . " and "both . . . and . . . ""
"Neither . . . nor . . ." is straightforward enough: if you want to say "neither X nor Y (nor Z )" in Latin, you say nec $X$ nec $Y$ (nec $Z$ ) and that's all there is to it. Thus, nec agricola nec agricolae filius nec filia agrōs arāre nōn potest (neither the farmer nor the farmer's son nor his daughter is unable to plow the fields); nec agricolae filiae nec filiō dat pōmōs nauta (the sailor gives fruit trees to neither the farmer's daughter nor his son).

Latin distinguishes between two kinds of "either . . . or . . . ." Aut $X$ aut $Y$ means "either X or Y " where X and Y are mutually exclusive. Thus, Marcus aut agricolae aut nautae filius (Marcus is either the son of a farmer or else the son of a sailor). Vel $X$ vel $Y$
means "either $X$ or $Y$ " where $X$ and $Y$ don't automatically rule each other out. Thus, ager idōneus vel mälis vel piris (a field suitable for either apple trees or pear trees), the implication being that the field could be used for either or both. Ager idōneus aut mälis aut piris (a field suitable either for apple trees or else for pear trees) implies that if you plant one kind of tree, you've had it as far as the other is concerned. In the language of logic, it's the distinction between "inclusive" and "exclusive 'or's.'"

For "both . . . and . . ." the basic possibilities are these in Latin. You can use et or et . . . et . . . , as in nauta et agricola sunt in agrō (both the sailor and the farmer are in the field) and et in Gallia et in Britanniä nauta nauta et matella matella (in both Gaul and Britain, a sailor is a sailor and a chamber pot is a chamber pot). $E t$ is the allpurpose joiner. Somewhat more specialized "ands" are -que and atque (sometimes known as plain ac). The first of these, -que, is an enclitic, that is, something of a linguistic parasite. The specialized task that -que performs is to join parts to form a whole. Thus, while et can join practically anything together, -que usually joins things which already have something to do with each other, things which complement each other. Thus, filiae filiique (daughters and sons), $\bar{u} n u s$ alterque (both the one and the other). Atque essentially serves to emphasize the word which follows it, as in Catullus's elegy on the death of his brother, "Frāter, Avē atque Vale"" ("Brother, Hail and Farewell").

Having considered 1, 2, and "and," and having promised to have a look at the number 3, can we put it off any longer? In fact, we can. For we can slip the third declension in first, not only on the admittedly flimsy grounds that it has the number 3 in the title, but more convincingly because "three" just so happens to be a third declension nōmen in Latin. Besides, you have to learn about the third declension sooner or later, and this declension has all the good words in it anyway.

Most Latin books make a big deal out of the third declension. The fact is, if you don't mind having to learn two stems per nōmen,
a relatively small burden which you have already borne with ager, agri and taeter, taetra, taetrum and company, the third declension is straightforward enough. The following are regular, everyday third declension nouns:

## SINGULAR

| NOM | pēs | miles | homō | nōmen | iter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | pedis | militis | hominis | nōminis | itineris |
| DAT | pedi | militi | homini | nōmini | itineri |
| ACC | pedem | militem | hominem | nōmen | iter |
| ABL | pede | milite | homine | nōmine | itinere |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLURAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOM | pedēs | militēs | hominēs | nōmina | itinera |
| GEN | pedum | militum | hominum | nōminum | itinerum |
| DAT | pedibus | militibus | hominibus | nōminibus | itineribus |
| ACC | pedēs | militēs | hominēs | nōmina | itinera |
| ABL | pedibus | militibus | hominibus | nōminibus | itineribus |

Pēs, pedis (m.) foot; miles, militis (m.) soldier; homō, hominis (m.) man; nōmen, nōminis (n.) noun, name; iter, itineris (n.) way, journey, march.

The endings of the masculine and feminine nōmina of this declension are -_, -is, $-\bar{i},-e m,-e ;-\bar{e} s,-u m,-i b u s,-\bar{e} s$, and $-i b u s$. The neuter differs from these in characteristic fashion, namely, by having a different ending in the nominative and accusative cases, in the singular and $-a$ in the plural.

There is, alas, a hitch: these aren't the only variety of third declension nōmina. There are, besides these, the so-called $i$ stems and mixed $i$ stems.

First, the $i$ stems.

## SINGULAR

| NOM | turris | imber | animal | mare |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | turris | imbris | animālis | maris |
| DAT | turri | imbri | animāli | marì |
| ACC | turrim | (imbrem) | animal | mare |
| ABL | turri | imbri | animāli | marì |

## PLURAL

| NOM | turrēs | imbrēs | animālia | maria |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | turrium | imbrium | animālium | marium |
| DAT | turribus | imbribus | animālibus | maribus |
| ACC | turris | imbris | animālia | maria |
| ABL | turribus | imbribus | animālibus | maribus |

Iurris, turris (f.) tower: imber, imbris (m.) rain; animal, animālis (n.) animal: mare, maris (n.) sea.

These differ from the "regular" third declension nouns in the following ways. Masculine and feminine nouns-of which there are so few they would hardly raise a lump under the carpet - have $i$ instead of $e$ in the accusative and ablative singular and in the accusative plural. They also have -ium instead of -um in the genitive plural. As is indicated by imbrem, the Romans didn't care much for having to keep $i$ stems separate from the others and so tended to apply the $e$ endings wherever they could, at least in the masculine and feminine forms. (For some reason, they didn't tamper with the neuter forms.)

It is not surprising, then, that in their eagerness to get rid of the $i$ forms by replacing them with $e$ forms, a hybrid should make its appearance:

SINGULAR

| NOM | urbs | nox | ūniversitās | pōns |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | urbis | noctis | ūniversitātis | pontis |
| DAT | urbi | nocti | ūniversitāti | ponti |
| ACC | urbem | noctem | ūniversitātem | pontem |
| ABL | urbe | nocte | ūniversitāte | ponte |

## PLURAL

| NOM | urbēs | noctēs | ūniversitātēs | pontēs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | urbium | noctium | ūniversitātium | pontium |
| DAT | urbibus | noctibus | ūniversitātibus | pontibus |
| ACC | urbis | noctis | ūniversitātis | pontis |
| ABL | urbibus | noctibus | ūniversitātibus | pontibus |

Urbs, urbis (f.) city; nox, noctis (f.) night; ūniversitās, ūniversitātis (f.) the whole, the universe, university; pōns, pontis (m.) bridge.

Sometimes the genitive plural of nouns like ūniversitās (of which there are countless examples in Latin) leaves out the $i$; and the accusative plural is as likely as not to appear as -ēs across the board. The significance of this for masculine and feminine nouns is: mostly they go like miles and pess, mostly with es. Neuters sometimes go like nōmen and sometimes like animal and mare. The twain never meet, at least not in grammar books and dictionaries.

Adjectives of the third declension-of which "three" is one, remember-are more like $i$ stems than anything else and come in three varieties: adjectives of three endings, adjectives of two endings, and adjectives of one ending. Don't get your hopes up: they're only talking about the nominative singular, and not the whole package, when they say "two" or "three" endings. Examples follow:

## SINGULAR

MASC
NOM celeber
GEN celebris
DAT celebri
ACC celebrem
ABL celebri

FEM
celebris
celebris
celebri
celebrem
celebri

PLURAL

## MASC

NOM celebrēs
GEN celebrium
DAT celebribus
ACC celebris
ABL celebribus

FEM
celebrēs celebria celebrium celebrium
celebribus celebribus
celebris celebria celebribus celebribus

Celeber, celebris, celebre trodden, frequented, famous.

The accusative plural of the masculine and feminine forms occasionally shows up with $-\bar{e}$ instead of -is. Just as well, really, as there are already quite enough -is plurals in the first and second declensions already. Otherwise, the neuter goes like mare, maris and the masculine and feminine go like imber, imbris.

Adjectives of two endings are of two kinds: comparatives and "other." Comparatives are the ones which, in English, end in er, as in "bigger" and "better," or else have "more" in front of them, as in "more bilious," "more eccentric." To make a comparative in Latin, take the stem of one of the oblique case forms of an adjective and add -ior for masculine and feminine (nominative singular) and -ius for neuter. (This is what two endings means: one for neuter and another for all-purpose non-neuter in the nominative.) Other is other

## SINGULAR

|  | MASC/FEM | NEUT | MASC/FEM | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | celebrior | celebrius | implūmis | implūme |
| GEN | celebriōris | celebriōris | implūmis | implūmis |
| DAT | celebriō̄i | celebriōri | implūmi | implūmi |
| ACC | celebriōrem | celebrius | implūmem | implūme |
| ABL | celebriōre | celebriōre | implūmi | implūmi |

PLURAL

| NOM | celebriōrēs | celebriōra | implūmēs | implūmia |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | celebriōrum | cetebriōrum | implūmium | implūmium |
| DAT | celebriōribus | celebriōribus | implūmibus | implūmibus |
| ACC | celebriōrēs | celebriōra | implūmēs | implūmia |
| ABL | celebriōribus | celebriōribus | implūmibus | implūmibus |

Celebrior, celebrius more famous; implümis, implüme not having feathers.

So, comparatives are really to be compared with regular third declension nouns like pēs, pedis, while other adjectives of two endings are like the three-ending variety, only with no special nominative singular forms to keep masculine and feminine apart. Tres, tria (gen. trium) (three) is one of these "others." Adjectives of one ending, like pār (gen. paris) (equal, even) and atrōx (gen. atrōcis) (cruel, terrible), are declined like implūmis, implūme and celeber, celebris, celebre, the only difference being that all the nominative singular forms are the same for all three genders. Again, the masculine and feminine accusative singular end in -em and the neuter in - , while in the plural, the nominative-accusative ending is $-\bar{e} s$ for masculines and feminines and -ia for neuters.

And that is all there is to know about adjectives in Latin, and very nearly all there is to know about nouns.

## Vocabulary

$\bar{u} n u s, \overline{u n a}, \bar{u} n u m$ (gen. $\bar{u} n \bar{u} s)$ one
ūllus, ūlla, ūllum (gen. ūliuss) any
nūllus, nülla, nūllum (gen. nūllius) not any, none
solus, sōla, sōlum (gen. sōlius) alone, sole
tōtus, tōta, tōtum (gen. tōtius) whole, all
alter, altera, alterum (gen. alterius) the other
uter, utra, utrum (gen. utrius) which (of two)
neuter, neutra, neutrum (gen. neutrius) neither
nec . . . nec . . . neither nor...
aut . . . aut . . . either . . . or . . . (excl.)
vel . . . vel . . . either . . . or
et . . et . . . both . and
-que and
atque and
$a c$ and
frāter, frätris (m.) brother
$a v \bar{e}$ hail, hello
valē farewell, good-bye
pēs, pedis (m.) foot; bipēs, bipedis having two feet
miles, militis (m.) soldier. Miles glöriōsus (the braggart
soldier) was a stock character in Roman drama.
homō, hominis (m.) man
nōmen, nōminis (n.) name,
noun
iter, itineris (n.) way, journey, march; iter faciō I march, I journey
turris, turris (f.) tower imber, imbris (m.) shower, rain animal, animälis ( n. ) animal mare, maris ( n .) sea urbs, urbis (f.) city, walled town
nox, noctis (f.) night
ūniversitās, ūniversitātis (f.)
universe, totality, university pōns, pontis (m.) bridge celeber, celebris, celebre (gen. celebris) famous
celebrior, celebrius (gen.celebriöris) more famous. There are basically two ways of comparing in Latin. If you want to say " A is more famous than B," you can either say $A$ celebrior quam $B$, with $B$ in the same case; or you can leave out the quam and put $B$ in the ablative. Thus, Miles glöriösus celebrior quam agricola
glōriōsus and Miles glōriōsus celebrior agricolā glōri$\bar{o} s \bar{o}$ both mean "The braggart soldier is more famous than the braggart farmer." implūmis, implūme (gen. implümis) not having feathers;
plüma, plūmae (f.) feather
trēs, tria (gen. trium) three
$p \overline{a r r}$ (gen. paris) equal, even, cf. impār (gen. imparis) unequal, odd
atrōx (gen. atrōcis) cruel, terrible
mūrus, mūri (m.) wall via, viae (f.) way, road; cf. trivium, trivii ( n .) a threeway intersection and
quadrivium, quadrivii (n.) a four-way intersection gallus, galli (m.) chicken nātūra, nātūrae (f.) nature dicō, dicere to say quaerō, quaerere to seek, ask for tunc then
respondeō, respondēre to answer exe $\bar{O}$, exire to leave; the present indicative active is: exe $\bar{o}$, exis, exit, eximus, exitis, exeunt.
dēnūdātus, dēnūdāta,
dēnūdātum stripped; cf.
$n \bar{d} d u s, n \bar{u} d a, ~ n u \bar{d} d u m$ bare iactō, iactāre to throw

## I. Translate into English

a. Aut imbrem super mūrōs aut pedēs militum audiō in viā.
b. Et galli et porci currunt in urbis taetrae viäs.
c. Frātrum nōmina celebria nōn sunt; nec nōmen ūnius celebrius est quam nōmen alterius.
d. Nātūra nec mundi sōlius nec ūniversitātis tōtius triquetra est.
e. "Ave" dicō militibus in ponte atque "Vale""agricolis in agrō.
II. Translate into Latin
a. Either in the night or in the rain, you can run either into the tower or else into the orchard.
b. A farmer in the field and a sailor in the sea are not equal.
c. I can see the whole island from the tower and it is all foul.
d. The names of three animals are: crab, chicken, and man.
e. One man is good, the other is bad, but neither is threecornered.

## III. Read and Enjoy

Duo hominès celebris ūniversitātis in Galliā nātūram hominis quaerunt. Ūnus alteri dicit, "Homō sōlus animal implūme bipēs." Alter tunc non respondet, sed ab ūniversitāte exit. In nocte ad ūniversitātem venit et super mūrum ūniversitātis gallum dēnūdātum plūmis iactat.

## CHAPTER V

## Questions and Answers:

## The Logic of the Talking Bear



There is, no doubt, a logic to the universe. The trouble with it is that it changes from time to time, from place to place, and from language to language. Fortunately, you can always ask for clarification of the rules, and it is against this very contingency that questions and answers were invented. Shortly after the invention of questions and answers, it occurred to some folk logician - possibly a Roman - to invent the shaggy dog story (fabula canis capillis prōmissis).

Shaggy dog stories, it will be recalled, are those slow-moving ones with the talking animals, beginning as often as not with a bear walking into a bar and ordering a beer and ending with the bear saying something that wouldn't be nearly so funny if it weren't being said by a bear. or possibly a pelican. What makes the shaggy dog story funny is not so much the natural dry wit of the nonhuman members of the animal kingdom as the logical whiz-bang that underlies all such stories: the everyday world has its logic and the world of talking animals has another, but the world of the shaggy dog has a third that is an uneasy combination of the two.

In this chapter, we will contemplate the logic of the Roman universe, at least to the extent of having a look at how to ask and answer several kinds of question in Latin. As an added attraction, we will also take a gander at the world of the shaggy dog and the ursus fabulāns, or talking bear.

It is only fitting (or unfitting or neither) that the folks who brought you the tertium quid should have three ways of asking a question to which the answer is a simple "yes" or "no." The neutral way. the one you use when you don't feel like second-guessing the person you're interrogating, involves no more than tacking -ne onto the word at the heart of the question. Thus, Ursusne in tabernam introit? (Does a bear go into a bar? Is a bear going into a bar?) and Ursus in tabernamne introit? (Does a bear go into a bar? Is a bear going into a bar?)

If you expect that the answer to your question is going to be "no," you have the option of suggesting as much by starting off with the word num, as in Num ursi cerevisiam imperant? (Bears
don't order beer, do they?) and Num cerevisia mala est? (Beer isn't bad, is it?) If, on the other hand, you expect an affirmative answer, you can begin with the word nōnne (which is really no more than nōn plus -ne), as in Nōnne tabernärii cerevisiam vendunt? (Bartenders sell beer, don't they?) and Nōnne ursus animal implūme bipes? (A bear is an animal with two feet and no feathers, right?) Both num and nōnne may, of course, be used ironically: Nōnne duōs pedēs sinistrōs habēs? (You have two left feet, don't you?) Latin has a number of ways of saying "yes" and "no." Certe (certainly), ita or sic (thus, so), and vērō (in truth) are common affirmatives; $n \bar{O} n$ (no, not), minime (not in the least), and nüllo mod $\bar{o}$ (by no means, in no way), negatives.

Yes/no questions are of course not the only kind there are. A close relative and favorite among second-guessers is the alternative, or double, question, in which the asker offers the answerer a choice of possibilities: Are you a bear or are you a shaggy human? Appropriately enough, such questions may be phrased in a number of ways, as in the following examples. Utrum ursus an homō capillis prōmissis es? Ursusne an homō capillis prōmissis es? Ursus an hom $\bar{o}$ capillis prōmissis es? In short, you can put utrum before the first possibility, or you can tack -ne onto the key word, or you can forget the whole thing and move on to the second possibility, which is generally preceded by an, as are all subsequent ones. A further contingency: questions which in English would end in "or not?" (Are you a bear or not?) end in necne in Latin (Ursus es necne?).

With a little imagination-and, at times, a great deal of circumlo-cution-it would be possible to find out just about anything by asking only yes/no questions. Happily, there is often an easier way, one involving the "Wh-" words (why, how, where, when, what, who, and the like), of which we will consider a modest sampling here. In Latin, these mostly begin with $Q u$ - and work in much the same way as their English cousins: Quärē in tabernam introimus? (Why are we going into a bar?), to which a plausible answer might be Introimus in tabernam quia cerevisiae nätūram quaerimus (We're going into a
bar because we seek the nature of beer). Quōmodō ursum salūtat tabernärius? (How does a bartender greet a bear?), to which the answer is, clearly, Tabernārius ursum cōmissimē salūtat (A bartender greets a bear very politely).

Latin distinguishes rather more fastidiously than English among "whither" (where to?), "whence" (where from?), and just plain "where," as in Quō eunt ursi (Where are the bears going to?), Unde venit cerevisia? (Where does beer come from?), and Ubi sunt matellae (Where are the chamber pots?). Answers to such weighty philosophical posers as these might be: Ursi in tabernam eunt (The bears are going into a bar), or Ursi ad Rōmam eunt (The bears are going to Rome); Cerevisia ex cūpā venit (Beer comes out of a cask) or Cerevisia dē tabernāriō venit (Beer comes from a bartender); and Matellae in Galliā sunt (The chamber pots are in Gaul) or Matellae sub cūpis sunt (The chamber pots are under the casks).

But, as the Modistae had it, Locus nūllus nisi in tempore (There is no place except in time), or, there's no where without a when. Quand $\bar{o}$ is as good a start as any-and better than most - when you want to ask "when?" Quandō in tabernam introit ursus? (When does a bear go into a bar?) Quand $\bar{o}$ can also be used in answers, such as Ursus in tabernam introit quandō cerevisiam dēsiderat (A bear goes into a bar when he wishes a beer).

Latin distinguishes among several "possible" times when: there is a temporal order to the universe and its contents, things have already happened, things are happening, and things are yet to happen. We have already encountered the present (active indicative) tense, the forms of the verb that are used in Latin to express what's going on now. Since there is no time like the past, we will now consider the perfect, imperfect, and pluperfect (active indicative) for good measure.
"Perfect" means finished or complete, and "imperfect," unfinished or incomplete, both from the verb perficiō, perficere to finish, to accomplish. The perfect tense is so called because it's used to express a completed action, as in Ursus in tabernam introiit et cerevisiam imperāvit (A bear went into a bar and ordered a beer).

The imperfect, by contrast, is used to express continuing (past) action: Ursus in tabernam introibat et cerevisiam imperābat (A bear was accustomed to go into a bar and order a beer or A bear was going into a bar and was ordering a beer). That is, the imperfect covers two slightly different varieties of continuing (past) action, the "I-used-to-do-this-now-and-again" kind and the "I-was-doing-this-on-such-and-such-an-occasion" kind. The pluperfect (plus-quam-perfectum, or, more than finished) is, as the name implies, like the perfect, only more so: it is comparable to the "I-had-donethis" tense in English.

The imperfect is the simplest of the three Latin past tenses to form:

## SING

1. imperäbam
manēbam
dicēbam
2. imperābās
3. imperābat
4. imperābāmus

PLUR 2. imperābātis
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { PLUR } & \text { 2. } & \text { imperāātis } \\ & \text { 3. } & \text { imperābant }\end{array}$ manēbās dicēbās
manēbat
dicebat
manēbāmus
dicēbāmus
manēbātis
dicēbātis
manēbant
dicēbant

Imperō, imperāre to order; manē̄, manēre to remain; dicō, dicere to say.

|  | 1. | faciēbam | veniēbam | eram | ibam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | faciēbās | veniēbās | erās | ibās |
|  | 3. | faciēbat | veniēbat | erat | ibat |
|  | 1. | faciēbāmus | veniēbāmus | erāmus | ibāmus |
| PLUR | 2. | faciēbātis | veniēbātis | erātis | ibātis |
|  | 3. | faciēbant | veniēbant | erant | ibant |

Faciō, facere to do, make; veniō, venire to come; sum, esse to be; $e \bar{o}$, ire to go.

In short, the endings of the imperfect are -bam, -bās, -bat, -bāmus, $-b \bar{a} t i s$, and -bant. These are preceded by a vowel or two, depending on the conjugation class: $\bar{a}$ in verbs with infinitives in - $\bar{a} r e, \bar{e}$ in verbs with infinitives in -ēre, and $i \bar{e}$ in verbs with infinitives in -ire. Verbs with infinitives in ere with first person singular present active indicatives in -i $\bar{o}$, like faci $\bar{o}$ and capi $\bar{o}$, have $i \bar{e}$, and all other ere verbs have $\bar{e}$. With verbs like sum and $e \bar{o}$, which are wildly aberrant anyway, all bets are, of course, off.

The perfect is only slightly more complicated.

| SING | 2. | imperāvisti | mānsisti | habuisti | dixisti | fēcisti |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3. | imperāvit | mānsit | habuit | dixit | fēcit |
|  | 1. | imperāvimus | mānsimus | habuimus | diximus | fē̄cimus |
| PLUR | 2. | imperāvistis | mānsistis | habuistis | dixistis | fēcistis |
|  | 3. | imperāvērunt | mānsērunt | habuērunt | dixērunt | fēcērunt |

Imperō, imperāre to order, manē̄, manēre to remain; habē̄, habēre to have; dicō. dicere to say; faciō, facere to do, make.

|  | 1. | vēni | audivi | fui | ii |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | vēnisti | audivisti | fuisti | isti |
|  | 3. | vēnit | audivit | fuit | iit |
|  | 1. | vēnimus | audivimus | fuimus | iimus |
| PLUR | 2. | vēnistis | audivistis | fuistis | istis |
|  | 3. | vēnērunt | audivērunt | fuērunt | iērunt |

Veniō, venire to come; audiō, audire to hear; sum, esse to be; $e \overline{0}$, ire to go.

The endings of the perfect are -i, -isti, -it, -imus, -istis, and -erunt. These are added to the perfect stem. How do you find out what the perfect stem is? For verbs with infinitives in -äre, -ëre, and -ire, there is a good chance that you can guess it correctly: for verbs
in -äre and -ire, take the infinitive, drop off the -re, and add $-v$ - plus the endings. For - $\bar{e} r e$ verbs, take the infinitive, drop off the -ēre, and add $-u$-plus the endings. For all others-and for some -ëre and -ire verbs-consult the dictionary. The first person singular perfect (active indicative) is generally the third principal part given (right after the infinitive).

To make the pluperfect, take the perfect stem (imperāv-, mäns-, habu-, dix-, féc-, ven-, audiv-, fu-, $i$-) and add the forms of the imperfect of the verb sum, namely, eram, erās, erat, erāmus, erätis, erant. Thus: imperāveram, imperāverās, imperāverat, imperāverāmus, imperāverātis, imperāverant. What could be simpler, except possibly not having a pluperfect at all? But then where would we have been?

## Vocabulary

fabula, fabulae (f.) a conversation, tale, story. Fabulāre in late Latin is "to talk" and winds up as Spanish hablar. Fabuläns (gen. fabulantis), talking, is an adjective of one ending, as are all present participles, of which this is one. Present participles are the ones that end in -ing in English. In Latin, present participles are nearly as easy: verbs in -äre have -āns (gen. -antis), as in fabulāns (gen. fabulantis); verbs in -ère have -ēns (gen. entis), as in vidēns (gen. videntis), seeing; verbs in -ire have -iëns (gen.-ientis), as in
audiēns (gen. audientis), hearing; and, as in the formation of the imperfect, verbs in -ere differ according as they have $-i \bar{o}$ or just plain $-\bar{o}$ in the present: faciēns (gen. facientis) doing, making, as against dicēns (gen. dicentis), saying. In Latin, present participles are used in essentially two ways: Cerevisiam imperāns, ursus . . . (Ordering a beer, the bear . . .) and Tabernārius cerevisiam imperantibus dat (The bartender gives beer to the people ordering it).
canis, canis (m.) dog
capilla, capillae (f.) hair
prōmissus, prōmissa, prōmissum long, grown long, from the verb prōmittō, prōmittere to send forth
ursus, ursi ( m .) bear
-ne "?"
taberna, tabernae (f.) inn, tavern; tabernärius, tabernārii (m.) innkeeper, tavernkeeper
introe $\overline{0}$, introire, introivi (from now on, we'll give the perfect as well as the present and infinitive) to enter, go into
num "?" (I expect the answer "no")
cerevisia, cerevisiae (f.) beer
imperō, imperāre, imperāvi to order, command
nōnne "?" (I expect the answer "yes")
vendō, vendere, vendidi to sell
certē certainly
ita thus, yes
sic thus, yes
vērō in truth
minime not in the least
modus, modi (m.) fashion, way; nūllō modō in no way. The Modistae were a group of Latin grammarians interested in the ways of signifying.
utrum . . . an or
necne or not?
quärē why
quia because, since
quōmodō how
salūtō, salūtāre, salūtāvi to greet
cōmissime most politely
$q u \bar{o}$ where to, whither
unde where from, whence
ubi where
$e x, \bar{e}$ from, out from (with the ablative)
cūpa, cūpae (f.) cask
$d \bar{e}$ from, about (with the ablative)
nisi except, if not (with the accusative)
tempus, temporis (n.) time; eōdem tempore at the same time
quand $\bar{o}$ when
dēsiderō, dēsīderāre, dēsiderāvi to long for, wish for
maneō, manēre, mānsi to remain
perficiō, perficere, perféci to finish, complete, accomplish
trāns across (with the accusative)
pater, patris (m.) father
dominus, domini (m.) master of the house, lord, employer
cucurrit he ran (perfect of currō, currere)
respondit he answered (perfect of respondeō, respondēre to answer)
stultus, stulta, stultum stupid pretium, pretii (n.) price sēstertium, sēstertii (n.) 1,000 sēstertii; a sēstertius was a silver coin worth a quarter of a dēnärius, which was worth ten assēs. An äs (gen. assis) was originally a pound of copper, but got smaller.
A sestertium was worth around $\$ 75$.
rede $\overline{0}$, redire, rediï to go back
dedit he gave (perfect of $d \bar{o}$, dare - that's right: dare to give, the present active indicative of which is $d \bar{o}$, dās, dat, dāmus, dātis, dant. recipiō, recipere, recēpi to accept, receive rārus, rāra, rārum rare, scarce avis, avis (f.) bird. A rāra avis in terrä is as rare as hens' teeth. terra, terrae (f.) earth. caritās, caritātis (f.) dearness, high price
immoderātus, immoderāta, immoderātum outrageous

## I. Answer in Latin

a. Num ūniversitās triquetra?
b. Quōmodō in duōbus locis sedēre potes eōdem tempore quandō in nūllō locō es?
c. Quärē trāns viam iit gallus?
d. Utrum ad dexteram an ad sinistram patris sedet?
e. Ubi locus idōneus cerevisiae?
II. Translate into Latin
a. I was ordering a beer when the bear came into the bar.
b. Did he say "I long for apples" or "I long for bad things"?
c. Pears are fruits, aren't they?
d. Where were the sailors if not in the casks?
e. Whence have we come and whither are we going?

## III. Fäbula

Ursus in tabernam introiit et cerevisiam imperāvit. Tabernārius ex tabernā ad dominum cucurrit dicēns, " $O$ domine! $O$ domine! Ursus in tabernā est et cerevisiam dēsiderat!" Dominus tabernāriō respondit, "Stulte, utrum cerevisiam an māla vendimus in tabernā? Ursō cerevisiam vendere potes et, quia ursi stulti sunt, dicere potes etiam: 'Pretium sēstertium.'

Tabernārius rediit in tabernā et ursō dedit cerevisiam dicēns, 'Pretium sēstertium." Ursus nōn respondit, sed cerevisiam recēpit. Dixit tabernārius, fabulāns in modō tabernäriōrum, "Nōnne ursi rārae avēs in tabernā?" "Vērō," respondit ursus, "rāri sumus certē propter cerevisiae cäritātem immoderätam.'

CHAPTER VI

## Pronouns:

## Yours, Mine, and Ours



If you were fortunate enough to be born a Roman male, you could count on being issued three names for free: a praenōmen, much like our first name; a nōmen, which was the name of your gēns (clan); and a cōgnömen, your family name, comparable to our last name. To this last might later be added an ägnōmen, a sort of honorific nickname. (For this you had to do something, like be from Africa or topple the government.)

If you chanced to be born a Roman female, you didn't make out nearly as well in the name department as in most others in Roman society. If you were the first daughter in the family, you got to have the feminine-gender form of your father's nōmen, and that was essentially that. If you were the second (or succeeding) daughter, you not only got to have the feminine-gender form of your father's nōmen, you also got a number to stick on after it: The Second (or Third, or Nth), all depending on how many older sisters you had. Thus, Pūblia Duodecima would have been the unhappy twelfth daughter of some prolific male of the gèns Pūblius. To this, a Roman female could add the genitive form of her husband's name.

Fortunately, even the most drably named Roman had her personal prōnōmen, or pronoun. Well, so did everybody else, and the number of different personal pronouns available for general use was, to put it mildly, small. But at least when Pūblia Duodecima said, "Ego . . .," she could rest assured that everybody listening would know precisely whom she meant.

The Latin personal pronouns for the first and second persons are declined below. (The third person will be considered by himself, herself, itself, and themselves in Chapter IX.)

## FIRST PERSON

## SINGULAR

NOM
ego
GEN
DAT
mei
ACC mē
ABL mē

## PLURAL

nōs
nostrum, nostri
nōbis
nōs
nōbis

NOM tū
GEN tui
DAT tibi
ACC tē
ABL tē
vōs
vestrum, vestri
vōbis
vōs
vōbis

Ego, mei I; tū, tūi you.
Wildly irregular personal pronouns have always been a specialty of the Indo-European family. You'd think that it would have occurred to somebody by now to make the system regular and therefore easier for everybody to learn. Actually, most of the IndoEuropean languages have undergone some changes in that direction, but virtually always at the expense of the few regularities of the original system. The Latin personal pronouns are just such a story.

Consider, for example, how regular-looking the genitive singulars $m e i$ and $t u i$ are. Their $-i$ ending must be none other than our old friend from the second declension. (In no other respects, of course, are ego and $t \bar{u}$ declined like second declension forms, but you can't have everything.) The genitive plurals nostrum and vestrum have the right ending for the genitive plural forms of the third declension, but their stems look suspiciously different from the others in the paradigm. Not only that, the endings of the dative and ablative plurals $n \bar{o} b i s$ and $\nu \bar{o} b i s$ look more like second declension than third. The alternate forms nostri and vestri seem to have the genitive singular ending of the second declension, as well as the peculiar stems. No better from this side than that.

As it happens, nostri and vestri are less bizarre than nostrum and vestrum. Nostrum and vestrum were created by taking nostri and $v e s t r i$ and replacing their genitive singular ending $-i$ with the "more reasonable-looking" genitive plural ending -um from the third declension. (Somebody must have thought it looked or at least sounded more reasonable.) As for nostri and vestri, like mei and tui, these were originally not personal pronoun forms but, rather, the genitive singular masculine/neuter forms of the possessive pronouns:

## SINGULAR

|  | MASC | FEM | NEUT | MASC | FEM | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | meus | mea | meum | noster | nostra | nostrum |
| GEN | meī | meae | meī | nostri | nostrae | nostrí |
| DAT | meठ | meae | meठ̃ | nostrō | nostrae | nostrō |
| ACC | meum | meam | meum | nostrum | nostram | nostrum |
| ABL | meõ | meā | meō | nostrō | nostrã | nostrō |

## PLURAL

| NOM | mei | meae | mea | nostrī | nostrae | nostra |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | meōrum | meārum | meōrum | nostrōrum | nostrārum | nostrōrum |
| DAT | meīs | meīs | meīs | nostrís | nostrís | nostris |
| ACC | meōs | meās | mea | nostrōs | nostrās | nostra |
| ABL | meī | meīs | meī | nostris | nostrís | nostris |

Tuus, tua, tuum, the second person singular "your," is declined in the same way as meus, mea, meum; vester, vestra, vestrum, the second person plural "your," in the same way as noster, nostra, nostrum.

What, you might well ask, are possessive pronouns, and what are they doing in among the personal pronouns? Possessive pronouns are really adjectives whose sole purpose is to tell you who owns the nouns that they modify (and with which they agree in number, gender, and case, in the manner of all other self-respecting Latin adjectives): thus, clepsydra mea (my water clock), calceus noster (our shoe). If the possessive pronouns are really adjectives, why are they called pronouns, and not something more reasonable, like adjectives? The reason is not so much that grammarians are perverse (although they may be), nor even that adjectives were long considered to be just another kind of noun (nōmen) and nobody has gotten around to reclassifying them yet. The real reason has to do with the nature of pronouns.

Literally, a prōnōmen is a word that you can use in place of a nömen. This means among other things that a pronoun can be used in place of a noun. For example, if I'm talking to my friend George, I'm not obliged to say, "Hi, George. What's George been up to lately? Does George still like George's job?'" and so on, all this while looking George squarely in the eye. Thanks to the personal pronouns, I can omit as many of these "Georges" as I wish, substituting the appropriate form of the second person singular pronoun "you."

The appropriate form of "you" for "George's job" would be the genitive, the rule of thumb being that when one noun possesses another, the possessor appears in the genitive case: thus, calceus piscātōris (the fisherman's shoe) and piscātor auctōris (the author's fisherman). Now, you might expect that since personal pronouns stand in for nouns, possession would be expressed by the genitive case forms of the personal pronouns, thereby rendering the invention of the possessive pronouns - words which essentially fill in for the genitive case forms of the personal pronouns, which are themselves filling in for nouns-quite unnecessary. As it so happened, the possessive pronouns prospered and the original genitive forms of the personal pronouns were given their gold watches and were never heard of again.

This retirement was actually a bit hasty, for the genitive case did have other uses besides the expression of ownership. One such use shows up in constructions of the amor mätris type. Amor mātris can mean either of two things: "love of mother," in the sense of either "mother's love," or "love for mother." "Love of mother" of the first variety is called a subjective genitive because "mother" is the originator and presumptive dispensor of the love in question. "Love of mother" of the second type is called an objective genitive because "mother" is the object of the affection. These two varieties of love can be quite unambiguously expressed in Latin through the use of possessive pronouns in the first instance and personal pronouns in the second: amor tuus ("your love" for somebody or something), propter amōrem tuum ("because of your love" for
somebody or something); but amor tibi (somebody's "love for you"), propter amōrem tui (because of [somebody's] love for you).

## Vocabulary

praenōmen, praenōminis (n.)
first name
nōmen, nōminis (n.) name (of
the gēns), noun
gēns, gentis (f.) clan, race,
people
cōgnōmen, cōgnōminis (n.) family name, last name ägnōmen, āgnōminis ( n .)
honorific surname
ego, mei I
nōs, nostrum (nostri) we $t \bar{u}$, tui you (singular)
vos, vestrum (vestrī) you (plural)
meus, mea, meum my
noster, nostra, nostrum our
tuus, tua, tuum your (belonging to you, singular)
vester, vestra, vestrum your, belonging to you (plural)
clepsydra, clepsydrae (f.)
water clock
calceus, calcei (m.) shoe which, unlike the more frequently depicted sandal-solea, soleae (f.) - which covered only the sole of the foot, covered the whole foot
piscātor, piscātōris (m.) fisherman; cf. piscis, piscis (m.) fish
amor, amōris (m.) love; cf. $a m \bar{o}, a m \overline{a r} e, ~ a m \bar{a} v i$ to love; and amicus, amici (m.), amica, amicae (f.) friend
mäter, mätris (f.) mother
Morbōnia, Morbōniae (f.)
Plagueville; cf. morbus, morbi (m.) a distemper; and abire Morbōniam to go to hell
mercātor, mercātōris (m.) merchant
valedicō, valedicere, valedixi to say farewell
Sicilia, Siciliae (f.) Sicily
priōre annō last year; cf. prior prius (gen. priōris) former, prior; and annus, anni (m.) year
civitās, civitātis (f.) a community of citizens, a city: cf. civis, civis (m.) citizen
cum when, since; cum also means "with" and takes the ablative
igo (imperative). The imperative is the form of the verb that you use to order people around (cf. imperō, imperäre to order). In Latin, the active imperative is made as follows: to order one person to do something, take the infinitive of the verb in question and lop off the -re, as in Cerevisiam imperā! (Order a beer!), Vidē supra (See above), Vende ursō cerevisiam! (Sell the bear a beer!), Cape cerevisiam! (Seize the beer!), and Audi mihi! (Hear me, i.e., listen to me!). There are a few exceptions from the eere class, like dic (say!) and fac (do, make!). The way you order more than one person at a time is to add -te to the form of the singular imperative for -äre, -ēre, and -ire verbs-imperāte, vidēte, audite-and, in -ere verbs take the infinitive, lop off the ere, and add -ite - vendite, capite, dicite, facite. pulcher, pulchra, pulchrum pretty forum, fori ( n .) marketplace, public square
stō, stäre, steti to stand; sometimes stō, stäre is used to mean "to be". The present participle is stäns.
förmōsissimus, förmōsissima, förmōsissimum most beautiful; cf. förmōsus, förmōsa, förmōsum beautiful. Such adjectives as förmōsissimus, etc., are called superlatives and are made by taking the oblique (non-nominative singular) stem of an adjective and adding -issimus, -issima, and -issimum. antiquus, antiqua, antiquum old, ancient
nāvis, nāvis (f.) ship gubernātor, gubernātōris (m.) helmsman (which eventually works its way into English as "governor")
dēscendō, dēscendere, dēscendi to descend, get down ut mihi nārrāvit or so he told me; cf. nārrō, nārrāre, nārrāvi to make known, narrate. We'll talk about ut in the next chapter. perveniō, pervenire, pervēni to arrive, reach mirābile visū marvelous to see; cf. mirābile dictū marvelous to say
hōram VII mōnstrat it says seven o'clock; hōra, hōrae (f.) hour; mōnstrō, mōnstrāre, mōnstrāvi to show, make plain rogō, rogäre, rogāvi to ask hōram eandem minimē mōnstrant they don't tell the same time; they tell the same time not at all
opus est nōbis duäbus clepsydris we need two water clocks; literally, there is a need to us for two water clocks, "us" being in the dative and "for two water clocks" being in the ablative sine without (with the ablative) ecce behold

## I. Fabula: "Dē Duābus Clepsydris Morbōniae"

Mercātōri valedicēbat amicus. "Ego in Siciliā eram priōre annō, in Morbōniā, civitāte gentis meae; cum facis iter tuum ad Siciliam i ad civitātem gentis meae. Tōta pulchra est, atque in forō stat förmōsissima et antiqua clepsydra."

Mercātor nāve ad Siciliam trānsiit et gubernātōri dixit, "Dēsiderō ego in civitātem amici mei dēscendere, quia stat in forō clepsydra antiqua atque pulchra, ut mihi nārrāvit."

Ita ad forum civitātis Morbōniae pervēnit: mirābile visū, nōn erat clepsydra sōla sed duae! Ūna hōram VII, altera hōram VI mōnstrāvit. Mercator in tabernam introiit et tabernāriō rogāvit, "Quārē in forō duae clepsydrae stant? Quärē aut hōram VII binae nōn mōnstrant, aut hōram VI nōn mōnstrant ambae?"Respondit mercātōri tabernārius, "Quia hōram eandem minimē mōnstrant, opus est nōbis etiam duābus clepsydris."
II. Translate into English
a. Estne tuum $\bar{A}$ fricānus āgnōmen an cōgnōmen $\bar{A} f r i c a ̄ n u s ~$ vestrum?
b. In civitāte nostrā stat clepsydra, sed nec fōrmōsa nec pulchra est.
c. $\bar{O}$ stulte! Pervēnisti ad cịvitātem gentis nostrae sine calceis tuis!
d. Ecce tū pulchra es, amica mea! Ecce t̄̄ pulchra es! (Song of Songs)
e. Quia fēcistis mihi bona, vōbis clepsydram nostram dō.

## III. Translate into Latin

a. I said farewell to my ancient water clock in Morbonia last year.
b. You need a helmsman, my friends.
c. Why did the fisherman give your shoes to me?
d. You alone are my friend, o bartender.
e. Both of your cities need a water clock, my love!

## CHAPTER VII

## Mood Music



About pre-Christian Roman music we know virtually nothing, since it did not apparently occur to any Roman musicologist to take the time to write the definitive treatise on modern music or even to review the latest on the Roman Top Ten. One suspects that if the Romans had Bach or the Beatles, they were Greek.

The first real light to be shed on the theory and practice of Roman music appears in the Dark Ages, when the people in the treatise-writing business had theories for all occasions and music-or "good" music at least - was analyzed to a fare-thee-well along with practically everything else. One of the more noteworthy treatisewriters of the day was Guido d'Arezzo, who flourished around A.D. 1000 and who gave us a good first version of the names of the notes of the (major) scale: do-re-mi-fa-sol-la-ti-do.

Actually, his names went "ut-re-mi-fa-sol-la" and stopped. The reason why he quit after six instead of going the full eight that we now know and love is that, in the good old days, they had a different strategy for measuring out musical space than the one they use now. We use the octave (the distance between one frequency and two times that frequency) as our musical "foot" and we divide it into twelve equally spaced "inches" (semitones) accordingly. Guido and his associates operated with something called the "hexachord," an acoustic ruler divided as follows: Tone Tone Semitone Tone Tone (where one Tone equals two Semitones), or nine inches by our current system of reckoning. By some judicious fiddling with the ruler, you can move from one measuring system to another without too much difficulty, deriving the octave from two overlapping hexachords:
Do-Re-Mi-FaSol-La-Ti-Do (-Re-Mi . . .)
T T S T T

```
T T S (T T ...)
```

The names of the notes according to d'Arezzo made a nifty mnemonic device for learning all about hexachords: Ut-Re-Mi-Fa-Sol-La happen to be the initial syllables in the first six lines of a
hymn in which each musical line begins one note higher in the hexachord than the last:

Ut queant laxis
Resonäre fibris
Mira gestōrum
Famuli tuōrum
Solve polluti/s]
Labiī/s/ reātum
Säncte Joannes.
"In order that with unrestrained hearts your servants might be able to sing the wonders of your acts, remove the sin from their polluted lips, Saint John." Note the two different uses of the ablative: laxis fibris is an example of the so-called ablative of means; polluti/s/ labiil $s /$, of the ablative of separation.

The relatively unsingable syllable $u t$ was soon replaced by the vastly more mellifluous do (as in dominus) to everyone's satisfaction. But $u t$ was and remained to the last down-home Latin gasp a very handy word, as may be inferred from a glance at the customary several columns devoted to its definition and use in the dictionary. Let us have a closer look.
$U t$, in its meaning of "as," has already been met in ut mihi narrāvit (or so he told me), to which may be compared Ut ursus cerevisiam deesiderat lupus (Like the bear, the wolf wants a beer) and Ut cum ursis, ita cum lupis hominibusque cerevisiä (as with bears, so it is with wolves and men in the matter of beer, in which cerevisiä exemplifies the ablative of specification). All sorts of changes may be rung on $u t$ as "as," but its primary importance is in its meaning of "in order that, in the hope that, would that," as in Ut queant (In order that they might be able) and Ut Morbōniam abeās! (Would that you would go to hell!) When ut appears under its "would that" or "in order that" hat, the verbs that follow it appear in the subjunctive mood.

Subjunctive mood? For all practical purposes, this means special verb forms in four tenses: the present, imperfect, perfect, and pluperfect. The present goes as follows:

|  | 1. | imperem | maneam | dicam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | imperēs | maneās | dicās |
|  | 3. | imperet | maneat | dicat |
|  | 1. | imperēmus | maneāmus | dicāmus |
| PLUR | 2. | imperētis | maneātis | dicātis |
|  | 3. | imperent | maneant | dicant |

Imperō, imperāre to order; maneō, manēre to remain; dicō, dicere to say.

|  | 1. | faciam | audiam | sim | eam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | faciās | audiās | sis | eās |
|  | 3. | faciat | audiat | sit | eat |
|  | 1. | faciāmus | audiāmus | simus | eāmus |
| PLUR | 2. | faciātis | audiātis | sitis | eātis |
|  | 3. | faciant | audiant | sint | eant |

Faciō, facere to do, make; audiō, audire to hear: sum, esse to be; $e \bar{o}$, ire to go.

For all but a very few utterly off-the-wall irregular verbs, you form the present subjunctive in the following way: for non-äre verbs, take the first person singular present active indicative form, i.e., the first principle part, remove the $-\bar{o}$ and add $-a m,-\bar{a} s,-a t,-\bar{a} m u s,-\bar{a} t i s$, -ant: and for - $\bar{a} r e$ verbs, since they have plenty of $a$ s already, take the first principle part, remove the $-\bar{o}$ and add $-e m,-\bar{e} s,-e t,-\bar{e} m u s,-\bar{e} t i s$, -ent-that is, the same endings as the others but with $e(\bar{e})$ instead of $a(\bar{a})$.

On to the imperfect subjunctive, which goes as follows:


What, exactly, is the subjunctive mood and how is it used? Latin has three "moods," that is, manners of expressing action: the indicative, the subjunctive, and the imperative. The imperative, as already suggested, is used for giving commands: Listen to me! (Audi mihi!). The indicative is used for making statements and asking questions: You are listening to me (Audis mihi), Are you listening to me? (Audisne mihi?). The subjective has functions which are like those of both the imperative and the indicative. For example, the subjunctive is customarily used to express a wish: Would that (I wish that) you would listen to me (Ut audiās mihi), not as blunt as a command nor an out-and-out accusation of inattention. Similarly, I'm coming so that (in the hope that) you might listen to me (Veniō ut audiās mihi).

The subjunctive is also used to express doubt or vague possibility: Perhaps he is speaking badly of you (Forsitan malē tē dicat). Again, this is weaker than a direct accusation in which the indicative would be used: Malē tē dicit.

So far, all our examples have come from the present tense. This is not to imply that you can't express lingering doubt about a past event or that you can't wish that something had turned out differently. Both are possible and both generally require the subjunctive. For example, Maybe he went into a cave and not a bar (Forsitan in spēluncam et nōn in tabernam introierit); Would that (I wish that) they had given me your water clock (Utinam clepsydram tuam dedissent mihi); or If only I had arrived more speedily ( $O$ si celerius pervēnissem).
"If only" brings us to the last use of the subjunctive that will be considered here, namely, in conditions contrary to fact. The implication of "If only I had arrived more speedily" is that something which happened as a result of my dawdling wouldn't have or at least might not have. For example, If I had arrived more speedily, they would have left sooner (Si celerius pervēnissem, mātūrius exissent), or If I were a bear, I would be a talking bear (Si ursus essem, ursus fäbulāns essem). Both subjunctives in the first example
are pluperfect. which are the appropriate ones for talking about conditions contrary to fact in the past -if such and such had happened (which it didn't). then such and such else would have happened (which it didn't). Both subjunctives in the second example are imperfect, this being the appropriate tense for talking about conditions contrary to fact in the present -if such were happening now (which it isn't). then such and such else would happen (which it isn't). The present subjunctive in contrary-to-fact conditions is reserved for conditions which, if they aren't actually contrary to fact. are in the realm of idle speculation -if such and such should happen in the future, then such and such else might happen, but it's none too clear that any such thing will in fact happen. We will consider the subjunctive further in the next chapter when we discuss the last of the active indicative forms, the future and future perfect.

## Vocabulary

$u t$ as, in order that, would that.
To say "in order that . . . not" or "would that not," you use nē, as in Ut in ūniversitātem eat nē agrōs vastet! (Let him go to college so that he may not waste the fields!)
queō. quire, quii to be able (conjugated like $e \bar{o}$, ire, ii) laxus, laxa. laxum relaxed, unrestrained
resonō, resonāre, resonāvi to resound
fibra. fibrae (f.) fiber, entrails mirus, mira, mirum wonderful, amazing
gesta, gestōrum (n.) deeds, acts; gesta, gestōrum is a plūräle tantum, that is, something that only appears in the plural.
famulus, famuli (m.) servant solvē̄, solvēre, solvi to loosen, free. dissolve
pollūtus, pollūta, pollūtum fouled
labia, labiōrum (n.) lips; another plūräle tantum
reātus reātūs (f.) sin, charge; this is a fourth declension noun (see Chapter XI). sānctus, sāncta, sānctum sacred lupus, lupi (m.) wolf; the person who appears while being discussed is lupus in fabulă.
forsitan perhaps
malē badly; adverbs in Latin are easy: take a first-second declension adjective, like malus, mala, malum, lop off the ending and add $-\bar{e}$. For third declension adjectives, take the oblique case stem, like celebr-, and add -iter (celebriter famously). The only "regular" adverbs which aren't formed in this way are ones like sapienter (knowingly), which are made from present participles, like sapiēns (gen. sapientis) (knowing). With these, plain -er is added to the oblique case stem. spēlunca, spēluncae (f.) cave utinam would that; utinam is used in place of plain $u t$ in the past
si if
celerius more speedily; this may
look like the neuter nomina-tive-accusative singular comparative form of the
adjective celer, celeris, celere (speedy). The fact is, the nominative-accusative neuter form of the comparative of the adjective and the comparative of the adverb are made the same way in Latin (with the customary one or two exceptions): take the oblique case stem and add -ius.
mātūrius earlier
crescō, crescere, crēvi to grow, increase; Latin has a number of verbs ending in -sco , called inceptives or inchoatives. In PIE, these $-s c \bar{o}$ verbs had the sense of "to begin to" something, e.g., begin to grow.
$\bar{o} s$, öris (n.) mouth
diūtius for a while longer, for a longer time: this is the comparative to diū (for a long time).
avia, aviae (f.) grandmother
barba, barbae (f.) beard
avus, avi (m.) grandfather
silvāticus, silvātica, silvāticum forest-dwelling, wild; cf. silva, silvae (f.) forest frigus, frigoris (n.) the cold nix, nivis (f.) snow mensis, mensis (m.) month
trānscēdō, trānscēdere, trānscessi to go by, pass famescō, famescere, - to become hungry; cf. famēs, famis (f.) hunger, and famēlicus, famēlica, famēlicum famished quoque too, also bōs, bovis (m., f.) ox, cow cibus, cibi (m.) food adferō, adferre, attuli to bring back; ferō in its various forms is a very popular number in Latin. The present indicative active: ferō, fers, fert, ferimus, feritis, ferunt; the imperfect indicative active: ferēbam, ferēbās, etc.; perfect indicative active: tuli, tulisti, etc.; pluperfect indicative active: tuleram, tuleräs, etc.; present subjunctive active: feram, ferās, etc.; imperfect subjunctive active: ferrem, ferrēs, etc.; perfect subjunctive active: tulerim, tuleris, etc.; pluperfect subjunctive
active: tulissem, tulissēs, etc.
euge! Great! Right on! serpēns, serpentis (m.) snake, crawling animal; cf. serpō, serpere, serpsi to creep, crawl
sors, sortis (f.) lot, chance; sortēs dūcere to cast lots (dūcere is conjugated like dicere)
cervus, cervi (m.) deer
lēgō, lēgāre, lēgāvi to delegate, appoint; cf. lēgātus, lēgāti (m.) delegate, lieutenant
turtur, turturis (m.) turtledove, turtle
tenebrae, tenebrärum (f.)
shadows, darkness; another plūräle tantum
nōnnūllus, nōnnūlla, nōnnūllum (gen. nōnnūllius) some, several
$\bar{u} n \bar{a}$ as one, together, at once prope near (with the accusative)
$\nu \bar{x}, \nu \bar{o} c i s$ (f.) voice
porta, -ae (f.) door

## I. Translate into Latin

a. If only they had had a chamber pot!
b. He may be a man or he may not be (i.e., he may well turn out to be a man or he may not), but I wish he would leave my tavern!
c. If an apple tree should grow in your mouth, you would no longer have a mouth but an orchard.
d. If grandma had a beard, she'd be grandpa.
e. If the bear hadn't wanted a beer, he wouldn't have come into the bar.

## II. Fabula

Animālia silvātica diū in spēluncā manēbant propter frigus atque nivem immoderātam. Mensis trānscessit et animalia famescēbant. "Famēlicus ego," dixit ursus. "Ego quoque," dixit bōs. Dixit lupus, "Exeat ūnus ut cibum nōbis adferat." "Euge!" respondit serpēns, "Tū forsitan dēsiderès ire ut cibum adferās?" "Minimē!" respondit alter. "Sortēs dūcāmus," dixit cervus, "ut lēgātum lēgēmus." Ita sorte turturem legā̀vērunt.

Valedixit animālibus turtur et in tenebrās spēluncae abiit. Nōnnüllae hōrae trānscessērant et rogāvit ursus, "Ubi turtur? Famēlicōsus sum!" "Ego quoque," dixit bōs. "Turtur diūtissimē abiit. $O$ si lēgāvissēmus celeriōrem," dixerunt ūnā lupus serpēnsque. Tunc e tenebris prope portam spēluncae vōcem turturis audivērunt: "O amici, si ita malē mē dicātis, hic in spēluncā maneam."

## CHAPTER VIII

## The Future



It is not everybody who can tell you something about the future and have it turn out to be true. The original Indo-Europeans, rather than make frequent liars of each other, seem to have decided that the safest way of talking about the future was in the subjunctive, and a separate future tense could wait to be invented until later when life was bound to be more certain. Or so it seems to have happened, for not only is the future expressed in nearly as many different ways as there are attested Indo-European languages, suggesting that each
has had to shift for itself, but in many cases, one Indo-European's future is another's subjunctive, or something suspiciously like it.

Another trout in the milk is that the future (and future perfect) usually turns out, in languages which still have a subjunctive, to be the one tense of the indicative that doesn't have a corresponding set of subjunctive forms.

Latin appears to have had some difficulty making up its mind as to how to make the future tense from the various parts at hand, and so has opted for two different ways, one for the -äre and -ēre verbs and another for the ere and -ire verbs:

|  |  | -āre | ēre | ere | -ire |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | SING | rogābō | manēbō | dicam | faciam |
|  | 2. | rogābis | manēbis | dicēs | faciēs |
|  | 3. | rogābit | manēbit | dicet | faciet |
|  | 1. | rogābimus | manēbimus | dicēmus | faciēmus |
| PLUR | 2. | rogābitis | manēbitis | dicētis | faciētis |
|  | 3. | rogābunt | manēbunt | dicent | facient |

Rogō. rogāre to ask; maneō, manēre to remain; dicō, dicere to say; faciō, facere to do, make.

SING
1.

1. veniam
2. veniēs
3. veniet feret
4. veniēmus

PLUR 2. veniētis
3. venient ferent
erō
$i b \bar{\square}$
ibis
ibit
erimus ibimus eritis ibitis erunt ibunt

Veniō, venire to come; ferō, ferre to bring. carry: sum, esse to be; eō, ire to go.

The future of the -ere and -ire verbs is just like their present subjunctive, except that in all forms but the first person singular, where
the subjunctive has $a(\vec{a})$, the future has $e(\bar{e})$, something which has made for several millennia of confusion. (Did he say he might do it or did he say he really would? Utrum "faciam" dixit an "faciam"?) The future of the -äre and -ere verbs has never been in doubt, though people have been known to confuse it with the imperfect.

There are more elaborate ways to talk about the future in Latin than with the future tense (for situations in which a confident prediction can be made) or the subjunctive (for the more cautious readings of entrails). These ways mostly involve the use of participles, of which Latin has an impressive supply.

The present participle can be used in what is at least technically a future sense: Seeing the bear, the bartender will greet us quite politely (Ursum vidēns, tabernārius cōmissimē nōs salūtābit). The alternative-or an alternative anyway-would be to say, When he sees the bear (literally, when he will see the bear), the bartender will greet us quite politely (Cum ursum vidēbit, tabernärius cōmissimē nōs salūtābit).

The past participle can be pressed into similar service, but this takes a little more finesse. First, of course, it helps to know what one is. In English, the past participle is what comes right after the verb "to have" in "The turtle has vanished," "He had eaten a mushroom," "Having eaten the mushroom, he vanished," "Having vanished, the turtle then made good his escape," "The turtle has been away for a long time," and the like. It also shows up after the verb "to be" in passive constructions (where the subject always winds up being acted upon by somebody who may not even be in the sentence), like "A mushroom was eaten," "A mushroom has been eaten," "Having been eaten, the mushroom was no longer visible." Sometimes, it shows up all by itself disguised as an adjective, as in "The eaten mushroom is no longer visible" or "The chosen few are too many." It's worth noting that the sense of these constructions with noun modified by past participle is always passive: the eaten mushroom was obviously eaten by somebody, the chosen few were chosen by somebody.

Not for nothing is the Latin past participle known as the perfect passive participle: in Latin, you only get past participles of the "chosen" and "eaten" variety, that is, to verbs which can be used passively: be chosen, be eaten. (A verb like $\bar{e} v a \bar{n} e s c \bar{o}, \bar{e} v a \bar{a} n e s c e r e, ~ t o ~$ vanish, would never have a past participle in Latin because you can't be vanished in Latin any more than you can in English. Being chosen and, heaven forbid, eaten is another story entirely.)

The way you make the past participle in Latin is to look up the verb in question and note its fourth (and, you will be pleased to know, final) principal part. This should end in either -tum or -sum and is called the supine, whose characteristics will be discussed in Chapter XI. (If the fourth principal part ends in -ürus, hastily close the dictionary and forget what you have seen: this is an imposter whose presence tells you that the verb in question doesn't have a past participle.) Lop off the -um and add the endings for adjectives of the bonus, bona, bonum variety. Thus, lēgō, lēgāre, lēgāvi. lēgātum (to delegate, appoint) has lēgātus, lēgāta, lēgātum (delegated, appointed) as its past participle, and edō, èsse, èdi, èssum (to eat) has èssus, èssa, èssum (eaten). Note: just because a verb has a fourth principal part that ends in -tum or -sum, that doesn't automatically give you license to make past participles from it: the verb has to be of the sort that can be used passively.

There is a shortcut to the fourth principal part of at least some kinds of verbs that allows you to bypass the dictionary. (Any shortcut to the fourth principal part can easily be converted to an even shorter cut to the past participle, as you have no doubt already observed, but we'll plug along on the middle path because the fourth principal part is good to know about, as it serves as the base for a number of different parts of speech.) The -äre and -ire verbs regularly form the supine (the fourth principal part) by dropping the -re of the infinitive and adding -tum, as in salūtātum from salūtāre and auditum from audire. Some -ère verbs work this way too, though some drop the -ēre as a whole and add -itum instead, and still others do even stranger things. For -ēre verbs, you might well check the dictionary. The ere verbs generally drop the eere
and add -tum and that's all there is to it. except for some minor phonetic adjustments. Thus, factum from facere, but actum from agō, agere, or versum from vertō, vertere (to turn). The -ere verbs, like the -ere verbs, don't always work the way they're supposed to, but no language is perfect.

The past participle can be used to express the future in the following way: The mushroom having been eaten, the turtle will vanish (Fungō ēssō, turtur ēvānēscet). For the rather cumbersome "The mushroom having been eaten," read "When the mushroom has been eaten" or "With the mushroom eaten." This construction, made from a noun and a past participle in the ablative, is called an ablative absolute and was an old favorite among the Romans. (Actually, you can make an ablative absolute with two nouns: Turture agricolā, rārō edēmus. With the turtle as farmer, that is, as long as the turtle is farmer. we will seldom eat.)

There are two more participial possibilities in Latin, both of which get used to talk about the future. One of these, the future participle, is essentially active in meaning, while the other, the gerundive. is essentially passive. The future participle is made by taking the fourth principal part, if it ends in -tum or -sum, removing the $-u m$ and adding $-\bar{u} r$ plus the endings for adjectives of the bonus, bona, bonum type. This - $\bar{u}$ happens to be the "ur" of the word future, futṻrus (futūra, futūrum) being none other than the future participle of the verb "to be" (sum, esse).

The future participle in Latin is used much as "about to" is in English: Ursus imperātūrus est cerevisiam (The bear is about to order a beer), Animälia turturem ēssüra sunt (The animals are about to eat the turtle), and so on.

The gerundive, sometimes known as the future passive participle, is formed by taking the nominative singular form of the present participle, removing its final $s$ and adding $d$ plus the endings for adjectives of the bonus, bona, bonum variety. Thus, salūtandus, salūtanda, salütandum, worthy to be greeted, as in Ursus cōmissime $\bar{e}$ salūtandus est (The bear is to be greeted as politely as possible, The bear should be greeted as politely as possible, It is necessary that
the bear be greeted as politely as possible, The bear has yet to be greeted as politely as possible, and so on).

The only tense of the indicative that we have not yet met is the future perfect, which is made by taking the stem of the perfect (the third principal part less the final $-i$ ) and adding the endings erō, eris, -erit, -erimus, eritis, -erint. All but the last of these endings look suspiciously like the future forms of the verb sum, esse, which they probably are. Thus: dixerō, dixeris, dixerit, dixerimus, dixeritis, dixerint (I will have said, you will have said, and so forth).

## Vocabulary

$\bar{e} v a ̄ n e s c \bar{o}, \bar{e} \nu a ̄ n e s c e r e, ~ \overline{e v} \bar{\imath} n u i$.
-to vanish
edō, edere (ēsse), èdi, ēssum
(esum) to eat: this verb has the pieces of two different conjugations in its bag of tricks. The present active indicative is: ed $\bar{o}, \bar{e} s$ (edis), $\overline{e s t}$ (edit), edimus, ēstis (editis), edunt; you make (or recognize) the other forms by treating ed $\bar{o}$, edere, ēdì, èssum as though it were a regular ere verb (remember about $d$ plus $t$ winding up as $s$ ), except maybe for the long vowel in the fourth principal part, but it's only fair to say that lots of fourth principal parts have long vowels where they
might have been expected to have short ones. (This little-understood phenomenon is the ostensible result of something called Lachmann's Rule, Lachmann's because someone named Lachmann thought it up, and Rule-as opposed to Law-because nobody really believes it.) If you run across any forms that look as though they belonged to sum, esse but have a long $e$, they go here. vertō, vertere, verti, versum to turn (around), to change; cf. versus against; and recto (the regular, correct, right side) vs. verso (the flip side) fungus, fungi (m.) mushroom
rärō seldom, rarely
petasus, petasi (m.) hat
autem on the other hand, moreover, however
exspectō, exspectāre, exspectāvi, exspectātum (sometimes just plain exp-) to await
$m \bar{e}$ reditūrum i.e., me who is about to return
hiems, hiemis (f.) winter, stormy, cold season
aestās, aestātis (f.) summer
Via Appia, Viae Appiae (f.) Appian Way, a road that ran from Rome down the coast through Naples to Brindisi, begun by one Appius Claudius Caecus
proximo $\overline{d i e}$ on the next day
saltō, saltāre, saltāvi, - to dance, jump
lūna, lūnae (f.) moon caniculus, caniculi (m.) little dog; -ulus, -ula, -ulum is a popular Latin diminutive suffix
rideō, ridēre, risì, risum to laugh
lūcerna, lūcernae (f.) lamp; cf. lūx, lūcis (f.) light and
lūcifer, lūcifera, lūciferum
light-bearer, light-bringer
pendō, pendere, pependi, pēnsum to cause to hang, to hang
fenestra, fenestrae (f.) window Alba Longa, Albae Longae (f.) The city, as legend has it, where Romulus and Remus were born; hence the mother of Rome.
peregrinus, peregrini (m.) foreigner, pilgrim
vestiō, vestire, vestīi, vestitum
to clothe, dress
etrūscus, etrūsca, etrūscum
Etruscan
dūcō, dūcere, dūxi, ductum to lead
crapšti the epithet craps $t i$ is never fully explained explicō, explicāre, explicāvi, explicātum to unfold, explain; cf. plicō, plicāre, plicāvi, plicātum to fold omnis, omne (gen.omnis) all, every; pl. everybody mendāx, mendācis (m.) liar vēritās, vēritātis (f.) truth; cf. vērō truly, in truth

## I. Translate into English

a. Factūrus sum iter ad Morbōniam nāve, ut iter faciendum est. Iter ita faciendum est quia Morbōnia in insulā est.
b. Petasus meus triquetrus;

Triquetrus petasus meus.
Si nōn esset triquetrus, Nōn esset ēssendus.
c. Exibō etiam; t̄̄̄ autem hic manēbis ut exspectēs mē reditūrum.
d. Calceōs nostrōs hieme edere poterimus calceis vestris in aestāte èssis.
e. Si nocte pervēnerint, Via Appiā ierimus; si proximō diē vī̄a dē Morbōnia.

## II. Translate into Latin

a. If my hat didn't have three corners (if my hat weren't threecornered), it would be grandpa.
b. If a man will ask a man "Are you a bear, or are you a man?" the other will rarely say "I am a bear."
c. If the cow is not able to jump over the moon, the little dog will not be able to laugh seeing the cow jumping over the moon.
d. If the soldiers are going to come by the Appian Way, one lamp is to be hung in the window of the tower.
e. If, however, they are going to come by the road from Morbonia, a plucked chicken is to be thrown over the wall of the university.
III. Fabula: "Ubi Alba Longa?"

Peregrinus Rōmā ad Albam Longam iter faciēbat. Ad trivium
pervēnerat ubi sedentēs duōs hominēs vidit vestitōs modō Etrūscōrum. Ambōbus salūtātis, rogāvit peregrinus: "Via ad dexteramme dūcet ad Albam Longam?" Dixit ūnus: "Crapšti."

Explicāvit alter ridēns: "Amicus meus dixit 'Sic,'sed, ut omnēs in terrā etrū̀scā, mendāx est."

Scienda est aut necne peregrinō vēritās?

## CHAPTERIX

## Demonstrative Pronouns:

## This, That, and the Others



Caesar tells us in the opening line of $\bar{D} \bar{e}$ Bell $\bar{O}$ Gallic $\bar{o}$ that Gaul was a totality which was divided into three parts (Gallia est omnis divisa in partēs trēs). This useful piece of information was undoubtedly placed at the very beginning of the book because the author was, if nothing else, a clever strategist: catch the reader's eye with a good opener, and you've got him. And if there was anything dearer to a Roman's heart and mind than the number two, it was surely the number three. It's a safe bet in any case that if Caesar had started his book, "Gaul is a totality which is divided into several parts, I don't know, say, seventeen or eighteen or so," it would never have received the widespread critical acclaim that it has over the millennia since its first edition.

In any event, three was, as numbers went, a heavy one for speakers of Latin in general, and in particular for the legions of the Roman army, which carried the Latin language into Gaul. For a legion (legiō, legiönis) was itself a totality which was divided into three parts: the infantry, or foot soldiers (peditēs, peditum), the cavalry, or horse soldiers (equitēs, equitum), and the auxiliary troops, or help soldiers (auxilia, auxiliörum). The last included specialists in such popular instruments of destruction as the catapult (catapulta, catapultae), the sling (funda, fundae), and the arrow (sagitta, sagittae). Foot soldiers largely made do with the lance (pilum, pili) and the double-edged sword (gladius, gladii). Interestingly enough, the bulk of the cavalry and auxilia was, by Caesar's time, made up of non-Romans, people who had in fact been conquered by the Romans. The infantry was probably in it for the money, which gives you an idea of the sort of Latin that the army took with it on its travels.

Originally, the army had been made up of land-holding citizens (as opposed to just anybody, like slaves and the poor). But that was in the days when the army was essentially a defensive organization. When the powers that were got around to taking the offensive, army life rapidly lost its appeal, and the requirement that catapult fodder
had to be well-to-do was quietly swept under the rug, though, technically, you still had to be a citizen to get out there and fight.

So the army, like Gaul, was a collection of these guys, those guys, and the other guys. The way you distinguish among them from the safety of your armchair is with the following pronouns, called demonstratives because they point out (show) which is which. The first means "this, this one, this-here (these, these ones, these-here)."

## SINGULAR

|  | MASC | FEM | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | hic | haec | hoc |
| GEN | huius | huius | huius |
| DAT | huic | huic | huic |
| ACC | hunc | hanc | hoc |
| ABL | hōc | hāc | hōc |

## PLURAL

|  | MASC | FEM | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | hi | hae | haec |
| GEN | hōrum | hārum | hōrum |
| DAT | his | his | his |
| ACC | hōs | hās | haec |
| ABL | his | his | his |

With "this" may be contrasted two kinds of "that." Ille, illa, illud means "that, that one, that-there (those, those ones, thosethere)." Iste, ista, istud originally meant "that near you, that one over by you," but it later came to have a pejorative flavor to it, at about which time the army took it far and wide, soldiers' vocabulary being no more genteel then than it is now.

## SINGULAR

MASC
FEM
NEUT

| NOM | ille | iste | illa | ista | illud | istud |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | illius | istius | illius | istius | illius | istius |
| DAT | ill | ist | illi | ist $\bar{i}$ | illi | isti |
| ACC | illum | istum | illam | istam | illud | istud |
| ABL | ill $\overline{0}$ | ist $\overline{0}$ | illa | istā | ill $\overline{0}$ | ist $\overline{0}$ |

PLURAL

## MASC

| NOM | illi | isti | illae | istae | illa | ista |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | illōrum | istōrum | illārum | istārum | illōrum | istōrum |
| DAT | illis | istis | illis | istis | illis | istis |
| ACC | illōs | istōs | illās | istās | illa | ista |
| ABL | illis | istis | illis | istis | illis | istis |

Alius, alia, aliud (other) is declined like these "thats," except that, of course, the masculine nominative singular form, alius, ends in -us and not $-e$.

Finally, it wouldn't be Latin if there weren't a demonstrative that is neither "this" nor "that," but a neutral combination of the two (this, that, he, she, it). Such is is, ea, id:

## SINGULAR

| MASC | FEM | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| is | ea | id |
| eius | eius | eius |
| eī | ei | ei |
| eum | eam | id |
| eō | eà | ē̄ |

PLURAL
MASC FEM NEUT

| NOM | eī | eae | ea |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | eōrum | eārum | eōrum |
| DAT | eis | eis | eis |
| ACC | eōs | eās | ea |
| ABL | eīs | eīs | eis |

And as is, ea, id goes, so goes "the same" (idem, eadem, idem):

|  |  | SINGULAR |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | MASC | FEM | NEUT |
| NOM | idem | eadem | idem |
| GEN | eiusdem | eiusdem | eiusdem |
| DAT | eidem | eidem | eidem |
| ACC | eundem | eandem | idem |
| ABL | eōdem | eādem | eōdem |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PLURAL |  |
| NOM | eīdem | eaedem | eadem |
| GEN | eōrundem | eārundem | eōrundem |
| DAT | eisdem | eisdem | eisdem |
| ACC | eōsdem | eāsdem | eadem |
| ABL | eisdem | eīsdem | eisdem |

## Vocabulary

gallicus, gallica, gallicum Gallic pars, partis (f.) part, portion legiō, legiōnis (f.) legion; a
legion was as large a unit as
there was (short of army, or
maybe two legions) in the
Roman army. Typically, an
imperātor (gen.imperātōris)
or general (the guy who gives
the orders) commanded two
or more legions. A legion
was composed of ten co-
hortēs, a cohors being a
company of three manipuli,
a manipulus being a division
of two centuriae, a centuria
being, as the name implies, a
company of one hundred men.
pedes, peditis (m.) foot soldier,
infantryman
eques, equitis (m.) horseman,
member of the cavalry; cf.
equus, equi (m.) horse
auxilium, auxilii (n.) aid, help;
auxilia, auxiliōrum helps,
i.e., extra help
catapulta, catapultae (f.) catapult
funda, fundae (f.) sling
sagitta, sagittae (f.) arrow
pilum, pili ( n .) lance
gladius, gladii (m.) sword
hic, haec, hoc this, this one ille, illa, illud that, that one iste, ista, istud that near you, that one by you alius, alia, aliud other is, ea, id this, that, he, she, it idem, eadem, idem same Caesar, Caesaris (m.) Caesar fossa, fossae (f.) ditch, trench;
a Roman camp (castra, castrōrum, literally, forts) typically consisted of a square area surrounded by a trench (fossa) beyond which was a rampart (agger, aggeris) made of the dirt that formerly lived in the fossa. On top of the agger was constructed a stockade called a vallum, so called because it was made of valli (pikes).
fodiō, fodere, födi, fossum to dig
centuriō, centuriōnis (m.) centurion, commander of a centuria; the chief centurion was called a primipilus. Above him in rank were the tribūni militum of which there were six per legion. Above the tribūni was the lēgātus (literally, delegate),
centuriō, continued and above him, the quaestor. A quaestor was originally a provincial governor's chief of staff in charge of financial affairs. (Caesar was sent to Spain as quaestor early in his career to straighten out that province's finances.) But the luckier quaestōrēs got to lead troops into battle. Above the quaestor was the praetor.
dum while
facillimē most easily; cf. facilis, facile (gen.facilis) easy
pugnus, pugni (m.) fist; pug-
num facere to make a fist pro before, in front of, for (with the ablative) fortis, forte (gen. fortis) strong; fortissime most strongly, as strongly as possible feriō, ferïre, -, -, to hit, smite removeō, removēre, remōvi, remōtum to remove, move back, withdraw dolor, dolōris (m.) pain, anguish
nunc now intellegēns (gen. intellegentis)
intelligent, smart
I. Translate into English
a. In hāc tabernā imperāvit cerevisiam Caesar; in illā, P̄̄thagorās.
b. O si legiōnēs eius Morbōniam abissent!
c. Pedes stultus in istā catapultā erat.
d. Nōnnūlli equitēs in hōs agrōs gallicōs iērunt; aliī autem in pōmärium cucurrērunt.
e. Turture lēgātō, cervus hunc manipulum imperābit; tū, $\bar{o} l u p e$, istum.
II. Translate into Latin
a. If you've seen this turtle (this turtle having been seen), you've seen them all.
b. It's the same bear in a different story.
c. These two bears are not the same bears, but they are brothers.
d That other bear is not their brother but their mother.
e. The Gallic legions are in that ditch; those of yours are in an apple tree.

III. Fäbula

Duo peditēs legiōnis Caesaris fossam fodiēbant. Dixit ūnus alterō, "Nönne vidēs centuriōnem stantem super aggerem castrōrum? Mē rogābam: 'Quārē super aggerem stat ille, dum nōs in hāc fossā fodimus?' " Respondit ei alter, "Hoc nōn sciō. Ut rogēs eum."

Pedes ad centuriōnem vēnit et rogāvit eum, "Quārē super aggerem stās dum nōs in illā fossā fodimus?" Respondit ei centuriō, "Hoc facillimē dēmonstrandum est. "Centuriō pugnum fēcit prō vallō, dicēns pediti, "Pugnum meum fortissimè feri."Pedes pugnum centuriōnis feritūrus erat cum alter celerrimē pugnum remōvit. Pedes ergō fortissimē vallum ferivit. Dolor! Dixit centuriō, "Nunc scisne quārē stō ego super aggerem dum vōs in illā fossā foditis? Ego intellegēns, vōs stulti." "Sic," respondit pedes, et rediit in fossam.

Amicus eius rogāvit eum, "Centuriō dīxitne tibi quärē super aggerem stat ille dum nōs in fossā fodimus?" "Vērō," respondit ei alter. "Facillimē est dèmonstrandum." Et pugnum facièns prō ōre dixit amicō, "Pugnum meum fortissimē feri."

## CHAPTER X

## Leading Questions, Complex Sentences or, Before the Law



Roman law and the administration of Roman justice was not always (if ever) all that it has subsequently been cracked up to have been. Before 450 B.C.. the custodians of the law were the ruling nobles, the patricii. These worthies took their job very seriously and so conspired to keep the average Joe, or plēbs, from finding out just what the law was. Since (perhaps not altogether surprisingly) the average Joe was the one who almost invariably seemed to wind up being the defendant, the plēbēs soon began to ask such questions as "What is the law?" ("Quid est lëx?'), "Who'll keep an eye on the keepers of the law?" ("Quis custōdiet lëgis custödēs?'), and "Who's the law for anyway?" ("Quibus etiam est lēx?').

These questions represented a major breakthrough, for it was now clear that the plēbés had gotten their hands on the interrogative pronoun ("who?", "what?"), which is declined as follows:

## SINGULAR

## MASC

NOM quis

GEN cuius
DAT cui
ACC quem
ABL
quō

## PLURAL

| NOM | quī | quae | quae |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | quōrum | quārum | quōrum |
| DAT | quibus | quibus | quibus |
| ACC | quōs | quās | quae |
| ABL | quibus | quibus | quibus |

It also meant that the plēbēs were not going to put up with this situation too much longer. The nobles hastily suggested that a com-
mittee be set up to study the matter, and in short order the law was written down for all to see and understand (assuming that everybody could read; by and large, of course, they couldn't). The laws were written down on twelve bronze tablets and placed in the center of town. Actually, the process took two steps. First, the laws were written down on ten bronze tablets and placed in the center of town. Later, two tablets of supplementary laws were added to take care of some things that had slipped everybody's mind earlier but which, fortunately, had been spotted in the nick of time by one sharp-witted ruler or another.

The law applied to Roman citizens. While the Roman Empire consisted of only the city of Rome, this restriction inconvenienced only slaves, children, and, to an extent, women. When Rome began to expand, gobbling up widely flung territories inhabited by people who already had their own laws, the plan was that local law would apply but would be administered by the Rome-appointed governor. This eventually gave way to a new and improved system, from the Romans' point of view, at least: citizenship for virtually everybody.

But, down to brass (or bronze) tacks, the actual comeuppancing of wrongdoers. First, you have to ask "Who dunnit?" ("Quis fécit istud? ') and "What did he (she) do?" ("Quid fécit ille (illa)?'), using, in so doing, the interrogative pronouns just presented. With any luck, the culprit will step forward, saying, "It's my fault!" ("Mea culpa!') or, more likely, "Behold the wrongdoer: that guy!" ('Ecce malefactor: ille!')

There are more elegant possibilities. For example, 'Behold the evildoer who did the evil deed!" ( 'Ecce malefactor qui maleficium commisit! ") or "Behold the evildoer whom ye seek!" ("Ecce malefactor quem quaeritis!'), for which at least a passing acquaintance with the relative pronouns is required.

The relative pronouns are those "whos," "whoms," "whats," "whiches," and "thats" that show up in statements like "I know who did it," "I know to whom it was done," "I know what he did," "The evil deed, which I saw, was done by George," and "The evil that men do is not to be known." They may be contrasted
with their close cousins, the interrogative pronouns, which show up in questions, like "Who did it?" "What did the culprit do?" "To whom did he do it?" While in English, these "cousins" are so close as to require a chromosome count to distinguish the one from the other; in Latin, they speak, if not for themselves, for whom?

## SINGULAR

## MASC

NOM qui
GEN cuius
DAT cui
ACC quem
ABL quō

FEM
quae
cuius
cui
quam
quā
PLURAL
NOM qui

GEN quōrum
DAT quibus
ACC quōs
ABL quibus
quae
quārum
quibus
quās
quibus

NEUT
quod cuius
cui
quod
quō
quae
quōrum
quibus
quae
quibus

You cannot neglect the nominative, accusative, and ablative singular. But if you've troubled to learn the interrogative pronouns (already?), you are home free, which is more than Roman slaves, children, and women were.

For the culprit whose grasp of Latin is tenuous, there are other no less elegant things that can be said when stepping forward to accuse the just fellow of the misdeed. For example, "Sciō illum malefactōrem esse" ("I know that he's the evildoer," literally, "I know him to be the evildoer"). This is called indirect statement and is a favorite Latin construction. It starts off with the subject knowing, perceiving, thinking, or just plain saying that somebody (in the accusative) did something. The doing something is expressed
in this kind of sentence with an infinitive (or, sometimes, with a participle plus an infinitive).

Latin has three kinds of infinitive: present active, present passive, and perfect active. The present active infinitive is the one that ends in -re, as in salūtāre (to greet), dicere (to say). and the like. (The corresponding passives "to be greeted," "to be said," and the like will be considered in Chapter XII.) The present infinitives are used in indirect statement when the action being known, perceived, thought, or said is roughly contemporaneous with the knowing, perceiving, thinking, or saying in question. Thus: Putō illum adulterum esse (I think that he's an adulterer), in which the thinking and the being are both going on now; Dixi illum adulterum esse (I said that he was an adulterer), in which the saying and the being are both past; and Dicēs illum adulterum esse (You will say that he is an adulterer), in which everything is in the murky future.

The perfect active infinitive is formed by taking the perfect stem and adding -isse, as in salūtāvisse (to have greeted) and dixisse (to have said). This is used in indirect statement when the action being reported is prior to the reporting. Thus: Putō illum adulterum fuisse (I know that he was an adulterer); Dixi illum adulterum fuisse (I said that he had been an adulterer); and Dicēs illum adulterum fuisse (You will say that he was an adulterer).

Indirect statement in which the reported action is to take place (or is to have taken place) after the time of the report uses the future participle plus the infinitive esse (to be). Thus: Putō illum adulterum futūrum esse (I know that he's going to be an adulterer); Dixi illum adulterum futūrum esse (I said that he was going to be an adulterer); and Dicēs illum adulterum futūrum esse (You will say that he's going to be an adulterer).

Incidentally, in case you were wondering whether Latin is ambiguous, as is English, when it comes to such statements as "He knows that he did it" and "She said she'd do it" (where there's some question as to how many different people are actually involved), the answer is no. (Obviously, the problem only arises in the third person, since there's only one "me" and only one "you" or
"youse" on any given occasion.) To handle such cases, Latin has a set of reflexive pronouns, that is, pronouns that are used when the subject of a sentence is seen lurking about elsewhere in the sentence: "She said that she (herself) would do it," "He ordered a beer for himself (but nothing for me)," and the like. The third person reflexive pronoun goes as follows:

SINGULAR
NOM
GEN sui

DAT sibi
ACC sē (or sēsē)
ABL sē (or sēsē)

## PLURAL

sui
sibi
sē (or sēsē)
sē (or sēsē)

The possessive pronoun that goes with "himself, herself, itself, themselves" is suus, sua, suum. Thus: Dixit sē adulterum esse (He said he was an adulterer, that is, he said that he himself was an adulterer). with which may be contrasted Dixit eum adulterum esse (He said that he was an adulterer, that is, he said that somebody else was an adulterer). Likewise, Dicunt sē adulterōs nōn esse (They say that they themselves aren't adulterers), with which may be contrasted Dicunt illōs adulterōs nōn esse (They say that those guys aren't adulterers).

Let us briefly return to our culprit, or to someone like him, for two final observations. The culprit may say, as he quietly disappears, "Whoever did this is certainly a bad guy" ("Quis fécit istud malefactor est $v \bar{e} r \bar{o} ')$, for which something called indefinite pronouns is or are necessary, the words that mean "whoever, whatever, whomever. someone, anyone," and so on. Indefinite pronouns in Latin. fortunately, look exactly like the interrogatives, and you know they are not interrogatives because there's no question mark at the end of the sentence. (Actually, that's not quite right, if you're splitting hairs, though "Would anyone (someone) like a beer?" is
really the same as "Who (if anyone) would like a beer?") The indefinites (quis, quid) are routinely confused with "he who" and "she who" who, when in Rome, go under the name of qui, quae, quod. Thus: Qui fēcērunt ista malefactōrēs sunt (Whoever did these things are bad guys, or, Those who did these things are bad guys).

Alternatively, the culprit may ask, "Mihi licetne advocätum advocäre?"("May I call a lawyer?")

## Vocabulary

patricius, patricii (m.) patrician, noble; cf. patria, patriae (f.) fatherland, country
plēbs, plēbis (f.) plebeian, commoner
quis. quid who?, what? (interrogative pronoun)
lēx. lēgis (f.) law: lēx duodecim tabulärum the law of the Twelve Tables
custōs, custōdis (m.. f.) guard, keeper: cf. custōdiō, custōdīre, custōdīvi, custōditum to guard, keep watch
culpa, culpae (f.) guilt, fault malefactor, malefactōris (m.) evildoer
qui, quae, quod who, which (relative pronoun)
putō, putāre, putāvi, putātum to think
sui, etc. himself, herself, itself, themselves (third person reflexive pronoun)
suus, sua, suum his, her, its, their (reflexive: in "He ate his lunch," if it was his own lunch, it would be suus; if someone else's, eius, the genitive of is, ea. id). Third persons are often hard to tell apart. A useful aid is the demonstrative pronoun ipse, ipsa. ipsum (self, that very) which is declined like ūnus, ūna, ūnum.
quis, quid whoever. whatever, somebody, something (indefinite pronoun): cf. tertium quid a third something or whatsis
qui, quae, quod he who, she who, etc. This is really no more than a slightly elliptical use of these guys in their regular hats, namely, as relative pronouns: the "he" or "she" or whatever has merely fallen by the wayside.
licet, licēre, licuit, -(only in the third person singular and infinitive) to be allowed, permitted (with the dative): mihi licet I am allowed, hominibus licet men are allowed, and so on. $a d v o c \bar{o}, a d v o c a ̄ r e, ~ a d v o c a ̄ v i$, advocātum to summon, call (especially to one's aid); advocātus, advocāti (m.) someone summoned (to aid you), specifically, a lawyer; cf. also $v \bar{o} x, v \bar{o} c i s$ (f.) voice
nōlō, nōlle, nōlui, - to not wish, to not want; the imperatives nōlī and nōlite are often used to tell somebody not to do something (You don't want to do that, do you). Nōlō and company are perhaps best approached through volō, velle, volui, -to wish, want, which is conjugated as follows: present active indicative volō,
vis, vult, volumus, vultis, volunt; imperfect active indicative volēbam, volēbās, etc.; future active volam, volēs, volet, volēmus, volētis, volent; the perfect and pluperfect active indicative and future perfect active are perfectly regular, as are the imperfect, perfect, and pluperfect active subjunctive. The present active subjunctive is: velim, velis, velit, velimus, velītis, velint. Nōō is conjugated just like volō except that where volō has vol-, nōlō has nōl-, the one exception being the present active indicative: nōlō, nōn vis, nōn vult, nōlumus, nōn vultis, nōlunt. Actually, it's only half an exception, as you can see.
aes, aeris ( n .) copper, bronze; something made of copper or bronze, e.g., a bell scribō, scribere, scripsi, scriptum to write
$n \bar{e} m \bar{o}$ nobody is declined thus:
nēmō, nūllius, nèmini, nēminem, nūllō (m., n.) and nüllā (f.). The plural of nūllus, nūlla, nūllum is used for more than one nobody at a time.
nihil (indeclinable) nothing cf. nihilum, nihili ( n .), which also signifies "nothing" petasātus, petasāta, petasātum wearing a hat, behatted pōnō, pōnere, posui, positum to put, place
clāvus, clāvi (m.) nail, peg caput, capitis ( n .) head praetor, praetōris (m.) magistrate. In precolonial days, the praetor was the man in charge of administering justice. As Rome expanded, there got to be two varieties of praetor, the praetor urbānus, who took care of cases involving Roman citizens, and the praetor peregrinus, who handled disputes among foreigners. tenebricōsus, tenebricōsa, tenebricōsum dark; cf.
tenebrae, tenebrärum darkness, shadows
niger, nigra, nigrum black
albus, alba, album white; petasi . . . vel nigri vel albi the hats are black or white, i.e., some may be black and some may be white, or they may all be black or white fax, facis (f.) torch primus, prima, primum first color, colōris (m.) color discō, discere, didici, - to learn, figure out secundus, secunda, secundum second
perpetuitās, perpetuitātis (f.) perpetuity; ad perpetuitātem forever
lātum fourth principle part of
ferō, ferre, tuli, lātum to carry, bring
dēnique at last euhoe! hooray!
I. Translate into English.
a. Nōlī rogāre quid faciat tibi patria tua, sed cui resonet aes.
b. Dīxērunt sē Etrūscōs esse, sed mendācēs vērō sunt.
c. "Quod scripsi, scripsi"(Pilate).
d. Si quis roget "Quis est iste?" respondè "Nēmō;"'si "Quid facit?" "Nihil."
e. Introiēns petasātus eram

Petasum posui in clāvō;
Exiēns aut petasātus erō
Aut caput cuius feriam.
II. Translate into Latin.
a. He said that it was his own fault, but it wasn't.
b. If anybody should think that you had spoken ill of me, he can (let him) go to hell.
c. They said that whatever would be would be, but it wasn't.
d. A man is allowed to call a lawyer; a wolf is allowed to call a snake.
e. In which cask is the beer to be put?

## III. Fabula

Tribus malefactōribus dixit praetor, "In spēluncam tenebricōsam $\nu \bar{s}$ dūcam. In spēluncā (in quā nihil videndum est propter tenebrās), in capitibus vestris petasōs pōnam. Petasi quōs in capitibus vestris pōnam vel nigri vel albi erunt. Petasis in capitibus positis, ego exibō. Redibō facem ferēns. Si quis petasum nigrum videat, pugnum prō $\bar{o} r e ~ f a c i a t . ~ I l l o ̄ ~ q u i ~ p r i m u s ~ c o l o ̄ r e m ~ p e t a s i ~ s u i ̀ ~ d i s c a t ~ l i c e ̄ b i t ~ e x i r e: ~$ liber erit. Secundō tertiōque autem exire nōn licēbit: illì in spēluncā manēbunt ad perpetuitātem."

Ita fuit. Face lātā, trēs ūnā pugnōs prō ōre fēcērunt. Nēmō autem exiit, quia nēmo colōrem petasi sui didicit. Dēnique ūnus ex eis ad portam spēluncae cucurrit "Euhoe! Euhoe!" exclāmāns. Quis est color petasi istius?

## CHAPTER XI

## Mūtātīs Mūtandīs

## Or,

## The Expanding Woodwork



Sooner or later, generally sooner, the student of Latin angrily discovers that Latin involves learning one hell of a lot of grammar. Traditional responses to this lamentation have tended to range from "If you can't stand the heat, stay out of the kitchen" to "What if your face froze like that?"

With the advent of modern linguistic theory, two further responses have made their appearance: "All languages, on close in-
spection, turn out to be of equal complexity, so Latin is no worse than any other"; and "Languages tend to change, over time, in the direction of greater simplicity, so be thankful that you don't have to learn Proto-Indo-European." (The third thinkable view of linguistic entropy - that languages tend to change, over time, in the direction of greater complexity-has been thought to be about as congruent as the notion that the longer the system runs, the less energy is required to keep it rolling.)

One thing is fairly certain: Latin has done away with a number of horrors that you would have to learn if you were studying Proto-Indo-European. We have already tendered our respects (in Chapter III) on the sad passing of the dual. Proto-Indo-European clearly distinguished among the singular, dual, and plural in nouns, adjectives, and verbs. Eventually, the Latini and practically everybody else said, "Enough is enough, and dual is plural," throwing out the old dual endings - keeping only a few souvenirs, like the declension of "two" and "both"-and swelling the ranks of the plurals. This is the usual linguistic scenario: keep one or two traces of the original and throw the rest in with the never more logical grammar that ultimately prevails. This makes the traces irregular where they used to be quite regular. Sic friat crustulum (Thus crumbleth the little cake).

Other instances of this process of regularizing are legion. Proto-Indo-European seems to have had a "perfect" and an "aorist" in its verbal system that have been lumped together in the Latin perfect, which is regular to the extent of having one set of endings and, for some kinds of verbs, a rule of thumb that tells you how to get the stem from something else that you presumably already know. The imperative mood offers a similar, somewhat watered-down example of this apparent grammatical must. We've already encountered "Hey, do it yourself" and "Hey, do it yourselves" in Latin. Proto-Indo-European undoubtedly had, in addition to these, third person imperatives, like "Hey, he should do it himself," "Hey, let him do it himself," "Hey, they should do it themselves," "Hey, let them do it themselves." These are found in older Latin, Greek, and their
grizzled contemporaries, but generally get replaced by the subjunctive later. If PIE had a set of first person imperatives - "I should do it myself," "We should do it ourselves"-these have been shunted off into the subjunctive or, in the languages fortunate enough to have preserved it, the optative; or both. (The less said about the optative, the better.)

The way, incidentally, that older Latin made the third person imperatives, "let him" and "let them," was as follows. First of all, they called them "future imperatives" and had a pair of second person forms to go with them. The way you made the second and third person singular (which were identical in form) was to take the third person present active indicative form of the verb and add $-\bar{o}$. In the case of -äre, -erre, and -ire verbs, you also had to lengthen the theme vowel: Cerevisiam imperātō! (Thou shalt order a beer! Let him order a beer!), Hic manētō! (You will stay here! Let him stay here!), Mihi audito! (You'd better listen to me! He'd better listen to me!) as against Ursum capitō! (You must seize the bear! He must seize the bear!) and Ursō dicitō "Vālē!"'(Say "good-bye" to the bear! He must say "good-bye" to the bear!). To make the second person plural, you merely add -te to the second person singular: Cerevisiam imperātōte! (You-all will have to order the beer!), and the like. The third person plural takes the form of the third person plural present active indicative and adds $-\bar{o}$ to it, with no commotion: thus Cerevisiam recipiuntō, cerevisiam amantō! (They'll get beer and like it!)

The Romans also made cuts in both the number of cases and the number of declensions, i.e., sets of case endings. Proto-Indo-European seems to have had, besides nominative, vocative, genitive, dative, accusative, and ablative cases, an "instrumental" and a "locative" case as well. The instrumental case was used to say "by means of" what or which, a function that the Latin ablative has pretty much absorbed. The locative told you where. Again, the Latin ablative has taken this burden on as well, though there are traces of the original in spots. If you wanted to say "in Rome," "at Rome," for example, it was Rōmae (not the ablative R $\bar{o} m \bar{a}$ ), which, of course, looks like a genitive, adding to the fun and con-
fusion. No wonder that people took to using in plus the ablative (or apud, at the place of, with, plus the accusative).

The consolidation of the declensions didn't happen without a fight either, or so we are told by the survivors, many of whom went to live out their final years in the relative peace and quiet of the third declension, from which such disparate mates as the $i$ stems, consonant stems, and mixed $i$ stems will be recalled from Chapter III if necessary. To these could be added the patriarchal pater familiās (father, head of the household) in which the -ās is an old genitive singular ending, otherwise lost and gone forever in all but early Latin.

Latin's ever-diminishing fourth declension has what's left of the Indo-European $\boldsymbol{u}$ stems:

## SINGULAR

## MASC

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { NOM } & \text { condus } \\ \text { GEN } & \text { condūs } \\ \text { DAT } & \text { conduī (condū) } \\ \text { ACC } & \text { condum } \\ \text { ABL } & \text { condū }\end{array}$

FEM
manus
manūs
manuí (manū)
manum
manū

## PLURAL

| NOM | condūs | manūs | cornua |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | conduum | manuum | cornuum |
| DAT | condibus | manibus | cornibus |
| ACC | condūs | manūs | cornua |
| ABL | condibus | manibus | cornibus |

condus, condūs, shopkeeper: manus, manūs hand, cornū, cornūs horn.

What eventually happens to the fourth declension is previewed in the declension of domus, domūs (or domi). house:

SINGULAR
NOM domus
GEN domūs (domi)
DAT domui (domō)
ACC domum
ABL domū (domō)

PLURAL
domūs
domuum (domōrum)
domibus
domūs (domōs)
domibus

In short, nouns of the fourth declension quietly insinuate themselves into the second declension. (If there were ever any fourth declension adjectives, they had all hot-footed it into the second declension before they got to Latin.) Domi, by the way, is really a locative, like Rōmae, and is only used as such until domus becomes a fulltime second declension noun.

Two things kept the ever-dwindling fourth declension alive. First, some words that got used enough so that they couldn't merge into another declension without being noticed and, second, the supine. The useful words include some of the parts of the body, such as hand (manus), knee (gen $\bar{u})$, and horn (corn $\bar{u})$. The supine, which is found only in the accusative and dative or ablative - the dative in $-u i-$ is a phonus bolonus from the second declension, and the real one ends in $-\bar{u}$ like the ablative -and has managed to get the job of fourth principal part of the verb. The supine is essentially a noun made from a verb and can usually be translated as "for the purpose of verbing, to verb," as in In tabernam introiit ursus cerevisiam imperätum (The bear went into a bar for the purpose of ordering a beer) and the old standard, Miräbile dictū (Marvelous to tell, i.e., Marvelous for telling you about).

Before you make up your mind about entropy, whether things stay essentially the same or whether they get easier or harder, the fifth declension should be introduced in evidence. The fifth declension has all of two full-time members, that is, two nouns for which all the case forms can be found in the writings of one Latin author or another. (There are a very few part-time members of the fifth declension as well.) Not very many, when you think of it, but
neatness is the sign of an orderly mind, so what can you say? The two nouns which are attested in all their forms are rēs (thing), and dies (day), which tells you something right there: the fifth declension is living off the proceeds of two very useful vocabulary items, which are declined as follows:

## SINGULAR

| NOM | rēs | diēs | rēs | diēs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | rei | diēi (diē) | rērum | diērum |
| DAT | rei | diēi (diē) | rēbus | diëbus |
| ACC | rem | diem | rēs | diēs |
| ABL | rē | diē | rēbus | diēbus |

The point is this: the fourth and fifth declensions, like the mixed $i$ stems and the number 2, are ten dēnārii apiece, but two for a sēstertium, which is how languages really change.

## Vocabulary

mūtō, mūtāre, mūtāvi, mūtātum to change; mūtātis mütandis the things to be changed having been changed
friō, friäre, to
crumble
crustulum, crustuli (n.) little cake
apud with, at the house of,
according to (with the accusative)
condus, condūs (m.) shopkeeper
manus, manūs (f.) hand
cornū, cornūs (n.) horn
domus, domūs (domi) (f.)
house
genū, genūs (n.) knee
mirābilis, miräbile (gen. mirā-
bilis) marvelous
rēs, rei (f.) thing
diēs, diḕ (diē) (m.) day
$\nu \bar{n} \overline{n a ̄}_{\text {àr }}$, vēnātōris (m.) hunter $b \bar{o} z \bar{o}, b \bar{o} z \bar{u} s$ (m.) clown. Latin has borrowed, to nobody's surprise, a number of Greek nouns which it deferentially spells and declines funny. Pay it no mind. ambulō, ambulāre, ambulāvi, ambulätum to go, walk magnus, magna, magnum big, great
parvus, parva, parvum small foedus, foeda, foedum ugly appropinquō, appropinquāre, appropinquāvi, appropinquā-
tum to approach, draw near coram face to face, facing crocodilus, crocodili (m.) crocodile ante before (with the accusative)
cauda, caudae (f.) tail praecidō, praecidere, praecidī, praecisum to cut off pingo, pingere, pinxi, pictum to paint; cf. Picti, Pictōrum Picts, so called because they painted themselves blue and rode out naked against the Romans
I. Translate into English.
a. Si essēs hic, domi essēs.
b. Avis in manū cerevisia in manū nōn parēs.
c. Vēnātor cornua in mūrō pōnit; adulteri, in capite vēnātōris.
d. Pedes in fossā melior quam duo ursì in aggerō.
e. Vēnērunt, facillimē dictū, ursum salūtātum, nōn cerevisiam imperātum.
II. Translate into Latin
a. These days are good, but those are noisome.
b. We changed ourselves into horns.
c. His horns are large but his mouth is small.
d. We were all clowns in those matters (things).
e. A clown on an island is worth three generals in Rome.

## III. Fabula

Ambuläbat homō cum cane magno suō in Viā Appiā. Alterum vidit quĭ cum parvō foedöque appropinquäbat. Primus sibi dixit, "Ille cum parvó cane suō in portam condūs ïbït ut mihi liceat cum cane meó transire." Sed ita nōn fuit. Hominēs (cum canibus suis) sę coram ambulāvērunt. Caniculus foedus caput alterì ēdit. "?" exclämävit homó. "Rēs facillima dictū," dīxit homō quì cum caniculō foedō ambuläverat.
"Canis meus crocodilus erat ante caudam eius praesisam et eum coloribus pictum."

## CHAPTER XII

## Passives and Passive-Aggressives



While it might have been possible for a Roman to be born, to live a full and rewarding life, and to die, never having learned about passives and deponents, it would certainly have been inconvenient at times and an utter conversation-stopper at others. Passives -I am being held prisoner, They were captured -are largely dispensable, because you can virtually always say the same thing with the appro-
priate active verbs-Somebody is holding me prisoner, Somebody captured them. It is probably for this reason that people eventually quit taking the trouble to learn them in Latin where, if you want to make a passive at somebody, you have to know a whole set of special verb endings.

Deponents, however, are another story. These are verbs which are conjugated as though they were passives but whose meaning is active. For example, compare the present indicatives.

|  | 1. | adūror | hortor | videor | vereor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | adūrāris | hortāris | vidē̄ris | verēris |
|  | 3. | adūrātur | hortātur | vidētur | verētur |
|  | 1. | adūrāmur | hortāmur | vidēmur | verēmur |
| PLUR | 2. | adūrāmini | hortāmini | vidēmini | verēmini |
|  | 3. | adūrantur | hortantur | videntur | verentur |

Adūror I'm being burned, I am burned, adūrāri to be burned; hortor I'm urging, I urge, hortäri to urge; videor I'm being seen, I am seen, vidēri to be seen; vereor I'm afraid, I fear, verēri to be afraid.

|  | 1. | dūcor | nāscor | sequor | capior |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | dūceris | nāsceris | sequeris | caperis |
|  | 3. | dūcitur | nāscitur | sequitur | capitur |
|  | 1. | dūcimur | nāscimur | sequimur | capimur |
| PLUR | 2. | dūcimini | nāscimini | sequimini | capimini |
|  | 3. | dūcuntur | nāscuntur | sequuntur | capiuntur |

Dūcor I'm being led, I am led, $d \bar{u} c i$ to be led; nāscor I'm being born, I am born, näsci to be born; sequor I'm following, I follow, sequi to follow; capior I'm being seized, I am seized, capi to be seized.

1. morior
2. moreris
3. moritur
audior
audiris
auditur
partior
partiris
partitur

|  | 1. | morimur | audimur | partimur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLUR | 2. | morimini | audimini | partimini |
|  | 3. | moriuntur | audiuntur | partiuntur |

Morior I'm dying, I die, mori to die; audior I'm being heard, I am heard, audiri to be heard; partior I'm sharing, I share, partiri to share.

The formation of passives and deponents is pretty straightforward, especially if you already know about actives. The present indicative, present subjunctive, imperfect indicative, imperfect subjunctive, and the future of passives can easily be made by taking the corresponding forms of the active, fiddling with them a little or not at all, and adding the endings $-r$, -ris (which sometimes shows up as -re), -tur, (or -ur, depending on how you count the $-t$-), -mur, -mini, and -ntur (or -ur again, depending on how you count the -nt-). The deponents are made in the same way except that you have to pretend that there's a set of active forms from which they may be derived, or just remember that they go like the passives and have done with it.

Taking adūror, videor, dūcor, capior, and audior as the passives that everything else is like, we might as well have a look at the present subjunctive, imperfect (indicative and subjunctive), and the future, leaving the other tenses until later.

You remember the imperfect active indicative from Chapter V: adūrābam, vidēbam, dūcēbam, capiēbam, audiēbam; adūrābās, vidēbās, dūcēbās, capiēbās, and so on. Well, the way you make the imperfect passive indicative is to take the first person singular form of the imperfect active indicative (adū $\bar{r} a ̈ b a m, ~ v i d e ̄ b a m, ~ a n d ~ s o ~ o n), ~$ remove the final $-\boldsymbol{m}$, and add the personal endings of the passive, lengthening the $a$ of the imperfect -ba- to $\bar{a}$ in all persons but the first singular and third plural, sandwich fashion:

1. adūräbar
2. adūrābāris
3. adūrābātur
vidēbar
vidēbāris
vidēbātur
dūcēbar dūcēbāris dūcēbātur

|  | 1. | adūrābāmur | vidē̄āmur | dūcēbāmur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLUR | 2. | adūrā̄āmini | vidēbāmini | dūcēbāmini |
|  | 3. | adūrābantur | vidēbantur | dūcēbantur |
|  |  |  |  | et cetera |

The future passive works this way:

|  | 1. | adūrābor | vidēbor | dūcar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | adūrāberis | vidēberis | dūcēris |
|  | 3. | adūrābitur | vidēbitur | dūcētur |
|  |  | etc. | etc. | etc. |

As to the subjunctive, the present and imperfect are on much the same trip. The present subjunctive passive (cf. Chapter VII):

|  | 1. | adūrer | videar | dūcar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | adūrēris | videāris | dūcāris |
|  | 3. | adūrētur | videātur | dūcātur |
|  |  | etc. | etc. | etc. |

And the imperfect subjunctive passive (cf. Chapter VII):

|  | 1. | adūrārer | vidērer | dūcerer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | adūrārēris | vidērē̄ris | dūcerēris |
|  | 3. | adūrārētur | vidērētur | dūcerētur |
|  |  | etc. | etc. | etc. |

You are no doubt still wondering about the deponents. They're called deponents because dēpōnō, dēpōnere means "to put aside, to put away" and Latin grammarians have generally observed that the significant thing about these verbs is that they've put their active forms in mothballs. What is not generally added is that, in their haste, the deponents have put aside the wrong set of meanings for those forms. The real story makes more sense.

Proto-Indo-European seems to have distinguished between two
"voices" in its verb system, the active and the middle. What apparently determined which got used on any occasion was the focus of the action of the verb: if the main interest in the sentence was the object of the subject's action, or the action itself, then the verb was active. If the focus was on the subject, however, the middle was used. Thus, "George hated his lunch" would have had an active verb, but both "George hated himself" and "George was hated" would have had middles. From middles in sentences like "George was hated" seem to have come the passives. The deponents in Latin tend to be verbs whose focus is always the subject and which, accordingly, would only have been conjugated in the middle voice in PIE: to be born, to die, to be afraid, and so on.

## Vocabulary

adūrō, adūrāre, arūrāvi, adūrātum to burn
hortor, hortäri to urge, exhort
vereor, verēri to fear, be afraid
nāscor, nāsci to be born
sequor, sequi to follow
morior, mori to die. The past participle mortuum (dead) will be discussed in Chapter XIII.
partior, partiri, to share
avetarda, avetardae (f.) bustard dulcis, dulce (gen.dulcis) sweet, pleasant
decōrus, decōra, decōrum fit, seemly
amārus, amāra, amārum bitter

Vesuvius, Vesuvii (m.) Mount Vesuvius. This volcano was responsible, in its heyday, for the deaths of untold numbers of Latin speakers, including such notables as Pliny the Elder, who seems to have expired from inhaling too much sulfur dioxide during one of Vesuvius's more impressive public displays.
interficiō, interficere, interfēci, interfectum to kill
nimis very much; nimius very very much
ōra, ōrae (f.) border, edge, region; örae Acheruntis (Acherontis in more modern dress) the underworld; cf. $\overline{\text { orae }}$ lüminis the upper world
infelix, infelicis (gen. infélicis) unhappy
Orcus, Orci (m.) one of the many lords of the underworld for speakers of Latin. Hell has always had rather fuzzy boundaries. For the Greeks, these were mostly aquatic, and the Romans splashed along with the game, at least officially. In practice, the Romans seem to have tolerated a variety of semiofficial beliefs about death and the thereafter. (Major controversies rage even now about what is to be or not to be inferred from the apparent coexistence of cremating and burying factions on the Italic peninsula.)
flūmen, flūminis (n.) river rēgnum, rēgni (n.) kingdom,
realm; cf. rēx, rēgis (m.)
king
dēsignō, dēsignāre, dēsignāvi,
dēsignātum to name, designate
aspiciō, aspicere, aspēxi, aspectum to observe, behold, look at
multus, multa, multum many corpus, corporis (n.) body quatiō, quatere, quassi, quassum to shake cēra, cērae (f.) wax
ferveō, fervēre, fervui, - to boil
conglaciō, conglaciäre, -, -to freeze
murmurō, murmurāre, murmurāvi, - to murmur, mutter
stercus, stercoris (n.) dung, excrement
inquam, inquis, inquit, inquimus, inquiunt, . . . to say.
This verb is "defective," i.e., only some of its forms are attested. Just as well, judging from the first person singular.
unda, undae (f.) wave

## I. Translate into English

a. "Avis, quae dicitur 'avetarda,' bona est, sed putō hic nōn habēre" (Anthimus, Dē Observātiōne Cibōrum).
b. Dulce et decōrum est prō patriā mori.
c. Amārum et indecōrum est $\bar{a}$ Vesuviō interfici.
d. Qui nōn clāmant nōn audiuntur; quī autem nimius clāmant nōn amantur.
e. Iste capiātur qui in viam sē jactāvit.

## II. Translate into Latin

a. Let us follow those turtles into that bar.
b. Let them be led into the cave.
c. You were not being heard, o foot soldier, because you were in a trench.
d. Beer is ordered in a bar.
e. They will be caught by nobody.

## III. Fabula

Moritur malefactor et ad ōrās Acheruntis pervenit. Dicit infelici Orcus, "Tria flūmina in rēgnō meō sunt. In ūnō ex eis manēbts ad perpetuitātem. Licet tibi autem flūmen dēsignāre in qū̄ manēbis. Venī ut flūmina aspiciäs ante dēsignātum."

Orcum sequitur mortuus ad flūmina. In primō, multi videntur qui clāmant, corpora quatientēs. "Nōlì in hoc flūmen introire! Nōli introire! Flūmen cēra fervēns!' 'In secund $\overline{0}$, multi videntur qui clāmant, corpora quatientēs. "Nōlī in hoc flūmen introire! Nōli introire! Flūmen nix conglaciäns frigore!"

In tertiō, multī videntur qui murmurant, corpora minimē quatientēs. "Quid est illud?" Orcum rogat malefactor. "Quid dicunt illi?" "Flūmen stercoris," inquit. "Dicunt illì semper 'Nōli undās facere! Nōl̄ undās facere!'"

## CHAPTER XIII

## The Long and the Short of It



Latin grammar books that fail to treat the subject of Latin meter and verse have always had a hard time securing the old imprimätur (or imprimantur). Or so we might infer from their relative scarcity. Even rarer are Latin grammar books that go to the bother of telling you all about the hard forms of the passives and deponents but then don't mention the easy ones, those of the perfect, pluperfect, and future perfect. This being the last chapter of the book, it is clearly now or never.

Passives and deponents may be dispatched quickly. All you have to know to be able to make the perfect, pluperfect, and future perfect of such verbs is (1) the past participle and (2) the present, imperfect, and future forms of the verb sum (to be). Two samples:

|  | 1. | adūrātus (adūrāta, adūrātum) sum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | adūrātus (adūrāta, adūrātum) es |
|  | 3. | adūrātus (adūrāta, adūrātum) est |
|  | 1. | adūrātí (adūrātae, adūrāta) sumus |
| PLUR | 2. | adūrātì (adūrātae, adūrāta) estis |
|  | 3. | adūrātī (adūrātae, adūrāta) sunt |
|  | 1. |  |
| SING | nātus (nāta, nātum) sum |  |
|  | 2. | nātus (nāta, nātum) es |
|  | 3. | nātus (nāta, nātum) est |
|  | 1. | nātī (nātae, nāta) sumus |
| PLUR | 2. | nāti (nātae, nāta) estis |
|  | 3. | nātī (nātae, nāta) sunt |

I was burned you were burned he (she, it) was burned
we were burned you were burned they were burned

I was born you were born he (she, it) was born
we were born you were born they were born

Note that the past participle has to agree with the subject in both number and gender, and that the temptation to translate, e.g., adūrātus sum as "I am burned" instead of the more accurate "I was burned" is to be strenuously resisted.

As one might guess, the perfect subjunctive is made with the past participle and the present subjunctive forms of sum (sim, sis, sit, etc.). Thus: adūrātus (adūrāta, adūrātum) sim (I may be burned), nātus (näta, nātum) sim (I may be born), and the like. The pluperfect indicative is made with the past participle and the forms of the imperfect indicative of sum, e.g., adūrātus (adūrāta, adūrātum) eram (I had been burned), nātus (nāta, nätum) eram (I had been born). The corresponding subjunctive forms are used in making the pluperfect subjunctive: adūrātus (adūrāta, adūrātum) essem, nātus (näta, nätum) essem, and so on. The future perfect (I will have been burned, born) is again the past participle plus the future of sum: adūrātus (adūrāta, adūrātum) erō, nātus (nāta, nātum) erō, and so on.

Some odds and ends: there are a very few verbs called semi-
deponents, their claim to fame being that they are conjugated like active verbs in all tenses and moods except the five that we have just considered. Or, put another way, semideponents were off with the passives and deponents when the third principal parts were being handed out to the active verbs. Fido, fidere, fisus sum (to trust) is such a verb: fïdō (I trust), fidēbam (I was trusting), fidam (I will trust), but fisus sum (I trusted), fisus eram (I had trusted), and fisus erō (I shall have trusted).

The present imperative of passives and deponents is made as follows: the second person singular looks to all intents and purposes to be the same as the active infinitive (or, for deponents, what the active infinitive would be if there were one). Thus, Adūräre! ( Be burned!), Hortäre! (Urge!), Vidēre! (Be seen!), Verēre! (Be afraid!), Dūcere! (Be led!), Näscere! (Be born!), Sequere! (Follow!), Capere! (Be seized!), Morere! (Die!), Audire! (Be heard!), Partire! (Share!). The second person plural imperative is the same as the corresponding indicative: Adūrāmini! (Be ye burned!), and so on.

Now, then: about Latin verse, in which speakers of Latin have customarily said all that they had to say that they didn't prefer to say in prose. The earliest Latin verse form which has been identified is the Saturnian. That is, the Saturnian is the oldest verse form not obviously borrowed from the Greeks and in which, as it happens, the oldest surviving Latin poem is written, Livius Andronicus's (third century B.C.) translation of The Odyssey. If Latin speakers wrote poetry before this period, they didn't think to write it down and store it in a safe place, or, if they did, the place was so safe as to have eluded discovery for the past two thousand years.

It is not altogether clear how the Saturnian worked, that is, how it was supposed to be scanned. You might think that given a large enough sample, anybody who knew a little Latin (or less Greek) could easily see the pattern to it and could then tell you what was going on: there are so many syllables to a line or such and such a pattern of stressed and unstressed -or "long" and "short"-vowels. But no. The fact is that unless somebody gives you a copy of the
official rules beforehand, the scansion of poetry isn't always all that easy, especially if someone's put a Greek in your ear. (And do not be misled into thinking that it isn't still so, about having a Greek in your ear: scholarly battles are still raging, as they have since Shakespeare's time, over how to scan iambic pentameter in English -is it a matter of "longs" and "shorts," as in Greek, or is it all word stress?)

There are two major schools of thought on how Saturnian verse works. The first says that a standard line is a sequence of six "feet" with a break (or caesura) between the third and fourth. Each "foot" has two parts, the stressed (generally first) part, called a thesis; and the unstressed (generally second) part, called an arsis. The thesis is supposed to be either a single long-vowel syllable, or two shorts; the arsis is supposed to be either a long-vowel syllable, or two shorts, except sometimes it's just a single short. The other theory says that word stress is the thing and that longs and shorts don't really have anything to do with it except insofar as longs and shorts happen to be important factors in the determination of word stress in general. Again, two syllables can stand for one if they're unstressed.

The following is a couplet only in that the second line was the response to the first, but both are generally agreed to be in the same meter, namely, Saturnian. The author of the first line was one Naevius, who lived in the last quarter of the third century B.C. during the political ascendancy of some people of the gēns Metellus, whom Naevius would have us think Matellae instead of Metelli.
(His fairly tame remark quoted here and others apparently less so about the Metelli landed him in jail eventually, but, then, one of the laws of the Twelve Tables says that you can be clubbed to death for singing scurrilous songs about people, so perhaps imprisonment was justly considered to be fairly mild punishment for such an offense.) The second line of the sample is the response of one Metellus:

> Fä̀tō Metélli Rómae fíunt cṑnsulès. Dábunt málum Metelli Naevió' Poétae.

It's only by blind luck that the Metelli ever got to be consuls of Rome. / The Metelli are going to give the poet Naevius a hard time (a bad thing).

Even allowing for the fact that a vowel plus two or more consonants counted as long, as did a diphthong, and you could fiddle with the number of syllables in a line by calling words like Naeviō, in which you have two unstressed vowels next to each other, trisyllabic (Nae-vi- $\overline{0}$ ) or disyllabic (Nae-vi $\overline{0}$ ) according to what you needed, neither of the general theories of what made the Saturnian meter tick is totally adequate. But since there are only a handful of lines of Saturnian that have come down to us, we will probably never know the full story.

Nor did any linguist or literary critic during the days when people still regularly wrote in Latin think to explain the Saturnian system for later generations. The reason for this oversight was undoubtedly in large measure that Greek meters had very early taken over as the ones to write in and anyone writing in the apparently native Latin Saturnian was considered an oaf, unworthy of attention.

Classical Greek meters, which generally work just fine if you look at them as different kinds of long-vowel, short-vowel sequences, were easily taken over into Latin. A favorite proved to be dactylic (heroic) hexameters, of which an example is given here from Ovid's Metamorphoses (completed in A.D. 8, shortly before its author was sent into exile for some grave offense, the precise nature of which remains unclear).

A standard line of dactylic hexameter is a sequence of six feet, with a caesura in the middle of the third foot. Each foot is supposed to be a sequence of a long plus two shorts or a long plus a long. The final vowel in a line is considered long or short as desired. The overall effect has been described variously as "like a waltz" or "like running with a basketball firmly attached to the sole of one of your feet." Judge for yourself:
$\overline{\text { Instruit et nātum, "Mediō" que "ut limite currās. }}$ Īcare," ait; "Monē̄ nē, si dēmissior ibis, Unda gravet pennās. si celsior, ignis adūrat."

And he outfits his son. "You'd better stick to the middle path, / Icarus; I'm warning you, if you go too low, / The water (a wave) might weigh your feathers down, if too high, the sun (fire) might burn them." Icarus and his father, Daedalus, are at this point in the story about to escape from Crete with the help of some homemade wings.

Here, too, it helps to remember that diphthongs and vowels occurring before two or more consonants count as long. Actually, a consonant plus " $r$ " can count as a single consonant, as is demonstrated in the second line of the following, the upshot of the Daedalus-Icarus story. Notice, in the next-to-last line, the elision of the two contiguous vowels, which is a common though by no means obligatory convention in Latin versification.



Mōlfit ơ|dōrā|tās pēn|nārūm | vincǔlă | cērās.
Täbǔě|rānt cḕ|rāe. Nū|dōs quătìt |illě lǎ|cērtōs;
Rēmìǧ̀ $|o ̄ q u e ̌ ~ c a ̆| r e ̄ n s, ~ n o ̄ n ~|~ u ̄ l l a ̄ s ~| ~ p e ̄ r c i ̄ p i ̀ t ~ \mid ~ a u ̄ r a ̄ s ; ~$
Ōrǎquě | cāerǔlě|ā pǎtř̀|ūm clā|māntiâ | nōmēn
$\overline{E x} c$ îpl̀ $\bar{u} n t u ̌ r ~ a ̆|q u \bar{a}, ~ q u a \bar{e}| n \bar{m} m e \bar{n} \mid$ trāxitt ǎb $\mid$ illō.
 "Īcǎrě," | dūxitt, "Ǔ|biēs? Quā | tē rěgł||ōně rělquirām?" "İcàrě" | dicē $\mid$ bāt, pēn|nās ā|spēxìt ìn $\mid$ ūndis. . . .

When the boy began to rejoice in bold flight / He deserted the leader and, drawn by desire for the sky, / Made his way higher. Proximity to the fierce sun / Softened the fragrant wax, the binding of the feathers. / The wax had melted. He shook his bare arms / And, lacking an oarage, he caught no breezes; / And his lips, crying the name of his forefathers / Are snatched by the sky-blue water which took its name from him. / And the unhappy father, not now a father, said "Icarus. / "Icarus," he said, "where are you? In what region might I seek you?" / "Icarus," he was saying, (when) he saw the feathers on the waves.

Greek meters ultimately lost their utility, basically because Latin quit distinguishing between long and short, trading in its old vocalic system for a newer model that only made timbre distinctions. Soon people began to forget what used to be long and short, which made writing in the classical meters rather hard without a dictionary. The result in late Latin is verse that is based on different patterns of word stress and throws in rhyme to boot. An example of late Latin verse is given at the end of this chapter.

## Vocabulary

imprimō, imprimere, impressi, impressum to press upon, imprint
fīō, fidere, fisus sum to trust fätum, fäti (n.) fate, calamity fio, fieri, factus sum to be made, be done, to become. $F i o ̈$ is irregular in its conjugation: present indicative: fiō, fīs, fit, fïmus, fitis, fiunt; imperfect indicative: fiēbam, fiēbās, etc.; future: fiam, fies, etc.; the perfect, pluperfect, and future perfect are made with the past participle, factus ( $-a,-u m$ ), and the appropriate form of esse: factus ( $-a,-u m$ ) sum, etc.; factus ( $-a,-u m$ ) eram, etc.; factus ( $-a,-u m$ ) erō, etc.; present subjunctive: fiam, fiäs, etc.; imperfect subjunctive: fierem, fierēs,
etc.; the perfect and pluperfect subjunctive are again made with factus ( $-a,-u m$ ) plus the appropriate forms of esse: factus ( $-a,-u m$ ) sim, etc.; and factus ( $-a,-u m$ ) essem, etc.
cōnsul, cōnsulis (m.) consul poēta, poētae (m.) poet instruō, instruere, instrūxi, instrūctum outfit, equip, instruct
limes, limitis (m.) path, course āiō, ais, ait, äiunt . . . to affirm, say. Like inquam, $\bar{a} i o ̄ ~ i s ~$ defective. moneō, monēre, monui, monitum to warn, admonish dèmissus, dēmissa, dèmissum low
gravō, gravāre, gravāvi, gravātum to weigh down penna, pennae (f.) feather
celsus, celsa, celsum high, elevated
puer, pueri (m.) boy
audāx (gen.audācis) bold
coepere, coepi, coeptum to
begin (another defective verb)
gaudeō, gaudēre, gāvisus sum to rejoice, be happy
volō, volāre, volāvi, volātūrus to fly; volatus, volatūs (m.) flight
dēserō, dēserere. dēserui, dēsertum to desert, leave $d u x$, ducis (m.) leader; cf. $d \bar{u} c \bar{o}$, dūcere to lead caelum, caeli (n.) sky, heaven cupidō, cupidinis (f.) desire, greed
trahō, trahere, trāxi, tractum to draw, drag, haul
altus, alta, altum high, lofty
agō, agere, ègi, āctum to move, lead, act; iter agere to make one's way
rapidus, rapida, rapidum fierce, impetuous, swift
vicinia, viciniae (f.) vicinity, neighborhood
sōl, sōlis (m.) sun
molliō, mollire, mollivi, mollitum to soften
odōrātus, odōrāta, odōrātum fragrant
vinculum, vinculi (n.) binding, fetter
tābeō, tābēre, - , - to melt away, waste away lacertus, lacerti (m.) arm rēmigium, rēmigii (n.) oarage, rowing apparatus
careō, carēre, carui, caritūrus to lack; the thing lacked appears in the ablative percipiō, percipere, percēpi, perceptum to catch, collect aura, aurae (f.) air, breeze $\bar{o} s$, ōris (n.) mouth, face; (pl.) lips
caeruleus, caerulea, caeruleum sky-blue
excipiō, excipere, excēpi, exceptum to take up, catch aqua, aquae (f.) water iam now; cf. heri yesterday and crās tomorrow
regiō, regiōnis (f.) region, direction
requirō, requirere, requisivi, requisitum to search for docē̄, docēre, docui, doctum to teach, instruct; Docti, having been taught, are sages.
convocō, convocāre, convocāvi, convocätum to call together
Germānus, Germāna, Germānum German, the Germans being but one of the many Germanic tribes with whom the later Romans were acquainted if not very friendly.
adsum. adesse, adfui, adfutūrus to be present
sapiēns, sapientis knowing, knowledgeable (from sapiō, sapere, sapivi, - to taste, know)
sermō. sermōnis (m.) speech, discourse
Visigothus, Visigotha, Visigothum Visigoth, another of the Germanic tribes
quidam, quaedam, quoddam (like qui, quae, quod, only with -dam stuck onto the end) a certain
inveniō, invenire, invēni, inventum to come upon, come up with. invent
vir, viri (m.) man
per through, by (with the accusative)
sidus, sideris (n.) constellation:
pl. stars, heavens
mittō, mittere, misi, missum to send
Hispānus, Hispāna, Hispānum Spanish
sēdēs, sēdis (f.) seat, chair exsiliō, exsilire, exsilui, - to spring forth, leap up subitō suddenly tūtus, tūta, tūtum safe carpō, carpere, carpsi, carptum to pick, snatch; navigate along

## Fabula

## Sunt in universitate <br> Docti Galli et Britanni

Convocati et Germani;
Adfuerunt sapientes
Mundi Totius,

Ad sermonem auditum
Visigothi cuiusdam
Narraturus qui erat
Mirabilia.
"Modum invenimus
Virum per caeruleum
Iactandi ad sidera; primum mittemus hunc Ad solem ipsum."
Doctus autem Hispanus Ridens sede exsiluit Subito, "Quomodo (inquit) Solis ignibus
Tutam carpat astronauta Viam? Num de Daedalo (ait)
Visigothi audiverunt Antiquam fabulam?"
Visigothus doctus ait, "Hominem facillime
Sic in caelum mittere:
Nocte media!"

## The Trots



## Chapter II.

I. a. A war in France is bad, but a war in a chamber pot is horrible.
b. The children of Bacchus are adulterers.
c. The world is a suitable place for islands.
d. The sailor gives the farmer a crab; the farmer (gives) the sailor an apple.
e. The farmer's daughter gives good things of the fields to (her) father-in-law.
f. The sailor's son is on the island; the island (is) under the chamber pot.
g. The world of the adulterer is three-cornered.
$h$. Britain is not a suitable place for a war.
II. a. İnsula locus nōn idōneus adulterō.
b. Agri sub agricolis et mundus sub agris.
c. Socer bona nōn dat adulterō.
d. Libri boni, sed liberi taetri.
e. In Britanniā, adulter puellis bonōs librōs dat; sed in Galliā, cancrōs.
f. Ofilii et filiae Galliae, māla Britanniae taetra.
g. Fīlius nautae in locō idōneō filiīs nautārum.
h. Gallia nōn insula triquetra.

## Chapter III.

I. a. The sailor sits to the right of the farmers.
b. Apple trees don't have pears, but apples.
c. You do not thank Rome for their abominable war, $\mathbf{O}$ farmers of Gaul.
d. I am making the field three-cornered because of a pair of pear trees and an apple tree.
e. We are coming to Gaul but we aren't running.
f. You have a right; you have a left; you have a third that is neither: therefore you are three-cornered.
II. a. Nautae glōriōsi semper in insulās currunt.
b. Pōmārium vidēre nōn potes (potestis) propter pōmōs.
c. Adulter bonus ambōbus grätiās agit: puellae et socerō.
d. Matella locus nōn idōneus pirō.
e. Taetri estis, $O$ filiī et filiae Britanniae, nōn idōnei matellae.
f. Bina māla ā mālō capiō.
III. Fruit is not good.

An apple is a fruit.
An apple is therefore not good.

## Chapter IV.

I. a. I hear either the rain on the walls or the feet of soldiers in the street.
b. Both chickens and pigs run into the streets of the foul city.
c. The names of the brothers are not famous; nor is the name of one more famous than the name of the other.
d. The nature of neither the world by itself nor of the universe as a whole is three-cornered.
e. I say "Hi" to the soldiers on the bridge and "Bye" to the farmers in the field.
II. a. Vel in nocte vel in imbri aut in turrim aut in pōmārium currere potes (potestis).
b. Agricola in agrō et nauta in mari nōn parēs.
c. Īnsulam tōtam à turri vidēre possum et tōta taetra est.
d. Trium animālium nōmina sunt: cancer, gallusque homō.
e. $\bar{U} n u s$ bonus, alter malus, sed neuter triquetrus.
III. Two men of a famous university in Gaul seek the nature of man. One says to the other, "Man alone is a featherless biped." The other doesn't answer then, but leaves the university. In the night, he comes back to the university and throws a plucked chicken over the wall.

## Chapter V.

I. a. The universe isn't three-cornered, is it? Minime $\overline{\text {. }}$
b. How can you be in two places at once when you're not anywhere at all? Bini sum.
c. Why did the chicken cross the road? Gallus trāns viam iit quia cerevisiam dēsiderābat.
d. Does he sit on the right or on the left of the father? Vel ad dexteram, vel ad sinistram patris sedet.
e Where's a good place for beer? Locus idōneus cerevisiae est in $c \bar{u} p \bar{a}$.
II. a. Cerevisiam imperābam quandō ursus in tabernam introiit.
b. Utrum "Māla dēsiderō" dīxit an "Mala dēsiderō"?
c. Nōnne pira pōma (sunt)?
d. Ubi erant nautae nisi in cūpis?
e. Unde vēnimus atque quō imus?
III. A bear went into a bar and ordered a beer. The bartender ran from the bar to his employer, saying, "Boss! Boss! There's a bear in the bar and he wants a beer!" The owner answered the bartender, "Dummy, do we sell beer or do we sell apples in the bar? You can sell the bear a beer and, because bears are stupid animals, you can then tell him 'The price is a sestertium.'"

The bartender went back in the bar and gave the bear a
beer, saying, "That'll be a sestertium." The bear didn't answer but took the beer. The bartender said, speaking in the way bartenders do, "You don't see too many bears in a bar, do you?" "That's right," answered the bear. "You don't, undoubtedly because of the outrageous price of the beer."

## Chapter VI.

1. "Concerning the Two Water Clocks of Morbonia" A friend was saying good-bye to a merchant. "I was in Sicily last year, in Morbonia, the city of my clan; since you're going to Sicily, go to the city of my clan. It's really pretty and in the square, there's a gorgeous old water clock."

The merchant went to Sicily in a ship and said to the helmsman, "I want to get off in my friend's city because there's a pretty old water clock in the square, or so he told me."

Thus he arrived at the square of the city of Morbonia: marvelous to tell, there was not only one water clock, but two! One showed VII o'clock and the other VI o'clock. The merchant went into a bar and asked the bartender, "Why are there two water clocks in the square? Why don't both of them either say VII o'clock or else VI o'clock?" The bartender answered the merchant, "It is precisely because they don't tell the same time that we need two water clocks."
II. a. Is $\bar{A}$ fricānus your nickname or your family name?
b. In our city there's a water clock, but it's neither beautiful nor pretty.
c. O fool! You arrived at the city of our clan without your shoes!
d. Behold thou art fair, my beloved! Behold thou art fair!
e. Since you have done good things for $\mathrm{me}, \mathrm{I}$ 'm giving you our water clock.
III.a. Antiquae clepsydrae meae valedīxi in Morbōniā priōre annō.
b. Opus est vōbis gubernātōre, amici mei.
c. Quārē mihi dedit calceōs tuōs piscātor?
d. $T \bar{u}$ sōlus amicus es meus, o tabernārius.
e. Opus est ambōbus civitātibus clepsydrā, amica mea.

## Chapter VII.

I. a. O si matellam habuissent !
b. Homō sit aut nōn sit, ut tabernā meā exeat.
c. Sī in ōre tū̄ crescat mālus, ōs diūtius nōn habeās, sed pōmärium.
d. Si barbam haberet avia, avus esset.
e. Sī cerevisiam nōn dēsiderāvisset ursus, in tabernam nōn ierit.
II. The animals of the forest had been waiting for a long time in a cave because of the cold and excessive snow. A month went by and the animals began to get hungry. "I'm starving," said the bear. "Me too," said the ox. The wolf said, "Somebody should go out and bring us back some food." "Right on," said the snake. "Maybe you'd like to go out and bring us back some food." "No!" answered the other. "Let's cast lots," said the deer, "so that we might choose a delegate." Thus by lot they elected the turtle.

The turtle said good-bye to the animals and disappeared into the shadows of the cave. Several hours had passed and the bear asked, "Where's the turtle? I'm starving!" "Me too," said the ox. "The turtle's been gone an awfully long time. If only we'd sent somebody faster," said the wolf and the snake as one. Then from the shadows near the door of the cave, they heard the voice of the turtle: " $O$ friends, if you should thus speak ill of me, I should remain here in the cave."

## Chapter VIII.

I. a. I am about to go to Morbonia by boat, as the trip is to be made. The trip is to be made this way because Morbonia is on an island.
b. My hat, it has three corners, Three corners has my hat; If it didn't have three corners, It wouldn't be fit to eat.
c. I shall go forth; you however will stay here to await my return.
d. We shall be able to eat our shoes in winter, your shoes having been eaten in summer.
e. If they arrive at night (if they shall have arrived at night), they'll have come by the Via Appia; if the next day, by the road from Morbonia.
II. a. Si petasus meus triquetrus nōn esset, avus esset.
b. Si homō hominem rogābit "Utrum ursus es an homō?" alter rārō respondēbit "Ursus sum."
c. Sì bōs super lūnam saltāre nōn potest, caniculus ridēre nōn poterit bovem vidēns super lūnam saltantem.
d. Sì militēs Viä Appiä ventūrì sunt, ūna lūcerna pendenda est in turris fenestrā.
e. Sed si Viā Morboniā ventūri sunt, gallus dēnūdätus plūmis super mūrum ūniversitātis iactandus est.
III. "Where Is Alba Longa?"

A pilgrim was going from Rome to Alba Longa. He arrived at a crossroads where he saw two men sitting dressed in the manner of Etruscans. Both having been greeted, the pilgrim asked, "Does the road on the right lead to Alba Longa?" One said, "Crapšti."

The other explained, laughing, "My friend said 'Yes,' but, like everybody in the Etruscan land, he's a liar."

Is the truth to be known by the pilgrim or not?

## Chapter IX.

I. a. Caesar ordered a beer in this bar; Pythagoras, in that one.
b. If only his legions had gone to hell!
c. The foolish foot soldier was in that catapult.
d. Several horsemen went into these Gallic fields; others however ran into the orchard.
e. With the turtle as legätus, the deer will be in charge of this manipulus, you, O wolf, (will be in charge of) that one.
II. a. Hōc turture visō, omnibus turturibus visis.
b. Ursus idem in fābulā nōn eādem.
c. Hi bini ursi nōn eidem, sed vērō frātrēs sunt.
d. Ille ursus nōn fräter eōrum, sed mäter.
e. Legiōnēs gallicae in fossā sunt; illae tui (vestri, vestrum) sunt in mālō.
III. Two foot soldiers of Caesar's legion were digging a trench. One said to the other, "Do you see the centurion standing on the rampart of the camp? I was asking myself, 'Why is he up on the rampart while we're digging in the trench?' " The other answered him, "I don't know. You should ask him"

The foot soldier went to the centurion and asked him, "Why are you standing on the rampart while we're digging in that trench?" The centurion answered him, "This is quite easily demonstrated." The centurion made a fist in front of the stockade, saying to the foot soldier, "Hit my fist as hard as you can." The foot soldier was about to hit the centurion's fist when the latter very quickly moved his fist. The foot soldier thus hit the stockade as hard as he could. Pain! The centurion said, "Now do you know why I'm standing up on top of the rampart while you're digging in the trench? I'm smart and you're stupid." "Yes," replied the foot soldier, and went back into the trench.

His friend asked him, "Did the centurion tell you why he's
up there on the rampart while we're digging in the trench?" "Indeed," the other answered him. "It is very easily demonstrated." And making a fist in front of his face, he said to his friend, "Hit my fist as hard as you can."

## Chapter X.

I. a. Ask not what your country can do for you but, rather, for whom the bell tolls.
b. They said they were Etruscans, but they are undoubtedly liars.
c. "I wrote what I wrote."
d. If anybody asks, "Who is that?" answer "Nobody"; if (anybody asks) "What is he doing?" (answer) "Nothing."
e. Oh I had a hat when I came in I hung it on a peg; And I'll have a hat when I go out Or I'll break somebody's leg (head).
II. a. Dixit culpam suam esse, sed nōn erat.
b. Si quis tē putet malē mē dixisse, Morbōniam adeat.
c. Dīxērunt quod futūrum esse, futūrum esse; sed nōn erat.
d. Homini licet advocātum advocāre; lupō licet serpentem advocāre.
e. In quā cūpā cerevisia pōnenda est?
III. The praetor said to three wrongdoers, "I will take you into a dark cave. In the cave (in which nothing can be seen because of the darkness), I will put hats on your heads. The hats which I shall put on your heads will be either black or white. Having put the hats on your heads, I'll go out. I will come back bearing a torch. If anyone sees a black hat, let him make a fist in front of his face. The first one to figure out what color his own hat
is will be allowed to leave: he will be a free man. Neither the second nor the third will be permitted to leave: they will remain in the cave forever."

So it happened. The torch having been brought, the three as one made fists in front of their faces. But nobody left, for nobody figured out the color of his own hat. Finally one of them ran for the door shouting "Hooray! Hooray!" What was the color of his hat?

## Chapter XI.

I. a. If you were here, you'd be home.
b. A bird in the hand and a beer in the hand are not the same.
c. The hunter puts horns on the wall; adulterers, on the hunter's head.
d. A foot soldier in the trench is better than two bears on the rampart.
e. They came, it is easy to tell, for the purpose of greeting the bear, not for ordering a beer.
II. a. Hi diēs boni sunt, sed illi taetri.
b. Noss mūtāvimus in cornibus.
c. Cornua eius magna, sed ōs parvum.
d. Bōzūs erāmus omnēs in his rēbus.
e. Bōzo in insulā trēs imperātōrēs Rōmae sunt parēs.
III. A man was walking with his large dog in the Via Appia. He saw another man who was approaching with a little ugly dog. The first man said to himself, "That guy with his little dog will step into the doorway of the shopkeeper so as to let me pass with my dog." But it was not thus. The men (with their dogs) came face to face. The little ugly dog bit the other's head off (ate the other's head). "?" cried the man. "A thing
easy to tell," said the man who had been walking with the little ugly dog. "My dog used to be a crocodile before I bobbed his tail and painted him (before the bobbing of his tail and the painting of him)."

## Chapter XII.

I. a. "The bird, which is called 'bustard.' is good, but I don't think they have any here."
b. It is a sweet and seemly thing to die for your country.
c. It is a bitter and unseemly thing to be killed by Vesuvius.
d. Those who do not shout are not heard; those who shout excessively however are not liked.
e. Let him be seized who threw himself into the road.
II. a. Illōs turturēs in illam tabernam sequāmur.
b. Dūcantur in spēluncam.
c. Nōn audiēbāris, o pedes, quia in fossā erās.
d. Cerevisia imperātur in tabernā.
e. Capientur $\bar{a}$ nūllō.
III. An evildoer dies and arrives in the underworld. Orcus says to the unhappy man, "There are three rivers in my kingdom. In one of them you will remain forever. You are however allowed to choose which one you will remain in. Come and see (that you might see) the rivers before choosing."

The dead man followed Orcus to the rivers. In the first, many were seen who cried out, shaking their bodies, "Don't come in this river! Don't come in here! The river's boiling wax!" In the second, many were seen who cried out and shook their bodies. "Don't come in this river! Don't come in here! The river's snow freezing with the cold!"

In the third, many were seen who murmured, not shaking

> their bodies at all. "What's that?" the evildoer asked Orcus. "What are they saying?" "That's a river of dung," he answered. "They're saying, 'Don't make waves! Don't make waves!""

## Chapter XIII.

In the university
The learned Gauls and Britons
And the Germans were called together:
Sages were there
Of the whole world,
To hear the discourse
Of a certain Visigoth
Who was about to tell of
Wonders.
"We have discovered a way
"Of hurling a man through the blue
"To the stars;
"We shall send him first
"To the sun itself."
But the Spanish sage
Laughing leapt from his seat
Suddenly, "How (he said)
"From the fires of the sun
"Will your astronaut safely make
"His way? Of Daedalus (he said)
"Have the Visigoths not heard
"The old tale?"
The learned Visigoth said,
"It is the simplest thing to send a man
"Into the heavens thus:
"In the middle of the night!"

# Ex Post Factō or, What to Do Until Volume II Arrives in the Mail 



As we remarked in the preface (Sicut erat in principiō), there is always more. In the present case, of course, that includes again: if you liked this book, read it again. Further possibilities are as follows.

Acquire a good dictionary. An excellent investment is Lewis and Short's A Latin Dictionary (Oxford University Press, New York). The runner-up by at least a length is the slightly curtailed A Latin Dictionary for Schools by Lewis (same publisher and same Lewis). For English to Latin-and this is more useful than it might at first seem-we recommend Cassell's New Latin Dictionary (Funk and Wagnall, New York), and for postulating (and French-speaking) Indo-Europeanists, Ernout and Meillet's Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue latine (Librairie C. Klincksieck, Paris).

You may also wish to acquire a compendious reference grammar, such as Allen and Greenough's classic New Latin Grammar for Colleges and Schools (Ginn and Co., Boston). Such books are good to browse around in, and with a little patience and ingenuity, you can generally find an answer to even the obscurest of grammatical questions with illustrative examples from the classics for all occasions.

What then? Two tracks are worthy of mention. First, there is the traditional course of study: basic grammar, then Caesar's Dē Bellō Gallicō, then the Aeneid of Virgil, and possibly some Horace, Ovid, and Catullus, and perhaps a little prose. You have done the first and most difficult part (the grammar) if you have gotten anywhere near this far. All of these worthies and many others are available from the Oxford University Press (New York) and the Harvard University Press (in their Loeb Classical Library series, Cambridge), the latter with English trot (except where it's dirty, in which case, it's facing Latin and Latin, which at least tells you where the good parts are).

The traditional course has its reasons. Caesar's prose is sharp, clean, and not too hard, and has been greatly admired for centuries. There is an awful lot about the army in there, though perhaps no
more than you'd expect to find in a general's military memoirs. Virgil comes next because it's time for some poetry, if no less warring, and the Aeneid is all of that. Metrical verse tends to play hob with the syntax. which makes the Aeneid a little harder, though not much, especially if you've just warmed up on Caesar.

Much the same sort of thing may be said of Ovid and company, the Classical poets, and it is for this reason that they generally make their appearance only after most Latin students have given up and gone away, unalterably convinced that the true meaning of the dictum that you can't beat the classics is "Don't fool with them, they have you outnumbered."

There is an easier way: start with later Latin and work backward toward the authors of the Classical Age. If all roads eventually lead to Rome, why not, after all, take the route with the gentlest terrainand much of the best scenery?

Easier than Caesar and infinitely more interesting is the Biblia Sacra (Desclée et cie., Paris). The translation of the Bible was undertaken in full appreciation of the fact that most of its readers would be at least a little shaky in their Latin and could use all the help they could get. As a result, the Biblia Sacra remains one of the most readily accessible Latin texts we have.

Also recommended is Harrington's superb collection, Mediaeval Latin (University Press of Chicago, Chicago), which has practically everything under the Medieval Latin sun in it in varying degrees of difficulty. From here, if you still want to read some Caesar or try your hand at something a little more ornate, you should encounter no great difficulty.

Or you could simply take the money and run.

# Synopsis of the Grammar 



## NŌMINA

SINGULAR

| NOM | insula | mundus | bellum | ager | adulter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | insula | munde | bellum | ager | adulter |
| GEN | insulae | mundi | belli | agri | adulteri |
| DAT | insulae | mund $\bar{o}$ | bell $\overline{0}$ | agrō | adulterō |
| ACC | insulam | mundum | bellum | agrum | adulterum |
| ABL | insula | mund $\bar{o}$ | bell $\overline{0}$ | agrō | adulterō |

## PLURAL

| NOM | insulae | mundi | bella | agri | adulteri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | insulae | mundi | bella | agri | adulteri |
| GEN | insulārum | mundōrum | bellōrum | agrōrum | adulterōrum |
| DAT | insulis | mundis | bellis | agris | adulteris |
| ACC | insulās | mundōs | bella | agrōs | adulterōs |
| ABL | insulis | mundis | bellis | agris | adulteris |

insula (f.) island; mundus (m.) world: bellum (n.) war: ager (m.) field: adulter (m.) adulterer.

SINGULAR

|  | MASC | FEM | NEUT | MASC | FEM | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | bonus | bona | bonum | taeter | taetra | taetrum |
| VOC | bone | bona | bonum | taeter | taetra | taetrum |
| GEN | boni | bonae | boni | taetrí | taetrae | taetri |
| DAT | bonō | bonae | bonō | taetrō | taetrae | taetrō |
| ACC | bonum | bonam | bonum | taetrum | taetram | taetrum |
| ABL | bonō | bonā | bonō | taetrō | taetra | taetrō |

PLURAL

| NOM | boni | bonae | bona | taetri | taetrae | taetra |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | boni | bonae | bona | taetri | taetrae | taetra |
| GEN | bonōrum | bonārum | bonōrum | taetrōrum | taetrārum | taetrōrum |
| DAT | bonis | bonis | bonis | taetris | taetris | taetris |
| ACC | bonōs | bonās | bona | taetrōs | taetrās | taetra |
| ABL | bonis | bonis | bonis | taetris | taetris | taetris |

SINGULAR

| NOM | liber | libera | liberum | liberī | liberae | libera |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | liber | libera | liberum | liberī | liberae | libera |
| GEN | liberī | liberae | liberi | liberōrum | liberārum | liberōrum |
| DAT | liberō | liberae | liberō | liberis | liberis | liberis |
| ACC | liberum | liberam | liberum | liberōs | liberās | libera |
| ABL | liberō | liberā | liberō | liberis | liberis | liberis |

bonus, bona, bonum good; taeter, taetra, taetrum foul; liber, libera, liberum free.

## SINGULAR

| NOM | miles | nōmen | turris | animal | mare | urbs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | militis | nōminis | turris | animālis | maris | urbis |
| DAT | militi | nōmini | turri | animāī | mari | urbi |
| ACC | militem | nōmen | turrim | animal | mare | urbem |
| ABL | milite | nōmine | turri | animāi | mari | urbe |

## PLURAL

| NOM | militēs | nōmina | turrēs | animālia | maria | urbēs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | militum | nōminum | turrium | animālium | marium | urbium |
| DAT | militibus | nōminibus | turribus | animālibus | maribus | urbibu's |
| ACC | militēs | nōmina | turris | animālia | maria | urbēs |
| ABL | militibus | nōminibus | turribus | animālibus | maribus | urbibus |

miles (m.) soldier; nōmen (n.) noun, name; turris (f.) tower; animal (n.) animal; mare ( n. ) sea; urbs (f.) city.

SINGULAR

|  | MASC | FEM | NEUT | MASC | FEM | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | celeber | celebris | celebre | celebrēs | celebrēs | celebria |
| GEN | celebris | celebris | celebris | celebrium | celebrium | celebrium |
| DAT | celebri | celebri | celebri | celebribus | celebribus | celebribus |
| ACC | celebrem | celebrem | celebre | celebrēs | celebrēs | celebria |
| ABL | celebri | celebri | celebri | celebribus | celebribus | celebribus |

SINGULAR

|  | MASC-FEM | NEUT | MASC-FEM | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | celebrior | celebrius | implūmis | implūme |
| GEN | celebriōris | celebriōris | implūmis | implūmis |
| DAT | celebriōri | celebriōri | implūmi | implūmi |
| ACC | celebriōrem | celebrius | implūmem | implūme |
| ABL | celebriōre | celebriōre | implūmi | implūmi |

## PLURAL

| NOM | celebriōrēs | celebriōra | implūmēs | implūmia |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | celebriōrum | celebriōrum | implūmium | implūmium |
| DAT | celebriōribus | celebriōribus | implūmibus | implūmibus |
| ACC | celebriōrēs | celebriōra | implūmēs | implūmia |
| ABL | celebriōribus | celebriōribus | implūmibus | implūmibus |

## SINGULAR

NOM pār
GEN pari
pār

DAT pari
ACC parem
ABL pari
paris
pari
pār
pari

## PLURAL

parēs paria
parium parium
paribus paribus
parēs paria
paribus paribus
celeber, celebris, celebre famous; celebrior, celebrius more famous; implūmis, implūme featherless; $p \bar{a} r$ equal, even.

|  | MASC | FEM | NEUT | MASC | FEM | NEUT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | ūnus | una | ūnum | duo | duae | duo |
| GEN | ūnius | ūnius | ūnius | duōrum | duārum | duōrum |
| DAT | ūni | uni | ūni | duōbus | duābus | duōbus |
| ACC | unnum | unnam | ūnum | duōs | duās | duo |
| ABL | ūnō | ūnā | ūnō | duōbus | duābus | duōbus |

## SINGULAR

NOM manus
GEN manūs
DAT manui (manū)
ACC manum
ABL manū
cornū
diēs
cornūs
cornū
cornū
cornū
diei (diē)
diēi (diē)
diem
diē

## PLURAL

NOM manūs
GEN manuum
DAT manibus
ACC manūs
ABL manibus
cornua
diès
cornuum
cornibus
cornua
cornibus
diērum
diēbus
diēs
diēbus
$u_{1}$ (f.) hand; cornū (n.) horn; diēs (f.) day.

## PRŌNŌMINA

|  | SINGULAR | PLURAL | SINGULAR | PLURAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | ego | tū | nōs | vōs |
| GEN | mei | tui | nostrum | vestrum |
|  |  |  | nostrì | vestri |
| DAT | mihi | tibi | nōbis | vōbis |
| ACC | mē | tē | nōs | vōs |
| ABL | mē | tē | nōbis | vōbis |

ego I, myself; tū you, yourself; $n \bar{s} s$ we, ourselves; vōs you, yourselves.

|  | SINGULAR |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | MASC | FEM | NEUT | MASC-FEM | NEUT |
| NOM | qui | quae | quod | quis | quid |
| GEN | cuius | cuius | cuius | cuius | cuius |
| DAT | cui | cui | cui | cui | cui |
| ACC | quem | quam | quod | quem | quid |
| ABL | quō | quā | quō | quō | quō |

## PLURA1

| NOM | qui | quae | quae | qui | quat | quae |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEN | quōrum | quārum | quōrum | quōrum. | quārum | quōrur |
| DAT | quibus | quibus | quibus | quibus | quibus | quibus |
| ACC | quōs | quās | quae | quōs | quās | quae |
| ABL | quibus | quibus | quibus | quibus | quibus | quibus |


|  |  |  | SINGUL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MASC | FEM | neut | MASC | FEM | neut |
| NOM | hic | haec | hoc | ille | illa | illud |
| GEN | huius | huius | huius | illius | illius | illius |
| DAT | huic | huic | huic | illi | illi | illi |
| ACC | hunc | hanc | hoc | illum | illam | illud |
| ABL | nōc | hāc | hōc | illo | illa | illo |
|  |  |  | PLURA |  |  |  |
| NOM | hi | hae | haec | illi | illae | illa |
| GEN | hōrum | härum | hōrum | illōrum | illārum | illobrum |
| DAT | his | his | his | illis | illis | illis |
| ACC | hōs | hās | haec | illōs | illas | illa |
| ABI | his | his | his | illis | illis | illis |
|  |  | gGULAR |  |  | Plural |  |
| NOM | is | ea | id |  | eae |  |
| GEN | eius | eius | eius | eōrum | eārum | eōrum |
| DA1 | ei | ei | ei | eis | eis | eis |
| ACC | eum | eam | id | eōs | eās | ea |
| ABL | eō | eā | eō | eis | eis | eis |

hic, naec, hoc this, this one; ille, illa, illud that, that one; is ea id this, that, he, she, it.

## VERBA

## Infinitives

Note: Nobody is really sure which part of speech infinitives belong to even today. There has been general agreement that they are either nouns or verbs but probably not both, at least not simultaneously. The Latin infinitives seem to have started life as the locative case forms of nouns made from verbs. With the effective disappearance of the locative case in Latin, a new status was voted to the infinitive: it was considered to be a "mood" of the verb on a par with the indicative, the subjunctive, and the imperative. All that means, essentially, is that infinitives usually get listed with the verbs instead of with the nouns. We place them here, technically in the class Verba, but close enough to the egress for safety.

|  |  | ACTIVE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rogāre | monēre | dicere | facere | audire |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rogāvisse | monuisse | dixisse | fēcisse | audivisse |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PASSIVE |  |  |
| rogāri | monēri | dici | faci | audiri |  |

For the so-called perfect passive, future active, and future passive infinitives, which really aren't infinitives at all, but participles plus the infinitive of the verb "to be," see Participia below.

INDICATIVE ACTIVE
PRESENT

|  | 1. | rogō | moneō | dicō | faciō | audi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | rogās | monēs | dicis | facis | audis |
|  | 3. | rogat | monet | dicit | facit | audit |


|  | 1 | rogāmus | monēmus | dicimus | facimus | audimus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLUR | 2 | rogātis | monētis | dicitis | facitis | auditis |
|  | 3 | rogant | monent | dicunt | faciunt | audiunt |

## IMPERFECT

|  | 1. | rogābam | monēbam | dicēbami | faciēbam | audiēbam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | rogābās | monēbās | dicēs | faciēbās | audiēbās |
| dicēbat | faciēbat | audiēbat |  |  |  |  |

## PERFECT

1. rogāvi

| monui | dixi |
| :--- | :--- |
| monuisti | dixisti |
| monuit | dixit |

monuimus
PLUR 2. rogāvistis
3. rogāvērunt
monuistis
monuērunt

| fêci | audivi |
| :--- | :--- |
| fêcisti | audivisti |
| fêcit | audivit |
| fécimus | audivimus |
| fêcistis | audivistis |
| fécerunt | audivērunt |

## PLUPERFECT

|  | 1. | rogāveram | monueram | dixeram | fēceram | audiveram |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | rogāverās | monuerās | dixerās | fēcerās | audiverāa |
|  | 3. | rogāverat | monuerat | dixerat | fēcerat | audiverat |
|  | 1. | rogāverāmus | monuerāmus | dixerāmus | fēcerāmus | audiverāmus |
| PLUR | 2. | rogāverātis | monuerātis | dixerātis | fécerātis | audiverātis |
|  | 3. | rogāverant | monuerant | dixerant | fécerant | audiverant |

FUTURE

|  | 1. | rogābō | monēbō | dicam | faciam | audiam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | rogābis | monēbis | dicēs | faciēs | audiēs |
|  | 3. | rogābit | monēbit | dicet | faciet | audiet |
|  | 1. | rogābimus | monēbimus | dicēmus | faciēmus | audiēmus |
| PLUR | 2. | rogābitis | monēbitis | dicētis | faciētis | audiētis |
|  | 3. | rogābunt | monēbunt | dicent | facient | audient |

SING

1. rogāverō
2. rogāveris
3. rogāverit
4. rogāverimus

PLUR
3. rogāverint
monuerō
monueris
monuerit
monuerimus
monueritis
monuerint

## SUBJUNCTIVE ACTIVE

PRESENT

|  | 1. | rogem | moneam | dicam | faciam | audiam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | rogēs | moneās | dicās | faciās | audiās |
|  | 3. | roget | moneat | dicat | faciat | audiat |
|  | 1. | rogēmus | moneāmus | dicāmus | faciāmus | audiāmus |
| PLUR | 2. | rogētis | moneātis | dicātis | faciātis | audiātis |
|  | 3. | rogent | moneant | dicant | faciant | audiant |

## IMPERFECT

1. rogārem

SING
2. rogārēs
3. rogāret

1. rogārēmus

PLUR 2. rogārētis
3. rogärent

1. rogāverim

SING 2. rogāveris
3. rogāverit

1. rogāverimus

PLUR
2. rogāveritis
3. rogāverint
monērem
monērēs
monēret
monērēmus
monērētis
monērent

## PERFECT

| monuerim | dixerim | fēcerim | audiverim |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| monueris | dixeris | féceris | audiveris |
| monuerit | dixerit | fêcerit | audiverit |
| monuerimus | dixerimus | fēcerimus | audiverimus |
| monueritis | dixeritis | fêceritis | audiveritis |
| monuerint | dixerint | fēcerint | audiverint |

## PLUPERFECT

dixissem
dixissēs
dixisset
fēcissem
fēcissēs
fēcisset
facerem
facerēs
faceret
facerēmus
facerētis
facerent
dicerent
dicerem
dicerēs diceret dicerēmus
dicerētis
fecerint
audiverint
audirem audirēs audiret audirēmus audirētis audirent audiverim audiveris audiverit audiverimus audiveritis audiverint
dixerē
dixeris
dixerit
dixerimus
dixeritis
dixerint
audiverō audiveris audiverit audiverimus audiveritis audiverint
fēcerō
fēceris fēcerit
fēcerimus
féceritis fēcerint
faciam
faciās
faciat
faciàmus
faciātis
faciant

1. rogāvissem

SING 2. rogävissēs
3. rogāvisset
monuissem
monuissēs
monuisset

|  | 1. | rogāvissēmus | monuissēmus | dixissēmus | fēcissēmus | audivissēmus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLUR | 2. | rogãvissētis | monuissētis | dixissētis | fécissētis | audivissētis |
|  | 3. | rogăvissent | monuissent | dixissent | fécissent | audivissent |

IMPERATIVE ACTIVE
PRESENT

| SING | 2. | rogā | monē | d |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLUR | 2. | rogāte | monēte | d |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | FUTURE |


| SING | 2. | rogātō | monētō | dicitō | facitō | auditō |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3. | rogātō | monētō | dicitō | facitō | auditō |
| PLUR | 2. | rogātōte | monētōte | dicitōte | facitōte | auditōte |
|  | 3. | rogantō | monentō | dicuntō | faciuntō | audiuntō |

## INDICATIVE PASSIVE

## PRESENT

| SING | 1. | rogor | moneor | dicor | facior | audior |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rogāris, | monēris, <br> diceris, | faceris, <br> audiris, |  |  |  |  |
|  | rogāre | mōēre | dicere | facere | audire |  |
|  | 3. | rogātur | monētur | dicitur | facitur | auditur |
|  | 1. | rogāmur | monēmur | dicimur | facimur | audimur |
| PLUR | 2. | rogāmini | monēmini | dicimini | facimini | audimini |
|  | 3. | rogantur | monentur | dicuntur | faciuntur | audiuntur |

## IMPERFECT

SING

> 1. rogābar
2. rogābāris,
3. rogāāāur

1. rogāāamur

LUR
3. rogabantur
monebar
monēbäris, monēbāre
monēbātur
monēbāmur
monēbāmini
monēbantur
dicēbar
dicēbāris, dicēbāre
dicēbātur
dicebāmur
dicebaảmini
dicēbantur
faciebar faciēbāris, faciebāre
faciēātur
faciēbāmur audiēbāmur faciebāmini audiēbāminı faciebantur audiëbantur

FUTURE

SING

PLUR 2. rogabimini
3. rogabuntur
monēbor dicar
monēberis, dicēris, monēbere monebbitur monēbimur monëbimini monëbuntur
dicēre dicētur dicēmur dicēmini dicentur
faciar audiar faciēris, audiēris, faciēre audiēre faciētur audiètur facièmur audièmur faciēmini audiēmini facientur audientur

## The Passive Periphrastic

Everybody who likes words should know about "periphrastic." Periphrasis, like its boon companion, the paraphrase, is simply another way of saying something when all you have at hand are spare parts. That, basically, is the idea behind the periphrastic tenses. In Latin, as in English (and certain other languages that prefer to remain anonymous), the periphrastic tenses are formed of a participle and an auxiliary ("helping") verb, usually the verb "to be," though "to have" and "to go" have been known to put in a full day too.

In Latin, the perfect, pluperfect, and future perfect of the passive are periphrastic tenses made with the verb "to be" (esse) plus the past participle. The past participle agrees in number and gender with the subject of the verb. Thus,

SING

1. rogātus, $-a$, -um sum
2. rogātus, -a, -um es
3. rogātus, -a , -um est
4. rogāti, -ae, -a sumus

PLUR
2. rogāti, -ae, -a estis
3. rogātī, -ae, -a ṣunt

1. dictus, -a , -um sum

SING
2. dictus, $-a$, ,um es

| 1. | rogäbor | monēbor | dicar | faciar | audiar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | rogāberis, <br> rogäbere | monëberis, <br> dicēris, | faciēris, <br> dicēre | audiēris, |  |
| 3. fociēre | audiēre |  |  |  |  |

## PERFECT

3. dictus, -a, -um est
monētus, -a, -um sum
monētus, - $a$, -um es
monētus, -a, -um est
monēti, -ae, -a sumus
monēti, -ae, -a sunt
factus, -a, -um sum
factus, -a, -um es
factus, - $a$, -um est
auditus, -a, -um sum auditus, -a, -um es
auditus, -a, -um est
4. dicti, -ae, -a sumu

PLUR 2. dicti, ae, -a estis
3. dict1, -ae, -a sunt
facti, -ae, -a sumus
facti, -ae, -a estis
facti, -ae, -a sunt

## PLUPERFECT

1. rogātus, a, -um eram monētus, -a, -um eram

SING 2. rogātus, -a, -um erās monētus, -a, -um erās
3. rogātus, -a, -um erat monētus, -a, -um erat

1. rogāti, -ae, -a erāmus monēti, -ae, a erāmus

PLUR 2. rogāti, -ae, -a erātis monēti, -ae, a erātis
3. rogāti, -ae, -a erant monēti, -ae, a erant

1. dictus, -a, -um eram factus, -a, -um eram

SING 2. dictus, -a, -um erās
3. dictus, -a, -um erat

1. dicti, -ae, -a erāmus

PLUR 2. dicti, -ae, -a erātis
3. dicti, -ae, -a erant
factus, -a, -um erās
factus, -a , -um erat
facti, -ae, a erảmus
facti, -ae, -a erātis
facti, ae, -a erant

FUTURE PERFECT

1. rogātus, -a, -um erō monētus, -a, -um erō

SING
2. rogātus, -a. -um eris
3. rogātus. -a, -um erit

1. rogāti, ae, -a erimus

PLUR 2. rogāti, -ae, -a eritis
3. rogāti, ae, -a erunt

1. dictus, -a, -um erō

SING 2. dictus, -a, -um eris
3. dictus. -a, -um erit

1. dictí,-ae, a erimus

PLUR monētus, -a, -um eris monētus, a, -um erit monētí, -ae, a erimus monēti. ae. -a eritis monēti, -ae, -a erunt
factus, -a, -um erō
factus, -a, -um eris factus, -a, -um erit facti, -ae, -a erimus facti, -ae, -a eritis facti, -ae, -a erunt
auditi, -ae, -a sumus
auditi, -ae, -a estis
auditi, -ae, -a sunt
auditus, -a, -um eram auditus, -a, -um erās auditus, -a, -um erat auditi, -ae, a erāmus auditi, -ae, a erātis auditi, ae, -a erant
auditus. -a, -um erō auditus, -a. -um eris auditus, -a, -um erit auditi, -ae, -a erimus audití, -ae. -a eritis auditi, -ae, -a erunt

## SUBJUNCTIVE PASSIVE

## PRESENT

|  | SING | roger | monear | dicar | faciar | audiar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2. | rogēris, | moneāris. | dicāris, | faciāris, | audiāris |
|  | rogēre | moneāre | dicāre | faciāre | audiāre |  |
|  | 3. | rogētur | moneātur | dicatur | faciātur | audiātur |


| PLUR | 2. <br> 3. | rogēmur rogēmini rogentur | moneāmur moneāmini moneantur | dicāmur dicāmini dicantur | faciāmur faciāmini faciantur | audiāmur audiāmini audiantur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMPERFECT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SING | 1. 2. 3. | rogārer rogārēris, rogārēre rogārētur | monērer monērēris. monērēre monērētur | dicerer <br> dicerēris, <br> dicerēre <br> dicerētur | facerer facerēris, facerēre facerētur | audirer audirē̈is, audirēre audirētur |
| PLUR | 1. 2. 3. | rogāāēmur rogārēmini rogārentur | monērēmur monērēmini monērentur | dicerēmur dicerēmini dicerentur | facerēmur facerēmini facerentur | audirēmur audirēmini audirentur |

## PERFECT

1. rogātus, $-\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{um}$ sim monētus, $-\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{um}$ sim

SING 2. rogātus, -a, -um sis monētus, -a , -um sis
3. rogātus, -a, -um sit monētus, -a, -um sit

1. rogāti, -ae, -a simus monētī, -ae. -a simus

PLUR 2. rogāti, -ae, -a sitis monēti, -ae, -a sitis
3. rogāti, -ae, -a sint monēti, -ae, -a sint

1. dictus, -a , -um sim factus, $-\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{um}$ sim

SING 2. dictus, -a, -um sis
3. dictus, -a, -um sit

1. dicti, -ae, -a simus

PLUR 2. dictī, -ae, -a sitis
3. dicti, -ae, -a sint
factus, $-a$, -um sis
factus, -a, -um sit
facti, -ae, -a simus
facti, -ae, -a sitis
facti, -ae, -a sint

## PLUPERFECT

1. rogātus, -a, -um essem monētus, -a, -um essem

SING 2. rogātus, -a, -um essēs monētus, $-a$, -um essēs
3. rogātus, -a, -um esset monētus, -a, -um esset

1. rogāti, -ae, -a essēmus monēti, -ae, -a essēmus

PLUR 2. rogāti, -ae, -a essētis monēti, -ae, -a essētis
3. rogāti, -ae, -a essent monēti, -ae, a essent

1. dictus, -a, -um essem factus, -a , -um essem

SING
2. dictus, -a, -um essēs 3. dictus, -a,-um esset factus, -a, -um esset

1. dicti, -ae, -a essēmus facti, -ae, -a essēmus

PLUR 2. dicti, -ae, -a essētis facti, -ae, -a essētis
3. dicti, -ae, -a essent facti, -ae, -a essent
auditus, -a, -um essem auditus, -a, -um essēs auditus, -a, -um esset
auditi, -ae, -a essēmus
auditi, -ae, -a essētis
auditi, -ae, -a essent

## IMPERATIVE PASSIVE

## PRESENT

SING 2. rogāre monēre dicere facere audire PLUR 2. rogāmini monēmini dicimini facimini audimini

## FUTURE

SING 2. rogātor monētor dicitor facitor auditor
3. rogātor monētor dicitor facitor auditor

PLUR 2. -
3. rogantor monento
dicuntor faciuntor audiuntor

## PARTICIPIA

## PRESENT

NOM rogāns monēns dicēns faciēns audiēns

GEN rogantis monentis dicentis facientis audientis

PERFECT
rogātus, -a, -um monitus, -a, -um dictus, -a, -um factus, -a, -um auditus, -a, -um

## FUTURE

rogātūrus, -a, -um monitūrus, -a, -um dictūrus, -a, -um factūrus, -a, -um auditūrus, -a, -um
rogandus, $-\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{um} \quad$ monendus, $-\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{um} \quad$ dicendus, $-\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{um} \quad$ faciendus, $-\mathrm{a},-\mathrm{um}$ audiendus, -a, -um
rogō, rogāre, rogāvi, rogātum to ask; moneō, monēre, monui, monitum to warn; dicō, dicere, dixi, dictum to say; faciō, facere fécī, factum to do, make; audiō, audire, audivi, auditum to hear.

## Alia

The other classical parts of speech-Adverbia, Praepositiones, Conjunctiones, and Interjectiones have very little to say for themselves, really, that hasn't already been said as plainly as the surviving grammarians could possibly have said it, or at least have, if it comes to that. Try the index.

## Anomaliae

When grammarians say, as they have for at least two millennia, that language is "regular," that is, that you can make up a finite number of rules that will describe how it all works, they don't mean this to be taken absolutely literally. Or they shouldn't, anyway, because we all know better: some anomalies, some exceptions to the rules, are bound to come forward.

Latin, like all other languages in robust health, has its anomalies and irregularities. The most important inhabit the verb system. We will hit the high points here, namely, the verbs esse to be, posse to be able, ire to go, velle to want, and nölle to not want. The other ringleaders (èsse to eat, ferre to bear, and fieri to become, be made) are presented in sufficient numbers when they appear in the text to exempt them from repetition here.

Because esse, posse, ire, velle, and nōlle are in essence active - you can't, for example, be been able without severe strain-we will give only their active forms, those being all there are besides. (This rule too has a minor exception: there is a passive-looking infinitive iri to the verb $e \bar{o}$, ire to go, but let us leave sleeping dogs supine.) With no further ado:

## INFINITIVES

## PRESENT

esse

|  | PERFECT |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fuisse potuisse | isse |  |  |
|  | voluisse | noluisse |  |

INDICATIVE
PRESENT

|  | 1. | sum | possum | eō | volō | nō$\overline{0} \overline{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | es | potes | is | vis | nōn vis |
|  | 3. | est | potest | it | vult | nōn vult |
|  | 1. | sumus | possumus | imus | volumus | nōlumus |
| PLUR | 2. | estis | potestis | itis | vultis | nōn vultis |
|  | 3. | sunt | possunt | eunt | volunt | nōlunt |

IMPERFECT

|  | 1. | eram | poteram | ibam | volēbam | nōlēbam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | erās | poterās | ibās | volēbās | nōlēbās |
|  | 3. | erat | poterat | ibat | volēbat | nōlēbat |
|  | 1. | erāmus | poterāmus | ibāmus | volēbāmus | nōlēbāmus |
| PLUR | 2. | erātis | poterātis | ibātis | volēbātis | nōlēbātis |
|  | 3. | erant | poterant | ibant | volēbant | nōlēbant |

## PERFECT

|  | 1. | fui | potui | ii | volui | nōlui |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | fuisti | potuisti | isti | voluisti | nōluisti |
|  | 3. | fuit | potuit | iit | voluit | nōluit |
|  | 1. | fuimus | potuimus | iimus | voluimus | nōluimus |
| PLUR | 2. | fuistis | potuistis | istis | voluistis | nōluistis |
|  | 3. | fuērunt | potuērunt | iērunt | voluērunt | nōluērunt |

## PLUPERFECT

|  | 1. | fueram | potueram | ieram | volueram | nōlueram |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | fuerās | potuerās | ierās | voluerās | nōluerās |
|  | 3. | fuerat | potuerat | ierat | voluerat | nōluerat |

1. fuerāmus

## PLUR 2. fuerātis

3. fuerant
potuerāmus rerāmus voluerāmus potuerātis ierātis voluerātis potuerant ierant voluerant
nōluerāmus
noluerātis
noluerant

## FUTURE

|  | 1. | erō | poterō | ibō | volam | nōlam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | eris | poteris | ibis | volēs | nōēs |
|  | 3. | erit | poterit | ibit | volet | nōlet |
|  | 1. | erimus | poterimus | ibimus | volēmus | nō̄èmus |
| PLUR | 2. | eritis | poteritis | ibitis | volētis | nōētis |
|  | 3. | erunt | poterunt | ibunt | volent | nōlent |

## FUTURE PERFECT

|  | 1. | fuerō | potuerō | ierō | voluerō | nōluerō |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SING | 2. | fueris | potueris | ieris | volueris | nōlueris |
|  | 3. | fuerit | potuerit | ierit | voluerit | noluerit |
|  | 1. | fuerimus | potuerimus | ierimus | voluerimus | nōluerimus |
| PLUR | 2. | fueritis | potueritis | ieritis | volueritis | nolueritis |
|  | 3. | fuerint | potuerint | ierint | voluerint | nōluerint |

## SUBJUNCTIVE

## PRESENT

| SING | 1. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sim } \\ & \text { sis } \\ & \text { sit } \end{aligned}$ | possim possis possit | eam <br> eās <br> eat | velim velis velit | nōlim <br> nolis <br> nolit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLUR | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \end{aligned}$ | simus <br> sitis <br> $\sin t$ | possimus possitis possint | eāmus eātis eant | velimus <br> velitis <br> velint | nōlimus nōlitis nōlint |
| IMPERFECT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SING | 1. | essem | possem | irem | vellem | nollem |
|  | 2. | essēs | possēs | irēs | vellēs | nôllēs |
|  | 3. | esset | posset | iret | vellet | nölet |
| PLUR | 1. | essēmus | possèmus | irēmus | vellēmus | nōllèmus |
|  | 2. | essētis | possētis | irētis | vellêtis | nollētis |
|  | 3. | essent | possent | irent | vellent | nöllent |

## PERFECT

| SING | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \end{aligned}$ | fuerim fueris fuerit | potuerim potueris potuerit | ierim ieris ierit | voluerim volueris voluerit | nōluerim nōlueris nōluerit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLUR | $\begin{aligned} & 1 . \\ & 2 . \\ & 3 . \end{aligned}$ | fuerimus <br> fueritis <br> fuerint | potuerimus poteuritis potuerint | ierimus <br> ieritis <br> ierint | voluerimus volueritis voluerint | nōluerimus nōlueritis nōluerint |
| PLUPERFECT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SING | 1. 2. 3. | fuissem fuissēs fuisset | potuissem potuissēs potuisset | issem <br> issēs <br> isset | voluissem voluissēs voluisset | nōluissem nöluissēs nöluisset |
| PLUR | 1. 2. 3. | fuissēmus <br> fuissētis <br> fuissent | potuissēmus potuissētis potuissent | issēmus issētis issent | voluissēmus <br> voluissētis <br> voluissent | nōluissēmus noluissētis nōluissent |


| SING | 2. | es | - | i | - | nōli |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLUR | 2. | este | - | ite | - | nolite |

## FUTURE



## PARTICIPIA

## PRESENT

| NOM | - | potēns | iēns | volēns | nōlēns |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | - | potentis | euntis | volentis | nōlentis |

## FUTURE

futūrus, -a, -um - itūrus, -a, -um - _ -
sum, esse, fui, futūrus to be; possum, posse, potui to be able; eō, ire, ii, ìtürus to go; volō, velle, volui to want; nō̄ō, nölle, nōlui to not

## Glossary



## Latin-English

$\bar{a}, a b$ from (with the ablative), iij
$a c$ and, iv
Acheron, -untis (m.) Acheron, a river in the underworld, xii örae Acheruntis regions of Acheron, i.e., the Underworld itself, xii
ad toward, to, at (with the accusative), iii
adferō, adferre, attuli, adlātum to bring (back), vii
adsum, adesse, adfui, adfutūrus to be present, xiii
adulter, -eri (m.) adulterer, ii
adūrō, adūrāre, adūrāvi, adūrātum to burn, xii
adverbium, $-i$ (n.) adverb, i
advocātus, $-i$ (m.) lawyer, x
advocō, advocāre, advocāvi, advocātum to call, summon, x
aes, aeris ( n .) bronze, copper, hence bell, cymbal, x
aestās, aestātis (f.) summer, viii
ager, agri (m.) field, ii
agger, -eris (m.) rampart, ix

agō, agere, $\bar{e} g i, \bar{a} c t u m$ to move, act, lead, iii, xiii
iter agere to make one's way, xiii
grätiās agere to give thanks, iii
agricola, -ae (m.) farmer, ii
$\bar{a} i \bar{o}$, etc. to say, affirm, xiii
Alba Longa, Albae Longae (f.) Alba Longa, city of Latium, viii
albus, $-a$, $-u m$ white, x
alius, alia, aliud other, ix
alter, altera, alterum the other, iv
altus, $-a$, - um high. lofty, deep, xiii
amārus, $-a,-u m$ bitter. xii
$a m b \bar{o}, a m b a e, a m b \bar{o}$ both, iii
amicus, $-a$ friendly, hence as noun, friend, vi
$a m \bar{o}, ~ a m a ̄ r e, ~ a m \bar{a} v i, a m a \bar{t} u m$ to love. vi
amor, amōris (m.) love, vi animal, -ālis ( n. ) animal, iv
annus, $-i$ (m.) year, vi
ante before (with the accusative), xi
antiquus, $-a$, $-u m$ ancient, old, vi
appropinquō, appropinquāre, appropinquāvi, appropinquātum to draw near, xi
apud with, according to, at the house of (with the accusative), xi
aqua, -ae (f.) water, xiii
arō, arāre, arāvi, arātum to plough, iii
aspiciō, aspicere, aspēxi, aspectum to observe, behold, look at, xii
atque and, iv
atrōx (gen. atrōcis) atrocious, iv
audāx (gen. audācis) bold, xiii
audiō, audire, audivi, auditum to hear, iii
aura, -ae (f.) air, breeze, xiii
aut . . . aut. . . either . . . or . . . (exclusive), iv
autem on the other hand, however; moreover, viii
auxilium, $-i(\mathrm{n}$.) help; as plural, auxiliary troops, ix
$a v e \overline{!}$ h hail! hello!, iv
avetarda, -ae (f.) bustard (Gk. $\bar{O}$ tis, $\bar{O}$ tidos), xii
avia, -ae (f.) grandmother, vii
avis, avis (f.) bird, v
$a v u s,-i(\mathrm{~m}$.$) grandfather, vii$
barba, -ae (f.) beard, vii
bellum, -i ( n .) war, ii
bini, binae, bina two (of the same sort), a parr, iii
bipēs (gen. bipedis) two-footed, iv
bonus, -a, -um good, ii
bōs, bovis (m. or f.) ox, cow, vii
$b \bar{o} z \bar{o}, b \bar{z} z \bar{u} s$ (m.) clown, xi
Britannia, -ae (f.) Britain, England, is
caelum, -i (n.) sky, heaven, xiii
caeruleus, $-a,-u m$ sky-blue, xiii
Caesar, -aris (m.) Caesar, ix
calceus, $-i$ (m.) shoe, vi
cancer, cancri (m.) crab, ii
caniculus, $-i$ (m.) little dog, viii
canis, canis (m.) dog, v
capilla, -ae (f.) hair, v
capiō, capere, cēpi, captum to catch, seize, iii
caput, capitis ( n .) head, x
carē, carēre, carui, caritūrus to be lacking (the thing lacked is in the ablative), xiii
caritās, -tätis (f.) dearness, hence high price, v
carp $\overline{0}$, carpere, carpsi, carptum to pick, snatch; to navigate along (a route), xiii
castra, -ōrum (n.) a camp (pl. tantum), ix
cāsus, cāsūs (m.) falling, hence case (gram.), ii, xii
cāsus rēctus upright case, i.e., the nominative
cāsūs obliqui oblique cases, i.e., all the others
cāsus bellī occasion (for outbreak) of war
catapulta, -ae (f.) catapult, ix
cauda, -ae (f.) tail, xi
celeber, celebris, celebre (gen. celebris) famous, frequented, iv
celebrior, -brius more famous; more frequented, iv
celerius more speedily, vii
celsus, $-a,-u m$ high, elevated, xiii
centuria, -ae (f.) company of 60 to 100 soldiers, ix
centuriō, -ōnis (m.) centurion (commander of a centuria), ix
cēra, -ae (f.) wax, xii
cerevisia, -ae (f.) beer, v
certē certainly; yes, v
cervus, $-i$ (m.) deer, stag, vii
cibus, $-i$ (m.) food, vii
civis, civis (m.) citizen, vi
civitās, -tātis (f.) community of citizens, body politic, hence city, vi
clāvus, $-i$ (m.) nail, peg, $x$
clepsydra, -ae (f.) water clock, vi
coepere, coepi, coeptum to begin, xiii cōgnōmen, -minis (n.) last name, surname, vi
cohors, cohortis (f.) cohort (body of soldiers $=3$ manipuli $=6$ centuriae $=360$ to 600 men ), ix
color, colōris (m.) color, x cōmissime $\bar{e}$ most politely, v condus, condūs (m.) storekeeper, xi
conglaciō, conglaciare, -, - to freeze, xii
coniunctiō, -ōnis (f.) conjunction, $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{iv}$
cōnsul, cōnsulis (m.) consul, xiii
convocō, convocāre, convocā$v i$, convocātum to call together, xiii coram facing, face to face with (with the ablative), xi
corn $\bar{u}$, cornūs (n.) horn, xi
corpus, corporis (n.) body, xii
crapsti an Etruscan epithet, recorded but as yet untranslated, viii
crās tomorrow, xiii
crescō, crescere, crēvi to grow, increase, vii
crocodilus, $-i(\mathrm{~m}$.$) crocodile, \mathrm{xi}$
crustulum, $-i$ ( n .) cookie, little cake, xi
culpa, -ae (f.) fault, guilt, x
cum when, since (with the ablative), vi
cūpa, -ae (f.) cask, v
cupido, cupidinis (f.) desire, greed, xiii
currō, currere, cucurri, cursum to run, iii, v
custōdiō, custōdire, custōdivī, custōditum to guard, keep watch $\lambda$
custōs, custödis (m. or f.) guard, keeper, $x$
$d \bar{e}$ from, about (concerning), v
decōrus, $-a$, -um fit, seemly, xii
dèmissus, -a, -um low, xiii
dēnique at last, x
dēnūdātus, $-a,-u m$ stripped, iv
dēscendō, dēscendere, dēscendī, dēscensum to get down, descend, vi
dēsiderō, dēsiderāre, dēsiderāvi, dēsiderātum to long for, wish, $\mathbf{v}$
dēserō, dēserere, dēserui, dēsertum to desert, leave, xiij
dēsignō, dēsignāre, dēsignāvi, dēsignātum to designate, name, xii
dexter, dextera, dexterum right, iin
dicō, dicere, dixi, dictum to say, iv
diēs, diēi (m.) day, xi
diū for a long time, vii
diütius for a while longer
disco, discere, didici to learn, figure out, x
d $\overline{\text {, }}$, dare, dedi, datum to give, v
doce $\bar{o}$, docēre, docui, doctum to teach, instruct, xiii
doctus, -a, -um sage
dolor, dolōris (m.) anguish, pain, ix
dominus, $-i$ (m.) master of the house, employer, $\mathbf{v}$
domus, domūs (domi) (f.) house, xi
$d \bar{u} c \bar{o}, d \bar{u} c e r e, d \bar{u} x \bar{i}, d \bar{u} c t u m$ to lead, viii
dulcis, dulce (gen. dulcis) sweet, pleasant, xii
dum while, ix
duo, duae, duo two, iii
$d u x, d u c i s(m$.$) leader, xiij$
ecce! behold!, vi
edō, ēsse (edere), èdi, ēssum (ēsum) to eat, viii
ego, mei I, vi
$e \bar{o}, i \operatorname{ire}, i \bar{i},(\overline{i v i}), i t u \bar{r} u s$ to go, vi
eques, equitis (m.) cavalryman; patrician with income of 5000+ sestertii/yr. and the right to wear a gold ring, ix
equus, $-i$ (m.) horse, ix
$\operatorname{erg} \bar{o}$ therefore, consequently, iii
et and, ii
et . . . et both . . . and, iv
etiam still; even; yet; also; indeed, iii
etrūscus, -a, -um Etruscan, viii
euge! great! far out! right on! horray! (etc.), vii
euhoe! hooray! (etc.), $x$
$\bar{e} v a \bar{a} n e s c \bar{o}, \bar{e} v a \bar{n} e s c e r e, \bar{e} v a \bar{n} u i$ to vanish, viii
ex from, out from (with the ablative), $v$
exclāmō, exclāmāre, exclāmāvi, exclāmātum to cry out, exclaim, x
excipiō, excipere, excēpi, exceptum to take up, catch, xiii exe $\overline{0}$, exire, exii, exitum to leave, iv
explicō, explicāre, explicāvi, explicātum to unfold, explain, viii exsilio, exsilire, exsilui to spring forth, leap up, xiii exspectō, exspectāre, exspectā̄i, exspectātum to await, viii
fabula, $-a e$ (f.) conversation, tale, story, $v$
fäbulāns (gen. fabulantis) talking, v
fäbuläre (late Latin) to talk, v
facilis, facile (gen. facilis) easy, ix
facillime $\bar{e}$ most easily, ix
faciō, facere, fēci, factum to make, do, iii
famēlicus, -a, -um starving, vii
famēs, famis (f.) hunger, vii
famescō, famescere to become hungry, vii
famulus, -i (m.) servant, vii
fatum, $-i$ (n.) fate, calamity, xiii
fax, facis (f.) torch, x
fenestra, -ae (f.) window, viii
feriō, ferire, -, - to hit, smite, ix
ferō, ferre, tuli, lātum to bear, carry, vii
ferveō, fervēre, fervui to boil, xii
fibra, -ae (f.) fiber, entrails, vii
fidō, fidere, fisus sum to trust, xiii
filia, -ae (f.) daughter, ii
filius, -i (m.) son, ii
fio, fieri, factus sum to be made, done; to become, xiii
flumen, -minis (n.) river, xii
fodiō, fodere, fōdi, fossum to dig, ix
fossa, -ae (f.) trench, ditch, ix
foedus, -a, -um ugly, xi
fōrmōsus, $-a,-u m$ beautiful, vi
förmōsissimus, -a, -um most beautiful, vi
forsitan (forsan) perhaps, vii fortis, forte (gen. fortis) strong, ix fortissime most strongly, as hard as possible, ix forum, $-i$ ( n .) marketplace, public square, vi fräter, frätris (m.) brother, iv
frigus, frigoris ( n .) the cold, vii
friō, friäre, -, - to crumble, xi
funda, $-a e$ (f.) sling, ix fungus, $-i$ (m.) mushroom, viii

Gallia, -ae (f.) Gaul, France, ii Gallicus, -a, -um Gallic, ix gallus, $-i$ (m.) rooster, chicken, iv
gaude $\overline{0}$, gaudēre, gāvisus sum to be happy, rejoice, xiii
gēns, gentis (f.) clan, people, race, vi
gen $\bar{u}$, genūs (n.) knee, xi
genus, generis ( n .) family, race, sort, i , iv
germānus, -a, -um German, xiii
gesta, gestōrum ( n .) deeds, acts ( $p l$. tantum), vii gladius, $-i$ (m.) sword, ix
glōriösus, -a, -um full of glory, hence braggart, iii gravō, gravāre, gravāvī, gravātum to weigh down, xiii gubernātor, -tōris (m.) helmsman, vi
habeō, habēre, habui, habitum to have, iii
heri yesterday, xiii
hic, haec, hoc this, ix
hiems, hiemis (f.) winter, viii
Hispānus, -a, -um Spanish, xiii
homō, hominis (m.) man, iv
hōra, -ae (f.) hour, vi
hortor, hortāī, hortātus sum to urge, exhort, xii
iactō, iactāre, iactāvi, iactātum to throw, iv
iam now, xiii
idem, eadem, idem same, ix
idōneus, -a, -um suitable, fit for (with the dative), ii
ille, illa, illud that (one), ix
imber, imbris (m.) rain, iv
immoderātus, $-a,-u m$ unrestrained, outrageous, v
impār (gen. imparis) unequal, odd, iii, iv
imperātor, -tōris (m.) general, commander (in chief), ix
imperō, imperāre, imperāvi, imperātum to order, v
implūmis, implūme (gen. implūmis) featherless, iv
imprimō, imprimere, impressi, impressum tc imprint, press upon, xiii
in in, on (with the ablative); into, toward (w th the accusative), ii
infelix (gen. infelicis) unhappy, xii
inquam, etc. to say, xii
instrū, instruere, instrūxi, instrūctum to outfit, equip; instruct, xiii
insula, -ae (f.) island, ii
interficiō, interficere, interfēci, interfectum to kill, xii
interiectiō, -ōnis (f.) interjection, i , iv
introe $\bar{o}$, introire, introivi, introitum to enter, go into, $v$.
inveniō, invenire, invēni, inventum to invent, to come upon or up with, xiii
ipse, ipsa, ipsum self(-same), that very (one), $x$
is, ea, id he/she/it, ix
iste, ista, istud that one by/near you; later, that (expletive deleted) one, ix
ita thus, yes, v
iter, itineris (n.) way, journey, march, iv
iter facere/agere to journey, march
labia, -ōrum (n.) lips (pl. tantum), vii
lacertus, $-i$ (m.) arm, xiii
Latinī, -ōrum (m.) the Latins, Latin-speakers, inhabitants of Latium, i , ii Latinus, -a, -um Latin, i, ii
Latium, $-i(\mathrm{n}$.) Latium, whence came the Latini (west-central on the Italian peninsula), i , ii
laxus, -a, -um relaxed, unrestrained, vii
legatus, $-i$ (m.) envoy, delegate, vii
legiō, -ōnis (f.) legion( $=10$ cohortēs, or 3,600 to 6,000 troops), ix lēgō, lēgāre, lēgāvi, lēgātum to delegate, appoint, vii
lēx, lēgis (f.) law, $x$
liber, libera, liberum free, ii
Liber, Liberi (m.) Bacchus, ii
lïberi, -ōrum (m.) children, ii
licet, licēre, licuit it is permitted (+ dative of the person to whom the permission is granted), $\mathbf{x}$
limes, limitis (m.) path, course, xiii
locus, $-i(\mathrm{~m}$.$) place, location, ii$
lūcerna, -ae (f.) lamp, viii
lūcifer, lūcifera, lūciferum light-bearing, -bringing, viii
lūna, -ae (f.) moon, viii
lupus, $-i$ (m.) wolf, vii
lupus in fābulā! Speak of the Devil!, vii
lūx, lūcis (f.) light, viii
magnus, $-a,-u m$ big, great, xi
malē badly, vii
malefactor, -tōris (m.) evildoer, x
mālum, $-i$ (n.) apple, ii
malus, -a, -um bad, ii
mālus, $-i$ (f.) apple tree, iii
maneō, manēre, mānsi to remain, v
manipulus, $-i$ (m.) company of men ( $=2$ centuriae, 120 to 200), ix
manus, manūs (f.) hand, xi
mare, maris (n.) sea, iv
matella, -ae (f.) chamber pot, ii
māter, mätris (f.) mother, vi
medius, $-a$, $-u m$ middle, xi in mediäs rēs into the fray, xi
mendāx, -ācis (m.) liar, viii
mensis, mensis (m.) month, vii
mercātor, -tōris (m.) merchant, vi
meus, $-a,-u m \mathrm{my}$, vi
miles, militis (m.) soldier, iv
miles glöriösus braggart soldier, a stock character in Roman comedy, whence Commedia dell'Arte's Il Capitano, Shakespeare's Sir Andrew Aguecheek, et al.
minime $\bar{e}$ not in the least; no, v
miräbilis, miräbile (gen. miräbilis) marvelous, xi
miräbile dictū marvelous to say, vi
miräbile visū marvelous to see, vi
mirus, $-a,-u m$ wonderful, amazing, vii
mittō, mittere, misi, missum to send, xiii
modus, $-i$ (m.) fashion, way, method, v
mollio, mollire, mollivi, mollitum to soften, xiii
monē̄, monēre, monui, monitum to warn, admonish, xiii
mōnstrō, mōnstrāre, mōnstrāvi, mōnstrātum to make plain, show, vi
Hōram vii mōnstrat it says seven o'clock, vi
Morbōnia, -ae (f.) Plagueville, vi
morbus, $-i$ (m.) distemper, vi
morior, mori, mortuus sum to die, xii
multus, $-a,-u m$ many, xii
mundus, $-i$ (m.) world, ii
murmurō, murmurāre, murmurāvi to murmur, mutter, xii
mūrus, $-i$ (m.) wall, iv
$m \bar{u} \bar{t}, m \bar{t} t a ̈ r e, ~ m \bar{u} t \bar{a} v i, m \bar{t} \bar{t} \bar{t} u m$ to change, xi
mūtātis mūtandis the things to be changed having been changed, xi
nārrō, närrāre, nārrāvi, nārrātum to make known, narrate, vi ut mihi nārrāvit as he told me
nāscor, nāsci, nātus sum to be born, xii
nātūra, -ae (f.) nature, iv
nauta, -ae (m.) sailor, ii
nāvis, nāvis (f.) ship, vi
$-n e$ ? ? (noncommital question-marker), v
nec. nec.. neither .. nor...., iv
necne . . . ? . . . or not?, v
nēmō , nūllius, nemini, neminem, nūllō, $-\bar{a},-\bar{o}$ nobody, x
neuter, neutra, neutrum (gen. neutrius) neither (of two), i , iii, iv
niger, nigra, nigrum black, x
nihil nothing, x
nimis very much, xii
.imius very, very much, xii
nisi except, if not (with the accusative), $v$
nix, nivis (f.) snow, vii
nōlō, nōlle, nōlui to wish not, x
nōli/nölite don't!, x
nōmen, nöminis ( n .) name (of the gēns), noun, $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{ii}, \mathrm{iii}, \mathrm{iv}, \mathrm{vi}$
nōmen adiectivum adjective, i
$n \bar{n}$ not, ii
nōnne . . ? . . . , isn't that so? (question-marker, expecting "yes"), v
nōnnūllus, -a, -um (gen. nōnnūllius) some, several, vii
nōs, nostrum (nostri) we, vi
noster, nostra, nostrum our, vi
nox, noctis (f.) night, iv
nūllus, $-a$, -um (gen. nūllius) none, no . . . iv
num I don't suppose that . . ? (question-marker, expecting "no"), v nunc now, ix
odōrā̄tus, -a,-um fragrant, xiii
omnis, omne (gen. omnis) all, every, pl. everybody, viii
opus est to need, the needy in the dative case, the thing needed in the ablative; opus est tibi cerevisiā You need a beer, vi
$\bar{o} r a,-a e(\mathrm{f}$.$) border, edge, region, xii$
Orcus, $-i$ (m) Orcus, a lord of the underworld; hence the underworld itself, xii
$\bar{o} s, \bar{o} r i s(n$.$) mouth, face; pl. lips, vii, xiii$
pār (gen. parts) equal, even, iii, iv
pars, partis (f.) part, portion, ix
participium, $-i$ (n.) participle, i , ii
partior, partiri, partitus sum to share, xii
parvus, $-a,-u m$ small, xi
pater, patris (m.) father, v
patria, -ae (f.) fatherland, country, $x$
patricius, $-i$ (m.) patrician, nobleman, aristocrat, x
pedes, peditis (m.) foot soldier, infantryman, grunt, ix
pend $\overline{0}$, pendere, pependī, pēnsum to (cause to) hang, viii
penna, -ae (f.) feather, xiii
per through, by (with the accusative), xiii
percipiō, percipere, percēpi, perceptum to catch, collect, xii
peregrinus, $-i$ (m.) foreigner, pilgrim, viii
perficiō, perficere, perfēci, perfectum to finish, accomplish, v
perpetuitās, -tātis (f.) perpetuity, x
perveniō, pervenire, pervēni to arrive; with ad plus accusative, to
reach, vi
pēs, pedis (m.) foot, iv
petasus, $-i$ (m.) hat, viii
petasätus, $-a,-u m$ wearing a hat, behatted, x
pilum, $-i(\mathrm{n}$.) lance, javelin, ix
pingō, pingere, pinxi, pictum to paint, xi
pictus, $-i$ (m.) Pict, a Celtic people in Britain who went into battle with their bodies painted blue
pirum, $-i$ (n.) pear, iii
pirus, $-i$ (f.) pear tree, iii
piscātor, -tōris (m.) fisherman, vi
piscis, piscis (m.) fish, vi
plēbs, plēbis (f.) plebeian, commoner, x
plicō, plicāre, plicāvī, plicātum to fold, viii
plüma, -ae (f.) feather; pl. down, iv
poeta, -ae (m.) poet, xiii
pōmārium, $-\bar{i}$ (n.) orchard, iii
ро̄тит, $-i$ (n.) fruit, iii
pōmus, $-i$ (f.) fruit tree, iii
pollūtus, -a,-um fouled, vii
pōnō, pōnere, posuī, positum to put, place, x
püns, pōntis (m.) bridge. iv
porcus, $-i$ (m.) pig, swine. i. ii
$p$ sta. -ae (f.) door. gate. i , i
possum, posse, potui to be able, iii
praecido, praecidere, praecidi, praecisum to cut off, xii
praenōmen, -minis ( n .) first name, vi
praepusitiō, -ōnis (f.) prefixing; preposition (cf. pōnō), i
praetor, -tōris (m.) praetor; magistrate, x
pretium, $-i(\mathrm{n}$.$) price, v$
primipilus, $-i$ (m.) chief centurion, ix
primus, $-a,-u m$ first, x
prior, prius (gen. priōris) former, prior, vi priōre annō last year, vi
$p r \bar{o}$ before, on behalf of, in front of (with the ablative), ix
prōmittō, prōmittere, prōmisi, prōmissum to send forth, v prōmissus, $-a,-u m$ let grow, long, v
prōnōmen, -minis (n.) pronoun, $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{iv}$, vi
prope near (with the accusative) (cf. appropinquō), vii
propter for, because of (with the accusative), iii
proxim $\bar{o} \operatorname{die}$ on the next day
puella, -ae (f.) girl, ii
puer, pueri (m.) boy, xiii
pugnus, $-i$ (m.) fist, ix. Whence are derived:
pugna, -ae (f.) fight
pugnāre to fight
oppugnāre to (take by) storm
pulcher, pulchra, pulchrum pretty, vi
putō, putāre, putāvi, putātum to think, x
quadrivium, -i ( n. ) four-way intersection, crossroads, $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{ii}$ quaerō, quaerere, quaesi, quaesitum to seek, ask, iv quaestor, -tōris (m.) quaestor, chief financial officer of legion, ix quand $\bar{o}$ when, $v$
quärē why?, v
quatiō, quatere, quassi, quassum to shake, xii
quē̄, quire, quiī to be able, vii qui, quae, quod who, which; he-/she-/it- who, x quia since, because, $v$
quidam, quaedam, quoddam a certain, xiii
quis, quid who/what?; whoever/whatever; somebody, x
$q u \bar{o}$ where to? whither, $v$
quōmodō how?, v
quoque too, also, vii
rapidus, $-a$, $-u m$ fierce, swift, impetuous, xiii
rārus, $-a,-u m$ scarce, rare, v
rārō seldom, rarely, viii
reātus, reātūs (f.) sin, charge, vii
redeō, redire, rediī, reditum to go back, v reditūrus, $-a,-u m$ about to return, viii
recipiō, recipere, recēpi, receptum to accept, receive, v
regiō, regiōnis (f.) direction, region, xiii
rēmigium, $-i$ ( n .) oarage, rowing apparatus, xiii
removē̄, removēre, remōvi, remōtum to remove, move back, withdraw, ix
requirō, requirere, requisivi, requisitum to search for, xiii
res, rei (f.) thing, xi
resonō, resonāre, resonāvi, resonātum to resound, vii
respondeō, respondēre, respondī, responsum to answer, iv
rēx, rēgis (m.) king, xii
rēgnum, $-i(n$.$) kingdom, realm, xii$
rideō, ridēre, risí, risum to laugh, viii
Rōma, -ae (f.) Rome, i, iii
rogō, rogāre, rogā̄i, rogātum to ask, vi
sacer, sacra, sacrum sacred, profane
sagitta, -ae (f.) arrow, ix
saltō, saltāre, saltā $\bar{v}$, saltātum to jump, dance, viii
salūtō, salūtāre, salūtāvi to greet, v
sānctus, $-a$, $-u m$ sacred, vii
sapiō, sapere, sapivi to taste; know, xii1 sapiēns (gen. sapientis) knowing, knowledgeable, xiii scribō, scribere, scripsi, scriptum to write, x secundus, $-a,-u m$ second (cf. sequor), x
sed but, ii sedeō, sedēre, sēdi, sessum to sit, iii
sēdēs, sēdis (f.) seat, chair, xiii
semper always, iii
sermō, -mōnis (m.) speech, discourse, xiii
sequor, sequi, secutus sum to follow, xii
serpō, serpere, serpsi to crawl, creep, vii
serpēns (gen. serpentis) crawling, hence snake
sēstertium, $-i$ (n.) sestertium, the worth of $11 / 2$ copper assēs, v
si if, vii
sic thus, v
Sicilia, -ae (f.) Sicily, vi
Siculi, -ōrum (m.) Sicilians, i
sidus, sideris ( n .) constellation; pl. stars, heavens, xiii
silva, -ae (f.) forest, vii
silvāticus, -a, -um forest-dwelling, wild, vii
sine without (with the ablative), vi
sinister, sinistra, sinistrum left, iii
socer, soceri (m.) father-in-law, ii
sōl, sōlis (m.) sun, xiii
solea, -ae (f.) sandal, vi
sōlus, -a, -um (gen. sōlius) sole; alone, iv
solveō, solvēre, solvi, solūtum to free, loosen, dissolve, vii
sors, sortis (f.) lot, chance, vii
sortēs dücere to cast lots, vii
spēlunca, -ae (f.) cave, vii
stercus, -coris (n.) dung, excrement, xii
stō, stāre, stēti, stātum to stand, vi
status quō ante bellum standing where it stood before the war, vi stultus, $-a,-u m$ stupid, v
sub under (with the ablative), ii
subitō suddenly, xiij
sui him-/her-/itself, x
sum, esse, fui, futūrus to be, iii
super above (with the accusative), is
suus, $-a$, -um his/her/its own, x
tābeō, tābēre, -, - to melt/waste away, xiii
taberna, -ae (f.) inn, tavern, bar, v
tabernārius, $-i$ (m.) proprietor of a tavern, inn, or bar, v
taeter, taetra, taetrum foul, abominable, noisome, ii
tempus, temporis (n.) time; tense, $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{iv}, \mathrm{v}$
tenebrae, -ärum (f.) shadows, darkness, vii
tenebricōsus, -a, -um dark, x
terra, -ae (f.) earth, land, v
tertius, $-a$, -um third, iii
tōtus, $a,-u m$ (gen. tōtius) whole, all, iv
trahō, trahere, trāxi, tractum to draw, drag, haul, xiii
träns across (with the accusative), v
trānscēdō, trānscēdere, trānscessi to go by, pass, vii
trēs, tria (gen. trium) three, iv
tribūnus, $-i$ (m.) tribune (elected plebeian official), ix
triquetrus, -a, -um three-cornered, ii
trivium, $-i$ (n.) three-way intersection, fork in the road, iv
$t \bar{u}, t u i$ you (sing.), vi
tunc then, at that time, iv
turrs, turris (f.) tower, iv
turtur, turturis (m.) turtle (-dove), vii
tūtus, $-a$, -um safe, xiii
tuus, $-a,-$ um your (sing.), vi
$u b i$ ? where?, v
üllus, -a, -um (gen. ūllius) any, iv
unda, -ae (f.) wave, xii
unde whence, where from, v
ūniversitās, -tātis (f.) universe, totality, university, iv
$\bar{u} n u s,-a,-u m$ (gen. $\bar{u} n i u s$ ) one, iv
$\bar{u} n \bar{a}$ as one, vii
urbs, urbis (f.) walled town, city, iv
ursus, $-i$ (m.) bear, v
$u t$ as; in order that; would that, vii
uter, utra, utrum which (of two), iv
utinam would that (past events), vii
utrum . . . an . . ? . . . ? or . . . ? (which of two), v
valē! farewell! goodbye!, iv
valedicō, valedicere, valedixi, valedictum to say farewell, vi
vallum, $-i$ ( n .) stockade, ix
vallus, $-i$ (m.) pike, ix
vastō, vastāre, vastāvi, vastātum to (lay) waste (mil.), iii
vel . . vel either . . . or (maybe both), iv
venätor, -tōris (m.) hunter, xi
vendō, vendere, vendidi to sell, v
veniō, venire, vēni, ventum to come, iii
verbum, -i ( n .) word, verb, $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{ii}$
vereor, verēri, veritus, sum to fear, xil
$v \bar{e} r i t a \bar{s},-t a \bar{t} i s$ (f.) truth, viii
vērō truly, v
vert $\overline{0}$, vertere, verti, versum to turn (around), viii
vester, vestra, vestrum your ( pl .), vi
vestiō, vestire, vestivi, vestitum to dress, clothe, viii
Vesuvius, $-i$ (m.) Mount Vesuvius, whose eruption in A D. 79 burred Pompeii and Herculaneum, xii
via, -ae (f.) way, road, viii
Via Appia the Appian Way from Rome to Naples, viii
vicinia, -ae (f.) neighborhood, vicinity, xiki
videō, vidēre, vidi, visum to see, iii
vinculum, $-i(\mathrm{n}$.) fetter, binding, xiii
vir, viri (m.) man, xiii
Visigothus, -a, -um Visigoth, xiii
volō, velle, volui to wish, want. x
volō, volāre, volāvi, volātūrūs to fly, xiii volātus, volātūs (m.) flight, xiii

$\nu \bar{x}, \nu \bar{o} c i s$ (f.) voice, vii
vulgus, $-i$ (m.) the crowd, the people, the masses, i , ii

## English-Latin

able potēns (gen. potentis)
to be able quē̄, quire, quii; possum, posse, potui
abominable taeter, taetra, taetrum about (concerning) $d \bar{e}$ (with the ablative)
about to return reditūrus, $-a,-u m$
above super (with the accusative)
accept recipiō, recipere, recēpī, receptum
accomplish perficiō, perficere, perfēci, perfectum
according to, apud (with the accusative)
Acheron Acheron, Acheruntis (m.)
across träns (with the accusative)
to act agō, agere, ēgi, āctum
acts gesta, -ōrum (n.)
adjective nōmen adiectivum, nōminis adjectivi (n.)
admonish moneō, monēre, monui, monitum
adulterer adulter, adulteri (m.)
adverb adverbium, adverbii (n.)
affirm $\bar{a} i \bar{o}$, etc.
air aura, -ae (f.)
Alba Longa Alba Longa, Albae Longae (f.)
all tōtus, -a, -um (gen. tōtius); omnis, omne (gen. omnis)
alone sōlus, -a, -um (gen. sōlius)
also etiam, quoque
always semper
amazing mirus, $-a$, -um
ancient antiquus, $-a,-u m$
and et; atque, ac
anguish dolor, dolōris (m.)
animal animal, animālis (n.)
answer responde $\overline{0}$, respondēre, respondi, responsum
any $\overline{u l l u s},-a,-u m$ (gen. üllius)
Appian Way Via Appia, Viae Appiae (f.)
apple mālum, $-i$ ( n .)
apple tree malus, $-i$ (f.)
appoint lēgō,-āre, - $\bar{v} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
approach appropinquō, -āre, - $\bar{\alpha} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
aristocrat(ic) patricius, $-a,-u m$
arm lacertus, $-i$ (m.)
arrive perveniō, pervenire, pervēni
arrow sagitta, -ae (f.)
as $u t$, sicut
ask rogō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum; quaerō, quaerere, quaesivi, quaesitum
as one $\bar{u} n \bar{a}$
at $a d$ (with the accusative); apud (with the accusative)
at last dēnique
atrocious atrōx (gen. atrōcis)
at that time tunc
at the same time eōdem tempore
auxiliary troops auxilia, -ōrum (n.)
await exspectō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
Bacchus Liber, Liberi (m.)
bad malus, -a, -um
badly malē
bar taberna, -ae (f.)
barkeeper tabernārius, $-i$ (m.)
to be sum, esse, fui, futūrus
bear ursus, -i (m.)
to bear ferō, ferre, tuli, lātum
beard barba, -ae (f.)
beautiful fōrmōsus, -a, -um
because quia
because of propter (with the accusative)
become fiō, fieri, factus sum
become hungry famescō, famescere, -
beer cerevisia, -ae (f.)
before pro (with the ablative); ante (with the accusative)
begin -, coepere, coepi, coeptum
on behalf of $\operatorname{pro}$ (with the ablative)
behatted petasātus, $-a,-u m$
behold! ecce!
to behold aspiciō, aspicere, aspēxi, aspectum
bell aes, aeris (n.)
big magnus, -a, -um
binding vinculum, $-i$ ( n .)
bird avis, avis (f.)
bitter amārus, -a, -um
black niger, nigra, nigrum
body corpus, corporis (n.)
body politic civitās, -tātis (f.)
boil ferveō, fervēre, fervui
bold audāx (gen. audācis)
border $\overline{o r a,}-a e$ (f.)
to be born nāscor, nāsci, nātus sum
both ambō, ambae, amb $\bar{o}$
both . . . and. . .et. . . et. . .
boy puer, pueri (m.)
braggart gloriōsus, -a, -um
breeze aura, -ae (f.)
bridge pōns, pōntis (m.)
bring (back) adferō, adferre, attuli, adlātum
Britain Britannia, -ae (f.)
bronze aes, aeris (n.)
brother fräter, frätris (m.)
burn adūrō, -āre, $-\bar{a} v i \bar{u},-\bar{a} t u m$
bustard avetarda, -ae (f.)
but sed
by per (with the accusative)
Caesar Caesar, -aris (m.)
calamity fātum, $-i$ (n.)
call advocō,-āre, - $\bar{a} v i$, -àtum
call together convoc $\bar{o},-\bar{a} r e,-\bar{a} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
camp castra, -ōrum (n.)
carry ferō, ferre, tulī, lātum
case cāsus, $-\bar{u} s$ (m.)
cask cūpa, -ae (f.)
cast lots sortēs ducere
catapult catapulta, -ae (f.)
catch capiō, capere, cēpi, captum; excipiō, excıpere, excēpi, exceptum; percipiō, percipere, percēpi, perceptum
cause to hang pendō, pendere, pependi, pēnsum
cavalryman eques, equitis (m.)
cave spēlunca, -ae (f.)
centurion centuriō, -ōnis (m.)
a certain quidam, quaedam, quoddam
certainly certē
chair sēdēs, sēdis (f.)
chamber pot matella, -ae (f)
chance sors, sortis (f.)
change mūtō, -äre, -āvi, -ātum
charge reātus, -uss (f.)
chicken gallus, $-i$ (m.)
chief centurion primipilus, $-i$ (m.)
children līberi, līberōrum (m.)
citizen civis, civis (m.)
city civitās, -tätis (f.); urbs, urbis (f.)
clan gēns, gentis (f.)
clothe vestiō, vestire, vestivi, vestitum
clown bōzō, bōzūs (m.)
cohort (of soldiers) cohors, cohortis (f.)
cold(ness) frigus, frigoris (n.)
collect percipiō, percipere, percēpi, perceptum
color color, -ōris (m.)
come veniō, venire, vēni, ventum
come upon inveniō, invenire, invēni, inventum
come up with inveniō, etc.
commander (in chief) imperātor, -ōris (m.)
commoner plēbs, plēbis (f.)
community of citizens civitās, -tātis (f.)
company of one hundred soldiers centuria, -ae (f.)
company of two centuriae manipulus, $-i$ (m.)
concerning $d \bar{e}$ (with the ablative)
conjunction coniunctiō, -ōnis (f.)
consequently ergō
constellation sidus, sideris (n.)
consul consul, consulis (m.)
conversation fābula, -ae (f.)
cookie crustulum, -i (n.)
copper aes, aeris (n.)
country patria, -ae (f.)
course limes, limitis (m.)
cow bōs, bovis (f.)
crab cancer, cancri (m.)
crawl, creep serpō, serpere, serpsi, -
crocodile crocodilus, $-i$ (m.)
crossroads quadrivium, $-i$ (n.)
crowd vulgus, $-i$ (m.)
crumble friō, -äre, -, -
cry out exclāmō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
cut off praecidō, praecidere, praecidī, praecisum
dance saltō, -āre, $-\bar{a} v \bar{l},-\bar{a} t u m$
dark tenebricōsus, -a, -um
darkness tenebrae, -ārum (f.)
daughter filia, -ae (f.)
day diēs, diēī (f.)
dearness cāritās, -tātis (f.)
deeds gesta, -ōrum (n.)
deep altus, $-a,-u m$
deer cervus, $-i$ (m.)
delegate lēgātus, $-i$ (m.)
to delegate lēgō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
descend dēscendō, dēscendere, dēscendī, dēscensum
desert dēserō, dēserere, dēserui, dēsertum
designate dēsignō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
desire cupidō, cupidinis (f.)
die morior, mori, mortuus sum
dig fodiō, fodere, fōdī, fossum
direction regiō, -ōnis (f.)
discourse sermō, sermōnis (m.)
dissolve solvē̄, solvēre, solvi, solūtum
distemper morbus, $-i$ (m.)
ditch fossa, -ae (f.)
do faciō, facere, fēci, factum
dog canis, canis (m.)
to be done fiō, fieri, factus sum
don't . . ! nōlī . . . ! nōlite . . .!
I don't suppose that . . ? num . . . ?
door porta, -ae (f.)
down, feathers plūmae, -ārum (f.)
draw, drag trahō, trahere, trāxi, tractum
draw near appropinqu $\bar{o},-\bar{a} r e, ~-\bar{a} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
dress vestio, vestire, vestivi, vestitum
dung stercus, stercoris (n.)
earth terra, -ae (f.)
easy facilis, facile (gen. facilis)
eat edō, èsse (edere), ēdī, èssum (ēsum)
edge ōra, -ae (f.
either . . . or . . . (nor both) aut . . . aut . .
either . . . or . . (or both) vel . . vel. . .
elevated celsus, -a, -um
employer dominus, $-i$ (m.)
enter introeō, introire, introivi, introitum
entrails fibra, -ae (f.)
envoy lēgātus, $-i$ (m.)
equal $p \overline{a r}$ (gen. paris)
equip instruō, instruere, instrūxi, instrūctum
Etruscan etrūscus, -a, -um
even, equal $p \bar{a} r$ (gen. paris)
even, yet etiam
every omnis, omne (gen.omnis)
everybody omnēs, omnium (m.)
evildoer malefactor, -ōris (m.)
except nisi (with the accusative)
exclaim exclāmō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
excrement stercus, stercoris (n.)
exhort hortor, hortāri, hortātus sum
explain explicō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
face $\bar{\sigma} s, \bar{o} r i s(n$.
face to face, facing coram (with the ablative)
a falling cāsus, $\bar{u} s$ (m.)
family genus, generis (n.); gēns, gentis (f.)
famous celeber, celebris, celebre (gen. celebris)
farewell! valē!
farmer agricola, -ae (m.)
far out! euge! euhoe!
fashion modus, $-i$ (m.)
fate fātum, $-i$ ( n .)
father pater, patris (m.)
father-in-law socer, soceri (m.)
fatherland patria, -ae (f.)
fault culpa, -ae (f.)
fear vereor, verēri, veritus sum
feather penna, -ae (f.); plūma, -ae (f.)
featherless implümis, implüme (gen. implūmis)
fetter vinculum, $-i$ (n.)
fiber fibra, -ae (f.)
field ager, agri (m.)
fierce rapidus, -a, -um
fight pugna, -ae (f.)
to fight pugnō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
figure out disco, discere, didici
finish perficiō, perficere, perfēci, perfectum
first primus, -a, -um
first name praenōmen, -minis (n.)
fish piscis, piscis (m.)
fisherman piscātor, -öris (m.)
fist pugnum, $-i$ ( n .)
fit decōrus, $-a$, -um; idōneus, $-a$, -um (with the dative)
flight volātus, $-\bar{u} s$ (m.)
fly volō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
fold plicō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
follow sequor, sequi, secutus sum
food cibus, $-i$ (m.)
foot pēs, pedis (m.)
foot soldier pedes, peditis (m.)
for propter (with the accusative); prō (with the ablative)
for a long time $d i \bar{u}$
for a while longer diūtius
foreigner peregrinus, $-i$ (m.)
forest silva, -ae (f.)
forest dwelling silvāticus, $-a,-u m$
fork in the road trivium, $-i$ (n.)
former prior, prius (gen. priörıs)
foul taeter, taetra, taetrum
(be)fouled pollūtus, -a, -um
four-way intersection quadrivium, $-i$ (n.)
fragrant odōrätus, -a, -um
France Gallia, -ae (f.)
free liber, libera, liberum
to free solveō, solvēre, solvi, solūtum
freeze conglaciō, $-\bar{a} r e,-\bar{a} v i,-\bar{a} t u m ~$
frequented celeber, celebris, celebre (gen. celebris)
friend amicus, $-i$ (m.)
from, out of $a b(\bar{a})$ (with the ablative); $e x$ ( $\bar{e}$ ) (with the ablative); $d \bar{e}$ (with the ablative)
in front of pro (with the ablative)
fruit $p \bar{o} т u m,-i(\mathrm{n}$.
fruit tree $p \bar{o} m u s,-i(\mathrm{f}$.
full of glory gloriōsus, -a, -um
Gallic gallicus, $-a$, $-u m$
gate porta, -ae (f.)
Gaul Gallia, -ae (f.)
general imperātor, -ōris (m.)
German germanus, -a, -um
get down dēscendō, dēscendere, dēscendi, dēscensum
girl puella, -ae (f.)
give $d \bar{o}$, dare, dedī, datum
give thanks grātiās agere
go! i! ite!
to go ē̄, ire, ii, (ivi), itūrus
go back redeō, redire, redii
go by trānscēēō, trānscēdere, trānscessi
go into introe $\bar{o}$, introire, introivi, introitum
good bonus, -a, -um
goodbye! valē!
go on foot ambulō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
grandfather avus, $-i$ (m.)
grandmother avia, -ae (f.)
great magnus, $-a,-u m$
great! euge! euhoe!
greed cupidō, cupidinis (f.)
greet salūto, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
grow crescō, crescere, crēvi
grown long prōmissus, $-a,-u m$
grunt (mil.) pedes, peditis (m.)
guard custōs, custōdis (m. or f.)
to guard custōdiō, custōdire, custōdivi custōditum
guilt culpa, -ae (f.)
hail! $a v \overline{e^{\prime}}$
hair capilla, -ae (f.)
hand manus, $-\bar{u} s$ (f.)
hang, cause to be hung pend $\bar{o}$, pendere, pependī, pēnsum
be happy gaudeō, gaudēre, gāvisus sum
as hard as possible fortissime $\bar{e}$
hat petasus, $-i$ (m.)
haul trahō, trahere, trāxi, tractum
have habē, habēre, habui
he is; ille; iste; hic
he who qui
head caput, capitis (n.)
hear audiō, audire, audīvi, auditum
heaven caelum, $-i$ (n.)
heavens sidera, siderum (n.)
hello! avē! salvē!
helmsman gubernātor, -ōris (m.)
help auxilium, $-i$ (n.)
high celsus, -a, -um; altus, -a, -um
himself ipse; sui
his own suus, -a, -um
hit feriō, ferire, -, -
honorific surname āgnōmen, -minis (n.)
hooray! euge!
horn cornū, -us (n.)
horse equus, $-i$ (m.)
hour hōra, -ae (f.)
house domus, $-\bar{u} s(-\bar{i})$ (m.)
at the house of apud (with the accusative)
how? quōmod $\bar{o}$ ?
however autem
hunger fames, famis (f.)
hunter venätor, -ōris (m.)

I ego
if $s i$
if not nisi (with the accusative)
impetuous rapidus, $-a$, $-u m$
imprint imprimō, imprimere, impressi, impressum
in in (with the ablative)
increase crescō, crescere, crēvī
indeed etiam
infantryman pedes, peditis (m.)
inn taberna, -ae (f.)
innkeeper tabernärius, -i (m.)
in order that $u t$ (with the subjunctive)
instruct instrū,$\overline{\text {, instruere, instrūxi, instructūm }}$
interjection interiectiō, -ōnis (f.)
into in (with the accusative)
into the fray in mediäs rēs
invent inveniō, invenire, invēni, inventum
island insula, -ae (f.)
isn't it so that . . ? nōnne . . . ?
it id; illud; istud; hoc
javelin pilum, $-i$ (n.)
journey iter, itineris (n.)
to journey iter facere
jump saltō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
keeper custōs, custōdıs (m. or f.)
keep watch custōdiō, custōdire, custōdivi, custōditum
kill interficiō, interficere, interfēci, interfectum

kingdom rēgnum, $-i$ ( n .)
knee genū, genūs (n.)
know sapiō, sapere, sapivi
knowing, knowledgeable sapiēns (gen.) sapıents
lack careō, carēre, carui, caritūrus
lamp lūcerna, -ae (f.)
lance pilum, $-i$ ( n .)
land terra, -ae (f.)
at last dēnique
last name cōgnōmen, -minus ( n .)
last year priōre annō
Latin (-speaking) latinus, $-a,-u m$
Latium Latium, -i (n.)
laugh rideत̄, ridēre, risi, risum
law lēx. lēgis (f.)
lawyer advocātus, $-i$ (m.)
lay waste vastō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
lead agō, agere, $\overline{e g} \bar{i}, \bar{a} c t u m ; d \bar{u} c \bar{o}, d \bar{u} c e r e, d \bar{u} x i, d \bar{u} c t u m$
leader dux, ducis (m.)
leap up exsiliō, exsilire, exsilui
learn discō, discere, didici
leave exē̄, exire, exiī, exitum; dēserō, dēserere, dēseruī, dēsertum
left-hand sinister, sinistra, sinistrum
legion legiō, -ōnis (f.)
liar mendāx, mendäcis (m.)
light $l \bar{u} x, l \bar{u} c i s$ (f.)
light-bearing lūcifer, lūcifera, lūciferum
lips ōra, ōrum (n.); labia, -örum (n.)
little dog cantculus, $-i$ (m.)
location locus, $-i$ (m.)
lofty altus, $-a$, -um
Long for dēsiderō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v i$, -àtum
look at aspiciō, aspicere, aspēxi, aspectum
loosen solvē̄, solvēre, soluī, solūtum
lot sors, sortis (f.)
love amor, amōris (m.)
to love amō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
low dēmissus, $-a,-u m$
be made fiö, fieri, factus sum
magistrate praetor, -ōris (m.)
make faciō, facere, fēci, factum
make known nārrō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
make one's way iter facere; iter agere
make plain mōnstrō, -āre, - $\bar{v} \bar{i},-\bar{a} t u m$
man vir, viri (m.); homō, hominis (m.)
march iter, itineris (n.)
marketplace forum, $-i$ (n.)
marvelous miräbilis, miräbile (gen. mïräbilis)
masses vulgus, $-i$ (m.)
master (of the house) dominus, $-i$ (m.)
melt away tābeō, tābēre, -
merchant mercātor, -ōris (m.)
method modus, -i (m.)
middle medius, $-a$, -um
month mensis, mensis (m.)
moon lūna, -ae (f.)
moreover autem
mother māter, mātris (f.)
mouth $\bar{o} s$, -ōris ( n .)
move agō, agere, $\overline{e g i}, \bar{a} c t u m$
move back removē, removēre, remōvi, remōtum
murmur, mutter murmurō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v \bar{i},-\bar{a} t u m ~$
mushroom fungus, $-i$ (m.)
my meus, -a, -um
nail clāvus, -i (m.)
name nōmen, nōminis ( n .)
to name dēsignō, -āre, -āvī, -ātum
narrate nārrō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
nature nātūra, -ae (f.)
near prope (with the accusative)
I need (a body) opus est mihi (corpore)
neighborhood vicinia, -ae (f.)
neither (of two) neuter, neutra, neutrum (gen. neutrius)
neither . . . nor . . . nec . . . nec . . .
on the next day proximo $\overline{\text { die }}$
night nox, noctis (f.)
no (way)! minimē!
no, none nūllus, -a, -um (gen. nūllius)
nobleman patricius, $-i$ (m.)
nobody nēmō, nūllius, nemini, neminem, nūllo, $-\bar{a}, \bar{o}$
noisome taeter, taetra, taetrum
not $n \bar{n} n$
nothing nihil
not in the least minime $\bar{e}$
noun nōmen, nōminis (n.)
now iam; nunc
oarage rēmigium, $-\bar{i}$ (n.)
observe aspiciō, aspicere, aspēxi, aspectum
occasion for outbreak of war cāsus belli
odd impār (gen. imparis)
old antiquus, -a, -um
on in (with the ablative)
one ūnus, -a, -um (gen. ūnius)
as one $\bar{u} n \bar{a}$
on the other hand autem
. . . ? or . . . ? utrum . . . an . . . ?
. . . , or not? . . . necne?
Orcus Orcus, -i (m.)
order imperō, -āre, $-\bar{a} v i \bar{i}, \bar{a} t u m$
in order that $u t$ (with the subjunctive)
orchard pōmārium, $-i$ (n.)
other alter, altera, alterum; alius, alia, aliud (gen. alius)
our noster, nostra, nostrum
outfit instrū, instruere, instrūxi, instrūctum
out from ex ( $\bar{e}$ ) (with the ablative)
outrageous immoderātus, -a, -um
ox bōs, bovis (m.)
pain dolor, dolōris (m.)
paint pingō, pingere, pinxi, pictum
pair bini, binae, bina
part pars, partis (f.)
participle participium, -i (n.)
pass (by) transcēē̄, transcēdere, transcessi
path limes, limitis (m.)
patrician patricius, -i (m.)
pear pirum, $i$ (n.)
pear tree pirus, $-i$ (f.)
peg clāvus, -i (m.)
a people gēns, gentis (f.)
the people vulgus, -i (m.);plēbs, plēbis (f.)
perhaps forsitan (forsan)
it is permitted licet (with the dative)
perpetuity perpetuitās, -tātis (f.)
pick carpō, carpere, carpsi, carptum
Pict pictus, $-a,-u m$
pig porcus, $-i$ (m.)
pike vallus, $-i$ (m.)
pilgrim peregrinus, $-i$ (m.)
place locus, $-i$ (m.)
to place $\overline{p o n} \bar{o}, p \bar{n} n e r e, p o s u \overline{1}$, positum
plague morbus, $-i$ (m.)
Plagueville Morbōnia, -ae (f.)
pleasant dulcis, dulce (gen.dulcts)
plebeian plēbs, plēbis (f.)
plow arō, arāre, arāvi, arātum
poet poeta, -ae (m.)
most politely cōmissime $\bar{e}$
portion pars, partis (f.)
praetor praetor, -ōris (m.)
prefixing, preposition praepositiō, ōnis (f.)
be present adsum, adesse, adfui, adfutūrus
press upon imprimō, imprimere, impressi, impressum
pretty pulcher, pulchra, pulchrum
price pretium, $-i$ (n.)
high price caritās, -tātis (f.)
prior prior, prius (gen. priöris)
profane sacer, sacra, sacrum
pronoun prōnōmen, -minis (n.)
public square forum, $-i$ (n.)
put $\overline{p o} \bar{n} \bar{o}$, pōnere, posui, positum
quaestor quaestor, -ōris (m.)
race gēns, gentis (f.); genus, generis (n.)
rain imber, imbris (m.)
rampart agger, aggeris (m.)
rare rārus, -a, -um
rarely rārō
reach perveniō, pervenire, perveni
realm rēgnum, $-i$ (n.)
receive recipiō, recipere, recēpi, receptum
region regiō, -ōnis (f.); $\overline{\text { ora, }}$, -ae (f.)
rejoice gaudeō, gaudēre, gāvisus sum
relaxed laxus, -a, -um
remain maneō, manēre, mānsi
remove removeō, removēre, remōvi, remōtum
resound resunō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
nght-hand dexter, dextera, dexterum
right on! euhoe!
river flümen, flüminis (n.)
road via, -ae (f.)
Rome Rōma, -ae (f.)
rooster gallus, $-i$ (m.)
rowing apparatus rēmigium, $-i$ ( n .)
run currō, currere, cucurri, cursum
sacred sānctus, -a, -um; sacer, sacra, sacrum
safe tutus, -a, -um
sage doctus, -a, -um; sapiēns (gen. sapientis)
sailor nauta, -ae (m.)
same idem, eadem, idem
sandal solea, -ae (f.)
say dicō, dicere, dixxi, dictum; āiō, etc.; inquam, etc
say farewell valedicō, -dicere, -dixi
scarce rārus, $-a,-u m$
sea mare, maris ( n .)
search for requirō, requirere, requisivi, requisitum
seat sēdēs, sēdis (f.)
second secundus, -a, -um
see videō, vidēre, vidi, visum
seek requirō, requirere, requsivl, requisitum; quaerō, quaerere quaesi, quaesitum
seemly decorus, -a, -um
seize capiō, capere, cēpi, captum
seldom rārō
self(-same) ipse, ipsa, ipsum
sell vend $\bar{o}, ~ v e n d e r e, ~ v e n d i d i, ~$
send mittō, mittere, misi, missum
send forth prōmittō, prōmittere, prōmisi, prōmissum
servant famulus, -i (m.)
sestertıum sēstertium, -i (n.)
several nōnnūlli nonnūllae, nōnnūlla (gen. nōnnūllius)
shadows tenebrae, -ārum (f.)
shake quatiō, quatere, quassī, quassum
share partior, partiiri, partitus sum
she ea; illa; ista; haec
she who quae
ship nāvis, nāvis (f.)
shoe calceus, $-i$ (m.)
show mōnstrō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v i \overline{,},-\bar{a} t u m$
shower (of rain) imber, imbris (m.)
Sicily Sicilia, -ae (f.)
Sicilians Siculi, -ōrum (m.)
$\sin$ reātus, - $\bar{s} s$ (f.)
since cum; quia
sit sedeō, sedēre, sēdi, sessum
sky caelum, -i (n.)
sky-blue caeruleus, -a, -um
sling funda, -ae (f.)
small parvus, -a, -um
smite feriō, ferire, -
snake serpēns, serpentis (m.)
snatch carpō, carpere, carpsi, carptum
snow nix, nivis (f.)
soften molliō, mollire, mollivī, mollitum
soldier miles, militis (m.)
sole sōlus, -a, -um (gen. sōlius)
some nōnnṻllus, -a, -um (gen. nōnnūllius)
somebody quis
son filius, $-i$ (m.)
sort genus, generis (n.)
Spanish hispānus, $-a,-u m$
speak of the Devil! lupus in fābulā'
speech sermō, sermōnis (m.)
more speedily celerius
spring forth exsiliō, exsilire, exsilui
stag cervus, $-i$ (m.)
stand stō, stāre, stēti, stātum
stars sidera, siderum ( n .)
starving famēlicus, -a, -um
still, yet etiam
stockade vallum, $-i$ (n.)
storekeeper condus, $-\bar{u} s$ (m.)
story fābula, -ae (f.)
stripped $d \bar{e} n \bar{u} d a ̄ t u s,-a,-u m$
strong fortis, forte (gen. fortis)
stupid stultus, $-a$, $-u m$
suddenly subitō
suitable idōneus, -a, -um
summer aestās, aestātis (f.)
summon advocō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
sun sōl, sōlis (m.)
surname cōgnōmen, -minis (n.)
sweet dulcis, dulce (gen. dulcis)
swift rapidus, -a, -um
swine porcus, $-i$ (m.)
sword gladius, $-i$ (m.)
tail cauda, -ae (f.)
take up excipiō, excipere, excēpi, exceptum
tale fābula, -ae (f.)
talking fābulāns (gen.fābulantis)
taste sapiō, sapere, sapivi
tavern taberna, -ae (f.)
tavernkeeper tabernärius, $-i$ (m.)
teach docē, docēre, docui, doctum
tense tempus, temporis (n.)
therefore ergō
that (one) ille, illa, illud
that one (near you) iste, ista, istud
that very (one) ipse, ipsa, ipsum
then tunc
thing rēs, rei (f.)
think putō, -āre, -āvi, ātum
third tertius, $-a,-$ um
this hic, haec, hoc
three trēs, tria (gen. trium)
three-cornered triquetrus, $-a,-u m$
three-way intersection trivium, $-i$ (n.)
through per (with the accusative)
throw iactō, -āre, -āvī, -ātum
thus sic; ita
time tempus, temporis (n.)
to ad (with the accusative)
tomorrow crās
too quoque
torch fax, facis (f.)
totality $\bar{u} n i v e r s i t a ̄ s, ~-t a ̄ t i s ~(f)$.
toward ad (with the accusative); in (with the accusative)
tower turris, turris (f.)
trench fossa, -ae (f.)
tribune tribunus, -i (m.)
trust fidō. fidere, fisus sum
truth vēritās, -tātis (f.)
in truth vērō
turn (around) vertō, vertere, verti, versum
turtle (-dove) turtur, turturis (m.)
two duo, duae, duo
two-footed bipēs (gen. bipedis)
two of a kind bini, binae, bina
ugly foedus, $-a,-u m$
under sub (with the ablative)

unequal, uneven impär (gen. imparis)
unfold explicō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
unhappy infêlix (gen. infêlicis)
unıverse, unıversity $\bar{u} n i v e r s i t a ̄ s,-t a ̄ t i s ~(f)$.
unrestrained laxus, -a, -um; immoderätus, -a, -um
urge hortor, hortāri, hortātus sum
vanish ēvānescō, ēvānescere, ēvānui
verb verbum, $-i$ (n.)
very much nimis
very very much nimius
Vesuvius Vesuvius, -i (m.)
vicinity vicinia, -ae (f.)
Visigoth visigothus, $-a,-u m$
voice vox, vōcis (f.)
walk ambulō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum
wall mūrus, $-i$ (m.)
walled town urbs, urbis (f.)
want dēsiderō, -āre, -āvi, -ātum; volō, velle, voluı
war bellum, $-i$ (n.)
warn monē, monēre, monuī, monitum
waste (mil.) vastō, -āre, - $\bar{v} v i,-\bar{a} t u m$
waste away tābeō, tābēre, -
water aqua, -ae (f.)
water clock clepsydra, -ae (f.)
wave unda, -ae (f.)
wax $c \bar{e} r a,-a e ~(f$.
way (road) via, -ae (f.); iter, itineris (n.)
way (method) modus, $-i$ (m.)
we nōs, ustrum (nostri)
wearing a hat petasātus, $-a,-u m$
weigh down gravō, -āre, - $\bar{a} v i \bar{l},-\bar{a} t u m$
when quand $\bar{o}$; cum
whence unde
where ubi
where from unde
where to $q u \bar{o}$

```
that which . . quod . .
which (of two) uter, utra, utrum (gen. utrius)
white albus, -a, -um
whither \(q u \bar{o}\)
who...? quis . . .?
(he) who . . .qui. . .
whoever quis
whole tōtus, -a, -um (gen. tōtius)
why? quārē?
wild silvāticus, \(-a\), -um
window fenestra, -ae (f.)
winter hiems, hiemis (f.)
wish dēsiderō, -āre, -āvi, -àtum; volō, velle, volui
wish not nōlō, nōlle, nōlui
with cum (with the ablative); apud (with the accusative)
withdraw removē, removēre, remōvi, remōtum
without sine (with the ablative)
wolf lupus, \(-i\) (m.)
wonderful mirus, \(-a\), -um
word verbum, \(-i\) (n.)
world mundus, \(-i\) (m.)
would that \(u t\) (with the subjunctive); utinam (with the subjunctive)
write scribō, scribere, scripsi, scriptum
year annus, \(-i\) (m.)
yes certē; vērō; sic; ita
yesterday heri
yet etiam
you (sing.) \(t \bar{u}, t u \bar{i} ;(\mathrm{pl}) ~ v. o \bar{s}\), vestrum, vestrí)
your (sing.) tuus, \(-a,-u m\); ( pl.\()\) vester, vestra, vestrum
```
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active (voice), 14, 117-118. See also individual tenses and moods
adjectives, $11,13,27$; first and second declensions, 25-26; third declension, 44-46; adjectives of one ending, 46; adjectives of two endings, 45-46; adjectives of three endings, 44-45
adverb, 14, 76
aorist, 107
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comparative method, 16-17
comparison, 45-46, 47, 66, 76
conditions contrary to fact, 74-75
conjugation, 32. See also individual
conjugation classes, tenses, and moods
conjunctions, 15, 39-41
dative case, 12, 22
declension, 12-13. See also individual declensions
demonstrative pronouns, 89-92
deponents, 114,117 ; conjugation, 115-117, 122-123
doubt, 74-75
dual (number), 30-32, 107
entropy, 106-107, 111
fifth declension (nouns), 110-111
first conjugation, 34-35; forms, 152159. See also individual tenses and moods
first declension (nouns), 21-22
first-second declension adjectives, 25-26
first prirtcipal part, 34
fourth conjugation, 34-35; forms, 152-157. See also individual tenses and moods
fourth declension (nouns), 108-110
fourth principal part, 82-83
future (tense): active, 79-81; passive, 117
future imperative: active, 107-108; passive, 159
future participle, 83
future passive participle, 83
future perfect (tense): active, 84;
passive, 122
gender, 12-13, 23, 27
genitive case, $12,64,109$
gerund, 15
gerundive, 83
hexachord, 70-71
hexameters, 125
$i$ stems, 42-44
imperative (mood), 74; active (present), 66; active (future), 107-108;
passive (present), 123; passive
(future), 159
imperfect (tense): active (indicative), 53-55; active (subjunctive) 72-73;
passive (indicative), 116-117; passive (subjunctive), 117
inceptives, 76
inchoatives, 76
indefinite pronouns, 101-102
indicative (mood), 74. See also individual tenses
indirect statement, 100
infinitive (mood), 152; active (present), 34-35, 100; active (perfect), 100
instrumental, 108
interjections, 15
interrogative pronouns, 97-98
law, 84
locative case, 108-109
metrics, 123-127, 145
middle (voice), 117-118
mixed $i$ stems, 42-44
mood, 74. See also individual moods
names, 10,61
nōmen, 10-13, 61; forms, 147-150
nōmen adjectivum, 11
nominative case, 12, 21, 22
nouns, 10-13. See also individual declensions
number, 30-32, 107
numbers (declinable): one, 39; two, 30-32; three, 46
oblique cases, 21
one, 39
participles, 14-15; future, 83; future passive, 83; past (perfect), 81-83; present, 56, 81
parts of speech, 10. See also individual parts of speech
passive (voice), 14, 114-115, 118. See also individual tenses and moods
past tenses. See individual tenses and moods
perfect (tense): active (indicative), 53, 55-56; active (subjunctive), 73; passive (indicative), 122 ; passive (subjunctive), 122
personal pronouns, 61-62, 64
pluperfect (tense): active (indicative),
54, 56; active (subjunctive), 73; passive (indicative), 122; passive (subjunctive), 122
possessive pronouns, 62-64, 101
prepositions, 15
present (tense): active (indicative), 32-35; active (subjunctive), 72; passive (indicative), 115 ; passive (subjunctive), 117
present imperative: active, 66; passive, 123
present participle, 56, 81
principal parts, 34, 56, 82-83
pronouns, 13; demonstrative, 89-92; indefinite, 101-102; interrogative, 97-98; personal, 61-62,64;
possessive, 62-64, 101; reflexive, 100-101; relative, 98-99
quantifiers, 39-41
questions, 51-53, 97-98
reflexive pronouns, 100-101
relative pronouns, 98-99
Saturnian meter, 124-125
second conjugation, 34-35; forms, 152-159. See also individual tenses and moods
second declension (nouns), 22-25
second-first declension adjectives, 25-26
second principal part, 34
semideponents, 123
sound system, 15-19, 84, 124, 126127
subjunctive (mood), 71-75, 79-81.
See also individual tenses
substantives, 11, 27
superlatives, 66
supine, 82-83, 110
tense, 14. See also individual tenses
third conjugation, 34-35; forms, 152159. See also individual tenses and moods
third declension: adjectives, 44-46; nouns, 41-44
third principal part, 56
$u$ stems, 109-110
verbs, 13-14; forms, 152-159. See also individual tenses and moods
vocative case, 12, 24
voice, 117-118. See also individual voices tenses, and moods
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