


Identification Guide to the Ant Genera of the World


BARRY BOLTON
















Scanning Electron Microscope photography by Laraine Ficken.

Copyright © 1994 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College All rights reserved Printed in the United States of America

This book is printed on acid-free paper, and its binding materials have been chosen for strength and durability.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Bolton, Barry.

Identification guide to the ant genera of the world / Barry Bolton.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references (p) and index.

ISBN 0-674-44280-6 (acid-free paper)

1. Ants—Identification. 2. Ants—Classification. I. Title.

QL568.F7B58 1994

595.79′6′012—dc20

93-41270 CIP

.

Contents

References to Faunistic Studies 190

Glossary of Morphological Terms 191

Bibliography 203

Index and Checklist 215

Introduction 1 Subfamily AENICTINAE 12 Problems of Classification Subfamily AENICTOGITONINAE 14 Outline of Zoogeography Preparation of Specimens Subfamily ANEURETINAE 15 Organization and Use of the Book Subfamily APOMYRMINAE 16 The Family FORMICIDAE 7 Subfamily CERAPACHYINAE 18 Diagnosis of the Family FORMICIDAE The Extant Subfamilies Subfamily DOLICHODERINAE 22 Key to Subfamilies Based on External Morphology New Format Key to Subfamilies Subfamily DORYLINAE 35 **Subfamily ECITONINAE** 38 Subfamily FORMICINAE 42 Subfamily LEPTANILLINAE 69 Subfamily LEPTANILLOIDINAE 71 Subfamily MYRMECIINAE 73 Subfamily MYRMICINAE 75 Subfamily NOTHOMYRMECIINAE 152 Subfamily PONERINAE 153 Subfamily PSEUDOMYRMECINAE 184 The Extinct Subfamilies 187 Subfamily *ARMANIINAE Subfamily *FORMICIINAE Subfamily *SPHECOMYRMINAE Subfamily *PALEOSMINTHURINAE

Identification Guide to the Ant Genera of the World





Introduction

Among all the wide variety of insect life on the planet, ants are one of the few forms universally recognized. This is because as a group they are truly ubiquitous, and usually quite conspicuous. They are found in all terrestrial habitats from subarctic tundra to equatorial rainforest, from swamp to harsh desert, from sea coast to great altitude, and from deep in the soil to the tips of the highest trees. Their morphology is as varied as their habitat preference and their range of lifeways is enormous. In size they range from less than 1 millimeter to about 40 millimeters, in temperament from docile to extremely pugnacious, in colony size from a few dozen to many millions. For food various species utilize plant seeds, nectar, honeydew secreted by sap-sucking insects, and fungi, but most are general or specialized carnivores that can exert an enormous control on other invertebrate populations in their vicinity. Some are accomplished scavengers or necrophages, and a few even specialize in preying on other ants. Their nest sites vary from a simple cavity in the soil to extremely complex subterranean excavations, from the space beneath a chip of bark to purposely built leaf shelters stitched together with larval silk, from an abandoned beetle boring in rotten wood to vastly ramifying tunnel systems in timber, and from bare cavities to elegant spaces lined with silk or fine carton. Some species even form symbiotic associations with particular groups of plants, which produce suitable pre-formed nest sites to attract the ants to take up residence. Together with Homo sapiens the ants are one of the few animal groups that commonly manipulate and modify their immediate surroundings to suit their needs, and it is a truism that they occupy a position among the terrestrial invertebrates equivalent to that occupied by our species among the vertebrates.

There are about 15,000 living ant species, of which some 9,000—10,000 have been described. All of these fall into a single family, the Formicidae, which is included in the superfamily Vespoidea of the insect order Hymenoptera. The literature dealing with all aspects of myrmecology, the scientific study of ants, is vast. Some specialized areas of myrmecological study have developed into discrete disciplines, with their own methodologies and terminologies. The studies of ant-plant interactions, social parasitism and commensalism, sociobiology, exocrinology, navigation, and communication are all currently receiving a great deal of attention. Strangely, despite their

ubiquity, perennial presence, and enormous numbers, ants do not figure strongly in the ecological literature. The reason for this may be that ants are traditionally a taxonomically "difficult" group; a problem that this volume attempts to address.

Two recent books are strongly recommended for introductory reading. The first of these, by Gauld and Bolton (1988), sets the Formicidae in the context of the order Hymenoptera, without giving undue weight to the family. It provides a broad overview of the order, including the morphology, classification, and biology of its families. The second, by Hölldobler and Wilson (1990), deals only with the ants and gives a superb synopsis of the enormous amount of myrmecological knowledge currently available. It is eminently readable and covers all aspects of ant life in considerable detail. Other fairly recent useful introductions to myrmecology, or some of its many aspects, include Beattie (1985), Blum (1985), Dlussky (1981), Dumpert (1978), Gösswald (1985), Hermann (1979–1982, 1984), Jolivet (1986), Kipyatkov (1991), Passera (1984), Schneirla (1971), Sudd and Franks (1987), Wilson (1971), and their included references

Catalogues of described taxa are available for some regions. The old catalogues of living world ants by Dalla Torre (1893) and Emery (1910b, 1911, 1912, 1921, 1922a,b, 1925) are now very much out of date, but the present author is currently completing a new one which will include not only the extant species but also the fossil forms. Relatively recent and trustworthy catalogues include Kempf (1972a), with additions by Brandão (1991) for the Neotropical region; M. R. Smith (1951, 1958) and D. R. Smith (1979) for the Nearctic; Taylor and Brown (1985) and Taylor (1987a,b) for Australasia; Baltazar (1966) for the Philippines; Shattuck (1993) for the subfamilies Aneuretinae and Dolichoderinae. Catalogues which are mostly out of date but contain some useful information include W. M. Wheeler (1922) for the Afrotropical and Malagasy regions, and Chapman and Capco (1951) for the Oriental and Indo-Australian regions, though the last is rather inaccurate.

The principal aim of the present volume is to provide a series of identification keys to the living ant subfamilies and genera of the world. A major problem in formulating such a survey is the fact that taxonomy, contrary to common belief, is a dynamic rather than a

static branch of bioscience. Its nature must be dynamic for the following simple reasons.

- (1) Improvements in collecting and ecological sampling techniques continue to unearth previously unknown taxa. These must be fitted into the classification or the system must undergo modification in the light of the new information. Newly discovered taxa may be placeable directly into the current classification or they may demand that modifications to the system must be made. For instance, newly acquired material may indicate that two taxa previously considered distinct are in fact synonymous, or the reverse, that two taxa previously considered synonymous should be separated. They may also indicate that the present suprageneric classification is unacceptable, that supposed relationships between taxa are incorrect, or that zoogeographical knowledge of the group is deficient.
- (2) Collections from small areas and the subsequent description of their faunas, as if isolated from, and without regard for, the fauna of the rest of the world, frequently produce a welter of unnecessary names based on uncritical splitting, unrecognized identity, and misinterpretation of generic limits. This was particularly true in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, when the amassing of names seems to have been an end in itself, with authors apparently racing each other to produce as many self-attributed names as possible. Of necessity, modern taxonomists must spend much of their time undoing these ancient taxonomic tangles and setting the nomenclature and classification to rights. This is an ongoing process, still far from complete.
- (3) The basic structure of, and any modifications made to, the classification must be well founded upon scientific fact and must improve its predictive properties as well as indicate firmer groupings among the various taxa.

These considerations should dispel the frequently made assertion that taxonomists merely change names around to upset other, non-taxonomic, zoologists. On the contrary, every alteration of status, every change of name, every shift in classification, must be in response to newly acquired information, must bring clarity where previously there was turbidity, must improve the classification, and must be done with an aim to increasing our understanding of the natural world.

Problems of Classification

As currently constituted the family Formicidae contains 296 extant genera in 16 subfamilies. As well as this the ants have an extensive fossil record, reaching back to the Cretaceous. This embraces 61 extinct genera referable to living subfamilies, and a further 14 genera that are grouped into four extinct subfamilies. The taxonomy of the fossil forms remains problematical and the status of many taxa dubious. No synthesizing survey or analysis of the whole fossil ant fauna at genus level has been undertaken since the time of Handlirsch (1906, 1907). Carpenter (1992) gives a useful list of genera containing fossil taxa, and recently a number of checklists of the ant fauna of amber deposits have appeared, e.g., Burnham (1979), Keilbach (1982) and Spahr (1987), but these are not analytical in any

way. A detailed taxonomic survey, both of forms in amber and those in rock, is most definitely needed.

The classification of the living forms is also extremely decrepit and is untrustworthy in parts. The recent production of a subfamily-level phylogeny by Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992) has helped to pin down the subfamilies rather more accurately, but even here some weak spots still remain. Classification at tribe rank, within several subfamilies, is to a large extent chaotic and awaits the attentions of phylogenetic researchers. In subfamilies containing more than one tribe, those of Ponerinae, Cerapachyinae, Ecitoninae, and Leptanillinae are reasonably stable. The long-established and little-changed tribal classification of Formicinae (e.g., Emery, 1925a; Hölldobler and Wilson, 1990) has recently been challenged by Agosti (1991), on the basis of an analysis of some recently discovered characters, and is in need of a thorough study. The rank of tribe has recently been abolished in Dolichoderinae by Shattuck (1992c), after his research proved that the rank held no value in this subfamily. The tribal organization of Myrmicinae is in an appalling state and a complete overhaul of the classification is long overdue.

Once we turn to the genera a rather more encouraging state of affairs is encountered. Taxonomists over the last 30 years or so have revolutionized generic concepts in wide areas of the family, and a good proportion of genera are now represented by monophyletic units. This is not to say that no problems remain. Indeed, a substantial number of major difficulties still confront the ant taxonomist at genus level. These fall into the following main categories.

- (1) Unrecognized synonymy. Some genera currently treated as valid are probably junior or senior synonyms. For instance the genus *Acanthomyops* is probably synonymous with *Lasius*; the widely distributed, large genus *Smithistruma* may be a junior synonym of the small, obscure *Pentastruma*, and other small smithistrumiform dacetonines may also fall into this synonymy; *Lordomyrma* appears to be the senior synonym of *Ancyridris*; and the two Australian myrmicines *Machomyrma* and *Anisopheidole* may represent a single genus.
- (2) Compound genera. In some cases a single genus name may conceal more than one real genus. As an example, the genus *Leptothorax* currently has several junior synonyms, but some of these names may represent valid taxa. The genera *Acropyga, Anoplolepis,* and *Dorylus* contain disparate subgenera, some of which should be accorded genus rank. *Camponotus* currently has about 50 subgenera, some of which may be worthy of elevation to genus rank, others of which are certainly synonyms.
- (3) Insufficiently characterized genera. A number of genera, currently known from many species and universally but informally recognized as uniform groups, lack convincing all-embracing diagnostic characters. The main difficulty here is usually that accretion of new species, both described and undescribed, has extended the limits of the genus well beyond those conceived by its original author. Such genera need full taxonomic surveys to establish their limits, and these surveys must include all species-rank taxa. Genera falling into this category include *Vollenhovia*, *Oligomyrmex*, and all the components of tribe Camponotini.
- (4) Zoogeographical confusion. A number of genera are currently recognized more by zoogeography than by unique characters, and this is a very unsatisfactory state of affairs. For instance, the relation-

ship of the Neotropical genera *Antichthonidris* and *Nothidris* to the Australasian groups of *Monomorium* awaits analysis. *Antichthonidris*-like species exist in Australia but are currently classified with *Monomorium*, while many Australian *Monomorium* are morphologically very similar to the Neotropical *Nothidris*.

These examples are by no means an exhaustive list of all the genus-level problems remaining in the Formicidae; there are many others of the same magnitude. Detailed analysis of these problems remains to be undertaken but, as I hope the examples illustrate, their investigation must be undertaken on a worldwide basis if accurate conclusions are to be drawn. The solution of all such problems will eventually produce an accurate classification, but the reader should be aware that the keys and syntheses presented here represent nothing more than a state-of-the-art synopsis based on our current level of knowledge. They are not set in granite but rather will be subject to modification and improvement as our understanding increases.

Therefore when using the keys (explained at the end of this section), keep in mind that if you come across a specimen that does not convincingly fit either lug of a terminal couplet, it does not necessarily mean that you have a new genus. There is always the possibility that better interpretation of diagnostic characters and improved diagnoses of the available names will establish the identity in an already-named taxon. A golden rule, often overlooked or ignored by taxonomists, and sometimes apparently not even realized, is this: Any name proposed in the genus group (genus or subgenus name) must demonstrate and maintain its validity on a worldwide basis. If it does not, it is worthless.

The synoptic classifications presented here include some new modifications and, it is hoped, present an advance on previous synopses. Readers interested in the history of synoptic classifications are referred to the following publications: Ashmead (1905), Brown (1973), Dlussky and Fedoseeva (1988), Emery (1877, 1895, 1896, 1901, 1910b, 1911, 1912, 1914, 1915, 1921, 1922a,b, 1925a), Forel (1878, 1893, 1917), Hölldobler and Wilson (1990), Snelling (1981), G. C. Wheeler and J. Wheeler (1985), W. M. Wheeler (1922).

Outline of Zoogeography

As far as the ant fauna is concerned the world can be split into eight zoogeographical regions. Some of these are better defined than others in terms of faunal distinctness, but each has a reasonable number of endemics, taxa found there and nowhere else. Many of the regional names are widely used by zoogeographers and do not need redefining here—for instance, Palaearctic, Nearctic, and Neotropical—but the limits of others deserve a few comments as they show some divergence from classical usage.

The Afrotropical (= Ethiopian) region includes all subsaharan Africa and the southern half of the Saudi Arabian Peninsula but excludes Madagascar and its nearby islands, which constitute the Malagasy region. The classical Oriental region is here divided into two, Oriental and Indo-Australian (= Malesian). The latter includes the Malay Peninsula, Philippines, East Malaysia, Indonesia up to and including the island of New Guinea, and the island systems of the Pacific Ocean. The Oriental region consists of Pakistan, Sri Lanka, the

whole Indian subcontinent to the Himalayas, southern China and Taiwan, and the countries of Burma (Myanmar), Thailand, Cambodia, Laos, and Vietnam. The Australasian region contains the Australian continent, New Caledonia, and New Zealand.

Table 1 indicates the numbers of extant genera, arranged by sub-family, that occupy each of these eight zoogeographical regions. Genera of which a single species has been transferred artificially into a region other than that of its origin, for instance by human commercial activity, are only recorded from their region of origin.

Abbreviations of the names of the regions are PAL = Palaearctic, AFR = Afrotropical, MAL = Malagasy, ORI = Oriental, INA = Indo-Australian, AUS = Australasian, NEA = Nearctic, NEO = Neotropical.

Many genera naturally occur in more than one zoogeographical region; some occur in all the regions. If these are subtracted from the totals in Table 1, the result (Table 2) gives the numbers of the *endemic* genera of the regions. As can be seen, the absolute numbers of genera in a region and the numbers of endemic genera do not rank the regions in the same way. In terms of total numbers of genera the order is INA (126), NEO (118), ORI (101), AUS (94), AFR (89), PAL (70), NEA (62), MAL (46). But in terms of endemicity, expressed here as a percentage of the total number of genera, the order is NEO (51%), AFR (33%), AUS (22%), INA (18%), PAL (17%), MAL (7%), NEA (5%), ORI (5%); a radically different order in which only NEA occupies the same relative position. It is interesting, though perhaps not surprising, to note that the regions that formed part of the ancient Gondwanaland (NEO, AFR, AUS) today show the highest levels of endemicity.

Preparation of Specimens

If any key is to be used with some hope of success, specimens must be prepared and mounted in such a way that the characters necessary for identification are easily visible. This may seem self-evident but is frequently overlooked or considered of minimal importance. Good mounting technique is, on the contrary, of prime importance, as badly mounted specimens actively hinder identification.

Ants bear identification characters on all parts of the body, but the area around the clypeus, mandibles, and mouthparts is particularly important at genus and species rank. The areas of least taxonomic value are the midventral alitrunk and coxal apices. Therefore the optimum mounting technique for ants is one that uses this area alone and leaves the remainder of the body free for easy examination: this is the card-point technique. It requires some practice to accomplish well but is worth the effort in terms of superbly presented, easily compared, and examined specimens.

Basically the technique involves glueing the ant to the upper apex of a small triangle of stiff card or bristol board. The best gum to use is a water-soluble glue such as *Seccotine*, diluted to the appropriate consistency (the smaller the ant the more dilute the glue). A minimum amount of glue is applied to the upper apex of the card and the ant is placed transversely on it, venter down, so that only the coxal apices are in contact with the glue and so that the head, waist, and gaster project freely and their ventral surfaces are visible. Always orient the specimen so that the head is to the right when the apex

Table 1 Numbers of Genera by Zoogeographical Region

Subfamily	PAL	AFR	MAL	ORI	INA	AUS	NEA	NEO	Total
Aenictinae	1	1		I	1	1			l
Aenictogitoninae		1	_	_			-	_	1
Aneuretinae	_		_	1	_		_	_	1
Apomyrminae	_	1	_	_				_	1
Cerapachyinae	1	3	3	2	3	2	1	4	5
Dolichoderinae	5	4	3	8	12	13	5	8	22
Dorylinae	1	1		1	1	_		_	1
Ecitoninae		_	_	-		_	3	5	5
Formicinae	16	15	7	16	21	18	10	9	49
Leptanillinae	3	1	_	4	6	1	_		7
Leptanilloidinae		_	_	_	_	_	_	1	1
Myrmeciinae	_	_	_	_	_	1	—	_	1
Myrmicinae	31	38	22	46	58	35	31	66	155
Nothomyrmeciinae	_	_	_	_		1		_	1
Ponerinae	11	23	10	21	23	21	11	24	42
Pseudomyrmecinae	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3
Totals by region	70	89	46	101	126	94	62	118	
Total number of ant go	enera of t	he world	l						296

Table 2 Numbers of Endemic Genera by Zoogeographical Region

Subfamily	PAL	AFR	MAL	ORI	INA	AUS	NEA	NEO
Aenictinae	_		_				_	
Aenictogitoninae	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	
Aneuretinae	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_
Apomyrminae	-	1	_	_	_		_	_
Cerapachyinae	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	2
Dolichoderinae		2	_	_	1	2	_	3
Dorylinae	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Ecitoninae	_	_	_	_	_	_		2
Formicinae	3	5	_	_	6	8	2	4
Leptanillinae	_	_	_	-	3	_	_	_
Leptanilloidinae	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1
Myrmeciinae		_	_		_	1	_	_
Myrmicinae	8	12	3	4	12	7	1	39
Nothomyrmeciinae	_	_	_		_	1	_	_
Ponerinae	1	8	_	_	_	1	_	9
Pseudomyrmecinae	_	_	-		_	_	_	1
Totals by region	12	29	3	5	22	20	3	60

of the card triangle is directed away from the mounter. Push down the legs so that they do not obscure the head, alitrunk, or waist profile. A pin, preferably stout (continental size no. 5), is run through the center base of the card triangle, and the card is pushed up the shaft of the pin with forceps. One or two more specimens (which can be different castes from the same nest) may be staged on triangles below the first, but space should always be left for data labels. Always ensure that specimens to be mounted are free of alcohol and reasonably clean. In many genera the palp formula, mandibular dentition, or both are of importance. One or more specimens in a series should have the mandibles opened and the mouthparts everted prior to mounting.

One final caveat. Ants should never, ever, be mounted flat down in a pool of glue on a card rectangle. Not only does this obscure all ventral characters but also the glue frequently creeps and covers parts of the mandibles, the sides of the alitrunk, and other important features.

Organization and Use of the Book

As noted above, the primary aim of this volume is to provide an accurate identification guide to subfamily and genus rank. Besides this it seeks to provide the reader with synoptic classifications of genera within the various subfamilies and lists of taxonomic works that provide determinations to species rank, where available, to facilitate further identification and study.

First, the family Formicidae is described, and keys are given to identify the extant subfamilies. Then each extant subfamily is treated separately, in alphabetical order. Each subfamily section commences with a newly formulated diagnosis of the group, followed by the keys to genera, synoptic classification, and list of taxonomic references. A few notes on broad distribution are also provided. A short summary of the extinct subfamilies completes this main part of the work.

A bibliography of faunistic studies (that is, works which provide keys for the whole fauna of a country or geographical region, rather than keys for particular taxa) is given separately, in the back of the book, for quick reference. Finally, an extensive glossary of morphological terms used in ant taxonomy is provided, as beginners or students from other disciplines may not be familiar with some of the terms encountered in the keys.

Notes on the Kevs

The dichotomous keys to ant subfamilies and genera are presented in a way which, it is hoped, will render their use as simple and quick as possible. All the keys apply to the worker caste, for the following reasons: (1) The worker is numerically overwhelmingly the commonest caste, and therefore by far the most commonly encountered and collected. Because of this it is the form that accounts for almost all of the current taxonomy of the group. (2) Other castes and sexes, queens and males, remain very sparsely known or even unknown in a large number of genera. At present it would be impossible to produce any meaningful key based on these forms.

There is no doubt that people in general, but especially first-time users, are disturbed and somewhat intimidated by very long identification keys. The larger subfamilies (Myrmicinae, Formicinae, Ponerinae, Dolichoderinae) have therefore been presented in separate keys, by zoogeographical region. Some of these treat only a single region per subfamily, but in some instances it was expedient to treat two adjacent regions in a single key. With smaller subfamilies a single key covering the whole world fauna is presented.

Of the zoogeographically oriented keys to large subfamilies, the Palaearctic keys include those genera normally found in the region and those elements of the Afrotropical and Oriental regional faunas that may penetrate the southern portions of the Palaearctic. Other members of these extralimital faunas may occur in the southern Palaearctic but have not yet been detected. For samples collected in these zones the key may be supplemented by reference to the Afrotropical and Malagasy key and the Oriental and Indo-Australian key for any given subfamily.

The Afrotropical (= Ethiopian) and the Malagasy zoogeographical regions are keyed together as most genera are common to both. Only a few Malagasy genera are restricted to that region, relatively more are peculiar to the Afrotropical and do not occur in the Malagasy. The Oriental region and the Indo-Australian region are keyed together but the Australasian keys deal only with Australia, New Zealand, and New Caledonia.

The Nearctic and Neotropical regions are keyed separately for the large subfamilies, but Nearctic genera that penetrate the northern Neotropical region, and Neotropical genera that penetrate the southern Nearctic, are included in the keys to both regions.

Note that two keys to subfamilies are presented. The first of these is based on externally observable characters and should be consulted first. The second is more experimental and requires some dissection or careful disarticulation of specimens. It may be used for confirmation of the first if the need arises.

In many of the keys the name of a single subfamily or genus may occur more than once. This is because characters are chosen for ease of recognition, and some characters may occur in more than one state in a single taxon. For instance, the number of antennal segments in worker ants varies from 4 to 12. The number of segments is usually easily counted and therefore forms a very useful character for subdividing a key. But not all genera have an antennal segment count that is uniform throughout all their species. Therefore if a key is split at some point on the number of antennal segments, say 11 versus 12, then the name of any genus containing species with both counts will occur twice. In taxa where this has occurred their names in the keys are followed by the word (part). This is not a disadvantage, as the function of a key is to make identification possible, and any technique that enhances its success rate is valid. It may, however, become a possible source of error in some rare circumstances. lmagine, for example, that you have just discovered a species with 11 antennal segments in a genus where all the previously known species had 12. Obviously that specimen would not run out to the correct identity in the keys; there is a good chance that it would fail to run to any terminal lug of a couplet. In such circumstances it is advisable to go back to the original "11 versus 12" couplet and run the specimen through the other half of the key. Do not automatically assume that you have a new genus.

The key to subfamilies and a number of the regional keys to genera given below appeared in prototype form in Hölldobler and Wilson (1990). These have been revised and updated. The remaining keys are all newly formulated and presented here for the first time.

Students and others who have little previous experience, either with ants or with the use of keys in general, are advised first to run each specimen or sample through the key to subfamilies. Having ascertained the subfamily, the reader can then check the identification against the subfamily diagnosis given at the head of each section. If the subfamily is a small one the specimen can then be run directly through the key to world genera that follows the subfamily diagnosis. If the subfamily is large, however, the reader must turn to the key covering the zoogeographical region of origin of the specimen.

All the keys are dichotomous, which means that at each position the reader is faced with a choice of only two alternatives. A set of two alternatives is called a *couplet*, and each half-couplet is called a *lug*. Compare the specimen to be identified with the morphological characters given in each lug of couplet 1, ascertain which lug matches the specimen, then turn to the couplet number given at the end of that lug. Repeat the process until a lug or couplet is encountered that runs out to the name of a genus.

Users who are more familiar with ants may of course turn directly to the appropriate subfamily or regional key.

The electron microscope photographs used to illustrate the keys are representative of genera. That is, each is chosen to represent the general habitus of a particular genus and cannot show all the morphological variation inherent in a genus.

The Family FORMICIDAE

Diagnosis of the Family FORMICIDAE

Eusocial vespoid aculeates with a wingless worker caste, forming perennial colonies. Head prognathous in female castes (workers and queens). Antenna with 4–12 segments in female castes, with 9–13 segments in males. Antenna geniculate between the long basal segment (scape) and the remaining funicular segments. Second abdominal segment reduced, forming a node or scale (the petiole), isolated from the alitrunk in front and the remaining abdominal segments behind. Frequently the third abdominal segment also reduced and isolated (postpetiole). Wings of alate queens deciduous, shed after mating. Metapleural gland generally present on alitrunk, opening above the metacoxa.

The Extant Subfamilies

The number of extant subfamilies in the Formicidae has risen from the 5 recognized in the earliest classifications to the 16 recognized and discussed here. The foundations of the modern subfamily-level classification of Formicidae were laid by Forel (1878), who organized the ants into 5 great subfamilies. These were, according to the correct spelling convention: Camponotinae, Dolichoderinae, Dorylinae, Ponerinae, and Myrmicinae. These remained unchanged for many years, as for example in the catalogue of Dalla Torre (1893). Camponotinae later had its name properly changed to Formicinae by W. M. Wheeler (1920), because the latter name had temporal priority over the former. The other four names have survived to the present day. Most of the remaining 11 subfamilies were established by splitting disparate groups from these early names, but the species that today constitute a number of subfamilies were unknown to the early classifiers.

Key references in the history of subfamily classification, apart from those already mentioned, include Ashmead (1905), Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992), Bolton (1990a,b,c), Borgmeier (1955), Brown (1954a, 1973, 1975), Clark (1951), Dlussky and Fedoseeva (1988), Emery (1877, 1899, 1901, 1910b, 1912), Forel (1885, 1893,

1917), Shattuck (1992b), M. R. Smith (1952, 1958), Taylor (1978), Ward (1990), W. M. Wheeler (1902).

The accepted subfamily-level classification just prior to the revisionary cladistic studies of Baroni Urbani, Bolton, Shattuck, and Ward is summarized in Hölldobler and Wilson (1990).

How stable, in a taxonomic sense, are the subfamilies recognized here? The recent cladistic analyses, mentioned above, show quite convincingly that all the subfamily groupings used here represent monophyletic taxa. And the definitions given at the start of each subfamily section show their consistent, and often quite striking, morphological differences. But this does not ensure that all represent the same taxonomic grade. For instance, an argument can be made for unifying all subfamilies in the doryline section, as they exhibit a high number of synapomorphies which together could constitute a single subfamily diagnosis. This would mean that Aenictinae, Aenictogitoninae, Cerapachyinae, Dorylinae, Ecitoninae, and Leptanilloidinae could be combined into a single subfamily, which would have to take the name Dorylinae as that is the first available name for the group as a whole. Similarly the Apomyrminae and Leptanillinae, distinct as defined here, could be combined into a single subfamily based on uniquely shared apomorphies.

There are no simple answers to such problems; no definitive rights or wrongs. At this level there are only differences of opinion about grade, and these may vary with time or depending on how the characters chosen are weighted both within and between groups. Of course, the opinion must be based on comprehensive analysis and the characters utilized must be carefully defined and, most importantly, be universal. Questions of grade among the higher taxa are very much left to the conscience and consensus opinion of professional taxonomists. The grades utilized must be based on overall knowledge of the world fauna and the relationships between the various groups. They should express what is currently considered to be the best possible method of subdividing the family and indicate not only the diversity of the group as a whole, but also the relationships of its component parts. In my opinion the subfamilies recognized here reflect such a system, although the possibility of future modification is not ruled out.

Two complementary keys to subfamilies are presented below. The

first, general, key is a modified and improved version of that first published in Hölldobler and Wilson (1990) and relies solely on characters of externally observable morphology. The second contains characters visible only after special treatment or dissection of specimens. An updated version of the "new format" key first published by Bolton (1990c), it requires a more detailed acquaintance with ant morphology than does the general key.

Key to Subfamilies Based on External Morphology

Characters used in this key are chosen solely for clarity and ease of visibility. As a result, some subfamily names run out at more than one place in the key. No dissection or preparation of the specimen is necessary other than correct routine mounting as described in the Introduction.

Note that the subfamily Aenictogitoninae, restricted to the Afrotropical region, is omitted as it is known only from males.

- Body with 2 reduced or isolated segments (the petiole and postpetiole) between alitrunk and gaster; either both segments are much reduced (Figs. 2, 71, 167, 171, 183, 215, 267, 305, 341, 389, 417, 520), or the second is somewhat larger than the first (Figs. 12, 173), and if the latter then the postpetiole is distinctly smaller than the first gastral segment and separated from it by an extensive, deep girdling constriction

- 3 Either pygidium or hypopygium armed with peg-like teeth or short spines. If the pygidium is armed it is usually, but not always, transversely flattened to impressed and has either a single pair of short, posterolaterally situated spines (Figs. 61–63) or a marginal row of short spines or peg-like teeth (Figs.

Morphological Key to Subfamilies (continued)

- 17–19). If the hypopygium is armed then its margin on each side has a row of teeth or spines, generally in the apical half, which project dorsally outside the pygidium (Figs. 507, 508)

- Gastral spiracles 3–5 (= abdominal spiracles 5–7) concealed, overlapped and hidden by the tergites of the preceding segments (e.g., Figs. 429, 450, 508). Metapleural gland orifice not overhung nor concealed from above by a cuticular lip or flange, and without a rim or ridge extending obliquely upwards and forwards from the gland orifice (e.g., Figs. 450, 472, 477, 478). Helcium sternite reduced and retracted, not visible in profile. (World tropics) PONERINAE (part)

- **6** Sting vestigial or absent, in any case not visible without dissection. Tergite of helcium with an extensive U- or V-shaped emargination dorsally in its anterior margin (visible if gaster slightly depressed) (Fig. 58). (Worldwide)

..... DOLICHODERINAE

7	Pretarsal claws with a tooth, or sometimes several teeth, on the
-	inner curvature behind the apical point (Figs. 515, 516). 8 Pretarsal claws simple, without teeth on the inner curvature behind the apical point (Fig. 514)
-	Stridulatory system present ventrally on gaster, on first and second sternites. Palp formula 6,4. First gastral segment confluent with second, without an impression between them. Mandibles elongate-triangular, blade-like, and multidentate, the masticatory margins meeting along their entire length (Figs. 174, 175). (Southern Australia) NOTHOMYRMECIINAE Stridulatory system absent, or present dorsally on gaster on first and second tergites. Palp formula variable but usually lower than 6,4; if palp formula 6,4 then the gaster has an impression between the first and second segments, or the mandibles are not constructed as above, or both
)	Frontal lobes absent; vertical, low carinae may be present between the antennal sockets, but the latter are completely exposed (Fig. 64). Antennal sockets very close to anterior margin of head, the clypeus extremely narrow in front of them. Spiracles of gastral segments 4 and 5 visible. Helcium sternite convex and bulging, visible in profile. (New World tropics and temperate regions) ECITONINAE (part)
-	Frontal lobes present and horizontally aligned, partially to completely concealing the antennal sockets (Figs. 436, 449, 453, 463, 491). Antennal sockets a notable distance behind the anterior margin of the head, the clypeus well developed in front of them. Spiracles of gastral segments 4 and 5 concealed. Helcium sternite reduced and retracted, not visible in profile. (World tropics)
)	Major tibial spur of hind leg simple or with a few minute barbules. Metathoracic spiracles on dorsal surface when alitrunk viewed from the side (Fig. 4). Palp formula 3,4. Petiole with a long, narrow anterior peduncle and propodeum armed with a pair of spines (Fig. 4). (Sri Lanka) ANEURETINAE
-	Major tibial spur of hind leg broadly and distinctly pectinate (Figs. 511–513), or the metathoracic spiracles not on the dorsal surface of the alitrunk when viewed from the side (either not visible or on the lateral surface), or both. Palp formula variable, generally not 3,4 but if so then either the petiole lacks a long anterior peduncle or the propodeum lacks spines, or both
L	Labrum with peg-like teeth (Fig. 5). Propodeal lobes absent. Frontal lobes absent (Fig. 5). Eyes absent. Promesonotal suture present, deeply impressed and flexible (Fig. 6). (West

 Labrum without peg-like teeth. Propodeal lobes present. Frontal lobes and eyes usually present, but if either is absent then the

12 Pygidium transversely flattened or impressed and armed laterally, posteriorly, or both, with a row of short spines or peg-like

..... PONERINAE (part)

promesonotal suture is also absent. (Worldwide)

	teeth that usually project vertically (Figs. 17–19). (World tropics and subtropics)
13	Frontal lobes either absent or very reduced and vertical; in either case the antennal sockets are completely exposed in full-face view and are not at all concealed or covered by the frontal lobes (Figs. 1, 66, 68, 70, 72, 166, 168, 170, 300, 519)
_	Frontal lobes present, horizontal to somewhat elevated; the antennal sockets are always partially or completely covered by the frontal lobes in full-face view and are never completely exposed (Figs. 172, 182, 184, 218, 234, 250, 278, 308, 318, 352, 521)
14	Eyes present and conspicuous, with many distinct ommatidia (Figs. 300, 301, 519)
15	Promesonotal suture present, freely flexible. Hind tibia with a conspicuous pectinate apical spur. Posterior margin of median portion of clypeus not projecting back between antennal sockets (Fig. 519). (World tropics and subtropics)
_	Promesonotal suture vestigial (fused and inflexible) to absent. Hind tibia without a pectinate apical spur; spur either simple or (usually) absent. Posterior margin of median portion of clypeus projecting back between antennal sockets (Fig. 300). (Worldwide)
16	Promesonotal suture present and very conspicuous in dorsal view; usually deeply impressed and always freely flexible in fresh specimens
_	Promesonotal suture vestigial to absent, usually the latter but very rarely a faint transverse line is visible in dorsal view; suture never impressed nor flexible
17	Pygidium large and conspicuous (Figs. 167, 169). Spiracles of gastral segments 3 and 4 concealed by preceding tergites. Sternite of helcium concealed, not visible in profile. Gaster without deep girdling constrictions between the segments (Figs. 167, 169). (Old World tropical and temperate regions)
_	Pygidium reduced, small, and inconspicuous (Fig. 171). Spiracles of gastral segments 3 and 4 exposed, not concealed by preceding tergites. Sternite of helcium convex, bulging ventrally, and visible in profile. Gaster with a deep girdling constriction between the first and second segments, and a similar constriction between the third and fourth segments (Fig. 171). (New World tropics) LEPTANILLOIDINAE
18	Antenna with 8–10 segments. Spiracle of postpetiole behind midlength of tergite (Fig. 2). Gastral spiracles circular. First

Morphological Key to Subfamilies (continued)

Morphological Key to Subfamilies (continued)

- Antenna with 12 segments. Spiracle of postpetiole in front of midlength of tergite (Figs. 67, 69, 71, 73). Gastral spiracles oval to slit-shaped. First gastral segment without a narrow neck-like constriction behind the articulation with the postpetiole. (New World tropics and temperate regions)

..... ECITONINAE (part)

- Mandibles short, stout, and compact (Figs. 517, 519, 521), the
 apical margin dentate; mandibles overlapping at full closure
 but not crossing over, closing tightly against the clypeus.
 Stridulitrum present on pretergite of gastral segment 1.
 (World tropics and subtropics)

..... PSEUDOMYRMECINAE (part)

New Format Key to Subfamilies

Before this key can be used some special preparation of specimens is necessary as a number of the characters are apparent only following dissection or disarticulation. Specimens to be examined should be macerated in sodium hydroxide until all soft tissues have been removed, then thoroughly washed and returned to alcohol for study.

In this key frequent mention is made of the abdominal, rather than the gastral, segment number. This is to obviate possible confusion in terminology because of the variable number of separated waist segments (see the Glossary).

Note that the subfamily Aenictogitoninae, restricted to the Afrotropical region, is omitted as it is known only from males.

This key is to some extent provisional. It has been tested against workers of most, but not all, genus-rank taxa. It is therefore presented here somewhat experimentally, for testing and for comment.

- Abdominal segment 4 with tergite and sternite not fused, the 2 sclerites free and mobile with respect to each other

New Format Key to Subfamilies (continued)

2 Abdominal segment 3 with tergosternal fusion posterior to helcium. Tergite and sternite of helcium also fused 3 Abdominal segment 3 with tergite and sternite not fused posterior to helcium. Tergite and sternite of helcium may be fused **3** Abdominal spiracles 5–7 on posttergites, visible without distension or dissection of abdomen. Sternite of helcium large, convex, and bulging ventrally, visible in profile. Pygidium specialized by armament, depression of posttergite posteromedially, extreme reduction, or a combination of these 4 - Abdominal spiracles 5-7 on pretergites, not visible without distension or dissection of abdomen. Sternite of helcium small, concealed, not bulging ventrally, not visible in profile. Pygidium unspecialized, large, evenly biconvex, unarmed .. 8 4 Propodeal spiracle situated low down on side and behind the midlength of the sclerite in profile 5 Propodeal spiracle situated high up on side and in front of the midlength of the sclerite in profile 6 5 Pygidium large, not overhung by tergite of abdominal segment 6. Dorsum of pygidium flattened, margins of flattened area laterally, posteriorly, or both, armed with denticles or short spinules. Promesonotal suture usually absent; if present (1 species) the suture is fused and inflexible. (World tropics and Pygidium much reduced, small and overhung by tergite of abdominal segment 6. Dorsum of pygidium not flat, without denticles or spinules. Promesonotal suture fully developed and flexible. (New World tropics). LEPTANILLOIDINAE 6 Promesonotal suture absent. Pygidium a reduced, U-shaped sclerite, small and often somewhat overhung by the tergite of Promesonotal suture present and conspicuous, but fused and inflexible. Pygidium large, the posttergite indented or depressed posteromedially and bidentate laterally. (Old World tropics and subtropics except Madagascar and Australia) DORYLINAE 7 Antenna with 8–10 segments. Posttergite of abdominal segment 4 with a neck-like anterior constriction. Spiracle of abdominal segment 3 (postpetiole) behind midlength of segment. (Old World tropics and subtropics except Madagascar) AENICTINAE Antenna with 12 segments. Posttergite of abdominal segment 4 without a neck-like anterior constriction. Spiracle of abdominal segment 3 (postpetiole) in front of, or very rarely at, the midlength of the segment. (New World tropics to temperate 8 Waist of a single, separated segment (petiole). Abdominal segment 4 without differentiated presclerites. Abdominal sternite 3 immediately behind the helcium with a transverse suture. (West Africa) APOMYRMINAE New Format Key to Subfamilies (continued)

	Waist of 2 segments (petiole and postpetiole). Abdominal segment 4 with differentiated presclerites. Abdominal sternite 3 immediately behind the helcium without a transverse suture. (Old World tropics to temperate regions)
9	Metacoxal cavities open; the insertion cavity of each metacoxa in the ventral alitrunk not completely surrounded by cuticle, so that the cavity medially is confluent with the cavity in
	which the petiole articulates
_	Metacoxal cavities closed; the insertion cavity of each metacoxa in the ventral alitrunk completely surrounded by cuticle, so that the cavity medially is separated from the cavity in which the petiole articulates
10	Abdomen constricted between segments 3 and 4; abdominal segment 4 with differentiated presclerites. (Australia and New Caledonia)
	Abdomen not constricted between segments 3 and 4; abdominal segment 4 without differentiated presclerites
11	Pretarsal claws with a tooth behind the apical point. Striduli- trum present ventrally on abdominal segment 4. Mandibles elongate. (Southern Australia) . NOTHOMYRMECHNAE
_	Pretarsal claws unarmed behind the apical point. Stridulitrum absent from abdomen. Mandibles short. (Sri Lanka)
	ANEURETINAE

New Format Key to Subfamilies (continued)

- 12 Abdomen not constricted between segments 3 and 4; abdominal segment 4 without differentiated presclerites. Anterodorsal margin of helcium usually emarginate to deeply indented medially, only extremely rarely without this character ... 13
- Abdomen constricted between segments 3 and 4; abdominal segment 4 with differentiated presclerites. Anterodorsal margin of helcium entire, never emarginate nor indented . . . 14
- 13 Acidopore present. (Worldwide)..... FORMICINAE Acidopore absent. (Worldwide) DOLICHODERINAE
- 14 Tergite and sternite of abdominal segment 2 fused. Pronotum and mesonotum fused, without a flexible suture. In frontal view the sternite of the helcium meeting the inverted-Ushaped tergite at the apices of the tergal arms on each side; the sternite evenly transversely convex ventrally. (Worldwide) MYRMICINAE
- Tergite and sternite of abdominal segment 2 not fused. Pronotum and mesonotum not fused, with a flexible suture. In frontal view the sternite of the helcium meeting the inverted-U-shaped tergite some distance up the inner surface from the apices of the tergal arms on each side; the sternite sinuate, not evenly transversely convex ventrally. (World tropics and

Subfamily AENICTOGITONINAE

This small subfamily contains only seven species in a single genus (Aenictogiton), is known only from its morphologically peculiar males, and is restricted to Central Africa. As this survey is based on the worker caste, no formal diagnosis of the subfamily is offered here. What little is currently known of the aenictogitonines can be obtained from Santschi (1924), which includes a key to species, Brown (1975), and Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992).

One of the major outstanding tasks in systematic myrmecology is the discovery of the elusive workers and queens of this enigmatic subfamily.

Subfamily ANEURETINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 3, 4)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Clypeus broad from front to back so that antennal sockets are well behind anterior margin of head. Median portion of clypeus extended backwards between the antennal sockets.
- 2 Antennal sockets inclined, the portion of the socket margin and torulus closest to the dorsal midline of the head on a higher level than the portion of the margin most distant from the midline.
- 3 Frontal carinae present, not expanded into frontal lobes anteriorly; antennal sockets exposed and torular sclerites visible.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight.
- 5 Eyes present; ocelli absent; antenna with 12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture present and flexible.
- 7 Metapleural gland orifice present, situated in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening posterolaterally.
- 8 Metanotum and its spiracles present on dorsal alitrunk.
- 9 Metacoxal cavities open; without a thin, continuous strip of cuticle separating the metacoxal cavity from the cavity in which the petiole articulates (this character awaits confirmation).
- 10 Propodeal lobes absent.
- 11 Waist of 1 segment, the petiole (= abdominal segment 2); petiole with a long anterior peduncle.
- 12 Helcium tergite dorsally without a U-shaped emargination of its leading edge. Helcium sternite small, retracted, concealed by the tergite.
- 13 Abdominal stridulatory system absent.
- 14 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 2) without differentiated presclerites.
- 15 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 (= gastral spiracles 3–5) apparently concealed by posterior margins of preceding tergites.

- 16 Abdominal segment 2 (petiole) with tergosternal fusion; abdominal segments 3–7 (= gastral segments 1–5) without tergosternal fusion.
- 17 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 5) large, simple.
- 18 Hypopygium (sternite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 5) not modified into an acidopore apically.
- 19 Sting present and distinct, functional.

Synoptic Classification

A name prefixed by * indicates an extinct taxon. Subfamily **ANEURETINAE**.

Tribe Aneuretini. Genera: *Aneuretellus, Aneuretus (Figs. 3, 4), *Mianeuretus, *Paraneuretus, *Protaneuretus.

[Material of the unavailable name Prodolichoderinae is in part referable to Aneuretinae.]

Distribution

The single extant genus and species of this subfamily is restricted to the island of Sri Lanka. The extinct genera listed above come from the Baltic Amber, Russia, and the U.S.A., indicating a much wider distribution of the group in Tertiary times.

Taxonomic References

Aneuretus: Wilson, Eisner, G. C. Wheeler and J. Wheeler (1956); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Shattuck (1992b); Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992).

Subfamily APOMYRMINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 5, 6)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Labrum with numerous peg-like teeth present.
- 2 Clypeus narrow from front to back, bringing the antennal sockets close to the anterior margin of the head.
- 3 Eyes absent; antenna with 12 segments.
- 4 Antennal sockets horizontal, in the plane of the transverse axis of the head, exposed in full-face view.
- 5 Frontal lobes absent.
- 6 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight, not sharply angled or bent downwards in frontal or full-face view.
- 7 Promesonotal suture present and flexible, deeply impressed; the pronotum capable of movement relative to the mesonotum.
- 8 Metapleural gland orifice in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening ventrally.
- 9 Propodeal lobes absent.
- 10 Propodeal spiracle far back on sclerite, low down on the side.
- 11 Metacoxal cavities closed; cuticular annulus around each cavity broad and complete, the annulus not broken medioventrally nor with a flexible suture traversing the annulus from the coxal cavity to the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 12 Waist of 1 separated segment, the petiole (= abdominal segment 2), which is pedunculate anteriorly.
- Petiole tergite constituting most of the segment, the sternite reduced to a minute, medioventral sclerite posteriorly.
- 14 Abdominal stridulatory system absent.
- 15 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 (= gastral spiracles 3–5) concealed by the posterior margins of the preceding segments and not visible without distension or dissection of the abdomen.
- 16 Helcium sternite small and retracted, concealed by tergite and not visible in profile.
- 17 Abdominal sternite 3 (= gastral sternite 1) close behind the helcium with a transverse sulcus running the width of the sclerite.

- 18 Abdominal segment 3 (= gastral segment 1) with tergosternal fusion; tergites and sternites of following abdominal segments (4–7 = gastral segments 2–5) not fused.
- 19 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 2) without differentiated presclerites.
- 20 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment5) large and simple, unarmed, convex across and down-curved posteriorly.
- 21 Sting large, well developed, and functional.

Synoptic Classification

Subfamily APOMYRMINAE.

Tribe Apomyrmini. Genus: Apomyrma (Figs. 5, 6).

Distribution


The single genus of this subfamily is represented by two currently known West African species. They have been recorded from Ivory Coast, Ghana, Benin, Nigeria, and Cameroon.

Taxonomic References

Apomyrma: Brown, Gotwald, and Levieux (1971); Dlussky and Fedoseeva (1988); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Bolton (1990b); Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992).

Figures 1–6 Worker heads in full-face view and bodies in profile:

- 1-2, AENICTINAE, Aenictus
- 3-4, ANEURETINAE, Aneuretus
- 5-6, APOMYRMINAE, Apomyrma.



Subfamily CERAPACHYINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 7–19)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Clypeus, from front to back, moderately broad to reduced; in the latter bringing the antennal sockets close to the anterior margin of the head.
- 2 Antennal sockets horizontal, in the plane of the transverse axis of the head, partially or wholly exposed in full-face view.
- 3 Frontal lobes rarely weakly present, predominantly vestigial to absent; usually narrow vertical carinae are all that are present between the antennal sockets.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight, not sharply angled or bent downwards in frontal or full-face view.
- 5 Eyes usually present but frequently reduced or absent in some groups; antenna with 9–12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture usually absent (present in just one species, where it is fused and inflexible), the alitrunk fusiform and box-like.
- 7 Metapleural gland orifice in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally, the orifice concealed behind a ventrally directed cuticular flange or flap.
- 8 Propodeal spiracle situated low down on the side of the sclerite, at or behind the midlength.
- 9 Metatibial glands present.
- 10 Metacoxal cavities closed; cuticular annulus around each cavity broad and complete, the annulus not broken medioventrally nor with a flexible suture traversing the annulus from the coxal cavity to the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 11 Propodeal lobes present.
- 12 Waist of 1 or 2 segments. Generally of a single, separated segment, the petiole (= abdominal segment 2); usually also with a deep constriction between abdominal segments 3 and 4. Abdominal segment 3 with a graded morphoclinal reduction from a full-sized segment to a small postpetiole, thus making the waist 2-segmented.
- 13 Petiole sessile to subsessile, the tergite and sternite not fused; sternite of petiole with a simple posterior margin and simple articulation to the third abdominal segment.

- 14 Abdominal stridulatory system absent.
- 15 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 shifted backwards, not concealed by the posterior margins of the preceding segments and visible without distension or dissection of the abdomen.
- 16 Helcium sternite large and convex, bulging ventrally, visible in profile in normally mounted specimens; tergite of helcium lacking a notch or impression in its dorsal margin anteriorly.
- 17 Abdominal segment 3 [*Note:* petiole is abdominal segment 2] with tergosternal fusion; tergites and sternites of following abdominal segments (4–7) not fused.
- 18 Abdominal segment 4 with presclerites sharply defined and differentiated from the postsclerites, the former fitting tightly within the posterior end of the third segment.
- 19 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7, the last visible tergite) large, its dorsum flattened or impressed; lateral and/or posterior margins of flattened area armed with a series or row of denticles, small teeth, or peg-like spines, with more than 2 pairs present (Figs. 17–19).
- 20 Sting large and strongly developed.

Key to World CERAPACHYINAE (Workers)

- With head in full-face view the gena between the antennal socket and the lateral margin of the head plain, without a longitudinal carina ending at the posterior margin of the lateral portion of the clypeus (Figs. 7, 9) 4
- **2** Gaster with strong girdling constrictions between segments 2 and 3 and between segments 3 and 4, as well as between segments 1 and 2 (Fig. 16). (World tropics) **Sphinctomyrmex**

World CERAPACHYINAE (continued)

- 3 Tibial spurs absent from middle legs. Pretarsal claws usually with a single preapical tooth. Hind basitarsus with a longitudinal impression or groove, which may be glandular, on its ventral (inner) surface close to the tibia. (Afrotropical, Malagasy, Indo-Australian) Simopone
- Tibial spurs present on middle legs. Pretarsal claws always simple. Hind basitarsus without a longitudinal impression or glandular groove on its ventral (inner) surface close to the tibia. (Worldwide in tropics and subtropics) . . . Cerapachys
- Antennal scrobes present (Fig. 9). Side of head without a longitudinal groove running posteriorly from the mandibular articulation. Middle and hind tibiae each with 2 pectinate spurs, one large, the other smaller and less conspicuous. Helcium approximately at midheight of first gastral segment (Fig. 10). Eyes distinct. (Neotropical) Cylindromyrmex
- Antennal scrobes absent (Fig. 7). Side of head with a longitudinal groove running posteriorly from the mandibular articulation. Middle and hind tibiae each with a single spur, which is large and pectinate. Helcium above midheight of first gastral segment (Fig. 8). Eyes minute to vestigial. (Neotropical,

Synoptic Classification

Subfamily CERAPACHYINAE.

Tribe Acanthostichini. Genus: Acanthostichus (Figs. 7, 8, 17) (= Ctenopyga).

Tribe Cylindromyrmecini. Genus: Cylindromyrmex (Figs. 9, 10) (= Holcoponera (homonym), = Hypocylindromyrmex, = Metacylindromyrmex).

Tribe **Cerapachyini** (= Eusphinctinae, = Lioponerini). Genera: Cerapachys (Figs. 11, 12) (= Ceratopachys, = Chrysapace, = Cysias, = Lioponera, = Neophyracaces, = Ooceraea, = Parasyscia, = Phyracaces, = *Procerapachys, = Syscia), Simopone (Figs. 13, 14, 19),

Sphinctomyrmex (Figs. 15, 16, 18) (= Aethiopopone, = Eusphinctus, = Nothosphinctus, = Zasphinctus).

[Material of the unavailable name Prodorylinae is referable to Cerapachyinae.]

Distribution

Two of the five cerapachyine genera, Acanthostichus and Cylindromyrmex, are mostly restricted to the Neotropical region, though the former extends its range into the extreme southern Nearctic; the other three are more widely distributed. Simopone is found in the Afrotropical, Malagasy, and Indo-Australian regions, with the greatest number of species in the first of these. Sphinctomyrmex is predominantly Australasian but a few species of this genus also occur in the Afrotropical, Oriental, Indo-Australian, and Neotropical regions. Cerapachys is the largest and most widely distributed genus of the subfamily, with representatives in all zoogeographical regions. The greatest number of species occur in the Indo-Australian region, but only very few are found in the Palaearctic, Nearctic, and Neotropical regions.

Taxonomic References

Identification of extant species

Acanthostichus: Kusnezov (1962); Kempf (1964a); MacKay (in preparation). Cerapachys: Brown (1975) [world]; Ogata (1983) [Japan]. Cylindromyrmex: Brown (1975). Simopone: Brown (1975) [Afrotropical]. Sphinctomyrmex: Brown (1975) [Oriental, Indo-Australian, Australasian].

Other taxonomic references

Cerapachyinae: Brown (1954a, 1975); Dlussky and Fedoseeva (1988); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Bolton (1990a); Baroni Urbani, Bolton and Ward (1992).

See also References to Faunistic Studies.


Figures 7–19 CERAPACHYINAE workers. Figs. 7–16, heads in full-face view and bodies in profile:


7–8, Acanthostichini, Acanthostichus

9–10, Cylindromyrmecini, Cylindromyrmex

11–16, Cerapachyini: 11–12, Cerapachys; 13–14, Simopone; 15–16, Sphinctomyrmex

17-19, apex of gaster to show armament of pygidium: 17, Acanthostichus; 18, Sphinctomyrmex; 19, Simopone.





Subfamily DOLICHODERINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 20-58)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Median portion of clypeus broad from front to back so that antennal sockets are well behind anterior margin of head. Median portion of clypeus usually extended backwards between the antennal sockets, sometimes not. Often a postclypeal frontal triangle present but in some the frontoclypeal suture obliterated.
- 2 Antennal sockets inclined, the portion of the socket margin and torulus closest to the dorsal midline of the head on a higher level than the portion of the margin most distant from the midline; torular sclerites usually exposed.
- 3 Frontal carinae usually present, rarely absent; when present varying from a pair of simple carinae or the margins of a raised plateau to narrow flanges; antennal sockets partly or wholly exposed. Only very rarely the carinae expanded into narrow frontal lobes.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight.
- 5 Eyes usually present, only rarely vestigial or absent; ocelli rarely present; antenna usually with 12 segments but very rare counts of 8 and 11 are known.
- 6 Promesonotal suture present and usually flexible.
- 7 Metapleural gland orifice present, situated in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally or posterolaterally; the orifice commonly with guard setae crossing its aperture.
- 8 Metanotum and its spiracles frequently present on dorsal alitrunk.
- 9 Metacoxal cavities closed; a thin, continuous strip of cuticle separates the metacoxal cavity from the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 10 Propodeal lobes absent.
- 11 Waist of 1 segment, the petiole (= abdominal segment 2).
- 12 Helcium tergite dorsally with an extensive U-shaped emargination of its leading edge (Fig. 58), the emargination often reaching back almost the whole length of the sclerite. Helcium sternite small, retracted, concealed by the tergite.

- 13 Abdominal stridulatory system absent.
- 14 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 2) without differentiated presclerites.
- 15 Abdominal spiracles 4–7 (= gastral spiracles 2–5) sometimes concealed by posterior margins of preceding tergites; frequently abdominal spiracles 4 and 5 visible and sometimes also 6 and 7 visible without distension of the abdomen.
- 16 Abdominal segment 2 (petiole) with tergosternal fusion; abdominal segments 3–7 (= gastral segments 1–5) without tergosternal fusion.
- 17 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 5) small or very small, simple; pygidium often reflexed so that it is on the ventral surface of the gaster, often overhung and partially to almost entirely concealed by the tergite of abdominal segment 6 (= gastral segment 4).
- 18 Hypopygium (sternite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 5) not modified into an acidopore apically.
- 19 Sting vestigial to absent, nonfunctional, and not detectable without dissection.

Key to Palaearctic DOLICHODERINAE (Workers)

- 2 In dorsal view only 4 gastral segments visible (Fig. 53). Fifth tergite reflexed below the fourth, visible in ventral view

Palaearctic DOLICHODERINAE (continued)	Afrotropical and Malagasy DOLICHODERINAE (continued)
where it forms a transverse plate abutting the fifth sternite; the anal and associated orifices are thus situated ventrally — In dorsal view 5 gastral tergites visible (Fig. 55), the fifth small but continuing the line of the gaster and not reflexed below the fourth; the anal and associated orifices are thus situated apically	 With propodeum in profile the declivity convex (Fig. 41) 4 4 Each mandible with 5–8 teeth plus 5–13 denticles (Fig. 40). Anterior clypeal margin concave in full-face view. Fourth gastral sternite keel-shaped posteriorly Linepithema Each mandible with 7 teeth and no denticles. Anterior clypeal margin convex in full-face view. Fourth gastral sternite not keel-shaped posteriorly
 Palp formula 4,3 or 2,2	5 In dorsal view only 4 gastral tergites visible. Fifth tergite reflexed below the fourth, visible in ventral view where it forms a transverse plate abutting the fifth sternite; the anal and asso-
foveolate punctures present. Hypostoma with an anterolateral tooth-like prominence on each side Dolichoderus — Integument thin and flexible, densely but weakly sculptured; the head without foveolate punctures. Hypostoma without an anterolateral tooth-like prominence on each side 5	ciated orifices are thus situated ventrally <i>Tapinoma</i> — In dorsal view 5 gastral tergites visible, the fifth small but continuing the line of the gaster and not reflexed below the fourth; the anal and associated orifices are thus situated apically <i>Technomyrmex</i>
5 With alitrunk in profile the metanotal groove impressed; mesonotum and propodeum not forming a continuous sur-	
face (Figs. 41, 47). Metathoracic spiracles dorsal 6 With alitrunk in profile the metanotal groove not impressed, reduced to a transverse suture so that the mesonotum and propodeum form a continuous surface (Fig. 43). Metathoracic	Key to Oriental and Indo-Australian DOLICHODERINAE (Workers) 1 Petiole in profile usually a simple, transversely flattened strip,
 spiracles lateral	sometimes slightly swollen anterodorsally but never equipped with a standing or anteriorly inclined scale (Figs. 53, 55). Petiole overhung by first gastral segment and usually not visible in dorsal view when alitrunk and gaster are in the
— With the alitrunk in profile the propodeal declivity convex (Fig. 41). Fourth gastral sternite keel-shaped posteriorly Linepithema Key to Afrotropical and Malagasy	same plane
 Propodeum armed with a pair of teeth, tubercles, or acute angles posterolaterally (Fig. 23), these sometimes linked across by a narrow carina. Between the tubercles, teeth, or angles, or somewhat more dorsally, the propodeum at its midwidth with a prominent tubercle, projecting plate, longitudinal ridge, or tooth	 In dorsal view 5 gastral tergites visible, the fifth small but continuing the line of the gaster and not reflexed below the fourth; the anal and associated orifices are thus situated apically
tion on the dorsum (Figs. 41, 55)	— Palp formula 6,4 or 5,3
 47). Scale somewhat inclined forward but not reduced and not overhung by an anterior projection of the first gastral segment above it	 39); appendages narrow and extremely long. Hypostoma notched medially. Petiole nodiform. Mandibles elongate-triangular and slender, outer margins shallowly concave through the central section of their length Leptomyrmex — Head and alitrunk usually broad and stocky, not extremely elongate (Figs. 20, 21, 28, 29, 44, 45, 48, 49); appendages not extremely long. Hypostoma not notched medially. Petiole
3 With propodeum in profile the declivity concave (Fig. 47). (Mauritius only)	usually a scale of some form, only rarely nodiform. Mandibles triangular, their outer margins generally convex but some-

Orie	ental and Indo-Australian DOLICHODERINAE (continued)	Oriental and Indo-Australian DOLICHODERINAE (continued)
5	times straight or slightly concave through the central section of their length	 First gastral tergite not projecting anteriorly, not at all concealing the petiole in dorsal view. In profile the propodeal declivity subequal to or shorter than the dorsum, the 2 surfaces rounding together (Figs. 21, 41)
	side. Integument thick, hard, and armor-like, the surface varying from smooth (very rare) to strongly and coarsely	13 Anterior clypeal margin with a broad median concavity (Fig.
_	sculptured	 40). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 5–8 teeth and 5–13 denticles. Apical tooth of mandible elongate, much longer than the preapical tooth
- 6	Posterodorsal angles of propodeum drawn out into short tubercles or prominences, the propodeal spiracles situated at the apices of the tubercles or prominences (Fig. 57) <i>Turneria</i> Posterodorsal angles of propodeum not drawn out into short tubercles or prominences. Propodeal spiracles lateral (Figs. 21,	longer than the preapical
	37, 45, 49, 51)	Key to Australasian DOLICHODERINAE (Workers)
7	With alitrunk in profile metanotal groove impressed and metathoracic spiracles dorsal (Figs. 21, 37, 41, 45, 47, 49, 51)	Petiole in profile usually a simple, transversely flattened strip, sometimes slightly swollen anterodorsally but never equipped
_	With alitrunk in profile metanotal groove not impressed and metathoracic spiracles lateral (Fig. 43) Liometopum	with a standing scale or node (Figs. 53, 55). Petiole overhung by first gastral segment and usually not visible in dorsal view when alitrunk and gaster are in the same plane 2
- 8	Palp formula 5,3	 Petiole in profile surmounted by a node or scale that may be high and erect or lower and somewhat inclined forward, but scale (or node) always present and conspicuous (Figs. 21, 27,
9	With propodeum in profile the declivity concave (Fig. 47)	29, 35, 37, 39, 41, 47, 49, 51, 57). Petiole not or only weakly overhung by first gastral segment, usually visible in dorsal view when alitrunk and gaster are in the same plane 3
10	Fourth gastral sternite flat across its entire posterior margin. Anterolateral clypeal border with a shoulder and posterior to the mediolateral region; central anterior clypeal margin with a projection (Figs. 36, 50)	2 In dorsal view 5 gastral tergites visible, the fifth small but continuing the line of the gaster and not reflexed below the fourth; the anal and associated orifices are thus situated apically
-	Fourth gastral sternite keel-shaped posteromedially. Anterolateral clypeal border even with, or anterior to, the mediolateral region; central anterior clypeal margin without a projection, broadly concave to convex (Figs. 20, 40, 44)	 In dorsal view only 4 gastral tergites visible. Fifth tergite reflexed below the fourth, visible in ventral view where it forms a transverse plate abutting the fifth sternite; the anal and asso- ciated orifices are thus situated ventrally
11	With head in full-face view the occipital margin usually convex, only rarely weakly concave (Fig. 36). Dorsum of petiole vertical to moderately inclined anteriorly (Fig. 37). Usually monomorphic species (rarely otherwise) with eyes placed relatively posteriorly on head	 3 Head and alitrunk extremely elongate and slender (Figs. 38, 39); appendages narrow and extremely long. Hypostoma notched medially Leptomyrmex — Head and alitrunk usually broad and stocky, not extremely elongate (Figs. 20, 21, 28, 29, 34–37, 46–51); appendages not
-	With head in full-face view the occipital margin markedly concave (Fig. 50). Dorsum of petiole strongly inclined anteriorly (Fig. 51). Polymorphic species with eyes placed relatively an-	extremely long. Hypostoma not notched medially4 Hypostoma with an anterolateral tooth-like prominence at each
12	teriorly on head	side. Integument thick, hard, and armor-like, the surface varying from smooth (rare) to strongly and coarsely sculp-
12	First gastral tergite projecting anteriorly, almost entirely concealing the petiole in dorsal view. In profile the propodeal declivity much longer than the dorsum, the two surfaces separated by a distinct angular ridge or carina (Fig. 45)	tured

Aus	tralasian DOLICHODERINAE (continued)	Australasian DOLICHODERINAE (continued)
5	With alitrunk in profile the propodeal spiracle located dorsally (Figs. 35, 57)	Fourth gastral sternite keel-shaped posteriorly. Mandible with 5–10 teeth and 0–13 denticles
6 - 7	Propodeum bispinose, the propodeal spiracles located near the bases of the spines (Fig. 35)	of mandible elongate, much longer than the preapical tooth
_	Palp formula 6,4 or 5,3 8	
8	Palp formula 5,3. Anterior clypeal margin with 8–20 very short, straight setae which only slightly project beyond the margin (Fig. 48). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 11–14 teeth and no denticles. Metanotal groove U-shaped (Fig. 49). Monomorphic	 Retiole in profile usually a simple, transversely flattened strip, sometimes slightly swollen anterodorsally but never equipped with a standing scale or node (Figs. 53, 55). Petiole overhung by first gastral segment and usually not visible in dorsal view when the alitrunk and gaster are in the same plane 2
	project considerably beyond the margin (Figs. 20, 36, 40, 46). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 5–12 teeth and usually also a few denticles. Metanotal groove a distinct angle, a narrow groove, or a notch (Figs. 21, 37, 41, 47, 51) 9	 Petiole in profile surmounted by a node or scale that may be high and erect or lower and somewhat inclined forward, but scale (or node) always present and conspicuous. Petiole not or only weakly overhung by first gastral segment, usually visible in dorsal view when alitrunk and gaster are in the
9	With propodeum in profile the declivity concave. Dorsal alitrunk more or less flat, the metanotal groove notch-like (Fig. 47)	 same plane
10	Anterolateral clypeal border with a shoulder and posterior to the mediolateral region (Figs. 36, 50). Anterior clypeal margin centrally with a projection, which may be strongly or weakly developed	tinuing the line of the gaster and not reflexed below the fourth; the anal and associated orifices are thus situated apically
11	convex	the head without foveolate punctures. Hypostoma without an anterolateral tooth-like prominence on each side
	only occasionally weakly concave (Fig. 36). Dorsum of petiole vertical to moderately inclined anteriorly (Fig. 37). Eyes situated relatively posteriorly on head	 With propodeum in profile the angle between dorsum and declivity extended into a single raised tooth or spine (Fig. 31). With head in full-face view the clypeal setae projecting forward beyond the apices of the closed mandibles. Psammophore present and apical mandibular tooth enlarged, much larger than the preapical tooth (Fig. 30) Dorymyrmex With propodeum in profile the angle between dorsum and de-
12	Anterior clypeal setae moderately curved ventrally. In profile the dorsal surface of the propodeum shorter than the declivity. Fourth gastral sternite flat posteriorly. Mandible with 4 or 5 teeth and 4 or 5 denticles	clivity rounded to angulate, but never extended into a raised tooth or spine (Figs. 33, 41, 43). With head in full-face view the clypeal setae not projecting forward beyond the apices of the closed mandibles. Psammophore absent and apical mandibular tooth small, at most only slightly larger than the preapical tooth (Figs. 32, 40, 42)

Nearctic DOLICHODERINAE (continued)

5 With alitrunk in profile the metanotal groove not impressed, reduced to a transverse suture so that the mesonotum and propodeum form a continuous surface (Fig. 43)

..... Liometopum

- With alitrunk in profile the metanotal groove impressed;
 mesonotum and propodeum not forming a continuous surface (Figs. 33, 41)
 6
- Anterior clypeal margin with 2-6 short setae, which are much shorter than the closed mandibles (Fig. 40). Basal margin of mandible with denticles close to the basal angle. First gastral tergite vertical, not projecting over the petiole (Fig. 41)

..... Linepithema

Key to Neotropical DOLICHODERINAE (Workers)

- 1 Petiole in profile usually a simple, transversely flattened strip, sometimes slightly swollen anterodorsally but never equipped with a standing scale or node (Figs. 53, 55). Petiole overhung by first gastral segment and usually not visible in dorsal view when the alitrunk and gaster are in the same plane 2

- 4 With propodeum in profile the angle between dorsum and declivity extended into a single raised tooth or spine (Fig. 31). With head in full-face view the clypeal setae projecting forward beyond the apices of the closed mandibles. Psammophore present and apical mandibular tooth enlarged, much larger than the preapical tooth (Fig. 30) Dorymyrmex

Neotropical DOLICHODERINAE (continued)

- **5** Eyes absent. Palp formula 2,3 Anilliaris
- Eyes present. Palp formula 6,4 6

- 7 Anterior clypeal margin with a broad median concavity (Fig. 40). Mandible with 5–8 teeth and 5–13 denticles, the apical mandibular tooth much longer than the preapical
- Linepithema
 Anterior clypeal margin flat or convex medially (Fig. 24). Mandible with 5–10 teeth and 0–4 denticles, the apical mandibular tooth at most only slightly longer than the preapical

Synoptic Classification

A name prefixed by * indicates an extinct taxon. Subfamily **DOLICHODERINAE**.

Tribe **Dolichoderini** (= Anonychomyrmini, = Axinidrini, = Leptomyrmicini, = Liometopini, = *Miomyrmicini, = *Pityomyrmecini, = Tapinomini, = *Zherichiniini). Genera: Anillidris, Anonychomyrma (Figs. 20, 21), *Asymphylomyrmex, Axinidris (Figs. 22, 23), Azteca (Figs. 24, 25) (= Aztecum (misspelling)), Bothriomyrmex (Figs. 26, 27) (= Chronoxenus), Doleromyrma, Dolichoderus (Figs. 28, 29) (= Acanthoclinea, = Diabolus (homonym), = Diceratoclinea, = Hypoclinea, = Karawajewella, = Monacis, = Monoceratoclinea), Dorymyrmex (Figs. 30, 31) (= Ammomyrma, = Araucomyrmex, = Biconomyrma, = Conomyrma, = Psammomyrma, = Spinomyrma), Ecphorella, *Elaeomyrmex, *Eotapinoma, Forelius (Figs. 32, 33) (= Amyrmex, = Neoforelius), Froggattella (Figs. 34, 35), Iridomyrmex (Figs. 36, 37, 58), *Kotshkorkia, Leptomyrmex (Figs. 38, 39), *Leptomyrmula, Linepithema (Figs. 40, 41), Liometopum (Figs. 42, 43) (= *Ctenobethylus), Loweriella (Figs. 44, 45), *Miomyrmex, Ochetellus (Figs. 46, 47), Papyrius (Figs. 48, 49), *Petraeomyrmex, Philidris (Figs. 50, 51), *Pityomyrmex, *Protazteca, Tapinoma (Figs. 52, 53) (= Micromyrma, = Neoclystopsenella, = Semonius, = Tapinoptera, = Zatapinoma), Technomyrmex (Figs. 54, 55) (= Aphantolepis, = Engramma), Turneria (Figs. 56, 57), *Zherichinius.

The unidentifiable name Hypochira may refer to a dolichoderine ant. [Material of the unavailable names Eudolichoderinae and Prodolichoderinae (in part) is referable to Dolichoderinae.]

Distribution

The subfamily Dolichoderinae has a worldwide distribution, as indicated in the table given in the Introduction. The numbers of genera shared between the various zoogeographical regions are shown below, excluding endemic genera and those artificially introduced by human activities. In the table PAL = Palaearctic, AFR = Afrotropical, MAL = Malagasy, ORI = Oriental, INA = Indo-Australian, AUS = Australasian, NEA = Nearctic, NEO = Neotropical.

AFR	2						
MAL	3	2					
ORI	6	2	3				
INA	5	2	3	7			
AUS	5	2	3	7	11		
NEA	3	1	1	3	2	2	
NEO	3	2	2	3	3	3	4
	PAL	AFR	MAL	ORI	INA	AUS	NEA

Taxonomic References

Identification of extant species

Some older references have a suffixed comment "[out of date]."

These references are included because they contain the only identification keys ever attempted for the taxon in question. They should be used with great caution as, for the most part, they contain numerous infraspecific and infrasubspecific taxa that are no longer recognized. Older references that have been superseded, or those rendered useless by the volume of later descriptions and synonymies, are omitted.

Axinidris: Shattuck (1991). Azteca: Longino (1989, 1992) [Cecropia-inhabiting species]. Bothriomyrmex: Emery (1925c) [out of date]. Dolichoderus: Clark (1930) [Australasian, out of date]; Kempf (1959a, 1972b) [former Monacis species, Neotropical]; Kempf (1969) [Dolichoderus, sensu stricto, Neotropical]; Lattke (1987) [bispinosusgroup, Neotropical]; MacKay (1993) [Nearctic, Neotropical]; Johnson (1989a) [North America]. Dorymyrmex: Gallardo (1916) [Argentina, out of date]; Trager (1988), Johnson (1989b) [Nearctic]. Leptomyrmex: W. M. Wheeler (1934a) [out of date]. Liometopum: Kupyanskaya (1988) [east Palaearctic]. Tapinoma: Emery (1925b) [Palaearctic, out of date]. Technomyrmex: W. M. Wheeler (1922) [former Engramma species, out of date]. Turneria: Shattuck (1990).

Other taxonomic references


Dolichoderinae: Brown (1973); Snelling (1981); Dlussky and Fedoseeva (1988); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Shattuck (1992a, 1992b, 1992c [review of subfamily]); Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992).


See also References to Faunistic Studies.


Figures 20-58 DOLICHODERINAE workers. Figs. 20-57, heads in full-face view and bodies in profile:


20-21, Anonychomyrma; 22-23, Axinidris; 24-25, Azteca; 26-27, Bothriomyrmex; 28-29, Dolichoderus; 30-31, Dorymyrmex; 32-33, Forelius; 34-35, Froggattella; 36-37, Iridomyrmex; 38-39, Leptomyrmex; 40-41, Linepithema; 42-43, Liometopum; 44-45, Loweriella; 46-47; Ochetellus; 48-49, Papyrius; 50-51, Philidris; 52-53, Tapinoma; 54-55, Technomyrmex; 56-57, Turneria


58, dorsal view of petiole, helcium, and base of first gastral segment to show emargination of helcium in Iridomyrmex.

















Subfamily DORYLINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 59-63)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Clypeus reduced, narrow from front to back especially in front of the antennal insertions, bringing the antennal sockets very close to the anterior margin of the head.
- 2 Antennal sockets horizontal, in the plane of the transverse axis of the head, exposed in full-face view.
- 3 Frontal lobes vestigial to absent; usually narrow vertical carinae between the antennal sockets are all that are present.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight, not sharply angled or bent downwards in frontal or full-face view.
- 5 Eyes absent; antenna with 7–12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture present and conspicuous but the suture fused so that pronotum and mesonotum are immobile with respect to one another.
- 7 Metapleural gland orifice in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally, the orifice concealed behind a ventrally directed cuticular flange or flap.
- 8 Propodeal lobes absent.
- 9 Propodeal spiracle situated high on side and far forward; the spiracle subtended by a longitudinal impression and an endophragmal pit.
- 10 Metatibial glands present.
- 11 Metacoxal cavities closed; cuticular annulus around each cavity broad and complete, the annulus not broken medioventrally nor with a flexible suture traversing the annulus from the coxal cavity to the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 12 Waist of a single, separated segment, the petiole (= abdominal segment 2).
- 13 Petiole sessile to subsessile, the tergite and sternite not fused; sternite of petiole with a simple posterior margin and simple articulation to the third abdominal segment.
- 14 Abdominal stridulatory system absent.
- 15 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 (= gastral spiracles 3–5) shifted backwards, not concealed by the posterior margins of the preceding segments and visible without distension or dissection of the abdomen.

- 16 Helcium sternite large and convex, bulging ventrally, visible in profile in normally mounted specimens; tergite of helcium lacking a notch or impression in its dorsal margin anteriorly.
- 17 Abdominal segment 3 (= gastral segment 1) with tergosternal fusion; tergites and sternites of following abdominal segments (4–7 = gastral segments 2–5) not fused.
- 18 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 2) with presclerites sharply defined and differentiated from the postsclerites, the former fitting tightly within the posterior end of the third segment
- 19 Strongly differentiated presclerites present on abdominal segments 5–7 (= gastral segments 3–5).
- 20 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 5) large, flattened, or impressed dorsally; the lateral margin of the impressed area armed with a single pair of teeth or short spines posteriorly (Figs. 61–63).
- 21 Sting reduced and nonfunctional as a weapon.

Synoptic Classification

Subfamily **DORYLINAE**.

Tribe **Dorylini**. Genus: *Dorylus* (Figs. 59–63) [subgenera: nominal plus *Alaopone* (= *Shuckardia*), *Anomma* (= *Sphegomyrmex*, = *Sphecomyrmex* (misspelling)), *Dichthadia*, *Rhogmus*, *Typhlopone* (= *Cosmaecetes*, = *Cosmaegetes* (misspelling))].

[Material of the unavailable name Eudorylinae is referable to Dorylinae.]

Distribution

The single genus of this subfamily is found in the southern Palaearctic, Afrotropical, Oriental, and Indo-Australian regions, with the vast majority of species in the Afrotropical. It is absent from the Malagasy and Australasian regions and does not occur anywhere in the New World.

Taxonomic References


Identification of extant species

Dorylus: Wilson (1964) [Oriental, Indo-Australian].

Other taxonomic references

Dorylinae: Brown (1973); Snelling (1981); Gotwald (1982); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Bolton (1990c); Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992).

Figures 59-63 DORYLINAE workers. Figs. 59-60, head in full-face view and body in profile of *Dorylus*. Figs. 61–63, gastral apex in Dorylus to show pygidium (61-62, in lateral views; 63, in dorsal view).



Subfamily ECITONINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 64–73)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Clypeus reduced, narrow from front to back especially in front of the antennal insertions, bringing the antennal sockets close to the anterior margin of the head.
- 2 Antennal sockets horizontal, in the plane of the transverse axis of the head, exposed in full-face view.
- 3 Frontal lobes absent; usually narrow vertical carinae between the antennal sockets are all that are present.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight, not sharply angled or bent downwards in frontal or full-face view.
- 5 Eyes represented by a small transparent blister, by a single ommatidium, or vestigial, or absent; antenna with 12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture absent, the pronotum and mesonotum fused together.
- 7 Metapleural gland orifice in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally, the orifice concealed behind a ventrally directed cuticular flange or flap.
- 8 Propodeal lobes present.
- 9 Propodeal spiracle situated high on the side and far forward.
- 10 Metatibial glands present.
- 11 Metacoxal cavities closed; cuticular annulus around each cavity broad and complete, the annulus not broken medioventrally nor with a flexible suture traversing the annulus from the coxal cavity to the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 12 Waist of 1 or 2 separated segments; petiole, or petiole plus postpetiole (= abdominal segment 2, or 2 plus 3).
- 13 Petiole sessile to subsessile, the tergite and sternite not fused; sternite of petiole with a simple posterior margin and simple articulation to the third abdominal segment.
- 14 Abdominal stridulatory system absent.
- 15 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 shifted backwards, not concealed by the posterior margins of the preceding segments, and visible without distension or dissection of the abdomen.
- 16 Orifices of spiracles on abdominal segments 5–7 oval to slitshaped and directed posteriorly.

- 17 Helcium sternite large and convex, bulging ventrally, visible in profile in normally mounted specimens; tergite of helcium lacking a notch or impression in its dorsal margin anteriorly.
- 18 Abdominal segment 3 (the segment following the petiole) with tergosternal fusion; tergites and sternites of following abdominal segments (4–7) not fused.
- 19 Abdominal segment 4 with presclerites sharply defined and differentiated from the postsclerites, the former fitting tightly within the posterior end of the third segment.
- 20 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7, the last visible tergite) small or very small, usually reduced to a narrow, Ushaped sclerite.
- 21 Sting strongly developed and functional.

Key to World ECITONINAE (Workers)

- Pretarsal claws of middle and hind legs with a distinct preapical tooth on their inner curvatures, the tooth usually near the midlength of each claw (as Fig. 515)
 3
- Limits of metatibial gland not discernible; no elongate strip of glandular tissue on ventral surface of hind tibia immediately

World ECITONINAE (continued)

- Propodeum in profile with the dorsal surface meeting the declivity in a rounded angle; without teeth, spines, or projecting lamellae (Fig. 69). (Neotropical, southern Nearctic)

..... Labidus

Synoptic Classification

Subfamily ECITONINAE.

Tribe **Cheliomyrmecini.** Genus: *Cheliomyrmex* (Figs. 64, 65).

Tribe **Ecitonini.** Genera: *Eciton* (Figs. 66, 67) (= *Ancylognathus*, = *Camptognatha*, = *Holopone*, = *Mayromyrmex*), *Labidus* (Figs. 68, 69) (= *Nycteresia*, = *Pseudodichthadia*), *Neivamyrmex* (Figs. 70, 71) (= *Acamatus* (homonym), = *Woitkowskia*), *Nomamyrmex* (Figs. 72, 73).

[Material of the unavailable name Metadorylinae is in part referable to Ecitonini.]

Distribution

The five genera of this subfamily are distributed entirely in the New World, with a vast preponderance of species in the Neotropical region. The genera *Cheliomyrmex* and *Eciton* are restricted to this region but a few species of *Neivamyrmex*, *Nomamyrmex*, and *Labidus* occur in the Nearctic region. Only the first of these three can claim a fairly wide distribution within the Nearctic, the last two being restricted to the extreme south of the region.

Taxonomic References

Identification of extant species

Ecitoninae: Borgmeier (1955) [world]; Watkins (1976) [world]; Watkins (1982) [Mexico]. *Neivamyrmex:* Watkins (1972) [U.S.A.]; *Nomamyrmex:* Watkins (1977).


Other taxonomic references


Ecitoninae: Brown (1973); Snelling (1981); Gotwald (1982); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Bolton (1990c); Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992).


Figures 64–73 ECITONINAE workers, heads in full-face view and bodies in profile:

64-65, Cheliomyrmecini, Cheliomyrmex

66–73, **Ecitonini:** 66–67, *Eciton*; 68–69, *Labidus*; 70–71, *Neivamyrmex*; 72–73, *Nomamyrmex*.







Subfamily FORMICINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 74–165)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Clypeus broad from front to back so that antennal sockets are well behind anterior margin of head. Median portion of clypeus usually not extended backwards between the frontal carinae, rarely otherwise. Usually a postclypeal frontal triangle present that may project back between the frontal carinae or antennal sockets.
- 2 Antennal sockets inclined, the portion of the socket margin and torulus closest to the dorsal midline of the head on a higher level than the portion of the margin most distant from the midline.
- 3 Frontal carinae usually present, rarely absent; when present varying from a pair of simple carinae or the margins of a raised plateau to elevated broad flanges, which however only rarely completely conceal the antennal sockets; the latter are usually partly or wholly exposed. Only very rarely the carinae expanded into frontal lobes that conceal the antennal sockets.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight.
- 5 Eyes usually present, only rarely vestigial or absent; ocelli sometimes present; antenna with 8–12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture usually present and flexible but sometimes fused; more rarely the suture vestigial or absent.
- Metapleural gland orifice present or absent; when present situated in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally or posterolaterally; the orifice commonly with numerous guard setae crossing its aperture.
- 8 Metanotum and its spiracles frequently present on dorsal alitrunk.
- 9 Metacoxal cavities closed; a thin, continuous strip of cuticle separates the metacoxal cavity from the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 10 Propodeal lobes absent.
- 11 Waist of 1 segment, the petiole (= abdominal segment 2).
- 12 Helcium tergite dorsally usually with an extensive U-shaped emargination of its leading edge, the emargination often

- reaching back almost the whole length of the sclerite; this emargination only very rarely absent. Helcium sternite small, retracted, concealed by the tergite.
- 13 Abdominal stridulatory system absent.
- 14 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 2) without differentiated presclerites.
- 15 Abdominal spiracles 4–7 (= gastral 2–5) sometimes concealed by posterior margins of preceding tergites; frequently abdominal spiracles 4–5 visible and sometimes also 6–7 visible without distension of the abdomen.
- 16 Abdominal segment 2 (petiole) with tergosternal fusion; abdominal segments 3–7 (= gastral segments 1–5) without tergosternal fusion.
- 17 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 5) usually large, simple.
- 18 Hypopygium (sternite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 5) with a U-shaped to almost circular acidopore present apically. Acidopore may be at apex of a nozzle-like extension of the hypopygium and equipped apically with a circlet of hairs (Fig. 160), or may be merely an emargination of the hypopygial apical margin. In the latter case the acidopore may be concealed by the pygidial apex when not in use, and consequently difficult to see without opening out the 2 sclerites.
- 19 Sting absent, replaced by formic-acid-projecting system of which the acidopore is the orifice.

Key to Palaearctic FORMICINAE (Workers)

1	Antenna with 9 segments
—	Antenna with 11 or 12 segments
	Antenna with 11 segments
_	Antenna with 12 segments 6
3	Propodeum armed with a pair of spines, teeth, or tubercles (Fig.
	155). Dorsal edge of petiole usually armed with a pair of teeth
	or spines but sometimes only emarginate Lepisiota
_	Propodeum and petiole unarmed, without trace of spines, teeth,
	or tubercles (Figs. 151, 153) 4

Palaearctic	FORMICINAE	(continued)
raiacaiciic	LOKWICINAE	(comminueu)

terior face of first gastral segment with a small concave area immediately above the helcium, but the face not broadly transversely concave throughout its height (Fig. 121). Antennal scapes much shorter; when laid straight back from their insertions with much less than half their length projecting beyond the occipital margin. Mesothorax not constricted immediately behind pronotum (Fig. 121) Lasius

- 17 First gastral tergite short, accounting for less than half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in profile; the first gastral tergite at most only slightly longer than the second (Fig. 85). Petiole a node or scale, never armed with spines or teeth. Propodeum and humeral angles of pronotum unarmed. Polymorphic species Camponotus
- First gastral tergite large, accounting for at least half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in profile; the first gastral tergite distinctly much longer than the second (Fig. 99). Petiole armed with 1 or 2 pairs of teeth or spines. Propodeum and humeral angles of pronotum usually armed with teeth or spines. Monomorphic species Polyrhachis

Key to Afrotropical and Malagasy FORMICINAE (Workers)

1	Antenna with 9 segments
2	Palp formula 6,4. Basal margin of mandible edentate
_	Palp formula less than 6,4 (either 5,3 or 3,3). Basal margin of mandible with a small tooth
3	Palp formula 5,3. Polymorphic species with roughly rectangular head capsule in full-face view (Fig. 74). In this view the eyes situated a considerable distance in from the lateral margins of the head
4	Antenna with 10 segments
	3
5	Antenna with 11 segments
5 — 6	Antenna with 11 segments
_	Antenna with 11 segments
_	Antenna with 11 segments

metanotum by a conspicuous transverse groove or impres-

Afrotropical and Malagasy FORMICINAE (continued)

- sion, so that the metanotum forms a distinctly isolated sclerite (also visible in profile, Fig. 157) Plagiolepis
- With alitrunk in dorsal view the mesonotum fused with the metanotum, the two not separated by a transverse groove or impression, the metanotum not forming an isolated sclerite
- **9** Eyes enormous, occupying almost all the side of the head. Ventrolateral margin of head with a tooth at each side.
- Santschiella Eyes smaller, occupying less than one-half the side of the head. Ventrolateral margin of head unarmed, without a tooth at
- 10 Metapleuron with a distinct, wide orifice for the metapleural gland, situated above the hind coxa and below the level of the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 164). Orifice of metapleural gland protected by a line or tuft of guard setae, which are usually very conspicuous. Antennal sockets situated close to posterior
- Metapleural gland orifice absent, the surface of the metapleuron uninterrupted by a gland orifice above the hind coxa and below the level of the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 165). Antennal sockets situated a good distance behind the posterior clypeal
- 11 Propodeal spiracle an elongate vertical or near-vertical ellipse or slit (Fig. 103). Ocelli present (Fig. 102). First gastral sternite in ventral view with a transverse sulcus immediately behind
- Propodeal spiracle circular to subcircular, usually small (Figs. 125, 129). Ocelli absent (Figs. 124, 128). First gastral sternite immediately behind the helcium entire, without a transverse
- 12 Palp formula 6,4. Eyes large and very conspicuous. Dorsal surfaces of head and body with distinctly paired coarse setae (Fig.
- Palp formula 3,4; 3,3; or 3,2. Eyes small to absent [in Afrotropical species]. Dorsal surfaces of head and body without distinctly paired coarse setae (Fig. 129) Pseudolasius
- 13 Palp formula 5,4. Mandible with 10 or more teeth or denticles altogether. Apical tooth disproportionately large and the fourth tooth from the apex much larger than the third and the fifth tooth (Fig. 148). Petiole reduced to an elongate low node, which allows the gaster to be reflexed over the alitrunk (Fig. 149) Oecophylla
- Palp formula 6,4. Mandible usually with 7 teeth at most, sometimes fewer and only very rarely with more. Whatever the number of teeth they decrease in size from apex to base; the fourth tooth is not enlarged as above (Figs. 84, 96, 98). Petiole an erect node or scale, the gaster not capable of reflexion over
- 14 Polymorphic species. Petiole a node or scale, never armed with teeth or spines. Propodeum unarmed. Humeral angles of

Afrotropical and Malagasy FORMICINAE (continued)

- Monomorphic species. Petiole armed with teeth, spines, or prominent angles. Propodeum usually bidentate or bispinose but may be unarmed. Humeral angles of pronotum marginate or armed with teeth or spines (Figs. 97, 99) 15
- 15 Acidopore formed from the invaginated membranous area of the hypopygium, not fringed with setae, concealed by the pygidium when not in use. Tergite of first gastral segment large, accounting for at least half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in profile; the first gastral tergite distinctly much larger than the second (Fig. 99) Polyrhachis
- Acidopore formed at apex of sclerotized portion of hypopygium, always open and usually fringed with setae; not concealed by pygidium when not in use. Tergite of first gastral segment short, accounting for distinctly less than half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in profile; the first gastral tergite at most only slightly longer than the second (Fig. 97)

..... Phasmomyrmex

Key to Oriental and Indo-Australian FORMICINAE (Workers)

1	Antenna with 8 segments
1	Antenna with 9–12 segments
2	Antennal scape, when laid back in its natural resting position, passing below the eye. Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with more than 4 teeth (Figs. 112–115) . <i>Gesomyrmex</i>
	Antennal scape, when laid back, passing above the eye. Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 4 teeth (Figs. 78, 79)
3	Antenna with 9–11 segments 4 Antenna with 12 segments 8
4	Palp formula 5,3 or less Acropyga Palp formula 6,4 5
5	Antenna with 9 segments
6	Propodeum armed with a pair of spines, teeth, or tubercles. Dorsal edge of petiole usually armed with a pair of teeth or spines but sometimes only emarginate (Fig. 155). <i>Lepisiota</i> Propodeum and petiole unarmed, without spines, teeth or tubercles
7	With alitrunk in dorsal view the mesonotum separated from the metanotum by a conspicuous transverse groove or impression, so that the metanotum forms a distinctly isolated sclerite (also visible in profile, Fig. 157)
	(also visible in profile, Fig. 153)

Mandibles extended into extremely long, slender blades that

project far in front of the anterior clypeal margin; the mandi-

- Oriental and Indo-Australian FORMICINAE (continued) bles at least 0.85 times the head length and often exceeding the head length (Fig. 146) Myrmoteras - Mandibles subtriangular to elongate-triangular, not extended into long, slender blades and usually very obviously less than 0.85 times the head length 9 9 Antennal sockets situated close to the posterior clypeal margin (Figs. 104, 118, 124, 128), and metapleuron with a distinct metapleural gland orifice, the orifice situated above the hind coxa and below the level of the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 164) — Either antennal sockets situated far behind the posterior clypeal margin (Figs. 82, 94, 98, 148), or the metapleuron lacking a metapleural gland orifice in the position described above (Fig. 11 With alitrunk in profile the mesonotum and an pisternum together forming a roughly triangular, oblique wedge between pronotum and remainder of alitrunk. Lateroventral angle of pronotum posteriorly very nearly touching the katepisternal anterior margin (Fig. 129). Anterior clypeal margin convex or indented medially, not broadly evenly concave. Lateral margin of mandible shallowly curved in apical half; at full closure the apical tooth directed laterally or anterolaterally (Fig. 128) Pseudolasius
 - With alitrunk in profile the mesonotum and anepisternum together not forming a roughly triangular, oblique wedge between pronotum and remainder of alitrunk. Instead this region narrow and elongated, with a distinct horizontal border ventrally between the lateroventral angle of the pronotum posteriorly and the katepisternal anterior margin (Fig. 119). Anterior clypeal margin broadly and evenly concave across its entire width. External margin of mandible strongly curved in apical half; at full closure the apical tooth directed posterolaterally or posteriorly (Fig. 118) Euprenolepis
 - 12 With gaster in ventral view the first sternite with a transverse sulcus immediately behind the helcium (Figs. 161, 162). Orifice of propodeal spiracle oval, elliptical, or an elongate slit, which is near-vertical or inclined from the vertical (Figs. 102, 164). With alitrunk in absolute profile the propodeal spiracle well in front of the point where the propodeal side rounds
- With gaster in ventral view the first sternite without a transverse sulcus immediately behind the helcium (Fig. 163). Orifice of propodeal spiracle circular to subcircular (Figs. 121, 125, 127). With alitrunk in absolute profile the propodeal spiracle bordering or actually on the curvature where the propodeal side rounds into the declivity 14
- 13 Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible usually with 8 teeth but sometimes with more. Third tooth of mandible, counting from the apex, always distinctly smaller and shorter than the fourth; the fourth tooth larger than all the remaining teeth to the basal angle Formica

Orie	ntal and Indo-Australian FORMICINAE (continued)	Orie	ntal and Indo-Australian FORMICINAE (continued)
	Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 5–7 teeth. If more than 5 teeth present then the third tooth, counting from the apex, larger and longer than the fourth; teeth after the fourth decreasing in size to the basal angle	_	ridge which appears as a tooth in profile (Fig. 91). Pronotum and petiole node unarmed Forelophilus With alitrunk in profile the metathoracic spiracles usually not forming tuberculiform prominences which project beyond
14	With the head in full-face view the eyes at or in front of the midlength of the sides (Fig. 124). Head and alitrunk with stout setae arranged in distinct pairs (Fig. 125)		the outline of the dorsum. Rarely, when such spiracles are present, the propodeum posteriorly does not have a raised transverse ridge which appears as a tooth in profile, or the pronotum and petiole are armed with teeth or spines, or both (Figs. 83, 85, 89, 99)
_	With the head in full-face view the eyes distinctly behind the midlength of the sides (Figs. 120, 126). Setae on head and alitrunk not distinctly paired and usually not stout (Figs. 121, 127)	19	Antennal funiculus with apical segments gradually but strongly broadening, forming a club. Proventriculus with sepals of calyx short, scarcely longer than the basal bulb. [One species,
15	Mandible with 6 teeth, very rarely with 7. Anterior face of first gastral segment broadly transversely concave throughout its height (Fig. 127). Antennal scapes relatively very long; when laid straight back from their insertions at least half their		Singapore, rare]
_	length projects beyond the occipital margin. Mesothorax constricted immediately behind pronotum (Fig. 127)	20	Metapleural gland orifice present on side of metapleuron above the hind coxa and below the level of the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 164). Orifice usually preceded by a longitudinal impres- sion which is overhung by a projecting rim of cuticle, the orifice itself usually with a conspicuous tuft of downward-di-
	immediately above the helcium, but the face not broadly transversely concave throughout its height (Fig. 121). Antennal scapes much shorter; when laid straight back from their insertions with much less than half their length projecting beyond the occipital margin. Mesothorax not constricted immediately helpind property (Fig. 121)	_	rected guard setae
16	mediately behind pronotum (Fig. 121) Lasius Mandible with 10 or more teeth or denticles in total. Apical tooth disproportionately large and the fourth tooth, counting from the apical, larger than the third and the fifth tooth (Fig. 148). Petiole reduced to an elongate, low node that allows the gaster to be reflexed over the alitrunk (Fig. 149). Palp formula 5,4		Tergite of first gastral segment extremely large, accounting for considerably more than half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in profile; sometimes the entire gastral dorsum consisting of the first tergite alone (Fig. 89). Dorsum of petiole usually armed with spines, teeth, or tubercles, the dorsolateral angles frequently dentate or spinose and the sides sometimes spinose; petiole only very rarely nodiform. <i>Echinopla</i> Tergite of first gastral segment much smaller, accounting for much less than half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in profile (Figs. 83, 85). Petiole nodiform to thickly scale-like,
	82, 84, 98). Petiole an erect node or scale, the gaster not capable of reflexion over the alitrunk (Figs. 83, 85, 89, 91, 95, 99). Palp formula usually 6,4, only extremely rarely reduced to 5,4 or 5,3 (two species)	22	never armed with spines or teeth
17	Eyes very large and in an extreme posterolateral position on the head (Fig. 94). In full-face view the occipital corner is formed by the curvature of the eye on each side, and posteriorly the eyes form the lateral portion of the occipital margin. The eyes often project slightly farther posteriorly than the true occipital	_	Metanotal groove deeply impressed
-	margin that runs between them	23	Tergite of first gastral segment large, accounting for at least half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in profile (Fig. 99); the first tergite distinctly much longer than the second. Spines or teeth present on pronotum, propodeum, petiole, or on two or all of these
18	With alitrunk in profile the metathoracic spiracles forming tu-	_	Tergite of first gastral segment shorter, accounting for distinctly

less than half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in

profile (Fig. 85); the first tergite at most only slightly longer

berculiform prominences which project beyond the outline of the dorsum. Propodeum posteriorly with a raised transverse

Oriental and Indo-Australian FORMICINAE (continued)

than the second. Spines or teeth usually absent from pronotum, propodeum, and petiole; very rarely one of these locations armed Camponotus (part)

Key to Australasian FORMICINAE (Workers)

1 -	Antenna with 9–11 segments
2	Antenna with 9 segments
3	Palp formula less than 6,4 (commonly 2,3) Acropyga Palp formula 6,4
4	Propodeum armed with one or more pairs of spines, teeth, tubercles, or cuticular prominences (Fig. 159) Stigmacros Propodeum unarmed and rounded, lacking spines, teeth, tubercles, or cuticular prominences
5	With alitrunk in dorsal view the mesonotum separated from the metanotum by a conspicuous transverse groove or impression, so that the metanotum forms a distinctly isolated sclerite (also visible in profile, Fig. 157)
6	Metapleural gland orifice absent, the surface of the metapleuron uninterrupted by a gland orifice above the hind coxa and below the level of the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 165) 7 Metapleuron with a distinct wide orifice for the metapleural gland, the orifice situated above the hind coxa and below the level of the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 164). The metapleural gland orifice usually protected by a line or tuft of guard setae, which are generally quite conspicuous 9
7	Mandible with 10 or more teeth or denticles in total. Apical tooth disproportionately large and the fourth tooth, counting from the apical, larger than the third and fifth tooth (Fig. 148). Petiole reduced to an elongate, low node, which allows the gaster to reflex over the alitrunk (Fig. 149). Palp formula 5,4
	they decrease in size from apical to basal and the fourth tooth is smaller than the third, not enlarged as above (Figs. 84, 98). Petiole a node or scale, the gaster not capable of reflexion over the alitrunk (Figs. 85, 99). Palp formula 6,4 8
8	Tergite of first gastral segment large, accounting for at least half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in profile (Fig. 99); the first tergite distinctly much longer than the second. Spines or teeth present on pronotum, propodeum, petiole, or on two or all three of these. Monomorphic species <i>Polyrhachis</i> Tergite of first gastral segment small, accounting for distinctly

less than half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in

Australasian FORMICINAE (continued)

	ese locations armed. Polymorphic species Camponotus
tur	n, propodeum, and petiole; only very rarely with one of
tha	an the second. Spines or teeth usually absent from prono-
pro	ofile (Fig. 85); the first tergite at most only slightly longer

- 9 Anteriormost point of torulus far behind the clypeal suture (Figs. 82, 88, 92, 94), the gap separating them at least equal to the basal width of the scape shaft and generally much more
- Anteriormost point of torulus indenting, abutting, or immediately behind the clypeal suture (Figs. 124, 128, 134, 140, 142). If the last of these, then the gap between them very narrow, distinctly less than the basal width of the scape shaft
- 10 Eyes very large and in an extreme posterolateral position on the head (Fig. 94). In full-face view the occipital corner is formed by the curvature of the eye on each side, and posteriorly the eyes form the lateral portions of the occipital margin. The eyes often project slightly farther posteriorly than the true occipital margin that runs between them Opisthopsis
- Eyes moderate to large in size and usually situated behind the midlength of the sides, but in full-face view not occupying the occipital corners nor constituting a part of the occipital margin
- 11 Mandible with 10 or more teeth or denticles in total (Fig. 92). Ocelli present. First gastral sternite in ventral view without a transverse sulcus immediately behind the helcium.

- Mandible with 5 or 6 teeth (usually 5) (Figs. 82, 88). Ocelli absent. First gastral sternite in ventral view with a conspicuous transverse sulcus immediately behind the helcium (Figs.
- 12 Tergite of first gastral segment extremely large, accounting for considerably more than half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in profile (Fig. 89); sometimes the entire gastral dorsum consisting of the first tergite alone. Dorsum or sides of petiole often armed with spines, teeth, or tubercles, the dorsolateral angles frequently dentate or spinose; petiole only very rarely nodiform Echinopla
- Tergite of first gastral segment much smaller, accounting for - much less than half the length of the gaster in dorsal view or in profile (Fig. 83). Petiole nodiform to thickly scale-like, never armed with spines or teeth Calomyrmex
- 13 In ventral view the first gastral sternite without a transverse sulcus immediately behind the helcium. In the same view the line of tergosternal articulation of the first segment on each side of the helcium directed anteriorly, running far forward then passing through a long, narrowly rounded angle before running posteriorly to the apex of the segment (Fig. 163)
- In ventral view the first gastral sternite with a transverse sulcus immediately behind the helcium. In the same view the line of tergosternal articulation of the first segment on each side of the helcium roughly transverse to quite strongly sinuate,

Australasian FORMICINAE (continued)	Key to Nearctic FORMIC
but not running far forward nor passing through a long, narrowly rounded angle before running posteriorly (Figs. 161, 162)	1 Antenna with 8–11 segm— Antenna with 12 segment
 Maxillary palp with 6 segments. Dorsal surfaces of head and body with coarse setae that are arranged in distinct pairs (Fig. 125)	 Apical and 1 or 2 preapicathan the preceding segrespicuous club whose from the remainder of Antenna not terminating lus filiform or the segn Palp formula less than 6, very small, vestigial, oeyes situated far in frohead
(Figs. 135, 137, 139, 141)	 Palp formula 6,4. With developed and situat midlength of the sides
lower, pair of spines close to the base of the declivity (Fig. 143). With alitrunk in dorsal view the pronotum not expanded laterally into a pair of aliform lobes, the mesonotum not constricted	 4 Antenna with 9 segment — Antenna with 11 segment 5 With alitrunk in dorsal vi metanotum by a cons sion, so that the metan (also visible in profile, — With alitrunk in dorsal
laterally into a pair of aliform lobes, the mesonotum distinctly constricted	metanotum, the two n impression, the metan (also visible in profile,
 Propodeal spiracle usually a slit or elongate oval, sometimes the spiracle comma-shaped (Fig. 135). Psammophore present — Propodeal spiracle circular to subcircular (Figs. 137, 139, 141). Psammophore absent 18 	6 Metapleuron with a dist gland, the orifice situat level of the propodeal s ral gland usually proto which are generally ve
18 In ventral view the tergosternal articulation of the first gastral segment, on each side of the helcium, strongly sinuate	ated close to posterior 124)
— In ventral view the tergosternal articulation of the first gastral segment, on each side of the helcium, shallowly concave to more or less straight (Fig. 161)	uninterrupted by a gl below the level of the setae absent. Antennal terior margin of the cl
19 Alitrunk structure complex (Fig. 139); pronotal humeri usually with a pair of prominent tumuli, mesonotum disproportionately enlarged, metanotum forming a separate swelling or a bifurcate or beveled process behind the mesonotum. Mandible with 6 teeth (Fig. 138)	7 With the gaster in ventral ous transverse sulcus gosternal articulation of behind helcium base, 161, 162). Propodeal s
 Alitrunk structure simple (Fig. 137); sometimes the pronotum, mesonotum, and propodeum forming separate convexities but pronotal humeri never with prominent tumuli, mesonotum never disproportionately enlarged, metanotum not forming a separate swelling or process. Mandible usually with 7–13 teeth (Fig. 136), only very rarely with 6 	105, 107, 164)

ICINAE (Workers)

<u>1</u>	Antenna with 8–11 segments 2 Antenna with 12 segments 6
2	Apical and 1 or 2 preapical antennal segments strikingly broader than the preceding segments and forming a swollen and conspicuous club whose segments are strongly differentiated from the remainder of the funiculus <i>Myrmelachista</i> Antenna not terminating in a club; either the antennal funiculus filiform or the segments gradually enlarging apically 3
3	Palp formula less than 6,4. With head in full-face view the eyes very small, vestigial, or absent (Fig. 150); when present the eyes situated far in front of the midlength of the sides of the head
_	Palp formula 6,4. With head in full-face view the eyes well developed and situated just before, at, or behind the midlength of the sides of the head (Figs. 76, 152, 156) 4
4	Antenna with 9 segments
5	With alitrunk in dorsal view the mesonotum separated from the metanotum by a conspicuous transverse groove or impression, so that the metanotum forms a distinctly isolated sclerite (also visible in profile, Fig. 157)
-	With alitrunk in dorsal view the mesonotum fused with the metanotum, the two not separated by a transverse groove or impression, the metanotum not forming an isolated sclerite (also visible in profile, Fig. 153)
6	Metapleuron with a distinct, wide orifice for the metapleural gland, the orifice situated above the hind coxa and below the level of the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 164). Orifice of metapleural gland usually protected by a line or tuft of guard setae, which are generally very conspicuous. Antennal sockets situated close to posterior margin of clypeus (Figs. 104, 120, 122, 124)
_	Metapleural gland orifice absent, the surface of the metapleuron uninterrupted by a gland orifice above the hind coxa and below the level of the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 165). Guard setae absent. Antennal sockets situated well behind the posterior margin of the clypeus (Fig. 84)
7	With the gaster in ventral view the first sternite with a conspicuous transverse sulcus behind the helcium (Fig. 162); tergosternal articulation of first gastral segment directed laterally behind helcium base, then broadly curving posteriorly (Figs. 161, 162). Propodeal spiracle elliptical to broadly oval (Figs. 105, 107, 164)
_	With the gaster in ventral view the first sternite without a transverse sulcus behind the helcium; tergosternal articulation of first gastral segment directed anteriorly through a narrow curve from the helcium base and running some distance forward before passing through a narrowly rounded curve or angle and thereafter running posteriorly (Fig. 163). Propodeal spiracle circular to subcircular (Figs. 121, 123, 125, 127)

..... Myrmecorhynchus

Nearctic FORMICINAE (continued)	Neotropical FORMICINAE (continued)
 Mandible falcate and edentate (Fig. 106). Palp formula 4,2	 Palp formula 6,4. With head in full-face view the eyes well developed and situated just before, at, or behind the
9 Palp formula 3,3 Acanthomyon — Palp formula 6,4	
 Dorsal surfaces of head and body with coarse setae that at arranged in distinct pairs (Fig. 125). With head in full-fact view the eyes at or in front of the midlength of the sides (Fig. 124)	metanotum by a conspicuous transverse groove or impression, so that the metanotum forms a distinctly isolated sclerite (also visible in profile, Fig. 157)
 Maxillary palp segments 3 and 4 extremely long, the third segment (counting from the base) at least half the head length and usually more (Fig. 123)	ral gland usually protected by a line or tuft of guard setae, which are usually very obvious. Antennal sockets situated close to the posterior margin of the clypeus (Figs. 104, 116, 122, 124, 132)
 Mandible with 6 teeth, very rarely with 7. Anterior face of fir gastral segment broadly transversely concave throughout theight. Antennal scapes relatively very long; when last straight back from their insertions at least half their leng projects beyond the occipital margin. Mesothorax constricts immediately behind pronotum (Fig. 127) Prenolegical Mandible with at least 7 teeth, usually with more than 7. At terior face of first gastral segment with a small concave are immediately above the helcium but the face not broad transversely concave throughout its height. Antennal scap much shorter; when laid straight back from their insertion. 	below the level of the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 165). Line or tuft of guard setae absent. Antennal sockets situated well behind the posterior margin of the clypeus (Figs. 84, 86) With the gaster in ventral view the first sternite with a conspicuous flexible transverse sulcus immediately behind the helcium (Figs. 161, 162)
with much less than half their length projecting beyond the occipital margin. Mesothorax not constricted immediately be hind pronotum (Fig. 121)	Mandible falcate and edentate (Fig. 106). Palp formula 4,2 e- **Polyergus**
Key to Neotropical FORMICINAE (Workers)	9 Eyes enormous; with the head in full-face view each eye extending from the occipital margin to the posterior clypeal
 Antenna with 8–11 segments	margin in the vicinity of the anterior tentorial pit (Fig. 116) Gigantiops Eyes present but smaller; with the head in full-face view the eyes never extending from the occipital margin to the posterior clypeal margin in the vicinity of the anterior tentorial pit (Figs. 120, 122, 124, 126, 132)

Neotropical	FORMICINAE	(continued)

 With the gaster in ventral view the line of the tergosternal
articulation on each side of the helcium directed anteriorly
through a narrow curve from the helcium base, the line
running forward for a notable distance then passing through
a narrowly rounded curve or angle and thereafter running
posteriorly (Fig. 163)

- 14 Mandible with 6 teeth, very rarely with 7. Anterior face of first gastral segment broadly transversely concave throughout its height. Antennal scapes relatively very long; when laid straight back from their insertions at least half their length projects beyond the occipital margin. Mesothorax constricted immediately behind pronotum (Fig. 127) Prenolepis
- 15 Monomorphic species Dendromyrmex

Synoptic Classification

A name prefixed by * indicates an extinct taxon. Subfamily **FORMICINAE**.

Tribe **Brachymyrmecini**. Genera: *Aphomomyrmex* (Figs. 74, 75) (= *Aphomyrmex* (misspelling)), *Brachymyrmex* (Figs. 76, 77) [subgenera: nominal plus *Bryscha*], *Cladomyrma* (Figs. 78, 79), *Petalomyrmex* (Figs. 80, 81), *Pseudaphomomyrmex* (known from queens only).

Tribe **Bregmatomyrmini**. Genus: *Bregmatomyrma* (= *Bregmatomyrmex* (misspelling)) (known from queens only).

Tribe **Camponotini** (= Polyrhachidini). Genera: *Calomyrmex* (Figs. 82, 83), *Camponotites, Camponotus (Figs. 84, 85) [subgenera: nominal plus Colobopsis (= Campylomyrma (misspelling), = Condylomyrma), Dinomyrmex (= Myrmogigas), Hypercolobopsis (= Neocolobopsis), Karavaievia, Manniella, Mayria, Myrmacrhaphe, Myrmamblys, Myrmaphaenus (= Neomyrmamblys, = Paracolobopsis), Myrmentoma, Myrmepinotus, Myrmepomis (= Myrmolophus), Myrmespera, Myrmeurynota, Myrmisolepis, Myrmobrachys, Myrmocladoecus, Myrmodirachis, Myrmogonia, Myrmomalis, Myrmonesites, Myrmopalpella, Myrmopelta, Myrmophyma (= Myrmocamelus), Myrmopiromis, Myrmoplatypus, Myrmoplatys, Myrmopsamma, Myrmopytia, Myrmosaga, Myrmosaulus, Myrmosericus, Myrmosphincta, Myrmostenus, Myrmotarsus, Myrmotemnus, Myrmothrix, Myrmotrema, Myrmoxygenys, Orthonotomyrmex (= Orthonotus (homonym)), Paramyrmamblys, Pseudocolobopsis, Rhinomyrmex, Tanaemyrmex (= Myrmoturba), Thlipsepinotus], *Chimaeromyrma, Dendromyrmex (Figs. 86, 87), *Drymomyrmex (= *Dryomyrmex (misspelling)), Echinopla (Figs. 88, 89) (= Mesoxena), Forelophilus (Figs. 90, 91), Notostigma (Figs. 92, 93), Opisthopsis (Figs. 94, 95) (= Myrmecopsis (homonym)), Overbeckia, Phasmomyrmex (Figs. 96, 97) [subgenera: nominal plus Myrmacantha, Myrmorhachis], Polyrhachis (Figs. 98, 99) (= Polyrachis (misspelling)) [subgenera: nominal plus Anoplomyrma, Aulacomyrma (= Johnia), Campomyrma, Chariomyrma, Cyrtomyrma, Dolichorhachis, Hagiomyrma, Hedomyrma (= Morleyidris), Hemioptica, Myrma (= Hoplomyrmus, = Pseudocyrtomyrma), Myrmatopa (= Irenea), Myrmhopla (= Cephalomyrma, = Florencea), Myrmothrinax (= Evelyna)], *Pseudocamponotus.

Tribe Formicini. Genera: Alloformica (Figs. 100, 101), Bajcaridris, Cataglyphis (Figs. 102, 103) (= Eomonocombus, = Machaeromyrma, = Monocombus, = Paraformica), Formica (Figs. 104, 105) (= Adformica, = Coptoformica, = Formicina, = Iberoformica, = Neoformica, = Raptiformica, = Serviformica), *Glaphyromyrmex, *Leucotaphus, Polyergus (Figs. 106, 107), Proformica (Figs. 108, 109), *Protoformica, Rossomyrmex (Figs. 110, 111).

Tribe **Gesomyrmecini** (= Dimorphomyrmii, = Gesomyrmini). Genera: *Gesomyrmex* (Figs. 112–115) (= *Dimorphomyrmex*, = *Gaesomyrmex*), **Prodimorphomyrmex*.

Tribe **Gigantiopini.** Genus: *Gigantiops* (Figs. 116, 117).

Tribe **Lasiini** (= Acanthomyopsini, = Prenolepidini). Genera: *Acanthomyops, Euprenolepis* (Figs. 118, 119) (= Chapmanella), *Lasius* (Figs. 120, 121) (= Donisthorpea) [current subgenera:
nominal plus Austrolasius, Cautolasius, Chthonolasius (= Chtonolasius (misspelling)), Dendrolasius], Myrmecocystus (Figs. 122, 123) (= Endiodioctes, = Eremnocystus), Paratrechina (Figs. 124, 125) (= Andragnathus, = Nylanderia, = Paraparatrechina),

Prenolepis (Figs. 126, 127), *Protrechina, Pseudolasius (Figs. 128, 129) (= Nesolasius), Teratomyrmex (Figs. 130, 131).

Tribe Melophorini (= Myrmecorhynchini). Genera: Lasiophanes (Figs. 132, 133), Melophorus (Figs. 134, 135) (= Erimelophorus, = Trichomelophorus), Myrmecorhynchus (Figs. 136, 137), Notoncus (Figs. 138, 139) (= Diodontolepis), Prolasius (Figs. 140, 141), Pseudonotoncus (Figs. 142, 143).

Tribe **Myrmelachistini.** Genus: *Myrmelachista* (Figs. 144, 145) (= *Decamera* (homonym), = *Hincksidris*, = *Neaphomus*).

Tribe Myrmoteratini. Genus: Myrmoteras (Figs. 146, 147) [subgenera: nominal plus Myagroteras].

Tribe Oecophyllini. Genus: Oecophylla (Figs. 148, 149).

Tribe Plagiolepidini. Genera: Acropyga (Figs. 150, 151) [subgenera: nominal plus Atopodon, Malacomyrma, Rhizomyrma], Agraulomyrmex, Anoplolepis (Figs. 152, 153) [subgenera: nominal plus Mesanoplolepis, Tapinolepis, Zealleyella], Lepisiota (Figs. 154, 155) (= Acantholepis (homonym), = Achantilepis (misspelling), = Baroniurbania, = Pseudacantholepis), Plagiolepis (Figs. 156, 157) (= Aporomyrmex, = Paraplagiolepis) [subgenera: nominal plus Anacantholepis], *Rhopalomyrmex, Stigmacros (Figs. 158, 159) (= Acrostigma (homonym), = Campostigmacros, = Chariostigmacros, = Cyrtostigmacros, = Hagiostigmacros, = Pseudostigmacros).

Tribe Santschiellini. Genus: Santschiella.

Tribe *Sicilomyrmecini. Genus: *Sicilomyrmex.

Genus unplaced to tribe: *Imhoffia.

[Material of the unavailable names Alloformicinae, Eucamponotinae, Euformicinae, Heteroformicinae, and Mesocamponotinae is referable to Formicinae; that of the unavailable name Procamponotinae is referable to Myrmoteratini.]

Distribution

The subfamily Formicinae has a worldwide distribution, as indicated in the table given in the Introduction. The numbers of genera shared between the various zoogeographical regions are shown below, excluding endemic genera and those artificially introduced by human activities. In the table PAL = Palaearctic, AFR = Afrotropical, MAL = Malagasy, ORI = Oriental, INA = Indo-Australian, AUS = Australasian, NEA = Nearctic, NEO = Neotropical.

AFR	8						
MAL	6	6					
ORI	12	10	6				
lNA	8	9	6	12			
AUS	5	7	4	7	10		
NEA	7	3	4	6	4	3	
NEO	4	3	4	4	4	3	5
	PAL	AFR	MAL	ORl	INA	AUS	NEA

Taxonomic References

Identification of extant species

Some older references have a suffixed comment "[out of date]." These references are included because they contain the only identification keys ever attempted for the taxon in question. They should be used with great caution as, for the most part, they contain numerous infraspecific and infrasubspecific taxa that are no longer recognized. Older references that have been superseded, or those rendered useless by the volume of later descriptions and synonymies, are omitted.


Acanthomyops: Wing (1968). Acropyga: Weber (1944) [Neotropical]. Agraulomyrmex: Prins (1983). Anoplolepis: Prins (1982) [custodiens-group, partial]. Aphomomyrmex: Snelling (1979). Brachymyrmex: Santschi (1923) [out of date]. Camponotus: Kusnezov (1952b) [Argentina]; Wang, Xiao, and Wu (1989a,b) [China]; Yasumatsu and Brown (1951, 1957) [herculeanus-complex, Palaearctic]; Hashmi (1973) [subgenus Myrmothrix]; Dumpert (1985) [subgenus Karavaievia]; Snelling (1988) [subgenus Myrmentoma, Nearctic]; Robertson (1990) [fulvopilosus-complex]. Cataglyphis: Santschi (1929a) [out of date]; Agosti (1990a) [species groups]. Cladomyrma: Agosti (1991). Dendromyrmex: Mann (1916). Formica: Dlussky (1964) [exsectagroup, former U.S.S.R.]; Dlussky (1965) [Mongolia, Tibet]; Dlussky (1967) [Palaearctic]; Francoeur (1973) [fusca-group, Nearctic]; Dlussky and Pisarski (1971) [Poland]; Wilson and Brown (1955), Buren (1968a), Snelling and Buren (1985) [sanguinea-group, Nearctic]; Kupyanskaya (1980) [far eastern Russia]; Wu (1990) [China]; Gösswald (1990) [rufa-group]. Gesomyrmex: Cole (1949). Lasiophanes: Snelling and Hunt (1976). Lasius: Wilson (1955) [world]; Collingwood (1982) [Himalayan fauna]; Kupyanskaya (1989) [subgenus Dendrolasius, east Palaearctic]; Seifert (1988a, 1990) [subgenus Chthonolasius, west Palaearctic]; Seifert (1992) [subgenus Lasius, Palaearctic]; Yamauchi and Hayashida (1968, 1970), Yamauchi (1979) [Japan]. Myrmecocystus: Snelling (1976, 1982b). Myrmelachista: W. M. Wheeler (1934b) [out of date]. Myrmoteras: Moffett (1985); Agosti (1992) [Indo-Australian]. Notoncus: Brown (1955). Opisthopsis: W. M. Wheeler (1919) [out of date]. Paratrechina: Trager (1984) [Nearctic]. Petalomyrmex: Snelling (1979). Plagiolepis: Radchenko (1989b) [former European U.S.S.R.]. Polyergus: J. Wheeler (1968) [Nearctic]. Polyrhachis: Hung (1967) [subgenera]; Hung (1970), Kohout (1988) [subgenus Polyrhachis]; Bolton (1973) [Afrotropical]; Wang and Wu (1991) [China]; Bolton (1975c), Kohout (1987) [sexspinosa-group]; Kohout (1989) [relucens-group, Australia]; Kohout (1990) [viehmeyeri-group]. Proformica: Dlussky (1969) [former U.S.S.R. and contiguous countries]. Prolasius: McAreavey (1947). Pseudolasius: Menozzi (1924) [Afrotropical, out of date]. Stigmacros: McAreavey (1957). Teratomyrmex: McAreavey (1957).


Other taxonomic references


Formicinae: Brown (1973); Snelling (1981); Dlussky and Fedoseeva (1988); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Agosti (1990b, 1991); Shattuck (1992b); Baroni Urbani, Bolton and Ward (1992).


See also References to Faunistic Studies.


- **Figures 74–165** FORMICINAE workers. Figs. 74–159, heads in full-face view and bodies in profile:
 - 74–81, **Brachymyrmecini**: 74–75, *Aphomomyrmex*; 76–77, *Brachymyrmex*; 78–79, *Cladomyrma*; 80–81, *Petalomyrmex*
 - 82–99, **Camponotini:** 82–83, *Calomyrmex*; 84–85, *Camponotus*, major worker; 86–87, *Dendromyrmex*; 88–89, *Echinopla*; 90–91, *Forelophilus*; 92–93, *Notostigma*; 94–95, *Opisthopsis*; 96–97, *Phasmomyrmex*; 98–99, *Polyrhachis*
 - 100–111, **Formicini:** 100–101, Alloformica; 102–103, Cataglyphis; 104–105, Formica; 106–107, Polyergus; 108–109, Proformica; 110–111, Rossomyrmex
 - 112–115, **Gesomyrmecini:** 112–113, *Gesomyrmex*, minor worker; 114–115, *Gesomyrmex*, major worker
 - 116-117, Gigantiopini, Gigantiops
 - 118–131, **Lasiini:** 118–119, Euprenolepis; 120–121, Lasius; 122–123, Myrmecocystus; 124–125, Paratrechina; 126–127, Prenolepis; 128–129, Pseudolasius; 130–131, Teratomyrmex
 - 132–143, **Melophorini:** 132–133, Lasiophanes; 134–135, Melophorus; 136–137, Myrmecorhynchus; 138–139, Notoncus; 140–141, Prolasius; 142–143, Pseudonotoncus
 - 144–145, Myrmelachistini, Myrmelachista
 - 146-147, Myrmoteratini, Myrmoteras
 - 148-149, Oecophyllini, Oecophylla
 - 150–159, **Plagiolepidini:** 150–151, Acropyga; 152–153, Anoplolepis; 154–155, Lepisiota; 156–157, Plagiolepis; 158–159, Stigmacros
 - 160, gastral apex to show acidopore in Cataglyphis
 - 161–163, ventral view of petiole, helcium, and first gastral segment to show sutures: 161, *Notoncus*; 162, *Cataglyphis*; 163, *Lasius*
 - 164–165, profile of metapleuron, propodeum, and petiole to show presence/absense of metapleural gland orifice: 164, *Formica*, with orifice present; 165, *Camponotus*, with orifice absent.














































Subfamily LEPTANILLINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 166-169)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Clypeus sometimes broad but usually narrow from front to back, bringing the antennal sockets close to the anterior margin of the head.
- 2 Antennal sockets horizontal, in the plane of the transverse axis of the head, exposed in full-face view.
- 3 Frontal lobes absent.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight, not sharply angled or bent downwards in frontal or full-face view.
- 5 Eyes absent; antenna with 12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture present and flexible, may be deeply impressed; the pronotum capable of movement relative to the mesonotum.
- 7 Propodeal lobes absent.
- 8 Propodeal spiracle far back on sclerite, low down on the side except in a few species where the dorsum is depressed.
- 9 Metapleural gland orifice in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally, the orifice concealed behind a cuticular flange or flap.
- 10 Metacoxal cavities closed; cuticular annulus around each cavity broad and complete, the annulus not broken medioventrally nor with a flexible suture traversing the annulus from the coxal cavity to the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 11 Waist of 2 segments, petiole plus postpetiole (= abdominal segments 2 and 3); the petiole sessile anteriorly.
- 12 Petiole tergite and sternite fused together, without trace of a suture.
- 13 Abdominal stridulatory system absent.
- 14 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 (= gastral spiracles 2–4) concealed by the posterior margins of the preceding segments and not visible without distension or dissection of the abdomen.
- 15 Helcium sternite small and retracted, concealed by the tergite, not visible in profile.
- 16 Abdominal segment 3 (= postpetiole) with tergosternal fu-

- sion; tergites and sternites of following abdominal segments (4-7) = gastral segments 1-4 not fused.
- 17 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 1) with presclerites sharply defined and differentiated from the postsclerites, the former fitting tightly within the posterior end of the third segment.
- 18 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment4) large and simple, unarmed, convex across and down-curved posteriorly.
- 19 Sting large, well developed, and functional.

Key to World LEPTANILLINAE (Workers)

1 Mandible with 3–5 teeth, all located on distal half of masticatory margin. Maxillary palp with 1 segment. Metanotal groove vestigial to absent. Bulla of metapleural gland rounded, located behind level of propodeal spiracle. Metapleural trench absent. Clypeus very narrow in front of antennal insertions, the latter very close to the anterior margin of the head (Figs. 166, 167). (Old World tropics and temperate zones) Leptanilla Mandible with more than 5 peg-like to hook-like teeth. Maxillary palp with 4 segments. Metanotal groove present, strong, and impressed. Bulla of metapleural gland elongate and narrow, running longitudinally below the propodeal spiracle. Metapleural trench present. Clypeus relatively broad in front of antennal insertions, the latter well back from the anterior 2 Mandible elongate, narrowly triangular, and down-curved, equipped with numerous peg-like teeth on inner surface (Fig. 168). (Southern Palaearctic, Oriental, Indo-Australian) Protanilla Mandible surmounted by a large, erect lamella, which is lined

Synoptic Classification

Subfamily LEPTANILLINAE.

Tribe **Anomalomyrmini**. Genera: **Anomalomyrma**, **Protanilla** (Figs. 168, 169).

Tribe **Leptanillini.** Genera: *Leptanilla* (Figs. 166, 167) (= *Leptomesites*), *Noonilla* (males only), *Phaulomyrma* (males only), *Scyphodon* (males only), **Yavnella** (males only).

[Material of the unavailable name Metadorylinae is in part referable to Leptanillini.]

Distribution

This subfamily is entirely absent from the New World and has not yet been discovered in the Malagasy region, though it may well prove to be present there. Otherwise the genus *Leptanilla* occurs in all Old World regions and the four genera known only from males

are found in the Indo-Australian region (Noonilla, Phaulomyrma, Scyphodon) and the Palaearctic and Oriental regions (Yavnella). The two remaining genera, Anomalomyrma and Protanilla, are centred on the Indo-Australian region, where the greatest number of species occur, but both also have species in the Palaearctic and the latter is also present in the Oriental region.

Taxonomic References

Identification of extant species

Leptanilla: Baroni Urbani (1977a). Yavnella: J. Kugler (1987).

Other taxonomic references

Leptanillinae: Petersen (1968); Baroni Urbani (1977a); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Bolton (1990b); Baroni Urbani, Bolton and Ward (1992).

Subfamily LEPTANILLOIDINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 170–171)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Clypeus very reduced, narrow from front to back especially in front of the antennal insertions, bringing the antennal sockets extremely close to the anterior margin of the head.
- 2 Antennal sockets horizontal, in the plane of the transverse axis of the head, exposed in full-face view.
- 3 Frontal lobes absent; usually narrow vertical carinae present between the antennal sockets.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight, not sharply angled or bent downwards in frontal or full-face view.
- 5 Eyes absent; antenna with 12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture present and flexible, the pronotum capable of movement relative to the mesonotum.
- 7 Metapleural gland orifice in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally, the orifice concealed behind a ventrally directed cuticular flange or flap.
- 8 Propodeal spiracle situated low on side of sclerite, at or behind its midlength.
- 9 Metacoxal cavities closed; cuticular annulus around each cavity broad and complete, the annulus not broken medioventrally nor with a flexible suture traversing the annulus from the coxal cavity to the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 10 Waist of 2 segments, petiole and postpetiole (= abdominal segments 2 and 3).
- 11 Petiole sessile to subsessile, the tergite and sternite not fused; sternite of petiole with a simple posterior margin and simple articulation to the postpetiole.
- 12 Abdominal stridulatory system absent.
- 13 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 (= gastral spiracles 2–4) shifted backwards, not concealed by the posterior margins of the preceding segments and visible without distension or dissection of the abdomen.
- 14 Helcium sternite large and convex, bulging ventrally, visible in profile in normally mounted specimens; tergite of helcium lacking a notch or impression in its dorsal margin anteriorly.
- 15 Abdominal segment 3 (postpetiole) with tergosternal fusion; tergites and sternites of following abdominal segments (4–7 = gastral segments 1–4) not fused.

- 16 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 1) with presclerites sharply defined and differentiated from the postsclerites, the former fitting tightly within the posterior end of the third segment.
- 17 Gaster with deep girdling constrictions between segments 1 and 2, and between segments 2 and 3 (= abdominal segments 4, 5, and 6); strongly developed presclerites differentiated on abdominal segments 5 and 6 (= gastral segments 2 and 3).
- 18 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 4) extremely reduced, represented by a small, U-shaped sclerite, which is overhung by the tergite of abdominal segment 6 (= gastral segment 3).
- 19 Sting present but apparently reduced.

Synoptic Classification

Subfamily **LEPTANILLOIDINAE**.

Tribe Leptanilloidini. Genus: Leptanilloides (Figs. 170, 171).

Distribution

The single genus of this subfamily is restricted to the Neotropical region. Only two species are known, each from single collections in Bolivia and Colombia.


Taxonomic References

Leptanilloides: Brown (1975); Bolton (1990a); Baroni Urbani, Bolton and Ward (1992).

Figures 166–171 Worker heads in full-face view and bodies in profile:

166–169, LEPTANILLINAE: 166–167, **Leptanillini**, *Leptanilla*; 168–169, **Anomalomyrmini**, *Protanilla*

170-171, LEPTANILLOIDINAE, Leptanilloides.



Subfamily MYRMECIINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 172–173)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Clypeus broad from front to back so that antennal sockets are well back from anterior margin of head. Median portion of clypeus extended backwards between the frontal lobes.
- 2 Clypeo-labral hinge fully exposed and dorsum of labrum projecting anteriorly between the mandibular bases.
- 3 Antennal sockets inclined; their margins and section of torulus closest to the midline of the head on a higher level than the margin most distant from the midline.
- 4 Frontal lobes present over the antennal sockets but narrow and elevated; the lobes continued backwards for a short distance as narrow frontal carinae.
- 5 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight.
- 6 Eyes present, large, situated anteriorly on the head with their anterior margins very close to the posterior clypeal margin. Ocelli always present. Antenna with 12 segments.
- 7 Promesonotal suture present and flexible, the pronotum capable of movement relative to the mesonotum.
- 8 Metapleural gland orifice at lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally, the orifice not concealed by a cuticular flange or flap.
- 9 Mesonotum distinctly defined; metanotum present on dorsal alitrunk.
- 10 Metacoxal cavities open; cuticular annulus around each cavity with a wide break or interruption medially so that the coxal cavity is confluent with the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- ll Propodeal lobes present.
- 12 Waist of 2 segments, the petiole and postpetiole (= abdominal segments 2 and 3).
- 13 Abdominal stridulatory system absent.
- 14 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 1) with sharply defined and differentiated presclerites, which fit tightly within the posterior end of the third segment.
- 15 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 (= gastral 2–4) concealed by posterior margins of preceding tergites, not visible without distension of the gaster.

- 16 Helcium sternite relatively small, retracted, concealed by the tergite, and not visible in profile.
- 17 Abdominal segments 2–7 (petiole to apex of gaster) without tergosternal fusion.
- Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 4) simple, biconvex, unarmed.
- 19 Sting present, large, and strongly developed.

Synoptic Classification

A name prefixed by \ast indicates an extinct taxon.

Subfamily MYRMECIINAE.

Tribe **Myrmecini**. Genus: *Myrmecia* (Figs. 172, 173) (= *Halmamyrmecia*, = *Pristomyrmecia*, = *Promyrmecia*).

Tribe *Prionomyrmecini. Genus: *Prionomyrmex.

Genera unplaced to tribe: *Ameghinoia, *Cariridris.

[Material of the unavailable name Paleoponerinae is referable to Myrmeciini.]

Distribution

The single extant genus of this subfamily is restricted to the Australasian region, where it forms a fairly common and conspicuous fraction of the total ant fauna. All the extant species are from Australia except for one, which occurs naturally in New Caledonia. Fossil genera, from the Baltic Amber, Argentina, and Brazil, indicate that the subfamily was much more widely distributed in Late Cretaceous and Tertiary times.

Taxonomic References

Identification of extant species

Myrmecia: Clark (1951, out of date); Ogata and Taylor (1991).

Other taxonomic references

Myrmecia: Brown (1953b, 1954a); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Baroni Urbani, Bolton and Ward (1992); Ogata (1991a) [speciesgroups].




view and bodies in profile:

172–173, MYRMECIINAE, Myrmecia

174–175, NOTHOMYRMECIINAE,

Nothomyrmecia.

Figures 172–175 Worker heads in full-face



Subfamily MYRMICINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 176-423)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Median portion of clypeus extended backwards between the frontal carinae.
- 2 Antennal sockets inclined to almost vertical; their margins and arc of torulus closest to the midline of the head on a higher level than the margin most distant from the midline, or the former almost directly above the latter.
- 3 Frontal lobes usually present, frequently large or very large and mostly or entirely concealing the antennal sockets; less commonly frontal lobes very small or rarely absent, leaving the antennal sockets partially to entirely exposed.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper usually straight, bent in only one genus.
- 5 Eyes usually present, less commonly vestigial or absent; antenna with 4–12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture always absent, the pronotum and mesonotum firmly fused together and immobile with respect to each other; at most a weak line or feeble indentation may occur at the original site of the suture.
- 7 Metapleural gland orifice frequently invisible and probably absent; when present situated in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally or posteriorly, and not concealed by a cuticular flange or flap.
- 8 Metacoxal cavities closed; cuticular annulus around each cavity broad and complete, not interrupted by a suture or gap linking the coxal cavity to the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 9 Propodeal lobes usually present; vestigial or absent in a few genera.
- 10 Waist of 2 segments, the petiole and postpetiole (= abdominal segments 2 and 3).
- 11 Abdominal stridulatory system usually present, only rarely absent; the stridulitrum situated on the pretergite of abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 1), the plectrum posteriorly on the preceding tergite.
- 12 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 1) with sharply

- defined and differentiated presclerites that fit tightly within the posterior end of the third segment.
- 13 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 (= gastral 2–4) concealed by posterior margins of preceding tergites, not visible without distension of the gaster.
- 14 Helcium sternite relatively large and convex, not retracted nor concealed by the tergite; helcium sternite attached across apices of tergite in frontal view.
- 15 Abdominal segment 2 (petiole) with tergosternal fusion; remaining segments (postpetiole to apex) with tergites and sternites not fused.
- Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 4) variable in size, simple.
- 17 Sting present, usually large and strongly developed, but reduced and nonfunctional as a weapon in some.

Key to Palaearctic MYRMICINAE (Workers)

- Postpetiole articulated on anterior surface of first gastral segment (e.g., Figs. 187, 197, 211, 255, 267, 303, 327, 337, 371, 389, 407, 417); the gaster in dorsal view not roughly heartshaped, not capable of reflexion over the alitrunk. Petiole not dorsoventrally flattened, with a node of some form 2
- Antenna never terminating in a 2-segmented club. Either apical plus 2 preapical funicular segments of antenna enlarged and forming a conspicuous, 3-segmented club, or less commonly the club with more than 3 segments (e.g., Figs. 179, 257, 271, 272, 277, 285, 297, 309, 313, 329, 383, 389, 399, 407, 417).

Palaearctic MYRMICINAE (continued)	Palaearctic MYRMICINAE (continued)
Rarely the funiculus filiform and without a developed apical club	into 2 separate convexities separated by a transverse groove (Fig. 243)
3 Antenna with 4 or 6 segments 4 — Antenna with 9–12 segments 11	Standing setae of some form usually present on head, alitrunk, or both. If setae absent from both these areas then the propodeum lacks teeth but has an extensive spongiform la-
4 Mandibles elongate and linear, produced into narrow projecting blades (Figs. 234, 240). Mandibles never triangular or subtriangular, never serially multidentate or denticulate 5	mella running the height of the declivity Smithistruma — Standing setae always completely absent from head and alitrunk; propodeal teeth always present Pentastruma
 Mandibles triangular or subtriangular, not produced into narrow projecting blades; apical (masticatory) margins usually serially multidentate or denticulate, but teeth sometimes reduced (Figs. 242, 248, 288)	11 Antenna with 12 segments. Palp formula 5,3. Mandible with 5 teeth. Lateral portions of clypeus flattened and prominent, fused to the raised, projecting median portion of the clypeus
 Apex of each mandibular blade either with a single, long tooth at the dorsal apex subtended by a series of minute denticles, or with a series of minute denticles only; always lacking an apical fork of 2 spiniform teeth. Labral lobes long and conical, visible between the mandibles in full-face view even when the mandibles are closed (Fig. 240) Epitritus Apex of each mandibular blade armed with a fork of 2 spiniform 	to form a shelf which projects forward over the mandibles (Fig. 258)
teeth set in a vertical series, with or without intercalary denticles between the spiniform fork teeth. Labral lobes not long and conical, not visible between the mandibles when the latter are closed (Fig. 234) 6	12 Anterior clypeal margin with a single, long, anteriorly projecting median seta at the midpoint of the margin (Fig. 376). Propodeum always unarmed and rounded (Fig. 377). Antenna always with 10 segments
6 Antenna with 4 segments Quadristruma — Antenna with 6 segments Strumigenys	 Anterior clypeal margin lacking a single median seta, instead with a pair of setae straddling the midpoint of the margin (Figs. 350, 352). Propodeum with spines or teeth, or at least
7 Spongiform appendages present on petiole, postpetiole, or both (Figs. 243, 249). Frontal lobes widely separated, situated laterally on anterior half of head (Figs. 242, 248). Mandible with more than 4 teeth or denticles. Antennal scrobes present. Anterior coxae as large as or larger than the middle and hind coxae	sharply angulate (Figs. 351, 353). Antenna with 9–11 segments
 Spongiform appendages absent from petiole and postpetiole (Fig. 289). Frontal lobes confluent, situated centrally and high on dorsum of head (Fig. 288). Mandible with 4 teeth. Anten- nal scrobes absent. Anterior coxae much smaller than the massively developed middle and hind coxae Melissotarsus 	forwards (Fig. 343). Postpetiole-gaster junction strongly dorsoventrally compressed and very narrow in profile. Basal tooth of mandible broad and with two points <i>Recurvidris</i> — Propodeum unarmed or with a pair of teeth or spines which are directed posteriorly (Figs. 251, 255, 349, 379, 417). Post-
8 Fully closed mandibles with a strongly defined transverse basal margin, which is separated from the anterior clypeal margin by a conspicuous impression or gap. Basal lamella of mandible	petiole-gaster junction not strongly dorsoventrally compressed. Basal tooth of mandible with a single point, or sometimes the mandible edentate
situated ventral to the basalmost tooth, in a plane almost at right angle to the anterior portion of the mandible, not visible in full-face view with the mandibles open <i>Trichoscapa</i> — Fully closed mandibles without a strongly defined basal margin,	15 Frontal lobes absent so that the antennal articulations are exposed and the depressed area containing the antennal sockets clearly visible (Fig. 300). Anterior clypeal margin irregular, crenulate to denticulate
the basal region of the mandible overlapped by the anterior clypeal margin, the two not separated by an impression or gap. Basal lamella of mandible following basalmost tooth in the same plane (sometimes separated by a long diastema), visible in full-face view with the mandibles open 9	 Frontal lobes present, covering most or all of the antennal articulations, the antennal sockets not visible in dorsal view (Figs. 250, 252, 254, 262, 328, 348, 378, 416). Anterior clypeal margin unarmed or with a pair of small teeth 16 Petiole sessile to subsessile (Figs. 253, 255, 263). In profile the
 9 Propodeum unarmed. Dorsal alitrunk with two distinct convexities, promesonotal and propodeal, which are separated by a transverse groove (Fig. 249)	petiole lacking an anterior bar-like peduncle between the portion which articulates with the alitrunk and the ascending (anterior) face of the node
large spongiform lamellae, or both. Dorsal alitrunk not raised	profile the petiole with a longitudinal anterior bar-like pedun-

Pala	earctic MYRMICINAE (continued)	Palae	earctic MYRMICINAE (continued)
	cle between the portion which articulates with the alitrunk and the ascending (anterior) face of the node 20	wa	tral surface of the head; anterior margin of eye a considerable distance from the mandibular insertion (Fig. 251)
-	Antennal scrobes present on sides of head above the eyes (Figs. 262, 263). Mandible edentate		Palp formula 6,4. Tibial spurs of middle and hind legs usually pectinate, rarely the spurs simple or absent
	lamella, or both (Fig. 255). Postpetiole ventrally lacking a median tooth-like projecting process. Palp formula 3,2 or 4,2		Propodeum bidentate to bispinose (Fig. 309). Mandible with 6–10 teeth (Fig. 308). Metasternal process a closely approximated pair of raised flanges or plates, the ventral midline not visible between them
19 —	Postpetiole ventrally with a median tooth-like projecting process (Fig. 253). Palp formula 4,3 Formicoxenus Postpetiole ventrally without a median tooth-like projecting process. Palp formula 5,3 Leptothorax (part)		Propodeum unarmed and rounded. Mandible with more than 12 teeth. Metasternal process a pair of crudely arched-convex, thickened lobes, the ventral midline visible between them
20	Propodeum unarmed (Fig. 379). Mandible with only 3 or 4 teeth. Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin with a single, long seta (Fig. 378)	26	Sting with an apicodorsal triangular to pennant-shaped lamel- late appendage projecting from the shaft (Figs. 417, 419). Lateral portions of clypeus raised into a sharp-edged ridge or shield wall on each side in front of the antennal insertions
_	Propodeum armed with a pair of spines or teeth (Figs. 251, 329, 349). Mandible with 5 or more teeth. Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin without a single, long seta; instead a pair of setae usually straddle the midpoint (Figs. 328, 348, 416)	_	(Figs. 416, 418, 420); median portion of clypeus broadly inserted between the frontal lobes
21	Palp formula 2,2. Propodeal lobes minute to absent (Fig. 349). Pronotal dorsum a flat plateau which is sharply marginate laterally, the marginations terminating anteriorly in projecting flat, acute, tooth-like or triangular processes, which are above and behind the true humeral angles of the pronotum	27	258, 302, 326, 334, 338, 340, 378, 388, 396), but if weakly raised then median portion of clypeus narrowly inserted between the frontal lobes
_	Palp formula 3,2 or more (up to 5,3). Propodeal lobes conspicuous (Figs. 251, 329, 417). Pronotal dorsum usually rounded, only rarely otherwise in which case marginations and processes as described above are absent	_	Mandible triangular or subtriangular, dentate, with 2 or 3 larger teeth distally, which are followed proximally by a row of 3 or more smaller teeth or denticles (Figs. 416, 418) 28
22	Sting with an apicodorsal lamellate appendage projecting from the shaft (Fig. 417). Lateral portions of clypeus raised into a sharp ridge or shield wall on each side, in front of the antennal insertions (Fig. 416). Propodeal spiracle low on the side and distinctly behind the midlength of the sclerite		Head heart-shaped in full-face view. Ventral margin of petiole convex and keel-like. Anterior clypeal margin strongly arcuate and prominent (Fig. 418). Eyes behind midlength of side of head. Median clypeal and median cephalic carinae vestigial or absent. Palp formula 3,2
	Sting without an apicodorsal lamellate appendage projecting from the shaft. Lateral portions of clypeus not raised into sharp ridges or shield walls in front of the antennal insertions (Figs. 250, 328). Propodeal spiracle high on the side, at or close to the midlength of the sclerite	_	Head not heart-shaped in full-face view. Ventral margin of petiole not convex and keel-like. Anterior clypeal margin not strongly arcuate (Fig. 416). Eyes usually at or in front of midlength of sides of head, only extremely rarely otherwise. Either median clypeal carina or median cephalic carina usually present, or both present; only infrequently with both absent. Palp formula predominently 4,3, rarely reduced
	With head in profile the large eyes drawn out anteroventrally in a broad lobe, which runs down the side and almost onto the ventral surface of the head close to the mandibular insertion (Fig. 329)	29	Sides of head with broad, deep scrobes that can accommodate the entire antenna when folded (Figs. 396, 397). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible serially dentate from apex to base, without a long diastema Lordomyrma

Palaearctic	MYRMICINAE	(continued)
raiaeaicuc	WITKINGCINAC	(conunuea)

	(
_	Antennal scrobes absent or weakly present; if the latter then apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with an apical and preapical tooth, which are followed by a long diastema and then 1 or 2 smaller basal teeth
30	Ventrolateral margin of head delineated by a sharp longitudinal carina on each side. The carina starts close to the inner-ventral mandibular base, runs the length of the head below the eye, and ascends the occipital surface posteriorly (Fig. 303)
	each side (Figs. 251, 257, 295, 335, 339, 341, 389) 31
31	With head in profile the large eyes drawn out anteroventrally in a broad lobe, which runs down the side and almost onto the ventral surface of the head close to the mandibular insertions
_	With head in profile the eyes not drawn out anteroventrally in a broad lobe running almost onto the ventral surface of the head; anterior margin of eye a considerable distance from the mandibular insertions
32	Median portion of clypeus (in front of level of frontal lobes) sharply raised and with a relatively narrow dorsal area, the raised portion with a pair of longitudinal carinae, which usually arise between the frontal lobes and which usually diverge anteriorly (Figs. 294, 378, 388). Median portion of clypeus never with a single, median longitudinal carina
_	Median portion of clypeus (in front of level of frontal lobes) broad, evenly convex to more or less flat, not sharply raised, without carinae or frequently with an unpaired median carina (Figs. 250, 254, 256, 258, 332, 334, 338, 340). Never with a median pair of anteriorly divergent carinae upon a sharply raised narrow dorsal area
33	Petiole sessile, lacking an anterior peduncle. Petiole node ventrally with a large and strongly projecting plate-like process (Fig. 295)
_	Petiole pedunculate. Petiole node ventrally without a large plate-like process (usually a small anteroventral process present on the peduncle) (Figs. 379, 389)
34	Dorsum of pronotum medially with a pair of blunt raised tubercles; mesonotal dorsum posteriorly with a single median tubercle. Anterior clypeal margin lacking long, projecting setae. Lateral portions of clypeus raised into blunt crests in front of the antennal insertions. Dorsum of head with a shallow longitudinal median furrow
_	Dorsum of pronotum without a pair of tubercles; mesonotal dorsum without a median tubercle. Anterior clypeal margin

with long projecting setae present at least close to the midpoint. Lateral portions of clypeus not raised into crests in front

of the antennal insertions. Dorsum of head lacking a longitu-

Palaearctic MYRMICINAE (continued)

Pala	earctic MYRMICINAE (continued)
35	Propodeum unarmed and rounded (Fig. 379). Mandible with 3–5 (usually 4) teeth or denticles in total. Petiolar spiracle at the node or on the peduncle very close to the node. Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin with a single, long seta, which projects forward over the mandibles (Fig. 378)
	Propodeum bidentate (Fig. 389). Mandible with 6 or more (usually more) teeth or denticles in total, though sometimes the basalmost teeth poorly defined. Petiolar spiracle on the peduncle very close to the articulation with the alitrunk. Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin straddled by a pair of setae, without an unpaired, long median seta (Fig. 388)
36	Petiole sessile; in profile the petiole lacking a longitudinal anterior bar-like peduncle between the section which articulates with the alitrunk and the ascending (anterior) face of the node
	lates with the alitrunk and the ascending (anterior) face of the node
37 —	Sternite of petiole expanded ventrally into a massive process (Fig. 255)
38	Either the apical (masticatory) margin of the mandible with more than 5 teeth or denticles in total (dental count usually more than 7) (Figs. 334, 338), or the teeth variously worn down to blunt stubs (Fig. 340), or the margin functionally edentate. In the last two cases the original basal outline of each tooth may or may not be discernible
	down to stubs nor functionally edentate
39	Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with the third tooth (counting from the apex) smaller than the fourth tooth, or the reduced third tooth followed by a minute denticle before the larger fourth tooth (Fig. 334). Palp formula 2,2 or 3,2. Antennal funiculi terminating in a strongly defined, 3-seg-
_	mented club

Palaearctic	MYRMICINAE	(continued)
Palacaicuc	TAT I IV TATI C TIANE	CUMUMEAL

- 42 Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin with an unpaired, long seta, which projects forward over the mandibles. Lateral portions of clypeus flattened and projecting over the mandibles, sometimes the lateral portions projecting farther forward than the median portion of the clypeus (Fig. 258)

- 43 Frontal carinae absent (Fig. 250) Leptothorax (part)
- Frontal carinae present but usually weak, running back from the frontal lobes as a pair of fine raised ridges or lines (Fig. 256)
 Chalepoxenus

Key to Afrotropical and Malagasy MYRMICINAE (Workers)

- 2 Apical and preapical antennal segments much larger than preceding funicular segments and forming a conspicuous and usually very distinctive 2-segmented club (e.g., Figs. 177, 203, 220, 240, 288, 319, 347, 351, 355, 357, 391, 393, 411) . . 3
- Antenna never terminating in a conspicuous, 2-segmented club.
 Either apical plus 2 preapical segments of antenna enlarged

Afrotropical and Malagasy MYRMICINAE (continued)

- Mandibles triangular or subtriangular, not produced into narrow projecting blades, (Figs. 242, 244, 246, 258, 288, 346, 350, 412); apical (masticatory) margins usually serially multidentate or denticulate, but teeth sometimes reduced ... 8
- 4 Apex of each mandibular blade armed with a fork of 2 or 3 spiniform teeth set in a more or less vertical series, with or without intercalary teeth between the spiniform fork teeth 5
- 5 Apical fork of mandible with 3 spiniform teeth; blade of mandible without preapical teeth (Fig. 226). Maxillary palp 3-segmented. Antennal scrobes absent, the eyes dorsolateral. Petiole node with a pair of teeth or short spines (Fig. 227), postpetiole with lateral lamellate appendages
- Microdaceton
 Apical fork of mandible with 2 spiniform teeth; blade of mandible usually with preapical teeth (Figs. 234, 240). Maxillary palp 1-segmented. Antennal scrobes present, the eyes ventrolateral. Petiole node unarmed (Figs. 235, 241), postpetiole with spongiform appendages 6

- 8 Antenna with 4–6 segments
 9

 Antenna with 8–12 segments
 14
- Spongiform or lamellate appendages present on petiole, postpetiole, or both (Figs. 243, 245, 247, 249). Frontal lobes

Afrotropical and Malagasy MYRMICINAE (continued)	Afrotropical and Malagasy MYRMICINAE (continued)
widely separated, situated laterally on anterior half of head (Figs. 242, 244, 246, 248). Mandible with more than 4 teeth. Antennal scrobes present. Anterior coxae as large as or larger than the middle and hind coxae (Figs. 243, 247)	edentate oblique margin; without denticles between the teeth. Mesopleuron lacking a hair-filled circular organ. Antennal scrobes usually absent and antenna generally with 9–12 segments, only extremely rarely with 8
Differentiated, prominent basal lamella of mandible absent. Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with more than 20 denticles (Fig. 246), the basal 4–8 of which may be enlarged.	15 Antenna with 12 segments 16 — Antenna with 8–11 segments 17
Mandible relatively long, MI > 25	16 Palp formula 5,3. Frontal lobes separated and median portion of clypeus broadly inserted between them (Fig. 258). Lateral portions of clypeus flattened and prominent, fused to the raised projecting median portion of the clypeus to form a shelf, which projects forward over the mandibles (Fig. 258).
11 Fully closed mandibles with a strongly defined transverse basal margin, which is separated from the anterior clypeal margin	Propodeal lobes low and rounded, not connected to propodeal spines (when present) by broad projecting lamellae (Fig. 259)
by a conspicuous impression or gap. Basal lamella of mandible situated ventral to the basalmost tooth, in a plane almost at right angle to the anterior portion of the mandible, not visible in full-face view with the mandibles open Trichoscapa — Fully closed mandibles without a strongly defined basal margin,	 Palp formula 2,2. Frontal lobes closely approximated and median portion of clypeus reduced to an extremely narrow strip between them (Fig. 412). Lateral portions of clypeus not prominent, not fused to median portion, and not forming a shelf; instead median portion of clypeus sharply raised cen-
the basal region of the mandible contiguous with or over- lapped by the anterior clypeal margin, the two not separated by an impression or gap. Basal lamella of mandible following basalmost tooth in the same plane, visible in full-face view with the mandibles open	trally and in the form of a narrow longitudinal ridge (Fig. 412). Propodeal lobes large and prominent, connected to propodeal spines by broad, conspicuous lamellae (Fig. 413)
Propodeum unarmed. Dorsal alitrunk with two distinct convexities, promesonotal and propodeal, which are separated by	17 Head with strongly developed, sinuate frontal carinae and with broad, deep antennal scrobes (Fig. 208). Lateral portions of clypeus forming a sharp transverse ridge in front of the an-
a transverse groove (Fig. 249)	tennal insertions
With the head in profile the mandible increasing in width from base to apex and the distal portion of the blade passing into a strongly down-curved arc so that part or most of the apical margin is at right angle to the long axis of the head (Fig. 245). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible armed with a basal lamella plus 8–11 teeth, the basal 5–8 of which may be very	 Anterior clypeal margin with a single, long, anteriorly projecting median seta at the midpoint of the margin (Fig. 376). Propodeum always unarmed and rounded (Fig. 377). Antenna always with 10 segments Solenopsis Anterior clypeal margin lacking a single, median seta; instead a pair of setae usually straddle the midpoint of the margin (Figs.
strong (Fig. 244)	346, 352, 356). Propodeum sometimes unarmed and rounded (Fig. 357) but usually with spines or teeth (Figs. 345, 347, 351, 353), or sharply angulate (Fig. 355). Antenna with 8–11 segments
apical margin at right angle to the long axis of the head. Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible armed with a basal lamella plus 12–17 teeth or denticles, the apicalmost group of	19 Antenna with 8 or 9 segments
which are minute	20 Propodeum bidentate, bispinose, or sharply angulate in profile. Worker caste dimorphic, without intermediates
base; between each tooth is a minute denticle. Mesopleuron with a depressed, circular organ filled with fine, radially ar-	Propodeum unarmed. Worker caste monomorphic 21
ranged hairs. Antennal scrobes present; antenna with 8 segments	 21 Eyes absent. Mandible with 5 or 6 teeth. Promesonotum not marginate laterally (Fig. 357)
base, or with only 2 teem apically which are lonowed by an	Direct meeting (x.D. >>>)

Afro	otropical and Malagasy MYRMICINAE (continued)	Afro	otropical and Malagasy MYRMICINAE (continued)
22	Mandible with 2 teeth apically, the teeth followed by an elongate, very oblique, edentate margin, which ends at the acute basal angle. With mandibles at full closure there is a distinct	29	Antenna with 10 segments
_	gap between their inner borders and the anterior clypeal margin	30	Sting shaft with an apicodorsal, triangular to pennant-shaped lamelliform appendage. Propodeal spiracle low on side and behind midlength, abutting the metapleural gland, widely separated from the dorsal outline in profile (Fig. 415). Petiole with a long anterior peduncle. Palp formula 4,3
	margin; without an elongate, oblique, edentate margin behind the two apical teeth (Figs. 344, 346, 350, 352). With mandibles at full closure without a gap between their inner borders and the anterior clypeal margin	_	Sting shaft without an apicodorsal lamelliform appendage. Propodeal spiracle very high on side and slightly in front of the midlength, widely separated from the metapleural gland, very close to the dorsal outline in profile (Fig. 273). Petiole
23	Clypeus longitudinally bicarinate on median portion (Figs. 350, 352). Worker caste dimorphic, without intermediates		subsessile, with an extremely short, inconspicuous anterior peduncle. Palp formula 5,3
-	Clypeus not bicarinate on median portion (Figs. 344, 346). Worker caste polymorphic with a graded series of intermedi-	31 —	Antenna with 11 segments
24 —	Antenna with 7 segments (Figs. 306, 307) Myrmicaria Antenna with 9–12 segments 25	32	Antennal scrobes present, running below the eyes (Figs. 210, 211). Dorsum of gaster consisting entirely of the expanded first tergite, the remaining tergites visible in profile below the posterior margin of the first
25	Antenna with 9 segments. Petiole sessile, without an anterior peduncle (Fig. 305). Pronotum and mesonotum fused into a laterally projecting shield, which overhangs the sides of the alitrunk on each side and sometimes also overhangs the propodeum posteriorly	_	Antennal scrobes either absent or present but running above the eyes (Figs. 208, 209, 250, 251, 300, 301, 368, 369, 378, 379, 394, 395, 416, 417). Dorsum of gaster not consisting entirely of the first tergite, the remaining tergites continuing the line of the first and visible in dorsal view
	Antenna with 10–12 segments. Petiole usually with an elongate anterior peduncle; if not then the pronotum and mesonotum do not form a shield overhanging sides of the alitrunk 26	33	Frontal lobes vestigial or absent so that the antennal articulations are exposed and the depressed area containing the antennal sockets clearly visible (Fig. 300). Anterior clypeal mar-
26 	Median portion of clypeus vertical, with a conspicuous anteriorly projecting bilobed appendage above (the clypeal fork), which projects over the mandibles from about the same level as the frontal lobes (Figs. 404–407)	_	gin armed with denticles
	pendage projecting over the mandibles from about the same level as the frontal lobes (e.g., Figs. 208–211, 272–279, 330–335, 364–369, 394, 395, 412–421)	34	Eyes located behind midlength of sides of head. Median portion of clypeus raised and produced forward as a large, shield-like lobe projecting strongly over the mandibles. Tibiae and basi-
27	Antenna with 11 segments. Peduncle of petiole short and very thick in profile (Fig. 407). All body setae simple, without bizarre pilosity	_	tarsi of middle and hind legs terminating in a number of peg-like, stout spines
	Antenna with 12 segments. Peduncle of petiole elongate and narrow in profile (Fig. 405). Body setae bizarre; either spatulate, squamate, clavate, star-shaped, or very short, thick, and stubbly with abruptly tapered points		head, or sometimes absent. Median portion of clypeus not produced forward as a large, shield-like lobe projecting strongly over the mandibles. Tibiae and basitarsi of middle and hind legs not terminating in peg-like, stout spines 35
28	Propodeal spiracle long and narrow, its orifice slit-like (Fig. 331). Mesothoracic spiracles opening on dorsum of alitrunk. Mandible with at least the third tooth from the apex, and usually the third and fourth teeth, double-ranked	35	Maxillary palp with 1 or 2 segments. Propodeum rounded to angulate, never armed with differentiated teeth or spines (Figs. 365, 369, 379). Anterior clypeal margin with a single median seta (Figs. 368, 378). Antennal scrobes always absent and mandible with only 4 teeth
_	Propodeal spiracle circular to subcircular, very rarely oval but never long and narrow with a slit-like orifice. Mesothoracic spiracles concealed by a pronotal flap on the sides of the alitrunk. Without double-ranked mandibular teeth 29	_	Maxillary palp with 3–5 segments. Propodeum bidentate or bispinose (Figs. 209, 251, 395, 417). Anterior clypeal margin without a single median seta, usually with a pair of setae that straddle the midline (Figs. 250, 394, 416). Antennal scrobes

Afrotropical and Malagasy MYRMICINAE (continued)	Afrotropical and Malagasy MYRMICINAE (continued)
frequently, but not always, present and mandible usually with 5 or more teeth	scrobes always absent. Ventral margin of petiole keel-like. Eyes behind midlength of sides of head (Figs. 418, 419)
 36 Eyes absent. Propodeal spiracle enormously enlarged, circular (Fig. 369). Frontal lobes closely approximated and median portion of clypeus narrow posteriorly between the lobes (Fig. 368)	 Rhoptromyrmex (part) Palp formula usually 4,3, only very rarely reduced. Head not heart-shaped in full-face view. Median portion of clypeus without a prominent, arcuate anterior margin. Antennal scrobes usually, but not always, present. Ventral margin of petiole not keel-like. Eyes at, or somewhat in front of, midlength of sides of head (Figs. 416, 417) Tetramorium
364, 378)	42 Petiole sessile, lacking an anterior peduncle, and the petiole node ventrally with a large and very strongly projecting plate-
37 Median portion of clypeus distinctly raised, strongly to weakly longitudinally bicarinate (Fig. 378). Postpetiole node less voluminous than petiole node in profile and narrowly attached to the gaster	like process (Fig. 295). Median portion of clypeus longitudinally bicarinate (Fig. 294)
 Median portion of clypeus evenly transversely convex, not distinctly raised nor longitudinally bicarinate (Fig. 364). Postpetiole node much more voluminous than petiole node in profile and very broadly attached to gaster (Fig. 365) 	ally a small anteroventral process present on the peduncle). If petiole subsessile then median portion of clypeus not bicarinate
Diplomorium	Dorsum of petiole node armed with a pair of sharp spines (Figs. 273, 275, 285)
38 Mandible with 4 or 5 teeth or denticles in total, the basal tooth generally concealed by the anterior clypeal margin. Sting acute apically, not terminating in a lamellate, spatulate, or	Dorsum of petiole node unarmed or indented medially, lacking sharp spines
dentiform appendage	44 All of visible portion of gaster consisting of the first tergite, which is massively enlarged and subglobose, ball-like but with an anteroventral orifice within which the remaining gastral segments are telescoped (Fig. 285). Eyes at extreme posterior corners of head (Fig. 284). Clypeus projecting far forward and
39 Frontal carinae and antennal scrobes present (Fig. 208, 209). Palp formula 3,2. Petiole with an anterior peduncle. Nodes of petiole and postpetiole without spines or tubercles	 almost concealing the mandibles
 Frontal carinae and antennal scrobes absent. Palp formula 5,3. Petiole sessile, without an anterior peduncle. Nodes of petiole and postpetiole with spines or tubercles 	massively enlarged and ball-like (Figs. 273, 275). Eyes not at extreme posterior corners of head (Figs. 272, 274). Clypeus not projecting far forward over the mandibles
 40 Lateral portions of clypeus not raised into a narrow ridge or wall in front of the antennal insertions. Median portion of clypeus 	45 Occipital corners of head evenly broadly rounded in full-face view (Fig. 272). Ventral surface of alitrunk with a very deep, broad pit between the hind coxae. Ventral margin of sides of
narrow and bicarinate (Fig. 394), narrowly inserted between	metapleuron eroded in front of the metapleural gland bulla (Fig. 273). Polymorphic species, the propodeum armed with
frontal lobes. Mandible armed with 10–14 teeth which decrease in size from apex to base. Promesonotum in profile with a swollen and dome-like outline (Fig. 395)	a pair of long spines
 Lateral portions of clypeus raised into a narrow ridge or wall in front of the antennal insertions. Median portion of clypeus broad, not bicarinate (Figs. 416, 418), broadly inserted be- tween frontal lobes. Mandible armed with 2 or 3 enlarged 	metapleuron not eroded in front of metapleural gland bulla but with a conspicuous, broad groove running forward to the mesopleuron (Fig. 275). Monomorphic species, the propodeum bidentate to unarmed
teeth apically, followed by a row of at least 4 smaller denticles, sometimes more. Promesonotum in profile without a swollen and dome-like outline (Figs. 417, 419)	46 Lateral portions of clypeus raised up into a sharp-edged ridge or shield wall in front of the antennal insertions (Figs. 314, 416, 418)
41 Palp formula 3,2. Head heart-shaped in full-face view. Median portion of clypeus with a prominent, arcuate anterior margin, which overlaps the basal angle of the mandible. Antennal	 Lateral portions of clypeus not raised up into a sharp-edged ridge or shield wall in front of the antennal insertions (Figs. 250, 258, 274, 278, 332, 334, 338, 340, 378) 49

Afrotropical	and	Malagasy	MYRMICINAE	(continued))
AIIOLIOPICUI	ullu	1 Tulugus y	TATE TATE OF TAXES	(CO I III I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	,

- 47 Sting lacking a spatulate or dentiform lamellate appendage dorsally at or close to the apex of the shaft. Anterior clypeal margin with a small triangular point medially (Fig. 314)
- Sting with a spatulate or dentiform lamellate appendage dorsally at or close to the apex of the shaft (Figs. 417, 419). Anterior clypeal margin without a small triangular point medially (Figs. 416, 418) 48

..... Eutetramorium

- 48 Head heart-shaped in full-face view. Ventral margin of petiole convex and keel-like. Anterior clypeal margin strongly arcuate and prominent (Fig. 418). Eyes behind midlength of sides of head and propodeum unarmed .. Rhoptromyrmex (part)
- Head not heart-shaped in full-face view. Ventral margin of petiole never convex and keel-like. Anterior clypeal margin not strongly convex nor prominent (Fig. 416). Eyes only rarely behind midlength of sides of head and propodeum usually armed with a pair of spines or teeth . . . Tetramorium (part)
- Occipital corners of head distinctly tuberculate to sharply denticulate (Fig. 275). Pronotum marginate laterally. Frontal carinae present (Fig. 274) Terataner (part)
- Occipital corners of head usually rounded, rarely angular, but never tuberculate or denticulate (Figs. 251, 259, 279, 341, 333, 335). Pronotum usually lacking lateral margination. Frontal carinae absent (Figs. 250, 258, 278, 332, 334, 338,
- **50** Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with more than 5 teeth or denticles in total, usually with 7 or more altogether; or very rarely the apical margin may be worn down and entirely
- Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 3-5 teeth or denticles altogether, never with more; apical margin never en-
- With alitrunk in profile the dorsal outline simple, more or less evenly shallowly convex from front to back, without breaks in the outline and lacking a metanotal groove. Pronotum and mesonotum indistinguishable Undescribed genus [University of California, Davis, and Natural History Museum, London)
- With alitrunk in profile the dorsal outline complex; the pronotum or pronotum plus anterior mesonotum forming a high dome-like or markedly convex arc. Behind this the mesonotum may or may not form a second eminence before sloping steeply to the metanotal groove. Propodeum forming a separate convexity or flat plateau behind the metanotal groove (Figs. 335, 339, 341). Pronotum and mesonotum usually distinguishable 52
- 52 Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with the third tooth (counting from the apex) smaller than the fourth, or the reduced third tooth followed by a minute denticle before the larger fourth tooth (Fig. 334); margin never edentate. Antennal funiculi terminating in a strongly defined 3-segmented club. Palp formula 2,2 or more rarely 3,2 ... Pheidole (part)

Afrotropical and Malagasy MYRMICINAE (continued)

- Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with the third tooth (counting from the apex) larger than the fourth, or margin edentate. Antennal funiculi terminating in a weakly defined 4-segmented club or without a differentiated club, the segments gradually increasing in size toward the apex. Palp for-
- Ventral surface of head with a psammophore. Head massive and broad, CI > 90 (Fig. 340). Mandibles massive, their outer margins strongly curved toward the midline; sometimes edentate. Metasternal process large or very large, conspicuous. Polymorphic species Messor
- Ventral surface of head without a psammophore. Head narrow, CI 90 at maximum but usually less (Fig. 338). Mandibles elongate-triangular, not massive, their outer margins not curved toward the midline; never edentate. Metasternal process minute to absent. Monomorphic species

..... Aphaenogaster

- 54 Mandible powerfully constructed, armed with 2 large apical teeth followed by a long diastema and then 1 or 2 (rarely 3) basal teeth (Fig. 332). Two to 4 hypostomal teeth usually present on posterior margin of buccal cavity. Palp formula 2,2 or 3,2 and clypeus lacking a long, unpaired median seta on the anterior margin (Fig. 332) Pheidole (part)
- Mandible delicately constructed, armed with 3–5 teeth, serially dentate and decreasing in size from apex to base, not arranged as above (Figs. 250, 258, 278, 378). Hypostomal teeth absent from posterior margin of buccal cavity. If palp formula 2,2 then clypeus with a long, unpaired median seta on the
- 55 Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin with a single, elongate seta, which projects forward over the mandibles and is usually very
- Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin without a single, elongate seta; instead usually with a pair of short setae, one on each
- 56 Median portion of clypeus concave to prominent anteriorly, usually overhanging the mandibles, weakly to acutely bicarinate; lateral portions of clypeus not expanded forward nor fused with the median portion to form a broad, projecting shelf (Fig. 378). Maxillary palps usually with 1 or 2 segments, rarely more. Mandible with 3-5 teeth (usually 4). Propodeum usually unarmed (Fig. 379), rarely angulate or bidenticulate Monomorium (part)
- Median portion of clypeus raised and projecting, not bicarinate, fused to the flattened prominent lateral portions of the clypeus to form a shelf, which projects forward over the mandibles (Fig. 258). Maxillary palps 5-segmented. Mandible with 5 teeth. Propodeum usually bidentate or bispinose (Fig. 259), only extremely rarely unarmed Cardiocondyla (part)
- 57 With the alitrunk in profile the anterior margin of the mesonotum suddenly and very steeply raised above the level of the pronotum (Fig. 279); mesonotal free anterior face nearly ver-

Afrotropical and Malagasy MYRMICINAE (continued)

With the alitrunk in profile the mesonotum following the line
of the pronotum, not suddenly and steeply raised above the
level of the pronotum (Fig. 251); mesonotum without a nearvertical, somewhat concave free anterior face . . Leptothorax

Key to Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (Workers)

1	Antennal scrobes present which run below the eye (Figs. 197,
	203, 211). Eye usually distinct but rarely may be minute and
	situated on the underside of the upper scrobe margin, appar-
	ently absent in full-face view (Figs. 196, 202, 210, 220) . 2

- Either antennal scrobes absent (e.g., Figs. 218, 258, 266, 283, 306, 338), or present but running above the eye (e.g., Figs. 235, 243, 245, 249, 265, 277, 396, 417); in some genera both eyes and scrobes absent (Figs. 290, 356, 366)
- Antenna with 6 or 7 segments (Figs. 202, 220). Petiole with an anterior peduncle. Dorsum of gaster not consisting entirely of the first tergite, the remaining tergites continuing the line of the first and visible in dorsal view (Figs. 197, 203, 221) . 3

- **5** Postpetiole articulated on dorsal surface of first gastral segment (Fig. 219); the gaster in dorsal view roughly heart-shaped and capable of reflexion over the alitrunk. Petiole dorsoventrally flattened and without a node. Eyes present . . *Crematogaster*

Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)

- 8 Mandibles elongate and linear, produced into narrow projecting blades which are much longer than broad and which always lack a cluster of 3 stout teeth near the midlength of each blade (Figs. 232–235, 240, 241). Mandible never triangular or subtriangular, never serially multidentate or denticulate 9

- Apex of each mandibular blade armed with a fork of 2 or 3 spiniform teeth set in a more or less vertical series; with or without intercalary denticles between the fork teeth. Labral lobes not long and conical, not visible between the mandibles in full-face view when the latter are closed (Figs. 232, 234)
- Antennal scrobes absent. Antenna with 5 segments; of the 4 funicular segments the second is bar-like and elongate (Figs. 232, 233). Eyes lateral. Palp formula 5,3 . . . Orectognathus
- 11 Antenna with 4 segments
 Quadristruma

 Antenna with 6 segments
 Strumigenys
- Closed mandibles without a strongly defined basal margin, the basal region of the mandible contiguous with or overlapped

Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)	Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)
by the anterior clypeal margin, the two not separated by an impression or gap (Figs. 242, 244, 248)	a tooth close to or behind its midlength (Fig. 410). Palp formula 2,2 or less
closure only the distal halves of the apical (masticatory) margins engaging, with a distinct gap between the basal portions of the margins when the distal halves are engaged (Fig. 238)	 Antennal scrobes present, varying from long, broad, but shallow indentations bounded above by the frontal carinae, to extensive excavations in the sides of the head above the eyes (Figs. 208, 209, 318, 319, 408, 409)
14 Propodeum unarmed. Dorsal alitrunk with 2 distinct convexities, promesonotal and propodeal, which are separated by a	344–347, 350–357, 376, 377, 390, 391) 24
transverse groove (Fig. 249)	 Mandible with 12 teeth, which alternate in size and which become larger basally. Antenna with 9 segments (Fig. 319). In full-face view anterolateral corners of head formed by the frontal lobes (Fig. 318)
15 Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with a cluster of 3 stout teeth near the midlength. Between this cluster and the clypeal margin the mandibular margin is unarmed. Distal to	apex. Antenna with 10 or 11 segments (Figs. 209, 409). In full-face view anterolateral corners of head formed by the clypeus (Figs. 208, 408)
the 3 stout teeth are a diastema and a single small tooth before the apical series of small teeth and minute denticles	23 Median portion of clypeus with a near-vertical anterior face and forming a bilobed or bidentate process above, which projects forward over the mandibles (Fig. 408). Antenna 10-seg-
 Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible serially dentate or denticulate, lacking a cluster of 3 stout teeth near the midlength (Figs. 242, 244). Diastema between basal tooth and clypeal margin variably developed but usually absent	mented
Mandible short, powerful, and bear-trap-like, armed with relatively few teeth in total (usually 8 or less), most or all of which are large and strongly developed (Fig. 244)	24 Anterior clypeal margin with a single, long, anteriorly projecting median seta at the midpoint of the margin (Fig. 376)
 Glamyromyrmex Mandible triangular to elongate-triangular, not bear trap-like, armed with many teeth or denticles in total (10 or more), all of which are short and triangular to peg-like	 Solenopsis Anterior clypeal margin lacking a single median seta, instead usually with a pair of setae that straddle the midpoint (Figs. 286, 346, 352, 356)
17 Standing setae of some form usually present on the head, alitrunk, or both. If setae absent from both these areas then	25 Antenna with 8–10 segments 26 — Antenna with 11 segments 29
the propodeum lacks teeth but has an extensive spongiform lamella running the height of the declivity <i>Smithistruma</i> — Standing setae always completely absent from head and alitrunk; propodeal teeth always present <i>Pentastruma</i>	26 Postpetiole very broadly attached to gaster (Fig. 287). Frontal lobes very closely approximated, touching or separated only by an extremely narrow impression (Fig. 286). Alitrunk box-like, the flattened dorsum finely and densely longitudinally
18 Antenna with 12 segments 19 — Antenna with 8–11 segments 21	striate
19 Dorsal alitrunk with a series of pairs of conical tubercles or prominences (Fig. 403). Frontal carinae present (Fig. 402)	(Figs. 344, 346, 350, 352, 354, 356, 390). Alitrunk usually not box-like but if so then the dorsum not finely and densely longitudinally striate
Dorsal alitrunk without conical tubercles or prominences. Frontal carinae absent	27 Propodeum bidentate, bispinose (Figs. 351, 353), or sharply angulate in profile. Worker caste dimorphic, without interme-
20 Frontal lobes closely approximated; median portion of clypeus, where it is inserted between the lobes, narrower than either of the frontal lobes (Fig. 410). Basal margin of mandible with	diates

Orie	ntal and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)	Orie	ntal and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)
28 —	Eyes absent. Mandible with 4–6 teeth. Promesonotum not marginate laterally (Figs. 356, 357)		Median portion of clypeus not vertical, without a bilobed appendage projecting over the mandibles from about the same level as the frontal lobes
29	Clypeus posteriorly very narrowly inserted between broad frontal lobes (Fig. 390). Ventral surfaces of petiole and postpetiole with diffuse spongiform appendages; a pad of loose spongiform tissue also present ventrally at base of first gastral sternite (Fig. 391)	37	Antenna with 10–12 segments
30	without spongiform pad (Figs. 351, 353, 345, 347) 30 Median portion of clypeus longitudinally bicarinate (Figs. 350, 352). Workers dimorphic without intermediates	38	gin with a tooth at about the midlength <i>Perissomyrmex</i> Petiole sessile, without an elongate, bar-like anterior peduncle
_	Median portion of clypeus not longitudinally bicarinate (Figs. 344, 346). Workers polymorphic with a graded series of intermediates between minors and majors Pheidologeton		between the articulation with the alitrunk and the node (Fig. 305). Promesonotum sharply marginate laterally, the margins usually expanded and often equipped with spines, lobes, foliaceous processes, or other outgrowths. Frontal lobes widely
31 —	Antenna with 7 segments (Figs. 306, 307) Myrmicaria Antenna with 9–12 segments		separated, the median portion of the clypeus where it projects between them broad and broadly convex in full-face view (Fig. 304)
32	Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with a long, edentate edge apically and 4 small teeth basally, the edentate portion of the margin longer than the dentate section (Fig. 422). Entirety of the gastral dorsum formed by the first tergite, which curves strongly downwards posteriorly so that tergites 2–4 are on the ventral surface (Fig. 423). Eyes vestigial	_	Petiole pedunculate, with an elongate, bar-like anterior peduncle between the articulation with the alitrunk and the node. Promesonotum rounded laterally, lacking projections of any kind. Frontal lobes very closely approximated, the median portion of the clypeus where it projects between them reduced to an extremely narrow strip in full-face view
_	Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible not divided into a long, edentate apical portion and a shorter 4-dentate basal section. First gastral tergite not forming entire dorsum nor down-curved posteriorly; tergites 2–4 following the first and not on the ventral surface. Eyes present (even if only of a single		[Description in preparation, by K. Rosciszewski, Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde, Karlsruhe] Antenna with 10 segments
	ommatidium) or completely absent	40	Antenna with 11 segments
33 —	Eyes completely absent	41	Antenna with 12 segments
34	Frontal lobes very closely approximated, the posterior portion of the clypeus, which passes between them, narrower than the width of either lobe (Fig. 366). Petiole without an anteroventral process. Postpetiole articulated at top of anterior face of first gastral segment (Fig. 367) Anillomyrma Frontal lobes widely separated, the posterior portion of the clypeus, which passes between them, much broader than the width of either lobe (Fig. 290). Petiole with a large to very large anteroventral process. Postpetiole articulated close to center of anterior face of first gastral segment (Fig. 291) Liomyrmex	_	nal articulations are exposed (Fig. 300); in either case the anterior clypeal margin denticulate or sharply crenulate. Distal portion of mandible suddenly broadened, broader that proximal portion. Long axis of mandible rotated so that at ful closure the masticatory margin is vertical or near-vertical below the anterior clypeal margin
35	Median portion of clypeus vertical, with a conspicuous, anteriorly projecting, bilobed appendage above (the clypeal fork), which projects over the mandibles from about the same level as the frontal lobes (Figs. 404, 405)	42	

Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)		Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)		
larger — Sting sh rarely with	received at its apex. Mandible with 7 teeth, consisting of 3 teeth apically, followed by 4 smaller teeth Tetramorium (part) aft usually simple and lacking a lamellate appendage, or the sting straight-spatulate apically. Mandible usually fewer than 7 teeth, but if 7 or more present they are not	-	Propodeum unarmed or with a pair of teeth or spines which are more or less straight and directed posteriorly or posterodorsally (Figs. 259, 271). Junction of postpetiole and gaster not strongly dorsoventrally compressed. Basal tooth of mandible with a single point and basal margin of mandible edentate	
	ged as above	50	Femora of middle and hind legs grossly swollen medially. Stand-	
denti — Apical ((masticatory) margin of mandible with 8 or more teeth, cles, or crenulations in total (Figs. 398, 400)		ing pilosity present on the dorsal alitrunk. Lateral portions of clypeus not flattened, not fused with median portion, not projecting as a shelf over the mandibles (Fig. 270). Anterior clypeal margin usually with a pair of setae that straddle the	
close scrob longi — With al	itrunk in profile the propodeal spiracle at or extremely to the margin of the declivity (Figs. 399, 401). Antennal es present, varying from weakly defined, broad, shallow, tudinal impressions to deep excavations (Figs. 398, 400)		midpoint (Fig. 270)	
comp	eletely absent (as in Fig. 348) Lophomyrmex	51	Broad but shallow antennal scrobes present on sides of head above the eyes, the scrobes running almost the length of the	
base feren alitru	orm tissue present ventrally on petiole, postpetiole, and of first gastral sternite (Fig. 399). Petiole without a diftiated peduncle between node and articulation with mk	_	sides of the head capsule (Figs. 208, 209)	
gastra	form tissue absent from petiole, postpetiole, and first all sternite (Fig. 401). Petiole with a peduncle between and articulation with alitrunk	52	Petiole sessile to subsessile and with a large to very large ventral process (Fig. 295). Petiole not enormously more voluminous	
above curve hang	but deep antennal scrobes present which are bordered by very broad, horizontal, laterally directed or downed frontal carinae, the frontal carinae extensively overing the scrobe and concealing it from dorsal view. Eyes the below posterior ends of the scrobal impressions Metapone	_	than postpetiole in dorsal view and in profile	
348, the s carin scrob	al scrobes completely absent (Figs. 258, 282, 294, 342, 378) or shallowly present (Fig. 208). If the latter then scrobal impressions bounded above by narrow frontal ae, which do not extensively overhang and conceal the e, and the eyes not situated below the posterior ends of crobal impressions		Pronotal dorsum a flat plateau which is sharply marginate laterally, the marginations terminating anteriorly in projecting flat, acute, tooth-like or triangular processes above and behind the true humeral angles of the pronotum	
47 Maxilla	ry palp with 4 or 5 segments		ing acute, tooth-like or triangular processes above and behind the true humeral angles of the pronotum	
48 Petiole terior	subsessile, with an extremely short and very broad an- r peduncle (Fig. 283). Petiole node high and thickly -like	54 —	Propodeum unarmed, evenly rounded <i>Monomorium</i> (part) Propodeum with a pair of stout, posteriorly directed spines	
Petiole petio eithe	either with an elongate, narrow anterior peduncle or the le subcylindrical and armed above with a single tooth; in r case the node not high and thickly scale-like (Figs. 259, 343)		Palp formula 6,4. Spurs on posterior tibiae usually pectinate	
and stron profi	eum armed with a pair of spines which curve upwards forwards (Fig. 343). Junction of postpetiole and gaster gly dorsoventrally compressed and very narrow in le. Basal tooth of mandible broad and with 2 points, or margin of mandible with a tooth	56	Sting with an apicodorsal triangular to pennant-shaped lamellate appendage projecting from the shaft at an angle to its long axis (Figs. 417, 419). Lateral portions of clypeus raised into a sharp-edged ridge or shield wall on each side, in front of the antennal insertions (Figs. 416, 418)	

Oriental	and	Indo-A	ustralian	MYRMICINAE	(continued)
Official	anu	IIIOO-A	изпапап	WIKWILLINAE	попшнией

- Sting without an apicodorsal triangular to pennant-shaped lamellate appendage projecting from the shaft at an angle to its long axis, though sometimes the sting apex may be straightspatulate. Lateral portions of clypeus not raised into a sharpedged ridge or shield wall on each side in front of the anten-
- 57 Head heart-shaped in full-face view. Ventral margin of petiole convex and keel-like. Anterior clypeal margin strongly arcuate and prominent. Eyes behind midlength of sides of head. Median clypeal and median cephalic carinae vestigial or absent (Figs. 418, 419). Palp formula 3,2 ... Rhoptromyrmex
- Head not heart-shaped in full-face view. Ventral margin of petiole not convex and keel-like. Anterior clypeal margin not strongly arcuate. Eyes usually at or in front of the midlength of the sides of the head, only externely rarely otherwise. Either median clypeal carina or median cephalic carina usually present, or both present; only infrequently with both absent. Palp formula usually 4,3, rarely reduced (Figs. 416, 417) Tetramorium (part)
- 58 Mandible very strongly falcate, sharply pointed apically, and with the apical third recurved and hook-like (Fig. 324). Strongly arched-concave masticatory margin of mandibular blade edentate except for a single, large, triangular tooth near the base, which is mostly concealed by the clypeus when the
- Mandible triangular to elongate-triangular, edentate to multidentate but never strongly falcate with a recurved and hook-like apical third. Masticatory margin of mandible not arched-concave, only very rarely edentate, always lacking an isolated, large, triangular tooth near the base 59
- 59 Ventrolateral margin of head delineated by a sharp longitudinal carina on each side. The carina starts close to the inner-ventral mandibular base, runs the length of the head below the eye, and ascends the occipital surface posteriorly (Fig. 302). Petiole sessile, without an anterior peduncle (Fig. 303)
- Myrmecina - Ventrolateral margin of head without a longitudinal carina on each side. Rarely a narrow, sinuate, longitudinal groove may run the length of the head immediately below the eye (e.g., Fig. 267), or a weak ridge may be present; if the latter then the petiole has a long anterior peduncle 60
- 60 Alitrunk armed with spines at the pronotal humeri and the propodeal angles; besides this the dorsum with 4 pairs of elongate conical to thickly spiniform prominences on the promesonotum, and the propodeum with a single anteromedian spine (Fig. 403). Occipital region of head with 3 pairs of similar prominences (Fig. 402) Proatta (part)
- Alitrunk at most with spines at pronotal humeri and propodeal angles; pronotum usually unarmed and propodeum sometimes unarmed; in either case the dorsal alitrunk never with 4 pairs of elongate conical to thickly spiniform prominences

Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)

- on the promesonotum and never with 3 pairs of similar prominences on the occipital region of the head 61
- 61 Mandible edentate. Either structurally so, with a sharp-edged, toothless apical (masticatory) margin from apex to base (Fig. 296); or functionally so, the teeth having been worn down to nothing from a previously multidentate condition. [Major workers of some dimorphic or polymorphic species] 62
- Mandible dentate. The apical (masticatory) margin distinctly with 3 or more teeth or denticles present in total 64
- 62 Tergite of first gastral segment medially overlapping onto the anteroventral surface, the suture between tergite and sternite of the first gastral segment basally in the form of a rounded M-shape and the postpetiole articulated in the base of the M. In profile the postpetiole attached on the apparent anteroventral surface of the gaster (Fig. 297) Acanthomyrmex
- Tergite of first gastral segment medially not overlapping onto the anteroventral surface, the suture between tergite and sternite of the first gastral segment basally transverse and not a rounded M-shape; postpetiole articulated in the middle of the anterior surface. In profile the postpetiole not attached anteroventrally on the gaster (as in Figs. 333, 341) 63
- 63 With the head in full-face view the eyes usually behind the midlength of the sides, or more rarely at the midlength (Fig. 340). Head generally roughly transversely rectangular. Maxillary palp with 4 or 5 segments. Metasternal process large to massive Messor (part)
- With the head in full-face view the eyes in front of the midlength of the sides, usually very obviously so (Fig. 332). Head not roughly transversely rectangular. Maxillary palp with 2 or 3 segments. Metasternal process vestigial to absent *Pheidole* (part)
- 64 Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with only 3–6 teeth or denticles in total, the dentition usually sharply defined and the teeth decreasing in size from the apical (Figs. 258, 264, 266, 268, 294, 332, 336, 370, 378, 386). Masticatory margin never with a series of ill-defined crenulations or semi-effaced denticles near the basal angle, nor with teeth radically alternating in size along the length of the margin 65
- Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 7 or more teeth or denticles in total (Figs. 298, 334, 338, 340, 388, 396), the dentition sometimes decreasing in size from the apex but often the masticatory margin with ill-defined crenulations or denticles between the main teeth, or with a series of illdefined crenulations or denticles near the basal angle. Sometimes teeth alternating in size along the length of the margin
- 65 Petiole sessile or subsessile, without a roughly horizontal anterior peduncle between the portion which articulates with the alitrunk and the ascending anterior face of the strongly de-
- Petiole pedunculate, with a roughly horizontal anterior peduncle between the portion which articulates with the alitrunk

Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)	Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)	
and the ascending anterior face of the node (Figs. 251, 259, 267, 333, 337, 341, 371, 379, 387), or the entire petiole roughly cylindrical to claviform and lacking a sharply defined node (Fig. 277)	impressed (Figs. 251, 267, 269, 295). Pronotum and mesonotum usually indistinguishable	
 66 Elongate frontal carinae and shallow antennal scrobes present (Figs. 264, 265). Palp formula 5,3. Ventral process of petiole a minute denticle. Propodeum armed with a pair of stout, long spines	dome-like or markedly convex arc. Behind this the mesono- tum may or may not form a second eminence before sloping steeply to the metanotal groove. Propodeum forming a sepa- rate convexity or plateau behind the metanotal groove (Figs. 333, 337, 341). Pronotum and mesonotum usually distin-	
Palp formula 2,2. Ventral process of petiole large and angular to plate-like. Propodeum unarmed or at most with a pair of small triangular teeth Vollenhovia (part)	guishable	
67 Basal margin of mandible with 2 posteriorly directed, broad, rounded lobes, the first lobe close to the basalmost tooth of the 5 on the apical (masticatory) margin (Fig. 370), the sec-	the clypeus at most only as broad as one of the lobes where it passes between them. Propodeum unarmed. Palp formula less than 5,3 (usually 2,2)	
ond lobe near the trulleum	 Median portion of clypeus not longitudinally bicarinate (Figs. 250, 266, 268). Clypeus posteriorly broadly inserted between frontal lobes, the clypeus much broader than one of the lobes where it passes between them. Propodeum armed with a pair of spines or teeth. Palp formula 5,3	
68 Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin with an unpaired median seta, which is usually elongate and stout and which projects	74 Propodeal lobes elongate, sharply, narrowly triangular, and directed almost vertically	
forward over the mandibles (Figs. 258, 276, 378) 69 — Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin without an unpaired median seta; instead either with a pair of setae that straddle the	 Propodeal lobes short and rounded, not projecting almost vertically as sharp, narrow triangles (Figs. 251, 267, 269) 75 	
midpoint (Figs. 266, 268, 294, 336), or with an unbroken row of long, strong setae (Fig. 340), or hairless	75 Frontal carinae weakly present, running as a pair of feeble or interrupted ridges from the frontal lobes to the occipital mar-	
 With head in full-face view the occipital corners acutely angulate to dentate. Frontal carinae and antennal scrobes present (Figs. 276, 277)	gin (Fig. 268). Propodeal spiracle large, low on the side, and shifted backwards, in profile the spiracle situated close to the margin of the declivity and immediately above (almost touching) the metapleural gland bulla (Fig. 269) <i>Rotastruma</i> — Frontal carinae absent (Figs. 250, 266). Propodeal spiracle small,	
narrowly rounded. Frontal carinae and antennal scrobes absent (Figs. 258, 259, 378, 379, 386, 387)	high on the side and far forward, in profile the spiracle situated far in front of the margin of the propodeal declivity and widely separated from the metapleural gland bulla (Figs. 251,	
minute denticles; if the latter then the eyes are only of a single ommatidium	267)	
— Propodeum armed with a pair of teeth or spines (Figs. 259, 387); eyes always with many ommatidia	76 Mandible with 5 (or extremely rarely 6) teeth, which are regularly spaced and which lack a long diastema between teeth 3 and 4 (Fig. 250). Side of head below eye never with a sinuate	
Maxillary palp with 5 segments. Lateral portions of clypeus dorsoventrally flattened and thin (Fig. 258), strongly prominent over the mandibles, and sometimes projecting farther than the median clypeal portion. Median portion of clypeus not longitudinally bicarinate (Fig. 258)	longitudinal groove running part or all of its length (Fig. 251)	
 Cardiocondyla (part) Maxillary palp with fewer than 5 segments (usually 2 or 3). Lateral portions of clypeus not dorsoventrally flattened nor projecting over the mandibles (Fig. 386), not projecting as far forward as the median clypeal portion. Median portion of 	eye usually with a sinuate longitudinal groove, the groove generally running the length of the head (Fig. 267) but sometimes terminating at the eye (absent in one species)	
clypeus longitudinally bicarinate (Fig. 386) Rogeria	77 With the petiole in profile the spiracle situated at the node, behind the level of the anterior sloping face of the node	
72 With alitrunk in profile the dorsal outline simple, more or less flat to evenly shallowly convex from front to back, without breaks in the outline or at most with the metanotal groove	[University of California, Davis, and Natural History Museum, London]	

Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)		Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)		
 78	With the petiole in profile the spiracle situated on the peduncle, in front of the anterior sloping face of the node 78 With head in full-face view the eyes in front of the midlength of the sides (Fig. 332). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 2 teeth apically, a long diastema, and 0–3 teeth basally (usually 2). Maxillary palp with 2 or 3 segments	83	apart so that the posteromedian portion of the clypeus, where it projects between the frontal lobes, is usually very much broader than one of the lobes. If posteromedian clypeus not distinctly much broader than one of the lobes (very rare) then the frontal lobes are markedly elevated	
	With head in full-face view the eyes at or more usually behind the midlength of the sides (Figs. 336, 340). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with up to 6 teeth arranged along its length, without a long central diastema. Maxillary palp with 4 or 5 segments	_	scrobes variously developed, ranging from broad but shallow impressions to extensive excavations in the sides of the head (Fig. 397)	
79	Metasternal process large to massive. Median portion of clypeus more or less flat both longitudinally and transversely (Fig. 340); not forming a distinctly convex outward bulge in profile. Vertex of head in profile or in full-face view without a marked depression of the surface behind the level of the	84	Petiole sessile to subsessile, without a roughly horizontal anterior peduncle between the portion which articulates with the alitrunk and the anterior ascending face of the node. Petiole with a large to enormous ventral process (Fig. 295)	
	eyes (Fig. 341)	85	Petiole pedunculate, with a roughly horizontal anterior peduncle between the portion which articulates with the alitrunk and the anterior ascending face of the node. Petiole at most with a small, dentiform anteroventral process (Figs. 387, 389)	
80	of the eyes (Fig. 337)	_	the height of the node; petiole node small, low, and conical to subconical in profile. Antennal club of 4 segments (Fig. 389)	
_	Tergite of first gastral segment medially not overlapping onto the anteroventral surface, the suture between tergite and sternite of the first gastral segment basally transverse and not a rounded M-shape; postpetiole articulated in the middle of the anterior surface. In profile the postpetiole not attached anteroventrally on the gaster (Figs. 281, 335, 339, 387, 389, 397)	86	With alitrunk in profile the dorsum of the promesonotum flat or forming a single, very shallowly convex curve from front to back (Fig. 281). Dorsal surface of propodeum on approximately the same level as the promesonotum, at most only fractionally lower. Petiole node rectangular or rounded-rectangular, blocky	
81	Petiole armed dorsally with a pair of narrow, acute spines di-		rior mesonotum forming a high, dome-like or markedly con-	
_	rected posteriorly		vex arc (Figs. 335, 339, 341). Behind this the mesonotum may or may not form a second eminence before sloping steeply, and sometimes sinuously, to the metanotal groove. Dorsal surface of propodeum depressed below the level of the	
82	Median portion of clypeus narrow and longitudinally bicarinate (Figs. 294, 386, 388, 396), the surface between the two carinae usually transversely concave. Frontal lobes relatively close together so that the posteromedian portion of the clypeus, where it projects between the frontal lobes, is at most only slightly broader than one of the lobes. Frontal lobes themselves usually flat and transverse, not sharply elevated	87	promesonotum, usually considerably so. Petiole node usually conical to subconical, only rarely otherwise	

Oriental and Indo-Australian MYRMICINAE (continued)	Australasian MYRMICINAE (continued)
 Palp formula 4,3 or 5,3. Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with the third tooth (counting from the apex) larger than the fourth (Figs. 338, 340). Mandible never with dentition as described for major workers above	 Mandibles triangular, their whole serially dentate masticatory margins engaging directly at full closure (Fig. 196)
in media and major workers (Fig. 340). Mandibles short and powerful, massively constructed, their outer margins strongly curved toward the midline. Mostly polymorphic species	 Postpetiole articulated on dorsal surface of first gastral segment (Fig. 219); the gaster in dorsal view roughly heart-shaped and capable of reflexion over the alitrunk. Petiole dorsoventrally flattened and without a node
Key to Australasian MYRMICINAE (Workers) 1 Either antennal scrobes present which run below the eye (Figs. 197, 203, 225), or the scape, when laid back in its normal resting position, passes below the eye or across the lower margin of the eye in profile (the latter when the eye relatively	 7 Apical and preapical antennal segments much larger than preceding funicular segments and forming a conspicuous 2-segmented club (e.g., Figs. 177, 203, 220, 240, 288, 319, 347, 351, 355, 357, 391, 393, 411), or the apical and preapical segments preceded by an elongate, bar-like fusion segment (Fig. 230)
large) (Figs. 220–223). Eye usually distinct but rarely may be minute and situated on the underside of the upper scrobe margin	Either apical plus two preapical funicular segments of antenna enlarged and forming a conspicuous, 3-segmented club, or less commonly the club with more than 3 segments (e.g., Figs. 179, 257, 271, 272, 277, 285, 297, 309, 313, 329, 383, 399, 407, 417). Rarely the funiculus filiform and without a developed apical club
above the eye	 9 Mandibles short, triangular to subtriangular, serially multidentate (Figs. 242, 244), and not terminating in an apical fork of 2 or 3 spiniform teeth in a vertical series
less	blades, which are much longer than broad and each of which terminates in an apical fork of 2 or 3 spiniform teeth arranged in a vertical series
remainder of their length (Fig. 224)	base to apex and the distal portion of the blade passing into a strongly down-curved arc so that part or most of the apical margin is at right angle to the long axis of the head (Fig. 245). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible armed with a basal lamella plus 8–11 teeth, the basal 5–8 of which may be very strong (Fig. 244)
 With petiole in dorsal view or profile the node equipped with laterally projecting, aliform cuticular prominences (Fig. 221) 	 With the head in profile the mandible with its upper and lower margins approximately parallel for most of its length or evenly tapering anteriorly. At most the extreme tip of the mandible down-curved, without the major part of the apical margin at right angle to the long axis of the head (Fig. 243). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible armed with a basal

Aust	ralasian MYRMICINAE (continued)	Austra	alasian MYRMICINAE (continued)
	lamella plus 12–17 teeth or denticles, the apicalmost group of which are minute (Fig. 242)		touching or separated only by an extremely narrow impression (Fig. 286). Alitrunk box-like, the dorsum flattened and
	Antenna with 5 segments; of the 4 funicular segments the second is elongate and bar-like (Figs. 232, 233). Palp formula 5,3. Eyes situated laterally on head <i>Orectognathus</i> Antenna with 4 or 6 segments, never with 5; the second funicular segment never elongate and bar-like (Figs. 234, 235). Palp formula 1,1. Eyes situated ventrolaterally on head, on or near	— A	finely longitudinally striate. Monomorphic <i>Rhopalomastix</i> Antenna with 11 segments. Postpetiole narrowly attached to gaster (Fig. 347). Frontal lobes separated by median portion of clypeus (Figs. 344, 346). Alitrunk not box-like, the dorsum not flattened and not longitudinally striate. Polymorphic
12	the ventral scrobe margin	20 N	Median portion of clypeus vertical, with a conspicuous, anteriorly projecting, broad, bilobed appendage above (the clypeal fork), which projects over the mandibles from about the same
13 —	Antenna with 12 segments	— N	level as the frontal lobes and which overhangs the vertical median clypeus (Figs. 404, 405)
14	Frontal lobes closely approximated; median portion of clypeus, where it is inserted between the lobes, narrower than either		pendage above which projects out over the mandibles from about the same level as the frontal lobes
	of the frontal lobes (Fig. 410). Basal margin of mandible with a tooth close to or behind its midlength. Palp formula 2,2 or less	2 1 A	Antenna with 9 segments. Promesonotum forming a fused dorsal shield with sharp lateral margins that usually project laterally (Fig. 305)
_	Frontal lobes widely separated; median portion of clypeus, where it is inserted between the lobes, much broader than either of the frontal lobes (Fig. 258). Basal margin of mandible unarmed. Palp formula 5,3	— A	Antenna with 10–12 segments. Promesonotum rounded to laterally marginate but never forming a fused shield with sharp lateral margins
15	Antennal scrobes present, varying from long, broad, but shallow indentations bounded above by the frontal carinae, to exten-		Antenna with 10 segments
	sive excavations in the sides of the head above the eyes (Figs. 208, 209, 408, 409)		Antenna with 11 segments
	286, 287, 344–347, 350–353, 376, 377)		Frontal lobes entirely absent or vestigial, the antennal insertions fully exposed in full-face view (Fig. 300)
16	Median portion of clypeus with a near-vertical anterior face and forming a bilobed or bidentate process, which projects forward over the mandibles (Figs. 408, 409). Antenna 10-segmented	— F	Frontal lobes present and distinctive, covering most or all of the antennal insertions in full-face view (Figs. 208, 270, 316, 360, 378, 416)
_	Median portion of clypeus convex, lacking a near-vertical anterior face, and not produced into a bilobed or bidentate process projecting forward over the mandibles (Figs. 208, 209). Antenna 11-segmented	25 A	Anterior clypeal margin denticulate or sharply crenulate (Fig. 300). Humeral angles of pronotum bispinose; petiole node unarmed dorsally (Fig. 301). Long axis of mandible rotated so that at full closure the masticatory margin is vertical or near-vertical below the anterior clypeal margin. Mandible triden-
17	Anterior clypeal margin with a single, long, anteriorly projecting median seta at the midpoint of the margin (Fig. 376)		tate, with a pair of teeth apically, followed by a diastema and a single basal tooth
_	Anterior clypeal margin lacking a single, long median seta, instead usually with a pair of setae that straddle the midpoint (Figs. 286, 346, 350, 352)	— A	Anterior clypeal margin unarmed. Humeral angles of pronotum unarmed; petiole node bidenticulate to bidentate dorsally. Long axis of mandible not rotated, at full closure the masticatory margin projecting, not vertical below the anterior
18	Median portion of clypeus longitudinally bicarinate (Figs. 350, 352). Worker caste dimorphic, without intermediates		clypeal margin. Mandible with 5 teeth, without diastemata
	Median portion of clypeus not longitudinally bicarinate (Figs. 286, 344, 346). Worker caste either monomorphic or polymorphic with a graded series of intermediates between largest and smallest		Sting either with a lamellate appendage which projects dorsally, close to the sting apex but at an angle to the shaft (Fig. 417), or more rarely the lamellate appendage continuing the line of the shaft but up-curved at its apex <i>Tetramorium</i> (part) Sting shaft usually simple, always lacking a lamellate append-
19	Antenna with 10 segments. Postpetiole very broadly attached to	·	age; rarely the sting shaft itself may be straight-spatulate api-

the gaster (Fig. 287). Frontal lobes very closely approximated,

Aus	stralasian MYRMICINAE (continued)	Aust
27	Antennal scrobes present; varying from narrow and deep, through moderately developed (Figs. 208, 209), to very feeble (Fig. 270); scrobes bounded above by conspicuous or interrupted frontal carinae which run back from the frontal lobes (Figs. 208, 270)	- 34
	(Figs. 316, 360, 378)	
28	Antennal scrobes narrow and deep, bordered above by very broad, horizontal, laterally directed or down-curved frontal carinae, the frontal carinae extensively overhanging the scrobes and concealing them in full-face view. Eyes, which may be very small, situated below the posterior ends of the scrobal impressions. Median portion of clypeus a prominent truncated lobe, which projects forward over the mandibles	35
_	Antennal scrobes broad and shallow, bordered above by narrow frontal carinae which are not directed laterally and do not	_
	overhang and conceal the scrobes in full-face view. Eyes not situated below posterior ends of scrobal impressions. Median portion of clypeus shallowly arcuate anteriorly, not forming a truncated lobe projecting forward over the mandibles 29	36
29	Palp formula 3,2. Lateral portions of clypeus raised into a sharp ridge in front of the antennal insertions. Hind femora narrow, not strongly inflated in the middle third or more of their length	_
_	Palp formula 4,3 or 5,3. Lateral portions of clypeus not raised into a sharp ridge in front of the antennal insertions. Hind femora inflated in the middle third or more of their length, often grossly so	
30	Petiole and postpetiole in profile both very high and narrow, conical and pointed apically, the points directed slightly posteriorly	37
_	Petiole in profile low and rounded, subcylindrical, or armed dorsally with 1–3 teeth or spines; not high and conical. Postpetiole not high and conical, nor pointed apically	_
31	Anterior clypeal margin with a single, long, anteriorly projecting median seta at the midpoint of the margin (Fig. 378)	
_	Anterior clypeal margin lacking a single, long median seta; instead usually with a pair of setae that straddle the midpoint (Figs. 270, 316, 360)	38
32 —	Maxillary palp with 2 or 3 segments33Maxillary palp with 4 or 5 segments34	
33	Petiole in dorsal view enormously larger than postpetiole. Median portion of clypeus abruptly raised, the raised portion	_

sharply marginate on each side. Alitrunk in profile with the

dorsal outline evenly convex, metanotal groove absent.

of clypeus not abruptly raised, not marginate on each side.

Petiole in dorsal view smaller than postpetiole. Median portion

Alitrunk	in profile	with dors	al outline n	ot evenly convex,
metano	tal groove 1	oresent. Pol	ymorphic	Machomyrma

- 34 Femora of hind legs grossly swollen medially. Petiole in profile lacking a strongly differentiated node. Ascending anterior face of node short or inconspicuous, sometimes terminating in a tooth or short spine. Dorsal and posterior faces behind this confluent, cylindrical to subcylindrical (Fig. 271)
- Femora of hind legs somewhat broader medially than at apex or base, but not grossly swollen. Petiole in profile with a strongly differentiated node, the node having a conspicuous ascending anterior face, a summit, and a free descending

- Sting without an apicodorsal triangular to pennant-shaped lamellate appendage projecting from the shaft at an angle to its long axis, though sometimes the sting apex may be straight-spatulate. Lateral portions of clypeus not raised into a sharpedged ridge or shield wall on each side in front of the antennal insertions (Figs. 258, 264, 266, 276, 294, 332, 334, 338, 358, 378, 396)

- Ventrolateral margin of head without a longitudinal carina on each side (Figs. 259, 295, 333, 335, 359, 379, 397), though sometimes a longitudinal narrow groove may run the length of the head immediately below the eye (Fig. 267) 39
- **39** Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with only 3–6 teeth or denticles in total, the dentition usually sharply defined and

Australasian MYRMICINAE (continued)

- the teeth decreasing in size from the apical (Figs. 258, 264, 266, 294, 332, 358, 378). Apical (masticatory) margin never with a series of ill-defined crenulations or semi-effaced denticles near the basal angle nor with teeth radically alternating in size along the length of the margin 40
- Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 7 or more teeth or denticles in total (Figs. 334, 338, 396), the dentition sometimes decreasing in size from the apex but often the masticatory margin with ill-defined crenulations or denticles between the main teeth, or with a series of ill-defined crenulations or denticles near the basal angle. Sometimes teeth alternating in size along the length of the margin

47

- 40 Petiole sessile or subsessile, without a roughly horizontal anterior peduncle between the portion which articulates with the alitrunk and the ascending anterior face of the strongly developed node (Figs. 265, 295) 41
- Petiole pedunculate, with a roughly horizontal anterior peduncle between the portion which articulates with the alitrunk and the ascending anterior face of the node (Figs. 259, 267, 333, 359, 379), or the entire petiole roughly cylindrical to claviform and lacking a sharply defined node (Fig. 277) 42
- 41 Elongate frontal carinae and shallow antennal scrobes present (Figs. 264, 265). Median portion of clypeus not longitudinally bicarinate. Palp formula 5,3. Ventral process of petiole a minute denticle. Propodeum armed with a pair of long, stout
- Frontal carinae and antennal scrobes absent (Figs. 294, 295). Median portion of clypeus longitudinally bicarinate. Palp formula 2,2. Ventral process of petiole large and angular to plate-like. Propodeum unarmed or at most with a pair of small, triangular teeth Vollenhovia
- With head in full-face view the occipital corners acutely angulate to dentate (Fig. 276). Frontal carinae and antennal scrobes present, propodeum unarmed, and petiole usually subcylindrical to claviform (Figs. 276, 277) . . Dilobocondyla
- With head in full-face view the occipital corners broadly to narrowly rounded. If the latter then either frontal carinae and antennal scrobes are absent, or the propodeum is armed with a pair of spines or teeth, or the petiole has a definitive node;
- 43 Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin with a long, unpaired median seta, which projects forward over the mandibles (Figs.
- Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin without a long, unpaired median seta; instead either with a pair of setae that straddle the midpoint, or with an unbroken row of long, strong setae,
- Maxillary palp with 5 segments. Lateral portions of clypeus dorsoventrally flattened and thin, strongly prominent over the mandibles (Fig. 258). Median portion of clypeus not lon-

Australasian MYRMICINAE (continued)

- Maxillary palp with 1 or 2 segments. Lateral portions of clypeus not dorsoventrally flattened, not projecting over the mandibles (Fig. 378). Median portion of clypeus longitudinally bicarinate, usually strongly so Monomorium (part)
- Sides of head immediately below eye with a fine longitudinal groove bounded by a pair of minute carinulae; this structure originates close to the lateral mandibular base, runs to the anteroventral margin of the eye, then curves to run below the eye to the latero-occipital margin (Fig. 267). Mandible armed with 3 teeth apically, these followed by a long diastema and a basal pair of teeth (Fig. 266). Monomorphic

..... Vombisidris

- Sides of head below eye without a longitudinal groove bounded by a pair of minute carinulae (Figs. 333, 359). Dentition not as above; if a diastema present then it is preceded by 2 apical
- **46** With alitrunk in profile the pronotum plus the anterior portion of the mesonotum forming a high, dome-like eminence or markedly convex arc (Fig 333). Behind this the posterior portion of the mesonotum slopes steeply to the metanotal groove, sometimes with a second eminence before reaching the groove. Mandible always with 2 teeth apically, plus a long diastema, plus a basal pair of teeth (Fig. 332) (major workers) *Pheidole* (part)
- With alitrunk in profile the pronotal dorsum shallowly convex and the mesonotal dorsum more or less flat and horizontal (Fig. 359), the mesonotum on a slightly lower level than the pronotum; mesonotum posteriorly angled suddenly downwards just before the metanotal groove. Mandibular dentition variable but usually not of 2 apical teeth plus a long diastema plus 2 basal teeth (Fig. 358) (larger workers)

..... Anisopheidole (part)

- 47 Median portion of clypeus narrow and longitudinally bicarinate (Fig. 396), the surface between the two carinae usually flat to transversely concave. Frontal lobes relatively close together so that the posteromedian portion of the clypeus, where it projects between the frontal lobes, is at most only slightly broader
- Median portion of clypeus broad and not longitudinally bicarinate (Figs. 334, 338). Frontal lobes relatively far apart so that the posteromedian portion of the clypeus, where it projects between the frontal lobes, is usually very much broader than one of the lobes. If posteromedian clypeus is not distinctly broader than one of the lobes (very rare) then the frontal
- 48 With alitrunk in profile the promesonotal dorsum forming a single, evenly convex curve from front to back. Dorsal surface of propodeum on approximately the same level as the promesonotum or continuing the slope of the promesonotal outline; dorsal surface of propodeum not depressed far below the promesonotum (minor workers) . . Anisopheidole (part)
- With alitrunk in profile the pronotum plus anterior mesonotum forming a high, dome-like or markedly convex arc (Figs. 335,

Australasian MYRMICINAE (continued)	Nearctic MYRMICINAE (continued)
339). Behind this the mesonotum may or may not form a second eminence before sloping steeply, and sometimes sinuously, to the metanotal groove. Dorsal surface of propodeum depressed far below the promesonotum and never continuing the slope of the promesonotal outline	ally dentate or denticulate but sometimes with a long, basal diastema
49 Antennal club of 3 segments. Palp formula 2,2 or 3,2 (minor workers)	7 Fully closed mandibles with a strongly defined basal margin, which is separated from the anterior clypeal margin by a conspicuous impression or gap. Basal lamella of mandible situated ventral to the basalmost tooth, in a plane almost at right angle to the anterior portion of the mandible, not visible in full-face view with the mandibles open Trichoscapa
 Key to Nearctic MYRMICINAE (Workers) 1 Antennal scrobes present which run below the eye. Antenna with 7 segments (Figs. 196, 197) Eurhopalothrix — Antennal scrobes usually absent (e.g., Figs. 180, 218, 250, 258, 292, 308, 310, 334, 338, 376), if present running above the eye (e.g., Figs. 235, 243, 245, 261, 393, 417) or in front of the eye (e.g., Figs. 177, 215, 217); sometimes both eyes and 	 Fully closed mandibles without a strongly defined basal margin, the basal region of the mandible overlapped by the anterior clypeal margin, the two not separated by an impression or gap. Basal lamella of mandible following basalmost tooth in the same plane (sometimes the two separated by a long diastema), visible in full-face view with the mandibles open
scrobes absent (e.g., Figs. 290, 356, 366). Antennal segment count variable but never with 7 segments	occipital margin. Broad but shallow antennal scrobes present (Fig. 209). Median portion of clypeus without a pair of longitudinal carinae (Fig. 208). Propodeum with a pair of long spines
(e.g., Figs. 187, 197, 211, 255, 267, 303, 327, 337, 371, 389, 407, 417); the gaster in dorsal view not roughly heart-shaped, not capable of reflexion over the alitrunk. Petiole usually with a node but if reduced then not dorsoventrally flattened 3	 9 Palp formula 4,2. Mandible with 5 or 6 teeth. Petiole subsessile, lacking a distinct anterior peduncle; petiole subcylindrical in shape and without a strongly differentiated node (Fig. 293)
3 Apical and preapical antennal segments forming a strong and conspicuously differentiated club of 2 segments (e.g., Figs. 177, 203, 220, 240, 288, 319, 347, 351, 355, 357, 391, 393, 411)	 — Palp formula 2,2 or 1,2. Mandible with 4 teeth. Petiole with a distinct and well-developed anterior peduncle; petiole with a strongly differentiated node (Figs. 351, 353, 377) 10
 Antenna never terminating in a conspicuously differentiated, 2-segmented club. Either apical plus 2 preapical antennal segments forming a conspicuous, 3-segmented club, or club of more than 3 segments (e.g., Figs. 179, 257, 271, 272, 277, 285, 297, 309, 313, 329, 383, 389, 399, 407, 417), or the antenna without a distinctly differentiated apical club 11 	 Propodeum unarmed and rounded (Fig. 377). Anterior clypeal margin with a single, anteriorly projecting median seta (Fig. 376). Antenna with 10 segments
 4 Antenna with 4 or 6 segments. Petiole and postpetiole with spongiform tissue present (Figs. 235, 243) 5 — Antenna with 9–11 segments. Petiole and postpetiole without spongiform tissue (Figs. 209, 293, 351, 353, 377) 	11 Antenna with 11 segments 12 — Antenna with 12 segments 25
 Mandible elongate and linear, produced into a narrow, projecting blade (Fig. 234). Apex of each mandible with a fork of 2 spiniform teeth, arranged one above the other. Mandible never triangular or subtriangular, never serially dentate or denticulate	 With the head in profile the eye situated close to the posterior margin and at the apex of a deep antennal scrobe (Fig. 217); the scrobe running forward from the anterior margin of the eye and bounded above by a massively expanded frontal carina (Fig. 216)
row, projecting blade, and without an apical fork of spiniform	situated close to or at the midlength (Figs. 180, 184, 208, 250, 262, 292, 416), not close to the posterior margin. Antennal

teeth. Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible usually seri-

262, 292, 416), not close to the posterior margin. Antennal

Nearctic MYRMICINAE (continued)	Nearctic MYRMICINAE (continued)		
scrobe absent or present; if the latter then the scrobe running above the eye and extending back beyond the level of the eye (Figs. 185, 209, 261, 263, 417), the eye not located at the apex of the scrobe	 Promesonotal dorsum with only 2 pairs of spines. First gastral tergite without raised cuticular tubercles (Fig. 181) Atta Promesonotal dorsum with 3 or more pairs of spines. First gastral tergite with numerous raised cuticular tubercles (Figs. 179, 183)		
13 Lateral portions of clypeus forming a raised, sharp-edged rim or wall in front of the antennal insertions (Figs. 208, 416)	19 Frontal carinae present, sharply developed, and conspicuous, reaching back almost to the occipital margin (Fig. 182). An-		
 Lateral portions of clypeus not forming a raised, sharp-edged rim or wall in front of the antennal insertions (Figs. 178, 180, 182, 184, 250, 260, 262, 292) 	tennal scrobes present, their lower margins bounded by an extended superocular carina, which runs backward well beyond the eye		
14 Mandible with 7 teeth. Palp formula 4,3. Sting apicodorsally with a lamellate appendage which projects at an angle from the long axis of the shaft. Metasternal process large and conspicuous	from the surrounding sculpture and fading out well in front of the occipital margin. Antennal scrobes absent, the superocular carina curving mesad and petering out at about the level of the eye		
 Mandible with 5 teeth. Palp formula 3,2. Sting without a lamellate appendage projecting from the shaft apicodorsally. Metasternal process absent	 20 Propodeum unarmed, the dorsum and declivity rounding together in profile (Fig. 293)		
With head in profile a diagonal superocular carina present, which runs forward from above the eye down toward the mandibular insertion (Figs. 178, 180–183, 185); superocular carina independent of and distinct from any other sculpture that may be present. Promesonotal dorsum equipped with numerous prominences, tubercles, teeth, or spines (Figs. 179,	21 Eyes absent. Maxillary palp with 2 segments. Mandible with 4 teeth on a strongly oblique apical (masticatory) margin. Node of petiole well developed		
 181, 183, 185)	 Eyes present. Maxillary palp with 4 segments. Mandible with 5 or 6 teeth on a perpendicular apical (masticatory) margin. Node of petiole much reduced, inconspicuous Xenomyrmex (part) 		
but none forms a sharply differentiated carina. Promesonotal dorsum smooth to coarsely sculptured but not equipped with prominences, tubercles, teeth, or spines (Figs. 251, 253, 261, 263, 293)	 Antennal scobes present (Figs. 260–263). Mandible with 0–4 teeth. Anterior clypeal margin concave medially 23 Antennal scrobes absent (Figs. 250–253). Mandible with 5 or 6 teeth. Anterior clypeal margin convex to indented medially 		
Promesonotum with blunt tubercles (Fig. 185) or short teeth. With the head in full-face view the frontal lobes projecting far forward, anteriorly reaching or overlapping the anterior mar-	23 Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 4 teeth (Fig. 260).Median impression of anterior clypeal margin broad and very		
gins of the lateral portions of the clypeus (Fig. 184). Mandible with 5–7 teeth. Propodeum angular to bidentate 17 — Promesonotum with elongate, sharp spines (Figs. 179, 181) or teeth (Fig. 183). With head in full-face view the frontal lobes not reaching the anterior margins of the lateral portions of	shallow		
the clypeus (Figs. 178, 180, 182). Mandible usually with more than 7 teeth. Propodeum bispinose	24 Eyes with conspicuous, short, erect pubescence projecting between the ommatidia. [Xenobionts in nests of <i>Myrmica</i> , <i>Manica</i> , and <i>Formica</i>] <i>Formicoxenus</i>		
17 Body without erect setae. Frontal lobes extensively expanded laterally, in full-face view overhanging and concealing the sides of the head in front of the eyes and the mandibular	 Eyes without erect pubescence projecting between the ommatidia		
insertions (Fig. 184). Promesonotum dorsally with blunt tubercles, lacking short teeth	— Palp formula less than 6,4 (up to 5,3 maximum) 27		
 Body with erect setae. Frontal lobes large but not so massively expanded, in full-face view the sides of the head in front of the eyes and the mandibular insertions visible. Promesonotum with short teeth	26 Propodeum bidentate to bispinose (Fig. 309). Mandible with 6–10 teeth (Fig. 308). Metasternal process a closely approximated pair of raised flanges or plates, the ventral midline not visible between them		

Nearctic MYRMICINAE (continued)

- Propodeum unarmed and rounded. Mandible with more than 12 teeth. Metasternal process a pair of low, eroded ridges or arched-convex, thickened lobes, the ventral midline clearly visible between them Manica
- Ventrolateral margin of head delineated by a sharp longitudinal carina on each side. The carina starts close to the inner-ventral mandibular base, runs the length of the head below the eye, and ascends the occipital surface posteriorly (Fig. 303). Petiole sessile, lacking a large ventral process; propodeum
- Ventrolateral margin of head without a sharp longitudinal carina on each side (Figs. 311, 333, 335, 339, 341, 389, 417). Petiole usually pedunculate, but if sessile then either the petiole with a large ventral process, or the propodeum unarmed,
- 28 Petiole sessile, without an anterior peduncle; the petiole equipped with a large, plate-like ventral process (Fig. 295) Vollenhovia
- Petiole with an anterior peduncle; the petiole without a platelike ventral process but usually with a small, anteroventral tooth on the peduncle (Figs. 251, 311, 339, 341, 379, 389,
- Sting with an apicodorsal triangular lamellate appendage projecting from the shaft at an angle to its long axis (Fig. 417). Lateral portions of clypeus raised into a sharp-edged ridge or shield wall on each side, in front of the antennal insertions (Fig. 416). Spurs of middle and hind tibiae simple or absent Tetramorium (part)
- Sting without an apicodorsal triangular lamellate appendage projecting from the shaft at an angle to its long axis, though rarely the sting apex may be straight-spatulate. Lateral portions of clypeus usually not raised into a sharp-edged ridge in front of the antennal insertions, but if so then the spurs of the
- 30 Metatibial spurs finely pectinate. Ventral alitrunk with an extensive V-shaped or narrowly U-shaped emargination running from the posterior border forward and terminating at or close to the metasternal pit, well in front of the hind coxal cavities. Metasternal process large, represented by 2 prominent triangles or teeth, at the apex of or on each side of the median emargination Pogonomyrmex
- Metatibial spurs simple to absent. Ventral alitrunk at most with a shallow, U-shaped posterior emargination, which does not project forward beyond the hind coxal cavities and which ends far short of the metasternal pit. Metasternal process massive to absent; when present not usually represented by 2 triangles or teeth and always widely separated from the
- 31 Median portion of clypeus abruptly raised and relatively narrow, the raised portion with a pair of fine longitudinal carinae which diverge anteriorly (Figs. 378, 386, 388); clypeal dorsum between the carinae concave to more or less flat .. 32

Nearctic MYRMICINAE (continued)

- Median portion of clypeus broad, not abruptly raised, flat to transversely convex, and without a pair of fine longitudinal carinae medially; clypeus sometimes with a single median longitudinal carina (Figs. 250, 258, 332, 334, 338, 340) . 34
- 32 Propodeum angular to rounded but unarmed, without spines or teeth (Fig. 379). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 3 or 4 teeth or denticles in total (Fig. 378). With petiole in profile the spiracle situated at the node or on the peduncle immediately in front of the ascending face of the node
- Monomorium - Propodeum armed with a pair of teeth or spines (Figs. 387, 389). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 5 or more teeth or denticles in total (Figs. 386, 388). With petiole in profile the spiracle situated on the peduncle close to the articulation with the alitrunk, far in front of the ascending face
- 33 Antennal club of 3 segments (Fig. 387). Propodeum armed with a pair of spines (Fig. 387). Propodeal spiracle relatively large and close to margin of declivity, the distance separating the margin of the declivity from the nearest point of the spiracle annulus usually no greater than the diameter of the spiracle Rogeria
- Antennal club of 4 segments (Fig. 389). Propodeum armed with a pair of teeth or short, narrow spines (Fig. 389). Propodeal spiracle relatively small and removed from the margin of the declivity, the distance separating the margin of the declivity from the nearest point of the spiracle annulus usually much greater than the diameter of the spiracle Stenamma
- **34** Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 0–6 teeth or denticles in total (Figs. 250, 258, 332), the margin never with a series of ill-defined crenulations or semi-effaced denticles near the basal angle, nor with teeth behind the second (counting from the apex) alternating in size along the margin
- Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 7 or more teeth or denticles in total (Figs. 334, 338, 340), the margin often with ill-defined crenulations or denticles between the main teeth, or with a series of ill-defined crenulations or denticles near the basal angle. Sometimes teeth behind the second (counting from the apex) alternating in size along the margin
- 35 Mandible massively constructed (as in Figs. 332, 340), edentate or with rounded vestiges of teeth, or with 2 teeth apically followed by a diastema and 1 or 2 basal teeth. With alitrunk in profile the pronotum or pronotum plus anterior mesonotum forming a high, dome-like or markedly convex arc (Figs. 333, 341). Behind this the mesonotum may or may not form a second eminence before sloping steeply to the metanotal groove. Dorsal surface of propodeum depressed far below the
- Mandible delicately constructed, with 5 or 6 regular teeth which decrease in size from apex to base (Figs. 250, 258). With

Nearctic	MYRMICINAE	(continued)
ivearciic	MIKMICINAE	(communa)

- **36** With head in full-face view the eyes at or slightly behind the midlength of the sides (Fig. 340). Palp formula 4,3. Metasternal process large to massive Messor (part)

- Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin without an unpaired, long seta; instead the midpoint usually straddled by a pair of setae.
 Lateral portions of clypeus not flattened nor projecting over the mandibles (Fig. 250). Dorsum of head and body with standing setae present (Fig. 251) Leptothorax
- 38 Palp formula 2,2 or 3,2. Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with the third tooth (counting from the apex) smaller than the fourth, or the reduced third tooth followed by a minute denticle before the larger fourth tooth (Fig. 334) [all minor workers]; or mandible with 2 large apical and 1 or 2 enlarged basal teeth, the margin between these teeth crenulate to low-dentate [most major workers] . . *Pheidole* (part)

Key to Neotropical MYRMICINAE (Workers)

Neotropical MYRMICINAE (continued)

- 2 Antenna with 12 segments
 3

 Antenna with 7-11 segments
 4
- 3 Dorsal surface of basal half of mandible with a deep, transverseoblique groove running outward from the masticatory margin; apical part of mandible sharply down-curved

..... Creightonidris

- 4 Antenna with 11 segments. Petiole sessile, without an anterior peduncle (as Fig. 217); petiole in profile lacking a differentiated node. With head in full-face view the frontal lobes projecting farther forward than the anterior margin of the median portion of the clypeus (as Fig. 216). Lateral margins of alitrunk armed with spines, teeth, or foliaceous outgrowths

- Mandibles linear, their insertions remote so that their apical (masticatory) margins cross or engage only near their apices (Figs. 200, 202, 204)
- Antenna with 8 segments Octostruma
 Antenna with 7 segments Eurhopalothrix
- Antenna with 7 segments. Mandible narrow and with variable dentition, but never with the extremely specialized dentition described above (Figs. 202–205)
 8

Neo	tropical MYRMICINAE (continued)	Neo	etropical MYRMICINAE (continued)
8	Blade of mandible with a long, conspicuous spiniform tooth near the apex (Fig. 202)	-	out 4 long, spiniform teeth. Frontal lobes short and usually convex in full-face view, joining the upper scrobe margins through an angle or sinuous curve
	cally, without a long spiniform tooth (Fig. 204)	15	Mandible with a large horizontal basal lamella present. Inner
9	Postpetiole articulated on dorsal surface of first gastral segment (Fig. 219); the gaster in dorsal view roughly heart-shaped and capable of reflexion over the alitrunk Crematogaster Postpetiole articulated on anterior surface of first gastral seg-		margin of mandible proximal to apical fork serially denticulate. With 3–8 denticles on margin immediately behind the apical fork, these followed by a larger, submedian tooth; proximal to this tooth is a series of indistinct denticles after which the margin is unarmed to the basal lamella (Fig. 236)
	ment (e.g., Figs. 187, 197, 211, 255, 267, 303, 327, 337, 371, 389, 407, 417); the gaster in dorsal view not heart-shaped, not capable of reflexion over the alitrunk	_	Mandible without a large basal lamella. Inner margin of mandible proximal to the apical fork usually with 0–3 teeth or
10	Apical and preapical antennal segments distinctly much larger than preceding funicular segments and forming a conspicuously differentiated, 2-segmented club (e.g., Figs. 177, 203, 220, 240, 288, 319, 347, 351, 355, 357, 391, 393, 411)		denticles (Fig. 234), but if more present (up to 8 denticles) then they are evenly spaced on the distal two-thirds of the margin and do not have an enlarged submedian tooth
_	Antenna never terminating in a conspicuously differentiated, 2-segmented club. Either the apical plus 2 preapical segments	16 —	Antenna with 4–7 segments 17 Antenna with 9–12 segments 25
	enlarged and forming a weak to conspicuous, 3-segmented club, or less commonly the club with more than 3 segments	17 —	Antenna with 4 segments
	(e.g., Figs. 179, 257, 271, 272, 277, 285, 297, 309, 313, 329, 383, 389, 399, 407, 417). Sometimes the funiculus filiform or the segments gradually increasing in size apically, without a differentiated club	18	Antenna with 7 segments. Eyes at extreme posterior ends of antennal scrobes (Fig. 177). Petiole sessile; postpetiole very broadly attached to gaster, the first gastral segment large and strongly down-curved. Petiole and postpetiole lacking spongi-
_	Mandibles elongate and linear (Figs. 230, 234, 236), produced into narrow, projecting blades, which are much longer than broad and each of which terminates apically in a vertically arranged series of 2–4 teeth	_	form or lamelliform appendages laterally or ventrally (Fig. 177)
	blades and each not terminating apically in a vertically arranged series of 2–4 teeth		petiole, or both with spongiform or lamelliform appendages laterally or basally (Figs. 243, 245)
	Antenna with 11 segments. Palp formula 5,3. Antennal scrobes absent and eyes lateral (Figs. 230, 231) Acanthognathus Antenna with 4–6 segments. Palp formula 1,1. Antennal scrobes present and eyes ventrolateral, usually on or near the lower scrobe margin (Figs. 234–237)	19	Broad, thin, semitranslucent lamellae present bordering the upper scrobe margins, occipital margin, anterior margin of pronotum, and sides of propodeum; similar lamellae present on petiole and postpetiole
13	Antenna with 4 segments. Dorsum of head with orbicular setae		upper scrobe margins; always absent from the occipital mar-
_	present		gin (Figs. 242, 244), anterior pronotal margin, and sides of propodeum. Petiole and postpetiole usually with spongiform appendages present (Figs. 243, 245) 20
14	Mandible broad at the base and rapidly evenly tapering to the apex. Mandible without an apical fork of 2 teeth, instead the mandible in apical (direct frontal) view with 4 long, spiniform teeth in a vertical series. Frontal lobes with outer margins straight in full-face view, confluent in a straight line with the upper scrobe margins	20	Closed mandibles with a very strongly defined basal margin, which is separated from the anterior clypeal margin by a conspicuous impression or gap. Basal lamella of mandible situated ventral to the basalmost tooth, in a plane almost at right angle to the anterior portion of the mandible, not visible in full-face view with the mandibles open <i>Trichoscapa</i>
_	Mandible linear, not broad at the base and rapidly tapering to the apex (Figs. 234, 236). Mandible with an apical fork of 2 teeth arranged in a vertical series, sometimes also with intercalary denticles. In apical (direct frontal) view mandible with-	_	Closed mandibles without a strongly defined basal margin, the basal region of the mandible contiguous with or overlapped by the anterior clypeal margin, the two not separated by an impression or gap. Basal lamella of mandible following basal-

Neo	tropical MYRMICINAE (continued)	Neo	tropical MYRMICINAE (continued)
21	most tooth in the same plane, visible in full-face view with the mandibles open		Propodeum in profile with the spiracle well in front of the margin of the declivity (Figs. 207, 209). Promesonotum in profile shallowly to quite strongly convex, but not forming an evenly dome-like convexity
	a strongly down-curved arc so that part or most of the apical margin is at right angle to the long axis of the head (Fig. 244)	28	Upper scrobe margins almost parallel in full-face view (Fig. 206); the occipital corners extended backwards as a pair of triangular processes. Frontal lobes large, projecting forward
_	With the head in profile the mandible with upper and lower margins approximately parallel for most of their length or evenly tapering anteriorly. At most the extreme tip of the mandible down-curved or truncated (Fig. 243)	_	almost as far as anterior margin of the median clypeal lobe. Lateral portions of clypeus not raised into a ridge or wall in front of the antennal insertions
22	With head in full-face view the anterior clypeal margin convex and prominent medially		(Fig. 208); the occipital corners not extended backwards as a pair of triangular processes. Frontal lobes small and incon-
_	With head in full-face view the anterior clypeal margin transverse or more commonly concave medially <i>Glamyromyrmex</i>		spicuous, not approaching the anterior margin of the median clypeal lobe. Lateral portions of clypeus raised into a sharp ridge or wall in front of the antennal insertions
23	Mandible with a series of 3 enlarged teeth basally, distal to which is a series of denticles that rapidly decrease in size	29	Petiole sessile, in profile without an anterior peduncle (Fig.
-	toward the apical tooth		293). Petiole in profile roughly cylindrical, with dorsal margin weakly convex but without a differentiated node
	Codiomyrmex	_	Petiole in profile with a conspicuous anterior peduncle (Figs.
24	Upper margins of scrobes bounded by broad, laterally projecting cuticular flanges throughout their lengths; the flanges may be semitranslucent or have translucent fenestrae in places		259, 351, 353, 357, 377, 411). Petiole in profile not cylindrical, with a developed node
_	Upper margins of scrobes bounded by narrow, sinuate cuticular	30	In full-face view the midpoint of the anterior clypeal margin with a long, unpaired median seta, which projects forward over the mandibles (Figs. 258, 376, 410)
	rims throughout their lengths; never with semitranslucent areas nor with translucent fenestrae	_	In full-face view the midpoint of the anterior clypeal margin without a long, unpaired median seta; instead the midpoint
25	Frontal carinae and antennal scrobes present (Figs. 206–209, 392, 393). Antenna always with 11 segments		of the margin straddled by a pair of setae (Figs. 350, 352, 356)
_	Frontal carinae and antennal scrobes entirely absent (Figs. 258, 259, 292, 293, 350, 351, 356, 357, 376, 377, 410, 411). Antenna with 9–12 segments	31	Antenna with 10 segments. Maxillary palp geniculate. Propodeum unarmed (Fig. 377)
26	Mandible with 10 teeth in total, the teeth alternating large and small from base to apex; teeth on basal half of mandible larger	_	Antenna with 12 segments. Maxillary palp not geniculate. Propodeum with a pair of teeth or spines (Figs. 259, 411) 33
	than those on apical half. With head in full-face view the frontal lobes massively expanded laterally, overhanging the anterolateral angles of the head so that the latter are invisible	32	Median portion of clypeus abruptly raised and flat-topped, the raised platform thus formed bounded by the parallel paired clypeal carinae, which then turn mesad anteriorly and meet anteromedially to form the anterior margin of the raised por-
_	Mandible with 5 teeth or denticles in total, which decrease in size from the apical; the apical tooth the largest. Frontal lobes moderate to large but not massively expanded laterally; with the head in full-face view the anterolateral angles of the head visible, not overhung and concealed by the frontal lobes	_	tion
27	Median portion of clypeus longitudinally bicarinate centrally (Fig. 392). Propodeum in profile with the spiracle very close to the margin of the declivity (Fig. 393). Promesonotum in profile swollen, forming an evenly dome-like convexity	33	Frontal lobes closely approximated; median portion of clypeus, where it is inserted between the lobes, narrower than either of the frontal lobes (Fig. 410). Basal margin of mandible with a tooth or tumulus close to or behind its midlength. Palp
_	Median portion of clypeus not longitudinally bicarinate centrally (Figs. 206, 208), though the lateral edges may be sharp.		formula 2,2 or less

Neo	either of the frontal lobes (Fig. 258). Basal margin of mandi-
	ble unarmed. Palp formula 5,3 Cardiocondyla (part)
34	Propodeum bispinose, bidentate (Figs. 351, 353), or sharply angulate in profile. Mandible with 4 teeth. Worker caste dimorphic, without intermediates
	ble with 5 or 6 teeth. Worker caste monomorphic
35 —	Antenna with 7–11 segments 36 Antenna with 12 segments 66
36	Mandibles produced into a pair of elongate blades, which are edentate on their inner margins and terminate apically in a fork of 2 teeth arranged in a vertical series. Antennal scapes, when laid back from their insertions, passing below the eye (Figs. 228, 229)
	Mandibles triangular to subtriangular, edentate to multidentate, but never terminating in an apical fork of 2 teeth in a vertical series. Antennal scapes, when laid back from their insertions, running either to the eye or above the eye, not passing below the eye
37	Horizontal frontal lobes absent, the antennal sockets fully exposed in full-face view (as Fig. 300). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 3 teeth; basal margin of mandible with a tooth at about its midlength. Anterior clypeal margin denticulate. Antenna 9-segmented Perissomyrmex
_	Horizontal frontal lobes present, partially to entirely covering the sockets in full-face view (Figs. 178, 182, 184, 190, 208, 216, 250, 322, 332, 334, 374, 416). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with more than 3 teeth or denticles in total; basal margin of mandible unarmed. Anterior clypeal margin not denticulate. Antenna with 7–11 segments, but only very rarely with 9
38	Frontal lobes enormously expanded laterally and anteriorly (Figs. 184, 214, 216), overhanging and concealing the lateral portions of the clypeus so that the anterolateral corners of the head capsule cannot be seen in full-face view. Frontal lobes projecting forward so far that their anteriormost points are level with, or project beyond, the anterior margin of the median portion of the clypeus
_	Frontal lobes small to moderate (Figs. 178, 182, 190, 208, 250, 322, 332, 334, 374, 416), never overhanging and concealing the anterolateral corners of the head capsule, the latter always visible in full-face view. Anteriormost points of frontal lobes usually well behind the level of the anterior margin of the median clypeus; when otherwise (rarely), the anterolateral corners of the head capsule are clearly exposed 42
39	With the head in profile the eye situated below the antennal scrobe, the scrobe extending posteriorly beyond the level of the eye

of the antennal scrobe, the scrobe not extending posteriorly above and beyond the eye (Figs. 215, 217) 41

Neotropical MYRMICINAE (continued)

40	With head in full-face view the eyes at or very close to the	
-	posterolateral corners. Outer margins of frontal lobes con-	
	tinuous with upper scrobe margins, the two not separated by	
	a constriction, indentation, or change of direction	
	Cephalotes	

- With head in full-face view the eyes at or just in front of the midlength of the sides. Outer margins of frontal lobes differentiated from upper scrobe margins by a constriction, indentation, or change of direction (Fig. 184) Cyphomyrmex
- 41 Eyes subglobose and strongly prominent. Sides of petiole and postpetiole unarmed (Fig. 215). Occipital angles bispinose
- Eyes gently convex to flat. Sides of petiole and postpetiole always with projecting teeth, spines, or lobes (Fig. 217). Occipital angles of head either rounded, laminate, dentate, or armed with a single spine (Fig. 216) Zacryptocerus
- 42 Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 3–6 teeth or denticles in total (Figs. 184, 190, 208, 250, 322, 332, 362, 374) 43
- Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 7 or more teeth or denticles in total (Figs. 178, 180, 182, 186, 188, 192, 334,
- 43 Frontal carinae present, strongly and conspicuously developed; frontal carinae running backwards from the posteriormost points of the frontal lobes as a pair of sharp ridges or flanges, which reach, or almost reach, the occipital margin (Figs. 184,
- Frontal carinae entirely absent or vestigially present; if the latter the frontal carinae are represented only by a pair of faint lines, which fade out posteriorly (Figs. 188, 190, 250, 292,
- 44 With alitrunk in profile the promesonotal dorsum with one to several pairs of vertically directed conical prominences or tumuli (Fig. 185). Propodeal spiracle set far back on the side, very close to the margin of the declivity (Fig. 185) 45
- With alitrunk in profile the promesonotal dorsum without vertical conical prominences or tumuli (Figs. 209, 213). Propodeal spiracle set farther forward on the side, at or close to the midlength of the sclerite (Figs. 209, 213) 46
- 45 Well-defined, impressed antennal scrobes absent. Frontal lobes narrow, not entirely concealing the antennal sockets. Frontal carinae close together, subparallel, and terminating near the occipital midpoint, on each side of a median impression. Propodeum bispinose Mycetarotes
- Well-defined, impressed antennal scrobes present. Frontal lobes broad, concealing the antennal sockets. Frontal carinae divergent posteriorly and terminating at the occipital corners. Propodeum unarmed to bidentate Cyphomyrmex (part)
- 46 Petiole sessile, without an elongate anterior peduncle (Fig. 213). Frontal carinae in full-face view widely separated, divergent posteriorly, not sinuate; frontal carinae overhanging and usually concealing lateral margins of head behind level of eyes

- (Fig. 212). Antennal scrobes narrow and deep (Fig. 213). Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin concave and lateral portions of clypeus not raised into a narrow wall or ridge in front
- Petiole with an elongate anterior peduncle (Fig. 209). Frontal carinae in full-face view not widely separated, sinuate along their length; frontal carinae not overhanging nor concealing lateral margins of head behind level of eyes (Fig. 208). Antennal scrobes broad and shallow (Fig. 209). Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin convex and lateral portions of clypeus raised into a narrow wall or ridge in front of the antennal insertions Wasmannia (part)
- 47 Lateral portions of clypeus dorsoventrally flattened and raised into a sharp transverse ridge or shield wall in front of the antennal insertions Mycetophylax
- Lateral portions of clypeus not dorsoventrally flattened, not raised into a sharp transverse ridge or shield wall in front of the antennal insertions 48
- 48 With head in full-face view the frontal lobes closely approximated (Figs. 188, 190, 374); median portion of clypeus posteriorly, where it passes between the frontal lobes, very narrow, its width equal to or less than the width of one of the
- With head in full-face view the frontal lobes separated (Figs. 250, 292, 320, 322, 332, 362); median portion of clypeus posteriorly, where it passes between the frontal lobes, broad, its width distinctly much greater than the width of one of the
- With alitrunk in profile the promesonotal dorsum adorned with tubercles, spines, conical processes, elevated plateaux, raised cuticular ridges, or a combination of 2 or more of these (Figs. 189, 191). Median portion of clypeus not longitudinally bicarinate and eyes behind midlength of sides of head (Figs.
- With alitrunk in profile the promesonotal dorsum evenly convex (Fig. 375). Median portion of clypeus sharply longitudinally bicarinate and eyes in front of midlength of sides of head
- 50 Curved, squamiform setae abundant on head and body (Figs. 188, 189). Pronotal dorsum not armed with 10-12 teeth or
- Curved squamiform setae absent from head and body (Figs. 190, 191). Pronotal dorsum armed with 10–12 teeth or spines (Fig. 191) Mycocepurus
- 51 Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin with an unpaired median seta (Fig. 362). Proximal section of each antennal club segment constricted into a distinct neck. Antenna usually with 7-10 segments, only rarely with 11 Allomerus
- Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin without an unpaired median seta, instead usually with a pair of setae that straddle the midpoint (Figs. 250, 292, 322). Proximal section of each antennal club segment not constricted into a neck. Antenna usually with 11 segments, only rarely with 10 52

- 52 Petiole in profile sessile (Fig. 293), subcylindrical, with a weakly convex area dorsally but lacking a differentiated node. Palp formula 4,2 Xenomyrmex
- Petiole in profile with a conspicuous anterior peduncle (Figs. 251, 321, 323, 333), not subcylindrical, with a distinctly differentiated node. Palp formula variable but never 4,2 . . . 53
- 53 Mandibular dentition irregular. Either with 2 large teeth apically, followed by a long diastema (which may be minutely crenulate) and 1-3 smaller teeth basally (Fig. 332); or with serial dentition but the third tooth (or rarely third and fourth teeth) disproportionately small. Reduced tooth or teeth followed by a larger tooth and at least 1 small tooth or denticle at the basal angle; basalmost tooth not enlarged
- *Pheidole* (part) Mandibular dentition regular. Apical (masticatory) margin with 4-6 teeth which decrease in size from apex to base (Figs. 250, 320, 322), or with the basalmost tooth enlarged. Without diastemata, without a disproportionately reduced tooth behind the second 54
- 54 Palp formula 5,3 Leptothorax (part)
- Vestigial frontal carinae present which run from the apices of the frontal lobes approximately to the level of the midlengths of the eyes (Fig. 322). Median portion of clypeus with a trace of a median longitudinal carina. Anterior border of pronotum marginate. Eyes relatively large, with 10 or more ommatidia in the longest row (Fig. 323) Ochetomyrmex
- Frontal carinae entirely absent behind the frontal lobes (Fig. 320). Median portion of clypeus entirely lacking a median longitudinal carina. Anterior border of pronotum not marginate. Eyes small to absent, when present with less than 10 ommatidia in the longest row (Fig. 321) Tranopelta
- 56 Sting with a conspicuous apical or apicodorsal lamellate appendage, which projects at an angle from the shaft (Fig 417). Lateral portions of clypeus raised into a sharp transverse ridge or shield wall in front of the antennal insertions (Fig. 416). Mandible with 3 larger teeth apically followed by a row of 4 (rarely more) smaller teeth or denticles
- Tetramorium (part) Sting lacking a lamellate appendage projecting at an angle apically or apicodorsally from the shaft; sting frequently vestigial. Lateral portions of clypeus not raised into a sharp transverse ridge or shield wall in front of the antennal insertions (Figs. 178, 180, 182, 184, 186, 188, 192, 332, 334). Mandibular dentition not of 3 larger teeth apically followed by a row of 4 smaller teeth or denticles 57
- 57 Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 2 large teeth apically followed by 1 or sometimes 2 reduced teeth or denticles; these followed by a distinctly larger tooth, behind which the margin with irregular teeth or denticles to the basal angle (Fig. 334). Dorsal alitrunk without dorsally directed spines, teeth, tumuli, or conical processes (Fig. 335)

parallel longitudinal ridges (Figs. 185, 187) 64

broad. Superocular carina sometimes running the length of the scrobe and forming its lower margin, but sometimes the

63 Monomorphic species. Antennal scrobes present, shallow and

Neo	tropical MYRMICINAE (continued)	Neo	tropical MYRMICINAE (continued)
_	Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible either with all teeth approximately the same size or the teeth gradually reducing in size from apex to base (Figs. 178, 180, 182, 186, 188, 192); sometimes the basalmost tooth enlarged. Dorsal alitrunk usually, but not always, with dorsally directed spines, teeth, tumuli, or conical processes (Figs. 179, 181, 183, 185, 187, 189, 193)	_	carina abruptly curving mesad and petering out immediately behind the level of the eye, in which case the scrobes are conspicuous and the pronotal dorsum is multituberculate but lacks 3 pairs of acute teeth or spines (Figs. 182, 183)
58	Frontal carinae either entirely absent or the frontal lobes followed by short, feeble ridges which rapidly peter out, not forming the upper margin of a scrobe nor approaching the occipital margin (Figs. 180, 188, 192)	_	the superocular carina always curves abruptly mesad and peters out immediately behind the level of the eye, never forming a lower margin to the scrobe behind the eye. Pronotal dorsum with 3 isolated pairs of acute teeth or spines, not multituberculate (Figs. 178, 179)
59	With head in full-face view the frontal lobes closely approximated, with only a narrow strip of cuticle separating them	_	hind the level of the eyes (Fig. 187)
_	(Figs. 188, 192)	66	Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with only 3–6 teeth or denticles in total, the dentition usually sharply defined and the teeth decreasing in size from the apical tooth (Figs. 250, 258, 310, 332, 378, 380, 382, 386) 67
60	Squamiform setae present on head and body (Figs. 188, 189). Propodeum bidentate to bispinose. Promesonotum with dorsum raised into a number of peaks, tubercles or spiniform processes. Junction of postpetiole and gaster dorsoventrally compressed, extremely narrow in profile (Fig. 189)	_	Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 7 or more teeth or denticles in total (Figs. 334, 338, 384, 388, 416), the dentition sometimes decreasing in size from the apical tooth but sometimes the masticatory margin with ill-defined crenulations or denticles between the main teeth, or with a series of ill-defined crenulations or denticles near the basal angle
	(Figs. 192, 193). Propodeum rounded to angulate. Promesonotum with dorsum rounded or with a pair of longitudinal ridges. Junction of postpetiole and gaster not markedly compressed, not extremely narrow in profile (Fig. 193)	67	Tibial spurs of hind legs finely pectinate. Ventral alitrunk with an extensive V-shaped or narrowly U-shaped emargination running from the posterior border forward and terminating at or close to the metasternal pit, well in front of the hind coxal cavities. Metasternal process large, represented by 2
61	Promesonotal dorsum with 3 or more pairs of spines or teeth. First gastral tergite tuberculate, usually distinctly so (Fig. 179)	_	prominent triangles or teeth, at the apex on each side of the median emargination
_	Promesonotal dorsum with 2 pairs of spines or teeth. First gastral tergite smooth, sometimes sculptured but never tuberculate (Fig. 181)		most with a shallow, U-shaped, posterior emargination, which does not project forward beyond the hind coxal cavities and which ends far short of the metasternal pit. Metasternal process moderate to absent, when present always widely
62	First gastral tergite with numerous to abundant tubercles, which may be irregular, subconical, or conical but which are always distinct and widely distributed on the sclerite (Figs. 179, 183)	68	Propodeal lobes biaculeate, the upper and lower points of the lobe both sharp (Fig. 313). Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible markedly oblique in full-face view (Fig. 312)
	adorned except for fine surface sculpture but sometimes with	_	Propodeal lobes rounded, triangular, or spiniform; when promi-

nearly so in full-face view (Fig. 310)

nent always with a single point, never biaculeate (Fig. 311).

Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible perpendicular or

..... Pogonomyrmex (part)

Neo	tropical MYRMICINAE (continued)	Neotropical MYRMICINAE (continued)				
69	Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin with a long, unpaired median seta, which projects forward over the mandibles (Figs. 258, 372, 378, 382), or occasionally the anterior clypeal margin with an undifferentiated, dense row of long, strong setae	76	sent on part or all of the midline. Maxillary palps with 5 segments			
_	Midpoint of anterior clypeal margin without an unpaired, long median seta, instead with a pair of long setae that straddle the midpoint (Figs. 250, 332, 386, 388); anterior clypeal margin never with an undifferentiated, dense row of long, strong setae		tral view the posteroventral corner with a short, narrow groove running forward from the corner <i>Rogeria</i> (part) Antennal club of 4 segments (Fig. 389). With head in lateroventral view the posteroventral corner entire, without a groove running forward from the corner <i>Stenamma</i> (part)			
70	Maxillary palp with 5 segments. Lateral portions of clypeus dorsoventrally flattened and thin (Fig. 258), strongly prominent over the mandibles, and sometimes projecting farther forward than the median portion of the clypeus	77	Frontal lobes enormously expanded laterally and anteriorly, projecting farther forward than the anterior clypeal margin in full-face view (Fig. 384). In profile the median portion of the clypeus vertical and some distance back from the anteriormost points of the frontal lobes. Long and extremely deep antennal scrobes present (Fig. 385) Stegomyrmex			
_	Maxillary palp with 1–4 segments. Lateral portions of clypeus not dorsoventrally flattened nor projecting over the mandibles (Figs. 372, 378, 380, 382); never projecting as far forward as the median portion of the clypeus	_	Frontal lobes small to moderately expanded, never projecting farther forward than the anterior clypeal margin in full-face view. In profile the median portion of the clypeus not vertical, not behind the anteriormost points of the frontal lobes. Antennal scrobes usually absent but if present then only weakly			
71 —	Maxillary palp with 3 or 4 segments72Maxillary palp with 1 or 2 segments73		developed			
72	Propodeal declivity with a transversely arched rim or carina running across the declivity between the uppermost points of the propodeal lobes. Propodeal spiracle not preceded on side of alitrunk by a thin-walled vestibule that is conspicuous through the cuticle (Fig. 373)		Sting with an apicodorsal triangular to pennant-shaped lamellate appendage, which projects from the sting shaft at an angle to its long axis (Fig. 417)			
	running across the declivity between the propodeal lobes. Propodeal spiracle preceded on side of alitrunk by a thinwalled vestibule that is conspicuous through the cuticle (Fig. 381)	79	Tibial spurs of hind legs finely pectinate. Ventral alitrunk with an extensive V-shaped or narrowly U-shaped emargination running from the posterior border forward and terminating at or close to the metasternal pit, well in front of the hind			
73	Mandible with 5 teeth (Fig. 382). Anterior tentorial pit midway between antennal sockets and lateral margin of clypeus. Propodeum bidentate to bispinose (Fig. 383)	_	coxal cavities. Metasternal process large, represented by 2 prominent triangles or teeth, at the apex on each side of the median emargination			
_	Mandible with 4 teeth (Fig. 378). Anterior tentorial pit close to antennal socket. Propodeum usually unarmed and rounded (Fig. 379) but rarely may be angulate or minutely bidenticulate		most with a shallow, U-shaped posterior emargination, which does not project forward beyond the hind coxal cavities and which ends far short of the metasternal pit. Metasternal process small to absent; when present always widely separated from the apex of the median emargination 80			
74 —	Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with 2 teeth apically, followed by a long diastema and 1–3 teeth basally (Fig. 332) (major workers)	80	Median portion of clypeus longitudinally bicarinate, the carinae located one on each side of the midline (Figs. 372, 386, 388)			
	which decrease in size from the apical to the basal, the dentition lacking a long diastema between the apical and basal groups of teeth (Figs. 250, 386)	_	Median portion of clypeus not longitudinally bicarinate (Figs. 334, 338); either the clypeus without carinae or a median carina present on part or all of the midline 83			

81 With petiole in profile the spiracle situated at the node or on

 With petiole in profile the spiracle situated far anteriorly on the peduncle, at or very close to the articulation of the peduncle

the peduncle about level with the ascending anterior face of

the node (Fig. 373); the spiracle always behind the midlength of the peduncle, never far forward ... Megalomyrmex (part)

75 Median portion of clypeus longitudinally bicarinate, the carinae

running on each side of, and close to, the midline (Figs. 386, 388); the carinae diverging anteriorly. Maxillary palps with

250); either clypeus without carinae or a median carina pre-

Neotropical MYRMICINAE (continued)

with the alitrunk (Figs. 387, 389); spiracle always in front of the midlength of the peduncle, never close to the node

- **82** Antennal club of 3 segments (Fig. 387). With head in lateroventral view the posteroventral corner with a short, narrow groove running forward from the corner *Rogeria* (part)
- Antennal club of 4 segments (Fig. 389). With head in lateroventral view the posteroventral corner entire, without a groove running forward from the corner Stenamma (part)
- Apical (masticatory) margin of mandible with the third tooth (counting from the apex) smaller than the fourth, or the reduced third tooth followed by a minute denticle before the larger fourth tooth [all minor workers] (Fig. 334); or the mandible with 2 large apical and 1 or 2 enlarged basal teeth, the margin between these groups of teeth irregularly crenulate to bluntly dentate [major workers of some species]. Palp formula usually 2,2 or 3,2, rarely more *Pheidole* (part)

Synoptic Classification

A name prefixed by * indicates an extinct taxon. The placement of many genera, including most fossil forms, is speculative.

Subfamily MYRMICINAE.

Tribe **Agroecomyrmecini**. Genera: *Agroecomyrmex, *Eulithomyrmex (= *Lithomyrmex), Tatuidris (Figs. 176, 177).

- Tribe **Attini.** Genera: *Acromyrmex* (Figs. 178, 179) [subgenera: nominal plus *Moellerius*], *Apterostigma* (Figs. 192, 193), *Atta* (Figs. 180, 181) (= *Archeatta*, = *Epiatta*, = *Myrmegis* (nomen nudum), = *Neoatta*, = *Oecodoma*, = *Palaeatta*), **Attaichnus* (ichnotaxon, unavailable name), *Cyphomyrmex* (Figs. 184, 185) (= *Cyphomannia*), *Mycetarotes*, *Mycetophylax* (= *Paramycetophylax*), *Mycetosoritis*, *Mycocepurus* (Figs. 190, 191) (= *Descolemyrma*, = *Mycetopurus* (misspelling)), *Myrmicocrypta* (Figs. 188, 189) (= *Glyptomyrmex*), *Pseudoatta* (workerless), *Sericomyrmex* (Figs. 186, 187), *Trachymyrmex* (Figs. 182, 183).
- Tribe **Basicerotini**. Genera: *Basiceros* (Figs. 194, 195)

 (= Aspididris, = Ceratobasis (homonym)), Creightonidris, Eurhopalothrix (Figs. 196, 197), Octostruma (Figs. 198, 199), Protalaridris (Figs. 200, 201), Rhopalothrix (Figs. 202, 203)

 (= Acanthidris, = Heptastruma), Talaridris (Figs. 204, 205).
- Tribe *Blepharidattini*. Genera: *Blepharidatta* (Figs. 206, 207), *Wasmannia* (Figs. 208, 209) (= *Hercynia*).
- Tribe *Cataulacini*. Genus: *Cataulacus* (Figs. 210, 211) (= *Otomyrmex*).
- Tribe **Cephalotini** (= Cryptoceridae). Genera: *Cephalotes* (= *Cryptocerus*), *Eucryptocerus* (Figs. 214, 215), *Procryptocerus* (Figs. 212, 213), *Zacryptocerus* (Figs. 216, 217) (= *Cyathocephalus* (homonym), = *Cyathomyrmex*, = *Harnedia*, = *Hypocryptocerus*, = *Paracryptocerus*).

- Tribe **Crematogastrini.** Genus: *Crematogaster* (Figs. 218, 219) (= Acrocoelia, = Cremastogaster (misspelling), = Tranopeltoides) [subgenera: nominal plus Apterocrema, Atopogyne, Colobocrema, Decacrema (= Decracrema (misspelling)), Eucrema, Mesocrema, Nematocrema, Neocrema, Orthocrema, Oxygyne, Paracrema, Physocrema, Rhachiocrema, Sphaerocrema, Xiphocrema].
- Tribe Dacetonini. Genera: Acanthognathus (Figs. 230, 231), Asketogenys, Chelystruma, Cladarogenys, Codiomyrmex, Codioxenus, Colobostruma (Figs. 220, 221) (= Alistruma, = Clarkistruma), Daceton (Figs. 228, 229) (= Dacetum), Dorisidris, Dysedrognathus (Figs. 238, 239), Epitritus (Figs. 240, 241), Epopostruma (Figs. 224, 225) (= Hexadaceton), Glamyromyrmex (Figs. 244, 245) (= Borgmeierita), Gymnomyrmex, Kyidris (Figs. 248, 249) (= Polyhomoa), Mesostruma (Figs. 222, 223), Microdaceton (Figs. 226, 227), Neostruma (Figs. 236, 237), Orectognathus (Figs. 232, 233) (= Arnoldidris), Pentastruma, Quadristruma, Serrastruma (Figs. 246, 247), Smithistruma (Figs. 242, 243) (= Cephaloxys (homonym), = Miccostruma, = Platystruma, = Weberistruma, = Wessonistruma), Strumigenys (Figs. 234, 235) (= Eneria, = Labidogenys, = Proscopomyrmex, = Pyramica), Tingimyrmex, Trichoscapa.
- Tribe Formicoxenini (= Cardiocondylini, = Leptothoracini, = Podomyrmini, = Stereomyrmicini). Genera: Ankylomyrma (Figs. 284, 285), Atopomyrmex (Figs. 272, 273), Cardiocondyla (Figs. 258, 259) (= Dyclona, = Emeryia, = Loncyda, = Prosopidris, = Xenometra), Chalepoxenus (Figs. 256, 257) (= Leonomyrma), Dilobocondyla (Figs. 276, 277) (= Mesomyrma), Doronomyrmex (workerless), Epimyrma (Figs. 254, 255) (= Myrmetaerus, = Myrmoxenus) [subgenera: nominal plus Gonepimyrma], Formicoxenus (Figs. 252, 253) (= Symmyrmica), Harpagoxenus (Figs. 262, 263) (= Tomognathus (homonym)), Ireneopone (Figs. 278, 279), Leptothorax (Figs. 250, 251) (= Antillaemyrmex, = Caulomyrma, = Croesomyrmex, = Dichothorax, = Goniothorax (homonym), = Icothorax, = Limnomyrmex, = Macromischa, = Meia, = Mychothorax, = Myrmammophilus, = Myrafant, = Nesomyrmex, = Temnothorax, = Tetramyrma), Leptoxenus (nomen nudum), Paratopula (Figs. 280, 281), Peronomyrmex, Podomyrma (Figs. 270, 271) (= *Acrostigma, = Dacryon, = Pseudopodomyrma), Poecilomyrma, Protomognathus (Figs. 260, 261), Romblonella (Figs. 264, 265), Rotastruma (Figs. 268, 269), Stereomyrmex (Figs. 282, 283), *Stigmomyrmex, Terataner (Figs. 274, 275) (= Tranetera), Tricytarus (male only, dubiously placed here), Vombisidris (Figs. 266, 267), Willowsiella.
- Tribe **Melissotarsini**. Genera: *Melissotarsus* (Figs. 288, 289), *Rhopalomastix* (Figs. 286, 287).
- Tribe **Meranoplini.** Genera: *Meranoplus* (Figs. 304, 305) (= *Cryptocephalus*), **Parameranoplus*.
- Tribe **Metaponini.** Genera: *Liomyrmex* (Figs. 290, 291) (= *Laparomyrmex*, = *Promyrma*), *Metapone*, *Vollenhovia* (Figs. 294, 295) (= *Acalama*, = *Aratromyrmex*, = *Dorothea*, = *Dyomorium*, = *Gauromyrmex*, = *Heteromyrmex*, = **Propodomyrma*, = *Solenomyrma*, = *Vollenhovenia*), *Xenomyrmex* (Figs. 292, 293) (= *Myrmecinella*) (dubiously placed here).
- Tribe **Myrmecinini** (= Archaeomyrmicini). Genera: *Acanthomyrmex* (Figs. 296–299), **Enneamerus, Myrmecina* (Figs. 302, 303) (= *Archaeomyrmex*), *Perissomyrmex*, *Pristomyrmex* (Figs. 300, 301) (= *Dodous*, = *Hylidris*, = *Odontomyrmex*), **Stiphromyrmex*.

```
Tribe Myrmicariini. Genus: Myrmicaria (Figs. 306, 307) (= Heptacondylus, = Physatta).
```

```
Tribe Myrmicini. Genera: Eutetramorium (Figs. 314, 315),

Huberia (Figs. 316, 317), Hylomyrma (Figs. 312, 313) (= Lundella), Manica (= Neomyrma, = Oreomyrma), Myrmica (Figs. 308, 309) (= Dodecamyrmica, = Paramyrmica, = Sifolinia, = Sommimyrma, = Symbiomyrma), *Nothomyrmica, Pogonomyrmex (Figs. 310, 311) (= Ephebomyrmex, = Forelomyrmex, = Janetia (homonym)).
```

Tribe **Ochetomyrmecini**. Genera: *Ochetomyrmex* (Figs. 322, 323) (= *Brownidris*), *Tranopelta* (Figs. 320, 321).

Tribe *Phalacromyrmecini*. Genera: *Ishakidris* (Figs. 318, 319), *Phalacromyrmex*, *Pilotrochus*.

```
Tribe Pheidolini (= Anergatidini, = Aphaenogastrini, = Ocymyr-
  micini). Genera: Aphaenogaster (Figs. 338, 339) (= Attomyrma,
  = Brunella, = Deromyrma, = Novomessor, = Nystalomyrma,
  = Planimyrma), Chimaeridris (Figs. 324, 325), Goniomma (Figs.
  326, 327), Hypopheidole (nomen nudum), Kartidris (Figs. 336,
  337), *Lonchomyrmex, Messor (Figs. 340, 341) (= Cratomyrmex,
  = Lobognathus, = Sphaeromessor, = Veromessor), Ocymyrmex (Figs.
  330, 331), Oxyopomyrmex (Figs. 328, 329), *Paraphaenogaster
  (= *Paraphaeogaster (misspelling)), Pheidole (Figs. 332–335)
  (= Allopheidole, = Anergatides, = Bruchomyrma, = Cardiopheidole,
  = Cephalomorium, = Ceratopheidole, = Conothoracoides, = Cono-
  thorax (homonym), = Decapheidole, = Elasmopheidole, = Elec-
  tropheidole, = Epipheidole, = Eriopheidole, = Gallardomyrma,
  = Hendecapheidole, = Ischnomyrmex, = Isopheidole, = Leptomyrma,
  = Macropheidole, = Oecophthora, = Parapheidole, = Pheidolacan-
  thinus, = Phidola, = Phidole, = Scrobopheidole, = Stegopheidole,
  = Sympheidole, = Trachypheidole, = Xenoaphaenogaster).
```

Tribe **Pheidologetonini** (= Lophomyrmicini). Genera: *Adlerzia* (= *Stenothorax*), *Afroxyidris*, *Anisopheidole* (Figs. 358, 359), *Carebara* (Figs. 356, 357), **Hypopomyrmex*, *Lophomyrmex* (Figs. 348, 349), *Machomyrma* (Figs. 360, 361), *Oligomyrmex* (Figs. 350–353) (= *Aeromyrma*, = *Aneleus*, = *Crateropsis*, = *Erebomyrma*, = *Hendecatella*, = *Lecanomyrma*, = *Nimbamyrma*, = *Solenops*, = *Spelaeomyrmex*, = *Sporocleptes*), **Oxyidris*, *Paedalgus* (Figs. 354, 355), *Pheidologeton* (Figs. 344–347) (= *Amauromyrmex*, = *Idrisella*, = *Phidologeton*), *Recurvidris* (Figs. 342, 343) (= *Trigonogaster* (homonym)).

Tribe **Solenopsidini** (= Megalomyrmecini, = Monomoriini). Genera: Allomerus (Figs. 362, 363), Anillomyrma (Figs. 366, 367), Antichthonidris (Figs. 382, 383), Bondroitia (Figs. 368, 369), Carebarella (= Carebarelloides), Diplomorium (Figs. 364, 365), Epelysidris (Figs. 370, 371), Megalomyrmex (Figs. 372, 373) (= Cepobroticus, = Wheelerimyrmex), Monomorium (Figs. 378, 379) (= Chelaner, = Corynomyrmex, = Epixenus, = Epoecus, = Equessimessor (misspelling), = Equestrimessor, = Holcomyrmex, = Ireneidris, = Isholcomyrmex (misspelling), = Isolcomyrmex, = *Lampromyrmex, = Mitara, = Notomyrmex, = Paraholcomyrmex (misspelling), = Paraphacota, = Parholcomyrmex, = Pharaophanes (nomen nudum), = Protholcomyrmex, = Schizopelta, = Syllophopsis, = Syllopsis (misspelling), = Trichomyrmex, = Wheeleria (homonym), = Wheeleriella, = Xenhyboma, = Xeromyrmex), Nothidris (Figs. 380, 381), Oxyepoecus (Figs. 374, 375) (= Forelifidis, = Martia (homonym)), Phacota, Solenopsis (Figs. 376, 377)

```
(= Bisolenopsis, = Diagyne, = Diplorhoptrum, = Disolenopsis (misspelling), = Euophthalma, = Granisolenopsis, = Labauchena, = Lilidris, = Octella, = Oedaleocerus, = Paranamyrma, = Synsolenopsis).
```

Tribe Stegomyrmecini. Genus: Stegomyrmex (Figs. 384, 385).

Tribe Stenammini (= Calyptomyrmecini, = Proattini). Genera:

Ancyridris, Bariamyrma, Calyptomyrmex (Figs. 404, 405) (= Weberidris), Cyphoidris (Figs. 394, 395), Dacatria, Dacetinops (Figs. 398, 399), Dicroaspis (Figs. 406, 407) (= Geognomicus),

*Ilemomyrmex, Indomyrma (Figs. 400, 401), Lachnomyrmex (Figs. 392, 393), Lordomyrma (Figs. 396, 397) (= Prodicroaspis, = Promeranoplus), Proatta (Figs. 402, 403), Rogeria (Figs. 386, 387) (= Irogera), Rostromyrmex, Stenamma (Figs. 388, 389) (= Asemorhoptrum, = Theryella), Tetheamyrma (Figs. 390, 391).

Dubiously also included here: Mayriella (Figs. 408, 409). Very dubiously included here: Adelomyrmex (Figs. 410, 411) (= Apsychomyrmex, = Arctomyrmex), Baracidris (Figs. 412, 413).

Tribe **Tetramoriini** (= Anergatini, = Teleutomyrmini). Genera: Anergates (workerless), Decamorium (Figs. 414, 415), Rhoptomyrmex (Figs. 418, 419) (= Acidomyrmex, = Hagioxenus, = Ireneella), Secostruma (Figs. 422, 423), Strongylognathus (Figs. 420, 421) (= Myrmus (homonym)), Tetramorium (Figs. 416, 417) (= Atopula, = Lobomyrmex, = Macromichoides (misspelling), = Macromischoides, = Sulcomyrmex (unavailable), = Tetrogmus, = Triglyphothrix, = Xiphomyrmex), Teleutomyrmex (workerless).

Fossil myrmicine genera unplaced to tribe: *Archimyrmex, *Attopsis, *Cephalomyrmex, *Electromyrmex, *Eocenidris, *Eoformica, *Eomyrmex, *Myrmicites (unavailable), *Myrmicium (= *Myrmecium (misspelling)), *Promyrmicium (= *Myrmicium (homonym)).

[Material of the unavailable names Eumyrmicinae, Mycetomyrmicinae and Rhagiomyrmicinae is referable to Myrmicinae; that of the unavailable name Promyrmicinae is referable to Metaponini.]

Distribution

The subfamily Myrmicinae is found in all zoogeographical regions, as shown in the table given in the introduction. The total number of myrmicine genera shared by 2 or more regions is as follows, where PAL = Palaearctic, AFR = Afrotropical, MAL = Malagasy, ORI = Oriental, INA = Indo-Australian, AUS = Australasian, NEA = Nearctic, NEO = Neotropical. The table excludes endemic genera and those accidentally introduced by human activities.

AFR	12						
MAL	11	17					
ORI	18	22	16				
INA	15	19	15	37			
AUS	11	15	13	19	27		
NEA	20	11	11	14	15	11	
NEO	11	11	10	12	16	13	21
	PAL	AFR	MAL	ORI	INA	AUS	NEA

A number of these genera have extremely wide distributions and are shared by 5 or more zoogeographical regions. There are 11 such genera (Aphaenogaster, Cardiocondyla, Crematogaster, Leptothorax, Monomorium, Oligomyrmex, Pheidole, Smithistruma, Solenopsis, Strumigenys, Tetramorium), and if they are subtracted from the above table its form changes as follows.

AFR	2						
MAL		6					
ORI	7	12	5				
INA	5	10	5	28			
AUS	2	7	4	10	17		
NEA	9	1	_	4	4	3	
NEO	1	2	_	2	6	4	11
	PAL	AFR	MAL	ORI	INA	AUS	NEA

The most striking feature of this table is that the Malagasy region shares only these world-distributed genera with the Palaearctic, Nearctic, and Neotropical regions.

Taxonomic References

Identification of extant species

Some older references have a suffixed comment "[out of date]." These references are included as they contain the only identification keys ever attempted for the taxon in question. They should be used with great caution as, for the most part, they contain numerous infraspecific and infrasubspecific taxa that are no longer recognized. Older references that have been superseded, or those rendered useless by the volume of later descriptions and synonymies, are omitted.

Acanthognathus: Brown and Kempf (1969). Acanthomyrmex: Moffett (1986). Acromyrmex: Gonçalves (1961) [Brazil]; Fowler (1988) [subgenus Moellerius]. Afroxyidris: Belshaw and Bolton (1994). Ankylomyrma: Bolton (1981b). Anillomyrma: Bolton (1987). Antichthonidris: Snelling (1975). Aphaenogaster: M. R. Smith (1961) [New Guinea]; Arnol'di (1976b) [former U.S.S.R.]. Asketogenys: Brown (1972). Atopomyrmex: Bolton (1981b). Atta: Borgmeier (1959). Baracidris: Bolton (1981b). Bariamyrma: Lattke (1990a). Basiceros: Brown and Kempf (1960); Brown (1974a). Blepharidatta: Kempf (1967a). Bondroitia: Bolton (1987). Calyptomyrmex: Baroni Urbani (1975a) [Oriental]; Bolton (1981a) [Afrotropical]. Cardiocondyla: Bernard (1956b) [Palaearctic]; Bolton (1982) [Afrotropical]; J. Kugler (1984) [males]. Carebara: W. M. Wheeler (1922) [Afrotopical, out of date]. Cataulacus: Bolton (1974a) [world]; Bolton (1982) [Afrotropical]. Cephalotes: Kempf (1951). Chalepoxenus: Kutter (1973a); Buschinger et al. (1988); Radchenko (1989a) [former U.S.S.R.]. Chelystruma: Kempf (1959c). Chimaeridris: Wilson (1989). Cladarogenys: Brown (1976b); Bolton (1983). Creightonidris: Brown and Kempf (1960). Crematogaster: Buren (1968b) [U.S.A,]; Johnson (1988) [eastern U.S.A.]. Cyphoidris: Bolton (1981b). Cyphomyrmex: Kempf (1964b) [strigatus-group]; Kempf (1966), Snelling and Longino (1992) [rimosusgroup]. Dacetinops: Taylor (1985). Decamorium: Bolton (1976). Dicroaspis: Bolton (1981a). Dilobocondyla: W. M. Wheeler (1924) [out of date]. Diplomorium: Bolton (1987). Dorisidris: Brown (1948). Dysedrognathus: Taylor (1968c). Epelysidris: Bolton (1987). Epimyrma: Menozzi (1931) [out of date]; Kutter (1973b); Buschinger et al. (1987); Buschinger (1989). Epitritus: Bolton (1972) [world]; Bolton (1983) [Afrotropical]. Eucryptocerus: Kempf (1951). Eurhopalothrix: Brown and Kempf (1960) [world]; Taylor (1968a, 1980b, 1990) [Indo-Australian, Australasian]. Formicoxenus: Francoeur, Loiselle, and Buschinger (1985). Glamyromyrmex: Kempf (1960d) [Neotropical]; Bolton (1983) [Afrotropical]. Goniomma: Santschi (1929b) [out of date]. Gymnomyrmex: Kempf (1960d); Perrault (1986). Huberia: Brown (1958c). Hylomyrma: Kempf (1964c, 1973a). Indomyrma: Brown (1986). Ishakidris: Bolton (1984). Kartidris: Bolton (1991). Kyidris: Wilson and Brown (1956). Lachnomyrmex: Weber (1950c). Leptothorax: Bernard (1956a) [western Europe]; Kempf (1959b) ["subgenus" Nesomyrmex, Neotropical]. Baroni Urbani (1978a) ["subgenus" Macromischa, Neotropical]; Bolton (1982) [Afrotropical]; Dlussky and Soyunov (1988) ["subgenus" Temnothorax, former U.S.S.R.]. Lordomyrma: Donisthorpe (1941) [out of date]. Manica: G. C. Wheeler and J. Wheeler (1986) [Nearctic]. Mayriella: W. M. Wheeler (1935) [out of date]. Megalomyrmex: Brandao (1990). Melissotarsus: Bolton (1982). Meranoplus: Bolton (1981a) [Afrotropical]. Mesostruma: Brown (1952b); Taylor (1973). Messor: Bernard (1955) [structor-group, Mediterranean]; Bernard (1980) [barbarus-group]; Arnol'di (1977) [former U.S.S.R.]; G. Tohmé and H. Tohmé (1981) [Syria]; Bolton (1982) [Afrotropical]; M. R. Smith (1956a) [Nearctic]. Metapone: W. M. Wheeler (1919) [out of date]. Microdaceton: Bolton (1983). Monomorium: DuBois (1986) [Nearctic]; Bolton (1987) [Afrotropical]. Mycetarotes: Kempf (1960c). Mycetophylax: Santschi (1922) [out of date]. Mycocepurus: Kempf (1963b). Myrmecina: Brown (1967) [North America]. Myrmica: Menozzi (1939) [Himalaya, Tibet, out of date]; Arnol'di (1970) [former European U.S.S.R.]; Arnol'di (1976a) [former central U.S.S.R.]; Seifert (1988b) [west Palaearctic]; Kupyanskaya (1986) [lobicornis-group of far eastern Russia]; Weber (1947, 1948, 1950b) [Nearctic, synopsis of Palaearctic, out of date]. Myrmicaria: Santschi (1925) [Afrotropical, out of date]. Neostruma: Brown (1959). Nothidris: Snelling (1975); Bolton (1987). Octostruma: Brown and Kempf (1960). Ocymyrmex: Bolton (1981b); Bolton and Marsh (1989). Oligomyrmex: Weber (1950a, 1952) [partial, Afrotropical]. Orectognathus: Brown (1953c, 1958a); Taylor (1977, 1978b, 1980a). Oxyepoecus: Kempf (1974). Paedalgus: Bolton and Belshaw (1993). Paratopula: Bolton (1988b). Pentastruma: Brown and Boisvert (1979). Peronomyrmex: Taylor (1970). Phacota: Bolton (1987). Phalacromyrmex: Kempf (1960b); Bolton (1984). Pheidole: Kusnezov (1952a) [Argentina]; Gregg (1959) [Nearctic]; Naves (1985) [Florida]; Ogata (1982) [Japan]; Wilson and Brown (in preparation) [Neotropical]. Pilotrochus: Brown (1978a); Bolton (1984). Pogonomyrmex: Kusnezov (1951) [Argentina]; Cole (1968) [Nearctic]; Snelling (1982a) [partial, Nearctic]; Shattuck (1987) [partial, Nearctic]; MacKay et al. (1985) [Mexico]. Snelling and Hunt (1976) [Chile]. Pristomyrmex: Taylor (1965a, 1968b) [Australasian]; Bolton (1981b) [Afrotropical]. Procryptocerus: Kempf (1951). Protalaridris: Brown (1980a). Quadristruma: Bolton (1983). Recurvidris: Bolton (1992). Rhopalothrix: Brown and Kempf (1960) [world]; Taylor (1990) [Indo-Australian, Australasian]. Rhoptromyrmex: Brown (1964b); Bolton (1976, 1986). Rogeria: Kempf (1963a, 1964c) [Neotropical]; C. Kugler (in preparation) [world]. Romblonella: M. R. Smith (1953, 1956b); Bolton (1976); Taylor (1991). Rotastruma: Bolton (1991). Secostruma: Bolton (1988c). Serrastruma: Brown (1952a); Bolton (1983). Smithistruma: Brown (1953a, 1964a) [world]; Bolton (1983) [Afrotropical]; Ward (1988) [west Nearctic]. Solenopsis: Creighton (1930) [New World, out of date]; Bernard (1950, 1978) [France]; Thompson and Johnson (1989) [Florida]; Snelling and Hunt (1976) [Chile]; Trager (1991) [geminata-group, world]. Stegomyrmex: Diniz (1990). Stenamma: M. R. Smith (1957), Snelling (1973) [Nearctic]; M. R. Smith (1962) [Mesoamerica]; Yasumatsu and Murakami (1960) [Japan]; Arnol'di (1975) [former U.S.S.R.]; DuBois (in preparation) [world]. Strongylognathus: Baroni Urbani (1969b) [huberi-group, Palaearctic]; Radchenko (1985, 1991) [former U.S.S.R.]. Strumigenys: Brown (1962), Kempf (1976) [Neotropical]; Brown (1954b), Bolton (1983) [Afrotropical]. Talaridris: Brown and Kempf (1960). Tatuidris: Brown and Kempf (1968). Terataner: Bolton (1981b). Tetheamyrma: Bolton (1991). Tetramorium: Bolton (1976) [partial, former Triglyphothrix, world]; Bolton (1977) [Oriental, Indo-Australian, Australasian]; Bolton (1979) [Malagasy, New World]; Bolton (1980) [Afrotropical]; Radchenko and Arakelian (1990) [ferox-complex, Caucasus]; Wang, Xiao, and Wu (1988) [China]; Radchenko (1992) [[former U.S.S.R.]. *Trichoscapa:* Bolton (1983). *Vombisidris:* Taylor (1989) [Australia]; Bolton (1991). *Willowsiella:* Taylor (1991). *Xenomyrmex:* Creighton (1957). *Zacryptocerus:* Kempf (1951, 1952, 1958a, 1973b).


Other taxonomic references


Anergates: Ettershank (1966). Aphaenogaster: Bolton (1982). Basicerotini: Brown and Kempf (1960). Cephalotini: Kempf (1951, 1952, 1958a, 1973b). Dacetonini: Bolton (1983) [Afrotropical]; Terayama and Kubota (1989) [Taiwan]. Liomyrmex: Ettershank (1966). Myrmica: Bolton (1988a). Myrmicinae: Brown (1954a, 1973); Snelling (1981); Dlussky and Fedoseeva (1988); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992); Ogata (1991b) [genera of Japan]. Phalacromyrmecini: Bolton (1984). Pheidologetonini: Ettershank (1966); Bolton (1987). Tetramoriini: Bolton (1976).


See also References to Faunistic Studies.


- **Figures 176–423** MYRMICINAE workers, heads in full-face view and body profiles:
 - 176-177, Agroecomyrmecini, Tatuidris
 - 178–193, **Attini:** 178–179, Acromyrmex; 180–181, Atta; 182–183, Trachymyrmex; 184–185, Cyphomyrmex; 186–187, Sericomyrmex; 188–189, Myrmicocrypta; 190–191, Mycocepurus; 192–193, Apterostigma
 - 194–205, **Basicerotini:** 194–195, Basiceros; 196–197, Eurhopalothrix; 198–199, Octostruma; 200–201, Protalaridris; 202–203, Rhopalothrix; 204–205, Talaridris
 - 206–209, **Blepharidattini:** 206–207, *Blepharidatta*; 208–209, *Wasmannia*
 - 210-211, Cataulacini, Cataulacus
 - 212–217, **Cephalotini:** 212–213, *Procryptocerus*; 214–215, *Eucryptocerus*; 216–217, *Zacryptocerus*
 - 218-219, Crematogastrini, Crematogaster
 - 220–249, **Dacetonini:** 220–221, Colobostruma; 222–223, Mesostruma; 224–225, Epopostruma; 226–227, Microdaceton; 228–229, Daceton; 230–231, Acanthognathus; 232–233, Orectognathus; 234–235, Strumigenys; 236–237, Neostruma; 238–239, Dysedrognathus; 240–241, Epitritus; 242–243, Smithistruma; 244–245, Glamyromyrmex; 246–247, Serrastruma; 248–249, Kyidris
 - 250–285, Formicoxenini: 250–251, Leptothorax; 252–253, Formicoxenus; 254–255, Epimyrma; 256–257, Chalepoxenus; 258–259, Cardiocondyla; 260–261, Protomognathus; 262–263, Harpagoxenus; 264–265, Romblonella; 266–267, Vombisidris; 268–269, Rotastruma; 270–271, Podomyrma; 272–273, Atopomyrmex; 274–275, Terataner; 276–277, Dilobocondyla; 278–279, Ireneopone; 280–281, Paratopula; 282–283, Stereomyrmex; 284–285, Ankylomyrma
 - 286–289, **Melissotarsini:** 286–287, *Rhopalomastix*; 288–289, *Melissotarsus*
 - 290–295, **Metaponini**: 290–291, *Liomyrmex*; 292–293, *Xenomyrmex*; 294–295, *Vollenhovia*
 - 296-303, Myrmecinini: 296-297, Acanthomyrmex major


- worker; 298–299, *Acanthomyrmex* minor worker; 300–301, *Pristomyrmex*; 302–303, *Myrmecina*
- 304-305, Meranoplini, Meranoplus
- 306-307, Myrmicariini, Myrmicaria
- 308–317, **Myrmicini:** 308–309, *Myrmica*; 310–311, *Pogonomyrmex*; 312–313, *Hylomyrma*; 314–315, *Eutetramorium*; 316–317, *Huberia*
- 318-319, Phalacromyrmecini, Ishakidris
- 320–323, **Ochetomyrmecini:** 320–321, *Tranopelta*; 322–323, *Ochetomyrmex*
- 324–341, **Pheidolini:** 324–325, *Chimaeridris;* 326–327, *Goniomma;* 328–329, *Oxyopomyrmex;* 330–331, *Ocymyrmex;* 332–333, *Pheidole* major worker; 334–335, *Pheidole* minor worker; 336–337, *Kartidris;* 338–339, *Aphaenogaster;* 340–341, *Messor*
- 342–361, **Pheidologetonini:** 342–343, *Recurvidris*; 344–345, *Pheidologeton* major worker; 346–347, *Pheidologeton* minor worker; 348–349, *Lophomyrmex*; 350–351, *Oligomyrmex* major worker; 352–353, *Oligomyrmex* minor worker; 354–355, *Paedalgus*; 356–357, *Carebara*; 358–359, *Anisopheidole*; 360–361, *Machomyrma*
- 362–383, **Solenopsidini:** 362–363, *Allomerus*; 364–365, *Diplomorium*; 366–367, *Anillomyrma*; 368–369, *Bondroitia*; 370–371, *Epelysidris*; 372–373, *Megalomyrmex*; 374–375, *Oxyepoecus*; 376–377, *Solenopsis*; 378–379, *Monomorium*; 380–381, *Nothidris*; 382–383, *Antichthonidris*
- 384-385, Stegomyrmecini, Stegomyrmex
- 386–413, **Stenammini:** 386–387, Rogeria; 388–389, Stenamma; 390–391, Tetheamyrma; 392–393, Lachnomyrmex, 394–395, Cyphoidris; 396–397, Lordomyrma; 398–399, Dacetinops; 400–401, Indomyrma; 402–403, Proatta; 404–405, Calyptomyrmex; 406–407, Dicroaspis; 408–409, Mayriella; 410–411, Adelomyrmex; 412–413, Baracidris
- 414–423, **Tetramoriini:** 414–415, Decamorium; 416–417, Tetramorium; 418–419, Rhoptromyrmex; 420–421, Strongylognathus; 422–423, Secostruma.


























































































































Subfamily NOTHOMYRMECIINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 174, 175)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Clypeus broad from front to back so that antennal sockets are well behind anterior margin of head. Median portion of clypeus extended backwards between the frontal carinae.
- 2 Antennal sockets inclined; their margins and section of torulus closest to the midline of the head on a higher level than the margin most distant from the midline.
- 3 Frontal carinae present; frontal lobes absent but the antennal insertions partly concealed by the torular sclerites.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight.
- 5 Eyes present, large. Antenna with 12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture present and flexible, the pronotum capable of movement relative to the mesonotum.
- 7 Metapleural gland orifice at lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally and not concealed by a cuticular flange or flap.
- 8 Mesonotum distinctly defined; metanotum present on dorsal alitrunk.
- 9 Metacoxal cavities open; cuticular annulus around each cavity with a wide break or interruption medially so that the coxal cavity is confluent with the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 10 Propodeal lobes present.
- 11 Waist of 1 segment, the petiole (= abdominal segment 2).
- 12 Abdominal stridulatory system present ventrally, the stridulitrum anterior on abdominal sternite 4 (= gastral sternite 2), the plectrum posterior on the preceding sternite.

- 13 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 2) without differentiated presclerites.
- 14 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 (= gastral 3–5) concealed by posterior margins of preceding tergites, not visible without distension of the gaster.
- 15 Helcium sternite relatively small, retracted, concealed by the tergite, and not visible in profile.
- 16 Abdominal segments 2–7 (petiole to apex of gaster) without tergosternal fusion.
- Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 5) simple, biconvex, unarmed.
- 18 Sting present, large, and strongly developed.

Synoptic Classification

Subfamily **NOTHOMYRMECIINAE**.

Tribe Nothomyrmeciini. Genus: Nothomyrmecia (Figs. 174, 175).

Distribution

The single extant species of this small subfamily is found only in the Australasian region, where it occurs in south and west Australia.

Taxonomic References

Nothomyrmeciinae: Taylor (1978a); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Shattuck (1992b); Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992).

Subfamily PONERINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 424-516)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Clypeus usually broad from front to back so that antennal sockets are well back from anterior margin of head; much less commonly clypeus reduced so that the antennal sockets are close to the anterior margin of the head.
- 2 Antennal sockets horizontal, in the plane of the transverse axis of the head, usually concealed by the frontal lobes in fullface view.
- 3 Frontal lobes usually present and partially to entirely concealing the antennal insertions; rarely the frontal lobes absent and the antennal insertions exposed.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper generally sharply angled or bent downwards in frontal or full-face view, only rarely straight.
- 5 Eyes usually present, may be small to vestigial, only rarely absent; antenna with 6–12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture usually present and flexible; fused and immobile in some, sometimes absent.
- Metapleural gland orifice in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally or posteriorly, the orifice not concealed by a cuticular flange or flap.
- 8 Metacoxal cavities variable; cuticular annulus around each cavity uncommonly broad and complete, the annulus usually interrupted by a flexible suture running from the coxal cavity to the cavity in which the petiole articulates or with a wide gap in the annulus medially.
- 9 Propodeal lobes usually present.
- 10 Waist of 1 segment, the petiole (= abdominal segment 2); usually also with a constriction between abdominal segments 3 and 4 (= gastral segments 1 and 2), which morphologically marks the boundary between the presclerites and postsclerites of abdominal segment 4.
- 11 Abdominal stridulatory system frequently present, less commonly absent; when present the stridulitrum located on the pretergite of abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 2), the plectrum posterior on the preceding tergite.

- 12 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 (= gastral 3–5) concealed by posterior margins of preceding tergites, not visible without distension of the gaster. Spiracle on abdominal tergite 5 (= gastral 3) may be very close to level of posterior margin of abdominal tergite 4, and thus may become visible with only slight distension.
- 13 Helcium sternite small, retracted, concealed by the tergite; not visible without dissection.
- 14 Abdominal segments 3 and 4 (= gastral segments 1 and 2) with tergosternal fusion; tergites and sternites of following abdominal segments (5–7, = gastral segments 3–5) not fused.
- 15 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 2) with presclerites sharply defined and differentiated from the postsclerites, the former fitting tightly within the posterior end of the third segment.
- 16 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7 = gastral segment 5) large, usually simple but very rarely with a few peg-like teeth or a pair of spines. Hypopygium sometimes with a marginal row of teeth but usually simple.
- 17 Sting present, usually large and strongly developed.

Key to Palaearctic PONERINAE (Workers)

- Petiole narrowly attached to first gastral segment, the two joined via a slender articulatory junction; petiole usually with a free posterior face and helcium located at or below midheight on anterior face of first gastral segment in profile (Figs. 445, 453, 457, 476, 490, 492, 500). Mandibles usually triangular or subtriangular, if linear then either not multidentate

Palaearctic PONERINAE (continued)		Palaearctic PONERINAE (continued)			
	articulated in middle of anterior margin of head (Figs. 444, 2, 456, 487, 489, 491, 499)	9	Petiole node armed dorsally with a pair of spines (Fig. 476). Alitrunk laterally with a conspicuous, pocket-like excavation above the mesopleuron		
dle an	dible long and linear, in full-face view inserted in the mid- of the anterior margin of the head (Figs. 456, 459), with apical armament of 3 teeth arranged in a vertical series g. 457)	_	Petiole node unarmed dorsally (Figs. 453, 469, 486, 488, 490, 496, 500). Alitrunk laterally without a pocket-like excavation above the mesopleuron		
— Mand ant ver	dible linear to triangular, in full-face view inserted at the serolateral corner of head and not armed apically with a citical series of 3 teeth (Figs. 444, 452, 467, 485, 487, 489, 1, 495, 499)	10	Mandible elongate-triangular and armed with 5 long but slender spiniform teeth. Apical tooth particularly long, saber-like, broadly curved, and strongly crossing over its opposite number when the mandibles are closed (Fig. 487)		
hea pai ver (Fig	al carina (separating dorsal from posterior surfaces of ad) converging in a V at the midline, and also receiving a r of prominent, dark, posterior apophyseal lines that conge to form the sharp median-dorsal groove of the vertex g. 460)		Mandible triangular and closing tightly against the clypeus, not armed with 5 spiniform teeth. Apical tooth not saber-like, overlapping but not strongly crossing over its opposite number when the mandibles are closed (Figs. 452, 467, 485, 489, 495, 499)		
pos pai	al carina forming a broad, uninterrupted curve across the sterodorsal extremity of the head; posterior surface without red, dark, apophyseal lines; on vertex median groove about or ill-defined and shallow (Fig. 458)	11 —	Basal portion of mandible with a distinct circular or near-circular pit or fovea dorsolaterally		
hea abs	resonotal suture absent (Figs. 443, 445, 455). With the ad in full-face view horizontal frontal lobes vestigial to sent, the antennal sockets exposed (Figs. 442, 454) 5 resonotal suture present (Figs. 453, 476, 486, 490, 496,	12	Eyes absent (Figs. 485, 486). Dorsal (outer) surface of middle tibia and middle basitarsus with stout, peg-like setae or narrow, cuticular spines among the normal pilosity (Fig. 510)		
par	0). With the head in full-face view horizontal frontal lobes rially or entirely conceal the antennal sockets (Figs. 452, 4, 485, 487, 489, 495, 499)	_	Eyes present (Figs. 495, 496). Dorsal (outer) surface of middle tibia and middle basitarsus without stout, peg-like setae or narrow, cuticular spines among the normal pilosity		
so 445 pro tria	te of second gastral segment strongly arched and vaulted that the remaining segments point anteriorly (Figs. 443, 5). Sternite of second gastral segment small to minute in offle, roughly triangular in shape, and with the apex of the angle directed ventrally or anteriorly. Eyes present, often all (Figs. 442–445)	13	Ventral apex of hind tibia, when viewed from in front with the femur at right angle to the body, with a single, large, pectinate spur; without a second, smaller spur in front of the pectinate main spur in the direction of observation (Fig. 511) 14		
Tergit renof sub	te of second gastral segment not arched and vaulted, the maining segments directed posteriorly (Fig. 455). Sternite second gastral segment large and elongate in profile, prectangular to trapezoidal in shape. Eyes absent (Figs. 454, 5)	_	Ventral apex of hind tibia, when viewed from in front with the femur at right angle to the body, with 2 spurs, consisting of a large, pectinate spur and a second, smaller spur in front of the main spur in the direction of observation (Figs. 512, 513)		
442	dible edentate, overhung by the projecting clypeus (Fig. 2). Apical funicular segment strongly bulbous (Fig. 443)	. 14	Subpetiolar process in profile with an acute angle posteroven- trally and with a fenestra or tranducent thin spot anteriorly		
— Mano (Fig	dible with 3 or more teeth, not overhung by the clypeus g. 444). Apical funicular segment enlarged but not strongly lbous	_	Subpetiolar process in profile a simple lobe, without an acute posteroventral angle and lacking an anterior fenestra or thin spot		
	of head with broad, deep antennal scrobes present	15	Helcium located approximately at midheight of first gastral segment in profile (Fig. 453). Tibiae of middle and hind legs each with 2 pectinate spurs (Fig. 513). Sculpture universally of fine, dense shagreening with associated larger punctures. Pre-		
beł — Preta inn	rsal claws multidentate to pectinate on the inner curvature hind the apical point (Fig. 516)	_	tarsal claws each with a tooth on its inner curvature, some distance from the apex (Fig. 515)		

Afrotropical and Malagasy PONERINAE (continued)	Afrotropical and Malagasy PONERINAE (continued)			
ally with several to many teeth. Mandibular articulation not associated with a semicircular excavation of the dorsal anterior margin of the head in front of the eyes	the tergite. Second gastral segment very much larger than the first. Basal angle of mandible evenly rounded, the apical (masticatory) margin with 8 teeth			
 Basal portion of mandible with a distinct circular or near-circular pit or fovea dorsolaterally	Subpetiolar process in profile with an acute angle posteroven- trally and with a fenestra or translucent thin spot anteriorly			
13 Dorsal (outer) surfaces of middle tibiae and middle and hind basitarsi equipped with numerous strong cuticular spines or	— Subpetiolar process in profile a simple lobe, without an acute posteroventral angle and lacking an anterior fenestra or thin spot			
peg-like teeth which are very conspicuous (Fig. 509)	19 Pretarsal claws of middle and hind legs armed on the inner curvature with a tooth, either close to the midlength or near the base (Fig. 515), or the entire inner curvature dentate to pectinate (Fig. 516)			
1414 Gaster in profile and in dorsal view with a distinct impression or girdling constriction between the first and second segments	 Pretarsal claws of middle and hind legs simple (Fig. 514), the inner curvature without a tooth medially or near the base, never dentate or pectinate			
 (Figs. 473, 490, 494, 500)	20 Pretarsal claws of middle and hind legs pectinate (Fig. 516) or with 1–3 small teeth behind the apex. If only 1 preapical tooth present on claw then mandible with only 1–3 teeth and clypeus with a sharp, median longitudinal carina (Fig. 491)			
Mandible elongate-falcate, with an extremely long apical tooth so that the tips cross over at rest (Fig. 471). Apical (masticatory) margin edentate or crenulate. Labrum prominent, in dorsal view projecting beyond the anterior clypeal margin as a striated lobe. Palp formula 3,4. Larger ants, total length 9–16 mm	— Pretarsal claws of middle and hind legs never pectinate, the claws always with only a single preapical tooth (Fig. 515). Mandible usually with more than 3 teeth but may be edentate, in which case the clypeus without a median longitudinal carina			
 Mandible short and triangular, lacking an extremely long apical tooth (Figs. 493, 489, 499). Apical (masticatory) margin multidentate. Labrum not projecting beyond clypeus as a striated lobe in dorsal view. Palp formula less than 3,4 (unknown in <i>Dolioponera</i>). Smaller ants, total length less than 6 mm 	Helcium located approximately at midheight on the front of the first gastral segment so that the first gastral segment does not have a long, vertical anterior face in profile (Fig. 453). Tibiae of middle and hind legs each with 2 pectinate spurs (Fig. 513). Sculpture universally of fine, dense shagreening with associated larger punctures. Eyes never positioned well behind the			
 16 Frontal lobes massive, projecting anteriorly and overlapping the clypeus (Fig. 493). Median portion of clypeus projecting as a broad, truncated lobe	midlength of the sides of the head			
17 Second gastral segment with dorsum vaulted, strongly arched and down-curved posteriorly (Fig. 494). Sternite of second gastral segment much reduced and with a bluntly U-shaped	the eyes are positioned a considerable distance behind the midlength of the sides of the head <i>Pachycondyla</i> (part)			
outline in profile, very much smaller than the tergite (Fig. 494). Second gastral segment only slightly larger than first. Basal angle of mandible angulate, the apical (masticatory) margin with fewer than 8 teeth Loboponera [Note: This name is unavailable here; it will be formally described by W. L. Brown, Jr., in a forthcoming publication.] — Second gastral segment barrel-shaped and longitudinal, the dor-	 Eyes absent (Fig. 483). Dorsal (outer) surface of middle tibiae and middle and hind basitarsi with numerous cuticular spines or peg-like teeth (Fig. 509)			
sum not vaulted, not arched and downcurved posteriorly. Sternite of second gastral segment longitudinal, without a bluntly U-shaped outline in profile, only slightly smaller than	23 Petiole dorsally with a comb of 5 long spines, which curve backwards over the base of the first gastral segment (Fig. 498)			

Afrotropical and Malagasy PONERINAE (continued)	Oriental and Indo-Australian PONERINAE (continued)
- Petiole dorsally without a comb of 5 spines (Figs. 469, 477, 492, 496)	— Mandible blunt at apex, rounded or subtruncate in full-face view (Fig. 430). Spatulate setae present on head Musteigner
 Sides of petiole converging dorsally into a sharp, longitudinal crest, which runs the length of the segment. Posterolateral margins of petiole also sharply angulate in the dorsal half, these sharp angles meeting the dorsal crest at its posterior end (Fig. 477). Anterior clypeal margin broadly concave, the concavity terminating at each side in a prominent angle or tooth-like projection (Fig. 475)	 Mandible long and linear, in full-face view inserted in the middle of the anterior margin of the head (Figs. 456, 459), with an apical armament of 2 or 3 teeth arranged in a vertical series (Fig. 457)
25 Mandible armed with only 1–3 teeth (usually 2) (Fig. 491)	prominent, dark apophyseal lines that converge to form the sharp mediodorsal groove of the vertex (Fig. 460)
— Mandible armed with 5 or more teeth (Figs. 467, 495)	 Nuchal carina forming a broad, uninterrupted curve across the posterodorsal extremity of the head; posterior surface without paired, dark apophyseal lines; on vertex, median groove absent or ill-defined and shallow (Fig. 458)
Key to Oriental and Indo-Australian PONERINAE (Workers)	7 With head in full-face view horizontal frontal lobes absent. Either the frontal lobes completely absent, in which case the antennal sockets are entirely visible and set on a shelf-like
 Petiole broadly attached to first gastral segment, the two separated dorsally and laterally only by a constriction (Figs. 425, 427, 429, 431, 433); petiole without a free posterior face. In profile the helcium attached high on the anterior face of the first gastral segment	projection which overhangs the mandibles (Figs. 442, 454), or antennal sockets at extreme anterior margin of head; or (rarely) strongly elevated, strip-like frontal lobes are present (as Fig. 444), in which case the sockets are at the extreme anterior margin of the head and the head capsule has a median carina running its length
2 Mandible elongate and usually linear, multidentate, and not closing tightly against the clypeus, always with more than 3	antennal sockets never on a shelf-like projection overhanging the mandibles
teeth (Figs. 424, 426, 428, 430)	8 Tergite of second gastral segment strongly arched and vaulted so that the remaining segments point anteriorly (Figs. 443, 445). Sternite of second gastral segment small to minute in profile, triangular in shape, and with the blunt apex of the
With head in full-face view the frontal lobes approximately even with, or slightly surpassing, the anterior clypeal margin beneath them (Fig. 428). Antennal funiculi markedly compressed	triangle directed ventrally or anteriorly. Eyes present even if very small
 With head in full-face view the frontal lobes distinctly posterior to the anterior clypeal margin (Figs. 424, 426, 430). Antennal funiculi not compressed, approximately round in section 	subrectangular to trapezoidal in shape. Eyes absent
4 Mandible pointed at apex, in the form of an acute tooth (Figs. 424, 426). Spatulate setae absent from head Amblyopone	 9 Mandible edentate, overhung by the projecting clypeus (Fig. 442). Apical funicular segment strongly bulbous (Fig. 443) — Discothyrea — Mandible with 3 or more teeth, not overhung by the clypeus

Oriental and Indo-Australian PONERINAE (continued)	Oriental and Indo-Australian PONERINAE (continued)
(Fig. 444). Apical funicular segment moderately enlarged but not strongly bulbous	spur; without a second, smaller spur in front of the main spur in the direction of observation (Fig. 511) 16 Ventral apex of hind tibia, when viewed from in front with the
 Metatibia (tibia of hind leg) in anterior view, with the leg at right angle to the long axis of the body, with 2 distinctly pectinate spurs, the posterior spur usually much larger than the anterior (Fig. 513)	femur at right angle to the body, with 2 spurs, consisting of a large, pectinate spur and a second, smaller, simple spur in front of the main spur in the direction of observation (Fig. 512)
long axis of the body, either with only 1 spur, which is pectinate (Fig. 511), or with a large, pectinate, posterior spur and a much smaller, simple, anterior spur (Fig. 512)	Mandible elongate-triangular and armed with 5 long, slender, spiniform teeth. Apical tooth particularly long, saber-like, broadly curved, and strongly crossing over its opposite number when mandibles closed (Fig. 487).
11 Frontal lobes widely separated throughout their length (Figs. 446, 449). Posterior clypeal margin usually broadly rounded or truncated between anterior ends of frontal lobes, the lobes themselves never separated only by a slender triangle anteriorly or a narrow median strip of cuticle throughout. Frontal	 ber when mandibles closed (Fig. 487) Emeryopone Mandible triangular and closing tightly against the clypeus, not armed with 5 spiniform teeth. Apical tooth not saber-like, overlapping but not strongly crossing with its opposite number when mandibles closed (Figs. 483, 489, 499) 17
lobes usually elongate and generally more or less straight-sided, not consisting of simple semicircles or blunt triangles and not having a distinct pinched-in appearance posteriorly	 Dorsal (outer) surfaces of middle tibiae and middle and hind basitarsi equipped with numerous strong cuticular spines or peg-like teeth (Fig. 509) Centromyrmex Dorsal (outer) surfaces of middle tibiae, and middle and hind
 Frontal lobes closely approximated or even partially to entirely confluent (Figs. 462, 463, 466, 467, 474, 483, 485, 487, 489, 491, 495, 499). Frontal lobes separated only by a slender 	basitarsi, with setae but lacking cuticular spines or teeth.
triangle of cuticle anteriorly or by a longitudinal line or nar- row median strip of cuticle throughout. Frontal lobes usually consisting of simple, short semicircles or blunt triangles, and having a distinct pinched-in appearance posteriorly 13	 Subpetiolar process in profile with an acute angle posteroventrally and with a fenestra or translucent thin spot anteriorly Ponera Subpetiolar process in profile a simple lobe, without an acute
12 With alitrunk in profile the anteroventral pronotal angle, just in front of the anterior coxa, with a distinct and usually acute tooth (Fig. 451) (rarely this tooth may be reduced or missing	posteroventral angle and lacking an anterior fenestra or thin- spot
in individual specimens). Posterior pretarsal claws always with a distinct median tooth (Fig. 515); posterior coxae unarmed above	 19 Pretarsal claws of hind leg, on the inner curvature behind the apical point, either pectinate or equipped with 1 or more teeth (Figs. 515, 516)
 With alitrunk in profile the anteroventral pronotal angle un- armed or forming an obtuse angle (Fig. 447). In rare cases where the angle is present and more nearly tooth-like then 	apical point, unarmed, not pectinate and without teeth (Fig. 514)
the posterior pretarsal claws lack a median tooth, or else the posterior coxae are toothed above	20 Ocelli present (Fig. 463). Pretarsal claws each with a large, stout preapical tooth (Fig. 515). Mandible forceps-like, each blade with a double longitudinal row of teeth and with more than
13 Basal portion of mandible with a distinct circular, near-circular, or elongate pit or fovea dorsolaterally	25 teeth in each row, so that each mandibular blade has >50 teeth (Fig. 463). Ventral surface of each mandible close to the base with a large, triangular flange whose inner margin forms an extension of the main gripping edge of the mandible
14 Dorsal (outer) surface of middle tibia and middle basitarsus with traction-enhancing thickened peg-like setae or narrow cu- ticular spines mixed with the normal finer pilosity (Fig. 510). Eyes usually absent (Figs. 485, 486), very rarely vestigially	 Ocelli absent (Fig. 491). Pretarsal claws usually pectinate (Fig. 516), less commonly the pectination reduced to 1–3 small teeth on the basal half of the claw. Mandible very variable in
 present	shape but never with 2 rows of teeth on each blade and with <30 teeth on each mandible; often with only 1–3 teeth on each blade. Ventral surface of mandible close to base without a triangular flange
spicuous (Figs. 495, 496)	21 Alitrunk laterally with a conspicuous, pocket-like excavation above the mesopleuron (Fig. 476). Petiole a node, armed dorsally with a pair of spines

Oriental and Indo-Australian PONERINAE (continued) Australasian PONERINAE (continued) 4 Mandible pointed at apex, in the form of an acute tooth (Figs. — Alitrunk laterally without a pocket-like excavation above the mesopleuron (Figs. 464, 468, 469, 496). Petiole usually un-424, 426, 434). Spatulate setae absent from head 5 armed but sometimes a scale, which is emarginate dorsally, Mandible blunt at apex, rounded or subtruncate in full-face sometimes a node with a tridentate to multidentate posteroview (Fig. 430). Spatulate setae present on head . Mystrium 5 Hind tibiae each with a large, pectinate apical spur. Pretarsal 22 Antennal sockets very close to or at the anterior clypeal margin. claws small Amblyopone With head in full-face view frontal lobes reaching or over-— Hind tibiae lacking pectinate apical spurs; either spur completely hanging the anterior clypeal margin on each side (Fig. 462). absent or reduced to a small setiform vestige. Pretarsal claws Medially the frontal lobes usually with a narrow, truncated very large and stout Onychomyrmex clypeal lobe projecting freely in front of them; only very rarely is this lobe absent. Mandible usually linear 6 Mandible long and linear, in full-face view inserted in the mid-..... Myopias dle of the anterior margin of the head (Figs. 456, 459), with Antennal sockets well behind anterior clypeal margin. With an apical armament of 2 or 3 teeth arranged in a vertical head in full-face view frontal lobes far behind anterior clypeal margin on each side (Figs. 466, 467, 495). Medially the fron-- Mandible linear to triangular, in full-face view inserted at the tal lobes usually without a narrow, truncated, freely projectanterolateral corner of the head and not armed apically with ing clypeal lobe in front of them; only very rarely is such a a vertical series of 2 or 3 teeth (Figs. 438, 444, 449, 452, 462, Pronotum with a pair of laterally directed triangular teeth (Fig. 7 Nuchal carina (separating dorsal from posterior surface of head) 468). Mandible with 5 large, stout teeth and usually also with converging in a V at the midline, and also receiving a pair of a minute basal denticle. Anterior clypeal margin with 7-9 prominent, dark apophyseal lines that converge to form the acute to blunt projecting teeth (Fig. 466) Odontoponera sharp, median-dorsal groove of the vertex (Fig. 460) Pronotum unarmed (Figs. 469, 496). Mandible usually with 7 Odontomachus or more teeth, rarely with 6. Anterior clypeal margin un- Nuchal carina forming a broad, uninterrupted curve across the armed, without projecting teeth (Figs. 467, 495) posterodorsal extremity of the head; posterior surface without Pachycondyla (part) paired, dark apophyseal lines; on vertex, median groove absent or ill-defined and shallow (Fig. 458) Anochetus Key to Australasian PONERINAE (Workers) 8 With head in full-face view horizontal frontal lobes absent. Either the frontal lobes completely absent, in which case the 1 Petiole broadly attached to first gastral segment, the two sepaantennal sockets are entirely visible and set on a shelf-like rated dorsally and laterally only by a constriction (Figs. 425, projection which overhangs the mandibles (Figs. 442, 454), 427, 429, 431, 433, 435); petiole without a free posterior face. or the sockets at the extreme anterior margin of the head; or In profile the helcium attached high on the anterior face of (rarely) strongly elevated, strip-like frontal lobes are present (as Fig. 444), in which case the sockets are at the extreme Petiole narrowly attached to first gastral segment, the two anterior margin of the head and the head capsule has a mejoined via a slender articulatory junction (Figs. 439, 443, 447, 453, 461, 476, 490, 492, 496, 500); petiole with a free poste-— With head in full-face view horizontal frontal lobes present. rior face. In profile the helcium attached at about the mid-These usually cover and conceal the antennal sockets (Figs. height or lower on the anterior face of the first gastral seg-438, 449, 452, 462, 467, 474, 485, 499), but if the sockets are partially visible then either they are well behind the anterior margin of the head (Fig. 491), or a median longitudinal carina Mandible elongate and generally linear, always multidentate, is absent from the head capsule, or both; antennal sockets with more than 3 teeth (Figs., 424, 426, 428, 430, 434) . 3 never on a shelf-like projection overhanging the mandibles Mandible short and narrow, armed with just 3 teeth of which the median tooth is the smallest (Fig. 432) Prionopelta

With head in full-face view the frontal lobes approximately even with, or slightly surpassing, the anterior clypeal margin

beneath them (Fig. 428). Antennal funiculi markedly com-

pressed Myopopone

to the anterior clypeal margin (Figs. 424, 426, 430, 434).

Antennal funiculi not compressed, approximately round in

With head in full-face view the frontal lobes distinctly posterior

- Tergite of second gastral segment not arched and vaulted, remaining segments directed posteriorly (Fig. 455). Sternite of

Aust	tralasian PONERINAE (continued)	Australasian Po	ONERINAE (continued)
	second gastral segment large and elongate in profile, subrectangular to trapezoidal in shape. Eyes absent	all pilos	icular spines mixed in with the normal pilosity; often ity lacking. Eyes present, moderate to large, conspicus. 495, 496)
	Mandible edentate, overhung by the projecting clypeus (Fig. 442). Apical funicular segment strongly bulbous (Fig. 443) Discothyrea Mandible with 3 or more teeth, not overhung by the clypeus	femur a spur; wi in the d	ex of hind tibia, when viewed from in front with the tright angle to the body, with a single, large, pectinate thout a second, smaller spur in front of the main spur irection of observation (Fig. 511)
11	(Fig. 444). Apical funicular segment moderately enlarged but not strongly bulbous	femur a large, pe	ex of hind tibia, when viewed from in front with the tright angle to the body, with 2 spurs, consisting of a ectinate spur and a second, smaller spur in front of the ur in the direction of observation (Fig. 512) 19
_	height of the first gastral segment (Figs. 447, 451, 453). Frontal lobes widely separated throughout their length. Posterior clypeal margin broadly rounded, truncated, or broadly triangular between anterior ends of frontal lobes (Figs. 438, 446, 449, 452)	18 Subpetiola trally ar — Subpetiola posteror	ar process in profile with an acute angle posterovened with a fenestra or translucent thin spot anteriorly Ponera or process in profile a simple lobe, without an acute wentral angle and lacking an anterior fenestra or thin Hypoponera
	496, 500). Frontal lobes closely approximated or even partially to entirely confluent. Frontal lobes separated only by a slender triangle of cuticle anteriorly or by a longitudinal line or narrow median strip of cuticle throughout (Figs. 462, 467, 474, 485, 489, 491, 495, 499)	Pretarsal of apical p with 1 of Pretarsal of	claws of hind leg, on the inner curvature behind the point, usually pectinate (Fig. 516), at least equipped or more teeth (Fig. 515)
12	Promesonotal suture absent from dorsal alitrunk (Fig. 447). Metacoxa dorsally with a spine Gnamptogenys	teeth (F	aterally with a conspicuous pocket-like excavation
_	Promesonotal suture present and conspicuous on dorsal alitrunk (Figs. 439, 451, 453). Metacoxa without a dorsal spine	above t dorsally	he mesopleuron (Fig. 476). Petiole a node, armed with a pair of spines
13	Metatibia (tibia of hind leg) in anterior view, with the leg at right angle to the long axis of the body, with 2 distinctly pectinate spurs, the posterior spur usually much larger than	mesople but som	euron (Figs. 464, 469, 496). Petiole usually unarmed netimes multidenticulate along its posterodorsal mar-
-	the anterior (Fig. 513)	margin rior clyj behind	triangular and closing against the anterior clypeal (Figs. 467, 495). Antennal sockets well behind antebeal margin. With head in full-face view frontal lobes anterior clypeal margin on each side. Medially the lobes usually without a narrow, truncated, freely pro-
14	Pretarsal claws of hind legs each with a single preapical tooth at about the midlength of the inner curvature (Fig. 515)	 Mandible 	clypeal lobe in front of them <i>Pachycondyla</i> (part) elongate to linear, slender, not closing against the clypeal margin (Fig. 462). Antennal sockets very
_	Pretarsal claws of hind legs simple, without preapical teeth on the inner curvature (Fig. 514)	close to face vie	or at the anterior clypeal margin. With head in full- w frontal lobes reaching or overhanging the anterior
15	Basal portion of mandible with a circular to elongate pit or fovea dorsolaterally	ally wit	margin on each side. Medially the frontal lobes usuh a narrow, truncated, clypeal lobe freely projecting in them
_	Basal portion of mandible without a dorsolateral pit or fovea		
16	Dorsal (outer) surface of middle tibia, and usually also middle basitarsus, with traction-enhancing thickened peg-like setae or narrow cuticular spines mixed in with the normal finer pilosity (Fig. 512). Eyes present but very small	1 Petiole br rated do 427, 43	cotic PONERINAE (Workers) oadly attached to first gastral segment, the two sepa- orsally and laterally only by a constriction (Figs. 425, 3); petiole without a free posterior face. Helcium very
_	Dorsal (outer) surface of middle tibia and middle basitarsus		and in profile attached high on anterior face of first

without traction-enhancing thickened peg-like setae or nar-

Monath	DOMEDINAL	//: /\
Nearchic	PONERINAE	(continuea)

- Petiole narrowly attached to first gastral segment, the two joined via a slender articulatory junction (Figs. 443, 447, 450, 453, 461, 490, 492, 496, 500); petiole usually with a free posterior face. Helcium narrow, in profile attached near to or below the midheight of the first gastral segment

- Mandible linear to triangular, in full-face view inserted at the anterolateral corner of the head, and not armed apically with a vertical series of 2 or 3 teeth (Figs. 444, 446, 448, 452, 467, 489, 491, 495)
- 4 Nuchal carina (separating dorsal from posterior surface of head) converging in a V at the midline, and also receiving a pair of prominent, dark apophyseal lines that converge to form the sharp median-dorsal groove of the vertex (Fig. 460)
- Odontomachus
 Nuchal carina forming a broad, uninterrupted curve across the posterodorsal extremity of the head; posterior surface without paired dark apophyseal lines; on vertex, median groove absent or ill-defined and shallow (Fig. 458) Anochetus
- 5 With head in full-face view horizontal frontal lobes absent. Either the frontal lobes completely absent, in which case the antennal sockets are entirely visible and set on a shelf-like projection which overhangs the mandibles (Figs. 442), or sockets at extreme anterior margin of head; or (rarely) strongly elevated, strip-like frontal lobes are present (as Fig. 444), in which case the sockets are at the anterior margin of the head. Promesonotal suture always absent 6
- With head in full-face view horizontal frontal lobes present. These usually cover and conceal the antennal sockets (Figs. 446, 448, 452, 467, 485, 489, 495, 499), but if the sockets are partially visible (Fig. 491) then the sockets are well behind the anterior margin of the head and never on a shelf-like projection overhanging the mandibles. Promesonotal suture usually present and distinct, only very rarely obliterated . 7

- 7 Helcium in profile very low on anterior face of first gastral segment so that the petiole-gaster articulation is located at the

Nearctic PONERINAE (continued)

- Helcium in profile at or close to midheight of anterior face of first gastral segment so that the petiole-gaster articulation is not located at the extreme base of the segment (Figs. 447, 450, 453). Frontal lobes widely separated, the median portion of the clypeus posteriorly rounded, broadly triangular, or truncated between them (Figs. 446, 448, 452) 12
- 8 Ventral apex of hind tibia, when viewed from in front with the femur at right angle to the body, with a single spur, the spur large and pectinate (Fig. 511); without a second, smaller spur in front of the main spur in the direction of observation
- Ventral apex of hind tibia, when viewed from in front with the femur at right angle to the body, with 2 spurs, consisting of a larger, pectinate spur and a smaller, simple spur in front of the main spur in the direction of observation (Fig. 512) . 10

- Basal portion of mandible without a dorsolateral pit or fovea
 11

- Hind tibiae each with either 1 apical spur only, or with 2 spurs; in the latter case 1 spur is larger and pectinate to barbulate, the other is smaller and simple (as Fig. 512). Dorsal surfaces of head and body with standing setae. Sculpture of entire

Neotropical PONERINAE (continued)

- 13 Mesonotum forming a prominent convexity surrounded by deeply impressed sutural lines (Fig. 450). Mesonotum and propodeum forming distinct convexities in front of and behind the metanotal groove Ectatomma

Key to Neotropical PONERINAE (Workers)

- Mandible linear to triangular, in full-face view inserted at the anterolateral corner of the head, and not armed apically with a vertical series of 2 or 3 teeth (Figs. 436, 444, 448, 467, 479, 487, 491, 499, 501, 503, 505)
- Nuchal carina forming a broad, uninterrupted curve across the posterodorsal extremity of the head; posterior surface without paired, dark apophyseal lines; on vertex the median groove absent or ill-defined and shallow (Fig. 458) Anochetus
- 5 With head in full-face view horizontal frontal lobes absent. Either the frontal lobes completely absent, in which case the antennal sockets are entirely visible and set on a shelf-like projection which overhangs the mandibles (Figs. 442, 454),

or sockets at extreme anterior margin of the head; or (rarel-	y)
strongly elevated, strip-like frontal lobes are present (as Fi	g
444), in which case the sockets are at the extreme anterior	o ₁
margin of the head and the head capsule has a median carin	na
running its length	6

- With head in full-face view horizontal frontal lobes present. These usually cover and conceal the antennal sockets (Figs. 438, 440, 448, 452, 467, 479, 483, 489, 501, 503, 505), but if the sockets are partially visible then either the sockets are well behind the anterior margin of the head (Fig. 491), or a median longitudinal carina is absent from the head capsule, or both; antennal sockets never on a shelf-like projection overhanging the mandibles
- Tergite of second gastral segment not arched and vaulted, the remaining segments directed posteriorly (Fig. 455). Sternite of second gastral segment large and elongate in profile, subrectangular to trapezoidal in shape. Eyes absent

..... Probolomyrmex

Neo	tropical PONERINAE (continued)	Neotropical PONERINAE (continued)	
-	Ventral apex of hind tibia, when viewed from in front with the femur at right angle to the body, with 2 spurs, consisting of a larger, pectinate spur and a smaller, simple spur in front of the main spur in the direction of observation (Fig. 512)	each claw then mandible with only 1–3 t with a sharp median longitudinal carina — Pretarsal claws with their inner curvatur	(Fig. 491) <i>Leptogenys</i> es smooth and un-
10	Dorsal (outer) surface of middle tibiae and middle and hind basitarsi equipped with numerous strong, cuticular spines or peg-like teeth (Fig. 509)	armed (Fig. 514) or at most with a sing apical point (Fig. 515). If a single preapieach claw then the mandible distinctly teeth, or the clypeus lacking a median lousually both of these (Figs. 467, 479, 49).	cal tooth present on with more than 3 ngitudinal carina, or
11	basitarsi with setae but without cuticular spines or teeth	17 Anterior clypeal margin with a widely sepa nent, usually blunted, large teeth which 479). Giant ants, with head width greate	project forward (Fig. er than 4.00 mm
_	prominent teeth on the oblique apical (masticatory) margin (Figs. 487, 501)	 Anterior clypeal margin without a pair of processing (Figs. 467, 495). Smaller ants, head with than 4.00 mm 	cominent, large teeth
12	crenulations down the length of the straight apical (masticatory) margin (Figs. 489, 499, 505)	18 Hind tibiae each with 2 distinctly pectinal spur usually much larger than the later surfaces of head and body without stand	al (Fig. 513). Dorsal
12	narrow, very long, and curved (as Fig. 487). Apical and preapical teeth not forming a pair, not separated from the third large tooth by a long diastema. Frontal lobes not raised into a platform above the plane of the anterior portion of the head	everywhere of fine, dense shagreening v punctures (Figs. 452, 453)	h may be simple or ectinate median spur ir (Fig. 512). Dorsal
_	Mandible with 3 or sometimes 4 large teeth (Fig. 501), sometimes also with 1–3 minute denticles on the diastema between teeth 2 and 3, the apical tooth short and stout. Apical and preapical teeth forming a distinct pair, separated from the	surfaces of head and body usually with st in part. Sculpture not of fine, dense shag ated larger punctures	greening with associ-
	third large tooth by a long diastema. Frontal lobes raised into a platform above the plane of the anterior portion of the head	19 Mandible armed with 3 extremely long, cu the apical tooth so long and curved that is closed it surpasses the anterolateral opposite from its insertion and points p	when the mandible corner of the head osteriorly almost to-
13	In profile the helcium projecting at approximately the midheight of the anterior face of the first gastral segment, so that the first gastral tergite is without a deep vertical face above the helcium (Fig. 506)	 ward the eye (Fig. 503)	more than 3 teeth, masticatory) margin, cal tooth not arching
_	In profile the helcium projecting very low on the anterior face of the first gastral segment, so that the first gastral tergite has a deep vertical face above the helcium (Figs. 490, 500) . 14	across front of head to opposite corner are closed (Figs. 436, 438, 440, 446, 448) Dorsal margin of hypopygium with a comb	3, 505) 20
14	Subpetiolar process in profile with an acute angle posteroven- trally and with a fenestra or translucent thin spot anteriorly	teeth on each side, the teeth projecting of (Fig. 508). Antennal scrobes present wabove the eye, then reverse direction are	outside the pygidium hich run posteriorly nd run anteriorly be-
_	Subpetiolar process in profile a simple lobe, without an acute posteroventral angle and lacking an anterior fenestra or thin spot	low it (Fig. 440). Giant ants, with head 4.00 mm	<i>Paraponera</i> thout a row of teeth
15 —	Basal portion of mandible with a distict circular or near-circular pit or fovea dorsolaterally	505) but if present then running only 436, 438). Smaller ants, with head widt	above the eye (Figs. h less than 4.00 mm
16	Pretarsal claws with their inner curvatures usually pectinate (Fig. 516), more rarely the claws with only 1 or 2 small teeth behind the apical point. If only 1 preapical tooth present on	Mesonotum forming a prominent conve deeply impressed sutural lines (Fig. 45 propodeum forming distinct convexities hind the metanotal groove	0). Mesonotum and in front of and be-

Neotropical PONERINAE (continued)

- Dorsum of head lacking a median longitudinal costa running from clypeus to vertex and continuous across the frontal triangle (Figs. 446, 505)
 24
- Palp formula 4,3 or less. Propodeum bidentate to unarmed (Fig. 439). Pretarsal claws without prominent basal lobes and submedian tooth often absent from claws of hind legs

..... Heteroponera

- With gaster in profile the visible sternite of the second segment not reduced, roughly rectangular to trapezoidal in shape (Fig. 506). Tergite of second gastral segment not arched nor downcurved posteriorly. Propodeal lobes vestigial to absent. Petiole with a long anterior peduncle. Eyes always vestigial
 - Typhlomyrmex (part)

Synoptic Classification

A name prefixed by * indicates an extinct taxon. Subfamily **PONERINAE**.

Tribe **Amblyoponini** (= Ericapeltini, = Examblyoponini, = Onychomyrmicini, = Reneini). Genera: **Amblyopone** (Figs. 424–427, 507) (= Amblyopopona, = Amblyopopone, = Arotropus, = Ericapelta, = Fulakora, = Lithomyrmex, = Neoamblyopone, = Protamblyopone, = Stigmatomma, = Xymmer), *Casaleia (= *Protamblyopone (homonym)), Concoctio, Myopopone (Figs. 428, 429), Mystrium (Figs. 430, 431), Onychomyrmex (Figs. 434, 435), Prionopelta (Figs. 432, 433) (= Examblyopone, = Renea), Paraprionopelta (male only).

Tribe Ectatommini (= Paraponerini, = Proceratiini, = Stictoponerini, = Discothyrinae). Genera: Acanthoponera (Figs. 436, 437), Aulacopone, *Bradoponera, Discothyrea (Figs. 442, 443) (= Prodiscothyrea, = Pseudosphincta (misspelling), = Pseudosysphincta), Ectatomma (Figs. 448, 450), *Electroponera, Gnamptogenys (Figs. 446, 447) (= Alfaria, = Barbourella, = Commateta,

- = Emeryella, = Holcoponera, = Mictoponera, = Opisthoscyphus, = Parectatomma, = Poneracantha, = Rhopalopone, = Spaniopone, = Stictoponera, = Tammoteca, = Wheeleripone), Heteroponera (Figs. 438, 439) (= Anacanthoponera, = Paranomopone), Paraponera (Figs. 440, 441, 508), Proceratium (Figs. 444, 445) (= Sysphincta (misspelling), = Sysphingta), Rhytidoponera (Figs. 449, 451) (= Chalcoponera), *Syntaphus.
- Tribe **Platythyreini**. Genera: *Platythyrea* (Figs. 452, 453, 513, 515) (= *Eubothroponera*), *Probolomyrmex* (Figs. 454, 455) (= *Escherichia*).
- Tribe **Ponerini** (= *Archiponerini, = Centromyrmicini, = Dorylozelini, = Drepanognathini, = Euponerinae, = Hargepnathini, = Leptogenyini, = Odontomachini, = Pachycondylinae, = Plectroctenini). Genera: Anochetus (Figs. 456–458) (= Myrmapatetes, = Stenomyrmex), *Archiponera, Asphinctopone (Figs. 481, 482) (= Lepidopone), Belonopelta (= Leiopelta), Centromyrmex (Figs. 483, 484, 509) (= Glyphopone, = Leptopone, = Promyopias, = Spalacomyrmex, = Typhloteras), Cryptopone (Figs. 485, 486, 510), Diacamma (Figs. 474, 476), Dinoponera (Figs. 478-480), Dolioponera, Emeryopone (Figs. 487, 488), *Emplastus, Harpegnathos (Figs. 463, 465) (= Drepanognathus), Hypoponera (Figs. 489, 490), Leptogenys (Figs. 491, 492, 516) (= Dorylozelus, = Lobopelta, = Machaerogenys, = Microbolbos, = Odontopelta, = Prionogenys), Loboponera (Figs. 493, 494), Myopias (Figs. 462, 464) (= Bradyponera, = Trapeziopelta), Odontomachus (Figs. 459-461) (= Champsomyrmex, = Myrtoteras, = Pedetes), Odontoponera (Figs. 466, 468), Pachycondyla (Figs. 467, 469, 495, 496, 512) (= Bothroponera, = Brachyponera, = Ectomomyrmex, = Eumecopone, = Euponera, = Hagensia, = Hiphopelta (misspelling), = Megaloponera (misspelling), = Megaponera, = Mesoponera, = Neoponera, = Ophthalmopone, = Paltothyreus, = Pseudoneoponera, = Pseudoponera, = Syntermitopone, = Termitopone, = Trachymesopus, = Trachyponera (misspelling), = Wadeura, = Xiphopelta), Pergandea (nomen nudum), Phrynoponera (Figs. 497, 498), Plectroctena (Figs. 470, 472, 511) (= Cacopone), Ponera (Figs. 499, 500) (= Pseudocryptopone, = Pteroponera, = Selenopone), *Poneropsis, *Protopone, Psalidomyrmex (Figs. 471, 473), Simopelta (Figs. 501, 502), Streblognathus (Figs. 475, 477,
- Tribe **Thaumatomyrmecini.** Genus: *Thaumatomyrmex* (Figs. 503, 504).

514), Titusia (nomen nudum).

Tribe **Typhlomyrmecini**. Genus: *Typhlomyrmex* (Figs. 505, 506). Genus *incertae sedis* in Ponerinae: *Condylodon*.

[Material of the unavailable names Proponerinae and Taraxoponerinae is referable to Ponerinae; that of the unavailable name Exeuponerinae is referable to Ponerini.]

[Note: The unfamiliar and extensive synonymy under Pachycondyla is the result of a nearly completed revision of the group by William L. Brown, Jr., to whom I am indebted for permission to include them here.]

Distribution

The subfamily Ponerinae is found in all zoogeographical regions, as shown in the table given in the Introduction. The total number of

ponerine genera shared by 2 or more regions is as follows, where PAL = Palaearctic, AFR = Afrotropical, MAL = Malagasy, ORI = Oriental, INA = Indo-Australian, AUS = Australasian, NEA = Nearctic, NEO = Neotropical. The following table excludes endemic genera and those accidentaly introduced by human activities.

AFR	9						
MAL	6	10					
ORI	10	13	9				
INA	10	15	10	21			
AUS	9	14	10	17	19		
NEA	7	10	7	11	10	11	
NEO	8	13	9	13	14	14	10
	PAL	AFR	MAL	ORI	INA	AUS	NEA

Taxonomic References

Identification of extant species

Some older references have a suffixed comment "[out of date]." These references are included as they contain the only identification keys ever attempted for the taxon in question. They should be used with great caution as, for the most part, they contain numerous infraspecific and infrasubspecific taxa that are no longer recognized. Older references that have been superseded, or those rendered useless by the volume of later descriptions and synonymies, are omitted.

Acanthoponera: Brown (1958b). Amblyopone: Brown (1960), Lattke (1991) [New World]; Baroni Urbani (1978a) [Mediterranean lands]; Taylor (1979) [Melanesia]; Terayama (1989) [Taiwan]; Tinaut (1990) [Iberian Peninsula]. Anochetus: Kempf (1964d) [partial, Neotropical]; Brown (1978b) [world]. Aulacopone: Taylor (1980c). Belonopelta: Baroni Urbani (1975b). Centromyrmex: Kempf (1967b) [Neotropical]. Concoctio: Brown (1974b). Cryptopone: W. M. Wheeler (1933) [out of date]; Brown (1963). Dinoponera: Kempf (1971). *Dolioponera*: Brown (1974c). *Ectatomma*: Brown (1958b); C. Kugler and Brown (1982). Emeryopone: Baroni Urbani (1975b). Gnamptogenys: Brown (1958b) [world]; Lattke (1990b) [Venezuela]; Lattke (1992) [minuta-group, Neotropical]. Heteroponera: Brown (1958b) [world]; Kempf (1962a) [Neotropical]. Hypoponera: Onoyama (1989) [Japan]. Leptogenys: Bolton (1975a) [Afrotropical, Malagasy]. Myopias: Willey and Brown (1983) [Australasian]. Myopopone: Brown (1960). Mystrium: Menozzi (1929) [out of date]. Odontomachus: Kempf (1962b) [Neotropical]; Brown (1976a) [world]; Deyrup, Trager, and Carlin (1985) [southern Nearctic]. Onychomyrmex: Brown (1960). Pachycondyla: W. M. Wheeler (1922) [partial, Afrotropical, out of date]; Arnold (1951, 1952) [partial, Afrotropical, out of date]; Kempf (1961b, 1964c) [partial, Neotropical]; Brown (1963) [notes]. Phrynoponera: W. M. Wheeler (1922) [out of date]. Platythyrea: Brown (1975) [world]. Plectroctena: Bolton (1974b). Ponera: Wilson (1957) [tenuis- and selenophora-groups]; Taylor (1967) [world]. Prionopelta: Brown (1960) [Indo-Australian, Neotropical]; Terron (1974) [Afrotropical]. Probolomyrmex: Taylor (1965b); Brown (1975). Proceratium: Snelling (1967), Brown (1980b), Ward (1988) [New World]; Baroni Urbani (1977b) [Europe]; Terron (1981) [Afrotropical]. Psalidomyrmex: Bolton

(1975b). Rhytidoponera: Clark (1936) [Australia]; Brown (1958b), Ward (1984) [New Caledonia]; Ward (1980) [impressa-group, Aus-_ tralia, New Guinea]. Simopelta: Gotwald and Brown (1967). Thaumatomyrmex: Kempf (1975); Longino (1988). Typhlomyrmex: Brown (1965).


Other taxonomic references


Amblyoponini: Brown (1960). Ectatommini: Brown (1958b). Platythyreini: Brown (1975). Ponerinae: Brown (1954a, 1973); Snelling (1981); Dlussky and Fedoseeva (1988); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Baroni Urbani, Bolton and Ward (1992); Ogata (1987) [Japan]. Ponerini: Brown (in preparation). Typhlomyrmecini: Brown (1965).


See also References to Faunistic Studies.


Figures 424–516 PONERINAE workers. Figs. 424–506, heads in full-face view and bodies in profile (exceptions noted):


- 424-435, Amblyoponini: 424-427, Amblyopone; 428-429, Myopopone; 430-431, Mystrium; 432-433, Prionopelta; 434-435, Onychomyrmex
- 436-451, Ectatommini: 436-437, Acanthoponera; 438-439, Heteroponera; 440-441, Paraponera; 442-443, Discothyrea; 444-445, Proceratium; 446-447, Gnamptogenys; 448, 450, Ectatomma; 449, 451, Rhytidoponera
- 452-455, Platythyreini: 452-453, Platythyrea; 454-455, Probolomyrmex
- 456–461, **Ponerini:** 456–457, *Anochetus*; 459, 461, Odontomachus; 458, 460, posterodorsal view of occipital region (458, Anochetus; 460, Odontomachus)
- 462-502, Ponerini: 462, 464, Myopias; 463, 465, Harpegnathos; 466, 468, Odontoponera; 467, 469, Pachycondyla; 470, 472, Plectroctena; 471, 473, Psalidomyrmex; 474, 476, Diacamma; 475, 477, Streblognathus; 478-480, Dinoponera; 481-482, Asphinctopone; 483-484, Centromyrmex; 485-486, Cryptopone; 487-488, Emeryopone; 489-490, Hypoponera; 491-492, Leptogenys; 493-494, Loboponera; 495-496, Pachycondyla; 497-498, Phrynoponera; 499-500, Ponera; 501-502, Simopelta
- 503-504, Thaumatomyrmecini, Thaumatomyrmex
- 505–506, **Typhlomyrmecini**, *Typhlomyrmex*
- 507-508, development of hypopygial spines: 507, Amblyopone; 508, Paraponera
- 509-510, mesotibial and basitarsal spines and tractor setae: 509, Centromyrmex; 510, Cryptopone
- 511–513, development of metatibial (= hind tibial) spurs: 511, Plectroctena; 512, Pachycondyla; 513, Platythyrea
- 514–516, pretarsal claws of metathoracic (= hind) leg: 514, simple claws in Streblognathus; 515, toothed claws in Platythyrea; 516, pectinate claws in Leptogenys.






















































Subfamily PSEUDOMYRMECINAE

Diagnosis of Worker (Figs. 517–522)

Ants with the following combination of characters together.

- 1 Median portion of clypeus not conspicuously extended backwards between the frontal carinae, its posteromedian margin more or less straight.
- 2 Antennal sockets well behind anterior margin of head, inclined, the portion of the socket margin and torulus closest to the dorsal midline of the head on a higher level than the portion of the margin most distant from the midline.
- 3 Frontal carinae not expanded into frontal lobes over the antennal sockets; torular sclerites fully exposed, fused to the frontal carinae on each side, and to some extent covering the condylar bulbs of the scapes.
- 4 Narrow neck joining condylar bulb of antennal scape to shaft of scape proper straight.
- 5 Eyes present, relatively large; antenna with 11 or 12 segments.
- 6 Promesonotal suture always present and flexible, the pronotum capable of movement with respect to the mesonotum.
- 7 Metapleural gland orifice in lower posterior corner of metapleuron, opening laterally or ventrolaterally, and not concealed by a cuticular flange or flap.
- 8 Mesonotum usually defined and metanotum present on dorsal alitrunk.
- 9 Propodeal spiracle situated high on the side and far forward.
- 10 Metacoxal cavities closed; cuticular annulus around each cavity broad and complete, not interrupted by a suture or gap linking the coxal cavity to the cavity in which the petiole articulates.
- 11 Propodeal lobes present.
- 12 Waist of 2 segments, the petiole and postpetiole (= abdominal segments 2 and 3).
- 13 Helcium sternite small, retracted, concealed by the tergite; not visible without dissection.
- 14 Abdominal stridulatory system present, the stridulitrum situated on the pretergite of abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 1), the plectrum posterior on the preceding tergite.
- 15 Abdominal segment 4 (= gastral segment 1) with sharply

- defined and differentiated short, narrow presclerites, which fit tightly within the posterior end of segment 3.
- 16 Abdominal spiracles 5–7 (= gastral 2–4) concealed by posterior margins of preceding tergites, not visible without distension of the abdomen.
- 17 Abdominal segments 2–7 (= petiole to gastral segment 4) without tergosternal fusion.
- 18 Pygidium (tergite of abdominal segment 7, the last visible gastral tergite) large, simple.
- 19 Sting present, usually large and strongly developed.

Key to World PSEUDOMYRMECINAE (Workers)

1 Antenna with 11 segments. (Neotropical) Myrcidris

Synoptic Classification

= Pseudomyrmidae).

Subfamily **PSEUDOMYRMECINAE** (= Leptaleinae,

Tribe **Pseudomyrmecini.** Genera: *Myrcidris* (Figs. 517, 518), *Pseudomyrmex* (Figs. 519, 520) (= Apedunculata, = Clavanoda, = Latinoda, = Leptalaea (misspelling), = Leptalea, = Myrmex (homonym), = Ornatinoda, = Pseudomyrma, = Triangulinoda), *Tetraponera* (Figs. 521, 522) (= Pachysima, = Parasima, = Sima, = Viticicola).

Distribution

Of the 3 genera which make up this subfamily, Myrcidris and Pseudomyrmex are entirely New World in distribution, while Tetraponera is very widely distributed in the Old World. The first genus is known only from Brazil, but the second occurs in both the Neotropical and Nearctic regions, with an overwhelming majority of species in the former. Tetraponera is present in all zoogeographical regions of the Old World but numbers of species are relatively low in the Palaearctic and Australasian regions. In contrast to many other widely distributed subfamilies the Malagasy region has a rich endemic fauna of pseudomyrmecines.

Taxonomic References


Identification of extant species

Myrcidris: Ward (1990). Pseudomyrmex: Kempf (1958b) [gracilisgroup, Neotropical]; Kempf (1960a) [tenuis-group, Neotropical]; Kempf (1961a) [tenuis-, oculatus-, pallens-, and latinodus-groups, Neotropical]; Ward (1989) [oculatus- and subtilissimus-groups, Neotropical]; Ward (1985) [Nearctic]. Tetraponera: Wu and Wang (1990) [China].

Other taxonomic references

Pseudomyrmecinae: Snelling (1981); Ward (1990); Hölldobler and Wilson (1990); Baroni Urbani, Bolton, and Ward (1992).

Figures 517-522 PSEUDOMYRMECINAE workers, heads in full-face view and bodies in profile: 517-518, Myrcidris; 519-520, Pseudomyrmex; 521-522, Tetraponera.



The Extinct Subfamilies

Four subfamilies of ants are known only from fossil representatives. As no extant members exist, the subfamilies are not formally diagnosed here, but to complete the picture of the current constitution of family Formicidae their component genera are listed below. As elsewhere in this survey, names of extinct taxa are prefixed by *. Apart from these there are the names of two fossil genera that have been referred to Formicidae but are almost certainly not ants: *Calyptites and *Cretacoformica. The true taxonomic position of these remains unknown.

Carpenter (1992) provides a list and short diagnoses of ant genera that either contain fossil species or are entirely composed of fossil forms. Unfortunately this list omits a number of genera so care should be taken when consulting it.

Subfamily *ARMANIINAE

Subfamily *ARMANIINAE.

Tribe *Armaniini. Genera: *Archaeopone, *Armania, *Armaniella, *Cretopone, *Dolichomyrma, *Petropone, *Poneropterus, *Pseudarmania.

The arrangement of genera in *Armaniinae and *Sphecomyrminae, below, follows Dlussky and Fedoseeva (1988). The genera in these 2 subfamilies may be oversplit, and Wilson (1987) argues for the synonymy of many genus-rank names. All genera of *Armaniinae are from the Upper Cretaceous.

Subfamily *FORMICIINAE

Subfamily *FORMICIINAE.

Tribe *Formiciini. Genus: *Formicium (= *Eoponera,

= *Megapterites).

The taxonomy of this Eocene subfamily follows Lutz (1986, 1990).

Subfamily *SPHECOMYRMINAE

Subfamily *SPHECOMYRMINAE.

Tribe *Sphecomyrmini. Genera: *Baikuris, *Cretomyrma,

*Dlusskyidris [New name for *Palaeomyrmex Dlussky (1975:

118), junior homonym of *Palaeomyrmex Heer (1865: 91)],

*Sphecomyrma.

See note under *Armaniinae. All genera of *Sphecomyrminae are from the Cretaceous.

SUBFAMILY *PALEOSMINTHURINAE

Subfamily *PALEOSMINTHURINAE.

Tribe *Paleosminthurini. Genus: *Paleosminthurus.

The single Miocene genus included here was described by Pierce and Gibron (1962) as a new fossil family (*Paleosminthuridae) in the order Collembola. Najt (1987) recognised that it was an ant, but placed it as Formicidae *incertae sedis*. Until the situation is properly reviewed, and considering that a family-group name already exists, it seems reasonable to treat the group as an extinct subfamily of Formicidae.



References to Faunistic Studies

Glossary of Morphological Terms

Bibliography

Index and Checklist

References to Faunistic Studies

The references given at the end of each subfamily deal with the species of stated genus-rank taxa. Those presented below include faunal works whose identification keys cover the species of all genera that occur in a stated country or zone. References to faunal papers covering extremely small areas are omitted, and the references are arranged by country or zone in alphabetical order.

Balkan States	Agosti and Collingwood (1987)	North America	Creighton (1950); Gregg (1963)
Belgium	Boven (1977)		[Colorado]; G. C. Wheeler and J.
Bulgaria	Atanassov and Dlussky (1992)		Wheeler (1986) [Nevada]
Canada	Francoeur (1977, 1979) [Quebec]	Palaearctic region	Emery (1908a–e, 1909a–d, 1910a)
Chile	Snelling and Hunt (1976)		[out of date]
Corsica	Casevitz-Weulersse (1990a,b)	Polynesia	Wilson and Taylor (1967)
Cuba	Alayo (1974)	Portugal	See Iberian Peninsula
Fennoscandia and Denmark	Collingwood (1979)	Saudi Arabia	Collingwood (1985)
Fiji Islands	Mann (1921) [out of date]	Solomon Islands	Mann (1919) [out of date]
France	Bernard (1967)	South Africa	Arnold (1915, 1916, 1917, 1920,
Germany	Gösswald (1985)		1922, 1924, 1926) [out of date]
Great Britain	Bolton and Collingwood (1975)	Spain	See Iberian Peninsula
Iberian Peninsula	Collingwood (1978)	Sweden	Nilsson and Douwes (1987)
India	Bingham (1903) [out of date]	Switzerland	Kutter (1977)
Italy	Baroni Urbani (1964a,b, 1969a,	Turkmenistan	Dlussky, Soyunov, and Zabelin
	1971)		(1990)
Japan	Morisita et al. (1989, 1991, 1992);	U.S.S.R. (former)	Arnol'di and Dlussky (1978)
	Onoyama (1980)		[former European U.S.S.R.];
Kirgizia	Tarbinsky (1976)		Kupyanskaya (1990) [far eastern
Malta	Baroni Urbani (1968); Schembri		Russia]
	and Collingwood (1981)	West Palaearctic region	Bernard (1967)
Melanesia	Mann (1919, 1921) [out of date];	Zaire	W. M. Wheeler (1922) [partial, out
	Wilson (1958a,b, 1959a,b)		of date]
New Zealand	Brown (1958c)		

Glossary of Morphological Terms

The glossary, illustrated by Figures 523–531, deals with morphological terms encountered in this survey. Some terms are merely defined but others are discussed in detail for the benefit of first-time users and others not well acquainted with ant morphology. The list is by no means exhaustive, as many specialized terms encountered in males and queens are not covered, nor are the extensive vocabularies applied to forms of sculpture, pilosity, internal anatomy, and morphometrics utilized below genus level. Terms are listed in alphabetical order, and acceptable alternatives are given in parentheses; for instance, alitrunk (= mesosoma). Abbreviations used in the figures are given here in *italic*. For a more general overview of hymenopterous morphology see Gauld and Bolton (1988).

Abdomen The classical third tagma of the insect body. The abdomen in worker ants consists of seven visible segments (Fig. 530; A1-7) and each bears a spiracle (Fig. 530; sp), which may be exposed or concealed. The first abdominal segment is the propodeum (Fig. 529, 530; ppd = Al), represented only by its tergite (the sternite has been lost) and immovably fused to the thorax. The tagma formed by the fusion of thorax plus propodeum is termed the alitrunk (= mesosoma) (Figs. 528, 529; al = mes). The second abdominal segment, the *petiole* (Figs. 528, 530; pt, A2), is always specialized. It is usually reduced in size, always separated from the preceding propodeum by a complex narrow articulation, and usually separated from the following abdominal segment by at least a constriction. In the vast majority of ants the petiole is distinctly isolated both anteriorly and posteriorly. Abdominal segments 2 to the apex are sometimes collectively called the metasoma (Fig. 530; mt), but this term, useful elsewhere in the Hymenoptera, has little to recommend it in the ants. Abdominal segment 3 is termed the first gastral segment when it is full-sized and broadly articulated to the following segment (Fig. 530; GI = A3), but when reduced and isolated it is called the postpetiole (Fig. 528; ppt, A3). Confusingly, it is sometimes also called the postpetiole when full-sized. Abdominal segment 3 articulates with the preceding petiole by means of the helcium (Figs. 528, 530, 531; he). The petiole alone, or the petiole plus postpetiole together, when the latter is also reduced and separated, may be termed the waist (Figs. 528, 530; w). [An older term, pedicel, should be abandoned, as it is used for a different body part elsewhere throughout the Hymenoptera.] Abdominal segment 4 is the first gastral segment when the waist consists of petiole plus postpetiole (Fig. 528; GI = A4), but it is the second gastral segment when the waist

consists of petiole alone (Fig. 530; G2 = A4). Abdominal segments 3 or 4 through to 7 are collectively called the *gaster* (Figs. 528, 530; ga), the enlarged apparent "abdomen" that comprises the terminal part of the body. In referring to parts of the gaster the term *gastral* is preferred, as this leaves the form *gastric* free for use in connection with the intestine.


Each abdominal segment behind the first consists of a pair of sclerites, a dorsal *tergite* (Figs. 528, 530; tr) and a ventral *sternite* (Figs. 528, 530; st). These may all be similar, or some may be specialized by fusion, reduction, or division into anterior and posterior portions (see **Presclerite**). Tergites and sternites may be referred to as abdominal or gastral (e.g., abdominal tergite 4 = second gastral tergite when the waist is of a single segment). In workers the last visible abdominal tergite, that of segment 7, is the*pygidium*, and the last visible sternite is the*hypopygium*(Fig. 530; <math>py, hy).

The terminology of the ant abdomen may at first seem confusing. This is because two different systems are superimposed and in places are not strictly compatible:

- (1) A terminology based strictly on morphology, which numbers the abdominal segments as 1 to 7, from front to back (Fig. 530). This has the advantage of indicating homologous segments between different ant taxa, regardless of the specializations of individual segments or groups of segments.
- (2) A more utilitarian terminology based on observed subdivisions of the abdominal segments, which names various specialized segments and groups of segments (Figs. 528 and 529). The advantage here is that the subdivisions and specializations are generally easily visible.

See also **Alitrunk**, **Helcium**, **Petiole**, **Postpetiole**, **Propodeum**, **Sternite**, **Tergite**, **Waist**.

Acidopore The orifice of the formic acid projecting system peculiar to, and diagnostic of, the ant subfamily Formicinae. It is formed from the apex of the hypopygium and is usually plainly visible, appearing as a short nozzle, generally with a fringe of short setae at its apex (Fig. 160). In most formicines the acidopore is always exposed, but in some it may be concealed by the posterior margin of the pygidium when not in use. In such groups the acidopore usually lacks a nozzle and takes the form of a semicircular to circular emargination of the apical margin of the hypopygium.



Figures 523–527 Morphological features of ants. Drawings are composite, not based on any particular species; sculpture and pilosity omitted. Figs. 523–525, anterior halves of head in full-face view, antennae omitted. Fig. 526, whole head in full-face view, left antenna omitted. Fig. 527, fully opened triangular left mandible.

antennal socket apical tooth of mandible at anterior tentorial pit atp ba basal angle of mandible bt basal tooth of mandible di diastema dn denticle ey eye fc frontal carina fl frontal lobe fs fronto-clypeal suture (= posterior clypeal margin) ft frontal triangle fи funiculus of antenna gena gn lc lateral portion of clypeus apical (masticatory) margin ma of mandible basal margin of mandible mb median portion of clypeus mc external margin of mandible me mandible mn om occipital margin of head scape of antenna SC antennal scrobe scb tooth number t

torulus

trulleum

Aliform Shaped like a wing, approximately wing-like.

Alitrunk (= mesosoma) The second visible tagma of an ant's body, following the head. Morphologically the alitrunk consists of the three segments of the true thorax (pro-, meso-, and metathorax) to which is fused the propodeum, the tergite of the first abdominal segment, to form a single unit (Figs. 528, 529; *al* = *mes*). See also **Abdomen**, **Propodeum**, **Thorax**.

Anepisternum See Pleurite.

Annulus (pl. annuli) A simple, generally nonsegmental, ring of cuticle.

Antenna (pl. antennae) The antenna in ants consists of an elongate basal segment, the *scape*, followed distally by 3–11 smaller segments which together constitute the *funiculus* (= flagellum) (Fig. 526; *sc*, *fu*), giving a total antennal segment count (= antennomere count) of 4 to 12. The scape articulates with the head in the *antennal socket* (= antennal insertion) (Figs. 523, 528; *as*), a foramen located behind the clypeus. The antennal socket itself is encircled by a narrow annular sclerite, the *torulus* (Fig. 523; *to*), and may be overhung and concealed by the *frontal lobe* (Figs. 524, 525; *fl*). At the base of the scape is a ball-like *condylar bulb* (= articulatory bulb), the part which actually articulates within the socket. Just distal of the condylar bulb is a short constriction or neck, which may be straight or curved, beyond which the scape shaft proper commences. The funicular segments may be filiform or the apical 1–4 may be enlarged to form a *club*. See also Frontal carinae, Torulus.

Antennal scrobe A groove, impression, or excavation in the side of the head, which runs above or below the eye, to accommodate at least the antennal scape, but often the entire antenna, when the latter is folded back. Antennal scrobes vary in development from simple broad shallow grooves to extensive deep trenches (Figs. 526, 528; *scb*). Antennal scrobes are absent from most ant genera.

Antennal socket/insertion See Antenna.

Antennomere See Antenna.

Anterior tentorial pits A pair of pits or impressions located anteriorly on the dorsal surface of the head, at or very close to the posterior clypeal margin (Fig. 523; *atp*). The pits indicate the points of attachment of the anterior arms of the internal skeleton (tentorium) of the head to the head capsule. The termination of the posterior arms of the tentorium are marked by a pair of *posterior tentorial pits*, which are located close to the occipital foramen.

Apical margin/tooth (of mandible) See Mandibles.

Apophyseal lines Externally visible lines marking the internal track of cuticular processes for muscle attachment.

Basal angle/lamella/margin/tooth (of mandible) See Mandibles.

Basitarsal sulcus A longitudinal groove in the surface of the first (basal) tarsal segment of the leg.

Basitarsus (pl. basitarsi) The first, basal, of the five tarsal segments of the leg; the tarsal segment that articulates with the tibia.

Buccal cavity The anteroventral cavity of the head which contains the labium and maxillae.

Bulla (pl. bullae) See Pleurite.

Calyx See Proventriculus.

Carina (pl. carinae) A ridge or low, keel-like crest.

Carinula (pl. carinulae) Diminutive form of carina.

Cephalic Pertaining to the head.

CI (Cephalic Index) See Standard measurements.

Clavate/claviform (antenna) With the apical 1–4 funicular segments enlarged and forming a club (Fig. 526).

Claw See Pretarsal claw.

Club (antennal) See Antenna.

Clypeus Anterior sclerite of the dorsal head, bounded posteriorly by the fronto-clypeal suture (Fig. 524; fs), which is also very commonly called the posterior clypeal margin or border. The anterior clypeal margin usually forms the anterior margin of the head in full-face view (but a projection of the labrum may be anterior to the clypeus in some taxa). The body of the clypeus consists of a pair of lateral portions, or narrow bands of cuticle, on each side of a shield-like median portion (Figs. 523, 524; lc, mc). The median portion of the clypeus may be equipped with one or more longitudinal carinae, or may be variously specialized in shape. Posteriorly the median portion of the clypeus may end in front of the antennal sockets/frontal carinae or lobes, or may project backwards between them. In some taxa the clypeus is very reduced and extremely narrow from front to back.

Condylar bulb See Antenna.

Coxa (pl. coxae) The first, most basal, segment of a leg; the leg segment that articulates with the thorax (Fig. 529; cl-3).

Declivity (of propodeum) See Propodeum.

Dentate/denticle/denticulate See Mandibles.

Diastema (pl. diastemata) See Mandibles.

Dimorphic Occurring in two morphologically distinct forms; in the sense of the keys presented here, ants with two morphologically differentiated castes of worker.

Edentate See Mandibles.

Elongate-triangular See Mandibles.

Emarginate Having a notch, impression, or indentation in a margin, border, or edge.


Endophragmal pit A pit in the lateral alitrunk wall which is an external indication of the position of attachment of part of the endoskeleton.

Epinotum An archaic name for the propodeum, used only by myrmecologists. Propodeum is the recommended term, because it is universally used elsewhere in hymenopterous morphology, and abandoning *epinotum* in favor of *propodeum* brings ant morphological nomenclature into line with the vast majority of the order.

External margin (of mandible) See Mandibles.

Falcate (mandible) See Mandibles.

Femur The third segment of any leg, counting from the basal coxal segment that articulates with the alitrunk. The femur is generally the



Figures 528–529 Morphological features of ants. Drawings are composite, not based on any particular species; sculpture and pilosity omitted. Fig. 528, whole ant in profile, legs below coxae omitted. Fig. 529, alitrunk (= mesosoma) in profile, legs below coxae omitted.

longest leg segment and is separated from the coxa only by a small segment, the *trochanter*.

Fenestra (pl. fenestrae) In the sense of the keys presented here, a translucent cuticular thin-spot.

Filiform (antenna) With the antennal funiculus thread-like, the segments all of approximately the same size. The contrasting antenual shape is club-like, with the apical segments of the antenna disproportionately enlarged.

Flagellum (= funiculus) See Antenna.

Foliaceous (outgrowths) Small to large roughly leaf-like cuticular projections.

Foramen (pl. foramena) An opening or perforation in a sclerite.

Fovea A depression or impressed pit.

Foveola Diminutive of the above; a small pit or depression.

Frontal carinae (sing. frontal carina) A pair of longitudinal ridges on the head, located dorsally behind the clypeus and between the antennal sockets. They are very variable in length and strength of development, frequently being short and simple (Fig. 523; fc) but sometimes extending back to the occipital margin of the head (Fig 525; fc). In some groups the frontal carinae are vestigial or absent, but elsewhere they may be strongly developed or form the dorsal margins of extensive antennal scrobes (Fig. 526; fc, scb). Commonly the frontal carinae anteriorly are expanded into projecting lobate extensions, the frontal lobes (Figs. 524–526; fl), which partially or entirely cover and conceal the antennal sockets. Frontal lobes may be the only expression of the frontal carinae in some groups. Sometimes the portion of the torulus closest to the cephalic midline is raised and expanded into a small, laterally projecting lobe, which may extend beyond the lateral margin of the frontal carina or lobe.

Frontal lobes See Frontal carinae.

Frontal triangle A small triangular patch of cuticle located mediodorsally on the head immediately behind the clypeus and approximately between the antennal sockets or anterior parts of the frontal carinae (Figs. 523–525; *ft*). Not apparent in many ant taxa.

Fronto-clypeal suture The suture forming the posterior margin or boundary of the clypeus (Fig. 524; fs); frequently referred to as the posterior clypeal margin.

Full-face view Orientation of the head in which the midpoint of the anterior clypeal margin, the midpoint of the occipital margin, and the midpoints of the sides are in focus at the same time (as Fig. 526).

Funiculus (= flagellum) See Antenna.

Gaster Morphologically, abdominal segments 3–7 when the waist is of a single segment (the petiole) (Fig. 530; *ga*, *G1*–5), or abdominal segments 4–7 when the waist is of two segments (petiole plus postpetiole) (Fig. 528; *ga*); functionally, the terminal, enlarged tagma of the body. See also **Abdomen**, **Waist**.

Gena (pl. genae) Area of front of head bounded in front by the posterior margin of the clypeus, behind by the anterior margin of the eye, and medially by the antennal socket (Fig. 525; *gn*). The gena thus

includes part of the cephalic dorsum and the side of the head capsule between the eye and the clypeus.

Geniculate Bent like a knee-joint.

Girdling constriction A constriction or sudden and marked narrowing of an abdominal segment, which runs around the entire circumference of the segment (Fig. 530; *gc*). For convenience it is usually stated in keys that girdling constrictions are present between two segments. This is not strictly true as the constriction morphologically really represents the junction between the presclerites (see there) and postsclerites of the more posterior segment. The greater parts of these presclerites are usually inserted in the posterior end of the preceding segment and are invisible, leaving only the constriction visible externally (Fig. 531; *gc*).

Guard setae (= guard hairs) Specialized setae that traverse and protect the orifice of the metapleural gland (Fig. 529; *or*). See also **Pleurite.**

Gula Some authors have incorrectly used this term when referring to the ventral surface of the head capsule in ants. Morphologically, the gula is a separate medioventral sclerite of the head which is bounded anteriorly by the posterior tentorial pits. In ants the posterior tentorial pits are located near the occipital foramen and no gula is present.

Helcium The very reduced and specialized presclerites of abdominal segment 3, which form a complex articulation within the posterior foramen of the petiole (= abdominal segment 2) (Figs. 528, 530; *he*). In general the helcium is mostly or entirely concealed within the posterior orifice of the petiole, but in certain groups it is partly visible. Disarticulation of abdominal segments 2 and 3 is necessary to examine the structure and its variation in detail (Fig. 531; *he*). See also **Abdomen**.

Humeral angles (= humeri) The anterolateral dorsal angles of the pronotum.

Hypopygium The sternite of abdominal segment 7; the terminal visible gastral sternite (Fig. 530; *hy*).

Hypostoma The anteroventral region of the head; the area of cuticle immediately behind the buccal cavity and forming its posterior margin.


Hypostomal teeth One or more pairs of triangular or rounded teeth that project forward from the anterior margin of the hypostoma.

Intercalary (teeth) See Mandibles.

Katepisternum See Pleurite.

Labial palps (alternatively, labial palpus; pl. labial palpi) A pair of sensory palps, with a maximum of 4 segments, that arise anterolaterally on the labium. In ventral view the labium is longitudinal and situated centrally in the buccal cavity, flanked by a maxilla on each side. See also **Palp Formula**.

Labrum Mouthpart sclerite that hinges on the anterior margin of the clypeus and usually folds back and down over the apices of the maxillae and labium when the mouthparts are not in use. In most ants the labrum is a bilobed plate that is invisible in dorsal view, but in some taxa it projects forward from the anterior clypeal margin even when



Figures 530-531 Morphological features of ants. Drawings are composite, not based on any particular species; sculpture and pilosity omitted. Fig. 530, waist and gaster in profile. Fig. 531, waist and gaster in profile with abdominal segments 2-4 disarticulated to show concealed portions of presclerites (stippled).

al alitrunk coxa number С declivity of propodeum de Ggastral segment number gaster ga girdling constriction дс he helcium hy hypopygium metapleural gland bulla mgb metasoma mtorifice of metapleural gland or propodeum ppdpresclerite psc petiole pt pygidium ру sting S subpetiolar process sb spiracle sp sternite st

tergite

waist

tr

abdominal segment number

 \boldsymbol{A}

the mouthparts are at rest. Occasionally it is modified into one or more long, prominent labral lobes.

Leg segments Each leg consists of a basal *coxa* that articulates with the alitrunk, followed in order by a small *trochanter*, a long and generally stout *femur*, a *tibia*, and a *tarsus*, the last consisting of five small segments and terminating apically in a pair of claws. The prefixes *pro-, meso-*, and *meta-*, applied to any of these terms, indicate that segment on the leg of a particular thoracic segment. For example, the metatibia is the tibial segment of the metathoracic (hind) leg.

Linear (mandibles): See Mandibles.

Mandibles The appendages with which ants manipulate their environment. They are very variable in shape, size, and dentition, and extremely important in ant taxonomy.

Margins. In full-face view, with the mandibles closed, the inner margin or border (closest to an anterior extension of the midline of the head) of each mandibular blade is the *apical margin* (= masticatory margin) (Fig. 527; *ma*), and is usually armed with teeth. Proximally, close to the anterior margin of the clypeus, the apical margin usually passes through a *basal angle* (Fig. 527; *ba*) into a transverse or oblique *basal margin* (Fig. 527; *mb*). The two margins may join through a broad or narrow curve, or meet in an angle or tooth. When the mandibles are narrow or linear, the distinction between apical and basal margins may be lost by obliteration of the basal angle. The *external margin* (= lateral margin) (Fig. 527; *me*) of each mandible forms its outer border in full-face view and may be straight, sinuate, or convex.

Shape. In the vast majority of ants the mandibular margins form a *triangular* or *subtriangular* shape in full-face view (e.g., Figs. 7, 20, 136, 308, 452), but may be drawn out anteriorly while retaining the basic triangular shape and become *elongate-triangular* (e.g., Figs. 174, 246). In several discrete lineages the mandible has become *linear* (e.g., Figs. 146, 172, 202, 226, 426, 459, 470); the blade is long and narrow and the apical and external margins are approximately parallel or taper very gradually to the apex; the whole blade may be straight or curved. Linear mandibles may evolve in one of three ways:

- (1) The base of the mandible narrows and the basal angle is obliterated so that the apical and basal margins form a single margin.
- (2) The apical margin is elongated and the basal margin contracted.
 - (3) The basal margin is elongated and the apical margin reduced.

Extremely curved mandibles, usually quite short and with few or no teeth on the apical margin, are termed *falcate* (e.g., Figs. 106, 324, 420).

Dentition. The apical margin of each mandible is usually armed with a series of teeth (Fig. 527; at, t2-t4, bt) or denticles (short or very reduced acute teeth) (Fig. 527; dn) or both, which generally run the length of the apical margin. If teeth alone are present, or a combination of teeth and denticles, the mandible is dentate. If only tiny denticles occur the mandible is denticulate, and if the margin lacks armament it is edentate. A natural gap in a row of teeth (as opposed to a site where teeth have broken off or been worn down) is a diastema (pl. diastemata) (Fig. 527; di) and an elongate mandible with an uninterrupted series of teeth may be described as serially dentate. Teeth are usually sharp and triangular in shape but may be rounded (crenulate); long, narrow, and spine-like (spiniform), or peg-like. Reduced teeth or

denticles that occur between full-sized teeth are *intercalary*. In general the first, distalmost, or *apical tooth* (Fig. 527; *at*), the one farthest away from the anterior clypeal margin, is the largest on the apical (masticatory) margin, although in some taxa median or basal teeth may be the largest. The tooth at or nearest to the basal angle is the *basal tooth* (Fig. 527; *bt*). The tooth immediately behind the apical (Fig. 527; *t2*) may be termed the *preapical* (= subapical), though this term may also be applied more generally to include several teeth that are behind the apical but distal of the mandibular midlength. Similarly, the tooth immediately preceding the basal (Fig. 527; *t4*) may be termed the *prebasal* (= subbasal). In a few taxa teeth may occur on the basal margin of the mandible (Fig. 527; *mb*), but in most this margin is unarmed. Many dacetonine myrmicines have a *basal lamella*, a thin strip or plate of cuticle, on the apical margin proximal to any teeth that may be present.

Marginate Having a sharply defined rim, edge, or margin separating one face of a sclerite, segment, or tagma from another.

Masticatory margin/border (of mandible) See Mandibles.

Maxillary palps (alternatively, maxillary palpus; pl. maxillary palpi)

The segmented sensory palps of the maxillae. Each palp may have at most 6 segments but these are variously reduced in number in different ant groups; only very rarely are maxillary palps absent. In ventral view the maxillae are situated in the buccal cavity, one on each side of the central labium (which itself possesses a pair of palps), and the palps are articulated to the maxilla anterolaterally on each side. See also Palp Formula.

Mesad Medially, toward the middle, towards the midline.

Mesonotum See Tergite.

Mesopleuron See Pleurite.

Mesosoma See Alitrunk.

Mesothoracic spiracle See Spiracle.

Mesothorax See Thorax.

Metacoxa (pl. metacoxae) The coxa of the metathoracic (= hind, = third) leg (Fig. 529; c3). The metacoxae insert posterolaterally in the ventral alitrunk, close to the median emargination in which the petiole articulates. The cavity of this median petiolar articulation may be separated from the cavities in which the metacoxae articulate (metacoxal cavities) by a bar or annulus of cuticle, or the cavities may be confluent. To observe these structures it is necessary to remove the hind legs and mount the ant ventral side uppermost. See also **Leg segments.**

Metanotal groove/metanotum See Tergite.

Metapleural gland See Pleurite.

Metapleural lobe See Propodeal lobe.

Metapleuron (pl. metapleura) See Pleurite.

Metasoma See Abdomen.

Metasternal process A paired cuticular projection of the posteroventral alitrunk. When present it is located astride the ventral midline, anterior to the apex of the cavity in which the petiole articulates and close to the level of the anterior margins of the metacoxal cavities. To

view the process clearly it is necessary to remove the middle and hind legs.

Metathorax See Thorax.

Metathoracic spiracle See Spiracle.

Metatibia The tibial segment of the metathoracic (= hind, = third) leg. See also **Leg segments**.

Metatibial gland A presumably exocrine gland located ventrally on the metatibia just posterior to the tibial spur in several ant subfamilies. When present it varies considerably in shape and size.

MI (Mandibular Index) See Standard measurements.

Monomorphic Occurring in only a single morphological form; in the sense of the keys presented here, having only one form of worker caste.

Node/nodiform See Petiole.

Nuchal carina A ridge situated posteriorly on the head that separates the dorsal and lateral surfaces from the occipital surface (Figs. 458, 460).

Occipital corners With the head in full-face view, the rounded to acute posterolateral angles, where the sides of the head curve in to the occipital margin (Fig. 526).

Occipital margin In common usage, the transverse posterior margin of the head in full-face view (Fig. 526; *om*); morphologically the term is incorrect, as the occiput proper usually begins behind this level, but the name is suitable for most purposes.

Ocular Pertaining to the eye.

Ommatidium (pl. ommatidia) A single optical component of the compound eye.

Palp Formula (PF) A standardized way of indicating the number of segments in the maxillary and labial palps. The number of maxillary palp segments is given first, the number of labial palp segments second; thus PF 6,4 indicates that the maxillary palp has six segments, the labial four.

Pectinate Comb-like.

Pedicel An old term used in ants for the isolated body segments between the alitrunk (= mesosoma) and gaster, namely the petiole or petiole plus postpetiole. The use of *pedicel* is not recommended as it is employed elsewhere throughout the Hymenoptera as the name for the first funicular segment of the antenna. Use of the term *waist* is recommended in referring to these isolated segments.

Peduncle (of petiole) The relatively narrow anterior section of the petiole which begins immediately behind the propodeal-petiolar articulation and runs back to the petiolar node or scale (Fig. 528; pd). It is very variable in length and thickness but when present in any form the petiole is termed pedunculate. When the peduncle is absent, so that the node or scale of the petiole immediately follows the articulation with the propodeum, the petiole is termed sessile (Fig. 530). If an extremely short peduncle occurs the petiole is termed subsessile.

Petiole Morphologically, the second abdominal segment; the segment immediately following the alitrunk (= mesosoma), which is usually

reduced and always isolated (Figs. 528, 530; pt = A2). Generally the petiole takes the form of a node (nodiform) or of a scale (squamiform) of varying shape and size, but in some taxa it may be very reduced, represented by only a narrow, subcylindrical segment that may be overhung and concealed by the gaster. The petiole bears the second abdominal spiracle and usually consists of a distinct tergite and sternite. The former may have differentiated laterotergites low down on the side. In some groups the petiolar tergite and sternite have fused together. See also **Abdomen**, **Peduncle**.

Plectrum See Stridulatory system.

Pleurite/pleuron The lateral sclerites of the thorax proper, excluding the propodeum (Fig. 529; ppd = A1), which is morphologically the first abdominal tergite. The propleuron (pleuron of the prothorax) (Fig. 529; pr) is relatively small in ants and is mostly or entirely overlapped and concealed by the lateral part of the pronotum (Fig. 529; pn) when viewed in profile, but can be seen clearly in ventral view. The mesopleuron (pleuron of the mesothorax) (Figs. 528, 529; mpl) is the largest pleurite. It may consist of a single sclerite running almost the entire height of the mesothorax (Fig. 528) or may be divided by a transverse groove into an upper anepisternum and a lower katepisternum (Fig. 529; an, kn). The metapleuron (pleuron of the metathorax) (Fig. 529; mtp) is located posteriorly on the side of the alitrunk, below the level of the propodeum (Fig. 529; ppd = A1). The metapleuron bears, in most groups of ants, the metapleural gland. This is an exocrine gland whose orifice (Figs. 529, 530; or) is usually situated in the posteroventral corner of the side of the alitrunk, above the level of the metacoxa (Fig. 529; c3) and below the level of the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 529; sp on ppd = A1). The swollen bulla (Fig. 529; mgb) of the metapleural gland is often more conspicuous than the gland's orifice, taking the form of a shallow blister or convexity on the metapleuron and sometimes reaching almost to the propodeal spiracle. The orifice of the metapleural gland may be a simple pore, or may be protected by cuticular flanges or other outgrowths, or by guard setae traversing the orifice. See also Sternite, Tergite.

Polymorphic Occurring in more than two morphologically distinct forms; in the sense of the keys presented here, having more than two different forms of the worker caste.

Posterior tentorial pits See Anterior tentorial pits.

Postpetiole Morphologically, the third abdominal segment (Fig. 528: ppt = A3). In strict usage the term *postpetiole* should only be applied when the third abdominal segment is reduced and separated from the petiole in front and the fourth abdominal segment behind. See also **Abdomen.**

Postsclerite/poststernite/posttergite See Presclerite.

Preapical/prebasal teeth See Mandibles.

Presclerite A distinctly differentiated anterior section of an abdominal sclerite, separated from the remainder of the sclerite by a ridge, constriction, or both (Fig. 531; psc). In the ant abdomen it is usual for the posterior portion of each sclerite to overlap the anterior portion of the following segment. The overlapped area usually lacks sculpture and pilosity, but the absence of these features alone does not constitute a presclerite. Presclerites derived from tergites are termed *pretergites*, those from sternites, *presternites*. The remainder of each sclerite, posterior to these developments, is the *postsclerite* and may be termed *post-*

tergite and poststernite, repectively. The presclerites of abdominal segment 3 form a very specialized articulation with the posterior end of abdominal segment 2, termed the *helcium* (Figs. 528, 530, 531; *he*).

Presternite See Presclerite.

Pretarsal claws A pair of claws on the pretarsal (= apical or terminal) tarsal segment of the leg. Usually the inner curvature of each claw is a simple smooth concave surface, but in some taxa one or more preapical teeth may be present, or the claw may be pectinate (Figs. 514-516). See also **Leg segments, Tarsus**.

Pretergite See Presclerite.

Profile Orientation of part of the body (usually the alitrunk) in side (lateral) view so that the anterior, posterior, dorsal, and ventral outlines are in focus at the same time (Figs. 528, 529).

Promesonotal suture The transverse suture across the dorsal alitrunk (Fig. 529; pms, shown in profile) that separates the pronotum (pn) from the mesonotum (ms). In some groups of ants the promesonotal suture is well developed and flexible. The pronotum slightly overlaps the mesonotum and the two sclerites are linked by intersegmental membrane so that they are capable of movement relative to each other. Elsewhere, and very commonly, the suture is reduced from this condition. Initially in the sequence of reduction the suture is present and distinct but inflexible, as the posterior pronotal margin has fused to the anterior mesonotal margin. Beyond this fused condition the suture shows a gradual morphoclinal reduction in size and degree of definition, eventually becoming nothing more than a faint line or impression across the dorsum, or often disappearing altogether. When fusion and obliteration of the suture is advanced, and there is little or no sign of separation of the original pronotum and mesonotum, the resulting sclerite is called the promesonotum (Fig. 528; prs).

Promesonotum See Promesonotal suture.

Pronotum See Tergite.

Propodeal lobe (= metapleural lobe, = inferior propodeal plate) See **Propodeum**.

Propodeal spiracle See Propodeum.

Propodeum Morphologically, the tergite of the first abdominal segment, fused to the thorax and forming most of the posterior section of the alitrunk (= mesosoma) (Fig. 529; ppd = A1). An older term for this sclerite, epinotum (see there), should not be used. The propodeal dorsum is usually unspecialized but frequently terminates posteriorly in a pair of teeth or spines. Confusingly, the propodeal dorsum is sometimes referred to as its base or basal surface. The dorsum is not basal to anything and the term should be abandoned. The sloping posterior surface is the propodeal declivity (Fig. 529; de), and may bear a number of specializations. Most common of these is the development of a pair of propodeal lobes (= metapleural lobes, = inferior propodeal plates) (Fig. 529; pl). When present they are situated at the base of the declivity, one on each side of the propodeal-petiolar articulation. These lobes, which vary considerably in shape and size, are frequently termed metapleural lobes, but this name should be abandoned as they are formed from the propodeum and not the metapleuron. The side of the propodeum bears the propodeal spiracle (Fig. 529; sp on ppd = A1), morphologically the first abdominal spiracle. Its shape, size, and location are variable and of considerable taxonomic value.

Prothorax See Thorax.

Proventriculus A muscular pump located in the intestine between the crop and the midgut. In all groups the proventriculus has a basal *bulb*, but in some the bulb is surmounted by a ring of four sclerotized *sepals*, collectively termed the *calyx*.

Psammophore A basket-like series of long and usually stout, curved setae arising on the ventral surfaces of the head and mandibles in deserticolous ants, used for carrying sand grains (Fig. 311).

Pubescence Small to minute hair-like cuticular projections which are not socketed basally. See also **Seta**.

Pygidium The tergite of abdominal segment 7; the terminal visible gastral tergite (Fig. 530; *py*).

Scale See Petiole.

Scape See Antenna.

Sclerite Functionally, a general term for any single plate of the exoskeleton (e.g., pronotal sclerite, abdominal sclerites); more specifically, an integumental plate in which the protein sclerotin has been deposited. In the case of ants the latter applies to all parts of the exoskeleton.

Scrobe (antennal) See **Antennal scrobe**.

Sepals See Proventriculus.

Serially dentate See Mandibles.

Sessile (petiole) See Peduncle.

Seta (pl. setae) Any stout hair that is socketed basally. Generally, as here, the terms *seta* and *hair* are interchangeable, but care must be taken to differentiate between setae and pubescence, as the latter may also sometimes be referred to as hairs.

Spiniform (teeth) See Mandibles.

Spiracle An orifice of the tracheal system by which gases enter and leave the body. Ants have 9 or 10 spiracles on each side of the body. The prothoracic spiracles have been lost, so the first opening occurs on the mesothorax. This spiracle is situated forward and quite high on the side of the segment, and is usually concealed by a backward-projecting lobe of the pronotum (Fig. 529). Metathoracic spiracles (Fig. 529; sp on mtp) may be dorsal (especially when the metathorax forms part of the dorsal alitrunk), lateral, concealed by a small backward-projecting lobe of the mesopleuron, or absent. The propodeal (first abdominal) spiracle is usually the largest on the body (Fig. 529; sp on ppd = AI). Spiracles are always visible on abdominal segments 2-4 (Figs. 528, 530; sp), but those on abdominal segments 5-7 are frequently concealed beneath the posterior margins of the preceding tergites. The spiracle of abdominal segment 8 is always hidden. The sclerite to which it belongs is internal and forms part of the sting apparatus (the spiracular plate).

Spongiform (tissue) Specialized sponge-like external cuticular tissue, distributed mainly about the waist segments in some groups of ants (e.g., Figs. 243, 399).

Spur See Tibial spur.

Squamiform In the form of a scale.

Standard measurements A series of external measurements and ratios of the body used in ant taxonomy. Different groups of ants may require different combinations of standard measurements. Any recent survey or revision of a group or genus will list and define the standards used. Some very commonly encountered standards include *head length* and *head width* (HL and HW), *mandible length* (ML), *scape length* (SL), *pronotal width* (PW), and *alitrunk length* (AL). Ratios of these, termed *indices*, serve to indicate relative dimensions. Commonly encountered indices include *mandibular index* (MI) = ML × 100 divided by HL; *cephalic index* (CI) = HW × 100 divided by HL; *scape index* = SL × 100 divided by HW. Multiplication by 100 is not essential but it serves to express the ratio as a whole number.

Sternite (= sternum, = sternal plate) The lower sclerite of a segment (the tergite is the upper; pleurites are the laterals on the alitrunk). The sternite may be a simple flat or curved plate, or may be specialized or subdivided on some segments. In the hymenopterous prothorax the sternite is very small. Sternites of the mesothorax and metathorax are reduced and internal, the ventral surface being made up of extensions of the pleurites to the mid-ventral line. The sternite of the propodeum (= abdominal segment 1) has been lost, but those of the remaining abdominal segments are usually distinct (Fig. 530; st), although the margins of some may be difficult to discern because of fusion to the tergite.

Stridulatory system A sound-producing system present in a number of ant subfamilies. The system consists of a *plectrum* (= stridulatory file), located on the posterior margin of the third abdominal segment (usually, but not always, on the tergite), and a finely grooved *stridulitrum* or sounding board on the anterior portion of the fourth abdominal segment.

Stridulitrum See Stridulatory system.

Subpetiolar process An anteroventral projection on the petiole or its peduncle; sometimes absent but when present very variable in shape and size (Fig. 530; *sb*).

Subsessile (petiole) See Peduncle.

Subtriangular (mandible) See Mandibles.

Suture Line of junction between sclerites.

Tagma (pl. tagmata) Unit of body; part or section of body separated from other body units.

Tarsal claws See Pretarsal claws.

Tarsus (pl. tarsi) Collective term for the five small apical segments of any leg. The first tarsal segment (first tarsomere) articulates with the tibia and is termed the *basitarsus*. The next three tarsomeres are not individually named but the apical (terminal) tarsomere is the *pretarsus* and bears a pair of *pretarsal claws*. See also **Leg segments**.

Teeth (mandibular) See Mandibles.

Tentorial pits (anterior) See Anterior tentorial pits.

Tergite (= tergum, = tergal plate) The upper sclerite of a segment (the sternite is the lower; the pleurites the laterals on the alitrunk). The tergite may be a simple flat or curved plate, or may be specialized or subdivided on some segments. The tergite of the prothorax is com-

posed entirely of the *pronotum* (Fig. 529; *pn*); this sclerite extends across the dorsum and down the sides of the segment, mostly concealing the propleuron. The *mesonotum* (Fig. 529; *ms*), tergite of the mesothorax, may be separated from the pronotum by the *promesonotal suture* (Fig. 529; *pms*), or may be fused to it to form a single sclerite, the *promesonotum* (Fig. 528; *prs*). The *metanotum*, tergite of the metathorax, may be present on the dorsum, or reduced, or obliterated. The mesonotum and propodeum are often separated by the *metanotal groove* (Fig. 529; *mtg*), a transverse groove or impression representing the last vestige of the metanotum on the dorsal alitrunk. The propodeum (see there) is the tergite of the first abdominal segment. The remaining abdominal segments (2–7) have tergites that are usually simple but that may be subdivided or otherwise specialized (Fig. 530; *tr*).

Tergosternal fusion A condition of the abdominal segments where the tergite and sternite of a single segment fuse together so that they are not capable of movement relative to each other. This may occur in some or all abdominal segments from 2 (petiole) to 4. To investigate this feature properly it is best to disarticulate the specimen, then macerate the appropriate segments in sodium hydroxide to remove soft tissues. This is essential in dried specimens, though good results can be obtained by dissection of fresh material without maceration.

Thorax The second classical body tagma in the insects. In ants, and other Hymenoptera, the apparent thorax consists of the usual three body segments of the true thorax (pro-, meso-, and metathorax) to which the tergite of the first abdominal segment (the propodeum) is immovably fused (Fig. 529). This modification means that the system "true thorax plus propodeum" cannot strictly be called the thorax, as it is not homologous with the term as used otherwise throughout the Insecta. Several names have been proposed for true thorax plus propodeum, of which two, alitrunk and mesosoma, are currently in common use (Figs. 528, 529; al = mes). Both names are somewhat misleading as far as ants are concerned, but either is better than thorax, which is morphologically incorrect for describing the tagma "thorax plus propodeum." See also Alitrunk.

Tibia (pl. tibiae) The fourth segment of any leg, counting from the basal coxa that articulates with the alitrunk. See also **Leg segments.**

Tibial spur A socketed spur located at the apex of each tibia. The forelegs have a single pectinate tibial spur, modified into an antennal cleaning device, the *strigil*. The mesothoracic (middle) and metathoracic (hind) tibiae, also termed mesotibiae and metatibiae, may each have two, one, or no spurs present (Figs. 511–513). When present the spurs may be pectinate or barbed, or be simple cuticular spikes. If two spurs are present it is usual for one to be larger than the other, and in such cases the larger spur is usually pectinate while the smaller is simple. See also **Leg segments**.

Torulus (= torular sclerite, = antennal sclerite) The small annular sclerite that surrounds the antennal socket (Fig. 523; to). The torulus may be horizontal, or the part closest to the midline of the head may be elevated, in some cases to such an extent that the torulus is almost vertical. In the latter condition the highest part of its arc may form a laterally projecting small lobe. The lobe may or may not be covered by the frontal lobes; in some taxa where very narrow frontal lobes occur the torulus lobe projects beyond them. See also **Antenna**.

Triangular (mandibles) See Mandibles.

Trulleum A basin-shaped depression near the base of the mandible dorsally, bounded distally by the basal margin of the mandibular blade (Fig. 527; *tu*).

Tuberculiform Having the form or appearance or a tubercle.

Tubercle A small, rounded prominence or protuberance.


Tuberculate Bearing one or more tubercles.

Tumulus (pl. tumuli) A prominent, small, mound-like or rounded hill-like to subconical, but not acutely pointed, cuticular excrescence.

Waist Collective term for the one or two separated abdominal segments that occur between the alitrunk (= mesosoma) and gaster (Figs. 528, 530; w). When only the petiole (abdominal segment 2) is isolated the waist is said to be one-segmented, but in those subfamilies where the postpetiole (abdominal segment 3) is also separated the waist is said to be two-segmented (of petiole plus postpetiole). See also Abdomen, Gaster, Pedicel.

Xenobiosis A lifeway in which one eusocial species nests within the walls or in the nest chambers of another eusocial species. The *xenobiotic* species (xenobiont) not only nests within the nest of its host but also shares its trails and solicits and receives food from the host species.

1.013



Bibliography

- Agosti, D. 1990a. Review and reclassification of *Cataglyphis. Journal of Natural History* **24:** 1457–1505.
- ———1990b. What makes the Formicini the Formicini? *Actes des Colloques Insectes Sociaux* **6:** 295–303.
- ————1991. Revision of the Oriental ant genus *Cladomyrma*, with an outline of the higher classification of the Formicinae. *Systematic Entomology* **16:** 293–310.
- ———1992. Revision of the ant genus *Myrmoteras* in the Malay Archipelago. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie* **99:** 405–429.
- Agosti, D., and C. A. Collingwood. 1987. A provisional list of the Balkan ants with a key to the worker caste. 2. Key to the worker caste, including the European species without the Iberian. Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft 60: 261–293.
- Alayo, P. D. 1974. Introducción al estudio de los Himenópteros de Cuba. Superfamilia Formicoidea. *Academia de Ciencias de Cuba. Instituto de Zoología. Serie Biológica* (Havana), no. **53:** 58 pp.
- Arnold, G. 1915. A monograph of the Formicidae of South Africa. Part 1. (Ponerinae; Dorylinae.) *Annals of the South African Museum* **14:** 1–159.
- ———1916. A monograph of the Formicidae of South Africa. Part 2. (Ponerinae; Dorylinae.) *Annals of the South African Museum* **14:** 159–270.
- ———1917. A monograph of the Formicidae of South Africa. Part 3. (Myrmicinae.) *Annals of the South African Museum* **14:** 271–402.
- ———1920. A monograph of the Formicidae of South Africa. Part 4. (Myrmicinae.) *Annals of the South African Museum* **14**: 403–578.
- ———1924. A monograph of the Formicidae of South Africa. Part 6. (Camponotinae.) *Annals of the South African Museum* **14:** 675–766.
- ———I951. The genus Hagensia Forel. Journal of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa 14: 53–56.
- Arnol'di, K. V. 1970. Obzor murav'ev roda *Myrmica* evropeiskoi chasti SSSR. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* **49:** 1829–1844.
- ———1975. Obzor vidov roda *Stenamma* soyuza SSR i opisanie novykh vidov. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* **54**: 1819–1829.

- ——1976a. Murav'i roda *Myrmica* Latr. srednei azii i yuzhnogo Kazakhstana. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* **55:** 547–558.
- -----1976b. Obzor roda Aphaenogaster SSSR. Zoologicheskii Zhurnal **55:** 1019–1026.
- ———1977. Obzor murav'ev-zhnetsov roda *Messor* fauny SSSR. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* **56:** 1637–1648.
- Arnol'di, K. V., and G. M. Dlussky. 1978. Formicoidea. In G. S. Medvedev, ed., *Opredelitel' Nasekomykh Evropeiskoi Chasti SSSR*. Vol. **3:** *Pereponchatokrylye, pervaya chast'*, 519–556. Leningrad.
- Ashmead, W. H. 1905. A skeleton of a new arrangement of the families, subfamilies, tribes and genera of the ants, or the superfamily Formicoidea. *Canadian Entomologist* **37**: 381–384.
- Atanassov, N., and G. M. Dlussky. 1992. Fauna na Bulgariya. Vol. 22: Hymenoptera, Formicidae. Sofia. 310 pp.
- Baltazar, C. R. 1966. A catalogue of the Philippine Hymenoptera. (With a bibliography, 1758–1963.) *Pacific Insects Monograph* 8: 1–488.
- Baroni Urbani, C. 1964a. Studi sulla mirmecofauna d'Italia. 2. Formiche di Sicilia. *Atti della Accademia Gioenia di Scienze Naturali in Catania* 16: 25–66.
- ———1964b. Studi sulla mirmecofauna d'Italia. 3. Formiche dell'Italia Appenninica. *Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona* 12: 149–172.
- ——1968. Studi sulla mirmecofauna d'Italia. 4. La fauna mirmecologica delle isole maltese ed il suo significato ecologico e biogeografica. Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Genova 77: 408–559.
- ——1969a. Studi sulla mirmecofauna d'Italia. 8. L'Isola di Giannutri ed alcuni scogli minori dell'arcipelago Toscano. Atti della Società Toscana di Scienze Naturali. Memorie (B) 75 (1968): 325–338.
- ———1969b. Gli Strongylognathus del gruppo huberi nell'Europa occidentale: saggio di una revisione basata sulla casta operaia. Bollettino della Società Entomologica Italiana 99-101: 132-168.
- ——1971. Studien zur Ameisenfauna Italiens. 11. Die Ameisen des Toskanischen Archipels. Betrachtungen zur Herkunft der Inselfaunen. Revue Suisse de Zoologie **78**: 1037- 1067.
- ———1975a. Primi reperti del genere *Calyptomyrmex* Emery nel subcontinente Indiano. *Entomologica Basiliensia* 1: 395–411.
- ——1975b. Contributo alla conoscenza dei generi Belonopelta Mayr e Leiopelta gen. n. Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft 48: 295–310.

- ------1977a. Materiali per una revisione della sottofamiglia Leptanillinae Emery. Entomologica Basiliensia 2: 427–488.
- ——1977b. Les espèces européennes du genre *Proceratium* Roger. *Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft* **50**: 91–93.
- ———1978a. Contributo alla conoscenza del genere Amblyopone Erichson. Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft 51: 39–51.
- ———1978b. Materiali per una revisione dei *Leptothorax* neotropicali al sottogenere *Macromischa* Roger. *Entomologica Basiliensia* **3:** 395–618.
- Baroni Urbani, C., B. Bolton, and P. S. Ward. 1992. The internal phylogeny of ants. *Systematic Entomology* 17: 301–329.
- Beattie, A. J. 1985. *The evolutionary ecology of ant-plant mutualisms*: Cambridge. 182 pp.
- Belshaw, R., and B. Bolton. 1994. A new myrmicine ant genus from cocoa leaf litter in Ghana. *Journal of Natural History* (currently in press).
- Bernard, F. 1950. Notes sur les fourmis de France. 2. Peuplement des montagnes méridionales. *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France* 115 (1946): 1–36.
- ——1955. Fourmis moissonneuses nouvelles ou peu connues des montagnes d'Algérie et revision des *Messor* du groupe *structor* (Latr.). *Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de l'Afrique du Nord* **45** (1954): 345–365.
- ——1956a. Révision des *Leptothorax* d'Europe occidentale, bassée sur la biométrie et les genitales males. *Bulletin de la Société Zoologique de France* 81: 151–165.
- ———1956b. Révision des fourmis paléarctiques du genre *Cardiocondyla* Emery. *Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de l'Afrique du Nord* **47:** 299–306.
- ——1967. Faune de l'Europe et du Bassin Méditerranéen. Vol. 3: Les fourmis d'Europe occidentale et septentrionale. Paris, 1968. 411 pp.
- 1978. Révision des *Diplorhoptrum* de France, fourmis plus dissérenciées par l'écologie que par leurs formes. *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France* (n.s.) **13** (1977): 543–577.
- ——1980. Messor carthaginensis n. sp. de Tunis, et révision des Messor du groupe barbara. Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France **84** (1979): 265–269.
- Bingham, C. T. 1903. The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Hymenoptera. Vol. 2: Ants and Cuckoo-Wasps. London. 506 pp.
- Blum, M. S. 1985. Poisonous ants and their venoms. In A. T. Tu, ed., Handbook of Natural Toxins. Vol. 2: Insect Poisons, Allergens, and Other Invertebrate Venoms, 225–242. New York. 732 pp.
- Bolton, B. 1972. Two new species of the ant genus *Epitritus* from Ghana, with a key to the world species. *Entomologist's Monthly Magazine* **107** (1971): 205–208.
- ———1973. The ant genus *Polyrhachis* F. Smith in the Ethiopian region. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) (Entomology) **28**: 283–369.
- 1974a. A revision of the Palaeotropical arboreal ant genus *Cataulacus* F. Smith. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **30**: 1–105.
- ———1974b. A revision of the ponerine ant genus *Plectroctena F. Smith. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **30:** 309–338.
- ———1975a. A revision of the ant genus *Leptogenys* Roger in the Ethiopian region, with a review of the Malagasy species. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **31:** 235–305.

- 1975b. A revision of the African ponerine ant genus *Psalidomyrmex* André. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **32:** 1–16.
- ———1975c. The sexspinosa-group of the ant genus Polyrhachis F. Smith. Journal of Entomology (ser. B) **44**: 1-14.
- ———1976. The ant tribe Tetramoriini. Constituent genera, review of smaller genera and revision of *Triglyphothrix* Forel. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **34:** 281–379.
- ——1977. The ant tribe Tetramoriini. The genus *Tetramorium* Mayr in the Oriental and Indo-Australian regions, and in Australia. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **36:** 67–151.
- 1979. The ant tribe Tetramoriini. The genus *Tetramorium Mayr* in the Malagasy region and in the New World. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **38:** 129–181.
- ———1980. The ant tribe Tetramoriini. The genus *Tetramorium Mayr* in the Ethiopian zoogeographical region. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **40:** 193–384.
- ——1981a. A revision of the ant genera *Meranoplus F.* Smith, *Dicroaspis* Emery and *Calyptomyrmex* Emery in the Ethiopian zoogeographical region. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **42**: 43–81.
- ——1981b. A revision of six minor genera of Myrmicinae in the Ethiopian zoogeographical region. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **43:** 245–307.
- ——1982. Afrotropical species of the myrmicine ant genera *Cardio-condyla, Leptothorax, Melissotarsus, Messor* and *Cataulacus. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **45:** 307–370.
- ——1983. The Afrotropical dacetine ants. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) (Entomology) **46:** 267–416.
- ———1984. Diagnosis and relationships of the myrmicine ant genus *Ishakidris* gen. n. *Systematic Entomology* **9:** 373–382.
- ———1986. A taxonomic and biological review of the tetramoriine ant genus *Rhoptromyrmex*. Systematic Entomology 11: 1–17.
- ———1987. A review of the *Solenopsis* genus-group and revision of Afrotropical *Monomorium Mayr. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History)* (Entomology) **54:** 263–452.
- ———1988a. A new socially parasitic *Myrmica*, with a reassessment of the genus. *Systematic Entomology* **13:** 1–11.
- ———1988b. A review of *Paratopula* Wheeler, a forgotten genus of myrmicine ants. *Entomologist's Monthly Magazine* **124**: 125–143.
- ———1988c. Secostruma, a new subterranean tetramoriine ant genus. Systematic Entomology 13: 263–270.
- ———1990a. Abdominal characters and status of the cerapachyine ants. *Journal of Natural History* **24:** 53–68.
- ———1990b. The higher classification of the ant subfamily Leptanillinae. *Systematic Entomology* **15:** 267–282.
- ———1990c. Army ants reassessed: the phylogeny and classification of the doryline section. *Journal of Natural History* **24**: 1339–1364.
- ———1991. New myrmicine ant genera from the Oriental region. Systematic Entomology 16: 1–13.
- Bolton, B., and R. Belshaw. 1993. Taxonomy and biology of the supposedly lestobiotic ant genus *Paedalgus*. *Systematic Entomology* **18:** 181–189.
- Bolton, B., and C. A. Collingwood. 1975. Hymenoptera: Formicidae. *Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects*. Vol. **4**, part 3(c). London. 34 pp.

- Bolton, B., and A. C. Marsh. 1989. The Afrotropical thermophilic ant genus *Ocymyrmex*. *Journal of Natural History* **23**: 1267–1308.
- Borgmeier, T. 1955. Die Wanderameisen der Neotropischen Region. *Studia Entomologica* 3: 1–720.
- ————1959. Revision der Gattung Atta Fabricius. Studia Entomologica (N.S.) 2: 321–390.
- Boven, J. K. A. van. 1977. De Mierenfauna van Belgie. *Acta Zoologica et Pathologica Antverpiensia* **67:** 1–191.
- Brandão, C. R. F. 1990. Systematic revision of the Neotropical ant genus *Megalomyrmex* Forel, with the description of thirteen new species. *Arquivos de Zoologia* **31**: 411–481.
- ———1991. Adendos ao catálogo abreviado das formigas da regiao Neotropical. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* **35:** 319–412.
- Brown, W. L., Jr. 1948. A preliminary generic revision of the higher Dacetini. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* **74:** 101–129.
- ———1952a. Revision of the ant genus Serrastruma. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 107: 67–86.
- ———1952b. The dacetine ant genus Mesostruma Brown. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia 75: 9-13.
- ———1953a. Revisionary studies in the ant tribe Dacetini. *American Midland Naturalist* **50:** 1–137.
- ———1953b. Revisionary notes on the ant genus *Myrmecia* of Australia. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 111: 1–35.
- ———1953c. A revision of the dacetine ant genus *Orectognathus*. *Memoirs* of the Queensland Museum 13: 84–104.
- ———1954a. Remarks on the internal phylogeny and subfamily classification of the family Formicidae. *Insectes Sociaux* 1: 21–31.
- ———1954b. The ant genus *Strumigenys* Fred. Smith in the Ethiopian and Malagasy regions. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College* 112: 3–34.
- ———1955. A revision of the Australian ant genus *Notoncus* Emery, with notes on the other genera of Melophorini. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College* 113: 471–494.
- ———1958a. A supplement to the revisions of the dacetine ant genera *Orectognathus* and *Arnoldidris*, with keys to the species. *Psyche* **64** (1957): 17–29.
- 1958b. Contributions toward a reclassification of the Formicidae.
 Tribe Ectatommini. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 118: 175–362.
- ———1958c. A review of the ants of New Zealand. *Acta Hymenopterologica* 1: 1–50.
- ———1959. A revision of the dacetine ant genus *Neostruma*. *Breviora* **107:** 1–13.
- 1960. Contributions toward a reclassification of the Formicidae.
 3. Tribe Amblyoponini. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College* 122: 145-230.
- ———1962. The Neotropical species of the ant genus *Strumigenys* Fr. Smith: synopsis and key to species. *Psyche* **69**: 238–267.
- ———1963. Characters and synonymies among the genera of ants. Part 3. Some members of the tribe Ponerini. *Breviora* **190:** 1–10.
- ——1964a. The ant genus *Smithistruma:* a first supplement to the world revision. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* **89:** 183–200.
- ———1964b. Genus *Rhoptromyrmex*, revision of, and key to species. *Pilot Register of Zoology*, cards 11–19.
- -----1965. Contributions toward a reclassification of the Formicidae. 4. Tribe Typhlomyrmecini. *Psyche* **72:** 65–78.

- ———1967. Studies on North American ants. 2. *Myrmecina*. *Entomological News* **78:** 233–240.
- ———1972. Asketogenys acubecca, a new genus and species of dacetine ants from Malaya. Psyche **79:** 23–26.
- ———1973. A comparison of the Hylean and Congo-West African rain forest ant faunas. In B. J. Meggers, E. S. Ayensu, and W. D. Duckworth, eds., *Tropical Forest Ecosystems in Africa and South America: A Comparative Review*, 161–185 Washington, D.C.
- ————1974a. A supplement to the revision of the ant genus *Basiceros*. *Journal of the New York Entomological Society* **82:** 131–140.
- ———1974b. *Concoctio* genus nov.; *C. concenta* species nov. *Pilot Register of Zoology*, cards 29–30.
- ———1974c. Dolioponera genus nov.; D. fustigera species nov. Pilot Register of Zoology, cards 31–32.
- ———1975. Contributions toward a reclassification of the Formicidae. 5. Ponerinae, tribes Platythyreini, Cerapachyini, Cylindromyrmecini, Acanthostichini, and Aenictogitini. *Search Agriculture* **5.** Entomology (Ithaca), **15:** 1–115.
- ———1976a. Contributions toward a reclassification of the Formicidae. Part 6. Ponerinae, tribe Ponerini, subtribe Odontomachiti. Section A. Introduction, subtribal characters, genus *Odontomachus. Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **19:** 67–171.
- ———1976b. Cladarogenys genus nov.; C. lasia species nov. Pilot Register of Zoology, cards 33–34.
- ———1978a. An aberrant new genus of myrmicine ant from Madagascar. *Psyche* **84** (1977): 218–224.
- ——1978b. Contributions toward a reclassification of the Formicidae. Part 6. Ponerinae, tribe Ponerini, subtribe Odontomachiti. Section B. Genus *Anochetus* and bibliography. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **20:** 549–652.
- ——1980a. Protalaridris genus nov.;P. armata species nov. Pilot Register of Zoology, cards 36–37.
- ———1980b. A remarkable new species of *Proceratium*, with dietary and other notes on the genus. *Psyche* **86** (1979): 337–346.
- 1986. *Indomyrma dasypyx*, new genus and species, a myrmicine ant from peninsular India. *Israel Journal of Entomology* **19** (1985): 37–49.
- Brown, W. L., Jr., and R. G. Boisvert. 1979. The dacetine ant genus *Pentastruma*. *Psyche* **85** (1978): 201–207.
- Brown, W. L., Jr., W. H. Gotwald, and J. Lévieux. 1971. A new genus of ponerine ants from West Africa, with ecological notes. *Psyche* 77 (1970): 259–275.
- Brown, W. L., Jr., and W. W. Kempf. 1960. A world revision of the ant tribe Basicerotini. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **3:** 161–250.
- ———1968. *Tatuidris*, a remarkable new genus of Formicidae. *Psyche* **74** (1967): 183–190.
- ———1969. A revision of the Neotropical dacetine ant genus *Acanthognathus*. *Psyche* **76:** 87–109.
- Buren, W. F. 1968a. Some fundamental taxonomic problems in *Formica*. *Journal of the Georgia Entomological Society* **3:** 25- 40.
- ——1968b. A review of the species of *Crematogaster, sensu stricto,* in North America. 2. Descriptions of new species. *Journal of the Georgia Entomological Society* **3:** 91-121.
- Burnham, L. 1979. Survey of social insects in the fosil record. *Psyche* **85** (1978): 85–134.
- Buschinger, A. 1989. Evolution, speciation and inbreeding in the parasitic ant genus *Epimyrma*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **2:** 265–283.
- Buschinger, A., W. Erhardt, K. Fischer, and J. Ofer. 1988. The slave-making ant genus *Chalepoxenus*. 1. Review of literature, range, slave spe-

- cies. Zoologische Jahrbücher. Abteilung für Systematik und Geographie der Tiere 115: 383-401.
- Buschinger, A., K. Fischer, H.-P. Guthy, K. Jessen, and U. Winter. 1987. Biosystematic revision of *Epimyrma kraussei*, *E. vandeli*, and *E. foreli*. *Psyche* **93** (1986): 253–276.
- Carpenter, F. M. 1992. In R. L. Kaesler, ed., *Treatise on Invertebrate Pale-ontology*. Part R. Arthropoda **4.** Vol. 4: Superclass Hexapoda: 279–655. Boulder. [Formicidae, pp.490-495.]
- Casevitz-Weulersse, J. 1990a. Etude systématique de la myrmécofaune corse. (Première partie.) *Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle* (4) **12** (A): 135–163.
- ———1990b. Etude systématique de la myrmécofaune corse. (Deuxième partie.) *Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle* (4) **12** (A): 415–442.
- Chapman, J. W., and S. R. Capco. 1951. Check list of the ants of Asia. *Monographs of the Institute of Science and Technology, Manila* 1: 327 pp.
- Clark, J. 1930. The Australian ants of the genus *Dolichoderus*, subgenus *Hypoclinea Mayr. Australian Zoologist* **6**: 252–268.
- ———1951. The Formicidae of Australia. Vol. 1: Subfamily Myrmeciinae. CSIRO, Melbourne. 230 pp.
- Cole, A. C., Jr. 1949. A study of the genus *Gesomyrmex Mayr*, and a description of a species new to the genus. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **42**: 71–76.
- ———1968. Pogonomyrmex Harvester Ants. A Study of the Genus in North America. Knoxville. 222 pp.
- Collingwood, C. A. 1978. A provisional list of Iberian Formicidae with a key to the worker caste. *Eos. Revista Española de Entomología* **52** (1976): 65–95.
- ———1979. The Formicidae of Fennoscandia and Denmark. Fauna Entomologica Scandinavica 8: 1–174.
- ------1982. Himalayan ants of the genus *Lasius. Systmatic Entomology* 7: 283-296.
- ———1985. Hymenoptera: Fam. Formicidae of Saudi Arabia. Fauna of Saudi Arabia 7: 230–302.
- Creighton, W. S. 1930. The New World species of the genus *Solenopsis*. *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* **66**: 39–151.

- Dalla Torre, C. G. de. 1893. Catalogus Hymenopterorum, hucusque descriptorum systematicus et synonymicus 7. Lipsiae [Leipzig]. 289 pp.
- Deyrup, M., J. Trager, and N. Carlin. 1985. The genus *Odontomachus* in the southeastern United States. *Entomological News* **96:** 188–195.
- Diniz, J. L. M. 1990. Revisao sistematica da tribo Stegomyrmicini, com a descrição de uma nova espécie. *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 34: 277–295
- Dlussky, G. M. 1964. Murav'i podroda *Coptoformica* roda *Formica* SSSR. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* **43:** 1026–1040.
- ———1965. Ants of the genus *Formica* L. of Mongolia and northeast Tibet. *Annales Zoologici* **23:** 15–43.
- ———1967. Murav'i roda Formica. Institut Morfologii Zhivotnykh, Akademiya Nauk SSSR. Moscow. 236 pp.

- 1969. Murav'i roda *Proformica* Ruzs. SSSR i sopredel'nykh stran. Zoologicheskii Zhurnal **48**: 218–232.
- 1975. Semeistvo Formicidae. In A. P. Rasnitsyn ed., Vysshie Pereponchatokrylye Mezozoya. Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta 147: 114– 122.
- ———1981. Murav'i Pustyn'. Moscow. 230 pp.
- Dlussky, G. M., and E. B. Fedoseeva. 1988. Proiskhozhdenie i rannie etapy evolyutsii murav'ev. In A. G. Ponomarenko, ed., *Melovoi Biotsenoticheskii Krizis i Evolyutsiya Nasekomykh*, 70–144. Moscow. 228 pp.
- Dlussky, G. M., and B. Pisarski. 1971. Rewizja polskich gatunków mrówek z rodzaju *Formica* L. *Fragmenta Faunistica* 16: 145-224.
- Dlussky, G. M., and O. S. Soyunov. 1988. Murav'i roda *Temnothorax* Mayr SSSR. *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Turkmenskoi SSR*. Seriya Biologicheskikh Nauk 1988 (no. 4): 29–37.
- Dlussky, G. M., O. S. Soyunov, and S. I. Zabelin. 1990. *Muravy Turkmenistana*. Ashkhebad, Ylym. 273 pp.
- Donisthorpe, H. 1941. *Lordomyrma niger* sp. n., with key and notes on the genus. *Entomologist's Monthly Magazine* 77: 36–38.
- DuBois, M. B. 1986. A revision of the native New World species of the ant genus *Monomorium* (*minimum* group). *University of Kansas Science Bulletin* **53:** 65–119.
- Dumpert, K. 1978. Das Sozialleben der Ameisen. Berlin and Hamburg. 298 pp. [English translation: 1981. The Social Biology of Ants. Bath. 298 pp.]
- ———1986. Camponotus (Karavaievia) texens sp. n. and C. (K.) gombaki sp. n. from Malaysia in comparison with the other Karavaievia species. Psyche **92** (1985): 557–574.
- Emery, C. 1877. Saggio di un ordinamento naturale dei Mirmicidei e considerazioni sulla filogenesi delle formiche. *Bullettino della Società Entomologica Italiana* 9: 67–83.
- ———1896. Clef analytique des genres de la famille des formicides. Annales de la Société Entomologique de Belgique 40: 172–189.

- ——1908b. Beiträge zur Monographie der Formiciden des paläarktischen Faunengebietes. 3. Die mit Aphaenogaster verwandte Gattungengruppe. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1908: 305–338.
- ——1908c. Beiträge zur Monographie der Formiciden des paläarktischen Faunengebietes. 3. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1908: 437–465.
- ———1908d. Beiträge zur Monographie der Formiciden des paläarktischen Faunengebietes. 4. Parasitische und Gast- Myrmicinen mit Ausnahme von Strongylognathus. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1908: 549–558.
- ——1908e. Beiträge zur Monographie der Formiciden des paläarktischen Faunengebietes. 5. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1908: 663–686.
- ------1909a. Beiträge zur Monographie der Formiciden des paläarktischen Faunengebietes. 6. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1909: 19–37.

- ——1909b. Beiträge zur Monographie der Formiciden des paläarktischen Faunengebietes. 7. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift **1909:** 179–204
- ——1909c. Beiträge zur Monographie der Formiciden des paläarktischen Faunengebietes. 8. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1909: 355–376.
- ——1909d. Beiträge zur Monographie der Formiciden des paläarktischen Faunengebietes. 9. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1909: 695–712.
- ———1910a. Beiträge zur Monographie der Formiciden des paläarktischen Faunengebietes. 10. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1910: 127–132
- ———1910b. In Hymenoptera, Fam. Formicidae, subfam. Dorylinae. P. Wytsman, ed., *Genera Insectorum*, fasc. 102. Brussels. 34 pp.
- ———1911. In Hymenoptera, Fam. Formicidae, subfam. Ponerinae. P. Wytsman, ed., *Genera Insectorum*, fasc. 118. Brussels. 124 pp.
- ——1912. In Hymenoptera, Fam. Formicidae, subfam. Dolichoderinae. P. Wytsman, ed., Genera Insectorum, fasc. 137. Brussels. 50 pp.
- ——1915. Noms de sous-genres et de genres proposés pour la sous-famille des Myrmicinae. Modifications à la classification de ce groupe. Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France 1915: 189–192.
- ———1921. In Hymenoptera, Fam. Formicidae, subfam. Myrmicinae. P. Wytsman, ed., *Genera Insectorum*, fasc. 174A, 1–94. Brussels.
- ———1922a. In Hymenoptera, Fam. Formicidae, subfam. Myrmicinae. P. Wytsman, ed., *Genera Insectorum*, fasc. 174B, 95–206. Brussels.
- P. Wytsman, ed., *Genera Insectorum*, fasc. 174C, 207–397. Brussels.
- ———1925a. In Hymenoptera, Fam. Formicidae, subfam. Formicinae. P. Wytsman, ed., *Genera Insectorum*, fasc. 183. Brussels. 302 pp.
- ———1925b. Revision des espèces paléarctiques du genre *Tapinoma*. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie* **32:** 45–64.
- ——1925c. Les espèces européennes et orientales du genre Bothriomyrmex. Bulletin de la Société Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles **56**: 5–22.
- Ettershank, G. 1966. A generic revision of the world Myrmicinae related to Solenopsis and Pheidologeton. Australian Journal of Zoology 14: 73–171.
- Forel, A. 1878. Etudes myrmécologiques en 1878 (première partie) avec l'anatomie du gésier des fourmis. *Bulletin de la Société Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles* 15: 337–392.
- ——1885. Etudes myrmécologiques en 1884; avec une description des organes sensoriels des antennes. *Bulletin de la Société Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles* **20** (1884): 316-380.
- 1893. Sur la classification de la famille des formicides, avec remarques synonymiques. *Annales de la Société Entomologique de Belgique* 37: 161–167.
- ————1917. Cadre synoptique actuel de la faune universelle des fourmis. Bulletin de la Société Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles 51: 229–253.
- Fowler, H. G. 1988. Taxa of the Neotropical grass-cutting ants, Acromyrmex (Moellerius). Científica, Sao Paulo 16: 281–295.
- Francoeur, A. 1973. Révision taxonomique des espèces Néarctiques du groupe fusca, genre Formica. Mémoires de la Société Entomologique du Québec 3: 1-316.
- ——1977. Synopsis taxonomique et économique des fourmis du Québec. Annales de la Société Entomologique de Québec 22: 205–212.

- ——1979. Les fourmis du Québec. 1. Introduction. 2. La famille des Formicidae. 3. La sous-famille des Ponerinae. *Annales de la Société Entomologique de Québec* **24:** 48–64.
- Francoeur, A., R. Loiselle, and A. Buschinger. 1985. Biosystématique de la tribu Leptothoracici. 1. Le genre *Formicoxenus* dans la région holarctique. *Naturaliste Canadien* 112: 343–403.
- Gallardo, A. 1916. Las hormigas de la República Argentina. Subfamilia dolicoderinas. *Anales del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural de Buenos Aires* **28:** 1–130.
- Gauld, I. D., and B. Bolton. 1988. *The Hymenoptera*. Oxford University Press and British Museum (Natural History). 332 pp.
- Gonçalves, C. R. 1961. O gênero Acromyrmex no Brasil. Studia Entomologica (n.s.) 4: 113–180.
- Gösswald, K. 1985. Organisation und Leben der Ameisen. Stuttgart. 355 pp. ——1989. Die Waldameise. Vol. 1: Biologische Grundlagen, Ökologie und Verhalten. Wiesbaden. 660 pp.
- Gotwald, W. H., Jr. 1982. Army ants. In H. R. Hermann, ed., *Social Insects* 4: 157–254. New York. 385pp.
- Gotwald, W. H., Jr. and W. L. Brown, Jr. 1967. The ant genus *Simopelta*. *Psyche* **73** (1966): 261–276.
- Gregg, R. E. 1959. Key to the species of *Pheidole* in the United States. *Journal of the New York Entomological Society* **66** (1958): 7–48.
- ----1963. The Ants of Colorado. Boulder. 792 pp.
- Handlirsch, A. 1906. Die Fossilen Insekten und die Phylogonie der Rezenten Formen. Ein Handbuch für Paläontologen und Zoologen. **4:** 481–640. Leipzig.
- ——1907. Die Fossilen Insekten und die Phylogenie der Rezenten Formen. Ein Handbuch für Paläontologen und Zoologen. **6:** 801–960. Leipzig.
- Hashmi, A. A. 1973. A revision of the Neotropical ant subgenus *Myrmothrix* of genus *Camponotus*. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **16:** 1–140.
- Heer, O. 1865. Die Urwelt der Schweiz. Zürich. 622 pp.
- Hermann, H. R., ed. 1979–1982. *Social Insects*. 4 volumes. Vol. 1 (1979): 437 pp.; vol. 2 (1981): 491 pp.; vol. 3 (1982): 468 pp.; vol. 4 (1982): 398 pp. New York.
- ——ed. 1984. *Defensive Mechanisms in Social Insects*. New York. 259 pp. Hölldobler, B., and E. O. Wilson. 1990. *The Ants*. Cambridge, Mass. 732 pp.
- Hung, A. C. F. 1967. A revision of the ant genus *Polyrhachis* at the subgeneric level. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* **93**: 395–422.
- ———1970. A revision of ants of the subgenus *Polyrhachis* Fr. Smith. *Oriental Insects* **4:** 1–36.
- Johnson, C. 1988. Species identification in the eastern *Crematogaster. Journal of Entomological Science* **23:** 314–332.
- ———1989a. Identification and nesting sites of North American species of *Dolichoderus* Lund. *Insecta Mundi* 3: 1–9.
- ———1989b. Taxonomy and diagnosis of *Conomyrma insana* (Buckley) and *C. flava* (McCook). *Insecta Mundi* **3:** 179–194.
- Jolivet, P. 1986. Les fourmis et les plantes. Un example de coévolution. Paris. 254 pp.
- Keilbach, R. 1982. Bibliographie und Liste der Arten tierischer Einschlüsse in fossilen Harzen sowie ihrer Aufbewahrungsorte. *Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift* (N.F.) **29:** 129–286 (Formicidae, 272–281).

207

- Kempf, W. W. 1951. A taxonomic study on the ant tribe Cephalotini. *Revista de Entomologia* **22:** 1–244.
- ———1952. A synopsis of the *pinelii*-complex in the genus *Paracryptocerus*. *Studia Entomologica* 1: 1–30.
- ————1958a. New studies of the ant tribe Cephalotini. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **1:** 1–176.
- 1958b. Estudos sôbre *Pseudomyrmex*. 2. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) 1: 433–462.
- ———1959a. A revision of the Neotropical ant genus *Monacis* Roger. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **2**: 225–270.
- ———1959b. A synopsis of the New World species belonging to the *Nesomyrmex*-group of the ant genus *Leptothorax* Mayr. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **2:** 391–432.
- ———1959c. Two new species of *Gymnomyrmex* Borgmeier, 1954, from southern Brazil, with remarks on the genus. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* **19:** 337–344.
- ———1960a. Estudo sôbre *Pseudomyrmex*. 1. *Revista Brasileira de Entomolo- gia* **9:** 5–32.
- ———1960b. *Phalacromyrmex*, a new ant genus from southern Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* **20**: 89–92.
- ———1960c. A review of the ant genus *Mycetarotes* Emery. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* **20:** 277–283.
- ———1960d. Miscellaneous studies on Neotropical ants. *Studia Ento-mologica* (n.s.) **3:** 417–466.
- ———1961a. Estudos sôbre *Pseudomyrmex*. 3. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **4:** 369–408.
- ———1961b. As formigas do gênero *Pachycondyla* Fr. Smith no Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Entomologia **10**: 189–204.
- ——1962a. Retoques à classificação das formigas neotropicas do gênero Heteroponera. Papeís Avulsos do Departamento de Zoologia 15: 29-47.
- ———1962b. Miscellaneous studies on Neotropical ants. 2. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **5:** 1–38.
- ———1963a. Additions to the Neotropical ant genus *Rogeria* Emery, with a key to the hitherto recorded South American species. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* **23**: 189–196.
- ———1963b. A review of the ant genus *Mycocepurus* Forel, 1893. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **6:** 417–432.
- ——1964a. A propósito de um estudo sôbre as formigas do gênero Acanthostichus Mayr. Papéis Avulsos do Departamento de Zoologia 16: 263– 266.
- ———1964b. A revision of the Neotropical lungus-growing ants of the genus *Cyphomyrmex Mayr. Part 1. Group of strigatus Mayr. Studia Ento-mologica* (n.s.) **7:** 1–44.
- ———1964c. Miscellaneous studies on Neotropical ants. 3. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **7:** 45–71.
- ———1964d. The ants of the genus *Anochetus* (*Stenomyrmex*) in Brazil. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **7**: 237–246.
- ———1966. A revision of the Neotropical fungus-growing ants of the genus *Cyphomyrmex* Mayr. Part 2. Group of *rimosus* (Spinola). *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **8** (1965): 161–200.
- ———1967a. New ants from southeastern and central Brazil. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **9** (1966): 121–128.
- ———1967b. A synopsis of the Neotropical ants of the genus *Centromyrmex Mayr. Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **9** (1966): 401–410.
- ———1969. Miscellaneous studies on Neotropical ants. 5. Studia Entomologica (n.s.) 12: 273–296.

- ——1971. A preliminary review of the ponerine ant genus Dinoponera Roger. Studia Entomologica (n.s.) 14: 369–394.
- ———1972a. Catálogo abreviado das formigas da Regiao Neotropical. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **15:** 3–344.
- ———1972b. A new species of the dolichoderine ant genus *Monacis* Roger, from the Amazon, with further remarks on the genus. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* **32**: 251–254.
- 1973a. A revision of the Neotropical myrmicine ant genus *Hylomyrma* Forel. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **16:** 225- 260.
- ————1973b. A new *Zacryptocerus* from Brazil, with remarks on the generic classification of the tribe Cephalotini. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **16:** 449–462.
- ———1974. A review of the Neotropical ant genus *Oxyepoecus* Santschi. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **17:** 471–512.
- ———1975. A revision of the Neotropical ponerine ant genus *Thaumatomyrmex Mayr. Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **18:** 95–126.
- ———1976. A new species of *Strumigenys* from the lower Amazon, Brazil. *Studia Entomologica* (n.s.) **19:** 39–44.
- Kipyatkov, V. E. 1991. Mir Obshchestvennykh Nasekomykh. Leningrad. 406 pp.
- Kohout, R. J. 1987. Three new *Polyrhachis sexspinosa*-group species from the Philippines. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* **25:** 169–176.
- ———1988. A new species of *Polyrhachis (Polyrhachis)* from Papua New Guinea with a review of the New Guinean and Australian species. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* **25:** 417–427.
- ———1989. The Australian ants of the *Polyrhachis relucens* species-group. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* **27:** 509–516.
- ———1990. A review of the *Polyrhachis viehmeyeri* species-group. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* **28:** 499–508.
- Kugler, C. In preparation. Revision of the ant genus *Rogeria*, with descriptions of the sting apparatus.
- Kugler, C. and W. L. Brown, Jr. 1982. Revisionary and other studies on the ant genus *Ectatomma*, including the descriptions of two new species. *Search Agriculture* **24**: 1–7.
- Kugler, J. 1984. The males of *Cardiocondyla* Emery, with a description of the winged male of *Cardiocondyla wroughtonii* (Forel). *Israel Journal of Entomology* 17 (1983): 1–21.
- ———1987. The Leptanillinae of Israel and a description of a new species from India. *Israel Journal of Entomology* **20** (1986): 45–57.
- Kupyanskaya, A. N. 1980. Murav'i roda Formica Linnaeus Sovetskogo dal'nego Vostoka. In P. A. Ler, ed., Taksonomiya Nasekomykh dal'nego Vostoka, 95–108. Vladivostok.
- ———1986. Murav'i gruppy *Myrmica lobicornis* na dal'nem Vostoke. In P. A. Ler and A. N. Kupyanskaya, eds., *Sistematika i Ekologiya Nasekomykh dal'nego Vostoka*, 83–90. Vladivostok.
- ———1988. Dal'nevostochnyi predstavitel' roda *Liometopum. Vestnyk Zoologii* **1988** (no. 1): 29–34.
- ———1989. Murav'i podroda *Dendrolasius* Ruzsky, 1912 dal'nego Vostoka SSR. *Entomologicheskoe Obozrenie* **68:** 779–789.
- ———1990. Murav'i Dal'nego Vostoka SSR (1989). Vladivostok. 258 pp.
- Kusnezov, N. 1951. El genero *Pogonomyrmex Mayr. Acta Zoologica Lilloana* 11: 227-333.
- ———1952a. El género *Pheidole* en la Argentina. *Acta Zoologica Lilloana* **12** (1951): 2–88.
- ———1952b. El género *Camponotus* en la Argentina. *Acta Zoologica Lilloana* 12 (1951): 183–252.
- ———1962. El género Acanthostichus Mayr. Acta Zoologica Lilloana 18: 121-138.

- Kutter, H. 1973a. Zur Taxonomie der Gattung Chalepoxenus. Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft 46: 269–280.
- ——1973b. Beitrag zur Lösung Taxonomischer Probleme in der Gattung Epimyrma. Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft 46: 281–289.
- ——1977. Insecta Helvetica Fauna. Vol. **6:** Hymenoptera, Formicidae. Zürich. 298 pp.
- Lattke, J. E. 1987. Notes on the ant genus *Hypoclinea Mayr*, with descriptions of three new species. *Revista de Biología Tropical* **34** (1986): 259–265.
- ———1990a. A new genus of myrmicine ants from Venezuela. *Entomologica Scandinavica* **21**: 173–178.
- ———1990b. Revisión del género *Gnamptogenys* Roger en Venezuela. *Acta Terramaris* **2:** 1–47.
- ———1991. Studies of Neotropical Amblyopone Erichson. Contributions in Science 428: 1–7.
- ———1992. Revision of the *minuta*-group of the genus *Gnamptogenys*. *Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift* **39**: 123- 129.
- Longino, J. T. 1988. Notes on the taxonomy of the Neotropical ant genus *Thaumatomyrmex* Mayr. In J. C. Trager, ed., *Advances in Myrmecology*, New York. 551 pp.
- ——1989. Taxonomy of the *Cecropia*-inhabiting ants in the *Azteca alfari* species group: evidence for two broadly sympatric species. *Contributions in Science* 412: 1–16.
- ———1991. Taxonomy of the *Cecropia*-inhabiting *Azteca* ants. *Journal of Natural History* **25**: 1571–1602.
- Lutz, H. 1986. Eine neue Unterfamilie der Formicidae aus dem mitteleozänen Ölschiefer der "Grube Messel" bei Darmstadt (Deutschland, S-Hessen). Senckenbergiana Lethaea 67: 177- 218.
- ——1990. Systematische und palökologische Untersuchungen an Insekten aus dem Mittel-Eozän der Grube Messel bei Darmstadt. Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg 124: 1- 164.
- MacKay, W. P. 1993. A review of the New World ants of the genus *Dolichoderus*. *Sociobiology* **22**: 1–148.
- MacKay, W. P., E. E. MacKay, J. F. P. Dominguez, L. I. V. Sanchez, and P. V. Orozco. 1985. Las hormigas del Estado de Chihuahua, Mexico: el género *Pogonomyrmex*. *Sociobiology* 1: 39–54.
- Mann, W. M. 1916. The Stanford expedition to Brazil, 1911. John C. Branner, director. The ants of Brazil. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College* **60**: 399–490.
- ———1919. The ants of the British Solomon Islands. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 63: 273–391.
- ——1921. The ants of the Fiji Islands. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College **64**: 401- 499.
- McAreavey, J. J. 1947. New species of the genera *Prolasius* Forel and *Melophorus* Lubbock. *Memoirs of the National Museum of Victoria* 15: 7–27.
- ———1957. Revision of the genus Stigmacros Forel. Memoirs of the National Museum of Victoria 21: 7–64.
- Menozzi, C. 1924. Alcune nuove formiche africane. Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Genova 51: 220–227.
- ———1929. Revisione delle formiche del genere *Mystrium* Roger. *Zoolo- gischer Anzeiger* **82:** 518–536.
- ———1931. Revisione del genere *Epimyrma* Em. e descrizione di una specie inedita di questo genere. *Memorie della Società Entomologica Italiana* 10: 36–53.

- ——1939. Formiche dell'Himalaya e del Karakorum raccolte dalla Spedizione Italiana comandata da S.A.R. il Duca di Spoleto (1929). *Atti della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali* **78:** 285–345.
- Moffett, M. W. 1985. Revision of the genus Myrmoteras. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology 151: 1-53.
- ——1986. Revision of the myrmicine genus Acanthomyrmex. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology 151: 55–89.
- Morisita, M., M. Kubota, K. Onoyama, K. Ogata, M. Terayama, M. Kondoh, and H. T. Imai. 1989. A guide for the identification of Japanese ants. 1. Ponerinae, Cerapachyinae, Pseudomyrmecinae, Dorylinae and Leptanillinae. *Myrmecological Society of Japan*: 42 pp. [In Japanese.]
- Morisita, M., M. Kubota, K. Onoyama, K. Ogata, M. Terayama, K. Yamauchi, R. Sonobe, M. Kondoh, and H. T. Imai. 1991. A guide for the identification of Japanese ants. 2. Dolichoderinae and Formicinae. *Myrmecological Society of Japan:* 56 pp. [In Japanese.]
- Morisita, M., M. Kubota, K. Onoyama, K. Ogata, M. Terayama, K. Yamauchi, R. Sonobe, S. Yamane, M. Kondoh, and H. T. Imai. 1992. A guide for the identification of Japanese ants. 3. Myrmicinae and supplement to Leptanillinae. *Myrmecological Society of Japane*: 94 pp. [In Japanese.]
- Najt, J. 1987. Le collembole fossile *Paleosminthurus juliae* est un hyménoptère. *Revue Française d'Entomologie* (n.s.) **9:** 152-154.
- Naves, M. A. 1985. A monograph of the genus *Pheidole* in Florida, USA. *Insecta Mundi* 1: 53–90.
- Nilsson, O. and P. Douwes, 1987. Norrländska myror med bestämningstabell till arbetarna. *Natur i Norr* **6:** 49–90.
- Ogata, K. 1982. Taxonomic study of the ant genus *Pheidole* Westwood of Japan, with a description of a new species. *Kontyû* **50:** 189–197.
- 1983. The ant genus *Cerapachys* F. Smith of Japan, with description of a new species. *Esakia* **20**: 131–137.
- ——1987. A generic synopsis of the poneroid complex of the family Formicidae in Japan. Part 1. Subfamilies Ponerinae and Cerapachyinae. *Esakia* **25**: 97–132.
- ——1991a. Ants of the genus *Myrmecia* Fabricius: a review of the species groups and their phylogenetic relationships. *Systematic Entomology* **16**: 353–381.
- 1991b. A generic synopsis of the poneroid complex of the family Formicidae in Japan. Part 2. Subfamily Myrmicinae. *Bulletin of the Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Kyushu University* **14:** 61–149.
- Ogata, K., and R. W. Taylor. 1991. Ants of the genus *Myrmecia* Fabricius: a preliminary review and key to the named species. *Journal of Natural History* **25**: 1623–1673.
- Onoyama, K. 1980. An introduction to the ant fauna of Japan, with a check list. *Kontyû* **48:** 193–212.
- ———1989. Notes on the ants of the genus *Hypoponera* in Japan. *Edaphologia* **41:** 1–10.
- Passera, L. 1984. L'Organisation Sociale des Fourmis. Toulouse. 360 pp. Toulouse.
- Perrault, G. H. 1986. *Gymnomyrmex villiersi*, nouvelle espèce de Guyane. *Revue Française d'Entomologie* (n.s.) **8:** 1–4.
- Petersen, B. 1968. Some novelties in presumed males of Leptanillinae. Entomologiske Meddelelser 36: 577–598.

209

- Pierce, W. D. and J. Gibron, Sr. 1962. Fossil arthropods of California. 24. Some unusual fossil arthropods from the Calico Mountains nodules. *Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences* **61:** 143–151.
- Prins, A. J. 1982. Review of *Anoplolepis* with reference to male genitalia, and notes on *Acropyga*. *Annals of the South African Museum* **89:** 215–247.
- ———1983. A new ant genus from southern Africa. Annals of the South African Museum **94:** 1–11.
- Radchenko, A. G. 1985. Murav'i roda *Strongylognathus* evropeiskoi chasti SSR. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* **64:** 1514–1523.
- 1989a. Murav'i roda *Chalepoxenus* fauny SSSR. *Vestnik Zoologii* 1989 (no. 2): 37–41.
- ———1989b. Murav'i roda *Plagiolepis* evropeiskoi chasti SSR. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* **68:** 153–156.
- ———1991. Murav'i roda Strongylognathus fauny SSSR. Zoologicheskii Zhurnal 70: 84-90.
- Radchenko, A. G. 1992. Murav'i roda *Tetramorium* fauny S.S.S.R. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* **71** (8): 39–49.
- Radchenko, A. G., and G. R. Arakelian. 1990. Murav'i gruppy *Tetramorium ferox* Ruzky iz Kryma i Kavkaza. *Biologicheskii Zhurnal Armenii* **43:** 371–378.
- Robertson, H. G. 1990. Unravelling the *Camponotus fulvopilosus* species complex. In G. K. Veeresh, B. Mallik, and C. A. Viraktamath, eds., *Social Insects and the Environment*, 327–328. *Proceedings of the 11th International Congress of IUSSI*, 1990. New Delhi.
- Santschi, F. 1922. Myrmicines, dolichodérines et autres formicides néotropiques. *Bulletin de la Société Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles* **54:** 345–378.
- ———1923. Revue des fourmis du genre Brachymymex Mayr. Anales del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural de Buenos Aires 31: 650–678.
- 1924. Descriptions de nouveaux formicides africains et notes diverses. 2. Revue Zoologique Africaine 12: 195–224.
- ——1925. Révision des Myrmicaria d'Afrique. Annales de la Société Entomologique de Belgique **64** (1924): 133–176.
- ——1929a. Etude sur les Cataglyphis. Revue Suisse de Zoologie **36**: 25–70.
- ——1929b. Fourmis du Maroc, d'Algérie et de Tunisie. Annales et Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de Belgique 69: 138–165.
- Schembri, S. P., and C. A. Collingwood. 1981. A revision of the myrme-cofauna of the Maltese Islands. *Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Genova* 83: 417–442.
- Schneirla, T. C. 1971. *Army Ants. A Study in Social Organisation*. San Francisco. 349 pp.
- Seifert, B. 1988a. A revision of the European species of the ant subgenus *Chthonolasius. Entomologische Abhandlungen. Staatliches Museum für Tierkunde Dresden* **51:** 143–180.
- ———1988b. A taxonomic revision of the *Myrmica* species of Europe, Asia Minor, and Caucasus. *Abhandlungen und Berichte des Naturkundemuseums Görlitz* **62:** 1–75.
- ————1990. Supplementation to the revision of the European species of the ant subgenus *Chthonolasius*. *Doriana*. *Supplemento agli Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale "G. Doria"* **6:** 1–13.
- ———1992. A taxonomic revision of the Palaearctic members of the ant subgenus Lasius s. str. Abhandlungen und Berichte des Naturkundemuseums Görlitz 66: 1–67.
- Shattuck, S. O. 1987. An analysis of geographic variation in the *Pogonomyrmex occidentalis* complex. *Psyche* **94:** 159–180.

- ———1990. Revision of the dolichoderine ant genus *Turneria*. *Systematic Entomology* **15:** 101–117.
- ———1991. Revision of the dolichoderine ant genus *Axinidris*. *Systematic Entomology* **16**: 105–120.
- ———1992b. Higher classification of the ant subfamilies Aneuretinae, Dolichoderinae and Formicinae. *Sysytematic Entomology* **17:** 199–206.
- ———1993. Taxonomic catalog of the ant subfamilies Aneuretinae and Dolichoderinae. [Currently in press.]
- Smith, D. R. 1979. Formicoidea. In K. V. Krombein, P. D. Hurd, Jr., D. R. Smith, and B. D. Burks, eds., Catalog of Hymenoptera in America North of Mexico. Vol. 2: Apocrita (Aculeata), 1323–1467. [Apocrita, 1199–2209.] Washington, D.C.
- Smith, M. R. 1951. Formicidae. In C. F. W. Muesebeck, K. V. Krombein, and H. K. Townes, eds., Hymenoptera of America North of Mexico. Synoptic Catalog, United States Department of Agriculture. Agriculture Monograph 2: 778–875.
- ———1952. The correct name for the group of ants formerly known as *Pseudomyrma. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* **54:** 97–98.
- ———1953. A revision of the genus Romblonella W.M. Wheeler. Proceedings of the Hawaiian Entomological Society 15: 75-80.
- ————1956a. A key to the workers of *Veromessor* Forel of the United States and the description of a new subspecies. *Pan-Pacific Entomologist* **32:** 36–38.
- ———1956b. A list of the species of *Romblonella* including two generic transfers. *Bulletin of the Brooklyn Entomological Society* **51:** 18.
- ———1957. Revision of the genus *Stenamma* Westwood in America north of Mexico. *American Midland Naturalist* **57:** 133–174.
- ——1958. Formicidae. In K. V. Krombein, ed., Hymenoptera of America North of Mexico. Synoptic Catalog. First Supplement, United States Department of Agriculture. Agriculture Monograph 2 (Supplement 1): 108–162.
- ———1961. A study of New Guinea ants of the genus *Aphaenogaster* Mayr. *Acta Hymenopterologica* 1: 213–237.
- ———1962. A remarkable new *Stenamma* from Costa Rica, with pertinent facts on other Mexican and Central American species. *Journal of the New York Entomological Society* **70:** 33–38.
- Snelling, R. R. 1967. Studies on California ants. 3. The taxonomic status of *Proceratium californicum* Cook. *Contributions in Science* **124:** 1–10.
- ———1973. Studies on California ants. 7. The genus *Stenamma. Contributions in Science* **245:** 1–38.
- -----1975. Descriptions of new Chilean ant taxa. *Contributions in Science* **274:** 1–19.
- ——1976. A revision of the honey ants, genus Myrmecocystus. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Science Bulletin 24: 1–163.
- ———1979. *Aphomomyrmex* and a related new genus of arboreal African ants. *Contributions in Science* **316**: 3–8.
- ———1981. Systematics of social Hymenoptera. In H. R. Hermann, ed., *Social Insects* **2:** 369–453. New York. 491 pp.
- ——1982a. The taxonomy and distribution of some North American *Pogonomyrmex* and descriptions of two new species. *Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences* **80** (1981): 97–112.

- ——1982b. A revision of the honey ants, genus *Myrmecocystus*, first supplement. *Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences* **81**: 69–86.
- Snelling, R. R., and W. R. Buren. 1985. Description of a new species of slave-making ant in the *Formica sanguinea* group. *Great Lakes Entomologist* 18: 69–78.
- Snelling, R. R., and J. H. Hunt, 1976. The ants of Chile. Revista Chilena de Entomologia 9 (1975): 63–129.
- Snelling, R. R., and J. T. Longino. 1992. Revisionary notes on the fungus-growing ants of the genus *Cyphomyrmex*, *rimosus-*group. In D. Quintero and A. Aiello, eds., *Insects of Panama and Mesoamerica: Selected Studies*, 479–494. Oxford. 692 pp.
- Spahr, U. 1987. Ergänzungen und Berichtigungen zu R. Keilbach's Bibliographie und Liste der Bernsteinfossilien—Ordnung Hymenoptera. *Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkende*, ser. B (Geologie und Paläontologie) **127:** 1–121 (Formicidae, 41–64).
- Sudd, J. H., and N. R. Franks. 1987. *The Behavioural Ecology of Ants.* Glasgow and London. 206 pp.
- Tarbinsky, Y. S. 1976. Murav'i Kirgizii. Frunze. 217 pp.
- Taylor, R. W. 1965a. The Australian ants of the genus *Pristomyrmex*, with a case of apparent character displacement. *Psyche* **72**: 35–54.
- ———1965b. A monographic revision of the rare tropicopolitan ant genus *Probolomyrmex Mayr. Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London* 117: 345–365.
- ———1967. A monographic revision of the ant genus *Ponera* Latreille. *Pacific Insects Monograph* **13:** 1–112.
- ———1968a. Notes on the Indo-Australian basicerotine ants. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **16:** 333–348.
- ———1968c. A new Malayan species of the ant genus *Epitritus*, and a related new genus from Singapore. *Journal of the Australian Entomological Society* **7**: 130–134.
- ——1970. Characterization of the Australian endemic ant genus *Pero-nomyrmex* Viehmeyer. *Journal of the Australian Entomological Society* **9:** 209–211.
- -----1973. Ants of the Australian genus Mesostruma Brown. Journal of the Australian Entomological Society 12: 24–38.
- ———1977. New ants of the Australasian genus *Orectognathus*, with a key to the known species. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **25:** 581–612.
- ———1978a. *Nothomyrmecia macrops:* a living-fossil ant rediscovered. *Science* **201:** 979–985.
- ———1978b. A taxonomic guide to the ant genus *Orectognathus*. *CSIRO Division of Entomology*, report no. **3:** 1–11 (plus microfiche).
- ———1979. Melanesian ants of the genus *Amblyopone*. Australian Journal of Zoology **26** (1978): 823–839.
- ———1980a. New Australian ants of the genus *Orectognathus*, with summary description of the twenty-nine known species. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **27** (1979): 773- 788.
- ———1980b. Australian and Melanesian ants of the genus *Eurho-* palothrix Brown and Kempf—notes and new species. *Journal of the Australian Entomological Society* **19:** 229–239.
- ——1980c. Notes on the Russian endemic ant genus *Aulacopone* Arnoldi. *Psyche* **86** (1979): 353–361.

- ———1985. The ants of the Papuasian genus *Dacetinops*. In G. E. Ball, ed., *Taxonomy, Phylogeny and Zoogeography of Beetles and Ants*, 41–67. Dordrecht.
- ———1987a. A checklist of the ants of Australia, New Caledonia and New Zealand. *CSIRO Division of Entomology*, Report no. **41:** 1–92.
- ————1987b. A checklist of the ants of Australia, New Caledonia and New Zealand. (Supplement.) *CSIRO Division of Entomology*, Report no. **41** (supplement): 1–5.
- ——1989. Australian ants of the genus Leptothorax Mayr. Memoirs of the Oueensland Museum 27: 605–610.
- ———1990. New Asian ants of the tribe Basicerotini, with an on-line computer interactive key to the twenty-six known Indo-Australian species. *Invertebrate Taxonomy* **4:** 397–425.
- ———1991. Notes on the ant genera *Romblonella* and *Willowsiella*, with comments on their affinities, and the first description of Australian species. *Psyche* **97** (1990): 281–296.
- Taylor, R. W., and D. R. Brown. 1985. Zoological Catalogue of Australia. Vol.2: Hymenoptera: Formicoidea, Vespoidea and Sphecoidea. Canberra. 381 pp.
- Terayama, M. 1989. The ant tribe Amblyoponini of Taiwan, with description of a new species. *Japanese Journal of Entomology* **57:** 343–346.
- Terayama, M., and S. Kubota. 1989. The ant tribe Dacetini of Taiwan, with descriptions of three new species. *Japanese Journal of Entomology* **57:** 778–792.
- Terayama, M., and S. Yamane. 1989. The army ant genus *Aenictus* from Sumatra, with descriptions of three new species. *Japanese Journal of Entomology* **57:** 597–603.
- Terron, G. 1974. Découverte au Cameroun de deux espèces nouvelles du genre *Prionopelta Mayr. Annales de la Faculté des Sciences du Cameroun* 17: 105–119.
- ———1981. Deux nouvelles espèces éthiopiennes pour le genre *Proceratium. Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Yaoundé* **28:** 95–103.
- Thompson, C. R., and C. Johnson. 1989. Rediscovered species and revised key to the Florida thief ants. *Florida Entomologist* **72**: 697–698.
- Tinaut, A. 1990. El género *Amblyopone* Erichson en la Península Ibérica. *Miscellania Zoologica* **12** (1988): 189–193.
- Tohmé, G., and H. Tohmé. 1981. Les fourmis du genre *Messor* en Syrie. Position systématique. Description de quelques ailés et de formes nouvelles. Répartition géographique. *Ecologia Mediterranea* 7: 139–153.
- Trager, J. C. 1984. A revision of the genus *Paratrechina* of the continental United States. *Sociobiology* **9:** 51–162.
- ————1988. A revision of *Conomyrma* from the southeastern United States, especially Florida, with keys to the species. *Florida Entomologist* **71:** 11–29.
- ———1991. A revision of the fire ants, Solenopsis geminata group. Journal of the New York Entomological Society **99:** 141–198.
- Wang, C., and J. Wu. 1991. Taxonomic studies on the genus *Polyrhachis* of China. *Forest Research* **4:** 596–601.
- Wang, M., G. Xiao, and J. Wu. 1988. Taxonomic studies on the genus *Tetramorium Mayr* in China. *Forest Research* 1: 264–274.
- ———1989a. Taxonomic studies on the genus *Camponotus* in China. *Forest Research* **2:** 221–228.
- ——1989b. Taxonomic studies on the genus *Camponotus* in China (conclusion). *Forest Research* **2:** 321- 328.
- Ward, P. S. 1980. A systematic revision of the *Rhytidoponera impressa* group in Australia and New Guinea. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **28**: 475–498.

211

- --- 1984. A revision of the ant genus Rhytidoponera in New Caledonia. Australian Journal of Zoology 32: 131-175.
- -1985. The Nearctic species of the genus Pseudomyrmex. Quaestiones Entomologicae 21: 209-246.
- -1988. Mesic elements in the western Nearctic ant fauna: taxonomic and biological notes on Amblyopone, Proceratium and Smithistruma. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 61: 102-124.
- -1989. Systematic studies on pseudomyrmecine ants: revision of the Pseudomyrmex oculatus and P. subtilissimus species groups, with taxonomic comments on other species. Quaestiones Entomologicae 25: 393-468.
- -1990. The ant subfamily Pseudomyrmecinae: generic revision and relationship to other formicids. Systematic Entomology 15: 449-489.
- Watkins, J. F., Il. 1972. The taxonomy of Neivamyrmex texanus n. sp., N. nigrescens and N. californicus, with distribution map and keys to the species of Neivamyrmex of the United States. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 45: 347-372.
- -1976. The Identification and Distribution of New World Army Ants. Waco, Texas. 102 pp.
- -1977. The species and subspecies of Nomamyrmex. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 50: 203-214.
- -1982. The army ants of Mexico. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 55: 197-247.
- Weber, N. A. 1944. The Neotropical coccid-tending ants of the genus Acropyga Roger. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 37: 89–122.
- -1947. A revision of the North American ants of the genus Myrmica Latreille with a synopsis of the Palaearctic species. 1. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 40: 437–474.
- -1948. A revision of the North American ants of the genus Myrmica Latreille, with a synopsis of the Palearctic species. 2. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 41: 267-308.
- -1950a. The African species of the genus Oligomyrmex Mayr. American Museum Novitates 1442: 1-19.
- –1950b. A revision of the North American ants of the genus Myrmica Latreille with a synopsis of the Palearctic species. 3. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 43: 189-226.
- –1950c. New Trinidad Myrmicinae, with a note on Basiceros Schulz. American Museum Novitates 1465: 1-6.
- -1952. Studies on African Myrmicinae, 1. American Museum Novitates 1548: 1-32.
- Wheeler, G. C., and J. Wheeler. 1985. A simplified conspectus of the Formicidae. Transactions of the American Entomological Society 111: 255-264.
- -1986. The Ants of Nevada. Lawrence, Kansas. 138 pp.
- Wheeler, J. 1968. Male genitalia and the taxonomy of Polyergus. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 70: 156-164.
- Wheeler, W. M. 1902. An American Cerapachys, with remarks on the affinities of the Cerapachyinae. Biological Bulletin 3: 181-191.
- -1918. The ants of the genus Opisthopsis Emery. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 62: 341-362.
- -1919. The ants of the genus Metapone Forel. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 12: 173-191.
- -1920. The subfamilies of Formicidae, and other taxonomic notes. Psyche 27: 46-54.
- -1922. The ants of the Belgian Congo. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 45: 1-1139.
- -1924. Ants of Krakatau and other islands in the Sunda Straight. Treubia 5: 239-258.

- -1933. Three obscure genera of ponerine ants. American Museum Novitates 672: 1-23.
- -1934a. A second revision of the ants of the genus Leptomyrmex Mayr. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 77: 69-118.
- -1934b. Neotropical ants collected by Dr. Elisabeth Skwarra and others. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 77: 159-240.
- -1935. The Australian ant genus Mayriella. Psyche 42: 151-160.
- Willey, R. B., and W. L. Brown, Jr. 1983. New species of the ant genus Myopias. Psyche 90: 249-285.
- Wilson, E. O. 1955. A monographic revision of the ant genus Lasius. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 113:
- -1957. The tenuis and selenophora groups of the ant genus Ponera. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 116: 355-386.
- -1958a. Studies on the ant fauna of Melanesia. 1. The tribe Leptogenyini. 2. The tribes Amblyoponini and Platythyreini. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 118: 101-153.
- -1958b. Studies on the ant fauna of Melanesia. 3. Rhytidoponera in western Melanesia and the Moluccas. 4. The tribe Ponerini. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 119: 303-371.
- -1959a. Studies on the ant fauna of Melanesia. 5. The tribe Odontomachini. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 120: 483-510.
- -1959b. Studies on the ant fauna of Melanesia. 6. The tribe Cerapachyini. Pacific Insects 1: 39-57.
- -1964. The true army ants of the Indo-Australian area. Pacific Insects **6:** 427-483.
- —1971. The Insect Societies. Cambridge, Mass. 548 pp.
- -1987. The earliest known ants: an analysis of the Cretaceous species and an inference concerning their social organisation. Paleobiology 13: 44-53.
- -1989. Chimaeridris, a new genus of hook-mandibled myrmicine ants from tropical Asia. Insectes Sociaux 36: 62-69.
- Wilson, E. O., and W. L. Brown, Jr. 1955. Revisionary notes on the sanguinea and neogagates groups of the ant genus Formica. Psyche 62: 108-129.
- -1956. New parasitic ants of the genus Kyidris, with notes on ecology and behavior. Insectes Sociaux 3: 439-454.
- Wilson, E. O., T. Eisner, G. C. Wheeler, and J. Wheeler. 1956. Aneuretus simoni Emery, a major link in ant evolution. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 115: 81-99.
- Wilson, E. O., and R. W. Taylor. 1967. The ants of Polynesia. Pacific Insects Monograph 14: 1-109.
- Wing, W. M. 1968. Taxonomic revision of the Nearctic genus Acanthomyops. Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station Memoir 405: 1 - 173
- Wu, J. 1990. Taxonomic studies on the genus Formica of China. Forest *Research* **3**: 1–8.
- Wu, J., and C. Wang. 1990. A taxonomic study on the genus Tetraponera Smith in China. Scientia Silvae Sinicae 26: 515-518.
- Yamauchi, K. 1979. Taxonomical and ecological studies on the ant genus Lasius in Japan. 1. Taxonomy. Science Reports of the Faculty of Education, Gifu University (Nat. Sci.) 6: 147-181.

- Yamauchi, K., and K. Hayashida. 1968. Taxonomic studies on the genus *Lasius* in Hokkaido, with ethological and ecological notes. 1. The subgenus *Dendrolasius* or jet black ants. *Journal of the Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University*, ser. 6, Zoology **16:** 396–412.
- 1970. Taxonomic studies on the genus *Lasius* in Hokkaido, with ethological and ecological notes. 2. The subgenus *Lasius*. *Journal of the Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University*, ser. 6, Zoology 17: 501–519.
- Yasumatsu, K., and W. L. Brown, Jr. 1951. Revisional notes on *Camponotus herculeanus* Linné and close relatives in the Palaearctic regions. *Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University* **10:** 29–44.
- ———1957. A second look at the ants of the Camponotus herculeanus group in eastern Asia. Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University 11: 45–51.
- Yasumatsu, K., and Y. Murakami. 1960. A revision of the genus *Stenamma* of Japan. *Esakia* 1: 27–31.

Index and Checklist

This section serves both as an index and a checklist of nominal taxa. As well as giving page references for subjects and taxonomic names, it also indicates the current status and rank of any taxon. The following convention is used.

(1) A name prefixed by * indicates an extinct taxon.

(2) A name prefixed by # indicates a taxon of subgenus rank.

(3) Currently recognized available names are printed in **bold**, with names in the genus group in **bold**

italic and those in the family group in bold roman; main entries for subfamilies are in upper case.(4) Names in synonymy, junior homonyms, and unavailable names in the genus group are in

italic; those in the family group are in roman.

(5) Misspellings of names are omitted from this index but can be found in the synoptic classifications.

Acalama 105 Acamatus (homonym) 39 Acanthidris 105 Acanthoclinea 26 Acanthognathus 99, 105, 107, 119 (Figs. 230, 231) Acantholepis (homonym) 51 Acanthomyops 49, 50, 51 Acanthomyopsini 50 Acanthomyrmex 88, 90, 105, 107, 130 (Figs. 296-299) Acanthoponera 164, 165, 168 (Figs. 436, 437) Acanthostichini 19, 20 (Figs. 7, 8), 21 (Fig. 17) Acanthostichus 19, 20 (Figs. 7, 8), 21 (Fig. 17) Acidomyrmex 106 Acrocoelia 105 Acromyrmex 96, 103, 105, 107, 110 (Figs. 178, 179) Acropyga 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 51, 65 (Figs. 150, 151) *Acrostigma 105 Acrostigma (homonym) 51 Adelomyrmex 85, 92, 100, 106, 149 (Figs. 410, 411) Adformica 50

Adlerzia 93, 106

AENICTINAE 10, 12, 13, 17 (Figs. 1, 2)

synoptic classification 12 taxonomic references 12 Aenictini 12, 17 (Figs. 1, 2) Aenictogiton 14 AENICTOGITONINAE 14 Aenictus 12, 13, 17 (Figs. 1, 2) Aeromyrma 106 Aethiopopone 19 Afrotropical (= Ethiopian) region 3 Afrotropical and Malagasy regions, keys to: —Dolichoderinae 23 -Formicinae 44 -Myrmicinae 79 -Ponerinae 155 Afroxyidris 81, 106, 107 Agraulomyrmex 44, 51 Agroecomyrmecini 105, 110 (Figs. 176, 177) *Agroecomyrmex 105 #Alaopone 35 Alfaria 164 Alistruma 105 Alloformica 43, 50, 57 (Figs. 100, 101) Alloformicinae 51 Allomerus 102, 106, 141 (Figs. 362, 363) Allopheidole 106 Amauromyrmex 106 Amblyopone 153, 155, 157, 159, 161, 162, 164, 165, 166 (Figs. 424-427), 182 (Fig. 507) Amblyoponini 164, 165, 166, 167 (Figs. 424-435) Amblyopopona 164 Amblyopopone 164 *Ameghinoia 73 Ammomyrma 26 Amyrmex 26 #Anacantholepis 51 Anacanthoponera 164 Ancylognathus 39 Ancyridris 90, 106 Andragnathus 50 Aneleus 106

diagnosis 12

distribution 12

Anergates 106, 108

Anergatides 106

Anergatidini 106

Anergatini 106 *Aneuretellus 15 **ANEURETINAE** 9, 11, 15, 17 (Figs. 3, 4) diagnosis 15 distribution 15 synoptic classification 15 taxonomic references 15 Aneuretini 15, 17 (Figs. 3, 4) Aneuretus 15, 17 (Figs. 3, 4) Anillidris 26 Anillomyrma 86, 106, 107, 141 (Figs. 366, 367) Anisopheidole 94, 106, 140 (Figs. 358, 359) Ankylomyrma 82, 105, 107, 128 (Figs. 284, 285) Anochetus 154, 155, 157, 159, 161, 162, 164, 165, 172 (Figs. 456-458) Anomalomyrma 69, 70 Anomalomyrmini 70, 72 (Figs. 168, 169) #Anomma 35 Anonychomyrma 24, 25, 26, 28 (Figs. 20, 21) Anonychomyrmini 26 Anoplolepis 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 51, 66 (Figs. 152, 153) #Anoplomyrma 50 Antichthonidris 104, 106, 107, 144 (Figs. 382, 383) Antillaemyrmex 105 Apedunculata 184 Aphaenogaster 79, 83, 91, 95, 98, 105, 106, 107, 108, 137 (Figs. 338, 339) Aphaenogastrini 106 Aphantolepis 26 Aphomomyrmex 44, 50, 51, 53 (Figs. 74, 75) Apomyrma 16, 17 (Figs. 5, 6) **APOMYRMINAE** 9, 10, 16, 17 (Figs. 5, 6) diagnosis 16 distribution 16 synoptic classification 16 taxonomic references 16 **Apomyrmini** 16, 17 (Figs. 5, 6) Aporomyrmex 51 Apsychomyrmex 106 #Apterocrema 105 Apterostigma 103, 105, 112 (Figs. 192, 193) Aratromyrmex 105 Araucomyrmex 26

Archaeomyrmicini 105

Archaeomyrmex 105	Bregmatomyrma 50	#Chariomyrma 50
*Archaeopone 187	Bregmatomyrmini 50	Chariostigmacros 51
		-
Archeatta 105	Brownidris 106	Chelaner 106
*Archimyrmex 106	Bruchomyrma 106 -	Cheliomyrmecini 39, 40 (Figs. 64, 65)
*Archiponera 164	Brunella 106	Cheliomyrmex 38, 39, 40 (Figs. 64, 65)
*Archiponerini 164	#Bryscha 50	Chelystruma 100, 105, 107
Arctomyrmex 106		Chimaeridris 88, 106, 107, 134 (Figs. 324, 325)
*Armania 187		*Chimaeromyrma 50
*Armaniella 187	Cacopone 164	Chronoxenus 26
*ARMANIINAE 187	Calomyrmex 46, 47, 50, 54 (Figs. 82, 83)	Chrysapace 19
*Armaniini 187	*Calyptites 187	#Chthonolasius 50
Arnoldidris 105		
	Calyptomyrmecini 106	Cladarogenys 79, 105, 107
Arotropus 164	Calyptomyrmex 81, 86, 92, 106, 107, 148 (Figs.	Cladomyrma 45, 50, 51, 53 (Figs. 78, 79)
Asemorhoptrum 106	404, 405)	Clarkistruma 105
Asketogenys 85, 105, 107	#Campomyrma 50	Classification, problems of 2
Asphinctopone 156, 164, 178 (Figs. 481, 482)	Camponotini 50, 54–57 (Figs. 82–99)	Clavanoda 184
Aspididris 105	*Camponotites 50	Codiomyrmex 100, 105
*Asymphylomyrmex 26	Camponotus 44, 46, 47, 48, 50, 51, 54 (Figs. 84,	Codioxenus 99, 105
#Atopodon 51	85), 68 (Fig. 165)	#Colobocrema 105
#Atopogyne 105	Campostigmacros 51	#Colobopsis 50
Atopomyrmex 81, 82, 105, 107, 126 (Figs. 272, 273)	Camptognatha 39	Colobostruma 84, 91, 105, 117 (Figs. 220, 221)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Atopula 106	Cardiocondyla 76, 79, 80, 83, 85, 87, 89, 92, 94,	Commateta 164
Atta 96, 103, 105, 107, 110 (Figs. 180, 181)	98, 101, 104, 105, 107, 123 (Figs. 258, 259)	Concoctio 155, 164, 165
*Attaichnus 105	Cardiocondylini 105	Condylodon 164
Attini 105, 110–111 (Figs. 178–193)	Cardiopheidole 106	Condylomyrma 50
Attomyrma 106	Carebara 80, 86, 101, 106, 107, 140 (Figs. 356,	Conomyrma 26
*Attopsis 106	357)	Conothoracoides 106
#Aulacomyrma 50	Carebarella 100, 106	Conothorax (homonym) 106
Aulacopone 154, 164, 165	Carebarelloides 106	Coptoformica 50
Australasian region 3	*Cariridris 73	Corynomyrmex 106
Australasian region, keys to:	*Casaleia 164	Cosmaecetes 35
—Dolichoderinae 24		
	Cataglyphis 43, 44, 46, 50, 51, 57 (Figs. 102, 103),	Crateropsis 106
Formicinae 47	67 (Figs. 160, 162)	Cratomyrmex 106
—Myrmicinae 91	Cataulacini 105, 115 (Figs. 210, 211)	Creightonidris 98, 105, 107
—Ponerinae 159	Cataulacus 81, 84, 105, 107, 115 (Figs. 210, 211)	Crematogaster 75, 79, 84, 91, 95, 99, 105, 107, 117
#Austrolasius 50	Caulomyrma 105	(Figs. 218, 219)
Axinidrini 26	#Cautolasius 50	Crematogastrini 105, 117 (Figs. 218, 219)
Axinidris 23, 26, 27, 28 (Figs. 22, 23)	Centromyrmex 156, 158, 163, 164, 165, 178 (Figs.	*Cretacoformica 187
Azteca 26, 27, 28 (Figs. 24, 25)	483, 484), 182 (Fig. 509)	*Cretomyrma 187
	Centromyricini 164	*Cretopone 187
	Cephalomorium 106	Croesomyrmex 105
*Baikuris 187	Cephalomyrma 50	Cryptocephalus 105
Bajcaridris 43, 50	*Cephalomyrma 106	Cryptoceridae 105
	•	**
Baracidris 80, 106, 107, 149 (Figs. 412, 413)	Cephalotes 101, 105, 107	Cryptocerus 105
Barbourella 164	Cephalotini 105, 108, 116 (Figs. 212-217)	Cryptopone 154, 156, 158, 160, 161, 163, 164, 165,
Bariamyrma 106, 107	Cephaloxys (homonym) 105	178 (Figs. 485, 486), 182 (Fig. 510)
Baroniurbania 51	Cepobroticus 106	*Ctenobethylus 26
Basiceros 98, 105, 107, 113 (Figs. 194, 195)	CERAPACHYINAE 8, 9, 10, 18–21, 20–21 (Figs.	Ctenopyga 19
Basicerotini 105, 108, 113-114 (Figs. 194-205)	7–19)	Cyathocephalus (homonym) 105
Belonopelta 163, 164, 165	diagnosis 18	Cyathomyrmex 105
Biconomyrma 26	distribution 19	Cylindromyrmecini 19, 20 (Figs. 9, 10)
Bisolenopsis 106	key to world genera 18	Cylindromyrmex 19, 20 (Figs. 9, 10)
Blepharidatta 100, 115 (Figs. 206, 207)	synoptic classification 19	<i>Cyphoidris</i> 82, 106, 107, 146 (Figs. 394, 395)
Blepharidattini 105, 107, 115 (Figs. 206–209)	taxonomic references 19	Cyphomannia 105
•		
Bondroitia 82, 106, 107, 142 (Figs. 368, 369)	Cerapachyini 19, 20 (Figs. 11–12), 21 (Figs.	Cyphomyrmex 96, 101, 103, 105, 107, 111 (Figs.
Borgmeierita 105	13–16, 18, 19)	184, 185)
Bothriomyrmex 23, 25, 26, 27, 29 (Figs. 26, 27)	Cerapachys 19, 20 (Figs. 11, 12)	#Cyrtomyrma 50
Bothroponera 164	Ceratobasis (homonym) 105	Cyrtostigmacros 51
Brachymyrmecini 50, 53–54 (Figs. 74–81)	Ceratopachys 19	Cysias 19
Brachymyrmex 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53	Ceratopheidole 106	
(Figs. 76, 77)	Chalcoponera 164	
Brachyponera 164	Chalepoxenus 79, 105, 107, 123 (Figs. 256, 257)	Dacatria 78, 106
*Bradoponera 164	Champsomyrmex 164	Dacetinops 87, 106, 107, 147 (Figs. 398, 399)
Bradyponera 164	Chapmanella 50	Daceton 101, 105, 118 (Figs. 228, 229)
r ·		

Dacetonini 105, 108, 117-122 (Figs. 220-249)	Dodecamyrmica 106	*Emplastus 164
Dacetum 105	Dodous 105	Endiodioctes 50
Dacryon 105	Doleromyrma 25, 26	Eneria 105
#Decacrema 105	DOLICHODERINAE 8, 11, 22–34, 28–34 (Figs.	Engramma 26
Decamera (homonym) 50	20–58)	*Enneamerus 105
Decamorium 81, 106, 107, 150 (Figs. 414, 415)	diagnosis 22	*Eocenidris 106
Decapheidole 106	distribution 27	*Eoformica 106
#Dendrolasius 50	keys to genera:	Eomonocombus 50
Dendromyrmex 50, 51, 55 (Figs. 86, 87)	—Afrotropical and Malagasy 23	*Eomyrmex 106
Deromyrma 106	—Australasian 24	*Eoponera 187
Descolemyrma 105	—Nearctic 25	*Eotapinoma 26
Diabolus (homonym) 26	—Neotropical 26	Epelysidris 89, 106, 107, 142 (Figs. 370, 371)
Diacamma 154, 158, 160, 164, 176 (Figs. 474, 476)	—Oriental and Indo-Australian 23	Ephebomyrmex 106
Diagnoses of subfamilies	Palaearctic 22	Epiatta 105
Aenictinae 12	synoptic classification 26	Epimyrma 77, 78, 105, 107, 123 (Figs. 254, 255)
Aneuretinae 15	taxonomic references 27	Epipheidole 106
Apomyrminae 16	Dolichoderini 26, 28-34 (Figs. 20-58)	Epitritus 76, 79, 84, 105, 107, 120 (Figs. 240, 241)
Cerapachyinae 18	Dolichoderus 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29 (Figs. 28, 29)	Epixenus 106
Dolichoderinae 22	*Dolichomyrma 187	Epoecus 106
Dorylinae 35	#Dolichorhachis 50	Epopostruma 91, 105, 118 (Figs. 224, 225)
Ecitoninae 38	Dolioponera 156, 164, 165	Equestrimessor 106
Formicinae 42	Donisthorpea 50	Erebomyrma 106
Leptanillinae 69	Dorisidris 105, 107	Eremnocystus 50
Leptanilloidinae 71	Doronomyrmex 105	Ericapelta 164
Myrmeciinae 73	Dorothea 105	Ericapeltini 164
Myrmicinae 75	DORYLINAE 8, 10, 37 (Figs. 59–63)	Erimelophorus 50
Nothomyrmeciinae 152	diagnosis 35	Eriopheidole 106
Ponerinae 153	distribution 35	Escherichia 164
Pseudomyrmecinae 184	synoptic classification 35	Eubothroponera 164
Diagyne 106	taxonomic references 36	Eucamponotinae 51
Diceratoclinea 26	Dorylini 35, 37 (Figs. 59–63)	#Eucrema 105
Dichothorax 105	Dorylozelini 164	Eucryptocerus 101, 105, 107, 116 (Figs. 214, 215)
#Dichthadia 35	Dorylozelus 164	Eudolichoderinae 27
Dicroaspis 81, 106, 107, 148 (Figs. 406, 407)	Dorylus 35, 36, 37 (Figs. 59, 63)	Eudorylinae 35
Dilobocondyla 89, 94, 105, 107, 126 (Figs. 276, 277)	Dorymyrmex 25, 26, 27, 29 (Figs. 30, 31)	Euformicinae 51
Dimorphomyrmex 50	Drepanognathini 164	*Eulithomyrmex 105
Dimorphomyrmii 50	Drepanognathus 164	Eumecopone 164
#Dinomyrmex 50	*Drymomyrmex 50	Eumyrmicinae 106
Dinoponera 163, 164, 165, 177 (Figs. 478-480)	Dyclona 105	Euophthalma 106
Diodontolepis 50	Dyomorium 105	Euponera 164
Diplomorium 82, 106, 107, 141 (Figs. 364, 365)	Dysedrognathus 85, 105, 107, 120 (Figs. 238, 239)	Euponerinae 164
Diplorhoptrum 106		Euprenolepis 45, 50, 60 (Figs. 118, 119)
Discothyrea 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 169		Eurhopalothrix 84, 91, 95, 98, 105, 107, 113 (Figs.
(Figs. 442, 443)	Echinopla 46, 47, 50, 55 (Figs. 88, 89)	196, 197)
Discothyrinae 164	ECITONINAE 9, 10, 38-41, 40-41 (Figs. 64-73)	Eusphinctinae 19
Distributions of subfamilies	diagnosis 38	Eusphinctus 19
Aenictinae 12	distribution 39	Eutetramorium 83, 106, 133 (Figs. 314, 315)
Aenictogitoninae 14	key to world genera 38	Evelyna 50
Aneuretinae 15	synoptic classification 39	Examblyopone 164
Apomyrminae 16	taxonomic references 39	Examblyoponini 164
Cerapachyinae 19	Eciton 39, 40 (Figs. 66, 67)	Exeuponerinae 164
Dolichoderinae 27	Ecitonini 39, 40–41 (Figs. 66–73)	Extant subfamilies 7
Dorylinae 35	Ecphorella 23, 26	Extinct subfamilies 187
Ecitoninae 39	Ectatomma 162, 163, 164, 165, 170 (Figs. 448, 450)	
Formicinae 51	Ectatommini 164, 165, 168–170 (Figs. 436–451)	
Leptanillinae 70	Ectomomyrmex 164	Faunistic studies references 190
Leptanilloidinae 71	*Elaeomyrmex 26	Florencea 50
Myrmeciinae 73	Elasmopheidole 106	Forelifidis 106
Myrmicinae 106	*Electromyrmex 106	Forelius 26, 30 (Figs. 32, 33)
Nothomyrmeciinae 152	Electropheidole 106	Forelomyrmex 106
Ponerinae 164	*Electroponera 164	Forelophilus 46, 50, 55 (Figs. 90, 91)
Pseudomyrmecinae 185	Emeryella 164	Formica 43, 45, 49, 50, 51, 58 (Figs. 104, 105), 68
Tables of distributions 4	Emeryia 105	(Fig. 164)
*Dlusskyidris 187	Emeryopone 154, 158, 164, 165, 179 (Figs. 487, 488)	FORMICIDAE 7

*FORMICIINAE 187	Hercynia 105	—Leptanillinae 69
*Formiciini 187	Heteroformicinae 51	—Pseudomyrmecinae 184
Formicina 50	Heteromyrmex 105	to subfamilies:
FORMICINAE 8, 11, 42–68, 53–68 (Figs. 74–165)	Heteroponera 160, 164, 165, 168 (Figs. 438, 439)	—external morphology 8
diagnosis 42	Hexadaceton 105	—new format 10
distribution 51	Hincksidris 50	use of 5
keys to genera:	Holcomyrmex 106	*Kotshkorkia 26
Afrotropical and Malagasy 44	Holcoponera 164	Kyidris 76, 80, 85, 105, 107, 122 (Figs. 248, 249)
—Australasian 47	Holcoponera (homonym) 19	
—Nearctic 48	Holopone 39	
—Neotropical 49	Hoplomyrmus 50	Labauchena 106
—Oriental and Indo-Australian 45	Huberia 93, 106, 107, 133 (Figs. 316, 317)	Labidogenys 105
—Palaearctic 42	Hylidris 105	Labidus 39, 41 (Figs. 68, 69)
synoptic classification 50	Hylomyrma 103, 106, 107, 132 (Figs. 312, 313)	Lachnomyrmex 100, 106, 107, 146 (Figs. 392, 393)
taxonomic references 51	#Hypercolobopsis 50	*Lampromyrmex 106
Formicini 50, 57–59 (Figs. 100–111)	Hypochira 27	Laparomyrmex 105
*Formicium 187	Hypoclinea 26	Lasiini 50, 60–62 (Figs. 118–131)
Formicoxenini 105, 122–128 (Figs. 250–285)	Hypocryptocerus 105	
		Lasiophanes 49, 50, 51, 62 (Figs. 132, 133)
Formicoxenus 77, 96, 105, 107, 122 (Figs. 252, 253)	Hypocylindromyrmex 19	Lasius 44, 46, 49, 50, 51, 60 (Figs. 120, 121), 67
Froggattella 25, 26, 30 (Figs. 34, 35)	Hypopheidole 106	(Fig. 163)
Fulakora 164	*Hypopomyrmex 106	Latinoda 184
	Hypoponera 154, 156, 158, 160, 161, 163, 164,	Lecanomyrma 106
	165, 179 (Figs. 489, 490)	Leiopelta 164
Gaesomyrmex 50		Leonomyrma 105
Gallardomyrma 106		Lepidopone 164
Gauromyrmex 105	Iberoformica 50	Lepisiota 42, 44, 45, 51, 66 (Figs. 154, 155)
Geognomicus 106	Icothorax 105	Leptalea 184
Gesomyrmecini 50, 59 (Figs. 112–115)	Identification keys. See Keys	Leptaleinae 184
Gesomyrmex 45, 50, 51, 59 (Figs. 112–115)	Idrisella 106	<i>Leptanilla</i> 69, 70, 72 (Figs. 166, 167)
Gesomyrmini 50	*Ilemomyrmex 106	LEPTANILLINAE 9, 11, 69–70, 72 (Figs. 166–169)
Gigantiopini 50, 60 (Figs. 116, 117)	*Imhoffia 51	diagnosis 69
Gigantiops 49, 50, 60 (Figs. 116, 117)	Indo-Australian keys. See Oriental and	distribution 70
Glamyromyrmex 80, 85, 91, 100, 105, 107, 121	Indo-Australian regions	key to world genera 69
(Figs. 244, 245)	Indo-Australian (= Malesian) region 3	synoptic classification 70
*Glaphyromyrmex 50	Indomyrma 87, 106, 107, 147 (Figs. 400, 401)	taxonomic references 70
Glossary of morphological terms 191, 192 (Figs.	Irenea 50	Leptanillini 70, 72 (Figs. 166, 167)
523-527), 194 (Figs. 528, 529), 196 (Figs. 530,	Ireneella 106	Leptanilloides 71, 72 (Figs. 170, 171)
531)	Ireneidris 106	LEPTANILLOIDINAE 9, 10, 71, 72 (Figs. 170, 171)
Glyphopone 164	Ireneopone 84, 105, 127 (Figs. 278, 279)	diagnosis 71
Glyptomyrmex 105	Iridomyrmex 24, 25, 26, 30 (Figs. 36, 37), 34 (Fig. 58)	distribution 71
Gnamptogenys 158, 160, 162, 164, 165, 169 (Figs.	Irogera 106	synoptic classification 71
446, 447)	Ischnomyrmex 106	taxonomic references 71
#Gonepimyrma 105	Ishakidris 85, 106, 107, 133 (Figs. 318, 319)	Leptanilloidini 71, 72 (Figs. 170, 171)
Goniomma 78, 106, 107, 135 (Figs. 326, 327)	Isolcomyrmex 106	Leptogenyini 164
Goniothorax (homonym) 105	Isopheidole 106	Leptogenys 154, 156, 157, 158, 160, 161, 163, 164,
Granisolenopsis 106	,	165, 179 (Figs. 491, 492), 183 (Fig. 516)
Gymnomyrmex 100, 105, 107		Leptomesites 70
<i>dymnomyrmex</i> 100, 102, 107	Janetia (homonym) 106	Leptomyrma 106
	Johnia 50	Leptomyrmex 23, 24, 26, 27, 31 (Figs. 38, 39)
Hagensia 164	sonnia 70	Leptomyrmicini 26
#Hagiomyrma 50		*Leptomyrmula 26
Hagiostigmacros 51	#Karavaievia 50	Leptopone 164
Hagioxenus 106	Karawajewella 26	Leptothoracini 105
Halmamyrmecia 73	Kartidris 90, 106, 107, 136 (Figs. 336, 337)	Leptothorax 77, 78, 79, 82, 84, 89, 96, 98, 102,
Harnedia 105	Keys	104, 105, 107, 122 (Figs. 250, 251)
Harpagoxenus 77, 96, 105, 124 (Figs. 262, 263)	notes on 5	Leptoxenus 105
Harpegnathini 164	to regional genera of:	*Leucotaphus 50
Harpegnathos 158, 164, 173 (Figs. 463, 465)	Dolichoderinae 22	Lilidris 106
#Hedomyrma 50	Formicinae 42	Limnomyrmex 105
#Hemioptica 50	Myrmicinae 75	Linepithema 23, 24, 25, 26, 31 (Figs. 40, 41)
Hendecapheidole 106	—Ponerinae 153	Liometopini 26
Hendecatella 106	to world genera of:	Liometopum 23, 24, 26, 27, 31 (Figs. 42, 43)
Heptacondylus 106	—Cerapachyinae 18	Liomyrmex 86, 105, 108, 129 (Figs. 290, 291)
Heptastruma 105	—Ecitoninae 38	Lioponerini 19

Lioponera 19	Mitara 106	keys to genera:
Lithomyrmex 164	#Moellerius 105	—Afrotropical and Malagasy 79
*Lithomyrmex (homonym) 105	Monacis 26	—Australasian 91
Lobognathus 106	Monoceratoclinea 26	Nearctic 95
Lobomyrmex 106	Monocombus 50	-Neotropical 98
Lobopelta 164	Monomoriini 106	—Oriental and Indo-Australian 84
Loboponera 156, 180 (Figs. 493, 494)	Monomorium 77, 78, 82, 83, 87, 89, 92, 93, 94, 97,	—Palaearctic 75
*Lonchomyrmex 106	104, 106, 107, 143 (Figs. 378, 379)	synoptic classification 105
Loncyda 105	Morleyidris 50	taxonomic references 107
Lophomyrmicini 106	Morphological terms. See Glossary	Myrmicini 106, 132–133 (Figs. 308–317)
Lophomyrmex 77, 78, 106, 138 (Figs. 348, 349)	Mounting (of specimens) 3	*Myrmicites 106
Lordomyrma 77, 90, 94, 106, 107, 146 (Figs. 396,	#Myagroteras 51	*Myrmicium 106
397)	Mycetarotes 101, 105, 107	*Myrmicium (homonym) 106
Loweriella 24, 26, 32 (Figs. 44, 45)	Mycetomyrmicinae 106	Myrmicocrypta 102, 103, 105, 112 (Figs. 188, 189)
Lundella 106	Mycetophylax 102, 105, 107	#Myrmisolepis 50
	Mycetosoritis 96, 103, 105	#Myrmobrachys 50
	Mychothorax 105	Myrmocamelus 50
Machaerogenys 164	Mycocepurus 102, 105, 107, 112 (Figs. 190, 191)	#Myrmocladoecus 50
Machaeromyrma 50	Myopias 159, 160, 164, 165, 173 (Figs. 462, 464)	#Myrmodirachis 50
Machomyrma 93, 106, 140 (Figs. 360, 361)	Myopopone 157, 159, 164, 165, 166 (Figs. 428, 429)	Myrmogigas 50
Macromischa 105	Myrafant 105	#Myrmogonia 50
Macromischoides 106	Myrcidris 184, 185, 186 (Figs. 517, 518)	Myrmolophus 50
Macropheidole 106	# Myrma 50	#Myrmomalis 50
#Malacomyrma 51	#Myrmacantha 50	#Myrmonesites 50
Malagasy keys. See Afrotropical and Malagasy region	#Myrmacrhaphe 50	#Myrmopalpella 50
Malagasy region 3	#Myrmamblys 50	#Myrmopelta 50
Manica 77, 97, 106, 107	Myrmammophilus 105	#Myrmophyma 50
#Manniella 50	Myrmapatetes 164	#Myrmopiromis 50
Martia (homonym) 106	#Myrmaphaenus 50	#Myrmoplatypus 50
#Mayria 50	#Myrmatopa 50	#Myrmoplatys 50
Mayriella 85, 92, 106, 107, 148 (Figs. 408, 409)	Myrmecia 73, 74 (Figs. 172, 173)	#Myrmopsamma 50
Mayromyrmex 39	MYRMECIINAE 10, 11, 73, 74 (Figs. 172, 173)	#Myrmopytia 50
Megalomyrmecini 106	diagnosis 73	#Myrmorhachis 50
Megalomyrmex 104, 106, 107, 142 (Figs. 372, 373)	distribution 73	#Myrmosaga 50
Megaponera 164	synoptic classification 73	#Myrmosaulus 50
*Megapterites 187	taxonomic references 73	#Myrmosericus 50
Meia 105	Myrmeciini 73, 74 (Figs. 172, 173)	#Myrmosphincta 50
Melissotarsini 105, 128 (Figs. 286–289)	Myrmecina 78, 88, 93, 97, 105, 107, 131 (Figs.	#Myrmostenus 50
	302, 303)	#Myrmotarsus 50
Melissotarsus 76, 79, 105, 107, 128 (Figs. 288, 289) Melophorini 50, 62–64 (Figs. 132–143)	Myrmecinella 105	#Myrmotemnus 50
-	Myrmecinini 105, 130–131 (Figs. 296–303)	Myrmoteras 45, 51, 65 (Figs. 146, 147)
Melophorus 48, 50, 63 (Figs. 134, 135) Meranoplini 105, 131 (Figs. 304, 305)	Myrmecocystus 49, 50, 51, 61 (Figs. 122, 123)	Myrmoteratini 51, 65 (Figs. 146, 147)
Meranoplus 81, 86, 92, 105, 107, 131 (Figs. 304, 305)	Myrmecopsis (homonym) 50	#Myrmothrinax 50
#Mesanoplolepis 51	Myrmecorhynchini 50	#Myrmothrix 50
Mesocamponotinae 51	Myrmecorhynchus 48, 50, 63 (Figs. 136, 137)	#Myrmotrema 50
#Mesocrema 105	Myrmegis 105	Myrmoturba 50
Mesomyrma 105	Myrmelachista 48, 49, 51, 64 (Figs. 144, 145)	Myrmoxenus 105
Mesoponera 164	Myrmelachistini 50, 64 (Figs. 144, 145)	#Myrmoxygenys 50
Mesostruma 91, 105, 107, 117 (Figs. 222, 223)	#Myrmentoma 50	Myrmus (homonym) 106
Mesoxena 50	#Myrmepinotus 50	Myrtoteras 164
Messor 79, 83, 88, 90, 91, 106, 107, 137 (Figs. 340,	#Myrmepomis 50	Mystrium 155, 157, 159, 164, 165, 167 (Figs. 430,
341)	#Myrmespera 50	431)
Metacylindromyrmex 19	Myrmetaerus 105	
Metadorylinae 39, 70	#Myrmeurynota 50	
Metapone 81, 87, 93, 105, 107	Myrmex (homonym) 184	Neaphomus 50
Metaponini 105, 129 (Figs. 290–295)	# Myrmhopla 50	Nearctic region 3
*Mianeuretus 15	Myrmica 77, 87, 96, 106, 107, 108, 132 (Figs. 308,	Nearctic region, keys to:
Miccostruma 105	309)	—Dolichoderinae 25
Microbolbos 164	Myrmicaria 81, 86, 106, 107, 131 (Figs. 306, 307)	—Formicinae 48
Microdaceton 79, 105, 107, 118 (Figs. 226, 227)	Myrmicariini 106, 131 (Figs. 306, 307)	Myrmicinae 95
Micromyrma 26	MYRMICINAE 9, 10, 11, 75–151, 110–151 (Figs.	—Ponerinae 160
Mictoponera 164	176–423)	Neivamyrmex 38, 39, 41 (Figs. 70, 71)
*Miomyrmex 26	diagnosis 75	#Nematocrema 105
*Miomyrmicini 26	distribution 106	Neoamblyopone 164

Neoatta 105 Oligomyrmex 76, 80, 81, 85, 86, 92, 95, 101, 106, *Paraphaenogaster 106 Neoclystopsenella 26 107, 139 (Figs. 350-353) Parapheidole 106 Neocolobopsis 50 Onychomyrmex 159, 164, 165, 167 (Figs. 434, 435) Paraplagiolepis 51 #Neocrema 105 Onychomyrmicini 164 Paraponera 163, 164, 168 (Figs. 440, 441), 182 Neoforelius 26 Ooceraea 19 (Fig. 508) Neoformica 50 Ophthalmopone 164 Paraponerini 164 Neomyrma 106 Opisthopsis 46, 47, 50, 51, 56 (Figs. 94, 95) Paraprionopelta 164 Neomyrmamblys 50 Opisthoscyphus 164 Parasima 184 Neophyracaces 19 Orectognathus 84, 92, 105, 107, 119 (Figs. 232, Parasyscia 19 Neoponera 164 233) Paratopula 90, 105, 107, 127 (Figs. 280, 281) Neostruma 99, 105, 107, 120 (Figs. 236, 237) Oreomyrma 106 Paratrechina 43, 44, 46, 48, 49, 50, 51, 61 (Figs. Neotropical region 3 Oriental region 3 124, 125) Neotropical region, keys to: Oriental and Indo-Australian regions, keys to: Parectatomma 164 —Dolichoderinae 26 —Dolichoderinae 23 Parholcomyrmex 106 -Formicinae 49 -Formicinae 45 Pedetes 164 -Myrmicinae 98 -Myrmicinae 84 Pentastruma 76, 85, 105, 107 -Ponerinae 162 -Ponerinae 157 Pergandea 164 Nesolasius 50 Ornatinoda 184 Perissomyrmex 86, 101, 105 Nesomyrmex 105 #Orthocrema 105 Peronomyrmex 93, 105, 107 Nimbamyrma 106 #Orthonotomyrmex 50 Petalomyrmex 44, 50, 51, 54 (Figs. 80, 81) Nomamyrmex 39, 41 (Figs. 72, 73) Orthonotus (homonym) 50 *Petraeomyrmex 26 Noonilla 70 Otomyrmex 105 *Petropone 187 Nothidris 104, 106, 107, 144 (Figs. 380, 381) Overbeckia 46, 50 Phacota 106, 107 Nothomyrmecia 152, 74 (Figs. 174, 175) Oxyepoecus 102, 106, 107, 143 (Figs. 374, 375) Phalacromyrmecini 106, 108, 133 (Figs. 318, NOTHOMYRMECIINAE 9, 11, 152, 74 (Figs. #Oxygyne 105 174, 175) *Oxyidris 106 Phalacromyrmex 100, 106, 107 diagnosis 152 Oxyopomyrmex 77, 135 (Figs. 328, 329) Pharaophanes 106 distribution 152 Phasmomyrmex 45, 50, 56 (Figs. 96, 97) synoptic classification 152 Phaulomyrma 70 taxonomic references 152 Pachycondyla 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, Pheidolacanthinus 106 Nothomyrmeciini 152, 74 (Figs. 174, 175) Pheidole 78, 79, 83, 88, 90, 94, 95, 98, 102, 104, 161, 163, 164, 165, 174 (Figs. 467, 469), 180 *Nothomyrmica 106 (Figs. 495, 496), 183 (Fig. 512) 105, 106, 107, 136 (Figs. 332-335) Nothosphinctus 19 Pachycondylinae 164 Pheidolini 106, 134-137 (Figs. 324-341) Notomyrmex 106 Pachysima 184 Pheidologeton 81, 86, 92, 106, 138 (Figs. 344–347) Notoncus 48, 50, 51, 63 (Figs. 138, 139), 67 (Fig. Paedalgus 80, 86, 106, 107, 139 (Figs. 354, 355) Pheidologetonini 106, 108, 137-140 (Figs. 161) Palaearctic region 3 342-361) Notostigma 47, 50, 56 (Figs. 92, 93) Palaearctic region, keys to: Phidola 106 Novomessor 106 —Dolichoderinae 22 Phidole 106 Number of genera (by region) 4 -Formicinae 42 Phidologeton 106 Philidris 24, 25, 26, 33 (Figs. 50, 51) Nycteresia 39 -Myrmicinae 75 Nylanderia 50 -Ponerinae 153 Phrynoponera 156, 164, 165, 180 (Figs. 497, 498) Nystalomyrma 106 Palaeatta 105 Phyracaces 19 *Palaeomyrmex 187 Physatta 106 *Palaeomyrmex (homonym) 187 #Physocrema 105 Paleoponerinae 73 Pilotrochus 80, 106, 107 Ochetellus 23, 24, 25, 26, 32 (Figs. 46, 47) *Paleosminthurinae 187 *Pityomyrmecini 26 Ochetomyrmecini 106, 134 (Figs. 320-323) *Paleosminthurus 187 *Pityomyrmex 26 Ochetomyrmex 102, 106, 134 (Figs. 322, 323) Paltothyreus 164 Plagiolepidini 51, 65-67 (Figs. 150-159) Octella 106 Papyrius 24, 25, 26, 32 (Figs. 48, 49) Plagiolepis 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 51, 66 (Figs. 156, Octostruma 98, 105, 107, 113 (Figs. 198, 199) Paracolobopsis 50 157) Ocymyrmex 81, 106, 107, 135 (Figs. 330, 331) #Paracrema 105 Planimyrma 106 Ocymyrmicini 106 Paracryptocerus 105 Platystruma 105 Odontomachini 164 Paraenictus 12 Platythyrea 154, 156, 158, 160, 161, 163, 164, 165, Odontomachus 154, 155, 157, 159, 161, 162, 164, Paraformica 50 171 (Figs. 452, 453), 183 (Figs. 513, 515) 165, 172 (Figs. 459, 461) *Parameranoplus 105 Platythyreini 164, 165, 171 (Figs. 452-455) Odontomyrmex 105 Paramycetophylax 105 Plectroctena 155, 164, 165, 175 (Figs. 470, 472), Odontopelta 164 #Paramyrmamblys 50 183 (Fig. 511) Paramyrmica 106 Odontoponera 159, 164, 174 (Figs. 466, 468) Plectroctenini 164 Podomyrma 87, 92, 93, 105, 125 (Figs. 270, 271) Oecodoma 105 Paranamyrma 106 *Paraneuretus 15 Oecophthora 106 Podomyrmini 105 Oecophylla 44, 46, 47, 65 (Figs. 148, 149) Paranomopone 164 Poecilomyrma 89, 105 Oecophyllini 51, 65 (Figs. 148, 149) Paraparatrechina 50 Pogonomyrmex 97, 103, 104, 106, 107, 132 (Figs. Oedaleocerus 106 Paraphacota 106 310, 311)

Polyergus 43, 49, 50, 51, 58 (Figs. 106, 107)	*Protamblyopone (homonym) 164	Rhytidoponera 158, 160, 164, 165, 170 (Figs. 449,
Polyhomoa 105	*Protaneuretus 15	451)
Polyrhachidini 50	Protanilla 69, 70, 72 (Figs. 168, 169)	Rogeria 89, 90, 97, 104, 105, 106, 108, 145 (Figs.
Polyrhachis 44, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, 57 (Figs. 98, 99)	*Protazteca 26	386, 387)
Ponera 154, 156, 158, 160, 161, 163, 164, 165, 181	Protholcomyrmex 106	Romblonella 89, 94, 105, 108, 124 (Figs. 264, 265)
(Figs. 499, 500)	*Protoformica 50	Rossomyrmex 43, 50, 59 (Figs. 110, 111)
Poneracantha 164	Protomognathus 96, 105, 124 (Figs. 260, 261)	Rostromyrmex 86, 106
PONERINAE 8, 9, 10, 153–183, 166–183 (Figs.	*Protopone 164	Rotastruma 89, 105, 108, 125 (Figs. 268, 269)
424–516)	*Protrechina 50	
diagnosis 153	Psalidomyrmex 156, 164, 165, 175 (Figs. 471, 473)	
distribution 164	Psammomyrma 26	Santschiella 44, 51
keys to genera:	Pseudacantholepis 51	Santschiellini 51
—Afrotropical and Malagasy 155	Pseudaphomomyrmex 50	Schizopelta 106
—Australasian 159	*Pseudarmania 187	Scrobopheidole 106
—Nearctic 160	Pseudoatta 105	Scyphodon 70
—Neotropical 162	*Pseudocamponotus 50	Secostruma 86, 106, 108, 151 (Figs. 422, 423)
—Oriental and Indo-Australian 157	#Pseudocolobopsis 50	Selenopone 164
—Palaearctic 153	Pseudocryptopone 164	Semonius 26
synoptic classification 164	Pseudocyrtomyrma 50	Sericomyrmex 103, 105, 111 (Figs. 186, 187)
taxonomic references 165	Pseudodichthadia 39	Serrastruma 80, 105, 108, 121 (Figs. 246, 247)
Ponerini 164, 172–181 (Figs. 456–502)	Pseudolasius 44, 45, 48, 50, 51, 62 (Figs. 128, 129)	Serviformica 50
*Poneropsis 164	Pseudomyrma 184	Shuckardia 35
*Poneropterus 187	PSEUDOMYRMECINAE 9, 10, 11, 184–186, 186	*Sicilomyrmecini 51
Prenolepidini 50	(Figs. 517–522)	*Sicilomyrmex 51
Prenolepis 43, 46, 49, 50, 61 (Figs. 126, 127)	diagnosis 184	Sifolinia 106
Preparation of specimens 3	distribution 185	Sima 184
Prionogenys 164	key to world genera 184	Simopelta 163, 164, 165, 181 (Figs. 501, 502)
*Prionomyrmecini 73	synoptic classification 184	Simopone 19, 21 (Figs. 13, 14, 19)
*Prionomyrmex 73	taxonomic references 185	Smithistruma 76, 80, 85, 92, 95, 100, 105, 108,
Prionopelta 155, 157, 159, 161, 162, 164, 165, 167	Pseudomyrmecini 184, 186 (Figs. 517–522)	121 (Figs. 242, 243)
(Figs. 432, 433)	Pseudomyrmex 184, 185, 186 (Figs. 519, 520)	Solenomyrma 105
Pristomyrmecia 73	Pseudomyrmidae 184	Solenops 106
<i>Pristomyrmex</i> 76, 81, 86, 92, 105, 107, 130 (Figs.	Pseudoneoponera 164	Solenopsidini 106, 108, 141–144 (Figs. 362–383)
300, 301)	Pseudonotoncus 48, 50, 64 (Figs. 142, 143)	Solenopsis 76, 80, 85, 92, 95, 100, 106, 108, 143
Proatta 85, 88, 106, 147 (Figs. 402, 403)	Pseudopodomyrma 105	(Figs. 376, 377)
Proattini 106	Pseudoponera 164	Sommimyrma 106
Probolomyrmex 154, 155, 157, 160, 162, 164, 165,	Pseudostigmacros 51	Spalacomyrmex 164
171 (Figs. 454, 455)	Pseudosysphincta 164	Spaniopone 164
Procamponotinae 51	Pteroponera 164	Specimens, preparation of 3 Spelaeomyrmex 106
*Procerapachys 19	Pyramica 105	
Proceration 164		#Sphaerocrema 105
Proceratium 154, 155, 158, 160, 161, 162, 164,		Sphaeromessor 106
165, 169 (Figs. 444, 445)	Quadristruma 76, 79, 84, 92, 95, 99, 105, 107	*Sphecomyrma 187 *SPHECOMYRMINAE 187
Procryptocerus 102, 105, 107, 116 (Figs. 212, 213)		*Sphecomyrmini 187
Prodicroaspis 106		Sphegomyrmex 35
*Prodimorphomyrmex 50	Pantiformica 50	Sphinctomyrmex 18, 19, 21 (Figs. 15, 16, 18)
Prodelichederings 27	Raptiformica 50 Recurvidris 76, 87, 106, 107, 137 (Figs. 342, 343)	Spinomyrma 26
Prodolichoderinae 27 Prodorylinae 19	References to faunistic studies 190	Sporocleptes 106
Proformica 43, 50, 51, 58 (Figs. 108, 109)	Renea 164	Stegomyrmecini 106, 144 (Figs. 384, 385)
Prolasius 48, 50, 51, 64 (Figs. 140, 141)	Reneini 164	Stegomyrmex 104, 106, 108, 144 (Figs. 384, 385)
Promeranoplus 106	#Rhachiocrema 105	Stegopheidole 106
Promyopias 164	Rhagiomyrmicinae 106	Stenamma 78, 90, 97, 104, 105, 106, 108, 145
Promyrma 105	#Rhinomyrmex 50	(Figs. 388, 389)
Promyrmecia 73	#Rhizomyrma 51	Stenammini 106, 145–149 (Figs. 386–413)
Promyrmicinae 106	#Rhogmus 35	Stenomyrmex 164
*Promyrmicium 106	Rhopalomastix 85, 92, 105, 128 (Figs. 286, 287)	Stenothorax 106
*Propodomyrma 105	*Rhopalomyrmex 51	Stereomyrmex 87, 105, 127 (Figs. 282, 283)
Proponerinae 164	Rhopalopone 164	Stereomyrmicini 105
Proscopomyrmex 105	Rhopalothrix 84, 91, 99, 105, 107, 114 (Figs. 202,	Stictoponera 164
Prosopidris 105	203)	Stictoponerini 164
Protalaridris 98, 105, 107, 114 (Figs. 200, 201)	Rhoptromyrmex 77, 82, 83, 88, 93, 106, 107, 150	Stigmacros 47, 51, 67 (Figs. 158, 159)
Protamblyopone 164	(Figs. 418, 419)	Stigmatomma 164
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	-

*Stigmomyrmex 105 *Syntaphus 164 Trichomyrmex 106 *Stiphromyrmex 105 Syntermitopone 164 Trichoscapa 76, 80, 85, 95, 99, 105, 108 Streblognathus 157, 164, 176 (Figs. 475, 477), 183 Syscia 19 Tricytarus 105 (Fig. 514) Sysphingta 164 Triglyphothrix 106 Strongylognathus 77, 106, 108, 151 (Figs. 420, 421) Trigonogaster (homonym) 106 Strumigenys 76, 79, 84, 92, 95, 99, 105, 108, 119 Turneria 24, 25, 26, 27, 34 (Figs. 56, 57) (Figs. 234, 235) Talaridris 99, 105, 108, 114 (Figs. 204, 205) Typhlatta 12 Subfamilies Tammoteca 164 Typhlomyrmecini 164, 182 (Figs. 505, 506) Aenictinae 12 Typhlomyrmex 163, 164, 165, 182 (Figs. 505, 506) #Tanaemyrmex 50 Aenictogitoninae 14 #Tapinolepis 51 #Typhlopone 35 alphabetical list 4 Tapinoma 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 33 (Figs. 52, 53) Typhloteras 164 Aneuretinae 15 Tapinomini 26 Apomyrminae 16 Tapinoptera 26 *Armaniinae 187 Taraxoponerinae 164 Veromessor 106 Cerapachyinae 18 Tatuidris 99, 105, 108, 110 (Figs. 176, 177) Viticicola 184 Dolichoderinae 22 Taxonomic references Vollenhovenia 105 Dorylinae 35 Aenictinae 12 Vollenhovia 78, 82, 87, 89, 90, 94, 97, 105, 129 Ecitoninae 38 Aenictogitoninae 14 (Figs. 294, 295) endemic genera 4 Aneuretinae 15 Vombisidris 89, 94, 105, 108, 125 (Figs. 266, 267) extant 7 Apomyrminae 16 extinct 187 Cerapachyinae 19 *Formiciinae 187 Dolichoderinae 27 Wadeura 164 Formicinae 42 Dorylinae 36 Wasmannia 80, 82, 85, 87, 92, 93, 95, 96, 100, key to: Ecitoninae 39 102, 105, 115 (Figs. 208, 209) -external morphology 8 Formicinae 51 Weberidris 106 -new format 10 Leptanillinae 70 Weberistruma 105 Leptanillinae 69 Leptanilloidinae 71 Wessonistruma 105 Leptanilloidinae 71 Myrmeciinae 73 Wheeleria (homonym) 106 Myrmeciinae 73 Myrmicinae 107 Wheeleriella 106 Myrmicinae 75 Nothomyrmeciinae 152 Wheelerimyrmex 106 Nothomyrmeciinae 152 Ponerinae 165 Wheeleripone 164 numbers of genera 4 Willowsiella 87, 93, 105, 108 Pseudomyrmecinae 185 *Paleosminthurinae 187 Technomyrmex 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 33 (Figs. 54, 55) Woitkowskia 39 Ponerinae 153 Teleutomyrmini 106 World keys to: Pseudomyrmecinae 184 Teleutomyrmex 106 —Cerapachyinae 18 *Sphecomyrminae 187 Temnothorax 105 -Ecitoninae 38 Sulcomyrmex 106 Terataner 82, 83, 105, 108, 126 (Figs. 274, 275) -Leptanillinae 69 Syllophopsis 106 Teratomyrmex 48, 50, 51, 62 (Figs. 130, 131) -Pseudomyrmecinae 184 Symbiomyrma 106 Termitopone 164 -subfamilies 8, 10 Symmyrmica 105 Tetheamyrma 86, 106, 108, 145 (Figs. 390, 391) Xenhyboma 106 Sympheidole 106 Tetramoriini 106, 108, 150-151 (Figs. 414-423) Xenoaphaenogaster 106 Synoptic classifications Tetramorium 77, 82, 83, 86, 87, 88, 92, 93, 96, 97, Xenometra 105 Aenictinae 12 102, 104, 106, 108, 150 (Figs. 416, 417) Xenomyrmex 95, 96, 100, 102, 105, 108, 129 (Figs. Aneuretinae 15 292, 293) Tetramyrma 105 Apomyrminae 16 Tetraponera 184, 185, 186 (Figs. 521, 522) Xeromyrmex 106 *Armaniinae 187 #Xiphocrema 105 Tetrogmus 106 Cerapachyinae 19 Xiphomyrmex 106 Thaumatomyrmecini 164, 181 (Figs. 503, 504) Dolichoderinae 26 Thaumatomyrmex 163, 165, 181 (Figs. 503, 504) Xiphopelta 164 Dorylinae 35 Theryella 106 Xymmer 164 Ecitoninae 39 #Thlipsepinotus 50 *Formiciinae 187 Tingimyrmex 99, 105 Formicinae 50 Titusia 164 Yavnella 70 Leptanillinae 70 Tomognathus (homonym) 105 Leptanilloidinae 71 Trachymesopus 164 Myrmeciinae 73 Trachymyrmex 96, 103, 105, 111 (Figs. 182, 183) Zacryptocerus 95, 98, 101, 105, 108, 116 (Figs. Myrmicinae 105 Trachypheidole 106 216, 217) Nothomyrmeciinae 152 Tranetera 105 Zasphinctus 19 Tranopelta 102, 106, 134 (Figs. 320, 321) *Paleosminthurinae 187 Zatapinoma 26 Ponerinae 164 Tranopeltoides 105 #Zealleyella 51 Pseudomyrmecinae 184 Trapeziopelta 164 *Zherichiniini 26 *Sphecomyrminae 187 Triangulinoda 184 *Zherichinius 26 Synsolenopsis 106 Trichomelophorus 50 Zoogeography 3, 4