Australian Mathematical Society

Low Rank Representations and Graphs for Sporadic Groups

CHERYL E. PRAEGER & LEONARD H. SOICHER

Lecture Series 8

Low Rank Representations and Graphs for Sporadic Groups

Cheryl E. Praeger Department of Mathematics The University of Western Australia

Leonard H. Soicher

School of Mathematical Sciences Queen Mary and Westfield College, London PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, United Kingdom 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA 10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© Cambridge University Press 1997

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any of part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press

First published 1997

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data available

ISBN 0 521 56737 8 paperback

Contents

Preface		page ix	
List	of Tables	xi	
1	Low Rank Permutation Groups	1	
1.1	Introduction	1	
1.2	Transitive permutation groups, orbitals and ranks	3	
1.3	Permutation representations	4	
1.4	Permutational equivalence and permutational isomorphism	4	
1.5	Invariant partitions and primitivity	7	
1.6	The O'Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups	7	
1.7	Existing classifications of low rank primitive groups	9	
1.8	Low rank sporadic classification	10	
2	Digraphs for Transitive Groups	12	
2.1	Some definitions for digraphs	12	
2.2	Generalized orbital digraphs	13	
2.3	Collapsed adjacency matrices	14	
2.4	Distance-regularity and distance-transitivity	16	
2.5	Graphs for groups of ranks 2 and 3	17	

Contents vi 3 The Methods 19 3.1Permutation characters 19 3.2 **Pseudo-permutation characters** 20 3.3 Constructing and analysing representations 21 Computing with collapsed adjacency matrices 3.4233.5A lemma for certain imprimitive groups 284 The Individual Groups 294.1 Notation and conventions 30 4.233 The Mathieu group M_{11} 4.3The Mathieu group M_{12} 37 4.4 Automorphism group M_{12} : 2 of M_{12} 47 4.5The Janko group J_1 514.6 The Mathieu group M_{22} 53Automorphism group M_{22} : 2 of M_{22} 4.7 61 4.8 The Hall-Janko group J_2 64 4.9 Automorphism group $J_2: 2$ of J_2 66 4.1068 The Mathieu group M_{23} 4.11 The Higman-Sims group HS72Automorphism group HS: 2 of HS4.1276 4.13 The Janko group J_3 78 4.14 Automorphism group $J_3: 2$ of J_3 78 4.15The Mathieu group M_{24} 79 4.16 The McLaughlin group McL87 4.17 Automorphism group McL: 2 of McL91 4.18 The Held group He92 4.19Automorphism group He: 2 of He94 4.20The Rudvalis group Ru95 4.21The Suzuki group Suz 97 4.22Automorphism group Suz: 2 of Suz 100

	Contents	vii
4.23	The O'Nan group O'N	101
4.24	Automorphism group $O'N: 2$ of $O'N$	103
4.25	The Conway group Co_3	10 3
4.26	The Conway group Co_2	10 6
4.27	The Fischer group Fi_{22}	110
4.28	Automorphism group Fi_{22} : 2 of Fi_{22}	113
4.29	The Harada-Norton group HN	114
4.30	Automorphism group $HN:2$ of HN	114
4.31	The Lyons group Ly	115
4.32	The Thompson group Th	117
4.33	The Fischer group Fi_{23}	118
4.34	The Conway group Co_1	121
4.35	The Janko group J_4	124
4.36	The Fischer group Fi'_{24}	124
4.37	Automorphism group $Fi_{24} = Fi'_{24}$: 2 of Fi'_{24}	125
4.38	The Fischer Baby Monster group B	126
4.39	The Fischer-Griess Monster group M	128
5	Summary of the Representations and Graphs	129
Bibliography		134
Index		139

Preface

This book is a contribution to the study of the sporadic simple groups, which are the twenty-six fascinating finite simple groups which do not belong to any of the infinite families of finite simple groups. In particular, we classify and study all graphs admitting a sporadic simple group or its automorphism group as a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms of rank at most 5. We do this by first finding all the representations of these sporadic groups as transitive permutation groups of rank at most 5. The classification, construction and analysis of these representations and graphs involve both theoretical arguments about permutation groups and characters, and computational methods involving the use of various computer systems for group theory, character theory and graph theory. The ATLAS of Finite Groups was an invaluable resource throughout all our work.

We have tried to make most of our techniques accessible to a beginning graduate student who is willing to study some basic computational group theory. In particular, the construction and analysis of collapsed adjacency matrices are spelled out in detail. For the theoretical analysis of certain permutation representions, some knowledge of permutation group theory is assumed.

Using the presentations for sporadic groups which are given in this book, and the generating sets for the point stabilizers, the reader should be able to construct and study most of the representations and graphs described in this book. Indeed, one of our main aims is to give inexperienced readers sufficient background to enable them to construct and explore finite vertex-transitive graphs.

It is our pleasure to thank the people and organizations who have helped

Preface

bring this book to fruition. This book would not have been undertaken without the GAP computer system, and we thank its main architect, Martin Schönert, and the leader of the GAP project, Joachim Neubüser, who is also responsible for the open and challenging atmosphere of Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik at the RWTH Aachen, where both authors have spent fruitful visits. Also, many thanks are due to the computational representation theory team at Lehrstuhl D, who put so much effort into the character theory part of GAP. Here special thanks go to Klaus Lux and Thomas Breuer. Thanks are also due to John Cannon and his team at the University of Sydney who produced the Cayley group theory system (and its successor the algebra system MAGMA), which was very useful in our work. We thank Gerhard Michler and the Institute for Experimental Mathematics, University of Essen, for making computational resources available for the analysis of the degree 9606125 permutation representation of the Lyons group, and Gene Cooperman for providing us with this representation. We also acknowledge supercomputer resources obtained through the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council and the University of London Computer Centre. Special thanks go to Dima Pasechnik, who computed complete sets of collapsed adjacency matrices for two very large representations, given a single matrix for each. Thanks also go to Peter Cameron, Sasha Ivanov, Derek Holt and Petra Fogarty, for useful discussions and suggestions. Finally, we thank the Australian Research Council and the University of Western Australia for research grants to enable us to work at the same location to initiate the research for this book, and again to complete the writing of the book.

List of Tables

5.1	The rank 2 representations	130
5.2	The rank 3 primitive representations	131
5.3	The rank 4 primitive representations	131
5.4	The rank 5 primitive representations	132
5.5	The rank 3 imprimitive representations	132
5.6	The rank 4 imprimitive representations	132
5.7	The rank 5 imprimitive representations	133
5.8	Distance-transitive graphs of diameters 3 and 4	133

Low Rank Permutation Groups

1.1 Introduction

Many interesting finite geometries, graphs and designs admit automorphism groups of low rank. In fact, it was a study of the rank 3 case which led to the discoveries and constructions of some of the sporadic simple groups (see [Gor82]). For several classification problems about graphs or designs, the case where the automorphism group is almost simple is of central importance, and many of the examples have a transitive automorphism group of low rank. This is the case, for example, for the classification problems of finite distance-transitive graphs [BCN89, PSY87], and of finite flag-transitive designs [BDD88, BDDKLS90].

This book presents a complete classification, up to conjugacy of the point stabilizers, of the faithful transitive permutation representations of rank at most 5 of the sporadic simple groups and their automorphism groups. These results, summarized in Chapter 5, filled a major gap in the existing classification results for finite, low rank, transitive permutation groups. For each representation classified, we also give the collapsed adjacency matrices (defined in Section 2.3) for all the associated orbital digraphs. We use these collapsed adjacency matrices to classify the vertex-transitive, distance-regular graphs for these low rank representations, and discover some new distance-regular graphs of diameter 2 (but of rank greater than 3) for the O'Nan group O'N, the Conway group Co_2 , and the Fischer group Fi_{22} . We also classify the graphs of diameter at most 4 on which a sporadic simple group or its automorphism group acts distance-transitively. It turns out that all these graphs are well-known.

We have tried to give enough information so that the interested reader can duplicate most of our results, and study further the fascinating sporadic groups. In particular, we give presentations for most of the sporadic groups G having permutation representations of rank at most 5, together with sets of words generating the appropriate point stabilizers in G. This information allows the reader with access to a good coset enumeration program (such as those within MAGMA [CP95] and GAP [Sch95]) to reconstruct most of the representations studied in this book.

In the 1970s, R.T. Curtis determined many collapsed adjacency matrices for inclusion in the original Cambridge ATLAS, but these do not appear in the published ATLAS [CCNPW85]. In the early to mid 1980s, the primitive permutation representations of the nonabelian simple groups of order up to 10^6 (excluding the family $L_2(q)$) were analysed in detail from the point of view of cellular rings (or coherent configurations) by A.A. Ivanov, M.H. Klin and I.A. Faradžev [IKF82, IKF84] (see also [FIK90, FKM94]). As part of this analysis, these representations were explicitly constructed using the CoCo computer package [FK91], and all collapsed adjacency matrices for the orbital digraphs were determined. Furthermore, collapsed adjacency matrices have been constructed by others for certain specific orbital digraphs for sporadic groups (see [ILLSS95] and its references), but we have computed all the collapsed adjacency matrices in this book from scratch, using the methods we describe, except for two representations where explicit references are given.

Any permutation representation of rank at most 5 is multiplicity-free (that is, the sum of distinct complex irreducible representations), and for primitive permutation representations, the classification in this book has recently been extended in [ILLSS95], to give a complete classification of the primitive multiplicity-free permutation representations of the sporadic simple groups and their automorphism groups, together with a classification of the graphs Γ on which such a group acts primitively and distance-transitively. It is shown that for such a distance-transitive graph Γ , we have diam(Γ) ≤ 4 , and so Γ appears in our classification. Even more recently, Breuer and Lux [BL96] have completed the classification of the imprimitive multiplicity-free permutation representations of the sporadic simple groups and their automorphism groups.

1.2 Transitive permutation groups, orbitals and ranks

The symmetric group on a set Ω is the group Sym (Ω) of all permutations of Ω . If Ω is finite of cardinality n, then Sym (Ω) is often denoted S_n . A permutation group G on a set Ω is a subgroup of Sym (Ω) , and G is said to be transitive on Ω if, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$, there is an element $g \in G$ such that the image α^g of α under g is equal to β . More generally, the orbit of G containing a point $\alpha \in \Omega$ is the set $\alpha^G := \{\alpha^g \mid g \in G\}$.

For the remainder of the section, let G be a transitive permutation group on a finite set Ω .

The permutation group G on Ω can also be regarded as a permutation group on $\Omega \times \Omega$ by defining

$$(\alpha,\beta)^g=(\alpha^g,\beta^g)\qquad (\alpha,\beta\in\Omega,g\in G).$$

The number of orbits of G on $\Omega \times \Omega$ is called the *rank* of G on Ω .

If α, β are distinct points of Ω , then the pairs (α, α) and (α, β) lie in different orbits of G on $\Omega \times \Omega$. Thus, for $|\Omega| > 1$, the rank of G is at least 2. A permutation group on Ω is said to be 2-*transitive* (or *doubly transitive*) on Ω if it is transitive on the ordered pairs of distinct points of Ω . Thus, for $|\Omega| > 1$, the 2-transitive groups are precisely the permutation groups of rank 2. The classification of the finite 2-transitive groups was one of the first consequences for permutation groups of the finite simple group classification, and the problem of classifying finite permutation groups of low rank is a natural extension of this classification.

The orbits of G on $\Omega \times \Omega$ are called *orbitals*, and to each orbital E we associate the directed graph with vertex set Ω and edge set E, the socalled *orbital digraph* for E. It is easy to show that the orbitals for Gare in one-to-one correspondence with the orbits on Ω of the *stabilizer* $G_{\alpha} := \{g \in G \mid \alpha^g = \alpha\}$ of a point $\alpha \in \Omega$. This correspondence maps an orbital E to the set of points $\{\beta \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in E\}$. The orbits of G_{α} on Ω are called *suborbits* of G, and their lengths are called the *subdegrees* of G.

If G has rank r, then a point stabilizer will have exactly r orbits on Ω , and we say that such a stabilizer is a rank r subgroup of G.

1.3 Permutation representations

Let G be a group and Ω a set. An *action* of G on Ω is a function which associates to every $\alpha \in \Omega$ and $g \in G$ an element α^g of Ω such that, for all $\alpha \in \Omega$ and all $g, h \in G$, $\alpha^1 = \alpha$ and $(\alpha^g)^h = \alpha^{gh}$. In a natural way, an action defines a *permutation representation* of G on Ω , which is a homomorphism φ from G into Sym (Ω): simply define $(g)\varphi \in$ Sym (Ω) by $\alpha^{(g)\varphi} := \alpha^g$. Conversely, a permutation representation naturally defines an action of G on Ω , leading to a natural bijection between the actions of G on Ω and the permutation representations of G on Ω (see [NST94, pp. 30–32]). Note also that a permutation group H on Ω defines a natural representation (and action) of H on Ω , by defining the representation to be the identity map.

Most of the definitions of Section 1.2 apply to permutation representations by applying them to the permutation group which is the image of that representation. Thus, a permutation representation is said to be transitive if its image is transitive. Similarly, the orbits of a representation are those of its image and, if the representation is transitive, then its rank, orbitals, suborbits and subdegrees are those of its image. However, the point stabilizer $G_{\alpha} := \{g \in G \mid \alpha^g = \alpha\}$ for the representation may be a proper preimage of the point stabilizer for the permutation group image.

A permutation representation is said to be *faithful* if its kernel is the trivial group of order 1, in which case G is isomorphic to its permutation group image, and we are back to the case of permutation groups. In this book we study faithful representations of the sporadic simple groups and their automorphism groups. If a representation of a (sporadic) simple group is not faithful then clearly its image is the trivial group, and a non-faithful representation of the automorphism group of a sporadic simple group has an image of order 1 or 2 (as a sporadic simple group has index at most 2 in its automorphism group).

1.4 Permutational equivalence and permutational isomorphism

There are several slightly different concepts of equivalence, or isomorphism, for permutation representations and permutation groups (see [NST94, pp. 32–33]). Since an abstract group may be represented in many different ways as a permutation group, the notion of group isomor-

phism does not provide a sufficiently refined measure for distinguishing between different permutation representations and different permutation groups. The most general concept of permutational equivalence concerns different groups acting on different sets. A *permutational equivalence* of permutation representations of groups G, G^* acting on Ω, Ω^* respectively is a pair (θ, ϕ) of functions, where $\theta : \Omega \to \Omega^*$ is a bijection and $\phi : G \to G^*$ is an isomorphism, and

$$(\alpha^g)\theta = (\alpha\theta)^{g\phi}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Omega$ and all $g \in G$, and the representations (and actions) of Gand G^* are said to be (permutationally) equivalent. Clearly θ induces a bijection between the sets of orbits of G, G^* in Ω, Ω^* respectively. Also, the restriction of ϕ to the stabilizer G_{α} of a point $\alpha \in \Omega$ is an isomorphism onto the stabilizer in G^* of the point $\alpha \theta \in \Omega^*$. Thus the equivalence induces bijections of the sets of orbits and point stabilizers of the two permutation representations. In the particular case of transitive representations of G, G^* on finite sets Ω, Ω^* , the permutational equivalence (θ, ϕ) preserves rank and subdegrees. Moreover, this equivalence induces a second equivalence $(\theta \times \theta, \phi)$ of the natural representations of G, G^* acting on $\Omega \times \Omega$ and $\Omega^* \times \Omega^*$ respectively (namely, by defining $(\alpha, \beta)(\theta \times \theta) := (\alpha \theta, \beta \theta)$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega \times \Omega$), such that $\theta \times \theta$ induces a bijection from the set of orbitals of G in $\Omega \times \Omega$ to the set of orbitals of G^* in $\Omega^* \times \Omega^*$, and preserves the isomorphism classes of the associated orbital digraphs.

If $G = G^*$ then the isomorphism ϕ is an automorphism of G. In the special case where ϕ is the identity map, the equivalence $(\theta, 1)$ is called a *permutational isomorphism*. Thus, roughly speaking, a permutational isomorphism amounts to a relabelling of the point set.

The notions of permutational equivalence and permutational isomorphism for permutation groups G, G^* on Ω, Ω^* respectively, are defined to be the same as these concepts for their natural representations. Note that the classification of faithful permutation representations up to permutational equivalence (respectively isomorphism) is the same as the classification of permutation groups up to permutational equivalence (respectively isomorphism).

In our subsequent discussion we use the following notation: for a group G, Aut G denotes the *automorphism group* of G, Inn G the group of *inner automorphisms* of G, and Out $G := \operatorname{Aut} G/\operatorname{Inn} G$ is the *outer au-*

tomorphism group of G. Each element of $\operatorname{Aut} G \setminus \operatorname{Inn} G$ is called an *outer* automorphism of G.

Suppose that G has a transitive permutation representation on the set Ω , and choose $\alpha \in \Omega$. Then this representation is permutationally isomorphic to the representation of G, acting by right multiplication, on the right cosets of the point stabilizer G_{α} [NST94, Theorem 6.3]. If $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut} G$, then G also has a transitive permutation representation, acting by right multiplication, on the set Ω^* of right cosets of the subgroup $K := (G_{\alpha})\varphi$, and

$$\theta: \alpha^g \mapsto K(g\varphi) \quad (\alpha \in \Omega, g \in G)$$

is a well-defined bijection $\theta: \Omega \to \Omega^*$. Moreover the pair (θ, φ) is an equivalence between the permutation representations of G on Ω and on Ω^* . Of course (θ, φ) is by definition a permutational isomorphism if and only if φ is the identity. However the permutation representations of G on Ω and on Ω^* are permutationally isomorphic if and only if G_{α} and K are conjugate in G [NST94, Theorem 6.3 and Proposition 6.5]. We see from this discussion that, in general, two transitive representations of G are permutationally isomorphic if and only if a point stabilizer for one representation is in the same conjugacy class in G as a point stabilizer for the other representation. Moreover, there is a permutation representation of $\operatorname{Aut} G$ on the set of permutational isomorphism classes of transitive permutation representations of G such that Inn G is contained in the kernel. So in fact we have a permutation representation induced of $\operatorname{Out} G := \operatorname{Aut} G / \operatorname{Inn} G$ on these permutational isomorphism classes. The orbits of Aut G (and of Out G) correspond to the permutational equivalence classes of transitive permutation representations of G. Thus the permutational isomorphism classes (respectively permutational equivalence classes) of transitive permutation representations of G are in one-to-one correspondence with the conjugacy classes of subgroups of G (respectively orbits of Aut G, and hence of Out G, on these conjugacy classes).

The classification of transitive permutation representations in this book is up to permutational isomorphism, which is the same as the classification up to conjugacy of the point stabilizers.

Two different permutational isomorphism classes of transitive representations correspond to the same permutational equivalence class if and only if there is an outer automorphism of G mapping one permutational isomorphism class to the other. In the case where G is a sporadic simple group, $|\operatorname{Out} G| \leq 2$. Hence, in this situation, an outer automorphism of G will interchange the two permutational isomorphism classes, and will also interchange the corresponding conjugacy classes of point stabilizers. We will point this out whenever it occurs.

1.5 Invariant partitions and primitivity

If G is a permutation group on a set Ω , then a partition P of Ω is said to be G-invariant (and G is said to preserve P) if the elements of G permute the blocks of P blockwise, that is, for $B \in P$ and $g \in G$, the set B^g is also a block of P. The blocks of a G-invariant partition are called blocks of imprimitivity for G. If G is transitive on Ω then all blocks of a G-invariant partition P of Ω have the same cardinality and G acts transitively on P. Moreover, every permutation group G on Ω preserves the two partitions $\{\Omega\}$ and $\{\{\alpha\} \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$; these are called trivial partitions of Ω , and their blocks, Ω and $\{\alpha\}$ for $\alpha \in \Omega$, are called trivial blocks of imprimitivity. All other partitions of Ω are said to be nontrivial. A permutation group G is said to be primitive on Ω if G is transitive on Ω and the only G-invariant partitions of Ω are the trivial ones. Also G is said to be imprimitive on Ω if G is transitive on Ω and G preserves some nontrivial partition of Ω .

1.6 The O'Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups

It is not difficult to see that the set of orbits of a normal subgroup of a transitive permutation group G on Ω is a G-invariant partition of Ω . Thus each nontrivial normal subgroup of a primitive permutation group is transitive. In particular, for finite primitive permutation groups G on Ω the *socle* of G, soc(G), which is the product of its minimal normal subgroups, is transitive on Ω . Several different types of finite primitive permutation groups have been identified in the O'Nan-Scott Theorem ([Sco80, AS85] or see [LPS88]) and are described according to the structure and permutation action of their socles.

A finite primitive permutation group G has at most two minimal normal subgroups, and if M, N are distinct minimal normal subgroups of G, then $M \cong N, M$ and N are nonabelian, and both act regularly on Ω

(see [Sco80] or [LPS88]). (A permutation group on Ω is *regular* if it is transitive, and only the identity element fixes a point of Ω .)

For most of the types of finite primitive groups, the socle is the unique minimal normal subgroup, and for all types the socle is a direct product of isomorphic simple groups. The types of finite primitive permutation groups are described in [LPS88] as follows. Let G be a primitive permutation group on a finite set Ω , and let N := soc(G). Then $N = T^k$ for some simple group T and positive integer k, and one of the following holds.

- Affine type. Here $N = Z_p^k$ (p a prime) is elementary abelian, N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G, N is regular on Ω , and Ω can be identified with a finite vector space V in such a way that N is the group of translations of V and G is a subgroup of the group AGL(V) of affine transformations of V.
- Amost simple type. The socle N = T is a nonabelian simple group (k = 1), so $T \leq G \leq \operatorname{Aut} T$, that is, G is an almost simple group. Also $T_{\alpha} \neq 1$.

For the remaining types $N = T^k$ with $k \ge 2$ and T a nonabelian simple group.

Simple diagonal type. Here G is a subgroup of the group

$$W := \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) : \pi \mid a_i \in \operatorname{Aut} T, \pi \in S_k, \\ a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{\operatorname{Inn} T} \text{ for all } i, j\},\$$

where $\pi \in S_k$ permutes the components a_i naturally. With the obvious multiplication, W is a group with socle $N = T^k$, and $W = N.(\operatorname{Out} T \times S_k)$, a (not necessarily split) extension of N by $\operatorname{Out} T \times S_k$. The action of W on Ω is equivalent to its action by right multiplication on the set of right cosets of its subgroup

$$W_{\alpha} := \{(a, \ldots, a) : \pi \mid a \in \operatorname{Aut} T, \pi \in S_k\} \cong \operatorname{Aut} T \times S_k.$$

The group G must contain N, and $N_{\alpha} = \{(a, \ldots, a) \mid a \in T\}$ is a diagonal subgroup of N, hence the name 'diagonal type'.

Product type. For this type, G is a subgroup of a wreath product $W := H \text{ wr } S_l$ in product action on $\Omega = \Lambda^l$, where $l \geq 2$ and l divides k, H is a primitive permutation group on Λ , $soc(H) \cong T^{k/l}$, and $N = soc(W) = soc(H)^l$ is contained in G. The group H is of either almost simple or simple diagonal type.

Twisted wreath type. For this type, $G = T \operatorname{twr}_{\varphi} P = T^k P$ is a twisted wreath product, where $P \leq S_k$, and N is regular on Ω .

More information about the structure of these groups can be found in [AS85, Sco80, LPS88].

1.7 Existing classifications of low rank primitive groups

Long before the description of finite primitive permutation groups that we find in the O'Nan-Scott Theorem had been written down, W. Burnside [Bur11, Section 154] proved that a finite 2-transitive group is of either affine or almost simple type. In fact, the minimum ranks for finite primitive groups of the other types tend to be higher than those for primitive groups of affine or almost simple type, and it follows from the O'Nan-Scott Theorem that the finite primitive groups of rank at most 5 are essentially known once the almost simple ones and the affine ones have been classified (see [Cuy89]). According to the finite simple group classification a nonabelian finite simple group T is either an alternating group, a group of Lie type, or one of the 26 sporadic simple groups (see [Gor82]). Thus the socle T of an almost simple group G is a simple group of one of these types.

The finite 2-transitive groups have been completely classified using the finite simple group classification, and this result is the culmination of the work of many people. The 2-transitive representations of the finite symmetric and alternating groups were classified by E. Maillet [Mai1895] in 1895. Those of the finite almost simple groups of Lie type were determined by C.W. Curtis, W.M. Kantor and G.M. Seitz [CKS76] in 1976, and the classification of the 2-transitive groups of almost simple type was completed and announced by P.J. Cameron [Cam81] in 1981 as a consequence of the finite simple group classification. The finite soluble 2-transitive groups were classified by B. Huppert [Hup57] in 1957; the major part of the classification of the finite insoluble 2-transitive groups of affine type was done by C. Hering [Her74, Her85], and a complete and independent proof of the classification of finite 2-transitive groups of affine type was given by M.W. Liebeck [Lie87, Appendix 1].

A great deal of effort has gone into understanding low rank primitive permutation groups, in particular those of rank at most 5. It follows from the O'Nan-Scott Theorem (see [Cuy89, Corollary 2.2]) that, if G

is primitive of rank at most 5 on a finite set Ω , then either G is of affine or almost simple type, or G is a subgroup of a wreath product $H \text{ wr } S_k$ in product action on $\Omega = \Lambda^k$, where $k \in \{2,3,4\}$ and H is an almost simple 2-transitive permutation group on Λ , or G has simple diagonal type with socle isomorphic to $L_2(q) \times L_2(q)$ for some $q \in \{5,7,8,9\}$. Thus a classification of primitive permutation groups of rank up to 5 is reduced to a classification of those of affine or almost simple type.

We consider the almost simple case first. In 1972 E.E. Bannai [Ban72] classified all primitive permutation representations of rank at most 5 of the finite alternating and symmetric groups. In 1982 W.M. Kantor and R.A. Liebler [KL82] classified the primitive rank 3 representations of the classical groups (see also [Sei74]). In 1986 M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl [LS86] found all the primitive rank 3 representations of the exceptional simple groups of Lie type, and A. Brouwer, R.A. Wilson and L.H. Soicher (see [LS86]) determined those of the sporadic simple groups, thereby completing the classification of almost simple primitive rank 3 groups. In 1989, H. Cuypers [Cuy89] completed the classification of all primitive representations of rank at most 5 of all finite almost simple groups of Lie type. Part of the purpose of this book is to complete the classification of the almost simple primitive groups of rank at most 5 by classifying all such representations of the sporadic almost simple groups. Note that the sporadic almost simple groups are the sporadic simple groups and their automorphism groups, since a sporadic simple group has index at most 2 in its automorphism group.

Finite soluble primitive groups of rank 3 are primitive groups of affine type and were classified by D.A. Foulser [Fou69] in 1969. The classification of all primitive rank 3 groups of affine type was completed by M.W. Liebeck [Lie87] in 1987. From these results, and the results presented in this book, it follows that to complete the classification of finite primitive permutation groups of rank at most 5, only the affine primitive groups of rank 4 and 5 remain to be classified.

1.8 Low rank sporadic classification

In this book we classify all (primitive and imprimitive) faithful transitive permutation representations of rank at most 5 of the sporadic simple groups and their automorphism groups. The rank 2 case is included for completeness, and the rank 3 case expands the list in [LS86] by classifying all imprimitive rank 3 representations of these groups.

We also provide detailed information about the digraphs on which the permutation groups we describe act vertex-transitively. Background about such graphs is given in Chapter 2, and a discussion of the methods used in our investigations is in Chapter 3. Chapter 4 contains the main body of our work, with the description of the representations and digraphs for the individual sporadic groups, together with many presentations, and Chapter 5 summarizes the representations and distance-regular graphs classified.

Digraphs for Transitive Groups

Let G be a transitive permutation group on a finite set Ω . In this chapter we discuss the digraphs with vertex-set Ω on which G acts as a (vertextransitive) group of automorphisms. We also discuss distance-regularity and distance-transitivity of graphs.

2.1 Some definitions for digraphs

Before proceeding further, it is convenient to record some basic definitions for digraphs.

A directed graph, or digraph, $\Gamma = (V, E)$ consists of a finite set V, together with a subset E of $V \times V$. The elements of V are called *vertices*, and the elements of E are called *edges*. For $v \in V$, the set

$$\Gamma(v) := \{ w \in V \mid (v, w) \in E \}$$

is called the set of *neighbours* of v in Γ .

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a digraph. A path (some would say a directed path) of length n in Γ is a sequence v_1, \ldots, v_{n+1} of vertices of Γ such that $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We say that such a path connects v_1 to v_{n+1} , and that v_1 is connected to v_{n+1} . We call Γ connected (some would say strongly connected) if v is connected to w for every $v, w \in V$. If v is connected to w in Γ , then we define the distance $d(v, w) = d_{\Gamma}(v, w)$ to be the length of a shortest path connecting v to w. Now if Γ is connected then its diameter diam (Γ) is defined to be max $\{d(v, w) \mid v, w \in V\}$.

The digraph Γ is called *simple* if whenever (v, w) is an edge then (w, v) is an edge and $v \neq w$. If Γ is simple, then we usually consider Γ to be

an ordinary undirected graph by identifying each edge (v, w) with (w, v)and speaking of the *undirected edge* $\{v, w\}$. We also call Γ a *simple graph* in this case. For simple graphs the concepts defined above (such as set of neighbours, path, path length, distance, connectivity and diameter) still apply with undirected edges replacing (directed) edges in the definitions.

A cycle in a simple (di)graph Γ is defined to be a path of length ≥ 3 connecting a vertex to itself and having no vertices repeated except for the first and last. If a simple graph Γ contains cycles, then we define the girth of Γ to be the length of a shortest cycle of Γ ; otherwise the girth is defined to be the symbol ∞ .

Let $\Gamma = (\Omega, E)$ be a digraph, and G be a permutation group on Ω . We say that G acts on Γ if, for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in E$ and $g \in G$, we have $(\alpha^g, \beta^g) \in$ E; that is, G is a group of automorphisms of Γ . The automorphism group Aut (Γ) of the digraph Γ is the subgroup of Sym (Ω) consisting of all automorphisms of Γ . We say that Γ is vertex-transitive if Aut (Γ) is transitive on the vertex-set Ω , and we say that Γ is a rank r graph if Aut (Γ) is a transitive group of rank r on Ω .

2.2 Generalized orbital digraphs

Let G be a transitive permutation group on a finite set Ω , and let $E \subseteq \Omega \times \Omega$ be an orbital for G. Recall that the orbital digraph for G associated with E is simply the digraph (Ω, E) . Clearly, G is transitive on the vertices and on the edges of an orbital digraph. On the other hand, if $\Gamma = (\Omega, F)$ is a digraph (with vertex-set Ω) on which G acts (as a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms), then F is a union of orbitals for G. Such a digraph Γ is called a *generalized orbital digraph* for G. If in addition, G acts edge-transitively on the generalized orbital digraph $\Gamma = (\Omega, F)$, then F is either empty or a single orbital for G.

One of the orbitals for G is $\{(\alpha, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$. Its associated orbital digraph consists of a 'loop' (α, α) at each vertex α , and it corresponds to the suborbit $\{\alpha\}$. This orbital, and its corresponding digraph and suborbit are all said to be *trivial*. All others are said to be *nontrivial*. Clearly, each nontrivial orbital digraph has no loops.

Each orbital E has a *paired orbital* E^* defined by

$$E^* := \{ (\beta, \gamma) \mid (\gamma, \beta) \in E \}.$$

As E and E^* are orbits for G on $\Omega \times \Omega$, they are either equal or disjoint.

If $E \cap E^* = \emptyset$, then the adjacency relation for the orbital digraph (Ω, E) is anti-symmetric in the sense that if $(\beta, \gamma) \in E$ then $(\gamma, \beta) \notin E$. On the other hand, if $E = E^*$ then, in the orbital digraph (Ω, E) , (β, γ) is an edge whenever (γ, β) is. In this case E is said to be *self-paired* and the associated digraph is said to be *undirected*. Moreover, unless the self-paired orbital E is the trivial orbital, the orbital digraph (Ω, E) is simple, in which case it is often called the *orbital graph* associated with E. Similarly, a simple generalized orbital digraph.

It is worthwhile to mention the following result, discovered independently by D.G. Higman [Hig67] and C.C. Sims [Sim67], which is not difficult to prove, but provides a nice characterization of primitivity in terms of orbital digraphs.

Theorem 2.1 Let G be a transitive permutation group on a finite set Ω . Then G is primitive if and only if each nontrivial orbital digraph for G is connected.

2.3 Collapsed adjacency matrices

As before, G is a transitive permutation group on a finite set Ω , and $\alpha \in \Omega$. We fix an ordering $\Omega_1 = \{\alpha\}, \Omega_2, \ldots, \Omega_r$ on the distinct orbits of G_{α} , which have respective representatives $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r$.

Let $\Gamma = (\Omega, E)$ be a generalized orbital digraph for G, and for $1 \le k, j \le r$, define

$$A[k,j] := |\Gamma(\alpha_k) \cap \Omega_j|.$$

In other words, A[k, j] is the number of neighbours of α_k in Ω_j . It is very important to note that A[k, j] does not depend on the choice α_k of suborbit representative of Ω_k . Indeed, if β is any element of Ω_k , then there is an element $g \in G_{\alpha}$ such that $\alpha_k^g = \beta$, and we have

$$\Gamma(\beta) \cap \Omega_j = \Gamma(\alpha_k^g) \cap \Omega_j = \Gamma(\alpha_k)^g \cap \Omega_j^g = (\Gamma(\alpha_k) \cap \Omega_j)^g.$$

Thus we have

$$A[k,j] = |\Gamma(\alpha_k) \cap \Omega_j| = |\Gamma(\beta) \cap \Omega_j|.$$

The $r \times r$ integer matrix

$$A = (A[k, j])$$

is called the *collapsed adjacency matrix* for Γ (with respect to G and the suborbit ordering).

For $1 \leq i \leq r$, let A_i be the collapsed adjacency matrix (with respect to G and the suborbit ordering) for the orbital digraph (Ω, E_i) corresponding to the suborbit Ω_i . In particular, A_1 is the $r \times r$ identity matrix. Since $\Gamma = (\Omega, E)$ is a generalized orbital digraph for G, we have that E is the disjoint union of certain orbitals for G, and we have the following obvious, but useful, result.

Proposition 2.2 As above, let A be the collapsed adjacency matrix for the generalized orbital digraph $\Gamma = (\Omega, E)$, where E is the disjoint union of orbitals, E_{i_1}, \ldots, E_{i_s} , for G. Then $A = A_{i_1} + \cdots + A_{i_s}$.

In Chapter 4, we shall use the notation $\Gamma\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\}$ for a generalized orbital digraph whose edge set is the disjoint union of orbitals indexed by i_1,\ldots,i_s .

The calculation and application of collapsed adjacency matrices is discussed in Chapter 3. Many properties of a generalized orbital digraph Γ can easily be deduced from a collapsed adjacency matrix for Γ . Note that if (θ, ϕ) is a permutational equivalence of the finite transitive permutation groups G, G^* , then G and G^* have exactly the same sequence of collapsed adjacency matrices for their respective sequences of orbital digraphs (with respect to an ordering of the suborbits of G and the image of this ordering under θ).

Remarks In this book, we have chosen to avoid the theory of association schemes (see [BI84] and [BCN89]), and the closely related theory of coherent configurations [Hig75, Hig76]. The theory of coherent configurations was independently developed in the former Soviet Union under the name of cellular rings (see [FIK90]). Readers who wish to advance further in their study of permutation groups and digraphs would be well-advised to study these theories. We do remark, however, that the collapsed adjacency matrices for the orbital digraphs of the finite transitive permutation group G on Ω give the intersection numbers, or structure constants, for the (homogeneous) coherent configuration whose classes are the nontrivial orbitals of G, as follows. For $1 \leq j \leq r$, where r is the rank of G, define j^* by the rule that suborbit Ω_{j^*} corresponds to the paired orbital of the orbital corresponding to Ω_j . Then if E_i is the G-orbital corresponding to Ω_i , the (k, j)-entry $A_i[k, j]$ of the collapsed adjacency matrix A_i for (Ω, E_i) is equal to the intersection number $p_{j-1,i^*-1}^{k-1} = p_{i-1,j^*-1}^{k^*-1}$ as defined in [BCN89, Section 2.1]. This means that, if all the orbitals for G are self-paired, then our collapsed adjacency matrices for the orbital digraphs are the same as the intersection matrices defined in [BCN89, p. 45] for the associated (symmetric) association scheme (except for our indexing starting at 1, rather than 0). Indeed, the collapsed adjacency matrices for the orbital digraphs for G are the transposes of the intersection matrices as originally defined by D.G. Higman in [Hig67] (again, except for our indexing from 1).

2.4 Distance-regularity and distance-transitivity

Suppose now that $\Gamma = (\Omega, E)$ is a connected simple graph, and let $d := \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma)$. For $0 \le i \le d$, define

$$\Gamma_i := \{ (\beta, \gamma) \mid d(\beta, \gamma) = i \},\$$

the set of pairs of vertices at distance i; and for each vertex β define

$$\Gamma_i(\beta) := \{ \gamma \mid (\beta, \gamma) \in \Gamma_i \},\$$

the set of vertices at distance *i* from β . In particular, $\Gamma_1(\beta) = \Gamma(\beta)$. Then Γ is said to be *distance-regular* if there are constants b_0, \ldots, b_{d-1} , and c_1, \ldots, c_d , such that, for each $0 \leq i \leq d$, and each pair β, γ of vertices at distance *i*, there are precisely c_i neighbours of γ in $\Gamma_{i-1}(\beta)$ (if i > 0) and b_i neighbours of γ in $\Gamma_{i+1}(\beta)$ (if i < d). The sequence

$$\iota(\Gamma) := \{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d\},\$$

is called the *intersection array* of Γ . A distance-regular graph Γ is regular of valency $k := b_0 = |\Gamma(\beta)|$. Set $b_d = c_0 = 0$. The numbers c_i, b_i and a_i , where

$$a_i := k - b_i - c_i$$

is the number of neighbours of γ in $\Gamma_i(\beta)$, for $d(\beta, \gamma) = i$, are called the *intersection numbers* of Γ (i = 0, ..., d).

If G acts on Γ , then clearly each of the sets Γ_i is fixed setwise by G. Such a group G is said to act *distance-transitively* on Γ if G is transitive on each of the Γ_i , in which case G is transitive on the vertex-set Ω and the orbitals for G are precisely the sets $\Gamma_0, \ldots, \Gamma_d$. We say that a simple connected graph Γ is *distance-transitive* if Aut (Γ) acts distance-transitively on Γ , and clearly, if Γ is distance-transitive then it is distance-regular. The converse is far from true however, as some of our examples in Chapter 4 will illustrate.

If G is a transitive permutation group on Ω , of rank r, and G acts on the simple connected graph $\Gamma = (\Omega, E)$, then we see that G acts distance-transitively on Γ if and only if the diameter of Γ is r-1. Thus, if $r \leq 3$ then each transitive permutation group G of rank r acts distance-transitively on each simple connected orbital digraph for G.

2.5 Graphs for groups of ranks 2 and 3

If G is 2-transitive on a finite set Ω of size n > 1 then the subdegrees of G are 1, n - 1, and there are two orbital digraphs for G, the trivial orbital digraph and the *complete graph* K_n of size n. With respect to G, the complete graph K_n has collapsed adjacency matrix

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & n-1 \ 1 & n-2 \end{array}
ight)$$
 ,

and K_n is the unique distance-regular graph with intersection array

$$\{n-1;1\}.$$

Now suppose that the transitive permutation group G on Ω has rank 3. Then both nontrivial orbital digraphs for G are simple if and only if one of them is, and this holds if and only if |G| is even (some pair of unequal points in Ω are swapped by some element of G if and only if G contains an involution). By the celebrated Odd Order Theorem of W. Feit and J.G. Thompson [FT63], if G is insoluble, as in the almost simple case, then G has even order. If G is primitive of rank 3 then each of its nontrivial orbital digraphs is connected. Thus, if G is a primitive rank 3 group of even order then its nontrivial orbital digraphs form a pair of complementary connected simple graphs on each of which G acts distance-transitively. (The *complement* of a simple graph (V, E), where E is a set of undirected edges, is (V, \bar{E}) , where \bar{E} is the set of 2-subsets of V not in E.)

If G is an imprimitive rank 3 group, having a blocks of imprimitivity each of size b, then G has subdegrees 1, b - 1, b(a - 1). It is easy to see that both nontrivial orbital digraphs for G are simple, one being $a.K_b$, a disjoint copies of K_b , and the other being its complement, the complete multipartite graph $K_{a\times b}$, on which G acts distance-transitively. The graph $K_{a \times b}$ is the unique distance-regular graph with intersection array

$$\{b(a-1), b-1; 1, b(a-1)\}$$
 $(a, b > 1).$

(Note that any imprimitive permutation group preserving *a* blocks of imprimitivity each of size *b* acts vertex-transitively on $K_{a \times b}$.)

If Γ is any diameter 2 distance-regular graph with intersection array

 $\{b_0, b_1; c_1, c_2\},\$

such that Γ is not a complete multipartite graph (so that $b_0 > c_2$), then the complement of Γ is also distance-regular, and has intersection array

$$\left\{\frac{b_0b_1}{c_2}, b_0-c_2; 1, \frac{b_0b_1}{c_2}-b_1\right\}.$$

The Methods

We now describe the methods used to classify the transitive representations of rank at most 5 of the sporadic almost simple groups, and to analyse their associated generalized orbital digraphs. The methods for the analysis of these digraphs via their collapsed adjacency matrices are general, and are not restricted to sporadic groups or to low rank representations.

3.1 Permutation characters

Let G be a finite group. For a permutation representation of G on a finite set Ω , the permutation character π is the map $\pi: G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that, for $q \in G$, $\pi(q)$ is the number of points of Ω fixed by q. Now π is the character (trace map) of the natural matrix representation for G on the complex vector space \mathbb{C}^{Ω} (see for example [Isa76, p. 68]), and so π is a sum of complex irreducible characters for G. In particular the multiplicity of the trivial character 1_G in π is equal to the number of orbits of G in Ω (see [Isa76, Corollary 5.15]) and in particular π is a transitive permutation character (that is, the permutation character of a transitive permutation representation) precisely when 1_G has multiplicity 1 in π . In order to understand our arguments concerning permutation characters, the reader who is not familiar with the basic theory of (permutation) characters for finite groups is urged to consult the excellent reference [Isa76], especially Chapter 5. Note that permutationally isomorphic permutation representations of G have the same permutation character, but permutation representions which are not permutationally isomorphic may or may not have the same permutation character.

Improved versions of the ATLAS character tables (and power maps) for all the sporadic simple groups and their automorphism groups are available in the computational group theory system GAP [Sch95]. We used this system to obtain a list of possible permutation characters for transitive representations of rank at most 5 of these groups. More specifically, there are several special properties that such a permutation character must possess; for example it must be (rational) integer-valued and contain the trivial character 1_G exactly once. The list of properties of interest to us is given in the statement below. (Here g^G denotes the conjugacy class of g in G.)

Theorem 3.1 Let π be the permutation character of a transitive representation of rank $r \leq 5$ of a finite group G, and let $g \in G$. Then

- (a) $\pi = 1_G + \chi_2 + \ldots + \chi_r$, where χ_2, \ldots, χ_r are distinct nontrivial irreducible characters; in particular, π is multiplicity-free;
- (b) $\pi(1)$ divides |G|;
- (c) $\pi(g)$ is a non-negative integer;
- (d) $\pi(1)$ divides $|g^G|.\pi(g);$
- (e) $\pi(g^k) \ge \pi(g)$ for all integers $k \ge 0$;
- (f) if $\pi(g) > 0$ then $\pi(1) |\langle g \rangle|$ divides |G|.

Proof Properties (b) to (f) come from [Isa76, Theorem 5.18] and hold for any transitive permutation character π of G.

Applying [Isa76, Corollaries 5.15 and 5.16], we see that either (a) holds, or r = 5 and $\pi = 1_G + 2\chi$ for some nontrivial irreducible character χ . Suppose the latter holds. The character χ has algebraic integer values and, since π has rational integer values, χ too must have rational integer values. Thus, each value of π is an odd rational integer, and so positive. But then, as $\pi \neq 1_G$, the inner product (see [Isa76, pp. 20–21]) $[1_G, \pi]$ is greater than 1, which contradicts the fact that 1_G has multiplicity 1 in π .

3.2 Pseudo-permutation characters

We call a character π of a finite group G which satisfies (for all $g \in G$) the properties (a) to (f) of Theorem 3.1 a *pseudo-permutation character* for G (of rank at most 5). We first used GAP to compute a list of all pseudo-permutation characters of rank at most 5 for the sporadic almost simple groups. We then looked at each faithful such pseudo-permutation

character to determine if it really was a permutation character for the group G under consideration and, if so, worked to identify all permutation representations with that character. Usually the permutation characters corresponding to primitive representations of low rank of Gwere identified immediately using the information about maximal subgroups of G contained in the ATLAS. Some of the pseudo-permutation characters which were not permutation characters could be eliminated by proving that there was no suitable subgroup of G to be a point stabilizer, or by proving that the character value at some element of G was not a possible value for a permutation character evaluated at that element. Delicate analysis was often required in the cases where the character was shown (if it really was a permutation character) to be the permutation character of G acting (by right multiplication) on the right cosets of a subgroup H, where H < M < G, M a certain maximal subgroup of G. Such a representation would be imprimitive. In these cases it was often necessary to identify all subgroups H of M of the appropriate index and to examine the representation of G on the cosets of H for all of these subgroups H.

3.3 Constructing and analysing representations

Some of the permutation representations under consideration were originally analysed by hand, and this analysis is described with the corresponding representation.

Many other representations were constructed and analysed using the graph theory system GRAPE [Soi93b], which is a share library package in the group theory system GAP [Sch95]. GRAPE includes B.D. McKay's graph automorphism package nauty [McK90], L.H. Soicher's coset enumeration package Enum, and his program Coladj to compute collapsed adjacency matrices. The standalone version of the EnumColadj function in GRAPE was most useful. Its purpose is to produce collapsed adjacency matrices for the orbital digraphs of the transitive representation produced by a (successful) coset enumeration of the cosets of H in G, given a presentation for G and words generating H with respect to this presentation. The presentations we used come from [CNS88, CP92, HS95, Soi85, Soi87a, Soi88, Soi90, Soi91]. Many of these presentations are reproduced in the ATLAS (and in this book). The largest representation of Co_1 on the cosets of $3 \cdot Suz: 2$, and for this calculation

3 The Methods

we used a University of London Convex supercomputer. The reader who is unfamiliar with coset enumeration (also called the Todd-Coxeter method) should consult [Neu82] for a clear introduction.

Given a presentation for a group G, finding words generating a subgroup in a specified conjugacy class is as much an art as it is a science, but once found, it is usually easy to show that these words generate a subgroup in the correct conjugacy class. For example, a coset enumeration, when successful, will show that the subgroup H generated by these words has the desired index in G. Also, the calculation of the collapsed adjacency matrices for the orbital digraphs determines (among other things) the rank and subdegrees for the representation of G on the cosets of H. We shall give the presentations we have used explicitly, along with sets of words generating the appropriate subgroups. The systems Cayley, GAP and GRAPE were all useful in the determination of these words.

A small number of representations were constructed and analysed without using a presentation, but using the group theory system Cayley [Can84] (which has been superseded by the computer algebra system MAGMA [CP95]). In particular, we originally used Cayley to construct and analyse some of the imprimitive representations for M_{11} and M_{12} , for the initial study of the rank 5 representations of McL, and for the construction and analysis of the representations of Ru of rank at most 5. (We remark that Cayley, MAGMA and GAP contain libraries of matrix and permutation representations, including many for sporadic simple groups. See also [Wil].) Given some representation of a group G, Cayley was used to construct an appropriate point stabilizer H for the representation of G we wished to construct. For example, H could sometimes be found as the stabilizer of a subset of the points of the given representation for G, or as the normalizer of an appropriate subgroup of G. For imprimitive representations, H was usually a term in some composition series for the point stabilizer in some particular representation for G. After finding H, we then used the Cayley function cosact image to construct explicitly the desired permutation representation of G on the cosets of H. Next, we applied a simple Cayley version of our collapsed adjacency matrix program to calculate the collapsed adjacency matrices for the orbital digraphs for this representation.

The degree 9606125 permutation representation of Ly on the cosets of $3 \cdot McL$: 2 was constructed by Cooperman, Finkelstein, York and Tsel-

man [CFYT94], and was kindly provided to us via the Institute for Experimental Mathematics, University of Essen.

We are also grateful to D.V. Pasechnik, who wrote a program in *Maple* [CGGLMW92] which calculated the collapsed adjacency matrices for all the orbital digraphs for the representations of Ly on the cosets of $G_2(5)$ and the Baby Monster group B on the cosets of $2 \cdot {}^2E_6(2)$: 2, given one collapsed adjacency matrix having distinct eigenvalues, for an orbital digraph, for each of these representations.

3.4 Computing with collapsed adjacency matrices

Throughout this section G is a transitive permutation group on a finite set Ω .

In this section we describe how to calculate the collapsed adjacency matrix for any generalized orbital digraph Γ for G. We also show how to use this collapsed adjacency matrix to determine whether Γ is connected, and if so its diameter; whether Γ is simple, and if so its girth; whether Γ is distance-regular, and whether G acts distance-transitively on Γ .

The methods described here are implemented in GRAPE. More advanced methods for computing collapsed adjacency matrices are discussed in [ILLSS95] and [LLS95].

3.4.1 Computing collapsed adjacency matrices

Let (Ω, E) be a generalized orbital digraph for G. Therefore E is the union of distinct G-orbitals, E_{i_1}, \ldots, E_{i_s} say, and the collapsed adjacency matrix for (Ω, E) is just the sum of those for the orbital digraphs $(\Omega, E_{i_1}), \ldots, (\Omega, E_{i_s})$. Thus, we can determine the collapsed adjacency matrices for all generalized orbital digraphs for G from those for the orbital digraphs for G for the suborbits for G).

We now show how to compute the collapsed adjacency matrices for the orbital digraphs for G. We suppose we are given permutation generators for G acting on Ω . We first calculate generators for the stabilizer G_{α} of a point $\alpha \in \Omega$. If we have calculated the permutation generators for G on Ω by a coset enumeration, then we already have words for generators of G_{α} (see [Neu82]). If the permutations generating G come from another

source then we use standard methods from computational group theory [But91, Leo80] to calculate generators for G_{α} .

Next, we determine the orbits, $\Omega_1 = \{\alpha\}, \Omega_2, \ldots, \Omega_r$ say, of G_{α} on Ω , with respective representatives $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r$ (see [But91, Chapter 7] for orbit algorithms). In the process, we determine a function

suborb :
$$\Omega \to \{1, \ldots, r\}$$

(implemented as an array) such that, for $\beta \in \Omega$, suborb $(\beta) = j$ means that $\beta \in \Omega_j$. We also do a modified orbit calculation for the orbit (already known to be Ω) of G on Ω , in order to calculate a Schreier vector (see [But91, Chapter 7]) for this orbit. A Schreier vector allows us to calculate efficiently a word in the generators of G taking the point α to any given $\beta \in \Omega$. In particular, we calculate words w_1, \ldots, w_r , such that

$$\alpha^{w_1}=\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha^{w_r}=\alpha_r.$$

Let $1 \leq i, k \leq r$. We now describe how to compute the *k*th row of the collapsed adjacency matrix A_i for the orbital digraph $\Gamma = (\Omega, E_i)$ corresponding to the suborbit Ω_i . Now $\Gamma(\alpha_k)$, the set of neighbours of α_k in Γ , is the image of $\Omega_i = \Gamma(\alpha)$ under (the permutation defined by) w_k . Thus, for $j = 1, \ldots, r$, we must calculate

$$A_i[k,j] := |\Gamma(\alpha_k) \cap \Omega_j| = |\Omega_i^{w_k} \cap \Omega_j|.$$

We can efficiently compute these $A_i[k, j]$ (for j = 1, ..., r) by executing the following steps:

- (i) for j := 1, ..., r: set $A_i[k, j] := 0$;
- (ii) for each $\beta \in \Omega_i$: set $j := \text{suborb}(\beta^{w_k})$, and then set $A_i[k, j] := A_i[k, j] + 1$.

After these steps have been executed, the kth row of A_i is correctly determined.

3.4.2 Applying collapsed adjacency matrices

As usual, G is a transitive permutation group on the finite set Ω , with $\alpha \in \Omega$. Let A = (A[k, j]) be the $r \times r$ collapsed adjacency matrix for a generalized orbital digraph $\Gamma = (\Omega, E)$ for G, with respect to a fixed ordering $\Omega_1 = \{\alpha\}, \Omega_2, \ldots, \Omega_r$ of the orbits of G_{α} .

Now define the *collapsed digraph*

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(A)$$

to have vertex set $\{1, \ldots, r\}$, with (k, j) an edge of Σ if and only if A[k, j] > 0.

Let $1 \leq k, j \leq r$. From the definition of A in Section 2.3, and the discussion following, it follows that (k, j) is an edge of Σ if and only if each element of Ω_k has a neighbour (in Γ) in Ω_j , and this holds if and only if at least one element in Ω_k has a neighbour in Ω_j . Since G_{α} is transitive on Ω_j , this also means that (k, j) is an edge of Σ if and only if each element of Ω_j is a neighbour in Γ of some element of Ω_k .

Some important properties of Γ can be read off the usually much smaller digraph Σ . Indeed, for the graphs in this book, Σ has at most five vertices.

Theorem 3.2 Retaining the notation of this subsection, we have:

(a) Γ is simple if and only if (v, 1) is an edge of Σ whenever (1, v) is, but (1, 1) is not an edge of Σ .

(b) Let $1 \leq v \leq r$, $\beta \in \Omega_v$, and let n be a non-negative integer. Then α is connected to β by a path of length n in Γ if and only if 1 is connected to v by a path of length n in Σ . (Thus, if α is connected to β by a path in Γ , then $d_{\Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) = d_{\Sigma}(1, v)$.) Furthermore, Γ is connected if and only if Σ is connected, in which case diam(Γ) = diam(Σ).

Proof (a) Since $\Omega_1 = \{\alpha\}$, the 'only if' part of (a) is obvious.

Suppose that (v, 1) is an edge of Σ whenever (1, v) is, and (1, 1) is not an edge of Σ . Suppose (α, γ) is an edge of Γ , and let $j := \text{suborb}(\gamma)$ (that is, $\gamma \in \Omega_j$). Then A[1, j] > 0 and (1, j) is an edge of Σ . Since (1, 1) is not an edge of Σ , we have $j \neq 1$, that is, $\gamma \neq \alpha$, and so by the vertex-transitivity of Γ we can conclude that Γ contains no loops. Moreover, since (1, j) is an edge, so also (j, 1) is an edge of Σ , so A[j, 1] > 0. This implies that each element of Ω_j has a neighbour (in Γ) in $\Omega_1 = \{\alpha\}$. In particular, (γ, α) is an edge of Γ . Again, by the vertex-transitivity of Γ , we conclude that Γ is undirected. Thus Γ is simple.

(b) Suppose that $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{n+1}$ is a path of length n in Γ . Then this induces a path $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+1}$ in Σ , where for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1$, $\beta_i \in \Omega_{v_i}$. It follows that $d_{\Sigma}(v_1, v_{n+1}) \leq d_{\Gamma}(\beta_1, \beta_{n+1})$. Also, if Γ is connected, then so is Σ , and diam $(\Gamma) \geq \text{diam}(\Sigma)$. In the particular case where $\beta_1 = \alpha, \beta_{n+1} = \beta$, we have $v_1 = 1, v_{n+1} = v$, and $d_{\Sigma}(1, v) \leq d_{\Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$.

3 The Methods

Suppose now that 1 and v are connected by a path of length n in Σ . We shall show that α and β are connected by a path of length n in Γ , for every $\beta \in \Omega_v$. We do this by induction on n. If n = 0 then $v = 1, \beta = \alpha$, and the result holds. Suppose n > 0, that $1 = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+1} = v$ is a path of length n connecting 1 and v in Σ , and that $\beta \in \Omega_v$. By induction, there is a path of length n - 1 connecting α to γ for every $\gamma \in \Omega_{v_n}$. Since (v_n, v_{n+1}) is an edge of Σ , this implies that each element of $\Omega_{v_{n+1}} = \Omega_v$ is a neighbour in Γ of some element of Ω_{v_n} . Thus there is a path in Γ of length n from α to each $\beta \in \Omega_v$.

We thus have that $d_{\Gamma}(\alpha,\beta) \leq d_{\Sigma}(1,v)$, for $\beta \in \Omega_v$. Also, since Γ is vertex-transitive, we have that, if Σ is connected, then so is Γ , and $\operatorname{diam}(\Sigma) \geq \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma)$. This completes the proof of (b).

We note that, if $\Sigma = \Sigma(A)$ is connected, then its diameter diam $(\Sigma) = \text{diam}(\Gamma)$ is equal to the maximum $d_{\Sigma}(1, v)$, where v ranges over the vertices of Σ .

Now suppose that our generalized orbital digraph $\Gamma = (\Omega, E)$ is simple and connected of diameter d, and let A = (A[k, j]) and $\Sigma = \Sigma(A)$ be as before. Now Σ has diameter d, and as usual we let $\Sigma_i(1)$ denote the set of vertices of Σ at distance i from 1, for $i = 0, \ldots, d$. We see from the theorem above that

$$\bigcup_{v\in\Sigma_i(1)}\Omega_v=\Gamma_i(\alpha),$$

the set of vertices of Γ at distance *i* from α .

For $0 \leq i < d$ and $v \in \Sigma_i(1)$, define

$$b_i[v] := \sum_{w \in \Sigma_{i+1}(1)} A[v,w].$$

For $0 < i \leq d$ and $v \in \Sigma_i(1)$, define

$$c_i[v] := \sum_{w \in \Sigma_{i-1}(1)} A[v, w].$$

For $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $v \in \Sigma_i(1)$, define

$$a_i[v] := \sum_{w \in \Sigma_i(1)} A[v, w]$$

Suppose $\beta \in \Omega_v$, $v \in \Sigma_i(1)$, and so $d_{\Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) = i$. Then $b_i[v] = |\Gamma(\beta) \cap \Gamma_{i+1}(\alpha)|$ (if i < d),

$$c_i[v] = |\Gamma(\beta) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(\alpha)|$$

(if i > 0), and

$$a_i[v] = |\Gamma(\beta) \cap \Gamma_i(\alpha)|.$$

Theorem 3.3 We retain the notation above. In particular, Γ is simple and connected and $d = \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma)$.

(a) The graph Γ has no cycles if and only if, for all i = 1, ..., d and all $v \in \Sigma_i(1), c_i[v] \leq 1$ and $a_i[v] = 0$.

Suppose that Γ contains a cycle and that m is the least positive integer i such that, for some $v \in \Sigma_i(1)$, $c_i[v] \ge 2$ or $a_i[v] \ge 1$. Define g := 2m if $c_m[v] \ge 2$ for some $v \in \Sigma_m(1)$, and otherwise g := 2m + 1. Then Γ has girth g.

(b) Γ is distance-regular, with intersection array

$$\{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d\},\$$

if and only if for all i = 0, ..., d, and for all $v \in \Sigma_i(1)$, we have $b_i = b_i[v]$ (if i < d) and $c_i = c_i[v]$ (if i > 0).

(c) G acts distance-transitively on Γ if and only if d = r - 1, where r is the rank of G.

Proof (a) If Γ has no cycles then clearly the parameter restrictions $c_i[v] \leq 1$ and $a_i[v] = 0$ hold. Suppose Γ has a shortest cycle C of length n. Since Γ is vertex-transitive, we may assume, without loss of generality, that α is a vertex in C. If n = 2i is even then we must have $c_i[v] \geq 2$ for some $v \in \Sigma_i(1)$. If n = 2i + 1 is odd, then we must have $a_i[v] \geq 1$ for some $v \in \Sigma_i(1)$. This finishes the proof of the first statement of (a), and shows that g is a lower bound for the girth of Γ . Now suppose that $c_i[v] \geq 2$ for some i > 0 and $v \in \Sigma_i(1)$. Then there are two different paths in Γ from α to the same vertex in $\Gamma_i(\alpha)$, and so Γ must contain a cycle of length at most 2i. If $a_i[v] > 0$ for some i > 0 and $v \in \Sigma_i(1)$, then this means that there are two (different) vertices in $\Gamma_i(\alpha)$ joined by an edge, and therefore Γ contains a cycle of length at most 2i + 1. This shows that g is an upper bound for the girth of Γ , completing the proof of (a).

(b) This follows easily from the discussion preceding the statement of this theorem, together with the vertex-transitivity of Γ .

(c) This follows from the fact that G acts on Γ distance-transitively

if and only if the G-orbitals are precisely the (G-invariant) sets Γ_i of ordered pairs of vertices of Γ at distance *i*, for $i = 0, \ldots, d$.

It is worth pointing out that a simple connected vertex-transitive graph has no cycles if and only if the graph has at most two vertices.

In summary, let Γ be a generalized orbital digraph for a finite transitive permutation group G, such that the associated collapsed adjacency matrix for Γ is A, and the associated collapsed digraph is $\Sigma = \Sigma(A)$. Then Σ provides a simple tool for determining whether Γ is simple, whether Γ is connected, and if so for finding its diameter, and whether G acts on Γ distance-transitively. If Γ is simple and connected, then Σ together with A provide the tool-kit for determining the girth of Γ , and whether Γ is distance-regular.

3.5 A lemma for certain imprimitive groups

The result below will be used to eliminate from consideration certain imprimitive representations which have rank greater than 5.

Lemma 3.4 Let G be a transitive imprimitive permutation group on a finite set Ω , and let $\Phi = \{B^g \mid g \in G\}$ be a system of blocks of imprimitivity preserved by G. Suppose that G acts 2-transitively on Φ , and that, for $B \in \Phi$, the actions of G_B (the setwise stabilizer of B in G) on B and $\Phi \setminus \{B\}$ are permutationally isomorphic. Then the action of G on Ω is permutationally isomorphic to its action on the set of ordered pairs of distinct elements of Φ ; in particular, if $|\Phi| \geq 4$ then G has rank at least 7 in its action on Ω .

Proof Let $\alpha \in B$ and $H = G_B$. Then by assumption, $G_\alpha = H_\alpha = H_{B'} = G_{B,B'}$, for some $B' \in \Phi \setminus \{B\}$. Thus the actions of G on Ω and on the set of ordered pairs of distinct elements of Φ are permutationally isomorphic. If $|\Phi| \ge 4$ then the following seven sets are invariant under $G_\alpha = H_\alpha = G_{B,B'}$: $\{(B,B')\}, \{(B',B)\}, \{(B,C) \mid C \neq B,B'\}, \{(B',C) \mid C \neq B,B'\}, \{(C,B) \mid C \neq B,B'\}, \{(C,C') \mid C,C' \neq B,B'\}, \{(C,C') \mid C,C' \neq B,B'\}$, in which case G has rank at least 7 on Ω .

The Individual Groups

We now handle individually each sporadic almost simple group G having a faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank at most 5, and list each such character π found by our computer search. The numbering of the irreducible characters χ_i agrees with that in the GAP version of the ATLAS character tables, which agrees with the printed ATLAS [CCNPW85] in the case of simple groups. We examine each of these pseudo-permutation characters in turn to determine if it is the character of some permutation representation of G, and, if so, we describe all such representations (up to permutational isomorphism). Specifically, for each representation of rank up to 5, we provide

- the irreducible constituents of the permutation character, as well as the degrees of these constituents,
- a point stabilizer,
- (for rank > 2) the collapsed adjacency matrices for the nontrivial orbital digraphs, and
- (for rank > 3) the non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

Note that the information on rank 2 and 3 graphs which is suppressed in this chapter is given in a general way in Section 2.5.

We also give presentations for many sporadic almost simple groups. Specifically, let K be a sporadic simple group, other than Ru, Ly, and B, such that K has a faithful permutation representation of rank at most 5. Then we shall give an explicit presentation for G = K or G = Aut(K), or both. We shall also give collections of words generating various subgroups of these groups G, which include all those we used to construct, via coset enumeration, the representations of rank at most 5 giving rise to the collapsed adjacency matrices for K and Aut (K) given in this book. (If $K \not\cong Aut(K)$, and there is a representation ρ of Aut (K) = K.2 on the cosets of $L.2 \not\leq K$, such that the the restriction of ρ to K on the cosets of $L \leq K$ has the same rank (≤ 5) as does ρ , then we sometimes give a presentation and subgroup generators for just one of these representations, as they both give rise to the same (orbital digraphs and) collapsed adjacency matrices.) For readers familiar with GRAPE, these presentations and subgroup generators will help them to use GRAPE to construct and study the generalized orbital digraphs of rank at most 5 for K and Aut(K).

The reader who wants more information on the sporadic groups than is given in this book is urged to consult the ATLAS [CCNPW85], the ATLAS of Brauer Characters [JLPW95], which contains many references on the sporadic groups, [Gor82], which contains interesting historical information about these groups, [CS88], and [Asc94].

4.1 Notation and conventions

In each section for the individual groups, G always denotes the sporadic almost simple group under consideration. For π a permutation character of G on a set Ω of $\pi(1)$ points, we always let H denote the point stabilizer G_{α} , where $\alpha \in \Omega$. We give the degrees of the irreducible constituents of π as the summands of $\pi(1)$.

For the purposes of constructing the collapsed adjacency matrices, we order the suborbits in non-decreasing order of their lengths, with the first suborbit $\Omega_1 = \{\alpha\}$ corresponding to the trivial orbital. In the case of repeated subdegrees greater than 1 an ordering of the suborbits of equal length is chosen arbitrarily. We print the collapsed adjacency matrices for the nontrivial orbital digraphs in the order of their corresponding suborbits. Note that the unique non-zero entry in the first row of a collapsed adjacency matrix for an orbital digraph Γ is the corresponding suborbit length as well as the (out) valency of Γ .

If the rank r of G on Ω is greater than 3, then after giving the collapsed adjacency matrices A_2, A_3, \ldots, A_r for the nontrivial orbital digraphs (which correspond to suborbits $\Omega_2, \Omega_3, \ldots, \Omega_r$), we describe each non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph $\Gamma = (\Omega, E)$ for

this representation. Such a graph $\Gamma = (\Omega, E)$ is denoted

 $\Gamma\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\},$

where i_1, \ldots, i_s are distinct, and this notation means that E is the (disjoint) union of the orbitals corresponding to the suborbits $\Omega_{i_1}, \ldots, \Omega_{i_s}$. Recall that this implies that the collapsed adjacency matrix for Γ is

$$A_{i_1} + \cdots + A_{i_s}$$

(Proposition 2.2). For each non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph Γ for G, we also give its intersection array

$$\iota = \iota(\Gamma)$$

unless Γ is complete multipartite or the complement of a diameter 2 distance-regular graph already described, and we indicate when G acts distance-transitively on Γ . Again we note that this information is given for representations of rank 2 and 3 in a general way in Section 2.5.

Each transitive group on n points has the complete graph K_n as a generalized orbital graph (obtained from the union of all nontrivial orbitals), and of course the graph K_n has a distance-transitive action by its automorphism group S_n .

Each transitive imprimitive group having a blocks of imprimitivity of size b has the complete multipartite graph $K_{a\times b}$ as a generalized orbital graph (obtained from the union of the orbitals corresponding to the suborbits not in the block containing the fixed point α), which has a distance-transitive action by its automorphism group $S_b \operatorname{wr} S_a$.

For $1 \le k \le m$, the Johnson graph J(m, k) is the graph whose vertex-set is the set of all k-subsets of an m-set, with two vertices joined by an edge if and only if their intersection has size k-1. The symmetric group S_m , acting naturally on the k-subsets of an m-set, acts distance-transitively on J(m, k).

We shall also encounter certain well-known Taylor graphs. A *Taylor* graph is a distance-regular graph with intersection array of the form $\{k, \mu, 1; 1, \mu, k\}$. More information on Taylor graphs can be found in [BCN89].

Some of the groups we describe act on t-designs. Let $v \ge k \ge t \ge 1$ and $\lambda \ge 1$ be integers. Then a $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ design consists of a v-set X together with a collection \mathcal{B} of k-subsets of X, such that every t-subset of X is contained in exactly λ elements of \mathcal{B} . The elements of X are called *points*, and the elements of \mathcal{B} are called *blocks*. A Steiner system S(t, k, v) is simply a t - (v, k, 1) design.

Our notation for group structures and conjugacy classes follows the AT-LAS. We also make extensive use of the maximal subgroup information in the ATLAS, often without reference, as well as the ATLAS character tables and permutation character information (although our calculations provide a check on much of the permutation character information we use).

4.1.1 Notation for group presentations

In our compact notation for a group presentation, we give many of the generators and relations via a Coxeter graph. Usually all the generators, but not all the relations, are given this way.

Each node x of a Coxeter graph specifies a generator x and the relation $1 = x^2$. If two distinct nodes x, y in a Coxeter graph are joined by an edge with label m, then this denotes the relation $1 = (xy)^m$. (All the edges in our Coxeter graphs are labelled (with positive integers), although the usual convention is to omit the label 3.) If two distinct nodes x, y in a Coxeter graph are not joined by an edge, then this denotes the relation $1 = (xy)^2$. We specify a Coxeter graph Φ by a set of paths in Φ , each containing at least one edge, and which together contain all the edges of Φ . A typical path is of the form

$$xmynz\ldots,$$

which means that x is joined to y with an edge labelled m, y is joined to z with an edge labelled n, and so on.

For example, the graph specified by the two paths

a3b3c3d3a3c, b3d

is the complete graph on a, b, c, d, with all edge-labels 3. The Coxeter graph

is denoted by

which also denotes the presentation

$$\langle a, b, c \mid 1 = a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = (ab)^3 = (bc)^5 = (ac)^2 \rangle$$

for $2 \times A_5$.

Relations that are not specified in a Coxeter graph are given in the usual way. For example,

$$A_5 \cong \langle a3b5c \mid 1 = (abc)^5 \rangle.$$

If w_1, w_2 are words, then $w_1^{w_2}$ means $w_2^{-1}w_1w_2$. Generators that are not specified in a Coxeter graph are listed before the Coxeter graph paths. Finally, relations given in square brackets are redundant, but may be helpful for the purpose of coset enumeration. Thus, in the presentation

$$\langle a3b5c3d \mid 1 = (abc)^5 [= (bcd)^5] \rangle$$

(for $L_2(11)$), the relation $1 = (bcd)^5$ holds, but is a consequence of the other relations.

4.2 The Mathieu group M_{11}

A presentation for M_{11} is

$$\langle a3b5c3d3e4c \mid a = (ce)^2, [1 = (abc)^5 = (bcd)^5] \rangle$$

(see [Soi87b]), in which

$$M_{10} \cong \langle b, c, d, abcbae \rangle > \langle b, c, d, d^{abcbae} \rangle \cong A_6,$$

 $L_2(11) \cong \langle a, b, c, d \rangle,$

 $M_9: 2 \cong \langle a, b, d, e, (decbcdcba)^{cbc} \rangle > \langle ab, de, (decbcdcba)^{cbc} \rangle \cong 3^2: 8,$

and

$$S_5 \cong \langle a, b, c, e \rangle.$$

4.2.1 rank 2

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2$, of degree 11 = 1 + 10.

This character corresponds to the unique 2-transitive representation of G of degree 11. A point stabilizer is $H \cong M_{10}$.

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5$, of degree 12 = 1 + 11.

This character corresponds to the unique 2-transitive representation of G of degree 12. A point stabilizer is $H \cong L_2(11)$.

4.2.2 rank 3

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5$, of degree 22 = 1 + 10 + 11.

It follows from the maximal subgroup structure of G that H < L < G, where $L \cong M_{10}$ is a point stabilizer in the representation (i) above. Hence G preserves a system of imprimitivity $\Sigma := B^G$ where $B := \alpha^L$, and $H \cong A_6$ is transitive on $\Sigma \setminus \{B\}$. Let $B' \in \Sigma \setminus \{B\}$ and $\beta \in B'$. Then $H_{B'} \cong 3^2$:4. Let $g \in H_{B'}$ be an element of order 4. Then gfixes $\pi(g) = 1 + 2 - 1 = 2$ points of Ω , and hence g fixes only the two points of B. It follows that $H_{B'}$ is transitive on B', whence H is transitive on $\Omega \setminus B$. Thus G has a unique imprimitive rank 3 permutation representation of degree 22, and its character must therefore be π . The subdegrees are 1, 1, 20.

The collapsed adjacency matrices for the (nontrivial) orbital digraphs are

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$
$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 20 \\ 0 & 0 & 20 \\ 1 & 1 & 18 \end{array}\right).$$

(*iv*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_8$, of degree 55 = 1 + 10 + 44.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G such that a representative has index 55, and the associated permutation character is π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong M_9$: 2, the stabilizer of an unordered pair of points in the representation in (i).

The subdegrees are 1, 18, 36, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 18 & 0 \\ 1 & 9 & 8 \\ 0 & 4 & 14 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 36 \\ 0 & 8 & 28 \\ 1 & 14 & 21 \end{array}\right).$$

If there were also an imprimitive permutation representation with this character then, again by examining the maximal subgroups of G, a point stabilizer H would be a subgroup of index 5 in a subgroup M_{10} , but M_{10} has no subgroup of this index.

4.2.3 rank 4

(v) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_8$, of degree 66 = 1 + 10 + 11 + 44.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G of index 66, and the associated permutation character is π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong S_5$, the stabilizer of an unordered pair of points in the representation in (ii).

The subdegrees are 1, 15, 20, 30, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 15 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 10 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 9 \\ 0 & 5 & 6 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 20 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 & 12 \\ 1 & 3 & 10 & 6 \\ 0 & 6 & 4 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 30 \\ 0 & 10 & 12 & 8 \\ 0 & 9 & 6 & 15 \\ 1 & 4 & 10 & 15 \end{pmatrix}$$

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

$$\Gamma{3} \cong J(12,2), \quad \iota = {20,9;1,4} \quad (\text{and complement}).$$

If there were also an imprimitive permutation representation with character π , then H would be a subgroup of index 6 in a subgroup M_{10} , but M_{10} has no subgroup of this index. (vi) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_8 + \chi_{10}$, of degree 110 = 1 + 10 + 44 + 55.

It follows from the maximal subgroup structure of G that H < L < G, where either $L \cong M_{10}$ of index 11, or $L \cong M_9$: 2 of index 55. In the former case, G preserves a partition Σ of Ω into eleven blocks of size 10, and the stabilizer $L = G_B$ of $B \in \Sigma$ induces permutationally isomorphic actions on B and $\Sigma \setminus \{B\}$, since M_{10} has a unique representation of degree 10. By Lemma 3.4, this action of G has rank at least 7. Therefore we have $H < L \cong M_9$: $2 \cong 3^2$: Q_8 .2. A Sylow 2-subgroup S of L is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G and is semidihedral of order 16,

$$S = \langle x, y \mid x^8 = y^2 = 1, x^y = x^3 \rangle$$

see [Gor68, p.487 and p.191], From the character table of G, we find that $\pi(q) = 2$ for each element $q \in G$ of order 8. Therefore H contains an element x of order 8, and it follows that $H = O_3(L) \langle x \rangle \cong 3^2$:8. The action of G on Σ is permutationally isomorphic to its action on the set of unordered pairs of elements from the set $\Delta := \{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$ on which G acts naturally. We may identify Σ with this set so that L is the stabilizer of the pair $\{1, 2\}$, and then the orbits of L on Σ are $\{\{1, 2\}\}$, $\Sigma_1 := \{\{i, j\} \mid i \leq 2 < j\}, \text{ and } \Sigma_2 := \{\{i, j\} \mid i, j > 2\}, \text{ of lengths } 1, 18$ and 36 respectively. Clearly $O_3(L)$ has six orbits of length 9 on Σ , and hence has twelve orbits of length 9 on Ω . The permutation character π' for the action of G on Σ is the character in (iv). Since $\pi'(x) = 1, x$ fixes exactly one element of Σ and hence H is transitive on Σ_1 . Since $\pi'(x^2) = 3$ and $\pi(x^2) = 2$, x^2 fixes three elements of Σ , but fixes only two points of Ω . It follows that H is transitive on both Σ_2 and the set of points of Ω contained in elements of Σ_1 . Finally, since $\pi(x^4) = 6$, x^4 fixes precisely the six points of Ω contained in the three elements of Σ fixed by x^2 . In particular, x^4 fixes no points contained in elements of Σ_2 . Hence H is transitive on the set of points of Ω contained in elements of Σ_2 . Thus the imprimitive action of G on Ω has rank 4, with subdegrees 1, 1, 36, 72.

Thus we have described, up to permutational isomorphism, a unique imprimitive rank 4 representation of M_{11} . The collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

4.3 The Mathieu group M_{12}

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 36 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 36 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 18 & 16 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 28 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 72 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 72 \\ 0 & 0 & 16 & 56 \\ 1 & 1 & 28 & 42 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

$$\Gamma\{3,4\}\cong K_{55\times 2}.$$

4.2.4 rank 5

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 5.

4.3 The Mathieu group M_{12}

A presentation for M_{12} is

$$\langle b4f3a3b5c3d3e4c, e6f \mid a = (ce)^2, d = (bf)^2, 1 = (adef)^3 = (bcef)^6 \rangle$$

(discovered jointly by A.J.E. Ryba and L.H. Soicher; see [Soi88]), in which

$$M_{11} \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e \rangle > \langle a, b, c, d \rangle \cong L_2(11),$$

and

$$M_{10}: 2 \cong \langle b, c, d, f, abcbae \rangle$$

containing

$$\langle b, c, d, fabcbae \rangle \cong PGL_2(9) \text{ and } \langle b, c, d, f, d^{abcbae} \rangle \cong S_6.$$

We also have

$$L_2(11) \cong \langle abc, bcd, aefd \rangle$$
 (maximal),

and

$$M_9: S_3 \cong \langle a, b, d, e, f \rangle.$$

A presentation for M_{12} : 2 is obtained from the presentation for M_{12} by adjoining a generator t, and the relations

$$1 = t^2 = a^t d = b^t c = e^t f.$$

In this M_{12} : 2, we have

$$\langle a, b, c, d, t \rangle \cong L_2(11): 2 \cong \langle abc, bcd, aefd, t \rangle,$$

but note that these two subgroups are in different conjugacy classes of M_{12} : 2.

4.3.1 rank 2

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_i$, where i = 2 or 3, of degree 12 = 1 + 11.

These characters correspond to the two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, 2-transitive representations of G of degree 12, each having point stabilizer isomorphic to M_{11} . An equivalence between these representations, which interchanges them, is induced by an outer automorphism of G.

4.3.2 rank 3

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_i + \chi_7$, where i = 2 or 3, of degree 66 = 1 + 11 + 54.

By examining the indices of maximal subgroups of G, it is clear that there is no imprimitive permutation representation of G of degree 66. It follows that the above representations correspond to the two classes of maximal subgroups of G having a representative of index 66, and these representations are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G. A point stabilizer is M_{10} : 2, the stabilizer of an unordered pair of points in the representation with character $\chi_1 + \chi_i$ in (i).

Thus we have described two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, primitive rank 3 representations of M_{12} . The subdegrees are 1, 20, 45, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & 20 & 0 \\ 1 & 10 & 9 \\ 0 & 4 & 16 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 45 \\ 0 & 9 & 36 \\ 1 & 16 & 28 \end{array}\right).$$

4.3.3 rank 4

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_i + \chi_7 + \chi_{11}$, where i = 2 or 3, of degree 132 = 1 + 11 + 54 + 66.

From the table of maximal subgroups of G, it follows that H < L < G, where m := |G : L| is either 12 or 66, and G preserves a system of imprimitivity $\Sigma := B^G$ where $|\Sigma| = m$, $B := \alpha^L$, and $L = G_B$. The permutation character π' of G in its action on Σ has degree mand is contained in π . Suppose first that m = 12. Then $\pi' = \chi_1 + \chi_i$, a character in (i), and $L \cong M_{11}$, which has a unique permutation representation of degree 11. It follows that H is the stabilizer of an ordered pair of distinct points in a permutation representation in (i), which implies by Lemma 3.4 that G has rank at least 7 on Ω .

This contradiction shows that m = 66. So $\pi' = \chi_1 + \chi_i + \chi_7$, a character in (*ii*), $L \cong M_{10}: 2 \cong \text{Aut}(S_6)$, and H is one of the three subgroups of L of index 2. By Lemma 3.4 it follows that $H \ncong M_{10}$. Now there is an element $x \in G$ of order 8, with $\pi(x) = 2$, and hence H contains a conjugate of x. We may assume that $x \in H$. Since S_6 contains no element of order 8, it follows that $H \cong PGL_2(9)$.

It follows from (*ii*) above that the action of G on Σ is permutationally isomorphic to the rank 3 action of G on the set of 66 unordered pairs from a set $\Delta := \{1, 2, ..., 12\}$ (on which G acts with associated permutation character $\chi_1 + \chi_i$). We may make this identification so that the orbits of L on Σ are $\{B\} = \{1, 2\}, \Sigma_1 := \{\{k, l\} \mid 1 \le k \le 2, l > 2\}$, and $\Sigma_2 := \{\{k, l\} \mid 2 < k < l\}$, of lengths 1, 20, and 45 respectively. Let $B_j \in \Sigma_j$ and let β_j be a point of Ω in B_j , for j = 1, 2. Now $H_{B_2} \cong D_{16}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of H, and so we may assume that the element xfixes B_2 setwise. Since x fixes only two points of Ω , and x fixes α , it follows that x interchanges the two points of B_2 . Thus H is transitive on the 90 points of Ω lying in blocks in Σ_2 .

We claim that all elements of H of order 4 fix no points of Ω in blocks of Σ_1 . Since $H_{B_2} \cong D_{16}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of H, and since the two elements of order 4 in H_{B_2} , namely x^2 and x^6 , are conjugate in H_{B_2} , it is sufficient to consider x^2 . It follows from the character table for G that there are two conjugacy classes of elements of order 4, fixing zero or four points of Ω respectively. Thus x^2 , which lies in H_{β_2} , fixes precisely the four points of Ω in $B \cup B_2$. In particular, x^2 fixes no points of Ω in any block in Σ_1 .

Now consider the action of H on Σ_1 . The subgroup L_{B_1} is the stabilizer in G of three points of Δ which, without loss of generality, we may take to be the points 1, 2, 3. Now H is transitive on $\Delta \setminus \{1, 2\}$, and $H_3 \cong 3^2$:8 (see ATLAS, p.4), so we have $H_{B_1} \leq H_3 \cong 3^2$:8. Since $\pi'(x) = 1 + 1 + 0 = 2$, x fixes no block of Σ_1 setwise, and hence H_{B_1} contains no element of order 8, so $H_{B_1} \neq H_3$. It follows that H is transitive on Σ_1 and $H_{B_1} \cong 3^2$:4. From the previous paragraph we know that elements of order 4 in H_{B_1} do not fix any points in B_1 . Hence $H_{\beta_1} \cong 3^2$:2 and H is transitive on the 40 points of Ω in blocks of Σ_1 . Thus the imprimitive action of G on Ω has rank 4, with subdegrees 1, 1, 40, 90.

Note that we have described two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, representations of M_{12} . An outer automorphism of M_{12} induces an equivalence which interchanges the two permutation representations arising in case (*ii*), and hence interchanges the two permutation representations in this case also. The collapsed adjacency matrices for each representation are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 40 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 40 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 20 & 18 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 32 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 90 \\ 0 & 0 & 18 & 72 \\ 1 & 1 & 32 & 56 \end{pmatrix}$$

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

$$\Gamma\{3,4\}\cong K_{66\times 2}.$$

4.3.4 rank 5

(iv) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_7 + \chi_i$, where i = 8, 9, or 10, of degree 132 = 1 + 11 + 11 + 54 + 55. (We shall show that it is only when i = 8 that we get a permutation character.)

From the table of maximal subgroups of G, it follows that H < L < G, where m := |G : L| is either 12 or 66, and G preserves a system of imprimitivity $\Sigma := B^G$ where $|\Sigma| = m$, $B := \alpha^L$, and $L = G_B$. The assumption m = 12 leads to a contradiction by the same argument as in case (*iii*) above. So m = 66. Let π' be the permutation character of Gin its action on Σ . So $\pi' = \chi_1 + \chi_j + \chi_7$ with j = 2 or 3, a character in (*ii*), $L \cong M_{10}: 2 \cong \text{Aut}(S_6)$, and H is one of the three subgroups of L of index 2. By Lemma 3.4 it follows that $H \not\cong M_{10}$. Also, by (*iii*) above, we know that H is not $PGL_2(9)$ since in that case we showed that the permutation representation has rank 4. Therefore $H \cong S_6$.

Let $x \in G$ be an element of order 8. Then $\pi(x) = 2$, if either i = 9 and x is in class 8*B*, or i = 10 and x is in class 8*A*. Since *H* contains no element of order 8, it follows that i = 8. Then it follows that $\pi(y) = 4$ for every element y of order 4, and hence *H* contains elements of order 4 from both class 4*A* and class 4*B* of *G*, and each of them fixes four points of Ω , two in *B* and two in one other block of Σ .

It follows from (ii) above that the action of G on Σ is permutationally isomorphic to the rank 3 action of G on the set of 66 unordered pairs from a set $\Delta := \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}$ (on which G acts with associated permutation character $\chi_1 + \chi_j$). As in (iii) we may make this identification so that the orbits of L on Σ are $\{B\} = \{1, 2\}, \Sigma_1 := \{\{k, l\} \mid 1 \le k \le 2, l > 2\},\$ and $\Sigma_2 := \{\{k, l\} \mid 2 < k < l\}$, of lengths 1, 20, and 45 respectively. Let $B_k \in \Sigma_k$ and let β_k be a point of Ω in B_k , for k = 1, 2. Since $H \not\subseteq G_{\{1,2\}} \cong M_{10}$, it follows that H is transitive on both Σ_1 and Σ_2 . Suppose that H is intransitive on the 40 points of Ω in the blocks of Σ_1 . Then H has two orbits of length 20 on these points, say $\Omega_{1,1}$ and $\Omega_{1,2}$. An element y of order 4 lying in $A_6 \subseteq H$ fixes four points of Δ including 1 and 2, and hence fixes four blocks of Σ_1 . It must therefore fix four points of each of $\Omega_{1,1}$ and $\Omega_{1,2}$. This contradicts the fact that y fixes only four points of Ω . Thus H is transitive on the 40 points of Ω lying in the blocks of Σ_1 . If H were transitive on the 90 points of Ω lying in the blocks of Σ_2 , then H would have rank 4 in its action on Ω , and we know that this is not the case from the previous subsection. Hence Hhas rank 5 on Ω , with subdegrees 1, 1, 40, 45, 45.

Note that we have described two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, permutation representations of G with character $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_7 + \chi_8$. An equivalence which interchanges these two representations is induced by an outer automorphism of M_{12} . The collapsed adjacency matrices for each representation are

		0 1 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0 0 0	0 \ 0 1 0 /	
1	0	0	40	0	0	١
1	0	0	40	0	0	
	1	1	20	9	9	
	0	0	8	16	16	
/	0	0	8	16	16	/
$\left(\right)$	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 9	45 0 18	0 45 18	
	1	0	16	20	8	
(0	1	16	8	20)
(0 0	0 0	0 0	0 45	45 0)
	0	0	9	45 18	18	
	0	1	9 16	8	10 20	·
	1	0	16	20	20 8	
1	T	U	10	20	0	/

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

$$\Gamma\{3,4,5\} \cong K_{66\times 2}.$$

(v) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_8 + \chi_{11}$, of degree 144 = 1 + 11 + 11 + 55 + 66. There is only one conjugacy class of maximal subgroups of G of index 144, and the associated permutation character is not equal to this character π (see the ATLAS, p.33). It follows that H < L < G, where m := |G:L| = 12, and G preserves a system of imprimitivity $\Sigma := B^G$ where $|\Sigma| = m$, $B := \alpha^L$, and $L = G_B$. Also the permutation character π' of G in its action on Σ is equal to $\chi_1 + \chi_i$, where i = 2 or

3, and $L \cong M_{11}$, $H \cong L_2(11)$. The group L has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups of index 12, while G has two classes of subgroups of index 12, and (examining the associated permutation characters) taking L to belong to the first of these classes, L acts transitively on the set of cosets of the second class. It follows that H is the intersection of Land a subgroup M from the second conjugacy class of subgroups of Gof index 12. Thus G preserves a second system of imprimitivity on Ω . $\Theta := C^G$, where $|\Theta| = 12$, $M = G_C$, $C = \alpha^M$, and $H = L \cap M$. Let $B' \in \Sigma \setminus \{B\}$. Now H has two representations of degree 11, and so the orbits of $H_{B'} \cong A_5$ in B (and hence in B') have lengths either 1, 1, 10, or 1, 5, 6, and hence the orbits of H in Ω have lengths either 1, 11, 11, 11, 110 or 1, 11, 11, 55, 66. In particular G has rank 5 on Ω , and we now determine the subdegrees. The subgroup H is normalised by an outer automorphism of G (see ATLAS), and $H.2 \cong PGL_2(11)$ permutes the *H*-orbits in Ω . If *H* had three orbits of length 11, then *H*.2 would fix one of them setwise, but H.2 has no subgroup of index 11. Hence G is imprimitive of rank 5 with subdegrees 1, 11, 11, 55, 66.

Thus we have described, up to permutational isomorphism, a unique imprimitive rank 5 representation with this permutation character. The collapsed adjacency matrices are

	0 1 0 0 0	11 10 0 0 0	0 1	0 5 4	0 0 6 5)
	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	11 0 10 0 0	0 4	0 6 0 6 5	$\Big)$
$\left(\right)$	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 5 4 5	0 5 0 4 5	55 20 20 22 20	0 30 30 24 25)

1	0	0	0	0	66 \
	0	0	6	30	30
	0	6	0	30	30
	0	6	6	24	30
l	1	5	5	25	30 /

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

 $\Gamma\{2,3\},\$ $\iota = \{22, 11; 1, 2\}$ (and complement) $\Gamma{4},$ $\iota = \{55, 32; 1, 20\}$ (and complement) $\Gamma\{2,4\},\$ $\iota = \{66, 35; 1, 30\}$ (and complement) $\Gamma{3,4},$ $\iota = \{66, 35; 1, 30\}$ (and complement) $\Gamma{5},$ $\iota = \{66, 35; 1, 30\}$ (and complement) $\Gamma\{2,4,5\} \cong K_{12 \times 12}$ $\Gamma\{3,4,5\} \cong K_{12 \times 12}.$

The graph $\Gamma\{2,3\}$ is isomorphic to the Hamming graph H(2,12), on which its automorphism group $S_{12} \text{ wr } S_2$ acts distance-transitively (see [BCN89, p. 261]).

Each of $\Gamma\{2,4\}$, $\Gamma\{3,4\}$, and $\Gamma\{5\}$ has intersection array $\{66,35;1,30\}$. In each of these graphs, any pair of distinct vertices has exactly $a_1 = 30 = c_2$ common neighbours. Therefore, each of these graphs $\Gamma = (V, E)$ gives rise to a 2 - (144, 66, 30) design, whose point-set is V, and blockset is $\{\Gamma(v) \mid v \in V\}$ (see [CvL91, p.43]). These particular graphs and designs were known to M. Hall [Hal76]. Now $\Gamma\{2,4\} \cong \Gamma\{3,4\}$, as these two graphs are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G. Therefore their corresponding designs are also isomorphic. However, $\Gamma\{2,4\} \cong \Gamma\{5\}$. Indeed, using *nauty* (within GRAPE), we check that Aut ($\Gamma\{2,4\}$) $\cong M_{12}$, but Aut ($\Gamma\{5\}$) $\cong M_{12}$: 2. We also check, using *nauty*, that the designs corresponding to $\Gamma\{2,4\}$ and $\Gamma\{5\}$ are not isomorphic.

(vi)
$$\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_5 + \chi_6 + \chi_{11}$$
, of degree $144 = 1 + 16 + 16 + 45 + 66$.

If G were imprimitive on Ω it would preserve a system of 12 blocks of imprimitivity and one of χ_2 , χ_3 would be a constituent of π . Since this is not the case, G is primitive. There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of index 144, $H \cong L_2(11)$, and the associated permutation character is π . For an element $x \in G$ of order 11, $\pi(x) = 1$, and hence all H-orbits in $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$ have length a multiple of 11. An examination of the subgroups of $L_2(11)$ shows that any subgroup of H of index a multiple of, and greater than, 11 must have index at least 55. It follows that H has at least two orbits of length 11 in Ω , and the subdegrees are either 1, 11, 11, 11, 110, or 1, 11, 11, 55, 66. Now H is normalized by an outer automorphism of G, and $H.2 \cong PGL_2(11)$ must permute the H-orbits in Ω . As in case (v), H may not have an odd number of orbits of length 11, and hence the subdegrees are 1, 11, 11, 55, 66.

Thus we have described, up to permutational isomorphism, a unique primitive rank 5 representation with this permutation character. The collapsed adjacency matrices are

	/ 0	11	0	0	0 \	
1	0	0	5	0	6	
	1	0	0	10	0	
	0	2	0	3	6	
	0	0	1	5	5	
		Ŭ	-	Ū	• /	
1	0	0	11	0	0 \	
	1	0	0	10	0	
	0	5	0	0	6	
	0	0	2	3	6	
	0	1	0	5	5/	
1	0	0	0	55	0 \	١
1	0	10	0	15	30	۱
	0	0	10	15	3 0	I
	1	3	3	24	24	
1	0	5	5	20	25	ļ
1	0	0	0	0	66 \	
	0	0	6	30	30	
		-	~	20	30	
	0	6	0	30	30	٠
	0 0	6 6	0 6	30 24	30	•

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

 $\Gamma{5}, \quad \iota = {66, 35; 1, 30} \quad (\text{and complement}).$

As in case (v) above, we have that $\Gamma := \Gamma\{5\} = (V, E)$ gives rise to a 2 - (144, 66, 30) design, whose point-set is V, and block-set is $\{\Gamma(v) \mid v \in V\}$. Again, this graph and design were known to M. Hall [Hal76]. Using *nauty* (within GRAPE), we check that $\Gamma\{5\}$ has automorphism

group M_{12} : 2, but $\Gamma{5}$ is not isomorphic to the distance-regular graph in (v) above having the same intersection array and automorphism group. We also check, using *nauty*, that the design arising from $\Gamma{5}$ is not isomorphic to any of the designs with the same parameters discussed in case (v).

(vii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_7 + \chi_i + \chi_{12}$, where i = 8 or 9, or $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_7 + \chi_i + \chi_{12}$, where i = 8 or 10, of degree 220 = 1 + 11 + 54 + 55 + 99. (We shall show that, in each case, it is only when i = 8 that we get a permutation character.)

By examining the indices of maximal subgroups of G, it is clear that there is no imprimitive permutation representation of G of degree 220. Thus the point stabilizer is a maximal subgroup of G. It follows (from the ATLAS) that $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_j + \chi_7 + \chi_8 + \chi_{12}$, where j = 2 or 3, that these representations correspond to the two classes of maximal subgroups of G having a representative of index 220, and these representations are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G. A point stabilizer is $M_9: S_3$, the stabilizer of an unordered triple of points in the representation with character $\chi_1 + \chi_j$ in (i).

Thus we have described two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, primitive rank 5 representations of M_{12} which are interchanged by an outer automorphism of M_{12} . For each of these representations, the subdegrees are 1, 12, 27, 72, 108, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0	12	0	0	0 ١	١
	1	2	0	0	0 \ 9	۱
	0	0	0	8	4	
	0	0	3	3	6	
l	0	1		4		/

0 \	0	27	0	0 \
0	0	0	18	9
1	0	10	0	16
0	3	0	15	9
0	1	4	6	16 /

	1	0	0	0	72	0	\
		0	0	18	18	36	
		0	8	0	40	24	
		1	3	15	14	39	
	(0	4	6	26	36	/
1	' ()	0	0	0	108	\
	()	9	9	36	54	
	()	4	16	24	64	
	()	6	9	39	54	
ľ	. 1	L	6	16	36	49	Ϊ

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

$$\Gamma$$
{3} \cong J(12,3), ι = {27, 16, 7; 1, 4, 9}.

(viii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_6 + \chi_{11} + \chi_{14} + \chi_{15}$, of degree 432 = 1 + 45 + 66 + 144 + 176.

As there are no maximal subgroups of G of index 432, H < L < G, where m := |G : L| = 12 or 144, and G preserves a system of imprimitivity $\Sigma := B^G$ where $|\Sigma| = m$, $B := \alpha^L$, and $L = G_B$. If m were 144 then L would be $L_2(11)$ which has no subgroup of index 3. Similarly if m were 12, then L would be M_{11} , which has no subgroup of index 36. Thus π is not a permutation character.

4.4 Automorphism group M_{12} : 2 of M_{12}

A presentation for M_{12} : 2 is given in the section for M_{12} .

4.4.1 rank 2

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.4.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3$, of degree 24 = 1 + 1 + 22.

An examination of the table of maximal subgroups of G shows that $H \cong M_{11}$, $H \leq M_{12}$. There is just one G-conjugacy class of such subgroups.

As we saw in case (v) of M_{12} , H is transitive by conjugation on the 12 subgroups M_{11} which form one of the two M_{12} -conjugacy classes of such subgroups. Thus this is an imprimitive rank 3 representation, with subdegrees 1, 11, 12, and permutation character π .

The collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 11 & 0 \\ 1 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 11 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 \\ 1 & 11 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

4.4.3 rank 4

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_9 + \chi_{12}$, of degree 144 = 1 + 22 + 55 + 66.

The restriction of π to M_{12} is the permutation character in case (v) of M_{12} . Thus $H \cong L_2(11).2$, and $H \cap M_{12}$ has orbits on Ω of lengths 1, 11, 11, 55, 66. Since π is the only pseudo-permutation character of G of rank at most 5 whose restriction to M_{12} is the character of case (v) of M_{12} (see the next subsection), it follows that G is primitive of rank 4 on Ω with subdegrees 1, 22, 55, 66, and that there is a unique such representation.

Thus we have described, up to permutational isomorphism, a unique primitive rank 4 representation of M_{12} : 2. The collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0	22	0	0	\
	1	10	5	6	
ļ	0	2	8	12	
Ι	0	2	10	10	Ϊ
1	0	0	55	0	١
	0	5	20	30	
	1	8	22	24	
	0	10	20	25	/

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma\{2\}, & \iota = \{22,11;1,2\} & (\text{and complement}) \\ \Gamma\{3\}, & \iota = \{55,32;1,20\} & (\text{and complement}) \\ \Gamma\{4\}, & \iota = \{66,35;1,30\} & (\text{and complement}). \end{array}$$

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_5 + \chi_{12}$, of degree 144 = 1 + 32 + 45 + 66.

The restriction of π to M_{12} is the permutation character in case (vi) of M_{12} . Thus $H \cong L_2(11).2$, and $H \cap M_{12}$ has orbits on Ω of lengths 1, 11, 11, 55, 66. As in the previous case, since π is the only pseudo-permutation character of G of rank at most 5 whose restriction to M_{12} is the character of case (vi) of M_{12} , it follows that G is primitive of rank 4 on Ω with subdegrees 1, 22, 55, 66, and that there is a unique such representation.

Thus we have described, up to permutational isomorphism, a unique primitive rank 4 representation of M_{12} : 2. The collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0	22	0	0 \	
	1	5	10	6	
l	0	4	6	12	
/	0	2	10	10 /	
1	0	0	55	0 \	
	0	10	15	30	
	1	6	24	24	
(0	10	20	$_{25}$ /	
1	0	0	0	66 \	
	0	6	30	30	
	0	12	24	30	
l	1	10	25	30 /	

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

 $\Gamma{4}, \quad \iota = {66, 35; 1, 30} \quad (\text{and complement}).$

4.4.4 rank 5

(*iv*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_7 + \chi_8$, of degree 132 = 1 + 1 + 22 + 54 + 54.

The restriction of π to M_{12} is the permutation character $2\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + 2\chi_7$. This means in particular that M_{12} has two orbits, say Ω_1 and Ω_2 , on Ω , each of length 66, and the permutation characters for M_{12} on Ω_1 and Ω_2 must be the characters in case (*ii*) of M_{12} .

We may suppose that H is the stabilizer of a point in Ω_1 . Thus $H \cong M_{10}$: 2, $H < M_{12}$, and H has orbits of lengths 1, 20, 45 on Ω_1 . Further, the number of orbits of H on Ω_2 is equal to the inner product of the permutation characters of M_{12} on Ω_1 and Ω_2 , namely 2. Thus G has an imprimitive rank 5 representation on Ω . The subgroup M_{10} of index 2 in H is the stabilizer of two points in one of the representations of M_{12} of degree 12, say on a set Δ_1 . Its action on Ω_2 is permutationally isomorphic to its action on unordered pairs from the other representation of M_{12} of degree 12, say on Δ_2 . Since M_{10} has index 11 in the stabilizer L of a point of Δ_1 , and since L is transitive on Δ_2 , it follows that each orbit of H on Ω_2 has length divisible by 6. Further, since an element of order 5 in H fixes exactly one point of Ω_2 , the H-orbit lengths on Ω_2 must be either 6, 60 or 30, 36. However, since H has no transitive representation of degree 6, it follows that the subdegrees of G are 1, 20, 30, 36, 45.

Thus we have described, up to permutational isomorphism, a unique imprimitive rank 5 representation of M_{12} :2. The collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0		0	0	0 \
	1	10	0	0	9
	0	0	8	12	0
	0	0	10	10	0
ſ	0	4	0	0	16 /

1	0	0	30	0	0 \
1	0	0	12	18	0
I	1	8	0	0	21
	0	10	0	0	20
	0	0	14	16	0/

4.5 The Janko group J_1

1	0	0	0	36	0	\
	0	0	18	18	0	
	0	12	0	0	24	
	1	10	0	0	25	
	0	0	16	20	0]
1	0	0	0	0	45	١
1	0	9	0	0	36	
	0	0	21	24	0	
	0	0	20	25	0	
l	1	16	0	0	28	/

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

 $\Gamma\{3,4\}\cong K_{2\times 66}.$

(v) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5 + \chi_{12} + \chi_{18} + \chi_{21}$, of degree 432 = 1 + 45 + 66 + 144 + 176.

The restriction of π to M_{12} is the pseudo-permutation character of case (*viii*) of M_{12} . Since that character is not a permutation character of M_{12} , it follows that π is not a permutation character of M_{12} : 2.

4.5 The Janko group J_1

A presentation for J_1 is

$$\langle a3b5c3d5e \mid 1 = (abc)^{5} [= (bcd)^{5}], a = (cde)^{5} \rangle,$$

in which

$$L_2(11) \cong \langle a, b, c, d \rangle.$$

This presentation was discovered by J.H. Conway and R.A. Parker (see [Soi87b]).

4.5.1 rank 2

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4$ of degree 77 = 1 + 76.

G has no subgroup of index 77.

4.5.2 rank 3

(ii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_6$ of degree 154 = 1 + 76 + 77. G has no subgroup of index 154.

4.5.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.5.4 rank 5

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_4 + \chi_6$ of degree 266 = 1 + 56 + 56 + 76 + 77. There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 266, with associated permutation character π . A point stabilizer is a maximal $H \cong L_2(11)$.

The subdegrees are 1, 11, 12, 110, 132, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0	11	0	0	0	١
1	1	0	0	10	0	
	0	0	0	0	11	
	0	1	0	4	6	
	0	0	1	5	5	Ϊ
1	0 /	0	12	0	0 \	\
	0	0	0	0	12	
	1	0	0	0	11	
	0	0	0	6	6	
1	0	1	1	5	5,	/
1	0	0	0	110	0	
	0	10	0	40	60	
	0	0	0	55	55	
	1	4	6	45	54	
ĺ	0	5	5	45	55	Ϊ

52

4.6 The Mathieu group M_{22}

1	0	0	0	0	132 \	
	0	0	12	60	60	
	0	11	11	55	55	
ł	0	6	6	54	66	
l	1	5	5	55	66 /	

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

 $\Gamma\{2\}, \quad \iota = \{11, 10, 6, 1; 1, 1, 5, 11\}, \quad G \text{ acts distance-transitively.}$

This graph is known as the Livingstone graph, and is described in detail in [BCN89].

4.6 The Mathieu group M_{22}

A presentation for M_{22} is

$$\langle a3b5c3d, a4e3c \mid 1 = (abc)^{5} [= (bcd)^{5}],$$

 $1 = (eab)^{3} = (bce)^{5} = (aecd)^{4} = (abce)^{8} \rangle$

(see [Soi85, Soi88]), in which

$$\begin{split} M_{21} &\cong L_3(4) \cong \langle a, b, c, a^{bcde} \rangle, \\ 2^4 : A_6 &\cong \langle a, b, c, e \rangle > \langle b, c, e, (aec)^3 \rangle \cong 2^4 : L_2(5), \\ A_7 &\cong \langle b, c, d, d^{cbae} \rangle, \\ 2^4 : S_5 &\cong \langle a, c, d, e, bcdecb \rangle, \\ 2^3 : L_3(2) &\cong \langle a, c, d, e, a^{ecb} \rangle, \end{split}$$

 and

$$M_{10} \cong \langle b, c, e, abcdcbeacbcdcbaecbacd \rangle$$

The short words generating $2^4: S_5$, and those generating $2^3: L_3(2)$, were found by M. Schönert.

We remark that the subgroup $L_2(11) \cong \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ leads to a rank 6 representation of M_{22} , and a vertex-transitive distance-regular graph of diameter 3 (see [Soi95]).

A presentation for M_{22} : 2 is obtained from that of M_{22} , above, by adjoining a generator t, and the relations

$$1 = t^{2} = (at)^{2} = (ct)^{2} = (dt)^{2} = (et)^{2} = b^{t}be.$$

We note that t normalizes each of the following of the specific subgroups of M_{22} given above: $\langle a, b, c, e \rangle$, $\langle b, c, e, (aec)^3 \rangle$, $\langle a, c, d, e, bcdecb \rangle$, $\langle a, c, d, e, a^{ecb} \rangle$, and $\langle b, c, e, abcdcbeacbcdcbaecbacd \rangle$. The element t does not normalize the specific subgroup $\langle a, b, c, a^{bcde} \rangle \cong M_{21}$, but the (outer) element eabt does.

4.6.1 rank 2

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2$, of degree 22 = 1 + 21.

This character corresponds to the unique 2-transitive representation of G of degree 22. A point stabilizer is $H \cong M_{21} \cong L_3(4)$.

In the remainder of this section, let X be the set of points of size 22 in this representation. Then G preserves a Steiner system S(3, 6, 22) with point-set X and block-set \mathcal{B} consisting of 77 subsets of X of size 6, called *hexads*.

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5$, of degree 56 = 1 + 55.

G has no subgroup of index 56.

4.6.2 rank 3

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5$, of degree 77 = 1 + 21 + 55.

By examining the indices of maximal subgroups of G, it is clear that there is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 77. The associated permutation representation is the primitive rank 3 representation of Gon \mathcal{B} , with character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong 2^4$: A_6 .

The subdegrees are 1, 16, 60, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 16 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 15 \\ 0 & 4 & 12 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 60 \\ 0 & 15 & 45 \\ 1 & 12 & 47 \end{array}\right).$$

(*iv*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_7$, of degree 176 = 1 + 21 + 154.

There are exactly two classes of maximal subgroups of G of index 176, with associated permutation character π , each having a representative isomorphic to A_7 . The associated representations are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G.

For each of these two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, representations, the subdegrees are 1, 70, 105, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 70 & 0 \\ 1 & 18 & 51 \\ 0 & 34 & 36 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 105 \\ 0 & 51 & 54 \\ 1 & 36 & 68 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If there were also an imprimitive permutation representation of G with character π , then we would have H < L < G with |G : L| a proper divisor of 176. Then $L \cong L_3(4)$ of index 22, but this subgroup L has no subgroup of index 8.

(v) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5 + \chi_7$, of degree 210 = 1 + 55 + 154.

G has no subgroup of index 210.

4.6.3 rank 4

(vi) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_6$, of degree 176 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 99.

Arguing as in (iv) above, the only subgroups of G of index 176 are isomorphic to A_7 , but then the associated permutation representation has rank 3. Thus π is not a permutation character.

(vii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_7$, of degree 231 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 154.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G of index 231 with associated permutation character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong 2^4: S_5$,

the stabilizer of an unordered pair of points in the representation with character $\chi_1 + \chi_2$ in (i).

The subdegrees are 1, 30, 40, 160, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	$\left(\right)$	0 1 0 0		0 9 3 3	0 4 3 6	0 16 24 21)
	$\left(\right)$	0 0 1 0	0 4 3 6		40 4 20 4	0 32 16 30)
$\left(\right)$	0 0 0 1		0 16 24 21		0 32 16 30	160 112 120 108	

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma\{2\}, & \iota = \{30, 20; 1, 3\} & (\text{and complement}) \\ \Gamma\{3\} \cong J(22, 2), & \iota = \{40, 19; 1, 4\} & (\text{and complement}). \end{array}$

The graph Γ {2} was originally discovered by P.J. Cameron (see also [IKF84, FKM94]).

If there were also an imprimitive permutation representation of G with character π , then we would have H < L < G with |G : L| a proper divisor of 231. Then $L \cong 2^4$: A_6 of index 77, but this subgroup L has no subgroup of index 3.

(viii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_9$, of degree 308 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 231.

G has no maximal subgroup of index 308, and hence H < L < G, where m := |G : L| is either 22 or 77, and *G* preserves a system of imprimitivity $\Sigma := B^G$ where $|\Sigma| = m$, $B := \alpha^L$, and $L = G_B$. If m = 77, then $L \cong 2^4$: A_6 , which has no subgroup of index 4. Similarly, if m = 22, then $L \cong L_3(4)$, which has no subgroup of index 14. Thus π is not a permutation character.

4.6.4 rank 5

(*ix*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_6 + \chi_7$, of degree 330 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 99 + 154.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups with associated permutation character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong 2^3$: $L_3(2)$.

The subdegrees are 1, 7, 42, 112, 168, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	1	0	7	0	0	0)	
		1	0	6	0	0		
		0	1	2	0	4		
		0	0	0	1	6		
	(0	0	1	4	2	/	
1	 	0	42	2	0	()	١
	0	6	1:	2	0	2^{\prime}	4	
	1	2	•	7	16	10	6	
	0	0	(6	18	18	8	
1	0	1	4	4	12	2	5	/
1	0	0	0)	112		0	١
	0	0	0		16	9	6	
	0	0	16		48	4	8	
	1	1	18		44	4	8	
1	0	4	12		32	6	4)
1	0	0	0		0	168	8	١
	0	0	24	1	96	48	3	
	0	4	16		48	100)	
	0	6	18		48	96	3	
	1	2	25	l	64	7(3	Γ

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

 Γ {2}, ι = {7, 6, 4, 4; 1, 1, 1, 6}, G acts distance-transitively.

We may take the vertices of $\Gamma\{2\}$ to be the 330 octads of an S(5, 8, 24) which do not contain either of two given points. We join two such octads by an edge in $\Gamma\{2\}$ precisely when they are disjoint. This graph is described in more detail in [BCN89].

If there were also an imprimitive permutation representation with character π , then we would have H < L < G with m := |G : L| dividing 330. Then m = 22 and $L \cong L_3(4)$. However this subgroup L has no subgroup of index 15.

(x) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_7 + \chi_9$, of degree 462 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 154 + 231.

G has no maximal subgroup of index 462, so H < L < G with m := |G:L| dividing 462, and G preserves a system of imprimitivity $\Sigma := B^G$ where $|\Sigma| = m$, $B := \alpha^L$, and $L = G_B$. We observe that m is 22, 77, or 231, and L is $L_3(4)$, $2^4: A_6$, or $2^4: S_5$ respectively.

Suppose first that m = 22. Then L is the stabilizer of a point x of X. We may identify $X \setminus \{x\}$ with the set of 21 points of the projective plane $PG_2(4)$. By Lemma 3.4, H is not the stabilizer in L of a point of $X \setminus \{x\}$, and hence H is the stabilizer of a hyperplane of $PG_2(4)$, and has orbits on X of lengths 1, 5, 16. The actions of G on X and Σ are permutationally isomorphic, and so H has orbits on Σ of lengths 1, 5, 16, and hence fixes setwise subsets of Ω of sizes 21, 21.5, and 21.16 respectively. Since |H| is not divisible by 7, H has at least two orbits in each of these three sets, so G has rank at least 6, which is a contradiction.

Next let m = 77. Then H has index 6 in $L \cong 2^4$: A_6 . In particular, L is 2-transitive on B, so H has orbits of lengths 1, 5 in B. Further, the action of G on Σ is permutationally isomorphic to its rank 3 action on the set \mathcal{B} of hexads. Hence L has orbits on Σ of lengths 1, 16, 60, and L is the stabilizer of a hexad $Y \in \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, either the actions of L on B and Y are permutationally isomorphic, or the stabilizer H of the point α of B induces a 2-transitive action on Y with $H^Y \cong L_2(5)$. In the former case, the action of G on Ω is permutationally isomorphic to its action on incident point-hexad pairs from the Steiner system, and so H is also a subgroup of index 21 in the stabilizer of a point of X. We showed in the previous paragraph that this is not possible. Thus $H^Y \cong L_2(5)$. Direct calculation shows that for this $H \cong 2^4: L_2(5)$, the action of G is rank 5, with subdegrees 1, 5, 96, 120, 240, and collapsed adjacency matrices

	0 /	0	96	0	0	١
1	0	0	96	0	0	
	1	5	0	30	60	
	0	0	24	24	48	
	0	0	24	24	48	Γ
						-
1	0	0	0	120	0	١
1	0	0	0	24		
ſ	0	0	30	30		
	1	1	24	34		
	0	$\hat{2}$	24	30	64	
`	U	-	<i>4</i> 1	00	01	1
7	0	0	0	0	240	`
[0	0	0	96	144	
	0	0	60	6 0	120	
l	0	4	48	60	128	
1	1	3	48	64	124	/

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

$$\Gamma\{3,4,5\}\cong K_{77\times 6}.$$

Now if m = 231, then H has index 2 in $L \cong 2^4$: S_5 . Thus $H \cong 2^4$: A_5 , which is the stabilizer of an ordered pair of distinct points of X. By Lemma 3.4, G has rank at least 7 on the cosets of H.

(xi) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_7 + \chi_{12}$, of degree 616 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 154 + 385.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G of index 616 with associated character π . The point stabilizer is $H \cong M_{10} \cong A_6 \cdot 2_3$.

The subdegrees are 1, 30, 45, 180, 360, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\left(egin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 30 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 14 & 3 & 0 & 12 \ 0 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 24 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 14 & 16 \ 0 & 1 & 3 & 8 & 18 \end{array}
ight)$$

4	The	In	dividual	Groups
---	-----	----	----------	--------

		0 0 1 0 0	0 3 4 0 3	$45 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 1$		5	0 36 8 32 25	
	0 0 0 1 0	1	0 0 0 4 8	0 0 32 5 16	18 8 2 7		0 96 128 88 112	
$\left(\right)$	0 0 0 0 1	(12 24 16 18	4 3	0 36 8 32 25	90 122 88 112	6 8 8	360 216 200 224 204	

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

If there were also an imprimitive permutation representation with this character, then we would have H < L < G with m := |G : L| dividing 616. Then m is 22 or 77, and H is a subgroup of index 28 in $L \cong L_3(4)$ or index 8 in $L \cong 2^4$: A_6 , respectively. In neither case does such a subgroup exist.

(*xii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_9 + \chi_{12}$, of degree 693 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 231 + 385.

There is no maximal subgroup of G of index 693, and so H < L < G with m := |G:L| dividing 693. Then m is 77 or 231, and H is a subgroup of index 9 in 2^4 : A_6 or index 3 in 2^4 : S_5 , respectively. In neither case does such a subgroup exist.

(*xiii*)
$$\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_7 + \chi_9 + \chi_{12}$$
, of degree $792 = 1 + 21 + 154 + 231 + 385$.

There is no maximal subgroup of G of index 792, and so H < L < G with m := |G:L| dividing 792. Now m must be 22, and H is a subgroup of index 36 in $L_3(4)$. However there is no such subgroup.

(xiv) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_4 + \chi_7 + \chi_{12}$, of degree 630 = 1 + 45 + 45 + 154 + 385. G has no subgroup of index 630.

4.7 Automorphism group M_{22} : 2 of M_{22}

A presentation for M_{22} : 2 is given in the section for M_{22} .

4.7.1 rank 2

There is just one faithful pseudo-permutation character of G of rank 2.

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3$, of degree 22 = 1 + 21.

This is the character of the unique 2-transitive representation of G of degree 22. A point stabilizer is $H \cong L_3(4): 2_2$, and the restriction of the representation to G has the permutation character of case (i) for M_{22} .

4.7.2 rank 3

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_9$, of degree 77 = 1 + 21 + 55.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 77. The associated permutation representation is the primitive rank 3 representation of G on \mathcal{B} , with character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong 2^4$: S_6 , and the restriction to M_{22} is the representation in case *(iii)* for M_{22} . The collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for that representation.

4.7.3 rank 4

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_4$, of degree 44 = 1 + 1 + 21 + 21.

There is no maximal subgroup of G of index 44, and so G is imprimitive, and $H \cong M_{21} < M_{22} < G$. The restriction of π to M_{22} is intransitive with two orbits on each of which the action of M_{22} is permutationally isomorphic to the 2-transitive action in case (i) of M_{22} . The subdegrees are therefore 1, 1, 21, 21, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 21 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 21 \\ 1 & 0 & 20 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 20 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 21 \\ 0 & 0 & 21 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 20 \\ 1 & 0 & 20 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma\{4\}, \quad \iota = \{21, 20, 1; 1, 20, 21\}, \quad G \text{ acts distance-transitively} \\ &\Gamma\{2, 4\} \cong K_{2 \times 22} \\ &\Gamma\{3, 4\} \cong K_{22 \times 2}. \end{split}$$

The graph $\Gamma{4}$ is an example of a Taylor graph. In fact, $\Gamma{4}$ is just the graph $K_{2\times 22}$ with a 1-factor removed, and has automorphism group $2 \times S_{22}$.

(*iv*)
$$\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_9 + \chi_{10}$$
, of degree $112 = 1 + 1 + 55 + 55$.

G has no subgroup of index 112.

(v)
$$\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_9 + \chi_{13}$$
, of degree $231 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 154$.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of index 231 in G, and it corresponds to the primitive permutation representation of G with character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong 2^5$: S_5 , and the restriction to M_{22} is the primitive rank 4 representation of case (*vii*) of M_{22} . Thus the collapsed adjacency matrices and associated distance-regular graphs are the same as for that representation.

If there were also an imprimitive representation with character π , then we would have H < L < G with H of index 3 in $L \cong 2^4$: S_6 . No such subgroup exists.

4.7.4 rank 5

(vi) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_9 + \chi_{11} + \chi_{13}$, of degree 330 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 99 + 154. There is a unique primitive permutation representation of G of degree 330, and it has associated character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong$ 2^3 : $L_3(2) \times 2$, and the restriction to M_{22} is the primitive rank 5 representation of case (ix) of M_{22} . Thus the collapsed adjacency matrices and the associated non-complete distance-regular graph, on which G acts distance-transitively, are the same as for that representation.

If there were also an imprimitive permutation representation with character π , then we would have H < L < G with m := |G : L| dividing 330. Then m = 22 and $L \cong L_3(4): 2_2$. However this subgroup L has no subgroup of index 15.

(vii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_9 + \chi_{13} + \chi_{14}$, of degree 385 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 154 + 154.

There is no maximal subgroup of G of index 385. Indeed, we would need to have H < L < G, with H of index 5 in $L \cong 2^4$: S_6 , but no such subgroup exists.

(viii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_9 + \chi_{13} + \chi_{18}$, of degree 462 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 154 + 231.

The restriction of π to M_{22} is the rank 5 permutation character of case (x) for M_{22} . There is a unique transitive permutation representation of M_{22} with this restricted character. This representation is imprimitive, preserving a set Σ of 77 blocks of size 6, and has point stabilizer $H \cap M_{22} \cong 2^4: L_2(5) < 2^4: A_6$. It follows that $H \cong 2^4: PGL_2(5) < 2^4: S_6$, there is a unique representation of G with character π , and the collapsed adjacency matrices and associated non-complete distance-regular graph are the same as in case (x) for M_{22} .

(*ix*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_9 + \chi_{13} + \chi_{20}$, of degree 616 = 1 + 21 + 55 + 154 + 385.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G of index 616 having associated permutation character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong A_6 \cdot 2^2$, and the restriction to M_{22} is the primitive rank 5 representation of case (xi) of M_{22} . Thus the collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for that representation, and there is no associated non-complete distanceregular graph.

If there were also an imprimitive representation with character π , then we would have H < L < G with m = 22 or 77. In the former case, Hhas index 28 in $L \cong L_3(4): 2_2$, but L has no such subgroup. In the latter case, H has index 8 in $L \cong 2^4: S_6$. Again L has no such subgroup.

4.8 The Hall-Janko group J_2

A presentation for J_2 is

$$\langle a5b3c8d3e \mid a = (cd)^4, 1 = ((bcdcd)^5e)^3 [= (abc)^5] \rangle$$

(see [Soi85]), in which

$$3 PGL_2(9) \cong \langle a, b, c, d \rangle.$$

The representation of J_2 on the cosets of $3 \cdot PGL_2(9)$ extends to a representation of the same rank for $J_2:2$ on the cosets of $3 \cdot A_6 \cdot 2^2$.

A presentation for $J_2: 2$ is

$$\langle a3b3c8d3e3f \mid a = (cd)^4, 1 = (bcde)^8 \rangle$$

(see [Soi85]), in which

$$U_3(3): 2 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e \rangle,$$

and

$$2^{1+4}_{-}.S_5 \cong \langle a, c, d, e, f, e^{dcbadcb} \rangle.$$

The representation of $J_2:2$ on the cosets of $U_3(3):2$ restricts to a representation of the same rank for J_2 on the cosets of $U_3(3)$.

4.8.1 rank 2

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.8.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_6 + \chi_7$ of degree 100 = 1 + 36 + 63.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 100. A representative is a maximal $H \cong U_3(3)$, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 36, 63, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 36 & 0 \\ 1 & 14 & 21 \\ 0 & 12 & 24 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & 63 \\ 0 & 21 & 42 \\ 1 & 24 & 38 \end{array}\right)$$

4.8.3 rank 4

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_{10} + \chi_{11}$ of degree 280 = 1 + 63 + 90 + 126.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 280. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 3 \cdot PGL_2(9)$, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 36, 108, 135, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$\left(\right)$	(0 1 0 0	$36 \\ 8 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4$	0 12 12 16	$\begin{pmatrix} 0\\15\\20\\16 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\left(\right)$	0 0 1 0	0 12 12 16	108 36 40 44	$\begin{pmatrix} 0\\60\\55\\48 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\left(\right)$	0 0 0 1	0 15 20 16	0 60 55 48	$\begin{pmatrix}135\\60\\60\\70\end{pmatrix}$	•

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

 $\Gamma\{2\}, \ \iota = \{36, 27; 1, 4\}$ (and complement) $\Gamma\{4\}, \ \iota = \{135, 64; 1, 60\}$ (and complement).

These distance-regular graphs were originally presented in [IKF84] (see also [FKM94]).

We may take the vertex-sets of $\Gamma\{2\}$ and $\Gamma\{4\}$ to be the conjugacy class in J_2 of 3*A*-generated subgroups of order 3. Then vertices *A*, *B* are joined by an edge in $\Gamma\{2\}$ (respectively $\Gamma\{4\}$) if and only if $\langle A, B \rangle$ is isomorphic to A_4 (respectively $SL_2(3)$).

4.8.4 rank 5

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_6 + \chi_7 + \chi_{10} + \chi_{12}$ of degree 350 = 1 + 36 + 63 + 90 + 160. *G* has no subgroup of index 350.

4.9 Automorphism group $J_2:2$ of J_2

A presentation for $J_2: 2$ is given in the section for J_2 .

4.9.1 rank 2

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.9.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5 + \chi_7$ of degree 100 = 1 + 36 + 63.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 100. A representative is a maximal $H \cong U_3(3): 2$, and the associated permutation character is π . The restriction of this representation to J_2 is the representation in case (i) for J_2 , and so the collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for that representation.

4.9.3 rank 4

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_4$ of degree 72 = 1 + 1 + 28 + 42.

G has no subgroup of index 72.

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_{10} + \chi_{12}$ of degree 280 = 1 + 63 + 90 + 126.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 280. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 3 \cdot A_6 \cdot 2^2$, and the associated permutation character is π . The restriction of this representation to J_2 is the representation in case (*ii*) for J_2 , and so the collapsed adjacency matrices and associated distance-regular graphs are the same as for that representation.

4.9.4 rank 5

(iv) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_{10} + \chi_{11}$ of degree 210 = 1 + 1 + 28 + 90 + 90. G has no subgroup of index 210.

(v) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_5 + \chi_{10} + \chi_{14}$ of degree 315 = 1 + 28 + 36 + 90 + 160.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 315. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 2^{1+4}_{-}.S_5$, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 10, 64, 80, 160. (The restriction of this representation to J_2 has rank 6 and subdegrees 1, 10, 32, 32, 80, 160.) The collapsed adjacency matrices are

) 1)))	10 1 0 1 0	0 8		$\Big)$
1	′ 0	0	64	. () () \
1	0	0	32	() 32	2
	1	5	8	20) 30)
	0	0	16	16	5 32	2
/	0	2	12	16	3 4	1
(′0 0	0 8	0 0			•
	0	0	20	20) 40	
	1	1	16	30) 32	2
1	0	4	16	16	5 44	1
(0 0	0 0	0 32	0 64	160 64	1
	0	5	30	40	85	
	0	8	32	32	88	
	1	4	34	44	77	, J

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is $\Gamma\{2\}, \quad \iota = \{10, 8, 8, 2; 1, 1, 4, 5\}, \quad G \text{ acts distance-transitively.}$ We may take the vertex-set of $\Gamma\{2\}$ to be the conjugacy class 2A of J_2 . Then vertices x, y are joined by an edge in $\Gamma\{2\}$ precisely when xy has order 2. This graph is described in more detail in [BCN89].

4.10 The Mathieu group M_{23}

A presentation for M_{23} is

$$\langle a3b5c3d3f6e, a4e3c4f \mid a = (cf)^2, b = (ef)^3,$$

ŧ

$$1 = (eab)^3 = (bce)^5 [= (bcd)^5] = (aecd)^4 = (bcef)^4 \rangle$$

(see [Soi85, Soi88]), in which

$$\begin{split} M_{22} &\cong \langle a, b, c, d, e \rangle, \\ L_3(4) : 2_2 &\cong \langle a, b, c, f, a^{bcde} \rangle, \\ 2^4 : A_7 &\cong \langle a, b, c, e, f \rangle, \\ A_8 &\cong \langle a, b, d, e, f, e^{fdc} \rangle, \end{split}$$

 and

$$M_{11} \cong \langle a, b, c, d, f \rangle.$$

4.10.1 rank 2

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2$ of degree 23 = 1 + 22.

This character corresponds to the unique 2-transitive representation of G of degree 23. A point stabilizer is $H \cong M_{22}$.

In the remainder of this section, let X be the set of points of size 23 in this representation. Then G preserves a Steiner system S(4, 7, 23) with point-set X and block-set \mathcal{B} consisting of 253 subsets of X of size 7, called *heptads*.

4.10.2 rank 3

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5$ of degree 253 = 1 + 22 + 230.

There are two conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups of G of index

253 with associated permutation character π . The point stabilizers are $H \cong L_3(4): 2_2$ and $H \cong 2^4: A_7$ in the two representations.

In the former case, H is the stabilizer of an unordered pair of points of X, and the subdegrees are 1, 42, 210. The collapsed adjacency matrices are

((0 1 0	$\begin{array}{c} 42\\21\\4\end{array}$	0 20 38)
(0 0 1	0 20 38	210 190 171).

In the latter case, where $H \cong 2^4$: A_7 , H is the stabilizer of a heptad, that is, the action of G on Ω is permutationally isomorphic to its action on \mathcal{B} . The subdegrees are 1, 112, 140, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 112 & 0 \\ 1 & 36 & 75 \\ 0 & 60 & 52 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 140 \\ 0 & 75 & 65 \\ 1 & 52 & 87 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If there were also an imprimitive representation of G with permutation character π , then we would have H < L < G with |G:L| dividing 253. Then m = 23 and H would have index 11 in $L \cong M_{22}$. There is no such subgroup H.

4.10.3 rank 4

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_9$ of degree 506 = 1 + 22 + 230 + 253.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G of index 506 with associated permutation character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong A_8$.

The group M_{23} is the stabilizer of a point x in the 5-transitive representation of M_{24} of degree 24, and has two orbits on the set of 759 octads

(the blocks of an S(5, 8, 24)) preserved by M_{24} , namely, the sets of octads which do, and which do not, contain the point x. The subgroup H is the stabilizer of an octad which does not contain x.

The subdegrees are 1, 15, 210, 280, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	0	$0 \\ 14 \\ 2 \\ 9$	0 \ 0 12 6 /	
$ \left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{array}\right) $	0 14 2 9	210 28 111 72	0 168 96 129)
$\left(\begin{array}{c}0\\0\\0\\1\end{array}\right)$	$0 \\ 0 \\ 12 \\ 6$	0 168 96 129	280 112 172 144)

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

 $\Gamma\{2\}, \quad \iota = \{15, 14, 12; 1, 1, 9\}, \quad G \text{ acts distance-transitively.}$

We may take the vertices of $\Gamma\{2\}$ to be the 506 octads of an S(5, 8, 24) which do not contain a given point. We join two such octads by an edge in $\Gamma\{2\}$ precisely when they are disjoint. This graph is described in more detail in [BCN89].

If there were also an imprimitive permutation representation of G with character π , then we would have H < L < G with |G : L| a proper divisor of 506. Then either m = 23 and H has index 22 in $L \cong M_{22}$, or m = 253 and H has index 2 in $L \cong L_3(4)$: 2 or 2^4 : A_7 . If m = 23, then H would be the stabilizer of an ordered pair of distinct points of X, and by Lemma 3.4 the representation would have rank at least 7, which is a contradiction. Hence m = 253. The subgroup $L \cong L_3(4)$: 2 has a unique subgroup of index 2, namely the stabilizer of an ordered pair of distinct points of X, and we have shown that H cannot be this subgroup. Hence $L \cong 2^4$: A_7 , but this subgroup has no subgroup of index 2. Thus there are no imprimitive representations with associated character π .

(*iv*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_{16}$ of degree 1288 = 1 + 22 + 230 + 1035.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G of index 1288 with associated permutation character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong M_{11}$.

The subdegrees are 1, 165, 330, 792, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$ \left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{array}\right) $	0 46	0 92 11 45	$\begin{pmatrix} 0\\72\\108\\105 \end{pmatrix}$
$ \left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{array}\right) $	92 11	330 22 138 75	$\begin{pmatrix} 0\\216\\180\\210 \end{pmatrix}$
$ \left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 1 \end{array}\right) $	0 72 108 105	0 216 180 210	$\begin{array}{c} 792 \\ 504 \\ 504 \\ 476 \end{array} \right)$

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

 $\Gamma\{2,3\}, \quad \iota = \{495, 288; 1, 180\}$ (and complement).

The graph Γ {2,3} has a distance-transitive (rank 3) action by M_{24} .

If there were also an imprimitive permutation representation of G with character π , then we would have H < L < G with |G : L| a proper divisor of 1288. Then m = 23, and H would have index 56 in $L \cong M_{22}$. However, M_{22} has no subgroup of this index.

4.10.4 rank 5

(v) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_9 + \chi_{17}$, of degree 2530 = 1 + 22 + 230 + 253 + 2024.

Now G has no maximal subgroup of index 2530, and hence H < L < G with m := |G : L| dividing 2530, where m is one of 23, 253 or 506, and H has index 110, 10 or 5 in M_{22} , $L_3(4):2$ or A_8 , respectively. There is no such subgroup H in any of these cases. Thus π is not a permutation character.

4.11 The Higman-Sims group HS

A presentation for HS is

$$\langle b4f3a3b5c3d, a4e3c \mid d = (bf)^2 = (eaf)^3, 1 = (eab)^3 = (bce)^5 [= (abc)^5] \rangle$$

(see [Soi85, Soi88]), in which

$$\begin{split} U_{3}(5): & 2 \cong \langle b, c, d, f, d^{cbae}, abfae \rangle > \langle b, c, d, f, d^{cbae} \rangle \cong U_{3}(5), \\ & M_{22} \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e \rangle, \end{split}$$

 and

$$L_3(4): 2_1 \cong \langle a, b, c, a^{bcde}, eafabceafbcbeaf \rangle.$$

A presentation for HS:2 is obtained from that of HS, by adjoining a generator t, and the relations

$$1 = t^{2} = (at)^{2} = (ct)^{2} = (dt)^{2} = (et)^{2} = (ft)^{2} = b^{t}be.$$

In this HS: 2, we have

$$S_8 \times 2 \cong C(t) = \langle a, c, d, e, f, t, a^{ecb}, t^{(abcft)^8} \rangle.$$

Note also that t normalizes $\langle a, b, c, d, e \rangle \cong M_{22}$.

4.11.1 rank 2

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7$ of degree 176 = 1 + 175.

The group G has two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, 2transitive representations with permutation character π , and these are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G. A point stabilizer is $H \cong U_3(5): 2.$

4.11.2 rank 3

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3$ of degree 100 = 1 + 22 + 77.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 100. A representative is a maximal $H \cong M_{22}$, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 22, 77, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 22 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 21 \\ 0 & 6 & 16 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 77 \\ 0 & 21 & 56 \\ 1 & 16 & 60 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The orbital graph corresponding to the suborbit of length 22 is the Higman-Sims graph $\Gamma(HS)$, which was used by Higman and Sims [HS68] to construct their group. The automorphism group of $\Gamma(HS)$ is HS:2.

4.11.3 rank 4

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_i + \chi_7$, where i = 5 or 6, of degree 352 = 1 + 22 + 154 + 175.

The group G has no maximal subgroup of index 352, and hence H < L < G, with m := |G : L| dividing 352. It follows that m = 176 and L belongs to one of the two conjugacy classes of subgroups in case (i) above. So $L \cong U_3(5)$: 2, and as L has a unique subgroup $H \cong U_3(5)$ of index 2, and H is not contained in a subgroup from the other class of subgroups of G of index 176, it follows that there are exactly two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, representations of G of this type, corresponding to the two possibilities for π above (these two possibilities are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G (see ATLAS)). Since the representations of degree 176 are 2-transitive, it follows that each of the representations here has rank 4 and subdegrees 1, 1, 175, 175.

The collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 175 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 175 \\ 1 & 0 & 102 & 72 \\ 0 & 1 & 72 & 102 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 175 \\ 0 & 0 & 175 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 72 & 102 \\ 1 & 0 & 102 & 72 \end{pmatrix}$$

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma\{3\}, \quad \iota = \{175, 72, 1; 1, 72, 175\}, \qquad G \text{ acts distance-transitively} \\ &\Gamma\{4\}, \quad \iota = \{175, 102, 1; 1, 102, 175\}, \quad G \text{ acts distance-transitively} \\ &\Gamma\{3, 4\} \cong K_{176 \times 2}. \end{split}$$

 Γ {3} and Γ {4} are well-known Taylor graphs, and are described in [BCN89, p. 370].

4.11.4 rank 5

(*iv*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_7 + \chi_{13}$ of degree 1100 = 1 + 22 + 77 + 175 + 825.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G of index 1100 with associated permutation character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong L_3(4): 2_1$, the stabilizer in G of an unordered edge of the Higman-Sims graph $\Gamma(HS)$.

The subdegrees are 1, 42, 105, 280, 672, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0	42	0	0	0	١
	1	20	5	0	16	
	0	2	8	0	32	
	0	0	0	18	24	
	0	1	5	10	26	Ϊ
1	0	0	105	0	0	1
	0	5	20	0	80	
	1	8	0	64	32	
	0	0	24	9	72	
l	0	5	5	30	65	/

1	0	0	0	280	0 \
	0	0	0	120	160
	0	0	64	24	192
	1	18	9	108	144
1	0	10	30	60	180 /
1	0	0	0	0	672 \
	0	16	80	160	416
	0	32	32	192	416 .
ļ	0	24	72	144	432
l	1	26	65	180	400 /

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

If there were also an imprimitive representation of G with permutation character π , then we would have H < L < G with m := |G:L| dividing 1100. Then m = 100 and H would have index 11 in $L \cong M_{22}$. However there is no such subgroup.

(v) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_4 + \chi_7 + \chi_9$ of degree 1100 = 1 + 77 + 154 + 175 + 693.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G of index 1100 with associated permutation character π . A point stabilizer is $H \cong S_8$.

The subdegrees are 1, 28, 105, 336, 630, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	/ 0	28	0	0	0 ١	١
	1	0	15	12	0	
	0	4	0	0	24	
	0	1	0	12	15	
1	0	0	4	8	16 /	/
1	0	0	105	0	0	١
	0	15	0	0	90	
	1	0	24	32	48	
	0	0	10	35	60	
1	0	4	8	32	61	/
7	0	0	0	336	0	١
[0	12	0	144	180	
	0	0	32	112	192	
	1	12	35	108	180	
l	0	8	32	96	200	Ϊ

/ 0	0	0	0	630	\
0	0	90		360	
0	24	48	192	366	.
0	15	60		375	
$\backslash 1$	16	61	200	352	

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs. Arguing as in the previous case, we see that there is no imprimitive permutation representation with character π .

4.12 Automorphism group HS:2 of HS

A presentation for HS: 2 is given in the section for HS.

4.12.1 rank 2

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.12.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_5$ of degree 100 = 1 + 22 + 77.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 100. A representative is a maximal $H \cong M_{22}$: 2, and the associated permutation character is π . The restriction of this representation to HS is the representation in case (*ii*) for HS, and so the collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for that representation.

4.12.3 rank 4

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_{10} + \chi_{11}$ of degree 352 = 1 + 1 + 175 + 175.

The only subgroups H of G of index 352 are such that $H \cong U_3(5)$: 2 and H < HS < G. There is only one G-conjugacy class of such subgroups, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 50, 126, 175, and the collapsed adjacency matrices

	$\begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$	0	0 0 0	0 ` 49 50	
	0		36	0)
$\left(\right)$	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 36	126 0 0 90	0 126 125 0	$\Big)$
$\left(\right)$	0 0 0 1	0 49 50 0	0 126 125 0	$175 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 174$)

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma\{2\}, & \iota = \{50, 49, 36; 1, 14, 50\}, & G \text{ acts distance-transitively} \\ \Gamma\{3\}, & \iota = \{126, 125, 36; 1, 90, 126\}, & G \text{ acts distance-transitively} \\ \Gamma\{2, 3\} \cong K_{2 \times 176}. \end{array}$

The graph $\Gamma\{2\}$ is the incidence graph of the famous 2 - (176, 50, 14) design discovered by G. Higman [Hig69], and $\Gamma\{3\}$ is the incidence graph of the complement of this design.

4.12.4 rank 5

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_5 + \chi_{10} + \chi_{19}$ of degree 1100 = 1 + 22 + 77 + 175 + 825.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups with associated permutation character π , namely $H \cong L_3(4): 2^2$. The restriction of this representation to HS is the rank 5 representation in case (*iv*) for HS. The collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for the representation in case (*iv*) for HS, and there are no associated non-complete distanceregular graphs.

If there were also an imprimitive representation with permutation character π , then we would have H < L < G with m := |G : L| dividing 1100. Then m = 100, and H would have index 11 in $L \cong M_{22}$: 2. No such subgroup exists. (*iv*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5 + \chi_7 + \chi_{10} + \chi_{14}$ of degree 1100 = 1 + 77 + 154 + 175 + 693.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups with associated permutation character π , namely $H \cong S_8 \times 2$. The restriction of this representation to HS is the rank 5 representation in case (v) for HS. The collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for the representation in case (v) for HS, and there are no associated non-complete distance-regular graphs.

Arguing as in the previous case, we see that there is no imprimitive representation with permutation character π .

4.13 The Janko group J_3

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank less than or equal to 5.

4.14 Automorphism group $J_3: 2$ of J_3

4.14.1 rank 2

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.14.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_4$ of degree 648 = 1 + 1 + 646.

G has no subgroup of index 648.

4.14.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.14.4 rank 5

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_4 + \chi_5 + \chi_6$ of degree 1296 = 1 + 1 + 646 + 324 + 324.

G has no subgroup of index 1296.

4.15 The Mathieu group M_{24}

A presentation for M_{24} is

$$\langle a3b5c3d3f6e, a4e3c4f4g4b \mid a = (cf)^2, e = (bg)^2, b = (ef)^3,$$

$$1 = (aecd)^4 = (baefg)^3 = (bcef)^4 [= (bcd)^5] \rangle$$

(see [Soi85, Soi88]), in which

$$M_{23} \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f \rangle,$$

 $M_{22}: 2 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, g \rangle,$
 $2^4: A_8 \cong \langle a, b, c, e, f, g \rangle,$

 and

 $L_3(4): S_3 \cong \langle a, b, c, a^{bcde}, f, eabg \rangle.$

Another presentation for M_{24} is

$$\langle a3b3c10d3e, b4f, d3g \mid a = (cd)^5 = (cde)^5,$$

 $f = (cdg)^5, e = (bcf)^3, 1 = (abf)^3 \rangle$

(see [Soi85]), in which

$$M_{12}$$
: $2 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f \rangle > \langle ab, bc, d, e, f \rangle \cong M_{12}$,

 $2^6: 3^{\cdot}S_6 \cong \langle a, c, d, e, f, g, bcdegdcb \rangle > \langle a, c, d, e, f, g, d^{bcdegdcb} \rangle \cong 2^6: 3^{\cdot}A_6,$ and

$$2^6: (L_3(2) \times S_3) \cong \langle a, b, d, e, f, g, (edg)^{dcbdcdc} \rangle.$$

4.15.1 rank 2

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2$ of degree 24 = 1 + 23.

This character corresponds to the unique 2-transitive representation of G of degree 24. A point stabilizer is $H \cong M_{23}$.

In the remainder of this section, let X be the set of points, of size 24, in this representation. Then G preserves a Steiner system S(5,8,24) with point-set X and block-set B consisting of 759 subsets of X of size 8, called *octads*. The octads are the supports of the weight 8 codewords of the binary Golay code C of length 24 (see [CS88, Chapter 11]) whose automorphism group is G. The support of a weight 12 codeword in C is called a *dodecad*, and dodecads come in complementary pairs.

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7$ of degree 253 = 1 + 252.

There is no subgroup of G of index 253.

4.15.2 rank 3

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_7$ of degree 276 = 1 + 23 + 252.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 276. A representative is a maximal $H \cong M_{22}$: 2, the stabilizer of an unordered pair of points of X, and the subdegrees are 1, 44, 231. The associated permutation character is π .

The collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 44 & 0 \\ 1 & 22 & 21 \\ 0 & 4 & 40 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 231 \\ 0 & 21 & 210 \\ 1 & 40 & 190 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(*iv*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_{14}$ of degree 1288 = 1 + 252 + 1035.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 1288. A representative is a maximal $H \cong M_{12}$: 2, the stabilizer of a complementary pair of dodecads. The associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 495, 792, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0	495	0	
	1	206	288	
	0	180	315)
(0	0	792)
	0	288	504].
	1	315	476]

(v) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_{18}$ of degree 2024 = 1 + 252 + 1771.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G of index 2024, namely $H \cong L_3(4)$: S_3 . However the permutation character for the permutation representation associated with this subgroup H has rank 5. Thus we must have H < L < G with |G : L| dividing 2024. However G has no proper subgroup of index a proper divisor of 2024, so π is not a permutation character.

4.15.3 rank 4

(vi) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_7 + \chi_9$ of degree 759 = 1 + 23 + 252 + 483.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 759. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 2^4$: A_8 , the stabilizer of an octad in \mathcal{B} . Thus the action of G on Ω is permutationally isomorphic to its action on \mathcal{B} . The associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 30, 280, 448, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	/ 0	30	0	0 \	
	1	1	28	0	
	0	3	3	24	
1	0 /	0	15	15 /	
1	0	0	280	0 \	
	0	28	28	224	
	1	3	140	136	
	0	15	85	180 /	/
1	0	0	0	448	
1	0	0	224	224	
	0	24	136	288	
/	1	15	180	252 /	

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

 $\Gamma\{2\}, \quad \iota = \{30, 28, 24; 1, 3, 15\}, \quad G \text{ acts distance-transitively.}$

We may take the vertex-set of $\Gamma\{2\}$ to be the set \mathcal{B} of 759 octads. We join two such octads by an edge in $\Gamma\{2\}$ precisely when they are disjoint. This graph is described in more detail in [BCN89, p. 366] where it is called the *large Witt graph*.

(vii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_9 + \chi_{14}$ of degree 1771 = 1 + 252 + 483 + 1035.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 1771. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 2^6: 3 \cdot S_6$. The associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 90, 240, 1440, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	10) 90) () ()	
]]	l 17	7 24	48	
	() 9) g) 72	
	10) 3	3 12	75	
		0	0.40		
1	0	0	240		1
	0	24	24		
	1	9	38	3 192	2
	0	12	32	2 196	3/
(0	0	0	144(•
	0	48	192	1200)
	0	72	192	1176	5
	1	75	196	1168	3/

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs. (viii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_{18} + \chi_{19}$ of degree 4048 = 1 + 252 + 1771 + 2024. There is no maximal subgroup of G of index 4048, and hence H < L < Gwith m := |G : L| a proper divisor of 4048. It follows that m = 2024and H has index 2 in $L \cong L_3(4)$: S_3 ; however the action of G on the set of right cosets of L in G has rank 5, and so the action of G on Ω has rank at least 6, which is a contradiction.

(*ix*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_{14} + \chi_{25}$ of degree 7084 = 1 + 252 + 1035 + 5796.

There is no maximal subgroup of G of index 7084, and hence H < L < Gwith m := |G : L| a proper divisor of 7084. It follows that m = 1771and H has index 4 in $L \cong 2^6: 3 \cdot S_6$. However, the action of G on the set of right cosets of L in G is permutationally isomorphic to its rank 4 action in case (*vii*) above, and it follows that the action on Ω has rank greater than 4, which is a contradiction.

4.15.4 rank 5

(x) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_7 + \chi_9 + \chi_{17}$, of degree 2024 = 1 + 23 + 252 + 483 + 1265.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 2024. A representative is a maximal $H \cong L_3(4)$: S_3 , the stabilizer of an unordered triple of points of X. The associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 63, 210, 630, 1120, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	1	0	6	3 () () 0	
		1	22	2 (4() ()	
		0	() 6	; (9 48	
		0	2	4 3	40) 16	
		0	() 9) {) 45	
	11	0	0	210) ()	0 \
	(D	0	20) 3() 16	0
	:	1	6	32	75	59	6
	(0	3	25	54	i 12	8
	$\left(\right)$	0	9	18	72	2 11	1/
	/ 0		0	0	63	0	0 \
	0	4	40	30	40	0 16	30
	0	1	9	75	16	2 38	34
	1	4	1 0	54	24	7 28	38
	\ 0	l I	9	72	16	2 38	37 J
1	0	0	ŧ.	0	0) 11	20 \
	0	0		160	160) 8	00
	0	48	ł	96	384	15	92
	0	16	3	128	288	36	88
	1	45]	111	387	75	76 /

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

$$\Gamma\{2\} \cong J(24,3), \quad \iota = \{63, 40, 19; 1, 4, 9\}.$$

(xi) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_7 + \chi_{14} + \chi_{17}$ of degree 2576 = 1 + 23 + 252 + 1035 + 1265.

There is no maximal subgroup of G of index 2576, and hence H < L < G with m := |G:L| a proper divisor of 2576. It follows that H has index

2 in $L \cong M_{12}$: 2, the stabilizer of a complementary pair of dodecads in the representation in (iv) above. Therefore $H \cong M_{12}$, and the action of G on the cosets of H is permutationally isomorphic to the action of G on the 2576 dodecads contained in X.

Two dodecads meet in 12, 0, 4, 8, or 6 points, giving rise to suborbits of H of respective lengths 1, 1, 495, 495, 1584. The collapsed adjacency matrices are

		(0 1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1)	
1	0	0			0		0	١
					495			
	0	0	90		90	3	315	/
1	0	0	0		495		0	١
	0	0	495		0		0	
	0	1	184		22	2	288	
	1	0	22		184	2	288	
	0	0	90		90	3	515	/
()	0	0		0	15	584	١
()	0	0		0	15	684	
		0	288					.
()	0	288	2	88	10	08	
]	L	1	315	3	15	ĝ)52	/
	()	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\$	$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \end{array}\right)$	$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$ $\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & 495 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 22 \\ 0 & 1 & 184 \\ 0 & 0 & 90 \end{array}\right)$ $\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 495 \\ 0 & 1 & 184 \\ 1 & 0 & 22 \\ 0 & 0 & 90 \end{array}\right)$ $\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 495 \\ 0 & 1 & 184 \\ 1 & 0 & 22 \\ 0 & 0 & 90 \end{array}\right)$ $\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$	$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$ $\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

 $\Gamma{3,4,5} \cong K_{1288 \times 2}.$

(xii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_9 + \chi_{14} + \chi_{18}$ of degree 3542 = 1 + 252 + 483 + 1035 + 1771.

There is no maximal subgroup of G of index 3542, and hence H < L < G with m := |G:L| a proper divisor of 3542. It follows that m = 1771, and H has index 2 in $L \cong 2^6: 3 \cdot S_6$. Thus $H \cong 2^6: 3 \cdot A_6$.

85

Direct calculation shows that the action of G on the cosets of H has rank 5, with subdegrees 1, 1, 180, 480, 2880, and the associated permutation character must be π , since π is the unique rank 5 pseudo-permutation character of G of degree 3542. The collapsed adjacency matrices are

		0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 1)		
	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	18 3 1	0 4	0 0 48 18 24	1	0 96 44 50	$\Big)$	
	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 48 18 24	4	480 480 48 76 64	3	0 84 84 92	$\Big)$	
(0 0 0 0 1	())) 1	0 96 144 150	3	0 0 84 84 92	28 24 23	80 80 00 52 36	$\Big)$	

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

$$\Gamma$$
{3,4,5} $\cong K_{1771 \times 2}$.

(xiii) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_9 + \chi_{14} + \chi_{19}$ of degree 3795 = 1 + 252 + 483 + 1035 + 2024.

There is a unique class of maximal subgroups of G of index 3795. A representative is $H \cong 2^6: (L_3(2) \times S_3)$. The associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 42, 56, 1008, 2688, and the collapsed adjacency

matrices are

	(0 1 0	42 13 3	0 4 3	24	0 0 0		
		0	1	2		24		
	l	0	0	0		33)	
	,	0	0	56	0	0	、	
	1		0 4			0 0		
					48 0	48		
			3	4				
			2 0	0		40		
	1	0	U	1	15	40	/	
1	0	0		0	1008	2	0	、
(0	24		8	360		76	
	0	36		0	252		20	l
	1	15		4	258		20	
l	0	9	1	5	270]
1	0	0	(0	0	268	8	١
	0	0	(0	576	211	2	
	0	0	4	8	720	192	0	
	0	24	4	0	720	190	4	
	1	33	4	0	714	190	0	Γ

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

If there were also an imprimitive representation of G with permutation character π , then we would have H < L < G with m := |G : L| a proper divisor of 3795. Then m = 759 and H has index 5 in $L \cong 2^4$: A_8 . However L has no subgroup of index 5.

(xiv) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_{14} + \chi_{19} + \chi_{25}$ of degree 9108 = 1 + 252 + 1035 + 2024 + 5796.

There is no maximal subgroup of G of index 9108, and hence H < L < G with m := |G:L| a proper divisor of 9108. Then m is either 276 or 759 and H would have index 33 or 12 in $L \cong M_{22}$: 2 or 2^4 : A_8 respectively. However neither of these subgroups L has a subgroup of the required index. Thus π is not a permutation character.

4.16 The McLaughlin group McL

A presentation for McL is

$$(a3b5c3d3f6e, a4e3c4f | a = (cf)^2, b = (ef)^3,$$

$$1 = (eab)^3 = (bce)^5 [= (bcd)^5] = (aecd)^4 = (cef)^7 \rangle$$

(see [Soi85, Soi88]), in which

$$U_4(3) \cong \langle cef, dfcd \rangle,$$

 $M_{22} \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e \rangle,$
 $U_3(5) \cong \langle b, (de)^{cbac}, acdcbeace, (cdfbeaecd)^3 \rangle,$

and

 $3^{1+4}_+: 2.S_5 \cong N(\langle (cdf beaecd)^{10} \rangle) = \langle cdf beaecd, cbadecbcbadcecbaecd \rangle.$

The short words generating $U_4(3)$ were found by M. Schönert.

4.16.1 rank 2

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.16.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_4$ of degree 275 = 1 + 22 + 252.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 275. A representative is a maximal $H \cong U_4(3)$, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 112, 162, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 112 & 0 \\ 1 & 30 & 81 \\ 0 & 56 & 56 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 162 \\ 0 & 81 & 81 \\ 1 & 56 & 105 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The orbital graph corresponding to the suborbit of length 112 is the

McLaughlin graph $\Gamma(McL)$, and McLaughlin [McL69] constructed his group G = McL as a group of automorphisms of this graph. The automorphism group of $\Gamma(McL)$ is Aut (McL) = McL: 2.

4.16.3 rank 4

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_4 + \chi_9$ of degree 2025 = 1 + 22 + 252 + 1750.

There are just two classes of subgroups of G of index 2025, and these are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G. For each class, a representative is a maximal $H \cong M_{22}$, and the associated permutation character is π .

For each of these two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, representations, the subdegrees are 1, 330, 462, 1232, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	(0 1 0 0	330 7 110 45	0 154 20 75	0 168 200 210)
	(0 0 1 0	0 154 20 75	462 28 185 96	0 280 256 291)
$\left(\right)$	0 0 0 1	0 168 200 210	0 280 256 291	1232 784 776 730).

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs. (*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_4 + \chi_{20}$ of degree 9900 = 1 + 22 + 252 + 9625.

There are no maximal subgroups of G of index 9900, and hence H < L < G where m := |G : L| divides 9900. Then m = 275, and H has index 36 in $L \cong M_{22}$. However there is no such subgroup, and hence π is not a permutation character.

4.16.4 rank 5

(iv) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_4 + \chi_9 + \chi_{14}$ of degree 7128 = 1 + 22 + 252 + 1750 + 5103.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 7128. A representative is a maximal $H \cong U_3(5)$, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 252, 750, 2625, 3500, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	/ 0	252	0	0	0 \
	1	. 1	125	0	125
	0	42	0	168	42
	0	0	48	48	156
	\ o	9	9	117	117 /
	10	0	750	0	0.5
	\int_{0}^{0}				$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 107 \end{pmatrix}$
	0				125
	1		224	77	448
	0	48	22	376	304
	(0	9	96	228	417 /
7	0	0	0	2625	0 \
[0	0	500	500	1625
	0	168	77	1316	1064
	1	48	376	808	1392
1	0	117	228	1044	1236 /
,	0	0	0	0	8500 V
(0	0	0	0	3500
	0	125	125	1625	1625
	0	42	448	1064	1946
	0	156	304	1392	1648
	1	117	417	1236	1729 /

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs. (v) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_{12} + \chi_{14} + \chi_{15}$ of degree 15400 = 1 + 252 + 4500 + 5103 + 5544.

There are two conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups of G of index 15400, with representatives $3^4: M_{10}$ and $N(3A) \cong 3^{1+4}_+: 2.S_5$.

Suppose $H \cong 3^4$: M_{10} . Then H is the stabilizer in McL of an unordered edge of the McLaughlin graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(McL)$ defined in (i) above (G is

transitive on the edges of Γ). It is easy to show that there are more than five isomorphism types of subgraphs of Γ induced on the unions of (not necessarily distinct) pairs of unordered edges, and so the rank of G on the cosets of H is greater than 5. In fact, direct calculation shows this rank to be 10.

Now suppose $H \cong 3^{1+4}_+: 2.S_5$. Then H is the normalizer of a 3A subgroup of order 3, and is also the stabilizer in McL of a 5-clique of $\Gamma(McL)$.

Direct calculation shows that G has rank 5, and so the associated permutation character must be π , the unique rank 5 pseudo-permutation character of G of degree 15400. The subdegrees are 1, 90, 1215, 2430, 11664, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

				-				
	(90	0	0	0)	
		1	8	54	27	0		
		0	4	32	6	48		
		0	1	3	14	72		
	1	0	0	5	15	70)	
	/ 0	0	19	215	0		0	、
1	1							
	0	54		132	81	64		
	1	32	2	246	168	76	58	
	0	3		84	192	93	36	
	0 /	5		80	195	93	35	/
,	0	0	(0 :	2430		0	`
l	0	27	8		378	19		
l	Õ	 6	16		384	18		
l								
l	1	14	19:		351	18		
١	0	15	19	5	390	18	30	/
,	0	0	0		0	116	61	、
				-				
	0	0	648		944	90		
	0	48	768	1	872	89		
	0	72	936	1	872	87	84	
	1	70	935	1	830	88	28)

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

If there were an imprimitive representation of G with permutation character π , we would have H < L < G with m := |G : L| dividing 15400. Then m = 275 and H would have index 56 in $L \cong U_4(3)$. However L has no such subgroup.

4.17 Automorphism group McL: 2 of McL

We do not give a presentation for McL: 2. All faithful permutation representations of rank at most 5 for McL: 2 are extensions of representations of the same rank for McL.

4.17.1 rank 2

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.17.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_7$ of degree 275 = 1 + 22 + 252.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 275. A representative is a maximal $H \cong U_4(3)$: 2₃, and the associated permutation character is π . The restriction of this representation to McL is the representation in case (i) for McL, and so the collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for that representation.

4.17.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.17.4 rank 5

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_7 + \chi_{14} + \chi_{24}$ of degree 7128 = 1 + 22 + 252 + 1750 + 5103.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 7128. A representative is a maximal $H \cong U_3(5)$: 2, and the associated permutation character is π . The restriction of this representation to McL is the representation in case (iv) for McL, and so the collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for that representation. There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_{20} + \chi_{24} + \chi_{26}$ of degree 15400 = 1 + 252 + 4500 + 5103 + 5544.

There are just two conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups of G of index 15400, with representatives $3^4: (M_{10} \times 2)$ and $N(3A) \cong 3^{1+4}_+: 4.S_5$.

Arguing as in case (v) for McL, we see that there is exactly one conjugacy class of maximal subgroups of McL: 2 of index 15400 with associated permutation character π . A representative is $H \cong 3^{1+4}_+: 4.S_5$, and the restriction to McL is the rank 5 representation of case (v) of McL. Thus the collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for that representation, and there are no associated non-complete distance-regular graphs.

If there were an imprimitive representation of G with permutation character π , we would have H < L < G with m := |G : L| dividing 15400. Then m = 275 and H has index 56 in $L \cong U_4(3)$: 2₃. However L has no such subgroup.

4.18 The Held group He

A presentation for He is

$$\langle a4b3c10d3e, d3f4g3d \mid e = (fg)^2 = (abc)^3, a = (cd)^5, 1 = (cdfg)^4 \rangle$$

(see [Soi91], where also words for generators of many maximal subgroups are given). In this He, we have

$$S_4(4): 2 \cong \langle a, c, d, e, f, (ab)^2, (bcde)^7 \rangle.$$

A presentation for He: 2 is obtained from this presentation for He by adjoining a generator t, and the relations

$$1 = t^{2} = (at)^{2} = (bt)^{2} = (ct)^{2} = (dt)^{2} = (et)^{2} = f^{t}g.$$

In this He: 2, we have

$$S_4(4): 4 \cong \langle a, c, d, e, f, (ab)^2, (bcde)^7, bcdcdgfdcbdft \rangle.$$

4.18.1 rank 2

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.18.2 rank 3

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 3.

4.18.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.18.4 rank 5

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_6 + \chi_9$ of degree 2058 = 1 + 51 + 51 + 680 + 1275.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 2058. A representative is a maximal $H \cong S_4(4)$: 2, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 136, 136, 425, 1360, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	1	0	136	0	0	0	\
	1	0	0	36	0	100	
		1	0	0	75	60	ſ
		0	24	0	16	96	
	l	0	6	10	30	90	/
	1	0	0	136	0	0	\
		1	0	0	75	60	
		0	36	0	0	100	
		0	0	24	16	96	
	l	0	10	6	30	90 ,	/
	1	0	0	0	425	0	١
	1	0	75	0	50	300	
		0	0	75	50	300	
		1	16	16	136	256	
	1	0	30	30	80	285 ,	/
1	0		0	0	0	1360	١
	0		60	100	300	900	
	0		100	60	300	900	
	0		96	96	256	912	
	1		90	90	285	894]

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

4.19 Automorphism group He: 2 of He

A presentation for He: 2 is given in the section for He.

4.19.1 rank 2

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.19.2 rank 3

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 3.

4.19.3 rank 4

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_5 + \chi_9$ of degree 2058 = 1 + 102 + 680 + 1275.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 2058. A representative is a maximal $H \cong S_4(4)$: 4. The restriction of this representation to He is the rank 5 representation of case (i) for He. Hence G has rank 4 or 5 on Ω . However, since (see the next subsection) G has no rank 5 permutation character, it follows that G has rank 4, permutation character π , and subdegrees 1, 272, 425, 1360.

The collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0	272	0	0	\
	1	36	75	160	
	0	48	32	192	
1	0	32	60	180)
1	0	0	425	0	١
	0	75	50	300	
	1	32	136	256	
l	0	60	80	285)

4.20 The Rudvalis group Ru

/ 0	0	0	1360 \
0	160	300	900
0	192	256	912
$\backslash 1$	180	285	894 /

.

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

4.19.4 rank 5

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 5.

4.20 The Rudvalis group Ru

In [Wei91], R. Weiss gives a presentation for G = Ru, and he also gives words generating a subgroup ${}^{2}F_{4}(2)'$ and words generating ${}^{2}F_{4}(2)$. His presentation is derived in order to classify a certain geometry for G. We remark that permutations of degree 4060 generating G are available in the MAGMA system [CP95].

4.20.1 rank 2

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.20.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5 + \chi_6$ of degree 4060 = 1 + 783 + 3276.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 4060. A representative is a maximal $H \cong {}^{2}F_{4}(2)$, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 1755, 2304, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 1755 & 0 \\ 1 & 730 & 1024 \\ 0 & 780 & 975 \end{array}\right)$$

/ 0	0	2304	\
0	1024	1280].
$\backslash 1$	975	1328	/

4.20.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.20.4 rank 5

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_5 + \chi_6 + \chi_7$ of degree 8120 = 1 + 406 + 783 + 3276 + 3654.

There is no maximal subgroup of index 8120, and hence H < L < Gwith m := |G:L| dividing 8120. It follows that m = 4060 and H has index 2 in $L \cong {}^{2}F_{4}(2)$. Hence $H \cong {}^{2}F_{4}(2)'$. Thus G preserves a block system Σ consisting of 4060 blocks of size 2, and the action of G on Σ is permutationally isomorphic to the rank 3 representation in (i) above with subdegrees 1, 1755, 2304. Let B be the block of Σ containing α . Then H fixes both points of B, and (by the ATLAS, p.74) H is transitive on the two L-orbits, Σ_1 and Σ_2 , in $\Sigma \setminus \{B\}$ of lengths 1755 and 2304 respectively. Let $B_i \in \Sigma_i$ for i = 1, 2. Then $H_{B_i} \cong 2 \cdot [2^8] : 5:4$ and $H_{B_2} \cong L_2(25)$ (by the ATLAS, p.74). Since H_{B_2} is the unique subgroup of L_{B_2} of index 2, it follows that H_{B_2} fixes B_2 pointwise. Hence H has two orbits of length 2304 on the points of Ω in blocks of Σ_2 . Now H must either be transitive on the 3510 points of Ω in blocks of Σ_1 , or have two orbits of length 1755 on this set. Thus G has rank 5 or 6. Suppose that G has rank 6. Then G must have a permutation character π' of rank 6, degree 8120, which contains the character of case (i), such that the difference between π' and this character is the sum of three nontrivial irreducible characters. By the ATLAS, p.127, this difference would have to be $\chi_i + \chi_4 + \chi_6$, where i = 2 or i = 3. However, in this case χ_6 would have multiplicity 2 in π' which would force G to have rank 8, which is a contradiction. Hence G has rank 5, subdegrees 1, 1, 2304, 2304, 3510, and associated permutation character π .

The collapsed adjacency matrices are

		1	0	1	0	0	0)	
			1	0	0	0	0		
			0	0	0	1	0		
			0	0	1	0	0		
		1	0	0	0	0	1)	
1	0	0		2304		0		0	、
1	0	0		0		2304		0	
	1	0		728		600		975	
	0	1		600		728		975	
	0	0		640		640		1024	
`	U	U		040		010		1024	/
1	0	0		0		2304		0	١
	0	0	5	2304		0		0	
	0	1		600		728		975	
	1	0		728		600		975	
1	0	0		640		640		1024)
1	0	0		0		0	ŝ	3510	\
	0	0		0		0	3	3510	
	0	0		975		975	1	1560	.
	0	0		975		975]	1560	
	1	1	1	024		1024	1	1460)

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

 $\Gamma{3,4,5} \cong K_{4060\times 2}.$

4.21 The Suzuki group Suz

A presentation for Suz is

$$\langle a5b3c8d3e, b3f4g3b | a = (cd)^4 = (fg)^2,$$

 $1 = (abcf)^5 [= (abcg)^5] = (bfg)^5 = ((bcdcd)^5 e)^3 \rangle$

(see [Soi85]), in which

$$G_2(4) \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f \rangle,$$

and

$$3_2 U_4(3): 2 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, f, g \rangle.$$

A presentation for Suz: 2 is obtained from this presentation for Suz by adjoining a generator t, and the relations

$$1 = t^{2} = (at)^{2} = (bt)^{2} = (ct)^{2} = (dt)^{2} = (et)^{2} = f^{t}g.$$

Note that t normalizes $\langle a, b, c, d, f, g \rangle$.

4.21.1 rank 2

There are no pseudo-permutation characters of rank 2 for G.

4.21.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_5$ of degree 1782 = 1 + 780 + 1001.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 1782. A representative is a maximal $H \cong G_2(4)$, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 416, 1365, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0 /	416	0	
	1	100	315	
۱	0	96	320)
1	0	0	1365	
	0	315	1050	.
l	1	320	1044	
				-

The orbital graph corresponding to the suborbit of length 416 is the Suzuki graph $\Gamma(Suz)$, which was used by Suzuki [Suz69] in the construction of his sporadic simple group. The automorphism group of $\Gamma(Suz)$ is Aut (Suz) = Suz: 2.

4.21.3 rank 4

There are no pseudo-permutation characters of rank 4 for G.

4.21.4 rank 5

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_4 + \chi_6 + \chi_9$ of degree 10296 = 1 + 143 + 780 + 3432 + 5940.

G has no subgroup of index 10296, and hence π is not a permutation character.

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_4 + \chi_9 + \chi_{15}$ of degree 22880 = 1 + 364 + 780 + 5940 + 15795.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 22880. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 3_2 \cdot U_4(3):2$, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 280, 486, 8505, 13608, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	1	0	28	0	0)	0		0	١	
		1	3	6	0) :	243		0		
		0		0	0)	0	2	280		
		0		8	0) .	128]	44		
	l	0		0	10)	9 0]	180)	
	1	0	0		486		0		0	`	
	1	0	0		0		0	4	186		
		1	0		58		315		12		
		0	0		18		180		288		
	l	0	10		4		180	2	292)	
,	۷ O		0		0	8	505	5		0	`
1	0		243		0		888		437		
I	0		0	3	15	3	150)	504	0	
I	1	1	.28	1	80	3	300)	489	6	
1	0		90	1	80	3	060)	517	5	Ϊ
,	0		0		0		0	1	360	8	、
	0		0	48		43	74		874		
	0	28		11			40		817		
	0	14		28			96		828		
	1	18		29			.75		796]
١.	-			~0	-	01				0	/

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is $\Gamma\{2\}, \ \iota = \{280, 243, 144, 10; 1, 8, 90, 280\}, \ G$ acts distance-transitively.

We may take the vertex-set of $\Gamma\{2\}$ to be the conjugacy class in *Suz* of 3*A*-generated subgroups of order 3. Then vertices *A*, *B* are joined by an edge in $\Gamma\{2\}$ if and only if $\langle A, B \rangle \cong 3^2$. This graph is known as the *Patterson graph*, and is described in more detail in [BCN89, pp. 410-412].

4.22 Automorphism group Suz: 2 of Suz

A presentation for Suz: 2 is given in the section for Suz.

4.22.1 rank 2

There are no faithful pseudo-permutation characters of rank 2 for G.

4.22.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_9$ of degree 1782 = 1 + 780 + 1001.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 1782. A representative is a maximal $H \cong G_2(4)$: 2, and the associated permutation character is π . The restriction of this representation to Suz is the representation in case (i) for Suz, and so the collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for that representation.

4.22.3 rank 4

There are no pseudo-permutation characters of rank 4 for G.

4.22.4 rank 5

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5 + \chi_7 + \chi_{14} + \chi_{23}$ of degree 22880 = 1 + 364 + 780 + 5940 + 15795.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 22880. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 3_2 \cdot U_4(3)$: $(2^2)_{133}$, and the associated permutation character is π . The restriction of this representation to Suz is the representation in case (*iii*) for Suz, and so the collapsed adjacency matrices and associated non-complete distance-regular graph (on which G acts distance-transitively) are the same as for that representation.

4.23 The O'Nan group O'N

In [Soi90], the following presentation is deduced for the O'Nan group O'N:

$$\langle g, a3b3c8d3e3f \mid af = g^2 = (cd)^4, 1 = cc^{dgdg} = dd^{cgcg},$$

 $1 = (bcdg)^5 = gbg^cg^bg^c = geg^dg^eg^d \rangle.$

Note that this presentation contains no Coxeter graph relations at all for the generator g, which has order 4. In this O'N, we have

$$L_3(7): 2 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f \rangle.$$

Words generating certain other subgroups are given in [Soi90].

4.23.1 rank 2

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.23.2 rank 3

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 3.

4.23.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.23.4 rank 5

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_7 + \chi_i + \chi_{11}$ of degree 122760 = 1 + 10944 + 26752 + 32395 + 52668, where i = 8 or 9.

An examination of the table of maximal subgroups of G shows that H lies in one of the two classes of maximal subgroups of index 122760, and $H \cong L_3(7)$: 2. These classes correspond to the two possibilities

for π above, and are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G (see ATLAS).

For each of these two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, representations, the subdegrees are 1, 5586, 6384, 52136, 58653, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	7	0	5586	5 0	0	0 \
		1	364	4 216	2464	2541
		0	189	9 301	2303	2793
		0	264	4 282	2394	2646
		0	242	2 304	2352	2688 /
	7	0	0	6384	0	0 \
	[0	216	344	2632	3192
		1	301	349	2793	2940
		0	282	342	2736	3024
		0	304	320	2688	3072 /
7	0		0	0	52136	0 \
[0	2	2464	2632	22344	24696
	0	2	2303	2793	22344	24696
l	1	2	2394	2736	22057	24948
l	0	2	2352	2688	22176	24920 /
1	0		0	0	0	58653 \
	0	2	541	3192	24696	28224
	0	2	793	2940	24696	28224
	0	2	646	3024	24948	28035
	1	2	688	3072	24920	27972
•						•

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

 $\Gamma\{2,3\}, \quad \iota = \{11970, 10829; 1, 1170\}$ (and complement).

We believe these distance-regular graphs are new. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma\{2, 3\}$. We have O'N acting vertex-primitively on Γ . From [LPS90, Chapter 9, Tables I–VI], we deduce that $soc(\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)) \cong O'N$. Since a permutation representation of degree 122760 for O'N does not extend to Aut (O'N) = O'N: 2, it follows that Aut $(\Gamma) \cong O'N$.

4.24 Automorphism group O'N: 2 of O'N

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank less than or equal to 5.

4.25 The Conway group Co₃

A presentation for Co_3 is

$$\langle b4h3a3b5c3d3f4c3e4a, e6f6h \mid a = (cf)^2, b = (ef)^3,$$

$$d = (bh)^2 = (eah)^3, 1 = (eab)^3 = (bce)^5 = (adfh)^3 = (cef)^7$$

(see [Soi85, Soi88]), in which

 $McL: 2 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f, hfdcecbahfecdfehfh \rangle > \langle a, b, c, d, e, f \rangle \cong McL,$ and

$$HS \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, h \rangle.$$

We record here that

$$2 \cdot S_6(2) \cong \langle b, d, e, f, c^{ba}, cbdb^ch, e^a b^c bec, (deacbacde)^{bca} \rangle \text{ (rank 7)},$$

and $M_{23} \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, (feadfehfhefd)^{cef} \rangle \text{ (rank 8)}.$

4.25.1 rank 2

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5$ of degree 276 = 1 + 275.

This character corresponds to the unique 2-transitive representation of G. A point stabilizer is $H \cong McL$: 2.

4.25.2 rank 3

There are no pseudo-permutation characters of rank 3 for G.

4.25.3 rank 4

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_4 + \chi_5$ of degree 552 = 1 + 23 + 253 + 275.

The group G has no maximal subgroup of index 552, and hence H < L < G with m := |G : L| dividing 552. Then m = 276 and H has index 2 in $L \cong McL$: 2. Hence $H \cong McL$. Thus G preserves a block system Σ consisting of 276 blocks of size 2, and the action of G on Σ is permutationally isomorphic to the 2-transitive representation in (i) above. Let B be the block of Σ containing α . Then H fixes both points of B, and by the ATLAS, p.100, H is transitive on $\Sigma \setminus \{B\}$. Thus G has rank 3 or 4 on Ω , and, as G has no rank 3 permutation characters, it follows that G has rank 4, subdegrees 1, 1, 275, 275, and associated permutation character π .

The collapsed adjacency matrices are

		0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0)	
$\left(\right)$	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	1	75 0 62 12	27 11 16	2)
$\left(\right)$	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	27 11 16	2	27 16 11	0 2)

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma\{3\}, & \iota = \{275, 112, 1; 1, 112, 275\}, & G \text{ acts distance-transitively} \\ \Gamma\{4\}, & \iota = \{275, 162, 1; 1, 162, 275\}, & G \text{ acts distance-transitively} \\ \Gamma\{3, 4\} \cong K_{276 \times 2}. \end{array}$

 Γ {3} and Γ {4} are well-known Taylor graphs, and are described in [BCN89, p. 373].

4.25.4 rank 5

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_5 + \chi_9 + \chi_{15}$ of degree 11178 = 1 + 23 + 275 + 2024 + 8855.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 11178. A representative is a maximal $H \cong HS$.

Direct calculation shows that G acting on the cosets of H has rank 5, and so must have permutation character π , the unique pseudo-permutation character of G of rank 5. The subdegrees are 1, 352, 1100, 4125, 5600, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	/ 0	352	()	0	0)
	1	1	178	5	0	175	
	0	56	() 2	240	56	
	0	0	64	L	64	224	
	\ o	11	11	1	l65	165)
1	0 '	0	110	0	0	0	١
I		175		0	750	175	
	0 1 0	0	32	2	105	672	
	0	64	2	8	560	448	
	0	11	13	2	330	627)
1	0	0	0	41	125	0	١
(0	0	750	7	750	2625	
	0	240	105	21	100	1680	
	1	64	560	12	260	2240	
l	0	165	330		350	1980]
1	0	0	0		0	5600	١
	0	175	175	26	25	2625	
	0	56	672	16	80	3192	
	0	224	448		40	2688	
(1	165	627		80	2827	
`	-						<i>'</i>

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

4.26 The Conway group Co₂

A presentation for Co_2 is

$$\langle a3b5c3d3f6e, a4e3c4f4g4b \mid a = (cf)^2, e = (bg)^2, b = (ef)^3,$$

 $1 = (aecd)^4 = (baefg)^3 = (cef)^7 \rangle$

(see [Soi85, Soi88]), in which

$$U_6(2): 2 \cong \langle g, cef, dcfd, deaefecdfgfdecdfgbfcde \rangle$$

> $\langle g, cef, dcfd \rangle \cong U_6(2),$

$$2^{10}: M_{22}: 2 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, g, (gfdc)^4 \rangle,$$

and

$$2^{1+8}:S_6(2)\cong \langle a,b,d,e,f,g,(gfdc)^4,(abcdefg)^5\rangle.$$

The short words generating $U_6(2)$ were found by M. Schönert.

We record here that $McL \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f \rangle$ (rank 6), and $HS:2 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, f, (adecgbcdeabc)^{bce} \rangle$ (rank 7).

4.26.1 rank 2

There are no pseudo-permutation characters of rank 2 for G.

4.26.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_6$ of degree 2300 = 1 + 275 + 2024.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 2300. A representative is a maximal $H \cong U_6(2)$: 2, and the associated permutation character is π .

The subdegrees are 1, 891, 1408, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 891 & 0 \\ 1 & 378 & 512 \\ 0 & 324 & 567 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1408 \\ 0 & 512 & 896 \\ 1 & 567 & 840 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4.26.3 rank 4

There are no pseudo-permutation characters of rank 4 for G.

4.26.4 rank 5

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_4 + \chi_6 + \chi_7$ of degree 4600 = 1 + 23 + 275 + 2024 + 2277.

The group G has no maximal subgroups of index 4600, and hence H < L < G with m := |G : L| dividing 4600. Then m = 2300 and H has index 2 in $L \cong U_6(2)$: 2. Hence $H \cong U_6(2)$. Thus G preserves a block system Σ consisting of 2300 blocks of size 2, and the action of G on Σ is permutationally isomorphic to the rank 3 representation in (i) above with subdegrees 1, 891, 1408. Let Σ_1 , Σ_2 denote the orbits of L on Σ of lengths 891, 1408 respectively. Let $B \in \Sigma$ be the block containing α , and let $B' \in \Sigma_1$. Since |L:H| = 2 is prime to 891, H is transitive on Σ_1 and so $L_{B'}$ is transitive on B. By the ATLAS, p.115, $L_{B'} \cong 2^9$: $L_3(4)$: 2, which has a unique subgroup of index 2, namely $H_{B'} \cong 2^9$: $L_3(4)$. Hence, since $H_{B'}$ has no subgroup of index 2, $H_{B'}$ fixes B' pointwise, and so H has two orbits of length 891 on the points of Ω in the blocks of Σ_1 .

Now by the ATLAS, p.115, L has a unique class of subgroups of index 1408, so for $B'' \in \Sigma_2$, $L_{B''} \cong U_4(3).2^2$. As $H \cong U_6(2)$ has no subgroup of index 704, H is transitive on Σ_2 and $H_{B''} \cong U_4(3):2$. Thus H is either transitive on the 2816 points of Ω contained in Σ_2 , or has two orbits of length 1408 in this set of points. Suppose the latter is true. Then the representation of G on the cosets of H has rank 6, and is imprimitive with 2300 blocks of size 2; the permutation character π' for the action of G on the cosets of H must contain the character corresponding to the action of G on this system of imprimitivity, that is, π' contains $\chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_6$, and there are three other irreducible characters of G whose degrees sum to 2300. Checking the character table for G in the ATLAS shows that this is not possible. Hence H is transitive on these 2816 points, G has rank 5, and the subdegrees are 1, 1, 891, 891, 2816.

Thus we have described, up to permutational isomorphism, a unique imprimitive rank 5 representation of G. The collapsed adjacency matrices

		(0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0 0	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	
	(0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	891 0 336 42 162)
	(0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0	42	42	0 0 512 512 567)
(0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 512 512 567	0 0 512 512 567	2816 2816 1792 1792 1680).

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

$$\Gamma{3,4,5} \cong K_{2300\times 2}.$$

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_6 + \chi_{14} + \chi_{17}$ of degree 46575 = 1 + 275 + 2024 + 12650 + 31625.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 46575. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 2^{10}$: M_{22} : 2.

Direct calculation shows that G acting on the cosets of H has rank 5, and so must have permutation character π , the unique rank 5 pseudopermutation character of G of degree 46575. The subdegrees are 1, 462,

2464, 21120, 22528, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	(0 1 0 0	4	62 61 15 7 0	0 80 15 28 21	320 240 203)) }	0 0 192 224 231		
$\left(\right)$	0 0 1 0 0		0 80 15 28 21		464 80 496 56 161	128 48 126 105	0	102 147 112 123	2 0	
0 0 1 0		24 2(0 20 40 03 10	4 12	0 280 480 260 050	2112 928 1080 935 966	80 00 52	102 96 103 102	500 504)
0 0 0 0 1			4	14	0 24 72 20 32	1024 960 1030	0 4	225 112 112 108 108	64 64 80)

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

(iv) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_6 + \chi_{15} + \chi_{17}$ of degree 56925 = 1 + 275 + 2024 + 23000 + 31625.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 56925. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 2^{1+8}_+: S_6(2)$.

Direct calculation shows that G acting on the cosets of H has rank 5, and so must have permutation character π , the unique rank 5 pseudopermutation character of G of degree 56925. The subdegrees are 1, 1008, 1260, 14336, 40320, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

/ 0	1008	0	0	0 \
1	0	135	512	360
0	108	36	0	864
0	36	0	162	810
0/	9	27	288	684 /

) 13	5 13) 4	15	0 0 512 405 288	0 1080 576 810 927	
$\left(\left(\right) \right)$	(0 0 0 1 0	0 512 0 162 288	0 0 512 405 288		4336 2304 4608 4048 3456	0 11520 9216 9720 10304	
$\left(\right)$	0 0 0 0 1	0 360 864 810 684	0 1080 576 810 927	9	0 1520 9216 9720 0304	40320 27360 29664 28980 28404	

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

 $\Gamma\{2,3\}, \ \iota = \{2268, 1952; 1, 81\}$ (and complement).

We believe these distance-regular graphs are new. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma\{2,3\}$. We may take the vertex-set of Γ to be the conjugacy class 2A of Co_2 . Then structure constant calculations (see [Wil86]) show that, in Γ , two vertices x, y are joined by an edge if and only if xy has order 2. We have Co_2 acting vertex-primitively on Γ . From [LPS90, Chapter 9, Tables I– VI], we deduce that $soc(Aut(\Gamma)) \cong Co_2$. Since Co_2 has a trivial outer automorphism group, it follows that $Aut(\Gamma) \cong Co_2$.

4.27 The Fischer group Fi_{22}

A presentation for Fi_{22} is

 $\langle a3b3c3d3e3f3g, d3h3i | 1 = (dcbdefdhi)^{10} = (abcdefh)^9 = (bcdefgh)^9 \rangle$ (this is $Y_{332}/Z(Y_{332})$; see [CNS88]), in which

 $2 U_6(2) \cong \langle a, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, (abcdeh)^5 \rangle,$

and

$$O_7(3) \cong \langle b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i \rangle.$$

We record here that

$$2^{10}: M_{22} \cong \langle a, c, e, g, h, bacb, dced, fegf, dchd, dehd, (cdehi)^4 \rangle$$
 (rank 8),
and $2^6: S_6(2) \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \rangle$ (rank 10).

A presentation for Fi_{22} : 2 can be obtained from that of Fi_{22} by adjoining the generator t, and the relations

$$1 = t^{2} = a^{t}g = b^{t}f = c^{t}e = (dt)^{2} = (ht)^{2} = (it)^{2}.$$

In this Fi_{22} : 2, we have that

$$O_8^+(2): S_3 \times 2 \cong C(t) = \langle d, h, i, ag, bf, ce, t \rangle.$$

4.27.1 rank 2

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.27.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_7$ of degree 3510 = 1 + 429 + 3080.

An examination of the table of maximal subgroups of G shows that H is maximal in G and $H \cong 2 \cdot U_6(2)$. The subdegrees are 1, 693, 2816, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 693 & 0 \\ 1 & 180 & 512 \\ 0 & 126 & 567 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2816 \\ 0 & 512 & 2304 \\ 1 & 567 & 2248 \end{pmatrix}$$

The vertex-set of these orbital graphs may be taken to be the class of 3-transpositions of G (that is, a conjugacy class D of involutions of G, such that, for all $d, e \in D$, de has order 1, 2, or 3). For the first orbital graph we join d, e exactly when de has order 2 (equivalently $d \neq e$ and d, e commute), and for the second graph we join d, e exactly when de has order 3. These graphs were originally studied by Fischer [Fis69].

(*ii*)
$$\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_9$$
 of degree $14080 = 1 + 429 + 13650$.

An examination of the table of maximal subgroups of G shows that H lies in one of two classes of maximal subgroups of index 14080, $H \cong O_7(3)$. These representations are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G.

Thus we have described two equivalent, but not permutationally isomorphic, primitive rank 3 representations of G. The subdegrees are 1, 3159, 10920, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0 \	3159	0	
	1	918	2240	
	0	648	2511	
1	0	0	10920	١
	0	2240	8680	
	1	2511	8408	
``				·

Remark The group Aut (G) = G: 2 acts with permutation rank 6 on the cosets of H < G. This imprimitive representation has subdegrees 1, 364, 1080, 3159, 10920, 12636, and the generalized orbital digraph $\Gamma\{2,3\}$ for this representation is distance-regular, with intersection array {1444, 1443, 1296; 1, 148, 1444}. This graph is the incidence graph of a square 2 - (20160, 1444, 148) design, on which Fi_{22} acts as a group of automorphisms. We have been informed by P.J. Cameron that this design for Fi_{22} was first discovered by A. Rudvalis in the early 1970s.

4.27.3 rank 4

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_7 + \chi_9$ of degree 17160 = 1 + 429 + 3080 + 13650. G has no subgroup of index 17160.

(iv) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_7 + \chi_9 + \chi_{13}$ of degree 61776 = 1 + 3080 + 13650 + 45045.

An examination of the indices of maximal subgroups of G shows that H is maximal in G and $H \cong O_8^+(2)$: S_3 . The subdegrees are 1, 1575, 22400, 37800 and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0	1575	0	0 \	
	1	198	512	864	
	0	36		972	
$\left(\right)$	0	36	576	963 /	

1	/ 0	0	22400	0 \
	0	512	8064	13824
	1	567	8224	13608
١	0	576	8064	13760 /
1	0	0	0	37800 \
1	0	864	13824	23112
	0	972	13608	23220
	1	963	13760	23076 /

The non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs are

$\Gamma\{2\},$	$\iota = \{1575, 1376; 1, 36\}$	(and complement)
Γ{3},	$\iota = \{22400, 14175; 1, 8064\}$	(and complement).

We believe these distance-regular graphs are new. The vertex-sets of $\Gamma\{2\}$ and $\Gamma\{3\}$ may be taken to be the conjugacy class 2D of Fi_{22} : 2. Then structure constant calculations (see [Wil86]) show that vertices x, y are joined by an edge in $\Gamma\{2\}$ (respectively $\Gamma\{3\}$) if and only if xy has order 2 (respectively 3). Let $\Gamma = \Gamma\{2\}$ or $\Gamma\{3\}$. Then we have Fi_{22} acting vertex-primitively on Γ . From [LPS90, Chapter 9, Tables I-VI], we deduce that $soc(\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)) \cong Fi_{22}$. Since the permutation representation in the present case extends to a representation of the same rank for $\operatorname{Aut}(Fi_{22}) = Fi_{22}$: 2, it follows that $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) \cong Fi_{22}$: 2.

4.27.4 rank 5

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 5.

4.28 Automorphism group Fi22: 2 of Fi22

A presentation for Fi_{22} : 2 is given in the section for Fi_{22} .

4.28.1 rank 2

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.28.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5 + \chi_{13}$ of degree 3510 = 1 + 429 + 3080.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 3510. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 2 \cdot U_6(2).2$, and the associated permutation character is π . The restriction of this representation to Fi_{22} is the representation in case (i) for Fi_{22} , and so the collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for that representation.

4.28.3 rank 4

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_{13} + \chi_{17} + \chi_{25}$ of degree 61776 = 1 + 3080 + 13650 + 45045.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 61776. A representative is a maximal $H \cong O_8^+(2)$: $S_3 \times 2$, and the associated permutation character is π . The restriction of this representation to Fi_{22} is the representation in case (*iv*) for Fi_{22} , and so the collapsed adjacency matrices and associated distance-regular graphs are the same as for that representation.

4.28.4 rank 5

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 5.

4.29 The Harada-Norton group HN

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank less than or equal to 5.

4.30 Automorphism group HN:2 of HN

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank less than or equal to 5.

4.31 The Lyons group Ly

C.C. Sims derived a presentation for the Lyons group Ly, as part of his proof of the existence and uniqueness of this group (see [Sim73]). The usefulness of the presentation as given in [Sim73] depends on an unpublished presentation of $G_2(5)$, which now appears in [JW96].

4.31.1 rank 2

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.31.2 rank 3

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 3.

4.31.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.31.4 rank 5

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_{11} + \chi_{12} + \chi_{14}$ of degree 8835156 = 1 + 45694 + 1534500 + 3028266 + 4226695.

An examination of the table of maximal subgroups of G shows that H is maximal in G, and $H \cong G_2(5)$. The subdegrees are

1, 19530, 968750, 2034375, 5812500.

W.M. Kantor [Kan81] gives the collapsed adjacency matrix A for the orbital digraph corresponding to the suborbit of length 19530. The matrix A has distinct eigenvalues, allowing the collapsed adjacency matrices for the other orbital digraphs of this multiplicity-free representation to be determined from A (this uses some basic theory of Bose-Mesner algebras; see [BCN89, pp. 43-46]). This was done by D.V. Pasechnik, using a *Maple* program he wrote for this purpose.

The collapsed adjacency matrices are

		1	0	19	530	()	0	0	١	
			1	-	154	312	5 37	50	12500		
			0		63	252) 45	99	12348		
			0		36	219) 45	84	12720		
			0		42	2058	3 44	52	12978	/	
		~		~	~ ~			~		<u> </u>	
	1	0		0		8750		0		0 \	
	1	0	3]	125	12	5000		125	6125		
		1	25	520	11	4013	225	372	6268	44	
		0	21	L 9 0	10	7320	223	080	6361	60	
		0	20)58	10	4474	222	656	6395	62 /	/
	<i>,</i> 0			0		0	2034	275		0	、
1	/ 0 0		0/71	-	000	-			1005	-	
I	0		375	~		125		500	1325		
I	0		459			372		468	1335		
l	1		458	34	223	080	468	670	1338	040	
١	0		445	52	222	656	468	314	1338	953	/
,	0			0		0		0	5812	500	、
	*	1	050	-	010	-	1005	-			
	0		1250		•	500	1325		3862		
	0		1234		0-0	844	1335		3837	•••	
	0	1	1272	20		5160	1338		3825		
١	1	1	297	78	639	562	1338	953	3821	006	/

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_{11} + \chi_{12} + \chi_{15}$ of degree 9606125 = 1 + 45694 + 1534500 + 3028266 + 4997664.

An examination of the table of maximal subgroups of G shows that H is maximal in G, and $H \cong 3 \cdot McL$: 2. The subdegrees are

1, 15400, 534600, 1871100, 7185024.

Cooperman, Finkelstein, York and Tselman [CFYT94] have constructed this permutation representation, using a 111-dimensional GF(5) matrix representation for Ly constructed by Meyer, Neutsch and Parker [MNP85] (see also [Gol95]). We used this explicit permutation representation to construct the collapsed adjacency matrices below on an IBM RS/6000 of the Institute for Experimental Mathematics, University of Essen. The collapsed adjacency matrices are

	7	0	15^{4}	400	()	0	C	γ	
		1		90	1213	$5 2^{-1}$	430	11664		
		0		35	560) 3	045	11760		
		0		20	870) 2	990	11520		
	l	0		25	875	5 3	000	11500)/	
1	0		0	53	4600		0		0 ١	۱
	0	12	215	19	9440	10	5705	4082	40	
	1	ł	560	3	8985	10	2270	3927	'84	
	0	8	870	2	9220	10^{4}	4190	4003	20	
(0	8	875	2	9225	104	4250	4002	50 /	/
/ ()		0		0	187	1100		0	١
)	243	30	105'	705	36	3285	1399	680	
()	304	15	102	270	364	4665	1401	120	
	1	299	90	104	190	36	6255	1397	664	
1 ()	300	00	1042	250	363	3975	1399	875)
/ 0			0		0		0	7185	024	١
0	1	1166	54	408	240	1399	9680	5365	440	
0]	1176	50	392	784	140	1120	5379	360	
0]	1152	20	400	320	139'	7664	5375	520	
1	1	1150	00	400	250	1399	9875	5373	398	/

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

Before the construction of these collapsed adjacency matrices, it was shown [Soi93a] that Ly does not act distance-transitively on any (non-trivial) orbital graph for this representation. The argument made use of the collapsed adjacency matrices in case (v) of McL.

4.32 The Thompson group Th

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank less than or equal to 5.

4.33 The Fischer group Fi_{23}

A presentation for Fi_{23} is

$$\langle a3b3c3d3e3f3g3j, d3h3i | 1 = (dcbdefdhi)^{10} = (abcdefh)^9 \rangle$$

(this is $Y_{342}/Z(Y_{342})$; see [CNS88]), in which

 $2 \cdot Fi_{22} \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i \rangle,$

$$O_8^+(3): S_3 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, i, j, (abcdeh)^5, a^{(bcdefghi)^{\circ}} \rangle$$

which contains

 $\langle ab, ac, ad, ae, af, ag, ai, aj, a(abcdeh)^5, aa^{(bcdefghi)^5} \rangle \cong O_8^+(3):3,$

and we have

$$O_8^+(3)$$
: $2 \cong \langle b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j \rangle$.

4.33.1 rank 2

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.33.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_6$ of degree 31671 = 1 + 782 + 30888.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 31671 (see [KPW89]). A representative is a maximal $H \cong 2 \cdot Fi_{22}$, and the associated permutation character is π . The subdegrees are 1, 3510, 28160, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3510 & 0 \\ 1 & 693 & 2816 \\ 0 & 351 & 3159 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 28160 \\ 0 & 2816 & 25344 \\ 1 & 3159 & 25000 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The vertex-set of these orbital graphs may be taken to be the class of 3transpositions of G (recall that this is a conjugacy class D of involutions of G, such that, for all $d, e \in D$, de has order 1, 2, or 3). For the first orbital graph we join d, e exactly when de has order 2 (equivalently $d \neq e$ and d, e commute), and for the second graph we join d, e exactly when de has order 3. These graphs were originally studied by Fischer [Fis69].

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_6 + \chi_8$ of degree 137632 = 1 + 30888 + 106743.

There is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 137632 (see [KPW89]). A representative is a maximal $H \cong O_8^+(3)$: S_3 , and the associated permutation character is π . The subdegrees are 1, 28431, 109200, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

/ 0	28431	0)
1	6030	22400	
(0	5832	22599	
(0	0	109200	
0	22400	86800	
$\backslash 1$	22599	86600	

4.33.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.33.4 rank 5

(*iii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5 + \chi_6 + \chi_8 + \chi_9$ of degree $275264 = 2 \times 137632 = 1 + 25806 + 30888 + 106743 + 111826$.

By [KPW89] there is no maximal subgroup of G of index 275264, and H is the unique subgroup of index 2 in a maximal subgroup $L \cong O_8^+(3)$: S_3 of G, so $H \cong O_8^+(3)$: 3.

Direct calculation shows that G has rank 5 on the cosets of H, and so the associated permutation character is π , the unique pseudo-permutation character of G of degree 275264. The subdegrees are 1, 1, 28431, 28431, 218400, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	(0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	28431 0 2880 3150 2916	0 28431 3150 2880 2916	0 0 22400 22400 22599)
	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 28431 3150 2880 2916	28431 0 2880 3150 2916	0 0 22400 22400 22599	$\Big)$
$\left(\right)$	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 22400 22400 22599	0 0 22400 22400 22599	218400 218400 173600 173600 173200).

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

$$\Gamma{3,4,5} \cong K_{137632 \times 2}.$$

(*iv*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_6 + \chi_8 + \chi_{10}$ of degree $412896 = 3 \times 137632 = 1 + 782 + 30888 + 106743 + 274482$.

By [KPW89] there is no maximal subgroup of G of index 412896, and H is a subgroup of index 3 in a maximal subgroup $L \cong O_8^+(3)$: S_3 of G, so $H \cong O_8^+(3)$: 2.

Direct calculation shows that G has rank 5 on the cosets of H, and so the associated permutation character is π , the unique pseudo-permutation character of G of degree 412896. The subdegrees are 1, 2, 28431, 56862, 327600, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0 /	0	28431	0	0	١
	0	0	0	28431	0	
	1	0	4110	1920	22400	
	0	1	960	5070	22400	
I	0	0	1944	3888	22599	
1	0 \	0	0	56862	0	\
	0	0	28431	28431	0	
	0	2	1920	10140	44800	
	1	1	5070	6990	44800	
1	0	0	3888	7776	45198)
1	0	0	0	0	327600	1
	0	0	0	0	327600	
	0	0	22400	44800	260400	
	0	0	22400	44800	260400	
l	1	2	22599	45198	259800)

The only non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graph is

 Γ {3,4,5} $\cong K_{137632 \times 3}$.

4.34 The Conway group Co₁

A presentation for $2 \cdot Co_1$ is

 $(b4h3a3b5c3d3f4c3e4a, b4g4f, e6f6h | a = (cf)^2, e = (bg)^2, b = (ef)^3,$

$$d = (bh)^2 = (eah)^3, 1 = (adfh)^3 = (baefg)^3 = (cef)^7\rangle,$$

in which the central involution is

$$z := (adefcefgh)^{39}$$

(see [Soi85, Soi88]), and we have

$$2 \times Co_2 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, z \rangle.$$

We record here that 2^{12} : $M_{24} \cong \langle a, b, d, e, f, g, h, (gfdc)^4, z \rangle$ (rank 6), and $2 \times Co_3 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f, h, z \rangle$ (rank 7).

Note that a presentation for Co_1 is obtained by adjoining the relation z = 1 to the presentation for $2 \cdot Co_1$ above. However, for many of the subgroups H of Co_1 considered here, to obtain the representation of

 Co_1 on the cosets of H by coset enumeration, rather than using this presentation for Co_1 , it is more efficient to enumerate the cosets of 2.H in 2[·] Co_1 , using the presentation for 2[·] Co_1 .

We also make use of the following presentation for $2 \times Co_1$ [Soi87a]:

$$\langle a3b3c8d3e3f3g3h3i \mid a = (cd)^4, 1 = (bcde)^8 \rangle,$$

in which the central involution is

$$z := ((bcdcdefgh)^{13}i)^3,$$

and we have

$$2 \times 3^{\cdot} Suz : 2 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, z \rangle.$$

As with the presentation for $2 \cdot Co_1$, a presentation for Co_1 is obtained by adjoining the relation z = 1 to the presentation for $2 \times Co_1$ above, and similar comments apply concerning coset enumeration.

4.34.1 rank 2

There are no pseudo-permutation characters of rank 2 for G.

4.34.2 rank 3

There are no pseudo-permutation characters of rank 3 for G.

4.34.3 rank 4

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_6 + \chi_{10}$ of degree 98280 = 1 + 299 + 17250 + 80730.

The group G has a unique class of subgroups of index 98280, with representative a maximal $H \cong Co_2$. This gives rise to a primitive rank 4 representation of G, which must have character π , the unique pseudopermutation character of G of rank 4.

The subdegrees are 1, 4600, 46575, 47104, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 4600 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 892 & 891 & 2816 \\ 0 & 88 & 2464 & 2048 \\ 0 & 275 & 2025 & 2300 \end{array}\right)$$

4.34 The Conway group Co₁ 123

/ 0	1
0	
1	
0/	Ι
0	1
0	
0	
1	l
	(

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

4.34.4 rank 5

(*ii*) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_4 + \chi_7 + \chi_{16} + \chi_{20}$ of degree 1545600 = 1 + 1771 + 27300 + 644644 + 871884.

The group G has a unique class of subgroups of index 1545600. A representative is a maximal $H \cong 3 \cdot Suz$: 2. This gives rise to a primitive rank 5 representation of G, which must have character π , the unique pseudo-permutation character of G of rank 5.

The subdegrees are 1, 5346, 22880, 405405, 1111968 and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

	1	0	53 4	16	0		0	0	١.
		1	41	8	0	40)95	832	
		0		0	486		0	4860	
		0	Ę	54	0	18	336	3456	
		0		4	100	12	260	3982)
	1	0	0	22	2880		0	(0 \
		0	0	2	2080		0	2080	0
		1	486		280	85	505	1360	8
		0	0		480	51	20	1728	0
		0	100		280	63	800	1620	0/
1	0		0		0	405	405		0 \
	0	4	.095		0	139	230	2620	080
	0		0	85	05	90	720	3061	.80
	1	1	836	51	20	111	600	2868	848
	0	1	260	63	00	104	580	2932	265 /

/ 0	0	0	0	1111968 \	
0	832	20800	262080	828256	
0	4860	13608	306180	787320	
0	3456	17280	286848	804384	
$\backslash 1$	3982	16200	293265	798520 /	

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

4.35 The Janko group J_4

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank less than or equal to 5.

4.36 The Fischer group Fi'_{24}

A presentation for $3 \cdot Fi_{24}$ is

```
\langle l3k3a3b3c3d3e3f3g3j, d3h3i | l = (abcdefh)^9 \rangle
```

(this is isomorphic to Y_{542} ; see [CNS88] and [CP92]), in which the normal subgroup of order 3 is generated by

 $z := (dcbakldefgjdhi)^{17},$

and we have

$$Fi_{23} \times S_3 \cong \langle a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, l, z \rangle.$$

Note that a presentation for Fi_{24} is obtained by adjoining the relation z = 1 to the presentation for $3 \cdot Fi_{24}$ above. However, to obtain the representation of Fi_{24} on the cosets of $Fi_{23} \times 2$ by coset enumeration, it is best to enumerate the cosets of $Fi_{23} \times S_3$ in the $3 \cdot Fi_{24}$ above.

We do not give a presentation for Fi'_{24} . The representation for $Fi_{24} = Fi'_{24}$: 2 on the cosets of $Fi_{23} \times 2$ restricts to a representation of the same rank for Fi'_{24} on the cosets of Fi_{23} .

4.36.1 rank 2

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.36.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_4$ of degree 306936 = 1 + 57477 + 249458.

By [LW91] there is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 306936. A representative is a maximal $H \cong Fi_{23}$, and the associated permutation character is π . The subdegrees are 1, 31671, 275264, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are

1	0	31671	0	\
	1	3510	28160	
	0	3240	28431	
()	0	275264	١
()	28160	247104	
	1	28431	246832)

The vertex-set of these orbital graphs may be taken to be the class of 3-transpositions of $Fi_{24} \cong G$: 2. For the first orbital graph we join 3-transpositions d, e exactly when de has order 2 (equivalently $d \neq e$ and d, e commute), and for the second graph we join d, e exactly when de has order 3. These graphs were originally studied by B. Fischer [Fis69].

4.36.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.36.4 rank 5

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 5.

4.37 Automorphism group $Fi_{24} = Fi'_{24}$: 2 of Fi'_{24}

A presentation for $3 \cdot Fi_{24}$ is given in the section for Fi'_{24} . In [HS95], a presentation is given for Fi_{24} , as a 3-transposition group generated by five 3-transpositions.

4.37.1 rank 2

G has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

4.37.2 rank 3

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_5 + \chi_7$ of degree 306936 = 1 + 57477 + 249458.

The restriction of π to Fi'_{24} is the permutation character in case (i) for Fi'_{24} . Thus $H \cong Fi_{23} \times 2$, and the collapsed adjacency matrices are the same as for that case.

4.37.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.37.4 rank 5

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 5.

4.38 The Fischer Baby Monster group B

In [Iva94], A.A. Ivanov derives a presentation for the Baby Monster group *B*, by proving that $Y_{433} \cong 2^2 \cdot B$. In this Y_{433} , there is a visible $2^3 \cdot {}^2E_6(2): 2 \cong Y_{333}$. Details and definitions can be found in [Iva94], where Y_{pqr} is defined as a certain finitely presented group. (This definition is somewhat different from the definition of Y_{pqr} in [CNS88], which is different again from the definition in the ATLAS.) The representation of Y_{433} on the cosets of Y_{333} is of degree 13571955000, and is well out of the range of current coset enumeration technology.

4.38.1 rank 2

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 2.

126

4.38.2 rank 3

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 3.

4.38.3 rank 4

G has no pseudo-permutation character of rank 4.

4.38.4 rank 5

(i) $\pi = \chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_5 + \chi_{13} + \chi_{15}$ of degree 13571955000 = 1 + 96255 + 9458750 + 4275362520 + 9287037474.

By [MM85, ILLSS95] there is a unique class of subgroups of G of index 13571955000, a representative is a maximal $H \cong 2^{\cdot 2}E_6(2):2$, and the associated permutation character is π . The subdegrees are

1,3968055,23113728,2370830336,11174042880.

Using the information in [Iva92] on the orbital digraph corresponding to the suborbit of length 3968055, D.V. Pasechnik calculated the collapsed adjacency matrix A for this graph. This collapsed adjacency matrix had originally been computed much earlier by B. Fischer (see [Hig76]). The calculation of a collapsed adjacency matrix for such a large representation is beyond the scope of the methods described in this book.

The matrix A has distinct eigenvalues, allowing the collapsed adjacency matrices for the other orbital digraphs of this multiplicity-free representation to be determined from A (this uses some basic theory of Bose-Mesner algebras; see [BCN89, pp. 43–46]). This was done by D.V. Pasechnik, using a *Maple* program he wrote for this purpose.

The collapsed adjacency matrices are

/ 0	3968055	0	0	0 \
1	46134	0	2097152	1824768
0	0	69615	0	3898440
0	3510	0	837135	3127410
0 /	648	8064	663552	3295791 /

	1	0		0	2311372	28	0		0 \
		0		0	40550)4	0	2270822	24
		1	6961	5		0	6336512	1670760	00
		0		0	6177	6	3592512	1945944	10
	1	0	806	4	3456	0	4128768	1894233	86 /
1	0		0		0	2	2370830336		0 \
- {	0	209	97152		0		500170752	186856	52432
	0		0		6336512		368492544	199600	1280
	1	83	37135		3592512		423236608	194316	4080
	0	66	63552		4128768		412286976	195375	51040 /
/ 0			0		0		0	111740	42880 \
0	1	824	768	22	2708224	1	868562432	92809	47456
0	3	898	440	16	6707600	1	996001280	91574	35560 .
0	3	127	410	19	9459440	1	943164080	92082	91950
$\backslash 1$	3	295	791	18	3942336	1	953751040	91980	53712 /

There are no non-complete distance-regular generalized orbital graphs.

4.39 The Fischer-Griess Monster group M

 ${\cal G}$ has no faithful pseudo-permutation character of rank less than or equal to 5.

Summary of the Representations and Graphs

In this chapter we give tables summarizing the transitive permutation representations and distance-regular generalized orbital graphs we have studied and classified in this book. In particular, Tables 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 list the primitive representations of respective ranks 2, 3, 4, and 5 of the sporadic almost simple groups, while Tables 5.5, 5.6, and 5.7 list the imprimitive representations of these groups for respective ranks 3, 4, and 5. These tables also give the number of distance-regular generalized orbital graphs for each of these representations. Finally, Table 5.8 gives a summary of the graphs of diameters 3 and 4 having a distance-transitive action by a sporadic almost simple group.

For each table of permutation representations, the column labelled 'G' gives the group being represented, the 'case' column gives the romannumbered case for G in Chapter 4, where the representation is described in detail, the column labelled 'H' gives the point stabilizer, and 'degree' the degree of the representation. Notation of the form K[.2] for G and L[.2] for H denotes two representations of the same rank and degree: one of K.2 on the cosets of L.2, and the restriction of this representation to K on the cosets of L. In this situation there will be two entries in the 'case' column, corresponding respectively to K and K.2. Given an entry for a group G with point stabilizer H, the notation H (2 classes) denotes that there are two G-classes of subgroups isomorphic to H, and that these classes are interchanged by an outer automorphism of G, giving two equivalent (but not permutationally isomorphic) representations for G.

A line in a table of representations gives information on the representation of a group G acting on the (right) cosets of a subgroup H (or each of G = K, K.2 acting on the cosets of H = L, L.2, respectively). We put an m in the 'd.r.' (distance-regular) column of this line to denote that exactly m of the generalized orbital digraphs for the permutation representation of G on the cosets of H are distance-regular graphs. The complete graph is included in this count. We put an n in the 'd.t.' (distance-transitive) column to denote that G acts distance-transitively on exactly n of these distance-regular (generalized) orbital digraphs. All these distance-regular and distance-transitive graphs are described in Chapter 4 in the sections for the corresponding representations. The new distance-regular graphs of diameter 2 for O'N, Co_2 and Fi_{22} are of particular interest.

We thus have a complete classification of the distance-regular graphs on which a sporadic almost simple group acts vertex-transitively with rank at most 5, as well as a classification of the (distance-regular) graphs of diameter at most 4 on which a sporadic almost simple group acts distancetransitively. It is now known [ILLSS95] that all distance-regular graphs on which a sporadic almost simple group acts primitively and distancetransitively have diameter at most 4, and so these graphs appear in our classification.

In Table 5.8, we summarize those simple connected graphs Γ of diameters 3 and 4 having a distance-transitive action by a sporadic almost simple group G. A check mark is put in the column labelled 'prim' if and only if G acts primitively on the vertices of Γ , 'n' denotes the number of vertices of Γ , and 'diam' is the diameter of Γ .

G	case	H	degree	d.r.	d.t.
 M_11	(i)	M_{10}	11	1	1
M_{11}	(ii)	$L_{2}(11)$	12	1	1
M_{12}	(i)	M_{11} (2 classes)	12	1	1
$M_{22}[.2]$	(i), [i]	$L_3(4)[.2]$	22	1	1
M_{23}	(i)	M_{22}	23	1	1
HS	(i)	$U_3(5).2$ (2 classes)	176	1	1
M_{24}	(i)	M_{23}	24	1	1
Co_3	(i)	McL.2	276	1	1

Table 5.1. The rank 2 representations

G	case	Н	degree	d.r.	d.t.
M_{11}	(iv)	M ₉ .2	55	3	2
M_{12}	(ii)	$M_{10}.2$ (2 classes)	66	3	2
$M_{22}[.2]$	(iii), [ii]	$2^4: A_6[.2]$	77	3	2
M_{22}	(iv)	A_7 (2 classes)	176	3	2
$J_{2}[.2]$	(i), [i]	$U_3(3)[.2]$	100	3	2
M_{23}	(ii)	$L_{3}(4).2$	253	3	2
M_{23}	(ii)	$2^4: A_7$	253	3	2
HS[.2]	(ii), [i]	$M_{22}[.2]$	100	3	2
M_{24}	(iii)	$M_{22}.2$	276	3	2
M_{24}	(iv)	$M_{12}.2$	1288	3	2
McL[.2]	(i), [i]	$U_4(3)[.2]$	275	3	2
Ru	(i)	${}^{2}F_{4}(2)$	4060	3	2
Suz[.2]	(i), [i]	$G_2(4)[.2]$	1782	3	2
Co_2	(i)	$U_{6}(2).2$	2300	3	2
$Fi_{22}[.2]$	(i), [i]	$2 U_6(2)[.2]$	3510	3	2
Fi_{22}	(ii)	$O_7(3)$ (2 classes)	14080	3	2
Fi_{23}	(i)	$2 F_{i_{22}}$	31671	3	2
Fi_{23}	(ii)	$O_8^+(3).S_3$	137632	3	2
$Fi'_{24}[.2]$	(i), [i]	$Fi_{23}[\times 2]$	306936	3	2

Table 5.2. The rank 3 primitive representations

Table 5.3. The rank 4 primitive representations

G	case	H	degree	d.r.	d.t.
M_{11}	(v)	S_5	66	3	0
$M_{12}.2$	(ii)	$L_2(11).2$	144	7	0
$M_{12}.2$	(iii)	$L_2(11).2$	144	3	0
$M_{22}[.2]$	(vii), [v]	$2^4: S_5[.2]$	231	5	0
$J_2[.2]$	(ii), [iiii]	$3^{\circ}PGL_{2}(9)[.2]$	280	5	0
M_{23}	(iii)	A_8	506	2	1
M_{23}	(iv)	M_{11}	1288	3	0
M_{24}	(vi)	$2^4: A_8$	759	2	1
M_{24}	(vii)	$2^6: 3 \cdot S_6$	1771	1	0
McL	(ii)	M_{22} (2 classes)	2025	1	0
He.2	(i)	$S_4(4).4$	2058	1	0
$Fi_{22}[.2]$	(iv), [ii]	$O_8^+(2).S_3[\times 2]$	61776	5	0
Co_1	(i)	C_{0_2}	98280	1	0

G	case	Н	degree	d.r.	d.t.
M_{12}	(vi)	$L_2(11)$	144	3	0
M_{12}	(vii)	$M_9.S_3$ (2 classes)	220	2	0
J_1	(iii)	$L_{2}(11)$	266	2	1
$M_{22}[.2]$	(ix), [vi]	$2^3: L_3(2)[\times 2]$	330	2	1
$M_{22}[.2]$	(xi), [ix]	$M_{10}[.2]$	616	1	0
$J_2.2$	(v)	$2^{1+4}_{-}.S_5$	315	2	1
HS[.2]	(iv), [iii]	$L_3(4).2[.2]$	1100	1	0
HS[.2]	(v), [iv]	$S_8[imes 2]$	1100	1	0
M_{24}	(x)	$L_{3}(4).S_{3}$	2024	2	0
M_{24}	(xiii)	$2^6: (L_3(2) \times S_3)$	3795	1	0
McL[.2]	(iv), [ii]	$U_3(5)[.2]$	7128	1	0
McL[.2]	(v), [iii]	3^{1+4}_{\pm} : 2.S ₅ [.2]	15400	1	0
He	(i)	$S_{4}(4).2$	2058	1	0
Suz[.2]	(iii), [ii]	$3 \cdot U_4(3).2[.2]$	22880	2	1
O'N	(i)	$L_3(7).2$ (2 classes)	122760	3	0
Co_3	(iii)	$H\dot{S}$	11178	1	0
Co_2	(iii)	$2^{10}: M_{22}.2$	46575	1	0
Co_2	(iv)	$2^{1+8}_+:S_6(2)$	56925	3	0
Ly	(i)	$\vec{G}_2(5)$	8835156	1	0
Ly	(ii)	$3 \cdot McL.2$	9606125	1	0
Co_1	(ii)	$3^{\cdot}Suz.2$	1545600	1	0
B	(i)	$2^{\cdot 2}E_6(2).2$	13571955000	1	0

Table 5.4. The rank 5 primitive representations

Table 5.5. The rank 3 imprimitive representations

G	case	H	degree	d.r.	d.t.
$M_{11} \ M_{12}.2$	(iii) (i)	$egin{array}{c} A_6 \ M_{11} \end{array}$	22 24	2 2	1 1

Table 5.6. The rank 4 imprimitive representations

G	case	H	degree	d.r.	d.t.
M_{11}	(vi)	$3^2:8$	110	2	0
M_{12}	(iii)	$PGL_2(9)$ (2 classes)	132	2	0
$M_{22}.2$	(iii)	$L_{3}(4)$	44	4	1
HS	(iii)	$U_3(5)$ (2 classes)	352	4	2
HS.2	(ii)	$U_3(5).2$	352	4	2
Co_3	(ii)	McL	552	4	2

G	case	H	degree	d.r.	d.t.
M_{12}	<i>(iv)</i>	S_6 (2 classes)	132	2	0
M_{12}	(v)	$L_2(11)$	144	13	0
$M_{12}.2$	(iv)	$M_{10}.2$	132	2	0
$M_{22}[.2]$	(x), [viii]	$2^4: L_2(5)[.2]$	462	2	0
M_{24}	(xi)	M_{12}	2576	2	0
M_{24}	(xii)	$2^6: 3^{\cdot}A_6$	3542	2	0
Ru	(ii)	${}^{2}F_{4}(2)'$	8120	2	0
Co_2	(ii)	$U_6(2)$	4600	2	0
Fi_{23}	(iii)	$O_8^+(3).3$	275264	2	0
Fi_{23}	(iv)	$O_8^+(3).2$	412896	2	0

Table 5.7. The rank 5 imprimitive representations

Table 5.8. Distance-transitive graphs of diameters 3 and 4

G	prim	n	diam	intersection array
M ₂₃		506	3	$\{15, 14, 12; 1, 1, 9\}$
M_{24}	J.	759	3	$\{30, 28, 24; 1, 3, 15\}$
J_1	٠ ٧	266	4	$\{11, 10, 6, 1; 1, 1, 5, 11\}$
$M_{22}[.2]$,	330	4	$\{7, 6, 4, 4, ; 1, 1, 1, 6\}$
$J_{2.2}$	V.	315	4	$\{10, 8, 8, 2; 1, 1, 4, 5\}$
Suz[.2]	٠,	22880	4	$\{280, 243, 144, 10; 1, 8, 90, 280\}$
$M_{22}.2$	v	44	3	$\{21, 20, 1; 1, 20, 21\}$
HS		352	3	$\{175, 72, 1; 1, 72, 175\}$
HS		352	3	$\{175, 102, 1; 1, 102, 175\}$
HS.2		352	3	$\{50, 49, 36; 1, 14, 50\}$
HS.2		352	3	$\{126, 125, 36; 1, 90, 126\}$
Co_3		552	3	$\{275, 112, 1; 1, 112, 275\}$
Co_3		552	3	$\{275, 162, 1; 1, 162, 275\}$

Bibliography

- [Asc94] M. Aschbacher, Sporadic Groups, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [AS85] M. Aschbacher and L. Scott, Maximal subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra 92 (1985), 44-80.
- [Ban72] E. Bannai, Maximal subgroups of low rank of finite symmetric and alternating groups, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 18 (1972), 475-486.
- [BI84] E. Bannai and T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes, Benjamin, New York, 1984.
- [BL96] T. Breuer and K. Lux, The multiplicity-free permutation characters of the sporadic simple groups and their automorphism groups, *Comm. Algebra* 24 (1996), 2293–2316.
- [BCN89] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, Distance-Regular Graphs, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1989.
- [BDD88] F. Buekenhout, A. Delandtsheer and J. Doyen, Finite linear spaces with flag-transitive automorphism groups, J. Combin. Theory, Series A 49 (1988), 268-293.
- [BDDKLS90] F. Buekenhout, A. Delandtsheer, J. Doyen, P.B. Kleidman, M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, Linear spaces with flag-transitive automorphism groups, *Geom. Ded.* 36 (1990), 89–94.
- [Bur11] W. Burnside, *Theory of groups of finite order*, Cambridge University Press, 1911 (2nd Edn), reprinted by Dover, New York, 1955.
- [But91] G. Butler, Fundamental Algorithms for Permutation Groups, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 559, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1991.
- [Cam81] P.J. Cameron, Finite permutation groups and finite simple groups, Bull. London Math. Soc. 13 (1981), 1-22.
- [CvL91] P.J. Cameron and J.H. van Lint, Designs, Graphs, Codes and their Links, LMS Student Texts 22, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [Can84] J.J. Cannon, An introduction to the group theory language, Cayley, in Computational Group Theory (M.D. Atkinson, ed.), Academic Press, New York and London, 1984.
- [CP95] J. Cannon and C. Playoust, An Introduction to MAGMA, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, 1995.
- [CGGLMW92] B.W. Char, K.O. Geddes, G.H. Gonnet, B.L. Leong, M.B. Mon-

agan and S.M. Watt, First Leaves: A Tutorial Introduction to Maple V, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1992.

- [CCNPW85] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, An ATLAS of Finite Groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [CNS88] J.H. Conway, S.P. Norton, and L.H. Soicher, The Bimonster, the group Y_{555} , and the projective plane of order 3, in *Computers in Algebra* (M.C. Tangora, ed.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988, pp. 27–50.
- [CP92] J.H. Conway and A.D. Pritchard, Hyperbolic reflections for the Bimonster and 3Fi₂₄, in Groups, Combinatorics and Geometry (M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, eds.), L.M.S. Lecture Notes 165, Cambridge University Press, 1992, pp. 24-45.
- [CS88] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1988.
- [CFYT94] G. Cooperman, L. Finkelstein, B. York and M. Tselman, Constructing permutation representations for large matrix groups, in *Proc. ISSAC* '94, ACM, 1994, pp. 134–138.
- [CKS76] C.W. Curtis, W.M. Kantor and G.M. Seitz, The 2-transitive permutation representations of the finite Chevalley groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 218 (1976), 1–57.
- [Cuy89] H. Cuypers, Low rank permutation representations of the finite groups of Lie type, Part 1 of: Geometries and permutation groups of small rank, Doctoral thesis, Rijksuniversiteit, Utrecht, 1989.
- [FIK90] I.A. Faradžev, A.A. Ivanov and M.H. Klin, Galois correspondence between permutation groups and cellular rings (association schemes), Graphs and Combinatorics 6 (1990), 303-332.
- [FK91] I.A. Faradžev and M.H. Klin, Computer package for computations with coherent configurations, in Proc. ISSAC '91, ACM, 1991, pp. 219–223.
- [FKM94] I.A. Faradžev, M.H. Klin and M.E. Muzichuk, Cellular rings and groups of automorphisms of graphs, in *Investigations in Algebraic The*ory of Combinatorial Objects (I.A. Faradžev, A.A. Ivanov, M.H. Klin and A.J. Woldar, eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994, pp. 1–153.
- [FT63] W. Feit and J.G. Thompson, Solvability of groups of odd order, *Pacific J. Math.* 13 (1963), 775–1027.
- [Fis69] B. Fischer, Finite groups generated by 3-transpositions, University of Warwick Lecture Notes, 1969.
- [Fou69] D.A. Foulser, Solvable primitive permutation groups of low rank, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (1969), 1-54.
- [Gol95] H.W. Gollan, A new existence proof for Ly, the sporadic simple group of R. Lyons, Habilitationsschrift, Preprint 30, Institute for Experimental Mathematics, University of Essen, 1995.
- [Gor68] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
- [Gor82] D. Gorenstein, *Finite Simple Groups*, Plenum Press, New York and London, 1982.
- [HS95] J.I. Hall and L.H. Soicher, Presentations of some 3-transposition groups, Comm. Algebra 23 (1995), 2517–2559.
- [Hal76] M. Hall, Jr, Group properties of Hadamard matrices, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (A) 21 (1976), 247–256.

- [Her74] C. Hering, Transitive linear groups, and linear groups which contain irreducible subgroups of prime order, *Geom. Dedicata* 2 (1974), 425-460.
- [Her85] C. Hering, Transitive linear groups, and linear groups which contain irreducible subgroups of prime order II, J. Algebra 93 (1985), 151-164.
- [Hig67] D.G. Higman, Intersection matrices for finite permutation groups, J. Algebra 6 (1967), 22-42.
- [Hig75] D.G. Higman, Coherent configurations, Part I: Ordinary representation theory, *Geom. Dedicata* 4 (1975), 1–32.
- [Hig76] D.G. Higman, Coherent configurations, Part II: Weights, Geom. Dedicata 5 (1976), 413-424.
- [Hig76] D.G. Higman, A monomial character of Fischer's Baby Monster, in Proc. of the Conference on Finite Groups (W.R. Scott and F. Gross, eds.), Academic Press, New York and London, 1976, pp. 277-283.
- [HS68] D. Higman and C. Sims, A simple group of order 44,352,000, Math. Z. 105 (1968), 110-113.
- [Hig69] G. Higman, On the simple group of D.G. Higman and C.C. Sims, Illinois J. Math. 13 (1969), 74-80.
- [Hup57] B. Huppert, Zweifach transitive, auflösbare Permutationsgruppen, Math. Z. 68 (1957), 126-150.
- [Isa76] I.M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York and London, 1976.
- [Iva92] A.A. Ivanov, A geometric characterization of Fischer's baby monster, J. Algebraic Combinatorics 1 (1992), 45-69.
- [Iva94] A.A. Ivanov, Presenting the baby monster, J. Algebra 163 (1994), 88– 108.
- [IKF82] A.A. Ivanov, M.H. Klin and I.A. Faradžev, Primitive representations of nonabelian simple groups of order less than 10⁶, Part 1, VNIISI Preprint, Moscow, 1982. [In Russian]
- [IKF84] A.A. Ivanov, M.H. Klin and I.A. Faradžev, Primitive representations of nonabelian simple groups of order less than 10⁶, Part 2, VNIISI Preprint, Moscow, 1984. [In Russian]
- [ILLSS95] A.A. Ivanov, S.A. Linton, K. Lux J. Saxl and L.H. Soicher, Distancetransitive representations of the sporadic groups, *Comm. Algebra* 23 (1995), 3379-3427.
- [JLPW95] C. Jansen, K. Lux, R. Parker and R. Wilson, An Atlas of Brauer Characters, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [JW96] C. Jansen and R.A. Wilson, The minimal faithful 3-modular representation for the Lyons group, *Comm. Algebra* 24 (1996), 873-879.
- [Kan81] W.M Kantor, Some geometries that are almost buildings, Europ. J. Combinatorics 2 (1981), 239-247.
- [Kan85] W.M. Kantor, Homogeneous designs and geometric lattices, J. Combin. Theory (A) 38 (1985), 66-74.
- [KL82] W.M. Kantor and R.A. Liebler, The rank 3 permutation representations of the finite classical groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1982), 1-71.
- [KPW89] P.B. Kleidman, R.A. Parker, and R.A. Wilson, The maximal subgroups of the Fischer group Fi_{23} , J. London Math. Soc. (2) **39** (1989), 89-101.

- [Leo80] J.S. Leon, On an algorithm for finding a base and strong generating set for a group given by generating permutations, *Math. Comp.* 35 (1980), 941-974.
- [Lie87] M.W. Liebeck, The affine permutation groups of rank 3, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 54 (1987), 477-516.
- [LPS88] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger and J. Saxl, On the O'Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (A) 44 (1988), 389-396.
- [LPS90] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger and J. Saxl, The maximal factorizations of the finite simple groups and their automorphism groups, Memoirs of the AMS 432, AMS, Providence, 1990.
- [LS86] M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, The finite primitive permutation groups of rank 3, Bull. London Math. Soc. 18 (1986), 165-172.
- [LLS95] S.A. Linton, K. Lux and L.H. Soicher, The primitive distancetransitive representations of the Fischer groups, *Experimental Math.* 4 (1995), 235-253.
- [LW91] S.A. Linton and R.A. Wilson, The maximal subgroups of the Fischer groups Fi_{24} and Fi'_{24} , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 63 (1991), 113-164.
- [Mai1895] E. Maillet, Sur les isomorphes holoédriques et transitifs des groupes symmetriques ou alternés, J. Math. Pures Appl. (5) 1 (1895), 5-34.
- [MM85] V.D. Mazurov and N.P. Mazurova, Large subgroups of the simple group F_2 , Mat. Zametki 37 (1985), 145–151. [In Russian]
- [McK90] B.D. McKay, nauty user's guide (version 1.5), Technical report TR-CS-90-02, Computer Science Department, Australian National University, 1990.
- [McL69] J. McLaughlin, A simple group of order 898,128,000, in Symposium on Finite Groups (R. Brauer and C. Sah, eds.), Benjamin, New York, 1969, pp. 109-111.
- [MNP85] W. Meyer, W. Neutsch and R. Parker, The minimal 5-representation of Lyon's sporadic group, *Math. Ann.* **272** (1985), 29–39.
- [Neu82] J. Neubüser, An elementary introduction to coset table methods in computational group theory, in *Groups - St. Andrews 1981* (C.M. Campbell and E.F. Robertson, eds.), LMS Lecture Notes 71, Cambridge University Press, 1982, pp. 1-45.
- [NST94] P.M. Neumann, G.A. Stoy and E.C. Thompson, Groups and Geometry, Oxford University Press, 1994.
- [PSY87] C.E. Praeger, J. Saxl and K. Yokoyama, Distance transitive graphs and finite simple groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 55 (1987), 1-21.
- [Sch95] M. Schönert, et al., GAP: Groups, Algorithms and Programming, Lehrstuhl D f
 ür Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, 1995.
- [Sco80] L.L. Scott, Representations in characteristic p, in The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 37, AMS, 1980, pp. 318–331.
- [Sei74] G.M. Seitz, Small rank permutation representations of finite Chevalley groups, J. Algebra 28 (1974), 508-517.
- [Sim67] C.C. Sims, Graphs and finite permutation groups, Math. Z. 95 (1967), 76-86.

- [Sim73] C.C. Sims, The existence and uniqueness of Lyons' group, in *Finite Groups '72* (T. Gagen, M.P. Hale, Jr and E.E. Shult, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973, pp. 138–141.
- [Soi85] L.H. Soicher, Presentations of some finite groups, PhD thesis, Cambridge, 1985.
- [Soi87a] L.H. Soicher, Presentations for Conway's group Co₁, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 102 (1987), 1–3.
- [Soi87b] L.H. Soicher, Presentations of some finite groups with applications to the O'Nan simple group, J. Algebra 108 (1987), 310-316.
- [Soi88] L.H. Soicher, Presentations for some groups related to Co₁, in Computers in Algebra (M.C. Tangora, ed.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988, pp. 151–154.
- [Soi90] L.H. Soicher, A new existence and uniqueness proof for the O'Nan group, Bull. London Math. Soc. 22 (1990), 148-152.
- [Soi91] L.H. Soicher, A new uniqueness proof for the Held group, Bull. London Math. Soc. 23 (1991), 235–238.
- [Soi93a] L.H. Soicher, The Lyons group has no distance-transitive representation, in *Finite Geometry and Combinatorics* (F. De Clerck et al., eds.), LMS Lecture Notes **191**, Cambridge University Press, 1993, pp. 355–358.
- [Soi93b] L.H. Soicher, GRAPE: a system for computing with graphs and groups, in *Groups and Computation* (L. Finkelstein and W.M. Kantor, eds.), DI-MACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science 11, AMS, 1993, pp. 287-291.
- [Soi95] L.H. Soicher, Yet another distance-regular graph related to a Golay code, *Electronic J. Combinatorics* 2 (1995), #N1.
- [Suz69] M. Suzuki, A simple group of order 448,345,497,600, in Symposium on Finite Groups (R. Brauer and C. Sah, eds.), Benjamin, New York, 1969, pp. 113-119.
- [Wei91] R.M. Weiss, A geometric characterization of the groups M_{12} , He and Ru, J. Math. Soc. Japan 43 (1991), 795-814.
- [Wil86] R.A. Wilson, Maximal subgroups of sporadic groups, in Groups St. Andrews 1985 (C.M. Campbell and E.F. Robertson, eds.), LMS Lecture Notes 121, Cambridge University Press, 1986, pp. 352–358.
- [Wil] R.A. Wilson, An ATLAS of sporadic group representations, in *The AT-LAS 10 Years On* (R.T. Curtis and R.A. Wilson, eds.), Cambridge University Press, to appear.

Index

action, 4 AGL(V), 8A[k, j], 14almost simple group, 8 association scheme, 15 ATLAS, 21 Aut G, 5 Aut (Γ), 13 automorphism group, 5 of a (di)graph, 13 of a group, 5 block of imprimitivity, 7 trivial, 7 Cayley, 22 cellular ring, 15 character, 19 irreducible, 19 multiplicity, 19 trivial, 19 CoCo, 2 coherent configuration, 15 Coladj, 21 collapsed adjacency matrix, 14-16, 30 Coladj, 21 computing, 22-24, 115, 127 ordering of suborbits, 14, 15, 30 collapsed digraph, 25 complement of graph, 17 complete graph, 17, 31 complete multipartite graph, 17, 31 coset enumeration, 21 Coxeter graph, 32-33 diameter, 12 digraph, 12 action on, 13 automorphism, 13, 21 automorphism group, 13

connected, 12 cycle, 13 diameter, 12 distance, 12 edge, 12 neighbour, 12 orbital digraph, 13 path, 12 length, 12 rank, 13 simple, 12 girth, 13 strongly connected, 12 vertex, 12 vertex-transitive, 13 directed graph, 12 distance in digraph, 12 distance-regular graph, 16, 27 intersection array, 16 intersection number, 16 distance-transitive action, 27 distance-transitive graph, 16 doubly transitive, 3 d(v, w), 12Enum, 21 EnumColadj, 21 equivalence, 5, 15 faithful, 4 $\Gamma\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\},\ 15,\ 31$ $\Gamma(\beta), 16$ $\Gamma_i, \Gamma_i(\beta), 16$ GAP, 20 generalized orbital digraph, 13, 14, 23 collapsed digraph, 25 simple, 14 generalized orbital graph, 14

Golay code, 80

GRAPE, 21, 30 graph, 13 automorphism, 21 complement, 17 distance-regular, 16 girth, 13, 27 group presentation, 32-33 Higman-Sims graph, 73 imprimitive permutation group, 7 Inn G, 5intersection array, 16 $\iota(\Gamma) := \{b_0, \ldots, b_{d-1}; c_1, \ldots, c_d\}, 16$ intersection matrix, 16 intersection number, 16 invariant partition, 7 Johnson graph, 31 J(m,k), 31 $K_{a \times b}, 17$ $K_n, 17$ large Witt graph, 81 Livingstone graph, 53 MAGMA, 22, 95 Maple, 23 McLaughlin graph, 88 nauty, 21 O'Nan-Scott Theorem, 7, 9 orbit, 3, 4 orbital, 3, 4 paired orbital, 13 self-paired, 14 trivial, 13 orbital digraph, 3, 13 collapsed adjacency matrix, 15 collapsed digraph, 25 simple, 14 trivial, 13 undirected, 14 orbital graph, 14 Out G, 5outer automorphism, 6 Patterson graph, 100 permutation character, 19 multiplicity-free, 2, 20 transitive, 19 permutation group, 3 imprimitive, 7 invariant partition, 7 trivial, 7

natural representation, 4 orbit, 3 primitive, 7 regular, 8 stabilizer, 3 generators for, 23 transitive, 3 block of imprimitivity, 7 orbital, 3 rank, 3 subdegree, 3 suborbit, 3 permutation representation, 4 faithful, 4 orbit, 4 stabilizer, 4 transitive, 4 orbital, 4 rank, 4 subdegree, 4 suborbit, 4 permutational equivalence, 5 permutational isomorphism, 5 $p_{i,j}^k, 16$ presentation, 32-33 primitive permutation group, 7 affine type, 8 almost simple type, 8 low rank, 9-10 O'Nan-Scott Theorem, 7-9 product type, 8 simple diagonal type, 8 twisted wreath type, 9 pseudo-permutation character, 20-21 rank, 3, 4, 22 of (di)graph, 13 of subgroup, 3 rank 3 group, 17 orbital digraph for, 17 regular permutation group, 8 Schreier vector, 24 simple graph, 13 simple group, 9 socle, 7 soc(G), 7sporadic simple group, 9, 10 stabilizer, 3, 4 Steiner system, 32 examples, 54, 68, 70, 79 S(t, k, v), 32subdegree, 3, 4, 22 suborbit, 3, 4 ordering of suborbits, 14, 23, 24 suborb, 24 trivial, 13

Suzuki graph, 98 symmetric group, 3 Sym (Ω) , S_n , 3 Taylor graph, 31 examples, 62, 74, 104 t-design, $t - (v, k, \lambda)$ design, 31 examples, 44, 45, 77, 112 3-transposition, 111, 118, 125 transitive, 3, 4 twr, 9 2-transitive, 3, 9, 17 classification of, 3, 9 collapsed adjacency matrix for, 17

undirected edge, 13