THYLACINE





For Leisha, Hilton and Larry

First published in Australia in 2003

Copyright © David Owen 2003

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or by any information storage and retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publisher. The *Australian Copyright Act 1968* (the Act) allows a maximum of one chapter or 10% of this book, whichever is the greater, to be photocopied by any educational institution for its educational purposes provided that the educational institution (or body that administers it) has given a remuneration notice to Copyright Agency Limited (CAL) under the Act.

Allen & Unwin 83 Alexander Street Crows Nest NSW 2065 Australia Phone: (61 2) 8425 0100 Fax: (61 2) 9906 2218 Email: info@allenandunwin.com Web: www.allenandunwin.com

National Library of Australia Cataloguing-in-Publication entry:

Owen, David. Thylacine: the tragic tale of the Tasmanian tiger.

Includes index. ISBN 1 86508 758 0.

1. Thylacine. 2. Rare animals-Tasmania. I. Title.

599.27

Typeset in 11pt Garamond 3 by Midland Typesetters, Maryborough, Victoria Printed by Ligare Book Printer, Sydney

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2

THYLACINE

THE TRAGIC TALE OF THE TASMANIAN TIGER

DAVID OWEN



CONTENTS

Preface		viii
Acknowledgements		х
1	What's in a name?	1
2	In the beginning: evolution	14
3	At the end: extinction	25
4	'Pathetically little is known'	34
5	A rugged and determined front	49
6	Before the fall: Trowenna	57
7	A land in need of taming	71
8	Tall tales, tiger men and bounties	85
9	'Them bloody useless things'	107
10	A bad finish: 7 September 1936	124
11	A lost object of awe	135
12	We wake up too late	148
13	The tiger in commerce and art	163
14	Beating a seventy-year hiccup: cloning	178
15	Sightings and the science of survival	186
Notes		201
Select bibliography		217
Index		221

PREFACE

On 7 September 2002, Threatened Species Day was once again observed across Australia. In Hobart the blustery, windswept conditions didn't prevent stalls and exhibitions springing up on the lawns at Parliament House. Conservationists and politicians gave interviews for television and radio. The deliberately chosen date is a sorry one in Tasmanian history, for it was on 7 September 1936 that the last known thylacine, commonly known as the Tasmanian or 'Tassie' tiger, died in captivity at the Hobart Zoo, victim of the Depression, neglect and a century of deliberate species persecution.

In an unintended, eerie coincidence, the northern city of Launceston hosted an auction that very morning, the centrepiece of which was a rug made of eight thylacine skins. It had reputedly been purchased for three pounds early in the twentieth century, since when it had remained in private hands. Now the State's two main museums and a hotel group had jointly purchased the rug for over a quarter of a million dollars. Was it right to spend all that money on memorabilia of an extinct species on the very day devoted to raising funds to help endangered ones?

This is but one more controversial and sadly ironic chapter in the ever-expanding saga of the Tasmanian tiger, the mysterious marsupial predator that evolved over tens of millions of years and was hunted to extinction in the blink of an eye, because of its supposed attacks on sheep. But why is it that the longer the animal stays dead, the more we are fascinated with it?

Guilt, remorse and the tantalising possibility of its continued existence go some way towards providing an explanation. Arguments rage over its ability to have withstood its systematic persecution through trapping and snaring, poisoning and shooting. Even now, every third Tasmanian has a 'true' tigersighting story. Then there is the future. The Australian Museum's dedicated scientific team hopes to clone the thylacine by the year 2010. Preposterous or possible, no one yet knows. The only certainty is that the thylacine's story is not yet complete.

This book, one of just a handful devoted to the thylacine, is intended to be the most comprehensive yet in its coverage. Evolution and extinction, pre-European Tasmania (Trowenna), the rapid colonisation of the island with all its consequences, and the fierce conservation clashes of more recent times each have their place in the mystery-shrouded story. This nocturnal wolf/dog striped carnivore, with its huge jaws, continues to fascinate writers, artists, the true believers out there looking for it and those who, unexpectedly, become convinced they have seen one, crossing a rural road, disappearing into the bush at dusk, or trotting on a remote Tasmanian beach. All enrich the world of the thylacine.

The science of survival does not rate the animal's chances highly. But many people do, and Australia's large island state, with its great tracts of untouched wilderness and small human population, already has a strange and unlikely history, as these pages will show.

The thylacine is Tasmania. To that extent alone, it lives on.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This is a book of many voices, contemporary and long silent. Each one, no matter how modest, is part of the never-ending thylacine saga. My lengthy and varied research has particularly relied upon a number of individuals and institutions without whose generosity this book would not have taken the form presented here.

At some point in 2001 Richard Flanagan put me on the trail of the Tassie tiger—I am enormously indebted to him for this.

The staff of the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery (TMAG) have been unfailingly helpful over a period of two years. In particular, vertebrate curators David Pemberton and Kathryn Medlock, who oversee the world's most diverse collection of thylacine material—and who field innumerable thylacine requests from all over the world—have shared valuable information and provided invaluable critical analysis; I am grateful to them both, and haven't forgotten my beer promise. Particular thanks also to Jacqui Ward of the TMAG's Photographic Collection and to Director Bill Bleathman and Deputy Director Dr Andrew Rozefelds.

The thylacine existed safely in the island of Tasmania for tens of thousands of years prior to European settlement. A chapter in this book attempts to reconstruct something of that pre-1803 environment. I thank Greg Lehman, Assistant Director of the University of Tasmania's Riawunna Centre for Aboriginal Education, for his generosity in this regard and Eve Mills, Senior Curriculum Officer, Aboriginal Education, Department of Education.

Nick Mooney, Nature Conservation Branch senior wildlife officer, has for many years been the official responsible for thylacine sightings and research. I am grateful to him for his ongoing sharing of his specialist knowledge.

Thanks also to Robert Paddle, both for the generous use I have been able to make of his landmark work on the thylacine and for his subsequent advice.

The research process was considerably aided by my having access to the Peter and Elizabeth Mercer Tasmaniana Collection, Jane Franklin Hall, Hobart.

Needless to say, in the end, where they may appear, any errors of judgement, argument or fact are exclusively mine.

I owe a significant debt to my publisher, Ian Bowring at Allen & Unwin, for commissioning this book; and it has been a great pleasure to work closely on the project with senior editor Emma Cotter.

I thank the following for granting interviews: Mike Archer, Garry Bailey, David Boon, Bob Brown, Geoff Law, Heather Rose and Steve Thomas.

The book is enriched by the addition of certain material, for which I thank Vita Brown, Jane Cooper (daughter of the late Jackson Cotton), Ian Faulkner, for his beautiful thylacine drawings, Carol Freeman, Pete Hay, Christine Lucas, Michael McWilliams, Daniel Moynihan, Jonathan Nadji, Ian Pearce and the staff of the Archives Office of Tasmania, Dan Sprod, publisher of Blubber Head Press, whose Tasmanian Tiger competition in 1981 features in Chapter 12 and as each chapter opener (it was pleasing to be able to make contact with four of the 39 entrants, Geoff Aschman, Kath Doherty, Betty Holmes and Elizabeth Okines), Chris Tassell, Director, Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, and Malcolm Wells.

Thanks also to Col Bailey, Jennifer Broomhead, Heather Felton, Georgiana Fien, Margaret Harman, Christine Holyoak, John Long, Richard Lord, Dianne Mapley, John Pemberton, Tracy Elizabeth Robinson, Michael Roe, Randy Rose, Emma Singer, Don Stephens, Ann Sylph, Christine Tarbett-Buckley, Margaret Valentine, Cate Weate, Gerard Willems and Richard Wilson.

Finally, much is owed to Eric Guiler for his lifetime's devotion to the Tasmanian tiger.



WHAT'S IN A NAME?

The rather timid-looking creature was biscuit coloured, and I immediately thought it was a Labrador dog. But there was something strange about the head and face which puzzled me. It was higher and wider across the forehead than a Labrador, and the face was longer and thinner. Perhaps it was just a 'bitzer', with some Labrador in it. I was quite alone as my companions had gone off to look for a track leading towards Macquarie Harbour. Not even a bird call, a creaking branch . . . disturbed the peace and quiet around us as we continued to stare at each other.

ELIZABETH OKINES, SANDY BAY

Uncertainty, confusion and misinformation—deliberate or otherwise—have always been part of the baggage of discovery. Familiar names combined with the words 'false' and 'mistake' were often applied to the phenomena of the New World. NASA and other space agencies regularly lose, or fatally programme, exploration modules and equipment. Astronomers and astrophysicists are obliged to constantly contradict and overwrite existing theories. Palaeoanthropologists continue to backdate the origin of hominids. Through history human fallibility has, arguably, been the only constant.

2 | THYLACINE

Thus it was that in December 1642 Dutch mariner Abel Tasman's landfall on the south-east coast of the temperate island that now bears his name, although not actually a mistake, was supposed to have had an entirely different outcome. The economically greedy and commercially secretive Dutch East India Company, under its Batavia-based Governor-General Antony Van Diemen, fully expected Tasman to discover a vast land of great riches and fertility, inhabited by a civilised, friendly people. Its fabled existence had been the subject of European speculation for centuries. It was *Terra Australis Incognita*, the unknown south land, a land mass whose antipodean weight must balance the great Northern Hemisphere continents.

In the event Tasman and his two-ship expedition spent only a few days at anchor, from the first to the fourth of December, near Blackman Bay on Tasmania's Forestier Peninsula, before sailing further east to discover the islands that became New Zealand which, he speculated, was the main continent of the unknown south land and might also be joined to Cape Horn.

On an interesting point of nomenclature, the vessels were the 60-ton warship *Heemskerck* and the smaller brig *Zeehaen*, which between them today have Tasmanian mountains, a town and a winery named after them. Tasman sailed aboard the *Heemskerck*, which was skippered by Ide Tjercxszoon. Had Tasman died, Tjercxszoon would have formally succeeded him as commander, which might have led to the island having an even stranger name.

The short stop on the Forestier Peninsula had four outcomes of note. First, a sailor swam ashore and planted a flag, thereby taking formal Dutch possession of the new land which they had already named Van Diemen's Land when at anchor off Macquarie Harbour on the west coast a week or so earlier.

Second, they had no conception that they had circumnavigated the southern half of an island, reckoning this coastline to be part of *Terra Australis Cognita*, the known south land, by then referred to as New Holland. The Dutch had already explored the coastline of New Holland from Cape York in the north down the western coast to the Great Australian Bight in the south. This misconception was to endure for a long time.

Third, a small exploration party searching for fresh water found little-despite the nearby presence of pleasant Bream Creek, which also happens to have a winery named after it-but they did hear human sounds, including music, and also found climbing notches cut into trees. They correctly surmised that the treetops contained food sources (mainly possums), but the wide spacing of the notches led them to wonder if perhaps giants inhabited the place. Abel Tasman's journal described the notches as 'about 5 foot asunder, so that we must either conclude that these people were very great, or else that they have some unknown trick'. Jonathan Swift's 1726 Travels Into Several Remote Nations of the World by Lemuel Gulliver is set in or near Van Diemen's Land and has, of course, the famous giant Brobdingnagians. Swift had access to an English translation of Tasman's journal. Is the greatest and most profound satire on the follies of humanity owed to a race that was destined for near-genocide?

And fourth, the party in search of water 'saw the footing of wild beasts having claws like a tyger, and other beasts'.¹ This is the first known written reference to a Tasmanian marsupial, and is generally accepted as recording the marks of a thylacine. Certainly, thylacines were plentiful in that area—the nearby steeply wooded Ragged Tier in particular, as well as the Spring Bay area, later to be intimately associated with a government bounty to exterminate the animal—but those prints near the turquoise waters of Marion Bay could just as well have been from a wombat, which has a large pad and elongated, distinctive claws—very tiger-like. The fact is that once Europeans sighted



Footprints of thylacine, dog, devil and wombat. a left front foot of thylacine; b left rear foot of thylacine; c dog; d right front foot of devil; e rear foot of devil; f left front foot of wombat.

the thylacine, with its remarkable stripes, the erroneous name originally applied by the Dutch explorers stuck.

Uncertainty, confusion, misinformation: when a rare species becomes victim of all three, over a sustained period of time, its chances of indefinite survival are slim indeed. The thylacine's uniqueness in part proved its undoing, because the earliest Van Diemen's Land European settlers, 150 years after Tasman, had to 'invent' it—and they did so in a welter of confusion, wrongly ascribing to it the characteristics of known predatory mammals. In this way it became a big cat/wolf/wild dog/hyaena hybrid, an elusive New World creature as disturbing as the venom-spurred platypus was bizarre.

Immediately prior to the British establishing a precarious settlement at Risdon Cove in the island's far south in late 1803, a French expedition led by Captain Nicolas Baudin had What is it? Early Van Diemen's Land settlers and scientists were perplexed by the weird marsupial carnivore, with its apparent cat-like, dog-like, wolf-like and hyenalike characteristics. (Robert Paddle, The Last Tasmanian Tiger: The History and Extinction of the Thylacine, p. 53)

extensively charted parts of the island's coastline. Although political motives were ascribed to this voyage—France and England were at war—its *raison d'être* was scientific. Many thousands of biological specimens were recorded and interaction with the indigenous peoples was frequent and, mostly, amicable. From them the French learnt a great deal. Yet there is not one reference to thylacines. While this may seem an unaccountably odd omission, given the great variety of animals, birds, fishes and insects described in Baudin's journal, it is, perhaps, an early pointer to this predator's elusiveness and nocturnal habits—two known characteristics which today lead many Tasmanians to the conviction that the thylacine yet exists.

Geopolitical ambitions of the day notwithstanding, zoology, botany, mineralogy and anthropology had become of central importance to European voyages of exploration and settlement.

6 | THYLACINE

A massive increase in knowledge was radically changing scientific disciplines in Britain and continental Europe, which had for so long been fixed in dogma. Thus, as an example, Aristotle's faunal catalogue *Historia Animalium* was in some senses only superseded by the monumental 1735 *Systema Naturae* of the Swede Carolus Linnaeus.

In 1806 the island's Deputy Surveyor-General, George Harris, was the first to scientifically classify the 'tyger'. He named it *Didelphis cynocephala*, the first Latin term placing it in the sub-order of South American marsupials, the second meaning 'dog-headed'. But this was found to be incorrect by, horror of horrors, French scientists following the ground-breaking work of their compatriots Georges Cuvier and Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, who had earlier redefined zoology by introducing the science of comparative anatomy. This meant that classifications were to be established, and when necessary re-established, on the basis of anatomical relationships. In this way Cuvier and Saint-Hilaire set up the fundamental genera and classification schemes still in use. The animal so confused science that its classification changed three times until the correct *Thylacinus cynocephalus* was arrived at in 1824.²

So much for science. But what were the early perceptions of the convicts and the settlers slowly spreading out from Hobart Town and Port Dalrymple (Launceston), who almost without exception had no knowledge of or interest in the nature of this creature?

Interactions with it were infrequent. Yet it soon developed a fearsome reputation, well-founded or not. In 1822 the Surveyor General, George William Evans, published an account of the island, in the zoology section of which he refers to the 'opossum-hyena' that 'few . . . have seen'. In somewhat contradictory fashion, Evans further observes that:

this animal of the panther tribe . . . though not found in such numbers as the native dog is in New Holland, commits dreadful havoc among the flocks. It is true that its ravages are not so frequent; but, when they happen, they are more extensive. This animal is of a considerable size, and has been known, in some few instances, to measure six feet and a half from the tip of the nose to the extremity of the tail. Still it is cowardly, and by no means formidable to man: indeed, unless when taken by surprize, it invariably flees from his approach.³

So even after twenty years, the creature remained ill-defined in the popular imagination, as well as the scientific mind. This is amply demonstrated by the multitude of names it acquired.

The names known to have been bestowed on the thylacine include: zebra opossum, zebra wolf, Tasmanian zebra, marsupial wolf, striped wolf, tiger wolf, Tasmanian wolf, Van Diemen's Land tiger, Tasmanian tiger, bulldog tiger, greyhound tiger, hyaena tiger, dog-faced dasyurus, dog-faced opossum, hyaena, native hyaena, opossum-hyaena, dingo/Tasmanian dingo and panther. Aboriginal names will be addressed in a later chapter.

This list may seem improbable and suspiciously long, but the explanation is relatively simple. Genuine confusion and uncertainty aside, the sad fact is that the thylacine attracted names of opprobrium from human forces increasingly opposed to its existence. (Unlike, for example, the herbivorous and generally docile giraffe, which the ancient Romans called *camelopardalis*: the camel marked like a leopard.)

University academic Robert Paddle, whose landmark work *The Last Tasmanian Tiger* is scholarly, original and insightful, makes the point that the common, but incorrect, translation of its classification name *Thylacinus cynocephalus* as 'the dog-headed pouched-dog' is not just inelegant, but 'borders upon the stupid and crass'.⁴

Other translations, such as Ellis Troughton's version in his classic text on Australian mammals. seem little better: 'the pouched dog with the wolf head'. Troughton, for all his experience, prolonged an unsubstantiated belief. He wrote: 'The thylacine is usually spoken of by country folk as the "Tiger" in reference to the sixteen to eighteen dark chocolate stripes across the lower back, which provide a similar protective camouflage to that of the tiger when moving amongst foliage barred by sunlight'.5 A presumptive statement of fact-and who, perhaps, can blame the author?—it nevertheless was unhelpful, continuing to rely on a cross-species assumption. Tiger stripes, which are broken striations. and stripes, which thylacine firm bands. are don't necessarily have the same function.

Thylacines have approximately sixteen stripes. This is one of very few photographs showing thylacine-human interaction. Is it being fed? Petted? (Collection Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery) What did the word 'tiger' mean in the consciousness of the early nineteenth-century Britons and Irish transplanted to remote Van Diemen's Land? Colonial experience of, for example, Bengal tigers, meant stealth, ferocity, cunning, near-invisibility, powerful swimming, nocturnalism, awesome strength and, from time to time, man-eating. What fledgling Vandemonian sheep farmer would happily go to bed with that on his mind? An accurate examination of the thylacine's predatory habits shows that it has virtually none of those tiger-like characteristics.

The terms 'bulldog tiger' and 'greyhound tiger' were scientifically applied in a short-lived attempt to prove that the island was inhabited by two species of thylacine, an elementary error caused by confusion over relative size differences between males and females, adults and juveniles. In the early 1920s antithylacine forces tried to resurrect this false notion in order to suggest thylacine plenitude.

The simplistic logic of applying the tag 'zebra' to the animal is also understandable. The very last thing those earliest settlers and their convicts were concerned about was the proper naming of flora or fauna; not when starvation, unpredictable indigenes and foul weather combined to obstruct the development of muddy Hobart Town. But the sheer inappropriateness of the connection is worthy of comment. Does the zebra, like the tigers of Asia, have stripes to blend in? Not with the African landscape! There is genuine uncertainty about this most distinctive of mammalian patterning. Perhaps the zebra has stripes to blend out, thereby aiding predators to identify the weaker individuals in the herd and saving all concerned unnecessary energy expenditure, for as soon as a kill is made the herd stops running.

Behaviourist Desmond Morris, former Curator of Mammals at London Zoo, has identified nine possible theories to explain the stripes of the zebra, ranging from safety in mass optical

10 | THYLACINE

illusion through personal identification to an ingenious cooling system. The original camouflage explanation is discredited: 'Their natural history does not fit with the explanation that has been repeated in textbooks for decade after decade.'⁶

So, if the widely studied zebra has been a longtime victim of misinformation, what chance of a fair hearing for the elusive Tasmanian thylacine, the 'zebra wolf'? Again, there is a certain logic in the wolf attribute, given the doglike features of the thylacine, its carnivorous nature and other features pointing to convergent evolution in the two species. But although there is no link to the canid family, the thylacine suffered through its perceived association with *Canis lupus*, the grey wolf of the Northern Hemisphere. (One striking difference is that dogs have six incisors; thylacines have eight.)

Few other animals have been so unfairly reviled and wrongly feared as the wolf. In the Middle Ages people identified as werewolves were burnt at the stake, having first been gruesomely tortured. Infection with rabies through the bite of a rabid domestic dog may have led to such identification, since both animal and human victims of the deadly virus salivate extensively and can exhibit uncontrollable, vicious behaviour as the brain becomes affected. The persistence of the European myth that wolves ate children found an echo in Van Diemen's Land. And, of course, the grey wolf is the evil protagonist of two particularly famous fairy tales.

As if all this was not enough, the wolf was and is blamed for livestock kills in those parts of Italy, Spain, Portugal, Greece and Mexico where it still exists, even though feral dog packs are the main culprits. Wolves are thought to prefer their natural prey, but the decimation of smaller mammal populations has led to an increasing dependence on livestock. Likewise, it is certain that the thylacine as a specialist predator would have an over-

A comparison between the skulls of the thylacine and the grey wolf. Note the thylacine's massive molars at the back of the jaw.

whelmingly natural preference for native prey, specifically kangaroo and wallaby.

Similar scapegoating occurs with canids elsewhere. Thus, southern African farmers of the valuable caracul sheep sometimes enclose pastures to prevent attacks by the black-backed jackal. 'Even so, the extent of predation by jackals here is probably exaggerated, with many of the sheep being killed by domestic dogs.'⁷

It seems inevitable that the island's elusive marsupial predator would eventually be demonised as a sheep taker. Destructive nineteenth-century bounty schemes, set up to save sheep from the thylacine, effectively led to its extinction. Today Tasmania's sheep farmers still suffer stock losses to predators but no-one's blamed the thylacine for a long time.

12 | THYLACINE

There is, however, a genuine new predator. Foxes were introduced to Tasmania in 2001, by hitching a ride on the Bass Strait passenger ferry and by deliberate human agency for the purposes of hunting. If they become established, wildlife in particular will suffer considerably.

The thylacine's already terrible image was irredeemably tarnished once it attracted the hyaena tag. Although the two have no current scientific relationship, they share an intriguing biology: just as the world's largest contemporary marsupial carnivore defies neat categorisation, so too is the hyaena a mystery, being part-dog, part-cat and part-mongoose. Like the thylacine, it has its own classification (family Hyaenidae)—and: 'If any animal was less popular than the hunting dog in Africa during the days of the great white hunters, it was the hyena. Universally regarded as cowardly, loathsome, filthy and a dozen other undesirable adjectives, it too was persecuted as vermin . . . Along with vultures and dung beetles, it was regarded as a necessary evil'.⁸

The hyaena and the thylacine have very little in common, despite the superficial similarity in the sloping rear quarters. The latter is permanent in the hyaena, which has shorter back legs than forelegs, but the thylacine's marsupial ability to flatten its ankle joint into an elongated foot can achieve the same lowering effect. This effect may be enhanced because 'the rump tapers into a semi-rigid tail'.⁹ Furthermore, thylacines were reported to have 'a slow skulking habit. This suggestion is supported by those persons fortunate enough to have seen thylacines hunting'.¹⁰

Needless to say, there are plenty of differences between the thylacine and the hyaena, one being the former's modest vocalisation, so different from the scary 'mad laughter' of the hyaena, which in African folklore is associated with the witches of the night. At least the thylacine has been spared that. Anatomically it has been shown to most closely resemble a leopard, in that they both have short legs and long tails. But the hyaena link persists.¹¹

Calling a thylacine a dingo isn't illogical either. Each is a champion carnivore uniquely identified with the vast Australian continent, although the ability of the latter to have some affinity with human beings perhaps spelled the end of the former. It is instructive to bear in mind that dingoes have long been regarded as a terrible pest to Australian farmers, because of their predatory habits and canine ability to take stock with relative ease.

The marsupial thylacine is the odd one out in that predatory threesome. Yet in the 1880s, when the Tasmanian parliament was in ferment over whether or not to introduce a bounty scheme to eradicate the thylacine, it was named by the pro-kill lobby as the Native Dingo. Again—if it hadn't been assured many times already—the thylacine's fate was sealed. It was almost everything in mammal form that people despised, feared, hated.

Now its loss is mourned. But that is not to say that there are not firm believers in its continued existence. They're out there.





IN THE Beginning: Evolution

One of the favourite stories told by bushmen is that if you catch a tiger by the tail he cannot touch you because he has a stiff back, but as far as I am concerned I would prefer that someone else held the tail. He is a very prettily striped animal and I feel it is a great pity they are all gone. ARNOLD PENNEY, DEVONPORT

The recent and brutally swift demise of the thylacine, a human-engineered folly, is brought even more sharply into focus when considering the length of time it took to evolve as Australia's largest extant marsupial carnivore. Its origins are shrouded in mystery, partly through lack of study.

The Australian continent began to part from the supercontinent Gondwana as much as 200 million years ago, becoming fully separated about 50 million years ago and taking with it primitive marsupial stock, remnants of the earliest mammals that first appeared as small nocturnal creatures during the dinosaur-dominated Triassic, Jurassic and Cretaceous periods. A look at the dynamics of evolution will show how the thylacine eventually came to occupy its unique and seemingly too vulnerable niche.

It's generally accepted that Earth is about 4.6 billion years old, having been born out of a hydrogen-like solar nebula as a mass that condensed to a protoplanet happening to contain carbon-based chemicals. These were to become essential for life. The earliest evidence of this is found in rock: microscopic singlecell fossils, without a nucleus, occur in the Warrawoona Range of Western Australia. They are up to 3.8 billion years old—in relative terms, almost as old as the planet itself.

In time single cells developed a nucleus, the protective repository of deoxyribonucleic acid, aka DNA, the building block of replication which is so exciting cloning advocates early in the twenty-first century. Indeed, thylacine DNA is being used in a major and controversial Australian thylacine cloning project (discussed fully in Chapter 14).

Elementary sexual reproduction was the next step along the evolutionary path. Many life forms so created were still incredibly small. Yet it took the great bulk of the Earth's known age for life to advance to that stage. This is known as the Cryptozoic.

The Phanerozoic is charted to include the present and can be said to have commenced about 570 million years ago. It is defined on the official Geologic Time Scale as being the time of 'evident life', thus distinguishing it from the Cryptozoic's 'hidden life'.

Earth's timelines are as scientifically intricate but as continually shifting as are the classifications of living things. One is bound up in the other. In a study of a single species like the thylacine, it is advantageous to be aware of the fluidity of fact, as evinced by the scholars of geology and zoology, palaeontology and evolution biology.



Archaeological and geological timeline showing the place of the thylacine in prehistory.



The Phanerozoic comprises three eras. They are the Palaeozoic (lasting some 300 millon years: think plants, fish, amphibians); the Mesozoic (lasting some 200 million years: think reptiles, birds, flowering plants); and the Cenozoic (65 million years and counting—think mammals). Although these eras are constructed according to the life forms that define them, it's more the case that changing planetary conditions defined the evolution of those life forms.

To this self-evident organic interrelationship of land, air, sea and life must be added the relatively recent discovery of plate tectonics and continental drift, which play a central role in explaining Australian marsupial evolution. Until about the middle of the twentieth century, prevailing scientific opinion was that land masses were immovable. In fact the world's six continents have variously been one megacontinent, Pangaea, two supercontinents, Gondwana and Laurasia, and numerous other combinations, all of which have played definitive parts in the evolutionary jigsaw.

Joined to comprise a shifting Gondwana were today's South America (once land-bridge linked to North America), Africa, Antarctica, India and Australia. This is thought to explain the marsupial journey—that the marsupials that originated in North America and dispersed successfully into South America travelled across then-habitable temperate Antarctica to Australia. Unfortunately the fossil record doesn't unequivocally support this; hardly any marsupial fossils have been found in the now frozen continent, which nonetheless as part of Gondwana hosted a great variety of vertebrate life.

Is the thylacine genetically linked to those earliest North and South American marsupials? Certainly, carnivorous predators evolved in South America, once that continent itself became isolated about 40 million years ago. Its family Borhyaenidae ranged in size from mink to bear, animals with large teeth and short limbs. Deriving from those specimens were the sabretoothed marsupials: large, speedy and with powerful sets of teeth. Interestingly, the long-extinct Australian marsupial lion *Thylacoleo carnifex* (some believe it still exists!), apparently descended from either pygmy possum or wombat stock, had equally fearsome teeth: very large incisors and blade-like cutting carnassials. These teeth 'represent the most extreme specialisation of any known mammalian carnivore'.¹

Prior to the discovery of continental drift, Australia's marsupial presence was explained with a theory of island-hopping from Asia. Then in the 1920s it was proposed that Antarctica might have acted as a land bridge. In 1981 a 40 million year old marsupial fossil was found on the Antarctic's Seymour Island. Belonging to a marsupial family previously known only in South America, it was a hugely significant find, all but proving the land bridge theory.

Recent discoveries confirming the existence of marsupial fossils in the Gobi Desert have complicated matters. The fragments were first discovered at Mongolia's Ukhaa Tolgod fossil site in the 1920s by American explorer Roy Chapman (upon whom Indiana Jones is modelled), but it was not until the 1990s that the tiny dinosaur-era mammal *Deltatheridium* was positively identified as a very remote relative of kangaroos and possums. Does this suggest revisiting a possible Asian origin for the marsupial?

There are also theoretical proponents for marsupials originating in Australia, not colonising it. Why not? Might there even have been primitive placentals on the Australian continent, banished by their more successful marsupial relatives?

Whatever the direction of migration, tectonic plate activity governed much of evolution. Features of continental movement

include orogenesis (mountain building), the creation and extinction of oceans and seas, and great subterranean upheavals causing mass volcanic activity and resultant atmospheric change with huge increases in carbon dioxide levels. Such an increase in carbon dioxide occurred during most of the Mesozoic era, when Pangaea was breaking apart. It created a greenhouse effect which was ideal for large cold-blooded reptiles.

During the earlier Palaeozoic era, plants had become the earliest land colonists. They pumped oxygen into the atmosphere, thus offsetting the danger of ultra-violet radiation and enabling some of the fish to evolve safely into amphibians. These in turn became the earliest reptiles, which split into two lines, the petrolacosaurs (becoming dinosaurs and birds) and the pelycosaurs (leading to protomammals) of the warm Carboniferous and Permian periods.²

Dinosaurs were the dominant life form for 200 million years. Their sudden demise led directly to mammal domination. What happened? The catastrophic extraterrestrial theory involving asteroid or comet impact seems to provide the most likely answer. An indentation clearly visible near Mexico's Yucatan Peninsula, in the Caribbean Sea, as well as the presence of much extraterrestrial iridium dating to this point in rock strata around the world, is evidence for the theory. Such an impact would have caused great floods and firestorms and blocked out sunlight to large parts of the Earth for months. Loss of warmth, and the sunlight required for plant photosynthesis, suggests considerable immediate devastation. Unlike the large dinosaurs, the small, mostly nocturnal, heat-generating mammals then present were less vulnerable.³

Another theory holds that plate tectonic activity put so much volcanic particle debris into the atmosphere that a nuclear winter took hold. Sunlight would have been blocked and the food chain disrupted, terminating plant life and therefore the lives of the herbivorous dinosaurs upon which the carnivorous ones preyed. Whatever the truth, this momentous evolutionary event at the end of the Mesozoic left a world for the taking.

With the reptile dinosaur line now reduced to a pool of lizards, snakes, tortoises and crocodiles, mammals were in a prime position to diversify. By intriguing coincidence, this was round about the time that Australia set off on its long journey of isolation with its cargo of early marsupials and established monotremes. Isolation may well be a key term in explaining how the thylacine came to occupy its niche at the top of the food chain, as one of only a few carnivores.

By comparison, the South American experience was one of isolation interrupted. It seems that all of its mammals—the small herbivorous marsupials, the larger but slower carnivorous marsupials Borhyaenidae and Thylacosimidae, and the placental ungulates (hoofed herbivores)—fell victim to what is known as the Great Interchange. This occurred when the sea level dropped and the Panama isthmus once again created a land bridge with North America. Faster, more efficient placental predators flooded in and decimated the South American endemics. That, of course, did not happen to Australia's evolving marsupials.

Reference has been made to confusion over the exact lineage of the thylacine. Carnivorous groups moving through the supercontinents left empty of dinosaurs included cat-like oxyaenids, dog-like mesonychids and mongoose-like hyaenodonts, either or all of which might lay claim to being remote thylacine progenitors.

Unfortunately, the Australian fossil record doesn't extend back beyond about the halfway point in the continent's isolation. This means that the thylacine's true origins may always remain unknown; the gap in the record is too great. The Thylacinidae family has so far been dated back about 30 million years.

Australia's combination of flat topography and prolonged subterranean chemical activity have worked against the preservation of fossils. Before the 25 million year mark, the continent's terrestrial vertebrate fossil record is meagre. Many assumptions have had to be made. But there is a spectacular exception with the presence of the monotremes, the egg-laying, milk-suckling mammals, represented today by the terrestrial echidna and the aquatic platypus. Their singular ancestral line bypasses all other mammalian branches as it leads directly back to the protomammals. To call monotremes 'primitive' may be taxonomically correct but, like the crocodilians, they have survived and flourished remarkably, surely a true test of Darwinian fitness.

As noted, marsupial mammals generally have been considered 'less advanced' than placentals. The logic supporting this—that placental gestation operates at a higher evolutionary level ignores the circumstances of marsupial evolution. Once cut adrift, marsupial herbivores, carnivores and omnivores adapted in two ways, to each other and to the constantly changing island continent, from the extremes of its Antarctic position, which included months of perpetual southern darkness, to the periods of great inland seas, when the continent was like a patchwork of islands, to the relatively recent aridification of the centre contrasting with the humid forests fringing the north and north-east.

True marsupial diversification and radiation⁴ is first evident in the Oligocene. But because fossil evidence of *Thylacinus cynocephalus* doesn't predate this, there is at present no way of determining its Australian lineage—let alone a link to the South American Borhyaenidae, to which it bears a superficial resemblance. What is clear is that the thylacine became widespread across the continent, which includes New Guinea. Its predecessor by some 4 to 6 million years was the considerably larger *Tbylacinus potens*, whose fossil record is very meagre. The modern thylacine outlived both the marsupial lion, *Thylacoleo carnifex*, and the giant predatory kangaroo, *Propleopus*. It would also have competed to some extent with reptilian carnivores like the giant goanna and the terrestrial crocodile *Quinkana*. Even so, these represent a paucity of major predators, given the abundance and variety of herbivores. Down in size and occupying different niches are the Tasmanian devil, also once widespread on the mainland (and much larger than its modern-day descendant), and the tiger cats.

The Riversleigh fossil collections of Queensland provide a comprehensive thylacine picture back to a point: 'Five species ranging in size from that of a big domestic cat to that of a dingo thrived 25 million years ago—a sure indication that they had been evolving for a long time. But by 15 million years ago they were reduced to two species, and by 8 million years ago there was only one.'⁵

It is possible that the niche-specific thylacine developed relatively free of competition even from the much larger carnivorous megafauna, although rather than being an evolutionary bonus this may have spelled long-term problems once dingoes, men and dogs came on the scene. Although the theory of convergent evolution suggests that its canid-like features and some behavioural characteristics gave it a dominant position equivalent to that of the Northern Hemisphere wolf, some critical features may have been absent.⁶ The thylacine may not have needed to develop genuine speed to go with its stamina when hunting wallaby and kangaroo. It may also have enjoyed the luxury of being able to depend exclusively upon these and similar prey, to the extent of feeding selectively from fresh kill. Perhaps it lacked the ability to scavenge and to learn to adopt an omnivorous diet in the face of later competition.

That the thylacine inhabited much of the Australian continent is further evidence of a lack of major competing predators; it also reveals an ability to adapt to dissimilar environments. This was particularly the case from about 6000 years ago when the interior began to dry out. There is no real evidence that thylacines were affected by the increasing aridity. On the contrary, Aboriginal rock and sacred cave art dating back to that time, in such areas as the Kimberley and Arnhem Land, vividly portrays the animal. There is also a famous mummified thylacine (see plate section), found in 1966 at the base of a shaft on the dry Nullarbor Plain, that fell in and died there about 4000 years ago. It would have lived in very different circumstances to the thylacines of Tasmania, by then separated from the mainland and affected in large areas by cold, moist Antarctic winds and cold ocean currents.

Predator follows prey (never the other way around!), and as the kangaroos and wallabies radiated into most parts of the continent, it's entirely logical that the thylacine did likewise. Aborigines are known to have hunted them for food, but this would have been in a strictly utilitarian manner, in no way threatening the species' existence. Ancient Aboriginal rock-cave art depicting thylacines exists in at least eight locations, scattered geographically and over time. There are about 30 known thylacine fossil sites, out of a total of about 70 significant Quaternary mammal sites in Australia. In an evolutionary sense, therefore, there is evidence that the thylacine evolved as a successful marsupial, unchallenged as the continent's pre-eminent modern terrestrial carnivore.


AT THE END: Extinction

During our conversation, he told me that the last Tasmanian Tiger taken alive was caught at Adamsfield in the 1930s by his uncle. The method they used to trap the animal was to make a large box out of bush timber with a snare at the door and a baited release mechanism. The tiger had been around the camp for some time so it was not too long before it was caught in the box. To remove him from the trap they placed a rope noose, attached to the end of a long pole, around his neck. After that it was a simple job to hobble him and muzzle his mouth. Placed on the back of a horse he was delivered to Westbury Zoo where he was put on exhibition to the public.

JOHN GOULDING, GEILSTON BAY

Extinction has always been integral to the life process, but when, as in the case of the thylacine, it is both manifestly unnatural and recent, it becomes our ineluctable duty to learn from the experience. Many have already done so. Alongside its positive allure and mystique as a tourism and quality-brand symbol, the loss of the thylacine glaringly symbolises wanton, careless destruction of the natural world.

Too late, Tasmanians realised that their champion marsupial carnivore occupied a vulnerable niche in the island's ecosystem. Thylacines were neither pest nor vermin but simply a perceived obstacle to nineteenth-century progress. And, whether snared or shot, they were also a source of bounty income.

Prior to European settlement, thylacine numbers may have been around the 5000 mark. While the true figure can never be known, this is a reasonable estimate, based on predator/ land-requirement studies. Tasmania is approximately the same size as Ireland or Sri Lanka, or West Virginia or Hokkaido, with over 30 per cent of its land surface permanently locked away as World Heritage Area and protected parkland. Much of this area is inaccessible.

Five thousand is not a great number. What would it take to decimate this population in just one century, to the extent that it could not even find refuge in those wild areas of the state? Human predation, at a minimum, accounted for over two thousand thylacines presented for government bounty between 1888 and 1912. Many hundreds had been killed long before then, in response to a sheep-protecting private bounty and by hunting, already disrupting the population's stability. Habitat alteration simultaneously compacted the animal's range. On top of this, at the beginning of the twentieth century a virulent, possibly bacterial, infection may have fatally attacked many marsupial animals, including the thylacine. But there has been no conclusive evidence to support this as a contributing factor to its decline.

Naturalists, scientists and others, such as the artist John Gould, had been warning of the thylacine's possible demise since the middle of the nineteenth century. Such was the animal's lot, although sightings continue to this day and, feasibly, it is in those locked-away and inaccessible areas of Tasmania that conditions may allow for a remnant population to have survived. It would have to be a remarkable throw of the dice: Top carnivores, including eagles, tigers, and great white sharks, are predestined by their perch at the apex of the food web to be big in size and sparse in numbers. They live on such a small portion of life's available energy as always to skirt the edge of extinction, and they are the first to suffer when the ecosystem around them starts to erode.¹

Despite the odds against its survival, the thylacine's extinction is not automatically proven. Two primary points are at issue. The first is that a species will become extinct if its numbers drop below the critical mass needed to sustain the gene pool, if the gene pool is limiting. The second is whether a species can survive once forced into a relatively difficult, increasingly shrinking wild environment. After millions of years of evolving as a specialised predator, what mechanisms would it have for 'adapting' to rapid, deleterious change?²

Scientists distinguish between mass extinctions and 'background' or 'random' extinctions, the latter occurring as a normal evolutionary feature, as first set out in Charles Darwin's 1859 *The Origin of Species*. The thylacine belongs in a third category, of human-induced extinctions, and so joins vast numbers of terrestrial, arboreal, aerial and aquatic species which have become extinct in just that way. However, it would be dangerous in the extreme to ascribe positive Darwinian notions of survival of the fittest (that humans need to eat) to justify extinction of, say, the dodo or the passenger pigeon.

The statistics of human-induced extinctions can be mindboggling. During the course of the nineteenth century something like 5 billion passenger pigeons were shot in the United States, along with up to 50 million Great Plains bison killed, and almost that many pronghorn antelope. Human ingenuity and cunning aside, many lost species simply lacked defence mechanisms against humans as predator. Dodos were not stupid: they simply had no inbuilt fear of people. This is a common trait among creatures endemic to remote islands where Europeans settled, such as Hawaii, New Zealand and Madagascar. Conversely, many creatures are naturally wary of man: an instinct developed over long periods of time.

Extinction is tricky: 'We have only the haziest idea about how many species currently exist on earth, how many there may have been in the past, and how many are going extinct at any one time'.³

The devastation of Australia's Ice Age megafauna was swift and severe. Thirteen genera of large marsupial mammals—about 45 megafaunal species—perished. This had occurred by about 20 000 years ago, when humans were well-established on the continent. Were they hunted to extinction? If they were, perhaps the thylacine survived because it was comparatively small and also mostly nocturnal. The thylacine in fact belongs in a rare subcategory of human-agency extinction, since it was exterminated not as a food (nor in the name of sport) but because it was supposedly destroying an economic asset, namely, sheep.

Can random or background extinction explain the thylacine's disappearance from mainland Australia? This natural extinction process inevitably operates over a very long period of time and, equally inevitably, climate plays a role of some sort in it. Climate change alters growing conditions, which affect herbivores; in turn, carnivores are affected. It may be that the harshness resulting from continental Australia's aridification, and the sheer size of the continent, dispersed the thylacine gene pool to the point where introduced packs of hunting, scavenging dingoes more effectively took over the vast 'niche'.

Dingoes came to Australia with the Aborigines, from regions to the north, not more than 12 000 years ago (when dingo-free Tasmania was cut off) and probably much more recently—about 6000 years ago.⁴ Fossil evidence indicates that mainland thylacines were then widespread; the same evidence shows them to be extinct by about 3000 years ago. This rapid decline is of interest in itself, but is all the more intriguing for the fact that it parallels the decline of the Tasmanian devil on the mainland. Why did that strictly nocturnal, scavenging predator suffer a similar fate?

What is certain is that there have been two quite distinct thylacine extinctions, first on mainland Australia and New Guinea, and subsequently in Tasmania. There is no evidence that wild dogs competed successfully with thylacines in Tasmania after 1803—except in a cruelly oblique way, where thylacines were incorrectly blamed for dog-kills of sheep. More questionable is whether a competitor species, introduced through human agency, constitutes a 'natural' form of competition. Given the thousands of years over which the dingo—thylacine struggle apparently took place, one could say that struggle was natural. But the later introduction to Australia by settlers of many animals, which soon became feral, was not, setting in motion as it did endemic extinctions, destabilising an already fragile environment.

Those interlopers included mice, rats, rabbits, cats, horses, donkeys, camels, goats, pigs, water buffalo, foxes and cane toads, all, apparently, robust at adapting. The mammals are all placentals, needless to say. Does this prove the point that marsupials thrived in post-Gondwana Australia only because they had no placental competition? 'Perhaps' is not a very scientific word, but as an answer it will have to do. Contemporary feral destruction is, alas, not in doubt:

The impact of introduced mammals on the Australian environment and fauna has been very great and is exceeded only by the case of New

30 | THYLACINE

Zealand. It may reasonably be assumed, however, that each feral mammal species has by now spread into every suitable Australian habitat and that their populations are more or less stable.⁵

A stable feral population is proof positive that the ousting of some sensitive natives is complete. The long-term effect on the Australian biota of forced relocations or extinctions (the latter a growing list, headed by the thylacine) is not presently knowable, but there are sure to be adverse consequences. Sadly, the thylacine's demise may not represent an ecological catastrophe, or even a setback. We have lived without it. Yet if its passing means so little—and general indifference to threatened and endangered wildlife suggests so—the consequences for the future are truly grave.

A single species is estimated to have a lifespan of a few million years. This is hugely variable, but is derived from painstakingly gathered data. In the course of natural events individual species die out slowly, over thousands of years, in the converse of the evolutionary process.

Set against all of the above, and rendering them puny, are the phenomena of the mass extinctions which have governed the fate of Earthly life and are but vaguely understood. There have been about fifteen of these events, of which five are considered major, and two so gargantuan as to be named the First Event (which came at the end of the Palaeozoic era, and destroyed 90 per cent of life on the planet) and the Second Event (the extinction of the dinosaurs). Some palaeontologists and other scientists believe that the Third Event has been underway for many thousands of years—demonstrably aided and abetted by *Homo sapiens sapiens*.

The Third Event involves the consequences of thousands of years of Ice Age change—in climates and sea levels—and the impact of human evolution. Eight million years ago monkeys were diversifying rapidly. Four million years ago upright hominids were dispersing across Africa. Three million years ago, the famous Ethiopian, Lucy the australopithecine, lived in a troop, her brain not much larger than a chimp's. Two million years ago *Homo habilis* appeared, with a brain about halfway between that of a chimp and the future *Homo sapiens sapiens*. In the last 300 years, the onset of the industrial revolution, and all that has followed, has devastated the natural world, as much by its meteoric speed as by its thoroughness. Hundreds of species are dying out each day.

Carbon dioxide emissions have created global warming out of step with nature's processes for doing the same. The Earth's lungs, the tropical moist forests, are themselves headed towards extinction. One does not have to be a Third Eventist to be awestruck at our capacity to destroy without replenishing.

Meanwhile, it is no coincidence that Tasmania, the island that killed its tiger and has regretted it ever since, has much of its land mass locked away as World Heritage Area and parkland. This makes it one of the world's most protected places. Few would dispute the role of the thylacine in making that come about, as will be discussed in a later chapter.

The thylacine may be officially long gone, but other 'extinct' animals have come back and, through global agencies such as the IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) and CITES (the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species), some imminent extinctions are being arrested although sadly these tend to be the high-profile cases such as the panda and Russia's 40 known Siberian leopards.

Extinction reversal, as a natural return from the dead, is invariably surprising. The coelacanth, a deep-sea fish, was believed to have been extinct for 75 million years until discovered alive and well near the Comores Islands off Africa's east

The thylacine, along with the dodo, is the most prominent victim of modern human-induced species extinction. But unlike the flightless bird of Mauritius, which became an easy food source for Dutch sailors, the thylacine was targeted as an economy-wrecking sheep killer. (T. Peters, South Australian Museum)

32 | THYLACINE

coast. It is unchanged in form, a Palaeozoic miracle. Ironically, nearby Madagascar has been raped of its forest cover and has possibly the inhabited world's worst extinction record. Yet in the 1980s the golden hapalemur was discovered there, a new species of lemurid. Numerous Australian marsupials and birds have been declared extinct only to be found again. The noisy scrub bird is a good example, last seen in 1899 before its rediscovery in 1961. Other returnees include the bridled nail-tailed wallaby and the sandhill dunnart and, for sheer longevity of absence, the mountain pygmy possum, believed extinct for 15 000 years.

The Angolan giant sable antelope, thought to have been rendered extinct by 30 years of sustained, brutal civil war, has been rediscovered, although there have been only five positive sightings. Angola is much larger than Tasmania. They do, however, have in common great tracts of forest which people don't enter. No doubt the antelope retreated there. It is this ability of a species to protect itself that gives so much hope to those who believe in the continued existence of the thylacine. But it doesn't offer much comfort. Life on earth took hundreds of millions of years to evolve. The rapidity, ruthlessness and extent of human-induced extinctions, of which the thylacine is just one high-profile victim, is truly frightening.





'PATHETICALLY LITTLE IS KNOWN'

It seems lunchtime had arrived at Adamsfield and Mr. Batiste and his partner were seated at the sheltered edge of a clearing, where they were working a sluice used to recover the alluvial osmiridium. In Mr. Batiste's own words, or as near as it is possible to get to them: 'We heard a commotion in the bush behind, when a wallaby burst out right in front of us, bounding and weaving for dear life across the open space, closely followed by "His Nibs" in full cry . . .'

Geoff Aschman, Lindisfarne

What, then, *is* a thylacine? Until officially declared extinct on 7 September 1986, it had the distinction of being the world's largest extant marsupial carnivore, existing only in Tasmania, the cool-temperate island state of Australia. Long part of the mainland, Tasmania became separated from the rest of the continent by a body of seawater, Bass Strait, some 12 000 years ago. That interglacial rise in sea-levels, a result of global warming, saved the thylacine, which was headed for extinction on the mainland.

Although the thylacine has always been an intriguing and

controversial subject and therefore much written about, it wasn't until 1985 that the first definitive book on the animal was published, Eric Guiler's *Thylacine: The Tragedy of the Tasmanian Tiger*. It was then, and still is, a critically important account of the animal. The title of this chapter is taken from it:

Pathetically little is known of the biology of the thylacine. It is possible to make reasonable deductions from the early anatomical descriptions but we have to complete the picture from the other sketchy information available. However, this is not made easy for us as the literature contrains some contradictory statements and some observations are obviously incorrect.¹

Robert Paddle's *The Last Tasmanian Tiger: The History and Extinction of the Thylacine*, arising out of the author's PhD thesis, is a timely and meritorious new contribution to the understanding of the thylacine, particularly in respect of attitudes towards it. The book is meticulously researched and provocative. Where Guiler cites a lack of information as the main difficulty in thylacine research, for Paddle the real stumbling block is the inconsistent and sometimes deliberately false information attending the animal before and since its demise: 'There are ambiguities, silences, real omissions, pretended omissions and contradictions in the literature.'²

Thus, in considering the thylacine's anatomical and behavioural characteristics, it is as well to bear in mind that fact and folklore are by definition at odds.

To begin at the beginning: the thylacine is a marsupial mammal, that is, it gives birth to tiny immature embryos which are suckled in a *marsupium*, or pouch. (Marsupials inhabit the Australian continent, which includes New Guinea and some nearby islands, as well as North and South America.) Thylacines are short-haired and tawny or tan-coloured. Their most distinctive



Comparisons of Silhouettes



Comparisons of Silhouettes

38 | THYLACINE

Studies of the thylacine's musculature and skeleton also engender debate. It possibly lacked the ability to stiffen the wrists and digits, which is a requirement for quadrupeds to run at speed. This would lend weight to accounts of its being a ponderous or awkward-looking runner. A presumably authoritative 1983 account states: 'It had a rather stiff gait and could not run very fast'.⁴

Paddle cites evidence to the contrary, and also refers to their 'rapid pursuit' hunting technique.⁵ This presumed technique in turn must contend with contradictory evidence. Well-known Tasmanian bushman-trapper Mont Turner in the 1960s spoke of them 'prowling along patiently after their prey'.⁶ As a child he had helped his father trap the two thylacines which are in Launceston's Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery. Raymond Hoser agrees: 'The Thylacine is not particularly agile. The hindquarters slope downwards and this, together with the stiffly held tail, which is rigidly united to the spine, forces the animal to run with a peculiar stiff, loping movement'.⁷ Ongoing skeletal anatomy studies at the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, however, now suggest that the thylacine is capable of genuine speed and an ability to turn swiftly and precisely, due to its narrow chest. (Fleeing wallabies exhibit a good turn of pace!)

It is arguable that generations of scientists have peddled and recycled misleading thylacine information, of which a prime example is the gait/speed. Why, for example, would nature spend millennia endowing a predator with such a hindrance of a tail that it 'forces' the animal into an awkward form of locomotion?

Earlier skeletal studies had been used to argue in favour of the animal having a bipedal tendency, that is, an ability to hop like its distant relatives the herbivorous marsupials. Certainly, the rear leg can be flattened at the ankle, but to thereby infer kangaroo-like locomotion requires a metaphorical leap of faith A unique animal. As an 'extension' of the body, the tail is powerful and possibly rudder-like; the hind legs appear to be shorter than the forelegs but this is because the versatile marsupial ankle flattens, creating a 'foot' which might have a residual hopping function; the thick neck supports an extraordinary jaw. (Michael Sharland)

and imagination. The thylacine's far closer marsupial relatives, the Tasmanian devil and the tiger cat, are strictly quadrupedal. The belief in a bipedal form of movement may have arisen in response to a number of early written accounts that refer to it briefly bounding and/or hopping, particularly when chasing or being chased.⁸

Cat-like abilities were also ascribed to it, in being able to jump fairly high and also to leap with agility from one raised point to another. Exaggerated or not, all of these features would require a sound balancing mechanism. The thylacine had a long, powerful tail; surely a prominent asset, though far from having a truly pentapedal (fifth-limb) function. The tail could be used as a rudder, to improve the animal's balance and thus its ability to run and turn swiftly. The tail also has a clear social function: swishing/wagging to indicate anger; held out straight when disturbed or threatened; and held vertically when sexually aroused, for both visual and olfactory communication. A crest of hair at the end of the tail can be raised, like hackles (this is also a feature of the Tasmanian devil).

The following account, if accurate, vividly describes the tail—and is a rare instance of a person being attacked:

Adventure with a Native Tiger.—It is by no means generally believed that there are native animals that will face a man. Nevertheless, it is so. On Monday, as Mr. B. Stevenson, a sheep farmer on the North Esk, was going round his run, a large native tiger rushed close up to him. He hit it with his walking stick, which broke with the blow. The tiger, uninjured, turned and ran away about ten paces, then faced round, growling very fiercely, and came up a step at a time to within 6ft. or 8ft. Then he stood growling, and with his tail wagging backward and forward after the fashion of a cat on the point of catching a bird. Mr. Stevenson's only means of defence was his pocket-knife. He could not even get hold of a stone. However, after due consideration the animal evidently deemed discretion the better part of valour, and made off for an adjacent dogwood scrub. Formerly tigers were very troublesome to the sheep farmers on the North Esk River.⁹

Finding evidence of the thylacine's contact with the ground in the form of spoor (paw-prints), finding scats, or a lair, have long been held out as the most likely proof of its continuing existence. Its prints are not dissimilar to a dog's and, as previously noted, might also be mistaken for a wombat's. A dog-like quality also applies to its droppings, which again might bring such evidence into question. A typical lair is known to be a shallow rock cave with nesting material covering the floor. Hollow logs, dense shrubs, overhangs and rocky outcrops are also used. A captive thylacine at Washington's Smithsonian Institute zoo was observed to carry hay in its mouth for nestbuilding. It is also possible that, like devils, thylacine families use more than one lair. (Hyaenas frequently move their cubs between lairs.) Whatever the case, discovery of a lair in current use in the extensive Tasmanian wilderness would cause great excitement, and would be surpassed only by an unambiguous sighting.

Any number of colourful and imaginative descriptions have been applied to the noises the thylacine makes. Writing down a sound is difficult at the best of times, which partly explains the uncertainty over this particular attribute. Needless to say there are no recordings of its vocalisations. Confusion with other animal noises has also played its part, most notably with the shrieks and screams of the Tasmanian devil at night, noises which are most unsettling to the uninitiated. A 'coughing bark' would appear to describe the thylacine's more usual vocalisation. An expert who would have heard it many times, Alison Reid, Hobart Zoo's last curator, wrote the sound as 'ah-ah-ah-ah'. This indicates a muted level. In describing his thylacine capture, Mont Turner recollected that the animal 'couldn't get free and he coughed with rage. It was the same cough I'd heard many a night as I lay in my bunk in the camp'.¹⁰

But this was just one part of the vocal range. Paddle devotes considerable space to reconstructing and analysing five distinct vocalisations and provides written evidence that the sounds could be quite loud. Far less inclined to be certain—reflecting that uncertainty is the governing factor in so much information about the thylacine—is David Pemberton, zoology curator of the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery. He cites a woman who reported that it has a 'ping'-sounding call. Were that to find its way into a newspaper, says Pemberton, 'then suddenly we have the sixth call of the thylacine: the ping call'.¹¹

Why the stripes? They too are surely multifunctional. The traditional explanation is camouflage but, as with the stripes of

42 | THYLACINE

the zebra, reassessment of the seemingly obvious is no bad thing. Individual recognition is a likely function, as is the converse of camouflage: a visible predator striking sudden panic into a prey group, which flees, with the weakest quickly becoming the target. Striped and spotted cats hunt like this.

A less desirable outcome of having a striped hide is that a thylacine waistcoat apparently became a sought-after fashion item in Victorian England and in the United States. Although folklore has it that they were popular, no such waistcoat is now known to exist, and the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery's Kathryn Medlock, who maintains the museum's thylacine collection and researches thylacine collections and information worldwide, is sceptical: 'It was written once by [thylacine fanatic and former head of the Tasmanian Film Corporation] Norm Laird and it's been quoted ever since. I've been through his papers and I've been through export deeds and we've never found anything. Apparently one was sold to the Victoria and Albert Museum, so I wrote to them and they said no, that never happened'.¹²

Reproduction and its consequences arc across anatomy and physiology. The female thylacine raises up to four young, having that number of nipples in her backward-opening pouch. Breeding is confidently thought to take place once a year. It follows that the thylacine family unit is small. (African hunting dogs have up to sixteen pups in a litter.) It may even be that the father—which, like the devil, has its testes in a semi-pouch doesn't form part of this family unit. What is certain is that the young stay permanently in the pouch for the first few months of life as fur and limbs develop, then spend a period of time outside the pouch and fully mobile, but still returning to it until they are weaned. Thereafter, speculation and conflicting hearsay again take over. Do the cubs run with the mother while she hunts, or does she stash them in the den, returning there with food for Thylacine males and their closest relatives Tasmanian devils have pseudo-pouches in which the testes are normally protected. (Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery)

them? The relatively large number of mothers and cubs captured for zoos and bounty claims indicates that the cubs were often with the mother. (Mary Roberts, who owned and ran the original Beaumaris Zoo in Hobart, wrote that thylacines were attentive mothers.)

The fundamental notions of territory and home range must also be inferred, because conflicting first-hand reports indicate the animal as having been strongly territorial but also as wide-ranging and even nomadic. (The Tasmanian devil is not particularly territorial.) Could it be that as the European settlers dispersed across the island state they observed both natural thylacine behaviour and behaviour influenced by human encroachment? Guiler suggests that the killing of thylacines freed up choice areas of territory into which new individuals or family groups moved, so creating 'an impression of constant movement'.¹³

44 | THYLACINE

It is likely that in their natural state, perhaps especially on an island with distinct microclimates, thylacine populations would tend to confine themselves to defined, scent-marked ranges. Thus Guiler's view makes sense—that when nature's equilibrium is disturbed, unlikely patterns emerge and can appear to be normal.

There remain two aspects of thylacine behaviour to consider. One is the animal's diet, the other its relationship to humans. Its speed and agility-or lack of either or both-would clearly have a bearing on how it hunts. Thylacines are believed to overwhelmingly prefer macropods (wallabies and kangaroos) as prey. Adult kangaroos are considerably larger than thylacines. These prey animals, which are both reasonably swift, are active from dusk to dawn, generally feeding on open plains beyond the treeline. This suggests an ideal scenario for the cooperative hunting seen on the African plains, in which the prey group is panicked and flees and a weak individual chased and brought down. Thylacines have been reported hunting both singly and in small, family-sized groups. Cooperative techniques would appear feasible for an animal that isn't fast, that pursues prey sometimes big or bigger than itself, and has a known ability to pursue until the prey is exhausted.

The following observation by animal behaviourists Jane and Hugo van Lawick-Goodall of East African hunting dogs (an endangered species), which they called nomads of the plains, can with some justification be imaginatively superimposed onto a marsupial meadow of the pre-European island, since it describes a technique which the thylacine is known to use:

Wild dogs normally approach a selected individual or herd very slowly, walking with their heads held low and parallel with the ground, and adopting a slight crouch in their gait. In this way the hunters can sometimes get to within fifty yards or so of a herd of zebras or wildebeests before the intended prey begins to run... From this point, the hunt may develop in a number of different ways. Sometimes, particularly when the dogs are approaching a small herd, it appears that the prey is selected before the chase begins, often by the leader of the pack. When he starts to run the other dogs follow suit, and all dogs pursue the same prey until it is either caught or manages to escape. At other times, usually when the wild dogs are hunting large herds, the pack makes a short chase towards a group of animals and then stands or walks slowly whilst watching intently as the herd starts to run... What are the dogs looking for when they stand watching a herd run past them? And why do the individuals of a normally closely united pack sometimes separate in seeming disorder? [Because] both techniques enable the wild dogs to select an individual from the herd that is, in some way, weaker and slower . . . During the actual chase wild dogs placed behind the leaders will cut corners when the prey changes direction and so gain positions farther forward in the pack, or actually in the lead. This is particularly noticeable when the dogs chase a Thompson's gazelle, for this creature usually zigzags across the plains when hunted, or describes a very large circle, so that, by the end of the chase, several different dogs may have led the pack simply by cutting the corners.¹⁴

A wallaby when hunted also flees in a wide circle, which the thylacine has been observed to cut across, finally ambushing it after what may have been an exhaustive chase. While Guiler notes a lack of evidence to support pack hunting, Paddle, on the other hand, sees 'placental chauvinism' at work in falsely condemning the thylacine to a lack of speed and therefore to a hunting technique relying solely upon dogged pursuit. He suggests that dynamic thylacine striping enables individuals to instantly recognise each other: a vital part of daytime cooperative hunting. African hunting dogs are also vividly, idiosyncratically marked.¹⁵

So it is that the stripes possibly have a hunting function. Can the same be said for the tail? Arising out of a number of early assumptions, the thylacine was credited with being a marine

46 | THYLACINE

predator, and a good one at that. Settlers had learned from the island's Aborigines that thylacines were strong swimmers. This in itself is a common mammalian characteristic, as most mammals have bodies of water as part of their habitat. But from the very beginning scientists reckoned the compressed– flattened–stiffened tail to be adapted for swimming, and this, combined with obvious empirical evidence—the early white settlers were all on the coast—of thylacines roaming the seashore, led to the belief that they ate crustaceans, seal pups and washedup marine carcasses, and even chased fish! (The earliest description of the Tasmanian devil claimed that it ate blubber . . .)

The eminent naturalist Michael Sharland, writing in the 1960s, stated as fact that 'being essentially a hunter and a discriminating one, satisfied only with warm flesh, it was natural for it to prey upon sheep and lambs which were easier to hunt than native game'.¹⁶

A less savoury misconception relates to the thylacine's alleged blood-thirst. Experts do not disagree on its predilection for vascular tissue and some of the internal organs, such as the kidneys, heart and lungs. Not only do these organs provide excellent nourishment for a carnivore; in the natural state on the island the thylacine had no quadruped competitor obliging it to eat every last morsel of prey on the spot, or to drag the carcass to a place of safety. Graphic colour photographs show allegedly recent, selective-feeding thylacine kills.¹⁷

It is only a small step leading from selective, nutritious feeding to the tag of vampire and all the old European-based myths of lurking horrors in the dark woods. Where contemporary opinion diverges is on the extent to which the thylacine actually lapped or even 'drank' blood. Paddle constructs a case to lay the blame for this notion squarely on the shoulders of a snobbish Oxford University academic who visited Tasmania in 1907, and whose ill-informed views were subsequently swallowed by most Australian scientists, including Guiler apparently, who as recently as 1991 wrote: 'Tasmanian tigers would kill sheep in a very characteristic way. They used their huge gape to bite out the throat and then they drank the blood'.¹⁸

Or, as one populist account would have it: 'Many believe it was not so much the flesh that the tigers killed for but the blood which they sucked through the neck and liver',¹⁹ suggesting a vampire-like carnivore that had little or no need of solids! (The author does, however, also state that it ate 'almost any other animal or bird it could find in the bush'.) Kathryn Medlock has expressed concern that not only is the vampire tag wrong, it is also of recent origin. University of Tasmania senior lecturer in Zoology Dr Randy Rose is another of the opinion that, as a carnivore, the thylacine would not be too fussy a feeder.

Alas, it seems to be true that we know pathetically little. And yet very many Vandemonians and Tasmanians interacted directly with thylacines and were, mostly, honest in telling their stories. It is from them that other glimpses of the genuine creature can be seen. (In a double irony, such is its growing mystique that even today most Tasmanians know of someone who knew someone who was bushwalking at dusk when suddenly . . . and the myths and imponderables begin all over again.)

Naturalist and journalist Col Bailey has been collecting 'tiger' stories for over 30 years and his 2001 publication *Tiger Tales* provides an altogether different perspective on the thylacine. Bailey's first-person accounts of wild thylacines and their behaviour are important as an alternative to their demeanour in captivity; not least when the general impression, as befitted the times, seemed to be that zoo thylacines were boring and smelly.

Bailey befriended an old Depression-era trapper by the name of Reg Trigg who, back then, had caught a 'terrified' thylacine in a snare. In time Trigg was able to feed it by hand and stroke the top of its head, but he eventually released it back into the wild.

Pet thylacines were not all that uncommon but could probably only be domesticated when caught as pups. They could apparently be walked on a lead and were generally docile and even friendly. Botanist and Royal Society fellow Ronald Campbell Gunn, who in 1842 became editor of the *Tasmanian Journal of Natural Science*, tamed no less than three and, presumably accurately, wrote: 'It seems far from being a vicious animal at its worst, and the name Tiger or Hyaena gives a most unjust idea of its fierceness'.²⁰

Despite their general elusiveness and retiring nature, numerous stories relate to thylacines in the wild being naturally inquisitive about humans and following them persistently—by one account, for nearly two days. Thylacines hanging around campsites is more explicable, given the presence of food. And in the natural state thylacines and Aborigines coexisted for millennia.

The pity is that those who were interested in the thylacine represented a very significant minority, as epitomised in Bailey's account of teenager Stanley Conroy, who in the early 1900s was working with his father clearing bush. One morning the youngster

was astonished to see a fully grown male Tasmanian tiger casually inspecting the ranks of the yoked bullocks. Stanley stood mesmerised as the tiger boldly strolled among the terrified oxen. Once the animal caught wind of the human . . . however, it vanished in an instant into thick bracken lining the track. Stanley kept the sighting to himself for he knew that should his father learn of the tiger, he would not rest until he had hunted it down and shot it, such was his hatred of the animal.²¹



A RUGGED And Determined Front: Van Diemen's Land Settled

About half way between the Frankland and Arthur Rivers we were camped for the night, in a beautiful glade in a myrtle forest, when around the fire in the evening, my father told of the experience he and Bill Morley had as they walked into Mount Balfour to commence work at the mine, over the same track, and he told us that as they walked in they were stalked through the night by two Tasmanian tigers, and were afraid to stop for rest . . .

R. R. MCARTHUR, LINDISFARNE

Over a period of some thousands of years a profound technological development set up conditions for civilisation to advance. This was the domestication of plants and animals, which first occurred in the Mesopotamian region. Where previously wild grains had been harvested where they grew naturally, between about 8000 BC and 3000 BC barley, oats, lentils, olives, onions, camels, cattle, sheep, pigs and horses all came under the

50 | THYLACINE

control of man. The invention of irrigation and the plough and the warming global climate made cultivation possible. Far eastwards across the other side of the world, at the south-east tip of Australia, that same global warming had caused the Bassian Plain to be flooded, creating the strait that isolated Tasmania from the mainland.

Thus, while the peoples of the Fertile Crescent were establishing the first villages and working out rudimentary concepts of commerce, maths and philosophy, small Aboriginal tribes became isolated on a group of islands at the bottom of the continent. People had already been in those parts for tens of thousands of years and their ancestors had experienced similar comings and goings on the low-lying flat plain, bounded by mountains to its east (their remnants now the islands of the Furneaux Group) and a large promontory to the west (its tip now King Island). Unlike previous inundations, this flooding of the plain was to have major consequences for both the people and the thylacine.

Abel Tasman was not the only mariner fooled by the mountainous, formidable bulk of the place he named Van Diemen's Land in 1642. The French and English expeditioners and adventurers who called in to its shores over the next century and a half were equally ignorant of the fact that it was an island. It seems inconceivable today that the 200 kilometre wide Bass Strait could have been missed by those sailors. But that was the nature of maritime voyaging, a hazardous European occupation undertaken in the names of science, trade and national ambition.

The establishment of a convict colony at Sydney Cove in 1788 meant that the east coast strip of *Terra Australis Nullius*, together with its mysterious southern part, became the formal possession of Britain, as did Norfolk Island, further east in the Pacific Ocean. Within ten years George Bass and Matthew Flinders had proved that Van Diemen's Land was in fact an island and plans were made to settle it at once. France and England were at war and French captain Nicolas Baudin's scientific expedition to the island was considered to be evidence of Napoleon's designs in the area.

There were other reasons. Seals and whales abounded in the cold waters. Timber was plentiful, for shipping back to England for building ships and houses. And another profound technological development—industrialisation—had produced as a spin-off decidedly uncivilised crime-ridden cities, London, Birmingham and Liverpool in particular. Poverty, opportunism and draconian laws against even the most insignificant theft or misdemeanour resulted in vast numbers of convictions. Excess convicts could no longer be sent to the North American colonies, because of the outbreak of war, while hulks moored in the Thames as floating prisons could only ever be a temporary solution. Hence the settlement at Sydney Cove, followed by the even more satisfactory revelation of the existence of a whole island, ideally suited to become a prison.

In September 1803, a ship bearing 49 people, of whom about half were convicts, hove to in Storm Bay, where the Derwent River meets the Southern Ocean. Under the command of Lieutenant Bowen, they set up camp a few kilometres upriver at a site known as Risdon Cove.¹

The party soon moved across the river to a more suitable base at the foot of the Table Mountain, subsequently Mount Wellington, where there was plentiful water, good timber and an islet in Sullivan's Cove where ships could be loaded and unloaded. This move was on the orders of Lieutenant-Governor David Collins, now in charge of the fledgling settlement. Collins had relocated from Port Phillip, later renamed Melbourne, which he had declared unsuitable as a settlement. Accompanying the Collins party was the Reverend Robert Knopwood. Just a few months after Hobart's establishment he bluntly recorded a sighting of a thylacine in his journal account of the plight of some escaped convicts:

Engaged all the morn upon business, examining the 5 prisoners that went into the bush. They informed me that on the 2 of May when they were in the wood, they see a large tyger; that the dog they had with them went nearly up to it, and when the tyger see the men which were about 100 yards from it, it went away.

Knopwood's journal is the most complete account of the mud and toil of the tiny, convict-built settlement at the southernmost edge of the world. Getting about on a little white pony, he was a 'quaint character, fond of shooting and fishing, especially fond of good living and the society of boon companions'.²

The island remained almost wholly unknown, its interior a formidable challenge. By night, fearsome screams came out of the thick woods. The earliest settlers were not to know that those were the calls of the island's other carnivore, the scavenging *Sarcophilus harrisii*, a creature they named to reflect their fear: the devil. And by day, in that first summer of 1803–04, the sky was blanketed with vast plumes of acrid smoke, as the natives burnt their homeland, using the fierce heat of the malevolent northerly wind to speed the process.

What must it have been like clinging so precariously to the unknown? Collins wrote this revealing descriptive account, in which nature surely has all the power:

The extremity of Van Diemen's Land, like that of Tierra del Fuego, presents a rugged and determined front to the icy regions of the south pole . . . It abounds with peaks and ridges, gaps and fissures, which not only disdain the smallest uniformity of figure, but are ever changing shape as the point of view is shifted. Beneath this strange Robert Knopwood, MA, the first Church of England Chaplain of Van Diemen's Land. This 1804 painting by Thomas George Gregson is in the possession of the Diocese of Tasmania. (State Library of Tasmania Heritage Collections)

confusion, the western part of this coast-line observes a regularity equally remarkable as the wild disorder which prevails above. Lofty ridges of mountains, bounded by tremendous cliffs, project from two to four miles into the sea \ldots^3

During their circumnavigation Bass and Flinders had entered the island's northern Tamar River and reported favourably on prospects for a second settlement there. At this time, the Norfolk Island colony was being wound down; its convicts, free settlers and governor, Lieutenant Colonel William Paterson, consequently founded Port Dalrymple; the settlement was later moved down-river and named Launceston. In this way the island was divided into two 'provinces': the quaintly named Cornwall and Buckinghamshire.

Paterson wrote the first extensive description of the mysterious Vandemonian 'tyger'. It appeared in the Sydney Gazette and *New South Wales Advertiser* of 21 April 1805, which prefaced his description with its own:

An animal of a truly singular and novel description was killed by dogs the 30th of March on a hill immediately contiguous to the settlement . . . It must be considered of a species perfectly distinct from any of the animal creation hitherto known, and certainly the only powerful and terrific of the carnivorous and voracious tribe yet discovered on any part of New Holland or its adjacent islands.

Paterson's description reads, in part:

It is very evident this species is destructive, and lives entirely on animal food; as on dissection his stomach was found filled with a quantity of kangaroo, weighing 5lbs, the weight of the whole animal 45 lbs . . . length of the eye, which is remarkably large and black, $1\frac{1}{4}$ inches . . . from the shoulder to the first stripe, 7 inches; from the first stripe to the extent of the body, 2 feet; length of the tail, 1 foot 8 inches; length of the fore leg, 11 inches; and of the fore foot, 5 inches; the fore foot with 5 blunt claws; height of the animal before, 1 foot 10 inches; stripes across the back 20, on the tail 3; 2 of the stripes extend down each thigh ... on each side of the mouth are 19 bristles ... 3 fore teeth in the upper jaw, and 6 in the under; 4 grinders of a side, in the upper and lower jaw; 3 single teeth also in each; 4 tusks, or canine teeth, length of each 1 inch . . . the body short hair and smooth, of a greyish colour, the stripes black . . . The form of the animal is that of the hyaena, at the same time strongly reminding the observer of a low wolf dog. The lips do not appear to conceal the tusks.

Paterson, an avid naturalist and optimist, confidently predicted that within a few years his tiny settlement along the Esk and Tamar Rivers would be thriving. It would do so thanks to cattle and sheep. Far south in Hobart Town (a brave walk of eight days and nights along the midland plain, or two days on horseback), free settlers were hoping for the same as they expanded timidly north along the Derwent, planting potatoes and wheat. In both places starvation became the grimmer reality. Indifferent soils, poor animal husbandry, lack of supplies from Sydney—itself suffering hunger—and aggrieved natives put paid to visions of bounty and splendour. The European presence may have intrigued some bands of Aborigines, but for others the invasion, though still minuscule, had an immediate impact. Not only did their hunting grounds become cut off; the very food itself, kangaroo and emu, began to be taken in ever larger quantities by the invader.

The inevitable clash over land and its worth set a precedent for mistrust and mutual suspicion. Needless to say, various levels of relationships between invader and indigene would develop, some decent, but 'the settlers were warned to be on guard against the people of the island who, from what were described cryptically as circumstances that had formerly taken place, had become very much irritated against the Europeans'.⁴

What was the nature of the place they were attempting to tame in order to turn it into a prison? The ironies inherent to the task became very real in the first few years.

Irony one: lack of food became so acute that Hobart Town's convicts had once again to resort to theft in order to survive. Collins's reprisals included flogging and hanging—but these achieved little. Everyone was suffering. Lack of seeds and livestock and Aboriginal hostility hindered attempts at expanding the feeble little settlement. So awful were circumstances that the pigs had to be fed on scraps from the whaling vessels which put into the Derwent; the pork began to taste of lamp oil.

Irony two: convict servants were given guns and dogs in order to go into the bush to secure kangaroo and emu, thereby saving the colony. They took to the task with relish. Soon enough they, and many others, were wearing kangaroo shoes and cloaks and in time 'tiger' hats may have become sought-after items.

Irony three: the European invaders were forced to adopt survival techniques not far removed from those of the indigenous people, subsisting and making full use of what the island provided. The Europeans did so, but in achieving this success lost sight of its intrinsic value.

Irony four: a new breed of colonist, the bushranger, soon began to terrorise both native and settler. They were feral, they continued the often brutal offshore islands sealers' tradition of taking native women, and they reflected society as a whole. To the extent that Hobart Town and Port Dalrymple might call themselves genteel—for the benefit of the Crown—this description would always be undermined by commoner observations on the ground. Those two little hot spots of Van Diemen's Land, in their second or third summer, were each a rum economy, 'in the hands of what one newcomer described as a set of the biggest rogues and scoundrels in the world'.⁵

How might such a base society at the top of the food chain treat a shy carnivore itself at the top of a food chain determined over hundreds of thousands of years? The thylacine had no specific meaning for Bowen, Collins, Knopwood and their people. It was merely a creature somewhere out there, as vague as the large interior was unfathomable. The Tasmanian emu may have helped to save the fledgling colonial outpost from starvation, but in doing so its fate was sealed. Easy to catch or shoot, it soon became extinct—a tiny sign of 'progress', perhaps, towards controlling the unpredictable island. There were those who warned, to no avail, of the emu's likely extinction, but such attitudes had no place in the young colony. Today, the known local remains of the Tasmanian emu amount to a few eggs and one feather.



BEFORE The Fall: Trowenna

My Grandfather had a farm there. He and his sons were doing some clearing land, burning stumps at night, and I went with them while they stoked the fires. There was a gully just a way from where the fires was, and these Hyenas or tigers would come and watch, we could see there [sic] eyes shining, we had a clear look at their outline if we went towards them then they would dissapear [sic] but would come back, when we went home they followed us but didn't harm us. Dogs were afraid of them. They done no harm to any stock I can recall.

S. MITCHELL, COSGROVE PARK

The island upon which the thylacine became isolated had geographic and climatic features rendering it doubly unique. Not only was it part of an ancient floating laboratory but subsequently, through various Ice Age-induced phases as a true island, it developed diverse temperate conditions supporting a variety of life forms. As will be shown, the thylacine population may have been able to benefit significantly from the presence of the Palawa Aboriginal people on the island they knew as Trowenna over the past 40 000 years.¹ The island is an extension of mainland Australia's Great Dividing Range. Its mountainous western side receives copious rain delivered by the constant winds of the Roaring Forties. Large areas to the east in these mountains' rainshadow are dry for much of the year. Vegetation types and the animals feeding off them are adapted to this west—east, wet—dry pattern.

Prior to European settlement of the island, Trowenna had enjoyed a seemingly benign existence. There is no evidence of tsunamis, earthquakes, volcanoes, dramatic extinctions or other major natural calamities. Indeed, its greatest mystery may be why its people stopped eating scale fish (at about the time when, in the Middle East, chickens were being domesticated and the making of popcorn discovered, say around 3500–4000 BC).²

A number of natural conditions have operated together to the benefit of the thylacine since the island's existence in its geographically modern form. One is the climate—even during its colder periods and tundra-like conditions, prey was in good supply. Another is the island's size. At nearly 70 000 square kilometres, it is large enough to support a variety of microclimates and the relative abundance of prey in each. Then there was the absence of quadruped carnivores in direct competition with the thylacine. (Earlier, Tasmania's carnivorous megafauna, specifically *Thylacolea carnifex*, may well have been at the top of the food chain, until wiped out through a likely combination of Aboriginal hunting, fire and adverse climatic changes, none of which impacted negatively on the small thylacine.)

Most importantly, Aborigines did not fear and therefore did not persecute the thylacine, nor was it a traditional part of their diet, although most other terrestrial creatures were: macropods, the native cat, possums, wombat, echidna, seals, penguins, swans, emu and more.³

Pre-1803 hard data on the animal in Tasmania are virtually

non-existent, whereas something is known of the pre-contact Aboriginal tribes, derived from archaeological studies and oral sources, and of the Aborigines in settlement times, in particular from the diaries of George Augustus Robinson, the controversial Aboriginal–settler 'conciliator'.⁴ Because the thylacine's distribution across the island was strikingly similar to that of its indigenous people, a look back at the animal in its natural state becomes possible through what is known of the people of Trowenna in their natural state.

There were a number of Aboriginal languages, resulting in various names being given to the thylacine: *lagunta*, *corinna*, *laoonana* and *ka-nunnab* amongst them. Just one thylacine legend is known to be recorded. It is part of the Cotton collection as told by the 'high priest' Timler, and attributed to Mannalargenna, 'a great Warrior, Sage and overall Chief of a North-East Coast Federation of Tribes'.⁵ It is an insight into possible Aboriginal attitudes towards the thylacine. The legend is named 'Corinna, the Brave One'.

Palana, the little star, was the son of Moinee [the great spirit god, ruler of Trowenna]. As a boy he loved to wander in the bush and had many happy adventures. One day, however, he had a nasty encounter with Tarner, the big boomer kangaroo.

Tarner was huge and powerful, and in a very short time Palana, even though he was the son of the great Moinee, was in dire trouble. The boomer knocked him sprawling and attacked him with his huge heavy hind feet.

Somehow Palana managed to get up, but when he tried to run away Tarner caught him in his arms and quickly throwing him again to the ground, began to stamp the life out of him.

Palana screamed as loudly as he could, 'Help! Help!'

The echoes chased around the bush, rushing from tree to tree, crag to crag.

60 | THYLACINE

A nameless hyena pup, enjoying an unequal chase with Lenira, the Bandicoot, heard the cries. He stopped chasing Lenira, who could not believe his good luck, and raced to help.

Fearless, the pup leaped into the fight, ripping and tearing at the big boomer. Tarner picked up the boy, and backing against a rock, squeezed until Palana felt his life almost ebbing. The Great Kangaroo kept the young hyena at bay with his big raking hind feet.

The smart pup quickly dashed up onto the rock and sprang at Tarner, driving his sharp fangs deep into the big animal's throat. Holding the boy with one forearm, Tarner clutched desperately at the brave pup, trying to break the deathhold he had on the kangaroo's throat.

But the little hyena was there to stay.

Body tense and eyes closed, he concentrated all his strength in a mighty effort to close his jaws. Slowly he felt the flesh and sinew give under the pressure of his grip, and suddenly his teeth crashed together with a loud snap.

The big boomer, staggering and trembling violently, crashed to the ground, taking Palana and the pup with him.

There they lay motionless, exhausted and stunned beside their dead enemy.

Some time later a party of blackmen picked up the unconscious pair and carried them back to camp. The pup recovered first. Soon Palana stirred and looked about him.

There he saw Moinee the god, his father.

Walking up to Palana, the god smiled down at him and said, 'You have done well for one so young, my son. You have come through your baptism of danger bravely and unaffected. In a very short period of time you have passed from childhood and now stand on the threshold of young manhood. So be it.'

Straightaway the little boy arose and stood proudly.

He appeared to ignore his father so intent was his gaze on the hyena pup. Moinee read Palana's thoughts and a look of admiration crossed his stern face.
'From today you will make your own decisions,' Moinee said, 'and you will bestow your own rewards.'

But Palana heard not a word.

Walking over to the little hyena, the boy put his arms around the torn and bleeding neck, gently helping the pup as he rose painfully to his feet on tired, wobbly legs.

Looking into his weary yellow eyes, Palana said 'Truly you are the bravest of the brave. Today you fought not as a pup but as the Wurrawana Corinna, the Great Ghost Tiger.'

Kneeling down beside the pup, Palana reached down to where his blood had run into the ashes of the fire, and with his fingers, mixed the blood and the ashes into a thick paste.

Then, with this thick brown paste, Palana described a number of dark stripes across the pup's back from the top of his shoulders to the butt of his rigid tail, saying as he did so, 'From this day forward, all shall know you as Corinna the Tiger.⁶

In contrast, the island's other sizeable carnivore, the scavenging devil, is called by Timler 'Taraba, the Nasty One'. He is disliked by all because, with his coal-black coat, 'he would skulk around on very dark nights, silent as a ghost, attacking the very small, the very young and the very old'. The contrast with the heroic young thylacine is starkly obvious and suggests a potential for the kind of man–animal relationship that had developed elsewhere with *Canis familiaris*, the domestic dog.⁷

Geographically, the island may broadly be described by four regions running from west to east, in three of which thylacines were well distributed and probably abundant, subject to the usual interruptions to a food supply such as prolonged drought or severe cold. The regions are the mountainous west, the central plateau, the midland plain and the east coast and south-east peninsulas.

Much of the west consists of cool, wet rainforest, the mountains, valleys and river systems of which are unsuitable not only to a pursuit predator but to its prey as well. This was not always the case, however. At the height of the last Ice Age, about 20 000 years ago, rainforest cover was minimal. The Kuti Kina cave in the heart of the south-west, on the Franklin River, was home to a human population whose hunting grounds were the nearby tundra plains where wallabies browsed. Wallabies are prime thylacine prey, so they too would have flourished there, until gradual climate warming produced rainforest conditions and caused the wallabies to disappear, followed by their predators.

These spectacular western mountain ranges of Ordovician sediments in places soak up annual rainfall of more than 3500 millimetres, and can experience snow at any time, but are equally vulnerable to the fires that are such a feature of the Australian seasonal cycle. Dense stands of beech, sassafras, King Billy pine, pencil pine, Huon pine, celery top pine and othersmany of which are original Gondwanan stock, which is why they're also found in New Zealand and South America-produce some of the planet's tallest trees and a sunless floor thickly covered in decaying matter. The forest near Cox Bight in the south-west contains the world's most ancient living clonal organism, the 40 000-year-old Lamatia tasmanica plant (King's Holly), the breeding ground of one of Australia's rarest birds, the orange-bellied parrot, and is home to the terrestrial mountain shrimp, which has a 200-million-year lineage. Lichens and mosses are prolific. Impenetrable woody thickets of Anodopetalum biglandulosum, commonly known as horizontal, together with leeches, make the area hard going.

Yet the temperate maritime climate enables these forests to support animals like the devil, the carnivorous spotted-tailed quoll and the forest-specific eastern pygmy possum (which, however, is obliged to enter a torpor-like state to survive winter). Echidnas, pademelons (the small rufous wallaby) and possums also inhabit the less rugged areas of the west. All of the latter are prey for the thylacine, which is capable at the least of inhabiting rainforest fringes.

Salt marshes, dunes, wet sedgeland and buttongrass plains make up a generally narrow coastal strip along the entire west coast, but despite being in the face of the wet, cold Roaring Forties, the strip supported both man and thylacine. It is a good example of the animal's ability to adapt to a somewhat uncomfortable microclimate.

The South-West Tribe occupied territory from the natural mid-coast boundary of Macquarie Harbour to the far south-west, including the large offshore Maatsuyker and De Witt Islands, which were visited seasonally for sealing and muttonbirding. This 450 kilometres of coastline supported just four bands, making up a tribe of up to 250 people.⁸

Not surprisingly, archaeological evidence from middens shows that theirs was a largely marine diet of shellfish, crayfish and seals, supplemented by wombat and wallaby from the narrow coastal plains. The comparatively small human population suggests a similar thylacine pattern, that is, thylacine numbers would also have been governed by modest terrestrial prey populations.

Just as the west coast bands adapted by building fully enclosed huts to cope with the weather, so too the western thylacines might have adapted to their harsher surrounds (although devils show no evidence of such adaptations). Paddle notes that western thylacines may have been darker than their more temperate relatives:

It has been suggested that both size and background colour were associated with thylacine habitat preference, and hence particular subpopulations of the species . . . Such suggestions, that rainforest specimens were darker than those living in the drier, more open central plateau or coast, and that a larger body mass was associated with living in colder, more extreme environments, are not unusual for any mammal. 9

The Aborigines' huts were built in groups at intervals along the west coast and were permanent bases. Evidence of them exists in the form of 'hut pits': circular depressions dug into the soil. The dwellings were constructed over these pits, from a framework of poles bent into a dome shape and thatched with grass and bark. Groups of huts were also built on the cold central plateau. Although a retiring and elusive animal, the thylacine was known to be inquisitive about humans, even if this was only when they had food about them. But that is the first step in semi-domestication. Hand-reared thylacines apparently made manageable pets. They did not have the antisocial nature of the devil. It is not impossible to imagine a permanently settled

Mount Wellington and Hobart Town from Kangaroo Point 1831–33, by John Glover, oil on canvas. One of Glover's earliest and most important works, the Aborigines it depicts, the last of the Big River and Oyster Bay tribes, were rounded up by George Robinson and taken to Hobart. (Collection Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery/National Gallery of Australia) village having a nearby, non-threatening thylacine presence, the animals waiting to feed on offal and carcasses. But any such relationship would have ceased once Aborigines adopted dogs in large numbers in the early 1800s.

In contrast to their southern coastal neighbours, the bands of the North-West Tribe numbered perhaps as many as 600 people, making them the second most populous tribe. Five of their eight bands were associated with the extreme north-west, which included a swathe inland to the mountains. This was a particularly fertile area. The coastal lands and islands were rich in maritime foods, such as elephant seals and muttonbirds, and seasonal swan and duck eggs from the lagoons, as well as the usual macropods. The people 'moved seasonally up and down the coast, travelling along well-marked footpaths or roads to gain easy access through swampy country covered with dense scrub', and further east they used to travel 'regularly into the high inland country belonging to the North people, particularly to the Surrey and Hampshire Hills region to collect ochre. They travelled through a chain of open plains kept clear by regular firing'.¹⁰

These deliberately created alterations to the land, for ease of passage, would inevitably suit animals on the move, in the way that, for example, elephant herds bashing clearings through the jungle create pathways and light and thereby openings for other faunal (and floral) species. It was land management of a kind that encouraged, rather than frightened off, animals. Cruel proof of the thylacine's active presence in this fertile corner of the island was to come later, with the establishment of the Van Diemen's Land Company and its disastrous sheep runs on those same Surrey and Hampshire plains. The Company, headquartered at Woolnorth at the north-west tip of the island, was to institute the first thylacine bounty scheme. The Company, so its directors claimed, was being greatly harmed by thylacine predations upon its sheep.

66 | THYLACINE

East of the mountain ranges, the island's next distinct geographic region is dominated by the high central plateau, much of which is 600 metres above sea level, rising to 1200 metres. Repeated glacial activity created many thousands of highland tarns as well as larger lakes, including Australia's deepest, Lake St Clair, described as 'incomparable, the loveliest lake in the world'.¹¹ Rich soils, snowfalls at any time of the year and severe frosts ensure alpine and coniferous forest conditions at higher levels. The plateau is also resplendent with cushion plants, mosses, pandani (*Richea pandanifolia*, the world's largest heath plant, is endemic to Tasmania), poa tussocks, bog, sedgeland, montane grasslands and, at lower elevations, eucalypt-dominated dry sclerophyll vegetation associations.

Devils, quolls, bandicoots and native hens are notable among the species occupying the colder, higher parts. At more moderate levels forests are interspersed with fire-managed plains of silver tussock grass, resulting in wallabies and kangaroos, in very considerable numbers, grazing the grasses, herbs and taller shrubs occurring on these plains and at the forest edges. Later bounty records show that the greatest number of thylacines killed for that purpose were on the central plateau, although that in no way implies that thylacines were most numerous there. (Many snarers operated there, for the valuable fur industry.) Furthermore, the 'distribution of thylacines bore no relation to altitude. They were found throughout the state, and, if anything, favoured the coastal plains and scrub. However, open savannah woodland was used extensively by thylacines and they were not "confined to the mountainous regions" as was so frequently stated in the literature. This was substantiated by all of the old trappers I talked to about thylacines'.¹²

Questions of thylacine abundance and distribution will never be adequately answered while there are fundamental gaps in knowledge, such as the size of the family unit and the size of the family home range. Estimates of the latter vary so much as to be completely useless, ranging from a few square kilometres up to almost 300 square kilometres. The Tasmanian devil is known to travel up to about sixteen kilometres at one time in search of food, which suggests an ability in its larger relative to cover considerable ground.

The Big River tribe, the people of the central plateau, occupied some 8000 square kilometres of mostly prime territory. Snarers' records for the plateau, collated by Guiler, indicate an average home range of about 80 square kilometres per thylacine family group of, say, six: two adults and four young in various stages of dependency. Was there, then, a general average of about one hundred families, being some 600 thylacines, on the central plateau?

What is more than likely is that the thylacine population and distribution on the central plateau waxed and waned over long periods of time, governed by both climatic and human influence. Evidence comes from archaeological excavations at two plateau rock shelters. The Warragarra shelter, first occupied by people about 10 000 years ago as forests claimed elevated areas previously under glaciers, is thought to have initially served the function of 'an ephemeral hunting camp'.¹³ Faunal remains include wallaby, pademelon, bettong, brushtail and ringtail possums: all standard thylacine prev as well.

By about 4000 years ago, however, warmer and moister conditions had turned the area into largely uninhabitable rainforest. The archaeological layers for this period are blank. Warragarra was unoccupied for some thousands of years; it is reasonable to assume that thylacines were also driven from the region.

Warragarra was re-inhabited as climatic conditions similar to today's again took over. As well, the people of the Big River

68 | THYLACINE

Tribe of the central plateau began the practice of land management through fire, creating more open vegetation cover at the expense of forests in order to increase browsing prey populations. Drier conditions suit firing. That they were dry is proved by the addition to Warragarra of eastern grey kangaroo bones; the island's only kangaroo is restricted to the drier eastern parts.

Slightly further east, the Parmepar Meethaner rock shelter, in a valley which escaped glaciation, was more or less continuously occupied from about 34 000 years ago, subject to forest movement. Wallaby, again, is the main food item. And, again, for the people of the region firing practices became regular, 'producing corridors of more open vegetation and thus facilitating both increased travel and settlement'.¹⁴ This is another instance of creating conditions favourable to thylacines. It should not be considered remarkable that maps of the island showing locations of tribal bands, of important hunting grounds, and of later thylacine bounty claims, are similar. Thylacines concentrated in those areas where their main prey was most abundant and where climatic conditions were suitable.

The phenomenon of land management through firing is most evident in the third geographic region, the midland plain. This is the flat, broad expanse between Launceston and Hobart, blessed with rivers running north and south, and neatly bounded by hills and mountains to either side known as the Eastern and Western Tiers. Aborigines managed it by repeated firing practice, possibly removing the original forest cover and so creating the island's biggest kangaroo hunting grounds, at today's Campbell Town and the Norfolk Plains. The midland plain was shared by bands of the Oyster Bay, North Midlands and Ben Lomond Tribes. (All across the island, band and tribal boundaries were strictly observed. Unwarranted transgressions caused bad blood, and friction between neighbouring bands and tribes was not uncommon. Visiting rights and sharing of resources—food, ochre for body decoration, inebriating cider gum sap—were much more important.)

Some of the island's driest areas are to be found on the midland plain. While many of its inhabitants had no direct access to the coast, the inland rivers were a valuable source of food, augmented by a wide variety of vegetable matter and the ubiquitous kangaroo, wallaby and possum. The rising Tiers, with their lightly wooded cover, were excellent territory for thylacines, being close to their prey source while ensuring a good choice of dens, be they under a rock overhang, in a hollow log or a manufactured nest under a scrub canopy.

The final geographic region is the east coast and south-east peninsulas. The coastal fringe rising to the Eastern Tier provided good thylacine hunting territory. Settler landowners on the mideast coast were later to claim that thylacines were in such plague proportions that, as had been the case for the north-west Van Diemen's Land Company, they were threatening the entire sheep industry. A letter written in 1826 and sent from Oyster Bay stated bluntly that 'tigers are plentifull amongst the rocky mountains and destroy many sheep and lambs'.¹⁵

Thylacines were also known to favour both the Forestier and Tasman Peninsulas, with their landscapes ranging from long beaches—thylacines liked beaches—to small plains, the lightly covered Tiers and thickly forested slopes. One likes to imagine that thylacines watched the antics of Abel Tasman and his men in December 1642, anchored off the Forestier Peninsula, territory of the Oyster Bay's Pydai-rerme band.

Thylacines would inevitably have been caught in wallaby snares or kangaroo-spear traps: what to do with such a catch? Some Aboriginal bands ate the thylacine, according to Robinson's diary. Others may have respected the animal, as the story of

70 | Thylacine

Corinna seems to suggest, placing not only a taboo on eating it but possibly some act of contrition for killing it. The true relationship between the Trowenna peoples and the thylacine will probably never be known, but it features in no midden or rock shelter as faunal remains, and the possibility of its having occupied a special and therefore protected place in the island's human-managed ecosystem is a compelling one.



A LAND IN Need of Taming . . .

Several sheep and lambs were dead; others had lumps of flesh hanging from their bodies and their throats oozing with blood. Some were tangled in fences and torn to pieces. In all, forty dead and others had to be destroyed. Surely this was the murderous work of the tiger that had been sighted on the property only a few days before . . . The following morning, very early, there was a commotion down on the flats. Sheep were bleating and running in all directions. It was not yet light enough to see the tiger that was attacking them but two gunshots disturbed the dawn. 'He won't kill any more sheep,' said my father as he approached the culprit. A large shaggy dog. The other one was wounded and got away . . .

OWEN HOUSE, EAST DEVONPORT

A few nights ago a hyena tiger, an animal so rarely seen in this Colony, but of the largest size, was found in the sheep-fold of G. W. Gunning, Esq. J.P. Coal River.—Four Kangaroo dogs, which were thrown in upon him, refused to fight, and he had seized a lamb, when a small terrier of the Scotch breed was put in and instantly seized the animal, and, after a severe fight, to the astonishment of everyone present, the terrier succeeded in killing his adversary.

> (HOBART TOWN GAZETTE AND VAN DIEMEN'S LAND ADVERTISER, SATURDAY 8 AUGUST 1823)

Even after twenty years of settlement in Van Diemen's Land, written references to thylacines were few. The animal, it seems, was rarely sighted by the European newcomers. According to one account, just four were seen in the Hobart region over that period.¹ Yet contradictions were already becoming apparent. Was it rare or plentiful in the wilds? Was there an 'opossum-hyaena' and also a 'panther'? Did either or both of these prey on sheep?

Early in his diary the Reverend Robert Knopwood had written, 'I make no doubt but here are many wild animals which we have not yet seen'; he had also noted that absconded and recaptured convicts were the first to see the thylacine. Given that most of these men were poorly educated, and possibly prone to exaggeration, it is little wonder that a myth might have developed around the wraith-like stripey beast. Nocturnal, huge of gape, capable of menacing hiss-like coughs and barks: how tempting it must have been for these hard men to embellish their tales of the creature.

As the bush's very wildness rendered it friend and ally to increasing numbers, however, the colony's de facto seat of power transferred from Hobart Town and Port Dalrymple to the huts, caves and territories of the bushrangers, and Van Diemen's Land slid into lawlessness even as its permanence as a European settlement became a tangible reality. Gangs of escaped and emancipated convicts and corrupt soldiers, all well armed and attended by packs of hunting dogs, helped themselves to the produce of the strips and patches of river-hugging land cultivated by free settlers. They destroyed, raped and stole almost at will, compounding the difficulties already faced by the more law-abiding. Worse, the gangs were given considerable comfort by some free settlers, so that the job of the authorities was made that much more difficult.²

Van Diemen's Land was not a place of sentiment, less still a

place where an animal of little economic value might be judged on its merits. Instead, the thylacine came to be branded with a reputation from which it was never to recover. If it is true that dingoes led to its mainland extinction, then it is also true that the spread of feral dogs played a significant part in its downfall in the island. Kangaroo dogs were bred in profusion because of their importance in securing food for the young colony. In the early years in particular, dog theft was a very serious offence. Bushrangers and Aborigines kept dogs in large packs. Many went feral. The extent of their harassment of the fledgling sheep industry, for which harassment the thylacine was held at least equally responsible, can only be guessed at.

The thylacine represented one element of the wildness to be tamed, and its turn would come. Until then, bushrangers and Aborigines, crop failures, disease, drought and licentiousness were enough to be going on with, let alone that the struggling island colony continued to receive the dregs of the New South Wales convicts and was in other ways poorly treated by the parent colony. Yet change would inevitably occur and it happened through a combination of the island's natural bounty, its charms from a distance and the introduction of a horrifying system of isolated penal settlements. The grand plan, of ridding England of its convicts and then using their labour to supply the mother country with quality Van Diemen's Land timber and, especially, wool, could not be held back. Had the grand plan instead involved potatoes, apples or cattle, the thylacine might today be as abundant as the Tasmanian devil.

Important though crops were (wheat, barley, beans, peas, potatoes) livestock—particularly sheep—played a far greater role in opening up the colony. The first boatload of sheep in 1803 numbered a few dozen. By 1820 there were about 200 000

grazing the midlands between Hobart and Launceston, and by 1830, when the first sheep-protecting thylacine bounty was introduced, the total was over a million—now also spread across the north and north-west. Conditions were particularly favourable along the vital link between the two population centres, the midland plain, so much so that Surveyor-General Evans marvelled that the island appeared to have been in a state of civilisation and cultivation for centuries—which of course it had, thanks to Aboriginal fire management practices.

Evans's 1822 Description of Van Diemen's Land, with its subtitle With important hints to emigrants, and useful information respecting the application for grants of land; together with a list of the most necessary articles for persons to take out proved a highly effective marketing tool, going into a second edition in 1824 and being translated into French and German. Free settlers, mostly British, began to arrive in numbers which significantly increased a population which, at the time of the book's first publication, was just 1500 souls. Van Diemen's Land was becoming a viable alternative to New South Wales. Indeed, Evans wrote of its 'great superiority' over the parent colony:

Large tracts of land, perfectly free from timber or underwood, and covered with the most luxuriant herbage, are to be found in all directions . . . These tracts of land are invariably of the very best description, and millions of acres, which are capable of being instantly converted to all the purposes of husbandry, still remain unappropriated. Here the colonist has no expense to incur in clearing his farm: he is not compelled to a great preliminary outlay of capital, before he can expect a considerable return.³

Such glowing references were sufficient to encourage the London-based Van Diemen's Land Company shortly thereafter to embark on its disastrous sheep farming enterprise, on vast acreages then totally unsuited to pastoralism. But, as will be seen, thylacines came to be demonised for the Company's failures. It is true that Evans tempered his glowing account with the assertion that 'the panther... commits dreadful havoc among the flocks', but the fact is that at the time of that book's publication there had been just two recorded accounts of thylacines attacking sheep.

How economical was Evans being with the truth? Writing not much later, historian John West had a rather different view of that same period:

The narrow grants and wretched homesteads of the emancipist cotters, the sole farmers at this time of immigration, presented but little to please. The settler, whose imagination pictured the rustic beauties and quiet order of an English farm, saw unfenced fields of grain, deformed with blackened stumps; a low cottage of the meanest structure, surrounded by heaps of wool, bones, and sheepskins; mutton and kangaroo strung on the branches of trees; idle and uncleanly men, of different civil condition, but of one class; and tribes of dogs and natives. No green hedges or flowery meadows, or notes of the thrush or nightingale; but yet there was the park-like lands, the brilliant skies, the pure river and the untainted breath of morning.⁴

The 'taming' of the land by the colonist authorities and free settlers went ahead in phases. To begin with there were the bushrangers. The island's third lieutenant-governor, Colonel William Sorell, took up office in 1817. Deemed 'a person of firm character who would check the turbulent elements in the colony',⁵ he was determined to end outlawry. But such was the impoverished state of his fiefdom that one of his first actions was to do something about all his police officers going barefoot. The government arsenal was also bare, its guns having found their way into the hands of the busy bushrangers. Sorell battled particularly against the famous outlaw Michael Howe and his gang;

76 | Thylacine

Governor Arthur's Proclamation to the Aborigines. Numbers of these boards were nailed to trees in 1828 as a demonstration to the indigenous people of the even-handedness of white justice. (Collection Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery)

not only were an uncomfortable number of free settlers in cahoots with the gang, no less a person than Knopwood was dobbed in as having had dealings with Howe. (Sorell's enquiry into this embarrassing possibility came to nothing when a number of vital documents disappeared.)

Sheep thefts, by both white and black people, with continuing feral dog depredations, were causing infinitely more harm to the struggling industry than thylacines. But the exponential increase in sheep numbers continued and the colony expanded, if slowly and violently, until the bushrangers were no more. The offer of free land grants proved irresistible to many in crowded industrial England, in the wake of the Napoleonic Wars.

Convicts were next. They required taming of a different order. In 1821 remote Macquarie Harbour on the wild west coast was chosen as a penal settlement: out of sight, out of mind. The area contained huge stands of valuable Huon pine and also coal seams for the recalcitrants to work. The administration of Sarah and Settlement Islands, the convict enclaves within the harbour, was unspeakably barbaric. Then in 1824 George Arthur became lieutenant-governor. Where Sorell's target had been outlaws, Arthur chose convicts. He would crush their spirits. Independence from New South Wales handed Arthur considerable power and in his mind's eye he saw two Van Diemen's Lands, one a gaol for depraved convicts, the other a genteel settler society made prosperous on the sheep's back. The former had to be absolutely separated from the latter for such a twin society to function properly. He set about achieving that through the establishment of the Port Arthur penal settlement on the south-eastern Tasman Peninsula.

But there was an obstacle of another kind to exercise him as well. The spread of sheep and their pastoralists, and the investigation of most parts of the island by the colonists, had finally convinced the Aborigines that their heretofore generally passive resistance had amounted to nothing. Arthur therefore inherited a war, which was to result in near-genocide, a bizarre by-product of which was the voluminous diaries of George Augustus Robinson, chronicling the lives of those being exterminated. These diaries form by far the most complete written source of knowledge of Tasmania's Aborigines. Robinson's diaries also recorded numerous interactions with thylacines on his travels around the island.

Loss of land, deaths from introduced diseases, and theft of their women and children by sealers and settlers, had already greatly diminished the Aboriginal population. Even so, for the six years from Lieutenant-Governor Arthur's appointment to 1830 they fought a stiff war of resistance in a vain effort to repel the European invasion—but during this period the settler population rose to some 30 000. A military operation put in place by Arthur, known as the 'Black Line', was intended to round up all remaining Aborigines and so bring hostilities to a close. Just two individuals were captured. But that hardly mattered; by then there were fewer than 300 Aborigines left in the island.

As part of Arthur's effort, Robinson then undertook his conciliation mission. His writings render him something of a Samuel Pepys of Van Diemen's Land.

These thylacine references are extrapolated from Robinson's diaries and represent by far the most comprehensive early written accounts of the animal:

1830

17 August—... This is a dreary country. The soil is covered with a coarse wiry grass and moss, and the shepherds said the sheep could not eat it but for its being wet. In travelling over the Surrey Hills saw several skeletons of sheep; McKay [convict servant] said the excessive cold and wet together with the great number of hyaenas kill them ...

Woorady, by Thomas Bock, c. 1832-3. A member of the Bruny Island Nuenone band, Woorady married Truganini, who would be the last living full-blood Tasmanian Aborigine. (Collection Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery)

22 August— . . . At 9 am proceeded on with the whole of the people to Middlesex Plains. The kangaroo bounded before us as we passed. Heavy rain, hazy weather. On leaving Epping Forest came on to a small open plain and as we passed here McKay descried just before us several hyaenas in search of game. We gave them chase and the dog Paddy attacked and killed one, which proved to be the female. She had two fine pups, which made off though closely pursued by McKay. Travelled on and stopped on the side of the Dove River, whilst McKay and Woorrady⁶ went to hunt kangaroo. Platt skinned the hyaena, which was very lean from the feeding of pups . . . With difficulty made a fire and after eating rather hearty of kangaroo was tolerable comfortable. The whole of this country abounds with game . . .

24 August—... Hazy weather. Proceeded across some sword grass plains, forded small river and ascended some hills. We commenced our day's travels in tolerable wet clothes. Saw some native huts which was very old; also crossed an old track of the natives. The kangaroo

80 | Thylacine

bounded away through the forest as we passed along . . . saw several carcases of sheep which had been killed by hyaenas or wild dogs . . .

25 August— . . . Walked out with Mr Robson [Van Diemen's Land Company] and viewed the bridge over the Emu, which is badly constructed. Saw an excellent black coarse granite—Mr Frankland [Surveyor-General] took a specimen of it when he accompanied His Excellency to this place. Sent a letter to Mr Hellyer and a native basket. Mr R consented for McKay to have 7/- out of the store for a bitch hyaena (5/- being allowed for a dog) . . .

29 September— . . . Travelled along the acclivity of several hills. Purposed crossing over a tier to the coast, but finding it exceedingly bad travelling I proceeded down the Mersey and then along the coast to Port Sorell. From the Mersey to Port Sorell the coast consists of sandy beaches with rocky points, and is frequented by hyaenas . . .

30 October— . . . The whole extent of coast from King Georges Point to St Helens Point is heathy . . . Hundreds of beautiful heaths of variegated hue and all in blossom and sending forth their exhalation, some in scent like the hawthorn, together with the immense number of paroquets and kangaroo, and the small rivers with the numerous swans swimming stately along, rendered the scene delightfully pleasant. I had now got somewhat accustomed to carrying a knapsack or otherwise the pleasure of the scenery would have been greatly marred. Saw a hyaena and a number of forest kangaroo . . .

1831

22 November— . . . Whilst travelling along the east bank of the Derwent the black boy Lacklay discovered the lair of an hyaena with three fine cubs, which he killed before [I] saw them; the old one got away. I was exceedingly displeased at his killing those animals as I had been desirous to procure some of those animals alive, and those were three fine cubs beautifully marked and a fit size to tame. Umarrah⁷ and his wife carried away the carcases of those animals and purposed eating them, which is singular since there was abundance of kangaroo and those animals are carnivorous . . . Had a fine north view of Mount

A LAND IN NEED OF TAMING 81

Wellington at Hobarton, the sight of which occasioned an emotion more easily to be conceived than described . . .

1832

14 July— . . . The native men went away to hunt. In my way, on ascending a small hill, observed a bitch hyaena, and a full grown pup run away before me. I and my servant stopped and I stood gazing upon those animals as they fled; their tails stood erect. Whilst looking at them suddenly started up close by where I stood two other full grown cubs who ran about scenting the ground. They performed several revolutions and loped over rocks and seemed in great agitation. They came close to where I stood but did not observe me. At last they got on the scent of the old bitch and run off. It would appear that they were asleep when the bitch run away and on missing her when they awoke commenced searching about. I proceeded and intercepted one of them on his return back . . .

15 July—... I now distributed among them presents of beads, knives, scissors &c, with which they were much delighted. Mounted some of them on horseback and otherwise amused them. My people proposed to hunt, remaining at this place for the night. I however prevailed upon them to move on at once towards Mount Cameron and encamp. To this the strangers agreed and we set out on our way to Wob.ber.rick.er. I told them about seeing the hyaena, at which they laughed and said they had speared plenty...

29 August— . . . Proceeded on my journey. Yesterday the natives was in ecstasies of joy; on enquiry they told me warm weather was coming and showed me the trees in blossom which had been the cause of their excitement . . . Saw an hyaena at Mount Cameron which had been recently killed. Suppose it to have been killed by the natives who it is more than probable had been following on my tracks since my departure. The New Hollanders skinned the hyaena for the purpose of carrying it to Cape Grim to get the ten shillings reward from the Company. Pleasant weather throughout. New moon . . .

1833

30 August— . . . There was plenty of ducks and crows. Rain at times. The rivers had shifted. Caught kangaroo and killed one hyaena on the sandy beach. The hyaena is called mannalargenna (east coast), cabberrone-nener, by the Cape Grim, lowenin, by Jenny (north coast) clinner, by the Cape Portland warternoonner, by the Brune cannenner, and by the Oyster Bay larnter . . .

1834

25 January— . . . Say nine or ten dogs and hyaena together had been caught in a month . . .

6 April-... Hyaena hunt: Just before I got on to the long sandy beach and whilst we were walking along the top of a hill, my dog Fly scented game and run to the bottom. I watched her and in an angle of some rocks with a sandy beach saw a large hyaena at bay with the dog. My son at the same time run down to the dog's assistance and saw three young cubs who with the bitch had been feeding on the carcase of a kangaroo. The unexpected visitant surprised the animal, when she run up the hill followed by the dog. We shouted and the animal alarmed run over the hill, thence across a plain and along the acclivity of another hill for a considerable distance, followed by the dog who from the commencement of the chase had kept close to the animal occasionally biting its rump, when the hyaena would turn around and give chase to the dog and anon pursue its way, and at the same instant she turned the dog was biting her. Another large dog with us and which belonged to the old chief, would not approach it but barked. Black Dick and a white man came up and I sent them down to the dog's assistance (for this was only the second one she had seen and very large). When the men went to it it run at them. At last it fell when the dog Fly sprung and fastened on its throat, and the two men killed it and brought it to me. It was the finest hunt I had seen and a good run over clear ground. My son and some others of the men was hunting the cubs; my son caught one alive and two escaped after being chased. I brought away the skin. This beast was surprised in feeding on game; it was not her lair. I imagine she was making for her lair when chased by the dog. Perhaps the dog hyaena was at the lair, or hunting more game. It might have killed this and brought the bitch to it. It was the finest I had ever seen, with a head in the shape of a fox. (Describe this hunt hereafter minutely; it will be interesting.)...

4 June— . . . a severe frost this night, the first I had seen this winter and the ice a quarter of an inch thick . . . The [Van Dieman's Land] Company have removed their flocks; they have had no increase but declension of stock. Mr Chitty said the Company could not keep sheep here, that if they were to fold them they would soon die, that it was only moving about that kept them alive. Wild dogs and hyaenas are numerous. Watchmen were kept to look after them . . .

18 June— . . . Showery weather. The natives searching for indications of the aborigines . . . Jack said he saw the hyaena hunting a kangaroo on the scent like a dog. He ran and speared him in the tail, and the dog caught him by the neck. They brought him home. It was a bitch hyaena. Had it skinned and the skull saved. Busy since my sojourn at this camp in writing journal and in conversation with the aborigines. God grant I may soon meet the aborigines . . .

21 June— . . . The cause of this bad weather is attributed to the circumstance of the carcase of the hyaena being left exposed on the ground and the natives wondered I had not told the white men to have made a hut to cover the bones, which they do themselves, make a little house . . .

23 June—... It was not my wish to encamp at this short stage but the natives were tiresome and murmuring and wanted to stop. Penderoin was walking in advance of me when he discovered a tiger cat asleep in its lair, which was under a tussock of grass and made of old grass torn in pieces. The youth run his spear through it, it was a female hyaena ...⁸

Robinson has long been condemned for his role in the Tasmanian Aboriginal genocide. Yet his diaries show empathy with both people and their land. His story is part of the island's peculiar

84 | THYLACINE

tapestry woven of beauty, abomination, destruction, regret and much else besides. Its paradoxical nature could almost be summed up in attitudes to the thylacine—as, for example, witnessed a decade later by Louisa Anne Meredith, whose astute writing and paintings allowed intellectual Britain a glimpse of a different Van Diemen's Land. One day in 1846 a shepherd presented her with a juvenile thylacine. She wrote:

He had the animal secured by a chain and collar, and when it was to be carried off, slipped a strong bag over its head and shoulders, pushed the hind legs in and fastened it. I pitied the unhappy beast most heartily, and would fain have begged more gentle use for him; but I was compelled to acknowledge some coercion necessary, as when I softly stroked his back (after taking the precaution of engaging his great teeth in the discussion of a piece of meat) I was in danger of having my hand snapped off.⁹



TALL TALES, Tiger Men And Bounties

Sheep owners in that area never seemed to complain about losing sheep or finding any dead. All the hyinas [sic] I have seen in the wild seemed to be about half grown and about the size of [a] full grown fox terrier dog, fawn in colour, with dark stripes on their sides and back, with a very long tail continued on from their bodies, their tails were half up and down like a tired dog.

K. M. CRAWFORD, ULVERSTONE

The people were fanatically loyal to the Crown and Britain . . . Hedgerows were smiled upon as a reminder of a Merrie England few if any of them had really ever known, the destruction of the native flora and fauna and its replacement by exotics went on apace, a fact which added to the picture of colonists being merely transplanted Britons.¹

To the extent that the above observation is accurate, a grasp of the collective psyche of the growing colony becomes necessary in trying to determine the logic behind dislike of the thylacine. It was a consequence of the general attitude that saw the countryside as alien, finding expression in fear stories (the thylacine as a child-snatching vampire); in heroic man-and-dog versus beast stories; and, most negatively of all, in classifying the thylacine as vermin, to be exterminated through the inducement of financial reward, that is, bounties.

The first bounty came about as a result of the ill-fated scheme hatched in distant London. While official survey charts and maps of the mid-1820s have the entire north-west marked 'This Part of the County Unknown', an earlier report on the prospects of settlement in those parts had stated, 'That quantity of good land which was expected about the North West part of the island, is not to be found'.² This was hardly surprising, given the generally narrow fertile coastal strip backing on to an interior of dense forests, valleys and peaks. Yet a small group of wealthy entrepreneurs in London convinced themselves, Colonial Secretary Lord Bathurst and Lieutenant-Governor Arthur that a vast sheep-based enterprise should be established there.

In this way the Van Diemen's Land Company came into existence in 1826, with a land grant that was soon to total no less than 350 000 acres (141 750 hectares). It was allotted in great chunks at the north-west tip, named as Woolnorth, and the central north-west, named as the Hampshire Hills, Surrey Hills and Middlesex Plains. The London directors envisaged handsome profits from the fine wool of a quarter of a million merinos, with no further English reliance on the expensive German and Spanish wool industries.

Instead they, and many others, including the thylacine, were to pay for a monumental misjudgement. The climate was too cold and wet for fine wool sheep; sub-alpine grasses were unsuitable fodder; much of the land was uncleared and therefore unproductive; fencing, once built, proved inadequate, as did the inexperienced shepherds, many of whom were convicts; indentured servants disliked the primitive, remote living conditions; sealers, 'vagabonds' and Aborigines helped themselves to sheep, as did wild dogs, devils, wedge-tailed eagles and thylacines.

Records show that many thousands of sheep died from cold and starvation during the first few winters, while records of thylacine predation are minimal. Still, in 1830 the resident Chief Agent of the Company, Edward Curr, issued this bounty scheme:

The superintendant of the Hampshire and Surrey Hills Establishments is authorised to give the following rewards for the destruction of noxious animals in those districts:

For every Male Hyena 5/-For every Female with or without young 7/-

Half the above prices for Male and Female Devils and Wild Dogs. When 20 hyenas have been destroyed the reward for the next 20 will be increased to 6/- and 8/- respectively and afterward an additional 1/per head will be made after every seven killed until the reward makes 10/- for every male and 12/- for every female.³

The clear implication was that the thylacine was the major animal culprit in stock loss. The first bounty scheme operated for only a few years and its kill rate is unknown, but was probably modest. The few records that survive give no indication otherwise. Then in 1836 at Woolnorth a 'tiger man' was appointed to trap and kill thylacines and other animals deemed a threat to the livestock. Tiger men were to be a feature of Woolnorth into the twentieth century. The title gave status, although arguably they were but shepherds with an additional brief to eliminate 'vermin'. They were paid per animal. As well, thylacine and other pelts fetched decent money for the trapper, particularly in winter when they were thicker. Catching was almost always by snaring.⁴

A second bounty scheme began in 1840—but again, the records give no real indication that either the bounties or the tiger men were necessary at all, and the suspicion must arise that invented or greatly exaggerated 'ravages' of 'savage' thylacines against the flocks conveniently masked the true nature of the Company's pastoral failings.

The second bounty scheme coincided with a major economic slump in the island, which was exacerbated when transportation to New South Wales ceased and all British felons were now sent to Van Diemen's Land, adding greatly to the cost of running the colony. The pastoral struggles at Woolnorth continued, although the company's losses began to be offset as pioneers purchased parcels of its land in this hard country and began a subsistence existence on smallholdings. As the north-west was thus slowly opened up, thylacine territory, already much diminished elsewhere, was further squeezed.

It may be that this growing human presence pushed inland thylacine populations towards and into the 170 000 acres (68 850 hectares) of Woolnorth and nearby Circular Head. But during the period 1839 to 1850, stock losses recorded at Woolnorth firmly point the blame elsewhere:

Sheep killed by:	
thylacines	40
dogs	660
dogs or thylacines or 'vermin'	1050 ⁵

The next bounty—which had nothing to do with the Van Diemen's Land Company—lay some 40 years ahead, but conditions for its fatal operations were fast being laid:

By now the gentry had succeeded in forming a coherent class which appeared to place its impress on the society in a permanent manner. The triumph of the wool industry strengthened their confidence and increased their opulence, and there was constructed in the wool country which stretched between Hobart Town and Launceston, with spurs to the east and west, a number of colonial mansions \dots ⁶

A mid-nineteenth-century German engraving. Few, if any, predators would attack a flock of sheep in broad daylight in the presence of its shepherd. But anti-thylacine misinformation and bysteria obviously travelled well. (Gerard Willems, Hobart)

This gentry's power and influence increased tenfold when, in the space of two years, transportation ceased, the island was renamed to commemorate the great severing of the penal umbilical cord and, in 1855, newborn Tasmania was constitutionally granted self-government, by the formation of a parliament with a House of Assembly and a powerful, propertied Legislative Council; another nail in the thylacine's coffin.

While Tasmania began to be touted as a wondrous natural sanitarium for worn-out expatriates from such British colonies as India, for the ordinary folk of the island, far removed in all ways from the hubris of the new government in Hobart, life went on, generally as a struggle. An atrocious lack of urban sanitation, pitifully bad education and ongoing lawlessness were just a few hazards of colonial life. But these were considered to be almost natural. By contrast, to the collective mentality nature often seemed unnatural—never mind the paradox of that—as exemplified by the tiger-hyaena-wolf-marsupial thylacine. Despite or perhaps because of its being rarely seen by common folk, the animal was feared. Killing a thylacine became something of a rural duty, and also implied considerable bravery.

The following feature appeared in the *Launceston Examiner* of 22 November 1862, headed 'Tasmanian Tigers' and written by J. S. of Forth:

It [sic] was emerging on to a small plain which is situate about a mile north from the east end of the Black Bluff Mountain, when I saw the bushes in motion a short distance in front of me. I thought the movement was caused by a number of the wombat tribe, the flesh of which is considered to be good eating by travellers in the bush, to whom meat is a luxury, and I sent forward my young though nearly full-grown dog-a setter spaniel-thinking that he might chase the supposed wombat into a hollow tree, where it could be easily captured. But just at the moment two tigers emerged into the open space, and one of them made off, while the other turned fiercely upon the dog, which running back regarded me with an expression which seemed to ask as clearly as instinct could, whether he was to attack in earnest. Again I sent him forward, and this time he advanced with such a display of determination, that the tiger, after a faint show of resistance, began to retreat, when the dog, seizing it by the tail, suffered himself to be led along at a quickish pace, while I followed with the view of overtaking them, till I stumbled and fell, and narrowly escaped being cut by a tomahawk which I held in my hand. Here the dog rushed back as if to see what was the matter; but I again sent him forward, and the tiger, now finding escape impossible, turned upon its assailant, and both fought with the utmost ferocity for about two minutes, when the dog seizing the tiger by the throat and keeping his hold overpowered it in a few seconds, and I then knocked it on the head, thinking I was doing right in destroying one of a kind of animal which is often very destructive to sheep and lambs. The tiger was sixteen inches high and

two feet six inches long from the nose to the tail which was fourteen inches in length.

A short time after the incident above-mentioned I was on the north side of the River Lea, and seeing my dog suddenly bound off, I looked in the direction he had taken, and saw him approaching the largest tiger I had ever seen, and which seemed disposed to treat him with the utmost defiance, rushing at and driving him off whenever he approached within a few feet, and then steadily resuming its course. Thinking, from the size of the tiger, that it might prove dangerous even to a man, should it meet one when without a weapon wherewith to defend himself; and thinking that if it effectually intimidated the dog it might return in the night with its mate-for they often go in pairs-and cause me some annoyance, I resolved upon attempting its destruction, and I ran forward for this purpose, tomahawk in hand; but the moment it saw me advancing it reversed its course, and made for a scrub at the margin of the river; but the dog being encouraged by my voice and presence rushed at and fastened upon it repeatedly, but was as often repulsed with a sharp bite; he, however, so retarded its progress that I was enabled to overtake it when, thinking to despatch it at a single blow, I struck it on the head with my tomahawk and fractured its skull; but, notwithstanding this, it reeling and staggering, fought the dog for fully two minutes, inflicting bite after bite in his neck in rapid succession; and placing its fore feet against the upper part of his breast pushed him off by main strength whenever he fastened upon its throat. While this was going on I could not strike a second blow for fear of wounding the dog, at such a rate did they roll about; at length, however, seeing that the tiger was becoming weak, I seized it by the hind legs, and, placing my foot on the dog to keep him steady, I struck it again with my tomahawk severing the spine of its neck and causing its instant death. The height of this tiger to the lowest part of the top of the back was twenty-one inches; the length of the head and body, three feet four inches; of the tail, seventeen inches. It was a female and had in its false belly four young ones, each adhering firmly to a teat and well covered with hair, and just able to walk.

92 | THYLACINE

I would have kept these young tigers as specimens of animated nature if I had not found that they would not live on the rough food which alone I had to give them. From what I know of the Tasmanian tiger, I believe that whenever it finds itself menaced by superior force it retreats for its den, and if it succeeds in this it faces about, and being secure from attack except in front, it defends itself with the utmost ferocity, inflicting severe wounds on any assailant that ventures to close with it. I have only heard of one contest between a sheep dog and a large tiger, and in that the former prevailed, though not without being fearfully lacerated by the teeth of the tiger. With a kangaroo dog I do not think that any tiger would be able to contend long owing chiefly to the superior mode of fighting of the former. I have never known a tiger to attack a man-although I once knew one to walk up to two in the bush, but in this case the intruder was knocked on the head, before he had shown any aggressive intentions. I have also known a tiger to follow a man for a considerable distance at night, though not attempting to molest him.

Embellished or not, the clear inference is that thylacines were better off dead. The size of this piece, relative to the newspaper's news and features pages, indicates that it was of importance as a Tasmanian story, and the tone clearly shows that it was written for an uncritical, even admiring audience.

But that is not to say that all Tasmanians were of like mind on the subject (just as many native-born settlers did not hark after Merrie England). The Reverend John West, historian and a leading Launceston citizen until he moved to Sydney, might not have read J. S. of Forth's tiger encounters, but would certainly not have been one of his admirers. Ten years before that piece, in his 1852 history of the island, West included this piece, written by Ronald Gunn, in his 'Zoology' section:

The Thylacine kills sheep, but usually confines its attack to one at a time, and is therefore by no means so destructive to a flock as the

domestic dog become wild, or as the Dingo of Australia, which both commit vast havoc in a single night. High rewards have always, however, been given by sheepowners for their destruction; and, as every available spot of land is now occupied, it is probable that in a very few years this animal, so highly interesting to the zoologist, will become extinct; it is now extremely rare, even in the wildest and least frequented parts of the island.⁷

The English naturalist John Gould, whose mid-nineteenth century descriptions and illustrations of Australian mammals and birds are unsurpassed, also foresaw the extinction of the thylacine:

The destruction it deals around has, as a matter of course, called forth the enmity of the settler, and hence in all cultivated districts the animal is nearly extirpated; on the other hand, so much of Tasmania still remains in a state of nature, and so much of its forest land is yet uncleared, that an abundance of covert still remains in which the animal is secure from the attacks of man; many years must therefore elapse before it can become entirely extinct.⁸

Gould's prediction applied to the last frontier, the west, which had remained untouched since the closure of the Macquarie Harbour penal settlement in 1831. The sheer difficulty of getting through the mountains, valleys and dense forests was rewarded when metals, especially tin and gold, were discovered. Surveying and exploration soon covered most accessible areas as gold rush fever took hold. In the Mount Heemskirk area alone (that peak named after one of Tasman's ships in 1642), some 300 gold mining companies had formed by 1882.⁹ In that same year, the *Hobart Mercury* of 19 September ran an even more lavish tiger feature, written by 'Oscar', who had been lured west in search of metal wealth:

THE NATIVE TIGER—Having finished a prospecting tour in the southern part of the West Coast of Tasmania, I returned northwards,

94 | THYLACINE

following the rugged coastline that has so great attraction from a geological point of view. I also experienced that this out-of-the-way place has other interest besides that of inanimate Nature, even in the absence of all human beings, of those dusky forms once belonging to the soil, whom the pale-faced lords of creation have long ago used their superior civilisation to blot out of existence ... By degrees the evening would become hushed to an almost oppressive stillness, the distant surf, with its monotonous murmur, making the night seem only the more solemn; while resting in the soft ferns with my companions by my side, who are already in the land of dreams, my dog sleeping in a bush of cut grass a short distance from the tent, the fire had burnt down so as to make it only just visible in the darkness. Some undefined noise keeps me wakeful, with my sense of hearing strained to the utmost to make out the meaning of a peculiar creaking sound. At last I felt certain that I heard the breaking of a dried stick coming into contact with a moving body. Gently lifting up the side of the tent, and thrusting out my head and arm, in the low position, as I am lying on the ferns, I can soon make out in the pitchy darkness the appearance of two phosphorus-like orbs, which slowly approach. In a few more seconds I can dimly discern by a light shooting up from a few leaves on the almost expiring fire, the long round body of the native wolf or tiger. I get a tighter grip on the handle of my tomahawk, ready to give a warm reception to my night visitor. I remain motionless, [a]waiting the monster sneaking towards me, expecting every moment that it will take a leap and commence battle; but this seems not to be their general tactic in the night. By inches it is coming nearer; now, surely, it is within reach of my arm. Just then it seemed to perceive me lying under the tent, and gave vent to a low angry snarl. I raised my weapon, and with a swift blow down, as I thought, on the brute's head. But the darkness misled me, and the blow fell short of doing any execution, but near enough to draw a few drops of blood, and thus to stop the brute's further progress. My companions were awakened by the disturbance, and gave vent to some rather unpolite expressions. The dog got the scent of his great enemy

and set up a furious barking, and with this salute from bipeds and canine, the feline gentleman made himself scarce, and, unluckily, we had no firearms to persuade him to stop and make a nearer acquaintance. However, the dog rushed after him into the scrub, but soon came back, apparently he did not see the fun of a night attack. Up to the time that this adventure occurred. I was under the common impression that the native tiger would not kill its own food, but was a mere cowardly scavenger. But now I think that this idea can hardly be true, as his aggressive movement in this instance was too decided to be mistaken. Afterwards, in the course of many weeks, we had ample opportunities to learn the habits of the native tiger in this respect, and we observed that it hunts animals with the pertinacity of a pack of wolves on the steppes of frozen Russia. This native tiger is not swift, and is very awkward in turning, but it follows the trail by its nevererring scent, and in the long run is sure of its prev. While travelling one day over some rocky points we quite easily caught a kangaroo, well-nigh run to the ground by the tiger. After despatching the hunted victim we had a sharp look-out for the enemy. In a little while he came along with his nose following the trail. When within some hundred yards he took the alarm, turned tail and escaped into the jungle. My dog, a courageous and very strongly built animal, followed the tiger into the dense scrub. Slowly I made my way through the obstructions, and at last heard the baying signal of 'Wrangel' a long distance off, too far for me to penetrate during the short time the battle lasted. In half an hour's time he came to me bleeding, and with his tail down, showing in his dejected appearance, as plainly as words could tell, about the lost engagement with the enemy. A few kind words had the effect of restoring his wounded feelings, and a good feed from the meat of the killed kangaroo made him all right again. But this constant disappointment in coming to close quarters made me even more determined to be the owner of a striped skin if a chance offered, before I left the coast. I had noticed that the tigers followed our trail, and often when travelling, I would leave my knapsack, and turn back a mile or more, with the object of surprising the animal on

96 | THYLACINE

the open ground. But he was too wary, and always made for the dense forest. My dog seemed cowed, and never made an attempt to attack by himself. On one beautiful afternoon, I was travelling towards our camp from a trip made that morning, for carrying stores to a newly formed depot. I was returning without any weapon, except my large sheath knife. The road led along the beach, the dog trotting peacefully by my side, or making a playful dart at the pretty little sand larks. But why does he stop the play so suddenly, and pick out one of the many tracks that are so plainly visible on the soft surface of the sand? Impressions of footprints are numerous of all the quadrupeds found on the West Coast. Those of native tigers, Tasmanian devils, and kangaroos are seen everywhere, and many native cats, etc. With a zeal I had not lately noticed, 'Wrangel' giving out a deep bloodhound note, was off and on the warpath. I followed as fast as possible, leaving the sandy beach behind, and turning round a rocky point, there was a glimpse of the dog in full pursuit of some large animal, which took to the scrub. Directly the signal from 'Wrangel' sounded at bay. I rejoiced to know for certain that his enemy had made a stand. The noise came from behind some close, horizontal bushes. Taking in at a glance the position of the surroundings, one could see that no other means existed to come on to the battlefield, except by crawling on hands and knees, under the interlaced bushes. The angry growling of the tiger decided me to push to the assistance of my gallant 'Wrangel' at once, and thus dragging my body over the ground, and under the bushes, I came pretty near running my head against the tiger, who was now placed between the dog and me. No attack had as yet been made from either side. I tried to rise to my feet, but could not, on account of the close branches above; the tiger made an attempt to fasten on to me, with his ugly row of teeth. The dog's courage did not fail me at this critical moment. He made a snap, and fastened on to his opponent's chest, for one instant only; but that served my purpose, to get a chance to make a stab with the bowie knife, and thus I succeeded in cutting the big brute's jugular vein, and his life blood streaming out settled the matter in a few seconds. Nevertheless he had time to make a desperate
effort to yaw me as I was quite close up to him. However, I took a short stick in my left hand, and thrust it into his mouth. He chewed it up like pulp. In the meantime I came out from the bushes, and once more stood on my feet, thankful that the encounter had come off so well, and making a promise to be prepared with a better weapon in the next engagement with a native tiger. In a few minutes the body was dragged out on to the beach, and I found it to be that of an old male of very large size, measuring 8ft. in length, including the tail, and weighing about 1cwt. I took off the skin, which shows 16 stripes. A young full grown animal rarely shows more than seven or eight. As I had brought no firearms into the bush, I did not succeed in 'bagging' any more tigers. In fact, I did not care to venture again so close after them. But I often saw them, though never had the temptation to risk another close encounter. The dog, ever after the first night attack, had been very watchful, and would with his furious barking often wake me up, and on one occasion I saw, on a moonlight night, a big tiger making a hasty retreat from close to the tent, and then take to the water in a broad and deep river, and disappear on the opposite shore. But we never had another actual battle with one of these brutes.

Such heroics, so publicly displayed, must have been appreciated by those sheep farmers who now fixed their sights on the thylacine. The island's urban and rural divide was becoming increasingly marked—in the former through improved health, education and working hours, and amenities such as a public library system, museum, botanical gardens, tramway—while in the rural sector wool and other primary production exports developed steadily. It is tempting to consider that in the boom period of the 1880s, at least urban attitudes towards the thylacine might have been changing for the better, from fear and loathing to indifference to interest. After all, it had long since ceased being the terrible Vandemonian panther, being now displayed in zoos as far away as London. Conditions were favourable for public attitudes to become more benign. Alas, powerful rural interests ensured that would not happen.

Despite the leap in wool production, achieved largely through better control of intestinal parasites, the wool industry remained troubled. Disease, drought, competition from rabbits, predation and duffing (stealing) contributed to significant stock losses. Some averred that stealing was the biggest problem. Poor farm management was also a feature. Flocks properly tended and enclosed in paddocks were far better off than those left to themselves in scrubby, awkward, unsighted hill runs. Losses and the causes of those losses could generally only be estimated, yet despite a clear lack of evidence or proof that the thylacine was a major culprit, in the early 1880s groups of sheep owners in the midlands and in the east began to form anti-thylacine stock protection associations.

Historian James Fenton, writing at the time, made no mention of the thylacine but stated that:

The 'rabbit plague' (as it is termed) has of late years become a formidable evil on the pasture lands of the island. The midland districts are overrun by this little pest in countless thousands. The sheep and cattle bearing capacity of the runs has consequently been greatly reduced . . . Little short of £100,000 per annum is lost in wool from this cause alone. [Even so,] by the exercise of patient care and skill on the part of the breeders, Tasmanian sheep have been brought to remarkable perfection, and have been eagerly sought after by Australian graziers for the improvement of their flocks.¹⁰

Paddle notes that 'at no stage in any of the official annual reports on the state of the [sheep] industry during these crucial years was the thylacine mentioned even as a minor problem of significance to sheep farmers'.¹¹ The best-known anti-thylacine group, formed in 1884, was the Buckland and Spring Bay Tiger and Eagle Extermination Society, on the south-east coast. Members of the society paid a scale of rates (according to number of sheep owned) and from this kitty £5 would be paid for each adult thylacine and about half that for each cub. Records of its results indicate very poor kill rates of both thylacines and eagles, even though the Society sent a number of specimens to the Tasmanian Museum.¹² Politically, however, this was a powerful rural body able to lobby its House of Assembly member, James Gray, to act on its behalf. The move to render the thylacine extinct was in this way formalised by making it the subject of parliamentary debate.

It was in the 1880s that a range of attitudes towards the thylacine first became evident. Newspaper editorials, letters to newspapers, the concerned voices of naturalists and the staff of Hobart's Royal Society Museum, who were convinced of the animal's growing rarity, indicated that not everyone considered it expendable vermin. Even some of those who did were beginning to appreciate the science of understanding the natural world:

The Curator of the Royal Society's Museum has just received, through the medium of Mr C. E. Davies, a large male specimen of the Tasmanian tiger, captured by Mr Joseph R. Green, of Nugent. In the letter which accompanied the donation, Mr. Green stated that the tiger was killed on Mr. Joseph Hodgson's estate, between Sorell and Spring Bay, and that its discovery removed the belief existing in the minds of many persons that no native tigers are now to be found in that locality. It is pleasing to note that country residents are beginning to take an interest in the Museum . . .¹³

Unfortunately that report no doubt came in handy for the probounty lobbyists anxious to disprove the reality that thylacines were by now extremely scarce in the area. Other reports directly aided their cause:

A Tiger capture.---A correspondent writes:--- 'On the 10th inst., whilst the brothers French, sheepfarmers residing at the Bluff River, in the neighbourhood of Stonehenge, were out on their run looking after and setting snares for catching tigers, they came upon one, and succeeded in rushing it into a snare set close by and caught him, and without a single scratch or hurt of any kind have now got the sheep killer safely caged at their homestead, and certainly it is a very nice specimen of the Tasmanian tiger, and is nearly full grown. Already a gentleman has made an offer of £6 for it, but which has been refused by the Messrs. French. These settlers have since they came to reside at the Bluff River killed and destroyed a great number of tigers, and still keep on catching and killing every year, and consequently their good work must be a great benefit to the sheep farmers of the district of Spring Bay, especially to those in the neighbourhood. The loss of sheep during the past 18 months has, however, been great, as the books of the sheep owners can tell, and no doubt is caused by the ravages made by the tigers. Settlers in the district are anxious to know something about the £500 [for the destruction of thylacines] their member moved for at the last session of Parliament, and hope that he will keep the thing alive at the coming session, and thus aid sheep farmers to eradicate the pest from amongst their flocks.'14

All the while the private Van Diemen's Land Company bounty scheme and its tiger men had continued to operate, between 1874 and 1887 making a total of 70 bounty payments for thylacine kills.¹⁵ Although more were undoubtedly killed but not presented for bounty, the annual average of five kills suggests low predation. That in itself ought to have cast some doubt on the rural lobby's assertions in other parts of the island that thylacines were almost destroying the sheep industry. But if a bounty scheme were to be extended to the whole colony, This advertisement first appeared in the North West Chronicle between 1909 and 1912. Whale's Head is now Temma, on the west coast.

backed by government money, by how much would that annual sanctioned kill rise? And for how long would the thylacine population be able to sustain such a rise?

Two motions in support of establishing a government bounty were ignored, but its backers persisted, until east coast farmer and House of Assembly member John Lyne succeeded in having a motion tabled. The crucial, final debate on the Bill to eliminate the thylacine from Tasmania—to make it disappear, just as the Aborigines of the island had been considered valueless and dangerous and therefore extinguishable—is a peculiar reminder that democracy's strength is also its weakness: it can be so easily abused from within. This was nothing less than an extinction trial, to determine the guilt or otherwise of a species. Following is the ghostly but vivid death sentence, being the Parliamentary proceedings as reported by the Hobart *Mercury*, 5 November 1886: TASMANIAN DINGOES. The House then went into committee to consider an address to be presented to His Excellency the Governor praying for the appropriation of £500 for the destruction of tigers, otherwise known as Tasmanian dingoes.

Mr. LYNE in moving the motion, said it might be taken that 100 sheep were destroyed per dingo, and the destruction of 500 dingoes would preserve 50,000 sheep. He quoted some extracts in support of his contention that sheep were decreasing and dingoes increasing. What he would propose was that $\pounds 1$ per head should be paid for every tiger. It was no joke. He reckoned 30,000 or 40,000 sheep were killed annually by dingoes. They ran whole flocks down into gullies, and maimed more than they killed. The scientific name of the animal was *legunta*, and it was one of the greatest pests the colony had.

Mr. GREY supported the resolution, and pointed out that the inhabitants of Buckland and Spring Bay had formed themselves into associations for the destruction of these animals. The animals were allowed to breed on the Crown lands, and for that reason the cost of their destruction should be met by the Crown. In many cases they had become so numerous that Crown lands had been largely given up by the sheepowners, to the loss of the State.

Mr. DAVIES trusted that the hon. member's resolution would be carried, because he had many communications from his constituents about Avoca and St. Paul's [east coast] of the loss they sustained by the ravages of the tigers. He was quite certain that the State would gain much more than this £500 that it was proposed to spend in the destruction of these animals in the increase of sheep that would take place. He had been informed that sheepowners in the district he represented lost 20 per cent. of their sheep by the tiger, and hoped the resolution would be carried in the interest of the country.

Mr. FENTON opposed the resolution, and ridiculed the idea of £500 doing all the good that was expected of it. He did not believe that the Crown land runs had been given up on account of the ravages of the tiger, and attributed it to the low price of wool inducing sheepowners to give up the poorer runs they rented from the Crown.

He contended that the vote could not do any good for the farmers which they could not do for themselves.

Mr. BRADDON said that if the loss was 50,000 sheep a year it meant \pounds 50,000 at least, and he could not see why the sheepowners should not spend this \pounds 500 themselves to make such a gain. It would pay the sheepowners to spend \pounds 15,000 to save themselves from such a loss. He would be very willing to assist the hon. member to quell this *legbunter* [a thinly-veiled reference to Lyne's sexual proclivities], but it was absurd to think it could be done by such a vote. It was wrong of the hon. member to drag in the Crown lands and heap the blame upon them. He should, in the language of the Attorney-General, take a straightforward course, and move a vote of want of confidence in the Government for not having caught the tigers.

Mr. SUTTON supported the motion, not in favour of the sheepowners, but of the small farmers in his district. The Government should assist the efforts of private individuals who were already giving ± 1 or ± 1 10s. a head for the tigers in some places, as it would be more than returned in an increased quantity of mutton for the consumer.

Mr. PILLINGER said it could not be expected that private landowners should go on destroying these animals while they were being bred and were multiplying on the Crown lands of the colony. It was not only affecting the lease of Crown lands, but it was preventing the sale of Crown lands to a large extent. Landholders could not afford to pay for the destruction of tigers on Crown land. They were already paying largely for the destruction of the animals on private land. He had been paying £1 a head for dead tigers for years, and if the vote was given at the rate of £1 for £1 spent on the destruction it would greatly assist the landholders and benefit the whole country. He hoped hon. members would realise the fact that the question was one affecting the interest of towns as well as the country, and that the question would not be made a funny one, as the hon. member for West Devon had made it.

Mr. DUMARESQ could not support the vote, as he did not see that the tigers could be any more numerous now than they were

104 | THYLACINE

formerly. Nor could he understand why they were not destroyed, if they did so much damage, by the sheepowners themselves.

Mr. HAWKES said as the miners of his district had driven these animals out into the hon. member's district by appropriating their natural food, it was only a matter of justice that he should support the hon. member; but he might shortly have to ask for a vote to exterminate another animal his constituents were suffering from—the yellow agony—and he would then ask for the hon. member's support.

Mr. BARRETT: What, the Chinamen?

The MINISTER OF LANDS said that after what had been said by the hon. member for Oatlands there was not very much for him to say. The hon. member for Oatlands said that there were over one million acres rented from the Crown by pastoral tenants, but he forgot to state there were millions of acres unoccupied, which were as much the breeding ground of tigers as the land occupied. He knew that lands were thrown up especially in the Lake districts solely because of the increase of those tigers. He gave particulars of the large amounts paid by owners of lands in getting rid of this pest, and viewing the Government in the light of owners of an extensive and valuable estate he did not think that the vote was out of proportion to what had been paid by others.

Mr. DOBSON hoped that the motion would be amended in order that the money might be spent only for the destruction of tigers upon Crown lands. So many extraordinary statements had been made as to the habits of this animal and its terrible ravages that he thought it would be worth while to refer it to a Select Committee to see what the facts were. Inasmuch, however, as they had granted public money for Californian thistle and rabbits, he did not think that the hon. member was wrong in asking the House to assist in the eradication of this pest.

Mr. W. T. H. BROWN said that the Crown lands were the chief breeding places of the tigers, who seemed to kill the sheep simply for amusement. Very often when a tiger was caught it proved to be a female with cubs, and it seemed as if she had killed the animals to teach her young.

Mr. LYNE, in reply, said he dealt with this matter as one affecting

the whole colony, as if the country suffered it would extend to the towns. He asked $\pounds 500$ simply to see how the thing would work. He had a letter from a gentleman who said that he was a poor man, simply because of the destruction caused by those animals bred upon Crown lands.

Question put,—'That the resolution be agreed to,' and the committee divided as follows:

AYES, 12—Messrs. Dodds, Fitzgerald, N. J. Brawn, Lewis, Mugliston, Davies, Sutton, Grey, Pillinger, Lyne, Hawkes, W. T. H. Brown (teller).

NOES, 11—Messrs. Braddon, Conway, Bird, Fenton, Young, Mackenzie, Hart, Hartnoll, Dumaresq, Barrett, and Crisp (teller).

Question resolved in the affirmative.

The resolution was agreed to, and reported, read a first and second time, and agreed to.

The thylacine thus fell, victim of outrageous statistical exaggeration, blatant untruths and the buying of the racist vote. The power and influence of a political group—wealthy landowners won the day over reasoned consideration. Facts did not matter; they scarcely entered the debate. A strange fledgling democracy was at work, its ineffectiveness highlighted by a rural writer's letter to a newspaper:

Sir,—I see the honorable member for Glamorgan wants £500 of public money to destroy hyenas (so-called tigers). There are compartively [sic] few sheepowners troubled with those vermin, and it is no affair for Parliament to be troubled with, for it would be a great injustice to the whole country in paying for the benefit of a few wealthy sheepowners. The farmers could more reasonably ask for help to destroy the parrots, which are on the whole much more destructive,—Yours, etc. FARMER.¹⁶

The Mercury reported the ballot in a manner probably reflecting waning public perception of the animal as a menace:

106 | THYLACINE

The tiger, or dingo, received a very bad character in the assembly yesterday; in fact, there appeared not to be one redeeming point in this animal. It was described as cowardly, as stealing down on the sheep in the night and wantonly killing many more than it could eat, as being worthless even for its skin.¹⁷

Certainly, being a predator, the thylacine ate sheep. It had been doing so since 1804. Severe encroachment upon its territories may even have forced dislocated populations to target sheep, lacking their natural prey forced away from enclosed lands, and thereby giving an impression of increased thylacine numbers. But that can only ever be speculation. What is beyond doubt is that after 80 years of fitful skirmishing, this symbol of the untamed island had been sentenced to death.



'THEM Bloody Useless Things' 1888–1930

We own a property called Tiger Hill. The original owners were the French family, and it was my privilege to have met & had long conversations with Mr Ab. French. His brother had hold of a Tiger by the tail, & Mr Ab. French clearly remembers taking a possum snare from his pocket, slipping the noose over the animal's jaws, then both dragging it to an enclosure near the house. The Tiger was kept for five months, till a traveller called and offered the boys five pounds for it. In the year 1914 five pounds was a lot of money, so the Tasmanian Tiger was sold. WILLIAM L. FERRAR

Uncertainty, confusion and misinformation: to this list of strikes against the thylacine may now be added irony, for even as the government bounty took effect and profitable slaughtering started in earnest, live specimens were also acquiring value. Thylacines had been sporadically displayed in public and private collections in Tasmania and elsewhere since 1817, but demand increased as zoos flourished.

A thylacine pair in the National Zoological Park, Washington DC. They appear to be in remarkably good condition, given their long sea voyage from Australia. Thylacines were also bought by zoos in New York, Antwerp, Berlin, Cologne and London. (Smithsonian Institution Archives)

There is a marked size difference between this male and female, at Hobart Zoo. Fifteen thylacines were displayed at the two Hobart locations between 1910 and 1936, with an unknown number before then. (Collection Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery) In adding thylacines to their collections, zoos helped to slowly shift public perception of the animal. And its confirmed rarity meant that some urban Tasmanians, at least, began to cautiously reappraise their strange carnivore's terrible image: 'On Saturday last two fine specimens of the Tasmanian tiger were brought to town and lodged in the garden attached to the caretaker's house, Town Park. They were captured by a young man in the vicinity of Bridport. Many visitors have been to see them, and admired them greatly'.¹

By the late nineteenth century, European zoos had become important centres of scientific research and, to an extent, public education. They were also potent flagwavers for the glories of imperialism, holding in their cages exhibits from the far-flung ends of the conquered world. Distant Tasmania's marsupial wolves were as exotic as any other creature, even though they apparently lacked charisma behind wire.

Thylacines were shipped to zoos in Antwerp, Berlin, Cologne, New York, Washington and, mostly, London. In Australia they were displayed in Adelaide, Melbourne, Launceston, Hobart and Sydney's Taronga Park. Although the numbers were never large—seventeen to London between 1856 and 1926, out of a grand total of about 60 zoo specimens—they were keenly sought by these institutions, particularly when extinction warnings began to be made and it was also realised that they did not breed in captivity.

As the century drew to a close, there arose evidence of a new Tasmanian pride in being able to offer up rather than only put down the thylacine: 'A fine specimen of the Tasmanian tiger is to be seen on board the Barque *Ethel*... The *Ethel* will maintain the reputation of her predecessor in taking home Tasmanian animals to the Old Country'.² This 1891 report reflects a notice-ably different attitude to that insisting on their obliteration.

110 | THYLACINE

While Tasmanian loyalty to and love for the Crown remained very strong, as action in the Boer War was soon to show, the desire was no longer to replicate Merrie England in the Southern Hemisphere. Instead, exports to the mother country showed that love: fruit, fine jams, soldiers and, amazingly, thylacines. Prices offered for them rose steadily. The absurd situation came to bear in which the Tasmanian government bounty scheme, over a twenty-one year period, steadily paid £1 per dead thylacine while zoos offered greater and greater sums for increasingly rare live specimens. The last £1 bounty was paid in 1909. The export price at that time was about £7 or £8. In 1926, London Zoo purchased its last thylacine for no less than £150.³

AN UNWELCOME VISITOR. A short while ago several sheep were found dead in this district [Tyenna], and the mischief was put down to dogs, although none could be caught. One morning Mr. Quarrell, being on the road at daybreak, was surprised to see a tiger a short distance ahead. He immediately went to the attack, armed only with a stick, and aided by his dog. Mr. Quarrell's object was to get the tiger alive, as the price obtainable for a specimen was a consideration. He managed to get a hold, and to retain it for some considerable time, but unfortunately his cries for assistance were unheard, and he was obliged to let go. The tiger made off into the scrub, followed by the dog, but he was not to get away, as Mr. Quarrell obtained assistance, and followed. After a rough scramble the fight was renewed. Unfortunately, it was found necessary to kill the tiger, which was a very large one. The sheep mystery was thus cleared, and a subscription started among the sheepowners was well contributed to. Fortunately, Mr. Quarrell came out of the contest with only a bitten foot, but with a good deal of the tiger's blood on him to bear witness that the struggle had been a hard one'.4

Mr Quarrell's object-to get the tiger alive-is a sure indication that this new post-bounty financial incentive had

become common knowledge, and this would remain the case well into the future. One wonders how many thylacines suffered the same fate, killed trying to avoid capture. Lacking tranquillisers and other humane and safe ways of securing wild animals, the trappers of those times can't be blamed for their actions. First their government, and then international scientific institutions, called upon their skills to deliver thylacines—dead, but then alive. It was a strange and sadly late turning point in the animal's history.

The dreaded Tasmanian wolf had therefore come to profit numerous groups: snarers and hunters through the bounty and the sale of pelts, exporters, zoos through their admission fees, and the sheep farmers twice over, for the thylacine had become a convenient cover for stock losses through mismanagement, and the government, not the farmers, was stumping up the bounty fees. The irony of all of this has yet to peak, with the costs associated with cloning a single thylacine sure to reach astronomical levels.

That pleasure could be taken in the concept of saving a thylacine, as suggested in the report of the *Ethel* taking one 'home', is an indicator of a change taking place in the collective psyche of the population. At the end of the nineteenth century, there were many new preoccupations to drive that change. The frontier mentality associated with the taming of old Van Diemen's Land had become history as industries such as mining, dairying and timber began to power the economy and create a modern society. Tasmania's population was always small but in the decade of the 1890s it rose from just under 115 000 to just under 186 000: a manifold leap. Yet it was claimed that even greater progress and prosperity were being held back because 'the best agricultural land remained locked up in sheep and cattle runs . . . these owners were driving the industrious settler away'.⁵

112 | THYLACINE

This put new pressure on the heretofore all-powerful rural lobby. The island no longer needed to ride on the sheep's back. Furthermore, could the unthinkable now be thought? Might that curse of the wealthy sheep farmer, the Tasmanian tiger, actually have sympathy extended towards it and be seen as worth saving? Apparently:

A SICK TIGER.—The Adelaide *Register* says:—Latest advices from the sick chamber of the ailing tiger at the Zoo state that the patient is as well as can be expected. Veterinary-Surgeon Bickford lanced the bad foot, and the poor beast was much relieved. It had suffered so much pain that its ferocity was quite gone, and it submitted quietly to the relieving operation. The head keeper of the Zoo has been a kind nurse to the sick tiger, and the animal has recognised a friend in him, allowing him to constantly bathe the swollen foot with hot water and rub it with ointment prescribed by Mr. Bickford. This tiger is a valuable animal, and worth all the attention bestowed upon it.⁶

It is to be assumed that the word 'valuable' was applied by the journalist in a monetary context, referring to moneys originally paid by and then received by the Adelaide Zoo, but there is in that final sentence something approaching genuine acknowledgement of its true worth.

Naturalists were also out and about, and their published thoughts and beliefs went against the grain of common thought, insofar as they called for a respect for a Nature which ought not to be dominated—implying, partly, a continuing fear suppressed through force—but admired. This was radical, harnessing the Romanticism of a century ago to the burgeoning scientific power and certainty of the fossil-fuelled, electric new world (Launceston was the first city in the Southern Hemisphere to switch on, in 1895). The naturalist Reverend Henry Dresser Atkinson, an Englishman who fell in love with Tasmania, who was Truganini's friend and has real claim as an early Tasmanian environmentalist, included this turn-of-the-century 'conversation' in his diary:

"... Really I have little sympathy with some of the modern principles of utility, through which so many "things of beauty" in the animal and vegetable world are destroyed to make room for man and his works, or to minister to his appetites and luxuries. Think of the extermination of rare and beautiful hummingbirds to provide ladies' hat ornaments. Ah, my friend, it is a sad business!'

'Then I suppose you would discontinue the bounty on the destruction of Tasmanian tigers?'

'Certainly I would: the *Thylacinus cynocephalus* is a most interesting creature; it is found on no other spot of the earth's surface except here in Tasmania. It used to occur in New South Wales, but is now extinct there. You don't know what an instructive animal it is to the comparative anatomist and zoologist, no, I would let *Thylacinus* have a sheep now and then if he wanted it.'

'What, if you were a sheep farmer yourself?' 'Yes, even then.'⁷

The naturalist Geoffrey Smith took a hardier view, appreciative of the 'grandeur of untouched nature' that was the west coast and cognisant of the meaning of extinction, but seemingly not too fussed about either. He wrote pragmatically:

We could not reach Lake St Clair that evening, so we stopped the night with a shepherd, named David Temple, who made us very comfortable in his wooden cottage. This little cottage, with currant bushes and a few English flowers in the garden, nestling in an Alpine valley and surrounded by the silent gum forests, appeared to me an idyllic place, but the shepherd, who had lived there with his wife for about thirty years, complained of its loneliness . . . He told me many stories of the Thylacine or Native Tiger, which is more abundant here than in any other part of the island, and takes a considerable yearly toll from

114 | THYLACINE

the flocks of sheep. Since this carnivorous Marsupial is regularly hunted and trapped by the shepherds, and since it occurs only in the little island of Tasmania, it will not be very long before it becomes extinct, so that I was careful to gain any information I could with regard to its habits . . . The shepherds wage incessant war on the creature, in the summer laying traps and hunting it with dogs, in the winter following up its tracks through the snow. A reward of a pound is given for the head by the Government, but the shepherd generally rides round with the head to several sheep-owners in the district, and takes toll from them all before depositing it at the police station. In consequence a large reward must be offered for the carcass of a Tiger, and an offer of £10 during a year for a live Tiger to be delivered in Launceston was unsuccessful. It pays the shepherd very much better just to hack off its head and take it round on his rides'.⁸

The new century ushered in a brave new country, the Commonwealth of Australia, in which the colonies, after ten years of discussion, joined together in 1901. Interestingly, a Tasmanian, Andrew Inglis Clarke, was the chief architect of the constitution. Tasmanians voted overwhelmingly to federate. Interestingly too, the minority against were the rural electorates of the east coast and midlands, the electorates which had spawned the lobby groups that pushed the thylacine bounty scheme through parliament. Perhaps this was another indication of how out of step they had become with the mainstream.

Alas there was to be no magical cessation of the bounty scheme through a collectively enlightened attitude. That would only happen when it was far too late; a historical embarrassment which would shape Tasmanian politics, and influence global environmental politics through the twentieth century and to the present. Back then, the bounty was good money for snarers, who presented the dead animal to a police station for their £1, or ten shillings if it was a pup. The toes or ears were removed to prevent the snarer taking the body elsewhere for another payment. During the bounty period, from 1888 to 1909, payments were made for a total of 2184 carcasses. That, however, may only have been the tip of an iceberg. Echoing naturalist Geoffrey Smith's remarks, Guiler noted: 'Many trappers told me that up to half the thylacines killed were not submitted for bounty but were carted around the local property owners who paid a reward (usually £1) and when the carcase became too smelly it was dumped in the bush'.9 Furthermore, 'From 1878 to 1896, 3482 Tiger skins were despatched from [a tannery] to London where they were made into waistcoats'.¹⁰ The poor condition of any number of carcasses, either as the result of the activities of scavengers, or the thylacine's efforts to break out of the snare, would have rendered them valueless. Thylacines may also have eaten the poison baits set by snarers for the scavenging Tasmanian devils. It all suggests a wantonly high kill rate.

As if that was not enough, the Van Diemen's Land Company continued with its own bounty scheme, payments being recorded right up to the beginning of World War I. Before then, however, the already weakened thylacine population may have fallen victim to disease, as already mentioned. In 1902, a total of 119 thylacines were presented for bounty, the annual kill total having been relatively constant for fifteen years. This dropped dramatically to 58 in 1906, to just two in 1909 and none thereafter. The sudden huge decrease across the island may signify a reduction in numbers other than by human agency, although David Pemberton disagrees with the disease theory.

The date 1914 also marks the year in which the professor of biology at the University of Tasmania, Professor Thomas T. Flynn, called for a sanctuary to be established somewhere in Tasmania to save the thylacine. His was not the first contemporary voice to raise the alarm, but his academic standing, the fact that he was a Tasmanian rather than an eminent outsider, and that he was calling for a reserve, places him in a notable position in the history of the Tasmanian conservation movement. (That he was the father of the actor Errol Flynn must remain a mere footnote in the thylacine story but not the fact that he later embarked on some questionable deals selling thylacines.)

Then as now, however, an urban academic calling for rural change could not readily expect that change.

The naturalist's view (let the thylacine take the odd sheep) and that of the academic (create reserves to save them) were well ahead of public opinion in the critical early years of the twentieth century, when the bounty and disease together were hastening the destruction of the species. There was then no understanding of, nor a desire to understand, the value of wild animals as parts of complex ecosystems. Why should there be? To use a contemporary analogy, snakes and spiders are routinely killed for being 'dangerous'. That they inhabit urban areas and eat rats, mosquitoes and flies, all of which cause human illness, is apparently beside the point. Spiders and snakes induce a primal fear—and from that day in 1804 when Knopwood's escaped convicts saw a 'large tyger' in the bush, the island's people had been taught to fear and loathe a dangerous animal.

Effectively breaking down that attitude would not happen while a government bounty remained in place. But more and more voices in favour of protection began to be heard and the public became at least prepared to think about it, rather than be horrified or offended. After all, war had been waged against the creature for a hundred years; in defeat it could be safely viewed in zoos—even safely admired.

The Tasmanian Field Naturalist's Club, formed in 1904, became the first official group to actively promote conservation. At a later meeting in Launceston, 'There was a consensus of opinion that national parks should be reserved in different parts of the State for the preservation of native fauna. A general committee was appointed to take such steps as may be necessary to cause a bill to be passed through Parliament . . .^{'11}

The theory of protecting thylacines in this way was a sound one. Within a year, however, the government bounty had come to a de facto end because there appeared to be none left to kill. Were they already extinct? Not quite: three were presented for Van Dieman's Land Company bounties at Woolnorth in 1914. The following year saw the creation of the Tasmanian Scenery Preservation Board, which set up the first of the island's reserves and the 11 000 acre (4455 hectare) Russell Falls–Mount Field National Park, an hour north-west of Hobart. This park contained some of the world's tallest flowering trees, the mountain ash (*Eucalyptus regnans*).

At this point, therefore, a fundamental shift had occurred. There were no longer any bounty schemes, safe havens were becoming available, and the public had begun to accept that the thylacine might be an asset, not a menace. Now, perhaps, science rather than politics might begin to chart a future for the seriously endangered animal. But in the bush, snaring and hunting went on, for skins and zoos. Thylacines were not to receive full protection for many years.

Snaring had long been an important part of the rural fabric:

Not only was it a cheap, simple and reliable method of providing meat and skins, it also controlled animal populations eager to devour emerging crops. In the financially precarious years of clearing the forest to form a farm, wallaby meat often fed the family and skins constituted the only cash flow. Snaring was a practice with which most farmers and rural workers were familiar . . . With the European markets putting a premium on the pelts of wallaby and possum, men whose homes fringed the high country—farmers, timber workers, shearers, prospectors—would drop whatever they were doing during the slack winter months, and head to the mountains where the best skins were. 12

That meant a lot of snaring—up to a million possums, wallabies and pademelons annually, sometimes many more—and thylacines were, of course, valuable financial catches. It will never be known how many were killed this way, even after their rarity had become a matter of genuine public concern. Snaring was a legally controlled primary industry with open and closed hunting seasons, but:

This control of the seasons did nothing to encourage the snarers to operate within the confines of the law . . . With a skin being valuable whether the season was open or not, the vast number of snarers kept the skin. To evade the attention of the police who often raided even the most isolated runs, most snarers had their special hiding places for their out-of-season skins. Hollow trees, clefts in rocks, false panels in huts—all were used.¹³

Such a culture of ignoring and evading early faunal protection measures suggests a lack of care for the thylacine's particular future in those who were the last to interact with it in the wild. 'Them bloody useless things' was a comment offered to Eric Guiler by H. Pearce of Derwent Bridge, a member of a family which collectively took many thylacines.¹⁴

Hunting as a sporting activity would not have done the thylacine's cause any good either. An amusingly written account of holidaying in Tasmania about the time of World War I, by a mainlander and his friend in the company of a local guide, devotes space to a day's hunting:

The Battler was the cheeriest man in Tasmania—in Australia we thought. We spent a day with him in the tall timber a-hunting the

wild beasts of the Tasmanian jungle. It is true, however, we worried the rabbits and kangaroos but little. Our friend the Battler had armed us with borrowed guns, decent double-barrelled breech-loaders . . . There was not a homestead or a hut in the bushland of Tasmania where the Battler could not get food and sleeping quarters . . . The porcupine [echidna] is fine eating, our friend said . . . The badger [wombat], a harmless, inoffensive beast, was to be found among the foothills . . . The opossum was fairly plentiful . . . Away back in the big hills, the Tasmanian Tiger was to be found . . . After banging away, in blissful ignorance of the Game Laws, and missing everything, it seemed to the scribe that the joke was against him. If he had seen the rabbits, kangaroos, bandicoots, and wallabies he had shot at, peering out from behind the gum trees, and holding their sides with laughing, he wouldn't have been at all surprised. The parrots and the cockatoos shrieked their derision at any rate.¹⁵

Ecotourism it was not.

The desire to kill animals other than for food, clothing or profit has always been labelled a sport by its practitioners. Thylacines must have rated at the very top of the hunter's list, along with wedge-tailed eagles. There can be no telling how many became the victim of sportsmen, although 'One record of 1910 indicates that there was still much "fun" to be had knocking off Tigers'.¹⁶

Along with Thomas Flynn, Clive Lord, the director of Hobart's Tasmaniam Museum, played an important role in attempting to save the thylacine. In 1917 he published a paper describing the island's mammals. He noted that it was only the fourth such compilation and that the previous three were not only incomplete but out of print. What was worse, he had had to rely extensively on British Museum catalogues since no local reference collection existed. This indicates all too clearly the almost total lack of official interest in the state's natural heritage or, put another way, demonstrates the difficulties that Lord and like-minded colleagues faced in their attempts to highlight the plight of the thylacine. He wrote:

It is remarkable that more attention has not been paid to the Mammals of Tasmania. Many interesting species occur here, and as they are decreasing in number, the following notes have been compiled in order to attempt to arouse interest ere it is too late. Unless unforeseen circumstances occur, many of our Mammals will share the fate of the Tasmanian Emu . . . owing to their partiality for killing sheep, a war of extermination has been waged against the 'Tigers'. They are now rare, and are only found in the most rugged parts of the island . . .¹⁷

Ten years later, and to his credit, Lord was able to claim that that massive indifference had been overcome. But his prognosis for the thylacine was gloomier still. Most of the entries in his updated paper, 'Existing Tasmanian Mammals', are short paragraphs; that for the thylacine runs to nearly two pages. It reads in part:

Within the last few years considerable attention has been directed to the present state of the Australasian marsupial fauna. The meetings held during the recent scientific congresses in Australia have served to stress the importance of our native animals . . . Our fauna consists, to a very large extent, of archaic types, which, when brought into sudden contact with more advanced forms, rapidly decline . . . Australian Zoologists might well consider plans whereby at least an outline biological survey of our indigenous fauna could be attempted . . . [the Thylacine] is now also being killed out even in the rugged and more inaccessible parts of the country, which tends to reduce still further the remnants of this species. The explanation of this is that the Thylacine interferes with the trappers' snares. As a result, a powerful 'springer' snare is set often in the vicinity of their 'skinning yards', which are situated every quarter of a mile or so along the lines of the snares. Thylacines or other animals caught in these powerful snares are, as a rule, too severely injured to be kept alive as specimens for zoological gardens, even if the trappers would take the trouble to bring them in . . . Its eventual doom seems apparent unless such attempts as are being made . . . to breed these animals in captivity are successful . . . It is doubtful if the shy animal will breed within the confines of a Zoo . . . During the breeding season a male Thylacine has even been known to follow the same route across many miles of country, and one particular animal used to regularly leave a trail of slaughtered sheep along the same line of march each year, but he was trapped eventually. If a Thylacine kills a sheep it will usually only suck the blood, and may also take a little of the kidney fat.¹⁸

Another influential scientific publication at this time, by the eminent A. S. Le Souef and Harry Burrell, drew directly upon first-person layman accounts in building up its descriptions of the thylacine. It was less inclined towards the possibility of extinction:

General build dog-like. Hallux {innermost of the five toes normally found on the hindfoot of air-breathing vertebrates] wanting. Pouch opening backward. Mammae, 4. Though once found on the mainland, this peculiar dog-like marsupial is now confined to Tasmania, where it chiefly inhabits the hilly forest country, lying up in timber and scrub during the day and coming out to hunt on the edge of the thickets during the later afternoon and evening.

The thylacine hunts singly or in pairs, feeding on wallabies, small rodents and birds. When a wallaby is put up it is not chased at breakneck speed, after the manner of a dog, but the pursuer merely trots along the scent until the quarry shows signs of exhaustion; it then quickens its pace, rushes in, and secures the victim. The wolf very rarely takes a dead bait, and seldom returns to a carcass. It is by no means fast, and lacks the easy pace of the Canidae. It usually trots, or, if pressed, breaks into a shambling canter. When excited it makes a series of husky, coughing barks, the breath being indrawn with a wheeze.

Although quiet and inoffensive as far as man is concerned, the thylacine can put up a good fight against a dog, as the following incident, related by Mr Hugh S. Mackay, will illustrate: 'A bull-terrier was once set upon a wolf (thylacine) and bailed it up in a niche in some rocks. There the wolf stood, with its back to the wall, turning its head from side to side, checking the terrier as it tried to butt in from alternate and opposite directions. Finally, the dog came in close, and the wolf gave one sharp, fox-like bite, tearing a piece of the dog's skull clean off, and it fell with the brain protruding, dead.'

The thylacine usually produces four young. These are carried in the pouch for about three months, and then deposited in a snug shelter until able to take the field on their own account. Mr Finty, of Smithton, Tasmania, states that when he was crossing a creek on a fallen tree a female wolf tried to bar his way, and he had some difficulty in scaring her off. His curiosity being aroused by the daring of the animal, when he reached the opposite bank he searched the bushes and discovered two young wolves secreted in a dry fern-bed under the drooping and still attached dead fronds of a tree-fern. These reached the ground all round the butt, thus forming a natural tent-like shelter and a perfect camouflage for the youngsters.

Adult wolves are difficult to tame, but when caught the young are quiet and tractable, and do well in captivity, provided they are given some small game occasionally, besides a ration of meat.

This animal is now getting rare in Tasmania. The inhabitants seem to have a superstitious dread of the 'hyaena', as they sometimes call it, and will kill the wolf whenever opportunity offers. Indeed, some will even smash the wolf to pulp afterward, thus depriving science of the skeleton and skin.¹⁹

Taken together, these two descriptions present a picture that is informative but also contradictory, and questionable as to accuracy based upon sound empirical evidence. Even at that level, therefore, the future of the animal could not be said to have been in entirely safe hands.

Still, it was through Lord's efforts that, at last, there came a form of protection, in 1930. But how protective was it? Now,

thylacines could not be hunted in December, for it was believed that they bred during that month. From today's perspective it seems a pathetic gesture but at the time it was a hard-won political victory.





A BAD FINISH: 7 September 1936

Nothing in this whole world will convince me it was not a tiger. I saw the whole of its tiger like shape, its stripes, its well shaped head which I knew I'd never seen before . . . I love dogs and to me it was just another lovely dog I had never seen before. I went to call my husband from bed, but you know men—never interested in anything till after ten a.m., so I have no one to back my story, but I will take an oath it happened.

EDITH THYNNE, TAROONA

In the late 1920s, Labor Party premier J. A. Lyons, a longserving politician who was later to become Australia's first prime minister from Tasmania, faced certain defeat at the polls. A reactionary, conservative Legislative Council had blocked much of his proposed legislation and to many of his supporters he had betrayed his own ideals, central to which had been his 'powerful attacks on the evils of capitalism and the prospects of war and misery said to be carried within it . . . Lyons had pleased many Tasmanians but disappointed the generation which perceived in the triumph of Lenin and the Bolsheviks a harbinger of social justice and prosperity for all'.¹ Clearly, Labor's newfangled, radical left-wing sentiments (forged amongst the hardened mine workers of the west and their political hero, the maverick King O'Malley), were a world apart from the equally hard conservatism that had long been practised by the wealthy rural rump of politics. Yet again, through politically laced legislation, the thylacine was to become a victim of the island's Janus-faced nature. Indeed, the animal was unwittingly setting a trend in Tasmanian politics, which has since been characterised above all by bitter battles and stand-offs associated with conservation.

Through the 1920s Clive Lord and others had patiently built up the case for saving the thylacine. The National Park Board had come into being to protect flora and fauna within the park, and then a Tasmanian Advisory Committee re Native Fauna, of which Lord was secretary, was established. The committee made recommendations for federal legislation to wholly protect and to prohibit the export of thylacines. The latter, bizarrely, was in part prompted by the very high prices offered by overseas zoos, against which the Tasmanian zoos couldn't compete. Yet the message still didn't become apparent, neither to the public at large nor to any government, that thylacines were both disturbingly rare and extremely valuable.

This may well have been because the Advisory Committee's recommendations on protection were 'immediately and effectively countered by rural-rump politicians and members of the conservative establishment who prevented, for as long as possible, any positive action being taken to preserve the thylacine, even as irrefutable evidence of the destruction of the species mounted'.²

Lord and his colleagues persisted, and in 1928 the new Nationalist Party of Premier John McPhee was presented with further evidence of the animal's endangered status derived from data gathered by 38 police stations across the state. According to Paddle, the government employed a stalling tactic at this point, by having the Advisory Committee recast as the Tasmanian Animals and Birds' Protection Board. This manoeuvre also enabled the government to stack the new Board with its own appointees, 'representing vested interests supporting the timber industry, the hunting and snaring of native game, and the uncontrolled expansion of agricultural enterprise'.³ As a result, Lord's protection efforts were repeatedly turned down, until at last in 1930 he managed to steer through the partial protection measure banning hunting in December. It also enabled prohibition of exports, which could not be legally stopped while the animal was wholly unprotected.

At the practical level it is clear that this measure in no way helped the thylacine. Reference has already been made to the snarer's general disregard of legislation ordering him what not to catch. Also, the grim years of the Great Depression from 1929 to 1933, perhaps even to the outbreak of World War II, only increased the value of thylacines: as zoo stock, for their skins or, on the sly, between hunter and sheep owner as continued bounty.

In retrospect it is all the more tragic that so few Tasmanians genuinely cared for their unique marsupial predator. They had been proudly exhibited at both the Hobart and Launceston zoos for many years; countless thousands had paid to enjoy viewing them alongside other native and imported wildlife—yet few cared about them enough to become involved in their preservation. The story of Hobart's two zoos, for example, is a story of lost opportunity, not least because of the energy and commitment put into them by a dedicated few, two women in particular. Many thylacines were handled by the zoos. How easily they would have formed a captive breeding stock if they had been released for that purpose on Maria Island off the east coast, which A captive thylacine has been fed a chicken. The absence of visible enclosure wire in the photograph renders the image as an attack upon a fowl house. (Don Stephens)

was eventually mooted as a sanctuary and still holds that statusin-waiting.

Back in 1895, wealthy Hobart socialite Mary Roberts had, as a hobby, started a menagerie that grew into a successful private zoo, in the large grounds of her mansion Beaumaris in the suburb of Sandy Bay. Her wealth enabled her to buy exotic animals and birds and she became a formidable entrepreneur in the animal trade, while remaining an animal lover—to the extent of forming the Anti-Plumage League in 1910, which campaigned against the killing of peacocks and the like for human adornment.

Her Beaumaris Zoo contained a typical range of specimens lions, zebras, antelope, polar bears, an elephant, many birds—as well as native fauna, of which the thylacine was a star attraction, as this recollection attests:

128 | THYLACINE

When a child I was taken to see a tiger in the Beaumaris Zoo in Hobart. A fawnish-yellow creature with dark stripes across its powerful hindquarters and a strong rigid tail, like an Indian tiger but with the head of a wolf and a strangely hinged jaw opening at right-angles, measuring about four feet from the nose to the base of the tail, it prowled with a stealthy lumbering gait round a small netted yard.⁴

As well as displaying thylacines for the people of Hobart (and, it seems, most visiting dignitaries), Mary Roberts handreared a number, although it is unlikely that she tried to or actually bred them, since her diaries make no mention of it. She regularly used her zoo to promote animal welfare through education and fundraising. Thus an event for the Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals was attended by the Governor Sir Harry Barron who,

declaring the function open, said how much the people were indebted to Mrs Roberts for helping the funds of so many institutions by throwing open the zoo on numerous occasions. He had been told that in Tasmania there was no animal that could not be tamed. Mrs Roberts had tamed even tigers, but he would not care to go in with them like she did!⁵

In the light of such apparent public feelings for the animal, it is all the more surprising that the later efforts of individuals like Clive Lord would amount to so little.

At her golden wedding anniversary in 1913 Mary Roberts was presented with a solid gold thylacine brooch. That may have been a canny gift, for she did a good trade in them and their carrion-eating cousins the Tasmanian devil—of which she famously remarked, about those favourites of hers, that she was 'possessed by seven devils'. In the north, James Harrison was the island's other main dealer in live thylacines. Mary Roberts was generally able to onsell thylacines for double the prices she paid to snarers and trappers, with the prices themselves rising all the time. In 1910 she paid about £8 per thylacine; this had risen to £20 by 1919.⁶

Beaumaris Zoo was a well-managed, popular success, run for 26 years by an animal rights campaigner, yet the puzzle is that the obvious plight and value of its rarest inhabitant attracted no official interest, as Clive Lord was discovering.

Upon the death of Mary Roberts in 1921, her daughter donated the zoo to the Hobart City Council, which built new premises for it at the Queen's Domain, a large wooded city reserve on the western bank of the Derwent River. There was evidently considerable pride in this new venture and a major feature article in The Mercury sang the praises of its educational and scientific status as being up with the best zoos of the Commonwealth, while it had a layout that was 'a veritable fairyland for the animals'. Real effort was made, including the cutting of a cliff to sculpt 'a wonderfully fine rocky spot, where in comparative freedom the lions will be able to prowl at leisure'. A thylacine features as one of three animals photographed for the piece, the caption being: 'It is intended to keep [thylacines] in the Zoo. They are members of a rapidly diminishing race'. But presumably that was neither here nor there to the newspaper's readers, who probably were better conditioned by the times to accept a decidedly warped reality:

To [the Curator's] sympathetic treatment, the devils, usually the personification of ferocity, the eagles, and other animals and birds, have responded wonderfully, and they have come to regard their prison as merely a playground where, free from that ceaseless persecution attendant on their natural conditions, they are fed and cared for \dots ⁷.

The new zoo's curator, Arthur Reid, was highly experienced and diligent and his daughter Alison worked closely with him, taking on the role of de facto curator after her father's death. She worked tirelessly; to the public she became a well-known figure at the zoo with her pet leopard. She also was the taxidermist of the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, of which Clive Lord was director. Unfortunately, like Lord, her efforts to promote the welfare of animals, in particular the zoo's thylacines, were to be stymied.

Meanwhile, the puzzle of a public that admired thylacines while being indifferent to their fate is nowhere better illustrated than in a piece of journalism that reads like a cheerful obituary. It appeared in the 70th anniversary edition of *The Mercury* on 5 July 1924, in a special celebratory supplement entitled 'Preserving Our Native Animals and Birds: Phenomenal Success of the Beaumaris Zoo'—with the thylacine taking pride of place at the head of the article, followed by a summary of the history of zoos and of Beaumaris Zoo. It is a cruel celebration:

'Living Fossil'. How would you like to be called a living fossil? Not very complimentary, is it? That's what some biologists (people who study animal life) have called our tiger, or wolf. You see, the tiger, as we shall call him, is a very old beast-much older than you would imagine. You have only to look at him to see that: His legs are relatively short, and body somewhat elongated, head narrow, with little brain capacity, a bad finish about the hindquarters; in fact, an unproportioned experiment of nature quite unfitted to take its place in competition with the more highly-developed forms of animal life in the world today. We have evidence that his ancestors enjoyed a distribution covering a considerable portion of the globe . . . European scientists found fossils of the creature, and wondered what he was like, when, behold, down in a little island round the other side of the world he was found to be alive, eking out a precarious existence, it is true, but spending his last days on a globe which he had inhabited for so long, in comparative peace . . .8

Lord was still patiently trying to persuade the Animals and Birds' Protection Board to recommend total protection when he died in 1933. Such had been his dedication and persistence that the Board was spurred to rethink its views, and a new committee was established to look into the issue of saving the species. At the same time, barely a kilometre away at the city's edge on the Queen's Domain, Alison Reid was fighting a losing battle to save just one thylacine, the very last in captivity, whose story typifies the species' dreadful stroke of ill-fortune in having had Europeans invade its island sanctuary.

According to legendary thylacine devotee Col Bailey:

It is the 1924 capture of a female tiger and her three cubs in the Florentine Valley that entered Walter Mullins into the history books. First snaring the mother in a foot snare, Mullins had only to patiently wait around for her brood to come looking for mum before taking captive the whole family. It was to prove a most notable capture, and not even Mullins could have foreseen the consequences. He somehow managed to get them to the Fitzgerald railhead from where almost all the tigers caught in the south-west finished up after 1917. From there the group were transported to the Beaumaris Zoo . . . A deal was soon struck with the Curator, Mr A. Reid, and the four thylacines changed hands for the then princely sum of fifty-five pounds. Mr Mullins no doubt left the tigers to their fate little realising that he had just sold what was to become the last captive tiger, and indeed as some would tell us, the last Tasmanian tiger on planet Earth.⁹

Guiler's records also indicate that this last captive thylacine was purchased by Beaumaris Zoo in February 1924 and died at the age of twelve years and seven months, according to the authority of Hobart City Council Records.¹⁰ However, just to add to the surfeit of controversy surrounding the creature, and this last one in particular, popular opinion suggests that it was caught by Elias Churchill in the Florentine Valley in 1933. Either this young thylacine, caught by Elias Churchill in 1933, is 'Benjamin', the erroneously-named last-known living member of the species ...

... Or this is 'Benjamin', caught as a cub by Walter Mullins in 1924 and surviving for twelve long years in the Hobart Zoo until the fateful day of 7 September 1936. Recent research by Robert Paddle indicates that this animal may in fact have died in 1928. The mystery of the last zoo tiger lives on. (Above: Robert Paddle, The Last Tasmanian Tiger, page 199; below: Collection Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery)
Paddle quotes both Alison Reid and the highly respected naturalist Michael Sharland, who was also associated with Beaumaris, in support of this date, and avers that the film footage, 'together with other still photographs, clearly identifies the last specimen as a mature but relatively young adult female'.¹¹

The 1981 Beresford and Bailey book had a presumably unintentional bet both ways on the issue: 'By the early 1930s, only one tiger of the collection remained'.¹²

As if this was not enough to lob on the last-known of a species, Paddle also uncovered a seeming act of deliberate misinformation against that particular animal many years later, in which a man called Frank Darby falsely claimed to have been its last carer and that its name was Benjamin, a name which has entered Tasmanian folklore.

Beaumaris Zoo suffered the effects of the Great Depression. Paid staff were laid off and replaced by work-for-the-dole 'sussos' (sustenance workers), with limited understanding of and interest in the animals. Its gate takings dropped. Alison Reid herself received short shrift, possibly because she was a woman: unpaid, threatened with eviction from her cottage at the zoo, and refused possession of a key to gain access to care for the animals after hours. It seems that despite her best efforts, including a special plea to the Hobart City Council on behalf of the zoo's last surviving thylacine, the animals were increasingly neglected.

There could only be one outcome:

With genuine distress in her voice, Alison recalled to me the last weeks of her life at the zoo in 1936. Powerless, keyless and shortly to be dismissed from the zoo and turned out of her home, she listened at night to the distress calls of the zoo's remaining carnivores: the last thylacine, a Bengal tiger and a pair of lions, all too frequently locked outside in the open to face the cold, rain and snow of the Hobart winter.¹³

134 | THYLACINE

There exists 62 precious seconds of film footage of that thylacine, taken by David Fleay (who was bitten on the buttock while filming). The thylacine died on the night of 7 September 1936. Just two months earlier, legislation had passed through the Tasmanian Parliament declaring the species to be wholly protected. That was not and is not the final irony in the thylacine story, not by a long measure—but as a practical demonstration of human folly masquerading as progress, it surely has few peers. Did the politicians in 1936 give any thought to their elected forebears of half a century earlier? Guiler did: 'Those twelve parliamentarians who voted for the Bill to pay a bounty without enquiring into the authenticity of the facts and figures given have a great deal to answer for in the history of conservation in Tasmania'.¹⁴

Tasmanian east coast resident, artist Vita Brown, 86, has a very clear memory of visiting Beaumaris Zoo and seeing the last known thylacine. In her opinion it was very old. She further describes it as being emaciated, lonely and, so far as this is possible with an animal, wearing 'a look of despair' as it paced up and down. The sight of it upset her so much that she had to leave the zoo and never went back.¹⁵



11 A LOST OBJECT DF AWE

In about 1922 or 1923 I rode up the Lake Road to see how deep the snow was . . . It began to snow again so I turned my horse for home. When I got just level with the cave my horse stopped at the sound of a growl & there on my right was a full grown Tiger showing its teeth and still growling. It was 2 to 3 feet from me, & the passage between the rocks into the cave was too narrow for the Tiger to turn around. My horse blew its nostrils & the Tiger backed himself back. My horse jumped forward. Tigers are so shy & cunning . . . I was very lucky to have been so close to a Tiger.

MRS LINDA O'SHEA, LAUNCESTON

Without an end to clearfelling, our old growth forests will share the fate of the Tasmanian tiger: a lost object of awe, one more symbol of our feckless ignorance and stupidity.' These words were delivered by internationally-acclaimed Tasmanian novelist Richard Flanagan to a 4000-strong protest rally in Hobart in August 2001, staged outside the casino-hotel hosting the Australian Labor Party state conference. Other notable speakers included Greens Senator Bob Brown and actor Rachel Griffiths. The message was clear and loud: directed at the incumbent Tasmanian Labor government, it demanded cessation of the practice of converting the island's ancient forests into Japanese toilet paper and like products, by way of woodchipping.

Conservation has long been the touchpaper of Tasmanian politics. It traces directly, unequivocally, back to the political demonisation of the thylacine in the 1880s. The animal was neither pest nor vermin but was singled out of its environment for the apparent economic betterment of the few, by a conservative mindset demanding the continued conquest of wilderness. That Tasmanians were unable to save it from extinction—that they did not even try until well into the twentieth century eventually spread an enormous pall of guilt, regret and sorrow. The thylacine's demise left a terrible legacy—perversely, not unlike the so-called convict stain which many families tried to hide, also until well into the twentieth century.

In the end, the tragedy of the thylacine created a rare energy in the island, a collective determination to henceforth resist environmental damage, degradation and obliteration. This has caused much conflict, conflict which still flares and will continue to do so. In some of Tasmania's environmental friends and defenders the thylacine engenders high emotion, in others reasoned logic, but both start from the same premise—that the animal was maliciously hunted to extinction.

Important though it is, the dramatic touch of having a single date to signify the 'death' of a species obscures the facts of the thylacine situation as it then applied. Tasmanians did not go into collective mourning on 8 September 1936. They had been ignoring and persecuting the animal for so long that thoughts of its permanent loss occupied but a few minds. Nor, in fact, had the animal become extinct. But those who were concerned at least now had that date as something tangible to mount a case for action. The last known captive thylacine was dead. An attempt had to be made to disprove the alarming possibility that it might, indeed, have been rendered extinct.

To that end the Fauna (Animals and Birds' Protection) Board sanctioned two searches in 1937. The first scoured the Middlesex Plains and adjacent areas and the second went deeper into the western mountains, in the rugged Frenchman's Cap area. Both of these searches found prints, and some sightings were also investigated—but that was all. It set a depressing precedent for the many searches and expeditions, official and private, that were to follow over the next 40 years.

Still, there remained a fair amount of optimism that remnant groups of thylacines ought to be alive and well in the more remote, untouched and inaccessible parts of the island. Undisturbed, they would hopefully rebuild a sustainable population. But rebuilding human affairs in the wake of the Great Depression came first. Water and wood were the island's two great natural resources; they needed to be yoked ever more firmly to the economy, to create much-needed jobs and revitalise the export market. In the years preceding World War II a major hydro-electric power station at Tarraleah and newsprint mills at Boyer and Burnie were built. From now on, getting the wood and harnessing the water meant entering those remote and inaccessible parts. The heavy machinery developed during the war would later make this all the more possible. Thus, the battlefields of the developers and conservationists were waiting, with the thylacine's last refuges and its very future, if indeed it still had one, integral to the conflict.

History shows that the first true Tasmanian conservation campaign began in the one place where there ought to have been no need for a campaign—the Russell Falls-Mount Field National Park, where in 1949 the government of the day overrode existing protective legislation in order to permit 7000 acres (2000 hectares) of its Florentine Forest to be logged for the newsprint company ANM. It was a surreptitious move, but it caused a public outcry and led to the formation of the state's first conservation group. That group did not achieve its major objective but laid the foundations for future public action against government and business acting together to put profit before environmental considerations—of which the bounty scheme had been but the first example.

At the end of World War II the director of the animal sanctuary at Healesville in Victoria, David Fleay (he who shot the famous thylacine footage), was given official Fauna Board support to head an expedition to capture a thylacine pair for breeding purposes. The group covered a lot of territory in the west, their baits including bacon, live chickens and meat. Again there were prints, sightings obtained from local bushmen, and one evening the party listened to a thylacine calling. Fleay's major recommendation after the expedition was that snaring and trapping be prohibited across the south-west. He felt also that poisoned devil baits were surely being taken by thylacines. It was another alarm bell, from an experienced zoologist.

Yet the official position on thylacine protection seemed to be somewhat muddled, even counter-productive, as a 1946 letter, written by the director of the Queen Victoria Museum in Launceston to a snarer, suggests:

Dear Sir,

I have received your letter about the Tasmanian Tiger which you have heard near your house.

The Tiger is a protected animal and can only be killed or captured by special permission. This Museum holds such a permit and will authorise you to take the animal on its behalf on the understanding that if successful you present the living animal or its carcase to this Museum. Would you please let me know if you are agreeable to this; when exhibited it would be shown as a gift from you.

Your local police trooper would be advised that you were trapping for the Tiger on our behalf.

You could try to trap the Tiger in the following ways:-

(a) Build a large cage with an entrance passage, screen it with bushes. Drag a trail leading to it with bait; you can use the intestines of a sheep or use rabbits and so on. Put the bait in the cage after you have dragged the trail. Set a trip catch at the end of the passage which would release a door set at the entrance to the passage when the Tiger knocked it.

This trap would probably be the most satisfactory but would involve considerable work.

(b) The second method is to drag the bait along a run and set strong springer snares on this track. The snares should be of the type which will catch the feet, not a strangling snare, as we want to get the animal alive.

If you catch the Tiger let the Police Trooper or myself know at once.

Please let me know if you will be trapping. If there is anything you are not sure about tell me when you write.¹

The classic and frequently reprinted 1941 work of Ellis Troughton, *Furred Animals of Australia*, has already been referred to; his recommendation for the species during that early period of uncertainty was also, not surprisingly, equivocal: 'Though the interests of the settlers must be the first consideration, there is urgent necessity for an adequately controlled reservation in some remote fastness to ensure the survival of such a unique animal.'² Troughton also kept alive the questionable marsupial trademark: 'Keen observers have stated that, if hard pressed, it will rise on the hind-legs and hop like a kangaroo. This action may be for clearing obstacles in haste, but the appearance of the hindquarters supports the idea'.³ Alas, there were those who continued to wreak havoc upon it, if only in print:

Zoologists are fascinated by the Devil and Wolf-Tiger because these are the only marsupials of their kind left in the world . . . For the average visitor, however, the pair have only a museum interest: they are never seen by the tourist, although a Devil may be found in a zoo. The man who brings a Tasmanian Wolf to an American zoo will make a fortune. Speaking of them from the purely personal standpoint, both animals are stinking and vicious, and Tasmanians are entirely agreed that outside zoos they are not missed from the Tasmanian landscape.⁴

By the early 1950s Tasmania's population had topped the 300 000 mark, thanks in part to immigration—mostly English and Dutch—and the economy had benefited from postwar economic booms, particularly in farming. Modernisation through mechanisation greatly increased agricultural output, which had knock-on effects:

Transport and communications also changed radically... Roads partly based on ancient Aboriginal tracks and the easiest path for horse or bullock teams, were straightened, old settlements bypassed and modern highways pushed through to facilitate the speed and easy travel of cars and semi-trailers. In the bush, chain-saws and caterpillartrack vehicles took over from axes, horses and sheer manpower.⁵

None of this could possibly bode well for a perilously endangered species reliant for its survival on a total absence of human interference. But interest in it continued, to the extent that at the official level there were fears that thylacines were, more than ever, being pursued for personal gain. Was it turning into a legend? The worried Fauna Board 'became more and more sensitive to people operating without [its] knowledge or approval. By the mid 1950s, it determined to control all tiger searches and started its own investigations'.⁶ This was a logical and welcome advancement as a protective measure. But, in a fact-is-strangerthan-fiction move, the Board was once again undermined by its own government, which secretly negotiated with a mainland media organisation and *Life* magazine to sell the visual rights arising out of any capture. Effectively, the government was auctioning off copyright in the thylacine. Guiler, the then chairman of the Board, states that the Board was 'greatly distressed and annoyed' and exerted pressure on Labor premier Eric Reece (who was later to feature in more tiger business), forcing him to pull the plug on the deal.

So it was that between 1957 and 1966 there were eight official searches, investigations and expeditions, with Guiler involved in all of them. While the localities and methods differed, what they had in common was justified optimism at the outset and negative results at the end. The first search was prompted by apparently unmistakable thylacine behaviour in the Derwent Valley, involving sheep kills, with one lamb the victim of precise entry through the rib cage to remove the liver.

A 1958 sighting in the Ben Lomond area, with a confirmed thylacine print, led to a large baited trap being set up by Guiler and a team near a group of cave lairs. Nearby trees had sheeps' heads nailed to them and at a later stage bullock livers were dragged around. Again, all to no avail.

Like so many Tasmanian 'localities' (defined as having 200 inhabitants or less, with some facilities), Trowutta is little known and off the beaten track. South of Woolnorth, it is an entry point for the Milkshake Hills Forest Reserve and the uninhabited wilderness beyond and is very much thylacine country. Here Guiler investigated an alleged sighting by an experienced snarer who claimed to have seen a thylacine on, of all things, the woodheap at Trowutta Mill. Again, the investigation went nowhere, but later in the same area a large unknown animal escaped from a snare set by James Malley (who remains one of the state's major tiger resurrectionists). Guiler's opinion was that Malley's snare had not caught a thylacine because the disturbance at the scene didn't fit with 'the agreed opinion of thylacine hunters that they just gave up in a snare'.⁷ Such is the frustrating mystique of the animal that this contrasts directly with the experience decades earlier of an experienced bushman who bought and sold thylacines to zoos: 'Mr Bart thinks he caught one himself in a snare, judging by the way it had chewed its way out, making a terrific mess of the surrounding vegetation. Dog very scared'.⁸

In the late 1950s and early 1960s Guiler led a number of expeditions and investigations at Woolnorth. This locality held promise for a number of reasons, not least its being largely unchanged since its initial use for sheep pasturage in the

Eric Guiler with a small stuffed thylacine head. Guiler devoted much of his adult working life to the thylacine, trying to protect it through habitat preservation, searching for it and writing about it. (The Mercury)

1830s. These searches were notable for the first use of fixed cameras, purchased for the purpose from RAAF disposal stores. At this time too, a little further south at Sandy Cape, there occurred a famous and controversial incident in which two fishermen were awoken by a sound and one of them, seeing a pair of eyes glowing in the dark, struck out with a piece of wood. The next day they discovered that they had killed a thylacine. Had they? Whatever the truth, from that point their story became the bush equivalent of an urban legend, in which they stashed the carcass underneath a sheet of roofing iron and went off to tell of the deed. Alas, when they returned it had been stolen and was later, apparently, offered for sale to Sir Edward Hallstrom of the Taronga Park Trust, who refused it, after which it was dumped in the sea. (Hallstrom had earlier conducted three unofficial thylacine searches and it was these that prompted the Fauna Board to step in and mount searches of its own.)

Guiler made two more west coast trips, the first funded by the government, with Premier Reece hoping for good thylacine publicity. Thousands of leg snares were fruitlessly laid. The second—supported by a World Wildlife Fund grant—was inspired by the government's acquisition of Maria Island as a sanctuary where captured thylacines could be released. This happened in 1966, after considerable lobbying by Guiler and his Board. In turn, the Board was itself being vigorously lobbied at this time by a public group seeking protection for a much bigger area, the south-west wilderness. That group, the South-West Committee, had recently been formed in response to growing public and commercial interest in one of the world's most unspoilt tracts: the great swathe of mountains, forests and rivers stretching from the central plateau to the remote southwest coast.

144 | THYLACINE

The Board was under pressure because it stood between the South-West Committee and the Hydro-Electric Commission (HEC), which had for a decade been investigating the area's great commercial potential. Already there existed, at the very core of the south-west, the Lake Pedder National Park (legislated in 1955 thanks to the efforts of the Hobart Walking Club). What might come next? The committee wanted a sanctuary declared, but that would have shut out commercial exploitation. The three parties compromised and agreed upon a 646 000 hectare Faunal District encompassing Pedder:

Dr. Guiler said Tasmania once again had gone to the front in conservation programmes. With the exception of the Northern Territory, it would be the biggest area reserved for wildlife in Australia . . . No one will be allowed to take any animal or bird from the area, or enter it with guns, dogs, traps or snares without the written permission of the Board.⁹

It may have seemed like a comprehensive victory in the belated attempt to save the thylacine, but in reality this deal marked the first—and decidedly least bitter—three-way contact between Tasmania's officialdom, its economic oligarchies and its conservationists. The fight for Tasmania's future was about to start, and at its heart was Lake Pedder. Extinction, exploitation, preservation, had inevitably come together. As was so wryly reported in *The Mercury*:

People fighting to preserve the South-West as a wilderness reserve were grabbing the Tasmanian tiger by the tail, the Premier (Mr. Reece) said yesterday . . . He said the south-west had 'a few badgers, kangaroos and wallabies, and some wild flowers that can be seen anywhere'. An Opposition member interjected: 'And the Tasmanian tiger'. Mr. Reece: 'We haven't been able to catch up with him yet. These people (preservationists) are grabbing him by the tail when they grab this issue.'¹⁰

Reece had handed the Fauna Board $\pounds 2000$ to find a thylacine; his government could happily give a little on one side, knowing how much would be coming in from the other.

In the nineteenth century the thylacine had been deemed a negative, dangerous part of the natural environment. But when in the twentieth century that environment came to be considered a highly lucrative and therefore positive resource, it was the people trying to protect it who were now considered negative and dangerous. Tasmania's battles over water and wood, in the everlengthening shadow of the tiger, were set to begin in earnest. The proto-conservation groups were under no illusions as to what they were up against. Regarding water: 'Hydro-industrialisation is the policy of building dams to provide electricity for heavy, energy-intensive industry. It is a policy that pleases many. Unions get construction jobs, the Hydro-Electric Commission gets a bigger empire, the companies get heavily subsidised power and politicans get re-elected'.¹¹ Regarding wood:

A single tree, 70 metres tall, contains almost 100 tonnes of usable timber. Carefully graded and converted this will make: enough thinly sliced decorative veneers to panel the walls of a four-storey hotel, *plus* enough sawn timber for the framing and roof trusses of an average family house, *plus* enough solid wood to make a set of household furniture: tables, chairs, beds and cupboards, *plus* after all that, enough pulpwood to photocopy the complete works of Shakespeare over 3,000 times.¹²

Much has been written about the valiant but vain effort to save the original, unique Lake Pedder from being drowned in late 1972–early1973 to create a hugely enlarged body of water for the HEC's Gordon Dam complex power scheme. Like the thylacine, the lake with its pink-white quartzite sand beaches has iconic status, yet it too no longer exists—although, in a somewhat spooky echo of thylacine sightings, its original shape can sometimes be seen from the air (and some vow to restore it one day). But it remains the other vivid Tasmanian symbol of the triumph of vested economic interests over environmental considerations.

Guiler noted that the flooding of Pedder destroyed 'useful' thylacine habitat; over and above that, the controversy destroyed a Labor government and, in 1972, created the United Tasmania Group, the world's first green political party. Indeed, politics and the environment had become fused 'The struggle to save Lake Pedder did a great deal towards the awakening of Tasmanians to their natural heritage. Thousands who deeply regretted the destruction regretted also the political and administrative machinery that permitted it despite such enormous opposition'.¹³

Who was to blame? The answer to that question dates back to the first European settlement-invasion of Van Diemen's Land, since when the island has always been at the mercy of ingenuity in the name of progress. Thylacines were a great target and politicians duly took aim, and succeeded, when in 1887 that narrow vote was cast to eliminate them. Nearly a hundred years later, politicians had it in their power to act nobly—alternatives to the flooding of Lake Pedder were tabled and viable—but, in another echo, a bad one harking back to those reactionary east coast grazier kings, the stayer Eric Reece and his old guard 'were simply bewildered by the growing wave of public feeling for the natural environment. Pedder foundered largely because of the pride of old men who had been left behind by changing times'.¹⁴

Meanwhile the search for the thylacine went on. In 1968 the biggest expedition to date was mounted, by James Malley then a Smithton real estate agent—and Jeremy Griffiths, a Sydney zoologist. Over a four-year period, throughout the heat and emotion of the Pedder campaign, they worked assiduously towards proof of the animal's continuing existence, sometimes aided and sometimes rebuffed at the official level, and always struggling to find funds to keep the search alive. In 1972 they were joined for a period of nine months by a young Sydney medical graduate, Dr Robert Brown, who ran their Launcestonbased information centre. The three were briefly known as the Thylacine Expedition Research Team. When that team disbanded, Brown wrote: 'The enormity of the thylacine's importance in our natural history can be seen as perhaps even greater than that of the odds of there being any members of the species left in Tasmania'.¹⁵ It was a pessimistic summation of yet another failed attempt, but with a new focus. Even as Pedder was drowning, the thylacine was emerging as a beacon, its 'enormity' in the Tasmanian story sheeted home to that same Robert Brown, who then settled in the state and created another kind of history, which continues to unfold. Today Bob Brown is the world's most influential Green politician.

The Tasmanian Wilderness Society, which Brown co-founded as a result of Pedder, determined that the mistakes of the past must not be repeated. In Brown, the Society had a formidable and forthright spokesman.





12 we wake up do late

It was now 1946... this man Mark McCloud greeted us with the words 'Welcome sportsmen, you are now in Balfour'. Mark was nearing 80 years of age, his clothes were patches upon patches, a shaggy white beard and long hair covered most of his face, but his tall figure now bent with age told of a once hard strong man. We stopped the night with Mark, and that in itself is a story but to hasten on ... It must have been near midnight when I went outside before turning in for the night. The night was still and sounds carried far, when suddenly a sound I had never heard before came from a nearby hill. The sound was clear, not a bark but rather a high pitched yelp. Immediately I called to Mark and Ray and Mark had no hesitation in stating that it was only a tiger after the hindquarters of a roo he had left hanging in a tree.

C. L. Smith, Tarraleah

In the latter part of the twentieth century, interest in the thylacine continued to grow. Sir Edmund Hillary, in Tasmania to climb its wild peaks, expressed a hope of seeing one. Animal welfare celebrity Brigitte Bardot wanted to search for it but funding problems prevented her. However, in 1978 the newly established Australian chapter of the World Wildlife Fund put \$55 000 into a proposed search, to be run jointly by Eric Guiler and Steven Smith of Tasmania's National Parks and Wildlife Service, formerly the Fauna (Animals and Birds' Protection) Board.

The two men worked separately over the next two years. Much of the funding was spent on sophisticated automatic cameras which were strategically placed in a wide variety of likely locations. Alas, while virtually every other species of Tasmanian animal was filmed, not one thylacine showed up. If proof was required that they were no more, surely it had come through the patient lenses of those expertly positioned cameras. Smith published his report in 1980, declaring the species extinct. Yet every second Tasmanian continued to have a tiger story confirming its presence. (Today, that may be down to every third Tasmanian, but their belief is, if anything, more passionate.)

In 1981 the first book devoted exclusively to the thylacine was published—although, at a mere fifty-four pages, and concentrating on searches to that point, it was hardly intended to be comprehensive. Written by Canberra-based historian Quentin Beresford, and Garry Bailey, a journalist with *The Mercury*, its blurb posed the inevitable question: 'Does the Tasmanian tiger still exist?'¹

This little book, important in its own right, gave rise to an equally important historical record of the thylacine. To publicise and celebrate its release, publisher Dan Sprod organised a Tasmanian Tiger Competition in September 1981, in conjunction with the now-defunct *Tasmanian Mail* and a hotel chain. The newspaper advertised it as 'a contest for the best true story relating to the Tasmanian tiger', a point emphasised in the conditions of entry: 'The content of the story must be fact not fiction'. Clearly, the exaggerated yarns known to be out there were not required. A limit of 500 words was set, with these further terms:

Stories which qualify for entry include personal recollections of the writer (or friends or relatives), stories passed down from those no longer living, or accounts told in letters or diaries from times now

past . . . The inclusion of a factual background, such as dates, and the names of persons and places, is recommended . . . Interesting facts about this unique animal are sought. Skill in writing is not needed to win the competition . . .

The contest attracted 39 entries: not many, perhaps, but they are the invaluable experiences of Tasmanians talking about their special, tragic, marsupial predator. Diligent, honest and full of character, they are heartfelt and add to the richness of the island's story.

First prize was two nights' accommodation at a Four Seasons Motor Inn in Tasmania and a copy of Beresford and Bailey's book; five runners up also received the book. It was with significant foresight that Sprod decided that 'At the conclusion of the contest all stories entered will be deposited in the State Library [Archives Office] of Tasmania for use in future historical research'.² Many stories are handwritten, by elderly entrants; legibility is occasionally a factor in their reproduction. Those that appear here have been transcribed exactly as they were written.

From Betty. Holmes, East Wynyard

Every time I hear the Tas Tiger mentioned I am filled with remorse when I think back of a thing my mother and I did. As early as I can remember my collector Uncle lived with us. Occupying the largest bedroom for his displays, of mineral, & marine, and the '<u>Tiger skin</u>'. It held pride of place in front of the fireplace. He loved to take visitors to his room to see his various collections. How my mother hated <u>that</u> skin, she was really ashamed of it . . .

At my Uncle's passing in 1956, that treasure was first on the fire, as my mother got rid of his rubbish, as she put it. When my Uncle became ill, an old friend he had not seen for years called to see him, & was Talking about the skin, & I can remember his saying to my Uncle, My word Alf, you'd do well to catch one today, I reckon they have nearly been 'wiped out'—How pitifully true those words have become—I can see it lying there on the floor as tho it were yesterday, sort of fawn colour as I remember, <u>and its black stripes</u>—across the <u>back</u> & measuring about 4 [feet] long give or take a little. and hating it because my mother did, and so destroying a museum piece for the future generations.

From Raymond Melville Lawes, Burnie

... This whole area is known as Cuckoo Valley where we lived (a family consisting of Mum & Dad and seven kids.) My father Alfred Lawes cleared and used a lot of bush land under these hills. Being a very poor family my father and us older boys done a lot of hunting during open season—often snaring well into the mountain country and it was up there about 1930 when I was fourteen years old that I saw my first Tiger from about six feet.

I had climbed onto a big log one foggy afternoon to look at a snare down the lower side—sure enough there was a wallaby but sitting there eating was what I thought was a striped greyhound. It looked at me then took off in a line for the myrtle forest.

Back at camp telling my father he laughed and said—no dog that. That's the damn Tiger. Been eating wallabies up there for some years now. Said I should have shot it. (I had a rifle with me and was a good shot.)

We—my elder brother Lawrence & myself hunted every winter and during the next couple of years we became pretty good bushmen—so much so that we graduated with our uncle Len James to the plain away behind the mountain range. This was known as Morris Plains and was rough and rugged country. Stretches of myrtle forest wet tea tree flats—the biggest and tallest I have ever seen. In between rising ridges of gum where the possum fed. All this led down to stretches of plain dotted with many old logs. The whole area abounded with wallaby and other game.

It was while camping and hunting here that we had many experiences with our Tiger friends. Uncle Len thought there was a large family around. Our dogs disappearing back to camp was a common occurrence we had some pretty large fellows—kill kangaroo and badger easily.

Certain nights somehow seemed to be 'Tiger nights', a strange eerie quietness seemed to come over and uncle would say—Listen and then the dogs have gone home. We would sit quiet for a while and then light up the spot lights and slowly look all around—fleeting glimpses of glaring green eyes in the distance—left—front right & even behind told the story. They never came very close and we never had any fear of them . . . This was really Tiger country and today nearly fifty years later providing forestry workers haven't moved in too close I see no reason why there could not be some chance of a Tiger still living somewhere in that country . . .

From Kath Doherty, Ulverston

It was a cold winter evening in late June 1916 when my young brother Jack rode through our home gate with his arms clasped around a live 'hyena'. He carried it on the pommel of the saddle, the lower part in a potato bag and its jaws firmly clamped in a mussle. He was just twelve years old and always remembered the thrill of mixed fear and excitement of the taking, the mussling and the trussing that day.

My father, Frank Upston, had set a snare run that terrible winter in the World War I year, to help keep something on the table for five children and another already overdue, who arrived on 9th July. As well as the ready cash for the skins, we often had and liked wallaby tail soup and patties made of mince hind leg & bacon.

This snare line ran through the area now called Meunna while we lived in Lapoinya, where the forestry area building now stands.

He knew the 'Hyena' was on his scent each evening. As he left the scrub he could see the movement just a chain behind. It never approached any closer and always stopped (or the movement of the low shrubs stopped) when he stopped.

He told us of this for several days he had been offered £25.00 for one alive by James Harrison and decided to take it alive. He made and set strong snares in places he knew it to frequent. He also fashioned and made a rawhide mussle, which he carried each day.

When he did take it, a half grown male, it was not in the 'hyena' snare, but a light one on a frail springer, in a place where he did not expect it roamed. My young brother had ridden into the scrub to meet dad and carry out the skins, so together they trussed it and brought it home. We had it on display till next mid afternoon in our house and I think all the neighbours for miles around came to see it. It did not take any food, but we sent live joey wallabies for it, on its trip to Sydney, where it went to the Sydney Zoo. Most potatoes from the Wynyard– Table Cape area went to the Sydney market by a small fleet of Auxiliary Sailing Vessels from the Wynyard wharf in those days, and, our 'tiger' was shipped from there.

From Gordon Graham, Swansea

It was just after the turn of the last century that the late Mr William Cotton came into the town of Swansea leading a Tasmanian Tiger, most people at the time were scared of the animal, and were amazed to see a person doing such a thing.

At the time Mr Cotton was living on a small property at Swansea named 'The Hermitage', it was winter time, and the taking of kangaroo, and wallaby was permissable. So it was that trapping, and snaring was in full swing throughout the district.

William Cotton that winter had snares set about 4 miles west of Swansea at a place called 'The Sugar Loaf', and on visiting these snares one morning found he had caught a tiger.

The animal appeared rather docile, and showed no signs of fight, so it was then that Mr Cotton thought of the idea of trying to get the animal back to Swansea alive so that he could show of[f] his prize.

After some consideration he cut a short pole about five feet long, and to the end attached a piece of rope from his carr[y]ing bag, and with a noose made on the end slipped it over the tigers neck, held him at bay, cut the snare, and set of[f] to Swansea leading the tiger with him.

He had great trouble to get the animal to travel, but after going a few hundred yards the animal started to act just like as if it was a dog,

This juvenile, restrained by a collar, became a Hobart Zoo inmate. It appears to have 'given up', as some trappers said happened when captured. (Collection Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery)

and followed along beside him for the rest of the way to Swansea with the least of trouble.

Back at 'The Hermitage' the tiger was tied in the stall of a horse stables with a collar, and chain, where it was fed, and watered from some time, and people came from near, and far to get their first glimpse of the large marsupial.

But after a few days the animal became very restless (know doubt wanting to get back to its own habitat), and one night jumped over the partition between the stalls, and choked itself, the chain not being long enough to let its feet touch the floor on the other side.

The late Mr Cotton was my neighbour after his retirement, and often told me his story—back more than forty years ago.

From Mrs Annie Jackson, West Wynyard

... As a girl of ten years old I took up residence with my parents at Rocky Cape. In that time thick Bush surrounded us. My father had

cleared the Bush back about two hundred yards, and up the Back, We had the fowl house. Every now and then we were losing chooks, And the blame for this fell on the <u>Tiger Cats</u> or <u>Devils</u>.

Then one summer morning at about <u>five thirty</u> My Father after going outside, came back in, and in a whisper told my Mother and I to come quickly and quitly And look at <u>this</u>. Obeying his Instruction we moved to the Door And peeped around the Door. Prowling on the edge off the Bush up the Chook House was an Animal like a Big Mushroom coloured Dog. At this time he did not attempt to touch the Chooks. All of a sudden he came down toward the House.

We moved back into the Porch And he just passed by. But all of a sudden Dad Reckoned he got our Scent, And became aware of our presence. Because he took off, But we got a marvellous look at this light coloured Creature, with the Black stripes on his Back.

From Bruce Walker, Wynyard

I am probably one of few who can personally recollect having seen the last Tasmanian Tiger caught. The precise date I do not recollect, it having been recorded I believe at Mawbanna in the early 1930's. As I'm now approaching sixty this was before I had reached my teens.

This was during the depression years when many trappers eked a meagre livelihood snaring and trapping wallaby and possum or rabbits occasionally getting a tiger which they usually killed. There was however a local Wynyard identity Jimmy Harrison a collector of native fauna who found a ready market for the tiger at Australian Zoos, and paid trappers for live specimens.

Having secured the last one known, and which had a broken leg he called on my father Dr Gordon Walker to save it. He died in 1977 aged 86, having been a well known coastal surgeon for 1/2 century when Wynyard was the base hospital serving Penguin, Burnie, Wynyard & Circular Head municipalities.

I vividly recollect this seemingly vicious, snarling, tiger in its netted box which frightened me when I accompanied my father, however he soon quietened it. After covering the cage with bags he then threw in wads of cotton wool soaked in chloroform and it was quickly anethesised sufficiently for my father to splint its leg using wood and plaster. This process was repeated some weeks later to remove the splint and I believe the tiger was sent to Hobart Zoo.

From Gus E. Tubb, Malbina via New Norfolk

This is not intended to be a story of maybe but true facts. I saw my first tiger in the Hobart Zoo a long time ago. Since then I have seen it at Piper's River, Westerway, Fathers Marsh (Dry Creek, N. Norfolk) & Molesworth. The one at Molesworth has been here for over twenty years, which make me think there must have been more than one as I don't think they live that long. Last Feb. my son ran right into it crossing the road for water. You may remember it was very dry at that time & it was going to the creek for water. He-my son-was in his car & only a quarter of a mile from my home He rushed up home to ring the Wild Life so they could come and see for themselves. The answer was this WE DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO BUY THE PETROL TO TAKE US UP There was nothing realy done about it. It was a golden chance as it had Cyclone fence three parts of the way around the block. A Police Stock Inspector advised me not to report that we see this one at home as it would probably be hounded away. Here is a few things you dont know. Nothing else in the bush has a bright green eye round in shape. At night with the light it looks nearly twice as big as normal. One other thing when it kills & is not real hungry it will open the animal & make a very neat job of taking out the kidney's & fat & leave the rest. I have seen this trade mark several times on things as small as a native cat. One other thing few people know is that the tiger cant resist hot bacon fat. Every time we cooked bacon at the snaring camp he would come right in close & scare hell out of the dogs. The cooking fat smells a long way. It is common talk in the district that the tiger was shot & wounded a couple of months ago, but since the Wild Life people treated us like it did I did not trouble to report same.

From Kath Williams, Devonport

This is a true happening I am writing about. It concerns a woman the name being Mrs Myrtle Whittaker who lived at Scottsdale on the 10 mile track Road leading to Springfield.

We move to Scottsdale in the year 1952. Mrs Whittaker had been lost for a week now when they found her she claimed she slept under a log with Tasmanian tiger cubs. Well for that.

But, around 1956 we moved out on the Ringarooma Road on the corner of the Ten (10) mile track Moris House who had a mill there so we got to know Mrs Whittaker well as she only lived about 1/2 mile up from us. She claim that the tiger was around her hut so she informed the press & they came up (I think from Hobart) they camped the weekend at her place with flash camera etc. hoping to see the Tasmanian Tiger. Now she came to us, and ask if she could have a lend of our Bitch Dog. She intended to lure the Tiger to the Dog (being a Bitch). We did lend her the Dog & she tied it up for the week end. But sad to say no Tasmanian Tiger turn up. She was so convinced in her own mind that the Tiger was still around & claimed she often saw it. But it must have been a dissappointment to the Camera men & Press when none came around. This is a true happening & if you probably looked up the records you will find it so. As for the exact Date I could not tell but they are the years or thereabouts. Both were in the Newspapers. Mrs Whittaker being lost & her having seen a Tasmanian Tiger around her place.

From Mrs Ruby Lorkin (89 years old), Chigwell

Over one hundred years ago, during a depression, my father the late James Price was forced to leave his wife & family at Hastings, S. Tas & went with two companions, prospecting down Savage River, in the rugged west coast.

There were no motor cars at that time, so they walked all the way, each carrying a swag including a camp-oven & tent.

They lived on native animals, such as rabbits & porcupines (which are very tasty when skinned and baked, like suckling pig).

One evening near dark, a striped Tasmanian Tiger came creeping around near their tent & ate the scraps of meat, bones etc from the scrap-heap where they threw out their waste food etc.

The men watched from inside the tent, as she came every evening.

After about a week they noticed she was taking the food away in her mouth. They ventured outside, but could not get close to her, or tame her.

They followed her, keeping a safe distance behind, she led them to a large fallen tree, & underneath this, saw she had three young pups.

She glared, & growled at them as she saw them coming near her Puppies, so they decided not to approach any further, but she did not attempt to rush them, or bite them.

I think dogs & other animals can sense who are friends, & who enemies. the dog continued to come, each night to the camp-site, for food for her young ones.

The men left for home soon after this.

My father said he did not think Tigers were a savage animal any more than a house dog, which has gone wild, & will only attack sheep, & lambs etc when hungry.

I well remember seeing the last Tas Tiger at the Domain Zoo, in Hobart. They also had a tea-room there at that time, & it was a very popular walk for tourists, & Hobart citizens to go out there on sunday afternoons I think, if there any Tigers still living, the rugged Gordon River of the North West Coast would be the most likely place to find them. Of course, at the time I write about there were hundreds in the bush, & they were shot down, indiscrimately, by hunters, etc,

Its a pity we have lost so many of our Native Animals in this way. It is the same with Rabbits, Now they are talking of farming them for meat consumption.

We wake up too late.

The year 1985 is an important one in the thylacine story. Eric Guiler's *Thylacine: The Tragedy of the Tasmanian Tiger* was published, bringing together for the first time virtually all of the known scientific data on the animal. Guiler—once a zoology student of Professor Thomas Flynn—had exhaustively researched the Woolnorth records and interviewed many oldtimers with thylacine experience. There is no indication that the book's timing was deliberate; the following year, 1986, marked the fiftieth anniversary of the death of the last known thylacine. In zoological practice a species is officially deemed extinct if it has gone unrecorded for a period of fifty years. Guiler's theories and conclusions represent a major landmark in the thylacine story. His preface ends: 'I am still searching'.

Two years prior to the Guiler publication, Tasmania's extraordinary Franklin River dispute was decided by a High Court ruling which enabled the federal Labor Government to kill off a state government-backed hydro-electric scheme to dam the river. Many development-versus-conservation clashes were to follow, with the thylacine to the fore when the long-simmering Tarkine dispute erupted. The Tarkine, in Tasmania's far northwest, is Australia's largest continuous area of temperate rainforest, spreading across the almost totally unpopulated areas of the Donaldson and Savage River catchments. Originally known as the Arthur Pieman Protected Area (the Pieman River is reputedly named after cannibal convict and escapee Alexander Pierce, who was a pie-maker), the Tarkine was renamed after its Aboriginal inhabitants, the Tarkiner people. The area has many sites of Aboriginal significance. Early in 1995 the Liberal government revived plans for bulldozing a road through it to link Smithton on the north-west coast with the mining town of Zeehan, north of Macquarie Harbour. Promoted by the government as a future tourist enhancement, it was dubbed by conservationists 'the road to nowhere'. Its opponents said it was being built solely in the interests of forestry and mining companies.

160 | THYLACINE

The unsuccessful campaign to save the Tarkine operated at various levels. A direct action group, the Tarkine Tigers, came into existence (their first meeting was held in a room above Knopwood's Retreat), which took the thylacine as its symbol. Locking-on to bulldozers and other equipment became a common tactic, requiring police to cut the activists free. The giant 'Save Tarkine Wilderness' banner with its drawing of a thylacine would then be draped in front of the inert machinery, while hours of road-building were lost. To many Tasmanians the Tarkine Tigers truly were feral, unpredictable, dangerous—a strange echo of the groundless fear the real thing had engendered in the nineteenth century.

There were many arrests. One arrestee, Bob Brown, spent five days in custody. He drew attention to yet another dimension of the conflict in commenting upon the new Regional Forest Agreements drawn up between Canberra and each of the states:

It means that if the Tasmanian tiger emerges out of the Tarkine, along the pipeline track, for instance, and it is then decided you need 10 000 hectares to protect it and any other animals in there with it, then the Commonwealth [government], if it does that, is obliged to compensate [the forestry company] for the loss of that resource—all those trees. It would cost tens, if not hundreds of millions of dollars.³

Conservation issues remain highly emotive in Tasmania because there is seen to be a close correlation between one form of environmental extinction and another. For many Tasmanians, old growth logging has been so comprehensive for so long that it differs little from the thylacine slaughter in which their forebears indulged. Thus it was that in the lead-up to the 2002 state election a pressure group, the Tasmanian Community Alliance, was formed to put the extinction issue firmly on the agenda. The Alliance's television advertising campaign was spearheaded by A pressure group, the Tasmanian Community Alliance, used this pamphlet during a state election to gather support for its stand on protecting old growth forests. The insert photo—'Mr Weaver Bags a Tiger'—is famous for being infamous as a symbol of the wanton persecution of the animal. (Tasmanian Community Alliance, Hobart)

the famous/infamous 'Mr Weaver bags a tiger, 1869' photograph, with the advertisement drawing 'a parallel between old growth logging now and Tasmanian government policy 100 years ago which allowed Tasmanian tigers to be shot until they were extinct'.⁴ The advertisement went to air regularly in prime time. Geoff Law, longtime campaign coordinator of the Wilderness Society, was unequivocal about the reason for its success and what might flow from that:

The Thylacine is a potent symbol of all the mistakes of the past, especially in the imagery of a dead thylacine upside down in the Weaver photo. There's a sense of tragedy, vulnerability, the immense regret that people experience. If only we knew then what we know now, that wouldn't have happened, and yet we admit cheerfully to turning these magnificent, statuesque forests into woodchips for export . . . If there's an end to old growth logging then we'll have a proper World Heritage

162 | THYLACINE

area with the most appropriate boundaries for it, instead of a hackedup ragged edge that we've got now, with chunks left out for loggers and miners. In terms of an all-pervasive, oppressive issue, the forestry one will hopefully be the last one to be dealt with . . . They don't need to keep invading valleys that have never seen an axe.⁵

The thylacine had only once before been used to so directly attempt to influence Tasmania's political landscape. Its last appearance as a political animal had been in the 1887 debates which put the statewide bounty in place. More than a century later, it continues to vex Tasmanians:

It's funny how there's this fascination with the creature, partly because it's a large predator, partly because of the mystery as to whether it's there or not. And it even becomes a joke, almost. 'The Thylacine: maybe we'll see one'... It becomes light-hearted, which completely glosses over the tragedy that occurred. The thought that it might still be there actually allows people to overlook the horrific crimes of the past in ensuring its extermination. A quite deliberate, systematic extermination of the thylacine occurred, but people don't want to face up to it—that that's what Tasmanians did.⁶



THE TIGER In Commerce And Art

I was driving home to Railton . . . in a trap pulled by a fine white pony called Robin. As we neared Railton he suddenly stopped dead in the road so that we were nearly thrown out. He refused to budge and then we saw the reason, a Tasmanian Tiger had come out of the bush to cross the road and both tiger and pony met in the middle and eyed one another . . . The tiger slowly crossed to the other side and disappeared into the thick bush. It was dusk but we had a good side view so that its stripes were distinctly visible. It was several minutes before the pony would move on.

ELMA KIDD, WEST LAUNCESTON

The longer the thylacine stays dead, the greater the interest it arouses. That is quite an achievement for an officially extinct species which in its lifetime was not seen to have the fearful charm of the exotic jungle cats, Africa's big five, grizzly bears and the like. Yet it has been kept alive by considerably more than regret. As symbol, art object and metaphor, the thylacine holds lofty status, being accorded a degree of patriotic regard (like the bald eagle), a degree of reverence (like the cat in ancient societies), achieving something of the symbolism of the unicorn in literature and heraldry, and becoming a sporting icon (in company with wallabies, kangaroos, devils, springboks, lions, cougars, hawks, magpies, dolphins, sharks et al.).

The thylacine has about it a sufficiently powerful and ambiguous mystique that it is able to comfortably represent and embrace much that is Tasmanian. Thus, in a display of unintentional dark humour, a pair of heraldic thylacines stand rampant in the state's Coat of Arms, supporting and protecting a ram in the centre of the shield. It may also be seen on Tasmanian car licence plates and is the fetching logo of the City of Launceston. A television station, a furniture removal company, a fruit and vegetable wholesaler, an abalone company, a bus line, a supermarket, a publisher, craft stalls at Hobart's famous Salamanca



The Tourism Tasmania logo has abstracted the thylacine to a high degree that is, in fact, appropriate, given that the real thing has not been seen for at least 70 years. As a brand symbol it's an undoubted success, attracting large numbers of tourists to Tasmania to enjoy the island's 'wilderness' experience. (Tourism Tasmania)

Market, retailers of tourist kitsch, and many others, merrily trade under and off the tiger identity.

Tourism Tasmania, operating within a government department, makes saturation use of the image to lure visitors to 'Your Natural State'. The logo's designer, Ian Kidd, explains the philosophy behind it, reading in part:

The Department, having commissioned intensive research and design activity, [now] had a visual identity with which to identify and market Tasmania to target audiences locally, interstate and overseas... Whether still alive or extinct, the Tasmanian tiger is unique and immediately identified with the State to the extent that it has become mythicised. As an animal it isn't just a symbol of Tasmania; as has been depicted in the logo, it is a symbol of the Tasmanian Experience ... The symbol graphically depicts a Tasmanian tiger in a wilderness environment. The form comprises three elements; being the tiger, vegetation and water composed within an imaginary circle.¹

In the non-government sphere, Hobart's Cascade Brewery Company reigns supreme in commercial usage of the thylacine. The gracious sandstone building and storage tanks of Australia's oldest brewery, established in 1834, occupy an unrivalled site at the base of Mount Wellington. That striking image long carried much of the company's advertising fortunes, but a point was reached in the 1980s when the company needed a different kind of help. Enter the thylacine.

A growing demand for premium brews, nationally and internationally, obliged Cascade to test that market, in the face of stiff competition from the big established players like Heineken, Budweiser, CUB's Crown Lager and Carlsberg. Cascade's new product, released in 1987, was a considerable success, not least through the use of the mountain's pure water, locally grown and malted barley and a long maturation period of six weeks. But in the crucial marketing area lay its real secret ingredient: tapping into Tasmania's new image as environmentally clean and progressive, a complete contrast to the jaded metropolitan world of the target market, well-heeled beer drinkers.

Designer Ken Cato created a label closely based on H. C. Richter's 1850s lithograph for John Gould. (Gould had written: 'The circumstance of a fine pair, male and female, of the *Thylacinus cynocephalus* being now living in the Gardens of the Zoological Society in the Regent's Park, enables me to give the best figure of the animal that has yet appeared \ldots .²)

In combination with an unusual light green glass and expensive packaging (the latter to protect the beer from hop-spoiling ultra-violet light, which doesn't penetrate brown glass), the visual strength and somewhat mysterious allure of the label helped make the new premium line instantly successful. The following year Cato won an award from the New York Art Directors Club. Today, Cascade's Premium Lager and Premium Light are bestsellers throughout the developed world.

In all likelihood Cato's design was inspired by the fact that the brewery had had a prior relationship with the thylacine. In the 1870s artist William Piguenit used one in an advertisement he designed for the company; also at that time, stonemason Edward Martin Richards sculpted a thylacine atop a beer barrel for the company's city office. It's a well-known Hobart feature for those who know to look up at 156 Collins Street.

Even here there are controversies, though one is mild and amusing. For some reason Cato, in adapting the Richter lithograph, extended the stripes to the tips of the tails. It is a sufficiently odd alteration to make one want to go and buy a sample of the product, if only to gaze at those most unthylacine-like appendages.

At a different level of controversy altogether, an Aboriginal

legal bid is currently in place to claim copyright over native animal images—particularly the thylacine, emu, platypus and kangaroo—as sacred symbols. According to Tasmania's Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commissioner, Rodney Dillon, in an article in *The Mercury* on 31 January 2002, 'These native animals are part of our people and it is an insult the way they are being used . . . They steal our land and they steal our animals and then they use them as their signs. Cascade should use a fox on its labels instead. That's what they [whites] brought with them'.³ A follow-up report the next day stated that 'one senior Cascade source' conceded that the Aborigines had a point and that the company recognised the sensitivity of the issue.

Cricket is Australia's national summer game and the thylacine features prominently as the identifier of Tasmanian cricket. The logo of the Tasmanian Cricket Association (TCA) cleverly integrates the animal with the game by having its stripes represent the wicket and the seam of a ball. The state one-day team—suitably sponsored—is presently known as the Cascade Tasmanian Tigers, with a heavily stylised tiger face projecting the right degree of sporting alertness and aggression.

The TCA's Media and Public Relations Manager, David Boon (himself a Tasmanian icon, with a test cricket career aggregate of 7422 runs in 107 Test matches at a splendid average of 43.65), explains the 1995 adoption of the name Tasmanian Tigers:

Its purpose was to identify and brand the Cascade Tasmanian Tigers cricket team as distinct from the corporate logo of the Tasmanian Cricket Association. Informal but extensive research showed overwhelming public support for the Tiger image. The research had involved seeking the opinions on a range of names from high school students—a key market sector for merchandising—players and the general public. Ten names were offered.

168 | THYLACINE

The Tigers was a clear winner with thirty-six per cent of the vote . . . The Tasmanian tiger was used because of its uniqueness. No other state can claim it. It is/was a sleek, cunning and aggressive carnivore—a killer. If it still exists, it is elusive, surrounded by mystery and extremely hard to track down. It certainly projects an appropriate image for our cricket team. The logo is associated with all that is the Tasmanian Cricket Team and it is used on all advertising, uniforms and merchandising relating to that team.⁴

The above three examples, prominent in their respective areas of tourism, commerce and sport, clearly demonstrate the financial worth of the animal. What, one wonders, would struggling early Vandemonians—free, or wretched in chains—have made of that? It is beyond the scope of this book to calculate the earning power of the thylacine but, as the face of Tourism Tasmania alone, it's up there with the primary industries.

To many, however, the thylacine is about anything but tourist dollars, brewing or sporting excellence. Every depiction of the animal by a contemporary artist represents a rebirth—and such representations are innumerable. For some artists the thylacine is the centrepiece of their work and career. Melbourne artist Daniel Moynihan has been incorporating the thylacine into his paintings for over three decades, in the process depicting it thousands of times. He tends to use settings alien to the animal, which renders his imagery more dramatic. An example is his large 2001 canvas *The Meeting—Wickerman and the Tasmanian Tiger* (see plate section), in which

he has brought together his ancestral Celtic past and joined it with the myth of the thylacine. According to the Celtic myth, before crops were planted the Celts and later pagans would build an effigy and then burn the Wickerman, gather up the potash it left behind and spread the fertiliser on their fields for a successful season of crop production. The destruction of the thylacine was also considered beneficial for the
growth of the early colonial farmers and its sacrifice a small price for the overall benefit of the community . . . The thylacine, the Wickerman, the cityscape, the convict tools of labour have all been collected and placed within the canvas embodying thirty years of memory and the representation of myth and its associative value to the individual and the society which it inhabits.⁵

By contrast, Moynihan's contemporary Michael McWilliams, who lives near Launceston, generally places his thylacine in a rural setting. But there the reference to its natural state ends and, like Moynihan, McWilliams gives life to the animal as a form of penance for what has been done to it.

McWilliams runs an antique business in Longford. Many of his works are painted on furniture, or wood panels, reflecting both his appreciation of the material and the fact that it is accessible. In particular he paints on colonial furniture, arousing in the viewer an odd sensation through the placement of his watchful acrylic thylacines on the meat safes, cupboards and boxes that were crafted when the animal was very much alive.

His paintings, say the catalogue notes for a 2001 exhibition,

have a perverse juxtapositional quirk of some kind where the presentation is not quite as expected. A persistent half-real, half-imagined, surreal sensibility runs throughout . . . Rural scenes are framed in painted borders, animals are presented on pedestals as monuments or they stare out with the considered and conscious presence of formal portraits. The stare is always engaging and often challenging; we cannot help but become involved in the directness of the exchange. Is it a look of confidence, of accusation or detachment? Even when not the main subject of the painting, the elusive but determined thylacine has the presence of a figure in a tableau. It lurks like a prompt for both consciousness and conscience.⁶ The major work from that exhibition, *Up On A Pedestal* (see plate section), is particularly symbolic, showing the animal in its desired terrain (felled logs excepting):

Where once a bounty was collected and tanned skins sent to the United States for waistcoats, a thylacine now stands on a stone monument, mounted in a magnificent tramp-art frame (that has waited over ten years for the right subject). It reflects—too late—the extraordinary shift in status, from pest to pedestal, that we have conferred upon this animal in the recent decades of our living memory.⁷

Such is the wealth and variety of thylacine visual imagery that it is the subject of a PhD thesis researched by Carol Freeman at the University of Tasmania's Literature and Environment Unit. The unit is headed by well-known academic and writer Peter Hay, whose first published story, *Lagunta*, is about thylacines as both hunters and the hunted:

Nta slid through the mottled moonshadow of rainforest, the attendant kindred matching his silent tread. Unseen they were, but palpably there at each flank; eldritch stone-eyed hunters threading a noiseless path through the tangle of moss and log . . . In the fire's halo stood the two strangest creatures Nta had ever seen. They were enormous; huge erect monsters, standing easily on their hindquarters . . .⁸

Freeman's thesis is motivated partly by a desire to contribute to information about the role of representations in constructing, perpetuating and changing the perceptions and actions of humans toward animals:

To make sense of the multitude of thylacine images and the accompanying narratives and discourses, I focus on groups of images in a particular medium or representational space. These include zoological illustrations . . . photographs and films of killed and captive animals . . . logos, emblems and coats of arms . . . advertisements . . . artworks . . . Some images seem to encourage destruction of the animal, others assume or ignore the probability of extermination, while many interrogate the possibility of death or resist the notion of loss. At present, artworks and advertising are emerging as sites of vigorous resistance to extinction \dots ⁹

In yet another medium, the 2001 CD-ROM *The Tragedy and Myth of the Tasmanian Tiger* is as artistically and technically creative as it is comprehensive. The company responsible, Hobart-based Roar Film, coincidentally happens to have a cartoonish roaring thylacine as its logo.

The interactive nature of this medium makes it particularly suited to the telling of a story such as the thylacine's, where a chronological linear history is but one of many aspects relating to the animal. Thus, there are about six main entry points of equal weighting—biology, distribution, extinction, and the like. Taking one of these as an example, 'Spirit of the Tiger' invites the user into a further range of topics—commercial value, the thylacine in art, searches, vampire myths and more. The prompts are visually enticing, being objects such as a painting on a wall or the drawers of an old filing cabinet in the cluttered rooms of a colonial-style house. The work is rich in imagery, music and commentary from contemporary thylacine experts.

Steve Thomas, creative director and co-writer of *The Tragedy* and *Myth of the Tasmanian Tiger*, moved to Tasmania in his late twenties and, like many others living in the country, used to drive the pitch-black windy little roads at night wondering if he might just see a thylacine—but today he's reached a conclusion:

I believe that thylacines are extinct. Every credible authority I've spoken to believes that. I know there are some extremely knowledgeable bushmen out there who believe in it, but I do think people are grasping at straws. It's very unlikely that once a species gets below a critical mass it can still sustain itself. It's very likely that the species

172 | THYLACINE

didn't become extinct in the 1930s but in the 1960s or 70s... The debate has become much less about whether the animal exists and more about its demise and why we now find ourselves in this position where we're making art and writing books and talking about this animal which no longer walks the planet. And I think that's an interesting and welcome change. Talking for instance to the people at the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, I discovered that their focus is now almost on a kind of natural history and forensic archaeology. Using what they've got, they're trying to piece together stories of the animal while it was still alive. They have a wonderful archive of material and I think that their work, and Paddle's, has contributed to a new consciousness about the animal. One of the best recent uses of the thylacine was in the antiold growth forest ad where the question is posed: do we want this to happen again? That's a real progression from where we were twenty years ago.¹⁰

The first book devoted exclusively to the thylacine—*Search* for the Tasmanian Tiger by Quentin Beresford and Garry Bailey—has its own interesting story, as related by Bailey, the current editor of *The Mercury* newspaper (which of course has its own long tiger connection):

The idea for the book came from my brother-in-law Quentin Beresford . . . and his then girlfriend Sue Dyson. The idea bumped along without coalescing into a firm idea of where it was going. Because there was little or no co-operation from the then thylacine expert Eric Guiler, who was working on his own book, they were limited in their scope . . . I suggested they limit it to the relationship between man and thylacine and target a market that might actually bring sales . . . I [also] made a few suggestions about structure, snappy intros and some racy prose. About the only thing I left out was a love interest! Eventually it ended up in bits at my home so I basically wrote it using their research. I did more of my own sleuthing using newspaper libraries here and interstate, interviewing people and organising pictures from our archive and the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery. Quentin meanwhile approached Dan Sprod of Blubber Head Press . . . [who] took the manuscript and actually added to it with some research of his own, including finding the actual date of the death of the last Tasmanian tiger in captivity. That alone corrected the popular record, which was a year out. Dan decided on a soft-cover book (or booklet, to be more correct) and went for a print run of what I believe was 5000 copies . . . It sold like mad. I . . . still receive a very modest stipend through its use in libraries and the photocopying. I did have a strong personal interest in the thylacine, having done quite a few stories as a reporter on sightings and having had regular contact with James Malley the renowned tiger hunter and Bob Brown who also conducted a search with the mercurial Jeremy Griffiths. However, my main motivation was proving to my argumentative brother-in-law that you could write a popular history and make a quid. The most interesting recollection is that the release of the book seemed to spark an increase in tiger sightings and I received lots of calls from people wanting to relate their experiences . . . Does the tiger exist? No. But, as always, that's head ruling heart. If it does exist I want the picture first.¹¹

The thylacine is sparsely represented in adult fiction. Unlike a visual creative image, depicting it imaginatively to a mature reading audience has built-in limitations and traps for the unwary author. Still, there have been a spate of novels in recent years—accompanied by, inevitably, controversy. Erle Wilson's *Coorinna: A Novel of the Tasmanian Uplands* (Melbourne University Press, 1963) is distinguished by being one of the first thylacine-specific novels; it would be hard to find today and perhaps worthy of a tiger-style hunt for that reason.

Since the 1980s there have been about fifteen works of fiction published in the junior and young adult categories, either about the animal as it was or about its present mysteriousness and felt presence—both of which are exploitable fictional territory, though perhaps easier to shape for juvenile markets. Thus in Beth

174 | THYLACINE

Roberts's *The Magic Waterfall* (Rainbow Books, 1990) for the preteen reader, marsupial facts, extinction facts and the problems of inbreeding in a remnant population are openly presented but the parallel imaginative tale holds sway, as ageing Winnie Wombat helps Nenner the young Thylacine return over the mountains to his partner Corinna. Cleverly resolving the irresolvable, Roberts has Winnie render Nenner invisible through the agency of her magic moonlit waterfall. (There are also touches of humour— Winnie remarks condescendingly: 'Most wombats would not go out of their way to help a carnivore'.)

At the other end of the teen market, Melbourne author Michael Hyde's *Tyger Tyger* (Vulgar Press, 2001) is about a seventeen-year-old

young gun footballer, following in the sprig marks of his late father and doing so by playing with the men of the Ballantyne Tigers instead of the boys. The coldly omniscient talent scouts have spotted him, but for dark mysterious reasons he can't always play at his best . . . The best parts of the book are set in Tasmania where Johnny goes to get over a hammy and also manages to unravel the mysteries of his recurring dream featuring a Tasmanian tiger and to better come to terms with his father's death.¹²

Like *Tyger Tyger*, Heather Rose's *White Heart* (Anchor, 1999) also works the thylacine into themes of loss and understanding, though as an adult novel it operates at a different level of complexity. Here the narrator Farley, who grew up in a Tasmania she loves, seeks inner understanding through a series of visits to the United States, where she participates in American Indian spiritual rituals: sundancing, sweatlodge purifying, praying and fasting. The thylacine connection, likewise, is mystical but very strong. While Farley moves between the United States and her Melbourne bookshop, her wise, feral-like brother Ambrose

camps out in the wilds of Tasmania looking for thylacines. But neither are in fact there. ('Both extinct. Both mystical.') It's a tragic and moving novel, considerably underrated.

Rose says of her novel that it

emerged at the end of a very intense part of my life. I think if I believed I would only write one novel in my life I would have set out to write a book that journeyed beyond the everyday into the realms of magic and spirit. A companion to our private quests for a deeper knowing of ourselves. Strangely, *White Heart* achieved that but only in retrospect. At the time it was very much a story unravelling. I was as surprised by it as anyone . . . To live in Tasmania is to live with beauty. But it is also to live with the threat of destruction and loss. The tiger illustrates that but so too has the past decade of forest practices. If Tasmanians are demonstrating a new Western sense of environmental consciousness it is because rivers, sky, mountains, plains, sea, wildlife and forests have quietly taught us the concept of stewardship. To harness that teaching is to tap into a powerful source of magic, as all indigenous cultures know.¹³

Julia Leigh's *The Hunter* (Penguin, 1999) became an international bestseller while attracting considerable flak. The storyline is as minimalist as its central character, M, a man with SAS-style hunting and survival techniques who is sent to Tasmania by a biotechnology corporation to find and kill a thylacine and take samples of its DNA for military purposes. He is successful in his mission. The fate of the thylacine? He buries it. (In a perverse real-life twist, word is out that some Tasmanian hunters say that if they discover and kill a thylacine they'll bury it and tell no-one, to prevent their shooting territory being locked away. Perhaps it's already happened! Lots of times!)

The novel was widely praised by UK and US critics, for its spare prose and philosophical overlay in the manner of a Moby Dick quest, pitting man against nature. It also drew comparisons with Ernest Hemingway's *The Old Man and the Sea*. But

176 | THYLACINE

closer to home, and particularly in Tasmania, Sydney-based Leigh's use of the state was thought by some to be both gratuitous and inaccurate. It led to a debate, still unresolved, about the appropriation in fiction of a place with which an author is but passingly familiar. For University of Tasmania reviewer Andrew Peek, '*The Hunter's* setting, in rural Tasmania, pits hippie and conservationist against backwoodsy yokels. The bush is by turns impassive and threatening. In the best tradition of Tasmanian Gothic, it is a place of ghostly presences, beautiful, eerie landscapes and "devils"...'¹⁴

Tasmanian discomfort with and dismissal of Leigh's novel became, in some instances, outright attack when Chloe Hooper's A Child's Book of True Crime (Random House, 2002) hit the bookstands. Like Leigh, Hooper is not Tasmanian—she lives in Melbourne—and her novel was also snapped up internationally (while still at manuscript stage by New York superagent Andrew Wylie) and published in great numbers to general acclaim. In this story Kate, a young teacher in a fictional town near Port Arthur, has an affair with the father of her precociously brightest pupil. His wife is the author of an acclaimed true-crime book on a foul local murder committed twenty years previously, which ominously involved a young mistress. Then strange, rather spooky things start to happen to Kate, and . . .

The thylacine element in this novel is satirical and tangential to the actual storyline, in the form of a parallel children's story in which a crime is investigated in the animal world by Terence Tiger, Kingsley Kookaburra and others (move over, Beth Roberts) but the tiger usage firmly roots the novel in its 'Gothic Tasmanian' setting, as does its location in Port Arthur, scene of the 1996 slaying of thirty-five people by a deranged Hobart gunman. The front cover of the first hardback edition of the novel has an innocent, cartoon-style drawing of a group of Tasmanian animals, with Terence Tiger the thylacine prominent. How many copies his distinctive stripey image has sold will never be known.





BEATING A SEVENTYYEAR HICCUP: CLONING

My mother well remembers many years ago when her brothers brought home a young Tiger (they had caught the mother in a snare) & chained it in a corner of a room in the house for about a fortnight. They fed it on kangaroo and wallaby meat, & she says it used to growl & snarl if anyone went near while it was eating . . .

MRS OLIVE SIMMONS, SCOTTSDALE

Why clone a thylacine? The answer, in keeping with the contrary phenomenon of the animal, is both complex and divisive.

Artificial manipulation of flora and fauna to suit human requirements is an ancient practice, dating back to the domestication of wheat and subsequent selective cross-breeding for improved yields. The domestic dog has been interbred to achieve specific characteristics: tenacity, docility, endurance, speed. Chimeras—such as the liger, the offspring of a lion and tiger while the product of natural reproduction, are unusual in that they are the product of two genetically different species. In all of this genes are the key. A gene is a unit of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA, sugary complex molecules) and strings of genes are arranged, or sequenced, on the chromosomes of a cell's nucleus. Genes pass on specific characteristics from parents to offspring. The full set of chromosomes of an individual is known as the genome. (The human genome has between 30 and 40 000 genes.) It follows that the ability to isolate and remove the genome from a plant or animal is the first step to artificially recreating that organism identically (cloning).

The second step in cloning is to ensure that the replicated genome is perfect. The third step is to grow it—in the case of animals, through the otherwise natural procedure of gestation in a (surrogate) mother.

This book will not have been too many years on library and home shelves when the Australian Museum's project to clone a thylacine is considered a success, a failure, or something else altogether. Begun in 1999, the project, with museum director Mike Archer at the helm, aims for a successful completion by 2010. In its early stages it has been characterised by both scientific breakthroughs and scientific and ethical problems.

Archer is a conservation biologist with a particular interest in extinct species. His PhD was on Australia's carnivorous marsupials and he has since done much in the palaeontological line, including recent discoveries of seven ancestral thylacine fossils in Queensland, 'in about four genera [closely related species], only one of which is *Thylacinus*'.¹ His credentials as a thylacine expert and devotee are unquestioned. When he came across a preserved thylacine pup in the Museum's collection many years ago, he paid it more than passing attention, later reasoning that because it had been kept in ethyl alcohol rather than formalin (since 1866), its DNA might not have been destroyed and therefore at some future point—might successfully be extracted.

180 | THYLACINE

Seed funding for this supremely ambitious project was provided in 1999 by the New South Wales government and a private trust. Obtaining money was in all likelihood the easiest part of the equation. Certainly, the pitch for funding must have sounded good: 'What Mike Archer and his team are attempting is as scientifically exciting and technically challenging as splitting the atom or landing a man on the moon'.²

Significantly trickier was the question of the DNA itself: acquiring it from a variety of sources, successfully extracting it, hoping that it would be undamaged, replicating it. Thylacine remnants are scattered in museums and other collections around the world, legacies of the sixty-plus animals known to have been displayed in zoos between 1856 and 1936. Obtaining access to such rare and valuable material, for the sake of genetic variety, would prove crucial. Did the Australian Museum have access to it? 'Yes. Most of this knowledge about other specimens has been coordinated via the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery in Hobart.'³

Thylacine DNA is aged, fragmented and dehydrated. Its reconstruction is ferociously complicated, even when explained simply:

The ethyl alcohol in which infant thylacines have been stored preserves tissues and genes, but in doing so, water, the very substance which is vital to life, is expelled. Scientists would need to rehydrate not only the DNA in the thylacine nuclei, but the entire matrix of nuclear proteins and enzymes that maintains its structure, and oversees its orderly replication as the cell divides and multiplies to form an organism. Therefore, more is needed than just the coded instructions for how to build a thylacine. Obtaining the complete package of necessary biochemical elements will be the determining factor in whether thylacine cloning is a success or failure.⁴

The Australian Museum team set to work and DNA-not without difficulty-was isolated and extracted from the 1866

pup and subsequently from two other pups. The tissue sources included bone, bone marrow and muscle. The extracted DNA, however, represents only a miniscule percentage of the complete genome of the specimens.

Three years into the project a significant breakthrough was announced. Through a process known as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), four thylacine genes were successfully replicated and copied into millions of short fragments of undamaged DNA.

That is where the project apparently stood in late 2002, with the next stage to involve the construction of synthetic chromosomes from the fragments, building towards the perfectly replicated genome. Archer refers to it as creating a 'genetic library' of a thylacine's DNA. (The metaphor is apt. The Library of Congress has holdings of many millions of items, each one with a specific classification assigning it to one and only one place on a shelf.) How is the library actually built?

The concept is that the DNA of the thylacine is tagged onto the DNA of living creatures (plasmids of yeast or bacteria) which then look after the DNA—and this is the library. We are recovering DNA from three individuals. Whether that means each will have a library of its own, I couldn't tell you. This is an issue that the geneticists are better able to answer.⁵

Professor Marilyn Renfree has gone some way towards providing an answer. In February 2002 she was one of four experts on an edition of the ABC-TV science programme, *Catalyst*, looking at the thylacine cloning issue. Renfree, who teaches at the University of Melbourne's Department of Zoology and is a specialist in marsupial reproduction, cited the current difficulties in cloning with living, undamaged material. Thus, Dolly the cloned Scottish sheep, while an undoubted scientific first, had malformed organs and died suddenly in 2003. For Renfree, cloning is 'extraordinarily difficult . . . Dolly was one egg in 277 fertilisations, so there were 277 nuclei put into 277 enucleated eggs and we got one Dolly'.⁶

On that same television programme, Dr Ian Gunn of the Animal Gene Storage Institute of Australia was even more sceptical: 'To construct a nucleus and the DNA is virtually asking someone to construct a new species. To me, impossible'.⁷

A counter-argument is that science of this type can but improve. Another is that 'big science'—meaning a big story attracts money that otherwise wouldn't go the way of science. And Archer himself pulls no punches: 'Personally, I think this is the most exciting biological project that's going to occur in this millennium'.⁸

Use of a host to build this library apparently poses no problems: 'If a thylacine is reconstructed and brought to life through this process, using a surrogate such as a Tasmanian devil, it will be a thylacine—and nothing but. With only thylacine nuclear DNA to build the animal from, it can't be anything else'.⁹

Even if that is so, the required use of a host presents problems. To date, geneticists have not been able to grow nuclei taken from one living animal in another that is related, because the host oocyte (female germ cell) consistently rejects the implanted nuclei.

It would be a miraculous birth, a clone from aged DNA. It would, no doubt, 'be' a thylacine physically. But would it have an inherited 'personality' from the lineage of the 1866 pup? Or, like a captive-bred animal, might it lack a range of acquired behavioural traits as learned when wild-raised?

This is a bit of suck it and see. I am convinced that a lot (most) marsupial behaviour is 'hard-wired' and under the control of the genome. This is the reason that behaviours of individuals raised in captivity commonly closely mimic the behaviour of individuals studied in the wild. But, at this point, this is speculation.¹⁰

Ultimately it is proposed that a minimum number of thylacines would be cloned, and that natural reproduction would then take place followed by release into a safe area. 'No-one is clear yet about where the best place would be. We'll be leaving that up to the Tasmanian Parks and Wildlife Service, if the project is successful.'¹¹

It's worth remembering that in 1967 the Fauna Board under Eric Guiler selected Maria Island as a sanctuary, should thylacines ever be found. The uninhabited island still holds that status, a fact of which Col Bailey reminded his audience when he gave a talk at the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery in September 2001.

Research into cloning extinct and endangered fauna has been going on elsewhere, and meeting with criticism as well as support. In Australia, the Mike Archer-led thylacine project has met with five main areas of criticism.

Many within and beyond the scientific community doubt that it can be done at all:

While managing to get PCR to work on a degraded source of DNA like a preserved pup is commendable, this breakthrough represents the tip of the iceberg in terms of creating a living, breathing thylacine . . . The 'hurdles' of decoding the correct sequence of DNA, constructing synthetic chromosomes and transferring chromosomes into a cell are tasks of such mind-numbing complexity as to make the PCR amplification of a few genes look like child's play.¹²

The cost of the project, already high and still rising, has inevitably led to complaints that research efforts could be better spent elsewhere, not least in slowing Australia's alarming rate of extinctions. There is also scepticism at the proposal to repopulate Tasmania's wilderness, given that each cloned thylacine may be worth millions of dollars. The need to protect them and the financial windfall to be had through displaying them suggests, instead, a form of captivity.

Captive thylacines did not breed, despite instances of males and females being kept together. And despite the optimism of some, such as Ronald C. Gunn, superintendent of convicts at Launceston, who in 1850 wrote of the pair destined for the Royal Zoological Society, 'I feel little doubt but that the Thylacines will do well and very probably breed . . . I can imagine nothing in the climate of London likely to injure them very materially'.¹³ The chance of clones breeding naturally in captivity cannot be rated highly. That would suggest the need for articifial insemination (as is so often the case with the panda), removing further the reconstituted animal's naturalness.

Nick Mooney, wildlife management officer with Tasmania's Parks and Wildlife Service and a longtime thylacine searcher and researcher, believes that the biotechnology of cloning should be used first on seriously endangered species. But he cautions against cloning as a panacea: 'A snappy, technological quick-fix such as cloning extinct animals tempts many from the slog of fundamental environmental conservation'.¹⁴

There is also the ethical debate. Should scientists play God? Human beings caused the thylacine's extinction and shouldn't we have to live with that? At another level, there is the fundamental dilemma of 'copyright' over cloned specimens. Would they belong to the New South Wales Government? Archer's response as to whether steps have been taken to acquire copyright ownership is: 'Nothing yet. But somewhere down the line we've got to start looking at this sort of thing I suppose. We'll be taking sensible legal advice but my inclination has always been to keep the project and its potential benefits in the public sector'. $^{\rm 15}$

In this way the thylacine's future history may bear a ghostly resemblance to its mystery-shrouded past. The certainty of its short, brutal interaction with European settlers and their Tasmanian descendants may, according to the website of the Australian Museum, 'simply be a 70-year hiccup'.¹⁶ That is not a sentiment likely to meet with universal approval. In the words of conservation biologist David Pemberton: 'We don't know the animal's social behaviour so how are we going to recreate it ethically? Or are we just going to make something that behaves another way and call it a tiger? It won't be. It will be *Thylacinus archerite*'.¹⁷





SIGHTINGS AND THE Science of Survival

I remembered how docile they seemed in captivity. It stood about twenty inches in height, with a heavy body and powerful looking jaws. The colouring was tawny, similar to the lion with dark brown stripes running from back to sides. It seems unlikely that there are any tigers left in Tasmania, but if any are found I trust they will be left in their natural habitat.

MRS MYRA DRANSFIELD, ROKEBY

⁴ I t was embellished precisely because it could not yet be disproved.' Daniel J. Boorstin, US historian and Librarian of Congress during the 1970s, wrote those words in reference not to the Tasmanian tiger but to its entire habitat, *Terra Australis Incognita*, the southern land not yet discovered.¹ It was the fabled land that grew and shrank and grew again as maps changed across the centuries, until the day Abel Tasman, Ide Tjercxzoon and their shipmates dropped anchor.

Boorstin's statement is just as applicable to the thylacine, about which the big question, perhaps the only question left, is: could it still be out there? Any number of Australians are convinced that it is; any number that it isn't.

If the thylacine still exists it will be miraculous, because there has been virtually no scientifically recognised physical evidence to support the case for its presence for two-thirds of a century. Yet not only are there numerous instances of supposedly extinct species coming back—proof that science can be wrong there have also been thousands of documented sightings of 'it': in Tasmania, across mainland Australia and New Guinea. Either many people are wrong almost all of the time, or the thylacine is alive and well but in sufficiently low numbers, and sufficiently remote locations, to have avoided detection (a possibility, or even a probability, that might continue indefinitely).

To muddy the waters further, devil sightings continue to be reported in southern Australia and there is potential nineteenthcentury evidence of thylacine activity in both South Australia, suggested by Paddle, and the Kimberley region, suggested by Guiler and Godard.

Documented sightings excite the optimist in us, but need to stand up to rigorous testing to be considered viable. They also need to be weighed against current scientific opinion as to the likelihood of remnant populations still being out there. While the case against the thylacine's continued existence may appear overwhelming, there remain some compelling reasons to hold off on that final judgement. They constitute the last piece in the thylacine puzzle—but it is a very big piece.

In 1980, Tasmanian Parks & Wildlife Service zoologist Steven J. Smith published a detailed report on the status of the thylacine at that point. Included in his report was an analysis of every reported sighting from 1936 to the year of publication: 320 in all. That averages out at about one per month across a 24-year period, but the actual incidence was very different.

APPENDIX B	REF. NO.	REF. NO.
NATIONAL PARKS AND WILDLIFE SERVICE		OFFICE USE
THYLACINE (TASMANIAN TIGER) SIGHTING REPORT FORM		F
Every observation, no matter how trivial it may seem, might prove to be important in the search for the thylacine. All information will be re- received in strict confidence. Thank you for your assistance.		<u>fill</u>
NAME		
(DIRECTIONS TO HOUSE)		
OCCUPATION ACTIVITY AT TIME OF S shooting)	IGHTING (e.g.	
DATE REPORTED/19 RECEIVED BY INT	ERVIEWED	
BY <u>SIGHTING DETAILS</u>		
LOCATION: Exact location; nearest reference point (map co-ord (Altitudem)	inates?)	
DATE//19 TIME HOW MANY MADE THE SIGHTIN	GS	
TRANSPORT (car. foot. etc.) WHAT WAS SEEN (animal tr	acks etc	
DBSERVED FOR (time)	ting etc.)	28
		41
WHERE SEEN (road or track/beach/paddocks, bush etc.)		<u>سا</u>
<u>SURROUNDING VEGETATION</u> (Rainforest/gum trees/ low scrub/ butt	ongrass etc.)	¥7 30
<u>DISTANCE</u> (feet, metres, chains etc.)	•••••	01
LIGHT SOURCE (headlights, sun, moon etc.)WEATHER	•••••	52
DESCRIPTION OF ANIMAL (head, ears, body, tail, colour, markin to tail, height)	gs, length nose	¥
-		
		21
COMPARISON WITH OTHER BUSH ANIMALS (wild dog, wild cat, devil	, wombat, tiger	ы
cat, hative cat). Please comment briefly	•••••	الخا
		67
ANY OTHER COMMENTS		<u>m</u>
HAVE YOU SEEN A TIGER BEFORE WHEN AND WHERE (alive,	ohoto etc.)	
NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ANY OTHER IN THE PARTY (over page)		

A sample page from the Steven Smith's 'Sightings Report'. Criteria in use today are considerably more stringent. Nick Mooney's current rating method (adapted for fox sightings) derives in part from discussions with psychologists and police and includes such details as chest flash, ear posture, leg length and the animal's motion, if the sighter had a good view. But Mooney's reconstructions of thylacine and fox sightings have led him to conclude that the error in sighting time, for instance, is usually 400%, meaning that if someone said they saw the animal for ten seconds it was probably two or three seconds. (The Tasmanian Tiger—1980: A Report on an Investigation of the Current Status of the Thylacine, Thylacinus cynocephalus, by S. J. Smith, Hobart, Parks and Wildlife Service, 1981; Nick Mooney)

Sightings increased decade by decade, gradually then dramatically, from just 21 in the 1940s to 125 in the 1970s.

Smith's report is important because there is no doubt that the very last of the species did *not* die in Beaumaris Zoo on 7 September 1936. Conservation biologists and other experts are in general agreement that the thylacine existed at least into the immediate post-World War II period—the time of fewest sightings, ironically when they were well under legislative protection. The presently unanswerable question is whether those remnant groups had reached the point of functional extinction, when a species is so rare as to no longer be influencing the ecology through selection, competition, predation, parasite spread and so on. Increased sightings suggested otherwise: but how believable were they? Each one went through many hoops before being given a final rating.

The parameters of the Smith survey seemed reasonably unambiguous:

The sightings considered in this report are by people who claim that they saw, or may have seen a thylacine; or who saw an animal that they could not identify but whose description suggests that it may have been a thylacine. To be included in this sample the descriptions supplied by observers needed to be sufficient to identify a thylacinelike animal under the circumstances of the sighting . . . the criteria used to rate reports being the description of the animal, the observer's credibility and experience with native fauna, the circumstances of the sighting . . . and correlation with other sighting reports and previous thylacine distribution.²

However, thanks to human nature, the task would never be as simple as that:

It is evident that the reporting of sightings is directly related to publicity given to searching for thylacines [and yet] many people are reluctant to make reports, for a variety of reasons, and it is known that a large number of sightings remain unrecorded. Some people fear that as a result of a report the location may be publicised and the animal endangered. Others fear that changes to the tenure and management of the locality [resulting from a good sighting] could threaten their livelihood or recreation; or fear personal ridicule.³

All these reasons apply to this day.

The Parks & Wildlife assessment form then in use was replaced by one based on an investigation into the Northern Rocky Mountain grey wolf. The new form exhaustively examined the phenomena of a sighting, to include: person by type (local, angler, shooter, hiker, etc.), observer's reliability (based on, for example, credibility within the community), location, length of time of sighting, number of people involved, mode of transport (including aircraft), nature of evidence (live, dead, tracks, scats, hair, call, fossil), description of animal including body colour, size, markings, distribution of stripes, head, tail, distance from animal (including more than 1 km), habitat (road, forest, beach, creek, etc.), light conditions (sun, moon, headlights, etc.), weather and visibility (including obscured vision), specific time of sighting, altitude, source of report (first-hand, second-hand, newspaper, museum, etc.), and correlation with other sightings since 1936.

Each element was rated. The rating system derived from the grey wolf survey form assigned a maximum of 10 points for the oberver's reliability and credibility; a maximum of 25 points for the animal's description, increased points for a lengthy, close-up sighting, and so on, to enable a grand total to be reached. Smith's report found that 107 sightings were rated 'good', 101 'fair' and 112 'poor'. Twenty-plus years on, it's highly unlikely that a similar survey would rate sightings so generously. Not only has that much more time gone by without

firm proof of the animal's continuing existence; the few experts in the state whose business it is to deal with sightings are necessarily far more rigorous in their assessments.

Even so, that hasn't stopped the sightings, with over a thousand in Tasmania to the present since 1936. In fact, as the body responsible for the thylacine, the Parks & Wildlife Service isn't universally admired for the stand it takes on the animal. Tiger hunters and enthusiasts beyond the public service tend to be referred to as 'true believers'; one of them, north-eastern Tasmanian identity Buck Emberg, went public about it:

Now, perhaps, we can get the Parks & Wildlife people not to make tiger hunters and environmentalists like ourselves be seen as 'kooks' as we have in the past. I do not argue about whether the animal exists or not. We now have about 100 sightings from the past 25 years from dozens of people and no, we will not share it with the Parks & Wildlife people. They do not deserve our trust . . . yet. The animal will have to survive on its own. One day soon, we hope, verification will come. Until then we wish the perhaps five bands of tigers good luck and happy hiding.⁴

Denuded of native habitat though it is, the north-east has long been a hotbed of sightings. It represented one-third of Smith's 'good' sightings and over one-third of the total sightings, with particular concentrations east and south of Golconda, where Emberg is based. Geographically, the north-east represents about 20 per cent of Tasmania's land surface. Whatever the cause, the conviction there is strong. Trevallyn resident Christine Lucas is a keen follower of the subject, arising out of personal experience. She is an example of an individual who has sighted but not reported. At the time of her encounter she had had but a 'passing interest' in the animal:

I saw a tiger in Western Australia on New Year's Day 1991. I didn't report it for several reasons; they'd think I'd had a heavy night celebrating (I don't drink actually) and because at that point I had never heard of a tiger being sighted in the West . . . The one we saw was crossing a road as we came out of the Darling Range forests and into more open rural country heading from Perth north towards Northam. It seemed to be 'loping' across the road, was in no hurry. The only difference I saw was that the hind legs were not as tall as the one the artist drew [in Australian Geographic July-September 1986]... I can assure you I was not thinking Tassie Tiger at the time (on the way to visit family with a car load of our family too) and my 'imagination' has never seen one since! I was relating the experience to a friend here in Launceston and she said some years ago an old friend of theirs hit a Tassie Tiger while driving on the east coast of Tasmania. They were worried that they may have hurt it so reported it to the CSIRO in Hobart. They had just a little fur from their roo bar as evidence. Interestingly they were told not to speak to anyone about it. I am afraid I don't know the people or the year.⁵

In the north-west, true believer James Malley, who had formed the Thylacine Expedition Research Team with Jeremy Griffith and Bob Brown in 1972, remains an active and credible hunter and enthusiast. One of the most recent sightings, reported to him, of a thylacine chasing wombats, is also considered to be the best for many years. As reported by *The Mercury* in the winter of 2002:

Tiger hunter James Malley, who has spent almost half a century searching for a thylacine, said he had no reason to doubt the report. The man who said he saw the tiger is reluctant to go public because of the stigma associated with sightings ... 'It was definitely a tiger, I get news of sightings like this extremely regularly and it all fits,' said Mr Malley, who immediately went to the area of the reported sighting. 'That's not the only one I have heard of in that area. Over the past two years I have probably had five and all fit with a seasonal pattern.' The man who reported the sighting said he had stopped his four-wheel-drive to engage its hubs and had turned the engine off. 'The wombats went past him for about fifteen metres, flat out into the bush,' said Mr Malley, who has scaled back the time he personally devotes to tiger searches. 'Then in front of him, the tiger was no further than five metres away. He was dumbfounded. The tiger stopped. He saw it for more than ten seconds and it just stopped and stared at him ...' Mr Malley said he had been unable to find tiger footprints in the area [but] conditions were ideal for thylacines because there was plenty of game about but, like any animal facing extinction, the tigers were wary.⁶

Much further south, in the Styx and Florentine Valley area, Col Bailey lives and writes about the thylacine, when not out searching. He believes that he first saw a thylacine in 1967 on the Coorong, the long coastal strip of south-east South Australia. His meeting in 1980 with the old Tasmanian fur-trapper, Reg Trigg, set Bailey on a course of writing short anecdotal accounts of thylacines, which were published in his local newspaper, the *Derwent Valley Gazette*. The column's popularity became such that it was syndicated worldwide and the tales were subsequently published as *Tiger Tales* (see Chapter 4). As a true believer, Bailey is able to write that his conviction is

gained from over thirty years of personal experience in both researching and searching for this fascinating animal, yet it has often brought me into verbal conflict with less-opinionated citizens who loudly hammer the extinction drum. Be that as it may, it is my firm and unshakeable belief that the thylacine lives on.⁷

Well and good for faith. But, as history has shown elsewhere, faith and science don't always get along together. Dr Bob Brown once straddled that divide. The mystery of the thylacine had intrigued him since childhood and, for him, represented Tasmania. It was to be the lure delivering him to the island state.

194 | THYLACINE

As a young man in 1972 he watched an ABC-TV *Four Corners* programme on the Lake Pedder controversy. It rekindled his interest and led to him taking what was to have been a short-term locum position in Launceston. That soon changed:

When I came it wasn't a tourist's interest in the thylacine, it was actually a driven and active interest that I had . . . Yes, I ran into the Pedder people, but at the same time immediately started searching out information on the thylacine [and] quickly fell in with Jeremy and James . . . They were both glistening-eyed believers—they wouldn't hear that it was extinct. I was the sceptic. I was always the sceptic and said we had to look for evidence. There were all the sightings, but we had to look for evidence.⁸

Brown attributes his caution to six years of medicine 'and a few other myth-shattering experiences' he had behind him by then, resulting in a well-developed analytical mind. Over the eightmonth period that the team was together they investigated some 250 sightings. For Brown, the complete lack of physical evidence—no scats, hairs, photographs, paw-prints—told only one story, but his colleagues remained otherwise convinced. Then, driving home to the Launceston suburb of Ravenswood one evening after his GP rounds, Brown saw a 'thylacine'. So astonished was he that he insisted Griffith return to the area with him immediately. Together, they found the animal: 'It was a greyhound with four stripes across its back'.⁹

There were just four sightings that the team couldn't explain. Brown's opinion is that they were probably wombats or dogs. His conviction that the thylacine is extinct extends to annoyance at deliberate, sustained misinformation surrounding it—not from fanciful sighters (Eric Guiler jested that sightings increase near pubs) but at the official level. In the 1960s, police, Guiler and others investigated a series of thylacine-like killings of sheep. They eventually trapped an animal that turned out to be an Alsatian dog. It was put down; the sheep killings stopped. But that was not made public until former police inspector Fleming recounted it to Brown.

Nor was the result of tests of hair samples of the famous 1961 thylacine kill by the two Sandy Cape fishermen who hid their slain thylacine under a metal sheet only to later discover that it had been stolen. At the time, Hobart CIB confirmed that the hairs belonged to a thylacine. A beekeeper, Reuben Charles, had also obtained some of the hairs, which he kept in a glass phial. Years after the event, Brown asked Charles for the phial and sent it to the Keith Turnbull Institute in Melbourne, which had acknowledged expertise in marsupial hair identification. The Institute reported that the hairs couldn't be positively identified, but were definitely not thylacine hairs.

In another instance, a Ben Lomond sighting yielded pawprints which turned out to belong to a wombat. Brown holds that:

Science itself suppressed that information. They [scientists] led people out there to think, this [living thylacines] is happening. And it was wrong. It happened time and again . . . There were some decades in which it was almost disloyal, or unTasmanian, to reveal that these major sightings which had been echoed around the world were in fact false.¹⁰

The logical, scientific likelihood of the thylacine's being extinct is given further weight for Brown by looking not just at the present but the remote past; the thylacine had spent millions of years evolving and could not rapidly adapt to being targeted as vermin. He believes that, like any specialised predator, it has a range, and once moved off that range, that's the end. It is an argument that gives him no pleasure. But there may have been a twinkle in his eye when it was put to him that the animal's extinction remains unproven: 'That is very true. You can't also disprove that there isn't a herd of mammoths or Tasmanian emus out there'.¹¹

Senior wildlife officer with the Parks & Wildlife Service Nick Mooney has for many years been the state government's official point of contact for matters regarding the thylacine, including sightings. His involvement dates back to at least 1982, when he conducted a two-year search of an area of the Arthur River after his colleague, Hans Naarding, experienced what is still considered to be the most authentic known sighting. At night with a torch, through rain, Naarding, a level-headed individual with considerable experience of native fauna, watched an adult thylacine for about three minutes. He described it as being in excellent condition with twelve black stripes on a sandy coat. Mooney constructed a series of sand traps for paw-prints, but came up with nothing.

Mooney rates a 1997 Surrey Hills sighting as virtually on a par with Naarding's, with three since then of sufficiently high quality to make him keep an open mind—just.¹²

There are two other features relating to fauna in Tasmania which intrigue him. One is that he sees a potential correlation between the presence of endangered wedge-tailed eagles and the existence of thylacines:

These species have very similar diets and both like secluded bits of old forest for breeding. Maybe thylacines take more adult wallabies, eagles more young, but the resource is spread similarly. Both are adapted for open forests although they can survive in other less suitable places if push comes to shove. I think the distribution of breeding wedgies would be an excellent indicator of thylacine potential.¹³

However, the flip side to this is something he readily acknowledges: There is so much food for [thylacines] now that they really should be pretty common if at all. The abundance of [meat-eating] devils is good oblique evidence of a lack of thylacine. One has to come up with a pretty bizarre set of circumstances to have it exist, considering the lack of specimens or credible evidence such as prints. If thylacines exist in remote or semi-remote areas there really should be dispersion into high food areas where they are more likely to be found. That's the problem—needing a bizarre (by definition unlikely) case to be made.¹⁴

The second faunal feature is, in its own way, bizarre. It concerns the introduction of foxes to Tasmania, apparently early in 2001, possibly years before then. A fox (or two) was supposedly seen running out of the Spirit of Tasmania ferry's car-deck at Devonport, having hitched a ride from Melbourne, where they're common and can be seen, for example, near the city's West Gate Bridge approaches. Subsequently, claims were made that foxes had been deliberately introduced for hunting, or even to pay back Parks & Wildlife for its stringent control of Tasmania's wilderness areas. One wild rumour had it that up to fifteen foxes had been flown in by helicopter, which dispersed groups of them statewide! The net result of all of this was the setting up of a government task force. An established fox population will devastate many native species and pose a threat to newborn lambsprobably a greater threat than nineteenth-century carnivores and rabbits ever were to the sheep industry. Foxes carry disease and are very expensive to control.

Nick Mooney's involvement with the task force has led him to reassess his views somewhat: 'The difficulty in finding a few foxes has just been a reminder of why we cannot say thylacines do not exist'.¹⁵

The Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, formerly the Tasmanian Museum, has been closely associated with thylacine business since the mid-nineteenth century. In recent years its thylacine specialists, zoology curators David Pemberton and Kathryn Medlock, have been closely involved in assessing sightings, as well as providing information and expert advice to individuals, film companies and scientific organisations worldwide as interest in the animal steadily increases. It is a small phenomenon that shows no signs of flagging and for the foreseeable future each new sighting and cloning step will keep this most puzzling of predators in the spotlight, as will strange twists such as the 2002 auction of the eight-skin thylacine rug. By pure coincidence the auction took place on Saturday, 7 September: Threatened Species Day in Australia, so named to commemorate the death of the last captive thylacine in Beaumaris Zoo.

The Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery's holdings represent the world's most diverse thylacine collection, with skins, pouch young, skulls, mounts and footprints representing about 45 individuals. Medlock has identified material relating to 400-odd other specimens around the world, with relatively large collections at London's Royal College of Surgeons and Natural History Museum and also at Oxford. It's a regrettable indication of the unintended complicity of the then Tasmanian Museum, and other local bodies, in hastening the thylacine's demise through the export of live specimens when the animal was already scarce. A measure of the potential value of this scattered, mostly unseen, rare material can be seen in the major exhibition that travelled nationwide in 2001. 'Tasmanian Tiger: The Mystery of the Thylacine', curated by Medlock, was seen by over half a million people. Media interest was constant, not least because Australian museums are not noted for taking their treasures on the road.

That public interest is explicable, resulting from both a residually guilty fascination and a collective intrigue that the intent of the exhibition was to be anything but a wake. Certainly, David Pemberton has an open mind on the extinction issue. He completed a PhD on the Tasmanian devil, filling in many gaps in the existing knowledge of that animal, and so is able to speak with considerable authority on its closest relative. While he believes that in theory a population of less than about 500 would spell big trouble, he doesn't rule out the possibility of as few as 50 having a viable existence. He cites the examples of the Vietnamese rhinoceros—there may be ten—and the Knysna and Addo elephants, at a few hundred each. The problem is to prove that existence. Do sightings do it?

Pemberton discounts most sightings. Thus, investigating a 2002 sighting considered to have a Hans Naarding-like rating, he spoke with the couple in question and listened to their descriptions of an animal that was dark, that bounded into the bush, and was 'square chested'. The latter, he says, simply does not apply to a thylacine. He feels they probably saw a devil: 'Your average punter wouldn't pick the difference, I reckon. Devils do bound. And they probably would have expected a devil to be covered in white markings because of the tourist brochures, and if it's not gaping at them like the postcards they'll think it's something else'.¹⁶

Instead he looks to a statistical analysis, to get as close as is possible to a 'proof' of sorts that it may be out there; specifically, 'the area that most biologists would agree on, the north-west: the Arthur River, Tarkine, across to Rocky Cape—that big block in there. Or stretch it a bit further east to Cradle Mountain, Lake Lea, St Valentine's Peak'.¹⁷

That's a large area. A population of fifty to a hundred wouldn't be easy to find. Pemberton cites as an example his work with devils, where he worked regularly in the highest devil-density areas, at night, all night, without seeing one. He says the evidence for thylacines would come primarily from scats. An adult eats approximately one wallaby or wombat every three days, approximating 20–30 per cent of its body mass, producing about three scats, often in a latrine area. Statistically, the odds of finding any scats in the target area are extremely low. Add to this the animal's nocturnal habits and retiring nature and 'the odds of one being out there are quite high because they were in that area in the fifties; they were there'.¹⁸

He supports this view with a belief that thylacines are, indeed, mobile, that just as they can follow a food source they can retreat from threat. Thus, Naarding's sighting was in an area subsequently clearfelled. 'There were tigers there. They pissed off.'¹⁹

It is an endnote of optimism from a foremost conservation biologist with much on-the-ground experience. Perhaps the thylacine deserves no less, after suffering such sustained persecution at the hands of those who undertook the process of making Tasmania what it is today.

David Pemberton and Bob Brown are just two who have been working towards the establishment in Hobart of a thylacine centre. The idea of bringing hundreds of scattered artefacts home has great appeal. The concept seems light years removed from the early days of the muddy settlement, when the Reverend Robert Knopwood was a familiar figure on his little white pony, but it is logical.

Such a centre, which Tasmanians owe to their past, will be a permanent reminder of both the fragility of life and the endurance of hope.

NOTES

Chapter 1

- 1. Journal of Discovery by me, Abel Jans Tasman, of a Voyage from Batavia for making discoveries of the Unknown South Land, 1642, translated into English in J. Burney, A Chronological History of the Voyages and Discoveries in the South Sea or Pacific Ocean, Part III, from the Year 1620, to the Year 1688, London, Luke Hansard & Sons, 1813.
- 2. Saint-Hilaire had extensive knowledge of marsupials: in 1804 he took personal charge of the 40 000 preserved floral and faunal specimens brought back to France aboard the corvette *Geographe* from Baudin's epic voyage. He was on firm ground therefore when, in 1810, he showed that South America's marsupials were very different to the 'tyger'. He placed it in the entirely new sub-order Dasyuromorphia, representing carnivorous marsupials, from which derives the more common *dasyurus* (= shaggy tail; anglicised to dasyurid). Because this was a Greek-root term the Latin of the earlier classification had to change as well, and the animal became *Dasyurus cynocephalus*.

In 1824 Coenraad Jacob Temminck refined the animal's classification further by differentiating it from the dasyurid family of quolls, dunnarts, antechinuses, planigales, ningauis and Tasmanian devils. It now belonged in its own unique Thylacinidae family (Greek *thylakos* = leather pouch). The third family in this group is the numbat. The fourth classified carnivorous marsupial, the blind marsupial mole, shares dasyurid, possum, kangaroo *and* placental mammal characteristics and, not surprisingly, is classified in its own sub-order. Although widespread in Australia's arid regions, and an excellent under-sand 'swimmer', not much is known about it.

An adjustment was made to Temminck's otherwise logical classification *Thylacinus cynocephalus*: his addition of the minor name *harrisii* was rejected. (The Tasmanian devil, the thylacine's closest relative, is *Sarcophilus harrisii*.)

- Evans, George William, Geographical, Historical and Topographical Description of Van Diemen's Land, with Important Hints to Emigrants, London, John Souter, 1822, pp. 56–7.
- Paddle, Robert, The Last Tasmanian Tiger: The History and Extinction of the Thylacine, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2000, p. 7.
- Troughton, Ellis, *Furred Animals of Australia*, Sydney, Angus & Robertson, 1941, 6th edn 1957, pp. 50 and 52.
- Morris, Desmond, Animalwatching, London, Jonathan Cape, 1990, p. 11.
- 7. Alderton, David, *Foxes, Wolves and Wild Dogs of the World*, London, Blandford, 1994, p. 141.
- 8. Penny, Malcolm, and Brett, Caroline, *Predators: Great Hunters of the Natural World*, London, Ebury, 1995, p. 98.
- 9. Hoser, Raymond T., *Endangered Animals of Australia*, Mosman, NSW, Pierson, 1991, p. 196.
- Guiler, Eric, *Thylacine: The Tragedy of the Tasmanian Tiger*, Melbourne, Oxford University Press, 1985, p. 39.
- 11. 'Towards the middle of the twentieth century, [the thylacine's] affinities again came into question. It had long been recognised as resembling a member of the wolf family but the similarities were clearly superficial and attributable to convergent evolution. However, it also bore a remarkable resemblence to the South American fossil marsupial *Borbyaena* and strong arguments were advanced for regarding the thylacine as the sole Australian member of the Borhyaenidae. If this hypothesis had been correct, it would have had far-reaching implications regarding the origin of the Australian marsupials but recent intensive research has failed to provide evidence in favour of the South American relationship and has rein-

forced the location of the Thylacinidae in the Dasyuroidea. One of the most interesting researches involved the extraction of albumin from a piece of untanned skin of a thylacine and a serological comparison of this with albumins from diverse living marsupials. Results indicated only a very distant relationship of the thylacine to South American marsupials and a very close affinity with dasyurids. A further implication of these findings is that adaption to a running, predatory way of life has led *three* animal groups—Thylacinidae, Borhyaenidae and Canidae—to a similar functional solution.' (Rounsevell, D. E., in Ronald Strahan (ed.), *The Australian Museum Complete Book of Australian Mammals*, Sydney, Angus & Robertson, 1983, repr. with corrections 1988, p. 81.)

Chapter 2

- 1. <u>www.thylacoleo.com/whatis</u> [accessed 10 July 2002]
- 2. Amphibious fishes required more than a benign atmosphere to survive on land. A number of critical evolutionary developments had already taken place in water, including the mysterious development of bone—enabling locomotion as well as protecting internal organs and the central nervous system—and jaws. Interestingly, the jaw was much later to become the principal evolutionary feature demarcating the first true mammals. In some creatures it began to become fused directly to the skull, thereby freeing up two little hinge-bones that became part of the middle ear, greatly enhancing hearing. The thylacine's jaw can open about 75°. Its famously wide gape is a threat-yawn; a display common to marsupial carnivores.
- 3. A much more widespread extinction 200 million years earlier marks the end of the Palaeozoic and the start of the Mesozoic. Here too, larger creatures, both terrestrial and aquatic, suffered most.
- Radiation refers to the evolutionary result of adaption and divergence. Thus, radiation by the mammal subclass *Marsupialia* has been tremendously successful and varied. To name some: dasyurids (quolls, dunnarts, planigales, devils, thylacines, numbats); perameloids (bandicoots, bilbies); vombatoids (koalas, wombats);

phalangeroids (three possum families, cuscuses, gliders); macropods (potoroos, bettongs, kangaroos, wallabies, pademelons, quokkas). Kangaroos alone have radiated into about 60 species. At the other end of the scale the ancient monotremes display limited radiation, represented as they are by just two species of terrestrial echidna and the monospecific aquatic platypus.

- 5. Rolls, Eric, *Australia: A Biography*, St Lucia, University of Queensland Press, 2000, p. 108.
- 6. Convergent evolution occurs when unrelated animals adapt in similar ways to similar environments.

Chapter 3

- Wilson, Edward O., *The Diversity of Life*, London Penguin, 1994, p. 34.
- 2. In the biological sense of the term, mammalian adaption takes place across thousands of generations. It manifests as speciation, 'the evolutionary process that throws off new species . . . As a species encounters fresh environments, brought about by factors such as climate change, it adapts, and so alters in different ways in different parts of its range. Eventually a new form becomes differentiated enough to rank as a new species. The parent form, if unable to fit in with changed circumstances, disappears, while the genetic material persists, diversified and enriched'. (Norman Myers, *The Sinking Ark*, Oxford, Pergamon, 1979, p. 27.)
- 3. Ward, Peter, End of Evolution: Dinosaurs, Mass Extinction and Biodiversity, London, Weidenfeld & Nicolson, 1995, p. 252. Estimates of species extant at any time vary wildly, from 10 to 30 million. The only certainty is that insects comprise the vast majority, with millions of insect species becoming extinct before being known to science.
- 4. The dingo, *Canis familiaris dingo*, belongs to an equatorial group of primitive dogs and is not the same as the domestic dog, *Canis familiaris*, which for example breeds twice a year as opposed to the dingo's once. However they can, and do, interbreed.
- 5. Strahan (ed.), Complete Australian Mammals, p. 478.
- 1. Guiler, Thylacine, p. 67.
- 2. Paddle, The Last Tasmanian Tiger, p. 8.
- 3. The Tasmanian, 11 July 1885.
- 4. Rounsevell, cited in Strahan (ed.), Complete Australian Mammals, p. 82.
- 5. Paddle, The Last Tasmanian Tiger, p. 44.
- 6. Cited in Adam Smith, Patsy, *Tiger Country*, Sydney, Rigby Ltd, 1968, p. 55.
- 7. Hoser, Endangered Animals, p. 196.
- 8. Strahan (ed.), *Complete Australian Mammals*, p. 175. Why do kangaroos and wallabies hop? 'There is no certain answer to the question but, inasmuch as this method of locomotion is found elsewhere among mammals only in small animals such as hopping-mice, it may be that the habit arose in small ancestors of the macropods and was retained because there was no great evolutionary pressure to revert to a more generally effective quadrupedal gait.' The writer does go on to say that, at speed, the bipedal gait is 'marginally more efficient in energy expenditure' than the other. It should be remembered that bipedalism also makes an animal taller—an argument used to explain why humans stood up—to keep watch for predators over long grass.
- 9. Launceston Examiner, 22 March 1899.
- 10. Mont Turner, cited in Adam Smith, Patsy, op. cit. p. 55.
- 11. Interview with the author, 14 August 2002.
- 12. Interview with the author, 14 August 2002. The Laird article appeared in *The Mercury*, 7 October 1968.
- 13. Guiler, Thylacine, p. 77.
- 14. van Lawick-Goodall, Hugo and Jane, *Innocent Killers*, London, Collins, 1970, pp. 61–2.
- 15. It seems that there has always been a broader argument in the Australian scientific community as to whether marsupial mammals are at a less developed evolutionary stage than the continent's placental mammals. If so, it illogically renders them biologically inferior: a decree apparently handed down to the

colonials by nineteenth-century British scientists and not subsequently challenged to any vigorous degree. One implication of this hierarchical ranking would have been a preparedness to accept their extermination, through being a badly adapted, primitive vermin species.

- Sharland, Michael, Tasmanian Wild Life: A Popular Account of the Furred Land Mammals, Snakes and Introduced Mammals of Tasmania, Melbourne, Melbourne University Press, 1962, p. 2.
- 17. Guiler, Eric, and Godard, Philippe, *Tasmanian Tiger: A Lesson to be Learnt*, Perth, Abrolhos Publishing, 1998, pp. 183 and 206. Guiler has numerous photographs of animals believed to be thylacine kills. One is a small wallaby found in 1976: 'The throat, neck and upper chest have been ripped out but the remainder of the body is untouched. There has been no chewing or biting on any other part of the carcass'. Another is a sheep found in 1987: 'The carcass was undamaged except that the top of the skull was removed with the skin turned back as far as the neck. The brain had been eaten and there was no blood on or near the carcass'.
- 18. Guiler, Eric, *The Tasmanian Tiger in Pictures*, Hobart, St David's Park Publishing, 1991, p. 14.
- 19. Adam Smith, Patsy, op cit., p. 57.
- Gunn, Ronald, quoted in Paddle, The Last Tasmanian Tiger, p. 71, from Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Van Diemen's Land, 2, pp. 156–7.
- 21. Bailey, Col, *Tiger Tales: Stories of the Tasmanian Tiger*, Sydney, HarperCollins, 2001, p. 69.

- Risdon Cove is of particular significance to the Tasmanian Aboriginal community. In May 1804 the members of a kangaroo hunting party of the Moomairemener tribe were attacked there by a small group of British soldiers, with an unknown number shot dead.
- 2. Giblin, R. W., *The Early History of Tasmania: the Geographical Era* 1642–1804, London, Methuen, 1928, p. 266. Whereas the streets of Hobart today are soberly named after its military and civic

founders, Knopwood's Retreat is, appropriately, the city's most famous pub, in the heart of historic Salamanca Place.

- 3. Quoted in Evans, Description of Van Diemen's Land, p. 3.
- 4. Robson, Lloyd, A History of Tasmania, Melbourne, Oxford University Press, 1983, p. 46.
- 5. ibid. p. 70.

Chapter 6

- 'In the beginning was the Dreamtime and all things took their shape in the Dreaming. Trowenna, the heart-shaped island we call Tasmania, was very small, just a tiny sand-bank in the southern seas...'. So begins a legend of the creation of the island, one of a number told to the Quaker Joseph Cotton in the 1830s by Timler, an Aboriginal 'high priest'. Cotton's great-grandson adapted them from their original diary form. (*Touch the Morning: Tasmanian Native Legends*, by Jackson Cotton, Hobart, OBM Pty Ltd, 1979, p. 6.)
- 2. Scale fish disappear completely from the archaeological record. Commenting on the phenomenon, Greg Lehman, Assistant Director of the Riawunna Centre for Aboriginal Education at the University of Tasmania, suggests that:

This is most likely a result of changing climatic conditions at the time, which resulted in an increased abundance of shellfish and crustaceans. A diet of sedentary animals like these is much less labour-intensive and less subject to chance than fishing. Also, the reliance on woven box-traps (which Tasmanian Aborigines used at Rocky Cape) imposed a size limitation on the catch—in both individual size and quantity. With such a change in environmental factors, I would argue that there was a cultural 'potential' created which soon came to be explained in metaphoric/ mythological terms. My feeling is that, three millennia later, this cultural event was manifest as something that was interpreted by Europeans as a 'taboo'. (Correspondence with the author, 20 December 2002.)

3. Clark, Julia, *The Aboriginal People of Tasmania*, Hobart, Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, 1986, p. 55.

- 4. George Augustus Robinson, a self-educated Londoner, migrated to Van Diemen's Land in 1824, working in Hobart Town as a builder, philanthropist and active Christian. In the wake of the devastation caused to the Aborigines through settler clashes and Governor Arthur's declaration of martial law, Robinson was appointed by Arthur to bring about conciliation with the remnant population. He took to the task with extraordinary zeal, spending four years in the bush. By methods including inducement and capture for reward, he rounded up 135 Palawa and had them relocated to Flinders Island, there to 'save' and convert them. Unfortunately, disease, hunger and dislocation all but destroyed the pitiful group; only 47 survivors were moved back to Oyster Cover south of Hobart Town.
- 5. Cotton, Touch the Morning, p. 18.
- Cotton, *Touch the Morning*, pp. 17–18. It is worth noting that, both in context and expression, the written, Anglicised Cotton stories undoubtedly differ from the original Aboriginal oral versions.
- 7. ibid., p. 23. Taraba's 'sneaky and wicked ways' are eventually punished when little bush elves make him ugly, whining and growling, then blow snowy white clematis leaves all over him to make him spotted, and rub wildcurrant juice on his ears to make them rosy red, as a sign that everyone speaks unpleasantly of him.
- 8. Unlike the bands, the tribes were not named and so have been given geographical titles. A band was made up of a number of hearth groups, each of these being a close-knit, extended family cooking around a single fire. A typical band might comprise 50 people, or seven or eight families.
- 9. Paddle, The Last Tasmanian Tiger, pp. 45-6.
- Ryan, Lyndall, *The Aboriginal Tasmanians*, 2nd edn, Sydney, Allen & Unwin, 1996, pp. 34 and 38.
- Barrett, Charles, *Isle of Mountains: Roaming Through Tasmania*, Melbourne, Cassell & Company, 1944, p. 28.
- 12. Guiler, Thylacine, p. 72.
- 13. Lourandos, Harry, *Continent of Hunter-Gatherers*, Cambridge University Press, 1997, p. 264.
- 14. ibid, p. 265.

15. Letter of Adam Amos, dated 20 April, 1826, quoted in Barrett, *Isle of Mountains*, p. 132.

- 1. Jeffreys, C. H., Geographical and Descriptive Delineation of the Island of Van Diemen's Land, London, Richardson, 1820.
- Robson, Lloyd, A History of Tasmania Volume 1, Melbourne, Oxford University Press, 1983, p. 86.
- 3. Evans, Description of Van Diemen's Land, p. 28.
- 4. West, John, *The History of Tasmania Volume 1*, Launceston, Henry Dowling, 1852, p. 59 (Angus & Robertson reprint 1971).
- 5. Robson, A History of Tasmania, Volume 1, p. 95.
- 6. 'Woorady belonged to the Nuenone, a band of the South East tribe whose land was on Bruny Island. He was a very knowledgeable man and was renowned for his skills as a doctor, storyteller and boat builder. In 1829 his wife and one of his three sons died from illnesses introduced by Europeans. In 1830 he married Truganini and joined George Robinson. In 1835 he went with Truganini and his sons Myunge and Droyer-loinne to live at Wybalenna [on Flinders Island]. He was on the mainland of Tasmania from March 1836 to July 1837 searching for Aborigines who remained in the northwest. He returned to Wybalenna and in 1839 went to Victoria with George Robinson. Woorady died of old age in July 1842 while on board ship returning to Wybalenna from Victoria.' (*Living With the Land, Book Two: Resistance*, Hobart: Department of Education and the Arts, 1989, p. 38.) The name has various spellings.
- 7. 'Umarrah's tribal names were Kanneher Largenna and Molteher Largenna. He adopted the name Umarrah (an abbreviation of Hugh Murray) when he worked for Europeans who took over land near Campbell Town. He was a member of the Tyerer-note-panner, a band of the North Midlands Tribe and was an outstand-ing resistance leader. He was captured in November 1828 near the Eastern Marshes by Gilbert Robertson, the police chief from Richmond, whose roving party was guided by Kickerter-poller. Umarrah joined the group of Aborigines with George Robinson in

January 1830 but left a few months later. In September he was either recaptured or surrendered and for a short while worked as a guide on the Black Line. He escaped and carried out raids on settlers along the Tamar River, before being recaptured in August 1831. He rejoined George Robinson's search party but died of dysentery in Launceston on 24 March 1832.' (ibid., p. 38.)

- Extracted from N. J. B. Plomley (ed.), Friendly Mission: The Tasmanian Journals and Papers of George Augustus Robinson 1829–1834, Hobart, Tasmanian Historical Research Association, 1966.
- Meredith, Louisa Anne, My Home in Tasmania, During a Residence of Nine Years, London, John Murray, 1852 (quoted in Vivienne Rae-Ellis, Louisa Anne Meredith: A Tigress in Exile, Sandy Bay: Blubber Head Press, 1979, p. 146–7).

- 1. Robson, Lloyd, A Short History of Tasmania, Melbourne, Oxford University Press, 1985, p. 33.
- Report by Lt James Hobbs RN, 1824, quoted in Kerry Pink, And Wealth For Toil: A History of North-West and Western Tasmania 1825–1900, Burnie, Advocate Marketing Services, 1990, p. 23.
- 3. Letter of Edward Curr, 16 April 1830, quoted in Paddle, *The Last Tasmanian Tiger*, p. 110.
- 4. So-called necker snares 'consist of a noose of wire or hemp placed around a hole in a fence or a constriction in an animal trail in such a way as to strangle the animal when it became caught in the noose. The removal of one of the palings from the wire fences allowed game to pass through and at the same time provided an ideal snaring site'. (Guiler, *Thylacine: The Tragedy of the Tasmanian Tiger*, p. 95.)
- 5. Guiler, Thylacine, p. 105.
- 6. Robson, A Short History . . ., p. 30.
- 7. Gunn, Ronald, in West, The History of Tasmania, pp. 245-6.
- 8. Gould, John, in *The Best of Gould's Mammals*, Sydney, Macmillan, 1977, p. 48.
- 9. Robson, A Short History . . ., p. 51.

NOTES | 211

- 10. Fenton, James, A History of Tasmania from its Discovery in 1642 to the Present Time, Hobart, J. Walch & Sons, 1884, pp. 401–2.
- 11. Paddle, The Last Tasmanian Tiger, p. 143.
- 12. The wedge-tailed eagle, distributed throughout continental Australia, is one of the world's largest eagles. Tasmania's endemic subspecies is listed as 'endangered', having fewer than 200 breeding pairs. Wedge-tails feed on both live prey and carrion, with rabbits their preferred diet. Lambs make up only a small part of their total prey, but they have long been persecuted by sheep farmers and illegal poisoning and shooting continues in Tasmania.
- 13. The Mercury, 26 August 1884, p. 2.
- 14. The Tasmanian Mail, Supplement, 30 April 1887. Paddle has extensively researched this critical period; the Messrs French in particular he finds greatly exaggerated their thylacine kill claims.
- 15. Guiler, Thylacine, p. 22.
- 16. The Tasmanian News, 6 September 1887.
- 17. The Mercury, 8 October 1886.

- 1. The Tasmanian, 13 June 1885.
- 2. Hobart Mercury, 5 December 1891.
- 3. Guiler, Thylacine, pp. 23 and 58.
- 4. Weekly Courier, 7 December 1911.
- 5. Robson, A Short History . . ., p. 70.
- 6. Hobart Mercury, 9 May 1893.
- Atkinson, Henry Dresser, The Woodpecker Papers: Talks with a Naturalist; Tales of Tasmania in the late 1800s, [prepared by] Mary Hume, and Minnie Stein, Launceston, Bookprint, 2001, p. 44.
- Smith, Geoffrey, A Naturalist in Tasmania, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1909, pp. 95, 96–7.
- 9. Guiler, Thylacine, pp. 24-5.
- 10. Norman Laird, article in The Mercury, 7 October 1968.
- 11. The Mercury, 11 August 1908.
- 12. Cubit, Simon, Snarers and Cattlemen of the Mersey High Country, Launceston, Regal Press, 1987.

- 13. ibid., p. 34.
- 14. Guiler, Thylacine, p. 115.
- Hogan, T. M. and Gye, Hal, *The Tight Little Island*, Hobart, J. Walch & Sons, [1914?], pp. 59 and 61.
- 16. Article by Norman Laird in The Mercury, 7 October 1968.
- 17. Lord, Clive E., 'Notes on the mammals of Tasmania', in *Royal* Society of Tasmania Papers and Proceedings, 1917.
- Lord, Clive E., 'Existing Tasmanian marsupials', in Royal Society of Tasmania Papers and Proceedings, 1926–28.
- Le Souef, A. S. and Burrell, Harry, *The Wild Animals of Australasia*, London, Harrap, 1926, pp. 318–19

- 1. Robson, Lloyd, A Short History . . ., pp. 123, 126.
- 2. Paddle, The Last Tasmanian Tiger, p. 174.
- 3. ibid., p. 181.
- Graves, Kathleen E., 'The rarest animal in the world', in Walkabout magazine, 1 April 1958, pp. 15–16.
- 5. Bell, E. A., ms paper NS 463/2, p. 7, Archives Office of Tasmania.
- 6. ibid., p. 11.
- 7. The Mercury, 22 January 1923.
- 8. The Mercury, 70th Anniversary Special Issue, 5 July 1924.
- Bailey, Col, <u>www.maydena.tco.asn.au/Colbail/tiger</u> [accessed 11 January 2001.
- 10. Guiler, Thylacine: The Tragedy of the Tasmanian Tiger, p. 55.
- 11. Paddle, op cit., p. 191.
- 12. Beresford, Quentin, and Bailey, Garry, Search for the Tasmanian Tiger, Hobart, Blubber Head Press, 1981.
- 13. Paddle, op. cit. p. 195.
- 14. Guiler, Thylacine: The Tragedy of the Tasmanian Tiger, p. 29.
- 15. Conversation with the author, 2 October 2002.

Chapter 11

 Letter of 30 May 1946, by N. J. B. Plomely, Director, Queen Victoria Museum, Launceston, to Mr Charles Rainbow, Nabowla, N. E. Coast, Tas.

- 2. Troughton, Ellis, *Furred Animals of Australia*, Sydney, Angus & Robertson, 6th edn., 1957, p. 52.
- 3. ibid., p.51.
- Brogden, Stanley, *Tasmanian Journey*, Melbourne, Morris & Walker for Pioneer Tours, 1948 (repr. 1949), p. 79.
- 5. Robson, Lloyd, A Short History . . ., p. 172.
- 6. Guiler, Eric, and Godard, Philippe, *Tasmanian Tiger: A Lesson to be Learnt*, p. 190.
- 7. Guiler, Thylacine, p. 146.
- Bell, E. A., *Thylacine Reports—Queen Victoria Museum—Launceston*, unpublished ms, Archives Office of Tasmania NS 896/1–39.
- 9. The Mercury, 15 April 1966.
- 10. The Mercury, 23 September 1966.
- Burton, Bob, 'Wilderness and Unreasonable People', in Cassandra Pybus and Richard Flanagan (eds), *The Rest of the World is Watching: Tasmania and the Greens*, Sydney, Pan Macmillan, 1990, p. 80. (At the time of the article Bob Burton was a research officer for The Wilderness Society.)
- 12. Giants of the Styx Forest State Forest in the Styx Valley and the Big Tree Reserve: A Guide for Visitors, Forestry Tasmania pamphlet, March 2002.
- Gee, H. M., 'The Evolving Consciousness', in Helen Gee and Janet Fenton (eds), *The South West Book: A Tasmanian Wilderness*, Sydney, Australian Conservation Foundation, 1978, p. 242.
- 14. Kiernan, Kevin, 'I Saw My Temple Ransacked', in Pybus and Flanagan (eds), *The Rest of the World*, p. 30. (Speliologist Kevin Kiernan helped found The Wilderness Society. He is also noted for rediscovering the Kuti Kina cave.)
- 15. Brown, Robert, 'Has the Thylacine Really Vanished?', in *Animals* 15, 1973, p. 419.

- 1. Beresford, Quentin, and Bailey, Garry, Search for the Tasmanian Tiger, Sandy Bay, Blubber Head Press, 1981.
- 2. Tasmanian Mail, 18 August 1981, p. 2.

- Brown, Bob, 'Revelations of a Green Senator', in Helen Gee (ed.), For the Forests: A History of the Tasmania Forest Campaigns, Hobart, The Wilderness Society, 2001, p. 334.
- 4. The Mercury, 18 June 2002, p. 13.
- 5. Law, Geoff, interview with the author, 30 July 2002.
- 6. ibid.

- 1. Statement supplied by Malcolm Wells, Deputy Chief Executive, Tourism Tasmania, 2 August 2002.
- 2. Gould, John, quoted in Dixon, Joan M., The Best of Gould's Mammals, Melbourne, Macmillan, 1984, p. 48.
- 3. The Mercury, 31 January 2002, p. 3.
- 4. Correspondence with the author, 5 August 2002.
- Rouette, Georgia, 'Thylacinus Cynocephalus: Lessons from Art', in The World of Antiques and Art, July–December 2002, p. 143.
- Cochrane, Grace, catalogue notes for '*Thylacine: Pest to Pedestal. Recent Work* by Michael McWilliams', Lauraine Diggins Fine Art, North Caulfield, 2001. The exhibition of 55 works ran from 20 November to 15 December 2001.
- 7. ibid.
- 8. Hay, Peter, 'Lagunta', in Story teller, Hobart [nd].
- 9. Correspondence with the author, 13 August 2002.
- Interview with the author, 31 July 2002. The Tragedy and Myth of the Tasmanian Tiger was produced by Roar Film Pty Ltd in association with Screen Tasmania, with the assistance of the Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery and G3 Multimedia, Hobart, 2001. Enquiries: info@roarfilm.com.au.
- 11. Correspondence with the author, 15 August 2002.
- 12. Hadfield, Warwick, 'Burning Bright', in *Island* 88, reviewing *Tyger Tyger*.
- 13. Correspondence with the author, 20 August 2002.
- 14. Peek, Andrew, 'The Last Thylacine', in *Australian Book Review* No. 211, p. 30.

- 1. Mike Archer, correspondence with the author, 4 August 2002.
- Maurice Paleau, an executive of the Discovery Network cable television company, quoted on the Australian Museum's website <u>www.austmus.gov.au/thylacine</u> [accessed 1 August 2002]. Discovery made a documentary, *End of Extinction: Cloning the Tasmanian Tiger*, which aired in 155 countries.
- 3. Mike Archer, correspondence with the author, 4 August 2002.
- 4. The Thylacine Museum, <u>www.naturalworlds.org/thylacine/more-topics/cloning</u> [accessed 1 August 2002].
- 5. Mike Archer, correspondence with the author, 4 August 2002.
- 6. Catalyst, ABC Television, Thursday 21 February 2002.
- 7. ibid.
- 8. ibid.
- 9. Mike Archer, correspondence with the author, 4 August 2002.
- 10. ibid.
- 11. ibid.
- 12. Abraham Passmore, letter to The Mercury, 31 May 2002.
- Gunn, Ronald C., letter dated 12 November 1850, to D. W. Mitchell, Secretary of the Royal Zoological Society. Quoted in *The Best of Gould's Mammals*, p. 48.
- 14. Letter to *The Mercury*, 4 June 2002.
- 15. Mike Archer, correspondence with the author, 4 August 2002.
- 16. <u>www.austmus.gov.au/thylacine/newsrelease</u>, [accessed 28 July 2002, p. 1].
- 17. Interview with the author, 14 August 2002.

- 1. Boorstin, Daniel J., *The Discoverers*, Harmondsworth, Penguin, 1986, p. 278.
- 2. Smith, Steven J., *The Tasmanian Tiger*—1980: A Report on an Investigation of the Current Status of the Thylacine Thylacinus cynocephalus, *Funded by the World Wildlife Fund*, Hobart: National Parks & Wildlife Service, 1980, p. 45.
- 3. ibid, pp. 45, 46.

- 4. Letter to The Mercury, 31 May 2002.
- 5. Letter to the author, April 2002.
- 6. Report by Luke Sayer in The Mercury, 2 June 2002, p. 9.
- 7. Bailey, Col, *Tiger Tales: Stories of the Tasmanian Tiger*, Sydney, HarperCollins, 2001, p. viii.
- 8. Interview with the author, 16 August 2002.
- 9. ibid.
- 10. ibid.
- 11. ibid.
- 12. From *The Mercury*, 2 June 2002, 'Recent Tassie tiger sightings', p. 17: '*August 1997*—A motorist sees "thylacine" near road at Surrey Hills, behind Burnie. The driver braked suddenly to see it about three metres away. "Hair was short and dark brown with clear chocolate-coloured stripes." Watched the animal for about a minute.' '*December 1998*—A motorist sees "thylacine" near Pyengana. The driver described the animal as "dark tan with obvious stripes and a straight tail".' '*January 1999*—Two Victorian trout fishermen driving near Great Lake see "thylacine". They said it was "Golden-coloured", "knee-high" and "very long" with "clear rump stripes". They returned the next day to collect fur off fence-wire, droppings and footprints from the scene. Gave the evidence to authorities.'
- 13. Correspondence with the author, 20 August 2002.
- 14. ibid.
- 15. ibid.
- 16. Interview with the author, 14 August 2002.
- 17. ibid.
- 18. ibid.
- 19. ibid.

SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adam Smith, Patsy, Tiger Country, Sydney, Rigby Ltd, 1968.

- Alderton, David, Foxes, Wolves and Wild Dogs of the World, London, Blandford, 1994.
- Atkinson, Henry Dresser, *The Woodpecker Papers: Talks with a Naturalist; Tales of Tasmania in the late 1800s*, [prepared by] Mary Hume and Minnie Stein, Launceston, Bookprint, 2001.
- Bailey, Col, *Tiger Tales: Stories of the Tasmanian Tiger*, Sydney, HarperCollins, 2001 (reproduced by permission of the author).
- Beresford, Quentin, and Bailey, Garry, Search for the Tasmanian Tiger, Hobart, Blubber Head Press, 1981.
- Boorstin, Daniel J., *The Discoverers*, Harmondsworth, Penguin, 1986.
- Cotton, Jackson, Touch the Morning: Tasmanian Native Legends, Hobart, OBM Pty Ltd, 1979.
- Cubit, Simon, Snarers and Cattlemen of the Mersey High Country, Launceston, Regal Press, 1987.
- Evans, George William, A Geographical, Historical and Topographical Description of Van Diemen's Land, London, Heinemann, 1822 (facsimile reprint 1967).
- Fenton, James, A History of Tasmania from its Discovery in 1642 to the Present Time, Hobart, J. Walch & Sons, 1884.
- Gee, Helen and Fenton, Janet (eds), The South West Book: A

Tasmanian Wilderness, Sydney, Australian Conservation Foundation, 1978, p. 242.

- Gee, Helen (ed.), For the Forests: A History of the Tasmanian Forest Campaigns, Hobart, The Wilderness Society, 2001, p. 54.
- Giblin, R. W., The Early History of Tasmania: The Geographical Era 1642–1804, London, Methuen, 1928.
- Guiler, Eric, *The Tasmanian Tiger in Pictures*, Hobart, St David's Park Publishing, 1991.
- Guiler, Eric, *Thylacine: The Tragedy of the Tasmanian Tiger*, Melbourne, Oxford University Press, 1985 (reproduced by permission of Oxford University Press Australia).
- Guiler, Eric and Godard, Philippe, *Tasmanian Tiger: A Lesson to be Learnt*, Perth, Abrolhos Publishing, 1998.
- Hogan, T. M. and Gye, Hal, *The Tight Little Island*, Hobart, J. Walch & Sons [1914?].
- Hoser, Raymond T., *Endangered Animals of Australia*, Mosman, NSW, Pierson, 1991.
- Jeffreys, C. H., Geographical and Descriptive Delineation of the Island of Van Diemen's Land, London, Richardson, 1820.
- Le Souef, A. S. and Burrell, Harry, *The Wild Animals of Australasia*, London, Harrap, 1926.
- Living With the Land, Book Two: Resistance, Hobart, Department of Education and the Arts, 1989, co-ordinated by Heather Felton.
- Lourandos, Harry, *Continent of Hunter-Gatherers*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- Morris, Desmond, Animalwatching, London, Jonathan Cape, 1990.
- Myers, Norman, The Sinking Arc, Pergamon, 1979.
- Paddle, Robert, The Last Tasmanian Tiger: The History and Extinction of the Thylacine, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press,

2000 (reproduced by permission of the author and Cambridge University Press).

- Penny, Malcolm and Brett, Caroline, *Predators: Great Hunters of the Natural World*, London, Ebury, 1995.
- Pink, Kerry, And Wealth For Toil: A History of North-West and Western Tasmania 1825–1900, Burnie, Advocate Marketing Services, 1990.
- Pybus, Cassandra and Flanagan, Richard, The Rest of the World is Watching: Tasmania and the Greens, Sydney, Pan Macmillan, 1990.
- Rae-Ellis, Vivienne, Louisa Anne Meredith: A Tigress in Exile, Sandy Bay, Blubber Head Press, 1979.
- Robinson, George Augustus, Friendly Mission: The Tasmanian Journals and Papers of George Augustus Robinson, 1829-1834, edited by N. J. B. Plomley, Hobart, Tasmanian Historical Research Association, 1966 (reproduced by permission of THRA and the Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery).
- Robson, Lloyd, A History of Tasmania Volume I, Melbourne, Oxford University Press, 1983 (reproduced by permission of Oxford University Press Australia).
- Robson, Lloyd, *A Short History of Tasmania*, Melbourne, Oxford University Press, 1985 (reproduced by permission of Oxford University Press Australia).
- Ryan, Lyndall, *The Aboriginal Tasmanians*, 2nd edn, Sydney, Allen & Unwin, 1996.
- Smith, Geoffrey, A Naturalist in Tasmania, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1909.
- Smith, Steven J., The Tasmanian Tiger—1980: A report on an investigation of the current status of the thylacine Thylacinus cynocephalus, funded by the World Wildlife Fund, Hobart, National Parks & Wildlife Service, 1981.

- Strahan, Ronald (ed.), *The Australian Museum Complete Book of Australian Mammals*, Sydney, Angus & Robertson, 1983, reprinted with corrections 1988 (reproduced by permission of the Australian Museum).
- *The Best of Gould's Mammals*, with modern commentaries by Joan M. Dixon, Sydney, Macmillan, 1977.
- Troughton, Ellis, *Furred Animals of Australia*, Sydney, Angus & Robertson, 1941, 6th edn 1957 (reproduced by permission of HarperCollins).
- van Lawick-Goodall, Hugo and Jane, *Innocent Killers*, London, Collins, 1970.
- Ward, Peter, End of Evolution: Dinosaurs, Mass Extinction and Biodiversity, London, Weidenfeld & Nicolson, 1995.
- West, John, *The History of Tasmania Volume I*, Launceston, Henry Dowling, 1852 (Angus & Robertson reprint 1971).
- Wilson, Edward O., The Diversity of Life, Penguin, 1992.

INDEX

Aborigines attitude towards thylacine, 59-62, 64, 69-70, 81, 83 Black Line, military operation against, 78 and dingoes, 2, 8 and dogs, 28, 65 Kuti Kina Cave, 62 and megafauna, 28 pre-European settlement, 57-70 Robinson, role of in 'conciliation', 78-84.208 rock and sacred cave art, 24 and settlers, 55, 78 Tarkiner people, 159 tracks used as settler roads, 140 Warragarra shelter, 67 wildlife as sacred symbols, 167 ANM (Australian Newsprint Mills), 138 antelope, 27, 33 Anti-Plumage League, 127 Archer, Mike, 179, 180-5, 215 Archives Office of Tasmania, 150, 213 Aristotle, 6 Arnhem Land, 24 Arthur, George, 77, 78, 86 Arthur River, 49, 196, 199 Atkinson, Henry Dresser, 112

Australian Museum, ix, 179, 180, 185.199 badger (wombat), 119, 144, 152 Bailey, Col, 47, 48, 131, 183, 193 Bailey, Garry, 133, 149, 150, 172-3 bandicoot, 60, 66, 119, 203 Bardot, Brigitte, 148 Barron, Harry, 128 Bass Strait, 12, 34, 50 Bassian Plain, 50 Bathurst, Lord, 86 Baudin, Nicolas, 4, 5, 51, 201 bear, 19, 127, 163 beetle, dung, 12 Ben Lomond (mountain), 141, 195 Beresford, Quentin, 133, 149, 150, 172 bettong, 67, 204 bison, 27 Blackman Bay, 2 Blubber Head Press, 173 Boer War, 110 Boon, David, 167 Boorstin, Daniel, 186 Borbyaenidae, 18, 21, 22 bounty see thylacine Bowen, Lieutenant (John), 51, 56 Boyer, 137

222 THYLACINE

Bream Creek, 3 Bridport, 109 British Museum, 119 Brown, Bob, 135, 147, 160, 173, 192, 193, 194, 195, 200 Brown, Vita, 134 Buckland and Spring Bay Tiger and Eagle Extermination Society, 99, 102 buffalo, 29 Burnie, 137, 151, 155, 216 Burrell, Harry, 121 bushrangers, 72, 73, 75, 77 Cenozoic Era, 18 camel, 7, 29, 49 Cameron, Mount, 81 Campbell Town, 68, 209 cane toad, 29 Cape Grim, 81, 82 Cape York, 3 Carboniferous Period, 17, 20 Cascade Brewery, 165-7 Cascade Tasmanian Tigers, 167 cat, 4, 12, 23, 29, 39, 42, 58, 83, 96, 155, 156, 163 Catalyst (ABC TV), 181 Cato, Ken, 166 cattle, 49, 54, 73, 98, 111 Chapman, Rory, 19 Charles, Reuben, 195 chicken/chook, 57, 155 chimera, 178 Churchill, Elias, 131 Circular Head, 88, 155 Clark, Andrew Inglis, 114 cloning, 15, 178-85 cockatoo, 119 coelacanth, 31 Collins, David, 51-2, 55-6 Conroy, Stanley, 48

Continental Drift, 18, 19 Convention on the International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES), 31 Coorong, 193 Cotton, Jackson, 59 Cotton, Joseph, 207 Cotton, William, 153 Cradle Mountain, 199 cravfish, 63 Cretaceous Period, 14, 16 crocodile, 21, 23 crow, 82 crustacean, 46 Cryptozoic Eon, 15 Curr, Edward, 87 Cuvier, Georges, 6 Darby, Frank, 133 Darwin, Charles, 27 Davies, C. E., 99 De Witt Island, 63 Deltatheridium, 19 Derwent Bridge, 118 Derwent River, 51, 55, 80, 129 Derwent Valley, 141 Derwent Valley Gazette, 193 Devonport, 14, 72, 157, 197 Didelphis cynocephala 6 Dillon, Rodney, 167 dingo, 13, 23, 28, 29, 36, 73, 93, 102, 106, 204 DNA see cloning dodo, 27 dog, 1, 4, 11, 12, 21, 23, 37, 40, 42, 44-5, 52, 54, 55, 57, 61, 65, 71, 72-3, 75, 77, 79-80, 82-3, 85, 87-8, 90-3, 94-7, 110, 114, 122, 124, 142, 144, 151-3, 155-8, 178, 194-5, 204

Donaldson River, 159

donkey, 29 duck, 65, 82 Dutch East India Company, 2 eagle, 27, 87, 99, 119, 129, 163, 196,211 echidna, 22, 58, 62, 119, 204 elephant, 65, 127, 199 Emberg, Buck, 191 emu, Tasmanian, 55, 56, 58, 80, 96, 120, 167, 196 Esk River, 40, 54 Eumarrah, 80, 209 Evans, George William, 6, 74, 75 evolution, 14-24 extinction, 25-34 Fauna (Animals & Birds Protection) Board, 138, 139, 143, 145, 183 Fenton, James, 98 Fertile Crescent, 50 First Event, the, 30 fish, 31, 46, 58, 203 Fitzgerald, 131 Flanagan, Richard, 135, 213 Fleay, David, 133, 134, 138 Fleming, Arthur (Trooper), 195 Flinders Island, 208, 209 Flinders, Matthew, 50-1, 53 Florentine Forest, 138 Florentine Valley, 131, 193 Flynn, Errol, 116 Flynn, Thomas T, 115, 119, 159 Forestier Peninsula, 2, 69 Four Corners (ABC TV), 194 fox, 12, 29, 83, 167, 197 Franklin River, 62, 159 Freeman, Carol, 170 French brothers, 100, 107, 211 Frenchman's Cap, 137 Furneaux Group, 50

gazelle, 45 Geologic Time Scale, 15 giraffe, 7 goanna, 23 goat, 29 Golconda, 191 Gondwana, 14, 18 Gould, John, 26, 93, 166 Goulding, John, 25 Gray, James, 99 Great Australian Bight, 3 Great Depression, 126, 133, 137 Great Dividing Range, 58 Great Interchange, 21 Green, Joseph R., 99 Griffiths, Rachel, 135 Griffiths, Jeremy, 146, 173 Guiler, Eric, 35, 43-5, 47, 67, 115, 118, 131, 134, 141-4, 146, 148, 158-9, 172, 183, 187, 194 Gulliver's Travels, 3 Gunn, Ian, 182 Gunn, Ronald Campbell, 48, 92, 184 Gunning, G. W., 71 Hallstrom, Edward, 143 Hampshire Hills, 65, 86, 87 hapalemur, 33 Harris, George, 6 Harrison, James, 128, 152, 155 Hay, Peter, 170 Heemskerck (ship), 2 Heemskirk, Mount, 93 hen, native, 66 Hillary, Edmund, 148

Historia animalium, 6 Hobart/Hobart Town, 6, 9, 41, 43, 52, 54, 55, 56, 68, 71, 72, 74 Hobart City Council, 129, 131, 133 Hobart Walking Club, 144 Hodgson, Joseph, 99

224 THYLACINE

Hooper, Chloe, 176 horse, 29, 49 Hoser, Raymond, 38 Howe, Michael, 75 hyaena, 12–13, 37, 41 *Hyaenidae*, 202 hyaenodont, 21 Hyde, Michael, 174 Hydro-Electric Commission, 144–5 Ice Age, 28, 30, 57, 62

International Union for the Conservation of Nature, 31

jackal, 11 J.S. of Forth, 90 Jurassic Period, 14

kangaroo, 11, 19, 22, 23, 24, 38, 44, 54, 55, 59, 66, 67, 68, 69, 75, 79, 80, 82, 83, 95, 96, 119, 139, 144, 152, 153, 164, 167, 178, 201, 204 - 6Kidd, Elma, 163 Kidd, Ian, 165 Kimberley, the 24 King Island, 50 Knopwood, Robert, 52, 56, 72, 77, 116,200 Knopwood's Retreat, 160 Labor Party, 24, 135 Laird, Norm, 42 Lake Lea, 199 Lake Pedder, 144-146, 194

Lake St Clair, 66 Launceston (Port Dalrymple), xiii, 6, 37, 38, 53, 68, 74, 89, 92, 109, 112, 114, 117, 126, 135, 138, 147, 163, 164, 169, 184, 192, 194, 210 Launceston Examiner, 90 Laurasia, 18 Le Souef, S. A., 121 Leigh, Julia, 175 leopard, 37, 130 Liberal Government (Tasmania), 159 Life magazine, 141 Linneaus, Carolus, 6 lion, 127, 129, 133, 164, 178, 186 lizard, 21 Longford, 169 Lord, Clive, 119-31 Lucas, Christine, 191 Lucy (australopithecine), 31 Lyne, John, 101 Lyons, J. A., 124

Maatsuyker Island, 63 Mackay, Hugh, 122 Macquarie Harbour, 1, 2, 63, 77, 93, 159 macropod, 44, 58, 65, 204, 205 McPhee, John, 125 McWilliams, Michael, 169 Malley, James, 142, 146, 173, 192, 193 Mannalargenna, 59 Maria Island, 126, 143, 183 Marion Bay, 3 Marsupiala, 203 marsupials carnivorous, 12, 14, 34, 179, 203 extinctions, 28, 33 herbivorous, 38 hopping ability, 12, 139 origin, 14, 18, 19 placental comparison, competition, 29, 205 South American, 6, 203 marsupium, 35 marsupial lion, 19, 23

mass extinction, 27, 30 Medlock, Kathryn, 42, 47, 198 megafauna, 23, 28, 58 Mercury, The (Hobart Mercury), 93, 101, 105, 128, 130, 144, 149, 167, 172, 192, 216 Meredith, Louisa Anne, 84 Mersey River, 80 mesonychid, 21 Mesopotamian, 49 Mesozoic Era, 18, 20, 21 mice, 205, 29 Middlesex Plains, 79, 86, 137 mink, 19 mongoose, 21 monkey, 30 monotreme, 21, 22, 204 Mooney, Nick, 184, 196-7 Morris, Desmond, 9 Moynihan, Daniel, 168 Mullins, Walter, 131 muttonbird, 63, 65 Naarding, Hans, 196, 199 Napoleon, 51 National Park Board, 125 National Parks & Wildlife Service, 149 Nationalist Party, 125 Natural History Museum, 198 New Guinea, 23, 29, 35, 187 New Holland, 37, 54, 81 New Norfolk, 156 New South Wales, 73, 74, 77, 88, 113, 180, 184 noisy scrub bird, 33 Norfolk Island, 50, 53 Norfolk Plains, 68 North Esk River, 40 North Midlands Tribe, 209 Northern Territory, 144

North-West Tribe, 65 Nullarbor Plain, 24 Okines, E., 1 Oligocene Period, 22 Origin of Species, The, 27 oxvaenid, 21 Paddle, Robert, 7, 35, 38, 41, 45, 46, 63, 98, 126, 133, 172, 187 pademelon, 62, 67, 118, 204 Paleozoic Era, 18, 20, 30 Palawa, 57, 208 Pangaea, 18, 20 Parliament, Tasmanian viii, 13, 89, 99, 101-5, 114, 116, 134 Parmepar Meethaner shelter, 68 parrot, 105 Paterson, William, 53-4 Pearce, H., 118 Peek, Andrew, 176 pelycosaur, 20 Pemberton, David, 41, 115, 185, 198, 199, 200 penguin, 58 Penguin (town), 155 Penney, Arnold, 14 Permian Period, 20 petrolacosaur, 20 Phanerozoic, 15, 18 Pieman River, 159 Pierce, Alexander, 159 pig, 29 pigeon, passenger, 27 Piguenit, William, 166 platypus, 22 porcupine see echidna Port Arthur, 77, 176 Port Sorell, 80 possum, 3, 19, 33, 58, 62, 67, 69, 107, 117-19, 151, 155, 201, 204

226 THYLACINE

propleopus, 23 protomammal, 120 Pydai-rerme band, 69 Quarrell, Albert, 110 Quaternary, 24 Oueen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, 38, 128, 212 Queen's Domain, 129, 131, 158 Ouinkana, 23 quoll, 62, 66, 201, 203 rabbit, 29, 98, 104, 119, 139, 155, 157, 158, 197, 211 rabies, 10 rat, 29 Reece, Eric, 141, 143-6 Register (Adelaide), 112 Reid, Alison, 41, 131, 133 Reid, Arthur, 129, 131 Renfree, Marilyn, 181-2 rhinoceros, 199 Richards, Edward Martin, 166 Richter, H. C., 166 Risdon Cove, 4, 51, 206 Riversleigh, 23 Roar Film, 171, 214 Roaring Forties, 58, 63 Roberts, Beth, 174 Roberts, Mary, 127, 128-9 Robinson, George Augustus, 59, 69, 78-83,208 Rose, Heather, 174–5 Rose, Randy, 47 Royal College of Surgeons, 198 Royal Society, 48 Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, 128 Royal Society Museum (Hobart), 99 Royal Zoological Society, 184 Russell Falls, Mount Field National Park, 117, 137

Saint-Hilaire, Geoffroy, 6 Salamanca Place/Market, 164, 207 sandhill dunnart, 33 Sandy Cape, 143, 195 Sarah Island, 77 Sarcophilus harrisii, 52, 202 Savage River, 157, 159 seal, 46, 51, 58, 63, 65 sealers, 56, 78, 86 Second Event, the, 30 Settlement Island, 77 Seymour Island, 19 shark, 27, 164 Sharland, Michael, 46, 133 sheep, ix, 11, 26, 28, 29, 37, 40, 46, 47, 49, 54, 65, 69, 71-5, 77, 78, 80, 83, 85-93, 97-106, 110-12, 114, 120, 121, 126, 141, 158, 181, 195, 197, 206, 211 shellfish, 63, 207 shrimp, terrestrial mountain, 62 Smith, C. L., 148 Smith, Geoffrey, 113, 115 Smith, Steven, 149, 187, 189, 190, 191 Smithsonian Institute, 40 Smithton, 122, 146, 159 snarers, 66, 67, 111, 114, 115, 118, 126, 129, 138, 141 snares, 25, 26, 48, 69, 100, 107, 115, 131, 139, 142, 142-4, 151-3, 178, 210 Sorell, 99 Sorell, William, 75, 77 South Australia, 187, 193 Southern Ocean, 51 South-West Committee, 143-4 South-West Tribe, 63 Spirit of Tasmania, 197 Spring Bay, 3 Sprod, Dan, 149-50, 173

St Valentine's Peak, 199 Stevenson, B., 40 Storm Bay, 51 Styx Valley, 193 Sullivan's Cove, 51 Surrey Hills, 65, 78, 86, 196, 216 swan, 58, 65, 80 Swift, Jonathan, 3 Sydney, 50, 55, 92, 109, 153 Sydney Gazette & New South Wales Advertiser, 53 Systema naturae, 6

Tamar River, 53, 54, 210 Tarkine, 159-60, 199 Tarkine Tigers, 160 Tarraleah, 137, 148 Tasman Peninsula, 69, 77 Tasman, Abel, 2, 50, 69, 186 Tasmanian Advisory Committee re Native Fauna, 125 Tasmanian Animals and Birds Protection Board, 126 Tasmanian Community Alliance, 160 Tasmanian Cricket Association, 167 Tasmanian devil, 23, 29, 37, 38, 40, 41, 43, 46, 67, 73, 96, 115, 128, 182, 199, 201, 202 Tasmanian Field Naturalist's Club. 116 Tasmanian Film Corporation, 42 Tasmanian Journal of Natural Science, 48Tasmanian Mail, 149, 211 Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, 38, 41, 42, 99, 130, 172, 180, 183, 197, 198, 214 Tasmanian Scenery Preservation Board, 117 Temple, David, 113 Terra Australia Incognita, 2, 186

Terra Australia Cognita, 3 Terra Australia Nullius, 50 Third Event, the, 30 Thomas, Steve, 171-2 Threatened Species Day, viii thylacine and Aborigines, 57-70, 80-3 alternative names, 7-13, 82 in art, commerce, 163-77 bounty, 3, 11, 13, 26, 43, 65, 66, 68, 86-8, 99-107, 110-12, 114-7, 126, 134, 138, 162, 170 cloning, ix, 15, 111, 178-85 and dingoes, 7, 13, 28, 29, 73 disease, 115-6 distribution, 59, 66, 67, 171, 189 and dogs, 11, 23, 29, 40, 52, 54. 57, 71, 80, 82, 83, 90-3, 94-7, 122, 124, 142, 151-6, 194 home range, 43, 67 mainland Australia, 23, 28, 29, 73.187 pouch, 35, 42, 121, 122, 201 prey, 11, 24, 37, 38, 4, 46, 58, 62, 63, 67-9, 95, 106 reproduction, 42, 183 searches, 135-47, 148-9 and sheep, ix, 11, 26, 28, 29, 40, 46, 47, 65, 69, 72-5, 80, 90, 92, 93, 97, 98, 100-6, 111, 113, 114, 120, 121, 126, 158, 195,206 sightings, 26, 137-8, 173, 186-200, 216 size, 7, 9, 23, 37, 63, 85, 97 stripes, 8, 41, 45, 61, 97, 166, 196 True Story competition, 149-58 vocalisation, 12, 41 2005 500 2005 Thylacine Expedition Research Team, 147, 192

228 | THYLACINE

thylacinidae, 22 Thylacinus potens, 23 Thylacinus cynocephalus, 6, 7, 22, 202 Thylacoleo carnifex, 19, 23 Thylacosimidae, 21 tiger men, 87, 100 Tjercxszoon, Ide, 2 tortoise, 21 Tourism Tasmania, 165, 168 Trevallyn, 191 Triassic Period, 14 Trigg, Reg, 47, 48, 193 Troughton, Ellis, 8, 139 Trowenna, 57-70 Trowutta, 141 Turner, Mont, 38, 41 Tyenna, 110

Umarrah, 80, 210 ungulate, 21 United Tasmania Group, 146 University of Melbourne, 181 Unknown South Land, the, 2, 201

Van Diemen, Antony, 2 Van Diemen's Land Company, 65, 69, 74, 80, 83, 86, 88, 100, 115, 117 van Lawick-Goodall, Hugo and Jane, 44 Victoria, 138, 209 Victoria and Albert Museum, 42 vulture, 12

wallaby, 11, 23, 33 34, 45, 62, 63, 67, 68–70, 117, 121, 151, 152, 153, 155, 178, 200, 206
Warragarra shelter, 67
Warrawoona Range, 15
Wellington, Mount, 51, 81, 165
West, John, 75, 92

Western Australia, 15 whale, 51 wildebeest, 44 Wilderness Society, The (Tasmanian), 147, 161, 213 Wilson, Erle, 173 wolf, 8, 10, 23, 190, 202 wombat, 3, 4, 19, 40, 58, 63, 90, 144, 152, 174, 192, 194, 195, 200, 203 Woolnorth, 65, 86, 87, 88, 117, 141.142.159 Woorady (Woorrady, Woureddy), 79,209 World Heritage Area, 26, 31 World War I, 115, 118, 152 World War II, 126, 137, 138, 189 World Wildlife Fund, 143, 148, 215

zebra, 9-10 Zeehaen (ship), 2 Zeehan, 159 Zoological Society (London), 166, 184 zoos Adelaide, 109, 112 Antwerp, 109 Beaumaris, viii, 43, 127-34, 189, 198 Berlin, 109 Cologne, 109 Healesville (Animal Sanctuary), 138 Hobart, Queen's Domain, 41, 129, 131, 156, 158 Launceston, 109 London, 9, 109, 110, 184 Melbourne, 109 New York, 109 Washington, 109

ASR(hOt) proudly presents a Vervelenge of cares beautiful book

We are A nti-Scene releases group, we are free, independent, and not caring $M \land D$ people.

