

SCI PUBLICATION 183

Design of Semi-Continuous Braced Frames

G H Couchman MA, PhD, AMICE, SIA

Published by:

The Steel Construction Institute
Silwood Park
Ascot
Berkshire SL5 7QN

Tel: 01344 623345

Fax: 01344 622944

© 1997 The Steel Construction Institute

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright Designs and Patents Act, 1988, this publication may not be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction only in accordance with the terms of the licences issued by the UK Copyright Licensing Agency, or in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the appropriate Reproduction Rights Organisation outside the UK.

Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the terms stated here should be sent to the publishers, The Steel Construction Institute, at the address given on the title page.

Although care has been taken to ensure, to the best of our knowledge, that all data and information contained herein are accurate to the extent that they relate to either matters of fact or accepted practice or matters of opinion at the time of publication, The Steel Construction Institute, the authors and the reviewers assume no responsibility for any errors in or misinterpretations of such data and/or information or any loss or damage arising from or related to their use.

Publications supplied to the Members of the Institute at a discount are not for resale by them.

Publication Number: SCI-P-183

ISBN 1 85942 059 1

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

FOREWORD

Semi-continuous construction may allow reduced beam depths or weights when compared with simple construction, whilst maintaining economy both in design effort and fabrication costs. This design guide, which was produced as part of the Eureka 130 CIMSteel project, is aimed at structural engineers and presents a method of analysis and design for steel frames which is suitable for hand (or computer) calculations. In developing the method, a conscious decision was made to keep the procedures as similar as possible to those associated with 'simple design'. The method is compatible with the requirements of BS 5950: Part 1, complying with part (a) of Clause 2.1.2.4.

This guide was written by a collaborative group representing various aspects of the industry, comprising:

Mr D G Brown	The Steel Construction Institute
Dr G H Couchman	The Steel Construction Institute
Mr A F Hughes	Arup
Prof D A Nethercot	University of Nottingham
Mr W Park	QSE
Mr P Purvey	Taylor Woodrow
Mr A J Rathbone	CSC (UK) Ltd
Dr O S Salawu	AceCad Software

Valuable comment was also received from:

Prof D Anderson	University of Warwick
Dr J B Davison	University of Sheffield
Dr P Kirby	University of Sheffield
Mr C Smart	British Steel, Sections, Plates & Commercial Steels

The preparation of this publication was funded by the Department of Trade and Industry, with support from the Steel Construction Industry Federation (SCIF) and British Steel, Sections, Plates & Commercial Steels.

This publication forms one of the deliverables of the CIMSteel project.

[Discuss me ...](#)

CONTENTS

	Page No.
FOREWORD	iii
SUMMARY	vi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Benefits of semi-continuous construction	2
1.2 Scope of the publication	2
2 PRINCIPLES OF SEMI-CONTINUOUS DESIGN	5
2.1 Methods of analysis	5
2.2 Plastic frame analysis and design	5
3 CONNECTIONS	9
3.1 End plate connections	9
3.2 Range of standard connections	9
4 DESIGN FOR THE ULTIMATE LIMIT STATE	11
4.1 Beams	11
4.2 Columns	11
5 DESIGN FOR THE SERVICEABILITY LIMIT STATE	15
5.1 Deflection under imposed load	15
5.2 Deflection under total load	16
5.3 Vibrational response	17
6 DESIGN PROCEDURES	19
6.1 Scheme design	19
6.2 Final design	19
7 REFERENCES	21
APPENDIX A Worked example	23
APPENDIX B Deflection calculations	35
B.1 General principles	35
B.2 Relative stiffness	37
B.3 Derivation of design curve	40
B.4 Validation of procedure	41
APPENDIX C Connection capacity tables	43
C.1 Notes on use of the tables	43
C.2 Example of capacity table use	44
C.3 Standard Connections	45

SUMMARY

In a semi-continuous frame the degree of continuity between the beams and columns is greater than that assumed in *simple design*, but less than that assumed in *continuous design*. The degree of continuity can be chosen to produce the most economic balance between the primary benefits associated with these two traditional alternatives.

This document presents a method of analysis and design which permits semi-continuous braced steel frames to be designed by hand. The method is only marginally more complex than that for *simple design*, and the connection details are straightforward (and therefore inexpensive). Connection forces and moments can be chosen so that column stiffening is not required. Despite this economy of both design effort and fabrication costs, when compared with *simple design*, it is possible to achieve:

- reduced beam depths
- reduced beam weights.

Procedures are given for checks at both the ultimate and serviceability limit states.

For normal design the practising engineer need only consult the main body of the document and the standard connection capacity tables given in Appendix C (yellow pages). A worked example of the approach is included in Appendix A. Appendix B gives a full procedure for estimating deflections more accurately, should this be required.

Dimensionnement de cadres contreventés à assemblages semi-continus

Résumé

Dans un cadre 'semi-continu', le degré de continuité entre les poutres et les poteaux est plus important que pour les cadres à assemblages 'simples', mais inférieur à celui rencontré dans les cadres dits 'continus'. Le degré de continuité peut être choisi pour obtenir la meilleure balance économique entre les avantages associés aux deux alternatives traditionnelles.

Cette brochure présente une méthode d'analyse et de dimensionnement qui permet un calcul manuel des cadres contreventés 'semi-continus'. Cette méthode n'est guère plus complexe que celle utilisée pour les cadres à assemblages simples. En plus, les détails d'assemblages ne sont guère compliqués et, dès lors, sont économiques. Par comparaison avec un dimensionnement basé sur des assemblages 'simples', on peut obtenir:

- *une réduction de la hauteur des poutres;*
- *une réduction du poids des poutres.*

Des procédures sont proposées pour la vérification à l'état ultime et en service.

Dans la plupart des cas habituels, le praticien doit seulement consulter la partie centrale du document et les tables donnant les capacités de résistance des assemblages standards, données à l'annexe C (pages jaunes). Un exemple complet

est donné à l'annexe A. L'annexe B donne une procédure permettant de calculer avec précision les flèches, si cela est nécessaire.

Berechnung von biegeweichen, unverschieblichen Tragwerken

Zusammenfassung

Bei einem biegeweichen Tragwerk ist die Steifigkeit des Anschlusses Träger-Stütze größer als bei einem Gelenk aber geringer als bei Annahme voller Tragfähigkeit. Der Grad der Biegeweichheit kann so gewählt werden, daß die wirtschaftlichste Lösung zwischen den beiden traditionellen Alternativen erreicht wird.

Dieses Dokument stellt eine Methode vor, die es erlaubt, biegeweiche, unverschiebliche Durchlauf- und Rahmentragwerke von Hand zu berechnen. Die Methode ist nur geringfügig aufwendiger als die 'einfache Berechnung' und die Anschlüsse sind einfach (und daher nicht teuer). Anschlußkräfte und Momente können so gewählt werden, daß eine Aussteifung der Stütze entfällt. Trotz der Einsparungen bei Berechnungsaufwand und Herstellungskosten kann gegenüber der 'einfachen Berechnung' folgendes erreicht werden:

- *geringere Trägerhöhen*
- *geringere Trägergewichte.*

Vorgehensweisen für Nachweise im Grenzzustand der Trag- und Gebrauchsfähigkeit werden aufgezeigt.

Für die Berechnung muß der Ingenieur nur den Hauptteil des Dokuments und die Tragfähigkeitstabellen für die Standard-Verbindungen im Anhang C (gelbe Seiten) zu Rate ziehen. Ein Berechnungsbeispiel befindet sich im Anhang A. Anhang B erlaubt eine genauere Abschätzung der Verformungen, falls dies nötig sein sollte.

Progettazione di Telai Controventati Semi-continui

Sommario

Nei telai semi-continui il grado di continuità tra le travi e le colonne risulta superiore a quello dei 'telai pendolari' e inferiore a quello dei 'telai a nodi rigidi'. Tale grado di continuità può essere selezionato in modo da raggiungere un conveniente equilibrio tra i benefici associati alle due tradizionali alternative progettuali ('telaio pendolare e telaio a nodi rigidi').

Questo documento propone un metodo di analisi e progetto per la progettazione manuale di telai semi-continui controventati. Il metodo è solo lievemente più complesso di quello normalmente utilizzato per la progettazione di 'telai pendolari' e i dettagli del collegamento nei telai semi-continui si mantengono comunque semplici (e perciò poco costosi). Le forze e i momenti sul collegamento possono essere selezionate nella fase progettuale in modo che non siano richiesti irrigidimenti nella zona nodale della colonna.

In aggiunta alla convenienza economica, legata sia alla progettazione sia ai contenuti costi, i telai semi-continui controventati, se paragonati ai 'telai pendolari', consentono:

- *una riduzione dell'altezza delle travi*
- *una riduzione dei pesi delle travi.*

Nella pubblicazione vengono presentate le procedure di verifica agli stati limite sia ultimi sia di servizio.

Il corpo centrale del documento e le tabelle con le capacità portanti dei collegamenti riportate nell'Allegato C (pagine gialle) possono essere utilizzate per l'usuale progettazione. L'Allegato A propone un esempio applicativo mentre l'Allegato B presenta invece una procedura completa per la stima accurata della deformata del telaio, nel caso in cui questa sia richiesta.

Proyecto de estructuras aporticadas semi-continuas

Resumen

Como su nombre indica, en un pórtico semicontinuo el grado de continuidad entre vigas y columnas es mayor que el supuesto en los métodos simplificados ('simple design') pero inferior al supuesto en el proyecto continuo. El grado de continuidad puede escogerse para producir el mejor balance económico entre las ventajas asociadas con las dos alternativas tradicionales.

Este documento presenta un método de cálculo y proyecto que permite el diseño manual de estructuras aporticadas semicontinuas. El método tan solo es ligeramente más complicado que el simplificado y los detalles de uniones son inmediatos (y por tanto sin coste adicional). Las fuerzas y momentos en las uniones pueden escogerse de modo que no se precise la rigidización de las columnas.

Además de este ahorro en esfuerzo de proyecto y costes de fabricación, cuando se comparan las soluciones con las del método simplificado es posible conseguir:

- *cantos reducidos en las vigas*
- *peso reducido de las vigas.*

Se dan métodos de comprobación tanto para los estados límites últimos como de servicio.

Para casos normales el projectista solo necesita consultar el núcleo del documento y las tablas de capacidad de uniones tipificadas incluidas en el Apéndice C (páginas amarillas). En el Apéndice A se incluye un ejemplo con detalles del método, mientras que en el Apéndice B se da un método para el cálculo más preciso de flechas cuando ello se estime necesario.

Dimensionering av stommar med elastiskt inspända anslutningar

Sammanfattning

I en elastiskt inspänd anslutning är graden av kontinuitet mellan balkar och pelare högre än vad som antas i en tedad anslutning, men lägre än vad som antas i en fast inspänd anslutning. Graden av kontinuitet kan varieras för att uppnå den mest ekonomiska balansen mellan de viktigaste fördelarna med de båda traditionella metoderna.

Denna publikation visar en dimensioneringsmetod och ett konstruktionsutförande som möjliggör en handberäkning av elastiskt inspända anslutningar. Metoden är endast något mer komplicerad än för ledade anslutningar, och

anslutningsdetaljerna är enkla (och därigenom billiga). Tvärkrafter och moment kan väljas så att förstärkning av pelaren inte är nödvändig. Förutom ett ekonomiskt konstruktionsförfarande och utförande, jämfört med fritt upplagda anslutningar, är det möjligt att uppnå:

- *reducerade balkhöjder*
- *reducerad egentyngd hos konstruktionen.*

Anvisningarna är redovisade för såväl brottgräns som brukdränstillstånd.

Vid normal dimensionering behöver konstruktören endast utnyttja denna publikation inklusive Appendix C (gula sidor) som omfattar tabeller över bärförmåga för standarddetaljer. Ett beräkningsexempel finns i Appendix A. Appendix B ger anvisningar för att uppskatta nedböjningar mer noggrant, då detta krävs.

[Discuss me ...](#)

1 INTRODUCTION

Orthodox building frames generally comprise an assembly of beams and columns. The connections between the beams and columns are traditionally assumed to be either pinned, or able to achieve full moment continuity. Alternatively, it may be assumed that the connections transmit some moment, whilst permitting some relative rotation between the beam and column. The design and detailing of the connections, and the frame design method, must reflect the assumptions made about connection behaviour.

In BS 5950: Part 1⁽¹⁾, several methods of frame design are presented. Quoting from the code, these are:

Simple design

The connections between members are assumed not to develop moments adversely affecting either the members or the structure as a whole. The distribution of forces may be determined assuming that members intersecting at a joint are pin connected..... (Clause 2.1.2.2)

Rigid design

The connections are assumed to be capable of developing the strength and/or stiffness required by an analysis assuming full continuity.... (Clause 2.1.2.3)

Semi-rigid design

Some degree of connection stiffness is assumed, but insufficient to develop full continuity as follows.

(a) The moment and rotation capacity of the joints should be based on experimental evidence....On this basis, the design should satisfy the strength, stability and stiffness requirements of all parts of the structure when partial continuity at the joints is to be taken into account in assessing moments and forces in the members.

(b) As an alternative, in simple beam and column structures an allowance may be made for the inter-restraint of the connections between a beam and a column by an end restraint moment not exceeding 10 % of the free moment applied to the beam.... (Clause 2.1.2.4.)

Whilst *simple design* is used to design *simple structures*, *rigid design* is used for *continuous construction* (see BS 5950: Part 1, Section 5). No specific term is used in the code to describe frames for which *semi-rigid design* is appropriate. Clearly, this terminology is confusing, since the names given to the methods of design are not consistent with the types of construction to which they relate. Changes are likely in an amended version of BS 5950 (to appear in 1998).

For the purposes of this document, the following (rationalised) terminology has been adopted. The same names are given to both methods of design and types of construction:

- simple
- continuous
- semi-continuous.

Coupled with the lack of precision in terminology, BS 5950: Part 1 provides little guidance on the design of semi-continuous frames. The design procedures given in this document satisfy the requirements of BS 5950 Clause 2.1.2.4 (a).

The connections used in semi-continuous construction exhibit characteristics of partial strength, ductility, and either full or semi-rigidity. These terms are explained in Section 2.2.2.

1.1 Benefits of semi-continuous construction

Semi-continuous construction offers the following benefits for braced frames:

- beams may be shallower than in simple construction (this may be particularly advantageous, since it can ease service integration, allow a reduction in building height, and/or allow a reduction in cladding area)
- beams may be lighter than in simple construction
- connections are less complicated than in continuous construction
- frames are more robust than in simple construction.

Savings in beam weight and depth⁽²⁾ are possible because of benefits at both the ultimate (ULS) and serviceability (SLS) limit states. The sagging moment which a beam must resist decreases as connection moment capacity increases. Connection stiffness means that the ends of a beam are restrained against rotation, so for a given deflection limit the bending stiffness of the beam can be reduced.

Disadvantages, compared with simple construction, are:

- an increase in connection cost (compared with the simplest of simple connections)
- a marginal increase in design complexity (although the procedures given in this guide remain essentially the same as for simple design).

Although outside the scope of this publication, unbraced frames may benefit even more than braced frames from semi-continuous construction. The connection characteristics enable wind loading to be resisted, without the extra fabrication costs incurred when full continuity is adopted. A design method for semi-continuous unbraced frames may be found in the SCI publication *Wind-moment design for unbraced frames*⁽³⁾.

1.2 Scope of the publication

The design procedures given in this guide are applicable to frames with the following features:

- an orthogonal layout of beams
- bracing in both directions
- normal occupancy loading
- non-composite beams

- beams which are class 1 (plastic) or class 2 (compact)
- partial strength, semi-rigid (or rigid) connections to internal columns
- partial strength, semi-rigid (or rigid) connections to the major axis of perimeter columns
- simple connections to the minor axis of perimeter columns.

Each of these features is explained in more detail below.

Orthogonal layout of beams, braced in both directions

The method relies on the use of tried and tested connections. Details have not been developed for skew connections.

Bracing in both directions

Lateral loads must be resisted by bracing, not by frame action. Note that the standard partial strength, semi-rigid connections should not be subject to significant horizontal loads, and therefore may not be suitable for incorporation in the bracing system.

Normal occupancy loading

The method is not appropriate for buildings subject to storage loading, or dynamic loads.

Non-composite beams

The rules given are not appropriate for frames employing composite beams. However, the general philosophy of the method could be applied to such frames.

Class 1 or 2 beams

An ability to reach the plastic moment capacity is essential for the beams, which do not, however, need to be able to form plastic hinges.

Partial strength, semi-rigid connections

Partial strength connections enable hogging moments to be resisted at the beam ends. However, they can only be used when the support can resist the applied moment, namely for:

- connections to the flange of a column
- connections to the web of a column when there is an opposing beam with a connection of equal strength. This limitation is necessary unless the column is stiffened locally to prevent deformation of the web.

Partial strength connections must be ductile to ensure that they can behave as plastic hinges, as assumed in the design method. A lower limit on moment capacity (20% of that of the beam) is needed to avoid alternating plasticity in the connections. This limit also ensures that all perimeter columns are designed for some major axis moment (similar to the moments due to eccentric beam reactions in simple design). An upper limit is needed (50% of that of the beam) to ensure that the plastic hinges always occur in the connections rather than the beams. It also reduces the magnitude of moments applied to the columns (see Section 4.2.2).

Connections also need to possess a certain amount of stiffness, to reduce deflections at the SLS. They should be at least ‘semi-rigid’.

Simple connections to the minor axis of perimeter columns

This limitation is needed unless local stiffening allows moment transfer into the column.

2 PRINCIPLES OF SEMI-CONTINUOUS DESIGN

2.1 Methods of analysis

The moments and forces in any (simple, semi-continuous or continuous) frame may be determined using an elastic analysis. Plastic analysis may alternatively be used⁽⁴⁾, provided that the frame satisfies certain requirements, principally concerning ductility at potential plastic hinge locations.

Elastic analysis

In an elastic analysis, the stiffnesses of frame members are considered. Although widely used for simple and continuous frames, elastic analysis is not ideal for semi-continuous design because it requires quantification of connection stiffnesses, which may prove difficult in practice.

Plastic analysis

A plastic analysis considers the strengths of members and connections rather than their stiffnesses. Connection strength (moment capacity) can be predicted with sufficient accuracy using current methods. Plastic analysis is based on the assumption that plastic hinges form at critical points in the frame, and rotate to allow redistribution of moments. This rotation requires substantial ductility at these points⁽⁵⁾.

Elastic-plastic analysis

In an elastic-plastic analysis, stiffness and strength considerations are both taken into account. Software may be used to perform this type of analysis for a semi-continuous frame, given knowledge of all the connection characteristics, i.e. stiffness (see comments above), strength and ductility. Elastic-plastic analysis is at present rarely used in design offices, although it is appropriate for certain types of structures such as portal frames.

2.2 Plastic frame analysis and design

2.2.1 Method

The plastic analysis and design procedures presented in this document for semi-continuous braced frames differ little from simple design according to BS 5950: Part 1. Figure 2.1 shows the internal moments in both a simple frame, and in a semi-continuous frame where plastic hinges have formed in the connections. The presence of these plastic hinges, which unlike simple connections have a significant moment capacity, means that the:

- beams are subject to smaller maximum (sagging) bending moments
- columns are subject to moments transferred by the connections, and therefore limited by the connection capacities, rather than nominal moments based on eccentric beam reactions.

Design of the beams is marginally more complex than in simple design, because the connection moment capacities must be included in a calculation of total moment capacity for comparison with the applied free bending moment. The presence of a hogging moment at each end of the beam means that the lower beam flange adjacent to each column is subject to compression over a short length. However, this length is generally sufficiently small to make a check of lateral torsional buckling in this region unnecessary. Critical lengths of beam for lateral torsional buckling can be calculated conservatively using BS 5950: Part 1 Clause 5.5.3.5.2. Reference may also be made to BS 5950: Part 1 Appendix G.

Column design considers moments based on the connection characteristics, rather than nominal moments calculated assuming eccentric beam reactions. Values of connection moment capacity for a standard range of connections are tabulated in Appendix C.




Figure 2.1 *Internal moments*

2.2.2 Connection characteristics

The behaviour of any type of connection may be fully described by a moment-rotation curve. The three most important characteristics which define such a curve are:

- stiffness, which is given by the slope of the curve
- strength (or moment capacity), which is given by the peak value of moment on the curve
- ductility, or rotation capacity, which is given by the maximum rotation which the connection can undergo before a significant loss in strength occurs. A connection which can undergo a rotation in excess of 0.03 radians is generally considered to be ductile⁽⁶⁾.

These three characteristics are indicated in Figure 2.2, which shows the moment-rotation curve for a typical connection which might be used in semi-continuous construction.

The assumption made in plastic frame analysis and design, namely that plastic hinges form in the connections, requires the connections to be ductile enough to accommodate the necessary rotation without loss of strength. Connections suitable for use with the procedures given in this document must possess:

- strength (20% to 50% of the beam moment capacity)
- ductility (rotation at least 0.03 rad at failure)
- stiffness (enough to make them at least semi-rigid according to code definitions, for example Eurocode 3, Clause 6.4.2.3⁽⁴⁾).

Although connection stiffness has no part to play in plastic analysis, it is worth noting that some stiffness is required to reduce deflections at the SLS.

Details of a standard range of connections possessing appropriate strength, ductility and stiffness, and therefore suitable for semi-continuous construction, are given in Section 3. Testing was used to demonstrate their ductility, and to quantify their strength and stiffness. The moment and shear capacity of these connections is tabulated in Appendix C.




Figure 2.2 *Moment-rotation behaviour for a connection suitable for semi-continuous construction*

[Discuss me ...](#)

3 CONNECTIONS

3.1 End plate connections

The most practical type of connection that offers suitable characteristics for semi-continuous frames is the bolted end plate type. Although BS 5950⁽¹⁾ presents no rules for assessing connection ductility, Eurocode 3 (EC3)⁽⁴⁾ states that end plate connections may be assumed to be ductile if the critical failure mechanism involves double curvature bending of the end plate or the column flange ('mode 1' failure). Most other failure mechanisms, such as those involving failure of the bolts or welds, or in the column compression zone, are non-ductile. Unfortunately, connection details which satisfy the EC3 requirement for mode 1 failure inevitably use thin end plates, and therefore possess limited strength and stiffness. An alternative approach for the designer, and one which may lead to more practical details, is to demonstrate connection ductility by testing.

3.2 Range of standard connections

For ordinary projects, it is usually neither practicable nor economic to test specific connection details. However, it is possible to use a range of standard details whose characteristics have been demonstrated by testing. A range of connections suitable for use in semi-continuous frames was developed at the SCI. A series of tests at the University of Abertay, Dundee⁽⁷⁾, confirmed the characteristics of these connections. The standard connections have the following attributes:

- 12 mm thick (flush or extended) end plates when M20 bolts are used
- 15 mm thick (flush or extended) end plates when M24 bolts are used
- end plates fabricated from S275 steel
- full strength flange welds, with a minimum visible fillet of 10 mm
- continuous 8 mm fillet web welds.

These connections were originally developed for use in unbraced frames designed using the wind moment method. Because the wind loads on a frame may reverse, the connections in a wind moment frame need to be symmetrical so that they can resist both hogging and sagging moments⁽⁶⁾. Connections in a braced frame do not experience a reversal of moment, so the standard connections presented in Appendix C differ slightly from those given in Reference 6. Figure 3.1 illustrates a typical flush end plate connection for use in a braced frame.

The frame design procedures given in this document are based on the use of the standard range of connections presented in Appendix C. Other connection details providing similar strength, ductility and stiffness would be equally acceptable, however it should be noted that without testing it would be difficult to demonstrate the ductility that is essential for plastic analysis to be valid.

The weld sizes specified for the standard details are large relative to the end plate thickness, to ensure that failure of the welds does not occur. This restriction is necessary to avoid brittle failure of the connection. Modifying the weld sizes may have a significant influence both on the ductility and moment capacity of a connection.




Figure 3.1 *Flush end plate connection*

Tables giving the moment and shear capacities of the standard connections are given in Appendix C. The values given in these tables are essentially the same as those found in Reference 6 for so-called ‘wind moment connections’. Reference 6 also contains the methodology used to derive the capacities. The tables in Appendix C also provide information concerning connection detailing. The designer should beware of varying the standard geometry, because dimensions, particularly those between bolt centrelines, are critical in many cases. Quite small changes could modify behaviour unacceptably.

The tables in Appendix C indicate whether a given column section size will require local stiffening when used with a given connection detail. Stiffening may be avoided by down-rating the connection strength, using information contained in the tables to calculate a revised capacity. Alternatively, stiffening may be avoided by choosing an alternative detail, or increasing the column size. The desire to avoid column stiffening arises because of the increased costs associated with the additional fabrication required.

4 DESIGN FOR THE ULTIMATE LIMIT STATE

4.1 Beams

In a semi-continuous braced frame, the required beam plastic section moduli are less than those required in an equivalent simple frame. This reduction is possible because of the partial strength nature of the connections. The weight, and/or depth of the beams can therefore be reduced. The reduction in required plastic section modulus is illustrated in Figure 4.1, which shows applied moments for a beam which is:

- simply supported at both ends
- simply supported at one end and semi-continuous at the other (with the important connection characteristic for the ULS being partial strength)
- semi-continuous at both ends.

The figure also shows schematically how the applied moments are related to the moment capacities of the beam and connections for design. The benefit of semi-continuous construction in reducing the sagging moment which the beam must resist is evident.




Figure 4.1 Applied moments and moment capacities for beams with different support conditions

4.2 Columns

4.2.1 Overall buckling check

Because moments are transferred from beams to columns in semi-continuous construction, it could be argued that to comply with BS 5950 Clause 5.1.2.1⁽¹⁾ there is a need to consider pattern loading. The code requires consideration of pattern loading for continuous construction to ensure that the loading arrangement which maximises the moments applied to a column is not more critical than the arrangement which maximises axial load (namely full loading on all beams). However, extensive testing and analyses^(8,9) have demonstrated that for orthodox semi-continuous frames the most critical load pattern for overall column failure

is always imposed load applied to all beams. The following two points in particular illustrate why this is so:

- The presence of a partial strength connection limits the moment that can be transferred from a beam when it is fully loaded. The connection effectively acts like a fuse to limit the moment which can pass through it.
- A column loses stiffness as it approaches collapse due to overall buckling. It therefore attracts less moment than predicted by a traditional elastic moment distribution.

The overall buckling check need only be performed, therefore, for dead plus imposed loading on all the beams. Internal columns need only be designed to resist unbalanced moment when it is due to differing connection strengths either side of a node. Unbalanced moment must also be considered in the overall buckling check of perimeter columns.

When choosing effective column lengths, the designer must make a reasonable assessment of the degree of restraint offered by the connection and base details he has chosen. Columns may be assumed to be effectively held in position and, because of the semi-rigid nature of the connections, partially restrained in direction (unless the column is particularly stiff relative to the beams and connections). The effective column length factor between 'semi-rigid restraints' may therefore normally be taken as 0.85, in accordance with BS 5950: Part 1 Table 24. For minor axis buckling, the designer must consider the restraint offered by the chosen connection and base details.

4.2.2 Local capacity check

A column approaching local failure is not subject to the same gradual loss of stiffness as one approaching overall buckling failure. It must therefore possess a local capacity which is sufficient to resist those moments predicted by an elastic distribution, including consideration of pattern loading.

However, columns can resist a greater axial load locally (resistance $A_g p_y$) than in overall buckling (resistance $A_g p_c$). A column which is fully utilised under axial load alone according to an overall buckling check therefore has some reserve to carry coincident moment locally. Additional reserve comes from the fact that there is less applied axial load under pattern loading than under full loading.

Numerical studies⁽⁹⁾ have shown that for orthodox steel frames, local capacity is not likely to govern internal column sizes. For completeness, however, it is recommended that local capacity be checked under pattern loading at critical locations.

The maximum moment that can be applied to one side of the column is given by the connection moment capacity (M_j). The minimum opposing moment (M_d) can be taken as the built-in end moment due to factored dead load only, reduced by 35% to allow for connection and column flexibility⁽⁹⁾.

The maximum unbalanced moment applied to the column is therefore $M_j - M_d$, to be distributed into the column lengths above and below the connections.

The local capacity of the column should therefore be checked in accordance with BS 5950: Part 1 Clause 4.8.3.2:

$$\frac{F}{A_g p_y} + \frac{M_x}{M_{cx}} \leq 1$$

where: F is the applied axial load (noting the reduction under pattern loading because imposed load is removed from one or more spans).

M_x is the proportion of unbalanced moment in the critical column length (normally equal to $(M_j - M_d)/2$, assuming identical column sizes and lengths above and below the connection).

The local capacity of perimeter columns should be checked in the normal way.

5 DESIGN FOR THE SERVICEABILITY LIMIT STATE

The following three responses of a braced frame at the Serviceability Limit State (SLS) may need to be checked:

- **deflections under imposed loads**, which may need to be limited to prevent damage to secondary elements (such as partitions, glazing or finishes) that are installed prior to the application of the imposed loads
- **deflections under total loads**, which may need to be checked to avoid impaired appearance of the building
- **vibrational response**, which may need to be checked to avoid unacceptable vibrations of the structure when it is subjected to dynamic loading from wind, or the movement of people etc.

The prediction of how a frame will deflect under loading is not an exact science. Also, whether or not a given deflection is acceptable is a subjective matter. Taking both these points into consideration, although recommended limits for deflections under certain loading conditions are given in codes^(1,4), different values may be chosen and agreed if appropriate. A degree of approximation in calculating values can certainly be justified.

The designer should consider why deflection limits are specified, and what loading conditions are critical. For example, glazing panels will probably be fitted after application of the majority of the dead load (i.e. after casting of the concrete floor slabs), but before application of any imposed loads. Subsequent deflections of the structure as imposed loads are applied, including any deflections due to inelastic deformation of the connections, will therefore need to be accommodated by the glazing. As far as the glazing is concerned, deflection of the structure under imposed loads is therefore critical and should be controlled, whereas total deflection is unimportant.

5.1 Deflection under imposed load

For calculating deflections, beams should be thought of as being rotationally restrained at the supports by springs (see Figure 5.1). The spring stiffness represents the stiffness of the connection itself, plus that of the adjoining structure. Because of this stiffness, beam behaviour lies between ‘built-in’ and ‘simply supported’.




Figure 5.1 *Beam model for deflection*

For the analysis of orthodox frames (see scope in Section 1.2), there is no need for the designer to determine an effective spring stiffness provided the standard connections listed in Appendix C are used. The following formulae were derived

using the procedures given in Appendix B, considering appropriate values of connection and member stiffnesses:

Uniformly distributed loading

$$d_{\text{imposed}} = \frac{b}{384} \frac{wL^4}{EI}$$

For an internal span:

- with connections having a partial strength in excess of 45%, $\beta = 3.0$
- with connections having a partial strength less than 45%, $\beta = 3.5$

For an external span:

- with connections having a partial strength in excess of 45%, $\beta = 3.5$
- with connections having a partial strength less than 45%, $\beta = 4.0$

Point loads at third points

The following deflection coefficients should be used for a beam with a point load of magnitude P at each of its third points (total beam load $3P$):

$$d_{\text{imposed}} = \frac{b}{648} \frac{PL^3}{EI}$$

For an internal span:

- with connections having a partial strength in excess of 45%, $\beta = 14$
- with connections having a partial strength less than 45%, $\beta = 17$

For an external span:

- with connections having a partial strength in excess of 45%, $\beta = 16$
- with connections having a partial strength less than 45%, $\beta = 19$

Deflection coefficients are expressed as multiples of 1/384 or 1/648 so that reductions from simply supported values are evident. Reference should be made to the full procedure given in Appendix B for other load configurations.

External span values assume a pinned connection at one end of the beam (i.e. they represent an extreme case). Internal span values are for beams with equal end connections. For situations between these two extremes, as will often occur in practice, linear interpolation may be used to determine the appropriate deflection coefficient.

5.2 Deflection under total load

If total load deflection needs to be checked, imposed load deflections determined using the procedure given in Section 5.1 must be added to dead load deflections. Dead load deflections may be calculated using the same procedure.

5.3 Vibrational response

Beams and slabs subjected to rhythmic loading may vibrate and thereby affect either occupant comfort or the performance of secondary building elements such as partitions. Resonance may occur if the natural frequency of the members corresponds to the excitation frequency.

To assess whether the response of a beam to dynamic loads needs investigating, the designer should check the natural frequency of the beam. Natural frequency can be calculated as a function of deflection under dead load⁽¹⁰⁾. All beams should be taken as having simple supports for the purposes of this check, which is simply a means of assessing stiffness:

$$f_i = \frac{18}{\sqrt{\delta_{\text{dead}}}}$$

where δ_{dead} is in mm, and calculated assuming simple supports (see note below).

For normal buildings, this frequency should exceed 3 Hz according to Reference 10. For buildings to be used for rhythmic group activities such as dancing, the limit is 5 Hz.

If the beam's natural frequency does not exceed the chosen limit, floor response must be predicted. Full procedures for doing so are given in Reference 10. Although connection stiffness is not allowed for in the calculation of f_i , which is based on the deflection of a simply supported beam, the semi-continuity between members improves the response of the structure.

6 DESIGN PROCEDURES

The following principal steps define the design procedure at the ULS and the SLS for a semi-continuous braced frame falling within the scope of this document.

6.1 Scheme design

Columns

Select column sizes to resist axial load alone in an overall buckling check. The utilisation of perimeter columns should be limited to 0.8, to allow some reserve for applied moment. The utilisation of internal columns may approach 1.0. These utilisation limits should be modified if:

- internal columns will be subject to unbalanced moment as a result of unequal connection strengths
- differing spans will lead to significant minor axis moments (which are calculated as in simple design, assuming eccentric beam reactions).

Beams

Select class 1 or 2 beam sizes, based on the following criteria:

- Internal span $M_p \approx 0.70 M_o$
- External span $M_p \approx 0.80 M_o$

$$M_p = \text{moment capacity of the beam}$$

$$M_o = \text{free bending moment at the ULS}$$

6.2 Final design

Connections

Select standard connections from the design tables in Appendix C. The minimum connection moment capacity must satisfy the shortfall between the maximum applied moment and the moment capacity of the beam. Doing so means that no further check of the beams is required for the ULS.

The connection moment capacity should not exceed 50% of the beam capacity for a connection to an internal column.

The moment capacity of a connection to an external column should be approximately 20% of the beam capacity.

Connections should ideally be chosen to avoid the need for column stiffeners (see Appendix C). To achieve this it may be more economic to choose a connection with a smaller moment capacity, possibly necessitating a heavier beam, or to increase the column size.

Check the connection shear capacity using the tables in Appendix C, and add 'shear bolts' if necessary.

Beams

Calculate beam deflections under imposed (unfactored) loading, using appropriate formulae and deflection coefficients from Section 5.1. Check the calculated values against appropriate limits (span/200 generally, or span/360 if deflections will damage brittle components, according to BS 5950: Part 1).

If excessive total deflections would impair appearance, calculate dead load deflections using the procedures given in Section 5.1. Add these deflections to those under imposed load. Total deflections should be compared with an appropriate limit. Although EC3 suggests span/250, a less onerous requirement may be appropriate in many cases.

Compare natural and excitation frequencies to determine whether floor response to dynamic loading needs to be assessed (see Section 5.3). If necessary, check the floor response using the procedures given in Reference 10.

Columns

Check internal columns for overall buckling under the applied axial load in combination with any moment about the major axis resulting from unequal connection strengths, and any unbalanced minor axis moments. Minor axis moments should be calculated and distributed as in simple design, assuming eccentric beam reactions. The internal columns should also be checked for local capacity, considering axial loads and moments under pattern loading. Use the simplified procedure given in Section 4.2.2.

Check perimeter columns for the applied axial load in combination with any major or minor axis moments. Both overall buckling and local capacity checks are required.

Check that the column sizes identified in the final design are compatible with the connection details, preferably without the need for column stiffening.

Details

Design the column bases, column splices, and the frame bracing systems as in 'simple construction'. The detailing of bases and splices, which may be pinned or chosen to provide moment continuity, must be properly reflected in the frame analysis and design assumptions.

Care should be taken if standard connections are used as part of the bracing system, because the behaviour of the connections may be adversely affected by the presence of additional axial or shear loads in the beams, or detailing to accommodate the bracing members.

7 REFERENCES

1. BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION
BS 5950: Structural use of steelwork in building
Part 1: 1990 Code of practice for design in simple and continuous construction: hot rolled sections
BSI, 1990
2. LAWSON, R.M.
Benefits of partial strength steel and composite connections in braced frames
The Structural Engineer (to be published)
3. ANDERSON, D., READING, S.J. and KAVIANPOUR, K.
Wind-moment design for unbraced frames
The Steel Construction Institute, 1991
4. BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION
DD ENV 1993: Eurocode 3. Design of steel structures
DD ENV 1993-1-1: 1992 General rules and rules for buildings (together with the United Kingdom National Application Document)
BSI, 1992
5. BAKER, J.F. and HEYMAN, J.
Plastic design of frames. 1 Fundamentals.
Cambridge University Press, 1980
6. THE STEEL CONSTRUCTION INSTITUTE and BRITISH CONSTRUCTIONAL STEELWORK ASSOCIATION LTD
Joints in steel construction: Moment connections
SCI/BCSA, 1995
7. BOSE, B. and HUGHES, A.F.
Verifying the performance of standard ductile connections for semi-continuous steel frames
Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, Structures and Buildings, November 1995
8. GIBBONS, C., NETHERCOT, D.A., KIRBY, P.A. and WANG Y.C.
An appraisal of partially restrained column behaviour in non-sway steel frames
Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, Structures and Buildings, February 1993
9. COUCHMAN, G.H.
Semi-continuous braced frames - background information
The Steel Construction Institute (RT 661, unpublished report)
10. WYATT, T.A.
Design guide on the vibration of floors
The Steel Construction Institute and CIRIA, 1989

11. WONG, Y.L., CHAN, S.L. and NETHERCOT, D.A.
A simplified design method for non-sway composite frames with semi-rigid connections
The Structural Engineer, Vol 74 No 2, January 1996
12. YOUNG, W.C.
Roark's formulas for stress and strain, 6th edition
McGraw-Hill, 1989

APPENDIX A Worked example

The following worked example considers a two storey frame. It is assumed that the primary reason for using semi-continuous construction in this instance is to reduce the depth of the beams. Connections providing a high degree of partial strength are therefore chosen, which result in a requirement for column stiffeners. The increase in fabrication cost (or material cost if heavier columns are chosen to obviate the need for stiffeners) is assumed to be acceptable in this context.


The effectiveness of semi-continuous construction in permitting significant savings in beam depth and/or weight can be appreciated by comparing beam sizes in the table below. The results of this worked example are given alongside the beam sizes which would be required for simple construction.

	Semi-continuous design	Simple design	Saving in beam depth	Saving in beam weight
Floor beam Internal Span	457 × 191 × 89	533 × 210 × 109	76 mm	20 kg/m
Floor Beam End Span	457 × 191 × 98	533 × 210 × 109	72 mm	11 kg/m
Roof Beam Internal Span	356 × 171 × 45	406 × 178 × 54 or 356 × 171 × 67	51 mm	22 kg/m
Roof Beam End Span	356 × 171 × 45	406 × 178 × 54 or 356 × 171 × 67	51 mm	22 kg/m

The Steel Construction Institute Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944 CALCULATION SHEET	Job No: BCC4922	Page 1 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	

1. INPUT

1.1 Geometry



Building assumed to have 2 storeys with an inter storey height = 4.0 m. Column size does not vary between storeys

$$\text{Beam span} = 8.0 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Frame centres} = 6.5 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Loaded area per beam} = 8.0 \times 6.5 = 52.0 \text{ m}^2$$

1.2 Loading

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Floor dead load} \\ &= 4.5 \text{ kN/m}^2 \end{aligned}$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Floor live load} \\ &= 5.0 \text{ kN/m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ultimate load at floor level} \\ &= 1.4 \times 4.5 + 1.6 \times 5.0 = 14.3 \text{ kN/m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Roof dead load} \\ &= 3.0 \text{ kN/m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Roof live load} \\ &= 0.75 \text{ kN/m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ultimate load at roof level} \\ &= 1.4 \times 3.0 + 1.6 \times 0.75 = 5.4 \text{ kN/m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

 <p>Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944</p> <p>CALCULATION SHEET</p>	Job No: BCC4922	Page 2 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	
<p>2. SCHEME DESIGN</p> <p>2.1 Internal Column</p> <p><i>Axial load on column = $52.0 \times (14.3 + 5.4) = 1024 \text{ kN}$</i></p> <p><i>Assume that the base detail and the major and minor axis beam connections provide partial directional restraint to the column, so that the effective length factors are 0.85 for buckling about both axes (BS 5950: Pt 1, Table 24).</i></p> <p><i>Effective length = $0.85 \times 4.0 = 3.4 \text{ m}$</i></p> <p><i>From member capacity tables (e.g. SCI publication P202 4th edition) for Universal Columns subject to axial load (BS 5950 Clause 4.7.4), for S275 steel and an effective length of 3.4 m (requiring interpolation) try $203 \times 203 \times 46 \text{ UC}$,</i></p> <p><i>$P_{cx} = 1478 \text{ kN}$</i></p> <p><i>$P_{cy} = 1112 \text{ kN}$</i></p> <p><i>Utilisation = $1024/1112 = 92\%$ (utilisation should not exceed 100% for a regular frame)</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u><i>Pass</i></u></p> <p>2.2 External Column</p> <p><i>Axial load on column = $0.5 \times 52.0 \times (14.3 + 5.4) = 512 \text{ kN}$</i></p> <p><i>Assume an effective length factor of 0.85 (see note above)</i></p> <p><i>Effective length = 3.4 m</i></p> <p><i>Universal columns smaller than 203×203 will not be used, due to potential difficulties forming beam connections with smaller columns.</i></p> <p><i>From tables (SCI publication P202 4th edition), for S275 steel and an effective length of 3.4 m, try a $203 \times 203 \times 46 \text{ UC}$,</i></p> <p><i>$P_{cx} = 1478 \text{ kN}$</i></p> <p><i>$P_{cy} = 1112 \text{ kN}$</i></p>			

The Steel Construction Institute Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944 CALCULATION SHEET	Job No: BCC4922	Page 3 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	


Note that the utilisation = $512/1112 = 46\%$ (utilisation at scheme design stage should not exceed 80% for an external column in a regular frame)

Pass

2.3 Floor Beams - Internal Span

A connection having a strength equal to approximately 50% of the beam moment capacity will be used at each end of the span, therefore the maximum applied sagging moment is taken as 70% of the free moment (roughly twice the support moment):

$$\text{Free Moment} = 6.5 \times 14.3 \times 8.0^2/8 = 744 \text{ kNm}$$



$$\text{Applied sagging moment} = 0.7 \times 744 = 521 \text{ kNm}$$


From tables (SCI publication P202 4th edition) try 457 × 191 × 89 UB in S275 steel

Moment capacity (assuming full lateral restraint to top flange) $M_{cx} = 534 > 521 \text{ kNm}$


Pass

2.4 Floor Beams - End Span

The connection to the external column will have a strength equal to approximately 20% of the beam moment capacity. The connection to the internal column will have a strength equal to approximately 50% of the beam capacity. The applied sagging moment is therefore taken as 75% of free moment:



$$\text{Free Moment} = 6.5 \times 14.3 \times 8.0^2/8 = 744 \text{ kNm}$$

 <p>Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944</p> <p>CALCULATION SHEET</p>	Job No: BCC4922	Page 4 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	

Applied sagging moment = $0.75 \times 744 = 558 \text{ kNm}$

From tables (SCI publication P202 4th edition) try $457 \times 191 \times 98 \text{ UB in S275 steel}$

Moment capacity = $M_{cx} = 592 > 558 \text{ kNm}$

Pass

2.5 Roof Beams - Internal Span

**Calculation as 2.3, chosen section is $356 \times 171 \times 45 \text{ UB, S275}$
($213 > 197 \text{ kNm}$)**

2.6 Roof Beams - End Span

**Calculation as 2.4, chosen section is $356 \times 171 \times 45 \text{ UB, S275}$
($213 > 211 \text{ kNm}$)**

3. FINAL DESIGN

3.1 Connections

3.1.1 Floor Beams - Internal Span Connections

The connection moment capacity must be compatible with the beam design assumptions, namely the connection capacity must satisfy the difference between the free bending moment and the beam capacity. An upper limit of 50% of the beam moment capacity should also be respected (see Section 1.2 of this design guide).

Chosen beam is a $457 \times 191 \times 89 \text{ UB}$

Minimum required connection capacity
= free moment - beam capacity
= $744 - 534 = 210 \text{ kNm}$

Maximum allowable connection capacity
= 50% of beam moment capacity
= $0.5 \times 534 = 267 \text{ kNm}$

The Steel Construction Institute Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944 CALCULATION SHEET	Job No: BCC4922	Page 5 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	

From the tables of standard moment connections (Appendix C page 56) for a 457 × 191 beam, connection moment capacity for 2 rows of M24 tension bolts, with an extended 200 × 15 mm end plate, is 213 kNm.

Check against minimum connection requirement: 213 > 210 kNm

Check against maximum connection allowable: 213 < 267 kNm

Beam and Connection OK

3.1.2 Floor Beams - End Span Connections

For the connection to the internal column


Chosen beam is a 457 × 191 × 98 UB

Assuming an external connection with a capacity (M_{j1}) equal to 20% of the beam moment capacity, the minimum internal connection capacity (M_{j2}) can be determined.

At the critical section (conservatively assumed to be at 0.45L from the weaker (external) connection, since the exact position will be between 0.45L and 0.5L):


$$\text{total capacity} \approx M_p + M_{j1} + 0.45(M_{j2} - M_{j1})$$


where M_p *is the moment capacity of the beam,*
 M_{j1} *is the capacity of the weaker connection, = 0.2 M_p ,*
 M_{j2} *is the capacity of the stronger connection.*





$$\text{total capacity} = 592 + 0.2 \times 592 + 0.45(M_{j2} - 0.2 \times 592)$$

$$\text{free moment} = 744 \text{ kNm}$$

 <p>Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944</p> <p>CALCULATION SHEET</p>	Job No: BCC4922	Page 6 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	
<p><i>therefore $744 \leq 1.2 \times 592 + 0.45 (M_{j2} - 0.2 \times 592)$</i></p> <p><i>$M_{j2} \geq (744 - 1.11 \times 592)/0.45 = 193 \text{ kNm}$</i></p> <p><i>Maximum connection capacity = 50% of beam moment capacity</i> <i>$= 0.5 \times 592 = 296 \text{ kNm}$</i></p> <p><i>From the tables of standard moment connections (Appendix C page 56) for a 457×191 beam, connection moment capacity for 2 rows of M24 tension bolts, with an extended $200 \times 15 \text{ mm}$ end plate, is 213 kNm.</i></p> <p><i>Check against minimum connection requirement: $213 > 193 \text{ kNm}$</i></p> <p><i>Check against maximum connection allowable: $213 < 296 \text{ kNm}$</i></p> <p><i>For the connection to the external column, a moment capacity (M_{j1}) of approximately 20% of the beam capacity has been assumed. From tables (Appendix C page 47), connection moment capacity for 2 rows of M20 tension bolts, with a flush $200 \times 12 \text{ mm}$ end plate, is 123 kNm</i></p> <p><i>Minimum required connection capacity = $0.2 \times 592 = 118 \text{ kNm}$</i></p> <p><i>Capacity = $123 \text{ kNm} > 118 \text{ kNm}$</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i><u>Beam and Connections OK</u></i></p> <p>3.1.3 Roof Beams - Internal Span Connections</p> <p><i>Calculation as 3.1.1, chosen connection uses 2 rows of M20 tension bolts, with an extended $200 \times 12 \text{ mm}$ end plate. Connection moment capacity = 107 kNm (page 49)</i></p> <p>3.1.4 Roof Beam - End Span Connections</p> <p><i>Calculation as 3.1.2, chosen internal connection uses 2 rows of M20 tension bolts, with an extended $200 \times 12 \text{ mm}$ end plate. Connection moment capacity = 107 kNm (page 49)</i></p> <p><i>External connection adopts 1 row of M20 tension bolts, with a flush $200 \times 12 \text{ mm}$ end plate. Connection moment capacity = 60 kNm (page 46).</i></p>			

 <p>Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944</p> <p>CALCULATION SHEET</p>	Job No: BCC4922	Page 7 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	
<p>3.2 Beams (check serviceability)</p> <p>3.2.1 Floor Beams - Internal Span</p> <p>Beam chosen from scheme design is 457 × 191 × 89 UB</p> <p>Deflection under imposed load</p> <p>Serviceability imposed load at floor level = 1.0 × 5.0 = 5.0 kN/m²</p> <p>Imposed load deflection (conservatively taking the connection strength as less than 45%, which corresponds to a relatively flexible connection - see Section 5.1)</p> $= 3.5 \times (5.0 \times 6.5) \times 8.0^4 / (384 \times E \times 41020) = 14.1 \text{ mm}$ <p>Allowable deflection under imposed load (assuming brittle finishes would be damaged by excess deflection)</p> $= 8000/360 = 22.2 \text{ mm}$ <p>Assume that total load deflections do not need checking, because they will not impair appearance.</p> <p>Check: 14.1 < 22.2 mm</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Deflections OK</u></p> <p>Vibration response</p> <p>Serviceability dead load at floor level = 1.0 × 4.5 = 4.5 kN/m²</p> <p>Dead load deflection of the beam assuming simple supports</p> $= 5 \times (4.5 \times 6.5) \times 8.0^4 / (384 \times E \times 41020) = 18.0 \text{ mm}$ <p>Natural frequency of the beam</p> $= 18 / \sqrt{18.0} = 4.2 \text{ Hz}$ <p>This exceeds the lower limit of 3 Hz, so a check of beam response to dynamic loading is not required.</p>			

 <p>Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944</p> <p>CALCULATION SHEET</p>	Job No: BCC4922	Page 8 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	
<p>3.2.2 Floor Beams - End Span</p> <p><i>Calculation as 3.2.1.</i></p> <p><i>Imposed load deflection</i></p> $= 4 \times (5.0 \times 6.5) \times 8.0^4 / (384 \times E \times 45730) = 14.5 \text{ mm}$ <p><i>Check: 14.5 < 22.2 mm</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u><i>Deflections OK</i></u></p>			
<p>3.2.3 Roof Beams</p> <p><i>Calculation as 3.2.1</i></p> <p><i>Vibration check is not needed at roof level.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u><i>Deflections OK</i></u></p>			
<p>3.2.4 Roof Beams - End Span</p> <p><i>Calculation as 3.2.1</i></p> <p><i>Vibration check not needed.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u><i>Deflections OK</i></u></p>			
<p>3.3 Columns</p> <p>3.3.1 Internal Columns</p> <p><i>Because the frame is regular, internal columns are not subject to unbalanced moment from unequal strength connections.</i></p> <p>Note: <i>The scheme design may need to be refined (depending on the utilisation calculated at the scheme design stage) to allow for a slightly increased axial load in the internal column adjacent to the end span. This increase is due to moment gradient in the end span, but has not been included for the overall buckling check in this example for the sake of brevity. For this particular case, the calculated axial load would increase from 1024 kN to 1041 kN (an increase of 1.7%).</i></p> <p><i>Local capacity check (BS 5950, Clause 4.8.3.2 (a)) for column length between the base and the first storey (using the procedure given in Section 4.2.2)</i></p> $M_j = 213 \text{ kNm}$ $M_d = (1 - 0.35) \times 6.5 \times 1.4 \times 4.5 \times 8.0^2 / 12 = 142 \text{ kNm}$			

 <p>Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944</p> <p>CALCULATION SHEET</p>	Job No: BCC4922	Page 9 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	

Applied moment (assuming connection moment distributes evenly above and below the beam) = $(213 - 142) / 2 = 35.5 \text{ kNm}$

Reduced axial load (no imposed load on one span)
 $= 1024 - 1.6 \times 5 \times 6.5 \times 8 = 608 \text{ kN}$

From tables (SCI publication P202 4th edition), for a 203 × 203 × 46 UC

$A_g p_y = 1620 \text{ kN}$

$M_{cx} = 137 \text{ kNm}$

$\text{Interaction} = \frac{608}{1620} + \frac{35.5}{137} = 0.38 + 0.26 = 0.64$

By inspection, the column length between the first and second storeys is not critical

Local capacity check is OK

The connection design tables given in Appendix C should be used to determine if the chosen column requires local stiffening.

Column is 203 × 203 × 46 UC


From 3.1.1: chosen connection is from the table on page 56, for a 457 × 191 × 89 beam. The 'column side' information indicates that the chosen column section has insufficient capacity in the tension zone. This can be resolved by increasing the column size, or local stiffening (strengthening) of the column.


From 3.1.3: chosen connection is from the table on page 49, for a 356 × 171 × 45 beam. The 'column side' information indicates that the chosen column section has insufficient capacity in the tension zone. This can be resolved by increasing the column size, or local stiffening (strengthening) of the column.

3.3.2 External Columns

External columns are subject to unbalanced loading, therefore major axis bending must be considered in combination with axial load.

Beam reactions (allowing for moment gradient) are:

 <p>Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944</p> <p>CALCULATION SHEET</p>	Job No: BCC4922	Page 10 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	
<p>At floor beam = $(14.3 \times 6.5 \times 0.5 \times 8) - 213/8 + 123/8$ = 361 kN</p> <p>At roof level = $(5.4 \times 6.5 \times 0.5 \times 8) - 107/8 + 60/8$ = 135 kN</p> <p>Axial load in column = $361 + 135 = 496 \text{ kN}$</p> <p>Column chosen from scheme design is 203 × 203 × 46 UC, S275</p> <p>Overall buckling check (BS 5950, Clause 4.8.3.3.1) for column length between the base and the first storey</p> <p>Applied moment (assuming connection moment distributes evenly above and below the beam) = $123/2 = 61.5 \text{ kNm}$</p> <p>Conservatively assuming a pinned base, $m = 0.57$</p> <p>From tables (SCI publication P202 4th edition), for a 203 × 203 × 46 UC with an effective length of 3.4 m (requiring interpolation)</p> <p>$A_g p_{cy} = 1112 \text{ kN}$</p> <p>$M_b = 119 \text{ kNm}$</p> <p>Interaction = $\frac{496}{1112} + \frac{0.57 \times 61.5}{119} = 0.45 + 0.29 = 0.74$</p> <p>By inspection, the column length between the first and second storeys is not critical</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Combined buckling check is OK</u></p> <p>Local capacity check (BS 5950, Clause 4.8.3.2 (a)) for column length between the base and the first storey</p> <p>Applied moment = 61.5 kNm</p> <p>Axial load = 496 kN</p> <p>From tables (SCI publication P202 4th edition), for a 203 × 203 × 46 UC</p> <p>$A_g p_y = 1620 \text{ kN}$</p>			

 <p>Silwood Park, Ascot, Berks SL5 7QN Telephone: (01344) 23345 Fax: (01344) 22944</p> <p>CALCULATION SHEET</p>	Job No: BCC4922	Page 11 of 11	Rev
	Job Title Semi-continuous braced frames		
	Subject Worked example		
	Client CIMsteel	Made by GHC	Date Mar 1997
	Checked by DGB	Date Mar 1997	
<p>$M_{cx} = 137 \text{ kNm}$</p> <p>$Interaction = \frac{496}{1620} + \frac{61.5}{137} = 0.31 + 0.45 = 0.76$</p> <p><i>By inspection, the column length between the first and second storeys is not critical</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Local capacity check is OK</u></p> <p><i>Use Appendix C to check whether column requires local stiffening - procedure as outlined in 3.3.1.</i></p>			

APPENDIX B Deflection calculations

Coefficients for calculating deflections under imposed and dead load are given in Section 5 of this guide. In this appendix, a full procedure for calculating deflections is given, to be used when a more accurate calculation of deflections is needed (the coefficients in Section 5 are conservative, based on assumed ‘support’ stiffness).

B.1 General principles

Beams are assumed to be restrained by springs which model the presence of the connections and attached columns and adjacent beams (see Figure 5.1). These springs provide a support which lies between ‘built-in’ and ‘simply supported’.

The consequence for design of some support flexibility is that for a given load the hogging moments at the beam ends are lower than they would be for a built-in beam. Sagging moments are consequently higher. The redistribution of hogging moment into the span increases as connection stiffness decreases, and is 100% (zero support moment) for the zero support stiffness associated with a simply supported beam. This can be thought of in a different way. A certain percentage of the imposed load can be considered as being applied to a built-in beam, and the remainder applied to a simply supported beam. This model is not only applicable to moments; deflections can also be calculated for each of the two cases (simply supported and built-in), and summed.




Figure B.1 *Redistribution of bending moments*

Figure B.2 shows the deflection coefficient β as a function of ‘support’/beam stiffness, for an internal span subject to Uniformly Distributed Loading (UDL). The formula for deriving deflection from β is shown in the figure. The curve shown can be used to calculate deflections once the designer has determined the relative ‘support’/beam stiffness for a particular case (the exact definition of ‘support’, as well as procedures for calculating relative stiffness, are given in Section B.2).

Zero ‘support’ stiffness represents the case of a simply supported beam. This corresponds to a value of β equal to five for UDL ($\delta = 5wL^4/384EI$). As the relative support stiffness increases, and the beam tends towards being built-in, the curve approaches a horizontal asymptote at β equal to one ($\delta = 1wL^4/384EI$).




Figure B.2 Deflection as a function of relative stiffness - internal span with UDL

Figure B.3 is essentially the same as Figure B.2, except that the ordinate shows moment redistribution rather than β . It can be seen that a relative stiffness of zero corresponds to a redistribution value of one (or 100%). The theoretical end moments determined assuming built-in supports would therefore be completely redistributed for this case, giving zero end moments (which is correct for a simply supported beam). For a stiff support, redistribution approaches zero, which is correct for a built-in beam.

The following relationship exists between redistribution and β for an internal span with UDL:

$$\beta = 1 + 4(\text{redistribution})$$

so that when the redistribution is one (simply supported), β equals 5.0. When the redistribution is zero (built-in), β equals 1.0. The moment redistribution scale on Figure B.3 can be used to derive deflection coefficients for different beam and load arrangements, using the following relationships:

- internal span with point load at mid span $\beta = 2 + 6 (\text{redistribution})$
- external span with UDL $\beta \approx 2 + 3 (\text{redistribution})$
- external span with point load at mid span $\beta \approx 3.5 + 4.5 (\text{redistribution})$

'External span' here describes the extreme case of a beam which is simply supported at one end. Similar relationships for other situations can be derived knowing that when the redistribution equals one, the deflection must be that of a simply supported beam, and when the redistribution equals zero, the deflection must be that of a built-in beam.

The derivation of the design curves given in Figures B.2 and B.3 is described, for information, in Section B.3 of this Appendix.




Figure B.3 *Moment redistribution as a function of relative stiffness*

B.2 Relative stiffness

In order to use Figure B.2 (or Figure B.3 where loading or framing arrangement dictate), the designer must calculate the stiffness of the 'support' relative to the stiffness of the beam being checked.

'Support' stiffness

The 'support' stiffness may be influenced by:

- the stiffness of the connection itself
- the apparent stiffness of the column to which the connection is attached.

To illustrate these two points, consider the subframe shown in Figure B.4. In this figure, the 'support' to the left hand end of Beam 2 comprises Connection 21, plus Column 1 and Column 2, and Beam 1. The deflection of Beam 2 is affected by the stiffnesses of all these components. The apparent stiffness of the column is a function of Column 1, Column 2, and Beam 1.

Suitable values of **connection stiffness** (k_j) can be derived from test results, or tabulated values. Initial stiffness is generally appropriate for the calculation of dead load deflections, but the connections may enter into the elasto-plastic or even plastic regions of response as imposed loads are applied (see schematic connection behaviour shown in Figure B.5). The designer must consider levels of applied moment in order to determine an appropriate stiffness for each stage of load application. When neither test results nor tabulated information are available, the procedures given in EC3 Annex J may be used to calculate connection stiffness⁽⁴⁾.




Figure B.4 *Subframe members*




Figure B.5 *Schematic connection moment-rotation response, indicating typical levels of moment under dead and imposed load*

The designer must decide whether or not it is necessary to calculate apparent column stiffness for each individual case. For example, in a symmetric frame it would not be necessary to quantify column stiffness when calculating dead load deflections if equal dead load were present either side of a node, so that no column rotation takes place. The apparent column stiffness can be taken as infinite. However, imposed load might be present on only one side of a node, producing column rotation. Apparent column stiffness must then be quantified, and allowed for in calculations of imposed load deflections.

The stiffness of a pin-ended member with moment applied at one end is given (see Figure B.6) by:

$$k = \frac{M}{q} = \frac{3EI}{L}$$




Figure B.6 End rotation of a pin-ended member subject to moment

Apparent column stiffness is obtained by summing the stiffnesses of the supporting members⁽¹¹⁾; with reference to Figure B.4, for calculating deflections of Beam 2, the supporting members are Column 1, Column 2, and Beam 1. The apparent column stiffness for the left hand support of Beam 2 is therefore given by:

$$k_{c,app} = k_{c1} + k_{c2} + k_{b1}$$

where:

$$k_{ci} = \frac{3EI_{ci}}{L_{ci}}$$

The stiffness of Beam 1 must allow for the stiffness of the connection with which it is joined to the column. This beam stiffness is given by:

$$k_{b1} = \left[\frac{L_{b1}}{3EI_{b1}} + \frac{1}{k_{j12}} \right]^{-1}$$

All three supporting members are conservatively assumed to be pinned at their extremity.

The **'support' stiffness** (k_s), which represents the stiffness of the connection and all the elements behind it (column, adjacent connection and beam), is therefore given by:

$$k_s = \left[\frac{1}{k_{c,app}} + \frac{1}{k_{j21}} \right]^{-1}$$

Beam stiffness

The effective stiffness of the beam whose deflection is being checked (Beam 2 in Figure B.4) is given by:

$$k_{b2} = \frac{\alpha EI_{b2}}{L_{b2}}$$

The value of α depends on the beam type (internal or external span) and loading. The fact that effective stiffness is dependent on these two parameters, in addition to EI_{b2}/L_{b2} , can be illustrated by considering two cases of simply supported beams:

- (i) the deflection of a simply supported beam subject to UDL is given by:

$$d = \frac{5}{384} \frac{wL^4}{EI}$$

- (ii) the deflection of the same beam subject to a central point load P , equal to wL , is given by:

$$d = \frac{1}{48} \frac{PL^3}{EI} = \frac{8}{384} \frac{wL^4}{EI}$$

From this illustration it is clear that the magnitude of the beam deflection, which is a measure of its effective stiffness, depends not only on EI/L , but also on the configuration of the applied loading.

Appropriate values of α can be derived using Roark's formulae for stress and strain to define M and θ ⁽¹²⁾. Use of the design curves given in Figures B.2 and B.3 is compatible with such a derivation (see Section B.3 of this Appendix). Typical examples for internal spans are:

- uniformly distributed load $\alpha = 2.0$
- point load at mid-span $\alpha = 2.0$
- point load at third span point $\alpha = 2.4$ for 'near' end
- point load at third span point $\alpha = 1.5$ for 'far' end.

A value of α equal to 2.0 can be used for most internal spans. A value of α equal to 3.0 can be used for all external spans. External spans appear to be stiffer than internal spans ($\alpha = 3.0$ rather than say 2.0) because moment is only applied at one end. For an internal span, end rotation increases by 50% due to the assumed application of an equal and opposite moment at the far end of the beam.

B.3 Derivation of design curve

The design curves given in Figures B.2 and B.3 were derived using elastic analysis software. A range of beam section sizes and spans was analysed under different loading regimes, with various assumed 'support' stiffnesses for each case. Values of end moment were recorded for each case, and compared with built-in end moments to enable plotting as moment redistribution (see Figure B.7).




Figure B.7 Model used for elastic analysis; example with schematic results

Having calculated moment redistribution for each case, and knowing the ‘support’ stiffness, the results were plotted after having calculated beam stiffness using the procedures given in Section B.2 of this Appendix. The design curves represent a mean through the plotted values. Provided the designer calculates the ‘support’ and beam stiffnesses in the prescribed way, he can therefore use the design curves to predict the results of analysis software.

B.4 Validation of procedure

The procedure given in Section B.2 of this Appendix was validated by analysing a complete subframe, comprising beams and columns, using elastic analysis software. Details of the subframe are given in Figure B.8.




Figure B.8 Subframe used to validate design procedure

The software calculated a mid-span deflection for Beam 2 equal to 15.8 mm. The design procedures predicted a deflection of 15.9 mm, confirming their applicability.

APPENDIX C Connection capacity tables

C.1 Notes on use of the tables

The tables given in this Appendix cover connections suitable for use in semi-continuous braced frames, using design procedures described in this document. Capacity tables for connections using M20 8.8 bolts, with flush or extended end plates, are presented first, followed by similar connections with M24 8.8 bolts. A table defining dimensions for detailing is given at the end of the Appendix.

The moment capacity of the connections shown may be used for all weights of beams (within the serial sizes indicated), in grade S275 or S355 steel. All end plates are grade S275. Local column capacities must be checked as described below.

For the connection to work in the intended manner, it is important that plate size and steel grade, minimum bolt and weld sizes, and dimensions between bolt centres etc are strictly adhered to. Any deviations, other than those within normal construction tolerances, may either reduce the capacity of the connection, compromise its ductility or invalidate the column check. A table of dimensions for detailing to suit individual beams is provided at the end of this Appendix.

Axial forces in the beams are ignored in the design method given in this document, and therefore the standard connection capacities are calculated without considering them. These connections should not therefore be used to transmit axial forces as part of a bracing system.

C.1.1 Beam side

Moment Capacity

The moment capacity for the beam side of the connection is calculated using the method given in Reference 6. Bolt row forces are shown in the diagram.

An asterisk * indicates that, with the detail illustrated, the beam sections noted can only be used in grade S355 steel. When grade S275 steel is used the beam compression flange capacity is less than ΣF_t . Although the connection capacity could be reduced to allow for this 'weak link', the adverse effect on ductility cannot be allowed for, and the choice of detail should be revised.

If reduced bolt row forces on the column side (see C.1.2) limit development of the beam side forces shown, a reduced moment capacity must be calculated using these reduced forces.

Dimension A

is the lever arm from the centre of compression to the lowest row of tension bolts.

Weld Sizes

All flange welds should be full strength, with a minimum visible fillet of 10 mm. All web welds should be at least continuous 8 mm fillets.

C.1.2 Column side

Tension Zone

A tick ✓ in the table indicates that the column flange and web in tension have a greater capacity than the corresponding beam force(s). Where the column has a smaller capacity, reduced bolt row forces are shown. A reduced moment may be determined from these lower forces, or the column flange may be stiffened in the tension zone⁽⁶⁾.

The capacities have been calculated assuming that the column top is at least 100 mm above the beam flange or top row of bolts.

Where tension zone stiffening is employed, the bolt row forces must be re-calculated and the compression zone checked⁽⁶⁾.

Compression Zone

A tick ✓ in the table indicates that the column web has a greater compression capacity than the sum of the bolt row forces (ΣF_r). Note that when the column side tension zone governs the bolt forces, the stated adequacy or otherwise of the column compression zone is in relation to these 'reduced' bolt values. The check was made assuming a stiff bearing length from the beam side of the connection of 50 mm, regardless of beam size.

S in the table shows that the column web compression capacity (given in brackets) is lower than the sum of the bolt row forces (ΣF_r). The web must be stiffened to resist ΣF_r .

Panel Shear Capacity

The panel shear capacity is the capacity of the column web. The applied panel shear must take account of beams connecting onto both flanges, and the direction of the applied moments. When the applied moments from two beams are in opposite directions, the panel shear forces from the beams tend to cancel each other out.

C.2 Example of capacity table use

Determine the connection capacity for a detail with two rows of M24 8.8 bolts and a 250 × 15 mm extended endplate, connecting a 686 × 254 mm beam to an internal 254 × 254 × 73 column (page 57):

	Beam Side	Column Side
Moment capacity	358kNm	Tension zone: 2nd bolt row limited to 274 kN Reduced moment capacity = $(274 \times 0.610) + (242 \times (0.610 + 0.10)) = \mathbf{339kNm}$ Compression zone: stiffening is required to resist $(274 + 242) = 516 \text{ kN}$
Vertical shear	634kN (without additional shear bolts)	
Column Web Panel Shear		Opposing beams give zero shear across the column web.

Note: governing values for design are shown in bold


C.3 Standard Connections

Contents

End Plate	Type	Bolt	Tension Bolt Rows	Page
200 × 12	Flush	M20	1	46
200 × 12	Flush	M20	2	47
250 × 12	Flush	M20	2	48
200 × 12	Extended	M20	2	49
250 × 12	Extended	M20	2	50
200 × 12	Extended	M20	3	51
250 × 12	Extended	M20	3	52
200 × 15	Flush	M24	1	53
200 × 15	Flush	M24	2	54
250 × 15	Flush	M24	2	55
200 × 15	Extended	M24	2	56
250 × 15	Extended	M24	2	57
200 × 15	Extended	M24	3	58
250 × 15	Extended	M24	3	59

Dimensions for detailing are shown on page 60

BEAM SIDE	1 ROW M20 8.8 BOLTS 200 × 12 S275 FLUSH END PLATE		
	BEAM - S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimension 'A' (mm)	Moment Capacity (kNm)
457 × 191	387	80	
457 × 152	384	80	
406 × 178	337	70	
406 × 140	333	69	
356 × 171	287	60	
356 × 127	284	59	
305 × 165	239	50	
305 × 127	239	49	
305 × 102	241	50	
254 × 146	187	39	
254 × 102	191	40	



COLUMN SIDE	S275			COLUMN Serial Size	S355		
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone	Compn. Zone		Compn. Zone	Tension Zone	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	
1000	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	1300	
849	✓	✓		177	✓	✓	1110
725	✓	✓		153	✓	✓	944
605	✓	✓		129	✓	✓	788
1037	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	1350	
816	✓	✓		158	✓	✓	1060
703	✓	✓		137	✓	✓	916
595	✓	✓		118	✓	✓	775
503	✓	✓		97	✓	✓	649
882	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	1150	
685	✓	✓		132	✓	✓	893
551	✓	✓		107	✓	✓	718
434	✓	✓		89	✓	✓	566
360	✓	✓		73	✓	✓	465
459	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	598	
353	✓	✓		71	✓	✓	460
322	✓	✓		60	✓	✓	415
272	✓	✓		52	✓	✓	351
245	198	✓		46	✓	✓	316

Tension Zone:


F_{r1} ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side.
 xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row value.

Compression Zone:

✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

2 ROWS M20 8.8 BOLTS 200 × 12 S275 FLUSH END PLATE			
BEAM SIDE	BEAM -S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimension 'A' (mm)	Moment Capacity (kNm)
	533 × 210	372	150
	457 × 191	297	123
	457 × 152	294	122
	406 × 140	247	105
406 × 140	243	102	



Vertical shear capacity
441kN without shear row


COLUMN SIDE	S275			COLUMN Serial Size	S355				
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone			Compn. Zone	Compn. Zone	Tension Zone		Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	
1000	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	1300	
849	✓	✓	✓		177	✓	✓	✓	1100
725	✓	✓	✓		153	✓	✓	✓	944
605	✓	✓	✓		129	✓	✓	✓	788
1037	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	1350	
816	✓	✓	✓		158	✓	✓	✓	1060
703	✓	✓	✓		137	✓	✓	✓	916
595	✓	✓	✓		118	✓	✓	✓	775
503	✓	✓	✓		97	✓	✓	✓	649
882	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	1150	
685	✓	✓	✓		132	✓	✓	✓	893
551	✓	✓	✓		107	✓	✓	✓	718
434	✓	✓	✓		89	✓	✓	✓	566
360	✓	✓	✓		73	✓	✓	✓	465
459	✓	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	598	
353	✓	✓	✓		71	✓	✓	✓	460
322	✓	✓	✓		60	✓	✓	✓	415
272	✓	✓	✓		52	✓	✓	✓	351
245	198	97	✓		46	✓	✓	✓	316

Tension Zone:
 F_{r1} F_{r2}
 ✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side.
 ✓ xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row value.

Compression Zone:
 ✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

2 ROWS M20 8.8 BOLTS 250 × 12 S275 FLUSH END PLATE			
BEAM SIDE	BEAM -S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimension 'A' (mm)	Moment Capacity (kNm)
	686 × 254	520	220
	610 × 229	445	190
	533 × 210	372	160
	457 × 191	297	131
457 × 152	294	129	



Vertical shear capacity 441kN without shear row

COLUMN SIDE	S275			COLUMN Serial Size	S355					
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone			Compn. Zone	Compn. Zone	Tension Zone		Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	
		F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)		
	1000	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	1302	
	849	✓	✓	✓		177	✓	✓	✓	1105
	725	✓	✓	✓		153	✓	✓	✓	944
	605	✓	✓	✓		129	✓	✓	✓	787
	1037	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	1350	
	816	✓	✓	✓		158	✓	✓	✓	1062
	703	✓	✓	✓		137	✓	✓	✓	915
	595	✓	✓	✓		118	✓	✓	✓	774
	503	✓	✓	✓		97	✓	✓	✓	649
	882	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	1149	
	685	✓	✓	✓		132	✓	✓	✓	892
	551	✓	✓	✓		107	✓	✓	✓	717
	434	✓	✓	✓		89	✓	✓	✓	566
	360	✓	✓	✓		73	✓	✓	✓	465
	459	✓	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	598	
	353	✓	✓	✓		71	✓	✓	✓	460
	322	✓	✓	✓		60	✓	✓	✓	415
	272	✓	✓	S(360)		52	✓	✓	✓	351
	245	198	97	✓		46	✓	✓	✓	316
<p>Tension Zone:</p> <p>F_{r1} F_{r2}</p> <p>✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side.</p> <p>✓ xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row value.</p> <p>Compression Zone:</p> <p>✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r</p> <p>S (xxx) Column requires stiffening to resist ΣF_r (Value is the column web capacity)</p>										


See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

2 ROWS M20 8.8 BOLTS 200 × 12 S275 EXTENDED END PLATE				
BEAM -S275 & S355				
BEAM SIDE	Beam Serial Size	Dimension on 'A' (mm)		Moment Capacity (kNm)
	533 × 210	462		165
	457 × 191	387		141
	457 × 152	384		140
	406 × 178	337		124
	406 × 140	333		123
	356 × 171	287		107
	356 × 127	284		107
	305 × 165	239		91
	305 × 127	239		91
	305 × 102*	241		92
	254 × 146	187		74
	254 × 102*	191		75
	* 305 × 102 × 25 254 × 102 × 25 254 × 102 × 22		These sections suitable in S355 only	

COLUMN SIDE	S275			COLUMN Serial Size	S355				
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone			Compn Zone	Compn Zone	Tension Zone		Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	
	1000	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	1300
	849	✓	✓	✓	177	✓	✓	✓	1110
	725	✓	✓	✓	153	✓	✓	✓	944
	605	✓	✓	✓	129	✓	✓	✓	788
	1037	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	1350
	816	✓	✓	✓	158	✓	✓	✓	1060
	703	✓	✓	✓	137	✓	✓	✓	916
	595	✓	✓	✓	118	✓	✓	✓	775
	503	✓	✓	✓	97	✓	✓	✓	649
	882	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	1150
	685	✓	✓	✓	132	✓	✓	✓	893
	551	✓	✓	✓	107	✓	✓	✓	718
	434	✓	✓	✓	89	✓	✓	✓	566
	360	✓	206	✓	73	✓	✓	✓	465
	459	✓	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	598
	353	✓	✓	✓	71	✓	✓	✓	460
	322	✓	191	✓	60	✓	202	✓	415
	272	✓	181	✓	52	✓	190	✓	351
	245	✓	107	✓	46	✓	181	✓	316
	Tension Zone: F_{r1} F_{r2} ✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side. ✓ xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row value.								
	Compression Zone: ✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r								

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

BEAM SIDE	2 ROWS M20 8.8 BOLTS 250 × 12 S275 EXTENDED END PLATE		
	BEAM -S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimensi on 'A' (mm)	Momen t Capacit y (kNm)
	686 × 254	610	236
	610 × 229	535	209
	533 × 210	462	183
	457 × 191	387	156
	457 × 152	384	155



Vertical shear capacity
441kN without shear row


COLUMN SIDE	S275			COLUMN Serial Size	S355				
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone			Compn. Zone	Compn. Zone	Tension Zone		Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	
1000	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	1300	
849	✓	✓	✓		177	✓	✓	✓	1110
725	✓	✓	✓		153	✓	✓	✓	944
605	✓	✓	✓		129	✓	✓	✓	788
1037	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	1350	
816	✓	✓	✓		158	✓	✓	✓	1060
703	✓	✓	✓		137	✓	✓	✓	916
595	✓	✓	✓		118	✓	✓	✓	775
503	✓	✓	✓		97	✓	✓	✓	649
882	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	1150	
685	✓	✓	✓		132	✓	✓	✓	893
551	✓	✓	✓		107	✓	✓	✓	718
434	✓	✓	✓		89	✓	✓	✓	566
360	✓	206	✓		73	✓	✓	✓	465
459	✓	✓	✓		203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	598
353	✓	✓	✓	71		✓	✓	✓	460
322	✓	191	✓	60		✓	✓	202	415
272	✓	181	✓	52		✓	✓	190	351
245	✓	107	✓	46		✓	✓	181	316

Tension Zone:
 F_{r1} F_{r2}
 ✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side.
 ✓ xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row value.

Compression Zone:
 ✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

3 ROWS M20 8.8 BOLTS			
200 × 12 S275 EXTENDED END PLATE			
BEAM SIDE	BEAM - S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimensi on 'A' (mm)	Momen t Capacit y (kNm)
	533 × 210	372	220
	457 × 191	297	184
	457 × 152	294	182
	406 × 178	247	160
406 × 140*	243	155	
*406 × 140 × 39 is suitable in S355 only			



COLUMN SIDE	S275				COLUMN Serial Size	S355					
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone				Compn Zone	Compn Zone	Tension Zone			Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	F_{r3} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	F_{r3} (kN)	
1000	✓	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	✓	1300	
849	✓	✓	✓	✓	177	✓	✓	✓	✓	1110	
725	✓	✓	✓	✓	153	✓	✓	✓	✓	944	
605	✓	✓	✓	✓	129	✓	✓	✓	✓	788	
1037	✓	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	✓	1350	
816	✓	✓	✓	✓	158	✓	✓	✓	✓	1060	
703	✓	✓	✓	✓	137	✓	✓	✓	✓	916	
595	✓	✓	✓	✓	118	✓	✓	✓	✓	775	
503	✓	✓	✓	✓	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	649	
882	✓	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	✓	1150	
685	✓	✓	✓	✓	132	✓	✓	✓	✓	893	
551	✓	✓	✓	✓	107	✓	✓	✓	✓	718	
434	✓	✓	✓	✓	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	566	
360	✓	206	✓	S (436)	73	✓	✓	✓	✓	465	
459	✓	✓	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	✓	598	
353	✓	✓	✓	✓	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	460	
322	✓	191	✓	S (440)	60	✓	✓	202	✓	415	
272	✓	181	121	S (360)	52	✓	✓	190	✓	351	
245	✓	107	90	S (313)	46	S (404)	✓	181	118	316	

Tension Zone:


F_{r1} F_{r2} F_{r3}
 ✓ ✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side.
 ✓ xxx xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row values.

Compression Zone:

✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r
 S (xxx) Column requires stiffening to resist ΣF_r , (Value is the column web capacity)

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

BEAM SIDE	3 ROWS M20 8.8 BOLTS 250 × 12 S275 EXTENDED END PLATE		
	BEAM -S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimensi on 'A' (mm)	Momen t Capacit y (kNm)
	686 × 254	520	330
	610 × 229	445	288
	533 × 210	372	247
	457 × 191	297	206
	457 × 152	294	204



COLUMN SIDE	S275				COLUMN Serial Size	S355					
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone				Compn Zone	Compn Zone	Tension Zone			Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	F_{r3} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	F_{r3} (kN)	
	1000	✓	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	✓	1300
	849	✓	✓	✓	✓	177	✓	✓	✓	✓	1110
	725	✓	✓	✓	✓	153	✓	✓	✓	✓	944
	605	✓	✓	✓	✓	129	✓	✓	✓	✓	788
	1037	✓	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	✓	1350
	816	✓	✓	✓	✓	158	✓	✓	✓	✓	1060
	703	✓	✓	✓	✓	137	✓	✓	✓	✓	916
	595	✓	✓	✓	✓	118	✓	✓	✓	✓	775
	503	✓	✓	✓	✓	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	649
	882	✓	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	✓	1150
	685	✓	✓	✓	✓	132	✓	✓	✓	✓	893
	551	✓	✓	✓	✓	107	✓	✓	✓	✓	718
	434	✓	✓	✓	✓	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	566
	360	✓	206	✓	S (436)	73	✓	✓	✓	✓	465
	459	✓	✓	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	✓	598
	353	✓	✓	✓	S (512)	71	✓	✓	✓	✓	460
	322	✓	191	✓	S (440)	60	✓	202	✓	✓	415
	272	✓	181	121	S (360)	52	S (464)	✓	190	✓	351
	245	✓	107	90	S (313)	46	S (404)	✓	181	118	316

Tension Zone:
 F_{r1} F_{r2} F_{r3}
 ✓ ✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side.
 ✓ xxx xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row values.

Compression Zone:
 ✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r
 S (xxx) Column requires stiffening to resist ΣF_r , (Value is the column web capacity)


See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

BEAM SIDE		1 ROW M24 8.8 BOLTS 200 × 15 S275 FLUSH END PLATE		
		BEAM -S275 & S355		
Beam Serial Size	Dimension n 'A' (mm)	Moment Capacity (kNm)		
457 × 191	387	119		
457 × 152	384	118		
406 × 178	337	103		
406 × 140	333	102		
356 × 171	287	88		
356 × 127	284	87		
305 × 165	239	73		
305 × 127	238	73		
305 × 102*	241	74		
254 × 146	187	57		
254 × 102*	191	58		
*305 × 102 × 25 254 × 102 × 22		These sections suitable in S355 only		

COLUMN SIDE	S275			COLUMN Serial Size	S355		
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone	Compn Zone		Compn Zone	Tension Zone	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	
1000	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	1300
849	✓	✓	✓	177	✓	✓	1110
725	✓	✓	✓	153	✓	✓	944
605	✓	✓	✓	129	✓	✓	788
1037	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	1350
816	✓	✓	✓	158	✓	✓	1060
703	✓	✓	✓	137	✓	✓	916
595	✓	✓	✓	118	✓	✓	775
503	✓	✓	✓	97	✓	✓	649
882	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	1150
685	✓	✓	✓	132	✓	✓	893
551	✓	✓	✓	107	✓	✓	718
434	✓	✓	✓	89	✓	✓	566
360	297	✓	✓	73	✓	✓	465
459	✓	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	598
353	✓	✓	✓	71	✓	✓	460
322	297	✓	✓	60	✓	✓	415
272	265	✓	✓	52	✓	296	351
245	204	✓	✓	46	✓	263	316
Tension Zone: F_{r1} ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side. xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row values.							
Compression Zone: ✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r							

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

2 ROWS M24 8.8 BOLTS 200 × 15 S275 FLUSH END PLATE			
BEAM SIDE	BEAM -S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimensi on 'A' (mm)	Momen t Capacit y (kNm)
	533 × 210	372	233
	457 × 191	297	191
	457 × 152	294	186
	406 × 178	247	161
406 × 140*	243	158	
*406 × 140 × 39 is suitable in S355 only			



Vertical shear capacity 634kN without shear row

COLUMN SIDE	S275			COLUMN Serial Size	S355				
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone			Compn Zone	Compn Zone	Tension Zone		Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	
1000	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	1300	
849	✓	✓	✓	177	✓	✓	✓	1110	
725	✓	✓	✓	153	✓	✓	✓	944	
605	✓	✓	✓	129	✓	✓	✓	788	
1037	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	1350	
816	✓	✓	✓	158	✓	✓	✓	1060	
703	✓	✓	✓	137	✓	✓	✓	916	
595	✓	✓	✓	118	✓	✓	✓	775	
503	✓	✓	✓	97	✓	✓	✓	649	
882	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	1150	
685	✓	✓	✓	132	✓	✓	✓	893	
551	✓	✓	✓	107	✓	✓	✓	718	
434	✓	✓	✓	89	✓	✓	✓	566	
360	297	✓	S (436)	73	✓	✓	✓	465	
459	✓	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	598	
353	✓	✓	S (512)	71	✓	✓	✓	460	
322	297	204	S (440)	60	✓	✓	✓	415	
272	265	118	S (360)	52	S (464)	296	198	351	
245	204	90	✓	46	✓	263	116	316	

Tension Zone:

F_{r1} F_{r2}

✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side.

✓ xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row value.


Compression Zone:

✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r

S (xxx) Column reduced stiffening to resist ΣF_r (Value is the column web capacity.)

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

BEAM SIDE	2 ROWS M24 8.8 BOLTS 250 × 15 S275 FLUSH END PLATE		
	BEAM -S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimensi on 'A' (mm)	Momen t Capacity (kNm)
	686 × 254	520	326
	610 × 229	445	283
	533 × 210	372	240
	457 × 191	297	197
	457 × 152	294	195



Vertical shear capacity 634kN without shear row


COLUMN SIDE	S275			COLUMN Serial Size	S355				
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone			Compn Zone	Compn Zone	Tension Zone		Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	
	1000	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	1300
	849	✓	✓	✓	177	✓	✓	✓	1110
	725	✓	✓	✓	153	✓	✓	✓	944
	605	✓	✓	✓	129	✓	✓	✓	788
	1037	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	1350
	816	✓	✓	✓	158	✓	✓	✓	1060
	703	✓	✓	✓	137	✓	✓	✓	916
	595	✓	✓	✓	118	✓	✓	✓	775
	503	✓	✓	S (553)	97	✓	✓	✓	649
	882	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	1150
	685	✓	✓	✓	132	✓	✓	✓	893
	551	✓	✓	✓	107	✓	✓	✓	718
	434	✓	✓	S (557)	89	✓	✓	✓	566
	360	297	✓	S (436)	73	S (563)	✓	✓	465
	459	✓	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	598
	353	✓	✓	S (512)	71	✓	✓	✓	460
	322	297	204	S (440)	60	S (568)	✓	✓	415
	272	265	118	S (360)	52	S (464)	296	198	351
	245	204	90	✓	46	✓	263	116	316

Tension Zone:
 F_{r1} F_{r2}
 ✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side.
 ✓ xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row value.

Compression Zone:
 ✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r
 S (xxx) Column reduced stiffening to resist ΣF_r (Value is the column web capacity.)

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

2 ROWS M24 8.8 BOLTS			
200 × 15 S275 EXTENDED END PLATE			
BEAM SIDE	BEAM -S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimensi on 'A' (mm)	Momen t Capacit y (kNm)
	533 × 210	462	250
	457 × 191	387	213
	457 × 152	384	211
	406 × 178	337	188
	406 × 140*	333	186
	356 × 171	287	163
	356 × 127*	284	161
	305 × 165	239	139
305 × 127	238	139	
*406 × 140 × 39 356 × 127 × 33		These sections suitable in S355 only	



Vertical shear capacity 634kN without shear row

COLUMN SIDE	S275			COLUMN Serial Size	S355				
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone			Compn Zone	Compn Zone	Tension Zone		Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	
	1000	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	1300
	849	✓	✓	✓	177	✓	✓	✓	1110
	725	✓	✓	✓	153	✓	✓	✓	944
	605	✓	✓	✓	129	✓	✓	✓	788
	1037	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	1350
	816	✓	✓	✓	158	✓	✓	✓	1060
	703	✓	✓	✓	137	✓	✓	✓	916
	595	✓	✓	✓	118	✓	✓	✓	775
	503	✓	✓	✓	97	✓	✓	✓	649
	882	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	1150
	685	✓	✓	✓	132	✓	✓	✓	893
	551	✓	✓	✓	107	✓	✓	✓	718
	434	✓	301	✓	89	✓	✓	✓	566
	360	✓	274	S (436)	73	✓	✓	289	465
	459	✓	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	598
	353	✓	276	✓	71	✓	✓	293	460
	322	✓	221	✓	60	✓	✓	269	415
	272	✓	131	✓	52	✓	✓	215	351
	245	✓	100	✓	46	✓	✓	129	316
Tension Zone: F_{r1} F_{r2} ✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side. ✓ xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row value.									
Compression Zone: ✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r S (xxx) Column reduced stiffening to resist ΣF_r . (Value is the column web capacity.)									

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

BEAM SIDE	2 ROWS M24 8.8 BOLTS		
	250 × 15 S275 EXTENDED END PLATE		
	BEAM -S275 & S355		
Beam Serial Size	Dimension on 'A' (mm)	Moment Capacity (kNm)	
686 × 254	610	358	
610 × 229	535	317	
533 × 210	462	277	
457 × 191	387	236	

COLUMN SIDE	S275			COLUMN Serial Size	S355				
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone			Compn Zone	Compn Zone	Tension Zone		Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F _{r1} (kN)	F _{r2} (kN)				F _{r1} (kN)	F _{r2} (kN)	
1000	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	1300	
849	✓	✓	✓		177	✓	✓	✓	1110
725	✓	✓	✓		153	✓	✓	✓	944
605	✓	✓	✓		129	✓	✓	✓	788
1037	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	1350	
816	✓	✓	✓		158	✓	✓	✓	1060
703	✓	✓	✓		137	✓	✓	✓	916
595	✓	✓	✓		118	✓	✓	✓	775
503	✓	✓	✓		97	✓	✓	✓	649
882	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	1150	
685	✓	✓	✓		132	✓	✓	✓	893
551	✓	✓	✓		107	✓	✓	✓	718
434	✓	301	✓		89	✓	✓	✓	566
360	✓	274	S (436)		73	✓	✓	289	465
459	✓	✓	✓	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	598	
353	✓	276	S (512)		71	✓	✓	293	460
322	✓	221	S (440)		60	✓	✓	269	415
272	✓	131	S (360)		52	✓	✓	215	351
245	204	100	✓		46	✓	✓	129	316
Tension Zone: F _{r1} F _{r2} ✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side. ✓ xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row value.									
Compression Zone: ✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF _r S (xxx) Column requires stiffening to resist ΣF _r . (Value is the column web capacity.)									

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

3 ROWS M24 8.8 BOLTS 200 × 15 S275 EXTENDED END PLATE			
BEAM SIDE	BEAM -S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimensi on 'A' (mm)	Momen t Capacit y (kNm)
	533 × 210	372	342
457 × 191	297	286	

COLUMN SIDE	S275				COLUMN Serial Size	S355					
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone				Compn Zone	Compn Zone	Tension Zone			Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	F_{r3} (kN)				F_{r1} (kN)	F_{r2} (kN)	F_{r3} (kN)	
1000	✓	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	✓	1300	
849	✓	✓	✓	✓	177	✓	✓	✓	✓	1110	
725	✓	✓	✓	✓	153	✓	✓	✓	✓	944	
605	✓	✓	✓	S (605)	129	✓	✓	✓	✓	788	
1037	✓	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	✓	1350	
816	✓	✓	✓	✓	158	✓	✓	✓	✓	1060	
703	✓	✓	✓	✓	137	✓	✓	✓	✓	916	
595	✓	✓	✓	S (692)	118	✓	✓	✓	✓	775	
503	✓	✓	✓	S (553)	97	S (713)	✓	✓	✓	649	
882	✓	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	✓	1150	
685	✓	✓	✓	✓	132	✓	✓	✓	✓	893	
551	✓	✓	✓	✓	107	✓	✓	✓	✓	718	
434	✓	301	✓	S (557)	89	S (725)	✓	✓	✓	566	
360	✓	274	✓	S (436)	73	S (563)	✓	289	✓	465	
459	✓	✓	✓	S (701)	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	✓	598	
353	✓	276	✓	S (512)	71	S (666)	✓	293	✓	460	
322	✓	221	✓	S (440)	60	S (568)	✓	269	✓	415	
272	✓	131	118	S (360)	52	S (464)	✓	215	152	351	
245	✓	100	90	S (313)	46	S (404)	✓	129	116	316	
Tension Zone: F_{r1} F_{r2} F_{r3} ✓ ✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side. ✓ xxx xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row values.											
Compression Zone: ✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r S (xxx) Column requires stiffening to resist ΣF_r . (Value is the column web capacity.)											

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

BEAM SIDE	3 ROWS M24 8.8 BOLTS 250 × 15 S275 EXTENDED END PLATE		
	BEAM -S275 & S355		
	Beam Serial Size	Dimensi on 'A' (mm)	Momen t Capacit y (kNm)
	686 × 254	520	498
	610 × 229	445	436
	533 × 210	372	376
	457 × 191	297	315

COLUMN SIDE	S275				COLUMN Serial Size	S355					
	Panel Shear Capacity (kN)	Tension Zone				Compn Zone	Compn Zone	Tension Zone			Panel Shear Capacity (kN)
		F _{r1} (kN)	F _{r2} (kN)	F _{r3} (kN)				F _{r1} (kN)	F _{r2} (kN)	F _{r3} (kN)	
1000	✓	✓	✓	✓	356 × 368 × 202	✓	✓	✓	✓	1300	
849	✓	✓	✓	✓		177	✓	✓	✓	1110	
725	✓	✓	✓	S (766)		153	✓	✓	✓	944	
605	✓	✓	✓	S (605)		129	S (788)	✓	✓	✓	788
1037	✓	✓	✓	✓	305 × 305 × 198	✓	✓	✓	✓	1350	
816	✓	✓	✓	✓		158	✓	✓	✓	1060	
703	✓	✓	✓	✓		137	✓	✓	✓	916	
595	✓	✓	✓	S (692)		118	✓	✓	✓	775	
503	✓	✓	✓	S (553)		97	S (713)	✓	✓	✓	649
882	✓	✓	✓	✓	254 × 254 × 167	✓	✓	✓	✓	1150	
685	✓	✓	✓	✓		132	✓	✓	✓	893	
551	✓	✓	✓	S (744)		107	✓	✓	✓	718	
434	✓	301	✓	S (557)		89	S (725)	✓	✓	✓	566
360	✓	274	182	S (436)		73	S (563)	✓	289	✓	465
459	✓	✓	✓	S (701)	203 × 203 × 86	✓	✓	✓	✓	598	
353	✓	276	✓	S (512)		71	S (666)	✓	293	✓	460
322	✓	221	155	S (440)		60	S (568)	✓	269	264	415
272	✓	131	118	S (360)		52	S (464)	✓	215	152	351
245	204	100	90	S (313)		46	S (404)	✓	129	116	316

Tension Zone:
 F_{r1} F_{r2} F_{r3}
 ✓ ✓ ✓ Column satisfactory for bolt row tension values shown for the beam side.
 ✓ xxx xxx Calculate reduced moment capacity using the reduced bolt row values.

Compression Zone:
 ✓ Column capacity exceeds ΣF_r
 S (xxx) Column requires stiffening to resist ΣF_r. (Value is the column web capacity.)

See:
Notes - page 43
Example - page 44

STANDARD CONNECTIONS - DIMENSIONS FOR DETAILING

	dimension a₁ mm	dimension a₂ mm	Flush End Plate Overall Depth D_F mm	Extended End Plate Overall Depth D_E mm	
686 × 254 × 170 152 140 125	485 480 475 470	395 390 385 380	750	815	
610 × 229 × 140 125 113 101	410 400 400 390	320 310 310 300	670	735	
533 × 210 × 122 109 101 92 82	335 330 325 325 320	245 240 235 235 230	600	665	
457 × 191 × 98 89 82 74 67	260 255 250 250 245	170 165 160 160 155	520	585	
457 × 152 × 82 74 67 60 52	255 250 250 245 240	165 160 160 155 150	520	585	
406 × 178 × 74 67 60 54	205 200 195 195	115 110 105 105	470	535	
406 × 140 × 46 39	190 185	100 95	450	515	
356 × 171 × 67 57 51 45	155 150 145 140		420	485	
356 × 127 × 39 33	145 140		410	475	
305 × 165 × 54 46 40	100 95 95		360	425	
305 × 127 × 48 42 37	100 95 95		360	425	
305 × 102 × 33 28 25	105 100 95		370	435	
254 × 146 × 43 37 31	50 45 45		310	375	
254 × 102 × 28 25 22	50 45 45		310	375	

See capacity table diagram for plate thickness and other dimensions appropriate to the moment capacities. All plates to be S275.

