

## A Berry–Esseen Theorem and a Law of the Iterated Logarithm for Asymptotically Negatively Associated Sequences

**Jian Feng WANG**

*Department of Statistics, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou 310035, P. R. China*  
*E-mail: wangjf.zju@163.com*

**Li Xin ZHANG**

*Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310028, P. R. China*  
*E-mail: stazlx@zju.edu.cn*

**Abstract** Negatively associated sequences have been studied extensively in recent years. Asymptotically negative association is a generalization of negative association. In this paper a Berry–Esseen theorem and a law of the iterated logarithm are obtained for asymptotically negatively associated sequences.

**Keywords** Berry–Esseen theorem, law of the iterated logarithm, negative association, asymptotically negative association

**MR(2000) Subject Classification** 60F15, 60F05

### 1 Introduction and Results

A finite family of random variables  $\{X_i; 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is said to be negatively associated (NA) if, for every pair of disjoint subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\text{Cov}(f(X_i; i \in A), g(X_j; j \in B)) \leq 0 \quad (1.1)$$

whenever  $f$  and  $g$  are coordinate-wise nondecreasing and the above covariance exists. An infinite family is negatively associated if every finite subfamily is negatively associated. The concept of the negative association was introduced by Alam and Saxena [1] and Joag-Dev and Proschan [2]. As proved by Joag-Dev and Proschan [2], some well-known multivariate distributions have the NA property. The NA property has found important and wide applications in areas such as multivariate statistics and reliability theory. In the past few decades, a lot of effort was dedicated to proving the limit theorems of NA random variables. We refer to Joag-Dev and Proschan [2] for fundamental properties, Newman [3] for the central limit theorem, Su, et al. [4] for the moment inequality and functional central limit theorem, Pan and Lu [5] for the uniform convergence rate in the central limit theorem and Shao and Su [6] for the law of the iterated logarithm.

We first define notations and introduce an asymptotically negatively association assumption that will be used throughout the paper. Let  $\Phi(x)$  denote the standard normal distribution function and  $\log x = \ln(x \vee e)$ . For any real number  $x$ , let  $[x]$  denote the integer part of  $x$ ,  $x^+ = \max(0, x)$  and  $x^- = \max(0, -x)$  (except for the definition of  $\rho^-(\cdot)$ ). Let  $a \wedge b = \min(a, b)$ ,  $a \vee b = \max(a, b)$  and  $C$  denote a positive constant, which may take different values in different expressions.

**Definition 1.1** A sequence of random variables  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  is said to be asymptotically negatively associated (ANA), if

$$\rho^-(r) := \sup\{\rho^-(S, T) : S, T \in N, \text{dist}(S, T) \geq r\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

where

$$\rho^-(S, T) := 0 \vee \sup \left\{ \frac{\text{Cov}(f(X_i; i \in S), g(X_j; j \in T))}{(\text{Var} f(X_i; i \in S))^{1/2} \cdot (\text{Var} g(X_j; j \in T))^{1/2}} : f, g \in \mathcal{F} \right\},$$

$\mathcal{F} = \{f = f(x_1, \dots, x_p) : f \text{ is coordinatewise increasing; } p \geq 1\}$ .

The above definition was introduced by Zhang [7, 8]. An NA sequence is obviously an ANA sequence with  $\rho^-(1) = 0$ . Compared to NA, ANA defines a strictly larger class of random variables (for detail examples, see Zhang [7]). Consequently, the study of the limit theorems for ANA sequences is of much interest. Zhang [8] established the central limit theorem. Wang and Lu [9] established the moment inequality and the functional central limit theorem. The main purpose of this paper is to establish a uniform error bound of the Berry–Esseen type in normal approximation and a law of the iterated logarithm for ANA random variables. Let  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables; denote  $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ ,  $\sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}(S_n)$ .

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $\theta > 2$  and  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  be an ANA sequence of random variables with  $EX_n = 0$ , and  $\sup_{j \in N} E|X_j|^{2+\delta} < \infty$  for some  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ . Assume

$$(C1) \quad u(r) := \sup_{j \in N} \sum_{k: |k-j| \geq r} |\text{Cov}(X_j, X_k)| = O(r^{-\theta_1}), \quad \theta_1 > \max(1, \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}(\theta - 1));$$

$$(C2) \quad \rho^-(r) = O(r^{-\theta_2}), \quad \theta_2 > \theta - 1;$$

and,

$$(C3) \quad \inf_{n \in N} \sigma_n^2/n > 0.$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\sup_x \left| P\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| \leq C(n^{-\beta_1} + n^{-\beta_2} + n^{-\beta_3}), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{\theta}(\theta_1 - \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}(\theta - 1))$ ,  $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{\theta}(\theta_2 - (\theta - 1))$  and  $\beta_3 = \frac{\delta(1-2/\theta)}{2(1+\delta)}$ .

**Remark 1.1** We provide a uniform convergence rate in the central limit theorem under a power decay of the covariance of an ANA sequence. Let  $\delta = 1$  and  $\theta$  be sufficiently large. Then  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  are sufficiently large and the maximum convergence rate of (1.2) is close to  $O(n^{-1/4})$ . Pan and Lu [5] [resp. Birkel [10]] obtained a convergence rate  $O(n^{-1/2} \log n)$  for NA [resp. PA] sequences if  $u(r)$  decreases exponentially to 0. However, it seems that the method of Birkel [10], and Pan and Lu [5] cannot work for ANA sequences.

**Remark 1.2** If conditions (C1) and (C2) are replaced by weaker conditions:

$$(C1)' \quad u(n) = O((\log n)^{-3(1+\tau)}) \text{ for some } \tau > 0;$$

and,

$$(C2)' \quad \rho^-(n) = O((\log n)^{-(3+2/\delta)(1+\tau)}),$$

then

$$\sup_x \left| P\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| \leq O((\log n)^{-1-\tau}).$$

The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2** Let  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  be a weakly stationary ANA sequence of random variables with  $EX_n = 0$ , and  $\sup_{j \in N} E|X_j|^{2+\delta} < \infty$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Denote  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_1) + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j)$ . Assume (C1)', (C2)' and

$$(C3)' \quad \text{Var} X_1 - 2 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j)^- > 0.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{(2\sigma^2 n \log \log n)^{1/2}} = 1 \quad a.s. \quad (1.3)$$

**Remark 1.3** It is easy to see that conditions (C1)' and (C3)' imply  $0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$ . In the stationary case, condition (C3)' implies (C3). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_n^2}{n} &= \text{Var}(X_1) + 2n^{-1} \sum_{j=2}^n (n+1-j) \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j) \\ &\geq \text{Var}(X_1) - 2n^{-1} \sum_{j=2}^n (n+1-j) \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j)^- \\ &\geq \text{Var}(X_1) - 2 \sum_{j=2}^n \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j)^-. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_n^2/n \geq \text{Var}(X_1) - 2 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j)^- > 0.$$

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** (Lin [11]) *Suppose  $f_1(t)$  and  $f_2(t)$  are the characteristic functions corresponding to distribution functions  $F_1(x)$  and  $F_2(x)$ , respectively. Then  $\forall T > 0, b > \frac{1}{2\pi}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_x |F_1(x) - F_2(x)| &\leq b \max_{k=1,2} \sup_x \left| \int_{-T}^T \frac{f_1(t) - f_2(t)}{it} h_k(t) e^{-itx} dt \right| \\ &\quad + bT \sup_x \int_{|y| \leq C(b)/T} |F_2(x+y) - F_2(x)| dy, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$h_1(t) = \begin{cases} (1 - \frac{|t|}{T}) e^{ita/T}, & |t| < T, \\ 0, & |t| \geq T, \end{cases} \quad h_2(t) = \begin{cases} (1 - \frac{|t|}{T}) e^{-ita/T}, & |t| < T, \\ 0, & |t| \geq T. \end{cases}$$

Here the constants  $C(b)$  and  $a$  depend only on  $b$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\sup_x \left| \int_{-T}^T \frac{f_1(t) - f_2(t)}{it} h_k(t) e^{-itx} dt \right| \leq \sup_x |F_1(x) - F_2(x)| \quad (k = 1, 2). \quad (2.2)$$

**Lemma 2.2** (Zhang [7]) *Suppose that  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  is an ANA sequence of random variables with finite variance. Then, for any real  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| E \exp \left( i \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k X_k \right) - \prod_{k=1}^n E \exp(i \lambda_k X_k) \right| \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} |\lambda_k| |\lambda_j| \{ -\text{Cov}(X_k, X_j) + 8\rho^-(1) \|X_j\|_{2,1} \|X_k\|_{2,1} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|X\|_{2,1} = \int_0^\infty P^{1/2}(|X| \geq x) dx$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (Wang and Lu [9]) *Let  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  be an ANA sequence of random variables with  $EX_n = 0$ , and  $E|X_n|^p < \infty$  for some  $p \geq 2$ . Assume that  $\rho^-(N) \leq r$  for  $N \geq 1$ ,  $0 < r < (\frac{1}{\delta p})^{p/2}$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $D$  such that*

$$E \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \right|^p \leq D \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^p + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2 \right)^{p/2} \right\}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1* The basic approach of this proof is based on Wood [12] but the details are quite different. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $n \geq 8$ . For given  $\theta > 2$  and  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ , let  $\{p = p(n)\}$  and  $\{q = q(n)\}$  be two arrays of positive integers such that

$p = \lceil n^{(\delta+1/\theta)/(1+\delta)} \rceil$  and  $q = \lceil n^{1/\theta} \rceil$ . Define  $\{k = k(n)\}$  such that  $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{p+q} \rfloor$ . It is not difficult to observe that  $k \geq 1$  if  $n$  is large enough. Define

$$A(j) = \{i \in N : (j-1)(p+q) < i \leq j(p+q) - q\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$B(j) = \{i \in N : j(p+q) - q < i \leq j(p+q)\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

$$B(k) = \{i \in N : k(p+q) - q < i \leq n\},$$

$$W_j = \sum_{i \in A(j)} X_i, \quad V_j = \sum_{i \in B(j)} X_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$S_{n1} = \sum_{j=1}^k W_j \quad \text{and} \quad S_{n2} = \sum_{j=1}^k V_j.$$

For  $a_n > 0$ , using the fact that  $S_n = S_{n1} + S_{n2}$  and that  $|\Phi(x + a_n) - \Phi(x)| \leq (2\pi)^{-1/2} a_n$ , we have

$$\sup_x \left| P\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| \leq \sup_x \left| P\left(\frac{S_{n1}}{\sigma_n} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| + (2\pi)^{-1/2} a_n + P(|S_{n2}| \geq a_n \sigma_n). \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $\bar{X}_i = a_n \sigma_n \wedge (X_i \vee (-a_n \sigma_n))$ ,  $\bar{S}_{n2} = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i \in B(j)} \bar{X}_i$ . By the Markov inequality and  $\sup_j E|X_j|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(|S_{n2}| \geq a_n \sigma_n) &\leq P(|\bar{S}_{n2}| \geq a_n \sigma_n) + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i \in B(j)} P(|X_i| > a_n \sigma_n) \\ &\leq P(|\bar{S}_{n2}| \geq a_n \sigma_n) + Ckq/(a_n \sigma_n)^{2+\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Note that  $\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \dots$ , are also ANA random variables. Using the Markov inequality, Lemma 2.3 and  $\sup_j E|X_j|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ , for  $\gamma \geq 2 + \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(|\bar{S}_{n2}| \geq a_n \sigma_n) &\leq C(a_n \sigma_n)^{-\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i \in B(j)} E|\bar{X}_i|^\gamma + \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i \in B(j)} E\bar{X}_i^2 \right)^{\gamma/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i \in B(j)} E|X_i|^{2+\delta} / (a_n \sigma_n)^{1+\delta} \right)^\gamma \right\} \\ &\leq C\{kq/(a_n \sigma_n)^{2+\delta} + (kq/(a_n \sigma_n)^2)^{\gamma/2}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.5), by condition (C3) we have

$$P(|S_{n2}| \geq a_n \sigma_n) \leq C\{kq/(a_n^{2+\delta} n^{1+\delta/2}) + (kq/(a_n^2 n))^{\gamma/2}\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Let  $\{W'_j; 1 \leq j \leq k\}$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that  $W'_j$  and  $W_j$  have the same distribution for each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Then applying the triangle inequality to Lemma 2.1 (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_x \left| P\left(\frac{S_{n1}}{\sigma_n} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| \\ &\leq b \max_{\nu=1,2} \sup_x \left| \int_{-T}^T \frac{E \exp(it \sum_{j=1}^k W_j / \sigma_n) - E \exp(it \sum_{j=1}^k W'_j / \sigma_n)}{it} h_\nu(t) e^{-itx} dt \right| \\ &\quad + b \max_{\nu=1,2} \sup_x \left| \int_{-T}^T \frac{E \exp(it \sum_{j=1}^k W'_j / \sigma_n) - E \exp(it \sum_{j=1}^k W'_j / \sigma_{n1})}{it} h_\nu(t) e^{-itx} dt \right| \\ &\quad + b \max_{\nu=1,2} \sup_x \left| \int_{-T}^T \frac{E \exp(it \sum_{j=1}^k W'_j / \sigma_{n1}) - \exp(-t^2/2)}{it} h_\nu(t) e^{-itx} dt \right| + \frac{bC^2(b)}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \\ &:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + \frac{bC^2(b)}{\sqrt{2\pi T}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\sigma_{n1}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Var}(W_j)$ .

From Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq \int_{-T}^T \left| \frac{E \exp(it \sum_{j=1}^k W_j / \sigma_n) - \prod_{j=1}^k E \exp(it W_j / \sigma_n)}{t} \right| dt \\
&\leq \frac{4T^2}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k} \{-\text{Cov}(W_i, W_j) + 8\rho^-(q) \|W_i\|_{2,1} \|W_j\|_{2,1}\} \\
&\leq \frac{4T^2}{\sigma_n^2} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k} \{-\text{Cov}(W_i, W_j)\} + C\rho^-(q) \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k} (E|W_i|^{2+\delta} E|W_j|^{2+\delta})^{1/(2+\delta)} \right\} \\
&:= \frac{4T^2}{\sigma_n^2} \{I_{11} + I_{12}\}, \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality  $\|X\|_{2,1} \leq (\frac{2+\delta}{\delta})(E|X|^{2+\delta})^{1/(2+\delta)}$  (cf. Ledoux and Talagrand [13, p. 251]) is used. By (C1), it follows that

$$I_{11} \leq \sum_{i,j \leq n: |i-j| \geq q+1} |\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)| \leq Cnu(q+1) \leq Cnq^{-\theta_1}. \tag{2.9}$$

By Theorem 3.1 of Zhang [7] and (C2), it follows that

$$I_{12} \leq C\rho^-(q)k^2 p(\sup_j E|X_j|^{2+\delta})^{2/(2+\delta)} \leq Cnkq^{-\theta_2}. \tag{2.10}$$

Combining (2.8)–(2.10), by (C3) we get

$$I_1 \leq C\{q^{-\theta_1} + kq^{-\theta_2}\}T^2. \tag{2.11}$$

By Taylor's theorem and the independence of  $\{W'_j\}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq 2TE \left| \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k W'_j}{\sigma_n} - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k W'_j}{\sigma_{n1}} \right| \\
&\leq 2T \left| \frac{\sigma_{n1}}{\sigma_n} - 1 \right| \left[ E \left( \sum_{j=1}^k W'_j / \sigma_{n1} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2T\sigma_n^{-2} |\sigma_{n1}^2 - \sigma_n^2|. \tag{2.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Using  $\sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}(\sum_{j=1}^k (W_j + V_j))$  and Theorem 3.1 of Zhang [7] we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\sigma_{n1}^2 - \sigma_n^2| \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Var}(V_j) \right| + 2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Cov}(W_j, V_j) \right| + \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Var}(W_j + V_j) - \text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k (W_j + V_j) \right) \right| \\
&\leq Ckq \sup_j EX_j^2 + 2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Cov}(W_j, V_j) \right| + \left| \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k} \text{Cov}(W_j + V_j, W_i + V_i) \right|. \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

By (C1),

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Cov}(W_j, V_j) \right| \leq k \sum_{i=1}^{\min(p,q)} u(i) \leq Ck, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k} \text{Cov}(W_j + V_j, W_i + V_i) \right| &= 2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \text{Cov} \left( W_j + V_j, \sum_{i=j+1}^k (W_i + V_i) \right) \right| \\
&\leq 2(k-1) \sum_{i=1}^{p+q} u(i) \leq Ck. \tag{2.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (2.12)–(2.15) and (C3) we get

$$I_2 \leq C(kq + k)n^{-1}T \leq C\frac{q}{p}T. \tag{2.16}$$

Now we estimate  $I_3$ . Using Lemma 2.1 (2.2) we have

$$I_3 \leq b \sup_x \left| P\left(\frac{\bar{S}_{n_1}}{\sigma_{n_1}} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right|,$$

where  $\bar{S}_{n_1} = \sum_{j=1}^k W'_j$ . Note that  $W'_1, \dots, W'_k$  are independent random variables,  $EW'_j = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Thus applying the Berry–Esseen inequality for independent random variables (see, Petrov [14]), we have

$$I_3 \leq \frac{C}{\sigma_{n_1}^{2+\delta}} \sum_{j=1}^k E|W'_j|^{2+\delta}.$$

By Theorem 3.1 of Zhang [7] and condition (C3) we get

$$E|W'_j|^{2+\delta} = E|W_j|^{2+\delta} \leq Cp^{(2+\delta)/2} \sup_j E|X_j|^{2+\delta} \leq Cp^{(2+\delta)/2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

and

$$\sigma_{n_1} \geq C\sqrt{kp}.$$

Thus

$$I_3 \leq Ck^{-\delta/2}. \quad (2.17)$$

Now, associated with (2.3), (2.6), (2.7), (2.11), (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_x \left| P\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| &\leq C \left\{ q^{-\theta_1} T^2 + kq^{-\theta_2} T^2 + \frac{q}{p} T + \frac{1}{T} + k^{-\delta/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_n + kq/(a_n^{2+\delta} n^{1+\delta/2}) + (kq/(a_n^2 n))^{1/2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Finally, we choose

$$T = (q/p)^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = n^{-\frac{\delta(1-2/\theta)}{2(1+\delta)}}.$$

Substitute  $p, q, k, T$  and  $a_n$  into (2.18). Let  $\gamma \geq \max(\theta - 2, 2 + \delta)$ . We immediately obtain the result.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, the following lemmas are required.

**Lemma 3.1** *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, if  $\{n_k; k \geq 1\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of positive integers such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\log n_k)^{-1-\tau} < \infty$ , then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_x \left| P\left(\frac{S_{n_k}}{n_k^{1/2} \sigma} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| < \infty.$$

*Proof*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_x \left| P\left(\frac{S_{n_k}}{n_k^{1/2} \sigma} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_x \left| P\left(\frac{S_{n_k}}{\sigma_{n_k}} < \frac{n_k^{1/2} \sigma}{\sigma_{n_k}} x\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{n_k^{1/2} \sigma}{\sigma_{n_k}} x\right) \right| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_x \left| \Phi\left(\frac{n_k^{1/2} \sigma}{\sigma_{n_k}} x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| \\ &:= K_1 + K_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Then, by Remark 1.2, we get

$$K_1 \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\log n_k)^{-1-\tau} < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

By Theorem 3.1 of Zhang [7] and Remark 1.3, we have

$$\frac{n_k^{1/2} \sigma}{\sigma_{n_k}} \geq \frac{n_k^{1/2} \sigma}{C n_k^{1/2}} > C, \quad \text{for } k \in N.$$

Thus, by Petrov [14, p. 114], Remark 1.3, (C1)' and the property of being stationary, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2 &\leq (2\pi e)^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{n_k^{1/2} \sigma}{\sigma_{n_k}} - 1 \right| \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{n_k}^{-2} |n_k \sigma^2 - \sigma_{n_k}^2| \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| 2 \sum_{j=n_k+1}^{\infty} \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j) + \frac{2}{n_k} \sum_{j=2}^{n_k} (j-1) \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j) \right| \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u(n_k) + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} u(j) \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\log n_k)^{-3-3\tau} < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Combining (3.1)–(3.3), we immediately obtain the result.

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $\{X_n\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  be sequences satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.1, and  $\{g(n)\}$  be a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(A)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(|S_{n_k}| > g(n_k) n_k^{1/2} \sigma) < \infty;$   
and,

(B)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g^{-1}(n_k) \exp(-\frac{1}{2} g^2(n_k)) < \infty.$

*Proof* By Lemma 3.1, the proof follows easily from the proof of Lemma 9 of Petrov [14, p. 311].

*Proof of Theorem 1.2* Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . It suffices to show that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{h(n)} \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{h(n)} \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{a.s.}, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $h(n) = (2n \log \log n)^{1/2}$ .

To prove (3.4), let  $n_k = [e^{k^\alpha}]$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . We write  $g(n) = (2 \log \log n)^{1/2}$ , then

$$g^{-1}(n_k) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)^2 g^2(n_k)\right) \leq C k^{-\alpha(1+\varepsilon)^2},$$

for sufficiently large  $k$ . Fixing  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that  $\alpha(1+\varepsilon)^2 > 1$ , then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g^{-1}(n_k) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)^2 g^2(n_k)\right) < \infty.$$

By Lemma 3.2 and the Borel–Cantelli lemma we get

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_{n_k}|}{h(n_k)} \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Let

$$M_k = \max_{n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}} |S_n - S_{n_k}| / h(n_k),$$

for  $k \geq 1$ . For each  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$|S_n| / h(n) \leq |S_{n_k}| / h(n_k) + M_k,$$

for  $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$ . Thus it suffices to show that

$$M_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{3.6}$$

Define

$$\bar{X}_i = i^{1/2} I(X_i > i^{1/2}) + X_i I(|X_i| \leq i^{1/2}) - i^{1/2} I(X_i < -i^{1/2}), \quad \text{for } i \in N,$$

and

$$\bar{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i, \quad \text{for } n \in N.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(M_k > \varepsilon) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}} |S_n - S_{n_k}| > \varepsilon(2n_k \log \log n_k)^{1/2}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\bigcup_{n_k \leq i < n_{k+1}} \{|X_i| > i^{1/2}\}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}} |\bar{S}_n - \bar{S}_{n_k}| > \varepsilon(2n_k \log \log n_k)^{1/2}\right) \\ &:= H_1 + H_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

First, for some  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ , by condition  $\sup_j E|X_j|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ , we have

$$H_1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} P(|X_i| > i^{1/2}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-(2+\delta)/2} \sup_j E|X_j|^{2+\delta} < \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

By conditions  $EX_n = 0$  and  $\sup_j E|X_j|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ , it is easy to show that,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\max_{n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}} |E(\bar{S}_n - \bar{S}_{n_k})| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(2n_k \log \log n_k)^{1/2}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus

$$H_2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}} |\bar{S}_n - \bar{S}_{n_k} - E(\bar{S}_n - \bar{S}_{n_k})| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(2n_k \log \log n_k)^{1/2}\right).$$

By definition of ANA,  $\bar{X}_{n_k} - E\bar{X}_{n_k}, \dots, \bar{X}_{n_{k+1}-1} - E\bar{X}_{n_{k+1}-1}$  are also ANA random variables with  $E(\bar{X}_i - E\bar{X}_i) = 0$ ,  $i = n_k, \dots, n_{k+1} - 1$ . Thus, by the Markov inequality, Lemma 2.3 and  $\sup_j E|X_j|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ , we have, for some  $p > \max(2 + \delta, \frac{2}{1-\alpha})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (n_k \log \log n_k)^{-p/2} E \max_{n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}} |\bar{S}_n - \bar{S}_{n_k} - E(\bar{S}_n - \bar{S}_{n_k})|^p \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (n_k \log \log n_k)^{-p/2} \left\{ \sum_{i=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} E|\bar{X}_i|^p + \left( \sum_{i=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} E\bar{X}_i^2 \right)^{p/2} \right\} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (n_k \log \log n_k)^{-p/2} \sum_{i=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} i^{p/2} P(|X_i| > i^{1/2}) \\ &\quad + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (n_k \log \log n_k)^{-p/2} \sum_{i=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} E|X_i|^p I(|X_i| \leq i^{1/2}) + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n_{k+1} - n_k}{n_k \log \log n_k} \right)^{p/2} \\ &:= H_{21} + H_{22} + H_{23}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{21} &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (n_k \log \log n_k)^{-p/2} \sum_{i=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} i^{(p-2-\delta)/2} \sup_j E|X_j|^{2+\delta} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}(k+1)^\alpha} < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$



$$H_{22} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (n_k \log \log n_k)^{-p/2} \sum_{i=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} i^{(p-2-\delta)/2} \sup_j E|X_j|^{2+\delta} < \infty, \quad (3.11)$$

$$H_{23} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{(1-\alpha)p}{2}} (\log k)^{-p/2} < \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

From (3.7)–(3.12), we have  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(M_k > \varepsilon) < \infty$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ . Hence  $M_k \rightarrow 0$  a.s. as desired.

We proceed to prove (3.5). Fix  $N > 9$  and  $0 < r < 1$ , let

$$C_k = \{S_{N^k} - S_{N^{k-1}+N^{k/2}} > (1-r)h(N^k - N^{k-1} - N^{k/2})\}, \quad k \in N.$$

The first thing we need to show is that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(C_k) = \infty. \quad (3.13)$$

We will use the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} P(C_k) &\geq P(S_{N^k} > (1-r/2)h(N^k - N^{k-1} - N^{k/2})) \\ &\quad - P\left(S_{N^{k-1}+N^{k/2}} \geq \frac{r}{2}h(N^k - N^{k-1} - N^{k/2})\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Note that, for sufficiently large  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right)^{1/2} (N^{k-1} + N^{k/2})^{1/2} g(N^{k-1} + N^{k/2}) \\ &\leq h(N^k - N^{k-1} - N^{k/2}) \leq (N^k)^{1/2} g(N^k), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

$$g^{-1}(N^{k-1} + N^{k/2}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{N-1}{2}\right) g^2(N^{k-1} + N^{k/2})\right) \leq Ck^{-r^2(N-1)/8} \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$g^{-1}(N^k) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{r}{2}\right)^2 g^2(N^k)\right) \geq C(\log k)^{-1/2} k^{-(1-\frac{r}{2})^2}. \quad (3.17)$$

Choose positive constants  $N$ ,  $r$ , such that  $r^2(N-1)/8 > 1$ . Then, by (3.15)–(3.17) and Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(S_{N^{k-1}+N^{k/2}} \geq \frac{r}{2}h(N^k - N^{k-1} - N^{k/2})\right) < \infty, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(S_{N^k} > (1-r/2)h(N^k - N^{k-1} - N^{k/2})) = \infty. \quad (3.19)$$

Hence (3.13) follows immediately from (3.14), (3.18) and (3.19).

Let  $\xi_k$  be the indicator function of  $C_k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k)\right) &\leq P\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k)\right) \\ &\leq P\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k - \sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{4\text{Var}(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k)}{(\sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k))^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

By (C2)', we have

$$\text{Var}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}(\xi_k) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \text{Cov}(\xi_k, \xi_{k+j})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^-(N^{k+j-1} + N^{(k+j)/2} - N^k) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k) + C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\log N^{(k+j)/2})^{-(3+2/\delta)(1+\tau)} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n P(C_k) + C.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(C_k) = \infty$ , letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.20) and (3.21) gives  $P(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k < \infty) = 0$ . Hence

$$P(C_k \text{ i.o.}) = 1. \tag{3.22}$$

Let

$$B_k = \{S_{N^{k-1} + N^{k/2}} > -2h(N^{k-1} + N^{k/2})\}, \quad k \in N.$$

Using the conclusion of the first half of the proof, we have  $P(B_k \cap C_k \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ . It is straightforward to notice that choosing  $N$  sufficiently large implies, for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > r > 0$ , that

$$\begin{aligned}
&P(S_{N^k} > (1 - \varepsilon)h(N^k) \text{ i.o.}) \\
&\geq P(S_{N^k} > (1 - r)h(N^k - N^{k-1} - N^{k/2}) - 2h(N^{k-1} + N^{k/2}) \text{ i.o.}) \\
&\geq P(B_k \cap C_k \text{ i.o.}) = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Therefore (3.5) is proved by (3.23).

## References

- [1] Alam, K., Saxena, K. M. L.: Positive dependence in multivariate distributions. *Comm. Statist. A*, **10**, 1183–1196 (1981)
- [2] Joag-Dev, K., Proschan, F.: Negative association of random variables with applications. *Ann. Statist.*, **11**, 286–295 (1983)
- [3] Newman, C. M.: Asymptotic independence and limit theorems for positively and negatively dependent random variables, in: *Inequalities in Statistics and Probability* (Tong, Y. L., ed., Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA), 127–140, 1984
- [4] Su, C., Zhao, L., Wang, Y.: Moment inequalities and weak convergence for negatively associated sequences. *Sci. in China, Ser. A*, **40**, 172–182 (1997)
- [5] Pan, J. M., Lu, C. R.: A generalization of Esseen's inequality for NA random variables. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, **43**, 1144–1148 (1998)
- [6] Shao, Q. M., Su, C.: The law of the iterated logarithm for negatively associated random variables. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **83**, 139–148 (1999)
- [7] Zhang, L. X.: A functional central limit theorem for asymptotically negatively dependent random fields. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **86**, 237–259 (2000a)
- [8] Zhang, L. X.: Central limit theorems for asymptotically negatively associated random fields. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, **16**, 691–710 (2000)
- [9] Wang, J. F., Lu, F. B.: Inequalities of maximum of partial sums and weak convergence for a class weak dependent random variables. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, **22**(3), 693–700 (2006)
- [10] Birkel, T.: On the convergence rate in the central limit theorem for associated processes. *Ann. Probab.*, **16**, 1685–1698 (1988)
- [11] Lin, Z. Y.: On generalizations of Berry-Esseen inequality for U-statistics. *Acta Math. Applicata Sinica*, **6**, 468–475 (1983)
- [12] Wood, T. E.: A Berry-Esseen theorem for associated random variables. *Ann. Probab.*, **11**, 1042–1047 (1983)
- [13] Ledoux, M., Talagrand, M.: *Probability in Banach Space*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991
- [14] Petrov, V. V.: *Sums of Independent Random Variables*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975