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## Introduction

The human race has used timber for centuries to provide simple shelter and proud monuments. It's lightweight, soft nature makes it workable by hand-ideal when large machines are unavailable. We find timber in millions of homes around the world, in smaller commercial structures, and frequently in the great structures of history. Properly cared for, it can last for centuries.

Today, engineered wood products have greatly expanded the sustainability and versatility of timber structures. By placing stronger material-and more of it-in the right places, we are able to take greater advantage of wood's best properties. This allows us to span the same or greater distances as solid sawn timber, but using smaller trees.

This guide is designed to give the student and budding architect a foundation for successfully understanding and incorporating timber in their designs. It builds on Introduction to Structures in this series, presenting the essence of what structural engineers use most for timber design.

If you are looking for the latest timber trends, or to plumb the depths of technology, you're in the wrong place. If you want a book devoid of equations and legitimate engineering principles, return this book immediately and invest your money elsewhere. However, if you want a book that holds architects and engineers as intellectual equals, opening the door of timber design, you are very much in the right place.

Yes, this book has equations. They are the language of engineering. They provide a picture of how structure changes when a variable is modified. To disregard equations is like dancing with our feet tied together.

This book is full of in-depth design examples, written the way practicing engineers design. These can be built upon by examples being reworked in
class, with different variables. Better yet, assign small groups of students to rework the example, each with new variables. Afterward, have them present their results and discuss the trends and differences.

For learning assessment, consider assigning a design project. Students can use a past studio project, or a building that interests them. The project can start with students determining structural loads, continue with them designing key members, and end with consideration of connection and seismic design. They can submit design calculations and sketches summarizing their work and present their designs to the class. This approach requires a basic level of performance, while allowing students to dig deeper into areas of interest. Most importantly, it places calculations in context, providing an opportunity for students to wrestle with the iterative nature of design and experience the discomfort of learning a new language.

Our great desire is to bridge the gap between structural engineering and architecture, a gap that historically didn't exist, and is unnecessarily wide today. This book is authored by practicing engineers, who understand the technical nuances and the big picture of how a timber project goes together. We hope it opens the door for you.
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### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Merion Friends Meetinghouse, shown in Figure 1.1, is located in southeastern Pennsylvania and is the oldest Quaker meetinghouse in the state. It is the second oldest in the U.S., eclipsed in age by the Third Haven Meetinghouse in Easton, Maryland (c. 1682). It was a religious and community center for centuries and is still used today.

### 1.2 HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

The Merion settlement was on land given to young William Penn by King Charles II. Penn received this land as repayment of a loan Penn's father had given to the king in 1660 so that England could rebuild its navy. This was a win-win for the king, who now could get rid of the troublesome Quakers and pay off a substantial debt. For William Penn, this was an opportunity to realize his dream of planting "the seed of a nation," reflecting Quaker ideals in the New World. ${ }^{1}$ In 1682, the first group of


Figure 1.1 Merion Friends Meetinghouse, front entrance
Source: Photo courtesy of Keast \& Hood

Quakers from Merionethshire, Wales, settled near Philadelphia and, in 1695, they began construction of the meetinghouse. ${ }^{2}$ Although the land was given to Penn by the king, he paid the Native Americans $£ 1,200$ for it, rather than take it through conquest. ${ }^{3}$

### 1.3 BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The meetinghouse is a modest structure and is nontraditional because of its T-shaped plan, as most meetinghouses are rectangular. Because Merion has two ridgelines meeting at a rather central point, there are valleys that allow accumulations of leaf debris, making the roof susceptible to leaks.

The roof frames are Welsh-influenced cruck-type frames supported on 24 -in-thick stone walls, and these frames resemble A-frames. They have truss elements, which were intended to support the original high ceiling and perhaps restrain outward thrust. The low ceiling was added in 1829 (ref. Figure 1.2 and longitudinal section of Figure 1.3).

Some believe that the southern portion (stem of the tee) was constructed first, with the northern section an addition. No evidence has been found to support this theory, such as remnants of an old foundation in the crawl space. Also, the north section center frame was tenoned into the first frame of the south section, and so it must have followed soon after the south (Figure 1.4). ${ }^{4}$

Before the meetinghouse was nominated to the National Historic Register, it attracted attention. In 1981, noted historian David Yeomans wrote:

> The most interesting roof to have been found in this area [i.e., Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware] is that of Merion Meetinghouse because this structure uses a primitive form of trussing I have not so far seen in England, although it clearly derives from there. The principal rafters curve downward sharply at their feet-a feature shown in the earliest published drawing of a roof structure. The tie beams are trussed up with timbers that are not quite king posts in that they are not hung from the apex of the roof. No metal strapping is used and instead the post is fixed to the tie beam with a dovetail. ${ }^{5}$

Ideally, the original curved frame pieces would have been sawn from trees with large sweeping branches, so that the grain and stresses could have followed the curve. Instead, they used large sections of straight
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Figure 1.2 Unpublished survey drawings by Penny Batcheler, c. 1980
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Figure 1.4 Center north cruck frame—south end original support condition
Source: David Mark Facenda, "Merion Friends Meetinghouse: Documentation and Site Analysis," Thesis for Master of Science in Historic Preservation, University of Pennsylvania, 2002, p. 114
timber. Perhaps this was to avoid breaking an English naval ordinance dated April 22, 1616:

Crooks, Knees, and Compass timber . . . will be of singular Use for the Navy, whereof principal Care is to be had, in order to the Kingdom's Safety: It is therefore Ordered and Ordained, by the Lords and Commons in Parliament assembled, That the Crooks, Knees, and Compass Timber, arising from any Trees felled for any of the said Services by Order from the Committee of His Majesty's Revenue, be reserved to the Use of the Navy, and not disposed of to any other Use. ${ }^{6}$

Compression and shear forces in a curved timber cause it to bend. If the grain does not follow the curve, then tension stresses will develop across the grain (see Figure 1.3). Factors of safety built into modern codes allow for some nonparallel grain at knots but not across the entire cross section, which woud result in a significant strength reduction.

### 1.4 SURVEY AND ASSESSMENT

John Milner Architects Inc., of Chadds Ford, PA (Daniel Campbell, AIA), retained Suzanne Pentz, the director of historic preservation with Keast \& Hood engineers, to assess the building structure following an observation made by a roofer regarding the north wall curvature. The roofer asked if they were to follow the curvature of the supporting wall and the roof edge or to lay the shingles in parallel i.e. straight lines.

During the structural investigation and assessment, Unkefer Brothers Construction Company helped by removing wall finishes where observations were required (i.e., probes).

We discovered that the base of several cruck frames and sill plates that were coincident with the roof valleys had decayed. The north wall was leaning-out of plumb by about one-third of its thickness. Other discoveries included ineffective framing modifications made in the 1800s that will be further discussed, loose king posts, plus rot and termite damage within the crawl space. To quantify the amount of decay at frame bases and wall plates, the assessment included resistance drilling, which allows the investigator to look for decay within the timber.

### 1.5 ANALYSIS

The north wall displacement was sufficient evidence that the cruck frame supports were yielding, caused by an outward thrust. The plumbness and

Resistance drilling is based on the principle that biological decay of wood is consistently accompanied by reduction in density and therefore in resistance to mechanical penetration. Although the technique itself has been known for decades, it has been recently facilitated by the introduction of the 'Resistograph', a proprietary instrument made by Instrument Mechanik Labor (IML) of Wiesloch, Germany. ${ }^{7}$


Figure 1.5 Resistograph drill logs. High points are where material is dense; low points indicate decay or voids

Source: Image courtesy Keast \& Hood
bowing measurements of the north wall and computer modeling of the entire structure confirmed our assumptions that the structure was in trouble.

The computer models also confirmed that support assumptions were dramatically influencing the results. We first modeled a typical frame using a 2-D approach, with one support pinned and the other on a roller, but this predicted incipient failure. We knew the walls were not entirely rigid (fixed), and so we iterated a more detailed 3-D computer model of the entire structure (frames and purlins), assuming varying degrees of lateral stiffness of the walls, until the displacements agreed with field
measurements. The predicted lateral thrust exceeded the wall's resistance, and therefore another mechanism was assisting.

It may come as a surprise to the reader that structural characteristics of supports are often based on assumptions, which are, in turn, based on experience. Supports that are neither rigid nor totally yielding are difficult to quantify.

We concluded that there were redundant load paths in the roof timbers and diaphragm, or else the frames would have failed. In our report, we acknowledged that we could not exactly determine how loads were resisted. We were humbled by the unknowns and observed that:

Analysis is an exercise in evaluating the evidence. Confidence in the results is proportional to the simplicity of the framing. More complicated and redundant systems, such as the truncated center cruck frame, resist direct analysis. By necessity, an iterative approach was needed to explain the observations and to 'bracket' the results. Unfortunately, no amount of computer horsepower can assuage concerns with the reduced southerly support of this frame. ${ }^{8}$

### 1.6 STRUCTURAL STRENGTHENING

Without 100 percent confidence in our analysis, we moved forward with repair and preservation plans. The main concern was how to address the well deflections plus repair of deteriorated fabric. Multiple preservation objectives were on the table, not just structural, and so the Friends asked us to prioritize: first on the list was stability of the north wall and roof framing, followed by the ground floor deterioration. Unkefer Brothers' estimates confirmed that funding for only the most pressing issues was available.

The initial plans included local reinforcement and replacement of deteriorated material with new material (Dutchman repairs), new tie-rods to contain outward thrust, and reinforcement of the framing around the chimney with steel channels. These repairs addressed the various issues but would have required extensive shoring of all affected roof framing, from the ground floor up (and through the crawl space to the soil below). The plans and details were finalized, and construction estimates were prepared.

After this event, another approach occurred to the design team. Rather than addressing the repairs individually, we coalesced them into one fundamental approach.

### 1.7 A NEW PLAN

Instead of treating the seemingly disparate problem areas-that is, decaying cruck frame bases, unfortunate chimney work-around framingwe offered a new solution-a truss under the north ridge line lifting the three cruck frames and thereby relieving stress on all of them plus the chimney work-around (shown in Figure 1.6). This truss was to be assembled by hand in the attic, but had the added advantage of eliminating the shoring, and created a net savings of $\$ 37,000$.

Replacement of decayed wall plates was still included, but without the need for shoring, and the solution was reversible. We quickly revised the documents and moved forward with the new plan.

### 1.8 LESSONS LEARNED

Several lessons stand out from this experience.

1. When preserving historic buildings, use methods that are reversible. Future generations may develop better methods for preservation.
2. Placing assumptions on top of other assumptions will skew the solution. Carefully analyze the information and look for the simplest explanation of behavior.
3. Consider several solutions and trust your intuition. Also, it takes time to simplify an answer.
4. Simple structures are easier to build and cost less. If the project is difficult to design and draw, then it will be difficult, and most likely more expensive, to build.
5. The greatest savings in resources during design and construction are the result of careful planning and the consideration of viable alternatives.
6. For historic structures, consider the least invasive repair approach. Follow the Secretary of the Interior's Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties. If strength is insufficient, then use structural augmentation in lieu of replacement. ${ }^{9}$


Figure 1.6 Dominic Piperno and Ed Goltz (left to right; Unkefer Brothers Construction Co.) standing next to the truss
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Timber is the most versatile structural material. It has been used for centuries because of its availability, workability, and light weight. We use it in formwork when we cast concrete, for housing, retail, religious, and numerous other structures. Today, we use light and heavy sawn lumber and engineered wood products, made from smaller wood pieces. Timber structure heights are commonly in the one-to-five-story range. However, engineers and architects are developing taller wood structures, given timber's high strength-to-weight ratio, advances in engineered wood products, and sustainable characteristics. Some say this is the century of timber construction.

### 2.1 HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

Nomadic hunter-gatherers were the first to use timber in light, transportable tent structures; essential for their survival. A number of these types of structures are still in use today. They include yurts in Asia, teepees in North America, and goat-hair tents in the Middle East and North Africa.

As humankind became increasingly agrarian, they established permanent settlements. Metal tools provided craftsmen the means to fell large trees and work them into usable lumber. Ancient cultures used large timbers in post and beam construction that served as a skeletal framework between which non-load bearing walls and roofs were erected. Norwegian churches are a sophisticated example of this type of heavy timber construction [Figure 2.1].

Producers standardized dimensional lumber between the mid-1800s through mid-1900s. During this period, heavy timbers were replaced by balloon framing, and then by platform framing [see Figure 2.2]-the system most commonly used today. Through centuries of practice, trial and error, and the advent of modern structural engineering the art of wood construction has led to more sophisticated framing techniques, stronger engineered wood products, and more durable buildings. ${ }^{1}$

### 2.2 CODES AND STANDARDS

Many countries have their own wood design code, typically referenced by the model building code. The National Design Specification (NDS) ${ }^{2}$ is the wood design code in the United States. Canadian engineers use Engineering Design in Wood by the CSA Group, ${ }^{3}$ and European engineers use Eurocode 5: Design of timber structures. ${ }^{4}$ Regardless of origin, these codes provide the minimum standard of care and a consistent technical


Figure 2.1 Heddal Stave Church, c. thirteenth century, Heddal, Notodden, Norway Source: Image courtesy Teran Mitchell


Figure 2.2 Balloon and platform framing
Source: Image courtesy Teran Mitchell
basis for designing timber structures. Table 2.1 summarizes the NDSthe basis of this book-in case you desire to study a given topic further.

### 2.2.1 ASD Methodology

There are two timber design philosophies: allowable stress design (ASD) and load and resistance factor design (LRFD). We will use ASD in this book, as the NDS is a stress-based methodology, whereas LRFD is capacity-based. To convert to LRFD, we use factored loads and apply a few adjustment factors.

In ASD, we calculate member stress and compare it with the allowable stress, using units of $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. Material reference design values include safety factors to account for unknowns in material strength, stiffness, and loading. Additionally, we apply NDS-specified adjustment factors to adjust for influences such as moisture, temperature, and sizeto name a few.

Safety factors provide a reasonable margin between strength and load variation, as schematically shown in Figure 2.3. Allowable reference design values, presented in Appendices 2 and 3, are derived from the ultimate stress values divided by safety factors. This shifts the strength curve to the left, while the load curve stays in the same place.
Proportioning of members is based on how close these curves get to each other. Where they overlap, failure could occur-the probability is often expressed as $1 / 10,000$; in other words, there is a 0.01 percent chance of failure in a properly designed structure.

You might think it would then make sense to increase the margin of safety between demand and capacity to eliminate the possibility of failure. This would be good if no one had to pay for the materials, or if our environment didn't have to support their extraction and manufacturing. As both are driving concerns on projects, we balance demand and capacity against risk, cost, schedule, and environmental constraints. This is the art of engineering.

The curve shape in Figure 2.3 is a function of variability. Narrow curves have less deviation than wider curves. Figure 2.4 shows this strength distribution for sawn lumber, glued laminated timber, and structural composite lumber (SCL).

### 2.2.2 Height and Area Limits

The International Building Code (IBC) currently limits timber building heights and areas to those listed in Table 2.2. The maximum height is

## Table 2.1 NDS Timber code summary

| Chapter | Title | Contents |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | General Requirements <br> for Structural Design | Scope and basic information related to <br> using the code |
| 2 | Design Values for <br> Structural Members | Introduction of reference strength and <br> adjustment factors |
| 3 | Design Provisions and <br> Equations | Basic bending, shear and compression <br> equations |
| 4 | Sawn Lumber <br> Structural Glued <br> Laminated Timber | Provisions for sawn lumber |
| 6 | Round Timber Poles <br> and Piles | Provisions for poles and piles |
| 7 | Strabricated Wood I- <br> Soists | Provisions for I-joists |
| 9 | Lumber Composite | Provisions for composite lumber Structural Panels |

## Table 2.1 continued

| Chapter | Title | Contents |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | Temperature Effects | Expanded guidance on temperature <br> considerations |
| D | Lateral Stability of <br> Beams | Expanded information on beam stability <br> CL factors |
| E | Local Stresses in <br> Fastener Groups | Additional guidance on local fastener <br> failure modes |
| F | Design for Creep and <br> Critical Deflection | Information regarding creep design |

Source: NDS 2015


Figure 2.3 Strength and load distribution


Figure 2.4 Material property variation for different wood products

Table 2.2 Representative timber-building height and area limitations

| Occupancy Group | Type III |  | Type IV | Type V |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | $B$ | $H T$ | A | $B$ |
| Maximum Height, ft (m) |  |  |  |  |  |
| All | 65 (19.8) | 55 (16.8) | 65 (19.8) | 50 (15.2) | 40 (12.2) |
| Permitted Stories <br> Permitted Area, $\mathrm{ft}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{A}-1$ <br> Assembly | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 14,000 \\ & (1,301) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 8,500 \\ & (790) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 15,000 \\ & (1,394) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 11,500 \\ & (1,068) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 5,500 \\ & (511) \end{aligned}$ |
| A5, Assembly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UL } \\ & \text { UL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{UL} \\ & \mathrm{UL} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UL } \\ & \text { UL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UL } \\ & \text { UL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UL } \\ & \text { UL } \end{aligned}$ |
| B, Business | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 28,500 \\ & (2,648) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 19,000 \\ & (1,765) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 36,000 \\ & (3,345) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 18,000 \\ & (1,672) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9,000 \\ & (836) \end{aligned}$ |
| E, Education | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 23,500 \\ & (2,183) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 14,500 \\ & (1,347) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 25,500 \\ & (2,369) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 18,500 \\ & (1,719) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9,500 \\ & (883) \end{aligned}$ |
| F-1, <br> Factory | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 19,000 \\ & (1,765) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 12,000 \\ & (1,115) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 33,500 \\ & (3,112) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 14,000 \\ & (1,301) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8,500 \\ & (790) \end{aligned}$ |
| H-1, High Hazard | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9,500 \\ & (883) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 7,000 \\ & (650) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 10,500 \\ & (975) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 7,500 \\ & (697) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NP } \\ & \text { NP } \\ & \text { (NP) } \end{aligned}$ |
| H-4, High Hazard | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 28,500 \\ & (2,648) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 17,500 \\ & (1,626) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 36,000 \\ & (3,345) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 18,000 \\ & (1,672) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6,500 \\ & (604) \end{aligned}$ |
| I-2, <br> Institutional | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 12,000 \\ & (1,115) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NP } \\ & \text { NP } \\ & \text { (NP) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 12,000 \\ & (1,115) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9,500 \\ & (883) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NP} \\ & \mathrm{NP} \\ & \text { (NP) } \end{aligned}$ |
| M, <br> Mercantile | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 18,500 \\ & (1,719) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 12,500 \\ & (1,161) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 20,500 \\ & (1,905) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 14,000 \\ & (1,301) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9,000 \\ & (836) \end{aligned}$ |


| R1, 2, 4, | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Residential | UL | UL | UL | UL | UL |
| S-1, | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Storage | 26,000 | 17,500 | 25,500 | 14,000 | 9,000 |
|  | $(2,415)$ | $(1,626)$ | $(2,369)$ | $(1,301)$ | $(836)$ |
| U, Utility | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 14,000 | 8,500 | 18,000 | 9,000 | 5,500 |
|  | $(1,301)$ | $(790)$ | $(1,672)$ | $(836)$ | $(511)$ |

Notes: UL = unlimited; NP = not permitted
Source: IBC 2012
$65 \mathrm{ft}(20 \mathrm{~m})$, the maximum number of stories is 5 , and the area is $36,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ $\left(3,345 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$. These limits are based on fire rating requirements, not strength. An effort is underway to extend timber use to taller structures, ${ }^{5}$ focusing on composite lumber products. The Canadian building code recently allowed six stories in wood construction. Timber buildings of 10-18 stories are beginning to be constructed or planned in Australia and Europe.

### 2.3 MATERIALS

Wood consists of cellulose and lignin, illustrated in Figure 2.5. Long cellulose fibers give it strength along the grain, while lignin holds the fibers together, providing shear strength and load transfer between discontinuous fibers. The fibers form the strongest part of this matrix.

Timber materials consist of sawn or engineered (manufactured) lumber. Engineered lumber includes glued laminated timber, SCL, I-joists, and structural panels.

### 2.3.1 Solid Sawn Lumber

Solid sawn lumber is milled from harvested trees, whereas engineered wood products are made from smaller wood pieces glued together. Sawn lumber comes in three size types: full sawn, rough sawn, and dressed (see Figure 2.6). Dressed lumber is the commonest type and what we buy at the lumber yard (Figure 2.7). Nominal sizes include $2 \times 4,2 \times 8$, and $4 \times 4$. For rough-cut lumber, the nominal and actual sizes were historically the same, but then, after 1870, when surfacing became the norm, lumber-producing organizations agreed on finished dimensions for standardization. ${ }^{6}$ Today, lumber is $12-3 / 4$ in $(12-19 \mathrm{~mm})$ smaller than the nominal (specified) size.


Figure 2.5 Conceptual sketch of lignin and cellulose


Figure 2.6 Solid sawn lumber size types, after Fridley ${ }^{7}$

These sizes are listed in Table A1.1 in Appendix 1 for common dressed shapes.

Representative sawn lumber reference design values (strength and stiffness) are provided in Appendix 2 in the following tables (refer to the NDS for additional species and grades):

- visually graded dimension lumber-Table A2.1;
- visually graded timbers ( $5 \times 5$ in and larger)—Table A2.2;
- mechanically graded Douglas Fir-Larch (North)—Table A2.3;
- visually graded Southern Pine-Table A2.4.

These tables contain the reference design strength and stiffness. To find adjusted design values, we multiply these by the adjustment factors specific to sawn lumber, listed in Table 2.3, and, more specifically, for sawn lumber, in Table 2.4 -discussed further in Section 2.4. Note that all the available adjustment factors do not apply all the time.


Figure 2.7 Dressed lumber in porch canopy

### 2.3.2 Engineered Wood

Engineered wood products are substantially stronger, have greater stiffness, and increase the sustainability of timber. They are making longer spans and taller structures possible. Engineered products include glued laminated timber, SCL, I-joists, and structural panels, shown in Figure 2.8. The manufacturers place stronger and greater amounts of wood in areas of highest stress, reducing overall material use. For example, I-joists are designed as bending members. The flanges are larger and made of higherstrength material. The web is thinner, but made of structural panels, which are efficient in shear. The net result is less material use.
Table 2.3 Adjustment factor location guide

| Factor |  |  |  | Material Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use | Symbol | Section | Table or Equation |  |  |  |  |  | Note |
|  |  |  |  |  | Glued Laminated Timber |  | $\frac{3}{3}$ |  |  |
| Load duration | $C_{D}$ | App 4 | Table A4.1 | X | X | X | X | X | ASD only |
| Wet service | $C_{M}$ | App 4 | Tables A4.2 \& A4.3 | X | X | X | X | X | Varies for each wood table |
| Temperature | $C_{t}$ | App 4 | Table A4.4 | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Beam stability | $C_{L}$ | Ch 4 | Equation (4.1) | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| Size | $C_{F}$ | App 4 | Table A4.5 | X |  |  |  |  | Varies for each strength table |
| Volume | $C_{V}$ | App 4 | Tables A4.6 \& A4.7 |  |  |  | X |  | Varies for glued laminated and SCL |
| Flat use | $C_{f u}$ | App 4 | Table A4.8 |  | X |  |  |  | Varies for each strength table |


|  |  |  |  | \% | N | U | - | 亿 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curvature | Cc | App 4 | Table A4.9 |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Stress interaction | $C_{I}$ | Ch 4 | Ch 2 eqn. |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Shear reduction | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {or }}$ | Ch 5 | Ch 2 eqn. |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Incising | $C_{i}$ | App 4 | Table A4.10 | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repetitive member | $C_{r}$ | Ch 2 | Section 2.4.11 | X |  | X | X |  |  |
| Column stability | $C_{P}$ | Ch 6 | Ch 5 eqn. | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| Buckling stiffness | $C_{T}$ | Ch 2 | Section 2.4.13 | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bearing area | $C_{b}$ | App 4 | Table A4.11 | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| Group action | $C_{g}$ | App 4 | Table A4.12 |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Geometry | $C \Delta$ | App 4 | Tables A4.13 \& A4.14 |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| End grain | $C_{\text {eg }}$ | App 4 | Table A4.15 |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Diaphragm | $C_{d i}$ | Ch 9 | Section 9.2.1.b |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Toe-nail | $C_{\text {bn }}$ | App 4 | Table A4.16 |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Format conversion | $K_{F}$ | App 4 | Table A4.17 | X | X | X | X | X | LRFD only |
| Resistance | $\phi$ | App 4 | Table A4.18 | X | X | X | X | X | LRFD only |
| Time effect | $\lambda$ | App 4 | Table A4.19 | X | X | X | X | X | LRFD only |

Table 2．4 Sawn lumber adjustment factors

|  | Equation |  |  |  |  | N゙ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { a } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { Now } \end{aligned}$ |  | Gl??qDiS uwmoŋ | Buckling Stiffness |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む゙ँ } \\ & \text { 先 } \\ & \text { ミ. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bending | $F_{b}^{\prime}=F_{b}$ | x | $C_{D}$ | $C_{M} C_{t}$ | $C_{L}$ | $C_{F}$ | $C_{\text {fu }}$ | $C_{i}$ | $C_{r}$ |  |  |  | 2.54 | 0.85 | $\lambda$ |
| Tension | $F_{t}^{\prime}=F_{t}$ | x | $C_{D}$ | $C_{M} C_{t}$ |  | $C_{F}$ |  | $C_{i}$ |  |  |  |  | 2.70 | 0.80 | $\lambda$ |
| Shear | $F_{v}^{\prime}=F_{v}$ | X | $C_{D}$ | $C_{M} C_{t}$ |  |  |  | $C_{i}$ |  |  |  |  | 2.88 | 0.75 | $\lambda$ |
| Compression｜｜ | $F_{c}^{\prime}=F_{c}$ | x | $C_{D}$ | $C_{M} C_{t}$ |  | $C_{F}$ |  | $C_{i}$ |  | $C_{P}$ |  |  | 2.40 | 0.90 | $\lambda$ |
| Compression $\perp$ | $F_{c \perp}^{\prime}=F_{c \perp}$ | x | $C_{M}$ | $C_{t}$ |  |  | $C_{i}$ |  |  |  |  | $C_{b}$ | 1.67 | 0.90 |  |
| Elastic Modulus | $E^{\prime}=E$ | X | $C_{M}$ | $C_{t}$ |  |  | $C_{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min Elastic Modulus | $E_{\text {min }}^{\prime}=E_{\text {min }}$ | X | $C_{M}$ | $C_{t}$ |  |  | $C_{i}$ |  |  |  | $C_{T}$ |  | 1.76 | 0.85 |  |

Source：NDS 2015


Figure 2.8 Engineered wood products. From top left, clockwise: glued laminated timber, PSL, LVL, I-joist, and structural panel

Advantages of engineered wood include:

- It is made using smaller trees: This reduces the growing time for replanted forests and encourages sustainable forestry practices.
- There are fewer discontinuities: Because the component pieces are small and glued together, the flaws are inherently smaller.
- There is material where we need it. The 'engineered' in wood products refers to the shape and material strength distribution being designed to best resist stresses. This results in stronger, more efficient members.

Disadvantages include:

- Limited cross-application: Some members are designed for a specific application. For example, I-joists are not able to function as columns.
- Water susceptibility: The glues in many engineered wood products are not able to withstand long periods of water exposure.
- Treatment averse: The glues in engineered wood products are often not compatible with preservative or fire treatments.


### 2.3.2.a Glued Laminated

Glued laminated timbers are made of nominal $2 \times$ material, glued together flatwise (Figure 2.9), thereby making larger members. Manufacturers economize by placing higher-quality material in the top and bottom laminations (lams or layers), where bending stress is greatest, and lowerquality, less-expensive woods for the middle lams, where shear is dominating. Glued laminated members are available in a variety of curved shapes, making them popular for gymnasiums, natatoriums, and places of worship.

Table A2.5 in Appendix 2 contains common glued laminated timber reference design stress and stiffness values. To find adjusted design values, multiply these by the adjustment factors specific to glued laminated timber, listed in Table 2.5.


Figure 2.9 Glued laminated beam cross section. Sample courtesy Boise Cascade
Table 2.5 Glued laminated timber adjustment factors


[^1]Note the $F_{b+}$ and $F_{b \text {. }}$ values in the second and third columns are sometimes the same and other times different. Identical values indicate a balanced layup, whereas different values indicate an unbalanced layup. The difference stems from the intended use. Unbalanced layups are for simple span beams, where there will only be tension in the bottom lams. Manufacturers place higher-quality wood in the bottom lams, because tension allowable stress is lower than compression allowable stress for the same species and grade. A good way to tell if the beam is installed correctly is to look at the bottom. If it says 'up', the contractor has installed it upside down. In this case, we use the $F_{b}$-values to evaluate the beam. Balanced layups are intended for multi-span beams where there will be tension in the top lams over the supports. It doesn't matter which way they are installed.

### 2.3.2.b Structural Composite Lumber

SCL is made of layers or strands of wood, glued together. It encompasses four product types: laminated veneer lumber (LVL), parallel strand lumber (PSL), laminated strand lumber (LSL), and cross laminated timber (CLT). SCL is often used to replace solid sawn joists and light beams and, in recent years, has become available in column form.

We use LVL for beams, joists, headers, and for I-joist flanges.
Resembling plywood, it is thicker, and the lams are all parallel, as shown


Figure 2.10 Laminated veneer lumber (LVL) cross section
in Figure 2.10. It comes in $13 / 4$ in ( 44.5 mm ) thicknesses and depths ranging from $5^{1 / 2}$ to 18 in ( $140-457 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), as summarized in Table A1.3 in Appendix 1.

PSL consists of narrow wood strips, cut into lengths and having a strand length-to-thickness ratio of about 300, which are laid parallel and glued together, as shown in Figure 2.11. PSLs are used where high axial strengths are required. They are available in sizes ranging from $3^{1 ⁄ 2}$ to 7 in ( $89-180 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) wide and from $31 / 2$ to 18 in ( $89-460 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) deep (see Table A1.3 in Appendix 1). Check with your local supply house for size availability.

LSL is made from flaked wood strands, having a length-to-thickness ratio around 150, which are glued together as shown in Figure 2.12. We use it for studs, joists, and lower-load headers and columns. They are available in sizes ranging from $3^{1 / 2}$ to 7 in ( $89-180 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) wide and from $31 / 2$ to 18 in ( $89-460 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) deep.


Figure 2.11 Parallel strand lumber (PSL) in beam and column application
Source: Photo courtesy of Weyerhaeuser®


Figure 2.12 Laminated strand lumber (LSL) in header application
Source: Photo courtesy of Weyerhaeuser®

Calculations with SCL require section properties, provided in Table A1.3, Appendix 1, and reference design values, summarized in Table A2.6. These are based on calculation and manufacturers' data. Table 2.6 lists adjustment factors, which don't vary with SCL type.

Cross-laminated timber is made from alternating layers of solid sawn lumber, illustrated in Figure 2.13. They are either glued together or connected with dovetails.

### 2.3.2.c I-Joists

I-joists are specifically designed to replace solid sawn $2 \times$ members used as repetitive floor joists or roof rafters. They are constructed of oriented strand board (OSB) or plywood webs with LVL or solid sawn flanges
Table 2.6 Structural composite lumber adjustment factors

Source: NDS 2015


Figure 2.13 Cross-laminated timber
Source: Courtesy COCIS, Edinburgh Napier University, \& ITAC, University of Utah
(see Figure 2.14). Joist depths range from $9 \frac{1}{2}$ to 20 in (240-510 mm). Bending stresses are highest at the top and bottom, where the strongest material in the joist is placed. Section and strength properties are combined into bending, shear, and bearing strengths, as summarized in Table A2.7, in Appendix 2. This is unlike the other materials where we use stress and section properties in our calculations. Table 2.7 lists the adjustment factors that are specific to I-joists.


Figure 2.14 I-joist cross section with LVL flanges and OSB web

## Table 2.7 I-joist adjustment factors



Source: NDS 2015

### 2.3.2.d Structural Panels

We use structural panels as roof, floor, and wall sheathing. Panels must meet one of two standards: APA PS 1 (plywood) or PS 2 (plywood and OSB). Panels carry gravity loads through bending, and shear from lateral loads. They are not effective at carrying tension or compression. Plywood is made from thin layers (veneers) of wood, each at $90^{\circ}$ to the previous and glued together (see Figure 2.15). Oriented strand board is made from strand-like chips that are oriented more along the length of the panel and glued together, as shown in Figure 2.16.

### 2.3.3 Connectors

Connections between members are fundamental to timber's successful use. Without them, a timber structure would amount to little more than a pile of sticks. Historically, builders used mortise and tenon, dovetail, dowel, split ring, and shear plate to connect wood members. Connectors today include nails, bolts, lag screws, truss plates, timber rivets, and


Figure 2.15 Plywood sheet showing alternating ply directions


Figure 2.16 Oriented strand board (OSB) showing primary strand direction
engineered metal plate connectors, although the older joints still perform well and provide an interesting flair to an exposed wood project.

This text covers common dowel-type fasteners and engineered metal plate connectors. Dowel-type fasteners consist of nails, bolts, and lag screws, shown in Figure 2.17. They transfer force either in bearing (i.e., shear) or tension (withdrawal). Engineered connectors are extremely varied and provide versatility for timber framing; see Figure 2.18. Simpson Strong-Tie ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ and USP ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ produce the greatest number and variety of proprietary connectors.

We find reference design strengths for dowel-type connectors in Appendix 3, applicable adjustment factors in Table 2.8, and expanded information in Chapter 9. Proprietary connection reference design strengths are in the manufacturer literature or, preferably, International Code Council Evaluation Service Reports (ICC ESR).

### 2.4 ADJUSTMENT FACTORS, $C_{x x}$

Adjustment factors account for various influences on strength and stiffness. This allows us to use one set of reference design strengths values (see Appendix 3) and then modify them for external variables.


Figure 2.17 Dowel-type fasteners, showing, top to bottom, nails, lag screws, and bolts


Figure 2.18 Engineered metal plate connectors showing a joist hanger, hurricane tie, and A35 clip

We multiply the NDS reference design values by all applicable factors. Some are greater than 1.0; many are 1.0 or less, depending on the service conditions.

Determination of the adjustment factors is the most time-consuming effort in timber design. Take your time to become familiar with their location in this book. Table 2.3 lists all the adjustment factors, their applicability, and where they are discussed in the text and tabulated in Appendix 4. A brief discussion of each factor follows in the next sections.

### 2.4.1 Load Duration, $C_{D}$-Table A4.1

Load duration factors account for how long a load will be carried by the member. They range from 0.9 for dead (permanent) to 2.0 for impact loads.
Table 2．8 Connector adjustment factors

|  | Equation | $A S D$only$\text { иоър.ın } Т \text { рроד }$ | ASD © $2 R F D$ |  |  |  |  |  | LRFD only |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J̃ } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { İ } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岩 } \\ & \text { 矿 } \\ & \text { 응 } \end{aligned}$ | 䓓 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む̃ँ } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { む̃ } \end{aligned}$ |
| Lateral Loads Dowel－type Fasteners | $z^{\prime}=z \quad \mathrm{x}$ | $C_{D}$ | $C_{M} C_{t}$ | $C_{g}$ | $C_{\Delta}$ | $C_{e g}$ | $C_{d i}$ | $C_{\text {bn }}$ | 3.32 | 0.65 | $\lambda$ |
| Withdrawal Loads Nails，spikes，lag screws， wood screws \＆drift pins | $W^{\prime}=W \mathrm{x}$ | $C_{D}$ | $C_{M} \quad C_{t}$ |  |  | $C_{\text {eg }}$ |  | $C_{\text {tn }}$ | 3.32 | 0.65 | $\lambda$ |

[^2]
### 2.4.2 Wet Service, $\boldsymbol{C}_{M}$-Tables A4.2 and A4.3

The wet service factor captures the structural effect of high moisture conditions. It is 1.0 for interior use and moisture content (less than or equal to 19 percent). The factors vary for different lumber species and sizes for moisture content greater than 19 percent. Typical interior conditions are considered dry.

### 2.4.3 Temperature, $\boldsymbol{C}_{t}$-Table A4.4

Temperature factors reflect the influence of sustained, elevated temperatures above $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. They are typically 1.0 , as most structures don't experience high, sustained temperatures, but $C_{t}$ can be as low as 0.5 for service in wet environments at temperatures between $125^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and $150^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The factors are different for wood and connectors and vary with moisture content.

### 2.4.4 Beam Stability, $C_{L}$

Beam stability factors account for the tendency of tall, narrow beams to roll over in the middle. They are determined by equation and further discussed in Chapter 4.

### 2.4.5 Size, $C_{F}$, and Volume, $C_{V}$-Tables A4.5-A4.7

As the size of a member increases, so does the potential for discontinuities, such as knots. Size and volume factors adjust for this; they range from 0.4 to 1.5 and vary between different types and species of lumber.

### 2.4.6 Flat Use, $C_{f u}$-Table A4.8

Flat use factors account for the strength and stiffness variation when the member is loaded along its wide face (laid flatwise). They vary from 0.74 to 1.2. These factors commonly apply to timber decking.

### 2.4.7 Curvature, $C_{c}$-Table A4.9

The curvature factor accounts for additional stresses that occur in the manufacturing process of curved, glued laminated beams. It is calculated by equation in the NDS. ${ }^{8}$

### 2.4.8 Stress Interaction, $C_{I}$

Stress interaction factors account for taper in glued laminated bending members. They are outside the scope of this book, but are calculated by equations provided in the code. ${ }^{9}$

### 2.4.9 Shear Reduction, $C_{\text {vr }}$

Shear reduction factors apply to glued laminated timber. The factor is 0.72 for non-prismatic members, members with impact load, members with notches, and at connections.

### 2.4.10 Incising Factor, $C_{i}$-Table A4.10

The incising factor accounts for little cuts made into wood to allow preservatives and fire retardants to be injected (see Figure 2.19). Incising cuts are $3 / 8$ in ( 10 mm ) deep and long, and run parallel to the grain.

### 2.4.11 Repetitive Member, $C_{r}$

The repetitive member factor accounts for the potential load sharing between closely spaced members ( $24 \mathrm{in}(600 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) or less on center). They must be connected with a load sharing element, such as floor sheathing. The repetitive member factor is 1.15 for sawn lumber and 1.04 for SCL. It is 1.0 for all other materials, including I-joists.

### 2.4.12 Column Stability, $C_{P}$

Column stability factors account for the buckling potential of slender columns. They are determined by a nonlinear equation based on $(L / d)^{2}$, where $L$ is column height, and $d$ is least dimension of the cross sectionfurther discussed in Chapter 5.

### 2.4.13 Buckling Stiffness, $C_{T}$

The buckling stiffness factor applies only to $2 \times 4$ sawn lumber truss chords in combined bending and compression. It is determined by equation from the ND.S. ${ }^{10}$


Figure 2.19 Incising cuts in pressure treated wood

### 2.1.14 Bearing Area, $C_{b}$-Table A4.11

The bearing area factor increases compressive strength perpendicular to the grain when the load is applied 3 in ( 76 mm ) or more away from the end, as shown in Figure 2.20. It commonly applies to studs or columns bearing on a horizontal wood member. It is 1.0 for bearing lengths of 6 in (150 mm) or more.

### 2.4.15 Group Action, $C_{g}$ —Table A4.12

Group action factors account for the nonuniform load distribution in bolt or lag screw connections with multiple fasteners (see Figure 2.21). $C_{g}$ decreases as the number of bolts or screws increase.


Figure 2.20 Wall stud bearing on sill plate showing applicability of the bearing adjustment factor


Figure 2.21 Bolted connection with multiple fasteners requiring application of the group adjustment factor

### 2.4.16 Connection Geometry, $C_{4}$-Tables A4.13 and A4.14

Geometry factors reduce the connector strength when it is located too close to an edge or end of a member, or to another connector. It is 1.0 if the minimum end, edge, and spacing requirements are met-see Chapter 9—but can be as low as 0.5 .

### 2.4.17 End Grain, $C_{\text {eg }}$-Table A4.15

The end grain factor reduces the strength of dowel-type fasteners installed in the end of a member, parallel to the grain (see Figure 2.22). It ranges between 0 and 0.67 , depending on fastener and load direction. Nails and wood screws in the end grain loaded in withdrawal (tension) have no strength, hence a $C_{\text {eg }}$ factor of 0 .

### 2.4.18 Diaphragm, $C_{d i}$

The diaphragm factor- $C_{d i}=1.1$-increases lateral nail design values.
A diaphragm is the sheathing on a floor or roof, designed to resist lateral loads (wind or seismic).

### 2.4.19 Toe-Nail, $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {tn }}$-Table A4.16

Toe-Nail factors reduce connection strength when installed diagonally through the connected member, as shown in Figure 2.23.


Figure 2.22 Lag screw installed in the end grain of a member


Figure 2.23 Cut-away view of a toe-nailed connection
2.4.20 Format Conversion, $K_{F}$-Table A4.17

Format conversion factors take the reference design values located in Appendices 2 and 3 from ASD to LRFD levels. They vary from 1.76 to 3.32, depending on the property type. We do not use them with ASD design.
2.4.21 Resistance, $\phi$-Table A4. 18

Resistance factors reduce material values used in LRFD design. They range from 0.65 to 0.90 . In LRFD design, they shift material values to the left of the curve in Figure 2.3, whereas the load factors shift the demand curve to the right.

### 2.4.22 Time Effect, $\lambda$ —Table A4. 19

The time effect factor is essentially the reciprocal of the load duration factor. It ranges from 0.6 to 1.0, depending on the load type, and is only used in LRFD design.

We multiply the applicable adjustment factors by the reference design values given in Appendices 2 and 3. For example, we find allowable axial compressive stress $F_{c}^{\prime}$ of a sawn lumber column by multiplying the reference design stress $F_{C}$ by the applicable adjustment factors $C_{D}, C_{M}$, and so on, shown as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{\prime}=F_{c} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} C_{P} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each material type uses a given set of adjustment factors for each stress action (e.g., tension, bending, and compression). These are summarized in the tables listed below, found earlier in this chapter. They will be very helpful in keeping track of your calculations.

- Sawn lumber—Table 2.4;
- Glued laminated timber-Table 2.5;
- Structural composite lumber (SCL)— Table 2.6;
- I-joists-Table 2.7;
- Mechanical connectors-Table 2.8.


### 2.5 MATERIAL BEHAVIOR

### 2.5.1 Anisotropic

Timber is anisotropic, meaning its material properties are different in each direction. Properties in the direction of the wood fibers (grain) are highest, and they are lowest cross-wise (perpendicular) to the grain (see Figure 2.24). This anisotropy is handled by attention being paid to load direction, and by the appropriate reference design stresses being used, namely:

- $F_{c}$ for compression parallel to the grain;
- $F_{C \perp}$ for compression perpendicular to the grain;
- $\quad F_{t}$ for tension parallel to the grain (we never want tension loads perpendicular to the grain: see discussion in Chapter 3).

Compression reference design stresses parallel to the grain, $F_{c}$, are two to three times greater than the strength perpendicular to the grain, $F_{c \perp}$.

### 2.5.2 Stress-Strain Curve

Stress-strain relationships in timber vary depending on load type and direction. For bending, stress and strain are linear up to the proportional limit, where the strain increases faster than stress until failure occurs (see Figure 2.25). For tension along the wood fibers, strain and stress increase linearly until about 0.5-1 percent strain, then abrupt failure occurs before


Figure 2.24 Anisotropy in timber

2 percent, with little nonlinear behavior, as shown in Figure 2.26. Under compression parallel to the grain, stress and strain increase linearly until around 0.5-1 percent strain, where it reaches the proportional limit (similar to yielding in a steel specimen). Compression strength slowly degrades with increasing strain, as shown in Figure 2.27. Compression perpendicular to the grain starts linearly, and then arrives asymptotically at a maximum strength, without degradation (Figure 2.28), because the wood becomes increasingly dense. Timber design stays in the linear portion of these stress-strain curves, but it can be helpful to know where reserve capacity may lie.

### 2.5.3 Discontinuities

All building materials have imperfections. Timber commonly has knots, splits, checks, and shakes, as shown in Figure 2.29. These are not necessarily flaws, simply discontinuities. Reference design values take this reality into account. Heavy timber beams are good examples of members containing large discontinuities that have little impact on overall strength (Figure 2.30).

### 2.6 SECTION PROPERTIES

The commonest section properties used in designing timber structures are area, $A$, section modulus, $S$, moment of inertia, $I$, and radius of


Figure 2.25 Bending stress-strain curve


Figure 2.26 Tension stress-strain curve


Figure 2.27 Compression parallel to grain stress-strain curve


Figure 2.28 Compression perpendicular to grain stress-strain curve


Figure 2.29 Common timber discontinuities: (a) knot, (b) split, (c) check, and (d) shake


Figure 2.30 Beam with large shakes
gyration, $r$. Area and section modulus relate to strength, moment of inertia relates to stiffness, and radius of gyration relates to stability. Figure 2.31 shows section property equations for round and rectangular shapes. Appendix 1 provides the following rectangular section properties:

- sawn lumber-Table A1.1;
- glued laminated timber (western species)-Table A1.2;
- structural composite lumber (SCL)—Table A1.3.


### 2.7 STRUCTURAL CONFIGURATION

A great advantage of timber construction is its flexibility and ease of construction. It can be used in a wide range of structures and worked with simple, accessible tools. The U.S. building code limits timber construction


| Round | Rectangle |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A=\pi r^{2}$ | $A=b h$ |  |  |
| Moment of Inertia |  |  |  |
| $I=\frac{\pi r^{4}}{4}$ | $I_{x}=\frac{1}{12} b h^{3}$ | $I_{y}=\frac{1}{12} h b^{3}$ |  |
| Radius of Gyration |  |  |  |
| $r_{z}=\frac{r}{2}$ | $r_{x}=\frac{h}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $r_{y}=\frac{b}{\sqrt{12}}$ |  |
| Section Modulus |  |  |  |
| $S=\frac{\pi r^{3}}{4}$ | $S_{x}=\frac{1}{6} b h^{2}$ | $S_{y}=\frac{1}{6} h b^{2}$ |  |
| $Q=\frac{2 r^{3}}{3}$ <br> at center | $Q_{x}=\frac{1}{8} b h^{2}$ | $Q_{y}=\frac{1}{8} h b^{2}$ |  |
| Polar Moment of Inertia |  |  |  |
| $J=\frac{\pi r^{4}}{2}$ |  |  |  |
| $r_{z}=\sqrt{\frac{I}{A}}$ for any shape |  |  |  |

Figure 2.31 Section property equations
to five stories; the limit is six in Canada. Timber configuration is broken into light bearing wall and heavy post and beam systems.

### 2.7.1 Bearing Wall

Bearing wall structures are the commonest today. They are simple and efficient, as seen in Figures 2.2 and 2.32. Bearing wall systems are most effective when the walls stack-line up top to bottom-with window openings comprising less than 50 percent of the wall area. Exterior walls are often framed using $2 \times 6$ ( $50 \times 150$ ), to provide greater space for insulation. Extra attention must be paid in the design of double-height walls without an intermediate floor to brace the studs (two-story spans with large windows are particularly challenging).

### 2.7.2 Post and Beam

Post and beam construction is more traditional and common in historic buildings. The floor joists sit on top of beams, which sit on top of the columns, as shown in Figure 2.33. Today, heavy timber ( 5 in or 125 mm sections) structures are used to construct religious and public buildings and follow the historic lead of the past. They employ more modern steel connections, sometimes concealed to enhance the architectural effect.


Figure 2.32 Bearing wall residential construction


Figure 2.33 Post and beam in historic construction

This helps reduce the floor depth by allowing joists to frame flush to the top of beams.

### 2.8 CONSTRUCTION

Timber construction varies from small residential projects to large structures with prefabricated roof and wall panels. As the loads increase, we introduce engineered lumber, and then steel beams and columns where timber's strength is exceeded. Materials for residential construction are typically moved by hand or forklifts. As the project becomes larger, contractors use light cranes. Hand tools such as hammers, drills, nail guns, and circular saws are the commonest tools on the project site.

### 2.9 QUALITY CONTROL

The $I B C^{11}$ only requires special inspection on high-load diaphragm and metal plate trusses spanning $60 \mathrm{ft}(18.3 \mathrm{~m}$ ) or more. However, it is important for the engineer to make structural observation site visits to
confirm the quality of the workmanship. In particular, attention must be paid to the following:

- Construction is following the plans, specifications, and design intent.
- The specified materials are installed.
- Correct fasteners are used.
- Nails are not overdriven, are spaced properly, and do not split the wood.
- Blocking is installed in the right places and is properly nailed.
- Columns are continuous through floors.
- Treated wood is installed against soil, concrete, and masonry.
- Glued laminated beams don't say 'this side up' on the bottom.
- There is coordination with electrical and mechanical trades.


### 2.10 WHERE WE GO FROM HERE

From here, we will dive into tension, bending, shear, and compression member design. We then get into trusses and lateral design, and end with connections.
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Humans have found shelter in timber-framed structures for millennia. These structures were built to resist gravity loads, and so rarely were any parts of the structure in tension. In contrast, modern trusses and lateral bracing systems rely on timber's substantial tensile strength.

Surprisingly, timber's strength-to-weight ratio rivals that of structural steel-although mobilizing this strength at connections remains a challenge. In 1937, Trautwine ${ }^{1}$ reported that timber's ultimate tensile strength ranged from 6,000 lb/in² ( $41 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) for Cypress to $23,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ ( $159 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) for Lancewood, although he cautioned these were breaking stress values under ideal conditions.

Timber tension members are found in trusses, hangers, and crossbracing, as shown in Figure 3.1.

### 3.1 STABILITY

Stability is not a concern for tension members. The tension stresses keep the member straight and stable.


CROGS-BRACING IN TIMBER FRAME CONGTRUETION
Figure 3.1 Timber frame construction bracing system

### 3.2 CAPACITY

### 3.2.1 Reference Design Values

Because wood fibers are arranged parallel or nearly parallel with the material's long direction, timber is orthotropic (Figure 3.2)—its properties change with grain direction. Timber's strength is greater parallel to the grain than perpendicular to it. Also, drying shrinkage is much greater across the grain than parallel. Drying shrinkage cause cracks that reduce bending and shear strength, but these are less of a problem for tension members.

The allowed stresses are specified by the code reference National Design Specification (NDS). ${ }^{2}$ The stresses permitted for tension are lower than those allowed for compression, because the occasional defects, such as knots, cannot carry tension. No code safety factors account for major physical damage caused by careless handling, insect infestation, rot, or decay. This aside, carefully proportioned members are normally safe, but are vulnerable at connections.

The $N D S$ publishes the reference design values for members in tension parallel to grain, $F_{t}$, bending, $F_{b}$, shear parallel to grain, $F_{v}$, compression parallel and perpendicular to grain, $F_{C}$ and $F_{C \perp}$, respectively, plus elastic modulus, $E$, for commonly used species of wood. It warns us against putting timber in tension across the grain, like the condition shown in Figure 3.3, but the code adds a brief note stating, "if it is unavoidable, mechanical reinforcement shall be considered." Connections that are perpendicular to the grain unavoidably produce tension between the fibers, and so the $N D S$ specifies minimum edge distances to avoid breakout of fasteners-this is further discussed in Chapter 9.


TENGION PARAUEL WITH GRAIN

Figure 3.2 Timber element in tension (parallel to grain)


Figure 3.3 Tension across grain (not permitted)

The NDS reference design values incorporate a factor of safety (FS or SF) to account for natural defects although these factors do not compensate for design errors.

### 3.2.2 Adjusted Design Values

We adjust the reference design values for duration of load, wet environments, high temperature, size, and incising, as discussed in Chapter 2. Following Tables 2.4-2.7, we can quickly see which factors apply to tension for different wood products. The equations for adjusted design stress are as follows:
$F_{t}^{\prime}=F_{t} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i}$ for sawn lumber
$F_{t}^{\prime}=F_{t} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t}$ for glued laminated timber
$F_{t}^{\prime}=F_{t} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t}$ for structural composite lumber
where:
$F_{t}=$ reference tension design stress from Appendix 2
$C_{x}=$ adjustment factors; see Table 2.3

### 3.2.3 Member Proportioning

To size tension members, there is one simple equation for the required net cross-sectional area, $A_{\text {net }}$-which is the gross area minus any holes made for connections:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n e t}=\frac{T}{F_{t}^{\prime}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=\text { tension force in } \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\
& F_{t}^{\prime}=\text { adjusted design stress in } \mathrm{lb}(\mathrm{kN})
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3 DEMAND VS. CAPACITY

The $N D S$ reference design value for basic allowable tension stress is designated $F_{t}$. After it has been multiplied by the adjustment factors ( $C_{D}, C_{M}, C_{t}, C_{F}$, and $C_{i}$ ), the stress to be used for structural design $f_{t}$ is determined. This is also known as the adjusted design stress. We compare this stress with the actual stress in the member, calculated using:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t}=\frac{T}{A_{\text {net }}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## INITIAL TENSION MEMBER SIZING

Rules of thumb, also known as empirical design, extend back some two millennia. Little has changed our appreciation for heavy post and beam construction proportioned on rules of thumb.

For tension members, a good rule of thumb is to double the required area so that lost material at connections is accommodated.

Table 3.1 Tension strength for varying species and wood types

## Imperial Units

Tension Strength, T (k)

|  | $b$ | d | Bald Cypress | Spruce- <br> Pine- <br> Fir | Redwood | Douglas <br> Fir-Larch <br> (N) | Glued <br> Lamin- <br> ated | Southern <br> Pine | LVL | LVL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $F_{t}\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | in | in | 425 | 550 | 800 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,350 | 1,555 | 2,485 |
| $2 \times 4$ | 1.5 | 3.5 | 1.49 | 1.93 | 2.80 | 3.50 | 3.85 | 4.73 | 5.44 | 8.70 |
| $2 \times 6$ | 1.5 | 5.5 | 2.34 | 3.03 | 4.40 | 5.50 | 6.05 | 7.43 | 8.6 | 13.7 |
| $2 \times 8$ | 1.5 | 7.25 | 3.08 | 3.99 | 5.80 | 7.25 | 7.98 | 9.79 | 11.3 | 18.0 |
| $2 \times 10$ | 1.5 | 9.25 | 3.93 | 5.09 | 7.40 | 9.25 | 10.2 | 12.5 | 14.4 | 23.0 |
| $2 \times 12$ | 1.5 | 11.25 | 4.78 | 6.19 | 9.00 | 11.25 | 12.4 | 15.2 | 17.5 | 28.0 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.47 | 4.49 | 6.53 | 8.17 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 12.7 | 20.3 |
| $5 \times 5$ | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.74 | 7.43 | 10.8 | 13.5 | 14.9 | 18.2 | 21.0 | 33.5 |
| $6 \times 6$ | 5.5 | 5.5 | 8.57 | 11.1 | 16.1 | 20.2 | 22.2 | 27.2 | 31.4 | 50.1 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 7.5 | 7.5 | 15.9 | 20.6 | 30.0 | 37.5 | 41.3 | 50.6 | 58.3 | 93.2 |
| $10 \times 10$ | 9.5 | 9.5 | 25.6 | 33.1 | 48.1 | 60.2 | 66.2 | 81.2 | 93.6 | 150 |
| $12 \times 12$ | 11.5 | 11.5 | 37.5 | 48.5 | 70.5 | 88.2 | 97.0 | 119 | 137 | 219 |
| $14 \times 14$ | 13.5 | 13.5 | 51.6 | 66.8 | 97.2 | 122 | 134 | 164 | 189 | 302 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 15.5 | 15.5 | 68.1 | 88.1 | 128 | 160 | 176 | 216 | 249 | 398 |
| $18 \times 18$ | 17.5 | 17.5 | 86.8 | 112 | 163 | 204 | 225 | 276 | 317 | 507 |
| $20 \times 20$ | 19.5 | 19.5 | 108 | 139 | 203 | 254 | 279 | 342 | 394 | 630 |
| $22 \times 22$ | 21.5 | 21.5 | 131 | 169 | 247 | 308 | 339 | 416 | 479 | 766 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 23.5 | 23.5 | 156 | 202 | 295 | 368 | 405 | 497 | 572 | 915 |

Harry Parker suggests adding between 50 and 67 percent to the cross-sectional area, in his Simplified Design of Roof Trusses for Architects and Builders: ${ }^{3}$

All tension members formed of timber have their sections reduced at joints by the necessary cutting for bolts and framing. Therefore, in the design of timber tension members, the cross section must

## Metric Units <br> Tension Strength, T (N)

|  | Bald <br> Cypress | Spruce- <br> Pine- <br> Fir | Redwood | Douglas Fir-Larch (N) | Glued <br> Laminated | Southern Pine | LVL | LVL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $F_{t}\left(k N / m^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,930 | 3,792 | 5,516 | 6,895 | 7,584 | 9,308 | 10,721 | 17,133 |
| $2 \times 4$ | 6.62 | 8.56 | 12.5 | 15.6 | 17.1 | 21.0 | 24.2 | 38.7 |
| $2 \times 6$ | 10.4 | 13.5 | 19.6 | 24.5 | 26.9 | 33.0 | 38.0 | 60.8 |
| $2 \times 8$ | 13.7 | 17.7 | 25.8 | 32.2 | 35.5 | 43.5 | 50.1 | 80.1 |
| $2 \times 10$ | 17.5 | 22.6 | 32.9 | 41.1 | 45.3 | 55.5 | 64.0 | 102 |
| $2 \times 12$ | 21.3 | 27.5 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 55.0 | 67.6 | 77.8 | 124 |
| $4 \times 4$ | 15.4 | 20.0 | 29.1 | 36.3 | 40.0 | 49.0 | 56.5 | 90.3 |
| $5 \times 5$ | 25.5 | 33.0 | 48.0 | 60.1 | 66.1 | 81.1 | 93.4 | 149 |
| $6 \times 6$ | 38.1 | 49.3 | 71.8 | 89.7 | 98.7 | 121 | 139 | 223 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 70.9 | 91.7 | 133 | 167 | 183 | 225 | 259 | 415 |
| $10 \times 10$ | 114 | 147 | 214 | 268 | 294 | 361 | 416 | 665 |
| $12 \times 12$ | 167 | 216 | 314 | 392 | 431 | 529 | 610 | 975 |
| $14 \times 14$ | 230 | 297 | 432 | 540 | 595 | 730 | 840 | 1,343 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 303 | 392 | 570 | 712 | 784 | 962 | 1,108 | 1,770 |
| $18 \times 18$ | 386 | 499 | 727 | 908 | 999 | 1,226 | 1,412 | 2,257 |
| $20 \times 20$ | 479 | 620 | 902 | 1,128 | 1,240 | 1,522 | 1,753 | 2,802 |
| $22 \times 22$ | 583 | 754 | 1,097 | 1,371 | 1,508 | 1,851 | 2,132 | 3,406 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 696 | 901 | 1,310 | 1,638 | 1,801 | 2,211 | 2,547 | 4,070 |

Notes: (1.) Apply appropriate adjustment factors; (2.) table values assume a $33 \%$ reduction in strength to account for connections and heavy timber reference design values
have a gross area in excess of the required net area. In timber trusses, it is customary to use timber for the lower chord, which resists tensile forces, the gross section being one-half to twothirds greater than the net area theoretically required.

Following this recommendation, Table 3.1 provides tension strength for varying reference design stresses. This can be used to quickly find the initial member size for a given tension load.

Design is an iterative process, and the designer should verify initial selections after the details have been developed. Engineers need a system for differentiating preliminary sizes from members that have been fully designed. The author uses pencil for the preliminary design and electronic drawings for design development. Find something that works for you.
where
$T$ = tension force, lb (kN)
$A_{\text {net }}=$ is the net cross-sectional area, $\mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$
When the actual stress is less than the adjusted design stress, $F_{t}^{\prime}$, we have a safe design.

In some cases, specifically for investigations of existing structures, the member sizes are known, but structural capacity is unknown. When we want to know the allowable tension value, $T$, we rearrange equation (3.5) so that $T$ is on the left, and replace tension stress with the adjusted design stress, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=F_{t}^{\prime} A_{\text {net }} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is the basis of the calculations in Table 3.1.

### 3.4 DEFLECTION

Pure tension deformation is illustrated in Figure 3.4. When we apply a tension force to our foam member, the circles deform and become elliptical-longer in the direction of tension.

Tension deflection tends to be rather small in members. However, in trusses, the tension and compression deflections add up to give deflections that we need to consider.


Figure 3.4 Tension deformation in a foam member

We calculate tension deflection as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{T l}{A E^{\prime}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$T$ = axial tension force, $\mathrm{lb}(\mathrm{kN})$
l = length, in (m)
$A=$ cross-section area, $\mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$, use gross area
$E^{\prime}=$ adjusted modulus of elasticity, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
Remember to watch your units. Also, refer to Chapter 4 for a discussion on long- and short-term deflection.

### 3.5 DETAILING

Connections are the biggest challenge in structural design. In historic structures, bottom chord and king-post connections were often dovetailed in, rather than the dubious tension capacity of mortise and tenon joints. Sometimes, the builders haunched the ends to avoid a reduction in cross-sectional area. After the industrial revolution, bolts and iron straps were often used to supplement truss tension connections. The tensile capacity of timber at connections became another required check.

In practice, after timber sizes have been selected, and the connections are designed, we need to verify that the timber net sections are adequate. In prefabricated trusses, such as the one shown in Figure 3.5, this responsibility falls on the truss manufacturer's engineer. Delegating the design responsibility is a mixed bag. The engineer of record (or the architect) retains veto authority if the connection does not fulfill the requirements.

Another tension connection type is the stud wall tie-down shown in Figure 3.6. Here, the tension force is in the studs, owing to lateral loads. The tension connection is made with a hold down attached to the studs and an anchor rod embedded in the foundation wall.


Figure 3.5 Prefabricated truss showing layout and bottom chord connection detail


Figure 3.6 Stud wall tie-down

### 3.6 DESIGN STEPS

In general, the design of structural elements follow these steps:

1. Draw the structural layout (building geometry, column grid, structural member spans, and spacing).
2. Based on use and geographic location, determine the loads. (a.) Live and environmental loads are based on the building code.
(b.) Building occupancy dictates code required live load.
(c.) Refer to the building code for how to combine basic loading.

The $I B C$ specifies the minimum number of combinations that should be examined. One obvious gravity load combination is 1.0 Dead + 1.0 Live.
3. Material Parameters-Select the wood grade and species, and find the reference design values and adjustment factors.
4. Estimate initial size based on rules of thumb, preliminary tables, or a guess.
5. Calculate member stress and compare with adjusted reference stress.
6. Calculate deflections (usually not a factor for tension member design).
7. Summarize the results.

### 3.7 DESIGN EXAMPLE

## Steps 1 and 2: Determine Layout and Loads

Assume you are designing a truss bottom chord and steps 1 and 2 above are complete, yielding the following bottom chord tension force:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=D+L \\
& =25 \mathrm{k}+25 \mathrm{k} \\
& =50 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =111 \mathrm{kN}+111 \mathrm{kN} \\
& =222 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: The live load duration could be as long as 10 years.

## Step 3: Determine Material Parameters

Assume you have decided to use Bald Cypress, No. 2. From Table A2.2:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{t}=425 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} & F_{t}=2,930 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
E=1,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} & E=6,894,756 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

The applicable adjustment factors are:

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration—Live load | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-Dry, interior condition | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-Sustained temperatures don't <br> exceed $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Table A4.4 |
| $C_{F}=1.0$ | Size-Sawn lumber, guessing a 12 in $(300 \mathrm{~mm})$ <br> deep member | Table A4.5 |
| $C_{i}=1.0$ | Incising-Not treated wood | Table A4.10 |

Applying these to the reference design values, we get:
$F_{t}^{\prime}=F_{t} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i}$
$=425 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}(1.0)$
$=2,930 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}(1.0)$
$=425 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$E^{\prime}=E_{t} C_{M} C_{t} C_{i}$
$=1,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}(1.0) \quad=6,894,756 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}(1.0)$
$=1,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad=6,894,756 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

## Step 4: Estimate Initial Size

Based on experience, we will try a 12 in ( 305 mm ) square member.

## Step 5: Calculate the Member Stresses

Rather than calculate member stress, let's calculate the required member size. Dividing the tension force by the adjusted design stress, $F_{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime}$, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\text {net }}=\frac{T}{F_{t}^{\prime}} \\
& =\frac{50,000 \mathrm{lb}}{425 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}} \\
& =118 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{222 \mathrm{kN}}{2,930 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}\right)^{2}} \\
& =78,768 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this to the size of the trial member, from Table A1.1, we see that:

$$
A=132.3 \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad A=0.085 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

Assuming connections will decrease the net area, let's increase the size to $12 \times 14 \mathrm{in}(300 \times 355 \mathrm{~mm})$.

## Step 6: Calculate Deflection

As a check on the stretching or elongation caused by tension, we use equation (3.7).

Suppose the bottom chord is $30 \mathrm{ft}(9.14 \mathrm{~m})$ long: the bottom chord stretch will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta & =T l / A E^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{50,000 \mathrm{lb}(30 \mathrm{ft}) 12 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ft}}{11.5 \mathrm{in}(13.5 \mathrm{in}) 1,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}} \\
& =0.116 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned} \quad=\frac{222 \mathrm{kN}(9,140 \mathrm{~mm})}{0.292 \mathrm{~m}(0.340 \mathrm{~m}) 6,894,756 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}}
$$

which is negligible.

## Step 7: Summarize Results

We have selected a Bald Cypress No. 2 bottom chord that is $12 \times 14$ in ( $300 \times 355 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) 。

### 3.8 WHERE WE GO FROM HERE

Defining loads and determining a structural arrangement are fundamental for an efficient structural system. Before one launches into the design of individual members for tension, bending, or compression, the general arrangement of framing should be vetted by the architect or an experienced engineer.

Efficient framing systems acknowledge the architect's vision, while permitting some order and repetition. After the framing material has been selected-(timber for this book)-the designer usually performs some rudimentary calculations for gravity loads. Lateral loads should be considered at an early stage in the design, and bracing or shear walls should be located in a logical position to keep short and continuous load paths. Tension design of timber bracing, truss members, and, in some rare cases, tension hangers then follows the more difficult design tasks of building layout and global analysis noted above.

## NOTES

1. Trautwine. Civil Engineer's Reference Book (1937).
2. ANSI/AWC. National Design Specification NDS-2015 and the NDS Supplement-Design Values for Wood

Construction (Leesburg, VA: AWC, 2015).
3. H. Parker. Simplified Design of Roof Trusses for Architects and Builders (New York: John Wiley, 1941).
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Timber beams are used widely in all types of construction, ranging from simple homes to elaborate cathedrals. They are light, easy to modify on site, and economical-providing safe, simple shelter.

In this chapter, we will explore sawn, glued laminated, and engineered lumber beams and joists. We will learn the parameters to consider, some preliminary sizing tools, and how to do the in-depth calculations. This will prepare you to design beams, such as those in a new apartment (Figure 4.1), or evaluate them in a historic structure (Figure 4.2).

Timber beams and joists are made from either sawn lumber or engineered wood products, such as those in Figure 4.3. They can easily span distances from 10 to $50 \mathrm{ft}(3-15 \mathrm{~m}$ ), or further when needed. Floor and roof joists, spanning 10-25 ft (3-7.5 m), are commonly 6-12 in (150-300 mm) deep.

Before we go into the details, let's visualize how beams deform. Simple span beams with downward load experience tension in the bottom and compression in the top at the mid-span. Figure $4.4 a$ shows a simply supported foam beam with a point load in the middle. Notice how the circles stretch in the bottom middle-indicating tension-and shorten at


Figure 4.1 I-joists and LVL beam in new multi-family construction


Figure 4.2 Timber beam in historic barn, Cane River Creole National Historical Park, Natchitoches, Louisiana

Source: Photo courtesy of Robert A. Young © 2007


Figure 4.3 Sawn, composite, and I-joist


Figure 4.4 Foam beam showing deformation of (a) single and (b) double span
the top-indicating compression. Taking this further, Figure 4.4b shows a multi-span beam with point loads. The middle deformation is the same as for a simply supported beam, but, over the middle supports, where the beam is continuous, the tension and compression change places-tension on top, compression on bottom.

### 4.1 STABILITY

To be efficient, timber beams are deeper than they are wide. This moves more material away from the neutral axis (or center), increasing the moment of inertia, $I$, and section modulus, $S$. (Recall from Figure 2.31 that the depth is cubed and squared, respectively, for these properties, whereas the width is not.) However, deeper beams are prone to rolling over in the middle if they are not braced sufficiently.

To understand lateral torsional buckling, imagine you begin standing on the edge of a long, skinny board, braced at the ends. As you apply your weight, it begins to roll over to a flat position near the middle, shown in Figure 4.5. As this happens, the strength of the beam drops rapidly, and failure occurs. This is further illustrated in Figure 4.6, which shows the beam in a buckled state under the applied loads.

To keep this from happening, we need either to keep the beam proportions more like a square, or to brace it. We generally brace rectangular beams using one of the following methods:

- sheathing nailing—sufficient for joists;
- joists framing into a beam at regular intervals;
- beams framing into a beam;
- kickers bracing the bottom flange that is in compression over a support.

Stability considerations become more serious the deeper the beam gets relative to its width. We account for this with the beam stability factor, $C_{L}$. We consider three conditions:

- The beam is laid flatwise, or is square- $C_{L}=1.0$.
- The beam is braced along its compression edge along its length$C_{L}=1.0$.
- The beam is not braced continuously- $C_{L}<1.0$.

We calculate the beam stability factor utilizing the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L}=\frac{1+\left(F_{b E} / F_{b}^{*}\right)}{1.9}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+\left(F_{b E} / F_{b}^{*}\right)}{1.9}\right]^{2}-\frac{\left(F_{b E} / F_{b}^{*}\right)}{0.95}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$F_{b}^{*}=F_{b}$ multiplied by all the adjustment factors except $C_{f u}, C_{L}$, and $C_{V}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{b E}=\frac{1.20 E_{\min }^{\prime}}{R_{B}^{2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E_{\min }^{\prime}=$ adjusted minimum elastic modulus, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{B}=\sqrt{\frac{l_{e} d}{b^{2}}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$




Figure 4.6 Lateral torsional buckling of floor joist
$l_{e}=$ effective length, in (mm)—conservatively 2 times the unbraced length

$$
d=\text { depth, in (mm) }
$$

$b=$ width, in (mm)
Getting through this analysis can be brutal. Take your time and you will get there. It helps to break the $C_{L}$ equation into three numbers and then do the squaring and square rooting.

To illustrate how the beam stability factor affects the design, Figure 4.7 presents factors for different depth-to-width ratios and spans. Notice that, as the slenderness increases (larger $d / b^{2}$ ), the stability factor drops.
Additionally, for longer beams, the stability factor also goes down for the same $d / b^{2}$ value.


Figure 4.7 Beam stability factors, $C_{L}$, for varying spans and depths for Southern Pine No. 2 dense

### 4.2 CAPACITY

In timber design, we work in stress units, unlike for steel, concrete, and masonry, where we work in strength units. We first consult the reference design values-which give us our starting allowable stress or stiffnessand then the adjustment factors that modify these values for various influences. The strength (capacity) of timber design takes greater effort than the demand side.

### 4.2.1 Reference Design Values

Reference design values are at the core of timber design. The $N D S$ tabulates these based on the following:

- species of wood;
- grade (quality);
- loading direction relative to the grain (i.e., parallel or perpendicular to grain, tension, compression, and bending).

With dozens of wood species, numerous grades for each, and a number of loading types, there is a multitude of timber reference design data, as seen in the NDS Supplement. Appendix 2 provides reference design values for a handful of species and grades. For bending design, we use allowable bending stress, $F_{b}$, and two moduli of elasticity, $E$ and $E_{\min }$-the former for deflection, the latter for stability.

To find the reference bending design stress, we begin by first deciding what wood product we will use, based on experience-sawn lumber, glued laminated timber, SCL, or I-joists. This will lead us to one of the following tables in Appendix 2

- visually graded dimension lumber-Table A2.1;
- visually graded timbers ( $5 \times 5$ in and larger)—Table A2.2;
- mechanically graded Douglas Fir-Larch (North)-Table A2.3;
- visually graded Southern Pine-Table A2.4;
- glued laminated timber-Table A2.5;
- SCL-Table A2.6;
- I-joists—Table A2.7.

If we are using sawn lumber, we additionally need a sense for species, size, and grading methods. These decisions are driven by local availability. (It is best if this is locally available and sustainably harvested.)

To find the reference design values, we enter one of the first six tables listed above. In the first column, select the species.

- Under the species heading, select the grade. (No. 2 is very common in Douglas Fir and Southern Pine. You may consider calling lumber suppliers in the project area to see what they commonly stock.)
- In the second column, choose the size class, if applicable.
- Finally, find the column that corresponds to the property you are looking for $-F_{b}$ for bending in our case. Read down to the row for the species and grade you are using and read off the value in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).

For example, say you want to know reference design stress, $F_{b}$, for Redwood, No. 1, open grain: you would enter Table A2.1 and scan down the first column until you found the line that says No. 1, open grain, under Redwood. You then scan to the right until you find the column with $F_{b}$ in it. The number in this column and row combination is the reference bending design stress. It's not as hard as scaling a flaming volcano with only a calculator, but it takes some getting used to.

### 4.2.2 Adjusted Design Values

Now that we have the allowable reference design stress, we need to adjust it for the myriad variables we discussed in Chapter 2. Following Tables 2.4-2.7, we can quickly see which factors apply to bending for different wood products. For bending members, the equations for adjusted design stress are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{b}^{\prime}=F_{b} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{L} C_{F} C_{f u} C_{i} C_{r} \text { for sawn lumber }  \tag{4.4}\\
& F_{b}^{\prime}=F_{b} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{L} C_{V} C_{f u} C_{c} C_{I} \text { for glued laminated timber }  \tag{4.5}\\
& F_{b}^{\prime}=F_{b} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{L} C_{V} C_{r} \text { for SCL }  \tag{4.6}\\
& M_{r}^{\prime}=M_{r} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{r} \text { for I-joists } \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$F_{b}=$ reference bending stress from Appendix 2
$C_{x}=$ adjustment factors; see Table 2.3
Notice that, for I-joists, we work in capacity units of moment. This is because the manufacturers provide allowable moment, instead of section modulus and allowable stress. We then compare bending moment (demand) with adjusted bending design strength, $M_{r}^{\prime}$ (capacity). Also, we are not using the LRFD adjustment factors, as we are using the allowable stress method.

## INITIAL BEAM SIZING

We frequently need to estimate beam depth early in a project, before we do calculations. Using simple rules of thumb based on span-todepth ratios, we can estimate beam depth for a given span. A quick way to estimate joist size is to make the depth, in inches, equal to the span in feet divided by 2. For metric, multiply the span in meters by 40 to get the depth in millimeters. Multiply these by 1.5 for beams or girders.

Expanding on this, Table 4.1 provides beam depths for joists, sawn beams, glued laminated beams, and trusses based on span-todepth ratios. To use the table, find your span along the top. Follow the column down to the row corresponding to your structural member type. Read off the depth in inches (millimeters).
Table 4.1 Beam member sizing guide

| Imperial Units |  |  | Span (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System |  | Span/ <br> Depth | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 75 | 100 |
|  |  | Depth (in) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Joist |  | 22 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solid Sawn Beam |  | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 30 |  |  |  |
| Glue-Lam Beam | E \% | 20 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 24 | 30 |  |  |
| Truss | $\leftrightarrow$ | 12 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 75 | 100 |
| Metric Units |  |  | Span (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| System |  | $1 / d$ | 3 | 4.5 | 6 | 7.5 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 23 | 30 |
|  |  | Depth (mm) |
| Joist |  |  | 22 | 150 | 210 | 300 | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solid Sawn Beam | $\square$ | 16 | 190 | 300 | 400 | 500 | 600 | 750 |  |  |  |
| Glue-Lam Beam |  | 20 | 150 | 230 | 300 | 400 | 460 | 600 | 775 |  |  |
| Truss |  | 12 | 150 | 380 | 500 | 630 | 760 | 1,010 | 1,300 | 1,900 | 2,500 |

Table 4.2 Bending strength of sawn lumber for varying bending stresses

Metric Units

Notes: (1.) This table is for preliminary sizing only. Final section sizes must be calculated based on actual loading, length, and section size. (2.) Span ranges indicated are typical. Longer spans can be made with special consideration

Taking things further, Tables 4.2 and 4.3 provide bending strength for varying section sizes, timber reference stresses, and beam stability factors. Table A2.7 provides these for I-joists. We can

Table 4.3 Bending strength of engineered lumber for various products Imperial Units Bending Strength $M(k-f t)$

|  | $C_{L}$ |  |  | $C_{L}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S_{x} i n^{3}$ | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 |

Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL)

|  |  | $F_{b}=2,140 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |  |  | $F_{b}=2,900 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1^{3 / 4} \times 5^{1 / 2}$ | 8.82 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ | 1.10 | 0.63 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ | 1.49 | 0.85 |
| $1^{3 / 4} \times 7^{1 / 4}$ | 15.3 | $\mathbf{2 . 7 3}$ | 1.91 | 1.09 | $\mathbf{3 . 7 0}$ | 2.59 | 1.48 |
| $1^{3 / 4} \times 9^{1 / 2}$ | 26.3 | 4.69 | 3.29 | 1.88 | $\mathbf{6 . 3 6}$ | 4.45 | 2.54 |
| $1^{3 / 4} \times 11^{7 / 3}$ | 41.1 | 7.33 | 5.13 | 2.93 | $\mathbf{9 . 9 4}$ | 6.96 | 3.98 |
| $1^{3 / 4} \times 14$ | 57.2 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2}$ | 7.14 | 4.08 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8}$ | 9.67 | 5.53 |
| $1^{3 / 4} \times 16$ | 74.7 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ | 9.32 | 5.33 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0}$ | 12.6 | 7.22 |
| $1^{3 / 4} \times 18$ | 94.5 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9}$ | 11.8 | 6.74 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8}$ | 16.0 | 9.14 |

Glued Laminated Timber

|  |  | $F_{b}=1,600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |  |  | $F_{b}=2,400 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $31 / 8 \times 12$ | 75.0 | 10.0 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 15.0 | 10.5 | 6.00 |
| $31 / 8 \times 18$ | 168.8 | 22.5 | 15.8 | 9.0 | 33.8 | 23.6 | 13.5 |
| $31 / 8 \times 24$ | 300.0 | 40.0 | 28.0 | 16.0 | 60.0 | 42.0 | 24.0 |
| $5^{1 / 8} \times 18$ | 276.8 | 36.9 | 25.8 | 14.8 | 55.4 | 38.7 | 22.1 |
| $5{ }^{1 / 8} \times 21$ | 376.7 | 50.2 | 35.2 | 20.1 | 75.3 | 52.7 | 30.1 |
| $5^{1 / 8} \times 27$ | 622.7 | 83.0 | 58.1 | 33.2 | 125 | 87.2 | 49.8 |
| $51 / 8 \times 33$ | 930.2 | 124 | 86.8 | 49.6 | 186 | 130 | 74.4 |
| $10^{3 / 4} \times 36$ | 2,322 | 310 | 217 | 124 | 464 | 325 | 186 |
| $10^{3 / 4} \times 48$ | 4,128 | 550 | 385 | 220 | 826 | 578 | 330 |
| $10^{3 / 4} \times 60$ | 6,450 | 860 | 602 | 344 | 1,290 | 903 | 516 |

compare calculated moment to those in the tables to get a good sense for initial size. From there, we can run the full calculations for our specific conditions. Remember to consider how the strength adjustment factors may affect your initial size.

| Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x} \times 10^{6} \\ & \mathrm{~mm}^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $C_{L}$ |  |  | $C_{L}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $F_{b}=14,750 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  | $F_{b}=20,000 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  |
| $13 / 4 \times 5^{1 / 2}$ | 0.145 | 2.13 | 1.49 | 0.85 | 2.89 | 2.02 | 1.16 |
| $13 / 4 \times 7^{1 / 4}$ | 0.251 | 3.71 | 2.59 | 1.48 | 5.02 | 3.52 | 2.01 |
| $1^{3 / 4} \times 9^{1 / 2}$ | 0.431 | 6.36 | 4.46 | 2.55 | 8.62 | 6.04 | 3.45 |
| $13 / 4 \times 11^{7 / 8}$ | 0.674 | 9.94 | 6.96 | 3.98 | 13.48 | 9.43 | 5.39 |
| $13 / 4 \times 14$ | 0.937 | 13.8 | 9.68 | 5.53 | 18.7 | 13.11 | 7.49 |
| $13 / 4 \times 16$ | 1.22 | 18.1 | 12.64 | 7.22 | 24.5 | 17.1 | 9.79 |
| $13 / 4 \times 18$ | 1.55 | 22.8 | 16.0 | 9.14 | 31.0 | 21.7 | 12.39 |

Glued Laminated Timber

|  |  | $F_{b}=11,030 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  | $F_{b}=16,550 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $31 / 8 \times 12$ | 1.23 | 13.6 | 9.49 | 5.42 | 20.3 | 14.2 | 8.13 |
| $31 / 8 \times 18$ | 2.77 | 30.5 | 21.4 | 12.2 | 45.8 | 32.0 | 18.3 |
| $31 / 8 \times 24$ | 4.92 | 54.2 | 38.0 | 21.7 | 81 | 56.9 | 32.5 |
| $51 / 8 \times 18$ | 4.54 | 50.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 75.0 | 52.5 | 30.0 |
| $51 / 8 \times 21$ | 6.2 | 68.1 | 47.7 | 27.2 | 102 | 71.5 | 40.9 |
| $51 / 8 \times 27$ | 10.2 | 113 | 78.8 | 45.0 | 169 | 118 | 68 |
| $51 / 8 \times 33$ | 15.2 | 168 | 118 | 67.3 | 252 | 177 | 101 |
| $10^{3} / 4 \times 36$ | 38.1 | 420 | 294 | 168 | 630 | 441 | 252 |
| $10^{3} / 4 \times 48$ | 67.6 | 746 | 522 | 298 | 1,119 | 784 | 448 |
| $10^{3} / 4 \times 60$ | 106 | 1,166 | 816 | 466 | 1,749 | 1,224 | 700 |

### 4.3 DEMAND VS. CAPACITY

Once we know the adjusted design stress, $F_{b}^{\prime}$, we compare it with the bending stress. For a simply supported beam, stress varies triangularly from compression at the top to tension at the bottom-zero at the middle—as illustrated in Figure 4.8a. A cantilever beam flips the stress direction upside down-tension at the top near the support, compression at the bottom—shown in Figure 4.8b. We also know bending stress changes along the beam length, as illustrated in Figure 4.9 for several support conditions. In the single-span, simply supported beam case, the bending stress is zero at the ends and maximum at the middle. A cantilever is the opposite, with maximum stress at the supported end. A multi-span beam has positive bending stress at the mid-spans, but negative bending stress over the interior supports. Positive means tension bending stress at the bottom; negative indicates tension stress at the top.

Regardless of the moment distribution along the beam length, we find bending stress from equation (4.8)—paying attention to our units. Though we are typically concerned with the maximum moment (and therefore stress), we can insert the moment at any point along the beam and find its corresponding bending stress.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{b}=\frac{M}{S} \quad \text { or } \quad f_{b}=\frac{M C}{I} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\text { bending moment at location of interest, k-ft }(\mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}) \\
& S=\text { section modulus, } \mathrm{in}^{3}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \\
& \mathrm{C}=\text { distance from neutral axis to compression or tension face, in (mm) } \\
& I=\text { moment of inertia, } \mathrm{in}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As long as the bending stress is less than the adjusted design stress, we are OK. If it is higher, we select a larger beam and re-evalulate the bending stresses before moving into shear and deflection checks.

### 4.3.1 Sheathing Thickness

Sizing sheathing is quite different than beams and joists. Panel strength is determined by span ratings. These are either combined roof/floor ratings or single-floor grade spans. A combined roof/floor rating gives the roof span followed by the floor span-for example, 48/24 indicates the panel


Figure 4.8 Bending distribution stress in (a) simple supported beam, (b) cantilever beam


Figure 4.9 Bending stress variation along beam length for (a) single-span, simple support, (b) cantilever, (c) multi-span
can span 48 in ( $1,220 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) on a roof and 24 in ( 610 mm ) on a floor. Single-floor ratings provide the allowable panel span for a floor (e.g., 24 on center-o.c.), though it can still be used on roofs.

To select a roof panel thickness, enter Table 4.4 with your structural member spacing and the total load and live load you need to support. Find the maximum span you have and verify that the loads you have are less than the allowables. Then, read to the left-most two columns and get the span rating and panel thickness. For floors, find the span you need in the far-right column, and read the span rating and thickness from the far-left columns.

Table 4.4 Allowable spans and loads for structural panel sheathing

| Imperial Units <br> Panel |  | Roof |  |  |  | Floor Span <br> (in) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Max Span (in) |  | Load ( $l b / \mathrm{fl}^{2}$ ) |  |  |
| Rating | Thickness <br> (in) | Edge Support | No Edge Support | Total | Live |  |
| 16/0 | 3/8 | 16 | 16 | 40 | 30 | 0 |
| 20/0 | 3/8 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 30 | 0 |
| 24/0 | $3 / 8,7 / 16,1 / 2$ | 24 | 20 | 40 | 30 | 0 |
| 24/16 | 7/16, 1/2 | 24 | 24 | 50 | 40 | 16 |
| 32/16 | $15 / 32,1 / 2,5 / 8$ | 32 | 28 | 40 | 30 | 16 |
| 40/20 | $19 / 32,5 / 8,3 / 4,7 / 8$ | 40 | 32 | 40 | 30 | 20 |
| 48/24 | $23 / 32,3 / 4,7 / 8$ | 48 | 36 | 45 | 35 | 24 |
| 54/32 | 7/8, 1 | 54 | 40 | 45 | 35 | 32 |
| 60/32 | 7/8, $1^{1 / 8}$ | 60 | 48 | 45 | 35 | 32 |
| 16 о.c. | 1/2, $19 / 32,5 / 8$ | 24 | 24 | 50 | 40 | 16 |
| 20 о.c. | $19 / 32,5 / 8,3 / 4$ | 32 | 32 | 40 | 30 | 20 |
| 24 о.c. | 23/32, $3 / 4$ | 48 | 36 | 35 | 25 | 24 |
| 32 о.c. | $7 / 8,1$ | 48 | 40 | 50 | 40 | 32 |
| 48 о.c. | $1^{3 / 32}, 1^{1 / 8}$ | 60 | 48 | 50 | 40 | 48 |

Note: (1.) Allowable floor load is $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ except for 48 o.c., which is $65 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$

|  | Metric Units <br> Panel |  | Roof |  |  |  | Floor Span (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Max Span (mm) |  | Load (kN/m²) |  |  |
|  | Rating | Thickness (mm) | Edge Support | No Edge Support | Total | Live |  |
|  | 16/0 | 9.5 | 400 | 400 | 1.92 | 1.44 | 0 |
|  | 20/0 | 9.5 | 500 | 500 | 1.92 | 1.44 | 0 |
|  | 24/0 | 9.5, 11, 13 | 600 | 500 | 1.92 | 1.44 | 0 |
|  | 24/16 | 11, 13 | 600 | 600 | 2.39 | 1.92 | 400 |
|  | 32/16 | 12, 13, 16 | 810 | 710 | 1.92 | 1.44 | 400 |
|  | 40/20 | 15, 16, 19, 22 | 1,010 | 810 | 1.92 | 1.44 | 500 |
|  | 48/24 | 18, 19, 22 | 1,210 | 910 | 2.15 | 1.68 | 600 |
|  | 54/32 | 22, 25 | 1,370 | 1,010 | 2.15 | 1.68 | 800 |
|  | 60/32 | 22, 29 | 1,520 | 1,210 | 2.15 | 1.68 | 800 |
|  | 16 о.c. | 13, 15, 16 | 600 | 600 | 2.39 | 1.92 | 400 |
|  | 20 о.c. | 15, 16, 19 | 810 | 810 | 1.92 | 1.44 | 500 |
|  | 24 о.c. | 18, 19 | 1,210 | 910 | 1.68 | 1.20 | 600 |
|  | 32 о.с. | 22, 25 | 1,210 | 1,010 | 2.39 | 1.92 | 800 |
|  | 48 о.с. | 28, 29 | 1,520 | 1,210 | 2.39 | 1.92 | 1,200 |

Note: (1.) Allowable floor load is $4.79 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ except for 48 o.c., which is $3.11 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ Source: IBC 2012

### 4.4 DEFLECTION

In addition to stability and strength we need to look at how much a beam will deflect (sag), known as serviceability criteria. Excessive deflection can cause floor and ceiling finishes to crack, and windows or doors to carry load, binding or cracking them.

Under sustained loads, timber deflection increases over time. This is known as creep. The barn in Figure 4.10 well illustrates the creep phenomenon. Creep also happens to masonry and concrete at room temperature, and to steels at high temperatures. In timber beams, creep
causes us to look at short- and long-term deflections, yielding a total deflection equation of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{T}=K_{C r} \delta_{L T}+\delta_{S T} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{c r}= & \text { creep deformation factor } \\
= & 1.5 \text { for materials in dry service (except as noted below) } \\
= & 2.0 \text { for materials in wet service } \\
= & 2.0 \text { for unseasoned lumber, structural panels, cross-laminated } \\
& \text { timber } \\
\delta_{L T}= & \text { long-term deflection, in }(\mathrm{mm}) \\
\delta_{S T}= & \text { short-term deflection, in }(\mathrm{mm})
\end{aligned}
$$

We calculate both long- and short-term deflections using the structural analysis equations in Appendix 6, or similar sources. For long-term calculations, we use any permanent loads (typically dead); for short-term calculations, we use any transient loads (live, snow, wind, seismic). We always use allowable stress combinations, as we are checking an inservice condition, not a strength limit state.


Figure 4.10 Old barn showing creep deformation
Source: Photo courtesy of muenstermann

We compare deflections to the code allowables provided in Table 4.5. Expanding on these, Table 4.6 calculates allowable deflection, $\delta_{a}$, values for various spans and code limits. If our total and live load deflections are less than the allowables, our beam is stiff enough. If not, we choose a larger member, or reduce the load on it.

### 4.5 DETAILING

Timber beam detailing centers more around the connections than the members themselves-discussed in Chapter 9. However, it is worth discussing stability bracing, notches, and holes.

Stability bracing is required for beams, joists, and rafters to keep them from rolling over. Ends of members must also be braced against rolling. Along the length, we brace the member either with sheathing or other members-unless we account for the lack of bracing in the calculations

## Table 4.5 Deflection limits for beams

| Deflection Limits |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Member | Lor $S$ or $W$ | $D+L$ |
| Roof members: |  |  |
| Plaster or stucco ceiling | $1 / 360$ | $1 / 240$ |
| Non-plaster ceiling | $1 / 240$ | $1 / 180$ |
| No ceiling | $1 / 180$ | $1 / 120$ |
| Floor members: | $1 / 360$ | $1 / 240$ |
| Typical | $1 / 480$ | $1 / 360$ |
| Tile | $1 / 600$ | $1 / 480$ |
| Supporting masonry | $1 / 360$ | - |
| Wall members: | $1 / 240$ | - |
| Plaster or stucco | $1 / 120$ | - |
| Other brittle finishes |  |  |
| Flexible finishes |  |  |

Note: l = span; don't forget to convert to inches
Source: IBC 2012

Table 4.6 Calculated allowable deflection values for various spans and limits


| Imperial Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Member Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Limit | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 50 |
| Criteria | Allowable Deflection, $\delta_{a}$ (in) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/600 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 1.00 |
| 1/480 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 0.88 | 1.00 | 1.25 |
| 1/360 | 0.50 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 1.17 | 1.33 | 1.67 |
| 1/240 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.50 |
| 1/180 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 1.67 | 2.00 | 2.33 | 2.67 | 3.33 |
| 1/120 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Limit | 4 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 15 |
| Criteria | Allowable Deflection, $\delta_{a}(\mathrm{~mm})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/600 | 6.7 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 25 |
| 1/480 | 8.3 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 25 | 31 |
| 1/360 | 11 | 17 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 33 | 42 |
| 1/240 | 17 | 25 | 33 | 38 | 42 | 50 | 63 |
| 1/180 | 22 | 33 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 67 | 83 |
| 1/120 | 33 | 50 | 67 | 75 | 83 | 100 | 125 |

$\left(C_{L}\right)$. For joists, we add bridging or blocking every $8 \mathrm{ft}(2.4 \mathrm{~m})$ if $d / b>6$, as illustrated in Figure 4.11, to stabilize the floor system.

From time to time, the contractor will notch or drill holes in beams and joists. Naturally, this is not preferred, but it is the reality of construction. In sawn beams, the $N D S$ limits the depth and locations of notches in the end third of a span (and top through the middle), according to the requirements of Figure 4.12 . No bottom notches are permitted through the center of the span. Further, in floor and roof joists, the code permits small holes to accommodate piping and electrical wiring. These holes must be 2 in ( 50 mm ) away from the edges, no larger than $1 / 3$ of the depth, as illustrated in Figure 4.13, and spaced reasonably apart. Engineered-lumber manufacturers allow some notches and holes, but they vary substantially according to the product type. Before cutting notches or drilling holes in members, check with your structural engineer. He or she will want to know, and may have good reason not to permit them.


Figure 4.11 Blocking and bridging requirements for joists and rafters


Figure 4.12 Permissible notches in sawn lumber


Figure 4.13 Permissible hole locations in sawn joists and rafters

### 4.6 DESIGN STEPS

1. Draw the structural layout; include span dimensions and tributary width.
2. Determine loads:
(a) unit loads;
(b) load combinations yielding a line load;
(c) member moment.
3. Material parameters: Find the reference design values and adjustment factors.
4. Estimate initial size.
5. Calculate stress and compare with adjusted design stress.
6. Calculate deflection and allowable deflections, and compare them.
7. Summarize the results.

### 4.7 DESIGN EXAMPLES

### 4.7.1 Beam Example

This example sizes a sawn lumber and glued laminated timber beam for the framing layout shown in Figure 4.14. We will design the joists in the next examples.

## Step 1: Draw Structural Layout

We begin by drawing the framing layout, complete with the dimensions we will need in the design (Figure 4.14). Key dimensional data include length, $l$, and tributary width, $l_{t}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l=20 \mathrm{ft} & l=6.10 \mathrm{~m} \\
l_{t}=16 \mathrm{ft} & l_{t}=4.88 \mathrm{~m}
\end{array}
$$

Step 2: Determine Loads

## Step 2a: Unit Loads

The unit dead and live loads are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{D}=20 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}} & q_{D}=0.958 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
q_{L}=50 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}} & q_{L}=2.394 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 4.14 Example framing configuration
See Chapter 8 of Introduction to Structures for a discussion on how to determine these unit loads.

## Step 2b: Load Combination

Because this is a floor, the live load dominant combination will control. Multiplying it by the tributary width, we find the line load, $w$, as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\left(q_{D}+q_{L}\right) l_{t} \\
& =\left(20 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}+50 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}\right) 16 \mathrm{ft} \\
& =1,120 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(0.958 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}+2.394 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right) 4.88 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =16.4 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 2c: Determine Member Moment

We are only concerned with the maximum moment, which occurs at the middle. Using the formulas in Appendix 6 and Figure 4.15, we see:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\frac{W l^{2}}{8} \\
& =\frac{1,120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(20 \mathrm{ft})^{2}}{8} \frac{1 \mathrm{k}}{1000 \mathrm{lb}} \\
& =56.0 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{16.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}(6.1 \mathrm{~m})^{2}}{8} \\
& =76.3 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}
\end{aligned}
$$



BEAM LOAD DIAGRAM


## CROSS SECTION

Figure 4.15 Example beam free body diagram and cross section

## Step 3: Material Parameters

We will look at both a sawn lumber beam and glued laminated beam.
Using Tables A2.4 and A2.5, we will find the reference bending stress for Southern Pine. Note we are using subscript $S$ for sawn lumber and $G$ for glued laminated timber.

For sawn lumber, No. 1:

$$
F_{b S}=1,250 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
$$

$$
F_{b S}=8,618 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

For a glued laminated 24F-V3 layup:

$$
F_{b G}=2,400 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
$$

$$
F_{b G}=16,547 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

We now apply the adjustment factors to these, following the list in Tables 2.4 and 2.5. We will use the factors shown in the following table:

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration-floor load | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-dry, interior condition | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-sustained temperatures don't <br> exceed $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Table A4.4 |
| $C_{L}=1.0$ | Beam stability-beam is fully braced | Eqn. (4.1) |
| $C_{F}=0.9$ | Size-sawn lumber, guessing a 24 in $(600 \mathrm{~mm})$ <br> deep member | Table A4.5 |
| $C_{V}=0.94$ | Volume-glued laminated | Table A4.6 |
| $C_{f u}=1.0$ | Flat use-not laid flatwise | Table A4.8 |
| $C_{i}=1.0$ | Incising-not treated wood | Table A4.10 |
| $C_{r}=1.0$ | Repetitive member-isolated | Section 2.4.11 |
| $C_{c}=1.0$ | Curvature-not curved | Table A4.9 |
| $C_{I}=1.0$ | Stress interaction-not tapered | Section 2.4.8 |

Multiplying these together with the reference design stress, we get the adjusted design stress.

For sawn lumber $(S)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{b S}^{\prime}=F_{b S} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{L} C_{F} C_{f u} C_{i} C_{r} \\
& =1,250 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} 1.0(0.9) 1.0=1,125 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \quad=8,618 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} 1.0(0.9) 1.0=7,756 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For glued laminated timber ( $G$ ):

$$
F_{b G}^{\prime}=F_{b G} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{L} C_{V} C_{f u} C_{C} C_{I}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
=2,400 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} 1.0(0.94) 1.0 & =16,547 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} 1.0(0.94) 1.0 \\
=2,256 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & =15,554 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

While we're at it, let's find the adjusted modulus of elasticity for sawn lumber. We will need it for the deflection calculation.

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
E=1,600,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & E=11,032 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
E^{\prime}=E C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} & \\
=1,600,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0)=1,600,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & =11,032 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0)=11,032 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 4: Initial Size

Following the Initial Beam Sizing box, we will say the beam depth is equal to half the span in inches times 1.5 (or multiply the span in meters by 40 to get depth in millimeters).

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\text {est }} & =\frac{1}{2} 1.5 \\
& =\frac{20}{2} 1.5=15 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\text {est }} & =401(1.5) \\
& =40(6.1)(1.5)=366 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the section properties table in Appendix 1 (Table A1.1), let's try a 16 in ( 400 mm ) deep member and make the width half the depth, giving us:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d=15.5 \text { in } & d=400 \mathrm{~mm} \\
b=7.5 \mathrm{in} & b=190 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 5: Stress

Now that we have our size, we can calculate the section modulus, or refer to Table A1.1.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S=\frac{1}{6} b d^{2} & \\
=\frac{1}{6}(7.5 \mathrm{in})(15.5 \mathrm{in})^{2} & =\frac{1}{6}(190 \mathrm{~mm})(400 \mathrm{~mm})^{2} \\
=300.3 \mathrm{in}^{2} & =5.07 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}
\end{array}
$$

We then calculate stress, adjusting the units:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{b}=\frac{M}{S} \\
& =\frac{56.0 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}}{300.3 \mathrm{in}^{3}} 12 \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}} 1000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{k}} \\
& =2,238 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{76.3 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}}{5.07 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}(1 \mathrm{~m} / 1000 \mathrm{~mm})^{3}} \\
& =15,050 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this with the allowables for both sawn and glued laminated, we see we are close for glued laminated, but have double the stress for sawn. We can either use a higher-grade sawn material, or go larger. Let's try larger.

To get really close on sawn size, let's calculate the required section modulus directly.

$$
S_{\mathrm{req}}=\frac{M}{F_{b S}^{\prime}}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
=\frac{56.0 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}}{1,125 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}} 12 \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}} 1000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{k}} & =\frac{76.3 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}}{7,756 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}\right)^{3} \\
=597 \mathrm{in}^{3} & =9.84 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}
\end{array}
$$

Now, going directly to Table A1.1, we can find a size with a slightly larger section modulus. Let's try $8 \times 24(203 \times 610 \mathrm{~mm})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=690.3 \mathrm{in}^{3} \\
& f_{b}=\frac{M}{S} \\
& =\frac{56.0 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}}{690.3 \mathrm{in}^{3}} 12 \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}} 1000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \\
& =974 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
S=11.35 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{76.3 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}}{11.35 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}(1 \mathrm{~m} / 1000 \mathrm{~mm})^{3}} \\
& =6,723 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We see the new bending stress is below the adjusted design stress value for sawn lumber. Cool!

Now, let's refine the glued laminated timber calculation, as we haven't selected a final size. Knowing our initial size was close, we choose a beam with a similar section modulus.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d=18 \mathrm{in} & d=457 \mathrm{~mm} \\
b=6.75 \mathrm{in} & b=171 \mathrm{~mm} \\
S=\frac{1}{6} b d^{2} & \\
=\frac{1}{6} 6.75 \mathrm{in}(18 \mathrm{in})^{2} & =\frac{1}{6} 171 \mathrm{~mm}(457 \mathrm{~mm})^{2} \\
=364.5 \mathrm{in}^{3} & =5.95 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}
\end{array}
$$

This yields a bending stress in the glued laminated beam of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{b}=\frac{M}{S} \\
& =\frac{56.0 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}}{364.5 \mathrm{in}^{3}} 12 \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}} 1000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{k}} \\
& =1,844 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{76.3 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}}{5.95 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}(1 \mathrm{~m} / 1000 \mathrm{~mm})^{3}} \\
& =12,820 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is less than $F_{b G}^{\prime}$ above, indicating our beam works for stress.

## Step 6: Deflection

Now that we have sizes and know the stresses are low enough, we check deflection to make sure we don't have a beam that sags too much. We will just check the sawn beam. For enlightenment, you can check the glued laminated beam.

The general equation for deflection is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{TOT}}=K_{\mathrm{Cr}} \delta_{\mathrm{LT}}+\delta_{\mathrm{ST}} \\
& K_{\mathrm{cr}}=1.5 \\
& \delta_{L T}=\frac{5 W_{D} I^{4}}{384 E^{\prime} I}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta_{S T}=\frac{5 w_{L} l^{4}}{384 E^{\prime} I}
$$

We will need to pause and calculate a few of these terms:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W_{D}=q_{D} l_{t} & =0.958 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} 4.88 \mathrm{~m}=4.68 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
=20 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}} 16 \mathrm{ft}=320 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}} & =2.39 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} 4.88 \mathrm{~m}=11.7 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}}
\end{array}
$$

For the sawn timber:

$$
I=8,111 \text { in }^{4}
$$

$I=3.387 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$ from Table A1.1

With these, we can now calculate deflection. Starting with long-term (dead) deflection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{L T} & =\frac{5(320 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft})(20 \mathrm{ft})^{4}}{384\left(1,600,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right) 8,111 \mathrm{in}^{4}}\left(12 \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}}\right)^{3} \\
& =0.088 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{L T} & =\frac{5(4.68 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})(6.10 \mathrm{~m})^{4}}{384\left(11,032 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) 3.387 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}}\left(\frac{1,000 \mathrm{~mm} / 1 \mathrm{~m}}{1,000 \mathrm{kN} / 1 \mathrm{MN}}\right)^{5} \\
& =2.26 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

And now, short-term (live load) deflection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{S T}=\frac{5(800 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft})(20 \mathrm{ft})^{4}}{384\left(1,600,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right) 8,111 \mathrm{in}^{4}}\left(12 \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}}\right)^{3} \\
&=0.222 \mathrm{in} \\
& \delta_{L T}=\frac{5(11.7 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})(6.10 \mathrm{~m})^{4}}{384\left(11,032 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) 3.387 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}}\binom{1,000 \mathrm{~mm} / 1 \mathrm{~m}}{1,000 \mathrm{kN} / 1 \mathrm{MN}}^{5} \\
&=5.65 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& \delta_{\text {тот }}=1.5(0.088 \mathrm{in})+0.222 \mathrm{in} \quad \delta_{\text {TOT }}=1.5(2.26 \mathrm{~mm})+5.65 \mathrm{~mm} \\
&=0.354 \mathrm{in} \\
&=9.04 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is cool, but we need to compare it with a standard. Because this is a floor that may have a gypsum board ceiling, we need to minimize deflection. According to the building code, the total load deflection should be limited to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\text {атот }}=\frac{1}{240} \\
& =\frac{20 \mathrm{ft}}{240} \frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{1 \mathrm{ft}}=1 \mathrm{in} \\
& =\frac{6.10 \mathrm{~m}}{240} \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}=25.4 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Live load deflection (short term) should be limited to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\text {aST }}=\frac{1}{360} \\
& =\frac{20 \mathrm{ft}}{360} \frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{1 \mathrm{ft}}=0.667 \mathrm{in} \\
& =\frac{6.10 \mathrm{~m}}{360} \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}=16.9 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we are using subscript a to denote 'allowable'.
Because $\delta_{\text {Tот }} \leq \delta_{\text {aтот }}$, and $\delta_{S T} \leq \delta_{a L}$, our beam is acceptable for deflection.

## Step 7: Summary

In summary, our structural framing layout is as shown in Figure 4.14.
We are using Southern Pine as follows:

Sawn lumber
$8 \times 24$ in $(200 \times 620 \mathrm{~mm})$ beam No. 1 Grade

Glued laminated timber
$6.75 \times 18$ in $(170 \times 460 \mathrm{~mm})$ beam 24F-V3 layup

### 4.7.2 LVL Example

Let's now design a floor joist for the framing layout shown in Figure 4.14.

## Step 1: Draw Structural Layout

Key dimensional data are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l=16 \mathrm{ft} & l=4.88 \mathrm{~m} \\
I_{t}=16 \mathrm{in} & l_{t}=400 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 2: Determine Loads

## Step 2a: Unit Loads

The unit loads are the same as the last example

## Step 2b: Load Combination

The line load, $w$, is substantially less than the beam, because the tributary width is much less:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w=\left(q_{D}+q_{L}\right) l_{t} & =\left(0.958 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}+2.394 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right) 0.4 \mathrm{~m} \\
=\left(20 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}+50 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}\right) \frac{16 \mathrm{in}}{12 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ft}} & =1.34 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
=93.3 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}} &
\end{array}
$$

## Step 2c: Determine Member Moment

We are only concerned with the maximum moment, which occurs at the middle. Using the formulas in Appendix 6, we see:

$$
M=\frac{w l^{2}}{8}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{93.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(16 \mathrm{ft})^{2}}{8} \frac{1 \mathrm{k}}{1000 \mathrm{lb}} \\
& =2.99 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1.34 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}(4.88 \mathrm{~m})^{2}}{8} \\
& =3.99 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

It goes faster the second time!

## Step 3: Material Parameters

We will design this joist using LVL material. Using Table A2.6 and choosing 1.9E WS, we get a bending reference design stress of:

$$
F_{b}=2,600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad F_{b}=17,926 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
$$

We now apply the adjustment factors to these, following the list in Table 2.6. We will use the following factors:

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration-floor load | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-dry, interior condition | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-sustained temperatures don't <br> exceed $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Table A4.4 |
| $C_{L}=1.0$ | Beam stability-beam is fully braced | Eqn (4.1) |
| $C_{V}=1.0$ | Volume-assuming 12 in (305 mm) deep | Table A4.7 |
| $C_{r}=1.15$ | Repetitive member-frequently spaced joists | Section 2.4.11 |

Multiplying these together with the reference design values, we get the adjusted design values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{b}^{\prime}=F_{b} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{L} C_{V} C_{r} \\
& =2,600 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.15)=2,990 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \\
& =17,926 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.15)=20,615 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
& E=1,900,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \\
& E^{\prime}=E C_{M} C_{t} \\
& =1,900,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0) \\
& =1,900,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \\
& E^{\prime}=13,100 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0) \\
& =13,100 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 4: Initial Size

Following the Initial Beam Sizing box, we will say the beam depth is equal to half the span in inches (or multiply the span in meters by 40 to get depth in millimeters).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d_{\mathrm{est}}=\frac{l}{2} & d_{\mathrm{est}}=40 \mathrm{l} \\
=\frac{16}{2}=8 \mathrm{in} & =40(4.88)=195 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

Using the section properties table in Appendix 1 (Table A1.3), let's try a 7.25 in ( 185 mm ) deep member, giving us:
$d=7.25$ in
$b=1.75$ in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=184 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& b=44 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 5: Stress

Now that we have our size, we can calculate the section modulus, or look it up from Table A1.1.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlr}
S & =\frac{1}{6} b d^{2} & \\
S & =\frac{1}{6}(1.75 \mathrm{in})(7.25)^{2} & S
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{6}(44 \mathrm{~mm})(184)
$$

We then calculate stress, adjusting the units:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{b}=\frac{M}{S} \\
=\frac{2.99 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}}{15.3 \mathrm{in}^{3}}\left(12 \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}}\right) 1000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{k}} & =\frac{3.99 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}}{0.248 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}(1 \mathrm{~m} / 1000 \mathrm{~mm})^{3}} \\
=2,345 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & =16,088 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

This is less than the allowable stress, and so we know we are OK for stress.

## Step 6: Deflection

Now, let's check deflection (serviceability). Recall the general equation for deflection is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{TOT}}=K_{\mathrm{CD}} \delta_{\mathrm{LT}}+\delta_{\mathrm{ST}} \\
& K_{\mathrm{cr}}=1.5 \\
& \delta_{L T}=\frac{5 W_{D} I^{4}}{384 E^{\prime} I}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta_{S T}=\frac{5 W_{L} I^{4}}{384 E^{\prime} I}
$$

Pausing again, we calculate the necessary terms.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w_{D}=q_{D} l_{t} \\
=20 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}\left(\frac{16 \mathrm{in}}{12 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ft}}\right)=26.7 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}} & =0.958 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} 0.4 \mathrm{~m}=0.383 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
w_{L}=q_{L} l_{t} \\
=50 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}\left(\frac{16 \mathrm{in}}{12 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ft}}\right)=66.7 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}} & =2.39 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} 0.4 \mathrm{~m}=0.956 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}}
\end{array}
$$

We'll calculate the moment of inertia this time:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\frac{1}{12} b d^{3} \\
I & =\frac{1}{12}(1.75 \mathrm{in})(7.25 \mathrm{in})^{3} \\
& =55.6 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\frac{1}{12}(44 \mathrm{~mm})(184 \mathrm{~mm})^{3} \\
& =22.84 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

With these, we can now calculate deflection:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{L T} & =\frac{5(26.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft})(16 \mathrm{ft})^{4}}{384\left(1,900,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right) 55.6 \mathrm{in}^{4}}\left(12 \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}}\right)^{3} \\
& =0.373 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{L T} & =\frac{5(0.383 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})(4.88 \mathrm{~m})^{4}}{384\left(13,100 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) 22.84 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}} \frac{(1,000 \mathrm{~mm} / 1 \mathrm{~m})^{5}}{(1,000 \mathrm{kN} / 1 \mathrm{MN})} \\
& =9.45 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{S T} & =\frac{5(66.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft})(16 \mathrm{ft})^{4}}{384\left(1,900,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right) 55.6 \mathrm{in}^{4}}\left(12 \frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}}\right)^{3} \\
& =0.931 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{S T} & =\frac{5(0.956 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})(4.88 \mathrm{~m})^{4}}{384\left(13,100 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) 22.84 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}} \frac{(1,000 \mathrm{~mm} / 1 \mathrm{~m})^{5}}{(1,000 \mathrm{kN} / 1 \mathrm{MN})} \\
& =23.6 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\text {TOT }} & =1.5(0.373 \mathrm{in})+0.931 \mathrm{in} & \delta_{\text {TOT }} & =1.5(9.45 \mathrm{~mm})+23.6 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& =1.49 \mathrm{in} & & =37.8 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculating the allowable deflections, we get:

$$
\delta_{\text {ator }}=\frac{1}{240}=\frac{16 \mathrm{ft}}{240}\left(\frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{1 \mathrm{ft}}\right)=0.80 \mathrm{in} \quad=\frac{4.88 \mathrm{~m}}{240} \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}=20.3 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

And live load deflection to:

$$
\delta_{a L}=\frac{l}{360}=\frac{16 \mathrm{ft}}{360}\left(\frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{1 \mathrm{ft}}\right)=0.53 \mathrm{in} \quad \delta_{a L}=\frac{4.88 \mathrm{~m}}{360} \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}=13.6 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Comparing these with the allowables above, we see our joist is deflecting too much. Let's select the next joist depth:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d=9.5 \mathrm{in} & d=241 \mathrm{~mm} \\
b=1.75 \mathrm{in} & b=44 \mathrm{~mm} \\
I=\frac{1}{12} b d^{3} & \\
=\frac{1}{12}(1.75 \mathrm{in})(9.5 \mathrm{in})^{3}=125 \mathrm{in}^{4} & =\frac{1}{12}(44 \mathrm{~mm})(241 \mathrm{~mm})^{3} \\
& =51.3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}
\end{array}
$$

Recalculating deflection using the equations above, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{LT}}=0.166 \mathrm{in} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{ST}}=0.414 \mathrm{in} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{TOT}}=0.662 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathrm{LT}}=4.21 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{ST}}=10.52 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{TOT}}=16.83 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

These are below the maximum allowed.

## Step 7: Summary

In summary, our structural framing layout is shown in Figure 4.14. We are using 1.9E WS LVL material, $1 \frac{13}{4} \times 9^{1 / 2}$ in $(44 \times 241 \mathrm{~mm})$ size.

## Step 8: Additional Thoughts

What would happen if we forgot to brace the joists? Or perhaps someone places a bundle of plywood on the joists before they have bridging or sheathing installed. We capture this effect by calculating the beam stability factor using the following equation:

$$
C_{L}=\frac{1+\left(F_{b e} / F_{b}^{*}\right)}{1.9}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{1+\left(F_{b e} / F_{b}^{*}\right)}{1.9}\right)^{2}-\frac{F_{b e} / F_{b}^{*}}{0.95}}
$$

It's an intimidating equation, but we'll walk our way through it.
$F_{D E}=\frac{120 E_{\min }^{\prime}}{R_{B}^{2}} \quad$, related to lateral torsional buckling strength
$R_{B}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{l_{e} d}{b^{2}}\right)} \quad$, a slenderness parameter
We will conservatively take $l_{e}$ as twice the unbraced length, yielding:

$$
l_{e}=21
$$

$$
=2(16 \mathrm{ft}) \frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{1 \mathrm{ft}}=384 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
=2(4.88 \mathrm{~m}) \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}-9,760 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

$$
R_{B}=\sqrt{\frac{384 \mathrm{in}(9.5 \mathrm{in})}{(1.75 \mathrm{in})^{2}}}=34.5
$$

$$
R_{B}=\sqrt{\frac{9,760 \mathrm{~mm}(241 \mathrm{~mm})}{(44 \mathrm{~mm})^{2}}}=34.9
$$

This is less than 50, and so we are good to continue. If it was greater than 50, the resulting $C_{L}$ would be so low that the beam would have very little capacity.

Finding $E_{\text {min }}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{\min }=966,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & E_{\min }=6,660 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
E_{\min }^{\prime}=E_{\min } C_{M} C_{t} & \\
=966,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0)=966,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & =6,660 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0)=6,660 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

We can now calculate $F_{b E}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{b E} & =\frac{1.20\left(966,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)}{(34.5)^{2}} & F_{b E} & =\frac{1.20\left(6,660 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)}{(34.9)^{2}} \frac{1000 \mathrm{kN}}{1 \mathrm{MN}} \\
& =973.9 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & & =6,560 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is less than half the allowable bending stress, assuming a fully braced joist.
$F_{b}^{*}$ is $F_{b}$ times all the applicable adjustment factors except $C_{L}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{b}^{*}=F_{b} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{V} C_{r} \\
& =2,600 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0) 1.15=2,990 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \quad=17,926 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0) 1.15=20,615 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives us the pieces of the puzzle to calculate $C_{L}$. It helps to calculate the individual portions of the equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{L} & =\frac{1+(973.9 / 2,290)}{1.9}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{1+(973.9 / 2,290)}{1.9}\right)^{2}-\frac{973.9 / 2,290}{0.95}} \\
& =0.32 \\
C_{L} & =\frac{1+(6,560 / 20,615)}{1.9}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{1+(6,560 / 20,615)}{1.9}\right)^{2}-\frac{6,560 / 20,615}{0.95}} \\
& =0.31
\end{aligned}
$$

And, calculating the new allowable bending stress:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{b}^{\prime}=F^{*}{ }_{b} C_{L} \\
& =2,990 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(0.32)=957 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \quad=20,615 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(0.31)=6,391 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is quite a reduction in strength and indicates that the joist fails.
Now, to help you relax and fall asleep, see what size of LVL it would take to carry the same loads.

### 4.7.3 I-Joist Example

Continuing our floor design, let's look at what it takes to make the the floor out of I-joists. Following the layout and loads from the LVL example, we continue on step 3.

## Step 3: Material Parameters

I-joists are different than other materials. We look at bending capacity (i.e., moment) rather than allowable stresses. For this, we focus on $M^{\prime}$, rather than $F_{b}^{\prime}$.

The applicable adjustment factors from Table 2.7 are shown in the table.

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration-floor load | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-dry, interior condition | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-sustained temperatures don't <br> exceed $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Table A4.4 |
| $C_{L}=1.0$ | Beam stability-I-joists must be fully braced | Eqn. (4.1) |
| $C_{r}=1.15$ | Repetitive member-frequently spaced joists | Section 2.4.11 |

## Step 4: Initial Size

We skip this step and go directly to choosing the joist we need, based on the moment.

## Step 5: Strength

Going to Table A2.7, we find a joist size that has a higher allowable moment than our demand. We see a 5000-1.8 series joist, 11 $1 / 8$ in ( 302 mm ) deep, has sufficient strength. Assuming a 12 in ( 305 mm ) structural depth is acceptable (which it commonly is), we proceed with our calculations. If we need to restrict the joist depth to $9^{1 ⁄ 2}$ in ( 240 mm ), we could use the 6000-1.8 series joists.

$$
M_{r}=3,150 \mathrm{lb} \quad M_{r}=4,721 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{m}
$$

Multiplying this by the adjustment factors, we get the adjusted design strength:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{r}^{\prime}=M_{r} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{L} C_{r} & \\
=3,150 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}(1.0) 1.15 & =4,721 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{m}(1.0) 1.15 \\
=3,623 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} & =5,429 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{m}
\end{array}
$$

As this is larger than the required moment, we are OK.

## Step 6: Deflection

Moving on to deflection, joist manufacturers give us a combined material and geometric stiffness, EI.

$$
E I=250 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{in}^{2} \mathrm{lb} \quad E I=717 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mm}^{2} \mathrm{kN}
$$

Unusual units, but the result will make more sense.

Adjusting to get EI', we have:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E I^{\prime}=E I C_{M} C_{t} & \\
=250 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{in}^{2} \mathrm{lb}(1.0) & =717 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{2} \mathrm{kN}(1.0) \\
=250 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{in}^{2} \mathrm{lb} & =717 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{2} \mathrm{kN}
\end{array}
$$

Following the equations and line loads of the previous examples, and EI' from above, we get:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{\mathrm{LT}}=0.157 \mathrm{in} & \delta_{\mathrm{LT}}=3.95 \mathrm{~mm} \\
\delta_{\mathrm{ST}}=0.393 \mathrm{in} & \delta_{\mathrm{ST}}=9.86 \mathrm{~mm} \\
\delta_{\mathrm{TOT}}=0.629 \mathrm{in} & \delta_{\mathrm{TOT}}=15.78 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

These are lower than the allowables. Our joist works!

## Step 7: Summary

We are using a 11/8 in ( 300 mm ) joist, 5000-1.8 series.

### 4.8 WHERE WE GO FROM HERE

This chapter thoroughly presents the criteria for simply supported beams. For multi-span beams, we need to investigate tension in the top over the supports. For glued laminated timber, this would require a different lam layup.

Moving forward, we look into the shear behavior of beams-outlined in Chapter 5.

## NOTES

1. ANSI/AWC. National Design Specification (NDS) Supplement:
Design Values for Wood Construction (Leesburg, VA: AWC, 2015).
2. ANSI/AWC. National Design

Specification (NDS) for Wood

Construction (Leesburg, VA: AWC, 2015).
3. Paul W. McMullin and Jonathan S. Price. Introduction to Structures (New York: Routledge, 2016).

## Timber Shear

## Chapter 5

Paul W. McMullin

5.1 Stability
5.2 Capacity
5.3 Demand vs. Capacity
5.4 Deflection
5.5 Detailing
5.6 Design Steps
5.7 Design Example
5.8 Where We Go from Here

Shear is fundamental to how beams resist load. It holds the outer layers of a bending member together, causing them to act as one. This chapter will focus on how we size beams for shear stress. Chapter 8 covers shear wall design to resist wind and seismic forces.

Let's take a moment and conceptually understand the fundamentals of shear behavior. You are likely familiar with the action scissors make when cutting paper or fabric. The blades are perpendicular to the material, going in opposite directions. This creates a tearing of the material like that shown in Figure 5.1. In beam shear, the action is similar, but the movement of material is parallel to the length of the beam. The top portion moves relative to the bottom, illustrated in Figure 5.2.

Shear strength is fundamentally tied to bending strength and stiffness. If we take a stack of paper and lay it across two supports, it sags (Figure $5.3 a)$, unable to carry even its own load. If we glue each strip of paper together, we get a beam with enough strength and stiffness to carry a reasonable load, as shown in Figures 5.3b and 5.3c. And so it is with wood beams. The lengthwise fibers provide bending strength, but it is the lignin between the fibers that is the glue, causing the fibers to work together.

### 5.1 STABILITY

Shear stability is not a concern in solid timber members. The sections are compact enough to not experience shear buckling.


Figure 5.1 Shearing action similar to scissors


Figure 5.2 Shearing action from bending


(b)

(c)

Figure 5.3 Paper beam with layers (a) unglued and (b, c) glued (Lego ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ figures courtesy Peter McMullin)

For I-joists, the web may buckle under point loads or end reactions. Though this is really a column-buckling-type behavior, it applies to the design of bending members. In these cases, it is necessary to place blocking to stiffen the web of the joists, as shown in Figure 5.4. Note the gap at the top of the block to accommodate thermal and moisture movement.

### 5.2 CAPACITY

Finding the shear capacity of the wood is a two-step process. First, we look up the reference design stress, $F_{v}$, and then we adjust this with the applicable adjustment factors (e.g., $C_{D}, C_{t} C_{m}$ ), yielding $F^{\prime}{ }_{r}$.

### 5.2.1 Reference Design Values

Shear reference design values are a function of species, but usually independent of grade. For example, the reference design shear stress of Redwood is $160 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(1,103 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ for any grades from Stud to Clear Structural, even though the bending values for these are drastically different. This is because shear strength comes from lignin, which glues the lengthwise fibers (cellulose) together.


Figure 5.4 I-joist stiffening at end support

As shear strength rarely changes within a species, it is likely to control beam design of higher grade woods, rather than lower.

To find the reference design shear stress, we begin by first deciding what wood product we will use-sawn lumber, glued laminated timber, SCL, or I-joists. This will lead us to one of the following tables in Appendix 2, extracted from the $N D S$ Supplement. ${ }^{1}$

- visually graded dimension lumber-Table A2.1;
- visually graded timbers (5 $\times 5$ in and larger)—Table A2.2;
- mechanically graded Douglas Fir-Larch (North)—Table A2.3;
- visually graded Southern Pine-Table A2.4;
- glued laminated timber-Table A2.5;
- SCL—Table A2.6;
- I-joists—Table A2.7.

We find the reference design value as follows:

- In the first column, select the species. It is best if this is locally available and sustainably harvested.
- Under the species heading, select the grade. No. 2 is very common in Douglas Fir and Southern Pine. Consider calling lumber suppliers in the vicinity of the project to see what they commonly stock.
- In the second column, choose the Size Class, if available.
- Finally, find the column that corresponds to the property you are looking for. Read down to the row for the species and grade you are using and read the value in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

For example, say you want to know the reference design shear stress for Southern Pine. You would enter Table A2.4 and go across the column heading until you see $F_{V}$. Scanning down the column, you would see it is the same for all grades- $175 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(1,207 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

### 5.2.2 Adjusted Design Values

With the reference design shear stress, $F_{V}$, we now adjust it for the factors discussed in Chapter 2. Following Tables 2.4-2.7, we can quickly see which factors apply to shear for different wood products. In equation form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{V}^{\prime}=F_{V} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} \text { for sawn lumber }  \tag{5.1}\\
& F_{V}^{\prime}=F_{V} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{V r} \text { for glued laminated timber }  \tag{5.2}\\
& F_{V}^{\prime}=F_{V} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} \text { for SCL }  \tag{5.3}\\
& V_{t}^{\prime}=V_{t} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} \text { for I-Joists } \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$F_{V}=$ reference design shear strength from Appendix 2
$C_{x}=$ adjustment factors; see Table 2.3
Notice that for I-joists we are working in capacity units of force. This is because the manufacturers give shear strength, instead of the necessary

Table 5.1 Shear strength for varying species and wood types

| Shear Strength $V(k)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imperial Units | $\frac{\pi}{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 余 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 砍 | $\stackrel{N}{4}$ |  |
| $F_{v}\left(l b / i n^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 120 | 135 | 160 | 175 | 180 | 265 | 285 | 300 |
| $2 \times 4$ | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.05 |
| $2 \times 6$ | 0.66 | 0.74 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 1.46 | 1.57 | 1.65 |
| $2 \times 8$ | 0.87 | 0.98 | 1.16 | 1.27 | 1.31 | 1.92 | 2.07 | 2.18 |
| $2 \times 10$ | 1.11 | 1.25 | 1.48 | 1.62 | 1.67 | 2.45 | 2.64 | 2.78 |
| $2 \times 12$ | 1.35 | 1.52 | 1.80 | 1.97 | 2.03 | 2.98 | 3.21 | 3.38 |
| $6 \times 12$ | 5.06 | 5.69 | 6.75 | 7.38 | 7.59 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 12.7 |
| $6 \times 16$ | 6.82 | 7.67 | 9.09 | 9.95 | 10.2 | 15.1 | 16.2 | 17.1 |
| $6 \times 20$ | 8.58 | 9.65 | 11.4 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 18.9 | 20.4 | 21.5 |
| $6 \times 24$ | 10.3 | 11.6 | 13.8 | 15.1 | 15.5 | 22.8 | 24.6 | 25.9 |
| $10 \times 16$ | 11.8 | 13.3 | 15.7 | 17.2 | 17.7 | 26.0 | 28.0 | 29.5 |
| $10 \times 20$ | 14.8 | 16.7 | 19.8 | 21.6 | 22.2 | 32.7 | 35.2 | 37.1 |
| $10 \times 24$ | 17.9 | 20.1 | 23.8 | 26.0 | 26.8 | 39.4 | 42.4 | 44.7 |
| $14 \times 18$ | 18.9 | 21.3 | 25.2 | 27.6 | 28.4 | 41.7 | 44.9 | 47.3 |
| $14 \times 24$ | 25.4 | 28.6 | 33.8 | 37.0 | 38.1 | 56.0 | 60.3 | 63.5 |

Note: Apply appropriate adjustment factors
variables to calculate shear stress．We therefore compare shear force，$V$ ， with adjusted design shear strength，$V_{r}^{\prime}$ Additionally，we are not using the LRFD adjustment factors，as we are working in allowable stress units．

To assist you in preliminary sizing for shear，Table 5.1 presents shear capacities for various section sizes and materials．

| Shear Strength V（kN） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metric <br> Units | 俞 |  | $$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{N}{4}$ | 慈 |
| $F_{v}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 827 | 931 | 1，103 | 1，207 | 1，241 | 1，827 | 1，965 | 2，068 |
| $2 \times 4$ | 1.87 | 2.10 | 2.49 | 2.72 | 2.80 | 4.13 | 4.44 | 4.67 |
| $2 \times 6$ | 2.94 | 3.30 | 3.91 | 4.28 | 4.40 | 6.48 | 6.97 | 7.34 |
| $2 \times 8$ | 3.87 | 4.35 | 5.16 | 5.64 | 5.80 | 8.55 | 9.19 | 9.67 |
| $2 \times 10$ | 4.94 | 5.55 | 6.58 | 7.20 | 7.41 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 12.3 |
| $2 \times 12$ | 6.01 | 6.76 | 8.01 | 8.76 | 9.01 | 13.3 | 14.3 | 15.0 |
| $6 \times 12$ | 22.5 | 25.3 | 30.0 | 32.8 | 33.8 | 49.7 | 53.5 | 56.3 |
| $6 \times 16$ | 30.3 | 34.1 | 40.4 | 44.2 | 45.5 | 67.0 | 72.1 | 75.8 |
| $6 \times 20$ | 38.2 | 42.9 | 50.9 | 55.7 | 57.2 | 84.3 | 90.6 | 95.4 |
| $6 \times 24$ | 46.0 | 51.7 | 61.3 | 67.1 | 69.0 | 102 | 109 | 115 |
| $10 \times 16$ | 52.4 | 59.0 | 69.9 | 76.4 | 78.6 | 116 | 124 | 131 |
| $10 \times 20$ | 65.9 | 74.2 | 87.9 | 96.1 | 98.9 | 146 | 157 | 165 |
| $10 \times 24$ | 79.4 | 89.4 | 106 | 116 | 119 | 175 | 189 | 199 |
| $14 \times 18$ | 84.1 | 94.6 | 112 | 123 | 126 | 186 | 200 | 210 |
| $14 \times 24$ | 113 | 127 | 151 | 165 | 169 | 249 | 268 | 282 |

Note：Apply appropriate adjustment factors

### 5.3 DEMAND VS. CAPACITY

Once we have the adjusted design stress, $F^{\prime}{ }_{v}$, we compare it with the actual shear stress. For a simply supported beam, shear is at its maximum at the end of the beam. Inside the member, stress varies from zero at the top and bottom surface to a maximum at the middle, illustrated in Figure 5.5. Exploring this further, we see how shear stress changes along the length of several beams in Figure 5.6. In the single-span, simply supported beam, the shear stress is zero at the middle and maximum at the ends. A cantilever is the opposite, with maximum stress at the supported end. A multi-span beam has maximum shear stress at the supports.

Regardless of the shear force variation along the beam length, we find shear stress from equation (5.5) for any section type. For rectangular sections, we can use equation (5.6). Remember to watch your units.

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{V}=\frac{V Q}{I b} \text { for any section }  \tag{5.5}\\
& f_{v}=\frac{3 V}{2 b d} \text { for rectangular sections } \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5.5 Shear stress distribution in cross section


Figure 5.6 Shear stress variation at points along a beam
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\text { shear force at location of interest, } \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{kN}) \\
& Q=\text { first moment about the neutral axis, } \mathrm{in}^{3}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \\
& I=\text { moment of inertia, } \mathrm{in}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right) \\
& b=\text { section width, in }(\mathrm{mm}) \\
& d=\text { section depth, in }(\mathrm{mm})
\end{aligned}
$$

Because timber beams usually have a constant cross section (prismatic) along their length, we are typically concerned with the maximum shear force (and therefore stress). However, using the equations above, we can insert the shear force, $V$, at any point along the beam and find its corresponding stress. Similar to concrete beams, for uniformly distributed loads, we may design for the shear at a distance $d$ away from the support-giving us a slight advantage.

If the shear stress, $f_{V}$, is less than the adjusted design stress, $F^{\prime}$, we are OK. If it is higher, we select a deeper or wider beam and recalculate.

To help you select a beam large enough to carry a given shear load, Table 5.1 provides the shear strength of various beam sizes for a number of wood species. For I-joists, see Table A2.7. Remember to adjust these tables with the necessary factors, $C_{D}, C_{M}$, and $C_{t}$.

### 5.4 DEFLECTION

Shear action contributes little to bending deflection-usually only 3-5 percent. The modulus of elasticity values, $E$, for sawn lumber and glued laminated timber are slightly reduced to account for shear deflection. SCL (LVL and I-joists) do not have an adjustment on modulus of elasticity (though it is coming). If shear deflection is a concern-which it would be for short beams with high point loads-we can either just increase the deflection 3-5 percent or use an equation that takes shear deflection into account. ICC reports for SCL typically provide these equations for uniform and point loads.

For a simply supported beam, with a uniform load, this equation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{5 w l^{4}}{384 E I}+\frac{0.96 w l^{2}}{0.4 E A} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bending + Shear
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W=\text { uniform distributed load, } \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}) \\
& l=\text { beam span } \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{~m}) \\
& E=\text { modulus of elasticity, } \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\
& I=\text { moment of inertia, } \mathrm{in}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right) \\
& A=\text { cross-sectional area, } \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Refer to Chapter 4 for additional discussion on deflection calculations and acceptance criteria.

### 5.5 DETAILING

Detailing considerations for shear are similar to those found in Chapter 9 for connections, and in Chapter 4 for bending. Keep in mind that shear stress is at a maximum near supports and at the mid-height of the cross section. Any field modifications for piping or conduit in these areas will reduce shear strength and should be carefully evaluated by a professional engineer.

### 5.6 DESIGN STEPS

1. Draw the structural layout; include span dimensions and tributary width.
2. Determine loads:
(a) unit loads;
(b) load combinations yielding a line load;
(c) member end shear.
3. Material parameters-find reference design values and adjustment factors.
4. Estimate initial size or use size from bending and deflection check.
5. Calculate stress and compare with adjusted design stress.
6. Summarize the results.

### 5.7 DESIGN EXAMPLE

### 5.7.1 Beam Shear Example

Building on the beam example in Chapter 4, we will now check the shear capacity of the sawn beam shown in Figure 5.7. We leave it to you to check the glued laminated beam.


BEAM LOAD DIAGRAM


## CROSS SECTION

Figure 5.7 Example beam free body diagram and cross section

## Step 1: Draw Structural Layout

Begin by drawing the framing layout, complete with the dimensions needed in the design, shown in Figure 5.8. Key dimensional data are length, $l$, and tributary width, $l_{t}$.
$l=20 \mathrm{ft}$
$l_{t}=16 \mathrm{ft}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l=6.10 \mathrm{~m} \\
& l_{t}=4.88 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5.8 Example framing configuration

## Step 2: Determine Loads

## Step 2a: Unit Loads

The unit dead and live load are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{D}=20 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}} & q_{D}=0.958 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
q_{L}=50 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}} & q_{L}=2.394 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 2b: Load Combination

Because this is a floor, the live load dominant combination will control. Multiplying it by the tributary width, we find the line load, $w$, as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\left(q_{D}+q_{L}\right) l_{t} \\
& =\left(20 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}+50 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}\right) 16 \mathrm{ft} \\
& =1,120 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(0.958 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}+2.394 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right) 4.88 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =16.4 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 2c: Determine Member Shear

We are only concerned with the maximum shear, which occurs at the ends. Using the formulas in Appendix 6 and Figure 4.15, we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\frac{w l}{2} \\
& =\frac{1,120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(20 \mathrm{ft})}{2}=11.2 \mathrm{k} \quad=\frac{16.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}(6.10 \mathrm{~m})}{2}=50.0 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

Half the total beam load is supported at each end.

## Step 3: Material Parameters

Looking at the sawn lumber beam and using Table A2.4, we will find the reference design shear stress for Southern Pine, No. 1.

$$
F_{v}=175 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
$$

$$
F_{v}=1,207 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

We now apply the adjustment factors to these, following the list in Table 2.4. We will use the factors shown in the table below.

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration-floor load | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-dry, interior condition | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-sustained temperatures don't <br> exceed $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Table A4.4 |
| $C_{i}=1.0$ | Incising-not treated wood | Table A4.10 |

We multiply these together with the reference design stress to obtain the adjusted design stress:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{V}^{\prime}=F_{V} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} \\
& =175 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0)=175 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \quad=1,207 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0)=1,207 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 4: Initial Size

We already have sizes from the bending example. Using those:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d=23.5 \text { in } & d=600 \mathrm{~mm} \\
b=7.5 \text { in } & b=190 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 5: Stress

With that assumed beam size, we calculate horizontal shear stress using the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{V}=\frac{3 V}{2 b d} \\
& =\frac{3(11.2 \mathrm{k})}{2(7.5 \mathrm{in}) 23.5 \mathrm{in}} \frac{1000 \mathrm{lb}}{1 \mathrm{k}} \\
& =95.3 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{3(50.0 \mathrm{kN})}{2(190 \mathrm{~mm}) 600 \mathrm{~mm}}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}\right)^{2} \\
& =658 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this with the adjusted design shear stress, we see our beam is OK. That's it!

## Step 6: Summary

Our sawn beam in Chapter 4 works.

### 5.7.2 LVL Joist Shear Example

Let's now check the LVL floor joist from Chapter 4 for shear. Picking up at step 2c, we calculate the shear force in the joist.

## Step 2c: Determine Member Shear

Find the maximum shear (at the ends):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\frac{w l}{2} \\
& =\frac{93.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(16 \mathrm{ft})}{2} \frac{1 \mathrm{k}}{1000 \mathrm{lb}} \\
& =0.75 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned} \quad=\frac{1.34 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}(4.88 \mathrm{~m})}{2}
$$

Half the total beam load is supported at each end.

## Step 3: Material Parameters

We will design this beam using LVL material. Using Table A2.6 and choosing 1.9E WS, we find the bending reference design stress is:

$$
F_{\mathrm{V}}=285 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
$$

$$
F_{v}=1,965 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

We now apply the adjustment factors to these, following the list in Table 2.6. We will use the following factors:

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration-floor load | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-dry, interior condition | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-sustained temperatures don't <br> exceed $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Table A4.4 |

Multiplying these together with the reference design stress, we get the adjusted design stress (i.e., allowable stress):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{V}^{\prime}=F_{V} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} \\
& =285 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0)=285 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \quad=1,965 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0)=1,965 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 4: Initial Size

We already have sizes from the LVL bending example. Using those:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d=9.5 \text { in } & d=241 \mathrm{~mm} \\
b=1.75 \text { in } & b=44 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 5: Stress

With our size, we calculate horizontal shear stress using the following equation:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{v}=\frac{3 V}{2 b d} & \\
=\frac{3(750 \mathrm{lb})}{2(1.75 \mathrm{in}) 9.5 \mathrm{in}} & =\frac{3(3.27 \mathrm{kN})}{2(44 \mathrm{~mm}) 241 \mathrm{~mm}}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}\right)^{2} \\
=68 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & =463 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Because $f_{V}<F^{\prime}{ }_{V}$, the joist is OK for shear.

## Step 6: Summary

Our LVL joist in Chapter 4 works.

### 5.7.3 I-Joist Shear Example

Finally, let's check the shear strength of the I-joist example in Chapter 4. Picking up at step 3, we use the data in the LVL joist bending and shear examples.

## Step 3: Material Parameters

I-joists are different than other materials. We look at capacity rather than allowable stresses. For shear, we will be concerned with $V^{\prime}$, rather than $F_{V}^{\prime}$.

The applicable adjustment factors from Table 2.7 are shown in the table.

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration-floor load | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-dry, interior condition | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-sustained temperatures don't <br> exceed $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Table A4.4 |

## Step 4: Initial Size

We skip this step, as we already have our joist size from Chapter 4.

## Step 5: Strength

Going to Table A2.7, we find the joist strength for a 5000-1.8 joist, 11\% in (302 mm) deep section:

$$
V_{r}=1.63 \mathrm{k} \quad V_{r}=7.228 \mathrm{kN}
$$

Multiplying this by the adjustment factors, we get the adjusted design strength:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{r}^{\prime}=V_{r} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} \\
& =1.63 \mathrm{k}(1.0)=1.63 \mathrm{k} \quad=7.23 \mathrm{kN}(1.0)=7.23 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $V<V_{r}^{\prime}$, the joist is OK for shear.

## Step 6: Summary

Our I-Joist in Chapter 4 works.

### 5.8 WHERE WE GO FROM HERE

This chapter covers shear design for the common application of all lumber types. Shear values for glued laminated timber beams that are not prismatic, subjected to impact or fatigue loads, or have notches, must be reduced by the shear reduction factor, $C_{v r}(0.72)$.

When we design built-up beams, we pay particular attention to the horizontal shear where members interface. We need to ensure we have enough fasteners to fully connect the built-up pieces.

## NOTE

1. ANSI/AWC. National Design Specification (NDS) Supplement:

Design Values for Wood Construction (Leesburg, VA: AWC, 2015).
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Columns make open space possible. Without them, we would be subject to the limitations of walls. Columns range from round poles to rectangular sawn sections to structural composite lumber of a variety of shapes, sizes, and layups. The discussion of columns applies equally to truss compression members.

Historically, columns were solid sawn (Figure 6.1) or of built-up sections. Today, we find solid sawn columns, along with composite lumber columns, like that in Figure 6.2.

Common timber columns range from $4 \times 4$ in (100 mm) to $24 \times 24$ in (600 $\mathrm{mm})$. Column lengths range from 8 to $20 \mathrm{ft}(2.4-6 \mathrm{~m})$. Larger columns and lengths are possible-though difficult to come by.

In this chapter, we learn what parameters must be considered in the design of columns, some preliminary sizing tools, and how to do the


Figure 6.1 Timber column in historic barn, Cane River Creole National Historical Park, Natchitoches, Louisiana

Source: Photo courtesy of Robert A. Young © 2007


Figure 6.2 Structural composite lumber (PSL) column
Source: Photo courtesy of Weyerhaeuser © 2016
in-depth calculations. As with earlier chapters, take your time, clearly illustrate your ideas, and things will start to make sense.

### 6.1 STABILITY

Columns are unbraced along their length, and therefore prone to buckling. In fact, buckling is the driving consideration in column design. Because of this, the most efficient timber columns are square-providing the same buckling capacity about each axis.

To gain a conceptual understanding of column buckling, take a straw and apply a compression load at the top, as in Figure 6.3a. Note how much force it takes before the column starts bowing (buckling) out. Now, have a friend gently brace the straw in the middle so that it can't move horizontally. Apply a force until the straw starts to buckle, as shown in Figure 6.3b. Notice how much more force it takes.

Slenderness is the driving parameter for column buckling. Taller or thinner columns are more susceptible to buckling. Mathematically, we
(a)

(b)

Figure 6.3 Column buckling (a) unbraced, (b) braced
represent this with length and radius of gyration. (Radius of gyration is the square root of moment of inertia divided by area.) Simplifying this somewhat, we use the following expression to measure slenderness:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{l_{e}}{d} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$l_{e}=$ effective length, kl
$d=$ width of smallest cross-section dimension, in (mm)
$l=$ unbraced length, ft (m)
$k=$ effective length factor, shown in Figure 6.4
The code limits the slenderness ratio to 50 . Even if we went over this, the column stability factor, $C_{P}$, would be so small, the column would be useless.


DASHED LINE INDICATES BUCKLED SHAPE
Figure 6.4 Effective length factors for simple columns

The column stability factor, $C_{P}$, reduces the allowable stress to account for slenderness. We calculate it utilizing the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{P}=\frac{1+\left(F_{C E} / F_{c}^{*}\right)}{2 C}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+\left(F_{C E} / F_{c}^{*}\right)}{2 C}\right]^{2}-\frac{\left(F_{C E} / F_{c}^{*}\right)}{c}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$F^{*}{ }_{C}=F_{C}$ multiplied by all the adjustment factors but $C_{P}$
$F_{C E}=\frac{0.822 E_{\min }^{\prime}}{\left(l_{e} / d\right)^{2}}$
$E_{\text {min }}^{\prime}=$ adjusted minimum elastic modulus, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$c=0.8$ for sawn lumber, 0.85 for round poles and piles, 0.9 for glued laminated timber and SCL

Like the beam stability factor, this can be a little painful. Be patient with yourself. It helps to break up the equation.

To gain a sense of how the column stability factor varies, Figure 6.5 shows $C_{P}$ for different column length and depths. Notice that, as the cross section gets smaller, the stability factor drops. Additionally, as column length gets longer, the stability factor goes down for the same depth.

### 6.2 CAPACITY

To find compression capacity, we obtain the reference design stress from Appendix 2 (or $N D S$ Supplement) ${ }^{1}$, and then account for numerous effects using the adjustment factors, listed in Table 2.3.

### 6.2.1 Reference Design Values

In compression design, we consider two actions: compression parallel and perpendicular to the grain, illustrated in Figure 6.6. We use compression parallel to the wood grain, $F_{C}$, for loads applied along the length of the column. We use compression perpendicular to grain, $F_{C}^{\prime}$, values for bearing forces (such as a joist sitting on a wall). These values vary based on species and grade. Appendix 2 provides reference design values for a handful of species and grades. For column stability, we also use minimum modulus of elasticity, $E_{\text {min }}$.


Figure 6.5 Column stability factor as a function of length and cross-section size for Southern Pine No. 2 Dense


Figure 6.6 Axial and bearing stresses in a column

To find the reference design stress, we begin by selecting a wood product-sawn lumber, glued laminated timber, or SCL. This will lead us to one of the following tables in Appendix 2:

- Visually graded dimension lumber-Table A2.1;
- Visually graded timbers ( $5 \times 5$ in and larger)—Table A2.2;
- Mechanically graded Douglas Fir-Larch (North)—Table A2.3;
- Visually graded Southern Pine-Table A2.4;
- Glued laminated timber-Table A2.5;
- Structural Composite Lumber-Table A2.6;
- I-joists—Table A2.7.

Again, we can find the reference design stress as follows:

- In the first column, select the species.
- Under the species heading, select the grade.
- In the second column, choose the Size Class, if applicable.
- Finally, find the column that corresponds to $F_{c}$ or $F_{c \perp}$. Read down to the row for the species and grade you are using and read the value in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

For example, assume you want to know $F_{c}$ for Bald Cypress, Select Structural, at least $5 \times 5$ in $(127 \times 127 \mathrm{~mm})$ : you enter Table A2.2 and scan down the first column to the line that says Select Structural under Bald Cypress. You then scan to the right until you find the column with $F_{c}$ in it. The number in this column is the reference design compressive stress parallel to the grain.

### 6.2.2 Adjusted Design Values

We now adjust the reference design values for the variables discussed in Chapter 2. Following Tables 2.4-2.6, we can see which factors apply to axial or cross-grain compression for different wood products. For axial compression, the equations are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{C}^{\prime}=F_{C} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i} C_{P} \text { sawn lumber }  \tag{6.4}\\
& F_{c}^{\prime}=F_{C} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{P} \text { for glued laminated timber and SCL } \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$F_{c}=$ reference design compression stress from Appendix 2
$C_{x}=$ adjustment factors; see Table 2.3

For cross-grain compression (bearing conditions), the equations change somewhat.

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{c \perp}^{\prime}=F_{C \perp} C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} C_{b} \text { for sawn lumber }  \tag{6.6}\\
& F_{C \perp}^{\prime}=F_{C \perp} C_{M} C_{t} C_{b} \text { for glued laminated timber and SCL } \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$F_{c \perp}=$ reference design bearing value from Appendix 2
$C_{x}=$ adjustment factors; see Table 2.3

### 6.3 DEMAND VS. CAPACITY

With the adjusted design stress for compression both parallel and perpendicular to the grain, we compare this with the axial stress. The form of the equation is the same for both, but written out separately for clarity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{c}=\frac{P}{A_{g}} \quad \text { compression parallel to grain } \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f_{c \perp}=\frac{P}{A_{b r g}} \quad$ compression perpendicular to grain

## INITIAL COLUMN SIZING

A simple rule of thumb for column size is to divide the height in feet by 2 to get the column width in inches. For metric, multiply the height in meters by 40 to get the width in millimeters. For example, a $12 \mathrm{ft}(3.66 \mathrm{~m})$ column would be a minimum of 6 in ( 150 mm ) wide. For heavy loads, increase the size by $25-50$ percent.

If we know the load to the column, we can refine our column size using Table 6.1. This provides allowable axial compression capacity in kips (kN) for varying section sizes, timber reference design stresses, and column stability factors. We compare the calculated axial load with those in the tables to get a close approximation for initial size-assuming we guess well on the column stability factor (recall Figure 6.5). Remember the effect that the adjustment factors may have-bumping size up or down accordingly.
Table 6.1 Axial strength of sawn lumber for varying compressive stresses
Compression Strength, $P(k)$

| Compression Strength, P (k) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imperial Units |  | $F_{c}=700 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{im}^{2}$ |  |  | $F_{c}=1,400 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |  |  | $F_{b}=2,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |  |  |
| Column Size | $A_{g}, i n^{2}$ | $C_{P}$ |  |  | $C_{P}$ |  |  | $C_{P}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 0.85 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 0.85 | 0.70 | 0.55 | 0.85 | 0.70 | 0.55 |
| $5 \times 5$ | 20.25 | 12.0 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 24.1 | 19.8 | 15.6 | 43.0 | 35.4 | 27.8 |
| $6 \times 6$ | 30.25 | 18.0 | 14.8 | 11.6 | 36.0 | 29.6 | 23.3 | 64.3 | 52.9 | 41.6 |
| $8 \times 8$ | 56.25 | 33.5 | 27.6 | 21.7 | 66.9 | 55.1 | 43.3 | 120 | 98.4 | 77.3 |
| $10 \times 10$ | 90.25 | 53.7 | 44.2 | 34.7 | 107 | 88.4 | 69.5 | 192 | 158 | 124 |
| $12 \times 12$ | 132.25 | 78.7 | 64.8 | 50.9 | 157 | 130 | 102 | 281 | 231 | 182 |
| $14 \times 14$ | 182.25 | 108 | 89.3 | 70.2 | 217 | 179 | 140 | 387 | 319 | 251 |
| $16 \times 16$ | 240.25 | 143 | 118 | 92.5 | 286 | 235 | 185 | 511 | 420 | 330 |
| $18 \times 18$ | 306.25 | 182 | 150 | 118 | 364 | 300 | 236 | 651 | 536 | 421 |
| $20 \times 20$ | 380.25 | 226 | 186 | 146 | 452 | 373 | 293 | 808 | 665 | 523 |
| $22 \times 22$ | 462.25 | 275 | 227 | 178 | 550 | 453 | 356 | 982 | 809 | 636 |
| $24 \times 24$ | 552.25 | 329 | 271 | 213 | 657 | 541 | 425 | 1,174 | 966 | 759 |

Table 6.1 continued
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\text { axial compression load, } \mathrm{lb}(\mathrm{kN}) \\
& A_{g}=\text { gross cross-section area of column, } \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right) \\
& A_{\text {brg }}=\text { gross cross-section area of bearing area, in }{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Unlike for beams, axial stress is constant along the length of a column.
As long as the compressive stress is less than the adjusted design stress, we know we are OK. If it is higher, we select a larger column and recalculate things. Column design is iterative. We select a size, check it, and then resize as necessary.

When the column stability factor is close to or greater than 0.50 , and the column bears on wood of the same species, the compression perpendicular to grain usually governs the column size. If cross-grain bearing controls, one may place the column on a steel plate to enlarge the bearing area, without making the column unnecessarily large.

### 6.3.1 Combined Compression and Bending

When a compression member carries compression and bending, the bending causes the stresses on one side of the member to increase, while decreasing stress on the opposite side, as illustrated in Figure 6.7. The maximum stress depends on the relative magnitudes of compression and bending. The increase from bending stress will reduce the axial load the member can carry. Combined stresses are common in truss top chords where they carry axial compression from truss action and bending from gravity loads.

To determine the combined effect of compression and bending stresses, we use the following unity equation. It is essentially the sum of the ratio of stress to adjusted design stress, added together. As long as the sum of these ratios is less than one, the member is OK.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f_{c}}{F_{c}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\frac{f_{b}}{F_{b}^{\prime}\left[1-\left(f_{c} / F_{c E}\right)\right]} \leq 1.0 \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c}=\text { axial stress, } \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\
& f_{b}=\text { bending stress, } \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\
& F_{c}^{\prime}=\text { adjusted compression stress, } \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\
& F_{b}^{\prime}=\text { adjusted bending stress, } \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



POSSIBLE COMBINATIONS DEPEND ON RELATIVE MAGNITUDE OF AXIAL \& BENDING STRESS

Figure 6.7 Combined compression and bending stresses

### 6.4 DEFLECTION

Column deformation is all axial—along its length. If a compression load is applied to our foam examples from before, we see the circles deform and become elliptical—shorter in the direction of compression-as shown in Figure 6.8a. If we combine axial load and bending, we see the circles on one side remain round, while they become more compressed on the other—see Figure 6.8b.

Axial shortening of columns is generally not a concern for the overall performance of a building. However, if you want to calculate it in your spare time, here's the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{P I}{A E} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6.8 Foam column showing (a) pure compression and (b) combined compression and bending deformation
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\text { axial force }, \mathrm{lb}(\mathrm{kN}) \\
& L=\text { length, } \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{~m}) \\
& A=\text { cross section area, } \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \\
& E=\text { modulus of elasticity, } \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remember to watch your units, so the numbers play nicely together. Also, refer to Chapter 4 for a discussion on long- and short-term deflection.

### 6.5 DETAILING

Column detailing is relatively simple. Some things to consider:

- Make sure the column ends are cut perpendicular to the length to ensure uniform bearing stresses.
- In a bolted connection, we ignore the holes when determining column area, as they are filled with the bolts, which can transmit force.
- It is not a very good idea to notch columns.


### 6.6 DESIGN STEPS

1. Draw the structural layout; include span dimensions and tributary width.
2. Determine loads:
(a) unit loads;
(b) load combinations yielding a point load;
(c) member axial force.
3. Material parameters-find reference values and adjustment factors.
4. Estimate initial size.
5. Calculate stress and compare with adjusted design stress.
6. Summarize the results.

### 6.7 DESIGN EXAMPLE

## Step 1: Draw Structural Layout

Figure 6.9 shows the structural layout. We will be designing the bottomfloor, interior column.

## Step 2: Loads

## Step 2a: Unit Loads

The unit loads are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{D}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& q_{L}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\
& q_{S}=55 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{D} & =0.958 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
q_{L} & =1.92 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
q_{S} & =2.63 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 6.9 Column example layout

## Step 2b: Load Cases

The tributary area for one floor is:

$$
A_{t}=(16 \mathrm{ft})(20 \mathrm{ft})=320 \mathrm{ft}^{2}
$$

$$
A_{t}=(4.88 \mathrm{~m})(6.10 \mathrm{~m})=29.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

Multiplying the unit load by the tributary area, we find the point load on each floor, for each load case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{D}=q_{D} A_{t} \\
& =20 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}\left(320 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \frac{1 \mathrm{k}}{1000 \mathrm{lb}}=6.4 \mathrm{k} \quad=0.958 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\left(29.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)=28.5 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{L}=q_{L} A_{t} & \\
=0.040 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}\left(320 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)=12.8 \mathrm{k} & =1.92 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\left(29.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)=57.2 \mathrm{kN} \\
P_{S}=q_{S} A_{t} & \\
=0.055 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}\left(320 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)=17.6 \mathrm{k} & =2.63 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\left(29.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)=78.4 \mathrm{kN}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 2c: Load Combinations

We next combine these loads using load combinations. Because we don't know offhand which combination will control, we check the three that may. We also need to include the effect of each story. The multipliers on each load are the number of stories.

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
P & =4 P_{D}+3 P_{L}(\text { Dead + Live Combination }) \\
& =4(6.4 \mathrm{k})+3(12.8 \mathrm{k}) & =4(28.5 \mathrm{kN})+3(57.2 \mathrm{kN}) \\
& =64.0 \mathrm{k} & =285.6 \mathrm{kN}
\end{array}
$$

$$
P=4 P_{D}+P_{S}(\text { Dead }+ \text { Snow Combination })
$$

$$
=4(6.4 \mathrm{k})+17.6 \mathrm{k}
$$

$$
=4(28.5 \mathrm{kN})+78.4 \mathrm{kN}
$$

$$
=43.2 \mathrm{k}
$$

$$
=192 \mathrm{kN}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =4 P_{D}+3(0.75) P_{L}+0.75 P_{S}(\text { Dead }+75 \% \text { Live and Snow }) \\
& =4(6.4 \mathrm{k})+3(0.75)(12.8 \mathrm{k})+0.75(17.6 \mathrm{k})=67.6 \mathrm{k} \\
& =4(28.5 \mathrm{kN})+3(0.75)(57.2 \mathrm{kN})+0.75(78.4 \mathrm{kN})=301.5 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

We see D + 0.75L + 0.75S controls, and so we will use $P=67.6 \mathrm{k}$ ( 302 kN ). We would not have known this without checking all three.
Drawing the free body diagram of the column, we get Figure 6.10.

## Step 3: Material Parameters

We chose Southern Pine No 1. Key material parameters from Table A2.4 include compressive strength and minimum elastic modulus.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{c}=1,500 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & F_{c}=10,342 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
E_{\min }=580 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & E_{\min }=3,999 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 6.10 Column example free body diagram

Using Table 2.4, we find the adjustment factors that apply, specifically:
$F_{C}^{\prime}=F_{C} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i} C_{P}$
$E_{\text {min }}^{\prime}=E_{\text {min }} C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} C_{T}$
The easy adjustment factors are shown in the table.

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration-normal | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-dry | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-normal | Table A4.4 |
| $C_{F}=1.0$ | Size-factor built into reference design stress | Table A4.5 |
| $C_{i}=1.0$ | Incising-not treated | Table A4.10 |
| $C_{T}=1.0$ | Buckling stiffness-not a truss chord | Section 2.4.13 |

We have what we need to calculate $E_{\text {min }}^{\prime}$ :

$$
E_{\min }^{\prime}=580 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0)=580 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
$$

$$
E_{\min }^{\prime}=3,999 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0)=3,999 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

## Step 4: Initial Size

We can guess an initial size of 12 in ( 300 mm ) square. From Table A1.1, the cross-sectional area is $A_{g}=132.3 \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(58,081 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)$.

Or, we can calculate an initial size, assuming $C_{p}=0.5$ (remember to calculate this for the final size).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\text {req }}=\frac{P}{0.5 F_{c}^{*}} \\
& =\frac{67.6 \mathrm{k}}{0.5\left(1,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)} \frac{1000 \mathrm{lb}}{1 \mathrm{k}} \\
& =90.1 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{301.5 \mathrm{kN}}{0.5\left(10,342 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}\right)^{2} \\
& =58,306 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the square root of the area, we get 9.49 in (241 mm). Given this, let's try a 10 in ( 250 mm ) square, solid sawn column. From Table A1.1, we write out the section properties we will need.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{g}=90.25 \mathrm{in}^{2} & A_{g}=58,081 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} \\
b=d=9.5 \mathrm{in} & b=d=241 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 5: Strength

With our assumed size, we can calculate $C_{p}$ and adjust the reference design stress. Note the similarities with the beam stability equation.

Take your time and work through this one step at a time. Begin by writing out each variable and either the value or equation for it.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{C E}=\frac{0.822 E_{\min }^{\prime}}{\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{e}} / d\right)^{2}} \quad C_{p}=\frac{1+\left(F_{C E} / F_{C}^{*}\right)}{2 \mathrm{C}} \sqrt{\left[\frac{1+\left(F_{C E} / F_{C}^{*}\right)}{2 \mathrm{C}}\right]^{2}-\frac{F_{C E} / F_{C}^{*}}{\mathrm{C}}} \\
& I_{e}=l k_{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l=\text { unbraced length }=20 \mathrm{ft}(6.1 \mathrm{~m}) \\
& k_{e}=\text { effective length factor }=1.0 \\
& l_{e}=20 \mathrm{ft}(1.0) \frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{1 \mathrm{ft}}=240 \mathrm{in} \\
& l_{e}=6.1 \mathrm{~m}(1.0)\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}\right)=6,100 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Checking slenderness, we want to ensure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{l_{e}}{d}<50 \\
& \frac{l_{e}}{d}=\frac{240 \mathrm{in}}{9.5 \mathrm{in}}=25.3
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{l_{e}}{d}=\frac{6,100 \mathrm{~mm}}{241 \mathrm{~mm}}=25.3
$$

Because this is less than 50 , the member is not slender, and we continue.

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{C E} & =\frac{0.822\left(580,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)}{(25.3)^{2}} & F_{C E} & =\frac{0.822\left(3,999,000 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)}{(25.3)^{2}} \\
& =745 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & & =5,136 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that $F^{*}{ }_{C}$ is $F_{C}$ multiplied by all the adjustment factors but $C_{P}$ :

$$
F_{C}^{*}=F_{C} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i}
$$

$$
=1,500 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0)=1,500 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \quad=10,342 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0)=10,342 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

$c=0.8$ (for sawn lumber)
We now have what we need to calculate the column stability factor.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{P} & =\frac{1+(745 / 1,500)}{2(0.8)}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+(745 / 1,500)}{2(0.8)}\right]^{2}-\frac{745 / 1,500}{0.8}} \\
& =0.43
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{P} & =\frac{1+(5,136 / 10,342)}{2(0.8)}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+(5,136 / 10,342)}{2(0.8)}\right]^{2}-\frac{5,136 / 10,342}{0.8}} \\
& =0.43
\end{aligned}
$$

And now, the adjusted design stress is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{c}^{\prime}=F^{*}{ }_{c} C_{P} \\
& =1,500 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(0.43)=645 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \quad=10,342 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~mm}^{2}}(0.43)=4,447 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~mm}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculating the column stress, we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c}=\frac{P_{u}}{A_{g}} \\
& =\frac{67.6 \mathrm{k}}{90.25 \mathrm{in}^{2}} \frac{1000 \mathrm{lb}}{1 \mathrm{k}}=749 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{301.5 \mathrm{kN}}{58,081 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}\right)^{2}=5,191 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

Unfortunately, $f_{c}$ is greater than $F_{C}^{\prime}$, and so we need to go up a size. With columns, we have two things working against us. As the section size gets smaller, the stress goes up. At the same time, the buckling stability factor goes down, reducing our allowable stress.

Trying a 12in (305 mm) square column:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{g}=132.3 \mathrm{in}^{2} & A_{g}=85,264 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} \\
f_{c}=\frac{67.6 \mathrm{k}}{132.3 \mathrm{in}^{2}} \frac{1000 \mathrm{lb}}{1 \mathrm{k}}=511 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & =\frac{301.5 \mathrm{kN}}{85,264 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}\right)^{2}=3,536 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Without recalculating $C_{P}$, we see the compressive stress is below the allowable level for the 10 in ( 250 mm ) column. Perhaps you can recalculate $C_{P}$ for the 12 in ( 305 mm ) column.

## Step 6: Summary

In summary, we have a $12 \times 12$ Southern Pine No. 1 column.

### 6.8 WHERE WE GO FROM HERE

We really don't have much further to go from here. We have looked at compression loads along the length of the member, perpendicular to the grain in a bearing condition, and when compression loads are combined with bending. From here, we practice!

## NOTE

1. ANSI/AWC. National Design Specification (NDS) Supplement: (Leesburg, VA: AWC, 2015).
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Trusses have been used in structures for thousands of years because of their structural efficiency. They can be configured in limitless ways and span great distances. Today, trusses are commonly used in residential homes, warehouses, office buildings, hotels, apartment buildings, and industrial structures. They have aesthetic value that can be incorporated into the finished look of the structure.

### 7.1 STABILITY

A key concept in understanding trusses is the triangle-the most stable geometric shape. Imagine a fractionless pin at each end of a triangle connected by solid, rigid bars. If the triangle is pushed with a horizontal force, $F$, the triangle will hold its shape (see Figure 7.1). By way of comparison, now imagine a square or rectangle with the same pins and bars. The shape collapses when the same force is applied, regardless of magnitude, as illustrated in Figure 7.2.


Figure 7.1 Basic truss element, the triangle


Figure 7.2 Rectangular frame with pinned member ends

Rectangular frames are commonly used to resist horizontal applied loads in many structures. What inhibits this frame from falling? We lock the pins, as illustrated in Figure 7.3. These locked joints are more commonly known as moment connections, because they resist rotational forces (moments).

A truss, then, can be thought of as a large beam comprising a combination of triangles. This 'beam' resists applied loads spanning a distance. There are an infinite variety of triangle configurations that can be used to resist loads. A few are illustrated in Figure 7.4.

The analysis of a truss is handled in two separate phases, used to determine external and internal forces. Phase 1 is the global analysis. First, we determine applied loads acting on the truss (usually along the top chord in wood trusses). Second, we calculate the reactions. Just like beams, determinacy is critical at this point. The number of unknown reactions must be equal to the known statics equations. If this is not the case, more sophisticated analysis methods are required. Refer to Chapter 9 of Introduction to Structures in this series. ${ }^{1}$

Next, internal analysis looks at how the external forces (determined in phase 1) flow though the truss and determines the stresses in each member.

We must look at trusses as 3-D systems. Even if they appear to be planar elements, they must be braced out of plane to keep them stable. With timber trusses, the entire top chord will be in compression and bending, as described above. If plywood roof sheathing or bridging is


Figure 7.3 Rectangular frame with fixed joints (moment frame)


Figure 7.4 Various truss configuations
applied, the capacity of the truss to support loads is greatly increased. Without the plywood, the truss has a tendency to roll over.

### 7.2 CAPACITY

The beauty of trusses is that the majority of members only have axial loads. Each member will either be in tension or compression. Consider the simple truss in Figure 7.5: you can see how a horizontal force, $F$, applied in either direction left to right resolves into only tension or compression forces. This is why trusses are so efficient.


Figure 7.5 Horizontal force resolution in a truss

The only exception is where the truss experiences applied loads between joints on either the top or bottom chords. These loads are usually distributed or point loads. Figure 7.6a illustrates the effect of these loads on the member forces. By way of example, imagine a common wood truss in the roof of a home. The top chord supports the roof's dead, snow, or rain loads. The chord member between the joints experiences bending, but distributes the bending loads as axial forces to the remaining truss members, shown in Figure 7.6b. The bending forces substantially increase the size of the truss member.


Figure 7.6 (a) Combined axial and bending loads in a truss, and (b) bending deflection in a roof truss

### 7.3 TRUSS ANALYSIS

A large part of the analysis of trusses comes from the derivation of the external loads and how to apply them according to the building and material codes. This was discussed in detail in Introduction to Structures. The one thing to keep in mind for this text is that all design will be per allowable stress provisions versus ultimate strength.

Continuing with the roof truss previously mentioned, the governing building codes prescribe what loads and percentages of those loads should be applied to the truss. These are known as load combinations. Examples of these are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D \\
& D+L \\
& D+\left(L_{r} \text { or } S \text { or } R\right) \\
& D+0.75 L+.75\left(L_{r} \text { or } S \text { or } R\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where:
$D=$ dead load;
$L=$ live load (usually relating to people);
$L_{r}=$ roof live load (minimum prescribed loads in areas without snow);
$S$ = snow load;
$R=$ rain loads.
Once we determine loads and apply them to the appropriate location (i.e., the truss top chord), we calculate the external reactions at the supports (i.e., global analysis) using statics. From that point, the internal analysis can determine the force in each member and then design wood sections to resist them.

The commonest methods for analyzing wood trusses by hand are the method of joints and the method of sections. Both are discussed in great detail in Chapter 10 of Introduction to Structures. They begin with global analysis, defining the geometry, loads, and support reactions.

### 7.3.1 Method of Joints

The method of joints focuses on forces that occur at each joint of the truss. Each joint theoretically acts as a frictionless hinge, transferring no moment. Another way to think of it is that the truss members are loosely bolted together and, therefore, free to rotate.

Say we are analyzing the truss in Figure 7.7. Start with a joint where you have knowledge of the external forces-initially at the supports. Draw a
free body diagram of the forces acting on the joint (parallel to the direction of the members), illustrated in Figure 7.8. Sum the horizontal and vertical forces to solve for the unknown member forces. Once all of the forces have been calculated, simply move to the next adjacent joint. Set up another free body diagram and repeat the procedure. Remember to break diagonal forces down into their horizontal and vertical components.

### 7.3.2 Method of Sections

The previous method can be quite laborious, especially if you only need forces in a few members. The method of sections drastically minimizes the effort and does not require analysis of the entire truss. We strategically slice the truss into two pieces, through the members that are under investigation, shown in Figure 7.9. At the cut, we place axial forces parallel


Figure 7.7 Truss layout and loading


Figure 7.8 Joint free body diagram for method of joints


Figure 7.9 Truss segment free body diagram for method of sections
to the members, and then sum moments where the multiple cut members intersect. This is similar to the initial global analysis. We finish by summing vertical and horizontal forces to determine any remaining unknown forces in the members under consideration.

### 7.4 DEMAND VS. CAPACITY

Now, we size members using the provisions for calculating tension, bending, and compression stress that we discussed in Chapters 3, 4, and 6 , respectively. You already have the tools to design truss members!

### 7.5 DEFLECTION

One final factor to consider when designing trusses is the deflection. Deflection limits for the trusses are the same as for other structural members. The governing building codes prescribe minimum limits for the allowable deflection under dead and live loads (see Section 4.4). For a typical floor truss, the total load deflection is not to exceed the total truss span (in inches or millimeters) divided by 240. If the truss spans 30 ft ( 9.4 m ), the allowable code deflection is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{\text {а, тот }}=\frac{1}{240} \\
=\frac{30 \mathrm{ft}(12 \mathrm{in} / 1 \mathrm{ft})}{240}=1.5 \mathrm{in} & =\frac{9,140 \mathrm{~mm}}{240}=38 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

Truss deflection is a function of span length, loads, and modulus of elasticity. The latter varies, based on the wood species and grade. We use stiffness or energy methods to determine truss deflections. These are a bit more involved and beyond the scope of this book. However, we can make a quick approximation of deflection using the beam deflection equations in Appendix 6. We can estimate the moment of inertia of the truss as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=0.75 A d^{2} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$A=$ the cross-sectional area of one chord, $\mathrm{in}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)$
$d=$ distance between the chord centroid, in (mm)

### 7.6 DETAILING

With the evolution of computers able to perform sophisticated truss analysis, the shapes of trusses and their function are unlimited. Figure 7.4 shows various shapes that can be used, not only to support the roof dead and live loads, but also to create architectural effects inside and outside the structure.

The top chord of the truss can have multiple slopes and pitches, not only to efficiently shed snow and rain, but also to dramatically impact the look of the structure. The bottom chord can also be manipulated to vaulted spaces at any point along the span. If a truss is deep enough, a room (attic space) can be incorporated into the design.

Truss chords and webs of trusses can be connected together in many different ways. Trusses made with dimensional lumber (i.e., $2 \times 4,2 \times 6$, etc.) are commonly connected together with metal plates, also called gusset or gang nail plates. These gusset plates are made with sheet metal of varying thickness, often with little teeth. These kinds of connection are intended for rapid production and are usually found in residential construction. Computer programs determine the lengths and cut angles of each truss member. These are laid out on a table, and the gusset plates are pressed in by hydraulic rollers. These light trusses can be assembled in minutes.

Where trusses are intended to be seen inside the structure, it is common practice for architects to use heavy timbers and express the connection. The connections of the chords and webs are comprised of $1 / 4 \mathrm{in}(6.35 \mathrm{~mm})$ steel plates and 1 in ( 25.4 mm ) diameter and larger bolts. These plates can be hidden or exposed, as illustrated in Figure 7.10. Hidden plates are


Figure 7.10 (a) Hidden and (b) exposed connectors in a heavy timber truss
commonly referrred to as knife plates. The truss member is sliced in the middle (usually with a chain saw, which is the perfect width for this kind of connection), and the steel plate is slipped in the cut, and then bolts are inserted through.

Exposed plates are applied on the outside of the truss. In this case, two plates are required at each connection. Exposed plates require fewer bolts, as they are in double shear, as shown in Figure 7.10b.

### 7.7 DESIGN STEPS

The steps for truss design are as follows:

1. Determine the truss profile and spacing that best suit the structural conditions.
(a) Label each joint and member in the truss profile.
2. Determine the loads and forces:
(a) unit loads;
(b) load combinations yielding line or point loads;
(c) perform global analysis to determine truss support reactions;
(d) perform internal analysis to determine member forces (method of joints or method of sections).
3. Material parameters-find the reference design values and adjustment factors.
4. Estimate initial size of the members.
5. Calculate member stresses and compare with the adjusted design values:
(a) axial stress (tension and compression);
(b) bending and combined stress.
6. Calculate deflection and allowable deflections and compare them.
7. Summarize the results.

### 7.8 DESIGN EXAMPLE

Step 1: Determine the Structural Layout
Consider the simple parallel top and bottom chord truss shown in Figure 7.11. This style of truss is common for floor and roof levels in high-density residential structures. The trusses are spaced at $4 \mathrm{ft}(1.2 \mathrm{~m})$ on center.

Step 2: Determine the Loads and Member Forces

## Step 2a: Unit Loads

The unit loads are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\left(1.20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\
& L=25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\left(1.20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 7.11 Example truss layout and loads

## Step 2b: Load Combinations, Line, and Point Loads

Moving forward, this example will analyze the truss with 100 percent of the total dead load and live load. The uniform load on the top chord is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W=(D+L) l_{t} & \\
=\left(25 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}+25 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}\right) 4 \mathrm{ft} & =\left(1.20 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}+1.20 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right) 1.22 \mathrm{~m} \\
=200 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}} & =2.93 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 2c: Perform Global Truss Analysis

The next step is to label all joints and members of the truss. Organization is key to truss analysis. We will label joints as numbers and members as letters, as shown in Figure 7.12.

The global analysis can now begin. We start by finding the support reactions. From the diagram, the truss is supported by a pin connection at one end and a roller at the other. There are no horizontal reactions.

Because the load is uniform, we can find the reactions as the uniform load multiplied by the truss length divided by two (half of the load is supported at each support). In equation form:

$$
R=\frac{w l}{2}
$$



Figure 7.12 Example truss member and joint labels

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
=\frac{200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(25 \mathrm{ft})}{2} & =\frac{2.93 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}(7.6 \mathrm{~m})}{2} \\
=2,500 \mathrm{lb} & =11.13 \mathrm{kN}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 2d: Perform Internal Analysis

Our analysis can now shift internally. The focus is to determine the force in the webs and chords. We will find the forces in members $Q, C$, and $H$ in this example.

Using the method of joints, we determine the force in web member $Q$.
Figure 7.13 shows the isolated joint 2. Force direction is important here. Forces acting upward and to the right will be positive, and forces acting downward and to the left will be negative. Looking back at the global analysis, the reaction at joint 1 is upwards (positive). Because member $A$ is horizontal, it carries no vertical force. Therefore, member $K$ carries all of the support reaction. This tells us the force is:

$$
K=2,500 \mathrm{lb}(11.13 \mathrm{kN})
$$



## JOINT 2

Figure 7.13 Example joint $C$ for method of joints analysis

Moving to member $Q$, we assume the force acts away from the joint, but at a diagonal. This is tricky to analyze, and so we break it into horizontal and vertical components. The hypotenuse is $7.071 \mathrm{ft}(2.16 \mathrm{~m})$, and the vertical leg is $5 \mathrm{ft}(1.52 \mathrm{~m})$. To determine the force, use the static concept that the sum of all the forces in the $Y$ direction have to equal zero:

$$
\sum F_{y}=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2,500 \mathrm{lb}-F_{Q}\left(\frac{5 \mathrm{ft}}{7.07 \mathrm{ft}}\right)-500 \mathrm{lb} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving for $F Q$, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{Q} & =\frac{2,500 \mathrm{lb}-500 \mathrm{lb}}{(5 \mathrm{ft} / 7.07 \mathrm{ft})} \\
& =2,828 \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{Q} & =\frac{11.13 \mathrm{kN}-2.22 \mathrm{kN}}{(1.52 \mathrm{~m} / 2.16 \mathrm{~m})} \\
& =12.7 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the answers came out positive. This indicates that the assumptions of the force directions are correct. If the answers come out negative, then the forces are acting in the opposite direction. In this case, the web member is in tension.

Moving on to the forces in the top and bottom chords, $H$ and $C$, we use the method of sections. We cut an imaginary section at the mid-span where the chord forces will be highest, as shown in Figure 7.14. The section is cut through the two members in question. This method is based on the static concept of summing moments about a point. In determining forces on member $H$, the focus will be on joint 7, even though it is outside the truss.


Figure 7.14 Example free body diagram for method of sections

The external forces acting on the truss are the uniform load on the top chord and the reactions at the supports. Sign convention again is important here. Understand that a moment is a rotational force, and that to determine a moment you multiply the force by the perpendicular distance; moments going in a counterclockwise (left) direction will be positive, and moments going clockwise (right) will be negative. If the moments around joint 7 are summed, moments from members $H$ and $T$ drop out, because their moment arm length is 0 , leaving:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum M_{7}=0 \\
& W(15 \mathrm{ft}) \frac{15 \mathrm{ft}}{2}-F_{K}(15 \mathrm{ft})+F_{H}(5 \mathrm{ft})=0 \\
& 200 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}} \frac{(15 \mathrm{ft})^{2}}{2}-2,500 \mathrm{lb}(15 \mathrm{ft})+F_{H}(5 \mathrm{ft})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{H} & =\frac{-200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(15 \mathrm{ft})^{2} / 2+2,500 \mathrm{lb}(15 \mathrm{ft})}{5 \mathrm{ft}} \\
& =3,000 \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(4.56 \mathrm{~m}) \frac{4.56 \mathrm{~m}}{2}-F_{K}(4.56 \mathrm{~m})+F_{H}(1.52 \mathrm{~m})=0 \\
& -2.93 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}} \frac{(4.56 \mathrm{~m})^{2}}{2}-11.13 \mathrm{kN}(4.56 \mathrm{~m})+F_{H}(1.52 \mathrm{~m})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{H} & =\frac{-2.93 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}(4.56 \mathrm{~m})^{2} / 2+11.13 \mathrm{kN}(4.56 \mathrm{~m})}{1.52 \mathrm{~m}} \\
& =13.35 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, to find the force in member $C$, sum the moment around joint 6 (refer to Figure 7.14). It turns out that $F_{C}$ is of the same magnitude as $F_{H}$, just in a different direction. This makes sense, given the symmetrical loading and need for static equilibrium. Consider running through the calculations yourself to confirm this.

## Step 3: Material Parameters

We will need adjusted design stresses for tension and compression. We will use Douglas Fir-Larch No. 2, as this is available in the project location.

Reference design stresses from Table A2.1 are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{t}=500 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & F_{t}=3,447 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
F_{c}=1,400 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & F_{c}=9,653 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
E_{\min }=470,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & E_{\min }=3,240,535 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Using Table 2.4, we find the adjustment factors that apply for tension and compression, specifically:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{t}^{\prime}=F_{t} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i} \\
& F_{C}^{\prime}=F_{C} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i} C_{P} \\
& E_{\min }^{\prime}=E_{\min } C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} C_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

The easy adjustment factors are shown in the table.

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration-normal | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-dry | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-normal | Table A4.4 |
| $C_{F}=1.3$ | Size-tension $(2 \times 4)$ | Table A4.5 |
| $C_{F}=1.2$ | Size-bending $(2 \times 8)$ | Table A4.5 |
| $C_{F}=1.05$ | Size-compression $(2 \times 8)$ | Table A4.5 |
| $C_{i}=1.0$ | Incising-not treated | Table A4.10 |
| $C_{T}=1.15$ | Buckling stiffness-provided for convenience | Section 2.4.13 |

Let's calculate $F_{t}^{\prime}$ and $E_{\text {min }}^{\prime}$ now:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
F_{t}^{\prime} & =500 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0) 1.3 & F_{t}^{\prime} & =3,447 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0) 1.3 \\
& =650 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & =4,481 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
E_{\min }^{\prime} & =470,000 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0) 1.15 & E_{\min }^{\prime} & =3,240,535 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0) 1.15 \\
& =540,500 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & & =3,726,615 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 4: Initial Size

For simplicity, let's make the webs and bottom chords $2 \times 4(50 \times 102)$, and the top chord $2 \times 10(50 \times 250)$ to help with the bending.

## Step 5: Calculate Member Stresses

## Step 5a: Axial Stress

The top chord will be the starting member. Recall from the analysis that this member is in compression. In addition, it will also have bending owing to the roof dead and live loads. So, this member will have the unique condition of experiencing combined stresses. We follow the steps of Chapter 6 for determining axial stress.

We first need the column stability factor. As the weak axis is braced by the sheathing, we look at the strong axis, $d=7.25$ in (184 mm). This yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{P}=\frac{1+\left(F_{C E} / F_{C}^{*}\right)}{2 c}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+\left(F_{C E} / F_{C}^{*}\right.}{2 c}\right]^{2}-\frac{\left(F_{C E} / F_{C}^{*}\right)}{C}} \\
& F_{C E}=\frac{0.822 E_{\min }^{\prime}}{\left(l_{e} / d\right)^{2}} \\
& l_{e}=l k_{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l=\text { unbraced length }=5 \mathrm{ft}(1.52 \mathrm{~m}) \\
& k_{e}=\text { effective length factor }=1.0 \\
& l_{e}=5 \mathrm{ft}(1.0) \frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{1 \mathrm{ft}}=60 \mathrm{in} \\
& l_{e}=1.52 \mathrm{~m}(1.0) \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}=1,520 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Checking slenderness, we want to ensure that:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{l_{e}}{d}<50 \\
\frac{l_{e}}{d}=\frac{60 \mathrm{in}}{7.25 \mathrm{in}}=8.3 & \frac{l_{e}}{d}=\frac{1,520 \mathrm{~mm}}{184 \mathrm{~mm}}=8.3
\end{array}
$$

Because this is less than 50, the member is not slender, and we continue:

$$
F_{C E}=\frac{0.822\left(540,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)}{(8.3)^{2}}=6,449 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
$$

$$
F_{C E}=\frac{0.822\left(3,726,535 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)}{(8.3)^{2}}=44,466 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

Recalling that $F_{C}^{*}$ is $F_{c}$ multiplied by all the adjustment factors but $C_{P}$ :

$$
F_{C}^{*}=F_{C} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i}
$$

$$
=1,400 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0) 1.05=1,470 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \quad=9,653 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0) 1.05=10,136 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

$c=0.8$ (for sawn lumber)
We now have what we need to calculate the column stability factor.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{P} & =\frac{1+(6,449 / 1,470)}{2(0.8)}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+(6,449 / 1,470)}{2(0.8)}\right]^{2}-\frac{6,449 / 1,470}{0.8}} \\
& =0.95 \\
C_{P} & =\frac{1+(44,466 / 10,136)}{2(0.8)}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+\left(\frac{44,466 / 10,136)}{2(0.8)}\right]-\frac{44,466 / 10,136}{0.8}}{0 .}\right.} \\
& =0.95
\end{aligned}
$$

And now, the adjusted design stress is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{c}^{\prime}=F^{*} C_{P} C_{P} \\
& =1,470 \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(0.95)=1,397 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \quad=10,136 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~mm}^{2}}(0.95)=9,629 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~mm}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finding the axial stress in the top chord, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{c}=\frac{F}{A} \\
& =\frac{3,000 \mathrm{lb}}{1.5 \mathrm{in}(7.25 \mathrm{in})} \\
& =276 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{13.35 \mathrm{kN}}{38 \mathrm{~mm}(184 \mathrm{~mm})(1 \mathrm{~m} / 1000 \mathrm{~mm})^{2}} \\
& =1,909 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The member stress, $f_{c}$, is less than the adjusted design stress, $F_{c}^{\prime}$, which is a good sign. However, we need to include the effect of the bending stress, which may have a substantial effect.

Finding the adjusted design stress

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{b}^{\prime}=F_{b} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{L} C_{F} C_{f u} C_{i} C_{r} \\
& =725 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0) 1.2=870 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=4,999 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0) 1.2=5,999 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

The moment in the top chord equals:

$$
M=\frac{w l^{2}}{8}
$$

$$
=\frac{200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(5 \mathrm{ft})^{2}}{8}
$$

$$
=625 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{293 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}(1.52 \mathrm{~m})^{2}}{8} \\
& =0.846 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table A1.1, the section modulus for a $2 \times 8$ about the strong ( X ) axis is:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
S_{x} & =13.14 \mathrm{in}^{3} & \left(0.214 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \\
f_{b} & =\frac{625 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}}{13.14 \mathrm{in}^{3}}\left(\frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{1 \mathrm{ft}}\right) & f_{b} & =\frac{0.845 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}}{0.214 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}(1 \mathrm{~m} / 1000 \mathrm{~mm})^{3}} \\
& =571 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & & =3,949 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Combining bending and compression stress, we have:

$$
\left[\frac{f_{c}}{F_{c}^{\prime}}\right]^{2}+\frac{f_{b}}{F_{b}^{\prime}\left[1-\left(f_{c} / F_{c E}\right)\right]} \leq 1.0
$$

We have all the variables, we just need to plug them in.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\frac{276}{1,397}\right]^{2}+\frac{571}{870\left[1-\left(571_{c} / 6,449\right)\right]}} & {\left[\frac{1,909}{9,629}\right]^{2}+\frac{3,949}{5,999[1-(3,947 / 44,466)]}} \\
=0.72 & =0.72
\end{array}
$$

Because our ratio is less than one, our top chord works.
We now turn our attention to the other two members from the analysis, the diagonal web and the bottom chord. Interestingly, both members are in tension, and the forces are about the same magnitude. We will design for the higher of the two, or $F_{Q}=3,000 \mathrm{lb}(13.4 \mathrm{kN})$.

Looking at member $Q$ and recalling that the webs and bottom chords are initially $2 \times 4 \mathrm{~S}(50 \times 102)$, we calculate the actual stresses:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{t}=\frac{F}{A} \\
& =\frac{3,000 \mathrm{lb}}{1.5 \mathrm{in}(3.5 \mathrm{in})} \\
& =571 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{13.4 \mathrm{kN}}{38 \mathrm{~mm}(89 \mathrm{~mm})(1 \mathrm{~m} / 1000 \mathrm{~mm})^{2}} \\
& =3,947 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As this is less than our adjusted design stress, our members work at the size we selected.

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{t}^{\prime} & =500 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0) 1.5 \\
& =750 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{t}^{\prime} & =3,447 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0) 1.5 \\
& =5,171 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is greater than the tension stress. We know the bottom chord and last diagonal work for tension.

## Step 7: Summarize Results

Because the diagonal members further towards the middle of the truss will have a lower tension force than the end one, we can use a $2 \times 4$ for all members of the truss.

### 7.9 WHERE WE GO FROM HERE

You now have the tools to design truss members made of light or heavy timber. From here, we can explore other truss configurations and get into the connection design.
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### 8.1 INTRODUCTION

Lateral loads on structures are commonly caused by wind, earthquakes, and soil pressure and less commonly caused by human activity, waves, or blasts. These loads are difficult to quantify with any degree of precision. However, following reasonable member and system proportioning requirements, coupled with prudent detailing, we can build reliable timber structures that effectively resist lateral loads.

What makes a structure perform well in a windstorm is vastly different than in an earthquake. A heavy, squat structure, such as the Parthenon in Greece, can easily withstand wind—even without a roof. Its mass anchors it to the ground. At the other extreme, a tent structure could blow away in a moderate storm. Conversely, the mass of the Parthenon makes it extremely susceptible to earthquakes (remember earthquake force is a function of weight), whereas the tent, in a seismic event, will hardly notice what is going on.

Looking at this more closely, wind forces are dependent on three main variables:

1. proximity to open spaces such as water or mud flats;
2. site exposure;
3. building shape and height.

In contrast, earthquake forces are dependent on very different variables:

1. nature of the seismic event;
2. building weight;
3. rigidity of the structural system.

Because we operate in a world with gravity forces, we inherently understand the gravity load paths of the simple building shown in Figure 8.1a. Downward loads enter the roof and floors and make their way to the walls, columns, and, eventually, footings. Lateral loads can take more time to grasp. But, we can think of them as turning everything $90^{\circ}$, the structure acting as a cantilevered beam off the ground, as illustrated in Figure 8.1b.

The magnitude and distribution of lateral loads drive the layout of frames and shear walls. These walls resist lateral forces, acting like cantilevered beams poking out of the ground.

We design lateral wind-resisting members not to damage the system. Conversely, because strong seismic loads occur much less frequently, we design their lateral systems to crush the wood and yield connections.


Figure 8.1 (a) Gravity load path; (b) lateral load path turned $90^{\circ}$


Figure 8.2 Comparative energy absorption for high and low deformation behavior

This absorbs significantly more energy, as illustrated in Figure 8.2, resulting in smaller member sizes. However, it leaves the structure damaged.

For the design of seismic load resisting systems, we follow rigorous member proportioning and detailing requirements to ensure crushing and yielding occur in the right places. This chapter focuses on design and detailing requirements from a conceptual point of view, and what lateral load resisting systems, elements, and connections should look like.

### 8.2 LATERAL LOAD PATHS

Following the path lateral loads travel through a structure is key to logical structural configuration and detailing. If the load path is not continuous from the roof to ground, failure can occur. Additionally, no amount of structural engineering can compensate for an unnecessarily complex load path.

When configuring the structure, visualize how lateral forces-and gravity forces-travel from element to element, and eventually to the ground. A well-planned load path will save weeks of design effort, substantially reduce construction cost, and minimize structural risk. Software can't do this, but careful thought will.

Looking at lateral load paths further, Figure 8.3 shows how they enter a structure and find their way to the ground. Starting at point 1 , wind


Figure 8.3 Detailed lateral load path in structure
induces pressure, or seismic accelerations cause inertial forces, perpendicular to the face of the building. Spanning vertically (point 2), the wall delivers a line or point load to a connection at the roof or floor level. The roof or floor picks up additional inertial seismic load. The roof (number 3) must resist lateral forces through diaphragm actionessentially a deep beam. The ends of the diaphragm (point 4) then deliver load into connections between a shear wall or frame. This occurs at each level (point 5). The lateral force works its way to the footing (point 6), which transfers the force to the soil through friction and passive pressure.

Because the lateral forces are applied at a distance above the ground, they impart an overturning moment to the system. This causes tension and compression in the ends of shear walls and outside frame columns (point 7). The weight of the structure (point 8) helps resist this overturning moment, keeping it from tipping over.

To review, lateral loads are applied perpendicular to walls or cladding. Bracing these are the roof and floor diaphragms, which transfer their loads to the walls parallel to the load. Walls are supported by the ground. The weight of the structure (and sometimes deep foundations) keeps the system from tipping over.

Connections are critical to complete load paths. We need to ensure the lateral loads flow from perpendicular walls and floors, into diaphragms, into walls parallel to the load, and down to the foundation. Each time the load enters a new element, there must be a connection. The details in Sections 8.4.5 and 8.5.5 show how this is done.

Connections from light to heavier materials warrant special consideration, particularly roof-wall interfaces. Figure 8.4a shows a common, seismically deficient, connection between a concrete wall and wood roof. As the wall moves away from the sheathing, the $2 x$ is placed in cross-grain bending, resulting in failure. Without much additional effort, we can connect the wall to a metal strap and blocking, as in Figure 8.4b, and get a connection that will keep the wall from tipping over.

### 8.3 SEISMIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Building codes limit different seismic systems and their maximum heights to ensure the structures perform well during an earthquake. Table 8.1 presents different timber lateral systems and their permissible heights. It also includes the response modification factor, $R$, which reduces the seismic design force, as a function of energy absorption. A higher $R$ indicates a better performing seismic system.

### 8.4 DIAPHRAGMS

Lateral systems include horizontal and vertical elements. Horizontal systems consist of diaphragms and drag struts (collectors). Vertical elements consist of shear walls and frames. Horizontal systems transfer forces through connections to vertical elements, which carry the loads into the foundation.


Figure 8.4 (a) Ineffective and (b) effective concrete wall to wood roof connection
Table 8.1 Seismic lateral system $R$ factors and maximum heights

| Seismic Force Resisting System | Response Coefficient | Permitted Height for Seismic Category |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $R$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | E | F |
| Timber |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Light frame walls, structural panel sheathed walls | $6^{1 / 2}$ | NL | NL | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Light frame walls, other panel sheathed walls | 2 | NL | NL | 35 | NP | NP |
| Light frame walls with flat strap bracing | 4 | NL | NL | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Concrete |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special moment frames | 8 | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| Special reinforced shear walls | 5 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| Steel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special moment frames | 8 | NL | NL | NL | NL | NL |
| Special concentrially braced frames | 6 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| Masonry |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special reinforced shear walls | 5 | NL | NL | 160 | 160 | 100 |

[^3]Diaphragms consist of structural panels and straight or diagonal sheathing boards. In other structures, they are made of concrete slabs, bare metal deck, and diagonal bracing. Timber diaphragms have comparatively low capacity. However, for smaller structures, or those with light walls, timber diaphragms perform well. Diaphragms make possible large, open spaces, without internal walls-so long as there is adequate vertical support.

### 8.4.1 Forces

We can visualize diaphragms as deep beams that resist lateral loads, as illustrated in Figure 8.5a. They experience maximum bending forces near their middle and maximum shear at their supports (where they connect to walls or frames), as seen in Figure 8.5b.

(b)

Figure 8.5 (a) Diaphragm forces and reactions, and (b) internal forces

We resolve the mid-span moments into a tension-compression couple, requiring boundary elements around their edges. These may be beams, joists, or wall top plates. Often, a double wall top plate (with staggered splices) can resist these forces, as the distance between them is large.

Shear forces are distributed throughout the length of the diaphragm in the direction of lateral force. Because many shear walls and frames do not go the length of the building, the transfer of shear forces between the diaphragm and vertical elements causes high stress concentrations at the ends of the wall or frame, as illustrated in Figure 8.6a. By adding drag struts (also called collectors), we gather the shear stresses into this stronger element, which can then deliver the force to the wall or frame. This reduces the stress concentration (Figure 8.6b) and ensures the


Figure 8.6 Diaphragm stress distribution, (a) without, and (b) with, drag struts
diaphragm retains its integrity. Drag struts frequently consist of beams, joists, and metal straps in timber structures. Note that a structural element that acts as a drag strut will act as a diaphragm chord when the forces are turned $90^{\circ}$ and analyzed.

### 8.4.2 Geometric Considerations

To ensure reasonable behavior, the ND. $S^{1}$ limits diaphragm aspect ratios ( $L / W$ ) to those in Table 8.2. We can use this table when laying out shear walls, to ensure the diaphragms are well proportioned.

There are times when cantilevering a portion of the diaphragm is advantageous, to provide larger open spaces. For instance, occupancies with high concentrations of openings on one side-stores and hotelstypically have large shear walls on the remaining three sides, or down the middle, as illustrated in Figure 8.7. However, cantilevered diaphragms come with the following limitations:

- Diaphragms must be sheathed with structural panels or diagonal lumber.
- Aspect ratios $\left(L^{\prime} / W\right)$ are limited to those in Table 8.3.


## Table 8.2 Diaphragm aspect ratio limits



| Sheathing Type | Max L/W Ratio |
| :--- | :--- |
| Structural panel, unblocked | $3: 1$ |
| Structural panel, blocked | $4: 1$ |
| Single straight lumber sheathing | $2: 1$ |
| Single diagonal lumber sheathing | $3: 1$ |
| Double diagonal lumber sheathing | $4: 1$ |

Source: NDS 2015

(a) 3-SIDED DIAPHRAGM

(b) CANTILEVERED DIAPHRAGM

(c) INTERIOR SHEAR WALLS

Figure 8.7 Cantilevered diaphragm types

Table 8.3 Cantilevered diaphragm aspect ratio limits

| Sheathing Type | Max L'/W |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wind or seismic torsionally regular: |  |
| Structural panels | $1.5: 1$ |
| Diagonal sheathing | $1: 1$ |
| Open front, seismic torsionally irregular: |  |
| Single story | $1: 1$ |
| 2 or more stories | $0.67: 1$ |

Source: NDS 2015

- Diaphragms must be considered rigid or semi-rigid.
- Diaphragms must meet the $A S C E 7^{2}$ drift requirements for seismic loads.
- $\quad L^{\prime}$ must be less than $35 \mathrm{ft}(10.7 \mathrm{~m})$.


### 8.4.3 Analysis

To design a timber diaphragm, we need to know the shear and moment distribution in it-though often just the maximum shear and moment. We take these and find the unit shear and tension-compression couple. The steps are as follows, illustrated in Figure 8.8:

- Draw the diaphragm and dimensions $L$ and $W$.
- Apply forces from the walls and floor as a line load, w.
- Draw the shear and moment diagrams. For a simply supported diaphragm, the maximum shear and moment are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{w L^{2}}{2} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{w L^{2}}{8} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=\text { uniformly distributed load from walls and floors, } \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}) \\
& L=\text { span, } \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{~m})
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 8.8 Diaphragm shear and moment diagram and design forces

- Calculate the unit shear, $v$, by dividing the shear force, $V$, by the depth, $W$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{V}{W} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Convert the moment, $M$, to a tension-compression couple, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{M}{W} \quad C=\frac{M}{W} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the perpendicular direction, we follow the previous steps, rotating the load and dimension labels by $90^{\circ}$.

### 8.4.4 Capacity

Knowing the diaphragm forces, we can choose the sheathing and size the chords.

Diaphragm shear strength is a function of sheathing orientation and nailing patterns. Table 8.4 presents allowable diaphragm strengths for various sheathing types and configurations in pounds per foot (kN/m). Enter the table and find a value higher than the demand, v. The column heading will tell you the nail pattern, and the information to the left will tell you sheathing thickness and nail size. As long as the allowable strength is greater than the demand, $v$, you are good to go.

To size the chords, we take the tension and compression demands and follow the provisions of Chapters 3 and 6 .

### 8.4.5 Detailing

Structural performance, particularly in earthquakes, depends on detailing. Timber is vulnerable to poor detailing, because we take many small pieces and connect them together. Figures 8.9-8.12 show common diaphragm details.

The following things should be kept in mind:

- Edge nailing is between panel edges, not the diaphragm edge.
- Boundary nailing occurs at the interface with shear walls or frameswhich define the diaphragm boundary. You may have a diaphragm boundary in the middle of a structure.
- Blocking connects adjacent panel edges together and greatly enhances shear strength.

Table 8.4 Allowable seismic diaphragm shear strength

| Imperial Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheathing <br> Grades | Nail <br> Size |  | Unblocked |  | Blocked |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nail Spacing 1 (in) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Panel <br> Thick- | Case 1 Case 2 <br> 6 in Spacing |  | $6 \quad 4 \quad 21 / 2$ <br> Nail Spacing 2 (in) |  |  | $2$ |
|  |  | ness <br> (in) | $(l b / f t)$ | $(l b / f t)$ | 6 <br> (lb/ft) | 4 <br> (lb/ft) | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{1 / 2} \\ & (l b / f t) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & (l b / f t) \end{aligned}$ |
| Structural I | 6 d | 5/16 | 165 | 125 | 185 | 250 | 375 | 420 |
|  | 8d | 3/8 | 240 | 180 | 270 | 360 | 530 | 600 |
|  | 10d | 15/32 | 285 | 215 | 320 | 425 | 640 | 730 |
| Sheathing, | 6 d | 5/16 | 150 | 110 | 170 | 225 | 335 | 380 |
| single floor |  | 3/8 | 165 | 125 | 185 | 250 | 375 | 420 |
|  | 8d | $3 / 8$ | 215 | 160 | 240 | 320 | 480 | 545 |
|  |  | 7/16 | 230 | 170 | 255 | 340 | 505 | 575 |
|  |  | 15/32 | 240 | 180 | 270 | 360 | 530 | 600 |
|  | 10d | 15/32 | 255 | 190 | 290 | 385 | 575 | 655 |
|  |  | 19/32 | 285 | 215 | 320 | 425 | 640 | 730 |



CASE 1 SHEATHING
CASES 2-6 ANY OTHER CONFIGURATION
Notes: (1.) Do not adjust with $C_{D}$; (2.) 2 in nominal framing member width;
(3.) Spacing 1—at boundaries, panel edges parallel to load in Cases $3 \& 4$, all panel edges, Cases 5 \& 6; (4.) Spacing 2-at other panel edges; (5.) for wind only, multiply values by 1.4

Table 8.4 cont.

## Metric Units

|  |  |  |  |  | Blocked |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unblock |  | Nail | cing 1 |  |  |
| Sheathing <br> Grades | Nail <br> Size | Panel <br> Thick- <br> ness <br> (mm) | Case 1 $150 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> (kN/m) | Case 2 <br> Spacing <br> (kN/m) | 150 <br> Nail Sp <br> 150 <br> ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | 100 <br> cing 2 (in <br> 150 <br> (kN/m) | 65 <br> 100 <br> ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | 50 75 ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ ) |
| Structural I | 6d | 7.9 | 2.41 | 1.82 | 2.70 | 3.65 | 5.47 | 6.13 |
|  | 8d | 9.5 | 3.50 | 2.63 | 3.94 | 5.25 | 7.73 | 8.76 |
|  | 10d | 11.9 | 4.16 | 3.14 | 4.67 | 6.20 | 9.34 | 10.7 |
| Sheathing, | 6d | 7.9 | 2.19 | 1.61 | 2.48 | 3.28 | 4.89 | 5.55 |
| single floor |  | 9.5 | 2.41 | 1.82 | 2.70 | 3.65 | 5.47 | 6.13 |
|  | 8d | 9.5 | 3.14 | 2.34 | 3.50 | 4.67 | 7.01 | 7.95 |
|  |  | 11.1 | 3.36 | 2.48 | 3.72 | 4.96 | 7.37 | 8.39 |
|  |  | 11.9 | 3.50 | 2.63 | 3.94 | 5.25 | 7.73 | 8.76 |
|  | 10d | 11.9 | 3.72 | 2.77 | 4.23 | 5.62 | 8.39 | 9.56 |
|  |  | 15.1 | 4.16 | 3.14 | 4.67 | 6.20 | 9.34 | 10.7 |



CASE 1 SHEATHING
CASES 2-6 ANY OTHER CONFIGURATION
Notes: (1.) Do not adjust with $C_{D}$; (2.) 50 mm nominal framing member width; (3.) Spacing 1-at boundaries, panel edges parallel to load in Cases $3 \& 4$, all panel edges, Cases 5 \& 6; (4.) Spacing 2-at other panel edges; (5.) for wind only, multiply values by 1.4


Figure 8.9 Diaphragm nailing pattern


Figure 8.10 Diaphragm blocking


Figure 8.11 Top plate chord splice


Figure 8.12 Drag strut to wall connection

### 8.5 SHEAR WALLS

Shear walls-with structural sheathing-are the commonest vertically oriented lateral systems in timber structures. In older structures, we often straight board sheathing or diagonal bracing.

### 8.5.4 Forces

Shear walls resist lateral loads through horizontal shear in the sheathing and tension-compression couples in the end studs, as shown in Figure 8.13. They are efficient and stiff and particularly suited to buildings with partitions and perimeter walls and without an overabundance of windows. Short, tall shear walls concentrate forces at their base, requiring large foundations, whereas long, short walls reduce footing loads.

### 8.5.2 Geometric Considerations

Like diaphragms, we limit shear wall aspect ratios, $h / b_{s}$, as shown in Table 8.5. For non-seismic applications, they are more permissive than diaphragms. However, if the structure is in a seismic area, the aspect ratio is limited to 2 , without strength being reduced. (This will inform the height of windows in walls that resist lateral shear.)


Figure 8.13 Shear wall external lateral loads and internal forces

Table 8.5 Shear wall aspect ratio limits

| Sheathing Type | Max h/b Ratio |
| :--- | :--- |
| Structural panel, blocked, non-seismic | $3.5: 1$ |
| Structural panel, blocked, seismic | $2: 1$ |
| Particleboard, blocked | $2: 1$ |
| Diagonal sheathing | $2: 1$ |
| Gypsum wallboard | $2: 1$ |
| Portland cement plaster | $2: 1$ |
| Fiberboard | $1.5: 1$ |

Source: NDS 2015

Wall height, $h$, and width, $b_{S}$, are defined in two ways: raw geometry and force transfer, as illustrated in Figure 8.14. Most commonly, height and width are based on raw geometry. In this case, the height is the floor height, and width is the distance between openings, shown in Figure 8.14a.

When we need to push the design requirements, we use the force transfer definitions, illustrated in Figure 8.14b. In this case, the width is the same as for raw geometry. However, the height is the opening height. This allows us to meet the requirements of Table 8.5 with narrower wall segments. The caveat is that we must reinforce around the openings to ensure that the forces transfer properly around the opening, as shown in Figure 8.15.

### 8.5.3 Analysis

To analyze a shear wall, we need to know how the lateral forces are distributed between wall segments in the same wall. Although we could base it on stiffness, we typically use wall length. For the three-segment wall shown in Figure 8.16, we have three different wall lengths. The force in each length is equal to the applied shear force times the length in question, divided by the sum of the lengths. For the first wall segment, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}=\frac{V b_{s 1}}{b_{s 1}+b_{s 2}+b_{s 3}} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$


(a) RAW OPENING

(b) FORCLE TRANSFER

Figure 8.14 Shear wall geometry definitions
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\text { total force to wall line }(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{kN}) \\
& V_{1}=\text { force to wall segment } 1(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{kN}) \\
& b_{s 1}, b_{s 2}, b_{s 3}=\text { wall segment widths }(\mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{~m})
\end{aligned}
$$

Once we determine the forces in each segment, we can analyze the segments as stand-alone walls, as discussed in the next paragraphs and shown in Figure 8.16b.

In a shear wall, the shear force is constant from top to bottom. The moment is a maximum at the bottom and zero at the top. Figure 8.17 illustrates the applied forces, shear and moment diagrams, and design forces.


Figure 8.15 Force transfer reinforcing around an opening

The steps to analyze a segment of shear wall are as follows:

- Draw the wall with the applied shear force, reactions, and dimensions $h$ and $b_{s}$;
- Calculate the moment at the base of the wall as:
$M=V_{h}$
where:
$V=$ shear force at the top of the wall, lb $(\mathrm{kN})$
$h=$ wall height, ft (m)


Figure 8.16 Shear wall force distribution


Figure 8.17 Shear wall shear and moment diagrams and design forces

- Calculate the unit shear by dividing the shear force, $V$, by the width, $b_{s}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{V}{b_{s}} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Convert the moment $M$ to a tension-compression couple, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{M}{0.9 b_{s}} \quad C=\frac{M}{0.9 b_{s}} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 0.9 accounts for the fact that the centroid of the compression studs and bolt in the hold down are not at the ends of the wall. We can calculate a more accurate value once we draw the wall geometry (shown in the example).

### 8.5.4 Capacity

Knowing the shear wall forces, we can choose the sheathing and size the end studs.

Shear wall strength is a function of sheathing orientation and nailing patterns. Table 8.6 provides allowable shear wall strengths for various sheathing types and configurations in pounds per foot ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ ) of width. Enter the table and find the wall strength you need. The column heading will tell you the nail spacing, and the information to the left indicates sheathing thickness and nail size. Remember that all sheathing joints must be blocked in a shear wall. As long as the allowable strength is greater than the demand, $v$, the sheathing has adequate strength.

To size the end studs, we take the tension and compression demands and follow the provisions of Chapters 3 and 6. It is important to check the cross-grain compression where the stud sits on a sill plate or other framing.

### 8.5.5 Detailing

Just like diaphragms, shear walls must be well detailed to ensure adequate performance. The following details show how we detail walls:

- sheathing nailing—see Figure 8.18;
- blocking-see Figure 8.10;
- hold down between floors-see Figure 8.19;
- hold down to concrete-Figure 8.20.

Table 8.6 Allowable seismic shear wall sheathing shear strength

| Imperial Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheathing Grade | Nail Size | Panel <br> Thickness <br> (in) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nail Sp } \\ & 6 \\ & (l b / f t) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{acing}(i n) \\ & 4 \\ & (l b / f t) \end{aligned}$ | $3$ <br> $(l b / f t)$ | $2$ <br> (lb/ft) |
| Structural I | 6d | 5/16 | 200 | 300 | 390 | 510 |
|  | 8d | $3 / 8$ | 230 | 360 | 460 | 610 |
|  |  | 7/16 | 255 | 395 | 505 | 670 |
|  |  | 15/32 | 280 | 430 | 550 | 730 |
|  | 10d | 15/32 | 340 | 510 | 665 | 870 |
| Sheathing | 6 d | 5/16 | 180 | 270 | 350 | 450 |
|  |  | 3/8 | 200 | 300 | 390 | 510 |
|  | 8d | $3 / 8$ | 220 | 320 | 410 | 530 |
|  |  | 7/16 | 240 | 350 | 450 | 585 |
|  |  | 15/32 | 260 | 380 | 490 | 640 |
|  | 10d | 15/32 | 310 | 460 | 600 | 770 |
|  |  | 19/32 | 340 | 510 | 665 | 870 |
| Board Sheathing <br> - Horizontal Lumber <br> - Diagonal Lumber <br> - Double Diagonal Lumber | 8d |  |  |  |  | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 600 |

Notes: (1.) Do not adjust with $C_{D}$; (2.) 2 in nominal framing member width;
(3.) all panel edges must be blocked; (4.) for wind only, multiply values by 1.4;
(5.) lumber sheathing is 1 in nominal thickness

Table 8.6 cont.

| Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheathing Grade | Nail Size | Panel <br> Thickness (mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nail Spa } \\ & 150 \\ & (\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { acing ( } \mathrm{mm} \text { ) } \\ & 100 \\ & (\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | 75 <br> ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | 50 <br> ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ ) |
| Structural I | 6 d | 7.9 | 2.92 | 4.38 | 5.69 | 7.44 |
|  | 8d | 9.5 | 3.36 | 5.25 | 6.71 | 8.90 |
|  |  | 11.1 | 3.72 | 5.76 | 7.37 | 9.78 |
|  |  | 11.9 | 4.09 | 6.28 | 8.03 | 10.65 |
|  | 10d | 11.9 | 4.96 | 7.44 | 9.70 | 12.70 |
| Sheathing | 6 d | 7.9 | 2.63 | 3.94 | 5.11 | 6.57 |
|  |  | 9.5 | 2.92 | 4.38 | 5.69 | 7.44 |
|  | 8d | 9.5 | 3.21 | 4.67 | 5.98 | 7.73 |
|  |  | 11.1 | 3.50 | 5.11 | 6.57 | 8.54 |
|  |  | 11.9 | 3.79 | 5.55 | 7.15 | 9.34 |
|  | 10d | 11.9 | 4.52 | 6.71 | 8.76 | 11.24 |
|  |  | 15.1 | 4.96 | 7.44 | 9.70 | 12.70 |
| Board Sheathing <br> - Horizontal Lumber <br> - Diagonal Lumber <br> - Double Diagonal Lumber | 8d |  |  |  |  | 0.73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.76 |

Notes: (1.) Do not adjust with $C_{D}$; (2.) 50 mm nominal framing member width;
(3.) all panel edges must be blocked; (4.) For wind only, multiply values by 1.4;
(5.) lumber sheathing is 1 in nominal thickness

Source: NDS 2015

Some things to keep in mind:

- All panel edges of shear walls must be blocked.
- We need a load path from the diaphragm to shear wall. This is often done through rim joists or blocking.
- Most timber structures are light enough that they need hold downs in the ends of shear walls to prevent uplift.


Figure 8.18 Shear wall nailing pattern


Figure 8.19 Hold down between floors


Figure 8.20 Hold down to concrete

### 8.6 SHEAR WALL EXAMPLE

## Step 1: Draw structural layout

We begin by sketching out the area of interest sufficiently so we know what the wall supports. Figure 8.21 shows an elevation view of the wall, with the applied lateral loads. Taking portion $A$, we draw its free body diagram, applied loads, and reactions, shown in Figure 8.22. Next, we need a cross section of the wall to get information for hold-down calculations, shown in Figure 8.23.

## Step 2: Loads

With the structural layout and wall geometry, we are ready to determine the loads for overturning. The governing load combination is $0.6 D+0.7 E$, which minimizes dead load. We will use this to calculate shear and downward weight. Note we don't need to add them together.

## Step 2a: Lateral Loads

We determine $V_{R}$ and $V_{3}$ from the seismic base shear and vertical distribution, which is a function of weight at each story. The analysis is beyond the scope of this example, so we will take them as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V_{R}=10 \mathrm{k} & V_{R}=44.5 \mathrm{kN} \\
V_{3}=17 \mathrm{k} & V_{3}=76 \mathrm{kN}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 8.21 Shear wall example layout


Figure 8.22 Shear wall free body diagram


Figure 8.23 Shear wall base section (A-A)

We multiply these by 0.7 to get the ASD level:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{R} & =10 \mathrm{k}(0.7) \\
& =7 \mathrm{k} \\
V_{3} & =17 \mathrm{k}(0.7) \\
& =11.9 \mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{R} & =44.5 \mathrm{kN}(0.7) \\
& =31.1 \mathrm{kN} \\
V_{3} & =76 \mathrm{kN}(0.7) \\
& =53.2 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

We next determine the lateral shear in wall segment $A$. We do this based on length (though stiffness would be more exact). Key lengths are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{S A}=8 \mathrm{ft} & b_{S A}=2.44 \mathrm{~m} \\
b_{S T}=8 \mathrm{ft}+6 \mathrm{ft}+10 \mathrm{ft}=24 \mathrm{ft} & b_{S T}=2.44 \mathrm{~m}+1.83 \mathrm{~m} \\
V_{R A}=\frac{V_{R} b_{S A}}{b_{S T}} & =\frac{(31.1 \mathrm{kN})(2.44 \mathrm{~m})}{7.3 \mathrm{~m}} \\
=\frac{(7 \mathrm{k})(8 \mathrm{ft})}{24 \mathrm{ft}} & =10.4 \mathrm{kN} \\
=2.33 \mathrm{k} & \\
V_{3 A}=\frac{V_{3} b_{S A}}{b_{S T}} & =\frac{(53.2 \mathrm{kN})(2.44 \mathrm{~m})}{7.3 \mathrm{~m}} \\
=\frac{(11.9 \mathrm{k})(8 \mathrm{ft})}{24 \mathrm{ft}} & =17.8 \mathrm{kN}
\end{array}
$$

$$
b_{s T}=2.44 \mathrm{~m}+1.83 \mathrm{~m}+3.05 \mathrm{~m}=7.3 \mathrm{~m}
$$

To size the sheath, we will need to know the unit shear in the wall. We do this by dividing the wall shear by its length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{3 A}=\frac{V_{R A}+V_{3 A}}{b_{S A}} \\
& =\frac{2.33 \mathrm{k}+3.97 \mathrm{k}}{8 \mathrm{ft}} \\
& =0.788 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{ft}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{10.4 \mathrm{kN}+17.8 \mathrm{kN}}{2.44 \mathrm{~m}} \\
& =11.6 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 2b: Gravity Loads

We need the dead load tributary to the wall segment to calculate the stabilizing moment. Because the joists run parallel to the wall, we only consider the weight of the wall itself. Taking $q_{D}=10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, we find:
$W=0.6 q_{D} A_{W}$ (Note, the 0.6 is from the overturning load combination)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
=0.6\left(10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)(8 \mathrm{ft})(18 \mathrm{ft}) & =0.6\left(0.48 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)(2.4 \mathrm{~m})(5.5 \mathrm{~m}) \\
=864 \mathrm{lb} & =3.8 \mathrm{kN}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 2c: Moments

We now find the overturning and stabilizing moments.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{o t}=V_{R A} h_{R A}+V_{3 A} h_{3 A} & \\
=(2.23 \mathrm{k})(18 \mathrm{ft})+(3.97 \mathrm{k})(9 \mathrm{ft}) & =(10.4 \mathrm{kN})(5.48 \mathrm{~m})+(17.8 \mathrm{kN})(2.74 \mathrm{~m}) \\
=77.67 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft} & =105.8 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m} \\
M_{s t}=W\left(b_{S A}^{\prime} / 2\right) & \\
=0.86 \mathrm{k}(7.32 \mathrm{ft} / 2) & =3.8 \mathrm{kN}(2.23 \mathrm{~m} / 2) \\
=3.15 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft} & =4.24 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}
\end{array}
$$

Now, to find reactions at wall ends:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T=\frac{M_{o t}-M_{s t}}{b_{s a}^{\prime}} & =\frac{105.8 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}-4.24 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}}{2.23 \mathrm{~m}} \\
=\frac{77.67 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}-3.15 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}}{7.32 \mathrm{ft}} & =45.5 \mathrm{kN} \\
=10.2 \mathrm{k} & =\frac{105.8 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}+4.24 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}}{2.23 \mathrm{~m}} \\
P=\frac{M_{o t}+M_{s t}}{b_{s a}^{\prime}} & =49.3 \mathrm{kN}
\end{array}
$$

This now gives us the forces we need to design the sheathing and member at the end of the walls.

## Step 3: Material Parameters

We will use wood structure panels and determine their thickness later.
$2 \times$ material is Douglas Fir-Larch (N) stud grade with the following properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{t}=400 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}\left(2,758 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right) \quad \text { from } \\
& F_{C}=900 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(6,205 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\
& F_{C \perp}=625 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(4,309 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\
& E_{\min }=510 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}\left(3,516 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { from Table A2.1 in Appendix } 2
$$

We get the adjusted reference values from:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{t}^{\prime}=F_{t} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i} \\
& F_{C}^{\prime}=F_{c} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i} C_{p} \\
& F_{c \perp}^{\prime}=F_{C \perp} C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} C_{b} \\
& E_{\text {min }}^{\prime}=E_{\min } C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} C_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

The easy adjustment factors are as shown in the table.

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.6$ | Load duration | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature | Table A4.4 |
| $C_{F}=1.3$ | Size-tension | Table A4.5 |
| $C_{F}=1.1$ | Size-compression |  |
| $C_{i}=1.0$ | Incising no treatment | Table A4.10 |
| $C_{b}=1.0$ | Bearing, close to end | Table A4.11 |
| $C_{T}=1.0$ | Buckling stiffness-not a truss chord | Section 2.4.13 |

We will save $C_{p}$ for later. Finding the adjusted design values that we can at this point:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{t}^{\prime} & =400 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.6)(1.0)(1.3) & F_{t}^{\prime} & =2,758 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.6)(1.0)(1.3) \\
& =832 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & & =5,737 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
F_{c \perp}^{\prime} & =625 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0)=625 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & F_{c \perp}^{\prime} & =4,309 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0)=4,309 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
E_{\min }^{\prime}=510 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.0)=510 \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} \quad E_{\min }^{\prime}=3,516 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.0)=3,516 \frac{\mathrm{MN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

It will also be helpful to find the adjusted design compressive stress, without $C_{P}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
F_{C}^{*} & =F_{c} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{F} C_{i} & \\
F_{c}^{*} & =900 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(1.6)(1.0)(1.1) & F_{c}^{*} & =6,205 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(1.6)(1.0)(1.1) \\
& =1,584 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & & =10,920 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 4: Initial Sizes

We will find the sheath thickness directly from strength—Table 8.6.
For studs at the end, we will base our initial area on compression perpendicular to the grain:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{\text {req }}=\frac{P}{0.5\left(F_{c}^{*}\right)} & \\
=\frac{11.0 \mathrm{k}}{0.5\left(1,584 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)} \frac{1000 \mathrm{lb}}{1 \mathrm{k}} & \\
=13.9 \mathrm{in}^{2} &
\end{array}
$$

Before choosing the number of end studs, we check cross grain bearing. Taking $F^{\prime}{ }_{c \perp}$ from before, we find the required bearing area:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\text {req }}=\frac{P}{F_{c \perp}^{\prime}} \\
& =\frac{11.0 \mathrm{k}}{625 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{lb}}{1 \mathrm{k}}\right) \\
& =17.6 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{49.3 \mathrm{kN}}{\left(4,309 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)(1 \mathrm{~m} / 1000 \mathrm{~mm})^{2}} \\
& =11,440 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use a $2 \times 6(50 \times 150)$ stud to allow for increased insulation.
Dividing area by the stud depth, 5.5 in ( 140 mm ), we get the total width:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b=\frac{A_{\text {req }}}{d} \\
& =\frac{17.6 \mathrm{in}^{2}}{5.5 \mathrm{in}}=3.2 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{11,440 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}}{140 \mathrm{~mm}}=82 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

This equals three studs.

## Step 5a: Sheathing

Knowing the shear demand, we can find the sheath thickness and nailing required directly from Table 8.6 , which already has the $C_{D}=1.6$ factor included. Entering the table, we look for a value slightly larger than the shear demand, $v_{3 A}$. However, in this case, there isn't a value high enough without going to a very tight nail spacing. We will have to go to a wall with sheathing on both sides.

This means our shear demand is half $v_{3 A}$ for each side, $394 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ ( $5.8 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ ). Entering Table 8.6 again, we see several options. In this case, let's select the value of $v_{a}=450 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(6.57 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$, in the fourth row from the bottom. This corresponds to an 8d nail spacing of 3 in ( 75 mm ) and 7/16 in (11 mm) siding thickness-which is economical.

## Step 5b: Sill Plate Compression

To find compression stress, we need the gross compression area of the studs:

$$
A_{g}=b d
$$

$$
=3(1.5 \mathrm{in}) 5.5 \mathrm{in} \quad=3(38 \mathrm{~mm}) 140 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

$$
=24.75 \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad=15,960 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
$$

Calculating bearing stress, we get:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{c \perp}=\frac{P}{A_{G}} & \\
=\frac{11.0 \mathrm{k}}{24.75 \mathrm{in}^{2}}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{lb}}{1 \mathrm{k}}\right) & =\frac{49.3 \mathrm{kN}}{0.016 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
=444 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}<F_{C \perp} & =3,081 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}<F_{C \perp}
\end{array}
$$

Again, this confirms we are OK.

## Step 5c: Stud Compression

We now check the studs in compression. Our initial stud quantity was based on compression perpendicular to grain, and so we don't need to check this again. But, we do need to look at stress parallel to the grain.

We begin by finding the column stability factor, $C_{p}$.

$$
C_{P}=\frac{1+\left(F_{C E} / F_{C}^{*}\right)}{2 C}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+\left(F_{C E} / F_{C}^{*}\right)}{2 C}\right]^{2}-\frac{\left(F_{C E} / F_{C}^{*}\right)}{c}}
$$

We have a good portion of what we need from above, but will fill in a few more terms before taking on the equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{C E}=\frac{0.822 E_{\min }^{\prime}}{\left(I_{e} / d\right)^{2}} \\
& I_{e}=8 \mathrm{ft}(2.44 \mathrm{~m})
\end{aligned}
$$

We check column slenderness:

$$
\frac{l_{e}}{d}=\frac{8 \mathrm{ft}}{5.5 \mathrm{in}}\left(\frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{1 \mathrm{ft}}\right)=17.5 \quad \frac{l_{e}}{d}=\frac{2.44 \mathrm{~m}}{140 \mathrm{~mm}}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~m}}\right)=17.5
$$

$$
F_{C E}=\frac{0.822\left(510 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)}{(17.5)^{2}}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{lb}}{1 \mathrm{k}}\right)=1,369 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}
$$

$$
F_{C E}=\frac{0.822\left(3,516 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)}{(17.5)^{2}}\left(\frac{1000 \mathrm{kN}}{1 \mathrm{MN}}\right)=9,437 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

$c=0.8$ for sawn lumber
Now, putting it all together, with an intermediate step to help keep things sane:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{P} & =\frac{1+(1,369 / 1,584)}{2(0.8)}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+(1,369 / 1,584)}{2(0.8)}\right]^{2}-\frac{(1,369 / 1,584)}{(0.8)}} \\
& =1.165-\sqrt{(1.165)^{2}-1.080} \\
& =0.64
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{P} & =\frac{1+(9,437 / 10,920)}{2(0.8)}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{1+(9,437 / 10,920)}{2(0.8)}\right]^{2}}-\frac{(9,437 / 10,920)}{(0.8)} \\
& =1.165-\sqrt{(1.165)^{2}-1.080} \\
& =0.64
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we can calculate the adjusted design compression stress, $F_{c}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{c}^{\prime}=F_{c}^{*} C_{P} \\
=1,584 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}}(0.64)=1,014 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & =10,920 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}(0.64)=6,989 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
f_{c}=\frac{P}{A_{g}} & =\frac{49.3 \mathrm{kN}}{0.01596 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
=\frac{11.0 \mathrm{k}}{24.75 \mathrm{in}^{2}} \frac{1000 \mathrm{lb}}{1 \mathrm{k}} & =3,089 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

We see the axial stress, $f_{C}$, is less than the adjusted design stress, and so we are OK. We see, in the end, the bearing perpendicular to grain controlled the design.

## Step 5d: Stud Tension

Now, we check the end studs in tension. We first need to know the net area of the studs due to the hold down bolts. Assuming a $3 / 4 \mathrm{in}(20 \mathrm{~mm})$ bolt, we find:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{n}=A_{g}-b(\text { hole }) & =15,960 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}-3(38 \mathrm{~mm}) 22 \mathrm{~mm} \\
=24.75 \mathrm{in}^{2}-3(1.5 \mathrm{in}) 0.875 \mathrm{in} & =13,450 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} \\
=20.8 \mathrm{in}^{2} & \\
f_{t}=\frac{T}{A_{n}} & \\
=\frac{10.2 \mathrm{k}}{20.8 \mathrm{in}^{2}} \frac{1000 \mathrm{lb}}{1 \mathrm{k}}=490 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{in}^{2}} & =\frac{45.5 \mathrm{kN}}{0.01345 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}=3,382 \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Comparing this with $F_{t}^{\prime}$, we see the demand, $f_{t}$, is less than the strength, and we are OK.

Whew!

## Step 6: Deflection

We will forego the deflection calculation, given its complexity and lack of necessity for this structure.

## Step 7: Summary

We use three $2 \times 6(50 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm})$ Douglas Fir-Larch (North) stud boundary elements and double sheath $7 / 6$ in ( 11 mm ) OSB, 10d, at 3 in ( 75 mm ) on center, $2 \times$ blocked framing.

If we were to continue, we would design the hold down, tension bolt in the concrete, and shear bolts. But we'll save that for another day.

### 8.7 WHERE WE GO FROM HERE

This chapter has introduced the general concepts of lateral design. From here, we estimate lateral forces on a structure and, through structural analysis, determine their distribution into diaphragms and shear walls. This yields internal forces, from which we proportion sheathing thickness, and chord sizes. We then detail the structure, paying particular attention to the seismic requirements discussed above.

Seismic lateral design has become increasingly sophisticated in the past two decades. Prescriptive code requirements are giving way to performance-based design (PBD). This allows the owner and designer to pair the earthquake magnitude and structural performance that is consistent with the function of the building. Additionally, engineers are using PBD for more traditional, code-based buildings to reduce material consumption, as discussed in the Special Topics volume of this series.

## NOTES

1. ANSI/AWC. National Design Specification (NDS) for Wood Construction (Leesburg, VA: AWC, 2015).
2. ASCE. Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures. ASCE/SEI 7-10 (Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers, 2010).
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Timber connectors hold it all together. Sheathing to joists, joists to beams, beams to columns, columns to footings, and a myriad of other connections keep buildings together. Early connections utilized overlapping and finger-type joints, such as mortise and tenon (Figure 9.1), half lap, scarf, and other joints. At the close of the 1800s, steel became affordable, and this ushered in the use of nails, bolts, screws, and, recently, engineered metal plates. These have all simplified and sped up wood construction (Figure 9.2)-though they are not as elegant as early joinery.

### 9.1 CONNECTOR TYPES

In this chapter, we focus on dowel-type connectors (nails, bolts, and lag screws) and engineered metal plate (Simpson ${ }^{\top \mathrm{M}}$ ) connectors. We will briefly discuss truss plates, timber rivets, split rings, and shear plate connectors. Small-diameter wood screws and finishing nails are not considered structural connectors and are not included.


Figure 9.1 Mortise and tenon joint
Source: Photo courtesy of Jason Rapich


Figure 9.2 Nails, lag screws, bolts, and metal plate connectors (left to right, top to bottom)

### 9.1.1 Nails and Spikes

Nails are the commonest timber connector and easiest to install. We place two pieces of material together, align the nail, and pound it in with a hammer or nail gun, shown in cut-away form in Figure 9.3. Nails, in the past, were forged by hand or cut from a flat plate billet and were a rarity. Today, they are made from drawn wire and are truly a commodity. Machines pull the wire to the desired diameter and then cut it, forming the tip. The head is formed by a die under pressure. Figure 9.4 shows a variety of nails and a spike.

The most-used nail types in construction are common, box, and sinker, shown in Figure 9.5. Nail guns take nails in a wide range of shapes and sizes. Ring shank and helical nails-Figure 9.6-have deformations on the shaft that give them greater pull-out (withdrawal) resistance. Nail finishes include bright (as-manufactured), coated, and galvanized. The coated and galvanized finishes help keep the nails from pulling out over time or under load. The galvanized finish also increases the resistance to corrosion in wet conditions.

Nail heads vary in style, as shown in Figure 9.7, depending on use. Many have flat, round heads. Sinkers have a taper on the head, allowing them to sit flush to the wood. Heads for nail guns are often clipped or offset so that they fit in the gun, and their diameters vary slightly from their hand-driven counterparts. Nails used for temporary construction, such as concrete formwork, have two heads (duplex nails). This allows the nail to be driven to the first head, and then pulled out later with the second head.


Figure 9.3 Cut-away view of (a) regular and (b) toe-nailed connection


Figure 9.4 Variety of nail types and spike


Figure 9.5 Common bright, box galvanized, sinker coated, common nails (from left to right)


Figure 9.6 Ring shank and helical thread nail


Figure 9.7 Common, box, sinker, spike, duplex, and gun nail head types (left to right)


Nail and spike sizes vary between types. For example, Figure 9.5 shows 8d common, box, and sinker nails. Note the difference in length and diameter. To aid with keeping track of the differences, Table 9.1 gives nail diameters and lengths for common, box, and sinker nails and spikes of varying sizes.

Nail yield strengths range from 80 to $100 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ (from 550 to $690 \mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ). Shear load is transferred by bearing between wood and nail, becomes shear in the nail at the joint interface, and then goes back to bearing in the next piece of wood, as illustrated in Figure 9.8a. Withdrawal load is transferred from the wood to the shaft through friction, and then through the head into the connected member (see Figure 9.8b).

### 9.1.2 Bolts

We use bolts to join larger members and when loads are higher than a nail group can carry. Bolts consist of a head, a shaft with smooth and threaded portions, washers, and nuts, as seen in Figure 9.9. We install bolts by drilling an equal-diameter hole through the members to be connected and inserting and tightening the bolt, as shown in cut-away form in Figure 9.10. Washers at nuts and hex heads spread the bolt tension out, help to prevent wood damage, and keep the head or nut from pulling into the wood.

Bolt material for timber construction is typically A307 with a $60-\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ ( $415 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) tensile strength. Stronger bolts are of little value, as wood strength generally controls the connector strength. Like nails, force is transferred in bearing between the wood and bolt and through shear in the bolt across the joint, as in Figure 9.8a.


Figure 9.8 Force transfer in nail showing (a) lateral and (b) withdrawal


Figure 9.9 Bolts showing hex and carriage heads (rounded) and zinc-plated and galvanized finishes


Figure 9.10 Cut-away view of carriage head bolt in single shear connection

### 9.1.3 Lag screws

Lag screws join higher load members where a through bolt is impractical. This is often when one cannot access both sides of the member, or the member is so thick a through bolt is unnecessary. Lag screws consist of a hex head, smooth and threaded shaft, as shown in Figure 9.11. Installation begins with a hole slightly smaller than the screw diameter being predrilled for the shaft and threads, as shown in cut-away in Figure 9.12. The threads are coarse 'wood-type' and pull the lag screw into the predrilled hole. Again, a washer against the head protects the wood.

Lag screws carry both lateral and withdrawal loads. Force transfer for lateral loads is the same as in bolts. For withdrawal, load is transferred from the wood into the threads in bearing and shaft in friction into the screw body, then through the head into the connected member.

### 9.1.4 Engineered Metal Plate Connectors

Engineered connector manufacturers expand scope and applicability every year. Simpson Strong-Tie ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ is the best-known manufacturer, though there are others. Connectors include joist hangers, hurricane ties, and angles, shown in Figure 9.13. Column bases and caps (Figure 9.14), heavy


Figure 9.11 Variety of lag screws


Figure 9.12 Cut-away view of a lag screw connection with steel side plate


Figure 9.13 Joist hanger, hurricane tie, and A35 Simpson ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ engineered metal plate connectors (from left to right)
beam connectors, and shear wall hold downs (Figure 9.15), are popular. Each year, manufacturers make new connectors that fit more and more applications. If you work with timber structures, a yearly review will keep you abreast of the new products and how they might benefit your projects.


Figure 9.14 Engineered metal column base connection


Figure 9.15 Shear wall hold down


Figure 9.16 Truss plate (a) showing teeth, and (b) installed on a light framed truss Source: Image courtesy of Simpson Strong-Tie ©

### 9.1.5 Truss Plates

Light wood trusses today are fabricated using truss plates (formerly called connector plates), shown in Figure 9.16. They consist of galvanized, gage metal plates that are punched to create little spikes. Workers place them over the wood joint and push them into place with hydraulic presses.

### 9.1.6 Timber Rivets

Timber rivets have become popular since their introduction in the 1997 $N D S^{1}$. Engineers developed timber rivet connections for glue-laminated timber, but they can be used in heavy timbers just as effectively. They are rectangular, hardened steel nails, typically $2 \frac{1}{2}$ in ( 65 mm ) long. They install through $\frac{114}{4}$ in $(6.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ steel plates with round, drilled holes on a 1 in $(25 \mathrm{~mm})$ grid (Figure 9.17). The rivet heads bind in the steel plate hole, creating a tight, rigid connection. Timber rivets transfer load through bearing between the wood and rivet, then shear into the metal plate.

### 9.1.7 Split Ring and Shear Plate

We have utilized split ring and shear plate connectors since the 1940s, and they are still available today. They collect force from weaker wood over a


Figure 9.17 Timber rivets
Source: Photo courtesy of Gary C. Williams ©
large area, transfer it to a smaller steel area, and then move it back to wood over a large area. This has advantages over bolts alone. Split rings are rolled plate inserted into a groove cut in the connected members, with a bolt to hold the wood faces together, as seen in Figure 9.18. Force transfers from wood to steel ring to wood again. Shear plates are installed in a round, recessed portion milled into the connected members with a special dapping tool (Figure 9.19). Force is transferred from wood into the shear plate through the bolt, and into the other shear plate and member.

### 9.2 CAPACITY

Dowel-type connectors are loaded in two primary ways: lateral and withdrawal. Lateral loads place the connector in shear and the wood in bearing (see Figure 9.20a). The commonest are nails, bolts, and lag screws. Withdrawal places the connector in tension, essentially pulling it out of the wood (see Figure 9.20b). Nails and lag screws are often loaded in withdrawal.

Connector capacities are defined by the NDS in a similar fashion to wood materials. The primary difference is that the values are in units of force, not stress. Refer to Table 2.8 from Chapter 2 for the applicable


Figure 9.18 Split ring connector
Source: Photo courtesy of the Portland Bolt and Manufacturing Company ©


Figure 9.19 Shear plate connector
Source: Photo courtesy of the Portland Bolt and Manufacturing Company ©

(a)

(b)

Figure 9.20 Connector load modes: (a) lateral (shear), and (b) withdrawal (tension)

Table 9.2 Fastener reference design strength summary of Appendix 3

| Table | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Table A3.2 | Lag screw reference withdrawal <br> values, $W$ |
| Table A3.1 | Nail and spike reference <br> withdrawal values, $W$ |
| Table A3.3 | Dowel bearing strength, $F e$ |
| Table A3.6 | Bolt, single shear, all wood <br> reference lateral design values, $Z$ |
| Bolt, double shear, all wood |  |
| reference lateral design values, |  |
|  | Bolt, single shear, and steel plate <br> side member reference lateral <br> design values, $Z$ |


| Table A3.9 | Bolt, double shear, steel plate side <br> member reference lateral design <br> values, $Z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Table A3.10 | Lag screw, single shear, all wood, <br> reference lateral design values, $Z$ |
| Table A3.4 | Common, box, sinker nail single <br> shear, all wood, reference lateral <br> design values, $Z$ |
| Table A3.5 | Common, box, sinker nail single <br> shear, steel side plate, reference <br> lateral design values, $Z$ |
| Tables | Selected Simpson <br> reference strengths |
| connector |  |

adjustment factors. Connector reference design strengths are a function of wood specific gravity (think density), rather than species. Appendix 3 contains connector reference design strengths; its contents are summarized in Table 9.2.

For bolted connections, we check local stresses in the wood to ensure strips of wood will not pull out, as illustrated in Figure 9.21. Find equations for this failure mode in Appendix E of the $N D S^{2}$.


Figure 9.21 Local wood failure showing (a) multiple strip failure, and (b) group failure

### 9.2.1 Adjustment Factors

The adjustment factors for timber connectors are:

- load duration, $C_{D}$;
- wet service, $C_{M}$;
- temperature, $C_{t}$;
- group action, $C_{g}$;
- geometry, $C_{\Delta}$;
- end grain, $C_{e g}$;
- diaphragm, $C_{d i}$;
- toe-nail, $C_{t n}$;
- LRFD conversion factors when appropriate, $K_{F}, \phi, \lambda$.

Refer to the discussion of these factors in Section 2.4. See Table 2.8 in Chapter 2 to understand which adjustment factors apply to connector lateral and withdrawal action, and see Appendix 4 for their values. The geometry adjustment factor warrants additional discussion in this chapter.

### 9.2.1.a Geometry, C $\Delta$

The NDS provides minimum end and edge distance, and spacing requirements. This is to reduce the possibility of splitting and to engage the full fastener capacity. The requirements are provided in two groups: minimums for a reduced strength ( $C_{\Delta}<1.0$ ) and minimums for full strength ( $C_{\Delta}=1.0$ ). It is best to use at least the minimum required edge, end distances, and spacings in Section 9.4, so that the geometry factors are 1.0. When end distance and spacing between fasteners in a row are less than optimum, we calculate the geometry factor using the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\Delta}=\frac{\text { actual end distance }}{\text { end distance for } C_{\Delta}=1.0}  \tag{9.1}\\
& C_{\Delta}=\frac{\text { actual spacing in row }}{\text { spacing in row for } C_{\Delta}=1.0} \tag{9.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, for fastener diameters $D<1 / 4$ in ( 6.4 mm ), the geometry factor $C_{\Delta}=1.0$, which applies to most nail and spike diameters.

### 9.2.1.b Diaphragm, Cdi

When nails are used in diaphragms (floor or roof sheathing), the reference design strength, $Z$, can be multiplied by the diaphragm factor, $C_{d i}$, of 1.1.

### 9.2.2 Engineered Metal Plate Connectors

Manufacturers publish allowable strengths for engineered metal plate connectors, or, better yet, they can be taken from an ICC ESR report. These reports are prepared by the International Code Council after it has approved the manufacturers' test data for the intended use. It sometimes reduces the strength values, or limits the connector's applicability for some specific building code requirements. Refer to Tables A3.11-A3.14 in Appendix 3 for allowable strengths of a few selected Simpson ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ connectors. Consult Simpson's catalog for other connectors and the appropriate ICC ESR report for allowable strengths and limits on applicability.

The load duration, wet service, and temperature adjustment factors in Table 2.8 in Chapter 2 apply to engineered metal plate connectors. The factors related to geometry $\left(C_{g}, C_{\Delta}, C_{e g}, C_{d i}, C_{t n}\right)$ are captured in the testing of a specific configuration. However, for proprietary nails or screws, we must consider all the adjustment factors. To convert engineered metal plate connector ASD values to LRFD, follow ASTM D5457³.

### 9.3 DEMAND VS. CAPACITY

To determine if a connector is strong enough, we compare the required capacity with the adjusted design strength. We can check a connection on a total force basis or an individual fastener (i.e., a connector force) basis.

Often, it is easiest to divide the total force by the allowable force in a single fastener to determine the number, $n$, of required fasteners ( $n=$ force/strength). When the group adjustment factor is required, we can assume an initial value (say 0.75 ) to estimate the number, or just add a few more connectors. To close the loop, we calculate the group adjustment factor, apply this to the strength, and compare with load.

### 9.4 INSTALLATION

### 9.4.1 Spacing of Fasteners

Fastener spacing is of fundamental concern so that splitting of wood or failure of fasteners at lower loads than we anticipate can be avoided. We consider spacing between rows of fasteners (gage), spacing between fasteners in a row (pitch), end distance, and edge distance. These are defined in Figure 9.22. A row of fasteners is parallel to the direction of force. Dimensions are taken to the centerline of the fastener.

It is better to use more fasteners of a smaller diameter than a few largediameter fasteners.

There are no code spacing or end and edge distance requirements for nails or spikes smaller than $1 / 4$ in ( 6.4 mm ), which are intended to prevent wood splitting. However, as an aid, the values in Table 9.3 can be followed, as adopted from the NDS Commentary ${ }^{4}$.

For dowel-type fasteners with diameters greater than $1 / 41$ in ( 6.4 mm ), Tables 9.4-9.8 apply.

For design and detailing simplicity, Table 9.8 presents the distance corresponding to various dowel diameters.


Figure 9.22 Fastener spacing, end, and edge distance requirements for load (a) parallel to grain, and (b) perpendicular to grain

Table 9.3 Recommended nail spacing

|  | EN FASTENERS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not Predrilled | Predrilled |
| Edge distance | 2.5 D | 2.5 D |
| End distance: |  |  |
| Tension load parallel to grain | 15 D | 10 D |
| Compression load parallel to grain | 10 D | $5 D$ |

Spacing (pitch) between nails in a row:

| Parallel to grain | $15 D$ | $10 D$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Perpendicular to grain | $10 D$ | $5 D$ |

Spacing (gage) between rows of nails:

| In line | $5 D$ | $3 D$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Staggered | $2.5 D$ | $2.5 D$ |

Notes: The code only requires that nails are spaced to not split the wood. These values are a guideline only. Species, moisture content, and grain orientation affect spacing
Source: NDS Commentary 2005

Table 9.4 Recommended nail spacing

|  | Min Spacing | Required Spacing for $C_{\Delta}=1.0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Load Direction | $3 D$ | $4 D$ |
| Parallel to grain |  |  |
| Perpendicular to grain | $3 D$ | Use spacing of attached members |

Note: For $D<\frac{1}{4}$ in $(6.4 \mathrm{~mm}), C_{\Delta}=1.0$
Source: NDS 2015

Table 9.5 Spacing between rows of fasteners

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Load Direction | Min Spacing |
| Parallel to grain | $1.5 D$ |
| Perpendicular to grain: | $2.5 D$ |
| $1 / D \leq 2$ | $(5 l+10 D) / 8$ |
| $1 / D \geq 6$ | $5 D$ |

Source: NDS 2015

Table 9.6 Fastener end distance requirements


Note: For $D<1 / 4$ in ( 6.4 mm ), $C_{\Delta}=1.0$
Source: NDS 2015

Table 9.7 Fastener edge distance requirements


Source: NDS 2015
Table 9.8 Spacing, end, or edge distances for given dowel diameter

| Imperial Units <br> Fastener Diameter (in) | Spacing, End, or Edge Distances (in) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.5 D | 2.0 D | 2.5D | 3.0 D | 3.5 D | 4.0D | 5.0 D | 7.0D | 10.0D | 15.0D |
| 0.099 | 0.149 | 0.198 | 0.248 | 0.297 | 0.347 | 0.396 | 0.495 | 0.693 | 0.990 | 1.49 |
| 0.113 | 0.170 | 0.226 | 0.283 | 0.339 | 0.396 | 0.452 | 0.565 | 0.791 | 1.13 | 1.70 |
| 0.120 | 0.180 | 0.240 | 0.300 | 0.360 | 0.420 | 0.480 | 0.600 | 0.840 | 1.20 | 1.80 |
| 0.128 | 0.192 | 0.256 | 0.320 | 0.384 | 0.448 | 0.512 | 0.640 | 0.896 | 1.28 | 1.92 |
| 0.131 | 0.197 | 0.262 | 0.328 | 0.393 | 0.459 | 0.524 | 0.655 | 0.917 | 1.31 | 1.97 |
| 0.135 | 0.203 | 0.270 | 0.338 | 0.405 | 0.473 | 0.540 | 0.675 | 0.945 | 1.35 | 2.03 |
| 0.148 | 0.222 | 0.296 | 0.370 | 0.444 | 0.518 | 0.592 | 0.740 | 1.04 | 1.48 | 2.22 |
| 0.162 | 0.243 | 0.324 | 0.405 | 0.486 | 0.567 | 0.648 | 0.810 | 1.13 | 1.62 | 2.43 |
| 0.177 | 0.266 | 0.354 | 0.443 | 0.531 | 0.620 | 0.708 | 0.885 | 1.24 | 1.77 | 2.66 |
| 1/4 | 0.375 | 0.500 | 0.625 | 0.750 | 0.875 | 1.000 | 1.25 | 1.75 | 2.50 | 3.75 |
| 5/16 | 0.469 | 0.625 | 0.781 | 0.938 | 1.09 | 1.25 | 1.56 | 2.19 | 3.13 | 4.69 |
| $3 / 8$ | 0.563 | 0.750 | 0.938 | 1.13 | 1.31 | 1.50 | 1.88 | 2.63 | 3.75 | 5.63 |
| 7/16 | 0.656 | 0.875 | 1.09 | 1.31 | 1.53 | 1.75 | 2.19 | 3.06 | 4.38 | 6.56 |
| 1/2 | 0.750 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.50 | 5.00 | 7.50 |
| 9/16 | 0.844 | 1.13 | 1.41 | 1.69 | 1.97 | 2.25 | 2.81 | 3.94 | 5.63 | 8.44 |
| 5/8 | 0.938 | 1.25 | 1.56 | 1.88 | 2.19 | 2.50 | 3.13 | 4.38 | 6.25 | 9.38 |
| $3 / 4$ | 1.13 | 1.50 | 1.88 | 2.25 | 2.63 | 3.00 | 3.75 | 5.25 | 7.50 | 11.25 |
| 7/8 | 1.31 | 1.75 | 2.19 | 2.63 | 3.06 | 3.50 | 4.38 | 6.13 | 8.75 | 13.13 |
| 1 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 7.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 |

Metric Units
Fastener Diameter (mm) Spacing, End, or Edge Distances (mm)


### 9.4.2 Penetration

Penetration of nails and lag screws into the main member is fundamental to their ability to carry loads. The NDS requires the following minimum penetration, $P_{\min }$, as illustrated in Figure 9.23:

- nails and spikes-six times the diameter (6D), including the tip;
- lag screws-four times the diameter (4D), not including the tip.


### 9.4.3 Minimum Nailing

To ensure adequate connections, the International Building Code ${ }^{5}$ requires minimum nailing between members, given in Table 9.9.

### 9.4.4 Preboring

Preboring, or predrilling, provides space for dowel-type fasteners in the wood, thereby reducing splitting. For bolts, the hole is slightly larger than

(a)

(b)

Figure 9.23 (a) Nail and (b) lag screw minimum penetration

Table 9.9 Selected minumum nailing requirements

| Connection | Nailing |
| :--- | :--- |
| Joist to sill or girder | (3) 8d toe-nail |
| Bridging to joist | (2) 8 d toe-nail |
| Top plate to stud | (2) 16 d endnail |
| Stud to sole plate | (2) 16 d endnail |
| Blocking to top plate | (3) 8 d toe-nail |
| Rim joist to top plate | $8 d$ @ 16 in (150 mm) toe-nail |
| Top plate laps | (2) 16 d face-nail |
| Multilayer header | $16 \mathrm{~d} @ 16$ in $(150 \mathrm{~mm})$ face-nail |
| Rafter to top plate | (3) 8 d toe-nail |
| Built-up corner studs | 16 d @ 24 in $(600 \mathrm{~mm})$ face-nail |
| Collar tie to rafter | (3) 10 d face-nail |
| Rafter to $2 \times$ ridge beam | (2) 10 d face-nail |

Source: IBC 2012
the shaft diameter. For lag screws and nails, the holes are smaller by a percentage of the fastener diameter.

For nails, preboring recommendations are no longer in the code or commentary. Utilizing past requirements ${ }^{6}$, we can use the following percentages of the nail diameter:

- 75 percent for $G \leq 0.59$;
- 90 percent for $G>0.59$.

Holes for bolts should be a minimum of $1 / 22$ in $(0.8 \mathrm{~mm})$ and a maximum of $1 / 16$ in ( 1.8 mm ) greater than the bolt diameter.

For lag screws, we choose a preboring size to prevent splitting. Use the bolt size requirements for the side member hole. For the lead hole (where threads engage), follow these recommendations:

- 40-70 percent of nominal screw size for $G \leq 0.5$;
- 60-75 percent of nominal screw size for $0.5<G \leq 0.6$;
- 65-85 percent of nominal screw size for $G>0.6$.


### 9.5 DETAILING

This section provides detail examples of the following timber connections:

- joist to beam-Figure 9.24;
- beam to column-Figure 9.25;
- light truss to bearing wall—Figure 9.26;
- floor to wall—Figure 9.27;
- header on jamb studs-Figure 9.28;
- column base—Figure 9.29;
- shearwall hold down-Figure 9.30;
- timber truss joint-Figure 9.31.


Figure 9.24 Joist to beam connection


Figure 9.25 Beam-column connection


Figure 9.26 Truss to stud wall connection


Figure 9.28 Header to stud column connection


Figure 9.29 Column to concrete foundation connection


Figure 9.30 Shear wall hold down

Figure 9.31 Timber truss joint


### 9.6 DESIGN STEPS

Follow these steps when designing a connection:

1. Determine the force in the connector group and/or individual connector.
2. Choose the fastener type. This decision is a function of load magnitude, constructability, contractor preference, and aesthetics.
3. Find the connector reference design strength from the applicable table in Appendix 3.
4. Determine which adjustment factors apply (use Table 2.8) and find them, utilizing Section 2.4, Table 2.3, and Appendix 4.
5. Multiply the reference design strength by the adjustment factors to find the adjusted design strength.
6. Compare the strength with the connection load.
7. Sketch the final connection geometry.

### 9.7 DESIGN EXAMPLES

We explore three types of connection in this section: truss bottom chord in tension, a beam to column connection, and a joist hanger, illustrated in Figure 9.32.

### 9.7.1 Truss Chord Repair Example

This example is a bottom truss chord in tension. It needs a field repair after someone cut through it while installing a dryer vent.

## Step 1: Calculate Force in Connector Group

We have calculated the force to be:

$$
T=1,500 \mathrm{lb} \quad T=6,672 \mathrm{~N}
$$

(See Chapter 7 for additional guidance on truss analysis.)

## Step 2: Choose Fastener Type

For ease of installation and to limit splitting, we will use 8d box nails.
We will use $3 / 4$ in plywood on each side of the cut, shown in Figure 9.33.

## Step 3: Find Fastener Reference Design Strength

Go to Appendix 3, Table A3.4.
We find the reference design strength by entering the table and finding the side member thickness of $3 / 4$ in (19 mm). We then go over to


Figure 9.32 Example structure view showing connection locations


Figure 9.33 Example truss bottom chord splice
the column that says 'Box' and go down to the row labeled 8d.
Going to the right, we find the column under Douglas Fir-Larch (N) and read:

$$
Z=71 \mathrm{lb} \quad Z=316 \mathrm{~N}
$$

A straight edge helps keep the rows and columns straight.

## Step 4: Determine Adjustment Factors

From Table 2.8, in Chapter 2, we see which adjustment factors apply to nails (dowel-type fasteners) under lateral loads. Following Table 2.3 and Appendix 4, we will find each factor in the table.

As complicated as it seems at first, many of the adjustment factors frequently don't apply, and are therefore 1.0. Take your time and it will become clear.

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.15$ | Load duration-snow loads govern, so we get a <br> slight advantage | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-dry, interior condition | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-sustained temperatures don't <br> exceed $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Table A4.4 |
| $C_{G}=1.0$ | Group action-does not apply to nails | Table A4.12 |
| $C_{\Delta}=1.0$ | Geometry-does not apply to diameters under <br> $1 / 4$ in $(6$ mm $)$ | Tables A4.13 <br> \& A4.14 |
| $C_{e g}=1.0$ | End grain—nails are installed on side face | Table A4.15 |
| $C_{d i}=1.0$ | Diaphragm—-this is not a diaphragm | Table A4.16 |
| $C_{t n}=1.0$ | Toe-nail-nails are not installed in toe-nail <br> fashion |  |

Step 5: Multiply Reference Design Strength by Adjustment Factors
To find the adjusted design strength, we multiply the reference design strength by the adjustment factors to get:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Z^{\prime}=Z C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C_{e g} C_{d i} C_{\text {tn }} & \\
Z^{\prime}=71 \mathrm{lb}(1.15)(1.0) & Z^{\prime}=316 N(1.15)(1.0) \\
Z^{\prime}=81.7 \mathrm{lb} & Z^{\prime}=363.4 \mathrm{~N}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 6: Compare Strength with Load

We have calculated the strength of one nail above. We find the number of required nails by dividing the demand by capacity, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=\frac{T}{Z^{\prime}} \\
& \frac{1,500 \mathrm{lb}}{81.7 \mathrm{lb} / \text { nail }}=18.4 \text { nails }
\end{aligned}
$$

We will round up to 20 nails. This is the total number of fasteners on each side of the cut. Because we are using two side plates, we will place ten nails on each side of the cut, into each side member, for a total of 40 nails.

## Step 7: Sketch the Connection

Figure 9.33 shows the final connection.

### 9.7.2 Beam-Column Connection Example

We now look at a beam-column connection, where the column continues past the beam. The column and beam are different species, as we are using recycled wood.

## Step 1: Calculate Force in Connector Group

From the beam analysis, we know the force at the end is:

$$
R=19,500 \mathrm{lb} \quad R=86.74 \mathrm{kN}
$$

## Step 2: Choose Fastener Type

We will use $3 / 4$ in ( 19 mm ) diameter bolts for their strength compared with nails. We will use $11 / 4$ in ( 6.4 mm ) steel side plates, shown in Figure 9.34. The column is a 6 in ( 150 mm ) square.


Figure 9.34 Example beam-column connection

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d=5.5 \mathrm{in} & d=150 \mathrm{~mm} \\
b=5.5 \mathrm{in} & b=150 \mathrm{~mm} \\
A_{m}=b d & \\
=5.5 \mathrm{in}(5.5 \mathrm{in})=30.25 \mathrm{in}^{2} & =150 \mathrm{~mm}(150 \mathrm{~mm})=22,500 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 3: Find Fastener Reference Design Strength

Going to Appendix 3, Table A3.9, we find the reference design strength by entering the table and finding the main member thickness of $51 / 4 \mathrm{in}$ (133 mm). Finding the $1 / 4$ in ( 6.4 mm ) row, we go right to the Douglas Fir-Larch (N) column. We read off both the $Z_{\|}$and $Z_{\perp}$ values:

| $Z_{\\|}$ | $3,320 \mathrm{lb}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $Z_{\perp}$ | $1,850 \mathrm{lb}$ |$|$| $\\|$ |
| :--- |

## Step 4: Determine Adjustment Factors

Using Table 2.8, in Chapter 2, we see which adjustment factors apply to bolts under lateral loads. Following Table 2.3 and Appendix 4, we will find each factor shown in the table.

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration-no increase for floor load | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service-dry, interior condition | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-regular temperature | Table A4.4 |
| $C_{\Delta}=1.0$ | Geometry-use spacing, end, and edge distances <br> so we don't have a reduction | Tables A4.13 <br> \& A4.14 |
| $C_{e g}=1.0$ | End grain-bolts are installed on side face | Table A4.15 |
| $C_{d i}=1.0$ | Diaphragm-this is not a diaphragm | Table A4.16 |
| $C_{t n}=1.0$ | Toe-nail-bolts are not installed in toe-nail <br> fashion |  |

To find the group adjustment factor, $C_{g}$, we will make a quick estimate of the number of required bolts by dividing the force by reference design strength.

$$
n=\frac{R}{Z_{l l}}
$$

$$
=\frac{19,500 \mathrm{lb}}{3,320 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{bolt}}=5.9
$$

$$
=\frac{86.74 \mathrm{kN}}{14.77 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{bolt}}=5.9
$$

We will use $n=8$ to account for the group factor and give some extra capacity. With two rows of bolts, we have four bolts in a row. From Table A4.12, we find $C_{g}=0.96$.

Step 5: Multiply the Reference Design Strength by Adjustment Factors

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{\| \|}=Z_{\| \|} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C_{e g} C_{d i} C_{t n} & \\
=3,320 \mathrm{lb}(1.0) 0.96(1.0) & =14.77 \mathrm{kN}(1.0) 0.96(1.0) \\
=3,187 \mathrm{lb} & =14.18 \mathrm{kN}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 6: Compare Strength with Load

We will look at strength on a connection basis by multiplying a single bolt strength by the number of bolts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{\text {conn }}^{\prime}=n Z^{\prime} \\
& =8(3,187 \mathrm{lb})=25,498 \mathrm{lb} \quad=8(14.18 \mathrm{kN})=113.4 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because this is greater than the reaction, we are OK.
For the bolts between the beam and steel plate, we would need roughly twice the number of bolts, because the force is perpendicular to the grain. However, this creates cross-grain tension when the bolts are above the mid-height of the beam. To avoid this, we will use a bearing plate between the two side plates to support the beam. The bolts in the beam will just be to keep it from sliding off its bearing seat.

To size the bearing seat, we need the bearing strength perpendicular to the grain. From Table A2.4 in Appendix 2, and selecting Southern Pine No.1, we have:

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
F_{c \perp}=565 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} & F_{c \perp}=3,896 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

We will use the same $C_{M}$ and $C_{t}$ factors from above. The remaining two factors follow the table.

The adjusted design strength is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{c \perp}^{\prime}=F_{c \perp}^{\prime} C_{M} C_{t} C_{i} C_{b} \\
& =565 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}(1.0)=565 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad=3,896 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}(1.0)=3,896 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{i}=1.0$ | Incising-the timber is not pressure treated | Table A4.10 |
| $C_{b}=1.0$ | Bearing-load is applied at the end | Table A4.11 |

To find the required bearing area, we divide the reaction by bearing strength:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{b r g}=\frac{R}{F_{c \perp}^{\prime}} \\
& =\frac{19,500 \mathrm{lb}}{565 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}} \\
& =34.5 \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{86.74 \mathrm{kN}}{3,896 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}} \frac{1000^{2} \mathrm{~mm}^{2}}{1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
& =22,264 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing beam area by width, $b_{b m}$, we get the necessary bearing length:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{b m}=5.5 \mathrm{in} & b_{b m}=140 \mathrm{~mm} \\
l_{b r g}=\frac{A_{b r g}}{b_{b m}} & \\
=\frac{34.5 \mathrm{in}^{2}}{5.5 \mathrm{in}} & =\frac{22,264 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}}{140 \mathrm{~mm}} \\
=6.3 \mathrm{in} & =159 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

## Step 7: Sketch the Connection

Figure 9.34 shows the final connection. We add an extra set of bolts to account for the eccentricity of the bearing seat.

### 9.7.3 Joist Hanger Connection Example

We now briefly look at a joist-beam connection.

## Step 1: Calculate Force in Connector

We calculate the end reaction of the joist, assuming a uniform, distributed load and the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l=20 \mathrm{ft} & l=6.10 \mathrm{~m} \\
s=16 \mathrm{in} & s=400 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2} & D=960 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
L=50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2} & L=2,390 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

$$
R=(D+L) \frac{l}{2} S
$$

$$
=\left(20 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}+50 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{2}}\right) \frac{20 \mathrm{ft}}{2} 16 \text { in } \frac{1 \mathrm{ft}}{12 \mathrm{in}}=\left(960 \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}+2,390 \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right) \frac{6.10 \mathrm{~m}}{2} 0.4 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
=933 \mathrm{lb}
$$

$$
=4,087 \mathrm{~N}
$$

## Step 2: Choose Fastener Type

The joist is a $117 / 8$ in ( 302 mm ) I-joist with a $1 \frac{3}{4}$ in ( 45 mm ) wide flange, shown in Figure 9.35. Let's try an ITS2.06/11.88 Simpson hanger.

## Step 3: Find Fastener Reference Design Strength

Going to Appendix 3, Table A3.12, we see:

$$
Z_{S}=1,150 \mathrm{lb}
$$

$$
Z_{S}=5,115 \mathrm{~N}
$$

## Step 4: Determine Adjustment Factors

Using Table 2.8, in Chapter 2, we see which adjustment factors apply. Following Table 2.3 and Appendix 3, we will find each factor in the table.


Figure 9.35 Example joist hanger to beam connection

| Factor | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{D}=1.0$ | Load duration-no increase for floor load | Table A4.1 |
| $C_{M}=1.0$ | Wet service -dry, interior condition | Table A4.2 |
| $C_{t}=1.0$ | Temperature-regular temperature | Table A4.4 |

## Step 5: Multiply Reference Design Strength by Adjustment Factors

To find the adjusted design strength, we multiply the reference design strength by the adjustment factors to get:

$$
Z_{s}^{\prime}=Z_{s} C_{D} C_{M} C_{t}
$$

$$
=1,150 \mathrm{lb}(1.0)=1,150 \mathrm{lb} \quad=5,115 \mathrm{~N}(1.0)=5,115 \mathrm{~N}
$$

## Step 6: Compare Strength with Load

Because the connector strength is greater than the joist reaction, we are OK.

## Step 7: Sketch the Connection

Figure 9.35 shows the final connection.

### 9.8 RULES OF THUMB

A simple rule of thumb is to take a lower-bound strength for each connector type. We can find the number of required fasteners in a joint by dividing the required force by this value. Table 9.10 presents lower-bound strength values for nails, bolts, and lag screws. They are a good starting point to determine the number of required fasteners in a group. For example, say we have a nailed connection with a $2,000 \mathrm{lb}(8,900 \mathrm{~N})$ lateral load through it. We choose a 10d nail and divide its lower-bound strength into the load:

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =2,000 \mathrm{lb} / 110 \mathrm{lb} / \text { nail } & n & =8,900 \mathrm{~N} / 490 \mathrm{~N} / \text { nail } \\
& =18 \text { nails } & & =18 \text { nails }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 9.10 Lower-bound rule of thumb dowel fastener strength


Notes: (1.) These values are lower bound to give an idea of the number of required fasteners for a given load. Use actual values from Appendix 3 for design.
(2.) Values based on $G=0.49$; multiply by ratio of Gactual/ $G$ for other specific gravities. (3.) Values based on 1.5 in ( 38 mm ) wood side member and $31 / 2 \mathrm{in}$ ( 90 mm ) main members. (4.) Values based on common nails. (5.) Values based on $p_{\min }=6 D$ for nails, and $p_{\min }=4 D$ for lag screws

Where conditions exist (load duration, moisture content, temperature) that will reduce the strengths in Table 9.10, apply these factors to the lower-bound values.

### 9.9 WHERE WE GO FROM HERE

The number of available timber connectors is extensive. We have covered dowel-type fasteners and introduced a variety of other connectors. From here, we consider load, connection geometry, and connector strength to develop additional timber connections.

For connectors that are not covered in the NDS, it is important that they carry an ICC report. This ensures that an independent, code-body-affiliated group reviews the test data and limits their use when appropriate. It is wise to use the test data published in these reports, rather than the manufacturer's literature, as they may differ.
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## Section Properties

Appendix 1

Table A1.1 Sawn lumber section properties

| Imperial Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table A1.1 continued

| Nominal Size |  | Dressed Size |  | A$\left(i n^{2}\right)$ | $X-X$ Axis |  |  | $r-Y$ Axis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b <br> (in) | d <br> (in) | b <br> (in) | d <br> (in) |  | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{x x}$ <br> (in) | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{y y} \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 5 | $4^{1 / 2}$ | $4^{1 / 2}$ | 20.25 | 15.19 | 34.17 | 1.299 | 15.19 | 34.17 | 1.299 |
| 6 | 6 | $5^{1 / 2}$ | $5^{1 / 2}$ | 30.25 | 27.73 | 76.26 | 1.588 | 27.73 | 76.26 | 1.588 |
|  | 8 |  | $7^{1 / 2}$ | 41.25 | 51.56 | 193.4 | 2.165 | 37.81 | 104.0 | 1.588 |
| 8 | 8 | $71 / 2$ | 71/2 | 56.25 | 70.31 | 263.7 | 2.165 | 70.31 | 263.7 | 2.165 |
|  | 10 |  | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 71.25 | 112.8 | 535.9 | 2.742 | 89.06 | 334.0 | 2.165 |
| 10 | 10 | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 91/2 | 90.25 | 142.9 | 678.8 | 2.742 | 142.9 | 678.8 | 2.742 |
|  | 12 |  | $11^{1 / 2}$ | 109.3 | 209.4 | 1,204 | 3.320 | 173.0 | 821.7 | 2.742 |
| 12 | 12 | $11^{1 / 2}$ | $11^{1 / 2}$ | 132.3 | 253.5 | 1,458 | 3.320 | 253.5 | 1,458 | 3.320 |
|  | 14 |  | $13^{1 / 2}$ | 155.3 | 349.3 | 2,358 | 3.897 | 297.6 | 1,711 | 3.320 |
| 14 | 14 | $13^{1 / 2}$ | $13^{1 / 2}$ | 182.3 | 410.1 | 2,768 | 3.897 | 410.1 | 2,768 | 3.897 |
|  | 16 |  | $15^{1 / 2}$ | 209.3 | 540.6 | 4,189 | 4.474 | 470.8 | 3,178 | 3.897 |
| 16 | 16 | $15^{1 / 2}$ | $15^{1 / 2}$ | 240.3 | 620.6 | 4,810 | 4.474 | 620.6 | 4,810 | 4.474 |
|  | 18 |  | 171/2 | 271.3 | 791.1 | 6,923 | 5.052 | 700.7 | 5,431 | 4.474 |
| 18 | 18 | 171/2 | $17^{1 / 2}$ | 306.3 | 893.2 | 7,816 | 5.052 | 893.2 | 7,816 | 5.052 |
|  | 20 |  | 191/2 | 341.3 | 1,109 | 10,813 | 5.629 | 995.3 | 8,709 | 5.052 |
| 20 | 20 | 191/2 | 191/2 | 380.3 | 1,236 | 12,049 | 5.629 | 1,236 | 12,049 | 5.629 |
|  | 22 |  | $21^{1 / 2}$ | 419.3 | 1,502 | 16,150 | 6.207 | 1,363 | 13,285 | 5.629 |
| 22 | 22 | $21^{1 / 2}$ | $21^{1 / 2}$ | 462.3 | 1,656 | 17,806 | 6.207 | 1,656 | 17,806 | 6.207 |
|  | 24 |  | $23^{1 / 2}$ | 505.3 | 1,979 | 23,252 | 6.784 | 1,810 | 19,463 | 6.207 |
| 24 | 24 | 231/2 | $23^{1 / 2}$ | 552.3 | 2,163 | 25,415 | 6.784 | 2,163 | 25,415 | 6.784 |

## Table A1.1 continued

| Nominal Size |  | Dressed Size |  | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & \left(i n^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | X-X Axis |  |  | $\Upsilon-\Upsilon$ Axis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b <br> (in) | d <br> (in) | b <br> (in) | d <br> (in) |  | $S_{x x}$ $\left(i n^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{x x}$ <br> (in) | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{y y}$ <br> (in) |
| Beams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 10 | $5^{1 / 2}$ | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 52.25 | 82.73 | 393.0 | 2.742 | 47.90 | 131.7 | 1.588 |
|  | 12 | $5^{1 / 2}$ | $11^{1 / 2}$ | 63.25 | 121.2 | 697.1 | 3.320 | 57.98 | 159.4 | 1.588 |
|  | 14 | $5^{1 / 2}$ | 131/2 | 74.25 | 167.1 | 1,128 | 3.897 | 68.06 | 187.2 | 1.588 |
|  | 16 | $5^{1 / 2}$ | $15^{1 / 2}$ | 85.25 | 220.2 | 1,707 | 4.474 | 78.15 | 214.9 | 1.588 |
|  | 18 | $5^{1 / 2}$ | 171/2 | 96.25 | 280.7 | 2,456 | 5.052 | 88.23 | 242.6 | 1.588 |
|  | 20 | $5^{1 / 2}$ | 191/2 | 107.3 | 348.6 | 3,398 | 5.629 | 98.31 | 270.4 | 1.588 |
|  | 22 | $5^{1 / 2}$ | 211/2 | 118.3 | 423.7 | 4,555 | 6.207 | 108.4 | 298.1 | 1.588 |
|  | 24 | $5^{1 / 2}$ | $23^{1 / 2}$ | 129.3 | 506.2 | 5,948 | 6.784 | 118.5 | 325.8 | 1.588 |
| 8 | 12 | $71 / 2$ | $11^{1 / 2}$ | 86.25 | 165.3 | 950.5 | 3.320 | 107.8 | 404.3 | 2.165 |
|  | 14 | $71 / 2$ | $13^{1 / 2}$ | 101.3 | 227.8 | 1,538 | 3.897 | 126.6 | 474.6 | 2.165 |
|  | 16 | $7^{1 / 2}$ | $15^{1 / 2}$ | 116.3 | 300.3 | 2,327 | 4.474 | 145.3 | 544.9 | 2.165 |
|  | 18 | $71 / 2$ | 171/2 | 131.3 | 382.8 | 3,350 | 5.052 | 164.1 | 615.2 | 2.165 |
|  | 20 | $7^{1 / 2}$ | 191/2 | 146.3 | 475.3 | 4,634 | 5.629 | 182.8 | 685.5 | 2.165 |
|  | 22 | $711 / 2^{1 / 2}$ | 211/2 | 161.3 | 577.8 | 6,211 | 6.207 | 201.6 | 755.9 | 2.165 |
|  | 24 | $7^{1 / 2}$ | $23^{1 / 2}$ | 176.3 | 690.3 | 8,111 | 6.784 | 220.3 | 826.2 | 2.165 |
| 10 | 14 | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 131/2 | 128.3 | 288.6 | 1,948 | 3.897 | 203.1 | 964.5 | 2.742 |
|  | 16 | $9^{1 / 2}$ | $15^{1 / 2}$ | 147.3 | 380.4 | 2,948 | 4.474 | 233.1 | 1,107 | 2.742 |
|  | 18 | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 171/2 | 166.3 | 484.9 | 4,243 | 5.052 | 263.2 | 1,250 | 2.742 |
|  | 20 | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 191/2 | 185.3 | 602.1 | 5,870 | 5.629 | 293.3 | 1,393 | 2.742 |
|  | 22 | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 211/2 | 204.3 | 731.9 | 7,868 | 6.207 | 323.4 | 1,536 | 2.742 |
|  | 24 | $9^{1 / 2}$ | $23^{1 / 2}$ | 223.3 | 874.4 | 10,274 | 6.784 | 353.5 | 1,679 | 2.742 |
| 12 | 16 | $11^{1 / 2}$ | $15^{1 / 2}$ | 178.3 | 460.5 | 3,569 | 4.474 | 341.6 | 1,964 | 3.320 |
|  | 18 | $11^{1 / 2}$ | 171/2 | 201.3 | 587.0 | 5,136 | 5.052 | 385.7 | 2,218 | 3.320 |
|  | 20 | $11^{1 / 2}$ | 191/2 | 224.3 | 728.8 | 7,106 | 5.629 | 429.8 | 2,471 | 3.320 |
|  | 22 | $11^{1 / 2}$ | $21^{1 / 2}$ | 247.3 | 886.0 | 9,524 | 6.207 | 473.9 | 2,725 | 3.320 |
|  | 24 | 111/2 | $23^{1 / 2}$ | 270.3 | 1,058 | 12,437 | 6.784 | 518.0 | 2,978 | 3.320 |
| 14 | 18 | $13^{1 / 2}$ | 171/2 | 236.3 | 689.1 | 6,029 | 5.052 | 531.6 | 3,588 | 3.897 |
|  | 20 | 131/2 | 191/2 | 263.3 | 855.6 | 8,342 | 5.629 | 592.3 | 3,998 | 3.897 |
|  | 22 | 131/2 | 211/2 | 290.3 | 1,040 | 11,181 | 6.207 | 653.1 | 4,408 | 3.897 |
|  | 24 | $13^{1 / 2}$ | $23^{1 / 2}$ | 317.3 | 1,243 | 14,600 | 6.784 | 713.8 | 4,818 | 3.897 |

Table A1.1 continued

| Nominal Size |  | Dressed Size |  | A$\left(i n^{2}\right)$ | $X-X$ Axis |  |  | $\Upsilon-\Upsilon$ Axis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b <br> (in) | d <br> (in) | b <br> (in) | d <br> (in) |  | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{x x} \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{y y}$ <br> (in) |

Beams

| 16 | 20 | $15^{1 / 2}$ | $19^{1 / 2}$ | 302.3 | 982.3 | 9,578 | 5.629 | 780.8 | 6,051 | 4.474 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 22 | $15^{1 / 2}$ | $21^{1 / 2}$ | 333.3 | 1,194 | 12,837 | 6.207 | 860.9 | 6,672 | 4.474 |
|  | 24 | $15^{1 / 2}$ | $23^{1 / 2}$ | 364.3 | 1,427 | 16,763 | 6.784 | 941.0 | 7,293 | 4.474 |
| 18 | 22 | $17^{1 / 2}$ | $21^{1 / 2}$ | 376.3 | 1,348 | 14,493 | 6.207 | 1,097 | 9,602 | 5.052 |
|  | 24 | $17^{1 / 2}$ | $23^{1 / 2}$ | 411.3 | 1,611 | 18,926 | 6.784 | 1,199 | 10,495 | 5.052 |
|  | 24 | $19^{1 / 2}$ | $23^{1 / 2}$ | 458.3 | 1,795 | 21,089 | 6.784 | 1,489 | 14,521 | 5.629 |

Table A1.1 Sawn lumber section properties

| Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table A1.1 continued

| Nominal Size |  | Dressed Size |  | A$\left(m^{2}\right)$ | X-X Axis |  |  | r-r Axis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b (mm) | d $(\mathrm{mm})$ | b (mm) | d $(\mathrm{mm})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x x} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(m m^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{x x}$ <br> (mm) | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{y y}$ (mm) |
| Columns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127 | 127 | 114 | 114 | 12,996 | 0.247 | 14.07 | 0.03 | 0.247 | 14.07 | 0.033 |
| 152.4 | 152.4 | 139 | 140 | 19,460 | 0.454 | 31.78 | 0.04 | 0.451 | 31.33 | 0.040 |
|  | 203.2 |  | 191 | 26,549 | 0.845 | 80.71 | 0.06 | 0.615 | 42.75 | 0.040 |
| 203.2 | 203.2 | 191 | 191 | 36,481 | 1.161 | 110.9 | 0.06 | 1.161 | 110.9 | 0.055 |
|  | 254 |  | 241 | 46,031 | 1.849 | 222.8 | 0.07 | 1.465 | 139.9 | 0.055 |
| 254 | 254 | 241 | 241 | 58,081 | 2.333 | 281.1 | 0.07 | 2.333 | 281.1 | 0.070 |
|  | 304.8 |  | 292 | 70,372 | 3.425 | 500.0 | 0.08 | 2.827 | 340.6 | 0.070 |
| 304.8 | 304.8 | 292 | 292 | 85,264 | 4.150 | 605.8 | 0.08 | 4.150 | 605.8 | 0.084 |
|  | 355.6 |  | 343 | 100,156 | 5.726 | 981.9 | 0.10 | 4.874 | 711.6 | 0.084 |
| 355.6 | 355.6 | 343 | 343 | 117,649 | 6.726 | 1,153 | 0.10 | 6.726 | 1,153 | 0.099 |
|  | 406.4 |  | 394 | 135,142 | 8.874 | 1,748 | 0.114 | 7.726 | 1,325 | 0.099 |
| 406.4 | 406.4 | 394 | 394 | 155,236 | 10.19 | 2,008 | 0.114 | 10.19 | 2,008 | 0.114 |
|  | 457.2 |  | 445 | 175,330 | 13.00 | 2,893 | 0.128 | 11.51 | 2,268 | 0.114 |
| 457.2 | 457.2 | 445 | 445 | 198,025 | 14.69 | 3,268 | 0.128 | 14.69 | 3,268 | 0.128 |
|  | 508 |  | 495 | 220,275 | 18.17 | 4,498 | 0.143 | 16.34 | 3,635 | 0.128 |
| 508 | 508 | 495 | 495 | 245,025 | 20.21 | 5,003 | 0.143 | 20.21 | 5,003 | 0.143 |
|  | 558.8 |  | 546 | 270,270 | 24.59 | 6,714 | 0.158 | 22.30 | 5,519 | 0.143 |
| 558.8 | 558.8 | 546 | 546 | 298,116 | 27.13 | 7,406 | 0.158 | 27.13 | 7,406 | 0.158 |
|  | 609.6 |  | 597 | 325,962 | 32.43 | 9,681 | 0.172 | 29.66 | 8,098 | 0.158 |
| 609.6 | 609.6 | 597 | 597 | 356,409 | 35.46 | 10,586 | 0.172 | 35.46 | 10,586 | 0.172 |

Table A1.1 continued

| Nominal Size |  | Dressed Size |  | $A$ | X-X Axis |  |  | r-r Axis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b $(\mathrm{mm})$ | d $(\mathrm{mm})$ | b $(\mathrm{mm})$ | d (mm) |  | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x x} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{x x} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{y y} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ |

## Beams

| 152.4 | 254 | 140 | 241 | 33,740 | 1.355 | 163.3 | 0.070 | 0.787 | 55.11 | 0.040 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 304.8 |  | 292 | 40,880 | 1.989 | 290.5 | 0.084 | 0.954 | 66.77 | 0.040 |
|  | 355.6 |  | 343 | 48,020 | 2.745 | 470.8 | 0.099 | 1.120 | 78.43 | 0.040 |
|  | 406.4 |  | 394 | 55,160 | 3.622 | 713.6 | 0.114 | 1.287 | 90.09 | 0.040 |
|  | 457.2 |  | 445 | 62,300 | 4.621 | 1,028 | 0.128 | 1.454 | 101.8 | 0.040 |
|  | 508 |  | 495 | 69,300 | 5.717 | 1,415 | 0.143 | 1.617 | 113.2 | 0.040 |
|  | 558.8 |  | 546 | 76,440 | 6.956 | 1,899 | 0.158 | 1.784 | 124.9 | 0.040 |
|  | 609.6 |  | 597 | 83,580 | 8.316 | 2,482 | 0.172 | 1.950 | 136.5 | 0.040 |
| 203.2 | 304.8 | 191 | 292 | 55,772 | 2.714 | 396.3 | 0.084 | 1.775 | 169.6 | 0.055 |
|  | 355.6 |  | 343 | 65,513 | 3.745 | 642.3 | 0.099 | 2.085 | 199.2 | 0.055 |
|  | 406.4 |  | 394 | 75,254 | 4.942 | 973.5 | 0.114 | 2.396 | 228.8 | 0.055 |
|  | 457.2 |  | 445 | 84,995 | 6.304 | 1,403 | 0.128 | 2.706 | 258.4 | 0.055 |
|  | 508 |  | 495 | 94,545 | 7.800 | 1,930 | 0.143 | 3.010 | 287.4 | 0.055 |
|  | 558.8 |  | 546 | 104,286 | 9.490 | 2,591 | 0.158 | 3.320 | 317.0 | 0.055 |
|  | 609.6 |  | 597 | 114,027 | 11.35 | 3,387 | 0.172 | 3.630 | 346.7 | 0.055 |
| 254 | 355.6 | 241 | 343 | 82,663 | 4.726 | 810.4 | 0.099 | 3.320 | 400.1 | 0.070 |
|  | 406.4 |  | 394 | 94,954 | 6.235 | 1,228 | 0.114 | 3.814 | 459.6 | 0.070 |
|  | 457.2 |  | 445 | 107,245 | 7.954 | 1,770 | 0.128 | 4.308 | 519.1 | 0.070 |
|  | 508 |  | 495 | 119,295 | 9.842 | 2,436 | 0.143 | 4.792 | 577.4 | 0.070 |
|  | 558.8 |  | 546 | 131,586 | 11.97 | 3,269 | 0.158 | 5.285 | 636.9 | 0.070 |
|  | 609.6 |  | 597 | 143,877 | 14.32 | 4,273 | 0.172 | 5.779 | 696.4 | 0.070 |
| 304.8 | 406.4 | 292 | 394 | 115,048 | 7.555 | 1,488 | 0.114 | 5.599 | 817.5 | 0.084 |
|  | 457.2 |  | 445 | 129,940 | 9.637 | 2,144 | 0.128 | 6.324 | 923.3 | 0.084 |
|  | 508 |  | 495 | 144,540 | 11.92 | 2,951 | 0.143 | 7.034 | 1,027 | 0.084 |
|  | 558.8 |  | 546 | 159,432 | 14.51 | 3,961 | 0.158 | 7.759 | 1,133 | 0.084 |
|  | 609.6 |  | 597 | 174,324 | 17.35 | 5,178 | 0.172 | 8.484 | 1,239 | 0.084 |

Table A1.1 continued

| Nominal Size |  | Dressed Size |  | A$\left(m m^{2}\right)$ | $X-X$ Axis |  |  | r-r Axis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b (mm) | d (mm) | b (mm) | d $(\mathrm{mm})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x x} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(m m^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{x x}$ <br> (mm) | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{y y}$ $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| Beams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 355.6 | 457.2 | 343 | 445 | 152,635 | 11.32 | 2,519 | 0.128 | 8.726 | 1,496 | 0.099 |
|  | 508 |  | 495 | 169,785 | 14.01 | 3,467 | 0.143 | 9.706 | 1,665 | 0.099 |
|  | 558.8 |  | 546 | 187,278 | 17.04 | 4,653 | 0.158 | 10.706 | 1,836 | 0.099 |
|  | 609.6 |  | 597 | 204,771 | 20.37 | 6,082 | 0.172 | 11.706 | 2,008 | 0.099 |
| 406.4 | 508 | 394 | 495 | 195,030 | 16.09 | 3,982 | 0.143 | 12.807 | 2,523 | 0.114 |
|  | 558.8 |  | 546 | 215,124 | 19.58 | 5,344 | 0.158 | 14.126 | 2,783 | 0.114 |
|  | 609.6 |  | 597 | 235,218 | 23.40 | 6,986 | 0.172 | 15.446 | 3,043 | 0.114 |
| 457.2 | 558.8 | 445 | 546 | 242,970 | 22.11 | 6,036 | 0.158 | 18.020 | 4,010 | 0.128 |
|  | 609.6 |  | 597 | 265,665 | 26.43 | 7,890 | 0.172 | 19.703 | 4,384 | 0.128 |
| 508 | 609.6 | 495 | 597 | 295,515 | 29.40 | 8,777 | 0.172 | 24.380 | 6,034 | 0.143 |

Table A1.2 Glulam section properties of selected Western Species sizes


Table A1.2 continued

|  |  |  | $X-X$ Axis |  |  | r-Y Axis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b <br> (in) | d <br> (in) | A $\left(i i^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{x x}$ <br> (in) | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{y y} \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $83 / 4$ | 24 | 210.0 | 840.0 | 10,080 | 6.928 | 306.3 | 1,340 | 2.526 |
|  | 30 | 262.5 | 1,313 | 19,688 | 8.660 | 382.8 | 1,675 | 2.526 |
|  | 36 | 315.0 | 1,890 | 34,020 | 10.39 | 459.4 | 2,010 | 2.526 |
|  | 42 | 367.5 | 2,573 | 54,023 | 12.12 | 535.9 | 2,345 | 2.526 |
|  | 48 | 420.0 | 3,360 | 80,640 | 13.86 | 612.5 | 2,680 | 2.526 |
|  | 54 | 472.5 | 4,253 | 114,818 | 15.59 | 689.1 | 3,015 | 2.526 |
|  | 60 | 525.0 | 5,250 | 157,500 | 17.32 | 765.6 | 3,350 | 2.526 |
| $10^{3 / 4}$ | 30 | 322.5 | 1,613 | 24,188 | 8.660 | 577.8 | 3,106 | 3.103 |
|  | 36 | 387.0 | 2,322 | 41,796 | 10.39 | 693.4 | 3,727 | 3.103 |
|  | 42 | 451.5 | 3,161 | 66,371 | 12.12 | 808.9 | 4,348 | 3.103 |
|  | 48 | 516.0 | 4,128 | 99,072 | 13.86 | 924.5 | 4,969 | 3.103 |
|  | 54 | 580.5 | 5,225 | 141,062 | 15.59 | 1,040 | 5,590 | 3.103 |
|  | 60 | 645.0 | 6,450 | 193,500 | 17.32 | 1,156 | 6,211 | 3.103 |

Table A1.2 Glulam section properties of selected Western Species sizes


Table A1.2 continued

|  |  |  | $X-X$ Axis |  |  | Y-Y Axis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b <br> (mm) | d <br> (mm) | A <br> ( $m m^{2}$ ) | $S_{x x}$ <br> $\times 10^{6}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)$ | $I_{x x}$ <br> $\times 10^{6}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{4}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{x x} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $S_{y y}$ <br> $\times 10^{6}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{y y} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 222 | 610 | 135,484 | 13.77 | 4,196 | 0.176 | 5.019 | 557.7 | 0.064 |
|  | 762 | 169,355 | 21.51 | 8,195 | 0.220 | 6.273 | 697.1 | 0.064 |
|  | 914 | 203,225 | 30.97 | 14,160 | 0.264 | 7.528 | 836.5 | 0.064 |
|  | 1,067 | 237,096 | 42.16 | 22,486 | 0.308 | 8.782 | 975.9 | 0.064 |
|  | 1,219 | 270,967 | 55.06 | 33,565 | 0.352 | 10.04 | 1,115 | 0.064 |
|  | 1,372 | 304,838 | 69.69 | 47,791 | 0.396 | 11.29 | 1,255 | 0.064 |
|  | 1,524 | 338,709 | 86.03 | 65,556 | 0.440 | 12.55 | 1,394 | 0.064 |
| 273 | 762 | 208,064 | 26.42 | 10,068 | 0.220 | 9.469 | 1,293 | 0.079 |
|  | 914 | 249,677 | 38.05 | 17,397 | 0.264 | 11.36 | 1,551 | 0.079 |
|  | 1,067 | 291,290 | 51.79 | 27,625 | 0.308 | 13.26 | 1,810 | 0.079 |
|  | 1,219 | 332,903 | 67.65 | 41,237 | 0.352 | 15.15 | 2,068 | 0.079 |
|  | 1,372 | 374,515 | 85.61 | 58,714 | 0.396 | 17.04 | 2,327 | 0.079 |
|  | 1,524 | 416,128 | 105.7 | 80,541 | 0.440 | 18.94 | 2,585 | 0.079 |

Table A1.3 Structural composite lumber section properties

| Imperial Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $X-X$ Axis |  |  | r-Y Axis |  |  |
| b <br> (in) | d <br> (in) | A $\left(i n^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{x x}$ <br> (in) | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{y y}$ <br> (in) |
| $1^{3 / 4}$ | $5^{1 / 2}$ | 9.625 | 8.823 | 24.26 | 1.588 | 2.807 | 2.456 | 0.505 |
|  | $71 / 4$ | 12.69 | 15.33 | 55.57 | 2.093 | 3.701 | 3.238 | 0.505 |
|  | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 16.63 | 26.32 | 125.0 | 2.742 | 4.849 | 4.243 | 0.505 |
|  | $11^{7 / 8}$ | 20.78 | 41.13 | 244.2 | 3.428 | 6.061 | 5.304 | 0.505 |
|  | 14 | 24.50 | 57.17 | 400.2 | 4.041 | 7.146 | 6.253 | 0.505 |
|  | 16 | 28.00 | 74.67 | 597.3 | 4.619 | 8.167 | 7.146 | 0.505 |
|  | 18 | 31.50 | 94.50 | 850.5 | 5.20 | 9.188 | 8.039 | 0.505 |
| $31 / 2$ | $3^{1 / 2}$ | 12.25 | 7.146 | 12.51 | 1.010 | 7.146 | 12.51 | 1.010 |
|  | $4^{3 / 8}$ | 15.31 | 11.17 | 24.42 | 1.263 | 8.932 | 15.63 | 1.010 |
|  | $5^{1 / 4}$ | 18.38 | 16.08 | 42.21 | 1.516 | 10.72 | 18.76 | 1.010 |
|  | 7 | 24.50 | 28.58 | 100.0 | 2.021 | 14.29 | 25.01 | 1.010 |
|  | 85/8 | 30.19 | 43.39 | 187.1 | 2.490 | 17.61 | 30.82 | 1.010 |
|  | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 33.25 | 52.65 | 250.1 | 2.742 | 19.40 | 33.94 | 1.010 |
|  | 117/8 | 41.56 | 82.26 | 488.4 | 3.428 | 24.24 | 42.43 | 1.010 |
|  | 14 | 49.00 | 114.3 | 800.3 | 4.041 | 28.58 | 50.02 | 1.010 |
|  | 16 | 56.00 | 149.3 | 1,195 | 4.619 | 32.67 | 57.17 | 1.010 |
|  | 18 | 63.00 | 189.0 | 1,701 | 5.196 | 36.75 | 64.31 | 1.010 |

Table A1.3 continued

|  |  |  | $X-X$ Axis |  |  | Y-Y Axis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b <br> (in) | d <br> (in) | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & \left(i n^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{x x}$ <br> (in) | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \left(i n^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{y y} \\ & \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $5^{1 / 4}$ | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 49.88 | 78.97 | 375.1 | 2.742 | 43.64 | 114.6 | 1.516 |
|  | 117/8 | 62.34 | 123.4 | 732.6 | 3.428 | 54.55 | 143.2 | 1.516 |
|  | 14 | 73.50 | 171.5 | 1,201 | 4.041 | 64.31 | 168.8 | 1.516 |
|  | 16 | 84.00 | 224.0 | 1,792 | 4.62 | 73.50 | 192.9 | 1.516 |
|  | 18 | 94.50 | 283.5 | 2,552 | 5.20 | 82.69 | 217.1 | 1.516 |
| 7 | $9^{1 / 2}$ | 66.50 | 105.3 | 500.1 | 2.742 | 77.58 | 271.5 | 2.021 |
|  | 117/8 | 83.13 | 164.5 | 976.8 | 3.428 | 96.98 | 339.4 | 2.021 |
|  | 14 | 98.00 | 228.7 | 1,601 | 4.041 | 114.3 | 400.2 | 2.021 |
|  | 16 | 112.0 | 298.7 | 2,389 | 4.62 | 130.7 | 457.3 | 2.021 |
|  | 18 | 126.0 | 378.0 | 3,402 | 5.20 | 147.0 | 514.5 | 2.021 |

Table A1.3 Structural composite lumber section properties

| Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $X-X$ Axis |  |  | $r-r$ Axis |  |  |
| b $(\mathrm{mm})$ | d (mm) | A $\left(m m^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x x} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(m m^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{x x} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{y y} \\ & (m m) \end{aligned}$ |
| 44 | 140 | 6,210 | 0.145 | 10.10 | 40.33 | 0.046 | 1.022 | 12.83 |
|  | 184 | 8,185 | 0.251 | 23.13 | 53.16 | 0.061 | 1.348 | 12.83 |
|  | 241 | 10,726 | 0.431 | 52.04 | 69.66 | 0.079 | 1.766 | 12.83 |
|  | 302 | 13,407 | 0.674 | 101.6 | 87.07 | 0.099 | 2.208 | 12.83 |
|  | 356 | 15,806 | 0.937 | 166.6 | 102.7 | 0.117 | 2.603 | 12.83 |
|  | 406 | 18,064 | 1.224 | 248.6 | 117.3 | 0.134 | 2.974 | 12.83 |
|  | 457 | 20,323 | 1.549 | 354.0 | 132.0 | 0.151 | 3.346 | 12.83 |
| 89 | 89 | 7,903 | 0.117 | 5.205 | 25.66 | 0.117 | 5.205 | 25.66 |
|  | 111 | 9,879 | 0.183 | 10.17 | 32.08 | 0.146 | 6.506 | 25.66 |
|  | 133 | 11,855 | 0.263 | 17.57 | 38.49 | 0.176 | 7.808 | 25.66 |
|  | 178 | 15,806 | 0.468 | 41.64 | 51.33 | 0.234 | 10.41 | 25.66 |
|  | 219 | 19,476 | 0.711 | 77.89 | 63.24 | 0.289 | 12.83 | 25.66 |
|  | 241 | 21,452 | 0.863 | 104.1 | 69.66 | 0.318 | 14.13 | 25.66 |
|  | 302 | 26,814 | 1.348 | 203.3 | 87.07 | 0.397 | 17.66 | 25.66 |
|  | 356 | 31,613 | 1.874 | 333.1 | 102.7 | 0.468 | 20.82 | 25.66 |
|  | 406 | 36,129 | 2.447 | 497.3 | 117.3 | 0.535 | 23.79 | 25.66 |
|  | 457 | 40,645 | 3.097 | 708.0 | 132.0 | 0.602 | 26.77 | 25.66 |

Table A1. 3 continued

|  |  |  | $X-X$ Axis |  |  | r-r Axis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $d$ $(\mathrm{mm})$ | A $\left(m m^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{x x} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x x} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(m m^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{x x} \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{y y} \\ & \times 10^{6} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $r_{y y}$ (mm) |
| 133 | 241 | 32,177 | 1.294 | 156.1 | 69.66 | 0.715 | 47.68 | 38.49 |
|  | 302 | 40,222 | 2.022 | 304.9 | 87.07 | 0.894 | 59.60 | 38.49 |
|  | 356 | 47,419 | 2.810 | 499.7 | 102.7 | 1.054 | 70.27 | 38.49 |
|  | 406 | 54,193 | 3.671 | 745.9 | 117.3 | 1.204 | 80.31 | 38.49 |
|  | 457 | 60,968 | 4.646 | 1,062 | 132.0 | 1.355 | 90.34 | 38.49 |
| 178 | 241 | 42,903 | 1.725 | 208.2 | 69.66 | 1.271 | 113.0 | 51.33 |
|  | 302 | 53,629 | 2.696 | 406.6 | 87.07 | 1.589 | 141.3 | 51.33 |
|  | 356 | 63,226 | 3.747 | 666.2 | 102.7 | 1.874 | 166.6 | 51.33 |
|  | 406 | 72,258 | 4.894 | 994.5 | 117.3 | 2.141 | 190.4 | 51.33 |
|  | 457 | 81,290 | 6.194 | 1,416 | 132.0 | 2.409 | 214.2 | 51.33 |

## Timber Reference Design Values

## Appendix 2

|  | Imperial Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Size <br> Class | Bending <br> $F_{b}$ $\left(l b / i^{2}\right)$ | Tension <br> Parallel <br> to Grain, <br> $F_{t}$ <br> ( $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ ) | Shear, <br> $F_{v}$ <br> $\left(l b / i^{2}\right)$ | Comp <br> Perpendi <br> cular to <br> Grain, <br> $F_{c \perp}$ <br> $\left(l b / i n^{2}\right)$ | Comp <br> Parallel <br> to Grain, <br> $F_{c}$ <br> $\left(l b / m^{2}\right)$ | Modulus of Elasticity $E\left(l b / i^{2}\right)$ | Modulus of Elasticity $E_{\min }\left(l b / i^{2}\right)$ | Specific <br> Gravity, <br> G |
| Aspen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select structural | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { in \& } \\ & \text { wider } \end{aligned}$ | 875 | 500 | 120 | 265 | 725 | 1,100,000 | 400,000 | 0.39 |
| No. 1 |  | 625 | 375 | 120 | 265 | 600 | 1,100,000 | 400,000 |  |
| No. 2 |  | 600 | 350 | 120 | 265 | 450 | 1,000,000 | 370,000 |  |
| No. 3 |  | 350 | 200 | 120 | 265 | 275 | 900,000 | 330,000 |  |
| Stud |  | 475 | 275 | 120 | 265 | 300 | 900,000 | 330,000 |  |
| Construction | $\begin{aligned} & 2-4 \mathrm{in} \\ & \text { wide } \end{aligned}$ | 700 | 400 | 120 | 265 | 625 | 900,000 | 330,000 |  |
| Standard |  | 375 | 225 | 120 | 265 | 475 | 900,000 | 330,000 |  |
| Douglas Fir-Larch (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select structural | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { in \& } \\ & \text { wider } \end{aligned}$ | 1,350 | 825 | 180 | 625 | 1,900 | 1,900,000 | 690,000 | 0.49 |
| No. 1 \& better |  | 1,150 | 750 | 180 | 625 | 1,800 | 1,800,000 | 660,000 |  |
| No. 1/no. 2 |  | 850 | 500 | 180 | 625 | 1,400 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 |  |
| No. 3 |  | 475 | 300 | 180 | 625 | 825 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 |  |
| Stud |  | 650 | 400 | 180 | 625 | 900 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 |  |
| Construction | $\begin{aligned} & 2-4 \text { in } \\ & \text { wide } \end{aligned}$ | 950 | 575 | 180 | 625 | 1,800 | 1,500,000 | 550,000 |  |
| Standard |  | 525 | 325 | 180 | 625 | 1,450 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 |  |


|  | Imperial Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species © Grade | Size Class | Bending $F_{b}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)$ | Tension <br> Parallel to <br> Grain, <br> $F_{t}$ <br> $\left(l b / i^{2}\right)$ | Shear, $F_{v}$ <br> $\left(l b / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)$ | Comp <br> Perpendicular to <br> Grain, $F_{c \perp}$ <br> ( $\left(b / i n^{2}\right.$ ) | Comp <br> Parallel to <br> Grain, <br> $F_{c}$ <br> $\left(l b / i n^{2}\right)$ | Modulus of Elasticity $E\left(l b / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)$ | Modulus of Elasticity $E_{\text {min }}\left(l b / i n^{2}\right)$ | Specific <br> Gravity, <br> G |
| Redwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear structural |  <br> wider | 1,750 | 1,000 | 160 | 650 | 1,850 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 | 0.44 |
| Select structural |  | 1,350 | 800 | 160 | 650 | 1,500 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 | 0.44 |
| No. 1 |  | 975 | 575 | 160 | 650 | 1,200 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 | 0.44 |
| No. 1 open grain |  | 775 | 450 | 160 | 425 | 900 | 1,100,000 | 400,000 | 0.37 |
| No. 3 |  | 525 | 300 | 160 | 650 | 550 | 1,100,000 | 400,000 | 0.44 |
| Stud |  | 575 | 325 | 160 | 425 | 450 | 900,000 | 330,000 | 0.44 |
| Construction | $2-4 \text { in }$ <br> wide | 825 | 475 | 160 | 425 | 925 | 900,000 | 330,000 | 0.44 |
| Standard |  | 450 | 275 | 160 | 425 | 725 | 900,000 | 330,000 | 0.44 |
| Spruce-Pine-Fir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select structural | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { in \& } \\ & \text { wider } \end{aligned}$ | 1,250 | 700 | 135 | 425 | 1,400 | 1,500,000 | 550,000 | 0.42 |
| No. 1/no. 2 |  | 875 | 450 | 135 | 425 | 1,150 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 |  |
| No. 3 |  | 500 | 250 | 135 | 425 | 650 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 |  |
| Stud |  | 675 | 350 | 135 | 425 | 725 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 |  |
| Construction | $2-4 \text { in }$ <br> wide | 1,000 | 500 | 135 | 425 | 1,400 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 |  |
| Standard |  | 550 | 275 | 135 | 425 | 1,150 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 |  |


|  | Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species © Grade | Size Class | Bending $F_{b}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Tension <br> Parallel to <br> Grain, <br> $F_{t}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Shear, $F_{v}$ <br> ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | Comp <br> Perpendicular to Grain, $F_{c \perp}$ $\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Comp <br> Parallel to <br> Grain, <br> $F_{c}$ <br> ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | Modulus of Elasticity $E\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Modulus of Elasticity $E_{\min }\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Specific <br> Gravity, G |
| Aspen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select structural | 50 mm <br> \& wider | 6,033 | 3,447 | 827 | 1,827 | 4,999 | 7,584,232 | 2,757,903 | 0.39 |
| No. 1 |  | 4,309 | 2,586 | 827 | 1,827 | 4,137 | 7,584,232 | 2,757,903 |  |
| No. 2 |  | 4,137 | 2,413 | 827 | 1,827 | 3,103 | 6,894,756 | 2,551,060 |  |
| No. 3 |  | 2,413 | 1,379 | 827 | 1,827 | 1,896 | 6,205,281 | 2,275,270 |  |
| Stud |  | 3,275 | 1,896 | 827 | 1,827 | 2,068 | 6,205,281 | 2,275,270 |  |
| Construction | $50-100-$ <br> mm wide | 4,826 | 2,758 | 827 | 1,827 | 4,309 | 6,205,281 | 2,275,270 |  |
| Standard |  | 2,586 | 1,551 | 827 | 1,827 | 3,275 | 6,205,281 | 2,275,270 |  |
| Douglas Fir-Larch (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select Structural | 50 mm <br> \& wider | 9,308 | 5,688 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 13,100 | 13,100,037 | 4,757,382 | 0.49 |
| No. 1 \& better |  | 7,929 | 5,171 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 12,411 | 12,410,561 | 4,550,539 |  |
| No. 1/no. 2 |  | 5,861 | 3,447 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 9,653 | 11,031,610 | 3,998,959 |  |
| No. 3 |  | 3,275 | 2,068 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 5,688 | 9,652,659 | 3,516,326 |  |
| Stud |  | 4,482 | 2,758 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 6,205 | 9,652,659 | 3,516,326 |  |
| Construction | $50-100$ <br> mm wide | 6,550 | 3,964 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 12,411 | 10,342,135 | 3,792,116 |  |
| Standard |  | 3,620 | 2,241 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 9,997 | 9,652,659 | 3,516,326 |  |


|  | Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species $\mathcal{E}$ Grade | Size Class | Bending $F_{b}$ $\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Tension <br> Parallel to <br> Grain, <br> $F_{t}$ <br> ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | Shear, $\begin{aligned} & F_{v} \\ & \left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Comp <br> Perpendicu <br> lar to <br> Grain, <br> $F_{c \perp}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Comp <br> Parallel to <br> Grain, <br> $F_{c}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Modulus of Elasticity $E\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Modulus of Elasticity $E_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | Specific <br> Gravity, $G$ |
| Redwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear structural | 50 mm <br> \& wider | 12,066 | 6,895 | 1,103 | 4,482 | 12,755 | 9,652,659 | 3,516,326 | 0.44 |
| Select structural |  | 9,308 | 5,516 | 1,103 | 4,482 | 10,342 | 9,652,659 | 3,516,326 | 0.44 |
| No. 1 |  | 6,722 | 3,964 | 1,103 | 4,482 | 8,274 | 8,963,183 | 3,240,535 | 0.44 |
| No. 1 open grain |  | 5,343 | 3,103 | 1,103 | 2,930 | 6,205 | 7,584,232 | 2,757,903 | 0.37 |
| No. 3 |  | 3,620 | 2,068 | 1,103 | 4,482 | 3,792 | 7,584,232 | 2,757,903 | 0.44 |
| Stud |  | 3,964 | 2,241 | 1,103 | 2,930 | 3,103 | 6,205,281 | 2,275,270 | 0.44 |
| Construction | $50-100$ <br> mm wide | 5,688 | 3,275 | 1,103 | 2,930 | 6,378 | 6,205,281 | 2,275,270 | 0.44 |
| Standard |  | 3,103 | 1,896 | 1,103 | 2,930 | 4,999 | 6,205,281 | 2,275,270 | 0.44 |
| Spruce-Pine-Fir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select structural | 50 mm <br> \& wider | 8,618 | 4,826 | 931 | 2,930 | 9,653 | 10,342,135 | 3,792,116 | 0.42 |
| No. 1/no. 2 |  | 6,033 | 3,103 | 931 | 2,930 | 7,929 | 9,652,659 | 3,516,326 |  |
| No. 3 |  | 3,447 | 1,724 | 931 | 2,930 | 4,482 | 8,273,708 | 3,033,693 |  |
| Stud |  | 4,654 | 2,413 | 931 | 2,930 | 4,999 | 8,273,708 | 3,033,693 |  |
| Construction | 50-100 mm wide | 6,895 | 3,447 | 931 | 2,930 | 9,653 | 8,963,183 | 3,240,535 |  |
| Standard |  | 3,792 | 1,896 | 931 | 2,930 | 7,929 | 8,273,708 | 3,033,693 |  |

Table A2.2 Reference values for visually graded timbers (5" $\times 5$ " and larger)

| Imperial Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \text { む̃ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Species Ef Grade | $F_{b}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)$ | $F_{t}$ $\left(b b i n^{2}\right)$ | $F_{v}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{c \perp} \\ & \left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $F_{c}$ $\left(b b / i^{2}\right)$ | E $\left(b b / i^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{\text {min }} \\ & \left(\mathrm{lb/ii}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | G |


| Bald Cypress |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Select structural | 1,150 | 750 | 200 | 615 | 1,050 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 | 0.43 |
| No. 1 | 1,000 | 675 | 200 | 615 | 925 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 |  |
| No. 2 | 625 | 425 | 175 | 615 | 600 | 1,000,000 | 370,000 |  |
| Douglas Fir-Larch (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select structural | 1,500 | 1,000 | 170 | 625 | 1,150 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 | 0.49 |
| No. 1 | 1,200 | 825 | 170 | 625 | 1,000 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 |  |
| No. 2 | 725 | 475 | 170 | 625 | 700 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 |  |
| Redwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear structural | 1,850 | 1,250 | 145 | 650 | 1,650 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 | 0.44 |
| No. 1 | 1,200 | 800 | 145 | 650 | 1,050 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 |  |
| No. 2 | 1,000 | 525 | 145 | 650 | 900 | 1,100,000 | 400,000 |  |
| Spruce-Pine-Fir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select structural | 1,000 | 675 | 125 | 335 | 700 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 | 0.36 |
| No. 1 | 800 | 550 | 125 | 335 | 625 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 |  |
| No. 2 | 475 | 325 | 125 | 335 | 425 | 1,000,000 | 370,000 |  |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A2.2 Reference values for visually graded timbers ( $125 \times 125 \mathrm{~mm}$ and larger)

| Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vdots \\ & \text { む } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Species E Grade | $\begin{aligned} & F_{b} \\ & \left(k V / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{t} \\ & \left(k V / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{v} \\ & \left(k V / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{c \perp} \\ & \left(k \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{c} \\ & \left(k V / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | E ( $\mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & E_{\text {min }} \\ & \left(k N / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $G$ |
| Bald Cypress |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select structural | 7,929 | 5,171 | 1,379 | 4,240 | 7,239 | 8,963,183 | 3,240,535 | 0.43 |
| No. 1 | 6,895 | 4,654 | 1,379 | 4,240 | 6,378 | 8,963,183 | 3,240,535 |  |
| No. 2 | 4,309 | 2,930 | 1,207 | 4,240 | 4,137 | 6,894,756 | 2,551,060 |  |
| Douglas Fir-Larch (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select structural | 10,342 | 6,895 | 1,172 | 4,309 | 7,929 | 11,031,610 | 3,998,959 | 0.49 |
| No. 1 | 8,274 | 5,688 | 1,172 | 4,309 | 6,895 | 11,031,610 | 3,998,959 |  |
| No. 2 | 4,999 | 3,275 | 1,172 | 4,309 | 4,826 | 8,963,183 | 3,240,535 |  |
| Redwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clear structural | 12,755 | 8,618 | 1,000 | 4,482 | 11,376 | 8,963,183 | 3,240,535 | 0.44 |
| No. 1 | 8,274 | 5,516 | 1,000 | 4,482 | 7,239 | 8,963,183 | 3,240,535 |  |
| No. 2 | 6,895 | 3,620 | 1,000 | 4,482 | 6,205 | 7,584,232 | 2,757,903 |  |
| Spruce-Pine-Fir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select structural | 6,895 | 4,654 | 862 | 2,310 | 4,826 | 8,273,708 | 3,033,693 | 0.36 |
| No. 1 | 5,516 | 3,792 | 862 | 2,310 | 4,309 | 8,273,708 | 3,033,693 |  |
| No. 2 | 3,275 | 2,241 | 862 | 2,310 | 2,930 | 6,894,756 | 2,551,060 |  |

Source: NDS 2015
Units


[^4]Units

|  | Size <br> Class | Bending | Tension <br> Parallel <br> to Grain, | Shear | Comp <br> Perpendic ular to <br> Grain | Comp <br> Parallel <br> to Grain | Modulus of Elasticity |  | Specific <br> Gravity, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & F_{b} \\ & \left(k N / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{t} \\ & \left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $F_{v}$ <br> ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & F_{c \perp} \\ & \left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $F_{c}$ <br> ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{\text {min }} \\ & \left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | G |
| M-5 | 50 mm | 6,205 | 3,447 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 7,239 | 7,584,232 | 3,516,326 | 0.49 |
| M-8 | \& wider | 8,963 | 4,826 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 10,342 | 8,963,183 | 4,205,801 | 0.49 |
| M-11 |  | 10,687 | 5,861 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 11,549 | 10,342,135 | 4,826,329 | 0.49 |
| M-14 |  | 12,411 | 6,895 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 12,066 | 11,721,086 | 5,446,858 | 0.49 |
| M-17 |  | 13,445 | 8,963 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 14,134 | 11,721,086 | 5,446,858 | 0.49 |
| M-20 |  | 13,790 | 11,032 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 14,479 | 13,100,037 | 6,136,333 | 0.49 |
| M-23 |  | 16,547 | 13,100 | 1,241 | 4,309 | 13,617 | 12,410,561 | 5,791,595 | 0.49 |
| M-26 |  | 19,305 | 12,411 | 1,241 | 4,619 | 14,824 | 13,789,513 | 6,412,123 | 0.53 |

[^5]| Imperial Units <br> Grade | Size <br> Class | Bending | Tension <br> Parallel <br> to Grain | Shear | Comp <br> Perpendic <br> ular to <br> Grain | Comp <br> Parallel <br> to Grain, | Modulus of |  | Specific <br> Gravit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $F_{b}$ $\left(l b / i n^{2}\right)$ | $F_{t}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right)$ | $F_{v}$ ( $\left(b / i^{2}\right.$ ) | $F_{c \perp}$ <br> (lb/in ${ }^{2}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & F_{c} \\ & \left(l b / i n^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(l b / i n^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $E_{\text {min }}$ <br> $\left(l b / i^{2}\right)$ | $G$ |
| Dense select structural | $2-4 \text { in }$ wide | 2,700 | 1,900 | 175 | 660 | 2,050 | 1,900,000 | 690,000 | 0.55 |
| Select structural |  | 2,350 | 1,650 | 175 | 565 | 1,900 | 1,800,000 | 660,000 |  |
| No. 1 |  | 1,500 | 1,000 | 175 | 565 | 1,650 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 |  |
| No. 2 Dense |  | 1,200 | 750 | 175 | 660 | 1,500 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 |  |
| No. 3 and stud |  | 650 | 400 | 175 | 565 | 850 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 |  |
| Construction | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { in } \\ & \text { wide } \end{aligned}$ | 875 | 500 | 175 | 565 | 1,600 | 1,400,000 | 510,000 |  |
| Standard |  | 475 | 275 | 175 | 565 | 1,300 | 1,200,000 | 440,000 |  |
| Dense select structural | $8 \text { in }$wide | 2,200 | 1,550 | 175 | 660 | 1,850 | 1,900,000 | 690,000 | 0.55 |
| Select structural |  | 1,950 | 1,350 | 175 | 565 | 1,700 | 1,800,000 | 660,000 |  |
| No. 1 |  | 1,250 | 800 | 175 | 565 | 1,500 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 |  |
| No. 2 dense |  | 975 | 600 | 175 | 660 | 1,400 | 1,600,000 | 580,000 |  |
| No. 3 and stud |  | 525 | 325 | 175 | 565 | 775 | 1,300,000 | 470,000 |  |

Note: Size factors are already incorporated into this table

| Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: Size factors are already incorporated into this table
Source: NDS 2015
Table A2.5 Reference values for glued laminated timbers

| Imperial Units  <br> Bending  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combination | Species | Tension on Tension Face | Tension <br> on <br> Compressi on Face | Tension <br> Parallel to <br> Grain | Shear | Comp <br> Perpendicu <br> lar to <br> Grain | Comp <br> Parallel to <br> Grain | Modulus of | icity | Specific <br> Gravity |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & F_{b}+ \\ & \left(l b / i n^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{b}- \\ & \left(l b / i n^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{t} \\ & \left(l b / i^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{v} \\ & \left(l b / i^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{c \perp} \\ & \left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{im}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{c} \\ & \left(l b / i^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(l b / i^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{\min } \\ & \left(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $G$ |
| 16F-V3 | DF/DF | 1,600 | 1,250 | 975 | 265 | 560 | 1,500 | 1,500,000 | 790,000 | 0.50 |
| 16F-V6 | DF/DF | 1,600 | 1,600 | 560 | 265 | 560 | 1,600 | 1,600,000 | 850,000 | 0.50 |
| 16F-V2 | SP/SP | 1,600 | 1,400 | 1,000 | 300 | 650 | 1,300 | 1,500,000 | 790,000 | 0.55 |
| 16F-V5 | SP/SP | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,000 | 300 | 650 | 1,550 | 1,600,000 | 850,000 | 0.55 |
| 24F-V4 | DF/DF | 2,400 | 1,850 | 1,100 | 265 | 650 | 1,650 | 1,800,000 | 950,000 | 0.50 |
| 24F-V8 | DF/DF | 2,400 | 2,400 | 1,100 | 265 | 650 | 1,650 | 1,800,000 | 950,000 | 0.50 |
| $24 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{V} 3$ | SP/SP | 2,400 | 2,000 | 1,150 | 300 | 740 | 1,650 | 1,800,000 | 950,000 | 0.55 |
| 24F-V8 | SP/SP | 2,400 | 2,400 | 1,150 | 300 | 740 | 1,650 | 1,800,000 | 950,000 | 0.55 |

Note: These values are for loads applied to the strong (x) axis Source: NDS 2015
Table A2.5 Reference values for glued laminated timbers

| Metric Units |  | Bending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Species | Tension <br> on <br> Tension <br> Face | Tension <br> on <br> Compressi <br> on Face | Tension <br> Parallel to Grain | Shear | Comp <br> Perpendicu <br> lar to <br> Grain | Comp <br> Parallel to <br> Grain | Modulus of E | sticity | Specific <br> Gravity |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & F_{b}+ \\ & \left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{b}- \\ & \left(k N / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{t} \\ & \left(k N / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $F_{v}$ $\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{c \perp} \\ & \left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{c} \\ & \left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | E <br> ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & E_{\text {min }} \\ & \left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $G$ |
| 16F-V3 | DF/DF | 11,032 | 8,618 | 6,722 | 1,827 | 3,861 | 10,342 | 10,342,135 | 5,446,858 | 0.50 |
| 16F-V6 | DF/DF | 11,032 | 11,032 | 3,861 | 1,827 | 3,861 | 11,032 | 11,031,610 | 5,860,543 | 0.50 |
| 16F-V2 | SP/SP | 11,032 | 9,653 | 6,895 | 2,068 | 4,482 | 8,963 | 10,342,135 | 5,446,858 | 0.55 |
| 16F-V5 | SP/SP | 11,032 | 11,032 | 6,895 | 2,068 | 4,482 | 10,687 | 11,031,610 | 5,860,543 | 0.55 |
| 24F-V4 | DF/DF | 16,547 | 12,755 | 7,584 | 1,827 | 4,482 | 11,376 | 12,410,561 | 6,550,019 | 0.50 |
| 24F-V8 | DF/DF | 16,547 | 16,547 | 7,584 | 1,827 | 4,482 | 11,376 | 12,410,561 | 6,550,019 | 0.50 |
| 24F-V3 | SP/SP | 16,547 | 13,790 | 7,929 | 2,068 | 5,102 | 11,376 | 12,410,561 | 6,550,019 | 0.55 |
| 24F-V8 | SP/SP | 16,547 | 16,547 | 7,929 | 2,068 | 5,102 | 11,376 | 12,410,561 | 6,550,019 | 0.55 |

[^6]Table A2.6 Reference values for structural composite lumber

| Imperial Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Notes: (1.) These values are representative of LVL material. For design, verify local availability and use those specific values. (2.) These values are for material oriented in the strong axis

Source: ICC-ES Report ESR-1387

Table A2．6m Reference values for structural composite lumber

| Metric Units | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亿 } \\ & \text { U心 } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { む̃̃ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { き } \\ & \text { む̃ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | （mm） | $\begin{aligned} & F_{b} \\ & \left(k N / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $F_{t}$ $\left(k N / m^{2}\right)$ | $F_{v}$ $\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & F_{c \perp} \\ & \left(k V / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | F （kN／m²） | E （ $k N / m^{2}$ ） | $\begin{aligned} & E_{\text {min }} \\ & \left(k N / m^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| LVL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1．6E WS | 19 | 14，755 | 8，549 | 1，965 | 5，171 | 14，479 | 11，031，610 | 5，605，437 |
| 1．9E WS | to | 17，926 | 10，721 | 1，965 | 5，171 | 17，306 | 13，100，037 | 6，660，335 |
| $2.0 \mathrm{E}-2900 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{WS}$ | 89 | 19，995 | 11，445 | 1，965 | 5，171 | 18，168 | 13，789，513 | 7，011，967 |
| 2．0E SP／EUC |  | 18，961 | 12，445 | 1，965 | 6，067 | 18，168 | 13，789，513 | 7，011，967 |
| 2．6E SP／EUC |  | 25，338 | 17，133 | 1，965 | 6，067 | 22，546 | 17，926，366 | 9，045，920 |
| PSL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.8 E DF | Up | 17，237 | 12，100 | 1，586 | 4，137 | 17，237 | 12，410，561 | 6，308，702 |
| 2．2E DF | to | 19，995 | 13，962 | 1，999 | 5，171 | 19，995 | 15，168，464 | 7，708，338 |
| 2．1E SP | 280 | 21，374 | 14，893 | 2，206 | 5，688 | 21，374 | 14，478，988 | 7，356，705 |
| LSL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1．3E | 32 | 11，721 | 7，412 | 2，930 | 4，895 | 12，652 | 8，963，183 | 4，557，434 |
| 1.55 E | to | 16，030 | 11，032 | 3，620 | 6，205 | 14，962 | 10，686，872 | 5，433，068 |
| 1．9E | 140 | 21，201 | 14，824 | 4，309 | 7，515 | 17，271 | 13，100，037 | 6，660，335 |
| Rim Board |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0．6E OSB | 29 | 4，826 | － | 2，723 | 4，551 | － | 4，136，854 | 2，102，901 |

Notes：（1．）These values are representative of LVL material．For design，verify local availability and use those specific values．（2．）These values are for material oriented in the strong axis

Source：ICC－ES Report ESR－1387

## Table A2.7 Reference values for selected I-joists



Notes: (1.) These values are representative of I-joists. For design, verify local availability and use those specific values. (2.) Remember these values are allowable capacities, not allowable stresses. Compare them with moment and shear demands
Source: ICC-ES Report ESR-1336

Table A2.7 Reference values for selected I-joists

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Notes: (1.) These values are representative of I-joists. For design, verify local availability and use those specific values. (2.) Remember these values are allowable capacities, not allowable stresses. Compare them with moment and shear demands
Source: ICC-ES Report ESR-1336

# Connection Reference Design Values 

## Appendix 3

Table A3.1 Nail and spike reference withdrawal values $W$


Note: Values are for each inch of penetration in side grain

| Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specific Gravity | Withdrawal Strength (N) Common Nail Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | $6 d$ | 8d | 10d | 16d | 20d | 30d | 40d | 50d |  |
|  | Diameter (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.87 | 3.33 | 3.76 | 4.11 | 4.88 | 5.26 | 5.72 | 6.20 | 9.53 |
| 0.55 | 61 | 72 | 81 | 88 | 103 | 112 | 123 | 133 | 203 |
| 0.49 | 46 | 53 | 60 | 67 | 79 | 84 | 91 | 100 | 152 |
| 0.42 | 32 | 37 | 40 | 46 | 53 | 58 | 61 | 67 | 103 |
| 0.36 | 21 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 37 | 39 | 42 | 46 | 70 |

Note: Values are for each 10 mm of thread penetration in side grain Source: NDS 2015

Table A3.2 Lag screw reference withdrawal values, $W$


## Imperial Units

| Specific <br> Gravity | Withdrawal Strength (lb) <br> Lag Screw Diameter (in) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| G | $1 / 4$ | $5 / 16$ | $3 / 8$ | $1 / 2$ | $5 / 8$ | $3 / 4$ |  |
| 0.55 | 260 | 307 | 352 | 437 | 516 | 592 |  |
| 0.49 | 218 | 258 | 296 | 367 | 434 | 498 |  |
| 0.42 | 173 | 205 | 235 | 291 | 344 | 395 |  |
| 0.36 | 137 | 163 | 186 | 231 | 273 | 313 |  |

Note: (1.) Values are for each inch of thread penetration in side grain. (2.) Do not include the tapered tip in the length

## Metric Units

| Specific <br> Gravity | Withdrawal Strength $(\mathcal{N})$ <br> Lag Screw Diameter $(\mathrm{mm})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $G$ | 6.4 | 7.9 | 9.5 | 12.7 | 15.9 | 19.1 |  |
| 0.55 | 455 | 538 | 616 | 765 | 904 | 1,037 |  |
| 0.49 | 382 | 452 | 518 | 643 | 760 | 872 |  |
| 0.42 | 303 | 359 | 412 | 510 | 602 | 692 |  |
| 0.36 | 240 | 285 | 326 | 405 | 478 | 548 |  |

Notes: (1.) Values are for each 10 mm of thread penetration in side grain. (2.) Do not include the tapered tip in the length
Source: NDS 2015

Table A3.3 Dowel bearing strength, $F_{e}$


## Imperial Units

| Specific |  |  | Dowel Bearing Strength (lb/in ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G | $F_{e}$ | $F_{\text {ef }}$ | $F_{e \perp}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dowel Diameter (in) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 1/4 | 1/4-1 | 1/4 | 5/16 | 3/8 | 7/16 | 1/2 | 5/8 | 3/4 |
| 0.55 | 5,550 | 6,150 | 5,150 | 4,600 | 4,200 | 3,900 | 3,650 | 3,250 | 2,950 |
| 0.49 | 4,450 | 5,500 | 4,350 | 3,900 | 3,550 | 3,300 | 3,050 | 2,750 | 2,500 |
| 0.42 | 3,350 | 4,700 | 3,450 | 3,100 | 2,850 | 2,600 | 2,450 | 2,200 | 2,000 |
| 0.36 | 2,550 | 4,050 | 2,750 | 2,500 | 2,250 | 2,100 | 1,950 | 1,750 | 1,600 |

## Metric Units

| Specific <br> Gravity |  |  | Dowel Bearing Strength ( $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G | $F_{e}$ | $F_{\text {e\| }}$ | $F_{\text {e」 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dowel Diameter (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 6.35 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.35- \\ & 25.0 \end{aligned}$ | 6.35 | 7.94 | 9.53 | 11.12 | 12.7 | 15.88 | 19.05 |
| 0.55 | 38,266 | 42,403 | 35,508 | 31,716 | 28,958 | 26,890 | 25,166 | 22,408 | 20,340 |
| 0.49 | 30,682 | 37,921 | 29,992 | 26,890 | 24,476 | 22,753 | 21,029 | 18,961 | 17,237 |
| 0.42 | 23,097 | 32,405 | 23,787 | 21,374 | 19,650 | 17,926 | 16,892 | 15,168 | 13,790 |
| 0.36 | 17,582 | 27,924 | 18,961 | 17,237 | 15,513 | 14,479 | 13,445 | 12,066 | 11,032 |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A3.4 Common, box, sinker nail single shear, all wood, reference lateral design values $Z$

|  | $15$ | $z^{\prime}=z C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C_{e g} C_{d i} C_{t n}$ <br> (lb) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Side <br> Member | Nail <br> Diameter | Nail Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t_{s}$, (in) | D, (in) | \% | ¢ | 离 |  |  |  |  |
| 3/4 | 0.099 |  | 6 d | 7d | 61 | 54 | 47 | 38 |
|  | 0.113 | 6 d | 8 d | 8 d | 79 | 71 | 57 | 46 |
|  | 0.120 |  |  | 10d | 89 | 77 | 62 | 50 |
|  | 0.128 |  | 10d |  | 101 | 84 | 68 | 56 |
|  | 0.131 | 8d |  |  | 104 | 87 | 70 | 58 |
|  | 0.135 |  | 16d | 12d | 108 | 91 | 74 | 61 |
|  | 0.148 | 10d | 20d | 16d | 121 | 102 | 83 | 69 |
|  | 0.162 | 16d | 40d |  | 138 | 117 | 96 | 80 |
|  | 0.177 |  |  | 20d | 153 | 130 | 107 | 90 |
| $1^{1 / 2}$ | 0.113 |  | 8d | 8 d | 79 | 71 | 61 | 54 |
|  | 0.120 |  |  | 10d | 89 | 80 | 69 | 60 |
|  | 0.128 |  | 10d |  | 101 | 91 | 79 | 69 |
|  | 0.131 | 8 d |  |  | 106 | 95 | 82 | 72 |
|  | 0.135 |  | 16d | 12d | 113 | 101 | 88 | 76 |
|  | 0.148 | 10d | 20d | 16d | 128 | 115 | 100 | 87 |
|  | 0.162 | 16d | 40d |  | 154 | 138 | 120 | 104 |
|  | 0.177 |  |  | 20d | 178 | 159 | 138 | 121 |
|  | 0.192 | 20d |  | 30d | 185 | 166 | 144 | 126 |
|  | 0.207 | 30d |  | 40d | 203 | 182 | 158 | 131 |
|  | 0.225 | 40d |  |  | 224 | 201 | 172 | 138 |
|  | 0.244 | 50d |  | 60d | 230 | 206 | 175 | 141 |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A3.4 Common, box, sinker nail single shear, all wood, reference lateral design values $Z$


Source: NDS 2015

Table A3.5 Common, box, sinker nail single shear, steel side plate, reference lateral design values $Z$


Source: NDS 2015

Table A3.5 Common, box, sinker nail single shear, steel side plate, reference lateral design values $Z$

|  |  | tric Unit | $z^{\prime}=z c$ | $C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C$ | ${ }_{h i} C_{t n}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Side Member $t_{s}$, (mm) | Nail Diameter D, (mm) | Common <br> Nail |  |  |  |  |
| 1.52 | 2.87 | 6d | 351 | 320 | 280 | 249 |
|  | 3.33 | 8d | 463 | 423 | 369 | 325 |
|  | 3.76 | 10d | 560 | 507 | 445 | 391 |
|  | 4.11 | 16d | 667 | 601 | 529 | 467 |
|  | 4.88 | 20d | 796 | 721 | 632 | 556 |
| 3.05 | 2.87 | 6 d | 423 | 387 | 343 | 302 |
|  | 3.33 | 8d | 538 | 489 | 431 | 383 |
|  | 3.76 | 10d | 636 | 578 | 512 | 454 |
|  | 4.11 | 16d | 738 | 676 | 596 | 529 |
|  | 4.88 | 20d | 867 | 787 | 694 | 614 |
| 6.07 | 2.87 | 6 d | 476 | 431 | 374 | 329 |
|  | 3.33 | 8 d | 641 | 578 | 507 | 440 |
|  | 3.76 | 10d | 774 | 698 | 609 | 538 |
|  | 4.11 | 16d | 930 | 836 | 734 | 645 |
|  | 4.88 | 20d | 1,117 | 1,010 | 881 | 774 |
|  | 5.26 | 30d | 1,201 | 1,094 | 965 | 850 |

Source: NDS 2015

Lateral Reference Strength (lb)

| Thickn |  |  | Southern Pine,$G=0.55$ |  |  |  | Douglas Fir-Larch ( $\mathcal{N}$ ),$G=0.49$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spruce-Pine-Fir, } \\ & G=0.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Eastern Softwoods,$G=0.36$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Main } \\ & \text { Menber } \\ & t_{m} \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Side } \\ & \text { Member } \\ & t_{m}(i n) \end{aligned}$ | Bolt Dia. D (in) | z | $z_{s}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{s}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{s}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\text {s }}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ |
| $5^{1 / 4}$ | $1^{1 / 2}$ | $5 / 8$ | 940 | 560 | 640 | 500 | 870 | 520 | 590 | 450 | 780 | 410 | 520 | 400 | 710 | 330 | 460 | 330 |
|  |  | 3/4 | 1,270 | 660 | 850 | 660 | 1,190 | 560 | 780 | 560 | 1,080 | 450 | 670 | 450 | 990 | 360 | 540 | 360 |
|  |  | 7/8 | 1,680 | 720 | 1,060 | 720 | 1,570 | 600 | 900 | 600 | 1,440 | 490 | 730 | 490 | 1,330 | 390 | 600 | 390 |
|  |  | 1 | 2,150 | 770 | 1,140 | 770 | 2,030 | 650 | 970 | 650 | 1,760 | 530 | 800 | 530 | 1,520 | 420 | 650 | 420 |
|  | $3^{1 / 2}$ | 5/8 | 1,170 | 780 | 780 | 680 | 1,110 | 690 | 720 | 620 | 1,020 | 590 | 650 | 520 | 950 | 500 | 590 | 440 |
|  |  | $3 / 4$ | 1,690 | 960 | 1,090 | 850 | 1,600 | 850 | 1,010 | 750 | 1,480 | 730 | 880 | 620 | 1,370 | 630 | 730 | 500 |
|  |  | 7/8 | 2,300 | 1,160 | 1,380 | 1,000 | 2,170 | 1,040 | 1,190 | 840 | 1,920 | 910 | 990 | 670 | 1,710 | 800 | 830 | 550 |
|  |  | 1 | 2,870 | 1,390 | 1,520 | 1,060 | 2,630 | 1,260 | 1,320 | 900 | 2,330 | 1,120 | 1,100 | 730 | 2,080 | 980 | 910 | 580 |
| 71/2 | $1^{1 / 2}$ | 5/8 | 940 | 560 | 640 | 500 | 870 | 520 | 590 | 450 | 780 | 410 | 520 | 400 | 710 | 330 | 460 | 330 |
|  |  | 3/4 | 1,270 | 660 | 850 | 660 | 1,190 | 560 | 780 | 560 | 1,080 | 450 | 690 | 450 | 990 | 360 | 620 | 360 |
|  |  | 7/8 | 1,680 | 720 | 1,090 | 720 | 1,570 | 600 | 990 | 600 | 1,440 | 490 | 890 | 490 | 1,330 | 390 | 800 | 390 |
|  |  | 1 | 2,150 | 770 | 1,350 | 770 | 2,030 | 650 | 1,240 | 650 | 1,760 | 530 | 1,110 | 530 | 1,520 | 420 | 890 | 420 |
|  | $31 / 2$ | $5 / 8$ | 1,170 | 780 | 780 | 680 | 1,110 | 690 | 720 | 620 | 1,020 | 590 | 650 | 520 | 950 | 500 | 590 | 440 |
|  |  | 3/4 | 1,690 | 960 | 1,090 | 850 | 1,600 | 850 | 1,010 | 750 | 1,480 | 730 | 910 | 640 | 1,370 | 630 | 820 | 550 |
|  |  | 7/8 | 2,300 | 1,160 | 1,450 | 1,020 | 2,170 | 1,040 | 1,340 | 900 | 1,920 | 910 | 1,180 | 780 | 1,710 | 800 | 980 | 680 |
|  |  | 1 | 2,870 | 1,390 | 1,830 | 1,210 | 2,630 | 1,260 | 1,570 | 1,080 | 2,330 | 1,120 | 1,300 | 950 | 2,080 | 980 | 1,070 | 790 |


| Metric Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $Z^{\prime}=z C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C_{e g} C_{d i} C_{t n}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Lateral Reference Strength (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thickness |  |  | Southern Pine,$G=0.55$ |  |  |  | Douglas Fir-Larch ( $\mathcal{N}$ ),$G=0.49$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spruce-Pine-Fir, } \\ & G=0.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Eastern Softwoods,$G=0.36$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Main } \\ & \text { Manber } \\ & t_{m}(n m) \end{aligned}$ | Side Membar $t_{m}(m m)$ | Bolt Dia. D (mm) | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\text {s }}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\sim}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\text {s }}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\text {s }}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ |
| 38.1 | 38.1 | 12.7 | 2,358 | 1,468 | 1,468 | 1,112 | 2,091 | 1,290 | 1,290 | 934 | 1,824 | 1,068 | 1,068 | 756 | 1,557 | 890 | 890 | 578 |
|  |  | 15.9 | 2,936 | 1,779 | 1,779 | 1,246 | 2,624 | 1,557 | 1,557 | 1,068 | 2,269 | 1,290 | 1,290 | 845 | 1,957 | 1,068 | 1,068 | 667 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 3,559 | 2,046 | 2,046 | 1,379 | 3,158 | 1,779 | 1,779 | 1,157 | 2,713 | 1,512 | 1,512 | 934 | 2,313 | 1,246 | 1,246 | 756 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 4,137 | 2,313 | 2,313 | 1,468 | 3,692 | 2,046 | 2,046 | 1,246 | 3,158 | 1,690 | 1,690 | 979 | 2,713 | 1,423 | 1,423 | 801 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 4,715 | 2,580 | 2,580 | 1,557 | 4,226 | 2,269 | 2,269 | 1,334 | 3,603 | 1,913 | 1,913 | 1,068 | 3,114 | 1,601 | 1,601 | 845 |
| 88.9 | 38.1 | 12.7 | 2,936 | 1,779 | 2,091 | 1,601 | 2,713 | 1,601 | 1,868 | 1,423 | 2,402 | 1,423 | 1,646 | 1,246 | 2,180 | 1,246 | 1,334 | 1,112 |
|  |  | 15.9 | 4,181 | 2,491 | 2,758 | 2,224 | 3,870 | 2,313 | 2,358 | 2,002 | 3,470 | 1,824 | 1,913 | 1,601 | 3,158 | 1,468 | 1,557 | 1,290 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 5,649 | 2,936 | 3,069 | 2,580 | 5,293 | 2,491 | 2,624 | 2,180 | 4,804 | 2,002 | 2,135 | 1,735 | 4,404 | 1,601 | 1,779 | 1,379 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 7,473 | 3,203 | 3,425 | 2,802 | 6,984 | 2,669 | 2,891 | 2,358 | 5,961 | 2,180 | 2,402 | 1,868 | 5,160 | 1,735 | 1,957 | 1,512 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 8,941 | 3,425 | 3,692 | 2,980 | 7,962 | 2,891 | 3,158 | 2,491 | 6,806 | 2,358 | 2,624 | 2,046 | 5,872 | 1,868 | 2,135 | 1,646 |


| $\chi^{\prime}=\chi C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C_{e g} C_{d i} C_{t n}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lateral Reference Strength (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thickness |  |  | Southern Pine,$G=0.55$ |  |  |  | Douglas Fir-Larch ( $\mathcal{N}$ ),$G=0.49$ |  |  |  | Spruce-Pine-Fir,$G=0.42$ |  |  |  | Eastern Softwoods,$G=0.36$ |  |  |  |
| Main <br> Menber <br> $t_{m}(n m)$ | Side <br> Member <br> $t_{m}(m m)$ | Bolt Dia. D (mm) | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{s \perp}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{s \perp}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | 2 | $z_{s \perp}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{s \perp}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $z_{\perp}$ |
| 133 | 38.1 | 15.9 | 4,181 | 2,491 | 2,847 | 2,224 | 3,870 | 2,313 | 2,624 | 2,002 | 3,470 | 1,824 | 2,313 | 1,779 | 3,158 | 1,468 | 2,046 | 1,468 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 5,649 | 2,936 | 3,781 | 2,936 | 5,293 | 2,491 | 3,470 | 2,491 | 4,804 | 2,002 | 2,980 | 2,002 | 4,404 | 1,601 | 2,402 | 1,601 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 7,473 | 3,203 | 4,715 | 3,203 | 6,984 | 2,669 | 4,003 | 2,669 | 6,405 | 2,180 | 3,247 | 2,180 | 5,916 | 1,735 | 2,669 | 1,735 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 9,564 | 3,425 | 5,071 | 3,425 | 9,030 | 2,891 | 4,315 | 2,891 | 7,829 | 2,358 | 3,559 | 2,358 | 6,761 | 1,868 | 2,891 | 1,868 |
|  | 38.1 | 15.9 | 5,204 | 3,470 | 3,470 | 3,025 | 4,938 | 3,069 | 3,203 | 2,758 | 4,537 | 2,624 | 2,891 | 2,313 | 4,226 | 2,224 | 2,624 | 1,957 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 7,517 | 4,270 | 4,849 | 3,781 | 7,117 | 3,781 | 4,493 | 3,336 | 6,583 | 3,247 | 3,914 | 2,758 | 6,094 | 2,802 | 3,247 | 2,224 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 10,231 | 5,160 | 6,139 | 4,448 | 9,653 | 4,626 | 5,293 | 3,737 | 8,541 | 4,048 | 4,404 | 2,980 | 7,606 | 3,559 | 3,692 | 2,447 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 12,776 | 6,183 | 6,761 | 4,715 | 11,699 | 5,605 | 5,872 | 4,003 | 10,364 | 4,982 | 4,893 | 3,247 | 9,252 | 4,359 | 4,048 | 2,580 |
| 191 | 38.1 | 15.9 | 4,181 | 2,491 | 2,847 | 2,224 | 3,870 | 2,313 | 2,624 | 2,002 | 3,470 | 1,824 | 2,313 | 1,779 | 3,158 | 1,468 | 2,046 | 1,468 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 5,649 | 2,936 | 3,781 | 2,936 | 5,293 | 2,491 | 3,470 | 2,491 | 4,804 | 2,002 | 3,069 | 2,002 | 4,404 | 1,601 | 2,758 | 1,601 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 7,473 | 3,203 | 4,849 | 3,203 | 6,984 | 2,669 | 4,404 | 2,669 | 6,405 | 2,180 | 3,959 | 2,180 | 5,916 | 1,735 | 3,559 | 1,735 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 9,564 | 3,425 | 6,005 | 3,425 | 9,030 | 2,891 | 5,516 | 2,891 | 7,829 | 2,358 | 4,938 | 2,358 | 6,761 | 1,868 | 3,959 | 1,868 |
|  | 38.1 | 15.9 | 5,204 | 3,470 | 3,470 | 3,025 | 4,938 | 3,069 | 3,203 | 2,758 | 4,537 | 2,624 | 2,891 | 2,313 | 4,226 | 2,224 | 2,624 | 1,957 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 7,517 | 4,270 | 4,849 | 3,781 | 7,117 | 3,781 | 4,493 | 3,336 | 6,583 | 3,247 | 4,048 | 2,847 | 6,094 | 2,802 | 3,648 | 2,447 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 10,231 | 5,160 | 6,450 | 4,537 | 9,653 | 4,626 | 5,961 | 4,003 | 8,541 | 4,048 | 5,249 | 3,470 | 7,606 | 3,559 | 4,359 | 3,025 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 12,776 | 6,183 | 8,140 | 5,382 | 11,699 | 5,605 | 6,984 | 4,804 | 10,364 | 4,982 | 5,783 | 4,226 | 9,252 | 4,359 | 4,760 | 3,514 |

[^7]Table A3.7 Bolt, reference lateral design values Z for single shear and steel plate side member


Source: NDS 2015

Table A3.7 Bolt, reference lateral design values Z for single shear and steel plate side member

|  |  |  |  | Metri | Units | $=$ <br> (N) | $C_{M} C_{t} C_{g}$ | $C_{e g} C_{d}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thicknes |  | Bolt <br> Dia. | Southern <br> Pine, $G=0.5$ |  | Douglas <br> Fir-Lar $G=0 .$ | ch (N), | Spruce-P <br> Fir, <br> $G=0.4$ |  | Eastern Softrwood $G=0.3$ |  |
| Main <br> Member <br> $t_{m}(m m)$ | Side <br> Member <br> $t_{s}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | D (mm) | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\perp}$ |
| 38.1 | 6.35 | 12.70 | 2,758 | 1,557 | 2,580 | 1,379 | 2,269 | 1,201 | 2,046 | 1,068 |
|  |  | 15.88 | 3,470 | 1,779 | 3,203 | 1,601 | 2,847 | 1,423 | 2,580 | 1,246 |
|  |  | 19.05 | 4,181 | 2,002 | 3,825 | 1,824 | 3,425 | 1,601 | 3,069 | 1,423 |
|  |  | 22.23 | 4,849 | 2,269 | 4,493 | 2,002 | 4,003 | 1,779 | 3,603 | 1,601 |
|  |  | 25.40 | 5,560 | 2,447 | 5,115 | 2,224 | 4,582 | 2,002 | 4,137 | 1,779 |
| 88.9 | 6.35 | 12.70 | 3,825 | 2,447 | 3,648 | 2,269 | 3,425 | 1,913 | 3,203 | 1,601 |
|  |  | 15.88 | 5,605 | 3,069 | 5,338 | 2,669 | 4,982 | 2,180 | 4,671 | 1,824 |
|  |  | 19.05 | 7,740 | 3,381 | 7,384 | 2,936 | 6,450 | 2,402 | 5,605 | 2,002 |
|  |  | 22.23 | 9,653 | 3,737 | 8,674 | 3,158 | 7,517 | 2,624 | 6,583 | 2,224 |
|  |  | 25.40 | 11,032 | 3,959 | 9,920 | 3,425 | 8,585 | 2,891 | 7,517 | 2,402 |
| 133.4 | 6.35 | 15.88 | 5,605 | 3,381 | 5,338 | 3,114 | 4,982 | 2,802 | 4,671 | 2,491 |
|  |  | 19.05 | 7,740 | 4,448 | 7,384 | 4,137 | 6,895 | 3,381 | 6,450 | 2,758 |
|  |  | 22.23 | 10,320 | 5,293 | 9,786 | 4,493 | 9,119 | 3,648 | 8,541 | 3,025 |
|  |  | 25.40 | 13,256 | 5,649 | 12,633 | 4,804 | 11,743 | 3,959 | 10,898 | 3,247 |
| 190.5 | 6.35 | 15.88 | 5,605 | 3,381 | 5,338 | 3,114 | 4,982 | 2,802 | 4,671 | 2,535 |
|  |  | 19.05 | 7,740 | 4,448 | 7,384 | 4,137 | 6,895 | 3,737 | 6,450 | 3,336 |
|  |  | 22.23 | 10,320 | 5,694 | 9,786 | 5,249 | 9,119 | 4,760 | 8,541 | 4,137 |
|  |  | 25.40 | 13,256 | 7,073 | 12,633 | 6,539 | 11,743 | 5,471 | 10,987 | 4,448 |
| 241.3 | 6.35 | 19.05 | 7,740 | 4,448 | 7,384 | 4,137 | 6,895 | 3,737 | 6,450 | 3,336 |
|  |  | 22.23 | 10,320 | 5,694 | 9,786 | 5,249 | 9,119 | 4,760 | 8,541 | 4,315 |
|  |  | 25.40 | 13,256 | 7,073 | 12,633 | 6,539 | 11,743 | 5,916 | 10,987 | 5,338 |

Source: NDS 2015
$z^{\prime}=z C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C_{g} C_{d i} C_{\text {to }}$
Imperial Units (lb)



| $\chi^{\prime}=\chi C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C_{e g} C_{d i} C_{t n}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thickness |  | Bolt <br> Dia. | Southern Pine,$G=0.55$ |  |  | Douglas Fir-Larch ( $\mathcal{N}$ ),$G=0.49$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spruce-Pine-Fir, } \\ & G=0.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Eastern Softwoods,$G=0.36)$ |  |  |
| Main <br> Member $t_{m}(i n)$ | Side <br> Member <br> $t_{s}$ (in) | $D$ (in) | $z_{\\|}$ | Zs | $Z_{m}$ | $z_{11}$ | $Z_{s}$ | $Z_{m}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{s}$ | $z_{m}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{s}$ | $Z_{m}$ |
| $5^{1 / 4}$ | $11 / 2$ | 5/8 | 1,870 | 1,130 | 1,290 | 1,740 | 1,030 | 1,170 | 1,570 | 830 | 1,040 | 1,430 | 660 | 920 |
|  |  | $3 / 4$ | 2,550 | 1,330 | 1,690 | 2,380 | 1,130 | 1,550 | 2,160 | 900 | 1,380 | 1,990 | 720 | 1,230 |
|  |  | 5/8 | 3,360 | 1,440 | 2,170 | 3,150 | 1,210 | 1,990 | 2,880 | 970 | 1,700 | 2,660 | 790 | 1,380 |
|  |  | 1 | 4,310 | 1,530 | 2,680 | 4,050 | 1,290 | 2,260 | 3,530 | 1,050 | 1,840 | 3,040 | 840 | 1,470 |
|  | $3^{1 / 2}$ | 5/8 | 2,340 | 1,560 | 1,560 | 2,220 | 1,390 | 1,450 | 2,050 | 1,170 | 1,310 | 1,900 | 1,000 | 1,150 |
|  |  | $3 / 4$ | 3,380 | 1,910 | 2,180 | 3,190 | 1,700 | 1,970 | 2,950 | 1,460 | 1,580 | 2,740 | 1,270 | 1,260 |
|  |  | 5/8 | 4,600 | 2,330 | 2,530 | 4,350 | 2,070 | 2,110 | 3,840 | 1,810 | 1,700 | 3,410 | 1,610 | 1,380 |
|  |  | 1 | 5,740 | 2,780 | 2,680 | 5,250 | 2,520 | 2,260 | 4,660 | 2,240 | 1,840 | 4,170 | 1,960 | 1,470 |
| $71 / 2$ | $11 / 2$ | 5/88 | 1,870 | 1,130 | 1,290 | 1,740 | 1,030 | 1,170 | 1,570 | 830 | 1,040 | 1,430 | 660 | 920 |
|  |  | 3/4 | 2,550 | 1,330 | 1,690 | 2,380 | 1,130 | 1,550 | 2,160 | 900 | 1,380 | 1,990 | 720 | 1,230 |
|  |  | 5/8 | 3,360 | 1,440 | 2,170 | 3,150 | 1,210 | 1,990 | 2,880 | 970 | 1,780 | 2,660 | 790 | 1,600 |
|  |  | 1 | 4,310 | 1,530 | 2,700 | 4,050 | 1,290 | 2,480 | 3,530 | 1,050 | 2,240 | 3,040 | 840 | 2,010 |
|  | $31 / 2$ | 5/8 | 2,340 | 1,560 | 1,560 | 2,220 | 1,390 | 1,450 | 2,050 | 1,170 | 1,310 | 1,900 | 1,000 | 1,180 |
|  |  | $3 / 4$ | 3,380 | 1,910 | 2,180 | 3,190 | 1,700 | 2,020 | 2,950 | 1,460 | 1,820 | 2,740 | 1,270 | 1,650 |
|  |  | 7/8 | 4,600 | 2,330 | 2,890 | 4,350 | 2,070 | 2,670 | 3,840 | 1,810 | 2,420 | 3,410 | 1,610 | 1,970 |
|  |  | 1 | 5,740 | 2,780 | 3,680 | 5,250 | 2,520 | 3,230 | 4,660 | 2,240 | 2,630 | 4,170 | 1,960 | 2,100 |

[^8]|  |  |  |  |  |  | $Z^{\prime}=z C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C_{\text {eg }} C_{d i} C_{t n}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thickness |  | Bolt Dia. | Southern Pine,$G=0.55$ |  |  | Douglas Fir-Larch (N),$G=0.49)$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spruce-Pine-Fir, } \\ & G=0.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Eastern Softwoods,$G=0.36$ |  |  |
| Main Member $t_{m}$ (mm) | Side Member $t_{s}(m m)$ | D (mm) | $z_{\\|}$ | $z$ | $z_{n}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z$ | $z_{m}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z$ | $z_{m}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z$ | $z_{m}$ |
| 38.1 | 38.1 | 12.7 | 5,115 | 3,559 | 2,447 | 4,582 | 3,203 | 2,046 | 3,914 | 2,847 | 1,646 | 3,381 | 2,491 | 1,290 |
|  |  | 15.9 | 6,405 | 5,026 | 2,713 | 5,738 | 4,582 | 2,313 | 4,893 | 3,692 | 1,824 | 4,226 | 2,936 | 1,468 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 7,695 | 5,916 | 2,936 | 6,895 | 5,026 | 2,491 | 5,872 | 4,003 | 2,002 | 5,071 | 3,203 | 1,601 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 8,985 | 6,405 | 3,203 | 8,007 | 5,382 | 2,669 | 6,850 | 4,315 | 2,180 | 5,916 | 3,514 | 1,735 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 10,275 | 6,806 | 3,425 | 9,163 | 5,738 | 2,891 | 7,829 | 4,671 | 2,358 | 6,761 | 3,737 | 1,868 |
| 88.9 | 38.1 | 12.7 | 5,872 | 3,559 | 4,181 | 5,382 | 3,203 | 3,781 | 4,804 | 2,847 | 3,292 | 4,359 | 2,491 | 2,936 |
|  |  | 15.9 | 8,318 | 5,026 | 5,738 | 7,740 | 4,582 | 5,204 | 6,984 | 3,692 | 4,270 | 6,361 | 2,936 | 3,425 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 11,343 | 5,916 | 6,895 | 10,587 | 5,026 | 5,827 | 9,608 | 4,003 | 4,671 | 8,852 | 3,203 | 3,737 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 14,946 | 6,405 | 7,473 | 14,012 | 5,382 | 6,272 | 12,811 | 4,315 | 5,026 | 11,832 | 3,514 | 4,092 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 19,172 | 6,806 | 7,962 | 18,015 | 5,738 | 6,717 | 15,702 | 4,671 | 5,471 | 13,523 | 3,737 | 4,359 |


| $\chi^{\prime}=\chi C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C_{e g} C_{d i} C_{t n}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thickness |  | Bolt <br> Dia. | Southern Pine,$G=0.55$ |  |  | Douglas Fir-Larch ( $\mathcal{N}$ ),$G=0.49$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spruce-Pine-Fir, } \\ & G=0.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Eastern Softwoods,$G=0.36)$ |  |  |
| Main <br> Member $t_{m}(i n)$ | Side <br> Member $t_{s}(i n)$ | $D$ (in) | 2 | $z_{s}$ | $Z_{m}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $Z_{s}{ }^{\wedge}$ | $Z_{m}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $Z_{s}$ | $Z_{m}$ | 2 | $z_{s}$ | $Z_{m}$ |
| 133 | 38.1 | 15.9 | 8,318 | 5,026 | 5,738 | 7,740 | 4,582 | 5,204 | 6,984 | 3,692 | 4,626 | 6,361 | 2,936 | 4,092 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 11,343 | 5,916 | 7,517 | 10,587 | 5,026 | 6,895 | 9,608 | 4,003 | 6,139 | 8,852 | 3,203 | 5,471 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 14,946 | 6,405 | 9,653 | 14,012 | 5,382 | 8,852 | 12,811 | 4,315 | 7,562 | 11,832 | 3,514 | 6,139 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 19,172 | 6,806 | 11,921 | 18,015 | 5,738 | 10,053 | 15,702 | 4,671 | 8,185 | 13,523 | 3,737 | 6,539 |
|  | 38.1 | 15.9 | 10,409 | 6,939 | 6,939 | 9,875 | 6,183 | 6,450 | 9,119 | 5,204 | 5,827 | 8,452 | 4,448 | 5,115 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 15,035 | 8,496 | 9,697 | 14,190 | 7,562 | 8,763 | 13,122 | 6,494 | 7,028 | 12,188 | 5,649 | 5,605 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 20,462 | 10,364 | 11,254 | 19,350 | 9,208 | 9,386 | 17,081 | 8,051 | 7,562 | 15,168 | 7,162 | 6,139 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 25,533 | 12,366 | 11,921 | 23,353 | 11,210 | 10,053 | 20,729 | 9,964 | 8,185 | 18,549 | 8,719 | 6,539 |
| 191 | 38.1 | 15.9 | 8,318 | 5,026 | 5,738 | 7,740 | 4,582 | 5,204 | 6,984 | 3,692 | 4,626 | 6,361 | 2,936 | 4,092 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 11,343 | 5,916 | 7,517 | 10,587 | 5,026 | 6,895 | 9,608 | 4,003 | 6,139 | 8,852 | 3,203 | 5,471 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 14,946 | 6,405 | 9,653 | 14,012 | 5,382 | 8,852 | 12,811 | 4,315 | 7,918 | 11,832 | 3,514 | 7,117 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 19,172 | 6,806 | 12,010 | 18,015 | 5,738 | 11,032 | 15,702 | 4,671 | 9,964 | 13,523 | 3,737 | 8,941 |
|  | 38.1 | 15.9 | 10,409 | 6,939 | 6,939 | 9,875 | 6,183 | 6,450 | 9,119 | 5,204 | 5,827 | 8,452 | 4,448 | 5,249 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 15,035 | 8,496 | 9,697 | 14,190 | 7,562 | 8,985 | 13,122 | 6,494 | 8,096 | 12,188 | 5,649 | 7,340 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 20,462 | 10,364 | 12,855 | 19,350 | 9,208 | 11,877 | 17,081 | 8,051 | 10,765 | 15,168 | 7,162 | 8,763 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 25,533 | 12,366 | 16,369 | 23,353 | 11,210 | 14,368 | 20,729 | 9,964 | 11,699 | 18,549 | 8,719 | 9,341 |

Table A3.9 Bolt, double shear, and steel plate side member reference lateral design values $Z$

|  |  |  | Imperial Units (lb) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thickness |  | Bo | Southern <br> Pine, $G=0.55$ |  | Douglas <br> Fir-Larch (N), $G=0.49$ |  | Spruce-Pine- <br> Fir, $G=0.42$ |  | Eastern <br> Softwoods, $G=0.36$ |  |
| ain | Side | D | z | 7 |  | \% |  | \% |  |  |
| Member <br> $t_{m}$ (in) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Member } \\ & t_{s} \text { (in) } \end{aligned}$ | (in) | \| | $\sim_{\perp}$ | 2 | $\sim_{\perp}$ | 2 | $\chi_{\perp}$ | - | $z_{\perp}$ |
| $1^{1 / 2}$ | 1/4 | 1/2 | 1,150 | 550 | 1,030 | 460 | 880 | 370 | 760 | 290 |
|  |  | 5/8 | 1,440 | 610 | 1,290 | 520 | 1,100 | 410 | 950 | 330 |
|  |  | $3 / 4$ | 1,730 | 660 | 1,550 | 560 | 1,320 | 450 | 1,140 | 360 |
|  |  | 7/8 | 2,020 | 720 | 1,800 | 600 | 1,540 | 490 | 1,330 | 390 |
|  |  | 1 | 2,310 | 770 | 2,060 | 650 | 1,760 | 530 | 1,520 | 420 |
| $3^{1 / 2}$ | 1/4 | 1/2 | 1,720 | 1,100 | 1,640 | 1,010 | 1,530 | 860 | 1,430 | 680 |
|  |  | 5/8 | 2,510 | 1,420 | 2,390 | 1,200 | 2,230 | 960 | 2,090 | 770 |
|  |  | $3 / 4$ | 3,480 | 1,550 | 3,320 | 1,310 | 3,080 | 1,050 | 2,660 | 840 |
|  |  | 7/8 | 4,630 | 1,680 | 4,210 | 1,410 | 3,600 | 1,130 | 3,100 | 920 |
|  |  | 1 | 5,380 | 1,790 | 4,810 | 1,510 | 4,110 | 1,230 | 3,540 | 980 |
| $5^{1 / 4}$ | 1/4 | 5/8 | 2,510 | 1,510 | 2,390 | 1,400 | 2,230 | 1,270 | 2,090 | 1,140 |
|  |  | 3/4 | 3,480 | 2,000 | 3,320 | 1,850 | 3,090 | 1,580 | 2,890 | 1,260 |
|  |  | 7/8 | 4,630 | 2,530 | 4,410 | 2,110 | 4,110 | 1,700 | 3,840 | 1,380 |
|  |  | 1 | 5,960 | 2,680 | 5,670 | 2,260 | 5,280 | 1,840 | 4,930 | 1,470 |
| 71/2 | 1/4 | 5/8 | 2,510 | 1,510 | 2,390 | 1,400 | 2,230 | 1,270 | 2,090 | 1,140 |
|  |  | $3 / 4$ | 3,480 | 2,000 | 3,320 | 1,850 | 3,090 | 1,670 | 2,890 | 1,500 |
|  |  | 7/8 | 4,630 | 2,570 | 4,410 | 2,360 | 4,110 | 2,130 | 3,840 | 1,930 |
|  |  | 1 | 5,960 | 3,180 | 5,670 | 2,940 | 5,280 | 2,630 | 4,930 | 2,100 |
| $9^{1 / 2}$ | 1/4 | $3 / 4$ | 3,480 | 2,000 | 3,320 | 1,850 | 3,090 | 1,670 | 2,890 | 1,500 |
|  |  | 7/8 | 4,630 | 2,570 | 4,410 | 2,360 | 4,110 | 2,130 | 3,840 | 1,930 |
|  |  | 1 | 5,960 | 3,180 | 5,670 | 2,940 | 5,280 | 2,660 | 4,930 | 2,400 |
| $11^{1 / 2}$ | 1/4 | 7/8 | 4,630 | 2,570 | 4,410 | 2,360 | 4,110 | 2,130 | 3,840 | 1,930 |
|  |  | 1 | 5,960 | 3,180 | 5,670 | 2,940 | 5,280 | 2,660 | 4,930 | 2,400 |
| 131/2 | 1/4 | 1 | 5,960 | 3,180 | 5,670 | 2,940 | 5,280 | 2,660 | 4,930 | 2,400 |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A3.9 Bolt, double shear, and steel plate side member reference lateral design values $Z$


$$
z^{\prime}=z C_{D} C_{M} C_{t} C_{g} C_{\Delta} C_{r g} C_{d i} C_{t n}
$$

Metric Units (N)

| Thickness |  | Bolt <br> Dia. | Southern <br> Pine, $G=0.55)$ |  | Douglas <br> Fir-Larch (N), $G=0.49$ |  | Spruce-PineFir,$G=0.42$ |  | Eastern <br> Softwoods, $G=0.36$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Main } \\ & \text { Member } \\ & t_{m}(m m) \end{aligned}$ | Side Member $t_{s}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | D (mm) | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\perp}$ | $z_{\\|}$ | $z_{\perp}$ |
| 38.1 | 6.4 | 12.7 | 5,115 | 2,447 | 4,582 | 2,046 | 3,914 | 1,646 | 3,381 | 1,290 |
|  |  | 15.9 | 6,405 | 2,713 | 5,738 | 2,313 | 4,893 | 1,824 | 4,226 | 1,468 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 7,695 | 2,936 | 6,895 | 2,491 | 5,872 | 2,002 | 5,071 | 1,601 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 8,985 | 3,203 | 8,007 | 2,669 | 6,850 | 2,180 | 5,916 | 1,735 |
|  |  | 25. | 10,275 | 3,425 | 9,163 | 2,891 | 7,829 | 2,358 | 6,761 | 1,868 |
| 88.9 | 6.4 | 12.7 | 7,651 | 4,893 | 7,295 | 4,493 | 6,806 | 3,825 | 1 | 5 |
|  |  | 15.9 | 11,165 | 6,316 | 10,631 | 5,338 | 9,920 | 4,270 | 9,297 | 3,425 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 15,480 | 6,895 | 14,768 | 5,827 | 13,701 | 4,671 | 11,832 | 3,737 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 20,595 | 7,473 | 18,727 | 6,272 | 16,014 | 5,026 | 13,789 | 4,092 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 23,931 | 7,962 | 21,396 | 6,717 | 18,282 | 5,471 | 15,747 | 4,359 |
| 133.4 | 6.4 | 15.9 | 11,165 | 6,717 | 10,631 | 6,228 | 9,920 | 5,649 | 9,297 | 5,071 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 15,480 | 8,896 | 14,768 | 8,229 | 13,745 | 7,028 | 12,855 | 5,605 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 20,595 | 11,254 | 19,617 | 9,386 | 18,282 | 7,562 | 17,081 | 6,139 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 26,511 | 11,921 | 25,221 | 10,053 | 23,487 | 8,185 | 21,930 | 6,539 |
| 190.5 | 6.4 | 15.9 | 11,165 | 6,717 | 10,631 | 6,228 | 9,920 | 5,649 | 9,297 | 5,071 |
|  |  | 19.1 | 15,480 | 8,896 | 14,768 | 8,229 | 13,745 | 7,429 | 12,855 | 6,672 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 20,595 | 11,432 | 19,617 | 10,498 | 18,282 | 9,475 | 17,081 | 8,585 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 26,511 | 14,145 | 25,221 | 13,078 | 23,487 | 11,699 | 21,930 | 9,341 |
| 241.3 | 6.4 | 19.1 | 15,480 | 8,896 | 14,768 | 8,229 | 13,745 | 7,429 | 12,855 | 6,672 |
|  |  | 22.2 | 20,595 | 11,432 | 19,617 | 10,498 | 18,282 | 9,475 | 17,081 | 8,585 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 26,511 | 14,145 | 25,221 | 13,078 | 23,487 | 11,832 | 21,930 | 10,676 |
| 292.1 | 6.4 | 22.2 | 20,595 | 11,432 | 19,617 | 10,498 | 18,282 | 9,475 | 17,081 | 8,585 |
|  |  | 25.4 | 26,511 | 14,145 | 25,221 | 13,078 | 23,487 | 11,832 | 21,930 | 10,676 |
| 342.9 | 6.4 | 25.4 | 26,511 | 14,145 | 25,221 | 13,078 | 23,487 | 11,832 | 21,930 | 10,676 |

Source: NDS 2015
Imperial Units (lb)

## Metric Units $(\mathcal{N})$



| Side <br> Member | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bolt } \\ & \text { Dia. } \end{aligned}$ | Southern Pine,$G=0.55$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Douglas Fir-Larch }(\mathcal{N}), \\ & G=0.49 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spruce-Pine-Fir, } \\ & G=0.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Eastern Softwoods,$G=0.36$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{s}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $D(\mathrm{~mm})$ | 2 | $z_{\checkmark \perp}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $\chi_{\perp}$ | $2$ | $Z_{s \perp}$ | $z_{m \perp}$ | $Z_{\perp}$ | $2$ | $Z_{\mathrm{s} \perp}$ | $Z_{\mathrm{m} \perp}$ | $Z_{\perp}$ | $Z_{\\|}$ | $Z_{\mathrm{s} \perp}$ | $Z_{\mathrm{m} \perp}$ | $Z_{\perp}$ |
| 19.1 | 6.35 | 667 | 489 | 534 | 489 | 623 | 445 | 489 | 400 | 534 | 356 | 400 | 356 | 489 | 311 | 356 | 311 |
|  | 7.94 | 801 | 534 | 578 | 534 | 712 | 489 | 534 | 445 | 667 | 445 | 489 | 400 | 578 | 400 | 400 | 356 |
|  | 9.53 | 801 | 534 | 578 | 489 | 756 | 489 | 534 | 445 | 667 | 400 | 489 | 400 | 578 | 356 | 400 | 311 |
| 38.1 | 6.35 | 712 | 534 | 534 | 534 | 667 | 489 | 489 | 489 | 623 | 445 | 445 | 445 | 578 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
|  | 7.94 | 934 | 667 | 667 | 623 | 890 | 623 | 623 | 578 | 801 | 578 | 578 | 534 | 756 | 489 | 534 | 445 |
|  | 9.53 | 934 | 667 | 667 | 623 | 890 | 623 | 623 | 578 | 801 | 578 | 578 | 489 | 756 | 489 | 534 | 445 |
|  | 12.70 | 1,824 | 1,112 | 1,290 | 1,023 | 1,735 | 979 | 1,157 | 890 | 1,557 | 845 | 1,068 | 756 | 1,379 | 712 | 934 | 667 |
|  | 15.88 | 2,669 | 1,512 | 1,868 | 1,379 | 2,447 | 1,379 | 1,690 | 1,201 | 2,180 | 1,201 | 1,468 | 1,068 | 1,957 | 1,068 | 1,290 | 934 |
|  | 19.05 | 3,692 | 2,091 | 2,491 | 1,824 | 3,381 | 1,913 | 2,269 | 1,646 | 3,069 | 1,557 | 1,957 | 1,468 | 2,758 | 1,246 | 1,735 | 1,246 |
| 88.9 | 6.35 | 712 | 534 | 534 | 534 | 667 | 489 | 489 | 489 | 623 | 445 | 445 | 445 | 578 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
|  | 7.94 | 934 | 667 | 667 | 623 | 890 | 623 | 623 | 578 | 801 | 578 | 578 | 534 | 756 | 534 | 534 | 489 |
|  | 9.53 | 934 | 667 | 667 | 623 | 890 | 623 | 623 | 578 | 801 | 578 | 578 | 489 | 756 | 534 | 534 | 445 |
|  | 12.70 | 1,824 | 1,290 | 1,290 | 1,112 | 1,735 | 1,157 | 1,157 | 1,023 | 1,601 | 1,068 | 1,068 | 934 | 1,512 | 979 | 979 | 845 |
|  | 15.88 | 2,980 | 1,957 | 1,957 | 1,735 | 2,802 | 1,824 | 1,824 | 1,601 | 2,580 | 1,646 | 1,646 | 1,423 | 2,402 | 1,468 | 1,512 | 1,246 |
|  | 19.05 | 4,493 | 2,891 | 2,891 | 2,491 | 4,226 | 2,580 | 2,669 | 2,269 | 3,914 | 2,180 | 2,402 | 1,913 | 3,648 | 1,868 | 2,180 | 1,646 |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A3.11 Selected bent plate Simpson ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ connector reference strengths

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Connector } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Strength, lb ( $\mathcal{N}$ ) <br> Load Direction (see Figure) |  | $z^{\prime}=z C_{D} C_{M} C_{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ |  |
| A34 | 340 | 340 |  |
|  | $(1,512)$ | $(1,512)$ |  |
| A35 | 510 | 510 |  |
|  | $(2,269)$ | $(2,269)$ | $\\|\\|$ |
| LTP4 | 500 | 500 |  |
|  | $(2,224)$ | $(2,224)$ | $\\|$ |
| LTP5 | 470 | 470 |  |
|  | $(2,091)$ | $(2,091)$ | F. |
| RBC | 375 | - |  |
|  | $(1,668)$ | - | $\rightarrow$ coser |
| GAl | 200 | 200 |  |
|  | (890) | (890) | $F_{2}$ |
| A21 | 365 | 175 |  |
|  | $(1,624)$ | (778) |  |

Notes: (1.) Values are for Spruce-Pine-Fir. Somewhat higher values are available for Douglas Fir or Southern Pine. (2.) Values are for floors. Increases are available for roof and wind/seismic conditions. (3.) Values assume all nail holes are filled Source: Simpson Wood Construction Connectors Catalog 2015-2016

Table A3.12 Selected Simpson ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ joist hanger reference strengths

|  |  | $z^{\prime}=\chi C_{D} C_{M} C_{t}$ |  | Allowable Load |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Member Size | Connector Type | $l b$ | ( $\mathcal{N}$ ) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { O} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Sawn Lumber | $2 \times 4$ | HU24TF | 930 | $(4,137)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 6$ | JB26 | 815 | $(3,625)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 8$ | JB28 | 820 | $(3,648)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 10$ | JB210A | 1,190 | $(5,293)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 12$ | JB212A | 1,190 | $(5,293)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 14$ | JB214A | 1,190 | $(5,293)$ |
|  | Structural <br> Composite <br> Lumber | $13 / 4 \times 7^{1 / 4}$ | LBV1.81/7.25 | 2,060 | $(9,163)$ |
|  |  | $13 / 4 \times 91 / 4$ | LBV1.81/9.25 | 2,060 | $(9,163)$ |
|  |  | $13 / 4 \times 11^{7 / 3}$ | LBV1.81/11.88 | 2,060 | $(9,163)$ |
|  |  | $13 / 4 \times 14$ | LBV1.81/14 | 2,060 | $(9,163)$ |
|  |  | $1{ }^{3 / 4} \times 16$ | LBV1.81/16 | 2,060 | $(9,163)$ |
|  | I-Joist | $2 \times 91 / 2$ | ITS2.06/9.5 | 1,150 | $(5,115)$ |
|  |  | $1^{3 / 4} \times 11^{7 / 8}$ | IUS1.81/11.88 | 1,185 | $(5,271)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 11^{7 / 8}$ | ITS2.06/11.88 | 1,150 | $(5,115)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 14$ | ITS2.06/14 | 1,150 | $(5,115)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 16$ | ITS2.06/16 | 1,150 | $(5,115)$ |
|  | Sawn Lumber | $2 \times 4$ | LU24 | 475 | $(2,113)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 6$ | LU26 | 740 | $(3,292)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 8$ | LU28 | 950 | $(4,226)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 10$ | LU210 | 1,190 | $(5,293)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 12$ | HU212 | 1,280 | $(5,694)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 14$ | HU214 | 1,540 | $(6,850)$ |
|  | Structural <br> Composite <br> Lumber | $1^{3 / 4} \times 5^{1 / 2}$ | HU1.81/5 | 2,050 | (9,119) |
|  |  | $13 / 4 \times 7^{1 / 4}$ | HU7 | 2,050 | $(9,119)$ |
|  |  | $13 / 4 \times 9^{1 / 2}$ | HU9 | 3,075 | $(13,678)$ |
|  |  | $13 / 4 \times 11^{1 / 4}$ | HU11 | 3,845 | $(17,103)$ |
|  |  | $13 / 4 \times 14$ | H14 | 4,615 | $(20,529)$ |
|  | I-Joist | $2 \times 9^{1 / 2}$ | IUS2.06/9.5 | 815 | $(3,625)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 11^{7 / 8}$ | IUS2.06/11.88 | 1,020 | $(4,537)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 14$ | IUS2.06/14 | 1,425 | $(6,339)$ |
|  |  | $2 \times 16$ | IUS2.06/16 | 1,630 | $(7,251)$ |

Notes: (1.) Values are for Spruce-Pine-Fir. Higher values are available for Douglas Fir or Southern Pine. (2.) Values are for floors. Increases are available for snow and roof conditions. (3.) Values assume all nail holes are filled Source: Simpson Wood Construction Connectors Catalog 2015-2016

Table A3.13 Selected Simpson ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ hold down and strap reference strengths

|  |  |  |  |  | $z^{\prime}=z C_{D} C_{M} C_{t}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Connector Type | Anchor Diameter |  | Min Wood Thickness |  | Allowable Load |  |
|  | in | (mm) | in | (mm) | lb | ( $\mathcal{N}$ ) |
| DTT2Z | 1/2 | (13) | 1.50 | (38) | 1,800 | $(8,007)$ |
|  | 1/2 | (13) | 3.00 | (76) | 1,835 | $(8,162)$ |
| HDU2-SDS2.5 | 5/8 | (16) | 3.00 | (76) | 2,215 | $(9,853)$ |
| HDU4-SDS2.5 | 5/8 | (16) | 3.00 | (76) | 3,285 | $(14,612)$ |
| HDU5-SDS2.5 | 5/8 | (16) | 3.00 | (76) | 4,065 | $(18,082)$ |
| HDU8-SDS2.5 | 7/8 | (22) | 4.50 | (114) | 4,870 | $(21,663)$ |
| HDU11-SDS2.5 | 1 | (25) | 5.50 | (140) | 6,865 | $(30,537)$ |
| HDU14-SDS2.5 | 1 | (25) | 7.25 | (184) | 10,435 | $(46,417)$ |
| LTT19 | 1/2 | (13) | 3.00 | (76) | 1,150 | $(5,115)$ |
| LTT20B | 1/2 | (13) | 3.00 | (76) | 1,290 | $(5,738)$ |
| HTT4 | 5/8 | (16) | 3.00 | (76) | 3,640 | $(16,192)$ |

Notes: (1.) Values are for Spruce-Pine-Fir. Higher values are available for Douglas Fir or Southern Pine. (2.) Values are for wind and seismic applications.
(3.) Values assume all nail holes are filled

Source: Simpson Wood Construction Connectors Catalog 2015-2016

Table A3.14 Selected Simpson ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ strap reference strengths

|  | Width |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: (1.) Values are for Spruce-Pine-Fir. Higher values are available for Douglas Fir or Southern Pine. (2.) Values are for wind and seismic applications. (3.) Values assume all nail holes are filled
Source: Simpson Wood Construction Connectors Catalog 2015-2016

# Adjustment Factors 

Appendix 4

Table A4.1 Load duration adjustment factors $C_{D}$

| Load Duration | $C_{D}$ | Load Type |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permanent | 0.9 | Dead |
| 10 years | 1.0 | Occupancy live |
| 2 months | 1.15 | Snow |
| 7 days | 1.25 | Construction |
| 10 minutes | 1.60 | Wind \& earthquake |
| Impact | 2.0 | Impact |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.2 Wood wet service adjustment factor $C_{M}$

| Visually Graded Sazen Lumber |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $F_{b}$ | $F_{t}$ | $F_{v}$ | $F_{c \perp}$ | $F_{c}$ | $E, E_{\text {min }}$ |
| 0.85 | 1.0 | 0.97 | 0.67 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Visually Graded Timbers |  |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{b}$ | $F_{t}$ | $F_{v}$ | $F_{c \perp}$ | $F_{c}$ | $E, E_{\text {min }}$ |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 0.91 | 1.00 |
| Glue Laminated Timbers |  |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{b}$ | $F_{t}$ | $F_{v}$ | $F_{c \perp}$ | $F_{c}$ | $E, E_{\text {min }}$ |
| 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.875 | 0.53 | 0.73 | 0.833 |
| Structural Composite Lumber |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCL is typically used in the dry condition $\left(C_{M}=1.0\right)$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consult the manufacturer if to be used wet |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.3 Fastener wet service adjustment factor $C_{M}$

| Fastener Type | Moisture Content |  | $C_{M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | At Fabrication | In-Service |  |
| Lateral Loads |  |  |  |
| Dowel-type fasteners <br> (bolts, lag screws, nails) | $\leq 19 \%$ | $\leq 19 \%$ | 1.0 |
|  | $>19 \%$ | $\leq 19 \%$ | 0.4 |
|  | Any | $>19 \%$ | 0.7 |
| Withdrawal Loads |  | $<19 \%$ |  |
| Lag screws \& wood screws | Any | $>19 \%$ | 1.0 |
| Nails \& spikes | Any | $\leq 19 \%$ | 0.7 |
|  | $\leq 19 \%$ | $\leq 19 \%$ | 1.0 |
|  | $>19 \%$ | $>19 \%$ | 0.25 |
|  | $\leq 19 \%$ | $>19 \%$ | 0.25 |

Notes: (1.) $C_{M}=0.7$ for dowel-type fasteners with diameter $D$ less than $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$.
(2.) $C_{M}=1.0$ for dowel-type fastener connections with: one fastener only, or two or more fasteners placed in a single row parallel to grain

Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.4 Temperature adjustment factors $C_{t}$

| Reference <br> Values | Moisture <br> Condition | $C_{t}$ |  |  |  | $T \leq 100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ <br> $T \leq 38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}<T \leq 125^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ <br> $38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}<T \leq 52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $125^{\circ} \mathrm{F}<T \leq 150^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ <br> $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}<T \leq 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Timber |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{v}, E, \mathrm{E}_{\text {min }}$ | Wet or dry | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{b}, F_{v}, F_{c}, F_{c}$ | Dry | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wet | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Connections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dry | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wet | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.5 Size adjustment factor $C_{F}$

| Grades | Depth |  |  | $C_{F}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | in | $(m m)$ | $F_{b}$ | $F_{t}$ | $F_{c}$ |
| Visually Graded, Sawn Lumber |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select <br> structural <br> No. 1 \& Btr <br> No. 1, <br> No. 2, <br> No. 3 | 4 | 5 | $(102)$ | 1.5 | 1.5 |

Table A4.6 Glued laminated volume adjustment factor $C_{V}$

| Imperial |  | Metric |  | Length, ft (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b <br> (in) | d <br> (in) | $b$ <br> ( mm ) | $d$ ( mm ) | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & (3.05) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & (4.58) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & (6.10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & (7.62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & (9.15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & (10.67) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & (12.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & (15.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & (18.29) \end{aligned}$ |
| $5^{1 / 2}$ | 12 | (140) | (305) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.976 | 0.958 | 0.944 | 0.931 | 0.910 | 0.894 |
|  | 16 |  | (406) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.948 | 0.931 | 0.917 | 0.905 | 0.885 | 0.869 |
|  | 20 |  | (508) | 1.00 | 0.976 | 0.948 | 0.927 | 0.910 | 0.897 | 0.885 | 0.865 | 0.849 |
|  | 24 |  | (610) | 1.00 | 0.958 | 0.931 | 0.910 | 0.894 | 0.880 | 0.869 | 0.849 | 0.834 |
|  | 28 |  | (711) | 0.983 | 0.944 | 0.917 | 0.897 | 0.880 | 0.867 | 0.855 | 0.836 | 0.821 |
|  | 32 |  | (813) | 0.970 | 0.931 | 0.905 | 0.885 | 0.869 | 0.855 | 0.844 | 0.825 | 0.810 |
|  | 36 |  | (914) | 0.958 | 0.920 | 0.894 | 0.874 | 0.858 | 0.845 | 0.834 | 0.816 | 0.801 |
| $6^{3 / 4}$ | 18 | (171) | (457) | 1.00 | 0.966 | 0.939 | 0.918 | 0.901 | 0.888 | 0.876 | 0.857 | 0.841 |
|  | 24 |  | (610) | 0.978 | 0.939 | 0.912 | 0.892 | 0.876 | 0.862 | 0.851 | 0.832 | 0.817 |
|  | 30 |  | (762) | 0.956 | 0.918 | 0.892 | 0.872 | 0.857 | 0.843 | 0.832 | 0.814 | 0.799 |
|  | 36 |  | (914) | 0.939 | 0.901 | 0.876 | 0.857 | 0.841 | 0.828 | 0.817 | 0.799 | 0.785 |
|  | 42 |  | $(1,067)$ | 0.924 | 0.888 | 0.862 | 0.843 | 0.828 | 0.816 | 0.805 | 0.787 | 0.773 |
|  | 48 |  | $(1,219)$ | 0.912 | 0.876 | 0.851 | 0.832 | 0.817 | 0.805 | 0.794 | 0.777 | 0.762 |
|  | 54 |  | $(1,372)$ | 0.901 | 0.866 | 0.841 | 0.823 | 0.808 | 0.795 | 0.785 | 0.767 | 0.754 |
|  | 60 |  | $(1,524)$ | 0.892 | 0.857 | 0.832 | 0.814 | 0.799 | 0.787 | 0.777 | 0.759 | 0.746 |


| Imp |  | Metr |  | Length, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b (in) | d <br> (in) | $\begin{aligned} & b \\ & (m m) \end{aligned}$ | d $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & (3.05) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & (4.58) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & (6.10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & (7.62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & (9.15) \end{aligned}$ | 35 (10.67) | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & (12.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & (15.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & (18.29) \end{aligned}$ |
| $83 / 4$ | 24 | (222) | (610) | 0.953 | 0.915 | 0.889 | 0.869 | 0.853 | 0.840 | 0.829 | 0.811 | 0.796 |
|  | 30 |  | (762) | 0.932 | 0.895 | 0.869 | 0.850 | 0.835 | 0.822 | 0.811 | 0.793 | 0.779 |
|  | 36 |  | (914) | 0.915 | 0.878 | 0.853 | 0.835 | 0.820 | 0.807 | 0.796 | 0.779 | 0.765 |
|  | 42 |  | $(1,067)$ | 0.901 | 0.865 | 0.840 | 0.822 | 0.807 | 0.795 | 0.784 | 0.767 | 0.753 |
|  | 48 |  | $(1,219)$ | 0.889 | 0.853 | 0.829 | 0.811 | 0.796 | 0.784 | 0.774 | 0.757 | 0.743 |
|  | 54 |  | $(1,372)$ | 0.878 | 0.843 | 0.820 | 0.801 | 0.787 | 0.775 | 0.765 | 0.748 | 0.734 |
|  | 60 |  | $(1,524)$ | 0.869 | 0.835 | 0.811 | 0.793 | 0.779 | 0.767 | 0.757 | 0.740 | 0.727 |
| $10^{3 / 4}$ | 30 | (273) | (762) | 0.913 | 0.876 | 0.851 | 0.833 | 0.818 | 0.805 | 0.794 | 0.777 | 0.763 |
|  | 36 |  | (914) | 0.896 | 0.860 | 0.836 | 0.818 | 0.803 | 0.791 | 0.780 | 0.763 | 0.749 |
|  | 42 |  | $(1,067)$ | 0.882 | 0.847 | 0.823 | 0.805 | 0.791 | 0.778 | 0.768 | 0.751 | 0.738 |
|  | 48 |  | $(1,219)$ | 0.871 | 0.836 | 0.812 | 0.794 | 0.780 | 0.768 | 0.758 | 0.741 | 0.728 |
|  | 54 |  | $(1,372)$ | 0.860 | 0.826 | 0.803 | 0.785 | 0.771 | 0.759 | 0.749 | 0.733 | 0.719 |
|  | 60 |  | $(1,524)$ | 0.851 | 0.818 | 0.794 | 0.777 | 0.763 | 0.751 | 0.741 | 0.725 | 0.712 |

[^9]Table A4.7 Structural composite Lumber (SCL) volumne adjustment factor $\boldsymbol{C}_{V}$

| Material | Member Depth, in (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & (89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \\ & (140) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.25 \\ & (184) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.25 \\ & (235) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.50 \\ & (241) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.88 \\ & (302) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \\ & (356) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0 \\ & (406) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \\ & (457) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.0 \\ & (508) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.0 \\ & (610) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.0 \\ & (1,219) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.0 \\ & (1372) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $C_{v}$ Adjustment Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LVL | 1.18 | 1.11 | 1.07 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.83 | - |
| PSL | 1.15 | 1.09 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.86 | 0.85 |
| LSL | 1.12 | 1.07 | 1.05 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.88 | - |

Source: ICC ESR-1387

## Table A4.8 Flat use adjustment factor $C_{f u}$



## Sawn Lumber

| Depth | Thickness |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in (mm) | 2 \& 3 in ( 51 \& 76 mm ) | 4 in (102 mm) |
| 3 (77) | 1.0 | - |
| 4 (102) | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| 5 (127) | 1.1 | 1.05 |
| 6 (153) | 1.15 | 1.05 |
| 8 (204) | 1.15 | 1.05 |
| 10+ (254+) | 1.2 | 1.1 |

## Structural Composite Lumber

See reference values listed in actual ICC-ESR report
Visually Graded Timbers $5 \times 5$

| Grade | $F_{b}$ | $E$ and $E_{\text {min }}$ | Other Properties |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Select Structural | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| No. 1 | 0.74 | 0.90 | 1.00 |
| No. 2 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Gluelaminated Timbers

| Width |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| in | $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| $2^{1 / 2}$ | $(64)$ |
| $3^{1 / 3}$ | $(80)$ |
| $5^{1 / 2}$ | $(140)$ |
| $6^{3 / 4}$ | $(172)$ |
| $8^{3 / 4}$ | $(223)$ |
| $10^{3 / 4}$ | $(274)$ |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.9 Curvature adjustment factor $C_{c}$

| Radius of Curvature |  | Lamination Thickness, in (mm) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| in | $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $0.75(19)$ | $1(25)$ | $1.5(38)$ |
| 80 | 2,032 | 0.872 |  |  |
| 120 | 3,048 | 0.922 | 0.872 |  |
| 150 | 3,810 | 0.950 | 0.911 | 0.872 |
| 200 | 5,080 | 0.972 | 0.950 | 0.888 |
| 250 | 6,350 | 0.982 | 0.968 | 0.928 |
| 300 | 7,620 | 0.988 | 0.978 | 0.950 |
| 400 | 10,160 | 0.993 | 0.988 | 0.972 |
| 500 | 12,700 | 0.996 | 0.992 | 0.982 |
| 750 | 19,050 | 0.998 | 0.996 | 0.992 |
| 1,000 | 25,400 | 0.999 | 0.998 | 0.996 |
| 1,500 | 38,100 | 1.000 | 0.999 | 0.998 |
| 2,000 | 50,800 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.999 |
| $>3,000$ | $>76,200$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

## Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.10 Incising adjustment factor $C_{i}$

| Design Value | $C_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $E, E_{\text {min }}$ | 0.95 |
| $F_{b}, F_{b}, F_{c}, F_{v}$ | 0.80 |
| $F_{c \perp}$ | 1.00 |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.11 Bearing area adjustment factor $C_{b}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $l b$, in (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & (12.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & (25.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & (38.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & (50.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & (76.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & (102) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 6 \\ & (\geq 150) \end{aligned}$ |
| $C_{b}$ | 1.75 | 1.38 | 1.25 | 1.19 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.00 |

Note: For all material types
Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.12 Group action factors $C_{g}$ for bolt or lag screws


Wood Main \& Side Members

| 0.5 | 5 | (127) | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.84 | 0.75 | 0.68 | 0.61 | 0.55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 | (305) | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.70 |
|  | 20 | (508) | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.78 |
|  | 40 | $(1,016)$ | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.87 |
| 1 | 5 | (127) | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 0.85 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 0.64 |
|  | 12 | (305) | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.79 |
|  | 20 | (508) | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.86 |
|  | 40 | $(1,016)$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.92 |

Steel Side \& Wood Main Members

| 12 | 5 | (127) | 0.97 | 0.89 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.62 | 0.55 | 0.49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | (204) | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.85 | 0.77 | 0.70 | 0.63 | 0.57 |
|  | 16 | (407) | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.69 |
|  | 24 | (610) | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.76 |
|  | 40 | $(1,016)$ | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.83 |
|  | 64 | $(1,626)$ | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.88 |
|  | 120 | $(3,048)$ | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.93 |
|  | 200 | $(5,080)$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.96 |

Notes: Factors are conservative for $D<1$ in (25 mm), $s<4$ in (100 mm), $E_{\text {wood }}>$ $1,400,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(9,652,700 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right), E_{\text {steel }}>29,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\left(199,948,000 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, or $A_{m} / A_{s}>12$
Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.13 End distance-based geometry adjustment factor $C_{\Delta}$

| END DISTANCE <br> \|| TO END GRAIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diamet | D | $C_{\Delta}$ |  | $C_{\Delta}$ |  | $C_{\Delta}$ |  | $C_{\Delta}$ |  | $C_{\Delta}$ |  |
| (in) | (mm) | 2.0 D |  | 2.5 D |  | 3.0D |  | 3.5 D |  | 4.0 D |  |
| End Distance, in (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2D to 4D-End distances for varying diameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.250 | (6.4) | 0.50 | (12.7) | 0.63 | (15.9) | 0.75 | (19.1) | 0.88 | (22.2) | 1.00 | (25.4) |
| 0.375 | (9.5) | 0.75 | (19.1) | 0.94 | (23.8) | 1.13 | (28.6) | 1.31 | (33.3) | 1.50 | (38.1) |
| 0.500 | (12.7) | 1.00 | (25.4) | 1.25 | (31.8) | 1.50 | (38.1) | 1.75 | (44.5) | 2.00 | (50.8) |
| 0.625 | (15.9) | 1.25 | (31.8) | 1.56 | (39.7) | 1.88 | (47.6) | 2.19 | (55.6) | 2.50 | (63.5) |
| 0.750 | (19.1) | 1.50 | (38.1) | 1.88 | (47.6) | 2.25 | (57.2) | 2.63 | (66.7) | 3.00 | (76.2) |
| 3.5 D to 7D-End distances for varying diameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.250 | (6.4) | 0.88 | (22.2) | 1.00 | (25.4) | 1.25 | (31.8) | 1.50 | (38.1) | 1.75 | (44.5) |
| 0.375 | (9.5) | 1.31 | (33.3) | 1.50 | (38.1) | 1.88 | (47.6) | 2.25 | (57.2) | 2.63 | (66.7) |
| 0.500 | (12.7) | 1.75 | (44.5) | 2.00 | (50.8) | 2.50 | (63.5) | 3.00 | (76.2) | 3.50 | (88.9) |
| 0.625 | (15.9) | 2.19 | (55.6) | 2.50 | (63.5) | 3.13 | (79.4) | 3.75 | (95.3) | 4.38 | (111.1) |
| 0.750 | (19.1) | 2.63 | (66.7) | 3.00 | (76.2) | 3.75 | (95.3) | 4.50 | (114.3) | 5.25 | (133.4) |

Table A4.14 Spacing based geometry adjustment factor $C_{\Delta}$


| Diameter $D$ |  | $C_{\Delta}$ | $C_{\Delta}$ | $C_{\Delta}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (in) | $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $3.0 D$ | $3.5 D$ | 4.0 D |


| Spacing S Between Bolts in a Row, in (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3D-4D-Spacings for varying diameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 / 4$ | (6.4) | 0.75 | (19.1) | 0.88 | (22.2) | 1.00 | (25.4) |
| $3 / 8$ | (9.5) | 1.13 | (28.6) | 1.31 | (33.3) | 1.50 | (38.1) |
| 1/2 | (12.7) | 1.50 | (38.1) | 1.75 | (44.5) | 2.00 | (50.8) |
| 5/8 | (15.9) | 1.88 | (47.6) | 2.19 | (55.6) | 2.50 | (63.5) |
| $3 / 4$ | (19.1) | 2.25 | (57.2) | 2.63 | (66.7) | 3.00 | (76.2) |
| 7/8 | (22.2) | 2.63 | (66.7) | 3.06 | (77.8) | 3.50 | (88.9) |
| 1 | (25.4) | 3.00 | (76.2) | 3.50 | (88.9) | 4.00 | (101.6) |

Table A4.15 Endgrain adjustment factor $C_{e g}$

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Action |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lag Screw | Wood Screw | Nail \& Spike |
|  | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 |  |
| Withdrawal | 0.75 | 0 | 0 |  |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.16 Toe-nail adjustment factor $C_{t n}$

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Action | $C_{t n}$ |
| Lateral | 0.83 |
| Withdrawal | 0.67 |

Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.17 Format conversion factor $K_{F}$

| Application | Property | $K_{F}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Member | $F_{b}$ | 2.54 |
|  | $F_{t}$ | 2.70 |
|  | $F_{v}, F_{r}, F_{s}$ | 2.88 |
|  | $F_{c}$ | 2.40 |
|  | $F_{c \perp}$ | 1.67 |
|  | $E_{\min }$ | 1.76 |
| Connections | All | 3.32 |

Note: For LRFD only
Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.18 Resistance factor $\phi$

| Application | Property | Symbol | Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Member | $F_{b}$ | $\phi_{b}$ | 0.85 |
|  | $F_{t}$ | $\phi_{t}$ | 0.80 |
|  | $F_{v}, F_{r t}, F_{s}$ | $\phi_{v}$ | 0.75 |
|  | $F_{c}, F_{c \perp}$ | $\phi_{c}$ | 0.90 |
|  | $E_{\text {min }}$ | $\phi_{s}$ | 0.85 |
| Connections | All | $\phi_{z}$ | 0.65 |

Note: For LRFD only
Source: NDS 2015

Table A4.19 Time effect factor $\lambda$

| Load Combination | $\lambda$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.4 D | 0.6 |
| $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{~S}$ | 0.7 when L is from storage <br> 0.8 when L is from occupancy <br> 1.25 when L is from impact |
| $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{L}$ | 0.8 |
| $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{L}+0.5 \mathrm{~S}$ | 1.0 |
| $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{L}+0.2 \mathrm{~S}$ | 1.0 |
| $0.9 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{~W}$ | 1.0 |
| $0.9 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{E}$ | 1.0 |

Note: For LRFD only
Source: NDS 2015

# Simple Design Aids 

Appendix 5

Table A5.1 Joist span table, normal duration loading, L/360 LL Defl limit

| 10psf Dead + 30psf Live |  |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 8$ |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 10$ |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 12$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species E <br> Grade |  | Spacing |  | Span |  |  | Span |  |  | Span |  |  |
|  |  | in | (mm) | $f t$ | in | (m) | $f t$ | in | (m) | $f t$ | in | (m) |
| HemFir | SS | 12 | (300) | 15.5 | 156 | (4.7) | 19.84 | 1910 | (6.0) | 24.12 | 241 | (7.4) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 14.1 | 141 | (4.3) | 18.02 | 180 | (5.5) | 21.92 | 2111 | (6.7) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 13.3 | 133 | (4.1) | 16.96 | 1611 | (5.2) | 20.63 | 207 | (6.3) |
|  | \#1 | 12 | (300) | 15.2 | 152 | (4.6) | 19.41 | 194 | (5.9) | 23.61 | 237 | (7.2) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 13.6 | 136 | (4.1) | 17.31 | 173 | (5.3) | 21.06 | 210 | (6.4) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 12.4 | 124 | (3.8) | 15.81 | 159 | (4.8) | 19.22 | 192 | (5.9) |
|  | \#2 | 12 | (300) | 14.6 | 147 | (4.5) | 18.67 | 188 | (5.7) | 22.70 | 228 | (6.9) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 12.7 | 128 | (3.9) | 16.17 | 162 | (4.9) | 19.66 | 197 | (6.0) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 11.6 | 116 | (3.5) | 14.76 | 149 | (4.5) | 17.95 | 1711 | (5.5) |
| S-P-F | SS | 12 | (300) | 15.2 | 152 | (4.6) | 19.41 | 194 | (5.9) | 23.61 | 237 | (7.2) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 13.8 | 139 | (4.2) | 17.64 | 177 | (5.4) | 21.45 | 215 | (6.5) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 13.0 | 130 | (4.0) | 16.60 | 167 | (5.1) | 20.19 | 202 | (6.2) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#1/ } \\ & \text { \#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 12 | (300) | 14.8 | 1410 | (4.5) | 18.94 | 1811 | (5.8) | 23.04 | 230 | (7.0) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 12.9 | 1210 | (3.9) | 16.40 | 164 | (5.0) | 19.95 | 1911 | (6.1) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 11.7 | 118 | (3.6) | 14.97 | 1411 | (4.6) | 18.21 | 182 | (5.6) |
|  | \#3 | 12 | (300) | 11.2 | 112 | (3.4) | 14.32 | 143 | (4.4) | 17.41 | 174 | (5.3) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 9.7 | 98 | (3.0) | 12.40 | 124 | (3.8) | 15.08 | 150 | (4.6) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 8.9 | 810 | (2.7) | 11.32 | 113 | (3.4) | 13.77 | 139 | (4.2) |
| So. <br> Pine | SS | 12 | (300) | 16.2 | 162 | (4.9) | 20.63 | 207 | (6.3) | 25.09 | 251 | (7.6) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 14.7 | 148 | (4.5) | 18.74 | 188 | (5.7) | 22.80 | 229 | (6.9) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 13.8 | 139 | (4.2) | 17.64 | 177 | (5.4) | 21.45 | 215 | (6.5) |
|  | \#1 | 12 | (300) | 15.5 | 156 | (4.7) | 19.84 | 1910 | (6.0) | 24.12 | 241 | (7.4) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 14.1 | 141 | (4.3) | 18.02 | 180 | (5.5) | 21.92 | 2111 | (6.7) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 13.3 | 133 | (4.1) | 16.96 | 1611 | (5.2) | 20.63 | 207 | (6.3) |
|  | \#2 | 12 | (300) | 14.9 | 1410 | (4.5) | 18.97 | 1811 | (5.8) | 23.07 | 230 | (7.0) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 13.2 | 132 | (4.0) | 16.87 | 1610 | (5.1) | 20.51 | 206 | (6.3) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 12.1 | 120 | (3.7) | 15.40 | 154 | (4.7) | 18.72 | 188 | (5.7) |


| 10psf Dead + 40psf Live |  |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 8$ |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 10$ |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 12$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species $\mathbb{E}^{2}$ <br> Grade |  | Spacing |  | Span |  |  | Span |  |  | Span |  |  |
|  |  | in | (mm) | ft | in | (m) | $f t$ | in | (m) | ft | in | (m) |
| HemFir | SS | 12 | (300) | 14.1 | 141 | (4.3) | 18.02 | 180 | (5.5) | 21.92 | 2111 | (6.7) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 12.8 | 1210 | (3.9) | 16.37 | 164 | (5.0) | 19.91 | 1910 | (6.1) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 12.1 | 120 | (3.7) | 15.41 | 154 | (4.7) | 18.74 | 188 | (5.7) |
|  | \#1 | 12 | (300) | 13.8 | 139 | (4.2) | 17.64 | 177 | (5.4) | 21.45 | 215 | (6.5) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 12.1 | 121 | (3.7) | 15.49 | 155 | (4.7) | 18.84 | 1810 | (5.7) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 11.1 | 110 | (3.4) | 14.14 | 141 | (4.3) | 17.19 | 172 | (5.2) |
|  | \#2 | 12 | (300) | 13.1 | 131 | (4.0) | 16.70 | 168 | (5.1) | 20.31 | 203 | (6.2) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 11.3 | 114 | (3.5) | 14.46 | 145 | (4.4) | 17.59 | 177 | (5.4) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 10.3 | 104 | (3.2) | 13.20 | 132 | (4.0) | 16.05 | 160 | (4.9) |
| S-P-F | SS | 12 | (300) | 13.8 | 139 | (4.2) | 17.64 | 177 | (5.4) | 21.45 | 215 | (6.5) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 12.6 | 126 | (3.8) | 16.03 | 160 | (4.9) | 19.49 | 195 | (5.9) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 11.8 | 119 | (3.6) | 15.08 | 150 | (4.6) | 18.34 | 184 | (5.6) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \# 1 / \\ & \# 2 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | (300) | 13.3 | 133 | (4.0) | 16.94 | 1611 | (5.2) | 20.60 | 207 | (6.3) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 11.5 | 115 | (3.5) | 14.67 | 148 | (4.5) | 17.84 | 1710 | (5.4) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 10.5 | 105 | (3.2) | 13.39 | 134 | (4.1) | 16.29 | 163 | (5.0) |
|  | \#3 | 12 | (300) | 10.0 | 100 | (3.1) | 12.81 | 129 | (3.9) | 15.57 | 156 | (4.7) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 8.7 | 88 | (2.6) | 11.09 | 111 | (3.4) | 13.49 | 135 | (4.1) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 7.9 | 711 | (2.4) | 10.12 | 101 | (3.1) | 12.31 | 123 | (3.8) |
| So. <br> Pine | SS | 12 | (300) | 14.7 | 148 | (4.5) | 18.74 | 188 | (5.7) | 22.80 | 229 | (6.9) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 13.3 | 134 | (4.1) | 17.03 | 170 | (5.2) | 20.71 | 208 | (6.3) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 12.6 | 126 | (3.8) | 16.03 | 160 | (4.9) | 19.49 | 195 | (5.9) |
|  | \#1 | 12 | (300) | 14.1 | 141 | (4.3) | 18.02 | 180 | (5.5) | 21.92 | 2111 | (6.7) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 12.8 | 1210 | (3.9) | 16.37 | 164 | (5.0) | 19.91 | 1910 | (6.1) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 12.1 | 120 | (3.7) | 15.41 | 154 | (4.7) | 18.74 | 188 | (5.7) |
|  | \#2 | 12 | (300) | 13.5 | 136 | (4.1) | 17.24 | 172 | (5.3) | 20.96 | 2011 | (6.4) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 11.8 | 119 | (3.6) | 15.08 | 151 | (4.6) | 18.35 | 184 | (5.6) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 10.8 | 109 | (3.3) | 13.77 | 139 | (4.2) | 16.75 | 168 | (5.1) |

Table A5.1 continued

| 10psf Dead + 50psf Live |  |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 8$ |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 10$ |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 12$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species E® <br> Grade |  | Spacing |  | Span |  |  | Span |  |  | Span |  |  |
|  |  | in | (mm) | $f t$ | in | (m) | $f t$ | in | (m) | $f t$ | in | (m) |
| HemFir | SS | 12 | (300) | 13.1 | 131 | (4.0) | 16.73 | 168 | (5.1) | 20.35 | 204 | (6.2) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 11.9 | 1110 | (3.6) | 15.20 | 152 | (4.6) | 18.49 | 185 | (5.6) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 11.2 | 112 | (3.4) | 14.30 | 143 | (4.4) | 17.40 | 174 | (5.3) |
|  | \#1 | 12 | (300) | 12.8 | 129 | (3.9) | 16.32 | 163 | (5.0) | 19.85 | 1910 | (6.1) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 11.1 | 110 | (3.4) | 14.14 | 141 | (4.3) | 17.19 | 172 | (5.2) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 10.1 | 101 | (3.1) | 12.91 | 1210 | (3.9) | 15.70 | 158 | (4.8) |
|  | \#2 | 12 | (300) | 11.9 | 1111 | (3.6) | 15.24 | 152 | (4.6) | 18.54 | 186 | (5.7) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 10.3 | 104 | (3.2) | 13.20 | 132 | (4.0) | 16.05 | 160 | (4.9) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 9.4 | 95 | (2.9) | 12.05 | 120 | (3.7) | 14.66 | 147 | (4.5) |
| S-P-F | SS | 12 | (300) | 12.8 | 1210 | (3.9) | 16.37 | 164 | (5.0) | 19.91 | 1910 | (6.1) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 11.7 | 117 | (3.6) | 14.88 | 1410 | (4.5) | 18.09 | 181 | (5.5) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 11.0 | 1011 | (3.3) | 14.00 | 1311 | (4.3) | 17.03 | 170 | (5.2) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#1/ } \\ & \text { \#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 12 | (300) | 12.1 | 121 | (3.7) | 15.46 | 155 | (4.7) | 18.81 | 189 | (5.7) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 10.5 | 105 | (3.2) | 13.39 | 134 | (4.1) | 16.29 | 163 | (5.0) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 9.6 | 96 | (2.9) | 12.23 | 122 | (3.7) | 14.87 | 1410 | (4.5) |
|  | \#3 | 12 | (300) | 9.2 | 91 | (2.8) | 11.69 | 118 | (3.6) | 14.22 | 142 | (4.3) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 7.9 | 711 | (2.4) | 10.12 | 101 | (3.1) | 12.31 | 123 | (3.8) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 7.2 | 72 | (2.2) | 9.24 | 92 | (2.8) | 11.24 | 112 | (3.4) |
| So. <br> Pine | SS | 12 | (300) | 13.6 | 137 | (4.2) | 17.40 | 174 | (5.3) | 21.16 | 211 | (6.4) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 12.4 | 124 | (3.8) | 15.81 | 159 | (4.8) | 19.23 | 192 | (5.9) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 11.7 | 117 | (3.6) | 14.88 | 1410 | (4.5) | 18.09 | 181 | (5.5) |
|  | \#1 | 12 | (300) | 13.1 | 131 | (4.0) | 16.73 | 168 | (5.1) | 20.35 | 204 | (6.2) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 11.9 | 1110 | (3.6) | 15.20 | 152 | (4.6) | 18.49 | 185 | (5.6) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 11.2 | 112 | (3.4) | 14.30 | 143 | (4.4) | 17.40 | 174 | (5.3) |
|  | \#2 | 12 | (300) | 12.5 | 125 | (3.8) | 15.90 | 1510 | (4.8) | 19.34 | 194 | (5.9) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 10.8 | 109 | (3.3) | 13.77 | 139 | (4.2) | 16.75 | 168 | (5.1) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 9.9 | 910 | (3.0) | 12.57 | 126 | (3.8) | 15.29 | 153 | (4.7) |


| 15psf Dead + 100psf Live |  |  |  | Joist size $2 \times 8$ |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 10$ |  |  | Foist size $2 \times 12$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species $\mathcal{E}$ <br> Grade |  | Spacing |  | Span |  |  | Span |  |  | Span |  |  |
|  |  | in | (mm) | $f t$ | in | (m) | $f t$ | in | (m) | $f t$ | in | (m) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hem } \\ & \text {-Fir } \end{aligned}$ | SS | 12 | (300) | 10.4 | 104 | (3.2) | 13.28 | 133 | (4.0) | 16.15 | 161 | (4.9) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 9.5 | 95 | (2.9) | 12.06 | 120 | (3.7) | 14.67 | 148 | (4.5) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 8.8 | 89 | (2.7) | 11.17 | 112 | (3.4) | 13.59 | 137 | (4.1) |
|  | \#1 | 12 | (300) | 9.2 | 92 | (2.8) | 11.79 | 119 | (3.6) | 14.34 | 144 | (4.4) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 8.0 | 80 | (2.4) | 10.21 | 102 | (3.1) | 12.42 | 125 | (3.8) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 7.3 | 73 | (2.2) | 9.32 | 93 | (2.8) | 11.34 | 114 | (3.5) |
|  | \#2 | 12 | (300) | 8.6 | 87 | (2.6) | 11.01 | 110 | (3.4) | 13.39 | 134 | (4.1) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 7.5 | 75 | (2.3) | 9.53 | 96 | (2.9) | 11.60 | 117 | (3.5) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 6.8 | 69 | (2.1) | 8.70 | 88 | (2.7) | 10.59 | 107 | (3.2) |
| S-P-F | SS | 12 | (300) | 10.2 | 102 | (3.1) | 13.00 | 1211 | (4.0) | 15.81 | 159 | (4.8) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 9.1 | 90 | (2.8) | 11.56 | 116 | (3.5) | 14.06 | 140 | (4.3) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 8.3 | 83 | (2.5) | 10.56 | 106 | (3.2) | 12.84 | 1210 | (3.9) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \# 1 / \\ & \# 2 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | (300) | 8.8 | 89 | (2.7) | 11.17 | 112 | (3.4) | 13.59 | 137 | (4.1) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 7.6 | 76 | (2.3) | 9.67 | 98 | (2.9) | 11.77 | 119 | (3.6) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 6.9 | 611 | (2.1) | 8.83 | 89 | (2.7) | 10.74 | 108 | (3.3) |
|  | \#3 | 12 | (300) | 6.6 | 67 | (2.0) | 8.44 | 85 | (2.6) | 10.27 | 103 | (3.1) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 5.7 | 58 | (1.7) | 7.31 | 73 | (2.2) | 8.89 | 810 | (2.7) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 5.2 | 52 | (1.6) | 6.68 | 68 | (2.0) | 8.12 | 81 | (2.5) |
| So. <br> Pine | SS | 12 | (300) | 10.8 | 109 | (3.3) | 13.81 | 139 | (4.2) | 16.80 | 169 | (5.1) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 9.8 | 910 | (3.0) | 12.55 | 126 | (3.8) | 15.26 | 153 | (4.7) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 9.3 | 93 | (2.8) | 11.81 | 119 | (3.6) | 14.36 | 144 | (4.4) |
|  | \#1 | 12 | (300) | 10.4 | 104 | (3.2) | 13.28 | 133 | (4.0) | 16.15 | 161 | (4.9) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 9.1 | 90 | (2.8) | 11.56 | 116 | (3.5) | 14.06 | 140 | (4.3) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 8.3 | 83 | (2.5) | 10.56 | 106 | (3.2) | 12.84 | 1210 | (3.9) |
|  | \#2 | 12 | (300) | 9.0 | 90 | (2.7) | 11.49 | 115 | (3.5) | 13.97 | 1311 | (4.3) |
|  |  | 16 | (400) | 7.8 | 79 | (2.4) | 9.95 | 911 | (3.0) | 12.10 | 121 | (3.7) |
|  |  | 19.2 | (480) | 7.1 | 71 | (2.2) | 9.08 | 90 | (2.8) | 11.04 | 110 | (3.4) |

# Beam Solutions 

## Appendix 6



Figure A6.1 Point load, single-span beam solutions and diagrams


Figure A6.2 Uniform distributed load, single-span beam solutions and diagrams


Figure A6.3 Triangular distributed load, single-span beam solutions and diagrams


Figure A6.4 Moment load, single-span beam solutions and diagrams


Figure A6.5 Point load, double-span beam solutions and diagrams


Figure A6.6 Uniform distributed load, double-span beam solutions and diagrams

## List of Units

Appendix 7

## Table A7.1 Units

| Imperial Units | Definition | Typical Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 。 | degrees | angle |
| deg | degrees | angle |
| ft | feet | length |
| $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | square feet | area |
| $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | cubic feet | volume |
| hr | hour | time |
| in | inches | length |
| in ${ }^{2}$ | square inch | area |
| in ${ }^{3}$ | cubic inch | volume |
| in ${ }^{4}$ | inches to the fourth power | moment of inertia |
| k | kip (1000 pounds) | force |
| $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}$ | kips per foot (aka klf) | distributed linear force |
| $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ | kips per square foot (aka ksf) | distributed area force, pressure |
| $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | kips per cubic foot (aka kcf) | density |
| $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | kips per square inch (aka ksi) | distributed area force, pressure |
| k-ft | kip-feet | moment, torque |
| $\mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | pound | force |
| $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ | pounds per foot (aka plf) | distributed linear force |
| $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | pounds per square foot (aka psf) | distributed area force, pressure |
| $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | pounds per cubic foot (aka pcf) | density |
| $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | pounds per square inch (aka psi) | distributed area force, pressure |
| $\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}$ | pound-feet | moment, torque |
| rad | radian | angle |
| $\mathrm{yd}^{3}$ | cubic yard | volume |


| Metric Units | Definition | Typical Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | degrees | angle |
| deg | degrees | angle |
| g | gram | mass |
| hr | hour | time |
| kM/h | kilometers per hour | speed |
| kN | newton | force |
| kN | kiloNewton | force |
| kN/m | kiloNewton per meter | distributed linear force |
| $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | kiloNewton per square meter (aka kPa) | distributed area force, pressure |
| $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | kiloNewton per cubic foot | density |
| kN-m | kiloNewton-meter | moment, torque |
| m | meters | length |
| $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | square meters | area |
| $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | cubic meters | volume |
| min | minute | time |
| mm | millimeters | length |
| $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ | square millimeters | area |
| $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | cubic millimeters | volume |
| $\mathrm{mm}^{4}$ | millimeters to the fourth power | moment of inertia |
| $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | kiloNewton per square inch (aka GPa) | distributed area force, pressure |
| N | newton | force |
| N/m | newtons per meter | distributed linear force |
| $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | newtons per square meter (aka Pa) | distributed area force, pressure |
| $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | newtons per cubic meter | density |
| $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ | newtons per square millimeter (aka MPa) | distributed area force, pressure |
| Pa | newton per square meter ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | distributed area force, pressure |
| rad | radian | angle |

# List of Symbols 

## Appendix 8

## Table A8.1 Symbols

| Symbol | Definition | Imperial | Metric |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# ${ }_{\text {b }}$ | bending (flexure) property or action | vary |  |
| \# ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | compression property or action | vary |  |
| \# ${ }_{D}$ | dead load-related action | vary |  |
| $\#_{H}$ | action in horizontal direction | lb or k | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{MN}$ |
| $\#_{L}$ | live load related action | vary |  |
| $\#_{L}$ | action on left | vary |  |
| \#n | nominal capacity | vary |  |
| $\#_{R}$ | action on right | vary |  |
| \#S | snow load-related action | vary |  |
| \# ${ }_{t}$ | tension property or action | vary |  |
| \# ${ }_{u}$ | factored load, any type | vary |  |
| \# ${ }_{v}$ | shear property or action | vary |  |
| $\#_{V}$ | action in vertical direction | lb or k | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{MN}$ |
| \# ${ }_{W}$ | wind load-related action | vary |  |
| A | gross cross-section area | $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $A_{\text {brg }}$ | bearing area | $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $A_{e f f}$ | effective cross-section area | $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $A_{m}$ | gross cross-section area of main member | $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $A_{\text {net }}$ | net cross-section area | $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $A_{s}$ | gross cross-section area of side member | in ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| $b$ | width (thickness) of bending member | in | mm |
| $b_{s}$ | shear wall segment width | ft | m |
| c | distance to neutral axis from tension or compression face | in | mm |
| c | column buckling factor | unitless |  |
| C | compression, concrete compression resultant | lb or k | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{MN}$ |
| $C_{b}$ | bearing area factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{c}$ | curvature factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{D}$ | load duration factor | unitless |  |


| Symbol | Definition | Imperial | Metric |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{\Delta}$ | geometry factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{d i}$ | diaphragm factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{e g}$ | end grain factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{F}$ | size factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{f u}$ | flat use factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{g}$ | group action factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{I}$ | stress interaction factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{i}$ | incising factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{L}$ | beam stability factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{M}$ | wet service factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{P}$ | column stability factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{r}$ | repetitive member factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{t}$ | temperature factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{T}$ | buckling stiffness factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{t n}$ | toe-nail factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{V}$ | volume factor | unitless |  |
| $C_{v r}$ | shear reduction factor | unitless |  |
| d | depth (height) of bending member | in | mm |
| $d$ | smallest dimension of compression member | in | mm |
| $d$ | pennyweight of nail or spike | unitless |  |
| D | dowel-type fastener diameter | in | mm |
| D | dead load | $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}, \mathrm{lb}$, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}, \\ & \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{~N}, \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\delta$ | deflection | in | mm |
| $\delta_{L T}$ | deflection due to long-term loads | in | mm |
| $\delta_{S T}$ | deflection due to short-term loads | in | mm |
| $\delta_{T}$ | total deflection from short- and longterm loads | in | mm |
| E | reference modulus of elasticity | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | GN/m², GPa |
| E | seismic load | lb or k | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{MN}$ |


| Symbol | Definition | Imperial | Metric |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\epsilon$ | strain | unitless |  |
| $e$ | eccentricity | in | mm |
| $E^{\prime}$ | adjusted modulus of elasticity | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{GN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{GPa}$ |
| $E_{\text {min }}$ | reference modulus of elasticity for beam and column stability | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{GN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{GPa}$ |
| $E_{\text {min }}^{\prime}$ | adjusted modulus of elasticity for beam and column stability | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{GN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{GPa}$ |
| $\phi$ | resistance factor |  |  |
| $f$ | stress | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F^{*}{ }_{b}$ | reference bending strength multiplied by all adjustment factors but $C_{L}$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F^{*}{ }_{c}$ | reference compression strength multiplied by all adjustment factors but $C_{P}$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $f_{b}$ | bending stress | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{b}$ | reference bending design stress | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{b}^{\prime}$ | adjusted bending design stress | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{b E}$ | critical buckling stress for bending | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{c}$ | compression stress parallel to grain | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{c}$ | reference compression design stress parallel to grain | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{c}^{\prime}$ | adjusted compression design stress parallel to grain | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{c \perp}$ | reference compression design stress perpendicular to grain | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $f_{c \perp}$ | compression stress perpendicular to grain | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{c \perp}^{\prime}$ | adjusted compression design stress perpendicular to grain | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{c E}$ | critical buckling strength for compression | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{e}$ | dowel bearing strength | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{e \perp}$ | dowel bearing strength perpendicular to grain | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{e \\|}$ | dowel bearing strength parallel to grain | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |


| Symbol | Definition | Imperial | Metric |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{t}$ | tension stress parallel to grain | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, MPa |
| $F_{t}$ | reference tension design stress | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{t}^{\prime}$ | adjusted tension design stress | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $f_{v}$ | shear stress parallel to grain | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{v}$ | reference shear design stress | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $F_{v}^{\prime}$ | adjusted shear design stress | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{MPa}$ |
| $\gamma$ | unit weight (density) | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| H | soil load | $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}, \mathrm{lb}$, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}, \\ & \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{~N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $h$ | section height or depth, wall height | ft , in | m, mm |
| $h_{w}$ | wall height | ft , in | m, mm |
| $h_{x}$ | maximum spacing between lengthwise bars in column without cross-tie | ft , in | m, mm |
| $I, I_{x}, I_{y}$ | moment of inertia | in ${ }^{4}$ | $\mathrm{mm}^{4}$ |
| k | effective length factor | unitless |  |
| $K_{\text {cr }}$ | creep factor | unitless |  |
| $K_{F}$ | format conversion factor |  |  |
| $\lambda$ | time effect factor |  |  |
| $L$ | live load | $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}, \mathrm{lb}$, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}, \\ & \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{~N}, \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $l$ | span length | in or ft | $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{mm}$ |
| $L, L^{\prime}$ | length or height | ft | m |
| $l_{b}$ | bearing length | in or ft | mm |
| $l_{c}$ | clear span | in or ft | m, mm |
| $l_{e}$ | effective length | in | mm |
| $L_{0}$ | base live load | $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}, \mathrm{lb}$, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}, \\ & \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{~N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $l_{t}$ | tributary width | ft | m |
| $l_{u}$ | laterally unbraced span length | in | mm |
| M | moment | k-ft | kN-m |
| $M_{r}$ | reference design moment | k-ft | kN-m |


| Symbol | Definition | Imperial | Metric |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{r}^{\prime}$ | adjusted design moment | k-ft | kN-m |
| $n$ | number, quantity | unitless |  |
| $n$ | number of fasteners in a row | unitless |  |
| $p$ | length of fastener penetration | in | mm |
| $P$ | point load, axial compression | k | kN, MN |
| $p_{\text {min }}$ | minimum length of fastener penetration | in | mm |
| $Q$ | first moment about neutral axis | in ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ |
| $q, q_{x}$ | area unit load, pressure | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| $r$ | radius of a circle or cylinder | in, ft | $\mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{m}$ |
| $\rho$ | ratio of steel area to concrete width times depth $d$ | unitless |  |
| $R$ | response modification factor for seismic force | unitless |  |
| $R, R_{\#}$ | reaction | lb or k | N, kN, MN |
| $R_{\text {B }}$ | slenderness ratio for bending | unitless |  |
| $R_{r}$ | reference design end reaction | lb | kN |
| $R_{r}^{\prime}$ | adjusted design end reaction | lb | kN |
| $r_{x}, r_{y}, \mathrm{r}_{z}$ | radius of gyration | in | mm |
| $S$ | snow load | $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}, \mathrm{lb}$, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}, \\ & \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{~N}, \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $S$ | section modulus | $i n^{3}$ | $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ |
| $s$ | spacing | in | mm |
| $T$ | tension | lb or k | N, kN, MN |
| $t$ | thickness | in | mm |
| $t_{m}$ | main member thickness | in | mm |
| $T_{r}$ | reference design shear | lb | kN |
| $T_{r}^{\prime}$ | adjusted design shear | lb | kN |
| $t_{s}$ | side member thickness | in | mm |
| V | shear | lb or k | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{MN}$ |
| $v$ | unit shear | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ | kN/m |


| Symbol | Definition | Imperial | Metric |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W | reference withdrawal strength of fastener | lb/in pen | kN/mm pen |
| $w$ | line load, or uniform load | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ | kN/m |
| W | wind load | $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}, \mathrm{lb}$, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}, \\ & \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{~N}, \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| W | weight | lb or k | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{kN}, \mathrm{MN}$ |
| W | reference withdrawal strength of fastener | lb | kN |
| $W^{\prime}$ | adjusted withdrawal strength of fastener | lb | kN |
| W, $W^{\prime}$ | width of diaphragm | ft | m |
| $w_{D}$ | line dead load | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ | kN/m |
| $w_{L}$ | line live load | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ | kN/m |
| $w_{S}$ | line snow load | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ | kN/m |
| $w_{u}$ | factored line load | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ | kN/m |
| $x$ | geometric axis, distance along axis | unitless |  |
| $y$ | geometric axis, distance along axis | unitless |  |
| $z$ | reference lateral strength of fastener | lb | kN |
| $z$ | geometric axis, distance along axis | unitless |  |
| $z^{\prime}$ | adjusted lateral strength of fastener | lb | kN |
| $z_{\perp}$ | reference lateral design strength of dowel fastener with all wood members loaded perpendicularto grain | lb | kN |
| $z_{\\|}$ | reference lateral design strength of dowel fastener with all wood members loaded parallel to grain | lb | kN |
| $z_{m \perp}$ | reference lateral design strength of dowel fastener with main member loaded perpendicular to grain | lb | kN |
| $z_{\text {s }}$ | reference lateral design strength of dowel fastener with side member loaded perpendicular to grain | lb | kN |

Note: \# indicates a general case of symbol and subscript, or subscript and symbol. It can be replaced with a letter or number, depending on how you want to use it. For example $R_{\#}$ may become $R_{L}$ for left-side reaction. Similarly, $\#_{C}$ may become $P_{C}$, indicating a compressive point load

## Imperial and Metric Conversion Tables

Appendix 9

Table A9.1 Unit conversion table

## Imperial to Metric



## Metric to Imperial

|  | m |  | 3.279 |  | ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  | 10.75 |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  | 35.25 |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
|  | mm |  | 0.039 |  | in |
|  | $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  | 0.0016 |  | in ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ |  | $6.10237 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  | $i n^{3}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{mm}^{4}$ |  | $2.40251 \mathrm{E}-06$ |  | in ${ }^{4}$ |
|  | kN |  | 0.225 |  | k |
|  | kN/m |  | 0.069 |  | k/ft |
|  | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  | 0.021 |  | $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| 帚 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 人 | 0.0064 | $0$ | $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{MN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}(\mathrm{MPa})$ |  | 0.145 |  | $\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ (ksi) |
|  | kN -m |  | 0.738 |  | k-ft |
|  | N |  | 0.225 |  | $\mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{f}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}$ |  | 0.069 |  | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}(\mathrm{~Pa})$ |  | 0.021 |  | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ (psf) |
|  | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  | 6.37 |  | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |  | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-04$ |  | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{m}$ |  | 0.738 |  | $\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}$ |
|  | kg |  | 2.205 |  | $\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}}$ |
|  | kmh |  | 0.621 |  | mph |

Taylor \& Francis Group
http://taylorandfrancis.com

## Glossary

adjusted design value
adjustment factor
anisotropic
apparent stiffness bending stiffness parameter for I-joists, combining $E$ and $I$
area load load applied over an area
area, cross-sectional
area, net

ASD allowable stress design; factors of safety are applied to the material strength
aspect ratio ratio of length to width in diaphragms, or height to length in shear walls
axial action along length (long axis) of member
axis straight line that a body rotates around, or about which a body is symmetrical
base shear horizontal shear at base of structure due to lateral wind or seismic forces
beam horizontal member resisting forces through bending
beam stability factor
material adjustment factor accounting for beam member slenderness (propensity for rolling over)
bearing area factor
bearing wall
blocking
boundary
box nail
brace
braced frame
bridging
buckling
excess deformation or collapse at loads below the material strength
buckling stiffness
factor
capacity
cellulose
check
chord truss or diaphragm element resisting tension or compression forces
code compilation of rules governing the design of buildings and other structures
collector see drag strut
column vertical member that primarily carries axial compression load, supports floors and roofs
column stability factor material adjustment factor accounting for column member slenderness (propensity for buckling)
common nail typical nail
component single structural member or element
compression act of pushing together, shortening
connection region that joins two or more members (elements)
construction written and graphical documents prepared to documents describe the location, design, materials, and physical characteristics required to construct the project
contraction joint groove creating weakened plane in concrete to control crack locations due to dimensional changes
couple, or force couple parallel and equal, but opposite, forces, separated by a distance
creep slow, permanent material deformation under sustained load
cross laminated timber formed by gluing or dovetailing wood boards, in alternating directions
cruck frame curved timber supporting a roof
curvature factor material adjustment factor for curved glued laminated timbers
dead load weight of permanent materials
deflection, $\delta$ movement of a member under load or settlement of a support
demand internal force due to applied loads
depth height of bending member, or larger dimension of column
diaphragm floor or roof slab transmitting forces in its plane to vertical lateral elements
diaphragm factor material adjustment factor for nailing in a sheathing diaphragm
dimension lumber
discontinuity
distributed load dowel-type fastener
drag strut
dressed lumber drift
duplex nail
durability
eccentricity
edge distance
empirical design
end distance
end grain factor
engineered wood
fixed
flat use factor
flexure, flexural footing
elastic able to return to original shape after being loaded
element single structural member or part
lumber that is cut and planed to standard sizes interruption in material, such as a knot or check
line load applied along the length of a member fastener with round body (nail, bolt, lag screw, drift pin)
element that collects diaphragm shear and delivers it to a vertical lateral element wood that has been planed to its final size lateral displacement between adjacent floor levels in a structure two-headed nail, used in concrete formwork ability to resist deterioration offset of force from centerline of a member, or centroid of a fastener group distance between fastener and edge of member design methodology based on rules of thumb or past experience distance between fastener and end of member material adjustment factor for dowel-type fastener placed in the end grain timber products engineered for strength and stiffness, allowing utilization of smaller trees boundary condition that does not permit translation or rotation
material adjustment factor for bending members laid flatwise
another word for bending behavior foundation system bearing on soil near the ground surface

| force | effect exerted |
| :---: | :---: |
| format conversion factor | material adjustment factor that converts ASD properties to LRFD design |
| frame | system of beams, columns, and braces, designed to resist vertical and lateral loads |
| free body diagram | elementary sketch showing forces acting on a body |
| geometry factor | material adjustment factor for end, edge, and spacing of dowel-type fasteners |
| girder | beam that supports other beams |
| glued laminated timber | timber product made from gluing $2 \times$ material into larger beams and columns |
| grading | process of assigning quality rating (related to strength and stiffness) to wood |
| grain | direction of primary wood fiber |
| gravity load | weight of an object or structure, directed to the center of the Earth |
| group action factor | material adjustment factor for a group of bolts (or larger dowel-type fasteners) |
| header | beam across an opening |
| I-joist | timber bending member made from sawn or SCL flanges and structural panel webs, formed in the shape of an ' I ' |
| incising factor | material adjustment factor for the effect of preservative notches |
| indeterminate | problem that cannot be solved using the rules of static equilibrium alone, number of unknowns greater than number of static equilibrium equations |
| inelastic | behavior that goes past yield, resulting in permanent deformation |
| isolation joint | separation between adjacent parts of the structure, to allow relative movement and avoid cracking |

isotropic material properties are the same in each direction
jamb studs bearing studs below a beam or header
king post column that acts in tension between the apex of a truss and tie beam
king stud stud that goes past a header to the floor line above
knot hard portion of wood where a tree branch grew
lag screw threaded fastener, similar to a bolt, that is screwed into wood from one side
lam layer of $2 \times$ material in glued laminated timber lateral load load applied in the horizontal direction, perpendicular to the pull of gravity
lateral torsional
buckling condition where beam rolls over near the middle owing to inadequate bracing for the given load
layup combination of $2 \times$ material in glued laminated timber
lignin wood material that holds the cellulose (fibers) together
live load load from occupants or moveable building contents
live load reduction code-permitted reduction when area supported by a single element is sufficiently large
load force applied to a structure
load combination expression combining loads that act together
load duration factor material adjustment factor accounting for length of time a load is applied, ASD
load factor factor applied to loads to account for load uncertainty
load path route a load takes through a structure to reach the ground
long-term deflection deflection due to sustained loads, such as dead loads

LRFD load and resistance factor design, also called strength design
LSL laminated strand lumber, formed by gluing together chips of wood
LVL laminated veneer lumber, formed by gluing together thick layers of veneer
metal plate connector minimum modulus of elasticity, $E_{\text {min }}$ modulus of elasticity,

E
moment arm
moment frame
moment, $M$ to a point of rotation
moment of inertia, $I$ geometric bending stiffness parameter, property relating area and its distance from the neutral axis
nail dowel fastener pounded into the wood
NDS
neutral axis axis at which there is no lengthwise stress or strain, point of maximum shear stress or strain, neutral axis does not change length under load
nominal strength element strength, typically at ultimate level, prior to safety factor application
oriented strand board structural panel made from chips of wood, oriented along the panel length and glued together
orthotropic material with different properties in two or more directions
penetration depth a nail or lag screw goes into the member away from the head
pin boundary condition that allows rotation but not translation
plastic occurs after yield, where material experiences permanent deformation after load is removed
point load
point of inflection
preboring pressure

PSL parallel strand lumber, formed by gluing strandlike chips of wood together, oriented roughly in the same direction
radius of gyration
reaction
reference design
value
repetitive member
factor
resistance factor
resultant
right-hand rule
rigid
rivet
roller
boundary condition that allows rotation, but limits translation in only one direction
row of fasteners
material adjustment factor for potential load sharing between closely spaced and connected members

LRFD material safety factor
vector equivalent of multiple forces
positive moment is in direction of thumb when fingers are wrapped in a counter-clockwise direction around the axis of rotation
support or element having negligible internal deformation
rectangular-shaped nail used for heavy timber connections fasteners in a line parallel to the applied force

| rupture safety factor | complete separation of material <br> factor taking into account material strength or load variability |
| :---: | :---: |
| sawn lumber | wood products made from solid trees and formed by sawing |
| section modulus | geometric bending strength parameter |
| Seismic Design Category | classification based on occupancy and earthquake severity |
| seismic load | force accounting for the dynamic response of a structure or element due to an earthquake |
| seismic force resisting system | portion of structure designed to resist earthquake effects |
| shake | wood crack that runs parallel to the grain |
| shank | shaft portion of a nail |
| shear | equal, but opposite, forces or stresses acting to separate or cleave a material, like scissors |
| shear | relative sliding motion in a member, similar to that of scissors |
| shear plate | high-strength connection made from placing a steel plate into a round groove, thereby engaging a large surface area |
| shear reduction factor | material adjustment factor reducing shear stresses in glued laminated timbers |
| shear wall | wall providing lateral resistance for structure |
| short-term deflection | deflection due to intermittent loads, such as live or snow loads |
| sign convention | method of assigning positive and negative values to the direction of loads, reactions, and moments |
| simplifying assumption | assumption that makes the problem easier to solve, but is realistic |
| sinker nail | nail with tapered head that presses flush into the wood |

size factor material adjustment factor accounting for property changes with size in sawn timber
slender member that is prone to buckling
snow load load from fallen or drifted snow
spacing distance between fasteners
spacing center-to-center distance between adjacent items
span length clear distance between supports
special seismic structural systems specifically detailed to systems absorb seismic energy through yielding
specific gravity ratio of density of a material divided by the density of water
spike large nail
split wood crack that runs neither parallel nor perpendicular to the grain
split ring high-strength connection made from placing a rolled steel ring into a round groove, thereby engaging a large surface area
stability structure's resistance to excessive deformation or collapse at loads below the material strength, opposite of buckling
stiffness resistance to deformation when loaded
strength material or element resistance to load or stress
strength design load and resistance factor design; safety factors applied to the loads and materials
stress (f, $\tau$ ) force per unit area
stress block rectangular simplification of the compressive stress in a concrete section
stress interaction material adjustment factor for tapered glued laminated timbers
structural analysis determination of forces, moments, shears, torsion, reactions, and deformations due to applied loads
structural composite lumber
timber product made from gluing veneers, chips, or smaller components together to form larger, stronger members
structural integrity
ability of structure to redistribute forces to maintain overall stability after localized damage occurs
structural panel
structural system
series of structural elements (beams, columns, slabs, walls, footings) working together to resist loads
support
temperature factor
tension
time effect factor
toe-nail
toe-nail factor
tributary area
tributary width
truss
truss plate
unbraced length
length between brace point where a member can buckle
volume factor
material adjustment factor accounting for property changes with volume in glued laminated timber
wet service factor material adjustment factor for moisture content
width smaller member dimension
wind load force due to wind
yield point at which a material has permanent deformation due to applied loads, start of inelastic region of stress-strain curve
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## Index

adjusted design values $22,28,57$, 76, 82, 95-96, 113-115, 133-134; see also adjustment factors in examples
adjustment factors 16, 22, 24-26, 37-45, 57, 308-322; connectors 37, 39, 224-225, 243-244, 246-247; examples 64, 95-96, 101, 107, 112-114, 133-134, 143-144, 162, 200-201, 240-241, 246-24; glued laminated timber 29, 76; I-joist 35, 76; sawn lumber 26, 64, 76, 95; structural composite lumber 32-33, 76
allowable stress design 16, 198
analysis 7-9, 152-154, 155-156, 158-161, 179-181, 187-191
anisotropic 45-46,5
architect 66, 155
architecture, xviarea 46, 49-50
ASD see allowable stress design
aspect ratio 177-179, 186-187
assessment xvi
axial 150

Bald Cypress 133
balloon framing 14-15
base shear 196
beam 67-108, 119-123, 175, 236, 242-245; see also bending
beam example 92-100
beam solutions 328-334
beam stability factor $24,40,71$, 73-74, 104-106
bearing area factor $25,42,317$
bending 67-108; capacity 74-86, 94-96, 107; deflection 86-89, 98-99, 102-104, 107-108; demand 82-86, 96-98, 102; design steps 92; detailing 88, 90-91; example 92-108; initial size 76-81, 96, 101-102; stability 70-74; stress 28, 34, 47, 75-76, 82-86, 96-98, 102, 137-138
blocking 90, 112, 181, 184, 191, 194
bolt 37, 42, 156, 207-208, 213-214, 222-223, 292-303
boundary nailing 181, 184
box nail 209-210
brace 71, 88, 90, 104
bridging 90
buckle 41, 71-74, 112, 129-131
buckling stiffness factor 25,41
cantilever 82-84, 116-117, 168
cantilevered diaphragm 177-179
capacity $16,19,56-60,74-86$, 112-118, 150-154, 181-183, 191-193, 220-226, 239-240
cellulose 21-22
check 46,49
chord 151, 155, 185, 239-242
code 14, 16-18, 88-89, 172, 225; see also NDS
collector see drag strut
column 45, 127-146, 237, 242-245; see also compression
column stability factor 25,41 , 131-132, 163-164, 202-204
common nail 209-210
compression 45, 127-146, 150-154;
capacity 131-138, 144-146; deflection 138-139; demand 134-138; design steps 140; detailing 140; example 140-146, 202-204; initial size 134-136, 144; stability 129-132; stress 48, 70, 134-138, 146, 163-165
compression perpendicular to grain 48, 131, 134
configuration 49, 51-52, 150, 170
connection 35, 37-38, 55, 61-63, 156, 172-173, 206-249; capacity 220-226, 241, 244; demand 226; design steps 238 ; detailing 236-238; example 239-247; installation 226-235; stress 223-224; types 207-220
construction 52
conversion 345-348
couple 176
creep 86-87
cross laminated timber 30, 32, 34
cruck frame 3, 6-7, 10
curvature factor $25,40,316$
cuts 41
deflection 60-61, 65, 75, 86-89, 118-119, 138-139, 154-155
deform 61, 68, 70, 170
demand 16, 19, 57, 60, 82-86, 116-118, 134-138, 181, 226
design aids 323-327
design steps 63, 92, 119, 140, 157, 238
detailing 61-63, 88, 90-91, 119, 140, 155-156, 226, 236-238
diaphragm 172, 175-185; analysis 179-181; capacity 181-183; detailing 181, 184-185; factor 25 , 43, 225; forces 175-177
discontinuities 27, 46, 49
dowel bearing strength 222, 287
dowel-type fastener 37, 207-216
drag strut 176-177, 185
dressed lumber 21-23
earthquake 168,170
edge distance 43, 225-233, 319
edge nailing 181, 184, 191, 194
effective length 130-131, 144
effective length factor 130
end distance 43, 225-233
end grain factor $25,43,322$
energy 170
engineered metal plate connector 37-38, 207-208, 215-220, 223, 225, 306-309
engineered wood $\mathrm{xv}, 14,23,27-28$, 68-69
equations xv
example xv-xvi, 64-65, 92-108, 119-125, 140-146, 157-166, 239-247
external force 149, 152
fiber 110
flat use factor 24, 40, 315
foam 61, 70, 138-139
force transfer 189-190, 213
format conversion factor 25,44 , 323
frame 148-149
free body diagram 120, 143, 153-154
fundamentals 13-53
geometry factor $25,43,225$, 321-322
girders 76
glued laminated timber 28-30, 41, 259-262, 278-279
grain 3, 7, 43, 45-46, 56
group action factor $25,42,318$
gusset 155-156
hanger 245-247
helical nail 209, 211
historic 2-10, 14, 35, 128
hold down 191, 195, 238
holes 90-91

I-joist 30, 32, 34-35, 76, 106-108, 112, 124-125, 282-283
incising factor $25,41,319$
inertia 171
initial sizing: bending 76-81, 96, 101-102; compression 134-136; connection 247-249; shear 114-115; tension 57-60
installation 226-235
internal force 149, 152, 159-161
iterative 60, 137
joint 152-153
joist 123-124, 236, 245-247
knot 46, 49
lag screw 37, 42-43, 207, 215-216, 222-223, 304-305
laminated strand lumber 30-31
laminated veneer lumber 30-31, 100-106, 123-124
lateral design 167-205
lateral load 39, 213, 215, 220-221
lateral torsional buckling 71-74
layout 92-93, 100, 120, 140-141, 157, 196
layup 30
lignin 21-22, 110
limits 16, 20-21, 174
load 16, 19; in examples 64, 87-89, 92-94, 100-101, 121-122, 140-142, 157-161, 196-199
load combination 93, 121, 142, 152, 158, 196
load duration factor $24,38,311$
load path 9, 168-172
load sharing 41
local stress 223-224
long-term deflection 87-88
LRFD 16, 44, 76
material 45-46
material behavior 45-46
material parameters 64, 94-96, 101, 106-107, 123-125, 142-144, 161-163, 199-201
mechanically graded 274-275

Merion Friends Meetinghouse 1-12
method of joints 152-153
method of sections 152, 154
minimum nailing 234
model 8-9
moment of inertia 46, 49-50, 82,
98-99, 103-104, 155
mortise and tenon 35, 207
multi-span beam 82, 84, 116-117
nail 37, 207-212, 222-223, 285, 288-291
NDS 14, 16-18, 56-57, 90
notch 90-91
oriented strand board 35-36; see also sheathing
orthotropic see anisotropic
overturning 172
paper 110-111
parallel strand lumber 30-31
parallel to grain 131-134
Parthenon 168
penetration 234
perpendicular to grain 42, 131, 134
platform framing 14-15
plywood 35-36; see also sheathing
post and beam 51-52
preboring 234-235
proportioning see initial sizing

Quaker 2-3
quality control 52-53
radius of gyration $46,49-50,130$
redundant load paths 9
Redwood 75, 112
reference design values $16,22,32$, 37, 45, 265-281; bending 74-76,

94-95, 101, 106; compression 131, 133, 142-144; connections 222-223, 282-307; shear 112-113, 122, 123, 125; tension 56-67, 64
repairs 9, 239-242
repetitive member factor 25,41
resistance drill 8
resistance factor $25,44,324$
response modification factor 172 , 174
reversible 10
ring shank nail 209, 211
rules of thumb see initial sizing
safety factors 16
sawn lumber 14, 68-69, 75, 94-96, 251-256
scissors 110
section modulus 46, 49-50, 82, 96-98
section properties 32, 46, 49-50, 250-266
seismic 170, 171-172
serviceability 86-89
shake 46, 49
shear 109-126; capacity 112-118, 125; deflection 118-119; demand 116-118, 123, 176, 179; design steps 119; detailing 119; example 119-125; initial size 122; stability 110-112; stress 116-118, 123-124
shear plate 219-221
shear reduction factor 25,41
shear wall 62-63, 168, 186-205; analysis 187-191; capacity 191-193, 202-204; detailing 191, 194-195, 217, 238; example 196-205; forces 186, 190; initial size 201
sheathing 82, 85-86, 186, 191, 202
short-term deflection 87-88
shrinkage 56
simple span beam 82-84, 116-117
sinker nail 209-210
size factor $24,40,311$
slender 41
slenderness ratio 130-131, 145
solid sawn 21-23
Southern Pine 113, 276-277
spacing 43, 225-233, 322
span rating 82, 85-86
species 112-113, 131
spike see nail
split 46, 49, 226
split ring 219-220
stability 55, 75, 129-132, 148-150
stack 51
stories 21
straw 129
strength 16, 19
stress 45; bending 75-76, 82-86, 96-98; combined 137-138, 151; compression 70, 134, 137-138, 146, 163-165; local connection 223-224; shear 123-124; tension 47, 57, 60, 65, 68, 70, 166
stress interaction factor 25,40
stress-strain curve 45-48
structural composite lumber 30-35, 263-266, 280-282
structural configuration see configuration and layout
structural engineer xv
structural investigation 7
structural panels 35-36, 175
structural strengthening 9, 239-242
symbols 340-346
temperature factor $24,40,312$
tension 54-66, 150-154; capacity 56-60; cross-grain 7, 56; deflection 60-61; demand 57-60; design steps 63; detailing 61-63; example 64-65, 204; initial size 58-60, 64; stability 45, 55; stress
47, 57, 60, 65, 68, 70, 166
tent 168
timber rivets 219
time effect factor 25, 44, 324
toe-nail 44, 209
toe-nail factor $25,43,323$
triangle 148-149
truss 3, 5, 10, 41, 62, 147-166;
analysis 152-154; capacity 128 , 150-154; deflection 154-155;
demand 154; design steps 157;
detailing 155-156, 218-219,
237-238; example 157-166;
stability 148-150; stress
163-165
truss plates 218-219
unbraced length 130-131
unit shear 181, 191, 198
units 337-339
variability 16,19
visually graded 268-273
volume factor $24,40,312-314$
wall 51, 172
wet service factor $24,40,311-312$
William Penn 2-3
wind 168, 170-172
withdrawal load 39, 213, 215, 220-221, 285-286
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[^0]:    Figure 1.3 Building section and axonometric of a typical roof truss
    Source: Historic American Building Survey, c. 1997

[^1]:    Source: NDS 2015

[^2]:    Note：For engineered metal plate connectors，see ASTMD5457
    Source：NDS 2015

[^3]:    Notes: NL = no limit; NP = not permitted Source: ASCE 7-10

[^4]:    Notes: Size factors are already incorporated into this table

[^5]:    Notes: Size factors are already incorporated into this table

[^6]:    Note: These values are for loads applied to the strong (x) axis Source: NDS 2015

[^7]:    Source: NDS 2015

[^8]:    Source: NDS 2015

[^9]:    Note: Values are conservative for Southern Pine
    Source: NDS 2015

