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## Foreword by Leonard Levin

If ever there was a match made in heaven, it's the marriage of baseball and statistics and, as in all happy marriages, each partner contributes its most valuable assets:

- Baseball, its sheer visual beauty, joy of competition, the thrill of bat meeting ball, ball meeting glove, pregnant pauses that culminate in seconds of excitement and agony. As the eminent philosopher-baseball fan Morris R. Cohen put it, an "extraordinarily rich multiplicity of movements."
- Statistics and their pliability as an analytical tool. Through the manipulation of them, we can tell who are the premier performers in this game that so enchants us with its speed, powerful grace and symmetry.

Like some marriages, this is a May-December affair. Baseball's pedigree goes back to prehistory. Adults and children probably have been whacking round objects with sticks since they could stand erect. But compared to the game itself, the science of wielding statistics to measure the achievements of baseball players is a relative newborn.

Back when organized baseball itself was an infant, the statistics were confined largely to scores of games and the league standings. Henry Chadwick, the pioneer twentieth-century sportswriter and Hall of Fame member, could write a five-hundred-word newspaper article about a Brooklyn Atlantics game without using any numbers other than the final score and the approximate attendance. A.G. Spalding's baseball guides, ghostwritten by Chadwick, usually disposed of the National League's batting and fielding averages quickly - seven pages of the 162page Spalding Guide for 1886 were considered sufficient to summarize the previous season's individual statistics.

In those simpler pencil-and-paper days, and into the first half of the twentieth century, record-keeping in baseball was hit-or-miss, as any researcher of the game in the pre-1920s era will tell you. It was a simple matter of what was considered important. In the deadball era, for example, runs didn't come in great batches aided by fence-clearing blasts. They had to be "manufactured," from such things as singles and stolen bases and errors and sacrifice hits. So in the assessment of a player's ability, his runs scored was as much valued as the achievement of the player who drove him home. (So highly rated was the achievement of the player who scored the run that runs-batted-in statistics weren't kept until 1920; those you see today for periods before that were compiled retroactively.)

Then came Babe Ruth and the live-ball era with its emphasis on big numbers: hits, home runs, RBIs. Gradually the ability of statistics to determine the best performers at our national game came to be recognized.

And later came the computer. Now, almost four decades into that revolution, statistics mavens crunch numbers with the vigor of rookies in spring training. Like home-run power, the computer's calculating power transformed all parts of our lives in the second half of the twentieth century, including a facet that's probably important to you if you're reading this book: the way we judge the performance of baseball players.

Of all sports, baseball is the easiest to quantify. You can learn more about last night's baseball game by looking at a box score than you can learn about last Sunday's football game by studying the newspaper summary. The subtleties of the batter against pitcher, fielder against batter,
batter against ballpark, have the uncanny ability to expose themselves to your view in that collection of numbers squeezed into a daily sports page column. And over the long haul, the computer can translate that diamond action into numbers that can be manipulated, placed side by side, examined in almost limitless contexts, all with the aim of determining who are the best batters, pitchers, fielders, who are the most valuable players of today, of yesterday, of all time.

But the road to baseball Valhalla can be filled with potholes. Computers speak in many languages that don't always agree on how we should judge which players and which teams are the best. Computer professionals and amateurs who love the diamond game have taken the statistics beyond batting, pitching, and fielding averages and have developed countless formulas by which to judge the accomplishments of baseball players.

Many members of the Society for American Baseball Research, known popularly as sabermetricians, specialize in statistical analysis. Their work fills bookstore sports shelves, as well as the SABR Research Library.

As custodian of the Research Library, I have in my files articles analyzing player and team performance from just about every angle possible: "A New, Normalized Measure of Offensive Production: The Offensive Quotient (OQ)"; "How to Design a Maximum-Runs Batting Order Using Markov Chain Models"; "Park-Adjusted Batting Statistics Made Simple"; "Apples and Apples: Comparing Players with Their Contemporaries"; "The Effect of Relief Pitchers on Aggregate Batting Averages, 1901-1984." Apparently, no part of baseball is so esoteric that it can't be reduced to a mathematical formula.

Don't presume that the crunching of baseball numbers is entirely an avocation of amateurs. Organized baseball, after the strike of 1981, adopted a formula to evaluate players for purposes of free-agent compensation. Known as the "Grebey procedure," after Ray Grebey (then the club owners' contract negotiator), the formula was based on various combinations of players' on-field statistics, among them plate appearances, batting averages, home runs, on-base percentages, runs batted in, fielding percentages, fielding chances, pitching victories, saves, pitchers' strikeouts, and earned run averages. Results were used to label potential free agents as Grade A and Grade B, in order to determine how many players and/or draft choices a team losing a free agent should get in return.

Is the Grebey formula valid in ranking players? Most sabermetricians doubt it. Then how can we determine who are the best over the years? With this book in your hands, you're on the road to answering that question.

You don't have to have a Cal Tech Ph.D. to know that, at bottom, a statistical analysis is valid only if its terms, or criteria, are valid. Wrong data, wrong conclusions. And of the Babel of criteria competing to be recognized as the true source of baseball wisdom, many can be dismissed as arcane, too labored, too contorted, too complicated, too far out of the mainstream, too much a product of feverish imagination.

Robert E. Kelly's analysis falls into none of those benighted categories. He has chosen exactly the correct criteria, set them in the correct framework, manipulated them with the skill of a bat-control artist, extrapolated to exactly the right degree, and come up with a set of rankings of offensive production that should have sabermetricians around the nation scratching their heads and asking themselves, "Why didn't I think of that?"

To find out why Bob Kelly did think of that, be sure to read the Introduction to this book.
If you're familiar with two previous books by Kelly, Baseball's Best and Baseball for the Hot Stove League (McFarland \& Company, Inc.) you've sampled the technique he brings to full flower in this volume. Simply stated (Bob does it in the Introduction at greater length, in more depth, and with grace and clarity), this book compares players against each other, by position (first basemen, catcher, infielder, outfielder) within two-decade eras; a player's offensive statistics are measured and rated against the norm for the position during the era.

No big deal, you say; it's been done before. It may have, but I've never seen it done on such a scale, nor have I ever seen the statistics refined as Bob has done it. For starters, he's stripped home run statistics from both runs scored and runs batted in. Letting HRs stand on their own removes the undue triple emphasis they get in conventional batting statistics (on their own and as part of both runs scored and runs batted in), a practice that skews individual batting statistics and penalizes a player like Hall-of-Famer Charlie Gehringer, who scored a lot of runs and drove in a lot but never hit more than 20 home runs in a single major-league season. (In the Tigers' pennant-winning year of 1934, he had 214 hits, scored 134 runs, and drove in 127, all with only 11 home runs.) Can you doubt that this alone - letting home runs stand on their own - provides a truer picture of a batter's contributions to team offense?

Beyond that, Bob has created a logical statistic similar to the batting average that measures production. It is the product of home runs, runs scored, and runs batted in (the latter two figures minus the already-counted home runs) divided by times at bat. Bob calls it production per at bat (PAB). I call it a stroke of genius. Why hasn't it been thought of before?

PAB is a springboard for comparing batters' production within eras, and rating them as Most Valuable Producers and Most Talented Producers. Starting with the Introduction, you'll learn about Bob's technique - it's not complicated - as you read along.

A note about the two-decade era: This allows a true evaluation of players whose careers overlapped the tidy eras baseball historians usually carve out in their studies of the game's past.

Every fielder who was reasonably active and efficient during the years that Kelly examined appears somewhere within the covers of this volume, rated, discussed and compared with his peers.

For pure statistical history, shelves of bookstores are replete with encyclopedias and similar works. Do not place Kelly's book into that classification. To be sure, statistical displays are omnipresent, but only because that is the necessary language of player analysis. Kelly's work brings life to the dry statistical histories of players. Charts themselves are unique and informative in form. Accompanying essays about each player, some wide-ranging and analytical, others straight and simple, make this a readable book as well as an indispensable research tool.

This book has one more feature seldom, if ever, seen in a volume of this type. It examines the fabric of which baseball, so historians tell us, is so uniquely a part: The World Beyond the Ballpark, as Bob Kelly puts it. If baseball has been, and continues to be, part of the framework of America - and in the twenty-first century probably the globe - what more logical step in a book about baseball than to sketch that framework? Such cataclysmic events as World War I (1917-18) and the construction of the Panama Canal (1904-14) affected all of the nation's pursuits, including baseball. Kelly's short historical background will be a subtle reminder to you that baseball is indeed a part of life - that life indeed is larger than baseball.

More than seventy years ago, philosopher-baseball fan Cohen suggested that international rivalries could be defused and solved if baseball pennant races were substituted for wars. Mr. Cohen left one question unanswered: What would we do in the off-season? Perhaps Bob Kelly has provided the solution. Read on.
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## Introduction

Many readers whiz through the Introduction on their impatient way to page one of the main body of work. They will be cheated if they do so with this volume. It contains much information, some of it in unique form, and it uses unique analytical methods that ought to be reviewed. Tips and explanations contained in this section will assist those who seek to turn these pages productively and enjoyably.

## The Purpose of the Book

The baseball encyclopedia that provided historical data for this survey weighs nine pounds and boasts 2781 pages, an awesome work. It sits on the desks of fans and professionals who finger through it timidly, carefully, lovingly, searching for little-known facts that win arguments, round out a sportswriter's column, or complete an author's sentence.

Useful though it is, however, many wish that within its fatness there existed a section that summarized the contents, made it clearer who was important and who wasn't, and provided a key to the relative greatness of those whose careers are enumerated on its endless pages.

It is the purpose of this book to respond to that sense of frustration - to provide partial answers to those who want to know who were the best players, and who did they compete against. All of this is presented in some format that truly informs the reader.

Each chapter contains four summaries for each fielding position (first basemen, catchers, infielders and outfielders).

- Player records for the period separated as follows.
- The best production year of each player.
- The most talented producers (MTP).
- The most valuable producers (MVP).

And in the final remarks an All-Star team for the era will be presented for consideration that is mostly based on the analysis, but is conditioned by the author's knowledge that pure numbers sometimes obscure the truth.

## Scope

The deadball era is the essential area of interest of this survey. Investigation of it was limited to the period 1901-1919, which captures the beginnings of the American League (1901) and the initial decades of competition between the two leagues that has continued until this day.

This book is offense oriented. Pitching, pitchers and fielding are mentioned only tangentially.

## Time Period

For the purposes of this analysis, a period of two decades (actually 19 years, from the rise of a second major league through the end of the deadball era) was selected as the most appropriate analytical time span. Why this span? Common sense, mostly.

A decade is too short a time in which to measure careers of prominent players. Other favored analytical eras, like prewar or postwar, are handy ways to allocate time but, otherwise, have no particular significance.

On the other hand, a two-decade period relates to the career duration of most baseball players who arrive on the scene in their early twenties and depart close to their fortieth birthdays.

All Hall of Fame players were active for more than ten years. Most prominent athletes were on the field for a period of less than twenty but more than ten years.

In short, when twenty-year eras are established as analytical blocks of time, the full careers (or the best years) of players are eventually shown in full flower when succeeding eras are progressively scanned. For example, the 1910-29 era would be the next most logical analysis, and in it the careers of those who started their careers in 1910-19 would be shown in full. But it would be useless to conduct such a study because the game from the deadball era, 1910-19, was entirely different from the one played thereafter, a fact that distorts comparisons between deadball and live-ball records. That lost "split-ball era" must be left to subjective analysis.

## Interlocking Periods

Interlocking periods are an issue only when a book like this is extended to subsequent periods. If that occurs, the first question will be: What years should the next book of this type cover?

The 1910-29 era is out of the question for reasons previously given. The same logic does not apply to 1920-39. True, it embraces careers of some deadball players whose records are distorted by having one leg in both periods, but the era itself is a coherent whole - the game was played end to end with the live ball. For that reason it qualifies as the next reasonable interlocking period to examine by analysts who remain sensitive to split-era issues raised, and who inform readers accordingly.

For those slow to accept the fact that the new ball created, in effect, a new game with new strategies, one need only review comparative home run statistics. For example, home run leaders during 1915-19 (excluding Babe Ruth's numbers) won that distinction with $24,12,12,11$ and 10 four-baggers, respectively. But during 1920-24, leaders (still excluding Ruth) won with 19, $24,42,41$ and 27 homers - a collective increase in the latter period of 122 percent. The live-ball game was different, more powerful and more dramatic.

Ruth's numbers are excluded from the above comparison because his talent was so transcendent that the inclusion of them would totally exaggerate the comparison being made between eras. For example, Ruth, an American League player, had full seasons in 1920, 1921, 1923 and 1924. In those four years he hit 200 home runs. The runner-up in the same league hit 99 home runs, about half as many as the Titan of Swat. He was above the game - he was from another planet.

Pure analysis will begin with the 1930-1939 period because all players will be from the liveball era. Thereafter, linear studies can continue without special comment, using interlocking decades. Interlocking periods recognize that players don't arrive on the scene to serve the convenience of historians. Like newborn babes, they appear when ready. As a consequence, fractions of careers commonly fall into more than one decade.

For example, assume Tom Baseball had 4,000 at bats in the 1950 s and 5,000 in the 1960 s. In the twenty-year period 1940-1959, Tom would show 4,000 at bats. In the next sequential twenty-year period, 1960-1979, 5,000 at bats for Tom would appear.

Under that approach, neither period would examine Tom's career fully. An interlocking period, 1950-69, solves the problem. Within it, Tom's 9,000 at bats will be found. Under such a system, all or most of a player's career eventually gets analyzed.

The decision to use interlocking periods as a device to capture full careers causes the activ-
ities of many athletes to appear in more than one period. When this occurs (it's common), only activities within the subject period are appraised. Thus it is common to find long-careered athletes ranked low in a period during which they are moderately active, and high in the one in which their prime years appears. In this book, Ray Schalk (HOF) was such a player. About half of his at bats fell into the subject period; the rest took place in the 1920s. To show his full career would require the creation of a 1910-29 analytical period.

## The Sample

A total of 120 player records was examined and rated in this book, including 44 infielders, 48 outfielders and 15 first basemen, all of whom had 3,500 -plus at bats during the period and who generated batting or slugging averages at least as high as the averages for the leagues during the same period. The 13 catchers included had a different standard to meet, five or more $300+$ at bat seasons. The qualification standard for catchers was lowered because the one applied to other position players excluded too many of them.

To identify these players - the ones who made baseball great during the era - hundreds of records were reviewed. Alert readers will realize that, everything else aside, this player sort is of enormous benefit to the fan and the researcher - it immediately presents to them only the records of those who were relatively important, and it discards the relatively unimportant records of hundreds of men who flowed in and out of the big leagues for short periods of time, or who lingered despite poor production records.

The result is a sample of durable athletes who were the best producers. Phrased in a negative way, the sample ignores short-careered men, or those with poor batting skills.

The sample size is primarily an indication of how difficult it was (and still is) to survive as a major league player. Also, the pay scale for start-up players, or for those with marginal skills, cannot be overlooked as a causative factor - commonly below $\$ 1,000$ (the equivalent of $\$ 21,000$ in 2007). This was not an income to lure men away from more staid occupations - as is the case today - nor did it tend to keep slow-developing players without the patience to wait for the average ( $\$ 2,500$ ), or the superstar ( $\$ 12,000$ ) contract, which in 2007 was worth $\$ 52,000$ and $\$ 251,000$, respectively.

This book is production oriented. It seeks and measures great producers of the subject era realizing that, in so doing, non-productive defensive geniuses were ignored.

## Production

"Production" is an important word in a book that seeks to identify and rate great producers. Definition is needed.

In this book, production is the total of home runs, runs scored excluding home runs, and runs batted in excluding home runs (normally, home runs are included as part of total runs scored and total runs batted in). In the player activity charts appearing in this book, home runs are counted once, and separately.

The result is a record which presents clearly and more meaningfully the elements of each athlete's production contribution.

No other source for such career statistics is known. (To adjust charts to conventional form, add home runs to runs scored and runs-batted-in columns.)

It can be said with assurance that the view of career records afforded by this format will surprise many. Players with great production reputations may not have been so great after all; men with no production reputations may have been more efficient than many believed at the time.

A final note on production: To many, the word describes home runs and runs-batted-in activity. For reasons that defy logic, runs scored are ignored (as are, usually, prolific scorers). This is not the case here.

The offensive purpose of a baseball team is to score runs. Players who contribute to that end as scorers, power hitters or RBI men are, to that extent, producers and are so treated in evaluations.

## Measuring Player Performance

The best available measurement of player ability is the objective opinion of contemporary baseball men, and members of the press who cover the game regularly.

But statistical systems also help - presenting records in a comparative way is of assistance in forming judgments about players.

- What is a good measuring system? For the purposes of this book:
- It's understandable; it doesn't bore one to death.
- It provides answers baseball men can accept.
- Its conclusions parallel, in most cases, decisions made by those who vote on HOF appointments, or they can be logically defended when differences appear in a way that informed enthusiasts can accept as reasonable - even when they disagree.

This is not a book about systems or statistics. Numbers are used, of course, as they must be in baseball to express relationships, but it's the conclusions that are important, not the methods of calculation.

Those interested in arcane analytical techniques proving how Lefty Bigbat would hit more doubles in Boston than in Texas should look elsewhere for entertainment.

Most data in this analysis are available to all. With few exceptions, the originality of the work is due to how material is assembled and used, not to mathematical pyrotechnics.

H (hits) divided by AB (at bats) $=\mathrm{BA}$ (batting average). This simple equation is understood by all baseball fans. P (production) is the sum of runs scored, home runs and runs batted in; when P is divided by AB (at bats), PAB is the result (production average, or production per at bat). Just as a BA reports a player's fundamental batting record, so does a PAB report a player's fundamental production record.

The PAB of each player for each of his active years appears on all career activity charts.
For each twenty-year period, average performance for first basemen, catchers, infielders and outfielders was calculated. An objective of the study was to separate careers by degrees of excellence. An arbitrary factor could have been selected (for example, average plus or minus 10 percent is a popular construction) and career years could have been grouped according to such an interval. Instead, however, a statistical device (standard deviation) was used to develop five PAB ranges as follows:

- All players in a sample group (e.g., first basemen) are listed in a single chart and the average (Avg) PAB is calculated.
- The standard deviation (SD) of the PAB column is calculated (using Excel stdevp).

The result of the above is shown at the bottom of the schedule of the players who were active at the particular position being examined, for example, the first basemen, as shown on the following page:

|  | AB | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | RBI | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | PAB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Avg | 5072 | 1424 | 624 | 35 | 588 | .281 | .376 | .246 |
| SD | 940 |  |  |  |  |  |  | .027 |

The following PAB classification structure is built from these numbers, as follows:

| Class I | .302 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Class II | $.274-.301$ |
| Class III | $.246-.273$ |
| Class IV | $.218-.245$ |
| Class V | $.217-$ |

The career years of players are then slotted into these classifications (see sample player chart below).

AB (at bats) is the "durability" factor used throughout the survey; PAB (production average) is the "class" factor. Within each period, all but the ABs in Class V were multiplied by PABs for each classification to calculate DP (domination points). The players with the highest DPs represent the best combination of Class + Durability on the field during that period - they are the Most Valuable Producers (MVP).

A word about the exclusion of ABs associated with Class V: Some players, as a result of contract, luck, emotion or poor management build "most of" records (most hits, most games, most anything) by hanging on for years performing at levels well below average - levels that, most likely, a promising rookie could duplicate or exceed. It is the attitude of this book that such career padding should not be recognized in the evaluation system - that this aspect of the durability factor when encountered should be ignored. The welcomed result of this procedure is that no long-careered and low-skilled player attains a relatively high rating simply because he hung around longer than he should have.

With this brief explanation of evaluation systems used, and in the belief that pictures instruct better than words, a sample chart of a relatively modern player follows:

Harold Baines

Born 1959; Height 6.02; Weight 175; T-L; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .290+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .268-.289 | 161 | 608 | 165 | 64 | 25 | 80 | .271 | .469 | .278 |
| 1982 | 160 | 640 | 198 | 64 | 22 | 91 | .309 | .467 | .277 |
| 1985 | 321 | 1248 | 363 | 128 | 47 | 171 | .291 | .468 | .277 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .245-.267 | 82 | 280 | 80 | 32 | 10 | 31 | .286 | .482 | .261 |
| 1981 | 156 | 596 | 167 | 56 | 20 | 79 | .280 | .443 | .260 |
| 1983 | 132 | 505 | 148 | 39 | 20 | 73 | .293 | .479 | .261 |
| 1987 | 146 | 505 | 156 | 57 | 16 | 56 | .309 | .465 | .255 |
| 1989 | 516 | 1886 | 551 | 184 | 66 | 239 | .292 | .464 | .259 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .223-.244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 147 | 569 | 173 | 43 | 29 | 65 | .304 | .541 | .241 |
| 1986 | 145 | 570 | 169 | 51 | 21 | 67 | .296 | .465 | .244 |
| Total | 292 | 1139 | 342 | 94 | 50 | 132 | .300 | .503 | .242 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .222- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 141 | 491 | 125 | 42 | 13 | 36 | .255 | .405 | .185 |
| 1988 | 158 | 599 | 166 | 42 | 13 | 68 | .277 | .411 | .205 |
| Total | 299 | 1090 | 291 | 84 | 26 | 104 | .267 | .408 | .196 |
| Period | 1428 | 5363 | 1547 | 490 | 189 | 646 | .288 | .462 | .247 |
| Other | 1402 | 4545 | 1319 | 425 | 195 | 598 | .290 | .375 | .268 |
| Career | 2830 | 9908 | 2866 | 915 | 384 | 1244 | .289 | .465 | .257 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 161 | 608 | 165 | 64 | 25 | 80 | .271 | .469 | .278 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 346 |
| CLASS II | 1248 | .277 | 346 |  |
| CLASS III | 1886 | .259 | 489 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1139 | .242 | 276 |  |
| TOTAL | 4373 |  | 1111 |  |
| CLASS V | 1090 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5363 |  | 1111 |  |

In light of the previous explanation, the career chart of Harold Baines is interpreted as follows:

- The average outfielder during the 1970-89 era (calculated elsewhere) had a PAB of .245. The five quality ranges calculated for the period appear on the Baines chart within which appropriate PAB years were assigned.
- Through 1989, Baines had 5,363 AB, all of which fell into a single period.
- His best season was 1982, during which he generated a Class II PAB of . 278 .
- As measured against his peers, Baines did not have Class I years, but in six out of ten seasons he was an above average producer.
- In calculating DP in the lower chart, about 20 percent (1090) of the Baines's ABs were ignored because his PAB during those seasons (Class V ) fell below acceptable levels.
- Baines earned 1111 DP in the Most Valuable Producer (MVP) race, and 346 DP in the Most Talented Producer (MTP) contest - MTP points represent the total of Class I and Class II DP, which appears in the upper-right-hand box of the CALCULATION etc. chart.
- The MVP by position for the twenty-year era is the player who earns the most DP for BELOW AVERAGE or better at bats. The MTP is the man with the most STAR and SUPERSTAR DP.
- Those interested in a more detailed explanation of systems used should contact the author through the publisher.


## The Reliability of the System

Former players and informed newsmen and baseball men select Hall of Fame (HOF) candidates based upon observation and the best information available. Readers will find that
appraisal methods employed here yield results remarkably consistent with those of HOF electors. When this is not the case, reasons given should, at least, be found rational - perhaps compelling.

Where the system gives a high rank to players ignored by the HOF, readers may be encountering either a HOF oversight or a system weakness. Where it yields a low rank to players elected to the HOF, the reasons are most often the following:

- The player died prematurely and (probably) was an emotional choice made for human and laudable reasons.
- The player had a long career and, although not much of a producer, was a whiz in some baseball talent highly regarded by electors, for example, fielding, contact hitting and base stealing.
- Politics.

In short, systems used in this book are imperfect. Inevitably, they overlook someone and overrate someone else. Human interpretation by baseball experts represents the final touch needed. But as systems go, readers may agree that this one does a satisfactory job and it yields interesting and sometimes surprising results.

## The Database

Player rankings contained herein may be controversial with some but the collection of player information by time period should not be. Researchers and others should find these data useful, especially when used in conjunction with a baseball encyclopedia.

## Limitations of Survey

How would Ted Williams have performed had he played in New York or Detroit? How about Joe DiMaggio in Fenway Park? This survey doesn't indulge in such speculations. What players actually did is what is measured, not what they might have done in a different park, or from a different position in the batting order, or with so-and-so hitting ahead or behind him.

Such questions are left for others to ponder. Here, only what was - not what might have been - is examined and rated.

## Glossary of Terms

Most readers are familiar with the typical headings of player and league charts of baseball data. In this book, terms used - or the meanings of them - are somewhat different. For that reason, the obvious and not-so-obvious definitions appear below.

AL American League
G Games
H Hits
HR Home Runs
PAB Production Per at Bat
MVP (in charts) Most Valuable Producer
SB Stolen Bases
FB First Base
INF Infield
SO Strikeouts
2B Doubles (or second base)
OBP On base percent
BWAA Baseball Writers Association of America

```
NL National League
AB At bats
R Runs (in charts, excluding home runs)
RBI Runs Batted In (in charts, excluding home runs)
MVP (in heading) Most Valuable Player*
MTP Most Talented Producer
FA Fielding Average
C Catcher
OF Outfield
SB Stolen Bases
3B Triples (or third base)
BB Base on Balls
```


## A Tribute

Not all players in this survey were stars. Under evaluation methods used to examine their careers, only a few came out on top - quite a few hugged the bottom.

But the fact remains they all qualified for the survey which, by itself, is a tribute to their abilities. Finally, players in the survey were better hitters than most who played the game at the same time.

This survey covers 19 years. Only 120 men qualified - an average six per year. No matter how lowly any were graded, when compared with talented peers, they stood tall. They were great athletes.

Readers may find that Joe Ballplayer has a BA of .305 in one section of the book and .306 in another. Variances of this type are minor, do not distort meaning, and are caused by the use of competing reference works, or to inconsistent rounding-off procedures of the author.

Larger differences and other distortions will no doubt be uncovered for which the author apologizes, hoping that readers will be forgiving - and will report mistakes for later correction.

# The World Beyond the Ballpark, 1901-1919 

Leon Czolgosz, a 28 -year-old anarchist who shot and killed President William McKinley during the first year (1901) of McKinley's second term, unwittingly jump-started the career of the most dominant political figure of the first two decades of the twentieth century, vice president Teddy Roosevelt. Roosevelt was president for less than eight years. Then, in 1908, he stepped aside and supported William H. Taft.

Roosevelt reemerged in 1912 as a contender. Following a stormy Republican convention in the Chicago Coliseum, he ran as an Independent. His personal popularity scuttled Taft's bid for a second term, but it wasn't enough to stop Woodrow Wilson's opportunistic drive to capitalize on Republican division. Wilson became, in 1913, the first Democratic president of the century.

Russia was in turmoil during this era. Czar Nicholas II, through placebo tactics and occasional violence, had kept at bay demands of the people for a better life, but during World War I , the pot boiled over.

The Czar abdicated in March 1917; in April, Lenin was smuggled back to Russia by the Germans, who hoped (with justification) that he and his Bolshevik comrades-in-exile, Leon Trotsky and Joseph Stalin, would stir up the revolutionary spirit of their homeland.

In August of the same year the Czar and his family moved to Siberia under the "protection" of government troops. Lenin's Bolsheviks took over the government in November and moved quickly to satisfy the peace demands of their followers. In December 1917 they deserted the Allies and signed an armistice with Germany.

Fearing residual loyalty and sympathy for the Czar in some quarters, the Russians then executed Nicholas II and his son and heir, Alexis. This ended the Romanov dynasty that had ruled for three hundred years. Also slaughtered were his wife, four daughters and several servants. In such a way was the Soviet Union formed, an event of major importance during the first two decades, and a meaningful one that helped to shape twentieth-century history.

If the Russian revolution was an important subplot in history, World War I was its equal as a primary theme during the subject era. War talk was rife in early 1914. Winston Churchill saw danger, knew the enemy, spoke out and was hooted as a warmonger. In June, Archduke Ferdinand of Austria and his wife were gunned down. Europe shook, and the Germans, in August, launched World War I.

America was neutral, at times a difficult and unpopular stance, especially after the sinking of the British liner Lusitania in May 1915 by a German submarine. The United States severed relations with Germany in February 1917, and declared war (Senate, 90-6; House, 373-50) in April. Theodore Roosevelt publicly supported Wilson's policy. On that day, Germany lost the war. It was thereafter simply a matter of when the "Boche" would be collared. The Armistice was signed on November 11, 1918.

As presidential politics stimulated peaceful battles, and differences between nations caused violent ones, the world of ordinary people moved along customary paths. Ping-Pong, jigsaw puzzles and Raggedy Ann dolls appeared. Paper clips were patented. Men accepted the safety razor; women greeted lipstick. Smart people invented paper cups, Brillo pads and pop-up toasters. Merchandisers discovered the beauty of Mother's Day and, later, Father's Day.

Huge movie companies organized; a star system that promoted actors to growing audiences was established. Films produced by Mack Sennett were favored. D.W. Griffith's Birth of a Nation was a huge success. Big names included Fatty Arbuckle, Charlie Chaplin, Douglas Fairbanks and Mary Pickford.

In the heavyweight fight game, the period began with Jim Jeffries in charge and ended with Jack Dempsey holding the crown, after a one-sided battle with Jess Willard. Marvin Hart, Tommy Burns and Jack Johnson were champions in the middle years.

Enrico Caruso, internationally-known tenor, was fined $\$ 10$ in November 1906 by a judge who found him guilty of "annoying" a woman in Central Park. At about the same time, Joseph F. Smith, president of the Mormon Church, announced the birth of his 43rd child (Mormons outlawed polygamy in 1896).

And the world turned another notch.

# The World Within the Ballpark, 1901-1919 

In 1900 , only the eight-team National League (NL) was considered to be of major league quality. Then Ban Johnson, president of the Western League, challenged the status quo. He beefed up the quality of his teams, changed the name of his organization to the American League (AL), and invaded existing and former NL markets.

The NL wilted after two years of competition and, in the National Agreement of 1903, it recognized the AL as separate but equal. At that point, league franchises (in 1903 finishing order) were as follows:

| NL | $A L$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pittsburgh | Philadelphia |
| New York | Cleveland |
| Chicago | New York |
| Brooklyn | Detroit |
| Boston | St. Louis |
| Philadelphia | Chicago |
| St. Louis | Washington |

The NL agreed to an eight-game playoff series at the end of the 1903 season between the pennant winners. Pittsburgh, led by magnificent Honus Wagner, and Boston, with indestructible Cy Young, locked horns.

To everyone's surprise (especially the NL), the AL won (5-3). As a result, the NL ducked a 1904 contest but in the next year returned to a post-season competition that has continued until this day. From 1903 to 1909, there were six World Series. The AL took one more championship in 1906 when the Chicago White Sox, led by Ed Walsh (2 wins; ERA 1.80) took the Chicago Cubs, 4-2.

The Giants, Cubs and Pirates were the best teams in the NL during the first decade; the Tigers of Detroit were the strongest AL team.

The AL, from 1910 to 1919, shifted into a position of clear dominance when measured by World Series results. In eight of ten post-season competitions, they took the prize - Red Sox (4); Athletics (3); White Sox (1). The Boston Braves and the Cincinnati Reds of the NL were the victors in 1914 and 1919 (the year of the White Sox scandal).

The Giants were also a top NL team during the second decade, but competition was reasonably balanced. Six teams won a pennant; seven finished second at least once. All feasted on the inept St. Louis club. The Red Sox dominated AL play. Only the White Sox and the Athletics joined them as pennant winners.

Rules were still being formed and standards set during the early years of the century. In 1901, the infield fly rule was clarified, and foul balls (less than two strikes) were called strikes. The height of the pitcher's mound was standardized in 1903 at not more than 15 inches higher than the base lines and home plate. The sacrifice fly rule was modified in 1908, and in 1909 it was ruled that an unsuccessful third-strike bunt was to be scored as a strikeout. An earned run charged to the pitcher was defined in 1912, and modified in 1917.

A new Federal League, it should be noted, entered the competition in 1914. Players shuffled
around seeking opportunity that year and the next, but the league faded away as quickly as it had appeared. In 1916, the usual NL/AL competition resumed.

Selective Service went into effect on May 18, 1917; America mobilized its armed services. This had the expected impact on major league baseball. The development of young players slowed; some established athletes lost playing time. The 1918 and 1919 seasons were shortened. The White Sox scandal of 1919, in which eight White Sox players were accused of rigging World Series games, didn't break as a major story until 1920.

Diamonds were not uniform; ball gloves were small. The ball was dead. In the first decade of the century, two errors per game were usual; in the second, somewhat less. Four runs for a game was average. A good batter would hit .250-.260; a great one, .330-.340. On average, a home run was hit every six or seven games. Base stealing was an important offensive tool. A good earned run average was about 3.00; a great one, 1.80-1.90. A talented strikeout pitcher would register 170-180 whiffs. These statistics are remarkably similar to modern ones, despite the changes to the game that were caused by the live ball, improved gloves and better-conditioned players.

Christy Mathewson was the most durable pitcher of the era, Walter Johnson the toughest to score on. Rube Waddell was the strikeout king (per game); Addie Joss had the lowest base runner (per game) count. With men on base, Walter Johnson was the master.

From the standpoint of durability and class, the most valuable pitchers during the 1901-19 period were Walter Johnson, Christy Mathewson, Eddie Plank and Ed Walsh, all HOF pitchers.

Systems used in pitcher evaluation (beyond the scope of this book) selected Johnson as MVP and MTP (most valuable and most talented pitcher). The best season (ERA) of pitchers in the sample was Dutch Leonard's 1914 performance for the Boston Red Sox: 223 innings pitched, 174 strikeouts, ERA 1.01.

By fielding position, 120 men qualified for this survey during 1901-19.
Survey Base

|  | TOTAL | FB | C | INF | OF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sample | 120 | 15 | 13 | 44 | 48 |
| BA | .272 | .281 | .247 | .275 | .285 |
| SA | .362 | .376 | .316 | .372 | .382 |

BA for major league baseball 1901-19-.254; SA for major league baseball 1901-19-. 332
Statistics for survey players compared with those for all of baseball silently announce the superior talents of the selected athletes. They dominated the fielder positions. Twenty ( 17 percent) are in the Hall of Fame:

First Base (1) - Frank Chance
Catch (2) - Roger Bresnahan, Ray Schalk
Infield (8) - Honus Wagner, Nap Lajoie, Eddie Collins, Frank Baker, Joe Tinker, Johnny Evers,
Bobby Wallace, Jimmy Collins
Outfield (9) - Ty Cobb, Sam Crawford, Tris Speaker, Fred Clarke, Harry Hooper, Elmer Flick, Zack Wheat, Max Carey, Willie Keeler

The final years of deadball baseball are covered in this book. Records established aren't comparable to subsequent periods because the live ball introduced in the 1920s changed all aspects of the game.

But it's interesting, nonetheless, to inspect deeds of athletes who did so much with so little, in a game that was characterized more by flamboyance and energy than contracts and dollar bills.

## First Basemen - Analysis, 1901-1919

During the subject period, 15 men dominated first base play and generated an average PAB of .247 , broken down as follows:

|  | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Runs | .123 |
| Home runs | .007 |
| RBI | .116 |
| Total | .246 |

Those first basemen who qualified for the survey appear below:

## Dominant First Basemen 1901-19 Record

$*$ HOF
$* *$ Net of home runs

| PLAYER | LG | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{* *}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{* *}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .302+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chance F | N | 3805 | 1131 | 685 | 18 | 529 | .297 | .396 | .324 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .275-.301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Davis H | A | 5373 | 1499 | 740 | 68 | 694 | .279 | .407 | .280 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-.274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| McGann D | N | 3581 | 994 | 503 | 24 | 419 | .278 | .369 | .264 |
| Hoblitzel R | N | 4706 | 1310 | 564 | 27 | 592 | .278 | .374 | .251 |
| Chase H | A | 7417 | 2158 | 923 | 57 | 884 | .291 | .391 | .251 |
| McInnis S | A | 4672 | 1442 | 520 | 14 | 632 | .309 | .380 | .250 |
| Miller D | N | 5142 | 1344 | 602 | 31 | 634 | .261 | .360 | .246 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .221-.245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Konetchy E | N | 6684 | 1856 | 788 | 58 | 789 | .278 | .396 | .245 |
| Merkle F | N | 5437 | 1481 | 625 | 58 | 636 | .272 | .383 | .243 |
| Gandil C | A | 4245 | 1176 | 438 | 11 | 546 | .277 | .362 | .234 |
| Luderus F | N | 4819 | 1339 | 485 | 84 | 559 | .278 | .404 | .234 |
| Bransfield K | N | 4994 | 1350 | 514 | 14 | 622 | .270 | .353 | .230 |
| Daubert J | N | 5089 | 1535 | 692 | 35 | 424 | .302 | .390 | .226 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .220- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenney F | N | 4900 | 1362 | 744 | 14 | 313 | .278 | .336 | .219 |
| Stovall G | A | 5219 | 1381 | 530 | 15 | 549 | .265 | .340 | .210 |
| Avg | 5072 | 1424 | 624 | 35 | 588 | .281 | .376 | .246 |  |
| SD | 940 | .027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) $B A, P A B$ calculated horizontally; (2) $A B, H, R, H R, R B I, S A, S D$ calculated vertically

Classifications

|  | Class <br> $(\boldsymbol{P A B})$ | Durability <br> $($ AB $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CLASS I | $.302+$ | $6954+$ |
| CLASS II | $.274-.301$ | $6013-6953$ |
| CLASS III | $.246-.273$ | $5072-6012$ |
| CLASS IV | $.218-.245$ | $4131-5071$ |
| CLASS V | $.217-$ | $4130-$ |

## Player Analysis

Class I, PAB .302+
Frank Chance was the only first baseman to generate a Class I PAB. But, as analysis will show, numbers can sometimes be deceptive. In 1946 he was elected to the Hall of Fame (HOF).

Class II, PAB .274-. 301
Harry Davis produced a PAB of .280 and, in 17 years, built a solid career. Analysis comparing him to Chance may surprise some.

Class III, PAB .246-. 273
Five players qualified for this classification: McGann, Hoblitzel, Chase, McInnis and Miller. None are in the HOF. The Chase record stands out from the rest because he was so much more durable than the others. Durability plus an above-average PAB are a potent combination.

## Class IV, PAB .218-. 245

Six players were classified as Class IV: Konetchy, Merkle, Gandil, Luderus, Bransfield, and Daubert. Some had several quality seasons, but couldn't stabilize as quality players. There are no overlooked gems in this group.

## Class V, PAB .217-

Tenney and Stovall fall into this classification. Tenney's poor numbers may reflect the poor quality of the team he spent 14 seasons with, the Boston Braves, more than they reflect his own ability - the Braves dependably finished at or close to the bottom of the league. Stovall, on the other hand, was consistently mediocre. Why he lasted 12 years is one of baseball's mysteries.

## Frank Chance

Born 1877; Height 6.00; Weight 190; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1903-SB; 1906-R, SB; HOF 1946

* $=$ Net of home runs

G |  | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R_{B I}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB.302+

| 1901 | 69 | 241 | 67 | 38 | 0 | 36 | .278 | .361 | .307 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1903 | 125 | 441 | 144 | 81 | 2 | 79 | .327 | .440 | .367 |
| 1905 | 118 | 392 | 124 | 90 | 2 | 68 | .316 | .434 | .408 |
| 1906 | 136 | 474 | 151 | 100 | 3 | 68 | .319 | .430 | .361 |
| 1909 | 93 | 324 | 88 | 53 | 0 | 46 | .272 | .346 | .306 |
| 1910 | 88 | 295 | 88 | 54 | 0 | 36 | .298 | .393 | .305 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | PAB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1911 | 31 | 88 | 21 | 22 | 1 | 16 | .239 | .409 | .443 |
| 1912 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | .200 | .200 | .400 |
| 1913 | 11 | 24 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 6 | .208 | .208 | .375 |
| Total | 673 | 2284 | 689 | 443 | 8 | 355 | .302 | .405 | .353 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .274-.301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 75 | 236 | 67 | 39 | 1 | 30 | .284 | .369 | .297 |
| 1904 | 124 | 451 | 140 | 83 | 6 | 43 | .310 | .430 | .293 |
| 1907 | 111 | 382 | 112 | 57 | 1 | 48 | .293 | .361 | .277 |
| Total | 310 | 1069 | 319 | 179 | 8 | 121 | .298 | .392 | .288 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-.273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 129 | 452 | 123 | 63 | 2 | 53 | .272 | .363 | .261 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218-.245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .217- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1914 | 113 | 3805 | 1131 | 685 | 18 | 529 | .297 | .396 | .324 |
| Period | 173 | 488 | 140 | 93 | 2 | 47 | .287 | .370 | .291 |
| Other | 1286 | 4293 | 1271 | 778 | 20 | 576 | .296 | .393 | .320 |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEST 123+ GAMES | 125 | 441 | 144 | 81 | 2 | 79 | .327 | .440 | .367 |
| 1903 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 2284 | .353 | 806 | 1114 |
| CLASS II | 1069 | .288 | 308 |  |
| CLASS III | 452 | .261 | 118 |  |
| CLASS IV | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TOTAL | 3805 |  | 1232 |  |
| CLASS V | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3805 |  | 1232 |  |

In 1898 Frank Chance, 21, wore the Chicago Cubs' uniform for the first time. He was used sparingly, mostly as a catcher, and showed signs of being an effective hitter.

In 1903 Chance, then 26, took over as the regular first baseman for the club and (except for three games) did no more catching for the balance of his career.

In 1905, when only 28 years old, Chance became field manager of the Cubs; his last year as a full-time player was 1908 .

Chance managed the Chicago club until he moved to New York in 1913 to take over the Yankees who, in the previous season, finished in the AL cellar under the leadership of Harry Wolverton. He was succeeded in 1914 by Roger Pekinpaugh and, except for one more fling at managing in 1923 (Red Sox), he retired from major league baseball.

Frank Chance's first season as a regular (1903) was his best - PAB .367, well beyond anything produced by his competition. And for five more partial seasons, he posted impressive production numbers. For the final six years of his playing, however, he appeared in only 226 games with 736 at bats.

Chance was not as good as numbers say he was. He played in fewer than 100 games 11 times in his 17-year career; he appeared in 80 percent of scheduled games only four times-1903, 1904, 1906, 1908; he never posted $500+$ at bats in a season.

In short, Chance's career was full of part-time seasons and it may be safely assumed that he, as manager, did not sit down when "easy" pitchers were throwing and play when "tough" ones were on the mound. In the 1901-19 era, he barely hurdled the survey screening level for durability ( 3500 at bats); in his entire career he registered 4,293 at bats, the lowest of all HOF first basemen.

What does this mean? There's every reason to suspect that Chance's production record would have suffered had he played a full schedule.

Frank Chance was, in fact, a part-time, short-careered first baseman with talent. When he played, he was impressive. The following graphic demonstrates this and also underlines the activity differential between him and other men in the survey group.
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A t}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{B a t}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | Total <br> $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chase | 3805 | .180 | .005 | .139 | .324 |
| Chance | 7417 | .124 | .008 | .119 | .251 |
| Davis | 5373 | .138 | .013 | .129 | .280 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

Chance played first base during 78 percent of his defensive appearances. His fielding record is a composite of his activity in three positions and is, therefore, meaningless. However, an inspection of individual years, when he played first base only, reveals that he boasted highly competitive fielding averages.

In 1907, he led the league with a FA of .992 ; in 1904, he tied with a FA of .990 . Chance was a good glove man - for a short time - and a worthy member of the legendary Tinker to Evers to Chance double-play combination.

## Harry Davis

Born 1873; Height 5.11; Weight 180; T-R; B-R; Led American League: 1902-2B; 1904-HR; 1905-2B, HR, R, RBI; 1906-HR, RBI; 1907-2B, HR

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{*}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .302+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 117 | 496 | 152 | 84 | 8 | 68 | .306 | .452 | .323 |
| 1902 | 133 | 561 | 172 | 83 | 6 | 86 | .307 | .444 | .312 |
| 1906 | 145 | 551 | 161 | 82 | 12 | 84 | .292 | .459 | .323 |
| 1913 | 7 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 4 | .353 | .471 | .353 |
| 1915 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | .353 | .333 | 1.333 |
| Total | 407 | 1628 | 492 | 251 | 26 | 246 | .302 | .456 | .321 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .274-301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 106 | 420 | 125 | 70 | 5 | 50 | .298 | .436 | .298 |
| 1905 | 149 | 602 | 171 | 84 | 8 | 75 | .284 | .422 | .277 |
| 1907 | 149 | 582 | 155 | 76 | 8 | 79 | .266 | .397 | .280 |
| 1914 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | .429 | .429 | .286 |
| Total | 409 | 1611 | 454 | 230 | 21 | 206 | .282 | .417 | .284 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-.273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 102 | 404 | 125 | 44 | 10 | 52 | .309 | .490 | .262 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{A}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1909 | 149 | 530 | 142 | 69 | 4 | 71 | .268 | .374 | .272 |
| 1911 | 57 | 183 | 36 | 26 | 1 | 21 | .197 | .273 | .262 |
| Total | 308 | 1117 | 303 | 139 | 15 | 144 | .271 | .399 | .267 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218-.245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 147 | 513 | 127 | 60 | 5 | 57 | .248 | .357 | .238 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .217- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 139 | 492 | 122 | 60 | 1 | 40 | .248 | .309 | .205 |
| 1912 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .000 | .000 |
| 1916 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| 1917 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .000 | .000 |
| Total | 143 | 498 | 131 | 60 | 1 | 40 | .245 | .305 | .203 |
| Period | 1414 | 5367 | 1498 | 740 | 68 | 693 | .279 | .407 | .280 |
| Other | 340 | 1281 | 341 | 184 | 6 | 184 | .266 | .412 | .292 |
| Career | 1754 | 6648 | 1839 | 924 | 74 | 877 | .277 | .408 | .282 |
| Best 123+ Games |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 145 | 551 | 161 | 82 | 12 | 84 | .292 | .459 | .323 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1628 | .321 | 523 | 981 |
| CLASS II | 1611 | .284 | 458 |  |
| CLASS III | 1117 | .267 | 298 |  |
| CLASS IV | 513 | .238 | 122 |  |
| TOTAL | 4869 |  | 1401 |  |
| CLASS V | 498 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5367 |  | 1401 |  |

When Ban Johnson's AL went into business in 1901, Harry Davis was one of the first to jump. Leaving a so-so five-year NL career behind, Davis (28) joined Nap Lajoie and others on the Athletics of Connie Mack. It took Davis four seasons to nail the first base job down. In 1905, now 32, he carried a full load and continued to do so through the 1910 season. During those seasons he garnered most of his batting honors.

Stuffy McInnis took his job in 1911 and in 1912 he moved to Cleveland as manager and occasional player. This didn't work out (the Indians finished fifth, $30+$ games behind first-place Boston). And in 1913, he returned to the Athletics and played little for five more years. Davis, 44, retired in 1917.

Davis had impressive battle ribbons indicating his prominent offensive skills as a power hitter. Additionally, from 1905 through 1909, he stole 20 or more bases per season. Speed was another of his many talents. His BA was slightly below the average of this elite group but he had the highest SA and the second highest PAB.

A good contact hitter with power and speed boasts the array of talents which, when they jell, can produce great production years. It was so with Davis. For two seasons in particular (1902, 1906), he was among the best in the business, and for two others $(1904,1907)$, he was a class act. Only one of his years (1910) was a bummer. The great weakness of Davis' record is the number of partial seasons he played which cost him at bats and kept him from production greatness.

He was a well-rounded producer.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A t}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{B a t}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 5373 | .138 | .013 | .129 | .289 |
| Davis | 5373 | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |  |  |  |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

Davis played first base during 93 percent of his career games and he was, arguably, the poorest fielder of the group. In range, only two others handled fewer chances per game and, aside from Miller - a part-time first baseman - his fielding average (.978) was the lowest.

Was Davis an HOF player?
His weak durability has already been noted and need not be repeated. As a defensive player, his record was not impressive. A player with that profile would have to be offensively brilliant to gain HOF status. Competent he was; brilliant, he wasn't.

Also, qualification for HOF status based on comparisons with Chance's record is a weak crutch indeed. Standing alone, neither man was an obvious HOF choice.

## Dan McGann

Born 1871; Height 6.00; Weight 190; T-R; B-B

* $=$ Net of home runs



## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 491 | .322 | 158 | 427 |
| CLASS II | 943 | .285 | 269 |  |
| CLASS III | 959 | .263 | 252 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1188 | .225 | 267 |  |
| TOTAL | 3581 |  | 946 |  |
| CLASS V | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3581 |  | 946 |  |

McGann was 30 in 1901 with five major league seasons behind him. To do his career justice, one would have to analyze the 1891-1909 period, which is beyond the scope of this survey.

Within the subject period, McGann was active for eight years in the NL with the Cardinals, the Giants and the Braves - over five with the Giants. He was 37 when he retired in 1908.

McGann did everything well and nothing brilliantly. He played first base acceptably in 94 percent of his games (FA .986). But he was not active enough during the period to make a strong impression.
Per Аt Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A t}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Bat | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| McGann | 3581 | .140 | .007 | .117 | .264 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

McGann, a good contact hitter, was a competitive scorer. Otherwise, his offensive record is undistinguished.

## Dick Hoblitzell

Born 1888; Height 6.00; Weight 172; T-L; B-R; Led National League: 1910-AB; 1911-AB

* $=$ Net of home runs

> | $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAB .302+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .274-. 301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 148 | 558 | 164 | 71 | 2 | 83 | . 294 | . 405 | . 280 |
| 1915 | 124 | 399 | 113 | 52 | 2 | 59 | . 283 | . 396 | . 283 |
| Total | 272 | 957 | 277 | 123 | 4 | 142 | . 289 | . 401 | . 281 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-. 273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 158 | 622 | 180 | 70 | 11 | 86 | . 289 | . 415 | . 268 |
| 1910 | 155 | 611 | 170 | 81 | 4 | 66 | 278 | . 380 | . 247 |
| 1913 | 137 | 502 | 143 | 56 | 3 | 65 | 285 | . 376 | . 247 |
| 1914 | 146 | 477 | 125 | 62 | 0 | 62 | . 262 | . 342 | . 260 |
| 1916 | 130 | 417 | 108 | 57 | 0 | 50 | . 259 | . 305 | . 257 |
| Total | 726 | 2629 | 726 | 326 | 18 | 329 | . 276 | . 369 | . 256 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218-. 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 142 | 517 | 159 | 55 | 4 | 63 | . 308 | . 418 | . 236 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1917 | 120 | 420 | 108 | 48 | 1 | 46 | .257 | .343 | .226 |
| Total | 262 | 937 | 267 | 103 | 5 | 109 | .285 | .384 | .232 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .217- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 32 | 114 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 8 | .254 | 316 | 140 |
| 1918 | 25 | 69 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 4 | .159 | .174 | .116 |
| Total | 57 | 183 | 40 | 12 | 0 | 12 | .219 | .262 | .131 |
| Period | 1317 | 4706 | 1310 | 564 | 27 | 592 | .278 | .374 | .251 |
| Career | 1317 | 4706 | 1310 | 564 | 27 | 592 | .278 | .374 | .251 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 124 | 399 | 113 | 52 | 2 | 59 | .283 | .396 | .283 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 269 |
| CLASS II | 957 | .281 | 269 |  |
| CLASS III | 2629 | .256 | 673 |  |
| CLASS IV | 937 | .232 | 217 |  |
| TOTAL | 4523 |  | 1159 |  |
| CLASS V | 183 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4706 |  | 1159 |  |

Dick Hoblitzel, a slender southpaw, joined the Reds in 1908 as a 20 -year-old, and played 32 games at first base. John Ganzel was resident first baseman and manager at the time. In 1909 Ganzel was gone, Clark Griffith was manager and Hoblitzel became the regular first baseman. He played for the Reds for over seven years, then (surprisingly, for the waiver price) moved to the Boston Red Sox during the 1914 season.

Fritz Mollwitz (who couldn't carry Hoblitzel's glove) filled the Cincinnati job while Dick provided Boston with his steady brand of baseball until his retirement in 1918. Hoblitzell was 30 when he took his spikes off for the last time.

Hoblitzell spent 97 percent of his fielding time at first base. He had a career FA of .987 better than most in the first base survey. He was solid defensively, with reasonably good speed.

Dick was a below average contact hitter. But he was a timely hitter who got the most from his ability. Only four percent of his at bats during the period were of poor quality.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A t}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Bat | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| Hoblitzel | 4706 | .120 | .006 | .126 | .251 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

He was an aggressive hitter, as his battle ribbons testify. But, as the above graphic makes clear, the weakness in his record is durability.

## Hal Chase

Born 1883; Height 6.00; Weight 175; T-L; B-R; Led Federal League: 1915-HR; Led National League: 1916-BA, H; 1917-AB

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAB .302+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .274-. 301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 125 | 498 | 143 | 70 | 2 | 66 | . 287 | . 357 | . 277 |
| 1915 | 145 | 567 | 165 | 68 | 17 | 72 | . 291 | . 471 | . 277 |
| Total | 270 | 1065 | 308 | 138 | 19 | 138 | . 289 | . 418 | . 277 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-. 273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 151 | 597 | 193 | 84 | 0 | 76 | . 323 | . 395 | . 268 |
| 1909 | 118 | 474 | 134 | 56 | 4 | 59 | . 283 | . 357 | . 251 |
| 1910 | 130 | 524 | 152 | 64 | 3 | 70 | . 290 | . 365 | . 261 |
| 1911 | 133 | 527 | 166 | 79 | 3 | 59 | . 315 | . 419 | . 268 |
| 1914 | 133 | 497 | 156 | 67 | 3 | 65 | . 314 | . 447 | . 272 |
| 1916 | 142 | 542 | 184 | 62 | 4 | 78 | . 339 | . 459 | . 266 |
| 1917 | 152 | 602 | 167 | 67 | 4 | 82 | . 277 | . 394 | . 254 |
| 1918 | 74 | 259 | 78 | 28 | 2 | 36 | . 301 | . 417 | . 255 |
| Total | 1033 | 4022 | 1230 | 507 | 23 | 525 | . 306 | . 406 | . 262 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218-. 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 126 | 465 | 116 | 57 | 3 | 46 | . 249 | . 329 | . 228 |
| 1919 | 110 | 408 | 116 | 53 | 5 | 40 | . 284 | . 397 | . 240 |
| Total | 236 | 873 | 232 | 110 | 8 | 86 | . 266 | . 361 | . 234 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .217- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 106 | 405 | 104 | 49 | 1 | 35 | . 257 | . 306 | . 210 |
| 1912 | 131 | 522 | 143 | 57 | 4 | 34 | . 274 | . 372 | . 220 |
| 1913 | 141 | 530 | 141 | 62 | 2 | 46 | . 266 | . 355 | . 208 |
| Total | 378 | 1457 | 388 | 168 | 7 | 135 | . 266 | . 347 | . 213 |
| Period | 1917 | 7417 | 2158 | 923 | 57 | 884 | . 291 | . 391 | . 251 |
| Career | 1917 | 7417 | 2158 | 923 | 57 | 884 | . 291 | . 391 | . 251 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 145 | 567 | 165 | 68 | 17 | 72 | . 291 | . 471 | . 277 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295 |
| CLASS II | 1065 | .277 | 295 |  |
| CLASS III | 4022 | .262 | 1054 |  |
| CLASS IV | 873 | .234 | 204 |  |
| TOTAL | 5960 |  | 1553 |  |
| CLASS V | 1457 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 7417 |  | 1553 |  |

Hal Chase appeared in 1905 for the first time in a major league uniform. He replaced the aging Joe Ganzel at first base for the Yankees. In a bit more than eight seasons with that club, Chase produced batting marks consistently above average, and he stole over 20 bases in every season.

Chase moved to the Chicago White Sox in 1913; then he spent some time in the lowerquality Federal League (during which he generated his best season) before returning to the fold as a utility man with the Reds in 1916. He spent two more years in Cincinnati, mostly at first base; then he finished his 15 -year career with the Giants in 1919.

Chase played 94 percent of his games at first base. In range, he compared favorably to all but Konetchy and Luderus, but his FA of .979 was lower than most - not much better than Davis's.

Chase's dominant characteristics were consistency and durability. In ten of his 15 seasons, his BA was higher than the average for this elite group (.281) and only once did it drop below the average for baseball (.254). In 11 of his 15 seasons, Chase stole over 20 bases. During the subject period, he registered 11 percent more at bats than his closest competitor -95 percent more than HOF player Frank Chance.

Chase was no superstar, but for 12 seasons he played at impressive levels, two at Class II quality. Typically, he did a little better than the average of his peers in all elements of his PAB, but was not outstanding in any:

| Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { At } \\ \text { Bat } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} R \\ P A B \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} H R \\ P A B \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} R B I \\ P A B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ P A B \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Bat | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| Chase | 7417 | . 124 | . 008 | . 119 | . 251 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | . 123 | . 007 | . 116 | . 246 |

Was Hal Chase a Hall of Fame player? On a career basis, he compares with Frank Chance (the only HOF first baseman from the period) as follows:

|  |  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Chase | 7417 | .291 | .391 | .124 | .008 | .119 | .251 |
| Chance | 4293 | .296 | .393 | .181 | .005 | .134 | .320 |

Chance had a higher PAB during his limited career. But would his level of superiority have continued had he played as long as Chase? Doubtful! Some view (and the evaluation system recognizes) the long-term steadiness of Chase as having more value than the short-term brilliance of Chance.

The proposed answer to the question is this: Chance didn't play enough to qualify; Chase didn't play well enough; there were no HOF first basemen during the subject period.

## Stuffy McInnis

Born 1890; Height 5.10; Weight 162; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}G & A B & H & R^{*} & H R & R B I^{*} & B A & S A & P A B\end{array}$

| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PAB .302+ | 126 | 468 | 150 | 73 | 3 | 74 | .321 | .425 | .321 |
| 1911 | 153 | 568 | 186 | 80 | 3 | 98 | .327 | .433 | .319 |
| 1912 | 148 | 543 | 177 | 75 | 4 | 86 | .326 | .418 | .304 |
| 1913 | 427 | 1579 | 513 | 228 | 10 | 258 | .325 | .425 | .314 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II | 38 | 73 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 12 | .301 | .438 | .301 |
| PAB .274-.301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1914 | 149 | 576 | 181 | 73 | 1 | 94 | .314 | .368 | .292 |
| Total | 187 | 649 | 203 | 83 | 1 | 106 | .313 | .376 | .293 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-.273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218-.245 | 117 | 423 | 115 | 40 | 0 | 56 | .272 | .322 | .227 |
| 1918 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .217- | 19 | 46 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 3 | .239 | .304 | .152 |
| 1909 | 119 | 456 | 143 | 44 | 0 | 49 | .314 | .362 | .204 |
| 1915 | 140 | 512 | 151 | 41 | 1 | 59 | .295 | .361 | .197 |
| 1916 | 150 | 567 | 172 | 50 | 0 | 44 | .303 | .351 | .166 |
| 1917 | 120 | 440 | 134 | 31 | 1 | 57 | .305 | .361 | .202 |
| 1919 | 548 | 2021 | 611 | 169 | 3 | 212 | .302 | .357 | .190 |
| Total | 1279 | 4672 | 1442 | 520 | 14 | 632 | .309 | .380 | .250 |
| Period | 849 | 3150 | 964 | 332 | 6 | 408 | .306 | .382 | .237 |
| Other | 2128 | 7822 | 2406 | 852 | 20 | 1040 | .308 | .381 | .244 |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEST 123+ GAMES | 126 | 468 | 150 | 73 | 3 | 74 | .320 | .425 | .321 |
| 1911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1579 | .314 | 496 | 686 |
| CLASS II | 649 | .293 | 190 |  |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS IV | 423 | .227 | 96 |  |
| TOTAL | 2651 |  | 782 |  |
| CLASS V | 2021 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4672 |  | 782 |  |

McInnis joined the Philadelphia Athletics in 1909 as a 19 -year-old shortstop. First baseman Harry Davis was approaching the end of his career and in 1911 manager Connie Mack shifted the rookie to that position.

McInnis, though not as tall as many first sackers, played the position as well as anybody from 1912 to 1917 (career FA .991). Then he moved to the Red Sox for the final two years of the 1901-19 period.

In the 1920s, he spent three more seasons in the AL, then five in the NL. He was 37 when he ended his 19 -year career with the Phillies.

The 1915 Athletics, absent the talents of Collins, Barry and Baker (sold/traded by Connie Mack), dropped from first place to last, and stayed in the cellar for the balance of the period. The PAB of McInnis went down simultaneously.

McInnis performed mostly in the 1910-29 era, a split period (deadball and live ball) that is beyond the scope of this survey. Within the subject period Stuffy had too few at bats and, of those he had, too many ranked as poor.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | At | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Bat | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| McInnis | 4672 | .111 | .003 | .135 | .250 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

McInnis was one of the most naturally talented first basemen of the time, offensively and defensively. He had the leading BA of the group (.309) and a better-than-average PAB and FA.

Overall, he had a 19 -year career and more at bats than HOF first basemen like Bottomley, Brouthers, Chance, Connor, Greenberg, Kelly, Mize, and Terry. Over the years, HOF electors have at times been satisfied with far less than Stuffy had.

## Dots Miller

Born 1886; Height 6.00; Weight 170; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .302+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 137 | 470 | 126 | 76 | 6 | 72 | .268 | .377 | .328 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .274-301 | 151 | 560 | 156 | 68 | 3 | 84 | .279 | .396 | .277 |
| 1909 | 148 | 567 | 156 | 70 | 4 | 83 | .275 | .397 | .277 |
| 1912 | 299 | 1127 | 312 | 138 | 7 | 167 | .277 | .397 | .277 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| .246-.273 | 154 | 580 | 158 | 68 | 7 | 83 | .272 | .419 | .272 |
| 1913 | 155 | 573 | 166 | 63 | 4 | 84 | .290 | .393 | .264 |
| 1914 | 150 | 553 | 146 | 71 | 2 | 70 | .264 | .342 | .259 |
| 1915 | 459 | 1706 | 470 | 202 | 13 | 237 | .275 | .385 | .265 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218-.245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V | 120 | 444 | 101 | 44 | 1 | 47 | .227 | .309 | .207 |
| PAB .217- | 143 | 505 | 120 | 46 | 1 | 45 | .238 | .315 | .182 |
| 1910 | 148 | 544 | 135 | 59 | 2 | 43 | .248 | .320 | .191 |
| 1916 | 101 | 346 | 80 | 37 | 1 | 23 | .231 | .292 | .176 |
| 1917 | 512 | 1839 | 436 | 186 | 5 | 158 | .237 | .311 | .190 |
| 1919 | 1407 | 5142 | 1344 | 602 | 31 | 634 | .261 | .360 | .246 |
| Total | 182 | 663 | 182 | 77 | 1 | 49 | .275 | .334 | .192 |
| Period | 1589 | 5805 | 1526 | 679 | 32 | 683 | .263 | .357 | .240 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career | 137 | 470 | 126 | 76 | 6 | 72 | .268 | .377 | .328 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 470 | .328 | 154 | 466 |
| CLASS II | 1127 | .277 | 312 |  |
| CLASS III | 1706 | .265 | 452 |  |
| CLASS IV | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| TOTAL | 3303 |  | 918 |  |
| CLASS V | 1839 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5142 |  | 918 |  |

Dots Miller joined Pittsburgh as an infielder in 1909. During his 12-year career, five each with the Pirates and Cardinals, and two final seasons with the Phillies, he never settled in a single defensive position. In 46 percent of his games, he played first base.

Because of the way he was handled, it may be safely assumed that he wasn't a great fielder. Miller retired in 1921 at 35 years of age.

Miller was reasonably durable and a timely hitter. His PAB was just below average.
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A t}$ <br> Bat | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{B R} \boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 5142 | .117 | .006 | .123 | .246 |
| Miller | $514 \boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |  |  |  |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

Inconsistency was his major offensive problem. For the last half of his career, his production profile slumped badly -36 percent of his at bats were poor.

## Ed Konetchy

Born 1885; Height 6.03; Weight 195; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1911-2B

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .302+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 144 | 520 | 157 | 84 | 3 | 75 | . 302 | . 425 | . 312 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .274-. 301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 152 | 576 | 165 | 84 | 4 | 76 | . 286 | . 396 | . 285 |
| 1911 | 158 | 571 | 165 | 84 | 6 | 82 | . 289 | . 433 | . 301 |
| 1912 | 143 | 538 | 169 | 73 | 8 | 74 | . 314 | . 455 | . 288 |
| 1915 | 152 | 576 | 181 | 69 | 10 | 83 | . 314 | . 483 | . 281 |
| Total | 605 | 2261 | 680 | 310 | 28 | 315 | . 301 | . 442 | . 289 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-. 273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 139 | 502 | 137 | 67 | 7 | 61 | . 273 | . 418 | . 269 |
| 1916 | 158 | 566 | 147 | 73 | 3 | 67 | 260 | . 373 | . 253 |
| Total | 297 | 1068 | 284 | 140 | 10 | 128 | . 266 | . 394 | . 260 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218-. 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1917 | 130 | 474 | 129 | 54 | 2 | 52 | . 272 | . 380 | . 228 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .217- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 90 | 330 | 83 | 31 | 3 | 27 | . 252 | . 361 | . 185 |
| 1908 | 154 | 545 | 135 | 41 | 5 | 45 | . 248 | . 354 | . 167 |
| 1914 | 154 | 563 | 140 | 52 | 4 | 47 | . 249 | . 343 | . 183 |
| 1918 | 119 | 437 | 103 | 31 | 2 | 54 | . 236 | . 307 | . 199 |
| 1919 | 132 | 486 | 145 | 45 | 1 | 46 | . 298 | . 391 | . 189 |
| Total | 649 | 2361 | 606 | 200 | 15 | 219 | . 257 | . 351 | . 184 |
| Period | 1825 | 6684 | 1856 | 788 | 58 | 789 | . 278 | . 396 | . 245 |
| Other | 258 | 962 | 292 | 109 | 16 | 129 | . 304 | . 444 | . 264 |
| Career | 2083 | 7646 | 2148 | 897 | 74 | 918 | . 281 | . 402 | . 247 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 144 | 520 | 157 | 84 | 3 | 75 | . 302 | . 425 | . 312 |


\section*{Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP <br> |  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 520 | .312 | 162 | 815 |
| CLASS II | 2261 | .289 | 653 |  |
| CLASS III | 1068 | .260 | 278 |  |
| CLASS IV | 474 | .228 | 108 |  |
| TOTAL | 4323 |  | 1201 |  |
| CLASS V | 2361 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 6684 |  | 1201 |  |}

Konetchy was an unusually large man for the times, hence his nickname, Big Ed.
In his first season (1907) with the St. Louis Cardinals, the young giant (22 years old) played a minor role with the club. Jake Beckley (HOF 1971, played 1888-1907), 40 years old at the time, was finishing his career with the Cardinals. When he retired in 1908, Konetchy took over at the first sack, a position he held with distinction through the 1913 season.

Before the 1914 season, Big Ed was traded to Pittsburgh in an eight-man deal which, among other things, brought Dots Miller to St. Louis.

Konetchy played a season with the Pirates, jumped to the Federal League in 1915, then in 1916 caught on with the Boston Braves; by then, Ed was 31 years old. He spent three seasons in Boston, then, in 1919, moved to Brooklyn; he played for the Dodgers and Phillies during the final three years of his 15 -season career. He was 36 when he retired in 1921.

Konetchy was a top defensive player who, in 99 percent of his appearances, played first base (career FA .990).

As a contact hitter, Ed was a speck behind the rest of the survey group, but his SA (.396) was higher than that of his peers (.376). Also, despite his size, he stole more than 20 bases in six of his 15 years. Finally, only Hal Chase was more durable.

Konetchy spent 13 of his 15 career years in the subject period. In all but five he had competitive production numbers, including his best year (1910, PAB .312). In all elements of his PAB, he was competitive, but in home runs and runs batted in, he was above average.

| PER AT BAT |  |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{PAB})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | At | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B a t}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Konetchy | 6684 | .118 | .009 | .118 | .245 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

The career of Konetchy provides a useful example of how, in the evaluation system being used, durability alone - that is to say, ABs below acceptable quality levels - have no value. Ed, one of the two most durable players in the sample, had 35 percent of his at bats ( $2361 / 6684$ ) in the lowest classification, for which he got no credit - his DP (domination points) were less than they might otherwise have been had all at bats been valued.

Others might set different acceptable activity levels, but it's probable that most would agree that physical ability to play unaccompanied by adequate (major league) quality of play has little value in the game of skill known as baseball. When players reach the stage where they can no longer compete with their peers, they should make way for younger men who can produce as well or better.

Fred Merkle
Born 1888; Height 6.01; Weight 190; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1914-SO

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | PAB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .302+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 479 | 479 | 148 | 71 | 11 | 73 | .309 | .449 | .324 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .274-.301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 38 | 41 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 6 | .268 | .439 | .293 |
| 1910 | 144 | 506 | 148 | 71 | 4 | 66 | .292 | .441 | .279 |
| 1911 | 149 | 541 | 153 | 68 | 12 | 72 | .283 | .438 | .281 |
| Total | 331 | 1088 | 312 | 144 | 17 | 144 | .287 | .439 | .280 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-.273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 153 | 563 | 147 | 75 | 3 | 66 | .261 | .373 | .256 |
| 1914 | 146 | 512 | 132 | 64 | 7 | 56 | .258 | .375 | .248 |
| Total | 299 | 1075 | 279 | 139 | 10 | 122 | .260 | .374 | .252 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218-.245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918 | 129 | 482 | 143 | 52 | 3 | 62 | .297 | .388 | .243 |
| 1919 | 133 | 498 | 133 | 49 | 3 | 59 | .267 | .349 | .223 |
| Total | 262 | 980 | 276 | 101 | 6 | 121 | .282 | .368 | .233 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .217- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 15 | 47 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | .255 | .277 | .106 |
| 1909 | 78 | 236 | 45 | 15 | 0 | 20 | .191 | .237 | .148 |
| 1915 | 140 | 505 | 151 | 48 | 4 | 58 | .299 | .384 | .218 |
| 1916 | 135 | 470 | 111 | 44 | 7 | 39 | .236 | .336 | .191 |
| 1917 | 148 | 557 | 147 | 63 | 3 | 54 | .264 | .368 | .215 |
| Total | 516 | 1815 | 466 | 170 | 14 | 176 | .257 | .345 | .198 |
| Period | 1537 | 5437 | 1481 | 625 | 58 | 636 | .272 | .383 | .243 |
| Other | 100 | 345 | 99 | 34 | 3 | 36 | .287 | .400 | .212 |
| Career | 1637 | 5782 | 1580 | 659 | 61 | 672 | .273 | .384 | 241 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 479 | 479 | 148 | 71 | 11 | 73 | .309 | .449 | .324 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 479 | .324 | 155 | 460 |
| CLASS II | 1088 | .280 | 305 |  |
| CLASS III | 1075 | .252 | 271 |  |
| CLASS IV | 980 | .233 | 228 |  |
| TOTAL | 3622 |  | 959 |  |
| CLASS V | 1815 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5437 |  | 959 |  |

John McGraw was at the helm when the 19 year old Merkle joined the Giants in 1907; Dan McGann was the team's first baseman. The rookie played little.

McGann went to the Boston Braves in the winter of 1907 in an eight-man deal which, among other things, brought Fred Tenney to New York. McGraw immediately assigned the 37-year-old veteran to first base, leaving Merkle on the bench for most of a second season. This
arrangement continued in 1909, but Merkle's playing time doubled; in 1910, McGraw gave him the full-time job.

Merkle was a Giant for over nine years. Then in 1916, he was traded to Brooklyn for Lew McCarty, a backup catcher. He began the 1917 season with the Dodgers but, in August, was sold to the Cubs. He finished that season and three others in Chicago.

Merkle's career was actually over when he left the Cubs in 1920, but he reappeared in 1925 with the New York Yankees as a part-time player and didn't quit for good until 1926 when he was 38 years old.

Merkle spent 95 percent of his games at first base. His fielding average (.985) was acceptable. Although a big man for the times, Merkle was fast. As a youngster, he seldom stole fewer than 20 bases in a season, and in 1911 he was fourth in the NL with 49 steals.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A t}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{B a t}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 5437 | .115 | .011 | .117 | .243 |
| Merkle | $543 \boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B B}$ |  |  |  |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

Merkle was a below average contact hitter, but he was a timely hitter and showed above average durability. These were his strengths.

## Chick Gandil

Born 1887; Height 6.02; Weight 190; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB . $302+$

| 1912 | 117 | 443 | 135 | 57 | 2 | 79 | .305 | .431 | .312 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS II
PAB .274-. 301
None
CLASS III
PAB .246-. 273

| 1919 | 115 | 441 | 128 | 53 | 1 | 59 | .290 | .383 | .256 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS IV
PAB .218-. 245

| 1913 | 148 | 550 | 175 | 60 | 1 | 71 | .318 | .398 | .240 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1914 | 145 | 526 | 136 | 45 | 3 | 72 | .259 | .359 | .228 |
| 1915 | 136 | 485 | 141 | 51 | 2 | 62 | .291 | .406 | .237 |
| 1916 | 146 | 533 | 138 | 51 | 0 | 72 | .259 | .341 | .231 |
| 1918 | 114 | 439 | 119 | 49 | 0 | 55 | .271 | .330 | .237 |
| Total | 689 | 2533 | 709 | 256 | 6 | 332 | .280 | .368 | .235 |

CLASS V
PAB .217-

| 1911 | 77 | 275 | 53 | 19 | 2 | 19 | .193 | .262 | .145 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1917 | 149 | 553 | 151 | 53 | 0 | 57 | .273 | .315 | .199 |
| Total | 226 | 828 | 204 | 72 | 2 | 76 | .246 | .297 | .181 |
| Period | 1147 | 4245 | 1176 | 438 | 11 | 546 | .277 | .362 | .234 |
| Career | 1147 | 4245 | 1176 | 438 | 11 | 546 | .277 | .362 | .234 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 148 | 550 | 175 | 60 | 1 | 71 | .318 | .398 | .240 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 443 | .312 | 138 | 138 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 441 | .256 | 113 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2533 | .235 | 595 |  |
| TOTAL | 3417 |  | 846 |  |
| CLASS V | 828 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4245 |  | 846 |  |

Gandil played his nine-year career in the AL, all within the subject period. He, Daubert and Konetchy were the three best defensive first basemen in the survey group.

Gandil spent four years each with Washington and Chicago, and one with the Indians. He was 32 when he retired in 1919. He was a good journeyman who didn't last very long. His RBI profile was his strongest asset.

|  | At | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B a t}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Gandil | 4245 | .103 | .003 | .128 | .234 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

Gandil, an opportunistic hitter, was otherwise an undistinguished offensive player.

## Fred Luderus

Born 1885; Height 6.00; Weight 185; T-R; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .302+ | 11 | 37 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 8 | .297 | .459 | .432 |
| 1909 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II | 146 | 551 | 166 | 53 | 16 | 83 | .301 | .472 | .276 |
| PAB .275-.301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III | 125 | 122 | 31 | 15 | 0 | 17 | .254 | .352 | .262 |
| PAB .246-.274 | 170 | 598 | 135 | 49 | 5 | 62 | .288 | .378 | .248 |
| 1910 |  |  | 166 | 64 | 5 | 79 | .281 | .373 | .251 |
| 1918 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 148 | 572 | 147 | 67 | 10 | 59 | .257 | .381 | .238 |
| CLASS IV | 155 | 588 | 154 | 49 | 18 | 68 | .262 | .432 | .230 |
| PAB .221-.245 | 121 | 443 | 110 | 43 | 12 | 43 | .248 | .388 | .221 |
| 1912 | 141 | 499 | 157 | 48 | 7 | 55 | .315 | .457 | .220 |
| 1913 | 154 | 522 | 136 | 52 | 5 | 67 | .261 | .351 | .238 |
| 1914 | 719 | 2624 | 704 | 259 | 52 | 292 | .268 | .402 | .230 |
| 1915 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1917 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V | 146 | 508 | 143 | 47 | 5 | 48 | .281 | .374 | .197 |
| PAB .220- | 138 | 509 | 149 | 55 | 5 | 49 | .293 | .405 | .214 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 284 | 1017 | 292 | 102 | 10 | 97 | .287 | .390 | .206 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | 1330 | 4819 | 1339 | 485 | 84 | 559 | .278 | .404 | .234 |
| Other | 16 | 32 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | .156 | .252 | .156 |
| Career | 1346 | 4851 | 1344 | 486 | 84 | 563 | .277 | .403 | .234 |
| BEST 123+GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 146 | 551 | 166 | 53 | 16 | 83 | .301 | .472 | .276 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 37 | .432 | 16 | 168 |
| CLASS II | 551 | .276 | 152 |  |
| CLASS III | 590 | .251 | 148 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2624 | .230 | 604 |  |
| TOTAL | 3802 |  | 920 |  |
| CLASS V | 1017 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4819 |  | 920 |  |

Fred Luderus, a fair fielding (FA .986), full-time first baseman, broke in with the Cubs in 1909 but soon went with the Phillies, where he spent 11 of his 12 seasons. He retired in 1920 at the age of 35 .

Luderus was the slow-footed power hitter of the survey, and a fair RBI man. Scoring and durability were the weak spots in his record.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A t}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B a t}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Luderus | 4819 | .101 | .017 | .116 | .234 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

Power, nothing else, defined Luderus as a hitter - he had four double-digit home runs seasons, rare for the times.

Kitty Bransfield
Born 1875; Height 5.11; Weight 207; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .302+ | 139 | 566 | 167 | 92 | 0 | 91 | . 295 | . 398 | . 323 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLASS II } \\ & \text { PAB .274-. } 301 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 102 | 417 | 127 | 49 | 1 | 68 | . 305 | . 396 | . 283 |
| CLASS III <br> PAB .246-. 273 <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLASS IV } \\ & \text { PAB .218-. } 245 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 127 | 505 | 134 | 67 | 2 | 55 | . 265 | . 350 | . 246 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1905 | 151 | 580 | 150 | 52 | 3 | 73 | .259 | .345 | .221 |
| 1908 | 144 | 527 | 160 | 50 | 3 | 68 | .304 | .395 | .230 |
| Total | 422 | 1612 | 444 | 169 | 8 | 196 | .275 | .363 | .231 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .217- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 139 | 520 | 116 | 47 | 0 | 60 | .223 | .290 | .206 |
| 1906 | 140 | 524 | 144 | 46 | 1 | 58 | .275 | .353 | .202 |
| 1907 | 94 | 348 | 81 | 25 | 0 | 38 | .233 | .287 | .181 |
| 1909 | 140 | 527 | 154 | 46 | 1 | 59 | .292 | .372 | .199 |
| 1910 | 123 | 427 | 102 | 36 | 3 | 49 | .239 | .319 | .206 |
| 1911 | 26 | 53 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 3 | .283 | .377 | .132 |
| Total | 662 | 2399 | 612 | 204 | 5 | 267 | .255 | .328 | .198 |
| Period | 1325 | 4994 | 1350 | 514 | 14 | 622 | .270 | .353 | .230 |
| Other | 5 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | .222 | .353 | .333 |
| Career | 1330 | 5003 | 1352 | 516 | 14 | 623 | .270 | .353 | .230 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 139 | 566 | 167 | 92 | 0 | 91 | .295 | .398 | .323 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 566 | .323 | 183 | 301 |
| CLASS II | 417 | .283 | 118 |  |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1612 | .231 | 372 |  |
| TOTAL | 2595 |  | 673 |  |
| CLASS V | 2399 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4994 |  | 673 |  |

Kitty Bransfield had a 12-year major league career, most of it in the subject period-four years with Pittsburgh, six and a fraction with the Phillies and just a touch with the Cubs. He was 36 when he quit in 1911.

In 1901 with the Pirates, Bransfield had one of the best production seasons of the era and it looked like he would become one of the stars of the NL. But he wasn't - he was rather ordinary at the plate and in the field.

Attentive readers will notice that many players had great seasons during the early years of this analytical period that were seldom equaled thereafter, which throws a legitimate cloud over the value of the early numbers that were calculated when the rules of the game were still being formed into those that applied in future decades.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | At | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B a t}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Bransfield | 4994 | .103 | .003 | .124 | .230 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

Due to his burly physique, one assumes that few players made too much of Bransfield's nickname.

## Jake Daubert

Born 1884; Height 5.11; Weight 160; T-L; B-L; MVP-NL, 1913; Led National League: 1913-BA; 1914-BA; 1918-3B

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{A}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .302+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .275-.301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-.274 | 145 | 559 | 172 | 78 | 3 | 63 | .308 | .415 | .258 |
| 1912 | 139 | 508 | 178 | 74 | 2 | 50 | .350 | .423 | .248 |
| 1913 | 126 | 474 | 156 | 83 | 6 | 39 | .329 | .432 | .270 |
| 1914 | 410 | 1541 | 506 | 235 | 11 | 152 | .328 | .423 | .258 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV | 149 | 573 | 176 | 84 | 5 | 40 | .307 | .391 | .225 |
| PAB .221-.245 | 127 | 478 | 151 | 72 | 3 | 30 | .316 | .397 | .220 |
| 1911 | 108 | 396 | 122 | 48 | 2 | 45 | .308 | .429 | .240 |
| 1916 | 140 | 537 | 148 | 77 | 2 | 42 | .276 | .350 | .225 |
| 1918 | 524 | 1984 | 597 | 281 | 12 | 157 | .301 | .386 | .227 |
| 1919 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V | 144 | 552 | 146 | 59 | 8 | 42 | .264 | .389 | .197 |
| PAB .220- | 150 | 544 | 164 | 60 | 2 | 45 | .301 | .381 | .197 |
| 1910 | 125 | 468 | 122 | 57 | 2 | 28 | .261 | .299 | .186 |
| 1915 | 419 | 1564 | 432 | 176 | 12 | 115 | .276 | .359 | .194 |
| 1917 | 653 | 5089 | 1535 | 692 | 35 | 424 | .302 | .390 | .226 |
| Total | 2584 | 791 | 369 | 21 | 242 | .306 | .423 | .245 |  |
| Period | 2014 | 7673 | 2326 | 1061 | 56 | 666 | .303 | .401 | .232 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career | 126 | 474 | 156 | 83 | 6 | 39 | .329 | .432 | .270 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1541 | .258 | 398 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1984 | .227 | 450 |  |
| TOTAL | 3525 |  | 848 |  |
| CLASS V | 1564 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5089 |  | 848 |  |

Jake Daubert took over the first-base job during his first season (1910) with the Dodgers. He was 26 at the time. In nine years with them, he won the BA crown twice, the MVP award once, and he fielded his position as it's supposed to be done (FA .991).

Before the 1919 season, 35-year-old Daubert was traded to the Reds even up for Tommy Griffith, an outfielder of no great distinction. Daubert finished his career with the Reds, retiring in 1924 at the age of 40.

Daubert, one of the best contact hitters of the era, was also a superior fielder. The subject period encompasses only two-thirds of his career, which, like that of any player whose career overlapped into the live-ball era, does not get fully analyzed in this survey.

| Per at BAT $(\mathrm{PAB})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A t}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B a t}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Daubert | 5089 | .136 | .007 | .083 | .226 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

Daubert, with career ABs of 7673, had good speed and he hit better than most, but his full value as a player will always be clouded by the fact that he was a split-era player. The numbers, however, suggest this: If managers of the day were forced to choose between Chance, Davis, Chase or Daubert, the least known of the four - Daubert - would probably be the choice.

## Fred Tenney

Born 1871 Height 5.09; Weight 155; T-L; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .302+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .274-301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-. 273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 122 | 447 | 140 | 76 | 3 | 38 | . 313 | . 396 | . 262 |
| 1908 | 156 | 583 | 149 | 99 | 2 | 47 | . 256 | . 304 | . 254 |
| Total | 278 | 1030 | 289 | 175 | 5 | 85 | . 281 | . 344 | . 257 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218-. 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 134 | 489 | 154 | 86 | 2 | 28 | . 315 | . 376 | . 237 |
| 1911 | 102 | 369 | 97 | 51 | 1 | 35 | . 263 | . 328 | . 236 |
| Total | 236 | 858 | 251 | 137 | 3 | 63 | . 293 | . 355 | . 237 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .217- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 115 | 457 | 127 | 62 | 1 | 21 | . 278 | . 317 | . 184 |
| 1904 | 147 | 533 | 144 | 75 | 1 | 36 | . 270 | . 341 | . 210 |
| 1905 | 149 | 549 | 158 | 84 | 0 | 28 | . 288 | . 332 | . 204 |
| 1906 | 143 | 544 | 154 | 60 | 1 | 27 | . 283 | . 340 | . 162 |
| 1907 | 150 | 554 | 151 | 83 | 0 | 26 | . 273 | . 334 | . 197 |
| 1909 | 101 | 375 | 88 | 40 | 3 | 27 | . 235 | . 291 | . 187 |
| Total | 805 | 3012 | 822 | 404 | 6 | 165 | . 273 | . 328 | . 191 |
| Period | 1319 | 4900 | 1362 | 716 | 14 | 313 | . 278 | . 336 | . 213 |
| Other | 675 | 2701 | 869 | 537 | 8 | 353 | . 325 | . 401 | . 332 |
| Career | 1994 | 7602 | 2239 | 1253 | 22 | 666 | . 294 | . 359 | . 255 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 156 | 583 | 149 | 99 | 2 | 47 | . 256 | . 304 | . 254 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P} \boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1030 | .257 | 265 |  |
| CLASS IV | 858 | .237 | 203 |  |
| TOTAL | 1888 |  | 468 |  |
| CLASS V | 3012 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4900 |  | 468 |  |

Tenney was 30 when the subject period opened, with seven years of major league experience behind him with the Boston Braves. In 1901, he was still with that club and, for all but two of his 17 career years, stayed with them.

Like McInnis and Daubert, Tenney's durability looks weak only because the period being analyzed was not his period of peak activity (1891-1909, career ABs 7601). In the subject period, Fred was neither very active nor very productive.

As a production man, he was the second-best scorer in the group, but he had little power, and he had the lowest RBI yield.

| PER AT BAT |  |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{PAB})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A t}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | Total |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B a t}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Tenney | 4900 | .146 | .003 | .064 | .213 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | .123 | .007 | .116 | .246 |

Tenney was small for a first basemen and not unusually skilled (FA .982). For three seasons (1905/1907), he was the playing manager.

## George Stovall

Born 1878; Height 6.02; Weight 180; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .302+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .274-301 | 126 | 458 | 124 | 48 | 0 | 79 | .271 | .338 | .277 |
| 1911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .246-.273 | 124 | 182 | 54 | 17 | 1 | 30 | .297 | .379 | .264 |
| 1904 | 450 | 128 | 44 | 7 | 68 | .284 | .398 | .264 |  |
| 1914 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 | .288 | .393 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | .264 |
| CLASS UV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218-.245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 111 | 419 | 114 | 40 | 1 | 46 | .272 | .368 | .208 |
| CLASS V | 116 | 443 | 121 | 54 | 0 | 37 | .273 | .339 | .205 |
| PAB .217- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1907 | 124 | 466 | 110 | 37 | 1 | 35 | .236 | .305 | .157 |
| 1908 | 138 | 534 | 156 | 69 | 2 | 43 | .292 | .380 | .213 |
| 1909 | 145 | 565 | 139 | 58 | 2 | 47 | .246 | .322 | .189 |
| 1910 | 142 | 521 | 136 | 47 | 0 | 52 | .261 | .313 | .190 |
| 1912 | 115 | 398 | 101 | 35 | 0 | 45 | .254 | .322 | .201 |
| 1913 | 89 | 303 | 87 | 33 | 1 | 23 | .287 | .363 | .188 |
| 1915 | 130 | 480 | 111 | 48 | 0 | 44 | .231 | .288 | .192 |
| Total | 1110 | 4129 | 1075 | 421 | 7 | 372 | .260 | .332 | .194 |
| Period | 1412 | 5219 | 1381 | 530 | 15 | 549 | .265 | .340 | .210 |
| Career | 1412 | 5219 | 1381 | 530 | 15 | 549 | .265 | .340 | .210 |
| BEST 123+GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 126 | 458 | 124 | 48 | 0 | 79 | .271 | .338 | .277 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 |
| CLASS II | 458 | .277 | 127 |  |
| CLASS III | 632 | .264 | 167 |  |
| CLASS IV | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| TOTAL | 1090 |  | 294 |  |
| CLASS V | 4129 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5219 |  | 294 |  |

George Stovall had a 12 -year career, eight with Cleveland, two with the St. Louis Browns and two in Kansas City of the Federal League (1914-15). He was a journeyman ballplayer of no particular distinction who had a relatively short career in the subject period.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | At | $R$ | HR | RBI | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bat | PAB | PAB | PAB | $P A B$ |
| Stovall | 5219 | . 102 | . 003 | . 105 | . 210 |
| Sample avg. | 5072 | . 123 | . 007 | . 116 | . 246 |

The first baseman was made manager of the Indians in 1911, and he ultimately operated as the playing manager for five seasons, compiling a record as such of $313 / 376$. When he retired in 1915, Stovall was 37 years old.

# First Basemen - Analysis, Summary in Domination Point (DP) Sequence 

* Net of home runs
** HOF

| PLAYER | $P A B$ |  |  |  | TOTAL |  | SA | MTP | MVP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AB | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | PAB | BA |  |  |  |
| AVG. PLAYER | 5072 | . 123 | . 007 | . 116 | . 246 | . 281 | . 376 |  |  |
| SUPERSTAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DP 1591+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DP 1269-1590 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chase H | 7417 | . 124 | . 008 | . 119 | . 251 | . 291 | . 391 | 295 | 1553 |
| Davis H | 5367 | . 138 | . 013 | . 129 | . 280 | . 279 | . 407 | 981 | 1401 |
| ABOVE AVG. DP 947-1268 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chance F** | 3805 | . 180 | . 005 | . 139 | . 324 | . 297 | . 396 | 1114 | 1232 |
| Konetchy E | 6684 | . 118 | . 009 | . 118 | . 245 | . 278 | . 396 | 815 | 1201 |
| Hoblitzel R | 4706 | . 120 | . 006 | . 126 | . 251 | . 278 | . 374 | 269 | 1159 |
| Merkle F | 5437 | . 115 | . 011 | . 117 | . 243 | . 272 | . 383 | 460 | 959 |
| McGann D | 3581 | . 140 | . 007 | . 117 | . 264 | . 278 | . 369 | 427 | 946 |
| BELOW AVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DP 625-946 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luderus F | 4819 | . 101 | . 017 | . 116 | . 234 | . 278 | . 404 | 168 | 920 |
| Miller D | 5142 | . 117 | . 006 | . 123 | . 246 | . 261 | . 360 | 466 | 918 |
| Daubert J | 5089 | . 136 | . 007 | . 083 | . 226 | . 302 | . 290 | 0 | 848 |
| Gandil C | 4245 | . 103 | . 003 | . 128 | . 234 | . 277 | . 362 | 138 | 846 |
| McInnis S | 4672 | . 111 | . 003 | . 135 | . 250 | . 309 | . 380 | 686 | 782 |
| Bransfield K | 4994 | . 103 | . 003 | . 124 | . 230 | . 270 | . 353 | 301 | 673 |
| POOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DP 624 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tenney F | 4900 | . 146 | . 003 | . 064 | . 213 | . 278 | . 336 | 0 | 468 |
| Stovall G | 5219 | . 102 | . 003 | . 105 | . 210 | . 265 | . 340 | 127 | 294 |
| Average 947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 321 |

10 BEST PAB SEASONS

| Chance $^{* *}-1903$ | 441 | .184 | .004 | .179 | .367 | .327 | .440 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Miller-1911 | 470 | .162 | .013 | .153 | .328 | .268 | .377 |
| Merkle-1912 | 479 | .148 | .023 | .153 | .324 | .309 | .449 |
| Bransfield K-1901> | 566 | .162 | .000 | .161 | .323 | .295 | .398 |
| Davis-1906> | 551 | .149 | .022 | .152 | .323 | .292 | .459 |
| McGann-1905 | 491 | .169 | .010 | .143 | .322 | .299 | .434 |
| McInnis-1911 | 468 | 156 | .006 | .158 | .320 | .321 | .425 |
| Konetchy-1910 | 520 | .162 | .006 | .144 | .312 | .302 | .425 |
| Hoblitzell-1915 | 399 | .130 | .005 | .148 | .283 | .283 | .396 |
| Stovall-1911 $>$ | 458 | .105 | .000 | .172 | .277 | .271 | .338 |
| Chase-1915 $>$ | 567 | .120 | .030 | .127 | .277 | .291 | .471 |

It is useful to note that in the listing of best PAB seasons only four men (Bransfield, Davis, Konetchy and Chase) had more than 500 AB . The performance of these fully-active players deserves special admiration. Questions about the records of selectively-active players will always remain.

Also, half of the best seasons fell in the first decade, and none went beyond 1915, another reminder that the early records should be viewed with caution.

## The Best of the Best

| Sample size | 15 |
| :--- | :---: |
| NL | 10 |
| AL | 5 |
| Most durable-top 5 | Chase, Konetchy, Merkle, Davis, Miller |
| Top five BA | McInnis, Daubert, Chance, Chase, Davis |
| Top five SA | Davis, Luderus, Chance, Konetchy, Chase |
| Top five scorers (R) | Chance, McGann, Davis, Daubert, Chase |
| Top five power hitters (HR) | Luderus, Davis, Merkle, Konetchy, Chase |
| Top five clutch hitters (RBI) | Chance, McInnis, Davis, Gandil, Hoblitzel |
| Top five seasons | Chance, Miller, Merkle, Bransfield, Davis |
| Most talented producer (MTP)-top 5 | Chance, Davis, Konetchy, McInnis, Miller |
| Most valuable producer (MVP)-top 5 | Chase, Davis, Chance, Konetchy, Hoblitzel |

The 1901-19 era featured short-careered players with lots of speed and little power. Only six players exceeded the average durability for the sample, 5072 AB - Chase, Konetchy, Merkle, Davis, Miller and Daubert. These were the backbone first basemen of the era, the men who day by day represented the quality of the times.

The MTP rating captures the players who produced at Class I and Class II levels for the longest time. The top five were Chance, Davis, Konetchy, McInnis and Miller.

But it's class ( PAB ) plus durability $(\mathrm{AB})$ that determines the MVP ranking. The top five were Chase, Davis, Chance, Konetchy and Hoblitzel.

Careful readers will notice that it was Chase's Class III PAB weighted by his Class I durability that took him to the top of the heap. No matter how talented a player, to be of value to his team he must first be ready to play. In this respect, Chase was dominant with Konetchy close behind. Because of questions raised about the legitimacy of Chance's record, it is important to note that Chase was clearly the leading first baseman of the era.

It is the position of this analysis that position players with MVP ratings in the Superstar classification should be in the HOF, and those in the Star classification should get serious consideration, unless they were bypassed for some extraordinary reason. Those in lower MVP classifications who are in the HOF must have demonstrated obvious superiority in some aspect of the game, other than productivity, that made them stand out from the pack.

Based upon those criteria, Chase and Davis would have been understandable additions to the HOF; they were overlooked. On the other hand, Chance was elected, a decision that, from a comparable point of view, seems incorrect and unjust.

Analysis has demonstrated that Chance's MTP and MVP ratings are misleading - the product of a string of partial seasons that do not fairly and comparably reflect his full-time skills over an extended period. And the view is expressed here that his association with the famed Tinkers to Evers to Chance double-play combination was the questionable reason for his election.

The period did not produce a bumper crop of first basemen, and no superstar. Maybe Chase could have been chosen; maybe Davis. And it is just possible that McInnis and Daubert were the best of all split-era players, who can only be emotionally judged.

## Catchers - Analysis, 1901-1919

With apologies to fans who follow baseball closely, it's useful to inform those who love the game as much, but study it less, that in developing a sample for catchers, screening levels used for other fielding positions were not fair or useful. The man behind the mask is special. The unique physical strains associated with his job reduce games played per season and shorten his career.

The judgment was made that using a specially designed durability factor (five or more seasons with at least 300 ABs ) would provide a more meaningful sample - one more reflective of baseball managers' attitudes about player quality and value and, to be practical, one that would result in a sample of reasonable size.

During the 1901-19 period, 13 catchers met the test and generated an average PAB of .188. The elements of the PAB factor are summarized below:

|  | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Runs | .091 |
| Home runs | .003 |
| RBI | .097 |
| Total | .191 |

With the information dealing with first basemen fresh in mind, it's obvious that the catchers who qualified were a powerless, light-hitting and low-producing bunch. They are identified below:

Dominant Catchers, 1910-19 Record

| PLAYER | $L G$ | $A B$ | H | $R^{* *}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{* *}$ | $B A$ | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB.263+ Bresnahan $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ | N | 4460 | 1245 | 656 | 26 | 501 | . 279 | . 378 | . 265 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLASS II } \\ & \text { PAB .227-262 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kling J | N | 4190 | 1127 | 447 | 20 | 486 | . 271 | . 357 | . 227 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meyers C | N | 2834 | 826 | 262 | 14 | 349 | . 291 | . 378 | . 221 |
| Schalk R* | A | 2830 | 707 | 292 | 5 | 279 | . 250 | . 306 | . 204 |
| Schreckengost O | A | 2588 | 693 | 235 | 7 | 274 | . 268 | . 338 | . 199 |
| Wingo I | N | 2562 | 658 | 235 | 15 | 259 | . 257 | . 359 | . 199 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .155-. 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sullivan B | A | 3345 | 692 | 306 | 11 | 314 | . 207 | . 270 | . 189 |
| McLean L | N | 2647 | 694 | 177 | 6 | 292 | . 262 | . 323 | . 179 |
| O'Neill S | A | 2636 | 640 | 223 | 5 | 233 | . 243 | . 311 | . 175 |
| Dooin R | N | 4004 | 961 | 323 | 10 | 334 | . 240 | . 298 | . 167 |
| Gibson G | N | 3776 | 893 | 280 | 15 | 330 | . 236 | . 312 | . 166 |


| PLAYER | $\boldsymbol{L G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{* *}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{* *}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| POOR .154- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Killefer B | N | 2826 | 666 | 206 | 4 | 204 | .236 | .282 | .146 |
| Bergen B | N | 3028 | 516 | 136 | 2 | 191 | .170 | .201 | .109 |
| Avg | 3210 | 794 | 291 | 11 | 311 | .247 | .316 | .191 |  |
| SD | 645 | .035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) BA, PAB calculated horizontally; (2) $A B, H, R, H R, R B I, S A, S D$ calculated vertically

## Classifications

|  | Class <br> $(\boldsymbol{P A B})$ | Durability <br> $($ AB $)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | $.263+$ | $4502+$ |
| CLASS II | $.227-.262$ | $3856-4501$ |
| CLASS III | $.191-.226$ | $3210-3855$ |
| CLASS IV | $.155-.190$ | $2564-3209$ |
| CLASS V | $.154-$ | $2563-$ |

## Player Analysis

## Class I, PAB .263+

Roger Bresnahan, elected to the HOF in 1945, squeezed into this classification. Analysis reveals interesting information about this player, namely, that he was a part-time catcher who looks exceptional only when compared with full-time catchers.

Bresnahan was the first to use shin pads, for which his successors have been eternally grateful.
Before going forward, readers should pause and reflect upon the low threshold that catchers had to meet to acquire Class I status - much lower than the other positions.

## Class II, PAB .227-. 262

Johnny Kling is the only player so classified - and he barely made it. He was a durable player, better than most catchers, but not outstanding.

## Class III, PAB .191-. 226

Four catchers fell into this classification: Meyers, Schalk, Schreckengost and Wingo.
Schalk was elected to the HOF in 1945. That distinction was not justified by his production performance during the subject period and, on the surface, it doesn't appear he was any more fearsome at the plate during the live-ball era, in which he spent most of his career. The HOF blurb concentrates on his defensive skills.

The question raised is this: Should a great defensive catcher with poor offensive skills be in the HOF?

## Class IV, PAB .155-. 190

Sullivan, McLean, O'Neill, Dooin and Gibson were so classified. Dooin and Gibson had better-than-average durability and above-average power, skills that presumably kept their careers alive. The value of Sullivan, McLean and O'Neill may be revealed in analysis.

## Class V, PAB .154-

Killefer and Bergen had the lowest production averages. They are in the sample only because the general quality of catchers during the era was so low.

## Roger Bresnahan

Born 1879; Height 5.09; Weight 200; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1908-BB

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | $B A$ | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .263+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 113 | 406 | 142 | 83 | 4 | 51 | . 350 | . 493 | . 340 |
| 1904 | 109 | 402 | 114 | 76 | 5 | 28 | . 284 | . 410 | . 271 |
| 1905 | 104 | 331 | 100 | 58 | 0 | 46 | . 302 | . 375 | . 314 |
| 1906 | 124 | 405 | 114 | 69 | 0 | 43 | . 281 | . 356 | . 277 |
| 1907 | 110 | 328 | 83 | 53 | 4 | 34 | . 253 | . 360 | . 277 |
| 1908 | 140 | 449 | 127 | 69 | 1 | 53 | . 283 | . 359 | . 274 |
| 1910 | 88 | 234 | 65 | 35 | 0 | 27 | . 278 | . 368 | . 265 |
| 1911 | 81 | 227 | 63 | 19 | 3 | 38 | . 278 | . 463 | . 264 |
| 1914 | 86 | 248 | 69 | 42 | 0 | 24 | . 278 | . 351 | . 266 |
| Total | 955 | 3030 | 877 | 504 | 17 | 344 | . 289 | . 392 | . 285 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .227-. 262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 86 | 295 | 79 | 39 | 1 | 31 | . 263 | . 369 | . 241 |
| 1902 | 116 | 413 | 116 | 42 | 5 | 51 | . 281 | . 414 | . 237 |
| 1913 | 68 | 161 | 37 | 19 | 1 | 20 | . 230 | . 304 | . 248 |
| Total | 270 | 869 | 232 | 100 | 7 | 102 | . 267 | . 378 | . 241 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .191-. 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 72 | 234 | 57 | 27 | 0 | 23 | . 244 | . 269 | . 214 |
| 1912 | 48 | 108 | 36 | 7 | 1 | 14 | . 333 | . 463 | . 204 |
| Total | 120 | 342 | 93 | 34 | 1 | 37 | . 272 | . 330 | . 211 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLASS IV, } \\ & \text { PAB .155-. } 190 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 77 | 221 | 45 | 18 | 1 | 18 | . 204 | . 262 | . 167 |
| CLASS V,PAB .154- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | 1422 | 4462 | 1247 | 656 | 26 | 501 | . 279 | . 382 | . 265 |
| Other | 8 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | . 333 | . 368 | . 222 |
| Career | 1430 | 4480 | 1253 | 657 | 26 | 504 | . 280 | . 379 | . 265 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 124 | 405 | 114 | 69 | 0 | 43 | . 281 | . 356 | . 277 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 3030 | .285 | 864 | 1073 |
| CLASS II | 869 | .241 | 209 |  |
| CLASS III | 342 | 211 | 72 |  |
| CLASS IV | 221 | 167 | 37 |  |
| TOTAL | 4462 |  | 1182 |  |
| CLASS V | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4462 |  | 1182 |  |

Bresnahan dropped into the major leagues in 1897 for a cup of coffee with Washington. He next appeared in 1900 as a catcher in two games for the Cubs. In 1901, then 22, he played for Baltimore as a utility man.

John McGraw, manager of Baltimore in those days, got into an argument with AL president Ban Johnson, at the end of which the disgruntled McGraw left the AL and hooked on with the Giants as manager. Bresnahan went with him.

For the next six seasons, Roger played a variety of positions for McGraw. In only one (1908) did he catch over 100 games.

Bresnahan was traded to the Cardinals in December 1908 for three players. He functioned in the 1909 season, and for the three following, as a playing manager. St. Louis let him go to the Cubs for cash in 1913; in Chicago, two years later, Bresnahan retired. He was 36 when he quit.

Like most things about Bresnahan's record, his defensive statistics are a hodgepodge of varying experiences in every position on the field. In only one year (1908) did he combine a full season of catching with a significant number of games. He came out of that unique (for him) experience with a FA of .985 , better than the career FA of .981 of HOF catcher Ray Schalk, which seems to qualify him as a competent backstop. Further evidence of this is that he handled pitchers like Mathewson and McGinnity without complaint.

All catchers owe Bresnahan a debt of gratitude. In 1907, tired of the bumps and bruises, he introduced shin guards to the game. Players gave him a fierce ribbing, but he stuck it out and soon the equipment was formally approved by baseball.

Even for catchers, Bresnahan's durability record is lightweight. He appeared in 120 or more games only twice in his 17 -year career, and he played in 100 or more games in only seven seasons.

In a situation of limited (managed?) exposure one must guard against undue enthusiasm over offensive statistics. That having been noted, Bresnahan functioned at a Class I level during his New York period which, for all practical purposes, constituted his career as an active player.

Roger had the second highest BA of the group and the highest SA. In terms of PAB elements, he was the top scorer, the top home run hitter and the third best RBI man in the sample.

He was also fast. He stole his share of bases (34 in 1903) and performed well as a leadoff hitter for McGraw's Giants, an unusual role for a catcher and one that explains his efficiency, when contrasted with other catchers, as a scorer (OBP .386).

In the following comparison of Bresnahan's statistics with those of the select catching sample, his total dominance of the scene becomes clearer.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Bresnahan | 4460 | .147 | .006 | .112 | .265 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

Bresnahan's offensive talent was indisputable. But his election to the HOF isn't. Only against catchers does his durability record appear strong. Against first basemen, for example, it's below average. Only against catchers does his PAB appear robust.

Actually, Bresnahan became the equivalent of a utility player when he was 23 , and for all practical purposes he quit when he was 29 - seven years. Thereafter, he was an occasional backup man.

Should a record like this be compared with those of regular catchers? Indeed, was Bresnahan a catcher at all, or was he just a multi-talented utility player?

Many might conclude that, with such questions in the air, Bresnahan was not a HOF player.

Johnny Kling
Born 1875; Height 5.10; Weight 160; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{A}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .263+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 132 | 491 | 146 | 64 | 3 | 65 | .297 | .428 | .269 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .227-.262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 114 | 434 | 124 | 50 | 0 | 57 | .286 | .343 | .247 |
| 1906 | 107 | 343 | 107 | 43 | 2 | 44 | .312 | .420 | .259 |
| 1907 | 104 | 334 | 95 | 43 | 1 | 42 | .284 | .386 | .257 |
| 1908 | 126 | 424 | 117 | 47 | 4 | 55 | .276 | .382 | .250 |
| Total | 451 | 1535 | 443 | 183 | 7 | 198 | .289 | .380 | .253 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .191-.226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 123 | 452 | 110 | 39 | 2 | 44 | .243 | .296 | .188 |
| 1905 | 111 | 380 | 83 | 25 | 1 | 51 | .218 | .279 | .203 |
| 1910 | 91 | 297 | 80 | 29 | 2 | 30 | .269 | .360 | .205 |
| 1911 | 102 | 321 | 68 | 37 | 3 | 26 | .212 | .293 | .206 |
| 1912 | 81 | 252 | 80 | 24 | 2 | 28 | .317 | .405 | .214 |
| 1913 | 80 | 209 | 57 | 20 | 0 | 23 | .273 | .364 | .206 |
| Total | 588 | 1911 | 478 | 174 | 10 | 202 | .250 | .324 | .202 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .155-.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 74 | 253 | 70 | 26 | 0 | 21 | .277 | .324 | .186 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .154 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | 1245 | 4190 | 1137 | 447 | 20 | 486 | .271 | .357 | .227 |
| Other | 15 | 51 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 7 | .294 | .357 | .294 |
| Career | 1260 | 4241 | 1152 | 455 | 20 | 493 | .272 | .357 | .228 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 132 | 491 | 146 | 64 | 3 | 65 | .297 | .428 | .269 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 491 | .269 | 132 | 520 |
| CLASS II | 1535 | .253 | 388 |  |
| CLASS III | 1911 | .202 | 386 |  |
| CLASS IV | 253 | .196 | 50 |  |
| TOTAL | 4190 |  | 956 |  |
| CLASS V | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4190 |  | 956 |  |

Johnny Kling was a late arrival. In 1900, as a 25 -year-old, he played 15 games with the Cubs. He was behind the plate for almost half the schedule in the next season, and in 1902, he became the first-string backstop for the club. He continued in that capacity through the 1908 season.

Kling had squabbles with team management in 1909 and 1910, and in 1911 he went to the Boston Braves in an eight-man deal. In 1912, he was playing manager for Boston and finished in last place. Then he was sold to the Reds where he finished up in 1913-38 years old.

Kling was behind the plate for $100+$ games in eight of his 13 years; he compiled a career FA of .970 , which suggests that he was marginally competent as a glove man.

Competent contact hitters with some pop in their bats are always prized possessions in baseball. Kling qualified as such. He was a competent contact hitter (BA .271); he stroked the long ball more than most catchers and he was the second best clutch hitter in the sample.

Compared with his peers, Johnny Kling was well ahead as a producer.
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | AT $\boldsymbol{R}$ $\boldsymbol{H R}$ RBI | TOTAL |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Kling | 4190 | .107 | .005 | .115 | .227 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

In terms of quality, Kling's durability was more real than Bresnahan's and (unlike Bresnahan) he was indisputably a catcher.

Was he of HOF caliber?
Doubtful. He had no battle ribbons to suggest that he was a league leader in anything of importance. Most would conclude that, although more effective than his peers, he was king of a weak crowd, and neither his durability nor his PAB was overly impressive in an absolute sense.

## Chief Meyers

Born 1880; Height 5.11; Weight 194; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .263+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 133 | 391 | 130 | 47 | 1 | 60 | .332 | .432 | .276 |
| 1912 | 126 | 371 | 133 | 54 | 6 | 48 | .358 | .477 | .291 |
| Total | 259 | 762 | 263 | 101 | 7 | 108 | .345 | .454 | .283 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .227-.262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 127 | 365 | 104 | 24 | 1 | 61 | .285 | .342 | .236 |
| 1914 | 134 | 381 | 109 | 32 | 1 | 54 | .286 | .354 | .228 |
| Total | 261 | 746 | 213 | 56 | 2 | 115 | .286 | .348 | .232 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .191-.226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 90 | 220 | 61 | 14 | 1 | 29 | .277 | .382 | .200 |
| 1913 | 120 | 378 | 118 | 34 | 3 | 44 | .312 | .410 | .214 |
| Total | 210 | 598 | 179 | 48 | 4 | 73 | .299 | .400 | .209 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .155-.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 110 | 289 | 67 | 23 | 1 | 25 | .232 | .311 | .170 |
| 1916 | 80 | 239 | 59 | 21 | 0 | 21 | .247 | .314 | .176 |
| Total | 190 | 528 | 126 | 44 | 1 | 46 | .239 | .312 | .172 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .154- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1917 | 72 | 200 | 45 | 13 | 0 | 7 | .225 | .300 | .100 |
| Period | 992 | 2834 | 826 | 262 | 14 | 349 | .291 | .378 | .221 |
| Career | 992 | 2834 | 826 | 262 | 14 | 349 | .291 | .378 | .221 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 126 | 371 | 133 | 54 | 6 | 48 | .358 | .477 | .291 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 762 | .283 | 216 | 389 |
| CLASS II | 746 | .232 | 173 |  |
| CLASS III | 598 | .209 | 125 |  |
| CLASS IV | 528 | .172 | 91 |  |
| TOTAL | 2634 |  | 605 |  |
| CLASS V | 200 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 2834 |  | 605 |  |

Chief Meyers didn't play a game of major league baseball until he was 29 years old. In 1909, he was used behind the plate for 90 games by the Giants who, since the departure of Bresnahan, were looking for a catcher. The job was given to Meyers in 1910 and he held it through the 1915 season. In 1916, then 36 years old, Meyers moved on to the Dodgers, then the Braves for part-time duty. He was 37 when he retired in 1917.

The Chief posted a FA of .974 - not great but good. He handled pitchers like Christy Mathewson (HOF) and Rube Marquard (HOF), and he appeared effectively with such men in four World Series, a fact that perhaps says as much or more about his skills as a catcher than any defensive statistic does.

It isn't surprising to find that Meyers had the best offensive year of the group. He was its best contact hitter; he had long ball power, and he was a tough strikeout. His weakness as an offensive threat was speed - he was slow.

In 1912, his skills came together before age deteriorated his coordination. With a BA of .358 (2nd best in the NL) and an SA of .477, the Chief made New Yorkers forget about Roger Bresnahan. His PAB of .291 was the best turned in by any man in the elite group in the 19-year period. And it wasn't a freak. In a nine-year career, Meyers had only one poor season -four were star-studded.

Lack of foot speed subtracts from the scoring ability that customarily goes with superior hitting skills. It was so with Meyers, who was but a slice above average as a scorer:

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Meyers | 2834 | .092 | .005 | .124 | .221 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

It could well be the case that given an earlier start Meyers could have ended up in the HOF as the outstanding full-time catcher of the period.

## Ray Schalk

Born 1892; Height 5.09; Weight 165; T-R; B-R
HOF 1955

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB.263+
None
CLASS II
PAB .227-. 264

| 1912 | 23 | 63 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 8 | .286 | .317 | .238 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1915 | 135 | 413 | 110 | 45 | 1 | 53 | .266 | .327 | .240 |
| 1917 | 140 | 424 | 96 | 45 | 3 | 48 | .226 | .295 | .226 |
| 1919 | 131 | 394 | 111 | 57 | 0 | 34 | .282 | .320 | .231 |
| Total | 429 | 1294 | 335 | 154 | 4 | 143 | .259 | .314 | .233 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .191-.226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1916 | 129 | 410 | 95 | 36 | 0 | 41 | .232 | .305 | .188 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .155-.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 128 | 401 | 98 | 37 | 1 | 37 | .244 | .314 | .187 |
| 1914 | 135 | 392 | 106 | 30 | 0 | 36 | .270 | .314 | .168 |
| 1918 | 108 | 333 | 73 | 35 | 0 | 22 | .219 | .255 | .171 |
| Total | 371 | 1126 | 277 | 102 | 1 | 95 | .246 | .297 | .176 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .154- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | 929 | 2830 | 707 | 292 | 5 | 279 | .250 | .306 | .204 |
| Other | 831 | 2476 | 638 | 275 | 7 | 303 | .258 | .327 | .236 |
| Career | 1760 | 5306 | 1345 | 567 | 12 | 582 | .253 | .316 | .219 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 135 | 413 | 110 | 45 | 1 | 53 | .266 | .327 | .240 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 301 |
| CLASS II | 1294 | .233 | 301 |  |
| CLASS III | 410 | .188 | 77 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1126 | .176 | 198 |  |
| TOTAL | 2830 |  | 576 |  |
| CLASS V | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 2830 |  | 576 |  |

Ray Schalk joined the White Sox when he was 20 years old in 1912. A year later, he was the starting catcher and he held that job for all but one of his 18 career years, which is partly explained by his impressive career FA (.991).

During his final two seasons with Chicago, 1927-28, Schalk functioned as player-manager. He went to the Giants in 1929 and retired there - 37 years old.

This was not Schalk's era. It is only a record of his beginnings. Defensively, he showed superior ability. As a producer, he was better than the average of the sample group.

Judging by his early offensive record, one assumes Schalk is in the HOF because of his defensive abilities, and his career durability as a catcher. His PAB elements compare with the average of the sample group as follows:

| PER AT BAT (PAB) $1901-19$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Schalk | 2830 | .103 | .002 | .099 | .204 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

A small man for a catcher, Ray Schalk was unusually durable on a seasonal basis. During
his eight seasons in the subject period, he caught over 100 games in all but one - in six seasons, he was active in more than 120 games.

The Schalk era was 1910-1929. As previously noted, that era was a mixture of deadball and live-ball baseball, and for that reason career numbers have little meaning. Schalk is one of the players who was caught up in this mixed-era problem.

His production numbers do not suggest a HOF player, so one must look elsewhere for hints of what it was that impressed voters. His durability record and his fielding averages provide the answer - he appeared in 1762 games with 5,306 at bats.

The logic for not selecting players like Schalk is this: Time has shown that there is such a thing as an above average producer who has above average defensive talent plus durability. That being the case, why raise up a defensive artist with few offensive skills? Reserve the HOF for those who do it all.

## Osse Schreckengost

Born 1875; Height 5.10; Weight 180; T-R B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .263+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 86 | 280 | 85 | 37 | 0 | 38 | . 304 | . 386 | . 268 |
| 1902 | 97 | 358 | 117 | 48 | 2 | 50 | . 327 | . 402 | . 279 |
| Total | 183 | 638 | 202 | 85 | 2 | 88 | . 317 | . 395 | . 274 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .227-. 262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .191-. 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 98 | 338 | 96 | 28 | 1 | 40 | . 284 | . 358 | . 204 |
| 1907 | 101 | 356 | 97 | 30 | 0 | 38 | . 272 | . 334 | . 191 |
| Total | 199 | 694 | 193 | 58 | 1 | 78 | . 278 | . 346 | . 197 |
| CLASSS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .155-. 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 92 | 306 | 78 | 23 | 3 | 27 | . 255 | . 353 | . 173 |
| 1905 | 121 | 416 | 113 | 30 | 0 | 45 | . 272 | . 346 | . 180 |
| Total | 213 | 722 | 191 | 53 | 3 | 72 | . 265 | . 349 | . 177 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .154- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 95 | 311 | 58 | 22 | 1 | 20 | . 186 | . 232 | . 138 |
| 1908 | 77 | 223 | 49 | 17 | 0 | 16 | . 220 | . 260 | . 148 |
| Total | 172 | 534 | 107 | 39 | 1 | 36 | . 200 | . 244 | . 142 |
| Period | 767 | 2588 | 693 | 235 | 7 | 274 | . 268 | . 338 | . 199 |
| Other | 126 | 465 | 135 | 60 | 2 | 55 | . 290 | . 384 | . 252 |
| Career | 893 | 3053 | 828 | 295 | 9 | 329 | . 271 | . 345 | . 207 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 638 | .274 | 175 | 175 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| CLASS III | 694 | .197 | 137 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV | 722 | .177 | 128 |  |
| TOTAL | 2054 |  | 440 |  |
| CLASS V | 534 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 2588 |  | 440 |  |

Schreckengost had three years of major league experience under his belt when he opened the 1901 season behind the plate for the Boston Red Sox. The next season, he was on his way to the Athletics, after a brief stop in Cleveland. During his final season he was traded to the White Sox where, in 1908, he ended his 11-year career. He was 33 years old.

Schreckengost was competitive behind the plate and with a bat in his hands. Something about him or his skills, something that doesn't show in his statistical history, restrained managers from playing him more during an era poor in receiver talent.

| PER AT BAT $(\mathrm{PAB})$ |  |  |  |  | $1901-19$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | AT | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Schreckengost | 2588 | .091 | .003 | .105 | .199 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .000 | .097 | .188 |

Schreckengost appeared in more than 100 games only twice during the era. He was essentially a part-time catcher of no particular distinction.

Ivy Wingo
Born 1890; Height 5.10; Weight 160; T-R; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | PAB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .263+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .227-.262 | 100 | 310 | 82 | 36 | 2 | 42 | .265 | .394 | .258 |
| 1912 | 76 | 245 | 67 | 30 | 0 | 27 | .273 | .371 | .233 |
| 1919 | 176 | 555 | 149 | 66 | 2 | 69 | .268 | .384 | .247 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .191-.226 | 80 | 237 | 71 | 20 | 4 | 22 | .300 | .426 | .194 |
| 1914 | 119 | 347 | 85 | 28 | 2 | 38 | .245 | .349 | .196 |
| 1916 | 100 | 323 | 82 | 36 | 0 | 31 | .254 | .337 | .207 |
| 1918 | 299 | 907 | 238 | 84 | 6 | 91 | .262 | .365 | .200 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV | 111 | 305 | 78 | 23 | 2 | 33 | .256 | .344 | .190 |
| PAB .155-190 | 121 | 399 | 106 | 35 | 2 | 37 | .266 | .376 | .185 |
| 1913 | 232 | 704 | 184 | 58 | 4 | 70 | .261 | .362 | .188 |
| 1917 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V | 25 | 57 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 33 | .211 | .246 | .123 |
| PAB .154- | 119 | 339 | 75 | 23 | 3 | 26 | .221 | .316 | .153 |
| 1911 | 144 | 396 | 87 | 27 | 3 | 29 | .220 | .306 | .149 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Period | 851 | 2562 | 658 | 235 | 15 | 259 | .257 | .359 | .199 |
| Other | 475 | 1439 | 381 | 103 | 10 | 171 | .265 | .351 | .197 |
| Career | 1326 | 4001 | 1039 | 338 | 25 | 430 | .260 | .356 | .198 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| CLASS II | 555 | .247 | 137 |  |
| CLASS III | 907 | .200 | 181 |  |
| CLASS IV | 704 | .188 | 132 |  |
| TOTAL | 2166 |  | 450 |  |
| CLASS V | 396 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 2562 |  | 450 |  |

Wingo broke in with the Cardinals in 1911 at 21 years of age. In 1915, he was traded to the Reds for Mike Gonzalez, a catcher-for-catcher deal. It was a good marriage for Wingo. He remained in Cincinnati for 13 years.

Wingo was not a top defensive catcher - his FA (.961) was below average. And he was not a durable performer. He retired in 1929-39 years old - which gives the appearance of durability, but actually he was a part-time player for the last eight years of his 17-year career.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | BAT | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| Wingo | 2562 | .092 | .006 | .101 | .199 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

Within a group of weak producers, Wingo was competitive in the 1901-19 period.

## Billy Sullivan

Born 1875; Height 5.09; Weight 155; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .263+ | 98 | 367 | 90 | 50 | 4 | 52 | .245 | .351 | .289 |
| 1901 | 39 | 91 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 15 | .209 | .253 | .264 |
| 1912 | 137 | 458 | 109 | 59 | 4 | 67 | .238 | .332 | .284 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II | 76 | 263 | 64 | 35 | 1 | 25 | .243 | .323 | .232 |
| PAB .227-.262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III | 108 | 371 | 85 | 28 | 1 | 43 | .229 | .307 | .194 |
| PAB .191-.226 | 89 | 339 | 59 | 30 | 0 | 36 | .174 | .221 | .195 |
| 1904 | 309 | 966 | 55 | 26 | 0 | 31 | .215 | .273 | .223 |
| 1907 |  |  |  | 84 | 1 | 110 | .206 | .268 | .202 |
| 1911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .155-.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 118 | 387 | 83 | 35 | 2 | 31 | .214 | .297 | .176 |
| 1908 | 137 | 430 | 82 | 40 | 0 | 29 | .191 | .228 | .160 |
| Total | 255 | 817 | 165 | 75 | 2 | 60 | .202 | .261 | .168 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .154- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 32 | 111 | 21 | 9 | 1 | 6 | .189 | .252 | .144 |
| 1905 | 99 | 323 | 65 | 23 | 2 | 24 | .201 | .269 | .153 |
| 1909 | 97 | 265 | 43 | 11 | 0 | 16 | .162 | .174 | .102 |
| 1910 | 45 | 142 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 6 | .225 | .225 | .113 |
| 1914 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| 1916 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| Total | 275 | 841 | 155 | 53 | 3 | 52 | .184 | .229 | .128 |
| Period | 1052 | 3345 | 692 | 306 | 11 | 314 | .207 | .270 | .189 |
| Other | 94 | 312 | 85 | 36 | 10 | 43 | .272 | .387 | .285 |
| Career | 1146 | 3657 | 777 | 342 | 21 | 357 | .212 | .280 | .197 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 137 | 430 | 82 | 40 | 0 | 29 | .191 | .228 | .160 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 458 | .284 | 130 | 191 |
| CLASS II | 263 | .232 | 61 |  |
| CLASS III | 966 | .202 | 195 |  |
| CLASS IV | 817 | .168 | 137 |  |
| TOTAL | 2504 |  | 523 |  |
| CLASS V | 841 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3345 |  | 523 |  |

Billy Sullivan, mostly a part-time catcher, began his 17 -year career in 1899 with the Braves. After two seasons with that club, during which he played in 94 games, Sullivan moved to the White Sox, where he played for 13 years. In four of them he was active in his only $100+$ game seasons. Billy signed with the Tigers in 1916 for a final season - 41 years old.

A journeyman catcher, Sullivan generated a PAB slightly above the average for the group.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Sullivan | 3345 | .091 | .003 | .094 | .189 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

Sullivan had a fling at managing the 1909 White Sox to a fourth-place finish. In 1910, he was succeeded in that job by Hugh Duffy. Oddly enough, he hung around as a backup catcher for a few more seasons.

Larry McLean
Born 1881; Height 6.05; Weight 228; T-R; B-R


## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 19 | .316 | 6 | 192 |
| CLASS II | 801 | .232 | 186 |  |
| CLASS III | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| CLASS IV | 961 | .176 | 169 |  |
| TOTAL | 1781 |  | 361 |  |
| CLASS V | 866 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 2647 |  | 361 |  |

Larry McLean started with the Boston Red Sox in 1901, dropped out in 1902, played with Chicago and St. Louis of the NL in 1903 and 1904, dropped out again in 1905 and then reappeared with the Cincinnati Reds in 1906 - finally, a major league home. He served them for seven years. In 1913, McLean went to the Giants via St. Louis. At the age of 34, he retired in 1915.

McLean's FA (.973) was reasonably typical of his entire career behind the plate. He was a reliable receiver; his BA (.262) was one of the good ones among this weak-hitting group.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| McLean | 2647 | .067 | .002 | .110 | .179 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

McLean appeared in at least 80 percent of scheduled games only once in his career. In an era of part-time catchers, he was just another mediocre face in the crowd.

## Steve O’Neill

Born 1891; Height 5.10; Weight 165; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .263+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .227-. 262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919 | 125 | 398 | 115 | 44 | 2 | 45 | . 289 | . 427 | . 229 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .191-. 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 78 | 234 | 69 | 19 | 0 | 29 | . 295 | . 376 | . 205 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .155-. 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 86 | 269 | 68 | 28 | 0 | 20 | . 253 | . 312 | . 178 |
| 1915 | 121 | 386 | 91 | 30 | 2 | 32 | . 236 | . 298 | . 166 |
| 1916 | 130 | 378 | 89 | 30 | 0 | 29 | . 235 | . 296 | . 156 |
| 1918 | 114 | 359 | 87 | 33 | 1 | 34 | . 242 | . 312 | . 189 |
| Total | 451 | 1392 | 335 | 121 | 3 | 115 | . 241 | . 304 | . 172 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .154- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 9 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | . 148 | . 185 | . 074 |
| 1912 | 68 | 215 | 49 | 17 | 0 | 14 | . 228 | . 247 | . 144 |
| 1917 | 129 | 370 | 68 | 21 | 0 | 29 | . 184 | . 222 | . 135 |
| Total | 206 | 612 | 121 | 39 | 0 | 44 | . 198 | . 229 | . 136 |
| Period | 860 | 2636 | 640 | 223 | 5 | 233 | . 243 | . 311 | . 175 |
| Other | 726 | 2159 | 619 | 212 | 8 | . 291 | . 287 | . 369 | . 237 |
| Career | 1586 | 4795 | 1259 | 435 | 13 | 524 | . 263 | . 337 | . 203 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919 | 125 | 398 | 115 | 44 | 2 | 45 | . 289 | . 427 | . 229 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| CLASS I | 0 |  | 0 | 91 |
| CLASS II | 398 | .229 | 91 |  |
| CLASS III | 234 | .205 | 48 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1392 | .172 | 239 |  |
| TOTAL | 2024 |  | 378 |  |
| CLASS V | 612 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 2636 |  | 378 |  |

Steve O'Neill was 20 when in 1911 he joined the Cleveland franchise of the AL. After 13 years with that club he was traded, in 1924, to the Red Sox. Boston let him go but he hung on for two more seasons with the Yankees and Browns. He was 37 when he dropped his mitt for the last time.

Durability was a problem for O'Neill during the 1901-19 period, but it was not so for his full career - he played for nine more seasons in the 1920s, and was a starting catcher in five of them. Of the catchers in this sample, only Ray Schalk caught more career games. O'Neill's career FA of .972 is not impressive.

He was also a weak hitter during the era (BA .243), and contributed little to team offense.
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| O'Neill | 2636 | .085 | .002 | .088 | .175 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

O'Neill remained in baseball when he stopped playing and in 1935 began a long managerial career with Cleveland, Detroit, Boston and Philadelphia.

Red Dooin
Born 1879; Height 5.10; Weight 165; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | $B A$ | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .263+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .227-. 262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 69 | 184 | 43 | 20 | 0 | 22 | . 234 | . 283 | . 228 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .191-. 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 108 | 355 | 86 | 35 | 6 | 30 | . 242 | . 346 | . 200 |
| 1905 | 113 | 380 | 95 | 45 | 0 | 36 | . 250 | . 311 | . 213 |
| Total | 221 | 735 | 181 | 80 | 6 | 66 | . 246 | . 328 | . 207 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .155-. 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 94 | 333 | 77 | 20 | 0 | 35 | . 231 | . 270 | . 165 |
| 1903 | 62 | 188 | 41 | 18 | 0 | 14 | . 218 | . 255 | . 170 |
| 1906 | 113 | 351 | 86 | 25 | 0 | 32 | . 245 | . 305 | . 162 |
| 1908 | 133 | 435 | 108 | 28 | 0 | 41 | . 248 | . 306 | . 159 |
| 1909 | 141 | 468 | 105 | 40 | 2 | 36 | . 224 | . 271 | . 167 |
| 1910 | 103 | 331 | 80 | 30 | 0 | 30 | . 242 | . 305 | . 181 |
| Total | 646 | 2106 | 497 | 161 | 2 | 188 | . 236 | . 288 | . 167 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .154- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 101 | 313 | 66 | 18 | 0 | 14 | . 211 | . 262 | . 102 |
| 1911 | 74 | 247 | 81 | 17 | 1 | 15 | . 328 | . 409 | . 134 |
| 1913 | 55 | 129 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 13 | . 256 | . 302 | . 147 |
| 1914 | 53 | 118 | 21 | 9 | 1 | 7 | . 178 | . 220 | . 144 |
| 1915 | 56 | 155 | 37 | 11 | 0 | 9 | . 239 | . 277 | . 129 |
| 1916 | 15 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | . 118 | . 118 | . 059 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 354 | 979 | 240 | 62 | 2 | 58 | .245 | .299 | .125 |
| Period | 1290 | 4004 | 961 | 323 | 10 | 334 | .240 | .298 | .167 |
| Career | 1290 | 4004 | 961 | 323 | 10 | 334 | .240 | .298 | .167 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 141 | 468 | 105 | 40 | 2 | 36 | .224 | .271 | .167 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| CLASS II | 184 | .228 | 42 |  |
| CLASS III | 735 | .207 | 152 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2106 | .167 | 352 |  |
| TOTAL | 3025 |  | 546 |  |
| CLASS V | 979 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4004 |  | 546 |  |

Red Dooin spent his entire 15 -year career in the subject period and he had seven seasons in which he played in $100+$ games.

It began for Red in 1902 with the Phillies. He became the premier catcher for that club in 1904, a position he held until 1911, when George Gibson took over. Dooin was also the manager of the club by that time.

Dooin left the Phillies in 1915, and spent his final two seasons with the Reds and Giants. He was 37 when he retired in 1916.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Dooin | 4004 | .081 | .002 | .084 | .167 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

The durability of Red Dooin testifies to the sparsity of catching talent in the 1901-19 era. He was not exceptional defensively (FA .957), and his PAB was below average.

## George Gibson

Born 1880; Height 6.00; Weight 190; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .263+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .227-.262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III | 143 | 135 | 24 | 12 | 2 | 12 | .178 | .267 | .193 |
| PAB .191-.226 | 189 | 617 | 125 | 50 | 3 | 41 | .259 | .349 | .195 |
| 1905 |  |  |  | 62 | 5 | 53 | .241 | .331 | .194 |
| 1910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PAB .155-.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 143 | 382 | 84 | 25 | 3 | 32 | .220 | .301 | .157 |
| 1908 | 150 | 486 | 111 | 35 | 2 | 43 | .228 | .296 | .165 |
| 1909 | 100 | 311 | 135 | 40 | 2 | 50 | .265 | .361 | .180 |
| 1911 | 95 | 300 | 72 | 21 | 2 | 33 | .240 | .327 | .164 |
| 1912 | 102 | 274 | 78 | 19 | 0 | 30 | .285 | .354 | .179 |
| 1914 | 120 | 351 | 88 | 27 | 1 | 29 | .251 | .336 | .162 |
| 1915 | 823 | 2614 | 633 | 199 | 10 | 236 | .242 | .320 | .170 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .154- | 81 | 259 | 46 | 8 | 0 | 20 | .178 | .208 | .108 |
| 1906 | 48 | 118 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 12 | .280 | .347 | .153 |
| 1913 | 33 | 84 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 4 | .202 | .274 | .095 |
| 1916 | 35 | 82 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 5 | .171 | .207 | .073 |
| 1917 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .500 | 1.000 | .000 |
| 1918 | 201 | 545 | 111 | 19 | 0 | 41 | .204 | .251 | .110 |
| Total | 1213 | 3776 | 893 | 280 | 15 | 330 | .236 | .312 | .166 |
| Period | 1213 | 3776 | 893 | 280 | 15 | 330 | .236 | .312 | .166 |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEST 123+ GAMES | 143 | 482 | 125 | 50 | 3 | 41 | .259 | .349 | .195 |
| 1910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 617 | .194 | 120 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2614 | .170 | 444 |  |
| TOTAL | 3231 |  | 564 |  |
| CLASS V | 545 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3776 |  | 564 |  |

George Gibson's 14 -year career began in 1905 with the Pirates. He spent a dozen years with that club; in seven, he appeared in over 100 games.

Gibson played almost 99 percent of his games behind the plate - FA .977. During his final two seasons, he played for the Giants as a backup catcher; he was 38 when he retired in 1918.

George Gibson, defensively reliable, was one of the most active catchers during the era.
But his hitting was below average (BA .236), as was his PAB. How and why did Gibson hang on for so long? Defense and durability must be the answer.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ $\boldsymbol{R}$ $\boldsymbol{H R}$ $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Gibson | 3776 | .074 | .004 | .087 | .166 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

The era had a need for men with the strength, desire and defensive skill to undertake the physically punishing duties of the catcher. Gibson fit that profile.

## Bill Killefer

Born 1887; Height 5.11; Weight 200; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAB .263+ } \\ & 1911 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | . 188 | . 188 | . 313 |
| CLASS II <br> PAB .227-. 262 <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III <br> PAB .191-. 226 <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLASS IV } \\ & \text { PAB .155-. } 190 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 98 | 299 | 70 | 27 | 0 | 27 | . 234 | . 274 | . 181 |
| 1915 | 105 | 320 | 76 | 26 | 0 | 24 | . 238 | . 278 | . 156 |
| 1916 | 97 | 286 | 62 | 19 | 3 | 24 | . 217 | . 294 | . 161 |
| 1918 | 104 | 331 | 77 | 30 | 0 | 22 | . 233 | . 281 | . 157 |
| Total | 404 | 1236 | 285 | 102 | 3 | 97 | . 231 | . 282 | . 163 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB.154- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 11 | 29 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 138 | . 138 | . 034 |
| 1910 | 74 | 193 | 24 | 14 | 0 | 7 | . 124 | . 155 | . 109 |
| 1912 | 85 | 268 | 60 | 17 | 1 | 20 | . 224 | . 280 | . 142 |
| 1913 | 120 | 360 | 88 | 25 | 0 | 24 | . 244 | . 300 | . 136 |
| 1917 | 125 | 409 | 112 | 28 | 0 | 31 | . 274 | . 303 | . 144 |
| 1919 | 103 | 315 | 90 | 17 | 0 | 22 | . 286 | . 330 | . 124 |
| Total | 518 | 1574 | 378 | 101 | 1 | 105 | . 240 | . 283 | . 132 |
| Period | 928 | 2826 | 666 | 206 | 4 | 204 | . 236 | . 282 | . 146 |
| Other | 107 | 324 | 85 | 27 | 0 | 32 | . 262 | . 292 | . 182 |
| Career | 1035 | 3150 | 751 | 233 | 4 | 236 | . 238 | . 283 | . 150 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BEST 123+ GAMES } \\ & 1917 \end{aligned}$ | 125 | 409 | 112 | 28 | 0 | 31 | . 274 | . 303 | . 144 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 16 | .313 | 5 | 6 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS IV | 1236 | .163 | 201 |  |
| TOTAL | 1252 |  | 206 |  |
| CLASS V | 1574 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 2826 |  | 206 |  |

Bill Killefer spent 13 years in the majors with three clubs, the Browns, Phillies and Cubs. He broke in with the Browns in 1909 and retired in Chicago in 1921 after playing his final four seasons with the Cubs.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Killefer | 2826 | .073 | .001 | .072 | .146 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

Killefer appeared in 100+ games in five seasons. He was a good defensive catcher (FA .976), but a poor batsman.

## Bill Bergen

Born 1878; Height 6.00; Weight 184; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .263+
None
CLASS II
PAB .227-. 262
None
CLASS III
PAB .191-. 226
$1903 \quad 58 \quad 207 \quad 47 \quad 21 \quad 0 \quad 19 \quad .227 \quad .266 \quad .193$

CLASS IV
PAB . 155-. 190

| 1902 | 89 | 322 | 58 | 19 | 0 | 36 | .180 | .224 | .171 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS V
PAB .154-

1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910

| 1901 | 87 | 308 | 55 | 14 | 1 | 16 | .179 | .234 | .101 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1904 | 96 | 329 | 60 | 17 | 0 | 12 | .182 | .207 | .088 |
| 1905 | 79 | 247 | 47 | 12 | 0 | 22 | .190 | .219 | .138 |
| 1906 | 103 | 353 | 56 | 9 | 0 | 19 | .159 | .184 | .079 |
| 1907 | 51 | 138 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 14 | .159 | .181 | .116 |
| 1908 | 99 | 302 | 53 | 8 | 0 | 15 | .175 | .215 | .076 |
| 1909 | 112 | 346 | 48 | 15 | 1 | 14 | .139 | .156 | .087 |
| 1910 | 89 | 249 | 40 | 11 | 0 | 14 | .161 | .177 | .100 |
| 1911 | 84 | 227 | 30 | 8 | 0 | 10 | .132 | .154 | .079 |
| Total | 800 | 2499 | 411 | 96 | 2 | 136 | .164 | .193 | .094 |
| Period | 947 | 3028 | 516 | 136 | 2 | 191 | .170 | .201 | .109 |
| Career | 947 | 3028 | 516 | 136 | 2 | 191 | .170 | .201 | .109 |

Total
Period
Career
BEST 123+ GAMES
None

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASSS III | 207 | .193 | 40 |  |
| CLASS IV | 322 | .171 | 55 |  |
| TOTAL | 529 |  | 95 |  |
| CLASS V | 2499 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3028 |  | 95 |  |

Bill Bergen broke in with the Reds in 1901, spent three seasons with that club, then went to the Dodgers for the last eight years of his 11-year career. He was essentially a backup catcher who appeared in more than 100 games only twice.

| Per at Bat (PAB) |  |  |  |  | 1901-19 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | HR | RBI | TOTAL |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| Bergen | 3028 | .045 | .001 | .063 | .109 |
| Sample avg. | 3210 | .091 | .003 | .097 | .191 |

Bergen was unimpressive offensively.

# Catchers - Summary in Domination Point (DP) Sequence 

* Net of home runs
$* *$ HOF


BELOW AVERAGE-
PAB 247-523

| Sullivan B | 3345 | .091 | .004 | .094 | .189 | .207 | .270 | 191 | 523 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wingo I | 2562 | .092 | .006 | .101 | .199 | .257 | .359 | 137 | 450 |
| Schreckengost G | 2588 | .091 | .003 | .105 | .199 | .268 | .338 | 175 | 440 |
| O’Neill S | 2636 | .085 | .002 | .088 | .175 | .243 | .311 | 91 | 378 |
| McLean L | 2647 | .067 | .002 | .110 | .179 | .262 | .323 | 192 | 361 |

## POOR

DP 246-

| Killefer B | 2826 | .073 | .001 | .072 | .146 | .236 | .282 | 6 | 206 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Bergen B | 3028 | .045 | .001 | .063 | .109 | .170 | .201 | 0 | 95 |

Average
524
SD
276
10 BEST PAB SEASONS

| Meyers 1912 | 371 | . 146 | . 016 | . 129 | . 291 | . 358 | . 477 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bresnahan ** 1906 | 405 | . 171 | . 000 | . 106 | . 277 | . 281 | . 356 |
| Kling 1903 | 491 | . 130 | . 006 | . 133 | . 269 | . 297 | . 428 |
| Schalk ** 1915 | 413 | . 109 | . 002 | . 129 | . 240 | . 266 | . 327 |
| O'Neill 1919 | 398 | . 111 | . 005 | . 113 | . 229 | . 289 | . 427 |
| McLean 1910 | 423 | . 059 | . 005 | . 163 | . 227 | . 298 | . 378 |
| Gibson 1910 | 482 | . 104 | . 006 | . 085 | . 195 | . 259 | . 349 |
| Dooin 1909 | 468 | . 086 | . 004 | . 077 | . 167 | . 224 | . 271 |
| Sullivan 1908 | 430 | . 093 | . 000 | . 067 | . 160 | . 191 | . 228 |
| Killefer 1917 | 409 | . 069 | . 000 | . 075 | . 144 | . 274 | . 303 |

The one outstanding fact that emerges from this listing is the sparsity of talent at the catcher position during this 19 -year period. The physical demands of the job were probably the major reason for this - reasonable men did not want to play the position.

Despite the equipment enjoyed by the modern player, the position is still difficult to fill because of its physical demands and the life of continual bruises that it promises. The same demands existed in the 1901-19 era, but equipment afforded even less protection.

Concerning the ten best seasons, the same point is being made in a different way. It was difficult in those years to recruit and hold good offensive players in the catcher position. For all practical purposes, only the best seasons of Meyers, Bresnahan and Kling have any significance - the others simply represent the best of many below average production years.

## The Best of the Best

| Sample size | 13 |
| :--- | :--- |
| NL | 9 |
| AL | 4 |
| Most durable-top 5 | Bresnahan, Kling, Dooin, Gibson, Sullivan |
| Top five BA | Meyers, Bresnahan, Kling, Schreckengost, McLean |
| Top five SA | Bresnahan, Meyers, Wingo, Kling, Schreckengost |
| Top five scorers (R) | Bresnahan, Kling, Schalk, Meyers, Wingo |
| Top five power hitters (HR) | Bresnahan, Wingo, Kling, Meyers, Gibson |
| Top five clutch hitters (RBI) | Meyers, Kling, Bresnahan, McLean, Schreckengost |
| Top five seasons | Kling, Bresnahan, Meyers, Schalk, McLean |
| Most talented producer (MTP)-top 5 | Bresnahan, Kling, Meyers, Schalk, McLean |
| Most valuable producer (MVP)-top 5 | Bresnahan, Kling, Meyers, Gibson, Schalk |

This continuing analysis demonstrates once again the differences that existed in the early game of baseball in the deadball era as compared with the slam-bang game of 2008. Speed and bat control were premium talents-home run hitting was rare.

Bresnahan seems to loom above all other catchers in the sample. But his talent stands out from the pack largely because of a classification curiosity, and because of his power (as manager) to assign himself to playing activity according to the quality of the opposition.

In assigning players to positions, the number of games during which the player is assigned to a given position determines his ultimate classification as a fielder. In Bresnahan's case, he was a catcher in 68 percent of his games and was assigned to that position and, indeed, is so classified in the Hall of Fame, to which he was elected in 1945. But as correct as that may be for purposes of classification, it is incorrect for comparison purposes. Full-time catchers expended far more energy at their defensive job than Bresnahan did in his various assignments as a player and as a player-manager. For that reason, in all cases where he was the statistical leader in the above charts, the second-place player was also indicated in bold type.

Bresnahan was a utility player. And he should be evaluated as such, not as a catcher. On that yardstick, with a PAB of .278 , it is doubtful he would have, or should have, earned Hall of Fame status.

Johnny Kling, on the other hand, was a full-time catcher and the true leader, by a wide margin, of his group. He is not in the Hall of Fame - probably because Bresnahan is.

The other Hall of Fame catcher, Ray Schalk, was not a powerful presence in the subject period. His career straddled the 1910-29 era (deadball and live ball), one that is seldom analyzed because the number mix makes no sense - two different games. Only this can be said about Schalk's selection to the Hall of Fame: During his younger (and, theoretically, his best) years he was not as productive as Kling or Meyers.

## Infielders - Analysis, 1901-1919

Infielders in this survey are considered to be the two middle infielders plus third basemen.
During the subject period 44 infielders screened through: 12 shortstops, 17 second basemen and 15 third basemen. The average PAB of the group was .241, as follows:

|  | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Runs | .129 |
| Home runs | .005 |
| RBI | .107 |
| Total | .241 |

Some analysts object to grouping third basemen with the middle infielders. This is based upon the theory (hope?) that hot corner men are power hitters who should be appraised as such, and middle infielders are defensive geniuses who should be measured as much by their gloves as their bats.

This analysis expects all major league infielders to field well and to hit well, and history has demonstrated that offensive and defensive skills can be simultaneously found in all three positions. Indeed, to go even further, this analysis implies that defensive geniuses who are below average producers do not belong in the HOF, nor do those players who hit with considerable power but can't catch a cold.

Qualifiers and associated performance standards appear on the following charts:
Dominant Infielders, 1901-1919 Record

* HOF
** Net of home runs

| PLAYER | LG | AB | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{* *}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} I^{* *}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagner H $^{*}$ | N | $\mathbf{8 5 1 8}$ | 2769 | $\mathbf{1 3 3 5}$ | 78 | $\mathbf{1 2 9 7}$ | .325 | .462 | .318 |
| Collins E $^{*}$ | A | 6096 | 1979 | 1110 | 25 | 755 | .325 | .419 | .310 |
| Baker F $^{*}$ | A | 5421 | 1676 | 731 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 826 | .309 | .442 | .302 |
| CLASS II $^{\text {PAB .271-.300 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lajoie N $^{*}$ | A | 7501 | 2523 | 1031 | 51 | 1090 | .336 | .451 | .290 |
| Zimmerman H | N | 5304 | 1566 | 637 | 58 | 742 | .295 | .419 | .271 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doyle L | N | 6038 | 1753 | 842 | 70 | 673 | .290 | .411 | .263 |
| Williams J | A | 4448 | 1178 | 547 | 35 | 575 | .265 | .378 | .260 |
| Elberfeld K | A | 4389 | 1192 | 613 | 10 | 496 | .272 | .340 | .255 |
| Davis G | N | 3545 | 942 | 451 | 13 | 430 | .266 | .346 | .252 |
| Murphy D | A | 5403 | 1557 | 655 | 44 | 658 | .288 | .404 | .251 |
| Steinfeldt H | N | 4692 | 1266 | 567 | 25 | 585 | .270 | .362 | .251 |
| Collins J | A | 3770 | 1072 | 495 | 25 | 425 | .284 | .395 | .251 |
| Devlin A | N | 4412 | 1185 | 593 | 10 | 494 | .269 | .338 | .249 |


| PLAYER | $\boldsymbol{L G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{* *}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{* *}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LaPorte F | A | 4212 | 1185 | 487 | 14 | 546 | .281 | .376 | .249 |
| Smith R | N | 3907 | 1087 | 450 | 27 | 487 | .278 | .377 | .247 |
| Gardner L | A | 4902 | 1395 | 594 | 19 | 593 | .285 | .378 | .246 |
| Fletcher A | A | 4595 | 1267 | 560 | 21 | 539 | .276 | .357 | .244 |
| Dahlen B | N | 4218 | 1043 | 497 | 22 | 506 | .247 | .322 | .243 |
| Delahanty J | A | 4091 | 1159 | 502 | 18 | 471 | .283 | .373 | .242 |
| Pratt D | A | 4398 | 1242 | 493 | 27 | 539 | .282 | .391 | .241 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lobert H | N | 4563 | 1252 | 608 | 32 | 450 | .274 | .366 | .239 |
| Bush D | A | 6058 | 1498 | 1077 | 8 | 359 | .247 | .298 | .238 |
| Tinker J* | N | 6441 | 1695 | 742 | 31 | 751 | .263 | .354 | .237 |
| Evers J* | A | 6131 | 1658 | 907 | 12 | 525 | .270 | .334 | .236 |
| Bradley B | A | 4858 | 1307 | 641 | 26 | 459 | .269 | .367 | .232 |
| Groh H | N | 3582 | 1054 | 516 | 17 | 297 | .294 | .391 | .232 |
| Isbell F | A | 4060 | 1019 | 471 | 13 | 434 | .251 | .329 | .226 |
| Huggins M | N | 5557 | 1474 | 938 | 9 | 309 | .265 | .314 | .226 |
| Ritchey C | N | 4019 | 1083 | 450 | 8 | 435 | .269 | .338 | .222 |
| Wallace B | A | 6211 | 1612 | 670 | 11 | 693 | .260 | .337 | .221 |
| Cutshaw G | N | 4141 | 1080 | 432 | 23 | 456 | .261 | .345 | .220 |
| Mowrey M | N | 4290 | 1098 | 478 | 7 | 454 | .256 | .329 | .219 |
| Parent F | A | 4976 | 1305 | 613 | 20 | 450 | .262 | .340 | .218 |
| Herzog B | N | 4979 | 1311 | 646 | 20 | 406 | .263 | .341 | .215 |
| Lord H | A | 3689 | 1024 | 491 | 14 | 280 | .278 | .356 | .213 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schaefer G | A | 3783 | 972 | 488 | 9 | 299 | .257 | .320 | .210 |
| Foster E | A | 4501 | 1188 | 578 | 6 | 350 | .264 | .327 | .208 |
| Ferris H | A | 4800 | 1146 | 434 | 39 | 511 | .239 | .340 | .205 |
| Conroy W | A | 5058 | 1256 | 583 | 22 | 430 | .248 | .329 | .205 |
| Byrne B | N | 4830 | 1225 | 657 | 10 | 319 | .254 | .323 | .204 |
| Weaver B | A | 4180 | 1100 | 502 | 19 | 327 | .263 | .345 | .203 |
| Hummel J | N | 3906 | 991 | 392 | 29 | 365 | .254 | .352 | .201 |
| Bridwell A | N | 4169 | 1064 | 455 | 2 | 346 | .255 | .295 | .193 |
| Olson I | N | 3952 | 1007 | 468 | 7 | 276 | .255 | .311 | .190 |
| Avg | 4832 | 1329 | 623 | 24 | 516 | .275 | .360 | .241 |  |
| SD | 1038 | .029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Classifications

|  | Class <br> $(\boldsymbol{P A B})$ | Durability <br> $(\boldsymbol{A B})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CLASS 1 | $.301+$ | $6910+$ |
| CLASS II | $.271-.300$ | $5871-6909$ |
| CLASS III | $.241-.270$ | $4832-5870$ |
| CLASS IV. | $.211-.240$ | $3793-4831$ |
| CLASS V | $.210-$ | $3792-$ |

Measuring offensive performance in the context of defensive assignment is important, as the following summary shows of comparative PABs:

|  | FIRST | CATCH | INFIELD |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Class I | $.302+$ | $.263+$ | $.301+$ |
| Class III | $.246-.273$ | $.191-.226$ | $.241-.270$ |
| Class V | $.217-$ | .154 | .210 |

Obviously, these are different types of ballplayers whose records should not be directly compared. When they are, player value can be distorted beyond recognition.

During the subject period, for example, a Class V production record of an infielder or first baseman would have been regarded as Class III performance by a catcher.

## Player Analysis

## Class I, PAB .301+

Three sterling performers reached this classification: Honus Wagner, Eddie Collins and Frank Baker. All are in the HOF, Wagner the clear leader not only because his PAB was the highest, but also because his skills were tested by 40 percent more AB s than his closest rival, Eddie Collins.

## Class II, PAB .271-. 300

This classification contains two surprises: Nap Lajoie, a HOF player, because he is not in the highest classification, and Heinie Zimmerman, ignored by the HOF, because he is in such august company. Readers are cautioned when considering these apparent aberrations: These initial groupings measure class ( PAB ) only. The durability factor of the PAB will gradually appear to form a more complete picture of each player's relative value. It is interesting to note, however, that Zimmerman - historically forgotten - was 93 percent as productive as the acknowledged HOF great, Lajoie.

## Class III, PAB .241-. 270

Fifteen men - 34 percent of the sample - qualified for this classification, led by the forgotten Larry Doyle (second base) who was more productive than HOF third baseman Jimmy Collins. Why one and not the other? Analysis should provide hints as to why this was so.

Class IV, PAB .211-. 240
Fifteen players appear in this group, including HOF players Joe Tinker (SS), Johnny Evers (2B) and Bobby Wallace (SS).

Tinker and Evers were two members of the much-ballyhooed double-play combination (Chance was the third) of the era, and that fact may ultimately explain why any one of them is in the HOF. Bobby Wallace was considered to be superior defensively.

Again the question of HOF standards arises. Are below average producers with good gloves HOF players? Does Evers vs. Eddie Collins make sense? Does Wagner vs. Wallace make sense? And if it does, why were men like Doyle and Bush ignored?

## Class V, PAB .210-

Nine men fell into the lowest classification. None was very durable; Byrne was an above average scorer; Ferris and Hummel had above average power. Otherwise, these were journeymen ballplayers who managed to hang on long enough to be noticed.

The first HOF appointments were made in 1936 - Ty Cobb, Babe Ruth, Honus Wagner, Christy Mathewson and Walter Johnson. Other names on that first ballot, who also appear in
this sample, were Nap Lajoie, Tris Speaker, Eddie Collins, Jimmy Collins, Roger Bresnahan and Willie Keeler, all of whom ultimately received the highest award.

Obviously, electors of that time were still feeling their way toward standards that would be generally applied to future candidates. Such a standard was set, de facto, for infielders when Tinker, Evers and Wallace were elected, to wit: A durable, talented defensive infielder who is a below average producer should get serious consideration.

Given that formula, one hopes that analysis will reveal why men like Doyle, Zimmerman (3B) and Bush (SS) were overlooked.

## Honus Wagner

Born 1874; Height 5.11; Weight 200; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1901-2B, RBI, SB; 1902-SA, 2B, R, RBI, SB; 1903-BA, 3B; 1904-BA, SA, 2B, SB; 1906-BA, 2B, R; 1907-BA, SA, 2B; 1908-BA, SA, H, 2B, 3B, RBI; 1909-BA, SA, 2B, RBI; 1910-H; 1911-BA
HOF 1936

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | $R B I^{*}$ | BA | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB.301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 141 | 556 | 196 | 94 | 6 | 120 | . 353 | . 491 | . 396 |
| 1902 | 137 | 538 | 177 | 102 | 3 | 88 | . 329 | . 467 | . 359 |
| 1903 | 129 | 512 | 182 | 92 | 5 | 96 | . 355 | . 518 | . 377 |
| 1904 | 132 | 490 | 171 | 93 | 4 | 71 | . 349 | . 520 | . 343 |
| 1905 | 147 | 548 | 199 | 108 | 6 | 95 | . 363 | . 505 | . 381 |
| 1906 | 142 | 516 | 175 | 101 | 2 | 69 | . 339 | . 459 | . 333 |
| 1907 | 142 | 515 | 180 | 92 | 6 | 76 | . 350 | . 513 | . 338 |
| 1908 | 151 | 568 | 201 | 90 | 10 | 99 | . 354 | . 542 | . 350 |
| 1909 | 137 | 495 | 168 | 87 | 5 | 95 | . 339 | . 489 | . 378 |
| 1911 | 130 | 473 | 158 | 78 | 9 | 80 | . 334 | . 507 | . 353 |
| 1912 | 145 | 558 | 181 | 84 | 7 | 95 | . 324 | . 496 | . 333 |
| Total | 1533 | 5769 | 1988 | 1021 | 63 | 984 | . 345 | . 501 | . 358 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 150 | 556 | 178 | 86 | 4 | 77 | . 320 | . 432 | . 300 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 114 | 413 | 124 | 48 | 3 | 53 | . 300 | . 385 | . 252 |
| 1915 | 151 | 566 | 155 | 62 | 6 | 72 | . 274 | . 422 | . 247 |
| Total | 265 | 979 | 279 | 110 | 9 | 125 | . 285 | . 406 | . 249 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 150 | 552 | 139 | 59 | 1 | 49 | . 252 | . 317 | . 197 |
| 1916 | 23 | 432 | 124 | 44 | 1 | 38 | . 287 | . 370 | . 192 |
| 1917 | 74 | 230 | 61 | 15 | 0 | 24 | . 265 | . 304 | . 170 |
| Total | 347 | 1214 | 324 | 118 | 2 | 111 | . 267 | . 333 | . 190 |
| Period | 2295 | 8518 | 2769 | 1335 | 78 | 1297 | . 325 | . 462 | . 318 |
| Other | 494 | 1923 | 649 | 299 | 23 | 334 | . 337 | . 484 | . 346 |
| Career | 2789 | 10441 | 3418 | 1634 | 101 | 1631 | . 327 | . 466 | . 323 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 141 | 556 | 196 | 94 | 6 | 120 | . 353 | . 491 | . 396 |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

| $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 5769 | .358 | 2065 | 2232 |
| CLASS II | 556 | .300 | 167 |  |
| CLASS III | 979 | .249 | 244 |  |
| CLASS IV | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TOTAL | 7304 |  | 2476 |  |
| CLASS V | 1214 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 8518 |  | 2476 |  |

The Flying Dutchman was 23 when he broke in with Louisville as an outfielder in 1897. In 1900, Barney Dreyfus moved the NL club to Pittsburgh. Wagner, still playing the outfield, was hitting like gangbusters (BA .381).

In 1901, Honus Wagner played more games at shortstop than at any other position for the first time, but a permanent defensive home continued to elude him until Wid Conroy and Bones Ely, after failing to nail down the shortstop position, left the team. Management was slow to accept ungainly-appearing Wagner as the super, all-around athlete he truly was.

Honus was finally installed at shortstop in 1903, at which post he remained for the balance of his career as a full-time player. He was 43 when his 21-year odyssey ended in 1917.

Career records include him among the all-time best in at bats, hits, doubles, triples and stolen bases. Defensive statistics, inconclusive indicators of fielding ability, are less useful in the case of Wagner because of his unsettled defensive beginnings.

He didn't play as many as 100 games a season at shortstop until he was 29 years old. He showed good range, but the sure-handedness for which he later became famous evolved more slowly. Curiously, even after he had arrived as a major league shortstop, Wagner continued to appear at other positions with sufficient regularity to contaminate his defensive record.

Fortunately, in 1908 he played as a pure shortstop and generated a record of his defensive play that typified his talents. To give meaning to his numbers, they are juxtaposed with career statistics of two great HOF shortstops of the time, Joe Tinker and Bobby Wallace.

| Player | TC/G | FA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Wagner | 5.8 | .943 |
| Tinker | 5.8 | .938 |
| Wallace | 5.5 | .938 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Wagner was a regular shortstop for 13 years, during which time there's no doubt that his range was at least the equal of the best shortstops, and he may have had the surest hands of all.

There's absolutely nothing obscure about Wagner's offensive record. During the subject period, he was active for 17 years. Until he was 40 , his BA was always above .300 . His battle ribbons (above) attest to his superiority in all aspects of the offensive game, except home run hitting - he never hit more than ten. But doubles and triples exploded from his bat with great regularity.

Wagner delivered these offensive feats year after year while filling the toughest defensive position on the field, accumulating in the process the best durability record of competing infielders. Elements of his PAB appear below in contrast with those for the entire sample.

\[

\]

Durability married to class equals a champion - a designation that aptly fits the Flying Dutchman, one of the immortal five (Wagner, Cobb, Ruth, Johnson, Mathewson) who were the first to enter baseball's Hall of Fame in 1936. His inclusion in the august group was most appropriate.

## Eddie Collins

Born 1887; Height 5.09; Weight 175; T-R; B-L; MVP-AL 1914; Led the American
League: 1910-SB; 1912/13/14-R; 1915-BB; 1919-SB; HOF 1939

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | $R B I^{*}$ | BA | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB.301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 132 | 493 | 180 | 89 | 3 | 70 | . 365 | . 481 | . 329 |
| 1912 | 153 | 543 | 189 | 137 | 0 | 64 | . 348 | . 435 | . 370 |
| 1913 | 148 | 534 | 184 | 122 | 3 | 70 | . 345 | . 453 | . 365 |
| 1914 | 152 | 526 | 181 | 120 | 2 | 83 | . 344 | . 452 | . 390 |
| 1915 | 155 | 521 | 173 | 114 | 4 | 73 | . 332 | . 436 | . 367 |
| 1919 | 140 | 518 | 165 | 83 | 4 | 76 | . 319 | . 405 | . 315 |
| Total | 880 | 3135 | 1072 | 665 | 16 | 436 | . 342 | . 443 | . 356 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 153 | 572 | 198 | 101 | 3 | 53 | . 346 | . 449 | . 274 |
| 1910 | 153 | 583 | 188 | 78 | 3 | 78 | . 322 | . 417 | . 273 |
| 1917 | 156 | 564 | 163 | 91 | 0 | 67 | . 289 | . 363 | . 280 |
| Total | 462 | 1719 | 549 | 270 | 6 | 198 | . 319 | . 410 | . 276 |
| CLASS III PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  | PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |
| 1916 | 155 | 545 | 168 | 87 | 0 | 52 | . 308 | . 396 | . 255 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 102 | 330 | 90 | 38 | 1 | 39 | . 273 | . 379 | . 236 |
| 1918 | 97 | 330 | 91 | 49 | 2 | 28 | . 276 | . 330 | . 239 |
| Total | 199 | 660 | 181 | 87 | 3 | 67 | . 274 | . 355 | . 238 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 6 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | . 235 | . 235 | . 059 |
| 1907 | 14 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 250 | . 350 | . 100 |
| Total | 20 | 37 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | . 243 | . 297 | . 081 |
| Period | 1716 | 6096 | 1979 | 1110 | 25 | 755 | . 325 | . 419 | . 310 |
| Other | 1110 | 3853 | 1332 | 661 | 22 | 497 | . 346 | . 442 | . 306 |
| Career | 2826 | 9949 | 3311 | 1771 | 47 | 1252 | . 333 | . 428 | . 309 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 152 | 526 | 181 | 120 | 2 | 83 | . 344 | . 452 | . 390 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 3135 | .356 | 1116 | 1590 |
| CLASS II | 1719 | .276 | 474 |  |
| CLASS III | 545 | .255 | 139 |  |
| CLASS IV | 660 | .238 | 157 |  |
| TOTAL | 6059 |  | 1886 |  |
| CLASS V | 37 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 6096 |  | 1886 |  |

Eddie Collins broke in with Connie Mack's Athletics in 1906 at 19 years of age. For two seasons the youngster played a few games, mostly at shortstop, with no great distinction. Danny Murphy, Mack's second baseman at the time, had held the keystone job for half a decade.

Collins took over in 1908. He was on the field for about two-thirds of the season, mostly at second base. Murphy became an outfielder on the day during the 1908 season that Mack became sold on Collins as his new second baseman.

As a member of the famous " $\$ 100,000$ infield" (Stuffy McInnis; Eddie Collins; Frank Baker; Jack Barry) that had led the Philadelphia club to four World Series in five seasons (1910-1914), Collins was widely acclaimed for his all-around talent. But at the end of the 1914 season, he was sold to Chicago.

This was the beginning of the end for the marvelous Athletics as Mack, in his eternal search for cash, unloaded talent. Barry and Baker were also sold; McInnis, traded to Boston. Mack's dazzlers were gone, within four years, for cash plus a few players.

Collins performed for Chicago in classic style for the balance of the subject period. He became playing manager in 1924, a role he carried until 1927 when he was replaced by Ray Schalk. He caught on with his old boss, Connie Mack, in Philadelphia and continued as a parttime player with that club until his retirement in 1930. He was 43 when he finished his 25 -year career as one of the top hit producers and base stealers in baseball history.

With a career BA of .333 , it's easy to bypass the defensive record of a player. To do so with Collins would be an injustice. Like Wagner, he was as impressive with a glove as with a bat.

Collins' FA of .969 was the best of all keystone men in the sample. Baseball men rate him as one of the great all-time fielding second basemen.

A discriminating batting eye, contact hitting ability and speed are characteristics that one usually finds in a top scorer. Collins was in this mold. He took the base on balls. His BA during the period of .325 was the second best (tied with Wagner) in the survey group. He hit even better in the live-ball era, and lifted his career BA to . 333 .

Eddie could run. He stole over 40 bases in 10 seasons and appears in the record books as one of baseball's best all-time base stealers.

This combination of talents brought to Collins the best scoring record of those in the infield sample. This was his foremost offensive tool, although he was also an above average RBI man.

The elements of his PAB are compared with those of the group in the following graphic:

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Collins | 6096 | .182 | .004 | .124 | .310 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

The importance of durability to a player's ultimate rating has been amply demonstrated. Obviously Collins was more durable than most, a fact which, when related to his PAB, will have a strong impact on his ultimate MVP rating. But that is not the end of the Collins story.

His career ABs were 9,949. The period that would show his career in full flower, 1910-29, will not be examined because it would mix deadball and live-ball performances-a toxic mix.

Collins played enough in the subject period to make his quality mark. One can only guess about the MVP rating his full career would have earned.

In this regard it's worth noting that his performance during the years following the subject period was outstanding. As a member of the Philadelphia Athletics, Collins played in four World Series.

He competed in the 1919 World Series between his White Sox and the Cincinnati Reds that featured the infamous Black Sox scandal. Collins was in no way involved in the scandal.

Collins hoped to manage the Athletics when his mentor, Connie Mack, retired. But Mack went on and on so, in 1933, Collins joined the Boston Red Sox as general manager. He was still on the job when he died in 1951.

## Frank Baker

Born 1886; Height 5.11; Weight 173; T-R; B-L; Led American League: 1909-3B; 1911-HR; 1912/13-HR, RBI; 1914-HR
HOF 1955

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | PAB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 149 | 592 | 198 | 85 | 11 | 104 | .334 | .505 | .338 |
| 1912 | 577 | 200 | 106 | 10 | 123 | .347 | .541 | .414 |  |
| 1913 | 565 | 190 | 104 | 12 | 114 | .336 | .492 | .407 |  |
| 1914 | 150 | 570 | 182 | 75 | 9 | 88 | .319 | .442 | .302 |
| Total | 596 | 2304 | 770 | 370 | 42 | 429 | .334 | .495 | .365 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 148 | 541 | 165 | 69 | 4 | 81 | .305 | .447 | .285 |
| 1910 | 146 | 561 | 159 | 81 | 2 | 72 | .283 | .392 | .276 |
| Total | 294 | 1102 | 324 | 150 | 6 | 153 | .294 | .419 | .280 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.279 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1916 | 100 | 360 | 97 | 36 | 10 | 42 | .269 | .428 | .244 |
| 1918 | 126 | 504 | 154 | 59 | 6 | 62 | .306 | .409 | .252 |
| 1919 | 141 | 567 | 166 | 60 | 10 | 73 | .293 | .388 | .252 |
| Total | 367 | 1431 | 417 | 155 | 26 | 177 | .291 | .405 | .250 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 9 | 31 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 2 | .290 | .387 | .226 |
| 1917 | 146 | 553 | 156 | 51 | 6 | 65 | .282 | .365 | .221 |
| Total | 155 | 584 | 165 | 56 | 6 | 67 | .283 | .366 | .221 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | 1412 | 5421 | 1676 | 731 | 80 | 826 | .309 | .442 | .302 |
| Other | 564 | 162 | 60 | 16 | 91 | .287 | .442 | .296 |  |
| Career | 1575 | 5985 | 1838 | 791 | 96 | 917 | .307 | .442 | .301 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 149 | 577 | 200 | 106 | 10 | 123 | .347 | .541 | .414 |

# Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP 

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 2304 | .365 | 841 | 1150 |
| CLASS II | 1102 | .280 | 309 |  |
| CLASS III | 1431 | .250 | 358 |  |
| CLASS IV | 584 | .221 | 129 |  |
| TOTAL | 5421 |  | 1637 |  |
| CLASS V | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5421 |  | 1637 |  |

Frank Baker entered the major leagues in 1908 at 22 years of age with the Philadelphia Athletics. In a year, he became the anchor of the left side of the diamond in Connie Mack's fabled infield of McInnis, Collins, Jack Barry and Baker. He starred in that position until Mack went on one of his cash hunts and, one by one, disassembled his championship team.

Baker was sold in 1916 to the Yankees and he spent the final six years of his 13-year career with them. He was 36 when he retired.

Baker, mostly known for his offensive feats, was also a talented glove man. His range was adequate; his hands were sure. His career statistics are compared below with those of prominent third basemen of the time.

| Player | G | TC/G | FA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Frank Baker | 1575 | 3.6 | .943 |
| Jimmy Collins | 1728 | 3.8 | .928 |
| Bill Bradley | 1461 | 3.7 | .934 |

${ }^{*}$ His FA was heavily influenced by pre-1901 play, an era that was defensively loose.
In a day when infielders frequently moved from position to position, these men played over 95 percent of the time at third base. Baker didn't range quite as far as some, but his FA was superior. He was a steady and reliable defensive performer.

Baker had a history of hitting home runs against baseball's premier pitchers and because of this his nickname - Homerun Baker - was born. If the cognomen somewhat exaggerated his long-ball expertise, it is nevertheless true that he was one of the premier power hitters during a powerless time.

He was also a dependable contact hitter (career BA .307). Only Wagner, Collins and Lajoie had a higher BA within the infield group; only Wagner and Lajoie had a better SA. And his best production year (1912) would stand tall in any era.

The general superiority of Baker's production record is clarified by the following graphic:

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Baker | 5421 | .135 | .015 | .152 | .302 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Frank Baker in 1955 joined another member of Connie Mack's "\$100,000 infield," Eddie Collins, in the Hall of Fame.

## Nap Lajoie

Born 1874; Height 6.01; Weight 195; T-R; B-R; Led the American League: 1901BA, SA, H, 2B, HR, R, RBI; 1903-BA, SA; 1904-BA, SA, H, 2B, RBI; 1906-H, 2B; 1910-AB, H, 2B; HOF 1937

* $=$ Net of home runs



## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 2385 | .384 | 916 | $\mathbf{1 5 5 6}$ |
| CLASS II | 2222 | .288 | 640 |  |
| CLASS III | 581 | .256 | 149 |  |
| CLASS IV | 978 | .221 | 216 |  |
| TOTAL | 6166 |  | 1921 |  |
| CLASS V | 1335 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 7501 |  | 1921 |  |

Lajoie was 22 when he put the New England League behind him and joined the Philadelphia NL franchise in 1896. For the next five seasons (that pre-date this study) Nap played for the NL club. In three of those years he appeared in more than 100 games, his BA ranged from .324 to .378 , and in 1898 he knocked in 121 runs (net of home runs).

Nat jumped to the newly-formed AL of Ban Johnson in 1901. And his record-setting BA of .422 was generated during that season. This reference to 1901 is not made to derogate his accomplishment, but it would be naïve to overlook the fact that this prodigious feat was accomplished against inferior competition.

When the subject period began, Nap was already a 27 -year-old player facing complications. The Phillies sued for the return of the hard-hitting second baseman, the net effect of which was the sale in 1902 of Lajoie to Cleveland. There he was popular (the team was named the "Naps") and successful and, after a great season in 1904 (BA .381), the 31-year-old infielder was named playing manager. His personal effectiveness as an offensive force was thereafter less prominent. He remained a dangerous hitter until 1914, but never again with the awesome superiority of the 1901-04 period.

Deacon McGuire became manager during the 1909 season and, oddly enough, Lajoie remained as the second baseman, happily so it seems, because in 1910 his BA returned once more to the stratosphere (.384).

Lajoie continued with Cleveland as the regular second baseman through the 1914 season, when he was let go ("Naps" as a team name was dropped and "Indians" adopted). He caught on with the Athletics for two more years then, at 42 years of age, he retired in 1916.

The storied excellence of Lajoie in the field is solidly supported by records of the era. When his first two seasons are discounted (he mostly played first base), it would seem fair to say that he handled about 5.9 chances a game with a FA of .964 , a stunning record for the times, as a comparison with two star HOF keystone men demonstrates:

| Player | TC/G | FA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Nap Lajoie | 5.9 | .964 |
| Eddie Collins | 5.2 | .969 |
| Johnny Evers | 5.3 | .953 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total chances per game |  |

The . 422 BA of Lajoie still stands as an AL record. To some degree, however, it is tainted. The 1901 season was the first for the AL and the level of competition was presumably evolving. Such questions, however, in no way cast doubt on the extraordinary batting ability of the second baseman. In the five years prior to the record-setting 1901 season, he had demonstrated an ability to post high numbers, and over the balance of his 21-year career Lajoie hit over . 350 seven times, and he slipped below .300 only five times (in three of those years, he was over 40). He is listed among historical leaders in career hits and doubles.

A comparison with his contemporaries clearly demonstrates Lajoie's talent:
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Lajoie | 7501 | .137 | .007 | .146 | .290 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Nap was the second most durable player in the infielder group, well above average as a home run hitter and the third best RBI man. This all-around capability plus the strength to
perform at high efficiency over an extended period are the combined strengths that Lajoie brought to his team.

Finally, the Lajoie numbers are useful indicators of how difficult it was (and still is) to generate a career PAB of $.300+$. He is best remembered for his .422 BA in 1901, his furious BA race in 1910 with Ty Cobb (Cobb won, .385 vs. . 384), and his graceful excellence in the field. He epitomized the all-around athlete of his time and his inclusion in the second class of HOF players (1937) was appropriate.

## Heinie Zimmerman

Born 1887; Height 6.00; Weight 176; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1912-BA, SA, H, 2B, HR, RBI; 1916/17-RBI

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | PAB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 145 | 557 | 207 | 81 | 14 | 89 | .372 | .571 | .330 |
| 1913 | 127 | 447 | 140 | 60 | 9 | 86 | .313 | .490 | .347 |
| Total | 272 | 1004 | 347 | 141 | 23 | 175 | .346 | .535 | .338 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 143 | 535 | 164 | 71 | 9 | 76 | .307 | .462 | .292 |
| 1914 | 146 | 564 | 167 | 71 | 4 | 83 | .296 | .424 | .280 |
| 1916 | 147 | 549 | 157 | 70 | 6 | 77 | .286 | .390 | .279 |
| Total | 436 | 1648 | 488 | 212 | 19 | 236 | .296 | .425 | .283 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 139 | 520 | 138 | 62 | 3 | 59 | .265 | .379 | .238 |
| 1917 | 150 | 585 | 174 | 56 | 5 | 97 | .297 | .391 | .270 |
| 1919 | 123 | 444 | 113 | 52 | 4 | 54 | .255 | .354 | .248 |
| Total | 412 | 1549 | 425 | 170 | 12 | 210 | .274 | .376 | .253 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 46 | 113 | 33 | 17 | 0 | 9 | .292 | .345 | .230 |
| 1909 | 65 | 183 | 50 | 23 | 0 | 21 | .273 | .344 | .240 |
| 1918 | 121 | 463 | 126 | 42 | 1 | 55 | .272 | .363 | .212 |
| Total | 232 | 759 | 209 | 82 | 1 | 85 | .275 | .356 | .221 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | .222 | .333 | .111 |
| 1910 | 99 | 335 | 95 | 32 | 3 | 35 | .284 | .394 | .209 |
| Total | 344 | 97 | 32 | 3 | 36 | .282 | .392 | .206 |  |
| Period | 104 | 3456 | 5304 | 1566 | 637 | 58 | 742 | .295 | .419 |
| Career | 1456 | 5304 | 1566 | 637 | 58 | 742 | .295 | .419 | .271 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 127 | 447 | 140 | 60 | 9 | 86 | .313 | .490 | .347 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1004 | .338 | 339 | 805 |
| CLASS II | 1648 | .283 | 466 |  |
| CLASS III | 1549 | .253 | 392 |  |
| CLASS IV | 759 | .221 | 168 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL | 4960 |  | 1365 |  |
| CLASS V | 344 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5304 |  | 1365 |  |

Heinie Zimmerman, 20 years old, wore a Cubs uniform for the first time in 1907. Manager Frank Chance was well protected at the third base position - 30 -year-old Harry Steinfeldt, recently acquired from the Reds, operated in that spot with his usual proficiency.

Chance, in 1911, decided to unload the aging Steinfeldt and give Zimmerman - then 24 his chance. It was a good gamble offensively since the youngster was hitting in the high .200 s as a swing player. But is was a huge gamble defensively - the young man, for example, made 33 errors in 99 games in 1910. But it worked. Zimmerman was a hitter from the beginning and this appeared to offset his liabilities as a fielder that, although less than before, continued throughout his career.

Zimmerman was traded to the Giants in 1916, where he continued to play almost exclusively at the hot corner.

Under Chance, it took Zimmerman four years to break into the lineup at a 100+ game rate per season - he was shuffled from position to position. The unwillingness of the manager to give more playing time to the hard-hitting youngster is an important clue to his evaluation of the defensive abilities of the young man. Heinie's FA improved toward the end of his career, but not enough to redeem a poor career defensive record (FA .933).

With a bat in his hands, Zimmerman had HOF credentials; less than a handful of players had his contact hitting ability, or generated an SA of equal quality; his production record was, comparatively, outstanding - his durability, adequate.

| Per at Bat (PAB) | 1901-19-INFIELDERS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Zimmerman | 5304 | .120 | .011 | .140 | .271 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

HOF electors must have defensive ability in mind when they weigh the merits of HOF candidates. Offensive ability alone is not enough, particularly with infielders.

Heinie Zimmerman's offensive dynamite was not sufficient to offset his deficiencies with the glove. He played only 65 percent of his games at the hot corner. The HOF is no place for utility infielders-unless their batting performance is mind-boggling.

## Larry Doyle

Born 1886; Height 5.10; Weight 165; T-R; B-L; MVP-NL 1912; Led National
League: 1909-H; 1911-3B; 1915-BA, H, 2B

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PAB .301+ | 143 | 526 | 163 | 89 | 13 | 64 | .310 | .527 | .316 |
| 1911 | 143 | 558 | 184 | 88 | 10 | 80 | .330 | .471 | .319 |
| 1912 | 286 | 1084 | 347 | 177 | 23 | 144 | .320 | .498 | .317 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 151 | 575 | 164 | 89 | 8 | 61 | .285 | .412 | .275 |
| 1913 | 132 | 482 | 135 | 62 | 5 | 68 | .280 | .388 | .280 |
| 1918 | 75 | 257 | 67 | 35 | 3 | 33 | .261 | .354 | .276 |
| 1919 | 113 | 381 | 110 | 54 | 7 | 45 | .289 | .433 | .278 |
| Total | 471 | 1695 | 476 | 240 | 23 | 207 | .281 | .401 | .277 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 104 | 377 | 116 | 65 | 0 | 33 | .308 | .398 | .260 |
| 1914 | 145 | 539 | 140 | 82 | 5 | 58 | .260 | .353 | .269 |
| 1915 | 150 | 591 | 189 | 82 | 4 | 66 | .320 | .442 | .257 |
| Total | 399 | 1507 | 445 | 229 | 9 | 157 | .295 | .399 | .262 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 146 | 570 | 172 | 80 | 6 | 43 | .302 | .419 | .226 |
| 1916 | 122 | 479 | 133 | 58 | 3 | 51 | .278 | .403 | .234 |
| 1917 | 135 | 476 | 121 | 42 | 6 | 55 | .254 | .353 | .216 |
| Total | 403 | 1525 | 426 | 180 | 15 | 149 | .279 | .393 | .226 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 69 | 227 | 59 | 16 | 0 | 16 | .260 | .273 | .141 |
| Period | 1628 | 6038 | 1753 | 842 | 70 | 673 | .290 | .411 | .263 |
| Other | 137 | 471 | 134 | 44 | 4 | 46 | .285 | .370 | .200 |
| Career | 1765 | 6509 | 1887 | 886 | 74 | 719 | . .290 | .408 | .258 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 143 | 558 | 184 | 88 | 10 | 80 | .330 | .471 | .319 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1084 | .317 | 344 | 814 |
| CLASS II | 1695 | .277 | 470 |  |
| CLASS III | 1507 | .262 | 395 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1525 | .226 | 345 |  |
| TOTAL | 5811 |  | 1554 |  |
| CLASS V | 227 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 6038 |  | 1554 |  |

Larry Doyle was 21 when in 1907 he joined the Giants of John McGraw as a second baseman. The job was wide open and the young man went for it. By 1909, he had cleared away the competition.

This condition continued until 1916 when a five-man deal brought Heinie Zimmerman to New York and sent Doyle to the Chicago Cubs. But not for long.

He was traded to Boston after the 1917 season. Before Doyle played a game for them, he was traded back to McGraw's Giants. He ended his 14 -year career in New York at the age of 34, in 1920.

Doyle, a second baseman throughout his major league career, had good hands but limited range. A comparison with some of his competitors appears below:

| Player | G | TC/G | FA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Larry Doyle | 1765 | 4.9 | .949 |
| Johnny Evers | 1783 | 5.3 | .953 |
| Dell Pratt | 1835 | 5.8 | .962 |

Offense was Doyle's strength. Only five men in the sample were more efficient producers. His general superiority to the average infielder is shown below:

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Doyle | 6038 | .139 | .012 | .112 | .263 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Like many players of the day Doyle could, and did, run. In six seasons, he stole 20+ bases. Speed plus batting eye is a combination that leads to scoring.

Only Baker had a better home run factor than Doyle in 1901-19, an amazing feat when one considers his size. The second baseman was also an effective RBI man.

On the basis of offensive ability, Doyle was a HOF prospect. But he was passed over by HOF electors, and many wonder why. His durability ( AB ) and class ( PAB ) were good, and his defensive skills appeared to be adequate. In this analysis, that adds up to a HOF appointment more convincingly than arguments advanced in support of men like Tinker, Evers and Wallace.

## Jimmy Williams

Born 1876; Height 5.09; Weight 175; T-R B-R; Led American League: 1901/02-3B


## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 999 | .360 | 360 | 635 |
| CLASS II | 1003 | .274 | 275 |  |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1533 | .232 | 356 |  |
| TOTAL | 3535 |  | 991 |  |
| CLASS V | 913 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4448 |  | 991 |  |

Williams broke in with Pittsburgh in 1899 at 23 years of age as a third baseman. In 1901, like many players of the day, he jumped to the AL as a second baseman for Baltimore, his defensive position for the balance of his career. Two years later he went to the Yankees for five seasons, and then concluded an 11-year career with the Browns. He was 33 when he retired in 1909.

Defensively, Jimmy had a career FA of .945 , well below the numbers turned in by the better keystone men (Eddie Collins, .969), but it probably understates his ability with the glove. Over his last five seasons, his FA was about .963 , reflecting a marked improvement brought about, perhaps, by better playing conditions as the game gradually matured and professionalized.

Williams was above average in offensive talent that partially offset his weak durability.

| Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} A T \\ B A T \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} R \\ P A B \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} H R \\ P A B \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} R B I \\ P A B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ P A B \end{array}$ |
| Williams | 4448 | . 123 | . 008 | . 129 | . 260 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | . 129 | . 005 | . 107 | . 241 |

He had the seventh highest PAB of any player in the infield group. His problem was durability. He was a commendable short-term producer.

## Kid Elberfeld

Born 1875; Height 5.07; Weight 158; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 122 | 436 | 135 | 73 | 3 | 73 | . 310 | . 429 | . 342 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 130 | 488 | 127 | 69 | 1 | 63 | . 260 | . 326 | . 273 |
| 1903 | 125 | 481 | 145 | 78 | 0 | 64 | . 301 | . 383 | . 295 |
| 1908 | 19 | 56 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 5 | . 196 | . 250 | . 286 |
| Total | 274 | 1025 | 283 | 158 | 1 | 132 | . 276 | . 349 | . 284 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 271 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 111 | 390 | 102 | 48 | 0 | 53 | . 262 | . 318 | . 259 |
| 1906 | 99 | 346 | 106 | 57 | 2 | 29 | . 306 | . 384 | . 254 |
| 1907 | 120 | 447 | 121 | 61 | 0 | 51 | . 271 | . 336 | . 251 |
| 1911 | 127 | 404 | 110 | 58 | 0 | 47 | . 272 | . 339 | . 260 |
| Total | 457 | 1587 | 439 | 224 | 2 | 180 | . 277 | . 343 | . 256 |


|  | $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R_{B I}{ }^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 122 | 445 | 117 | 53 | 2 | 44 | .263 | .328 | .222 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 106 | 379 | 90 | 47 | 0 | 26 | .237 | .288 | .193 |
| 1910 | 127 | 455 | 114 | 51 | 2 | 40 | .251 | .292 | .204 |
| 1914 | 30 | 62 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 1 | .226 | .242 | .129 |
| Total | 263 | 896 | 218 | 105 | 2 | 67 | .243 | .287 | .194 |
| Period | 1238 | 4389 | 1192 | 613 | 10 | 496 | .272 | .340 | .255 |
| Other | 55 | 176 | 45 | 24 | 0 | 29 | .256 | .314 | .301 |
| Career | 1293 | 4565 | 1237 | 637 | 10 | 525 | .271 | .339 | .257 |
| BEST 123+GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 125 | 481 | 145 | 78 | 0 | 64 | .301 | .383 | .295 |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 436 | .342 | 149 | 440 |
| CLASS II | 1025 | .284 | 291 |  |
| CLASS III | 1587 | .256 | 406 |  |
| CLASS IV | 445 | .222 | 99 |  |
| TOTAL | 3493 |  | 945 |  |
| CLASS V | 896 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4389 |  | 945 |  |

Elberfeld played a few games with the Phillies and Reds before the turn of the century. In 1901, 26 years old, he became the starting shortstop for the Tigers as that club and others tried to get the AL organized.

He moved to the Yankees in 1903 and stayed with them for about six years as a part-time shortstop and utility infielder. Washington and the Brooklyn Dodgers used him in the same fashion; for two seasons (1912-13) he was idle. He retired in 1914 at the age of 39.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Elberfeld | 4389 | .140 | .002 | .113 | .255 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Elberfeld was essentially a part-time player with enough skill and versatility to hang on for 14 seasons. He was more valuable offensively than in the field (FA .923).

## George Davis

Born 1870; Height 5.09; Weight 180; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB.301+
$1902 \quad 132 \quad 485 \quad 145 \quad 73 \quad 3 \quad 90 ~ .299 \quad .402 \quad .342$

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 133 | 484 | 134 | 63 | 0 | 80 | .277 | .355 | .295 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 130 | 495 | 153 | 62 | 7 | 58 | .309 | .428 | .257 |
| 1904 | 152 | 563 | 142 | 74 | 1 | 68 | .252 | .359 | .254 |
| Total | 282 | 1058 | 295 | 136 | 8 | 126 | .279 | .391 | .255 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 157 | 550 | 153 | 73 | 1 | 54 | .278 | .340 | .233 |
| 1907 | 132 | 466 | 111 | 58 | 1 | 51 | .238 | .292 | .236 |
| Total | 289 | 1016 | 264 | 131 | 2 | 105 | .260 | .318 | .234 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | .267 | .267 | .200 |
| 1908 | 128 | 419 | 91 | 41 | 0 | 26 | .217 | .255 | .160 |
| 1909 | 28 | 68 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 2 | .132 | .147 | .103 |
| Total | 160 | 502 | 104 | 48 | 0 | 29 | .207 | .241 | .153 |
| Period | 996 | 3545 | 942 | 451 | 13 | 430 | .266 | .346 | .252 |
| Other | 1381 | 5505 | 1725 | 1019 | 61 | 931 | .313 | .448 | .365 |
| Career | 2377 | 9050 | 2667 | 1470 | 74 | 1361 | .295 | .408 | .321 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 132 | 485 | 145 | 73 | 3 | 90 | .299 | .402 | .342 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 485 | .342 | 166 | 309 |
| CLASS II | 484 | .295 | 143 |  |
| CLASS III | 1058 | .255 | 270 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1016 | .234 | 238 |  |
| TOTAL | 3043 |  | 817 |  |
| CLASS V | 502 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3545 |  | 817 |  |

George Davis' career was almost over when the subject period began. He was 31 with 11 years as a full-time player behind him. His 20-year career began in 1890 with Cleveland. Three seasons with that club, then eight more with New York, preceded the opening of the 1901 season.

Except for one brief return visit to New York, Davis spent the rest of his career with the White Sox. He retired in 1909 at the age of 39.

Davis played 58 percent of his games at shortstop. For all practical purposes, he should be thought of as a utility man. His value was in his offensive contributions, especially as a base stealer. Over half of Davis' total career preceded the beginning of this period.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Davis | 3545 | .127 | .004 | .121 | .252 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Davis routinely stole $30-65$ bases a season as a young man, and even in his later years, $20-30$ steals was not unusual for him. Over his 20-year career Davis proved himself to be a competent but unremarkable baseball man.

## Danny Murphy

Born 1876; Height 5.09; Weight 175; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | $B A$ | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 76 | 291 | 91 | 47 | 1 | 47 | . 313 | . 416 | . 326 |
| 1911 | 141 | 508 | 167 | 98 | 6 | 60 | . 329 | . 461 | . 323 |
| 1912 | 36 | 130 | 42 | 25 | 2 | 18 | . 323 | . 446 | . 346 |
| Total | 253 | 929 | 300 | 170 | 9 | 125 | . 323 | . 445 | . 327 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 52 | 161 | 49 | 12 | 4 | 28 | . 304 | . 435 | . 273 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 150 | 557 | 160 | 71 | 7 | 70 | . 287 | . 440 | . 266 |
| 1905 | 150 | 533 | 148 | 65 | 6 | 65 | . 278 | . 390 | . 255 |
| Total | 300 | 1090 | 308 | 136 | 13 | 135 | . 283 | . 416 | . 261 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 133 | 513 | 140 | 64 | 1 | 59 | . 273 | . 382 | . 242 |
| 1906 | 119 | 448 | 135 | 46 | 2 | 58 | . 301 | . 404 | . 237 |
| 1907 | 124 | 469 | 127 | 49 | 2 | 55 | . 271 | . 345 | . 226 |
| 1908 | 142 | 525 | 139 | 47 | 4 | 62 | . 265 | . 364 | . 215 |
| 1909 | 149 | 541 | 152 | 56 | 5 | 64 | . 281 | . 412 | . 231 |
| 1910 | 151 | 560 | 168 | 66 | 4 | 60 | . 300 | . 436 | . 232 |
| Total | 818 | 3056 | 861 | 328 | 18 | 358 | . 282 | . 392 | . 230 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 28 | 102 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 6 | . 186 | . 216 | . 118 |
| 1913 | 40 | 59 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 6 | . 322 | . 441 | . 153 |
| 1915 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 167 | . 167 | . 000 |
| Total | 73 | 167 | 39 | 9 | 0 | 12 | . 234 | . 294 | . 126 |
| Period | 1496 | 5403 | 1557 | 655 | 44 | 658 | . 288 | . 404 | . 251 |
| Other | 22 | 74 | 20 | 12 | 0 | 6 | . 270 | . 256 | . 243 |
| Career | 1518 | 5477 | 1577 | 667 | 44 | 664 | . 288 | . 402 | . 251 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 141 | 508 | 167 | 98 | 6 | 60 | . 329 | . 461 | . 323 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 929 | .327 | 304 | 348 |
| CLASS II | 161 | .273 | 44 |  |
| CLASS III | 1090 | .261 | 284 |  |
| CLASS IV | 3056 | .230 | 703 |  |
| TOTAL | 5236 |  | 1335 |  |
| CLASS V | 167 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5403 |  | 1335 |  |

Danny Murphy entered the major leagues at the turn of the century, and by 1902 had already completed two seasons as a part-time second baseman with the Giants. Connie Mack looked the 26 -year-old over for a season then, in 1903, gave him the full-time job at the keystone position.

Baseball life stabilized for Murphy through 1907. Then, abruptly, he became an outfielder. What caused the radical change? Eddie Collins, the blossoming superstar, was ready and Mack made room for him.

Murphy played six more seasons for the Athletics as an outfielder. He spent his final two years in the ill-fated Federal League; he was 39 when he retired in 1915.

Defense was not the forte of Danny Murphy during his eight seasons at second base. His FA was not impressive (.950s). While few second basemen in baseball history could have kept Eddie Collins on the bench, Murphy was a minor defensive obstacle for the nimble, sure-handed Collins to overcome.

Over his full 16-year career, Murphy played only 57 percent of his games at the keystone sack. Ability with a bat (BA .288) was the talent that kept the young man at second base, and later justified his continued presence in the lineup as an outfielder.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Murphy | 5403 | .121 | .008 | .122 | .251 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Murphy hit well but was slow to take a base on balls. This over-aggressive attitude hurt his on-base percentage and his scoring ability. On the other hand, he was above average as a home run/RBI man, enough so to offset his scoring problems and to lift him into the aboveaverage class of producers. As a contact hitter, he was among the ten best in the infielder group his durability was also above average.

Murphy generated one of the ten best PAB ratings. He was a player with adequate durability who developed no defensive credentials to go with a good offensive record. A fine journeyman athlete, he was not HOF material.

## Harry Steinfeldt

Born 1877; Height 5.10; Weight 180; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1903-2B; 1906-H, RBI

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ | 118 | 439 | 137 | 65 | 6 | 77 | .312 | .481 | .337 |
| 1903 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 | 151 | 539 | 176 | 78 | 3 | 80 | .327 | .430 | .299 |
| 1906 | 129 | 448 | 113 | 68 | 2 | 56 | .252 | .317 | .281 |
| 1910 | 280 | 987 | 289 | 146 | 5 | 136 | .293 | .379 | .291 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III | 99 | 349 | 85 | 34 | 1 | 51 | .244 | .318 | .246 |
| PAB .241-.270 | 151 | 528 | 133 | 71 | 2 | 57 | .252 | .337 | .246 |
| 1904 | 250 | 877 | 218 | 105 | 3 | 108 | .249 | .329 | .246 |
| 1909 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 105 | 382 | 95 | 34 | 6 | 41 | .249 | .380 | .212 |
| 1902 | 129 | 479 | 133 | 52 | 1 | 48 | .278 | .355 | .211 |
| 1905 | 114 | 384 | 104 | 48 | 1 | 38 | .271 | .367 | .227 |
| 1907 | 152 | 542 | 144 | 51 | 1 | 69 | .266 | .336 | .223 |
| 1908 | 150 | 539 | 130 | 62 | 1 | 61 | .241 | .306 | .230 |
| Total | 650 | 2326 | 606 | 247 | 10 | 257 | .261 | .345 | .221 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 19 | 63 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 7 | .254 | .365 | .190 |
| Period | 1317 | 4692 | 1266 | 567 | 25 | 585 | .270 | .362 | .251 |
| Other | 1648 | 5899 | 1578 | 732 | 27 | 735 | .268 | .360 | .263 |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEST 123+ GAMES | 151 | 539 | 176 | 78 | 3 | 80 | .327 | .430 | .299 |
| 1906 |  |  |  |  |  | 150 | .258 |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 439 | .337 | 148 | 435 |
| CLASS II | 987 | .291 | 287 |  |
| CLASS III | 877 | .246 | 216 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2326 | .221 | 514 |  |
| TOTAL | 4629 |  | 1165 |  |
| CLASS V | 63 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4692 |  | 1165 |  |

Harry Steinfeldt, 24, was a utility infielder with three major league seasons behind him when the subject period opened. The Reds continued to use him in this capacity through the 1905 season.

He was traded to the Cubs in 1906 for two players, a fortunate deal for him in that it provided him with the opportunity to establish himself as full-time third baseman - which he did. He gave the Cubs five workmanlike seasons and then spent a final year with the Braves. He was 33 when he quit in 1911.

Steinfeldt was a utility infielder in all but five of his 14 active years. He proved to be defensively sound when he finally got a chance to play third base regularly - a surprisingly productive player given his limited batting skills (BA .268).

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | AT | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | HR | RBI |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | BATOTAL |  |  |  |  |
|  | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |  |
| Steinfeldt | 4692 | .121 | .005 | .125 | .251 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Timely hitting was the key to Steinfeldt's impressive PAB.
Most baseball fans have heard about Tinker to Evers to Chance. Few are aware that Harry Steinfeldt anchored the left side of the diamond for that talented trio.

## Jimmy Collins

Born 1870; Height 5.09; Weight 178; T-R B-R; HOF-1945

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 138 | 564 | 187 | 103 | 6 | 88 | . 332 | . 495 | . 349. |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 108 | 429 | 138 | 65 | 6 | 55 | . 322 | . 459 | . 294 |
| 1903 | 130 | 540 | 160 | 82 | 5 | 67 | . 296 | . 448 | . 285 |
| Total | 238 | 969 | 298 | 147 | 11 | 122 | . 308 | . 453 | . 289 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 131 | 508 | 140 | 62 | 4 | 61 | . 276 | . 368 | . 250 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB . 211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 156 | 631 | 168 | 82 | 3 | 64 | . 266 | . 374 | . 236 |
| 1906 | 37 | 142 | 39 | 16 | 1 | 15 | . 275 | . 408 | . 225 |
| Total | 193 | 773 | 207 | 98 | 4 | 79 | . 268 | . 380 | . 234 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 143 | 523 | 146 | 51 | 0 | 45 | . 279 | . 337 | . 184 |
| 1908 | 115 | 433 | 94 | 34 | 0 | 30 | . 217 | . 263 | . 148 |
| Total | 258 | 956 | 240 | 85 | 0 | 75 | . 251 | . 303 | . 167 |
| Period | 958 | 3770 | 1072 | 495 | 25 | 425 | . 284 | . 395 | . 251 |
| Other | 770 | 3026 | 925 | 495 | 40 | 493 | . 306 | . 424 | . 340 |
| Career | 1728 | 6796 | 1997 | 990 | 65 | 918 | . 294 | . 408 | . 290 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 138 | 564 | 187 | 103 | 6 | 88 | . 332 | . 495 | . 349. |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 564 | .349 | 197 | 477 |
| CLASS II | 969 | .289 | 280 |  |
| CLASS III | 508 | .250 | 127 |  |
| CLASS IV | 773 | .234 | 181 |  |
| TOTAL | 2814 |  | 785 |  |
| CLASS V | 956 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3770 |  | 785 |  |

Collins was 31 by the time the 1901 season began with six years of major league baseball behind him.

He started with the Boston Braves in 1895; then, when the AL opened up in 1901, he jumped cross-town to the Red Sox. He went to the Athletics to finish a 14 -year career. Collins was 38 when he retired.

Collins' career FA of .928 was not impressive. HOF literature proclaiming his wizardry at third base seems at odds with his statistical record. But this is clarified when one notes that he led the American League in 1902 and 1903 with .954 and .952 , respectively. As a fielder, his written reputation is more impressive than his overall statistical history, which was unusually burdened by pre-1901 activity.

Jimmy Collins was an above-average contact hitter (career BA .294). In 1901, he had one of the ten best production years of the era by an infielder.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Collins | 3770 | .131 | .007 | .113 | .251 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Collins was playing manager with the Red Sox during most of his time in Boston. His election to the HOF in 1945 cannot be fairly appraised based upon his activities during the subject period. But it must be said that his offensive record does not justify the appointment, and one can rightly suspect that his election was related to one single aspect of his managerial career (1901-06) - his Red Sox whipped the highly favored Pirates in the 1903 World Series.

## Art Devlin

Born 1879; Height 6.00; Weight 175; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1905-SB

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 130 | 474 | 133 | 80 | 1 | 65 | .281 | .354 | .308 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 148 | 498 | 149 | 74 | 2 | 63 | .299 | .390 | .279 |
| 1910 | 147 | 493 | 128 | 69 | 2 | 65 | .260 | .327 | .276 |
| Total | 295 | 991 | 277 | 143 | 4 | 128 | .280 | .359 | .277 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 153 | 525 | 129 | 72 | 2 | 59 | .246 | .310 | .253 |
| 1911 | 83 | 260 | 71 | 42 | 0 | 25 | .273 | .350 | .258 |
| 1912 | 124 | 436 | 126 | 59 | 0 | 54 | .289 | .367 | .259 |
| Total | 360 | 1221 | 326 | 173 | 2 | 138 | .267 | .339 | .256 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 143 | 491 | 136 | 60 | 1 | 53 | .277 | .324 | .232 |
| 1909 | 143 | 491 | 130 | 61 | 0 | 55 | .265 | .336 | .236 |
| Total | 286 | 982 | 266 | 121 | 1 | 108 | .271 | .330 | .234 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 157 | 534 | 135 | 57 | 2 | 43 | .253 | .313 | .191 |
| 1913 | 73 | 210 | 48 | 19 | 0 | 12 | .229 | .310 | .148 |
| Total | 744 | 183 | 76 | 2 | 55 | .246 | .312 | .179 |  |
| Period | 1301 | 4412 | 1185 | 593 | 10 | 494 | .269 | .338 | .249 |
| Career | 1301 | 4412 | 1185 | 593 | 10 | 494 | .269 | .338 | .249 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 130 | 474 | 133 | 80 | 1 | 65 | .281 | .354 | .308 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 474 | .308 | 146 | 421 |
| CLASS II | 991 | .277 | 275 |  |
| CLASS III | 1221 | .256 | 313 |  |
| CLASS IV | 982 | .234 | 230 |  |
| TOTAL | 3668 |  | 964 |  |
| CLASS V | 744 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4412 |  | 964 |  |

Art Devlin came to the Giants in 1904 and anchored the hot corner for McGraw's club for eight years. He was sold to Boston in 1912 and he spent his final two seasons with them. He was 25 when he started his major league career and 34 when it ended in 1913.

A good third baseman at the time had a FA of about .938. Devlin was capable of such performance. In 92 percent of his games played, managers assigned him to third base.

On offense, Devlin was a timely hitter with explosive base-stealing speed. He pilfered an average of 28 bases per year and led the league once with 59.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Devlin | 4412 | .134 | .002 | .113 | .249 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Art Devlin was an above-average producer during a relatively brief career.

## Frank LaPorte

Born 1880; Height 5.08; Weight 175; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ | 11 | 40 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 11 | .400 | .500 | .375 |
| 1905 | 133 | 505 | 157 | 82 | 4 | 103 | .311 | .436 | .374 |
| 1914 | 144 | 545 | 173 | 85 | 5 | 114 | .317 | .441 | .374 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 | 136 | 507 | 159 | 69 | 2 | 89 | .314 | .446 | .298 |
| 1911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III | 123 | 454 | 120 | 58 | 2 | 52 | .264 | .368 | .247 |
| PAB .241-.270 | 124 | 432 | 114 | 41 | 2 | 65 | .264 | .338 | .250 |
| 1906 | 119 | 402 | 125 | 44 | 1 | 54 | .311 | .393 | .246 |
| 1910 | 366 | 1288 | 359 | 143 | 5 | 171 | .279 | .366 | .248 |
| 1912 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV | 130 | 470 | 127 | 56 | 0 | 48 | .270 | .360 | .221 |
| PAB .211-.240 | 89 | 309 | 92 | 35 | 0 | 31 | .298 | .379 | .214 |
| 1907 | 219 | 779 | 219 | 91 | 0 | 79 | .281 | .368 | .218 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 101 | 301 | 75 | 21 | 0 | 30 | .249 | .316 | .169 |
| 1913 | 79 | 242 | 61 | 25 | 0 | 18 | .252 | .306 | .178 |
| 1915 | 148 | 550 | 139 | 53 | 2 | 54 | .253 | .351 | .198 |
| Total | 328 | 1093 | 275 | 99 | 2 | 102 | .252 | .331 | .186 |
| Period | 1193 | 4212 | 1185 | 487 | 14 | 546 | .281 | .376 | .249 |
| Career | 1193 | 4212 | 1185 | 487 | 14 | 546 | .281 | .376 | .249 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 133 | 505 | 157 | 82 | 4 | 103 | .311 | .436 | .374 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 545 | .374 | 204 | 355 |
| CLASS II | 507 | .298 | 151 |  |
| CLASS III | 1288 | .248 | 319 |  |
| CLASS IV | 779 | 218 | 170 |  |
| TOTAL | 3119 |  | 844 |  |
| CLASS V | 1093 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4212 |  | 844 |  |

Frank LaPorte signed with the Yankees in 1905 when he was 25 years of age. He spent five full seasons with them during his 11-year career but, before his retirement in 1915, he also played for AL clubs in Boston, St. Louis and Washington. He finished in the Federal League.

LaPorte was a utility man who played a variety of positions, mostly second base. His value was in his bat - a good contact hitter (BA .281).

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ $\boldsymbol{R}$ $\boldsymbol{H R}$ RBI | TOTAL |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| LaPorte | 4212 | .116 | .003 | .130 | .249 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

LaPorte put everything together in 1914 and generated one of the best production years by an infielder during the era. This feat must not be taken too seriously - it took place in the illfated Federal League.

## Red Smith

Born 1890; Height 5.11; Weight 165; T-R; B-R; Led Nation al League: 1913-2B

| * $=$ Net of home runs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 | 150 | 537 | 146 | 62 | 7 | 78 | .272 | .395 | .274 |
| 1914 | 119 | 429 | 128 | 53 | 2 | 63 | .298 | .373 | .275 |
| 1918 | 269 | 966 | 274 | 115 | 9 | 141 | .284 | .385 | .274 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | PAB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 28 | 111 | 29 | 10 | 0 | 19 | .261 | .333 | .261 |
| 1912 | 128 | 486 | 139 | 71 | 4 | 53 | .286 | .393 | .263 |
| 1913 | 151 | 540 | 160 | 64 | 6 | 70 | .296 | .441 | .259 |
| Total | 307 | 1137 | 328 | 145 | 10 | 142 | .288 | .410 | .261 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 157 | 549 | 145 | 64 | 2 | 63 | .264 | .352 | .235 |
| 1917 | 147 | 505 | 149 | 58 | 2 | 60 | .295 | .392 | .238 |
| Total | 304 | 1054 | 294 | 122 | 4 | 123 | .279 | .371 | .236 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1916 | 150 | 509 | 132 | 45 | 3 | 57 | .259 | .348 | .206 |
| 1919 | 87 | 241 | 59 | 23 | 1 | 24 | .245 | .282 | .199 |
| Total | 237 | 750 | 191 | 68 | 4 | 81 | .255 | .327 | .247 |
| Period | 1117 | 3907 | 1087 | 450 | 27 | 487 | .278 | .377 | .247 |
| Career | 1117 | 3907 | 1087 | 450 | 27 | 487 | .278 | .377 | .247 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 150 | 537 | 146 | 62 | 7 | 78 | .272 | .395 | .274 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 |
| CLASS II | 966 | .274 | 265 |  |
| CLASS III | 1137 | .261 | 297 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1054 | .236 | 249 |  |
| TOTAL | 3157 |  | 811 |  |
| CLASS V | 750 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD | 3907 |  | 811 |  |

Red Smith had a short career, all of it in the subject period. It started in Brooklyn in 1911, moved to Boston in 1914 and ended in the same town five years later. Smith was only 29 when he retired in 1919.

Unlike many contemporaries, Red Smith started at third base and stayed there throughout his career except for four games as an outfielder during his final season. His FA (.933) was below that of the top hot corner men of the day. But Smith was a good contact hitter (BA .278) and an above-average producer.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Smith | 3907 | .115 | .007 | .125 | .247 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Timely hitting and above-average middle-distance power were the offensive characteristics that kept Smith in the lineup.

Larry Gardner
Born 1886; Height 5.08; Weight 165; T-R; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 19 | 37 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 5 | .297 | .432 | .351 |
| 1912 | 143 | 517 | 163 | 85 | 3 | 83 | .315 | .449 | .331 |
| Total | 162 | 554 | 174 | 93 | 3 | 88 | .314 | .448 | .332 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919 | 139 | 524 | 157 | 65 | 2 | 77 | .300 | .393 | .275 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 138 | 492 | 140 | 76 | 4 | 40 | .285 | .376 | .244 |
| 1913 | 131 | 473 | 133 | 64 | 0 | 63 | .281 | .359 | .268 |
| 1915 | 127 | 430 | 111 | 50 | 1 | 54 | .258 | .326 | .244 |
| Total | 396 | 1395 | 384 | 190 | 5 | 157 | .275 | .355 | .252 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 113 | 413 | 117 | 53 | 2 | 34 | .283 | .375 | .215 |
| 1916 | 148 | 493 | 152 | 45 | 2 | 60 | .308 | .387 | .217 |
| 1917 | 146 | 501 | 133 | 52 | 1 | 60 | .265 | .345 | .226 |
| 1918 | 127 | 463 | 132 | 49 | 1 | 51 | .285 | .365 | .218 |
| Total | 534 | 1870 | 534 | 199 | 6 | 205 | .286 | .368 | .219 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | .500 | .500 | .167 |
| 1914 | 155 | 553 | 143 | 47 | 3 | 65 | .259 | .385 | .208 |
| Total | 157 | 559 | 146 | 47 | 3 | 66 | .261 | .386 | .208 |
| Period | 1388 | 4902 | 1395 | 594 | 19 | 593 | .285 | .378 | .246 |
| Other | 534 | 1782 | 536 | 246 | 8 | 309 | .301 | .404 | .316 |
| Career | 1922 | 6684 | 1931 | 840 | 27 | 902 | .289 | .385 | .265 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 143 | 517 | 163 | 85 | 3 | 83 | .315 | .449 | .331 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 554 | .332 | 184 | 328 |
| CLASS II | 524 | .275 | 144 |  |
| CLASS III | 1395 | .252 | 352 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1870 | .219 | 410 |  |
| TOTAL | 4343 |  | 1090 |  |
| CLASS V | 559 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4902 |  | 1090 |  |

When 22-year-old Larry Gardner joined the Red Sox in 1908, Harry Lord was the third baseman and Fred Lake the newly-appointed manager. In 1910, Patsy Donovan succeeded Lake and a shift at third base also took place - Lord was traded to Chicago and Gardner took over the hot corner.

After a decade with Boston, Gardner was traded, in 1918, to Philadelphia, which sent Gardner and two players to the Athletics for Stuffy McInnis. After a cup of coffee in Philly, Gard-
ner was traded to Cleveland, where he spent his last six seasons. When he retired in 1924, he was 38 years old.

Jimmy Collins and Frank Baker played better than 97 percent of their games at third base and they provide a useful defensive standard at that position for the times. Gardner played 86 percent of his games at the hot corner and the balance at short or second. The career fielding statistics of all three players, therefore, are reasonably reliable indicators of defensive abilities.

| Player | G | TC/G | FA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Larry Gardner | 1922 | 3.4 | .948 |
| Jimmy Collins | 1728 | 3.8 | .929 |
| Frank Baker | 1575 | 3.6 | .943 |

The indicated range of Jimmy Collins was real, especially in his early years. It's also true that his FA is a mixture of pre-1901 and post-1901 activity (he led the league twice after 1901 with an FA in the .950 s ). Baker, best remembered for his offense, was a steady third baseman with good range. Gardner had fair range, good hands, and a competitive record in the field.

Gardner was one of the top ten contact hitters in the group during the subject period (BA .285). His PAB, the elements of which are summarized below, was above average.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Gardner | 4902 | .121 | .004 | .121 | .246 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

He was an unspectacular, versatile offensive performer. Not as speedy as many contemporaries, he was a steady contact hitter who hit well in the clutch.

Only 73 percent of Gardner's career ABs fell into the 1901-19 period. As a consequence, the value of his full career is not seen here, nor will it be calculated. The deadball/live-ball mixture would be useless.

It speaks well for the quality of Gardner's career that he ranked high with only a portion of his career exposed to analysis. Given the fact that men like Tinker, Evers and Wallace were selected for the HOF, one tends to wonder why he was overlooked. Do electors expect more of third basemen than middle infielders? If so, why? And is it historically justified?

## Art Fletcher

Born 1885; Height 5.11; Weight 170; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ | 112 | 326 | 104 | 72 | 1 | 36 | .319 | .429 | .334 |
| 1911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II | 129 | 419 | 118 | 63 | 1 | 56 | .282 | .372 | .286 |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 136 | 538 | 160 | 72 | 4 | 67 | .297 | .390 | .266 |
| CLASS III | 135 | 514 | 147 | 60 | 2 | 77 | .286 | .379 | .270 |
| PAB .241-.270 | 271 | 1052 | 307 | 132 | 6 | 144 | .292 | .385 | .268 |
| 1913 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 149 | 562 | 143 | 56 | 3 | 71 | .254 | .326 | .231 |
| 1916 | 133 | 500 | 143 | 50 | 3 | 63 | .286 | .382 | .232 |
| 1917 | 151 | 557 | 145 | 66 | 4 | 52 | .260 | .343 | .219 |
| 1919 | 127 | 488 | 135 | 51 | 3 | 51 | .277 | .357 | .215 |
| Total | 560 | 2107 | 566 | 223 | 13 | 237 | .269 | .351 | .224 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 29 | 98 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 6 | .214 | .235 | .133 |
| 1910 | 51 | 125 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 13 | .224 | .256 | .200 |
| 1918 | 124 | 468 | 123 | 51 | 0 | 47 | .263 | .314 | .209 |
| Total | 204 | 691 | 172 | 70 | 0 | 66 | .249 | .292 | .197 |
| Period | 1276 | 4595 | 1267 | 560 | 21 | 539 | .276 | .357 | .244 |
| Other | 253 | 946 | 267 | 92 | 11 | 104 | .282 | .404 | .219 |
| Career | 1529 | 5541 | 1534 | 652 | 32 | 643 | .277 | .365 | .239 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 129 | 419 | 118 | 63 | 1 | 56 | .282 | .372 | .286 |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 326 | .334 | 109 | 229 |
| CLASS II | 419 | .286 | 120 |  |
| CLASS III | 1052 | .268 | 282 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2107 | .224 | 472 |  |
| TOTAL | 3904 |  | 983 |  |
| CLASS V | 691 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4595 |  | 983 |  |

Art Fletcher joined the New York Giants in 1909. He was 24 at the time. In two years, he was the regular shortstop and remained so through the 1919 season. He was traded to the Phillies in 1920 in a deal that brought Dave Bancroft (HOF) to the Giants.

Anybody who could satisfy John McGraw at shortstop for over a decade must have been pretty good at the job. Fletcher had a half-dozen seasons during which he handled more than 6.0 chances per game. His career FA (.938) was as good as most shortstops of the era could deliver. And he was a better-than-average producer.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Fletcher | 4595 | .122 | .005 | .117 | .244 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

A fine defensive shortstop who is an above-average contact hitter and PAB man is a great asset to a manager. As usual, McGraw knew what he was doing when he kept Fletcher in the middle of his infield.

Fletcher's talent was shy of HOF standards, and his career was short compared to men like Tinker, Evers and Wallace, but during his day he was a highly respected ballplayer.

Bill Dahlen

Born 1870; Height 5.09; Weight 180; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 131 | 513 | 134 | 65 | 4 | 78 | .261 | .357 | .287 |
| 1903 | 138 | 474 | 124 | 70 | 1 | 63 | .262 | .342 | .283 |
| 1904 | 145 | 523 | 140 | 68 | 2 | 78 | .268 | .337 | .283 |
| 1905 | 520 | 126 | 60 | 7 | 74 | .242 | .337 | .271 |  |
| Total | 562 | 2030 | 524 | 263 | 14 | 293 | .258 | .343 | .281 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 138 | 527 | 139 | 65 | 2 | 72 | .264 | .351 | .264 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 143 | 471 | 113 | 62 | 1 | 48 | .240 | .297 | .236 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 143 | 464 | 96 | 40 | 0 | 34 | .207 | .254 | .159 |
| 1908 | 69 | 524 | 125 | 47 | 3 | 45 | .239 | .307 | .181 |
| 1909 | 197 | 46 | 20 | 2 | 14 | .234 | .305 | .183 |  |
| 1910 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | .0 | .0 |
| 1911 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | .0 | .0 |
| Total | 360 | 1190 | 267 | 107 | 5 | 93 | .224 | .285 | .172 |
| Period | 1203 | 4218 | 1043 | 497 | 22 | 506 | .247 | .322 | .243 |
| Other | 1240 | 4821 | 1417 | 1009 | 62 | 643 | .294 | .434 | .356 |
| Career | 2443 | 9039 | 2460 | 1506 | 84 | 1149 | .272 | .382 | .303 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 131 | 513 | 134 | 65 | 4 | 78 | .261 | .357 | .287 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 570 |
| CLASS II | 2030 | .281 | 570 |  |
| CLASS III | 527 | .264 | 139 |  |
| CLASS IV | 471 | .236 | 111 |  |
| TOTAL | 3028 |  | 820 |  |
| CLASS V | 1190 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4218 |  | 820 |  |

An analysis of the 1901-19 period doesn't do justice to the career of Bill Dahlen. Almost half of his career was over and he was 31 when the 1901 season began with him at shortstop for Brooklyn. A decade earlier he had started with the Cubs and had matured into an efficient player by the time he was traded (1899) to Brooklyn. Dahlen went on to complete two more seasons with them, four with the Giants and two with the Braves. Then he returned to Brooklyn as playing manager for a two-year windup of a 21-year career. He was 41 when he stopped playing, but remained for two more seasons as manager.

Dahlen appeared in more games at shortstop than any other player in the survey, but in
the subject period he didn't play long enough to make a deep impression. His career FA of . 920 is heavily influenced by the high-error years of early baseball, and exaggerates what appear to be his notable weaknesses as a defensive player.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Dahlen | 4218 | .118 | .005 | .120 | .243 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Despite his age, Dahlen was an above-average producer during the subject period.

## Jim Delahanty

Born 1879; Height 5.11; Weight 170; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 144 | 542 | 184 | 80 | 3 | 91 | .339 | .463 | .321 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 106 | 378 | 111 | 65 | 2 | 43 | .294 | .368 | .291 |
| 1912 | 78 | 266 | 76 | 34 | 0 | 41 | .286 | .346 | .282 |
| Total | 184 | 644 | 187 | 99 | 2 | 84 | .290 | .359 | .287 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 115 | 379 | 106 | 62 | 1 | 38 | .280 | .364 | .266 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 7 | 26 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 | .231 | .269 | .231 |
| 1904 | 142 | 499 | 142 | 53 | 3 | 57 | .285 | .389 | .226 |
| 1905 | 125 | 461 | 119 | 45 | 5 | 50 | .258 | .349 | .217 |
| 1907 | 142 | 499 | 139 | 50 | 2 | 58 | .279 | .361 | .220 |
| 1908 | 83 | 287 | 91 | 32 | 1 | 29 | .317 | .394 | .216 |
| Total | 499 | 1772 | 497 | 183 | 11 | 197 | .280 | .370 | .221 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 17 | 63 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 4 | .190 | .222 | .127 |
| 1909 | 136 | 452 | 105 | 46 | 1 | 40 | .232 | .316 | .192 |
| 1914 | 74 | 214 | 62 | 28 | 0 | 15 | .290 | .397 | .201 |
| 1915 | 17 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | .240 | .280 | .080 |
| Total | 244 | 754 | 185 | 78 | 1 | 61 | .245 | .330 | .186 |
| Period | 1186 | 4091 | 1159 | 502 | 18 | 471 | .283 | .373 | .242 |
| Career | 1186 | 4091 | 1159 | 502 | 18 | 471 | .283 | .373 | .242 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 144 | 542 | 1845 | 80 | 3 | 91 | .339 | .463 | .321 |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 542 | .321 | 174 | 359 |
| CLASS II | 644 | .287 | 185 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS III | 379 | .266 | 101 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1772 | .221 | 392 |  |
| TOTAL | 3337 |  | 852 |  |
| CLASS V | 754 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4091 |  | 852 |  |

Jim's brother, Ed, an outfielder with a 16-year career, retired in 1903 with a BA of .346 and was elected in 1945 to the HOF. Brother Tom took a shot at infield play for three seasons and retired in 1897. And brother Joe tried it for three years in the outfield - he quit in 1909. Another brother, Frank, an outfielder, had a six-year career that ended in 1915.

Jim was the only one of the baseball Delahantys to challenge brother Ed as a bona fide major league ballplayer. His career as a utility infielder (mostly second base) began in 1901 and ended in 1915. In the NL, he played for Chicago, New York, Boston and Cincinnati. St. Louis, Washington and Detroit were his AL stops. In his final two seasons, Jim labored for Brooklyn in the Federal League. He spent over three seasons with the Tigers; otherwise his stays with each club were for one or two years. He was literally one of baseball's gypsies.

Delahanty had defensive problems but he was flexible, and good enough to operate in most positions without causing undue damage. Offensively, he was a good hitter (BA .283) and a useful production man.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Delahanty | 4091 | .123 | .004 | .115 | .242 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Hitting has always been the key ingredient of a major league baseball player. There were only four infielders in the subject period who were . 300-plus hitters-superstars Wagner, Collins, Baker and Lajoie. And Delahanty, at .283, was not far behind. That's why managers found him useful. In spots, he was a productive hitter.

## Del Pratt

Born 1888; Height 5.11; Weight 175; T-R; B-R; Led American League: 1916-RBI * $=$ Net of home runs
$G \quad A B \quad H \quad R^{*} \quad H R \quad R B I^{*} \quad B A \quad S A \quad P A B$

| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1916 | 158 | 596 | 159 | 59 | 5 | 98 | . 267 | . 391 | . 272 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 151 | 570 | 172 | 71 | 5 | 64 | . 302 | . 426 | . 246 |
| 1913 | 155 | 592 | 175 | 58 | 2 | 85 | . 296 | . 402 | . 245 |
| 1914 | 158 | 584 | 165 | 80 | 5 | 60 | . 283 | . 411 | . 248 |
| 1918 | 126 | 477 | 131 | 63 | 2 | 53 | . 275 | . 356 | . 247 |
| Total | 590 | 2223 | 643 | 272 | 14 | 262 | . 289 | . 401 | . 247 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 159 | 602 | 175 | 58 | 3 | 75 | .291 | .394 | .226 |
| 1919 | 140 | 527 | 154 | 65 | 4 | 52 | .292 | .393 | .230 |
| Total | 299 | 1129 | 329 | 123 | 7 | 127 | .291 | .394 | .228 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- | 123 | 450 | 111 | 39 | 1 | 51 | .247 | .338 | .202 |
| 1917 | 1170 | 4398 | 1242 | 493 | 27 | 539 | .282 | .391 | .241 |
| Period | 665 | 2428 | 754 | 320 | 16 | 384 | .311 | .425 | .297 |
| Other | 1835 | 6826 | 1996 | 813 | 43 | 923 | .292 | .403 | .261 |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEST 123+GAMES | 158 | 596 | 159 | 59 | 5 | 98 | .267 | .391 | .272 |
| 1916 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 |
| CLASS II | 596 | .272 | 162 |  |
| CLASS III | 2223 | .247 | 549 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1129 | .228 | 257 |  |
| TOTAL | 3948 |  | 968 |  |
| CLASS V | 450 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4398 |  | 968 |  |

Del Pratt in 1912 walked right into the keystone job with the Browns-he was 24 . In his first season he hit .302. For a half-dozen years he labored in the baseball hell that was the Browns, and then heaven beckoned. In 1918 he went with Eddie Plank (HOF) to the Yankees in a sevenman deal and ended the subject period in New York.

Before Pratt retired in 1924, he spent five additional seasons in the majors, one more in New York and two each in Boston and Detroit. He was 36 when he quit.

Del Pratt was a fine fielding second baseman. A quick comparison of his career numbers with those of Johnny Evers makes this point.

| Player | G | TC/G | FA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pratt | 1835 | 5.8 | .962 |
| Evers | 1783 | 5.3 | .953 |

He was also a superior hitter and a fast man on the bases, regularly stealing 20-40 bases per year. He generated an above average PAB. A continuation of the comparison with Evers in the offensive arena follows:

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Pratt | 4398 | .112 | .006 | .123 | .241 |
| Evers | 6131 | .148 | .002 | .086 | .236 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Defensively, Pratt was equal to or better than Evers. His career extended into the 1920s. At the end, he had 6,826 at bats, more than Evers; he outproduced Evers. And there is no
doubt that he would have scored more often had he played for almost anybody else but the Browns.

The suspicion exists that some players with careers with one foot in the deadball era and one in that of the live-ball were overlooked by HOF electors who, for example, found Evers to be worthy. Pratt may have been such an unfortunate player. Given the choice between Evers and Pratt, many would choose Pratt.

Hans Lobert
Born 1881; Height 5.09; Weight 170; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 147 | 541 | 154 | 85 | 9 | 63 | . 285 | . 405 | . 290 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 93 | 314 | 97 | 40 | 3 | 37 | 309 | . 395 | . 255 |
| 1912 | 65 | 257 | 84 | 35 | 2 | 31 | . 327 | . 436 | . 265 |
| 1913 | 150 | 573 | 172 | 91 | 7 | 48 | . 300 | . 424 | . 255 |
| 1914 | 135 | 505 | 139 | 82 | 1 | 51 | . 275 | . 349 | . 265 |
| Total | 443 | 1649 | 492 | 248 | 13 | 167 | . 298 | . 397 | . 260 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 79 | 268 | 83 | 39 | 0 | 19 | . 310 | . 366 | . 216 |
| 1908 | 155 | 570 | 167 | 67 | 4 | 59 | . 293 | . 407 | . 228 |
| 1909 | 122 | 425 | 90 | 46 | 4 | 48 | . 212 | . 294 | . 231 |
| 1915 | 106 | 386 | 97 | 46 | 0 | 38 | . 251 | . 319 | . 218 |
| 1916 | 48 | 76 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 11 | . 224 | . 316 | . 224 |
| Total | 510 | 1725 | 454 | 204 | 8 | 175 | . 263 | . 349 | . 224 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | . 077 | . 154 | . 077 |
| 1905 | 14 | 46 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 1 | . 196 | . 239 | . 174 |
| 1907 | 148 | 537 | 132 | 60 | 1 | 40 | . 246 | . 313 | . 188 |
| 1917 | 50 | 52 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 4 | . 192 | . 269 | . 154 |
| Total | 217 | 648 | 152 | 71 | 2 | 45 | . 235 | . 301 | . 182 |
| Period | 1317 | 4563 | 1252 | 608 | 32 | 450 | . 274 | . 366 | . 239 |
| Career | 1317 | 4563 | 1252 | 608 | 32 | 450 | . 274 | . 366 | . 239 |
| BEST 123+ GA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 147 | 541 | 154 | 85 | 9 | 63 | . 285 | . 405 | . 290 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 |
| CLASS II | 541 | .290 | 157 |  |
| CLASS III | 1649 | .260 | 429 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1725 | .224 | 386 |  |
| TOTAL | 3915 |  | 972 |  |
| CLASS V | 648 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4563 |  | 972 |  |

Hans Lobert came to the majors for a cup of coffee in 1903 with Pittsburgh. He next appeared in 1905 in a few games with the Cubs. In 1906, he became a secure big leaguer - the Reds took him on as a utility infielder and gradually made a third baseman out of him.

A 1911 trade involving eight players sent him to the Phillies, where he remained for three seasons. A final trade in 1915 sent him to the Giants, where he spent his final three years. Lobert was 36 when he retired in 1917.

He spent 76 percent of his career games at third base. He appeared in more than 100 games in only seven of his 14 years. He was an acceptable defensive player.

Lobert was a good hitter (BA .274) who ran well (20-40 stolen bases per year) with better than average production credentials.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Lobert | 4563 | .133 | .007 | .099 | .239 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Lobert had good legs and a reliable bat, a combination that often accompanies a healthy scoring record. In four seasons, he stole over 40 bases.

## Donnie Bush

Born 1887; Height 5.06; Weight 140; T-R; B-B; Led American League: 1909/10/ 11/12/14-BB; 1917-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .301+
None
CLASS II
PAB .271-. 300

| 1909 | 157 | 532 | 145 | 114 | 0 | 33 | .273 | .314 | .277 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1911 | 150 | 561 | 130 | 125 | 1 | 35 | .232 | .287 | .287 |
| 1912 | 144 | 511 | 118 | 105 | 2 | 36 | .231 | .301 | .280 |
| Total | 451 | 1604 | 393 | 344 | 3 | 104 | .245 | 300 | .281 |

CLASS III
PAB .241-. 270

| 1908 | 20 | 68 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 4 | .294 | .338 | .250 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1910 | 142 | 496 | 130 | 87 | 3 | 31 | .262 | .323 | .244 |
| 1915 | 155 | 561 | 128 | 98 | 1 | 43 | .228 | .283 | .253 |
| Total | 317 | 1125 | 278 | 198 | 4 | 78 | .247 | .304 | .249 |

CLASS IV
PAB .211-. 240

| 1913 | 153 | 593 | 149 | 97 | 1 | 39 | .251 | .322 | .231 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1914 | 157 | 596 | 150 | 97 | 0 | 32 | .252 | .295 | .216 |
| 1917 | 147 | 581 | 163 | 112 | 0 | 24 | .281 | .322 | .234 |
| 1919 | 129 | 509 | 124 | 82 | 0 | 26 | .244 | .289 | .212 |
| Total | 586 | 2279 | 586 | 388 | 1 | 121 | .257 | .308 | .224 |

CLASS V
PAB .210-

| 1916 | 145 | 550 | 124 | 73 | 0 | 34 | .225 | .267 | .195 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1918 | 128 | 500 | 117 | 74 | 0 | 22 | .234 | .266 | .192 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 273 | 1050 | 241 | 147 | 0 | 56 | .230 | .267 | .193 |
| Period | 1627 | 6058 | 1498 | 1077 | 8 | 359 | .247 | .298 | .238 |
| Other | 319 | 1148 | 305 | 194 | 1 | 68 | .266 | .311 | .229 |
| Career | 1946 | 7206 | 1803 | 1271 | 9 | 427 | .250 | .300 | .237 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 150 | 561 | 130 | 125 | 1 | 35 | .232 | .287 | .287 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 451 |
| CLASS II | 1604 | .281 | 451 |  |
| CLASS III | 1125 | .249 | 280 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2279 | .224 | 510 |  |
| TOTAL | 5008 |  | 1241 |  |
| CLASS V | 1050 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 6058 |  | 1241 |  |

The Detroit Tigers welcomed the 20 -year-old Bush in 1908. Hughie Jennings, manager, moved peripatetic Germany Schaefer to second in 1909 and installed the youngster at short, the most critical defensive position on the field. Bush held the job for more than 13 years. He ended up as a utility infielder in Washington. He was 36 when he retired in 1923.

Defense was Bush's reason for being. Except for his final years as a utility man, he spent all of his time at shortstop. His impressive tenure at that sensitive position qualifies him for comparison with HOF shortstop Joe Tinker.

|  | BUSH |  |  |  | TINKER |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{T C / G}$ | $\boldsymbol{F A}$ | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{T C} / \boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{F A}$ |
| 1909 | 157 | 6.0 | .925 | 143 | 5.9 | .940 |
| 1910 | 142 | 6.0 | .940 | 133 | 5.5 | .942 |
| 1911 | 150 | 6.7 | .925 | 144 | 6.1 | .937 |
| 1912 | 144 | 6.5 | .929 | 142 | 6.2 | .943 |
| Career | 1946 | 5.7 | .937 | 1805 | 5.8 | .938 |

Tinker was 29 at the beginning of this period; Bush, 22. It would appear that Bush covered his position as well as Tinker, but was not as steady. He played more games at the shortstop position than Tinker, and he is in no way embarrassed by this comparison with the HOF shortstop.

Bush was a below average contact hitter but the number two scorer of all infielders in the sample. How come? He was the walking man - in over 13 percent of his plate appearances he took a base on balls. In five years he led the AL in walks; his career OBP was a respectable . 356 . And he was aggressive - in 11 seasons he stole 375 bases. Finally, Ty Cobb and Sam Crawford were in the Detroit lineup, a duo designed to give the scoring record of any teammate an uplift.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Bush | 6058 | .178 | .001 | .059 | .238 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Some men join the right team at the right time and get what are sometimes the very considerable benefits of the association. Bush may have been one of these. His PAB is at least in part a reflection of the top-grade company he kept. But he was more than that - he was a skilled and durable shortstop who outproduced three men who were elected to the HOF, Tinker, Evers and Wallace. If they were chosen, why wasn't he? If he wasn't chosen, why were they?

Joe Tinker
Born 1880; Height 5.09; Weight 175; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI* | $B A$ | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 124 | 460 | 134 | 65 | 2 | 68 | . 291 | . 380 | . 293 |
| 1912 | 142 | 550 | 155 | 80 | 0 | 75 | . 282 | . 351 | . 282 |
| Total | 266 | 1010 | 289 | 145 | 2 | 143 | . 286 | . 364 | . 287 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 149 | 547 | 135 | 68 | 2 | 64 | . 247 | . 320 | . 245 |
| 1906 | 148 | 523 | 122 | 74 | 1 | 63 | . 233 | . 289 | . 264 |
| 1910 | 133 | 473 | 136 | 45 | 3 | 66 | . 288 | . 397 | . 241 |
| 1913 | 110 | 382 | 121 | 46 | 1 | 56 | . 317 | . 445 | . 270 |
| Total | 540 | 1925 | 514 | 233 | 7 | 249 | . 267 | . 355 | . 254 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB . 211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 133 | 501 | 137 | 52 | 2 | 52 | . 273 | . 343 | . 212 |
| 1908 | 157 | 548 | 146 | 61 | 6 | 62 | . 266 | . 392 | . 235 |
| 1909 | 143 | 516 | 132 | 52 | 4 | 53 | . 256 | . 372 | . 211 |
| 1911 | 144 | 536 | 149 | 57 | 4 | 65 | . 278 | . 390 | . 235 |
| 1914 | 126 | 438 | 112 | 48 | 2 | 44 | . 256 | . 349 | . 215 |
| 1915 | 31 | 67 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 9 | . 269 | . 328 | . 239 |
| Total | 734 | 2606 | 694 | 277 | 18 | 285 | . 266 | . 360 | . 223 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 141 | 488 | 108 | 52 | 3 | 38 | . 221 | . 318 | . 191 |
| 1907 | 117 | 402 | 89 | 35 | 1 | 35 | . 221 | . 271 | . 177 |
| 1916 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 100 | . 100 | . 100 |
| Total | 265 | 900 | 198 | 87 | 4 | 74 | . 220 | . 294 | . 183 |
| Period | 1805 | 6441 | 1695 | 742 | 31 | 751 | . 263 | . 354 | . 237 |
| Career | 1805 | 6441 | 1695 | 742 | 31 | 751 | . 263 | . 354 | . 237 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 124 | 460 | 134 | 65 | 2 | 68 | . 291 | . 380 | . 293 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 |
| CLASS II | 1010 | .287 | 290 |  |
| CLASS III | 1925 | .254 | 489 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2606 | .223 | 581 |  |
| TOTAL | 5541 |  | 1360 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS V | 900 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 6441 |  | 1360 |  |

Joe Tinker walked right into the shortstop job of the Cubs when he was 22 years of age, so much did he impress manager Frank Selee in 1902. This wasn't quite as impressive as it sounds because the man he replaced, Barry McCormick, was a leaky shortstop with a FA of . 913 in his career, and worse at that particular time.

Tinker didn't do much better defensively for two years but, in 1905, settled in at a superior skill level for more than a decade. He led all shortstops in the National League in 1906, '08, '09, and '10.

As Tinker was developing experience, Johnny Evers was mastering second base and Frank Chance was maturing at first. And the famous combination of Tinker to Evers to Chance was a fully efficient reality when Chance became playing manager in 1905.

In 1912, 32 years old, Tinker went to Cincinnati as playing manager. He personally played well in the 1913 season but the club finished seventh and Tinker's relationship with ownership soured. He jumped the league.

For two seasons Joe operated as playing manager of the Chicago Whales of the Federal League. This managerial tour was successful (second place, 1914; pennant, 1915), but the league wasn't. When it folded, Tinker spent one more season with the Cubs as manager, and then retired in 1916 at 36 years of age.

Joe Tinker was one of the great defensive shortstops of the time. He seldom appeared at a different position - over 96 percent of his games were played at shortstop. A comparison with other defensive stalwarts of the period follows:

| Player | G | TC/G | FA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Joe Tinker | 1805 | 5.8 | .938 |
| Donie Bush | 1946 | 5.7 | .937 |
| Art Fletcher | 1528 | 5.7 | .938 |

The edge would seem to go to Tinker.
Perhaps the best shortstop of the era (and a HOF selection) was Rabbit Maranville (FA .952) - he was the best in the NL in five different seasons, and he played for 23 years. He is not otherwise included in this analysis of infielders because it is production oriented, and Rabbit did not survive the BA and SA screens established for the era (see Introduction). The glove, not the bat, won him his seat at the HOF table. Some dispute this, including this analyst, on the grounds that the HOF is not the place for one-dimensional ballplayers. A special award honoring special skills would be more appropriate.

Tinker was not an offensive force of consequence. He produced an average PAB of .237.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Infielders

|  | AT | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | RBI | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | PAB | PAB | PAB | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Tinker | 6441 | .115 | .005 | .117 | .237 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Timely hitting was Tinker's outstanding offensive credential. But it was the durability of a star and high defensive skills that boosted Tinker into the HOF.

The Tinker formula (durability + class) demonstrates that mercurial talent has less base-
ball value than more ordinary, but omnipresent, ability - the hare vs. the tortoise. Tinker wasn't an obvious HOF choice in 1946 but he was an understandable one. Unfortunately, however, when good but marginal players are so honored, a case is immediately built for others players of comparable ability.

## Johnny Evers

Born 1883; Height 5.09; Weight 125; T-R; B-L; MVP-NL 1914

* $=$ Net of home runs



## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 383 |
| CLASS II | 1338 | .286 | 383 |  |
| CLASS III | 1388 | .257 | 357 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2277 | .227 | 517 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL | 5003 |  | 1257 |  |
| CLASS V | 1128 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 6131 |  | 1257 |  |

Johnny Evers, a 19 -year-old skinny kid, joined the Cubs in 1902 as a utility infielder. The club was managed by Frank Selee and the infield was made up of Frank Chance, first base; Bobby Lowe, second base; Germany Schaefer, third base; and Joe Tinker, shortstop. It was Tinker's first year at shortstop and Chance's fifth at first base.

In the next season, 1903, Evers joined them and formed what was to become the most famous double-play combination in baseball history - Tinker to Evers to Chance.

Evers spent a dozen seasons with Chicago, then completed his final six years with the Braves, Phillies and White Sox. He played over 97 percent of his games at second base.

As a HOF second baseman, it's appropriate to compare his skills with those of an acknowledged master of the trade, fellow Hall of Famer Eddie Collins:

|  | EVERS |  |  |  | COLLINS |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{T C} / \boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{F A}$ | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{T C} / \boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{F A}$ |
| 1909 | 127 | 5.1 | .942 | 153 | 5.3 | .967 |
| 1910 | 125 | 5.3 | .950 | 153 | 5.7 | .972 |
| 1911 | 46 | 4.2 | .963 | 132 | 5.5 | .967 |
| 1912 | 143 | 5.5 | .959 | 153 | 5.6 | .955 |
| 1913 | 135 | 5.6 | .960 | 148 | 5.3 | .965 |
| Career | 1783 | 5.3 | .953 | 2826 | 5.2 | .969 |

The edge would clearly go to Collins. He was more durable and, in his prime, more nimble and sure-handed than Evers.

Offensively, Evers' BA of .270 was below the sample average, as was his PAB.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ <br>  <br> $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | PAB | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |  |  |
| Evers | 6131 | .148 | .002 | .086 | .236 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Scoring was the Evers forte. His OBP was an impressive .356, he was reasonably competent with the bat which, when combined with foot speed (he was a formidable base-stealing threat for eight seasons, in the $25-50$ range), gave him an above average record as a scorer. But, in the end, doing what he did longer than most was his most outstanding offensive characteristic.

Evers was elected to the HOF in 1946, along with Tinker and Chance. Good fielding and impressive durability were the characteristics that could have impressed HOF electors. But the choice of all three players at the same time leads one to suspect that the double-play entity was more highly regarded than the individuals within it - that, standing alone, each man might not have been chosen. This feeling is buttressed when the record of Frank Chance is analyzed - he appeared in more than 100 games in only six seasons.

Of the three, Tinker was the best choice - but he was no way near as talented as Honus Wagner. Evers was not a bad selection but it's not unreasonable to suggest that he benefited by the company he kept - as an all-around player, he was significantly less competent than Eddie Collins.

Bill Bradley

Born 1878; Height 6.00; Weight 185; T-R; B-R

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB.301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 137 | 550 | 187 | 93 | 11 | 66 | . 340 | . 515 | . 309 |
| 1903 | 137 | 543 | 171 | 97 | 6 | 62 | . 315 | . 495 | . 304 |
| 1914 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | . 500 | . 667 | . 667 |
| Total | 281 | 1099 | 361 | 191 | 17 | 131 | . 328 | . 506 | . 308 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 133 | 516 | 151 | 94 | 1 | 54 | . 293 | . 403 | . 289 |
| 1904 | 154 | 607 | 182 | 89 | 5 | 78 | . 300 | . 402 | . 283 |
| Total | 287 | 1123 | 333 | 183 | 6 | 132 | . 297 | . 402 | . 286 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 145 | 537 | 144 | 63 | 0 | 51 | . 268 | . 354 | . 212 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 82 | 302 | 83 | 30 | 2 | 23 | . 275 | . 358 | . 182 |
| 1907 | 139 | 498 | 111 | 48 | 0 | 34 | . 223 | . 267 | . 165 |
| 1908 | 148 | 548 | 133 | 69 | 1 | 45 | . 243 | . 318 | . 210 |
| 1909 | 95 | 334 | 62 | 30 | 0 | 22 | . 186 | . 222 | . 156 |
| 1910 | 61 | 214 | 42 | 12 | 0 | 12 | . 196 | . 210 | . 112 |
| 1915 | 66 | 203 | 38 | 15 | 0 | 9 | . 187 | . 241 | . 118 |
| Total | 591 | 2099 | 469 | 204 | 3 | 145 | . 223 | . 278 | . 168 |
| Period | 1304 | 4858 | 1307 | 641 | 26 | 459 | . 269 | . 367 | . 232 |
| Other | 157 | 573 | 165 | 82 | 7 | 60 | . 288 | . 395 | . 260 |
| Career | 1461 | 5431 | 1472 | 723 | 33 | 519 | . 271 | . 370 | . 235 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 137 | 550 | 187 | 93 | 11 | 66 | . 340 | . 515 | . 309 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1099 | .308 | 338 | 659 |
| CLASS II | 1123 | .286 | 321 |  |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS IV | 537 | .212 | 114 |  |
| TOTAL | 2759 |  | 773 |  |
| CLASS V | 2099 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4858 |  | 773 |  |

In 1901, having spent two years with the Cubs as (mostly) a third baseman, Bradley jumped to the new AL and took over the hot corner for the Cleveland franchise. He spent his significant major league time with that club. After his 1910 retirement in Cleveland ( 32 years old) he returned in 1914 and 1915 to play (and manage) a few games in the Federal League - he was ineffective.

Bradley fielded his position well (FA .933), and led the league four times. His durability was about average - in his prime, he stole over 20 bases per year.

Overall, Bradley was a below average producer.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Bradley | 4858 | .132 | .005 | .095 | .232 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

A fair contact hitter (BA .269), Bradley was also an above average scorer.

## Heinie Groh

Born 1889; Height 5.08; Weight 158; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1916-BB; 1917-H, 2B; 1918-2B, R

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .301+

| 1919 | 122 | 448 | 139 | 74 | 5 | 58 | .310 | .431 | .306 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS II
PAB .271-. 300
None
CLASS III
PAB .241-. 270

| 1913 | 121 | 399 | 112 | 48 | 3 | 45 | .281 | .376 | .241 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1918 | 126 | 493 | 158 | 87 | 1 | 36 | .320 | .396 | .252 |
| Total | 247 | 892 | 270 | 135 | 4 | 81 | .303 | .387 | .247 |

CLASS IV
PAB .211-. 241

| 1912 | 27 | 48 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 3 | .271 | .354 | .229 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1917 | 156 | 599 | 182 | 90 | 1 | 52 | .304 | .411 | .239 |
| Total | 183 | 647 | 195 | 98 | 1 | 55 | .301 | .307 | .238 |

CLASS V
PAB .210-

| 1914 | 139 | 455 | 131 | 57 | 2 | 30 | .288 | .358 | .196 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1915 | 160 | 587 | 170 | 69 | 3 | 47 | .290 | .390 | .203 |
| 1916 | 149 | 553 | 149 | 83 | 2 | 26 | .269 | .374 | .201 |
| Total | 448 | 1595 | 450 | 209 | 7 | 103 | .282 | .375 | .200 |
| Period | 1000 | 3582 | 1054 | 516 | 17 | 297 | .294 | .391 | .232 |
| Other | 676 | 2492 | 721 | 378 | 9 | 243 | .289 | .374 | .253 |
| Career | 1676 | 6074 | 1774 | 894 | 26 | 540 | .292 | .384 | .240 |
| BEST 123+GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918 | 126 | 493 | 158 | 87 | 1 | 36 | .320 | .396 | .252 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 448 | .306 | 137 | 137 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 892 | .247 | 220 |  |
| CLASS IV | 647 | .238 | 154 |  |
| TOTAL | 1987 |  | 511 |  |
| CLASS V | 1595 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3582 |  | 511 |  |

Heinie Groh had a 16-year career beginning with the 1912 Giants and ending with the 1927 Pirates. Half of those years fell into the subject period.

Groh was traded to Cincinnati in 1913 and was still with them in 1919. He was always predominantly a third baseman but, especially during his first five seasons, frequently played other infield positions. During the 1901-19 period, he had only two seasons exclusively at third. He demonstrated a highly skilled glove (career FA .967; led the league five times).

Groh was a below-average producer.

| Per at Bat (PAB) |  |  |  |  |  | 1901-19-InFIELDERS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |  |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |  |
| Groh | 3582 | .144 | .005 | .083 | .232 |  |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |  |

Only five men in the infield group had a higher BA than Groh (BA .294). His OBP (.373) indicates he was quite willing to take a base on balls. Such things, plus aggression on the bases (20-40 steals a year), helped to place him among the top scorers in the group.

Groh played for seven seasons beyond the sample period. He is one of several players in this study whose full career would be shown in a 1910-29 analysis. Unfortunately, such a study (as has been mentioned before) would be meaningless because it would be a mixture of deadball and live-ball records-apples and pears.

## Frank Isbell

Born 1875; Height 5.11; Weight 190; T-R; B-L; Led American League: 1901-SB

* $=$ Net of home runs



## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258 |
| CLASS II | 897 | .288 | 258 |  |
| CLASS III | 320 | .247 | 79 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1064 | .230 | 245 |  |
| TOTAL | 2281 |  | 582 |  |
| CLASS V | 1779 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4060 |  | 582 |  |

Frank Isbell had a cup of coffee in the major leagues in 1898 but didn't appear again until the AL opened up for business in 1901- he landed with the Cubs and stayed with them for the balance of his 10 -year career. He was 34 when he retired in 1909.

Isbell never played one position for a full season. He played second, third, short and first base. He also caught, played outfield and pitched a few games (sold tickets?). In any event, his defensive record is murky. Trusting managers he played for, it's fair to estimate that Isbell was a good general purpose athlete (career FA .986) who was not good enough with the bat to keep in the lineup as a regular.

Offensively, Isbell was a below average producer:
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Isbell | 4060 | .116 | .003 | .107 | .226 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Frank Isbell had base stealing ability (led the league in 1901).
Miller Huggins
Born 1879; Height 5.07; Weight 140; T-R; B-B; Led National League: 1905/07/ 10/14-BB; HOF 1964 (as a manager 1913-29)

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB. 301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 149 | 564 | 154 | 116 | 1 | 37 | . 273 | . 326 | . 273 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 140 | 491 | 129 | 94 | 2 | 28 | . 263 | . 328 | . 253 |
| 1910 | 151 | 547 | 145 | 100 | 1 | 35 | . 265 | . 320 | . 249 |
| 1911 | 138 | 509 | 133 | 105 | 1 | 23 | . 261 | . 312 | . 253 |
| 1912 | 120 | 431 | 131 | 82 | 0 | 29 | . 304 | . 357 | . 258 |
| 1913 | 120 | 381 | 109 | 73 | 0 | 27 | . 286 | . 318 | . 262 |
| Total | 669 | 2359 | 647 | 454 | 4 | 142 | . 274 | . 326 | . 254 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 148 | 509 | 134 | 84 | 1 | 23 | . 263 | . 318 | . 212 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1915 | 107 | 353 | 85 | 55 | 2 | 22 | .241 | .283 | .224 |
| 1916 | 18 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | .333 | .333 | .222 |
| Total | 273 | 871 | 222 | 141 | 3 | 45 | .255 | .304 | .217 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 146 | 545 | 159 | 81 | 0 | 26 | .292 | .338 | .196 |
| 1907 | 156 | 561 | 139 | 63 | 1 | 30 | .248 | .289 | .168 |
| 1908 | 135 | 498 | 119 | 65 | 0 | 23 | .239 | .287 | .177 |
| 1909 | 57 | 159 | 34 | 18 | 0 | 6 | .214 | .245 | .151 |
| Total | 494 | 1763 | 451 | 227 | 1 | 85 | .256 | .300 | .178 |
| Period | 1585 | 5557 | 1474 | 938 | 9 | 309 | .265 | .314 | .226 |
| Career | 1585 | 5557 | 1474 | 938 | 9 | 309 | .265 | .314 | .226 |
| BEST 123+GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 149 | 564 | 154 | 116 | 1 | 37 | .273 | .326 | .273 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 |
| CLASS II | 564 | .273 | 154 |  |
| CLASS III | 2359 | .254 | 599 |  |
| CLASS IV | 871 | .217 | 189 |  |
| TOTAL | 3794 |  | 942 |  |
| CLASS V | 1763 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5557 |  | 942 |  |

Miller Huggins joined the Cincinnati Reds in 1904 when he was 25 years of age. He stepped right into the keystone job. Six years later, he was traded to the Cardinals. Huggins spent his last seven years as an active player with them. He was 37 when he hung up his spikes in 1916.

Defensively, Huggins could be considered as a model of the average second baseman of the period: FA .956 - a steady, unspectacular operator.

| Per at Bat (PAB) | 1901-19 | -InFIELDERS |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Huggins | 5557 | .168 | .002 | .056 | .226 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

With a BA of .265, Huggins was a below average contact hitter. Despite this, he was the number three scorer. How come? He was on base more than 38 percent of the time - in four seasons he led the NL in walks. And Huggins was a runner. In 13 years, he stole 324 bases - an average of 24 per year. A good OBP plus speed is a run-producing duo.

As a player, Huggins earned his paycheck; but as a manager, he earned the HOF (1964). In his storied career in that position (1913-29), during which he handled the rambunctious Babe Ruth, he managed the Yankees for a dozen years to six pennants and three championships.

Miller Huggins died during the 1929 season.

## Claude Ritchey

Born 1873; Height 5.07; Weight 167; T-R; B-B

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 140 | 540 | 160 | 65 | 1 | 73 | . 296 | . 354 | . 257 |
| 1902 | 115 | 405 | 112 | 52 | 2 | 53 | . 277 | . 328 | . 264 |
| 1903 | 138 | 506 | 145 | 66 | 0 | 59 | . 287 | . 381 | . 247 |
| Total | 393 | 1451 | 417 | 183 | 3 | 185 | . 287 | . 356 | . 256 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 156 | 544 | 143 | 79 | 0 | 51 | . 263 | . 347 | . 239 |
| 1906 | 152 | 484 | 130 | 45 | 1 | 61 | . 269 | . 339 | . 221 |
| Total | 308 | 1028 | 273 | 124 | 1 | 112 | . 266 | . 343 | . 231 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 153 | 533 | 136 | 54 | 0 | 52 | . 255 | . 332 | . 199 |
| 1907 | 144 | 499 | 127 | 43 | 2 | 49 | . 255 | . 317 | . 188 |
| 1908 | 121 | 421 | 115 | 42 | 2 | 34 | . 273 | . 325 | . 185 |
| 1909 | 30 | 87 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 3 | . 172 | . 184 | . 080 |
| Total | 448 | 1540 | 393 | 143 | 4 | 138 | . 255 | . 317 | . 185 |
| Period | 1149 | 4019 | 1083 | 450 | 8 | 435 | . 269 | . 338 | . 222 |
| Other | 522 | 1900 | 535 | 240 | 10 | 220 | . 282 | . 350 | . 247 |
| Career | 1671 | 5919 | 1618 | 690 | 18 | 655 | . 273 | . 342 | . 230 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 140 | 540 | 160 | 65 | 1 | 73 | . 296 | . 354 | . 257 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1451 | .256 | 371 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1028 | .231 | 237 |  |
| TOTAL | 2479 |  | 608 |  |
| CLASS V | 1540 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4019 |  | 608 |  |

Claude Ritchey joined Cincinnati in 1897. He appeared again with Louisville during the next season as a utility infielder. When Louisville folded in 1899, he moved to Pittsburgh with most of his teammates, including Honus Wagner.

With Wagner around, Ritchey's shortstop aspirations were forgotten. Thereafter he concentrated on second base and became the Dutchman's keystone partner through the 1906 season, after which he was traded to the Braves in a four-player deal. After three more seasons in Boston, Ritchey retired in 1909-34 years old.

Defensively, Ritchey was an appropriate partner for the marvelous Wagner. In a time when
5.3 chances per game were about average, he handled 5.5-6.0; when a FA of .956 was par, Ritchey delivered that and better for most of his career. He was a glove man.

Offensively, he was not dangerous - nor was he an automatic out (BA .269; OBP .348).
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Ritchey | 4019 | .112 | .002 | .108 | .222 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Ritchey, 28 when the subject era began, had five major league seasons behind him, during which he was more effective on both sides of the ball, but not so much so to indicate that his profile as a player has been distorted in this analysis. From a career standpoint, he was a good journeyman ballplayer, with admirable defensive skills.

Bobby Wallace
Born 1873; Height 5.08; Weight 170; T-R; B-R; HOF 1953

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | .231 | .385 | .385 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 135 | 556 | 179 | 67 | 2 | 89 | .322 | .448 | .284 |
| 1906 | 139 | 476 | 123 | 62 | 2 | 65 | .258 | .345 | .271 |
| Total | 274 | 1032 | 302 | 129 | 4 | 154 | .293 | .400 | .278 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 133 | 495 | 142 | 70 | 1 | 62 | .287 | .394 | .269 |
| 1908 | 137 | 487 | 123 | 58 | 1 | 59 | .253 | .324 | .242 |
| Total | 270 | 982 | 265 | 128 | 2 | 121 | .270 | .356 | .256 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 136 | 519 | 127 | 62 | 1 | 53 | .245 | .356 | .224 |
| 1904 | 139 | 550 | 150 | 55 | 2 | 67 | .273 | .351 | .225 |
| 1905 | 156 | 587 | 159 | 66 | 1 | 58 | .271 | .349 | .213 |
| 1907 | 147 | 538 | 138 | 56 | 0 | 70 | .257 | .320 | .234 |
| 1912 | 99 | 323 | 78 | 39 | 0 | 31 | .241 | .316 | .217 |
| 1913 | 53 | 147 | 31 | 11 | 0 | 21 | .211 | .245 | .218 |
| Total | 730 | 2664 | 683 | 289 | 4 | 300 | .256 | .335 | .223 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 116 | 403 | 96 | 35 | 1 | 34 | .238 | .285 | .174 |
| 1910 | 138 | 508 | 131 | 47 | 0 | 37 | .258 | .323 | .165 |
| 1911 | 125 | 410 | 95 | 35 | 0 | 31 | .232 | .271 | .161 |
| 1914 | 26 | 73 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 5 | .219 | .274 | .110 |
| 1916 | 14 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | .278 | .278 | .056 |
| 1917 | 82 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | .100 | .100 | .200 |
| 1918 | 98 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 4 | .153 | .163 | .171 |  |
| Total | 1742 | 6211 | 1612 | 670 | 11 | 693 | .260 | .337 | .221 |
| Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other | 641 | 2431 | 691 | 354 | 24 | 393 | .284 | .415 | .317 |
| Career | 2383 | 8642 | 2303 | 1024 | 35 | 1086 | .266 | .359 | .248 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES <br> 1901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 13 | .385 | 5 | 292 |
| CLASS II | 1032 | .278 | 287 |  |
| CLASS III | 982 | .256 | 251 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2664 | .223 | 594 |  |
| TOTAL | 4691 |  | 1137 |  |
| CLASS V | 1520 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 6211 |  | 1137 |  |

Wallace, 28 when the 1901-1919 period began, had seven years of major league experience behind him, two as a pitcher and five as a utility infielder.

In 1901, as he played under manager Patsy Donovan of the St. Louis Cardinals, it became plain that Wallace's calling was to be shortstop - his coverage of the position during that season was impressive ( 6.9 chances per game).

Wallace jumped in 1902 to the St. Louis Browns of the new AL. Through the 1908 season, he competed head to head with the best shortstops in baseball.

In 1909, then 36, Wallace began to slow down, and in 1911, still partially active, he replaced Jack O'Connor as manager of the Browns. George Stovall took over as manager in 1912, and the aging Wallace resumed his playing career as a utility infielder. He stayed with the Browns through 1916; then he moved back to the Cardinals for two years. He was 45 when in 1918 he retired.

Four men in the infielder group, including Wallace, played more than 1800 games at shortstop in their careers (Dahlen, Wagner, Bush and Wallace) - Dahlen was the most active of all (2132 games).

Always assuming that baseball men are the keenest judges of baseball talent, one must conclude that a man who lasted as long as Dahlen must be a good model to use for shortstops.

|  | WALLACE |  |  | DAHLEN |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{T C / G}$ | $\boldsymbol{F A}$ | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{T C} / \boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{F A} \boldsymbol{A}$ |
| 1901 | 135 | 6.9 | .929 | 131 | 6.2 | .930 |
| 1902 | 133 | 6.2 | .949 | 138 | 5.7 | .916 |
| 1903 | 136 | 6.0 | .924 | 138 | 5.9 | .948 |
| 1904 | 139 | 6.0 | .947 | 145 | 6.0 | .930 |
| 1905 | 156 | 6.1 | .935 | 148 | 5.8 | .928 |
| Career | 2383 | 5.5 | .938 | 2443 | 5.6 | .920 |

This is a glimpse of the comparative records of two talented and aggressive shortstops of the era. The edge, it appears, goes to Wallace.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Wallace | 6211 | .108 | .002 | .111 | .221 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Wallace, a below average contact hitter (BA .260) within this group of infielders, was not much of an offensive threat. His on-base average (.332) was good but not great; he was not unusually fast on the bases. In sum, he performed for a long time with mediocre offensive talent.

He spent most of his career with a doormat franchise, the St. Louis Browns. The club during his tenure finished better than fifth in only two seasons (1902, 1908). It's a tribute to Wallace that he maintained his skills in such a stifling environment.

HOF electors of the day must have been more impressed with defensive talent than they are today, and with the sheer endurance of some men. Certainly these were aspects of Wallace's career that in 1953 lifted him into the HOF - his was an avoidable appointment because 24 percent of his ABs were in Class V, an embarrassing number for a HOF player.

## George Cutshaw

Born 1887; Height 5.09; Weight 160; T-R; B-R

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 147 | 592 | 158 | 65 | 7 | 73 | . 267 | . 385 | . 245 |
| 1914 | 153 | 583 | 150 | 67 | 2 | 76 | . 257 | . 346 | . 249 |
| 1918 | 126 | 463 | 132 | 51 | 5 | 63 | . 285 | . 395 | . 257 |
| Total | 426 | 1638 | 440 | 183 | 14 | 212 | . 269 | . 374 | . 250 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB . 211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 154 | 566 | 139 | 68 | 0 | 62 | . 246 | . 309 | . 230 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 102 | 357 | 100 | 41 | 0 | 28 | . 280 | . 342 | . 193 |
| 1916 | 154 | 581 | 151 | 56 | 2 | 61 | . 260 | . 320 | . 205 |
| 1917 | 135 | 487 | 126 | 38 | 4 | 45 | . 259 | . 347 | . 179 |
| 1919 | 139 | 512 | 124 | 46 | 3 | 48 | . 242 | . 320 | . 189 |
| Total | 530 | 1937 | 501 | 181 | 9 | 182 | . 259 | . 331 | . 192 |
| Period | 1110 | 4141 | 1080 | 432 | 23 | 456 | . 261 | . 345 | . 220 |
| Other | 406 | 1480 | 407 | 172 | 2 | 172 | . 275 | . 341 | . 234 |
| Career | 1516 | 5621 | 1487 | 604 | 25 | 628 | . 265 | . 344 | . 224 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918 | 126 | 463 | 132 | 51 | 5 | 63 | . 285 | . 395 | . 257 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1638 | .250 | 409 |  |
| CLASS IV | 566 | .230 | 130 |  |
| TOTAL | 2204 |  | 539 |  |
| CLASS V | 1937 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4141 |  | 539 |  |

George Cutshaw, a second baseman, was 25 when he joined the Dodgers in 1912. He gave that club a half-dozen years as a regular, then, in 1918, he and Casey Stengel were traded to Pittsburgh in a deal that brought Burleigh Grimes (HOF) to Brooklyn. Cutshaw played second base for the Pirates through the end of the subject period and into the next. He ended up with Detroit and, at 36, retired in 1923.

A career FA of .965 places Cutshaw among the most dependable keystone men of the era.
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Cutshaw | 4141 | .104 | .006 | .110 | .220 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Cutshaw's BA (.261) was below the average for the select group of infielders, but he had more pop in his bat than many and wings on his feet (20-40 range for steals), which, together with his good hands, kept his career alive.

Mike Mowrey
Born 1884; Height 5.10; Weight 180; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 7 | 30 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 6 | .267 | .300 | .333 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 143 | 489 | 138 | 67 | 2 | 68 | .282 | .368 | .280 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 137 | 471 | 126 | 59 | 0 | 61 | .268 | .359 | .255 |
| 1912 | 114 | 408 | 104 | 57 | 2 | 48 | .255 | .341 | .262 |
| Total | 251 | 879 | 230 | 116 | 2 | 109 | .262 | .351 | .258 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1916 | 144 | 495 | 121 | 57 | 0 | 60 | .244 | .313 | .236 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 21 | 53 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 6 | .321 | .377 | .170 |
| 1907 | 77 | 448 | 113 | 42 | 1 | 43 | .252 | .321 | .192 |
| 1908 | 227 | 50 | 17 | 0 | 23 | .220 | .269 | .176 |  |
| 1909 | 50 | 144 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 9 | .201 | .243 | .153 |
| 1913 | 131 | 449 | 116 | 61 | 0 | 33 | .258 | .316 | .209 |
| 1914 | 79 | 284 | 72 | 23 | 1 | 24 | .254 | .324 | .169 |
| 1915 | 151 | 521 | 146 | 55 | 1 | 48 | .280 | .359 | .200 |
| 1917 | 83 | 271 | 58 | 20 | 0 | 25 | .214 | .284 | .166 |
| Total | 730 | 2397 | 601 | 234 | 3 | 211 | .251 | .316 | .187 |
| Period | 1275 | 4290 | 1098 | 478 | 7 | 454 | .256 | .329 | .219 |
| Career | 1275 | 4290 | 1098 | 478 | 7 | 454 | .256 | .329 | .219 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 143 | 489 | 138 | 67 | 2 | 68 | .282 | .368 | .280 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 30 | .333 | 10 | 147 |
| CLASS II | 489 | .280 | 137 |  |
| CLASS III | 879 | .258 | 227 |  |
| CLASS IV | 495 | .236 | 117 |  |
| TOTAL | 1893 |  | 491 |  |
| CLASS V | 2397 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4290 |  | 491 |  |

Mike Mowrey, 21, joined in 1905 the Cincinnati club. He operated for them as a utility man for four years; then he was traded in 1909 to the Cardinals, for whom he was the regular third baseman for four years.

An eight-man trade in 1914 sent Mowrey to Pittsburgh. A year later he jumped to the Dodgers of the Federal League. He ended his 13-year career in 1917 with them - 33 years old.

Mowrey played 93 percent of his games at third base. He had good hands - FA . 944 (led the league twice).

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Mowrey | 4290 | .111 | .002 | .106 | .219 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Mowrey's fielding talents kept him on the field. He was a marginal offensive infielder who appeared in more than 100 games only seven times.

## Freddy Parent

Born 1875; Height 5.07; Weight 154; T-R; B-R; Led American League: 1902-AB

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB.301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | . 444 | . 556 | . 556 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 138 | 517 | 158 | 83 | 4 | 55 | . 306 | . 408 | . 275 |
| 1903 | 139 | 560 | 170 | 79 | 4 | 76 | . 304 | . 441 | . 284 |
| Total | 277 | 1077 | 328 | 162 | 8 | 131 | . 305 | . 425 | . 279 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 138 | 567 | 156 | 88 | 3 | 59 | . 275 | . 374 | . 265 |
| 1904 | 155 | 591 | 172 | 79 | 6 | 71 | . 291 | . 389 | . 264 |
| Total | 293 | 1158 | 328 | 167 | 9 | 130 | . 283 | . 382 | . 264 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 153 | 602 | 141 | 55 | 0 | 33 | . 234 | . 277 | . 146 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1906 | 149 | 600 | 141 | 66 | 1 | 48 | .235 | .297 | .192 |
| 1907 | 114 | 409 | 113 | 50 | 1 | 25 | .276 | .355 | .186 |
| 1908 | 119 | 391 | 81 | 28 | 0 | 35 | .207 | .251 | .161 |
| 1909 | 136 | 472 | 123 | 61 | 0 | 30 | .261 | .303 | .193 |
| 1910 | 81 | 258 | 46 | 22 | 1 | 15 | .178 | .221 | .147 |
| Total | 752 | 2732 | 645 | 282 | 3 | 186 | .236 | .289 | .172 |
| Period | 1325 | 4976 | 1305 | 613 | 20 | 450 | .262 | .340 | .218 |
| Other | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | .125 | .125 | .125 |
| Career | 1327 | 4984 | 1306 | 613 | 20 | 451 | .262 | .340 | .217 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 139 | 560 | 170 | 79 | 4 | 76 | .304 | .441 | .284 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 9 | .556 | 5 | 305 |
| CLASS II | 1077 | .279 | 300 |  |
| CLASS III | 1158 | .264 | 306 |  |
| CLASS IV | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 2244 |  | 611 |  |
| CLASS V | 2732 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4976 |  | 611 |  |

Freddy Parent is another player who failed to crack the NL (1899) but found quick employment when (1901) the AL went into operation. He appeared as the regular shortstop for the Boston Red Sox and stayed with that club through the 1907 season. A trade sent him to the White Sox in 1908, and in 1911 he retired in that city at the age of 36.

Defensively, Parent was an acceptable shortstop (career FA .930).
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Parent | 4976 | .123 | .004 | .091 | .218 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Parent was a fair hitter with modest power - a good journeyman player.

## Buck Herzog

Born 1885; Height 5.11; Weight 160; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | PAB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I <br> PAB .301 <br> 1908 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II <br> PAB .271-.300 | 64 | 160 | 48 | 38 | 0 | 11 | .300 | .363 | .306 |
| 1911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III <br> PAB .241-.270 | 148 | 541 | 157 | 84 | 6 | 61 | .290 | .418 | .279 |
| 1912 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1913 | 96 | 290 | 83 | 43 | 3 | 28 | .286 | .390 | .255 |
| Total | 236 | 772 | 210 | 113 | 5 | 73 | .272 | .368 | .247 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 106 | 380 | 95 | 48 | 3 | 29 | .250 | .342 | .211 |
| 1916 | 156 | 561 | 148 | 69 | 1 | 48 | .264 | .333 | .210 |
| 1917 | 114 | 417 | 98 | 67 | 2 | 29 | .235 | .312 | .235 |
| Total | 376 | 1358 | 341 | 184 | 6 | 106 | .251 | .329 | .218 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 42 | 130 | 24 | 16 | 0 | 8 | .185 | .200 | .185 |
| 1914 | 138 | 498 | 140 | 53 | 1 | 39 | .281 | .347 | .187 |
| 1915 | 155 | 579 | 153 | 60 | 1 | 41 | .264 | .328 | .176 |
| 1918 | 118 | 473 | 108 | 57 | 0 | 26 | .228 | .279 | .175 |
| 1919 | 125 | 468 | 130 | 41 | 1 | 41 | .278 | .348 | .177 |
| Total | 578 | 2148 | 555 | 227 | 3 | 155 | .258 | .318 | .179 |
| Period | 1402 | 4979 | 1311 | 646 | 20 | 406 | .263 | .341 | .215 |
| Other | 91 | 305 | 59 | 39 | 0 | 19 | .193 | .237 | .190 |
| Career | 1493 | 5284 | 1370 | 685 | 20 | 425 | .259 | .335 | .214 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 148 | 541 | 157 | 84 | 6 | 61 | .290 | .418 | .279 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 160 | .306 | 49 | 200 |
| CLASS II | 541 | .279 | 151 |  |
| CLASS III | 772 | .247 | 191 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1358 | .218 | 296 |  |
| TOTAL | 2831 |  | 687 |  |
| CLASS V | 2148 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4979 |  | 687 |  |

Buck Herzog started his wandering career in 1908 with the Giants and ended it in 1920 with the Cubs. Apart from a brief visit to Boston in 1911, Herzog stayed with New York through the 1913 season. In 1914, as playing manager of the Reds, he assigned himself to shortstop. This didn't work out and in 1916 Herzog renewed his New York-to-Boston shuttle routine. In 1919, he went to Chicago and retired in that city in 1920 - 35 years old.

A career as a utility man doesn't build useful defensive statistics. Herzog's career FA (.943) suggests he had good hands, but his assignments in the field imply even more powerfully that he wasn't a first class defensive player - he spent most of his time at second base.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Herzog | 4979 | .130 | .004 | .081 | .215 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Herzog ran well (over 30 stolen bases in four seasons). That was his most useful offensive weapon.

## Harry Lord

Born 1882; Height 5.11; Weight 165; T-R; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | PAB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 561 | 180 | 100 | 3 | 58 | .321 | .433 | .287 |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 136 | 534 | 166 | 85 | 0 | 31 | .311 | .360 | .217 |
| 1912 | 151 | 570 | 152 | 76 | 5 | 49 | .267 | .368 | .228 |
| Total | 287 | 1104 | 318 | 161 | 5 | 80 | .288 | .364 | .223 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 38 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 3 | .158 | .184 | .184 |  |
| 1908 | 145 | 558 | 145 | 59 | 2 | 35 | .260 | .319 | .172 |
| 1910 | 121 | 453 | 121 | 50 | 1 | 41 | .267 | .333 | .203 |
| 1913 | 150 | 547 | 144 | 61 | 1 | 41 | .263 | .346 | .188 |
| 1914 | 21 | 69 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 2 | .188 | .275 | .145 |
| 1915 | 97 | 359 | 97 | 49 | 1 | 20 | .270 | .345 | .195 |
| Total | 544 | 2024 | 526 | 230 | 6 | 142 | .260 | .330 | .187 |
| Period | 972 | 3689 | 1024 | 491 | 14 | 280 | .278 | .356 | .213 |
| Career | 972 | 3689 | 1024 | 491 | 14 | 280 | .278 | .356 | .213 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 141 | 561 | 180 | 100 | 3 | 58 | .321 | .433 | .287 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| CLASS II | 561 | .287 | 161 |  |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1104 | .223 | 246 |  |
| TOTAL | 1665 |  | 407 |  |
| CLASS V | 2024 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3689 |  | 407 |  |

Harry Lord spent eight years (1907-14) in the AL and one in the Federal League. He was with the Red Sox for more than three seasons and after a trade in 1910, the balance of his AL time was spent with the White Sox. In 1915, his last season, he was playing manager for Buffalo of the Federal League. He was 33 when he quit.

Lord was a third baseman and played 93 percent of his games at that position (FA .924). Compared to talented hot corner men like Frank Baker and Bill Bradley, he was not in the lineup because of defensive ability.

# Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders 

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Lord | 3689 | .133 | .004 | .076 | .213 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

A good contact hitter has always been a prized characteristic in big league baseball. Lord was blessed with that ability (BA .278), although not to the extent that his early years suggested. And his reluctance to take a base on balls kept him off the bases and minimized his base stealing abilities (20-40 range).

## Germany Schaefer

Born 1877; Height 5.09; Weight 175; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | $B A$ | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | . 000 | . 0 | . 400 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 25 | 29 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 2 | . 241 | . 276 | . 276 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 153 | 584 | 151 | 93 | 3 | 49 | . 259 | . 342 | . 248 |
| 1911 | 125 | 440 | 147 | 74 | 0 | 45 | . 334 | . 398 | . 270 |
| 1912 | 60 | 166 | 41 | 21 | 0 | 19 | . 247 | . 325 | . 241 |
| 1913 | 52 | 100 | 32 | 17 | 0 | 7 | . 320 | . 350 | . 240 |
| Total | 390 | 1290 | 371 | 205 | 3 | 120 | . 288 | . 360 | . 254 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 59 | 154 | 33 | 26 | 0 | 8 | . 214 | . 286 | . 221 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 600 | . 800 | . 000 |
| 1902 | 81 | 291 | 57 | 32 | 0 | 14 | . 196 | . 223 | . 158 |
| 1905 | 153 | 554 | 135 | 62 | 2 | 45 | . 244 | . 318 | . 197 |
| 1906 | 124 | 446 | 106 | 46 | 2 | 40 | . 238 | . 296 | . 197 |
| 1907 | 109 | 372 | 96 | 44 | 1 | 31 | . 258 | . 315 | . 204 |
| 1909 | 124 | 408 | 101 | 38 | 1 | 25 | . 248 | . 301 | . 157 |
| 1910 | 74 | 229 | 63 | 27 | 0 | 14 | . 275 | . 345 | . 179 |
| 1916 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 |
| Total | 668 | 2305 | 561 | 249 | 6 | 169 | . 243 | . 302 | . 184 |
| Period | 1143 | 3783 | 972 | 488 | 9 | 299 | . 257 | . 320 | . 210 |
| Career | 1143 | 3783 | 972 | 488 | 9 | 299 | . 257 | . 320 | . 210 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 125 | 440 | 147 | 74 | 0 | 45 | . 334 | . 398 | . 270 |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 5 | .400 | 2 | 10 |
| CLASS II | 29 | .276 | 8 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS III | 1290 | .254 | 328 |  |
| CLASS IV | 154 | .221 | 34 |  |
| TOTAL | 1478 |  | 372 |  |
| CLASS V | 2305 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3783 |  | 372 |  |

Schaefer's 15 -year career started with two seasons for the Cubs. He disappeared for two years, and then came back with the Tigers for over four years before being traded in 1909 to Washington. He jumped to the Federal League in 1915, then was back in the AL with the Yankees in 1916. He dropped out in 1917, then returned for a moment with Cleveland in 1918. He was 41 when he retired.

Mostly a second baseman, Schaefer played a variety of positions well enough (FA .954) to keep him on the squad. At the plate he was adequate.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Schaefer | 3783 | .129 | .002 | .079 | .210 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Offensively, Schaefer ran well and he was a fair contact hitter.

## Eddie Foster

Born 1887; Height 5.07; Weight 145; T-R; B-R; Led American League: 1912/14/ 15/18-AB

* $=$ Net of home runs
G $\quad A B \quad H \quad R^{*} \quad H R \quad R B I^{*} \quad B A \quad S A ~ P A B$

CLASS I
PAB.301+
None
CLASS II
PAB .271-. 300
None
CLASS III
PAB .241-. 270

| 1912 | 154 | 618 | 176 | 96 | 2 | 68 | .285 | .379 | .269 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS IV
PAB .211-. 240

| 1913 | 106 | 409 | 101 | 55 | 1 | 40 | .247 | .306 | .235 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1914 | 156 | 616 | 174 | 80 | 2 | 48 | .282 | .351 | .211 |
| Total | 262 | 1025 | 275 | 135 | 3 | 88 | .268 | .333 | .220 |

CLASS V
PAB .210-

| 1910 | 30 | 83 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 1 | .133 | .157 | .072 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1915 | 154 | 618 | 170 | 75 | 0 | 52 | .275 | .348 | .206 |
| 1916 | 158 | 606 | 153 | 74 | 1 | 43 | .252 | .317 | .195 |
| 1917 | 143 | 554 | 130 | 66 | 0 | 43 | .235 | .292 | .197 |
| 1918 | 129 | 519 | 147 | 70 | 0 | 29 | .283 | .320 | .191 |
| 1919 | 120 | 478 | 126 | 57 | 0 | 26 | .264 | .310 | .174 |
| Total | 734 | 2858 | 737 | 347 | 1 | 194 | .258 | .314 | .190 |


| Period | 1150 | 4501 | 1188 | 578 | 6 | 350 | .264 | .327 | .208 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other | 348 | 1151 | 302 | 148 | 0 | 90 | .262 | .322 | .207 |
| Career | 1498 | 5652 | 1490 | 726 | 6 | 440 | .264 | .326 | .207 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 154 | 618 | 176 | 96 | 2 | 68 | .285 | .379 | .269 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 618 | .269 | 166 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1025 | .220 | 226 |  |
| TOTAL | 1643 |  | 392 |  |
| CLASS V | 2858 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4501 |  | 392 |  |

Eddie Foster played for 13 years (1910-23) in the AL with New York, Washington, Boston and St. Louis. He spent eight seasons in Washington. He was 36 when he retired.

Foster played 77 percent of his games at third base - he was an erratic glove man (career FA .930).

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Foster | 4501 | .128 | .001 | .079 | .208 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Foster, as an offensive player, was a fair contact hitter with little power. He failed to live up to his early reputation $(1912,1914)$ as a contact hitter.

## Hobe Ferris

Born 1877; Height 5.10; Weight 170; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $G$ | $A B$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 | 138 | 523 | 131 | 66 | 2 | 61 | .250 | .350 | .247 |
| 1901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV | 134 | 499 | 122 | 49 | 8 | 55 | .244 | .381 | .224 |
| PAB .211-.240 | 141 | 525 | 132 | 60 | 9 | 57 | .251 | .366 | .240 |
| 1902 | 148 | 555 | 150 | 52 | 2 | 72 | .270 | .353 | .227 |
| 1903 | 423 | 1579 | 404 | 161 | 19 | 184 | .256 | .366 | .231 |
| 1908 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210 | 156 | 563 | 120 | 47 | 3 | 60 | .213 | .306 | .195 |
| 1904 | 141 | 523 | 115 | 45 | 6 | 53 | .220 | .361 | .199 |
| 1905 | 130 | 495 | 121 | 45 | 2 | 42 | .244 | .360 | .180 |
| 1906 | 150 | 561 | 135 | 37 | 4 | 56 | .241 | .314 | .173 |
| 1907 | 148 | 556 | 120 | 33 | 3 | 55 | .216 | .282 | .164 |
| 1909 | 725 | 2698 | 611 | 207 | 18 | 266 | .226 | .323 | .182 |
| Total | 1286 | 4800 | 1146 | 434 | 39 | 511 | .239 | .340 | .205 |
| Period | 1286 | 4800 | 1146 | 434 | 39 | 511 | .239 | .340 | .205 |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEST 123+ GAMES | 138 | 523 | 131 | 66 | 2 | 61 | .250 | .350 | .247 |
| 1901 | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 523 | .247 | 129 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1579 | .231 | 365 |  |
| TOTAL | 2102 |  | 494 |  |
| CLASS V | 2698 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4800 |  | 494 |  |

Hobe Ferris had a nine-year career, all of which fell into the subject period. A second baseman, he walked right into a starting position with the Red Sox in 1901 and continued to be a regular throughout his career. During his last two seasons with the Browns, he operated mostly at third base. He retired in 1909 at 32 years of age.

Ferris was a solid second baseman and, in general, a good defensive infielder (FA .954led the league once).

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Ferris | 4800 | .090 | .008 | .107 | .205 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Defensive ability plus above-average power kept Ferris on the field.

## Wid Conroy

Born 1877; Height 5.09; Weight 158; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .301+
None
CLASS II
PAB .271-. 300

| 1902 | 99 | 365 | 89 | 54 | 1 | 46 | .244 | .312 | .277 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 131 | 503 | 129 | 69 | 5 | 59 | .256 | .350 | .264 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 126 | 503 | 137 | 73 | 1 | 44 | .272 | .372 | .235 |
| 1904 | 140 | 489 | 119 | 57 | 1 | 51 | .243 | .335 | .223 |
| Total | 266 | 992 | 256 | 130 | 2 | 95 | .258 | .354 | .229 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 102 | 385 | 105 | 53 | 2 | 23 | .273 | .395 | .203 |
| 1906 | 148 | 567 | 139 | 63 | 4 | 50 | .245 | .332 | .206 |
| 1907 | 140 | 530 | 124 | 55 | 3 | 48 | .234 | .315 | .200 |
| 1908 | 141 | 531 | 126 | 43 | 1 | 38 | .237 | .296 | .154 |
| 1909 | 139 | 488 | 119 | 43 | 1 | 19 | .244 | .293 | .129 |
| 1910 | 105 | 351 | 89 | 35 | 1 | 26 | .254 | .311 | .177 |
| 1911 | 104 | 346 | 80 | 38 | 2 | 26 | .231 | .304 | .189 |
| Total | 879 | 3198 | 782 | 330 | 14 | 230 | .245 | .319 | .179 |
| Period | 1375 | 5058 | 1256 | 583 | 22 | 430 | .248 | .329 | .205 |
| Career | 1375 | 5058 | 1256 | 583 | 22 | 430 | .248 | .329 | .205 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 131 | 503 | 129 | 69 | 5 | 59 | .256 | .350 | .264 |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| CLASS II | 365 | .277 | 101 |  |
| CLASS III | 503 | .264 | 133 |  |
| CLASS IV | 992 | .229 | 227 |  |
| TOTAL | 1860 |  | 461 |  |
| CLASS V | 3198 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5058 |  | 461 |  |

Conroy had an 11-year career starting with Milwaukee in 1901 and ending in Washington in 1911. He spent one season in the NL with the Pirates. Then he went to the Yankees in 1903, where he spent six years. In 1909, 34, he retired in Washington.

A career utility man, Conroy was apparently an adequate fielder (career FA .936).
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Conroy | 5058 | .115 | .004 | .086 | .205 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Speed and flexibility kept Conroy in lineups - he stole 262 bases in 11 seasons.

Bobby Byrne
Born 1884: Height 5.08; Weight 145; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1910-H, 2B

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | $B A$ | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .301+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 148 | 602 | 178 | 99 | 2 | 50 | . 296 | . 417 | . 251 |
| 1911 | 153 | 598 | 155 | 94 | 2 | 50 | . 259 | . 366 | . 244 |
| 1912 | 130 | 528 | 152 | 96 | 3 | 32 | . 288 | . 405 | . 248 |
| Total | 431 | 1728 | 485 | 289 | 7 | 132 | . 281 | . 396 | . 248 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 151 | 589 | 133 | 91 | 1 | 39 | . 226 | . 290 | . 222 |
| 1913 | 132 | 506 | 134 | 61 | 2 | 49 | . 265 | . 322 | . 221 |
| 1916 | 48 | 141 | 33 | 22 | 0 | 9 | . 234 | . 319 | . 220 |
| Total | 331 | 1236 | 300 | 174 | 3 | 97 | . 243 | . 306 | . 222 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 148 | 558 | 143 | 55 | 0 | 29 | . 256 | . 294 | . 151 |
| 1908 | 127 | 439 | 84 | 27 | 0 | 14 | . 191 | . 212 | . 093 |
| 1914 | 126 | 467 | 127 | 61 | 0 | 26 | . 272 | . 302 | . 186 |
| 1915 | 105 | 387 | 81 | 50 | 0 | 21 | . 209 | . 245 | . 183 |
| 1917 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | . 333 | . 333 | . 067 |
| Total | 520 | 1866 | 440 | 194 | 0 | 90 | . 236 | . 267 | . 152 |
| Period | 1282 | 4830 | 1225 | 657 | 10 | 319 | . 254 | . 323 | . 204 |
| Career | 1282 | 4830 | 1225 | 657 | 10 | 319 | . 254 | . 323 | . 204 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 148 | 602 | 178 | 99 | 2 | 50 | . 296 | . 417 | . 252 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P} \boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1728 | .248 | 429 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1236 | .222 | 274 |  |
| TOTAL | 2964 |  | 703 |  |
| CLASS V | 1866 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4830 |  | 703 |  |

Bobby Byrne had an 11-year career that began in 1907 with the Cardinals and ended in 1917 with the White Sox. In between, he played for the Pirates and Phillies.

Byrne played 90 percent of his games at third base. His overall FA (.932) was below average for the time - but he led the league twice.

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Byrne | 4830 | .136 | .002 | .066 | .204 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

As a producer, Byrne was not formidable.

## Buck Weaver

Born 1890; Height 5.11; Weight 170; T-R; B-B

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | $B A$ | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB 301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919 | 140 | 571 | 169 | 86 | 3 | 72 | . 296 | . 401 | . 282 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-. 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .211-. 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 148 | 563 | 151 | 80 | 3 | 46 | . 268 | . 355 | . 229 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 147 | 533 | 117 | 54 | 1 | 42 | . 224 | . 300 | . 185 |
| 1913 | 151 | 523 | 145 | 47 | 4 | 48 | . 272 | . 356 | . 186 |
| 1914 | 136 | 541 | 133 | 62 | 2 | 26 | . 246 | . 327 | . 166 |
| 1916 | 151 | 582 | 132 | 75 | 3 | 35 | . 227 | . 309 | . 194 |
| 1917 | 118 | 447 | 127 | 61 | 3 | 29 | . 284 | . 362 | . 208 |
| 1918 | 112 | 420 | 126 | 37 | 0 | 29 | . 300 | . 352 | . 157 |
| Total | 815 | 3046 | 780 | 336 | 13 | 209 | . 256 | . 333 | . 183 |
| Period | 1103 | 4180 | 1100 | 502 | 19 | 327 | . 263 | . 345 | . 203 |
| Other | 151 | 630 | 210 | 102 | 2 | 73 | . 333 | . 429 | . 281 |
| Career | 1254 | 4810 | 1310 | 604 | 21 | 400 | . 272 | . 356 | . 213 |
| BEST 123+ GA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919 | 140 | 571 | 169 | 86 | 3 | 72 | . 296 | . 401 | . 282 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| CLASS II | 571 | .282 | 161 |  |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS IV | 563 | .229 | 129 |  |
| TOTAL | 1134 |  | 290 |  |
| CLASS V | 3046 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4180 |  | 290 |  |

Buck Weaver spent nine years (1912-20) with the White Sox. Most of the time he played shortstop ( 66 percent), but he primarily functioned for the team as a utility infielder.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Weaver | 4180 | .120 | .005 | .078 | .203 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Weaver, a fair contact hitter with above average power, was useful defensively (FA .935) and offensively, the profile of a good utility man. He was aggressive on the bases - 172 steals in nine years.

## John Hummel

Born 1883; Height 5.11; Weight 160; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
G & A B & H & R^{*} & H R & R B I^{*} & B A & S A & P A B
\end{array}
$$

CLASS I
PAB .301+
None
CLASS II
PAB.271-. 300
None
CLASS III
PAB .241-. 270

| 1912 | 122 | 411 | 116 | 50 | 5 | 49 | .282 | .404 | .253 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS IV
PAB .211-. 240

| 1905 | 30 | 109 | 29 | 19 | 0 | 7 | .266 | .367 | .239 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1910 | 153 | 578 | 141 | 62 | 5 | 69 | .244 | .351 | .235 |
| 1911 | 137 | 477 | 129 | 49 | 5 | 53 | .270 | .392 | .224 |
| 1913 | 67 | 198 | 48 | 18 | 2 | 22 | .242 | .379 | .212 |
| 1914 | 73 | 208 | 55 | 25 | 0 | 20 | .264 | .389 | .216 |
| 1918 | 22 | 61 | 18 | 9 | 0 | 4 | .295 | .377 | .213 |
| Total | 482 | 1631 | 420 | 182 | 12 | 175 | .258 | .366 | .226 |

CLASS V
PAB .210-

| 1906 | 97 | 286 | 57 | 19 | 1 | 20 | .199 | .259 | .140 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1907 | 107 | 342 | 80 | 38 | 3 | 28 | .234 | .313 | .202 |
| 1908 | 154 | 594 | 143 | 47 | 4 | 37 | .241 | .320 | .148 |
| 1909 | 146 | 542 | 152 | 50 | 4 | 48 | .280 | .363 | .188 |
| 1915 | 53 | 100 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 8 | .230 | .310 | .140 |
| Total | 557 | 1864 | 455 | 160 | 12 | 141 | .244 | .339 | .168 |
| Period | 1161 | 3906 | 991 | 392 | 29 | 365 | .254 | .352 | .201 |
| Career | 1161 | 3906 | 991 | 392 | 29 | 365 | .254 | .352 | .201 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 153 | 578 | 141 | 62 | 5 | 69 | .244 | .351 | .235 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| CLASS II | 411 | .253 | 104 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1631 | .226 | 369 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL | 2042 |  | 473 |  |
| CLASS V | 1864 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3906 |  | 473 |  |

John Hummel spent all but one of his 11 career years with the Brooklyn Dodgers, a rare example of mutual fidelity. It all began in 1905, and Hummel retired for the first time in 1915. Three years later he reappeared in a Yankee uniform for a few games, then retired for a second time.

Hummel played more at second base than anywhere else, but he was a utility man throughout his career. He was a good glove man (FA .963; led the league twice), but he never came close to nailing down a defensive position.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Hummel | 3906 | .101 | .007 | .093 | .201 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Except for occasional pop with his bat, Hummel was not an offensive threat. His fielding and athletic ability kept his career alive.

## Al Bridwell

Born 1884; Height 5.09; Weight 170; T-R; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .301+
None
CLASS II
PAB .271-. 300
None
CLASS III
PAB .241-. 270

| 1910 | 142 | 492 | 136 | 74 | 0 | 48 | .276 | .335 | .248 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS IV
PAB .211-. 240

| 1908 | 147 | 467 | 133 | 53 | 0 | 46 | .285 | .319 | .212 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1909 | 145 | 476 | 140 | 59 | 0 | 55 | .294 | .338 | .239 |
| 1911 | 127 | 445 | 124 | 57 | 0 | 41 | .279 | .317 | .220 |
| Total | 479 | 1388 | 397 | 169 | 0 | 142 | .286 | .325 | .224 |

CLASS V
PAB .210-

| 1905 | 82 | 254 | 64 | 17 | 0 | 17 | .252 | .272 | .134 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1906 | 120 | 459 | 104 | 41 | 0 | 22 | .227 | .251 | .137 |
| 1907 | 140 | 509 | 111 | 49 | 0 | 26 | .218 | .242 | .147 |
| 1912 | 31 | 106 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 14 | .236 | .302 | .189 |
| 1913 | 135 | 405 | 97 | 34 | 1 | 36 | .240 | .291 | .175 |
| 1914 | 117 | 381 | 90 | 45 | 1 | 32 | .236 | .286 | .205 |
| 1915 | 65 | 175 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 9 | .229 | .269 | .166 |
| Total | 690 | 2289 | 531 | 212 | 2 | 156 | .232 | .268 | .162 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Period | 1251 | 4169 | 1064 | 455 | 2 | 346 | .255 | .295 | .193 |
| Career | 1251 | 4169 | 1064 | 455 | 2 | 346 | .255 | .295 | .193 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 492 | .248 | 122 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1388 | .224 | 311 |  |
| TOTAL | 1880 |  | 433 |  |
| CLASS V | 2289 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4169 |  | 433 |  |

Al Bridwell spent 11 years in the majors with Cincinnati, Boston, New York and Chicago of the NL and, for his last two seasons, St. Louis of the Federal League. He retired in 1915 at 31 years of age.

Bridwell was primarily a shortstop and played 87 percent of his games at that position. Defensively, he was as busy as most and had good hands (FA .939).

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Bridwell | 4169 | .109 | .000 | .084 | .193 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Patience at the plate and fair foot speed were Bridwewll's only offensive credentials.

## Ivy Olson

Born 1885; Height 5.11; Weight 175; T-RR B-R; Led National League: 1919-AB, H

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PAB .301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .271-. 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .241-.270 | 140 | 545 | 142 | 88 | 1 | 49 | .262 | .332 | .253 |
| 1911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV | 123 | 467 | 118 | 68 | 0 | 33 | .253 | .285 | .216 |
| PAB .211-. 240 | 104 | 370 | 92 | 47 | 0 | 32 | .249 | .300 | .214 |
| 1912 | 227 | 837 | 210 | 115 | 0 | 65 | .251 | .292 | .215 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .210- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 89 | 310 | 75 | 21 | 1 | 19 | .242 | .284 | .132 |
| 1915 | 81 | 233 | 50 | 20 | 0 | 17 | .215 | .278 | .159 |
| 1916 | 108 | 351 | 89 | 28 | 1 | 37 | .254 | .322 | .188 |
| 1917 | 139 | 580 | 156 | 62 | 2 | 36 | .269 | .328 | .172 |
| 1918 | 126 | 506 | 121 | 62 | 1 | 16 | .239 | .292 | .156 |
| 1919 | 140 | 590 | 164 | 72 | 1 | 37 | .278 | .337 | .186 |
| Total | 683 | 2570 | 655 | 265 | 6 | 162 | .255 | .312 | .168 |
| Period | 1050 | 3952 | 1007 | 468 | 7 | 276 | .255 | .311 | .190 |
| Other | 522 | 2159 | 568 | 249 | 6 | 157 | .263 | .331 | .191 |
| Career | 1572 | 6111 | 1575 | 717 | 13 | 433 | .258 | .318 | .190 |
| BEST 123+GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 140 | 545 | 142 | 88 | 1 | 49 | .262 | .332 | .253 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 545 | .253 | 138 |  |
| CLASS IV | 837 | .215 | 180 |  |
| TOTAL | 1383 |  | 318 |  |
| CLASS V | 2570 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3952 |  | 318 |  |

Ivy Olson had a relatively long career, a large piece of which extended beyond the subject period. Of his 14 years of play (1911-24), five are excluded from this survey.

During the subject period, Olson spent four years with Cleveland, a partial season with the Reds and over nine years with the Dodgers. He was 39 when in 1924 he retired.

For Cleveland, Olson was a utility man. For Brooklyn, he played mostly at shortstop - his FA of 932 is not impressive.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Olson | 3952 | .118 | .002 | .070 | .190 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .129 | .005 | .107 | .241 |

Olson's record on both sides of the ball makes one wonder why he lasted so long.

# Infielders - Summary <br> in Domination Point (DP) Sequence 

${ }^{*}$ Net of home runs
** HOF

| Player | AB | $R^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P A B \\ & H R \end{aligned}$ | RBI* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & P A B \end{aligned}$ | BA | SA | MTP | MVP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg. player | 4832 | . 129 | . 005 | . 107 | . 241 | . 275 | . 360 |  |  |
| SUPERSTAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DP 1839+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wagner $\mathrm{H}^{* *}$ | 8518 | . 157 | . 009 | . 152 | . 318 | . 325 | . 462 | 2232 | 2476 |
| Lajoie $\mathrm{N}^{* *}$ | 7501 | . 137 | . 007 | . 146 | . 290 | . 336 | . 451 | 1556 | 1921 |
| Collins E** | 6096 | . 182 | . 004 | . 124 | . 310 | . 325 | . 419 | 1590 | 1886 |
| STAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DP 1377-1838 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baker F** | 5421 | . 135 | . 015 | . 152 | . 302 | . 309 | . 442 | 1150 | 1637 |
| Doyle L | 6038 | . 139 | . 012 | . 112 | . 263 | . 290 | . 411 | 814 | 1554 |
| ABOVE AVG. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DP 915-1376 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zimmerman H | 5304 | . 120 | . 011 | . 140 | . 271 | . 295 | . 419 | 805 | 1365 |
| Tinker J** | 6441 | . 115 | . 005 | . 117 | . 237 | . 263 | . 354 | 290 | 1360 |
| Murphy D | 5403 | . 121 | . 008 | . 122 | . 251 | . 288 | . 404 | 348 | 1335 |
| Evers J** | 6131 | . 148 | . 002 | . 086 | . 236 | . 270 | . 334 | 383 | 1257 |
| Bush D | 6058 | . 178 | . 001 | . 059 | . 238 | . 247 | . 298 | 451 | 1241 |
| Steinfeldt H | 4692 | . 121 | . 005 | . 125 | . 251 | . 270 | . 362 | 435 | 1165 |
| Wallace B** | 6211 | . 108 | . 002 | . 111 | . 221 | . 260 | . 337 | 292 | 1137 |
| Gardner L | 4902 | . 121 | . 004 | . 121 | . 246 | . 285 | . 378 | 328 | 1090 |
| Williams J | 4448 | . 123 | . 008 | . 129 | . 260 | . 265 | . 378 | 635 | 991 |
| Fletcher A | 4595 | . 122 | . 005 | . 117 | . 244 | . 276 | . 357 | 229 | 983 |
| Lobert H | 4563 | . 133 | . 007 | . 099 | . 239 | . 274 | . 366 | 157 | 972 |
| Pratt D | 4398 | . 112 | . 006 | . 123 | . 241 | . 282 | . 391 | 162 | 968 |
| Devlin A | 4412 | . 134 | . 002 | . 113 | . 249 | . 269 | . 338 | 421 | 964 |
| Elberfeld K | 4389 | . 140 | . 002 | . 113 | . 255 | . 272 | . 340 | 440 | 945 |
| Huggins M | 5557 | . 168 | . 002 | . 056 | . 226 | . 265 | . 314 | 154 | 942 |
| BELOW AVG. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DP 453-914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delahanty J | 4091 | . 123 | . 004 | . 115 | . 242 | . 283 | . 373 | 359 | 852 |
| LaPorte F | 4212 | . 116 | . 003 | . 130 | . 249 | . 281 | . 376 | 355 | 844 |
| Dahlen B | 4218 | . 118 | . 005 | . 120 | . 243 | . 247 | . 322 | 570 | 820 |
| Davis G | 3545 | . 127 | . 004 | . 121 | . 252 | . 266 | . 346 | 309 | 817 |
| Smith R | 3907 | . 115 | . 007 | . 125 | . 247 | . 278 | . 377 | 265 | 811 |
| Collins J ${ }^{* *}$ | 3770 | . 131 | . 007 | . 113 | . 251 | . 284 | . 395 | 477 | 785 |
| Bradley B | 4858 | . 132 | . 005 | . 095 | . 232 | . 269 | . 367 | 659 | 773 |
| Byrne B | 4830 | . 136 | . 002 | . 066 | . 204 | . 254 | . 323 | 0 | 703 |
| Herzog B | 4979 | . 130 | . 004 | . 081 | . 215 | . 263 | . 341 | 200 | 687 |
| Parent F | 4976 | . 123 | . 004 | . 091 | . 218 | . 262 | . 340 | 305 | 611 |
| Ritchey C | 4019 | . 112 | . 002 | . 108 | . 222 | . 269 | . 338 | 0 | 608 |
| Isbell F | 4060 | . 116 | . 003 | . 107 | . 226 | . 221 | . 329 | 258 | 582 |
| Cutshaw G | 4141 | . 104 | . 006 | . 110 | . 220 | . 261 | . 345 | 0 | 539 |
| Groh H | 3582 | . 144 | . 005 | . 083 | . 232 | . 294 | . 391 | 137 | 511 |


| Player | AB | $R^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P A B \\ & H R \end{aligned}$ | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { PAB } \end{aligned}$ | BA | SA | MTP | MVP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ferris H | 4800 | . 090 | . 008 | . 107 | . 205 | . 239 | . 340 | 0 | 494 |
| Mowrey M | 4290 | . 111 | . 002 | . 106 | . 219 | . 256 | . 329 | 147 | 491 |
| Hummel J | 3906 | . 101 | . 007 | . 093 | . 201 | . 254 | . 352 | 0 | 473 |
| Conroy W | 5058 | . 115 | . 004 | . 086 | . 205 | . 248 | . 329 | 101 | 461 |
| POOR DP 452- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bridwell A | 4169 | . 109 | . 000 | . 084 | . 193 | . 255 | . 295 | 0 | 433 |
| Lord H | 3689 | . 133 | . 004 | . 076 | . 213 | . 278 | . 356 | 161 | 407 |
| Foster E | 4501 | . 128 | . 001 | . 079 | . 208 | . 264 | . 327 | 0 | 392 |
| Schaefer G | 3783 | . 129 | . 002 | . 079 | . 210 | . 257 | . 320 | 10 | 372 |
| Olson I | 3952 | . 118 | . 002 | . 070 | . 190 | . 255 | . 311 | 0 | 318 |
| Weaver B | 4180 | . 120 | . 005 | . 078 | . 203 | . 263 | . 345 | 161 | 290 |
| Average | 915 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD | 461 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

10 BEST PAB SEASONS

| Lajoie $\mathrm{N}^{* *}-1901$ | 543 | .241 | .026 | .204 | .471 | .422 | .635 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Baker F ${ }^{* *}-1912$ | 577 | .184 | .017 | .213 | .414 | .347 | .541 |
| Williams J-1901 | 501 | .212 | .014 | .177 | .403 | .317 | .495 |
| Wagner H H* -1901 | 556 | .169 | .011 | .216 | .396 | .353 | .491 |
| Collins E ${ }^{* *}-1914$ | 526 | .228 | .004 | .158 | .390 | .344 | .452 |
| LaPorte F-1914 | 505 | .162 | .008 | .204 | .374 | .311 | .436 |
| Collins J**-1901 | 564 | .183 | .010 | .156 | .349 | .332 | .495 |
| Zimmerman H-1913 | 447 | .134 | .020 | .193 | .347 | .313 | .490 |
| Davis G-1902 | 485 | .151 | .006 | .185 | .342 | .299 | .402 |
| Gardner L-1912 | 517 | .168 | .005 | .158 | .331 | .315 | .449 |

The prevalence of 1901 in the " 10 Best PAB" listing suggests that the first year of this survey should be looked at with great suspicion. Record-keeping was poor in those days and performance numbers could to be distorted. Lajoie, for example, hit more than twice as many home runs as he did thereafter. His full-season PAB thereafter never again approached the 1901 mark. Jimmy Williams' PAB after 1901 never again exceeded .317 in a full season; the same is generally true of Jimmy Collins and George Davis (1902). The only exception is Honus Wagner. His 1901 numbers may have been as distorted as the others, but he came close to matching them in later years.

Because of the above, the second-best years in each category have also been shown in bold as being more representative of legitimate performance, and the temptation is powerful to say that nobody had a better season than Frank Baker did in 1912.

## The Best of the Best

Sample size
NL
AL
Most durable-top 5
Top five BA
Top five SA
Top five scorers (R)
Top five power hitters (HR)
Top five clutch hitters (RBI)
Top five seasons
Most talented producer (MTP)-top 5
Most valuable producer (MVP)-top 5

44
20
24
Wagner, Tinker, Wallace, Evers, Lajoie
Lajoie, Wagner, Collins E., Baker, Zimmerman Wagner, Lajoie, Baker, Collins E., Zimmerman Collins E., Bush, Huggins, Wagner, Evers Baker, Doyle, Zimmerman, Wagner, Murphy Wagner, Baker, Lajoie, Zimmerman, LaPorte Lajoie, Baker, Williams, Wagner, Collins E. Wagner, Collins E., Lajoie, Baker, Doyle Wagner, Lajoie, Collins E., Baker, Doyle

This era featured the talents of eight HOF members: Wagner (SS), Collins, E. (2B), Baker (3B), Lajoie (2B), Collins, J. (3B), Tinker (SS), Evers (2B) and Wallace (SS).

The comparative records of these players, plus those of the neglected Doyle and Zimmerman during the subject period, follow:

|  | PAB <br> Rank | AB | BA | SA | PAB | FA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd base |  | 6096 | .325 | .419 | .310 | .969 |
| Collins, E. (2) | 3 | 7501 | .336 | .451 | .290 | .967 |
| Lajoie (1) | 2 | 6131 | .270 | .334 | .236 | .953 |
| Evers (2) | 9 | 6038 | .290 | .411 | .263 | .949 |
| Doyle | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SS |  | 8518 | .325 | .462 | .318 | .947 |
| Wagner | 1 | 6441 | .263 | .354 | .237 | .938 |
| Tinker | 7 | 6211 | .260 | .337 | .221 | .938 |
| Wallace (1) | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd base |  | 5421 | .309 | .442 | .302 | .943 |
| Baker (2) | 4 | 3770 | .284 | .395 | .251 | .928 |
| Collins, J. (1) | 27 | 5304 | .295 | .419 | .271 | .933 |
| Zimmerman | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Career began in 1890s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Career extended into 1920s |  |  |  |  |  |  |

It is the function of this analysis to identify the great producers of the era. On that basis, the selection of Eddie Collins, Lajoie, Wagner and Baker can be easily justified. The others, Evers, Tinker, Wallace and Jimmy Collins, cannot. Offensively, they were ranked much lower than the others; defensively they were well regarded. It must be left to others to defend these choices.

The fact that some HOF selections from this era are problematical does not suggest that the talents displayed by those players during these nineteen years were not impressive. Perhaps, for example, Tinkers to Evers to Chance (the famed double play combination) did not produce three HOF ballplayers, but these were outstanding athletes, as were Doyle and Zimmerman - who may have been more deserving than some of those who were selected for Cooperstown. This was a good crop of players, most of whom deserved the major league status that they enjoyed.

In the catcher section of this analysis, the selection of Roger Bresnahan to the HOF was questioned because he oftentimes played other positions and did not undergo the same physical conditions that drained the full-time catchers against whom he was being compared. In that comparison he was clearly superior. But the question was raised: How would his record compare with players from other positions, say, infielders, a group that contained many utility players, which in truth is what Bresnahan was?

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Infielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{a t}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | HR <br> $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | Total <br> $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bresnahan | 4460 | .147 | .006 | .112 | .265 |
| Sample avg. | 4832 | .128 | .003 | .106 | .237 |

In this comparison, Bresnahan was more productive than the average infielder, and on the scale used to measure infielders, he would have fit into the above average classification - which is not where one normally finds HOF players.

Bresnahan was competent, but not outstanding. His choice as a HOF player was, it appears, based upon an invalid comparison of his record with legitimate catchers, a record that is otherwise commendable but not great.

## Outfielders - Analysis, 1901-1919

Most great producers of any baseball era are typically found in the outfield, but in the 1901-19 period - the final days of deadball baseball - productivity between positional groups was, with the exception of catchers, quite similar.

A total of 48 outfielders qualified for the study and generated a PAB of .252 , about the same as first basemen and only marginally higher than infielders. The elements for all positions are summarized below:

| PAB <br> Element | FB | C | INF | OF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Runs | .123 | .091 | .129 | .141 |
| Home runs | .007 | .003 | .005 | .007 |
| RBI | .116 | .197 | .107 | .104 |
| Total | .246 | .191 | .241 | .252 |

Qualified outfielders during the 1901-19 period are shown on the following chart:

> Dominant Outfielders, 1901-19 Record

| PLAYER | $L G$ | $A B$ | H | $R^{* *}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{* *}$ | $B A$ | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB.318+ Cobb T* | A | 7296 | 2715 | 1347 | 68 | 1171 | . 372 | . 517 | . 354 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-. 317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jackson J | A | 4411 | 1556 | 726 | 42 | 622 | . 353 | . 509 | . 315 |
| Speaker T* | A | 5981 | 2019 | 1006 | 45 | 792 | . 338 | . 476 | . 308 |
| Cravath G | N | 3905 | 1121 | 455 | 118 | 590 | . 287 | . 478 | . 298 |
| Magee S | N | 7441 | 2169 | 1029 | 83 | 1099 | . 291 | . 427 | . 297 |
| Veach B | A | 3847 | 1169 | 495 | 21 | 615 | . 304 | . 424 | . 294 |
| Clarke F* | N | 5075 | 1531 | 902 | 30 | 560 | . 302 | . 420 | . 294 |
| Crawford S* | N | 9064 | 2821 | 1211 | 89 | 1357 | . 311 | . 453 | . 293 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beaumont G | N | 4659 | 1448 | 730 | 31 | 498 | . 311 | . 392 | . 270 |
| Seybold S | A | 3604 | 1065 | 417 | 51 | 497 | . 296 | . 426 | . 268 |
| Flick E* | A | 4118 | 1252 | 635 | 27 | 440 | . 304 | . 433 | . 268 |
| Seymour C | A | 5013 | 1537 | 605 | 44 | 689 | . 307 | . 412 | . 267 |
| Leach T | N | 7381 | 1992 | 1204 | 56 | 681 | . 270 | . 372 | . 263 |
| Sheckard J | N | 6343 | 1720 | 1010 | 45 | 576 | . 271 | . 373 | . 257 |
| Lewis D | A | 4884 | 1400 | 533 | 34 | 684 | . 287 | . 391 | . 256 |
| Titus J | N | 4960 | 1401 | 700 | 38 | 523 | . 282 | . 385 | . 254 |
| Murray R | N | 4334 | 1170 | 518 | 37 | 542 | . 270 | . 379 | . 253 |
| Hofman S | N | 4072 | 1095 | 535 | 19 | 476 | . 269 | . 352 | . 253 |
| Anderson J | A | 3978 | 1136 | 449 | 21 | 536 | . 286 | . 380 | . 253 |


| PLAYER | LG | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{* *}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{* *}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.252 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schulte W | N | 6531 | 1766 | 813 | 93 | 730 | .270 | .395 | .250 |
| Jones F | A | 4308 | 1156 | 686 | 10 | 366 | .268 | .326 | .247 |
| Bates J | N | 3921 | 1088 | 547 | 25 | 392 | .277 | .376 | .246 |
| Jones D | A | 3772 | 1020 | 634 | 9 | 280 | .270 | .325 | .245 |
| Mitchell M | N | 4094 | 1137 | 487 | 27 | 487 | .278 | .380 | .245 |
| Burns G | N | 4075 | 1179 | 627 | 24 | 327 | .289 | .388 | .240 |
| Wheat Z | N | 5166 | 1547 | 584 | 51 | 602 | .299 | .419 | .239 |
| Dougherty P | A | 4558 | 1294 | 662 | 17 | 396 | .284 | .360 | .236 |
| Strunk A | A | 3800 | 1067 | 513 | 11 | 372 | .281 | .374 | .236 |
| Hartsel T | N | 4633 | 1273 | 770 | 27 | 293 | .275 | .370 | .235 |
| Bescher B | N | 4536 | 1171 | 721 | 28 | 317 | .258 | .351 | .235 |
| Paskert D | N | 5438 | 1461 | 766 | 37 | 465 | .269 | .360 | .233 |
| Graney J | A | 4388 | 1092 | 634 | 16 | 371 | .249 | .342 | .233 |
| Hooper H | A | 5734 | 1540 | 874 | 23 | 421 | .269 | .358 | .230 |
| Carey M | N | 4696 | 1284 | 697 | 30 | 338 | .273 | .366 | .227 |
| Thomas R | N | 4218 | 1191 | 735 | 7 | 212 | .282 | .329 | .226 |
| Oldring R | A | 4690 | 1268 | 589 | 27 | 444 | .270 | .364 | .226 |
| Collins S | A | 4292 | 1104 | 486 | 16 | 462 | .257 | .361 | .225 |
| Oakes R | N | 3617 | 1009 | 412 | 15 | 382 | .279 | .346 | .224 |
| Wilson O | N | 4624 | 1246 | 461 | 59 | 512 | .269 | .391 | .223 |
| McIntyre M | A | 3958 | 1066 | 558 | 4 | 315 | .269 | .343 | .222 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milan C | A | 6373 | 1803 | 858 | 13 | 518 | .283 | .347 | .218 |
| Keeler W |  | N | 4471 | 1378 | 684 | 12 | 275 | .308 | .362 |
| Slagle J | N | 3823 | 1012 | 570 | 2 | 256 | .265 | .311 | .217 |
| Hemphill C | A | 4302 | 1165 | 534 | 19 | 376 | .271 | .340 | .216 |
| Barry S | N | 3511 | 935 | 437 | 8 | 312 | .266 | .325 | .216 |
| Browne G | N | 4300 | 1176 | 596 | 18 | 285 | .273 | .339 | .209 |
| Shotton B | A | 4691 | 1279 | 697 | 7 | 262 | .273 | .336 | .206 |
| Magee L | N | 3739 | 1029 | 455 | 12 | 265 | .275 | .349 | .196 |
| AVG |  | 4796 | 1374 | 679 | 32 | 499 | .285 | .382 | .252 |
| SD |  | 1178 |  |  |  |  | .032 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Classifications

|  | Class |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(\boldsymbol{P A B})$ |  |$\quad$| Durability |
| :---: |
| $(\boldsymbol{A B})$ |

The importance of separating production performance by position is once again validated as the new classifications of quality are added to those already shown:

|  | FIRST | CATCH | INFIELD | OUTFIELD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Class I | $.302+$ | $.263+$ | $.301+$ | $.318+$ |
| Class II | $.274-.301$ | $.227-.262$ | $.271-.300$ | $.285-.317$ |
| Class III | $.246-.273$ | $.191-.226$ | $.241-.270$ | $.252-.284$ |
| Class IV | $.218-.245$ | $.155-.190$ | $.211-.240$ | $.219-.251$ |
| Class V | $.217-$ | .154 | .210 | $.218-$ |

Each position has unique demands; each has its own peculiarities. And the application of the incorrect yardstick to a player can result in distorted ratings.

Roger Bresnahan is the perfect example of this in the subject sample. His PAB of .265 makes him look heroic because he is measured against catchers, and it is as a catcher that he was elected to the HOF. Actually, he was a utility player who operated as a catcher only 68 percent of the time. Otherwise, he functioned mostly as an outfielder, and when measured against that standard, be was a below-average producer. This study concludes that selecting him was a mistake.

## Player Analysis

Class I, PAB .318+
Only one player was so designated: Ty Cobb. He qualified dramatically with a PAB of . 354 . He led all other players in runs scored, and in the three averages, batting, slugging and production. Cobb, one of the few men in baseball history to totally dominate the baseball world, was, fittingly, among the first five players to be elected to the HOF in 1936.

Class II, PAB .285-. 317
Seven men - 15 percent of the sample -fell into this classification: Jackson, Speaker, Cravath, Magee, Veach, Clarke, and Crawford. From a pure production point of view, all should have been serious HOF candidates. Speaker, Clarke and Crawford were chosen. Had it not been for the gambling scandal of 1919, Jackson probably would have been chosen. The upcoming analysis should indicate whether the oversight of Cravath, Magee and Veach was or was not justified.

## Class III, PAB .252-. 284

Eleven players - 23 percent of the sample - were rated above average as producers: Beaumont, Seybold, Flick, Seymour, Leach, Sheckard, Lewis, Titus, Murray, Hofman, and Anderson. Players of this quality deserve close scrutiny from HOF electors but, if chosen, should have some highly valued characteristic other than productivity to justify selection. Flick was chosen; the others were not.

On the surface, nothing in the production record of Flick justifies his selection - perhaps the upcoming player analysis will make the decision to appoint him clearer. Seybold and Leach have interesting numbers. The others had admirable gifts, but it is doubtful that the final evaluation of their records will uncover missed HOF players.

## Class IV, PAB .219-. 251

This classification contains 21 players - 44 percent of the sample - led by Schulte, second to Cravath as a home run hitter.

Three surprises are contained in this group - Wheat, Hooper and Carey. One does not expect to find below-average producers in the HOF. Perhaps analysis will reveal the reasons for their selection; they must be powerful ones.

Several others in this group had noteworthy characteristics, for example: Paskert and Wilson were notable power hitters; Burns was a better-than-average batsman. The remaining players were important parts of the grease that keeps the baseball wheel turning.

## Class V, PAB .218-

Eight players filled the lowest rung on the production ladder. And the group contains a major surprise - HOF player Willie Keeler. Keeler scored more runs than most and his BA was
above average. But his selection to the HOF must have been justified by something other than production - something that should be revealed in the upcoming player analysis. Milan is the only other player with characteristics that could lift him in the final ratings after all factors have been considered.

## Ty Cobb

Born 1886; Height 6.01; Weight 175; T-R; B-L; Led American League: 1907-BA, SA, H, RBI, SB; 1908-BA, SA, H, 2B, 3B, RBI; 1909-BA, SA, H, HR, R, RBI, SB; 1910-BA, SA, R; 1911-BA, SA, H, 2B, 3B, R, RBI, SB; 1912-BA, SA, H; 1913-BA; 1914-BA, SA; 1915-BA, H, R, SB; 1916-R, SB; 1917-BA, SA, AB, H, 2B, 3B, SB; 1918-BA, 3B; 1919-BA, H; MVP-AL, 1911; HOF 1936

* $=$ Net of home runs



## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 6368 | .367 | 2337 | 2470 |
| CLASS II | 428 | .311 | 133 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS IV | 500 | .236 | 118 |  |
| TOTAL | 7296 |  | 2588 |  |
| CLASS V |  |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 7296 |  | 2588 |  |

Wow!
There can be no other reaction to the Cobb record. Wow!
He was 19 when he joined the Detroit club in 1905. Talent recognition must have been in short supply in those days because this super athlete did not play regularly until 1907. Sam Crawford moved to center field for a few seasons while the youngster learned his craft in right in 1910 he moved back to right and Cobb became the full-time center fielder.

He spent 22 years with Detroit including six (1921-26) as playing manager. He went to the Athletics for his final two seasons where he retired in 1928 at 42 years of age. In his final season his BA in 95 games was .323 .

With respect to defensive ability, Cobb's FA was above .980 once; Speaker's, four times; Cobb's final FA was .961; Speaker's, .970. In short, Cobb was a good center fielder; Speaker was a great one.

Glove skills didn't put Ty Cobb in the HOF. Hitting and speed were his calling cards and they amply justified his election to the Hall in 1936 with four other titans, thusly opening the grand museum with five unforgettable records (Wagner, Cobb, Ruth, Johnson, Mathewson) that would stand the test of time.

During the subject period, Cobb was a regular for 13 years. His battle ribbons are summarized below:

| Event | Frequency |
| :--- | ---: |
| BA above .400 | 2 |
| BA above .350 | 12 |
| SA above .600 | 1 |
| SA above .500 | 9 |
| Led the league: |  |
| Batting average | 12 |
| Slugging average | 8 |
| Stolen bases | 6 |

Did these extraordinary skills pay off in production terms?

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | BAT | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| Cobb | 7296 | .185 | .009 | .160 | .354 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

During the subject period, which embraced only 13 years of a 24 -season career, Cobb was 52 percent more durable and 40 percent more productive than the average of this elite group of outfielders. He was the top scorer, a reflection of his BA and speed, one of the top ten home run hitters and, most importantly, the RBI leader. His PAB was 12 percent higher than that of his closest competitor (Joe Jackson, PAB .315), and 32 percent more efficient than the number ten producer in the sample (Socks Seybold, PAB .268). In the year 2007, Cobb was still listed among the top five men in baseball history in such lifetime categories as $\mathrm{BA}, 2 \mathrm{~B}, 3 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{RBI}, \mathrm{R}$ and SB. He was one of a kind.

Cobb led the Tigers in the three-year period 1907-09 to three pennants. In years that followed until the end of the subject period, his team finished second twice, but otherwise, despite the brilliance of Cobb, was not a formidable competitor.

Cobb was 34 when the 1920s began - the opening of the live-ball era - and the undisputed king of the baseball world. He didn't miss a step. For nine more seasons he continued to sparkle.

## Joe Jackson

Born 1887; Height 6.01; Weight 200; T-R; B-L; Led American League: 1912-3B; 1913-SA, H, 2B; 1916-3B

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1909 | 5 | 17 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 3 | .294 | .294 | .353 |
| 1910 | 20 | 75 | 29 | 14 | 1 | 10 | .387 | .587 | .333 |
| 1911 | 147 | 571 | 233 | 119 | 7 | 76 | .408 | .590 | .354 |
| 1912 | 152 | 572 | 226 | 118 | 3 | 87 | .395 | .579 | .364 |
| 1913 | 148 | 528 | 197 | 102 | 7 | 64 | .373 | .551 | .328 |
| 1918 | 17 | 65 | 23 | 8 | 1 | 19 | .354 | .492 | .431 |
| 1919 | 139 | 516 | 181 | 72 | 7 | 89 | .351 | .506 | .326 |
| Total | 628 | 2344 | 894 | 436 | 26 | 348 | .381 | .555 | .346 |

CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317

| 1915 | 128 | 461 | 142 | 58 | 5 | 76 | .308 | .445 | .302 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1917 | 146 | 538 | 162 | 86 | 5 | 70 | .301 | .429 | .299 |
| Total | 274 | 999 | 304 | 144 | 10 | 146 | .304 | .436 | .300 |

CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

| 1916 | 155 | 592 | 202 | 88 | 3 | 75 | .341 | .495 | .280 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS IV
PAB .219-. 251

| 1914 | 122 | 453 | 153 | 58 | 3 | 50 | .338 | .464 | .245 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS V
PAB .218-

| 1908 | 5 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | .130 | .130 | .130 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Period | 1184 | 4411 | 1556 | 726 | 42 | 622 | .353 | .509 | .315 |
| Other | 146 | 570 | 218 | 93 | 12 | 109 | .382 | .588 | .375 |
| Career | 1330 | 4981 | 1774 | 819 | 54 | 731 | .356 | .518 | .322 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 152 | 572 | 226 | 118 | 3 | 87 | .395 | .579 | .364 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 2344 | .346 | 811 | 1111 |
| CLASS II | 999 | .300 | 300 |  |
| CLASS III | 592 | .280 | 166 |  |
| CLASS IV | 453 | .245 | 111 |  |
| TOTAL | 4388 |  | 1388 |  |
| CLASS V | 23 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4411 |  | 1388 |  |

Shoeless Joe Jackson. The name stirs up memories, dramatic, controversial and sad. Jack-
son was one of the eight players accused of accepting bribes from gamblers intent on fixing the 1919 World Series between the White Sox (Jackson's team) and the Cincinnati Reds. The Reds won the series (5-3). Defenders of Jackson (there are many) point to his quality of play during the contest (BA .375; FA 1.000) as de facto proof of his innocence. But in 1920 Jackson and seven of his teammates were banished from baseball by the new commissioner, Kenesaw Landis.

Jackson, 19 years old, joined Connie Mack's Philadelphia club in 1908. Mack completely missed the potential of his young recruit, hardly played him and, in 1910, traded him to Cleveland for Bris Lord, a journeyman outfielder. When Jackson hit . 408 in 1911, Mack must have wept. Cleveland traded Jackson during the 1915 season to the White Sox for three players and cash - he spent his final five seasons in Chicago. He was 33 when he was banished in 1920.

Jackson was not a one-dimensional player. He carried his weight in the field too. For example, Harry Hooper, a HOF corner outfielder, generated a lifetime FA of .966; Jackson at . 961 was close behind.

But Jackson is best remembered for offensive prowess and his . 408 BA in 1911 (Cobb hit . 420 in the same year.) Next to Cobb, he was the most efficient producer in the sample group.

| Per at Bat (PAB) | 1901-19-OUTFIELDERS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Jackson | 4411 | .165 | .010 | .140 | .315 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Durability was the weakness in the Jackson record. He had a short career (4,981 ABs-13 seasons) because of the before-mentioned scandal. He was permanently sidelined when he was 33, with many more possible active years before him. He was a superb offensive baseball player who had the misfortune to be active at the same time as Cobb. Not many players can say they lost the batting title with a BA of .408 . But Jackson did, and Cobb was the reason.

## Tris Speaker

Born 1888; Height 6.00; Weight 193; T-L; B-L; Led American League: 1912-2B, HR; 1914-H, 2B; 1916-BA, SA, H, 2B; 1918-2B; MVP-AL 1912; HOF 1937

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ | 153 | 580 | 222 | 126 | 10 | 88 | .383 | .567 | .386 |
| 1912 | 141 | 520 | 190 | 91 | 3 | 78 | .365 | .535 | .331 |
| 1913 | 158 | 571 | 193 | 96 | 4 | 86 | .338 | .503 | .326 |
| 1914 | 150 | 547 | 176 | 108 | 0 | 69 | .322 | .411 | .324 |
| 1915 | 151 | 546 | 211 | 100 | 2 | 81 | .386 | .502 | .335 |
| 1916 | 753 | 2764 | 992 | 521 | 19 | 402 | .359 | .504 | .341 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 | 141 | 510 | 167 | 80 | 8 | 72 | .327 | .492 | .314 |
| 1911 | 127 | 471 | 150 | 73 | 0 | 61 | .318 | .435 | .285 |
| 1918 | 134 | 494 | 146 | 81 | 2 | 61 | .296 | .433 | .291 |
| 1919 | 402 | 1475 | 463 | 234 | 10 | 194 | .314 | .454 | .297 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1917 | 142 | 523 | 184 | 88 | 2 | 58 | .352 | .486 | .283 |
| 1909 | 143 | 544 | 168 | 66 | 7 | 70 | .309 | .443 | .263 |
| 1910 | 141 | 538 | 183 | 85 | 7 | 58 | .340 | .468 | .279 |
| Total | 426 | 1605 | 535 | 239 | 16 | 186 | .333 | .465 | .275 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- | 71 | 118 | 26 | 12 | 0 | 9 | .220 | .288 | .178 |
| 1907 | 38 | 137 | 29 | 12 | 0 | 10 | .212 | .270 | .161 |
| 1908 | 1619 | 5981 | 2019 | 1006 | 45 | 792 | .338 | .476 | .308 |
| Total | 1170 | 4227 | 1496 | 758 | 72 | 650 | .354 | .534 | .350 |
| Period | 2789 | 10208 | 3515 | 1764 | 117 | 1442 | .344 | .500 | .326 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career | 153 | 580 | 222 | 126 | 10 | 88 | .383 | .567 | .386 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 2764 | .341 | 943 | 1381 |
| CLASS II | 1475 | .297 | 438 |  |
| CLASS III | 1605 | .275 | 441 |  |
| CLASS IV | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TOTAL | 5844 |  | 1822 |  |
| CLASS V | 137 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5981 |  | 1822 |  |

Tris Speaker was another of the 19 -year-old wonders of that time to sign with a major league team. He played little in his first two years with the Red Sox, but in 1909 he took over the center field position and locked it up with a BA of .309 .

Harry Hooper and Duffy Lewis joined him in 1910 to form one of the most famous outfield trios in the history of the Boston franchise. It was broken up when, in the spring of 1916 (after Speaker refused to accept a deep pay cut), Boston traded one of the best outfielders in history to Cleveland for pitcher Sad Sam Jones, third baseman Fred Thomas and cash.

In six seasons with Boston, Jones posted a 64-59 record; Thomas played a total of 44 games for the Red Sox, and Speaker continued to sparkle until his retirement in 1928 - yet another of the horrid deals of historical significance to the Red Sox.

After an eight-year stint as playing manager for Cleveland, Speaker moved to Washington for a season then, in 1928, finished up with the Athletics. He was 40 years old.

Speaker, with a career FA of 970 (Ty Cobb FA .961), set the standard for other center fielders of the era to meet. His FA was .980 or better in five different seasons, and he led the league twice. He had more than 20 assists in 10 different seasons - in 1909 and again in 1912 he had 35 , a seasonal record.

Speaker's offensive profile was no less impressive.

$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrr}
\text { PER AT BAT (PAB) } & \text { 1901-19-OUTFIELDERS } \\
& \boldsymbol{A T} & \boldsymbol{R} & \boldsymbol{H R} & \boldsymbol{R B I} & \text { TOTAL } \\
& \boldsymbol{B A T} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} \\
\hline \text { Speaker } & 5981 & .168 & .008 & .132 & .308 \\
\text { Sample average } & 4796 & .142 & .006 & .104 & .252
\end{array}
$$

The third highest BA, the fourth highest SA and speed enough to steal $25-50$ bases a year until he was in his thirties were the tools Speaker used to generate a scoring factor of .168, the fourth highest in the group. He was far superior to the average outfielder as an RBI man - the product of his BA.

Speaker's offensive talents came to full flower in 1912 when, with a BA of .383 , he generated a .386 PAB , an astounding number during the deadball era, and one that modern players would envy.

As it is with other prominent players, Speaker's full career will not be evaluated because he had one leg in the deadball era and the other in the first live-ball decade. And it was his bad luck to have played in the Cobb era. As much as he was admired, accolades would have been even more frequent and thunderous had the marvelous Georgian not been around to diminish his luster.

Speaker in 1919 became manager of the Cleveland club. He took it to a second-place finish.
The first HOF appointments (1936) were Ruth, Cobb, Wagner, Mathewson, and Johnson. Wagner and Mathewson were strictly deadball era players. The others also played in the next decade. The next batch of HOF selections (1937) were Speaker, Lajoie and pitcher Cy Young. Lajoie and Young came from the deadball era; Speaker continued to sparkle into the 1920s.

## Gavvy Cravath

Born 1881; Height 5.11; Weight 186; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1913-SA, H, HR, RBI; 1914-HR; 1915-SA, HR, R, RBI, BB; 1916-SO; 1917/18/19-HR

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 150 | 525 | 179 | 59 | 19 | 109 | .341 | .568 | .356 |
| 1915 | 297 | 1047 | 149 | 328 | 65 | 24 | 94 | .285 | .510 |
| .345 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | .313 | .539 | .351 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 | 149 | 499 | 149 | 57 | 19 | 81 | .299 | .499 | .315 |
| 1914 | 137 | 448 | 127 | 59 | 11 | 59 | .283 | .440 | .288 |
| 1916 | 83 | 214 | 73 | 22 | 12 | 33 | .341 | .640 | .313 |
| 1919 | 369 | 1161 | 349 | 138 | 42 | 173 | .301 | .502 | .304 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 | 94 | 277 | 71 | 42 | 1 | 33 | .256 | .383 | .274 |
| 1908 | 22 | 55 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 8 | .164 | .218 | .273 |
| 1909 | 130 | 436 | 124 | 52 | 11 | 59 | .284 | .470 | .280 |
| 1912 | 140 | 503 | 141 | 58 | 12 | 71 | .280 | .473 | .280 |
| 1917 | 386 | 1271 | 345 | 158 | 25 | 171 | .271 | .441 | .279 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918 | 121 | 426 | 99 | 35 | 8 | 46 | .232 | .376 | .209 |
| Period | 1173 | 3905 | 1121 | 455 | 118 | 590 | .287 | .478 | .298 |
| Other | 46 | 45 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 10 | .289 | .478 | .267 |
| Career | 1219 | 3950 | 1134 | 456 | 119 | 600 | .287 | .478 | .297 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1047 | .351 | 367 | 720 |
| CLASS II | 1161 | .304 | 353 |  |
| CLASS III | 1271 | .279 | 355 |  |
| CLASS IV | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TOTAL | 3479 |  | 1075 |  |
| CLASS V | 426 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3905 |  | 1075 |  |

Gavvy Cravath spent his first two seasons $(1908,1909)$ in the AL with Boston, Chicago and Washington, none of whom could find space for the best home run hitter in the outfielder group. He disappeared from the major league scene for two years then reappeared in 1912, 31 years old, as the regular right fielder for the Phillies. He spent the balance of his career with that club. In 1918, he ceased being a regular. In 1919 and 1920 he operated as player-manager, then retired. He was 39 when he quit.

Cravath's early inability to crack the major leagues had to do with his defensive ability (FA .944). Finally, the Phillies decided his bat was worth the fielding flaws that went with it and gave him the right field position.

Over his short career, Clifford Carlton (Gavvy) Cravath earned his pay at the plate.

| PER AT BAT (PAB) | 1901-19—OUTFIELDERS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Cravath | 3905 | .117 | .030 | .151 | .298 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Except for Cobb, Jackson and Speaker, Cravath could compete with any outfielder in the sample offensively. As a long-ball hitter, he was literally unique. In a time when a half-dozen home runs were rare, Cravath was in double figures in seven of the nine years that he wore a Philadelphia uniform. He didn't have HOF durability and he couldn't field. But Gavvy Cravath could hit a baseball. Yes indeed.

## Sherry Magee

Born 1884; Height 5.11; Weight 179; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1907-RBI; 1910-BA, SA, R, RBI; 1914-SA, H, 2B, RBI; 1918-RBI

* $=$ Net of home runs
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}G & A B & H & R^{*} & H R & R B I^{*} & B A & S A & P A B\end{array}$
CLASS I
PAB.318+
$1905 \quad 155 \quad 603 \quad 180 \quad 95 \quad 5 \quad 93 ~ .299 \quad .420 \quad .320$

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1910 | 154 | 519 | 172 | 104 | 6 | 117 | .331 | .507 | .437 |
| 1911 | 121 | 445 | 128 | 64 | 15 | 79 | .288 | .483 | .355 |
| 1913 | 138 | 470 | 144 | 81 | 11 | 59 | .306 | .479 | .321 |
| 1914 | 146 | 544 | 171 | 81 | 15 | 88 | .314 | .509 | .338 |
| Total | 714 | 2581 | 795 | 425 | 52 | 436 | .308 | .478 | .354 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 95 | 364 | 101 | 48 | 3 | 54 | .277 | .409 | .288 |
| 1907 | 140 | 503 | 165 | 71 | 4 | 81 | .328 | .455 | .310 |
| 1912 | 132 | 464 | 142 | 73 | 6 | 66 | .306 | .438 | .313 |
| 1918 | 115 | 400 | 119 | 44 | 2 | 74 | .298 | .415 | .300 |
| Total | 482 | 1731 | 527 | 236 | 15 | 275 | .304 | .432 | .304 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 143 | 508 | 144 | 77 | 2 | 55 | .283 | .417 | .264 |
| 1915 | 156 | 571 | 160 | 70 | 2 | 85 | .280 | .392 | .275 |
| Total | 299 | 1079 | 304 | 147 | 4 | 140 | .282 | .404 | .270 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 154 | 563 | 159 | 71 | 6 | 61 | .282 | .407 | .245 |
| 1909 | 143 | 522 | 141 | 58 | 2 | 64 | .270 | .398 | .238 |
| 1916 | 120 | 419 | 101 | 41 | 3 | 51 | .241 | .327 | .227 |
| 1917 | 117 | 383 | 107 | 40 | 1 | 51 | .279 | .371 | .240 |
| Total | 534 | 1887 | 508 | 210 | 12 | 227 | .269 | .379 | .238 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919 | 56 | 163 | 35 | 11 | 0 | 21 | .215 | .264 | .196 |
| Period | 2085 | 7441 | 2169 | 1029 | 83 | 1099 | .291 | .427 | .297 |
| Career | 2085 | 7441 | 2169 | 1029 | 83 | 1099 | .291 | .427 | .297 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 154 | 519 | 172 | 104 | 6 | 117 | .331 | .507 | .437 |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 2581 | .354 | 914 | 1440 |
| CLASS II | 1731 | .304 | 526 |  |
| CLASS III | 1079 | .270 | 291 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1887 | .238 | 449 |  |
| TOTAL | 7278 |  | 2180 |  |
| CLASS V | 163 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 7441 |  | 2180 |  |

Sherwood Magee, with the unlikely nickname Sherry, was 20 when he signed with the Phillies in 1904; he played over half the scheduled games in right field. Hugh Duffy gave him the left field job in 1905, a position he held for seven years. Magee became more of a utility man and less of an outfielder during his last three seasons with Philadelphia.

Magee was sold at the end of the 1914 season to the Boston Braves, where his defensive assignments continued to be mixed. Cincinnati in 1917 picked him up for the waiver price. He finished his career there and, at the age of 35 , he retired (1919).

In a 16 -year career, Magee had only seven seasons as a pure left fielder. During the fiveyear period 1905-09, he compiled a FA of .973 versus a FA of .979 for HOF left fielder Fred Clarke. This and other comparisons of his defensive activities show no indication that he was a poor defensive player.

Managers shuffled Magee around as a defensive player, but they made sure he was in the lineup somewhere. Only Sam Crawford had more ABs during the period. The reason? His bat and his legs - he could hit and he could run ( 440 SBs in 16 seasons).

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—OUTFIELDERS

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Magee | 7441 | .138 | .011 | .148 | .297 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Magee did it all offensively. At one time or another he led the league at least once in most offensive categories. Only the peerless Ty Cobb had a better season than Magee delivered in 1910.

Should he be in the HOF? Was he overlooked? Is he rated too high as an offensive performer? There is no better way to answer such questions than to compare his career numbers with those who played during the same era and were later elected to the HOF.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Outfielders

|  | AT | $R$ | HR | RBI | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAT | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| Magee | 7441 | . 138 | . 011 | . 148 | . 297 |
| Cobb* | 11429 | . 185 | . 009 | . 160 | . 354 |
| Crawford | 9580 | . 136 | . 010 | . 149 | . 295 |
| Speaker* | 10208 | . 168 | . 008 | . 132 | . 308 |
| Clarke | 8570 | . 181 | . 008 | . 111 | . 300 |
| Wheat* | 9106 | . 113 | . 010 | . 116 | . 239 |
| Hooper* | 8785 | 152 | 004 | 074 | 230 |
| Flick | 5601 | . 161 | . 009 | . 126 | . 296 |
| Carey* | 9363 | . 148 | . 006 | . 073 | . 227 |
| Keeler | 8585 | . 197 | . 004 | . 091 | . 292 |

${ }^{*}$ Career ABs are shown in the above schedule because total durability is an important factor to electors. On the other hand, only PAB elements developed in 1901-19 are reflected in the schedule because it would be unfair to Magee to do otherwise when such a comparison of skills is being made.

Except for Flick, Magee was less durable than those chosen for the HOF. He was a more prolific scorer than Crawford and Wheat; a more prominent home run hitter than any in the group. As an RBI man, only Cobb and Crawford performed better.

Overall, Magee was more productive than six of the HOF appointees. As a producer, he was a HOF talent. It was no accident when, in 1910, he turned in a PAB second only to Cobb's 1911 display of offensive genius.

Except for Cobb, Crawford and Speaker, Magee was the most talented producer in the outfield sample. But HOF electors look at more than offensive records. They apparently saw in Magee weaknesses which, in their judgment, offset the value of his production talents sufficiently to deny him the vote.

From this distance one can only guess that factors such as the following were among those that weakened Magee's case:

- His durability was not unduly impressive. Superiority to Flick in this respect carries no weight since the choice of Flick is, at the least, controversial.
Magee's defensive record is cluttered. Those chosen for the HOF commanded a defensive position for most or all of a career. Magee spent much of his defensive time shuttling from position to position.

Magee's status as a utility defensive player may have sunk his HOF chances. Fairly or not, electors do not usually favor those with ambiguous defensive records. But the Magee case raises an interesting question: Is an offensive star with a spotty defensive record of less value than a defensive star with a relatively weak production record?

Bobby Veach
Born 1888; Height 5.11; Weight 160; T-R; B-L; Led American League: 1915-2B, RBI; 1917/18-RBI; 1919-H, 2B, 3B

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB.318+

| 1915 | 152 | 569 | 178 | 78 | 3 | 109 | .313 | .434 | .334 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1916 | 150 | 566 | 173 | 89 | 3 | 88 | .306 | .433 | .318 |
| 1919 | 139 | 538 | 191 | 84 | 3 | 98 | .355 | .519 | .344 |
| Total | 441 | 1673 | 542 | 251 | 9 | 295 | .324 | .461 | .332 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 23 | 79 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 15 | .342 | .430 | .291 |
| 1917 | 154 | 571 | 182 | 71 | 8 | 95 | .319 | .457 | .305 |
| Total | 177 | 650 | 209 | 79 | 8 | 110 | .322 | .454 | .303 |

CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

| 1918 | 127 | 499 | 139 | 56 | 3 | 75 | .279 | .391 | .269 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASSS IV
PAB .219-. 251

| 1913 | 138 | 494 | 133 | 54 | 0 | 64 | .269 | .354 | .239 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1914 | 149 | 531 | 146 | 55 | 1 | 71 | .275 | .369 | .239 |
| Total | 287 | 1025 | 279 | 109 | 1 | 135 | .272 | .362 | .239 |

CLASS V
PAB .218-

| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Period | 1032 | 3847 | 1169 | 495 | 21 | 615 | .304 | .424 | .294 |
| Other | 790 | 2812 | 895 | 394 | 43 | 487 | .318 | .467 | .329 |
| Career | 1822 | 6659 | 2064 | 889 | 64 | 1102 | .310 | .442 | .309 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919 | 139 | 538 | 191 | 84 | 3 | 98 | .355 | .519 | .344 |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1673 | .332 | 555 | 752 |
| CLASS II | 650 | .303 | 197 |  |
| CLASS III | 499 | .269 | 134 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1025 | .239 | 245 |  |
| TOTAL | 3847 |  | 1131 |  |
| CLASS V | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3847 |  | 1131 |  |

Bobby Veach was 24 when in 1912 he joined the Detroit Tigers. He gave that club a dozen years, then spent his final two seasons with three AL teams, Boston, New York and Washington. When he retired in 1925, he was 37 years old.

Except for two games, Bobby Veach was a full-time left fielder. His teammates were among the best: Ty Cobb, center field; Sam Crawford or Harry Heilmann in right. It's fair to compare his career FA (.964) with that of Zack Wheat (.966). There's more to good fielding than a good glove, but the easy comparison of FAs certifies that Veach was, at the least, a dependable outfielder.

To appear in this survey a player had to have at least $3,500 \mathrm{ABs}$. Veach just made it. Over 40 percent of his career fell into the next decade, yet he managed to make his mark on this era as well as the next. He was very talented player.

Veach was a formidable offensive player, a worthy companion of the great athletes who shared the outer gardens with him.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | AT | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | HR | RBI | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| Veach | 3847 | .129 | .005 | .160 | .294 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Because of his brief exposure during the subject period it is not objectively possible to fully appraise Veach as a talent. Three factors can be noted, however. He had the defensive profile of a Hall of Fame player. And as a production man he competed head to head with several HOF outfielders. But, on the negative side, Veach's durability (career ABs 6,659) did not compare well with the great HOF outfielders, except for Flick - if Flick belongs in Cooperstown, it's difficult to see why Veach was excluded. Such comparisons are always invited when electors choose a marginal player like Flick.

## Fred Clarke

Born 1872; Height 5.11; Weight 165; T-R; B-L; Led National League: 1903-SA, 2B; 1906-3B; 1909-BB; HOF 1945

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 114 | 461 | 148 | 102 | 2 | 2 | 51 | .324 | .461 |
| 1902 | 104 | 427 | 150 | 83 | 5 | 65 | .351 | .453 | .336 |
| 1903 | 347 | 1415 | 469 | 297 | 13 | 170 | .331 | .480 | .358 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 | 148 | 501 | 145 | 95 | 2 | 57 | .289 | .389 | .307 |
| 1907 | 152 | 550 | 158 | 94 | 3 | 65 | .287 | .373 | .295 |
| 1909 | 110 | 392 | 127 | 68 | 5 | 44 | .324 | .492 | .298 |
| 1911 | 410 | 1443 | 430 | 257 | 10 | 166 | .298 | .411 | .300 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 | 72 | 278 | 85 | 51 | 0 | 25 | .306 | .410 | .273 |
| 1904 | 141 | 525 | 157 | 93 | 2 | 49 | .299 | .402 | .274 |
| 1905 | 118 | 417 | 129 | 68 | 1 | 38 | .309 | .412 | .257 |
| 1906 | 123 | 429 | 113 | 55 | 2 | 61 | .263 | .373 | .275 |
| 1910 | 454 | 1649 | 484 | 267 | 5 | 173 | .294 | .398 | .270 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 | 151 | 551 | 146 | 81 | 2 | 51 | .265 | .363 | .243 |
| 1908 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .077 | .154 | .0 |
| 1914 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .000 | .000 | .0 |
| 1915 | 12 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .500 | .500 |
| Total | 1374 | 5075 | 1531 | 902 | 30 | 560 | .118 | .177 | .0 |
| Period | 8701 | 3495 | 1144 | 652 | 37 | 388 | .327 | .420 | .2942 |
| Other | 2244 | 8570 | 2675 | 1554 | 67 | 948 | .312 | .429 | .300 |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEST 123+ GAMES | 129 | 527 | 171 | 112 | 6 | 54 | .324 | .461 | .326 |
| 1901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | AB | PAB | MVP | MTP |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1415 | .339 | 480 | 913 |
| CLASS II | 1443 | .300 | 433 |  |
| CLASS III | 1649 | .270 | 445 |  |
| CLASS IV | 551 | .243 | 134 |  |
| TOTAL | 5058 |  | 1492 |  |
| CLASS V | 17 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5075 |  | 1492 |  |

The career of Fred Clarke goes back to 1894, the year the 22-year-old outfielder joined Louisville, which in 1900 became the Pittsburgh Pirates. By 1901 Clarke was a veteran with seven years of playing time and four years of managerial experience behind him (in 1897 he became playing manager).

Clarke stayed with Pittsburgh for his entire 21-year career. In 1915, manager Clarke retired. He was 43 years old.

Defensive records of players whose careers started back in the 1800s are skewed by the unreliable records of those days. Clarke was 29 when the subject period began. Prior to that he functioned as a full-time left fielder. His career FA (.952) was competitive and he led the league twice in that respect during the subject period, all of which suggests that he was an acceptable defensive player.

Clarke was one of the top ten contact hitters in the sample that, when combined with the patience to wait for his pitch, put him on base almost 40 percent of the time.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Clarke | 5075 | .178 | .006 | .110 | .294 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

In addition to his skills as a batsman, Clarke averaged 24 steals a season. In short, he had the characteristics of a great scorer. A well-rounded offensive performer, he had only one comparative weakness during the subject period: he didn't play enough. A good slice of his career was over by 1901.

Because of this, his durability during the subject period was only slightly above average. It was his sixth highest PAB that made his record competitive.

Clarke's durability relative to his HOF status is also of interest. He averaged only 107 games per year during his 21-year career; in five seasons he appeared in fewer than 100 games; in ten,
fewer than 120 games. In other words, he was not as durable as his 21 years on the job might lead one to believe - he acquired his ABs piecemeal.

It took 30 years to vote Clarke into the HOF. Perhaps his appearance record explains why contemporaries passed him by. His selection was avoidable.

## Sam Crawford

Born 1880; Height 6.00; Weight 190; T-L; B-L; Led National League: 1901-HR; 1902-3B; Led American League: 1903-3B; 1907-R; 1908-AB; 1909-2B; 1910-3B, RBI; 1913-AB, 3B; 1914/15-3B, RBI; HOF 1957

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## CLASS I

PAB.318+

| 1901 | 131 | 515 | 170 | 75 | 16 | 88 | .330 | .528 | .348 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1910 | 154 | 588 | 170 | 78 | 5 | 115 | .289 | .423 | .337 |
| 1911 | 146 | 574 | 217 | 102 | 7 | 108 | .378 | .526 | .378 |
| 1912 | 149 | 581 | 189 | 77 | 4 | 105 | .325 | .470 | .320 |
| Total | 580 | 2258 | 746 | 332 | 32 | 416 | .330 | .485 | .345 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 140 | 555 | 185 | 91 | 3 | 75 | .333 | .461 | .305 |
| 1903 | 137 | 550 | 184 | 84 | 4 | 85 | .335 | .489 | .315 |
| 1907 | 144 | 582 | 188 | 98 | 4 | 77 | .323 | .460 | .308 |
| 1908 | 152 | 591 | 184 | 95 | 7 | 73 | .311 | .457 | .296 |
| 1909 | 156 | 589 | 185 | 77 | 6 | 91 | .314 | .452 | .295 |
| 1914 | 157 | 582 | 183 | 66 | 8 | 96 | .314 | .483 | .292 |
| 1915 | 156 | 612 | 183 | 77 | 4 | 108 | .299 | .431 | .309 |
| Total | 1042 | 4061 | 1292 | 588 | 36 | 605 | .318 | .461 | .303 |

CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284
1916

| 100 | 322 | 92 | 41 | 0 | 42 | .286 | .401 | .258 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## CLASS IV

PAB .219-. 251

| 1905 | 154 | 575 | 171 | 67 | 6 | 69 | .297 | .433 | .247 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1906 | 145 | 563 | 166 | 63 | 2 | 70 | .295 | .407 | .240 |
| 1913 | 153 | 610 | 193 | 69 | 9 | 74 | .316 | .489 | .249 |
| Total | 452 | 1748 | 530 | 199 | 17 | 213 | .303 | .444 | .245 |

CLASS V
PAB.218-

| 1904 | 150 | 571 | 143 | 47 | 2 | 71 | .250 | .357 | .210 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1917 | 61 | 104 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 10 | .173 | .269 | .154 |
| Total | 211 | 675 | 161 | 51 | 4 | 81 | .239 | .343 | .201 |
| Period | 2385 | 9064 | 2821 | 1211 | 89 | 1357 | .311 | .453 | .293 |
| Other | 132 | 516 | 143 | 85 | 8 | 71 | .277 | .453 | .318 |
| Career | 2517 | 9580 | 2964 | 1296 | 97 | 1428 | .309 | .453 | .294 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 146 | 574 | 217 | 102 | 7 | 108 | .378 | .526 | .378 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 2258 | .345 | 779 | 2009 |
| CLASS II | 4061 | .303 | 1230 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS III | 322 | .258 | 83 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1748 | .245 | 428 |  |
| TOTAL | 8389 |  | 2520 |  |
| CLASS V | 675 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 9064 |  | 2520 |  |

Sam Crawford signed with Cincinnati in the final year of the nineteenth century. The Reds didn't use the 19 -year-old much in his first season, but in 1900 he became the regular right fielder of the club.

In 1901, the AL moved into the baseball world and the NL didn't like it. While the two leagues argued, Crawford hedged his bets and signed with both the Reds and the Detroit Tigers. When peace was declared, he was awarded to Detroit and became the right fielder for that club.

A youngster by the name of Ty Cobb was ready to break into the lineup in 1907, according to the judgment of manager Hughie Jennings, who had groomed the young man for two seasons. To make room for Cobb in a less stressful atmosphere, veteran Sam Crawford moved to center field and Ty handled the less-demanding right corner. Then in 1910 a seasoned Cobb shifted to center field and Crawford returned to his original position, which he filled until the end of his 19 -year career in 1917. Crawford was 37 when he retired.

Willie Keeler (HOF), with a lifetime FA of .960 , was a contemporary of Crawford, who had a career FA of 965 . This is an indication that Crawford was a major league player on both sides of the ball.

From a production standpoint, Crawford was one of the best in the era.
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | RBI | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Crawford | 9064 | .133 | .010 | .150 | .293 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

From a durability point of view, he was the top man. More durable than Cobb? In the subject period, yes. And that's what's being measured.

An adequate scorer, Crawford relied more on power and RBI action to build his production record. He was the sixth-ranked home run hitter within the sample group and only three men topped his RBI factor (Cobb, Cravath, and Veach). It was the strength and will to apply his level of expertise over a long time, however, that was Crawford's main strength. Although not as talented as Cobb, Crawford's combination of class plus durability was almost enough to make him the most valuable producer of the era.

## Ginger Beaumont

Born 1876; Height 5.08; Weight 190; T-R; B-L; Led National League: 1902-BA, H; 1903-AB, H, R; 1904-AB, H; 1907-H

$$
\text { * }=\text { Net of home runs }
$$

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PAB .318+ | 133 | 558 | 185 | 112 | 8 | 64 | .332 | .418 | .330 |
| 1901 | 141 | 613 | 209 | 130 | 7 | 61 | .341 | .444 | .323 |
| 1903 | 274 | 1171 | 394 | 242 | 15 | 125 | .336 | .432 | .326 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 131 | 544 | 194 | 101 | 0 | 67 | .357 | .417 | .309 |
| 1910 | 56 | 172 | 46 | 28 | 2 | 20 | .267 | .343 | .291 |
| Total | 187 | 716 | 240 | 129 | 2 | 87 | .335 | .399 | .304 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 103 | 384 | 126 | 57 | 3 | 37 | .328 | .424 | .253 |
| 1906 | 80 | 310 | 82 | 46 | 2 | 30 | .265 | .332 | .252 |
| Total | 183 | 694 | 208 | 103 | 5 | 67 | .300 | .383 | .266 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 153 | 615 | 185 | 94 | 3 | 51 | .301 | .374 | .241 |
| 1907 | 150 | 580 | 187 | 63 | 4 | 58 | .322 | .424 | .216 |
| 1908 | 125 | 476 | 127 | 64 | 2 | 50 | .267 | .347 | .244 |
| 1909 | 123 | 407 | 107 | 35 | 0 | 60 | .263 | .310 | .233 |
| Total | 551 | 2078 | 606 | 256 | 9 | 219 | .292 | .369 | .233 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | 1195 | 4659 | 1448 | 730 | 31 | 498 | .311 | .392 | .270 |
| Other | 249 | 1004 | 312 | 190 | 7 | 81 | .311 | .392 | .277 |
| Career | 1444 | 5663 | 1760 | 920 | 38 | 579 | .311 | .392 | .271 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 133 | 558 | 185 | 112 | 8 | 64 | .332 | .421 | .330 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1171 | .326 | 382 | 600 |
| CLASS II | 716 | .304 | 218 |  |
| CLASS III | 694 | .266 | 185 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2078 | .233 | 484 |  |
| TOTAL | 4659 |  | 1269 |  |
| CLASS V | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4659 |  | 1269 |  |

When Ginger Beaumont, 23 years old, joined Pittsburgh in 1899, he took the center field job and held it for eight seasons. He was traded to the Boston Braves in 1906 and stayed with them for three years. A final trade in 1910 to the Cubs led to his final season in Chicago. Beaumont was 34 when he retired.

He was one of those relatively rare individuals who played from beginning to end in a single position. Except for two games at first base during his first major league season, he was a center fielder. How good? His career FA of .956, compared with Speaker's .971, suggests he was adequate, but not brilliant.

Beaumont had good speed and he was the fifth-best contact hitter (in a short career) in the sample group - he led the league in hits during four of his 12 seasons.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Beaumont | 4659 | .157 | .007 | .106 | .270 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

The ability to get on base, and to run the bases well when he got there, served him well as a scorer. Unfortunately, whatever his gifts, he ran out of gas in his early 30 s, a problem he shared with many players down through the decades.

## Socks Seybold

Born 1870; Height 5.11; Weight 175; T-R; B-R; Led American League: 1902-HR; 1903-2B

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ | 114 | 457 | 152 | 66 | 8 | 82 | .333 | .499 | .341 |
| 1901 | 137 | 522 | 165 | 75 | 16 | 81 | .316 | .506 | .330 |
| 1902 | 251 | 979 | 317 | 141 | 24 | 163 | .324 | .503 | .335 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 | 137 | 522 | 156 | 70 | 8 | 76 | .299 | .462 | .295 |
| 1903 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 | 147 | 564 | 153 | 53 | 5 | 87 | .271 | .362 | .257 |
| 1907 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV | 143 | 510 | 149 | 53 | 3 | 61 | .292 | .396 | .229 |
| PAB .219-.251 | 132 | 488 | 132 | 59 | 6 | 53 | .270 | .400 | .242 |
| 1904 | 116 | 411 | 130 | 36 | 5 | 54 | .316 | .418 | .231 |
| 1905 | 391 | 1409 | 411 | 148 | 14 | 168 | .292 | .404 | .234 |
| 1906 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V | 48 | 130 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 3 | .215 | .231 | .062 |
| PAB .218- | 974 | 3604 | 1065 | 417 | 51 | 497 | .296 | .426 | .268 |
| 1908 | 22 | 85 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 8 | .224 | .296 | .247 |
| Period | 996 | 3689 | 1084 | 430 | 51 | 505 | .294 | .423 | .267 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career | 137 | 522 | 165 | 75 | 16 | 81 | .316 | .506 | .330 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 979 | .335 | 328 | 482 |
| CLASS II | 522 | .295 | 154 |  |
| CLASS III | 564 | .257 | 145 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1409 | .234 | 330 |  |
| TOTAL | 3474 |  | 957 |  |
| CLASS V | 130 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3604 |  | 957 |  |

Ralph Orlando (Socks) Seybold started with the Reds in 1899. He left no major league trail in 1900, but in 1901 he turned up as a right fielder for the Athletics, and there he stayed for the balance of his career. Socks retired in 1908. He was 38 years old.

Seybold played enough first base to muddy up his defensive records. The estimate is that he wasn't a strong defensive player either in terms of coverage or stability. It was his productivity that kept him on the field.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-OUtFielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Seybold | 3604 | .116 | .014 | .138 | .268 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Seybold only played for nine years, not long enough to make a deep impression on the game, but long enough to demonstrate that he was a natural power hitter, and a reliable clutch hitter.

## Elmer Flick

Born 1876; Height 5.09; Weight 168; T-R; B-L; Led American League: 1904-SB; 1905-BA, SA, 3B; 1906-AB, 3B, R, SB; 1907-3B; HOF 1963

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | $R B I^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB.318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 138 | 542 | 182 | 104 | 8 | 80 | . 336 | . 500 | . 354 |
| 1902 | 121 | 461 | 137 | 83 | 2 | 62 | . 297 | . 410 | . 319 |
| Total | 259 | 1003 | 319 | 187 | 10 | 142 | . 318 | . 459 | . 338 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-. 317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-. 284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 150 | 579 | 177 | 91 | 6 | 50 | . 306 | . 453 | . 254 |
| 1905 | 131 | 496 | 152 | 67 | 4 | 60 | . 306 | . 466 | . 264 |
| 1906 | 157 | 624 | 194 | 97 | 1 | 61 | . 311 | . 439 | . 255 |
| Total | 438 | 1699 | 523 | 255 | 11 | 171 | . 308 | . 452 | . 257 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-. 251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 142 | 529 | 158 | 82 | 2 | 49 | . 299 | . 414 | . 251 |
| 1907 | 147 | 549 | 166 | 75 | 3 | 55 | . 302 | . 412 | . 242 |
| Total | 289 | 1078 | 324 | 157 | 5 | 104 | . 301 | . 413 | . 247 |

CLASS V
PAB .218-

| 1908 | 9 | 35 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 2 | .229 | .314 | .171 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1909 | 66 | 235 | 60 | 28 | 0 | 15 | .255 | .315 | .183 |
| 1910 | 24 | 68 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 6 | .265 | .368 | .162 |
| Total | 99 | 338 | 66 | 36 | 1 | 23 | .254 | .326 | .178 |
| Period | 1085 | 4118 | 1252 | 635 | 27 | 440 | .304 | .433 | .268 |
| Other | 399 | 1483 | 503 | 267 | 21 | 268 | .339 | .482 | .375 |
| Career | 1484 | 5601 | 1755 | 902 | 48 | 708 | .313 | .446 | .296 |
| BEST 123+GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 138 | 542 | 182 | 104 | 8 | 80 | .336 | .500 | .354 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1003 | .338 | 339 | 339 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1699 | .257 | 437 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1078 | .247 | 266 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL | 3780 |  | 1042 |  |
| CLASS V | 338 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4118 |  | 1042 |  |

As the 1901 season began, 25-year-old Elmer Flick could look back on three seasons as a right fielder with the Phillies. The two leagues fought each other in 1902 and Flick tried to jump to the Athletics. But he ended up in Cleveland and spent the rest of his career there. Because of a stomach ailment which seriously weakened him, Flick retired in 1910 at 34 years of age.

Except for 1906, when he played center field, Flick was predominantly a right fielder. He also played enough second base to clutter his defensive record for three seasons. His mixed record and his career FA of .947 suggest that defense was not his strength as a player. His bat was his most impressive credential.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Flick | 4118 | .154 | .007 | .107 | .268 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

During a relatively short career ( 5601 career ABs), Flick posted good BA, SA and PAB numbers, and he was fast on his feet - 20-40 steals a year. He was elected to the HOF in 1963 53 years after his retirement.

There is no explanation for the election of Elmer Flick. His career durability wasn't impressive; his overall record wasn't as good as others who were ignored, notably Magee.

## Cy Seymour

Born 1872; Height 6.00; Weight 200; T-L; B-L; Led National League: 1905-BA, SA, H, 2B, 3B

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ | 149 | 581 | 219 | 87 | 8 | 113 | .377 | .559 | .358 |
| 1905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 | 134 | 547 | 166 | 83 | 1 | 76 | .303 | .373 | .293 |
| 1901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 | 134 | 515 | 157 | 61 | 5 | 73 | .305 | .404 | .270 |
| 1902 | 135 | 558 | 191 | 78 | 7 | 65 | .342 | .471 | .269 |
| 1903 | 269 | 1073 | 348 | 139 | 12 | 138 | .324 | .439 | .269 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV | 131 | 531 | 166 | 66 | 5 | 53 | .313 | .446 | .234 |
| PAB .219-.251 | 151 | 576 | 165 | 62 | 8 | 72 | .286 | .378 | .247 |
| 1904 | 131 | 473 | 139 | 43 | 3 | 72 | .294 | .400 | .249 |
| 1906 | 156 | 587 | 157 | 55 | 5 | 87 | .267 | .339 | .250 |
| 1907 | 80 | 280 | 87 | 36 | 1 | 29 | .311 | .400 | .236 |
| 1908 | 79 | 287 | 76 | 31 | 1 | 39 | .265 | .334 | .247 |
| 1909 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{A}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 728 | 2734 | 790 | 293 | 23 | 352 | .289 | .384 | .244 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 39 | 73 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 10 | .178 | .205 | .164 |
| Period | 1322 | 5013 | 1537 | 605 | 44 | 689 | .307 | .412 | .267 |
| Other | 209 | 665 | 186 | 82 | 8 | 58 | .280 | .352 | .223 |
| Career | 1531 | 5678 | 1723 | 687 | 52 | 747 | .303 | .405 | .262 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 149 | 581 | 219 | 87 | 8 | 113 | .377 | .559 | .358 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 581 | .358 | 208 | 368 |
| CLASS II | 547 | .293 | 160 |  |
| CLASS III | 1073 | .269 | 289 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2734 | .244 | 667 |  |
| TOTAL | 4935 |  | 1324 |  |
| CLASS V | 73 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5008 |  | 1324 |  |

By the time 1901 rolled around, Cy Seymour was 29 years old with five seasons behind him as a frustrated pitcher (63-57, ERA 3.70, wild as a hawk). John McGraw made an outfielder out of him in 1901 (BA .303). Seymour signed up with the Reds in 1902, where he stayed until sold back to the Giants (and his old boss, McGraw) in 1906 - by this time, 34 years old. Seymour was an experienced center fielder. He remained with the Giants until 1910, when he apparently retired. Then in 1913 he reappeared with the Boston Braves for 39 games. Seymour was 41 when he finally quit.

He had two good defensive seasons (1906, 1907), but overall his career FA (.933) is a fair indicator of his erratic fielding ability. It was his offensive potential that kept him on the field.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outhielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Seymour | 5013 | .121 | .009 | .137 | .267 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

McGraw's decision to convert Seymour into an outfielder was immediately justified by his BA - he was one of nine outfielders to post a BA above .300 during the period. Indeed, in 1905 (BA .377), it appeared he was headed for a long and productive career. But after that year (he was 33), he faded quickly. His general impact on the game was fleeting.

## Tommy Leach

Born 1877; Height 5.07; Weight 150; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1902-HR; 1909/13-R

| ${ }^{*}=$ Net of home runs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 135 | 514 | 144 | 91 | 6 | 79 | .280 | .442 | .342 |
| 1903 | 127 | 507 | 151 | 90 | 7 | 80 | .298 | .438 | .349 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1912 | 110 | 362 | 93 | 72 | 2 | 49 | .257 | .340 | .340 |
| Total | 372 | 1383 | 388 | 253 | 15 | 208 | .281 | .414 | .344 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 98 | 374 | 114 | 63 | 1 | 43 | .305 | .414 | .286 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 146 | 579 | 149 | 90 | 2 | 54 | .257 | .335 | .252 |
| 1907 | 149 | 547 | 166 | 98 | 4 | 39 | .303 | .404 | .258 |
| 1909 | 151 | 587 | 153 | 120 | 6 | 37 | .261 | .368 | .278 |
| 1910 | 135 | 529 | 143 | 79 | 4 | 48 | .270 | .357 | .248 |
| 1911 | 108 | 386 | 92 | 57 | 3 | 40 | .238 | .324 | .259 |
| 1913 | 130 | 454 | 131 | 93 | 6 | 26 | .289 | .423 | .275 |
| 1918 | 30 | 72 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 5 | .194 | .306 | .264 |
| Total | 849 | 3154 | 848 | 551 | 25 | 249 | .269 | .367 | .262 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 131 | 499 | 128 | 69 | 2 | 51 | .257 | .345 | .244 |
| 1908 | 152 | 583 | 151 | 88 | 5 | 36 | .259 | .381 | .221 |
| Total | 283 | 1082 | 279 | 157 | 7 | 87 | .258 | .364 | .232 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 133 | 476 | 136 | 65 | 1 | 38 | .286 | .342 | .218 |
| 1914 | 153 | 577 | 152 | 73 | 7 | 39 | .263 | .373 | .206 |
| 1915 | 107 | 335 | 75 | 42 | 0 | 17 | .224 | .275 | .176 |
| Total | 393 | 1388 | 363 | 180 | 8 | 94 | .262 | .339 | .203 |
| Period | 1995 | 7381 | 1992 | 1204 | 56 | 681 | .270 | .372 | .263 |
| Other | 160 | 576 | 154 | 89 | 6 | 67 | .267 | .344 | .281 |
| Career | 2155 | 7957 | 2146 | 1293 | 62 | 748 | .270 | .370 | .264 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 127 | 507 | 151 | 90 | 7 | 80 | .298 | .438 | .349 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1383 | .344 | 476 | 583 |
| CLASS II | 374 | .286 | 107 |  |
| CLASS III | 3154 | .262 | 826 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1082 | .232 | 251 |  |
| TOTAL | 5993 |  | 1660 |  |
| CLASS V | 1388 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 7381 |  | 1660 |  |

Tommy Leach, 21, joined the Louisville organization in 1898 as a third baseman. When 1901 rolled around, he was starting his fourth season in major league baseball as a utility infielder with the Pirates. Playing manager Fred Clarke (a left fielder) in 1902 assigned Leach to the hot corner job, which gave the youngster a chance to play beside Honus Wagner for a short time.

In 1905, Leach's good bat at the plate and his weak glove at third provoked manager Clarke to move Leach to the outfield. Thereafter, he mostly played in the outer gardens.

Leach was traded to the Cubs in 1912. He gave them two seasons, then caught on with Cincinnati for one more. He retired for the first time in 1915, then, in 1918, returned to play 30 more games for the Pirates. He was 41 when he hung them up for the last time.

Leach spent about half his time in the outfield and the other half in various infield positions. A poor third baseman, he didn't fare much better in the outfield; he never found a defensive home during his 19 -year career. But he was an above-average producer, a skill that always catches a manager's eye.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Leach | 7381 | .163 | .008 | .092 | .263 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Leach was a fair contact hitter (BA .270) with an OBP of .340. This combination plus fair speed (an average of 19 steals per year) partly explains his scoring ability. For the rest of it, it didn't hurt his numbers that he was on the same team as Honus Wagner during most of his career. The Dutchman was building records for himself and everyone near him.

The Leach record probably overstates his ability. His personal skills were not great. He was not HOF material. But he was a good general-purpose athlete who was handy to have around.

## Jimmy Sheckard

Born 1878; Height 5.09; Weight 175; T-R; B-L; Led National League: 1901-SA, 3B; 1903-HR, SB; 1911-R, BB; 1912-BB

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{*}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 133 | 558 | 197 | 105 | 11 | 93 | .353 | .536 | .375 |
| 1903 | 139 | 515 | 171 | 90 | 9 | 66 | .332 | .476 | .320 |
| Total | 272 | 1073 | 368 | 195 | 20 | 159 | .343 | .507 | .349 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 156 | 539 | 149 | 117 | 4 | 46 | .276 | .388 | .310 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 144 | 507 | 130 | 77 | 5 | 46 | .256 | .363 | .252 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 127 | 501 | 135 | 85 | 4 | 33 | .269 | .375 | .244 |
| 1904 | 143 | 507 | 121 | 69 | 1 | 45 | .239 | .314 | .227 |
| 1906 | 149 | 549 | 144 | 89 | 1 | 44 | .262 | .353 | .244 |
| 1907 | 142 | 484 | 129 | 75 | 1 | 35 | .267 | .324 | .229 |
| 1909 | 148 | 525 | 134 | 80 | 1 | 42 | .255 | .335 | .234 |
| 1912 | 146 | 523 | 128 | 82 | 3 | 44 | .245 | .342 | .247 |
| 1913 | 99 | 252 | 49 | 34 | 0 | 24 | .194 | .238 | .230 |
| Total | 954 | 3341 | 840 | 514 | 11 | .267 | .251 | .333 | .237 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 130 | 480 | 140 | 55 | 3 | 38 | .292 | .398 | .200 |
| 1908 | 115 | 403 | 93 | 52 | 2 | 20 | .231 | .305 | .184 |
| Total | 245 | 883 | 233 | 107 | 5 | 58 | .264 | .356 | .193 |
| Period | 1771 | 6343 | 1720 | 1010 | 45 | 576 | .271 | .373 | .257 |
| Other | 350 | 1266 | 365 | 230 | 11 | 181 | .288 | .409 | .333 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Career | 2121 | 7609 | 2085 | 1240 | 56 | 757 | .274 | .379 | .270 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 133 | 558 | 197 | 105 | 11 | 93 | .353 | .536 | .375 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1073 | .349 | 374 | 541 |
| CLASS II | 539 | .310 | 167 |  |
| CLASS III | 507 | .252 | 128 |  |
| CLASS IV | 3341 | .237 | 792 |  |
| TOTAL | 5460 |  | 1461 |  |
| CLASS V | 883 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 6343 |  | 1461 |  |

Jimmy Sheckard, 19 years of age, joined the Brooklyn Dodgers in 1897. He was still in Brooklyn when the 1901 season began after a quick side trip in 1899 to Baltimore. The two teams in 1902 again shared his services.

Sheckard settled down in left field for the Dodgers for the next three seasons. Then it was moving time for him again. The Cubs offered four players and a cash sweetener for Sheckard at the end of the 1905 season. The Dodgers took the deal and Sheckard spent the next seven years as the left fielder for the Cubs of Frank Chance.

Sheckard was sold to St. Louis in 1913 and, in the same year, he finished up in Cincinnati. He was 35 years old.

After an erratic five-year beginning during which he moved from position to position, Sheckard settled into left field and seldom left it thereafter. He was a competent outfielder; for example, during the 1905-09 period his FA was .970, as compared with .979 for Fred Clarke, a right fielder who was elected to the HOF.

Sheckard was also an above-average producer who stole an average of 27 bases a season.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | AT | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | HR | RBI | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| Sheckard | 6343 | .159 | .007 | .091 | .257 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

He led the NL in SB in 1903; he was a constant base-stealing threat. This and a good onbase average (.375) were the principal offensive tools he used to good advantage and which were sufficiently admired by managers to allow him to accumulate the third highest durability rating of this elite group of outfielders. He was not an outstanding player, but he was a valuable one.

## Duffy Lewis

Born 1888; Height 5.11; Weight 165; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $G$ | $A B$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 154 | 581 | 165 | 79 | 6 | 103 | .284 | .408 | .324 |


|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | $B A$ | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-. 314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 130 | 469 | 144 | 57 | 7 | 79 | . 307 | . 437 | . 305 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-. 284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 149 | 551 | 164 | 54 | 0 | 90 | . 298 | . 397 | . 261 |
| 1914 | 146 | 510 | 142 | 51 | 2 | 77 | . 278 | . 398 | . 255 |
| 1915 | 152 | 557 | 162 | 67 | 2 | 74 | . 291 | . 382 | . 257 |
| 1919 | 141 | 559 | 152 | 60 | 7 | 82 | . 272 | . 365 | . 267 |
| Total | 588 | 2177 | 620 | 232 | 11 | 323 | . 285 | . 385 | . 260 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-. 251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 151 | 541 | 153 | 56 | 8 | 60 | . 283 | . 407 | . 229 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1916 | 152 | 563 | 151 | 55 | 1 | 55 | . 268 | . 343 | . 197 |
| 1917 | 150 | 553 | 167 | 54 | 1 | 64 | . 302 | . 392 | . 215 |
| Total | 302 | 1116 | 318 | 109 | 2 | 119 | . 285 | . 367 | . 206 |
| Period | 1325 | 4884 | 1400 | 533 | 34 | 684 | . 287 | . 391 | . 256 |
| Other | 134 | 467 | 118 | 41 | 4 | 71 | . 253 | . 311 | . 248 |
| Career | 1459 | 5351 | 1518 | 574 | 38 | 755 | . 284 | . 384 | . 255 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 154 | 581 | 165 | 79 | 6 | 103 | . 284 | . 408 | . 324 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 581 | .324 | 188 | 331 |
| CLASS II | 469 | .305 | 143 |  |
| CLASS III | 2177 | .260 | 566 |  |
| CLASS IV | 541 | .229 | 124 |  |
| TOTAL | 3768 |  | 1021 |  |
| CLASS V | 1116 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4884 |  | 1021 |  |

Duffy Lewis came to the Red Sox in 1910 when he was 22 to complete the formation of the Lewis/Speaker/Hooper outfield of Fenway Park fame. After sitting out the 1918 season, he was part of a seven-man deal that took him to the Yankees. A final trade sent him to Washington in 1921, where he ended his career at 33 years of age.

Zack Wheat, a HOF player and a contemporary of Duffy Lewis, also played left field. Lewis's career FA of .959 , compared with Wheat's .966 , indicates he was a competent, but not an outstanding defensive player.

Lewis had a short career - 11 years - during which he was an above average producer.
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-OUTfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Lewis | 4884 | .109 | .007 | .140 | .256 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Put a man on base, and Lewis came alive. That was his primary offensive profile. A good contact hitter (BA .284), and a minor base-stealing threat with good middle-distance power,

Lewis was an asset to the lineup. He is the only member of the Lewis/Speaker/ Hooper outfield to miss the HOF. It is appropriate that he was overlooked. He didn't play long enough or well enough to earn that high honor - some think Speaker is the only one who did.

## John Titus

Born 1876; Height 5.09; Weight 156; T-L; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{A}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 147 | 548 | 169 | 97 | 2 | 87 | .308 | .436 | .339 |
| 1912 | 141 | 502 | 155 | 94 | 5 | 65 | .309 | .446 | .327 |
| Total | 288 | 1050 | 324 | 191 | 7 | 152 | .309 | .441 | .333 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 | 145 | 484 | 129 | 66 | 1 | 56 | .267 | .339 | .254 |
| 1906 | 145 | 523 | 144 | 69 | 3 | 60 | .275 | .382 | .252 |
| 1907 | 1007 | 273 | 135 | 4 | 116 | .271 | .361 | .253 |  |
| 290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV | 72 | 280 | 80 | 36 | 2 | 32 | .286 | .404 | .250 |
| PAB .219-251 | 149 | 539 | 154 | 73 | 2 | 46 | .286 | .360 | .224 |
| 1903 | 87 | 269 | 80 | 28 | 5 | 33 | .297 | .420 | .245 |
| 1908 | 143 | 535 | 129 | 88 | 3 | 32 | .241 | .325 | .230 |
| 1913 | 76 | 236 | 67 | 27 | 8 | 18 | .284 | .453 | .225 |
| 1910 | 146 | 504 | 148 | 56 | 4 | 51 | .294 | .387 | .220 |
| 1911 | 673 | 2363 | 658 | 308 | 24 | 212 | .278 | .379 | .230 |
| 1904 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V | 151 | 540 | 146 | 66 | 3 | 43 | .270 | .350 | .207 |
| PAB .218- | 1402 | 4960 | 1401 | 700 | 38 | 523 | .282 | .385 | .254 |
| 1909 | 1402 | 4960 | 1401 | 700 | 38 | 523 | .282 | .385 | .254 |
| Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career | 147 | 548 | 169 | 97 | 2 | 87 | .308 | .436 | .339 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 1050 | .333 | 350 | 350 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1007 | .253 | 255 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2363 | .230 | 543 |  |
| TOTAL | 4420 |  | 1148 |  |
| CLASS V | 540 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4960 |  | 1148 |  |

Titus was a 27 -year-old rookie when he joined the Phillies in 1903, and he stayed with that club into the 1912 season, during which he was traded to the Braves of Boston. He finished his career there - he was 35 when he retired.

He functioned exclusively as an outfielder throughout his 11-year career, and his FA of .959 suggests he was not a very good one.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-OUtFielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Titus | 4960 | .141 | .008 | .105 | .254 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Titus showed flashes of brilliance, but he couldn't sustain it. He swung a fair bat and was a consistent, if not brilliant base runner.

## Red Murray

Born 1884; Height 5.11; Weight 190; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1909-HR

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .318+
None
CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317

| 1910 | 149 | 553 | 153 | 74 | 4 | 83 | .299 | .476 | .291 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1911 | 140 | 488 | 142 | 67 | 3 | 75 | .291 | .426 | .297 |
| 1912 | 143 | 549 | 152 | 80 | 3 | 89 | .277 | .413 | .313 |
| 1914 | 86 | 139 | 31 | 19 | 0 | 23 | .223 | .309 | .302 |
| Total | 518 | 1729 | 478 | 240 | 10 | 270 | .276 | .428 | .301 |

CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

1909 | 149 | 570 | 150 | 67 | 7 | 84 | .263 | .368 | .277 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS IV
PAB .219-. 251

| 1906 | 46 | 144 | 37 | 17 | 1 | 15 | .257 | .438 | .229 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1913 | 147 | 520 | 139 | 68 | 2 | 57 | .267 | .331 | .244 |
| Total | 193 | 664 | 176 | 85 | 3 | 72 | .265 | .354 | .241 |

CLASS V
PAB.218-

| 1907 | 132 | 485 | 127 | 39 | 7 | 39 | .262 | .367 | .175 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1908 | 154 | 593 | 167 | 57 | 7 | 55 | .282 | .400 | .201 |
| 1915 | 96 | 271 | 71 | 29 | 3 | 19 | .262 | .343 | .188 |
| 1917 | 22 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | .045 | .091 | .182 |
| Total | 404 | 1371 | 366 | 126 | 17 | 116 | .267 | .372 | .189 |
| Period | 1264 | 4334 | 1170 | 518 | 37 | 542 | .270 | .379 | .253 |
| Career | 1264 | 4334 | 1170 | 518 | 37 | 542 | .270 | .379 | .253 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 143 | 549 | 152 | 80 | 3 | 89 | .277 | .413 | .313 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P} \boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 520 |
| CLASS II | 1729 | .301 | 520 |  |
| CLASS III | 570 | .277 | 158 |  |
| CLASS IV | 664 | .241 | 160 |  |
| TOTAL | 2963 |  | 838 |  |
| CLASS V | 1371 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4334 |  | 838 |  |

John Joseph (Red) Murray joined the Cardinals in 1906 when he was 22 years old. In 1909, as part of the trade that moved Roger Bresnahan to St. Louis, Murray found himself in the outer gardens of the New York Giants. He left them in mid-season 1915 and appeared in 51 games for the Cubs.

Murray did not play in the major leagues in 1916, but returned to the Giants in 1917 for his final 22 games. He was 33 when he retired.

Red Murray was a right fielder for most of his career - his career FA of .950 suggests that he was a competent, but not an outstanding, outfielder. His bat, more than his glove, kept his career alive.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Murray | 4334 | .119 | .009 | .125 | .253 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Murray stole over 35 bases per year for six seasons, which explains why he lasted as long as he did. Apart from speed, he was a journeyman outfielder who was fortunate to have an 11year career.

## Solly Hofman

Born 1882; Height 6.00; Weight 160; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 7 | 26 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 3 | .269 | .385 | .385 |
| 1910 | 136 | 477 | 155 | 80 | 3 | 83 | .325 | .459 | .348 |
| Total | 143 | 503 | 162 | 86 | 4 | 86 | .322 | .455 | .350 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 53 | 178 | 49 | 35 | 0 | 20 | .275 | .371 | .309 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 86 | 287 | 68 | 42 | 1 | 37 | .237 | .324 | .279 |
| 1911 | 143 | 512 | 129 | 64 | 2 | 68 | .252 | .305 | .262 |
| 1914 | 147 | 515 | 148 | 60 | 5 | 78 | .287 | .412 | .278 |
| Total | 376 | 1314 | 345 | 166 | 8 | 183 | .263 | .351 | .272 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 64 | 195 | 50 | 28 | 2 | 18 | .256 | .328 | .246 |
| 1908 | 120 | 411 | 100 | 53 | 2 | 40 | .243 | .319 | .231 |
| 1909 | 153 | 527 | 150 | 58 | 2 | 56 | .285 | .351 | .220 |
| Total | 337 | 1133 | 300 | 139 | 6 | 114 | .265 | .335 | .229 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| 1907 | 134 | 470 | 126 | 66 | 1 | 35 | .268 | .311 | .217 |
| 1913 | 28 | 83 | 19 | 11 | 0 | 7 | .229 | .337 | .217 |
| 1915 | 109 | 346 | 81 | 29 | 0 | 27 | .234 | .298 | .162 |
| 1916 | 11 | 43 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 4 | .302 | .465 | .140 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 285 | 944 | 239 | 109 | 1 | 73 | .253 | .315 | .194 |
| Period | 1194 | 4072 | 1095 | 535 | 19 | 476 | .269 | .352 | .253 |
| Career | 1194 | 4072 | 1095 | 535 | 19 | 476 | .269 | .352 | .253 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 136 | 477 | 155 | 80 | 3 | 834 | .325 | .461 | .348 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 505 | .350 | 177 | 232 |
| CLASS II | 178 | .309 | 55 |  |
| CLASS III | 1314 | .272 | 357 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1133 | .229 | 259 |  |
| TOTAL | 3130 |  | 848 |  |
| CLASS V | 942 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4072 |  | 848 |  |

Arthur Frederick (Solly) Hofman entered major league baseball with Pittsburgh in 1903 at 21 years of age. Next he went to the Cubs and in 1907 played a full season with them as a utility man, thus establishing the defensive role he would fill for the balance of his career.

Hofman was traded back to the Pirates in 1912; he jumped to the Federal League in 1914, and when it folded he finished his career with the Yankees and Cubs. He was 34 when he retired in 1916.

Hofman played more outfield than anything else but was in reality a little bit of everything. Defensively, one can only say that he handled a glove well enough to put a 14-year career together.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—OUtFielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | PAB | PAB | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Hofman | 4072 | .131 | .005 | .117 | .253 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Hofman had at least 500 AB in only three seasons. His career was made up of a number of part-time seasons.

## John Anderson

Born 1873; Height 6.02; Weight 180; T-x; B-B; Led American League: 1906-SB

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 138 | 576 | 190 | 82 | 8 | 91 | .330 | .476 | .314 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 | 126 | 524 | 149 | 56 | 4 | 81 | .284 | .385 | .269 |
| 1902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1903 | 138 | 550 | 156 | 63 | 2 | 76 | .284 | .385 | .256 |
| 1904 | 143 | 558 | 155 | 59 | 3 | 79 | .278 | .385 | .253 |
| Total | 407 | 1632 | 460 | 178 | 9 | 236 | .282 | .385 | .259 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 125 | 499 | 139 | 61 | 1 | 51 | .279 | .361 | .226 |
| 1906 | 151 | 583 | 158 | 59 | 3 | 67 | .271 | .343 | .221 |
| 1907 | 87 | 333 | 96 | 33 | 0 | 44 | .288 | .348 | .231 |
| 1908 | 123 | 355 | 93 | 36 | 0 | 47 | .262 | .315 | .234 |
| Total | 486 | 1770 | 486 | 189 | 4 | 209 | .275 | .343 | .227 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | 1031 | 3978 | 1136 | 449 | 21 | 536 | .286 | .380 | .253 |
| Other | 596 | 2363 | 705 | 373 | 27 | 392 | .298 | .444 | .335 |
| Career | 1627 | 6341 | 1841 | 822 | 48 | 928 | .290 | .404 | .284 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 138 | 576 | 190 | 82 | 8 | 91 | .330 | .476 | .314 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 |
| CLASS II | 576 | .314 | 181 |  |
| CLASS III | 1632 | .259 | 423 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1770 | .227 | 402 |  |
| TOTAL | 3978 |  | 1006 |  |
| CLASS V | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3978 |  | 1006 |  |

John Anderson was 28 in 1901, a veteran of six big league seasons, mostly as an outfielder with Brooklyn. Like so many other players of the time, he jumped to the newly-formed AL and over the next seven seasons played for Milwaukee, the Browns, Yankees, Senators and White Sox. He was 35 when he retired in 1908.

Anderson started as an outfielder, moved to first base, then came back to the outfield again, always playing some of each, depending on need. His defensive record, as a consequence, is muddled, but he was apparently capable enough to justify a 14 -year career, most of it as a regular.

Anderson's bat, more than his glove, was his signature talent.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | PAB | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Anderson | 3978 | .113 | .005 | .135 | .253 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

He spent six years of his 14-year career in the deadball era, which means that his full career is not fully evaluated in a 1901-19 analysis. He was a timely and skilled contact hitter during the subject period, and a useful all-round athlete at the plate, on the bases and in the field.

## Wildfire Schulte

Born 1882; Height 5.11; Weight 170; T-R; B-L; Led National League: 1910-HR; 1911-SA, HR, RBI; MVP-NL, 1911

* $=$ Net of home runs



## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 661 | .351 | 232 | 459 |
| CLASS II | 762 | .298 | 227 |  |
| CLASS III | 1112 | .268 | 298 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2071 | .230 | 476 |  |
| TOTAL | 4606 |  | 1233 |  |
| CLASS V | 1925 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 6531 |  | 1233 |  |

Frank Selee, manager of the 1904 Cubs, greeted 22-year-old Wildfire Schulte when he made his first appearance in a major league uniform. Schulte played his first season as a regular in left field. Selee's successor, Frank Chance, moved Schulte to right field in 1906 where he played until, in 1914, manager Hank O'Day moved him back to left.

Schulte, 34 in 1916, and no longer part of Chicago's plans, was traded to Pittsburgh. Then, in 1917, he went to the Phillies for the waiver price. He was sold in 1918 to Washington, where he retired at 36 years of age.

Schulte played all of his defensive innings in the outfield. His career FA of . 966 was in the top ten of those men in the sample who spent 90 percent or more of their time in an outfield position. The evidence suggests that he was at the least an adequate defensive player.

He was slightly above average as a producer.

$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrr}
\text { Per at Bat (PAB) } & \text { 1901-19-OUTFIELDERS } \\
& \boldsymbol{A T} & \boldsymbol{R} & \boldsymbol{H R} & \boldsymbol{R B I} & \boldsymbol{T O T A L} \\
& \boldsymbol{B A T} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} \\
\hline \text { Schulte } & 6531 & .124 & .014 & .112 & .250 \\
\text { Sample average } & 4796 & .141 & .007 & .104 & .252
\end{array}
$$

Power! The long ball was Schulte's specialty. He led the league in slugging average and triples once and in home runs twice. Only one outfielder, Cravath, hit more home runs during the subject period - and he only weighed 170 pounds. Schulte had a very useful 15-year career.

## Fielder Jones

Born 1871; Height 5.11; Weight 180; T-R; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 133 | 521 | 162 | 118 | 2 | 63 | .311 | .365 | .351 |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 135 | 532 | 171 | 98 | 0 | 54 | .321 | .370 | .286 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 149 | 529 | 134 | 91 | 1 | 49 | .253 | .306 | .267 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 136 | 530 | 152 | 71 | 0 | 45 | .287 | .340 | .219 |
| 1905 | 153 | 568 | 139 | 89 | 2 | 36 | .245 | .327 | .224 |
| 1906 | 144 | 496 | 114 | 75 | 2 | 32 | .230 | .302 | .220 |
| Total | 433 | 1594 | 405 | 235 | 4 | 113 | .254 | .324 | .221 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 154 | 564 | 137 | 71 | 3 | 40 | .243 | .305 | .202 |
| 1907 | 154 | 559 | 146 | 72 | 0 | 47 | .261 | .297 | .213 |
| 1914 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .333 | .333 | .0 |
| 1915 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | .0 | .0 | .167 |
| Total | 320 | 1132 | 284 | 144 | 3 | 87 | .251 | .300 | .207 |
| Period | 1170 | 4308 | 1156 | 686 | 10 | 366 | .268 | .326 | .247 |
| Other | 623 | 2456 | 768 | 476 | 10 | 246 | .313 | .381 | .298 |
| Career | 1793 | 6764 | 1924 | 1162 | 20 | 612 | .284 | .346 | .265 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 133 | 521 | 162 | 118 | 2 | 63 | .322 | .365 | .351 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 521 | .351 | 183 | 335 |
| CLASS II | 532 | .286 | 152 |  |
| CLASS III | 529 | .267 | 141 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1594 | .221 | 352 |  |
| TOTAL | 3176 |  | 828 |  |
| CLASS V | 1132 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4308 |  | 828 |  |

Fielder Allison Jones joined the Brooklyn Dodgers in 1896 when he was 25 years old. He jumped to Chicago in the AL in 1901 and became its manager in 1904. He stayed with the team until his first retirement in 1908 - he was 37 years old. Jones appeared again when the Federal League got underway in 1914 as player manager and in 1915 he retired again - he was 44 years old.

Jones was a right fielder for Brooklyn during his first three seasons, but he was moved to center in 1899 when Willie Keeler joined the club. Except for his first year with the White Sox (1901), when he returned to right field, Jones finished his career as a middle gardener. And he was a good one (FA .962). He was also a fair offensive player:

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-OUtFielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Jones | 4308 | .159 | .002 | .086 | .247 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Jones was one of the top ten scorers in the group. A good bat, an impressive OBP (.368) and speed ( 359 SBs in 15 years) were his primary weapons.

Johnny Bates
Born 1882; Height 5.07; Weight 168; T-L; B-L

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | $B A$ | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-. 317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 135 | 498 | 152 | 88 | 3 | 58 | . 305 | . 420 | . 299 |
| 1911 | 148 | 518 | 151 | 88 | 1 | 60 | . 292 | . 394 | . 288 |
| 1912 | 81 | 239 | 69 | 44 | 1 | 28 | . 289 | . 410 | . 305 |
| 1914 | 135 | 361 | 99 | 59 | 3 | 42 | . 274 | . 380 | . 288 |
| Total | 499 | 1616 | 471 | 279 | 8 | 188 | . 291 | . 401 | . 294 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-. 284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 131 | 407 | 113 | 57 | 6 | 45 | . 278 | . 388 | . 265 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-. 251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 126 | 447 | 116 | 50 | 2 | 47 | . 260 | . 367 | . 221 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 140 | 504 | 127 | 46 | 6 | 48 | . 252 | . 349 | . 198 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1908 | 127 | 445 | 115 | 47 | 1 | 28 | .258 | .324 | .171 |
| 1909 | 140 | 502 | 146 | 68 | 2 | 36 | .291 | .371 | .211 |
| Total | 407 | 1451 | 388 | 161 | 9 | 112 | .267 | .349 | .194 |
| Period | 1163 | 3921 | 1088 | 547 | 25 | 392 | .277 | .376 | .246 |
| Career | 1163 | 3921 | 1088 | 547 | 25 | 392 | .277 | .376 | .246 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 135 | 498 | 152 | 88 | 3 | 58 | .305 | .420 | .299 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 475 |
| CLASS II | 1616 | .294 | 475 |  |
| CLASS III | 407 | .265 | 108 |  |
| CLASS IV | 447 | .221 | 99 |  |
| TOTAL | 2470 |  | 682 |  |
| CLASS V | 1451 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3921 |  | 682 |  |

Johnny Bates came to the Braves in 1906 when he was 24 years old. His NL career lasted nine years. He left Boston in 1909 for the Phillies. In 1911, Cincinnati was his next baseball home, followed in 1914 by the Cubs. Then in 1914 he jumped to Baltimore of the Federal League and finished up with them. He was 32 when he retired.

Bates eventually played all outfield positions (career FA, .955). He stole 21-37 times per season. Speed plus a fair bat kept him in the lineup.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | AT <br> BAT | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | PAR | RBI | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 3921 | .140 | .006 | .100 | .246 |
| Bates | $39 \boldsymbol{B A B}$ | PAB |  |  |  |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

He was a short-careered player with adequate defensive skills.

## Davy Jones

Born 1880; Height 5.10; Weight 165; T-R; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .318+
None
CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317
None
CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

| 1901 | 14 | 52 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 2 | .173 | .346 | .269 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1903 | 130 | 497 | 140 | 63 | 1 | 61 | .282 | .336 | .252 |
| 1907 | 126 | 491 | 134 | 101 | 0 | 27 | .273 | .318 | .261 |
| 1909 | 69 | 204 | 57 | 44 | 0 | 10 | .279 | .309 | .265 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1910 | 113 | 377 | 100 | 77 | 0 | 24 | .265 | .313 | .268 |
| 1911 | 98 | 341 | 93 | 78 | 0 | 19 | .273 | .302 | .284 |
| Total | 550 | 1962 | 553 | 372 | 4 | 143 | .272 | .319 | .265 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 98 | 336 | 82 | 41 | 3 | 36 | .244 | .333 | .238 |
| 1908 | 56 | 121 | 25 | 17 | 0 | 10 | .207 | .240 | .223 |
| 1912 | 97 | 316 | 93 | 54 | 0 | 24 | 294 | 323 | 247 |
| 1914 | 97 | 352 | 96 | 56 | 2 | 22 | .273 | .361 | .227 |
| Total | 348 | 1125 | 296 | 168 | 5 | 92 | .263 | .329 | .236 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 79 | 292 | 85 | 45 | 0 | 17 | .291 | .363 | .212 |
| 1906 | 84 | 323 | 84 | 41 | 0 | 24 | .260 | .310 | .201 |
| 1913 | 10 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | .286 | .286 | .095 |
| 1915 | 14 | 49 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 4 | .327 | .367 | .204 |
| Total | 187 | 685 | 191 | 94 | 0 | 45 | .279 | .336 | .203 |
| Period | 1085 | 3772 | 1020 | 634 | 9 | 280 | .270 | .325 | .245 |
| Career | 1085 | 3772 | 1020 | 634 | 9 | 280 | .270 | .325 | .245 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 126 | 491 | 134 | 101 | 0 | 27 | .273 | .318 | .261 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1962 | .265 | 520 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1125 | .236 | 266 |  |
| TOTAL | 3087 |  | 786 |  |
| CLASS V | 685 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3772 |  | 786 |  |

Davy (Kangaroo) Jones in 1901 joined the new AL franchise in Milwaukee. In 1902, he started with the Browns and ended up with the Cubs in the NL. It was back to the AL again in 1906 with the Tigers, for whom he played until 1913. He spent that year with the White Sox, then jumped to the Federal League for his final two seasons. The much-traveled Jones was 35 years old when he retired in 1915.

| Per at Bat (PAB) | 1901-19-OUTFIELDERS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Jones | 3772 | .168 | .002 | .075 | .245 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Jones played in over 100 games only three times in 14 years. He was a career-long substitute who just barely qualified for inclusion in this survey, and further details of his actions are of no particular interest.

Mike Mitchell
Born 1879; Height 6.01; Weight 185; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1909/10-3B

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{A}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | PAB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 | 145 | 523 | 162 | 79 | 4 | 82 | .310 | .430 | .315 |
| 1909 | 142 | 529 | 154 | 72 | 2 | 82 | .291 | .427 | .295 |
| 1911 | 287 | 1052 | 316 | 151 | 6 | 164 | .300 | .428 | .305 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 | 156 | 583 | 167 | 74 | 5 | 83 | .286 | .401 | .278 |
| 1910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV | 157 | 552 | 156 | 56 | 4 | 74 | .283 | .377 | .243 |
| PAB .219-.251 | 135 | 477 | 126 | 57 | 5 | 46 | .264 | .369 | .226 |
| 1912 | 292 | 1029 | 282 | 113 | 9 | 120 | .274 | .373 | .235 |
| 1913 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V | 148 | 558 | 163 | 61 | 3 | 44 | .292 | .382 | .194 |
| PAB .218- | 119 | 406 | 90 | 40 | 1 | 36 | .222 | .281 | .190 |
| 1907 | 131 | 466 | 119 | 48 | 3 | 40 | .255 | .343 | .195 |
| 1908 | 398 | 1430 | 372 | 149 | 7 | 120 | .260 | .341 | .193 |
| 1914 | 1133 | 4094 | 1137 | 487 | 27 | 487 | .278 | .380 | .245 |
| Total | 1133 | 4094 | 1137 | 487 | 27 | 487 | .278 | .380 | .245 |
| Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career | 145 | 523 | 162 | 79 | 4 | 82 | .310 | .430 | .315 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 321 |
| CLASS II | 1052 | .305 | 321 |  |
| CLASS III | 583 | .278 | 162 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1029 | .235 | 242 |  |
| TOTAL | 2664 |  | 725 |  |
| CLASS V | 1430 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4094 |  | 725 |  |

Mike Mitchell had a late start - he was 28 in 1907 when he joined the Cincinnati Reds. He spent six seasons as a regular with that club; then he played for the Cubs, Pirates and Senators during the final two years of his short career. He was 35 in 1914 when he retired.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Outfielders

|  | AT | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | HR | RBI | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| Mitchell | 4094 | .119 | .007 | .119 | .245 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Mitchell ran the bases well and was a timely hitter - a sound journeyman ballplayer.

## George Burns

Born 1889; Height 5.07; Weight 160; T-R; B-R; Led National League: 1913-SO; 1914-R, SB; 1915-AB; 1916-AB, R; 1917-R, BB; 1919-R, BB, SB

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | SA | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-. 317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-. 284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 29 | 51 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 3 | . 294 | . 373 | . 275 |
| 1914 | 154 | 561 | 170 | 97 | 3 | 57 | . 303 | . 417 | . 280 |
| 1918 | 119 | 465 | 135 | 76 | 4 | 47 | . 290 | . 389 | . 273 |
| Total | 302 | 1077 | 320 | 184 | 7 | 107 | . 297 | . 403 | . 277 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-. 251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 150 | 605 | 173 | 79 | 2 | 52 | . 286 | . 370 | . 220 |
| 1916 | 155 | 623 | 174 | 100 | 5 | 36 | . 279 | . 368 | . 226 |
| 1917 | 152 | 597 | 180 | 98 | 5 | 40 | . 302 | . 412 | . 240 |
| 1919 | 139 | 534 | 162 | 84 | 2 | 44 | . 303 | . 404 | . 243 |
| Total | 596 | 2359 | 689 | 361 | 14 | 172 | . 292 | . 388 | . 232 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 6 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | . 059 | . 059 | . 118 |
| 1915 | 155 | 622 | 169 | 80 | 3 | 48 | . 272 | . 375 | . 211 |
| Total | 161 | 639 | 170 | 82 | 3 | 48 | . 266 | . 367 | . 208 |
| Period | 1059 | 4075 | 1179 | 627 | 24 | 327 | . 289 | . 388 | . 240 |
| Other | 794 | 3166 | 898 | 520 | 17 | 243 | . 284 | . 379 | . 246 |
| Career | 1853 | 7241 | 2077 | 1147 | 41 | 570 | . 287 | . 384 | . 243 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 154 | 561 | 170 | 97 | 3 | 57 | . 303 | . 417 | . 280 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1077 | .277 | 298 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2359 | .232 | 547 |  |
| TOTAL | 3436 |  | 845 |  |
| CLASS V | 639 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4075 |  | 845 |  |

George Burns, 22, joined in 1911 the Giants of McGraw. He diddled for two seasons, then, in 1913, took over the left field position, which he held for the balance of the subject period (later he moved to center and right).

Burns moved on to Cincinnati in 1922 for three seasons; then he finished his 15-year career with the Phillies in 1925. He was 36 when he quit.

George Burns was a top-of-the line left fielder. His career FA (.986) was third highest in the 48 -man sample. But his production record was modest.

$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrr}
\text { PER AT BAT (PAB) } & \text { 1901-19-OUTFIELDERS } \\
& \boldsymbol{A T} & \boldsymbol{R} & \boldsymbol{H R} & \boldsymbol{R B I} & \text { TOTAL } \\
& \boldsymbol{B A T} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \text { PAB } \\
\hline \text { Burns } & 4075 & .154 & .006 & .080 & .240 \\
\text { Sample average } & 4796 & .141 & .007 & .104 & .252
\end{array}
$$

The full career of Burns (7,241 ABs) fell into the 1910-29 period - only 56 percent of it was spent in the subject period. Durability for him was not the problem that the above graphic suggests. He was a good contact hitter (BA .289) but, more importantly, he was on base about 37 percent of the time. These skills, plus speed ( 383 SBs in 15 years), made him the league's top scorer five times. Burns was a full cut above the journeymen of the day who had the misfortune to play in both the deadball and live-ball eras, which obscured his comparative abilities.

## Zack Wheat

Born 1888; Height 5.10; Weight 170; T-R; B-L; Led National League: 1916-SA; 1918-BA; HOF 1959

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .318+
None
CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317
None
CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

| 1912 | 123 | 453 | 138 | 62 | 8 | 57 | .305 | .450 | .280 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1914 | 145 | 533 | 170 | 57 | 9 | 80 | .319 | .452 | .274 |
| Total | 268 | 986 | 308 | 119 | 17 | 137 | .312 | .451 | .277 |

CLASS IV
PAB .219-. 251

| 1910 | 156 | 606 | 172 | 76 | 2 | 53 | .284 | .403 | .216 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1911 | 140 | 534 | 153 | 50 | 5 | 71 | .287 | .412 | .236 |
| 1913 | 138 | 535 | 161 | 57 | 7 | 64 | .301 | .430 | .239 |
| 1915 | 146 | 528 | 136 | 59 | 5 | 61 | .258 | .360 | .237 |
| 1916 | 149 | 568 | 177 | 67 | 9 | 64 | .312 | .461 | .246 |
| 1918 | 105 | 409 | 137 | 39 | 0 | 51 | .335 | .386 | .220 |
| 1919 | 137 | 536 | 159 | 65 | 5 | 57 | .297 | .409 | .237 |
| Total | 971 | 3716 | 1095 | 413 | 33 | 421 | .295 | .410 | .233 |

CLASS V

| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1909 | 26 | 102 | 31 | 15 | 0 | 4 | .304 | .431 | .186 |
| 1917 | 109 | 362 | 113 | 37 | 1 | 40 | .312 | .423 | .215 |
| Total | 135 | 464 | 144 | 52 | 1 | 44 | .310 | .425 | .209 |
| Period | 1374 | 5166 | 1547 | 584 | 51 | 602 | .299 | .419 | .239 |
| Other | 1036 | 3940 | 1337 | 573 | 81 | 527 | .339 | .491 | .300 |
| Career | 2410 | 9106 | 2884 | 1157 | 132 | 1129 | .317 | .450 | .266 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 123 | 453 | 138 | 62 | 8 | 57 | .305 | .450 | .280 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 986 | .277 | 273 |  |
| CLASS IV | 3716 | .233 | 866 |  |
| TOTAL | 4702 |  | 1139 |  |
| CLASS V | 464 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5166 |  | 1139 |  |

Zack Wheat was 21 in 1909 when he became a Dodger. He stayed with them for 18 years. Then Brooklyn sold him in 1927 to the Phillies, where he played his final season at 41 years of age.

Wheat played left field for almost his entire career and compiled a career FA of .965 . Since Fred Clarke, a Hall of Fame outfielder from the same era, had an FA of .950, one must conclude that Wheat was a better than average fly-chaser.

Wheat was a good contact hitter with fair power during subject period. He was not unusually fast on his feet $-18-20$ steals a year when he was young.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-OUtFielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Wheat | 5166 | .113 | .010 | .116 | .239 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Wheat's election to the HOF in 1959 was certainly not based upon the 11 seasons that fell into this analytical period. His full career fell into the 1910-29 period, the era that mixed deadball and live-ball baseball, and it must have been his career BA of .318, and his final eight years, that drew the attention of HOF electors, plus the sheer longevity of his career (ABs 9,106).

The 1910-29 period is not analyzed because answers would be meaningless, and Wheat's career is a perfect example of this. He was 21 when he entered major league baseball and 31 when the 1919 season ended. His early years should have been his best ones, and during them his BA was .300 or better in four relatively full seasons-. 319 was his highest mark. In the 1920s, which should have been Wheat's declining years, his BA was consistently in the .300 s, and once reached .375. This lifted his career BA from 299 in 1919, to .317 in 1927. He was a completely different player during the live-ball era.

Wheat's election to the HOF is statistically understandable, but analytically questionable.

## Patsy Dougherty

Born 1876; Height 6.02; Weight 190; T-R; B-L; Led American League: 1903-AB, H, R; 1904-AB, R; 1908-SB

* $=$ Net of home runs
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}G & A B & H & R^{*} & H R & R B I^{*} & B A & S A & P A B\end{array}$
CLASS I
PAB.318+

| 1911 | 76 | 211 | 61 | 39 | 0 | 32 | .289 | .422 | .336 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317
None

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 108 | 438 | 150 | 77 | 0 | 34 | .342 | .397 | .253 |
| 1903 | 139 | 590 | 195 | 104 | 4 | 55 | .331 | .424 | .276 |
| 1909 | 139 | 491 | 140 | 70 | 1 | 54 | .285 | .391 | .255 |
| Total | 386 | 1519 | 485 | 251 | 5 | 143 | .319 | .406 | .263 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 148 | 533 | 144 | 68 | 1 | 58 | .270 | .315 | .238 |
| 1908 | 138 | 482 | 134 | 68 | 0 | 45 | .278 | .326 | .234 |
| Total | 286 | 1015 | 278 | 136 | 1 | 103 | .274 | .320 | .236 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 155 | 647 | 181 | 107 | 6 | 20 | .280 | .379 | .206 |
| 1905 | 116 | 418 | 110 | 53 | 3 | 26 | .263 | .335 | .196 |
| 1906 | 87 | 305 | 69 | 32 | 1 | 30 | .226 | .298 | .207 |
| 1910 | 127 | 443 | 110 | 44 | 1 | 42 | .248 | .300 | .196 |
| Total | 485 | 1813 | 470 | 236 | 11 | 118 | .259 | .336 | .201 |
| Period | 1233 | 4558 | 1294 | 662 | 17 | 396 | .284 | .360 | .236 |
| Career | 1233 | 4558 | 1294 | 662 | 17 | 396 | .284 | .360 | .236 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 139 | 590 | 195 | 104 | 4 | 55 | .331 | .424 | .276 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 211 | .336 | 71 | 71 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1519 | .263 | 399 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1015 | .236 | 240 |  |
| TOTAL | 2745 |  | 710 |  |
| CLASS V | 1813 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4558 |  | 710 |  |

Patrick Henry (Patsy) Dougherty started his AL career at the age of 26 in 1902, and finished it in 1911 when he was 35 . Boston and New York shared the first half of his 10 -year career. He spent the last half in Chicago.

Dougherty, a left fielder from beginning to end, also played a few games at third base. He was a poor fielder (FA .931) - one of the worst in the sample. But he hit well and was quick on the bases (19-47 steals per year).

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—OUTfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Dougherty | 4558 | .145 | .004 | .087 | .236 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Speed and a good bat kept Patsy going for a decade.

## Amos Strunk

Born 1889; Height 6.00; Weight 175; T-L; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

> | $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .318+
None
CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317

| 1911 | 74 | 215 | 55 | 41 | 1 | 20 | .256 | .321 | .288 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1912 | 120 | 412 | 119 | 55 | 3 | 60 | .289 | .400 | .286 |
| Total | 194 | 627 | 174 | 96 | 4 | 80 | .278 | .373 | .287 |

CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

| 1913 | 93 | 292 | 89 | 30 | 0 | 46 | .305 | .425 | .260 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS IV
PAB .219-. 251

| 1910 | 16 | 48 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 2 | .333 | .375 | .229 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1914 | 122 | 404 | 111 | 56 | 2 | 43 | .275 | .342 | .250 |
| 1915 | 132 | 485 | 144 | 75 | 1 | 44 | .297 | .427 | .247 |
| 1917 | 148 | 540 | 152 | 82 | 1 | 44 | .281 | .361 | .235 |
| Total | 418 | 1477 | 423 | 222 | 4 | 133 | .286 | .378 | .243 |

CLASS V
PAB .218-

| 1908 | 12 | 34 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | .235 | .265 | .118 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1909 | 11 | 35 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | .114 | .114 | .086 |
| 1916 | 150 | 544 | 172 | 68 | 3 | 46 | .316 | .421 | .215 |
| 1918 | 114 | 413 | 106 | 50 | 0 | 35 | .257 | .344 | .206 |
| 1919 | 108 | 378 | 91 | 42 | 0 | 30 | .241 | .323 | .190 |
| Total | 395 | 1404 | 381 | 165 | 3 | 113 | .271 | .361 | .200 |
| Period | 1100 | 3800 | 1067 | 513 | 11 | 372 | .281 | .374 | .236 |
| Other | 407 | 1194 | 348 | 167 | 4 | 141 | .291 | .370 | .261 |
| Career | 1507 | 4994 | 1415 | 680 | 15 | 513 | .283 | .373 | .242 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 132 | 485 | 144 | 75 | 1 | 44 | .297 | .427 | .247 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 |
| CLASS II | 627 | .287 | 180 |  |
| CLASS III | 292 | .260 | 76 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1477 | .243 | 359 |  |
| TOTAL | 2396 |  | 615 |  |
| CLASS V | 1404 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3800 |  | 615 |  |

Amos Strunk joined the Athletics in 1908 when he was 19 years old. He finished the era with the same team after a brief stay with the Red Sox. In the 1920s he mostly played with the White Sox. He returned to the Athletics in 1924 and, at 35, retired.

# Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Outfielders 

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Strunk | 3800 | .135 | .003 | .098 | .236 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Strunk was mostly a center fielder - and a good one (FA .980). Offensively, speed (50+SBs in his prime) and an adequate bat kept him in the majors. In his later years he was an active and efficient pinch hitter.

## Topsy Hartsel

Born 1874; Height 5.05; Weight 155; T-L; B-L; Led American League: 1902-R, BB, SB; 1905/06/07/08-BB

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .328+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.327 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 137 | 545 | 154 | 104 | 5 | 53 | .283 | .391 | .297 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 140 | 558 | 187 | 104 | 7 | 47 | .335 | .475 | .283 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 98 | 373 | 116 | 60 | 5 | 21 | .311 | .477 | .231 |
| 1906 | 144 | 533 | 136 | 95 | 1 | 29 | .255 | .334 | .235 |
| 1907 | 143 | 507 | 142 | 90 | 3 | 26 | .280 | .367 | .235 |
| 1910 | 90 | 285 | 63 | 45 | 0 | 22 | .221 | .277 | .235 |
| 1911 | 25 | 38 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 1 | .237 | .289 | .237 |
| Total | 500 | 1736 | 466 | 298 | 9 | 99 | .268 | .364 | .234 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 147 | 534 | 135 | 77 | 2 | 23 | .253 | .341 | .191 |
| 1905 | 148 | 533 | 147 | 88 | 0 | 28 | .276 | .347 | .218 |
| 1908 | 129 | 460 | 112 | 69 | 4 | 25 | .243 | .330 | .213 |
| 1909 | 83 | 267 | 72 | 30 | 0 | 18 | .270 | .322 | .180 |
| Total | 507 | 1794 | 466 | 264 | 6 | 94 | .260 | .337 | .203 |
| Period | 1284 | 4633 | 1273 | 770 | 27 | 293 | .275 | .370 | .235 |
| Other | 70 | 210 | 62 | 26 | 3 | 18 | .295 | .370 | .224 |
| Career | 1354 | 4843 | 1335 | 796 | 30 | 311 | .276 | .370 | .235 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 137 | 545 | 154 | 104 | 5 | 53 | .283 | .391 | .297 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 |
| CLASS II | 545 | .297 | 162 |  |
| CLASS III | 558 | .283 | 158 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1736 | .234 | 406 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL | 2839 | 726 |  |  |
| CLASS V | 1794 | 0 |  |  |
| PERIOD | 4633 | 726 |  |  |

Tully Frederick (Topsy) Hartsel started in the NL in 1898 at the age of 24 but, like so many of his contemporaries, moved to the AL during its early formative years. He was the left fielder for the Athletics in 1902, and he held that position as a regular through the 1908 season. Then he spent his final seasons with the same club as a part-time outfielder. He ended his 14-year career in 1911 when he was 37 years old.

There was nothing defensively special about Hartsel as an outfielder (FA .956). Offensively, he was primarily a scorer who capitalized on speed and his ability to get on base; he led the league five times on base-on-balls and his OBP was . 384 .

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Hartsel | 4633 | .166 | .006 | .063 | .235 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Foot speed was highly valued in this period, and Hartsel had plenty of it. Without Hart-sel-like players in the baseball world there would be no great RBI men.

## Bob Bescher

Born 1884; Height 6.01; Weight 200; T-L; B-B; Led National League: 1909/10-SB; 1911-SO, SB; 1912-R-SB; 1913-BB

* $=$ Net of home runs
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}G & A B & H & R^{*} & H R & R B I^{*} & B A & S A & P A B\end{array}$
CLASS I
PAB.318+
None
CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317

| 1908 | 32 | 114 | 31 | 16 | 0 | 17 | .272 | .404 | .289 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1918 | 25 | 60 | 20 | 12 | 0 | 6 | .333 | .400 | .300 |

Total
$57 \quad 174 \quad 51 \quad 28$
CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

| 1912 | 145 | 548 | 154 | 116 | 4 | 34 | .281 | .396 | .281 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS IV
PAB .219-. 251

| 1909 | 124 | 446 | 107 | 72 | 1 | 33 | .240 | .312 | .238 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1910 | 150 | 589 | 147 | 91 | 4 | 44 | .250 | .338 | .236 |
| 1911 | 153 | 599 | 165 | 105 | 1 | 44 | .275 | .367 | .250 |
| 1913 | 141 | 511 | 132 | 85 | 1 | 36 | .258 | .350 | .239 |
| Total | 568 | 2145 | 551 | 353 | 7 | 157 | .257 | .344 | .241 |

CLASS 5
PAB .218-

| 1914 | 135 | 512 | 138 | 76 | 6 | 29 | .270 | .365 | .217 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1915 | 130 | 486 | 128 | 67 | 4 | 30 | .263 | .348 | .208 |
| 1916 | 151 | 561 | 132 | 72 | 6 | 37 | .235 | .339 | .205 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1917 | 42 | 110 | 17 | 9 | 1 | 7 | .155 | .209 | .155 |
| Total | 458 | 1669 | 415 | 224 | 17 | 103 | .249 | .341 | .206 |
| Period | 1228 | 4536 | 1171 | 721 | 28 | 317 | .258 | .351 | .235 |
| Career | 1228 | 4536 | 1171 | 721 | 28 | 317 | .258 | .351 | .235 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 145 | 548 | 154 | 116 | 4 | 34 | .281 | .396 | .281 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| CLASS II | 174 | .293 | 51 |  |
| CLASS III | 548 | .281 | 154 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2145 | .241 | 517 |  |
| TOTAL | 2867 |  | 722 |  |
| CLASS V | 1669 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4536 |  | 722 |  |

Bob Bescher came to Cincinnati in 1908 when he was 24 years old. He played left field for that club until a 1914 trade moved him to the center field of the New York Giants. He spent the final season of his 11-year career with the Indians. He was 34 when he retired in 1918.

Bescher covered left field well - his career FA of .960 was adequate.
Bescher's BA was low (.258) but he was constantly on base (OBP .353) because of his discriminating batting eye. This amplified his abilities as a runner. In his eight seasons as a regular, he never stole fewer than 27 bases. He led the league four times in his specialty.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Bescher | 4536 | .159 | .006 | .070 | .235 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Bescher's usefulness declined with his foot speed.

## Dode Paskert

Born 1881; Height 5.11; Weight 165; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ | 16 | 50 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 7 | .280 | .420 | .340 |
| 1907 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III | 104 | 322 | 81 | 49 | 0 | 33 | .252 | .298 | .255 |
| PAB .252-.284 | 145 | 540 | 170 | 100 | 2 | 41 | .315 | .413 | .265 |
| 1909 | 109 | 328 | 80 | 48 | 3 | 36 | .244 | .348 | .265 |
| 1912 | 127 | 461 | 132 | 66 | 3 | 56 | .286 | .371 | .271 |
| 1915 | 485 | 1651 | 463 | 263 | 8 | 166 | .280 | .366 | .265 |
| 1918 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{A}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 153 | 560 | 153 | 92 | 4 | 43 | .273 | .345 | .248 |
| 1913 | 124 | 454 | 119 | 79 | 4 | 25 | .262 | .374 | .238 |
| 1914 | 132 | 451 | 119 | 56 | 3 | 41 | .264 | .366 | .222 |
| 1916 | 149 | 555 | 155 | 74 | 8 | 38 | .279 | .402 | .216 |
| Total | 558 | 2020 | 546 | 301 | 19 | 147 | .270 | .372 | .232 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 118 | 395 | 96 | 39 | 1 | 35 | .243 | .306 | .190 |
| 1910 | 144 | 506 | 152 | 61 | 2 | 44 | .300 | .374 | .211 |
| 1917 | 141 | 546 | 137 | 74 | 4 | 39 | .251 | .363 | .214 |
| 1919 | 87 | 270 | 53 | 19 | 2 | 27 | .196 | .281 | .178 |
| Total | 490 | 1717 | 438 | 193 | 9 | 145 | .255 | .340 | .202 |
| Period | 1549 | 5438 | 1461 | 766 | 37 | 465 | .269 | .360 | .233 |
| Other | 166 | 579 | 152 | 60 | 5 | 70 | .263 | .370 | .233 |
| Career | 1715 | 6017 | 1613 | 826 | 42 | 535 | .268 | .361 | .271 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918 | 127 | 461 | 132 | 66 | 3 | 56 | .286 | .371 | .271 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 50 | .340 | 17 | 17 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1651 | .265 | 438 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2020 | .232 | 469 |  |
| TOTAL | 3721 |  | 924 |  |
| CLASS V | 1717 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5438 |  | 924 |  |

George Henry (Dode) Paskert was 26 when he started with Cincinnati in 1907. After breakin seasons in left and right field, manager Clark Griffith gave Paskert the center field job in 1910, and there he played for the rest of his career. Paskert was sent to the Phillies in 1911 in an eightman deal. He played regularly for them for seven years until, in 1918, another trade moved him to the Cubs for three years. He returned to Cincinnati for his final season. He was 40 when he retired in 1921.

Dode Paskert was a center fielder for most of his career. His career FA (.968) compares favorably with two HOF center fielders, Ty Cobb (.962) and Tris Speaker (.972), which indicates that glove work was one of his highest skills. But on the other side of the ball, he wasn't much of a producer.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-OUtfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Paskert | 5438 | .141 | .007 | .085 | .233 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Over 30 percent of Paskert's ABs (four of his 15 seasons) fell into Class V.
Speed (he averaged almost 20 steals per season) plus a good glove kept him active.

## Jack Graney

Born 1886; Height 5.09; Weight 180; T-L; B-L; Led American League: 1916-2B; 1917/19-BB

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .318+
None
CLASS I
PAB .285-. 317
None
CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

| 1916 | 155 | 589 | 142 | 101 | 5 | 49 | .241 | .384 | .263 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## CLASS IV

PAB .219-. 251

| 1911 | 146 | 527 | 142 | 83 | 1 | 44 | .269 | .342 | .243 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1912 | 78 | 264 | 64 | 44 | 0 | 20 | .242 | .307 | .242 |
| 1913 | 148 | 517 | 138 | 53 | 3 | 65 | .267 | .366 | .234 |
| 1914 | 130 | 460 | 122 | 62 | 1 | 38 | .265 | .352 | .220 |
| 1915 | 116 | 404 | 105 | 41 | 1 | 55 | .260 | .351 | .240 |
| 1917 | 146 | 535 | 122 | 84 | 3 | 32 | .228 | .325 | .222 |
| 1919 | 128 | 461 | 108 | 78 | 1 | 29 | .234 | .323 | .234 |
| Total | 892 | 3168 | 801 | 445 | 10 | 283 | .253 | .340 | .233 |

CLASS V
PAB.218-

| 1908 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | .0 | .0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1910 | 116 | 454 | 107 | 61 | 1 | 30 | .236 | .311 | .203 |
| 1918 | 72 | 177 | 42 | 27 | 0 | 9 | .237 | .322 | .203 |
| Total | 190 | 631 | 149 | 88 | 1 | 39 | .236 | .314 | .203 |
| Period | 1237 | 4388 | 1092 | 634 | 16 | 371 | .249 | .342 | .233 |
| Other | 167 | 317 | 86 | 54 | 2 | 31 | .271 | .342 | .274 |
| Career | 1404 | 4705 | 1178 | 688 | 18 | 402 | .250 | .342 | .235 |
| BEST 123+GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1916 | 155 | 589 | 142 | 101 | 5 | 49 | .241 | .384 | .263 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P} \boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 589 | .263 | 155 |  |
| CLASS IV | 3168 | .233 | 738 |  |
| TOTAL | 3757 |  | 893 |  |
| CLASS V | 631 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4388 |  | 893 |  |

Jack Graney was a 22 -year-old pitcher when in 1908 he joined the Cleveland organization. He next appeared on the big league stage in 1910 as a right fielder. In the next season, he was moved to left, which thereafter became his permanent defensive home. Graney spent his full 14 -year career with the Indians. He was 36 when he retired in 1922.

HOF left outfielders Fred Clarke and Zack Wheat had career FAs of .950 and .966 , respectively. Based on that yardstick, Graney was a competent glove man - FA .953. But he wasn't much of a producer.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Graney | 4388 | .144 | .004 | .085 | .233 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Graney wasn't an impressive hitter but his OBP was .354 - he was an expert "walker." He led the league twice in walks - in a full season he was commonly on base over 200 times. This, plus better-than-average speed, kept him in the business.

## Harry Hooper

Born 1887; Height 5.10; Weight 168; T-R; B-L; HOF 1971

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 | 130 | 524 | 163 | 89 | 4 | 41 | .311 | .395 | .256 |
| 1911 | 147 | 590 | 143 | 96 | 2 | 51 | .242 | .327 | .253 |
| 1912 | 126 | 474 | 137 | 80 | 1 | 43 | .289 | .405 | .262 |
| 1918 | 403 | 1588 | 443 | 265 | 7 | 135 | .279 | .373 | .256 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 | 148 | 586 | 169 | 96 | 4 | 36 | .288 | .399 | .232 |
| 1913 | 141 | 530 | 137 | 84 | 1 | 40 | .258 | .364 | .236 |
| 1914 | 149 | 566 | 133 | 88 | 2 | 49 | .235 | .327 | .246 |
| 1915 | 151 | 559 | 143 | 86 | 3 | 42 | .256 | .349 | .234 |
| 1917 | 128 | 491 | 131 | 73 | 3 | 46 | .267 | .360 | .248 |
| 1919 | 717 | 2732 | 713 | 427 | 13 | 213 | .261 | .360 | .239 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- | 81 | 255 | 72 | 29 | 0 | 12 | .282 | .325 | .161 |
| 1909 | 155 | 584 | 156 | 79 | 2 | 25 | .267 | .327 | .182 |
| 1910 | 151 | 575 | 156 | 74 | 1 | 36 | .271 | .350 | .193 |
| 1916 | 387 | 1414 | 384 | 182 | 3 | 73 | .272 | .336 | .182 |
| Total | 1507 | 5734 | 1540 | 874 | 23 | 421 | .269 | .358 | .230 |
| Period | 801 | 3051 | 926 | 480 | 52 | 321 | .304 | .442 | .280 |
| Other | 2308 | 8785 | 2466 | 1354 | 75 | 742 | .281 | .387 | .247 |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEST 123+ GAMES | 126 | 474 | 137 | 80 | 1 | 43 | .289 | .405 | .262 |
| 1918 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1588 | .256 | 407 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV | 2732 | .239 | 653 |  |
| TOTAL | 4320 |  | 1060 |  |
| CLASS V | 1414 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 5734 |  | 1060 |  |

Harry Hooper joined the Red Sox as a 22 -year-old in 1909 and enjoyed himself playing alongside the center field marvel, Tris Speaker, until Speaker moved to Cleveland in 1916. At the end of the 1920 season Hooper, then 33 years old, had money troubles with management and was traded to the White Sox for two players. He spent five years in Chicago. Then, at 38, he retired in 1925.

Hooper was one of the better outfielders of the period. A right fielder in the famous Lewis /Speaker/Hooper trio of outer gardeners for the Red Sox, his career statistics (FA .966) are a good model to use when measuring defensive abilities of other right fielders. The "rump slide" that outfielders like Carl Yastrzemski later used to get under certain fly balls was originated by Hooper.

Hooper was not a formidable offensive player, but he had his talents. His BA wasn't impressive but his OBP of . 368 allowed him to exercise his skills as a base runner - he averaged over 20 SBs per year. His BA, in other words, does not accurately profile his full value as an offensive player.

| Per at Bat (PAB) | 1901-19-OUTFIELDERS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T}$ OTAL |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Hooper | 5734 | .152 | .004 | .074 | .230 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

This is not HOF performance. In 1971, 46 years after he retired, Hooper was elected to the HOF. He spent 65 percent of his ABs in the subject period, too little to judge fully his HOF credentials, but sufficient to measure him during his best years against his contemporaries.

Those most familiar with Hooper's talents passed him by during the years when the memory of his skills was sharpest. He was without doubt a highly skilled defensive player, but he did not demonstrate HOF offensive skills during the subject period. His career BA of .281 is respectable, but during the subject period it was .269 - the live ball pulled his numbers up from nowhere to somewhere.

Assuming Hooper's play in the 1920s, compared to other outfielders of that era, was relatively the same as in the subject period, it appears he was a weak HOF choice.

## Max Carey

Born 1890; Height 6.00; Weight 170; T-x; B-B; Led National League: 1913-AB, R, SB; 1914-AB; 1915/16/17-SB; 1918-BB, SB; HOF 1961

* $=$ Net of home runs

> | $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

CLASS I
PAB .318+

| 1910 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | .500 | .833 | .667 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317

| 1912 | 150 | 587 | 177 | 109 | 5 | 61 | .302 | .394 | .298 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 129 | 427 | 110 | 72 | 5 | 38 | .258 | .375 | .269 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 154 | 620 | 172 | 94 | 5 | 44 | .277 | .371 | .231 |
| 1917 | 155 | 588 | 174 | 81 | 1 | 50 | .296 | .378 | .224 |
| 1918 | 126 | 468 | 128 | 67 | 3 | 45 | .274 | .348 | .246 |
| Total | 435 | 1676 | 474 | 242 | 9 | 139 | .283 | .367 | .233 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 156 | 593 | 144 | 75 | 1 | 30 | .243 | .347 | .179 |
| 1915 | 140 | 564 | 143 | 73 | 3 | 24 | .254 | .333 | .177 |
| 1916 | 154 | 599 | 158 | 83 | 7 | 35 | .264 | .374 | .209 |
| 1919 | 66 | 244 | 75 | 41 | 0 | 9 | .307 | .365 | .205 |
| Total | 516 | 2000 | 520 | 272 | 11 | 98 | .260 | .353 | .191 |
| Period | 1232 | 4696 | 1284 | 697 | 30 | 338 | .273 | .366 | .227 |
| Other | 1244 | 4667 | 1381 | 779 | 39 | 393 | .296 | .404 | .259 |
| Career | 2476 | 9363 | 2665 | 1476 | 69 | 731 | .285 | .385 | .243 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 150 | 587 | 177 | 109 | 5 | 61 | .302 | .394 | .298 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 6 | .667 | 4 | 179 |
| CLASS II | 587 | .298 | 175 |  |
| CLASS III | 427 | .269 | 115 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1676 | .233 | 390 |  |
| TOTAL | 2696 |  | 684 |  |
| CLASS V | 2000 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4696 |  | 684 |  |

Maximilian (Max) Carnarius Carey was 20 years old when in 1910 he joined the Pittsburgh Pirates. He spent over 16 years with that club until, in 1926, he was sold to the Dodgers. He was 39 when in 1929 he retired after a final season in Brooklyn.

In 1911, his first as a starter, playing manager Fred Clarke put Carey in center field between himself and Owen Wilson. Clarke sat down in 1912 and gave Carey his job in left field, a situation that pertained for four seasons. In 1916, Nixey Callahan took the team over and moved Carey back to center field, the position he was born to fill.

Carey was one of the best defensive center fielders of his time. Two fellow HOF center fielders in the same general time period are compared with him below:

| Player | FA | Chances per <br> game |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Carey | .966 | 2.8 |
| Cobb | .962 | 2.4 |
| Speaker | .971 | 2.8 |

In career assists per game and double plays per game, Carey ranks behind Speaker and Cobb in the record books. This fact must be accepted in the light of the playing environment in the deadball era. The ball was indeed dead, home runs were rare and outfielders played shallow. The above comparisons are valid for the era, but are invalid when compared with the records of modern players who operate in a completely different environment.

As brilliant as he was in the field, Carey was nowhere the equal of the same HOF center fielders offensively:

| CAREY | COBB |  |  |  |  | SPEAKER |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{P}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{P}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{P}$ |
| .667 | 4 | 6368 | .367 | 2335 | 2764 | .341 | 943 |
| .298 | 175 | 428 | .311 | 133 | 1475 | .297 | 438 |
| .269 | 115 | 0 |  | 0 | 1605 | .275 | 441 |
| .233 | 390 | 500 | .236 | 118 | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | 684 | 7296 |  | 2586 | 5844 |  | 1822 |
|  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 137 |  | 0 |
|  | 684 | 7296 |  | 2586 | 5981 |  | 1822 |

About 43 percent of Carey's ABs were graded poor; zero percent for Cobb; two percent for Speaker - 13 percents of Carey's ABs fell into the Superstar/Star categories; 93 percent for Cobb; 71 percent for Speaker.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Carey | 4696 | .148 | .006 | .073 | .227 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Max Carey was elected to the HOF in 1961, 32 years after his retirement, an act which the above figures do not justify. He was not an unusually gifted hitter during the period (BA .273), but his OBP was .363 , which means that he had ample opportunity to exploit his major offensive talent - foot speed. His SBs led the league five times during the 10 years he spent in the subject period. And although his durability during the period was unimpressive, his career ABs were 9,363 over a full 20 -year career.

Carey's selection to the HOF was based on the totality of a career that didn't end until 1929. His defensive mastery, his record as one of the game's best base stealers and his endurance overcame his productivity record and persuaded HOF electors to honor him. He wasn't a Cobb; he wasn't a Speaker. But he was a fine player.

The question persists: Where does one draw the line between a fine player and a HOF player? The distinction wasn't clear when Carey was chosen in 1961; it isn't clear today.

## Roy Thomas

Born 1874 Height 5.11; Weight 150; T-L; B-L; Led National League: 1901/02/03/ 04/06/07-BB

* $=$ Net of home runs

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
G & A B & H & R^{*} & H R & R B I^{*} & B A & S A & P A B
\end{array}
$$

| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-. 317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .253-. 284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 129 | 479 | 148 | 101 | 1 | 27 | . 309 | . 334 | . 269 |
| 1905 | 147 | 562 | 178 | 118 | 0 | 31 | . 317 | . 358 | . 265 |
| Total | 276 | 1041 | 326 | 219 | 1 | 58 | . 313 | . 347 | . 267 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 138 | 500 | 143 | 89 | 0 | 24 | .286 | .322 | .226 |
| 1903 | 130 | 477 | 156 | 87 | 1 | 26 | .327 | .365 | .239 |
| 1904 | 139 | 496 | 144 | 89 | 3 | 26 | .290 | .345 | .238 |
| 1907 | 121 | 419 | 102 | 69 | 1 | 22 | .243 | .301 | .220 |
| 1911 | 21 | 30 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 2 | .167 | .233 | .233 |
| Total | 549 | 1922 | 550 | 339 | 5 | 100 | .286 | .333 | .231 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 142 | 493 | 125 | 81 | 0 | 16 | .254 | .302 | .197 |
| 1908 | 108 | 410 | 103 | 53 | 1 | 23 | .251 | .334 | .188 |
| 1909 | 83 | 281 | 74 | 36 | 0 | 11 | .263 | .302 | .167 |
| 1910 | 23 | 71 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 4 | .183 | .239 | .155 |
| Total | 356 | 1255 | 315 | 177 | 1 | 54 | .251 | .309 | .185 |
| Period | 1181 | 4218 | 1191 | 735 | 7 | 212 | .282 | .329 | .226 |
| Other | 290 | 1078 | 346 | 268 | 0 | 80 | .321 | .349 | .323 |
| Career | 1471 | 5296 | 1537 | 1003 | 7 | 292 | .290 | .333 | .246 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 129 | 479 | 148 | 101 | 1 | 27 | .309 | .334 | .269 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1041 | .267 | 278 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1922 | .231 | 444 |  |
| TOTAL | 2963 |  | 922 |  |
| CLASS V | 1255 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4218 |  | 922 |  |

Thomas was 27 when the 1901 season opened with him in center field for the Phillies for the third straight year. He stayed with that club as a regular through the 1907 season. Then he moved to the Pirates and Braves for two years. He returned to the Phillies for his final two seasons. He was 37 when he retired in 1911.

Thomas was one of the finest defensive outfielders of his time. In four seasons he had 20+ assists. His area coverage was competitive with the best. His career FA of .972 was the best in the sample (Speaker's FA was .971), which is more than usually impressive because he was most active during the first decade of the century when FAs were characteristically low.

Thomas was also a valuable offensive player. He was always on base (OBP .413). His BA was also above average; he led the league in walks seven times. This combination, plus the ability to steal 20-40 bases a year, made him an above-average scorer.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-OUtFielders

|  | AT | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | HR | RBI | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | PAB | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Thomas | 4218 | .174 | .002 | .050 | .222 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Ray Thomas had a late start in his major league baseball career ( 25 years old). Had he started sooner, the talented center fielder may have developed more impressive numbers. And had he
played for a more competitive organization, his PAB would have been richer. He was a very talented ballplayer.

## Rube Oldring

Born 1884; Height 5.10; Weight 186; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 136 | 538 | 152 | 96 | 5 | 66 | .283 | .394 | .310 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 121 | 495 | 147 | 81 | 3 | 56 | .297 | .394 | .283 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 8 | 30 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 5 | .300 | .467 | .233 |
| 1910 | 134 | 546 | 168 | 75 | 4 | 53 | .308 | .430 | .242 |
| 1914 | 119 | 466 | 129 | 65 | 3 | 46 | .277 | .371 | .245 |
| Total | 261 | 1042 | 306 | 141 | 8 | 104 | .294 | .405 | .243 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 59 | 174 | 42 | 15 | 0 | 19 | .241 | .310 | .195 |
| 1907 | 117 | 441 | 126 | 47 | 1 | 39 | .286 | .395 | .197 |
| 1908 | 116 | 434 | 96 | 37 | 1 | 38 | .221 | .270 | .175 |
| 1909 | 90 | 326 | 75 | 38 | 1 | 27 | .230 | .328 | .202 |
| 1912 | 98 | 395 | 119 | 60 | 1 | 23 | .301 | .370 | .213 |
| 1915 | 107 | 408 | 101 | 43 | 6 | 36 | .248 | .363 | .208 |
| 1916 | 83 | 304 | 73 | 26 | 1 | 25 | .240 | .322 | .171 |
| 1918 | 49 | 133 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 11 | .233 | .263 | .120 |
| Total | 719 | 2615 | 663 | 271 | 11 | 218 | .254 | .336 | .191 |
| Period | 1237 | 4690 | 1268 | 589 | 27 | 444 | .270 | .364 | .226 |
| Career | 1237 | 4690 | 1268 | 589 | 27 | 444 | .270 | .364 | .226 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 136 | 538 | 152 | 96 | 5 | 66 | .283 | .394 | .310 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
| CLASS II | 538 | .310 | 167 |  |
| CLASS III | 495 | .283 | 140 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1042 | .243 | 253 |  |
| TOTAL | 2075 |  | 560 |  |
| CLASS V | 2615 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4690 |  | 560 |  |

Rube Oldring was 21 when he joined the Yankees in 1905, only to be immediately sold to the Athletics. In 1916, the Athletics shared him with the Yankees. He retired in 1917 then returned in 1918 to play his final 49 games with the Athletics. He was 34 when he quit.

Rube Oldring was a utility player of no particular distinction. Mostly an outfielder, he also played every other position on the field except catcher and pitcher.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-OUtrielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Oldring | 4690 | .126 | .006 | .094 | .226 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Oldring could run and he was a useful pinch hitter and situation player.

## Shano Collins

Born 1885; Height 6.00; Weight 185; T-R; B-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-. 317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-. 284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 153 | 575 | 168 | 73 | 2 | 79 | . 292 | . 397 | . 268 |
| 1915 | 153 | 576 | 148 | 71 | 2 | 83 | . 257 | . 368 | . 271 |
| Total | 306 | 1151 | 316 | 144 | 4 | 162 | . 275 | . 382 | . 269 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-. 251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 106 | 370 | 97 | 44 | 4 | 44 | . 262 | . 403 | . 249 |
| 1916 | 143 | 527 | 128 | 74 | 0 | 42 | . 243 | . 342 | . 220 |
| 1918 | 103 | 365 | 100 | 29 | 1 | 55 | . 274 | . 392 | . 233 |
| Total | 352 | 1262 | 325 | 147 | 5 | 141 | . 258 | . 374 | . 232 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 97 | 315 | 62 | 28 | 1 | 23 | . 197 | . 289 | . 165 |
| 1913 | 148 | 535 | 128 | 52 | 1 | 46 | . 239 | . 327 | . 185 |
| 1914 | 154 | 598 | 164 | 58 | 3 | 62 | . 274 | . 376 | . 206 |
| 1917 | 82 | 252 | 59 | 37 | 1 | 13 | . 234 | . 321 | . 202 |
| 1919 | 63 | 179 | 50 | 20 | 1 | 15 | . 279 | . 363 | . 201 |
| Total | 544 | 1879 | 463 | 195 | 7 | 159 | . 246 | . 339 | . 192 |
| Period | 1202 | 4292 | 1104 | 486 | 16 | 462 | . 257 | . 361 | . 225 |
| Other | 596 | 2094 | 583 | 238 | 6 | 221 | . 278 | . 373 | . 222 |
| Career | 1798 | 6386 | 1687 | 724 | 22 | 683 | . 264 | . 365 | . 224 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 153 | 576 | 148 | 71 | 2 | 83 | . 257 | 368 | . 271 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1151 | .269 | 310 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1262 | .232 | 293 |  |
| TOTAL | 2413 |  | 603 |  |
| CLASS V | 1879 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4292 |  | 603 |  |

John Francis (Shano) Collins was 25 when he joined the White Sox in 1910. He stayed with that club throughout the subject period. During the 1920s, he moved to Boston and completed his 16-year career there. He was 40 when he retired in 1925.

Collins mostly played right field and occasionally appeared at the other outfield positions and at first base. He did well enough to last for 16 years.

$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrr}
\text { PER AT BAT (PAB) } & \text { 1901-19-OUTFIELDERS } \\
& \boldsymbol{A T} & \boldsymbol{R} & \boldsymbol{H R} & \boldsymbol{R B I} & \boldsymbol{T O T A L} \\
& \boldsymbol{B A T} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} \\
\hline \text { Collins } & 4292 & .113 & .004 & .108 & .225 \\
\text { Sample average } & 4796 & .142 & .006 & .104 & .252
\end{array}
$$

He was a good glove man (FA .972) and a base-stealing threat, talents he skillfully used to maintain a 16 -year career in the bigs.

## Rebel Oakes

Born 1886; Height 5.08; Weight 170; T-R; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 | 145 | 571 | 178 | 75 | 7 | 68 | .312 | .415 | .263 |
| 1914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV | 154 | 551 | 145 | 67 | 2 | 57 | .263 | .319 | .229 |
| PAB .219-.251 | 136 | 495 | 139 | 54 | 3 | 55 | .281 | .358 | .226 |
| 1911 | 153 | 580 | 161 | 55 | 0 | 82 | .278 | .336 | .236 |
| 1912 | 443 | 1626 | 445 | 176 | 5 | 194 | .274 | .337 | .231 |

CLASS V

## PAB .218-

| 1909 | 120 | 415 | 112 | 52 | 3 | 28 | .270 | .340 | .200 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1910 | 131 | 468 | 118 | 50 | 0 | 43 | .252 | .308 | .199 |
| 1913 | 146 | 537 | 156 | 59 | 0 | 49 | .291 | .335 | .201 |
| Total | 397 | 1420 | 386 | 161 | 3 | 120 | .272 | .328 | .200 |
| Period | 985 | 3617 | 1009 | 412 | 15 | 382 | .279 | .346 | .224 |
| Career | 985 | 3617 | 1009 | 412 | 15 | 382 | .279 | .346 | .224 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 571 | .263 | 150 |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | MVP | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV | 1626 | .231 | 376 |  |
| TOTAL | 2197 |  | 526 |  |
| CLASS V | 1420 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3617 |  | 526 |  |

Ennis Telfair (Rebel) Oakes zipped in and out of major league baseball, starting when he was 23 , quitting when he was 29 . He started with the Reds in 1909 , moved to the Cardinals for four seasons, then jumped to the Federal League for its two years of existence. That was it for Oakes. He was a regular during every season of his seven-year career.

Oakes was a center fielder. He had a fair arm, covered ground well, but was not as sure-handed as the better outfielders (FA .961).

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Oakes | 3617 | .114 | .004 | .106 | .224 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

He was a timely contact hitter with good speed who probably spent as much time in baseball as he should have.

## Owen Wilson

Born 1883; Height 6.02; Weight 185; T-R; B-L; Led National League: 1912-3B

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{A}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 148 | 544 | 163 | 60 | 12 | 95 | .300 | .472 | .307 |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 152 | 583 | 175 | 69 | 11 | 84 | .300 | .513 | .281 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 155 | 580 | 154 | 61 | 10 | 63 | .266 | .386 | .231 |
| 1914 | 154 | 580 | 150 | 55 | 9 | 64 | .259 | .393 | .221 |
| Total | 309 | 1160 | 304 | 116 | 19 | 127 | .262 | .390 | .226 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1908 | 144 | 529 | 120 | 44 | 3 | 40 | .227 | .285 | .164 |
| 1909 | 154 | 569 | 155 | 60 | 4 | 55 | .272 | .374 | .209 |
| 1910 | 146 | 536 | 148 | 55 | 4 | 46 | .276 | .373 | .196 |
| 1915 | 107 | 348 | 96 | 30 | 3 | 36 | .276 | .374 | .198 |
| 1916 | 120 | 355 | 85 | 27 | 3 | 29 | .239 | .299 | .166 |
| Total | 671 | 2337 | 604 | 216 | 17 | 206 | .258 | .342 | .188 |
| Period | 1280 | 4624 | 1246 | 461 | 59 | 512 | .269 | .391 | .223 |
| Career | 1280 | 4624 | 1246 | 461 | 59 | 512 | .269 | .391 | .223 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 148 | 544 | 163 | 60 | 12 | 95 | .300 | .472 | .307 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
| CLASS II | 544 | .307 | 167 |  |
| CLASS III | 583 | .281 | 164 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1160 | .226 | 262 |  |
| TOTAL | 2287 |  | 593 |  |
| CLASS V | 2337 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4624 |  | 593 |  |

John Owen (Chief) Wilson (25) stepped right into the right field job with the Pittsburgh Pirates in 1908, his first year in the major leagues. He moved to the Cardinals in 1914, as a result of an eight-man trade. He retired in St. Louis in 1916-33 years old.

Wilson could play right field with anyone. He had one of the best arms in the business, covered his territory well and was sure-handed. His career FA of .968 puts him in the top ten of the sample. Chief was not a consistent contact hitter but he did hit for power.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | PAB | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Wilson | 4624 | .110 | .013 | .110 | .223 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Chief Wilson, a slow-of-foot power hitter, was a promising player until he turned $30-$ then his talents leaked away.

## Matty Mcintyre

Born 1880; Height 5.11; Weight 175; T-L; B-L; Led American League: 1908-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

| $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS I
PAB .318+
None
CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317
None
CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

| 1901 | 82 | 308 | 85 | 38 | 0 | 46 | .276 | .341 | .273 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1911 | 146 | 569 | 184 | 101 | 1 | 51 | .323 | .401 | .269 |
| Total | 228 | 877 | 269 | 139 | 1 | 97 | .307 | .380 | .270 |

CLASS IV
PAB .219-. 251

| 1908 | 151 | 569 | 168 | 105 | 0 | 28 | .295 | .383 | .234 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1912 | 45 | 84 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 10 | .167 | .167 | .238 |
| Total | 196 | 653 | 182 | 115 | 0 | 38 | .279 | .355 | .234 |

CLASS V
PAB .218-

$1905 \quad 131 \quad 495 \quad 130 \quad 59 \quad 0 \quad 30 \quad .263 \quad .325 \quad .180$

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I} \boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | SA | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1906 | 133 | 493 | 128 | 63 | 0 | 39 | .260 | .343 | .207 |
| 1907 | 20 | 81 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 9 | .284 | .321 | .185 |
| 1909 | 125 | 476 | 116 | 64 | 1 | 33 | .244 | .326 | .206 |
| 1910 | 83 | 305 | 72 | 40 | 0 | 25 | .236 | .318 | .213 |
| Total | 644 | 2428 | 615 | 304 | 3 | 180 | .253 | .326 | .201 |
| Period | 1068 | 3958 | 1066 | 558 | 4 | 315 | .269 | .343 | .222 |
| Career | 1068 | 3958 | 1066 | 558 | 4 | 315 | .269 | .343 | .222 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 146 | 569 | 184 | 101 | 1 | 51 | .323 | .401 | .269 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 877 | .270 | 237 |  |
| CLASS IV | 653 | .234 | 153 |  |
| TOTAL | 1530 |  | 390 |  |
| CLASS V | 2428 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3958 |  | 390 |  |

Matty McIntyre (21) appeared in 82 games for the 1901 Athletics. Then he disappeared for two years. He next played with Detroit in 1904 and stayed with that club through the 1910 season. He spent his last two years with the White Sox where, in 1912, he retired - 32 years old.

McIntyre played some right field toward the end of his career, but in Detroit, where he spent most of his time, he was a left fielder, sharing garden duty with Cobb and Crawford. As one might expect, given the company he was keeping, he was a good outfielder (FA .964).

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| McIntyre | 3958 | .141 | .001 | .080 | .222 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

McIntyre had an inauspicious ten-year career.

## Clyde (Deerfoot) Milan

Born 1887; Height 5.09; Weight 168; T-R; B-L; Led American League: 1911-AB; 1912/13-SB

* $=$ Net of home runs
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}G & A B & H & R^{*} & H R & R B I^{*} & B A & S A & P A B\end{array}$
CLASS I
PAB.318+
None
CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317

| 1912 | 154 | 601 | 184 | 104 | 1 | 78 | .306 | .379 | .304 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

| 1915 | 153 | 573 | 165 | 81 | 2 | 64 | .288 | .346 | .257 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1911 | 154 | 616 | 194 | 106 | 3 | 32 | .315 | .394 | .229 |
| 1913 | 154 | 579 | 174 | 89 | 3 | 51 | .301 | .378 | .247 |
| 1914 | 115 | 437 | 129 | 62 | 1 | 38 | .295 | .396 | .231 |
| 1918 | 128 | 503 | 146 | 56 | 0 | 56 | .290 | .346 | .223 |
| 1919 | 88 | 321 | 92 | 43 | 0 | 37 | .287 | .361 | .249 |
| Total | 639 | 2456 | 735 | 356 | 7 | 214 | .299 | .376 | .235 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1907 | 48 | 183 | 51 | 22 | 0 | 9 | .279 | .328 | .169 |
| 1908 | 130 | 485 | 116 | 54 | 1 | 31 | .239 | .315 | .177 |
| 1909 | 130 | 400 | 80 | 35 | 1 | 14 | .200 | .258 | .125 |
| 1910 | 142 | 531 | 148 | 89 | 0 | 16 | .279 | .333 | .198 |
| 1916 | 150 | 565 | 154 | 57 | 1 | 44 | .273 | .313 | .181 |
| 1917 | 155 | 579 | 170 | 60 | 0 | 48 | .294 | .333 | .187 |
| Total | 755 | 2743 | 719 | 317 | 1 | 162 | .262 | .314 | .176 |
| Period | 1701 | 6373 | 1803 | 858 | 13 | 518 | .283 | .347 | .218 |
| Other | 280 | 986 | 297 | 129 | 4 | 82 | .301 | .392 | .218 |
| Career | 1981 | 7359 | 2100 | 987 | 17 | 600 | .285 | .353 | .218 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 154 | 601 | 184 | 104 | 1 | 78 | .306 | .379 | .304 |

Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P} \boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| CLASS II | 601 | .304 | 183 |  |
| CLASS III | 573 | .247 | 142 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2456 | .235 | 577 |  |
| TOTAL | 3630 |  | 902 |  |
| CLASS V | 2743 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 6373 |  | 902 |  |

Clyde Milan was called Deerfoot for good reason. From the time he joined the Washington organization in 1907 at the age of 20, until he left it in 1922 at the age of 35 , he was a basestealing menace - he led the league twice, and stole 40 or more bases five times - a talent that kept him in the lineup despite a less-than-impressive fielding record (FA .953).

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | AT | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | HR | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | PAB | PAB | PAB | PAB |
| Milan | 6373 | .135 | .002 | .081 | .218 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Speed plus the ability to get on base (OBP .353) was Milan's offensive headline. In an era that placed a high value on the ability to run, players like him were prized. He was also a good contact hitter and one of the most durable outfielders in the period.

## Willie Keller

Born 1872; Height 5.05; Weight 140; T-L; B-L; HOF 1939

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | .300 | .300 | .500 |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 | 136 | 589 | 209 | 121 | 2 | 41 | .355 | .443 | .278 |
| 1901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 | 132 | 556 | 188 | 86 | 0 | 38 | .338 | .396 | .223 |
| 1902 | 132 | 515 | 164 | 95 | 0 | 32 | .318 | .373 | .247 |
| 1903 | 264 | 1071 | 352 | 181 | 0 | 70 | .329 | .385 | .234 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- | 143 | 543 | 186 | 76 | 2 | 38 | .343 | .409 | .214 |
| 1904 | 149 | 560 | 169 | 77 | 4 | 34 | .302 | .363 | .205 |
| 1905 | 152 | 592 | 180 | 94 | 2 | 31 | .304 | .338 | .215 |
| 1906 | 107 | 423 | 99 | 50 | 0 | 17 | .234 | .255 | .158 |
| 1907 | 91 | 323 | 85 | 37 | 1 | 13 | .263 | .288 | .158 |
| 1908 | 99 | 360 | 95 | 43 | 1 | 31 | .264 | .319 | .208 |
| 1909 | 741 | 2801 | 814 | 377 | 10 | 164 | .291 | .336 | .197 |
| Total | 1160 | 4471 | 1378 | 684 | 12 | 275 | .308 | .362 | .217 |
| Period | 962 | 4114 | 1569 | 1009 | 22 | 501 | .383 | .481 | .372 |
| Other | 2122 | 8585 | 2947 | 1693 | 34 | 776 | .343 | .419 | .292 |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BEST 123+ GAMES | 136 | 589 | 209 | 121 | 2 | 41 | .355 | .443 | .278 |
| 1901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 10 | .500 | 5 | 5 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 589 | .278 | 164 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1071 | .234 | 251 |  |
| TOTAL | 1670 |  | 420 |  |
| CLASS V | 2801 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4471 |  | 420 |  |

William Henry (Wee Willie) Keeler was 29 in 1901 with nine brilliant seasons behind him as a batsman. He broke in with the Giants and Dodgers before moving to his first NL baseball home with Baltimore. In 1899, along with his manager, Ned Hanlon, and a few teammates, Willie moved to Brooklyn for a four-year stint, which is where he was playing when the subject period began.

Willie jumped to the new AL in 1903 and accepted the money lures of New York, becoming in the process the first man in baseball history to get a $\$ 10,000$ salary. Willie had seven years in the AL, then returned to the team of his pal, John McGraw. He finished his 19-year career with the Giants in 1910 - he was 38 when he retired.

Although a lefty all the way, Keeler broke in as an infielder and didn't give serious time to the outfield until 1894, when Baltimore manager Ned Hanlon put him in right field. But that didn't totally stop Keeler's unorthodox defensive assignments - in five later seasons he appeared in one or more infield positions. He was an acceptable defensive player (FA .955), but it was his ability to hit that drew attention - in 1901, his career BA was 383 (BA . 424 in 1897).

| Per at Bat (PAB) | 1901-19-OUTFIELDERS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | $\boldsymbol{T O T A L}$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Keeler | 4471 | .153 | .003 | .061 | .217 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

"Hit 'em where they ain't" is the phrase that immortalized Willie's batting philosophy. During the 1901-1919 period he aimed, chopped and bunted his way to one of the top ten BAs within the outfield group and, despite advancing age, continued to be an effective base stealer. He had seven seasons during the era of $100+$ games, and in six of them his BA was over .300 . He won no batting titles as he had in earlier years but, albeit at a less brilliant level, he continued to hit the ball with more regularity than most. At age 35, his batting skills declined sharply.

Keeler played about half of his career in the subject period. His performance therein did not justify his selection in 1939 to the HOF. But in the opinion of electors, his record over his total career did.

Based upon the above evidence, it seems obvious that the productivity of Keeler was not the reason for his election to the HOF, nor was extraordinary defensive ability a part of the equation. He, it seems, represents another instance of the "durability plus special skills" formula which can lead to a HOF appointment, regardless of productivity. In Keeler's case, the formula was easy to fill in. His career ABs were well above average for the era, which establishes the entry qualification.

Special abilities admired by baseball people? With Willie, it had to be contact hitting. His career BA of .343 is one of the ten highest in history. As further support for his selection, it didn't hurt that Willie's base-stealing talents were also impressive.

## Jimmy Slagle

Born 1873; Height 5.07; Weight 150; T-R; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | $G$ | $A B$ | $H$ | $R^{*}$ | $H R$ | $R B I^{*}$ | $B A$ | $S A$ | $P A B$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-.317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None <br> CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-.284 <br> 1903 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS IV <br> PAB .219-.251 <br> 1905 | 139 | 543 | 162 | 104 | 0 | 44 | .298 | .357 | .273 |
| CLASS V | 155 | 568 | 153 | 96 | 0 | 37 | .269 | .317 | .234 |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 114 | 438 | 106 | 54 | 1 | 26 | .242 | .288 | .185 |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1902 | 115 | 454 | 143 | 64 | 0 | 28 | .315 | .357 | .203 |
| 1904 | 120 | 481 | 125 | 72 | 1 | 30 | .260 | .333 | .214 |
| 1906 | 127 | 498 | 119 | 71 | 0 | 33 | .239 | .279 | .209 |
| 1907 | 136 | 489 | 126 | 71 | 0 | 32 | 258 | .294 | .211 |
| 1908 | 104 | 352 | 78 | 38 | 0 | 26 | .222 | .239 | .182 |
| Total | 716 | 2712 | 697 | 370 | 2 | 175 | .257 | .301 | .202 |
| Period | 1010 | 3823 | 1012 | 570 | 2 | 256 | .265 | .311 | .217 |
| Other | 288 | 1173 | 328 | 207 | 0 | 86 | .280 | .337 | .250 |
| Career | 1298 | 4996 | 1340 | 777 | 2 | 342 | .268 | .317 | .224 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 139 | 543 | 162 | 104 | 0 | 44 | .298 | .357 | .273 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | AB | PAB | MVP | MTP |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 543 | .273 | 148 |  |
| CLASS IV | 568 | .234 | 133 |  |
| TOTAL | 1111 |  | 281 |  |
| CLASS V | 2712 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3823 |  | 281 |  |

Jimmy Slagle, 28 years old with two years of major league experience, spent the 1901 season with the Phillies and Braves. He was in Chicago in 1902 and he stayed with the Cubs until his retirement in 1908 - he was 35 when he quit.

Slagle played a full season only once during his entire 10 -year career. He played all the outfield positions without distinction (FA .950).

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Slagle | 3823 | .149 | .001 | .067 | .217 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Like so many of his contemporaries, Slagle was a fair contact hitter who could run well 17 to 40 stolen bases in a year.

## Charlie Hemphill

Born 1876; Height 5.09; Weight 160; T-L; B-L

* $=$ Net of home runs

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
G & A B & H & R^{*} & H R & R B I^{*} & B A & S A & P A B
\end{array}
$$

CLASS I
PAB.318+
None
CLASS II
PAB .285-. 317
None
CLASS III
PAB .252-. 284

| 1902 | 128 | 510 | 157 | 75 | 6 | 63 | .308 | .418 | .282 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | $\boldsymbol{G}$ | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}^{*}$ | $\boldsymbol{B A}$ | $\boldsymbol{S A}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1906 | 154 | 585 | 169 | 86 | 4 | 58 | .289 | .383 | .253 |
| Total | 282 | 1095 | 326 | 161 | 10 | 121 | .298 | .399 | .267 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-.251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 136 | 545 | 142 | 68 | 3 | 59 | .261 | .332 | .239 |
| 1911 | 69 | 201 | 57 | 31 | 1 | 14 | .284 | .338 | .229 |
| Total | 205 | 746 | 199 | 99 | 4 | 73 | .267 | .334 | .236 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1903 | 105 | 383 | 94 | 33 | 3 | 26 | .245 | .300 | .162 |
| 1904 | 114 | 438 | 112 | 45 | 2 | 43 | .256 | .308 | .205 |
| 1907 | 153 | 603 | 156 | 66 | 0 | 38 | .259 | .322 | .172 |
| 1908 | 142 | 505 | 150 | 62 | 0 | 44 | .297 | .356 | .210 |
| 1909 | 73 | 181 | 44 | 23 | 0 | 10 | .243 | .282 | .182 |
| 1910 | 102 | 351 | 84 | 45 | 0 | 21 | .239 | .288 | .188 |
| Total | 689 | 2461 | 640 | 274 | 5 | 182 | .260 | .315 | .187 |
| Period | 1176 | 4302 | 1165 | 534 | 19 | 376 | .271 | .340 | .216 |
| Other | 66 | 239 | 65 | 24 | 3 | 23 | .272 | .359 | .209 |
| Career | 1242 | 4541 | 1230 | 558 | 22 | 399 | .271 | .341 | .216 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1902 | 128 | 510 | 157 | 75 | 6 | 63 | .308 | .418 | .282 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 1095 | .267 | 292 |  |
| CLASS IV | 746 | .236 | 176 |  |
| TOTAL | 1841 |  | 468 |  |
| CLASS V | 2461 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4302 |  | 468 |  |

Charlie Hemphill was 25 when he opened the 1901 season with the Red Sox. It was his second year in the major leagues. He stayed in the AL for the balance of his career, playing for Cleveland, St. Louis and New York. He was 35 when in 1911 he retired.

Hemphill opened his career as a right fielder and finished it in center field. He was no star in either position, as his career FA of .943 attests.
Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—OUtfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Hemphill | 4302 | .124 | .004 | .088 | .216 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Hemphill was a fair contact hitter with good speed on the bases (42 steals in 1908).

## Shad Barry

Born 1876; Height ?; Weight ?; T-R; B-R

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .318+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .285-. 317 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .252-. 284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 152 | 598 | 182 | 99 | 1 | 65 | . 304 | . 371 | . 276 |
| CLASS IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .219-. 251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 78 | 292 | 69 | 37 | 1 | 27 | . 236 | . 288 | . 223 |
| 1902 | 138 | 543 | 156 | 62 | 3 | 54 | . 287 | . 363 | . 219 |
| 1903 | 138 | 550 | 152 | 74 | 1 | 59 | . 276 | . 344 | . 244 |
| Total | 354 | 1385 | 377 | 173 | 5 | 140 | . 272 | . 340 | . 230 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1904 | 108 | 385 | 94 | 43 | 1 | 28 | . 244 | . 286 | . 187 |
| 1906 | 135 | 516 | 139 | 63 | 1 | 44 | . 269 | . 335 | . 209 |
| 1907 | 81 | 292 | 72 | 30 | 0 | 19 | . 247 | . 277 | . 168 |
| 1908 | 111 | 335 | 71 | 29 | 0 | 16 | . 212 | . 251 | . 134 |
| Total | 435 | 1528 | 376 | 165 | 2 | 107 | . 246 | . 293 | . 179 |
| Period | 941 | 3511 | 935 | 437 | 8 | 312 | . 266 | . 325 | . 216 |
| Other | 159 | 501 | 137 | 69 | 2 | 68 | . 273 | . 365 | . 277 |
| Career | 1100 | 4012 | 1072 | 506 | 10 | 380 | . 267 | . 330 | . 223 |
| BEST 123+ GAMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1905 | 152 | 598 | 182 | 99 | 1 | 65 | . 304 | . 371 | . 276 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P} \boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 598 | .276 | 165 |  |
| CLASS IV | 1385 | .230 | 319 |  |
| TOTAL | 1983 |  | 484 |  |
| CLASS V | 1528 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3511 |  | 484 |  |

John (Shad) Barry's NL career started in 1899 and finished in 1908. He was 23 when he started with Washington (NL); then he played for the Braves, Phillies, Cubs, Reds, Cardinals and Giants before he retired.

Barry, a utility man, was mostly an outfielder but eventually played most positions during the course of his career. He used a glove well enough to keep himself in the majors for ten years.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Barry | 3511 | .124 | .002 | .090 | .216 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Shad Barry was athletic and useful during his short career.

## George Browne

Born 1876; Height 5.11; Weight 160; T-R; B-L; Led National League: 1904-R

* $=$ Net of home runs

|  | G | $A B$ | H | $R^{*}$ | HR | $R B I^{*}$ | BA | SA | PAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASS I <br> PAB.318+ <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS II <br> PAB .285-. 317 <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS III <br> PAB .252-. 284 <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLASS IV } \\ & \text { PAB .219-. } 251 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1901 | 8 | 26 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 | . 192 | . 231 | . 231 |
| 1902 | 123 | 497 | 142 | 71 | 0 | 40 | . 286 | . 342 | . 223 |
| 1903 | 141 | 591 | 185 | 102 | 3 | 42 | . 313 | . 372 | . 249 |
| 1904 | 150 | 596 | 169 | 95 | 4 | 35 | . 284 | . 347 | . 225 |
| 1905 | 127 | 536 | 157 | 91 | 4 | 39 | . 293 | . 397 | . 250 |
| 1911 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | . 333 | . 333 | . 250 |
| Total | 557 | 2258 | 662 | 362 | 11 | 162 | . 293 | . 363 | . 237 |
| CLASS V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAB .218- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1906 | 122 | 477 | 126 | 61 | 0 | 38 | . 264 | . 302 | . 208 |
| 1907 | 127 | 458 | 119 | 49 | 5 | 32 | . 260 | . 360 | . 188 |
| 1908 | 138 | 536 | 122 | 60 | 1 | 33 | . 228 | . 274 | . 175 |
| 1909 | 115 | 432 | 115 | 46 | 1 | 16 | . 266 | . 336 | . 146 |
| 1910 | 37 | 134 | 31 | 18 | 0 | 4 | . 231 | . 276 | . 164 |
| 1912 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 200 | . 200 | . 000 |
| Total | 545 | 2042 | 514 | 234 | 7 | 123 | . 252 | . 313 | . 178 |
| Period | 1102 | 4300 | 1176 | 596 | 18 | 285 | . 273 | . 339 | . 209 |
| Career | 1102 | 4300 | 1176 | 596 | 18 | 285 | . 273 | . 339 | . 209 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BEST 123+ GAMES } \\ & 1905 \end{aligned}$ | 127 | 536 | 157 | 91 | 4 | 39 | . 293 | . 397 | . 250 |

## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS IV | 2258 | .237 | 535 |  |
| TOTAL | 2258 |  | 535 |  |
| CLASS V | 2042 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4300 |  | 535 |  |

Browne entered major league baseball at 25 years of age in 1901 with the Phillies, who, in 1902, sold him to the Giants. He spent five seasons with New York before an eight-man deal in 1908 sent him to the Braves. His stay in Boston was short. Over the next four years, Browne played for the Cubs, Senators, White Sox, Dodgers and Phillies. He retired in 1912-35 years old.

Browne was essentially a right fielder, and not a very good one - FA of .927. And he was not much more impressive with a bat in his hands.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19—Outfielders

|  | $\boldsymbol{A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{H R}$ | $\boldsymbol{R B I}$ | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{B A T}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ |
| Browne | 4300 | .139 | .004 | .066 | .209 |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Browne, a fair contact hitter (BA .273), also ran well, a combination of talents that helped him as a scorer. But it wasn't enough to extend the career of the poor-fielding outfielder.

## Burt Shotton

Born 1884; Height 5.11; Weight 175; T-R; B-L; Led American League: 1913-BB; 1916-AB, BB

* $=$ Net of home runs



## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T P} \boldsymbol{P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CLASS II | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASS IV | 549 | .240 | 132 |  |
| TOTAL | 549 |  | 132 |  |
| CLASS V | 4142 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 4691 |  | 132 |  |

Burt Shotton joined the Browns in 1909 when he was 25 years old. He spent eight years with that organization. Then, in 1918, he moved to Washington for a year in transit to the St. Louis Cardinals. At the end of the subject period, he was still active with that club and later retired in St. Louis - he was 39 when in 1923 he ended his 14 -year playing career.

Shotton split his time between center and left field. His career FA of .942 is unimpressive.

$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrr}
\text { PER AT BAT (PAB) } & \text { 1901-19——OUTFIELDERS } \\
& \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{T} & \boldsymbol{R} & \boldsymbol{H R} & \boldsymbol{R B I} & \boldsymbol{T O T A L} \\
& \boldsymbol{B A T} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} & \boldsymbol{P A B} \\
\hline \text { Shotton } & 4691 & .149 & .001 & .056 & .206 \\
\text { Sample average } & 4796 & .141 & .007 & .104 & .252
\end{array}
$$

He was a fair contact hitter with a high on base average (OBP .365) - he led the league in walks once. In his prime, he stole 40 bases a year, hence his above-average scoring record.

Burt Shotton is most remembered as a manager.
Lee Magee
Born 1889; Height 5.11; Weight 165; T-R; B-B

* $=$ Net of home runs



## Calculation of Domination Points, MTP/MVP

|  | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A B}$ | $\boldsymbol{M V P}$ | $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{T P}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CLASS I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| CLASS II | 452 | .292 | 132 |  |
| CLASS III | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CLASSS IV | 69 | .246 | 17 |  |
| TOTAL | 521 |  | 149 |  |
| CLASS V | 3218 |  | 0 |  |
| PERIOD | 3739 |  | 149 |  |

Leopold Christopher Hoernschemeyer somehow or another became Lee Magee by the time (1911) he reached the Cardinals. He had a nine-year career that ended in 1919. In the NL he played for the Cardinals, Cubs and Dodgers; in the Federal League, for Brooklyn; in the AL, for New York and St. Louis. He was 30 when he quit.

Magee was a utility man who spent most of his time in the outfield. His FA is meaningless because he moved so often from position to position. Like most journeymen of the time, he ran well when he got on base.

Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19-OUtfielders

|  | AT <br> BAT | $\boldsymbol{R}$ | $\boldsymbol{P A R}$ | RBI | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 3739 | .122 | .003 | .071 | .196 |
| Magee | $379 \boldsymbol{P A B}$ | PAB |  |  |  |
| Sample average | 4796 | .141 | .007 | .104 | .252 |

Magee was the lowest-rated outfielder in the survey.

# Outfielders - Summary in Domination Point (DP) Sequence 

* Net of home runs
${ }^{* *}$ HOF

| Player | $A B$ | $R^{*}$ | $P A B$ | RBI* | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { PAB } \end{array}$ | BA | SA | MTP | MVP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg. player | 4796 | . 141 | . 007 | . 104 | . 252 | . 285 | . 382 |  |  |
| SUPERSTAR DP 2007+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cobb T** | 7296 | . 185 | . 009 | . 160 | . 354 | . 372 | . 517 | 2470 | 2588 |
| Crawford ${ }^{* *}$ | 9064 | . 133 | . 010 | . 150 | . 293 | . 311 | . 453 | 2009 | 2520 |
| Magee S | 7441 | . 138 | . 011 | . 148 | . 297 | . 291 | . 427 | 1440 | 2180 |
| STAR DP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1484-2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Speaker T** | 5981 | . 168 | . 008 | . 132 | . 308 | . 338 | . 476 | 1381 | 1822 |
| Leach T | 7381 | . 163 | . 008 | . 092 | . 263 | . 270 | . 372 | 583 | 1660 |
| Clarke F** | 5075 | . 178 | . 006 | . 110 | . 294 | . 302 | . 420 | 913 | 1492 |
| ABOVE AVG. DP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 961-1483 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheckard J | 6343 | . 159 | . 007 | . 091 | . 257 | . 271 | . 373 | 541 | 1461 |
| Jackson J | 4411 | . 165 | . 010 | . 140 | . 315 | . 353 | . 509 | 1111 | 1388 |
| Seymour C | 5013 | . 121 | . 009 | . 137 | . 267 | . 307 | . 412 | 368 | 1324 |
| Beaumont G | 4659 | . 157 | . 007 | . 106 | . 270 | . 311 | . 392 | 600 | 1269 |
| Schulte W | 6531 | . 124 | . 014 | . 112 | . 250 | . 270 | . 395 | 459 | 1233 |
| Titus J | 4960 | . 141 | . 008 | . 105 | . 254 | . 282 | . 385 | 350 | 1148 |
| Wheat $\mathrm{Z}^{* *}$ | 5166 | . 113 | . 010 | . 116 | . 239 | . 299 | . 419 | 0 | 1139 |
| Veach B | 3847 | . 129 | . 005 | . 160 | . 294 | . 304 | . 424 | 752 | 1131 |
| Cravath G | 3905 | . 117 | . 030 | . 151 | . 298 | . 287 | . 478 | 720 | 1075 |
| Hooper $\mathrm{H}^{* *}$ | 5734 | . 152 | . 004 | . 074 | . 230 | . 269 | . 358 | 0 | 1060 |
| Flick E** | 4118 | . 154 | . 007 | . 107 | . 268 | . 304 | . 433 | 339 | 1042 |
| Lewis D | 4884 | . 109 | . 007 | 140 | . 256 | . 287 | . 391 | 331 | 1021 |
| Anderson J | 3978 | . 113 | . 005 | . 135 | . 253 | . 286 | . 380 | 181 | 1006 |
| BELOW AVG. <br> DP 438-960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seybold S | 3604 | . 116 | . 014 | . 138 | . 268 | . 296 | . 426 | 482 | 957 |
| Paskert D | 5438 | . 141 | . 007 | . 085 | . 233 | . 269 | . 360 | 17 | 924 |
| Thomas R | 4218 | . 174 | . 002 | . 050 | . 226 | . 282 | . 329 | 0 | 922 |
| Milan C | 6373 | . 135 | . 002 | . 081 | . 218 | . 283 | . 347 | 183 | 902 |
| Graney J | 4388 | . 144 | . 004 | . 085 | . 233 | . 249 | . 342 | 0 | 893 |
| Hofman S | 4072 | . 131 | . 005 | . 117 | . 253 | . 269 | . 352 | 232 | 848 |
| Burns G | 4075 | . 154 | . 006 | . 080 | . 240 | . 289 | . 388 | 0 | 845 |
| Murray R | 4334 | . 119 | . 009 | . 125 | . 253 | . 270 | . 379 | 520 | 838 |
| Jones F | 4308 | . 159 | . 002 | . 086 | . 247 | . 268 | . 326 | 335 | 828 |
| Jones D | 3772 | . 168 | . 002 | . 075 | . 245 | . 270 | . 325 | 0 | 786 |
| Hartsel T | 4633 | . 166 | . 006 | . 063 | . 235 | . 275 | . 370 | 162 | 726 |
| Mitchell M | 4094 | . 119 | . 007 | . 119 | . 245 | . 278 | . 380 | 321 | 725 |
| Bescher B | 4536 | . 159 | . 006 | . 070 | . 235 | . 258 | . 351 | 51 | 722 |
| Dougherty P | 4558 | . 145 | . 004 | . 087 | . 236 | . 284 | . 360 | 71 | 710 |
|  |  |  | PAB |  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |


| Player | AB | $R^{*}$ | HR | RBI ${ }^{*}$ | PAB | BA | SA | MTP | MVP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carey M** | 4696 | . 148 | . 006 | . 073 | . 227 | . 273 | . 366 | 179 | 684 |
| Bates J | 3921 | . 140 | . 006 | . 100 | . 246 | . 277 | . 376 | 475 | 682 |
| Strunk A | 3800 | . 135 | . 003 | . 098 | . 236 | . 281 | . 374 | 180 | 615 |
| Collins S | 4292 | . 113 | . 004 | . 108 | . 225 | . 257 | . 361 | 0 | 603 |
| Wilson O | 4624 | . 110 | . 013 | . 110 | . 223 | . 269 | . 391 | 167 | 593 |
| Oldring R | 4690 | . 126 | . 006 | . 094 | . 226 | . 270 | . 364 | 167 | 560 |
| Browne G | 4300 | . 139 | . 004 | . 066 | . 209 | . 273 | . 339 | 0 | 535 |
| Oakes R | 3617 | . 114 | . 004 | . 106 | . 224 | . 279 | . 346 | 0 | 526 |
| Barry S | 3511 | . 124 | . 002 | . 090 | . 216 | . 266 | . 325 | 0 | 484 |
| Hemphill C | 4302 | . 124 | . 004 | . 088 | . 216 | . 271 | . 340 | 0 | 468 |
| POOR DP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 437- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Keeler W ${ }^{* *}$ | 4471 | . 153 | . 003 | . 061 | . 217 | . 308 | . 362 | 5 | 420 |
| McIntyre M | 3958 | . 141 | . 001 | . 080 | . 222 | . 269 | . 343 | 0 | 390 |
| Slagle J | 3823 | . 149 | . 001 | . 067 | . 217 | . 265 | . 311 | 0 | 281 |
| Magee L | 3739 | . 122 | . 003 | . 071 | 196 | . 275 | 349 | 132 | 149 |
| Shotton B | 4691 | . 149 | . 001 | . 056 | . 206 | . 273 | . 336 | 0 | 132 |
| Average | 961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD | 522 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 BEST PAB SEASONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cobb T**-1911 | 591 | . 235 | . 014 | . 230 | . 479 | . 420 | . 621 |  |  |
| Magee S-1910 | 519 | . 200 | . 012 | . 225 | . 437 | . 331 | . 507 |  |  |
| Speaker T**1912 | 580 | . 217 | . 017 | . 152 | . 386 | . 383 | . 567 |  |  |
| Crawford S**1911 | 574 | . 178 | . 012 | . 188 | . 378 | . 378 | . 526 |  |  |
| Sheckard J-1901 | 558 | . 188 | . 020 | . 167 | . 375 | . 353 | . 536 |  |  |
| Jackson J-1912 | 572 | . 207 | . 005 | . 152 | . 364 | . 395 | . 579 |  |  |
| Seymour C-1905 | 581 | . 150 | . 014 | . 194 | . 358 | . 377 | . 559 |  |  |
| Cravath G-1913 | 525 | . 112 | . 036 | . 208 | . 356 | . 341 | . 568 |  |  |
| Schulte W-1911 | 577 | . 146 | . 036 | . 173 | . 355 | . 300 | . 534 |  |  |
| Flick E**-1901 | 542 | . 192 | . 015 | . 147 | . 354 | . 336 | . 500 |  |  |

The year 1901 again produced some distorted results. Sheckard's best PAB after 1901 was . 320 (1903). Thereafter, he was mostly a Class IV producer. Flick's record has a similar problem. After 1901, most of his numbers fell into the Class III and Class IV PAB classifications.

Except for the above comments, the 10-Best PAB Seasons list features the robust talents of superior players.

## The Best of the Best

| Sample size | 48 |
| :--- | :--- |
| NL | 27 |
| AL | 21 |
| Most durable-top 5 | Crawford, Magee, Leach, Cobb, Schulte |
| Top five BA | Cobb, Jackson, Speaker, Crawford, Beaumont |
| Top five SA | Cobb, Jackson, Cravath, Speaker, Crawford |
| Top five scorers (R) | Cobb, Clarke, Thomas, Speaker, Jones |
| Top five power hitters (HR) | Cravath, Schulte, Seybold, Wilson, Magee |
| Top five clutch hitters (RBI) | Cobb, Veach, Cravath, Crawford, Magee |
| Top five seasons | Cobb, Speaker, Magee, Crawford, Sheckard |
| Most talented producer (MTP)-top 5 | Cobb, Crawford, Magee, Speaker, Jackson |
| Most valuable producer (MVP)-top 5 | Cobb, Crawford, Magee, Speaker, Leach |

Nine HOF players performed during the subject era: Cobb, Crawford, Speaker, Clarke, Wheat, Hooper, Flick, Carey and Keeler. And two dominant players were ignored by HOF electors: Magee and Leach. The comparative records of these men during the subject period appear below:

| DP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Player | Rank | $A B$ | BA | SA | PAB | FA |
| LF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarke (1) | 6 | 5075 | . 302 | . 420 | . 294 | . 950 |
| Wheat (2) | 13 | 5166 | . 299 | . 419 | . 239 | . 966 |
| Magee | 3 | 7441 | . 291 | . 427 | . 297 | . 971 |
| CF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cobb (2) | 1 | 7296 | . 372 | . 517 | . 354 | . 962 |
| Speaker (2) | 4 | 5981 | . 338 | . 475 | . 308 | . 971 |
| Carey (2) | 34 | 4696 | . 273 | . 366 | . 227 | . 966 |
| Leach | 5 | 7381 | . 270 | . 372 | . 263 | *. 959 |
| RF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crawford | 2 | 9064 | . 311 | . 453 | . 293 | . 969 |
| Hooper (2) | 16 | 5734 | . 269 | . 358 | . 230 | . 966 |
| Flick (1) | 17 | 4118 | . 304 | . 433 | . 268 | . 947 |
| Keeler (1) | 43 | 4471 | . 308 | . 362 | . 217 | . 955 |
| ${ }^{*}$ As an outfielder |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Career began in 1890s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Career extended into 1920s |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cobb, Crawford, Speaker and Clarke were relatively easy HOF choices.
Zack Wheat blossomed during the live-ball era, played effectively until the mid-1920s and accumulated more than nine thousand ABs. Presumably, those were the factors that took him to Cooperstown.

Max Carey played until 1929 and also accumulated over nine thousand ABs. His durability plus his fielding skills were apparently his ticket to immortality.

Hooper played extensively into the 1920s, and Flick and Keeler did the same in the 1890s. Keeler had a BA of . 424 in 1897 and did almost as well in the next year, accomplishments that must have influenced HOF electors. But neither he, nor the other two, rated highly as producers during their careers.

Why Magee was overlooked is a mystery. He was one of the most dominant players in the era. The same can be said for Leach, although not so intensively. He moved between infield and outfield, which may have worked against him.

## Summary, 1901-1919

And so the review of 1901-19 ends. It was an interesting time. Rules were being finalized and offensive players were essentially measured on how well they controlled a bat and ran the bases. Power hitting was rare. What later became known as "little-ball" was the standard form of the game - get on base; steal, hit-and-run. It was exciting; it was the beginning.

These early years produced some mind-boggling numbers that gradually became more real as the game stabilized and as competitions became equalized. Large names appeared that are still a part of baseball lore - Honus Wagner and Ty Cobb, for example, led a small pack of highly talented performers.

First basemen and catchers were uninspiring in numbers, and produced few luminaries, but infielders and outfielders didn't disappoint.

> Per at Bat (PAB) 1901-19
> Sample Averages

|  | No. | $\begin{array}{r} A T \\ B A T \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} R \\ P A B \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} H R \\ P A B \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBI } \\ & P A B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ P A B \end{array}$ | BA | SA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Basemen | 15 | 5072 | . 123 | . 007 | . 116 | . 246 | . 281 | . 376 |
| Catchers | 13 | 3210 | . 091 | . 003 | . 097 | . 191 | . 247 | . 316 |
| Infielders | 44 | 4832 | . 129 | . 005 | . 107 | . 241 | . 275 | . 360 |
| Outfielders | 48 | 4796 | 141 | . 007 | . 104 | . 252 | . 285 | . 38 |

HOF choices for first basemen from the period were, from a production standpoint, controversial. Frank Chance, a part-time first baseman, was selected; Hal Chase and Harry Davis were not. It's difficult to believe that any manager of the era would not choose either of the latter two over Chance.

The same situation exists with catchers. Roger Bresnahan and Ray Schalk were chosen. No comment is made about the choice of Schalk (the 1901-19 era covered about half of his career) except to say he was not an impressive producer in his early years. But the selection of Bresnahan at the expense of Johnny Kling seems dead wrong.

Bresnahan was not a full-time catcher in the first place. Compared with relatively low-producing full-time catchers, he looks good. In any other comparison he doesn't. Kling, on the other hand, was clearly the production leader of the full-time catchers. It would have been understandable if no catchers from this era were chosen for the HOF. But if one man was to be selected, it should have been Kling, not Bresnahan.

Infielder choices were also controversial. Selecting Wagner, Lajoie, Eddie Collins and Frank Baker was easy. It wasn't much of a stretch to pick Evers in 1946, who played deeply into the 1920s - one suspects that his was the broad back that carried his partners in the famed doubleplay combination (Tinkers and Chance) into the HOF in the same year.

Wallace played for 18 years in the subject period. He was a superior shortstop and a weak producer. Is that a HOF combination? Jimmy Collins had a 14 -year career, eight of which fell into this era. His supporters refer to him as a fielding wizard. Perhaps he was (he played for six years in the 1890s when equipment and playing conditions were poor), but his production numbers were poor. Is that a HOF combination?

Doyle and Zimmerman were not shoo-in choices for Cooperstown, but in 1901-19 they outproduced Jimmy Collins, Evers, Tinker and Wallace. They were two of the six best offensive players in the era and they were defensively adequate.

The Doyle case is especially troubling. He was a Star performer in 1901-19 - more durable than Frank Baker, and almost as productive. The second baseman's career covered the 1907-20 period. He compiled a FA of .949. Johnny Evers, a HOF second baseman from the same era, had a career FA of .953. This establishes the point that Doyle was a competent fielder. He was also about equal to Evers in durability. His MTP rating was 151 percent higher, and his MVP rating was 24 percent higher. Analysis clearly indicates the fifth-best offensive performer in 1901-19 should have been elected to the HOF.

Heinie Zimmerman was also a superior performer during the subject era. His career spanned the 1907-19 period. Primarily a third baseman ( 65 percent), he also played the other infield positions. His career FA was .933 . HOF third baseman Frank Baker had a career FA of .943, which suggest that Zimmerman was not a fielding wizard (he failed to anchor a single position), but it's also apparent that, given his offensive skills, he was an acceptable defensive risk. And his durability ( ABs ) was about the same as Baker's. Over the years, HOF electors have shown a bias against men who moved from position to position. Perhaps that is why the sixthhighest rated infielder on offense was kept from the HOF. Others can reasonably disagree.

Controversy continues in the outfielder section. Picking Cobb, Crawford, Speaker and Clarke for the HOF was not difficult. In the light of the 1919 gambling scandal, the omission of Jackson is understandable (although, many believe, unfair). But thereafter, HOF decisions are not self-explanatory. Magee and Leach, for example, two of the six-best offensive outfielders, were ignored. On the other hand, Wheat, Hooper, Flick (above average), Carey (below average) and Keeler (poor) were selected.

Magee, an offensive Superstar, was primarily a left fielder. His career covered the 1904-19 period - only Sam Crawford was more durable. He compiled a FA of .971. Fred Clarke (FA .950, depressed due to playing seven years in the 1890s) and Zack Wheat (FA .966) were elected to the HOF. This comparison simply demonstrates that Magee was not an iron glove in the field. That being the case, it seems evident that this durable, high-producing and adequate fielding outfielder should have been sent to Cooperstown.

Tommy Leach's career spanned the 1898-1918 period (he actually retired in 1915 and returned for a cup of coffee in 1918). During his early years, Leach was a third baseman. But from 1909 on, he was primarily an outfielder - overall, he played about half of his games in the outfield. It seems apparent that his bat kept him in the lineup; he never completely nailed a defensive position. Presumably, that's why HOF electors turned away from him. Some might disagree because of his production record; others will understand. That's baseball.

Wheat and Hooper played well into the 1920s and both did well with the live ball. Wheat, for example, had a PAB of .239 during the subject period compared to one of .300 in the 1920san increase of 26 percent; Hooper went from a PAB of .230 to one of .280 - an increase of 22 percent. This, plus their general durability, could explain their selection. In fact, however, when compared with their peers during their younger years, these men were not HOF producers.

Elmer Flick was essentially a right fielder, 1898-1910. His career record as a producer is not impressive, but he had individual accomplishments that apparently drew the attention of HOF electors. He led the league in BA in 1906; triples in 1906-07; runs scored, 1906; and stolen bases, 1904-06. He was one of the nine men in the outfield sample with a BA above .300. These were good, but not conclusive, arguments for choosing Flick.

Max Carey wasn't offensively impressive in 1901-19, but his career extended to 1929-20 years and 9,363 ABs. A good on-base average (.361) plus speed on the bases (one of the best base stealers in history) and defensive excellence: all of this, combined with his durability, took

Carey to the HOF. Few would argue. But some would, on the grounds that no HOF player should be deficient in one of the most important aspects of the game - production.

Finally, the choice of Willie Keeler was primarily based on his performance in the 1890s (BA from .361-. 424 in 1894-1900). His early numbers boosted his career BA to .343, a number that places him with baseball's elite. HOF electors couldn't turn their backs on that even though his offensive performance was mundane in 1901-19.

## All Star Team-Position Players, 1901-19

The selection of the All-Star Team will be done objectively, according to the final ratings for each position, except when departures from that rule can be easily understood from the analysis.

| Player | Position | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Johnny Kling (1) | Catcher | Overlooked by HOF |
| Hal Chase | First base | Overlooked by HOF |
| Nap Lajoie | Second base | HOF 1937 |
| Honus Wagner | Shortstop | HOF 1936 |
| Frank Baker | Third Base | HOF 1955 |
| Ty Cobb | Outfield | HOF 1936 |
| Sam Crawford | Outfield | HOF 1957 |
| Tris Speaker | Outfield | HOF 1937 |
| Roger Bresnahan | Utility catcher | HOF 1945 |
| Eddie Collins | Utility infielder | HOF 1939 |
| Larry Doyle | Utility infielder | Overlooked by HOF |
| Sherry Magee* | Utility outfielder | Overlooked by HOF |
| Tommy Leach | Utility outfielder | Overlooked by HOF |
| Harry Davis | Pinch hit/utility first base | Overlooked by HOF |

* Magee actually rated higher than Speaker in the subject period, but Speaker's full career (ABs 10,208) was much longer and more impressive.

The above table gives readers the chance to compare the results of this analysis with the selections of HOF electors, and it presents food for argument among baseball fans. The reasons for differences have been presented elsewhere.

So ends the analysis of the records of the best offensive players during the final days of the dead-ball era. Leagues were smaller; the talent pool was smaller because the game as a way of life was not as financially attractive as it is today, except for the few top players.

The distribution of talent throughout the classification system demonstrated once again that in any endeavor, many may participate but few are excellent.

| Position | Superstar | Star | Above <br> Average | Below <br> Average | Poor | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Catch | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 13 |
| First base | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 15 |
| Infield | 3 | 2 | 15 | 18 | 6 | 44 |
| Outfield | 1 | 7 | 11 | 21 | 8 | 48 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | 5 | 12 | 35 | 50 | 18 | 120 |
| $\%$ | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Read on, argue and enjoy.
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