

Milton C. Hallberg is professor emeritus of agricultural economics at Pennsylvania State University. His research focuses on public policy issues in U.S. agriculture, including the role and impact of nonfarm income in farm families; biotechnologies' ethical, technical, and market consequences; and changing trade relationships with the European Union, Canada, and Latin America.
(C) 2001 Iowa State University Press

All rights reserved
Iowa State University Press
2 I21 South State Avenue, Ames, Iowa 50014
Orders: $\mathbf{x - 8 0 0 - 8 6 2 - 6 6 5 7}$
Office: I-515-292-Or40
Fax: 1-515-292-3348
Web site (secure): www.isupress.com
Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by Iowa State University Press, provided that the base fee of \$.10 per copy is paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA OI923. For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by CCC, a separate system of payments has been arranged. The fee code for users of the Transactional Reporting Service is $0-8 \mathbf{1 3} 8-2845-7 / 2001 \$$.io.
© Printed on acid-free paper in the United States of America
First edition, 2001
Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Hallberg, M. C. (Milton C.)
Economic trends in U.S. agriculture and food systems since World War II / Milton C. Hallberg.-1st ed.
p. cm .

Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0-8138-2845-7 (alk. paper)

1. Agriculture-Economic aspects-United States-History. 2. Farm ownershipUnited States-History. 3. Food prices-United States-History. 4. Food industry and trade-United States-History. I. Title.

HD1761.H3529 2001
338.1'0973-dc21
$00-047114$

Last digit is the print number: $\begin{array}{llllllllll}9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1\end{array}$

## Contents

Preface ..... IX
I. Introduction ..... 3
2. Agriculture's Importance in the National Economy and Political Climate ..... 7
3. Farm Numbers and Sizes ..... 13
4. Farm Family Income and Wealth ..... 21
5. Farm Ownership, Tenancy, and Type ..... 27
6. Resource Use in Agriculture ..... 31
7. Farm Assets and Farm Debt ..... 35
8. Prices: Received, Paid, and Variability ..... 41
9. Agricultural Productivity and Its Implications for Farmers ..... 47
10. Agricultural Exports and Imports ..... 55
i I. Total and Government Stocks of Agricultural Commodities ..... 59
12. Government Support for Farmers ..... 61
13. Agricultural Cooperatives ..... 67
14. Domestic Consumption of Food Products and Food Marketing Costs ..... 71
15. Food-Processing, Wholesaling, and Retailing Industries ..... 79
16. Summary ..... 85

## Contents

Appendix I. A Brief Chronology of American Agriculture Since 195089

Appendix 2. Statistical Tables 99
Ai. National Income Originating in Agriculture, Farm
Population and Farm Households, Farm Employment, Capital Expenditures in Agriculture, Number of Farms, and Farm Size, 1950-98 100
A2. Percentage Distribution of Farms and of Farm Output, and Income of Farm Families as a Percentage of Money Income of All U.S.
Households by Sales Category, 1950-98 103
A3. Income of Farm Families, 1950-98 ro6
A4. Farm Acreage and Relative Importance of Cash Receipts from Selected Farm Enterprises, 1950-98 109

A5. Percentage Distribution of Total Harvested Acres by Major Crop, 1950-98 112
A6. Farm Assets, Farm Debts, and Land Value in the United States, and Cash Rent Relative to Land Value in Iowa, 1950-98 115
A7. Net Farm Income and Selected Farm Expenses as a Percentage of Gross Cash Farm Income, 1950-98 118
A8. Real Prices of Selected Agricultural Commodities and Real Prices Paid by Farmers, 1950-98 12I
A9. Indexes of Prices Received and Paid, and Ratios of Animal Product Prices to Corn Prices, 1950-98 124

Aio. Farm Output and Farm Inputs Used, 1950-98 127
Air. Crop and Animal Yields and General Productiviry Measures, 1950-98 130
Ai 2. Ratios of Quantities of Farm Inputs and Ratios of Prices of Farm Inputs, 1950-98 I33
AI3. Agricultural Exports, Agricultural Imports, and Trade Balances, 1950-98 136
Ai4. U.S. Production as a Percentage of World Production, World Stocks as a Percentage of World Consumption, U.S. Stocks as a Percentage of World Stocks, and U.S. Exports as a Percent of World Trade, 1950-98 139
Ai 5. Quantity of Exports as a Percentage of Total Commercial Disappearance for Selected Agricultural Commodities, 1950-98 142

## Contents

Ai6. Value of U.S. Agricultural Exports to Selected Countries and Regions as a Percentage of Value of Total U.S. Agricultural Exports, I950-98 145
Ai7. Total and Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) Ending Stocks as a Percentage of Domestic and Export Use, 1950-98 148
Ar 8. Support Prices and Loan Rates for Agricultural Commodities as a Percentage of Market Price in the United States, 1950-98 I5 I
Ai9. Acres of Cropland Idled in the United States, 1950-98 I 54
A20. Direct Government Payments to Farmers by Sales Category in the United States, 1950-98 157
A2 I. Consumer Expenditures on Food and Per Capita Consumption of Selected Commodities, 1950-98 160
A22. Distribution of Total U.S. Population by Age Groups, Disposable Income, Disposable Income Spent on Food, CPI for Food, Real Expenditures on Food, and Number of Families, 1950-98 163
A23. Farmers' Share of Retail Food Dollar and Components of the Food Marketing Bill, 1950-98 166
A24. Agricultural Cooperatives, Cooperative Membership, and Cooperative Share of Business, 1950-98 169
A25. Economic Activity in the U.S. Food-Processing Industries, 1954-92 172

A26. Economic Activity in U.S. Farm Machinery and Agricultural Chemical Industries, 1954-92 174

A27. Economic Activity in U.S. Wholesale Groceries and Related Products, Retail Food Stores, and Eating and Drinking Places, 1954-92 175 Index 177

## Preface

To thoroughly comprehend the subject matter of agricultural policy, one must become familiar with a host of relationships as well as with how these relationships have changed over the years. Some of the relationships of interest concern farm numbers, land use, asset values and farm debt, farm ownership and tenancy, farm income and expenses, resource use and enterprise mix, farm commodity and farm input prices, agricultural productivity, agricultural exports and imports, food consumption and consumer behavior, and food marketing costs.

To gain a familiarity with these relationships and with how they have changed over time is not easy. Several good historical references are available, but few are up-to-date or cover the material in the most helpful manner. Good textbooks also exist, but there is seldom enough space in textbooks to cover the essential analytical material let alone historical relationships and trends. My objective in preparing this book is to provide a short reference that goes a substantial way toward meeting this need.

In this book, I provide a graphical display and short discussion of those relationships and trends I have found to be essential to the understanding of agricultural policy, based on the courses and seminars I have offered over the past several years. While this book is targeted at the undergraduate and graduate student in agricultural economics, it will also be of value to individuals with a general interest in the character and evolution of the agriculture and food system in the United States, and to individuals actively engaged in the agricultural policy process.

I owe a debt of gratitude to the many individuals who contributed to the completion of this work. First are my students, who forced me to sharpen my thoughts and classroom presentations. They are my grearest inspiration. My colleagues in the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology at Pennsylvania State University also contributed by helping me uncover data sources and clarify my explanation of key concepts. Phillip Eberle of the Department of Agribusiness Economics at Southern Illinois University read the manuscript and made valuable suggestions. I am also greatly indebted to Alden Manchester of Economic Research Service, USDA, who reviewed the manuscript and provided input that improved my presentation and interpretation of the data.


## 1. Introduction

The agriculture and food system in the United States has sustained many changes since colonial times, but perhaps none have been as dramatic as those that have occurred since the end of World War II. It is instructive to review these changes in some detail in order to gain a better understanding of the development and character of the entire agriculture and food system, and of the events that have helped shape this system during the past fifty years. Many of us have a nostalgic interest in the historical path of the American agricultural sector-going back to before World War II. My purpose here, however, is much broader. Specifically, my aim is to provide important and necessary background to help us all understand the likely future path of this sector and to help prepare us for debating future policy choices for this sector.

This historical review starts with 1950, a year that marks the beginning of a period of rapid technological advance in agriculture. It also marks the beginning of a period when agricultural surpluses in the United States became burdensome, some postwar international trade and development organizations began operation, ${ }^{1}$ and the U.S. Congress and various administrations struggled to develop postwar policies directed toward easing the pains of a troubled sector.

Much of the structure and content of this book has evolved from material I have distributed to students in the graduate and undergraduate courses on agricultural policy I have taught over the course of the past several years. ${ }^{2}$ I firmly believe that a thorough understanding of the character of the agriculture and food system is essential not only for understanding how and why actual policy has evolved for this system, but also for assessing the adequacy or appropriateness of past, current, and future policy choices for this system. There is no substitute for good research using modern tools of analysis. But that research must be built upon a solid understanding of how the system works, what the key relationships are, how these relationships have changed over time, and what the likely consequences of changes in the variables of these relationships are. There is also no substitute for a thorough training in the basics of economic analysis. Thus, this book is intended to supplement, not replace, basic texts and exercise manuals for courses in agricultural policy.

If the scope of an historical analysis such as this is sufficiently broad and encompassing, it can help us visualize the whole picture more clearly than if we were to con-
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centrate on isolated phenomena of the past. This book, then, should also help the student of agriculture and food policy obtain a clearer perception of the totality of the system, and thus be guided toward more rational insights and conclusions concerning its workings and future. As such, the book should contribute to increasing our general understanding of the U.S. agriculture and food system. This is all the more important as we continue on a path toward government policy for agriculture based on freer international trade and greater market orientation as a result of policy directions promised with the new (I995) accord on the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), and with the passage by the U.S. Congress of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform (FAIR) Act of 1996.

This book offers graphic and tabular presentations of data felt to be most appropriate to the above aims, along with brief discussions of these data and their implications. In general, the data presented cover the 1950-98 period. My primary focus is on identifying and highlighting trends in and relationships among these data rather than on offering detailed interpretations or explanations. This book provides hypotheses of relationships rather than tests of hypotheses about these relationships, although I have carefully avoided presenting new and untested ideas about these relationships. This book not only should be informative to students, researchers, and policy makers, but also should stimulate deeper explorations and analyses.

An extensive data set for the entire $1950-98$ period is provided in appendix 2. Several additional variables not charted or only referenced in the text are also included there. The data in appendix 2 are provided in raw form or in ratio or percentage form in an attempt to offer the reader more insight than could be gleaned from standard statistical compendia.

Finally, appendix I contains a brief chronology of events impacting the U.S. agriculture and food system since 1950. This appendix contains special events related to the overall farm economy, farm technology, crop and livestock sectors, transportation, agricultural trade, life on the farm, farm organizations, agricultural education and extension, and government policy.

## Data Sources

The majority of the data contained in this book was obtained from the following publications of the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Statistics, National Agricultural Statistics Service (various annual issues); Food Consumption, Prices, and Expenditures, Economic Research Service (various annual issues); Economic Indicators of the Farming Sector, Economic Research Service (various annual issues); and Agricultural Outlook, Economic Research Service (various monthly issues). A number of "Situation," "Outlook," and other special reports published by the Economic Research Service or National Agricultural Statistics Service, USDA, were used to update various data series as necessary. Data on population, households, and money income of households were obtained from the U.S. Department of Commerce's Current Population Reports, Bureau of the Census (various annual issues) and Historical Statistics of the United States: Colonial
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Times to 1970, Bureau of the Census, Bicentennial Edition, 1975. Data describing economic activity in the food processing, agricultural input industries, and food retailing and wholesaling sectors were obtained from the U.S. Department of Commerce's Census of Manufactures, Census of Retail Trade, and Census of Wholesale Trade, Bureau of the Census, and U.S. Treasury Department, Statistics of Income: Corporation Income Tax Returns, Internal Revenue Service. Data relating to the general economy were obtained from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Statistical Abstract of the United States (various annual issues), Office of Management and Budget, Council of Economic Advisers, Economic Report of the President (various annual issues), and U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Survey of Current Business (various monthly issues). Some of the data recorded for 1998 are preliminary or projected and are subject to subsequent revision. Further, some of the data will subsequently be revised by the appropriate agency in light of new information provided by the 1997 censuses.

## Definitions

Agriculture is generally used to denote all of that activity associated directly with farming. Thus agriculture and farming might be used as synonyms as will be done throughout this book. The more inclusive agriculture and food system, however, will be used in this book to refer to all the activity associated with farm production, food processing, food retailing, and food wholesaling, as well as the production, sale, and distribution of inputs needed by these sectors.

A farm, according to the 1978 Census of Agriculture is "any place that has $\$ \mathbf{1}, 000$ or more of gross sales of farm products per year." Prior to 1978 , a farm was defined as "any place with less than ten acres from which $\$ 250$ or more of agricultural products were sold or normally would have been sold during the census year, or any place of ten acres or more from which $\$ 50$ or more of agricultural products were sold or normally would have been sold during the census year." The new definition of a farm was used by the Bureau of the Census to revise its data on number of farms back to 1974. It is important to keep this change of definition in mind when examining some of the graphical and numerical data presented in this book. For example, this change in definition resulted in a marked reduction in the number of farms in the United States following 1974, and had significant consequences on some other variables relating to the number of farms, for example, land in farms, acres per farm, and farm population.

To help put in perspective how much agricultural activity is implied by $\$ \mathrm{r}, 000$ of gross sales of farm products per year, it is useful to consider a few examples. Assuming average animal and crop yields and prices received by farmers in 1998, one dairy cow would have generated $\$ 2,635$ in gross income, five acres of corn would have generated $\$ \mathrm{I}, 479$ in gross income, 100 layers would have generated $\$ 1,394$ in gross income, and ten acres of wheat would have generated $\$ \mathrm{x}, 258$ in gross income in 1998. Quite clearly, a farm grossing a mere $\$ \mathrm{I}, 000$ in 1998 was an incredibly small farm!

A family farm is a term that is quite often used in political discussions but with no
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clarity of meaning either for policy discussions or statistical analyses. The following definition of a family farm presented in the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Agriculture Fact Book 1998 makes the elusiveness of this term quite poignant: "An agricultural business which ( I ) produces agricultural commodities for sale in such quantities so as to be recognized as a farm rather than a rural residence; (2) produces enough income (including off-farm employment) to pay family and farm operating expenses, to pay debts, and to maintain the property; (3) is managed by the operator; (4) has a substantial amount of labor provided by the operator and family; and (5) may use seasonal labor during peak periods and a reasonable amount of full-time hired labor." Because of the elusiveness of this term, it will not be used in this book.

Commercial farm is also a fairly elusive term. However, it is generally used to refer to an agricultural business that produces enough income from the production of agricultural commodities that it fully employs the farm operator and his/her family and is capable of generating enough income to sustain the farm family at a "reasonable" level without relying primarily on off-farm income. This term will be used on occasion in this book.

## Notes

I. The International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, and the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade now known as the World Trade Organization.
2. This book is a substantial revision of an earlier report containing many of the same data series and much of the same discussion: see Milton C. Hallberg, The U.S. Agricultural and Food System: A Postwar Historical Perspective, The Northeast Regional Center for Rural Development, The Pennsylvania State University, Publication Number 55, October 1988.

## 2. Agriculture's Importance in the National Economy and Political Climate

The proportion of the nation's income originating in agriculture has declined steadily over the past five decades as has farm population as a percentage of total U.S. population and as has farm employment as a percentage of total U.S. employment (Fig. 2.I). In fact, these proportions have been declining since 1800 as Table 2.I highlights, and probably since this nation was born.

In the formative years of this nation, most of its people were farmers engaged in the production of food and fiber for domestic consumption and for a small amount of export. These farmers did more than just produce meat, milk, grain, and fiber. They grew or fabricated on their farms most of the inputs needed to produce these products. They processed farm products into a form that could be used by human beings: livestock and poultry into meat, grain into flour, flour into bread, fibers into cloth, hides into leather, trees into farm buildings and fencing, and so on. They packaged their produce consistent


Figure 2.I Relative importance of agriculture to the U.S. national economy, I950-98.
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Table 2.1 National Income Originating in Agriculture as a Percentage of Total U.S. National Income, Farm Employment as a Percentage of Total U.S. Employment, and Farm Population as a Percentage of Total U.S. Population, 1800-1998.

|  | National income <br> originating in <br> agriculture | Farm <br> employment | Farm <br> population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\%)$ | $(\%)$ | $(\%)$ |
| 1800 | 39.5 | 71.6 | 65.9 |
| 1820 | 34.4 | 71.9 | 65.1 |
| 1840 | 34.6 | 68.6 | 57.8 |
| 1860 | 30.8 | 59.0 | 52.0 |
| 1880 | 20.7 | 49.3 | 43.8 |
| 1900 | 20.9 | 37.7 | 39.3 |
| 1920 | 12.3 | 25.7 | 30.2 |
| 1940 | 6.4 | 16.9 | 23.2 |
| 1960 | 3.9 | 10.7 | 8.7 |
| 1980 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 2.7 |
| 1990 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| 1998 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.7 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Historical Statistics of the United States: Colonial Times to 1970; and U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Statistics (various annual issues).
with the needs of consumers as, for example, animal carcasses, which needed to be cut up into forms that could easily be used by the household. They cured the meat and processed the milk so it could be stored for future consumption (in earlier times using only natural refrigeration). They transported farm produce to the villages or to loading docks for export. They sought out buyers for surplus produce and personally saw to all of the financial matters involved in transferring ownership of their produce.

As the nation matured and expanded westward, as farmers and nonfarmers alike developed and applied new technologies, and as its people carved out areas of economic specialization, it became physically impossible for farmers to perform some of these functions, and economically infeasible for farmers to perform others. Specialists evolved to provide building supplies, to provide machinery and tools, to process and package food, to transport both raw and finished goods, to provide short- and long-term capital, to develop new and improved varieties of seeds and animals, and to buy and sell farm produce. These specialists were not only more efficient at performing such tasks than were most farmers, they could capitalize on the economies of large-scale operations. Farmers also became more efficient at producing the raw material from which food is manufactured since they no longer had to divide managerial and operational skills between farming and a host of other activities now performed by the more formal marketing sector.

In the early days, farmers captured almost all of the consumer's food dollar, but the food was quite expensive because farmers were not very efficient at providing all of the
marketing functions in addition to producing the raw material. Today, farmers capture twenty-two cents of the consumer's food dollar while those who can more efficiently process, package, transport, and store food and raw materials capture the remainder. Collectively, though, the price of food and all of the services that the marketing sector adds is less than it would be without the specialized marketing agents of today. Furthermore, since the collective price of food and of the services attached thereto is lower than it would be without these specialized marketing agents, farmers sell more produce. In the end, everyone gains! Consumers have access to a greater quantity and variety of food and fiber products at a lower per-unit cost. Farmers are able to sell more farm produce and concentrate their energies on what they do best-produce commodities. The marketing sector is able to employ more people because the increased demand for food and fiber (and the services embodied in food and fiber products) requires more workers to produce.

Thus, as the nation's farmers became more specialized and took advantage of newer technologies, as specialized industries developed in the nonfarm economy, and in general as the overall economy matured, nonfarm industries developed to employ the expanding labor force. This in turn meant that a declining proportion of workers were needed on farms, and the proportion of the national income generated by the nonfarm sectors of the economy increased.

In the early stages of the development of the United States, agriculture was the dominant industry. By the turn of the nineteenth century, as development of lands west of the Atlantic seaboard was just beginning, 72 percent of the gainfully employed workers in the nation were employed in farming, and 40 percent of the national income originated in farming. Today, a little more than 2 percent of the gainfully employed workers in the United States are employed in agriculture, and only about a percent of the national income originates in agriculture. Similarly, farm population has declined from 66 percent of the U.S. population in 1800 to 23 percent in 1940 and to less than 2 percent in 1998 (Table 2.1). While agriculture is unquestionably an important sector supplying food to consumers at home and abroad, it is no longer the most important sector economically and no longer the hub of economic activity in this nation.

## Consequences for the Rural Community

The trends noted here are decried by some, but they are not particularly surprising. Nevertheless, the declining relative importance of agriculture in the United States has significant consequences for U.S. farmers and farm families. The decline in farm population in many areas, for example, has put great strains on the rural community. As the number of farm people diminishes and as farms become more specialized, the density of production of enterprises typical of the remaining, and mostly smaller, farms decreases. The lower production density threatens the existence of these smaller, farms as total production in the area falls below critical levels required to sustain markets and needed support services. Further, as this happens, unless nonfarm job opportunities are available in the


Figure 2.2 Rural population as a percentage of total U.S. population, $1950-98$.
rural community, nonfarm people will be forced to leave the rural community as the number of farm service jobs decreases. If rural development efforts are not successful in generating sufficient nonfarm jobs for nonfarm people, the rural community will have great difficulty in surviving. Finally, as we will soon see, many farm people rely on parttime or full-time off-farm jobs for a significant portion of the farm family income. Thus, if sufficient off-farm jobs are not available, the farm itself may be threatened.

## Political Consequences

The declining relative importance of agriculture may also be expected to lead to difficulty in pushing legislation through Congress that is favorable to farmers. No one likes to be on a listing ship, for there may come a time when all hope is gone and the ship sinks. To the extent that new legislation for agriculture passed in early 1996 (the FAIR Act of 1996) portends a more market-oriented approach to farm policy in the future, one may conclude that the era of generous support for agriculture is over. But that does not necessarily mean that the "ship" has been abandoned nor that the political power of the agricultural sector has become impotent.

Indeed, the benefits to individual farmers from collective action aimed at seeking legislation favorable to them is still sufficiently great to encourage such collective action. On the other hand, as Mancur Olson ${ }^{1}$ points out, it is difficult for large, heterogenous, and widely dispersed groups like consumers to oppose legislation favorable to farmers because the benefits from this kind of collective action offer limited inducement to indi-
vidual consumers to participate. Thus, regardless of the disparity in numbers, farmers retain superior political power.

Furthermore, it should be noted that much of the U.S. population still lives in rural areas even though less than 2 percent of the nation's population lives on farms. In 1950, over 40 percent of the population was classified as rural. This percentage dropped to around twenty-six by the late 1960 s and has remained near that level ever since-even increasing slightly in the late 1980 (Fig. 2.2). In many areas, the rural population depends heavily on the farm sector for its economic well-being. If farming were to cease in these areas, the rural community might have difficulty maintaining its current economic health, and the attractiveness of rural life might diminish. Hence, it is easy to see why this portion of the population is generally very interested in seeking the support of legislation that is at least not unfavorable to farmers. Furthermore, much of the urban population still sympathizes with farmers and supports legislation favorable to those who produce the food on which everyone depends. While the latter social value may be less strong in the United States than in Europe, it is still operative in the United States nonetheless.

## Note

1. Mancur Olson, "Agricultural Exploitation and Subsidization: There's An Explanation," Choices, Fourth Quarter 1990, pp. 8-II.

## 3. Farm Numbers and Sizes

## Number of Farms

The U.S. agricultural sector has made several significant adjustments over the 1950-98 period-perhaps none so striking as the reduction in number of farms. In 1998, there were just over two million farms in the United States- 39 percent of the number of farms in 1950 (Fig. 3.1) and 32 percent of the peak number of farms in $1935 .{ }^{1}$ Figure 3.I suggests a sharp decline in the number of farms between 1974 and 1975 . This decline is due in large part to the change in the definition of a farm instituted in 1974 as discussed in the introduction.

As is clear from the data charted in Figure 3.2, the vast majority of the farms in the United States are relatively small. This was the case in 1970 (and indeed in earlier years)


Figure 3.1 Number of farms in the United States, 1950-98.
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Percent


Figure 3.2 Percentage of farms in the United States by sales category, 1970 and 1998.
and is still the case even though the percentage of the smallest sized farms has declined significantly. Over 60 percent of our farms today have annual gross sales of $\$ 20,000$ or less, and 83 percent have annual gross sales of less than $\$ 100,000$ (Table A2). ${ }^{2}$ As we will see later, on average, U.S. farmers receive a net income of slightly more than 20 percent of gross farm income. With a net income margin of 20 percent, farms with even $\$ 100,000$ of gross income annually hardly generate enough cash income to provide a lifestyle for a family of two or three people on a par with that enjoyed by most American families.

The data shown in Table A2 also point out that the vast majority of the farm output as measured by annual gross farm sales is generated on the largest farms. Here we see that today nearly 45 percent of the annual gross cash income from farming (aggregate farm output) is generated on 2.6 percent of the largest farms, and nearly 80 percent of the annual gross cash income from farming (aggregate farm output) is generated on nearly 17 percent of the farms in the three largest sales categories.

Figures 3.3 and 3.4 provide another perspective on changes in the number of farms-in this case the number of dairy farms. These two Figures were developed from data reported in U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Agriculture. They point out that in 1949 there were over 650 thousand farms in the United States with ten or more dairy cows, but in 1997 there were only 94 thousand
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farms with ten or more dairy cows (Fig. 3.3). Of all farms with dairy cows, only 2.6 percent had fifty or more dairy cows in 1949, but 45.5 percent had fifty or more dairy cows in 1997 (Fig. 3.4). This same general pattern would be found to exist for any of the agricultural enterprises we might choose although the rates of change would differ somewhat. We will have occasion to refer back to these Figures as we examine in greater detail the reasons for these trends in chapter 9.


Figure 3.3 Number of U.S. farms with ten or more dairy cows, 1949-97.


Figure 3.4 Percentage of U.S. farms with dairy cows having fifty or more dairy cows, 1949-97.

## Farm Size

Average farm size measured in acres has more than doubled over the $1950-98$ period (Fig. 3.5). Measuring farm size in acres is somewhat misleading because of the differing intensities with which the various agricultural enterprises use the land resource. A clearer perspective on farm size growth can be gained by examining the change in real gross farm income per farm. Here again we see that average size of farms in the United States more than doubled over the 1950-98 period (Fig. 3.5). Figure 3.5 indicates a marked increase in acres per farm between 1974 and 1975. As in the case of number of farms, this increase is due in large part to the change in definition of a farm instituted in 1974.

Figure 3.5 also indicates a marked slowdown in the rate of increase in average farm size following 1975. This corresponds to a period when net farm income dropped significantly (Fig. 4.I), land values and interest rates were high (Figs. 7.2 and 7.3), and the substitution of machinery for other inputs was declining (Fig. 9.6). All of these factors led to a decline in farmers' propensity to expand the size of their operations.

For details on changes in numbers of farms of different sizes, it is instructive to examine Table A2 in depth. Here we see that in 1960, 91.4 percent of the farms were in the smallest sales category whereas in 1998 only 61 percent were in the smallest sales category. Farms in the two largest sales categories were not enumerated by the Bureau of the Census (the basic source of data on number of farms used by Economic Research Service, USDA) until 1970. In 1970 only about one-half of 1 percent of the farms were in the two largest sales categories, whereas in 1998, 6.6 percent of the farms were in the two largest sales categories. U.S. farms are clearly getting larger on the basis of this measure,


Figure 3.5 Average farm size in the United States, 1950-98.
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although the rate of increase appears to have slowed somewhat during the I980s and i990s.

Assessing changes in farm size from the perspective of annual gross cash income, however, is problematic. ${ }^{3}$ First, it is clear that, say, $\$ 50,000$ in 1960 did not have the same purchasing power as did $\$ 50,000$ in 1998 . The effect of inflation should be taken into account by some means. Unfortunately, the statistics on farms by sales category are not reported in such a way as to enable us to adjust for inflation in a satisfactory way. ${ }^{4}$ We can gain a somewhat clearer perspective by comparing the earning power (net income per farm from farm sources) of different sized farms with the mean money income of all households in the United States. The lower portion of Table A2 shows that from 1970 through I998 net farm income for farms in the smallest sales category was negative. Farms with annual gross cash income from farming of $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ did quite well relative to all households in the United States in 1960 but quickly lost their advantage so that by 1998 farms in this sales category were not even in the ballpark! In 1960, farms in the $\$ 50,000-\$ 99,999$ sales category netted an income from farming well above the mean money income of all U.S. households. In 1998, farms in this sales category netted, on average, only about 75 percent of the mean money income of all U.S. households. Finally, farms in the largest sales categories did quite well relative to all U.S. households throughout the period from 1970 to 1998 although they did lose ground over this period.

Another difficulty associated with using sales categories to make inferences about changing farm sizes over time is that not only prices but also technology have changed considerably over the past nearly fifty years, and at different rates for different commodities. Consider a dairy farmer milking fifty cows and deriving 80 percent of his or her gross farm income from the sale of milk. Assuming that in 1960 this farmer's cows produced at the national average rate of 6,977 pounds of milk per cow and that this farmer's milk sold for the U.S. average price of $\$ 4.2$ I per hundredweight, this farm would have fallen into the under $\$ 20,000$ sales category in 1960 . In 1998, on the other hand, assuming this farmer's cows produced at the 1998 national average of 17,130 pounds of milk per cow and that this milk sold for the U.S. average price of $\$ 15.38$ per hundredweight, this farm would have fallen into the $\$ 100,000-\$ 249,999$ sales category.

Consider next an Illinois grain farmer producing continuous corn on 260 acres of tillable land and deriving all of his or her gross farm income from corn. Assuming that in 1960 this farmer's land produced corn at the national average of 55 bushels per acre and sold for the national average price of $\$$ r.00 per bushel, this farm would also have fallen into the under $\$ 20,000$ sales category. In 1998, assuming this farmer's land yielded corn at the 1998 average of 134 bushels per acre and this farmer's corn sold at the national average price of $\$ 2.60$ per bushel, this farm would have fallen into the $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ sales category.

Several points made above are worth emphasizing. First, what was a relatively large farm in terms of gross farm income by 1960 standards cannot begin to support a family by today's standards. Today a farm must have annual sales in excess of \$100,000 to sus-
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tain a family at the level of the average U.S. household. Second, not all farms have grown larger in terms of physical size (animal units or acres), as might be suggested by a cursory examination of the data-some have merely moved to different sales categories over the years as both productivity and nominal prices have increased. All farms have not moved alike, however, because productivity and nominal prices in all commodities have not grown at the same pace. Third, some people argue that midsized family farms are disappearing from agriculture, resulting in a "bimodal" distribution of farms-many small, many large, and a few in between. The truth is that many of the midsized family farms of the past have merely moved into the larger sales categories as nominal prices and productivity have increased. The most significant change in their character has been increased annual cash sales-they are still midsized family farms!

In general, it is quite safe and reasonable to make comparisons of farms across sales categories at any given moment in time (e.g., for any given year). Among other things, this provides a good perspective on the size distribution of farms and the amount of total farm output produced by a subset of farms. It is quite clear, for example, that if we wish to define as "commercial" those farms with $\$ 100,000$ of annual gross sales or more, then in 1998 there were about 343,000 "commercial" farms in the United States ( 16.7 percent of the total number of farms). Collectively, these "commercial" farms produced nearly 80 percent of total farm output.

On the other hand, making judgments about changes in farm sizes by comparing the distribution of farms by sales categories across years is hazardous. The income produced by a farm that falls in a particular sales category today will not buy the same lifestyle that the income produced by a farm in that same sales category bought five, ten, or twenty years ago. Furthermore, whether and how rapidly different farms move into different sales categories over the years depends on the farming activities carried out on those farms.

## Consequences of the Decline in Farm Numbers

Clearly, though, there are now fewer farms in the United States than there were in 1950, and those existing in 1998 are, on average, much larger than was the average farm in 1950. The loss of farms has a negative impact on the performance of markets to the extent that there are too few buyers and sellers available with which to establish a price of the farm commodity on the open market that adequately reflects the conditions of supply and demand in the appropriate regional or national market. This is exacerbated in industries where farmers enter into various kinds of contractual relations with first handlers-for example, hog, poultry, or vegetable farmers-in an effort to protect themselves against market risk.

Farmers faced with the option of getting out of farming altogether or getting into a completely different agricultural enterprise face a difficult dilemma. They often must get some retraining before they can make such an adjustment. They have fixed resources that must be disposed of (and maybe even depreciated out completely) because these resources have no or limited value in an alternative production activity. They must make entirely
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new contacts to obtain access to both input and output markets for an alternative agricultural enterprise. Finally, if their choice is to get out of agriculture, they must find alternative employment nearby or physically move to a new location.

If several farmers in an area quit producing a commodity such as milk or potatoes, there may be severe impacts on those continuing to produce that commodity because the volume of production remaining may no longer support the local infrastructure or buyers serving that type of production. As this infrastructure leaves or as these buyers disappear, the entire local community along with its social, cultural, educational, and health services may be in jeopardy.

The accumulation of large numbers of animals or birds in a single location may well lead to environmental conflicts locally. We have seen many instances of this in recent years around the country particularly in hog, broiler, egg, and milk production. This phenomenon presents community leaders with a very difficult development-planning problem.

On the positive side, all this has clearly meant that consumers in the United States have been able to enjoy a rather abundant and uninterrupted food supply at low prices. Food prices have remained low enough that U.S. consumers spend less of their disposable income on food than do consumers in any other nation. ${ }^{5}$

It is much more difficult to assess the social impacts of this type of structural change. Certainly, a reduction in numbers of farm families in a given rural region could conceivably lead to changes in social structures that may not be sustainable even with modern communication and travel technologies. It should be noted, however, that at no time in the past has our government attempted to prevent a technology from being adopted on the basis of social considerations, and there would appear to be little chance of this happening in the future. If our concern is with maintaining the viability of rural or farming communities, then it may be that more public funds will need to be directed toward rural development efforts and job retraining programs that enable farm operators and their spouses to enjoy part-time or full-time work off the farm or otherwise enable them to adjust to new economic realities.

## Notes

1. Note that the number of farms estimated by National Agricultural Statistics Service, USDA, differs slightly from the number of farms reported in the Census of Agriculture. In this book, I use the number of farms estimated by National Agricultural Statistics Service and Economic Research Service, USDA, unless otherwise indicated. I have, though, adjusted the 1993-98 National Agricultural Statistics Service estimates to be consistent with the trend in farm numbers evident from the 1992 and 1997 Census of Agriculture figures.
2. As will be discussed more fully later in this chapter, one must use caution in comparing the size distribution of farms in different years because of changes in the purchasing power of the dollar.
3. In addition to the problems noted in the text, the dollar ranges of the sales categories themselves have been changed over the years as documented in the footnotes to the appropriate appendix Tables.
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4. For one method of approximating the distribution of farm numbers on a common basis of prices for 1969 and 1978, see B. F. Stanton, "Changes in Farm Size and Structure in American Agriculture in the Twentieth Century," in Arne Hallam (ed.), Size, Structure, and the Changing Face of American Agriculture (Boulder: Westview Press, 1993), Chapter 4.
5. See Judith Jones Putnam and Jane E. Allshouse, Food Consumption, Prices, and Expenditures, 1970-97, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Statistical Bulletin Number 965, April 1999.

## 4. Farm Family Income and Wealth

## Farm Income

Income of the farm population can be measured in a variety of ways, all of which have their special problems. It is useful here to begin with Economic Research Service's accounting methods. Gross farm income is defined as the sum of cash income from farm marketings, income from farm-related activities, direct government payments, value of home consumption, rental value of dwellings, and the value of inventory adjustment. Net farm income is the difference between gross farm income and total production expenses. A measure of farm population money plus nonmoney income is then obtained by subtracting direct government payments from net farm income. If, in addition, one nets out all nonmoney income-that is, the value of food produced and consumed on the farm, changes in the value of inventories, and the estimated rental value of farm dwellings-one obtains an estimate of "money" income from farming. I call this initial measure of farm population income, net money income from farm sources. A second measure of farm population income adds to the first, direct government payments. This is simply net money income from farm sources plus government payments. Finally, many farm operators and their spouses are known to earn substantial income from nonfarm jobs. Thus, a third measure of farm population income is obtained by adding offfarm income to the second resulting in net money income of farm families from all sources. ${ }^{1}$

When expressed on a per-farm-household basis, these income measures can be compared with the mean money income of all U.S. households as estimated by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Such comparisons are made, however, only for the purpose of examining the relative trends in money incomes of the farm and nonfarm populations. This is not to suggest that money income of the farm population is equivalent dollar-for-dollar to money income of the nonfarm population. Indeed, it might be argued that farm people receive other benefits that nonfarm people do not, so that these money income figures are in fact not directly comparable. Other benefits include psychic benefits associated with owning one's own land and residing in rural areas, economic returns associated with spending less time and money getting to and from work, benefits from having access to more home-produced food than do nonfarm families, benefits from paying less rent for housing, and so on.

The reader must also be aware that net farm income is a sector concept. That is, nonfarm contractors and nonfarm corporations and cooperatives also have claims on some of what is reported as farm income. Based on new survey procedures, Economic Research Service estimates that in 1991 only 68 percent of farm income went to farm proprietorships, partnerships, and family corporations with the remainder going to contractors and nonfarm corporations and cooperatives. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, net money income of farm families from all sources as defined above overstates the income of farm families. This overstatement has no doubt become more pronounced in recent years as more and more farmers have entered into contractual relations with nonfarm firms such as feed dealers and processors.

In the absence of a consistent time series for nonfarm claims on net farm income for the entire 1950-98 period, the three measures of money income of farm families defined above and expressed on a per household basis are graphed in Figure 4.1 along with the mean money income of all U.S. households. The comparisons suggest that money income from all sources of farm households was relatively low in the 1950s and early 1960s. Through the 1970s and early 1980s, money income from all sources of farm households equaled or slightly exceeded that of the general population. Since the mid1980s, money income from all sources of farm households appears to have been well above that of the general population. Recent estimates of nonfarm claims on net farm income made by Economic Research Service, USDA, however, suggest that since about 1990, money income of farm households has tracked much more closely to mean money income of all U.S. households than Figure 4.I shows. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, the conclusion that money income of farm households is well above that of the general population must be tempered somewhat.


Figure 4.1 Income of farm and nonfarm households in the United States, 1950-98.
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We know that farm income is much more variable than is nonfarm income because of the riskiness of the farming business. This is certainly evident from Figure 4.1-especially following 1970. We also know that the distribution of income is more skewed in the farming business than in other occupations, and that there is a greater incidence of poverty in agriculture than in the nonfarm sector of the economy. Nevertheless, the gap between average money incomes of farm and nonfarm households appears clearly to have been closed as of about 1970.

Government payments to farmers have certainly assisted many farm families, but, in general, government payments have not been significant in narrowing the gap between farm and nonfarm incomes (Fig. 4.1). Off-farm income of farm families has been a most significant factor in narrowing this gap. Off-farm income has constituted well over 50 percent of total farm family money income since 1980 (see Table A3). In some years (e.g., 1980, 1983, and 1985), off-farm income has approached or even exceeded 70 percent of total farm family money income. The data from the Census of Agriculture in Table 4.I also support the importance of off-farm jobs to farm families. Here we see that over one-half of the farm operators now work off-farm and over one-third work 200 or more days off-farm. Clearly, off-farm work has become increasingly important to many farm families since the 1950 s.

The distribution of off-farm income by farms in different sales categories has not been estimated by Economic Research Service, USDA, since 1992. From the data available through 1992 from Economic Research Service and projected through 1998 by the author, ${ }^{4}$ it is clear that most of the off-farm income is earned by families on small farms. It is interesting to note, however, that even on the farms we generally consider to be of commercial size (i.e., those with annual cash sales of $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 249,999$ ), off-farm income constituted near or slightly over 20 percent of net cash income for most of the years through 1991 (see Table A3).

The crucial importance of off-farm income to most farm families has significant implications for the rural community. If nonfarm jobs are not available, these farm families will not be able to remain in farming. In many cases, it may be surmised that if the farms do not survive, neither will the rural community since the rural community depends not only on the demand of farmers for market outlets, farm inputs, and services, but also on farm families for surplus labor needed to staff local businesses or service agencies.

Table 4.1 Percentage of Farm Operators Working Off-Farm in the United States, 1949-97

|  | 1949 <br> $(\%)$ | 1954 <br> $(\%)$ | 1959 <br> $(\%)$ | 1964 <br> $(\%)$ | 1969 <br> $(\%)$ | 1974 <br> $(\%)$ | 1978 <br> $(\%)$ | 1982 <br> $(\%)$ | 1987 <br> $(\%)$ | 1992 <br> $(\%)$ | 1997 <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No days <br> Some days | 61.3 | 53.5 | 55.1 | 53.7 | 45.7 | 35.9 | 38.1 | 38.5 | 40.4 | 41.6 | 39.5 |
| 200 or <br> more days | 23.4 | 21.5 | 23.7 | 26.1 | 31.9 | 28.4 | 31.1 | 34.6 | 35.3 | 34.6 | 37.1 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Agriculture.
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The lower panel of Table A3 provides detail on the ratio of net cash farm income from all sources by sales category and mean money income per U.S. household. Here we see that farms in the smallest sales category were earning an income below the mean money income per U.S. household throughout the entire period. Farms in the $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ sales category faired somewhat better relative to the average U.S. household, but have also recorded net cash incomes from all sources below the mean money income of the average U.S. household in most years since 1975. Farms in the larger sales categories, however, have generally recorded net cash incomes from all sources well above the mean money income of the average U.S. household over the entire period even though the ratio has declined somewhat over the years.

## Farm Expenses

The relative importance of different expense items has not changed greatly over the years since 1950 (see Table A7). Interest expense, fertilizer and pesticide expense, and electricity expense now constitute a higher proportion of gross cash farm income. Labor expense, fuel and oil expense, building expense, and machine and equipment expense now constitute a lower proportion of gross cash farm income. Collectively, nonfarmproduced inputs now constitute a higher proportion of total expenses than do farmproduced inputs.

The profit margin farmers receive (as measured, roughly, by the percentage that net farm income is of gross cash farm income) is now considerably lower than it was in the 1950s (see Table A7 and Fig. 4.2). This is not necessarily an unhealthy or a surprising


Figure 4.2 Net farm income as a percentage of gross farm income in the United States, $1950-98$.
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situation, nor is it a justification for increased public support. It is explainable in large part by the tremendous increases in agricultural productivity since 1950 (see chapter 9 ). Nevertheless, the reduction in farm profit margin suggests that if sales volumes on the nation's farms had not increased over this period, family incomes on these farms would have been well below 1950 levels. We will return to this theme in chapter 9.

## Wealth of Farm Families

Cash or money income is one measure of the relative well-being of farm families. Wealth is another. On the basis of wealth, we must conclude that farm families are in a superior position! Total assets of U.S. households and nonprofit organizations in 1997 were $\$ 39,254$ billion (Statistical Abstract of the United States, 1998). From Table A6 we see that farm assets in 1997 were $\$ 1,083$ billion. Using these figures and the number of U.S. and farm households shown in Table Aı, we estimate that in 1997 total assets per nonfarm household were $\$ 374,225$ while total assets per farm household were $\$ 698,710$. This finding is consistent with that of a 1986 Economic Research Service study in which it was concluded that "The wealth of farm operator households is greater than the wealth of U.S. households at all levels of income."5

## Notes

1. A consistent estimate of off-farm income of farm families is only available from 1960-1992. For the 1950-59 period, aggregate off-farm income of farm families is estimated on the basis of the Personal Income series, 1934-79, reported in U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Indicators of the Farm Sector: Income and Balance Sheet Statistics, 1979, Economics and Statistics Service, Statistical Bulletin Number 650, December 1980. Beginning in 1993, off-farm income is estimated from data reported in U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Outlook, Economic Research Service. In the latter report, Economic Research Service derives farm operator household income estimates from the Farm Costs and Returns Survey that are consistent with Current Population Survey methodology used by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. This latter estimate is defined as the "income from off-farm sources per farm operator household." To derive an estimate of aggregate off-farm income, I multiply this latter estimate times the number of farm households shown in Table Ar.
2. See Economic Research Service, Agricultural Income and Finance Situation and Outlook Report, USDA, AF-50, September 1993.
3. See Economic Research Service, Agricultural Income and Finance Situation and Outlook Report, USDA, AIS-70, December 1998.
4. Off-farm income by sales category since 1992 was estimated by the author on the basis of the distribution of aggregate off-farm income across sales categories in 1992.
5. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Indicators of the Farm Sector: Farm Sector Review, 1986, Economic Research Service, ECIFS 6-3, January 1988, p. 52.

## 5. Farm Ownership, Tenancy, and Type

## Owners and Tenants

In 1997, 60 percent of the farms in the United States were operated by full owners, but only about 33 percent of the acres were farmed by full owners (Table 5.I). Apparently a higher percentage of small farms are operated by full owners. Indeed, based on a 1979 USDA farm survey ${ }^{1}$ nearly 75 percent of the land operared by farmers with annual cash sales of less than $\$ 20,000$ was owned by these operators.

Part owners now operate 30 percent of the farms and about 55 percent of the farm acreage. The percentage of farms and of farm acreage operated by part owners has steadily increased. Part owners have become a relatively more significant part of the total number of farm operators and have operated a significantly larger percentage of the total

Table 5.1 Farm Ownership and Tenancy and Acres Operated by Owners and Tenants in the United States, 1949-97

|  | 1949 | 1954 | 1959 | 1964 | 1969 | 1974 | 1978 | 1982 | 1987 | 1992 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farms operated by: |  |  |  |  | Percent (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full owners | 57.6 | 57.6 | 57.4 | 57.9 | 62.5 | 61.5 | 58.5 | 59.2 | 59.3 | 57.7 | 60.0 |
| Part owners | 15.4 | 18.2 | 22.6 | 24.9 | 24.6 | 27.1 | 28.8 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 31.0 | 30.0 |
| Tenants | 27.0 | 24.1 | 20.0 | 17.2 | 12.9 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 10.0 |
| Acres operated by: |  |  |  |  | Percent (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full owners | 39.8 | 37.5 | 34.2 | 32.0 | 35.3 | 35.3 | 30.6 | 32.8 | 32.9 | 31.3 | 33.9 |
| Part owners | 40.1 | 44.6 | 49.7 | 53.5 | 51.8 | 52.6 | 57.1 | 55.4 | 53.9 | 55.7 | 54.5 |
| Tenants | 20.1 | 18.0 | 16.1 | 14.5 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 11.9 | 13.2 | 13.0 | 11.6 |
| Operated by: |  |  |  |  | Average acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full owners | 136 | 145 | 164 | 175 | 220 | 252 | 205 | 237 | 257 | 266 | 276 |
| Part owners | 512 | 544 | 604 | 682 | 819 | 852 | 780 | 812 | 854 | 883 | 885 |
| Tenants | 147 | 166 | 222 | 268 | 390 | 467 | 384 | 439 | 528 | 566 | 566 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Agriculture.
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farm acres operated. Full tenants have become a relatively less significant part of the total and have operated a significantly smaller percentage of the total farm acres. The relative importance of full tenants has diminished so that they now operate only about Io percent of the farms and about 12 percent of the farm acreage. Many full tenants have transferred out of agriculture or become part owners.

## Business Organizations in Farming

Data on the form of business organization in farming are available only from the last five censuses. In 1997, about 86 percent of the two million farms in the United States were operated by individuals or families, about 9 percent by partnerships, 4 percent by familyheld corporations, less than one-half of I percent by business corporations, and nearly I percent by agricultural cooperatives and public institutions (Table 5.2). Over the five census years for which data are available, there has been little change of significance in the relative importance of these different types of business organizations in agriculture. The percentage of farms operated by family-held corporations has increased slightly, but the percentage of farms operated by business corporations has remained fairly stable. These data debunk the fairly commonly held misconception that business corpora-

Table 5.2 Percentage of Farms and Acreage per Farm by Type of Farm Organization in the United States, 1978-97

|  | 1978 | 1982 | 1987 | 1992 | 1997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farms operated by: |  |  | Percent (\%) |  |  |
| Individual/family proprietor | 87.1 | 86.8 | 86.7 | 85.9 | 85.9 |
| Partnerships | 10.3 | 10.0 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 8.9 |
| Family-held corporations | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| Other corporations | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Coops, institutions, etc. | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Acres operated by: |  |  | Percent (\%) |  |  |
| Individual/family proprietor | 66.3 | 65.1 | 65.0 | 63.9 | 62.8 |
| Partnerships | 15.6 | 15.4 | 15.9 | 16.2 | 16.0 |
| Family-held corporations | 10.2 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 11.7 | 12.8 |
| Other corporations | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Coops, institutions, etc. | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.0 |
| Operated by: |  |  | Average acres |  |  |
| Individual/family proprietor | 342 | 330 | 347 | 365 | 356 |
| Partnerships | 680 | 680 | 768 | 818 | 881 |
| Family-held corporations | 2,342 | 2,143 | 1,760 | 1,718 | 1,571 |
| Other corporations | 2,770 | 2,024 | 2,167 | 1,484 | 1,507 |
| Coops, institutions, etc. | 6,931 | 5,317 | 5,396 | 5,280 | 4,378 |

[^0]
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tions are taking over agriculture in the United States. In fact, if one excludes familyheld corporations, corporations appear to be a fairly insignificant factor in the U.S. agricultural sector.

Similarly, the great bulk of the farm acres in the United States is operated by individuals or families, partnerships, and family-held corporations. Of the 956 million farm acres in the United States in 1997, about 63 percent were operated by individuals or families, 16 percent by partnerships, about 13 percent by family-held corporations, and slightly over I percent by business corporations. Another 7 percent of the farm acres were operated by a small number of other business forms, which includes agricultural cooperatives and public institutions.

## Age of Farm Operator

Much has been made recently of the fact that fewer and fewer young people are getting into farming these days in contrast to years past, and that existing farm operators are getting older. The data in Table 5.3 verify that farm operators have, on average, gotten progressively older since 1954 such that in 1997 the average age of a farm operator in the United States was 54.3 years. This is not particularly surprising given the reduction in number of farms and farm population since 1954.

Table 5.3 Average Age of Farm Operator in the United States, 1949-97

|  | 1949 | 1954 | 1959 | 1964 | 1969 | 1974 | 1978 | 1982 | 1987 | 1992 | 1997 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age of operator <br> (in years) | 48.3 | 49.6 | 50.5 | 51.3 | 51.2 | 51.7 | 50.3 | 50.5 | 52.0 | 53.3 | 54.3 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Agriculture.

## Note

I. Robert F. Boxley, "Farmland Ownership and the Distribution of Land Earnings," Agricultural Economic Research 37, no. 4 (Fall 1985): 40-44.

## 6. Resource Use in Agriculture

## Land

Land in farms in the United States has declined by about 20 percent from an historical peak of slightly more than I .2 billion acres in the early 1950 (Table $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ and Fig. 6.1). A portion of this decline is clearly due to the redefinition of a farm in 1974 . Other factors include ( 1 ) land bid away from agriculture by urban, industrial, highway, and airport uses; (2) land idled by conservation programs and public policies aimed at reduction of "surplus" agricultural production; and (3) land "abandoned" because it is no longer profitable in any use, including agricultural use.

From time to time, various interest groups and politicians have given passionate speeches about how rapidly we are losing prime agricultural land to industrial and resi-


Figure 6.I Land in farms and land planted to principal crops in the United States, 1950-98.
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dential developers, seriously threatening our capacity to produce the food needed by future generations. These individuals have certainly struck a resonant chord. A number of states have adopted agricultural land preservation schemes (at considerable taxpayer expense, to be sure), and several others are in the process of considering adoption of such schemes.

The character of agriculture in the different states differs widely. Similarly, the pressure on agriculture from population growth and development interests is not uniform across the nation. In the aggregate, however, it is rather remarkable that over fifty years, land in farms in the United States has only declined by 20 percent while the U.S. population has increased by 78 percent. Even with this decline in land in farms, real agricultural output has more than doubled since 1950 (as measured by the index of all farm output). Thus, it is difficult to argue that our capacity for producing food has been seriously jeopardized.

It should also be noted that we would have lost some farm acreage even without pressure from population growth and development interests. We know, for example, that considerable land once producing farm commodities or supporting livestock enterprises is no longer in production because at current prices of farm commodities this land can no longer turn a profit in agricultural pursuits. This land is not near thriving urban centers, however, so it is not employed in nonagricultural uses either. Thus, it remains idle voluntarily-that is, it is "abandoned." Unfortunately, the Bureau of the Census does not provide estimates of the amount of such land.

## Acres Planted and Enterprise Mix

Land planted to crops is also shown in Figure 6.I. Clearly, there has been much more variability in land planted to crops than in land in farms over the years. Most of this variability is due to the general ups and downs in U.S. agriculture over this period. During the late 1950 s and 1960s, for example, agricultural surpluses were a major problem. Congress's means of dealing with this problem was to encourage farmers to idle substantial amounts of cropland. The same problem existed and the same solution was sought during the 1990s. During the late I970s and early 1980s, on the other hand, demand was strong and farmers were encouraged to plant "fence row to fence row."

The proportion of farm acreage planted to crops and harvested, on the other hand, has remained quite stable over the forty-eight-year period since 1950 (Table A5). Similarly, the proportion of acreage devoted to wheat and the major feed grains has changed very little since 1950 . Oat acreage has declined largely because less of this commodity has been needed for animal feed as machine power has substituted for animal power. Cotton acreage declined slightly through the mid-I980s as the demand for cotton decreased, but has had a slight resurgence in recent years as consumers have shown a preference for cotton fiber over synthetic fibers. Soybean acreage increased significantly in the early years of the period under study as the demand for protein feed increased and soybeans became a more popular crop. Sugar acreage (beet plus cane) has increased only slightly. Tobacco acreage has decreased by over one-half. Peanut acreage has remained quite stable since 1950.

All this suggests that the supply of land for crops is very price inelastic in both the short run and the long run (that is, large land price changes are accompanied by small changes in the quantity of land available). Apparently the opportunity cost of keeping cropland in crop production is very low, and the cost of cropping land not previously used for crops is relatively high. Although there are no data in the tables in Appendix 2 showing grazing land, the supply of grassland for grazing can also be expected to be quite inelastic. Much of the land for grazing is controlled by the Bureau of Land Management, which limits the number of animals that can be grazed on publicly owned but privately operated lands in federal grazing districts.

The proportion of total cash receipts from farming derived from the various farm enterprises is shown in the lower portion of Table A4. The relative stability in the percentages over the forty-eight-year period is remarkable. In some cases (hogs, eggs, tobacco, and cotton), noticeable but small declines are evident. In other cases (poultry, feed grains, oil-crops, fruits, and vegetables), small increases are noted. But for most enterprises, the changes in relative importance are quite small over the entire period.

## Labor

Total employment in agriculture (operator plus hired labor) has declined by over 70 percent and the number of hired farm workers has declined by more than 60 percent since 1950 (Fig. 6.2). As we will see more clearly later, there has been substantial substitution of the relatively cheaper capital and machinery inputs for the relatively more expensive labor input. This has been a major force in the decline of hired farm workers. Another factor in this decline is the fact that the agricultural labor market is now much more mobile than it was during the 1950 s and 1960 s when for various reasons (lack of non-


Figure 6.2 Farm employment in the United States, 1950-98.
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farm jobs, lack of skills, discrimination, etc.) many farm workers were trapped in agriculture.

## Capital

Gross capital expenditures on buildings and land, machinery and equipment, and motor vehicles in agriculture have increased by some 225 percent since 1950 (Fig. 6.3). There was a great upsurge in capital use in the 1970s to a peak of over $\$ 20$ billion in 1979. A good case could be made for the fact that farmers collectively had overcapitalized by the late 1970 os to the point of getting in serious financial difficulty as demand for agricultural output fell, farm-commodity prices fell, and interest rates remained high. In response, capital use declined sharply over the next decade. Since 1986, however, expenditures on gross capital have again been rising fairly steeply (Fig. 6.3).

Another way to examine capital use in agriculture is to express gross capital expenditures on buildings and land, machinery and equipment, and motor vehicles as a percentage of cash receipts from farm marketings as is done in Table Ar and in Figure 6.3. Here we see the same general trends as noted above, although the upward trend from the middle and late 1950 s to 1978 is not nearly as dramatic. The precipitous fall between 1978 and I986 is, though, clearly evident here as is the subsequent rise following 1986. However, whereas this percentage was nearly eighteen in 1978, it has remained in the eight-to-nine range since 1986. Thus, it would appear that U.S. farmers should not be in as serious financial difficulty today as they were in the late 1970s.


Figure 6.3 Gross capital expenditures on agricultural land, buildings, machinery, and equipment in the United States, 1950-98.

## 7. Farm Assets and Farm Debt

## Assets

Total assets in U.S. agriculture have trended upward since 1950 as has total debt (see Table A6). The debt-asset ratio has also trended upward showing an exceptional peak in the mid-x980s (Fig. 7.1). Real debt per acre rose steadily between 1950 and 1980 , fell rapidly through the mid-1980s, and has been increasing again through the 1990s (Fig. 7.2). The increase in agricultural debt (Fig. 7.2), the increase in interest rates (Fig. 7.3), and the declining value of land (Fig. 7.4) leading to an erosion of loan security values, all in the same time frame during the early 1980 , highlight why several farmers had financial difficulties during this period-indeed why several went bankrupt.


Figure 7.1 Debt-asset ratio in farming in the United States, $1950-98$.


Figure 7.2 Real agricultural debt per acre of land in farms in the United States, 1950-98.


Figure 7.3 Cash rent on farms in Iowa as a percentage of per-acre value of Iowa farmland and buildings, and interest rate on ten-year U.S. Treasury securities, 1950-98.


Figure 7.4 Per-acre value of agricultural land in the United States, $1950-98$.

## Land Values

One would expect land values to be influenced by the earning capacity of the land and by the opportunity cost of money tied up in that land (i.e., the interest rate). Algebraically the expected relationship between these variables can be expressed as

$$
\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{R} / i
$$

where V is the current value of land, R is the expected returns to land, and $i$ is the interest rate. Rearranging terms in this equation leads us to conclude that land returns should result in land values such that R/V approximates the competitive return on money invested in land, that is,

$$
\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{V}=i
$$

It is not entirely clear what interest rate should be used for this competitive return. For the pure investor, this competitive return may be expected to approximate the "riskfree" real rate of interest on long-term securities. The owner-operator, however, will generally require a positive risk premium since he or she will need to consider the income risk associated with farming the land. Thus, for an owner-operator, the nominal rate of interest on long-term securities may be more appropriate. In the analysis that follows, I use the nominal rate of interest on long-term securities for this competitive return. ${ }^{1}$

Figure 7.3 shows the trend in R/V for lowa over the $1950-98$ period where $R$ is per-acre cropland rent in Iowa and $V$ is per-acre land value in Iowa. ${ }^{2}$ Also shown in Figure
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7.3 is $i$, the rate of interest on ten-year U.S. Treasury securities. Iowa was used here as a state representative of the Corn Belt where agriculture is dominated by one or two crops, there is less urban pressure than in many other states, and leasing of agricultural land is a relatively common practice. The same general pattern and conclusions would nevertheless have been evident had we chosen almost any other state in the Corn Belt or plains states where leasing is a common practice.

In Figure $\mathbf{7 . 3}$, it is seen that only in recent years has $R / V$ been consistently near $i$ and moving in the same general direction. In the 1950s, 1960s, and early 1970s, there was a wide divergence between R/V and $i$. The late 1970 and early 1980 s represent a special case. During this period, export demand subsided leading to reduced farm commodity prices, which in turn signaled a reduction in land values from the peak levels of the early 1970s. Further, in 1979, Federal Reserve Bank policy directed toward curbing inflation pushed interest rates to record levels. Thus, the value of collateral for farm loans dropped precipitously, and farmers' interest expense rose steeply. The resulting high rate of bankruptcies in agriculture during this period was inevitable.

Clearly, government policy does seem to matter! In the 1980s, it was federal monetary policy that helped spell disaster for American farmers. During the 1950s and early 1960s, government programs for agriculture served to maintain high price supports, which became capitalized into land values. The latter kept the price of agricultural land well above levels that were sustainable by the marketplace. This is evident in Figure 7.3 in the consistent disparity between R/V and $i$ up until 1975.

## Debt-Asset Ratios

Debt-asset ratios by sales categories have been reported by Economic Research Service, USDA, only for the 1982-93 period. The ratios available are presented in Table 7.I for farms in the different sales categories. Again, the reader is cautioned about comparing debt-asset ratios across years for a given sales category since the sales categories are not constant value. Nevertheless, this table shows that, in general, total farm debt as a percentage of total farm assets rises directly with sales volume.

Table 7.1 Debt-Asset Ratios of Farms by Sales Categories in the United States, 1982-93

| Sales category | 1982 | 1985 | 1990 | 1993 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under $\$ 20,000$ | 13.2 | 15.6 | 11.4 | 12.3 |
| $\$ 20,000-49,999$ | 19.8 | 17.8 | 12.7 | 11.3 |
| $\$ 50,000-99,999$ | 21.4 | 21.7 | 15.5 | 15.6 |
| $\$ 100,000-249,999$ | 24.9 | 24.4 | 18.7 | 17.2 |
| $\$ 250,000-499,999$ | 33.2 | 26.5 | 19.3 | 17.7 |
| $\$ 500,000$ and over |  | 38.2 | 21.8 | 25.9 |

## Notes

r. A good discussion of time preferences, interest rates, and inflation in connection with valuing agricultural resources is contained in Vernon Eidman, Arne Hallam, Mitch Morehart, and Karen Klonsky, eds., "Commodity Costs and Returns Estimation Handbook: A Report of the AAEA Task Force on Commodity Costs and Returns," July 20, 1998, Ames, Iowa.
2. The historical data for this analysis were obtained from John Jones and Patrick N. Canning, Farm Real Estate: Historical Series Data, 1950-92, Economic Research Service, USDA, Statistical Bulletin Number 85 5, May 1993; and John Jones and Roger W. Hexem, Cash Rents for Farms, Cropland, and Pasture, 1960-89, Economic Research Service, USDA, Statistical Bulletin Number 813, October 1990. Updates were provided by John Jones and recent National Agricultural Statistics Service reports on land values and cash rent.

## 8. Prices: Received, Paid, and Variability

## Prices Received and Prices Paid

The trend in market prices of major farm commodities deflated by the Consumer Price Index is shown in Table A8. This table and Figure 8.I show the trend in the indexes of prices received and prices paid by farmers also deflated by the Consumer Price Index. Table A8 and Figure 8.I point out clearly that real prices of all farm commodities have declined since 1950, by as much as one-half or more for grain sorghum, soybeans, peanuts, potatoes, sugar beets, tobacco, most of the livestock commodities, and milk, to one-fourth or more for wheat, corn, oats, barley, cotton, rice, broilers, and turkeys. Overall, real crop prices have declined more steeply than have real livestock prices (Fig. 8.1). Real prices paid, on the other hand, have decreased only slightly, and in fact were

Index $(1990-92=100)$


Figure 8.I Real prices received and real prices paid by farmers in the United States, 1950-98.
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somewhat higher during the 1970s and early 1980s than in the 1950s and I960s. U.S. farmers have been facing a seemingly never-ending price-cost squeeze since 1950 . This conclusion must be tempered, however, by a consideration of productivity increases in agriculture as will be discussed in Chapter 9.

Trends in ratios of animal prices to corn prices are shown in the lower panel of Table A9. The animal-corn price ratios are most interesting for what they tell us about the profitability of animal feeding over time. The hog-corn price ratio, for example, provides a measure of the number of bushels of corn it would take to buy one-hundred pounds of live pork. The remaining animal-corn price ratios are to be similarly interpreted. When these ratios are increasing, the indicated animal production, in general, can be viewed as becoming more attractive to farmers. This conclusion needs to be tempered, however, by considerations of the importance of corn in the total cost of production and by technological change. Costs other than corn have become more important in animal production over the years so that the ratio of animal prices to corn prices has lost some of its significance as a measure of profitability and as a decision-making tool. It is also clear that as animal yields increase (such as has been the case for broilers and layers from 1950 through at least 1975 , and for milk throughout the entire 1950-98 period) resulting in lower animal prices, the feed-price ratio will be lower than without the technological change. In such cases, the feed-price ratio is not particularly useful at all as a measure of profitability.

The hog-corn, steer-corn, lamb-corn, and milk-corn price ratios show a steady upward trend over the entire 1950-98 period. The broiler-, egg-, and turkey-corn price ratios declined until 1975 or the early 1980 s then rose sharply before leveling off during the I990s. In the case of hogs, beef, and lambs, the price ratios vary considerably and in a cyclical fashion. This tendency is much less evident in poultry because of the shorter growing period for poultry. In the case of dairy, the milk-corn price ratio was much more stable about the trend over the 1950-98 period than in the case of meat animals and poultry. The dairy price-support program has played a key role in reducing variability in this case. For the other commodities, however, government has not been a major factor, so the feed-price ratios have been free to move with market forces.

## Price Variability

Some interesting lessons can be learned by examining the variability of prices received by farmers over the $1950-98$ period (Table 8.1). Table 8.1 shows indices of variation for prices of various agricultural and nonagricultural commodities for each of five time periods since 1950 . These indices were computed from annual data after extracting a straight-line trend from the price data so that the resulting variability indexes would not be biased by trend ${ }^{1}$ where n is the number of observations in the time series on P , and $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the estimated value of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ based on a regression of P on a variable representing time. If all of the $P_{i}$ lie on the regression line, the coefficient so computed is zero, indicating no variability about the trend line. If there is no trend so that $A_{i}$ equals the mean of $P$ for all i, then the coefficient so computed is equal to the Coefficient of Variation calculated as

Table 8.1 Index of Variability of Prices of Selected Commodities, 1950-98.

| Commodity | 1950-59 | 1960-69 | 1970-79 | 1980-89 | 1990-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Supported agricultural commodities |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 3.9 | 10.3 | 34.7 | 14.7 | 16.0 |
| Rice | 6.7 | 3.0 | 31.7 | 22.3 | 11.9 |
| Corn | 4.2 | 6.0 | 25.4 | 17.5 | 13.3 |
| Oats | 6.5 | 4.2 | 24.4 | 23.8 | 16.6 |
| Barley | 7.2 | 7.0 | 28.4 | 17.3 | 14.1 |
| Rye | 10.3 | 5.2 | 26.2 | 18.4 | 9.5 |
| Grain sorghum | 13.2 | 5.8 | 21.7 | 16.7 | 18.4 |
| Soybeans | 5.5 | 6.5 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 8.6 |
| Cotton | 5.5 | 8.6 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 9.8 |
| Sugar beets | 3.3 | 4.6 | 36.1 | 13.7 | 5.9 |
| Peanuts | 6.3 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| Tobacco | 3.0 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 1.8 |
| Wool | 20.1 | 10.4 | 30.6 | 25.4 | 22.2 |
| Milk, all wholesale | 6.5 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 6.0 |
| Honey | 4.6 | 4.0 | 16.3 | 5.6 | 13.3 |
| Nonsupported agricultural commodities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potatoes | 28.4 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 16.6 | 11.2 |
| Steers, choice | 15.3 | 6.6 | 12.4 | 7.8 | 3.2 |
| Vealers, choice | 16.9 | 7.7 | 21.4 | 12.8 | 12.0 |
| Lamb | 14.9 | 6.4 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 9.5 |
| Barrows and gilts | 14.3 | 11.3 | 17.3 | 10.0 | 15.4 |
| Broilers | 6.6 | 6.2 | 14.1 | 6.8 | 3.7 |
| 'Turkeys | 4.6 | 8.3 | 14.0 | 10.7 | 4.6 |
| Eggs | 10.3 | 7.3 | 14.4 | 10.1 | 8.6 |
| Beans, snap | 5.4 | 2.4 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 2.6 |
| Tomatoes, processing | 6.8 | 9.4 | 12.7 | 6.2 | 4.6 |
| Apples | 18.5 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 13.7 |
| Grapes | 19.8 | 11.5 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 6.4 |
| Lemons | 13.3 | 16.8 | 20.5 | 32.5 | 11.4 |
| Oranges | 19.5 | 24.1 | 21.3 | 16.1 | 11.9 |
| Pecans | 22.3 | 26.8 | 20.6 | 13.4 | 27.4 |
| Cherries, tart | 19.7 | 37.8 | 73.5 | 41.3 | 48.4 |
| Cherries, sweet | 11.7 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 9.4 | 11.7 |
| Cranberries | 24.7 | 9.4 | 18.0 | 8.2 | 6.2 |
| Index of prices received and prices paid by farmers |  |  |  |  |  |
| All commodities | 6.9 | 2.4 | 10.2 | 5.8 | 3.8 |
| All crops | 4.3 | 2.9 | 16.4 | 8.8 | 5.9 |
| All livestock | 10.1 | 6.6 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 3.2 |
| All prices paid | 3.2 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 1.7 |
| Oil and gas and producer price indexes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude oil | 2.7 | 1.5 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 12.4 |
| Gasoline, retail | 2.1 | 3.7 | 22.4 | 7.3 | 5.4 |
| Crude materials | 5.1 | 2.9 | 7.7 | 5.1 | 5.4 |
| Intermediate materials | 2.5 | 1.7 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| Finished goods | 2.3 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 |

Note: Index of variability is the Coefficient of Vatiation estimated from detrended data (see text).
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shown in any standard statistics text. Relatively short time periods were used so that changes in variability over time could be observed, and so the time period over which the indexes were computed would not be unduly confounded by both an increasing and a decreasing trend. This procedure has the obvious disadvantage of giving undue weight to a year in which a quite large price change occurred. This possibility was judged not to be a major problem for the commodities analyzed here. It will be observed also that particularly turbulent periods (the early to mid-1970s and the 1980s, in particular) are confined to one time period rather than being spread over two periods.

The statistics reported in Table 8.1 reflect considerable differences in industry characteristics and must be interpreted in light of these differences. In the hog, beef, and sheep industries, for example, there are persistent cycles due to the production responses of producers in these industries, but these cycles are of differing lengths and amplitudes. Similarly, producers of field crops adjust production levels much more rapidly than do producers of tree crops. All of these factors will impact the variability indexes shown in Table 8.r.

Since supply and demand for most agricultural commodities are both highly priceinelastic (that is, large price changes are accompanied by very small changes in quantity), at least in the short run, small shifts in either the supply or demand schedule will lead to quite sizable changes in price. This situation, coupled with the fact that agricultural output is quite sensitive to the vagaries of nature, leads many people to assume that prices of agricultural commodities will be highly variable. The indexes shown in Table 8.I support this proposition.

Thus, the first lesson to be learned from the indices shown in Table 8.1 is that agricultural commodities are characterized by considerable price variability. Most commodities experienced greater levels of price variability during the period of food and energy shortages (the 1970s) and its aftermath (the 1980s). This variability was true of both supported and nonsupported commodities, although less so for many of the latter. One might speculate that the price variability of supported commodities would have been higher without the government programs that were in effect. Interestingly enough, even though price support policy has been in effect continuously over this entire period for wheat, rice, feed grains, cotton, wool, and honey, prices of these commodities have not been stable. On the other hand, prices of tobacco, peanuts, and milk-additional commodities for which price support policy has been in effect continuously over the entire period—have remained relatively stable throughout the period. Price stability for the latter commodities can undoubtedly be attributed to their effective isolation from foreign competition and/or very tight controls on price and production.

A second lesson to be learned from the indices shown in Table 8.I is that a number of agricultural producers are operating successfully in the face of considerable price variability but without price and income support or protection from foreign competition. Consider, for example, the relatively high price variability for potatoes, hogs, apples, and all the other fruits included in Table 8.r. Marketing orders for lemons and oranges help to stabilize seasonal prices. These orders, however, do not use price supports buttressed with government purchases nor supply control to stabilize annual fruit prices.

A third lesson to be learned from the indexes in Table 8.1 is that government regulation is neither a necessary nor a sufficient condition for price stability. The broiler, turkey, egg, tomato, and snap bean industries, for example, have managed to maintain reasonably stable prices on their own and without price supports, loan rates, or production controls.

Final lessons to be learned from the last section of Table 8.1 are ( $\mathbf{x}$ ) that agriculture is not the only industry subject to price variability, and (2) that prices of intermediate and final goods tend to be more stable than are prices at the producer level. The latter can be explained in terms of the different behavior of entrepreneurs in the different sectors. In the intermediate and finished goods sectors, firms operate with relatively constant short-run marginal cost. Price tends to be set as a proportionate markup over marginal cost. In the primary commodity sectors, on the other hand, firms are price takers. When aggregate demand in the domestic market increases, output in the intermediate and finished goods sectors increases with little increase in price because of the relative output flexibility of firms in these sectors. In the primary commodity sectors, however, output cannot be increased quickly so prices increase when aggregate demand increases. In a subsequent period, prices in the intermediate and final goods sectors increase as raw material prices increase forcing firms to increase intermediate and final goods prices to maintain their markups. As prices of intermediate and final goods increase, wages increase to maintain real incomes of workers leading to further goods price increases. Hence, price increases for intermediate and final goods usually lag price increases for primary commodities. Furthermore, prices of raw materials make up only a small share of the inputs into intermediate and final goods. Hence, intermediate and final goods prices will increase by a smaller percentage than will prices of raw materials.

## Note

r. The coefficients were calculated as 100 times the square root of

$$
\frac{\sum_{i}^{n}\left\{\left(P_{i}-A_{i}\right)^{2} / A_{i}\right\}}{(n-1)}
$$

# 9. Agricultural Productivity and Its Implications for Farmers 

## Agricultural Productivity

Increases in agricultural productivity have significant implications for farmers as well as for the general public. Increased agricultural productivity means that American farmers contribute to improving society's general standard of living by producing food commodities with fewer inputs. Thus, the real price of all goods and services, not just food, is lowered. Further, lower real agricultural output prices improves the international competitive position of U.S. agriculture. Hence, farmers also benefit from an expanded market for their produce.

Tables Aio and Air and Figures 9.1-9.3 show trends in various measures of agricultural productivity since 1950 . People fed per farm worker (Fig. 9.1) has increased from a mere 15 in I950 to 96 in 1998. People fed per farm worker is often used as a


Figure 9.1 People fed per farm worker and total factor productivity in U.S. agriculture, 1950-98.


Figure 9.2 Milk production per cow and eggs laid per hen in the United States, 1950-98.


Figure 9.3 Corn, wheat, and peanut yield per acre in the United States, 1950-98.
summary measure of the tremendous growth in agricultural productivity since 1950. It is simply another measure of the rapid rate of decline of farm workers relative to the total population as nonlabor inputs have substituted for labor inputs. Nevertheless, it does indicate much about the productive capability of farm workers remaining in agriculture over this time span.

A superior measure of agricultural productivity is provided by the ratio of the index of total agricultural output to the index of total agricultural inputs-a measure of total factor productivity. This ratio is also shown in Figure 9.1 and in Table Ari. While total factor productivity has not increased as rapidly as has people fed per farm worker, it has nevertheless increased markedly-from 4 I in 1950 to 109 in 1998.

Some of the more dramatic trends shown in Table AII and Figures 9.2 and 9.3 relate to crop and animal yields. In every case, yields are up significantly providing more evidence about the productive capability of agriculture over time. The greatest yield increases have been observed in corn production and milk production-and we probably have yet to see the full impacts of bovine somatotropin on milk production or of Bacillus thuringiensis bioinsecticide products on crop yields. Rather large yield increases have been observed in sorghum, wheat, rice, peanut, and cotton production as well. Many have looked at the new research area referred to as "biotechnology" as something that will revolutionize agriculture and cause huge agricultural adjustment problems. It might well be said, however, that a technological revolution in agriculture is nothing new, nor are the adjustment problems associated with technological change.

## Farm Input Use

Table Aio also shows the trends in quantities of key farm inputs used since 1950. Among other things, this table highlights the steep decline in labor use and the corresponding steep increase in machinery and chemical use since 1950 . The total number of tractors per 100 acres planted has not changed significantly since 1950. Total tractor horsepower used per IOO acres planted, however, has increased steadily, nearly quadrupling over the 1950-86 period. Since the mid-1980s, tractor horsepower used per 100 acres planted has declined slightly.

Fertilizer use highlighted in Table Aıo and Figure 9.4 deserves special consideration. Per-acre use of nitrogen increased tenfold between 1950 and the early 1970s. Per-acre use of potash increased about sixfold between 1950 and 1980. Per-acre use of phosphate has also increased, but on a much less dramatic scale-about a threefold increase between I950 and the early 1970s. Since the early 1970s, per-acre nitrogen use has continued to increase, but at a much slower rate, and per-acre phosphate use has declined slightly. Since 1980, per-acre use of potash has leveled off after initially declining slightly.

A measure of the quantity of pesticide use is provided by real expenditures on pesticides per acre planted as recorded in Table Aro and Figure 9.4, that is, expenditures on pesticides divided by the index of prices paid by farmers for all inputs. Based on this measure, per-acre pesticide use has increased some tenfold since 1950. There was a reduction in pesticide use during the early and mid-1970s (no doubt in response to the
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Figure 9.4 Fertilizer and pesticide use per planted acre in the United States, 1950-98.
shortage of and high cost of energy), but this was followed by a steep rise in 1978-79, a leveling off during the 1980s, and a steep rise again beginning in 1990.

Various factors have no doubt been responsible for the change in fertilizer use in recent years including improved fertilizer use recommendations, better fertilizer management practices, and increased concerns about the environmental impacts of chemical use. Increased fertilizer and pesticide use has clearly resulted in substantial crop-yield increases and in lower food costs to consumers. At the same time, however, significant amounts of water pollution have accompanied the increased use of fertilizers and pesticides. Indeed this is an issue that will likely get increased attention from policy makers in the years ahead.

## Farm Productivity, Farm Prices, and Farm Size

We are now in a position to complete a story we have been pointing to since chapter 3 . This story concerns the relationship between agricultural productivity, prices of farm commodities declining faster in real terms than prices of farm inputs (Chapter 8), reduced profit margins (Chapter 3), and fewer and larger farms (Chapter 3).

Increasing productivity means farmers are able to produce more output with a given bundle of resources. This has strong implications for all of us-not just for farmers. Among other things, it means that the aggregate agricultural supply curve has shifted to the right as farmers have become more efficient. To be sure, the aggregate demand curve has also shifted to the right due to such factors as population growth and rising incomes,
but it has not shifted to the same extent as has the aggregate supply curve. The end result is that equilibrium real prices of agricultural commodities have declined as shown in Chapter 8.

All this seems reasonable. If farmers can produce more efficiently, they should be able to operate successfully with lower per-unit prices since their per-unit costs are also lower. But the fact of the matter is that as agricultural productivity has increased and as real prices of agricultural commodities have fallen, farmers' per-unit profit margins have also fallen (see Chapter 4). This combination of events has forced farmers to increase the number of units (acres, dairy cows, hogs, layers or broilers, etc.) they manage just to generate enough income to maintain the family's standard of living. In other words, farmers who remain in business are forced to farm larger and larger units.

It is instructive to return to the example of fewer and larger dairy farms since 1950 documented in chapter 3 by Figures 3.3 and 3.4 . Over the same period, milk production per cow in the United States has increased from an average of about 5,300 pounds per year in 1950 to nearly 17,000 pounds per year in 1997 (see Table Ari and Fig. 9.5). Also, over the same period, there has been a strong downtrend in the ratio of milk prices to wage rates (Fig. 9.5). ${ }^{1}$ Quite clearly, dairy farms that stayed in business adopted cost-reducing technology and got bigger. Those that could not manage larger herds, or could not acquire larger herds got out. This, we can be reasonably assured, will continue to happen into the future as technology continues to drive milk prices and profit margins down.


Figure 9.5 Milk production per cow and ratio of milk price to U.S. food manufacturing wage rate, 1950-98.
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## Adjustments in Farm Input Use

Clearly, farmers do adjust output as output prices change, but there is a limit to their response rate. In the short run, farmers can make enterprise adjustments that affect output given existing capacity. But they produce under conditions of very high fixed costs. Only when output price no longer covers the variable cost of fertilizer, fuel, seed, hired labor, and so on, does it pay to cut output. In the long run, farmers can only expand capacity by buying (or renting) additional land and equipment. Farmers who are not heavily in debt can and do continue to operate for several years even when price is substantially below average total cost. They have little choice. It is difficult to sell land and get out when land prices are extremely depressed. Further, the opportunity cost of a farmer's labor is often quite low, especially in rural areas. Thus, persistence would appear to be primarily the result of high fixed costs. In the short run, farmers will operate at more or less full capacity. In the long run, adjusting capacity is a slow and often painful process.

Tables Air and Ai2 provide information with which to judge the extent to which U.S. farmers have made adjustments in input use since 1950. Most of the output-toinput ratios shown in Table AII exhibit a strong upward trend, indicating increasing productivity of the inputs. Notice, however, that in the case of chemicals and power and machinery, the ratios vary considerably. As we shall see, one reason for this variability is the variability in relative prices of inputs.

Table Ar2 highlights the actual substitution of inputs in agricultural production from 1950 to 1998 as well as the incentives for this input substitution. As was indicated previously, the land input in agriculture has remained fairly constant since 1950 while labor use has declined. Thus, the steady increase in the ratio of land to labor use since I950 should not be surprising. It is also well known that chemical and machine use in agriculture increased quite rapidly (at the expense of labor use) through the 1950s, I960s, and 1970s. Therefore, the increase in the remaining ratios of input usage should not be surprising either. The quite large output-to-chemical-input ratios shown for the 1950s and early 1960 s is simply a reflection of the fact that there was relatively little use of herbicides, pesticides, insecticides, and commercial fertilizer during these years.

Substitutions in inputs are in large part influenced by corresponding changes in their prices. If, for example, the ratio of machine prices to wage rates is falling, then machinery prices are rising less rapidly than are labor prices. (Or machinery prices could be falling more rapidly than labor prices, but this is inconsistent with the facts.) This situation encourages farmers to substiture the relatively less-expensive machines for the relatively more-expensive labor-precisely what happened in U.S. agriculture, at least
through the early I970s. The remaining ratios shown in Table AI2 should be interpreted in a similar fashion.

Notice that during the mid-1970s, the 1980s, and the 1990s, different trends became evident in most of the output-input and input-input ratios shown in Table Air. Simultaneously, most of the input price ratios shown in Table AI 2 followed different trends. Trends in most of the input price ratios flattened out or even began to turn down somewhat. Some fluctuated substantially during the late 1970s and early 1980s, no doubt in response to the oil crises of this period. In response, farmers' substitution of machinery and chemical inputs for labor also slowed down (see Figs. 9.6 and 9.7). Of particular significance is the fact that farmers' substitution of machinery for labor declined decidedly in the 1990 (see Fig. 9.6), and farmers' substitution of chemicals for labor stabilized through the 1980s and 1990s (see Fig. 9.7). The former trend has been accompanied by a period of weak demand for farm machines (Table Aio) and a slowdown in the growth in size of farms (see Fig. 3.5). If in the future farmers refuse to buy more and bigger machines, they are not likely to be able to farm larger acreages. Thus, farm sizes could be expected to remain fairly stable if this phenomenon continues.


Figure 9.6 Substitution of machinery for labor in U.S. agriculture, 1950-98.
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Figure 9.7 Substitution of chemicals for labor in U.S. agriculture, 1950-98.

## Note

I. In Figure 9.5 I have used the wage rate ( $\$ /$ hour) of production workers in food manufacturing as representative of the opportunity wage rate for farmers.

## 10. Agricultural Exports and Imports

## Agricultural Exports

Agricultural exports have become a smaller proportion of total U.S. exports over the years since 1950 for the same reasons there has been a decline in the relative importance of agriculture in general to the U.S. economy over this period (see Chapter 2). Nevertheless, exports have historically been of key importance to the U.S. agricultural sector (see Table AI 3 and Fig. Io.1). U.S. farmers produce far more wheat, feed grains, rice, oilseeds, cotton, and tobacco, for example, than U.S. consumers will take off the market at prices near or above cost of production. It is crucial for these farmers, then, that their production in excess of domestic needs be sold in foreign markets.


Figure io. I Value of exports as a percentage of cash receipts from farming in the United States, 1950-98.

International trade in agricultural products is a complex topic that cannot be adequately covered in this book. It should be noted, however, that three major factors are at work here: ( I ) the value of U.S. currency or the U.S. exchange rate, (2) income growth in foreign countries, and (3) the extent to which foreign countries protect their agricultural sector by restricting imports or subsidizing exports. ${ }^{1}$ The consequences of the latter two factors are fairly clear. Income growth in foreign countries in general is expected to lead to increased demand for U.S. products. When foreign countries protect their own domestic agricultural sector by restricting imports or subsidizing exports, opportunities for U.S. sales of agricultural commodities abroad is restricted.

The first factor mentioned here is more complex. If the value of the U.S. dollar rises, meaning that it now takes more foreign money to buy one U.S. dollar, then the price of a U.S. commodity to the foreign buyer also rises, choking off foreign demand for this U.S. commodity. At the same time, of course, as the value of the U.S. dollar rises relative to foreign currencies, the demand by U.S. citizens for foreign products will rise leading to increased imports since the U.S. dollar will now go farther than it did before in the purchase of foreign goods.

The value of agricultural exports as a percentage of cash receipts from farm marketings increased from slightly more than ro percent in 1950 to 15 percent in 1970 and to nearly 30 percent in $1980-8 \mathrm{r}$ and again in the mid-1990s (Fig. 10.1). A most significant development of the 1970 was accelerated growth in exports of U.S. agricultural products due, in part, to a devaluation of the U.S. dollar and subsequently permission to allow the U.S. dollar to be openly traded on the world currency markets. Foreign demand for food outstripped foreign supply, making food-importing countries increasingly dependent on the United States as residual supplier. Following 1980 and continuing through the early 1990s, however, there was a relative decline in the value of exports of two of our principal agricultural export commodities-wheat and feed grains (Table AI3) —as world prices fell, and, more important, as other regions of the world (most notably the European Economic Community) increased production of these commodities. The export situation improved by the mid-1990s so that agricultural exports as a percentage of cash receipts from farming again climbed back up to the 30 percent level. ${ }^{2}$

The mix of agricultural commodity exports has changed considerably over the years since 1950 (see Table Ar3 and Fig. 10.2). The value of wheat, feed grain, and oilseed exports at first increased, but over the last twenty or so years declined as a proportion of the total. The value of animal products and fruit and vegetable products has taken up much of the slack. Exports of dairy products (never a significant part of the total) have declined slightly from 1950 levels as more of the world demand for dairy products has been satisfied by countries with a greater comparative advantage for milk production (e.g., New Zealand), and as Japan and countries in the European Union have increased their milk-support prices encouraging increased local production. Cotton and tobacco exports have declined in importance as world demand for these commodities has fallen off.

The United States is the world's dominant exporter of corn (and in general of feed grains) and oilseeds (Table Aı4). It accounts for about 30 percent of the world's exports


Figure 10.2 Value of exports of selected commodities as a percentage of total value of agricultural exports, 1950-98.
of wheat and 60 to 70 percent of the world's exports of soybeans. The United States also accounts for a significant portion of the world's exports of rice and cotton.

Table Ai 5 provides more detailed evidence of the importance of agricultural exports to U.S. producers for each of the various commodities. Here we see that exports are vitally important to U.S. wheat, sorghum, rice, cotton, corn, peanut, and tobacco producers, and, to a lesser extent, U.S. livestock producers. The rapid rise in the importance of broiler and turkey exports during the I990s, however, is particularly noteworthy.

The data in Table Ai 6 and Figure 10.3 show the trends in distribution of agricultural exports to various countries or regions of the world. The largest markets for U.S. agricultural exports are Japan, Western Europe, Hong Kong, Korea, Taiwan, Latin America, and Canada, in that order. Table AI6 and Figure 10.3 point out that agricultural exports to Japan have been relatively stagnant during the I990s (and indeed, since 1985), and agricultural exports to Western Europe have diminished significantly and steadily since 1950 . The growth markets in recent decades have been Mexico, Southeast Asia, Hong Kong, Korea, and Taiwan. U.S. agricultural exports to Mainland China were first recorded in 1973. U.S. agricultural exports to mainland China reached a high of 5 percent of total U.S. agricultural exports in 1981. Since 1981, exports to China have varied within the 1 to 4 percent range reaching another peak of 4.5 percent in 1995 and dropping to 2.8 percent in 1998.

## Agricultural Imports

Imports of agricultural products as a percentage of total U.S. imports have declined even more sharply than have exports of U.S. agricultural products as a percentage of total U.S.
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Figure 10.3 Percentage of U.S. agricultural exports to selected countries or regions, 1950-98.
exports-from 45 percent in 1950 to just over 4 percent in 1998 (Table AI3). Consequently, the agricultural trade balance became positive by 1960 and has become increasingly positive ever since. The mix of agricultural imports has also changed considerably since 1950 . A larger proportion of the value of our agricultural imports now consists of animal products, vegetables and vegetable products, and fruits and fruit products. Coffee, sugar, and complements now constitute a much smaller proportion of total agricultural imports. Dairy-product imports have increased slightly as a proportion of the total, but dairy-product imports are severely restricted by tight import controls. As in the case of dairy-product exports, dairy-product imports have never been a significant part of the total.

## Notes

1. See, for example, M. C. Hallberg, Policy for American Agriculture: Choices and Consequences (Ames: Iowa State University Press, 1992), Chapter 9.
2. It is interesting to note that the value of agricultural exports as a percentage of cash receipts from farming was nearly this high at the end of World War I but declined steadily to a low of 4 percent at the beginning of World War II.

## 11. Total and Government Stocks of Agricultural Commodities

Reserve stocks of commodities are held for a variety of purposes. First, some minimum level of stocks is necessary to keep the "pipelines" full. That is, even if production throughout the year were continuous and smooth, the product would take time to move through the marketing system from farms to grain merchants and to processors. Thus, grain merchants and processors need to have ready access to some reserve supply in order to meet day-to-day variations in demand. But production of agricultural commodities is not continuous and smooth throughout the year. Hence, producers and others have the opportunity to speculate by storing corn at harvestime with the hope that when the corn is finally sold, they will receive a price higher than the sum of price at harvest plus storage costs. Thus, there is a "speculative" demand for commodity storage in addition to the demand associated with keeping the "pipelines" full.

In agriculture, stocks accumulate for two additional reasons. The first reason is food security. Should a production shortfall occur as happened in 1988 and 1995, it would be desirable in most people's eyes to have a sizable reserve out of which to draw when current production is exhausted. The disastrous effects of not having a reserve supply are obvious when one considers the plight of the people of the Sahel in Africa or in North Korea during short production years. But neither are droughts rare events in the United States. The feed grain and soybean producing areas of the country suffered severe droughts in 1974, 1980, 1983, 1988, 1993, and 1995. The wheat and cotton producing regions of the country also experienced severe droughts in 1953, 1959, 1974, 1986, 1989, and 1991. (In the fall of 1974, early frosts further damaged the feed grain and soybean crops!)

A second reason for the accumulation of agricultural stocks is that when farmers produce more than the market will absorb, the federal government in the United States (and in most other developed countries as well) stands ready to purchase the "surplus" production and place in warehouses what is not donated or sold at reduced prices to the needy at home or abroad. If the federal government complicates matters by instituting support prices so high as to encourage "surplus" production, the necessity of purchasing "surpluses" and placing them in storage might then be the end result rather than the principal aim of government policy. By "surplus" production here, we mean production in excess of demand at prices artificially held above equilibrium levels by government policy.
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Without government price-support policy, there can be no "surpluses" because (presumably) there is some positive price at which the market will always clear.

The holding of reserve stocks by the private sector and at times by the government sector, then, is important for the smooth functioning of the various agricultural sectors. All of us, farmers and nonfarmers alike, have an interest in seeing that reserve stocks are adequate but not excessive.

Table AI7 and Figure II.I provide data on aggregate year-end stocks of the principal agricultural commodities and on year-end stocks of the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC). In the 1950s and 1960s, we clearly had a "surplus" of agricultural commodities, encouraged in large part by high price supports for these commodities. The 1970s and early 1980s may be described as periods of shortages as world demand increased and exports approached record levels. Commodity Credit Corporation stocks were minimal or nonexistent and, in fact, aggregate stocks probably were approaching minimum "pipeline" levels during this period. By the middle 1980s, however, stocks had again reached burdensome levels. The high year-end stocks of $1985-86$ for feed grains and wheat were, though, a welcome sight to consumers given the severe drought conditions of 1988.


Figure II.I Ending total stocks as a percentage of total use for selected agricultural commodities in the United States, 1950-98.

## 12. Government Support for Farmers

## Support Prices, Target Prices, and Loan Rates

Support prices, target prices, and loan rates as a percentage of market prices since 1950 for wheat and corn are shown in Figures I2.I and I2.2, and for all commodities in Table AI8. Prices of the program crops were supported with nonrecourse loans and direct government purchases through 1962. Beginning in 1963 and continuing into the early 1970s, the major focus of policy for the program crops was on establishing the loan rate at or near world prices, offering direct price support payments, and controlling supply with a cropland diversion program. Support prices buttressed with government purchase programs were in effect for the major crops until 1973, for milk throughout the entire 1950-98 period, and for wool through 1995. In 1997, target prices for the food grains, feed grains, and cotton were replaced with annual transition payments (see the discussion below on the FAIR Act).


Figure I2.I Wheat support price, target price or transition payment, and loan rate as a percentage of market price for wheat in the United States, 1950-98.
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Figure 12.2 Corn support price, target price, or transition payment, and loan rate as a percentage of market price for corn in the United States, 1950-98.

In 1973, support prices for the major crops were replaced with target prices in an effort to shift from a system of supporting farm incomes through commodity prices to a system of direct income support with deficiency payments. Prior to 1963 , market prices and support prices differed little since the government programs then in place directly influenced market prices. The exceptions were prices for those commodities in short sup-ply-sorghum through the early 1970s and wool continuously since 1950.

Target prices instituted for the major crops in 1973 were deliberately set by policy at a level above market prices. During the period of high export demand, there was little need for farm income support via high target prices and high loan rates because market prices were sufficiently high to yield "acceptable" farm incomes. Thus, market prices and target prices were quite close, especially for wheat and sorghum, except for the late 1960 s and early 1970 when global demand was high. But by 1982-83, market and target prices began to diverge substantially for wheat, corn, cotton, barley, and rice as global demand subsided somewhat and market prices fell accordingly. The divergence between market prices and target prices continued through 1993-94 for most of these crops.

Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) loan rates were also generally close to market prices prior to 1973 except for commodities in short supply, such as sorghum. Since target prices were introduced in 1973, loan rates generally have been kept low (at or below market prices) so as not to distort world prices of the supported crops. Rice, corn, and honey constituted major exceptions to this rule during the $1985-87$ period.

Sugar policy in the United States is and has been a rather complex story that is best left to other reports. ${ }^{1}$ Suffice it to say that U.S. sugar policy since 1950 has caused domestic wholesale prices of sugar to be well above world prices of sugar-five times
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greater in 1985, and more generally 1.5 to 2.5 times greater throughout much of the period (Fig. I2.3). This result has been accomplished with high producer price supports, domestic producer quotas, and import quotas allocated by the United States to the sugarproducing nations.

## Cropland Idled

A variety of supply management tools has been implemented over the years in an effort to prevent price-depressing crop surpluses and thus help maintain farm incomes without incurring the huge federal costs associated with government purchase and storage programs. Up until 1996, these programs resulted in the idling of a number of crop acreages at various times- 10 to 15 percent of the total cropland through the 1960 and early 1970s, and over 20 percent in 1983 (Fig. I2.4 and Table AI9). Obviously, the percentage has varied with variations in U.S. production relative to world demand.

## Support Policy under the FAIR Act

The Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act (FAIR Act) was signed into law in early 1996. This legislation changed the direction of policy for agriculture in a significant way-it promises a more market-oriented approach to policy for agriculture. The FAIR Act retained nonrecourse loans for wheat, feed grains, oilseeds, cotton, and rice. However, the FAIR Act eliminated target prices, deficiency payments, underplanting provisions, and acreage-reduction provisions contained in previous legislation. Thus, idling of cropland was terminated in 1966. The FAIR Act also introduced a new support


Figure 12.3 Wholesale price of sugar in New York relative to world price of sugar, 1950-98.


Figure 12.4 Acres of cropland idled as a percentage of total cropland for wheat, corn, and all crops in the United States, 1950-98.
mechanism for wheat, feed grains, oilseeds, cotton, and rice-production flexibility contracts that provide annual transition payments to producers. These annual transition payments are to be ratcheted downward according to a specified total spending limit for each fiscal year through 2002. ${ }^{2}$

The FAIR Act provides several other features, including replacement of the milk price support program with a recourse loan program for processors beginning in 2000. It seems clear, though, that the structure of direct payments to farmers will in the future be changed dramatically and will be reduced-presumably to zero-following 2002 although that will depend on legislation Congress passes when the FAIR Act expires.

## Direct Payments to Farmers

Direct payments to farmers include deficiency payments made in cash, cash or in-kind payments made to farmers for reducing or diverting cropland acres, annual transition payments, disaster payments, and miscellaneous payments such as wool price-support (incentive) payments and dairy diversion and buyout payments. Excluded are the monetary benefits farmers receive from the nonrecourse loan program of the Commodity Credit Corporation. Aggregate direct payments to farmers as a percentage of cash receipts from farming are shown in Table A2O and Figure 12.5. It will be observed that over the years of support for idling cropland, there was a fairly strong, positive correlation between the size of direct payments to farmers (Fig. 12.5) and the percentage of cropland idled (Fig. 12.4). Farmers must be provided incentives by Congress in the form of direct payments to be encouraged to idle cropland.


Figure $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ Total direct payments to farmers as a percentage of cash receipts from farming, 1950-98.

Table A20 shows the distribution of direct payments to farmers by sales category. These data suggest that the distribution of direct government payments to farmers is far from even across different farm sizes when farm size is measured by annual gross sales. Further, the distribution appears to have grown progressively more uneven over the last three or so decades. In 1960, the bulk of direct government payments was distributed to the smaller farms. In 1998, on the other hand, nearly 62 percent of the direct payments went to those farms with annual gross sales of $\$ 100,000$ or more, whereas only 27 percent of the payments went to those farms with annual gross sales of $\$ 49,999$ or less.

This seemingly unequal distribution of payments has been accompanied by much criticism of farm policy. It is not clear, however, that all this criticism is informed. First, comparing the distribution of payments among farm sizes as measured by annual gross sales and over time is hazardous at best, as we saw in examining the distribution of farms by sales category. The difficulty here is that the sales categories are not measured in constant values. Second, the skewed distribution of direct government payments toward the larger producers (as measured by annual gross sales) is hardly surprising, since payment rates are based on volume produced. This point can be clearly observed by the apparent high positive correlation between the distribution of government payments shown in Table A20 and the distribution of gross farm income by sales category shown in Table A2.

A more appropriate assessment of the equality or inequality of direct payment distribution can be made from an examination of the distribution of government payments per farm as a percentage of gross income (sales) per farm. This distribution, shown in the lower half of Table A20, gives a more realistic picture of the correlation between direct payments and the earning capacity of the farm. Clearly, some inequality still exists, but
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this comparison suggests that the inequality is not as severe as many perceive it to be.
Those who see too much inequity in the large government payments made to operators of medium-sized to large farms have argued for unconditional limits on the size of government payments on the basis that such farm operators have little need for income support over some minimum level. Accordingly, payment limits of $\$ 55,000$ were introduced in 1970; $\$ 20,000$ for wheat, feed grains, and cotton combined in 1973; $\$ 55,000$ for rice in 1975; $\$ 52,250$ for rice in 1978; $\$ 50,000$ for rice in 1979; $\$ 40,000$ for wheat, feed grains, and cotton in 1978; $\$ 45,000$ for wheat, feed grains, and cotton in 1979; and $\$ 50,000$ for all commodities since 1980. In addition, 198 I and 1985 legislation called for disaster payment limits of $\$ 100,000$. Currently, payments are limited to a maximum of $\$ 250,000$ to any one person in any one year for the aggregate of payments over all payment categories.

Those who argue for no payment limits or very high payment limits counter that since about 80 percent of the output by value is produced by the largest 16 percent of the producers (as was the case in 1998, Table A2), payment limits will not encourage those farmers who produce most of the output to participate in current government programs.

## Notes

I. See, for example, Ron Lord, "Sugar: Background for 1995 Farm Legislation," U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Agricultural Economic Report no. 71 I, April 1995.
2. For a fuller account of the provisions of the FAIR Act see M. C. Hallberg, " 1996 Food and Agriculture Legislation: New Wine in New Bottles?" Farm Economics, May/June 1996, Pennsylvania State University, Cooperative Extension.

## 13. Agricultural Cooperatives

Agricultural cooperatives have historically been among the more important institutions available to assist farmers in marketing their produce and in obtaining their farm inputs. Cooperatives provide farmers a ready market for farm produce and/or a ready source of supply of needed farm inputs. More important, agricultural cooperatives provide farmers with a marketing institution that enables them to cope with the superior market power of buyers of farm produce and sellers of farm inputs.

Table A24 and Figures 13.1-r3.3 reveal some interesting trends in numbers, membership, and share of business of marketing and supply cooperatives in the United States since $1950 .{ }^{1}$ The data shown also point to the general importance of cooperatives to farm operators. The number of cooperatives has declined significantly over the years as a result of cooperative mergers and growth. In fact, the number of cooperatives per 1,000 farms and the number of cooperative memberships per farm reached a peak in the mid1970s and then started a downward trend. There were several cooperative consolidations and a few cooperative failures following the mid-I970s, some of the latter due to managerial difficulties more than to economic stress. It is also clear that some farmers chose not to remain as cooperative members when financial pressures of the 1980s intensified. Nevertheless, as Figure I3.I shows, the number of marketing cooperatives per 1,000 farms is still near one and the number of supply cooperatives per 1,000 farms is larger today than in 1950.

Figure 13.2 suggests that on average each farmer still belongs to at least one marketing and one service cooperative. Figure 13.3 shows that marketing and service cooperatives account for about 30 to 40 percent of farm marketings and farm input supplies. More important, cooperatives' share of farm marketings and input supply has been increasing even in the face of declining numbers of cooperatives. Clearly, while agricultural cooperatives have been subject to many of the same economic pressures facing other business firms, cooperatives are still important entities serving farm people. On the whole, they appear to be well structured to continue serving farmers in the twenty-first century.


Figure 13.1 Number of agricultural cooperatives per $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{OOO}$ farms in the United States, 1950-98.


Figure 13.2 Agricultural cooperative membership per farm in the United States, 1950-98.
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Figure 13.3 Market share of agricultural cooperatives in the United States, 1950-98.

## Note

I. These data are from U.S. Department of Agriculture, Farmer Cooperative Statistics, 1995, Rural Business-Cooperative Service, Report 52; previous annual reports of Agricultural Cooperative Service, USDA, and U.S. Department of Agriculture. Cooperative Historical Statistics, Agricultural Cooperative Service, Cooperative Information Report I, October 1987. Marketing cooperatives' share is measured as annual sales as a percentage of cash receipts from farm marketings. Supply cooperatives' share is measured as annual sales as a percentage of all purchased farm inputs except labor, contract machine hire, and repair of farm machinery and buildings.

## 14. Domestic Consumption of Food Products and Food Marketing Costs

## Consumer Behavior

Consumption of food products is of obvious importance to American farmers and is an important driving force for change in agriculture as farmers strive to produce commodities more consistent with consumer wants. Thus, a consideration of trends in consumption of food products is critical to a thorough analysis of the U.S. agriculture and food system. Of particular importance is the change in consumer characteristics and consumption patterns over the years.

The proportion of personal consumption expenditures spent on food has declined continuously over the 1950-98 period (Table A2I). American consumers spend an estimated 8 to 9 percent of their private consumption expenditure on food-the lowest of any country in the world! ${ }^{1}$ An even more striking trend is the proportion of food expenditures spent on food away from home-in restaurants, fast-food places, and food service centers. The latter has trended continuously upward over the forty-eight-year period, but the steepest rise has occurred since 1974 (Fig. I4. 1 ).

Per capita consumption of selected food products is shown in Table A2I and Figures 14.2 through 14.5. Changes in per capita consumption over time are due to several factors including changing product prices, changing income levels of consumers, changing age distribution of the population, and changing consumer tastes and preferences. Real per capita disposable income has more than doubled over the 1950-98 period (Table A22). Although the income elasticity for food is very low (perhaps as low as 0.2), ${ }^{2}$ increasing income levels will lead to some increased consumption. Similarly, although price elasticities for food are very low, declining real prices for food will also lead to some increased consumption. Offsetting factors have been at work here, however. In recent years, for example, U.S. consumers have been increasingly concerned about cholesterol levels, which has led to a reduction in per capita consumption of animal products in particular. The changing age distribution of our population (especially the aging of the population) that we have witnessed in the last thirty years or so (see Fig. 14.6), the increase in single-parent families (Fig. 14.7), and the increase in the number of two-wage-earner families (Fig. 14.7) have all had a marked effect on aggregate per capita consumption of various food products. All of these factors must be considered when assessing trends in per capita food consumption.


Figure 14.I Consumer expenditures for food at home and away from home as a percentage of personal consumption expenditures on food in the United States, 1950-98.


Figure 14.2 Index of per capita consumption of selected animal and poultry products in the United States, 1950-98.


Figure 14.3 Index of per capita consumption of selected dairy products in the United States, 1950-98.


Figure 14.4 Index of per capita consumption of coffee and carbonated soft drinks in the United States, 1950-98.


Figure 14.5 Index of per capita consumption of fresh fruits, fresh potatoes, and fresh vegetables in the United States, 1950-98.


Figure 14.6 Age distribution of the population in the United States, 1950-98.
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Figure 14.7 Composition of families in the United States, 1950-98.

Per capita consumption of animal products in total declined significantly through the 1970s, then leveled off or increased slightly (Fig. 14.2). Per capita beef consumption increased until the mid-I970s, then declined. Per capita consumption of poultry meat, particularly turkey meat, has increased markedly throughout the period. Per capita consumption of all dairy products declined through the I970s, but increased slightly during the 1980 (Fig. 14.3). Per capita consumption of fluid-milk products has declined continuously from 1950 to 1998. The continuous increase in per capita cheese consumption over the $1950-98$ period has been the one bright spot for the U.S. dairy industry. It is interesting to note that while fluid milk and coffee consumption have declined continuously over the $1950-98$ period, per capita soft drink consumption has increased continuously over most of this period (Figs. I4.3 and 14.4). Per capita fresh fruit consumption declined steeply through the 1960s, then began a steady increase from 1975 on (Fig. 14.5). Per capita consumption of fresh vegetables (excluding potatoes) has since 1970 followed the same general trend as has per capita consumption of fresh fruit (Fig. 14.5). From I950 to 1970, however, per capita consumption of fresh vegetables was relatively constant. Per capita consumption of fresh potatoes trended downward between 1950 and 1980 and then began to increase. Clearly, consumers became convinced that modest levels of potato consumption were not unhealthy as may once have been the prevailing view.
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## Food Marketing Costs

Middlemen are often criticized for contributing to the plight of farmers when economic conditions in agriculture are not favorable to farmers. The implication is that middlemen extract an excessive part of the consumer's food dollar in profits and/or processing inefficiencies resulting in lower consumption of food and therefore farm commodities than would otherwise be the case. Farmers' share of the consumer's food dollar is certainly low and has declined steadily (Fig. 14.8) in every major food-product category except processed fruits and vegetables over the 1950-98 period (Table A23). This decline, for the most part, underscores the fact that consumers are demanding, and processors and retailers are supplying, more and more services in the form of more convenient packaging and other services. In large part, this can be attributed to the fact that Americans have increasingly had less time (or have increasingly wished to take less time) to prepare food in the home, so they are interested in purchasing as many additional services as possible along with the basic food product.

The components of the food marketing bill have changed very little over the 1950-98 period (Table A23 and Fig. 14.9). Labor is by far the most significant component of this bill, followed at some distance by packaging and transportation. ${ }^{3}$ Profits of food processors and merchants are often a subject for concern. The data charted in Figure 14.9 suggest that profits are not an excessive portion of the total marketing bill, and even appear to have been declining slightly in recent years.


Figure 14.8 Farmers' share of the retail value of the market basket of food products in the United States, 1950-98.
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Figure 14.9 Components of the marketing bill for food products as a percentage of the total food marketing bill in the United States, 1950-98.

## Notes

r. This estimate excludes alcoholic beverages. See Judith Jones Putnam and Jane E. Allshouse, Food Consumption, Prices, and Expenditures, 1970-97, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Statistical Bulletin Number 965, April 1999.
2. M. C. Hallberg, Policy for American Agriculture: Choices and Consequences (Ames: Iowa State University Press, 1992), 73.
3. Data on transportation are not available prior to 1967.

## 15. Food-Processing, Wholesaling, and Retailing Industries

As we saw in Chapter io, a significant portion of U.S. agricultural production is exported to foreign countries. The majority of this production, however, is consumed domestically. Much of this production is first processed into final goods that consumers (at home and abroad) are willing to purchase. Farmers market a small amount of commodities they produce direct to consumers, but the vast majority are sold to food packers or processors. Food wholesalers buy from food packers or processors and distribute to retail food stores and to eating and drinking places or other food service centers. Thus, a final area that merits our attention concerns trends in the food-processing, food-wholesaling, and foodretailing industries.

## Numbers, Size, and Productivity

Information relating to trends in the food-processing, farm-input manufacturing, wholesaling, and retailing industries is found in Tables A25 through A27 and Figures 15.1-15.5. In general, we see that firms in the food-processing industries are getting fewer in number (Fig. I 5.1) and larger in size as measured by real value added per establishment (Fig. 15.2). In most cases, also, productivity of these firms as measured by real value added per worker has increased substantially since 1954, although in the meatprocessing industry productivity growth appears to have been fairly sluggish since 1967 (Table A25).

On the basis of value added by manufacture, the seven food-processing industries rank as follows: beverages, preserved fruits and vegetables, meat packing, bakery, dairy, sugar, and fats and oils. This same ranking has persisted since 1967, and there has been no major change in the relative contribution of each industry during that period. In 1954, on the other hand, dairy topped the list followed by beverages. Bakery and meat packing were nearly tied for third place in 1954.

The number of establishments in the farm machinery and equipment industry and the agricultural-chemical industry has remained quite stable over the 1954-92 period (Table A26). Establishments in the farm machinery and equipment industry have remained about the same size or declined slightly as judged by real value added per establishment. Establishments in the agricultural-chemical industry, on the other hand, have
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Figure 15.3 Number of establishments and real sales in U.S. grocery wholesaling, 1954-92.


Figure I 5.4 Number of establishments and real sales per establishment in U.S. retail food stores, 1954-92.


Figure 15.5 Number of establishments and real sales per establishment in U.S. eating-and-drinking places, 1954-92.
increased in size by about six times on the basis of real value added per establishment and have shown considerable productivity increase since 1954.

In grocery wholesaling, retail food stores, and eating-and-drinking places, some different trends are evident (Table A27 and Figs. 15.3-15.5). There is no discernible trend in the number of grocery wholesalers over the 1954-92 period, but establishments in this industry have almost doubled in size as judged by real sales per establishment (Fig. 15.3). The number of retail food stores has diminished by about one-third, while establishments in this industry have nearly quadrupled in size as judged by real sales per establishment (Fig. I 5.4). Finally, the number of eating-and-drinking places has increased by a factor of three since 1954, and establishments in this industry have nearly doubled in size as judged by real value of sales since 1954. Clearly, firms in the grocery-wholesaling and eating-and-drinking industries have responded to a different set of forces than have firms in the retail food and food-processing industries.

The trend in profits as a percentage of sales has been fairly consistent across all of these industries-declining initially then becoming fairly stable. The sugar-and-confec-tionery-products industry has recorded particularly high profit rates, especially from 1954 through 1967. Other industries with high profit rates include preserved fruits and vegetables and beverages. Industries with consistently low profit rates include grocery wholesalers, retail food stores, eating-and-drinking places, and meat products.

## Food-Processing, Wholesaling, and Retailing Industries

## Implications for Farm Sector

Food-processing, wholesaling, and retailing firms are becoming larger at the expense of small local firms that are no longer able to compete. These larger firms do not depend solely on any one production area for raw materials. Rather, they obtain their supplies anywhere they can get the volume and quality necessary to support a nationwide or regionwide marketing program. With ready access to markets thus reduced, small-scale producers for local markets are at a serious competitive disadvantage. For a production activity to be viable in a particular area, it must be undertaken on a large enough scale that processing capacity (as well as other support services) can be provided at an economically justifiable scale. It must also be undertaken on a large enough scale that processors will find it economical to buy from local producers.

Another issue of growing significance is the integration of production and processing activities-especially in broiler, egg, pork, fruit, vegetable, and milk production. With such arrangements, processors seek to guarantee a stable quantity and quality of product needed to meet consumers' demands while farmers seek to minimize the price risk and risk associated with finding a market for their produce. ${ }^{1}$

## Note

I. Several authors have treated these issues in detail under the subject "industrialization of agriculture." See for example, Michael Boehlje and Lee F. Schrader, "The Industrialization of Agriculture: Questions of Coordination," Purdue University, Department of Agricultural Economics, Staff Paper 94-13, 1994; and Mark Drabenstott, "Industrialization: Steady Current or Tidal Wave?" Choices, Fourth Quarter 1994, 4-8.

## 16. Summary

Significant changes have occurred in the U.S. agriculture and food system since 1950. There are fewer farms, fewer farm workers, and fewer farm people, although the rural population has stabilized at about 25 percent of the total U.S. population. The vast majority of American farms are quite small and generate a small percentage of total agricultural output. Seventy-three percent of the farms have annual sales of less than $\$ 50,000$ per year and collectively generate only 10 percent of total agricultural output. On the other hand, 7 percent of the farms have annual sales of $\$ 250,000$ or more and collectively generate over 60 percent of total agricultural output.

The relative importance of agriculture to the national economy has declined steadily during the past century. Agriculture now generates only about I percent of national income, employs about 2 percent of the nation's workers, and is home to less than 2 percent of the total U.S. population. Capital has indeed substituted for labor, but capital use as a percentage of annual cash receipts from farm marketings has also declined. Since 1950, farms have, on average, doubled in size as measured by both acres per farm and real gross sales per farm. But sales volume on all U.S. farms would have increased since 1950 even without an increase in acres or in numbers of animals simply because of increases in labor productivity and animal and crop yields.

Incomes of farm families appear now to be more nearly in line with incomes of nonfarm families than in years past. Some of this apparent equality is due to the farm programs Congress has enacted. But for a strong majority of farm families, the apparent equality is due to substantial off-farm earnings. The majority of farm families no longer depend primarily on farming for their livelihood. Hence, developmental or rural-industrial policy is now more important to many farm families-particularly to farm families on the smaller farms-than is traditional farm price-and-income policy. The distribution of direct government payments to farmers is somewhat skewed in favor of the larger farmers. This is as would be expected since the size of these payments tends to be related to production volume. Many argue that this is the way it must be if we are to encourage operators of the larger farms who produce most of the farm output to participate in the programs Congress enacts. Nevertheless, the larger farmers are less in need of government income support since most of their farms are large enough to provide a reasonable family income. In any event, the larger farmers, and even most of the small-
er farmers, do not rely greatly on direct government payments to bring farm-family income to a level comparable to or higher than that of nonfarm families.

Since 1949 there has been a small but steady increase in the percentage of farms and percentage of acres operated by part owners, and a corresponding decrease in the percentage of farms and percentage of acres operated by tenants. The percentage of farms and the percentage of acres operated by full owners has not changed significantly since 1949. There has been a slight increase in the percentage of farms and percentage of acres operated by family-held corporations, but little change in these percentages for other types of organizations in agriculture. Business corporations are an insignificant factor in the U.S. agricultural sector.

Farm operators who remain on the farm are, on average, getting older. Apparently, fewer and fewer existing farm operators are being replaced with younger people.

There has been some decline in the total acreage farmed, but little change of significance in the mix of crops harvested or in the percentage of total cash receipts derived from the different farm enterprises. However, there has been considerable change in the mix of inputs used by the farming sector. Interest and depreciation now constitute a higher proportion of total production expenses, and purchased inputs are now more important than farm-produced inputs. Aggregate labor use has declined and aggregate capital use has increased. Farm debt has increased substantially so that farmers, particularly the larger farmers, are now much more vulnerable to high interest rates and short-term erosion of asset values.

Real prices received by U.S. farmers have declined significantly and for all commodities. Technological advance in agriculture has been the primary cause-not middlemen exploiting farmers. Real prices paid by farmers, however, have changed very little since 1950 . The profit margin farmers receive is now considerably lower than it was in the 1950s. Farmers have been able to survive this situation fairly well, though, given the tremendous increases in productivity brought about by the greater use of machinery, fertilizers, and other chemicals, and given the phenomenal increases in crop and animal yields. Relative prices of farm inputs have a considerable impact on farmers' use of different inputs and, therefore, on the substitutability of inputs. Further changes in these relative prices could significantly affect the future structure of the farming sector. Farmers' adoption of technological advances leading to lower farm prices and lower per-unit profit margins have led to fewer and larger farms. Further technological advances can be expected to lead to a continuation of this trend into the foreseeable future.

Prices received by U.S. farmers for almost all farm commodities are quite variable, as one would expect in an industry where weather, both in the United States and around the world, plays such a crucial role. Nevertheless, prices of the commodities receiving legislative support are not necessarily less variable than are prices of the farm commodities receiving no legislative support. For some commodities without government support (e.g., for broilers and eggs), prices remain relatively stable. For other commodities without government support (e.g., potatoes and most of the fruits), farmers are
able to survive even in the face of high price variability. Furthermore, prices of nonagricultural commodities are also quite variable. Hence, justifying farm price-and-income support on the basis that farm prices vary considerably is questionable.

Agricultural exports, both absolute and as a percentage of cash receipts from farming, reached a peak in the early 1980s, then diminished as the value of the U.S. dollar strengthened and/or as other nations began satisfying more of their demand with local production. Agricultural exports as a percentage of cash receipts from farming are again on the upswing continuing a long-term trend begun in 1950. Imports of agricultural products have also increased, but the agricultural trade balance is still positive and increasing. Growth markets for agricultural exports in recent decades have included Mexico, Southeast Asia, Hong Kong, Korea, and Taiwan. The mix of both agricultural exports and agricultural imports has changed substantially since 1950. Oilseed products now comprise over 20 percent of the value of agricultural exports. Cotton and tobacco have become minor export commodities. On the import side, fruits and vegetables have become more important, while coffee and sugar have become less important.

The management of reserve stocks in the aggregate is a difficult task. The private sector appears to do an adequate job of maintaining stocks of sufficient magnitude to enable the smooth functioning of the marketing system. Given variations in weather and demand in the United States as well as in other countries, however, it has proven nearly impossible to devise government policy that can maintain reasonable and stable levels of reserve stocks over the long term.

Price-support policy for U.S. farmers has been revised several times during the 1950-98 period, but has been quite generous over most of the period. The FAIR Act of 1966, however, promises to bring about significant changes in support policy. Nonresource loans for the major program commodities was retained by this legislation, but it eliminates target prices, deficiency payments, underplanting provisions, and acreage-reduction provisions. Income support is now being provided with annual transition payments that will, presumably, drop to zero after 2002.

The number of agricultural cooperatives per 1,000 farms and agricultural-cooperative membership per farm increased to a peak in the mid-I970s, then began a strong downtrend. Nevertheless, farmers, on average, still belong to at least one marketing and one service cooperative. Agricultural cooperatives continue to be significant in assisting farmers in marketing their produce and purchasing their inputs. Some cooperative restructuring has taken place in the 1980s, and cooperative membership per farm has declined slightly from the peak of the mid-1970s. Agricultural cooperatives' share of farm marketings and of total farm input supply, however, has been increasing steadily even in the face of declining numbers of cooperatives.

Significant changes have occurred in food consumption and in the composition and behavior of the nation's food consumers. The proportion of personal consumption expenditures spent on food has declined continuously since 1950. The population is getting older, there are more single-parent families, there are more two-wage-earner families, and consumers are now more diet conscious. In response, consumption of food
away from home has increased in importance while consumption of food at home has diminished in importance; per-capita consumption of poultry meat has increased steadily since 1950; per-ctpita consumption of pork and all milk has declined steadily until recent years; per-capita consumption of beef has declined since the 1970s; per-capita consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables has increased since the 1970s. Carbonated soft drinks have become increasingly popular while per-capita consumption of coffee and fluid milk have declined.

The farmer's share of the consumer's food dollar has diminished continuously since 1950, and particularly since the mid-1970s. This is in large part in response to consumers' demand for more and more nonfood services as part of their food purchases.

The number of establishments in the food-processing industries has been declining, and firms in these industries have been getting larger. Volume of production has increased, however, consistent with the needs of a growing population, and productivity of the food-processing industries has increased significantly. While overall performance of the food-processing industries has been enhanced, the decline in number of establishments means that there are fewer handlers to which farmers can sell their produce. Furthermore, as food-processing establishments get larger, their owners seek farm products from areas that can supply the volume needed to sustain their size of business. In turn, local farm produce is often overlooked, not because it cannot be produced as efficiently as in other regions, but simply because it is not produced in the volume needed by processors.
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## A Brief Chronology of American Agriculture Since $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}^{\mathbf{1}}$

## Farm Economy

1950-60-Expansion of contracting in the broiler industry by feed dealers with growers occurs.
I950s -Large agricultural surpluses seen.
r953 -Post-Korean War readjustment takes place.
1952-53-Drought in the wheat and cotton production areas occurs.
1957-58—Recession.
1958-70-Business expands.
1964-73-Vietnam War takes place.
1970-80-Inflation rate increases. Economic growth rate declines.
1971 -U.S. suspends commitment to IMF. First devaluation of the U.S. dollar occurs.
1972 -Russian wheat sale brings higher farm prices.
1972 -Tropical storm Agnes wreaks havoc in Northeast.
1973 -Second devaluation of U.S. dollar; dollar permitted to float.
1973-74—Arab oil boycott occurs.
1973-75-Export-led boom period for agriculture takes place.
1973-83-OPEC-induced oil price rise.
1976 -Severe drought affects corn and soybean production in the Midwest.
1979-84-Interest rate hike is seen; farmland value deflates.
I980s -For the first time since the 19th century, foreigners (Europeans and Japanese primarily) begin to purchase significant acreages of farmland and ranchland.
1982-84-Farm-sector depression and erosion of farmland values with associated farm foreclosures occurs.
1983 -Net farm income drops to $\$ \mathbf{1 4 . 2}$ billion from its previous record of $\$ 34.4$ billion in 1973.
1983-90-Business expands.
1985-86-Farmland value depression occurs.
1986 -The Southeast's worst summer drought on record takes severe toll on many farmers.
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1987 -Farmland values bottom out after a six-year decline, signaling both a turnaround in the farm economy and increased competition with other countries' exports.
1987 -Stock market crash of 1987: DOW falls 96 points on October 14, 57 points on October 15, 89 points on October 16, and 514 points on October 17.
1988 -Scientists warn that the possibility of global warming may affect the future viability of American farming.
I988 -One of the worst droughts in the nation's history hits Midwestern farmers.
1990-91—Recession.
1992-97-Business expands.
1993 -Severe drought affects wheat and cotton production.
1996 -Net farm income exceeds $\$ 53$ billion, a new record.

## Farm Technology

195 x - The first embryo transplant occurs in cattle.
195I -DNA structure is discovered by Crick, Watson, and Wilkins.
I954 -Number of tractors on farms exceeds the number of horses and mules for first time.
1955 -6-12 labor-hours required to produce 100 bushels (4 acres) of wheat with tractor, 10 -foot plow, 12 -foot rod weeder, harrow, 14 -foot drill and selfpropelled combine, and trucks.
1957 -The Soviet Union launches Sputnik satellite into space.
1960s -Anhydrous ammonia increasingly used as cheap source of nitrogen, spurring higher yields.
196I -Last year for which USDA records number of horses on farms.
1965 - 5 labor-hours required to produce 100 pounds ( $1 / 5$ acre) of lint cotton with tractor; 2-row stalk cutter; 14-foot disk; 4-row bedder, planter, and cultivator; and 2 -row harvester.
$1965-5$ labor-hours required to produce 100 bushels ( $3^{1 / 3}$ acres) of wheat with tractor, 12 -foot plow, I4-foot drill, I4-foot self-propelled combine, and trucks.
1965 -99 percent of sugarbeets harvested mechanically.
1968 -96 percent of cotton harvested mechanically.
1970s -No-tillage agriculture popularized.
1975 -2-3 labor-hours required to produce 100 pounds ( $1 / 5$ acre) of lint cotton with tractor, 2 -row stalk cutter, 20-foot disk, 4 -row bedder and planter, 4row cultivator with herbicide applicator, and 2 -row harvester.
$1975-3^{3 / 4}$ labor-hours required to produce 100 bushels ( 3 acres) of wheat with tractor, 30 -foot sweep disk, 27-foot drill, 22-foot self-propelled combine, and trucks.
$-3^{1 / 3}$ labor-hours required to produce 100 bushels ( $\mathbf{I}^{1 / 8}$ acres) of corn with
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tractor, 5 -bottom plow, 20 -foot tandem disk, planter, 20 -foot herbicide applicator, $\mathbf{1 2}$-foot self-propelled combine, and trucks.
I980s -More farmers using no-till or low-till methods to curb erosion.
I980s -The era of biotechnology comes to agriculture, most notably in the form of bovine somatotropin ( bST ) and porcine somatotropin ( pST ).
1987 - $1 / 2$ to 2 labor-hours required to produce 100 pounds ( $1 / 5 \mathrm{acre}$ ) of lint cotton with tractor, 4 -row stalk cutter, 20 -foot disk, 6 -row bedder and planter, 6 row cultivator with herbicide applicator, and 4-row harvester.
1987 - 3 labor-hours required to produce 100 bushels ( 3 acres) of wheat with tractor, 35 -foot sweep disk, 30 -foot drill, 25 -foot self-propelled combine, and trucks.
1987 - $23 / 4$ labor-hours required to produce roo bushels ( $\mathrm{I}^{1 / 8}$ acres) of corn with tractor, 5 -bottom plow, 25 -foot tandem disk, planter, 25 -foot herbicide applicator, 15 -foot self-propelled combine, and trucks.
1989 -After several slow years, the sale of farm equipment rebounds.
1989 -More farmers begin to use low-input sustainable agriculture (LISA) techniques to decrease chemical applications.
1994 -Bovine somatotropin (bST) approved for commercial use by Food and Drug Administration. Monsanto begins sales on February 10.
1995 -EPA approves the commercial release of transgenic potatoes and corn containing the bacterium, Bacillus thuringiensis ( Br ), toxic to insects.
1996 -Dolly the lamb is born representing the first clone of an adult mammal.

## Crops and Livestock

1950-55-Use of herbicides and pesticides increases.
1955 -Sterile flies used for screwworm control.
1960s -Soybean acreage expands as farmers use soybeans as an alternative to other crops.
1960 -96 percent of corn acreage planted with hybrid seed.
1961 -Gaines wheat distributed.
1966 -Fortuna wheat distributed.
1970 -Nobel Peace Prize awarded to Norman Borlaug for developing high-yielding wheat varieties.
1972 -First evidence seen that porcine somatotropin (pST) promotes weight gain and reduces backfat thickness in pigs.
1972 -Production of DDT is banned since it was found to have an extended toxic life. DDT was patented in Switzerland in 1950 and first made available in the United States in 1943.
1975 -Lancota wheat introduced.
1978 -Hog cholera officially declared eradicated.
1979 -Purcell winter wheat introduced.
1980s —Biotechnology becomes a viable technique for improving crop and livestock
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products; advances in molecular biology provide a means of producing large quantities of recombinantly derived proteins (e.g., porcine and bovine somatotropin) in the laboratory.
1982 -First use of recombinant bovine somatotropin (BST) on lactating cows to increase milk production is reported in the literature. Yield increases of from 20-40 percent reported.
1983-84-Avian influenza of poultry is eradicated before it spread beyond a few Pennsylvania counties.
1986 -Antismoking campaigns and legislation begin to affect the tobacco industry.
1987 -Pseudomonas syringae, a genetically engineered microorganism that delays the formation of ice crystals on plants, is released for field-testing in northern California.
1989 -CBS's 60 Minutes declares that "the most potent cancer-causing agent in our food supply is a substance (Alar or Daminozide) sprayed on apples to keep them on the trees longer to make them look better." This sets off a scare having a long-lasting impact on apple producers as well as on the manufacturer of Alar.
1990s -Biotechnology brings important new developments in dairy, corn, and other commodities.
1990s -USDA meat-inspection program is modernized in response to concerns about food safety.
1994 -FDA finds the transgenic Flavr Savr tomato as safe as traditionally bred varieties.
1995 -EPA approves the first commercial release of transgenic crops (potatoes and corn) with Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt), a soil bacterium containing proteins toxic to insects.

## Transportation

I950s -Trucks and barges compete successfully for agricultural products as railroad rates rose.
1956 -Interstate Highway Act passed.
I960s -Financial condition of northeastern railroads deteriorate; rail abandonments accelerate.
I960s -Agricultural shipments by all-cargo planes increase, especially shipments of strawberries and cut flowers.
1970 -The Burlington Northern Railroad is formed from a merger of the Great Northern, Northern Pacific, CB \& Q, and Spokane, Portland, and Seattle Railroads.
1970 -National Railroad Passenger Act authorizes the National Railroad Passenger Corporation to provide noncommuter intercity rail passenger service throughout the United States.
1973 -Congress passes the Rail Reorganization Act authorizing the Consolidated
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Rail Corporation (CONRAIL) as a private company to take over six bankrupt rail lines: the Penn Central, Jersey Central, LeHigh Valley, Reading, Erie Lackawanna, and LeHigh and Hudson River Railroads. CONRAIL was sold to private investors in 1987, and to CSX and Norfolk Southern in 1999.

1972-74-Russian grain sale causes massive tie-ups in rail system.
1980 -Railroad and trucking industries deregulated.
I980s -Abandonment of many rural railroad lines reduces public transportation options for rural residents and for freight.

## Agricultural Trade and Development

1963,74 - World conferences highlight world food problems.
1971 -Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research is organized to fund regional research institutes in developing countries.
1972 -Increased exports to Soviet Union and elsewhere absorb agricultural surpluses, especially of grains and oilseeds.
1979 -Grain embargo enacted against the Soviet Union following its invasion of Afghanistan.
1980s -European grain and animal exports become more competitive with U.S. products.
198 I -President Reagan lifts the grain embargo against the Soviet Union.
1981 -European Union bans the use of diethylstilbestrol (DES) and calls for a study of five other beef-growth hormones in use in Europe and elsewhere.
1988 -The U.S.-Canada trade accord initiates trade barrier reductions in all commodities, including farm products.
1988-89-European Union prohibits its farmers from using six growth hormones in meat production and bans the imports of meat raised with these hormones.
1990 -Negotiations begin for a free-trade agreement with Mexico.
1993 -North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) signed by Canada, Mexico, and the United States lowers trade barriers and enhances export prospects.
1994 -New General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) accord signed lowering trade barriers and enhancing worldwide trade prospects. The World Trade Organization (WTO) is formed.

## Life on the Farm

1950s -Television is widely accepted.
I950s -Many rural areas lose population as many farm family members seek outside work.
$1954-70.9$ percent of all farms have cars; 49 percent have telephones; 93 percent have electricity.
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1954 -Social Security coverage extended to farm operators.
1962 -REA authorized to finance educational TV in rural areas.
1970s -Rural areas experience prosperity and immigration.
1975 - 90 percent of all farms have phones; 98.6 percent have electricity.
i980s -Hard times and indebtedness affect many farmers in the Midwest. Many rural counties decline in population.

## Farm Organizations and Movements

1955 -National Farmers Organization formed.
I960s —United Farm Workers Organizing Committee begins unionizing California farm workers.
1960s -Commodity groups move to forefront of influence with Congress.
1966 -Fair Labor Standards Act extended to include agricultural labor; Federal minimum wage extended to some farm workers.
1979 -The American Agriculture Movement organizes a demonstration referred to as a "tractorcade" in Washington, D.C.
1986-88-Country singer Willie Nelson organizes Farm Aid concerts to benefit indebted farmers.

## Agricultural Education and Extension

1950-54-Land-grant college enrollments increase greatly as veterans enroll under G.I. bill.
1958 -National Defense Education Act passed.
1964 -Antipoverty programs lead to expansion of extension education programs in inner cities.
1970 - 853,000 students are enrolled in agricultural courses.
1974 -Agreement between USDA and land-grant colleges establishes Council on International Science and Education.
1980s —Enrollments in colleges of agriculture drop in wake of the farm crisis.
1985 -USDA scientists indicate that agricultural chemicals infiltrate groundwater more than previously thought.

## Government Programs and Policy

1950s —Decade is marked by debates about level of farm price supports and surpluses.
1954 -Agricultural Act reestablishes flexible price supports, authorizes commodity set-asides, and provides support payments for wool.
1954 -National Wool Act provides for wool and mohair price supports.
1954 -Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act (P.L. 480) is designed to dispose of surplus commodities to developing countries.
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1955-72-Emphasis increases on rural development and renewal.
1956 -Agricultural Act authorizes the Soil Bank Program.
1956 -Great Plains Conservation Program authorizes land conservation program in ten Great Plains states.
1957 -Poultry Inspection Act sets standards for processing and distributing poultry products.
1958 -Agricultural Act revises price support levels and terminates Soil Bank Program.
1958 -So-called Delaney Clause passes, under which additives are to be screened for health risk, and carcinogenic additives are prohibited.
I960s -The government uses food surpluses for the needy at home and abroad.
1960s -State legislation to keep land in farming receives increasing impetus.
196I -Food distribution to needy expands.
196I -Emergency Feed Grain Act authorizes a voluntary acreage reduction program for feed grains.
1962 -Rachel Carson's book Silent Spring, warning of the hazards of pesticides, is published.
1962 -Trade Expansion Act gives president authority to enter into trade agreements with other nations.
1963 -Marketing quotas for wheat rejected in farmer referendum.
1963 -An era of establishing loan rates at or near world market prices begins.
1964 -Agricultural Act provides voluntary control program for cotton and wheat.
1964 -Food Stamp Act makes Food Stamp Program part of permanent legislation.
1965 -Food and Agricultural Act is first of a series of comprehensive farm bills with a five-year life.
1965 -Water Quality Act requires an individual state to establish minimum standards for water within its boundaries.
1965 -Cropland Adjustment Program Act authorizes a long-term land retirement program.
1966 -President's Committee on Rural Poverty appointed.
1966 -Child Nutrition Act establishes school breakfast program.
1966 -Food for Peace Act shifts emphasis of P.L. 480 program from a surplus disposal program to an economic development program.
1967 -Agricultural Fair Practices Act prohibits processors from discriminating against agricultural cooperative members.
1967 -Wholesome Meat Act requires federal inspection of meat and poultry products.
1968 -Special food service program for children enacted.
1969,71 -White House Conferences on Food, Nutrition, and Health takes place.
1969 -National Environmental Policy Act requires environmental impact reports.
1970s -Surplus disposal through sales abroad leads to easing of production controls and reliance on market prices.
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1970 -Agricultural Act provides comprehensive legislation for agriculture and more flexible approach to supply control.
1970 -Environmental Protection Agency created.
1970 -Plant Variety Protection Act encourages development of new plants.
1971 —Rural Environmental Assistance Program redesigns conservation goals and emphasizes pollution prevention.
1972 -Pesticide Control Act replaces Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act of 1947.
1972 -Rural Development Act provides for establishing business and industry in rural areas.
1972 -Water Pollution Control Act amends Water Quality Control Act of 1965.
1973 -Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act provides comprehensive legislation for agriculture and emphasizes maintaining or increasing production instead of controlling production, introduces target prices and deficiency payments as a means of supporting farm incomes.
1974 -Trade Act fosters economic growth and relations with foreign nations.
1974 -Congress ends the ban on private possession of gold.
1976 -Direct Marketing Act encourages farmer direct marketing to consumers.
1976 -Toxic Substances Control Act regulates industrial chemicals and chemical products.
1977 -Soil and Water Resources Conservation Act requires periodic report by secretary of agriculture on a national soil and water conservation program.
1977 -Food and Agriculture Act provides comprehensive legislation for agriculture, raises price and income supports, and establishes the Farmer-Owned Reserve Program for grains.
1977 -The Alaska pipeline begins operation.
1979 -Meat Import Act provides for import controls on certain meat products.
1980 -Food Security Wheat Reserve Act authorizes a wheat national reserve program.
1980 -Federal Crop Insurance Act expands experimental crop insurance program to cover all crops.
1980 -Staggers Rail Act deregulates rail rates.
1981 -Agriculture and Food Act provides comprehensive legislation for agriculture, sets specific target prices for each year of the bill, and lowers milk-support levels.
1982 -Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act freezes dairy price supports and authorizes two deductions of fifty cents/cwt each from farmers' milk checks when dairy purchases exceeded specified levels.
1982 -No-Net Cost Tobacco Program Act establishes a producer-supported tobacco program.
1983 —USDA secretary John Block implements a payment-in-kind (PIK) program, resulting in the third-largest acreage reduction ever.
1983 —Dairy and Tobacco Adjustment Act freezes tobacco price supports, repeals
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the second fifty cent/cwt deduction for dairy farmers, and initiates a voluntary dairy diversion program.
1983 -Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act protects rights, pay, and working conditions of migrant workers.
1983 -Temporary Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP) Act authorizes donation of surplus commodities to indigent persons.
1984 -Agricultural Program Adjustment Act freezes target price increases at levels provided for by the 1981 Act.
1985 -Food Security Act provides comprehensive legislation for agriculture, lowers price supports, promotes exports, and establishes the Conservation Reserve Program.
1985 —Farm Credit Restructuring and Regulatory Reform Act restructures Farm Credit Administration.
1986 -Tax Reform Act reduces tax burden on individuals.
1986 -Immigration Reform and Control Act controls unauthorized immigration into the United States.
1987 -Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act reduces target prices for the 1988 and 1989 crop years, and limits loan-rate reductions for the 1988 and 1989 crops. It also established a $0 / 92$ provision for wheat and feed grains.
1987 -Agricultural Credit Act strengthens the Farm Credit Administration.
1987 -Farm Disaster Assistance Act provides relief to 1986 drought victims.
1988 -United States-Canada Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act is passed.
1988 -Hunger Prevention Act authorizes purchases on the open market for distribution through the TEFAP program.
1989 -Disaster Assistance Act provides relief to 1988 drought victims.
1989 -Thirty million acres retired under the Conservation Reserve Program of the 1985 Food Security Act.
1989 -Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act reduces deficiency payments for the 1990 crop year, mandates planting flexibility options for oilseeds on up to 25 percent of program acres, reduces funding levels for the TEA and EEP programs, and makes the previously mandatory milk price support reduction for 1990 discretionary.
1990 -Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act mandates a 15 percent triple-base acreage reduction plan under which farmers ( I ) may plant any program commodity, any oilseed crop, or any other crop except fruits and vegetables without loss in program base acres, and (2) must forfeit deficiency payments on the triple-base acres. Farmers are permitted to optionally "flex" an additional Io percent of their base acres under the same restrictions.
1990 -Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act provides comprehensive legislation for agriculture, freezes target prices at 1990 levels, establishes a Water Quality Incentive Program, a Wetlands Conservation Reserve Program, and an integrated farm management program.
1990 -Nutrition Labeling and Education Act strengthens food labeling legislation.
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1991 -Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act Amendments passed.
1993 - North American Free Trade Agreement between the United States, Canada, and Mexico is signed into law.
1994 -USDA reorganizes to streamline functions and improve efficiency.
1996 -Federal Agricultural Improvement and Reform Act provides comprehensive legislation for agriculture; revises farm programs to increase reliance on market signals; authorizes production-flexibility contracts; and eliminates target prices, deficiency payments, underplanting provisions, and acreage reduction programs.
1997 -Taxpayer Relief Act provides tax relief and added flexibility to U.S. farmers.

## Note

r. This chronology is based in large part on U.S. Department of Agriculture, "A History of American Agriculture, 1776-1990" (A Color Chart), Economic Research Service, and various other sources cited in the text.
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## Statistical Tables

Appendix 2
Table A1 National Income Originating in Agriculture, Farm Population and Farm Households, Farm Employment, Capital Expenditures in Agriculture, Number of Farms, and Farm Size, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 National income originating in
agriculture as a percentage of
U.S. national income National income per worker n 1992 dollars Agriculrural sector (\$)
Total U.S. economy (\$) Farm population (thou) \% of U.S. population Rural population (thou) \% of U.S. population Househoids U.S. households (thou) Farm households (thou)
U.S. houschold (size) Farm household (size) Total farm employment (thou) \% of farm population
\% of U.S. employment Capital expenditures on
buildings, land, and
equipment (\$thou) \% f total cash receipts Farms in the U.S. (thou) Acres per farm Gross income per farm (\$)
Real gross income per farm
adeflated by implicit price deflator for GNP $(1992=100)$.
Table A1 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National income originating in agriculture as a percentage of U.S. national income | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| National income per worker in 1992 dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| Agricultural sector (\$) | 15,925 | 15,672 | 15,797 | 17,482 | 17,119 | 17,379 | 20,189 | 29,975 | 24,507 | 22,885 | 19,301 | 20,264 | 25,060 | 29,042 | 24,687 | 28,708 |
| Total U.S. economy (\$) | 36,379 | 36,611 | 37,181 | 37,250 | 36,540 | 37,223 | 38,008 | 38,794 | 37,474 | 37,170 | 37,753 | 38,020 | 38,451 | 38,405 | 37,697 | 37,737 |
| Farm population (thou) | 11,595 | 10,875 | 10,454 | 10,307 | 9,712 | 9,425 | 9,610 | 9,472 | 9,264 | 8,864 | 8,253 | 6,194 | 6,501 | 6,241 | 6,051 | 5,850 |
| \% of U.S. population | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Rural population (thou) | 54,919 | 54,816 | 54,643 | 54,455 | 54,339 | 55,032 | 55,622 | 56,156 | 56,457 | 57,017 | 57,561 | 58,179 | 58,762 | 59,415 | 59,769 | 60,395 |
| \% of U.S. population | 27.9 | 27.2 | 26.9 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.4 | 26.2 | 26.3 |  |
| Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. households (thou) | 58,406 | 59,236 | 60,813 | 62,214 | 63,401 | 64,778 | 66,676 | 68,251 | 69,859 | 71,120 | 72,867 | 74,142 | 76,030 | 77,330 | 80,776 | 82,368 |
| Farm households (thou) | 3,214 | 2,934 | 2,944 | 2,870 | 2,747 | 2,644 | 2,563 | 2,483 | 2,402 | 2,322 | 2,241 | 2,161 | 2,080 | 2,000 | 1,919 | 1,891 |
| U.S. household (size) | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Farm household (size) | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Total farm employment (thou) | 5,214 | 4,903 | 4,749 | 4,596 | 4,523 | 4,436 | 4,373 | 4,337 | 4,389 | 4,342 | 4,374 | 4,170 | 3,957 | 3,774 | 3,699 | 3,582 |
| \% hired workers | 26.1 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 25.6 | 26.0 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 26.9 | 29.9 | 30.3 | 31.5 | 31.3 | 32.0 | 33.7 | 35.2 | 35.9 |
| $\%$ of farm population | 45.0 | 45.1 | 45.4 | 44.6 | 46.6 | 47.1 | 45.5 | 45.8 | 47.4 | 49.0 | 53.0 | 67.3 | 60.9 | 60.5 | 61.1 | 61.2 |
| \% of U.S. employment | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| Capital expenditures on buildings, land, and equipment (\$thou) | 6,688 | 7,446 | 6,696 | 6,865 | 7,291 | 7,364 | 8,067 | 10,718 | 12,597 | 13,344 | 15,037 | 16,503 | 19,687 | 21,252 | 19,763 | 18,161 |
| $\%$ of total production expenses | 18.3 | 19.5 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 16.4 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 18.2 | 18.6 | 19.1 | 17.2 | 14.8 | 13.0 |
| \% of total cash receipts | 15.4 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 13.2 | 12.3 | 13.6 | 15.0 | 15.8 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 16.2 | 14.1 | 12.8 |
| Farms in the U.S. (thou) | 3,257 | 3,162 | 3,071 | 3,000 | 2,949 | 2,902 | 2,860 | 2,823 | 2,795 | 2,521 | 2,497 | 2,456 | 2,436 | 2,437 | 2,440 | 2,440 |
| Acres per farm | 348 | 355 | 363 | 369 | 374 | 378 | 382 | 385 | 388 | 420 | 422 | 427 | 429 | 428 | 426 | 424 |
| Gross income per farm (\$) | 15,496 | 15,977 | 16,883 | 18,802 | 19,945 | 21,406 | 24,876 | 35,037 | 35,151 | 39,901 | 41,216 | 44,286 | 52,729 | 61,847 | 61,178 | 68,165 |
| Real gross income per farm (\$) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 63,768 | 63,908 | 64,193 | 68,123 | 68,540 | 69,953 | 77,738 | 102,748 | 94,747 | 98,278 | 95,408 | 95,857 | 105,881 | 114,319 | 103,341 | 104,548 |

Table A1 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National income originating in agriculture as a percentage of U.S. national income | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| National income per worker in 1992 dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural sector (\$) | 25,647 | 21,702 | 21,417 | 22,588 | 24,253 | 23,946 | 24,474 | 25,147 | 24,676 | 23,597 | 23,332 | 22,884 | 22,645 | 20,640 | 20,116 | 21,076 | 21,617 |
| Total U.S. economy (\$) | 37,304 | 38,036 | 39,725 | 40,101 | 40,107 | 40,679 | 41,651 | 41,489 | 41,831 | 41,502 | 42,436 | 42,669 | 43,226 | 43,911 | 44,793 | 45,969 | 47,211 |
| Farm population (thou) | 5,628 | 5,787 | 5,754 | 5,355 | 5,226 | 4,986 | 4,951 | 4,801 | 4,591 | 4,632 | 4,665 | 4,500 | 4,535 | 4,500 | 4,450 | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| \% of U.S. population | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Rural population (thou) | 60,782 | 61,382 | 62,000 | 62,500 | 63,133 | 63,889 | 64,798 | 66,211 | 66,964 | 67,564 | 67,940 | 68,877 | 69,541 | 70,230 | 70,900 | 71,500 | 72,200 |
| \% of U.S. population | 26.2 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 26.3 | 26.4 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 26.7 | 26.6 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 26.7 |
| Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. households (thou) | 83,527 | 83,918 | 85,290 | 86,789 | 88,458 | 89,479 | 91,124 | 92,830 | 93,347 | 94,312 | 95,669 | 96,426 | 97,107 | 98,990 | 99,627 | 101,018 | 102,000 |
| Farm households (thou) | 1,863 | 1,834 | 1,806 | 1,778 | 1,805 | 1,704 | 1,684 | 1,606 | 1,637 | 1,642 | 1,614 | 1,597 | 1,550 | 1,552 | 1,550 | 1,570 | 1,550 |
| U.S. houschold (size) | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Farm household (size) | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Total farm employment (thou) | 3,466 | 3,349 | 3,233 | 3,116 | 2,912 | 2,897 | 2,954 | 2,863 | 2,891 | 2,877 | 2,810 | 2,800 | 2,767 | 2,836 | 2,842 | 2,670 | 2,627 |
| \% hired workers | 36.5 | 37.0 | 34.6 | 33.3 | 35.7 | 36.2 | 35.2 | 32.5 | 30.9 | 31.6 | 30.8 | 30.6 | 30.4 | 30.6 | 29.3 | 32.9 | 33.5 |
| \% of farm population | 61.6 | 57.9 | 56.2 | 58.2 | 55.7 | 58.1 | 59.7 | 59.6 | 63.0 | 62.1 | 60.2 | 62.2 | 61.0 | 63.0 | 63.9 | 60.7 | 59.7 |
| \% of U.S. employment | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Capital expenditures on buildings, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of total production expenses | 10.6 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 10.0 |
| \% of total cash receipts | 10.5 | 10.1 | 9.7 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 9.6 |
| Farms in the U.S. (thou) | 2,407 | 2,379 | 2,334 | 2,293 | 2,250 | 2,213 | 2,201 | 2,175 | 2,146 | 2,117 | 2,108 | 2,093 | 2,085 | 2,072 | 2,064 | 2,058 | 2,050 |
| Acres per farm | 427 | 430 | 436 | 441 | 447 | 451 | 452 | 456 | 460 | 464 | 464 | 460 | 460 | 458 | 457 | 457 | 456 |
| Gross income per farm (\$) | 67,916 | 64,380 | 72,904 | 71,048 | 69,566 | 76,355 | 79,876 | 88,644 | 92,501 | 90,641 | 97,120 | 97,300 | 103,634 | 101,710 | 114,216 | 115,971 | 113,687 |
| Real gross income per farm (\$) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 98,145 | 89,417 | 97,077 | 91,087 | 86,958 | 92,439 | 93,096 | 98,933 | 98,932 | 93,156 | 97,120 | 94,834 | 98,605 | 94,351 | 103,644 | 103,917 | 100,876 |

## Statistical Tables

Table A2 Percentage Distribution of Farms and of Farm Output, and Income of Farm Families as a Percentage of Money Income of All U.S. Households by Sales Category, 1950-98

${ }^{2}$ This sales category is $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$ for $1950-92$. (Continued)
athis sales category is
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This sales category is $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999$ for $1950-92$.
${ }^{\text {c T This sales category is }} \$ 100,000$ and over for 1960 and 1965 , and $\$ 100,000-\$ 199,999$ for 1970 through 1980. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ This sales category is $\$ 200,000-\$ 499,999$ for 1983 and earlier.
"production" expenses. Beginning in 1977 it is gross cash income less total "cash" expenses. ${ }^{\text {production expenses. }}$ (Data not available.
Table A2 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms by sales category as a percentage of all farms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 85.0 | 84.7 | 83.7 | 83.1 | 82.4 | 81.6 | 79.3 | 72.7 | 71.0 | 69.2 | 68.1 | 67.8 | 65.1 | 64.0 | 62.8 | 61.6 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999a | 9.3 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 11.3 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 14.5 | -14.7 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.5 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $-^{\text {f }}$ |  |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| \$500,000 and over |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Percentage distribution of annual gross cash income from farming by sales category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 32.3 | 31.7 | 30.1 | 27.5 | 25.5 | 23.6 | 19.9 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 6.1 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 19.2 | 18.4 | 16.3 | 12.2 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 5.9 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999b | 19.9 | 20.0 | 20.6 | 21.2 | 21.7 | 22.1 | 22.8 | 24.0 | 23.9 | 24.8 | 23.8 | 23.6 | 21.7 | 19.7 | 18.8 | 17.3 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 | 27.6 | 28.1 | 29.1 | 9.8 | 10.7 | 11.4 | 13.1 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 17.2 | 18.0 | 18.5 | 19.3 | 19.8 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999d | - | - | - | 7.5 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 10.5 | 13.4 | 14.1 | 14.0 | 14.9 | 15.1 | 16.8 | 18.5 | 20.0 | 21. |
| \$500,000 and over | - |  |  | 13.8 | 14.7 | 15.6 | 17.4 | 21.4 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 23.6 | 23.9 | 26.4 | 28.2 | 28.3 | 29. |
| Net cash income per farm from farm sources as a percentage of mean money income per U.S. household ${ }^{e}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 18.5 | 14.3 | 13.4 | -0.0 | -1.7 | -5.0 | -3.1 | -2.3 | -8.5 | -15.9 | -18.1 | -21.5 | 0.6 | -2.7 | -5.8 | -7. |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 110.5 | 88.9 | 86.4 | 100.3 | 88.4 | 79.0 | 84.9 | 82.8 | 57.8 | 29.9 | 18.9 | 6.6 | 48.4 | 35.0 | 28.9 | 15. |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 208.2 | 161.7 | 152.9 | 203.2 | 181.6 | 164.9 | 177.4 | 185.8 | 131.8 | 102.3 | 78.6 | 54.6 | 125.7 | 98.5.0 | 87.7 | 59. |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 545.0 | 341.0 | 334.0 | 408.0 | 396.0 | 364.0 | 408.0 | 454.0 | 379.0 | 275.0 | 222.0 | 170.0 | 274.0 | 239.0 | 219.0 | 177.0 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | - |  | - | 924.0 | 868.0 | 797.0 | 896.0 | 1,002.0 | 883.0 | 681.0 | 551.0 | 428.0 | 557.0 | 514.0 | 460.0 | 412.0 |
| \$500,000 and over | - | - | - | 5,993.0 | 6,282.0 | 4,673.0 | 4,814.0 | 5,433.0 | 4,977.0 | 4,303.0 | 3,702.0 | 3,299.0 | 3,402.0 | 2,938.0 | 2,433.0 | 2,218.0 |
| ${ }^{3}$ This sales category is $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$ for 1950-92. <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ This sales category is $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999$ for 1950-92. <br> ${ }^{6}$ This sales category is $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999$ for $1950-92$. <br> ${ }^{c}$ This sales category is $\$ 100,000$ and over for 1960 and 1965 , and $\$ 100,000-\$ 199,999$ for 1970 through 1980. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ This sales caregory is $\$ 200,000-\$ 499,999$ for 1983 and carlier. <br> ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Net cash income includes net farm income, off-farm income, government payments, and farm-related cash income. Prior to 1977 this series was estimated as gros "production" expenses. Beginning in 1977 it is gross cash income less total "cash" expenses. <br> ${ }^{f}$ Data not available. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A2 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of farms by sales category as a percentage of all farms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 60.5 | 59.0 | 60.1 | 60.8 | 61.4 | 61.5 | 60.4 | 58.9 | 58.6 | 53.5 | 54.1 | 59.5 | 60.4 | 61.5 | 62.8 | 61.1 | 61.0 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 ${ }^{2}$ | 11.1 | 12.1 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 13.9 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 11.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 14.9 | 14.7 | 14.8 | 14.3 | 13.6 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 14.3 | 16.6 | 16.1 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 9.1 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.9 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 10.1 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| \$500,000 and over | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Percentage distribution of annual gross cash income from farming by sales category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999* | 5.6 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 17.0 | 17.5 | 15.5 | 16.3 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 14.9 | 13.9 | 12.9 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 11.0 | 11.2 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 9.1 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 | 25.0 | 27.6 | 24.1 | 25.1 | 24.4 | 24.3 | 24.2 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 23.8 | 24.5 | 22.8 | 21.9 | 21.0 | 19.2 | 19.1 | 19.1 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999d | 14.9 | 16.2 | 17.4 | 18.3 | 16.6 | 14.7 | 14.4 | 14.7 | 13.8 | 16.0 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 14.9 | 16.3 | 19.6 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
| \$500,000 and over | 31.5 | 26.4 | 32.5 | 28.8 | 32.3 | 34.6 | 35.5 | 39.8 | 42.5 | 33.1 | 34.1 | 38.5 | 39.3 | 42.0 | 44.0 | 44.9 | 44.9 |
| Net cash income per farm from farm sources as a percentage of mean money income per U.S. householde |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | -2.9 | -3.7 | -4.2 | -2.1 | -1.4 | -1.5 | -1.5 | -1.2 | -2.0 | -2.8 | -6.1 | -3.9 | -4.8 | -6.7 | -5.9 | -2.2 | -4.7 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999* | 24.0 | 22.4 | 15.3 | 29.8 | 30.9 | 31.5 | 29.4 | 24.0 | 19.6 | 34.0 | 18.8 | 18.9 | 30.2 | 10.4 | 18.0 | 19.9 | 12.6 |
| \$50,000-\$99,9996 | 70.2 | 69.9 | 52.0 | 79.8 | 78.3 | 84.5 | 78.9 | 63.5 | 58.8 | 72.0 | 64.3 | 79.6 | 76.7 | 62.0 | 52.5 | 70.8 | 74.6 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 | 183.0 | 194.0 | 160.0 | 206.0 | 196.0 | 225.0 | 209.0 | 169.0 | 167.0 | 142.0 | 149.0 | 130.0 | 106.0 | 101.0 | 96.0 | 119.0 | 128.0 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999d | 428.0 | 423.0 | 368.0 | 450.0 | 415.0 | 487.0 | 463.0 | 368.0 | 384.0 | 327.0 | 331.0 | 279.0 | 213.0 | 300.0 | 260.0 | 235.0 | 270.0 |
| \$500,000 and over | 2,004.0 | 1,584.0 | 1,729.0 | 1,909.0 | 1,812.0 | 2,194.0 | 1,722.0 | 1,645.0 | 1,545.0 | 1,062.0 | 1,408.0 | 1,441.0 | 1,247.0 | 1,344.0 | 1,057.0 | 1,178.0 | 1,123.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This sales category is $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$ for $1950-92$.
${ }^{5}$ This sales category is $\$ 40,00-\$ 9$, d This sales category is $\$ 200,000-\$ 499,999$ for 1983 and earlier. "Net cash income includes net farm income, off-farm income, government payments, and farm-related cash income. Prior to 1977 this ${ }^{f}$ Data not available less total "production" expenses.
Table A3 Income of Farm Families, 1950-98

$$
\overline{1965}
$$ 2

| 63.2 | 68.0 | 65.4 | 63.0 | 58.2 | 56.1 | 57.6 | 53.2 | 57.4 | 58.4 | 62.8 | 69.2 | 69.0 | 75.7 | 76.7 | 79.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37.2 | 41.8 | 38.4 | 37.4 | 33.0 | 30.0 | 30.8 | 24.9 | 32.6 | 27.1 | 28.1 | 29.5 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 25.6 | 25.6 |
| Off-farm income and government payments as a percentagc of money income of farm families ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31.6 | 29.3 | 31.6 | 33.7 | 33.4 | 36.5 | 37.7 | 38.7 | 31.3 | 41.8 | 43.1 | 43.4 | 45.1 | 48.4 | 52.6 | 49.7 |
| 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 9.9 | 9.6 |
| Income from off-farm sources as a percentage of net cash income of farm families from all sources ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim_{-}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 63.9 | 64.6 | 67.6 | 71.8 | 72.1 | 74.5 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20.5 | 20.0 | 21.7 | 24.8 | 24.9 | 26.3 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19.0 | 19.7 | 22.7 | 27.1 | 32.0 | 21.9 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19.3 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $l^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| 6.8 | 69.9 | 73.2 |
| 3.2 | 134.9 | 134.0 |
| 3.6 | 228.5 | 236.4 |
| 3.0 | 427.0 | 532.0 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | - |

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Statistical Tables

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net farm income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (\$bil) | 13,962 | 12,338 | 12,323 | 14,292 | 14,366 | 15,012 | 19,457 | 34,357 | 27,266 | 25,547 | 20,176 | 19,882 | 25,197 | 27,416 | 16,135 | 26,879 |
| Per farm household (\$) | 4,344 | 4,205 | 4,186 | 4,980 | 5,230 | 5,678 | 7,591 | 13,837 | 11,351 | 11,002 | 9,003 | 9,200 | 12,114 | 13,708 | 8,408 | 14,214 |
| Off-farm income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (\$bil) | 13,882 | 14,495 | 15,466 | 16,612 | 17,617 | 19,110 | 21,265 | 24,714 | 28,135 | 23,901 | 26,681 | 26,120 | 29,704 | 33,840 | 34,694 | 35,800 |
| Per farm household (\$) | 4,319 | 4,940 | 5,253 | 5,788 | 6,413 | 7,228 | 8,297 | 9,953 | 11,713 | 10,293 | 11,906 | 12,087 | 14,281 | 16,920 | 18,079 | 18,932 |
| Money income of households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm houscholds (\$) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7,624 | 7,734 | 8,189 | 9,418 | 10,169 | 10,781 | 13,757 | 20,299 | 21,182 | 17,039 | 18,344 | 16,895 | 21,027 | 22,840 | 23,372 | 22,411 |
| All U.S. households (\$) | 7,693 | 7,989 | 8,760 | 9,544 | 10,001 | 10,383 | 11,286 | 12,157 | 13,094 | 13,779 | 14,922 | 16,100 | 17,730 | 19,554 | 21,063 | 22,787 |
| Farm as \% of all U.S. households | 99.1 | 96.8 | 93.5 | 98.7 | 101.7 | 103.8 | 121.9 | 167.0 | 161.8 | 123.7 | 122.9 | 104.9 | 118.6 | 116.8 | 111.0 | 98.4 |
| Farm as \% of all U.S. households, excluding government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| payments | 85.9 | 83.7 | 80.1 | 84.8 | 88.1 | 92.4 | 108.2 | 158.3 | 160.1 | 121.1 | 120.7 | 99.7 | 110.4 | 113.3 | 107.8 | 93.9 |
| Farm as \% of all |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. households, excluding government payments and off-farm income | 29.7 | 21.8 | 20.1 | 24.2 | 24.0 | 22.8 | 34.7 | 76.5 | 70.6 | 46.4 | 40.9 | 24.6 | 29.8 | 26.8 | 21.9 | 10.8 |
| Off-farm income and government payments as a percentage of money income of farm families ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-farm as \% of total | 49.9 | 54.0 | 55.7 | 53.8 | 55.1 | 56.0 | 52.2 | 41.8 | 50.8 | 48.3 | 56.9 | 56.8 | 54.1 | 55.2 | 68.3 | 57.1 |
| Government payments as $\%$ of total | 11.8 | 11.5 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| Income from off-farm sources as a percentage of net cash income of farm families from all sources ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 75.6 | 80.7 | 81.9 | 100.0 | 102.8 | 107.8 | 104.5 | 102.9 | 110.6 | 124.7 | 127.8 | 139.1 | 99.3 | 103.4 | 108.0 | 111.4 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 | 24.1 | 28.3 | 28.5 | 25.1 | 27.6 | 31.4 | 30.7 | 33.6 | 44.2 | 58.5 | 71.6 | 87.6 | 51.2 | 60.9 | 64.7 | 77.3 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999f | 18.8 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 16.8 | 18.0 | 19.4 | 17.8 | 17.4 | 23.9 | 26.5 | 33.9 | 41.4 | 25.0 | 31.1 | 32.2 | 39.7 |
| \$100,000-\$249,9998 | 14.7 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 23.3 | 20.0 | 17.9 | 21.5 | 15.9 | 18.7 | 21.0 | 14.4 | 16.1 | 16.6 | 19.0 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | -i | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8.9 | 10.5 | 11.7 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 10.5 |
| \$500,000 and over | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
|  |  |  | Net cash | income p | er farm fr | n farm | Id off-far | sources | a percen | ge of m | an money | income P | er U.S. hour | usehold $^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 76.0 | 74.3 | 74.0 | 61.6 | 62.2 | 63.4 | 69.0 | 79.8 | 80.1 | 64.3 | 65.1 | 54.9 | 80.7 | 80.0 | 73.3 | 68.3 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999e | 145.5 | 124.1 | 120.9 | 133.9 | 122.1 | 115.1 | 122.7 | 124.6 | 103.6 | 72.1 | 66.4 | 52.8 | 99.1 | 89.5 | 81.9 | 67.2 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999f | 256.3 | 207.5 | 196.3 | 244.3 | 221.4 | 204.6 | 215.7 | 224.9 | 173.3 | 139.2 | 119.0 | 93.2 | 167.6 | 143.1 | 129.4 | 99.1 |
| \$100,000-\$249,9998 | 638.0 | 431.0 | 418.0 | 525.0 | 511.0 | 474.0 | 510.0 | 554.0 | 483.0 | 328.0 | 274.0 | 215.0 | 319.0 | 285.0 | 263.0 | 218.0 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | - | - | - | 924.0 | 868.0 | 797.0 | 896.0 | 1,002.0 | 883.0 | 748.0 | 615.0 | 484.0 | 614.0 | 570.0 | 512.0 | 460.0 |
| \$500,000 and over | - | - | - | 5,993.0 | 6,282.0 | 4,673.0 | 4,814.0 | 5,433.0 | 4,977.0 | 4,391.0 | 3,798.0 | 3,393.0 | 3,505.0 | 3,049.0 | 2,526.0 | 2,299.0 |

 Beginning in 1983, off-farm income by farm size was estimated by the author on the basis of the distribution of off-farm income by farm size in previous years.
b Net farm income plus off-farm income less the value of commodities produced and consumed on the farm, rental value of farm dwellings, and net changer
 Total income of farm families includes all money and nonmoney income
dNet cash income includes net farm income, off-farm income, government payments, and farm related cash income. Prior to 1977 this series was estimated as gross cash income less total
"production" expenses. Beginning in 1977 it is gross cash income less total "cash" expenses.
eSales category of $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$ for $1950-92$.
Sales category of $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999$ for $1950-92$. . 1965 , and $\$ 100,000-\$ 199,999$ for 1970 through 1980.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Sales category of $\$ 200,000-\$ 499,999$ for 1983 and earlier.
i Data not available.
Table A3 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net farm income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (\$bil) | 23,841 | 14,248 | 26,105 | 28,767 | 31,000 | 39,721 | 38,000 | 47,907 | 44,796 | 38,526 | 48,047 | 43,650 | 49,235 | 37,212 | 54,926 | 48,625 | 44,088 |
| Per farm household (\$) | 12,797 | 7,769 | 14,455 | 16,179 | 17,175 | 23,310 | 22,565 | 29,830 | 27,365 | 23,463 | 29,769 | 27,332 | 31,765 | 23,977 | 35,436 | 30,971 | 28,444 |
| Off-farm income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (\$bil) | 36,428 | 37,019 | 39,179 | 55,160 | 54,547 | 56,319 | 62,812 | 56,039 | 54,455 | 51,950 | 57,670 | 56,547 | 59,043 | 61,569 | 65,805 | 72,782 | 81,573 |
| Per farm household (\$) | 19,553 | 20,185 | 21,694 | 31,024 | 30,220 | 33,051 | 37,299 | 34,894 | 33,265 | 31,638 | 35,731 | 35,408 | 38,092 | 39,671 | 42,455 | 46,358 | 52,628 |
| Money income of households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm households (\$) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 25,443 | 26,463 | 29,579 | 45,321 | 45,581 | 54,410 | 58,139 | 56,952 | 53,588 | 50,491 | 58,219 | 60,511 | 58,333 | 60,491 | 66,090 | 70,185 | 74,439 |
| All U.S. households (\$) | 24,309 | 25,401 | 27,464 | 29,066 | 30,759 | 32,410 | 34,017 | 36,520 | 37,403 | 37,922 | 38,840 | 41,428 | 43,133 | 44,938 | 47,123 | 49,692 | 52,000 |
| Farm as \% of all U.S. households | 104.7 | 104.2 | 107.7 | 155.9 | 148.2 | 167.9 | 170.9 | 155.9 | 143.3 | 133.1 | 149.9 | 146.1 | 135.2 | 134.6 | 140.3 | 141.2 | 143.2 |
| Farm as \% of all U.S. households, excluding government payments | 97.0 | 84.2 | 90.7 | 141.0 | 126.9 | 137.6 | 145.6 | 137.4 | 128.1 | 120.0 | 135.3 | 125.8 | 123.5 | 124.2 | 130.2 | 131.6 | 128.9 |
| Farm as \% of all U.S. households, excluding government payments and off-farm income | 16.5 | 4.8 | 11.7 | 34.3 | 28.7 | 35.6 | 36.0 | 41.8 | 39.1 | 36.5 | 43.3 | 40.3 | 35.1 | 35.9 | 40.1 | 38.3 | 26.8 |
| Off-farm income and government payments as a percentage of money income of farm families ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-farm as \% of total | 60.4 | 72.2 | 60.0 | 65.7 | 63.8 | 58.6 | 62.3 | 53.9 | 54.9 | 57.4 | 54.6 | 56.4 | 54.5 | 62.3 | 54.5 | 59.9 | 64.9 |
| Government payments as \% of total | 5.8 | 18.1 | 12.9 | 9.2 | 13.8 | 17.4 | 14.4 | 10.5 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 13.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 9.7 |
| Income from off-farm sources as a percentage of net cash income of farm families from all sources ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 104.1 | 105.2 | 106.0 | 102.2 | 101.5 | 101.8 | 101.8 | 101.5 | 102.2 | 103.1 | 106.8 | 105.2 | 106.6 | 109.7 | 108.3 | 102.8 | 106.6 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 | 68.2 | 67.0 | 77.2 | 71.6 | 66.0 | 71.1 | 72.1 | 73.6 | 81.3 | 66.0 | 77.4 | 72.7 | 65.2 | 84.4 | 78.3 | 74.5 | 80.8 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 34.9 | 34.0 | 41.2 | 43.6 | 39.4 | 38.3 | 40.3 | 44.7 | 53.5 | 41.9 | 44.3 | 47.7 | 48.6 | 56.2 | 65.3 | 55.5 | 52.1 |
| \$100,000-\$249,9995 | 18.1 | 16.4 | 19.8 | 17.8 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 22.5 | 19.8 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 11.7 | 12.7 | 11.3 | 9.8 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 9.6 | 8.7 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 12.0 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 16.2 | 14.0 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 16.0 | 11.8 | 10.7 | 14.1 | 11.6 |
| \$500,000 and over | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 5.8 |
| Net cash income per farm from farm and off-farm sources as a percentage of mean money income per U.S. household ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 71.4 | 71.5 | 69.5 | 94.9 | 92.9 | 87.0 | 83.6 | 82.4 | 92.1 | 90.8 | 89.4 | 74.2 | 73.1 | 69.6 | 70.5 | 80.4 | 71.2 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 | 75.5 | 68.0 | 67.1 | 104.9 | 90.7 | 109.0 | 105.3 | 91.0 | 104.9 | 99.9 | 83.0 | 69.5 | 87.0 | 66.6 | 83.0 | 78.0 | 65.9 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 | 107.9 | 105.9 | 88.3 | 141.4 | 129.3 | 136.9 | 132.0 | 114.8 | 126.6 | 123.9 | 115.5 | 152.3 | 149.2 | 141.7 | 151.5 | 159.1 | 155.6 |
| \$100,000-\$249,9995 | 223.0 | 232.0 | 199.0 | 251.0 | 242.0 | 278.0 | 264.0 | 213.0 | 215.0 | 177.0 | 163.0 | 143.0 | 119.0 | 114.0 | 110.0 | 134.0 | 141.0 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 474.0 | 463.0 | 411.0 | 501.0 | 471.0 | 566.0 | 547.0 | 436.0 | 458.0 | 380.0 | 375.0 | 321.0 | 253.0 | 340.0 | 292.0 | 273.0 | 306.0 |
| \$500,000 and over | 2,074.0 | 1,641.0 | 1,782.0 | 1,988.0 | 1,909.0 | 2,280.0 | 1,803.0 | 1,711.0 | 1,617.0 | 1,122.0 | 1,496.0 | 1,523.0 | 1,324.0 | 1,421.0 | 1,115.0 | 1,253.0 | 1,192.0 |

a Beginning in 1993, aggregate off-farm income is estimated as the income from off-farm sources per farm operator household times the number of farm households.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Net farm income plus off-farm income less the value of commodities produced and consumed on the farm, rental value of farm dwellings, and net change in farm inventories. Total income of farm families includes all money and nonmoney income. expenses. Beginning in 1977 it is gross cash income less total "cash" expenses.
Sales category of $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999$ for 1950-92. 1965 and $\$ 100,000-\$ 199999$ for 1970 through 1980. ${ }^{h}$ Sales category of $\$ 200,000-\$ 499,999$ for 1983 and earlier.

Statistical Tables
Table A4 Farm Acreage and Relative Importance of Cash Receipts from Selected Farm Enterprises, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farm acreage (mil) | 1,202 | 1,204 | 1,205 | 1,206 | 1,206 | 1,202 | 1,197 | 1,191 | 1,185 | 1,183 | 1,176 | 1,168 | 1,159 | 1,152 | 1,146 | 1,140 |
| Percentage harvested | 28.0 | 27.9 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 28.0 | 27.6 | 26.4 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 26.7 | 26.9 | 25.2 | 24.7 | 25.2 | 25.3 | 25.5 |
| Cash receipts from farming (\$bil) | 28.5 | 32.9 | 32.5 | 31.0 | 29.8 | 29.5 | 30.4 | 29.7 | 33.5 | 33.6 | 34.2 | 35.2 | 36.5 | 37.5 | 37.3 | 39.4 |
| \% from hogs | 11.3 | 11.8 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 9.2 |
| \% from beef | 19.9 | 21.3 | 19.1 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 17.6 | 20.0 | 21.9 | 23.3 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 22.4 | 21.6 | 20.9 | 22.7 |
| \% from dairy | 13.1 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 14.3 | 14.8 | 15.6 | 13.6 | 13.7 | 13.9 | 14.0 | 13.3 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 12.8 |
| $\%$ from poultry | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| \% from eggs | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| \% from feed grains | 7.5 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 9.4 |
| \% from food grains | 6.8 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 5.3 | 5.2 |
| \% from wheat | 7.2 | 6.3 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| \% from rice | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| \% from oil crops | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| \% from soybeans | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.5 |
| \% from fruits and nuts | 4.2 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| $\%$ from vegetables | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.7 |
| \% from tobacco | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| \% from cotton | 8.6 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 5.9 |
| \% from nursery \& greenhouse | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| \% from crops | 43.4 | 40.3 | 43.9 | 45.4 | 45.4 | 45.9 | 46.2 | 41.5 | 42.5 | 43.8 | 44.6 | 44.5 | 44.7 | 46.5 | 46.6 | 44.4 |
| \% from livestock | 56.6 | 59.7 | 56.1 | 54.6 | 54.6 | 54.1 | 53.8 | 58.5 | 57.5 | 56.2 | 55.4 | 55.5 | 55.3 | 53.5 | 53.4 | 55.6 |

## Appendix 2

Table A4 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farm acreage (mil) | 1,132 | 1,123 | 1,115 | 1,108 | 1,102 | 1,097 | 1,092 | 1,088 | 1,084 | 1,059 | 1,054 | 1,048 | 1,045 | 1,042 | 1,039 | 1,034 |
| Percentage harvested | 25.4 | 26.7 | 26.5 | 25.9 | 26.2 | 27.6 | 26.6 | 29.3 | 29.9 | 31.4 | 31.6 | 32.4 | 32.3 | 33.5 | 33.9 | 35.4 |
| Cash receipts from farming (\$bil) | 43.4 | 42.8 | 44.2 | 48.2 | 50.5 | 52.7 | 61.1 | 86.9 | 92.4 | 88.9 | 95.4 | 96.2 | 112.4 | 131.5 | 139.7 | 141.6 |
| \% from hogs | 9.6 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 7.5 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| \% from beef | 24.0 | 24.6 | 25.5 | 26.1 | 27.0 | 28.4 | 29.8 | 25.7 | 19.3 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 21.0 | 25.1 | 26.6 | 22.8 | 20.9 |
| \% from dairy | 12.7 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 11.7 | 9.3 | 10.2 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 11.7 | 12.8 |
| \% from poultry | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| \% from eggs | 4.8 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| \% from feed grains | 10.0 | 10.3 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 12.2 | 15.1 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 12.4 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 13.1 | 12.5 |
| \% from food grains | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 7.5 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 8.2 |
| \% from wheat | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 7.0 |
| \% from rice | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| \% from oil crops | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 10.8 | 8.4 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 9.8 |
| \% from soybeans | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 12.5 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 10.2 | 8.6 |
| \% from fruits and nuts | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| \% from vegetables | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 6.2 |
| \% from tobacco | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| \% from cotton | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| \% from nursery \& greenhouse | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| \% from crops | 42.4 | 43.1 | 42.3 | 40.7 | 41.5 | 42.2 | 41.8 | 47.3 | 55.3 | 51.5 | 51.4 | 50.5 | 47.3 | 47.4 | 51.3 | 51.2 |
| \% from livestock | 57.6 | 56.9 | 57.7 | 59.3 | 58.5 | 57.8 | 58.2 | 52.7 | 44.7 | 48.5 | 48.6 | 49.5 | 52.7 | 52.6 | 48.7 | 48.8 |

Table A4 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farm acreage (mil) | 1,028 | 1,023 | 1,018 | 1,012 | 1,005 | 999 | 994 | 991 | 987 | 982 | 979 | 976 | 973 | 972 | 970 | 968 | 964 |
| Percentage harvested | 33.0 | 28.6 | 32.9 | 32.6 | 30.8 | 28.9 | 29.1 | 30.7 | 31.2 | 30.9 | 31.3 | 30.3 | 31.6 | 31.0 | 32.3 | 32.8 | 32.3 |
| Cash receipts from farming (\$bil) | 142.6 | 136.8 | 142.8 | 144.1 | 135.4 | 141.8 | 151.2 | 161.2 | 169.4 | 167.9 | 171.3 | 177.9 | 181.3 | 188.1 | 199.1 | 207.6 | 196.8 |
| \% from hogs | 7.5 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 4.8 |
| \% from beef | 20.9 | 21.1 | 21.4 | 20.1 | 21.3 | 23.7 | 24.4 | 22.9 | 23.2 | 23.1 | 21.8 | 22.2 | 20.0 | 18.1 | 15.6 | 17.3 | 17.1 |
| \% from dairy | 12.8 | 13.7 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 12.4 |
| \% from poultry | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.7 |
| \% from eggs | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| \% from feed grains | 12.2 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 15.7 | 12.6 | 10.3 | 9.4 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 13.0 | 13.7 | 13.1 | 11.7 |
| \% from food grains | 8.0 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.4 |
| \% from wheat | 6.9 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.5 |
| \% from rice | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| \% from oil crops | 9.7 | 9.9 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 8.7 |
| \% from soybeans | 8.8 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 7.9 |
| \% from fruits and nuts | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| \% from vegetables | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.8 |
| \% from tobacco | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| \% from cotton | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| \% from nursery \& greenhouse | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 6.2 |
| \% from crops | 50.7 | 49.1 | 48.9 | 51.6 | 47.1 | 46.4 | 47.4 | 47.7 | 47.4 | 48.9 | 50.0 | 49.2 | 51.4 | 53.7 | 53.3 | 53.5 | 52.0 |
| \% from livestock | 49.3 | 50.9 | 51.1 | 48.4 | 52.9 | 53.6 | 52.6 | 52.2 | 52.6 | 51.1 | 50.0 | 50.8 | 48.6 | 46.3 | 46.7 | 46.5 | 48.0 |

Statistical Tables

Table A5 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corn | 21.4 | 17.6 | 21.5 | 22.8 | 22.2 | 20.6 | 20.2 | 21.2 | 21.8 | 22.7 | 23.5 | 21.3 | 23.7 | 21.6 | 23.2 | 22.8 | 23.3 |
| Barley | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Oats | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Rye | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Grain sorghum | 4.2 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| Soybeans | 20.5 | 21.3 | 19.7 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 19.5 | 18.4 | 19.1 | 19.0 | 19.4 | 19.8 | 20.4 | 20.2 | 21.7 | 22.6 |
| Rice | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Wheat | 23.0 | 20.9 | 20.0 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 19.4 | 18.4 | 20.4 | 22.5 | 19.1 | 20.5 | 21.2 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 19.7 | 18.9 |
| Cotron | 2.9 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.4 |
| Tobacoo | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Hay | 17.6 | 20.4 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 20.1 | 20.8 | 22.4 | 20.6 | 19.8 | 20.4 | 19.2 | 20.2 | 19.1 | 19.8 | 19.5 | 19.2 | 19.3 |
| Peanuts | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Potatoes | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Sugar beets | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Sugar cane | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| All vegetables | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |

Statistical Tables
Table A6 Farm Assets, Farm Debts, and Land Value in the United States, and Cash Rent Relative to Land Value in Iowa, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets, debts, and value of land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total farm assets (\$bil) | 111 | 122 | 133 | 129 | 133 | 137 | 146 | 155 | 169 | 173 | 174 | 181 | 189 | 197 | 204 | 221 |
| Assers per farm (\$) | 20 | 22 | 26 | 26 | 28 | 29 | 32 | 35 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 47 | 51 | 55 | 59 | 66 |
| Farm debt-asset ratio (\%) | 9.8 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 12.4 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 14.1 | 15.1 | 15.8 | 16.2 |
| Farm debt-equity ratio (\%) | 9.8 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 16.5 | 17.7 | 18.7 | 19.4 |
| Value farm land (\$/acre) | 48 | 54 | 60 | 61 | 60 | 62 | 63 | 72 | 79 | 83 | 86 | 87 | 91 | 93 | 96 | 99 |
| Cash rent for farms in Iowa as a percentage of value of land and buildings on farms in Iowa ${ }^{2}$ | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 11.2 |
| Interest on 10 -year securities Nominal rate of interest | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| Real rate of interest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -13.1 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 |

${ }^{2}$ Cash rent for farms is available only for 1950-94. Here cash rent for farms in lowa was estimated for 1995-98 based on the 1967-94 relationship berween cash rent
for cropland in Iowa and cash rent for farms in Iowa.
${ }^{\text {Nominal rate adjusted for inflation using the }}$ (CPI $(1990-92=100)$.

Appendix 2
Table A6 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets, debts, and value of land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total farm assets (\$bil) | 234 | 246 | 257 | 267 | 279 | 302 | 340 | 418 | 449 | 511 | 591 | 652 | 767 | 898 | 983 | 982 |
| Assets per farm (\$) | 78 | 84 |  | 89 | 95 | 104 | 119 | 148 | 161 | 203 | 237 | 265 | 315 | 369 | 403 | 403 |
| Farm debt-asset ratio (\%) | 16.8 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 17.3 | 16.2 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 16.9 | 17.0 | 18.6 |
| Farm debt-equity ratio (\$) | 20.1 | 20.7 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 21.2 | 21.4 | 20.9 | 19.3 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 19.4 | 20.5 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 20.4 | 22.8 |
| Value of farm land (\$/acre) | 109 | 124 | 135 | 148 | 157 | 166 | 179 | 202 | 253 | 288 | 338 | 403 | 450 | 537 | 633 | 706 |
| Cash rent for farms in Iowa as a percentage of value of land and buildings on farms in Iowa ${ }^{2}$ | 11.2 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| Interest on 10-year securitics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal rate of interest | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 9.4 | 11.5 | 13.9 |
| Real rate of interest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 7.2 |

${ }^{2}$ Cash rent for farms is available only for 1950-94. Here cash rent for farms in Iowa was estimated for 1995-98 based on the 1967-94 relationship between cash rent
${ }^{5}$ Nominal rate adjusted for inflation using the CPI $(1990-92=100)$.
Table A6 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assers, debts, and value of land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total farm assets (\$bil) | 945 | 943 | 857 | 773 | 724 | 773 | 801 | 830 | 848 | 844 | 868 | 910 | 936 | 967 | 1,004 | 1,052 | 1,064 |
| Assets per farm (\$) | 392 | 396 | 367 | 337 | 322 | 349 | 364 | 381 | 395 | 399 | 412 | 413 | 426 | 440 | 458 | 480 | 486 |
| Farm debt-asset ratio (\%) | 20.0 | 20.3 | 22.6 | 23.0 | 21.7 | 18.7 | 17.4 | 16.5 | 16.2 | 16.5 | 16.0 | 15.6 | 15.7 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 15.7 | 16.2 |
| Farm debt-equity ratio (\%) | 25.0 | 25.4 | 29.2 | 29.8 | 27.7 | 23.0 | 21.1 | 19.8 | 19.3 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 18.4 | 18.7 | 19.4 |
| Value of farm land (\$/acre) | 713 | 682 | 686 | 592 | 512 | 469 | 491 | 525 | 550 | 576 | 583 | 605 | 640 | 680 | 728 | 770 | 774 |
| Cash rent for farms in Iowa as a percentage of value of land and buildings on farms in lowa ${ }^{2}$ | 6.3 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 10.4 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.2 |
| Interest on 10-year securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal rate of interest | 13.0 | 11.1 | 12.4 | 10.6 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 5.3 |
| Real rate of interest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8.5 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 3.4 |

[^2]${ }^{5}$ Nominal rate adjusted for inflation using the CPI $(1990-92=100)$.

## Appendix 2

Table A7 Net Farm Income and Selected Farm Expenses as a Percentage of Gross Cash Farm Income, 1950-98

|  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Statistical Tables

Table A7 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of gross cash farm income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net farm income | 27.7 | 24.4 | 23.8 | 25.3 | 24.4 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 34.7 | 27.8 | 25.4 | 19.6 | 18.3 | 19.6 | 18.2 | 10.8 | 16.2 |
| Total production expenses | 72.3 | 75.6 | 76.2 | 74.7 | 75.6 | 75.8 | 72.7 | 65.3 | 72.2 | 74.6 | 80.4 | 81.7 | 80.4 | 81.8 | 89.2 | 83.8 |
| Fertilizer and lime expenses | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 5.7 |
| Pesticide expenses | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Labor expenses | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 5.4 |
| Feed expenses | 12.7 | 13.2 | 12.3 | 12.6 | 13.6 | 13.0 | 11.8 | 13.4 | 14.8 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 12.5 |
| Livestock expenses | 7.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 5.4 |
| Depreciation expenses | 10.7 | 11.4 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 12.3 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 14.4 | 14.2 |
| Machine repairs | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Building repairs | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Fuel and oil | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 5.2 |
| Electricity expenses | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Interest | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 11.5 |
| Building expenses ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 3.6 |
| Machine and equipment purchases | 4.9 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 3.9 |

Table A7 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of gross cash farm income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net farm income | 14.6 | 9.3 | 15.3 | 17.7 | 19.8 | 23.5 | 21.6 | 24.8 | 22.6 | 20.1 | 23.5 | 21.4 | 22.8 | 17.7 | 23.3 | 20.4 | 18.9 |
| Total production expenses | 85.8 | 91.2 | 83.5 | 81.4 | 80.0 | 77.5 | 79.6 | 76.1 | 77.2 | 79.9 | 74.5 | 78.7 | 77.2 | 82.3 | 76.7 | 79.6 | 81.1 |
| Fertilizer and lime expenses | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Pesticide expenses | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| Labor expenses | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 8.3 |
| Feed expenses | 11.4 | 13.4 | 11.4 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.7 |
| Livestock expenses | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
| Depreciation expenses | 14.8 | 15.5 | 12.3 | 11.9 | 11.5 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 8.3 |
| Machine repairs | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Building repairs | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Fuel and oil | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Electricity expenses | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Interest | 12.8 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 10.9 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| Building expenses ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| Machine and equipment purchases | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 |

Table A8 Real Prices of Selected Agricultural Commodities and Real Prices Paid by Farmers, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prices reccived deflated by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CPI (1990-92=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (\$/bu) | 11.26 | 11.01 | 10.71 | 10.37 | 10.70 | 10.03 | 9.83 | 9.32 | 8.22 | 8.21 | 7.98 | 8.31 | 9.17 | 8.21 | 6.00 | 5.82 |
| Corn (\$/bu) | 8.56 | 8.66 | 7.79 | 7.52 | 7.21 | 6.84 | 6.44 | 5.36 | 5.26 | 4.90 | 4.59 | 4.99 | 5.03 | 4.92 | 5.12 | 5.00 |
| Oats (\$/bu) | 4.45 | 4.28 | 4.05 | 3.76 | 3.58 | 3.04 | 3.44 | 2.95 | 2.72 | 3.03 | 2.75 | 2.91 | 2.79 | 2.75 | 2.76 | 2.67 |
| Barley (\$/bu) | 6.70 | 6.58 | 7.02 | 5.95 | 5.50 | 4.66 | 4.94 | 4.30 | 4.23 | 4.01 | 3.85 | 4.45 | 4.13 | 3.99 | 4.16 | 4.39 |
| Rye (\$/bu) | 7.38 | 7.93 | 8.81 | 6.56 | 6.10 | 5.37 | 5.79 | 5.22 | 4.79 | 4.66 | 4.03 | 4.58 | 4.27 | 4.79 | 4.55 | 4.22 |
| Grain sorghum (\$/bu) | 5.91 | 6.89 | 8.09 | 6.71 | 6.36 | 4.96 | 5.74 | 4.69 | 4.70 | 4.01 | 3.85 | 4.58 | 4.58 | 4.35 | 4.60 | 4.22 |
| Soybeans (\$/bu) | 13.91 | 14.25 | 13.93 | 13.83 | 12.41 | 11.25 | 10.88 | 10.00 | 9.39 | 9.14 | 9.77 | 10.35 | 10.52 | 11.14 | 11.47 | 10.94 |
| Cotton (cents/b) | 225 | 198 | 179 | 163 | 172 | 162 | 160 | 145 | 155 | 149 | 138 | 150 | 144 | 133 | 123 | 90 |
| Rice (\$/cwt) | 28.66 | 25.16 | 30.07 | 26.39 | 23.06 | 24.37 | 24.25 | 24.69 | 21.98 | 21.41 | 20.86 | 23.33 | 22.65 | 22.23 | 21.45 | 21.24 |
| Sugarbeets (\$/ron) | 63.06 | 61.06 | 61.48 | 58.97 | 54.49 | 56.74 | 59.38 | 54.11 | 54.96 | 52.24 | 53.19 | 50.84 | 57.53 | 54.13 | 51.66 | 51.27 |
| Peanuts (cents/lb) | 61 | 54 | 56 | 56 | 62 | 59 | 56 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 46 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 49 | 49 |
| Tobacco, burley (cents/lb) | 276 | 267 | 258 | 267 | 251 | 297 | 317 | 291 | 310 | 283 | 295 | 302 | 263 | 263 | 264 | 89 |
| Tobacco, flue-cured (cents/1b) | 308 | 273 | 258 | 268 | 266 | 267 | 257 | 268 | 273 | 272 | 277 | 292 | 270 | 257 | 256 | 278 10.90 |
| Potatoes (\$/cwt) | 8.45 | 13.99 | 16.44 | 6.66 | 10.85 | 8.97 | 10.08 | 9.23 | 6.15 | 10.59 | 9.17 | 6.17 | 7.91 | 7.90 | 15.32 | 10.90 |
| Choice steers (\$/cwt) | 162.61 | 182.25 | 165.83 | 115.76 | 118.31 | 112.26 | 104.74 | 109.23 | 123.95 | 125.61 | 115.45 | 107.99 | 118.88 | 102.97 | 97.24 | 108.23 |
| Choice veal calves (\$/cwt) | 180.18 | 203.55 | 174.18 | 127.10 | 116.04 | 131.71 | 119.76 | 125.60 | 150.31 | 149.25 | 132.97 | 131.64 | 139.33 | 128.66 | 118.21 | 120.64 |
| Lamb (\$/cwt) | 155.01 | 166.54 | 113.47 | 93.34 | 96.17 | 95.64 | 92.32 | 96.14 | 98.64 | 87.22 | 82.07 | 71.72 77.89 | 80.22 | 80.30 | 87.13 | 98.23 |
| Barrows and gilcs (\$/cwt) | 103.72 | 107.31 | 92.88 | 111.79 | 112.26 | 76.95 | 73.95 | 88.36 | 95.12 | 68.28 | 73.18 | 77.89 | 75.60 | 68.23 | 67.03 | 91.77 |
| Wool (cents/b) | 350 | 507 | 277 | 279 | 268 | 217 | 221 | 259 | 171 | 202 75 | 193 | 195 | 214 | 215 | 233 | 203 |
| Broilers (cents/lb) | 154 | 149 | 148 | 138 | 117 | 128 | 98 | 91 | 87 | 75 | 77 | 63 | 68 | 65 | 62 | 95 |
| Turkeys (cents/lb) | 144 | 136 | 133 | 135 | 134 | 137 | 136 | 113 | 112 | 111 | 116 | 86 | 97 | 99 | 92 | 96 |
| Eggs (cents/dozen) | 204 | 249 | 213 | 243 | 185 | 200 | 196 | 173 | 181 | 146 | 165 | 162 | 152 | 153 | 148 | 145 |
| Milk, fluid (\$/cwt) | 24.55 | 26.20 | 27.20 | 24.50 | 22.45 | 22.80 | 23.15 | 22.95 | 21.89 | 21.78 | 21.50 | 21.11 | 20.40 | 20.10 | 20.05 | 19.95 |
| All apples (cens/lb) | 16 | 17 | 24 | 5 | 21 | 18 | 22 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 22 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 19 |
| All grapes (\$/ton) | 381.76 | 208.25 | 204.41 | 246.06 | 260.85 | 218.84 | 257.98 | 302.90 | 317.52 | 252.33 9.00 | 249.43 8.80 | 251.02 11.30 | 282.25 9 | 235.58 17.75 | 275.39 11.69 | 194.31 14.26 |
| All lemons (\$/box) | 20.21 | 14.72 | 17.73 | 19.83 | 13.77 | 14.13 | 15.67 | 10.97 | 10.29 | 9.00 | 8.80 | 11.30 | 9.75 | 17.75 | 11.69 | 14.26 |
| All oranges (\$/box) | 12.61 | 10.28 | 7.68 | 8.69 | 9.74 | 9.78 | 12.03 | 10.05 | 14.42 | 15.11 | 12.61 | 16.34 | 12.04 | 15.35 | 20.45 | 13.57 |
| All pecans (cents/lb) | 162 | 103 | 113 | 83 | 144 | 166 | 92 | 114 | 132 | 152 | 142 | 82 | 158 | 82 | 99 | 77 |
| Indexes of prices received and prices paid ( $1990-92=100$ ) <br> deflated by CPI $(1990-92=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All commodities | 229 | 249 | 234 | 206 | 197 | 187 | 181 | 179 | 187 |  | 173 |  | 176 | 170 | 165 | 168 |
| All crops | 256 | 268 | 267 | 239 | 238 | 230 | 227 | 211 | 205 | 200 | 200 | 202 | 204 | 143 | 136 | 147 |
| All livestock | 207 | 231 | 204 | 177 | 163 | 157 | 148 | 156 | 170 | 156 | 154 | 149 | 151 | 143 | 136 | 107 |
| Prices paid | 110 | 113 | 114 | 108 | 107 | 107 | 106 | 107 | 107 | 106 | 107 | 106 | 107 | 106 | 106 | 107 |
| CPI ( $1990-92=100$ ) | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 |

Table A8 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prices received deflated by by CPI $(1990-92=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (\$/bu) | 6.83 | 5.65 | 4.84 | 4.59 | 4.65 | 4.49 | 5.71 | 12.08 | 11.26 | 8.98 | 7.51 | 5.13 | 5.87 | 6.56 | 6.44 | 5.51 |
| Corn (\$/bu) | 5.19 | 4.19 | 4.21 | 4.25 | 4.65 | 3.62 | 5.10 | 7.80 | 8.31 | 6.41 | 5.94 | 4.52 | 4.68 | 4.41 | 4.45 | 3.69 |
| Oats (\$/bu) | 2.81 | 2.68 | 2.34 | 2.18 | 2.17 | 2.01 | 2.34 | 3.61 | 4.21 | 3.68 | 3.72 | 2.46 | 2.50 | 2.54 | 2.95 | 2.81 |
| Barley (\$/bu) | 4.40 | 4.06 | 3.55 | 3.22 | 3.36 | 3.32 | 3.93 | 6.54 | 7.74 | 6.10 | 5.37 | 3.99 | 4.00 | 4.28 | 4.69 | 3.70 |
| Rye (\$/bu) | 4.44 | 4.35 | 3.98 | 3.74 | 3.46 | 3.02 | 3.12 | 5.84 | 6.91 | 5.95 | 5.89 | 4.59 | 4.14 | 3.87 | 4.35 | 4.48 |
| Grain sorghum (\$/bu) | 4.27 | 4.02 | 3.71 | 3.96 | 3.99 | 3.49 | 4.45 | 6.54 | 7.63 | 5.98 | 4.84 | 4.08 | 7.14 | 7.31 | 7.69 | 7.05 |
| Soybeans (\$/bu) | 11.52 | 10.12 | 9.48 | 8.69 | 9.97 | 10.15 | 14.19 | 17.36 | 18.28 | 12.41 | 13.31 | 15.27 | 13.07 | 12.82 | 12.47 | 906 |
| Cotton (cents/lb) | 109 | 89 | 82 | 81 | 77 | 94 | 88 | 138 | 118 | 129 | 153 | 116 | 121 | 116 | 123 | 81 |
| Rice (\$/cwr) | 20.74 | 20.20 | 19.50 | 18.31 | 18.08 | 17.79 | 19.64 | 33.63 | 38.38 | 25.53 | 16.46 | 17.78 | 19.34 | 16.92 | 18.28 | 17.77 |
| Sugarbeets (\$/ton) | 53.62 | 54.86 | 53.82 | 46.97 | 51.77 | 51.61 | 51.95 | 90.49 | 128.85 | 69.63 | 50.10 | 54.20 | 52.46 | 63.38 | 77.75 | 43.60 |
| Peanuts (cents/lb) | 47 | 46 | 46 | 45 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 48 | 47 | 44 | 39 | 41 | 40 |
| Tobacco, burley (cents/b) | 280 | 292 | 287 | 257 | 253 | 271 | 257 | 284 | 313 | 266 | 272 | 269 | 273 | 271 | 273 | 270 |
| Tobacco, flue-cured (cents/b) | 280 | 264 | 260 | 268 | 252 | 259 | 277 | 269 | 289 | 252 | 263 | 263 | 281 | 262 | 238 | 248 |
| Potatoes (\$/cwt) | 8.55 | 7.60 | 8.70 | 8.28 | 7.73 | 6.37 | 9.81 | 14.98 | 11.04 | 11.30 | 8.56 | 8.15 | 8.06 | 5.91 | 7.87 | 8.09 |
| Choice steers (\$/cwt) | 107.62 | 102.76 | 104.80 | 108.91 | 102.69 | 108.55 | 116.17 | 136.17 | 115.34 | 112.54 | 93.30 | 90.44 | 108.95 | 126.66 | 110.29 | 95.33 |
| Choice veal calves (\$/Cwt) | 134.06 | 130.03 | 132.61 | 144.23 | 157.40 | 154.16 | 178.57 | 195.66 | 137.67 | 100.91 | 107.35 | 107.50 | 143.63 | 170.89 | 124.41 | 115.22 |
| Lamb (\$/cwt) | 98.03 | 89.80 | 95.16 | 100.59 | 92.34 | 86.80 | 94.48 | 107.31 | 101.87 | 106.21 | 111.88 | 114.89 | 130.72 | 125.44 | 104.60 | 81.98 |
| Barrows and gilts (\$/cwt) | 98.41 | 78.71 | 74.84 | 87.68 | 76.78 | 61.83 | 86.59 | 123.11 | 96.70 | 121.90 | 102.84 | 91.98 | 100.94 | 78.63 | 65.95 | 66.37 |
| Wool (cents/lb) | 218 | 162 | 158 | 155 | 124 | 65 | 114 | 253 | 163 | 113 | 157 | 161 | 155 | 161 | 145 | 141 |
| Broilers (cents/lb) | 64 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 48 | 46 | 46 | 73 | 59 | 66 | 56 | 53 | 55 | 48 | 46 | 43 |
| Turkeys (cents/lb) | 97 | 79 | 80 | 83 | 79 | 74 | 72 | 117 | 77 | 88 | 76 | 78 | 87 | 78 | 66 | 57 |
| Eggs (cents/dozen) | 164 | 127 | 133 | 148 | 137 | 105 | 100 | 161 | 146 | 132 | 139 | 125 | 109 | 109 | 93 | 94 |
| Milk, fluid (\$/cwt) | 21.66 | 22.06 | 22.11 | 21.71 | 21.16 | 20.74 | 20.71 | 22.68 | 23.84 | 22.75 | 23.69 | 22.31 | 22.46 | 22.86 | 21.78 | 20.82 |
| All apples (cents/lb) | 19 | 23 | 24 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 21 | 27 | 23 | 16 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 14 | 17 |
| All grapes (\$/ton) | 232.93 | 281.59 | 259.75 | 268.12 | 331.93 | 320.04 | 535.71 | 495.26 | 382.71 | 358.22 | 369.75 | 434.49 | 276.85 | 441.20 | 395.32 | 443.48 |
| All lemons (\$/box) | 13.78 | 13.33 | 15.02 | 16.27 | 16.96 | 16.69 | 15.62 | 13.39 | 16.99 | 9.71 | 13.81 | 7.97 | 8.83 | 12.84 | 13.36 | 7.21 |
| All oranges (\$/box) | 10.39 | 7.52 | 12.01 | 8.99 | 7.31 | 8.21 | 9.32 | 8.22 | 7.65 | 6.94 | 6.68 | 7.48 | 11.53 | 11.50 | 7.99 | 7.91 |
| All pecans (cents/lb) | 121 | 137 | 146 | 110 | 136 | 111 | 138 | 112 | 130 | 100 | 194 | 129 | 126 | 104 | 129 | 81 |
| Indexes of prices received and <br> prices paid ( $1990-92=100$ ) <br> deflated by CPI $(1990-92=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All commoditics | 176 | 162 | 158 | 155 | 152 | 150 | 160 | 217 | 210 | 184 | 179 | 163 | 173 | 176 | 161 | 149 |
| All crops | 194 | 178 | 170 | 159 | 153 | 158 | 161 | 234 | 271 | 222 | 208 | 186 | 185 | 183 | 176 | 166 |
| All livestock | 162 | 147 | 145 | 157 | 148 | 142 | 160 | 203 | 164 | 156 | 153 | 143 | 162 | 168 | 147 | 133 |
| Prices paid | 109 | 108 | 105 | 104 | 102 | 103 | 106 | 115 | 118 | 119 | 119 | 119 | 121 | 123 | 124 | 122 |
| CPI (1990-92=100) | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 33 | 36 | 40 | 42 | 45 | 48 | 53 | 61 | 67 |

Table A8 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prices received deflated by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CPI ( $1990-92=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (\$/bu) | 4.85 | 4.78 | 4.43 | 3.89 | 3.00 | 3.07 | 4.27 | 4.07 | 2.71 | 2.99 | 3.13 | 3.06 | 3.16 | 4.05 | 3.72 | 2.86 | 2.21 |
| Corn (\$/bu) | 3.59 | 4.37 | 3.44 | 2.81 | 1.86 | 2.32 | 2.91 | 2.58 | 2.37 | 2.36 | 2.00 | 2.35 | 2.07 | 2.89 | 2.34 | 2.05 | 1.62 |
| Oats (\$/bu) | 2.10 | 2.21 | 2.18 | 1.55 | 1.50 | 1.86 | 2.99 | 1.63 | 1.18 | 1.21 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1.12 | 1.49 | 1.70 | 1.35 | 0.92 |
| Barley (\$/bu) | 3.07 | 3.37 | 2.99 | 2.50 | 1.99 | 2.16 | 3.21 | 2.65 | 2.22 | 2.09 | 1.97 | 1.87 | 1.86 | 2.57 | 2.37 | 2.01 | 1.62 |
| Rye (\$/bu) | 3.36 | 2.96 | 2.61 | 2.56 | 1.83 | 1.94 | 2.89 | 2.25 | 2.17 | 2.19 | 2.30 | 2.40 | 2.47 | 2.58 | 3.20 | 3.17 | 2.07 |
| Grain sorghum (\$/bu) | 5.63 | 6.66 | 5.42 | 4.35 | 3.03 | 3.63 | 4.65 | 4.10 | 3.94 | 4.00 | 3.27 | 3.88 | 3.48 | 5.08 | 3.62 | 3.34 | 2.58 |
| Soybeans (\$/bu) | 8.03 | 10.67 | 7.63 | 6.37 | 5.92 | 7.03 | 8.51 | 6.23 | 5.96 | 5.56 | 5.38 | 6.01 | 5.02 | 5.99 | 6.36 | 5.47 | 4.45 |
| Cotton (cents/lb) | 84 | 91 | 77 | 71 | 65 | 77 | 64 | 72 | 71 | 58 | 53 | 55 | 66 | 67 | 60 | 55 | 53 |
| Rice (\$/cwr) | 11.76 | 11.68 | 10.50 | 8.24 | 4.64 | 8.69 | 7.84 | 8.05 | 6.96 | 7.55 | 5.70 | 7.50 | 6.21 | 8.15 | 8.62 | 8.20 | 7.08 |
| Sugarbeets (\$/ton) | 49.79 | 50.42 | 44.28 | 42.64 | 44.46 | 45.64 | 47.27 | 46.08 | 44.66 | 38.37 | 40.05 | 36.63 | 35.54 | 33.93 | 39.28 | 32.81 | 34.97 |
| Peanuts (cents/lb) | 35 | 34 | 36 | 31 | 36 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 36 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 21 |
| Tobacco, burley (cents/lb) | 255 | 242 | 245 | 201 | 194 | 187 | 185 | 183 | 182 | 178 | 176 | 170 | 166 | 165 | 166 | 162 | 158 |
| Tobacco, flue-cured (cents/1b) | 251 | 242 | 237 | 217 | 189 | 190 | 185 | 183 | 174 | 172 | 167 | 158 | 156 | 159 | 159 | 146 | 146 |
| Potatoes (\$/cwt) | 6.26 | 7.93 | 7.43 | 4.95 | 6.23 | 5.23 | 6.91 | 8.06 | 6.31 | 4.94 | 5.34 | 5.80 | 5.11 | 5.66 | 5.86 | 4.75 | 4.36 |
| Choice steers (\$/cwt) | 90.44 | 85.00 | 85.36 | 72.79 | 70.22 | 78.03 | 81.92 | 81.33 | 81.63 | 73.55 | 73.25 | 72.35 | 63.75 | 59.01 | 56.41 | 56.08 | 51.19 |
| Choice veal calves (\$/cwt) | 109.28 | 99.44 | 83.54 | 78.34 | 75.67 | 93.80 | 102.34 | 99.39 | 99.28 | 97.67 | 86.47 | 90.08 | 79.78 | 65.11 | 50.52 | 69.60 | 68.28 |
| Lamb (\$/cwt) | 74.68 | 73.45 | 78.51 | 85.40 | 85.45 | 92.72 | 79.28 | 72.35 | 57.64 | 52.02 | 58.82 | 60.68 | 59.35 | 69.74 | 76.39 | 76.36 | 60.20 |
| Barrows and gilts (\$/cwt) | 77.97 | 65.02 | 63.83 | 56.48 | 61.92 | 62.13 | 49.68 | 47.39 | 56.50 | 49.93 | 41.02 | 43.30 | 36.64 | 37.72 | 46.19 | 43.47 | 28.91 |
| Wool (cents/lb) | 96 | 84 | 104 | 80 | 83 | 110 | 158 | 136 | 83 | 55 | 72 | 48 | 71 | 93 | 61 | 71 | 50 |
| Broilers (cents/lb) | 38 | 40 | 43 | 38 | 43 | 34 | 38 | 40 | 34 | 31 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 32 | 33 |
| Turkeys (cents/lb) | 56 | 52 | 64 | 56 | 58 | 42 | 44 | 45 | 41 | 38 | 36 | 35 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 34 | 32 |
| Eggs (cents/dozen) | 84 | 83 | 94 | 72 | 76 | 66 | 61 | 75 | 74 | 66 | 55 | 59 | 56 | 56 | 65 | 59 | 55 |
| Milk, fluid (\$/cwt) | 19.41 | 18.74 | 17.78 | 16.27 | 15.63 | 15.13 | 14.18 | 14.95 | 14.43 | 12.26 | 12.76 | 12.10 | 11.93 | 11.40 | 12.80 | 11.33 | 12.86 |
| All apples (cents/lb) | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 10 |
| All grapes (\$/ton) | 326.30 | 271.19 | 248.20 | 216.98 | 279.88 | 309.48 | 305.19 | 343.70 | 306.37 | 310.94 | 296.05 | 312.79 | 294.01 | 308.16 | 371.14 | 362.79 | 378.05 |
| All lemons (\$/box) | 5.99 | 5.98 | 7.72 | 8.21 | 14.80 | 7.61 | 11.22 | 9.57 | 15.62 | 15.27 | 12.32 | 9.34 | 10.09 | 9.94 | 8.66 | 10.15 | 8.50 |
| All oranges (\$/box) | 9.30 | 7.97 | 10.05 | 11.59 | 7.81 | 7.62 | 8.24 | 7.75 | 6.40 | 8.66 | 7.19 | 5.42 | 5.83 | 5.42 | 5.93 | 5.21 | 5.10 |
| All pecans (cents/lb) | 95 | 80 | 81 | 86 | 89 | 63 | 62 | 78 | 126 | 104 | 140 | 55 | 95 | 90 | 55 | 65 | 101 |
| Indexes of prices received and prices paid ( $1990-92=100$ ) deflated by CPI $(1990-92=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All commodities | 132 | 134 | 132 | 115 | 108 | 106 | 114 | 114 | 108 | 100 | 95 | 95 | 92 | 91 | 97 | 90 | 84 |
| All crops | 138 | 147 | 145 | 124 | 108 | 103 | 119 | 119 | 107 | 101 | 98 | 96 | 96 | 100 | 109 | 97 | 88 |
| All livestock | 127 | 120 | 119 | 108 | 109 | 109 | 107 | 109 | 109 | 99 | 94 | 94 | 87 | 82 | 86 | 84 | 80 |
| Prices paid | 121 | 117 | 116 | 108 | 105 | 104 | 104 | 105 | 103 | 100 | 98 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 99 | 100 | 97 |
| CPI (1990-92=100) | 71 | 73 | 77 | 79 | 81 | 84 | 87 | 91 | 96 | 100 | 103 | 106 | 109 | 112 | 116 | 118 | 120 |

## Appendix 2

Table A9 Indexes of Prices Received and Paid, and Ratios of Animal Product Prices to Corn Prices, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index of prices received ( $1990-92=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crops | 45 | 51 | 52 | 47 | 47 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 47 | 46 | ,45 |
| Livestock | 37 | 44 | 40 | 35 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 32 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 34 |
| All cormmodities | 41 | 48 | 46 | 41 | 39 | 37 | 36 | 37 | 40 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 39 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index of prices paid } \\ & (1990-92=100) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| Feed | 43 | 49 | 52 | 48 | 48 | 44 | 43 | 42 | 41 | 42 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 43 | 43 |
| Fertilizer | 38 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Fuel and energy | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Tractors and combines | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 |
| All inputs | 20 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parity ratio ( $1910-14=100$ ) | 101 | 107 | 100 | 92 | 88 | 84 | 83 | 82 | 85 | 81 | 80 | 79 | 79 | 78 | 76 | 76 |
| CPI (1990-92-100) | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Livestock-Corn price ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hog-Corn price ratio | 12.1 | 12.4 | 11.9 | 14.9 | 15.6 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 16.5 | 18.1 | 13.9 | 16.0 | 15.6 | 15.0 | 13.9 | 13.1 | 18.4 |
| Steer-Corn price ratio | 19.0 | 21.0 | 21.3 | 15.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 20.4 | 23.6 | 25.6 | 25.2 | 21.6 | 23.6 | 20.9 | 19.0 | 21.7 |
| Lamb-Corn price ratio | 18.1 | 19.2 | 14.6 | 12.4 | 13.3 | 14.0 | 14.3 | 17.9 | 18.8 | 17.8 | 17.9 | 14.4 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 19.7 |
| Broiler-Corn price ratio | 18.0 | 17.2 | 18.9 | 18.3 | 16.2 | 18.7 | 15.2 | 17.0 | 16.5 | 15.3 | 16.9 | 12.6 | 13.6 | 13.2 | 12.1 | 12.9 |
| Turkey-Corn price ratio | 16.8 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 17.9 | 18.5 | 20.0 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 21.3 | 22.8 | 25.4 | 17.2 | 19.3 | 20.1 | 17.9 | 19.1 |
| Egg-Corn price ratio | 23.9 | 28.7 | 27.4 | 32.2 | 25.6 | 29.3 | 30.5 | 32.3 | 34.4 | 29.9 | 36.0 | 32.4 | 30.2 | 31.1 | 28.9 | 29.1 |
| Milk-Corn pricce ratio | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.6 |

Table A9 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index of prices received$(1990-92=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crops | 46 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 44 | 47 | 50 | 77 | 98 | 88 | 87 | 83 | 89 | 98 | 107 | 111 |
| Livestock | 39 | 36 | 37 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 49 | 67 | 60 | 62 | 64 | 64 | 78 | 90 | 89 | 89 |
| All commodities | 42 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 44 | 45 | 49 | 71 | 76 | 73 | 75 | 73 | 83 | 94 | 98 | 100 |
| Index of prices paid ( $1990-92=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor | 21 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 33 | 36 | 41 | 44 | 48 | 51 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 79 |
| Feed | 45 | 44 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 46 | 47 | 70 | 85 | 83 | 83 | 82 | 80 | 89 | 98 | 110 |
| Fervilizer | 40 | 40 | 38 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 38 | 41 | 67 | 87 | 74 | 72 | 72 | 77 | 96 | 104 |
| Fuel and energy | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 36 | 40 | 43 | 46 | 48 | 61 | 86 | 98 |
| Tractors and combines | 19 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 31 | 38 | 43 | 47 | 51 | 56 | 63 | 70 |
| All inpurs | 26 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 31 | 33 | 38 | 43 | 47 | 50 | 53 | 58 | 66 | 75 | 82 |
| Ratio of prices received to prices paid ( $1990-92=100$ ) | 162 | 151 | 150 | 150 | 149 | 146 | 150 | 189 | 177 | 155 | 150 | 138 | 143 | 142 | 131 | 122 |
| Parity ratio ( $1910-14=100$ ) | 79 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 72 | 70 | 74 | 91 | 86 | 76 | 71 | 66 | 70 | 71 | 65 | 61 |
| CPI ( $1990-92=100$ ) | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 33 | 36 | 40 | 42 | 45 | 48 | 53 | 61 | 67 |
| Livestock-Corn price ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hog-Corn price ratio | 18.9 | 18.8 | 17.8 | 20.6 | 16.5 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 15.8 | 11.6 | 19.0 | 17.3 | 20.3 | 21.6 | 17.8 | 14.8 | 18.0 |
| Steer-Corn price ratio | 20.7 | 24.6 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 22.1 | 30.0 | 22.8 | 17.5 | 13.9 | 17.6 | 15.7 | 20.0 | 23.3 | 28.7 | 24.8 | 25.8 |
| Lamb-Corn price ratio | 18.9 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 23.7 | 19.8 | 24.0 | 18.5 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 16.6 | 18.8 | 25.4 | 27.9 | 28.4 | 23.5 | 22.2 |
| Broiler-Corn price ratio | 12.3 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 7.1 | 10.4 | 9.5 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 11.5 |
| Turkey-Corn price ratio | 18.6 | 18.9 | 19.0 | 19.5 | 17.0 | 20.5 | 14.1 | 15.0 | 9.3 | 13.7 | 12.7 | 17.2 | 18.7 | 17.8 | 14.8 | 15.5 |
| Egg-Corn price ratio | 31.5 | 30.4 | 31.5 | 34.8 | 29.4 | 29.1 | 19.7 | 20.6 | 17.6 | 20.6 | 23.4 | 27.5 | 23.2 | 24.7 | 20.9 | 25.5 |
| Milk-Corn price ratio | 3.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 5.6 |

Table A9 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index of prices received$(1990-92=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crops | 98 | 108 | 111 | 98 | 87 | 86 | 104 | 109 | 103 | 101 | 101 | 102 | 105 | 112 | 126 | 125 | 106 |
| Livestock | 90 | 88 | 91 | 86 | 88 | 91 | 93 | 100 | 105 | 99 | 97 | 100 | 95 | 92 | 99 | 99 | 97 |
| All commodities | 94 | 98 | 101 | 91 | 87 | 89 | 99 | 104 | 104 | 100 | 98 | 101 | 100 | 102 | 112 | 107 | 101 |
| Index of prices paid ( $1990-92=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor | 74 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 81 | 85 | 87 | 95 | 96 | 100 | 105 | 108 | 110 | 114 | 117 | 123 | 129 |
| Feed | 99 | 107 | 112 | 95 | 88 | 83 | 104 | 110 | 103 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 105 | 103 | 129 | 125 | 110 |
| Ferrilizer | 105 | 100 | 103 | 98 | 90 | 86 | 94 | 99 | 97 | 103 | 100 | 97 | 105 | 121 | 125 | 121 | 112 |
| Fuel and energy | 97 | 94 | 93 | 93 | 76 | 76 | 77 | 83 | 100 | 104 | 96 | 93 | 95 | 89 | 102 | 106 | 88 |
| Tractors and combines | 76 | 81 | 85 | 85 | 83 | 85 | 89 | 94 | 96 | 100 | 104 | 107 | 113 | 120 | 125 | 128 | 133 |
| All inputs | 86 | 86 | 89 | 86 | 85 | 87 | 91 | 96 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 103 | 106 | 109 | 115 | 118 | 117 |
| Ratio of prices received to prices paid ( $1990-92=100$ ) | 109 | 114 | 113 | 106 | 102 | 102 | 109 | 108 | 105 | 100 | 97 | 98 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 91 | 87 |
| Parity ratio ( $1910-14=100$ ) | 56 | 56 | 57 | 53 | 51 | 52 | 54 | 54 | 53 | 51 | 47 | 47 | 45 | 44 | 47 | 43 | 41 |
| CPI (1990-92-100) | 71 | 73 | 77 | 79 | 81 | 84 | 87 | 91 | 96 | 100 | 103 | 106 | 109 | 112 | 116 | 118 | 120 |
| Livestock-Corn price ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hog-Corn price ratio | 21.7 | 14.9 | 18.6 | 20.1 | 33.3 | 26.8 | 17.0 | 18.3 | 23.9 | 21.1 | 20.5 | 18.4 | 17.7 | 13.1 | 19.7 | 21.2 | 16.2 |
| Steer-Comp price ratio | 25.2 | 19.4 | 24.8 | 25.9 | 37.8 | 33.7 | 28.1 | 31.5 | 34.5 | 31.1 | 36.6 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 20.5 | 24.1 | 27.3 | 31.5 |
| Lamb-Corn price ratio | 20.8 | 16.8 | 22.9 | 30.4 | 46.0 | 40.0 | 27.2 | 28.0 | 24.3 | 22.0 | 29.4 | 25.8 | 28.7 | 24.2 | 32.6 | 37.2 | 37.1 |
| Broiler-Corn price ratio | 10.5 | 9.1 | 12.6 | 13.5 | 23.0 | 14.8 | 13.0 | 15.5 | 14.3 | 13.1 | 14.9 | 13.7 | 15.5 | 10.6 | 14.1 | 15.5 | 20.2 |
| Turkey-Corn price ratio | 15.5 | 11.8 | 18.6 | 19.8 | 31.4 | 17.9 | 15.2 | 17.3 | 17.3 | 15.9 | 18.2 | 15.0 | 18.0 | 12.7 | 16.0 | 16.4 | 19.5 |
| Egg-Corn price ratio | 23.3 | 19.0 | 27.5 | 25.6 | 41.1 | 28.3 | 20.8 | 29.2 | 31.1 | 27.8 | 27.2 | 25.2 | 27.0 | 19.3 | 27.7 | 28.9 | 33.6 |
| Milk-Corn price ratio | 5.3 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 7.9 |

Statistical Tables
Table A10 Farm Output and Farm Inputs Used, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index of farm output (1992=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crops | 48 | 49 | 51 | 51 | 50 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 56 | 55 | 59 | 57 | 58 | 60 | 59 | 62 |
| Livestock | 58 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 64 | 66 | 66 | 65 | 66 | 69 | 68 | 72 | 72 | 74 | 76 | , 74 |
| All farm products | 48 | 49 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 54 | 54 | 52 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 59 | 60 | 63 | 62 | 64 |
| Index of farm inputs ( $1992=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm labor | 335 | 318 | 300 | 279 | 271 | 279 | 268 | 248 | 230 | 232 | 224 | 211 | 206 | 196 | 187 | 182 |
| Ferrilizers and pesticides | 16 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 26 | 26 | 29 | 31 | 35 | 38 | 40 |
| Power and machinery | 106 | 113 | 119 | 121 | 121 | 122 | 124 | 122 | 122 | 124 | 122 | 118 | 118 | 116 | 118 | 118 |
| Taxes and interest | 93 | 94 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 106 | 107 | 108 | 110 | 111 | 114 | 114 |
| All farm inputs | 117 | 121 | 121 | 120 | 119 | 119 | 117 | 114 | 114 | 115 | 114 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 112 |
| Hours of farmwork per acre planted | 55 | 50 | 49 | 45 | 44 | 45 | 44 | 42 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 36 | 35 | 34 |
| Commercial fertilizer use per acre planted (lbs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen | 5.7 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 16.2 | 16.9 | 19.6 | 22.5 | 25.7 | 29.2 | 31.2 |
| Phosphate | 11.0 | 11.6 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 15.6 | 15.9 | 17.1 | 18.7 | 20.1 | 22.6 | 23.6 |
| Potash | 6.2 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 9.6 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 14.1 | 15.1 | 16.4 | 18.3 | 19.1 |
| Pesticide use/acre planted (\$) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
| Tractors per 100 acres planted ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Horsepower | 26 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 39 | 42 | 44 | 46 | 47 | 51 | 54 | 55 | 58 | 59 | a Total expenditure on pesticides deflated by the index of prices paid by farmers for all inputs ( $1990-92=100$ )

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Estimated for census years from census of agriculture, and interpolated for intercensus years.

Appendix 2
Table A10 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index of farm output (1992=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crops | 60 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 63 | 70 | 71 | 75 | 69 | 76 | 75 | 82 | 86 | 92 | 82 | -95 |
| Livestock | 76 | 78 | 78 | 79 | 83 | 83 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 79 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 87 | 90 | 91 |
| All farm products | 62 | 65 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 72 | 71 | 73 | 69 | 74 | 76 | 78 | 81 | 87 | 81 | 92 |
| Index of farm inputs ( $1992=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm labor | 167 | 162 | 157 | 149 | 142 | 137 | 139 | 138 | 138 | 134 | 127 | 127 | 127 | 125 | 122 | 122 |
| Fertilizers and pesticides | 46 | 54 | 57 | 60 | 62 | 66 | 71 | 74 | 75 | 68 | 79 | 82 | 88 | 101 | 101 | 106 |
| Power and machinery | 121 | 125 | 127 | 127 | 125 | 128 | 127 | 132 | 135 | 141 | 144 | 147 | 153 | 153 | 149 | 144 |
| Taxes and interest | 115 | 115 | 115 | 114 | 115 | 114 | 115 | 115 | 116 | 112 | 115 | 112 | 111 | 116 | 112 | 111 |
| All farm inputs | 112 | 114 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 114 | 114 | 113 | 114 | 116 | 119 | 122 | 120 | 119 |
| Hours of farmwork per acre planted | 31 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 |
| Commercial fertilizer use per acre planted (lbs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen | 36.4 | 39.4 | 45.3 | 47.8 | 50.9 | 53.2 | 54.5 | 52.1 | 56.2 | 51.8 | 62.0 | 61.7 | 59.2 | 61.8 | 64.1 | 65.7 |
| Phosphate | 26.6 | 28.2 | 29.8 | 32.0 | 31.2 | 31.4 | 33.0 | 31.9 | 31.3 | 27.2 | 31.1 | 32.6 | 30.3 | 32.3 | 30.5 | 29.9 |
| Potash | 22.0 | 23.8 | 25.3 | 26.7 | 27.5 | 27.7 | 29.4 | 29.2 | 31.2 | 26.8 | 31.0 | 33.8 | 32.9 | 36.0 | 35.1 | 34.8 |
| Pesticide use/acre planted (\$) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.4 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 12.0 | 14.8 | 14.0 | 15.0 |
| Tractors per 100 acres planted ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Horsepower | 62 | 62 | 65 | 68 | 69 | 67 | 71 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 68 | 67 | 89 | 87 | 86 | 85 |

[^3]|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index of farm output ( $1992=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crops | 95 | 72 | 91 | 96 | 89 | 86 | 75 | 86 | 92 | 92 | 100 | 90 | 106 | 96 | 103 | 105 | 100 |
| Livestock | 89 | 91 | 89 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 108 | 110 | 109 | 108 | 106 |
| All farm products | 91 | 75 | 87 | 92 | 87 | 88 | 83 | 89 | 94 | 94 | 100 | 94 | 107 | 101 | 106 | 111 | 109 |
| Index of farm inputs (1992=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm labor | 118 | 123 | 117 | 108 | 101 | 101 | 103 | 104 | 102 | 106 | 100 | 96 | 96 | 92 | 100 | 101 | 100 |
| Fertilizers and pesticides | 97 | 84 | 98 | 94 | 89 | 92 | 79 | 93 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 103 | 94 | 106 | 107 | 109 |
| Power and machinery | 135 | 131 | 127 | 118 | 113 | 120 | 113 | 108 | 105 | 103 | 100 | 97 | 94 | 92 | 89 | 88 | 86 |
| Taxes and interest | 106 | 110 | 101 | 103 | 105 | 105 | 100 | 97 | 100 | 101 | 100 | 103 | 108 | 107 | 107 | 108 | 108 |
| All farm inputs | 114 | 112 | 111 | 106 | 104 | 101 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 101 | 100 | 101 | 100 |
| Hours of farmwork per acre planted | 18 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Commercial fertilizer use per acre planted (lbs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen | 61.2 | 56.1 | 61.9 | 65.1 | 61.6 | 64.8 | 66.1 | 64.0 | 67.9 | 69.4 | 70.1 | 71.3 | 78.0 | 73.5 | 71.8 | 74.2 | 74.6 |
| Phosphate | 26.8 | 25.5 | 27.4 | 26.4 | 24.7 | 25.4 | 26.0 | 24.9 | 26.6 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 27.7 | 27.9 | 27.7 | 26.6 | 27.7 | 28.0 |
| Potash | 31.4 | 29.7 | 32.4 | 31.5 | 29.9 | 30.7 | 25.0 | 29.1 | 31.9 | 30.7 | 30.9 | 32.2 | 32.5 | 32.1 | 30.8 | 32.6 | 32.4 |
| Pesticide use/acre planted (\$) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 14.4 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 13.6 | 14.4 | 16.5 | 14.6 | 16.3 | 17.3 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 19.9 | 20.9 | 21.4 | 22.6 | 22.7 |
| Tractors per 100 acres planted ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Horsepower | 86 | 95 | 87 | 88 | 92 | 101 | 98 | 94 | 93 | 93 | 92 | 93 | 91 | 91 | 86 | 85 | 85 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Total expenditure on pesticides deflated by the index of prices paid by farmers for all ${ }^{\text {b Estimated for census years from census of agriculture, and interpolated for intercensus years. }}$
Table A11 Crop and Animal Yields and General Productivity Measures, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| People fed/Farm worker | 15.3 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 18.1 | 18.8 | 19.8 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 23.3 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 26.5 | 27.8 | 29.0 | 31.4 | 34.6 |
| Real receiprs/Farm worker ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16,145 | 17,965 | 18,214 | 17,780 | 17,399 | 17,825 | 19,320 | 18,888 | 20,944 | 21,375 | 22,252 | 23,070 | 24,463 | 25,509 | 26,747 | 30,232 |
| Crop and animal yields |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Wheat (bu/acre) | 17 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 27 | 22 | 26 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
| Corn (bu/acre) | 38 | 37 | 42 | 41 | 39 | 42 | 47 | 48 | 53 | 53 | 55 | 62 | 64 | 68 | 63 | 74 |
| Oats (bu/acre) | 35 | 36 | 33 | 31 | 35 | 38 | 35 | 38 | 45 | 38 | 43 | 42 | 45 | 45 | 43 | 50 |
| Barley (bu/acre) | 27 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 32 | 28 | 31 | 30 | 34 | 35 | 38 | 43 |
| Grain sorghum (bu/acre) | 23 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 22 | 29 | 35 | 36 | 40 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 42 | 52 |
| Soybeans (bu/acre) | 22 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 25 |
| Rice (cwt/acre) | 237 | 231 | 241 | 245 | 252 | 306 | 315 | 320 | 316 | 338 | 342 | 341 | 373 | 397 | 410 | 425 |
| Cotton (lbs/acre) | 269 | 270 | 280 | 325 | 342 | 417 | 409 | 388 | 466 | 462 | 447 | 440 | 458 | 518 | 517 | 527 |
| Tobacco (lbs/acre) | 1,269 | 1,310 | 1,273 | 1,261 | 1,346 | 1,466 | 1,596 | 1,486 | 1,611 | 1,558 | 1,703 | 1,755 | 1,891 | 1,998 | 2,067 | 1,898 |
| Sugar beets (tons/acre) | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Peanuts (lbs/acre) | 896 | 848 | 942 | 1,036 | 725 | 927 | 1,164 | 970 | 1,193 | 1,058 | 1,227 | 1,184 | 1,228 | 1,387 | 1,499 | 1,660 |
| Potatoes (cwr/acre) | 153 | 145 | 151 | 151 | 155 | 161 | 177 | 175 | 187 | 184 | 186 | 198 | 197 | 205 | 190 | 210 |
| Milk production (lbs/cow) | 5,273 | 5,282 | 5,318 | 5,480 | 5,589 | 5,776 | 6,020 | 6,175 | 6,413 | 6,707 | 6,977 | 7,232 | 7,393 | 7,564 | 7,964 | 8,074 |
| Egg production (eggs/layer) | 172 | 175 | 178 | 183 | 184 | 192 | 197 | 199 | 202 | 207 | 209 | 210 | 212 | 213 | 217 | 218 |
| General productivity ( $1992=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All output/All inputs | 41 | 41 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 50 | 50 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 55 | 55 | 57 |
| All output/Land input | 41 | 42 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 46 | 47 | 46 | 51 | 51 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 56 | 55 | 58 |
| All output/Labor input | 14 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 29 | 32 | 33 | 35 |
| All output/Chemical input | 305 | 286 | 272 | 261 | 261 | 253 | 244 | 237 | 248 | 221 | 226 | 207 | 193 | 178 | 164 | 159 |
| All output/Machinery input | 45 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 47 | 47 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 54 | 52 | 54 |
| Crop output/Labor input | 14 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 31 | 31 | 34 |
| Crop output/Machinery input | 45 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 46 | 45 | 48 | 48 | 49 | 52 | 50 | 53 |
| Crop outpur/Chemical input | 308 | 284 | 267 | 256 | 252 | 241 | 232 | 229 | 244 | 211 | 224 | 199 | 186 | 171 | 156 | 154 |
| Animal output/Labor input | 17 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 34 | 35 | 38 | 40 | 41 |
| Animal output/Machinery input | t 55 | 54 | 52 | 51 | 53 | 54 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 56 | 56 | 61 | 61 | 64 | 64 | 63 |

Table AII (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| People fed/Farm worker | 37.7 | 40.5 | 42.3 | 44.1 | 45.3 | 46.8 | 48.0 | 48.9 | 48.7 | 49.7 | 49.8 | 52.8 | 56.3 | 59.6 | 61.6 | 64.2 |
| Real receipts/Farm worker ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 34,899 | 35,485 | 36,286 | 38,768 | 39,060 | 39,849 | 45,368 | 61,246 | 57,959 | 51,652 | 52,005 | 51,686 | 59,108 | 65,155 | 62,225 | 59,035 |
| Crop and animal yields |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (bu/acre) | 26 | 26 | 28 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 27 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 33 | 35 |
| Corn (bu/acre) | 73 | 80 | 79 | 86 | 72 | 88 | 97 | 91 | 72 | 86 | 88 | 91 | 101 | 110 | 91 | 109 |
| Oats (bu/acre) | 45 | 49 | 54 | 54 | 49 | 56 | 52 | 48 | 48 | 49 | 46 | 56 | 52 | 54 | 53 | 54 |
| Barley (bu/acre) | 38 | 41 | 44 | 45 | 43 | 46 | 44 | 41 | 38 | 44 | 45 | 44 | 49 | 51 | 50 | 52 |
| Grain sorghum (bu/acre) | 56 | 50 | 53 | 54 | 50 | 54 | 61 | 59 | 45 | 49 | 49 | 57 | 55 | 63 | 46 | 64 |
| Soybeans (bu/acre) | 25 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 24 | 29 | 26 | 31 | 29 | 32 | 27 | 30 |
| Rice (cwt/acre) | 432 | 454 | 443 | 432 | 462 | 472 | 470 | 427 | 444 | 456 | 466 | 441 | 448 | 460 | 441 | 482 |
| Cotton (lbs/acre) | 480 | 447 | 516 | 434 | 439 | 438 | 507 | 520 | 441 | 453 | 465 | 520 | 420 | 547 | 404 | 543 |
| Tobacco (lbs/acre) | 1,939 | 2,050 | 1,945 | 1,964 | 2,134 | 2,035 | 2,076 | 1,965 | 2,067 | 2,008 | 2,041 | 1,982 | 2,101 | 1,844 | 1,939 | 2,113 |
| Sugar beets (tons/acre) | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Peanuts (lbs/acre) | 1,701 | 1,769 | 1,769 | 1,736 | 2,029 | 2,072 | 2,198 | 2,316 | 2,495 | 2,565 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 2,617 | 2,611 | 1,645 | 2,672 |
| Potatoes (cwt/acre) | 210 | 209 | 214 | 221 | 229 | 230 | 236 | 230 | 246 | 256 | 261 | 261 | 267 | 272 | 265 | 276 |
| Milk production (lbs/cow) | 8,276 | 8,651 | 8,938 | 9,252 | 9,677 | 9,956 | 10,192 | 9,937 | 10,232 | 10,285 | 10,855 | 11,152 | 11,147 | 11,432 | 11,936 | 12,238 |
| Egg production (eggs/layer) | 218 | 221 | 220 | 220 | 218 | 223 | 227 | 227 | 230 | 232 | 235 | 235 | 239 | 240 | 242 | 243 |
| General productivity (1992=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All output/All inputs | 55 | 57 | 59 | 59 | 58 | 64 | 63 | 64 | 60 | 66 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 71 | 68 | 78 |
| All output/Land input | 56 | 58 | 61 | 61 | 58 | 65 | 65 | 68 | 65 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 76 | 78 | 73 | 82 |
| All output/Labor input | 37 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 46 | 53 | 51 | 53 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 62 | 64 | 69 | 67 | 76 |
| All output/Chemical input | 134 | 120 | 117 | 111 | 107 | 108 | 101 | 98 | 91 | 109 | 96 | 95 | 93 | 86 | 81 | 87 |
| All output/Machinery input | 51 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 56 | 56 | 55 | 51 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 57 | 55 | 64 |
| Crop output/Labor input | 36 | 39 | 41 | 44 | 44 | 51 | 51 | 54 | 50 | 56 | 59 | 64 | 68 | 74 | 68 | 79 |
| Crop output/Machinery input | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 50 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 51 | 54 | 52 | 55 | 57 | 60 | 55 | 66 |
| Crop output/Chemical input | 130 | 116 | 114 | 109 | 102 | 106 | 101 | 102 | 91 | 111 | 95 | 99 | 99 | 91 | 82 | 90 |
| Animal output/Labor input | 45 | 48 | 50 | 53 | 58 | 61 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 59 | 65 | 66 | 66 | 69 | 74 | 75 |
| Animal ourput/Machinery input | r 63 | 63 | 62 | 63 | 66 | 65 | 67 | 62 | 62 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 54 | 57 | 61 | 63 |

Table A11 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| People fed/Farm worker | 67.0 | 70.0 | 73.1 | 76.5 | 82.6 | 83.8 | 82.9 | 86.4 | 86.4 | 87.8 | 90.9 | 92.2 | 94.2 | 92.8 | 93.4 | 100.4 | 103.0 |
| Real receipts/Farm worker ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 57,850 | 55,654 | 57,694 | 58,345 | 57,565 | 58,504 | 58,707 | 61,616 | 60,871 | 58,149 | 58,987 | 59,678 | 60,001 | 59,058 | 60,619 | 65,756 | 62,369 |
| Crop and animal yields |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat (bu/acre) | 35 | 39 | 39 | 37 | 34 | 38 | 34 | 33 | 40 | 34 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 36 | 36 | 39 | 43 |
| Corn (bu/acre) | 113 | 81 | 107 | 118 | 119 | 120 | 85 | 116 | 119 | 109 | 131 | 101 | 139 | 113 | 127 | 127 | 134 |
| Oats (bu/acre) | 58 | 53 | 58 | 63 | 56 | 54 | 39 | 54 | 60 | 51 | 65 | 54 | 57 | 55 | 58 | 59 | 60 |
| Barley (bu/acre) | 57 | 52 | 53 | 51 | 51 | 52 | 38 | 49 | 56 | 55 | 62 | 59 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 58 | 60 |
| Grain sorghum (bu/acre) | 59 | 49 | 56 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 64 | 55 | 63 | 59 | 73 | 60 | 73 | 56 | 67 | 69 | 67 |
| Soybeans (bu/acre) | 32 | 26 | 28 | 34 | 33 | 34 | 27 | 32 | 34 | 34 | 38 | 33 | 41 | 35 | 38 | 39 | 39 |
| Rice (cwt/acre) | 471 | 460 | 495 | 541 | 565 | 556 | 551 | 575 | 553 | 575 | 574 | 551 | 596 | 562 | 612 | 590 | 567 |
| Cotton (lbs/acre) | 590 | 508 | 600 | 630 | 559 | 716 | 629 | 639 | 647 | 665 | 715 | 615 | 717 | 544 | 700 | 666 | 619 |
| Tobacco (lbs/acre) | 2,185 | 1,811 | 2,183 | 2,197 | 2,001 | 2,029 | 2,161 | 2,016 | 2,216 | 2,179 | 2,195 | 2,161 | 2,359 | 1,914 | 2,072 | 2,137 | 2,062 |
| Sugar beets (tons/acre) | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| Peanuts (lbs/acre) | 2,688 | 2,399 | 2,884 | 2,810 | 2,408 | 2,531 | 2,445 | 2,426 | 1,991 | 2,444 | 2,562 | 2,007 | 2,624 | 2,281 | 2,653 | 2,503 | 2,701 |
| Potatoes (cwt/acre) | 280 | 269 | 279 | 300 | 297 | 301 | 283 | 289 | 293 | 304 | 323 | 326 | 338 | 323 | 350 | 345 | 343 |
| Milk production (lbs/cow) 1 | 12,334 | 12,636 | 12,239 | 13,270 | 12,875 | 13,635 | 14,066 | 14,193 | 14,750 | 14,820 | 15,506 | 15,597 | 16,154 | 16,378 | 16,349 | 16,752 | 17,105 |
| Egg production (eggs/layer) | 243 | 247 | 245 | 247 | 247 | 248 | 251 | 250 | 252 | 252 | 254 | 253 | 254 | 253 | 256 | 255 | 255 |
| General productivity (1992=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All output/All inputs | 79 | 67 | 79 | 87 | 84 | 87 | 83 | 89 | 93 | 92 | 100 | 93 | 105 | 100 | 106 | 110 | 109 |
| All output/Land input | 83 | 69 | 82 | 88 | 84 | 88 | 83 | 87 | 93 | 94 | 100 | 96 | 108 | 103 | 107 | 112 | 110 |
| All output/Labor input | 77 | 61 | 75 | 86 | 86 | 87 | 81 | 86 | 92 | 89 | 100 | 98 | 111 | 110 | 106 | 110 | 109 |
| All output/Chemical input | 94 | 90 | 89 | 98 | 97 | 96 | 105 | 96 | 104 | 94 | 100 | 97 | 104 | 107 | 100 | 104 | 100 |
| All output/Machinery input | 67 | 57 | 69 | 78 | 77 | 73 | 73 | 82 | 90 | 91 | 100 | 97 | 114 | 110 | 119 | 126 | 127 |
| Crop output/Labor input | 81 | 58 | 78 | 89 | 88 | 85 | 73 | 83 | 90 | 87 | 100 | 94 | 110 | 104 | 103 | 104 | 100 |
| Crop output/Machinery input | 71 | 55 | 72 | 82 | 78 | 72 | 66 | 80 | 88 | 89 | 100 | 93 | 113 | 104 | 116 | 119 | 116 |
| Crop ourput/Chemical input | 99 | 86 | 92 | 102 | 99 | 93 | 95 | 92 | 102 | 92 | 100 | 93 | 103 | 102 | 97 | 98 | 92 |
| Animal output/Labor input | 76 | 74 | 77 | 85 | 91 | 91 | 90 | 90 | 93 | 92 | 100 | 104 | 113 | 120 | 109 | 107 | 106 |
| Animal output/Machinery input | t 66 | 69 | 71 | 78 | 81 | 77 | 82 | 87 | 90 | 95 | 100 | 103 | 115 | 120 | 122 | 123 | 123 |

[^4]
## Statistical Tables

Table A12 Ratios of Quantities of Farm Inputs and Ratios of Prices of Farm Inputs, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input quantities (1992-100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land/Labor | 35 | 37 | 39 | 42 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 46 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 52 | 54 | 57 | 60 | 61 |
| Machinery/Labor | 32 | 36 | 40 | 43 | 45 | 44 | 46 | 49 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 56 | 57 | 59 | 63 | 65 |
| Chemicals/Labor | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 |
| Chemicals/Land | 13 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 31 | 34 | 36 |
| Chemicals/Machinery | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 24 | 26 | 30 | 32 | 34 |
| Machinery/Land | 90 | 97 | 103 | 104 | 104 | 105 | 108 | 108 | 109 | 109 | 110 | 106 | 105 | 104 | 105 | 106 |
| Purchased/Farm origin ${ }^{2}$ | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 37 | 40 | 42 | 46 | 47 | 49 | 52 | 55 | 57 |
| Input prices (1990-92 $=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land/Labor | 112 | 115 | 117 | 111 | 109 | 112 | 106 | 120 | 124 | 122 | 125 | 119 | 123 | 126 | 123 | 118 |
| Tractors/Labor | 109 | 108 | 100 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 89 | 94 | 94 | 95 | 90 |
| Chemicals/Labor | 345 | 333 | 315 | 293 | 293 | 293 | 267 | 267 | 250 | 235 | 235 | 222 | 222 | 222 | 211 | 200 |
| Chemicals/Land | 309 | 290 | 269 | 263 | 268 | 262 | 252 | 222 | 202 | 192 | 188 | 187 | 181 | 177 | 172 | 169 |
| Chemicals/Tractors | 317 | 308 | 315 | 315 | 315 | 315 | 286 | 286 | 267 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 235 | 235 | 222 | 222 |
| Tractors/Land | 98 | 94 | 85 | 83 | 85 | 83 | 88 | 78 | 76 | 77 | 75 | 75 | 77 | 75 | 77 | 76 |

## Appendix 2

Table A12 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input quantities ( $1992=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land/Labor | 66 | 69 | 70 | 73 | 80 | 81 | 79 | 78 | 77 | 78 | 83 | 85 | 85 | 88 | 91 | 92 |
| Machinery/Labor | 72 | 77 | 81 | 85 | 88 | 94 | 91 | 96 | 98 | 105 | 114 | 116 | 121 | 122 | 122 | 119 |
| Chemicals/Labor | 27 | 33 | 36 | 40 | 43 | 49 | 51 | 53 | 55 | 51 | 62 | 65 | 69 | 80 | 83 | 87 |
| Chemicals/Land | 42 | 48 | 52 | 55 | 54 | 60 | 64 | 69 | 71 | 65 | 75 | 76 | 82 | 91 | 91 | 95 |
| Chemicals/Machinery | 38 | 43 | 45 | 47 | 49 | 52 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 48 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 66 | 68 | 73 |
| Machinery/Land | 110 | 112 | 115 | 115 | 111 | 115 | 115 | 123 | 127 | 135 | 137 | 137 | 142 | 138 | 134 | 129 |
| Purchased/Farm origin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 61 | 64 | 64 | 65 | 67 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 73 | 71 | 76 | 81 | 85 | 90 | 86 | 88 |
| Input prices ( $1990-92=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land/Labor | 124 | 127 | 127 | 128 | 121 | 124 | 125 | 129 | 141 | 146 | 156 | 175 | 180 | 197 | 214 | 195 |
| Tractors/Labor | 90 | 83 | 80 | 81 | 77 | 77 | 76 | 75 | 76 | 86 | 90 | 92 | 93 | 93 | 97 | 89 |
| Chemicals/Labor | 190 | 174 | 152 | 130 | 120 | 116 | 115 | 114 | 163 | 198 | 154 | 141 | 131 | 128 | 148 | 132 |
| Chemicals/Land | 154 | 137 | 120 | 101 | 99 | 93 | 92 | 88 | 116 | 135 | 99 | 81 | 73 | 65 | 69 | 67 |
| Chemicals/Tractors | 211 | 211 | 190 | 159 | 157 | 150 | 152 | 152 | 216 | 229 | 172 | 153 | 141 | 138 | 152 | 149 |
| Tractors/Land | 73 | 65 | 63 | 64 | 63 | 62 | 61 | 58 | 54 | 59 | 57 | 53 | 51 | 47 | 45 | 45 |

Table A12 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1297 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land/Labor | 93 | 88 | 91 | 97 | 102 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 94 | 100 | 102 | 103 | 107 | 99 | 98 | 99 |
| Machinery/Labor | 115 | 107 | 109 | 109 | 112 | 119 | 110 | 104 | 103 | 97 | 100 | 101 | 98 | 100 | 89 | 87 | 86 |
| Chemicals/Labor | 82 | 68 | 84 | 88 | 88 | 91 | 77 | 89 | 88 | 94 | 100 | 101 | 107 | 102 | 106 | 106 | 109 |
| Chemicals/Land | 88 | 77 | 92 | 90 | 87 | 92 | 79 | 91 | 89 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 104 | 96 | 107 | 108 | 110 |
| Chemicals/Machinery | 71 | 64 | 78 | 80 | 79 | 77 | 70 | 86 | 86 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 110 | 102 | 119 | 122 | 127 |
| Machinery/Land | 123 | 121 | 119 | 113 | 110 | 120 | 113 | 106 | 104 | 103 | 100 | 99 | 95 | 94 | 90 | 89 | 87 |
| Purchased/Farm origin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 88 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 90 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 97 | 98 | 100 | 95 | 95 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land/Labor | 209 | 194 | 191 | 162 | 134 | 116 | 118 | 115 | 119 | 119 | 115 | 115 | 119 | 122 | 127 | 128 | 122 |
| Tractors/Labor | 103 | 107 | 110 | 109 | 102 | 100 | 102 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 99 | 103 | 105 | 107 | 104 | 103 |
| Chemicals/Labor | 142 | 132 | 134 | 126 | 111 | 101 | 108 | 104 | 101 | 103 | 95 | 90 | 95 | 106 | 107 | 98 | 87 |
| Chemicals/Land | 68 | 68 | 70 | 78 | 83 | 87 | 91 | 90 | 85 | 86 | 83 | 78 | 80 | 87 | 84 | 77 | 71 |
| Chemicals/Tractors | 138 | 123 | 121 | 115 | 108 | 101 | 106 | 105 | 101 | 103 | 96 | 91 | 93 | 101 | 100 | 95 | 84 |
| Tractors/Land | 49 | 55 | 58 | 67 | 77 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 84 | 84 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 84 | 81 | 85 |

Appendix 2
Table A13 Agricultural Exports, Agricultural Imports, and Trade Balances, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural exports ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value (\$thou) | 2,873 | 4,040 | 3,427 | 2,844 | 3,043 | 3,195 | 3,496 | 4,728 | 4,003 | 3,719 | 4,519 | 4,946 | 5,142 | 5,078 | 6,068 | 6,305 |
| \% of total U.S. exports | 28.4 | 32.1 | 22.0 | 18.8 | 20.0 | 21.4 | 20.7 | 22.8 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 23.6 | 24.1 | 24.0 | 23.5 | 24.5 | 23.8 |
| $\%$ of cash farm receipts | 10.1 | 12.3 | 10.5 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 11.5 | 15.9 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 13.5 | 16.3 | 16.0 |
| Percentage of agricultural exports from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 16.3 | 24.4 | 27.0 | 20.3 | 13.9 | 15.1 | 23.2 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 20.8 | 22.8 | 26.3 | 22.1 | 26.3 | 25.3 | 19.1 |
| Animal products | 11.0 | 12.1 | 9.7 | 13.9 | 16.1 | 19.4 | 20.3 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 15.0 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 11.2 | 13.0 | 13.6 | 12.1 |
| Vegetables and products | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Fruits and products | 3.1 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| Feed grains | 8.7 | 9.3 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 6.5 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 8.2 | 12.5 | 15.8 | 12.1 | 11.1 | 16.0 | 16.3 | 14.6 | 18.4 |
| Oilseeds | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 11.2 |
| Soybeans | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 9.0 |
| Dairy | 3.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Cotton | 32.5 | 29.4 | 16.7 | 23.7 | 22.5 | 11.6 | 31.9 | 17.8 | 10.6 | 22.2 | 20.7 | 13.4 | 12.9 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 9.3 |
| Tobacco | 9.5 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 11.9 | 9.7 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.2 |
| Agricultural imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value (\$thou) | 3,177 | 5,147 | 4,699 | 4,303 | 4,176 | 3,781 | 4,086 | 3,800 | 3,929 | 4,004 | 4,010 | 3,645 | 3,762 | 3,907 | 4,096 | 3,986 |
| \% of total U.S. imports | 45.2 | 47.9 | 45.0 | 39.5 | 39.8 | 36.3 | 33.8 | 30.0 | 30.7 | 28.9 | 25.9 | 25.7 | 24.0 | 23.8 | 23.0 | 18.5 |
| \% of ag. exports | 110.6 | 127.4 | 137.1 | 151.3 | 137.2 | 118.3 | 116.9 | 80.4 | 98.2 | 107.7 | 88.7 | 73.7 | 73.2 | 76.9 | 67.5 | 63.2 |
| Percentage of agricultural imports from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal products | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 8.3 | 9.6 | 7.8 | 10.3 | 12.5 | 13.4 | 10.0 | 10.7 |
| Vegetables and products | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| Fruits and products | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Coffee | 43.2 | 26.0 | 29.7 | 37.3 | 30.9 | 38.4 | 34.2 | 33.7 | 28.0 | 26.2 | 25.2 | 25.9 | 25.1 | 24.0 | 27.4 | 27.0 |
| Sugar | 14.2 | 9.0 | 10.1 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 13.0 | 11.7 | 14.2 | 14.0 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 15.1 | 14.4 | 12.0 |
| Complements ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 68.7 | 55.4 | 55.7 | 55.3 | 57.6 | 64.0 | 58.8 | 59.4 | 49.5 | 52.0 | 47.5 | 48.1 | 46.3 | 44.0 | 48.5 | 46.2 |
| Dairy | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Dairy imports as \% of milk pdn | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Trade balance (exports-imports) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. trade balance (\$bil) | 3.1 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 5.0 |
| Agricultural trade balance (\$bil) | -0.3 | -1.1 | -1.3 | -1.5 | -1.1 | -0.6 | -0.6 | 0.9 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Exports includes shipments to Complementary products consist primarily of rubber, coffee, raw silk, cacao beans, wool for carpets, bananas, tea, spices, and vegetable fibers.
Table A13 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural exports ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value (\$thou) | 6,949 | 6,453 | 6,297 | 6,096 | 7,374 | 7,831 | 9,513 | 17,978 | 22,412 | 21,859 | 23,381 | 23,636 | 27,289 | 31,979 | 40,481 | 43,780 |
| \% of total U.S. exports | 23.7 | 21.0 | 18.7 | 16.7 | 17.4 | 18.1 | 19.3 | 25.2 | 22.8 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 19.6 | 20.7 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 19.1 |
| $\%$ of cash farm receipts | 16.0 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 12.7 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 15.6 | 20.7 | 24.3 | 24.6 | 24.5 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 29.0 | 30.9 |
| Percentage of agricultural exports from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 22.5 | 19.2 | 18.0 | 14.1 | 15.4 | 14.2 | 15.5 | 23.3 | 21.1 | 24.5 | 21.3 | 12.4 | 16.9 | 15.2 | 16.4 | 18.4 |
| Animal products | 10.2 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 12.2 | 11.5 | 12.4 | 11.7 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 8.9 |
| Vegetables and products | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 3.3 |
| Fruits and products | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| Feed grains | 19.6 | 16.9 | 15.2 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 12.8 | 16.3 | 19.9 | 20.9 | 24.2 | 24.1 | 20.8 | 21.7 | 24.4 | 24.3 | 24.0 |
| Oilseeds | 11.8 | 12.8 | 13.8 | 14.3 | 17.3 | 17.8 | 17.4 | 16.5 | 23.3 | 20.4 | 19.9 | 28.0 | 30.0 | 27.8 | 23.2 | 21.5 |
| Soybeans | 9.4 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 13.3 | 11.1 | 15.7 | 13.9 | 8.4 | 12.3 | 13.1 | 12.3 | 18.6 | 19.1 | 17.8 | 14.5 | 13.7 |
| Dairy | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Cotton | 5.6 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 5.1 |
| Tobacco | 5.6 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Agricultural imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value (\$thou) | 4,454 | 4,453 | 4,656 | 4,931 | 5,592 | 5,828 | 6,048 | 7,324 | 9,549 | 9,579 | 10,107 | 13,382 | 13,886 | 16,186 | 17,276 | 17,218 |
| \% of total U.S. imports | 17.5 | 16.6 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 14.0 | 12.8 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 9.2 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 6.8 |
| \% of ag. exports | 64.1 | 69.0 | 73.9 | 80.9 | 75.8 | 74.4 | 63.6 | 40.7 | 42.6 | 43.8 | 43.2 | 56.6 | 50.9 | 50.6 | 42.7 | 39.3 |
| Percentage of agricultural imports from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal products | 13.4 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 17.4 | 18.1 | 18.0 | 20.2 | 22.8 | 18.7 | 11.0 | 13.6 | 9.6 | 11.5 | 15.3 | 13.2 | 12.9 |
| Vegerables and products | 4.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 6.0 |
| Fruits and products | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| Coffee | 26.3 | 21.9 | 22.1 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 19.9 | 18.4 | 20.3 | 17.7 | 12.8 | 20.0 | 29.7 | 25.0 | 22.5 | 24.1 | 16.3 |
| Sugar | 10.9 | 14.0 | 14.3 | 13.9 | 13.5 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 12.9 | 14.6 | 28.8 | 16.1 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 10.7 | 14.0 |
| Complements ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 41.9 | 39.4 | 42.7 | 37.9 | 38.6 | 36.7 | 36.1 | 39.0 | 34.3 | 28.8 | 37.8 | 50.9 | 47.3 | 43.9 | 42.6 | 34.4 |
| Dairy | 2.1 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Dairy imports as \% of milk pdn | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Trade balance (exports-imports) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. trade balance (\$bil) | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.6 | -2.3 | -6.4 | 0.9 | -5.3 | 9.0 | -9.3 | -30.9 | -34.4 | -25.8 | -30.6 | -25.5 |
| Agricultural trade balance (\$bil) | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 10.7 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 13.4 | 15.8 | 23.2 | 26.6 |

aExports includes shipments to U.S. territories.
bComplementary products consist primarily of rubber, coffee, raw silk, cacao beans, wool for carpets, bananas, tea, spices, and vegetable fibers.
Table A13 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural exports ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value (\$hou) | 39,095 | 34,769 | 38,027 | 31,201 | 26,309 | 27,876 | 35,379 | 39,637 | 40,220 | 37,609 | 42,430 | 42,589 | 43,511 | 54,160 | 59,891 | 57,365 | 53,730 |
| \% of total U.S. exports | 18.1 | 17.9 | 18.3 | 14.8 | 13.0 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 11.6 | 11.0 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 9.1 | 8.4 |
| $\%$ of cash farm receipts | 27.4 | 25.4 | 26.6 | 21.7 | 19.4 | 19.7 | 23.4 | 24.6 | 23.7 | 22.4 | 24.8 | 23.9 | 24.0 | 28.8 | 30.1 | 27.6 | 27.3 |
| Percentage of agricultural exports from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 19.6 | 17.7 | 17.1 | 13.7 | 13.2 | 11.1 | 13.1 | 15.8 | 11.0 | 8.1 | 10.6 | 11.6 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 11.5 | 7.2 | 7.0 |
| Animal products | 9.6 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 15.1 | 16.5 | 13.6 | 11.8 | 10.7 | 12.7 | 13.8 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.0 | 18.4 | 19.2 |
| Vegetables and products | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 7.8 |
| Fruits and products | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| Feed grains | 18.0 | 18.7 | 21.6 | 22.1 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 14.7 | 18.6 | 20.1 | 15.4 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 10.9 | 14.0 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 9.7 |
| Oilseeds | 24.9 | 25.1 | 22.6 | 19.9 | 23.8 | 22.6 | 21.9 | 16.7 | 15.2 | 15.0 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 15.8 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 19.7 | 20.4 |
| Soybeans | 16.6 | 16.9 | 15.1 | 12.4 | 15.9 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 10.5 | 12.1 | 11.4 |
| Dairy | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Cotton | 5.5 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| Tobacco | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Agricultural imports |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value (\$thou) | 15,481 | 16,271 | 18,916 | 19,740 | 20,875 | 20,650 | 21,014 | 21,477 | 22,560 | 22,588 | 24,323 | 24,454 | 26,365 | 29,530 | 32,565 | 35,798 | 37,007 |
| \% of total U.S. imports | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| \% of ag. exports | 39.6 | 46.8 | 49.7 | 63.3 | 79.3 | 74.1 | 59.4 | 54.2 | 56.1 | 60.1 | 57.3 | 57.4 | 60.6 | 54.5 | 54.4 | 62.4 | 68.9 |
| Percentage of agricultural imports from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal products | 13.1 | 12.9 | 10.2 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 13.5 | 13.3 | 11.3 | 12.6 | 13.4 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 10.3 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 7.3 |
| Vegetables and products | 7.2 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 9.1 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 11.5 |
| Fruits and products | 5.7 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 10.8 |
| Coffee | 16.9 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 21.1 | 15.7 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 11.4 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 9.7 |
| Sugar | 8.9 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| Complements ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 34.2 | 24.5 | 25.3 | 25.2 | 29.2 | 23.6 | 20.3 | 18.1 | 15.4 | 14.6 | 14.0 | 12.3 | 13.7 | 17.0 | 14.7 | 16.1 | 16.4 |
| Dairy | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| Dairy imports as \% of milk pdn | n 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.9 |
| Trade balance (exports-imports) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. trade balance (\$bil) | -33.4 | -52.8 | -108.6 | -123.2 | -160.8 | -157.2 | -136.2 | -121.7 | -114.4 | -92.0 | -86.9 | -128.7 | -161.9 | -194.6 | -198.1 | -238.1 | -256.3 |
| Agricultural trade balance (\$bil) | 23.6 | 18.5 | 19.1 | 11.5 | 5.4 | 7.2 | 14.4 | 18.2 | 17.7 | 15.0 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 17.1 | 24.6 | 27.3 | 21.6 | 16.7 |

[^5]Table A14 U.S. Production as a Percentage of World Production, World Stocks as a Percentage of World Consumption, U.S. Stocks as a Percentage of World Stocks, and U.S. Exports as a Percentage of World Trade, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. production as \% world production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15.5 | 14.9 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 13.8 |
| Rice | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Corn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 49.5 | 43.8 | 44.1 | 47.0 | 41.1 | 46.2 |
| Soybeans | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 65.3 | 72.6 |
| Cotton | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 31.7 | 32.2 | 31.7 | 30.1 | 28.1 | 26.2 |
| Sugar | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 7.6 |
| Milk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12.1 |
| Cheese | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18.0 |
| Nonfat dry milk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40.1 |
| World stocks as \% of world consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35.1 | 29.4 | 30.8 | 29.4 | 29.9 | 21.9 |
| Rice | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.0 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 10.0 |
| Corn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 30.8 | 26.6 | 22.3 | 24.7 | 19.2 | 14.3 |
| Soybeans | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | , | - | - | - | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| Cotton | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 44.1 | 42.1 | 42.7 | 53.6 | 55.9 | 59.8 |
| Butter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cheese | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nonfat dry milk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. stocks as \% of world stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 49.4 | 55.3 | 45.6 | 38.5 | 31.9 | 29.6 |
| Corn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 198.5 | 204.5 | 222.3 | 209.8 | 229.9 | 305.5 |
| Soybeans | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51.0 | 54.4 |
| Cotton | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 34.7 | 39.9 | 55.3 | 47.0 | 48.6 | 51.8 |
| Butter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cheese | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nonfat dry milk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. exports as $\%$ of world trade ${ }^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51.9 | 51.9 | 47.7 | 48.6 | 48.7 | 31.7 |
| Rice | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20.6 | 21.0 | 22.1 | 24.6 | 23.5 | 24.9 |
| Corn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 43.7 | 39.2 | 56.6 | 53.2 | 53.4 | 57.1 |
| Soybeans | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 87.5 | 89.7 |
| Cotton | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40.0 | 32.3 | 21.5 | 32.2 | 24.8 | 17.9 |
| Sugar | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Butrer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9.7 |
| Nonfat dry milk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 107.6 |

Appendix 2
Table A14 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. production as \% of world production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 11.8 | 14.1 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 13.7 | 16.4 | 14.1 | 14.7 | 11.0 | 13.9 | 14.9 | 17.0 |
| Rice | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| Corn | 42.3 | 47.1 | 44.8 | 44.1 | 39.3 | 46.5 | 47.0 | 43.6 | 39.8 | 43.7 | 44.9 | 45.2 | 47.1 | 47.3 | 41.3 | 46.7 |
| Soybeans | 69.2 | 70.3 | 72.3 | 72.6 | 69.2 | 67.8 | 70.3 | 67.5 | 60.5 | 64.2 | 58.9 | 66.6 | 65.6 | 65.8 | 60.4 | 62.8 |
| Cotton | 18.2 | 14.4 | 19.1 | 18.2 | 18.4 | 17.6 | 22.1 | 20.5 | 18.0 | 15.3 | 18.7 | 22.4 | 18.1 | 22.3 | 17.5 | 22.8 |
| Sugar | 7.7 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
| Milk | 17.5 | 16.9 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 15.5 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 16.1 |
| Butter | 10.3 | 10.9 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 9.5 |
| Cheese | 17.7 | 17.8 | 17.7 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 18.2 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 19.6 | 18.7 | 20.4 | 20.0 | 20.1 | 22.1 | 22.9 | 24.0 |
| Nonfat dry milk | 30.8 | 27.4 | 23.0 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 21.6 | 16.5 | 12.2 | 13.1 | 11.6 | 10.7 | 12.8 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 14.3 |
| World stocks as \% of world consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32.0 | 34.7 | 40.4 | 32.2 | 24.4 | 26.6 | 21.3 | 23.1 | 22.8 | 25.0 | 34.1 | 27.6 | 32.6 | 28.1 | 25.7 | 25.5 |
| Rice | 10.1 | 10.0 | 10.9 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 13.3 | 134.0 | 10.5 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 16.4 | 15.5 | 17.4 | 20.9 | 19.2 | 16.7 |
| Corn | 16.0 | 18.5 | 17.1 | 15.1 | 13.2 | 16.6 | 12.2 | 11.7 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 20.0 | 21.7 | 22.2 | 23.9 | 20.3 | 26.1 |
| Soybeans | 9.4 | 15.0 | 25.5 | 16.3 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 10.6 | 12.6 | 15.6 | 9.0 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 15.0 | 13.6 | 10.7 |
| Cotton | 51.0 | 42.8 | 43.4 | 41.5 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 41.7 | 45.5 | 57.7 | 42.0 | 36.0 | 41.4 | 35.4 | 33.3 | 31.8 | 40.7 |
| Butrer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cheese | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nonfat dry milk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. stocks as \% of world stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 15.9 | 17.5 | 20.3 | 25.8 | 27.8 | 30.0 | 21.7 | 11.2 | 14.5 | 20.9 | 23.8 | 29.4 | 18.7 | 20.3 | 23.6 | 27.7 |
| Corn | 240.8 | 233.0 | 248.1 | 270.9 | 265.2 | 243.8 | 323.1 | 294.6 | 199.3 | 30.3 | 42.2 | 47.2 | 50.9 | 52.5 | 41.4 | 59.1 |
| Soybeans | 74.2 | 83.7 | 91.7 | 85.7 | 74.8 | 65.3 | 56.3 | 75.1 | 74.2 | 67.4 | 48.3 | 62.6 | 63.9 | 74.4 | 74.1 | 73.5 |
| Cotton | 42.3 | 26.5 | 26.0 | 24.6 | 18.5 | 13.9 | 16.7 | 13.5 | 16.9 | 13.9 | 13.1 | 20.9 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 12.6 | 25.5 |
| Burter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cheese | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nonfat dry milk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. exports as \% of world trade ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 45.1 | 36.8 | 39.2 | 28.0 | 36.7 | 31.9 | 44.4 | 52.6 | 43.1 | 47.9 | 40.8 | 42.0 | 45.1 | 43.5 | 43.8 | 47.6 |
| Rice | 30.0 | 35.8 | 33.9 | 31.4 | 24.5 | 29.7 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 43.2 | 30.5 | 28.1 | 34.4 | 28.9 | 30.0 | 34.6 | 34.4 |
| Corn | 61.6 | 47.1 | 55.0 | 49.1 | 49.1 | 38.3 | 52.8 | 64.7 | 61.8 | 73.9 | 77.8 | 79.1 | 81.8 | 82.6 | 77.7 | 75.4 |
| Soybeans | 87.9 | 90.7 | 89.7 | 93.4 | 93.7 | 87.9 | 84.7 | 81.1 | 73.4 | 78.7 | 80.4 | 85.4 | 81.4 | 81.8 | 80.4 | 85.7 |
| Cotton | 26.4 | 24.7 | 16.6 | 16.2 | 21.9 | 18.1 | 25.0 | 31.2 | 22.4 | 17.3 | 27.2 | 28.5 | 31.1 | 39.5 | 22.6 | 25.4 |
| Sugar | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 3.5 |
| Butter | 2.7 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 13.3 | 7.9 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 11.3 |
| Nonfat dry milk | 64.6 | 47.9 | 39.1 | 42.1 | 33.8 | 30.6 | 36.1 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 14.6 | 13.9 | 9.4 | 17.4 | 11.1 | 18.9 | 31.3 |

[^6]Table A14 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. production as \% of world production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 15.9 | 13.6 | 13.9 | 13.3 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 12.6 | 9.9 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 11.8 |
| Rice | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Corn | 47.6 | 30.5 | 42.5 | 47.1 | 44.0 | 40.2 | 31.3 | 41.5 | 42.2 | 39.0 | 45.1 | 34.2 | 45.7 | 36.3 | 39.8 | 40.8 | 41.9 |
| Soybeans | 63.7 | 53.5 | 54.4 | 58.9 | 54.0 | 50.9 | 44.2 | 54.8 | 48.8 | 52.0 | 55.8 | 43.4 | 49.7 | 47.9 | 49.0 | 46.7 | 47.3 |
| Cotton | 18.0 | 11.8 | 14.6 | 16.7 | 13.8 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 15.3 | 17.8 | 18.3 | 19.6 | 21.0 | 23.0 | 19.4 | 20.8 | 19.9 | 15.9 |
| Sugar | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.6 |
| Milk | 16.3 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 16.8 | 17.0 | 17.4 | 18.0 | 18.1 | 18.4 | 18.5 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 18.6 |
| Butter | 9.1 | 8.7 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 10.7 | 11.3 | 10.9 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 9.4 |
| Cheese | 24.3 | 25.0 | 23.9 | 24.3 | 24.4 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 25.2 | 25.8 | 25.5 | 26.9 | 27.2 | 27.3 | 27.7 | 28.0 | 27.6 | 27.8 |
| Nonfat dry milk | 15.0 | 14.5 | 12.3 | 15.6 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 12.4 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 13.8 | 14.6 | 18.6 | 18.2 | 15.5 | 17.4 | 16.4 |
| World stocks as \% of world consumption |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 28.8 | 31.3 | 34.0 | 34.8 | 34.7 | 28.5 | 22.6 | 22.3 | 25.8 | 23.9 | 26.3 | 25.2 | 21.6 | 19.7 | 20.0 | 23.8 | 23.0 |
| Rice | 15.3 | 14.4 | 15.1 | 17.4 | 16.0 | 15.0 | 14.9 | 16.1 | 17.0 | 16.1 | 15.5 | 14.6 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 14.3 | 14. |
| Corn | 30.9 | 16.1 | 20.8 | 34.2 | 35.6 | 31.8 | 19.4 | 15.2 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 20.6 | 14.2 | 17.2 | 12.2 | 14.7 | 14.9 | 16.9 |
| Soybeans | 13.5 | 9.1 | 13.8 | 19.5 | 15.2 | 19.3 | 18.1 | 17.1 | 19.4 | 22.8 | 19.9 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 12.7 | 9.9 | 13.9 | 17.3 |
| Cotton | 38.4 | 35.5 | 63.8 | 62.6 | 43.0 | 38.7 | 36.8 | 29.8 | 32.9 | 47.5 | 43.6 | 35.3 | 33.8 | 41.2 | 42.9 | 46.1 | 49.0 |
| Butter | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | 27.9 | 32.1 | 22.1 | 12.1 | 8.9 | 12.9 | 16.8 | 15.3 | 13.6 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 8.6 | 10.0 |
| Cheese | - | - | - | 16.9 | 16.7 | 14.9 | 14.1 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.8 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 16.5 | 17.6 | 16.3 | 16.2 |
| Nonfat dry milk | - | - | - | 41.1 | 50.3 | 35.1 | 15.2 | 42.4 | 25.0 | 28.8 | 15.9 | 17.2 | 16.8 | 14.6 | 18.5 | 19.0 | 20.8 |
| U.S. stocks as \% of world stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 31.5 | 26.0 | 23.3 | 30.4 | 27.7 | 22.9 | 16.1 | 12.3 | 16.3 | 9.8 | 10.0 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 14.1 | 18.9 |
| Corn | 69.2 | 38.5 | 46.3 | 70.8 | 76.2 | 72.9 | 54.9 | 47.0 | 48.3 | 34.5 | 51.1 | 30.1 | 42.7 | 16.3 | 26.6 | 38.4 | 46.6 |
| Soybeans | 77.0 | 60.6 | 69.9 | 80.6 | 77.1 | 41.5 | 28.0 | 36.5 | 44.3 | 37.8 | 43.4 | 32.9 | 38.8 | 29.8 | 26.6 | 26.2 | 36.0 |
| Cotton | 30.5 | 11.1 | 9.1 | 19.3 | 13.9 | 17.5 | 22.4 | 10.9 | 8.0 | 9.2 | 12.6 | 11.7 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 9.3 |
| Butter | - | - | - | 5.7 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 11.9 | 25.4 | 25.5 | 28.4 | 25.7 | 15.8 | 7.8 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Cheese | - | - | - | 27.9 | 23.3 | 14.6 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 11.9 |
| Nonfat dry milk | - | - | - | 32.7 | 18.8 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 11.3 | 8.4 | 9.1 | 13.2 | 9.9 | 5.8 | 10.2 | 10.7 |
| U.S. exports as \% of world trade ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 41.5 | 37.4 | 36.4 | 29.2 | 30.0 | 38.6 | 36.8 | 32.3 | 28.8 | 31.4 | 32.6 | 32.9 | 32.1 | 34.7 | 26.7 | 28.0 | 27.4 |
| Rice | 28.4 | 27.7 | 26.3 | 22.8 | 29.8 | 29.2 | 28.0 | 29.9 | 26.6 | 21.4 | 23.4 | 20.9 | 21.3 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 14.4 | 15.8 |
| Corn | 73.1 | 78.4 | 70.6 | 57.2 | 67.0 | 76.9 | 78.6 | 80.8 | 74.1 | 64.3 | 68.1 | 60.8 | 76.5 | 73.9 | 66.0 | 53.0 | 70.5 |
| Soybeans | 86.1 | 76.6 | 65.4 | 77.3 | 72.3 | 72.5 | 61.0 | 65.0 | 63.2 | 74.2 | 73.5 | 57.0 | 71.3 | 72.6 | 65.1 | 58.8 | 56.6 |
| Cotton | 20.3 | 26.9 | 22.9 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 18.4 | 24.6 | 26.3 | 23.6 | 20.5 | 25.6 | 33.1 | 27.7 | 25.7 | 28.1 | 18.2 |
| Sugar | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Butter | 17.6 | 10.6 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 7.7 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 20.1 | 20.3 | 13.8 | 9.5 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| Nonfat dry milk | 42.0 | 48.3 | 34.9 | 35.7 | 38.5 | 33.5 | 16.4 | 14.1 | 2.0 | 9.3 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 14.4 | 14.9 | 4.2 | 11.2 | 15.5 |

[^7]Appendix 2
Table A15 Quantity of Exports as a Percentage of Total Commercial Disappearance for Selected Agricultural Commodities, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Exports as a percentage of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| commercial disappearance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A15 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exports as a percentage of commercial disappearance ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corn | 14.0 | 12.5 | 13.5 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 11.0 | 16.4 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 28.9 | 28.4 | 30.5 | 30.2 | 31.6 | 32.8 | 28.6 |
| Barley | 15.7 | 10.7 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 9.1 | 15.6 | 19.7 | 11.3 | 6.4 | 16.5 | 14.3 | 6.1 | 12.4 | 17.9 | 20.9 |
| Oats | 3.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| Grain sorghum | 28.7 | 23.3 | 14.5 | 16.3 | 17.2 | 15.1 | 24.4 | 25.0 | 32.7 | 31.4 | 37.4 | 32.3 | 25.5 | 40.0 | 48.5 | 37.1 |
| Rye | 13.2 | 15.7 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 10.8 | 6.8 | 17.9 | 51.4 | 26.6 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 12.7 | 31.1 | 7.5 |
| Rice | 61.0 | 62.6 | 59.6 | 61.9 | 55.3 | 61.8 | 59.2 | 55.1 | 63.0 | 56.9 | 60.3 | 63.6 | 59.6 | 60.8 | 60.3 | 54.8 |
| Wheat | 57.2 | 52.3 | 47.1 | 41.3 | 49.0 | 42.6 | 58.7 | 61.8 | 60.3 | 61.8 | 55.8 | 56.7 | 58.8 | 63.7 | 65.9 | 67.6 |
| Cotton | 33.7 | 32.5 | 25.5 | 26.4 | 32.5 | 29.6 | 41.5 | 46.0 | 41.0 | 32.1 | 42.5 | 46.7 | 50.2 | 59.4 | 51.1 | 56.6 |
| Peanuts | 9.0 | 7.9 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 10.1 | 18.0 | 16.1 | 21.2 | 24.1 | 11.0 | 18.7 | 27.4 | 28.9 | 26.9 | 17.2 | 15.8 |
| Tobacco | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | - | 33.3 | 30.3 | 32.8 | 35.2 | 33.7 | 33.7 | 35.6 | 36.6 | 39.1 | 37.1 | 36.9 | 39.6 |
| Beef | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Pork | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| Broilers | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 7.4 |
| Turkeys | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.7 |
| Eggs | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 4.4 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Exports includes shipments to U.S. territories. <br> ${ }^{6}$ Data not available. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A15 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exports as a percentage of commercial disappearance ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corn | 25.1 | 28.2 | 26.3 | 18.9 | 20.2 | 22.1 | 27.9 | 29.2 | 22.2 | 20.0 | 19.6 | 17.4 | 23.2 | 26.1 | 20.3 | 16.4 | 20.3 |
| Barley | 9.7 | 16.4 | 13.1 | 3.8 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 17.5 | 19.1 | 18.1 | 13.7 | 14.2 | 15.0 | 7.3 | 18.2 | 7.7 |
| Oats | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Grain sorghum | 28.9 | 38.2 | 34.8 | 20.5 | 26.5 | 28.6 | 39.0 | 36.2 | 35.6 | 43.3 | 36.8 | 30.5 | 35.7 | 38.5 | 26.7 | 33.5 | 38.6 |
| Rye | 1.0 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 14.2 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Rice | 52.2 | 54.3 | 51.9 | 46.0 | 53.5 | 47.3 | 50.9 | 47.4 | 45.4 | 40.9 | 44.3 | 43.0 | 48.4 | 45.5 | 41.9 | 43.9 | 44.6 |
| Wheat | 62.4 | 56.1 | 55.1 | 46.4 | 45.5 | 59.2 | 59.1 | 55.4 | 43.9 | 53.1 | 54.6 | 49.8 | 48.0 | 52.1 | 43.5 | 45.3 | 43.7 |
| Cotton | 49.8 | 54.7 | 54.1 | 24.0 | 48.5 | 47.4 | 45.2 | 47.9 | 48.2 | 41.7 | 34.6 | 40.6 | 46.7 | 43.0 | 39.2 | 40.3 | 27.6 |
| Peanuts | 20.4 | 21.6 | 23.9 | 22.2 | 18.7 | 16.3 | 17.3 | 23.9 | 17.9 | 21.9 | 23.8 | 15.0 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 17.8 | 18.3 | 15.7 |
| Tobacco | 37.8 | 38.9 | 41.1 | 38.3 | 37.6 | 33.9 | 35.5 | 34.7 | 35.2 | 40.2 | 39.6 | 37.5 | 32.6 | 35.8 | 38.6 | 37.5 | 37.1 |
| Beef | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 7.6 |
| Pork | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Broilers | 5.4 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 9.6 | 12.7 | 16.2 | 17.4 | 17.6 | 17.0 |
| Turkeys | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 11.5 | 8.4 |
| Eggs | 3.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.3 |

Table A16 Value of U.S. Agricultural Exports to Selected Countries and Regions as a Percentage of Value of Total U.S. Agricultural Exports, 1950 - 98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 8.7 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 9.8 |
| Mexico | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Latin America less Mexico | 12.0 | 10.8 | 14.4 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 12.4 | 9.6 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 7.3 |
| Western Europe | 52.2 | 49.9 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 47.1 | 46.8 | 48.5 | 49.2 | 43.6 | 41.8 | 44.2 | 42.0 | 43.3 | 38.5 | 40.3 | 37.2 |
| Eastern Europe | 0.5 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.4 |
| Japan | 12.2 | 10.4 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 13.7 | 12.1 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 9.8 | 11.2 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 12.2 | 11.9 |
| Southeast Asia | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Hong Kong, Korea, Taiwan | 1.7 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| China, Mainland | $\sim^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Note: Fiscal year data except for $1950-55$ when only calendar year data were available. Exports include shipments to U.S. territories.
aData not available.

## Appendix 2

Table A16 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 9.1 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| Mexico | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 6.2 |
| Latin America less Mexico | 6.8 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 8.6 | 9.5 |
| Western Europe | 39.6 | 40.0 | 37.0 | 34.7 | 30.4 | 36.2 | 33.7 | 30.5 | 30.1 | 31.8 | 31.3 | 37.1 | 31.1 | 29.5 | 31.3 | 27.1 |
| Eastern Europe | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 11.8 | 7.2 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 5.8 | 4.7 |
| Japan | 13.2 | 14.6 | 14.3 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 15.0 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 16.0 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 14.3 | 15.4 |
| Southeast Asia | 3.2 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| Hong Kong, Korea, Taiwan | 2.8 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 4.6 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 8.3 |
| China, Mainland | $-^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.1 | 3.8 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 5.0 |

Note: Fiscal year data except for 1950-55 when only calendar year data were available. Exports include shipments to U.S. territories.
Table A16 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 9.2 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 13.1 |
| Mexico | 3.8 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 6.8 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 11.1 |
| Latin America less Mexico | 8.8 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 10.0 |
| Western Europe | 31.1 | 29.2 | 24.4 | 23.0 | 26.0 | 25.8 | 22.6 | 17.8 | 18.2 | 19.4 | 18.2 | 17.6 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 16.5 |
| Eastern Europe | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Japan | 14.7 | 16.9 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 20.3 | 20.6 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 21.2 | 19.3 | 19.5 | 18.7 | 17.6 |
| Southeast Asia | 1.8 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.3 |
| Hong Kong, Korea, Taiwan | 8.3 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 11.7 | 12.8 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.1 | 10.8 |
| China, Mainland | 4.7 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.8 |

Appendix 2
Table A17 Total and Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) Ending Stocks as a Percentage of Domestic and Export Use, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ending total stocks as a percentage of domestic and export use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 53.4 | 28.4 | 62.0 | 104.2 | 131.7 | 131.9 | 91.9 | 89.9 | 132.7 | 128.9 | 128.6 | 107.2 | 100.6 | 73.4 | 63.4 | - 46.1 |
| Corn | 92.2 | 82.3 | 92.1 | 97.5 | 112.0 | 116.1 | 124.4 | 125.8 | 125.5 | 129.7 | 132.1 | 118.2 | 108.3 | 111.9 | 98.0 | 103.3 |
| Oats | 27.9 | 25.1 | 23.1 | 22.4 | 29.1 | 29.4 | 20.7 | 33.2 | 34.4 | 24.3 | 36.2 | 30.6 | 31.8 | 37.3 | 35.1 | 43.9 |
| Barley | 8.8 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 12.0 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 14.4 | 16.7 | 13.7 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 14.4 | 15.4 |
| Grain sorghum | 14.7 | 5.2 | 8.5 | 21.8 | 41.2 | 34.3 | 38.3 | 91.7 | 134.2 | 120.0 | 140.7 | 126.9 | 126.9 | 109.8 | 98.8 | 46.0 |
| Rye | 77.4 | 59.9 | 36.2 | 97.7 | 101.5 | 95.0 | 54.4 | 65.5 | 77.8 | 63.5 | 84.6 | 55.1 | 64.6 | 37.3 | 75.5 | 106.3 |
| Cotron | 15.0 | 18.6 | 44.1 | 77.6 | 89.8 | 127.6 | 69.2 | 62.1 | 75.8 | 45.1 | 46.8 | 54.3 | 87.7 | 84.1 | 104.8 | 133.6 |
| Rice | 11.8 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 16.1 | 59.4 | 72.1 | 31.1 | 40.5 | 33.2 | 21.0 | 17.8 | 9.0 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 10.8 |
| Soybeans | 4.4 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 2.9 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 16.3 | 9.1 | 4.7 | 11.1 | 6.8 | 9.1 | 3.6 | 4.3 |
| Peanuts | 17.6 | 26.4 | 31.3 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 28.1 | 29.6 | 23.5 | 30.9 | 26.3 | 20.7 | 23.7 | 23.2 | 21.2 | 17.4 | 17.5 |
| Sugar | 22.4 | 22.5 | 19.8 | 19.7 | 23.2 | 23.4 | 21.2 | 21.5 | 20.6 | 22.6 | 25.6 | 23.7 | 24.0 | 26.7 | 28.0 | 27.5 |
| Dairy | 4.2 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 9.2 | 11.4 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 7.5 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| Beef | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Pork | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Broilers | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Eggs | 6.7 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Total value of ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCC stocks (\$mil) | 1,926 | 1,206 | 946 | 2,415 | 3,951 | 5,604 | 5,323 | 4,791 | 4,692 | 6,408 | 6,079 | 5,248 | 5,271 | 5,023 | 4,611 | 4,110 |
| \% of cash farm receipts | 6.8 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 7.8 | 13.2 | 19.0 | 17.5 | 16.1 | 14.0 | 19.0 | 17.7 | 14.9 | 14.5 | 13.4 | 12.4 | 10.4 |

Statistical Tables
Table A17 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ending total stocks as a percentage of domestic and export use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 32.1 | 46.8 | 63.3 | 78.5 | 54.4 | 68.7 | 30.9 | 17.3 | 25.7 | 35.1 | 65.3 | 59.4 | 45.5 | 41.8 | 43.1 | 44.3 |
| Corn | 82.1 | 101.7 | 94.9 | 95.9 | 79.0 | 99.8 | 88.7 | 74.6 | 65.6 | 11.0 | 19.6 | 23.1 | 24.4 | 26.7 | 19.1 | 36.4 |
| Oats | 35.0 | 39.3 | 52.0 | 63.8 | 63.7 | 69.9 | 55.9 | 37.8 | 32.9 | 31.1 | 28.2 | 51.7 | 45.5 | 41.4 | 34.1 | 28.3 |
| Barley | 16.3 | 20.0 | 27.6 | 31.3 | 20.6 | 24.4 | 23.2 | 17.9 | 13.5 | 19.5 | 21.7 | 28.6 | 37.1 | 33.6 | 26.4 | 27.6 |
| Grain sorghum | 28.2 | 40.5 | 39.2 | 31.6 | 10.9 | 17.4 | 8.4 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 11.1 | 17.2 | 31.3 | 27.9 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 45.6 |
| Rye | 93.7 | 109.1 | 87.1 | 116.3 | 137.4 | 168.0 | 147.0 | 39.3 | 47.5 | 48.4 | 55.8 | 52.9 | 47.2 | 63.5 | 16.6 | 15.0 |
| Cotton | 84.5 | 48.0 | 57.7 | 52.8 | 34.6 | 27.9 | 32.5 | 28.3 | 59.2 | 35.1 | 25.6 | 45.1 | 25.3 | 19.2 | 22.6 | 56.6 |
| Rice | 10.1 | 7.5 | 17.2 | 17.9 | 22.2 | 12.4 | 5.6 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 37.1 | 37.3 | 24.0 | 24.9 | 18.9 | 10.9 | 32.8 |
| Soybcans | 10.3 | 18.4 | 34.6 | 18.7 | 7.9 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 11.9 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 7.2 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 12.4 |
| Peanurs | 15.1 | 14.1 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 15.7 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 16.5 | 37.3 | 26.9 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 14.8 | 16.0 | 14.1 | 20.8 |
| Sugar | 26.6 | 27.4 | 28.1 | 25.8 | 25.1 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 23.0 | 25.9 | 27.2 | 31.9 | 40.3 | 34.3 | 34.2 | 28.3 | 31.6 |
| Dairy | 4.1 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 10.3 | 13.8 |
| Beef | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Pork | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| Broilers | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Eggs | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total value of ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCC stocks (\$mil) | 2,340 | 1,005 | 1,064 | 1,784 | 1,594 | 1,118 | 830 | 394 | 188 | 402 | 634 | 1,104 | 1,186 | 1,237 | 2,802 | 3,779 |
| As \% of cash receipts | 5.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 2.7 |

Table A17 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ending total stocks as a percentage of domestic and export use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whear | 62.7 | 55.1 | 55.3 | 97.2 | 82.9 | 47.0 | 29.3 | 24.2 | 35.6 | 19.7 | 21.4 | 23.1 | 20.5 | 15.8 | 19.3 | 31.5 | 39.6 |
| Corn | 48.6 | 15.0 | 23.4 | 62.2 | 66.1 | 54.9 | 26.6 | 16.6 | 19.6 | 13.9 | 24.9 | 11.2 | 16.6 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 14.2 | 18.4 |
| Oats | 41.6 | 33.2 | 35.4 | 33.9 | 28.3 | 25.5 | 33.4 | 41.1 | 42.1 | 35.3 | 31.1 | 32.8 | 30.8 | 24.0 | 26.5 | 27.2 | 30.1 |
| Barley | 41.0 | 34.7 | 48.7 | 60.4 | 71.8 | 73.0 | 66.8 | 42.2 | 33.3 | 35.5 | 41.6 | 43.2 | 34.4 | 36.5 | 43.1 | 43.8 | 52.8 |
| Grain sorghum | 60.4 | 44.9 | 35.2 | 63.3 | 99.6 | 81.7 | 54.9 | 26.3 | 22.0 | 7.9 | 23.2 | 7.3 | 11.5 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 12.5 |
| Rye | 29.7 | 47.7 | 79.9 | 105.8 | 80.9 | 94.5 | 43.1 | 30.6 | 19.8 | 9.6 | 11.1 | 6.4 | 9.8 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.0 |
| Cotton | 74.9 | 21.7 | 35.0 | 113.9 | 35.9 | 41.2 | 51.7 | 17.4 | 14.0 | 23.2 | 31.2 | 20.7 | 13.4 | 14.5 | 22.7 | 21.0 | 25.1 |
| Rice | 54.2 | 36.2 | 54.1 | 60.6 | 32.7 | 20.5 | 15.8 | 16.1 | 15.7 | 16.9 | 22.6 | 14.8 | 15.4 | 13.8 | 14.6 | 14.3 | 11.6 |
| Soybeans | 16.4 | 9.8 | 18.4 | 28.5 | 21.4 | 14.6 | 10.9 | 12.8 | 17.9 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 10.7 | 14.0 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 7.9 | 12.8 |
| Peanuts | 25.9 | 17.1 | 39.6 | 18.0 | 28.3 | 22.0 | 21.2 | 17.0 | 18.7 | 23.1 | 33.8 | 28.8 | 28.0 | 18.7 | 21.2 | 22.7 | 38.9 |
| Sugar | 33.0 | 28.2 | 33.8 | 36.2 | 38.7 | 36.6 | 36.4 | 33.0 | 29.4 | 32.4 | 33.8 | 36.0 | 27.5 | 25.2 | 30.3 | 30.5 | 30.6 |
| Dairy | 14.7 | 16.3 | 12.4 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 9.0 | 10.6 | 9.0 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Beef | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| Pork | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| Broilers | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| Eggs | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total value of ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCC stocks (\$mil) | 5,50 | 10,597 | 6,664 | 8,309 | 13,848 | 12,331 | 4,856 | 4,018 | 2,106 | 2,375 | 1,719 | 833 | 715 | 654 | 435 | 300 | 250 |
| As \% of cash receipts | 3.9 | 7.7 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 10.2 | 8.7 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## Statistical Tables

Table A18 Support Prices and Loan Rates for Agricultural Commodities as a Percentage of Market Price in the United States, 1950-98


|  | Target price, support price, CCC purchase price, or transition payment rate as a percentage of market price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat | 100 | 103 | 105 | 108 | 106 | 105 | 102 | 101 | 104 | 103 | 102 | 98 | 98 | 108 | 146 | 148 |
| Corn | 97 | 95 | 105 | 108 | 113 | 117 | 116 | 126 | 121 | 107 | 106 | 109 | 107 | 113 | 107 | 108 |
| Cotton | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grain sorghum | 178 | 164 | 151 | 260 | 181 | 182 | 171 | 192 | 183 | 177 | 181 | 191 | 189 | 204 | 190 | 204 |
| Oats | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | 94 |
| Barley | 92 | 88 | 89 | 106 | 106 | 102 | 103 | 107 | 103 | 90 | 92 | 95 | 101 | 107 | 101 | 94 |
| Rice | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tobacco, burley | 93 | 97 | 98 | 89 | 93 | 79 | 76 | 86 | 84 | 94 | 89 | 86 | 99 | 98 | 98 | 89 |
| Tobacco, flue-cured | 82 | 97 | 101 | 91 | 91 | 92 | 95 | 92 | 94 | 95 | 92 | 86 | 93 | 98 | 98 | 89 |
| Peanuts, quota | 99 | 111 | 110 | 107 | 100 | 104 | 102 | 107 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 100 | 100 | 98 |
| Peanuts, nonquota | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{98}$ | -7 | - | - | $\overline{9}$ | - | $\overline{98}$ | $\overline{98}$ |
| Butter | 98 | 96 | 94 | 100 | 97 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 97 | 102 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 98 |
| Cheese | 105 | 98 | 101 | 105 | 103 | 106 | 105 | 105 | 102 | 93 | 92 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 94 | 94 |
| Nonfat-dry milk | 105 | 104 | 105 | 105 | 107 | 104 | 105 | 105 | 101 | 105 | 102 | 105 | 97 | 100 | 99 | 99 |
| Milk, mfg. | 97 | 94 | 95 | 107 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 97 | 97 | 94 | 101 | 97 | 98 | 97 | 97 |
| Wool | 73 | 52 | 100 | 97 | 100 | 145 | 140 | 115 | 170 | 143 | 148 | 145 | 130 | 128 | 117 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | an rat | a per | age of | ket pric |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oats | 90 | 88 | 99 | 108 | 106 | 102 | 94 | 100 | 105 | 77 | 83 | 97 | 100 | 105 | 103 | 97 |
| Wheat | 100 | 103 | 105 | 108 | 106 | 105 | 102 | 104 | 104 | 103 | 102 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 95 | 93 |
| Corn | 97 | 95 | 105 | 108 | 113 | 117 | 116 | 126 | 121 | 107 | 106 | 109 | 107 | 96 | 94 | 91 |
| Soybeans | 83 | 90 | 94 | 94 | 90 | 92 | 99 | 101 | 104 | 94 | 87 | 101 | 96 | 90 | 86 | 89 |
| Barley | 92 | 88 | 89 | 106 | 106 | 102 | 103 | 107 | 103 | 90 | 92 | 95 | 101 | 91 | 88 | 78 |
| Grain sorghum | 178 | 164 | 151 | 260 | 181 | 182 | 171 | 192 | 183 | 177 | 181 | 191 | 189 | 174 | 169 | 168 |
| Rye | 98 | 86 | 83 | 111 | 118 | 111 | 109 | 109 | 108 | 90 | 102 | 101 | 107 | 99 | 103 | 104 |
| Rice | 90 | 104 | 86 | 93 | 108 | 97 | 94 | 92 | 96 | 95 | 97 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 96 | 91 |
| Cotton | 76 | 85 | 93 | 105 | 100 | 108 | 102 | 108 | 106 | 107 | 108 | 100 | 101 | 108 | 107 | 138 |
| Honey | 59 | 63 | 70 | 64 | 60 | 56 | 51 | 52 | 55 | 49 | 48 | 62 | 64 | 62 | 60 | 63 |
| Wholesale price of raw sugat in New York as a ratio of world price of raw sugar, cif New York | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.2 |

## Appendix 2

Table A18 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Target price, support price, CCC purchase price, or transition payment rate as a percentage of market price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 158 | 188 | 212 | 223 | 212 | 219 | 172 | 86 | 50 | 58 | 73 | 127 | 121 | 97 | 93 | 103 |
| Corn | 105 | 131 | 125 | 117 | 102 | 125 | 90 | 64 | 46 | 54 | 63 | 99 | 93 | 93 | 87 | 97 |
| Cotton | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 88 | 75 | 68 | 92 | 90 | 93 | 78 | 131 |
| Grain sorghum | 201 | 216 | 226 | 200 | 188 | 213 | 174 | 122 | 84 | 99 | 131 | 125 | 66 | 60 | 54 | 54 |
| Oats | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Barley | 95 | 90 | 99 | 118 | 107 | 82 | 91 | 59 | 40 | 47 | 57 | 121 | 117 | 105 | 79 | 105 |
| Rice | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 120 | 104 | 92 | 100 | 85 | 90 |
| Tobacco, burley | 91 | 86 | 86 | 95 | 95 | 88 | 95 | 85 | 76 | 91 | 96 | 98 | 95 | 92 | 88 | 91 |
| Tobacco, flue-cured | 88 | 92 | 92 | 88 | 93 | 90 | 85 | 87 | 79 | 93 | 96 | 97 | 90 | 92 | 98 | 95 |
| Peanuts, quota | 100 | 100 | 101 | 101 | 100 | 99 | 98 | 101 | 102 | 101 | 104 | 103 | 100 | 101 | 91 | 85 |
| Peanuts, nonquota | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 59 | 72 | 50 | 46 |
| Butter | 97 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 99 | 99 | 87 | 92 | 87 | 96 | 102 | 99 | 104 | 104 | 102 |
| Cheese | 91 | 97 | 99 | 92 | 95 | 97 | 92 | 87 | 89 | 93 | 95 | 101 | 98 | 97 | 102 | 101 |
| Nonfat-dry milk | 99 | 99 | 103 | 100 | 103 | 103 | 96 | 85 | 97 | 97 | 98 | 102 | 101 | 102 | 104 | 102 |
| Milk, mfg. | 101 | 99 | 101 | 96 | 99 | 101 | 97 | 85 | 92 | 95 | 95 | 103 | 98 | 100 | 109 | 104 |
| Wool | 125 | 166 | 165 | 165 | 203 | 371 | 206 | 87 | 122 | 161 | 110 | 138 | 145 | 133 | 140 | 143 |
| Loan rates as a percentage of market price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oats | 90 | 95 | 105 | 107 | 102 | 90 | 75 | 46 | 35 | 37 | 46 | 94 | 86 | 79 | 65 | 66 |
| Wheat | 77 | 90 | 101 | 101 | 94 | 93 | 71 | 32 | 33 | 38 | 71 | 98 | 83 | 71 | 77 | 87 |
| Corn | 81 | 102 | 97 | 91 | 79 | 97 | 67 | 41 | 36 | 43 | 60 | 99 | 89 | 89 | 83 | 97 |
| Soybeans | 91 | 100 | 103 | 96 | 79 | 74 | 51 | 40 | 34 | 48 | 45 | 51 | 72 | 66 | 66 | 83 |
| Barley | 76 | 90 | 99 | 95 | 86 | 82 | 71 | 40 | 32 | 37 | 54 | 92 | 85 | 75 | 64 | 79 |
| Grain sorghum | 149 | 163 | 171 | 150 | 141 | 166 | 131 | 84 | 68 | 79 | 126 | 186 | 55 | 51 | 46 | 48 |
| Rye | 96 | 95 | 100 | 101 | 103 | 99 | 93 | 47 | 35 | 38 | 49 | 83 | 85 | 86 | 72 | 68 |
| Rice | 91 | 92 | 92 | 95 | 94 | 95 | 87 | 55 | 54 | 84 | 90 | 78 | 69 | 75 | 64 | 67 |
| Cotton | 81 | 92 | 96 | 92 | 92 | 70 | 72 | 43 | 63 | 71 | 61 | 86 | 83 | 81 | 64 | 97 |
| Honey | 66 | 80 | 74 | 74 | 75 | 64 | 46 | 36 | 40 | 50 | 59 | 62 | 68 | 74 | 82 | 91 |
| Wholesale price of raw sugar in New York as a ratio of world price of raw sugar, cif New York | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 |

[^8]Table A18 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target price, support price, CCC purchase price, or transition payment rate as a percentage of market price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 117 | 123 | 129 | 142 | 181 | 170 | 114 | 110 | 153 | 133 | 123 | 123 | 116 | 88 | 93 | 26 | 24 |
| Corn | 106 | 89 | 115 | 136 | 202 | 156 | 115 | 120 | 121 | 116 | 133 | 110 | 122 | 85 | 101 | 10 | 25 |
| Cotron | 120 | 114 | 138 | 144 | 155 | 123 | 137 | 111 | 107 | 125 | 133 | 125 | 101 | 97 | 105 | 14 | 12 |
| Grain sorghum | 65 | 56 | 69 | 83 | 118 | 95 | 69 | 72 | 69 | 65 | 77 | 63 | 69 | 46 | 62 | 8 | 18 |
| Oats | 101 | 99 | 96 | 130 | 132 | 103 | 59 | 101 | 127 | 120 | 110 | 107 | 119 | 87 | 74 | 2 | 3 |
| Barley | 119 | 105 | 114 | 131 | 161 | 144 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 112 | 116 | 119 | 116 | 82 | 86 | 14 | 14 |
| Rice | 130 | 133 | 148 | 182 | 317 | 160 | 163 | 147 | 160 | 141 | 182 | 134 | 158 | 117 | 108 | - 29 | 32 |
| Tobacco, burlcy | 97 | 99 | 93 | 112 | 95 | 95 | 93 | 92 | 89 | 89 | 91 | 93 | 94 | 93 | 90 | 92 | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Tobacco, flue-cured | 95 | 96 | 94 | 99 | 94 | 90 | 89 | 88 | 89 | 89 | 90 | 94 | 93 | 89 | 87 | 94 | - |
| Peanuts, quota | 110 | 111 | 99 | 115 | 104 | 108 | 110 | 111 | 91 | 114 | 113 | 111 | 116 | 116 | 109 | 108 | - |
| Peanuts, nonquota | 40 | 37 | 33 | 30 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 22 | 26 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 23 | - |
| Butter | 101 | 99 | 96 | 99 | 94 | 97 | 100 | 99 | 113 | 102 | 96 | 102 | 96 | 86 | 60 | 56 | - |
| Cheese | 101 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 93 | 85 | 83 | 92 | 89 | 85 | 85 | 84 | 77 | 85 | - |
| Nonfat-dry milk | 101 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 98 | 91 | 75 | 80 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 96 | 95 | 87 | 95 | - |
| Milk, mfg. | 103 | 104 | 103 | 103 | 101 | 99 | 95 | 86 | 82 | 91 | 85 | 86 | 85 | 86 | 77 | 84 | - |
| Wool | 200 | 250 | 208 | 261 | 266 | 197 | 129 | 143 | 228 | 342 | 266 | 400 | 268 | 204 | - | - | - |
| Loan rates as a percentage of market price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oats | 88 | 84 | 78 | 107 | 82 | 60 | 34 | 57 | 71 | 69 | 67 | 65 | 80 | 58 | 53 | 69 | 101 |
| Wheat | 103 | 104 | 97 | 107 | 99 | 89 | 59 | 55 | 75 | 68 | 68 | 75 | 75 | 57 | 60 | 76 | 97 |
| Corn | 100 | 83 | 97 | 114 | 128 | 94 | 70 | 70 | 69 | 68 | 83 | 69 | 84 | 58 | 70 | 78 | 97 |
| Soybeans | 88 | 64 | 86 | 99 | 100 | 81 | 64 | 80 | 78 | 90 | 90 | 78 | 90 | 73 | 68 | 81 | 98 |
| Barley | 95 | 87 | 91 | 105 | 97 | 82 | 51 | 55 | 60 | 63 | 69 | 70 | 76 | 53 | 57 | 66 | 80 |
| Grain sorghum | 60 | 52 | 58 | 70 | 74 | 57 | 41 | 42 | 39 | 38 | 48 | 39 | 47 | 32 | 43 | 45 | 56 |
| Rye | 91 | 104 | 109 | 107 | 110 | 96 | 60 | 68 | 64 | 63 | 61 | 57 | 54 | 56 | - | - | 7 |
| Rice | 97 | 95 | 100 | 123 | 192 | 94 | 97 | 88 | 97 | 86 | 110 | 81 | 96 | 71 | 65 | 67 | 76 |
| Cotton | 96 | 83 | 93 | 102 | 105 | 81 | 93 | 76 | 74 | 87 | 95 | 90 | 69 | 69 | 75 | 80 | 81 |
| Honey | 106 | 114 | 132 | 137 | 125 | 121 | 118 | 113 | 100 | 97 | 98 | 100 | 95 | 73 | - | - | - |
| Wholesale price of raw sugar in New York as a ratio of world price if raw sugar, cif New York | 2.3 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.3 |

Appendix 2
Table A19 Acres of Cropland Idled in the United States, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres idled as a percentage of acres harvested plus acres idled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barley | $-^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0.8 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 9.7 | 13.1 | 14.0 | 25.7 | 28.6 | 32.7 | $33.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Corn (for grain) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.8 | 9.0 | 11.4 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 29.6 | 31.0 | 27.2 | 31.6 | 32.4 |
| Cotton | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.1 | 19.1 | 30.5 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 4.2 |
| Oats | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.9 | 3.9 | 6.9 | 14.7 | 18.4 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 19.3 | 15.4 | 13.1 |
| Rice | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grain sorghum | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.1 | 10.9 | 16.6 | 25.6 | 27.8 | 52.7 | 48.1 | 41.4 | 46.7 | 44.2 |
| Wheat | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10.4 | 23.7 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 25.7 | 20.0 | 14.1 | 16.4 |
| Total acres idled as a percentage of the sum of total harvested acres plus total idled acres | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.1 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 14.7 | 17.8 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 15.8 |

Statistical Tables
Table A19 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres idled as a percentage of acres harvested plus acres idled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barley | 31.9 | 9.8 | 8.5 | 33.4 | 30.2 | 1.0 | 34.1 | 12.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | $\sim^{-1}$ | - | 8.0 | 8.5 | - |  |
| Corn (for grain) | 32.2 | 25.2 | 34.4 | 35.5 | 33.2 | 20.0 | 31.1 | 10.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | - | 7.8 | 3.9 | - | - |
| Cotton | 36.1 | 41.6 | 28.3 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 17.9 | 15.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 1.8 | - | 2.4 | - | - | - |
| Oars | 13.5 | 13.0 | 10.1 | 4.3 | 1.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rice | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Grain sorghum | 45.3 | 32.1 | 40.9 | 39.6 | 38.0 | 23.3 | 38.0 | 15.1 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 3.3 | - | 9.5 | 8.5 | - | - |
| Wheat | 18.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 20.4 | 27.2 | 22.3 | 30.1 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | 14.5 | 11.6 | - | - |
| Total acres idled as a percentage of the sum of total harvested acres plus total acres idled | 17.7 | 11.5 | 14.0 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 10.9 | 17.5 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | - | 5.1 | 3.6 | - | - |

Table A19 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres idled as a percentage of acres harvested plus acres idled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barley | 4.2 | 10.2 | 4.3 | $5: 7$ | 14.9 | 29.2 | 38.1 | 36.1 | 42.7 | 36.8 | 41.2 | 44.0 | 45.2 | 45.3 | 28.7 | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - |
| Corn (for grain) | 2.8 | 38.5 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 17.4 | 30.0 | 28.6 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 14.1 | 11.5 | 19.5 | 8.4 | 15.4 | 5.2 | - | - |
| Cotton | 14.1 | 48.1 | 19.4 | 26.0 | 34.0 | 31.7 | 21.4 | 33.9 | 22.3 | 16.4 | 22.2 | 18.2 | 19.1 | 9.2 | 10.0 | - | - |
| Oats | 1.0 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 8.0 | 15.9 | 17.8 | 17.9 | 20.1 | 28.3 | 31.8 | 36.6 | 33.3 | 41.5 | 32.9 | - | - |
| Rice | 10.9 | 43.9 | 22.2 | 32.5 | 38.9 | 40.7 | 27.5 | 30.9 | 26.2 | 31.9 | 22.3 | 29.8 | 23.2 | 13.9 | 15.1 | - | - |
| Grain sorghum | 4.7 | 36.3 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 17.8 | 33.5 | 39.1 | 33.1 | 38.5 | 33.2 | 26.7 | 35.0 | 31.5 | 33.1 | 16.9 | - | - |
| Wheat | 6.9 | 32.8 | 21.7 | 22.5 | 26.2 | 33.4 | 35.8 | 22.8 | 20.5 | 31.3 | 22.2 | 20.8 | 20.1 | 21.1 | 14.3 | - | - |
| Total acres idled as a percentage of the sum of total harvested acres plus total acres idled | 3.2 | 21.0 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 13.3 | 19.6 | 19.3 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 14.9 | 12.3 | 14.0 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 6.8 | - | - |

Table A20 Direct Government Payments to Farmers by Sales Category in the United States, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage distribution of government payments to farmers by sales category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 617 |
| Under \$20,000 | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 68.9 | 66.6 | 65.1 | 63.9 | 63.8 | 61.7 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15.8 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 18.6 | 18.9 | 19.8 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11.0 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 12.6 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.3 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 6.0 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| \$500,000 and over | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Government payments per farm as a percentage of gross cash farm income per farm by sales category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.8 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 8.8 | 9.8 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.7 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 5.8 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| \$500,000 and over | - | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This sales category is $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$ for $1950-92$.
cThis sales category is $\$ 100,000$ and over for 1960 and 1965 , and $\$ 100,000-\$ 199,999$ for 1970 through 1980.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ This sales category is $\$ 200,000-\$ 499,999$ for 1983 and carlier.

Appendix 2
Table A20 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage distribution of government payments to farmers by sales category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 50.7 | 50.4 | 48.7 | 46.2 | 44.5 | 42.9 | 38.5 | 29.3 | 27.5 | 26.2 | 21.3 | 18.1 | 14.0 | 13.1 | 12.2 | 11.5 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 21.7 | 21.8 | 22.2 | 22.7 | 22.2 | 21.8 | 20.6 | 18.0 | 18.8 | 17.3 | 16.0 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 12.2 | 11.4 | 10.7 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 17.4 | 17.1 | 17.6 | 18.2 | 19.0 | 19.8 | 21.8 | 26.0 | 25.6 | 28.6 | 31.5 | 34.0 | 35.0 | 33.5 | 32.2 | 31.0 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10.3 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 9.4 | 12.8 | 11.9 | 13.0 | 15.3 | 16.8 | 19.8 | 20.9 | 21.9 | 22.8 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - | - | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 12.5 | 13.9 | 15.2 | 16.3 |
| \$500,000 and over | - | - | - | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.7 |
| Government payments per farm as a percentage of gross cash farm income per farm by sales category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 10.1 | 11.7 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.5 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.5 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.4 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.4 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | - | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| \$500,000 and over | - | - | - | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ This sales category is $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$ for $1950-92$.

Th sales category is $\$ 40,00-\$ 99,999$ for $190-92$. 1965 , and $\$ 100,000-\$ 199,999$ for 1970 through 1980 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ This sales category is $\$ 200,000-\$ 499,999$ for 1983 and earlier.
Table A20 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage distribution of government payments to farmers by sales caregory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 11.1 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 2.6 | 9.4 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 14.0 | 15.9 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 9.3 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.2 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 9.1 | 11.1 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 12.4 | 11.1 | 9.6 | 12.8 | 8.0 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 30.5 | 22.0 | 21.7 | 23.9 | 25.5 | 23.7 | 22.8 | 22.4 | 18.9 | 21.0 | 17.9 | 15.9 | 16.9 | 11.6 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 10.6 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 28.8 | 34.4 | 35.5 | 31.8 | 32.1 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 32.2 | 34.7 | 30.2 | 32.3 | 33.3 | 27.7 | 29.2 | 25.7 | 27.4 | 26.5 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 11.1 | 19.0 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 14.9 | 17.2 | 16.8 | 17.8 | 22.9 | 14.5 | 16.3 | 18.9 | 15.6 | 18.9 | 21.1 | 18.6 | 23.7 |
| \$500,000 and over | 8.4 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 15.3 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 21.1 | 16.4 | 21.8 |
| Government payments per farm as a percentage of gross cash farm income per farm by sales category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 9.0 |
| \$20,000-\$49,999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.2 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 15.4 | 14.8 | 12.2 | 10.2 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 11.5 | 10.1 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.2 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 13.0 | 15.5 | 12.8 | 9.8 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 9.7 | 6.0 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 6.1 |
| \$100,000-\$249,999 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.7 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 10.2 | 15.2 | 12.6 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 9.8 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 7.3 |
| \$250,000-\$499,999 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.7 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 11.9 | 9.8 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 7.8 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 7.8 |
| \$500,000 and over | 0.6 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.5 |

[^9]Appendix 2
Table A21 Consumer Expenditures on Food and Per Capita Consumption of Selected Commodities, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal consumer expenditures per capita (\$) | 1,262 | 1,344 | 1,391 | 1,452 | 1,471 | 1,554 | 1,602 | 1,659 | 1,685 | 1,789 | 1,839 | 1,866 |  | 2,024 | 2,146 | 2,287 |
| Spent on food (\%) | 28.1 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 28.1 | 27.9 | 26.6 | 26.4 | 26.3 | 26.4 | 25.4 | 24.8 | 24.5 | 23.7 | 23.0 | 22.7 | 22.7 |
| Consumer expenditures on food per capita (\$) | 354 | 392 | 407 | 408 | 410 | 413 | 423 | 437 | 445 | 454 | 456 | 457 | 462 | 467 | 488 | 518 |
| Spent on food away from home (\%) | 17.4 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 16.3 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 17.9 | 18.7 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 18.9 |
| Disposable income spent on food (\%) | 26.2 | 27.0 | 27.1 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 25.1 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.6 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 22.0 | 21.2 | 20.7 | 20.2 | 20.2 |
| Per capita consumption (retail weight) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bcef (lbs) | 50 | 44 | 49 | 61 | 63 | 64 | 66 | 65 | 62 | 62 | 64 | 66 | 66 | 70 | 74 | 74 |
| Pork (lbs) | 64 | 67 | 67 | 59 | 56 | 52 | 53 | 57 | 56 | 63 | 60 | 58 | 59 | 61 | 61 | 55 |
| Poultry meat (lbs) | 25 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 27 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 34 | 38 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 41 |
| Fish (lbs) | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Eggs (lbs) | 49 | 49 | 49 | 48 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 45 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| All dairy products ( lbs$)^{\text {a }}$ | 624 | 597 | 602 | 628 | 628 | 599 | 609 | 622 | 609 | 605 | 610 | 619 | 625 | 594 | 588 | 596 |
| Fluid milk products (lbs) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 341 | 346 | 348 | 344 | 340 | 344 | 346 | 341 | 336 | 330 | 321 | 314 | 312 | 313 | 293 | 292 |
| Low fat milk products (lbs) | 34 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 37 | 39 |
| Cheese (excluding cottage) (lbs) | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 |  |  | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |  | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| All mfg. dairy products (lbs) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 351 | 321 | 324 | 349 | 345 | 311 | 316 | 330 | 321 | 322 | 328 | 345 | 339 | 307 | 302 | 311 |
| Fresh fruits (lbs) | 128 | 138 | 132 | 129 | 126 | 121 | 119 | 115 | 114 | 114 | 113 | 108 | 102 | 94 | 98 | 100 |
| Fresh vegetables (lbs) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 85 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 83 | 82 | 82 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 81 | 81 |
| Fresh potatoes (lbs) | 89 | 96 | 85 | 90 | 88 | 91 | 85 | 91 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 88 | 84 | 87 | 83 | 78 |
| Coffee (lbs) | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Sugar (lbs) | 101 | 94 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 98 | 95 | 97 | 96 | 98 | 98 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Carbonated soft drinks (gals) | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 19 |

[^10]b Product weight.
cExcludes potatoes
Table A21 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal consumer expenditures per capita (\$) | 2,451 | 2,559 | 2,783 | 2,987 | 3,165 | 3,382 | 3,672 | 4,023 | 4,360 | 4,771 | 5,273 | 5,805 | 6,426 | 7,093 | 7,741 | 8,454 |
| Spent on food (\%) | 22.7 | 22.1 | 21.9 | 21.7 | 22.2 | 21.3 | 20.9 | 21.1 | 21.6 | 21.7 | 21.1 | 20.5 | 20.2 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 19.7 |
| Consumer expenditures on food per capita (\$) <br> Spent on food away | 556 | 566 | 609 | 649 | 701 | 721 | 769 | 848 | 944 | 1,033 | 1,112 | 1,193 | 1,301 | 1,443 | 1,563 | 1,668 |
| from home (\%) | 18.5 | 18.7 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 18.4 | 18.8 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.1 | 20.6 | 21.7 | 22.3 | 23.3 | 23.7 | 23.9 | 25.0 |
| Disposable income spent on food (\%) | 20.3 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.3 | 18.8 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 18.3 | 17.9 | 18.0 | 17.6 | 17.1 |
| Per capita consumption (retail weight) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beef (lbs) | 77 | 79 | 81 | 82 | 84 | 83 | 85 | 81 | 86 | 88 | 94 | 92 | 87 | 78 | 76 | 77 |
| Pork (1bs) | 54 | 60 | 56 | 55 | 55 | 60 | 54 | 49 | 52 | 43 | 45 | 47 | 47 | 53 | 57 | 54 |
| Poultry mear (lbs) | 44 | 46 | 45 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 51 | 48 | 48 | 47 | 51 | 52 | 54 | 58 | 59 | 61 |
| Fish ( lbs ) | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Eggs (lbs) | 40 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 35 | 34 |
| All dairy products (lbs) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 584 | 583 | 563 | 549 | 550 | 537 | 548 | 536 | 531 | 520 | 537 | 542 | 532 | 534 | 550 | 550 |
| Fluid milk products (lbs) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 291 | 283 | 276 | 274 | 275 | 276 | 274 | 269 | 260 | 261 | 260 | 258 | 254 | 251 | 246 | 242 |
| Low fat milk products (lbs) | 34 | 37 | 42 | 47 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 67 | 73 | 78 | 83 | 85 | 88 | 91 | 94 |
| Cheese (excluding cottage) (lbs) | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 |
| All mfg. dairy products (lbs) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 302 | 311 | 295 | 288 | 297 | 288 | 294 | 289 | 295 | 283 | 301 | 309 | 302 | 306 | 327 | 331 |
| Fresh fruits (lbs) | 99 | 100 | 97 | 98 | 97 | 96 | 91 | 92 | 91 | 97 | 97 | 95 | 99 | 96 | 100 | 99 |
| Fresh vegetables ( lbs$)^{\text {c }}$ | 80 | 82 | 83 | 81 | 79 | 79 | 80 | 82 | 83 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 85 |
| Fresh potatoes (lbs) | 83 | 74 | 79 | 77 | 79 | 75 | 77 | 74 | 72 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 73 | 74 | 74 | 71 |
| Coffee (lbs) | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| Sugar (lbs) | 97 | 99 | 99 | 101 | 102 | 102 | 102 | 101 | 96 | 89 | 93 | 94 | 91 | 89 | 84 | 79 |
| Carbonated soft drinks (gals) | 20 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 26 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 31 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 35 |

Table A21 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal consumer expenditures per capita (\$) | 8,955 | 9,758 | 10,571 | 11,375 | 12,031 | 12,789 | 13,699 | 14,541 | 15,329 | 15,719 | 16,484 | 17,261 | 18,098 | 18,890 | 19,730 | 20,613 | 21,617 |
| Spent on food (\%) | 19.4 | 18.5 | 17.9 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 15.9 | 15.7 | 15.4 | 15.2 | 15.0 | 14.8 | 14.6 |
| Consumer expenditures on food per capita (\$) | 1,737 | 1,809 | 1,893 | 1,961 | 2,044 | 2,122 | 2,259 | 2,393 | 2,548 | 2,603 | 2,621 | 2,704 | 2,794 | 2,873 | 2,961 | 3,048 | 3,154 |
| Spent on food away from home (\%) | 25.9 | 26.8 | 27.2 | 27.5 | 28.0 | 28.4 | 28.5 | 28.0 | 28.2 | 27.8 | 28.7 | 29.4 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 29.3 |
| Disposable income spent on food (\%) | 16.8 | 16.4 | 15.5 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 14.7 | 14.8 | 14.7 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 13.6 |
| Per capita consumption (retail weight) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beef (lbs) | 77 | 79 | 78 | 79 | 79 | 74 | 73 | 69 | 68 | 67 | 66 | 65 | 67 | 67 | 68 | 67 | 62 |
| Pork (lbs) | 49 | 51 | 51 | 52 | 49 | 49 | 52 | 52 | 49 | 50 | 53 | 52 | 53 | 52 | 49 | 49 | 52 |
| Poultry meat (lbs) | 62 | 64 | 66 | 68 | 71 | 77 | 70 | 84 | 88 | 91 | 95 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 100 | 101 | 103 |
| Fish (lbs) | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Eggs (lbs) | 34 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| All dairy products (lbs) ${ }^{2}$ | 545 | 565 | 534 | 557 | 565 | 555 | 569 | 557 | 578 | 565 | 556 | 543 | 551 | 559 | 560 | 559 | 571 |
| Fluid milk products (lbs ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 236 | 236 | 238 | 241 | 240 | 238 | 234 | 236 | 233 | 233 | 231 | 226 | 226 | 223 | 224 | 221 | 222 |
| Low fat milk products (lbs) | 94 | 96 | 100 | 112 | 115 | 115 | 117 | 127 | 131 | 134 | 134 | 133 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 134 | 135 |
| Cheese (excluding cottage) (lbs) | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| All mfg. dairy products (lbs) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 332 | 353 | 320 | 339 | 347 | 335 | 347 | 333 | 357 | 348 | 339 | 329 | 342 | 345 | 346 | 347 | 362 |
| Fresh fruits (lbs) | 103 | 105 | 107 | 105 | 112 | 116 | 115 | 117 | 111 | 108 | 118 | 119 | 121 | 119 | 123 | 127 | 127 |
| Fresh vegetables (lbs) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 89 | 87 | 93 | 96 | 94 | 101 | 105 | 109 | 107 | 104 | 109 | 109 | 112 | 112 | 117 | 123 | 123 |
| Fresh potatoes (lbs) | 71 | 74 | 75 | 74 | 77 | 77 | 76 | 79 | 75 | 81 | 79 | 81 | 84 | 83 | 86 | 83 | 83 |
| Coffee (lbs) | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Sugar (lbs) | 74 | 70 | 67 | 63 | 60 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 64 | 65 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 67 | 67 |
| Carbonated soft drinks (gals) | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 42 | 45 | 45 | 46 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 52 | 53 | 53 |

Table A22 Distribution of Total U.S. Population by Age Groups, Disposable Income, Disposable Income Spent on Food, CPI for Food, Real Expenditures on Food,


| Total population including military ( 1,000 ) | 152,271 | 154,878 | 157,553 | 160,184 | 63,026 | 165,931 | 168,903 | 171,984 | 174,882 | 177,830 | 180,671 | 183,691 | 186,538 | 189,242 | 191,889 | 194,303 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual \% change | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Percentage of total population by age group: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 years | 10.8 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 10.2 |
| 5-14 years | 17.5 | 17.6 | 18.3 | 18.9 | 19.3 | 19.7 | 20.1 | 20.5 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 21.3 | 21.6 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.1 |
| 15-19 years | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.6 | ,7.0 |
| 20-24 years | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.1 |
| 25-44 years | 30.0 | 29.8 | 29.5 | 29.2 | 28.8 | 28.4 | 28.1 | 27.6 | 27.1 | 26.5 | 26.1 | 25.6 | 25.2 | 24.8 | 24.5 | 24.1 |
| 45-64 years | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Over 64 years | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.5 |
| Per capita disposable income Current dollars Deflated by CPI | 1,350 | 1,451 | 1,500 | 1,565 | 1,568 | 1,648 | 1,724 | 1,785 | 1,813 | 1,975 | 2,027 | 2,082 | 2,174 | 2,250 | 2,413 | 2,568 |
| ( $1990-92=100$ ) | 5,600 | 5,581 | 5,662 | 5,861 | 5,830 | 6,148 | 6,340 | 6,351 | 6,275 | 6,787 | 6,848 | 6,962 | 7,200 | 7,353 | 7,783 | 8,151 |
| CPI for food ( $1990-92=100$ ) | 25.4 | 28.2 | 28.7 | 28.3 | 28.2 | 27.8 | 28.0 | 28.9 | 30.2 | 29.7 | 30.0 | 30.4 | 30.6 | 31.1 | 31.5 | 32.2 |
| Real per capita expenditures on food ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 212 | 215 | 223 | 231 | 237 | 247 | 255 | 260 | 258 | 272 | 276 | 279 | 285 | 288 | 300 | 314 |
| Percentage of all families <br> with children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 | 50.9 | 52.4 | 52.3 | 52.7 | 53.7 | 54.1 | 54.6 | 55.5 | 55.5 | 55.6 | 56.4 | 55.8 | 55.8 | 56.6 | 56.4 | 55.9 |
| Under 18 and a single parent | t 3.8 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| Percentage of all families with a married couple | 86.5 | 86.7 | 87.6 | 87.6 | 86.8 | 86.7 | 87.0 | 87.2 | 87.2 | 87.2 | 87.0 | 87.0 | 87.0 | 86.9 | 86.8 | 86.8 |
| Percentage of married-couple families with two wage-earners | s 20.3 | 22.9 | 25.6 | 25.2 | 24.7 | 26.3 | 27.1 | 28.1 | 28.5 | 28.6 | 30.3 | 30.6 | 31.8 | 32.4 | 32.8 | 33.7 |
| Percentage of all families below the poverty threshold | - b | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18.4 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 17.2 | 15.9 | 14.9 | 13.9 |

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Data not available.

Appendix 2
Table A22 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population including military $(1,000)$ | 196,560 | 198,752 | 200,745 | 202,736 | 205,052 | 207,667 | 209,896 | 211,909 | 213,854 | 215,973 | 218,035 | 220,239 | 222,585 | 225,055 | 227,726 | 229,966 |
| Annual \% change | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| Percentage of total population by age group: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 years | 9.8 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.3 |
| 5-14 years | 22.2 | 22.3 | 22.2 | 22.1 | 21.9 | 21.5 | 21.1 | 20.6 | 20.1 | 19.7 | 19.3 | 18.8 | 18.2 | 17.6 | 17.1 | 16.6 |
| 15-19 years | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.3 |
| 20-24 years | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 |
| 25-44 years | 23.9 | 23.7 | 23.8 | 23.7 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 24.1 | 24.4 | 24.8 | 25.1 | 25.6 | 26.1 | 26.7 | 27.3 | 27.9 | 28.5 |
| 45-64 years | 20.1 | 20.2 | 20.3 | 20.4 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.0 | 19.9 | 19.7 | 19.5 | 19.4 |
| Over 64 years | 9.5 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 11.4 |
| Per capita disposable income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current dollars <br> Deflated by CPI | 2,743 | 2,899 | 3,119 | 3,329 | 3,592 | 3,861 | 4,138 | 4,620 | 5,014 | 5,470 | 5,962 | 6,520 | 7,255 | 8,034 | 8,869 | 9,775 |
| (1990-92-100) | 8,465 | 8,680 | 8,964 | 9,072 | 9,257 | 9,532 | 9,900 | 10,405 | 10,171 | 10,168 | 10,478 | 10,759 | 11,127 | 11,067 | 10,764 | 10,753 |
| CPI for food (1990-92=100) | 33.8 | 34.1 | 35.3 | 37.1 | 39.2 | 40.4 | 42.1 | 48.2 | 55.1 | 59.8 | 61.6 | 65.5 | 72.0 | 79.9 | 86.8 | 93.6 |
| Real per capita expenditures on food ${ }^{2}$ | 323 | 330 | 346 | 354 | 367 | 371 | 383 | 373 | 366 | 373 | 394 | 401 | 402 | 406 | 410 | 410 |
| Percentage of all families with children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 | 54.9 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.2 | 54.0 | 54.2 | 53.7 | 53.4 | 53.4 | 53.2 | 52.7 | 52.5 | 51.0 | 51.4 | 51.2 |
| Under 18 and a single parent | + 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 10.0 | 10.3 |
| Percentage of all families. with a married couple | 86.5 | 86.4 | 86.3 | 86.1 | 85.7 | 85.8 | 85.2 | 85.0 | 84.5 | 84.1 | 83.8 | 82.8 | 82.5 | 82.5 | 81.7 | 81.3 |
| Percent of married-couple families with two wage-earners | 35.3 | 36.6 | 38.0 | 39.3 | 39.3 | 39.9 | 40.8 | 41.6 | 43.3 | 44.0 | 45.4 | 46.3 | 48.2 | 49.2 | 50.2 | 50.4 |
| Percent of all families <br> below the poverty threshold | 11.8 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 10.3 | 11.2 |

[^11]Table A22 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population including military ( 1,000 ) | 232,188 | 234,307 | 236,348 | 238,466 | 240,651 | 242,804 | 245,021 | 247,342 | 249,948 | 252,639 | 255,374 | 258,083 | 260,599 | 263,044 | 265,463 | 268,008 | 270,561 |
| Annual \% change | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Percentage of total population by age group: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 years | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7.0 |
| 5-14 years | 16.3 | 16.0 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.7 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
| 15-19 years | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| 20-24 years | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| 25-44 years | 29.2 | 29.8 | 30.4 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 31.9 | 32.1 | 32.3 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 32.3 | 32.1 | 31.9 | 31.7 | 31.6 | 31.2 | 30.8 |
| 45-64 years | 19.1 | 19.0 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.9 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 20.2 | 20.7 | 21.2 |
| Over 64 years | 11.5 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.7 |
| Per capita disposable income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curtent dollars <br> Deflated by CPI | 10,366 | 11,037 | 12,218 | 12,943 | 13,557 | 14,248 | 15,315 | 16,238 | 17,178 | 17,712 | 18,618 | 19,123 | 19,821 | 20,615 | 21,388 | 22,323 | 23,234 |
| ( $1990-92=100$ ) | 10,742 | 11,081 | 11,759 | 12,029 | 12,370 | 12,542 | 12,946 | 13,095 | 13,143 | 13,005 | 13,270 | 13,234 | 13,375 | 13,527 | 13,632 | 13,909 | 14,254 |
| CPI for food (1990-92 $=100$ ) | 97.4 | 99.4 | 103.2 | 105.6 | 109.0 | 113.5 | 118.2 | 125.1 | 132.4 | 136.3 | 137.9 | 140.9 | 144.3 | 148.4 | 153.3 | 157.3 | 160.7 |
| Real per capita expenditures on food ${ }^{3}$ | 414 | 426 | 434 | 443 | 451 | 454 | 468 | 473 | 481 | 482 | 485 | 495 | 505 | 509 | 513 | 519 | 531 |
| Percentage of all families with children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 | 50.5 | 49.7 | 49.5 | 49.0 | 49.1 | 48.9 | 48.5 | 48.9 | 48.7 | 48.2 | 48.0 | 48.5 | 49.1 | 49.3 | 48.7 | 48.9 | 49.0 |
| Under 18 and a single parent | + 10.7 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 13.5 |
| Percentage of all families with a married couple | 81.3 | 80.8 | 80.3 | 80.1 | 79.9 | 79.3 | 79.1 | 79.2 | 78.6 | 78.1 | 77.8 | 77.6 | 77.7 | 77.0 | 76.3 | 76.6 | 76.6 |
| Percentage of married-couple families with two wage-earners | 51.1 | 52.3 | 53.5 | 54.0 | 55.3 | 56.1 | 57.0 | 57.7 | 58.1 | 58.9 | 59.1 | 60.5 | 61.1 | 61.0 | 62.0 | 61.7 | 61.7 |
| Percentage of all families below the poverty threshold | 12.2 | 12.3 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 10.3 |

Appendix 2
Table A23 Farmers' Share of Retail Food Dollar and Components of the Food Marketing Bill, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farmers' share of retail value (\%) of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market basket of food products | 47 | 49 | 47 | 44 | 43 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 38 |
| Meat products | 65 | 66 | 62 | 59 | 59 | 53 | 51 | 53 | 57 | 53 | 57 | 55 | 58 | 54 | 53 | 59 |
| Beef | 74 | 77 | 74 | 66 | 68 | 66 | 65 | 65 | 67 | 66 | 66 | 63 | 69 | 63 | 61 | 66 |
| Pork | 64 | 63 | 60 | 67 | 65 | 54 | 52 | 55 | 58 | 46 | 55 | 56 | 55 | 53 | 52 | 62 |
| Poultry products | 67 | 67 | 68 | 65 | 61 | 65 | 58 | 57 | 57 | 55 | 59 | 54 | 56 | 55 | 54 | 57 |
| Eggs | 67 | 71 | 68 | 70 | 64 | 68 | 67 | 65 | 66 | 60 | 65 | 63 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 62 |
| Fats and oils | 38 | 42 | 34 | 38 | 39 | 33 | 36 | 34 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 33 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 31 |
| Fresh fruit | 40 | 38 | 45 | 43 | 40 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 36 | 34 | 31 |
| Fresh vegetables | 37 | 40 | 44 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 30 | 32 | 30 | 33 | 35 |
| Processed fruits and vegetables | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 21 |
| Bakery products | 26 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Components of food marketing bill as a percentage of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor | 46.9 | 45.3 | 45.2 | 46.3 | 47.4 | 45.6 | 44.9 | 44.3 | 43.3 | 42.2 | 42.3 | 41.9 | 42.0 | 41.5 | 41.2 | 43.1 |
| Fuel and electricity | - $^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Transportation | 10.4 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 7.8 |
| Profits before taxes | 6.2 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| Packaging | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table A23 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farmers' share of retail value (\%) of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market basket of food products | 39 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 39 | 44 | 41 | 40 | 38 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 35 |
| Meat products | 58 | 56 | 54 | 56 | 53 | 52 | 56 | 60 | 54 | 57 | 51 | 53 | 54 | 52 | 51 | 49. |
| Beef | 64 | 65 | 66 | 65 | 63 | 65 | 64 | 66 | 62 | 64 | 57 | 58 | 61 | 62 | 61 | 58 |
| Pork | 60 | 55 | 53 | 58 | 51 | 46 | 56 | 62 | 53 | 59 | 53 | 52 | 53 | 46 | 45 | 46 |
| Poultry products | 53 | 49 | 57 | 51 | 46 | 47 | 49 | 59 | 56 | 59 | 55 | 51 | 58 | 55 | 55 | 52 |
| Eggs | 66 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 63 | 57 | 57 | 70 | 68 | 66 | 68 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 64 | 66 |
| Fats and oils | 32 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 30 | 32 | 27 | 38 | 47 | 34 | 32 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 29 | 27 |
| Fresh fruit | 32 | 32 | 35 | 31 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 29 | 32 | 29 | 26 | 26 |
| Fresh vegetables | 34 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 33 | 32 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 33 | 33 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 31 |
| Processed fruits and vegetables | 20 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Bakery products | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 22 | 25 | 19 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 |
| Components of food marketing bill as a percentage of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor | 43.1 | 41.5 | 42.5 | 44.5 | 42.9 | 43.9 | 44.4 | 45.6 | 45.1 | 43.4 | 43.0 | 43.9 | 44.9 | 45.2 | 44.6 | 44.2 |
| Fuel and electricity | $\sim^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Transportation | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.9 |
| Profits before taxes | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 4.7 |
| Packaging | - | 11.7 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 11.0 |

Table A23 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farmers' share of retail value (\%) of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market basket of food products | 34 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 22 |
| Meat products | 50 | 48 | 49 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 45 | 45 | 46 | 42 | 41 | 40 | 36 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 30 |
| Beef | 58 | 57 | 58 | 55 | 54 | 57 | 59 | 59 | 60 | 56 | 57 | 56 | 51 | 49 | 48 | 49 | 47 |
| Pork | 50 | 45 | 48 | 44 | 46 | 44 | 38 | 38 | 41 | 37 | 34 | 37 | 32 | 34 | 43 | 39 | 25 |
| Poultry products | 51 | 53 | 56 | 53 | 54 | 45 | 49 | 48 | 44 | 42 | 42 | 44 | 43 | 42 | 44 | 41 | 43 |
| Eggs | 63 | 65 | 65 | 61 | 61 | 54 | 53 | 58 | 56 | 54 | 46 | 49 | 47 | 49 | 52 | 46 | 42 |
| Fats and oils | 22 | 27 | 31 | 26 | 19 | 19 | 25 | 21 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 22 |
| Fresh fruit | 27 | 23 | 28 | 30 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 23 | 28 | 20 | 22 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 17 |
| Fresh vegetables | 29 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 24 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 20 | 21 | 20 |
| Processed fruits and vegetables | 20 | 19 | 20 | 26 | 23 | 24 | 28 | 25 | 26 | 22 | 23 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 |
| Bakery products | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Components of food marketing bill as a percent of total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor | 44.4 | 44.6 | 45.1 | 44.6 | 45.4 | 45.6 | 45.7 | 46.0 | 44.8 | 44.3 | 45.6 | 46.9 | 46.2 | 47.3 | 48.2 | 48.8 | 48.9 |
| Fuel and electricity | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.4 |
| Transportation | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.2 |
| Profits before taxes | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Packaging | 10.9 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 11.6 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 10.8 |

Statistical Tables
Table A24 Agricultural Cooperatives, Cooperative Membership, and Cooperative Share of Business, 1950-98

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cooperatives per 1,000 farms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing cooperatives | 1.23 | 1.20 | 1.27 | 1.30 | 1.34 | 1.36 | 1.39 | 1.44 | 1.45 | 1.47 | 1.47 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 1.54 | 1.57 | 1.58 |
| Farm supply cooperatives | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.70 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.92 |
| Cooperative membership per farm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing cooperatives | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.13 |
| Farm supply cooperatives | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.71 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.97 |
| Volume of cooperative business as a percentage of cash receipts from farm marketings or of purchased inputs ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing coops | 22.1 | 19.4 | 22.7 | 23.8 | 24.6 | 25.2 | 24.7 | 26.9 | 24.9 | 27.1 | 27.2 | 27.4 | 27.9 | 28.9 19.4 | 30.0 |  |
| Supply coops | 18.3 | 16.3 | 18.7 | 22.1 | 20.8 | 21.2 | 21.1 | 21.2 | 19.2 | 19.9 | 20.9 | 20.2 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 21.2 | 20.4 |

Appendix 2
Table A24 (Continued)

|  | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cooperatives per 1,000 farms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing cooperatives | 1.59 | 1.61 | 1.61 | 1.59 | 1.64 | 1.76 | 1.70 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.89 | 1.87 | 1.63 | 1.61 | 1.57 | 1.56 | 1.53 |
| Farm supply cooperatives | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.08 | 1.09 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.03 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Cooperative membership per farm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing cooperatives | 1.12 | 1.04 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.07 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.11 | 1.24 | 1.13 | 1.08 | 1.07 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.00 |
| Farm supply cooperatives | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 1.06 | 1.09 | 1.04 | 1.05 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.18 | 1.22 | 1.25 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.15 | 1.17 |
| Volume of cooperative business as a percentage of cash receipts from farm marketings or of purchased inputs ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing coops | 28.1 | 30.1 | 29.9 | 27.9 | 29.3 | 30.0 | 26.9 | 22.5 | 29.2 | 35.9 | 31.2 | 33.4 | 31.4 | 31.7 | 35.0 | 37.6 |
| Supply coops | 19.1 | 19.8 | 21.1 | 19.8 | 14.7 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 19.1 | 23.4 | 26.0 | 25.4 | 27.3 | 24.3 | 24.9 | 27.3 | 28.9 |

Table A24 (Continued)

|  | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cooperatives per 1,000 farms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing cooperatives | 1.54 | 1.53 | 1.51 | 1.50 | 1.45 | 1.38 | 1.36 | 1.17 | 1.17 | 1.13 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.86 |
| Farm supply cooperatives | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.77 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.62 |
| Cooperative membership per farm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing cooperatives | 1.02 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.68 | 0.67 |
| Farm supply cooperatives | 1.11 | 1.07 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.71 | 0.68 |
| Volume of cooperative business as a percentage of cash receipts from farm markerings or of purchased inpurs ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing coops | 36.1 | 36.1 | 38.2 | 32.8 | 30.7 | 31.2 | 32.5 | 33.0 | 34.1 | 33.5 | 34.0 | 34.3 | 36.2 | 36.9 | 39.9 | 37.4 | 37.8 |
| Supply coops | 29.6 | 29.6 | 30.2 | 32.7 | 30.0 | 27.3 | 26.5 | 28.0 | 26.8 | 28.0 | 29.0 | 28.7 | 30.4 | 30.0 | 31.9 | 32.2 | 31.0 |

[^12]
## Appendix 2

Table A25 Economic Activity in the U.S. Food-Processing Industries, 1954-92

|  | 1954 | 1958 | 1963 | 1967 | 1972 | 1977 | 1982 | 1987 | 1992 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food and kindred products industry (SIC 20) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishments (thou) | 36.8 | 42.4 | 41.6 | 37.5 | 28.2 | 26.7 | 22.1 | 20.6 | 20.8 |
| Workers (thou) | 1,138 | 1,138 | 1,098 | 1,122 | 1,085 | 1,072 | 1,121 | 1,030 | 1,100 |
| Workers/establishment | 31 | 27 | 26 | 30 | 39 | 40 | 51 | 50 | 53 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | 18.9 | 18.0 | 16.2 | 15.1 | 13.2 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 9.4 |
| Profits as \% of sales ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.5 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| Real value added/estab (\$thou) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,838 | 1,943 | 2,327 | 2,883 | 4,103 | 4,710 | 5,605 | 7,072 | 7,298 |
| Real value added/worker (\$thou) | 59 | 72 | 88 | 96 | 107 | 117 | 111 | 142 | 138 |
| Real value added per capita (\$) | 415 | 471 | 512 | 544 | 551 | 570 | 534 | 601 | 594 |
| Meat industry (SIC 201) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishments (thou) | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Workers (thou) | 243 | 243 | 239 | 249 | 251 | 254 | 371 | 284 | 342 |
| Workers/establishment | 49 | 44 | 45 | 51 | 56 | 56 | 89 | 87 | 106 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 2.9 |
| Profits as \% of sales ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Real value added/estab (\$thou) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,952 | 2,124 | 2,413 | 2,936 | 3,630 | 3,694 | 4,495 | 5,025 | 5,664 |
| Real value added/worker (\$thou) | 40 | 48 | 54 | 58 | 64 | 66 | 50 | 58 | 54 |
| Real value added per capita (\$) | 60 | 67 | 68 | 73 | 77 | 76 | 80 | 68 | 72 |
| Value added as \% of value added in all food manufacturing | 14.4 | 14.3 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 13.3 | 15.1 | 11.3 | 12.1 |
| Dairy industry (SIC 202) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishments (thou) | 11.5 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| Workers (thou) | 153 | 142 | 117 | 107 | 93 | 85 | 83 | 88 | 86 |
| Workers/establishment | 13 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 30 | 37 | 43 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Profits as \% of sales ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 1.8 |
| Real value added/estab (\$thou) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 990 | 1,363 | 1,792 | 2,276 | 2,868 | 3,391 | 4,316 | 6,014 | 7,680 |
| Real value added/worker (\$thou) | 74 | 95 | 121 | 131 | 142 | 149 | 142 | 162 | 180 |
| Real value added per capita (\$) | 70 | 77 | 75 | 71 | 63 | 57 | 51 | 59 | 61 |
| Value added as \% of value added in all food manufacturing | 16.8 | 16.4 | 14.6 | 13.0 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 10.2 |
| Preserved fruits and vegetables (SIC 203) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishments (thou) | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Workers (thou) | 175 | 193 | 212 | 227 | 199 | 199 | 150 | 173 | 176 |
| Workers/establishment | 50 | 52 | 54 | 64 | 78 | 84 | 88 | 90 | 86 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Profits as \% of sales ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.0 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 5.7 |
| Real value added/estab (\$thou) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,869 | 2,411 | 3,106 | 4,133 | 5,732 | 7,234 | 8,468 | 10,794 | 10,405 |
| Real value added/worker (\$thou) | 37 | 46 | 58 | 64 | 74 | 87 | 96 | 119 | 121 |
| Real value added per capita (\$) | 40 | 51 | 65 | 73 | 70 | 78 | 62 | 85 | 83 |
| Value added as \% of value added in all food manufacturing | 9.7 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 13.5 | 12.7 | 13.7 | 11.6 | 14.1 | 14.0 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{SIC}=$ standard industrial code as used by the Bureau of the Census.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Profits as a percentage of sales based on data from U.S. Department of Treasury, Statistics of "Income, Corporation Income Tax Returns." Internal Revenue Service.
c"Real" value added refers to value added by manufacture deflated by the CPI $(1990-92=100)$.

## Statistical Tables

Table A25 (Continued)

|  | 1954 | 1958 | 1963 | 1967 | 1972 | 1977 | 1982 | 1987 | 1992 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bakery industry (SIC 205) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Establishments (thou) | 6.4 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.2 |
| Workers (thou) | 179 | 180 | 164 | 160 | 143 | 131 | 124 | 128 | 134 |
| Workers/establishment | 28 | 28 | 31 | 36 | 39 | 39 | 47 | 45 | 42 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Profits as \% of sales | 4.6 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| Real value added/estab (\$thou) | 1,555 | 1,958 | 2,506 | 3,235 | 4,055 | 4,679 | 5,625 | 6,394 | 5,506 |
| Real value added/worker (\$thou) | 56 | 69 | 82 | 89 | 103 | 121 | 121 | 142 | 130 |
| Real value added per capita (\$) | 61 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 70 | 72 | 65 | 75 | 68 |
| Value added as \% of value added in <br> all food manufacturing | 14.8 | 15.0 | 13.9 | 13.1 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 11.4 |


| Sugar and confectionery products industry (SIC 206) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Establishments (thou) | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Workers (thou) | 91 | 88 | 89 | 94 | 87 | 84 | 77 | 72 | 72 |
| Workers/establishment | 56 | 55 | 63 | 66 | 69 | 70 | 75 | 66 | 63 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Profits as \% of sales ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11.5 | 13.0 | 14.8 | 13.0 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 5.8 |
| Real value added/estab (\$thou) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2,627 | 3,213 | 4,809 | 5,429 | 6,428 | 7,760 | 8,488 | 9,750 | 9,376 |
| Real value added/worker (\$hou) | 47 | 58 | 77 | 82 | 92 | 111 | 114 | 147 | 148 |
| Real value added per capita (\$) <br> Value added as \% of value added in all food manufacturing | 26 | 29 | 36 | 39 | 38 | 42 | 38 | 44 | 41 |
|  | 6.3 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| Fars and oils industry (SIC 207) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Establishments (thou) | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Workers (thou) | - | 31 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 27 | 20 | 21 |
| Workers/establishment | - | 34 | 30 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 38 | 34 | 39 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Profits as \% of sales ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 6.3 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Real value added/estab (\$thou) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | 2,996 | 3,133 | 4,240 | 4,872 | 4,910 | 5,411 | 6,518 | 6,781 |
| Real value added/worker (\$thou) | - | 89 | 105 | 120 | 143 | 144 | 144 | 190 | 175 |
| Real value added per capira (\$) | - | 16 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 14 |
| Value added as \% of value added in all food manufacturing | - | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| Beverages industry (SIC 208) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishments (thou) | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| Workers (thou) | 119 | 115 | 109 | 114 | 107 | 100 | 95 | 77 | 75 |
| Workers/establishment | 22 | 21 | 22 | 26 | 29 | 32 | 37 | 35 | 36 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Profirs as \% of sales ${ }^{2}$ | 7.2 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 6.7 |
| Real value added/estab (\$thou) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2,097 | 2,396 | 3,293 | 4,448 | 5,993 | 7,144 | 9,081 | 12,248 | 13,611 |
| Real value added/worker (\$thou) | 95 | 116 | 152 | 171 | 203 | 223 | 246 | 351 | 377 |
| Real value added per capita (\$) | 69 | 76 | 87 | 98 | 103 | 101 | 101 | 112 | 110 |
| Value added as $\%$ of value added in all food manufacturing | 16.7 | 16.2 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 18.8 | 17.7 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 18.5 |

${ }^{2}$ SIC $=$ standard industrial code as used by the Bureau of the Census.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Profits as a percent of sales based on data from U.S. Department of Treasury, Statistics of "Income, Corporation Income
Tax Returns." Internal Revenue Service.
c"Real" value added refers to value added by manufacture deflated by the CPI (1990-92=100).
${ }^{~ d}$ Data not available.
"Data for this industry is not available from the Internal Revenue Service. This ratio is for IRS's "other food and kindred products" classification.

## Appendix 2

Table A26 Economic Activity in U.S. Farm Machinery and Agricultural Chemical Industries, 1954-92

|  | 1954 | 1958 | 1963 | 1967 | 1972 | 1977 | 1982 | 1987 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Farm machinery and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| equipment (SIC 3523) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ SIC $=$ standard industrial code as used by the Bureau of the Census.
c "Real" value added refers to value added by manufacture or retail sales deflated by the CPI ( $1990-92=100$ ).
${ }^{b}$ Profits as a percentage of sales based on data from U.S. Department of Treasury, "Statistics of Income,
Corporation Income Tax Returns." Internal Revenue Service.

## Statistical Tables

Table A27 Economic Activity in U.S. Wholesale Groceries and Related Products, Retail Food Stores, and Eating and Drinking Places, 1954-92

|  | 1954 | 1958 | 1963 | 1967 | 1972 | 1977 | 1982 | 1987 | 1992 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wholesale groceries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establsihments (thou) | 36.3 | 42.8 | 41.9 | 40.1 | 38.5 | 38.0 | 38.5 | 42.1 | 42.9 |
| Population/establishment | 4,486 | 4,085 | 4,518 | 4,962 | 5,447 | 5,802 | 6,028 | 5,771 | 5,957 |
| Real sales/establishment (\$thou) ${ }^{2}$ | 6,044 | 5,401 | 6,236 | 7,553 | 8,970 | 10,791 | 10,541 | 10,818 | 11,386 |
| Real sales/worker (\$thou) | 508 | 481 | 519 | 606 | 596 | 681 | 603 | 597 | 601 |
| Real sales/population(\$) | 1,347 | 1,322 | 1,380 | 1,522 | 1,647 | 1,860 | 1,749 | 1,875 | 1,911 |
| Profits as \% of sales ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Workers/establishment | 11.9 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 15.0 | 15.9 | 17.5 | 18.1 | 18.9 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Retail food stores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishments (thou) | 384.6 | 355.5 | 319.4 | 294.2 | 267.4 | 252.0 | 189.5 | 190.7 | 180.6 |
| Population/establishment | 424 | 492 | 592 | 675 | 785 | 874 | 1,225 | 1,273 | 1,414 |
| Real sales/establishment (\$thou) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 522 | 648 | 793 | 970 | 1,223 | 1,404 | 1,785 | 1,891 | 1,978 |
| Real sales/worker (\$thou) | 196 | 195 | 199 | 198 | 190 | 181 | 144 | 126 | 120 |
| Real sales/population(\$) | 1,231 | 1,317 | 1,338 | 1,436 | 1,558 | 1,606 | 1,457 | 1,485 | 1,399 |
| Profits as \% of sales ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Workers/establishment | 2.7 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 12.4 | 15.0 | 16.4 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Eating and drinking places |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishments (thou) | 169.9 | 229.8 | 223.9 | 236.6 | 253.1 | 274.3 | 284.1 | 332.6 | 433.6 |
| Population/establishment | 960 | 761 | 845 | 840 | 829 | 803 | 817 | 730 | 589 |
| Real sales/establishment (\$thou) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 240 | 226 | 276 | 324 | 390 | 454 | 461 | 500 | 436 |
| Real sales/worker (\$rhou) | 41 | 39 | 41 | 44 | 43 | 36 | 30 | 29 | 29 |
| Real sales/population(\$) | 251 | 296 | 326 | 386 | 470 | 565 | 564 | 685 | 740 |
| Profits as \% of sales ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Workers/establishment | 5.9 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 12.5 | 15.3 | 17.4 | 15.1 |
| Workers as \% of U.S. employment | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| Sales in eating places and drinking <br> places as a percent of total retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| food sales | 16.9 | 18.4 | 19.6 | 21.2 | 23.2 | 26.0 | 27.9 | 31.6 | 34.6 |

a "Real" sales refers to retail sales deflated by the CPI (1990-92=100).
${ }^{b}$ Profits as a percent of sales based on data from U.S. Department of Treasury, "Statistics of Income, Corporation Income Tax Returns." Internal Revenue Service.
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[^0]:    Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Agriculture.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Beginning in 1993, aggregate off-farm income is estimated as the income from off-farm sources per farm operator household times the number of farm households.
    Beginning in 1983, off-farm income by farm size was estimated by the author on the basis of the distribution of off-farm income by farm size in previous years.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Net farm income plus off-farm income less the value of commodities produced and consumed on the farm, rental value of farm dwellings, and net change in farm inventories. c Total income of farm families includes all money and nonmoney income.
    d Net cash income includes net farm income, off-farm income, governmen
    dNet cash income includes net farm income, off-farm income, government payments, and farm related cash income. Prior to 1977 this series was estimated as gross cash income less total
    "production" expenses. Beginning in 1977 it is gross cash income less total "cash" expenses.
    eSales category of $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$ for $1950-92$. eSales category of $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$ for $1950-92$.
    ${ }^{\text {f Sales category of } \$ 40,000-\$ 99,999 \text { for 1950-92. }}$
    BSales caregory of $\$ 100,000$ and over in 1960 and 1965, and $\$ 100,000-\$ 199,999$ for 1970 through 1980. h Sales category of $\$ 200,000-\$ 499,999$ for 1983 and earlier.
    iData not available.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cash rent for farms is available only for 1950-94. Here cash rent for farms in lowa was estimated for 1995-98 based on the 1967-94 relationship berween cash rent
    for cropland in Iowa and cash rent for farms in Iowa.

[^3]:    a Total expenditure on pesticides deflated by the index of prices paid by farmers for all inputs ( $1990-92=100$ ).
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Estimated for census years from census of agriculture, and interpolated for intercensus years.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ Cash receipts from farm marketings deflated by CPI ( $1990-92=100$ ).

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Complementary products consist primarily of rubber, coffee, raw silk, cacao beans, wool for carpets, bananas, tea, spices, and vegetable fibers.

[^6]:    a U.S. exports includes shipments to U.S. territories.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Data not available.

[^7]:    a U.S. exports includes shipments to U.S. territories.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Data not available.

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Not applicable

[^9]:    ${ }^{2}$ This sales category is $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$ for $1950-92$.
    CThis sales category is $\$ 40,000-\$ 99,999$ for $1950-92$. 1965 , and $\$ 100,000-\$ 199,999$ for 1970 through 1980. d This sales category is $\$ 200,000-\$ 499,999$ for 1983 and earlier.

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ Farm level fluid milk equivalent.

[^11]:    a Personal consumption expenditures for food deflated by CPI for food (1990-92=100)
    b Data not available.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ All purchased inputs excepr labor, contract machine hire, and machinery and building repairs.

