Biomimetic and Bioorganic Chemistry III

Editors: F. Vögtle, E. Weber

With Contributions by M. Badertscher, F. M. Menger, Y. Kanaoka, P. Portmann, E. Pretsch, G. Schmidt, K. Tanizawa, M. Welti

With 52 Figures and 13 Tables

Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg NewYork London Paris Tokyo This series presents critical reviews of the present position and future trends in modern chemical research. It is addressed to all research and industrial chemists who wish to keep abreast of advances in their subject.

As a rule, contributions are specially commissioned. The editors and publishers will, however, always be pleased to receive suggestions and supplementary information. Papers are accepted for "Topics in Current Chemistry" in English.

ISBN 3-540-16724-2 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN 0-387-16724-2 Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data (Revised for vol. 2)

Biomimetic and bioorganic chemistry.

(Topics in current chemistry = Fortschritte der Chemischen Forschung; 128, 132, 136) Vol. 2 — edited by F. Vögtle, E. Weber. Includes bibliographies and indexes. 1. Biological chemistry — Collected works.

 Bioorganic chemistry — Collected works. I. Cammann, Karl. II. Vögtle, F. (Fritz), 1939–. III. Weber, E. IV. Series: Topics in current chemistry; 128, etc.
 QD1.F58 vol. 128 540 s [574.19'2] 85-2804 [QP514.2]

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use, a fee is payable to "Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort", Munich.

③ by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1986 Printed in GDR

The use of registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Typesetting and Offsetprinting: Th. Müntzer, GDR; Bookbinding: Lüderitz & Bauer, Berlin 2152/3020-543210

Table of Contents

Chemistry of Multi-Armed Organic Compounds F. M. Menger	1
Calculation of Interaction Energies in Host-Guest Systems M. Badertscher, M. Welti, P. Portmann, E. Pretsch	17
Design of Biospecific Compounds which Simulate Enzyme-Substrate Interaction K. Tanizawa, Y. Kanaoka	81
Recent Developments in the Field of Biologically Active Peptides G. Schmidt	109
Author Index Volumes 101–136	161

Table of Contents of Volume 128

Biomimetic and Bioorganic Chemistry

Solvation and Ordered Structure in Colloidal Systems G. Ebert

Active Transport of Ions Using Synthetic Ionophores Derived from Macrocyclic Polyethers and the Related Compounds M. Okahara, Y. Nakatsuji

Quantitative Structure-Reactivity Analysis of the Inclusion Mechanism by Cyclodextrins Y. Matsui, T. Nishioka, T. Fujita

The Role of Molecular Shape Similarity in Specific Molecular Recognition T. Endo

Macrocyclic Polyamines as Biological Cation and Anion Complexones — An Application to Calculi Dissolution E. Kimura

Micellar Models of Zinc Enzymes W. Tagaki, K. Ogino

Chemical Basis of Ion Transport Specificity in Biological Membranes

D. W. Urry

Ion-Selective Bulk Membranes as Models for Biomembranes K. Cammann Table of Contents of Volume 132

Biomimetic and Bioorganic Chemistry II

Molecular and Functional Aspects of Superoxide Dismutases A. Gärtner, U. Weser

Hemoglobin Model — Artificial Oxygen Carrier Composed of Porphinatoiron Complexes E. Tsuchida, H. Nishide

Molecular Catalysis by Polyammonium Receptors F. P. Schmidtchen

Complexation of Organic Molecules in Water Solution J. Franke, F. Vögtle

Chemistry of Multi-Armed Organic Compounds

Fredric M. Menger

Department of Chemistry, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322/U.S.A.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction
2	Multi-armed Polyethers
3	Multi-armed Compounds with Ion-Terminated Chains
4	Neutral Multi-armed Materials
5	Polymer Systems
6	Concluding Remarks
7	Acknowledgement
8	References

1 Introduction

When chemists carry out a reaction in the laboratory, they hope that a suitable fraction of the molecular collisions will possess sufficient energy and the proper geometry for a successful transformation. If the reaction rate is slow, little can be done about increasing the *percentage* of successful hits. The best one can do is to increase the temperature and thereby increase the *total number* of collisions per unit time. This is a fairly crude procedure and not practical for biological systems which must operate within a well-defined and narrow temperature range. Thus, enzymes do not depend on highly inefficient random collisions, but function instead by collecting the necessary species in a "cavity" or "pocket" located on the protein surface. The correct orientation and distance, forced upon the reactive functionalities within this restricted volume, greatly accelerates reaction rates. Clearly, organic chemists must synthesize cavitybearing molecules in order to satisfactorily model enzymes. This paper deals with one particular type of "space-encompassing" compound: the multi-armed system.

Our own interest in multi-armed compounds stems from work with micelles¹⁾. Micelles are spherical aggregates of roughly 50–100 surfactant molecules each comprised of a polar head group and a long hydrocarbon chain. A huge number of papers have been devoted to micellar reactions owing, in part, to their superficial resemblance to enzyme-catalyzed processes. Micelles bind compounds, for example, with association constants rivaling those for many enzymes and their substrates. Binding to micelles often leads to catalyzed reactions obeying Michaelis-Menten kinetics²⁾. Stereoselectivity is possible with micelles composed of chiral surfactants ³⁾. Yet there is a rather serious disadvantage of micellar systems: to observe micellar effects, the surfactant exists entirely in the monomeric state. Thus, it is natural to think of tying the chains together by covalent linkages in order to prevent the chains from dissociating from each other. Such a multi-chain or "multi-armed" compound could behave like a micelle at *all* concentrations.

The above paragraph reflects our original motivation for studying multi-armed species. Other investigators in the area undoubtedly had different reasons for constructing molecules with many appendages. Probably the likelihood of interesting and perhaps unique properties stimulated much of the research. And in all cases, I feel certain, the investigators were intrigued by the admittedly anthropormorphic resemblance of their systems to the human hand and its capability to grasp.

Although this review is not exhaustive, the examples herein should serve to illustrate the important properties of multi-armed compounds as far as they are now known. A concise, almost compressed, format should provide the reader an overview with a minimum amount of reading time.

2 Multi-armed Polyethers

In 1974 Vögtle and Weber published a paper entitled "Octapus Molecules" ⁴⁾. The paper describes a hexasubstituted benzene derivative (1a) which shows "remarkable phenomenological parallelisms to the mode of food capture by an octopus using its suction pads." Compound (1a), a water-insoluble oil, was found to be a powerful

ligand for cations. Thus, a dichloromethane solution of (1a) is able to extract metal picrates (Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, etc.) from an aqueous phase (and do so more rapidly and completely than do the corresponding solutions of crown ethers). Neither (1a) nor crown ethers are able to extract heavy-metal salts (CuCl₂, NiCl₂, Ce(SO₄)₂, etc.) from water.



The complexing ability of the multi-armed polyethers diminishes when (a) the arms are shortened so as to possess only two oxygens per arm and (b) the number of arms are successively reduced. This is illustrated with formula (1)-(4) (a) and (b).

Apparently, the most stable complex forms when six chains residing on the *same* side of the benzene ring surround the metal ion. Three chains on the same side of the aromatic ring can best enclose a "cavity" favourable to metal ion complexation if the chains are in a 1,3,5 relationship [cf. (2)] as opposed to 1,2,3 [cf. (3)] or 1,2,4. Ligand properties for the 2-chain molecules are found only with the 1,2-isomer (4).



Fredric M: Menger

Steric overcrowding in (1a) is apparent from a broad α -methylene proton signal. Broadening, indicative of hindered internal rotation, varies with the nature of the arms: shorter arms impede rotation less than long ones



Fig. 1. ¹4 nmr characterization of (1a)

(Fig. 1). Reducing the number of arms also decreases the crowing and hence the signal line-widths.

Vögtle and co-workers ⁵⁾ synthesized a host of neutral "tripod" and "tetrapod" ligands (6). Cation selectivity depends on the particular end-group R. When the end-group is a quinolyloxy unit, the ligand binds Ba^{2+} with an association constant close to 10^3 M^{-1} (at least an order of magnitude higher than that for the corresponding "dipod" ligand). When phthalimido is the end-group, one can form and isolate a solid complex with FeCl₃. Various other end-groups give solid complexes with ZnCl₂, RbI, and Th(NO₃)₄.



Hyatt ⁶⁾ attached six polyether chains onto a rigid and bowl-shaped cyclotriveratrylene framework (7). These chains are capable of surrounding a space which incorporates metal ions. The length of the polyether arms, $-(C_2H_4O-)_nR$, does not appear to be particularly critical to binding as long as n > 1. For example, the derivatives with n = 2, 3, and 4 all bind Na⁺ rapidly and strongly, and they all bind Mg²⁺ slowly and weakly. On the other hand, the stereochemistry and conformational rigidity of the supporting framework does play a crucial role in binding. No complex is observed, for example, with an 8-armed analog shown with formula (8). Examination of molecular models show that conformationally mobile aromatic rings do not readily adopt a bowl-shaped configuration necessary for the chains to enclose a cavity. As a consequence, crown ether-like behavior is not observed.

Chemistry of Multi-Armed Organic Compounds





Montanari and co-workers ⁷) used "polypode" ligands (9) as phase-transfer catalysts. Thus, a saturated aqueous solution of KI was stirred with *n*-octyl bromide in the presence of a multi-armed ligand; the resulting production of *n*-octyl iodide was followed by gas chromatography. Catalyst activity was found to vary with the hydrophobicity of the arms. When the chains are terminated by butyl groups, 72 hours

$$(n-C_{8}H_{17}-OC_{2}H_{4}OC_{2}H_{4}OC_{2}H_{4}OC_{2}H_{4})_{2}N \underbrace{\sim}_{C} N(C_{2}H_{4}OC_{2}H_{4$$

are required for an 82% yield. When the chains are terminated by octyl groups, only 3 hours are needed for an 85% yield. The latter efficiency approximates that of hexdecyltrimethylphosphonium bromide and certain cryptates. The authors speculate that an associative apolar interaction among the terminal alkyl groups leads to a relatively stable cavity within the polyoxymethylene chains which favors chelation of metal ions.

Although the majority of compounds discussed in this paper have linear arms, cases are known in which a central unit bears multiple ring substituents. A good example (10) was investigated by Weber⁸. This material is highly soluble in water



but relatively insoluble in nonpolar solvents such as chloroform. Interestingly, the properties of the compound resemble those of a surfactant:

(a) Aqueous solutions of (10) foam;

(b) Concentrating (10) in water elevates the viscosity until there is formed a reversible, opaque, and almost immobile gel;

(c) Warming aqueous solutions of (10) causes clouding at 52–54 °C;

(d) Light scattering experiments indicate the formation of micelles with a critical micelle concentration of 1.3×10^{-4} M and an aggregation number of 50. Weber states that the surfactant-like behavior was unexpected, and the present author is likewise astouished. Undoubtedly, many other pleasant surprises lie in store for the octapus chemist.

3 Multi-armed Compounds with Ion-Terminated Chains

"Tentacle" molecules having ion-terminated hydrocarbon chains that radiate from a central unit are relatively rare in the literature. Suckling ⁹⁾ examined benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid esterified with three $[CH_2]_{11}NR_3^+$ groups. The resulting tentacle molecule (11) forms complexes with small aromatics in acetonitrile but not in methanol.



For example, high-field NMR data demonstrate that at equimolar quantities of (11) and phenol $(3.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M} \text{ in acetonitrile})$, 85-90% of the phenol is bound. When phenol is in great excess over (11), several phenol molecules interact with each tentacle molecule. Binding of *p*-nitrophenolate to (11) in acetonitrile occurs with a huge association constant: $1.7 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$! Suckling also found that when phenol is enmeshed in the arms of (11), the phenol becomes resistant to the normally rapid chlorination by *t*-butyl hypochlorite. Protection of labile compounds, such as drugs, through encapsulation constitutes only one of several potential uses of multi-armed systems.

"Hexapus" (12), developed in our laboratory ¹⁰), also falls into the category of multi-armed compounds with ion-terminated chains. Six $[CH_2]_{10}CO_2^-$ chains project from a cyclotriveratrylene framework. Surprisingly, hexapus exhibits much less surface activity than single-chained fatty acid anions. Aqueous solutions of hexapus do not foam, and they possess surface tensions only slightly smaller than that of pure water. Apparently, the tendency of hexapus to absorb at the air-water interface is impaired by the difficulty of placing above the water phase *both* the hydrocarbon portion of the tails and the aromatic "cap".



Hexapus in water solubilizes cholesterol, phenol blue ($K_{assoc} = 1.0 \times 10^4 M^{-1}$), naphthalene, and hydrophobic esters. Thus, hexapus seems non-selective in its binding characteristics (just like micelles). "Universal" binding has the advantage that almost any water-insoluble compound can be "collected" by the host molecule without regard to subtle structural variations. On the other hand, potential catalysts based on hexapus and other multi-armed systems would not be expected to manifest high specificity. Flexible chains do not lend themselves to a precise fit.

We were curious as to whether hexapus, where six chains are tied together covalently, would form aggregates in water as do single-chained fatty acid anions above a critical micelle concentration. Light scattering data show that hexapus does indeed assemble into small aggregates of about 9 for a total of 54 chains. Neither light scattering nor UV spectrophotometry reveals a critical micelle concentration for hexapus; if there is one, it must be extremely low (less than 1×10^{-5} M). At present we do not know whether guest molecules bind among the chains of a single hexapus molecule or among the chains of several hexapus molecules within an aggregate. Whichever the case, it is clear that hexapus has a distinct advantage over micelles: binding occurs at *all* concentrations, not just above a certain critical concentration.

We also investigated ¹¹⁾ three "trigapus" molecules (13)–(15). By themselves, the trigapus molecules are fairly mundane. Unlike ω -phenylalkanoic acids, they have no



critical micelle concentration, form only very small aggregates barely detectable by light scattering, and do not bind small organics in water. Covalent attachment of the chains obviously has a dramatic effect on the colloidal behavior of amphiphilic molecules. The most interesting properties of the trigapus molecules relate to their interaction with cationic surfactants such as decyltrimethylammonium bromide (DTAB). Small amounts of trigapus lower DTAB's critical micelle concentration 10-fold. It is as if trigapus "seeds" micellization of the cationic surfactant. Low levels of trigapus also induce a huge growth in the size of DTAB micelles; thus, 1.3 mM trigapus elevates the aggregation number of DTAB micelles by at least an order of magnitude. Since these effects are not observed with trigapus and anionic surfactants, we presume that electrostatic attractions are critical to the phenomena.

Murakami and co-workers ¹² have carried out one of the most thorough investigations of multi-armed compounds with ion-terminated chains. In 1979 they reported the substrate-binding behavior of an azaparacyclophane (*16*) in which the hydrophobic cavity was deepened by substitution of long ion-terminated chains on the macrocyclic skeleton. Salient properties of the cyclophane (*16*) include: (1) The compound has a critical micelle concentration of 3.2×10^{-4} M. (2) (*16*) binds cationic and neutral dyes but not anionic ones. Thus, Rhodamine 6G and Quinaldine Red form 1:1 complexes with (*16*) having association constants of about 5×10^3 M⁻¹.



These bulky guests presumably reside in a cavity surrounded by the four aromatic rings of the cyclophane and the four alkyl branches. Since guests are incorporated into (16) below its critical micelle concentration, monomeric (16) provides an effective binding site apart from micellization processes. (3) Binding of p-nitrophenyl 3,5-dimethylcyclohexylacetate to (16) inhibits the basic hydrolysis of the former by a factor of 147 relative to the rate without (16). The rate data obey "reverse" Michaelis-Menten kinetics. (4) Hydrophobic spin probes, but not hydrophilic ones, bind to (16) and, as a consequence, have their rotational correlation times increased by as much as 3-fold. Hyperfine splitting constants do not, however, change on binding, suggesting that (16) associates only with the hydrophobic portion of the spin label while the nitroxide moiety remains outside in the water.

One can readily imagine multi-armed compounds bearing catalytically active groups; such materials could conceivably emulate enzymes by binding substrates prior to an intramolecular-type catalytic process. An example of this sort, provided by Murakami and co-workers ¹³), is shown under (17). The compound has two long alkyl chains terminated by cationic nitrogens; two other chains have, in addition, an imidazole ring with well-known catalytic properties toward ester hydrolysis. No



9

catalysis is observed with *p*-nitrophenyl esters of acids having eight carbons or less. On the other hand, fatty acid esters with chains of 10–16 carbons do indeed experience a catalyzed hydrolysis in the presence of (17). Since the C_{14} substrate reacts the fastest among the esters, the authors state, "There must be a certain threshold for the length of the alkyl chain of the substrate in order to attain the most favorable arrangement of both the ester bond of an incorporated substrate and the catalystic group (or groups) of an octopus cyclophane; this is achieved with the substrate, *p*-nitrophenyl tetradecanoate." Although the selectivity is really quite small (the C_{10} - C_{16} esters differ by less than a factor of 3), the concept as embodied in the quote is an important one. Selectivity among similar compounds, and regioselectivity among similar functional groups in a single compound, remain a primary goal of all chemists engaged in the design of cavities.

4 Neutral Multi-armed Materials

Tsukube ¹⁴⁾ reported in 1984 a "multi-armed cyclam" (18) which has the ability to transport NH_4^+ cation through a chloroform layer (a so-called "liquid membrane"). Metal ions such as K^+ are not transported under similar conditions, a selectivity generally unobserved with the common crown ethers and cryptates. Since the transport rate decreases substantially when the furan rings terminating the pendant arms are



replaced by benzene rings, the furan oxygens must play an important role in the complexation of NH_4^+ cation. Tsukube feels that the ability of the multi-armed cyclam to differentiate NH_4^+ from K^+ is probably not related to ion-size (which is similar for the two ions). Instead, he suggests that charge distribution (being tetrahedral for NH_4^+ and spherical for K^+) is critical. CPK models indicate that the NH_4^+ cation can be wrapped tetrahedrally by its donating two hydrogen bonds to opposite ring nitrogens and two hydrogen bonds to furan oxygens. The furan-bearing crown (19), binds *both* NH_4^+ and K^+ ; substitution of benzene for furan has, in this case, only a minor effect on the transport properties of the system.

MacNicol and Wilson¹⁵⁾ synthesized a series of compounds (20) called "hexahosts". Such hexa-substituted benzenes can, on crystallization from suitable solvents, from a wide range of inclusion compounds. When Y = SPh, for example, a crystalline complex with CCl₄ was isolated having a host-guest stoichiometry of 1:2. The CCl₄ is



slowly lost upon standing. Whereas the $Y = CH_2OPh$ and $Y = CH_2SPh$ compounds both retain toluene, no such behavior was observed with $Y = CH_2SePh$. By far the largest number of inclusions compounds was obtained with the hexa-host having $Y = CH_2SC_6H_4$ -*t*-Bu-*p*. Cyclooctane, phenyl iodide, bromoform, etc. all yield relatively stable crystalline complexes with this material.



In more recent work, MacNicol and co-workers ¹⁶ described the first nitrogenbased hexa-host molecules (21). Although the derivative with $R = COCH_3$ (21a) shows no evidence of guest inclusion, the derivative with $R = COCF_3$ (21b) gives 1:2 host: guest adducts with nitromethane, tetramethylurea, N,N-dimethylformamide, etc. One of the most interesting observations pertains to the complex between the fluorine-containing host and the amide (22). Only the thermodynamically *less* stable Z-form of the amide incorporates into the crystals. Thus, the host displays complete configurational selectivity!



11



Newkome and co-workers ¹⁷⁾ have recently developed an entirely new approach to multi-armed systems in their synthesis of "cascade" molecules. Rather than attaching chains to a central unit, they utilized a series of reactions which converted a single functional group into three functional groups. Each of the new functional groups can, in turn, be converted into three groups for a total of nine. Such a strategy could be continued to construct increasingly complex "tree-like" materials. A specific example, the synthesis of "[27]-arborol" (22), is given in Scheme 1. No doubt, intriguing new molecules are on the horizon.



5 Polymer Systems

Thus far the discussion here has focused on compounds having multiple arms radiating from a small central unit such as a benzene, cyclotriveratrylene, or cyclophane ring. The number of such systems in the literature is, as yet, rather small. In contrast, there exists a vast body of data on polymeric chains bearing "arms" of various lengths. I have included a section on these polymers but obviously not with the intention of even superficially covering the subject. I merely wish to present four examples so that the interested organic and bio-organic chemist may, for comparison purposes, see how "arms" behave when they are covalently linked to a macromolecular backbone.

Almost three decades ago, Strauss and co-workers ¹⁸ carried out classic work on "polysoaps" (23) comprised of poly-4-vinylpyridine which had been quaternized on up to 38% of the nitrogens with *n*-dodecyl bromide; those nitrogens that escaped reaction with *n*-dodecyl bromide were then derivatized with ethyl bromide. Now addition of KBr to an aqueous solution of a polyelectrolyte normally decreases the viscosity. This is not true for the 38% polysoap (23) where the viscosity increases with



KBr until the solutions eventually gel. Viscosity data indicate that the polysoaps are far more compact than the random coils associated with ordinary polyelectrolytes in solution. In addition, the 38% polysoap (23) forms intermolecular aggregates in solution owing to the "sticky" hydrophobic spots on its surface. Disaggregation induced by dilution is a slow process (taking several hours). If one decreases the percentage of dodecyl groups on the polymer (relative to ethyl groups), then it is possible to revert back from polysoap behavior to that of a typical polyelectrolyte. This change occurs over a rather narrow composition range, suggesting the existence of a "critical dodecyl group content" analogous to the critical micelle concentration for simple soaps.

Cordes and co-workers ¹⁹⁾ found that the alkaline hydrolysis of *p*-nitrophenyl hexanoate is subject to catalysis by polyvinylpyridine-based polysoaps. For example, k_{obs} is increased from 0.1 min⁻¹ to 1.4 min⁻¹ in the presence of 5×10^{-7} M 38% polysoap (23) (the same material used in the Strauss work). With 5×10^{-7} M polymer having a 15% dodecyl content, the rate is increased only 3 times above background. The simplest rationale for the kinetics invokes both hydrophobic and electrostatic forces. Thus, dodecyl chains on the polymer hydrophobically bind *p*-nitrophenyl hexanoate to the polymer surface. Since the polymer possesses a high density of cationic nitrogens, hydroxide ions also accumulate at the polymer surface where they catalyze the hydrolysis of bound ester. Addition of nitrate ion to the aqueous reaction

Fredric M. Menger

mixture converts the polysoap-catalyzed process into a polysoap-inhibited one presumably because the nitrate displaces hydroxide counterions from the active sites.

Klotz and co-workers $^{20)}$ attached pendant butanoyl, hexanoyl, and dodecanoyl groups onto polyethylenimine (24), a highly branched water-soluble polymer containing approximately 25% primary and tertiary nitrogens and 50% secondary nitrogens. Roughly 8–10% of the residues of the polymer were acylated. The acyl-



polyethylenimines are vastly more effective in binding a dye, methyl orange, than is serum albumin under comparable conditions. Thus, at a free methyl orange concentration of 10^{-5} M, the dodecanoyl, hexanoyl, and butanoyl derivatives bind 100, 10, and 1 dye molecules, respectively, compared to a value less than unity for bovine serum albumin. The improvement over albumin is impressive since the protein contains nearly 40% of nonpolar residues (although obviously not as long as a dodecyl chain). Urea (9.0 M) markedly reduces the binding affinity of the dodecanoyl-polyethylenimine. The dependence of binding on the dye concentration shows a strong cooperative interaction. In other words, each methyl orange anion creates, as it is bound, a new strong apolar site for additional binding.

Finally, mention should be made of the polysoap-catalyzed decarboxylation of 6-nitrobenzisoxazole-3-carboxylate anion (Eq. 1) studied by Kunitake and co-workers 21 . This reaction is known to proceed faster in apolar solvents than polar ones.



The polymers employed were (among others) partially dodecylated poly(2-ethyl-1vinylimidazole) (25). It was found that polymer containing 29% dodecyl groups and 67% ethyl groups catalyzes the decarboxylation 350-fold, whereas a polymer with 9% dodecyl groups and 83% ethyl groups does not display significant catalysis. This kinetic behavior parallels the spectral shifts of bound methyl orange. When the dye



is bound to the 29% polymer, the λ_{max} shifts from 465 nm to 417 nm, attributable to a microenvironment less polar than water. In contrast, the catalytically inactive 9% polymer hardly perturbs the spectrum of methyl orange. Since the catalytic efficacy of the 29% polymer exceeds that of a conventional cationic micelle, tying chains together covalently can contribute positively to a rate process.

6 Concluding Remarks

Attaching multiple arms to a central unit has been shown to impart interesting chemical and physical properties not always predictable from the properties of the individual arms. Since the field of multi-armed compounds is relatively undeveloped, there is obviously much room for the imaginations of synthetically and physically inclined chemists to wander freely.

7 Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health and the Petroleum Research Fund.

8 References

- 1. Menger, F. M.: Acc. Chem. Res. 12, 111 (1979)
- 2. Menger, F. M., Portnoy, C. E.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 1875 (1968)
- 3. Bunton, C. A., Robinson, L., Stam, M. F.: Tetrahedron Lett. 121 (1971)
- 4. Vögtle, F., Weber, E.: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 13, 814 (1974)
- 5. Vögtle, F., Müller, W. M., Buhleier, E., Wehner, W.: Chem. Ber. 112, 899 (1979)
- 6. Hyatt, J. A.: J. Org. Chem. 43, 1808 (1978)
- 7. Fornasier, R., Montanari, F.: Tetrahedron Lett. 1381 (1976)
- 8. Weber, E.: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 22, 616 (1983)
- 9. Suckling, C. J.: J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 661 (1982)
- 10. Menger, F. M., Takeshita, M., Chow, J. F.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 5938 (1981)
- 11. Menger, F. M., Angel de Greiff, A. J., Jaeger, D. A.: J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 543 (1984)
- 12. Murakami, Y., Nakano, A., Miyata, R., Matsuda, Y.: J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I, 1669 (1979)
- 13. Murakami, Y., Nakano, A., Akiyoshi, K., Fukuya, K.: ibid 2800 (1981)
- 14. Tsukube, H.: Chem. Lett. 1961 (1984)
- 15. MacNicol, D. D., Wilson, D. R.: J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 494 (1976)
- 16. Freer, A. A., Gall, J. H., MacNicol, D. D.: ibid. 674 (1982)
- 17. Newkome, G. R., Yao, Z., Baker, G. R., Gupta, V. K.: to be published in J. Org. Chem.
- 18. Strauss, U. P., Gershfeld, N. L., Crook, E. H.: J. Phys. Chem. 60, 577 (1956)
- 19. Rodulfo, T., Hamilton, J. A., Cordes, E. H.: J. Org. Chem. 39, 2281 (1974)
- 20. Klotz, I. M., Royer, G. P., Sloniewsky, A. R.: Biochem. 8, 4752 (1969)
- 21. Kunitake, T., Shinkai, S., Hirotsu, S.: J. Org. Chem. 42, 306 (1977)

Calculation of Interaction Energies in Host-Guest Systems

Martin Badertscher, Martin Welti, Pius Portmann and Ernö Pretsch

Department of Organic Chemistry, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

Table of Contents

1		18
2	Ab Initio Calculations of Ion-Ligand Interaction Energies	18
	2.1 Introduction	18
	2.2 The Supermolecule Approach	19
	2.3 Basis Sets	19
	2.4 Geometries	63
	2.5 Correlation Energy	67
	2.6 Comparison with the Experiment	67
3	Treatment of Large Systems.	68
	3.1 Introduction	68
	3.2 Pair Potential Procedure	68
	3.3 Additive Model of Gresh, Claverie and Pullman	71
	3.4 Comparison of the Two Models	73
4	Conformational Energy	74
5	Future Prospects	75
6	Acknowledgements.	76
7	References	76

1 Introduction

There is a strongly growing interest in designing and synthesizing host compounds for selected guests ¹⁾. Although results of simple model calculations $^{2-3)}$ have been applied for the development of ligand molecules for many years $^{4,5)}$, merely a minor part of these works is based on theoretical considerations. In most cases the design is mainly intuitive and the sole tools used are molecular models. More elaborate theoretical calculations have only been applied since a few years as a design aid $^{6)}$.

In the last decade much experience was collected independently on the host-guest chemistry in the field of the theoretical description of one particular kind of host-guest interaction: ions as guests and small ligand molecules as hosts $^{7-10}$. Possibilities and limitations of such calculations are quite well known now. In the first part of this article the corresponding results will be reviewed. On the basis of these fundamental calculations different models were suggested for the description of host-guest interaction energies of larger systems. These models will be presented in Chapter 3. Since, in most cases, hosts (and often also guests) may adopt different conformations in different complexes as well as in the uncomplexed state, the calculation of the interaction energies is of only limited use if the conformational energy is not considered. Therefore several approaches of conformational energy calculations will be discussed briefly.

The main purpose of the present paper is to help judging the current possibilities and limitations of theoretical calculations on host-guest systems. In the last Chapter we will try to summarize the topic from this point of view.

An enormous work done in the field of polypeptides and proteins by Scheraga and coworkers includes calculations of both conformational energies and enzyme-substrate interactions for this special class of compounds 11-13. This kind of calculations on host-guest systems is well documented elsewhere and is not considered within the scope of this article.

2 Ab initio Calculations of Ion-Ligand Interaction Energies

2.1 Introduction

Various methods for the calculation of ion-ligand interaction energies were discussed in several excellent reviews $^{7-9}$. Therefore only a brief summary is given here. In some cases classical electrostatic models may suffice to predict correctly relative interaction energies and equilibrium geometries ⁷. This success seems to be a consequence of error compensation ⁷. Using such an electrostatic model, the interaction energies of a water molecule with alkali metal cations were calculated ¹⁴ within a few percent deviation relative to the experimental values measured in the gas phase ¹⁵. But for realistic ionophores only a qualitative use of this model can be made because appropriate parameters are lacking ². Molecular electrostatic potentials are accessible from quantum chemical calculations and have been used to calculate interaction energies of ions with small ligand molecules ¹⁶ (see also Sect. 3.3).

In a series of papers various semiempirical quantum chemical procedures were examined for their usefulness to describe ion-ligand interactions (for a review see ⁷).

The results were not very successful in several respects: wrong geometries, non-realistic interaction energies and extremly overemphasized charge transfer were obtained ⁷). These techniques are thus unsuitable for a reliable investigation of ion-ligand interactions.

2.2 The Supermolecule Approach

Interaction energies (E_{Int}) on the basis of *ab initio* calculations are in general evaluated according to the so-called supermolecule approach:

$$E_{Int} = E_{Complex} - E_{Host} - E_{Guest}$$
(1)

E denotes on the right hand of the expression the total energy of the system specified. The interaction energy is by several orders of magnitude smaller than the individual total energies. Some consequences of this fact are discussed in the following sections.

A large number of complexes of alkali metal, alkaline earth metal and ammonium cations has been studied using this approach (see Table 1). Much less effort has been made in the field of anions as guests. Some of these results are collected in Table 2.

In case of complexes consisting of more than two constituents it has been shown that three-body terms are of significant magnitudes 120,121 i.e.:

$$E_{Int}(ABC) \neq E_{Int}(AB) + E_{Int}(BC) + E_{Int}(AC)$$
(2)

2.3 Basis Sets

The reliability of the *ab initio* calculations depends heavily on the choice of the basis sets. In order to reduce computational demands very often small basis sets have to be applied. According to experience, some rules can be given for the compatibility of the basis set with a given problem 122 . It is suggested that for molecular geometry optimizations and for the description of ion-ligand interactions minimal basis sets might be sufficient. In contrast, large basis sets are necessary for the computation of weak intermolecular interactions 136 .

Many results shown in Table 1 clearly indicate that too small basis sets like STO-3G or a minimal GLO basis are inadequate to calculate reliable interaction energies (see also ³¹). Their application is however justified if only relative stabilities and approximate geometries are to be evaluated. False geometries have been obtained for hydrogen bonded systems using the STO-3G basis set ^{123,124}).

It is also known that geometry optimizations with too small basis sets may lead to non-realistic geometries especially if torsion angles or pyramidal structures are concerned ¹²²⁾. In any case well-balanced basis sets are absolutely necessary, i.e. the quality of the basis set should be similar for all atoms. A carefully selected small GTO basis set may give reliable results for the ion-ligand interaction energies ^{31,125,126)}. However, error compensations are at least partly responsible for this success. Therefore an improvement of the basis set may lead to less accurate results ⁹¹⁾. Non-balanced small basis sets lead to large basis set superposition errors ¹²⁶⁻¹²⁸⁾. This error is caused by the fact that in the calculations of the complex the wave functions

ons with uncharged ligands. ^a The ligands are orde	
F calculations on the complexes of alkali, alkaline earth metal and ammonium catio	olecular mass.
Table 1. Ab initio SC	according to their mc

i i i i	ng to their molecular mass.							
	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	R	—E(I1 IL I)	ıt) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	compary grounds	fundi	mole]	
1	NH,	H	GTO (4)	[2]	* STO-4G geometry,	190	169	17)
	n	zï	GTO (9/5/1) GTO (10)	[4/2/1] [4]	* not varied	Li–N		
	NH,	H, N	GTO STO-3G	Ξ	assumed	not	229	18)
	3	Li	GTO 6G3G			given		
	NH ₃	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	195 Li—N	235	(61
	NH3	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	195 Li–N	188	(61
	NH3	Н	GTO (6/1)	[3/1]		i		
	a	zĒ	GTO (11/7/1) GTO (7/1)	[5/4/1]	experimental	200 1 i _ N	161	20)
	NH3	H, N	GTO 4-31G	E v ∂∧1	experimental	195	201	(12
		Li ::	GTO (7/1)	[3/1]		Li–N		
	NH3	ΗX	GTO (4) CTO (6/5)	[3]		000	00,	110
		z I	GTO (7/1) GTO (7/1)	[3/1]	experimental	200 Li–N	189	(17
	NH ₃	H, N	GTO 6-31G*		experimental	200	177	21)
		;	GTO (7/1)	[3/1]		Li–N	i	
	NH3	L, N	GTO (7/1) GTO (7/1)	[3/1]	experimental	Li–N	1 /4	
	NH3	Н	GTO (4/1)	[3/1]				
	s	Z	GTO (9/5/1)	[5/3/1]	experimental	200	167	21)
		I LI	GTO (7/1)	[3/1]		Li–N		
	NH3	e z	GTO (7/3)	[1] [2/1]	assumed	196	182	122
		Li	GTO 6G3G	-		Li–N		
	, NH3	H, N Li	GTO 4-31G GTO 5-21G		experimental	193 Li—N	212	23)

NH3	H	GTO (5/1)	[3/1]				
ł	zï	GTO (11/7/2) GTO (10/3)	[5/5/2]	assumed	197 1 iN	169	24)
NH ₃	all	GTO 4-31G	[c/+]	experimental	201 - 1 7 -	213	25)
NH ₃	ΗZ	GTO (4) GTO (7/3)	[2] [4/2]	experimental	198	224	26)
HN	цц	GTO (7/2) GLO (5)	[4/2]		Li–N		
	zΞ	GLO (10/5) GLO (10/5)	[3/1] [3/1]	assumed	not given	209	27)
NH3	i H 2	GTO (3)		Į	01	671	28)
, HN	z E C z	GTO (1/3) GTO (7) GTO 4-31G	[3]	not specified	192 Li—N	10/	
7) 1 1	Ei ;	GTO (8/2)?	[3/1]	not specified	197 Li—N	211	28)
2 NH ₃	Н	GLO (5)	[1]				
	z	GLO (10/5)	[3/1]	assumed	not	395	27)
4 NH	ΞI	GLO (10/2) GTO (3)	[3/1] [1]		given		
6++++	z	GTO (7/3)	[2]	not specified	205	515	28)
	Li	GTO (7)	[3]		Li–N		
4 NH ₃	Н	GTO (4)	Ξ	STO-3G geometry			
	Z	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	 tetragonal, 	200	653	29)
	: בי	GTO (7)	[2]	* part. optimized	Li–N		
5 NH ₃	H;	GTO (4)	Ξ	STO-3G geometry	000		29)
	z:	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	* pentagonal,	200	123	
6 NH.	JH	GTO (4)	[7]	* part. optimized STO-3G geometry			
	z	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	* hexagonal,	200	741	29)
	Li	GTO (7)	[2]	* part. optimized	Li–N		
H_2O	Н	GTO (4)	[2]		184	157	
	0	(9/5/1)	[4/2/1]	* from Ref. ³²⁾	Li–O		17)
	Li	(10)	[4]				

Calculation of Interaction Energies in Host-Guest Systems

Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b				Ligand geometry/ * Commax geometry ^c	× [-E(In	tt) Ref.
		atom t	ype u	ncontracted	contracted	Comprex geometry	ſmdl	mole]	
Li ⁺	H ₂ O	all C	STO S	T0-4G		STO-4G geometry	183 1.iO	168	30)
r:+	Н,О	Н, О С	STO S	TO-3G		experimental	170	335	31)
	3	Li	GTO S	TO-3G + 1p or	bital		Li—O		į
Ľi +	H ₂ O	н, о С	GTO S GTO 6	T0-3G G3G		experimental	175 Li—O	200	31)
Li ⁺	Н,О	Н	GTO ([1]				
	4	0	GTO ((2)	[2/1]	experimental	183	169	31)
		Li	GTO 6	G3G			LiO		
Li ⁺	Н,0	Н	GTO (2/1)	[2/1]				
		0	STO ()	(1/2/1)	[4/3/1]	fully optimized	189	143	32)
		Li C	DIO ()	(1//	[3/1]		Li-0		
Li+	Н,О	н	GTO (5/2)	[4/2]				
	a	0	OTO (13/8/2/1)	[8/5/2/1]	assumed	184	147	32)
		Li	STO (8/2/1)	[5/2/1]		Li-0		
Li ⁺	Н,О	н	DTO (5/2)	[2/2]				
	3	0	GTO ((1/7/2)	[4/3/2]	not specified	189	147	33)
		Li (DTO (7/2/1)	[5/2/1]		Li–O		
Li ⁺	H,0	Н	GTO (5/1)	[3/1]				
	3	0	DITO ((1///11	[5/4/1]	fully optimized	189	151	34)
		Li	GTO (11/2)	[5/2]		Li–O		
Li ⁺	Н,О	Н	STO (5/1)	[3/1]				
	a	0	GTO ((1/2/11	[5/4/1]	experimental	183	151	35)
		Li	OTO (11/2)	[5/2]		Li–O		
Li ⁺	H_2O	н	GTO (4/1)	[2/1]				
	2	0	GTO (9/5/1)	[4/2/1]	not specified	185	155	36)
		Li	GTO (9/3)	[4/2]		Li–O		
Li ⁺	H_2O	all (GTO 3	-21G		 fully optimized 	177	238	19)
							Li-O		

H ₂ O		all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	177	163	19)
Н,О		Н	GTO (6/1)	[3/1]				
ı		0 1	GTO (11/7/1) GTO (211)		experimental	180	141	20)
H_2O		ΞH	GTO (3)	[1] [1]				
		Li O	GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	[2/1]	assumed	183 Li—O	170	22)
H ₂ O		Н, О	GTO 4-31G		experimental	181	200	23)
H.O			GTO 5-21G	6151		Li–O		
~~~		10	GTO (7/3)	218/21 [7/312	assumed	178	061	37)
С н		Li Li	GTO (1)			Li-O		
п20		Ξ¢	GTO (3)	[3]?				í.
			GTO (7/3)	[7/3]?	assumed	181	137	100
$H_2O$		Η	GTO (3/1)	[2/1]				
		0	GTO (7/3/2)	[4/2/2]?	assumed	184	163	37)
		Ľ.	GTO (7/2)	[4/2]		Li-O		
$H_2O$		all	GTO 4-31G		experimental	180	200	38)
H ₂ O		Н	GTO (3)	[1]				
	-	0	GTO (9/10)	[2/1]	experimental	181	198	39)
Он		E	GTO (9/2)	[2/1]		Li0		
1120			GTO (0/10)	[2] [7] [2]	1	001	105	391
		Ec	GTO (9/10)	[4/1]	experimentat	102 1.i0	CK1	
$H_2O$		Н	GTO (4)	[4]		ł		
		0	GTO (9/10)	[6/4]	experimental	183	182	39)
		Li	GTO (9/2)	[1/2]		Li–O		
$H_2O$		Н	GTO (4)	[3]				
		0;	GTO (9/5)	[5/3]	experimental	183	182	40)
С п			GTO (7/1)	[3/1]		Li–O		
п20		Ξ¢	GIO (3) CTO (3(3)		- 2	001	Ì	181
			GTO (1/3)	[1/7]	not specified	182 1 i0	001	Ì
		1		5		)		

Calculation of Interaction Energies in Host-Guest Systems

Table 1.	(continued)							
Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	R	—E(II	ıt) Ref.
		atom	cype uncontracted	contracted	compres geometry	ſmdl	mole]	
Ľi+	H,O	Н, О	GTO 4-31G					
i	- 7	Гi	GTO (8/2)?	[3/1]	not specified	182 Li—O	195	28)
Li+	Н,О	Н	GLO (4/1)	[2/1]		ì		
	4	0	GLO (8/4/1)	[4/2/1]	* fully optimized	185	145	41)
		Li	GLO (8/1)	[4/1]		Li–O		
Li ⁺	H ₂ O	H (	GLO (I)	Ξ				
		0;	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	* fully optimized	183	100	- t
+	ОН							
3	1120	:0	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	experimental	183	137	41)
		Li	GLO (2)	Ξ	v	Li-0		
Li ⁺	H ₂ O	all	GTO 6-31G**		* 6-31G* geometry	not	166	42)
						given		
Li ⁺	H ₂ O	H, Li	GTO 6-31G**		* 6-31G* geometry	not	151	42)
		0	GTO 6-31G** + s	sp orbital		given		
Ľ:	2 H ₂ O	Н	GTO (6/1)	[3/1]				
		07	GTO (11/7/1) CTO (11/7/1)	[5/4/1]	experimental	192	283	43)
+! 1	0 H C	ΠΠ	GTO (3)	[2/c]				
1		:0	GTO (7/3)	[4/2]	assumed	179	370	37)
		Li	GTO (7)	[4]		Li—O		
Li ⁺	2 H ₂ O	all	GTO 4-31G		* not optimized	180 1.iO	390	4
Li ⁺	4 H,O	Н	GTO (3)	[1]		1		
		0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	not specified	182	494	281
		Li	GTO (7)	6		Li-O		
Ľi+	4 H ₂ O	H (	GTO (4)		STO-3G geometry	201	007	241
		E C	GTO (1/2) GTO (7)	[2]	<ul> <li>tetragonal,</li> <li>part. optimized</li> </ul>	185 Li—O	008	-
					-			

24

29)	29)	19)	(61	23)	19)	19)	(2	()	45)	45)	46)	46)	19)	19)
671	680	183	117	144	194	156	164	155	189	138	181	188	222	168
190 Li—O	200 1 i	168 1.168	168 Li—F	175 Li—F	191 Li–N	191 Li—N	194 Li—N	196 Li_N	161 191	196 Li—N	177 Li0	180 1 : O	Li-0	173 Li—O
STO-3G geometry * pentagonal, * part. optimized	STO-3G geometry * hexagonal, * port continized	* fully optimized	* 3-21G geometry	experimental	* fully optimized	* 3-21G geometry	not specified	not specified	* 3-21G geometry	* 3-21G geometry	from Ref. ⁴⁷⁾	from Ref. ⁴⁷⁾	* fully optimized	* 3-21G geometry
[1]	[1] [2/1]	[7]					[2/1] [4/2/1] [3/1]	[5/3/1] [3/1]	[ • / ~ ]		[3] [5] [3]	[4] [3/2] [31	[r]	
GTO (4) GTO (7/3) GTO (7)	GTO (4) GTO (7/3) GTO (7)	GTO 3-21G	GTO 6-31G*	GTO 4-31G GTO 5-21G	GTO 3-21G	GTO 6-31G*	GTO (3/1) GTO (7/3/1) GTO (6/1) GTO (4/1)	GTO (9/5/1) GTO (6/1)	GTO 6-31G*	GTO 6-31G*	GTO (4) GTO (9/5) GTO (9) GTO (3)	GTO (2) GTO (5/3) GTO (4)	GTO 3-21G	GTO 6-31G*
ГОН	ної	all	all	H, F Li	all	all	И Н Г. С. Н	N C	all	all	о н Г. С. н	0 101	all	all
5 H ₂ O	6 H ₂ O	HF	HF	HF	HCN	HCN	HCN		$CH_2 = NH$	HN=NH	H ₂ CO H CO	))) ()	H ₂ CO	H ₂ CO
+ E	Ľi+	r:+	Li ⁺	-:-	r:+	+ []	ы ⁺		Ľi+	Li ⁺	Li+ Li+	i	Li ⁺	Li ⁺

### Calculation of Interaction Energies in Host-Guest Systems

Table	1. (continued)							
Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/ * Comnlex accometry6	R [nm]	一王(I) 正正	ıt) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	COMPLEX BOOMENTS	ſmd	mole]	
 	Н,СО	Н, С, О	GTO 4-31G		experimental	176	198	23)
	4	Li	GTO 5-21G			Li–O		
ri+	H ₂ CO	all	GTO STO-3G		* fully optimized	not eiven	308	48)
Li ⁺	H,CO	Н	GLO (5)	[2]		b		
	7-1	c, 0	GLO (10/5)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	180	174	44)
		Li	GLO (10)	[4]		Li-O		
Li ⁺	H,CO	Η	GLO (5)	[2]				
	8	C, 0	GLO (10/5)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	180	171	49)
		Ľ	GLO (10)	[4]		Li–O		
		counterpo	oise corrected					
Li ⁺	Н,О	Н	GTO (4)	[3]				
	a	C 0	GTO (9/5)	[5/3]	assumed	178	184	50)
		:1	GTO (7/1)	[3/1]		Li-O		
Li ⁺	H ₂ CO	all	GTO STO-3G		<ul> <li>fully optimized</li> </ul>	not	404	51)
						given		
ri+	H,CO	Н	GTO (4)	[3]				
		C, 0	GTO (9/5/1)	[5/3/1]	assumed	182	161	40)
		Li	GTO (7/1)	[3/1]		Li—O		
Li+	H ₂ CO	all	GTO 6-31G		<ul> <li>fully optimized</li> </ul>	177	185	52)
						Li–O		53)
	4 H ₂ CO	Н	GTO (4)	[1]	STO-3G geometry			
	1	0 Ú	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	<ul><li>* tetragonal,</li></ul>	185	489	167
		Li	GTO (7)	[2]	* part. optimized	Li–O		
Li ⁺	5 H,CO	Н	GTO (4)	[]	STO-3G geometry			
	1	ن 0 ت	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	<ul> <li>pentagonal,</li> </ul>	200	524	29)
		Li	GTO (7)	[2]	* part. optimized	Li–O		
÷	6 H,CO	Н	GTO (4)	[1]	STO-3G geometry			
	4	0,0 C,0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	<ul> <li>hexagonal,</li> </ul>	210	520	29)
		Ľ	GTO (7)	[2]	* part. optimized	Li-O		

26

(61	19)	18)	21)	21)	21)	21)	25)	45)	45)	(61	(61	22)	28)	28)	45)
235	187	220	200	175	173	167	204	113	199	243	172	169	154	203	177
195	195 LI-IN	not .	given 195	200	Ei-N 200	200	Li—N not	given 182	193 193	1176 176	176 176	not aiven	180 180	181	L1-0 178 L1-0
* fully optimized	* 3-21G geometry	assumed	experimental	experimental	experimental	experimental	experimental	* 3-21G geometry	* 3-21G geometry	* fully optimized	* 3-21G geometry	assumed	not specified	not specified	* 3-21G geometry
				[1/6]	[3/1]	[1/c] [5/3/1]	[3/1]					[1] [2/1]	[1] [2/1]	[1/8]	
GTO 3-21G	GTO 6-31G*	GTO STO-3G	GTO 4-31G	GTO 6-31G*	GTO (7/1) GTO 6-31G** GTO (710)	GTO (1/1) GTO (4/1) GTO (9/5/1)	GTO (7/1) GTO 4-31G	GTO 6-31G*	GTO 6-31G*	GTO 3-21G	GTO 6-31G*	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (7)	GTO 4-31G GTO (8/2)?	GTO 6-31G*
all	all	H, C, N	H, C, N	H, C, N	H, C, N L, C, N	Z CHE	all	all	all	all	all	о н Ú П	೦ 1 ≖ ರೆ ⊐	Н, С, О Li	all
CH ₃ -NH ₂	CH ₃ -NH ₂	CH ₃ -NH ₂	HN=0	NH ₂ -NH ₂	СН ₃ —ОН	СН ₃ —ОН	СН ₃ —ОН	CH₃−OH	CH ₃ -OH	NH ₂ -OH					
ri +	Li+	Li ⁺	Li ⁺	Li ⁺	Li ⁺	Li ⁺	ri+	Li ⁺	Li ⁺	Li ⁺	ri+	Li ⁺	Li ⁺	Li ⁺	Li+

# Calculation of Interaction Energies in Host-Guest Systems

Table 1	l. (continued)							
Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	×.	-E(I	nt) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	<ul> <li>Complex geometry.</li> </ul>	[md]	[kJ/ mole]	
Li ⁺	НО-ОН	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	189 Li-O	156	45)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ F	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	166 1166	146	45)
Li+	PH3	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	258	119	19)
Li ⁺	PH ₃	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	258 Li—P	109	19)
Li ⁺	H ₂ S	H, S Li	GTO STO-3G GTO (7/1)	[3/1]	experimental	220 - LiS	184	(7
Ľ!	H ₂ S	H, S H, S	GTO 4-31G GTO (7/1)	[1/b]	experimental	240 11-S	92	1)
r:+	H ₂ S	all	GTO 3-21G	<b>I</b> . [A]	* fully optimized	244	98	161
Li ⁺	$H_2S$	all	GOT 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	244 L. S.	75	19)
Li ⁺	H ₂ S	H, S Li	GTO 4-31G GTO 5-21G		experimental	249 Li—S	109	55)
Li+	NH ₂ -F	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	182 1 i_F	152	45)
Li ⁺	HOF	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	178 Li—F	105	45)
Li ⁺	HCI	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	245 1 iC1	68	(61
Li ⁺	HCI	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	245 11-01	57	19)
Li+	HCI	н, сі Li	GTO 4-31G GTO 5-21G		experimental	241 Li-Cl	74	23)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -CN	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	188 Li–N	226	19)

28

Li ⁺	CH ₃ -CN	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	188 1 i _ N	188	(61
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -CN	H H	GTO (3) GTO (3)	[1]			140	22)
		z J II	GTO 6G3G	[1/7]	assumed	not given	103	
Li+	CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂	all	GT0 6-21G		* fully optimized	I89 Li–N	253	56)
Li ⁺	CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂	all	GTO 6-31G*		* fully optimized	196 Li–N	198	56)
Li ⁺	СН3-СНО	all	GTO 3-21G		<ul> <li>fully optimized</li> </ul>	171 Li—0	245	(61
Li ⁺	сн ₃ -сно	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	171 1 i O	190	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₂ -0-CH ₂	all	GTO 6-21G		* fully optimized	172 Li-0	252	56)
Li ⁺	CH ₂ -0-CH ₂	all	GTO 6-31G*		<ul> <li>fully optimized</li> </ul>	180 Li—O	182	56)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -NH-CH ₃	H, C, N Li	GTO STO-3G GTO 663G		assumed	not eiven	213	18)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -NH-CH ₃	all	GT0 3-21G		<ul><li>fully optimized</li></ul>	193 Li–N	231	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -NH-CH ₃	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	193 Li-N	182	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -CH ₂ -NH ₂	L L L L	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not given	177	22)
rı,	HCONH ₂	H C, N, O Li	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not given	212	22)
ri+	HCONH ₂	H C, N, O Li	GLO (3) GLO (4/2) GLO (4/1)	[3] [4/2] [4/1]	experimental	172 Li—0	234	57)
Li+	HCONH ₂	H C, N, O Li	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1]	assumed	175 Li—O	171	58)

# Calculation of Interaction Energies in Host-Guest Systems

lon	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	2	-E(In	t) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	Comprex geometry	ſuudì	[kJ/ mole]	
Li ⁺	HCONH2	н С, N, О	GTO (3) GTO (6/3) GTO (6/1)	[3]? [4/2] [4/1]	assumed	172 11:0	219	58)
Li ⁺	HCONH ₂	н С N O	GLO (1) GLO (2) GLO (2) GLO (2)		experimental	177 Li-O	162	(65
Li⁺	HCONH2	H C, N, O Li	GLO (4/1) GLO (8/4/1) GLO (8/1)	[2/1] [4/2/1] [4/1]	experimental	not given	218	<b>5</b> 9)
Li ⁺	2 HCO–NH ₂	H C, N, O Lí	GLO (3) GLO (4/2) GLO (4/1)	[3] [4/2] [4/1]	experimental	183 Li-O 219 11-N	431	60)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -CH ₂ -OH	н с, о	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO 6636	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not niven	175	22)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -0-CH ₃	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	175 175	242	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ 0CH ₃	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	175 175	172	19)
Li ⁺	СН ₃ 0-СН ₃	all	GTO STO-3G		experimental	170 0_170	326	61)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ OCH ₃	н С, О	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (5)	[1] [2/1] [1]	experimental	170 Li-O	131	62)
Li ⁺	НСООН	о СО	GL0 (1) GL0 (2/1) GL0 (3/1)	[1] [2/1]	assumed	175	171	63)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ PH ₂	all	GTO 3-21G	[1]	* fully optimized	256 256 Li-P	136	161

30

Table 1. (continued)

Li ⁺	CH ₃ -PH ₂	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	256	131	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -SH	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	Li-P 240	121	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -SH	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	240 240	100	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ Cl	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	233 233	111	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -CI	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	11-CI 233	87	19)
Li ⁺	(CH ₃ ) ₂ CH-CN	H C, N	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not given	158	22)
ĽI,	CH ₃ -CO-CH ₃	н С, О	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (7/3)	[1] [2/1]	assumed	176 1	181	22)
Li+	CH ₃ -CO-CH ₃	all	GTO 6-31G		* fully optimized	not not	222	52)
:+ E:+	HC=0 HC=0	о нссн	GLO (4) GLO (8/4) GLO (8)	[3] [4/2]	experimental	given	197	64)
	HC=0 HC=0	ວ ເປັນ	GLO (1) GLO (2) GLO (2)		experimental	not given	113	65)
LI	2 H = 0 H = 0	о цол	GTO (4) GTO (7/3) GTO (7/3)	[2] [4/2] [4/1]	assumed	202 1 i0	425	66)
Ľi+	N(CH ₃ ) ₃	H, C, N Li, C	GTO STO-3 J GTO 6G3C	[+ (+]	assumed	not given	201	18)
Li+	(CH ₃ ) ₂ CHNH ₂	N E C H	GTO (3) (3) GTO 6G3G	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not given	181	22)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -CO-NH ₂	H C, N, O Li	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1] [1]	experimental	175 Li-O	178	67)

## Calculation of Interaction Energies in Host-Guest Systems

	· (vounder)							
Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	R	-E(In	it) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	compres geometry	fmrdl	mole]	
Li ⁺	HCONHCH ₃	C Z H U	GTO (3) CTO (3)	[1]		175	510	22)
			GTO 6G3G	[1/7]	435411174	Li–O	C17	
Li ⁺	HCONHCH ₃	H	GL0 (1)	[1]				Ş
		о ́У́У́О	GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[2/1] [1]	assumed	177 Li—O	155	(89
Li ⁺	NH ₂ -CO-NH ₂	all	GTO 6-31G	1	<ul><li>* fully optimized</li></ul>	not	271	52)
Li⁺	НО-СО-ОН	all	GTO 6-31G		* fully optimized	given not	195	52)
Li+	CH ₃ -PH-CH ₃	all	GTO 3-21G		<ul><li>fully optimized</li></ul>	given 255 1 : D	148	(61
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -PH-CH ₃	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	255	148	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ SCH ₃	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	L1-F 237	136	(61
$Li^+$	CH ₃ -S-CH ₃	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	237 237 Li—S	119	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ SCH ₃	H O S I	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (9/6)	[1] [2/1] [3/2]	experimental	243 Li—S	70	62)
Li ⁺	F-C0-F	all	GTO 6-31G	[ <u>F</u> ]	* fully optimized	not	105	52)
Li ⁺	сно-сн ₂ -сно	о ГСО	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1]	assumed	given	200	65)
Ľ+	$(CH_3)_3C-NH_2$	N E C H	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not given	182	22)

32

Table 1. (continued)
						Contraction of the local data	Contraction of the local data and the local data an	
Li ⁺	CH ₃ CH ₂ -CONH ₂	H C, N, O Li	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	175 Li—O	187	67)
Li+	HCO-NH-CH ₂ -CH ₃	H C, N, O	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not given	221	22)
ri+	HCON(CH ₃ ) ₂	о с, n, o	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not given	223	22)
* *	HCO-N(CH ₃ ) ₂	н с' N' О : Г' C	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1] [1]	experimental	not given	169	69)
	сн3-ссо-ин-сн3	O N ECU	GLO (1) GLO (2) GLO (2)	[] [2/1]	experimental not varied	175 - Li—O	180	67)
. + -	CH3CH2OCH3CH3	0 = = : : : : = :	GIO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not given	181	22)
- - -	CH_CH1-CH1-CH1-CH1	0 1 C C 1	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (5)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	172 Li-O	135	62)
1 : 1 :		0 ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	GTO (7) GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	[1/2]	assumed	not given	172	22)
	C ₅ H ₅ N (pyridine)	Z E Č H	GIO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO 6G3G	[1] [2/1]	assumed	192 Li-N	179	22)
+ +	CHO-CH ₂ -CH ₂ -CHO	о п С С н	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1] [1]	assumed	not given	236	65)
3 1		o ri ri v	GLO (1) GLO (2) GLO (2) GLO (2)		experimental	175 Li-O	161	67)
7		c, N, O Li	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (5)	[1] [1]	assumed	169 Li—O	189	62)

lon	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/ * Commiss manuatry	R	—E(I	nt) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	Comptex geometry	findl	mole]	
Li +	СН, -СО-NH-СН,-СН,	H	GTO (3)					
	5 4	C, N, O	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	assumed	not	231	22)
		Li	GTO 6G3G	5 		given		
Li+	CH ₁ -CO-NH-CH ₂ -CH ₃	Н	GLO (1)	[1]	experimental	)		
	) a	C, N, O	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	* not varied	175	185	67)
		Li	GL0 (2)	[1]		Li-O		
г:+	$CH_1 - CO - N(CH_1)_2$	Н	GTO (3)	Ξ				
	7	с, n, o С, N, o	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	assumed	not	232	221
		Li	GTO 6G3G			given		
ר:+ רו	CH ₁ -C00-CH ₁ -CH ₁	Н	GTO (3)	[1]				
	2	0 'Ú	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	assumed	not	178	22)
		Li	GTO 6G3G			given		
Li ⁺	СН ₃ -0-СН,-СН,-О-СН ₃	Н	GTO (3)	[1]	assumed			
	1	0,0 C,0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	synperiplanar	178	244	70)
		Li	GTO (5)	E	E(conf) = 30 kJ/mole	Li–O		
Li ⁺	HÇ=S	Н	GTO (4)	[2]				
	$\frac{2}{10}$ H/ $-$ s	c	GTO (7/3)	[4/2]	assumed	213	87	(99
		s	GTO (10/6)	[5/4]		Li-O		
		Li	GTO (7/3)	[4/1]				
Li ⁺	$CH_{3}-(CH_{2})_{3}-CO-NH_{2}$	Н	GLO (1)	[1]	experimental			
	5 8 8	C, N, O	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	* not varied	175	193	67)
		Li	GLO (2)	[1]		Li–O		
Li ⁺	$HCO-N(CH, -CH_{1}),$	Н	GTO (3)	[1]				
	a a	C, Z, O	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	assumed	not	232	22)
		Li	GTO 6G3G			given		
Ľ;	NH,CONHCONH,	Н	GLO (1)	[1]				
	4	C, X, O	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	experimental	180	269	(11)
		Li	GLO (2)	[1]		Li-O		

34

Table 1. (continued)

2 NH ₂ CONHC	CO-NH ₂	H GLO (1) C, N, O GLO (2/1)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	not	302	11)
$CO-N=C(NH_2)-CH=CH-NH H CO-N=C(NH_2)-CH=CH-NH H CO-N=C(N+2)-CH=CH-NH H CO-N+CH-NH H CO-NH H $	о Су Сунс	GL0 (2) GL0 (1) GL0 (2/1) GL0 (2/1)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	given 195 1 : O	270	72)
$\begin{bmatrix} CO-N=C(NH_2)-CH=CH-NH & H \\ CO-N=C(NH_2)-CH=CH-NH & H \\ C, N, O & C, N, O \end{bmatrix}$	о суу суу	GLO (2) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	195 Li-O	242	73)
$CH_3-CO-N(CH_2-CH_3)_2$ counterpt H C, N, O Li	counterpo H C, N, O Li	ise corrected GTO (3) GTO 6(7/3) GTO 6G3G	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not given	238	22)
$CH_3 - CO - N(CH_2 - CH_3)_2 \qquad H \\ C_1 N_0 \qquad C_1 N_0 \qquad C_1 N_0 \qquad C_2 N_0 \qquad C_1 \\ C_2 N_1 C_1 C_2 C_2 N_1 \qquad C_2 \\ C_1 N_1 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 N_1 \qquad C_2 \\ C_1 N_1 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 \\ C_2 N_1 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 \\ C_2 N_1 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 \\ C_2 N_1 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 \\ C_2 N_1 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 \\ C_2 N_1 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 \\ C_2 N_1 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 \\ C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 \\ C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 \\ C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2 C_2$	H C, N, O : Li	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (5)	[1] [1]	assumed	170 Li—O	193	62)
	ч С N С H	GL0 (1) GL0 (2) GL0 (2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	176 Li—O	188	72)
CU-NH-CH=C(CH ₃ )-CU-NH H C, N, O thymine Li Li	H C, N, O Li counterno	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2) dise contracted	[1] [2/1] [1]	experimental	176 Li—O	168	73)
$ \begin{array}{c} N - C(NH_2) = C - N = CH \\ D + -N = = C NH \\ C, N \\ admine \\ Li \end{array} $	C, N Li	GLO (2) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1] [1]	experimental	185 Li—O	212	72)
NC(NH ₂ )=C-N=CH CHN==CNH adenine	H C, N LI, N	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	185 Li—O	194	(67
$NH-CO-C-N=CH H$ $H$ $VH_2-C==NCNH C, N, O$ $guanine$ $Li$	counterpois H C, N, O Li	e corrected GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1] [1]	experimental	192 Li—O	293	72)

35

Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	~	-E(lr	it) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	Comprex geometry	find	mole]	
Li +	NH-CO-C-N=CH $NH_2-C==NCNH$ guarance	H C, N, O Li	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	192 Li—O	262	73)
Li ⁺	CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂ -CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂ CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂ -CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂	counterpo C, N Li	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1]	assumed * part. optimized	188 Li—N	517	74)
Г!+ Г	СН ₃ -NH-CH ₂ -CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂ CH ₃ -NH-CH ₂ -CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂	л С С н	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1] [1]	assumed * not varied	188 Li—N	376	74)
Li ⁺	$CH_2 - 0 - CH_2 - CH_2 - 0 - CH_2$ $CH_2 - 0 - CH_2 - CH_2 - 0 - CH_2$	all	GT0 ST0-3G		assumed * not optimized	180 1 iO	923	61)
+ + -	$CH_2 - O - CH_2 - CH_2 - O - CH_1$ $CH_2 - O - CH_2 - CH_2 - O - CH_2$	о нÚЭ:	GLO (I) GLO (2/I) GLO (2)		assumed * part. optimized	204 Li—O	241	74)
- Fi +	СН ₃ -О-СН ₂ -СН ₂ -О-СН ₂ СН ₃ -О-СН ₂ -СН ₂ -О-СН ₂ СН ₂ -О-СН 2СН 2-О-СН ₂	о н Г С н	GLO (I) GLO (2/I) GLO (2) GTO (3)	[] [] []	* not varied	204 Li—O	68	74)
1	$CH_2 - (O - CH_2 - CH_2)_2 - O - CH_2$	0 E Ú E	GTO (7/3) GTO (5)	[2/1]	complexed ligand * experimental	207 Li—O	317	75)
Na + Na +	NH ₃ NH ₃	all all	GTO 3-21G GTO 6-31G*		<ul><li>* fully optimized</li><li>* 3-21G geometry</li></ul>	230 Na-N 230	172 136	19) 19)
Na ⁺	NH3	НХХ	GTO, (6/1) GTO (11/7/1) GTO (12/6)	[3/1] [5/4/1] [6/4]	experimental	NaN 240 NaN	116	20)

36

Table 1. (continued)

$Na^+$	4 NH ₃	H	GTO (4)	[1]	STO-3G geometry		
		z	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	* tetragonal,	235 509	29)
		Na	GTO (10/3)	[3/1]	* part. optimized	Na-N	
$Na^+$	5 NH ₃	Н	GTO (4)		STO-3G geometry		
		Z	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	* pentagonal,	230 585	29)
		Na	GTO (10/3)	[3/1]	* part. optimized	Na-N	
$Na^+$	6 NH ₃	Н	GTO (4)		STO-3G geometry		
		Z	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	* hexagonal,	230 628	29)
		Na	GTO (10/3)	[3/1]	* part. optimized	Na-N	
Na⁺	H ₂ O	Н, О	GTO STO-3G		experimental	200 178	31)
		Na	GTO STO-3G + 2p	orbital		Na0	
$Na^+$	$H_2O$	Н, О	GTO STO-3G		experimental	200 126	31)
		Na	GTO STO-3G reopti	mized		Na-O	
$Na^+$	$H_2O$	Н	GTO (3)	[1]			
		0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	experimental	215 121	31)
		Na	GTO STO-3G reopti	mized		Na-O	
$Na^+$	$H_2O$	Н, О	GTO 4-31G		experimental	200 175	31)
		Na	GTO STO-3G reopti	mized		Na-O	
$Na^+$	$H_2O$	Н, О	GTO 4-31G			220 138	
		Na	GTO STO-3G + 2p	orbital,	experimental	Na-O	31)
			reoptimized				
$Na^+$	H ₂ O	Н	GTO (6/2)	[2/2]			
		0	GTO (11/7/2)	[4/3/2]	not specified	225 100	33)
		Na	GTO (13/8/2)	[7/4/2]		Na-0	
Na ⁺	H ₂ 0	Н	GTO (6/1)	[2/1]			
		0	GTO (11/7/1)	[4/3/1]	not specified	225 105	76)
		Na	GTO (13/8/1)	[7/4/1]		Na-O	
Na ⁺	$H_2O$	Н	GTO (6/1)	[3/1]			
		0	GTO (11/7/1)	[5/4/1]	experimental	224 105	34)
		Na	GTO (14/8/1)	[8/6/1]		Na-O	
$Na^+$	H ₂ O	Н	GTO (4/1)	[2/1]			
		0	GTO (9/5/1)	[4/2/1]	not specified	220 113	36)
		Na	GTO (11/7)	[6/4]		Na-O	
$Na^+$	$H_2O$	all	GTO 3-21G		<ul> <li>fully optimized</li> </ul>	212 173	19)
						Na-0	

Table	1. (continued)							
lon	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	۲ ۲	-E(l)	nt) Ref.
		atium	type uncontracted	contracted	Comprex geometry	ſшď]	mole]	
Na +	H ₂ O	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	212 Na O	118	19)
Na⁺	H ₂ O	ной	GTO (6/1) GTO (11/7/1) GTO (12/6)	[3/1] [5/4/1] [6/4]	experimental	220 220 No	104	20)
Na ⁺	H ₂ O	Н, О Ка	GTO STO-3G GTO STO-3G GTO STO-3G reontin	[º/+] nized	not specified	199 Na_0	170	(77)
$Na^+$	H ₂ O	H O R	GTO (6/1) GTO (6/1) GTO (12/8/2) GTO (13/8/1)	[2/1] [5/8/2] [7/4/1]	experimental	225 Na-O	102	78)
Na +	4 H ₂ O	H O N	GTO (4) GTO (1/3) GTO (10/3)	[1] [2/1] [3/1]	STO-3G geometry * tetragonal, * part. ontimized	210 210 Na-O	477	29)
Na +	5 H ₂ O 6 H O	н о Na	GTO (4) GTO (7/3) GTO (10/3) GTO (4)	[1] [2/1] [3/1]	STO-3G geometry * pentagonal, * part. optimized STO-3G geometry	220 Na-O	552	29)
Na ⁺	UT20 HF	all o	GTO (7/3) GTO (10/3) GTO 3-21G	[2/1] [3/1]	<ul> <li>* hexagonal,</li> <li>* part. optimized</li> <li>* fully optimized</li> </ul>	220 NaO 203	594 131	29) 19)
Na ⁺ Na ⁺	HF HCN	all all	GTO 6-31G* GTO 3-21G		<ul><li>* 3-21G geometry</li><li>* fully optimized</li></ul>	103-5 203 NaF 224	87 145	19) 19)
Na + Na +	HCN H ₂ CO	all all	GTO 6-31G* GTO 3-21G		<ul><li>* 3-21G geometry</li><li>* fully optimized</li></ul>	NaN 224 NaN 208	115 159	(9) (9)
						Na-O		

Na ⁺	H ₂ CO	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	208 1	21	(6)
$Na^+$	H ₂ CO	all	GTO STO-3G		<ul> <li>fully optimized</li> </ul>	na0 not l	41	<b>48</b> )
Na ⁺	4 H ₂ CO	н С, О	GTO (4) [1 GTO (7/3) [1 GTO (10/3) [1	[] 2/1]	STO-3G geometry * tetragonal, * not antimized	Buveu 210 3 Na_O	387	29)
$Na^+$	5 H ₂ CO	н С, О	GTO (4) [] GTO (7/3) []	2/1] 2/1]	Part: Optimized STO-3G geometry * pentagonal,	220 4	4	(62
Na ⁺	6 H ₂ CO	C, O C, O	GTO (10/3) GTO (4) GTO (7/3) []	3/1] 1] 2/1]	* part. optimized STO-3G geometry * hexagonal,	Na0 230 4	<b>1</b> 9	(62
Na +	CH ₃ -NH ₂	Na all	GTO (10/3) [: GTO 3-21G	3/1]	<pre>* part. optimized * fully optimized</pre>	Na-0 229 1 Na-N	691	(61
Na ⁺	CH ₃ NH ₂	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	229 1 Na-N	132	(61
Na ⁺	СН ₃ ОН	all	GTO 3-21G		<ul> <li>fully optimized</li> </ul>	211 1 Na_O	172	19)
$Na^+$	CH ₃ -OH	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	211	121	19)
$Na^+$	CH ₃ -F	all	GTO 3-21G		<ul> <li>fully optimized</li> </ul>	202 C	144	19)
$Na^+$	CH ₃ -F	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	202 202	105	19)
$Na^+$	PH3	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	Na_P	86	19)
$Na^+$	PH3	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	294 Na_P	74	19)
Na +	H ₂ S	all	GT0 3-21G		* fully optimized	278 278 Na_S	74	19)
Na +	H ₂ S	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	278 278 Na S	52	19)
$Na^+$	HCI	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	279 279 Na—Cl	51	19)

Table I	l. (continued)			- "Base in conservations of the second	a a visitain - diretti an			
Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	R	-E(II	it) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	- · · Comprex geometry	رسط	mole]	
Na ⁺	HCI	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	279 No. CI	37	19)
Na⁺	CH ₃ -CN	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	221 221 No N	170	19)
$Na^+$	CH ₃ -CN	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	221 221	140	19)
Na +	$CH_2-NH-CH_2$	all	GTO 6-21G		6-21G geometry	225 225 Na N	178	56)
Na⁺	$CH_2 - O - CH_2$	all	GTO 6-21G		of Li complex 6-21G geometry of Li complex	218 218 Na – N	172	56)
$Na^+$	сн ₃ -сно	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	206 Nº	176	191
Na +	CH ₃ -CHO	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	206 NaO	138	(61
$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{a}^+}$	CH ₃ NHCH ₃	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	228 Na_N	163	(61
Na ⁺	CH ₃ -NH-CH ₃	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	228 Na_N	125	19)
Na +	HCONH ₂	H C, N, O No	GL0 (1) GL0 (2/1) GL0 (2/2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	not	131	(62
$Na^+$	CH ₃ -0-CH ₃	all	GTO 3-21G	[۱/ئى]	* fully optimized	given 210 Na O	169	19)
$Na^+$	CH ₃ -O-CH ₃	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	210 210 Na	118	19)
$Na^+$	CH ₃ OCH ₃	H, C, O Na	GTO STO-3G GTO STO-3G reoptim	uzed	experimental	200 200 Na-O	163	(1)
Na +	CH ₃ OCH ₃	н Na Na	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (9/6)	[1] [2/1] [3/2]	experimental	202 Na-O	90	62)

40

Na +	CH ₃ -PH ₂	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	291	98	19)
Na ⁺	CH ₃ -PH ₂	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	291 291	92	191
Na +	CH ₃ -SH	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	Na-F 273	90	19)
Na ⁺	CH ₃ -SH	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	NaS 273	71	(61
Na ⁺	CH ₃ —CI	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	264 264	84	(61
$Na^+$	CH ₃ CI	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	264 264 27	58	19)
$\mathbf{Na}^{+}$	HC=0	Н	GLO (4) [3]			INA-CI		
	HC=0	C, O Ž	GLO (8/4) [4/2] GLO (11/7) [4/2]		experimental	not	158	64)
$\mathbf{Na}^+$	HC=0	н Н	GLO (I) [1]	-		given		
	HC=0	C, O	GLO (2/1) [2/1]		experimental	not .	110	(59)
$Na^+$	CH ₃ -CO-NH ₂	H Na	GLO (1) [1] GLO (1) [1]			given		
	a 1	C, N, O	GLO (2/1) [2/1]	<b>-</b>	experimental	209	142	67)
Na ⁺	CH ₃ -PH-CH ₃	all	GTO 3-21G	*****	* fully optimized	Na0 288	108	19)
Na ⁺	СН3-РН-СН3	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	Na-P 288	105	19)
Na +	CH ₃ -S-CH ₃	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	Na-P 269	101	19)
$Na^{+}$	CH ₃ -S-CH ₃	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	269	84	19)
$Na^+$	CH ₃ -S-CH ₃	Н	GTO (3) [1]			Na-N		
		s C	GTO (7/3) [2/1] GTO (9/6) [3/2]		assumed	254 Na—S	57	62)
Na ⁺	сно-сн,-сно	Na H	GTO (7/3) [2/1] GLO (1) [1]	. — .				
	7	C, O Na	GLO (2/1) [2/1] GLO (4/2) [2/1]		assumed	not given	175	65)

41

Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b				Ligand geometry/	2	-E(In	t) Ref.
		atom	type	uncontracted	contracted	* Complex geometry	[mq]	[kJ/ mole]	
Na ⁺	CH ₃ -CH ₂ -CO-NH ₂	H C, N, O	OTO GLO	(1) (2/1)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	209	149	67)
Na ⁺	CH ₃ CONHCH ₃	Ligand		(4/2) STO-3G STO 3G montim	[2/1]	part. optimized	Na0 195	208	(11)
$Na^+$	CH ₃ -CH ₂ -O-CH ₂ -CH ₃	й С, О М		31.0-30 teopum (3) (7/3)	[1] [2/1] [2/1]	experimental	225	93	62)
Na +	CH ₃ -C00-CH ₃	Ligand Na	010 010	STO-3G reoptim	ized	part. optimized	199 Na_O	162	(77)
Na +	сно-сн2-сн2-сно	н С, О Na	dro dro dro dro	(1) (2/1) (4/2)	[1] [2/1]	assumed	not	196	65)
Na +	CH ₃ -CH ₂ -CH ₂ -CO-NH ₂	H C, N, O Na	010 010 010	$\frac{(1)}{2}$	[2/1] [2/1] [2/1]	experimental	given 208 NaO	153	67)
Na +	СН ₃ 0-СН ₂ СН ₂ -0СН ₃	О Ž	GTO GTO GTO	3) 7/3) 7/3	[1] [2/1]	assumed synperiplanar F/conf) = 30 k1/mole	212 No 0	172	70)
Na ⁺	NH ₂ -CO-NH-CO-NH ₂	H C, N, O Na	dL0 GL0 GL0 GL0	(1) 2/1) 4/2)	[2/1] [2/1] [2/1]	experimental	210 Na-O	247	(11)
Na +	2 NH ₂ -CO-NH-CO-NH ₂	H C, N, O Na	ero () GLO () GLO (	1) 2/1) 4/2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	not	417	(17
Na +	CON=C(NH ₂ )-CH=CH-NH sylosine	H C, N, O Na	610 610 610 610	3) 3) 7/3) 8TO-3G reoptimi	[1] [2/1] ized	assumed * not optimized	given 215 Na-O Na-N Na-N	216	80)

42

Table 1. (continued)

73)	80)	62)	73)	81)	80)	(EL	80)	73)	74)
191	138	139	122	146	110	132	225	210	182
220 Na-O	200 NaO	201 NaO	210 Na—O	not given	215 Na—O	220 NaN	215 Na0 290 NaN	225 Na-N	208 Na—N
experimental	assumed * not optimized	assumed	experimental	experimental	assumed * not optimized	experimental	assumed * not optimized	experimental	assumed * part. optimized
[1] [2/1] [2/1]	[1] [2/1] contimized	[1] [2/1] [2/1]	[1] [2/1]	[1] [2/1]	[1] [2/1] soptimized	[1] [2/1] [2/1]	[1] [2/1] soptimized	[1] [2/1] [2/1]	[1] [2/1] [2/1]
GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (4/2)	Sise corrected GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO STO-3Gr	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (7/3)	GL0 (1) GL0 (2/1) GL0 (4/2)	ise corrected GTO (3) GTO (7/3) not specified	GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO STO-3G re	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (4/2)	Iste corrected GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO STO-3G r	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (4/2)	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (4/2)
H C, N, O Na	counterpr H C, N, O Na	H C, N, O Na	H C, N, O Na	counterpo H C, O Na	Н Na Na	H C, N Na	counterpo H C, N, O Na	H C, N, O Na	counterpo H C, N Na
CO-N=C(NH ₂ )-CH=CH-NH cytosiae	CO-NH-CH=CH-CO-NH	CH ₃ -CO-N(CH ₂ -CH ₃ ) ₂	CO-NH-CH=C(CH ₃ )-CO-NH	0СH ₂ НО-СН ₂ -СН-СН(ОН)-СН-ОН	$N-C(NH_2)=C-N=CH$ $CHN==CNH$ $detine$	$N-C(NH_2)=C-N=CH$ $U-C(NH_2)=C-NH$ $CHN==CNH$ adenine	NH-CO-C-N=CH NH ₂ -C==NCNH guarine	NH-CO-C-N=CH NH ₂ -C==NCNH guanine	СН ₂ -NHCH ₂ CH ₂ -NHCH ₂   СН ₂ -NHCH ₂ CH ₂ - NHCH ₂
Na +	Na ⁺	Na +	Na ⁺	Na ⁺	Na +	Na +	Na +	Na +	Na ⁺

Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b				Ligand geometry/ * Complex geometryc	R	-E(Int	) Ref.
		atom	type ı	incontracted	contracted	Complex geometry	fmdl	mole]	
Na ⁺	CH ₃ -NH-CH ₂ -CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂	Н	GLO (	1)	[1]	assumed			
	CH ₃ -NH-CH ₂ -CH ₂ -NH-CH ₂	z v	CTO (C	2/1) 4/2)	[2/1] [2/1]	* not varied	208 NaN	62	74)
$Na^+$	çH ₂ -0-СH ₂ -СH ₂ -0-СH ₂	Н	GLO (	(I	[1]	assumed	h7 Ph.7		
	$CH_2-0-CH_2-CH_2-0-CH_2$	C, O Na	OLO ( GLO (	2/1) 4/2)	[2/1] [2/1]	* part. optimized	228 Na—O	247	74)
$Na^+$	СН ₃ -0-СН ₂ -СН ₂ -0-С _{Н2}	Н	GLO (	1)		assumed	2		
	$CH_{3}-0-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-0-CH_{2}$	ں Na	dro (	2/1) 4/2)	[2/1] [2/1]	* not varied	228 Na—O	42	74)
$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{a}^+}$	ÇH₂−(O−CH₂−CH₂)2−O−ÇH₂	Н	GTO (	3)	Ξ	geometry of	) ;		
	$CH_{2}-(O-CH_{2}-CH_{2})_{2}-O-CH_{2}$	C, 0	GTO (	7/3)	[2/1]	complexed ligand	245	343	75)
+ ¥	, The second sec	H Z		//4) L-31G	[2/1]	* experimental	Na-0 278	101	82)
1	б	K	GTO (	14/9)	[8/6]		K-N	101	
$\mathbf{K}^{+}$	NH3	Н	GTO (	6/1)	[3/1]				
		Z	GTO (	(1/2/11)	[5/4/1]	experimental	290	77	20)
+ א	НN	× 1		14/9/5) 4/1)	[8/4/2] [3/1]		KN		
1		z	GTO (	9/5/1)	[5/3/1]	experimental	290	81	21)
+ *	ΗN	ЧI	GTO (	14/9) 6/1)	[8/4] [3/1]		K–N		
1	5	z	GTO (	(1///1)	[5/4/1]	experimental	290	77	21)
		Х	GTO (	14/9)	[8/4]		K–N		
$\mathbf{x}^{_{+}}$	4 NH ₃	H	GTO	4)	Ξ	STO-3G geometry			1
		Z¥		7/3)	[2/1]	* tetragonal, * neut ontimized	270 V N	333	29)
$\mathbf{K}^+$	5 NH ₃	Η	010 010	4)	[1]	STO-3G geometry			
	,	z	GTO (	7/3)	[2/1]	* pentagonal,	280	403	29)
		¥	GTO (	13/6)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	$\mathbf{K}_{-}\mathbf{N}$		

44

Table 1. (continued)

		T	GTO (4)		STO-3G geometry			
	n	Z	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	* hexagonal,	285	457	(67
		К	GTO (13/6)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	K-N		
$\mathbf{K}^{+}$	H,O	Н, О	GTO STO-3G	• •	experimental	250	82	31)
	1	K	GTO STO-3G reoptim	nized		K-0		
$\mathbf{k}^{+}$	Н,О	Н	GTO (3)	[1]				
	1	0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	experimental	257	85	31)
		K	STO-3G reoptimized			K-0		
+ ¥	H2O	Н, О	GTO 4-31G		experimental	250	115	31)
		K	GTO STO-3G reoptim	nized		К-0		
$\mathbf{k}^{\dagger}$	H,0	Н, О	GTO 4-31G			260	101	
	1	×	GTO STO-3G + 3p o	rbital,	experimental	K-0		31)
			reoptimized					
+ K	Н,О	Н	GTO (6/2)	[2/2]				
	a	0	GTO (11/7/2)	[4/3/2]	not specified	269	70	33)
		K	GTO (17/11/2)	[9/6/2]		K-0		
$\mathbf{k}^{\dagger}$	Н,О	Н	GTO (6/1)	[2/1]				
	a	0	GTO (11/7/1)	[4/3/1]	not specified	269	73	76)
		K	GTO (17/11/1)	[11/2/11]		K-0		
$\mathbf{k}^{_{+}}$	H ₂ 0	Н	GTO (4/1)	[2/1]				:
		0	GTO (9/5/1)	[4/2/1]	not specified	265	75	36)
		К	GTO (14/9)	[8/6]		K-0		
$\mathbf{k}^{_{+}}$	H ₂ O	Н, О	GTO 4-31G		assumed	259	98	82)
	1	K	GTO (14/9)	[8/6]		K-0		
$\mathbf{k}^{\dagger}$	H ₂ O	H	GTO (6/1)	[3/1]				
		0	GTO (11/7/1)	[5/4/1]	experimental	270	72	(07
		X	GTO (14/9/5)	[8/4/2]		K-0		
$\mathbf{K}^+$	H ₂ O	Н	GTO (4/1)	[3/1]				2
		0	GTO (9/5/1)	[5/3/1]	experimental	270	76	(17
		×	GTO (14/9)	[8/4]		$K_{-0}$		
+ ¥	H ₂ O	Н	GTO (6/1)	[3/1]				
		0	GTO (11/7/1)	[5/4/1]	experimental	270	72	(12
		х	GTO (14/9)	[8/4]		K-0		ł
$\mathbf{k}^{+}$	$H_2O$	Н, О	GTO STO-3G		not specified	240	117	(11
		К	GTO STO-3G reoptim	nízed		K0		

Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	R	-E(In	t) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	compres geometri y	ſ'n'nďIJ	mole]	
+ K	4 H,O	Н	GTO (4)	Ξ	STO-3G geometry			
	٩	0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	* tetragonal,	260	320	29)
		K	GTO (13/6)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	К—О		
+ ¥	5 H,O	Н	GTO (4)	[1]	STO-3G geometry			
	٩	0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	* pentagonal,	265	387	29)
		K	GTO (13/6)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	K-0		
+ K	6 H,O	Н	GTO (4)	Ξ	STO-3G geometry			
	a	0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	* hexagonal,	265	441	167
		K	GTO (13/6)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	$K_{-0}$		
$\mathbf{k}^{\dagger}$	HCN	H, C, N	GTO 4-31G		assumed	270	88	82)
		K	GTO (14/9)	[8/6]		K-N		
$\mathbf{k}^{+}_{\mathrm{t}}$	4 H,CO	Н	GTO (4)	[1]	STO-3G geometry			
	a	c, 0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	* tetragonal,	270	265	29)
		X	GTO (13/6)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	K-0		
$\mathbf{k}^{+}$	5 H ₂ CO	Н	GTO (4)	[1]	STO-3G geometry			
	à	C, 0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	<ul> <li>pentagonal,</li> </ul>	265	316	29)
		×	GTO (13/6)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	K0		
$\mathbf{K}^{+}$	6 H,CO	Н	GTO (4)	[1]	STO-3G geometry			
	à	C, 0	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	<ul> <li>hexagonal,</li> </ul>	270	354	29)
		×	GTO (13/6)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	$K_{-0}$		
$\mathbf{K}^+$	CH ₃ NH ₂	H, C, N	GT0 4-31G		assumed	278	96	82)
	•	K	GTO (14/9)	[8/6]		K-N		
$\mathbf{k}^+$	CH ₃ -NH ₂	Н	GTO (4/1)	[3/1]				
		C, N	GTO (9/5/1)	[5/3/1]	experimental	290	62	21)
		X	GTO (14/9)	[8/4]		K - N		
$\mathbf{k}^+$	CH ₃ -NH ₂	Н	GTO (6/1)	[3/1]	experimental	÷		
		z ບໍ	GTO (11/7/1)	[5/4/1]	* not varied	290	76	21)
		×:	GTO (14/9)	[8/4]		K_N		
τ t	CH ₃ OH	Н, С, О V	GTO 4-31G	13/01	assumed	259	8	(70
		4	(4/21) 010	[0/0]	HOL VARIEU			

46

Table 1. (continued)

							And a second sec	
⁺ K	$H_2S$	H, S	GTO 4-31G		experimental	330	49	55)
+ X	NJ HJ	K N N	GTO (14/9)	[9/8]	-	K-S		621
4		א ה ג ה	GTO (14/0)	18/61	assumed	273 V N	107	(70
⁺ ¥	CH ₃ -NH-CH ₃	H, C, N	GTO 431G	[o/o]	assumed	278	62	82)
+ 2		×	GTO (14/9)	[8/6]	* not varied	K-N		
2	ACONH2	Ligand	GTO 4-31G		assumed	259	124	82)
$\mathbf{K}^+$	СН,ОСН,	C L H	GTO (14/9)	[8/6]	* not varied	К-0 750	01	82)
	0 0	K, ^{c, c}	GTO (14/9)	[8/6]	* not varied	K_0	16	
+ ¥	CH ₃ -O-CH ₃	Н, С, О	GTO STO-3G		experimental	240	108	61)
+		<b>ک</b> ا	GTO STO-3G reopt	timized		K—0		
<b>X</b>	HC=0	H	GL0 (1)	[1]				
	HĊ=0	0 () %	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	experimental	not	146	64)
+ ¥		4 2	GLO (8/4)	[3/2]		given		
:		C, N, O	GLO (2/1)	[1] [2/1]	experimental * not varied	250	131	67)
4		K	GLO (8/4)	[3/2]		K-0		
¥	CH0-CH2-CH0	H	GLO (1)	Ξ				
		ဝ ပ်	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	assumed	not	169	65)
+ 1		¥:	GLO (8/4)	[3/2]		given		1
2	CH3-CU-NH-CH3	Ligand	GTO STO-3G	•	part. optimized	235	147	(11
+ X		L issued	GTO STO-3G reopt	imized	•	K-0		177
4	C113-COO-C113	Liganu K	GTO STO-3G reont	imized	part. optimized	Z40	10/	
$\mathbf{k}^{+}$	CHO-CH2-CH2-CH0	Η	GLO (I)	[1]				
		0 Ú	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	assumed	not	187	65)
K⁺	NH,CONHCONH,	ЧH	GLO (8/4) GLO (1)	[3/2] [1]		given		
	2	C, N, O	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	experimental	250	249	71)
+		¥:	GLO (8/4)	[3/2]		K0		
4	$(H_2 - (U - CH_2 - CH_2)_2 - U - CH_2)$	H	GTO (3)	[1]	geometry of			
	ĊH ₂ -(0-CH ₂ -CH ₂ ) ₂ -O-ĊH ₂	0 2 k	GTO (7/3)	[2/1]	complexed ligand	277	263	75)
⁺ +NH	NH3	all	GTO STO-3G	[7]	assumed	250	L	83)
						N-N	117	

Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	~ [	-E(Ir	nt) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	· complex geometry.	fundl	mole]	
NH4 ⁺	NH ₃	all	GTO 4-31G		assumed	275 N_N	131	83)
$NH_4^+$	NH ₃	Н	GTO (3/1) GTO (8/4/1)	[2/1]	* part. optimized	278 N N	118	85)
⁺ +NH	$ m NH_3$	H H7	GLO (5/1)? GLO (5)? GLO (5/1)?	[1] [1] [2/1]	* part. optimized	271	151	86)
		N H7 is involt	GLO (10/5) ved in hydrogen hond	[4/2]		Z  Z		
⁺⁺HN	NH3	all all	GTO 4-31G		4-31G geometry	279 N_N	112	87)
NH4	2 NH ₃	all	GTO STO-3G		assumed	260 N	310	84)
$NH_4^+$	3 NH ₃	all	GTO STO-3G		assumed	265 N N	407	84)
$\rm NH_4^+$	4 NH ₃	all	GTO STO-3G		assumed	270 N N	481	84)
$\rm NH_4^+$	4 NH ₃	all	GTO STO-3G		assumed	270 N N	475	83)
$\mathrm{NH}_4^+$	5 NH ₃	all	GTO STO-3G		assumed	290 290	524	84
⁺HN	H ₂ 0	H H	GTO (3) CTO (7(3)	[1]	experimental	270 N	95	31)
NH4 ⁺	H ₂ 0	all C	GTO STO-3G	[1/7]	experimental	240 N	156	83) 84)
NH4 ⁺	H ₂ 0	all	GTO 4-31G		experimental	265 N	118	83)
NH4⁺	H ₂ O	all	GTO 3-21G		* fully optimized	259 N0	142	88)
$\mathrm{NH}_4^+$	H ₂ O	all	GTO 6-31G*		* 3-21G geometry	259 N-O	82	88)

48

Table 1. (continued)

			and the second sec			a manufacture of the second se			
NH4⁺	H ₂ 0	all	GTO (	5-31G*		* part. optimized	not	90	88)
NH₄+	H ₂ O	all	GTO 4	F31G		experimental	given 268 N	14	44)
NH4	H ₂ O	all	GTO 4	F-31G		experimental	7 268 N	14	38)
$NH_4^+$	H ₂ O	C H	GTO (	3/1)	[2/1]	* part. optimized	271 271	98	85)
NH4⁺	H ₂ O	o c z H z		(4/1) (4/1) (5/1)	[4/2/1] [2/1] [3/2/1]	assumed	278 N0	86	89)
NH4 ⁺	H ₂ O	o o ź m ź	GT0 GT0	(1/c/c) (4/1) (9/5/1)	[2/1] [2/1] [3/2/1]	assumed	279 N-0	84	(68
NH ⁺	H ₂ O	counterpoi H	GTO (	5/1) 5/1)	[3/1] [5/3/1]	assumed	278 N0	83	(68
*HN	H ₂ 0	о с źни		(10/0/1) (4/1)	[1/c/c]	assumed	279 N	82	(68
$\rm NH_4^+$	H ₂ O	o c ź ± z	6T0 0T0	(7/c/k) (1/9) (0/1/11)	[2/1] [2/1] [4/3/7]	experimental	278 N0	77	60)
⁺ *HN	H ₂ O	о Н Х	GT0 GT0	6/1) 11/7/2)	[2/1] [2/1] [4/3/2]	experimental	278 N 0	LL	106
NH4⁺	H ₂ O	counterpou	GTO (GTO)	aed (4/1) (9/5/1)	[2/1] [4/2/1]	* fully optimized	276 N_0	86	91)
ţHN	H ₂ O		010 010	(4/1) 0/5/1)	[2/1] [4/2/1]	isolated partners fully optimized	277 N_0	85	(16
,*HN	H20	o o Hz	CTO CTO	(4/1) (9/5/1)	[2/1] [4/2/1]	experimental	278 N0	87	61)
, THN	H ₂ O	H N, O counternoi	GTO ( GTO (	(4/1) (9/5/1) Hed	[2/1] [4/2/1]	experimental	278 N_O	85	6116
\$HN	H ₂ O	H H N O	GTO GTO	(4) (9/5)	[2] [4/7]	<ul><li>* same geometry as</li><li>* 2 entries above</li></ul>	278 N—O	108	(16
NH4	$H_2O$	H N, O counterpoi	GTO GTO Se corre	(4) (9/5) cted	[2] [4/2]	<ul><li>* same geometry as</li><li>* 3 entries above</li></ul>	278 N-O	105	(16

Table 1.	. (continued)								
lon	Ligand	Basis set ^b				Ligand geometry/ * Commerce	R [mu]	-E(l)	nt) Ref.
		atom	type un	contracted	contracted	CONTRACT BRONNERS	fundl	mole]	
, HN	Н,О	H	GTO (4	(1)	[2/1]	<ul> <li>same geometry as</li> </ul>	278	81	61)
·	3	oʻz	GTO (9	(5/2)	[4/2/1]	* 4 entries above	0		
NH4	H ₂ O	Н	GTO (4	(1)	[2/1]	* same geometry as	278	81	(16
		0 N	GTO (9)	(5/2)	[4/3/2]	* 5 entries above	0-v		
NH4 ⁺	H ₂ O	H H	GTO (5)		[3/1]	* same geometry as	278	78	(16
,'HN	2 H,O	N, U	GTO SI	10-3G	[7/ <del>4</del> /c]	• o enures above experimental	245	275	83)
r	3						0		84)
NH4 ⁺	2·H ₂ O	all	GTO 3-	21G		* fully optimized	266 N_O	255	88)
,*HN	2 H ₂ O	all	GTO 6-	31G*		* 3-21G geometry	259 - N	155	88)
'HN	2 H,O	all	GTO 6-	31G*		* part.optimized	not	166	88)
•	4						given		
NH4 ⁺	3 H ₂ O	all	GTO 3-	21G		* fully optimized	272 N_O	346	88)
NH4 ⁺	3 H ₂ O	all	GTO 6-	31G*		* 3-21G geometry	259 N	217	88)
PH4 ⁺	3 H ₂ O	all	GTO SI	ro-3G		experimental	255	367	83)
		=					0	141	84) 83)
NH4 ⁺	4 H ₂ O	all		0-20		experimental	0-07 N-07	1441	(1%
,*HN	4 H ₂ O	all	GTO 3-	21G		* fully optimized	277 N-0	427	88)
NH4⁺	4 H ₂ O	all	GTO 6-	31G*		* 3-21G geometry	259 N0	276	88)
ţ₩Ν	5 H ₂ O	all	GTO S	ro-3G		experimental	262 N0	493	84)
NH4⁺	6 H ₂ O	all	GT0 4-	31G		experimental * not varied	268 N-O	659	38)

NH4 ⁺	CH ₃ -0-CH ₃	all	GTO STO-3G		not specified	not	161	92)
⁺HN	НСООН	all	GTO STO-3G		experimental	given 244	122	(£6
NH₄	НО-СН ₂ -СН ₂ -ОН	all	GTO STO-3G		not specified	not	198	92)
Be ⁺⁺	NH3	all	GT0 4-31G		assumed	given 164 D ^o N	686	<b>4</b>
Be ⁺⁺	H ₂ O	НО	GTO (4/1) GTO (9/5/1)	[2/1] [4/2/1]	not specified	150 150	586	36)
Be ^{+ +}	H ₂ O	Be H, O	GTO (9/3) GTO 4-31G	[4/2]	* fully optimized	Be0 156	not	94)
Be ⁺⁺	H ₂ O	all	not specified GTO 4-31G		experimental	Be0 159 Be0	given 601	44)
Be ⁺⁺	H ₂ O	H Be	GTO (4) GTO (9/10) GTO (9/2)	[4] [6/4] [7/1]	experimental	156 Be—O	592	39)
Be ⁺⁺	$H_2O$	Веон	GTO (6/1) GTO (11/7/1) GTO (8)	[2/1] [4/3/1] [2]	not specified	159 BeO	467	95)
Be	H2O	вон	GTO (6/1) GTO (11/7/1) GTO (11/3)	[2/1] [4/3/1] [4/2]	not specified	153 BeO	563	95)
Be ⁺⁺	HCO-NH ₂	all	GTO 4-31G		experimental	148 Be—N	824	<u></u>
Be ⁺⁺	NCONH2	н С, О	GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	not given	594	(67
Be ⁺⁺	HC=0 HC=0	н С, О Ве	GLO (4) GLO (8/4) GLO (8)	[3] [4/2]	experimental	not given	634	64)
Be ⁺⁺	2 HC=0 HC=0	H C, O Be	GTO (4) GTO (7/3) GTO (7/3)	[2] [4/2] [4/1]	assumed * part. optimized	5 185 BeO	1350	66)

LAUIT I.	· (commuca)								
Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b				Ligand geometry/	R	-E(In	tt) Ref.
		atom	type	uncontracted	contracted		[uud]	[kJ/ mole]	
Be ⁺⁺	N(CH ₃ ) ₃	all	GTO	4-31G		* not optimized	164 Be N	799	14
Be ^{+ +}	CH ₃ -CO-NH ₂	C N H C	ero GLO	(1)	[1]	arnanimanta	146	073	671
++		Be Be	oro oro			capetiticital	Be-O	640	
De l	CH3-CH2-CO-MH2	C, N, O Be	ero Gro	(1) (2/1) (2)	[] []	experimental	145 Be—O	676	67)
Be ⁺⁺	CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₂ CONH ₂	H C, N, O	GLO	(1) (2/1)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	144	169	67)
Be ⁺⁺	HC=S	Be H	GLO GTO	(2) (4)	[1]		BeO		
ł	2 HC=S	0	GTO	(7/3)	[4/2]	assumed	210	1110	(99)
		Be	GTO	(10/0) (7/3)	[4/1]	part, optimized	De0		
Be ⁺⁺	NH2CO -NH-CONH2	H C, N, O Be	dro GLO GLO	(1) (2/1) (2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	172 Be—O	795	71)
Be ⁺⁺	2 NH ₂ -CO-NH-CONH ₂	H C, N, O Be	dL0 GL0 GL0	(1) (2) (2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	not given	967	(17
Mg ^{+ +}	$H_2O$	ноÑ	GT0 010	(4) (9/5) (9/5)	[2] [4/2]	assumed	192 Me_O	355	96)
Mg ^{+ +}	H ₂ O	H, O Mg	GT0 0T0	STO-3G STO-3G STO-3G + 2n o	t ∵, ~, rbital	experimental	180 Me—O	490	31)
$Mg^{+}$	H ₂ O	H, O Mg	GT0 GT0	STO-3G reoptim	nized	experimental	MgO	321	31)

52

Table 1. (continued)

31)		91)		31)			36)			97)		176		64)			67)			64)			65)			179			673			67)
217		412	187				335		<b>`</b>	385		not	) piven	1659			1390			454			454			955			444	~		317
187	Mg-C	180		Mg-0	9		195	Me-C	0	not	given	189	Mg-C	202	Ma-C	not not	given	0		not	given	5	not	given	not	given	6		185	Mg-O	not	oiven
exnerimental		experimental		experimental	4		not specified			not specified		* fully optimized		assumed			planar			experimental			experimental			planar		experimental	* not varied			* ontimized'
[1]	optimized	ontimized	nonundo	2p orbital,		[2/1]	[4/2/1]	[6/4]	[7]	[4/2/1]	[5/5]		[6/4]		[6/4]	2	[4/2]	[5/4]	[3]	[4/2]	[6/4]	[1]	[2/1]	[2/1]	[2]	[4/2]	[5/4]	[I]	[2/1]	[2/1]	[2]	[1/C/7]
GTO (3) GTO (7/3)	GTO STO-3G re	GTO STO-36 m	GTO 4-31G	GTO STO-3G +	reoptimized	GTO (4/1)	GTO (9/5/1)	GTO (11/7)	GTO (4)	GTO (7/3/1)	GTO (10/7)	GT0 431G	GTO (10/5)	GTO 431G	GTO (10/5)	GTO (4)	GTO (7/3)	GTO (10/6)	GLO (4)	GLO (8/4)	GLO (11/7)	GLO (1)	GLO (2/1)	GLO (4/2)	GTO (4)	GTO (7/3)	GTO (10/6)	GLO (I)	GLO (2/1)	GLO (4/2)	GTO (4)	GTO (7/3/1)
но	Mg	M° M	H, O	Mg	:	Н	0	Mg	Н	0	Mg	Н, О	Mg	Н, О	Mg	Н	ע ט	Mg	Н	C, O	Mg	Н	C, O	Mg	E ·	0 5	Mg	H	C, N, O	Mg	Ξ,	5
H ₂ O	H,O		$H_2O$			$H_2O$			H ₂ O		(	$H_2O$		6 H ₂ O		HC=NH	² HC=NH		$H_{c}=0$	HC=0			HĊ=0			HC=0		CH3-CU-NH2		но сп		
Mg ⁺	Me ^{+ +}	β	Mg ⁺⁺		++~	Mg		4 4 8	Mg ⁺		+ +	Mg	++	Яĝ	-	Mg ^{+ +}		++	Яg		+ + - •	Mg		+ +~YV	SIN		++~	SIN		Ma++	STA	

54	Table 1.	. (continued)			- You and Annotation					
	Ion	Ligand	Basis set ^b				Ligand geometry/	R	—E(I	nt) Ref.
			atom	type	uncontracted	contracted	Comptex geometry	fmdl	mole]	
	Mg ^{+ +}	СНОСН ₂ СНО	H	GLO	(1)	[1]				
	)		C, O	GLO	(2/1)	[2/1]	assumed	not	527	65)
			Mg	GLO	(4/2)	[2/1]		given		
	$Mg^{++}$	CH ₃ -CH ₂ -CO-NH ₂	H H	GLO	()	Ξ	experimental			F
			C, Z, O	GLO	(2/1)	[2/1]	* not varied	185	464	1/0
	+ + ~ J X	HJ HN UJ HJ	Мg	0T0	(4/2)	[2/1] [1]		MgU		
	MB MB		C.N.O	GTO	(7/3)	[2/1]	assumed	175	414	(86
			Mg	GTO	(7/4)	[2/1]		Mg-O		
	$Mg^{++}$	CHO-CH,-CH2-CHO	Н	GLO	(1)	[1]				
	6	3	c, 0	GLO	(2/1)	[2/1]	assumed	not	607	65)
			Mg	GLO	(4/2)	[2/1]		given		
	Mg ^{+ +}	CH,-CH,-CH,-CO-NH,	Н	GLO	(1)	[1]	experimental			
	ò	4 2	C, N, O	GLO	(2/1)	[2/1]	* not varied	185	476	67)
			Mg	GLO	(4/2)	[2/1]		Mg-O		
	$Mg^{++}$	$CH_3 - CO - N(CH_3)_2$	Н	GTO	(3)	[1]				
	i	9 5	C, N, O	GTO	(7/3)	[2/1]	assumed	177	421	(86
			Mg	GTO	(1/4)	[2/1]		Mg0		
	Mg ⁺⁺	, HC=S	Н	GTO	(4)	[2]		not		, inc
		2 HC=S	c	GTO	(1/3)	[4/2]	planar	given	425	(16
			Mg, S	010 010	(10/6)	[5/4]				
	Mg				(c)	[1]	pettitise	187	675	981
			Mg Vitio	GTO	(7/4)	[2/1]		Mg0	1	ĩ
	Mg + +	NH,CONHCONH,	, н	GLO		[1]		ł		
	0	1	C, N, O	GLO	(2/1)	[2/1]	experimental	185	665	(11)
	4 4 1		Mg	GLO	(4/2)	[2/1]		Mg0		
	Mg	$2 \text{ NH}_2 - \text{CO} - \text{NH} - \text{CO} - \text{NH}_2$				[1] [2/1]	experimental	not	1037	71)
			₩g	GLO	(4/2)	[2/1]		given		

$CO-N=C(NH_2)-C$	H−H)=H	H GTO (I)	[1]				
C, N, cytosine Mg	C, N, Mg	0 GL0 (2/ GL0 (4/	() [2/1]	experimental	220 Mg-O	161	73)
CO-NH-CH=CH-CO-NH H Uncolor Uracit C, N, MG	Ϋ́, Ν̈́, Μ, Ν, Μ. Μ, Ν, Μ.	GTO (7/3) O GTO (7/3) O GTO (7/3) CTO CTO	[1] [2/1]	experimental * not optimized	175	434	(66
$CH_3-CH_2-CH_2-CO-N(CH_3)_2$ H C. N. (	H C N.C	GTO (3) GTO (3)	1-30 reopumized	pentitase	Mg0	101	186
CH ₃ -CO-CH(OH)-S-CH ₃ H	Мg Н	GTO (7/4 GTO (3)	() [2/1]	part. optimized :	Mg-0	164	
ຊ໌ ບິ <i>ນ</i>	ς, Σ, ζ	0 GTO (7/3 GTO (10/	) [2/1] [3/1]	* distances Mg-O	197	410	100)
СО-NH-CH=С(СН ₃ )-СО-NH H	Mg H	GTO STC GLO (1)	)-3G reoptimized	* STO-3G basis set	Mg-O		
thymine C, N, C Mg	C, N, O Mg	) GLO (2/1 GLO (4/2	[2/1]	experimental	210 Mg-O	122	73)
$N-C(NH_2)=C-N=CH H H$ $U-C(NH_2)=C-N=CH H H H$ $CH-N==C-NH C, N$ $M_{chenine} M_{chenine}$	Counter C, N Mg	poise corrected GLO (1) GLO (2/1 GLO (4/2)	[1] [2/1] [2/1]	experimental	220 Mg—N	132	73)
$NH_2-CO-C-N=CH H H$ $NH_2-C==NCNH C, N, O$ $guardine MG$	C, N, O Mg	GLO (1) GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (4/2)	[1] [2/1]	experimental	225 Mg—N	210	73)
$\begin{array}{c} (CH_{3})_{2} N - CO - CH_{2} & H_{2} \\ (CH_{3})_{2} N - CO & C, N, O \\ (CH_{3})_{2} N - CO - CH_{2} & H_{2} \\ \end{array}$	Counter H C, N, O Mg H	GTO (3) GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (7/4) GTO (3)	[1] [2/1] [2/1]	assumed	182 Mg—O	691	98)
(CH ₃ ) ₂ N–CO–ĊH ₂ C, N, C H ₂ O H	C, N, C Mg H	) GTO (7/3) GTO (7/4) GTO (4)	[2/1] [2/1] [2]	assumed	180 Mg-O	705	686
0 Ca	Ca	GTO (9/5 GTO (11/	) [4/2] 7) [6/4]	assumed	236 Ca—O	242	(96

Table 1	l. (continued)							
lon	Ligand	Basis set ^b			Ligand geometry/	R	—E(In 正U	it) Ref.
		atom	type uncontracted	contracted	COmpress geometry	fund	mole	
Ca ⁺⁺	Ю.Н	Н, О	GTO STO-3G		experimental	220	197	31)
	- 7	Ca	GTO STO-3G reoptir	nized		Ca-O		
$Ca^{+}$	H ₂ O	НC	GTO (3) GTO (7/3)	[1] [1/2]	experimental	230	213	31)
		Ca	GTO STO-3G reopti	mized		Ca-O		
$Ca^{++}$	Н,О	Н, О	GTO 4-31G		experimental	230	274	31)
-	1	Ca :: >>	GTO STO-3G reopti	mized		Ca-O		
$Ca^{++}$	$H_2O$	о́н т	GIU 4-31G		1 - 7	000	270	31)
		Ca	GIU SIU-3U + 3P	ordital,	experimental	Ca_0	107	Ī
++	0 11	n	CTO (4/1)	[1/6]		Callo		
Ca.	H ₂ O	90	GTO (9/5/1)	[4/2/1]	not specified	240	222	36)
		Ca	GTO (14/9)	[8/6]	1	Ca-O		
$Ca^{++}$	Н,О	Н, О	GT0 4-31G		<ul> <li>fully optimized</li> </ul>	230	not	94)
	7	Ca	GTO (12/7)	[8/6]		Ca-O	given	
Ca + +	6 H,O	Н, О	GTO STO-3G		STO-3G geometry	230	1424	101)
	4	Ca	GTO (14/9)	[6/3]		Ca-O		
Ca ⁺⁺	6 H,O	Н, О	GTO 4-31G		assumed	240	1272	94)
	1	Ca	GTO (12/7)	[8/6]		Ca-O		
Ca ⁺⁺	HC=0	Н	GLO (1)	[]				
	HC=0	၀ ပ်ပိ	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	experimental	not riven	297	64)
++ + C	ОНО- СНО	н	GLO (1)	[-/c]		Ervu		
2		ر ک	GLO (2/1)	[2/1]	assumed	not	389	65)
		Ca	GLO (8/4)	[3/2]		given		
Ca ⁺⁺	CH ₃ -CO-NH-CH ₃	Н	GTO (3)	[1]				
		Ca Ca C	GTO (7/3) GTO (9/6)	[2/1] [3/2]	assumed	217 Ca—O	283	(86

56

сно-сн ₁ -сн ₂ -сн	0	C H U	dro (I) Bro (I)	[1] [1]	powieso	ţ	464	65)
C. C	င်ရ င်ရ		GLO (8/4)	[3/2]	assumed	given	10 <del>1</del>	
СН ₃ —СО—N(СН ₃ ) ₂ Н С, N, О Са	н С' N' О С' N		GTO (3) GTO (7/3) GTO (9/6)	[1] [2/1] [3/2]	assumed	218 Ca—O	286	(86
$NH_2 - CO - CH_2 - CO - NH_2 \qquad H$	H C, N, O		GTO (3) GTO (7/3)		assumed	225 2	483	(86)
NH ₂ CONHCONH ₂ H C, N, O	са С N, O		GLO (9/6) GLO (1) GLO (2/1)	[3/2] [1] [2/1]	experimental	Ca-U 220	558	(1/
$\begin{bmatrix} CO-N=C(NH_2)-CH=CH-NH & H\\ CO-N=C(NH_2)-CH=CH-NH & H\\ C, N, O & Ca & $	O C H C C		GLO (8/4) GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (8/4)	[3/2] [1] [2/1]	experimental	Ca—O 230 Ca—O	439	13)
$CH_3-CH_2-CH_2-CO-N(CH_3)_2$ the counterpoint $C, N, O$	counterpoi H C, N, O	.5	se corrected GTO (3) GTO (7/3)		assumed	218 21	294	686
CO-NH-CH=C(CH ₃ )-CO-NH H hymine Ca Ca	Ca N Ca N Ca N	•	GLO (9/0) GLO (1) GLO (2/1) GLO (8/4)	[5/2] [1] [3/2]	experimental	CaU 217 CaO	307	(67
$N-C(NH_2)=C-N=CH$ $N-C(NH_2)=C-N=CH$ $H$ $CHN==CNH$ $C_2$ $Ca$	Canterpoise C, N Canterpoise Canterpoise		corrected 3L0 (1) 3L0 (2/1) 3L0 (8/4)	[1] [2/1] [3/2]	experimental	231 Ca—N	316	(67
$NH_2-C=N=CH H CO-C_N=CH H C, N, O C NH_2-C==NC_{}NH C, N, O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C $	C, N, O C Ca Ca		5LO (1) 5LO (2/1) 5LO (8/4)	[1] [2/1] [3/2]	experimental	233 Ca—N	506	73)
$N-CO-CH_2 \qquad H \qquad H \qquad C, N, O \qquad C \qquad C, N, O \qquad C \qquad$	H C, N, O Ca		1TO (3) 1TO (7/3) 1TO (9/6)	[1] [2/1] [3/2]	assumed	224 Ca—O	492	(86

57

Table	I. (continued)								
lon	Ligand	Basis set ^b		E		Ligand geometry/ * Commlay accompanie	R I	-E (Int)	) Ref.
		atom	type	uncontracted	contracted	Complex geometry	[md]	(LX) mole]	
Ca ⁺⁺	(CH ₃ ) ₂ N-CO-CH ₂ (CH ₃ ) ₂ N-CO-CH ₂	H C, N, O Ca	GTO GTO GTO	(3) (7/3) (9/6)	[1] [3/2]	assumed	224 Ca—O	510	98)
^a CI a sequentiation of the construction of	Ind pseudopotential calculations are not inc and pseudopotential calculations are not inc an publications using the same basis sets a notation GTO A $(\alpha/\beta/\gamma/\delta)$ [a/b/c/d] means: s-type, b p-type, c d-type, and d f-type orbit notation STO-nG means: a minimal basis s notation n-kIG means: each inner shell is re outer parts described by k and l Gaussians, 110, 5-21G: 114), 6-21G: 114), 6-31G: 117); 6 counterpoise correction procedure is descrip position of the ion was optimized and the g le complex, those without to the uncomplet	ided, neith- ided, neith- e omitted. s-type, $\beta$ p is respectively is respectively resented by espectively 31G* and c ed in ¹¹⁹ . (f cometry of cometry	er are co -type, y ely. Type Or y a singl - Asterii - 31G** See also the ligat	alculations on ou d-type, and $\delta$ f-t- bitals is used eac te basis function t sks indicate addit : ¹¹⁸ ). For 6G3G 2.3.) ad was not relaxe	ter sphere comp ype Gaussian T ₃ h of which is exj aken as a sum o ional polarizatio see ¹⁸ ). d unless otherw	lexes. Calculations improved by pe Orbitals are centered on ator panded into n simple Gaussians f n Gaussians while each valence on functions. For full description ise specified. Entries marked wit	/ the same in A which m A which is a constant is e orbital is n see: 3-210 th an aster ith an aster	authors in are contr gplit into G: ¹¹⁴⁾ , 4. isk refer t	

		)							
lon	Ligand	Basis set				Ligand geometry/	R	-E(Int It-I/	) Ref.
		atom	type und	contracted	contracted	Compres geometry	ſ'n'nďl	mole]	
۔ لئ	Н,О	Н, О	GTO ST	0-4G		STO-4G geometry	240	133	30)
	4	Ĺi	GTO ?		[2/1]	,	$F_{-0}$		
۲ ۲	$H_2O$	Н	GTO (6/	5)	[2/2]				:
		0	GTO (11	(7/2)	[4/3/2]	not specified	251 2	66	33)
		ц	GTO (13	/8/2)	[7/4/2]		F_0		196
L L	H ₂ O	Н	GTO (6/	(1	[3/1]	experimental	251	101	(65
ļ		0, F	GTO (II	(1/2/	[5/4/1]		$F_{-0}$		
Ĩ.	H ₂ O	H	CIU (6/	[]	[1/7]	- 2		00	1023
		0	GTO (11	(1///	[4/3/1]	not specified	251 	86	1707
		ц	GTO (13	(1/8/)	[7/4/1]		$F_{-0}$		;
י ד	$H_2O$	all	GT0 4-3	1G		experimental	248	168	38)
							$F_{-0}$		:
ч Ч	$2 H_2 O$	all	GTO 4-3	IG		<ul> <li>not varied</li> </ul>	248 F_O	302	ŧ
; L	2 H ₂ O	Н	GTO (6/	(1	[3/1]	experimental	255	188	103)
		0, F	GTO (11	(1/L)	[5/4/1]		F-0		
۱ ۲	4 H ₂ O	all	GTO 4-3	DIG		* not varied	not	481	38)
ľ				(		* Guller sections	given	76	104)
4		י ג ה	610 4-3	u G 1 G ± n orbita		norming optimited	F_N	2	
י ב	2 CH ₃ CN	H, C, N	GTO 4-3	DI		4-31G geometry	179	141	104)
	2	Ъ	GT0 4-3	11G + p orbita			F-N		
Ч	3 CH ₃ CN	H, C, N	GTO 4-3	DI		4-31G geometry	186	198	104)
		F	GT0 4-3	<pre>iIG + p orbita</pre>	-		F-N		
۱ آ	4 CH ₃ -CN	H, C, N	GTO 4-3	11G		4-31G geometry	196	237	104)
		Ĺ	GTO 4-3	ilG + p orbita					1061
۲ ۲	2 HCOOH	H Î	GTO (4/	<u>(</u>	[2/1]	* 4-31G geometry	160 r	358	(601
		C, O, F	GTO (9/	5)	[4/2]		H   1		

Table 2. Ab initio SCF calculations on some anion-ligand interactions. For details see Table 1.

JINP I									
Ion	Ligand	Basis set				Ligand geometry/	R	—E(I)	nt) Ref.
		atom	type	uncontracted	contracted	· Complex geometry	ſıııdı	mole]	
	CO-NH-CH=CH-CO-NH	H	GTO	(4/1)	[2/1]	experimental			
	UPP	C, N, O	GTO	(9/5)	[4/2]	* part. optimized	257	378	106)
ļ		۲.,	GTO	(9/5)	[4/2]		$F_{-N}$		
<u>-</u>	$H_2O$	нc	010	(6/2)	[2/2]	- 2		ć	ŝ
		5 C	010 UID	(1/1/1)	[4/3/1] [9/6/7]	not specified	ט 1 331 ס	20	33)
CI-	$H_2O$	Н	GTO	(6/1)	[2/1]				
		0	GTO	(11/7/2)	[4/3/2]	not specified	331	50	102)
		ū	GTO	(17/10/1)	[9/6/1]		CI0		
CI-	$H_2O$	Н, О	GTO	4-31G		fully optimized	240	57	107)
		C	GTO	4-31G + p orbit	al	* part. optimized	CI-H		
CI-	H ₂ O	all	GTO	4-31G		experimental	315	88	44)
							СI—0		
C'	H ₂ O	all	GTO	4-31G		experimental	315	83	38)
ł		11	C E C				CI-0		
5	$H_2U$	C (	210	(0/1)	[1/7]				
		0	GTO	(12/8/2)	[5/8/2]	experimental	339	49	78)
į		ธ	610	(1/11/21)	[10/2/1]		CI0		
CI_	H ₂ O	Η	STO	(2)					
		0 5	STO	(3/2)		* part. optimized	304 304	80	108)
ł		י ז כ		(+/c)			0-0		
5	CH ₃ OH	H, C, O	015	4-31G		fully optimized	237	50	107)
		บ	610	4-31G + p orbit	al	* part. optimized	CI-H		
C'	CH ₃ -CN	H, C, N	GTO	4-31G		* fully optimized	345	48	104)
		U	GTO	4-31G + p orbit	al		a–c		
C	2 CH ₃ -CN	H, C, N	GTO	4-31G		4-31G geometry	346	16	104)
;		บ	GTO	4-31G + p orbit	al		G-C		
CI-	3 CH ₃ -CN	H, C, N	GTO	4-31G		4-31G geometry	350	128	104)
		C	610	4-31G + p orbit	al		CI-C		

60

Table 2. (continued)

104)	107)	107)		107)	107)	( )	107)		107)			109)			109)			109)			109)			109)			109)			109)	
158	92	7	1	49	ţ	4	17		69			64			54			122			101			172			215			213	
355	CIC 222	CI-H	CI-H	244	CI-H	240 7 H	227	CI-H	230	CI-H		339	BrO		339	Br0		340	Br-O		340	Br0		345	Br-O		344	BrO		349	Br—O
4-31G geometry	fully optimized	* part. optimized fully optimized	* part. optimized	fully optimized	* part. optimized	ruiry optimized * nart ontimized	fully optimized	* part. optimized	fully optimized	* part. optimized		experimental		experimental	* not varied			experimental		experimental	* not varied			experimental	¢	experimental	<ul> <li>tefrahedral,</li> </ul>	* not optimized	experimental	* tetragonal	
	orbital	orbital	orbital		orbital	orbital		orbital		orbital	[2]	[4/2]	[8/6/2]	[2/1]	[4/2/1]	[8/6/3]	[2]	[4/2]	[8/6/2]	[2/1]	[4/2/1]	[8/6/3]	[2]	[4/2]	[8/6/2]	[2]	[4/2]	[8/6/2]	[2]	[4/2]	[8/6/2]
GT0 4-31G	GTO 4-31G + p GTO 4-31G	GTO 4-31G + p GTO 4-31G	GTO 4-31G + p	GT0 431G	GTO 4-31G + p	GTO 4-31G + n	GTO 4-31G	GTO 4-31G + p	GT0 4-31G	GTO 4-31G + p	GTO (4) .	GTO (9/5)	GTO (14/11/5)	GTO (4/1)	GTO (9/5/1)	GTO (14/11/6)	GTO (4)	GTO (9/5)	GTO (14/11/5)	GTO (4/1)	GTO (9/5/1)	GTO (14/11/6)	GTO (4)	GTO (9/5)	GTO (14/11/5)	GTO (4)	GTO (9/5)	GTO (14/11/5)	GTO (4)	GTO (9/5)	GTO (14/11/5)
H, C, N	CI H, C, O	СІ Н, С, О	Ū	H, C, O	N C D N	C C	H, C, O	ū	Ligand	; G	Н	0	Br	Н	0	Br	Н	0	Br	Н	0	Br	Н	0	Br	Н	0	Br	Н	0	Br
4 CH ₃ -CN	НСООН	сн ₃ -соон		(CH ₃ ) ₃ C-OH	C.HNH.	Z+ 50-	C ₆ H ₅ -OH		CHCI3		$H_2 O$			H ₂ O		( , , , ,	$2 H_2 O$			2 H ₂ O		(	3 H ₂ O		(	$4 H_2 O$		(	$4 H_2 O$		
CI-	CI-	CI-	Ę	5	CI ~		<u>-</u>	t	5	- <b>-</b> Q	DI			Bſ		ı 4	Br		;	Br		ţ	Br		ç	Ыſ		ŗ	Ыſ		

ante 2. (continueu)								
Ion Ligand	Basis set ^b				Ligand geometry/	R	—E(I	at) Ref.
· · · · ·	atom	type	uncontracted	contracted	· Complex geometry	[md]	[kJ/ mole]	
HC00 ⁻ H,0	Н	GTO	(4/1)	[3/1]	assumed	290	80	110)
4	0'0	GTO	(9/5/1)	[5/3/1]		0-0		
HC00 ⁻ H,0	Н	GTO	(3)	[1]	assumed	275	92	110)
4	C, 0	GTO	(1/3)	[2/1]		0-0		
HC00 [–] H,0	Н	GTO	(3)	[1]				
4	0 '0	GTO	(1/3)	[2/1]	assumed	275	69	110)
	counterpo	oise corre	cted			0-0		
HCO ² H,O	all	GTO	STO-3G		free H ₂ O and ion	250	103	(111)
4					fully optimized	0-0		
HCO ₁ H,O	all	GTO	4-31G		free H ₂ O and ion	283	86	(111)
9					fully optimized	0-0		
HCO, H,O	Н	GTO	STO-3G		free H,O and ion	300	95	111)
N D	C, O	GTO	STO-3G + 3sp e	orbital	STO-3G geometry	0-0		
HCO, H,O	Н	GTO	4-31G		free H,O and ion			
9	0 'C	GTO	4-31G + 3sp orl	bital	4-31G geometry	300	62	111)
					* not varied	0-0		
HCO, 2H,O	all	GTO	4-31G		free H,O and ion	285		
4					fully optimized	273	161	(111)
						0-0		
HCO ₇ 3 H,O	all	GTO	4-31G		free H ₂ O and ion	289		
4					fully optimized	278	220	(111)
						276		
						0-0		

1

Martin Badertscher et al.

62

Table 2. (continued)

of the host and guest improve each other mutually. Thus  $E_{Complex}$  [Eq. (1)] is calculated with a virtually larger basis than  $E_{Host}$  and  $E_{Guest}$ . Larger basis sets lead to more negative total energies.  $E_{Complex}$  is therefore too negative and the interaction energy will be overestimated if calculated according to Eq. (1). This effect was carefully analyzed ^{123,125,126}. Well-balanced small basis sets which are insensitive to the basis set superposition error are now available ^{129,130}.

The basis set superposition error can be estimated using the counterpoise technique ¹¹⁹). In this method the energies  $E_{Host}$  and  $E_{Guest}$  are calculated using the geometry and basis set applied for the complex but with zero charges on the nuclei of the guest and of the host, respectively. It is to be noted that the counterpoise calculation does not exactly eliminate the basis set superposition error. It overestimates the correction term and should not be applied in calculations using extended basis sets ¹⁴⁵).

The importance of polarization functions (like d-orbitals for C, O and N or porbitals for H) for the calculation of ion-ligand interaction energies was pointed out by several authors  $^{7.17,19)}$ . Nevertheles many results using well-balanced small basis sets without polarization functions are acceptable if interactions of ligands with alkali or alkaline earth metal ions are considered (see Tables 1 and 3). The importance of the polarization functions in the case of the ammonium-water interaction was demonstrated recently ⁹¹).

#### 2.4 Geometries

For an accurate description of the interaction energy the geometries of the host, the guest and of the complex should be optimized using large basis sets. In practice the time for the computation is prohibitively large except for small systems. A way to circumvent this problem is the use of experimental geometries of the host and guest and to search only for their optimal position and orientation in the complex. In the case of ion-ligand interactions as compiled in Tables 1 and 2 this procedure leads to satisfactory results.

Geometry optimizations using small basis sets are problematic. The calculated geometries may strongly deviate from the experimentel ones. In a recent study of the  $H_2O-NH_4^+$  complex, it was shown that the use of experimental geometries may be very satisfactory. The influence of geometry optimization on the calculated interaction energy is in this case insignificant if large basis sets are used, ⁹¹) but of significance in case of smaller basis sets ⁸⁸ (see also Tables 1 and 3).

In case of alkali and alkaline earth metal cations as guests, the calculated ionligand distances at the energy minimum depend on the basis set. An improvement of the basis set leads to an increase of the ion-ligand distance. For  $\text{Li}^+ - \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{K}^+ - \text{H}_2\text{O}$  the optimal distances are 176 and 257 pm, if minimal Gaussian basis sets are used. The corresponding values are 189 and 269 pm with extended basis sets (see Table 1). Even these latter values are significantly lower than the sum of the ionic radii ¹³² and the van der Waals radius of the oxygen atom (218 pm for Li⁺ and 273 pm for K⁺). These remaining differences can be explained as a consequence of ligandligand repulsions in case of higher coordination number. Ionic radii and van der Waals radii allow, in general, a good approximation of the experimental results if the ions are fully coordinated. They overestimate, however, the optimal distance

Ion	Ligand	$-\Delta H(Int)$ (exp.) [kJ/mole]	-E(Int) (SCF) ^a [kJ/mole]	Ref. (ex	p.) Ref. (SCF)
Li ⁺	NH ₃	164	169	134)	24)
		162		135)	
Li ⁺	$2 \text{ NH}_{3}$	301	395	135)	27)
Li ⁺	4 NH ₃	458	515	135)	28)
Li ⁺	5 NH ₃	504	723	135)	29)
Li ⁺	$6 \text{ NH}_3$	543	741	135)	29)
Li ⁺	H ₂ O	142	147	15)	32)
Li ⁺	2 H ₂ O	250	283	15)	43)
Li ⁺	4 H ₂ O	405	494	15)	28)
Li+	5 H ₂ O	464	671	15)	29)
Li ⁺	6 H ₂ O	514	680	15)	29)
Li ⁺	HCN	152	155	134)	7)
Li ⁺	H ₂ CO	151	161	134)	40)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -NH ₂	172	167	134)	21)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -OH	159	172	134)	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ —F	128	146	136)	45)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -CN	180	188	136)	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₃ -CHO	174	190	136)	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₁ -NH-CH ₁	177	182	134)	19)
Li ⁺	CH, -O-CH,	165	172	134)	19)
Li ⁺	CH ₁ -Cl	105	87	136)	19)
Li ⁺	CH, CO-CH,	186	222	136)	52)
Li ⁺	N(CH ₂ ),	176	201	134)	18)
Li ⁺	CH_S_CH	134	119	136)	19)
Li ⁺	$HCO - N(CH_{1})$	209	223	136)	22)
$\tilde{L}_{i}^{+}$	CH COO CH.	184	172	136)	22)
Li ⁺	nvridine	185	179	136)	22)
Na ⁺	NH.	122	116	135)	20)
Na ⁺	4 NH.	351	509	135)	29)
Na ⁺	5 NH.	395	585	135)	29)
Na ⁺	6 NH	436	628	135)	29)
Na ⁺	ил. ₃ Н О	100	105	15)	34)
Na ⁺	4HO	307	477	15)	29)
No ⁺	5HO	350	552	15)	29)
No ⁺	5 H ₂ O	403	594	15)	29)
K ⁺	NH	75	77	137)	20)
ĸ	14113	84		138)	
<b>V</b> +	4 NH	257	333	138)	29)
к v+	41013	75	70	15)	33)
ĸ	1120	75	73	1391	76)
v t	411.0	247	320	15)	29)
к к †	4 H ₂ O	247	387	15)	29)
N V †	5 H ₂ O	333	441	15)	29)
к и +		<i>333</i> 80	76	137)	21)
K.'	CH = CN	102	107	139)	82)
К 1/2 +	CH NH CH	87	79	137)	82)
<b>К</b> И †	$C_{13}^{-N} - C_{13}^{-N}$	87	97	137)	82)
V.	$cn_3 - 0 - cn_3$	03		140)	
NILT+	NU	104	118	141)	85)
NH4	1NT13	112	1.0	142)	
		113			

 Table 3. Comparison of the experimental enthalpies of interaction with the calculated interaction energies as given in Tables 1 and 2.

Ion	Ligand	—ΔH(Int) (exp.) [kJ/mole]	—E(Int) (SCF) ^a [kJ/mole]	Ref. (exp.) Ref. (SCI	² )
NH,+	2 NH,	177	310	141) 84)	
+	3	184		142)	
$NH_{4}^{+}$	3 NH ₃	235	407	141) 84)	
~	5	253		142)	
NH₄ ⁺	4 NH ₃	287	475	141) 83)	
+	5	314	481	142) 84)	
$NH_{A}^{+}$	5 NH,	318	524	141) 84)	
-	2	345		142)	
NH₄+	H ₂ O	72	78	141) 91)	
NH₄+	2 Ĥ,O	134	166	141) 88)	
NH₄+	3 H,O	190	217	141) 88)	
NH₄+	4 H ₂ O	241	276	141) 88)	
NH₄+	5 H ₂ O	282	493	141) 84)	
F-	H ₂ Õ	97	99	143) 33)	
$F^{-}$	2 H,O	167	302	143) 44)	
F ⁻	4 H ₂ O	281	481	143) 38)	
F ⁻	CH ₃ -CN	67	76	144) 104)	
F ⁻	2 CH ₃ —CN	121	141	144) 104)	
F-	3 CH ₃ -CN	170	198	144) 104)	
F ⁻	4 CH ₃ —CN	213	237	144) 104)	
Cl-	H ₂ O	55	50	143) 33)	
	-	62		145)	
C1-	CH ₃ -OH	59	50	146) 107)	
C1 ⁻	CH ₃ -CN	56	48	144) 104)	
Cl-	2 CH ₃ —CN	107	91	144) 104)	
C1 ⁻	3 CH ₂ -CN	151	128	144) 104)	
Cl-	4 CH ₃ —CN	177	158	144) 104)	
Cl-	нсоон	155	92	146) 107)	
C1-	CH ₃ -COOH	90	72	146) 107)	
Cl ⁻	(CH ₃ ) ₃ C–OH	59	49	146) 107)	
Cl-	$C_6H_5-NH_7$	72	47	143) 107)	
Cl-	C ₆ H ₅ -OH	81	77	146) 107)	
Cl~	CHCI,	64	69	146) 107)	
Br ⁻	Н,О	53	54	143) 109)	
Br ⁻	2 H,O	104	101	143) 109)	
Br ⁻	$3 H_2 O$	152	172	143) 109)	
Br ⁻	4 H ₂ O	198	215	143) 109)	
HCO,	H,O	66	79	147) 111)	
HCO	2 H,O	128	161	147) 111)	
HCO ₃	3 H ₂ O	185	220	147) 111)	

Table 3. (	continued)
------------	------------

^a Calculated values obtained with the largest basis sets applied were selected. For details and for other calculations see Tables 1 and 2.

in case of a 1:1 complex with a monodentate ligand. The differences are especially large for small cations such as  $Li^+$  and  $Mg^{2+98}$ . In line with these considerations, a decrease of the metal-oxygen distances with decreasing coordination number was documented recently by comparing a large number of X-ray structures of alkali and alkaline earth metal complexes ¹³³.

Table 4. Example	ss for the compariso	on of calculate	ed interaction	n energi	es with meas	ured enthal	pies (all val	ues in kJ/m	ol)		
Reaction	Temperature [ ¹	K] ΔE _e		$\Delta E_v^\circ$	$\Delta(\Delta E_v)^T$	$\Delta E_r^T$	$\Delta E_t^{T}$	ΔΡV	$\Delta H_{Calc}^{T}$	$\Delta H_{Exp}^{T}$	Ref.
		$\Delta E_{\rm scr}$	$\Delta E_{corr}$			:					
Н,О Н,О	298	- 18.0	-4.6	9.2	7.9	-3.8	-3.8	-2.5	- 15.5		148)
7	373	-18.0	-4.6	9.2	9.2	-4.6	-4.6	-2.9	- 16.3	$-15.1 \pm 2.1$	148)
H,O Li ⁺	298	-149.4	2.1	8.8	1.7	0	3.8	-2.5	-143.1	$-142.3 \pm 8.4$	148)
4	0	-147.3	-1.1	5.6	0	0	0	0	-142.8		33)
$H_2O \dots NH_4^+$	500	— 78.1	-6.6	13.0	14.3	-6.2	-6.2	-4.2	— 74.0	$-72.4 \pm 1.7$	616'06

Martin Badertscher et al.

### 2.5 Correlation Energy

A part of the electronic energy is not considered in case of ab initio SCF calculations since the electrons of different spins are treated as independent (uncorrelated) within the framework of this approach. If the corresponding energy (correlation energy) is of different magnitude in the complex and in its constituents, the correlation energy contribution to the interaction energy has to be evaluated.

The intermolecular electron correlation (the dispersion interaction) was calculated or estimated for some cation-ligand interactions using configuration interaction (CI) calculations, perturbation theory or on the basis of a statistical model (see Table 4). Its contribution to the total interaction energy is less than 10% throughout.

#### 2.6 Comparison with the Experiment

Intermolecular interactions in the gas phase have been measured in a series of cases using mass spectrometry ¹³⁴⁻¹⁴⁷). From the temperature dependence of the equilibrium constants, besides the free energies, the enthalpies and the entropies of the involved reactions were evaluated. The corresponding data are useful for comparison with the results of theoretical calculations (see Table 3). In order to compare the calculated interaction energies with the measured reaction enthalpies, a series of contributions has to be taken into account. Concerning these correction terms some inconsistencies arise in the literature. Therefore the list of them is given here in detail according to Ref.¹⁴⁸):

$$\Delta H_{\text{Calc}}^{298} = \Delta E_{\text{Calc}}^{298} + \Delta PV \tag{4}$$

$$\Delta E_{\text{Calc}}^{298} = \Delta E_{e}^{0} + \Delta (\Delta E_{e})^{298} + \Delta E_{v}^{0} + \Delta (\Delta E_{v})^{298} + \Delta E_{r}^{298} + \Delta E_{t}^{298}$$
(5)

 $\Delta E_e^{\theta}$  is the calculated electronic interaction energy at 0 K.

 $\Delta(\Delta E_e)^{298}$  is the change in the calculated electronic interaction energy between 298 K and 0 K. This term is negligible unless electronically excited states are important.

 $\Delta E_v^0$  is the difference between the zero-point vibrational energies of reactants and product.

 $\Delta(\Delta E_v)^{298}$  is the change in the vibrational energy difference between 298 K and 0 K.  $\Delta E_r^{298}$  is the difference in rotational energies of reactants and product.

 $\Delta E_{\star}^{298}$  is the translational energy change due to the change in the number of degrees of translational freedom.

The calculation of the vibrational terms is straightforward but rather time consuming (see e.g. ¹⁴⁹).

 $\Delta E_r^{298}$  and  $\Delta E_t^{298}$  can be approximated according to classical considerations as  $-1/2 \cdot RT$  for each degree of rotational and translational freedom lost due to complex formation. Assuming an ideal behavior,  $\Delta PV$  is equal to -RT for a 1:1 host-guest association since 1 mol gas is lost by the complexation reaction. In Table 4 the calculated contributions for two simple 1:1 complexes are given.

## **3** Treatment of Large Systems

### 3.1 Introduction

The computer time required for *ab initio* calculations is roughly proportional to the fourth power of the number of atomic basis functions used for the description of the molecular system. *Ab initio* calculations are thus not feasible today for host-guest systems with more than about 150–200 electrons. Supercomputers and vector processors will significantly lower the necessary CPU times ¹⁵⁰ but they alone probably cannot bring a breakthrough for systems larger than two or three times the ones which can be treated today.

An increase in speed can be achieved by using pseudopotential calculations  122,151 . In these type of calculations the inner shell electrons are approximated with a potential and the problem is reduced to a valence electron problem. This technique is very powerful for heavy atoms but the time saving is not more than roughly 50% for molecules containing first-row atoms only  152 . Ion-ligand interactions have been studied with pseudopotential calculations in several cases  $^{153-157}$ .

In some cases, strange approximations were applied in order to circumvent the problems connected with large systems. The interaction energy of the antibiotic tetranactin with an ammonium ion was calculated by replacing the tetranactin by four formaldehyde and four water molecules ¹⁵⁸. In an "improved" study the tetranactin was approximated by using formic acid, ethane, propane and methanol molecules ¹⁵⁹. In an other study [18] crown-6 was simulated by three dimethyl ether molecules ¹⁶⁰.

The most promising methods for the prediciton of interaction energies in realistic host-guest systems which are known at present apply some kind of extrapolation techniques. Results of *ab initio* calculations on small systems are used in these models for the description of large systems. The two approaches which seem to be the most important at present are described in the succeeding chapters.

#### 3.2 Pair Potential Procedure

This technique has widely been applied in a series of papers by Clementi and coworkers for the description of the solvation of amino acids, peptides as well as of RNA, DNA and their constituents (for reviews see ^{161,162}). The interactions of some cations with these types of molecules were also described ¹⁶³⁻¹⁶⁶. Pair potentials between small model molecules and the cations Li^{+ 62}, Na^{+ 167}, K^{+ 168}, NH₄^{+ 168}, Mg^{2+ 98}) and Ca^{2+ 98}) were developed in order to describe ion-ionophore interactions ¹⁶⁹.

Within the frame of this approach the interaction energy of two molecules is described as the sum of pairwise interactions. It is assumed that each atom of the host interacts with each atom of the guest independently:

$$E_{lnt} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} e_{ij}$$
⁽⁵⁾

(i and j are the running numbers of the host and the guest, respectively). This is of course a crude approximation but the reliability of the results confirms the usefulness
of this approach. It is very versatile for various reasons. First the calculations are very fast because the only geometrical parameter is the distance  $r_{ij}$  between the two atoms, i.e. the pair potentials have spherical symmetry. Angle dependencies are introduced implicitly because the superposition of several pair potentials gives rise to a reduction of the symmetry. A further advantage is that it would be easy to include these simple pair potentials in any molecular mechanics program.

Different forms of the pair potentials  $e_{ij}$  can be used. In most cases a three parameter function of the following type was applied:

$$e_{ij} = -A_{ij}/r_{ij}^{6} + B_{ij}/r_{ij}^{12} + q_i q_j C_{ij}/r_{ij}$$
(6)

 $A_{ij}$ ,  $B_{ij}$  and  $C_{ij}$  are constants for a given pair of atoms and  $q_i$  and  $q_j$  are atomic net charges of the atoms i and j. The first two terms correspond to the Lennard-Jones potential and the third term to the electrostatic point charge — point charge interaction.

In order to evaluate the values of the constants  $A_{ij}$ ,  $B_{ij}$ , and  $C_{ij}$ , a large number of *ab initio* calculations are to be made for model systems. In each model system the distances and relative orientations of the constituents are to be varied. The parameters can then be fitted using the Eqs. (5) and (6). Atomic net charges of the uncomplexed host and guest are usually used for this procedure. In general they are calculated with the same basis sets as the interaction energies. Since calculated atomic net charges heavily depend on the basis sets (small basis sets tend to overestimate the polarization), a parameter set can only be applied by using net charges obtained with the same basis set as for the fitting procedure.



**Fig. 1.** Left: Isoenergy countour diagram (energies in kJ/mol) for the interaction of Li⁺ with [18] crown-6 and  $2 H_2 O^{75}$ ). The conformation of the crown ether and the position of the water molecules were fixed as found experimentally ¹⁷⁰). Right: Same view of the structure of the LiClO₄ · [18] crown- $6 \cdot 2 H_2 O$  complex as determined by X-ray crystallography ¹⁷⁰). The distance between the position of the energy minimum (left) and the found position of Li⁺ (right) amounts to 7 pm

Martin Badertscher et al.



**Fig. 2.** Left: Isoenergy contour diagram (energies in kJ/mol) for the interaction of Na⁺ with [18] crown-6 and one H₂O molecule ⁷⁵. The conformation of the crown ether and the position of the water molecule were fixed as found experimentally ¹⁷¹. Right: same view of the structure of the NaSCN  $\cdot$  [18] crown-6  $\cdot$  H₂O complex as determined by X-ray crystallography ¹⁷¹. The distance between the position of the energy minimum (left) and the found position of Na⁺ (right) amounts to 11 pm



**Fig. 3.** Left: Isoenergy contour diagram (energies in kJ/mol) for the interaction of  $K^+$  with [18] crwon-6⁷⁵. The conformation of the crown ether was fixed as found experimentally ¹⁷². Right: Same view of the structure of the KSCN · [18] crown-6 complex as determined by X-ray crystallography ¹⁷². The distance between the position of the energy minimum (left) and the found position of  $K^+$  amounts to 0 pm

Atoms of the same kind in similar chemical environments can be grouped in the same classes, i.e. they are forced to have the same constants. By this procedure a compromise between flexibility and accuracy can be made. Depending on the purpose of the calculations different class assignments and thus different sets of constants can be fitted on the basis of the same set of *ab initio* interaction energies.



**Fig. 4.** Left: Isoenergy contour diagram (energies in kJ/mol) for the interaction of NH₄⁺ with [18] crown-6⁷⁵⁾. The conformation of the crown ether was fixed as found experimentally ¹⁷³⁾. Right: Same view of the structure of the NH₄Br  $\cdot$  H₂O  $\cdot$  [18] crown-6 complex as determined by X-ray crystallography ¹⁷³⁾. The distance between the position of the energy minimum (left) and the found position of NH₄⁺ (right) amounts to 50 pm

In order to describe the interaction energies of large host-guest systems for each atom pair i–j, a corresponding similar atom pair has to be selected from the model systems. Furthermore, the atomic net charges have to be calculated or estimated on the basis of a model compound.

The reliability of the model in predicting the geometry of the complexes is documented in the Figs. 1–5. In these examples the interaction energies of crown ethers with different ions were calculated for 10'000 points of a selected plane. The isoenergy contour diagrams are depicted together with the structures as determined by X-ray crystallography. For the interaction energy calculations the geometry of the ligands was fixed in the conformation as found experimentally. The deviation between experimental and calculated positions of the ions is 0–50 pm.

Similar pair potentials were succesfully used in many applications involving interaction energy calculations on channels ^{165, 166}, DNA ¹⁶³, and also including Monte Carlo techniques ^{163, 165, 166, 175, 176}.

#### 3.3 Additive Model of Gresh, Claverie and Pullman

In contrast to the pair potential model where the interaction energy hypersurface is approximated by an additive procedure using a simple mathematical function, the basis of the model of Gresh et. al. is an energy partitioning scheme.

The total interaction energy may be described as a sum of contributions (see e.g.  177 ):

$$E_{INT} = E_{COU} + E_{EX} + E_{POL} + E_{DISP} + E_{CT}$$
(7)

71



**Fig. 5.** Left: Isoenergy contour diagram (energies in kJ/mol) for the interaction of Li⁺ with 18,18'spirobi-([19] crown-6) and 4 H₂O ⁷⁵⁾. The conformation of the crown ether and the position of the water molecules were fixed as found experimentally ¹⁷⁴⁾. Right: Same view of the structure of the (LiI)₂ · 18,18'-spirobi-([19] crown-6) · 4 H₂O complex as determined by X-ray crystallography ^{174a)}. The distance between the position of the energy minimum (left) and the found position of Li⁺ (right) amounts to 50 pm. This deviation might be mainly due to the Li⁺-Li⁺ interaction which was not considered in the calculations

 $E_{COU}$  is the electrostatic interaction energy as calculated on the basis of the charge distribution of the isolated host and guest.

 $E_{EX}$ , the exchange energy, is a repulsive contribution due to the overlap of the electron densities of host and guest.

 $E_{POL}$  is the polarization energy which is a stabilizing term due to the relaxation of the electron density of host and guest in the field of the partner.

 $E_{\text{DISP}}$  is the dispersion energy which is due to the correlation of electron movements of host and guest.

 $E_{cr}$  is the charge transfer energy.

The first four terms result automatically if the interaction energy is calculated by a perturbation treatment (see e.g. ¹⁷⁸). The interaction energy calculated by the *ab initio* SCF technique may be divided into the above contributions (except  $E_{DISP}$  which corresponds to the correlation energy) according to model considerations ^{179,180}.

The model proposed by Gresh et.al.  181  approximates the individual terms in the formalism (7) as follows:

$$E_{INT} = E_{MTP} + E_{REP} + E_{POL} + E_{DL}$$
(8)

 $E_{MTP}$  is the electrostatic interaction energy calculated as a sum of multipole-multipole interactions using the overlap multipole expansion of the SCF electron density distributions of the host and guest ¹⁸².

 $E_{REP}$  is the sum of bond-bond (or bond-ion) repulsive interactions.  $E_{MTP} + E_{REP}$  corresponds to  $E_{COU} + E_{EX}$  in Eq. (7).

E_{POL} is the polarization energy.

 $E_{DL}$  approximates  $E_{DISP} + E_{CT}$  in Eq. (7).

Approximate formulas are used for the calculation of  $E_{REP}$ ,  $E_{POL}$ , and  $E_{DL}$ . Parameters used in these approximations are estimated on the basis of *ab initio* calculations on a few small model systems ¹⁸¹).  $E_{MTP}$  brings about the major contribution of the total interaction energy so that uncertainties in the other terms are of only minor importance. For larger molecules  $E_{MTP}$  is computed on the basis of *ab initio* calculations on subunits ¹⁸³).

This additive procedure was applied for the study of a number of cases including the interaction of cations with the carrier antibiotics valinomycin¹⁸⁴⁾ and nonactin¹⁸⁵⁾, the interaction of  $CH_3NH_3^+$  and  $(CH_3)_4N^+$  with amino acids mimicking the active site of a phosphorylcholine antibody¹⁸⁶⁾, the interaction of guanine and cytosine with amino acids¹⁸⁷⁾, the interaction of  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  with two series phosphates¹⁸⁸⁾, and the interaction of the channel-forming antibiotic gramicidin A with different cations^{189–191)}.

#### 3.4 Comparison of the Two Models

It is not easy to directly compare the two models which were discussed in the previous sections. The only interactions which were studied with both techniques are those of a gramicidin A channel with Na⁺ and K⁺. In Fig. 6 the results of these studies ¹⁶⁵, ^{166,189,191} are compared. The largest differences can be observed at the two ends of the channel. This is due to the fact that the ethanolamine tails were fixed in different con-



**Fig. 6.** Minimum interaction energies of K⁺ and Na⁺ with gramicidin A as a function of their position along the channel axis. The top two curves ¹⁹¹ are calculated according to a model proposed by Gresh et. al. with blocked ethanolamine end chain. The more attractive curves ^{165,166} are determined using the pair potential method. The gramicidin A dimer ranges from about -14 Å to +14 Å

formations in the two sets of calculations. Similar trends of the two sets of curves are obvious inside the channel. Differences may be due to the fact that the geometries are possibly not exactly the same (Ref. ¹⁹²) and Ref. ¹⁹³) and that in one case ¹⁸⁹) the peptide chain was approximated by a polyglycine chain. There is, however, no obvious reason for the drastic differences in the absolute values of the interaction energies (roughly a factor of 2 in case of Na⁺). The difference between the interaction energies of the two ions is very pronounced in one case ^{165, 166)} and practically vanishes in the other case ^{189,191)}.

The evaluation of pair potentials is much more time-consuming than the evaluation of the parameters in the model of Gresh et al. On the other hand, pair potentials are easy to transfer and corresponding interaction energy calculations are very fast. In contrast, the application of the model of Gresh et. al. includes always time-demanding *ab initio* calculations.

#### **4** Conformational Energy

Although the topic of the present paper is the calculation of interaction energies, we have to treat briefly the contribution of the conformational energy. Isolated hosts and guests exhibit, in general, conformations different from those observed in complexes. A part of the interaction energy is thus needed to bring the host and guest molecules into the appropriate conformation. For the prediction of the overall interaction energy as well as of the structure of the complexes, reliable calculations of the conformational energies are therefore absolutely necessary.

Ab initio calculations using not too small basis sets would be adequate if the computational demands were not prohibitively large. For an accurate geometry optimization the relaxation of all parameters is necessary. Today such calculations are only practicable for relatively small systems.

Very recently the additive procedure of Gresh et al. which was discussed in Sect. 3.3 was extended for the calculation of conformational energy variations in large molecules  $^{194)}$ . The molecules are built up out of constitutive fragments and the intramolecular energy is calculated as a sum of interaction energies between the fragments. The results published so far are very promising. Although the necessary computational demands are substantially lower than for *ab initio* calculations (proportional to  $n^2$  instead of roughly  $n^4$ , n being the total number of atoms in the system) they are still significant.

Semiempirical quantum chemical calculations are still too much time-consuming for larger systems. Out of the numerous methods PCILO (Perturbation Configuration Interaction using Localized Orbitals¹⁹⁵) was proposed by different authors to be the most reliable procedure for conformational analysis (see e.g. ¹⁹⁶). It was applied for many conformational energy studies (for references see e.g. ¹⁹⁷). Comparisons made with *ab initio* and experimental results have however shown in several cases that also PCILO gives only crude estimates of the conformational energy ^{197–202}.

A vast amount of empirical molecular potential energy functions and a series of corresponding programs (molecular mechanics and consistent force field programs) are available (for recent reviews see 203-205). Unfortunately these energy functions are always the result of optimization on a rather limited group of compounds. No

parameter set is available for general use today. An excellent review describing briefly the most important contributions appeared recently ²⁰⁵.

It lies in the nature of the method that most practical applications are extrapolations using parameters optimized on a known set of observables. It is therefore simply not possible to quantitatively predict the reliability of the results. In order to moderate too optimistic expectations we collected some results on [18] crown-6 and its complexes which were obtained with three different molecular mechanics programs (see Table 5). The conformational energies were calculated relative to a minimum energy conformation obtained by relaxation of the experimentally observed structure of the uncomplexed ligand 208). The reference structures obtained with these methods vary significantly (differences of the corresponding torsion angles of up to  $20^{\circ}$  were obtained). As shown in Table 5, even the stability sequence of the conformations is inconsistent.

 Table 5. Conformation energy calculations on [18] crown-6 with different molecular mechanics methods

Conformation	Conformational energy [kJ/mol] ^a				
	WBFF 206)	AMBER ²⁰⁷⁾	MM2. ⁷⁵ )		
$C_1 (Na^+-complex)^b$	18.4	39.3	28.0		
D _{3d} (K ⁺ -complex) ^c	32.8	4.6	-10.5		

^a Conformational energies are given relative to the energy minimum obtained by relaxation of the experimentally observed structure of the free ligand.

^b Conformation obtained by the relaxation of the experimentally observed conformation of the ligand in its Na⁺-complex.

 $^{\rm c}$  Conformation obtained by the relaxation of the experimentally observed conformation of the ligand in its K  $^+\text{-}complex.$ 

Such discouraging results should by no means suggest that this type of calculations is of no help for designing hosts. Although they can fail in the quantitative prediction of conformation energies, such calculations can be used to predict in a qualitative way whether a designed molecule has a chance to be a host for a selected guest or not. The usefulness of empirical energy functions for designing macrocyclic ionophores was demonstrated recently by Lifson et al. ⁶). Although only estimated parameters of the cations  $Li^+$ ,  $Na^+$  and  $K^+$  were used, the model was successful in the prediction of ionophoric capability and incapability of different members of the compound class studied ⁶).

## **5** Future Prospects

All the calculations of interaction energies of host-guest systems, as discussed above, refer to isolated species in the gas phase. For practical purposes, values in solutions are of interest. Besides interaction energies and conformation energies, the solvation effects of all participants should be included. For ionophores as hosts, the interaction

Martin Badertscher et al.

with the counterion of the ionic guest should also be considered. It is clear that already a precise calculation of the two most important terms is problematic. The estimation of the remaining terms, which were not discussed in this paper, is even more difficult. These facts might lead to a pessimistic judgement of the practical value of model calculations.

Far from this pessimism we are convinced that calculations using today's possibilities with all of the limitations are useful as a design aid for hosts. For a ligand design the question should not be "what is the magnitude of the interaciton energy" but rather "has my planned compound a chance to be a host for the selected guest or not". In many cases one tried to answer this question by building molecular models. Today's possibilities of software and hardware for molecular modelling allow a big step forward. The molecules can be built up at the computer terminal and primitive models of conformational constraints and optimization are available. Already such primitive models allow an estimation of the complexing capability of the designed compounds according to the concept put forward by Lifson et.al. ⁶⁾. For the design of ionophores as hosts pair potentials developed on the basis of *ab initio* calculations (see Chapter 3.2) could easily be combined with an existing parameter set for conformation energy calculations.

No precise prediction of experimental interaction energies between realistic hosts and guests in solutions is to be expected in the near future. Calculations using existing models can however be used as a design aid and might prevent the synthesis of a large number of planned hosts which are hopeless candidates. It is to be expected that through the availability and the increasing popularity of molecular modelling systems this type of computer aided design will be routinely used within a few years.

## 6 Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the "Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung wissenschaftlicher Forschung". We thank PD Dr. T.-K. Ha for critical reading of the manuscript and for valuable comments.

#### 7 References

- 1. Vögtle, F., Weber, E. (eds.): Host Guest Complex Chemistry I--III, Top. Curr. Chem. 98, 101, 121, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1981, 1982, 1984
- 2. a) Morf, W. E., Simon, W.: Helv. Chim. Acta 54, 794 (1971)
- b) Morf, W. E., Simon, W.: ibid 54, 2683 (1971)
- 3. Simon, W., Morf, W. E., Meier, P. Ch.: Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 16, 114 (1973)
- 4. Pretsch, E., Ammann, D., Simon, W.: Res. Development 25, 20 (1974)
- Morf, W. E., Ammann, D., Bissig, R., Pretsch, E., Simon, W., in: Progress in Macrocyclic Chemistry (eds. Izatt, R. M., Christensen, J. J.), Wiley-Interscience, New York 1979
- 6. Lifson, S., Felder, C. E., Shanzer, A.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 3866 (1983)
- 7. Schuster, P., Jakubetz, W., Marius, W.: Top. Curr. Chem. 60, 1 (1975)
- 8. Pullman, B., Goldblum, N. (Eds.): Metal-Ligand Interactions in Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht 1977
- 9. Hobza, P., Zahradnik, R.: Weak Intermolecular Interactions in Chemistry and Biology, Elsevier, Amsterdam 1980

- Ratajczak, M., Orville-Thomas, W. J. (Eds.): Molecular Interactions, John Wiley, Chichester 1980
- 11. Scheraga, H. A.: Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 6, 103 (1968)
- 12. Shipman, L. L., Burgess, A. W., Scheraga, H. A.: Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 72, 543 (1975)
- 13. Nemethy, G., Pottle, M. S., Scheraga, H. A.: J. Phys. Chem. 87, 1883 (1983)
- Simon, W., Morf, W. E., Ammann, D., in: Calcium Binding Proteins and Calcium Function, p. 50 (eds. Wasserman, R. M. et al.), Elsevier, Amsterdam 1977
- 15. Džidić, I., Kebarle, P.: J. Phys. Chem. 74, 1466 (1970)
- 16. Scrocco, E., Tomasi, J.: Top. Curr. Chem. 42, 95 (1973)
- 17. Woodin, R. L., Houle, F. A., Goddard III, W. A.: Chem. Phys. 14, 461 (1976)
- 18. Pullman, A., Brochen, P.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 34, 7 (1975)
- 19. Smith, S. F., Chandrasekhar, J., Jorgensen, W. L.: J. Phys. Chem. 86, 3308 (1982)
- 20. Berthod, H., Pullman, A.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 70, 434 (1980)
- 21. Berthod, H., Pullman, A.: Isr. J. Chem. 19, 299 (1980)
- 22. Hinton, J. F., Beeler, A., Harpool, D., Briggs, R. W., Pullman, A.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 47, 411 (1977)
- 23. Kollman, P., Rothenberg, S.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 1333 (1977)
- 24. Hinchliffe, A., Dobson, J. C.: Theor. Chim. Acta 39, 17 (1975)
- 25. Umeyama, H., Morokuma, K.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 1316 (1977)
- 26. Støgård, Å.: Acta Chem. Scand. 27, 2669 (1973)
- 27. Nicely, V. A., Dye, J. L.: J. Chem. Phys. 52, 4795 (1970)
- Ribas Prado, F., Giessner-Prettre, C., Daudey, J.-P., Pullman, A., Hinton, J. F., Young, G., Harpool, D.: J. Magn. Res. 37, 431 (1980)
- 29. Nagata, C., Aida, M.: J. Theor. Biol. 110, 569 (1984)
- 30. Breitschwerdt, K. G., Kistenmacher, H.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 14, 288 (1972)
- 31. Pullman, A., Berthod, H., Gresh, N.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. Symp. 10, 59 (1976)
- 32. Clementi, E., Popkie, H.: J. Chem. Phys. 57, 1077 (1972)
- 33. Kistenmacher, H., Popkie, H., Clementi, E.: ibid 59, 5842 (1973)
- 34. Diercksen, G. H. F., Kraemer, W. P.: Theor. Chim. Acta 23, 387 (1972)
- 35. Diercksen, G. H. F., Kraemer, W. P., Roos, B. O.: ibid 36, 249 (1975)
- 36. Kollman, P. A., Kuntz, I. D.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 9236 (1972)
- 37. Bauge, K., Støgård, Å.: Acta Chem. Scand. 27, 2683 (1973)
- 38. Kollman, P., Kuntz, I.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 6820 (1976)
- 39. Schuster, P., Preuss, H.-W.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 11, 35 (1971)
- 40. Schuster, P., Marius, W., Pullman, A., Berthod, H.: Theor. Chim. Acta 40, 323 (1975)
- 41. Rode, B. M., Sagarik, K. P.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 88, 337 (1982)
- 42. Raghavachari, K.: J. Chem. Phys. 76, 5421 (1982)
- 43. Kraemer, W. P., Diercksen, G. H. F.: Theor. Chim. Acta 23, 393 (1972)
- 44. Kollman, P.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 4875 (1977)
- Del Bene, J. E., Frisch, M. J., Raghavachari, K., Pople, J. A., v. R. Schleyer, P.: J. Phys. Chem. 87, 73 (1983)
- 46. Russegger, P., Schuster, P.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 19, 254 (1973)
- 47. Neumann, D. B., Moskowitz, J. W.: J. Chem. Phys. 50, 2216 (1969)
- Raber, D. J., Raber, N. K., Chandrasekhar, J., von Ragué Schleyer, P.: Inorg. Chem. 23, 4076 (1984)
- 49. Ha, T.-K., Wild, U. P., Kühne, R. O., Loesch, Ch., Schaffhauser, T., Stachel, J., Wokaun, A.: Helv. Chim. Acta 61, 1193 (1978)
- 50. Schuster, P., Pullman, A.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 24, 472 (1974)
- 51. Armbruster, A. M., Pullman, A.: FEBS Letters 49, 18 (1974)
- 52. Del Bene, J. E.: Chem. Phys. 40, 329 (1979)
- 53. Del Bene, J. E.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 64, 227 (1979)
- 54. Nowek, A., Leszczyński, J., Wojciechowski, W.: Materials Science 9, 71 (1983)
- 55. Douglas, J., Kollman, P.: J. Phys. Chem. 85, 2718 (1981)
- 56. Sapse, A. M., Bunce, J. D., Jain, D. C.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 6579 (1984)
- 57. Rode, B. M., Preuss, H.: Theor. Chim. Acta 35, 369 (1974)
- 58. Rode, B. M., Breuss, M., Schuster, P.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 32, 34 (1975)
- 59. Sagarik, K. P., Rode, B. M.: Z. Naturforsch. 36 a 1357 (1981)

Martin Badertscher et al.

- 60. Rode, B. M.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 26, 350 (1974)
- 61. Pullman, A., Giessner-Prettre, C., Kruglyak, Yu. V.: ibid 35, 156 (1975)
- 62. Corongiu, G., Clementi, E., Pretsch, E., Simon, W.: J. Chem. Phys. 72, 3096 (1980)
- 63. Hertz, H. G., Weingärtner, H., Rode, B. M.: Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 79, 1190 (1975)
- 64. Rode, B. M., Kraft, H. G.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 61, 410 (1979)
- 65. Kraft, H. G., Rode, B. M.: Monatsh. Chem. 111, 797 (1980)
- 66. Blomberg, M. R. A., Fischer-Hjalmars, I., Henriksson-Enflo, A.: Isr. J. Chem. 19, 143 (1980)
- 67. Fuchs, D. N., Rode, B. M.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 82, 517 (1981)
- 68. Sagarik, K. P., Rode, B. M.: Z. Naturforsch. 39a, 686 (1984)
- 69. Rode, B. M., Fussenegger, R.: J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 71, 1958 (1975)
- Pretsch, E., Neszmelyi, A., Simon, W., Corongiu, G., Clementi, E.: IBM Research Report POK-07; available from IBM, Department B28, Building 701, PO Box 390, Poughkeepsie, New York 12602
- 71. Rode, B. M., Gstrein, K. H.: J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 74, 889 (1978)
- 72. Sagarik, K. P., Rode, B. M.: Inorg. Chim. Acta 78, 81 (1983)
- 73. Sagarik, K. P., Rode, B. M.: ibid 76, L209 (1983)
- 74. Rode, B. M., Hannongbua, S. V.: ibid 96, 91 (1985)
- 75. Welti, M., Portmann, P., Badertscher, M. Neszmelyi, A., Clementi, E., Pretsch, E., Simon, W.: in preparation
- 76. Kistenmacher, H., Popkie, H., Clementi, E.: J. Chem. Phys. 58, 1689 (1973)
- 77. Perricaudet, M., Pullman, A.: FEBS Letters 34, 222 (1973)
- 78. Dacre, P. D.: Mol. Phys. 51, 633 (1984)
- 79. Fussenegger, R., Rode, B. M.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 44, 95 (1976)
- 80. Perahia, D., Pullman, A., Pullman, B.: Theor. Chim. Acta 43, 207 (1977)
- 81. Berthod, H., Pullman, A.: ibid 47, 59 (1978)
- 82. Kollman, P.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 55, 555 (1978)
- 83. Pullman, A., Armbruster, A. M.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. Symp. 8, 169 (1974)
- 84. Pullman, A., Armbruster, A. M.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 36, 558 (1975)
- 85. Delpuech, J.-J., Serratrice, G., Strich, A., Veillard, A.: Mol. Phys. 29, 849 (1975)
- 86. Merlet, P., Peyerimhoff, S. D., Buenker, R. J.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 8301 (1972)
- 87. Baird, N. C.: Int. J. Quant. Chem.: Quant. Biol. Symp. 1, 49 (1974)
- 88. Ikuta, S.: Chem. Phys. Lett 95, 604 (1983)
- 89. Böhm, H.-J., McDonald, I. R.: J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 80, 887 (1984)
- 90. Pullman, A., Claverie, P., Cluzan, M.-C.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 117, 419 (1985)
- 91. Welti, M., Ha, T.-K., Pretsch, E.: J. Chem. Phys. 83, 2959 (1985)
- Timko, J. M., Moore, S. S., Walba, D. M., Hiberty, P. C., Cram, D. J.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 4207 (1977)
- 93. Umeyama, H., Nomoto, T.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 27, 1112 (1979)
- Sano, M., Yamatera, H., in: Ions and Molecules in Solution (eds. Tanaka, N., Ohtaki, H., Tamamushi, R.), Studies in Physical and Theoretical Chemistry 27, 109 (1982)
- 95. Corongiu, G., Clementi, E.: J. Chem. Phys. 69, 4885 (1978)
- 96. Kochanski, E., Prissette, J.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 80, 564 (1981)
- Demoulin, D., Fischer-Hjalmars, I., Henriksson-Enflo, A.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. 12 Suppl. 1, 351 (1977)
- 98. Welti, M., Pretsch, E., Clementi, E., Simon, W.: Helv. Chim. Acta 65, 1996 (1982)
- 99. Perahia, D., Pullman, A., Pullman, B.: Theor. Chim. Acta 42, 23 (1976)
- 100. Lavery, R., Pullman, B.: Int. J. Quant. Chem.: Quant. Biol. Symp. 6, 467 (1979)
- 101. Gottschalk, K. E., Hiskey, R. G., Pedersen, L. G., Koehler, K. A.: J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM 90, 265 (1982)
- 102. Kistenmacher, H., Popkie, H., Clementi, E.: J. Chem. Phys. 58, 5627 (1973)
- 103. Kraemer, W. P., Diercksen, G. H. F.: Theor. Chim. Acta 27, 265 (1972)
- 104. Yamabe, S., Hirao, K.: Chem. Phys. Lett 84, 598 (1981)
- 105. Emsley, J., Jones, D. J., Osborn, R. S., Overill, R. E.: J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 809 (1982)
- 106. Emsley, J., Jones, D. J., Overill, R. E.: J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 476 (1982)
- 107. Yamabe, S., Ihira, N., Hirao, K.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 92, 172 (1982)
- 108. Piela, L.: ibid 19, 134 (1973)
- 109. Ikuta, S.: ibid 68, 179 (1979)

Calculation of Interaction Energies in Host-Guest Systems

- 110. Berthod, H., Pullman, A.: J. Comput. Chem. 2, 87 (1981)
- 111. Jean, Y., Volatron, F.: Chem. Phys. 53, 95 (1980)
- 112. Hehre, J. W., Stewart, R. F., Pople, J. A.: J. Chem. Phys. 51, 2657 (1969)
- 113. Hehre, J. W., Ditchfield, R., Stewart, R. F., Pople, J. A.: ibid 52, 2769 (1970)
- 114. Binkley, J. S., Pople, J. A., Hehre, W. J.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 939 (1980)
- 115. Ditchfield, R., Hehre, W. J., Pople, J. A.: J. Chem. Phys. 54, 724 (1971)
- 116. Hehre, W. J., Lathan, W. A.: ibid 56, 5255 (1972)
- 117. Dill, J. D., Pople, J. A.: ibid 62, 2921 (1975)
- 118. Hariharan, P. C., Pople, J. A.: Theor. Chim. Acta 28, 213 (1973)
- 119. Boys, S. F., Bernardi, F.: Mol. Phys. 19, 553 (1970)
- 120. Daudey, J. P., Novaro, O., Kołos, W., Berrondo, M.: J. Chem. Phys. 71, 4297 (1979)
- 121. Clementi, E., Kistenmacher, H., Kołos, W., Romano, S.: Theor. Chim. Acta 55, 257 (1980)
- 122. Carsky, P., Urban, M.: Lecture Notes in Chemistry, Vol. 16, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1980
- 123. Pullman, B., Pullman, A., Berthod, H., Gresh, N.: Theor. Chim. Acta 40, 93 (1975)
- 124. Alagona, G., Ghio, C., Kollman, P.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 5226 (1983)
- 125. Kołos, W.: Theor. Chim. Acta 51, 219 (1979)
- 126. Kołos, W.: ibid 54, 187 (1980)
- 127. Poirier, R., Kari, R., Csizmadia, I. G.: Handbook of Gaussian Basis Sets, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985
- 128. Clementi, E.: J. Chem. Phys. 46, 3851 (1967)
- 129. Gianolio, L., Pavani, R., Clementi, E.: Gazz. Chim. Ital. 108, 181 (1978)
- 130. Gianolio, L., Clementi, E.: ibid 110, 179 (1980)
- 131. Carravetta, V., Clementi, E.: J. Chem. Phys. 81, 2646 (1984)
- 132. Goldschmidt, V. M.: Skrifter Norske Videnskaps-Akad. Oslo, I., Mat.-Naturuis. Kl. (1926)
- 133. Chakrabarti, P., Venkatesan, K., Rao, C. N. R.: Proc. Royal Soc. (London) A 375, 127 (1981)
- 134. Woodin, R. L., Beauchamp, J. L.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 501 (1978)
- 135. Castleman Jr., A. W., Holland, P. M., Lindsay, D. M., Peterson, K. I.: ibid 100, 6039 (1978)
- 136. Staley, R. H., Beauchamp, J. L.: ibid 97, 5920 (1975)
- 137. Davidson, W. R., Kebarle, P.: ibid 98, 6133 (1976)
- 138. Castleman Jr., A. W.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 53, 560 (1978)
- 139. Davidson, W. R., Kebarle, P.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 6125 (1976)
- 140. Davidson, W. R., Kebarle, P.: Can. J. Chem. 54, 2594 (1976)
- 141. Payzant, J. D., Cunningham, A. J., Kebarle, P.: ibid 51, 3242 (1973)
- 142. Searles, S. K., Kebarle, P.: J. Phys. Chem. 72, 742 (1968)
- 143. Arshadi, M., Yamdagni, R., Kebarle, P.: ibid 74, 1475 (1970)
- 144. Yamdagni, R., Kebarle, P.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 2940 (1972)
- 145. Castleman Jr., A. W., Holland, P. M., Keesee, R. G.: Radiat. Phys. Chem. 20, 57 (1982)
- 146. Yamdagni, R., Kebarle, P.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 7139 (1971)
- 147. Keesee, R. G., Lee, N., Castleman Jr., A. W.: ibid 101, 2599 (1979)
- 148. Del Bene, J. E., Mettee, H. D., Frisch, M. J., Luke, B. T., Pople, J. A.: J. Phys. Chem. 87, 3279 (1983)
- 149. Pople, J. A., Schlegl, H. B., Krishnan, R., DeFrees, D. J., Binkley, J. S., Frisch, M. J., Whiteside, R. A., Hout, R. J., Hehre, W. J.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. Symp. 13, 325 (1979)
- 150. Clementi, E., Corongiu, G., Detrich, J., Chin, S., Domingo, L.: ibid 18, 601 (1984)
- 151. Melius, C. F., Goddard III, W. A.: Phys. Rev. A 10, 1528 (1974)
- 152. Topiol, S., Ratner, M. A., Moskowitz, J. W.: Chem. Phys. 20, 1 (1977)
- 153. Marius, W., Schuster, P.: Theor. Chim. Acta 42, 5 (1976)
- 154. Pullman, A., Gresh, N., Daudey, J. P., Moskowitz, J. W.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. Symp. 11, 501 (1977)
- 155. Ortega-Blake, I., Leś, A.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. 19, 463 (1981)
- 156. Ortega-Blake, I., Novaro, O., Leś, A., Rybak, S.: J. Chem. Phys. 76, 5405 (1982)
- 157. Ortega-Blake, I., Leś, A., Rybak, S.: J. Theor. Biol. 104, 571 (1983)
- 158. Umeyama, H., Nakagawa, S., Nomoto, T., Moriguchi, I.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 28, 745 (1980)
- 159. Umeyama, H., Nomoyo, T.: ibid 27, 2504 (1979)
- 160. Bartsch, R. A., Carsky, P.: J. Org. Chem. 45, 4782 (1980)
- 161. Clementi, E.: Lecture Notes in Chemistry, Vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1976
- 162. Clementi, E.: ibid, Vol. 19, 1980
- 163. Clementi, E., Corongiu, G.: J. Biol. Phys. 11, 33 (1983)

Martin Badertscher et al.

- Clementi, E., in: Structure and Dynamics: Nucleic Acids and Proteins (eds. Clementi, E., Sarma, R. H.), Adenine Press, 1983, p.321
- 165. Kim, K. S., Vercauteren, D. P., Welti, M., Chin, S., Clementi, E.: Biophys. J. 47, 327 (1985)
- 166. Kim, K. S., Vercauteren, D. P., Welti, M., Fornili, S. L., Clementi, E.: IBM Technical Report Pok.-42, April 20, 1984
- 167. Corongiu, G., Clementi, E., Pretsch, E., Simon, W.: J. Chem. Phys. 70, 1266 (1979)
- 168. Portmann, P., Pretsch, E., Simon, W.: in preparation
- 169. Pretsch, E., Bendl, J., Portmann, P., Welti, M., in: Proceedings of the Symposium on Steric Effects in Biomolecules (ed. Naray-Szabo, G.), Elsevier-Akademiai Kiado, 1982, p.85
- 170. Groth, P., Acta Chem. Scand. A 36, 109 (1982)
- 171. Dobler, M., Dunitz, J. D., Seiler, P.: Acta Crystallogr. B30, 2741 (1974)
- 172. Seiler, P., Dobler, M., Dunitz, J. D.: ibid B30, 2744 (1974)
- 173. Nagano, O., Kobayashi, A., Sasaki, Y.: Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 51, 790 (1978)
- 174. a) Czugler, M., Weber, E.: J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 472 (1981)
  b) Weber, E.: J. Org. Chem. 47, 3478 (1982)
- 175. Kim, K. S., Clementi, E.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 227 (1985)
- Corongiu, G., Fornili, S. L., Clementi, E.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. Quant. Biol. Symp. 10, 277 (1983)
- 177. Schuster, P.: Angew. Chem. 93, 532 (1981); Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.
- 178. Jeziorski, B., Kołos, W.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. 91, 12 (1977)
- 179. Dreyfus, M., Pullman, A.: Theor. Chim. Acta 19, 20 (1970)
- 180. Kitaura, K., Marokuma, K.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. 10, 325 (1976)
- 181. Gresh, N., Claverie, P., Pullman, A.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. Symp. 13, 243 (1979)
- 182. Dreyfus, M., Pullman, A.: C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. C 271, 457 (1970)
- 183. Pullman, A., Zakrzewska, K., Perahia, D.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. 16, 395 (1979)
- Gresh, N., Etchebest, C., de la Luz Rojas, O., Pullman. A.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. Quant. Biol. Symp. 8, 109 (1981)
- 185. Gresh, N., Pullman, A.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. 22, 709 (1982)
- 186. Gresh, N., Pullman, B.: Biochim. Biophys. Acta 625, 356 (1980)
- 187. Gresh, N., Pullman, B.: ibid 608, 47 (1980)
- 188. Gresh, N.: ibid 597, 345 (1980)
- 189. Pullman, A., Etchebest, C.: FEBS Letters 163, 199 (1983)
- 190. Etchebest, C., Pullman, A.; ibid 170, 191 (1984)
- 191. Etchebest, C., Ranganathan, S., Pullman, A.: ibid 173, 301 (1984)
- 192. Urry, D. W., Venkatachalam, C. M., Prasad, K. V., Bradley, R. J., Parenti-Castelli, G., Lenaz, G.: Int. J. Quant. Chem. Quant. Biol. Symp. 8, 385 (1981)
- 193. Venkatachalam, C. M., Urry, D. W.: J. Comp. Chem. 4, 461 (1983)
- 194. Gresh, N., Claverie, P., Pullman, A.: Theor. Chim. Acta 66, 1 (1984)
- 195. Diner, S., Malrien, J. P., Claverie, P.: ibid 13, 1 (1969)
- 196. Pullman, B., Courriere, P., in: Proc. Jerusalem Symposium Quant. Chem. Biochem. (eds. Bergmann, E. D., Pullman, B.) Vol. 5, p. 547, 1972
- 197. Bendl, J., Pretsch, E.: J. Comp. Chem. 3, 580 (1982)
- 198. Melberg, S., Rasmussen, K.: Carbohydr. Res. 69, 27 (1979)
- 199. Melberg, S., Rasmussen, K.: ibid 71, 25 (1979)
- 200. Palla, P., Petrongolo, C., Tomasi, J.: J. Phys. Chem. 84, 435 (1980)
- 201. Laurence, P. R., Thomson, C.: Theor. Chim. Acta 58, 121 (1981)
- 202. Rasmussen, K., Tosi, C.: Acta Chem. Scand. A 37, 79 (1983)
- 203. Burkert, U., Allinger, N. L.: Molecular Mechanics, ACS Monograph 177, American Chemical Society, Washington 1982
- 204. Ermer, O.: Aspekte von Kraftfeldrechnungen, Wolfgang Bauer Verlag, München 1981
- 205. Rasmussen, K., Lecture Notes in Chemistry, Vol. 37, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1985
- 206. Bovill, M. J., Chadwick, D. J., Sutherland, I. O.: J. Chem. Soc., Perkin II, 1529 (1980)
- 207. Wipff, G., Weiner, P., Kollman, P.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 3249 (1982)
- 208. Dunitz, J. D., Seiler, P.: Acta Crystallogr. B30, 2739 (1974)

## **Design of Biospecific Compounds which Simulate Enzyme-Substrate Interaction**

#### Kazutaka Tanizawa and Yuichi Kanaoka

Department of Synthetic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060, Japan

#### **Table of Contents**

1	Introduction	82
2	Classification of Enzyme-Specific Compounds	82
3	Design of Binding Site-Interacting Substances	83
	3.1 Applications in Research	83 83
4	Design of Specific Substances Involving both Binding and Catalytic	05
-	Site Interactions	86
	4.1 Synthetic and "Quasi"-Substrates	86
	4.2 Transition State Analogs	88
	4.3 Irreversible Affinity-Labeling Reagents	90
	4.4 Mechanism-Based Irreversible Inhibitors	92
	4.5 Stable Intermediates	94
	4.6 Applications in Research	96
	4.7 Applications in Medicinal Fields	96
5	Design of Trypsin Substrates of a New Type — Inverse Substrates	98
	5.1 Application to the Studies on Structure and Function of Trypsin	100
	5.2 Inverse Substrates for Trypsin-Like Enzymes – Medicinal Applicabilities	101
	5.3 Considerations of the Concept of Inverse Substrates	102
6	Conclusion	105
7	References	107

This present article surveys the recent development of biospecific compounds which interact with active sites of enzymes. These compounds are classified according to their mode of interaction. The characteristic features of interaction are discussed and the molecular basis for the design of the specific compounds of each type is considered. Significance of the enzyme-specific compounds in basic research and in the application of chemotherapeutics is exemplified. The development of "inverse substrates", specific compounds for trypsin and trypsin-like enzymes of a new type, is also described. The basic idea for the design of inverse substrates and their applicabilities are discussed.

## **1** Introduction

The most characteristic properties of enzymes which distinguish them from other chemical catalysts are those associated with their specificity. It is well recognized that binding of a substrate to an enzyme takes place at an active site containing the catalytic function and that formation of an enzyme-substrate complex always precedes the catalytic process. Therefore, enzyme-substrate interaction is generally realized to be one of the most crucial processes in the sense that accurate molecular recognition is involved.

There are, however, many substances other than physiological substrates which exhibit specific interactions with the active site of enzymes. They include small, synthetic competitive inhibitor molecules, synthetic quasi-substrates, affinity labeling reagents, mechanism-based inhibitors, and so on. This may suggest that these substances can act, because enzymes exhibit some structural allowance in substrate recognition. These specific compounds are expected to be of great value for application in basic research and also in the medicinal field. Some of these specific compounds have reached clinical uses.

This review will deal with the design of specific compounds and their applications, mainly concerning hydrolytic enzymes, with which a variety of studies have been carried out. The development of a new type of specific substrates for trypsin and trypsin-like enzymes is also described.

## 2 Classification of Enzyme-Specific Compounds

Compounds which exhibit specific interactions with a particular site of an enzyme other than an active site are called cofactors and allosteric effectors. These compounds are not considered in this review. Specific compounds which interact with the active site itself will be classified into two types. One of them include simple competitive inhibitors and photoaffinity labeling reagents. Compounds of this type exhibit a specific interaction only with the binding site (specificity site) of the enzyme.

enzyme interacting site		specific synthetic compound	
active site	binding	{	competitive inhibitor, photo-affinity labeling reagent
	binding and catalytic site cofactor binding site		transition state analog, quasi-substrate, mechanism-based inhibitor, affinity labeling reagent chemical modification reagent
other than active site	allosteric site	{	chemical modification reagent, synthetic allosteric effector

Table 1. Classification of enzyme-specific compounds

Compounds of the other type are those which interact with both the binding and the catalytic site. Substrate analogs of various types and specific irreversible inhibitors show this behaviour. Compounds of the latter category are the main subject of this review, while compounds of the former type are discussed only briefly.

## 3 Design of Binding Site-Interacting Substances

Large numbers of competitive inhibitors for a variety of enzymes have been reported. The design of inhibitors does not pose difficulties, as the site-specific group for the enzyme binding site is the only parameter for the molecular design. This group will determine the intermolecular forces and the spatial adaptation exhibited between enzyme active site and inhibitor. The forces involved in the binding are noncovalent: they may be relatively strong forces such as electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonds, and also weaker contributions from hydrophobic bonding and van der Waals or London dispersion forces. For a good inhibitor, the cumulative effects of such forces produce tight binding at the active site. The practical method of design, however, has been largely empirical. Screening of a large number of analogs derived from the lead compound of known activity is one of the effective ways to develop potent compounds. These data also serve to predict new highly effective compounds in a statistical methodology — Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship (QSAR). Recently, computer-assisted drug design has been paid much attention ¹⁾. This method will become increasingly important in future.

#### 3.1 Applications in Research

Affinity chromatography, based on biological recognition, has become a major means for the purification of biologically active molecules ²⁾. The technique provides a simple and effective way of purification. Specific adsorption of the enzyme to its competitive inhibitor attached to a polymer matrix is the basis for an efficient enzyme purification. Affinity electrophoresis is also based on biological recognition³⁾.

Photoaffinity labeling reagents can be regarded as substances involved only in binding site interactions. The reagents include both site-specific groups and potentially reactive groups (photo-reactive groups), and the reagents themselves are simple competitive inhibitors. The photo-reactive group is not necessarily designed to aim at the catalytic functional group of the enzyme molecule. Rather, the reagents have a unique significance in mapping active site structure, because, e.g., carbens and nitrens once generated by photolysis are highly reactive and indiscriminately so towards a variety of amino acid residues near the binding site  $^{4)}$ .

#### 3.2 Applications in Medicinal Fields

Competitive inhibition involves simple processes as shown in Eq. (1). Many competitive inhibitors are practically used as therapeutic agents, though they have to be qualified in many aspects. It is an undeniable fact that even a potent inhibitor *in vitro* does not always prove satisfactory *in vivo*. There are many factors affecting

the efficiency of enzyme inhibitors in the living system. The existence of the natural substrate is one of the most important points *in vivo*. The degree of inhibition is directly related to the ratio of the inhibitor concentration (I) divided by its inhibition

$$E + I \stackrel{\kappa_i}{\underset{}{\longleftarrow}} EI + S \qquad (1)$$

$$ES \qquad \downarrow$$

$$P$$

constant  $(K_i)$  to the substrate concentration (S) divided by the Michaelis-Menten constant  $(K_m)$ . Therefore, for a very potent inhibitor the molecular design is important. A strong binding affinity of an inhibitor also serves to decrease the dose amount, and this simultaneously prevents undesired non-specific effects on untargeted enzymes.

Captopril is one of the well-known examples of a competitive inhibitor used as a drug. It has been expected that an inhibitor of the angiotensin-converting enzyme is effective to reduce blood pressure ⁵⁾. The design of specific inhibitors of the enzyme followed the structure of its substrate, angiotensin I, and its inhibitor, snake venom. Thus, captopril (D-3-mercapto-2-methylpropanoyl-L-proline) (I) is now clinically used as an orally active antihypertensive drug ⁶⁾. The estimated interaction between the inhibitor and the active site is shown in Fig. 1. The binding affinity could be produced by electrostatic interaction, hydrogen bonding, and hydrophobic subsite interaction, and no catalytic residues participate in the binding process. The  $K_i$  and  $IC_{50}$  values are reported to be as small as  $1.7 \times 10^{-9}$  M and  $2.2 \times 10^{-8}$  M, respectively and did not inhibit most other peptidases until added at a concentration of  $10^{-3}$  M⁷⁾. Several new inhibitors modeled after captopril have been reported. Zofenopril (2) ⁸⁾, SA-446 (3) and its benzoyl analog (4) ¹⁰⁾ are recognized to be more potent and exhibiting longer activity than captopril. The lactam (5) (half as active as captopril) was developed using a computer-assisted molecular modeling approach ¹¹.





Fig. 1. A hypothetical model for the binding of captopril to the active site of an angiotensin-converting enzyme

*trans*-4-(Aminomethyl)cyclohexanecarboxylic acid is another example of a competitive inhibitor used as a drug. It was developed on the basis of the structure of plasmin inhibitors,  $\varepsilon$ -aminocaproic acid and lysine. It is clinically applied as an anti-hemorrhagic agent ¹²).

The most important requirement for the chemotherapeutic agents is their selective toxicity. The most ideal agents are those which are directed toward enzymes of foreign pathogens or aberrant cells (cancer) without affecting host enzymes. The selectivity to be exhibited in vivo would be difficult to predict solely from in vitro data. In this case, however, chemotherapeutic agents are ideal since the target enzyme of the pathogen has no counterpart in the host and the inhibitor is target-specific. This situation is approximated with  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics (cf. Sect. 4.5). On the other hand, the presence of a homologous enzyme in the host does by no means preclude selectivity, as demonstrated by the very useful antibacterial agent, trimethoprim. Trimethoprim (6a), an analog of dihydrofolic acid (7), acts as a dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor and exerts its effect simply by binding. Selective toxicity in this case is fortunately exhibited by a large difference in inhibitor specificity, i.e., the  $K_i$  value for the bacterial enzyme is several thousand times lower than that of the host enzyme ¹³. The exemplified case of trimethoprim suggests that the development of a drug is often attained empirically. There are a variety of in vivo factors such as pathogen-host relationships which determine whether the enzyme inhibitors are practically useful for clinical purposes. Our knowledge to predict the effects of in vivo factors on the performance of enzyme inhibitors is still limited. Rational approaches in the chemotherapeutic field should evolve parallel with our knowledge of comparative biochemistry and metabolism. Recently, the design of drugs to fit macromolecular receptors, including enzymes, has attracted much attention¹⁴). Molecular modeling considerations of dihydrofolate reductase and trimethoprim derivatives led to the replacement of one meta-methoxy group of 6a by a carboxyalkyloxy group, and, furthermore, a chain length was selected to optimize the interaction between the carboxylate and the guanidinium group of Arg-57 of the enzyme. Compound 6b was found a much more potent inhibitor than the original trimethoprim ^{15, 16}).



## 4 Design of Specific Substances Involving both Binding Site and Catalytic Site Interactions

Compounds of this type must have a structure with two separate moieties, the binding site partner and the catalytic site partner, being spatially arranged according to the active site structure of the enzyme. Enzymatic processes involved in the interaction with such compounds are shown in the following equations:

synthetic and quasi-substrates:

$$E + S \stackrel{K_i}{\Longrightarrow} ES \stackrel{k_1}{\longrightarrow} E + P \tag{2}$$

transition state analogy:

$$E + I \stackrel{K_i}{\longleftrightarrow} EI \stackrel{k_1}{\overleftarrow{k_{-1}}} [E \dots I']$$
(3)

irreversible affinity labeling inhibitor:

$$E + I \stackrel{K_i}{\Longrightarrow} EI \stackrel{k_1}{\longrightarrow} E - I' \tag{4}$$

mechanism-based inhibitor:

$$E + I \rightleftharpoons^{K_i} EI \rightleftharpoons [E \dots I'] \stackrel{k_2}{\underset{K_3}{\longrightarrow}} E - I''$$
(5)

inhibition by a stable intermediate:

$$E + S \stackrel{K_s}{\Longrightarrow} ES \stackrel{k_1}{\longrightarrow} E - A \stackrel{k_2}{\longrightarrow} E + P \tag{6}$$

#### 4.1 Synthetics and "Quasi"-Substrates

A variety of synthetic substrates for a variety of enzymes have been reported. Especially for hydrolytic enzymes, many substrates have been prepared owing to the ease of their design. Chromogenic and fluorogenic substrates are of special value for simple and sensitive spectrometric determinations of enzyme activities. Thus these compounds lately have become widely used for investigations of various proteases both in research laboratoris and in clinical diagnostics. Chromogenic ⁽¹⁾ and fluorogenic ^{18,19} peptidyl substrates interact with subsites and exhibit pronounced specificity. They are useful for the specific detection of a certain protease from a sample containing several proteases of similar specificity.

For determining the absolute concentration of active hydrolytic enzymes, active site titrants, a sort of quasi-substrates, have been developed. The catalytic pathway

of hydrolytic enzymes involves an acyl enzyme intermediate as shown in Eq. (7), which is equivalent to Eq. (6).

$$E + S \stackrel{K_s}{\longrightarrow} ES \stackrel{k_1}{\frown} E - A \stackrel{k_2}{\longrightarrow} P_2$$
(7)

Therefore the compounds designed to give a stable acyl enzyme intermediate (E - A) in a specific manner with a concomitant release of spectrometrically detectable product  $(P_1)$  are useful as active site titrants of an enzyme²⁰⁻²²⁾. Compounds 8²³⁾ and 9²⁴⁾ are proposed as titrants for trypsin. Both have a site-specific residue for trypsin, the guanidinophenyl or amidinophenyl moiety, as well as a chromogenic leaving portion, though they lack an  $\alpha$ -acylamido group and an asymmetric carbon atom which are basic constituents of natural and synthetic substrates. These structural characteristics realize a favorable acylation subsequently to the specific binding. The deacylation, however, was shown to be much slower than the acylation step. The kinetic properties are advantageous for the titrant. The release of a stoichiometric amount of *p*-nitrophenolate ( $P_1$ ) is monitored by optical density at 405 nm. Kinetic parameters for 8 and 9 are listed in Table 2. The use of a fluorophore instead of a



chromophore in the design of substrates and titrants provides an increase in sensitivity. Fluorogenic active site titrants for trypsin and trypsin-like enzymes  $10^{20}$  and  $11^{25}$  were designed following compound 9. It was reported that the detectability of the enzyme concentration was increased 5–6 orders of magnitude, from  $10^{-6}$  M for 9 to  $10^{-11} - 10^{-12}$  M for 11.



Compound	$K_s$ , M (× 10 ⁵ )	$k_2, s^{-1}$	$k_3, s^{-1} (\times 10^4)$	pН
8	0.503	30.4	653	8.2
9	0.061	1.95	0.34	8.3

Table 2. Kinetic parameters for trypsin-catalyzed hydrolysis of 8 and 9

#### 4.2 Transition State Analogs

It has been speculated that the catalytic specificity of an enzyme requires the active site of the enzyme and the transition state of the reaction at the substrate molecule to be structurally complementary ²⁶. Molecules which resembled the transition state structure could thus be expected to bind the active site tightly. This concept was taken up and developed by Lienhard ²⁷ and Wolfenden ²⁸ as transition state analogs.

The design of a transition state analog is based on knowledge of the mechanism of the target enzyme. Enzyme mechanisms which involve a change in bond order, i.e., trigonal-tetrahedral or tetrahedral-trigonal transformations, are most suited. These mechanisms are found in a number of reactions such as hydrolysis and transfer reactions. The design of stable compounds which mimic the transition state is generally carried out by a modification of the reacting functional group of its common substrate. The proper choice of an alternative for the reacting functional group is important to produce the resembled transition state. It should be noted that the inhibition mode of the transition state analog is competitive, though its  $K_i$  value is generally much smaller than that of a simple competitive inhibitor. Typical examples of this approach are boronic acid inhibitors for hydrolases. Some of the hydrolases catalyze acyl transfer reactions via the intermediacy of an ester with a seryl residue within the active site. The transition states for the acylation and deacylation steps of these enzymes are though to involve a metastable tetrahedral intermediate (12).



Boronic acid derivatives form stable tetrahedral adducts with hydroxide ion and they behave as strong inhibitors of hydrolases. This leads to the assumption that the boronic acid derivatives bind to the serine residue at the active site of the enzymes in a structure resembling the tetrahedral intermediate  $(13)^{29}$ . The binding affinity of N-benzoylaminomethaneboronic acid for chymotrypsin, for example, is reported to be two orders of magnitude stronger than that of a hippuric acid derivative ³⁰.

Another example are naturally occurring peptide aldehyde inhibitors, discovered in microorganisms, such as antipain (14), chymostatin (15), leupeptin (16) and elastinal (17)  $^{31-33}$ . The discovery of the inhibitors stimulated the synthetic work of peptide aldehyde analogs, and a large number of peptide aldehydes have been prepared  34 .



The formation of a tetrahedral hemiacetal adduct was analyzed for the interaction between the inhibitor aldehyde and the catalytic serine residue  $(18)^{35}$ . The overall dissociation constants for an enzyme and an interacting transition state analog may be given by:

$$K_{i_{(operall)}} = K_i \times k_{-1} / (k_1 + k_{-1})$$
(8)

The apparent strong affinity is reasonably assumed to arise from a second equilibrium step where  $k_{-1}$  is much smaller than  $k_1$ . A kinetic analysis of the leupeptintrypsin interaction revealed that the dissociation constant for the entire process  $(K_{i \text{ overall}})$  is  $1.34 \times 10^{-8}$  M, though that of the first step  $(K_i)$  is only  $1.24 \times 10^{-3}$  M. The contribution of the second equilibrium to the entire process was determined to be a magnitude of  $10^{5 36}$ .

Trimethylammonium trifluoroacetophenone (19) was found to be a highly effective inhibitor of acetylcholinesterase ³⁷⁾. The ketone activated by an electron-withdrawing trifluoroacetyl group will enhance the tendency to add a nucleophile (the hydroxyl group of the catalytic serine residue of acetylcholinesterase) to form a tetrahedral adduct as an aldehyde inhibitor.

*p*-Amidinophenylpyruvic acid (*p*-APPA, 20) was first discovered by Geratz to be a good trypsin inhibitor ³⁸⁾. Spectrometric analysis of the interaction of thrombin and trypsin with *p*-APPA led to the conclusion that the excellent inhibitory properties of *p*-APPA are explained by a transition state mechanism: formation of a hemiketal complex. In contrast, *m*-amidinophenylpyruvic acid (*m*-APPA) which is apparently incapable of forming a hemiketal, did not afford any evidence for the formation of a hemiketal complex and displayed a  $K_i$  in the range expected for simple benzamidine ³⁹⁾.



The X-ray diffraction experiment on the lysozyme-inhibitor complex⁴⁰ is a wellknown example which gave evidence for the transition state complementarity.

Lysozyme catalyzes the hydrolysis of cell wall and synthetic polymers of  $\beta(1-4)$ linked units of N-acetylglucosamine (NAG). During the catalysis, it is expected that a carbonium ion is formed in which the conformation of the glucopyranose ring changes from full-chair to a half-chair conformation. This speculated conformation is in accordance with the X-ray data. Thus, the designed transition state analog (21), in which the lactone ring mimics the carbonium ion-like transition state (22), binds tightly to lysozyme. The  $K_i$  value,  $8.3 \times 10^{-8}$  M, is compared with that for (NAG)₄,  $10^{-5}$  M ⁴¹.



#### 4.3 Irreversible Affinity Labeling Inhibitors

Considering an affinity label in its broadest sense, we must include all compounds which can form a covalent bond (transient or permanent) to a protein molecule after specific binding to the protein binding site, no matter whether they have a potentially reactive functional group or actual reactive group. Photoaffinity labeling reagents (see Sect. 3.1) and transition state analogs (Sect. 4.2) as well as further compounds to be mentioned in the following sections (4.4–4.5) should be included here. At this point, however, we will deal only with the affinity labeling inhibitors in the narrowest sense which lead to "permanent" inactivation associated with a chemical modification by the "actually" reactive functional group at the active site.

Covalent bond formation is principally considered the most effective way to inactivate an enzyme. The inactivation process is time-dependent and the rate depends on

the  $k_1$  value. The specificity of the inactivation reaction is dependent on the  $K_i$  value; a large  $K_i$  value significantly retards the inactivation rate especially for the reaction in vivo where the specific compounds, such as the physiological substrate, are present. A variety of classes of chemical reactions can be used to modify the enzyme. These are: nucleophilic substitution, nucleophilic addition, electrophilic substitution, etc. The selection of the reactive group depends on the target functional group of the active site to be modified. The design of a reactive group with a very enhanced reactivity is considered to be unsuitable, because random reactions with the enzyme surface as well as reactions with solvent water will take place. It may be noted that affinity labeling itself exhibits enhanced reactivity through the proper orientation of the reactive group of the inhibitor to the functional group of the enzyme. Therefore the selection of a group with a rather diminished reactivity may be preferable. In addition, a reactive group with a wide reactivity-spectrum is advantageous for the purpose of topographical mapping of the enzyme active site in which only amino acid residues close to the inhibitor are modified. A nucleophilic substitution reaction is best suited for this purpose, because many amino acids have a nucleophilic group in their side chain.

 $\alpha$ -Haloketones are one of the most popular chemical classes of affinity labels. Since haloketones are reactive with most nucleophiles, they have a good chance to modify the closest located residue. Typical examples of this class are chloromethylketones derived from N-tosyl-L-phenylalanine (TPCK) (23) and N-tosyl-L-lysine (TLCK) (24)⁴². They react with the histidine side chain in the catalytic site of chymotrypsin and trypsin, respectively.  $\alpha$ -Haloketone (25)⁴² in combination with a guanidinophenyl moiety, an efficient ligand for trypsin, results in alkylation of a serine residue at the catalytic site. The different behavior of 24 and 25 on the reacting residue of the enzyme active site reflects the geometry of the active site complementary to the reagents; only the nucleophile which comes close to the reactive group of the inhibitor during EI complex formation is involved in the modification. The applicability of affinity labels with chloromethylketone was further extended by the development of peptide chloromethylketones which incorporate a part of the sequence of the physiolo-



gical substrates of enzymes. These reagents distinguish among proteases of similar specificity by taking advantage of binding selectivity in both primary and secondary sites ⁴³⁾.  $\alpha$ -Haloacetamide is another typical example with a wide range of reactivity. Modifications of carboxypeptidase B by bromoacetyl reagents with different structure are highly diverse. Alkylated residues of, e.g., tyrosine, glutamic acid, or methionine were changed by such reagents residues ⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶. Sulfonyl halide and diazonium are also useful for the reactive group of affinity labels.

Efficient modification steps through the proper orientation of the inhibitor reactive group to the enzyme nucleophile is realized by covalent bond formation. A classic example of this type is the modification of a methionine residue of chymotrypsin by *p*-nitrophenyl bromoacetyl  $\alpha$ -aminoisobutyrate (26)⁴⁷⁾. In this instance, the reactive group (bromoacetyl) is fixed at the locus near the active site through a covalent bond by means of acyl enzyme intermediates.

#### 4.4 Mechanism-Based Irreversible Inhibitors

Different names have been used by several reviewers for this type of compounds: suicide enzyme inhibitors, suicide substrates,  $k_{cat}$  inhibitors, Trojan horse inhibitors, etc. Compounds of this type are chemically unreactive, but their products from enzymatic conversion are highly reactive molecules. These products, formed within the active site, may immediately attack an essential protein residue or prosthetic group, resulting in the irreversible inhibition of the enzyme. Thus, the specificity of the inhibitor is determined not only by the binding affinity but also by its effectiveness to serve as a substrate for the target enzyme. Inhibitiors of this type are more specific than simple affinity labeling reagents, because they are chemically unreactive to foreign biomolecules. Requirements for the design of mechanism-based irreversible inhibitors are very stringent;

1) the molecule must be chemically unreactive;

2) it must behave as a specific substrate for the target enzyme; and

3) the resulting product must be spatially well-arranged and active enough to react with an active site residue of the enzyme without being quenched by the solvent.

The third requirement is not absolute, as a stoichiometric inactivation will occur if the condition  $[E] \ll [I]$  applies. Even if modifications occur less-frequently than in quenching, the modification can be ultimately completed after several catalytic turnovers. Since an excellent review has recently appeared on mechanism-based enzyme inactivators ⁴⁸, we will mention a few selected examples here.



Enzymes requiring pyridoxal phosphate (PLP) have been prime targets for the design of mechanism-based inhibitors ⁴⁹⁾. The coenzyme pyridoxal phosphate condenses with amino acids to form a Schiff base. The pyridine ring in the Schiff base acts as an electron sink which effectively stabilizes a negative charge. Each one of the groups around the  $\alpha$ -carbon may be cleaved, forming an anion which is stabilized by the Schiff base and the pyridine ring. For example, the breaking of the  $\alpha$ -hydrogen gives a stabilized  $\alpha$ -carbanion (27) which may react in several different ways. The many examples of mechanism-based inactivation of pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzymes can be explained in terms of alterations in the fate of the analogous intermediate 27 brought about by the design of appropriate groups on the substrate. Ethanolamine-O-sulfate, for example, is so designed as to generate highly active Michael acceptors (28) ⁵⁰. The sulfonate group mimics the carboxyl of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid and serves as a leaving group to generate an unsaturated imine, which can alkylate a basic group of the enzyme. Covalent bond formation can occur between 28 and a nucleophile residue to give 29.



Azaserine, 5-diazo-4-oxo-L-norvaline (DONV) and 6-diazo-5-ketonorleucine (DON) are other examples of mechanism-based irreversible inhibitors  51 . They are stable to nucleophilic attack, but on enzymatic protonation, they are converted to the reactive diazonium ions (30). N-Nitroso compounds have been proposed as irreversible inhibitors of proteolytic enzymes. N-Nitrosolactam (31) can inhibit chymotrypsin



irreversibly, possibly by a carbonium ion  $(32)^{52}$ . It is proved from the results that compound (31) is eventually well-designed to meet the requirement of the chymotrypsin active center with respect to the specific binding and the proximity to the catalytic residues. Benzylchloropyrone, 33, inactivates chymotrypsin after 14-40 turnovers. The key step is the enzymatic activation of a latent chloropyrone to an acyl chloride, 34, during acyl enzyme formation ⁵³⁾. The reagents enter into a covalent anchoring to the protein particle at the one end of the molecule, just before they are involved in the enzymatic activation, to generate a reactive function at the other end. Another example of an inhibitor for chymotrypsin is 6-iodomethylene-naphthyltetrahydropyrane-2-one (35). The compound has a partition ratio of 1.7 turnovers per chymotrypsin inactivation  $^{54)}$ . Labeling reactions by 31, 33, and 35 are considered to proceed through an intramolecular process similar to the reaction with 26.



#### 4.5 Stable Intermediates

Compounds effecting a stable intermediate in the course of enzymatic catalysis are a sort of mechanism-based inhibitor. However, in this case, the enzymatic activity lost by the formation of the intermediate can regenerate after a certain period. Compounds of this class are often observed for hydrolytic enzymes. The formation of an acyl enzyme intermediate (EA) is a characteristic feature of the reaction catalyzed by these enzymes, as shown in Eq. (6). Esters of *p*-guanidinobenzoate (9), which were discussed in Sect. 4.1, behave as transient inhibitors of trypsin due to the formation of a relatively stable acyl enzyme. A similar type of inhibition occurs in the temporary

inactivation of acetylcholinesterase by the carbamate  $36^{55}$ . An electronically stabilized acyl chymotrypsin was designed by the use of isatoic anhydride (37). Isatoic anhydride is sufficiently reactive to give the initial acyl enzyme 38 which transforms into the electron-releasing anthranilyl chymotrypsin 39 upon ready hydrolysis of the carbamate  56 . The electronic nature of the acyl groups govern to a considerable



extent the stability of the acyl enzyme. An acyl enzymes substituted by an electronreleasing group is generally resistant to hydrolysis though its formation (acylation) step is not favored. Compound 37 is, therefore, designed to satisfy both, the requirement for the efficient production of the acyl enzyme and for the stabilization of the resulting acyl enzyme intermediate. It seems convincing that the electron-releasing character of an acyl group generally enhances the stability of acyl chymotrypsin and acyl acetylcholinesterase toward hydrolysis. In the study of the deacylation rates of *p*-substituted benzoyl enzymes, it was concluded that the rates generally correlate well with the substituent constant (sigma) though there are some exceptional cases. The *p*-guanidino group is one of the exceptional cases to give a very stable acyl trypsin (cf. compound 9) and an unstable chymotrypsin. The different response of the *p*guanidinobenzoyl group on the deacylation rates catalyzed by plasmin and thrombin if of interest with regard to their application to the medicinal field ⁵⁷.



The action of  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics is considered to be due to the formation of an acyl enzyme with carboxypeptidases and transpeptidases which are involved in the biosynthesis of bacterial cell walls⁵⁸⁾. A three-step mechanism involving a stable acyl-enzyme intermediate (EI*), a participating active site serine residue, and a very slow decay process ( $k_4$ ) was proposed [Eq. (9)]⁵⁹.

$$E + I \stackrel{K}{\longleftrightarrow} EI \stackrel{k_3}{\longrightarrow} EI^* \stackrel{k_4}{\longrightarrow} E + P \tag{9}$$

Synthetic compounds which afford a stable intermediate must be designed with a structure closely related to the natural substrate of the enzyme. They are obliged to behave as a quasi-substrate in exhibiting specific binding to the binding site and a suitable juxtaposition for the bonding to the catalytic residue. Furthermore, the design must afford an intermediate which is structurally differentiated to remain unchanged for a certain period.

#### 4.6 Applications in Research

Synthetic substrates and titrants have played an important role for understanding the kinetic characteristics and catalytic mechanism of enzymes. For the purpose of enzyme purification, transition state analogs are useful for an affinity ligand in the same manner as a competitive inhibitor, though in some cases difficulties may arise caused by the high affinity to the ligand in the elution process. ⁶⁰. The most significant contribution of affinity labels and mechanism-based inhibitors is the elucidation of active site structures and the catalytic mechanism of enzymes. The amino acid analysis of the labeled peptide fragments provides information about the structure of the active site. By use of differently designed affinity labeling reagents, it is possible to determine the spatial outline of the active site as in the case of trypsin-specific chloromethylketone derivatives.

#### 4.7 Applications in Medicinal Fields

In recent years, the importance of enzyme levels in body fluids for clinical diagnosis has been recognized. It has been established that activities of secreted enzymes and cellular enzymes in serum are a sensitive indication of the pathophysiological condition of the body. Specific and sensitive substrates play a prominent role for this purpose. Fluorogenic substrates, e.g., enable sensitive micro-analyses.

In drug design, affinity labeling reagents and transition state analogs are considered potentially promising. Unlike simple competitive inhibitors, transition state analogs and affinity labels appear to offer unique properties by means of additional interaction with the catalytic residues. Mechanism-based inhibitors and stable intermediates are also advantageous because they are essentially inert as chemical reagents until they are specifically activated by the enzyme which is to be modified.

It is known that  $\beta$ -lactamase catalyzes the rapid hydrolysis of the  $\beta$ -lactam ring of penicillins and cepharosporines. The hydrolytic activity of these enzymes eliminates the bacteriocidal action of many  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics and makes the organism resistant to these molecules. For this reason, the  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors have long been regarded as promising targets from a medicinal viewpoint. A comparison between the kinetic characteristics of  $\beta$ -lactamase and penicillin-sensitive enzymes (carboxy-peptidase and transpeptidase) is of interest in this respect.  $\beta$ -Lactamases very efficiently hydrolyze  $\beta$ -lactam in contrast to penicillin-sensitive enzymes [high  $k_4$  in Eq. (9)].

It would be valuable to develop compounds affording a stable  $acyl-\beta$ -lactamase. Clavulanic acid (40) is a natural product discovered in a *Streptomyces* strain and acts as a specific inhibitor of  $\beta$ -lactamase. Fisher and Knowles indicated the possibility of the formation of a long-living acyl-enzyme in the catalytic pathway of  $\beta$ -lactamase having a serine residue in the active site. The inhibition of  $\beta$ -lactamase by clavulanic acid is suggested to be a consequence of the subsequent formation of  $4I^{61}$ .

The synthetic penicillin sulfone [sulbactam, (42)] has been shown to act as a  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor. The inhibition is based on a similar mechanism as proposed above ⁶². A prodrug, sultamicillin (43), which combines sulbactam and amoxacillin by labile



linkage is designed to deliver both a lactam and a  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor ⁶³. A possible mechanism-based inhibitor for  $\beta$ -lactamase 44 (Fig. 2) was proposed ⁶⁴). It is suggested that the inhibition is initiated by the formation of the acyl enzyme 45 in which the concomitant loss of a fluoride ion is taking place as shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. Proposed mechanism for the inhibition of  $\beta$ -lactamase by 44

### **5** Design of Trypsin Substrates of a New Type — Inverse Substrates

Enzymes which catalyze the hydrolysis of the unit linkage of sequential residues of oligomers or polymers determine their substrate specificity by recognizing the particular unit residue in the sequential chain as well as the direction of the chain. For example, ribonuclease cleaves the 3'-phosphate of a pyrimidine nucleotide residue but not the 5'-phosphate, and trypsin hydrolyzes peptide bonds which involve the arginine or lysine residue at the carbonyl end but not at the amino end. This is also the case for the hydrolysis of a variety of synthetic substrates and quasi-substrates (Sect. 4.1). Synthetic trypsin substrates are ester or amide derivatives in which the site-specific group (positive charge) is contained in their carbonyl portion.

Compounds which violate this empirical rule have not been observed, though some attempts have been made to design such compounds. Therefore, it has been generally considered that any modification in the fundamental architecture of the substrate molecule would cause a loss of susceptibility.

In our early work, esters of *p*-amidinobenzoic acid (8) were shown to behave as specific substrates of trypsin, as mentioned in Sect. 4.1. Esters 8 have the same molecular arrangement as normal-type substrates although they have a simplified structure lacking an asymmetric carbon and an  $\alpha$ -acylamide group. In an extension of this investigation, we designed esters of an inverted structure, namely acyl derivatives of *p*-amidinophenol (46).



It was found that 46 behaves as an exceptional substrate of trypsin, showing a the reaction mode which had not been observed before. Fig. 3 shows the time course of the tryptic catalysis of 46 monitored by the amidinophenol liberation under the condition that the substrate is in much higher concentration than the enzyme. After rapid mixing of enzyme and substrate, a rapid acylation step is observed and a slow deacylation then follows. The kinetics follow a Michaelis-Menten equation: strong binding affinity, efficient acylation, and rate-determining slow deacylation steps, which are exactly the same as those of normal-type substrates. As a result, the accumulation of the acyl enzyme intermediate (*EA*) is realized in the course of the steady-state hydrolysis [cf. Eq. (6)].

For the esters 46, the site-specific group for the enzyme, charged amidinium, is not included in the acyl moiety but in the leaving portion, and so these esters were termed "inverse" substrates with respect to their kinetic parameters ⁶⁵⁾. Kinetic parameters for some inverse substrates are listed in Table 3 together with those for a normal type (8) and the *meta*-isomer 47. *p*-Amidinophenyl acetate, for example, exhibits a binding constant of  $10^{-5}$  M, an efficient acylation stage with a rate constant of  $17 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and a slow deacylation. In contrast, the *meta*-isomers were found to be very poor substrates, probably because of unfavorable positioning of the carbonyl, which is shown by the small acylation rate constant. The normal-type substrate has a binding



#### Design of Biospecific Compounds which Simulate Enzyme-Substrate Interaction

Fig. 3. Time course of the trypsin-catalyzed hydrolysis of *p*-amidinophenyl acetate (46;  $R = CH_3$ ) at pH 8.0, 25 °C. Concentrations of enzyme and ester are 10  $\mu$ M and 0.7 mM, respectively

Compound	$K_s$ (M×10 ⁵ )	k ₂ (s ⁻¹ )	$k_3 (k_{cat})^b$ (s ⁻¹ ×10 ⁴ )	$k_{ m spont} \ ({ m s}^{-1}  imes 10^6)$
$46; R = CH_3$	3.87	17.0	92.6	26.0
$47; R = CH_3$	3.03	0.03	(49.8)	15.8
8	0.503	30.4	653	217
AcONP ^c	2100	1.5	130	nd ^b

Table 3. Kinetic parameters for tryptic hydrolysis of "inverse" and normal type substrates^a

^a Reaction was carried out in 0.05 M tris buffer containing 0.02 M CaCl₂ at pH 8.0, 25 °C;

^b Overall  $k_{cat}$  ( $k_3$  is not much smaller than  $k_2$ );

^c p-Nitrophenyl acetate;

^d Not determined

constant and acylation rate constant comparable to those of inverse substrates. Nonspecific *p*-nitrophenyl acetate exhibits very poor binding and insufficient acylation.

The reaction process of trypsin-catalyzed hydrolysis of the inverse substrates is illustrated in Fig. 4. Here the process is compared to that of normal-type substrates. After specific binding and efficient acylation, the site-specific amidinophenyl moiety is cleaved (leaving group) to give the acyl enzyme in a very specific manner. As a result, inverse substrates are expected to be applicable as a general method for "specific" introduction of any acyl group of "non-specific structure" into the trypsin active site.

For the first time, inverse substrates provide a general method for the specific introduction of an acyl group into the trypsin active site without recourse to cation-containing acyl compounds. The preparation of various new acyl enzymes is expected to lead to the discovery of novel features of the enzymatic reaction mechanism. In addition, any desired reporter groups might be specifically introduced into the trypsin



Fig. 4. Reaction sequences of trypsin with normal type and "inverse" substrates. The hydroxyl function and negative charge represent the catalytic residue (Ser-195) and the binding residue (Asp-189) at the active site, respectively. The acyl trypsin-ligand complex (low right) formed in the presence of a cationic compound

active site and these acyl enzymes will provide information on the structure of the active site vicinity.

It is of special value to extend the "inverse" concept further to trypsin-like enzymes. Inverse substrates of these biologically important enzymes could be candidates for clinically useful substances. In the following sections, various aspects of the applicabilities are briefly described.

#### 5.1 Applications to the Studies on Structure and Function of Trypsin

Enzymatic kinetics for the esters derived from acetylamino acids and acetylpeptides were studied. Even in the case of D-amino acid derivatives, catalysis was found to give acyl enzyme intermediates in a very specific manner, as shown in Table 4. Inverse substrates are artificial substances affording acyl enzymes, and accordingly their  $K_s$ and  $k_2$  values have no significant meaning in a physiological sense. Hydrolytic processes of these aminoacyl or peptidyl enzyme intermediates ( $k_3$ ), however, are the stages of enzymatic action itself, because trypsin is a proteolytic enzyme leading to an aminoacyl and peptidyl enzyme as an intermediate. These parameters for D-amino acid derivatives which never have been analyzed by conventional substrates because of their unsusceptibility at the acylation step, have now been determined and the resulting values have been evaluated ⁶⁶, ⁶⁷).

An additional characteristic of inverse substrates is also shown in Fig. 4. The acyl enzyme formed from the inverse substrate lacks a site-specific cationic residue with

Compound	$\frac{K_s}{(M \times 10^5)}$	$k_2 \ (s^{-1})$	k ₃ (s ⁻¹ )
46			
$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Ac} \cdot \mathbf{Gly}$	2.9	19	0.61
$R = Ac-(Gly)_2$	2.7	21	1.3
$R = Ac-(Gly)_3$	4.7	15	1.5
R = Ac-L-Ala	4.6	4.8	2.1
$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Ac} - \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{l} \mathbf{a}$	3.0	7.0	0.012
R = Ac-L-Ala-Gly	3.6	9.6	1.8
$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Ac} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{Ala} \cdot \mathbf{Gly}$	1.9	6.6	0.28

Table 4. Kinetic parameters for the trypsin-catalyzed hydrolysis of inverse substrates at pH 8.0, 25 °C

which the binding site interacts. Therefore, the acyl enzyme is capable of accepting an external, charged molecule at this vacant binding site to form an acyl enzymecharged molecule complex if sufficient concentration of cationic ligand is added and if this vacant cavity is large enough to allow coexistance of both the acyl residue and the charged molecule. The dissociation constant of this complex was denoted as  $K'_i$ as shown in the figure. The deacylation rate constant for this complex,  $k'_3$ , is expected to be different from that of the simple acyl enzyme without the ligand. The presence of the cationic compound caused a rate acceleration in the overall catalytic rate of 'inverse substrates. This acceleration occurred at the rate-determining deacylation stage. Cationic compounds which are known as competitive inhibitors for trypsin generally exhibit a rate acceleration effect and the effect depends on the ligand concentration ^{68,69}. Analysis of the rate enhancements observed with a variety of inverse substrates and cationic ligands refined the mechanistic understanding of the catalytic efficiency of trypsin ⁷⁰.

*p*-Amidinophenyl esters carrying a fluorophore  71 , an optically active chromophore  72 , or a stable, free radical  73  have been synthesized. All of these esters exhibited a strong binding affinity and an efficient acylation step. Isolation of acyl trypsin was successfully carried out by the general procedure as follows: About 20 equivalents of a substrate were mixed with the enzyme at room temperature at pH 8.0. After standing for several minutes, the pH was dropped by addition of diluted hydrochloric acid to around 2. The reaction mixture was gel-filtered and subsequently lyophilized.

The microenvironment of the trypsin active site was estimated by spectrometric analysis of these acyl trypsin preparations.

# 5.2 Inverse Substrates for Trypsin-Like Enzymes — Medicinal Applicabilities

It is well known that the specificity of an enzyme such as thrombin and plasmin is very close to that of trypsin. In this respect, inverse substrates for trypsin also are expected to be susceptible to the catalysis by these enzymes. In the kinetic analysis of trypsin-like enzymes toward *p*-amidinophenyl esters, it was found that the "inverse" concept is also applicable to thrombin, plasmin, urokinase, kallikrein, and trypsins from various origins  $^{74-75}$ . These enzymes are not distinctively different from boyine-

trypsin in their binding constants and acylation rate constants. Deacylation rate constants, however, are more variant. Trypsin-like enzymes are known to have a key role in such important physiological phenomena like coagulation and fibrinolysis and therefore the compounds which are capable of discriminating between these enzymes could be of therapeutic value.

The active site structure of trypsin-like enzymes is considered to be very similar to that of bovine trypsin, yet little is known about them. Refinement of these structures is important also for the purpose of designing physiologically active substances. With a view to comparing the spatial requirements of active sites of these enzymes, dissociation constants of the acyl enzyme-ligand complex,  $K'_i$ , which were defined before, were successfully analyzed ⁽⁰⁾. By taking advantage of inverse substrates which have an unlimited choice of the acyl component, development of stable acyl enzymes could be possible. These transient inhibitors for trypsin-like enzymes could be candidates for drugs. In this respect, the determination of the deacylation rate constants for the plasmin- and thrombin-catalyzed hydrolyses of various esters were undertaken ⁷⁷.

A new approach to thrombosis therapy using acyl plasmins has been reported by Smith et al. ⁷⁸⁾. Acyl plasmin is catalytically inert and unable to react with plasma inhibitors but still can bind to a fibrin clot. Thus, after the administration, acyl plasmin can circulate without being trapped by the inhibitors and can come into contact with fibrin. Deacylation may then occur to give a fibrin-plasmin complex and this active enzyme is expected to lead to fibrinolysis. The preparation of acyl plasmin of appropriate stability was realized by using the general procedure for the specific synthesis of an acyl enzyme — the "inverse substrate" method.

#### 5.3 Considerations on the Concept of Inverse Substrates

Among a number of experimental results in which the kinetic behavior of protelytic enzymes toward a variety of synthetic substrates and inhibitors have been tested, some seemingly irrational enzymatic responses were observed. Example of these responses will be discussed from the viewpoint of the imperfectness of the enzymatic recognition. The existence of inverse substrates might be due to such an imperfectness or allowance in the recognition rigidity of the enzyme.

So-called "non-productive" or "wrong way" binding must be the binding mode of physiological meaning in which an enzyme prevents wrong substrates from being involved in catalysis. A typical example of the binding is shown in the interaction of such a protease, as e.g., chymotrypsin with D-amino acid derivatives. However, "non-productive binding" formed between chymotrypsin and its substrate, acetyltyro-sine-anilide, is somewhat different ⁷⁹). As is known, chymotrypsin exhibits its substrate specificity toward aromatic amino acids, and in this instance chymotrypsin cannot discriminate between either the aromatic residue of a tyrosine side chain or an anilide moiety even if the substrate is L-configurated (Fig. 5e).

The binding constant of the substrate acetyl-L-leucyl-L-tyrosine methylamide to pepsin  $(K_m)$  is reported as 2.7 mM and the binding of the inhibitor acetyl-D-tyrosyl-D-leucine methylamide  $(K_i)$  as 5.8 mM. The binding shown in Fig. 6 was proposed for the reason that both binding constants are almost identical. This assumption is based upon the idea that the space-filling structure of leucyltyrosine in the L-configuration is similar to that of the reversed sequence, tyrosylleucine, in the D-configuration. A

#### Design of Biospecific Compounds which Simulate Enzyme-Substrate Interaction



**Fig. 5.** Productive **[a)**, **c)**] and non-productive **[b)**, **d)**–**f**)] binding of tyrosine derivatives to chymotrypsin. Catalytic residues in the active site are illustrated as a sharp edge

term "retro-enantiomer" was proposed for this concept  80 . This might be another example in which the enzyme has been misled. The peptide bond in this case (Fig. 6a) is resistant to hydrolysis because its orientation to the catalytic residue of the enzyme is not properly attained.

Attempts for designing inverse-type compounds have been reported by other research groups. In case of chymotrypsin, Jones et al.⁸¹⁾ prepared certain esters with alcohol components which imitate tryptophan and phenylalanine residues. Attempts have also been made by Hartman et al.⁸²⁾ and Muramatsu et al.⁸³⁾. They prepared aminobutanol acetate for trypsin. But all these compounds were found not to be hydrolyzed appreciably under the chosen conditions. Although the enzyme function is not always



Fig. 6. Binding of specific substrate a) and its "retro-enantiomer" b) to pepsin. Arrow represents the proximity of the catalytic residues

perfect, enzymes are still able to discriminate such derivatives. It can be assumed, therefore, that in designing extraordinary compounds, such as inverse substrates, some adjustments in the chemical reactivity are needed. Our leaving group, *p*-amidinophenol, is chemically different from that of the above authors (phenol vs. aliphatic hydroxyl group). Phenol esters are generally much more susceptible to nucleophilic substitution than esters of aliphatic alcohols. Furthermore, the *p*-amidino substituent has an electron withdrawing character nearly equal to the *p*-nitro group ⁸⁴⁾. In our case, *p*-amidinophenyl esters might satisfy both conditions: the spatial requirements of the active site of the enzyme and the chemical reactivity itself.

The involvement of several residues to serve as general acid and base is well recognized in the catalytic processes of trypsin and chymotrypsin. In the acylation stage, inverse substrates will be distinguished from normal-type substrates by the assistance of these residues. A comparison is made in Fig. 7. In the case of normal-type substrates, participation of the general acid on the leaving group (OR) will assist the formation of the acyl enzyme. In contrast, for inverse substrates the leaving group does not come into contact with the general acid residue, because OR is oriented in the opposite direction. It is concluded therefore that our leaving group chemically compensates for the inherent disadvantage of the enzymatic process with the inverse-type substrates. The reason why compounds reported by Jones et al., Hartman et al., and Muramatsu et al. behaved simply as competitive inhibitor will thus be explained.

It is perhaps worth reconsidering the status of conventional substrates of chymotrypsin derived from *p*-nitrophenol in terms of the "inverse" concept. *p*-Nitrophenyl acetate, a well-known substrate for chymotrypsin, is an active ester with an aromatic moiety (specific residue for the enzyme) in its leaving portion. This could be considered as a sort of inverse substrates, though its binding affinity is not excellent. In this respect, 2-hydroxy-5-nitro- $\alpha$ -toluenesulfonic acid sulfone could be considered as a hybrid of normal and inverse ones⁸⁵⁾. The reaction process of inverse substrates is essentially the same as that of conventional ester substrates following the whole enzymatic process to regenerate the original enzyme. This is a characteristic feature of inverse substrates which is not satisfied by mechanism-based and affinity labeling inhibitors. The most striking characteristic is to afford an acyl enzyme without re-



Fig. 7. Comparison of association modes of trypsin with normal a) and "inverse" b) substrates
course to the structure of the acyl moiety. As a result, any desired acyl groups might be introduced specifically into the enzyme active site.

Further search for inverse substrates other than *p*-amidinophenyl esters has been carried out and it has been found that esters derived from *p*-aminomethylphenol and *p*-guanidinophenol were also eligible as a substrate of trypsin and trypsin-like enzymes  $^{75,86)}$ . We have also found that trimethylaminobutanoic acid *p*-nitrophenyl ester is an inverse substrate for butyrylcholinesterase  $^{87,88)}$ . Application of the inverse concept to thiol enzymes was also successful: *p*-amidinophenyl esters were found to be substrates for clostripain  $^{74)}$ , a thiol enzyme with trypsin-like specificity. Although the design of inverse-type substrates seems not always possible for a variety of hydrolytic enzymes, this new concept could provide potential means for certain enzymes to both: fundamental study and application.

### 6 Conclusion

The design of enzyme-specific compounds is one of the most promising subjects of our time. It is not only significant in the elucidation of structure-function relationship of enzymes but also useful as a methodology of drug design. Although the number of drugs developed so far through the methodology is not large, the subject will become increasing significant for the purpose. It has been generally assumed that enzymes exhibit substrate recognition in a very strict manner, but we may fortunately conclude that enzymatic recognition is not completely perfect in some cases. Consequently, the design of compounds which trick enzymes is possible, and mimics like "inverse substrates" have been found. Distinct from simple competitive inhibitors, these mimics which interact with enzymes in a sophisticated manner will provide new concepts for the design of clinically useful substances. The rational approach for drug design will grow parallel with our knowledge of various *in vivo* factors as well as with the development of new concepts for drug design.

### 7 References

- Rozenblit, A. B.: Computer-assisted drug design. Strategy and alogrithms, in: Strategy in Drug Research, (ed.) Buisman, J. A. K., Vol. 4, p. 287, Amsterdam, Elsvier 1982
- 2. Wilchek, M. et al.: Methods in Enzymol. 104, 3 (1984)
- 3. Horejsi, V.: ibid. 104, 275 (1984)
- 4. Bayley, H., Knowles, J. R.: ibid. 46, 69 (1977)
- 5. Peach, M. J.: Physiol. Rev. 57, 313 (1977)
- 6. Cushman, D. W. et al.: Biochemistry 16, 5484 (1977)
- Cushman, D. W. et al.: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, in: Enzyme Inhibitors as Drugs, (ed.) Sandler, M., p. 231, London, Macmillan Press 1980
- 8. Powell, J. R. et al.: Abstr. Eur. Meet. Hypertens. 1st. Milan 355 (1983)
- 9. Unger, T. et al.: Eur. J. Pharmacol. 78, 411 (1982)
- 10. McEvoy, F. J. et al.: J. Med. Chem. 26, 381 (1983)
- 11. Thorsett, E. D. et al.: Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 111, 166 (1983)
- 12. Abiko, Y.: Medicinal Pharmacy 10, 8 (1976)
- 13. Burchall, J. J.: Mechanism of action of antimicrobial and antitumor agents, in: Antibitics, (eds.) Corcoran, J. W., Hahn, F. E., Vol. III, p. 304, Berlin, Springer 1975
- 14. Beddell, C. R.: Chem. Soc. Rev. 13, 279 (1984)

Kazutaka Tanizawa and Yuichi Kanaoka

- 15. Kuyper, L. K. et al.: J. Med. Chem. 28, 303 (1985)
- 16. Blaney, J. M. et al.: Chem. Rev. 84, 333 (1984)
- 17. Edy, J. et al.: Thromb. Res. 8, 513 (1977)
- 18. Kanaoka, Y. et al.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 33, 1721 (1985)
- 19. Kanaoka, Y.: Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 16, 137 (1977)
- 20. Jameson, G. W. et al.: Biochem. J. 131, 107 (1973)
- 21. Chase, T. Jr., Shaw, E.: Methods in Enzymol. 19, 20 (1975)
- 22. Coleman, P. L. et al.: ibid. 45, 12 (1976)
- 23. Tanizawa, K. et al.: Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 32, 893 (1968)
- 24. Chase, T. Jr., Shaw, E.: ibid. 29, 508 (1967)
- 25. Livingston, D. C. et al.: Biochemistry 20, 4298 (1981)
- 26. Pauling, L.: Chem. Eng. News 24, 1375 (1946)
- 27. Lienhard, G. E.: Science 180, 149 (1973)
- 28. Wolfenden, R.: Methods in Enzymol. 46, 15 (1977)
- 29. Matthews, D. A. et al.: J. Biol. Chem. 250, 7120 (1975)
- 30. Lindquist, R. N., Nguyen, A. C.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 6437 (1977)
- 31. Umezawa, H. et al.: J. Antibiotics 25, 267 (1972)
- 32. Aoyagi, T. et al.: ibid. 22, 283 (1969)
- 33. Okura, A. et al.: ibid. 28, 337 (1975)
- 34. Thompson, R.: Methods in Enzymol. 46, 220 (1977)
- 35. Brayer, G. D. et al.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 76, 96 (1979)
- 36. Kuramochi, H. et al.: J. Biochem. 86, 1403 (1979)
- 37. Brodbeck, U. et al.: Biochim. Biophys. Acta 567, 357 (1979)
- 38. Geratz, J. D.: Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 118, 90 (1967)
- 39. Tanizawa, K. et al.: Z. Physiol. Chem. 366, 87 (1985)
- 40. Blake, C. C. F. et al.: Proc. Roy. Soc. B167, 378 (1967)
- 41. Secenski, I. I., Lienhard, G. E.: J. Biol. Chem. 249, 2932 (1974)
- 42. Shaw, E.: Methods in Enzymol. 25, 387, 655 (1972)
- 43. Kettner, C., Shaw, E.: ibid. 80, 826 (1981)
- 44. Hess, G. M. et al.: Biochemistry 11, 3787 (1972)
- 45. Zispel, N. et al.: Biocem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 58, 457 (1974)
- 46. Plummer, T. H. Jr. et al.: J. Biol. Chem. 244, 5246 (1969)
- 47. Lawson, W. B., Shramm, H.-J.: Biochemistry, 4, 377 (1965)
- 48. Walsh, C. T.: Ann. Rev. Biochem. 53, 493 (1984)
- John, R. A.: Enzyme-Induced Inactivation of Pyridoxal Phosphate-Dependent Enzymes: Approaches to the design of specific inhibitors, in: Enzyme Inhibitors as Drugs, (ed.) Sandler, M., p. 73, London, Macmillan Press 1980
- 50. Fowler, L. J. et al.: Biochem. J. 130, 569 (1972)
- 51. Wolfenden, R.: Methods in Enzymol. 46, 15 (1977)
- 52. White, E. H. et al.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 2290 (1975)
- 53. Westkamper, R., Abels, R.: Biochemistry 22, 2356 (1983)
- 54. Daniels, S. et al.: J. Biol. Chem. 258, 15046 (1983)
- 55. Wilson, I. B. et al.: J. Biol. Chem. 236, 1498 (1961)
- 56. Moorman, A., Abeles, R.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 6785 (1982)
- 57. Chase, T. Jr., Shaw, E.: Biochemistry 8, 2212 (1969)
- 58. Tipper, D. J., Strminger, J. L.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 54, 1133 (1965)
- 59. Frére, J. E. et al.: Eur. J. Biochem. 57, 343 (1975)
- 60. Ishii, S., Kasai, K.: Methods in Enzymol. 80, 842 (1981)
- 61. Fisher, J. F., et al.: Biochemistry 17, 2180 (1978)
- Fisher, J. F., Knowles, J. R.: The Inactivation of β-Lactamase by Mechanism-Based-Reagents, in: Enzyme Inhibitors as Drugs, (ed.) Sandler, M., P. 209, London, Macmillan Press 1980
- 63. Hartley, S., Wise, R.: J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 10, 49 (1982)
- 64. Firestone, R. A.: US Patent 4342758 (1982)
- 65. Tanizawa, K. et al.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 4485 (1977)
- 66. Fujioka, T. et al.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 28, 1899 (1980)
- 67. idem.: J. Biochem. 89, 637 (1980)
- 68. Tanizawa, K., Kanaoka, Y.: Experientia 35, 16 (1979)
- 69. Tanizawa, K. et al.: J. Biochem. 8, 417 (1980)

Design of Biospecific Compounds which Simulate Enzyme-Substrate Interaction

- 70. Tanizawa, K. et al.: ibid. 92, 945 (1982)
- 71. Nakayama, H. et al.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 3214 (1980)
- 72. Nakayama, H. et al.: Eur. J. Biochem. 112, 403 (1980)
- 73. Fujioka, T. et al.: Biochim. Biophys. Acta 612, 205 (1980)
- 74. Nozawa, M. et al.: J. Pharm. Dyn. 4, 559 (1981)
- 75. idem.: ibid. 3, 213 (1980)
- 76. idem.: J. Biochem. 91, 1837 (1982)
- 77. McLaren, A. B., Tanizawa, K.: Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 37, 205 (1984)
- 78. Smith, R. et al.: Nature 290, 505 (1981)
- 79. Fastrez, J., Fersht, A. R.: Biochemistry 12, 1067 (1973)
- 80. Shemyakin, M. M. et al.: Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 8, 492 (1969)
- 81. Jones, J. B. et al.: Biochim. Biophys. Acta 341, 284 (1974)
- 82. Hartman, H., Holler, E.: Eur. J. Biochem. 16, 80 (1970)
- 83. Muramatsu, M. et al.: J. Biochem. 62, 408 (1967)
- 84. Wang, C., Shaw, E.: Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 150, 259 (1972)
- 85. Haidema, J. H., Kaiser, E. T.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 1860 (1968)
- 86. Nakano, M. et al.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 28, 2212 (1980)
- 87. Nozawa, M. et al.: Biochim. Biophys. Acta 611, 314 (1980)
- 88. idem.: J. Pharm. Dyn. 3, 321 (1980)

# **Recent Developments in the Field of Biologically Active Peptides**¹

#### **Gunter Schmidt**

EP-FE Chemisch wissenschaftliches Labor, BAYER AG D-5600 Wuppertal 1, FRG

#### **Table of Contents**

1	Intro	oduction — Methods of Peptide Synthesis	112
2	Neu	ropeptides	113
	2.1	Substance P (SP)	114
	2.2	Neurokinins	115
	2.3	Neurotensin (NT)	115
	2.4	Endorphins, Enkephalins, Dynorphin, and Dermorphin	
		(Opioid Peptides)	115
	2.5	Kyotorphin and Neo-Kyotorphin	118
	2.6	Delta-Sleep-Inducing Peptides (DSIP)	119
	2.7	Releasing and Release-Inhibiting Hormones of the Hypothalamus	119
		2.7.1 Thyrotropin-Releasing Hormone (TRH)	119
		2.7.2 Luteinizing Hormone-Releasing Hormone (LH-RH) or	
		Gonadoliberin (Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone)	120
		2.7.3 Somatostatin (Growth Hormone-Release-Inhibiting Hormone) .	120
		2.7.4 Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone (CRH or CRF);	
		Melanotropin-Releasing Hormone (MRH);	
		Prolactin-Releasing Hormone (PRH)	122
	2.8	Proteohormones of the Pituitary (Hypophysis)	123
		2.8.1 Somatotropin (Growth Hormone)	123
		2.8.2 Corticotropin (ACTH)	123
		2.8.3 Oxytocin and Vasopressin	124
	2.9	Carnosine	124
	2.10	Invertebrate Neuropeptide Hormones	124
	2.11	DBI Peptides (Diazepam-Binding Inhibitors)	125

¹ Dedicated to Prof. Helmut Zahn on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

3	Gast	rointestinal Peptides (Peptides of the Stomach, Intestine, and Pancreas)	125
	3.1	Secretin, Glucagon, VIP, PHI, and GIP	125
	3.2	Gastrin, Cholecystokinin-Pancreozymin (CCK-PZ) and Galanin	126
	3.3	Gastrin-Releasing Peptide (GRP), Bombesin, and Motilin	127
	3.4	Insulin	128
4	Pept	ides of Immunological Importance	129
	4.1	Peptides of the Thymus	129
		4.1.1 Thymopoletin Derivatives (TP)	129
		4.1.2 Thymosin $\alpha$ .	129
		4.1.3 Serum Thymic Factor (FTS or Thymulin)	129
	4.2	Tuftsin	130
	4.3	Cyclosporines	130
	4.4	Muramyl Peptides (Glycopeptides)	131
	4.5	Pentide Vaccines	133
	4.6	FK-156 and FK-565	133
	47	Chlamydocins and Pentidylacivicins	134
	4.8	Bestatin	134
5	Pen	tides of the Renin-Angiotensin System	135
Ū	51	Proteolytic Cascade of the Renin-Angiotensin System	135
	5.2	Angiotensin II Antagonists and ACE Inhibitors	136
	53	Renin Inhibitors	137
	0.0	5 3 1 Substrate-Analogous Renin Inhibitors	138
		5.3.2 Statine-Containing Renin Inhibitors	139
6	Plas	ma Kinins	140
Ŭ	61	Bradykinin and Kallidin	140
	6.2	Tachykinins	140
	0.2	i uni y kinino i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
7	Atri	al Natriuretic Peptides (ANP or ANF)	141
8	Thv	roid Hormones (Calcitonins)	142
v	Ing		
9	Pen	tide Antibiotics	143
-	9.1	Monamycins	143
	9.2	Phosphonopeptides	144
	9.3	Actinomycins	144
	9.4	Albomycins	145
	9.5	Nisin	145
	9.6	Nikkomycins	146
	97	Cecropin A	146
	9.8	Alamethicins	147
	2.0		
10	Pen	rtide Leukotrienes	147
10			
11	Pep	tide Insecticides and Herbicides	148

#### Recent Developments in the Field of Biologically Active Peptides

12	Peptide Toxins			•	•		•	•			•			•	•	•	·		•		•		•	•	148
13	Sweet and Bitter	Pep	tides	•		•				•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	150
14	Final Remarks .			•				•		•			•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	150
15	Acknowledgemen	ts .						•	•	•	•		•			•	•	•	•			•		•	151
16	References		• •				•			•		•	•			•						•	•	•	151

The abbreviations and symbols for amino acids and peptides used in this article comply with the rules published by the IUPAC Commission for Biochemical Nomenclature; see: J. Biol. Chem. 245, 6489 (1970); ibid. 247, 977 (1972); ibid. 250, 3215 (1975); Biochem. J. 126, 773 (1972). The amino acids are in the L-configuration; in the case of D-amino acids the abbreviations are prefixed by the letter D.

### 1 Introduction — Methods of Peptide Synthesis

Peptide synthesis has once again stepped into the limelight in the last 10 years with the discovery of neuropeptides, peptides of the renin-angiotensin system, and immunoactive peptides. Above all, the solid-phase peptide synthesis of R. B. Merrifield, besides conventional peptide syntheses, has made an inestimable contribution to the production of biological and medically important polypeptides.

Although peptides still have little market potential within the sector of pharmaceutical chemistry, one can expect their use for therapeutic purposes to increase in the future in view of their importance as bioregulators.

Synthetic peptides such as oxytocin, vasopressin, ACTH, calcitonin, secretin, somatostatin, cyclosporine, and insulin are already in clinical use.

One major disadvantage of peptide active substances is their denaturation and enzymatic degradation in the gastrointestinal tract, which mean that at present only parenteral, sublingual, or intranasal administration is possible.

The present article gives an overview of the chemistry, biochemistry, and physiology of interesting natural and synthetic peptides.

L-amino acids, and in many cases also non-proteinogenic amino acids such as D-amino acids,  $\alpha$ -aminoisobutyric acid, isovaline,  $\beta$ -alanine, and N-methylamino acids, serve as the raw materials for the production of peptides. The formation of the peptide linkage between the amino acids takes place in the following ways:

 Conventional method: stepwise synthesis or fragment condensation using an optimized choice of protecting group combination and the most favorable coupling methods ¹⁻⁸,



Protected dipeptide

Fig. 1. Principle of peptide synthesis

X: amino-protecting group (e.g. *t*-butyloxycarbonyl); Y: activating group (e.g.  $-N_3$ ,  $-C_6H_4$ -p-NO₂,

Z: carboxyl-protective group (e.g.  $-CH_3$ ,  $-CH_2$  ).

- 2. Solid-phase method of Merrifield  $9^{-11}$  and the liquid-phase method of Mutter 12,
- 3. Protease-catalyzed peptide syntheses  $^{13-16)}$ ,
- 4. DNA recombination, i.e. bacterial production of peptide hormones, e.g. insulin, somatostatin, or GRF (growth-hormone-releasing factor) ^{17, 18}).

In recent times peptide analogs have also been produced by a modification of the CO-NH bond (peptide backbone modification)¹⁹:

**Classical Peptides:** 

$$- \operatorname{NH} - \operatorname{CH}_{I}_{R_{1}} + \operatorname{CO}_{I} - \operatorname{NH}_{I} + \operatorname{CH}_{R_{2}} - \operatorname{CO}_{I} - \operatorname{CO}_{R_{2}} - \operatorname{CO}_{R_{2}} + \operatorname{CO}_{R_{2}} - \operatorname{CO}_{R_{2}} + \operatorname{CO}_{R_{$$

Reduced peptide bond analogues:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{NH} - \mathsf{CH} + \mathsf{CH}_2 - \mathsf{NH} + \mathsf{CH} - \mathsf{CO} - \\ & \mathsf{I} \\ \mathsf{R}_1 & \mathsf{R}_2 \end{array}$$

Ketomethylene and Hydroxymethylene analogues:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} - \mathsf{NH} - \mathsf{CH} + \mathsf{C} - \mathsf{CH}_2 + \mathsf{CH} - \mathsf{CO} - \\ & \mathsf{I} & \mathsf{II} \\ & \mathsf{R}_1 & \mathsf{O} & \mathsf{R}_2 \end{array}$$

Trans carbon - carbon double bond analogues:

$$-$$
 NH  $-$  CH  $+$  CH  $=$  CH  $+$  CH₂  $-$  CO  $-$ 

Endothiopeptides:

Thiomethylene analogues:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} - \operatorname{NH} & - \operatorname{CH} & - \operatorname{CH}_2 & - \operatorname{S} & + \operatorname{CH} & - \operatorname{CO} & - \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$$

Retro - inverso analogues 20):

$$\begin{array}{cccc} R_1 & H & O & R_3 & H \\ \hline & & & \\ - & NH & - & C & - & C & - & NH & - & C & - & CO & - \\ & & & & \\ O & H & R_2 \end{array}$$

According to the mode of action of the peptide and the pathway from the hormoneactive cells to the target organ, the following peptide groups can be distinguished ^{5,21}.

### 2 Neuropeptides

The biochemistry, physiology, pharmacology, and synthesis of the neuropeptides (peptides of the central nervous system) have been in the mainstream of research on

vegetative and hormonal regulation in man and in animals in the last 10 years²²).

40 years elapsed between the discovery of subtance P by Euler and Gaddum in 1931 and its synthesis. Many neuropeptides have been found in nervous system in the last 12 years.

### 2.1 Substance P (SP)

SP *I* was discovered in the brain and the intestinal tract of man, mammals, and birds, and was synthesized in 1971 by Tregear  23  by the solid-phase method.

It has the effects typical of the kinins: e.g. stimulation of the smooth muscle and lowering of the blood pressure due to vasodilation.

SP, which can function as a neurotransmitter in various brain regions, suppresses the action of morphine and endorphins and is thought to play a protective role against stress-determined disturbances.

The structure-activity relationships in the SP molecule show that the C-terminal pentapeptide represents the active center. The efficacy is increased by stepwise chain prolongation of the C-terminal pentapeptide (Table 1).

Table 1. Substance-P derivatives : relative activities on guinea-pig ileums (GPI)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11				1	Activit	у		
Ar	g-Pro	o-Lys	s-Pro	o-Gh	ı-Gln	-Phe	-Phe	-Gly	/-Lei	I-M	[et-]	NH	2	]	100			(1)
	Pro	-Ly	s-Pro	o-Glr	ı-Gln	-Phe	-Phe	-Gly	/-Lei	-M	[et-]	NH	2		60			(2)
		Lys	s-Pro	o-Glr	ı-Gln	-Phe	-Phe	-Gly	/-Lei	I-M	[et-]	NH	2	]	160			(3)
			Pro	o-Glr	ı-Gln	-Phe	-Phe	-Gly	/-Lei	I-M	[et-]	NH	2	2	200			(4)
				Glr	ı-Gln	-Phe	-Phe	-Gly	/-Lei	I-M	[et-]	NH	2	ļ	125			(5)
					Gln	-Phe	-Phe	-Glv	/-Leu	I-M	[et-]	NH	2	j	100			(6)
						Phe	-Phe	-Gly	/-Lei	I-M	[et-]	NH	2		2			(7)
								-					-					

The myotropic effect (stimulation of the smooth muscle) of SP fragments 3, 4, and 5 is greater than that of substance P. Fragments 6 already exerts the complete biological activity. The peak activity is reached with fragment 4.

The SP derivative pyroGlu-Phe-Phe-Gly-Leu-Met-NH₂ is one of the most active compounds in the vasodepressor-response test  24 .

Some substance P derivatives that contain D-amino acids, e.g. [Arg⁶, D-Trp¹⁰]-SP (6–11) and [D-Pro⁴, D-Trp^{7,9}]-SP (4–11) act as strongly competitive antagonists ²⁵.

The retro-inverso SP derivative 8 [pyroGlu⁶,  $gPh^8$ ,  $mGly^9$ ]-SP (6–11) (g = gem.



diamino residue, m = malonic acid residue) is a total agonist of substance P²⁶⁾ and is stable to proteolytic cleavage²⁷⁾.

### 2.2 Neurokinins

Neurokinins 9 and 10, which were isolated from porcine spinal cord extracts and synthesized in 1984 by Munekata et al.²⁸⁾, show a strong hypotensive effect like substance P.

### 2.3 Neurotensin (NT)

Neurotensin 11, which was isolated from bovine small intestine by Carraway and Leeman²⁹⁾ in 1973, causes, in addition to the typical plasma kinin effects (lowering of the blood pressure, contracting action on the intestine and uterus), an increase in the LH and FSH secretion without influencing the release of somatotropin or thyrotropin.

-St.-Pierre et al. ³⁰⁾ synthesized many NT fragments that are biologically active in the cardiovascular system. NT 8–13 shows the complete range of action of the native NT.

### 2.4 Endorphins, Enkephalins, Dynorphin, and Dermorphin (Opioid Peptides)

The first endogenous peptides 16 and 17 with morphine-like activity were isolated from human and animal nerve tissue by Hughes and Kosterlitz³¹ in 1975.

Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Met-Thr-Ser-Glu-Lys-Ser-Gln-Thr-Pro-Leu-Val-Thr	(12)
Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Met-Thr-Ser-Glu-Lys-Ser-Gln-Thr-Pro-Leu-Val-Thr-Leu-Phe-Lys- Asn-Ala-Ile-Ile-Lys-Asn-Ala-Tyr-Lys-Lys-Gly-Glu	(13)
Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Met-Thr-Ser-Glu-Lys-Ser-Gln-Thr-Pro-Leu-Val-Thr-Leu	(14)
Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Met-Thr-Ser-Glu-Lys-Ser-Gln-Thr-Pro-Leu-Val-Thr-Leu-Phe-Lys- Asn-Ala-Ile-Ile-Lys-Asn-Ala-Tyr	(15)
Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Met (Met-enkephalin)	(16)
Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Leu (Leu-enkephalin)	(17)

Fig. 2. Primary structures of endorphins and enkephalins

Shortly afterward partial fragments of  $\beta$ -lipotropin (LPH), e.g.  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -,  $\gamma$ -, and  $\delta$ -endorphin (12, 13, 14, and 15) were isolated from pituitary material.

The [Phe²⁷-Gly³¹]- $\beta_h$ -endorphin (human) analog of 13, which was synthesized by C. H. Li et al. ³²⁾ on the solid support in 1978, exerts a greater analgesic effect than the natural peptide.

All endorphins have a common initial sequence, which corresponds to the structure of Met-enkephalin.

The morphinomimetic peptides react with the same receptors as the opiate alkaloids and presumably represent the endogenous agonists of these receptors.  $\beta$ -Endorphin, which represents the functionally active molecule, plays a role in the response of the organism to stress stimuli. The analgesic effect in the body can be traced back to the secretion of  $\beta$ -endorphin. Accordingly, acupuncture, for example, activates the central nervous endorphin system and causes an increase in the endorphin concentration, leading to the elimination of sensitivity to pain. Presumably there are endorphinergic systems in the central nervous system (CNS) in which the endorphins assume a neuromodulatory function.

Enkephalins are found in varying amounts in nearly all regions of the nervous system, in the posterior lobe of the pituitary, and in the adrenal cortex. They play a role in pain transmission in that they act as transmitters for the pain-inhibiting neurons in the spinal cord.

Because of their peptide nature, the enkephalins and the endorphins are difficult to put to therapeutic use. The hope that these "brain morphines" would allow analgesia to be separated from the development of addiction and dependence has not yet been realized.

Over 1000 enkephalin derivatives have now been synthesized, and in some of them it has been possible to increase the analgesic effect with respect to enkephalin  $^{33-37)}$ . The enkephalin derivative 18³⁸⁾ (Sandoz, FK 33-824), in which enzymatic degradation is blocked, has proved to be strongly analgesically active in animals.



The analogous enkephalin Tyr-D-Ala-Gly-Paa-Leu³⁹⁾ only exerts a slight opiatelike effect in comparison with Leu-enkephalin 17. The exchange of Phe⁴ for Paa ( $\beta$ -pyrazinylalanine) leads to a severe loss of activity.



Further information about the structure-activity relationships was obtained by Schiller et al.  40  with retroinverso modifications of linear enkephalins, e.g. Tyr-D-Ala-gGly-mPhe-Leu-NH₂ 19 (14% Leu-enkephalin activity).

The enkephalin derivatives 20 and 21 from E. Lilly & Co. ^{41,42} possess analgesic properties.

Tyr-D-Ala-Gly-p-FPhe-D-Phg-NH₂ (20)  
(p-FPhe = p-fluorophenylalanine, Phg = 
$$\alpha$$
-phenylglycine)

$$Tyr-D-Ala-Gly-(N^{\alpha}-allyl)Phe-NH_{2}$$
(21)

The cyclohexyl-substituted enkephalins 22 of G. D. Searle & Co.  $^{43)}$  show strong analgesic effects.



The dimeric enkephalin 23 synthesized by Imperial Chemical Industries (ICI) Ltd. acts as a selective opiate-receptor antagonist  44 .

$$[Diallyl-Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Leu-NH-CH_2]_2$$
(23)

The N-dihydroxyphosphinylphenylpropionylleucine derivative 24 from the Wellcome Foundation Ltd. ⁴⁵a) acts as a morphine agonist and an inhibitor of enkephalinase (dipeptidylcarboxypeptidase), which causes hydrolytic cleavage of the Gly³-Phe⁴ linkage of the enkephalin, and hence inactivation.



Schwartz et al. ^{45 b)} have recently discussed numerous pharmacological aspects of enkephalin inhibitors such as the analgesic effect, drug design, model predictions about the active center, and the protection of endogenous neuropeptides by peptidase inhibitors.

The racemic inhibitor thiorphan ⁴⁶⁾ 25 inhibits enkephalinase and selectively supports the analgesic effect of enkephalins.

$$HS-CH-CO-NH-CH_2-COOH$$

$$I$$

$$CH_2$$

$$(25)$$

Similarly, Spatola et al.⁴⁷⁾ have synthesized thiomethylene-enkephalin pseudopeptides (Fig. 3), which are stable to proteolytic degradation and exert a biological effect comparable to that of leucine-enkephalin.



Fig. 3. Phe $\Psi$ [CH₂S]⁴Leu-enkephalin; the symbol  $\Psi$ [CH₂S] stands in place of the amide linkage (-CO--NH--)

[D-Ala²]-Met-enkephalinamide⁴⁸) Tyr-D-Ala-Gly-Phe-Met-NH₂ and the morphiceptin⁴⁹) Tyr-Pro-Phe-Pro-NH₂ have a high morphinomimetic activity (agonist for morphine- $\mu$ -receptors).

In 1975 Goldstein et al. ^{50, 51)} isolated dynorphin 26, which is 700 times as effective as Leu-enkephalin, from porcine pituitaries.

Dermorphin 27, isolated from the skin of the frog *Phyllomedusa sauvagei*, exerts a strong analgesic effect and is 700 times as effective as morphine ^{52, 53}.

Synthetic dermorphin tetrapeptides (small dermorphins), e.g. Tyr-D-Ala-Phe-Gly, and PMRI isomers (partially modified retro-inverso isomers) synthesized by Tomatis et al. ⁵⁴ are more effective than morphine or dermorphin in the GPI test (guinea-pig ileum test).

### 2.5 Kyotorphin and Neo-Kyotorphin

The analgesically active dipeptide kyotorphin Tyr-Arg ⁵⁵, which supposedly causes secretion of Met-enkephalin, was isolated from bovine hypothalamus in 1979 by Takagi et al., as was the pentapeptide neo-kyotorphin (NK) Thr-Ser-Lys-Tyr-Arg ⁵⁶ in 1982.

The [D-Ser²]- and [Pro²]-neo-kyotorphin analogs synthesized by Kitagawa et al. ⁵⁷) are 10 times as active as native neo-kyotorphin.

The prospects of making pharmacologically more active compounds as well as substances that do not cause dependence by modifying enkephalins, spur the peptide chemist on to greater efforts. As always, the fact that analgesically active peptides are rapidly degraded by enzymes after intracerebroventricular administration in animals represents the main barrier to their therapeutic application.

### 2.6 Delta-Sleep-Inducing Peptides (DSIP)

DSIP 28, isolated in 1975 by Monnier et al. ⁵⁸⁾ from the blood of sleeping rabbits, and the DSIP analogs 29 synthesized by Ivanov et al. ⁵⁹⁾, produce sleep-like states ( $\delta$ -slow-wave sleep) after intraventricular infusion (rabbit brain).

Trp-Ala-Gly-Gly-Asp-Ala-Ser-Gly-Glu (28)

### 2.7 Releasing and Release-Inhibiting Hormones of the Hypothalamus

The releasing hormones (liberins ⁶⁰) and the release-inhibiting hormones (statins) which stimulate the anterior pituitary into hormone production or inhibit release, are low-molecular peptides in comparison with the anterior pituitary hormones and are present in certain areas of the hypothalamus. The hypothalamus exerts an influence on many vital physiological processes in the organism.

2.7.1 Thyrotropin-Releasing Hormone (TRH)

The first releasing hormone to be isolated was TRH pyroGlu-His-Pro-NH₂, in 1969 by Schally et al. ⁶¹⁾ and by Guillemin et al. ⁶²⁾ from sheep and porcine hypothalami. The biological activity of the pyroGlu-3-Me-His-Pro-NH₂ synthesized by Burgus et al. ⁶³⁾ exceeds that of natural and synthetic TRH ⁶⁴⁾ by a factor of 10. TRH regulates the synthesis and the secretion of thyrotropin and prolactin and is used in the diagnosis and therapy of thyroid disorders. The butyrolactone derivative  $30a^{65}$  and pyroGlu-His-3,3-dimethylprolinamide  $30b^{66}$  exhibit CNS activity.



### 2.7.2 Luteinizing Hormone-Releasing Hormone (LH-RH) or Gonadoliberin (Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone)

LH-RH 31, isolated in 1971 by Schally et al. ⁶⁷⁾ and in 1974 by Guillemin from porcine and sheep hypothalamus tissue, possesses LH-releasing and FSH-releasing activity and is available commercially as Lutal^{® 68)}.

Stimulation of the secretion of LH (luteinizing hormone) in the female organism triggers ovulation and the formation of the *corpus luteum* responsible for the maintenance of pregnancy. The secretion of FSH (follicle-stimulating hormone, glycoprotein) stimulates the growth and the initial ripening of the follicle in the ovary and therefore sets in motion estrogen biosynthesis.

A broad application of LH-RH analogs is emerging in the fields of contraception and fertility therapy.

Through the synthesis of more than 1000 LH-RH analogs structures have been found that have a biological activity up to 30 times that of the native substance. The compounds of interest at the moment are buserelin  $32^{69, 70}$ , leuprorelin  $33^{71}$  and nafarelin  $34^{72}$  which, in comparison with native LH-RH, exert a 200-times stronger agonistic effect.

pyroGlu-His-Trp-Ser-Tyr-D-Nal(2)-Leu-Arg-Pro-Gly-NH₂ (34)  
Nal(2) = 
$$3-(2-naphthyl)$$
-D-alanine

The growth of testosterone-dependent tumors can be blocked by long-term administration of the three LH-RH analogs. The first therapeutic application of 32, 33, and 34 was in the treatment of prostate carcinomas. Buserelin came on the market as a nasal spray (Suprefact[®], 32) and leuprorelin (Carcinil[®], 33) as an injectable product in 1984.

These products effect a blockade of the pituitary gonadotropin secretion and a decrease in the LH-receptors in the Leydig cells of the testes, causing a reduction in the testosterone level (drug castration).

### 2.7.3 Somatostatin (Growth Hormone-Release-Inhibiting Hormone)

Somatostatin (SST) 35, a cyclic tetradecapeptide disulfide, was isolated in 1973 by Guillemin from hypothalami. SST has a broad profile of endocrine and gastrointestinal

effects, i.e. it inhibits not only the secretion of the growth hormone but also the secretion of insulin and glucagon, and therefore plays an important part in the glucose metabolism. In the stomach SST inhibits the secretion of gastrin, hydrochloric acid, and pepsin. In spite of its lack of selectivity and its short half-life, which after parenteral administration is of the order of a few minutes, somatostatin has attracted interest as regards therapeutic uses (treatment of diabetes mellitus, gastric ulcers, and pancreatitis). Synthesis of its analogs has led to compounds that selectively inhibit the secretion of glucagon and insulin, exerting only a slight effect on the release of insulin and an intensified effect on the release of glucagon.

The synthetic modification of SST aimed at achieving a dissociation of effects and the preparation of orally active derivatives, is of practical significance  $^{73-77)}$ . Thus, [D-Trp⁸,D-Cys¹⁴]-SST *36* preferentially inhibits the liberation of glucagon and the growth hormone (GH); des[Ala¹,Gly²,Asn⁵]-SST and des-Asn⁵-SST, on the other hand, inhibit the secretion of insulin, while the secretion of glucagon and GH remain unaffected ⁷⁸.

Bicyclic SST analogs 37 from Merck & Co./USA ^{79a} given i.v. or p.o. cause inhibition of the secretion of insulin, glucagon, and GH.

Retro-enantiomeric ^{79b)} cyclic hexapeptide analogs of SST 38 with a high metabolic stability inhibit the liberation of insulin, glucagon, and the growth hormone ^{79c)}.

The cyclic octapeptide SMS 201-995 from Sandoz Ltd./Switzerland 39^{80a)} has a longer duration of action than native SST and inhibits the secretion of GH more selectively. Moreover, it enhances the hypoglycemic effect of insulin while simultaneously decreasing glucagon.

D-Phe¹-Cys²-Phe³-D-Trp⁵  

$$\Gamma hr^{8}$$
(ol)-Cys⁷-Thr⁶-Lys⁵
(39)

Clinical studies with SMS 201-995^{80b)} have shown that the growth-hormone concentration in the plasma can be reduced and, in this way, acromegaly (excessive growth of acral regions such as nose, ears, chin, hands, and feet) can be treated by subcutaneous administration. 2.7.4 Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone (CRH or CRF); Melanotropin-Releasing Hormone (MRH); Prolactin-Releasing Hormone (PRH)

The releasing hormone CRH 40, isolated as a linear peptide-amide with 41 amino acids from ovine hypothalami by Vale et al. ⁸¹⁾ in 1981, has certain structural similarities with angiotensinogen. It stimulates the secretion of corticotropin and  $\beta$ -endorphin. In 1985 Morell et al. ⁸²⁾ synthesized ovine CRH by the solid-phase method.

The N-terminal cyclic hexapeptide of oxytocin and the C-terminal tripeptide of oxytocin were isolated from hypothalami respectively as MRH 41 and melanotropin release-inhibiting hormone 42 (MIH)  5 .

$$Pro-Leu-Gly-NH_2$$
 (42)

The second-order prohormone oxytocin can be regarded as a precursor of MIH. Prolactin-releasing hormone (PRH) and prolactin-release-inhibiting hormone (PIH) regulate the formation and the secretion of prolactin in the anterior pituitary ⁸³⁾. Prolactin itself stimulates the milk secretion from the mammary glands and the growth of these glands. The chemical structures of the two hormones PRH and PIH have not yet been clarified.

2.7.5 Growth-Hormone-Releasing Hormone (GH-RH or GRF) or Somatocrinin

In 1982 Guillemin et al. ⁸⁴⁾ and Rivier et al. ⁸⁵⁾ isolated 3 peptides 43a with GRF activity (stimulation of the secretion of growth hormone) from human pancreatic tumor cells, and synthesized them by the solid-phase method:

Other syntheses by H. Yajima et al.⁸⁶⁾ have become known. 43b has been used therapeutically, for example in wound healing.

#### 2.8 Proteohormones of the Pituitary (Hypophysis)

As a rule, a hypothalamic hormone should always control a hormone in the anterior pituitary. The pituitary hormones (e.g. follicle-stimulating hormone, prolactin, or thyrotropin) are then transported through the bloodstream to the secondary target organs, where, for example, they stimulate the production of corticosteroids in the adrenals or the formation of thyroxine in the thyroid.

#### 2.8.1 Somatotropin (Growth Hormone)

The growth hormone (STH) or the human growth hormone  87  (HGH), a linear peptide hormone made up of 191 amino acids with 2 intrachain disulfide bridges, is formed under the control of somatostatin and influences the maturation process during the growth period (e.g. the increase in protein substance and in height).

A substance isolated from human pituitary (e.g. Asellacrin[®]) is available for the treatment of pituitary dwarfism in which there is a confirmed STH deficiency.

On the other hand, excessive secretion of HGH in the growing years leads to gigantism. HGH is also used in cases of muscular dystrophy, bone decalcification (osteoporosis), and hemorrhagic gastric ulcers.

The synthesis of the human growth hormone by DNA recombination is of major significance in view of the difficulties of total synthesis.

#### 2.8.2 Corticotropin (ACTH)

Adrenocorticotropic hormone (Fig. 4) stimulates the cells of the adrenal cortex into the secretion and production of steroid hormones. Conversely, the pituitary secretion of ACTH is inhibited by the adrenal hormones via a feedback mechanism.

	Ser-Tyr-Ser-Met-Glu-	His-Phe-Arg-Trp-	Gly-Lys-Pro-Val-							
	1 5		10							
human	Gly-Lys-Arg-Arg-Pro-Val-Lys-Val-Tyr-Pro-Asn-Gly-									
	15	20	25							
	Ala-Glu-Asp-Glu-Ser-	Ala-Glu-Ala-Phe	-Pro-Leu-Glu-Phe							
	30	35								
porcine	-Leu	I-Ala-Glu-								
bovine	-Ser	-Ala-Gln-								

Fig. 4. Amino acid sequences in human, porcine, and bovine ACTH

Since 1956 more than 150 partial sequences and analogs  $^{88, 89}$  have been synthesized, mainly with chain lengths of 1–16 or 1–28. The first total synthesis of porcine ACTH was described in 1963 by Schwyzer et al.⁹⁰.

Within the framework of ACTH synthesis a broad knowledge of the structureactivity relationships has been acquired concerning the influence of the chain length on biological activity.

The ACTH sequence can be formally subdivided into various sections of differing biological significance. The N-terminal section 1–10 represents the active center, while sequence 11–18 is responsible for receptor binding. The C-terminal section 25–39 contains the hormonal information for species specificity and for antigenicity.

The N-terminal tetracosapeptide of ACTH (Synacthen) finds therapeutic application in the treatment of arthrorheumatism, bronchial asthma, and nephroses.

### 2.8.3 Oxytocin and Vasopressin

The actual site of formation of oxytocin and vasopressin is in the hypothalamus, from which the two peptides are carried to the posterior pituitary bound to neurophysins (transport proteins) and stored. The structure and synthesis of oxytocin 44 and vasopressin 45 were worked out by du Vigneaud et al.  91 .

$$Cys-Tyr-Ile-Gln-Asn-Cys-Pro-Leu-Gly-NH_2$$

$$(44)$$

These peptide hormones are among the best-researched active peptide substances. More than 350 oxytocin and vasopressin analogs have been reported in the literature.

Oxytocin causes contraction of the uterine smooth muscles and stimulates milk ejection in the lactating glands.

The vasopressins cause reabsorption of water by increasing renal permeability, thus concentrating the primary urine. If the vasopressin level is too low, the reabsorption of water is no longer ensured, so that large quantities of urine of low specific gravity are excreted (water diuresis = diabetes insipidus). With high doses of vasopressin the blood pressure and the intestinal peristalsis are increased.

Oxytocin is used in obstetrics to induce labor, e.g. to maintain the uterine contractions during birth, and to promote the evacuation of milk. The most important therapeutic use of vasopressin is based on its antidiuretic effect in diabetes insipidus (e.g. 1-desamino-D-Arg⁸-vasopressin as a nasal spray  92 ).

### 2.9 Carnosine

The dipeptide carnosine  $\beta$ -Ala-His is found in skeletal muscle in relatively large amounts, and functions presumably as a neurotransmitter. Clinical studies have shown that carnosine accelerates wound healing ⁹³⁾.

### 2.10 Invertebrate Neuropeptide Hormones

The invertebrate peptides PCH (pigment-concentrating hormone 46) and AKH I (adipokinetic hormone 47) were the first neuropeptides from invertebrates to have their structure and synthesis described by L. Josefsson in 1983  94 ).

$$pyroGlu-Leu-Asn-Phe-Ser-Pro-Gly-Trp-NH_2$$
(46)

Both peptides play a role in the mechanism of color adaptation in insects.

Recent Developments in the Field of Biologically Active Peptides

#### 2.11 DBI Peptides (Diazepam-Binding Inhibitors)

Guidotti and Ferrero⁹⁵⁾ isolated from human and rat brain extracts neuroactive peptides that interact with the receptors at which benzodiazepines (e.g. Valium and Librium) induce biological effects. The DBI peptide 48 ("anxiety peptide"), an endogenous ligand of the benzodiazepine receptor, causes anxiety, in contrast to the benzodiazepines.

Gln-Ala-Thr-Val-Gly-Asn-Val-Asn-Thr-Asp-Arg-Pro-Gly-Leu-Leu-Asp-Leu-Lys (48)

Neuropeptide research will in all probability allow the development of new active substances that are more effective, more specific, and safer than the psychopharmaceuticals in current use.

## 3 Gastrointestinal Peptides (Peptides of the Stomach, Intestine, and Pancreas)

Peptide hormones (aglandular hormones), whose action makes possible the secretory processes necessary for the normal course of the digestive process, are formed in the gastric and intestinal mucosae and in the excretory pancreatic tissue (islets of Langerhans).

### 3.1 Secretin, Glucagon, VIP, PHI, and GIP (Fig. 5)

Secretin, isolated from the duodenal mucosa by Jorpes and Mutt ⁹⁶ in 1961, and 4 years later found to be a linear heptacosapeptide, stimulates the pancreas to produce a bicarbonate-containing secretion. Bodanszky et al. ⁹⁷, Ondetti et al. ⁹⁸, and Wünsch et al. ⁹⁹ have synthesized secretin derivatives. Another synthesis, by Uchiyama et al. ¹⁰⁰ using fragment condensation on a large scale, led within a short time to highly-purified secretin.

Glucagon, which was isolated from porcine pancreas in 1953 by Staub et al. ¹⁰¹ and structurally clarified by Bromer et al. ¹⁰² in 1956, exerts hyperglycemic (insulinantagonistic) and positive inotropic effects. Glucagon is used therapeutically in hypoglycemic states resulting from insulin overdosage, heart failure, or in cases of  $\beta$ -blocker overdoses. Wünsch et al. ¹⁰³ and R. B. Merrifield ¹⁰⁴ have published extensive works on glucagon.

As linear polypeptides, VIP (vasoactive intestinal polypeptide) ¹⁰⁵, PHI (peptide HI: H = N-terminal His, I = C-terminal Ile) ^{106, 107}, and GIP (gastric inhibitory polypeptide consisting of 43 amino acids) ¹⁰⁸ are structurally similar to secretin and glucagon. VIP and PHI act as vasodilators, exert hyperglycemic effects, and affect the smooth muscle of the gallbladder. GIP completely blocks gastric secretion.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	14	14	15
VIP	His-	-Ser-	Asp-	Ala-	Val-	Phe-	Thr-	Asp	-Asn	-Tyr	-Thr	-Arg	-Lei	u-Arg	-Lys-
Secretin	His	Ser-	Asp-	Gly	Thr-	Phe	-Thr	-Ser-	Glu-	Leu	Ser-	Arg-	Leu	-Arg-	Asp-
Glucagon	His-	Ser-	Gln-	Gly-	Thr-	Phe	-Thr-	Ser-	Asp-	Tyr-	Thr	Lys-	Tyr	-Leu-	Asp-
GIP	Tyr	-Ala	-Glu-	Gly	-Thr	-Phe	-Ile-	Ser-4	Asp-	Tyr-S	Ser-I	le-A	la-M	let-A	sp-
PHI	His-	Ala-	Asp-	Gly	-Val-	Phe	-Thr	-Ser-	Asp-	Phe-	Ser-	Arg-	Leu	-Leu-	Gly-
			• •		•						•		•		
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	
VIP	Gln	-Me	-Ala	-Val	-Lys	-Lys	-Tyr	-Leu	-Asn	-Ser	-Ile-	Leu-	Asn	-NH ₂	
Secretin	Ser-	Ala-	Arg-	Leu-	Gln	Arg	-Leu	-Lei	ı-Glr	ı-Gly	/-Le	u-Va	I-NI	$H_2$	
Glucagon	Ser-	Arg-	Arg-	Ala	Gln	-Asp	-Phe	-Val	-Gln	-Trp	-Lei	ı-Me	t-As	sp-Th	r
GIP	Lys-Ile-Arg-Gln-Gln-Asp-Phe-Val-Asn-Trp-Leu-Ala-Gln-Gln Gln														
PHI	Gln	-Leu	-Ser-	Ala-	-Lys-	Lys	-Tyr-	Leu	Glu	-Ser-	Leu	-Ile-l	NH2		

Fig. 5. Comparison of the amino acid sequences of secretin, glucagon, VIP, PHI, and GIP

### 3.2 Gastrin, Cholecystokinin-Pancreozymin (CCK-PZ) and Galanin

Gastrins I and II (Tyr¹²-O-sulfated gastrin I) 49, whose structure was elucidated in 1964 by Gregory et al. ¹⁰⁹), are formed in the mucosal lining of the gastric antrum and cause strong stimulation of the secretion of hydrochloric acid in the stomach and of enzyme secretion in the pancreas. The C-terminal tetrapeptide of Trp-Met-Asp-Phe-NH₂ already has the physiological properties of the natural hormone ¹¹⁰). The solid-phase method has proved suitable for the synthesis of gastrin peptides according to Sheppard ¹¹¹). Recently Merrifield et al. ¹¹²) synthesized human gastrin I on benz-hydrylamine resin using the "low-high HF" technique.

CCK-PZ 50¹¹³⁻¹¹⁶, whose C-terminal pentapeptide sequence is identical with that of gastrin, is discharged into the blood from certain intestinal cells and reaches the gallbladder, where it causes the bile to discharge into the intestine, thus promoting digestion. Cholecystokinin also functions as a cerebral neurotransmitter.

Castro et al. ¹¹⁷ have recently been able to show with the aid of structure-activity studies that tripeptide and tetrapeptide derivatives such as Boc- $\beta$ -Ala-Trp-Met-Asp-NH₂ 51*a* from the C-terminal region of gastrin without phenylalanine as a gastrin antagonist inhibit in vivo the gastrin-stimulated acid secretion.

$$(CH_3)_3C-O-CO-NH-CH_2-CH_2-CO-NH-CH-CO-NH-CH-CO-NH-CH-CO-NH_2 (CH_2) (CH_2)$$

On the other hand, pseudopeptide analogs of the C-terminal tetrapeptide of gastrin ^{117b}, such as (*tert*.butyloxycarbonyl)-L-tryptophyl- $\Psi(CH_2-NH)$ -L-leucyl-L-aspartyl-L-phenylalaninamide 51b, in which the amide linkage is replaced by the isosteric modification  $CH_2-NH$ , are potent agonists of acid secretion.



Galanin 52, which was isolated from porcine intestine by Tatemoto et al.¹¹⁸⁾ in 1983, causes contraction of the smooth muscle and mild hyperglycemia in dogs.

Gly-Trp-Thr-Leu-Asn-Ser-Ala-Gly-Tyr-Leu-Leu-Gly-Pro-His-Ala-Ile-Asp-Asn-His-Arg-Ser-Phe-His-Asp-Lys-Tyr-Gly-Leu-Ala-NH₂ (52)

### 3.3 Gastrin-Releasing Peptide (GRP), Bombesin, and Motilin

GRP ¹¹⁹⁾ 53 was isolated from porcine mucosae and causes gastrin secretion. The C-terminal decapeptide of GRP is, with the exception of  $His^{20}$ , identical with that of bombesin 54, and also coincides with the sequence of the decapeptide neuromedin C ^{119 b, c)} [Gly¹⁸-Met²⁷]-GRP. Neuromedin C, a porcine spinal-cord peptide that can also be regarded as a bombesin-like peptide, exerts stimulating effects on rat uterine smooth muscle and functions as a neuromediator in the neural communication systems of mammals.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 10 \\ Ala-Pro-Val-Ser-Val-Gly-Gly-Gly-Thr-Val-Leu-Ala-Lys--Met-\\ & 18 & 27 \\ Tyr-Pro-Arg-Gly-Asn-His-Trp-Ala-Val-Gly-His-Leu-Met-NH_2 & (53) \\ 1 & 5 \\ pyroGlu-Gln-Arg-Leu-Gly-Asn-Gln-Trp-Ala-Val-Gly-His-Leu-\\ & 14 \\ Met-NH_2 & (54) \end{array}$$

Bombesin 54^{120, 121}, isolated from frog skin, is thought to have antidiuretic and antihypertensive properties. It stimulates the secretion of gastrin, pancreatic and gastric secretion, and causes contraction of the gallbladder.

Recently Moody et al.¹²²⁾ discovered that the C-terminal partial sequence of bombesin and bombesin-like peptides (BLPs) can function as autocrine growth factors in human small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) cell lines.

Motilin 55 (gastric motor activity-stimulating polypeptide), isolated from porcine intestine by Mutt and Brown¹²³⁾, stimulates gastric motility and pepsin secretion.

Wünsch et al.¹²⁴⁾ synthesized the fragments 9–22 from [Nle¹³, Glu¹⁴]-motilin and [Leu¹³, Glu¹⁴]-motilin.

## 3.4 Insulin ^{5, 21, 89, 125)}

Insulin (Fig. 6), which belongs to the older-generation polypeptides was discovered by Banting and Best in 1921 and its primary structure was elucidated by Sanger in 1955. In view of the very diverse syntheses and the numerous biological publications on this substance, only a general summary is possible here.

The first total synthesis of A- and B-chains and their combination into insulin was performed by Zahn et al. ¹²⁶ in 1963.



Fig. 6. Structure of bovine insulin

Insulin does not display any pronounced organ specificity, in fact numerous metabolic processes in the liver, muscle, and fat cells are insulin-dependent.

Under the influence of insulin the permeability of the cell membranes of many organs and tissues is increased, and the material transport from the extracellular space into the liver, fat, and muscle cells in promoted. The accelerated influx of glucose into these cells due to insulin leads to an increased glucose degradation. The glucose level is therefore reduced by insulin. Because of the great influence of insulin on the glucose transport through the plasma membranes of fat and muscle cells, it is presumed that the insulin receptors ¹²⁷ on the surface of these cells are the hormone's main site of action.

Insulin affects the protein metabolism by an increased uptake of amino acids as a result of the increased permeability of the cell membranes.

Diabetes mellitus is the result of too little insulin being released from the pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells or of a decrease in the number of active insulin receptors in the target tissue.

Many preparations are available for the treatment of diabetics with insulin. Rittel et al. ¹²⁸) described total synthesis of human insulin in 1974. Since that time new ways of obtaining insulin have been developed, namely the E. Lilly & Co. biotechnological synthesis by genetically modified microorganisms ¹²⁹ (DNA recombination)

and the trypsin-catalyzed conversion of porcine into human insulin by exchanging the C-terminal Ala³⁰ of the B-chain for threonine (Novo Research Institute/Denmark ¹³⁰).

### 4 Peptides of Immunological Importance

Peptide chemists are becoming increasingly interested in the synthesis of immunosuppressive and immunostimulating peptides, which are discussed below.

### 4.1 Peptides of the Thymus

The thymus, a gland situated under the sternum (primary lymph organ), occupies a central position in the immune system. Among its functions are the stimulation of the immune responses and cell differentiation. If foreign substances invade the organism, the body reacts defensively via its immune system.

The carriers of the immune system are the leucocytes, the immune cells²¹. These are either phagocytotic cells (e.g. granulocytes and macrophages) or lymphocytes, namely T-lymphocytes, which differentiate further in the thymus, and B-lymphocytes, which stem from the parent cells of the spinal cord.

In the course of an immune response the finally differentiated plasma cells, whose function is antibody synthesis, are formed from the B-lymphocytes under the influence of the T-lymphocytes and the thymus peptides.

4.1.1 Thymopoietin Derivatives (TP)

Thymopoietin, a calf thymus polypeptide with 49 amino acids, causes selective T-cell differentiation and is therefore responsible for the cell-bound immune reaction. Consideration of smaller peptides having the same properties with respect to the immune system led to the synthetic thymopentin Arg-Lys-Asp-Val-Tyr^{131,132} and derivatives such as Lys-Lys-Tyr-Phe-Arg¹³³.

4.1.2 Thymosin  $\alpha_1$ 

Thymosin  $\alpha_1$  56, isolated by A. L. Goldstein et al. ¹³⁴⁾ from bovine thymus glands, is important for the development of thymus-dependent T-lymphocytes.

CH₃CO-Ser-Asp-Ala-Ala-Val-Asp-Thr-Ser-Ser-Glu-Ile-Thr-Thr-Lys-Asp-Leu-Lys-Glu-Lys-Glu-Val-Val-Glu-Glu-Ala-Glu-Asn (56)

Thymosin  $\alpha_1$  has been synthesized by several research groups by the solid-phase method ^{135,136} as well as by conventional synthetic techniques ^{137,138}.

4.1.3 Serum Thymic Factor (FTS or Thymulin)

Bach et al. ¹³⁹⁾ isolated thymulin 57, which is responsible for T-cell differentiation, from porcine serum.

129

Numerous FTS analogs that exhibit biological effects in the differentiation of T-lymphocytes have now been synthesized ¹⁴⁰. Information is already available about the possibility of using the peptide derivatives thymosin  $\alpha_1$  and FTS therapeutically in the treatment of disorders of the immune system (e.g. in patients with congenital T-cell deficiency or chronic bacterial infections).

#### 4.2 Tuftsin

Tuftsin 58, isolated from the protein leukokinin in 1970 by Najjar et al. ¹⁴¹⁾ by enzymatic cleavage of a  $\gamma$ -globulin fraction, stimulates phagocytosis.

Because of the action of tuftsin on macrophages and granulocytes, its therapeutic use is being tested in various infectious diseases ¹⁴².

### 4.3 Cyclosporines ¹⁴³

Cyclosporines (Fig. 7) are cyclic undecapeptides composed of 11 amino acids — some of them N-methylated — in which the amino acid (4R)-4-[(E)-2-butenyl]-4,N-dimethyl-L-threonine (MeBmt) 59 is a characteristic component and plays a considerable role in the biological activity.



Fig. 7. Structure of cyclosporin A

Cyclosporin A, which has been used as an immunosuppressant with fungicidal and anti-inflammatory properties in bone marrow and organ transplants and in autoimmune diseases since 1983, was isolated from a fungal culture (*Tolypocladium*)¹⁴⁴). The structure of cyclosporine was established in 1976 by Petcher et al. ¹⁴⁵) and its total synthesis was accomplished in 1984 by Wenger ^{146, 147}).

### 4.4 Muramyl Peptides (Glycopeptides)

The muramyl peptides (MP) of the bacterial cell walls are polymeric chain molecules composed of N-acetylglucosamine and N-acetylmuramic acid, each carrying a peptide side chain and crosslinked with one another (Fig. 8). The N-terminal D-Ala residues of the side chains are bound covalently to the peptide side chains of neighboring polysaccharide chains via pentaglycine units.



Fig. 8. Basic structural unit of a peptidoglycan of the bacterial cell wall of *Staphylococcus aureus* 

Ciba-Geigy AG¹⁴⁸ have synthesized the N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanyl-D-isoglutamine-2-(hexadecyloxyhydroxyphosphoryloxy)-ethylamide 60, which has immunopotentiating properties.

Muramyl peptides can be used as adjuvants in combination with vaccines (increasing the humoral and cellular immunity) or with antibiotics (increasing the antibacterial effect).

$$\begin{array}{c} CH_{2}OH \\ \downarrow O \\ HO \\ \downarrow O \\ O \\ CH_{3}-CH-CO-CH_{3} \\ \downarrow O \\ CH_{3}-CH-CO-NH-CH-CO-NH-CH-CH_{2}-CH_{2}-CO-NH_{2} \\ \hline CH_{3} \end{array}$$

The mitogenic pentapeptide 61 S-[2,3-bis(palmitoyloxy)-(2 R,S)-propyl]-N-palmitoyl-Cys-Ser-Ser-Asn-Ala (TPP) of Jung et al. ¹⁴⁹, which is a component of the lipoprotein from the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli*, also acts as a potent adjuvant and B-lymphocyte activator.

$$\begin{array}{c} CH_{3} - (CH_{2})_{14} - CO - NH - CH - CO - Ser - Ser - Asn - Ala \\ \\ CH_{2} - S - CH_{2} - CH - CH_{2} - O - CO - (CH_{2})_{14} - CH_{3} \\ \\ \\ O - CO (CH_{2})_{14} CH_{3} \end{array}$$
(61)

The biological effect of the muramyl derivatives is based on the fact that the tissue macrophages are activated and form the first line of defense of the immune system against invaders (phagocytosis of the antigen). In addition, the lymphatic system is activated, i.e. the B- and T-lymphocytes are stimulated (specific immune response)¹⁵⁰. Protected asparagine glycopeptides 62 were made by Kunz et al.¹⁵¹ by increasing the length of the N-terminal peptide chain.



The N-acetylglucosaminyl-1,6-anhydro-N-acetylmuramylalanylglutamyldiaminopimelylalanine (NAG-1,6-anhydro-NAM-Ala-Glu-dap-Ala, Fig. 9), which was



Fig. 9. NAG-1,6-anhydro-NAM-Ala-Glu-dap-Ala

isolated from human urine as sleep-promoting factor S by J. M. Krueger et al.¹⁵², exerts a somnogenic effect, i.e. the slow-wave sleep phase (SWS) is prolonged.

Numerous analogs of the muramyl peptide factor ^{153, 154} have been synthesized with a view to finding endogenous hypnotics that do not lead to dependence.

### 4.5 Peptide Vaccines

Many research groups are currently engaged in producing antibodies directed against a synthetic segment of a protein ^{155, 156}.

Peptides as immunogens that trigger the formation of antibodies of effector cells (killer cells) in higher organisms could inaugurate a new generation of vaccines.

Antibodies of this type are formed if the synthetic peptide used as the immunogen is the same as a segment of a protein (antibody) that lies on the surface in its native form, and whose native conformation can in part be adopted by the peptide (antigen).

Active immunization occurs if the antibodies formed protect the organism from viruses or toxins. It is hoped that synthetic peptides triggering the formation of antibodies will find use as potential vaccines.

The future importance of peptide vaccines lies in the fact that one could replace inactivated or attenuated microbial pathogens or toxins, which are high-molecular and therefore difficult to characterize and standardize, by highly specific synthetic peptides. Emini et al. ¹⁵⁷ have synthesized oligopeptides that prime the rabbit immune system and are effective against *poliovirus*. The amino acid sequence of the peptide vaccines 63 and 64 originate in the poliovirus VP₁ protein.

Synthetic peptide vaccines against the influenza virus, for example, could become of major therapeutic interest.

#### 4.6 FK-156 and FK-565

D-Lactoyl-L-alanyl- $\gamma$ -D-glutamyl-(L)-meso-diaminopimelyl-(L)-glycine (FK-156) 65, isolated from *Streptomyces olivaceogriseus*¹⁵⁸⁾ and subsequently synthesized ¹⁵⁹⁾, is an adjuvant-active and immunostimulating peptide ¹⁶⁰⁾.

OH 
$$CH_3$$
  
 $| 1$   $(D)$   
 $CH_3CHCO - HNCHCO - HNCHCOOH$   
 $(D)$   $(L)$   $(L)$   $(L)$   
 $(CH_2)_2CO - HNCHCO - HNCH_2 COOH$  (65)  
 $(CH_2)_3$   
 $| H_2NCHCOOH$   
 $(D)$ 

Heptanoyl- $\gamma$ -D-glutamyl-(L)-meso-diaminopimelyl-(D)-alanine (FK-565) 66, a fatty acid derivative of the bacterial cell wall peptidoglycans, and also FK-156

analogs ^{161, 162}, suppress the growth of tumors when administered subcutaneously and orally and at the same time are effective against bacterial infections.

### 4.7 Chlamydocins and Peptidylacivicins

Chlamydocin 67, isolated as a cyclic tetrapeptide from culture broths of *Diheterospora* chlamydosporia by Closse et al. ¹⁶³⁾ in 1974, has 100 times the cytostatic activity of actinomycin D with respect to inhibition of cell growth in the mouse. Numerous chlamydocin derivatives have been synthesized by D. H. Rich et al. ¹⁶⁴⁾.

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ CH_2 - CH - C - (CH_2)_5 - CH \\ CH_2 - CH - C - (CH_2)_5 - CH \\ CH_3 \\ H \\ CH_3 \end{array} (67)$$

The characteristic basic unit of the cyclopeptide is S-2-amino-S-9,10-epoxy-8oxodecanoic acid. U. Schmidt et al. have reported the synthesis of chlamydocin and epichlamydocin¹⁶⁵⁾. One of the main problems in the treatment of cancer is always the high toxicity of cytostatics and their low selectivity against malignant cells. Attempts to develop prodrugs that are only activated in the vicinity of a tumor by a tumor-enzyme inhibition have been made with peptidylacivicins. Tumor cells contain high levels of plasmin-activator and therefore high protease-plasmin levels. According to Katzenellenbogen et al.¹⁶⁶, with the aid of AT-125 peptide 68 it should be possible to achieve the full effect of plasmin-activated prodrugs only in the cancer cells.

## 4.8 Bestatin

Bestatin 69[(2S,3R)-3-amino-2-hydroxy-4-phenylbutanoyl]-L-leucine, which can either be obtained from culture broths of *Streptomyces olivoreticuli* as per H. Umezawa

et al. ¹⁶⁷) or by synthesis ¹⁶⁸), can be used in the treatment of malignant skin tumors.

$$\begin{array}{c} & \searrow -CH_2 - CH - CH - CO - NH - CH - COOH \\ & & & | & | \\ & & NH_2 OH & CH_2 \\ & & & CH \\ & & & CH_3 \end{array}$$
 (69)

### 5 Peptides of the Renin-Angiotensin System

## 5.1 Proteolytic Cascade of the Renin-Angiotensin System ^{169, 170)}

Since the remin-angiotensin system is involved in regulation of the blood pressure, antihypertensive substances are encountered among the peptides that exert an effect on this system. The mechanism of the renin-angiotensin system is illustrated in Fig. 10.



Fig. 10. Processing of angiotensinogen and kininogen

When the blood pressure is reduced, or during sympathetic stimulation, renin is secreted from the juxtaglomerular kidney cells. This enzyme liberates a biologically inactive decapeptide, angiotensin I, from the renin substrate (angiotensinogen) produced in the liver, with cleavage of a Leu-Leu or a Leu-Val bond (human). Under the influence of a peptidyldipeptide hydrolase likewise present in plasma (angiotensin-converting enzyme = ACE), the biologically active angiotensin II is formed from angiotensin I by splitting-off of the C-terminal dipeptide His-Leu (Fig. 10). Angiotensin II has a contracting effect on the vascular smooth muscle and is the most powerful vasoconstrictor known.

### 5.2 Angiotensin II Antagonists and ACE Inhibitors

Inhibition of the action of angiotensin II 70 has been achieved with many angiotensin I and II analogs (Fig. 11), in which, on the basis of structure-activity relationships, an essential function is attributed to the Phe⁸ residue in stimulation of the receptor, while Tyr⁴ and His⁶ residues are thought to be important in the binding to the receptor. These angiotensin II-blockers (70–75) prevent the action of the effector peptide of the renin-angiotensin system on the target organ.

Saralasin 71 is currently in use as an angiotensin-receptor antagonist in the treatment of hypertension, despite its partial agonistic effect. The disadvantages of 71 lie in the fact that it is administered i.v. and in its short biological half-life.

Asp-Arg-Val-Tyr ⁴ -Ile-His ⁶ -Pro-Phe ⁸	(70)
Sar-Arg-Val-Tyr-Val-His-Pro-Ala	(71)
Sar-Arg-Val-Tyr-Ile-His-Pro-Ile 171, 172)	(72)
Sar-Arg-Val-Tyr-Ile-His-Pro-Thr ¹⁷³⁾	(73)
Sar-Arg-Val-Tyr-Ile-His-Pro-Ala 174)	(74)
Asp-Arg-Val-Tyr-Ile-His-Ala-Phe ¹⁷⁵	(75)

Fig. 11. Angiotensin II antagonists

The teprotide  $BPP_{9a}$  (bradykinin-potentiating peptide) 76 and analogous BPP peptides ¹⁷⁰, which have been isolated from snake venom, are taken up by ACE in competition with the substrate angiotensin I with far greater affinity.

### pyroGlu-Trp-Pro-Arg-Pro-Gln-Ile-Pro-Pro (76)

Converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors ¹⁷⁶ likewise prevent the formation of angiotensin II and are used in the treatment of renal and essential hypertension. Examples of orally active ACE-inhibitors are: (2)-1-[(2S)-3-[N-(S)-mercapto-2-methylpropanoyl]proline ¹⁷⁰ (captopril 77), 1-[N-(S)-1-carboxy-3-phenylpropyl]-L-alanyl-Lproline-1'-ethyl ester ¹⁷⁷ (enalapril 78), and 2-[N-[(S)-1-ethoxycarbonyl-3-phenylpropyl]-L-alanyl]-(1S,3S,5S)-2-azabicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3-carboxylic acid ¹⁷⁸ (Hoe 498; 79).



Today, captopril (Capoten®) ranks among the most frequently used drugs in the treatment of hypertension. Enalapril (Xanef®) has been commercially available since 1985 as a second ACE inhibitor. The discovery of captopril started an avalanche of research into the synthesis of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. Some new developments should be mentioned at this point:

1. Tripeptide analogs of enalapril 80⁻¹⁷⁹, e.g.

$$(80)$$

2. 1-Glutarylindoline-2-carboxylic acid derivatives 81¹⁸⁰, e.g.



3. N-substituted γ-D-glutamyl-cis-perhydroindoline-2-(S)-carboxylic acid 82¹⁸¹), e.g.



4. Cilazapril = (1S,9S)-9-[(S)-1-ethoxycarbonyl-3-phenylpropylamino]-octahydro-10-oxo-6-H-pyridazo-[1,2-a][1,2] diazepine-carboxylic acid 83¹⁸²):



### 5.3 Renin Inhibitors

Another way of reducing the blood pressure via the renin-angiotensin system is to block the conversion of angiotensinogen into angiotensin I by inhibition of renin. Two different types of renin inhibitors are distinguished.

#### 5.3.1 Substrate-Analogous Renin Inhibitors

As a highly specific acid peptidase, renin cleaves the decapeptide angiotensin I from the N-terminal end of the substrate angiotensinogen (Fig. 12).

ACE Renin Asp-Arg-Val-Tyr-Ile-His-Pro-Phe-His-Leu-Val-Ile-His-X-Glu-Protein Angiotensin II Angiotensin I Angiotensinogen

Fig. 12. Stepwise enzymatic cleavage of human angiotensinogen; X = peptide fragment of angiotensinogen

Derivatizations in the N-terminal sequence of human angiotensinogen led to weakly active renin inhibitors ¹⁸³. According to Szelke et al. ¹⁸⁴, highly active substrate analogs with modified peptide linkage ( $-CH_2-NH-$ ) were formed by reduction of the CO–NH bond in Leu-Leu or Phe-Phe (Table 2).

Substrate se	pauence		
1 2 3	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Plasma, human	
Asp Arg Va	ll Tyr lle His Pro Phe His Leu Leu Val Tyr Ser .	IC ₅₀ [M]K ₁	
	R		
	His Pro Phe His Leu-Leu Val Tyr R	$1 \times 10^{-6}$	
H-77	D-His Pro Phe His Leu-Leu Val Tyr R	$1 \times 10^{-6}$	
	His Pro Phe His Phe-Phe Val Tyr R	$8.2 \times 10^{-7}$	
	His Pro Phe His Leu-Val Ile His R	$1.9 \times 10^{-7}$	
	His Pro Phe His Leu-Val Ile Tyr	$1 \times 10^{-7}$	
	Pro His Pro Phe His Leu-Val Ile His R	$1.6 \times 10^{-8}$	
H-142	Pro His Pro Phe His Leu-Val Ile His Lys	$1.0 \times 10^{-8}$	
Pepstatin	Iva Val Val Sta-Ala-Sta	$2.2 \times 10^{-5}$	

Table 2. Renin inhibitors with a modified peptide linkage; R = reduced peptide linkage

The results of in vitro and human volunteer studies show that the best renin inhibitor to date is H-142.

#### 5.3.2 Statine-Containing Renin Inhibitors

Pepstatin 84, which was isolated from actinomycetes and which contains the unusual amino acid statine (Sta), inhibits renin and other acidic proteases.



By exchanging  $Leu^{10}$  for Sta in the N-terminal end of angiotensinogen, active renin inhibitors have been produced (Table 3) ¹⁸⁵⁻¹⁸⁸. It is assumed that statine corresponds to the tetrahedral intermediate during the enzymatic hydrolysis of the  $Leu^{10}$ -Val¹¹ peptide linkage in angiotensinogen.

Table 3. Inhibition of porcine renin by statine-containing substrate analogs, (*K_M-value ¹⁸³⁾)

6789	10 11 12 13	IC ₅₀ (M)
His-Pro-Phe-His-I	_eu—Leu—Val—Tyr	(5.5 x 10 ⁻⁵ )*
His-Pro-Phe-His-	Sta — Leu — Phe – NH2	2.0 x 10 ⁻⁸
lva-His-Pro-Phe-His-S	Sta — Leu — Phe – NH ₂	3.1 x 10 ⁻⁸
Boc-His-Pro-Phe-His-S	Sta — Leu — Phe – NH ₂	2.7 x 10 ⁻⁸
:	Sta — Leu — Phe – NH ₂	2.0 x 10 ⁻³
His—S	Sta — Leu — Phe – NH ₂	$3.7 \times 10^{-4}$
Phe-His-S	Sta — Leu — Phe – NH ₂	1.3x10 ⁻⁶
Pro-Phe-His-S	Sta — Leu — Phe – NH ₂	$2.0 \times 10^{-7}$
lbu-His-Pro-Phe-His-S	Sta-NH ₂	2.9x10 ⁻⁵
lbu-His-Pro-Phe-His-	Sta—Leu-NH ₂	6.7 x 10 ⁻⁷
lbu-His-Pro-Phe-His-	Sta—Leu — Phe – NH ₂	4.3 x 10 ⁻⁸
lbu-His-Pro-Phe-His-S	Sta— Ala — Phe-NH ₂	5.7 x10 ⁻⁸
Ibu-His-Pro-Phe-His-S	Sta Phe NH ₂	1.2 x10 ⁻⁷
lva-His-Pro-Phe-His-S	Sta — Ile — Phe – NH ₂	1.3x10 ⁻⁷
Boc-His-Pro-Phe-His-S	Sta — Leu — Tyr – NH ₂	2.6 x 10 ⁻⁸
Boc-His-Pro-Phe-His-	Sta — Leu — Phe – OCH ₃	1.1 x 10 ⁻⁸
Iva-His-Pro-Phe-His-S	Sta-Leu-Val-Phe-NH ₂	4.6x10 ⁻⁵

Iva = isovaleroyl Boc = tert-Butoxycarbonyl

Iva-His-Pro-Phe-His-Sta-Ile-Phe- $NH_2$  is so far the best statine-containing renin inhibitor.

According to Rich, new protease inhibitors were produced by replacing statine in pepstatin derivatives with (3S,4S)-4,8-diamino-3-hydroxyoctanecarboxylic acid (DAHOA) 85a^{189a} or 4-amino-3-hydroxy-5-phenylpentanecarboxylic acid (AHPPA) 85b^{189b, c)}.



L 364210 86 and analogous highly active renin inhibitors, which have a longer duration of action and greater stability in vivo owing to their improved polarity and solubility, have been produced by Merck & Co./USA ¹⁹⁰⁾.



It is not only of scientific but also of great commercial interest to develop new drugs active on renin-induced hypertension.

### 6 Plasma Kinins

Plasma kinins are tissue hormones liberated from  $\alpha$ -globulins of the blood plasma by kallikrein.

#### 6.1 Bradykinin and Kallidin

Bradykinin 87 and kallidin (Lys-bradykinin-decapeptide), which are split off from the kininogen in the plasma by trypsin and kallikrein respectively (Fig. 10), hardly differ in their pharmacological activity. The most important effect of the kinins is a dilation of the peripheral vessels, which leads to an improved blood flow, in the kidneys for example, and therefore increases diuresis. By acting on the formation of angiotensin II, kinins can contribute to the regulation of blood pressure. Moreover, kinins cause a contraction of the bronchial muscle.

```
Arg-Pro-Pro-Gly-Phe-Ser-Pro-Phe-Arg
```

Bradykinin was first synthesized by Boissonnas et al.¹⁹¹, since when many research groups have reported on bradykinin analogs⁸⁹.

(87)

#### 6.2 Tachykinins (Fig. 13)

The following active substances, known as tachykinins ¹⁹²), which in contrast to the slow-acting kinins exert a rapid stimulating effect on the smooth muscle, are similar in their biological activities and their structure but differ in their origin. Eledoisin, which was discovered in the salivary glands of cephalopods from the Mediterranean by Erspamer in 1949, exerts an antihypertensive effect and acts as a spasmogen. A large number of eledoisin analogs have been prepared by Boissonnas et al. ¹⁹³, Lübke et al. ¹⁹⁴, Erspamer et al. ¹⁹⁵, and Voelter et al. ¹⁹⁶.

Physalaemin^{197, 198}), isolated by Erspamer in 1964 from the skin of American amphibians, has a strong vasodilating and antihypertensive action.

Uperolein, phyllomedusin, and kassinin, which Anastasi¹⁹⁹⁾ isolated from frog skin, exhibit broad similarities with other tachykinins.

Pyr-Pro-Ser-Lys-Asp-Ala-Phe-Ile-Gly-Leu-Met-NH,	Eledoisin
Pyr-Ala-Asp-Pro-Asn-Lys-Phe-Tyr-Gly-Leu-Met-NH ₂	Physalaemin
Pyr-Ala-Asp-Pro-Lys-Thr-Phe-Tyr-Gly-Leu-Met-NH,	[Lys5,Thr6]Physalaemin
Pyr-Pro-Asp-Pro-Asn-Ala-Phe-Tyr-Gly-Leu-Met-NH,	Uperolein
Pyr Asn-Pro-Asn-Arg-Phe-Ile-Gly-Leu-Met-NH,	Phyllomedusin
Asp-Val-Pro-Lys-Ser-Asp-Gln-Phe-Val-Gly-Leu-Met-NH ₂	Kassinin
$eq:sp-Glu-Pro-Lys-Pro-Asp-Gln-Phe-Val-Gly-Leu-Met-NH_2$	[Glu ² ,Pro ⁵ ]Kassinin
Arg-Pro-Lys-Pro-Gln-Gln-Phe-Phe-Gly-Leu-Met-NH,	Substance P
Arg-His-Lys-Thr-Asp-Ser-Phe-Val-Gly-Leu-Met-NH2	Substance K

Fig. 13. Primary structures in the family of tachykinins; Pyr = pyroglutamic acid

Substances P and K can be considered as mammalian tachykinins in view of their unmistakable chemical relationship.

Caerulein 88, which was isolated from skin extracts from the Australian tree frog *Hyla caerula*, has a longer-lasting antihypertensive activity than bradykinin or physalaemin. It causes a contraction of the gallbladder and bile ducts, and stimulates intestinal peristalsis. Caerulein analogs have been synthesized by Bernardi et al.  200 ,  201 .

$$\begin{array}{c} pyroGlu-Gln-Asp-Tyr-Thr-Gly-Trp-Met-Asp-Phe-NH_{2} \\ SO_{3}H \end{array}$$
(88)

## 7 Atrial Natriuretic Peptides (ANP or ANF)

In 1983 de Bold et al. ²⁰² first isolated an atrial peptide ANP-(6-33) from homogenates of rat atrial muscle and elucidated its structure (Fig. 14). In January 1984, K. Kangawa et al. prepared pure samples of the  $\alpha$ -human atrial natriuretic peptide  $\alpha$ -h-ANP-(6-33 Met¹⁷) ²⁰³ and of the hitherto longest-known natriuretic peptide containing 126 amino acids,  $\gamma$ -h-ANP ²⁰⁴, from human atrial tissue.



Fig. 14. Amino acid sequence of rat atrial natriuretic peptide
Seidah et al.  $^{205)}$  isolated ANF-(1-33) from rat atria and synthesized a shorter ANF-(8-33), which is identical with natural ANF-(3-33) in its biological activity.

Needleman et al. ²⁰⁶⁾ isolated atriopeptin I [ANF-(10-30) or AP I] and atriopeptin II [ANF-(10-32) or AP II], also from rat atrium extracts.

S. P. Adams (Monsanto Compay) and P. Needleman (Washington University, St. Louis) synthesized the following atrial peptides by the solid-phase method  207  (Table 4).

ANF analogs	Relative activity in rabbit aorta assays
AP I	1.4
AP II	200
AP ³⁻¹⁹	0.35
Arg-Arg-AP II	300
Ser-Leu-Arg-Arg-AP II	150
DesPhe ²² -AP II	7.0
DesSer ¹ -AP II	200
DesSer ¹ -DesSer ² -AP II	56
DesSer ²¹ -AP I	1.0

Table 4. Biological activity of ANF analogs

Table 4 shows that arginine residues at the N-terminal end increase the bioactivity.  $Tyr^{33}$  is not absolutely necessary, but all the other amino acids at the C-terminal are (see AP I).

The ANF hormones, which derive from higher-molecular-weigth precursors (atriopeptigens), have diuretic properties, i.e. an administration of ANF in the rat increases diuresis and natriuresis (the release of Arg-vasopressin is inhibited) and at the same time the vessels are dilated, apparently by inhibition of catecholamines and angiotensin II. In addition, it has been shown that under volume loading the ANF peptides are released from the atria and develop their effects as hormones in renal, vascular, and other tissues. They can be considered as functional antagonists of the renin-angiotensin system.

The antihypertensive properties of ANF could really represent a new therapeutic starting point in combatting hypertensive disease in man.

## 8 Thyroid Hormones (Calcitonins)

Calcitonins (Fig. 15) with an N-terminal intrachain 23-membered disulfide ring were isolated independently by 4 different research groups, structurally clarified in 1968, and synthesized.

Calcitonin causes the deposition of calicum phosphate in the skeleton by stimulation of the bone-forming cells, and hence reduces the levels of calcium and phosphate in the blood (hypocalcemia). Completely synthetic calcitonin products such as Salmcalcitonin (Sandoz Ltd., Switzerland)²⁰⁸, Elcitonin (Toyo Jozo Co., Japan)²⁰⁹, and

Bovine H-Cys-Ser-Asn-Leu-Ser-Thr-Cys-Val-Leu-Ser-Ala-Tyr-Trp-Lys-Asp-Leu-

- Salmon H-Cys-Ser-Asn-Leu-Ser-Thr-Cys-Val-Leu-Gly-Lys-Leu-Ser-Gln-Glu-Leu-
- Human H-Cys-Gly-Asn-Leu-Ser-Thr-Cys-Met-Leu-Gly-Thr-Tyr-Thr-Gln-Asp-Phe-
- Bovine Asn-Asn-Tyr-His-Arg-Phe-Ser-Gly-Met-Gly-Phe-Gly-Pro-Glu-Thr-Pro-NH2

Salmon His-Lys-Leu-Gln-Thr-Tyr-Pro-Arg-Thr-Asn-Thr-Gly-Ser-Gly-Thr-Pro-NH2

Human Asn-Lys-Phe-His-Thr-Phe-Pro-Gln-Thr-Ala-Ile-Gly-Val-Gly-Ala-Pro-NH₂

Fig. 15. Primary structures of calcitonin in various species

[16-alanine]-Salmcalcitonin (Armour Pharmaceutical Co.)²¹⁰, are available for therapeutic use (bone atrophy).

#### **9** Peptide Antibiotics

Since the discovery of bacitracin 89 35 years ago and of gramicidin 90²¹¹ in 1946, hundreds of peptide antibiotics have been synthesized.



These are active against gram-positive but not against gram-negative bacteria.

In comparison with the penicillin and cephalosporin derivatives, the peptide antibiotics are not numbered among the "major antibiotics". Their action mechanisms vary, e.g. inhibition of cell-wall synthesis, increased permeability of the cell wall, or influence on nucleic acid synthesis.

The presence of D-amino acids and other unusual non-proteinogenic amino acids is characteristic.

#### 9.1 Monamycins

The monamycins 91, a family of 15 hexapeptide members, which, as ionophores, induce the passage of ions through biological membranes, have hexahydropyridazine-1-carboxylic acid as their characteristic basic unit and exhibit antibacterial properties.

Hassall et al. ²¹²⁾ have synthesized cyclic hexadepsipeptides ( $R^1 = R^2 = R^4 = H$ ,  $R^3 = CH_3$ ), which form strong complexes with  $K^+$ ,  $Rb^+$ , and  $Cs^+$ .



# 9.2 Phosphonopeptides ²¹³⁻²¹⁵

Peptide antibiotics, for example (S)-alanyl-(R)-1-aminoethylphosphonic acid (alafosfalin) 92, having an aminophosphonic acid at the C-terminal end of a peptide chain, have been synthesized by Hoffmann-La Roche AG/Switzerland^{216a)} and Roche Products Ltd./U.K.^{216 b)}. Alafosfalin, which inhibits the biosynthesis of the bacterial cell wall, is effective against gram-positive and gram-negative microorganisms.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH_3 & CH_3 O \\ I & I & I \\ H_2N - CH - CO - NH - CH - P \\ OH \end{array}$$
(92)

### 9.3 Actinomycins

Actinomycin D 93, isolated from *Streptomyces antibioticus* in 1940, belongs to the class of chromopeptides and is characterized by its cytostatic growth inhibition in tumors and antibacterial action.



More than 30 natural actinomycins are now known and a variety of synthetic ones^{89,}²¹⁷⁾, linked with 2 pentapeptide lactone rings via an aminophenoxazinone chromophore.

The use of the actinomycins is limited by their high toxicity.

## 9.4 Albomycins

Albomycins (desferriforms, Fig. 16), isolated from the strain *Streptomyces spec*. WS116, are nucleoside peptides that exert antibiotic effects ²¹⁸ and have iron-complexing properties.



Fig. 16. Desferriforms of albomycins

#### 9.5 Nisin

Nisin (Fig. 17), isolated from *Streptococcus lactis* culture broths in 1952, was structurally clarified only in 1970 by Gross et al. ²¹⁹⁾. A partial sequence (ring A) of nisin was synthesized in 1983 by Shiba et al. ²²⁰⁾.



Fig. 17. Amino acid sequence of nisin; Abu = 2-aminobutyric acid

Nisin served to confirm that  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated amino acids occur naturally (Dha = dehydroalanine, Dhb =  $\alpha$ -aminodehydrobutyric acid).

#### 9.6 Nikkomycins

Nikkomycins Z 94 and X 95, isolated as nucleoside antibiotics from *Streptomyces* tendae  221 , inhibit chitin biosynthesis and have fungicidal and insecticidal properties.



Several reports on the structure elucidation and syntheses of nucleoside peptides have been published by W. A. König et al.²²²⁾.

In addition, nikkomycin B 96²²³⁾, which has a p-hydroxyphenyl residue in the N-terminal amino acid instead of the 3-hydroxypyridine system of 95, was isolated from the culture filtrate of *Streptomyces tendae*.



#### 9.7 Cecropin A

Cecropins ²²⁴) are produced in insects on account of the lack of lymphocytes and immunoglobulins by a humoral immune reaction, and have a broad spectrum of antibacterial activity.

Cecropin A analogs (Fig. 18) have been synthesized by Andreu et al.²²⁵⁾ by the solid-phase method.



Fig. 18. Amino acid sequences of cecropin A analogs

146

## 9.8 Alamethicins

In 1970 Payne et al. ²²⁶ elucidated the lipophilic  $\alpha$ -helical structure of the eicosapeptide alamethicin 97 (eight  $\alpha$ -aminobutyric acid residues = Aib, L-phenylalaninol = Phl), isolated from the culture liquid of the fungus *Trichoderma viride*. The sequence of the amphiphilic peptide antibiotic was confirmed in 1985 by Jung et al. ²²⁷ by total syntheses.

l 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Ac-Aib-Pro-Aib-Ala-Aib-Ala-Gln-Aib-Val-Aib-Gly-Leu-Aib-Pro-Val-Aib-Aib-Glu-Gln-Phl (97)

Alamethicin exerts bacteriostatic, fungicidal, cytostatic, and hemolytic effects. The most important property of the alamethicins is the formation of potential-dependent ion-conducting pores in lipid membranes as a model for the conduction of nerve impulses ^{227 b)}.

# 10 Peptide Leukotrienes ²²⁸

Leukotriene  $LTC_4$  99 is formed enzymatically from leukotriene  $LTA_4$  98, which is formed from arachidonic acid by means of lipoxygenase, by nuclephilic attack of the thiol group in glutathione ( $\gamma$ -glutamylcysteinylglycine).



 $LTC_4$  (slow-reacting substance = SRS), synthesized by Corey et al. ²²⁹), is converted into the biologically more active S-cysteine-glycylleukotriene  $LTD_4$  100 or S-cysteinylleukotriene  $LTE_4$  101 under the influence of  $\gamma$ -glutamyltranspeptidase (GGTP).



The peptide leukotrienes 99, 100, and 101 cause contraction of the bronchial smooth muscle and probably play an important role as mediators in allergic reactions (e.g. asthma) and inflammations. Antagonistic blockade of the leukotriene action of 99, 100, and 101, by analogy with histamine  $H_1$ -receptor antagonists, would therefore be an important principle in the treatment of allergic symptoms. A number of selective peptide leukotriene antagonists have in fact been synthesized by Smith Kline &

French Lab. ^{230a)} and Schering-Plough Co./USA ^{230b)}, e.g. 4-R-hydroxy-5-S-cysteinyl-glycyl-6Z-nonadecenoic acid *102*.



## 11 Peptide Insecticides and Herbicides

The cyclic depsipeptides destruxin C and D 103 and bassianolid 104  231 , which contain  $\alpha$ -hydroxyisovaleric acid, N-methylvaline, or N-methylleucine, act as insecticides.



Phosphinotricylalanylalanine 105 (bialaphos), isolated by Zähner et al. ^{215, 232} from the culture filtrates from *Streptomyces* species, has a strong herbicidal action.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & CH_3 & CH_3 \\ \parallel & (L) & \parallel & \parallel \\ H_3C - P - CH_2 - CH_2 - CH - CO - NH - CH - CO - NH - CH - COOH \\ \parallel & \parallel & (L) & (L) \\ OH & NH_2 \end{array}$$
(105)

## **12 Peptide Toxins**

The phallotoxins 106, e.g. phalloidin, and the amatoxins 107, e.g.  $\alpha$ -amanitin, produced by *Amanita phalloides* or death cup, are among the best-known peptide poisons²³³.

The amatoxins are cyclic octapeptides composed only of L-amino acids and containing a sulfoxide group instead of the thioether bridge in phallotoxin. Over 90% of the fatal cases of mushroom poisoning can be traced back to the amatoxins. Wieland et al. ²³⁴ have shown that, in addition to the toxins, the death cup contains a low concentration of an antitoxic cyclic decapeptide antamanide *108*.



The cyclopentapeptide malformin 109, a metabolite of Aspergillus niger, was structurally elucidated by Bodanszky^{235a)} and synthesized in 1973. This has antibiotic and antimycotic properties and causes malformation in mice and in higher plants.

$$\rightarrow D-Cys-D-Cys-L-Val-D-Leu-L-Ile$$
(109)  
1 2 3 4 5

The sequence isomer of the natural product [Ile³, Val⁵]-malformin 110 was synthesized as allomalformin by Bodanszky et al. ^{235 b)} in 1982.

$$\rightarrow D$$
-Cys-D-Cys-L-Ile-D-Leu-L-Val (110)

The structure of the toxic octapeptide lophyrotomin 111 ( $LD_{100} = 2 \text{ mg/kg}$ ), isolated from Australian sawfly larvae (*Lophyrotoma interrupta*), was established by D. H. Williams et al. ²³⁶) in 1983.

$$C_6H_5CO-(D)-Ala-(D)-Phe-(L)-Val-(L)-Ile-(D)-Asp-(L)-Asp-(D)-Glu-(L)-Gln$$
 (111)

149

Lophyrotomin leads to fatal intoxications in cattle and sheep, with muscle twitching, refusal of food, and acute liver failure.

## **13 Sweet and Bitter Peptides**

During the synthesis of a gastrin tetrapeptide Schlatter et al. ²³⁷) made the incidental but extremely interesting discovery that the dipeptide aspartylphenylalanine methyl ester *112* (aspartame) is 100–200 times as sweet as sucrose.

$$\begin{array}{c}
CH_2 - COOH \\
\downarrow \\
H_2N - CH - CO - NH - CH - COOH_3 \\
(L) & \downarrow \\
CH_2 \\
CH_2 \\
\hline
\end{array}$$
(112)

Aspartame is marketed very successfully as a sweetener in the USA.

The 1,1-diaminoalkane derivatives such as 113, developed as a new class of sweet peptides by Goodman et al.²³⁸⁾ on the basis of the retro-inverso peptide modification ²⁰⁾, are 800–1000 times as sweet as sucrose.

$$\begin{array}{c} CH_2 - COOH & CH_3 & H_3C & CH_3 \\ I & I \\ H_2N - CH - CO - NH - CH - NH - CO \\ H_3C & CH_3 \end{array}$$
(113)

The bitter peptide BPI a 114, isolated by Okai et al.²³⁹⁾ from casein hydrolysates, and delicious tasting peptides from fish proteins, will undoubtedly achieve practical importance in the food industry.

# **14 Final Remarks**

The purpose of this review was to show the variety of peptides synthesized or isolated from natural products in the last 15 years and to classify the biologically active peptides into the various categories, according to how and where they are formed, their transport, and their general cellular activity. The number of biologically active peptides has risen sharply in the last few years owing to the improvement in the preparative methods in conventional peptide synthesis — at present about 130 different coupling methods are known — and in the solid-phase peptide synthesis.

New interesting peptide hormones have also been found in human, animal, and vegetable organs owing to the improvements in methods of analysis and separation. For example, the development of radioimmunoassay first paved the way for the investigation of neuropeptides. Thus, R. Guillemin and A. V. Schally were able to

establish the structure and to synthesize the first hypothalamic hormones. The discovery of a stereospecific opiate receptor in the nervous system initiated an intensive search for endogenous substrates for this receptor (endorphins, dynorphins). We are probably only at the beginning of the development of neuropeptides (e.g. CNS-active peptides), whose end is still not in sight.

For some years DNA-recombination has also been available for peptide synthesis. Genetic engineering will enable peptides of higher molecular weight, and those hardly accessible up to now, to be obtained in larger amounts.

The predominantly clinical use of peptide pharmaceuticals and their applications in diagnostics have so far kept their market potential within narrow limits. Since the discovery of angiotensin II antagonists (e.g. saralasin) and ACE inhibitors (e.g. captopril), however, peptide chemistry has gained in importance within the context of drug research. Broader introduction of active peptide substances in pharmacotherapy will have to await the development of peptide drugs suitable for administration by the oral route.

## **15** Acknowledgements

Special thanks are due to Ilona Gabriele Deus for typing the manuscript and to Herr E. Müller, IN-AN Engineering Graphics Department of BAYER AG, Leverkusen, for the drawings.

# **16 References**

- 1. Wünsch, E.: Synthese von Peptiden, Houben-Weyl, Bd. 15/1 und 2, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart 1974
- a) Schröder, E., Lübke, K.: The Peptides I, Academic Press, New York 1965
   b) Schröder, E., Lübke, K., Kloss, G.: Chemie und Biochemie der Aminosäuren, Peptide und
- Proteine I und II, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart 1975
- Gross, E., Meienhofer, J.: The Peptides, Vol. 1, 2, 3, Academic Press, New York 1979, 1980, 1981
   Finn, F. M., Hofmann, K.: The Synthesis of Peptides by Solution Methods with Emphasis on Peptide Hormones, in: The Proteins, Vol. II (ed. Neurath, H., Hill, R. L.), p. 105, Academic Press, New York 1976
- 5. Jakubke, H.-D., Jeschkeit, H.: Aminosäuren, Peptide, Proteine, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim 1982
- Bláha, K.: Linear Peptides: Synthetic Methods; Wade, R.: Linear Peptides: Synthesis and Structure – Activity Relationships; Wieland, T., Birr, C.: Homodetic Cyclic Peptides, in: Amino Acids, Peptides and Related Compounds, International Review of Science, Vol. 6 (ed. Rydon, H. N.), p. 73, 97, 183, Butterworths, London 1976
- Stammer, C. H.: Procedures for Peptide Synthesis; Wade, R.: New Syntheses of Naturally Occurring Peptides and Analogues, in: Amino Acids, Peptides and Related Compounds, MTP International Review of Science, Vol. 6 (ed. Hey, D. H., John, D. I.) p. 135, 161, Butterworths, London 1976
- 8. a) Bodanszky, M.: Principles of Peptide Synthesis, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1984
- b) Bodanzsky, M., Bodanzsky, A.: The Practice of Peptide Synthesis, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1982
- 9. a) Erickson, B. W., Merrifield, R. B.: Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis, in The Proteins, Vol. II (ed. Neurath, H., Hill, R. L.), p. 255, Academic Press, New York 1976
  - b) Barany, G., Merrifield, R. B.: Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis, in: The Peptides, Vol. 2 (ed. Gross, E., Meienhofer, J.) p. 1, Academic Press, New York 1980

- 10. Birr, C.: Aspects of the Merrifield Peptide Synthesis, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1978
- 11. a) Pillai, V. N. R., Mutter, M.: New Perspectives in Polymer-Supported Peptide Synthesis, in: Topics in Current Chemistry (ed. Boschke, F. L.), p. 119, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1982
  - b) Atherton, E., Logan, C. J., Sheppard, R. C.: J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1981, 538 c) Sheppard, R. C.: Chemistry in Britain 19, 402 (1983)
- 12. a) Mutter, M., Hagenmaier, H., Bayer, E.: Angew. Chemie 83, 883 (1971)
- b) Mutter, M., Bayer, E.: The Liquid-Phase Method for Peptide Synthesis, in: The Peptides, Vol. 2 (ed. Gross, E., Meienhofer, J.), p. 285, Academic Press, New York 1980
- 13. Jakubke, H.-D., Kuhl, P., Könnecke, A.: Angew. Chem. 97, 79 (1985)
- 14. Kuhl, P., Zapevalova, N. P., Könnecke, A., Jakubke, H.-D.: Monatsh. Chem. 114, 343 (1983)
- 15. Kullmann, W.: J. Org. Chem. 47, 5300 (1982)
- 16. Chaiken, I. M., Komoriya, A., Ohno, M., Widmer, F.: Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 7, 385 (1982)
- 17. Davies, J. E., Gassen, H. G.: Angew. Chem. 95, 26 (1983)
- 18. Wengenmayer, F.: Angew. Chem. 95, 874 (1983)
- 19. Spatola, A. F.: Peptide Backbone Modifications, in: Chemistry and Biochemistry of Amino Acids, Peptides and Proteins, Vol. 7 (ed. Weinstein, B.), p. 267, Dekker, New York 1983
- 20. Goodmann, M., Chorev, M.: The Synthesis and Conformational Analysis of Retro-Inverso-Analogues of Biologically Active Molecules, in Perspectives in Peptide Chemistry (ed. Eberle, A., Geiger, R., Wieland, T.), p. 283, S. Karger, Basel 1981
- 21. Schröder, E., Rufer, C., Schmiechen, R.: Aminosäure-, Peptid- und Proteohormone, in: Pharmazeutische Chemie, p. 468, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart 1982
- 22. Greven, H. M., de Wied, D.: Neuropeptides and Behaviour, in: Perspectives in Peptide Chemistry (ed. Eberle, A., Geiger, R., Wieland, T.), p. 356, S. Karger, Basel 1981
- 23. Tregear, G. W., Niall, H. D., Potts, J. T., Leemann, S. E., Chang, M. M.: Nature, New Biol. 232, 87 (1971)
- 24. Niedrich, H., Oehme, P., Mehlis, B., Bienert, M., Bergmann, J., Berger, H.: Substance P-Structural Features and Regulatory Functions, in: Perspectives in Peptide Chemistry (ed. Eberle, A., Geiger, R., Wieland, T.), p. 344, S. Karger, Basel 1981
- 25. Caranikas, S., Mizrahi, J., Escher, E., Regoli, D.: J. Med. Chem. 25, 1313 (1982)
- 26. Chorev, M., Rubini, E., Gilon, C., Wormser, U., Selinger, Z.: J. Med. Chem. 26, 129 (1983) 27. Pessi, A., Pinori, M., Verdini, A. S., Viscomi, G. C.: J. Chem. Soc. Commun. 1983, 195
- 28. Munekata, E., Okada, M., Kimura, S., Sugita, Y., Kanazawa, I., Matsudo, T., Otsuka, M.: Chem. Lett. 1984, 1013
- 29. a) Carraway, R., Leeman, S. E.: J. Biol. Chem. 248, 6854 (1973) b) Carraway, R., Leeman, S. E.: ibid. 250, 1907 (1975)
- 30. St-Pierre, S., Lalonde, J.-M., Gendreau, M., Quirion, R., Regoli, D., Rioux, F.: J. Med. Chem. 24, 370 (1981)
- 31. Hughes, J., Smith, T. W., Kosterlitz, H. W., Fothergill, L. A., Morgan, B. A., Morris, H. R.: Natur 258, 577 (1975)
- 32. Blake, J., Tseng, L.-F., Chang, W.-C., Li, C. H.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 11, 323 (1978)
- 33. Yamashiro, D., Westphal, M., Holy, K., Li, C. H.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 21, 389 (1983)
- 34. Shimohiagashi, Y., Stammer, C. H.: J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1983, 803
- 35. Deeks, T., Crooks, P. A., Waigh, R. D.: J. Med. Chem. 26, 762 (1983)
- 36. Fauchère, J.-L., Pfenninger, S., Do, K. Q., Lemieux, C., Schiller, P. W.: Helv. Chim. Acta 66, 1053 (1983)
- 37. Shimohiagashi, Y., Dunning, jr. J. W., Kolar, A. J., Stammer, C. H.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 21, 202 (1983)
- 38. Pless, J., Bauer, W., Cardinaux, F., Closse, A., Hauser, D., Huguenin, R., Roemer, D., Buescher, H.-H., Hill, R. C.: Helv. Chim. Acta 62, 398 (1979)
- 39. Peterman, C., Fauchère, J.-L.: Helv. Chim. Acta 66, 1513 (1983)
- 40. Richman, S. J., Goodman, M., Naguyen, T. M.-D., Schiller, P. W.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 25, 648 (1985)
- 41. Gesellchen, P. D., Shuman, R. T.: Brit.-Pat. 2065 132 (1980), E. Lilly
- 42. Shuman, R. T.: Brit.-Pat. 2065 135 (1981), E. Lilly
- 43. Mazur, R. H., Tyner, D. A., Hallinan, E. A.: EP 0 081 838 (1982), G. D. Searle & Co.
- 44. Morley, J. S.: EP 0 083 849 (1982), ICI Ltd.

- 45. a) Wilkinson, S., Wrigglesworth, R., Hardy, G. W.: EP 0 075 334 (1982), The Wellcome Foundation Ltd.
  - b) Schwartz, J.-C., Costentin, J., Lecomte, J.-M.: Trends in Pharmacological Sciences 6 (12), 472 (1985)
- 46. a) Llorens, C., Gilles, G., Swerts, J.-P., Perdrisot, R., Fournie-Zaluski, M.-C., Schwartz, J.-C., Roques, B. P.: Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 96, 1710 (1980)
  b) Evans, D. A., Mathre, D. J.: J. Org. Chem. 50, 1830 (1985)
- 47. Benovitz, D. E., Spatola, A. F.: Peptides 6, 648 (1985)
- 48. Drugs of the Future 7, 803 (1982)
- 49. Chang, K.-J., Killian, A., Hazum, E., Cuatrecasas, P.: Science 212, 75 (1981)
- Goldstein, A., Tachibana, S., Lowney, L. I., Hunkapiller, M., Hood, L.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76, 6666 (1979)
- Li, C. H., Yamashiro, D., Ferrara, P., Tseng, L.-F., Way, E. L.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 21, 331 (1983)
- 52. Montecucchi, P. C., DeCastiglione, R., Erspamer, V.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 17, 316 (1981)
- 53. Yamashiro, D., Nicolas, P., Li, C. H.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 21, 219 (1983)
- 54. Salvadori, S., Marastoni, M., Balboni, G., Sarto, G. P., Tomatis, R.: J. Med. Chem. 28, 769 (1985)
- 55. Takagi, H., Shiomi, H., Ueda, H., Amano, H.: Nature 282, 410 (1979)
- 56. Takagi, H., Shiomi, H., Fukui, K., Hayashi, K., Kiso, Y., Kitagawa, K.: Life Sci. 31, 1733 (1982)
- 57. a) Kitagawa, K., Kawai, N., Kiso, Y., Akita, T., Fukui, K., Amano, H., Takagi, H.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 31, 2349 (1983)
  - b) Kitagawa, K., Kawai, N., Kiyama, S., Akita, T., Kiso, Y., Ueda, H., Ming, G., Takagi, H.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 33, 377 (1985)
- 58. Schonenberger, G. A., Monnier, M.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 1282 (1977)
- Mikhaleva, I., Sargsyan, A., Ivanov, V.: Proc. of the 17th Eur. Peptide Symp., p. 563, Prague 1982
   Schmidt, G.: Pharmazie in unserer Zeit 1, 181 (1972)
- 61. Bøler, J., Enzmann, F., Folkers, K., Bowers, C. Y., Schally, A. V.: Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 37, 705 (1969)
- Burgus, R., Dunn, T. F., Desiderio, D., Ward, D., Vale, W., Guillemin, R.: Nature 226, 321 (1970)
- 63. Rivier, J., Vale, W., Monahan, M., Ling, N., Burgus, R.: J. Med. Chem. 15, 479 (1972)
- 64. a) Wissmann, H., König, W., Geiger, R.: DE 2 033 600 (1972), Hoechst AG
  b) Folkers, K., Enzmann, F. H.: US-Pat. 3 746 697 (1973) Austin, USA
- 65. Fujino, M., Nishimura, O., Nagawa, Y., Fukuda, N.: DOS 2 712 086 (1977), Takeda
- 66. Drugs of the Future 7, 167 (1982)
- Schally, A. W., Arimura, A., Baba, Y., Nair, R. M. G., Matsuo, H., Redding, T. W., Debeljuk, L., White, W. F.: Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 43, 393 (1971)
- 68. a) König, W., Geiger, R., Sandow, J. K.: EP 0 041 243 (1980), Hoechst AG
  b) König, W., Teetz, V., Jäger, G., Geiger, R.: DE 2 905 502 (1980), Hoechst AG
- 69. König, W., Geiger, R., Sandow, J.: DOS 2 438 350 (1974), Hoechst AG
- 70. a) Karcher, H. L.: Selecta 43, 3548 (1984)
- b) Drugs of the Future 7, 565 (1982) ibid. 4, 173 (1979)
- 71. a) Coy, D. H., Vilchez-Martinez, J. A., Coy, E. J., Schally, A. V.: J. Med. Chem. 19, 423 (1976)
  b) Gendrich, R. L., Rippel, R. H., Seely, J. H.: US-Pat. 4 005 063 (1977), Abbott Lab., USA
  c) Drugs of Today, Vol. 21, 393 (1985)
- 72. Nestor, J. J., Jones, G. H., Vickery, B. H.: DE 3 021 736 (1980), Syntex, USA
- 73. Nutt, R. F.: EP 0 070 021 (1982), Merck, USA
- 74. Nutt, R. F., Veber, D. F., Curley, P. E., Saperstein, R., Hirschmann, R.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 21, 66 (1983)
- 75. a) Veber, D. F., Holly, F. W., Strachan, R. G., Paleveda, W. J., Nutt, R. F., Hirschmann, R.: US-Pat. 4 161 521 (1979), Merck, USA
- b) Sarantakis, D.: US-Pat. 4 093 609 (1978), American Home
- 76. Kamber, B.: EP 0 083 305 (1982), Ciba-Geigy
- 77. Drugs of the Future 9, 342 (1984)
- 78. Drugs of the Future 6, 491 (1981)
- 79. a) Veber, D. F., Nutt, R. F.: US-Pat. 4 191 754 (1980), Merck, USA

- b) Freidinger, R. M., Veber, D. F.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 6129 (1979)
- c) Freidinger, R. M., Colton, C. D., Perlow, D. S., Whitter, W. L., Paleveda, W. J., Veber, D. F.: Proc. of the 8th Am. Peptide Symp., p. 349, Tucson 1983
- 80. a) Wynants, C., van Binst, G., Loosli, H. R.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 25, 608 (1985)
  b) Lamberts, S. W. J., Uitterlinden, P., Verschoor, L., van Dongen, K. J., del Pozo, E.: New Engl. J. Med. 313, 1576 (1985)
- 81. Vale, W., Spies, J., Rivier, C., Rivier, J.: Science 213, 1394 (1981)
- 82. Morell, J. L., Brown, J. H.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 26, 49 (1985)
- Schally, A. V., Redding, T. W., Chang, R. C. C., Arimura, A., Huang, W. Y., Coy, D. H., Meyers, C. A., Pedroza, E., Kastin, A. J., Turkelson, C., in: Polypeptide Hormones (ed. Beers, R. F., Bassett, E. G.), p. 169, Ravens Press, New York 1980
- Guillemin, R., Brazeau, P., Böhlen, P., Esch, F., Ling, N., Wehrenberg, W. B.: Science 218, 585 (1982)
- 85. Rivier, J., Spiess, J., Thorner, M., Vale, W.: Nature 300, 276 (1982)
- 86. a) Fujii, N., Shimokura, M., Nomizu, M., Yajima, H., Shono, F., Tsuda, M., Yoshitake, A.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 32, 520 (1984)
  - b) Fujii, N., Lee, W., Shimoruka, M., Yajima, H.: ibid. 32, 739 (1984)
- 87. a) Li, C. H., Yamashiro, D.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 7608 (1970)
  b) Blake, J., Li, C. H.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 7, 495 (1975)
  c) Blake, J., Li, C. H.: ibid. 11, 323 (1978)
- 88. Kappeler, H., Schwyzer, R.: Helv. Chim. Acta 44, 1136 (1961)
- 89. Schröder, E., Lübke, K.: The Peptides II, Academic Press, New York 1966
- 90. Schwyzer, R., Sieber, P.: Helv. Chim. Acta 49, 134 (1963)
- 91. a) du Vigneaud, V., Ressler, C., Swan, J. M., Roberts, C. W., Katsoyannis, P. G., Gordon, S.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 4879 (1953)
- b) Katsoyannis, P. G., Gish, D. T., du Vigneaud, V.: ibid. 79, 4516 (1957)
  92. Zaoral, M., Vávra, I., Machova, A., Sorm, F.: DOS 1 643 273 (1967), Czeskoslovenská akademie
- Prag
- 93. Vinick, F. J., Jung, S.: J. Org. Chem. 48, 392 (1983)
- 94. Josefsson, L.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 21, 459 (1983)
- 95. Ferrero, P., Guidotti, A., Conti-Tronconi, B., Costa, E., Neuropharmacology 23, 1359 (1984)
- 96. Jorpes, J. E., Mutt, V.: Acta Chem. Scand. 15, 1790 (1961)
- 97. Bodanszky, M., Ondetti, M. A., Levine, S. D., Williams, N. J.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 6753 (1967)
- Ondetti, M. A., Narayanan, V. L., von Saltza, M., Sheehan, J. T., Sabo, E. F., Bodanszky, M.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 4711 (1968)
- 99. Wünsch, E., Wendlberger, G.: Chem. Ber. 105, 2508 (1972)
- 100. Uchiyama, M., Sato, T., Yoshino, H., Tsuchiya, Y., Tsuda, T., Konishi, M., Tsujii, M., Hisatake, Y., Koiwa, A.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 33, 1990 (1985)
- 101. Staub, A., Sinn, L. G., Behrens, O. K.: Science 117, 628 (1953)
- 102. Bromer, W. W., Sinn, L. G., Staub, A., Behrens, O. K.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79, 2798 (1957)
- 103. a) Wünsch, E.: Z. Naturforschg. 22b, 1269 (1967)
- b) Wünsch, E., Zwick, A., Fontana, A.: Chem. Ber. 101, 326 (1968)
  104. Merrifield, R. B., Mojsov, S.: The Chemical Synthesis of Glucagon, in: Glucagon I, Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, Vol. 66/I (ed. Lefèbvre, P. J.), p. 23, Springer Verlag, Berlin
- 1983
- 105. Bodanszky, M., Klausner, Y. S., Said, S. I.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 70, 382 (1973)
- 106. a) Tatemoto, K.: Peptides 5, 151 (1984)
  b) Nokihara, K., Yanaihara, C., Iguchi, K., Fukuta, S., Tanaka, M., Mochizuki, T., Tatemoto, K., Lundberg, J. M., Mutt, V., Yanaihara, N.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 7909 (1984)
- 107. Moroder, L., Göhring, W., Thamm, P., Wünsch, E., Tatemoto, K., Mutt, V., Bataille, D.: Z. Naturforschg. 37b, 772 (1982)
- 108. Brown, J. C., Dryburgh, J. R.: Can. J. Biochem. 49, 867 (1971)
- 109. Gregory, H., Hardy, P. M., Jones, D. S., Kenner, G. W., Sheppard, R. C.: Nature 204, 931 (1964)
- a) Gregory, H., Laird, A. H., Morley, J. S., Smith, J. M.: J. Chem. Soc. (C) 1968, 552
   b) Gregory, H., Morley, J. S.: ibid. 1968, 910
  - c) Morley, J. S.: ibid. 1969, 809

d) Briggs, M. T., Morley, J. S.: J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1979, 2138

- 111. a) Brown, E., Sheppard, R. C., Williams, B. J.: J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1983, 1161 b) ibid. 1983, 75
- 112. Tam, J. P., Merrifield, R. B.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 26, 262 (1985)
- 113. Mutt, V., Jorpes, J. E.: Eur. J. Biochem. 6, 156 (1968)
- 114. Wünsch, E., Moroder, L., Wilschowitz, L., Göhring, W., Scharf, R., Gardner, J. D.: Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 362, 143 (1981)
- 115. Moroder, L., Wilschowitz, L., Gemeiner, M., Göhring, W., Knof, S., Scharf, R., Thamm, P., Gardner, J. D., Solomon, T. E., Wünsch, E.: Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 362, 929 (1981)
- 116. Bodanszky, M., Natarajan, S., Hahne, W., Gardner, J. D.: J. Med. Chem. 20, 1047 (1977)
- 117. a) Martinez, J., Bali, J.-P., Magous, R., Laur, J., Lignon, M.-F., Briet, C., Nisato, D., Castro, B.: J. Med. Chem. 28, 273 (1985)

- 118. Yajima, H., Futaki, S., Fujii, N., Akaji, K., Funakoshi, S., Sakurai, M., Katakura, S., Inoue, K., Hosotani, R., Tobe, T., Segawa, T., Inoue, A., Tatemoto, K., Mutt, V.: J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1985, 877
- 119. a) Märki, W., Spiess, J., Taché, Y., Brown, M., Rivier, J. E.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 3178 (1981)
  b) Minamino, N., Kangawa, K., Hisayuki, M.: Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 119, 14 (1984)
  c) Fujii, N., Futaki, S., Akaji, K., Yajima, H., Inoue, A., Segawa, T.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 33, 3731 (1985)
- 120. Rivier, J. E., Brown, M. R.: Biochemistry 17, 1766 (1978)
- 121. Bernardi, L., Bosisio, G., DeCastiglione, R., Goffredo, O.: Experientia 23, 700 (1967)
- 122. Cuttitta, F., Carney, D. N., Mulshine, J., Moody, T. W., Fedorko, J., Fischler, A., Minna, J. D.: Nature 316, 823 (1985)
- 123. Brown, J. C., Cook, M. A., Dryburgh, J. R.: Can. J. Biochem. 51, 533 (1973)
- 124. Wünsch, E., Wendelberger, G., Deimer, K.-H.: Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 357, 447 (1976)
- 125. Geiger, R.: Chemiker-Ztg. 100, 111 (1976)
- 126. Meienhofer, J., Schnabel, E., Bremer, H., Brinkhoff, O., Zabel, R., Sroka, W., Klostermeyer, H., Brandenburg, D., Okuda, T., Zahn, H.: Z. Naturforschg. 18b, 1120 (1963)
- 127. Ullrich, A., Bell, J. R., Chen, E. Y., Herrera, R., Petruzzelli, L. M., Dull, T. J., Gray, A., Coussens, L., Liao, Y.-C., Tsubokawa, M., Mason, A., Seeburg, P. H., Grunfeld, C., Rosen, O. M., Ramachandran, J.: Nature 313, 756 (1985)
- 128. Sieber, P., Kamber, B., Hartmann, A., Jöhl, A., Riniker, B., Rittel, W.: Helv. Chim. Acta 57, 2617 (1974)
- 129. a) Kovacevic, S., Fayerman, J. T., Miller, J. R., Richardson, M. A.: Brit. Pat. 2 133 798 (1983), E. Lilly
  - b) Kovacevic, S., Miller, J. R., Hsiung, H. M.: Brit. Pat. 2 133 797 (1983), E. Lilly
- 130. a) Markussen, J.: BE 887.780 (1981), Novo Industri
  b) Markussen, J.: DE 3 229.674 (1982), Novo Industri
- 131. Tischio, J. P., Patrick, J. E., Weintraub, H. S., Chasin, M., Goldstein, G.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 14, 479 (1979)
- 132. Audhya, T., Goldstein, G.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 22, 187 (1983)
- 133. König, W., Geiger, R., Obermeier, R., Müllner, H.: DE 3 146 598 (1981), Hoechst AG
- 134. Goldstein, A. L., Low, T. L. K., McAdoo, M., McClure, J., Thurman, G. B., Rossio, J., Lai, C.-Y., Chang, D., Wang, S.-S., Harvey, C., Ramel, A. H., Meienhofer, J.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 725 (1977)
- 135. Wang, S. S., Makofske, R., Bach, A., Merrifield, R. B.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 15, 1 (1980)
- 136. Mokotoff, M., Patchornik, A.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 21, 145 (1983)
- 137. Wang, S. S., Kulesha, I. D., Winter, D. P.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 253 (1979)
- 138. Felix, A. M., Heimer, E. P., Wang, C.-T., Lambros, T. J., Swistok, J., Roszkowski, M., Ahmad, M., Confalone, D., Scott, J. W., Parker, D., Meienhofer, J., Trzeciak, A., Gillessen, D.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 26, 130 (1985)
- 139. Bach, J. F., Dardenne, M., Pleau, J.-M., Rosa, J.: Nature 266, 55 (1977)
- 140. a) Abiko, T., Kumikawa, M., Dazai, S., Sekino, H., Higuchi, H.: Chem. Pharm. Bull. 27, 2207 (1979)
  - b) Blanot, D., Martinez, J., Anger, G., Bricas, E.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 14, 41 (1979)

b) Martinez, J., Bali, J.-P., Rodriguez, M., Castro, B., Magous, R., Laur, J., Lignon, M.-F.: J. Med. Chem. 28, 1874 (1985)

- c) Gyotoku, J., Imaizumi, A., Terada, S., Kimoto, E.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 21, 135 (1983)
- 141. Najjar, V. A., Nishioka, K.: Nature 228, 672 (1970)
- 142. Nishioka, K., Amoscato, A. A., Babcock, G. F., Banks, R. A., Phillips, J. H.: Cancer Investigation 2, 39 (1984)
- 143. Wenger, R. M.: Angew. Chem. 97, 88 (1985)
- 144. Traber, R., Loosli, H.-R., Hofmann, H., Kuhn, M., von Wartburg, A.: Helv. Chim. Acta 65, 1655 (1982)
- 145. Petcher, T. J., Weber, H. P., Rüegger, A.: Helv. Chim. Acta 59, 1480 (1976)
- 146. Wenger, R. M.: Helv. Chim. Acta 66, 2672 (1983)
- 147. Wenger, R. M.: Helv. Chim. Acta 67, 502 (1984)
- 148. Baschang, G., Tarcsay, L., Hartmann, A., Stanek, J.: EP 0 027 258 (1980), Ciba-Geigy
- 149. Wiesmüller, K.-H., Bessler, W., Jung, G.: Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 364, 593 (1983)
- 150. Královec, J.: Drugs of the Future 8, 615 (1983)
- 151. Kunz, H., Kauth, H.: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1983, 337; ibid. 1983, 360
- 152. Krueger, J. M., Pappenheimer, J. R., Karnovsky, M. L.: J. Biol. Chem. 257, 1664 (1982)
- 153. Krueger, J. M., Walter, J., Karnovsky, M. L., Chedid, L., Choay, J. P., Lefrancier, P., Lederer, E.: J. Exp. Med. 159, 68 (1984)
- 154. Krueger, J. M., Kornovsky, M. L., Martin, S. A., Pappenheimer, J. R., Walter, J., Biemann, K.: J. Biol. Chem. 259, 12659 (1984)
- Lerner, R. A., Green, N., Alexander, H., Liu, F.-T., Sutcliffe, J. G., Shinnick, T. M.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 3403 (1981)
- 156. Audibert, F., Jolivet, M., Chedid, L., Alouf, J. E., Boquet, P., Rivaille, P., Siffert, O.: Nature 289, 593 (1981)
- 157. Emini, E. A., Jameson, B. A., Wimmer, E.: Nature 304, 699 (1983)
- Hemmi, K., Aratani, M., Takeno, H., Okada, S., Miyazaki, Y., Nakaguchi, O., Kitaura, Y., Hashimoto, M.: J. Antibiot. 35, 1300 (1982)
- 159. a) Kitaura, Y., Nakaguchi, O., Hemmi, K., Aratani, M., Takeno, H., Okada, S., Tanaka, H., Hashimoto, M.: EP 0 027 260 (1980), Fujisawa Pharmaceutical
  b) Kitaura, Y., Nakaguchi, O., Hemmi, K., Yonishi, S., Takeno, H., Okada, S., Hashimoto, M.: EP 0 057 419 (1982), Fujisawa Pharmaceutical
- 160. Izumi, S., Nakahara, K., Gotoh, T., Hashimoto, S., Kino, T., Okuhara, M., Aoki, H., Imanaka, H.: J. Antibiot. 36, 566 (1983)
- 161. Mine, Y., Yokota, Y., Wakai, Y., Fukuda, S., Nishida, M., Goto, S., Kuwahara, S.: J. Antibiot. 36, 1045 (1983)
- 162. Mine, Y., Watanabe, Y., Tawara, S., Yokota, Y., Nishida, M., Goto, S., Kuwahara, S.: J. Antibiot. 36, 1059 (1983)
- 163. Closse, A., Huguenin, R.: Helv. Chim. Acta 57, 553 (1974)
- 164. a) Rich, D. H., Jasensky, R. D.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 5413 (1979)
  b) Pastuszak, J., Gardner, J. H., Singh, J., Rich, D. H.: J. Org. Chem. 47, 2982 (1982)
  c) Kawai, M., Jasensky, R. D., Rich, D. H.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 4456 (1983)
- 165. Schmidt, U., Beuttler, T., Lieberknecht, A., Griesser, H., Tetrahedron Lett. 24, 3573 (1983)
- 166. Chakravarty, P. K., Carl, P. L., Weber, M. J., Katzenellenbogen, J. A.: J. Med. Chem. 26, 633 (1983)
- 167. Umezawa, H., Aoyagi, T., Shirai, T., Nishizawa, R., Suzuki, M., Saino, T.: DOS 2 947 140 (1979), Nippon Kayaku
- 168. Drugs of the Future 6, 604 (1981)
- 169. Cushman, D. W., Ondetti, M. A.: Prog. Med. Chem. 17, 41 (1980)
- 170. Ondetti, M. A., Cushman, D. W.: J. Med. Chem. 24, 355 (1981)
- 171. Khosla, M. C., Hall, M. M., Smeby, R. R., Bumpus, F. M.: J. Med. Chem. 17, 431 (1974)
- 172. Drugs of the Future 6, 542 (1981)
- 173. Bumpus, F. M., Khosla, M. C., Smeby, R. R.: DOS 2 520 106 (1975), Abbott Laboratories
- 174. Drugs of the Future 6, 634 (1981)
- 175. Drugs of the Future 6, 527 (1981)
- 176. Geiger, R.: Arzneim.-Forsch./Drug Res. 34 (II), 1386 (1984)
- 177. Patchett, A. A., Harris, E., Tristam, E. W., Wyvratt, M. J., Wu, M. T., Taub, D., Peterson, E. R., Ikeler, T. J., ten Broeke, J., Payne, L. G., Ondeyka, D. L., Thorsett, E. D., Greenlee, W. J., Lohr, N. S., Hoffsommer, R. D., Joshua, H., Ruyle, W. V., Rothrock, J. W., Aster, S. D., May-

cock, A. L., Robinson, F. M., Hirschmann, R., Sweet, C. S., Ulm, E. H., Gross, D. M., Vassil, T. C., Stone, C. A.: Nature 288, 280 (1980)

- 178. Teetz, V., Geiger, R., Henning, R., Urbach, H.: Arzneim.-Forsch./Drug Res. 34 (II) 1399 (1984)
- 179. Greenlee, W. J., Allibone, P. L., Perlow, D. S., Patchett, A. A., Ulm, E. H., Vassil, T. C.: J. Med. Chem. 28, 434 (1985)
- 180. Gruenfeld, N., Stanton, J. L., Yuan, A. M., Ebetino, F. H., Browne, L. J., Gude, C., Huebner, C. F.: J. Med. Chem. 26, 1277 (1983)
- 181. Ksander, G. M., Yan, A. M., Diefenbacher, C. G., Stanton, J. L.: J. Med. Chem. 28, 1606 (1985)
- 182. Attwood, M. R., Hassall, C. H., Lambert, R. W., Lawton, G. R.: DOS 3 317 290 (1983), Hoffmann-La Roche, Schweiz
- 183. Skeggs, L. T., Lentz, K. E., Kahn, J. R., Hochstrasser, H.: J. Exp. Med. 128, 13 (1968)
- 184. a) Szelke, M., Leckie, B., Hallett, A., Jones, D. M., Sueiras, J., Atrash, B., Lever, A. F.: Nature 299, 555 (1982)
  - b) Leckie, B., Szelke, M., Hallet, A., Hughes, M., Lever, A. F., McIntyre, G., Morton, J. J., Tree, M.: Clin. Exp. Hypertens. A 5, 1221 (1983)
  - c) Haber, E.: Clin. Exp. Hypertens. A 5, 1193 (1983)
- 185. Boger, J., Lohr, N. S., Ulm, E. H., Poe, M., Blaine, E. H., Fanelli, G. M., Lin, T.-Y., Payne, L. S., Schorn, T. W., La Mont, B. I., Vassil, T. C., Stabilito, I. I., Veber, D. F., Rich, D. H., Bopari, A. S.: Nature 303, 81 (1983)
- 186. Rittle, K. E., Homnick, C. F., Ponticello, G. S., Evans, B. E.: J. Org. Chem. 47, 3016 (1982)
- 187. a) Veber, D. F., Boger, J.: EP 0 077 028 (1982), Merck, USA
- b) Veber, D. F., Rich, D. H.: EP 0 077 029 (1982), Merck, USA
- 188. Boger, J.: Proc. of the 8th Am. Peptide Symp., p. 569, Tucson 1983
- 189. a) Rich, D. H., Salituro, F. G., Holladay, M. W.: Proc. of the 8th Am. Peptide Symp., p. 139, 511, Tucson 1983
  - b) Rich, D. H., Sun, E. T. O.: J. Med. Chem. 23, 27 (1980)
  - c) Rich, D. H.: ibid. 28, 263 (1985)
- 190. a) Bock, M. G., Boger, J. S., Brady, S. F. Veber, D. F.: EP 0 157 409 (1985), Merck, USA
  - b) Boger, J. S.: EP 0 156 318 (1985), EP 0 156 319 (1985) and EP 0 156 320 (1985), Merck, USA
  - c) Bock, M. G., Dipardo, R. M., Boger, J. S.: EP 0 156 321 (1985), Merck, USA
  - d) Boger, J. S., Bock, M. G.: EP 0 156 322 (1985), Merck, USA
  - e) Boger, J., Payne, L. S., Perlow, D. S., Lohr, N. S., Poe, M., Blaine, E. H., Ulm, E. H., Schorn, T. W., LaMont, B. I., Lin, T.-Y., Kawai, M., Rich, D. H., Veber, D. F.: J. Med. Chem. 28, 1779 (1985)
- 191. Boissonas, R. A., Guttmann, St., Jaquenoud, P.-A.: Helv. Chim. Acta 43, 1349 (1960); ibid. 43, 1481 (1960)
- 192. a) Bertaccini, G.: Pharmacol. Rev. 28, 127 (1976)
  b) Miller, R. J.: J. Med. Chem. 27, 1239 (1984)
- 193. Sandrin, E., Boissonas, R. A.: Experientia 18, 59 (1962)
- 194. Lübke, K., Schröder, E., Schmiechen, R., Gibian, H.: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 679, 195 (1964)
- 195. a) Chillemi, F., Bernardi, L., Bosisio, G.: Gazz. Chim. Ital. 94, 891 (1964)
  b) Bernardi, L., Bosisio, G., Chillemi, F., DeCaro, G., DeCastiglione, R., Erspamer, V., Glaesser, A., Goffredo, O.: Experientia 20, 306 (1964)
- c) Buck, S. H., Burcher, E.: Trends in Pharmacological Sciences 7, 65 (1986)
- 196. Voelter, W., Zech, K., Jung, G., Sewing, K.-F.: Tetrahedron 28, 2649 (1972)
- 197. Chillemi, F.: Gazz. Chim. Ital. 95, 402 (1965)
- 198. Voelter, W., Zech, K., Jung, G., Sewing, K.-F.: Tetrahedron 28, 5963 (1972)
- 199. Anastasi, A.: Experientia 33, 857 (1977)
- 200. Bernardi, L., Bosisio, G., DeCastiglione, R.: Experientia 23, 700 (1967)
- 201. Zetler, G.: DE 3 138 233 (1981), Farmitalia-Carlo Erba
- 202. Flynn, T. G., de Bold, M. L., de Bold, A. J.: Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 117, 859 (1983)
- 203. a) Kangawa, K., Matsuo H., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 118, 131 (1984)
  b) Kangawa, K., Fukada, A., Matsuo, H.: Nature 313, 397 (1985)
- 204. Kangawa, K., Tawaragi, Y., Oikawa, S., Mizuno, A., Sakuragawa, Y., Nakazato, H., Fukuda, A., Minamino, N., Matsuo, H.: Nature 312, 152 (1984)
- 205. a) Seidah, N. G., Lazure, C., Chrétien, M., Thibault, G., Gracia, R., Cantin, M., Genest, J.,

Nutt, R. F., Brady, S. F., Lyle, T. A., Paleveda, W. J., Colton, C. D., Ciccarone, T. M., Veber, D. F.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 2640 (1984)

- b) Nutt, R. F., Brady, S. F., Lyle, T. A., Dylion-Colton, C., Paleveda, W. J., Ciccarone, T. M., Blaine, E. H., Winquist, R. J., Bennet, C. D., Hirschmann, R., Veber, D. F.: Proc. of 18th Eur. Peptide Symp., p. 513, Djurönäset 1984, Sweden
- 206. a) Currie, M. G., Geller, D. M., Cole, B. R., Siegel, N. R., Fok, K. F., Adams, S. P., Eubanks, S. R., Galluppi, G. R., Needleman, P.: Science 1983, 67
- b) Needleman, P.: US-Pat. 4 496 544 and 4 508 712 (1985), Washington University, St. Louis
  207. Fok, K. F., Tjoeng, F. S., Houbion, J. A., Spear, K. L., Nugent, S. T., Eubanks, S. R., Zupec, M. E., Olins, G., Blehm, D. J., Adams, S. P., Wakitani, K., Needleman, P.: Proc, of the 9th Am. Peptide Symp., p. 953, Toronto 1985
- 208. Riniker, B., Brugger, M., Kamber, B., Sieber, P., Rittel, W.: Helv. Chim. Acta 52, 1073 (1969)
- 209. Sakakibara, S., Noda, T., Morikawa, T., Munekata, E., Kimura, T., Nakagawa, Y.: DOS 2 616 399 (1976), Toyo Jozo K. K.
- 210. Orlowski, R. C., Stahl, G. L., Colescott, R. L.: US-Pat. 4 528 132 (1984), Armour Pharmaceutical Co., USA
- 211. Izumiya, N., Kato, T., Aoyagi, H., Waki, M., Kondo, M.: Synthetic Aspects of Biologically Active Cyclic Peptides, J. Wiley & Sons, New York 1979
- 212. Hassall, C. H., Johnson, W. H., Theobald, C. J.: J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I. 1979, 1451
- Kupryszewski, G.: Synthetic Oligopeptide Derivatives with Antibacterial Activity, in: Perspectives in Peptide Chemistry (ed. Eberle, A., Geiger, R., Wieland, T.), p. 307, S. Karger, Basel 1981
- 214. Alewood, P. F., Perich, J. W., Johns, R. B.: Synthetic Commun. 12, 821 (1982)
- 215. Maier, L.: Phosphorus and Sulfur, Vol. 14, 295 (1983)
- 216. a) Atherton, R. F., Hall, J. M., Hassall, C. H., Lambert, R. W., Ringrose, P. S.: DOS 2 730 524 (1977); DOS 2 730 549 (1977), Hoffmann-La Roche, Switzerland
  b) Atherton, F. R., Hassall, C. H., Lambert, R. W.: J. Med. Chem. 29, 29 (1986)
- 217. Meienhofer, J., Patel, R. P.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 3, 347 (1971)
- 218. a) Benz, G.: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1984, 1399
  - b) Metzger, K. G., Pfitzner, J., Schmidt, D., Weyland, H., Benz, G., Schröder, T.: DOS 3 102 137 (1981); DOS 3 102 136 (1981), BAYER AG
- 219. Gross, E., Morell, J. L.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 2919 (1970), ibid. 93, 4634 (1971)
- 220. Wakamiya, T., Shimbo, K., Sano, A., Fukase, K., Shiba, T.: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 56, 2044 (1983)
- 221. Hagenmaier, H., Keckeisen, A., Zähner, H., König, W. A.: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1979, 1494
- 222. a) Hass, W., König, W. A.: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1982, 1615
- b) Rathmann, R., König, W. A., Schmalle, H., Carlsson, G., Bosch, R., Hagenmaier, H., Winter, W.: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1984, 1216
- 223. a) König, W. A., Hass, W., Dehler, W., Fiedler, H.-P., Zähner, H.: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1980, 622
  - b) Zimmermann, G., Hass, W., Faasch, H., Schmalle, H., König, W. A.: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1985, 2165.
- 224. Merrifield, R. B., Vizoli, L. D., Boman, H. G.: Biochemistry 21, 5020 (1982)
- 225. Andreau, D., Merrifield, R. B., Steiner, H., Boman, H. G.: Proc. of the 18th Eur. Peptide Symp., p. 541, Djurönäset 1984, Sweden
- 226. Payne, J. W., Jakes, R., Hartley, B. S.: Biochem. J. 117, 757 (1970)
- 227. a) Schmitt, H., Jung, G.: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1985, 321
  - b) Jung, G., Brückner, H., Schmitt, H.: Properties of the Membrane Modifying Polypeptide Antibiotics Alamethicin and Trichotoxin A-40, in: Structure and Activity of Natural Peptides, (ed. Voelter, W., Weitzel, G.), p. 75, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin 1981
- 228. a) Bartmann, W., Beck, G., Angew. Chem. 94, 767 (1982)
  b) Samuelsson, B.: Science 220, 568 (1983)
- 229. Corey, E. J., Clark, D. A., Goto, G., Marfat, A., Mioskowski, C.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 1436 (1980)
- 230. a) Gleason, J. G.: Abstracts of the 2nd SCI-RSC Medicinal Chemistry Symposium, Cambridge 1983
  - b) Saksena, A. K., Green, M. J., Mangiaracina, P., Wong, J. K., Kreutner, W., Gulbenkian, A. R.: Tetrahedron Lett. 26, 6423 (1985)

Recent Developments in the Field of Biologically Active Peptides

- 231. Shiba, T.: Peptide Chemistry 1977, Proc. of the 15th Symp. on Pept. Chem., Osaka 1977
- 232. Bayer, E., Gugel, K. H., Hägele, K., Hagenmaier, H., Jessipow, S., König, W. A., Zähner, H.: Helv. Chim. Acta 55, 224 (1972)
- 233. a) Wieland, T.: Chemie in unserer Zeit 13, 56 (1979)
- b) Witkop, B.: Naturwissenschaftliche Rundschau 36, 261 (1983)
- 234. Wieland, T., Faesel, J., Konz, W.: Liebigs Ann. Chem. 722, 197
- 235. a) Bodanszky, M., Stahl, G. L.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71, 2791 (1974)
  b) Bodanszky, M., Bednarek, M. A., Yiotakis, A. E., Curtis, R. W.: Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 20, 16 (1982)
- Williams, D. H., Santikarn, S., DeAngelis, F., Smith, R. J., Reid, D. G., Oelrichs, P. B., McLeod, J. K.: J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I, 1983, 1869
- 237. a) Mazur, R. H., Schlatter, J. M., Goldcamp, A. H.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 2684 (1969)
- b) Mazur, R. H., Reuter, J. A., Swiatek, K. A., Schlatter, J. M.: J. Med. Chem. 16, 1284 (1973)
- 238. a) Otagiri, K., Miyake, I., Ishibashi, N., Fukui, H., Kanehisa, H., Okai, H.: Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 56, 1116 (1983)
  - b) Otagiri, K., Shigenaga, T., Kanehisa, H., Okai, H.: ibid. 57, 90 (1984)
- 239. Fuller, W. D., Goodman, M., Verlander, M. S.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 5821 (1985)

# Author Index Volumes 101–136

Contents of Vols. 50–100 see Vol. 100 Author and Subject Index Vols. 26–50 see Vol. 50

The volume numbers are printed in italics

- Alekseev, N. V., see Tandura, St. N.: 131, 99-189 (1985).
- Anders, A.: Laser Spectroscopy of Biomolecules, 126, 23-49 (1984).
- Asami, M., see Mukaiyama, T.: 127, 133-167 (1985).
- Ashe, III, A. J.: The Group 5 Heterobenzenes Arsabenzene, Stibabenzene and Bismabenzene. 105, 125–156 (1982).
- Austel, V.: Features and Problems of Practical Drug Design, 114, 7-19 (1983).
- Badertscher, M., Welti, M., Portmann, P., and Pretsch, E.: Calculation of Interaction Energies in Host-Guest Systems. 136, 17-80 (1986).
- Balaban, A. T., Motoc, I., Bonchev, D., and Mekenyan, O.: Topilogical Indices for Structure-Activity Correlations, 114, 21-55 (1983).
- Baldwin, J. E., and Perlmutter, P.: Bridged, Capped and Fenced Porphyrins. 121, 181-220 (1984).
- Barkhash, V. A.: Contemporary Problems in Carbonium Ion Chemistry I. 116/117, 1-265 (1984).
- Barthel, J., Gores, H.-J., Schmeer, G., and Wachter, R.: Non-Aqueous Electrolyte Solutions in Chemistry and Modern Technology. 11, 33–144 (1983).
- Barron, L. D., and Vrbancich, J.: Natural Vibrational Raman Optical Activity. 123, 151–182 (1984). Beckhaus, H.-D., see Rüchardt, Ch., 130, 1–22 (1985).
- Bestmann, H. J., Vostrowsky, O.: Selected Topics of the Wittig Reaction in the Synthesis of Natural Products. 109, 85–163 (1983).
- Beyer, A., Karpfen, A., and Schuster, P.: Energy Surfaces of Hydrogen-Bonded Complexes in the Vapor Phase. 120, 1-40 (1984).
- Binger, P., and Büch, H. M.: Cyclopropenes and Methylenecyclopropanes as Multifunctional Reagents in Transition Metal Catalyzed Reactions. 135, 77–151 (1986).
- Böhrer, I. M.: Evaluation Systems in Quantitative Thin-Layer Chromatography, 126, 95-188 (1984).
- Boekelheide, V.: Syntheses and Properties of the [2_n] Cyclophanes, 113, 87–143 (1983).
- Bonchev, D., see Balaban, A. T., 114, 21-55 (1983).
- Borgstedt, H. U.: Chemical Reactions in Alkali Metals 134, 125-156 (1986).
- Bourdin, E., see Fauchais, P.: 107, 59-183 (1983).
- Büch, H. M., see Binger, P.: 135, 77-151 (1986).
- Cammann, K.: Ion-Selective Bulk Membranes as Models. 128, 219-258 (1985).
- Charton, M., and Motoc, I.: Introduction, 114, 1-6 (1983).
- Charton, M.: The Upsilon Steric Parameter Definition and Determination, 114, 57-91 (1983).
- Charton, M.: Volume and Bulk Parameters, 114, 107-118 (1983).
- Chivers, T., and Oakley, R. T.: Sulfur-Nitrogen Anions and Related Compounds. 102, 117-147 (1982).
- Collard-Motte, J., and Janousek, Z.: Synthesis of Ynamines, 130, 89-131 (1985).
- Consiglio, G., and Pino, P.: Asymmetrie Hydroformylation. 105, 77-124 (1982).
- Coudert, J. F., see Fauchais, P.: 107, 59-183 (1983).
- Cox, G. S., see Turro, N. J.: 129, 57-97 (1985).
- Czochralska, B., Wrona, M., and Shugar, D.: Electrochemically Reduced Photoreversible Products. of Pyrimidine and Purine Analogues. 130, 133–181 (1985).

Author Index Volumes 101–136

Dhillon, R. S., see Suzuki, A.: 130, 23-88 (1985).

- Dimroth, K.: Arylated Phenols, Aroxyl Radicals and Aryloxenium Ions Syntheses and Properties. 129, 99–172 (1985).
- Dyke, Th. R.: Microwave and Radiofrequency Spectra of Hydrogen Bonded Complexes in the Vapor Phase. 120, 85–113 (1984).
- Ebel, S.: Evaluation and Calibration in Quantitative Thin-Layer Chromatography. 126, 71-94 (1984).
- Ebert, T.: Solvation and Ordered Structure in Colloidal Systems. 128, 1-36 (1985).
- Edmondson, D. E., and Tollin, G.: Semiquinone Formation in Flavo- and Metalloflavoproteins. 108, 109-138 (1983).
- Eliel, E. L.: Prostereoisomerism (Prochirality). 105, 1-76 (1982).
- Emmel, H. W., see Melcher, R. G.: 134, 59-123 (1986).
- Endo, T.: The Role of Molecular Shape Similarity in Spezific Molecular Recognition. 128, 91-111 (1985).
- Fauchais, P., Bordin, E., Coudert, F., and MacPherson, R.: High Pressure Plasmas and Their Application to Ceramic Technology. 107, 59-183 (1983).
- Franke, J., and Vögtle, F.: Complexation of Organic Molecules in Water Solution. 132, 135-170 (1986).
- Fujita, T., and Iwamura, H.: Applications of Various Steric Constants to Quantitative Analysis of Structure-Activity Relationship. 114, 119-157 (1983).
- Fujita, T., see Nishioka, T.: 128, 61-89 (1985).
- Gärtner, A., and Weser, U.: Molecular and Functional Aspects of Superoxide Dismutases. 132, 1-61 (1986).
- Gerson, F.: Radical Ions of Phases as Studied by ESR and ENDOR Spectroscopy. 115, 57-105 (1983).
- Gielen, M.: Chirality, Static and Dynamic Stereochemistry of Organotin Compounds. 104, 57-105 (1982).
- Gores, H.-J., see Barthel, J.: 111, 33-144 (1983).
- Green, R. B.: Laser-Enhanced Ionization Spectroscopy. 126, 1-22 (1984).
- Groeseneken, D. R., see Lontie, D. R.: 108, 1-33 (1983).
- Gurel, O., and Gurel, D.: Types of Oscillations in Chemical Reactions. 118, 1-73 (1983).
- Gurel, D., and Gurel, O.: Recent Developments in Chemical Oscillations. 118, 75-117 (1983).
- Gutsche, C. D.: The Calixarenes. 123, 1-47 (1984).
- Heilbronner, E., and Yang, Z.: The Electronic Structure of Cyclophanes as Suggested by their Photoelectron Spectra. 115, 1-55 (1983).
- Heller, G.: A Survey of Structural Types of Borates and Polyborates. 131, 39-98 (1985).
- Hellwinkel, D.: Penta- and Hexaorganyl Derivatives of the Main Group Elements. 109, 1-63 (1983).
- Hess, P.: Resonant Photoacoustic Spectroscopy. 111, 1-32 (1983).
- Heumann, K. G.: Isotopic Separation in Systems with Crown Ethers and Cryptands. 127, 77-132 (1985).
- Hilgenfeld, R., and Saenger, W.: Structural Chemistry of Natural and Synthetic Ionophores and their Complexes with Cations. 101, 3-82 (1982).
- Holloway, J. H., see Selig, H.: 124, 33-90 (1984).

Iwamura, H., see Fujita, T.: 114, 119-157 (1983).

Janousek, Z., see Collard-Motte, J.: 130, 89-131 (1985).

- Jørgensen, Ch. K.: The Problems for the Two-electron Bond in Inorganic Compounds. 124, 1-31 (1984).
- Jurczak, J., and Pietraszkiewicz, M.: High-Pressure Synthesis of Cryptands and Complexing Behaviour of Chiral Cryptands. 130, 183-204 (1985).

- Kaden, Th. A.: Syntheses and Metal Complexes of Aza-Macrocycles with Pendant Arms having Additional Ligating Groups. 121, 157–179 (1984).
- Kanaoka, Y., see Tanizawa, K.: 136, 81-107 (1986).
- Karpfen, A., see Beyer, A.: 120, 1-40 (1984).
- Káš, J., Rauch, P.: Labeled Proteins, Their Preparation and Application. 112, 163-230 (1983).
- Keat, R.: Phosphorus(III)-Nitrogen Ring Compounds. 102, 89-116 (1982).
- Keller, H. J., and Soos, Z. G.: Solid Charge-Transfer Complexes of Phenazines. 127, 169-216 (1985).
- Kellogg, R. M.: Bioorganic Modelling Stereoselective Reactions with Chiral Neutral Ligand Complexes as Model Systems for Enzyme Catalysis. 101, 111–145 (1982).
- Kimura, E.: Macrocyclic Polyamines as Biological Cation and Anion Complexones An Application to Calculi Dissolution. 128, 113-141 (1985).
- Kniep, R., and Rabenau, A.: Subhalides of Tellurium. 111, 145-192 (1983).
- Kobayashi, Y., and Kumadaki, I.: Valence-Bond Isomer of Aromatic Compounds. 123, 103-150 (1984).
- Koglin, E., and Séquaris, J.-M.: Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering of Biomolecules. 134, 1–57 (1986).
- Koptyug, V. A.: Contemporary Problems in Carbonium Ion Chemistry III Arenuim Ions Structure and Reactivity. 122, 1–245 (1984).
- Kosower, E. M.: Stable Pyridinyl Radicals. 112, 117-162 (1983).
- Krebs, S., Wilke, J.: Angle Strained Cycloalkynes. 109, 189-233 (1983).
- Krief, A.: Synthesis and Synthetic Applications of 1-Metallo-1-Selenocyclopropanes and -cyclobutanes and Related 1-Metallo-1-silyl-cyclopropanes. 135, 1-75 (1986).
- Kumadaki, I., see Kobayashi, Y.: 123, 103-150 (1984).
- Laarhoven, W. H., and Prinsen, W. J. C.: Carbohelicenes and Heterohelicenes. 125, 63-129 (1984).
- Labarre, J.-F.: Up to-date Improvements in Inorganic Ring Systems as Anticancer Agents. 102, 1-87 (1982).
- Labarre, J.-F.: Natural Polyamines-Linked Cyclophosphazenes. Attempts at the Production of More Selective Antitumorals. 129, 173-260 (1985).
- Laitinen, R., see Steudel, R.: 102, 177-197 (1982).
- Landini, S., see Montanari, F.: 101, 111-145 (1982).
- Lau, K.-L., see Wong, N. C.: 133, 83-157 (1986).
- Lavrent'yev, V. I., see Voronkov, M. G.: 102, 199-236 (1982).
- Lontie, R. A., and Groeseneken, D. R.: Recent Developments with Copper Proteins. 108, 1-33 (1983).
- Lynch, R. E.: The Metabolism of Superoxide Anion and Its Progeny in Blood Cells. 108, 35-70 (1983).
- Matsui, Y., Nishioka, T., and Fujita, T.: Quantitative Structure-Reactivity Analysis of the Inclusion Mechanism by Cyclodextrins. 128, 61–89 (1985).
- McPherson, R., see Fauchais, P.: 107, 59-183 (1983).
- Majestic, V. K., see Newkome, G. R.: 106, 79-118 (1982).
- Manabe, O., see Shinkai, S.: 121, 67-104 (1984).
- Margaretha, P.: Preparative Organic Photochemistry. 103, 1-89 (1982).
- Martens, J.: Asymmetric Syntheses with Amino Acids. 125, 165-246 (1984).
- Matzanke, B. F., see Raymond, K. N.: 123, 49-102 (1984).
- Mekenyan, O., see Balaban, A. T.: 114, 21-55 (1983).
- Melcher, R. G., Peter, Th. L., and Emmel, H. W.: Sampling and Sample Preparation of Environmental Material. 134, 59-123 (1986).
- Menger, F. M.: Chemistry of Multi-Armed Organic Compounds. 136, 1-15 (1986).
- Meurer, K. P., and Vögtle, F.: Helical Molecules in Organic Chemistry. 127, 1-76 (1985).
- Montanari, F., Landini, D., and Rolla, F.: Phase-Transfer Catalyzed Reactions. 101, 149–200 (1982).
- Motoc, I., see Charton, M.: 114, 1-6 (1983).
- Motoc, I., see Balaban, A. T.: 114, 21-55 (1983).
- Motoc, I.: Molecular Shape Descriptors. 114, 93-105 (1983).
- Müller, F.: The Flavin Redox-System and Its Biological Function. 108, 71-107 (1983).
- Müller, G., see Raymond, K. N.: 123, 49-102 (1984).

Author Index Volumes 101-136

Müller, W. H., see Vögtle, F.: 125, 131-164 (1984).

- Mukaiyama, T., and Asami, A.: Chiral Pyrrolidine Diamines as Efficient Ligands in Asymmetric Synthesis. 127, 133–167 (1985).
- Murakami, Y.: Functionalited Cyclophanes as Catalysts and Enzyme Models. 115, 103-151 (1983).
- Mutter, M., and Pillai, V. N. R.: New Perspectives in Polymer-Supported Peptide Synthesis. 106, 119–175 (1982).
- Naemura, K., see Nakazaki, M.: 125, 1-25 (1984).
- Nakatsuji, Y., see Okahara, M.: 128, 37-59 (1985).
- Nakazaki, M., Yamamoto, K., and Naemura, K.: Stereochemistry of Twisted Double Bond Systems, 125, 1-25 (1984).
- Newkome, G. R., and Majestic, V. K.: Pyridinophanes, Pyridinocrowns, and Pyridinycryptands. 106, 79–118 (1982).
- Niedenzu, K., and Trofimenko, S.: Pyrazole Derivatives of Boron. 131, 1-37 (1985).
- Nishide, H., see Tsuchida, E.: 132, 63-99 (1986).
- Nishioka, T., see Matsui, Y.: 128, 61-89 (1985).
- Oakley, R. T., see Chivers, T.: 102, 117-147 (1982).
- Ogino, K., see Tagaki, W.: 128, 143-174 (1985).
- Okahara, M., and Nakatsuji, Y.: Active Transport of Ions Using Synthetic Ionosphores Derived from Cyclic and Noncyclic Polyoxyethylene Compounds. 128, 37–59 (1985).
- Paczkowski, M. A., see Turro, N. J.: 129, 57-97 (1985).
- Painter, R., and Pressman, B. C.: Dynamics Aspects of Ionophore Mediated Membrane Transport. 101, 84–110 (1982).
- Paquette, L. A.: Recent Synthetic Developments in Polyquinane Chemistry. 119, 1-158 (1984).
- Peters, Th. L., see Melcher, R. G.: 134, 59-123 (1986).
- Perlmutter, P., see Baldwin, J. E.: 121, 181-220 (1984).
- Pietraszkiewicz, M., see Jurczak, J.: 130, 183-204 (1985).
- Pillai, V. N. R., see Mutter, M.: 106, 119-175 (1982).
- Pino, P., see Consiglio, G.: 105, 77-124 (1982).
- Pommer, H., Thieme, P. C.: Industrial Applications of the Wittig Reaction. 109, 165-188 (1983).
- Portmann, P., see Badertscher, M.: 136, 17-80 (1986).
- Pressman, B. C., see Painter, R.: 101, 84-110 (1982).
- Pretsch, E., see Badertscher, M.: 136, 17-80 (1986).
- Prinsen, W. J. C., see Laarhoven, W. H.: 125, 63-129 (1984).
- Rabenau, A., see Kniep, R.: 111, 145-192 (1983).
- Rauch, P., see Káš, J.: 112, 163-230 (1983).
- Raymond, K. N., Müller, G., and Matzanke, B. F.: Complexation of Iron by Siderophores A Review of Their Solution and Structural Chemistry and Biological Function. 123, 49–102 (1984).
- Recktenwald, O., see Veith, M.: 104, 1-55 (1982).
- Reetz, M. T.: Organotitanium Reagents in Organic Synthesis. A Simple Means to Adjust Reactivity and Selectivity of Carbanions. 106, 1–53 (1982).
- Rolla, R., see Montanari, F.: 101, 111-145 (1982).
- Rossa, L., Vögtle, F.: Synthesis of Medio- and Macrocyclic Compounds by High Dilution Principle Techniques. 113, 1-86 (1983).
- Rubin, M. B.: Recent Photochemistry of  $\alpha$ -Diketones. 129, 1-56 (1985).
- Rüchardt, Ch., and Beckhaus, H.-D.: Steric and Electronic Substituent Effects on the Carbon-Carbon Bond. 130, 1-22 (1985).
- Rzaev, Z. M. O.: Coordination Effects in Formation and Cross-Linking Reactions of Organotin Macromolecules. 104, 107-136 (1982).

Saenger, W., see Hilgenfeld, R.: 101, 3-82 (1982).

Sandorfy, C.: Vibrational Spectra of Hydrogen Bonded Systems in the Gas Phase. 120, 41-84 (1984). Schlögl, K.: Planar Chiral Molecural Structures. 125, 27-62 (1984).

- Schmeer, G., see Barthel, J.: 111, 33-144 (1983).
- Schmidt, G.: Recent Developments in the Field of Biologically Active Peptides. 136, 109-159 (1986).
- Schmidtchen, F. P.: Molecular Catalysis by Polyammonium Receptors. 132, 101-133 (1986).
- Schöllkopf, U.: Enantioselective Synthesis of Nonproteinogenic Amino Acids. 109, 65-84 (1983). Schuster, P., see Beyer, A., see 120, 1-40 (1984).
- Schwochau, K.: Extraction of Metals from Sea Water. 124, 91-133 (1984).
- Shugar, D., see Czochralska, B.: 130, 133-181 (1985).
- Selig, H., and Holloway, J. H.: Cationic and Anionic Complexes of the Noble Gases. 124, 33–90 (1984).
- Séquaris, J.-M., see Koglin, E.: 134, 1-57 (1986).
- Shibata, M.: Modern Syntheses of Cobalt(III) Complexes. 110, 1-120 (1983).
- Shinkai, S., and Manabe, O.: Photocontrol of Ion Extraction and Ion Transport by Photofunctional Crown Ethers. 121, 67-104 (1984).
- Shubin, V. G. Contemporary Problemsn Carbonium Ion Chemistry II. 116/117, 267-341 (1984).
- Siegel, H.: Lithium Halocarbenoids Carbanions of High Synthetic Versatility. 106, 55-78 (1982). Sinta, R., see Smid, J.: 121, 105-156 (1984).
- Smid, J., and Sinta, R.: Macroheterocyclic Ligands on Polymers. 121, 105-156 (1984).
- Soos, Z. G., see Keller, H. J.: 127, 169-216 (1985).
- Steudel, R.: Homocyclic Sulfur Molecules. 102, 149-176 (1982).
- Steudel, R., and Laitinen, R.: Cyclic Selenium Sulfides. 102, 177-197 (1982).
- Suzuki, A.: Some Aspects of Organic Synthesis Using Organoboranes. 112, 67-115 (1983).
- Suzuki, A., and Dhillon, R. S.: Selective Hydroboration and Synthetic Utility of Organoboranes thus Obtained. 130, 23–88 (1985).
- Szele, J., Zollinger, H.: Azo Coupling Reactions Structures and Mechanisms. 112, 1-66 (1983).
- Tabushi, I., Yamamura, K.: Water Soluble Cyclophanes as Hosts and Catalysts. 113, 145-182 (1983).
- Takagi, M., and Ueno, K.: Crown Compounds as Alkali and Alkaline Earth Metal Ion Selective Chromogenic Reagents. 121, 39-65 (1984).
- Tagaki, W., and Ogino, K.: Micellar Models of Zinc Enzymes. 128, 143-174 (1985).
- Takeda, Y.: The Solvent Extraction of Metal Ions by Grown Compounds. 121, 1-38 (1984).
- Tam, K.-F., see Wong, N. C.: 133, 83-157 (1986).
- Tandura, St., N., Alekseev, N. V., and Voronkov, M. G.: Molecular and Electronic Structure of Penta- and Hexacoordinate Silicon Compounds. 131, 99–189 (1985).
- Tanizawa, K., and Kanaoka, Y.: Design of Biospecific Compounds which Simulate Enzyme-Substrate Interaction. 136, 81-107 (1986).
- Thieme, P. C., see Pommer, H.: 109, 165-188 (1983).
- Tollin, G., see Edmondson, D. E.: 108, 109-138 (1983).
- Trofimenko, S., see Niedenzu, K.: 131, 1-37 (1985).
- Trost, B. M.: Strain and Reactivity: Partners for Delective Synthesis. 133, 3-82 (1986).
- Tsuchida, E., and Nishide, H.: Hemoglobin Model Artificial Oxygen Carrier Composed of Porphinatoiron Complexes. 132, 63–99 (1986).
- Turro, N. J., Cox, G. S., and Paczkowski, M. A.: Photochemistry in Micelles. 129, 57-97 (1985).
- Ueno, K., see Tagaki, M.: 121, 39-65 (1984).
- Urry, D. W.: Chemical Basis of Ion Transport Specificity in Biological Membranes. 128, 175-218 (1985).
- Veith, M., and Recktenwald, O.: Structure and Reactivity of Monomeric, Molecular Tin(II) Compounds. 104, 1-55 (1982).
- Venugopalan, M., and Vepřek, S.: Kinetics and Catalysis in Plasma Chemistry. 107, 1–58 (1982). Vepřek, S., see Venugopalan, M.: 107, 1–58 (1983).
- Vögtle, F., see Rossa, L.: 113, 1-86 (1983).
- Vögtle, F.: Concluding Remarks. 115, 153-155 (1983).
- Vögtle, F., Müller, W. M., and Watson, W. H.: Stereochemistry of the Complexes of Neutral Guests with Neutral Crown Molecules. 125, 131–164 (1984).
- Vögtle, F., see Meurer, K. P.: 127, 1-76 (1985).
- Vögtle, F., see Franke, J.: 132, 135-170 (1986).

Author Indes Volumes 101-136

Volkmann, D. G.: Ion Pair Chromatography on Reversed-Phase Layers. 126, 51-69 (1984).

Vostrowsky, O., see Bestmann, H. J.: 109, 85-163 (1983).

Voronkov, M. G., and Lavrent'yev, V. I.: Polyhedral Oligosilsequioxanes and Their Homo Derivatives. 102, 199-236 (1982).

Voronkov, M. G., see Tandura, St. N.: 131, 99-189 (1985).

Vrbancich, J., see Barron, L. D.: 123, 151-182 (1984).

- Wachter, R., see Barthel, J.: 111, 33-144 (1983).
- Watson, W. H., see Vögtle, F.: 125, 131-164 (1984).
- Welti, M., see Badertscher, M.: 136, 17-80 (1986).

Weser, U., see Gärtner, A.: 132, 1-61 (1986).

- Wilke, J., see Krebs, S.: 109, 189-233 (1983).
- Wong, N. C., Lau, K.-L., and Tam, K.-F.: The Application of Cyclobutane Derivatives in Organic Synthesis. 133, 83–157 (1986).

Wrona, M., see Czochralska, B.: 130, 133-181 (1985).

Yamamoto, K., see Nakazaki, M.: 125, 1-25 (1984).

Yamamura, K., see Tabushi, I.: 113, 145-182 (1983).

Yang, Z., see Heilbronner, E.: 115, 1-55 (1983).

Zollinger, H., see Szele, I.: 112, 1-66 (1983).