PALGRAVE STUDIES IN THE HISTORY OF SOCIAL MOVEMENTS

Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s Global Radicals

Jon Piccini

Palgrave Studies in the History of Social Movements

Series Editors Stefan Berger Ruhr University Bochum Bochum Germany

Holger Nehring University of Stirling Stirling United Kingdom Around the world, social movements have become legitimate, yet contested, actors in local, national and global politics and civil society, yet we still know relatively little about their longer histories and the trajectories of their development. This series seeks to promote innovative historical research on the history of social movements in the modern period since around 1750. We bring together conceptually-informed studies that analvse labour movements, new social movements and other forms of protest from early modernity to the present. We conceive of 'social movements' in the broadest possible sense, encompassing social formations that lie between formal organisations and mere protest events. We also offer a home for studies that systematically explore the political, social, economic and cultural conditions in which social movements can emerge. We are especially interested in transnational and global perspectives on the history of social movements, and in studies that engage critically and creatively with political, social and sociological theories in order to make historically grounded arguments about social movements. This new series seeks to offer innovative historical work on social movements, while also helping to historicise the concept of 'social movement'. It hopes to revitalise the conversation between historians and historical sociologists in analysing what Charles Tilly has called the 'dynamics of contention'.

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/14580

Jon Piccini

Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s

Global Radicals



Jon Piccini University of Queensland Brisbane, Australia

Palgrave Studies in the History of Social Movements ISBN 978-1-137-52913-8 ISBN 978-1-137-52914-5 (eBook) DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-52914-5

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016939127

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016

The author(s) has/have asserted their right(s) to be identified as the author(s) of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature The registered company is Macmillan Publishers Ltd. London For Francis

PREFACE

Around the world, social movements have become legitimate, yet contested, actors in local, national and global politics and civil society, yet we still know relatively little about their longer histories and the trajectories of their development. Our series reacts to what can be described as a recent boom in the history of social movements. We can observe a development from the crisis of labour history in the 1980s to the boom in research on social movements in the 2000s. The rise of historical interests in the development of civil society and the role of strong civil societies as well as non-governmental organisations in stabilizing democratically constituted polities has strengthened the interest in social movements as a constituent element of civil societies.

In different parts of the world, social movements continue to have a strong influence on contemporary politics. In Latin America, trade unions, labour parties and various left-of-centre civil society organisations have succeeded in supporting left-of-centre governments. In Europe, peace movements, ecological movements and alliances intent on campaigning against poverty and racial discrimination and discrimination on the basis of gender and sexual orientation have been able to set important political agendas for decades. In other parts of the world, including Africa, India and South East Asia, social movements have played a significant role in various forms of community building and community politics. The contemporary political relevance of social movements has undoubtedly contributed to a growing historical interest in the topic.

Contemporary historians are not only beginning to historicise these relatively recent political developments; they are also trying to relate them to a longer history of social movements, including traditional labour organisations, such as working-class parties and trade unions. In the longue durée, we recognise that social movements are by no means a recent phenomenon and are not even an exclusively modern phenomenon, although we realise that the onset of modernity emanating from Europe and North America across the wider world from the eighteenth century onwards marks an important departure point for the development of civil societies and social movements.

In the nineteenth and twentieth centuries the dominance of national history over all other forms of history writing led to a thorough nationalisation of the historical sciences. Hence social movements have been examined traditionally within the framework of the nation state. Only during the last two decades have historians begun to question the validity of such methodological nationalism and to explore the development of social movements in comparative, connective and transnational perspective taking into account processes of transfer, reception and adaptation. Whilst our book series does not preclude work that is still being carried out within national frameworks (for, clearly, there is a place for such studies, given the historical importance of the nation state in history), it hopes to encourage comparative and transnational histories on social movements.

At the same time as historians have begun to research the history of those movements, a range of social theorists, from Jürgen Habermas to Pierre Bourdieu and from Slavoj Žižek to Alain Badiou as well as Ernesto Laclau and Chantal Mouffe to Miguel Abensour, to name but a few, have attempted to provide philosophical-cum-theoretical frameworks in which to place and contextualise the development of social movements. History has arguably been the most empirical of all the social and human sciences, but it will be necessary for historians to explore further to what extent these social theories can be helpful in guiding and framing the empirical work of the historian in making sense of the historical development of social movements. Hence the current series is also hoping to make a contribution to the ongoing dialogue between social theory and the history of social movements.

This series seeks to promote innovative historical research on the history of social movements in the modern period since around 1750. We bring together conceptually-informed studies that analyse labour movements, new social movements and other forms of protest from early modernity to the present. With this series, we seek to revive, within the context of historiographical developments since the 1970s, a conversation between historians on the one hand and sociologists, anthropologists and political scientists on the other.

Unlike most of the concepts and theories developed by social scientists, we do not see social movements as directly linked, a priori, to processes of social and cultural change and therefore do not adhere to a view that distinguishes between old (labour) and new (middle-class) social movements. Instead, we want to establish the concept 'social movement' as a heuristic device that allows historians of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries to investigate social and political protests in novel settings. Our aim is to historicise notions of social and political activism in order to highlight different notions of political and social protest on both left and right.

Hence, we conceive of 'social movements' in the broadest possible sense, encompassing social formations that lie between formal organisations and mere protest events. But we also include processes of social and cultural change more generally in our understanding of social movements: this goes back to nineteenth-century understandings of 'social movement' as processes of social and cultural change more generally. We also offer a home for studies that systematically explore the political, social, economic and cultural conditions in which social movements can emerge. We are especially interested in transnational and global perspectives on the history of social movements, and in studies that engage critically and creatively with political, social and sociological theories in order to make historically grounded arguments about social movements. In short, this series seeks to offer innovative historical work on social movements, while also helping to historicise the concept of 'social movement'. It also hopes to revitalise the conversation between historians and historical sociologists in analysing what Charles Tilly has called the 'dynamics of contention'.

Transnational Protest: Australia and the 1960s is an important contribution to the recent move towards conceptualising the period around '1968' as a global history and as a 'transnational moment of change', as Rainer Horn and Padraic Kenney have called it. Transnational Protest is a book about how activists, practices and ideas crossed borders, and how this mattered. But it also shows how border crossings always came with a degree of local groundedness.

The book is one of the first monographs to analyse Australia's '1968' in a global context. Australia makes for an especially fascinating case study: not only because of its politics, which during the 1950s and into the 1960s saw the emergence of a vehemently anti-communist political culture; but also because of its relative geographical proximity to some of the key refer-

ence points of the global '68, namely China and Vietnam. Not least, as a former settler colony, Australia still was part of the global 'Angloworld', with its circulation of knowledges, practices and ideas.

In this book, Jon Piccini has mined a plethora of different primary sources: governmental papers, activists' diaries and memoirs, movement papers and magazines, and the countless pamphlets that activists produced. His aim is to present us with a 'globally attuned, yet locally specific' history, one that 'identifies the local in the global, as well as the global at work locally'. Piccini illuminates how 'the idea of global revolution' became a local reality in Australia, by considering Australian contributions to '68 activism worldwide; but he also analyses how Australian activists engaged with the outside world. The Australian case is interesting from another perspective as well: the protesters' engagement with the indigenous Australian population highlights the importance of race and antiimperialist drive of '68 activism more generally. Thus, Piccini's history also offers some rewarding conceptual insights into how to connect local activism with a global frame of reference, which makes it a fascinating read for all social movement scholars.

Stefan Berger (Bochum) and Holger Nehring (Stirling)

Acknowledgements

Much like the Sixties itself, this book has been a collective endeavour, and I have accrued a great many debts. Firstly, Chris Dixon, my mentor, guided this book from initial idea to finished product while also providing plentiful and encouraging feedback and pointers on the finer details of the publishing process. Geoff Ginn, a secondary advisor, also provided fantastic insights and observations, while Peter Spearritt volunteered time out of his busy schedule to discuss the project and read chapters. Professors Ian Tyrell and Stuart Macintyre provided incredibly useful feedback on preparing the manuscript for publication.

I travelled widely to undertake research for this book, which has been assisted by numerous funding bodies. Apart from generous funding from the University of Queensland, I won a Norman McCann Summer Scholarship to work at the National Library of Australia in 2012, and I would like to thank Robyn Holmes and the many librarians who facilitated my stay and put up with my trawling through the depths of their archives. The Australian Policy and History Network and Australian Historical Association/*History Australia* also provided me with additional travel funds and fantastic mentoring. I would particularly like to thank Richard White and Penny Russell for providing me with the latter scholarship and facilitating a very useful writing workshop at the Adelaide AHA conference, as well as Nicholas Brown, my mentor for the APH research internship, who closely read and provided very helpful comments on my drafts.

Personally, I am indebted to my colleagues Kate Ariotti, Ana Stevenson, Alana Piper, Gemmia Burden, and Hollie Thomas with whom I have shared many beers, whinges, and the occasional fruitful discussion. Kate in particular provided fantastic comments on multiple drafts of this book in its early stages. I would also like to thank my friends and family who, despite being significantly less interested than myself in the complexities of Sixties history, were charitable and understanding in the extreme. Lastly, and most importantly, I would like to thank Teena for her love, support, and ideas throughout the years. Without her, this project would not have been possible.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
Pa	rt 1 Origins	17
2	From Helpless Natives to Revolutionary Heroes: An Evolving Ethic of Solidarity	19
3	Turning Over Marx and Mao and Intently Lengthening Their Hair: Writing, Debating, and Living the Global	41
Part 2 Comings and Goings		77
4	Revolutionary Tourists: Australian Activists, Travel and 1968	79
5	Our Unpolluted Shores: Radical Arrivals and the Politics of the Border	113

xiv CONTENTS

Pa	art 3 Possibilities and Disillusionment	147
6	Wider Horizons: Indigenous Australians Abroad and the Limits of Global Activism	149
7	A Dangerous Disease to Catch: Overseas Students, Transnational Policing and the Passing of an Idea	181
Bibliography		223
Index		245

Abbreviations

Australian Aboriginal Progressive Association
Australia-China Society
Australian Labor Party
Australian Left Review
Australian Security Intelligence Organisation
Australian Union of Students
Builders Labourer's Federation
Congress of African People
Centre for Democratic Action
Communist Information Bureau
Communist International
Communist Party of Australia
Communist Party of Australia (Marxist-Leninist)
Defence Forces Protection Act
Federal Council for the Advancement of Aborigines and Torres
Strait Islanders
Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution
International Students Solidarity Week
Monash Labor Club
National Liberation Front
National Tribal Council
National Union of Australian University Students
One People of Australia League
Overseas Student Service
People's Republic of China
Students for Australian Independence
Students for Democratic Action

xvi ABBREVIATIONS

SDS	Students for a Democratic Society
SEATO	South East Asia Treaty Organisation
SNCC	Student Non-violent Coordinating Committee
SOS	Save Our Sons
SRC	Student Representative Council
UAW	Union of Australian Women
UNIA	Universal Negro Improvement Association
UNSW	University of New South Wales
UQ	The University of Queensland
VAAL	Victorian Aborigines Advancement League
VAC	Vietnam Action Committee
WFYS	World Festival of Youth and Students
YCAC	Youth Campaign Against Conscription

Introduction

The 1960s have been described by Australian historians Robin Gerster and Jan Bassett as "a decade of transit and of transition, of comings and goings, of cultural traffic".¹ It was a period of great hopes and dreams sandwiched between the conservatism of the 1950s and the rise of the New Right, and one that was experienced, perhaps more than any before it, as truly global. "Youthful dissidence", an American Central Intelligence Agency report from September 1968 warned, was "a worldwide phenomenon". "The revolution in communication [and] the ease of travel" ensured that "riots in West Berlin, Paris and New York and sitins in more than twenty other countries in recent months [have] caught the attention of the whole world", the report ominously warned.² Daniel Cohn-Bendit, French student leader and self-professed international revolutionary, perhaps best captured a similar global consciousness when he reminisced: "Paris, New York, Berkeley, Rome, Prague, Rio, Mexico City, Warsaw-those were the places of a revolt that stretched all around the globe and captured the hearts and dreams of a whole generation".³ Such sentiments were not limited to the student ghettos either. Che Guevara's

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016 J. Piccini, *Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s*, DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-52914-5_1

¹Robin Gerster and Jan Bassett. Seizures of Youth: The 1960s and Australia (South Yarra, Vic: Hyland House, 1991), 103.

²Quoted in Martin Klimke, *The Other Alliance: Student Protest in West Germany & The United States in the Global 1960s* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2010), 1.

³Daniel Cohn-Bendit quoted in Klimke, The Other Alliance, 2.

call for the creation of "two, three, many Vietnams" mirrored the multiplication of anti-colonial struggles across the Third World, while other dispossessed or marginalised groups from Indigenous Australians to women and homosexuals mobilised these ideas of liberation to their own ends.⁴ It was, then, a period in which the utopian idea of a global revolution beyond classes, nations, and various other artificial human divisions seemed not only possible but perhaps inevitable.

Activists and governments alike, then, believed that what Simon Prince has termed an "imagined community of global revolt" underlay the deep connections and networks that "made" the 1960s.⁵ Despite the fact that such activists "never know their fellow-members, met them, or even hear of them", to borrow Benedict Anderson's description of imagined national communities, "in the minds of each lives the image of their communion".⁶ Or, in the words of University of Queensland lecturer, anti-Vietnam War activist, and leading theorist of the Australian New Left Dan O'Neill, it was "[a]s if we had all been moles burrowing along in our own different undergrounds, who came out into an open space of emotion and thought blinking at one another". "We discovered, with some interest", O'Neill continued, "that we were probably part of an international 'new left"".⁷

Global Radicals uncovers the processes whereby social movement activists "became" transnational during the 1960s. It looks deeply into a world now largely condemned to what E.P. Thompson once so aptly labelled "the enormous condescension of posterity".⁸ Australia's cultural cringe

⁴On Guevara's call and his relevance to 1960s movements see Jeremy Prestholdt, "Ressurecting Che: radicalism, the transnational imagination and the politics of heroes," *Journal of Global History* 7, No. 3 (November 2012): 506–526.

⁵Simon Prince, "The Global Revolt of 1968 and Northern Ireland," *Historical Journal* 49, No. 3 (2006): 851.

⁶Benedict Anderson, Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origins and Spread of Nationalism (London: Verso, 1991), 6. For further examples of historians of the 1960s using Anderson theories, see Thomas Ekman Jorgensen, "Utopia and Disillusion: Shattered Hopes of the Copenhagen Counterculture," in Between Marx and Coca-Cola: Youth Cultures in Changing European Societies, 1960–1980, edited by Axel Schmidt and Detlef Siegfried, 33 (New York: Berghahn Book, 2006) and Judy Tzu-Chun Wu, Radicals on the road: internationalism, orientalism and feminism during the Vietnam War (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2013), 2–3.

⁷ Dan O'Neill, "The rise and fall of student consciousness," Semper Floreat, 20 May 1976, 12.

⁸ Edward Palmer Thompson, *The Making of the English Working Class* (London: Penguin Books, 1963), 8.

and a lack of sustained academic engagement have ensured that 1960s dissent in the antipodes has merited only isolated attention. Even the little work that has been undertaken is often dismissive, with Lani Russell bemoaning the "Australian exceptionalism" that presents Australians of the 1960s as deeply conservative.⁹ Activism arrived "by airmail subscription", as Gerster and Bassett remind us in their controversial cultural history of the period. Social commentator Hugh Mackay strikes a similarly dismissive tone, arguing that while perhaps "intrigued, saddened, even alarmed" by the global struggles of the era, Australians were "not really engaged"—at least until well into the 1970s.¹⁰

These public memorialisations, as is so often the case, neglect more than they remember. As Kristin Ross notes in her investigation of the political events of May 1968 in Paris, the period has "been overtaken by its subsequent representations", and the popular image of the 1960s is often framed by the ideological coordinates of the present.¹¹ Yet, if one relies on the ephemeral tracts, the student and underground newspapers, the organisational minutes, and the often overblown reactions of main-stream media and various government agencies then it is possible to break through these misunderstandings, finding "a whole new world of themes and preoccupations", as O'Neill puts it.¹² It is the narratives of the well known as well as ordinary and often overlooked activists—young and old, black and white, women and men—that emerge from these documents, and they reveal much about Australia's and the world's experience of the 1960s tumult.

Employing a case-study approach, this book analyses the expanding global imagination and practice of transnational social movements by drawing together a series of seemingly unconnected personalities and stories. From Sydney and Melbourne University students who created a furore in the mid-1960s by donating funds to the National Liberation Front (NLF) to Aboriginal activists who used globally mobile ideas of Black Power to quicken the pace of change, and students from

⁹Lani Russell, "Today the Students, Tomorrow the Workers! Radical Student Politics and the Australian Labour Movement, 1960–1972" (PhD Thesis, The University of Technology Sydney, 1999), 450.

¹⁰ Gerster and Bassett, *Seizures of Youth*, 35; Hugh Mackay, "Australia: A Nation of Lotus-Eaters," in *1968: Memories and Legacies of a Global Revolt*, eds. Phillip Gassert and Martin Klimke, 73 (Washington, D.C.: German Historical Institute, 2009).

¹¹Kristin Ross, *May'68 and its afterlives* (Chicago: Chicago University Press, 2002), 1. ¹²O'Neill, "The Rise and Fall," 12.

Malaysia and Singapore who used Australia as a base to protest crimes in their homelands. A thorough exploration of how this imagination came to be, what it meant for those involved, and the debates it engendered is central to this analysis. The politics of solidarity with overseas struggles as well as the place of global ideas and practices in the radical press of the period will be explored in Chap. 2, highlighting an evolving "ethic of solidarity" with overseas movements and an increasing absorption and contestation of overseas ideas and theories. The role that public and private spaces played in radical political and everyday life—how "activists mapped characteristics and qualities of themselves onto the city's surfaces" as Belinda Davis explains in her work on West Germany—also cannot be ignored, and occupies Chap. 3.¹³ Activists imagined and constructed globally attuned locations and spaces of dissent while acting out these ideas in the public domain, providing a way of contextualising this expanding global imagination and its concrete impacts.¹⁴

Yet, while an activist could read about and attempt to copy an overseas event or study a foreign theorist, experiencing these ideas first hand meant not only that they could be better understood, but also imbued a returning traveller with a new authority or authenticity. Often denigrated as "revolutionary tourism", Chap. 4 makes the argument that travel by a diverse array of Australian activists to overseas locations like Algeria, China, Cuba, France, Vietnam, Czechoslovakia, and of course, the USA were more than just fleeting adventures. Travel played a role in the discovery, dissemination, and uptake of new ideas about radical politics and culture, and provides historians a window into the dispute and contestation of global ideas in local environments. Arrivals, however, could be just as important as departures. The arrival of people and ideas, whether in the form of itinerant radicals or "obscene" protest publications, proved to be just as productive and controversial. Visitors from the Second and Third Worlds, the USA, and Europe, all applied for, and were often denied,

¹³For examples of work exploring the role of the radical imaginary, spaces and press in the international 1960s literature, see Prince, "The Global Revolt"; Jennifer Roth Hosek, "Subaltern Nationalism' and the West Berlin Anti-Authoritarians," *German Politics and Society* 26, No. 1 (Spring 2008): 57–81 and John McMillian, *Smoking Typewriters: The 1960s Underground Press and the Rise of Alternative Media in America* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011).

¹⁴Belinda Davis, "The City as Theater of Protest: West Berlin and West Germany, 1962– 1983," in *The Spaces of the Modern City: Imaginaries, Politics and Everyday Life*, eds. Gyan Prakash and Kevin M. Kruse, 247 (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 2008). access to Australia. Chap. 5 recounts these experiences, providing a view of how activists responded to these border controls, as well as how government and security agencies tried to understand these developments. Perceiving this transnational imagination from the perspective of those in power as well as those outside of the traditional or national narrative forms an important part of this book. Chap. 6 explores the multiplying global imagination of the Australian indigenous movement and its flirtations with the transnational ideals of Black Power and the Chinese Revolution, while the Malaysian and Singaporean overseas students who both challenged home governments and their place in Australian foreign policy through forging a transnational alliance with Australian students occupies the final, seventh chapter.

In arguing for this expanded global imagination, I do not pretend that previous activism avoided international engagement. Indeed, Australian radicalism has always had a global dimension. The Labourites, Socialists, single taxers, and First Wave Feminists that characterised 1890s social movements existed within "a highly trans-national world of political ideas and cultural cross-fertilisation", taking lessons from the suffragettes, the Industrial Workers of the World, and the often misconstrued ideas of Karl Marx to form their supposedly *sans doctrines* radicalism.¹⁵ By the 1930s, however, the degeneration of the previously inspiring Bolshevik Revolution saw a closing of this global imagination. What the Communist International (Cominfern), and later the Communist Information Bureau (Cominform) said was often received as gospel by communists and fellow travellers who viewed global events and political opportunities through a Soviet lens.¹⁶ The 1960s saw not only the multiplication as well.

If Australian radicals were heavily blinkered by Moscow, the population in general maintained an equally distorted view of international developments. Examples of global engagement like small-scale activism around

¹⁵Nick Dyrenfurth, *Heroes and Villains: The Rise and Fall of the early Australian Labor Party* (North Melbourne: Australian Scholarly Publishing, 2011), 5. For more on the transnational world of 1890s radicalism see Bruce Scates, *A New Australia: citizenship, radicalism and the First Republic* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997).

¹⁶For a general history of the Communist Party during this period see Stuart Macintyre, *The Reds: the Communist Party of Australia from origins to illegality* (St. Leonards, NSW: Allen and Unwin, 1999), and for a thorough look at the international politics of the CPA up until 1945, see Robert Bozinovski, "The Communist Party of Australia and Proletarian Internationalism, 1928–1945" (PhD Thesis, Victoria University, 2008). work conditions in China during the 1920s, the blocking by wharf unions of pig iron shipments to Japan in the 1930s, and more popular support for the post–World War II Indonesian independence movement stand almost alone against the all-encompassing "yellow peril", given a red hue after the Chinese revolution and the threat of falling dominos to Australia's immediate north.¹⁷ This political culture was only further stultified by the Cold War and the Australian government's fearful attitude towards decolonisation. The Liberal government of Robert Gordon Menzies and his successors (1949–1972) fostered an attitude of aspirational consumption domestically, while delegitimising dissent towards Australia's increasingly outdated imperial loyalties and its overtly racist, increasingly defunct, White Australia Policy.¹⁸ It was against this closed mind that many individuals and groups sought to rebel from the late 1950s onwards, often by moving beyond the physical and ideological borders of the nation-state.

Travellers, whatever their motivation or (lack of) political inclination, have recently provided scholars with a new way of exploring Australian relations with the outside world, from the bottom rather than the top. Agnieszka Sobocinska's thesis on the people's diplomacy of various Australian students, tourists, soldiers, journalists, and businesspeople with Asia in the post-war period has revealed how these travellers developed complex understandings of the region, which often challenged those of the Australian government and broader society.¹⁹ Other work

¹⁷On the pig iron controversy, see Greg Mallory, *Uncharted Waters: Social Responsibility in Australian Trade Unions* (Annerley, QLD: Self Published, 2005); for activism around Chinese labour conditions see Sophie Loy-Wilson, "Liberating' Asia: Strikes and Protests in Sydney and Shanghai, 1920–1939," *History Workshop Journal* 72, No. 1 (October 2011): 74–102; on protests around Indonesian independence see Heather Goodall, "Port Politics: Indian Seamen, Australian Unions and Indonesian Independence, 1945–47", *Labour History* 94 (May 2008): 43–68.

¹⁸On Australian society and culture during the Cold War, see Ann Curthoys and John Merritt, eds., Australia's First Cold War, 1945–1953: Society, communism and culture (Sydney: Allen and Unwin, 1984) and John Murphy, Imagining the Fifties: private sentiment and political culture in Menzies' Australia (Sydney: UNSW Press, 2000). For Australian fear of a newly awoken decolonisation movement, see Jennifer Clark, Aborigines and activism: race, aborigines and the coming of the 1960s to Australia (Crawley, WA: UWA Press, 2008), Chaps. 1–2 and David Walker, "Nervous Outsiders: Australia and the 1955 Africa-Asia Conference in Bandung," Australian Historical Studies 36, No. 125 (2005): 40–59.

¹⁹Agnieszka Sobocinska, "People's Diplomacy: Australian Travel, Tourism and Relationships with Asia, 1941–2009" (PhD Thesis, The University of Sydney, 2010).

has told of how the personal experiences of Australian soldiers serving in the Pacific War opened minds to the idea of an independent and free Asia.²⁰ Drawing upon and building on this emerging corpus of work, I not only explore how and why Australian activists became intrigued by globally mobile ideas of 1960s revolt, but how transnational networks and the travel of social movement activists and their ideas brought lessons from these struggles to an increasingly rebellious local environment.

Social Movements

This book is about social movements; but what is a social movement? And what (or when) was Australia's 1960s? More ink has been spilled on the 1960s from all corners of the globe than on any other recent decade. It is a common cultural stereotype and literary allusion, not to mention trope in political discussion and debate, playing the role of either "unfulfilled dream or persistent nightmare".²¹ Its temporal dimensions are equally divisive. Did the 1960s as a cultural phenomenon or moment start in the USA for instance, with the Montgomery bus boycott in 1955-6, the Greensboro lunch counter sit-ins in 1960, or the foundation of Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) in 1962? Conversely, did the period end with the Manson murders and SDS's collapse into the Weather Underground, both in 1969, or rather with the Kent State murders and the failed university strike wave of 1970?²² And even further questions of temporal dimension are posed when one moves away from the "hot spots" of rebellion. Czechoslovakia had a condensed 1960s, for instance, roughly equivalent with the yearlong "Prague Spring" of liberalisation, while the high point of Malaysia's student rebellion only came between 1971 and 1974, to give one example of the oft-forgotten Third World. The realities of such geographically and temporally isolated

²⁰Lachlan Grant, "The Second AIF and the End of Empires: Soldiers' attitudes towards a 'Free Asia,'" *Australian Journal of Politics and History* 57, No. 4 (December 2011): 479–94.

²¹Timothy S. Brown, "United States of Amnesia? 1968 in the USA," in *Memories of 1968: International Perspectives*, eds. Ingo Cornils and Sarah Waters, 131 (Oxford: Peter Lang, 2010).

²²See Andrew Hunt, "When did the 1960s happen?' Searching for New Directions," *Journal of Social History* 33, No. 1 (Autumn 1999): 147–61 for a look at debates on the periodisation of America's 1960s.

movements sharing similar rationales, tactics, and connections have led scholars to postulate a "long 1960s", one where precursors and aftereffects are given greater voice.²³

Such a view is, however, only now seeping through to the antipodes, with the belief that Australian radicalism was a stilted 1970s rehash of events overseas still holding significant popular sway. The election of Labor's Gough Whitlam in December of 1972 is often pictured as the radical wave finally making landfall, while that government's inglorious dismissal three years later captures the tide's quick retreat.²⁴ Donald Horne's *Time of Hope* provides a more nuanced reading, positing 1966 as the beginning of a process that precipitated and facilitated Whitlam's election, a time frame he shares with Nathan Hollier's cultural history of the decade.²⁵ But this categorisation, marked by the end of Menzies's reign, is only one amongst many. Kristy Yeats posits 1964 as seeing "the first stirrings of a new type of activism" around US civil rights, while Barry York and Ann Curthoys make a case for 1965, due to the introduction of conscription and the Freedom Ride.²⁶

Jennifer Clark's work *Aborigines and Activism* posits the late 1950s as key, in particular the founding in 1957 of the Federal Council for Aboriginal Advancement. The March 1960 Sharpeville massacre in South Africa is presented as another marker by Clark, with this global crisis seeing the decade-proper's first large-scale protest and the troubled birth of a radical constituency.²⁷ Nick Irving's work on the transnational dimensions of anti-war protests makes a similar argument for an earlier start to the decade's reverberations, as do Shirleene Robinson and Julie Ustinoff in

²³See Arthur Marwick, *The 1960s: Cultural Revolution in Britain, France, Italy and the United States*, c. 1958–1974 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998) for perhaps the best-known example of this approach.

²⁴A particularly glaring example of this was the ABC's recent documentary, *Whitlam: The Power and the Passion*. Paul Clarke, dir., *Whitlam: The Power and the Passion* (Sydney: ABC1, 2013).

²⁵Donald Horne, *Time of Hope: Australia 1966–1972* (Sydney: Angus & Robertson, 1980); Nathan Hollier, "From Hope to Disillusion? A Literary and Cultural History of the Whitlam Period, 1966–1975" (PhD Thesis, Victoria University, 2006).

²⁶Kristy Yeats, "Australian New Left Politics, 1956–1972" (PhD Thesis, The University of Melbourne, 2009), 46; Barry York, *Student Revolt: La Trobe University 1967–73* (ACT: Nicholas Press, 1989); Ann Curthoys, *Freedom Ride: A Freedom Rider Remembers* (Crows Nest, NSW: Allen and Unwin, 2002).

²⁷Clark, Aborigines and activism, 132-4.

their edited volume *The 1960s in Australia*.²⁸ This confusion of dates has led historians like Clark, *pace* Frederic Jameson, to posit a "60s phenomenon", a conceptual 1960s rather than a specific and confining date range. For while arguments may rage on where and when these events began or ended, "none deny that dramatic and irreversible changes took place somewhere from the late 1950s through to the mid 1970s", a date range that this book takes as its starting point.²⁹

This clearly unsettled debate around beginnings and endings becomes vet more problematic when the question of social movements is posed. Such questions do not only arise as a result of the long debate about the exact dividing line between the Old and New Left, or of the "spectre" of student politics that Timothy Brown claims to be haunting 1960s history, but rather as a result of the vast array of the 1960s movements that transcended these boundaries.³⁰ While it is relatively easy to cast a net around one movement, the task is complicated when a number are considered. They were, after all, increasingly defined more by their internal differences than any collective project. Despite real and multifaceted divergences, however, the connections between these movements cannot be ignored. Donatella della Porta and Mario Diani define social movement activists as groups "involved in conflictual relations with clearly defined opponents", who are "linked by dense informal networks" and "share a distinct collective identity".³¹ Global Radicals will argue that these three key markers of a modern social movement were in fact shared across and between the

²⁸Nick Irving, "Global Thought, Local Action: A Transnational Reassessment of the Australian Anti-War Movement, 1959–1972" (PhD Thesis, The University of Sydney/ Macquarie University, Forthcoming); Shirleene Robinson and Julie Ustinoff, eds., *The 1960s in Australia: People, Power and Politics* (Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 2012).

²⁹Clark, Aborigines and activism, 1, 12.

³⁰Debates and counter-debates on what actually constituted the "old" and "new", and spillages between them, are voluminous. See Maurice Isserman, *If I Had a Hammer: The Death of the Old Left and the Birth of the New* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1993) for a traditionalist approach and Allen Smith, "Present at the Creation...and Other Myths: The Port Huron Statement and the Origins of the New Left," Peace & Change 25, No. 1 (July 2000): 339–362 for a more critical perspective. In Australian terms, such matters are covered amply in Russell, "Today the Students, Tomorrow the Workers!" See Timothy S. Brown, "The 1960s in the City: Avant-gardes and Urban Rebels in New York, London and West Berlin," *Journal of Social History* 46, No. 4 (2013): 817 for the spectre of student politics.

³¹Donatella della Porta and Mario Diani, *Social Movements: An Introduction* (Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing, 2006), 20.

activists and groups considered herein—with a shared opposition to capitalism and structural oppression as well as interlinked personalities, meeting places, and a strong sense of collective identity evident. Sara Evans's work on the relationship between African American civil rights struggles, the student movement, and the rise of Second Wave Feminism was the first to seek out these sorts of fruitful connections in a historical context, and other cross-movement studies have followed.³² Many more books on the connections between black and women's politics have appeared, largely in the USA, as have others highlighting the interplay between Third World political actors and students, women's, black, or gay rights movements, to give but a few examples.³³ Van Gosse has taken such comparative works to a new level, theorising in *Rethinking the New Left* that the social movements that made up the 1960s in America were part of a conceptual "New Left", a "movement of movements" whose "radical form of democracy… linked them together".³⁴

This idea that a shared concern for a particular "form" of politics, rather than the primacy of "content", has been carried on in work like that of Sean Mills on anti-colonial politics in 1960s Montreal. Mills argues that the array of social movements that Canada's then financial capital nurtured during this period, from Old and New Left to feminist and black, all shared a debt to imported anti-colonial texts by Frantz Fanon, Aime Cesaire, and Albert Memmi, which allowed the largely Francophone radicals to articulate their sense of being an occupied and colonised First World people.³⁵ Yet, such connections and shared interests are left largely unexplored in the Australian literature. Some exemplary outliers, however, must be noted. Sean Scalmer's sociological work *Dissent Events* achieves

³² Sara Evans, Personal Politics: The Roots of Women's Liberation in the Civil Rights Movement and the New Left (New York: Vintage Books, 1980).

³³For example: Anne M. Valk, *Radical Sisters: Second-Wave Feminism and Black Liberation in Washington D.C.* (Chicago: University of Illinois Press: 2010); Quinn Slobodian, *Foreign Front: Third World Politics in 1960s West Germany* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2012); Emily K. Hobson, "Imagining Alliance: Queer Anti-Imperialism and Race in California: 1966–1990" (PhD Thesis, University of South Carolina, 2008); Lindsey Churchill, "Transnational Alliance: Radical US Feminist Solidarity and Contention with Latin America, 1970–1989," *Latin American Perspectives* 36, No. 6 (November 2009): 10–26.

³⁴Van Gosse, *Rethinking the New Left: An Interpretative History* (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005), 2.

³⁵Sean Mills, *The Empire Within: Postcolonial Thought and Political Activism in 1960s Montreal* (Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010).

the laudable goal of tying together many of the movements to be discussed here around the framework of the "political gimmick", the importing, translating, diffusion, and practising of a new (largely American) lexicon of mediasavvy resistance, work which has had a profound influence on this book.³⁶ Additionally, political scientist Verity Burgmann's work *Power and Protest* explores in a globally attuned and interlinked manner the various "new social movements", while several chapters of her and Meredith Burgmann's *Green Bans, Red Union* tie, if only briefly, the student, women's, indigenous and queer rights movements to the famous green bans of the NSW Builders Labourers' Federation.³⁷ A chapter of Clark's work on Aboriginal activism and the 1960s explores the debt a renewed student movement owed to anti-racist politics, Russell focuses on alliances between students and workers and Yeats explores the connections between the student New Left, the counterculture, and Second Wave Feminism.³⁸

This diversity of movements and timeframes might appear insurmountable. For historian Russell Marks, "[t]he prospect of gathering all the threads and tying them all together into one reasonably coherent narrative may well appear...daunting, if not futile".³⁹ I do not pretend to have successfully united all these movements, either. While the term social movements is employed throughout, readers will find that my scope is more narrow, focusing on the student, both overseas and domestic, indigenous, socialist, and anti-war movements, although an assortment of others make appearances. Also, the inclusion of the socialist movement and the Old Left, sometimes not considered social movements per se, arises not only from this more established movement's centrality to new forms of political expression, but also because it was transformed by the same global ideas and flows, if only unevenly. Nor does this book claim to be a thorough history of 1960s activism in Australia. It identifies the specific moments when global ideas and trajectories had vital impacts on the specificities of local struggles.

³⁶Sean Scalmer, *Dissent Events: Protest, the Media and the Political Gimmick in Australia* (Sydney: UNSW Press, 2002).

³⁷Verity Burgmann, Power and Protest: Movements for change in Australian society (St Leonards, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 1993); Meredith Burgmann and Verity Burgmann, Green Bans, Red Union: Environmental Activism and the New South Wales Builders Labourers' Federation (Sydney: UNSW Press, 1998).

³⁸Clark, *Aborigines and activism*, Chap. 6; Russell, "Today the Students, Tomorrow the Workers!"; Yeats, "Australian New Left Politics," Chaps. 4–5.

³⁹Russell Marks, "Towards an Intellectual History of the Australian New Left: Some Definitional Problems," *Melbourne Journal of Politics* 34 (2009–10): 83.

TRANSNATIONALISM

How are we to understand the globally attuned, yet locally specific, moments that this book argues had such an impact on Australia's 1960s? Spread out over time and space, often lacking in shared personnel or even political content, these fragments of global engagement appear as just that, shards of the past that are interesting but lack any real coherence. The rise of the transnational as a line of historical inquiry, however, opens the door to a plethora of new approaches to understanding what is now termed the global 1960s. While described and even denigrated as a "buzzword", transnationalism's usefulness is nonetheless evident for a study of social movements that imagined and practised such diverse forms of global connection.⁴⁰ The study of transnational connections within social movement studies is well-established-with Sidney Tarrow and Donatella della Porta perhaps its best known representatives-and their ideas of global diffusion, local framing, and the dialectical relationship between home and abroad have greatly influenced a growing body of international literature historicising such topics.⁴¹ It is, however, rare to encounter Australia in the growing body of literature that covers the global 1960s in such a fashion. It is common to hear about connections between the USA and West Germany, France and Italy, or even those traversing the "Iron Curtain" and, much more rarely, the First and Third Worlds, but almost never the antipodes.⁴²

⁴⁰Ian Tyrrell, "Reflections on the transnational turn in United States History: theory and practice," *Journal of Global History* 4, No. 3 (2009): 453. The uses of transnational history in the melding of Australia into global narratives are demonstrated by Marilyn Lake and Henry Reynolds, *Drawing the Global Colour Line: White Men's Countries and the Question of Racial Equality* (Melbourne: Melbourne University Press, 2008), Sean Brawley, *The White Peril: Foreign Relations and Asian Immigration to Australasia and North America 1919– 1978* (Sydney: UNSW Press, 1995) and Kate Darian-Smith, Patricia Grimshaw and Stuart Macintyre, *Britishness Abroad: Transnational Movements and Imperial Cultures* (Carlton, Vic.: Melbourne University Press, 2007).

⁴¹See for example, Sidney Tarrow, *The New Transnational Activism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005) and Donatella Della Porta (ed.), *The Global Justice Movement: Cross-National and Transnational Perspectives* (Boulder: Paradigm Publishers, 2007).

⁴²For example, see Kostis Kornetis, "'Everything Links?' Temporality, Territoriality and Cultural Transfer in the '68 Protest Movements," *Historein* 9 (2009): 34–45; Fanon Che Wilkins, "The Making of Black Internationalists: SNCC and Africa before the launching of Black Power, 1960–1965," *Journal of African American History* 92, No. 4 (Fall 2007): 468–91 and Belinda Davis and others, eds., *Changing the World, Changing Oneself: Political Protest and Collective Identities in West Germany and the US in the 1960s and 1970s* (New York: Berghahn Books, 2010). There is a relative lack of scholarship by local historians on the global engagement of local activists. Clark, Scalmer, Irving, Ravi de Costa, and Kathy Lothian are among the few who have taken seriously the global affinities of Australian social movements, although their focus has almost entirely been on anti-racist movements.⁴³ This book argues that Australia's exclusion from the global narrative springs not from its inactivity in this global upsurge, as some would have it, but rather from a significant scholarly oversight that requires rectification through the lens of a properly transnational approach.

Timothy S. Brown makes a strong argument on the uses and pitfalls of such an approach. Commenting on the plethora of work in the field, Brown argues that the term transnational all too often really means comparative—with it being treated as "little more than a product of the nation-state multiplied".⁴⁴ Brown proposes a two-pronged antidote to this, "identifying transnational influences, analysing their mode of transmission and exploring how they articulated with local concerns, goals, traditions, and histories", while additionally inquiring as to "how local actors imagined themselves into the world, creating alternative cognitive maps that corresponded to a new type of politics".⁴⁵

The circulation of ideas has been a cornerstone of research into the 1960s since at least George Katsiaficas's *The Imagination of the New Left*, and scholars have subsequently unearthed how activists in one country "connected with their counterparts abroad, took from their language and imagery and applied these in their own sphere of action".⁴⁶ Yet, the importance of travel to the discovery, articulation, dissemination, and debate of political ideas has been rarely acknowledged until recently. Richard Jobs describes how the movement of young European protestors across borders during 1968 "became the foundation for a youth identity that emphasized mobility and built a shared political culture across national

⁴³ Ravi de Costa, *A Higher Authority: Indigenous transnationalism and Australia* (Sydney: University of New South Wales Press, 2006), Kathy Lothian, "'A Blackward Step is a Forward Step': Australian Aborigines and Black Power, 1969–1972" (Masters Thesis, Monash University, 2002).

⁴⁴For a popular example, see Kurlansky, 1968.

⁴⁵Brown, "1968' East and West," 70.

⁴⁶ George Katsiaficas, *The Imagination of the New Left: A Global Analysis of 1968* (Boston, Mass: South End Press, 1987). For quote, see Manus McGrogan, "Lotta Continua and Vive la Révolution: the circulation of ideas and practices between the left militant worlds of France and Italy following 1968," *Modern and Contemporary France* 18, No. 3 (August 2010): 310. boundaries".⁴⁷ Robert Gildea, James Mark, and Niek Pas dub such "revolutionary tourism" to the Third World as seeking "a new and powerful model of revolution for European radical activists" who saw older Left traditions as hopelessly bureaucratised.⁴⁸

These "minor transnationalisms", as opposed to those between countries, leaders, and dominant ideologies, "circumvent the major altogether" in the words of Francoise Lionnet and Shu-Mei Shih, and allow us a way of looking at the connections between often marginalised social movement actors across borders.⁴⁹ Moreover, these flows were in no way onedirectional, with overseas activists attempting to breach the border and engage directly with Australian radicals. Whilst these travels were often blocked by a fearful government, they provided an opportunity for activists to engage with their overseas co-thinkers, as well as cast the authorities as hopelessly backward. Australia additionally became a site of contestation in the later parts of the decade, with sections of a previously quiet Third World student community seeking to turn the global infatuations and imaginings of Australians into concrete solidarity and cooperation. These temporary transnationals reversed the relationship of solidarity, with Third World students taking the lead and Australians following. This relationship can be seen as a test of the transnational political practice Australian activists had spent the previous decade cultivating, the results of which were contradictory at best.

The transnational approach is, then, far from straightforward. This project, as do those shining a global focus on a national setting, runs the risk of what Quinn Slobodian calls "political drain", tying as it does a multitude of stories from around the world to the perhaps unlikely pole of Australia. As Slobodian asks of his incorporation of the work of a Haitian radical into his history of Third World thought in West Germany:

⁴⁷Richard Ivan Jobs, "Youth Movements: Travel, Protest and Europe in 1968," *American Historical Review* 114, No. 2 (April 2009): 376–7. For another work exploring the importance of travel to social movement activists, see Judy Tzu Chun Wu, "An African-Vietnamese American: Robert S. Browne, the Antiwar Movement, and the Personal/Political Dimensions of Black Internationalism," *Journal of African American History* 92, No. 4 (Fall 2007): 492–515.

⁴⁸Robert Gildea, James Mark and Niek Pas, "European Radicals and the 'Third World': Imagined Solidarities and Radical Networks, 1958–73," *Cultural and Social History* 8, No. 4 (2011): 450.

⁴⁹ Francoise Lionnet and Shu-Mei Shih, eds., *Minor Transnationalism* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2005), 8.

"By using his brief appearance on the West German public stage to legitimize the international claims of the student movement, did I showcase the fruit of a political development while leaving the roots, most of which lay in Haiti, in the dark?"⁵⁰ This is an important reminder that, when telling a transnational tale, space must be given to understand the complexities of the relationship. The motivations not only of Australian travellers, but also of their guests and the States and struggles they idealised and visited, must be recognised. Or rather, it is the job of the historian—and the aim of this book—to "situat[e] the local within the global while locating the global at work locally", beginning with how a massacre in a far off nation sparked a new wave of political engagement.⁵¹

⁵⁰ Quinn Slobodian, "Jurisdictional Leap, Political Drain and Other Dangers of Transnational History," *New Global Studies* 4, No. 1 (2010): 6.

⁵¹Brown, "'1968' East and West," 70.

Origins

From Helpless Natives to Revolutionary Heroes: An Evolving Ethic of Solidarity

On a warm afternoon during January of 1968, Monash University activist Michael Hyde arrived in Cambodia. Along with fellow radical Peter Price, Hyde was on his way home after experiencing China's Cultural Revolution, that mixture of violence and youthful utopia which was inspiring radicals across the Western world. Before returning to the quieter climes of Melbourne, however, Hyde and his companion had a covert mission. They were to publically and totally break with the Australian government by committing what many labelled high treason. On the outskirts of the Cambodian capital of Phnom Penh, the young Australians eventually found the object of their desires: a derelict two-story building flying the red, blue, and yellow flag of the National Liberation Front (NLF) of South Vietnam—the Viet Cong.

Hyde and his fellow activists at Victoria's Monash University Labor Club had, after much contemplation, decided that the only appropriate choice to be made by Australians in the context of the bloody violence in Vietnam was to take the side of these revolutionaries, who were imagined as allies in their battle against capitalism and imperialism. The club raised money to support those fighting Australian troops in Vietnam, forcing the conservative federal government to push through legislation prohibiting such activity, and giving the young radicals a law that in their mind required a very public breaking. Once Hyde, using his "failed schoolboy French", was able to communicate to the somewhat confused NLF representative

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016 J. Piccini, *Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s*, DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-52914-5_2 that greeted them his intentions of donating the few hundred dollars that had been collected, the Vietnamese "leapt to his feet and rushed for the cabinet, pulling out bottles and cigarettes." The three members of geographically separated and very different movements then charged their glasses to the victory of the recently launched Tet offensive.¹

Hyde's pilgrimage is emblematic of the process this book describes, the growth of new forms of globally mobile and conscious radicalism out of both a figurative and personal engagement with the diverse array of struggles and causes that characterised the 1960s. The long 1960s were defined, for most of the world, by the struggle for decolonisation, national independence, or recognition of racial minorities within existing power structures.² The engagements with global politics by Hyde and others, particularly through solidarity movements, is the concern of this chapter. A (re)emerging ethic of solidarity is identified, as the idea of a binary Cold War world was slowly rejected by a new cast of characters who became involved in campaigns around South Africa, racial oppression in the USA and, finally, Vietnam, during the early to mid-1960s. Such "symbolic demonstrations of solidarity", as Jeremy Prestholdt argues, were "at the core of the new internationalist consciousness" of global revolution.³ Additionally, this chapter will reveal how other activists and the community at large read such outwardly focused radicalism as productive, misdirected, or downright dangerous. During this time, Australian activists began opening their eyes to a wider world of struggles and concerns, developing new vocabularies of dissent and laying the foundations for a period of complex transnational exchange that will be explored in later chapters.

Solidarity and the Left

Solidarity is a practice with multilayered meanings and histories within Left movements. It carries long purchase in the trade unions, for instance, with frequent refrains of "solidarity forever" tying the organised working

¹Michael Hyde, All along the watchtower: Memoirs of a 1960s revolutionary (Carlton, Vic.: Vulgar Press, 2010), 105.

²For a recent volume exploring this theme, see Samantha Christiansen and Zachary Scarlett, eds., *The Third World in the Global 1960s* (New York: Berghahn Books, 2012).

³ Jeremy Prestholdt, "Resurrecting Che: radicalism, the transnational imagination and the politics of heroes," *Journal of Global History* 7, No. 3 (November 2012): 508.

class together against capital, government, and (often foreign) "scabs".⁴ Such national(istic) uses of this term, however, are far from the limit of its rhetorical employment. Indeed, international solidarity became part and parcel of Left political practice during the twentieth century, helped along in no uncertain way by the realities of "international" communism and the slow process of decolonisation. The Communist International (Comintern) saw what Lenin termed proletarian internationalism as central to global revolutionary strategy, and the Communist Party of Australia (CPA)-formed in homage to the Bolshevik's momentous success—waged solidarity campaigns with victims of imperialist aggression in Spain and China as well as the all-important rallying of support of Moscow through groups like Friends of the Soviet Union. Australian involvement in World War II saw an increase in this form of solidarity activism. As Lachlan Grant reveals, personal engagements with Asian peoples by large numbers of Australian soldiers in the Pacific theatre bred a certain degree of support for emerging liberation struggles in Asia. One soldier declared, in relation to Indian independence, "we owe it to the Indian people, and to ourselves, to offer every assistance in their struggle", for "[n]o lasting peace can be established so long as one subject people remains in the world".5

Such sentiments soon spread into the well-known campaign in support of Indonesian independence. Riding a wave of post-war enthusiasm for social change, Communist-led waterside unions black banned Dutch ships in August of 1945 in support of Indonesia's declaration of independence, forging alliances both across Australia and internationally, particularly with Indian seamen.⁶ These examples point towards a type of solidarity described by Thomas Olesen as "political" or "ideological". Olesen explains that:

[L]eft-wing internationalism and solidarity was not conceived of as the voluntary actions of individuals and civil society organisations, but was

⁴On the importance of such uses of language in early Labor movement politics see Nick Dyrenfurth, *Heroes & villains: the rise and fall of the early Australian Labour Party* (North Melbourne: Australian Scholarly Publishing, 2011).

⁵Citied in Lachlan Grant, "The Second AIF and the End of Empires: Soldier's attitudes towards a "Free Asia", *Australian Journal of Politics and History* 57, No. 4 (December 2011): 489.

⁶Heather Goodall, "Port Politics: Indian Seamen, Australian Unions and Indonesian Independence, 1945–47," *Labour History* No. 94 (May 2008): 43–68.

structured from above through national parties and states with socialist governments [and] this old internationalism consequently had an explicitly national dimension.⁷

This type of solidarity was made possible by the budding enthusiasm of the immediate post-war period. Leading Australian Communist Eric Aarons explained how "many people, having directly experienced the suffering of the depression, were looking forward to the promised New Order", while Australians, particularly ex-service people, began "taking seriously the talk of the war being a fight for democracy and wanting to see more of it at home and in [the] colonies".8 Such transnational enthusiasm did not last long, as the Cold War soon ensured that acts of solidarity were read as dangerous subversion. The victory of China's revolution, while celebrated by the far Left, transformed the rebellions of colonised peoples in Asia from noble affairs into Communist plots, and those independent Asian states that did emerge seemed threatening. These fears were only compounded by the 1955 Afro-Asian summit in Bandung, which Australia did not attend. While widely neglected or dismissed in the Australian public sphere, Bandung was immensely significant for the decolonised world and is now seen as the political birth of the Third World project.9 While some Australian progressives did attend the conference, their calls for Australian support for decolonisation fell on deaf ears, even amongst Communists. As Heather Goodall explains, Soviet-aligned organisations like the World Federation of Trade Unions remained more important to Party members than those seeking national independence and freedom from domination.¹⁰

⁷Thomas Olesen, "Globalising the Zapatistas: From Third World solidarity to global solidarity?" *Third World Quarterly* 25, No. 1 (2004): 257. For further elaboration on these types of solidarity see Thomas Olesen, *International Zapatismo: The Construction of Solidarity in the Age of Globalisation* (London: Zed Books, 2005), 102–11, and for an in-depth look at the history of solidarity activism see David Featherstone, *Solidarity: Hidden Histories and Geographies of Internationalism* (London: Zed Books, 2012).

⁸Aarons, What's Left, 56.

⁹On Australia and the Bandung Conference see David Walker, "Nervous Outsiders: Australia and the 1955 Asia-Africa Conference in Bandung," *Australian Historical Studies* 36, No. 125 (2005): 40–58 and Christopher Waters, "Lost Opportunity: Australia and the Bandung Conference," in *Bandung 1955: Little Histories*, ed. Derek McDougall and Antonia Finnane, 75–87 (Caulfied, Vic: Monash University Press, 2010).

¹⁰ For Australians in Bandung, see Cecily Burton, "Report on Bandung," *Meanjin* 14, No. 3 (September 1955): 395–9. For lack of Communist interest in Bandung and decolonization

At home, activism was increasingly marginalised. The Australian Peace Council, formed in 1949 to campaign for disarmament, was one of many groups written off by Prime Minister Robert Menzies's conservative forces as Communist controlled and, as such, not conveying a legitimate message.¹¹ The CPA, founded in October 1920, underwent forced Stalinisation during the early 1930s. This saw it fashioned in a hierarchical and "bolshevised" manner, "its decisions...made by the Comintern [Communist International] and followed undeviatingly by all Party organs and members".¹² The Party's returned to a more open policy of a "united front" with social-democratic forces and taking "local peculiarities" into account in policy and planning in 1935. This policy, which was to see the Party grow exponentially during the next decade, was not the result of local leaders taking the initiative, but of the Soviet leadership announcing that such policies were relevant and necessary once more. Even after the Comintern's 1943 dissolution, and the CPA's exclusion from the Communist Information Bureau (Cominform) in 1947, the Party continued to follow the various turns in Soviet foreign policy.¹³

outside of the Soviet sphere, see Heather Goodall, "Uneasy Comrades: Tuk Subianko, Eliot V. Elliot and the Cold War," *Indonesian and Malay World* 40, No. 117 (July 2012): 209–30.

¹¹The APC was indeed under some level of Communist control, though party members were always in a minority. See John McLaren, "Peace Wars: The 1959 ANZ Peace Conference," *Labour History* 82 (May 2002): 98.

¹²Bernie Taft, Crossing the Party Line: Memoirs of Bernie Taft (Newham, Vic: Scribe, 1994), 53-4. See Stuart Macintyre The Reds: The Communist Party of Australia from Origins to Illegality (Crows Nest, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 1998) for more on this early history. The lack of Macintyre's second edition covering the post-war period, however largely leaves scholars with either dated material like Alastair Davidson, The Communist Party of Australia: A Short History (Stanford, Cal.: Hoover Institution Press, 1969) or avowedly party-political works like Tom O'Lincoln, Into the Mainstream: The Decline of Australian Communism (Cartlon: Red Rag Publications, 2009). Some work by historians like Phillip Deery and several Masters and PhD students have recently gone some way to filling sections of this postwar narrative. See, for example, Phillip Deery and Rachel Calkin "'We All Make Mistakes:' The Communist Party of Australia and Khrushchev's Secret Speech," Australian Journal of Politics and History 54, No. 1 (2008): 69-84, Rachel Calkin, "'Cracking the Stalinist Crust:' The Impact of 1956 on the Communist Party of Australia" (Masters Thesis: Victoria University, 2006) and Douglas Jordan, "Conflict in the Unions: The Communist Party of Australia, Politics and the Trade Union Movement, 1945-1960" (PhD Thesis, Victoria University, 2011).

13 Ibid, 72-4; 101-2.

Menzies's championing of the Communist Party Dissolution Bill and subsequent referendum on banning the organisation in 1951, while ending in a slim victory for the Party, showed the extent to which fears permeated society. A 1948 decision by the National Union of Australian University Students (NUAUS) that the organisation would no longer support "any movement or organisation of political or religious tendencies", a retreat from its previous support for Indonesian independence that reflected Cold War fears and saw the union embark on an "apathetic" course throughout the 1950s.¹⁴ Similar trends have been noted by Jodi Burkett in the British National Union of Students, which adopted a position which "was much more explicitly limited...to discussing matters only as they impacted on students and focusing on 'practical projects' rather than politics."15 Jennifer Clark points out how such "apathy", as it was frequently termed, was seen as endemic within student life in the 1950s, unlike the previous decade, which had been marked by intense radicalisation and debates, as well as widespread communist influence in union bodies.¹⁶

Yet, the domination of such thinking was soon challenged as international events began attracting student ire. An article by W.J. Hudson in the University of Queensland's student newspaper Semper Floreat in 1958 denounced the indolence and apathy of Australians. While noting how the "average Australian...would not care about anything bar test cricket or football matches", Hudson spared most of his scorn for the Australian university student, who "almost certainly knows no Asian language, listens to no Asian music [and] even the sight of an Asian is strange to him". This cultural myopia mixed with lax education and geographic isolation to create an intellectual polity that didn't "care greatly about French cabinet shuffles, American presidential nominations or a war in Algeria".¹⁷ Clark describes how 1958 also saw student unions begin to challenge the issues Hudson identified, passing their first political statements in a decade. The University of Sydney's Student Representative Council passed two Extraordinary Resolutions in solidarity with students in Cuba and Spain, both under attack from their

¹⁴NUAUS constitution quoted in Jennifer Clark, *Aborigines and activism: race, aborigines and the coming of the 1960s to Australia* (Crawley, WA: UWA Press, 2008), 145.

¹⁵Jodi Burkett, "The National Union of Students and Transnational Solidarity, 1958-

^{1968&}quot;, European Review of History: Revue européenne d'histoire 21, No. 4 (2014): 545. ¹⁶ Clark, Aborigines and Activism, 123.

¹⁷W.J. Hudson, "This affects us," Semper Floreat, 28 February 1958, 3.

US-backed governments, yet informed prospective readers that they were "formed with some care" so as not to "abandon [our] long-term policy of 'A-politicism'".¹⁸

In the next decade, this policy was to be further challenged by a student body multiplying in numbers and adopting an increasingly radical disposition. The federal government's funding of universities in the post-World War II period provided a steadily expanding university sector to accommodate a rising student population. Many of the campuses on which the action of this book occurs-particularly Monash University in Melbourne and the University of New South Wales in Sydney-opened to make way for increasing student numbers, multiplying due to rising levels of high school completion as well as swelling numbers of post-World War II births. Monash, established in 1958, is exemplary of the new suburban "drive-in" universities which were multiplying around Australia at the time-offering educational opportunities to children of the working class for the first time. New mediums, principally television, provided timely reportage of overseas events in a way previously inconceivable while, as we will see, new cultural forms from overseas expanded their imagination, and the political language of overseas radicals, particularly in Berkeley and Paris, provided a useful rhetoric for critiquing the "degree factories" they found themselves in.

Apartheid, Civil Rights, and the Rise of a Questioning Constituency

This slow renewal of campus activism emerged through the frame of what Olesen labels "rights solidarity":

[A] form of solidarity concerned with human rights abuses and other forms of human oppression that is a result of the actions of states or extra-legal forces. Rights solidarity work generally aims at putting pressure on human rights abusers. This may be done directly by lobbying the governments of the countries in which the violations take place, but often pressure is exerted through other governments or intergovernmental organisations expected to have a certain influence on the state in which the violations occur.¹⁹

¹⁸Clark, Aborigines and activism, 147.

¹⁹Olesen, "Globalising the Zapatistas," 257.

Appealing to often intractable governments over "human rights" violations or other moral concerns rather than voicing political support for socialist states or liberation struggles, a desire to draw attention to violations in South Africa and mobilise Australia to oppose them informed the discourse of politicising students who began to challenge campus unions that saw international affairs as "not specifically a student matter".²⁰ This type of framing was indicative of a developing global New Left who, as Van Gosse describes in the US context, began petitioning governments for bans on nuclear testing and a policy of non-intervention in Cuba through organisations like Committee for a SANE Nuclear Policy and the Student Peace Union.²¹

A similar New Left soon formed in the antipodes, led in part by onand off-campus intellectuals who had left the Communist Party in 1956 over the revelations of Khrushchev's Secret Speech denouncing Stalin's crimes, the invasion of Hungary and the Australian Party's inability to allow real discussion on these vital matters. Outlook, the key publication of this loosely knit community of largely academics and assorted cultural workers, announced in its first issue that "socialism is once again a live issue in Australia".²² Outlook quickly became a relatively slick journal, consciously aping similar publications such as the New Left Review in the UK or the USA's *Dissent*, and developed a strong if marginal readership. However, by 1960, the group realised that their "narcissistic concern with world communism" was no longer fruitful, but rather that a "sympathetic interest in Castro's Cuba, the South-East Asian revolutionary movements, and the liberation movement in South Africa" might provide a more interesting approach.²³ A coming explosion of activism seemed to provide a solid foundation for this new international turn. The April 1960 issue announced that "Public outcry at the South African shootings has dealt a blow to the belief that the conscience of mankind is in the grip of the Great Apathy", and the writer was principally referring to the activities of several thousand Sydney University students, who had organised the first political protest of the 1960s.²⁴

On 21 March 1960, hundreds of people from the South African township of Sharpeville had gathered outside the local police station as part of

²⁰Clark, Aborigines and activism, 147.

²¹Van Gosse, *Rethinking the New Left: An Interpretative History* (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005), 53–62.

 ²² Ian Turner, "The long goodbye," *Outlook* 14, No. 6 (December 1970): 3.
 ²³ Ibid, 3.

²⁴ "South Africa—Explosion Point," Outlook 4, No. 2 (April 1960): 2.

a national day of peaceful protest against the pass system that restricted freedom of movement for black South Africans. Anxious over this public display of strength, police and paramilitaries opened fire on the group, killing 69 and wounding countless more.²⁵ The Sharpeville massacre proved a salutary shock to students at the University of Sydney, a campus that had not seen significant political activism since the 1940s.²⁶ While apartheid had been a topic of discussion and debate in Australia throughout the 1950s, it had not previously prompted such a public demonstration.²⁷ This was not "a spurof-the-moment mass student demonstration", Outlook's reporter noted, but rather the efforts of "a handful of left-wing students" that organised a frontlawn meeting four days after news from South Africa began flooding the airwaves. That this radicalisation took place at Sydney University-Australia's oldest "sandstone", upper class institution-demonstrates that not only newer universities of the lower classes, but those of the establishment were affected by rising student numbers and the political sentiment of the 1960s. The meeting ended up attracting some 3000 students and saw a wide-ranging debate. Many opposed any solidarity action, with Christians arguing "it would be better to pray for the African dead and imprisoned rather than to march in protest" and the Student Representative Council believing a march would only "turn into yet another student/police brawl". Even the Communist Party told its few remaining student members that "such demonstrations served no useful purpose". It was left to a "motley group" of Australian Labor Party (ALP) club members-some of whom were becoming influenced by Sydney's tiny Trotskyist milieu—anarchists and the editor of student newspaper Honi Soit to ratchet support for a protest in Martin Place.²⁸ An unnamed Asian student, who "in an impassioned speech said he was supporting the demonstration 'as a member of the human race'" was particularly vocal.

Students protested on March 25, supported by a smattering of unionists, and were met with the full force of Sydney's police. Thirty officers "ripped down placards and broke up the demonstration within fifteen minutes", arrests were made, two students were charged, and reports

²⁵See Tom Lodge, *Sharpeville: An Apartheid Massacre and its Consequences* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011), 234–279.

²⁶See Alan Barcan, *From New Left to Factional Left: Fifty Years of Student Activism at Sydney University* (North Melbourne: Australian Scholarly Publishing, 2011), 23.

²⁷ For work on previous Australian engagement with apartheid, see David Tothill, "Trying to Sell Apartheid to 1950s Australia," *Diplomacy & Statecraft* 17, No. 1 (2006): 143–71.

²⁸ John Glyde, "Students in Martin Place," Outlook 4, No. 3 (June 1960): 14-5.

in the mainstream press condemned this militant turn in campus life.²⁹ A writer for *Honi* defended the protest against its detractors, arguing that "[r]ather than sit in judgement of South Africa", students had wished to "inform...the South African government that certain of their actions do not go unseen, and that the needless massacre of the Sharpeville natives was not unheeded". These justifications, framed around shaming South Africa and mobilising Australia to oppose it, appeared in the student press alongside reflections on the usefulness of the protest as a local and global manifestation. The very public statement made by the students was defended in terms of making Australia use its political weight to force South Africa's hand on the issue of race discrimination, a central component of rights activism. "Australia is a member of the British Commonwealth of Nations", and as such "her actions are capable of serious and widespread international implications", one student argued.³⁰

Others thought the protest had had little effect internationally, instead seeing it as useful in local terms, particularly in proving that Australian students could, like their international counterparts, act politically. "G.M." opined how "Demonstrations thousands of miles away, it is true, do little to help the natives...[b]ut no matter what difference demonstrations in Sydney make overseas, they do make a difference in Sydney". This statement involved an ill-conceived approximation of South Africa's violent racism, with Sydney police requiring a permit for demonstrations. Such infringement on rights, the author argued, is "carried out with guns in Cape Town, while elsewhere it includes laws requiring official sanction for public political meetings".³¹ Another writer took this one step further, asking of the Martin Place protests, "how many...would arm themselves with rocks and sticks and take part in a pitched battle with the police", a la the concurrent actions of Japanese students?³² This level of international comparison should not be surprising, for as Christopher A. Rootes argues, a small number of NUAUS delegates had begun attending international student conferences at the time and were "strongly influenced by international student campaigns against racism and colonialism in Southern Africa". In the opinion of Rootes's unnamed interviewees, these global encounters "led directly to

³² Peter Grose, "The political power of student demonstrations," *Honi Soit*, 4 August 1960, 6.

²⁹Clark, Aborigines and activism, 132.

³⁰ "Police give a hand in protest on Sharpeville," Honi Soit, 7 April 1960, 1.

³¹G.M., "What was the point?" Honi Soit, 7 April 1960, 2.

the NUAUS campaign against apartheid and fuelled the concern with both immigration policy and the status of Aborigines".³³

While the locus of this protest was international, the students' sights were firmly set on Australia, with South Africa serving as a springboard for debates about local dissent. As post-colonial scholar Achille Mbembe argues, "narrative about Africa is always pretext for a comment about something else", a "mediation that enables the West to accede to its own subconsciousness and give a public account of its subjectivity".34 A writer in Outlook saw this clearly, imagining how an upswing in Australian student activism would have to arise from a Sharpeville-type incident in Australian-administered New Guinea, that would pose a stark question to students: "are you for colonialism or against it". This was a question that was in fact to be posed most visibly by Vietnam.³⁵ The use of terms like "natives" by student protestors also displays one of the limits of rights activism, which sees the oppressed as disempowered objects of charity, rather than as political actors. "The providers [of solidarity] are in one place, mainly Europe and the USA, where there is a generally high degree of stability", Olesen relates in his critique of this form of solidarity, "while the beneficiaries are located in a distant place with severe problems".³⁶ In centralising the role of Western students, it allowed for oppressed black South Africans to disappear from debates, only appearing in the guise of a helpless, racialised native.

This type of rights-focused discourse inflected the continuing, and burgeoning, anti-apartheid movement of the early 1960s, in which students cooperated with trade unionists and other community members in trade boycotts, protests at sporting matches and general information campaigns. A leaflet prepared by Youth Against Apartheid in 1965 argued that:

The days of the "sovereign state" are over and totalitarianism anywhere threatens democracy anywhere, so to refuse to interfere in the domestic affairs of South Africa on behalf of, and at the request of the majority of her people is …only cowardly and hypocritical.³⁷

³³Christopher A. Rootes, "The Development of Radical Student Movements and their Sequalae," *Australian Journal of Politics and History* 34, No. 2 (August 1988): 174.

³⁴Achille Mbembe, On the Postcolony (Berkeley, Cal: University of California Press, 2001), 3.

³⁵K.T. Fowler, "The Incoherent Rebellion," *Outlook* 4, No. 4 (August 1960): 9.

³⁶Olesen, "Globalising the Zapatistas," 259.

³⁷ Youth Against Apartheid newsletter, January 1965 in Langer, Albert Volume 1, A6119 3931, National Archives of Australia, Canberra. This idea of working on "behalf", or even at the "request", of oppressed people, while certainly an improvement of colonialist motifs of the "native", continued to reinforce the idea of developed world centrality in these movements. Indeed, sometimes the solidarity actions of Australians were unwanted. Indigenous activist and waterside worker Charles Dixon recalls how the South African boycott campaign on the Sydney docks reflected the problems of charity with mute recipients:

[W]e refused to unload South African cargo. So we were trying to support the workers of South Africa. We were getting, use an example, \$100 a week to unload it and they were getting \$5 a week for loading it. So we thought we were great and we were going to support them. After a month they got in touch with us. "Oh, don't refuse to unload it. Better \$5 than no dollar". So that didn't work. So that was a lesson learned.³⁸

While Dixon learnt his lesson quickly, when students took on their next major international cause, similar problems emerged.

The Civil Rights Movement in the USA was a hot topic in Australia during the late 1950s and throughout the 1960s. "The bloody images of American desegregation were well known", Clark remarks, and "the images were made more real and intimate through television".³⁹ Australia had developed a strong cultural and political relationship with America during the 1950s and 1960s. "American mass culture", Sean Scalmer writes in his work on the "translation" of American protest forms to Australia, "was a dominant influence and inspiration for Australian youth".⁴⁰ This interest extended to political culture, with one student opining how "the really thrilling part about student politics [is] the feeling of being part of something which links me with students all over Australia and beyond...It is exactly the same kind of feeling that I get from listening to Pete Seger (sic) sing...against racial discrimination in the USA".⁴¹

In this way, the world and radical politics in general became associated with the USA. The Civil Rights Act, banning overt discrimination against African Americans, was strenuously debated in the US Senate on

³⁸Chicka Dixon interviewed by Gary Foley, 5 and 12 May 1995, ORAL TRC 3282, National Library of Australia, Canberra.

³⁹Clark, Aborigines and activism, 152.

⁴⁰Sean Scalmer, *Dissent events: protest, the media and the political gimmick in Australia* (Sydney: UNSW Press, 2002), 14.

⁴¹Bob McDonald, "Student Politics: by a participant," Honi Soit, 19 March 1963, 5.

6 May 1964 and Australian students decided to add their voices to calls for its passage. Massing in Wynyard Park, close to the US consulate, the students engaged in a theatrical protest, some dressing as Ku Klux Klan members, burning crosses and all chanting "civil rights now" as part of the University's commemoration day celebrations, traditionally a bawdy day of humorous student-led street processions.⁴² Some 40 students were arrested and a new vocabulary of global dissent was used to criticise police violence, with well-known student journalist Peter Steedman commenting in Monash's campus paper *Lot's Wife* that "like the students conducting their protests in the Southern States of America, those of Sydney University appear to have received the same treatment from police".⁴³

A perhaps unexpected outcome of this well-meaning protest was criticism, not only from the usual suspects over riotous affray or damage to respectability, but from those taking the students to task for ignoring discrimination closer to home. This is another issue that arises within rights solidarity action; it relies "on a notion of distance between providers and beneficiaries in the solidarity exchange". The beneficiary cannot be the victim of the privileged benefactor's own (in)action.⁴⁴ Anthropologist Bill Stanner bemoaned in the *Sydney Morning Herald* a few days prior to the 1964 ruckus that Australians "are angered only by very distant racial wrongs"—ignoring local injustices against indigenous peoples—and this criticism was reflected in media discourse about what was termed the "Wynyard Park riot".⁴⁵

African American Charlie Pyatt II wrote to *Honi Soit* shortly after the protests, thanking the students for helping to provide the "[s]hame at home and abroad" that will "eliminate the racial injustices which have for so long seared our land", while also reprimanding them for failing to protest against outrages like the White Australia Policy and treatment of Aborigines that the US media had highlighted.⁴⁶ This was a point made often of globally conscious protest, going back to the 1960 anti-apartheid action in Martin Place. "Obviously", a protesting student wrote after that protest, "it is realised that in our own backyard exists a racial and differential treatment of an indigenous native race". Forcing Menzies

⁴²Scalmer, Dissent events, 15.

⁴³ Pete Steedman quoted in Clark, Aborigines and activism, 157.

⁴⁴Olesen, International Zapatismo, 109.

⁴⁵Bill Stanner quoted in Ann Curthoys, *Freedom Ride: A Freedom Rider Remembers* (Sydney: UNSW Press, 2002), 1.

⁴⁶Charles Pyatt II, "Negro thanks on protest," Honi Soit, 30 June 1964, 2.

to publically denounce South Africa's actions, in the student's opinion, might ensure "that world opinion and scrutiny could be deflected on to Australia's administration of Papua New Guinea and its attitude toward the aborigine".⁴⁷ This time around, however, such realisations saw the birth of a locally attuned, if American inspired, means of solidarity. The now-famous Freedom Rides, attempts to desegregate southern busing networks in America, translated into a survey-cum-protest across rural NSW by Sydney University students in early 1965, involving most of those who had led the way on the consulate protest under the leadership of Aboriginal students Charles Perkins and Gary Williams.⁴⁸

VIETNAM AND A NEW ETHIC OF SOLIDARITY

It is clear, then, how a call for international solidarity became one for a localised solidarity with recipients at one's own doorstep. In many ways what was to follow built on this development, exposing a group of activists to charges of treason for supporting those they perceived as the victims not of a foreign government, but of their own. While previous instances of rights-based activism proved that "a small student group with a positive policy can rouse normally indifferent students on an important, specific humanitarian issue", students at Sydney and later Monash universities were to marshal ideas of "material" aid in a firmly political context.⁴⁹ In doing so, they broke directly with the Cold War policies of their government through an active engagement with struggles in the recently "discovered" Third World, particularly Vietnam.

If earlier activism had assisted in breaching it, the war in Indochina was "the fire that burnt down the rotten framework of cold war politics", as Brisbane activist Dan O'Neill put it. "[D]iscussion on the war became...a discussion on capitalism, on economic imperialism, on Australian Foreign Policy, on Australian Society...and so on [to] the point of our own lives

⁴⁷ "Police give a hand," 1.

⁴⁸See Curthoys, *Freedom Ride* for the key academic account of this protest. For an interesting comment on its transnationality, see Scalmer, *Dissent Events*, 11–30, and for its revealing of the spatial politics of race see Penelope Edmonds, "Unofficial apartheid, convention and country towns: reflections on Australian history and the New South Wales Freedom Rides of 1965," *Postcolonial Studies* 15, No. 2 (2012): 167–90.

⁴⁹Glyde, "Students in Martin Place," 14.

within the university and within the society".⁵⁰ The war itself began for Australia in July 1962, when advisers were dispatched to train the pro-Western South Vietnamese army in facing a home-grown insurgency supported by a determined Northern adversary. Protest only emerged in 1964 in response to the Gulf of Tonkin incident in August, further motivated by the introduction of conscription for overseas service later in that year, and the April 1965 announcement that Australian combat troops were to be committed to the conflict. Despite initial popularity with the general public, groups like Save Our Sons (SOS), the Youth Campaign Against Conscription (YCAC), and the Vietnam Action Committee (VAC) took the lead in activism during 1965-6, and pursued a respectable political agenda-not unlike that of previous groups like the Australian Peace Council-of asking the government to negotiate with North Vietnam rather than calling for immediate troop withdrawal. This form of politics was complemented by an equally respectable protesting style, as one leaflet to SOS members read "our most orderly demonstrations have been our most effective".⁵¹

Such protest began, however, to garner criticism in 1966, particularly after the disastrous ALP election defeat in December of that year, towards the victory of which the "peace movement…had thrown all of its resources…and invested great hopes in the change of policy which would result".⁵² ALP leader Arthur Calwell, a pivotal figure in Labor's World War II-era government, attempted to utilise memories of Australia's successful anti conscription movement during World War I to discredit the Menzies government's Vietnam policies. This, however, served only to further illustrate the Party's isolation from the political mainstream. ALP defeat "produced widespread disillusion with both the Labor Party and electoral politics and directly stimulated the turn to direct action" amongst student groups and other radical opponents of the war.⁵³ Even before this defeat, however, some activists were challenging the movement's limited vision. Hall Greenland, Trotskyist and president of the Sydney University

⁵⁰ Dan O'Neill, "The rise and fall of student consciousness," *Semper Floreat*, 20 May 1976, 10.

⁵¹See both John Murphy, *Harvest of Fear: A history of Australia's Vietnam War* (St Leonards, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 1993), 140–9 and John Percy, *A History of the Democratic Socialist Party and Resistance, Volume 1: 1965–72, Resistance* (Chippendale, NSW: Resistance Books, 2005), 50–84 for overviews of these developments.

⁵² Murphy, Harvest of Fear, 159.

⁵³Rootes, "Radical Student Movements," 174.

ALP Club, who had played an instrumental role in the Sharpeville protests, wrote in a March 1966 edition of the group's increasingly notorious Wednesday Commentary that the demands of the peace movement were ill suited to the realities of the conflict. "It must be obvious even to the most innocent that US policy is aimed at nothing less than total victory", Greenland argued, and to "continue to talk of negotiations when confronted by such a situation is to talk into thin air".⁵⁴ The basis of this type of activism, the positive role social movements could play in changing government policy like during previous attempts around apartheid and civil rights, was irrelevant in the context of a total colonial war. Other solutions, then, seemed necessary. "One possible response", Greenland proposed, "is to extend practical aid to the Vietnamese", something which was to be achieved via the establishment of two funds-one providing medical aid to "the victims of US intervention in Vietnam" and the other to provide similar aid to the National Liberation Front of South Vietnam—Australia's communist enemy in the war. Whilst the first fund achieved fairly wide acceptance, the second raised what Greenland termed "unbelievably violent opposition".55 Material solidarity, "directed mainly towards victims of disasters and to different forms of underdevelopment" by enlightened, cosmopolitan Westerners, became in this instance a political weapon.56

Greenland published an open letter defending the Club's decision to establish these funds—as a part of the British organisation "Medi-Cong"—in *Honi Soit*, where he lauded the Vietnamese, not as victims and beneficiaries of solidarity, but as model revolutionaries worthy of support:

The grounds for this support of the Vietnamese revolutionaries are numerous...They have earned a reputation for independence and genuine nationalism; by the very nature of the war they fight they need popular support which they have earned carrying through land reform in the countryside; by their belief in planned economic progress and their opposition to parasitic strata who hinder economic development.⁵⁷

⁵⁴Hall Greenland, "Medi-Cong," Wednesday Commentary, 2 March 1966, 2.

⁵⁵Hall Greenland, "Medical Aid for Vietnam Fund," *Wednesday Commentary*, 20 April 1966, 2.

⁵⁶Olesen, "Globalising the Zapatistas," 258.

⁵⁷ Hall Greenland, "Open Letter," Honi Soit, 13 July 1966, 4.

The student leader additionally lambasted those who "oppose the war with gestures and protestations but will not break radically with the Government" of holding a weak notion of solidarity that "is a mere phrase and comes to nothing".⁵⁸ And while the federal police sought to paint this as aiding an enemy in times of war, it was found that "before a prosecution could be made...the exact nature of the enemy had to be defined and announced by a government proclamation", something which in the context of an intervention into a civil war had "of course, not been done".⁵⁹

Taking inspiration from Sydney University's confrontational approach, students at Monash University decided to set up a similar fund in July 1967, though with the important and highly controversial addition of "unspecified" aid. The Monash Labor Club (MLC) had, up until the end of 1966, been a left-Labor group campaigning for the party's election and holding a social-democratic, anti-racist political approach. Under the tutelage of Albert Langer, a fiery undergraduate who had travelled to China in May of 1966, the club quickly began adopting the more militant, Third-World-oriented, and eventually Maoist approach that was to make it the most infamous of Australia's radical student groups.⁶⁰ Student and soon-to-be Maoist Michael Hyde remembers how after arriving on campus for the first time in February of 1967, he was shocked and excited by the Club's approach, "supporting revolutionary movements and National Liberation struggles I'd never heard of".⁶¹ Club members described similar feelings of political impotence to Greenland. They wrote that while "the Monash Labor Club, in common with other University Labor Clubs has opposed the Vietnam War", they were soon "logically forced to move from denouncing the United States as an aggressor to supporting the victims of the aggression-the Vietnamese people led by the National Liberation Front". This was particularly pressing for the Monash radicals who felt that after the failed ALP election bid in 1966, "it seemed that the Vietnam war was rapidly becoming a non-issue", and that while

⁵⁸ Ibid, 4.

⁵⁹J. V. R Hearder, "Medical aid for Viet Nam," 31 March 1966, in Communism—control of Communist propaganda in Australia—Vietnam War, A1838 563/20 Part 2, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

⁶⁰For more on the club's radicalisation see Daniel Robin, "Melbourne's Maoists: The Rise of the Monash University Labor Club, 1965–1967," (BA Honours Thesis, Victoria University, 2005).

⁶¹Michael Hyde, All along the watchtower: Memoirs of a 1960s revolutionary (Carlton, Vic: Vulgar Press, 2010), 15.

the "war was escalating and leading to more casualties...it seemed as if the peace movement had given up the fight".⁶²

The Monash students, while expressing similar sentiments to their Sydney counterparts, took this one step further to an acknowledgement of the importance of the decolonising world as a whole to First World politics. The organisation's "steering committee on the Question of aid to the National Liberation Front of South Vietnam" delivered a report to a club general meeting in July 1967 explaining that "it must be accepted that revolution is and will be a part of the political development of the nations in the Third World". This privileging of the Third World as the locus of world revolution posed a choice in the minds of these radicals, for Australia "must now decide for itself whether its destiny is on the side of reaction-as an outpost of American imperialism, living in a hostile environment, or on the side of social change, progress and national independence, as part of the Asian community".⁶³ As leading club member Martha Campbell argued, "Australia cannot prevent itself from becoming a part of Asia" and for "her own sake, and the sake of the whole of Asia, she must recognise other people as being equal, and having the same rights as their (sic) own".64

These students, and increasing sections of the broader protest community, then came to see the Vietnamese and other anti-colonial fighters not as mute recipients of solidarity, but leaders in the struggle for global revolution whose ideas provided new avenues for local struggle. As Karen Steller Bjerregaard argues in her study of similar actions in Denmark, "the Third World, and especially Vietnam, was crucial to the forming of new ways of doing politics and new critical views on western societies within [the] New Left".⁶⁵ Thus, liberation struggles in the colonial dependencies of various empires not only freed these states from colonial rule, but also provided new ideas, causes, and inspirations for First World radicals, increasingly alienated from their societies and the official left. Members of the Australian National University (ANU) Labor Club, also involved in

⁶²Monash Labor Club Committee for Aid to the National Liberation Front, *Which Way Treason* (Melbourne: Monash Labor Club, 1967), 1–2.

^{63 &}quot;On Vietnam," Print, 27 July 1967, 2.

^{64 &}quot;On National Liberation," Lot's Wife, 8 August 1967, 5.

⁶⁵Karen Steller Bjerregaard, "Guerrillas and Grassroots: Danish Solidarity with the Third World in the 1960s and 1970s," in *Between Prague Spring and French May: Opposition and Revolt in Europe, 1960–1980*, Martin Klimke, et al, eds., 213–4 (New York: Berghahn Books, 2011).

the collection of aid for the NLF, argued in 1967 that "[a]ll socialists must reorganize their thinking towards the Third World [for] either he allies himself...with the new and growing revolutionary forces whose outward face is the Algerian, Cuba, the National Liberation front or he sinks first into Communist Party 'accommodation' with capitalism and then into a bourgeois mentality".⁶⁶ The ANU students, quoting often laboriously from Frantz Fanon and Jean-Paul Sartre, were obviously influenced by their progenitors in the political aid movement—French radicals who had to make a similarly confrontational choice over whether to support Algerian independence or simply call for negotiations.⁶⁷ Indeed, Greenland's membership of the Trotskyist Fourth International, which had significant sway on student politics in Sydney, and the leader of which had been jailed in Belgium for providing arms to the Algerian resistance, was undoubtedly at the centre of his rationalisations around sending aid to those fighting a similar struggle in Southeast Asia.⁶⁸

Reactions to the rhetoric and action of the Monash students in particular were swift and resounding. Typical amongst these were calls of disloyalty. Sydney's *Daily Telegraph* announced that these actions should meet "the condemnation and disgust of any decent Australian", while government-level action was swiftly taken to curb this "intolerable" development.⁶⁹ The *Defence Forces Protection Act* (DFPA) was pushed through parliament to solve the earlier problem of an undefined enemy and provide explicit protection "against the actions of any persons in Australia seeking to send assistance to...enemy forces". This action seemed to have been particularly motivated by Monash student Peter Price's comments that it "would be unfortunate if an Australian conscript was hit by a bullet with Monash University Labor Club written on it, but we don't see that there is any way out of this".⁷⁰ The activists did, however, open space for a critical discussion on the politics of opposing the war in Vietnam—in fact legitimise more peaceful approaches. Minister for Education John Gorton

66 "Labor Club Manifesto: A case for Action-Socialism," Woroni, 3 August 1967, 4.

⁶⁷ James D. Le Sueur, "Decolonising 'French Universalism': Reconsidering the impact of the Algerian War on French Intellectuals," *The Journal of North African Studies* 6, No. 1 (2001): 167–186.

⁶⁸For more on this and its influence on Australians see Denis Freney, A Map of Days: Life on the Left (Melbourne: William Heinemann Australia, 1991), 152.

69 "They want to help kill Australians," Daily Telegraph, 18 August 1967, 2.

⁷⁰Commonwealth Parliamentary Debates (Senate), "Defence Force Protection Bill, Second Reading," 7 September 1967, 513.

noted that while anti-war protestors "confined themselves...to exercising the rights guaranteed to Australians by our laws", a completely legitimate pursuit in the minister's understanding, students who collected funds for what they saw as the Vietnamese revolutionaries "go beyond exercising their right to criticise the Government's actions" to a dangerous grey area of sympathy with an (albeit undeclared) enemy.⁷¹

Despite this media circus, the Club received a number of complimentary letters during the campaign. One, from a former soldier, noted that their actions had "done more for re-awakening intelligent discussion than any other civil action to date", noting that "I am proud of your militant attitude as I see it in defence of the boys conscripted to fight there".⁷² Criticism of the government's position was also voiced in sections of the mainstream press. Writing in the Sun, Douglas Wilkie pointed out that Australians had a long history of supporting the enemy in conflicts, focusing particularly on the active role some Australians played in supporting Boer farmers against British and colonial forces during the Boer War. He pointed out, in a less-than-cloaked analogy to US Strategic Hamlet policies in Vietnam, that the South African war was "only ended when most of the Boer population was herded into the biggest concentration camps the world had yet seen". This highlighting the moral ambiguities of Vietnam, an undeclared war in which amongst "all the humbug and hypocrisy...it will become harder and harder to define the frontiers of 'treason'".⁷³

This opening of the debate was the core positive outcome students saw as arising from their activism—bound up in the realisation that their material solidarity would be insignificant at best. As Labor Club secretary David Nadel noted:

I don't know how much money we collected, but I'd be surprised if it would have bought more than about three AK-47s and a couple of rounds of ammunition. But that wasn't why we were doing it, we were making a political point. We were saying, there's a war on, it's not just that Australia is wrong, but we are actually supporting the wrong side.⁷⁴

71 Ibid, 513.

⁷²Louis Clark to Michael Hyde, personal communication, undated (c. July–August 1967) in Albert Langer Papers, Z457, Box 36, Folder marked "NLF AID, Correspondence Inward—pro-campaign 1967–1968", Noel Butlin Archives, Canberra.

73 Douglas Wilkie, "Frontiers of Treason," The Sun, 28 July 1967, 23.

⁷⁴David Nadel interviewed by Peter Parkhill, 14 December 2001, ORAL TRC 5108, National Library of Australia, Canberra.

The student activists used the unpopularity of their radical actions to "push the debate to the left", particularly in student unions whose trenchant apolitical stances often proved difficult to break. "What happened", he remembered, "is there were general meetings called to condemn us, and they condemned us, but they also passed motions saying, but of course we are opposed to the war, and believe the National Liberation Front should be recognised as a party to negotiations".⁷⁵ As Monash student Elliot Gingold recalls, "a lot of students now felt able to publically take what had now become a moderate position of opposing the war", due to the MLC's "left-flank cover".⁷⁶ These activists came to see themselves as providing solidarity with the Vietnamese not through calling on the Australian or American government to change their positions, but by returning to an older form of political solidarity. They were building support at home not for a helpless native but rather for a noble ally, a revolutionary hero. However, some saw this in a less rose-tinted fashion. One student asked whether calls for solidarity were based on concern for the suffering Vietnamese masses, or were activists "simply trying to make political capital out of other people's tragedies".⁷⁷ Questions of Western centrality still seemed unavoidable, despite best efforts of the students to put their freedom on the line by challenging a hostile government and society, and were not to go away.

CONCLUSION

Hyde and Price's meeting in the back streets of Phnom Penh is just one example amongst many of how activism around 1960s *cause celebres* like apartheid, civil rights, and the war in Vietnam saw the development of what has been labelled an ethic of solidarity with these movements, moving those involved from a high minded and colonially inspired sense of charity to a militant identification with their own country's enemy. The acts of often-small groups of activists ensured that previously overlooked global events became more and more central to student life. They functioned both as a way to talk about previously marginal overseas developments and the changing nature of politics at home. Hyde's action was, after all,

75 Ibid.

⁷⁶ Graeme Davison and Kate Murphy, University Unlimited: The Monash Story (Crows Nest, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 2012), 125.

⁷⁷ Roger Dampney, "Why did the Yanks bomb Hanoi," Honi Soit, 20 July 1966, 2.

far from pure altruism. He imagined that returning with receipts verifying the MLC's donation would force the government's hand in employing the DFPA, which indeed proved in the lexicon of the time to be a paper tiger. And the Vietnamese, for their part, used such activists as a part of their own global offensive to discredit US imperialism and sap Western support for the conflict.⁷⁸ Thus, new ways of imagining and engaging in radical activity found their way to Australia, carrying through into the everyday life, the urban sinews, and the written word of the nation's budding radicalism.

⁷⁸See Robert K. Brigham, *Guerrilla Diplomacy: The NLF's Foreign Relations and the Viet Nam War* (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1999) for more on how these activists were viewed as essential to the Communists' diplomatic efforts.

Turning Over Marx and Mao and Intently Lengthening Their Hair: Writing, Debating, and Living the Global

Tucked away in an old commercial alley of the city's Elizabeth Street, Brisbane's Red and Black Bookshop was a place where activist Jean Kent remembers finding youths "turning over Marx and Mao...arguing for anarchy and intently lengthening their hair".¹ An outgrowth of Society for Democratic Action (SDA) at the University of Queensland (UQ), the Red and Black Bookshop stocked everything from New Left writers to National Liberation Front (NLF) badges and character posters of Che Guevara and Chairman Mao, although these could only be obtained from the shop's anarchist manager, Brian Laver, "under duress".² This and other radical bookshops like the Third World in Sydney or Alice's in Melbourne, where the written word and physical space met, were nodes in an unofficial Australia-wide network of places and spaces where individuals were interpolated into the various life worlds of activism. Here, a budding activist might encounter her first radical newspaper or pamphlet, and perhaps participate in the production of one. The importance of this print culture cannot be overstated. As Peter Pierce writes: "The signature tune of this

¹Jean Kent, "The Red and Black Bookshop," in *Hot Iron Corrugated Sky: 100 Years of Queensland Writing*, eds. Robyn Sheahan-Bright and Stuart Glover, 75 (St Lucia: University of Queensland Press, 2002).

² "Red and Black Bookshop: In Solidarity with the Revolutionary People of Cuba" leaflet, in Dan O'Neill Collection, UQFL 132, Folder 5, Fryer Library, The University of Queensland.

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016 J. Piccini, *Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s*, DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-52914-5_3 period was the rhythmic thump of helicopters in Vietnam, a noise whose eerily similar counterpart was provided by the hundreds of Gestetner machines running off the leaflets of the anti-war protest movement".³ "Political power", as one wit put it in the Monash Labor Club's (MLC) muck-raking newsletter *Print*, "grew from the barrel of a gestetner", and this plethora of publications was vital to the cultivation of a global radical imagination that extended far beyond a simple aping of American styles.⁴

This chapter takes seriously the everyday life and radical imagination of social movements, looking at the counter-public spheres-as critical theorists would call them-they generate. Individuals became radicalised through a process of enculturation, of "finding the movement", as one historian has put it, through either its physical spaces or its publications. This chapter will argue that both of these underwent a profound "worlding" during the 1960s.⁵ Solidarity movements laid early foundations for this, but their own geographic limits as well as the relatively small number of activists involved curtailed their influence. By definition, a solidarity movement is about something "over there"-a politics of "hyper-difference", as scholar Sean Chabot would put it, that "exaggerated the cultural gap between Self and Other".⁶ And while Australian activists often used these global issues as a way of discussing local movements, they provided only an untranslated vocabulary rather than a localised practice. This chapter highlights how both the ideas of national liberation and racial equality encountered in Chap. 2, as well as many others, found their way into the repositories and "toolkits" of local actors.

Few Australian scholars have dealt with this lived aspect of radicalism, with the daily lives of activists rarely discussed at all, outside of theses written by former participants.⁷ This chapter, however, explores how their

³ Peter Pierce, "Never glad confident morning again," In *Vietnam: War, Myth and Memory*, eds. Jeffrey Grey and Jeff Doyle, 69 (St Leonards, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 1992).

⁴Darce Cassidy, "Print—Newspaper or Propaganda Sheet," Report to the Monash Labor Club's Annual General Meeting 1967, Albert Langer Papers, Z457, Box 34, Folder Marked "MLC—Internal," Noel Butlin Archives, Canberra.

⁵On "Finding the movement" see Anne Enke, *Finding the Movement: Sexuality, Contested Space and Feminist Activism* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2010); on "worlding" see Christopher Leigh Connery, "The World 1960s," *The Worlding Project: Doing Cultural Studies in the Era of Globalisation*, eds. Rob Wilson and Christopher Leigh Connery, 77 (Berkeley, CA: North Atlantic Books, 2007).

⁶Sean Chabot, Transnational roots of the civil rights movement: African American explorations of the Gandhian repertoire (Landam, Md.: Lexington Books, 2012), 7.

 $^7 \rm Ken$ Mansell, "The Yeast is Red" (Masters Thesis, The University of Melbourne, 1994) stands out here.

eminently local, spatial, and urban politics was central to the globalising of activism during the period. Activist writing and rhetoric, on the other hand, is sometimes dismissed as a narcissistic exercise tied irrevocably to American fashions and trends. Writing in 1969, conservative student commentator Gerard Henderson claimed that there was "no authentic equivalent" of the New Left in Australia, but rather that it aped America so thoroughly that "[t]hey even use American terminology and spelling".⁸ However, by looking at the publications and ideas consumed and often heatedly debated by the movement, the Australian New Left can be viewed as existing within and contributing to what George Katsiaficas has called the "world-historical moment" of the 1960s.⁹

The Real War Is Here in Prahran Not in Viet Nam: The Urban Fabric of Revolt

Katherine Brisbane, theatre critic for the *Australian* newspaper, ordered a taxi to Brisbane's Trades Hall in July 1968.¹⁰ An imposing and eminently conservative 1920s Beaux-Arts style four-story construction; the building was the citadel of the city's respectable Old Left. That night, however, it was host to something altogether different. The reviewer had decided to visit the new highlight of Queensland's night-time entertainment circuit—Foco Club—that had taken over the building's previously disused third floor with a mixture of political discussion, avant-garde film, and a rock and roll disco.¹¹ The venture, organised by SDA and fellow militants, attracted some 3000 members in a matter of weeks.¹² Foco, meaning "nucleus" in Spanish, was the title given to Che Guevara's strategy of revolutionary warfare in hostile terrain. The term was popularised

⁸Gerald (sic) Henderson, "The Derived Nature of the Australian New Left," *Quadrant* 15, No. 6 (December 1969): 66–7.

⁹George Katsiaficas, *The Imagination of the New Left: A Global Analysis of 1968* (Boston, Mass: South End Press, 1987), 3–27.

¹⁰This episode is detailed in Katherine Brisbane, "Guerrillas in Brisbane," *The Australian*, 17 July 1968, in *Not wrong just different: Observations on the rise of contemporary Australian theatre*, ed. Katherine Brisbane, 63–5 (Strawberry Hills, NSW: Currency Press, 2005).

¹¹Foco Club and the politics of Brisbane's '1968' have been explored in William Hatherell, *The Third Metropolis: Imagining Brisbane through art and literature, 1940–1970* (St Lucia: University of Queensland Press, 2007), 177–87 and Jon Piccini, "'Building their own scene to do their own thing': Imagining and contesting space/s in Brisbane's youth radicalisation," (BA Honours Thesis, The University of Queensland, 2009), 19–41.

12 Ibid, 64.

by French radical journalist Regis Debrey in his 1967 work *Revolution in the Revolution*, which had been translated into English and soon made its way to Brisbane. Guevara had died in October 1967, and *Revolution in the Revolution's* foreword captured Guevara's increasingly global posthumous existence. "It is not only Latin American revolutionaries who are concerned" with Guevara's ideas, they were of "the utmost relevance to other countries around the world", and Brisbane's political–cultural radicals found in the Foco idea something eminently translatable.¹³ As Laver put it, Foco was "our notion of building a guerrilla encampment against bourgeois culture at the top of the Trades Hall".¹⁴

How and why the ideas of Third World guerrilla revolution were, quite literally, mapped onto the urban fabric of Australian cities is an important question to ask when analysing the impact of the global revolutionary ideal in local settings. Belinda Davis explains in her work on the West German student movement that activists often "looked 'inside'-behind the scenes—away from public spaces as much as in them, to achieve broad movement visions". Activists both in West Germany and Australia sought to take "advantage of the city's internal spaces, its possibilities for networks...that lay beneath the surface" to articulate their globally inspired politics in a social context framed by a sometimes violent conservatism.¹⁵ Analysed through the prism of capturing or restructuring urban space to emancipatory ends, the Foco Club and other physical institutions of the "New Left" begin to appear not as one-off instances, but part of an at least semi-conscious strategy. In 1967, Brisbane's New Left had exploded in significance. From a tiny group of activists in mid-1966, SDA had formed an alliance of groups that mobilised half of the campus population—some 4000 students—in a campaign modelled loosely on the famous Free Speech Movement at Berkeley, California, to oppose restrictions on protesting.

Brisbane police had used these laws to break up a series of small anti-Vietnam war protests, and this time the students received similar treatment, with over 100 arrested in a mass sit down outside the police station on Roma Street. In between organising such protests, SDA printed a weekly

¹³Regis Debray, *Revolution in the Revolution?: Armed Struggle and Political Struggle in Latin America* (New York: Grove Press, 1967), 8.

¹⁴Brian Laver interviewed by Cecily Cameron, 6 June 1988, Cecily Cameron Papers, UQFL439, Box 10, Fryer Library, The University of Queensland.

¹⁵Belinda Davis, "The City as Theater of Protest: West Berlin and West Germany, 1962–1983," in *The Spaces of the Modern City: Imaginaries, Politics and Everyday Life*, eds. Gyan Prakash and Kevin M. Kruse, 264 (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2008). newspaper, held educational sessions, sold books, and threw numerous parties and social events at its premises in the inner city suburb of Highgate Hill.¹⁶ Soon, however, these activities began appearing as overly modest. Brian Laver, history student and a leading figure in SDA, recalls that the constant activism of 1967 forced the group's leadership to "find some way to hold together our movement and rest it, have some R&R and at the same time reach out and make links with the...young workers movement".¹⁷ The choice of Trades Hall was then an obvious one. Even before the events of May '68 in Paris raised the notion of a studentworker alliance to global New Left strategy, Laver and other SDA leaders used their relatively friendly relationships with the Communist Party to secure co-operation in the venture. This was despite their concerns that the Party was only involved because the promised youthful festivities would attract "a lot of young suits who might join" the increasingly beleaguered organisation.¹⁸

Katherine Brisbane provides a vivid overview of what the radicals did with the Trades Hall venue. Taking inspiration from "all over the world", they imagined and constructed a mélange of experimental cultural forms and radical political content with the intention of "institutionalis[ing] our movement in culture and entertainment" as Laver put it.¹⁹ Upon entering the space, the reviewer became immersed in the globalised life world of 1960s radicalism:

When the lift door opened we were thrust into a corridor with a hundred or so people all thumbing copies of How Not to Join to the Army, Australian

¹⁶Carole Ferrier and Ken Mansell, "Student Revolt, 1960s and 1970s," in *Radical Brisbane: an unruly history*, eds. Carole Ferrier and Raymond Evans, 266–72 (Carlton, Vic: Vulgar Press, 2004), and Jon Piccini, "Up the new channels' Student Activism in Brisbane during Australia's 1960s," *Crossroads* 5, No. 1 (2011): 75–86.

¹⁷Brian Laver interviewed by Andrew Stafford, 6 November 2001, Andrew Stafford Collection, UQFL440, Fryer Library, The University of Queensland.

¹⁸Ibid. For more on the relationship between students and workers see Lani Russell, "Today the Students, Tomorrow the Workers! Radical Student Politics and the Australian Workers Movement 1960–1972," (PhD Thesis, University of Technology Sydney, 1999); Padraic Gibson, "Breaking down the politics of fear: Radicalism on campus and at work, Australia 1965–75," (BA Honours Thesis, University of Sydney, 2006) and Jon Piccini, "'A group of misguided, way out individuals': The labour movement, the 'Old Left' and student radicals in Brisbane—1966–70," *Queensland Journal of Labour History* 12 (April 2011): 19–33.

¹⁹Laver interviewed by Stafford, Andrew Stafford Collection, UQFL440.

Atrocities in Vietnam, the weekly newspaper of the Cuba [sic] Communist Party...on the walls were posters for the Ninth World Festival [of Youth] in Sofia this month—Solidarite, Pax, Amitie—and others celebrating Che Guevara and demanding the arrest of Jesus Christ as a political agitator.²⁰

Moving on from this bookshop annexe, she then found her way to the folk room, where a short theatrical performance of a Dadaist extraction was taking place in between the musings of a classical guitar. Next was the hugely popular disco-the venue's main drawcard-where the author found "five or six hundred [people] having their ears pierced in almost total darkness by a pop group called the Coloured Balls". The film room proved a more inspiring experience. Usually reserved for European art house productions, many from the Eastern bloc, today it was displaying an anti-war documentary from America. Though "cracked, blurred at the edges and with the sound-track almost gone, it was still a compulsive piece of film-peace marches in the US, police action, army combat training, and an army funeral in Vietnam". The film room often doubled as a space for discussion, with invited guests ranging from local cultural figures like Thomas Shapcott to Maoist students from the famously radical Monash University and a visiting civil rights worker from the USA, providing a controversial mix of topics. As Brisbane explained, "there is nothing quite like it anywhere else in Australia".²¹

For Frederic Jameson, the Foco that Guevara and his companions constructed in Cuba's Sierra Maestra mountain range constituted "emergent revolutionary 'space'—situated outside of the 'real' political, social or geographic world...yet at one and the same time a figure or small scale image and prefiguration of the revolutionary transformation of that real world...a properly utopian space".²² And the Foco Club seemed to exist in a similar political–cultural netherworld. Its very mix of politics and youthful culture challenged the flimsy distinctions that existed between the two in 1960s movements, illustrating how "'[s]paces' provided a map along which the disparate elements of activist experience such as chronology, people, style and ideology could be arranged, ordered and digested", as Julianne Furst, Piotr Oseke, and Chris Reynolds have put it.²³ Not all

²⁰ Brisbane, "Guerrillas in Brisbane."

²¹Ibid.

²²Frederic Jameson, "Periodizing the 60s," Social Text 9/10 (Spring-Summer 1984): 202.

²³ Juliane Furst, Piotr Oseka and Chris Reynolds, "Breaking the Walls of Privacy: How Rebellion came to the Streets," *Cultural and Social History* 8, No. 4 (December 2011): 494.

greeted this prefigurative revolutionary space with open arms, however. The Club soon came in for intense criticism in Federal Parliament, where Member for Griffith Donald Cameron revealed that it hosted not only a number of speakers from communist-aligned organisations, but also sold marijuana and "badges depicting the head of Mao Tse-tung and allegedly a symbol of North Vietnam" that "the young people are told to wear...on the inside of their coats", undoubtedly to avoid conservative suspicion.²⁴ To the member of parliament, such guarded (indeed, private) assertions of global solidarity with Australia's threatening "red" neighbours was "treachery", yet it was following a trend of internal, spatialised dissent that was spreading across Australia's metropolises.

If "[t]he years around...1968 saw a resurgence, renaissance and reinvention of public space, and in particular the street, as a site of protest", then they equally saw the transformation of spaces like the Red and Black bookshop and Foco into internal sites for "reproducing" various social movements.²⁵ Groups opposing Australia's war in Vietnam, censorship, illiberal politics, or oppression of indigenous peoples, amongst a plethora of other concerns, began to see that "the implications of their actions and thoughts went far wider than they had previously expected", leading such groups to look for a way to ensure they could work together in a shared space. The rationalisation for this spatial turn is outlined in an article in leading current affairs journal The Bulletin on Melbourne Students for a Democratic Society's Centre for Democratic Action (CDA), at 57 Palmerston Street, Carlton. Location was important-only blocks from Melbourne University-yet its existence "grew primarily out of the need for a meeting place and organisational centre" that was separate to "a university meeting-room or student 'digs"". CDA shared with Foco a particularly utopian communality, with the space's ten residents paying a few dollars rent a week and dividing chores equally, while the realisation that "a revolution also needs an arsenal" saw it host one comprised of "books, pamphlets, mimeograph machines, paper and ink".²⁶

Older, established spaces could play a similar role. Women's Liberationist Anne Summers remembers of Max Harris's Mary Martin Bookshop in Adelaide: "Students loved Max Harris's shop, which had the latest European

²⁴ Commonwealth Parliamentary Debates (House), "Special Adjournment," 12 September 1968, 1058–9.

²⁵Furst, Oseka and Reynolds, "Breaking the Walls of Privacy," 495.

²⁶ Richard Campbell, "The Political Faith Healers," *The Bulletin*, January 25 1969, 34.

novels and books of philosophy, and the left-wing political and literary journals no self-respecting radical could afford to be seen without".²⁷ However, another reason for the creation of new bookshops and meeting places was the relative conservatism of many existing Left-wing establishments. Ken Mansell, activist and resident at Monash Labor Club off-campus headquarters, the Bakery, asked rhetorically: "who else would cater for the new left, the Underground? Least of all the Communist International Bookshop with its gigantic sales of 'Sputnik', the Soviet Readers' Digest".²⁸ While there is a degree of overstatement here, with Humphrey McQueen remembering that the manager of Brisbane's People's Bookshop "did everything he could do to broaden the stock of poetry, music and visual arts, as well as the widest range of works from allied publishers in the US and Britain", such spaces often did not share the radicals' taste for provocative ideas.²⁹ These new spaces soon became frequent destinations for a variety of activists, taking on a symbolic importance. Activist Megan Miller, a member of high school group, Students in Dissent, remembers how regularly travelling to the Bakery was "one of the highlights of my week":

I had a pair of white jeans that were my best jeans. I would make sure they were clean and wear them. I'd catch the train from Blackburn to Richmond station and change trains and go to Prahran. It would take me a long time to get there...You would walk in the front of the shop. There were stairs that went down to a basement and a gestetner and typewriter in there.³⁰

The relationship that Miller and other activists had with radical spaces provides a vivid window into how, as Kristin Ross has put it, "the geography of vast international and distant struggle [became] transposed onto the lived geographies, the daily itineraries, of students and intellectuals" within the global New Left.³¹

The Third World bookshop in Sydney also achieved this transformation of lived geographies. Occupying a disused bootmaker's workshop

²⁷ Anne Summers, *Ducks on the Pond: An Autobiography, 1945–1976* (Ringwood, Vic: Viking, 1999), 159.

²⁸ Mansell, "The Yeast is Red," 19.

²⁹ Humphrey McQueen, "Forward: A chance to stray," in Evans and Ferriers, eds, *Radical Brisbane: an unruly history*, 10.

³⁰Megan Miller interviewed by Ken Mansell, 8 October 1993, quoted in Mansell, "The Yeast is Red," 79.

³¹Kristin Ross, May'68 and its afterlives (Chicago: Chicago University Press, 2002), 82.

in run-down Goulburn Street, the bookshop was run by Trotskyist Bob Gould, and functioned as a base for the youth group Resistance, and in keeping with its name stocked an array of international literature on struggles from Algeria to Vietnam and the USA. Indeed, indigenous activist Gary Foley recalls how books stolen from the shop's shelves fired the early global imagination of Redfern-based intellectuals like himself, Paul Coe, and Gary Williams. The radicals had begun to read texts by Frantz Fanon and American Black Power leaders like Eldridge Cleaver and Stokely Carmichael, and "only one bookshop in Sydney sold the type of material they were after", with Gould eventually agreeing "to provide the group with whatever books they wanted, gratis".³² And this was not the only movement the space facilitated, with the often risqué and sexualised viewings of the Filmmakers Co-operative Cinema occurring on the shop's upper floor, accessed by three flights of stairs "littered with revolutionary posters and political books". It was here that films openly displaying and discussing homosexuality were shown, drawing an audience from the newly radicalising gay community and creating "a space that celebrated visible sexual difference and rebellion against the strictures of the repressive world outside".³³

Spaces also served as locations for the politicisation of activists. Indigenous leader Charles Perkins founded the Aboriginal Affairs Foundation in 1964 alongside Ted Noffs, the pastor at the Wayside Chapel, and soon rented a building at 810 George Street in Sydney. This space became a first port of call for many indigenous people arriving from rural areas and played a truly transformative function, opening "the door for us to shake off whatever environment has held us down; to have pride in ourselves and to be able to lift our head when each one of us says, 'I'm an Aborigine'", as Perkins put it.³⁴ Unsurprisingly, perhaps, it was at the Foundation's headquarters that young radicals interested in Black Power ideologies first met, "at a time when there were very few places offering a welcome".³⁵ Similarly, feminist and author Kate Jennings recalls how she inadvertently moved into 69 Glebe Point Road in Sydney, a "den

³² Gary Foley, "Black Power in Redfern, 1968–1972" (BA Honours Thesis, University of Melbourne, 2001). Available at: http://www.kooriweb.org/foley/essays/essay_1.html, accessed 1 May 2012.

³³Scott McKinnon, "The activist cinema-goer: Gay liberation at the movies," *History Australia* 10, No. 1 (April 2013): 134–138.

³⁴ Peter Read, Charles Perkins: A Biography (Ringwood, Vic.: Penguin, 1990), 92.

³⁵Ibid, 94. See also Foley, "Black Power in Redfern."

of Trotskyists" and a "meeting place for organising anti-Vietnam war demonstrations", as the result of a brief romantic liaison. Despite initially believing politics to be "below her", Jennings was soon a convert to the nascent women's liberation movement that had begun meeting in the house's front room. Jennings and her fellow activists visited Third World to locate a plethora of overseas texts and "gorge...ourselves on underground rags".³⁶

Mainstream media outlets soon began paying attention to these somewhat curious spaces. One report on the Bakery titled "Where the student underground dwells" described how the rooms were menacingly "decorated with posters of Karl Marx, Vladimir Lenin, Chairman Mao and Che Guevara" while "Buttons, flags, posters and shopping bags in the colour of the National Liberation Front dot every room".³⁷ That these spaces also seemed to provide a location for illicit lifestyles was a point often raised. Brisbane's report on Foco highlighted how promiscuity and homosexuality seemed to register no particular alarm from attendees, while the Sunday Observer reported that the Bakery provided a communal sleeping space where in "one corner are dumped the belongings of a young girl from Adelaide", tying the radical student movement in with the new moral panic of transient "drop out" youth.³⁸ More often than not, however, the spaces come in for mention due to either police raids confiscating anything from a newly banned pamphlet to a pornographic Beardsley print, or the wrath they sometimes incurred from angry citizens. The Bakery had a bomb thrown through its front door, while Denis Freney's Liberation bookstore and meeting place in suburban Manly was targeted several times by rock-throwing assailants, one of whom injured a visiting American draft resister.³⁹

These incursions of the outer world into such private locations of activism were mirrored by often-frustrated attempts to connect these spaces to the world in which they existed, transposing globally inflected politics onto a very local setting. As Betsy Beasley argues in her work on student

³⁶Kate Jennings, *Trouble: Evolution of a Radical, Selected Writings 1970–2010* (Melbourne: Black Inc, 2010), 3.

³⁷ "Where the Student Underground dwells," *Sunday Observer* (Melbourne), 28 September 1969, 5.

³⁸Brisbane, "Guerrillas in Brisbane"; "Where the Student Underground dwells," 5.

³⁹Allan Souter, "Alice's Restaurant: You can get anything you want?," *Lot's Wife*, 25 September 1969; Denis Freney, *A Map of Days: Life on the Left* (Port Melbourne: William Heinemann, 1991), 254.

protests in Manhattan, "[f]or the radicals involved, the revolution would surely transform the world—but it would transform their urban homes first".⁴⁰ When Jill Jolliffe, proprietor of Alice's Restaurant Bookshop on Greville St. Prahran, applied to the US Black Panthers for the new shop to receive "their endorsement as the Afro-American embassy in Australia", she imagined this not in purely global terms, but eminently local ones, primarily as "a symbolic gesture in view of our proximity to the American Consulate in Cimmercial (sic) Rd".⁴¹ Yet, there was a clear contradiction between the "inward"-focused nature of activist bookshops and meeting places and this desire to connect with the outside world. This is captured well in the young worker Rob Lawson's remembrances of first encountering Alice's:

I walked into the front room one night and Jill and Peter were in the shop getting it ready for the opening day. When I saw what was going on I thought "Christ! I've got to say something". They were putting up red flags and posters of Mao and Fidel. I thought "Jesus! In little downtown Greville Street?" So I raced down and tried to tone things down [and] we put Brigitte Bardot on a motorbike in the front of the shop and they relegated Fidel and Mao to the back.⁴²

Swapping militant images of Third World revolt for the (relatively) benign portrait of Bardot shows in many ways the limits of this inwardly focused politics, one that provided spaces away from the fray of the street, yet which offered few possibilities for revolutionising the everyday operations of the city.

Outreach was frequently attempted, however, with activists seeking to claim public space as well as that of a more private nature to articulate new political and cultural philosophies and practices. Particularly important in this breaking down of distinctions between public and private space was the women's movement, which employed confrontational tactics on the terrain of everyday life as a means of challenging patriarchal society.

⁴⁰Betsy A. Beasley, "Fighting for a Radical City: Student Protesters and the Politics of Space in 1960s and 1970s downtown Manhattan," *Urban History Review* 37, No. 2 (Spring 2009): 8.

⁴¹ Jill Jolliffe, mass letter headed "Dear Comrade", Albert Langer Papers, Z457, Box 27, Folder marked "Bakery Publications 1969."

⁴² Ron Lawson interviewed by Ken Mansell, 13 December 1992, quoted in Mansell, "The Yeast is Red," 19.

The well-known Regatta incident of 1965, for instance, saw two women (both university graduates, yet described in the local Courier-Mail as "married...mothers of two") chain themselves to the public bar at the Brisbane institution. This was an entirely transparent yet clearly translatable borrowing from similar techniques used to desegregate white only facilities in America's Deep South.⁴³ The birth of the Women's Liberation movement in 1969–1970 saw the broadening of creative public protest, with one activist recalling how public gestures like a woman handing the movie usher a couple's ticket, rather than the man, or opening a bottle of wine at a restaurant sparked a considerable degree of confusion and embarrassment amongst a society conditioned to accept female subservience. "These actions, while unimportant in themselves, are part of the breaking down of the male chauvinist stereotype of women as gentle, weak and unable to look after themselves", the male writer explained. These activists "compare the growing 'female power' movement in the United States, Europe and now Australia with the Black Power movement", especially as both imagined themselves as oppressed groups needing to use highly public statements to "build their own self confidence".44

These new forms of theatrical protest were one way to claim public space. As Mansell put it, "[o]ccupation took different forms: symbolic, tactical, defensive, theatrical...[a]n occupation of media space, billboard space, gaol [sic] space, the space in the offices of government ministers".⁴⁵ The January 1972 establishment of the Tent Embassy outside parliament house in Canberra exemplifies this idea of the symbolic occupation of otherwise restricted space. Kurt Iveson presents the Embassy as an attempt to "maintain a genuinely *political* public space in what is meant to be the physical and symbolic 'centre' of politics in Australia", a "symbolic stage upon which aboriginal people have developed political identities".⁴⁶ One journalist captured its highly conflictive spatial politics at work. "To stand on the road, with the big White building behind, and the small Black encampment in front, is to stand in a tense middle ground between

⁴³For more on this incident, see Carole Ferrier, "Women's Liberation, 1965," in Evans and Ferrier, eds, *Radical Brisbane: an unruly history*, 254–58. For quote see article "2 Women chained to hotel bar rail," reproduced on 255.

⁴⁴ Darce Cassidy, "The Free Woman," Sunday Observer (Melbourne), 22 March 1970.

⁴⁵ Mansell, "The Yeast is Red," 41.

⁴⁶Kurt Iveson, "The spatial politics of the Aboriginal Tent Embassy, Canberra", *The Aboriginal Tent Embassy: Sovereignty, Black Power, Land Rights and the State*, eds. Gary Foley et al., 251 (New York: Routledge, 2014).

two worlds of mutual incomprehension", the journalist commented. The article's description of daily activities at the embassy—"tea drinking, guitar playing, planning, debating [and] exchanges with passers-by, leaflets being handed out, or photographers angling for the best frame"— also present it as a space of both politics and a conflictive everyday life in a location usually reserved only for the upper echelons of Australia's political elite.⁴⁷ That the impromptu embassy flew both a flag representing Australian Indigenous peoples, and one of international black solidarity, also helps capture its global nature.⁴⁸ The undoing of Foco's relation-ship with the trade unions and the Communist Party came from the use of a different set of confrontational tactics at a May Day march in 1969. Holding red, black, and NLF flags—as well as helmets emblazoned with the words VIET CONG—several hundred Foco members marched with militant workers, seeking to seize the international day of workers struggle "symbolically under red and black flags, socialism and freedom".⁴⁹

The conservative *Courier-Mail* described events: "a group of about 250 students and others" intervened, "sat in the streets during the procession, calling out 'Ho Chi Minh' [and] poked the federal Australian Labor Party (ALP) leader Mr. Whitlam with red flags".⁵⁰ While one radical described it as a "European-style demonstration" seeking to "transform into something effective a Labor Day which had in the past relied upon Punch and Judy shows and ice-cream for its revolutionary content", head of the Trades and Labour Council, Jack Egerton, thought differently. He described the widely publicised commotion as the work of "scrubby, confused individuals who are unable to differentiate between civil liberties and anarchy".⁵¹

⁴⁷ Sydney Morning Herald, 28 January 1972, quoted in Kathy Lothian, "Moving Blackwards: Black Power and the Aboriginal Embassy," *Transgressions: critical Australian Indigenous histories*, eds. Ingereth Macfarlane and Mark Hannah, 19 (Canberra: ANU E-Press, 2007).

⁴⁸For more on this see Kathy Lothian, "A Blackward Step is a Forward Step': Australian Aborigines and Black Power, 1969–1972" (Masters Thesis, Monash University, 2002).

⁴⁹ Mitch Thompson, "SDA dissolves," Society for Democratic Action Ephemera, FVF381, Fryer Library, The University of Queensland.

⁵⁰ "Student Radicals 'Never Again' at Labor Day," *Courier-Mail*, 16 May 1969, in Records of the Trades & Labor Council of Queensland, 1894–, UQFL118, Box 357, Fryer Library, the University of Queensland.

⁵¹Alan Anderson, "The Foco Story," *Tribune*, 8 September 1970; "No union money went to Foco," *Courier-Mail*, 10 May 1969, in Records of the Trades & Labor Council, UQFL118, Box 357.

to Australian social movements. As Sean Scalmer explains, "theatre had an especially strong political resonance" for those involved, and this also took on a spatial nature, with members of Sydney's Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) adopting American forms of guerrilla theatre, "roam[ing] around the city, dramatising apparent massacres in Vietnam for an audience of office workers, fleeing from police, leaving the Town Hall steps, and re-appearing in Wynyard Park".⁵² As the activists reflected on this "operation": "The performance of anti-Vietnam war songs and brutality scenes was witnessed by about 700 people who watched in silence, only opening their mouths to take another bite of peanut butter sandwich".⁵³

Although this could (and indeed, probably should) be read as an indictment of the "masses" as agents in revolutionary transformation, attempts to connect global struggles with Australians in a local setting were real, if often frustrated. Melbourne students, particularly those influenced by Maoism, were at the forefront of this sort of campaigning. Maoist thought was, after all, supposed be about the flexibility of ideas, of translating theories into a local context rather than imposing an outside dogma. Noting the large number of migrants, elderly, and otherwise marginalised people who inhabited Melbourne's inner suburbs, activists sought to connect with existing networks of Communist Party-affiliated migrants and newly politicised locals in a campaign against poverty. "The real war is here in Prahran, and in Richmond, Fitzroy, Collingwood and Sunshine, not in Viet Nam", announced the second issue of *The Prahran Worker*, emblazoned with the Maoist slogan "Serve the People" which for once seemed eminently appropriate.⁵⁴

The Monash Labor Club saw this as an attempt to employ ideas around the paucity of liberal demands they had learnt in their aid the NLF campaign into a more local context. They informed Melbourne's oppressed (the newssheet was translated into Greek) that this war was "against social inequality", and that it would not be won by "*Herald* Blanket Campaigns, Freedom from Hunger Campaigns or Austcare [which] only work to make poverty an accepted part of Australian life". Instead, only the realisation that "the Australian Govt. is destroying the peasant population of Vietnam at the same times that it starves its old people at home, exploits its people in the factories and denies full citizenship rights to its

⁵²Sean Scalmer, *Dissent Events: Protest, the media and the political gimmick in Australia* (Sydney: UNSW Press, 2002), 33.

⁵³ "Sydney Stirrers," National U, 1 October 1968, 3.

⁵⁴ "Where is the war?," *The Prahran Worker*, 15 July 1969, available at http://www. reasoninrevolt.net.au/bib/PR0001666.htm, accessed 20 July 2012.

immigrant population" would produce the "united action" required to force systemic change.⁵⁵ This attempt to link the Vietnamese struggle was made yet clearer in the group's "Prahran Manifesto", which articulates how the government "burns people alive in Vietnam, so why not starve them to death here?"⁵⁶

This campaign went beyond mere rhetoric, with spaces like the Bakery functioning as drop-in centres for the local community, serving the people with food and assistance on filing tax returns. The campaign climaxed with a 1969 "March against Poverty", which sought to dramatise the clear class distinctions between "the Toorak poodles and the Prahran Pensioners".⁵⁷ The Labor Club and its successor organisations like the Worker Student Alliance were to adopt similar programs of intervention in the urban fabric for years to come. They blockaded, alongside members of the Builders Labourer's Federation (BLF), a warehouse construction site in Carlton which residents wished to be a park-a move which saw Maoist Federal BLF boss Norm Gallagher jailed for over a year before the New South Wales branch famously adopted such "green bans"-while also campaigning against the selling off the Prahran Market to a US company.⁵⁸ Dennis Freney embarked on a similarly concrete attempt to involve the local community through his perhaps surprising location of an anti-war centre, Liberation, in the Sydney beachside suburb of Manly.

Justifying his choice of suburban location, while other groups headquartered themselves in inner city suburbs, Freney argued that "[t]he youth do not, with a few exceptions, live in the centre of the city" and "to neglect the fact, the elementary fact, that youth live in the suburbs would be the height of folly". Freney's argument for a (sub)urban politics was meshed with an understanding of the importance of new strands in global youth culture to the revolt against Vietnam. NLF flags were hanging from tents at recent pop festivals, he claimed, while "the clenched fist salute was more prevalent than the 'V' sign" at such events, and this was a situation that was mirrored in youth subcultures like "surfies".⁵⁹ And this was not all wishful thinking either, for Liberation had attracted dozens

55 Ibid.

57 Ibid.

⁵⁶Prahran Workers for a Democratic Society, "Prahran Manifesto," Undated, available at http://www.reasoninrevolt.net.au/bib/PR0001647.htm, accessed 20 July 2012.

⁵⁸ Russell, "Today the Students, Tomorrow the Workers," 300–301.

⁵⁹ Denis Freney, "The Youth Revolt in Suburbia and the Anti-War Movement," in *National Anti-War Conference, Sydney, February 17–21, 1971—Papers Presented*, np (Sydney: Self-published, 1971).

of activist youth from the supposedly stultifying suburbs, who became vocal Moratorium supporters as well as campaigners on a variety of other issues.⁶⁰ Other groups and spaces mirrored this ambition to connect with people and (sub)urban space. Foco's newsletter expressed a variety of urban concerns. Club members held a mock funeral, replete with coffin and black clothing, on the last day of operation for Brisbane's tram network, while the destruction of iconic terrace houses in Spring Hill was publicly mourned.⁶¹ "The grand old terrace houses have provided a home for countless millions of down & outs, beatniks, hippies, wino's and you give 'em a name for years untold", the newsletter stated, and it thanked all those who inhabited the buildings for "help[ing] to write a chapter in Brisbane's underground history...one soon to be erased from view".⁶²

Indeed, even before the Victorian and NSW BLF launched their campaigns of black and green bans to defend urban architecture—most famously in the 1973–1974 campaign to save Sydney's Victoria Street—campaigns were waged by New Leftists in defence of this built environment. David Nichols points out in his piece on Australian urban activist film that many activists, much like those Beasley describes as inhabiting run-down parts of Manhattan, "celebrated an inner city that had emerged in the post-war years as a bohemian and multicultural space the more loved by its inhabitants for its threatened demolition".⁶³ Yet, this was not a process without contradiction. As one journalist commented on CDA:

As yet, the Carlton Centre has not assumed its planned role as a bridge across the gulf separating the youngish radicals, keen to become socially involved, and the work-a-day community around them. In the Housing Commission flats opposite, the CDA people tend to be regarded as long-haired demonstrators whose motives are not altogether clear, and since the local Catholic priest denounced the Centre as a "house of Communists" suspicion has grown.⁶⁴

The author added that attempts to organise local youth, seemingly at least partly successful for Freney's suburban centre, were less so for these

⁶⁰ Freney, A Map of Days, 241-243, 253-255.

⁶¹ Foco Newsletter, 16 April 1969, 2.

⁶² Foco Newsletter, 26 February 1969, 2.

⁶³ David Nichols, "Urban Activist Film in Melbourne 1969–1972," *Local-global* 3 (2007): 113.

⁶⁴Campbel, "The Political Faith Healers," 34.

enterprising radicals. Weekly film screenings in the centre's shed were "poorly attended by the local teenagers", leading to the suggestion that "the coffee lounge-bookshop planned for the cellar and the front room of the Centre may attract more of the passing radical studentsia [sic] than the permanent Carlton householders".⁶⁵ And radicals also had to face the fact that the rent of locations like Third World and Liberation was paid not by the sale of radical literature, but rather by character posters of celebrities and items like incense. The near monopoly these shops held on such "counter cultural" items can be read as abetting what many commentators call the commoditisation of the period's radical political culture.⁶⁶

The suspicions and concerns of local residents were, retrospectively at least, not entirely misplaced. For while activists had constructed various political spaces within these inner city migrant and working class communities, they also contributed to their gentrification. Suburbs like Carlton, as Beasley describes of New York's Greenwich Village, were transformed in a way that would soon make them unaffordable and alien to an older generation of residents.⁶⁷ Yet, despite their contradictory nature, the differential spaces that the New Left produced cannot be easily discounted. Activists like Jean Kent and Kate Jennings found their first taste of activist politics in the "dangerous spaces" of the New Left "underground", and the variety of activities these locations facilitated led to the growth and long-term survivability of the movements they housed.⁶⁸ Movements from Women's Liberation to Aboriginal rights and many others were born in, or globalised by these reshaped pieces of urbanity. Moreover, the relationship between such private spaces and the public nature of forcing political change was also an intrinsic part of urban activism. Echoing the famous Italian slogan "Vietnam is in our factories", Australian radicals sought to scale down their globally conscious activism into a much smaller frame: imagining the same politics that killed peasants in Vietnam as starving

65 Ibid, 34.

⁶⁸Kent, "The Red and Black Bookshop," 75.

⁶⁶Freney, A Map of Days, 252. On this "commodifying" see Thomas Frank, The Conquest of Cool: Business Culture, Counterculture and the Rise of Hip Consumerism (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1998).

⁶⁷ Graeme Davison, "Carlton and the Campus: The University and the Gentrification of Inner Melbourne, 1958–75," *Urban Policy and Research* 27, No. 3 (2009): 254–5; Beasley, "Fighting for a Radical City," 9–10.

pensioners in Prahran.⁶⁹ It was through the written word, however, that the New Left won most of its influence and adherents, as well as "translated" most of its global ideas.

THE BARREL OF A GESTETNER: GLOBAL IDEAS, PRINT CULTURE, AND AUSTRALIAN SOCIAL MOVEMENTS

Students and staff at the University of Queensland embarked on a grand project in the latter months of 1969. Increasingly conscious of the mechanical, undemocratic nature of the "multiversity", a working group began soliciting contributions from across the institution with the aim of highlighting its various deficiencies and proposing solutions. Though influenced by Theodore Roszak's *The Dissenting Academy*, a 1968 collection of learned articles on the failure of US humanities education to live up to its social responsibilities, those who set about editing *Up the right channels* looked beyond the humanities to everything from accountancy to zoology, and sought contributions not just from well-known academics but students themselves.⁷⁰ It also moved beyond a pure critique of the university in society to look at global concerns, such as how it facilitated the war in Vietnam or failed to address general Third World suffering.⁷¹

The self-published piece thus became an example of participatory publishing, with over 100 contributors, illustrations provided by well-known Left cartoonist Bruce Petty and an on-campus distribution of over 2000, easily a quarter of all students at that time. In this way, the project was both about publishing and politics, particularly in the way its ad hoc selection of contributions exemplified the New Left's desire for a participatory democracy.⁷² Orders flowed in for the volume from various universities, bookshops and, rather strangely, the Department of Labour and National Service.⁷³ *Up the right channels* is only one example of how ideas and the

⁶⁹For "Vietnam is in our factories" see Ross, *May'68*, 80, for "scaling" see Nik Heynen, "Bending the Bars of Empire from Every Ghetto for Survival: The Black Panther Party's Radical Anti-Hunger Politics of Social Reproduction and Scale," *The Annals of the Association* of American Geographers 99, No. 2 (2009): 415–419.

⁷⁰Theodore Roszak, *The Dissenting Academy* (London: Chatto & Windus, 1969).

⁷¹Dan O'Neill, ed, Up the right channels (Brisbane: Bruce Dickson, 1969).

⁷²On the New Left and participatory democracy see Van Gosse, *Rethinking the New Left: An Interpretative History* (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005) and Doug Rossinow, *The Politics of Authenticity: Liberalism, Christianity and the New Left in America* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1998).

⁷³See Dan O'Neill Collection, UQFL132, Box 3, Folder 1 for records and sale figures.

means of distributing and debating them became more global than ever before during the 1960s. Sydney's Free University, a precursor to *Up the right channels* in the active critique of the stultifying academy, was itself inspired by examples from the USA.⁷⁴ Equally, that Debray's book on *Focoismo* could be published, translated, and then become available on the other side of the world in such a short period of time is as much indicative of the developing economic and cultural networks of globalisation as it is of the increasing translatability of radical notions or practices. The positive reception of a French book about South American revolutionary warfare also challenges the popular idea that Australia's 1960s was a mere carbon copy of fashions and trends in the USA. For while the Brisbane radicals who edited *Up the right channels* found Roszak's work instructive, other sources from Antonio Gramsci to V.I. Lenin littered the text.

For the remainder of this chapter, questions around these interwoven themes-how a radical print culture emerged that both borrowed from a surge of interest in global revolutionary events and facilitated the wanderlust discussed throughout the rest of this book-will be central. John McMillian argues that the American SDS's print culture, whereby members could contribute freely and often ad nauseum to a plethora of internal publications, not only brought "members into the mainstream of the organisation-into its thoughts and discussions", but also allowed for the spread and digestion of ideas on a wide variety of domestic and foreign policy issues.⁷⁵ New types of print media were also able to connect disparate imagined communities of global revolt from around the world, while the increasing ease with which it was possible to produce a radical newsletter saw an explosion in the amount of material available. Writing somewhat satirically in a 1966 edition of Outlook, one writer quipped that Australian movements "were suffering a rush of printed material to the head" and proposed that perhaps no future journals or publications should be launched, and this was even before the "mimeograph revolution" of 1967 and 1968.76 Radical writer Frank Moorhouse claimed that by the end of the 1970s "there were about one hundred little magazines in Australia, twice as many as in the 1960s", quantifying the extent

⁷⁴Rowan Cahill et al., "The Lost Ideal", *Honi Soit*, 3 October 1967, available at http:// www.reasoninrevolt.net.au/objects/pdf/a000522.pdf, accessed 14 August 2015.

⁷⁵John McMillan, "Our Founder, the Mimeograph Machine': Participatory Democracy in Students for a Democratic Society's Print Culture," *Journal for the Study of Radicalism* 2, No. 2 (2009): 85–110.

⁷⁶ "Too many words?" Outlook 10, No. 4 (August 1966): 13.

of this "rebellion of words".⁷⁷ These many different modes of public address—as Iveson puts it—were vital to the development of the various interwoven counter-public spheres that facilitated the 1960s radicalisation in Australia.⁷⁸

Moorhouse's fellow Sydney Libertarian and *Tharunka* editor Wendy Bacon articulates the extent to which this burgeoning written culture was connected to events overseas:

we were very inspired by the underground movement overseas, we were inspired by France 1968, we were inspired by what was happening in Chicago, huge demonstrations, all of that is what we read, and...we actually reflected that in what we published.⁷⁹

The case of UQ radicals displays a particularly close relationship between print culture and global ideas. Staff member and activist Dan O'Neill explained that 1966 saw the "usual pervasive apathy" give way, as "a number of independent sources of social criticism emerged on campus". O'Neill demonstrates how some of those involved soon "began to recognise their concerns as very similar to those of other groups, especially in America...in particular they began to read the literature of SDS and began to think beyond Vietnam".⁸⁰ This led the young activists "to a critique of the Australian social system in terms of 'participatory democracy', of bringing the social reality of various areas of social life into line with the liberal rhetoric".⁸¹

Seeking a name for their new organisation, the students crossed the initials of the Vietnam Action Committee (VAC), a group set up that year to begin organising dissent to the escalating conflict, with SDS, leading "to the new name of the group: SDA, or Society for Democratic Action".⁸² Radicals like these, who saw the direct applicability of US ideas in an Australian context, drew on the circumstances and experiences the

82 Ibid, 9.

⁷⁷ Frank Moorhouse, *Days of Wine and Rage* (Ringwood, Vic.: Penguin, 1980), 5. For more on these 'little magazines' see Phillip Edmonds, *Tilting at windmills: the literary magazine in Australia 1968–2012* (Adelaide: University of Adelaide Press, 2015).

⁷⁸Kurt Iveson, *Publics and the City* (Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing, 2007), 22.

⁷⁹Wendy Bacon interviewed in Con Anemogiannis, dir., *The Book that Shook the World* [video-recording] (As It Happened, SBS Australia, 2 November 2007).

⁸⁰ Dan O'Neill, "The Growth of the Radical Movement," *Semper Floreat*, 17 March 1969, 9. ⁸¹ Ibid, 9.

two nations shared politically, socially, and culturally. Universities had experienced a similar swelling in enrolments, thanks both to the post-war "boom" and increased government funding, in Australia as they had in the USA, and the failings of these fast-growing institutions to deliver more than a cookie-cutter educational experience commingled with shared opposition to racism and the growing war in Southeast Asia to provide fertile ground for cross-continental exchanges.⁸³

Both SDS and SDA initially held strong beliefs in liberalism and a rejection of the stultifying old Left. The Port Huron Statement, SDS's collectively authored manifesto that Brisbane radicals republished in large numbers, mixed existential angst with calls for a functional liberalism. Kirkpatrick Sale describes how it demanded only fairly traditional reforms, like "party realignment, expanded public spending, disarmament [and] civil rights programs" which only exceeded "the traditional mold [sic] of enlightened liberalism" in its radical belief that "all of these problems were interconnected".⁸⁴ Brisbane New Left activists were born of a similar sense of interconnectedness, as well as a rejection of both Labour politics and the established Left. An early SDA leaflet illustrates the organisation's general ambivalence, if not outright hostility, towards the far Left, exhorting the supposedly free West to "stop the spread of communism by proving democracy is better", forcing society to live up to its liberal pretensions.⁸⁵ O'Neill also elaborates on how the newly formed grouping, like their American counterparts, felt an "intensified desire to embrace a whole range of social issues", from Vietnam to education reform, civil liberties, and conscription, and to challenge them with "radical alternatives".86

Ralph Summy, a recently arrived migrant from Boston, USA, who had taken up a lectureship in politics at the University of Queensland, was instrumental in this. Jim Prentice, Brisbane New Left activist and historian, writes on his important role, noting how Summy's importation of American ideals culminated in "concepts of non-violence, individual liberty from the state [and] concern with disarmament", issues "remarkably

⁸³For a recent history of changes in Australia's higher education sector post–World War II, and historical critiques of this by the student left and others, see Hannah Forsyth, "The Ownership of Knowledge in Higher Education in Australia 1939–1996" (PhD Thesis, The University of Sydney, 2012).

⁸⁵ "Vietnam Protest Week" in Miscellaneous Publications of the Society for Democratic Action Manuscript, F3235, Fryer Library, University of Queensland.

⁸⁶O'Neill, "The Radical Movement," 9.

⁸⁴ Sale, SDS, 51.

similar to the Port Huron text" finding their way into SDA's founding statement in 1966.⁸⁷ Seemingly seeing no contradiction between opposing American foreign policy while adopting that nation's forms of youthful militancy, Brisbane activists publicised their relationship with the SDS, happily noting how their ideas were firmly rooted in "the American students' concept of 'grass roots democracy'".⁸⁸ Semper Floreat, the student newspaper, began reflecting a similarly Americanised radical vernacular. Around the same time as SDA's formation, it had begun employing American production values associated with the underground press—a swift abandonment of old, black-and-white, tabloid styles.

Such imitation extended to rhetoric as well, with the paper's first special issue on Vietnam in July 1966 beginning with a rather literal refiguring of the *Port Huron Statement's* famous opening lines:

We, the self-righteous, complacent and comfortable students, who return to warm homes each night, who live in a world of suicidal ignorance while the rest of the world goes by. We, the proud inheritors of this satisfied corner of the world, yet often blind to the realities around us, need to be awoken rudely.⁸⁹

A further demonstration of the direct applicability of US ideas is found in a later issue, focusing on Queensland's approach to civil liberties. The issue's editorial reads:

Most Australian university students are apathetic, bored, geared to factory education. Yet they are not really happy with their position. But they conform because they feel powerless. The students at Berkeley Campus showed last year in their revolt against factory education and lack of civil liberties, that they were far from impotent. They brought the machine to a halt temporarily and won reforms.⁹⁰

Semper Floreat was captured by the protest movement, like so many other student publications at the time, and these papers soon became the closest thing Australia had to an underground press.

⁸⁷ Prentice, "The New Left," 189.

⁸⁸ "Society for Democratic Action," in Miscellaneous Publications of the Society for Democratic Action Manuscript, F3235.

^{89 &}quot;Students and the dirty little war," Semper Floreat, 28 July 1966, 6.

⁹⁰ "Editorial: A Question of Responsibility," Semper Floreat, 15 September 1966, 4.

These publications shared ideas and articles, a relationship that was formalised in 1971 through an American-style Alternative News Service that provided a fortnightly packet of local and global news articles, analyses, pictures, and cartoons to subscribing student editors.⁹¹ The Brisbane movement's mass reproduction of SDS texts like Carl Ogelsby's "Liberalism and the Corporate State" points to other sources of the printed word, as well. The first of the various New Left groups to acquire its own printing press, SDA produced a wide assortment of leaflets, newspapers, and other paraphernalia, printing the leaflets and ideas of various activist groups for free, and establishing Action Printers to try and tap into the commercial market. They revelled in the democratic power this technology provided, as one 1968 leaflet read: "we don't have the printing resources of the establishment press…but we do have one advantage—no-one can censor our Multilith 1250".⁹²

The turn away from this American-centric attitude can equally be captured in the group's printed output. 1968 saw the adoption a more militant, European and Third World influenced attitude. SDA's 1967 newspaper *Impact* was replaced with the much more militant-sounding *Student Guerrilla*, while its Orientation Week activities climaxed with a meeting asking "Who is Che Guevara?"⁹³ O'Neill captured the nature of this shift in an early 1969 issue of *Semper*:

to study N.LF.'s in Asia and Che in Cuba and Bolivia is not just romanticism but is to examine some of the most advanced thinking about social revolution today. It provides us in Australia with an objective view of our place and role in the world—a minor branch of the vast American economic empire.⁹⁴

In the same article, O'Neill placed the French May Revolution alongside the American Civil Rights movement as equally "significant in determining the methodology of revolution in Australia", while he highlighted German theorist Herbert Marcuse and Italian Antonio Gramsci as new theoretical influences.⁹⁵ Keeping with this increasingly European perspective, 1968

⁹¹Alternative News Service was founded in 1971 by Phillip West and funded by the national students union and subscribing publications. See Phillip West, "Submission to editor's conference, May 15/16 1971," Phillip N. West Papers, MLMSS 8758, Box 3, State Library of New South Wales, Sydney.

^{92 &}quot;The Brisbane Line" in Society for Democratic Action Ephemera, FVF381.

^{93 &}quot;Who is Che Guevara" leaflet, in Society for Democratic Action Ephemera, FVF 381.

^{94 &}quot;Dan O'Neill," Semper Floreat, 17 March 1969, 4.

⁹⁵ Ibid, 4.

also saw the group publish widely on the West German student movement. Several leaflets on Rudi Dutschke and the "Easter Uprising" in West Berlin that accompanied his near-fatal shooting by a right-wing fanatic were distributed.⁹⁶ Thus, the move "from protest to resistance" came, as it did for student groups around the world, through a refocusing of the global scope of these radical publications.⁹⁷ SDA's short-lived 1969 publication *Third World* vividly expressed refiguring of the organisation's concerns. No longer were American ideas given pride of place. Instead *Student Guerrilla* prominently featured instructions on how to shoot down an American UH-1 helicopter and a theoretical exploration of how Third Worldism was relevant to Australian conditions.⁹⁸

Under the heading of "Internal and External colonisation", SDA member Mitch Thompson introduced *Third World* to the campus community by explaining how the "exploitation that occurs in the underdeveloped nations by capitalism also occurs within the 'civilised' industrialised countries", including "right here in Australia". He explained how power was no longer the preserve of a "ruling government cabinet oligarchy", but was spread throughout society, in business and civil society. The hegemony of these ideas, a concept borrowed from Grasmci, had "absorbed probably the decisive majority of the people (namely the working class) and integrated it on a rather solid material basis". For Thompson and others, then, the onus of revolution was not only, as it was for those who provided aid to the NLF, in the Third World, but also the "internal colonies" of the West "where people are exploited, enslaved by the jobs and morality that is required from them, and alienated from any source of that power which decides the direction of their lives and the society in which they live".⁹⁹

Thompson's Third Worldism, acquired with more than a tinge of Marcuse, was in keeping with that of the swelling international youth

⁹⁶ "Rudi Dutschke: West German Youth Rebel", "Students and Workers in Germany" and "Analysis of the German Uprising of Easter 1968" in Society for Democratic Action Ephemera, FVF381.

⁹⁷ On the global movement "from protest to resistance" in New Left groups see Karen Bauer, "From Protest to Resistance': Ulrike Meinhof and the Transatlantic Movement of Ideas," in *Changing the world, changing oneself: political protest and collective identities in West Germany and the US in the 1960s and 1970s*, eds. Belinda Davis, et al., 171–188 (New York: Berghahn Books, 2010).

98 Student Guerrilla, 2 April 1969, 1.

⁹⁹Mitch Thompson, "Internal and External Colonisation," *Student Guerrilla*, 9 April 1969, 1.

movement. Jennifer Ruth Hosek describes a similar employment of what she terms "subaltern nationalism" within the West German student movement, the anti-authoritarian element of which used the example of the Vietnamese NLF and the Cuban revolution to reimagine West Berlin as a colonial space in need of liberation.¹⁰⁰ The American SDS followed a similar road, with the organisation captured in late 1969 by a small group of radicals who rejected the American working class in favour of armed struggle alongside the Vietnamese and other Third World nations—they would become known as the Weather Underground.¹⁰¹ These radicals, in very different fashions, turned away from liberal concerns around winning more freedoms under social democracy to an idolisation of struggles in developing nations, which provided "a new and powerful model of revolution" to those activists who believed the USA to be "replacing European colonial powers as the repressor of movements for national liberation".¹⁰²

Scalmer notes that this fascination with foreign theories and ideas multiplied exponentially across Australian social movements during the late 1960s. References to the applicability of overseas ideas and practices in the Australian context multiplied nearly six-fold in the pages of "New Left" journal *Arena* from 1966 to 1969, while comparisons of Australia with France, Czechoslovakia, or the USA were often heard.¹⁰³ 1968 seemed to be a tipping point, for events of that year and particularly the French May "gained immediate, unrivalled prestige and authority"—imbuing Australian social movements with a new, international legitimacy. May 1969 mobilisations in solidarity with Clarrie O'Shea, Maoist secretary of the Victorian Tramways Union, who was facing jail time for refusing to abide by restrictive penal clauses targeting trade unions, were classed in the same category of student–worker alliances as the millions-strong strike in France, giving this comparatively much smaller campaign a new importance.¹⁰⁴ Freney

¹⁰⁰ Jennifer Ruth Hosek, "Subaltern Nationalism' and the West Berlin Anti-Authoritarians," *German Politics and Society* 26, No. 1 (Spring 2008): 57–81.

¹⁰¹Voluminous literature exists on this; for a particularly able analysis of the Weather Underground and like developments in West Germany see Jeremy Varon, *Bringing the War Home: The Weather Underground, the Red Army Faction and Revolutionary Violence in the 1960s and Seventies* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2004).

¹⁰² Robert Gildea, James Mark and Niek Pas, "European Radicals and the 'Third World': Imagined Solidarities and Radical Networks," *Cultural and Social History* 8, No. 4 (December 2011): 450.

¹⁰³ Scalmer, *Dissent Events*, 120–127. ¹⁰⁴ Ibid, 124. described the movement's success as "a suitable way to commemorate the first anniversary of the May revolt in Paris".¹⁰⁵

It was Vietnam, however, that provided the key discourse around which new and emerging movements sought to articulate their concerns and passions. The Vietnamese NLF's struggle against US imperialism and for "a socialism based on humanity and freedom and thus necessarily opposed to capitalism and the bureaucratic impersonal monolith of the established 'socialist' system" provided inspiration to a plethora of movements who transformed the idea of "liberation" to their own ends.¹⁰⁶ Second Wave Feminism exploded in Australia from 1969 onwards to become what many scholars consider the most pronounced and far reaching of 1960s social movements.¹⁰⁷ As late as 1966, however, radical journalist and writer Sylvia Lawson was able to comment in Outlook that Betty Friedan's feminist masterpiece The Feminine Mystique spoke as much to exploited men as it did to the oppressed housewives it diagnosed. Recently rereleased in Penguin edition, Friedan's work was described as "admirable", yet the writer commented that "that the frustration of the intelligent housewife is, in essence, very likely that of the under-educated man or woman, single or married, whose dull, repetitive, wearying employment doesn't fill their capacities for responsibility and intellectual effort".¹⁰⁸

Lawson's argument is of a typically New Left persuasion. She argued alongside Marcuse and the early writings of Marx that the alienation and boredom wrought by capitalism were enemies equally of either sex. Sara Evans's groundbreaking research into the foundations of women's liberation in the USA found that the movement owed as much to such borrowing from the New Left and Civil Rights movements as it did to a rejection of their patriarchal and masculine characteristics.¹⁰⁹ While it

¹⁰⁷ On the academic acceptance of this point see Enke, *Finding the Movement*, 2. For further reading on the impacts of Second Wave Feminism on Australia, see Marilyn Lake, *Getting Equal: The History of Australian Feminism* (St Leonards, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 1999), particularly Chaps. 9–10; Gilsea Kaplan, *The Meagre Harvest: The Australian Women's Movement 1950s–1990s* (St Leonards, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 1996) and the special issue, "Living in the Seventies" of *Australian Feminist Studies* 22, No. 53 (2007).

¹⁰⁸ Sylvia Lawson, "Feminine Mystique' Revisited," *Outlook* 10, No. 1 (January 1966): 20. ¹⁰⁹ Sara Evans, *Personal Politics: The Roots of Women's Liberation in the Civil Rights Movement and the New Left* (New York: Vintage Books, 1980).

¹⁰⁵ Freney, A Map of Days, 239.

¹⁰⁶Thompson, "Internal and External Colonisation," 1.

had made a splash in the Adelaide press, feminist Anne Summers credits male New Left intellectual Warren Osmond with popularising the lessons of the 1968 protests against the Miss America pageant in the USA, by "convinc[ing] us it was part of an emerging new feminism".¹¹⁰ In his article for University of Adelaide student newspaper *On Dit*, Osmond introduced the campus to this new idea of "Women's Liberation", which he argued was just "one stream—amongst many—within the general movement of youth and minority groups to fundamentally change American society".¹¹¹

The new movement's fascination with Vietnam was also pronounced. One of the first pamphlets of the Australia's women's liberation movement, entitled *Only the chains have changed*, was distributed at an anti-Vietnam war protest in Sydney on 15 December 1969. Recently arrived American Martha Ansara prepared the document with several other budding feminists and her activist boyfriend in a house in East Balmain.¹¹² Alongside its allusion to Marx's *Communist Manifesto*, the pamphlet also drew significant inspiration from the Vietnamese freedom struggle, protesting that "women must fight against the forces of oppression for the right to determine their own lives, just as the Vietnamese are fighting for the right to govern their own country".¹¹³

As Ansara put it, "we were very keen at that point to relate the oppression of women to capitalism", particularly that system's violent manifestation in Vietnam, believing that it was all "part of the same big upsurge of trying to change the world". She recalls the two issues as being so interconnected as to be basically indistinguishable: "we were involved in the antiwar movement and then we started these women's liberation groups. But to me...they both seemed part of the same thing".¹¹⁴ And it was equally through the frame of Vietnam that the women's movement articulated its increasing disaffection with the sexist attitudes of the male-dominated Left. Kate Jennings began her famously brash condemnation of the anti-war

¹¹⁰Anne Summers, *Ducks on the Pond: An Autobiography 1949–1976* (Ringwood, Vic: Viking, 1999), 241.

¹¹¹Warren Osmond, "Just about time for a new feminism?" On Dit, March 1969, 6-7.

¹¹²Siobhan McHugh, *Minefields and Miniskirts: Australian Women and the Vietnam War* (Sydney: Doubleday, 1993), 230.

¹¹³Women's Liberation Group, *Only the Chains have Changed* (Sydney: Women's Liberation Group, 1969), 2.

¹¹⁴Martha Ansara interviewed by Siobhan McHugh, undated, ORAL TRC 2761/8, National Library of Australia, Canberra.

movement at the May 1970 Moratorium with a call for solidarity with the "Vietnamese women", and then attacked those in the movement who "can see so clearly the suffering and misery in Vietnam" but scarcely raise a finger over injustices against women at home, from backyard abortions to their continued "conscription into…personalised slave kitchens".¹¹⁵

Vietnam provided a lens for new social movements to articulate their particular struggles and causes. Women were oppressed by the same system of male, capitalist thinking that was leading to war and revolution in Southeast Asia. And these discourses of domination were soon projected onto an eminently local setting, critiquing male control over the anti-war movement. Similar processes were at work in other areas, as well. Australian Dennis Altman—author of 1971s *Homosexual: Oppression and Liberation* which chronicled and inspired the early Gay Liberation movement—was also open on how much his ideas were inspired by the historical moment of the late 1960s, irreconcilably tied to Vietnam, Black Power, Women's Liberation and other progressive movements seeking to alter Western cultures. As he put it in the book's opening pages:

To speak...of homosexual oppression will likely lead to my being attacked as paranoid, hysterical, chauvinist, etc. So to are blacks and women attacked, if in talking of oppression they appear obsessed with their "stigma," but discovering the extent of one's stigma makes it difficult not be obsessed by it.¹¹⁶

Thus, realisation of a collective oppression was shared between various social movements, just as their ideas and personalities were cross-pollinating. Altman remembers in his 2013 *The End of the Homosexual*, how "the anti-war civil rights and feminist movement were all essential to the development of gay liberation", as they all formed part of "the rethinking of politics that was part of 'the 1960s'".¹¹⁷ Raewyn Connell, Australian New Left writer and academic, exemplified this sharing of ideas via the word "liberation".

¹¹⁵Kate Jennings, "Moratorium: Front Lawn: 1970," available at http://www.poetrylibrary. edu.au/poets/jennings-kate/moratorium-front-lawn-1970-0124024, accessed 2 October 2012.

¹¹⁶Dennis Altman, *Homosexual: Oppression and Liberation* (St Lucia: University of Queensland Press, 2012), 16–17.

¹¹⁷Dennis Altman, *The End of the Homosexual?* (St Lucia: University of Queensland Press, 2013), 53.

Gay men...borrowed the language of "liberation" from US women (the women's liberation movement) who had borrowed it from the Vietnamese (National Liberation Front), who had borrowed it from the Algerians (ditto), who in turn had borrowed it from the French.

Borrowings were clear-and participation in broader radical networks beyond any one specific area or political concern was second nature. "Gay Liberation immediately saw itself as part of a broad revolutionary movement" Connell remembers, and it was "the character of this movement, the energy created by the interactions between its many elements, and also the difficulties and tensions between them" that formed a motor for their future development.¹¹⁸ Australian Black Power activist Gary Foley gives another perspective to this, commenting on how Aboriginal activists "encountered gay activists like Dennis and others around the scene, because, in the big demos, the Vietnam War demos, the anti-apartheid demos in '71, then all the different mobs came together." This communality that was fostered around the period's biggest political issues was "where the connections were being made and...as we all talked amongst the lot of us, anti-apartheid, the anti-imperialist crew and the gay mob... there was a sharing and an understanding that at the end of the day, the source of all our oppression was coming from pretty much the same direction."119

Foley and other members of this new generation of Aboriginal activists saw themselves as particularly connected to the Vietnamese freedom struggle. As Foley described above, activists cut their political teeth on anti-Vietnam war marches—making contacts and developing ideas—while Victorian leader Bruce McGuinness issued this statement of solidarity with the Vietnamese struggle and its implications for Australia:

[D]oesn't Vietnam belong to the Vietnamese? Isn't it their country? Aren't we the invaders, the aggressors, the enemy, along with Uncle Sam and his band of merry nephews? I know that Vietnam is not our war. I know further that it is dirty politics that puts us there and continues to leave us there. The

¹¹⁸ Raewyn Connell, "Ours is in colour: the new left of the 1960s" in *After Homosexual: The Legacies of Gay Liberation*, eds. Carolyn D'Cruz and Mark Pendleton, 35–6 (Crawley, WA: University of Western Australia Press, 2013).

¹¹⁹ Gary Foley and Carolyn D'Cruz, "Black Power and gay liberation: an interview with Gary Foley" in *After Homosexual: The Legacies of Gay Liberation*, eds. Carolyn D'Cruz and Mark Pendleton, 68 (Crawley, WA: University of Western Australia Press, 2013).

same dirty politics that suppresses the Aborigine, the same filthy politics that kept him suppressed for two hundred years, the same politics that will decry Black Power and its advocates.¹²⁰

Perhaps borrowing from Jennings's approach, young indigenous intellectual and activist Paul Coe used the podium at the second Moratorium in Sydney to virulently lambast the white Left. As Denis Freney recalled:

He showed no generosity to the audience. His tone was brutal. You are our oppressors. You worry about Vietnam, about the Black struggle in the USA or South Africa. But what about us here? You raped our women, you stole our land, you massacred our ancestors, you destroyed our culture, and now—when we refused to die out as you expected—you want to kill us with your hypocrisy.¹²¹

As Coe put it in a later article, the Vietnamese peasants' struggle "for the right to own land and the power to control their own future and that of their children" was:

[E]xactly the same struggle the Aborigines in Australia...are waging. We too are poor and coloured, exploited and victimised by our white masters...We are on the side of the Vietnamese. We want them to win because we identify very strongly with their struggle. Of course we want the killing and suppression to end in Vietnam but we want the Vietnamese people themselves to be the masters of their own destiny, just as we ourselves would like to be the masters of our own destiny.¹²²

So, while Coe's Moratorium speech should be correctly located as an attack on the overriding attention placed on Vietnam by white activists, he and other activists clearly felt a sense of solidarity, an acknowledgement that struggles waged overseas indeed had a local referent, even if many local (white) activists failed to see it.

As Coe pointed out, there were issues with this global infatuation, and they extended beyond the ignorance of local realities in favour of more exciting international events. Even at the height of their popularity,

¹²⁰ Bruce McGuinness, "Aboriginal Black Power," National U, 23 March 1970, 7.

¹²¹ Freney, A Map of Days, 267.

¹²² Paul Coe, "Racism and the anti-war movement," in *National Anti-War Conference*, *Sydney*, np.

the unadulterated importation of foreign theories was met with a mixture of humour and disdain. The importation of new forms of print culture, in particular the American-style underground press, formed the basis of a dispute in the Brisbane movement around participatory publishing. A newspaper called The Brisbane Line, envisaged as a national underground newspaper that would express views "so far presented in Australia almost exclusively by university students", emerged out of Foco Club and sought contributors "opposed to Australia's right wing bourgeois establishment...includ[ing] workers as well as students".¹²³ This call for a wide variety of contributions and production assistance was, however, never to be met, and the paper folded after only three issues. David Nadel, an activist from Monash University who travelled to Brisbane to edit the paper, wrote to subscribers that "the paper was never soundly based" as "there was far too little discussion amongst the people who were to be associated with its production" and the money the club was able to provide dwindled as police and media interest in its supposedly illegal activities increased. The main issue, Nadel believed, was about the paper's form. Not only was a Multilith 1250 unable to produce the desired product, the idea of an underground paper in Australia was "absurd". As he put it:

American underground newspapers sell mostly to the American Underground Communities and about half their news relates to the Underground Community. There is no such thing as an underground community in Australia, let alone Brisbane.¹²⁴

The problem with trying to construct a participatory media where the culture for one did not exist was laid bare.

This was only one of a plethora of disputes around the applicability of foreign theories and ideas to Australian circumstances. One particularly sterile translation, at least in the eyes of its critics, was the employment of Maoist rhetoric by Melbourne students, particularly members of the Monash Labor Club. Melbourne was the centre of Australian Maoism, with nearly all of those who formed the breakaway Communist Party of Australia (Marxist-Leninist) (CPA (M-L)) in 1964 residing in that city,

¹²³ Editorial, The Brisbane Line, 22 August 1968, 2.

¹²⁴ Dave Nadel to "subscribers," 25 September 1968, in Dan O'Neill Collection, UQFL132, Folder 1, Box 12.

and its members holding a number of key union positions.¹²⁵ While many amongst this tiny, secretive party embraced Mao's neo-Stalinism against what they saw as the "capitalist roaders" of the Soviet Union, the CPA (M-L)'s influence on the student movement was of a more romantic persuasion. As Nadel put it, "the Cultural Revolution in 1967 looked like Mao had gone to the masses. Young people were revolutionists, as if the same thing happening in China was happening in the West".¹²⁶ Romantic images of youthful Red Guards leading a revolutionary charge against bureaucracy and old cultural forms inspired new and experimental types of radicalism for emerging student radicals in the West, and this was to be the case for the Monash group as well.¹²⁷

The previous chapter noted the importance of young mathematics student Albert Langer, who developed a particularly confrontational and eclectic Maoism that came to guide the MLC's political trajectory.¹²⁸ The sort of rhetoric and tactics this in turn involved is captured well in a leaflet issued by anonymous "Red Guards", held in Langer's archive, that was directed at the ALP and CPA leadership of the Melbourne anti-war movement. Titled "Down with the top party person in authority taking the capitalist road", the leaflet castigated those organising 1967s July 4 demonstration for being bureuacratic and timid. The students protested that "a small clique of bureaucrats are ruthlessly repressing any independent activities" and, in language reminiscent of calls for material aid to the NLF, argued that "Despite all the petitions, posters parades, protest

¹²⁵ For more on the CPA (M-L) see Nick Knight, "The theory and tactics of the Communist Party of Australia (M-L)," *Journal of Contemporary Asia* 28, No. 2 (1998): 233–51 and Justus M van Der Kroef, "Australia's Maoists," *Journal of Commonwealth Political Studies* 8, No. 2 (1970): 87–116.

¹²⁶ Dave Nadel interviewed by Daniel Robins, 31 August 2005, quoted in Daniel Robins, "Melbourne's Maoists: The Rise of the Monash Labour Club 1965–67" (BA Honours Thesis, Victoria University, 2005), available online at http://www.lastsuperpower.net/ Members/dmelberg/melbmaoists, accessed 15 April 2012.

¹²⁷ For literature on the impacts of Maoism on Western student and cultural movements, see Julian Bourg, "The Red Guards of Paris: French Student Maoism in the 1960s," *History of European Ideas* 31, No. 4 (2005): 472–90; Sebastian Gehrig, "(Re-)configuring Mao: Trajectories of a Culturo-Political trend in West Germany," *Transcultural Studies* 2 (2011): 189–231 and Andrew Ross, "Mao Zedong's Impact on Cultural Politics in the West," *Cultural Politics* 1, No. 1 (March 2005): 5–22.

¹²⁸ Robins makes this argument, detailing how "Maoist theories of rebellion, confrontation and class struggle came to dominate the activities of the Labor Club by late 1967", Robins, "Melbourne's Maoists." advertisements and peaceful demonstrations the US has continued to escalate the war and obviously intends to keep doing so". They concluded that "[I]t is time the movement stopped kidding itself that it can influence the government and start looking for effective means to make opposition felt", including unspecified "militant activities" and "direct action".¹²⁹

What this meant was crystallised the next year, at the (in)famous July 4 demonstration of 1968, when hundreds of Monash students and militant workers broke off from the main demonstration, fighting police and smashed windows outside the US consulate while attempting to hoist the Vietnamese NLF flag within its confines. Condemned as hooliganism in the mainstream press, this was seen as a clearly globalised expression by those involved, and tied into a *zeitgeist* of increasing, frustrated militancy in the face of seeming wide-scale indifference. Violent actions were being increasingly normalised in the US movement, for instance, while reports of barricades and street fighting in Paris dominated the daily news. *Print*, the Labor Club's newssheet, sought to place the demonstration within a global context:

Every NLF rocket is worth more than last night's demonstration. The Vietnamese people are bearing the real brunt of this war. They are on the front line but we can back them up. We have a common enemy and we are in a position to fight behind the lines.¹³⁰

Chabot describes such forms of transnational appropriation as "overlikeness", whereby Monash students reversed the reliance of previous solidarity movements on "hyper-difference", by "denying or erasing the uniqueness of self and other", assuming a direct connection between their struggles and those in a far off and very different context.¹³¹ A writer for Melbourne University newspaper *Farrago* took such militant grandstanding further, illustrating how such a global infatuation could, as it did elsewhere, drive previously open movements into increasingly sectarian fantasies.

George Coote argued that those who claimed that the "demonstration alienated more people than gathered support" failed to account for the great number of Australians who "can watch the Vietnam television horror serial in apathy for years". Coote ended his piece with the

¹²⁹ "Down with the top party person in authority taking the capitalist road," undated, in Albert Langer Papers, Z457, Box 43, Folder "MLC—Internal."

¹³⁰ Print, 5 July 1968, 1.

¹³¹Chabot, Transnational roots of the civil rights movement, 7.

claim that "[t]he Australian Alfs are beyond redemption", and as such only small groups of militant aligned students and workers posed a real challenge to the system.¹³² This pessimism—born of frustration over the seeming ineffectualness of peaceful protest—was, however, attacked by Monash student intellectual Richard Gordon, who styled the whole affair as a "mock revolution". He lambasted the "complete bankruptcy" of the ultra-militants, and quoted *Print* to prove the point. Mixing equal parts revolutionary rhetoric and Monash in-jokes, *Print* under the editorship of Darce Cassidy seemed to capture the pulse of the campus.

Yet, Gordon argued that statements like "the grip of the Americans (over colonial Australia)" would only be broken "by help[ing] the Vietnamese in their fight and prepar[ing] for our own war of independence" were nothing short of "hysterical". Grandiose claims that the rally had seen the birth of a July 4 Movement were equally misguided, he argued, drawing as they did on outlandish comparisons with Cuba's July 26 Movement and France's March 22 Movement. Gordon concluded that this would be laughable if those involved were not "so bent on acting upon concepts imported holus-bolus from revolutionary situations elsewhere and in attempting to utilise them here". Scenes like this "illustrate the complete lack of any political philosophy related to the Australian scene".¹³³ In Brisbane, similarly strident attacks on what was seen as the excessive employment of global rhetoric were made. Frank Varghese, member of UQ's New Left Club, attacked what he termed the "left wing infantilism" of the radical groups like the newly born Revolutionary Socialist Students Alliance, which emerged from SDA's wishes to move from "a protest organisation to a radical or revolutionary movement...to challenge the structures of this society".¹³⁴ Varghese believed the group's use of imported phrases like Smash US imperialism, "in terms of being adequate to deal with social reality-amount...to meaningless crap".¹³⁵

Similar criticisms were made in Adelaide, where one commentator described those who became infatuated with Frankfurt School theorists as "Marcuse's Morons".¹³⁶ Marcuse's *One Dimensional Man* spoke to the

¹³⁵ Varghese, Frank, "Left-Wing Infantilism: A Right-Wing Disorder: An open letter to RSSA, published by the Liberal Club in conjunction with the New Left Group," University of Queensland Liberal Club Ephemera, FVF446, Fryer Library, the University of Queensland.

¹³⁶Allan Patience, "Marcuse's Morons," On Dit, August 6 1970, 6.

¹³² George Coote, "yummy violence," Farrago, 19 July 1968, 2.

¹³³ Richard Gordon, "The Mock Revolution of July 4," Farrago, 12 July 1968, 2.

¹³⁴ "SDA Dissolves," Students for Democratic Action Ephemera, FVF381.

existential angst felt by students and workers seemingly stuck in a world ruled by ossified, impersonal bureaucracies, while Gramsci's writings, many of which were translated into English by Australian Alastair Davidson, provided terms like hegemony which allowed for a better understanding of how Western capitalist societies produced consent from their populations.¹³⁷ Summers remembers the impact these writing had, and how she was "easily convinced" by Marcuse's contention that "the working class was no longer the agent of revolution", but that instead "capitalism would be overthrown by cultural forces, by the young and dispossessed, who had no stake in the system".¹³⁸ Writing in *On Dit*, Allan Patience warned that such theory was producing a student Left which "deals in slogans, obtuse language and vulgar anonymity". "How many of the writers of these pamphlets have been down to Bowden or Brompton to look at the poverty problems there?" he rhetorically asked, and "[h]ow many of them have ever had the guts to make a detailed study of the situations they claim to know so well[?]"¹³⁹

CONCLUSION

Ideas and practices of global revolution were both utilised and debated by social movement actors during the Long 1960s. Examples from abroad impacted on and were experienced in the spaces, writings, and rhetoric of the time. Space "often crystallizes and makes visible the hidden dialogue taking place between different collective and individual historical agencies", and rebellious urban locations—from discos to bookstores and private homes—popped up across the landscape of Australia's cities.¹⁴⁰ These provided a private space to encounter and experiment with global ideas and practices, while developing new networks and imaginaries of dissent. The contradiction between these inwardly focused spaces and the radicals' intentions to change the world were reflected in an equally globally inspired use of public space. Borrowing protest styles from Europe and organising strategies from Mao, amongst a plethora of others, protestors

¹³⁷ One of the few works which really deals with the impact of these ideas on the Australian New Left is Lewis d'Avigdor, "Pessimism of the Intellect, Optimism of the Will: Reading the Ideas and Ideals of the New Student Left," Unpublished paper, 2010, available at http://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/bitstream/2123/8736/1/BeauchampHistorical2010Lewisd'Avig dor.pdf, accessed 7 August 2012.

¹³⁸ Summers, Ducks on the Pond, 235.

¹³⁹ Patience, "Marcuse's Morons," 7.

¹⁴⁰Furst, Oseke and Reynolds, "Breaking the Walls of Privacy," 495.

took new carnivalesque forms of opposition to the street, while attempting to mobilise and engage local communities using a transnational lexicon. The rhetoric and writing of these activists equally displays their developing global affinities, with new styles of publishing emerging that prefigured the more utopian form of life many activists strove for. The influence of ideas from Vietnam to China to France and Germany not only illustrate the true breadth of the 1960s' imagined community of global revolt, but also how these movements, while clearly influenced by highly mediatised and imitable examples from the USA, found much use outside of that nexus.

Still, this was not a process lacking in contention, with imported practices and vocabularies often condemned as ill-suited to local conditions or suppressing the development of local intellectual traditions. As Richard Gordon and Warren Osmond protested in 1970, activists "have largely misunderstood the nature of student revolt overseas, and have developed organisational tactics, ideology and general revolutionary theory out of overseas contexts, rather than allowing their own praxis in Australia to determine the basis of political and social development".¹⁴¹ This sort of condemnation became increasingly common throughout the period, yet it did not weaken the enthusiasm of Australian activists for overseas ideas. Indeed, the desire to avoid some of the mistranslations discussed above, to experience and document the global in order to bring back perhaps more pertinent lessons and practices, motivated the variety of journeys by Australians to all corners of the world that form the subject of the next chapter.

¹⁴¹Richard Gordon and Warren Osmond, "An Overview of the Australian New Left," in *The Australian New Left: Critical Essays and Strategy*, ed. Richard Gordon, 35 (Melbourne: William Heinemann, 1970).

Comings and Goings

Revolutionary Tourists: Australian Activists, Travel and 1968

On 4 May 1968, a medical student from Sydney University arrived in Paris. The student, whose report for campus newspaper Honi Soit appeared anonymously, expected to find "the intellectual stimulus of the Latin Quarter", but was soon swept up in the throng of radical activism. A trip to the recently occupied Sorbonne revealed a campus so crowded as to "make a Sydney Med. II lecture look like the Sahara Desert after an atomic war", with students "spill[ing] onto the courtyards where they sat in congregations...hurriedly handing out pamphlets demanding freedom and social justice". Two days later, this air of intellectual and political discussion met the truncheons of Parisian riot police. Approaching a roadblock en route to dinner, the anonymous student's "curiosity in trying to see what was being cordoned off" soon saw him thrown in jail with a cosmopolitan group of cellmates: Algerians, Trinidadians, Americans, Canadians, Swiss, Germans, "and even a Fulbright Scholar". These individuals had been arrested on the most spurious of pretexts to evidence the French government's claim "that the disturbances were provoked and instigated by foreign interests".1

Being apprehended by overzealous police and branded a foreign troublemaker was, however, only one of the many ways Australians became personally involved in the global political networks of 1968. This year

¹ "Honi reports on 'La Revolte' in Paris," Honi Soit, 4 June 1968, 1–2.

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016 J. Piccini, *Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s*, DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-52914-5_4

is increasingly read as a phenomenon, one which has almost become a byword for the entire 1960s global revolutionary experience.² The revolt in Paris is just one of the reasons that the year has become known as "the year of the barricades" and inspired literally hundreds of books and articles exploring its significance.³ Scholars, however, have only recently begun to read travel by social movement actors as integral to individual and collective radical identity formation during the rebellious year. Activists were no longer content merely "being inspired by one another" through the mass media or radical publications, "they were actually seeking each other out", as Richard Jobs puts it. The mobility of primarily youthful, middle-class Europeans across borders not only reflected increasing globalisation, but was central to the creation of a "transnational social group" who "built a shared political culture across national boundaries". For the European youths Jobs profiles, "[t]he hope was that they could help invigorate each other's local movements through transnational mobility—if not by participating fully, then at least by witnessing and expressing support".⁴ Martin Klimke evidences the importance of personal contacts across borders through the formation of what he terms the "other alliance" between American and West German student movements. He asks how "activists from different geographical, economic, political, and cultural frameworks imagined themselves as part of a global revolutionary movement", and locates the exchange of individuals across borders as central. Not only was a member of the West German Socialist Student Union an important co-author of the 1962 Port Huron Statement, but the travels of American students to West Germany and vice versa saw the crosspollination of confrontational protest techniques later in the decade.⁵

²Though, as the introduction to this thesis notes, such a fetish for '1968' makes the revolt very much a developed world, indeed trans-Atlantic, affair.

³These range from popular texts like Mark Kurlansky, *1968: The Year that Rocked the World* (London: Vintage, 2005) to those of a scholarly nature like Carole Fink et al, eds., *1968: The World Transformed* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998); Kristin Ross, *May '68 and its afterlives* (Chicago: Chicago University Press, 2002) and Martin Klimke and Joachim Scharloth, eds, *1968 in Europe: A History of Protest and Activism*, *1956–1977* (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008).

⁴Richard Ivan Jobs, "Youth Movements: Travel, Protest and Europe in 1968," *American Historical Review* 114, No. 2 (April 2011): 376–377, 384.

⁵ Martin Klimke, *The other alliance: student protest in West Germany and the United States in the global 1960s* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2010), 2.

While illustrative, this approach is perhaps less useful for a study of Australian activists. The 1960s saw rates of overseas departure amongst the 20–24 age group mushroom more than six-fold, as passenger airliners, cheaper fares, and the post-war boom made travel a realistic prospect rather than a time-consuming, maritime pursuit.⁶ Yet, travel was easier for the European youths Jobs explores than it was for many Australians, and institutional contacts between Australian and overseas movements were nowhere near as abundant as those Klimke analyses.⁷ In spite of this, and as the example of the anonymous Sydney University student makes clear, the developing political and radical networks of 1968 were encountered and extolled, and Australian radicals increasingly wished to find themselves in centres of revolt. A 1969 study of the radical community at the University of Queensland, for instance, revealed that 57 % of the over 100 radicals surveyed wished to go abroad in the next year, motivated either by "a wish to broaden one's experience" or "a more negative dissatisfaction with Australia".⁸ This chapter will demonstrate how the increasing global mobility of Australian radicals-whom anthropologist Victor Turner would call pilgrims experiencing a utopian moment-was inspired by these and other, more focused, concerns and objectives. Equally, these trips were often supposed to further the development of local protest movements in Australia, which underwent profound growth and change around 1968. And while time spent overseas was seen as a way of contributing to the success of local movements, whether through applied knowledge or greater theoretical understanding, pilgrims did not always receive a welcome homecoming.

Australian activists often did not take the European and trans-Atlantic itineraries favoured by Jobs and Klimke. While neither author denies the importance of Third World ideas and practices from China, Vietnam, or Latin America, their focus largely ignores this important source of transnational flows. Robert Gildea, James Mark, and Niek Pas argue, however, that Third-Worldism was just as important as connections across First World nations in providing "a new and powerful model of revolution

⁶Richard White, "Travel, Writing and Australia," *Studies in Travel Writing* 11, No. 1 (2007): 4.

⁷Numbers skyrocketed amongst the 20–24 age group, from 8976 to 53,683 between the years 1961 and 1971. See Agnieszka Sobocinska, "People's Diplomacy: Australian travel, tourism and relations with Asia, 1941–2009," (PhD Thesis, The University of Sydney, 2010), 196.

⁸Christopher A. Rootes, "Australian Student Radicals: The Nature and Origins of Dissent," (BA Honours Thesis, The University of Queensland, 1969), 237–8.

for European radical activists". As the authors point out, the "availability of cheaper flights...enabled eager young activists in Western Europe to make pilgrimages to the showcases of revolution, which now shifted from Moscow to Havana and Peking".⁹ Revolutionary states and struggles in Asia also formed an important point of pilgrimage for Australian radicals, a result of geographic proximity and a reaction to dominant Australian fears of the region's teeming masses. White radicals, however, can be seen as inverting these racialised anxieties, indulging in what Judy Tzu-Chun Wu has defined as a "romance" with Asian struggles. If radical radicals, as Wu explains, "sought the 'truth' about the military and political conflicts in Southeast Asia, they tended to idealise Ho Chi Minh, the National Liberation Front, and socialist Asia more generally".¹⁰ As such, it was easy for opponents of these travellers to label them as tourists.

As Agneiszka Sobocinska has noted, there were few disparagements more derogatory in the globally mobile 1960s youth culture than "tourist", often equated with the ageing, boorish, and working-class figure of "Alf". "Alf" was a cultural archetype who, having slaved away in suburban obscurity for decades would, at "the age of sixty-five, equipped with a dashing sports coat, matching luggage, good wishes from the bowling club, and two P&O cruise tickets", embark on an overseas trip, where he "imagines he is able to begin living, not knowing that he died many years before".11 This image of the ageing, white "tourist" was counterposed to that of the "traveller", a discursive operation aimed at "encoding authenticity" onto the travels of those who went "off the beaten track" or abandoned the privileged lifestyle of the typical Western traveller in favour of a supposed immersion in local cultures. One Australian who travelled the "hippie trail" put it in black and white terms: "your parents were tourists. Straight people were tourists. You were a traveller".¹² This easy dichotomy was, however, one rendered problematic by many of the experiences explored below.

⁹Robert Gildea, James Mark and Niek Pas, "European Radicals and the 'Third World': Imagined Solidarities and Radical Networks, 1958–73," *Cultural and Social History* 8, No. 4 (2011): 450, 454.

¹⁰Judy Tzu-Chun Wu, "Journeys for Peace and Liberation: Third World Internationalism and Radical Orientalism during the U.S. War in Vietnam," *Pacific Historical Journal* 76, No. 4 (2007): 578.

¹¹Allan Ashbolt, "Godzone 3: Myth and Reality," *Meanjin Quarterly* 25, No. 4 (December 1966): 373.

¹²Sobocinska, "People's Diplomacy," 215.

Humphrey McQueen has described his controversial 1970 bestseller *A New Britannia* as "a statement of its time—the late 1960s—when the mood was established by the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution in China, the Tet Offensive in Vietnam, the May Days in France [and] the Prague Spring".¹³ Through an analysis of several dozen Australian radical travellers to these very hotspots of 1968s radicalism—Paris as well as Prague, Beijing, and Saigon alongside many other—this chapter adds an Australian perspective to the growing literature on the period's revolutionary tourists. In doing this, it reveals how transnational flows of individuals and ideas across borders both reflected and impacted Australia's multiplying movements for change.

From Sympathetic Bystanders to Active Revolutionaries: Justifying and Explaining Political Travel

In mid-1970, the Australia-China Society (ACS) excitedly announced that "[f]or the first time in two years Australians can 'go and see' China for themselves".¹⁴ Owing to the disorganisation wrought by the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution (GPCR), no groups had been allowed to visit the Peoples Republic of China (PRC) since early 1968. The ACS, founded in Victoria in 1951 and mainly independent of Communist control, had previously organised dozens of short tours of the PRC. And while Australia's Sinophiles applied in droves, many were to be disappointed this time around. Muriel Underwood, Chairman of the ACS's small Tasmanian branch, wrote an agitated letter to National Secretary Marjorie Waters noting her dismay at being overlooked for the tour in favour of a younger, more activist, cohort. "This branch...is only operating because of my admiration and understanding of the Chinese people", she protested, adding how "it's a pity that the Chinese don't yet understand...that it is not the students and workers who run this country".¹⁵

¹⁵Muriel Underwood to Marjorie Waters, 17 August 1970, in Australia China Society Records, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 3.

¹³Humphrey McQueen, A New Britannia, 3rd Edition (Ringwood, Vic: Penguin, 1986): xiii.

¹⁴Australia-China Society, "China '70—The Australia-China Society presents: National Day Tour September 23rd—October 23rd 1970," in Australia-China Society Victoria Branch Records, 1952–1982, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 4, State Library of Victoria, Melbourne.

Waters gave her condolences, noting that some 40 others had also been left off from the tour, as "in their present mood, the Chinese feel that it is their beholden task to fire with enthusiasm the young students and workers [and] they were in no way interested in any other type of group". This request—for the first delegation to the People's Republic in over two years to be entirely comprised of militant youth—might now appear curious. But it was in many ways a mark of the times. Young people were rebelling all over the world, from Peking to Paris and Sydney, and despite Waters's understanding that it was the "rather older people who plod away to keep things going" in the ACS, it was the "fickle element" of globally mobile activist youth who the Chinese assumed would have the most to benefit from seeing socialism in action.¹⁶

Australian social movement activism exploded during the 1960s from small solidarity movements and campaigns for indigenous rights or against the White Australia Policy to a multiplicity of concerns and inspirations. This was a process fired by the global revolt of 1968, and by decade's end, images like that of the heroic Vietnamese guerrilla, barricades in France, and Che Guevara's iconic face were being marshalled by new movements-women's liberation, student power, the counterculture-as well as reinvigorating older forms of socialist politics with new icons, concerns, and political forms. Those younger people who applied to travel to China were particularly in tune with this new zeitgeist of radicalism. There were in fact so many acceptably militant applicants that the ACS "had to refuse even some young people who would have fulfilled [Chinese] requirements", illustrating just how much the desire for radical travel was not only held by a few.¹⁷ The organisation's archives include dozens of applications for this tour, mostly from young workers, students, and academics professing a desire to see, understand, and learn. Some, like Gwen Sullivan, a clothing trade worker from Victoria, thought that a trip to China would allow her to understand the revolutionary process in its totality. Quoting one of Chairman Mao's lesserknown aphorisms, "whoever wants to know a thing has no way of doing so except by coming into contact with it, that is, by living (practicing) in its

¹⁶Marjorie Waters to Muriel Underwood, 20 August 1970, in Australia China Society Records, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 3.

¹⁷Marjorie Waters to Edith Emery, 20 August 1970, in Australia China Society Records, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 3.

environment", she argued that her trip would allow her to "gain genuine knowledge and experience of how the Chinese people live and work armed with Mao Tse Tung thought".¹⁸

Others noted the educative nature of their trips for those back home. Academic Jonathon King thought that time behind the "bamboo curtain" would allow him to better "correct the western world's erroneous picture of China". "Travel is education", as King put it, and "[e]very minute would be a never forgotten treasure" which would leave him with "a life long dedication to defending the People's Republic of China with knowledge gained from the trip".¹⁹ The possibility of using knowledge gained to further their individual political maturation and collective political struggles in Australia was, however, the overriding concern of those who applied. Warren Winton, a self-professed "working class" 24-yearold sheet metal worker from Melbourne, noted that experiencing the GPCR first hand was a "tremendous victory for the international working class" and it would "be a most valuable and worth-while influence on my own political and moral development".²⁰ Paul Byrne thought that China, having "thrown off the exploiting classes", provided relevant lessons which activists could "adapt and apply to Australian conditions". Byrne, a 26-year-old project engineer, noted that he felt "some confusion as to the way forward" for the Australian anti-imperialist movement, a feeling that was equally potent for Leslie Bowling.²¹ A master's student at Adelaide's Flinders University, Bowling admitted that "the experience cannot directly provide answers to problems faced by the revolutionary movement in Australia". However, by informing "fellow students through public lectures etc more people will become aware of, and sympathetic to, revolutionary struggles and ideas", hopefully turning them from "sympathetic bystanders to active revolutionaries".²²

¹⁸Gwen Sullivan to Marjorie Waters, Undated, in Australia China Society Records, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 3.

¹⁹ Jonathon King to Marjorie Waters, 1 July 1970, in Australia China Society Records, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 3.

²⁰Warren H Winton to Marjorie Waters, Undated, in Australia China Society Records, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 3.

²¹Paul Byrne to Marjorie Waters, 2 August 1970, in Australia China Society Records, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 3.

²²Leslie Roones Bowling to Marjorie Waters, 1 August 1970, in Australia China Society Records, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 3.

Employing Anthropologist Victor Turner's work on the social practices of Christianity, it is possible to see these travellers through the frame of the "pilgrim":

one who divests himself of the mundane concomitance of religion—which become entangled with its practice in the local situation—to confront, in a special "far" milieu, the basic elements and structures of his faith in their unshielded, virgin radiance.²³

While Turner captures the importance of the "unshielded, virgin radiance" that travellers could encounter, the examples detailed above provide a whole constellation of motivations and ideas-rumours that functioned to prepare the traveller for their journeys and justify them to others. They present their experience of local practice as less than "mundane", but rather as a central motivator for wishes to travel. Travel is imagined as educative, inspiring, instructive, and transforming, with the applicants displaying a revolutionary zeal that, while perhaps overstated, cannot be easily dismissed. What these examples show is how these and other largely forgotten participants in Australia's 1960s sought to present their overseas travel as a political weapon, using a variety of justifications as to how and why they imagined their overseas trips would contribute to political activism or debate in Australia. They at least attempted to turn what Turner presents as an eminently individualistic pursuit into one veiled, if sometimes only thinly, by desires for contributing to collective change. Those applying for the 1970 China trip, either members or fellow travellers of various Communist Party of Australia (Marxist-Leninist) (CPA (M-L)) front groups like the Worker Student Alliance, expressed these firmly political, collectivist motivations for their travel while some, like the anonymous student whose story opened this chapter, had no idea they were about to be thrown into the midst of a revolutionary transformation. For others, however, motivations were everything, and the very act of travel became a form of dissent.

Peggy Somers, who had staged a public hunger strike on the steps of Melbourne's US Consulate over the escalating war in Vietnam in June 1966, took such protests in a different direction almost a year later. Somers forwarded a short manifesto to various media outlets in March 1967,

²³Edith and Victor Turner, *Image and Pilgrimage in Christian Culture* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1978), 14.

informing them that she had left Australia *en route* to Hanoi, an act of antiwar protest in direct contravention of laws barring travel to the socialist state. Stating a desire to "put myself in the centre" of the US-led bombing campaign, Somers corresponded to Communist Party of Australia (CPA) journalist Malcolm Salmon that her rather desperate action was the only way she could see to shake Australians out of their "apathy, indolence and indifference" towards the increasingly violent conflict.²⁴ Whilst her action received little coverage in the Australian press, Somers's motivations for travel provide a viewpoint into the psyche of a political traveller. Her trip to Vietnam, a nation so small that it "had seemed not to exist" before US-led intervention in its civil conflict, sought to ensure that its peoples' nationalistic desires, "the crime of wanting to govern themselves", could be viewed through a different lens to that of monolithic communism: "a lot of mumbo-jumbo about dominoes and Russians and Chinese" as she put it.²⁵

This solitary act could be read, similarly to a hunger strike, as a way of overcoming an existential impasse to mount effective protest. "[W]hatever I may do or try to do with my life is over-whelmed by this terrible evil over which I have no control", she wrote, drawing comparisons between her own feelings and those who heard of, yet could do nothing about, the nuclear destruction of Hiroshima and Nagasaki some 21 years earlier.²⁶ Many Western activists who encountered similar impasses looked, as Somers seems to have, to the repertoire of Gandhian protest. While she saw the act of travel, of publically venturing to a nation with whom Australia was engaged in an unofficial war, as a possible way around this impasse, it constituted a fairly ambiguous form of protest. Much as the "hippies" who trailed through Asia to Europe during the same period sought not to change Western society, but to embrace its polar opposite in the form of an "authentic" orient, Somers's trip can be read as a way of purging her own soul rather than contributing to local dissent.²⁷

²⁴Peggy Somers to Malcolm Salmon, 8 March 1967 in Salmon Family—Malcolm Salmon—papers 1927–1986, MLMSS 6105, Box 2, Folder 2, State Library of New South Wales, Sydney.

²⁵Media Release from Peggy Somers, 16 March 1967, in Malcolm Salmon Papers, MLMSS 6105, Box 2, Folder 2.

 $^{^{26} \}mathrm{Somers}$ to Salmon, 8 March 1967, in Malcolm Salmon Papers, MLMSS 6105, Box 2, Folder 2.

²⁷See Julie Stephens, *Anti-Disciplinary Protest: 1960s Radicalism and Postmodernism* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998), 59–64 for a critical view on those who embarked upon the 'hippie trail'.

The travellers recommendations for protestors in Australia to "work harder for peace" by talking "to your neighbour, to people with whom you work and to all with whom you come in contact" were eminently localised, and seemingly in contradiction with her own form of transnational protest.²⁸ Soon, Somers settled into life in Phnom Penh, Cambodia, living with fellow "exiled" Australian Wilfred Burchett.²⁹ Few travellers, however, framed their motives in such an individualistic manner, instead mixing desires for leisure and adventure with more overtly political concerns.

Denis Freney, whose expulsion from the Communist Party in 1958 saw him join Nick Origlass's long-standing yet perpetually miniscule Trotskyist group, later happily took the moniker of "revolutionary tourist" for his eight-year period of international itinerancy.³⁰ A 26-year-old schoolteacher, Freney, was tasked with attending the Trotskyist Fourth International's conference in West Germany during the first weeks of 1961. Freney recalled a mixed series of emotions around his departure:

I was excited at the possibility of meeting all these people whose articles I had so avidly read, but I was also keen to do my own little 'grand tour' of Europe, financed by a working holiday in London, as others of my friends were doing.³¹

The "working holiday" in London, becoming more and more common in the 1950s and 1960s for young Australians, almost takes precedence over Freney's global revolutionary ambitions. Freney's time overseas, however, was to be far longer than that of any other travellers', spending most of the 1960s in places as varied as South Africa, Algeria, and Finland. Brisbane radical, Brian Laver, on the other hand, presents his 1968 grand tour of Europe as entirely political and relevant to prospective action in the local context. Laver was a leader of University of Queensland-based group—Society for Democratic Action (SDA), which, as discussed in Chap. 3, had by 1968 moved towards Third World and European-inspired Marxism.

²⁸Somers, Media Release, 16 March 1967, in Malcolm Salmon Papers, MLMSS 6105, Box 2, Folder 2.

 $^{^{29}\}mbox{Somers}$ to Salmon, 8 March 1967, in Malcolm Salmon Papers, MLMSS 6105, Box 2, Folder 2.

³⁰ Denis Freney, *A Map of Days: Life on the Left* (Port Melbourne, Vic.: William Heinemann Australia, 1991), 189.

³¹Ibid, 111–112.

SDA had originally owed not only its name to the American group, Students for a Democratic Society, but had also borrowed many of its ideas.³² The escalation of Vietnam and militant waves of student activism around the world soon caught the organisation's attention. The Tet offensive by the National Liberation Front and North Vietnamese forces proved a massive shock to the establishment, and proof in the eyes of many that US imperialism was indeed, as Mao put it, a paper tiger, while revolts in Europe seemed to show that the core was just as prone as the periphery to radical change.³³ The 19 March 1968 issue of the group's campus newssheet Student Guerrilla announced, "Marx has been found again. Not sitting in the London library among the archives, but in the classrooms of Poland [and] Czechoslovakia", that were rebelling against Soviet authoritarianism.³⁴ The shooting of Rudi Dutschke only a week after Martin Luther King's assassination saw the distribution of a campus leaflet announcing: "Yesterday King, Today Dutschke, Tomorrow Us", showing the lengths of this imagined communion.³⁵ A slogan chanted at student rallies—"Ho, Ho, Ho Chi Minh/We will fight, we will win/Paris, London and Brisbane"-amply displays the desire of these radicals to see their isolated metropolis as irrevocably tied to these much better known hotspots of an increasingly global revolution.³⁶

This growing fascination drove Laver abroad, to bring back lessons that could, it was hoped, connect SDA with Europe's growing radical *zeitgeist* in a way that following developments in print media and imported radical publications would not allow. This is not to say that prospective radical sojourners always imagined travel to be transformative or constructive of new connections and interpretations. Often, it was merely a means of consolidating or reaffirming old connections or recently acquired rumours. Michael Hyde's 1968 trip to China as part of a student delegation was

³²For more on SDA and its US influences see Jon Piccini, "Australia's most evil and repugnant nightspot': Foco Club and transnational politics in Brisbane's '68," *Dialogues E-Journal* 8, No. 1 (2010): 3–8.

³³For activist responses to Tet see Ken Mansell, *The Yeast is Red* (Masters Thesis, The University of Melbourne, 1994), 13. For an overview of Australian responses see John Murphy, *Harvest of Fear: A history of Australia's Vietnam War* (St Leonards, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 1993), 198–206.

³⁴ Student Guerrilla, 19 March 1968, 1.

³⁵ Student Guerrilla, 16 April 1968, 1.

³⁶Untitled leaflet, Dan O'Neill Collection, UQFL 132, Box 12, Folder 1, Fryer Library, The University of Queensland.

framed around preconceived ideas of what he was to experience. "China", he thought, "[h]ome of the Cultural Revolution [and a] socialist society that had been forged in the struggle against imperialism, led by one of the people stuck on my bedroom wall". Hyde, then an up-and-coming leader in the Monash Labor Club, relied on an image of China summed up by Mao's glowing, screen-printed face, and expressed rather quaintly by the newly available Little Red Book, both widely distributed artefacts of the 1968 revolt.³⁷ Australians who travelled to the World Festival of Youth and Students (WFYS), elaborate Soviet-run gatherings of youth from all over the world tasked with winning over new generations of predominantly Third World leaders to the socialist camp, encountered another far-off utopia. CPA youth leader Charles Bresland's trip to the 1957 festival, accompanied by some 100 other Australians "from all walks of life", was a clear attempt to paper over divisions within the party and beyond around the previous year's invasion of Hungary and Nikita Khrushchev's denunciation of Stalin. The Party's response to these revelations had not been to increase openness and self-investigation, but rather to crack down on those who took talk of reform too literally, and Bresland's trip was one way to refocus the narrative on socialist progress rather than its victims.³⁸

Leon Glezer, a 24-year-old Arts-Law student at the University of Melbourne, fluent in four European languages, had very different motivations for attending the 1962 Festival in Helsinki, Finland. A member of the early anti-racist group Student Action, Glezer opposed the White Australia Policy, had picketed hotels over informal regulations excluding "coloured" people, and argued strongly against international wrongs like Apartheid, but still harboured fears of monolithic communism emblematic of the Cold War period. Despite his stated intention of discovering whether there had "been sufficient softening of international communism's attitude for democratic socialists to co-operate with them", the Melbourne University Labor Club almost refused to sponsor him as a delegate. Doing so, in the eyes of many, risked validating what they termed a "communist-run show" in the eyes of increasingly politicised students.³⁹ Many in the organisation seemed concerned that the very act of attending

³⁷ Michael Hyde, All Along the Watchtower: Memoir of a 1960s Revolutionary (Carlton, Vic.: Vulgar Press, 2010), 76.

³⁸Charles Bresland, *Moscow turned it on!: Story of Australians at the 6th World Youth Festival* (Sydney: Coronation Press, 1957), 1.

³⁹ "Delegate to Youth Festival returns," *Chaos [Lot's Wife]*, 3 October 1962, 1; "Student for Helsinki," *Farrago*, 3 August 1962, 2.

might legitimate a brutal regime, illustrating how even the possibility of travel sometimes encountered stern opposition. His, and the early New Left's, ideas around the parasitic and dangerous nature of International Communism were only to be reinforced by Glezer's widely read reports in the student press, just as Bresland's travel writing affirmed the faith of those who might have questioned the Soviet Union over its distortions of socialist principles.⁴⁰

Whether travelling to "see it for themselves", as a form of protest, to find new ideas or to make new connections, or a combination of these, the travellers discussed above display how varied, often conflictive or incomplete ideas fired their transnational passions, presuppositions which were to be challenged in what Turner calls the "liminal" moment.

EUROPEAN DAY-TRIPPERS: LIMINALITY AND THE TOURIST/TRAVELLER DILEMMA

Brian Laver remembers well the August night that Soviet tanks rolled into Prague. Arriving a month earlier, the Brisbane radical had been astonished by "the vitality of popular expression and debate in the events unfolding" in the capital of the Warsaw Pact's most rebellious member state. "Prague was alive with meetings", he remembered:

In one square meetings went on for 16 hours a day; they had begun before we arrived in Czechoslovakia and were still [going] one month after we returned from Bulgaria. In fact, I was in this park the night the invasion began talking to a group of East German students committed to revolutionary struggle against the Ulbricht bureaucracy.⁴¹

As Turner puts it, "[a]t the pilgrimages end...the pilgrim [finds] himself a member of a vast throng...a throng of similars".⁴² This experience of unrestricted communication across barriers of culture and language by individuals brought together in a fleeting moment of equality was symptomatic of travellers to the Czech capital during the "Prague Spring" of

⁴⁰For more on the experiences of these travellers see Jon Piccini, "There is no solidarity, peace of friendship with dictatorship:' Australians at the World Festival of Youth and Students, 1957–1968," *History Australia* 9, No. 3 (December 2012): 178–198.

⁴¹Brian Laver, *Czechoslovakia...a social crisis: Bureaucracy or People's Control* (Brisbane: Revolutionary Socialist Alliance, 1969), 2.

⁴²Edith and Victor Turner, Image and Pilgrimage, 13.

democratic reforms. In one of the seemingly minor events that precipitated the wave of 1968 radicalism, Alexander Dubcek had been made head of the Czechoslovak Communist Party on 5 January 1968. He soon inaugurated a series of much-needed political and cultural reforms, encouraging workplace democracy and freeing up the press, which excited both an increasing restive constituency and foreign Left-wing observers. As Paul Hoffman wrote in the *New York Times*, "[i]f you are under 30, Prague seems the place to be in this summer", with the writer recounting how youths from Spain, France, the USA, and Britain were making the trip to Prague, hoping to "get a few ideas" from its democratic approach to socialism while discussing with local youth about politics, film, food, "about everything", as one traveller put it.⁴³

An Australian traveller wrote in *Meanjin* how he watched "Prague fill up with young fellows, and their girls, all the months we have been here", Americans and Europeans who "carry rucksacks and transistors and live on the smell of an oil rag", illustrating both their itinerancy and connectivity.⁴⁴ Spaces like that which Prague became during 1968 allow for the experience of moments that Turner calls liminal, "betwixt and between routine social interaction". "The pilgrim crosses a threshold and leaves behind the structures of conventional life" for "the thrill of the moment… where ordinary rules do not apply".⁴⁵ This idea of a space apart from the world of ordinary life is complemented with the notion of *communitas*, "a feeling of kinship and equality with others, laced with lowliness, sacredness, homogeneity and comradeship" where "[p]ilgrims reject the complex hierarchies of their previous social lives and greet each other as simple equals".⁴⁶

Yet, to leave analysis here would not do justice to the variety of motivations, needs, and concerns expressed by activists earlier in this chapter, a mix of the self-involved individualism and a submission to the collective, the need to venerate, and the willingness to critique. Such rationales led to equally diverse experiences, with not everyone finding the euphoria

46 Ibid, 246.

⁴³Paul Hoffmann, "For those under 30, Prague seems the right place to be this summer," *New York Times*, 12 August 1968, 13.

⁴⁴David Martin, "Letter from Prague: on the fourth night of the invasion," *Meanjin* 27, No. 4 (December 1968): 515.

⁴⁵Sean Scalmer, "Turner meets Gandhi: Pilgrimage, Ritual and Diffussion of Nonviolent Direct Action," in *Victor Turner and Contemporary Cultural Performance*, ed. Graham St John, 246 (New York: Berghahn Books, 2007).

of Laver's reminiscence. Some highlight the difficulties of a truly liminal moment, revealing how the ideal of spontaneous community could be clouded by Orientalist notions or presuppositions, or how such experiences could be fabricated for those whose eyes were only partially open. Finally, it raises the issue of whether these travellers were really tourists, a question of authenticity with which many political travellers struggled.

For Susan Burgoyne, sociologist and member of the CPA, attending the 1968 WFYS in Sofia, Bulgaria, was indeed a liminal moment, though perhaps not the one she had expected. Another key event in the year's global narrative, Sofia's Festival—unlike its stage-managed predecessors was marked by a widely publicised rebellion by delegates from around the world against the bureaucracy and conformism of festival organisers.⁴⁷ Burgoyne wrote a controversial report on her experiences as Australia's delegate on the festival's preparatory committee for the CPA's broad-left discussion journal, Australian Left Review (ALR). Spending four months in Bulgaria meant she saw the organisers' machinations and intrigues up close, tearing away the thin veil of idealism and revealing the Soviettrained bureaucrats who facilitated the event as "protecting the festival, not from the right but from those on the left who do not see the Soviet Union in the way that its leaders seek to be seen—as the leaders, teachers and arbitrators".⁴⁸ Burgoyne lambasted the Festival's programmed sessions equally harshly, describing them as farcical recitations of a dogma that was "black and white, good or bad and the solutions simplistic", while excluding any real discussion of the student-worker movements in Europe, Prague's inspiring reforms or the multiplying Chinese and Cuban influenced Third World guerrilla struggles.⁴⁹

This disappointment was mediated by the experiences of a spontaneous "counter" festival, led by visiting West German and Czech students, but attended by youths of many nations whose radical *wanderlust* had driven them to Europe, first to Paris and then Prague. Organised as a way to "break open' the 'front' between party and youth leaders from the Soviet bloc", visiting radical youth wanted to use the Festival to "challeng[e]

⁴⁷ On the 1968 festival see Nicholas Ritter, "Look Left, Drive Right: Internationalisms at the 1968 World Youth Festival," in *The Socialist 1960s: Crossing Borders in the Second World*, eds. Anne E. Gorsuch and Diane P. Koenker, 193–212 (Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 2013).

⁴⁸Susan Burgoyne, "The World Youth Festival," *Australian Left Review* (February-March 1969): 47.

49 Ibid, 46.

the unquestioning conformity to authority demonstrated by youth from state-socialist countries".⁵⁰ And it was this counter-programme of "discussion of strategy and tactics...at a national as well as an international level" that impressed the Australian. "Informal debates and teach-ins were organised when many festival participants found themselves dissatisfied with the standard of scheduled discussions", Burgoyne describes, gatherings that were "challenging and often produced a genuine unity amongst participants...forged out of a desire to understand and learn".⁵¹ These meetings at the fringe of the Festival broke through the programmed and managed discourse of "peace, solidarity and friendship" that organisers had so carefully crafted, allowing for productive personal connections emblematic of communitas. As Burgoyne related: "I was in a group of Czechoslovaks in Bulgaria on August 21 and...was overwhelmed by their reaction to the occupation of their country...It was gratifying for an Australian in Europe to be able to talk to people from Czechoslovakia and know that they were aware that the communists in Australia supported them". The local and the global became connected, and a more spontaneous unity would be difficult to find.⁵²

Those who travelled to China during the period of the GPCR professed similar experiences of equality and the collapsing of national and cultural differences, of reaching across ideological divides, and furthering understanding. However, their experience of 1968s communality and revolutionary dynamism was of a more confected nature. The important role such travellers played in Chinese foreign policy raises questions around the degree to which Australians were sold a myth, while reflections on the dilemma of the supposedly political traveller as tourist are perhaps revealing of the limits of liminality as a theory. Unlike earlier Australian travellers to the People's Republic—officials of the Communist Party undertaking long periods of study in Marxism Leninism—these later sojourners were largely members of delegations that only stayed for a few weeks, taking in the sights in line with a pre-arranged itinerary.⁵³

⁵⁰A discussion of these events is in Quinn Slobodian, *Foreign Front: Third World Politics in* 1960s West Germany (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2011), 194–8.

⁵¹ Burgoyne, "The World Youth Festival," 46.

⁵² Ibid, 47.

⁵³See Jon Piccini, "Light from the East': travel to China and Australian activism in the 'long 1960s'" *The 1960s: A Journal of History, Politics and Culture* 6, No. 1 (June 2013): 25–44 for these earlier travellers to China.

One particular delegation, organised by the National Union of Australian University Students (NUAUS) in January 1968, is of particular interest. Its timing coincided both with the height of popular enthusiasm for the GPCR, which had initially been sparked by Mao's 1966 calls for youthful Red Guards to "bombard the headquarters", challenge the authority of party bureaucrats, and destroy bourgeois forms of culture and life. These displays of revolutionary enthusiasm also saw, as this book has previously established, the worldwide moment during which rebellious youth "discovered" Maoism.⁵⁴ Michael Hyde's excited anticipation for discovering the world that he understood through Chinese publications and character posters was indicative of many radicals during the period, who took on at least the aesthetic, if not the practice, of Chinese radicalism.

Beris Turnley, another member of this tour group, who published a book on her experiences in 1971, admits to having had very little understanding of China upon arrival, yet she provides a generally sympathetic portrayal. She was impressed greatly by the China on display—best summed up by the large groups of well educated, English speaking and avidly Maoist Red Guards who guided and assisted the group-noting how their political involvement and feeling contrasted with the generally apathetic nature of Australian youth.⁵⁵ Turnley's trip had, as she put it, "cleared away much of my own suspicions, fears and reservations" about the PRC.⁵⁶ Another traveller, writing several years after the trip for National U, noted how, rather than the images of "confusion, anarchy and desperation" that appeared in the Western press, the nation was actually remarkably calm and prosperous. Work and study were continuing, food was "incredibly cheap and so plentiful that ration cards had long since been abandoned", while there seemed "to be an inordinate number of sweet shops" overflowing with goods and customers.⁵⁷ It was also made clear to the travellers that despite Australia's position as, in typically colourful Maoist lexicon, a "running dog" of US imperialism, this was a barb aimed at "the governments, the policy makers, the people in authority" and not the people, whom the

⁵⁴On 'down to the countryside' see Rebecca E. Karl, *Mao Zedong and China in the Twentieth-Century World: A Concise History* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2010), 134.

 ⁵⁵ Beris Turnley, *Journey into China* (Melbourne: Lansdowne, 1971), 16–17.
 ⁵⁶ Ibid, 101.

⁵⁷ "Following Mao's little red book," National U, 6 September 1971, 9.

Chinese saw as on their side.⁵⁸ Other travellers to Asian revolutionary hotspots encountered a similar feeling of community across borders of race and culture. As Peggy Somers recalled of her time meeting members of the NLF diplomatic mission in Cambodia, "I have a deep affection for all the Vietnamese representatives I have met here. They tell me they regard me as their sister!"⁵⁹

Despite the earnestness of these travellers, their experiences of spontaneous solidarity were problematic. In juxtaposition to the student radicals meeting in liminal destinations all over Europe, those who travelled to revolutionary states in Asia encountered not only a radical cultural difference, but also party machines that, similar to those in the Soviet Union, sought to meld travellers to their own agenda. Gaps in understanding, often articulated through an orientalist lens, were common. Turnley noted that the Australians, who "had so little in the way of epoch-making traumas and upheavals", had a hard time understanding the revolutionary transformation, something which "even those who had studied a great deal of Chinese history and politics" found difficult to comprehend. She put this down to "the very nature of oriental society-the values, attitudes, beliefs, customs and habits" which were "so very different from our own". Indeed, her report teems with stories of self-sacrificing Chinese youth who were so thoroughly different from those of the West. There were no beauty products, no blue jeans, and seemingly no romantic interest, as far as Turnley could see, just an ethic of "serving the people".⁶⁰ For their part, the Chinese guides seemed equally confused about Australia, which many Red Guards "believed to be a nation of downtrodden peasants" rather than one of the world's wealthiest, most urbanised states.⁶¹ This mutual incomprehension, which "seemed insurmountable", was at least partially overcome through "the hours of discussions" the travellers had with their translators, other Red Guards, as well as supposedly everyday peasants and workers, which "succeeded in sending us back home with a greater understanding of China today".⁶²

Paul Hollander describes this type of rapport building as part of "the techniques of hospitality" employed by socialist states like the

⁵⁸ Turnley, Journey into China, 19–20.

⁶¹ "Following Mao's little red book," 9.

 $^{^{59}}$ Somers to Salmon, 8 March 1967, in Malcolm Salmon Papers, MLMSS 6105, Box 2, Folder 2.

⁶⁰ Turnley, Journey into China, 18.

⁶² Turnley, Journey into China, 16-17.

PRC, whether that meant royal treatment or shepherding visitors along pre-arranged, idyllic tour routes. This hospitality was presupposed on the notion that, as "ideas are weapons, the favourable impressions and the hoped-for publicized accounts" of pilgrims were "political assets to be nurtured carefully".63 Or, as Eleanor Davey has recently argued regarding French students travelling to China, "[t]he orchestration of the trips was overt, indicating the desire of host governments to cultivate the support of visitors [and] guests arguably played an active role in their own credulity."⁶⁴ Indeed, the popularity of Maoism in Australian student circles exponentially increased from 1968 onwards, due at least in part to the stories, theories, and ideas Hyde and other travellers brought back with them. The euphoric mass applications for the 1970 tour evidences just how important seeing Maoism in action had become. It is, however, important to note that while many of the travellers' experiences were of this pre-packaged nature, spending time on a showcase collective farm or touring schools and factories built since the revolution, they did apprehend and take umbrage at more explicit forms of political stage management.

Turnley writes how the travellers' first day in China, after an arduous flight and border crossing, was entirely composed of revolutionary song performances, photos holding their newly received *Little Red Books*, and long lectures on the progress of the GPCR—an experience "so overwhelming that it tended to have the opposite effect to what the Chinese would have desired".⁶⁵ The group were taken to a mass denunciation, where a sports teacher was publicly humiliated for failing to meet Maoist standards of revolutionary character, an event greeted with a mixture of pseudo-revolutionary masochism, morbid interest, and horror.⁶⁶ Travellers seemed to be markedly more impressed by their "everyday" encounters with China and the GPCR, which they and other Western intellectuals perceived as "a liberating attack on bureaucratic idiocy and

⁶³ Paul Hollander, Political Pilgrims: Travels of Western Intellectuals to the Soviet Union, China and Cuba 1928–1978 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1981), 348.

⁶⁴Eleanor Davey, "French adventures in solidarity: revolutionary tourists and radical humanitarians," *European Review of History: Revue européenne d'histoire* 21, No. 4 (2014): 580.

⁶⁵Turnley, Journey into China, 26.

⁶⁶ Hyde, All along the watchtower, 84-6 and "Following Mao's little red book," 9.

bourgeois consumerism in the name of equality, frugal lifestyles and lack of selfishness".⁶⁷ Hyde remembers how:

Whether we were at a post office or buying ice cream from a street cart, we found ourselves embroiled in dialogue and debate about US imperialism in Asia, Africa and Latin America, or the revolutionary opera *The White-Haired Girl*, or the history of the cultural revolution, or the Vietnam War.⁶⁸

These sorts of encounters with the "other" raised an additional question for some travellers: were their experiences of China really nothing but cultural tourism?

Some political travellers, like the anonymous student who accidentally encountered May '68, were self-consciously tourists, unexpectedly swept up in radical activism through an interest in seeing, rather than participating. As previously noted, Denis Freney at least retrospectively took on the title of "tourist" freely-noting how his trip across Europe, Africa, and Asia meeting and propagandising local Trotskyist groups "had been fruitless in terms of winning support for...our part of the Fourth International", but "I was having a great time".⁶⁹ The liminality of overseas travel, however, did provide Freney with the opportunity to explore his closeted homosexuality. Previously hidden due to fear that "family, friends and comrades would discover my true desires", Freney explained how he felt "[m]y life as a world revolutionary would be impossible to sustain" if such a revelation were to become public knowledge. If travel provides possibilities for the reinvention and translation of global ideas in local contexts, then this change can also occur on a personal-political level, which also points towards a further problematising of the tourist. For personal enjoyment could, and indeed was, a political act for Freney, who relished in his "newly discovered identity" nearly a decade prior to the heralding of Gay Liberation.⁷⁰

⁶⁷ Charles W. Hayford, "Mao's Journeys to the West: Meanings made of Mao," in *A Critical Introduction to Mao*, ed. Timothy Cheek, 324 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010).

⁶⁸Hyde, All along the watchtower, 88.

⁶⁹ Freney, A Map of Days, 189.

⁷⁰Ibid, 178. This concept of escaping an oppressive home environment to discover some form of (homo)sexual freedom is further explored in work such as Rebecca Jennings, "It was a hot climate and it was a hot time:" Lesbian Migration and Transnational Networks in the Mid-twentieth Century," *Australian Feminist Studies* 25, No. 63 (2010): 31–45.

Yet, even decades after their trips, some activists still struggle with the tourist identifier. Hyde's memoir of his journey to China—an experience perhaps surprisingly close to that of the package deal tourist boom of the 1970s—constantly denies this categorisation. Recalling a particular moment when he and several friends were spontaneously swept up in a revolutionary procession through Shanghai, to their guides' bewilderment, Hyde noted that the Australians found themselves treated as equals by the marchers. "We were in our twenties", he remembered, and "were prepared to do anything for the cause. A Red Guard-led demonstration in the heat of proletarian Shanghai wasn't something you hesitated about joining". Draped in Mao badges, the Australians "trailed through the arteries of downtown Shanghai, joining our voices to the shouting and demands of the march". Despite these quite touristic escapades, Hyde pleaded that their actions were far from "a cynical exercise by a few European day-trippers. From what we could understand, we were on their side".⁷¹

This was fairly ambiguous, however. How could the Australians be "on their side" when they were under no threat, but were rather the guests of a nation who used hospitality as a political tool? And while the Chinese youths did share to a degree the freedoms of travel that Australians enjoyed, with trains and buses made free to allow easy travel, and "linking up" of youth movements across the country, much less seemed at stake for these Western visitors.⁷² Jobs points towards this contradiction in his work on European 1968 travellers, for while they sought to participate and experience revolutions beyond their own nation states, they rarely faced the mass incarceration and political recriminations that followed, particularly in Soviet bloc nations.⁷³ Indeed, one of the hardest questions Hyde remembers encountering was whether to give money to beggars in Hong Kong, from where they crossed the so-called "bridge of no return" into China. "Would it help", they wondered, "or would we just be perpetuating the system?" This was, and continues to be, a question often posed by privileged, albeit politically inclined, tourists in the underdeveloped world.74

⁷¹Hyde, All along the watchtower, 91.

⁷² Karl, Mao Zedong and China, 131.

⁷³ Jobs gives the example of a British youth in Paris who used his nationality to avoid arrest. Jobs, "Youth Movements," 377.

⁷⁴Hyde, All along the watchtower, 80.

Turner's theorisations, of a pilgrim leaving behind their "mundane" existence for the experience of spontaneous affinities formed across borders of race, culture, and politics, seems to only partially encapsulate the experiences of these Australians abroad. Whether travelling to Czechoslovakia or China, all felt they had experienced the breaking down of hierarchies, of sharing and learning with other radicals on an equal footing that was indicative of the 1968 moment. Those who undertook guided tours of China during the GPRC, however, struggled with issues of the confected nature of their experiences, as well as facing the realities of sometimesslippery dichotomies between travellers and tourists, showing the liminal experience as one laden with potential disconnections.

The Pilgrim's Return: Translating and Debating the Global

While the experience of overseas travel is obviously one of an enlightening and challenging nature—opening "a new world: playful, experimental, fragmentary and subversive"—it is also one marked by the fact that it must end. The return of the pilgrim to their former mundane existence is almost a foregone conclusion. What happens after that, however, is much less clear. That the pilgrim is seen to have "made a spiritual step forward" and thus to occupy a special status is accepted, but what of radicals who travelled with firmly educative and activist motivations?⁷⁵ Their previous experiences had often been less than mundane, while attempts to import lessons from overseas gave them not just a special status, but also an important role in the translation of these ideas into a new context. And nor were the pilgrims' gospels always accepted, with some questioning the use of ideas gleaned from overseas, while others sought to discredit their heightened status altogether.

Some did not return at all. Peter Tatchell, for instance, who had been involved in anti-war and indigenous rights activism in Australia prior to a 1971 trip to the UK to avoid conscription, became heavily involved in the nascent Gay Liberation Front and went on to play one of the UK movement's most public roles, while the career of Germaine Greer is well known.⁷⁶ For those who did return, however, Turner's work

⁷⁵ Scalmer, "Turner meets Gandhi," 246.

⁷⁶On Tatchell see Peter Tatchell, "The ideals and activism of the early 1970s gay liberation era," available at http://www.petertatchell.net/lgbt_rights/history/memories_of_glf.htm,

appears at least partially illuminating. As Scalmer notes in his study of political pilgrims who met and studied with Gandhi, "direct contact with the Mahatma brought genuine prestige [and] suggested authority" for those who undertook the trip, making them more knowledgeable and understanding of the global situation and its implications for the local.⁷⁷ The newsletter of radical Brisbane cultural venture Foco Club, explored in Chap. **3**, advertised a report by Brian Laver on his trip through Europe:

This Sunday, Brian Laver returns to Foco to address his first public meeting since his return from Europe. He met most of the world leaders of the struggle, by workers and students, to change the whole fabric of Western Society and has brought back many ideas and evaluations to help us here.

His analysis of the current European situation contains many surprising aspects and, as one would expect, differs completely from the attitudes presented in any section of the Australian Press.⁷⁸

This homage captures well the powers a returned pilgrim was perceived as possessing, with Turner arguing that such rites of passage were an important step in the movement from one social position to another. Having made personal contacts with "most of the world leaders of the struggle" made Laver someone who not only had, in Scalmer's terms, "drunk from the...well" of rebellious knowledge and practice, but also who had brought back lessons from these experiences to assist with the development of local movements.⁷⁹ In this fashion, as Gildea, Mark, and Pas have put it, ideas and practices were "'brought home' or "domesticated", used as a source of knowledge or practice for revolutionary techniques, or employed as an ideological template through which domestic political failings could be understood and contested".⁸⁰ This sort of process proved even more pronounced in Australia, where geographic isolation and the "Cultural Cringe" ensured that ideas from overseas were often seen as infinitely superior to local inventions. One radical satirised this tendency, pointing out how the "Australian Left must by default seek its heroes and

accessed 17 August 2012. Interestingly, Tatchell staged a public protest at the 1973 East Berlin WFYS, see Josie McLellan, "Glad to be Gay Behind the Wall: Gay and Lesbian Activism in 1970s East Germany," *History Workshop Journal* 74, No. 1 (2012): 105–130.

⁷⁷ Scalmer, "Turner meets Gandhi," 248.

⁷⁸ "Brian Laver," Foco Newsletter, 20 November 1968, 2.

⁷⁹Scalmer, "Turner meets Gandhi," 248.

⁸⁰Gildea, Mark and Pas, "European Radicals and the 'Third World," 459.

philosophies abroad: 'Vive Le Dixon or Aarons' to say nothing of Ted Hill or Jim Cairns sounds as banal as it is [sic]".⁸¹

Even so, some of these translations proved easier than others. Laver had always been a primary ideological influence on Brisbane radicals. He and American academic Ralph Summy had played a key role in the local proliferation of American radical thought in the mid-1960s, so when his European experiences of the 1968 revolt saw a personal ideological shift, this was soon reflected in local practice. His experiences of the Eastern bloc—that "[t]he apathy, indifference and fatigue with official rhetoric was as striking in Czechoslovakia as it is in Western 'democratic countries'" saw a rethinking of his previously close relationship with the Communist Party. Laver's job at Trades Hall was at the CPA's behest, and he claims to have been offered a high position in the organisation during its period of courting the New Left.⁸² Yet, as he later put it, "on the ship coming home…I realised that a lot of my Communist friends were not going to change—they were still trying to justify Soviet Imperialism…then I started to create an anarchist/socialist movement".⁸³

While the CPA had made the "first step", being one of the first to criticise the USSR's invasion of Czechoslovakia, Laver believed that until it "makes some real analysis within its forces for the rise of the bureaucracy it will not be able to join in any significant way with the emerging revolutionary forces".⁸⁴ More productively, perhaps, Laver saw the politics of mass involvement he had seen in Prague as the way forward, with the student and workers revolts in Europe providing "a model of how a liber-tarian socialist revolution would break out as a rank and file workers and students [movement] from the bottom", a belief entirely in keeping with the *zeitgeist* of the rebellious year.⁸⁵ These ideological changes and realisations were reflected in the Brisbane movement's trajectory during 1969.

In April 1969, "fresh from the European Student Revolts of 1968, and articulating a resurgent Marxism", as one student journalist wrote, Laver led other radicals in "transforming a dwindling, dispirited and disunited SDA into the Revolutionary Socialist Alliance" or RSA. A local

⁸¹Maragaret Mortimer, "New Words for Old," *Arena* 18 (1969): 64. The writer refers to Richard (Dick) Dixon and Laurie Aarons, both leaders in the CPA.

⁸⁵Laver interviewed by Healy, Constance Healy Collection, UQFL191, Box 17, Folder 6.

⁸² Laver, Czechoslovakia, 3.

⁸³Brian Laver interviewed by Constance Healy, 21 March 2002, in Constance Healy Collection, UQFL 191, Box 17, Folder 6, Fryer Library, The University of Queensland.

⁸⁴ Laver, Czechoslovakia, 12.

variation on post-1968 Marxist *groupuscules* multiplying in Europe and America which sought to take their activities from protest to resistance, the reporter believed that Laver "must take most of the credit for" RSA's formation and, albeit limited, success.⁸⁶ If Laver's translation appeared rather "by the book", needing little by way of local contextualisation, many others found that ideas from overseas were not directly applicable in local contexts. Denis Freney was particularly impressed by his experiences of workers' self-management in Algeria, whose revolutionary post-colonial government had encouraged former French-owned property to be run by workers and peasants collectively. As Freney said of the experiment's relevance to global revolutionary forces: "If the Fourth International was to get anywhere, it had to involve itself in a real revolution, and the Algerian revolution was one such case in hand".⁸⁷

His experiences, however, were not so easily transferred into Australia. Despite the fact that Algeria's experiments "cannot be said to be...definitive [in] testing Self-Management as a global economic and political system", the recently returned traveller believed that "the Algerian experience with all its failings did point to such a possibility".⁸⁸ Freney's political work took on firm hues of self-management, presented as workers' control, which he argued strongly for within the Communist Party he rejoined in 1970. These arguments met with success, with the party adopting many of these ideas into its reworked, de-Stalinised programme. The party organised a conference in 1973 that explored the growing proliferation of work-ins and other such actions across Australia, particularly in the New South Wales branch of the Builders Labourers Federation (BLF), in an attempt to translate global ideas and practices of workers running their own workplaces into disparate and evolving local contexts.⁸⁹

Occasionally, ideas from overseas were simply rejected, at least by some local activists. A debate broke out in the pages of *ALR* after Burgoyne published her confrontational piece attacking the running of WFYS in Sofia.⁹⁰ Ostensibly about inadequacies in Real Existing Socialism, the discussion was actually part of broader ructions within the Party over its direction: remaining loyal to Moscow or taking an independent road open to local

⁸⁶ F.T.N Varghese, "The 1969 Un-Year," Semper Floreat, 24 February 1970, 3.

⁸⁷ Freney, A Map of Days, 159.

⁸⁸Denis Freney, "Workers self-management in Yugoslavia and Algeria," (unpublished paper, 1969), 6, in Dan O'Neill Collection, Box 1, Folder 22.

⁸⁹ Freney, A Map of Days, 325-6.

⁹⁰ Mark Aarons, The Family File (Melbourne: Black Books, 2010), 175.

conditions. As previously noted, the Party had taken the radical step of publicly distancing itself from the Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia. Far from an attempt to gain credibility with the New Left and other movements, leading CPA unionist and BLF leader Jack Mundey explained that the decision arose from the political sympathy of the Australians for the Czechs. Policies adopted at the Party's 1967 Congress were similar "in more than a few ways" to those of their Czech counterparts, calling for democratisation and the extension of "free speech", previously viewed as bourgeois.⁹¹ This was but the latest and most provocative of the CPA's questionings of the Soviet Union under the post-1965 leadership of Laurie Aarons, which included distancing itself from Soviet anti-Semitism and curtailments of Khrushchev-era freedoms, moves which allowed a better relationship with the new social movements of 1968.92 "One cannot ignore the impressive radical student movements of Europe, especially in West Germany, and of the USA", Burgoyne exclaimed, just as "it would be foolish not to consider the experiences of the liberation movements in Africa and Latin America".93

Her criticism struck a chord of agreement, with other attendees describing how the Festival exposed deep flaws in the Eastern Bloc and the inability of Soviet bureaucrats to relate to a new generation of global radicals. One commenting memorably on the festival's slogan that "there is no solidarity, peace or friendship with dictatorship".⁹⁴ Drawing on the realisations of Burgoyne and many others of the fallibility of the Soviet Union, the CPA organised the Left Action Conference over Easter, 1969, which brought together 1000 activists of various stripes and tendencies to discuss the way forward for the socialist movement. Not all were pleased by this turn of events, however. Burgoyne's fellow International Preparatory Committee delegate Tom Supple rejected her radical criticisms, instead lauding the festival as a "an historic occasion which brought together some fifteen-thousand young people from over 120 countries" in just the

⁹¹ Jack Mundey quoted in Lani Russell, "Today the Students, Tomorrow the Workers! Radical Student Politics and the Australian Labour Movement, 1960–1972" (PhD Thesis, The University of Technology Sydney, 1999): 271.

⁹²For more on this questioning attitude see Phillip Mendes, "A convergence of political interests: Isi Leibler, the Communist Party of Australia and Soviet anti-semetism," *Australian Journal of Politics and History* 55, No. 2 (2009): 157–169.

⁹³Burgoyne, "The World Youth Festival," 49.

⁹⁴N. Mortier, "World Youth Festival—Another View," *Australian Left Review*, August-September 1969: 34.

bureaucratic language many younger members rejected. He also attacked the French May '68 movement as showing how revolution was impossible "without the support of the masses and correct political preparations by a communist or Marxist party", a clear jab at those who sought to substitute new connections for old.⁹⁵ This was only the latest salvo in a much broader debate within the organisation. Its drift away from Soviet hegemony led to an eventual split in 1971, which saw the formation of a pro-Soviet splinter group, the Socialist Party of Australia.⁹⁶ Burgoyne and her fellow reformers remoulded the CPA into an organisation that saw how "socialism as it exists in the Soviet Union (or anywhere else) is not sacred", but instead sought to understand their place in "a wide and varied world", one made visible through the practice of overseas travel.⁹⁷

The return of the pilgrim to their "mundane" existence is, then, more problematic than one might have expected. Their translations were often contested, as were their claims to a greater purchase on public debate by having "been there", as the lexicon had it. That Laver's speech on his experiences in Europe was to contain some "surprising elements" as his analysis "differ[ed] completely from the attitudes presented in any section of the Australian Press", was indicative of a particular mode of appealing to an activist community that was dismissive of the mainstream press and the government "lies" it pedalled.⁹⁸ "Because anti-war protesters tended to be suspicious of government-issued reports and mainstream media representations of the war, they sought alternative sources of information", Wu explains of Americans radicals, and "[t]ravelling outside the United States and learning from those who had direct experience with the war became valuable avenues for those seeking greater knowledge about the Vietnam War".99 Australian activists were already particularly disheartened with the mainstream press. They set up underground papers, commandeered student publications, or used other forms of information distribution to avoid the media's perceived misreporting of both local protests and global events, and often relied on Australians overseas to supply "unbiased" action-oriented reportage. Trotskyist and

⁹⁵ Tom Supple, "Festival defended," Australian Left Review, April-May 1969: 22-3.

⁹⁶For overviews of this conflict and split see Tom O'Lincoln, *Into the Mainstream: The Decline of Australian Communism* (Carlton North, Vic: Red Rag Publications, 2009), 135–7 and Aarons, *The Family File*, 216–43.

⁹⁷ Burgoyne, "The World Youth Festival," 49.

^{98 &}quot;Brian Laver," 2.

⁹⁹Wu, "Journeys for Peace and Liberation," 577.

leader of the Sydney University NLF aid campaign Hall Greenland, in the UK for much of 1968, provided lengthy writing on the student protest movement in West Germany, while the anonymous writer who opened this chapter provided a participant-narrative of the French events, both of which were given pride of place in the student press.¹⁰⁰ Indeed, writing such reportage was a key aim for many activists, with one applicant for the 1970 trip to China believing that travelling to the revolutionary state would allow him to "record my experiences and observations for publication on return to Australia...through the Australia-China Society and student and youth newspapers".¹⁰¹

While the radical press of the time abounds with such reports, they were not always taken as gospel. For instance, one writer in Monash University's Lot's Wife described the sole right-wing member of the 1968 China tour as presenting his interpretation in "possibly a more objective fashion than the palliating left tourists have".¹⁰² This challenging of the authenticity of a pilgrim's narrative on the basis of their ideological presuppositions or lack of legitimate experience-summed up in the derogatory "tourist" moniker-was to find a vocal outlet in the lead up to the May 1970 Moratorium campaign. Arnold Zable, student at the University of Melbourne and now a well-known Australian writer, travelled to South Vietnam as a 21-year-old over the 1969-70 University break. Reflecting on his experiences many years later, Zable remembered how he escaped the "deserted streets of Melbourne" for Southeast Asia, where "[m]y friends believed I was going to backpack the region as I had the previous year, but I harboured a more radical possibility".¹⁰³ Due to the Saigon government's fairly lax system of journalist accreditation, Zable obtained a visa with ease and spent a week experiencing the nation in a very different way to his similarly aged countrymen conscripted in the so-called birthday lottery. He wrote a number of letters to friends about his experiences, one of which was prominently published in a number of student newspapers in March 1970, during the lead up to May's demonstration. Zable explained how the country had "a tremendous impact from the moment I entered".

¹⁰⁰ Hall Greenland, "The Easter German Uprising," printed in SDA pamphlet "An analysis of the German uprising of Easter 1968", in Dan O'Neill Collection, UQFL132, Box 1, Folder 2; "Honi reports on 'le revolte,'" 1–2.

¹⁰¹Winton to Waters, Undated, Australia China Society Records, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 3.

¹⁰² Robin Rattray-Wood, "John Bailey's Second China Talk," *Lot's Wife*, 8 April 1968, 5.
 ¹⁰³ Arnold Zable, "The Summer of...1970," *The Age*, 9 January 2006.

Adopting the persona of an informed pilgrim, Zable argued that despite his being involved in anti-war activity for some years, "actually being in Vietnam brings home the whole bloody mess vividly".¹⁰⁴

Writing in a "heat of the moment" style indicative of new journalism, Zable recounts his hearing B-52 strikes and the double-speak of American commanders while spending time with aid workers, journalists, and Vietnamese civilians-"people who count", as he put it, and whose opinions Zable saw as hidden from Australians by a pro-war press.¹⁰⁵ "Being there" also allowed Zable a privileged position from which to comment on American politics. He claimed to have glimpsed a "second America", that approaching critical mass of anti-war citizens which showed itself in the hundreds of thousands who marched in the American Moratorium, and was "spreading even to Saigon"-with an army disintegrating under the weight of drug use and increasingly polarised racial politics.¹⁰⁶ Having been to Vietnam, he also claimed to understand "the more militant nature of American student activism" as arising from the "thick, if wellmeaning, skulls" of its military leadership, which "force one further left, into a more radical position", a lesson Australian activist youth were learning equally quickly.¹⁰⁷ These thoughts were published in several student newspapers as well as delivered in public lectures upon his return, where "no one...questioned the validity of his conclusions or the importance of his personal impressions", one student scribe commented.¹⁰⁸ Another student described Zable's reportage as "one of the most important discussions of Vietnam which any student here will read", a clear privileging of his reportage over similar articles written by activists who stayed in Australia.¹⁰⁹ Opposition to Zable's increasing public profile, however, did emerge on several different counts. Marianne Wall, a student member of the Democratic Labor Party who had spent 11 days in Vietnam during the same time period as Zable's trip, wrote a response in National U, while a young Gerard Henderson added his particularly vocal opinions to Melbourne University's Farrago. Both attacked Zable on two counts: that his short stay and relatively limited itinerary had clouded his experiences,

¹⁰⁴ Arnold Zable, "Vietnam: Letter from Saigon," National U, 23 March 1970, 10.

¹⁰⁵ Ibid, 11.

¹⁰⁶ Ibid, 11.

¹⁰⁷ Ibid, 10.

¹⁰⁸ Chris McConville, "Arnold Zable on Vietnam," Farrago, 17 April 1970, 3.

¹⁰⁹ 'Rosenbloom,' "Heinous Henderson Hammered," Farrago, 3 April 1970, 5.

and that the emotional and impressionistic content of his writing made its claims to truth difficult to support.

Wall sought to present Zable's personal experiences, mostly of Saigon and its immediate surrounds, as insufficient to warrant informed comment. Having spent her time "in the company almost solely of Vietnamese in areas as much as 300 miles away from Saigon", Wall sought to weaken Zable's credibility by postulating that her own further reaching travels could offer more astute observations.¹¹⁰ His supposed reliance on "non-Vietnamese 'dispatch' foreign correspondents, whose bias is all too obvious", meant that he only received second-hand knowledge, while she noted that:

If Mr. Zable had ventured further into the South Vietnamese countryside than Saigon...he may have developed a better understanding of the Vietnamese people, their culture and the progress of the war in military, political and economic terms.¹¹¹

This relative lack of experience led to him making fanciful claims, Wall believed, including his report of having heard B-52 strikes from Saigon—which the author tried to dismissed as the rumble of electric storms. "It embodies", Wall concludes, "the emotionally overcharged feelings of someone placed in an alien country about which he had very definite preconceptions".¹¹² Henderson agreed, adding that due to this limited experience, Zable's letter was "emotional, irrational and is lacking in evidence".¹¹³ Zable responded to these charges by both defending his claims to authenticity and by talking down the importance of the "unbiased" in favour of the intimate and emotionally engaged observer. He claimed to have "wandered around Saigon and Cholon twelve to fourteen hours every day—unsponsored" speaking "to everyone who would respond", from street kids to GIs, as a way of buttressing his credibility.¹¹⁴ While he stated that this defence was "not…a claim to great authority", and nor did he consider himself to be writing "the gospel" as Henderson

¹¹⁰ Marianne Wall, "Vietnam Reavowed," National U, 6 April 1970, 15.

¹¹¹Ibid, 15.

¹¹²Ibid, 15.

¹¹³Gerard Henderson, "An open letter to A. Zable," Farrago, 24 April 1970, 8.

¹¹⁴Arnold Zable, "The Vietnam Debate: Arnold Zable replies," Farrago, 1 May 1970, 9.

had insinuated, it is equally clear that Zable felt the need to articulate his authenticity publicly, and defend it from those who claimed to have seen and learnt more than he had. 115

The traveller was equally defensive of the reporting style he employed, which was not "a cool, footnoted analysis" but rather presented "my response to Vietnam" in all its emotional, liminal intensity.¹¹⁶ Zable was inspired by the work of American underground press writers during 1968, who disregarded the idea of "objectivity" in favour of presenting "a sense of what it's like to be on our side of the story", which could not be articulated through "the cold arithmetic of facts".¹¹⁷ This was a style increasingly adopted by travellers to Vietnam, as the realisation set in that mere images and facts-increasingly brutal and available for public consumption—were failing to turn citizens against the war. Franny Nudelman describes how travellers "addressed themselves to the problem of consciousness", rather than merely recording facts, as a means of producing a "profound, and potentially transformative, disorientation".¹¹⁸ Zable valued the "objective" nature of his reporting just as much as recording "the nature of my response" to what he saw, "particularly the growing anger as I realised the many distorted views we have received in the daily press on Vietnam".¹¹⁹ The travellers' return was, then, sometimes less than that of a knowledgeable pilgrim returning to a ready audience. Instead, travellers often returned with a new set of ideas, practices, and experiences that required articulation in a new, often hostile, context.

CONCLUSION

The year 2011, marked by Occupy Wall Street, the Arab Spring, and anti-austerity activism in Europe, shows the importance of what George Katsiaficas dubs "World Historical Moments" in the globalisation of protest. 1968 was the last time that such a transnational protest

¹¹⁵ Ibid, 9.

¹¹⁶Ibid, 9.

¹¹⁷ John McMillian, Smoking Typewriters: The 1960s Underground Press and the Rise of Alternative Media in America (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011), 94.

¹¹⁸ Franny Nudelman, "Trip to Hanoi: Antiwar Travel and International Consciousness," in *New World Coming: The 1960s and the Shaping of Global Consciousness*, eds. Karen Dubinsky and others, 241 (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2009).

¹¹⁹Zable, "The Vietnam Debate," 9.

movement emerged.¹²⁰ Rather than ideas transmitting via Twitter, Facebook, or Skype, however, the idea of global revolution that marked the year took a slower, more personal route. "The tourist has always had a bad press", Richard White helpfully reminds us, with their experiences "defined as superficial and blinkered...ephemeral and pre packaged".¹²¹ This chapter has, however, located how the movement of radical Australians across borders was informed by, and impacted on, the local realities of activist politics. Desires for travel were fired by a wide variety of interests, not a mere divestment from the "mundane realities" of daily life but an attempt to use travel as a direct political protest, a means of finding new connections and ideas or reaffirming old ones. Activists articulated an array of motivations as disparate as the destinations they sought out. Locations as diverse as Prague, Cambodia, Algeria, and China were chosen by radicals, many of whom experienced what Turner has labelled a liminal moment, where the ordinary structures of life are overturned and a fleeting moment of utopian community is unleashed. Activists who exchanged ideas and practices in these liminal zones were indeed important, but equally so were those who travelled to sites where radical cultural difference and the propagandistic intentions of socialist regimes saw a much less spontaneous experience. The sometimes-confected nature of their experiences also saw questions around whether these travellers were merely politically well-informed and often ideologically driven tourists.

Finally, the return of the pilgrim has been found to be more complex than the conferring of a mere special status. Instead, these travellers became central to the translation of radical ideas into new contexts. While this was sometimes a relatively simple and productive task of transmission, more often than not ideas had to be translated, or were rejected altogether, either due to their lack of local applicability or the threat they posed to older and more entrenched activist forms. The notion of being there was equally subject to contention. The pilgrim's purported ability to provide additional insights and informed observations was contested by those who saw these experiences as either ephemeral or overly emotional, and thus adding little to public debate or understanding. Perhaps most

¹²⁰ George Katsiaficas, *The Imagination of the New Left: A Global Analysis of 1968* (Boston, Mass: South End Press, 1987), 3.

¹²¹ Richard White, "Australian tourists in Britain, 1900–2000," in *Australians in Britain: The Twentieth-Century Experience*, eds. Carl Bridge et al, 11.1 (Clayton, Vic.: Monash University E-Press, 2009).

importantly, this chapter has revealed how Australian 1960s social movements—geographically far from the centres of protest and dissent—became part of world movements through the increasing global mobility of participants. Chap. 6 will discuss how these transnational networks were multidirectional, with radical ideas and people breaching Australia's borders in equally conflictive and productive ways.

Our Unpolluted Shores: Radical Arrivals and the Politics of the Border

W.J. Morrison, manager of the International Bookstore on Melbourne's Elizabeth Street, was manning the till on 1 September 1966 when the raid began. "At 2 pm", Morrison records, "I sold a copy of a publication...by the Vietnam Action Committee, entitled, 'American Atrocities in Vietnam" to a seemingly interested customer. After the transaction was completed, the customer revealed himself to be a member of Victoria's Vice Squad, along with the two other individuals quietly rifling through the shop's shelves, and the unsuspected bookseller was placed under arrest.1 Morrison was charged with displaying and soliciting "an obscene publication showing pictures of atrocities likely to create violence", and 86 copies of the offending publication, a composite of several articles from the American anti-war magazine *Liberation*, were seized. The pamphlet, described by The Age as poorly printed and containing both "murky photographs and words quoted out of context", soon became a hot seller in movement bookstores and a *cause célèbre* for those who opposed not only the war, but also Australia's censorship regime as a whole.²

¹W.J. Morrison, "Statement on the Seizure of 86 copies of a publication – American Atrocities in Vietnam – from the International Bookshop, Pty. Ltd., 17 Elizabeth Street, Melbourne, on 1st. September 1966", in Youth Campaign Against Conscription papers, MS 10002, "Correspondence—1966", State Library of Victoria, Melbourne.

²On its crude production see "The Farce of Censorship," *The Age*, 9 September 1966, 2; on its newfound popularity see "Rush for Viet Pamphlet," *The Age*, 21 September 1966, 3.

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016 J. Piccini, *Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s*, DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-52914-5_5 Three years later, another border breach sparked a storm of controversy. A self-described Black Power advocate and member of Bermuda's Legislative Assembly, Roosevelt Brown arrived in Melbourne for a 3-day stopover (hence not requiring a visa) in August 1969, seeking to establish the facts on a country that was "always discussed", but about which little was concretely known.³ Both media and politicians, unsurprisingly, imagined Brown's intentions to be of a less educational nature, with his radical beliefs of oppressed peoples running their own affairs interpreted as a dangerous contaminant. Melbourne's *Sun* warned of a "Black Power upsurge in Victoria", the *Ballarat Courier* condemned his attempts to "stir up trouble", while Victoria's Minister for Aborigines, Ray Meagher, declared paternalistically: "I am sure I speak for Victoria's Aboriginals when I say we are happy to forget Mr. Brown".⁴

The birth of the nation-state in the eighteenth century centralised the idea of the border, separating peoples and cultures into demarcated imagined communities of understanding. This was particularly so for Australia, geographically isolated from the lands of its imagined affections, and instead located amidst an Asian region viewed in menacing hues. Consequently, the nation enacted restrictive immigration legislation known as the White Australia Policy, as well as a particularly pervasive censorship regime designed to enforce cultural and political conformity.⁵ As Nicole Moore puts it in her history of censorship: "Australia's legal and administrative regimes have combined with Australia's physical isolation to make the ideals of censorship more realisable here than elsewhere".⁶ Repressive immigration laws, along with this policing of literature, were

³ "Roosevelt Brown meets the press," Smoke Signals 8, No. 2 (September 1969): 6.

⁴"'Hot-Head' Blast on Black Power," *The Sun*, 1 September 1969; "Meagher hits back at Black Power leader," *Ballarat Courier*, 30 August 1969; "'Happy to forget' that visit," *The Herald*, 30 August 1969, reproduced at http://www.kooriweb.org/foley/images/ history/1960s/aalbp/rbdx.html, accessed 5 January 2012.

⁵The work on Australia's policing of its border, particularly focused on the White Australia Policy, is voluminous. For example, see Andrew Markus, *Fear and hatred: purifying Australia* and California, 1850–1901 (Sydney: Hale & Iremonger, 1979); Sean Brawley, *The white* peril: foreign relations and Asian immigration to Australasia and the United States, 1919– 1978 (Sydney: University of New South Wales Press, 1995); Gwenda Tavan, *The long, slow* death of white Australia (Melbourne: Scribe, 2005) and Marilyn Lake and Henry Reynolds, Drawing the Global Colour Line: White Men's Countries and the Question of Racial Equality (Melbourne: Melbourne University Press, 2008).

⁶Nicole Moore, *The Censor's Library* (St Lucia: University of Queensland Press, 2012), 346.

aimed at maintaining an ever-changing definition of purity and homogeneity, whether racially, politically, or culturally. Consequently, an individual could be classed as a security risk and a book could be labelled obscene or seditious, finding its way onto the banned list.

Yet, as Wendy Brown points out, the late twentieth century's economic and cultural globalisation has commingled with the increasing ease of travel to further weaken the sovereignty of the state and its ability to police both its physical and ideological borders. Rather than culminating in the utopian cosmopolitan future imagined by political and economic elites, however, this process has rather seen the reinscription of the border and the "theatricalized and spectacularized performance of sovereign power" by state-based politicians, often at the behest of citizens increasingly concerned about the impact these flows might have on national political and cultural life.⁷ As Brown puts it:

What we have come to call a globalized world harbors fundamental tensions between opening and barricading, fusion and partition, erasure and reinscription. These tensions materialize as increasingly liberalized borders, on the one hand, and the devotion of unprecedented funds, energies, and technologies to border fortification, on the other.⁸

Emblematic of this is the wall thrown up between Mexico and the USA, begun in 2006, which seeks to provide a physical separation where, in a globalised marketplace heavily reliant on porous boundaries, no such separation can really exist. And it is the movement of "nonstate transnational actors", refugees, itinerant workers, or political activists that personify anxieties towards these increasingly globalising, decentred political forces in the popular imagination.⁹

If the radical upsurge of the "long 1960s" was, as Martin Klimke has it, "a global phenomena, representing social and cultural responses to emerging patterns of economic, technological and political globalisation", then scholars of the period could find much of use in historicising Brown's work on the walling mentality of the State.¹⁰ The 1960s were a key moment in the long history of globalisation, and governments, their security

⁷Wendy Brown, *Walled States, Waning Sovereignty* (New York: Zone Books, 2010), 26. ⁸Ibid, 7–8.

⁹Ibid, 21.

¹⁰ Martin Klimke, *The other alliance: student protest in West Germany and the United States in the Global 1960s* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2010), 5.

apparatuses, and a concerned citizenry either argued for or employed various forms of exclusion to police what one activist sarcastically termed "our unpolluted shores" with varying levels of success.¹¹ This chapter explores conservative attempts to control the flow of people and ideas that were so central to the 1960s. It looks at the processes, means, and justifications the government and its supporters employed, how commentators and the media responded, and in what ways activists resisted these restrictions. A surprisingly diverse array of individuals were proscribed from entry to Australia during the pre-Whitlam period, from the Marxist economist Ernest Mandel to North Vietnam's lead negotiator at the Paris Peace Talks Nguyen Thi Thap, and leading figures of the Black Panther Party, although none of this latter group ever actually applied for entry.

As well as considering how these restrictions were articulated and challenged, the chapter will explore how elite definitions of a "dangerous" radical shifted as new enemies came to the fore. A government and security apparatus, well coached in the politics of superpower conflict, struggled to deal with the emergence of new social movements equally critical of both sides of the Cold War. As Donald Horne argued of Australian political elites: "[t]hings were changing, and they didn't know what to do about it".¹² The second part of this chapter will explore how largely American-sourced radical literature, which either sought to publicise crimes in Southeast Asia or advocate methods of resisting conscription, was deemed obscene by the government, leading activists to respond with vigorous anti-censorship campaigns. The reproduction of violent images from the conflict, however, raised a series of questions about the efficacy of gore as a mobilising agent. Looking at these moments of exclusion not only reveals how the limits of a parochial-minded political culture were tested, but also highlights the way in which the global 1960s impacted on a variety of different, long-standing debates.

PROVEN NEWSMAKERS: EXCLUDED RADICALS, NATIONAL ANXIETIES AND BORDER DEBATES

Angela Davis, African American writer and political activist, was acquitted of murder, kidnap, and conspiracy charges arising from a botched attempt to free Black Panther prisoners in the US state of California on 4 June

¹¹ John Playford, "The Mandel Affair," Revolution, July 1970, 8.

¹²Donald Horne, *Time of Hope: Australia 1966–1972* (Sydney: Angus & Robertson, 1980): 66.

1972. Davis, a figure famed both for her "political prisoner" status and a strikingly well-kept Afro, was a household name around the world, and one of her first post-release announcements was a desire to visit "her supporters around the world", in Cuba, West Germany, the Eastern Bloc and, perhaps surprisingly, Australia.¹³ A particularly efficient organising effort by the Communist Party ensured that "Angela received more Trade Union support from Australia than from any other 'free world' state", as the organiser of the American campaign for her release wrote to his local counterpart. The Australians hoped Davis might reciprocate this support by speaking at the upcoming Black Moratorium, aimed at bringing the plight of Indigenous Australians to national attention.¹⁴ Word of such a trip soon made its way into the mainstream media, with Communist Party member Dennis Freney defending the invitation of overseas speakers to the Moratorium in the following terms: "the main reason for inviting these people is the need to draw international attention to our cause. Miss Davis and other people are proven newsmakers".¹⁵ This capacity of foreign visitors to excite local interest and passions was something that concerned Australia's government which, despite claiming that it would be "quite stupid" to bar the activist, secretly listed her amongst a veritable who's-who of African American radicals to whom "visas should be withheld" pending an inevitably negative security assessment by the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation (ASIO).¹⁶

Attempts like these to either encourage or curtail the movements of radicals given new freedoms by liberalised borders, increases in disposable income, and the democratisation of air travel were a fundamental aspect of the global 1960s experience. While these physical movements played a key part in concretising the "imagined community" of 1960s radicalism, such oppositional developments were seen as threatening by state-based authorities. When West German radical Karl Dietrich Wolff visited the USA in 1969, he was publically harangued before the House

¹³ "Angela Davis visit?," *Sydney Morning Herald*, 9 June 1972, 2. For more on the case and the campaign see Bettina Aptheker, *The Morning Breaks: The Trial of Angela Davis* (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1999 [1975]).

¹⁴Bob Baker to Gloria Garton, 18 July 1972, Communist Party of Australia Records, 1920–1987, MLMSS 5021, Box 110, State Library of New South Wales, Sydney.

¹⁵ "Angela Davis now invited to speak in Sydney," The Age, 9 June 1972, 2.

¹⁶ "Angela Davis visit?," 2; "Outgoing Cablegram, 27 September 1972," in USA— Relations with Australia—Visitors to Australia—Angela Davis—Invitation to Australia by Moratorium for Black Rights Committee, A1838 250/9/9/23, National Archives of Australia, Canberra. Un-American Activities Committee,¹⁷ while the border hopping of fellow European radicals like Rudi Dutschke and Daniel Cohn-Bendit became the stuff of legend. The banning of German-born Cohn-Bendit from France in late 1968 for his vital role in the May events of that year saw thousands protest in Paris, declaring "we are all undesirables" and "borders = repression" in solidarity.¹⁸ And, rather farcically, he was able to slip easily across the Franco-German border, with the assistance of only a pair of sunglasses and a rushed hair dying. These acts, both a negation of unenforceable national boundaries and the proclamation of a trans-European or trans-Atlantic revolutionary identity, were at once inspiring to activists and caused deep concern to their governments.

Richard Jobs explores how such "travel became the foundation for a youth identity that emphasised mobility and built a shared political culture across national boundaries" during the 1960s, mobility the states of Europe sought to actively curtail.¹⁹ This took the form of banning and closing off borders to protestors-turning kombis loaded with young people away at checkpoints-as well as marshalling nationalistic or xenophobic fears. Cohn-Bendit became a "German Jew" in the eyes of French conservatives, while visiting Western European youths provided an important scapegoat for the Soviet Union in justifying its invasion of liberalising Czechoslovakia. Students responded by appealing to an internationalist politics, and highlighting the impossibility, or futility, of building walls around nations at a time of increased political, economic, and social interconnectedness. "I don't see why today, when we speak of a Common Market, of international harmony, of peace, we expel someone from a country", Cohn-Bendit protested.20 And it was not just middleclass Europeans who attempted to cross national boundaries. The cases of Davis and Brown were only two of many, with black and Third World activists using travel and other forms of direct association to further the promised bonds of the global revolutionary ideal.

The attempted movements of these activists across Australia's borders garnered significant attention from ASIO, who warned with typical hyperbole that "international revolutionary movements...pose...a real and

20 Ibid, 396.

¹⁷Klimke, The Other Alliance, 4, 104–7.

¹⁸Richard Jobs, "Youth Movements: Travel, Protest and 1968 in Europe," *American Historical Review* 114, No. 2 (April 2009): 394; 398.

¹⁹Ibid, 376–7.

growing threat to the liberal democratic Western world".²¹ The security organisation's fears perhaps speak more to its own preoccupations than the imminent threat of radical subversion. As David McKnight argues, the organisation's "fertile imagination" saw it swallow "overseas models of revolution just as slavishly as the left-wing groups", leading it to make paranoiac pronouncements on the imminence of revolution in Australia.²² Similarly, Margaret Henderson and Alexander Winter argue that the Queensland Special Branch's file on radical feminist and socialist Carole Ferrier "suggested to us a state-sanctioned collective form of political paranoia".23 Henderson and Winter identify "narcissism, megalomania, delusions of persecution, and grandiose systems of explanation" as central to the practice of Special Branch, categories that are equally illuminating of ASIO's concerns around globally inspired activism. Noting that overseas speakers had been invited to take part in a national anti-war conference in 1971, one agent warned ominously that radical groups associated with the event "have close and developing links with radical and revolutionary organisations overseas". Consequently, allowing invited radicals to visit Australia "would facilitate this process as well as contribut[e] towards the development of new alliances and forms of revolutionary activity which... would be more relevant in the Australian environment", concerns which were used to block their attempted visits.²⁴

These efforts by Australia's conservative governments and security apparatus during the 1960s and early 1970s to wall ourselves off from outside radical contagions reveal not only political paranoia at home, but a number of anxieties surrounding the increasingly global, decentred world. Fears of decolonisation, "Asiatic" communism, the New Left, and the upturning of racial hierarchies represented by Black Power, can all be glimpsed in the walling mentality displayed by government against proselytisers of these varied doctrines. The ideas and personalities associated

²¹ "Note on the General Significance of the 'New Left' on the Western World", A12389/ A30/PART 7, National Archives of Australia, Canberra. Underlining in original.

²³Margaret Henderson and Alexandra Winter, "Memoirs of Our Nervous Illness: The Queensland Police Special Branch Files of Carole Ferrier as Political Auto/Biography," *Life Writing* 6, No. 3 (December 2009): 352.

²⁴ ASIO, "Vietnam Moratorium Campaign National Anti-War Conference 17th–21st April 1971" in Vietnam Moratorium Campaign, A6980 S250654, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

²² David McKnight, Australia's spies and their secrets (St Leonards, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 1994), 217-8; 232.

with these movements, on the other hand, proved not just to be publicity material for activists, but also provided new opportunities for political engagement in a community increasingly embarrassed by its government's international reputation. Technologies of exclusion also shifted. No longer could government employ methods such as the dictation test, as were used against radical visitors like Egon Kisch in the 1930s, instead relying on more subtle bureaucratic measures that, in any case, could easily spark outrage.²⁵

The territory now known as Papua New Guinea, which came under Australian mandate after World War II, eventually became a part of the new United Nations international trustee system in 1946. Australia maintained its hold on the territory, and it was to guide the "natives" towards independence and self-government through "social, economic and political advancement".²⁶ It was, however, a difficult time for white domination over increasingly restive indigenous majorities. The postwar period saw a surge in national liberation struggles, from Algeria to Vietnam and Kenya that not only challenged continued European control of the world, but also created a plethora of new nations that soon became a significant and organised voice in the international arena.²⁷ The Third World had come together at the Afro-Asian solidarity conference at Bandung in 1955, and the anxieties of Australia's government and media over what this new power bloc could mean for its policy of forward defence were palpable.²⁸ Even the relatively progressive newspaper The Age, in arguing for greater regional engagement, warned that "[o]ur future will be greatly influenced by events in countries only a few hours flying time from our northern shores".²⁹ That Prime Minister Robert Menzies announced his decision to commit ground troops to Malaysia

²⁵ For more on Kisch see Heidi Zogbaum, *Kisch in Australia: The Untold Story* (Melbourne: Scribe, 2004).

²⁶Anthony Albert Yeates, "Outside Men: Negotiating Economic and Political Development in Papua New Guinea, 1946–1968" (PhD Thesis, The University of Queensland, 2009), 1. See also Donald Denoon, *A Trial Separation: Australia and the Decolonisation of Papua New Guinea* (Canberra: ANU E-press, 2012).

²⁷ See Vijay Prashad, *The Darker Nations: A People's History of the Third World* (New York: New Press, 2008).

²⁸ David Walker, "Nervous Outsiders: Australia and the 1955 Asia-Africa Conference in Bandung," *Australian Historical Studies* 36, No. 125 (2005): 40–59.

²⁹ Editorial, "Bandung has a meaning," The Age, 20 April 1955, 2.

in the same month as the conference can be read as a statement intended or otherwise—of Australia's desire to curtail the threat posed by revolutionary decolonisation.³⁰

Five years later, another international event sparked fears and reverberations, this time emanating from South Africa. Menzies's reaction to the Sharpeville massacre, discussed in Chap. 2, gave Australia the dubious distinction of being Apartheid South Africa's only friend in the Commonwealth. His calls for the upholding of "domestic jurisdiction" had the opposite effect, focusing more, mainly post-colonial, eyes on Australia's policies both in New Guinea and towards its indigenous population.³¹ The reception that Max Gluckman, South African-born Professor of Social Anthropology at the University of Manchester and vocal critic of colonialism, received from Australian authorities was conditioned by these anxieties. Gluckman applied on 17 March 1960, four days prior to the Sharpeville incident, for permission to visit the Australian administered half of New Guinea in August of that year as part of a fellowship he was undertaking at the Australian National University.³²

This was a fairly ordinary request, as many other anthropologists had been allowed to enter the colony in recent years.³³ But Gluckman's political views made his intentions a cause for concern. His previous support for several communist front organisations, recent exposition of views opposed both to Apartheid and British imperialism in Africa and marriage to a former communist constituted "'adverse' information", in ASIO's nomenclature.³⁴ Indeed, ASIO speculated that he was involved in an international conspiracy of communist-affiliated anthropologists, whose aim was to undermine Australia's grip on the territory and create a Cuba on

³⁰ Editorial, "Anzac and Asia," *The Sun-Herald*, 24 April 1955, 18. On the timing of this decision, see Christopher Waters, "After Decolonization: Australia and the Emergence of the Non-Aligned Movement in Asia, 1954–55," *Diplomacy and Statecraft* 12, No. 2 (2001): 162 and Walker, "Nervous Outsiders," 47.

³¹See Jennifer Clark, *Aborigines and activism: race, aborigines and the coming of the 1960s to Australia* (Crawley, WA: UWA Press, 2008), 15–40.

³² "Application for Permit to Enter the Territory of Papua, 17 March 1960," Gluckman, Max Volume 1, A6119 1230/REFERENCE COPY, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

³³ "Minister Replies On Visa Refusal, Security Interference," *The Mercury*, 31 August 1960, 16.

³⁴ "The Gluckman Affair," 19 October 1960, Gluckman, Max Volume 2, A6119 1231/ REFERENCE COPY, National Archives of Australia, Canberra. Australia's doorstep.³⁵ Hannah Forsyth has pointed out that this sort of intelligence gathering on academics was common. Part of ASIO's brief was to "watch and influence academic political and ideological behaviour", including policies on hiring and promotion, leading to several high-profile disputes.³⁶

When news broke of Gluckman's exclusion in August, some 5 months after his initial application, a media and political storm ensued. As the Minister for Territories Paul Hasluck put it in his memoirs, those critical of government policies both at home and in New Guinea "whooped with joy" when the controversy arose.³⁷ Many newspapers and Labor parliamentarians painted this as a breach of British justice. A heavy-handed security police was exercising unspecified and unquestionable powers to peddle "vague, undisclosed allegations", while others labelled the secretive process a "star chamber".³⁸ The Communist Party used its newspaper Tribune to claim that "secret police dominated the thinking of the government" and that this meant that "any citizen, irrespective of his standing in the community, can be branded as a security risk".³⁹ ASIO felt particularly singled out by this verbal assault. One internal memorandum ruminated that "as usual, [ASIO] had few defenders and no opportunity to defend itself", while it was noted that "politically 'left" members of parliament displayed nought but "fear and hatred" towards its activities, a persecution complex which saw many Left-wing establishment figures earn long personal files.40

³⁵They believed that the Gluckman case "may indicate a pattern of Communist planning in relation to Papua-New Guinea and the Australia National University" and that Gluckman and his associates launched "a planned attack on security processes". See ASIO, "Communist Anthropologists—The Australian National University—Papua-New Guinea," Gluckman, Max Volume 2, A6119 1231/REFERENCE COPY. Margaret and Winter, "Memoirs of Our Nervous Illness," 352.

³⁶Hannah Forsyth, "The Ownership of Knowledge in Higher Education in Australia 1939–1996" (PhD Thesis, The University of Sydney, 2012), 98.

³⁷ Paul Hasluck, A Time for Building: Australian Administration in Papua and New Guinea 1951–1963 (Melbourne: Melbourne University Press, 1976), 406.

³⁸ "Reasons for entry bar undisclosed," *Sydney Morning Herald*, 31 August 1960, 3; "Security police now 'secret police' Ward says," *The Sun*, 8 September 1960, 4.

³⁹ "Ban on Gluckman 'shames Australia,'" *Tribune*, 9 September 1960, in Gluckman, Max Volume 2, A6119 1231/REFERENCE COPY.

⁴⁰ "The Gluckman Affair," Gluckman, Max Volume 2. A6119 1231/REFERENCE COPY.

Many commentators, however, felt that this denial of civil liberties was far from the main issue at stake. International concerns, and primarily the reputation of Australia's trusteeship over New Guinea in post-colonial states, were in fact overriding, while Menzies's government sought to block Gluckman's "many odd theories" from the "very primitive and sensitive" inhabitants of New Guinea.⁴¹ Yet doubts were raised in the media as to whether Gluckman's exclusion really aided this objective. Others noted that it would damage Australia's attempts to justify, particularly to Afro-Asian nations, what one newspaper called "the slow rate of progress towards self-government in New Guinea".42 Kevin Blackburn has pointed out how the colonies and later nations of Malaya and Singapore used condemnations of the White Australia Policy as a form of "disguised anti-colonialism". Attacking this restrictive immigration policy, Blackburn explains, was "indirectly attacking white colonial rule in South-East Asia".43 Australian governments and the media-particularly after Sharpeville-became painfully aware that Australia's policies, not just on immigration but increasingly in New Guinea, were under the close scrutiny of many governments across the world, and consequently that negative publicity should be kept to a minimum.

In these terms, the banning was clearly counter-productive. As Melbourne's *Herald* editorialised: "Unless Mr. Hasluck clears the air other countries, and particularly the Afro-Asians, are likely to doubt whatever we say about our good intentions and administration in New Guinea".⁴⁴ Indeed, *The Age* castigated such rash and poorly explained decisions as "giv[ing] critics ammunition to spread rumours abroad that we are not prepared to give a glimpse of New Guinea to a research scientist who has criticised British policy in Central Africa".⁴⁵

Anthony Yeates argues that government and security services responded to this uproar with the prosecution for sedition of a young patrol officer

⁴¹ "Independence can be 'cup of poison,'" *The Age*, 5 September 1960, "N.G. Ban on Professor Defended," *The Age*, 5 September 1960, in Gluckman, Max Volume 2, A6119 1231/REFERENCE COPY.

⁴²Editorial, "Minister should give facts," The Age, 29 August 1960. 2.

⁴³Kevin Blackburn, "Disguised anti-colonialism: Protest against the White Australia Policy in Malaya and Singapore, 1947-62," *Australian Journal of International Affairs* 55, No. 1 (2001): 101.

⁴⁴ Editorial, "NG Ban harmful," *The Herald* (Melbourne), 31 August 1960. In Gluckman, Max Volume 2, A6119 1231/REFERENCE COPY.

⁴⁵ "Minister should give facts"; "NG ban harmful."

working in New Guinea, Brian Cooper, who had apparently espoused anti-colonial views to the inhabitants. Given the Gluckman case, ASIO "had good reason to build a case against Cooper in an attempt to vindicate their bumbling attempts to constrain dissenting ideas and liberal influences in Australia and strategically sensitive Papua New Guinea".⁴⁶ Such restrictions and prosecutions were, however, unable to stop the spread of anti-colonial ideas in Papua New Guinea, with a vocal pro-independence movement developing to question Australian colonial rule.⁴⁷

The Gluckman case showed government how excluding individuals, rather than protecting Australia from their ideas, could actually give them even greater purchase on public debate. Consequently, the federal government increasingly came to disagree with negative ASIO security assessments of proposed visits by communist and fellow travellers, particularly from the Soviet Union. When another application was received in 1963 for several well-known Soviet authors to attend the third congress of the Union of Australian Women (UAW), under the auspices of the global peace movement, a different approach was recommended. R.L. Harry, First Assistant Secretary of the Attorney General's department, wrote to his superiors of the possible negative ramifications of rejecting these visitors, noting that "Press comment will, as before, almost certainly be critical of any application of restrictive visa policies". Harry thought this, as "it might...be suggested that the Australian Government is not prepared to allow open debate on views not shared by the Government" and, even more alarmingly, it could lead to "some disadvantage in terms of our image overseas". The Attorney-General had, after all, made a statement expressing qualified support for a nuclear test ban in the South Pacific on 15 August, and barring individuals articulating similar ideas might lead to "allegations of inconsistency", Harry warned.⁴⁸ Lessons had clearly been learned.

In 1969, two prominent Soviet women made a similar application. This time, however, a different terminology was employed to justify their entry. ASIO compiled a security briefing using similar language as it did in 1963, warning that the visits by *Pravda* journalist Olga Chechetkina and

⁴⁶Yeates, "Outside Men," 148.

⁴⁷ Ibid, 177-87.

⁴⁸R.L. Harry to Minister, 2 September 1963, "Soviet Visa Applications—Australian Peace Movement," Union of Australian Women—Third National Conference, Sydney September 1963, A1209 1963/6602, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

academic Zoya Zarubina could "pose a subversive threat", and that their visit would only serve to "give publicity to Communist front organisations". ASIO had long-standing, and not entirely unfounded, fears that visiting Soviet citizens acted as spies. Yet, government increasingly dismissed such ideas, at least as a reason for exclusion. Using an excerpt from a speech delivered by Robert Menzies in 1961, ASIO sought to paint anyone with links to Communist front organisations as threats to national security.⁴⁹ Government, however, seemed less concerned than its security services by the subversive potential of the Russians. No longer was the Soviet Union head of a unified communist movement, for one, and its misadventures in Czechoslovakia the previous year had removed any of the veneer left on its international reputation.

Australia's Communist Party had been amongst the first to publicly condemn the Soviet invasion, while radical students protested outside Eastern Bloc embassies in support of Dubcek's "socialism with a human face".⁵⁰ The visit of these Soviet women was, consequently, viewed in a less-than-threatening light by Australian authorities. The minister for foreign affairs "doubt[ed] whether on this occasion the status of the UAW would be enhanced appreciably, if at all, by the attendance of the two USSR delegates".⁵¹ Indeed, no mention was made of possible negative media reports or international blow back at all, only that Foreign Affairs "was inclined to doubt whether [the delegates] would be able to influence public opinion in Australia to any extent". The foreign affairs minister even went so far as to propose that letting these visitors in would have a detrimental impact on the UAW's political work, with their sponsoring of yet another Soviet delegation only serving to "support...their image as a communist front".⁵² The threat of Soviet Communism was, then, seemingly vanquished by the late 1960s, and by 1973 the sponsorship of

⁴⁹ P. Barbour, "Invitation by the Union of Australian Women to Olga Ivanovna Chechetkina and Zoya Vasilievna Zarubina, Nationals of the USSR," 29 August 1969, Union of Australian Women—General, A6980 S250370, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

⁵⁰On student protests against the invasion see Wednesday Commentary, 4 September 1968.

⁵¹Peter Heydon, Secretary, to Minister, "Proposed Visit of Two Soviet Women," 12 September 1969, Union of Australian Women—General, A6980 S250370.

⁵² Ibid. The UAW was a stronghold of Stalinists in the Party, lead by Freda Brown, who was along with her husband Bill to play a leading role in the 1971 pro-Soviet Party split. See Mark Aarons, *The Family File* (Melbourne: Black Inc., 2010), 224–5; 228.

a visitor by the UAW or any other front "ceased to be a…factor" in ASIO's determining of their suitability.⁵³

This did not mean, however, that Australia's borders were open to radical travellers, but rather that the definition of a "subversive" was shifting. A poignant example of this was when the visas for two North Vietnamese women, one of whom was that nation's chief negotiator at the Paris Peace Talks, were refused. Although ASIO produced an almost identical security document on these two travellers to those prepared for their Russian counterparts, indeed the two documents were both produced on the same day, it seemed that the Vietnamese women-Asian as well as communistposed a significant risk to Australian security. In this instance, Immigration decided to enforce the 1961 restrictions, while Foreign Affairs believed that allowing the women in would only embolden North Vietnam's obstructive negotiating in Paris.⁵⁴ Another furore around a proposed visit by Marxist economist, Ernest Mandel, equally displays how a new radical Left enemy had emerged to replace that of Soviet communism. As discussed in Chap. 3, the influence of "New Left" ideas, largely from Europe, had grown immensely within Australian radical circles during the late 1960s, while the ideas of Third World revolutionary movements were being "translated" into local contexts.

Two ASIO reports from 1969 dealt explicitly with these developing threats—downplaying the importance of Soviet-allied communist parties who increasingly "function within the existing structure of society" and instead focusing on those new international movements who "take true revolutionary action to change society".⁵⁵ The May 1968 student-worker revolt in France was seen as a dangerous contaminant, with ASIO pointing out that it "served as a model for violent 'student power' and 'participatory

⁵³T.A. Smith, Chief Migration Officer, to Minister, "Ms Lidia Alexeevna Barmina (50) and Ms Lyudmila Mikhailovna Kasatkina (49)—Russian business visitors," 9 September 1979, Union of Australian Women—General, A6980 S250370.

⁵⁴P. Barbour to Secretary, Department of Immigration, "Proposed Visit of a Vietnamese Women's Delegation at the invitation of the Union of Australian Women," 29 August 1969, Union of Australian Women—General, A6980 S250370; R.F. Osborn, Assistant Secretary, Department of External Affairs to The Secretary, Prime Minister Department, "Viet Nam," 27 August 1969, Union of Australian Women—General, A6980 S250370.; R.F. Harris to the Minister, Department of Immigration, "Request from Union of Australian Women for Admission of two Vietnamese women as visitors," 5 September 1969, Union of Australian Women—General, A6980 S250370.

 55 "Note on the general significance of the 'New Left' for the Western world," A12389 A30/PART 7.

democracy' actions in universities throughout the Western world" including in Australia, where "such action, though on a much smaller scale, gained maximum publicity".⁵⁶ The threat of this mimicry was second only to that of personal connections between these movements, with one of the reports warning how "a movement is underway for...student groups in Europe to collaborate officially and set up an international apparatus". ASIO saw these attempts at international outreach as mirrored in Australia by the formation of the Revolutionary Socialist Alliance by Brian Laver and other radicals inspired by the events of 1968, which the security police viewed as a challenge to CPA influence.⁵⁷

Such fears seemed to be vindicated when Mandel applied for a visa to deliver a keynote address to the May 1970 Socialist Scholars Conference in Sydney. Famous for his texts on Marxist economic theory, Mandel was also a key leader in the international Trotskyist movement, editor of Belgium's largest Left-wing newspaper La Gauche, and had previously been banned from the USA, Switzerland, France, and his homeland of West Germany for spreading revolutionary ideas. He had spoken at mass rallies in France during the May 1968 uprising, and along with other "aliens" had been barred from the country accordingly, while a tour of America in 1969 was curtailed under McCarthy-era laws.⁵⁸ His attendance at the academic conference was a point of additional concern. In March 1970, only months before recommending Mandel's exclusion, ASIO produced a report warning that a group of "Marxist academics" from Sydney, Brisbane, and Melbourne whose views were "without exception ... explicitly revolutionary, and to the left of the CPA" were operating on campus and seeking to use their power to further a "red university" strategy of subterfuge.⁵⁹ Mandel's arrival must have appeared as a perfect storm in ASIO's nervous mindset, a globally mobile European far Leftist addressing a proudly subversive academic conference. Such threats were, however, viewed differently by media and activists who jumped on yet another opportunity to lambast Australia's restrictive border policies.

⁵⁶Australian Security Intelligence Organisation, "The 'New (Young) Left' in Australia: Recent Trends in Theory and Strategy," November 1969, A12389 A30/PART 7.

⁵⁹This document is reproduced in Rowan Cahill, "Security Intelligence and Left Intellectuals: Australia, 1970," *International Gramsci Journal* 1 (2008), Article 5.

 $^{^{57}}$ "Note on the general significance of the 'New Left' for the Western world," A12389 A30/PART 7.

⁵⁸ John Playford, "The Mandel Affair," *Revolution*, July 1970, 8.

Academic and activist John Playford, one of the university-based nonaligned Marxists ASIO had its eyes on, asked in the short-lived radical newspaper Revolution "why the Australian Government banned Mandel from our unpolluted shores".⁶⁰ Was this merely an example of following America's lead, of the Liberal Minister for Immigration Phillip Lynch hearing "his master's voice", as Playford condescendingly put it? This did not seem sufficient, however, for the decision to bar Mandel was made in the American context only after an acrimonious public falling out between the State and Justice Departments, making common cause less palatable. Nor did the Australian government provide such a politically loaded rationale for their decision, instead making "cloudy references...to the national interest".⁶¹ Thus, it could only be inferred that this was "a clear-cut case of political repression and mindless parochialism", showing that "the government is frightened of the free exchange of ideas that it does not agree with".⁶² The case also highlighted for activists how Australia was very much connected to the global 1960s, if only negatively. As Playford bemoaned, "Although we can still read Mandel, Australians now share with the peoples of the US, the USSR and Eastern Europe the signal honour of not being able to dialogue with him".⁶³ The mainstream media also used this opportunity to repose the question of whether Australia required "protection" from a dangerous world.

Julie Rigg, writing in the *Australian*, asked "who is the Australian government 'protecting' from what", questioning the veracity of a supposedly democratic government using "one of the most successful aspects of the totalitarian technique...censoring ideas or banning the men who carry them".⁶⁴ The supposed freedom of travel in a globalising world was marshalled by two Australian National University academics who, writing to the *Sydney Morning Herald*, stated how "in a country which claims to be democratic there is a strong prima facie assumption in favour of entry, especially for a short stay"—a right only to be rescinded in the face of

60 Playford, "The Mandel Affair," 8.

⁶³ Playford, "The Mandel Affair," 8.

⁶⁴ Julie Rigg, "Australia: In need of care and protection?" The Australian, 14 May 1970, 12.

⁶¹ "Marxist expert refused visa", *The Australian*, May 13 1970, 3; Playford, "The Mandel Affair," 8.

⁶² It is still only possible to guess as to ASIO's motives and debates they engendered, given the National Archives have up until the submission of this thesis failed to release their records on Mandel, after nearly two years of processing.

"weighty reasons". That no such reasons were provided revealed a government that was merely fearful of the spread of radical ideas, a notion dismissed by the letter writers who opined, "if a few speeches...could really endanger or subvert Australian society it would not be a society worth preserving".⁶⁵

Few international border breachers were more central to the anxieties of government than itinerant Black Power radicals, almost all of whom were African American. Here, long-held concerns of racial disharmony and invasion commingled in the imagination of many with new fears around increasingly vocal calls for indigenous self-determination and the porous nature of an increasingly globalised world. Consequently, cases like Brown's provoked a nervous anxiety from the conservative media and sections of the general citizenry, who vocally demanded the nation's protection from such dangerous figures as academic-activist Angela Davis and Black Panther leader Huey Newton. The opposition, parliamentary and extra-parliamentary, was provided with an opportunity to challenge these fears with the politically motivated exclusion of African American comedian and civil rights activist Dick Gregory in 1970. This widely publicised incident, occurring only days before the second Moratorium, painted the government and its security services as dangerously backward and chauvinistic philistines, but also racist, while revealing such attempts at border policing to be both superfluous and self-defeating.

For its part, ASIO saw the threat of civil rights and Black Power radicalism as yet another arm of international communism's octopus-like network of subterfuge and division. The visit of African American communist singer Paul Robeson in 1960, though seemingly not opposed by ASIO, was closely monitored. ASIO compiled a report on Robeson's previous activities, including "political activity…helping Communist Front 'Peace' activities and 'anti-Colonial' organisations", while noting his meetings with Indigenous Australian activists and recording that he wished to return in the near future to "do some work for the benefit of Australian aborigines".⁶⁶ Such fears remained, if anything gaining force, over a decade later. One report, heavily redacted like so many others by ASIO

⁶⁵ Henry Mayer and Owen Harries, "The Mandel Affair," *Sydney Morning Herald*, 15 May 1970, 2.

⁶⁶ "CP of A interest in Aborigines," 15 March 1961; "Paul Robeson," 8 March 1961 in Robeson, Paul Volume 2, A6119 5034, National Archives of Australia, Canberra. For more on the details of Robeson's trip and reaction from Indigenous Australians, see Ann Curthoys, "Paul Robeson's visit to Australia and Aboriginal activism, 1960," in *Passionate Histories*: prior to release, warned that the interest of international Black Power activists like Roosevelt Brown in indigenous affairs was part of a vast communist conspiracy to besmirch Australia's international reputation. "Communist world organisations", the report read, "have endeavoured to classify Australia amongst the imperialist countries by reproaching it with colonialism and racial discrimination", attempts international Black Power adherents were believed to be part of.⁶⁷

Equally, political concerns formed the basis of Australia's continuing restrictive immigration policies, particularly towards African Americans. During a March 1966 parliamentary debate on liberalising immigration laws, these ideas were made resoundingly clear. Liberal Member for Sturt, Keith Wilson, after reading out a bevy of racially charged newspaper headlines from around the world, warned that "[w]e should not import into this country the problem that has beset other countries", while the Member for Wimmera bluntly put it that "we don't want a Little Rock in Australia".⁶⁸

In the absence of African American migration in the pre-Whitlam period—only a handful applied to come to Australia, nearly all of whom were rejected as unsuitable—the threat of black radicals visiting Australia provided a vivid substitute. While generally open to African American entertainers or tourists staying for short periods, as Robeson's case shows, the proposed visits of Black Power militants was another matter entirely. A variety of figures were invited to venture down under by church and activist groups, sparking a flurry of letters from concerned Australians to the Department of Immigration. This not only illustrates how the basis of racial exclusion was shifting, now on the basis of disharmony and radicalism of a few rather than supposedly natural traits, but also the role concerned citizens sought to play in the constructing of borders.⁶⁹ As Brown relates, "nation-state walling responds in part to [the] psychic fantasies, anxieties, and wishes" of citizens, although it is rarely effective in achieving its

Myth, Memory and Indigenous Australia, eds. Francis Peters-Little, Ann Curthoys and John Docker, 163–84 (Canberra: ANU E-Press, 2010).

⁶⁷ Undated, untitled (censored) report, Sykes, Roberta Volume 2, A6119 4229, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

⁶⁸Commonwealth Parliamentary Debates (House), "Immigration," 24 March 1966, 592; 600.

⁶⁹On the role the everyday activities of citizens perform in the imposition of borders see Edith Sheffer, "On Edge: Building the Border in East and West Germany," *Central European History* 40, No. 2 (2007): 307–39.

purported aims.⁷⁰ In 1970, for instance, amid incorrect reports that the Left-wing preacher Ted Noffs of Sydney's Wayside Chapel had invited Black Panther leader Huey Newton to visit Australia, A.W. Buckley of Arncliffe, NSW, wrote to the Department expressing his hopes that "this type of black is not admitted here". This was due to the fact that "their visit to this Country, at present free of th[e] coloured problem facing America, would not be in the interests of Australia".⁷¹ These fears of outside contamination were mixed with concerns about the susceptibility of Indigenous Australians to "dangerous" ideas. Such concerns emerged around the invitation of what were termed "Black Power workers" by the Australian Council of Churches in 1971 for a short visit, the purpose of which was "not only...fact-finding, but also explaining how Black Power militancy works" to indigenous Queenslanders.⁷²

The Department received dozens of letters after these plans were publicised, with many writers expressing concern as to the impact such arrivals would have on what were termed "our aborigines". Mrs R.F. Kunde, a member of the Queensland Liberal Party and moderate Aboriginal rights group One People of Australia League (OPAL), questioned whether the government wanted "to see the aboriginal cause set back 50 years" by Black Power influence, or for Australia to "experience the resultant riots and bloodshed" militant Aboriginal self-determination would bring.73 Finally, the invitation of academic and activist Angela Davis by organisers of the Black Moratorium sparked another flurry of citizen concern.74 Charles Huxtable of Killara, NSW, warned the minister that his government was losing the hard-won respect of most Australians through its "apparent appeasement" of the radical movement and the allowing of "international trouble-maker[s]" onto Australian soil. Describing Davis somewhat incongruously as both a "leader in communist warfare" and a "rebel anarchist", Huxtable's concerns clearly wedded the threat of international communism with that of race.⁷⁵ That Davis's mass of

⁷⁰Brown, Walled States, Waning Sovereignty, 81.

⁷¹A.W. Buckley to Under Secretary, Department of Immigration, March 5 1970, in Black panther power movement, A446 1970/95140, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

⁷² Douglas Rose, "Churches: down to earth," *Courier-Mail*, March 24 1971 in Black panther power movement, A446 1970/95140.

⁷³ R.F. Kunde to Minister for Immigration, April 6 1971, in Black panther power movement, A446 1970/95140.

⁷⁴ "Angela Davis now invited to speak in Sydney," The Age, 9 June 1972, 4.

⁷⁵Charles Huxtable to Minister for Immigration, June 9 1972, in Black panther power movement, A446 1970/95140.

international post-trial speaking engagements made a tour of the antipodes an impossibility did not seem to curb the over-active Australian imagination of outside threats or desires for borders in an increasingly disordered world.

When government acted on these anxieties, however, spirited debate seemed more common than gratitude. This was the case when in September 1970, just days before the September anti-Vietnam War Moratorium, the exclusion of Richard "Dick" Gregory from Australia became front-page news. Noffs's Wayside Chapel had initially invited Gregory, a well-known African American comedian, civil rights activist, and unsuccessful 1968 presidential candidate, but difficulties in organisation had driven them to approach the National Union of Australian University Students (NUAUS) for assistance. The comic was being billed to do shows around the country, including TV spots, and the anti-Vietnam War Moratorium committee was approached to provide funds in exchange for Gregory speaking at their September rally in Sydney. This was where ASIO apparently became involved. Monitoring the phones of Moratorium organisers, it caught a drift of their intentions and passed on the information to a government mounting a law and order campaign around the upcoming protests.⁷⁶ That Gregory had applied on the basis of "sightseeing" rather than his political agenda was used to deny him a visa.

Government sought to employ a terminology of otherness to conflate Gregory and those organising the Moratorium as foreign and violent forces dangerous to the national interest. This was a repressive toolkit at least partially borrowed from Richard Nixon. Jeremy Varon explains how the American Moratoriums of October–November 1969 had been "immensely successful in showing the breadth of anti-war sentiment", and were soon replicated in Australia to similar levels of success. And local conservatives were relying on an equally Americanised vocabulary to discredit the protests.⁷⁷ Nixon, noting the first Moratorium's success in presenting increasingly vocal anti-war oppositions, sought to present

⁷⁷ Jeremy Varon, Bringing the War Home: The Weather Underground, The Red Army Faction and revolutionary violence in the 1960s and seventies (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2004), 116.

⁷⁶These and other details of Gregory's trip and the nature of ASIO surveillance discussed in Christopher Joyce, "Wanted: Dick Gregory," *National U* 6, No. 12, 29 September 1970, 16.

the second, set to be held on 15 November, "as far more threatening than the October Moratorium". Nixon argued that this second event, organised by a younger and more radical constituency, "would attract violence-prone elements with an anti-American agenda".⁷⁸

Conservative Australians borrowed Nixon's rhetoric to discredit Australia's second Moratorium, mixing fears of violence, of "political bikies pack raping democracy" as Minister for Labour and National Service Billy Snedden put it, with ever-present concerns around foreign contamination. While pronouncing that "the Government's policy is to allow the maximum freedom of travel to Australia", Prime Minister John Gorton then proceeded to rhetorically ask "why the Government should allow aliens to come to Australia for the purpose of interfering with political matters".⁷⁹ The Immigration Minister Phillip Lynch took this further, insisting that allowing Gregory to involve himself in a "onesided distorted anti-war campaign inimical to the objectives for which Australian troops are fighting in Vietnam...would represent a betraval of [those] servicemen".⁸⁰ These points were difficult to defend, however, and received swift condemnation from a variety of sources. The charge of "aliens" interfering in Australia was easily countered, with NUAUS's National U commenting:

The Prime Minister's "aliens" approach looks a little hollow when you look at his Government's record at having Australia's politics interfered with by aliens from the White House, or from Vesteys, or from CRA, or the oil companies.⁸¹

On the other hand, charges of Gregory's violent intent were difficult to substantiate. Even the High Commissioner in Washington, James Plimsoll, sent a concerned cable to his superiors pointing out that "there is no evidence" Gregory, an avowed pacifist, "would himself advocate or incite violence".⁸²

⁸⁰Kenneth Randall, "Lynch defends visa ban: Visit would be a 'threat to nation', Minister claims," *The Australian* 3 September 1970, 1.

⁸¹ Joyce, "Wanted: Dick Gregory," 16.

⁸² James Plimsoll to Peter Heydon, 1 September 1970, in Vietnam Moratorium Campaign, A6980 S250654.

⁷⁸Ibid, 116.

⁷⁹ "We won't allow aliens to interfere, says PM," *The Australian*, 5 September 1970, 1.

The ban was also painted in the media as yet another censorial blunder with the intention not only on silencing dissent, but ensuring the populace had little access to outside ideas. *The Australian* editorialised:

Banning books is bad enough [but] banning people is preposterous...Surely Australia's national interest is not threatened by a comedian who advocates full civil rights for black people and a cessation of the Vietnam war. The country doesn't need to be protected from men like that, but from the whims of people who try to keep our minds closed.⁸³

While the government denied a racial motivation for Gregory's exclusion, the flimsy basis of its public pronouncements led many to ask questions. Although he was invited to address an anti-war rally, it was through Gregory's widely reported civil rights activism that Gough Whitlam's Labor Opposition read the exclusion. Whitlam declared in a perhaps illtitled press statement, "Gregory Lynched", that:

Australians expect the Gorton Government to make a fool of itself but the world's most powerful Negro community is likely to conclude that the exclusion of one of its leading civil rights fighters springs from simpler, more serious and therefore more sinister motives than Mr. Lynch's effusion.⁸⁴

Whitlam's imputation that government had acted in a racist manner, in keeping with the worst aspects of the White Australia Policy, was certainly the dominant response. Gregory himself lambasted the government for racism, and threatened to publicise his exclusion around the world, and particularly at a summit of non-aligned nations in Zambia that he was soon to attend.⁸⁵ Striking a similar chord, a student activist wrote in *National U* that while "Satire is one of the most effective political mediums and Gregory is one of the world's leading exponents of the art", which may well have been a reason for his ban, "maybe they just kept Gregory out because he is BLACK".⁸⁶

^{83 &}quot;Gregorian Moratorium," The Australian, 3 September 1970, 10.

⁸⁴ "Gregory Lynched: Statement by the Leader of the Opposition, Mr Whitlam," 2 September 1970, Personal Papers of Prime Minister E.G. Whitlam, M170 70/70, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

⁸⁵ Peter Smark, "Gregory shapes up—in anger," The Australian, 4 September 1970, 1.

⁸⁶ Joyce, "Wanted: Dick Gregory," 16.

Such ridicule did significant public damage to Gorton's transnationally and to the racially inspired law and order drive. Indeed, attempts to detract support from the September Moratorium by linking it to an outside contagion or "alien" whose presence risked violence, disorder, and betrayal seemed to have the opposite effect. The level of publicity Gregory's case received instead fired the Moratorium organiser's flagging public relations campaign. An ASIO background briefing bemoaned that the Gregory controversy had served to "promote more support than was expected" for the rallies which, while smaller than those of May and marred by violence instigated by overzealous police, were still viewed as successful.⁸⁷

Wendy Brown argues that the state's increasing inability to control its borders in a post-modern, post-national world has seen calls for the imposition of new barriers, exclusions, and controls. It is clear that throughout the 1960s, anxious conservatives used evolving methods of exclusion to ensure that individuals seen as representative of possibly subversive overseas ideas and practices were kept out. The imposition of these exclusions-much as has been the case throughout Australian history-rarely achieved their protective aims. Instead, as Denis Freney alluded to in his comments on their ability to create news, the exclusion of radicals saw their ideas and causes gain just as much, if perhaps more, publicity than their eventual tours would have.⁸⁸ Nor were attempts at policing always successful in keeping out activists, with some slipping through the cracks. Youth group Resistance remarked that "it is difficult to make sense of [government] policy to these questions" when their tour of Andrew Pulley, an African American GI and Socialist Workers Party candidate, was not curtailed in a similar fashion to Gregory's.⁸⁹ Despite such inconsistencies, this exclusionary mentality meant that the conservative government could easily be painted as fearful, philistine, and dangerously closed-minded-a scenario that was replicated in the debate over censorship.

⁸⁷ "September Moratorium, 1970," in Vietnam Moratorium Campaign, 1970, A1209 1970/6340 PART 2, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

^{88 &}quot;Angela Davis now invited."

⁸⁹ "Pulley tour a success," Direct Action, September 1970, 3.

THE WAR IS OBSCENE: CENSORSHIP, VIETNAM AND THE POLITICS OF GORE

Australian governments of the 1960s had just as exclusionary an attitude towards books and pamphlets as they did to people. However, as Nicole Moore argues in her recent history of censorship, this was nowhere near as pervasive or puritanical as is often made out, and neither was it particularly successful in protecting the citizenry from dangerous ideas or scandalous images.⁹⁰ Moore outlines how from at least the late colonial period onwards, governments have sought to employ a series of overlapping, often contradictory, forms of literary and political censorship. Federally, Customs administered a system of restriction that slowly loosened throughout the period, particularly after a 1957 scandal surrounding J.D. Salinger's The Catcher in the Rye appearing in the Parliamentary Library (it had been donated by the US ambassador and duly shelved) saw the first ever review of the so-called "banned list". The list was reviewed, many titles were struck off, and its contents were to be made public, while censors employed by the Commonwealth Literary Censorship Board were to serve only five-year terms.⁹¹ At the State level, censorship laws were multiple and often employed politically, taking activists a longer period and many court cases to liberalise.

The censorship regime was also affected by the same anxieties that informed the exclusionary attitude of government towards international travellers. Writer and historian Geoffrey Dutton argued in a 1970 collection analysing Australia's censorship "crisis" that the nation's "obsession [with] censorship is only part of a larger pattern of protectionism", whereby Australians have sought to "hide ourselves away in a pure, allwhite paradise".⁹² Our shores, it would seem, could be polluted by ideas as well as by people—and activists opposed the banning of each with equal vehemence. James Baldwin's book *Another Country*, with its stridently sexualised view of race relations in America, was banned in 1963, an act which "escalated already mounting public criticism of federal publications and film censorship…opening the regime to direct charges of racism, casting (if not exposing) the censors as ideological agents for the increasingly

⁹⁰Moore, *The Censor's Library*, 1–2.

⁹¹ Ibid, 222-3.

⁹² Geoffrey Dutton, "Moral Protectionism," in *Australia's Censorship Crisis*, Geoffrey Dutton and Max Harris, eds, 96 (Melbourne: Sun Books, 1970).

unpopular white Australia policy".⁹³ Even the chair of the Literature Censorship Board, Kenneth Binns, provided an unheeded warning that "a ban on 'Another Country' might…be associated with Australia's misunderstood 'White Australia' policy and her refusal to support UN condemnation of South African Apartheid".⁹⁴ The definition of what was "obscene" was thus becoming increasingly politicised, and many activists soon found themselves incurring the censors' wrath.

In October 1966, the Victorian government banned Eric Norden's American Atrocities in Vietnam. Containing three images of atrocities and graphic descriptions of the reality of the conflict, the small-run pamphlet was categorised somewhat grotesquely as "an obscene publication showing pictures of atrocities likely to create violence" by the state's Vice Squad.⁹⁵ A similarly stretched definition of obscenity was used by New South Wales police to charge Denis Freney and another supporter of the Liberation Bookshop in Sydney with distributing a "morally offensive" publication-the famous image of an American soldier holding a partially dismembered Vietnamese corpse.96 Obscenity was not, however, the only means of attempting to silence critics. The publication in 1968 by Sydney radical group Resistance of a copied American pamphlet entitled "How not to join the army" sparked another storm of controversy.⁹⁷ In this piece, conscripts were informed of methods by which they could avoid service, or engage in sabotage if forced to serve. The Commonwealth Police compiled a lengthy report on the pamphlet, and the Commonwealth Solicitor recommended to the Attorney General that charges under the Crimes Act-particularly section 7A which made it illegal to incite, urge, aid, or encourage the commission of offences against a law of the Commonwealth-be laid. The pamphlets and printing equipment were seized in highly publicised raids, although an inability to

⁹³Moore, *The Censor's Library*, 236. For more on Australia's history of censorship see, amongst others, Peter Coleman, *Obscenity, Blasphemy, Sedition: 100 Years of Censorship in Australia* (Sydney: Angus & Robertson, 1974); Augustine Brannigan, "Crimes from Comics: Social and Political determinants of reform of the Victorian Obscenity Law, 1938– 1954," *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Criminology* 19 (1986): 23–41 and Deana Heath, *Purifying Empire: Obscenity and the Politics of Moral Regulation in Britain, India and Australia* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010).

⁹⁴ Quoted in Moore, The Censor's Library, 239.

⁹⁵ Morrison, "Statement on the Seizure."

⁹⁶ Freney, A Map of Days, 264.

⁹⁷ J. Percy, How not to join the army (J. Percy: Sydney, 1968).

conclusively prove who actually published the offending pamphlet made prosecution impossible. 98

There was support for these censorial moves. Government backbenchers were particularly vocal, with one presenting such political publications as "seditious and filthy" and another demanding that "urgent action be taken to suppress these treasonable activities". Additionally, petitions seeking the banning of works like The Little Red Schoolbook were received by parliament, bemoaning the "dangerous error of judgement" it would be to leave such material uncensored.⁹⁹ Despite such protestations, attempts to politically censor books, pamphlets, or ideas not only routinely failed, but merely secured publicity for activists and their causes. Freney, after being charged by the NSW police for distributing an obscene anti-war poster, recalls protesting "if this is obscene then the war is obscene...please go ahead and prosecute me, I'd love to argue this one out in court".¹⁰⁰ Indeed, the increased willingness on the part of activists to challenge the unpopular and internationally embarrassing censorship regime, which was only comparable to that of Catholic Ireland and Apartheid South Africa, was a hallmark of the period.

This was achieved both by producing images and text that radicals hoped would be deemed obscene or otherwise unsuitable for public consumption, and challenging the veracity of these decisions through the courts. The publishers of *Oz* magazine and the Libertarians who took the reins of UNSW's *Tharunka* in 1970 most famously executed these acts. The latter in particular became famous for its publication of sexually explicit content, including the poems "Eskimo Nell" and "Cunt is a Christian Word", which saw editor Wendy Bacon briefly jailed.¹⁰¹ These youth and student activists were labelled "porno-politicians" by the Liberal senator Peter Coleman. Yet, despite their "publishing as a political end, as an instrument", as Frank

⁹⁸ Attorney-General's Department Minute Paper, received 16 July 1968, How not to join the army, A432, 1968/354, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

⁹⁹ Malcolm Mackay, "Dr. Mackay's reply to Anglicans," *The Age*, 8 October 1968, 5; CPD (House), "Question: National Service," 30 May 1968, 1787; CPD (House), "Petitions: The Little Red Book," 18 October 1972, 2747.

¹⁰⁰ Freney, A Map of Days, 264.

¹⁰¹For more on these anti-censorship campaigns see Dominic Bowes, "Exposing Indecency: Censorship and Sydney's Alternative Press, 1963–1973," (BA Honours Thesis, University of Sydney, 2012) and Tim Briedis "'Pornographic poison of the mind': the *Tharunka* scandal, *The Little Red Schoolbook* and Sex Education at Fitzroy High," Unpublished paper, 2010.

Moorhouse put it, material was selected not so much for its interest to radicals, but "because it enraged police, politicians and others...[t]here was no intention of reform or communication".¹⁰² Such an approach was very much in keeping with the libertarians' "sceptic[ism] about the potential of activism", ideas inherited from the Sydney "Push" of radical anarchists in the 1950s who sought to live their politics rather than proselytise.¹⁰³

While these actions could be written off as the work of harmless hedonists, a more firmly political agenda often underlay them. As activistintellectual and vocal censorship opponent Dennis Altman explained at the May 1970 Socialist Scholars Conference: "censorship, ostensibly non-political, becomes political in an age when obscenity is a political weapon".¹⁰⁴ The war in Vietnam and censorship were also seen as intricately linked by activists, as Dutton argued, to Australia's "protectionism" against the outside world that saw it "hide behind the Royal Navy [and] the assorted weapons of the USA" by sending "troops to their infamous wars, from South Africa to Vietnam".¹⁰⁵ Yet, for Altman at least, this political relationship did not necessarily make the two issues directly comparable:

I do not consider prohibitions on marijuana as evil as the war in Vietnam, nor theatre censorship as deplorable [as] discrimination against Aboriginals. I do believe, however, that the latter are not aberrations of our society but rather the logical consequences of the structure of values that underlie [it]. Only if these values are changed will elites lose the support, or if you prefer, acquiescence of the masses in their policies.¹⁰⁶

The culturally, and particularly sexually, repressive nature of Australian society—understood thanks to Marcuse, Fromm, and others—was seen by anti-censorship activists as a linchpin of the entire capitalist apparatus, which only a cultural revolution could disrupt.

¹⁰⁶Altman, "The Politics of Cultural Change."

¹⁰² Frank Moorhouse, "Porno Politics," in *Uni Sex: A study of sexual attitudes and behaviours at Australian Universities*, eds. Wendy Bacon, et al, 35 (Dee Why West, NSW: Eclipse Books, 1972).

¹⁰³ Briedis, "Pornographic poison of the mind," 9. For more on The Push see Sex and Anarchy: The Life and Death of the Sydney Push (Ringwood, Vic: Viking, 1996).

¹⁰⁴ Dennis Altman, "The Politics of Cultural Change", Paper presented at the Socialist Scholars Conference, May 21–24 1970, in Altman, Dennis Patkin, Volume 1, A6119, 3692, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

¹⁰⁵ Dutton, "Moral Protectionism," 97.

Aside from these ideological concerns, the seizing of radical or overtly sexualised literature was a good way of generating publicity, with the act of declaring an imported publication obscene actually imbuing it with a new level of desirability as a refused commodity. Liberal Minister for Customs and Excise Don Chipp partially justified his liberalising of censorship standards from 1970 by asking a questioner whether they "want us to give millions of dollars worth of free publicity to something that would be printed and published locally, giving it front page coverage in all the newspapers and making it a best seller?"¹⁰⁷

A similar point is made by a writer for Resistance's newsletter who noted that *How not to join the army* "has become a financial asset to us" with "[w]hat was a bunch of slowly yellowing roneoed paper in the corridor upstairs suddenly bec[oming] in the Government eyes the source of all dangerous criminal activity against the state and the army".¹⁰⁸ Thus, government attempts to limit or curtail the availability of these ideas had a reverse impact. After Victorian police seized the *American Atrocities* pamphlet, booksellers had thousands of copies brought down from Sydney, as the material had been cleared for a general readership by federal Customs.¹⁰⁹ As the *Australian* commented days later: "this action by the Victorian police provides a degree of advertisement beyond the dreams (and the pockets) of the Vietnam Action Committee. This is the exact opposite, presumably, of what the police intended".¹¹⁰

This sort of selective and State-based censorship was one of many protests raised by activists and media. Morrison asked why it was acceptable for the same images reproduced in the *American Atrocities* leaflet for which he was charged—of abuses by American or South Vietnamese soldiers of the local population—to be reprinted in the Melbourne *Herald* and the *Australian* causing "widespread horror amongst decent people".¹¹¹ Was this a case of political censorship? *The Age* hinted at the possibility, pointing out that having been "produced for a political purpose" the pamphlet's confiscation could not but raise the question of political censorship. While such a practice was "repugnant to Australian

¹⁰⁷ Commonwealth Parliamentary Debates (House), "Question: Importation of Book," 11 April 1972, 1399.

¹⁰⁸ "The Pamphlet," Resistance Newsletter, 1968, 1–2.

¹⁰⁹ "Rush for Viet Pamphlet," *The Age*, 21 September 1966, 3.

¹¹⁰ Editorial, "A stupid ban in Victoria," *The Australian*, 6 September 1966, 6.

¹¹¹Morrision, "Statement on the Seizure." In YCAC Papers, MS 10002, "Correspondence—1966."

traditions and ways of thought", these claims were "even if groundless... not good for the health of the community".¹¹² Some newspaper editorialists sought to use these and other examples, such as Queensland's banning of the soundtrack to the US countercultural musical *Hair*, to argue for the imposition of national, more liberalised, policies on censorship, removing from often politically overzealous State governments the power to control information. Yet, radical activists sought to take their protests beyond the parameters of liberal reform to a questioning of the very basis of obscenity as a category. As Altman argued, obscenity was a political means employed by government to distinguish what was and was not acceptable for consumption by a moral community, yet this very plasticity of meaning opened such definitions to interpretation, particularly as the everyday violence of the Vietnam War became more and more apparent.

Indicative of this type of moral appeal was an article that appeared in Melbourne University newspaper *Farrago*, where a student commented on claims by Vice Squad head Det. Sgt Whitehead that he had been "upset" by the content of the *American Atrocities* pamphlet. "How strange that he should react by trying to stop the pamphlet's circulation", the author commented, "If he really was sickened a more logical reaction might be [to] join the peace marchers".¹¹³ Similar tactics were also used by members of the Women's International League for Peace and Freedom, who having been charged with obscenity for reproducing an image of an American soldier holding a severed Vietnamese head asked the court "which is the most obscene thing…publishing this picture as we did and showing what happened there or the act itself?" They displayed enlarged images of atrocity photos outside the court in protest, and the case against them was thrown out.¹¹⁴

Increasingly, these grotesque images of tortured, murdered, or otherwise dehumanised Vietnamese bodies began appearing alongside other censorable material as a means of lodging a political challenge to government. Anti-censorship broadsheet *The Act*, for instance, contained on its front page the same image Freney was charged with producing during the Moratorium as well as a picture of a nude couple. Inside its covers, more grotesque images of faces decimated by shrapnel and children ripped limb

¹¹² Editorial, "A Censorship Surprise," *The Age*, 5 September 1966, 2.

¹¹³ David Morawetz, "Strange vice attitude," Farrago, 23 September 1966, 4.

¹¹⁴Interview with Margaret Holmes, conducted by Siobhan McHugh, 1993, in ORAL TRC 2761/8/20-21, National Library of Australia, Canberra.

from limb by bombs appeared alongside hardcore pornography and lewd cartoons. The authors, amongst them *Tharunka* editor Wendy Bacon and several Communist Party members, wrote in the opening editorial:

We see evidence in this lucky country of poverty, injustice, bigotry, victimisation, hunger and inequality. The lucky country can be a very unlucky country if you are old, poor or sick. To us, this is obscenity. This is vile and despicable, indecent and perverted.¹¹⁵

In an article accompanying the Vietnam atrocity photos, the unacknowledged authors recounted a series of facts about the war, including that the equivalent of nine Hiroshima bombs were dropped on North Vietnam in 1972 alone and that the fighting had displaced some eight million people. They asked whether these facts were "as indecent as a living prick or the word fuck".¹¹⁶

An article in the Melbourne-based Radical Action Movement (a rebadged Students for a Democratic Society) newspaper *Troll* made this point clear: "We must show the hypocrisy of a society that happily supports, and conscripts young men for, genocide in Vietnam, and then screams about obscenity and corrupting influences in the socio-political challenges it chooses to call 'pornographic'".¹¹⁷ And yet, there was a clear political problem for those seeking to mobilise what Quinn Slobodian calls "corpse polemics" for political gain.¹¹⁸ And this was not just because focusing on "the horrors of war" meant, as Denis Freney put it in a paper given at a 1971 anti-war conference, that more subtle issues of the irrationality and wastefulness of war could be lost.¹¹⁹ Rather, the *Troll* writer's rhetorical question of "What is more 'obscene': a drawing of a prick or a drawing of a child being napalmed or starving" threatened to create exactly the type of equivalency between the two scenarios Altman had warned against.¹²⁰

¹¹⁵ "The Culture of Repression... The Politics of Freedom," The Act, c. 1973, 2.

¹¹⁶ "Mr Griffith...what is obscene?" The Act, c. 1973, 3.

¹¹⁷ "School kids Oz," Troll, September 1971, 9.

¹¹⁸ Quinn Slobodian: Foreign Front: Third World Politics in 1960s West Germany (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2012), 135.

¹¹⁹ Denis Freney, "The Youth Revolt in Suburbia and the Anti-War Movement," in *National Anti-War Conference, Sydney, February 17–21, 1971—Papers Presented*, np (Sydney: Self-published, 1971).

¹²⁰ "School Kids Oz," 9.

Slobodian explains how the distribution of ever more violent images of brutality "often threatened to shade into the exploitative genres of sadistic action films and soft-core pornography", integrating them into a cultural context increasingly defined by the normalisation of (depictions of) violence and overt sexuality.¹²¹ The Hollywood film *Bonnie* and Clyde, then regarded as the most violent popular film to have ever appeared, had been released uncensored in Australia in 1967 while, as critics of customs-centred censorship never tired of pointing out, locally produced pornography or sexually explicit stories were easily available.¹²² The reliance on images of corpses as political ammunition also threatened to undermine the established traditions of concrete solidarity with Third World political actors analysed in Chap. 2. The turn towards using images of mutilated corpses-which only became more pronounced as the war grew in intensity-"risked effacing Third World individuality by transforming usually nameless and mute bodies into icons of mobilization", as Slobodian puts it.¹²³

Violence and its uses had long been a topic of debate in Australian social movements. A 1967 debate in *Tharunka* took on just this question, condemning the use of corpses as mobilising agents. Members of the Liberal Reform Group, a split from the Liberal party and precursor to the Democrats, had covertly included yet another overseas pamphlet, entitled *The Children of Vietnam*, in the student paper. This sparked a response from the disgruntled editor, who bemoaned how the use of "brutal" images of suffering children was "calculated...to elicit a powerful emotional response" rather than further political understanding or debate. "Australia has had more than enough of this spurious emotion-grabbing debate over Vietnam and to see it encouraged by a political group claiming considerable support from within Universities is appalling", he continued.¹²⁴ A letter supportive of the pamphlet's tone attacked the editor's protestations, and pointed out that "[t]he purpose of the booklet is to make people aware of the suffering being endured by these children.

¹²¹Slobodian: Foreign Front, 135.

¹²² On Violence in Bonny and Clyde see John Allen, "Bonnie and Clyde," *Tharunka*, 4 June 1968, 11. On the wide availability of pornography see Richard Walsh, "A note from a victim," in *Australia's Censorship Crisis*, eds. Geoffrey Dutton and Max Harris, 131–2 (Melbourne: Sun Books, 1970).

¹²³ Slobodian, Foreign Front, 135.

¹²⁴Mark Lyons, "Children of Vietnam—an editor's apology," *Tharunka*, 1 August 1967, 7.

Would you do this by showing *healthy* kids?"¹²⁵ This debate points towards American activist and writer Susan Sontag's argument that the political employment of Vietnam atrocity photography became increasingly problematic as the years dragged on. "[V]isual representations of suffering had become cliché", she argued, with the advent of TV and the war's sheer horror ensuring citizens "had been bombarded by sensationalist photography and, as a result, our capacity for ethical responsiveness had diminished". What was needed was a "narrative", not a decontextualised image, if systemic change was desired.¹²⁶ Activists in Australia were beginning to understand something similar, encountering the limits of the rhetorical employment of brutalised bodies.

Announcing a screening of French director Emile De Antonia's controversial documentary film In the Year of the Pig, Resistance's Newsletter stated that "unlike most previous Vietnam documentaries the appeal of the film is mainly intellectual, not emotional". By 1970, when this newsletter was published, it was becoming clear to some activists that Vietnam was not a violent crime committed by an otherwise peaceful society based on rationality and law, but a part of the system itself. As a leaflet advocating more militant protest means in Brisbane argued—"My Lai was a stake, not a mistake. The war in Vietnam...was not caused by a breakdown in the machine, it is the logical outcome of it"-and as such attempts to appeal to the moral compass of a violent system were perhaps less than useful.¹²⁷ Antonia's film, splicing newsreel footage with interviews and a particular focus on the French war and America's role in it, "is no bleeding heart waffle about the attrocities (sic) committed by the Yanks and their various white and tan puppets", the author reported. Indeed, "the tired footage of napalmed babies, homeless villagers, etc, is thankfully almost non existent here". Instead, the film is "tellingly political", presenting "the napalmings, the saturation bombings, the defoliation...as inevitable results of the opposition of the whole nation to the US attempts to control Vietnam. They spring from the frustration of this aim".¹²⁸

¹²⁵W. Bottomley, "Lyons' Diatribe," Tharunka, 5 September 1967, 7.

¹²⁶ Judith Butler, "Photography, War, Outrage," *MPLA* 120, No. 3 (May 2005): 823–4. For more on the politics of suffering and its uses throughout history, see Richard Ashby Wilson and Richard D. Brown, eds., *Humanitarianism and Suffering: The Mobilization of Empathy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009).

¹²⁷ Quoted in Mark Young, "Student Radicalism at the University of Queensland, 1966–1972" (BA Honours Thesis, The University of Queensland, 1984), 66.

¹²⁸ "In the year of the pig!" Resistance Newsletter, August-September 1970, 3.

Clearly, at least those in the anti-imperialist wing of the movement were looking beyond the corpse polemic. A well-known cover of Trotskyist Paper Direct Action from 1971 seeking to foster involvement in the upcoming Moratorium used not images of burning children, but those of NLF guerrillas and civilian demonstrators, asking "tired of marching? What if they were?"¹²⁹ The narrative of the heroic guerrilla was replacing the dismembered corpse, and the political utility of a moral claim that "pulled at the heart strings" was under challenge. The uses of corpse polemics also came in for indirect critique from other movements. Aboriginal activist Paul Coe, condemning the complicity of the anti-war movement in racist attitudes, noted at an anti-war conference that the visibility of war crimes like My Lai detracted from the everyday structural violence that killed indigenous people. While in "Vietnam they kill children with guns and fragmentation bombs", creating photogenic scenes of atrocious violence to be circulated by activists, in "Australia they use more subtle methods-the children just die of malnutrition or dysentery".¹³⁰ This, Coe postulated, was perhaps part of the reason why 40,000 march at a Moratorium, but only 300 for Aboriginal land rights. The image was as important for what it did not say as for what it did, and activists like Coe began looking further afield for answers to their own political dilemmas, as the next chapter will discuss.

CONCLUSION

Restrictions imposed on the border, both as a physical barrier against undesirables and a moral demarcation between what was "obscene" and what was not, provoked differing and conflictive responses throughout Australia's 1960s. In both instances, the collectively constructed barriers of government and conservative citizens appeared as dangerously philistine interventions causing irreparable damage to Australia's international reputation. The highly public exclusions of African American activists like Dick Gregory were replicated in the cultural arena by the censoring of Baldwin's *Another Country*.¹³¹ Activists, as Hasluck noted, had a field day of these and other restrictions, using them to embarrass government, tie

¹²⁹ Direct Action, January 1971, 1.

¹³⁰ Paul Coe, "Racism and the anti-war movement," in *National Anti-War Conference*, *Sydney*, np.

¹³¹For more on the 'collective construction' of borders see Sheffer, "On Edge," 307–39.

Australia into global activist networks, and to provide inspiration and publicity for local movements. The struggle against censorship was, however, more problematic. By engaging in trench warfare with the censor, activists risked transforming those they sought to help free from imperialist domination into yet another circulating image in a society increasingly obsessed by such spectacles, and less photogenic causes found it difficult to compete for the attention of a radicalising community. Clearly, the politics of transgressing or contesting political and cultural borders could prove both a blessing and a curse for activists during Australia's 1960s.

Possibilities and Disillusionment

Wider Horizons: Indigenous Australians Abroad and the Limits of Global Activism

BOAC Flight 70 was refuelling at Dubai airport on 21 November 1974, when two Palestinian commandos stormed aboard. Armed with automatic weapons, the hijackers locked down the cabin, directed the plane to Tunisia, and began splitting those onboard into national groups. Poet and Australian Aboriginal activist Oodgeroo Noonuccal, formerly known as Kath Walker, was amongst those on board, and was soon questioned as to whether she was of Indian or Pakistani citizenship. Noonuccal, who had played one of the most public roles in the 1967 referendum which finally ended constitutional discrimination against Indigenous Australians, recalls how she then told the surprised hijackers that "I am Aboriginal Australian and proud of it". Returning from a meeting of the steering committee for the Second World Black Arts Festival in Nigeria, Noonuccal then suggested that the hostage takers' nationalistic ambitions might be better served by involvement in that global exhibition of cultural pride than transnational violence. Despite, or perhaps because of her advice, delivered as a supporter of Palestinian national aspirations, Noonuccal did not receive any preferential treatment. She was categorised amongst the British and other European passengers on board rather than those from the Third and developing world where her affinities lay.¹

This relatively well-known incident—recently immortalised in a play by Brisbane indigenous elder Sam Watson, who in his youth was a member

149

¹Kath Walker, "Flight into Tunis," Identity 2, No. 4 (August 1975): 6-8.

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016 J. Piccini, *Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s*, DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-52914-5_6

of the Australian Black Panther Party and heavily involved in the Tent Embassy²—was only one of many in which activists encountered the multiplying "black" and Third World liberation movements of the long 1960s. It was also the only one which occurred at the barrel of a gun. Most of these meetings, in fact, were highly productive for those involved, while also throwing up complex challenges and difficulties. This chapter, moving on from the overview approach of the previous two, will explore in detail how various indigenous activists used the increased mobility of the global 1960s to encounter and engage with its many new ideas and movements. Members of these movements, not just Palestinian freedom fighters, but also African American cultural nationalists and Chinese Red Guards, were connected by a complex and evolving Third World, anticolonial imagination. The post-war period marked a sharp decline in the ability of colonial administrations to govern their subjects, culminating in a series of liberation struggles and new, non-aligned nations eking out a place in a bipolar world. Similarly, African Americans in the "internal colony" of the USA began demanding more forcefully that the nation extend its liberal ideas to those who had long been excluded. As Fanon Che Wilkins argues in his study of the affinities and connections between the US civil rights group Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC) and African decolonisation struggles, these activists saw "their local efforts as inseparable from larger international movements engaged in similar and overlapping struggles for freedom and self-determination".³ This chapter maps how the "wider horizons" of the 1960s, as one activist put it, allowed Indigenous Australians to utilise imagined and concrete networks between oppressed peoples-bonds that Victorian activist Bruce McGuinness identified as emanating from "poverty, oppression, skin pigmentation, and the white man's hate for us".⁴

This was not, however, always an easy process of identification and communion. While the global imagination of activists has so far proved generally useful, albeit with some inevitable criticisms, this chapter and that which follows deal with broader issues of its fallibility in practice.

²Sam Watson, Oodgeroo: Bloodline to Country (Brisbane: Playlab Press, 2009).

⁴ "Wider Horizons," *Smoke Signals* 8, No. 3 (March 1970): 5; Bruce McGuinness quoted in Ravi de Costa, *A Higher Authority: Indigenous transnationalism and Australia* (Sydney: University of New South Wales Press, 2006), 97.

³Fanon Che Wilkins, "The Making of Black Internationalists: SNCC and Africa Before the Launching of Black Power, 1960–1965," *Journal of African American History* 92, No. 4 (Fall 2007): 469.

As the narrative which opened this chapter suggests, being considered a part of the world of black, decolonising, and national liberation struggles was often difficult, as not only did Indigenous Australians lack a significant international profile, but the very definition of what constituted a "black" people was open to contention. Activists and commentators alike also questioned whether transnationalising a highly localised indigenous politics was worthwhile, as the romantic rhetoric of decolonisation as practiced in "Red" China and American Black Power often clashed with troubling realities. These trips led to debates, recriminations, and an encounter with the limits of transnational politics in a quickly transforming world.

Our Common Enemies: Indigenous Australians and the Meaning of Black Power

Roberta (Bobbi) Sykes wrote an open letter to the Planning Conference of the Sixth Pan African Congress in February 1973. Quoting West Indian author George Laming, who "many years ago defined 'Black' as 'synonymous with originating in Africa'", Sykes sought "to add another dimension" to such "smug" and "elitist" sentiments which had "prevailed, been republished, and therefore mentally re-inforced" throughout the global Black Power movement.⁵ She informed the conference organisers that:

There exists on this globe other countries, less progressive perhaps, at least as far as the Black inhabitants are concerned, who have not been in the position previously to refute or challenge this definition, but who are definitely Black; who know of no African origins in their past, yet who wish to be recognised as part of the struggle.⁶

It was these forgotten people, Australian aborigines as well as Papua New Guineans and Pacific Islanders, who Sykes sought to bring into the "black" fold.

Sykes had been a staunch activist for indigenous rights since leaving her family life in Townsville for that of a radical activist and writer. Her public profile and stinging writing style in the nation's most radical

⁵Roberta Sykes, "Open Letter to the Planning Conference of the Secretariat of the Sixth Pan African Congress," 1 February 1973, in Sykes, Roberta Volume 2, A6119 4229, National Archives of Australia, Canberra; for smug and elitist nature of this stream of thought see Roberta Sykes, "Blacks will get blacker this year," *Nation Review*, 5 January 1973.

⁶Sykes, "Open Letter," in Sykes, Roberta Volume 2, A6119 4229.

mainstream newspaper, the Nation Review, made her a well-known figure of dissent, despite ongoing debates around her indigeneity.7 Travelling to the UK and the USA in late 1972, Sykes had encountered a variety of radical organisations, but had been disappointed by their lack of knowledge about the Indigenous Australian struggle. While she was featured on the cover of London's Observer magazine as "Australia's Angela Davis", her visit to a bookshop in Harlem that "sported a sign advertising books about 'all the blacks in the world'" showed that consciousness of black Australians was nowhere near that of the famous African American activist with whom she bore such a resemblance. Sykes was dismayed when she "asked to be shown to the section on Australian blacks" and "was told that there weren't any blacks in Australia. Hence no Black Australia section".⁸ Despite these disappointments, Sykes hoped that the Sixth Pan African Congress, to be held in Dar es Salaam in 1974, would "forge even stronger bonds between us, so that in unity we can continue our struggle against our common enemies: racism, oppression, colonialism, with fortified determination".9

Few historians have given much credence to the often-frustrated transnational overtures of Sykes and others to become part of the global decolonisation and anti-racist movement. Russell McGregor argues that, while Indigenous Australian activism during the period needs to be seen as a sort of "anti-colonial nationalism", only "a few Australian adherents tried (inconsistently) to give priority to its ideal of transnational black solidarity".¹⁰ Equally, many commentators pass off this global infatuation as a "half way" point between pre-1967 liberal assimilationism and the land rights and sovereignty movements of the 1970s and 1980s based on claims to indigeneity.¹¹ Activist and movement historian Gary Foley has,

⁷Debate around Sykes' memoirs, and their employment of Aboriginal tribal imagery, is summarised in Corey China, "Allegations, Secrets, and Silence: Perspectives on the Controversy of Roberta Sykes and the *Snake Dreaming* series," in James Gifford and Gabrielle Zezulka-Mailloux, *CULTURE*+*THE STATE 2: Disability Studies and Indigenous Studies* (Alberta, CA: CRC Humanities Studio Publishers, 2003): 108–123.

8 Sykes, "Blacks will get blacker this year."

⁹Sykes, "Open letter," in Sykes, Roberta Volume 2, A6119 4229.

¹⁰Russell McGregor, "Another Nation: Aboriginal Activism in the late 1960s and early 1970s," *Australian Historical Studies* 40, No. 3 (2009): 345, 350.

¹¹Peter Read is one who adopts this later perspective, arguing that Black Power—and particularly the Easter 1970 conference of FCAATSI that saw a split in the organisation over black control—was "the point, unrecognised at the time, when the demand for civil rights passed to the demand for indigenous rights." Peter Read, "Cheeky, Insolent and Anti-White: however, condemned the manner in which "historians have trivialised, marginalised and dismissed the achievements and historical significance" of Black Power in Australia, which along with struggles in the Third World began to fire the imagination of activists in the late 1960s.¹² Recent historical work by Foley, Jennifer Clark, Kathy Lothian, and others have sought to change this, arguing that Black Power began to appeal to Indigenous Australian activists largely through disappointment with the outcomes of previous, piecemeal reform. Lothian points out that in the years after the 1967 referendum "Aboriginal voices became increasingly more assertive and less compromising...demand[ing] immediate action that no longer accommodated European concerns but actively worked against them".¹³ Sue Taffe argues in her work on the Federal Council for the Advancement of Aborigines and Torres Strait Islanders (FCAATSI) that the period from 1967 to 1970 saw a steady increase of indigenous participation in political debate, which led many to "publically question...the continuing value of a multiracial coalition dominated by whitefallas".¹⁴ Clark argues that Black Power, rather than the domination of white "do-gooders", gave these activists "a new language, a new way of looking at their growing movement, a confidence to appreciate the black perspective and a desire to assert it".15

In seeking to understand how this new language arrived in Australia, Lothian places prime importance on the transnational circulation of reading material. Foley describes how many of those who would become the public faces of militancy—himself as well as Paul Coe, Bob Bellear, John Newfong, and several dozen others—formed a study group in Sydney and "began consuming all they could of the political literature of the day".¹⁶ "[T]he writings of Black Americans", from those of SNCC Chairman Stokely Carmichael to Malcolm X and Huey Newton, "enriched

The Split in the Federal Council for the Advancement of Aborigines and Torres Strait Islanders—Easter 1970," *Australian Journal of Politics and History* 36, No. 1 (1990): 81.

¹² Gary Foley, "Black Power in Redfern 1968–1972" (BA Honours Thesis, The University of Melbourne, 2001). Available at http://www.kooriweb.org/foley/essays/essay_l.html.

¹³Kathleen Lothian, "'A Blackwards step is a forwards step': Australian Aborigines and Black Power, 1969–1972" (Masters Thesis, Monash University, 2002), 42.

¹⁴Sue Taffe, Black and White Together: FCAATSI: The Federal Council for the Advancement of Aborigines and Torres Strait Islanders, 1958–1973 (Brisbane: University of Queensland Press, 2005), 261.

¹⁵Jennifer Clark, *Aborigines and activism: race, aborigines and the coming of the 1960s to Australia* (Crawley, WA: UWA Press, 2008), 214.

¹⁶Foley, "Black Power in Redfern."

the reflections of Aborigines on their own society, worked to stimulate pride in Aboriginality, and offered useful strategies for combating oppression", Lothian argues.¹⁷ Bruce McGuinness wrote that that Carmichael and Charles Hamilton's foundational American text *Black Power* "should be the prized possession of every aborigine", while Paul Coe recalls how "Malcolm X exploded in my mind" after he was lent a copy of the black radical's autobiography.¹⁸ All in all, "Aborigines were finding that the ideas and terminology of Black Power resonated with their own life experiences".¹⁹

Yet despite this flourishing interest, it was the rhetoric of anticolonialism that framed the Victorian Aborigines Advancement League's (VAAL's) 1969 statement in support of Black Power. The first overt affirmation of this political ideology by an Indigenous Australian organisation, the statement quoted Jean-Paul Sartre's introduction to Frantz Fanon's anti-colonial masterwork *The Wretched of the Earth:*

Not so very long ago, the earth numbered two thousand million inhabitants: five hundred million men, and one thousand five hundred million natives. This is White Power

The statement continued, articulating the global connections between colonial subjects and oppressed racial minorities like themselves:

Since the end of World War II, many of the coloured peoples who lived under...colonial rule have gained their independence and coloured minorities in multi-racial nations are claiming the right to determine the course of their own affairs in contradiction to the inferior state under which they had lived. This is Black Power.²⁰

This mixture of anti-colonial and Black Power images captures both the wedding of these two struggles in the international decolonisation movement, and the manner through which Australia's indigenous peoples sought to use their lessons to understand the local issues in new ways.

¹⁷Kathy Lothian, "Seizing the Time: Australian Aborigines and the influence of the Black Panther Party, 1969–1972," *Journal of Black Studies* 35, No. 4 (2005): 184.

¹⁸Lothian, "Seizing the Time," 183-4; de Costa, A Higher Authority, 98-9.

¹⁹Lothian, "Seizing the Time," 183.

²⁰Editorial, "International contact forces thinking on 'Black Power,'" *AAL Newsletter*, October 1969, 2. For original Sartre quote Jean Paul Sartre, introduction to *The Wretched of the Earth*, by Frantz Fanon (Harmondsworth, Middlesex: Penguin Books, 1967), 7.

Black Power thus became not just the set of ideas propagated by African Americans in the USA, but a catch-all for the rebelliousness of colonised peoples around the world.²¹

Accordingly, what Indigenous Australians came to group under the banner of Black Power was not merely an "imitative politics" taken from overseas wholesale, but rather a series of ideas that "activists soon transformed into something quite different".²² As Ravi de Costa argues in his important work on indigenous transnationalism, "simply observing the movement of ideologies and activists across borders, and the connections and solidarity between them, is...insufficient" to fully understand the complexity of these exchanges and the manner in which they are transformed by local environments and realities. Instead, a historian must "understand the motivations of those making the connections", which de Costa puts down to the search for a higher authority "to restrain colonial and national authorities and to have [indigenous] claims heard", as well as to understand how movement across borders turned these motivations into reality.²³ Fiona Paisley's work on Anthony Martin Fernando, an itinerant Aboriginal activist of the early twentieth century, captures how this unlikely figure—a well-educated man of Indigenous and South Asian heritage—managed to take the truth about Australia's colonial policies to the world. He not only publicised the genocide of his people by handing out leaflets at the 1925 Catholic Jubilee in Rome, but publically invoked the power of Britain to restrain Australia from policies that were even then out of step with "the international reform of colonialism in some parts of Africa".²⁴ Travellers in the 1960s were not just searching for an organised authority capable of challenging or embarrassing the Australian state like Fernando. They were also looking horizontally, seeking out global co-thinkers and actors capable of providing new activist toolkits to better challenge "our common enemies", as Sykes put it.²⁵

²¹Scholars in the USA have also begun fruitfully understanding the US civil rights movement "as an integral piece of the Age of Decolonization." Gary Helm Darden, "The New Empire in the 'New South': Jim Crow in the Global Frontier of High Imperialism and Decolonization," *Southern Quarterly* 46, No. 3 (Spring 2009): 8.

²⁴Fiona Paisley, *The Lone Protestor: A.M. Fernando in Australia and Europe* (Canberra: Aboriginal Studies Press, 2012), xv.

²²Lothian, "A Blackwards Step," 7.

²³de Costa, A Higher Authority, 3.

²⁵ Sykes, "Open Letter."

There was an earlier precedent for Sykes's form of global outreach, too. Most noteworthy amongst these connections were those between the Australian Aboriginal Progressive Association (AAPA) and the US-based Universal Negro Improvement Association (UNIA) of Marcus Garvey in the early to mid-1920s. John Maynard has explored how, through contacts with African American seamen on Sydney's docks, Aboriginal waterside workers had "acquired knowledge of the works of Frederick Douglas, Booker T Washington, W.E.B. Du Bois and Marcus Garvey".²⁶ The latter's ideology of Pan-African nationalism and cultural pride was to prove most significant, with a Sydney branch of Garvey's organisation forming in the early 1920s, folding into the AAPA in 1924. The attendance of unknown Indigenous Australian activists at one of Garvey's open-air meetings in New York during 1925 served to solidify these connections. "Garvey's call for pride in culture, solid economic base, and strong association to land of birth" proved influential to members of the progressive association: "the logo, motto and much of the political rhetoric of the AAPA were incorporated from the doctrine of Marcus Garvey and his group".²⁷ This was not a seamless translation, as Garvey's "call for a return to Africa meant nothing in Australia to the Aboriginal people". However, his ideas of "recognising [the] cultural significance and the importance of their own homeland, struck a chord with the Aboriginal leaders", displaying how the black nationalism that underlay Garveyism clearly impacted 1920s campaigners in a similar, if very distinct fashion to that of Black Power.

While Garveyism was a movement with a leader and defined programme, Black Power needs to be understood more as "a fluidity of definitions and a number of ideologies", as Lothian articulates.²⁸ It could mean anything from the empowerment of black businessmen—which those like One People of Australia League (OPAL) President and Liberal Party Senator Neville Bonner could support—to calls for pride in race or a redirection of violence against oppressors.²⁹ This political divergence is captured well in the narrative of Noonuccal's first overseas trip, to the

²⁹ On the fluidity of meanings see Ann Turner, ed, *Black Power in Australia: Bobbi Sykes vs. Senator Neville T. Bonner* (South Yarra, Vic.: Heinemann Educational Australia, 1975).

²⁶John Maynard, "'In the interests of our people': The influence of Garveyism on the rise of Australian Aboriginal political activism," *Aboriginal History* 29 (2005): 11

²⁷Ibid, 17.

²⁸Lothian, "A Blackwards step," 8.

UK in 1969. She was to attend the World Council of Churches consultation on racism, and as her biographer explains, she was "unrivalled in her experience":

Kath was now aged forty-eight...she had been a victim of racism herself; knew of her mother's deprivation; knew from her travels around Australia the different shades of discrimination that existed among the states; and had brought the plight of the dispossessed aborigines to the world through her poetry.³⁰

And, while she was undoubtedly the best candidate for the job, Noonuccal was to learn just as much as she was to teach. As an elder statesperson of sorts, she had always supported a close partnership between black and white activists, sticking solidly to FCAATSI's line of "black and white together". As Noonuccal put it in a letter to Shirley Andrews in 1962:

We will learn from each other...My people or rather some of them will try to carry all of the responsibility and some will think they have no need of the white people. This must be avoided at all costs. Black and white must stand together...colour is of no importance when all is said and done.³¹

This was a position Noonuccal was to adopt for the rest of her life, but her visit to Britain added a sense of urgency and augured the need for a more radical approach.

In a speech given several months before her trip, at the Easter conference of the FCAATSI, Noonuccal argued for Indigenous Australians to become more involved in the political process. As she put it: "Political parties in Australia should be encouraged to take their policy to the indigenous people and where Aborigines wish to join, they should be allowed to do so".³² This was a statement very much in keeping with post-1967 optimism for gradual change within the context of assimilation policies. Yet, her report to the Australian Council of Churches detailing the results of the May meeting in London hinted at the need for a more militant and

³⁰Kathie Cockrane and Judith Wright, *Oodgeroo* (Brisbane: University of Queensland Press, 1994), 77.

³¹Oodgeroo Noonucaal quoted in Lothian, "A Blackwards Step," 20.

³²Kath Walker, "Political Rights for Aborigines," Paper presented at the 1969 Easter Conference of the FCAATSI, Oodgeroo Noonuccal Papers, 1920–1993, UQFL84, Box 30, Fryer Library, The University of Queensland. less conciliatory approach. Therein, she warned of "black power agents" who were "I believe, working towards a take over of the white world through violent revolution".³³ Having spent time in black enclaves like Notting Hill, Noonuccal reported that "ten year old children are being trained to take down a 17 stone policeman", while Black Power leaders were "studying the situation of events of the Vietnam War and learning about how a small minority, illiterate groups of indigenous people (Vietnamese) keep a larger power (America) at bay". Her experience of the conference and encounters with downtrodden blacks in London led Noonuccal to believe that "[t]here are therefore two forms of Black Power emerging. Those who want to work for a dignified co-operation of black and white and those who wish to destroy or control the white race and rebuild the world for the black races".³⁴

It was this notion of two different and distinct forms of Black Power that influenced and changed Noonuccal's political outlook. Commentators noted that the series of brash, even violent speeches and papers delivered upon her return from London were the result of the travelling activist having "met Negro black power advocates in London".³⁵ However, the nature of these meetings and the conclusions that she drew perhaps better illustrate and contextualise this turn towards Black Power ideology. Rather than taking what she saw as gospel, Noonuccal was in fact adopting elements of the ideology to stave off the worst. As she put it in a somewhat oracular manner: "[w]e have I believe, 10 years to bring about instant evolution or face the consequences of a bloody revolution".³⁶ In this context, the embrace of a type of separatism makes sense. Noonuccal's call for indigenous leaders to "unite their people to withdraw from the brutal white society" and for the removal of ""white fathers', the frustrated white do-gooders and brutal white racists from their society" was not a call for violent revolution, but an attempt to forestall it.³⁷ Thus, while Sykes's experiences abroad only emboldened her quest to become a part

³³Kath Walker, "Report to the Australian Council of Churches on the World Council of Churches 'Consultation on Racism' held in London 19th May 1969," Oodgeroo Noonuccal Papers, UQFL84, Box 30.

³⁴Ibid.

³⁵Len Fox, "Black Power in Australia," *Outlook* 13, No. 5 (October 1969): 11.

³⁶Walker, "Report to the Australian Council of Churches," Oodgeroo Noonuccal Papers, UQFL84, Box 30.

³⁷Kath Walker, "Black Australians: A speech given to the Sydney Journalists Club on the 16th of September 1969," Oodgeroo Noonuccal Papers, UQFL84, Box 30.

of the militant world of Black Power activism, Noonucall's experiences of its practitioners' sometimes violent inclinations made her opinions and actions much more circumspect. The travels of other activists were to further tie these leading figures into Black Power and decolonising circles, while also showing up more fundamental issues in the applicability of overseas ideas and the very efficacy of transnational activism itself.

IN THE BLACK BAG: INDIGENOUS AUSTRALIANS AT THE CONGRESS OF AFRICAN PEOPLE, 1970

Atlanta, Georgia, was a logical choice for an international conference of black nations and peoples. Located in the heart of the Deep South, Atlanta was a rail terminus for Confederate supplies during the Civil War, but by the early twentieth century its African American citizens had defied Jim Crow segregation policies to produce a relatively large black middle and upper class. Atlanta was Martin Luther King Junior's hometown, a centre for the "long" civil rights struggle, and was to be amongst the first major US cities to elect an African American mayor in 1973.³⁸ And, in September 1970, the city played host to what was the largest Black Power gathering to date, the Congress of African People (CAP), which attracted some 3000 participants from a variety of civil rights, Black Power and cultural organisations as well as overseas delegates.

The Congress was born out of a series of conferences held in the USA and Bermuda from 1966 onwards, and was designed to unite the civil rights and Black Nationalist movements around a common programme of political action. Many perceived the Black Power movement as in decline amidst increasing conflicts over what type of change was required. Conservatives co-opted its ideas to imply black capitalism as opposed to self-empowerment and self-determination. On the other hand, an increasingly violent disjunction emerged between those who argued for a cultural as opposed to a national revolution, exemplified by the fact that the Black Panthers held their Revolutionary People's Constitutional Convention at the same time in Philadelphia. Unlike the avowedly revolutionary, Marxist programme of the Panther's gathering, however, the Atlanta conference was designed to unite moderate forces from Rev. Jesse Jackson and Coretta

³⁸For more on Atlanta's important place in the long civil rights movement see Tomiko Brown-Nagin, *Courage to Dissent: Atlanta and the Long History of the Civil Rights Movement* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011)

Scott King to radical cultural nationalists like Inamu Baraka (Leroi Jones), creating a political organisation that laid the foundation for the famous Gary Convention in 1972, which many see as launching the contemporary African American political agenda. As CAP's historian put it, "the congress…galvanized many of the local leaders and organizations into a new generation of men and women who would become national leaders".³⁹

And yet, the Atlanta gathering had ramifications beyond the nationstate. While its importance in the development of African American political consciousness is well documented, the stories of participants from other lands and cultures are less well-researched. Five leading Indigenous Australians travelled to the gathering and later recorded their stories in a lengthy pamphlet. What they saw, experienced, and learnt was to have an important impact on their future political trajectories, however, their attendance is generally presented in scholarly work as but one example of the movement's expanded interest in Black Power and cultural nationalism. Lothian argues that "the delegation [was] particularly influential for some of these activists in shaping their narrative of Black Power as a positive recognition and affirmation of Aboriginality".⁴⁰ Yet, while their trip was successful in opening "a definite line of communication" between colonised peoples,⁴¹ it also showed up some of the real challenges and difficulties of bringing these ideas "back home where black power needs to be seen in its Aboriginal setting".⁴²

Roosevelt Brown's 1969 visit, discussed briefly in Chap. 5, marked a turn in the development of Indigenous Australian politics. As one member of the Atlanta delegation Bob Maza stated at the time of Brown's trip, it was "the moment enlightenment arrived in Australia".⁴³ However, since the term's popularisation by Stokely Carmichael in June of 1966, articles began appearing in journals like *Outlook* and the student press outlining

³⁹K. Komozi Woodard, "The Making of the New Ark: Imanu Imiri Baraka (Leroi Jones), the Newark Congress of African People and the Modern Black Convention Movement. A History of the Black Revolt and the New Nationalism, 1966–1976" (PhD Thesis, The University of Pennsylvania, 1991): 232. For a more recent take on the CAP, see Robeson Taj P. Frasier, "The Congress of African People: Baraka, Brother Mao and the year of '74," *Souls* 8, No. 3 (2006), 142–159.

⁴⁰Lothian, "A Blackwards Step," 146.

41 Ibid, 146.

⁴²Abschol fundraising letter, undated, in *Aborigines Visit the US: Report on trip by Five Aborigines to Congress of African People and United Nations*, np (Melbourne: ASCHOL, 1971).

⁴³Bob Maza, 'Tuesday 24th August, 1969', National Koorier, 1969, 7.

Black Power's usefulness and possible applicability for Indigenous Australians.⁴⁴ Brisbane student newspaper Student Guerrilla, which ran dozens of issues throughout 1968 primarily around issues of civil liberties and the Vietnam War, published 5 % of its articles on Black Power.⁴⁵ Wellknown Aboriginal leader, Charles Perkins, returning from a tour of the USA in 1967, argued that Australian aborigines and African Americans shared "the same depressed socio-economic environment", and that "[w]e need Black Power" to rectify such gross inequities.⁴⁶ Brown's visit sparked the first major press interest in Black Power as a local issue, and for the first time concretely placed the Australian struggle within its global networks. Brown's visit itself was a testament to global flows of information. While Indigenous Australians had "always been discussed" at preceding Black Power conferences, Brown admitted that attendees had been forced to consult "books by anthropologists and certain people that had done studies on them" for their information. Brown was pleased when Bruce McGuinness wrote a letter to the Bermudan MP asking if he would stop over to discuss his ideas with Australian activists.47

Brown's stated intentions to keep this line of communication open through "corresponding with [Indigenous Australians] and extending invitations to them to come to conferences...so we can know what's going on, and they can know what's going on on the other side" was soon realised in the invitation of five delegates to the CAP in Atlanta, to be held over the Labor Day weekend of 1970.⁴⁸ Promising a full reimbursement of their fares and costs while in the USA, the five—McGuinness and Maza as well as Bob Bellear, Pat Kruger, and Jack Davis—soon acquired a short-term loan from the National Union of Australian University Students (NUAUS) for their trip, and embarked to America. The activists represented a variety of organisations—VAAL, FCAATSI, the West Australian Council for Aboriginal Advancement, and the newly formed National Tribal Council (NTC)—yet all were young activists who had been intrigued by and had previously articulated versions of Black Power. Maza argued in the April 1969 edition of VAAL journal *Smoke Signals*

⁴⁴For example, "Black Power," Outlook 10 No. 4 (1966): 18-19.

⁴⁵For these figures see Alan Knight," Ratbags, revolutionaries and free speech: The Queensland radical press in 1968," *Pacific Journalism Review* 10, No. 1 (2004): 153–170.

⁴⁶Mark Rubbo, "Black Power," *Farrago*, 3 May 1968, 4. For more on Perkins' uptake of Black Power see Lothian, "A Blackwards Step," 42–3.

⁴⁷ "Roosevelt Brown meets the Press," *Smoke Signals* 8, No. 2 (September 1969): 4–11. ⁴⁸ Ibid, 8.

that "a national pride of being *black* Australians" needed to be cultivated, McGuinness's opinions "changed dramatically overnight" in support of Black Power after he had toured rural Queensland, while Jack Davis had begun calling for Aboriginal ownership of the Australian nation. White rule, he argued, should be on the proviso of "an agreement by negotiation…making the Commonwealth Government's right to govern Australia conditional on the grant of an allocation of Commonwealth revenue".⁴⁹

Such rhetoric was also taking organisational form. The VAAL had transitioned to an indigenous-controlled organisation by early 1970, and the Brisbane Aboriginal and Islanders Tribal Council was formed at the same time, claiming to stand for "self-reliance" and, contrary to the black-white coalition favoured by the FCAATSI, to "depend on our own efforts, on the united stance of our own people".⁵⁰ Similar calls for black control were taken up, and defeated, at a disorderly April 1970 meeting of FCAATSI in Canberra. After this disappointment, 40 indigenous activists including Noonucaal and McGuinness joined with their white supporters to establish the NTC, which allowed voting rights only to Aboriginal or Islander peoples and fostered "a new spirit and sense of Aboriginal pride".⁵¹ This increasing militancy made touring the birthplace of such ideas an exciting and worthwhile proposition, particularly as it could provide concrete experiences of practices previously only read about and sometimes misunderstood. As one writer in *Identity* sarcastically quipped on this topic, while Bobbi Sykes's "reading of Black American literature seems to be quite extensive", her "first hand experience of Black America" was lacking, leading her to spout "the latest things that black leaders there are saying" without understanding their context.⁵² The trip was, then, an exciting opportunity: as Pat Kruger recalled, "I thought my feeling good could know no bounds".53 Davis remembers being "keen to go" when McGuinness called him with the invitation, that arrived with less than two week's notice, and he "agreed immediately [although] there was not much time for packing".54

⁴⁹Bob Maza, "The Koorie's Dissilusionment," *Smoke Signals* 8, No. 1 (April-June 1969):
3; Lothian, "A Blackwards Step," 59, 61.

⁵⁰A. Barrie Pittock, "Easter 1970 and the origins of the National Tribal Council" (Unpublished Manuscript, 1970), 4, available at http://indigenousrights.net.au/files/f102. pdf, accessed 4 April 2013

⁵¹Ibid, 8–9.

⁵² "Birds both black and beautiful," *Identity*, November 1972, reproduced in Sykes, Roberta Volume 2, A6119 4229.

⁵³ Pat Kruger, "A year in the revolutionary education," in *Aborigines Visit the US*, 31.

⁵⁴ Jack Davis and Keith Chesson, Jack Davis: a life-story (Melbourne: DENT, 1988), 145.

Despite the increasingly militant and globalised nature of their activism, the activists still felt trepidation about their trip. None, after all, had ever been abroad before, and the press coverage they had been exposed to about American life had been less than positive. As McGuinness later explained:

There was a certain fear in each of the Koori delegates when departing on this trip. We, like all Australians, had been subjected to the news reports of Race riots, killing, burning, looting, plane crashes, assassinations and sabotage in the US, so that the question foremost in each of our minds was 'will we ever come home'.⁵⁵

This also hints at the difficult and decidedly different experience of travel that colonised peoples experienced when compared to their white contemporaries. Jobs's argument that the easy availability of travel universalised the experience of the 1960s and broke down national borders only really applies to Europe and possibly the trans-Atlantic context, while studies of mobility by Third World or African American people's tend to focus on well-known statesmen or groups, like the SNCC, who had a well-educated, large middle-class membership, and access to significant institutional resources.⁵⁶

Indigenous travellers, on the other hand, were systematically excluded from mainstream Australian life and lacked the cultural capital that came with higher education. As activist, author, and former prison inmate Kevin Gilbert put it in 1973, "It is interesting to see...what an overseas trip does for an aboriginal person, who may previously not have travelled any further than from Cowra to Walgett, or Townsville to Sydney".⁵⁷ Funding was also very problematic, with the Commonwealth's \$40,000 yearly grant to VAAL cut at the first whiff of Black Power. Also, while some of the individuals discussed previously in this study had travelled for years,

⁵⁵Bruce McGuinness, "Report by Bruce B. McGuiness Director Administrative Officer A.A.L.," in *Aborigines visit the US*, 7.

⁵⁶ On SNCC, see Wilkins, "The Making of Black Internationalists." For other examples of this focus on the global lives of well-known spokespeople and organisations see Sarah Seidmen, "Tricontinental Routes of Solidarity: Stokely Carmichael in Cuba," *Journal of Transnational American Studies* 4, No. 2 (2012): 1–25 and Michael L Clemons and Charles E Jones, "Global Solidarity: The Black Panther Party in the International Arena," in *Liberation, Imagination and the Black Panther Party*, eds. Kathleen Cleaver and George Katsiaficas, 20–39 (New York: Routledge, 2001).

⁵⁷Kevin Gilbert, *Because a white man'll never do it* (Sydney: Angus and Robertson, 1973), 111.

or directly sought to use travel as exile to distance themselves from their home nation politically, indigenous travellers had a very different agenda. When asked by a fellow delegate what "Australia" had been called before the white man, all Bob Maza could think of to say was "home". This statement, illustrating the importance of place-based politics to Indigenous Australians, facilitated what he termed a "pretty good rapport" with other delegates who felt the same about their positions of colonial subjugation.⁵⁸ Thus the delegates, far from home, were made to feel as if they were "in the black bag", as McGuinness put it.⁵⁹

The first shock the travellers experienced upon arrival was that America was far from the battlefield they had come to expect. McGuinness recalls how, rather than "armed Black people snarling at armed White people" and a general mood of dejection and powerlessness, the first sight he glimpsed was "a Black man arm in arm with a White girl"—a simple social right still unseen in most parts of Australia.⁶⁰ When taken to their hotel an even more astonishing event transpired, with a white porter scrounging for a tip their black hosts had let fall to the ground. "I don't think it was intentional", McGuinness explained, "but you should have seen that guy on his hands and knees picking up the nickels and dimes while us poor lowly coloured folk stood around watching"-an experience certainly outside of routine social interaction in Australia.⁶¹ These two experiences of everyday empowerment by a supposedly downtrodden community served as an introduction to the Congress, which by all accounts proved highly enlightening. The CAP's political aims reflected the diversity of its attendees, with its "ideological statement" outlining how "it is necessary to organize the largest mass of black people possible, worldwide, at any given time", and that as such activists needed to act in a way which would "attract and politicise the largest number". Traditional sessions on political liberation and economic autonomy were complimented with more philosophical discussions of religion and history as well as important everyday matters of law, justice, and technology.⁶²

Opportunities to converse and share ideas with a wide variety of black leaders were, however, seemingly the most significant benefit of the trip.

⁵⁸ Bob Maza quoted in Gilbert, Because a white man'll never do it, 117.

⁵⁹ McGuinness, "Report," 8.

⁶⁰ Ibid, 7.

⁶¹ Ibid, 7.

⁶²Woodard, "The Making of the New Ark," 228-30.

McGuinness recalls meeting Baraka, former beat poet and now a leading figure amongst black nationalists, who "held me spellbound for the duration of our talk". Only one other meeting, with the Rev. Jesse Jackson, sparked similar feelings in McGuinness. Jackson was "truly a commanding figure and worthy of the respect shown to him by his followers, friends and enemies", McGuinness extolled.63 Kruger recalls everyday interactions, as she "met, talked and lived with black brothers and sisters in the struggle, mostly from North America, but also from the United Caribbean, South America, Asia and Africa".⁶⁴ Overall, Davis describes the Congress as "packed with a kaleidoscope of incidents that created a pattern of indelible memories", while Maza lauded how it had opened "lines of communications between blacks throughout the world".65 Once the Congress had closed, delegates travelled across the USA to New York City, where they were interviewed by the New York Times and presented two petitions to the UN demanding its intervention in Australian affairs.⁶⁶ McGuinness recalls that a trip to Harlem presented him with an experience of intense communality. While noting the rampant crime and poverty, he believed it to be a place where, as far as the black population was concerned, "equality is reality...part blacks are regarded as 'all Black'". "I could walk on the corner of Lennox and 125th", he recalled, "where so many famous black people had walked before me. People like Martin Luther King, Malcolm X, Stokely Carmichael [and] H. Rap Brown". In this pilgrimage to such an everyday location, McGuinness truly felt part of this "Black fraternity", who were "all bonded together by their repression".67

While visiting the USA had a pronounced impact on the radicals, not all came away enamoured by Black Power. Certainly, some saw their experiences as transformative. McGuinness recalls his meeting with Black Panther representatives in New York—who he described as "the essence of the black movement"—as having provided lessons for Australia. These were not the use of violence, which "the Australian press never lets us forget",

⁶³McGuinness, "Report," 15.

⁶⁴ Kruger, "A year," 31.

⁶⁵ Davis and Chesson, *Jack Davis*, 148; Thomas A Johnson, "World Unity of Blacks Sought at Parley," *New York Times*, 4 September 1970, 42.

⁶⁶For another report on the Indigenous Australians in American newspapers see "Third World Seeks Unity," *Origin* (reprint from *New York Times*), 30 October 1970, 8–9. For the petitions presented to the UN, see *Aborigines in the USA*, 19–23.

⁶⁷McGuinness, "Report," 15. These streets now carry alternative names of Martin Luther King Boulevard and Malcolm X Boulevard, respectively. but rather their community survival programmes, whereby "in Harlem alone, they supply 500 free breakfasts to Black school kids".68 Lothian also explains how Davis's experiences of the Black Arts movement in the USA and its focus on "'inwards' rather than 'outward' looking" cultural politics deeply influenced his key involvement in Sydney's National Black Theatre.⁶⁹ The Congress's themes also shaped the way activists imagined the national aspirations of indigenous peoples. The organiser's call of "It's Nation Time" influenced Kruger, who commented that the conference allowed her to "stand back and reflect" on the fact that European Australians were "living a lie" by labelling themselves "Australians", and that the term needed to be reclaimed. "I promised myself", Kruger wrote, "that I would no longer refer to the original inhabitants of this country... as 'Aborigines' but more emphatically as 'Australians,'" for "this country is not called aboriginal land, it is Australia" and the white "interlopers" who occupied the nation needed to be awoken to the fact of indigenous sovereignty and nationhood.⁷⁰

Not all participants, however, felt that the trip had equipped them with the ideas or tools appropriate for political activity in Australia. Alyssa Trometter's recent work on the Atlanta trip quotes Bruce McGuinness, who was so impressed at the time by the Black Panthers and other figures he met in the USA, retrospectively judging the CAP gathering itself as "about as useful as a hole in the head".⁷¹ Such later reflections, made well after the heat of the moment had ebbed, speaks to the propagandistic importance of these sorts of trips, with the authors carefully constructing the narrative that they imagined activists back home wanted to read. Some, however, made their judgements more clear at the time. Bob Maza rebuked the extremes of African American politics, and those in Australia who sought to emulate them. "The black situation in the USA made me realise that if our black movement here in Australia is going to be left in the hands of whatever ego-trippers there are around...then we are going to head the same way that the black Americans did", a path Maza saw as

⁶⁸ Ibid, 14. For more on the Black Panther Party in Australia and its connections in the US see Alyssa L. Trometter, "The Fire in the Belly': Aboriginal Black Power and the rise of the Australian Black Panther Party, 1967–1972" (PhD Thesis, The University of Melbourne, 2013).

⁶⁹ Lothian, "A Blackwards Step," 149-51.

⁷⁰ Kruger, "A year," 31.

⁷¹Trometter, "'The Fire in the Belly'.

sectarian, violent, and ineffective at facilitating real change.⁷² This critique centred on the ultra-masculinist approach of some younger activists and their employment of African American terminology: Honky, Uncle Tom, and so forth. Bob Bellear similarly condemned those who held Americanstyle "ultra black ideas" and who rejected working alongside whites: "these people are certainly going to ensure that we…isolate ourselves", he warned.⁷³ The CAP's unwillingness, contrary to previous promises, to reimburse the activists' expenses would also have hardly fostered much faith in the support networks Black Power made available.⁷⁴

Other travellers in the group proposed an even broader critique of the usefulness of overseas ideas. Jack Davis argued that the experience of Black Americans, victims of transportation and slavery yet now a significant part of American life, could not really relate to Australian Aborigines, who had been in Australia "since the creation" and had little purchase on public life.75 Indeed, Indigenous Australian activists might have found much of benefit in the ideas of "Red Power" beginning to be espoused by groups like the American Indian Movement (AIM), yet little focus appears to have centred on this possibly productive avenue. This point of national distinctiveness within an international movement that was articulated at the conference by Baraka himself, who argued that "[t]he United States is not China nor nineteenth century Russia, nor even Cuba or Vietnam. It is the most highly industrialized nation ever to exist, a place where the slaves ride in Cadillacs and worship their slave master's image, as god".⁷⁶ Bob Bellear struck a similar chord, noting how "the thing is that blacks in Australia... can't equate the problems of this country, the problems of class struggle, the problems of racism in this country with problems in any other part of the world". Yet, this was not a rejection of the global as having no role in local affairs-as he related "while people are being murdered anywhere in the world you must be concerned"-but simply that local concerns must always hold precedence. "[T]he problem...is getting blacks just to know about each other, in such a vast country as this", Bellear suggested.⁷⁷

⁷²Bob Maza quoted in Gilbert, Because a white man'll never do it, 113.

⁷³Bob Bellear quoted in Gilbert, Because a white man'll never do it, 115.

⁷⁴ Aborigines in the USA, i. ABSCHOL launched a large fundraising campaign to reimburse the money.

⁷⁵ Davis and Chesson, Jack Davis, 149–50.

⁷⁶Woodard, "The making of the New Ark," 234.

⁷⁷ Bob Bellear quoted in Gilbert, Because a white man'll never do it, 115.

The Atlanta Congress seems, then, to have thrown up as many issues and problems as it did ideas and inspiration. In travelling to the heart of the Deep South, the activists were able to encounter, and critique, a "shattering new world of belligerent Black solidarity".⁷⁸ Kruger described how the Congress had made her a "sister in the struggle for the liberation of black people wherever they are and whoever they are",⁷⁹ while McGuinness was to go on to propagate Black Power ideas for years to come. On the other hand, Davis opposed what he saw as the excess of these ideas and "didn't become personally involved" in attempts to propagate them after his return. Time spent in America convinced Davis of the need for "a literate aboriginal leadership to emerge", and he deemed cultural production like theatre to be the best step forward for advancement.⁸⁰ So, while Aboriginal control of rights and welfare organisations soon became "axiomatic" in Australia, Black Power was hardly received as gospel.⁸¹

RED BLACKS: INDIGENOUS TRAVEL TO CHINA AND THE CONTRADICTIONS OF A TRANSNATIONAL POLITICS

When Roosevelt Brown arrived in Australia in 1969, Unitarian newspaper *The Beacon* noted how he "was treated as a 'trouble maker', an outside agitator here to stir up the natives. Obviously a Red. And there is nothing worse than a Red Black".⁸² Despite Brown being a member of the Bermudan Labour Party, and by all accounts a fairly moderate individual, such comments point towards longstanding fears of communist contamination. The trips Indigenous Australians made to the People's Republic of China (PRC) from 1972–74 throw light on how these "red blacks" who journeyed to the People's Republic were both inspired and disillusioned by its promises of global revolutionary unity of colonised peoples.⁸³

⁷⁸ Aborigines in the USA, i.

⁷⁹ Kruger, "A year," 31.

⁸⁰Lothian, "A Blackwards step," 150.

⁸¹Read, "Cheeky, insolent and anti-white," 80.

⁸²Quoted in Clark, Aborigines and activism, 210.

⁸³ de Costa mentions the trips in passing, de Costa, *A Higher Authority*, 101. For more on the place of China in the Australian radical imaginary see Jon Piccini, "Light from the East: Travel to China and Australian activism in the 'long 1960s," *The 1960s* 6, No. 1 (July 2013), 25–44.

The Communist Party of Australia (CPA) had been involved in indigenous rights struggles in various guises since the 1920s, making the relatively tiny organisation the only Australian political group to do so for decades. Thanks to the Communist International's (Comintern) increasing interest in the plight of oppressed national minorities, the CPA gradually adopted a platform on indigenous issues in the late 1920s and early 1930s, calling for equal wages, the end of protection boards, and for legal cases concerning Aboriginal people to be heard by an all-black jury.⁸⁴ Despite these radical notions, the popular Social Darwinist distinction between tribalised and de-tribalised peoples as well as that between fullblood and half-caste remained official policy until 1954. Yet, Communist activists still played a significant role in both distributing information and assisting in industrial disputes, like that on the Pilbara in 1946. Aboriginal activists joined the party as a consequence of its steadfast stand, though often for only a short period. Waterside worker and FCAATSI leader, Joe McGuinness, joined due to his experiences on the Cairns docks, while Charlie Leon took up membership as a builder's labourer in the 1950s, explaining how while Liberal and Labor wanted "to do things for us", the CPA "was not for charity but for our dignity".85

The CPA also played a significant role in the international propagation of the indigenous cause. They encouraged and facilitated the publication of major works including, most famously, Frank Hardy's *The Unlucky Australians* as well as ensuring that Indigenous Australians could travel overseas.⁸⁶ Well-known activist and then party member Faith Bandler attended the 1951 Berlin World Festival of Youth and Students as part of the Communist-affiliated Unity Dance Troop. Bandler had her passport as well as radical materials, including records of African American communist musician Paul Robeson, confiscated upon return. Bandler, sharing

⁸⁴For more on Comintern policy during the period, focusing on the relatable example of African Americans and the national question, see Oscar Berland, "The Emergence of the Communist Perspective on the 'Negro Question' in America: 1919–1931, Part 1," *Science and Society* 63, No. 4 (Winter 1999–2000): 411–423, Oscar Berland, "The Emergence of the Communist Perspective on the 'Negro Question' in America: 1919–1931, Part 2," *Science and Society* 64, No. 2 (Summer 2000): 194–217 and Oscar Berland, "Nasanov and the Comintern's American Negro Problem," *Science and Society* 65, No. 2 (Summer 2001): 226–8.

⁸⁵Douglas Jordon, "Conflict in the Unions: The Communist Party of Australia, Politics and the Trade Union Movement, 1945–1960" (PhD Thesis, Victoria University, 2011), 248–51

86 Ibid, 254-5, 277.

a similar fate to Robeson himself, was not to be granted another passport for a decade.⁸⁷ In 1961, builder's labourer and president of the controversial All-Blacks Redfern rugby league team Valentine "Monty" Maloney travelled to Poland, East Germany, Czechoslovakia, and Hungary at the behest of the Communist-controlled Building Workers Industrial Union, who had appointed him an "international delegate". His response to the trip was indicative of the style of reportage the CPA hoped for from its returned pilgrims. Maloney publicly joined the Party upon his return, described the nations he had visited as happy, prosperous, and having eliminated racism. Concomitantly, "the only way to give the coloured people of this country equality was through the communist party".⁸⁸

When the Sino-Soviet split occurred in 1961, the communist world was divided in half, creating a new pole of attraction for Indigenous activists. And China was, if anything, the socialist state that seemed most resolute and supportive of Third World, decolonising, and minority people's movements. Beijing had expressed solidarity with many decolonisation struggles, presenting itself as a leader of non-aligned Afro-Asian nations at the Bandung Conference, as well as supporting the rise and importance of the African American civil rights movement.⁸⁹ Mao's 1963 "Statement Supporting the Afro-American in Their Just Struggle Against Racial Discrimination by U.S. Imperialism" and a further 1968 statement "in Support of the Afro-American Struggle Against Violent Repression" were received by militant sections of the US civil rights movement as a "true signs of international solidarity".⁹⁰ Maoism also decentred the industrial working class as revolutionary agent in favour of peasants and colonised

⁸⁷ Ann Curthoys, *Faith: Faith Bandler, Gentle Activist* (Crows Nest, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 2002), 47. Robeson's American passport was confiscated between 1950 and 1958.

⁸⁸For more on Malone see Jackie Hartley, "Black, White...and Red? The Redfern All Blacks Rugby League Club in the early 1960s," *Labour History* 83 (November 2002): 165. See Malone's ASIO file for this comment, transcribed by an agent. "Valentine Edward Maloney aka Monty Maloney," 21 November 1961, Valentine Edward "Monty" Moloney/ Maloney Volume 1, A6119 2834, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

⁸⁹On Maoism's importance to Third World and decolonising struggles see Alexander C. Cook, "Third World Maoism," in *A Critical Introduction to Mao*, ed. Timothy Cheek, 288–312 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010).

⁹⁰For copies of these two statements see Fred Ho and Bill Mullen, eds, *Afro Asia: Revolutionary Political and Cultural Connections between African Americans and Asian Americans* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2008), 91–96. peoples, providing a theoretical foundation for a plethora of Third Worldist groupings both in the colony and metropole.⁹¹

One leader of the American Black Panther Party visited China in 1970, reporting how "[o]ld and young would spontaneously give emotional testimonies, like Baptist converts, to the glories of socialism", while Huey Newton commented, in a line oft-repeated by Indigenous Australian travellers, that "I felt absolutely free for the first time in my life" while in the People's Republic.⁹² As one scholar of Black Maoism recalls, this interest in the radical potentiality of China was widespread amongst African Americans activists. "In Harlem in the late 1960s and early 1970s," it is explained "it seemed as though everyone had a copy of Quotations from Chairman Mao Tse-Tung...[a]nd it wasn't unheard of to see a young black radical strolling down the street dressed like a Chinese peasant except for the Afro and sunglasses, of course." ⁹³

While Indigenous Australians never held such affection for Maoist political style, the story of their encounter with the Chinese revolution is no less interesting. It seems that the Chinese Communist Party paid little attention until 1972—a year of significant momentum. The Tent Embassy was established in Canberra, while a small group of Brisbane activists declared the formation of a Black Panther Party, with a slightly modified programme to that of their American namesake.⁹⁴ The embassy, in particular, saw significant media interest, and sparked the Chinese *People's Daily* to publish an article publically attacking the Australian government over its destruction. Lauding the "heroic" work of protestors, Beijing registered "a great sense of revulsion" at Australia's actions.⁹⁵

⁹¹On the importance of Maoism in American 'Black Power' politics see, for example, Frazier, "The Congress of African People," 143–4 and Robeson Taj P. Frasier, "Thunder in the East: China, Exiled Crusaders and the unevenness of Black Internationalism," *American Quarterly* 63, No. 4 (December 2011): 929–953 and Robeson Taj P. Frazier, "The Assault of the Monkey King on the Hosts of Heaven': The Black Freedom Struggle and China—The New Centre of Revolution," in *African Americans in Global Affairs: Contemporary Perspectives*, edited by Michael L Clemons, 313–344. (Boston, Mass.: Northeastern University Press, 2010).

⁹²Elaine Brown and Huey Newton quoted in Robin D.G. Kelly and Betsy Esche, "Black like Mao: Red China and Black Revolution," *Souls* 1, No. 4 (1999): 7–8.

⁹³Ibid, 7.

⁹⁴ On the Australian Black Panther Party, see Kathy Lothian, "Seize the Time: Australian Aborigines and the Influence of the Black Panther Party, 1969–1972," *Journal of Black Studies* 35, No. 4 (March 2005): 179–200.

95 "Chinese Papers Attack Australia," The Age, 24 July 1972, 4.

Only weeks after the Embassy's establishment, the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation (ASIO) noted that the Chinese had begun using their local intermediaries in the Communist Party of Australia (Marxist-Leninist) (CPA (M-L)) to approach prominent activists about organising indigenous delegations.96 Eric Walsh put this in context for The National Times, describing how "Peking has shown a real political interest in minority groups, particularly coloured ones, from many nations" for years, hoping that their militant rhetoric and aid would appeal more to these peoples than Soviet calls for peaceful coexistence with imperialism. These invitations, however, were "the first indication of such interest in Australia", with the author noting their coinciding with a period "when the aboriginal community is mobilising with some success for the first time". Walsh also described how the invitation had "caused considerable surprise" to the McMahon government, which was still "groping for reasons" as to why the dialogue between his government and China had broken off late in the previous year.⁹⁷ The Chinese Party, for their part, probably saw the 1972 delegation as a useful instrument in further undermining McMahon's shaky conservative government.

Activists, on the other hand, had many reasons to develop this relationship with the People's Republic. Journalist John Newfong originally proposed as a leader of the delegation until he took up a position as editor of a new journal *Identity*—spelled out why such a trip should be undertaken: tying international travel into a broader project of shaming Australia in the international arena and seeking new allies. Despite having made contact in the past with leaders in other Commonwealth nations, particularly those of India and Canada, Newfong believed that it was now "appropriate to initiate communications with countries outside the Commonwealth". The choice of China was, of course, intentionally controversial. Newfong noted that its "[e]thnic minorities…were well treated", unlike in Australia, and that if adequate gains could not be made through the proper channels, the indigenous peoples' "only hope is to conduct a lobby with overseas governments hostile to the present Government here".⁹⁸ China had proven both monetarily and politically

 $^{^{96}}$ See "CPA (M/L)—interest in Aborigines," Dixon, Charles Volume 1, A6119 3646, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

⁹⁷Eric Walsh, "Aborigines invited to China," *National Times*, 14 February 1972, in Dixon, Charles Volume 1, A6119 3646.

⁹⁸ "Aborigines hope for Peking talks", *Sydney Morning Herald*, 16 February 1972, in Dixon, Charles Volume 1, A6119 3646.

supportive of causes like the Palestinian struggle and post-colonial African states, and Australian activists thought they might be able to generate similar support for their own struggle. Charles "Chicka" Dixon, who was designated to lead the first delegation, recounts how it was hoped that "the Lib[eral Government] would stop us from going" which "would've made a good pre-election stink".⁹⁹ However, when this threat did not force the government's hand, the agenda became one of garnering political and other support, shaming Australian racism while experiencing Chinese socialism and its racial policies.

As was the case for other indigenous travellers, Dixon was a person of significant interest for ASIO, though in his case this only exacerbated suspicions raised by long-term membership of the Communist-led Waterside Workers Federation. Dixon described his 10 years working on the Sydney docks as "the greatest political experience of my life. I learnt to care about other people. We walked off the ships on Greek political prisoners, South African cargo [and] the Vietnam war". It was the latter which really fired Dixon's passions, for "it wasn't just a local issue; it was world-wide", while he recalled meetings with Maoist union leader Syd Clare who "talked to me about other people's struggles, minority group struggles", as well as the National University of Minorities in Shanghai, well before Dixon was to have a chance to see this institution for himself.¹⁰⁰ ASIO paid significant attention to Dixon's political forays, compiling several character profiles and keeping tabs not only on political activities, but his past alcoholism, incarceration, marriage, and divorce. He was described in one document as "strongly anti-European and pro-communist", in another as "shrewd [and] intelligent", while yet another mocked him as "a naïve version of Charles Perkins", the famous activist and leader of the 1965 Freedom Rides.

Dixon's intelligence, contacts in the union movement, and "love for publicity", ASIO believed, made him a "reasonable choice for organising...a tour to China".¹⁰¹ As previously discussed, the level of interest by security police seemed to be due to an ill-informed belief that their border crossing was part of a vast international communist conspiracy. A 1962 report into

¹⁰¹ "Aboriginals Progress Association," 7 October 1965, Dixon, Charles Volume 1, A6119 3646. "Aborigines tour of China: October/November 1972," Dixon, Charles Volume 2, A6119 3647, National Archives of Australia, Canberra; "INWARD MESSAGE: Aboriginal visit to Communist China," Dixon, Charles Volume 1, A6119 3646.

⁹⁹ Charles 'Chicka' Dixon quoted in Gilbert, Because a white man'll never do it, 115.

¹⁰⁰ Chicka Dixon interviewed by Gary Foley, 5 and 12 of May 1995, TRC 3282, National Library of Australia, Canberra.

Communist activities amongst indigenous Australians maintained that the CPA was fermenting a "militant Australian Aboriginal 'nationalism' [that] would enable the[m] to draw the aborigines into the Soviet government's international anti-colonial and anti-imperialist campaigns".¹⁰² The personal contact of Australian activists would only further such moves.

After a long period of organising and at least one stalled trip, the first group of activists finally departed in October 1972. The second, in July 1974, did so under less scrutiny from the government and security services. Dixon led eight other Indigenous Australian activists from different organisations, age groups, and geographical locations across the heavily guarded border, expressing understandable concern. Despite initial trepidation about the "doubly armed" Chinese guards at the Hong Kong border, the activists quickly took a positive view of the China they were presented with. Their hosts knew "little of us, except that we had made a prolonged stand outside Parliament House", and peppered them with questions. Cheryl Buchanan, an activist from Brisbane and one of only two indigenous university students in Queensland, was reported in the daily press as saying how she "was being treated like a human being for the first time in my life" in China, likening her treatment in Australia to that of "animals or inferior beings".¹⁰³ Dixon was able to compare the seeming progress of China to the inequities of Australia: "there are no babies starving like there are in Alice Springs [and] no old people going to garbage tins for a feed, like I saw in Australia". ¹⁰⁴ This idea of being treated as an equal for the first time, expressed in the same fashion that American Black Panther leaders had during their trips, was not only a "liminal" experience for these activists, but another important facet of the hospitality techniques employed by the Chinese government.

The Chinese had developed a separate itinerary for Third World and minority visitors to that designed for supporters from the developed world. Instead of only visiting universities or factories, the focus was on minority people's policies. The 1972 delegation was "the first to visit Inner Mongolia and spent a great deal of time talking to many of China's minority groups".¹⁰⁵ They were impressed with the manner in which minority

¹⁰³ "Aborigines tell China of 'racism," *The Age*, October 31 1972, 1.
¹⁰⁴ "Film of 'embassy' in Peking," *Sydney Morning Herald*, 31 October 1972, 10.
¹⁰⁵ "China visit," *Identity* 1, No. 7 (July 1973): 28.

¹⁰² Undated, untitled (censored) report, Sykes, Roberta Volume 2, A6119 4229; David McKnight, *Australia's spies and their secrets* (St Leonards, NSW: Allen & Unwin, 1994), 231.

cultures were apparently treated, and how their perspectives were incorporated into broader society. Children "learn the languages, songs, dances, music of the [minority] nationalities", while in broader society "[d]ifferent cultures are regarded as precious and are kept, nurtured, encouraged". While Chinese policies were nowhere near as open and inclusive as their public relations campaigns indicated, these experiences allowed for negative comparisons with Australia's policies towards minorities.¹⁰⁶

Besides such propagandistic uses, the trips also provided a series of lessons to activists to be brought home. Foley told a journalist during the 1974 trip of how he was impressed by the Workers and Children's Palace's in Shanghai, where "all sorts of cultural and educational activities are combined with facilities for sports and entertainment", ideas which "could be adapted very well to the needs of urban Aborigines in Australia".¹⁰⁷ China's communal way of living was equally well received. One traveller on the 1972 delegation, an "old tribal fellow", noted how the Chinese "are like us, before the white man came", a reflection of indigenous practices of sharing and living off the land.¹⁰⁸ Foley took this further, noting after his 1974 trip that, as "Aboriginal society is already semi-socialist", Chinese communes were "a concept that I believe...would be applicable after land rights is granted".¹⁰⁹ Activists were calling for a broad definition of land rights involving sovereignty over land stolen by white settlers, though if this "ultimately means the organisation of existing reserves into economically independent, autonomous communities, then the basic organisation of the people's communes is the ideal concept on which to model the [m]".110

These opinions were expressed often in the press. The conservative *News Weekly* warned that "extremists" using the Chinese example, or money gained from this dangerous source, threatened to "put back for years the legitimate cause of aboriginal reform and self-determination".¹¹¹ Another article warned that this trip was just another communist attempt

¹⁰⁶Worker-Student Alliance leaflet, Melbourne University, 1973, Dixon, Charles Volume 2, A6119 3647.

¹⁰⁷ Margaret Jones, "Aborigines in China look at Communes," *Sydney Morning Herald*, 28 January 1974, 1.

¹⁰⁸ Gilbert, Because a white man'll never do it, 116.

¹⁰⁹ Jones, "Aborigines in China," 1.

¹¹⁰ Gary Foley, "An Aboriginal in the People's Republic of China," *Identity* 2 No. 1 (July 1974): 39.

¹¹¹ "Money from China," News Weekly, 22 February 1974.

to "manipulate" Indigenous Australians, and constituted a "clear intervention by Peking in the internal affairs of Australia".¹¹² Yet, despite rhetoric from some about Aborigines now having "800 million Chinese on our side", some travellers despaired that these delegations were "perhaps too late to be of maximum benefit to the Aboriginal Advancement Movement".¹¹³ Australia's Labor opposition leader Gough Whitlam, meeting with Chinese acting Foreign Minister Ji Pengfei during a controversial 1971 visit, asked what would become both of China's covert monetary support for its acolytes in the CPA (M-L) and other involvements in Australian affairs should his party be elected and recognise the mainland. Pengfei's response, that the Chinese would pursue a policy of "non-interference" illustrates their changing foreign policy needs, as the militant anti-imperialism of the 1960s gave way to forming alliances with "small powers" like Australia and Canada.¹¹⁴ Indeed, Sobocinska relates how Whitlam was "so impressed" with the China he saw in his 1971 visit that not only was one of his first acts of government to normalise relations with the mainland, but he travelled again in 1973, the first prime ministerial visit to a Communist nation. So, "[a]fter decades of suspicion, the Australian government had become a 'fellow-traveller'", to the disappointment of the PRC's guests.¹¹⁵ "Originally, those involved had hoped for some direct financial assistance similar to that provided for black countries in Africa and for Black America", one article bemoaned, but the harsh world of *realpolitik* had ensured that "this particular delegation seems to have been regarded as hardly more than a lobbyist overture".¹¹⁶

An important contradiction in the discursive solidarity of decolonising and Third World nations is evident here. In idealising China and pinning

¹¹² "Fishing in troubled waters," News Weekly, 25 February 1972.

¹¹³ "BLACK POWER WARNING: Support for Aboriginals," *Daily Telegraph*, 12 February 1973; "China Visit," 28.

¹¹⁴William Griffiths, "Barbarians in the Middle Kingdom: Whitlam talks with China, 1971" (BA Honours Thesis, University of Sydney, 2011), 43. China was in fact beginning to reconsider its foreign policy orientation, with Mao announcing the "Theory of Three Worlds" in 1974, which placed powers like Australia as a second world of oppressed developed nations. For more on these changes at the level of foreign policy see Kuisong Yang and Yafeng Xia, "Vacillating between Revolution and Détente: Mao's Changing Psyche and Policy Toward the United States, 1969–1976," *Diplomatic History* 34, No. 2 (April 2010): 395–423.

¹¹⁵ Agneiszka Sobocinska, "Australian Fellow Travellers to China: Devotion and Deceit in the People's Republic," *Journal of Australian Studies* 32, No. 3 (September 2008): 331.

¹¹⁶ "China Visit," 28.

hopes for both "substantial financial aid" and support in mounting "an international lobby" on its leadership, the indigenous travellers did not count on the regime's ever-present self-interest.¹¹⁷ China was always in a state of flux, and these travellers did not have access to the same opportunities that their American Black Panther counterparts did only a few years before, or those of other Australian travellers in the 1950s and 1960s for that matter. For, as one activist put it in the wake of Whitlam's promise of recognition and normalising relations, "sponsorship of Australian Aborigines for political reasons or otherwise must, to the Chinese, [now] seem far less attractive".¹¹⁸ This was, after all, the beginning of détente, a period of "convergent response to disorder among the great powers", as Jeremi Suri argues. The revolt of China's youth and workers became just as out of hand as did those in the West, with elites across the Cold War divide beginning to see such manifestations as part of a global threat to their bureaucratic powers, which had to be stamped out through mutual cooperation.119

Indeed, Foley's 1974 delegation was effectively barred from meaningful contact with the Australian media while in China. Tellingly, a reporter for the Australian Broadcasting Commission's AM current affairs programme opined that perhaps such measures were taken "because the Chinese authorities fear that militants in the delegation might say something...detrimental to the Australian government", certainly not a matter that would previously have concerned the brash and belligerent regime.¹²⁰ Despite these disappointments, China provided the travellers with new experiences and ideas that both reflected and informed the increasingly militant tinge of Aboriginal activism. Although people's communes never flourished in the Northern Territory, leading indigenous activists took away from what they saw in China that another world was indeed possible. If travel to America had showed to some the limits of Black Power radicalism, experiences of China equally displayed that a transnational activism that sought global alliances with the Third World could be easily doomed to failure.

¹¹⁷ "China trip by Aborigines, *Canberra Times*, 14 February 1972 and "Chinese Aid for Blacks," *Canberra Times*, 17 March 1972. Cuttings in Newfong, John Archibald Volume 1, A6119 3434, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

¹¹⁹ Jeremi Suri, *Power and Protest: Global Revolution and the Rise of Détente* (Cambridge: Mass: Harvard University Press, 2003), 2.

¹²⁰ Transcript of "AM", 31 January 1974, Foley, Gary Volume 1, A6119 3871, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

¹¹⁸ "China Trip", 29.

CONCLUSION

Sydney activist Paul Coe, whose rousing Moratotium speech so challenged Denis Freney in Chap. **3**, was invited to speak at a Canadian anthropological symposium in 1972 alongside "people from...FRELIMO [Front for the Liberation of Mozambique] as well as other south-east African liberation movements". There, he made what now might be seen as a surprising argument: that "the Aboriginal movement should be classified as a liberation movement rather than a civil rights movement or a land rights movement", for "we had been colonised just as forcefully and arrogantly as anyone else in Africa or in the States".¹²¹ He returned home having been told that Indigenous Australians "would have a great part to play" in an emerging "international solidarity movement to destroy capitalism", the "arrogance and greed" of which was the real enemy of indigenous peoples around the world.¹²²

It was the transnational imagination of which Coe's statements are but one example that led to well-known incidents like the founding of Australia's Black Panther Party and provided much of the impetus for the Tent Embassy. It also, as this chapter has shown, fired the passion of activists to venture overseas and experience for themselves the ideologies of Black Nationalism and Third World uplift, to better understand what liberated thinking meant in practice. As Maynard has put it, travel provided "a much broader perspective of events and made [activists] aware that others around the globe" were in a similarly submissive and rebellious position, giving them "the courage to challenge the notions of inferiority they were expected to accept".¹²³ This was, however, far from an easy process, with often-contradictory experiences matching that of the decolonisation project itself. Going overseas could just as easily prove the irrelevance of global ideas to local realities or expose Australian activists to the often-harsh world of *realpolitik*.

Events in the mid-1970s also made involvement in these global rebellious networks a less favourable prospect. Whitlam's government made it a priority to begin funding indigenous travellers, arguably institutionalising the practice and robbing it of any radical lustre. The Labor government

¹²¹ Paul Coe quoted in Gilbert, *Because a white man'll never do it*, 111. ¹²² Ibid, 111.

¹²³ Fred Maynard, "Transcultural/transnational interaction and influences on Aboriginal Australia," in *Connected Worlds: History in Transnational Perspective*, eds. Ann Curthoys and Marilyn Lake, 208 (Canberra: ANU E-Press, 2005).

provided significant financial backing to those participating in the Lagos festival, for instance, and two white bureaucrats travelled with Noonuccal on her trip to its planning congress. The Third World project itself seemed to be falling apart, as well. The hosting of the Lagos Festival by Nigeria's latest military ruler after its disastrous civil war points towards some of the troubling realities of the decolonisation process, while the Congress of African People soon became a staunchly Maoist organisation and dissolved into one of countless Marxist groupuscules that populated the 1970s US Left. And perhaps most importantly, China's rapprochement with the West continued under Mao's successors, effectively ending the decades-long role it had played as a political utopia for Australian activists. The ideal of a global revolutionary force of oppressed coloured peoples-what Prashad dubs "the darker nations"—was swiftly unravelling.¹²⁴ Despite the failure of this particular utopian imagination, it would be a mistake to dismiss this search for wider horizons out of hand. Important lessons were learned and translated into new contexts, and perhaps most importantly, leading indigenous activists encountered a world where they were not "animals or lesser creatures", but instead equal human beings struggling for a better world. As the Chinese began coming in from the cold, however, another group of transnational actors were about to make their presence felt on the campuses and streets of Australia.

¹²⁴ On the decline of Third Worldism see Vijay Prashad, *The Darker Nations: A People's History of the Third World* (New York: The New Press, 2007). On this transition of the CAP see Frazier, "The Congress of African People."

A Dangerous Disease to Catch: Overseas Students, Transnational Policing and the Passing of an Idea

On 10 December 1974, the Singaporean government received an unwelcome guest. Ian Macdonald, president-elect of the National Union of Australian University Students' (NUAUS) successor organisation, the Australian Union of Students (AUS), arrived on a tourist visa, supposedly "to do some shopping". Although this was not uncommon for an Australian, with 40,000 trips being made to the island state annually, his arrival aroused suspicion.¹ Macdonald, who had been co-operating for months with dissident Asian students in Australia to protest repression in their homelands, was summoned for an interview with the head of Singapore's Immigration Department upon arrival. He then admitted, in the words of the local daily New Nation, to having "other business to transact". "Contact[ing] students of the Singapore University" as well as making "arrangements for student travel and attend[ing] the court case of Tan Wah Piow", a militant student charged along with two labour leaders for fomenting a strike in the repressive state, were high on the traveller's agenda.² Needless to say, MacDonald's planned tour was drastically cut short, with his being given "in effect...24 hours notice to leave".³

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016 J. Piccini, *Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s*, DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-52914-5_7 181

¹Agnieszka Sobocinska, "People's Diplomacy: Australian travel, tourism and relations with Asia, 1941–2009" (PhD Thesis, University of Sydney, 2010), 81.

² New Nation transcript, 13 December 1974 in Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

³Michael Richardson, "Students strain friendship," The Age 17 December 1974, 8.

Ten months later, Philip Boon Bong Lim, a Malaysian student studying at the University of Melbourne, was fined \$50 by the Adelaide Magistrates Court for "having thrown a missile likely to damage property of the Australian government".⁴ Lim had attended a protest rally against the visit of Malaysia's autocratic leader Tun Abdul Razak and, having noticed a member of the prime minister's entourage photographing protestors, a fellow student informed the court, Lim had "bent down to avoid being photographed and then picked up a stone", which had proceeded to damage Razak's vehicle.⁵ These "anti-Malaysian activities", as Razak's administration labelled overseas student activism, led Education Minister Mahathir Mohamed to threaten that students engaged in "activities detrimental to the country will be detained when they return home"—a threat those involved knew to be anything but hollow.⁶

A central claim of this book has been that an ethic of solidarity developed amongst Australian activists towards global struggles, primarily in the Third World. Australians came to see their counterparts in Asia as not just recipients of charity, but partners in a global revolutionary struggle. Although such transnational connections were an important part of the period's activism, they rarely required the involvement of "the other" in the form of overseas activists in any meaningful way. However, as the example of Australia's increasingly mobile indigenous activists illustrates, the movement of what Judy Tzu-Chun Wu labels "unexpected historical actors" were an important part of the period's worldedness.⁷ Many such travellers between Third and First World nations were students, either taken up under Cold War treaties like the Colombo Plan or privately funded, who were to be inculcated with anti-communist ideas. However, through a series of coincidental local and global developments, sections of a previously quiet and largely ignored international student community sought to capture Australia's public limelight, mobilise their peers, and turn the rhetorical solidarity of Australian students into practical reality.

⁴ "Malaysian fined \$50 over incident," *The News* (Adelaide), 20 October 1975, in Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

⁵ Ibid.

⁶ "Mahathir: Majority are being forced to criticize the Government—Warning to our students overseas," *New Straits Times*, 12 October 1975, in Private Overseas Student Program— Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

⁷Judy Tzu-Chun Wu, "Journeys for Peace and Liberation: Third World Internationalism and Radical Orientalism during the U.S. War in Vietnam," *Pacific Historical Journal* 76, No. 4 (2007): 577.

These student travellers, labelled "dissident guests" by Quinn Slobodian, played an important role across the globe, not only by mobilising protest in their countries of temporary residence, but also through encouraging concerned governments to co-operate in ending such radical cross-pollination.⁸ As Macdonald noted upon his return to Australia, the leadership of many South East Asian nations saw "the influence of student behaviour in the Western democracies, especially Australia, as a dangerous disease... to catch".⁹ This chapter analyses how these connections, between radical students on the one hand, and their respective governments on the other, were consolidated and challenged during a period of intense change and unrest in the region, culminating in the first steps towards Australia's overseas student industry. It also seeks to understand what can be called the end of the 1960s: the contradictory moment whereby a truly transnational relationship of solidarity and exchange was formed while the historical moment was rapidly ebbing.

A Problem to be Managed: Laying the Foundations for Transnational Exchange

The state of Malaysia came into existence in 1963 after the absorption of Sabah, Sarawak, and briefly, Singapore into what had been previously known as the Federation of Malaya. Students, as Meredith Weiss argues, played important roles in both the anti-colonial struggle and, later, in anti-government activism. The University of Malaya (then located in Singapore, before a move to Kuala Lumpur) was formed in 1949, taught exclusively in English, and had a tiny intake of students. Still, it was the University's Socialist Club that defined campus intellectual life in the 1950s, with the group's newspaper *Fajar* (Dawn) becoming "the intellectual forum of the left and the anti-colonial movement".¹⁰ *Fajar*'s editors faced sedition charges over the publication of an article that attacked US and British policies in the region in the wake of the Viet Minh victory against French colonialism at Dien Bien Phu

⁸Quinn Slobodian, "Dissident Guests: Afro-Asian Students and Transnational Activism in the West German Protest Movement," in *Migration and Activism in Europe Since 1945*, ed. Wendy Pojmann, 33–55 (New York: Palgrave, 2008).

⁹Richardson, "Students strain friendship," 8.

¹⁰Meredith W. Weiss, "Still with the people? The chequered path of student activism in Malaysia," *South East Asia Research* 13, No. 3 (November 2005): 294.

After independence, student activism continued, albeit in a diminished capacity, until 1969, when racial rioting saw parliamentary democracy give way to a 2-year period of emergency rule. During this period, students-who had challenged the ruling alliance's hold on elections-were "rebuked as meddling puppets of communist and opposition forces", not helped by the fact that many were Chinese while the government was moving towards a strident Malay nationalism.¹¹ The University and University Colleges Act was passed in 1971, and strengthened further in 1974. Continued student opposition and attempts to "join...forces with peasants and workers in presenting a socialist-inflected challenge to state-led development policies, as well as protesting about international concerns such as the Vietnam War and Middle East conflict",¹² were controlled with "[w]aves of tear gas [and] arrests".¹³ As Hishammudin Rais, described as the "Che Guevara of Malaysian student politics" and later temporary resident of Australia noted, "we would debate all kinds of topics including the Vietnam War and legalising marijuana".¹⁴

While Australian students shared many of these concerns with their Malaysian counterparts, there was rarely any significant collaboration between the two communities. The use of Asia as a protest aesthetic instead saw the idealised Third World, usually Vietnamese, freedom fighter appear in a plethora of protest publications. Despite its intentions of moving beyond the corpse polemic to real political engagement, Trotskyist paper *Direct Action's* January 1971 cover, "Tired of marching, what if they were?" invoked the inhuman sacrifices and struggles of a people who were still largely unknown to activists.¹⁵ This comparison could also lead to a sense of moral equivalency between their two positions in the global movement, sometimes spilling over into a narcissistic projection of the importance of Australian activism. Anne Summers, later a key figure in feminist activism and writing, narrates how upon seeing an early anti-war rally march past her Adelaide University office:

¹¹Meredith W. Weiss, "Intellectual Containment: The Muting of Students in Semidemocratic Southeast Asia," *Critical Asian Studies* 41, No. 4 (December 2009): 509.

¹²Weiss, "Still with the people," 298.

¹³Weiss, "Intellectual Containment," 509.

¹⁴ "Still living on the edge", *The Star Online*, November 26, 2006, available at http://thestar.com.my/lifestyle/ story.asp?file=/2006/11/26/lifefocus/15728774&sec=lifefocu s, accessed 21 October 2011.

¹⁵ Direct Action, January 1971, 1.

I felt frustrated watching these events. I would have liked nothing more than to have been part of the protests but I was still working full-time, as an administrative assistant at the Workers' Educational Association...I was trying to find enough people for a creative flower arranging course while the fate of the Third World was being determined, or so it seemed, on the streets outside.¹⁶

This understanding that national liberation struggles in far-off countries could be "determined" on the streets of sleepy Adelaide by a First World population was contradictory. While it was a clear act of (naïve, as Summers alludes to) solidarity, the "voice" of Third World students was absent, de-subjectifying a group of students who were undoubtedly present on campus.

These two protest communities were brought together by Cold War alliances and agreements. Malaysia was, after all, a former British colony, a member of the Commonwealth of Nations, and a key pillar of both the South East Asia Treaty Organisation (SEATO) and the Colombo Plan. These agreements were seen as vital tools in Australian policies of containment and forward defence. Australia and Malaysia shared close military and political co-operation, although Malaysia's post-colonial opposition to Apartheid and the continuing White Australia Policy challenged this at times. Australian troops had served in the territory throughout the 1950s and 1960s, combating Communist guerrillas and taking Malaysia's side in the Indonesian confrontation. This collaboration underpinned the Five Power Defence Arrangements between Australia, Malaysia, Singapore, the UK, and New Zealand of 1971.¹⁷ Educational commitments under the Colombo Plan ensured Australia was host to many Malaysian students seeking technical training for use in their homeland. Australia also proved to be a favoured destination for self-funded private students, usually those of Chinese descent excluded from higher education under pro-Malay university entry policies. By the mid-1970s, there were around 10,000

¹⁶Anne Summers, *Ducks on the Pond: An Autobiography*, 1945–1976 (Ringwood, Vic.: Viking, 1999), 231.

¹⁷For SEATO see Damien Fenton, *To Cage the Red Dragon: SEATO and the Defence of South East Asia, 1955–1965* (Singapore: NUS Press, 2012). For the Colombo Plan see Daniel Oakman, *Facing Asia: A History of the Colombo Plan* (Canberra: Pandanus Books, 2004). For rhetorical conflicts between Malaysia and Australia see Kevin Blackburn, "Disguised anti-colonialism: Protest against the White Australia Policy in Malaya and Singapore, 1947–62," *Australian Journal of International Affairs* 55, No. 1 (2001), 101–17. On the Five Power Defence Agreement see Ian Storey et al. (eds.), *Five power defence arrangements at forty* (Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies, 2011).

overseas students studying in Australia, of whom 6000 were Malaysians. A large majority of these—at least 80 %—were private scholars unconnected to government aid programmes, and as such not sponsored by or directly answerable to their home nations either.

Considerable scholarly attention has been devoted of late to Colombo Plan students. A 1951 agreement on technical and economic support that Lyndon Megaritty describes as "fighting the Cold War through aid", the Colombo Plan involved hosting a small number of overseas scholars in Australian educational institutions.¹⁸ This was supposed to be a well-managed policy which would "ensure that Australia as a European dominated country was seen in the best possible light by its regional neighbours".¹⁹ These students constituted the first post-war figure of Australian global engagement, as Nicholas Brown has put it, and were also the first personal encounter many Australians had with Asians outside of a military context.²⁰ Private scholars—the main contributors to radicalism and consequently those who caused the highest level of governmental concern-are less visible in this literature. Despite being by far the largest group numerically, no clear policy or objective governed them until 1966, when the intention of "help[ing] the student's homelands by increasing their numbers of qualified people" was belatedly announced. There were also perpetual concerns that these students were not making appropriate use of Australia's overextended higher education network, or were simply migrants hoping to secure permanent residency, and as such hardly assisting in fostering international relations.²¹ They became, as Megarrity explains, "a 'problem' to be managed".²²

¹⁸Lyndon Megarrity, "Regional Goodwill, Sensibly Priced: Commonwealth policies towards Colombo Plan scholars and private overseas students, 1945–72," *Australian Historical Studies* 38, No. 129 (2007): 88–105.

¹⁹Ibid, 93.

²⁰Nicholas Brown, "Student, Expert, Peacekeeper: Three Versions of International Engagement," *Australian Journal of Politics and History* 57, No. 1 (March 2011): 34–52; David Oakman, "Young Asians in Our Homes': Colombo Plan Students and White Australia," *Journal of Australian Studies* 72 (2002): 89–98. For other scholarship exploring Colombo Plan scholars, see David Lowe, "The Colombo Plan and 'soft' regionalism in the Asia-Pacific: Australian and New Zealand Cultural Diplomacy in the 1950s and 1960s," Alfred Deakin Research Institute Working Paper No. 1, 2010.

²¹Lyndon Megaritty, "Under the Shadow of the White Australia Policy: Commonwealth policies on Private Overseas Students 1945–1972," *Change: Transformations in Education* 8, No. 2 (2005): 37.

²²Megaritty, Regional Goodwill, Sensible Priced," 89.

Two key reforms in Australia had a profound impact on the developing private overseas student "crisis". In 1973, the newly elected Whitlam government abolished the last vestiges of the White Australia Policy, including the stipulation that all overseas students had to return home after completing their course of study. Additionally, in 1974, higher education was made free for both domestic and international students.²³ These two events laid the foundations for a new and more profound voice in the form of a vocal overseas student movement, unhindered by political controls on their activity and (seemingly) fears of deportation, concretely bringing global concerns into a local setting. On the other hand, a growing realisation on the part of Australian authorities that allowing overseas students entry in such numbers was no longer economically viable, as well as constituting a significant strain on bilateral relations, drove Whitlam-era officials to seek greater collaboration with a Malaysian government keen on silencing voices of dissent abroad.

The Projection Screen Speaks: Australians Find Overseas Student Activists

It is a common understanding amongst scholars that Western student movements used Third World revolutionaries as projection screens, inscribing their revolutionary fantasies onto an unknowable other, and Australians were frequently guilty of this.²⁴ Such distant idolisation is, however, far from the whole story. The direct involvement of Third World students in political campaigns, particularly alongside West German students, have been unearthed by historians like Slobodian, who explains that "the projection screen spoke", mobilising students on a plethora of international issues. "Educational migrations from the Third World", Slobodian argued, "created the conditions for Africans and Asians to speak in their own names, rather than as distant objects of charity or romantic identification".²⁵ These students have thus received a new voice and

²³Lyndon Megaritty, "A highly regulated 'free market': Commonwealth policies on private overseas students from 1974 to 2005," *Australian Journal of Education* 51, No. 1 (2007): 40.

²⁴See, for example, Uta G. Poiger, "Imperialism and Consumption: Two Tropes in West German Radicalism" in *Between Marx and Coca-Cola: Youth Cultures in Changing European Societies, 1960–1980*, eds. Alex Schmidt and Detlef Siegfried, 161–72 (New York, Oxford: Berghahn Books, 2006).

²⁵Slobodian, "Dissident Guests," 33.

prominence in narratives of 1960s protest. This new approach allows for a more nuanced understanding of how a global ethic of solidarity develops in social movements, possibly best summed up in moral philosopher, Emanuel Levinas's understanding that "ethics reside[s] in the face-to-face encounter with the other and the choices these evoke".²⁶ Or, as Rudi Dutschke, West German radical and self described "internationalist", related on his experiences of overseas student protest: "Our friends from the Third World stepped into the breach…and the Germans had to follow".²⁷

The road overseas students took to becoming transnational political subjects was, however, long and winding. There were many good reasons why one would not want to raise dissent while abroad, with some fearing retribution either by their home governments or by Australia's government. One Singaporean studying at the University of New South Wales (UNSW), which had the highest number of overseas enrolments, recalled how overseas students were "always on our toes" due to the White Australia Policy, and the immigration department "would come down hard" on those it deemed as not adequately fulfilling their academic obligations.²⁸ The student experience also left some isolated from Australian political life, with many recoiling into either studious monasticism or participation in national-based social activities. One student remembered that it was important "not to step over the line" between these student activities and politics, while another believed that the segregated social circles of overseas and Australian students meant they were rarely sought out for political activity—"I [didn't] go out to the pub with them that often", they remarked wryly.²⁹

However, students from Malaysia appear to have been organising politically—at least in private—for some time, with the "Socialist Club of Malaysian Students, Victoria" publishing a journal since the late 1950s.

²⁶Quote from Douglas Booth, "Beyond History: Racial emancipation and ethics in Apartheid sport," *Rethinking History* 14, No. 4 (December 2010): 461.

²⁷Rudi Dutschke quoted in Timothy S. Brown, "'1968' East and West: Divided Germany as a Case Study in Transnational History," *American Historical Review* 114, No. 1 (February 2009): 75.

²⁸Long Foo Yee interviewed by Julia Horne, 10 July 2000, OH 132, UNSW Archives Oral History Collection, Sydney.

²⁹ Chua Yong Hai interviewed by Allison Holland, 14 February 2000, OH 125, UNSW Archives Oral History Collection, Sydney; Michael Chan interviewed by Julia Horne, 28 November 2001, OH 138, UNSW Archives Oral History Collection, Sydney.

ASIO also paid some attention to these students, seeing them as particularly susceptible to Communist Party of Australia (CPA) propaganda, although the agents could unearth nothing to substantiate these fears.³⁰ Students also kept abreast of developments at home by receiving information about radical nationalist or socialist movements through newspaper subscriptions or private letters.³¹ Others recall hearing nationalist leaders like the soon-to-be prime minister of Singapore Lee Quan Yew speak, on the rare occasions that such leaders visited.³² And a small number of articles began appearing in newspapers like the UNSW's *Tharunka* and Monash's *Lot's Wife*, the editors of which actively encouraged correspondence from overseas students. One writer was Loh Chee Hong, who in 1966 argued that Australia's imperial fantasies and racism clouded positive engagement with the Asian region. Australia was described in feminine terms as the "daughter" of Britain, hoping for the USA to defend her from the "Great Fiery Dragon" of yellow hordes to the north.³³

The Overseas Student Service (OSS) was the official representative of these growing numbers of overseas students in Australia. Founded in 1957 under government auspices to provide services and support, the OSS underwent a gradual transformation "from dependence to independence" during the same period, as Vivien Fleming explains.³⁴ After an initial period of inactivity and a limited focus on welfare, it was soon "snapped out of its lethargy" by a 1969 conference which "made reforms…strengthened its links with campus officers and appointed a research committee", all under the new leadership of the energetic director, Charles Chew.³⁵ By 1971, the OSS began making more forceful representations to government for the rights of overseas students in Australia, successfully winning a yearly

³⁰For evidence of this groups existence, see correspondence between the Socialist Club of Malaysian Students, Victoria and Lorraine Salmon, wife of CPA foreign correspondent Malcolm Salmon, in Salmon Family Papers, MLMSS 6105, Box 16, State Library of New South Wales (SLNSW). For surveillance of students for possible communist sympathies see Oakman, "Young Asians in Our Homes," 91.

³¹Jimmy Koh interviewed by Julia Horne, 7 July 2000, OH 130, UNSW Archives Oral History Collection, Sydney.

³² Ibid; Alfred Shum interviewed by Julia Horne, 30 November 2001, OH 142, UNSW Archives Oral History Collection, Sydney.

³³Loh Chee Hong, "voice of Asia," Lot's Wife, 28 June 1966, 4.

³⁴Vivien Fleming, "From Dependence to Independence: The History of the Overseas Student Service" (BA Honours Thesis, Flinders University, 1986).

³⁵Graham Hastings, *It can't happen here: A political history of Australian student activism* (Adelaide: Student Association of Flinders University, 2003), 153.

government grant of \$5500 dollars to fund a full-time secretary and typist. Additionally, the students had begun to shift away from, as the 1973 Director related, a focus on "the symptoms and not the causes of overseas students' unhappiness" towards an engagement with both domestic and international issues. 1971 saw the first truly politically campaign launched by overseas students, when South Vietnamese student Troung Phuc Troung revealed approaches by his government requesting he spy on expatriate dissidents studying in Australia "after a number…had delivered outspoken speeches at a seminar during Information Week at the University of Western Australia in 1968".³⁶ As the Director of OSS noted at the time:

Overseas students are now extremely uneasy about expressing opinions, and this is a country that believes in the principle of "Free Speech". It would appear that the South Vietnamese Government is not only trying to 'gag' critics of its policy, but at the same time, infringe upon an individual right within a democracy.³⁷

Indeed, the first time a foreign student received front-page coverage in Australia's national student newspaper, National 'U', was in response to this 1968 seminar. Under the headline "Viet Student Speaks Out" it was revealed that Tran Thanh Dang, a fourth year economics student at UWA in Perth had addressed a "capacity audience" of some 500 people on the high levels of support for the NLF amongst South Vietnamese, the corruption of the former Diem regime and the rigging of the 1967 elections.³⁸ Tran's status as a Colombo Plan student became a cause for concern. The article's author explained how the student "risks censure and faces serious repercussions from the Australian and South Vietnamese governments" for expressing his opinions so openly. "Although there has been no instance of a Scholarship being withdrawn for purely political reasons", it was revealed, "each recipient must sign an undertaking not to engage in political activities". National U sought to present Tran's actions as "an example to the many Asian students in Australia who have been reluctant to contribute to such debates and have thus denied Australians their much-needed first-hand knowledge of the Asian scene".³⁹

³⁶Fleming, "From Dependence to Independence," 43.

³⁷Report of the OSS Director to the AUS August Council, 1971, quoted in Fleming, "From Dependence to Independence", 43.

³⁸ Bob French, "Viet Student Speaks Out," *National U*, 14 October 1968, 1.

³⁹Ibid, 1.

While such instances of assertiveness did not provoke the wave of reactions some radical students hoped for, a steady trickle of criticism began. Sekai Holland, a Rhodesian student of law under the Special Commonwealth African Assistance Plan and now a significant player in Zimbabwean politics, played a key role in the organising of protests against the Springboks tour in 1971, and more generally in other anti-apartheid activism, during her long stay.⁴⁰ Similarly, in 1974 an anonymous Iranian student studying in Canberra penned a long, emotional plea to Australians, seeking their support in opposing the imminent arrival of the dictatorial Shah of Iran on a state visit.⁴¹ Iranian students had by the late 1960s developed a truly transnational student movement, becoming "an integral part of the student movements in the United States and Western Europe" and a running sore on the Shah's foreign policy.⁴² Much like their Malaysian counterparts, "Iranian students abroad enjoyed rights that were absent in Iran, especially the freedoms of speech, assembly, and press" while in the West.⁴³ The student's National U article, written under the pseudonym "A.N.", noted how "for the first time in my life I am feeling free to write about all the suppression and injustices that has been done to me and my fellow students back home in Iran".⁴⁴ He ended his article with a globally inflected call to arms: "Students and people in European countries and America showed their feelings by bitter demonstrations against the Shah's visits there...[t]he people of Iran will appreciate your sympathy for the victims of the Shah's corrupt dictatorship".45

The student's plea, "as a representative of millions of Iranians who are helpless to protest for their human rights", can be seen to constitute a kind of middle ground in the development of ethical solidarity. Rather than appearing merely as a distant object of charity, this student was visible (despite his anonymity) and could be perceived as a fellow student engaged in political activity. A "Stop the Shah" committee was quickly

⁴⁰See Special Commonwealth African Assistance Plan—South Rhodesia—Legal Training— Miss Sekai M. Love, A1838, 2305/4//25/2, National Archives of Australia, Canberra for details.

⁴¹A.N., "Iran under the Shah," National U, 2 September 1974, 3.

⁴²Matthew Shannon, "An Augury of Revolution: The Iranian Student Movement and American Foreign Policy, 1960–1972" (Masters Thesis, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, 2009), 2.

43 Ibid, 2.

⁴⁴A.N., "Iran under the Shah," 3.

45 Ibid, 3.

established and organised a 150-strong demonstration at the official welcome ceremony outside Melbourne Town Hall. It was the most expensive police operation in Victorian history, with the protestors condemning how even though "the Shah brought with him three Boeing 707 jets with a large staff and TV crew...still the Labor government budgeted \$43,000 dollars towards the expenses of his trip". Three protestors were arrested at the ensuing demonstration and one hospitalised, with the correspondent quipping that "Perhaps the cops wanted to show the Shah that they could imitate [his] police in putting down opposition".⁴⁶

It was during this period in 1974 that Khoo Ee Liam, who had undertaken studies in Australia and New Zealand from 1965 to 1971, was arrested under Malaysia's restrictive Internal Security Act. The vague definition of a "security risk" provided in this controversial legislation allowed thousands to be detained indefinitely without trial. Andrew Jamieson condemned Liam's detention in National U as "not only... show[ing] up the lack of civil liberties prevalent in Malaysia", but also "giv[ing] rise to the knowledge that Malaysian students studying here and in New Zealand are subjected to political surveillance".⁴⁷ President of the Overseas Student Service, Michael Yeoh, ominously warned that "the 6000 Malaysian students in Australia are being watched".⁴⁸ The timing of this "finding" of Malaysian student dissent by Australia's national student newspaper was, however no coincidence. In 1974, Malaysia's Universities and University Colleges Act was further strengthened in response to mass student unrest. It caused concern to the government that these manifestations involved many Malay students, and ended in a military occupation of the University of Malaysia's Kuala Lumpur campus.⁴⁹ That same year, the Overseas Student Service took a dramatic turn towards a radical politics. The Whitlam government, elected in December 1972, had "met... many of the basic demands of the OSS"-such as the removal of development assistance criteria on applications and making it easier for students to become permanent residents after graduation.⁵⁰

Thus, the OSS president's 1973 report to the National Student Union's Annual Council spelt out that the organisation wished to "interpret student

⁴⁶Max Wechsler, "The Shah's visit: The Butcher's Backyard," National U, 7 October 1974, 7.

⁴⁷Andrew Jamieson, "Malaysian Student Victimised," National U, 1 July 1974, 4.

⁴⁸Michael Yeoh quoted in Jamieson, "Malaysian Student Victimised," 4.

⁴⁹Weiss, "Still with the people," 307–9.

⁵⁰ Hastings, It Can't Happen Here, 153.

welfare in a much wider context", abandoning its previous role as "completely outside politics". Believing that this position would soon incur "the wrath of the Department of Foreign Affairs", OSS sought to reach out for Union support to fund its operations in the form of a full-time director's position, in case Foreign Affairs decided to pull funding.⁵¹ This was a big step for OSS to make, given overseas students had been almost completely ignored previously by the Union, "ironically so in years when general student interest in Asian affairs was rising sharply because of Australia's Vietnam involvement".⁵² This ignorance was so pronounced, that an OSS representative asked in a 1972 issue of Tharunka whether Australian students might turn their "anti-racialist" energies towards the overseas student "silent minority" in their midst, before Australia becomes "unique in having the only non-international campuses in the world".⁵³ The Union, which became AUS in 1971, began focusing on overseas students after Whitlam's reforms saw an almost doubling in numbers during 1973-4, hence making them a significant constituency for the first time. As part of their new form of radical activism, overseas students also began to reconsider the role they played in Australia's foreign policy.

Activist Timothy Ong described in a lengthy piece how "Australia's foreign student policy was born in the climate of the Cold War with an explicit political intent", caught as it was between a natural affinity with colonial powers and the "proximity of increasingly 'troublesome' neighbours to the north".⁵⁴ How it dealt with this dilemma, the activists felt, was best summed up by Jean Paul Sartre's famous denunciation of colonialism in his introduction to Frantz Fanon's *The Wretched of the Earth*:

The European elite undertook to manufacture a native elite. They picked out promising adolescents; they branded them; as with a red hot iron, with the principles of Western culture [and] after a short stay in the mother country they were sent home, whitewashed.

Students could only resist such whitewashing and indoctrination by "breaking out of the role assigned to them" by the post-colonial education

⁵¹Report of the OSS Director to the AUS August Council, 1973, quoted in Fleming, "From Dependence to Independence," 43.

⁵⁴Timothy Ong, "The politics of Australia's foreign-student policy," *Malaya News Service*, 1–15 September 1975, 7.

⁵²Fleming, "From Dependence to Independence," 34.

⁵³The OSS, "The plight of Asian students," *Tharunka*, 8 November 1972, 4.

system.⁵⁵ OSS member P. Chandran argued similarly in a 1974 issue of Tharunka that overseas students "must rid ourselves of the 'Aid' mentality which has characterised us in the past and which causes us to see ourselves as no more than aid components with no role to play in this society". They called upon their fellow students to "rise above the sense of helplessness that has plagued us in the past" and "learn from the students of Thailand" who were rebelling against the military regime.⁵⁶ Upon hearing of Liam's imprisonment, on charges of having "close association with members of the New Zealand Communist Party" and seeking to join the banned Malayan National Liberation Front, OSS launched a "Free Khoo Ee Liam" campaign, which included a call for the end of political surveillance. A rally was held to coincide with Malaysian Independence Day, 31 August, which drew some 150 participants onto the street of Sydney. Many overseas protestors donned "masks to protect their identities from Malaysian authorities and highlight for the Australian public the issue of political surveillance", while in a particularly theatrical occupation of urban space, the protestors carried a coffin painted with the slogan "democracy is dead in Malaysia" down busy city streets.⁵⁷

This upturn of struggles in Australia by Malaysian students was the first of several international incidents that forced the hands of Australian and South East Asian governments into curbing this seemingly threatening new relationship. On 9 December—a day before Ian Macdonald's arrival in Singapore—the *The Age's* South-East Asia correspondent Michael Richardson reported on a rather odd press conference in Kuala Lumpur. "Two Malaysian Ministers"—head of Home Affairs Tan Ghazali and Mahathir Mohamed, recently appointed minister for education and soon-to-be prime minister—had "charged overseas foreign students with indirectly encouraging some Malaysians 'to create a lot of problems in this country'".⁵⁸ The Malaysians sought to blame local protests and police over-reactions as resulting from an outside contagion, namely "meddling" Australian students.⁵⁹ "The brunt of the Ministers' attack", however, was directed at the Australian government. "They should solve their problems first", Tun Ghazali declared angrily, "[t]hey solve their problems

⁵⁵Ong, "The politics of Australia's foreign-student policy," 7.

⁵⁶P. Chandran, "Asian Column: 1974 Overseas Students Manifesto," *Tharunka*, 3 June 1974, 10.

⁵⁷Fleming, "From Dependence to Independence," 46.

⁵⁸ Richardson, "Malaysia tells our students 'don't meddle," *The Age*, 10 December 1974,6.

⁵⁹Ibid, 6.

by shooting their Aborigines and having a white Australia policy".⁶⁰ This was clearly not the type of sentiment Australia wanted, especially from a supposed ally in the region.

Scholars have previously described how fear of international criticism was central to the slow process of abolishing official racial discrimination in Australia.⁶¹ Indeed, the Whitlam government was at the forefront of recasting Australia's international reputation, seeking real dialogue and engagement with an Asia previously viewed through the prism of the Yellow Peril or the Domino theory. However, Malaysia's criticisms made sense in the context of several vexing decisions by Whitlam. The withdrawal of combat troops from the Malayan peninsula, there under the Five Powers Defence Agreement to combat communist guerrilla forces embittered the Malaysians, as did his decision to "relax discretely long-standing restrictions on freedom of political expression by foreign students" as part of changes to the overseas student system that moved it from an aid focus to one of cultural and intellectual exchange. Students were thus allowed to "engage in political discussions in public outside the campus as well as in private or on campus-subject only to the provisions of Australian law", something unfathomable to many in Malaysia.⁶² At a press conference during his 1974 trip to South East Asia, Whitlam had to fend off questions from Malaysian journalists as to whether there were "communists amongst Malaysian students in Australia", responding that "unless he is a criminal or an advocate of violence a private student's political convictions would be of no concern".63

One consolation, however, was that there had been no Australian students involved "on this particular occasion". The AUS president's arrival in Singapore, however, changed all that. Writing a week after the original piece, Richardson noted that student activism was straining bilateral relationships between Australia and those non-Communist governments in

60 Ibid, 6.

⁶¹See in particular Jennifer Clark, *Aborigines and activism: race, aborigines and the coming of the 1960s to Australia* (Crawley, WA: UWA Press, 2008), 46–53; Meg Gurry and Gwenda Tavan, "Too soft and long-haired? The Department of External Affairs and the White Australia Policy, 1946–1966," *Australian Journal of International Affairs* 58, No. 1 (2004): 127–42 and Gwenda Tavan, *The long, slow death of White Australia* (Melbourne: Scribe, 2005), 112–3.

⁶²Ong, "The politics of Australia's foreign-student policy," 8; Richardson, "Malaysia tells our students," 6.

⁶³ "List of questions from local press to the PM during Asia trip," Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446, 1972/95023.

South East Asia that had "felt the impact of student power this year and are closing ranks to crush it".⁶⁴ Tan Wah Pioh, president of the University of Singapore Students Union, whose trial MacDonald aimed to attend, was said to "have been involved in an unlawful assembly of retrenched employees and to have committed criminal trespass in entering Trade Union premises" during a demonstration for the rights of the unemployed. The trial was internationally condemned as a frame-up, justifying Singapore's deportation, to an uncertain fate, of five Malaysians who "had persistently breached a written undertaking not to become involved in local politics".⁶⁵

Macdonald's forced departure provided further ammunition for those seeking to undercut support for radical causes. Singapore's Foreign Minister Sinnathamby Rajaratnam rebuked foreign students who "meddle in Singapore's politics", and made a speech attacking AUS influence over local students. The minister condemning them for "assisting to organise an Asian students' seminar in Hong Kong last March", during which Singapore was reprimanded in a widely circulated communiqué, and explicitly argued that this was a form of sub-imperialism.⁶⁶ "The most interesting part of the communiqué for me", Rajaratnam stated:

was that immediately after some bold references to Asian 'student selfgovernment' the communiqué goes on: 'in order to carry out the aims and achievements of the conference, the Student Education Commission of Asia...was set up and will be initially run by the Australian Union of Students under the sponsorship of Asian student associations.'⁶⁷

Thus, the minister sought to, as Meredith Weiss puts it in her study of governmental control over student dissent in South East Asia, "undercut the symbolic resources and ideational tools for mobilization" that these students sought to employ by locating their activism as arising from unwarranted Australian intervention.⁶⁸ Through this process of "intellectual containment", the South East Asian governments began their campaign of clamping down on overseas dissent, and they were to find some helpful allies in Australia.

⁶⁴ Richardson, "Students Strain Friendship," 8.

⁶⁵ Ibid, 8.

⁶⁶ Ibid, 8.

⁶⁷ Ibid, 8.

⁶⁸Weiss, "Intellectual Containment," 502.

DROP-OUTS FROM THEIR COUNTRY: POLICING TRANSNATIONAL EDUCATION AND ACTIVISM

Mahathir's strident rhetoric, located by Catherine Chan as marking the beginnings of Malaysia's conflictive relationship with Australia under his leadership, sparked a concerned response amongst Australian officials.⁶⁹ Despite the final ending of Australian support for South Vietnam in 1972–3, Whitlam's government was just as fearful of large-scale communist rebellion in the region as its predecessors. Marxist Humphrey McQueen highlighted this in 1971, arguing that Australian Labor Party (ALP) policy in Asia was fundamentally counter-revolutionary, a critique vindicated by Whitlam's later tacit support of Indonesia's 1975 invasion of East Timor.⁷⁰ On 4 February 1975, Alfred Roy Parsons, Australian High Commissioner in Kuala Lumpur, penned a concerned, 11-page report to Whitlam's Minister of Foreign Affairs Donald Willesee, titled "Malaysia: The Private Overseas Student Program, Is it a success?"⁷¹ The document came in response to the recent emergence "of a number of factors [that] have conspired to suggest the need to review the policy".

Many of these concerns were long-standing: Australia "was not getting [its] money's worth from the program", which had become a backdoor immigration policy at best, and a threat to bilateral relations at worst.⁷² Nor was it achieving the purported aims of greater cultural understanding, with many conservative Malaysians "shocked by the impact of Western permissive culture on their children".⁷³ In a new phenomenon, however, Parsons noted a 40 % increase in the number of students being admitted into Australian institutions between 1973 and 1974, as well as a significant increase in the number of students being given permanent residency after graduation. One table outlined how the period 1971–2 saw only 72 Malaysian students stay on in Australia after their course completion, while

⁶⁹Catherine Chan, "From Then to Now: A Pre-history of 'recalcitrance': Student protest in 1974–75 and Australian-Malaysian relations" (BA Honours Thesis, The University of New South Wales, 2005), 3.

⁷⁰Humphrey McQueen, "The ALP's strategy for Counter-Revolution in Asia, or Living off Asia," in *National Anti-War Conference, Sydney, February 17–21, 1971—Papers Presented*, np (Sydney: Self-published, 1971).

⁷¹Alfred Roy Parsons, "Malaysia: The Private Overseas Student Program, Is it a success?" in Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

73 Ibid.

⁷² Ibid.

578 returned home. By the years 1974–5, however, 296 students were granted residency, with only 222 returning home.⁷⁴ The phenomenon of "criticism of Malaysia by Malaysian (i.e. Chinese) students in Australia", however, appeared to be his principal worry. Not only had "Malaysian student stirrings...caused several Malaysian ministers to be vocally critical" of Australia's race relations record, but "the behaviour of Malaysian students in Australia could affect our bilateral relations" as well. The increasing number of students wishing to stay in Australia and their increased vocality indicated to Parsons that "many of the private students presently seeking admission to Australia...cannot in any way be described as committed Malaysian citizens".⁷⁵

What exactly constituted a committed citizen, however, proved difficult to pin down. They were apparently not of Chinese descent—a point the author stressed at several points—reiterating the Malaysian government's policy of blaming its Chinese minority for any upsurge in student radicalism.⁷⁶ And, while Parsons's admission that responsibility for maintaining a loyal citizenry "lie[s] with the Malaysian Government", he argued that:

There is no obvious answer to the question: how do we recognise a committed Malaysian and how do we keep him committed? Some wastage is inevitable; it is a matter of reducing the level of wastage, thereby preventing the whole purpose of the program from being undermined.⁷⁷

The High Commissioner went on to outline several ways whereby such "wastage" could be avoided. Enforcing a strict policy on the speaking of Bahasa Malaysia—the official language—was one way to ensure compliance, something Parsons believed to be "very much in Australia's interests to support"—despite this being a compulsory second language to many.⁷⁸ Parsons also felt that Malaysia's secondary schooling system was now sufficient to allow for the education of the whole population, and as such no further provision for study at this level in Australia should be provided. Those who studied both at secondary and tertiary level in Australian institutions were rather uncharitably termed "drop-outs from

74 Ibid.

77 Ibid.

⁷⁵ Ibid.

⁷⁶Ibid.

⁷⁸ Indeed, Australian government files are filled with reports on Malaysian students who couldn't speak the new national language proficiently.

their own country...and it is hardly surprising that many of them do not wish to return home", or remain supportive of their government while in Australia.⁷⁹ Indeed, the Malaysian government sought to discredit its students in Australia as "running down the government just to get permanent residence".⁸⁰ This was an argument common in the pages of popular newspapers *New Nation* and the *New Straits Times*, which claimed that "Many Malaysian students are spreading 'Hate Malaysia' propaganda among the Australians" to buttress their claims for asylum.⁸¹

Possibly Parsons's most far-reaching suggestion, the imposition of a quota system on Malaysian students so that more Malays would be admitted at the expense of Chinese, was tersely dismissed by an interdepartmental meeting held to discuss his report two months later. "The meeting felt that Australia could be accused of racial discrimination", though the suggestion for greater Malaysian government involvement in vetting students was received more positively.⁸² Ric Throssell, representing the recently established Australian Development Assistance Agency, suggested that it might be of benefit to "ask the Malaysians to make decisions about which students and what courses would be approved". This idea was positively received, with a representative from the Department of Foreign affairs suggesting "we at least invite the Malaysians to endorse private students", under the guise of establishing "racial and socio-economic balance in the program".⁸³ A prime minister and cabinet's representative supported the motion, although they "were concerned about the reaction of Malaysian students in Australia to these proposals", not to mention a backlash from the broader community for colluding with the Malaysian government's crackdown on oppositional dissent.84

It was felt that, in the words of a representative of the Education Department, if "any action were seen as coming from the Malaysian Government there might not be much reaction in Australia as the move

⁷⁹ Parsons, "Malaysia," Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

⁸⁰ "Mahathir: Majority are being forced to criticize the government," 1.

⁸¹Transcripts of *Sunday Mail* and *New Straits Times* articles from 12 and 13 January 1975, in Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

⁸² "Consolidated Minutes of an Interdepartmental Meeting, held Wed. April 23 1975 at the Department of Foreign Affairs", Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

⁸³Ibid.

⁸⁴ Ibid.

could be seen as one for which Australia had no direct responsibility".85 A discussion paper sent to Australian embassies on the issue spelt this out more precisely, explaining how the "participation of the home government in the selection of students...should generally ensure that student's criticisms of the home government...is avoided".86 This seems clear evidence of intent to co-operate in the suppression of "disaffected overseas students". All that was required was an incident of sufficient magnitude to allow for the Malaysian government to clamp down, and one was provided when Malaysian Prime Minister Tun Abdul Razak announced a tour of Australia in October 1975. A strident Malay nationalist whose tightening of the UUCA in 1974 was despised in the student community, Razak had already been forced to cancel an earlier visit over fears of protest. This trip was to have been led by Mahathir as education minister and was intended to inform expatriate Malaysians of the "realities" of their homeland, from which they had been supposedly alienated. The prospect, however, of the trip not being handled "inconspicuously", but rather that the minister would "engag[e] in public debate either with members of his own country or Australians" led the Department of Foreign Affairs to comment that the trip "might simply worsen existing problems".87 Most dangerously, the Department felt that "there may be attempts to provoke the Malaysians into statements like those made last month about the extraterritorial application of Malaysian laws and...student surveillance", not to mention embarrassing statements about Australian race relations.⁸⁸

The threat of significant protest was not imagined either, for a swelling overseas student movement and its shrinking and increasingly dispirited Australian counterpart were undergoing a further process of entanglement and radicalisation. Graham Hastings has described Australian student activism from roughly 1971 onwards as existing in a "post-Vietnam environment" that saw a significant demobilisation of radical passions.⁸⁹ Only months after the successful May Vietnam moratorium, *Outlook* stalwart Ian Turner wrote a particularly prescient article announcing the journal's closure amid concerns of what this new environment might entail.

85 Ibid.

⁸⁶ "Private Student Programme," Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

⁸⁷ "Anti-Malaysian student activities in Australia," 18 January 1975, Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

89 Hastings, It Can't Happen Here, 187.

⁸⁸ Ibid.

Outlook had "responded sympathetically to the Third World revolution" and, in line with the increasing violence of Vietnam, soon became preoccupied with the decolonising world. Its search for answers in China and other anti-colonial nations and struggles reflected and emboldened broader interest amongst a swiftly radicalising movement in these global causes. Yet, the Vietnam conflict's seemingly imminent end and a significant dropping off in numbers between the May and September anti-war Moratoriums sparked fears for Turner. He asked whether the war's passing as a unifying factor meant that "our own grouping, and the new radical movement that we have, at least partially, helped to create, will atomise into a series of special interest groups and ad hoc campaigns, losing a coordinated endeavour and a central direction".⁹⁰

And indeed, the evaporation of the Vietnam issue coincided with a plethora of issues from Women's and Queer liberation to land rights, urban space, and environmentalism beginning to construct separate identities and practices. The Whitlam government had a lot to do with this, with many activists channelling their energies towards government and becoming institutionalised-the halls of government replaced the street for many as the site of struggle. Perhaps ironically, then, it was during this period that the far Left, represented by Trotskyists around the Socialist Youth Alliance, the CPA, and Maoists, held the most sway over student politics. Hastings describes how these factions developed a "power sharing situation" within AUS from 1973 until the late 1970s, whereby "the union was divided into spheres of influence" with the CPA and Labor Left holding the National Secretariat, Trotskyists the activist portfolios of education and media, while the Maoists would control the travel division and the OSS.⁹¹ The organisation's domination by various shades of radicalism also saw an increasing focus on international solidarity work. AUS rejoined the International Union of Students in 1971 after a long debate over its communist sympathies, while also supporting numerous Third World struggles from Palestinian liberation to independence of Southern Africa from colonial rule.92

It was a logical progression, then, for AUS to provide more of its resources to overseas student solidarity, a decision that could be read as attempting to fill the hole left in activist practice by the end of the Vietnam

92 Ibid, 156-9.

⁹⁰Ian Turner, "The long goodbye," Outlook 14, No. 6 (December 1970): 5.

⁹¹ Hastings, It Can't Happen Here, 187.

War. The *Far Eastern Economic Review* cynically observed that perhaps the Malaysian issue provided a "ready made cause" for Australian activists, yet this can only be a partial answer.⁹³ The victory of the National Liberation Front and North Vietnamese forces in the Vietnamese civil war actually provided inspiration to this global imagination—with UNSW in particular experiencing a wave of student defections from the Embassy-controlled Union of South Vietnamese Students in Australia. These students began "seeking out others...to struggle against a system they have been coerced into supporting for so long".⁹⁴ International Student Solidarity Week (ISSW), held between 28 July and 1 August, provided a further opportunity for the cementing of links between overseas students and Australians, with the project explained in the following terms:

Student Solidarity is International. It exists in spite of, and as a result of, the nature of national governments. American students supported their counterparts in South Vietnam. The Dutch Students supported their counterparts in Indonesia in their struggle for independence. Today the British, Australian and New Zealand student organisations support the student struggle in South East Asia, and condemn imperialism as 'practiced' by their respective governments.⁹⁵

The project was presented as a natural—and overtly transnational—historical progression of Australian students, like their American and European counterparts, "finding" and directly supporting those their governments sought to suppress. One Malaysian student writing for *Lot's Wife* expressed this point well. No longer were students merely the inheritors of national "cultural traditions", they were "increasingly becoming a central element of social change" around the world.⁹⁶ Details around the imprisonment of Khoo Ee Liam, as well as new allegations around his torture, were re-published during this period under the headline "the cry of a fellow student", indicating how much this transnational student identity was solidified.⁹⁷

The aim of the ISSW, proposed by the Asian Students' Association conference in Hong Kong the year before, was as a "demonstration of

⁹³ Quoted in Chan, "From Then to Now," 83-4.

^{94 &}quot;Vietnamese students: end of an era," National U, 21 April 1975, 3.

⁹⁵ "International Student Solidarity Week: Student Solidarity is International," *National* U, 21 July 1975, 1.

⁹⁶ "Student Politics in Malaysia," Lot's Wife, 13 May 1974, 11.

⁹⁷Ian Macdonald, "Khoo Ee Liam: The cry of a fellow student," *National U*, 30 June 1975, 1.

solidarity among progressive student organisations, especially those in the Third World". "[R]ecent events in South East Asia and Africa centring around the suppression of workers, peasants and student movements", an article about the event explained, meant that it was important to "express our solidarity with them so that they can continue their just struggle with the knowledge that they have support from overseas".⁹⁸ On a practical level, Australian and Malaysian students established *Malaya News Service*, a fortnightly journal of news and opinion from Malaysia and the overseas solidarity movement, in Melbourne. Letters published from around the world—New Zealand, America, Britain, as well as Singapore and Malaysia—indicated the journal's wide reach and impact. One letter, from an anonymous Malaysian student in Kuala Lumpur, related how he and his friends, who distributed the journal covertly, were "greatly inspired" by the publication, and other combined actions of Australian and Malaysian students.⁹⁹

Malaysian students in Australia also became significantly more vocal after the announcement of Razak's tour. H. Leong's was indicative of these attempts to mobilise Australians, writing to National U demanding that Razak, a man "of the same species as [Spanish dictator Francisco] Franco, [South Korean leader] Pak Jung Hi and [South Vietnamese president] Nguyen Van Thieu", be opposed and boycotted. As the author put it, "the Malaysian people need your support, they either are under fascist repression and could not voice their demands or are under constant political surveillance (in Australia) and dare not voice their opinions".¹⁰⁰ Activist Malaysians also challenged what was called "Tidak-apa" or apathetic attitudes amongst the student diaspora. These attempts often took the form of confessional articles and letters in the student press, with one particularly indicative letter coming from G.H. Lee, who castigated himself for not taking advantage of the freedom of thought and debate that education in Australia allowed. "The only books I read are my textbooks and my primary concern is to obtain my degree-that grand piece of paper", Lee admitted, who then went on to attack the condescension and indifference other students expressed at his desire to protest the visit of Razak.¹⁰¹

⁹⁸ "Solidarity day for overseas students," National U, 9 June 1975, 10.

^{99 &}quot;Letters," Malaya News Service, 1-15 November 1975, 16.

¹⁰⁰ H Leong, "Boycott Razak's Visit," National U, 10 October 1975, 15.

¹⁰¹G.H. Lee, "End all 'Tidak-apa' attitude," *Tharunka*, 22 October 1975, 16. For similar calls see M. Lum, "Dear Editors," *Tharunka*, 22 October 1975, 7 and 'A Malaysian Student,' "Dear Editors," *Tharunka*, 22 October 1975, 16.

And based on the amount of letters and correspondence received by *Tharunka* from Malaysian students during this period—the largest volume in its history—such attempts at mobilisation were quite successful.

Even so, criticism of the protestors' tactics and ability to comment on issues at home still found a hearing. One student, who favoured discussions with Razak over protest, attacked the self-righteousness of those involved and also questioned whether their dissent from "the comfortable sanctuary of Australian soil" was legitimate. Had they, like the writer claimed to have, been in Kuala Lumpur for the recent round of protests at which 1000 students were arrested?¹⁰² Such criticisms harkened back in many ways to similar ones made of Australian radicals, and oppositionists were in a better position than ever to protest Razak's October visit.

Razak commented that his trip was "enjoyable and beneficial…except for the demonstrations", noting elsewhere that he had "expected perhaps a petition or a small delegation with a list of grievances but not this".¹⁰³ Though only visiting Canberra and Adelaide, neither of which were central locations of overseas activism, he was still hounded by protestors, including one group who publicly burnt his effigy draped in a sign reading "I am a fascist".¹⁰⁴ On Saturday, 18 October, the prime minister's motorcade was intercepted by several dozen protestors, mostly Malaysian, where seven arrests were made, Razak's vehicle was damaged, and allegations of police brutality were aired by no less than the Vice Chancellor of Adelaide University.¹⁰⁵ Razak and his government were given significant right of reply by the Australian press, with Mahathir Mohamed declaring "good riddance" to those students involved.¹⁰⁶

Razak, however, was more circumspect in his reprimand. He commented that if students were engaged in "normal student activities" they would "be alright", while if they were carrying out "seditious activities" they would meet the full force of Malaysian law.¹⁰⁷ Malaysia took this

¹⁰²K.H. Wong, "Dear Editors," Tharunka, 22 October 1975, 16.

¹⁰³ "Visit Beneficial: Tun Razak", The News, October 21 1975, 1; Malaya News Service, 16-31 October 1975, 1.

¹⁰⁴ Pictured in National U, 20 October 1975, 1.

¹⁰⁵ "University protest over Razak incident: Demonstrator 'beaten and kicked by police'", *The Advertiser*, 20 October 1975, in Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

¹⁰⁶ "Ex-Malaysians told 'good riddance'", *The Advertiser*, 30 October 1975, in Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

¹⁰⁷ "Visit Beneficial—Tun Razak", 1.

opportunity to, as one article put it, "control [its] students overseas", using measures suggested in Parsons's document and subsequent ministerial discussions. "If they are definitely not studying", the PM warned "and wandering around from Canberra, Melbourne, Sydney, and Adelaide causing trouble", then they should come home. Speaking several days after these incidents, Mahathir Mohamed stated that "all students going overseas must register with his Ministry, detailing their course of study so that authorities could keep track of them". Such a policy would eliminate the previously identified problem that Malaysia really had no idea how many of its nationals were undertaking private study overseas.¹⁰⁸

In a further measure, it was announced that parents of private overseas students would now be compelled to sign a written guarantee that their children would "behave themselves" overseas, or risk being called back to Malaysia to face the consequences. This fairly unsubtle threat to students' relatives back home delivered the message that student activities overseas, even if they received permanent residency in Australia, could still impact on those left behind.¹⁰⁹ Threats were also made about limiting the number of students going overseas and the imposition of special university-based courts to try dissidents. And while these more extreme threats proved largely rhetorical, it was the beginning of the end for these transnational radicals and the idea of global revolution that sustained them.¹¹⁰

Smash the AUS Bureaucrats: Overseas Students and the 'End of the 1960s'

Hishammudin Rais, president of the University of Malaysia Students Union, fled his country of birth on 10 December 1974, a day after the military occupation of his campus began. "I grabbed my passport, denim jacket and RM5", Rais recalls, "and hid out in the secondary jungles around the campus. The next day, there was a thunderstorm, and I slipped out through the jungles of Bangsar before getting a lift on a construction worker's motorbike".¹¹¹ Thus, Rais's 20-year exile began, and one of his first stops was to be Australia. Invited by AUS to address its 1975 Annual

109 Ibid.

¹⁰⁸ Razak Retaliates: Malaysian students curbed", *The Advertiser*, 27 October 1975, in Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

¹¹⁰ Parsons, "Malaysia," Private Overseas Student Program—Part 4, A446 1972/95023.

^{111 &}quot;Still living on the edge."

Meeting, Rais was to spend a year in Australia touring campuses and organising with local students, who colloquially dubbed him "Hisham". Almost exactly one year after his arrival, on 16 January 1977, Hisham was arrested at Tullamarine Airport and sent to Maribyrnong detention centre. He was charged with offenses relating to a protest against another overseas visitor—Lee Quan Yew, Singapore's increasingly repressive prime minister.¹¹² Lee's visit, coming barely a year after Razak's tour, saw new levels of intergovernmental co-operation. An ASIO file relating to the visit indicates that the organisation received information on protestors' movements from The Singaporean High Commission. This file also carries direct instructions from the new prime minister, Malcolm Fraser, to identify "leaders and participants"—particularly those who protested outside his country home.¹¹³

Rais's charges, including "wilfully damag[ing] one Police uniform tunic...valued in all at \$6.00", indicate Australian government intentions to intimidate the overseas student movement. Indeed, the movement claimed ASIO had put a spy in their midst during the Razak and later demonstrations, and this level of (at least partially imagined) repression drove the movement into an introspective cul-de-sac. As Fleming relates: "In the OSS directors report to the 1977 Council, for example, only four of the 23 pages...were devoted to student welfare issues". The remaining pages carried long descriptions of governmental spying and repression.¹¹⁴ The movement away from their broader constituency was only worsened by a growing alliance with a far-Left group of Australian Maoists called the Students for Australian Independence (SAI). This group was formed after the folding up of the previous, and quite successful, Workers Student Alliance, which itself is a product of the Bakery milieu discussed in Chap. 3. The Whitlam dismissal and the victory of National Liberation forces in South East Asia only seemed to bolster the group's contention that Australia was a colony-like Malaysia-of the USA.¹¹⁵ These ideas also owed much to Mao's 1974 theory on the three worlds, which argued that small western nations like Australia and Canada were just as

¹¹² "Political asylum for Hishammudin Rais now," National U, 7 March 1977, 1.

¹¹³Reports headed "OP ALUDE" dated 13 October 1976 and 20 October 1976 in Yew, Lee Kwan, A6119 4249, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

¹¹⁴Fleming, "From Dependence to Independence," 55.

¹¹⁵For details on the nationalist turn in Maoist politics see John King, "Contested Interpretations, Confused Pedigree, Common Symbol: The Eureka Flag and Australian Nationalism 1970–85," *Victorian Historical Journal* 75, No. 2 (September 2004): 150–57.

exploited by imperialism as nations of the Third World.¹¹⁶ Students found Mao's ideas to be particularly useful, allowing them to argue that:

The Malaysian and Australian Governments are birds of a feather. Both are simply administrators of imperialist interests in their countries. At present, the Malaysian Government is simply more willing to use force.¹¹⁷

Not only were these first and Third World students united in their activism, but the material conditions of their countries as auxiliaries of empire were now imagined as largely identical.

Maoist students used this alliance with their Third World co-thinkers to challenge the dominance of what they called "bureaucrats" within the national student union. Jefferson Lee, a member of SAI, was elected editor of *National U* for 1977, and filled the publication with Maoist propaganda, as well as, according to some, engaged with OSS in a campaign of obstruction within the Union. Hastings presents this as the key moment when the far Left lost its grasp on student politics, falling into comical fratricidal infighting.¹¹⁸ SAI and its allies called a spill motion against the Trotskyist and CPA leadership, accusing them of bureaucratic tendencies and depoliticising the union, while OSS radicals daubed "SMASH THE AUS BUREAUCRATS" on the wall of union offices, factionalism that only furthered their isolation.¹¹⁹

While all this might seem fairly petty, it had important flow-on effects, firstly in the rapid decline of international solidarity work within the student movement. "Once a feature of the Australian student movement", a report on Communist Party student work noted at the time, "there has been less and less done since the ascendency of the Maoists in the OSS", indicating the group's isolation from its erstwhile allies in the Left.¹²⁰ An OSS circular on UNSW highlighted this lack of interest in global solidarity, noting that "a growing disregard for the struggle of people in

¹¹⁶For more on this turn in Chinese foreign policy, see Kuisong Yang and Yafeng Xia, "Vacillating between Revolution and Détente: Mao's Changing Psyche and Policy toward the United States, 1969–1976," *Diplomatic History* 34, No. 2 (April 2010): 415–422.

¹¹⁷ "Hisham: Struggle for political asylum goes on," National U, 2 May 1977, 3.

¹¹⁸ Hastings, "It Can't Happen Here," 165-7.

¹¹⁹Ibid, 166.

¹²⁰ Louise Casson and others, "Perspectives on the student movement—Position paper for the Socialist Student Conference 1978," Peter Murphy Papers, MLMSS 6642, Box 11, State Library of New South Wales, Sydney.

the Third World" characterised the national union's leadership.¹²¹ And indeed, on a global scale, support for National Liberation struggles and socialist states was diminishing throughout the 1970s. The first "boat people" from Vietnam and the revelations of Pol Pot's genocide in 1978–9 sparked intense recriminations within the Left over their support for the Communists during the Indochina conflict.¹²² Communist activist Denis Freney struck a similar note when he retrospectively bemoaned Australian Party support for Indonesia during its occupation and integration of West Papua. Though cloaked in the language of anti-imperialism, their support for the "Indonesian revolution" under Sukarno meant that "the rights of West Papuans to self-determination" were not considered, something the protest veteran described as "paternalism".¹²³

Samuel Moyn argues that those groups of the mid 1970s—such as the National Liberation Front in Malaysia and East Timorese resistance fighters—who continued to conceive of themselves "in terms of postcolonial self-determination, adopting strategies of armed violence...fell outside the pale of empathy" for westerners increasingly unwilling to support such enterprises¹²⁴ And while an Australian campaign in solidarity with East Timor was launched, such acts of international solidarity never again reached the high point of the Vietnam conflict. Similarly, the huge publicity given to Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn's *The Gulag Archipelago* sparked a turn away from the utopian imaginary of a socialist world as well as the increasing ascendency of groups like Amnesty International who replaced calls of "liberation" with demands for individualised "human rights".¹²⁵ The age of the revolutionary hero—and the global revolutionary ideal they had forged—was largely over.

¹²¹ "OSS Circular," July 1977, Records of the Australian Union of Students, 1934–1991, Box 359, National Library of Australia, Canberra.

¹²² For more on this emerging anti-Third World sentiment amongst former 1960s activists see Kristin Ross, *May '68 and its afterlives* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2002), 158–169.

¹²³ Denis Freney, *The Politics of Solidarity: Supporting Liberation Struggles in the Australian Context* (Sydney: Communist Party of Australia, 1986), 5.

¹²⁴Samuel Moyn, *The Last Utopia: Human Rights in History* (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 2010), 173.

¹²⁵ For the effect of Solzhenitsyn's work see Kenneth Cmeil, "The Emergence of Human Rights Politics in the United States," *Journal of American History* 86, No. 3 (1999): 1231– 1250. The increasingly voluminous field of human rights history notes the transformative nature of these years. See Moyn, *The Last Utopia* and Roland Burke, *Decolonization and the evolution of international human rights* (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2010) for just two of the best-known examples.

These controversies merged with OSS's attempts to undermine the AUS student travel apparatus. Established in the 1960s, the travel scheme facilitated the tour of China that Turnley and Hyde undertook in 1968, but overseas students argued that it had since fallen from grace. Attacking student travellers as "tourists" and the plentiful Union-chartered aircraft to Asian destinations as taking advantage of rather than assisting Third World nations, overseas students argued that this sort of travel was completely lacking in political utility and posed no educational value.¹²⁶ This political employment of travel, however, was increasingly antiquated and ignored the realities of the mass tourist boom. After all, a progressive traveller in the late 1970s was much more likely to have a Lonely Planet guidebook tucked into their rucksack than a copy of the Little Red Book. Travellers on the supposedly anti-materialist "hippie trail" were by this time booking Qantas package tours, while the People's Republic would open its borders to unrestricted tourism only a few years later, fast becoming a prestige destination amongst backpackers seeking to "rough it" in the Third World.¹²⁷ As Sobocinska describes, Lonely Planet's 1984 guidebook to China "was concerned less with socialist progress than with the nation's authenticity" as a destination for those seeking some of the world's last "undiscovered" tourist sites.¹²⁸

This misunderstanding of the evolving youth relationship to travel supplemented the "wild factional brawls...within the left" which allowed conservative students like Tony Abbott and Peter Costello, heads of the Liberal Clubs on Sydney and Monash Universities respectively to respond.¹²⁹ The New Right that these figures represented was growing in prominence as the Left entered a seemingly terminal decline. Neo-classical economic policies appeared more relevant as Keynesianism was discredited by stagflation, and the Right began borrowing many modes of the New Left organising, including a passion for overseas ideas and practices. Conservative ideology, as Corey Robin puts it in his rethinking of the subject, is based on the "absorption of the ideas and tactics of the very

¹²⁶On this debate see David Spratt and Frans Timmermann, "AUS Student Travel: still getting nowhere fast," *National U*, 13 June 1977, 18–19.

¹²⁷Sobocinska notes how, by 1972, several companies were advertising overland package tours and Qantas had launched its own "alternative lifestyle magazine," *Detours*, to tap into the counterculture's travelling enthusiasm. Sobocinska, "People's Diplomacy," 218.

¹²⁹ Paul Carrick, "Three Years After: 1979 Special Council: The need for strategic development," Peter Murphy Papers, MLMSS 6642, Box 11.

¹²⁸ Ibid, 234-40.

revolution or reform it opposes", a point amply demonstrated by those conservative students who travelled to Vietnam in 1970.¹³⁰ The invitation by the conservative Institute of Public Affairs of Milton Friedman to Australia in 1975, Verity Archer argues, marked a key point in the uptake of neoliberal ideology by that organisation and its dissemination in the Australian mainstream. Transnational activism was no longer the Left's monopoly.¹³¹

This new buoyancy led to a more aggressive student Right. Liberal students challenged the legality of Melbourne University's Student Representative Council (SRC) paying its fees to AUS in late 1977, as it was making payments "outside of the powers of the SRC", including to the OSS. The Kaye Judgement, as the decision was known, was then used as ammunition by a coalition of other Leftists to expel OSS from the union, amidst unproven allegations that it had stolen thousands of dollars for various underground Communist groups in South East Asia. Leaders of the overseas student movement condemned these moves, protesting that the "vile and underhanded manoeuvre...against 14,000 overseas students" would ensure that "[f]rom now on there will be two independent, distinct and separate students' movements in Australia, the Overseas... and the Australian".¹³² As another commentator noted, "in one swoop, the only organisation representing overseas students had been levered out of the only organisation that could give them some honest 'muscle'".¹³³ These bonds were never to be properly reforged, and were a significant factor in the Union's acrimonious collapse only a few years later.

The splitting of the overseas and Australian student movements was additionally to play into the hands of another power, the Fraser government. Intent on overhauling the overseas student programme, and particularly his predecessor's policies of free tertiary education for overseas students, Fraser established a Private Overseas Student Policy Task Force, whose 1979 report argued that overseas students "were [studying] primarily for their own benefit" and did not deserve taxpayer subsidisa-

¹³⁰ Corey Robin, *The Reactionary Mind: From Edmund Burke to Sarah Palin* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011), 43.

¹³³ Gary Ross, "AUS: The Great Stumble Sideways," Tharunka, 6 March 1978, 11.

¹³¹ Verity Archer, "Dole Bludgers, Tax Payers and the New Right: Constructing Discourses of Welfare in 1970s Australia," *Labour History* 96 (May 2009): 177–190.

¹³² "Overseas Students' Service Executive Statement on the Declaring of OSS as Ultra Vires Under Kaye Judgement by the AUS Bureaucratic Leadership", in Peter Murphy Papers, MLMSS 6642, Box 6.

tion.¹³⁴ Students were to be charged 25 % of the total cost of their degrees, a figure increased to 100 % by the Labor government in the 1980s, and an industry was born. Although private students had never really been a part of the aid programme, the idea that these students were studying to benefit themselves, and not bilateral relations with Australia's near neighbours, marked a significant turn in discourses around overseas education. In keeping with the ascendant "user pays" philosophy of the neoliberal mode of capitalism, overseas students were seen by successive governments as customers paying for a service rather than (temporary) residents imbued with and capable of demanding rights. Most importantly, a new rule was implemented making it necessary for any student wishing to claim permanent residency to return home for a period of at least two years, which by 1981 saw a dramatic falling away in students staying in Australia after their graduation.¹³⁵ Such a policy would also have had obvious flow-on effects for activism-an almost guaranteed deportation at the end of one's studies would certainly discourage students from taking an oppositional stance-and the expulsion of OSS from the national students union left them with neither the supporters nor the financial base to resist.

It was developments in South East Asia, however, which had perhaps the largest impact on weakening the diasporic movement. Malaysia, in particular, saw "the student left…decimated" after the 1974–5 crackdown, and replaced with a more regime-friendly Islamist-oriented movement.¹³⁶ This denial of the Malaysian movement to fire passions was an important factor in the overseas student movement's retreat in the late 1970s, for depriving a cause of its local particulars obviously has a radical impact on its global presence. That these conflicts extended well beyond the fall of Whitlam in November 1975, seems an interesting corrective to the dominant narration that sees the 1960s ending in that conflictual moment, highlighting the difficulties in pinpointing exactly when the series of interwoven concerns and passions which fired the decade finally slipped away.

¹³⁴Department of Foreign Affairs, "Private overseas student policy task force report—1979," A2539 B1979/11, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

¹³⁶Weiss, "Still with the people," 311.

¹³⁵Megaritty, "A highly-regulated 'free market," 40.

CONCLUSION

Overseas students mobilised again, as Eugene Sebastian writes, in the 1980s to oppose the Hawke government's policies of full-fee payment, although these protests occurred firmly outside the organisational and political dimensions of their forebears a decade before.¹³⁷ And international students now constitute one of Australia's largest export earners. In 2005, there were 375,000 overseas students studying in Australia, 10 times the numbers of only twenty years earlier.¹³⁸ Yet the fact that these "temporary transnationals" had a radical hue in the not-so-distant past seems completely forgotten in present Australian debates and popular memory. Weiss explains how the Malaysian government has successfully undercut the popularly held notion that students should participate politically by "mak[ing] student mobilization seem not inevitable and right, but presumptuous and ill-advised".¹³⁹ As Weiss explains:

By obscuring the history of student (and other, especially left-wing) activism, Malaysian authorities have significantly stymied mobilization: students now are told that it would be out of character for Malaysian students to engage politically. Most have no evidence to the contrary, and thus no reason not to believe and internalize that mantra—which carries over into postgraduation life, as well.¹⁴⁰

Weiss and Michelle Ford's research on contemporary attitudes amongst overseas students is revealing in this regard. Out of the 30 students interviewed for their paper, only one admitted to being a member of a political organisation, at which "the other students present were extremely surprised", although Thompson and Rosenzweig point out how the everyday resistance of present-day overseas students to their commoditised, rightsfree status is much wider than popularly understood.¹⁴¹ Perhaps such a

¹³⁷ Eugene Sebastian, "Protest from the Fringe: Overseas Students and their Influence on Australia's Export of Education Services Policy, 1983–1996" (PhD Thesis, The University of Sydney, 2009).

¹³⁸ Australian Bureau of Statistics, "Australian Social Trends 2007: International Students in Australia" (Canberra: ABS Publishing, 2007), 1.

¹³⁹Weiss, "Intellectual containment," 518.

¹⁴⁰Weiss, "Intellectual containment," 518

¹⁴¹Meredith Weiss and Michelle Ford, "Temporary Transnationals: South-East Asian students in Australia," *Journal of Contemporary Asia* 41, No. 2 (2011): 241; Liz Thompson and Ben Rosenzweig, "Public policy is class war pursued by other means: struggle and thesis could arguably hold true for Australia as well. That Australian students and society in general are presented as apolitical and cynical when compared to their forebears only a few decades ago, although for a host of different reasons, is another interesting transnational relationship.

This chapter also poses an important methodological question. If "the nation-state [is] a nodal point at which global influences coalesce in response to unique local conditions", as Timothy Brown understands, then how can we accurately account for the reverse: of globally mobile individuals such as overseas students using a foreign location as stage for struggle?¹⁴² This points to one of the flaws in dominant approaches to transnational scholarship, described by Slobodian as political drain. The focus on the local specificities of global movements both overstates the importance of the "local" (almost always Western) location and down-plays the histories, ideas, and struggles of the global "other" that made the transnational moment possible.¹⁴³ The struggles of these students, and the movement of their Australian counterparts from romantic identification to direct engagement and eventual rejection, tells a vital story both of international activism and of engagement between Australians and Asia.

restructuring as international education economy," Interface: a journal for and about social movement 3, No. 1 (May 2011), 39–80.

142 Brown, "1968' East and West," 75.

¹⁴³Quinn Slobodian, "Jurisdictional Leap, Political Drain, and Other Dangers of Transnational History," *New Global Studies* 4, No. 1 (2010): 5–6.

Conclusion

In concluding this book, perhaps it is best to first outline what it has not been. It has not told the story of the 1960s in Australia: a colossal topic perhaps better suited to a book series. Nor is it a history of Australia in the 1960s, although it has located many of the instances in which Australians from a wide variety of backgrounds and motivations found themselves involved in its contortions. It is, rather, the history of an idea, the idea of global revolution that the period promised. It is a history of what this idea meant to a variety of Australians and visitors to its shores-from the wellknown to the many activists whose only trace of radicalism is a yellowing pamphlet, report, or letter in a rarely consulted archive box-the ways in which they encountered this idea and, for many, became disillusioned in its practicality and possibilities. Through extensive research of government, organisational, and personal archives, as well as newspapers, pamphlets, books, journals, and oral histories from across Australia, it has examined the often complex and contradictory motivations that drove individual activists and the movements they made up to engage with the global in various ways. Whether through reading an overseas pamphlet, establishing a radical meeting space, or travelling beyond the nation's borders to experience the decade's rebelliousness for themselves, Australians sought out overseas connections to challenge a nation they at least imagined as mired in a dangerous complacency. Additionally, this study has demonstrated how the global 1960s could arrive, sometimes unannounced and often

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016 J. Piccini, *Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s*, DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-52914-5 unwanted, at Australia's doorstep, as well as the often-difficult process of translating radical ideas into a new and often hostile context.

Globally, studies of the transnational 1960s are booming. Yet, much as 1960s activists struggled to make global ideas relevant in a very different context, few local histories have taken on the challenge of systematically melding local activist histories with those from the wider world. Those who have looked to the global imagination of the period have too often seen it as tied inextricably to the USA. Radicals are often seen as engaging in a political Beatlemania for anything and everything that their trans-Pacific heroes uttered. This process of forgetting is noted by Kristin Ross as vital to the sanitising of the period's rebelliousness, the process whereby "what [is] sayable and thinkable about the political culture of the 1960s" has been lowered "to just a few tropes or phrases".¹ Such an approach has only relatively recently been challenged by a small number of scholars employing a nuanced and less dismissive tone, looking at how Australians engaged with and translated American ideas, as well as those from further afield. In analysing a variety of participants in 1960s social movements and their transnational connections, it has looked at what they read, how they lived, where and why they travelled, and how the arrival of radical ideas and visitors from elsewhere assisted, conflicted with, and transformed local forms of activism.

A case-study approach has been employed to track a wide and varied cast—Australian activists in a variety of movements, Soviet journalists, Bermudan Black Power advocates, and South East Asian students—across a range of locations from Brisbane and Sydney to Sofia and Beijing. As such, this thesis has located Australia's engagement in the world of the radical 1960s, which began by unearthing how a new—or rather, reforged—global imagination was tentatively constructed in reaction to several important precursors. The spectres of racism and decolonisation in South Africa, the USA, and Asia began to energise a new generation to solidarity activism. Those who campaigned for "natives" in South Africa, "negroes" in the Southern USA, and revolutionary heroes in Vietnam, discovered an inspiring alternative to the supposedly apathetic political climate of Menzies's Australia and also found new toolkits and modes of politics, not in Moscow, but in the newly discovered Third World.

¹Kristin Ross, "Establishing Consensus: May '68 in France as seen from the 1980s," *Critical Inquiry* 28, No. 3 (Spring 2002): 651.

And as the decade progressed, transnational circuits of ideas and protest became even more influential. The student Left established new bookshops and meeting rooms, stocking everything from Malcolm X to Marcuse, and Mao, which were voraciously read by a swelling group of radicals interested in their local applicability. These radical locations also provided safe spaces for experimentation in new forms of cultural and political expression. The city, as it was around the Western world and beyond, became a radical tapestry of spaces, locations, and protest, with conflictive forms of public political expression from overseas employed, as old forms and practices came to be seen as increasingly irrelevant. Spaces were found or created for an explosion of new social criticism and action from previously marginalised political actors, like women and Indigenous Australians, who also transformed the theories of decolonisation, the mental and physical liberation of the colonised subject, to their own ends. A plethora of new publications simultaneously emerged, while others were taken over and transformed, in fashions that borrowed from the participatory ethos of the American New Left, the radical style of the global counter culture and the roneoed newssheets of Paris during May 1968. University newspapers became the closest thing Australia had to an underground press, indigenous publications like Origin were formed, while older ones like Smoke Signals took on a more radical hue.

Reading and borrowing was, however, rarely enough to satisfy a radical's global interest. The mainstream media was often dismissive or hyperbolic about activism overseas, particularly if it involved the threatening moves towards equality of colonised and coloured peoples, making reliable information hard to come by. Criticism was also raised within activist quarters of those who imposed overseas ideas from books or journals without either adequate understanding or consideration of local traditions. So, relying either on the new availability of cheap flights and disposable income of the post-war boom or the often highly contingent compassion of friendly organisations and governments, many activists sought to unearth accurate and translatable movement knowledge by visiting the hot spots of global revolution. As Richard Jobs puts it, activists were no longer content simply "being inspired by one another; they were actually seeking each other out".²

² Richard Ivan Jobs, "Youth Movements: Travel, Protest and Europe in 1968," *American Historical Review* 114, No. 2 (April 2011): 384.

The travel of Australians was rarely just a form of protest, of fleeing a harsh political reality. It was instead undertaken with a firmly educative outlook. While some stumbled accidentally upon the decade's political ferment, others were in search of a new model of radical action. Brisbane radical Brian Laver sought to discover the applicability of ideas expressed by fellow youths across Europe to an Australian climate, while indigenous activists travelled to Atlanta and London to experience theories of Black Power that were so often misreported in metropolitan dailies. What these diverse activists shared was a desire to inform, to spread the gospel back home to their various, interconnected movements through reports, speeches, articles, interviews, or books produced upon their return. While few believed it possible to recreate Paris' May revolution or proletarian Shanghai in Brisbane or Melbourne, activists hoped they might find something to "adapt and apply to Australian conditions", or at the very least could return with a set of experiences which, when popularised, might transform their fellow citizens from "sympathetic bystanders to active revolutionaries".³ As Judy Wu described, these trips and the "face-to-face contacts" that activists experienced "inspired their political imagination and expanded their sense of communion beyond the confines of the nation".⁴

The products of such contacts, however, often failed to match expectations or were not particularly well received back home. While the rhetoric of Black Power featured increasingly prominently in Indigenous Australian publications and protest during the period, encounters with its practitioners in locations like London, Atlanta, and New York, showed something of its theoretical and practical limitations. And while Bruce McGuinness could feel an imagined community with revolutionaries like Malcolm X and H. Rap Brown while walking through Harlem and Patsy Kruger became "a sister in the struggle for the liberation of black people" around the world, other activists worried that such a global perspective risked overlooking just how different life was for Aboriginal Australia when compared to that of African Americans.⁵ Indigenous travellers to China had

³Leslie Roones Bowling to Marjorie Waters, 1 August 1970 and Warren H Winton to Marjorie Waters, Undated, in Australia-China Society Victoria Branch Records, 1952-1982, MS 13187, Box 3848, Folder 4, State Library of Victoria, Melbourne.

⁴ Judy Tzu Chun Wu, *Radicals on the road: internationalism, orientalism and feminism during the Vietnam Era* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 2013), 3.

⁵ Pat Kruger, "A year in the revolutionary education," in *Aborigines Visit the US: Report on trip by Five Aborigines to Congress of African People and United Nations*, np (Melbourne: ASCHOL, 1971), 31.

a similarly problematic experience, being treated more as a "lobbyist overture" than collaborators in a revolutionary anti-colonial struggle by a government increasingly warming to Australia as an ally.⁶ Student and worker visitors behind the "bamboo curtain" in the late 1960s, for their part, saw less to criticise. Instead, they often validated and venerated pre-existing rumours of Red Guards and cultural revolutions.

They also became immersed in "radical orientalism", finding everything that was desirable in the politicised aesthetic of China's revolutionary youth. One young Brisbane radical, for example, attended a university disciplinary hearing only days after his return from China "in full Chinese workers dress-blue cotton tunic, matching cap, two-inch-square Mao badge and well-thumbed Little Red Book".⁷ Others bought in to the falsified China their guides presented with the vigour of a tourist, eagerly casting aside questions around China's increasingly violent and dogmatic political practice when confronted with glowing revolutionary youths so much more committed than their western counterparts. And finally, the very nature of travel reportage could come in for question, with multiple trips to South Vietnam by activists on both sides of the increasingly heated debate around the May 1970 Moratorium culminating in a public dispute over the reliability of "being there" as a political tool. Travel was, after all, an experiential pursuit intended to impart a sense of authenticity. However, the distortions of a host government and the questions of hostile commentators threw doubt on how open a traveller's eyes really were.

This book has employed a transnational approach that identifies the local in the global, as well as the global at work locally. As such, it has woven the stories of those radicals, from European Marxist theorists to overseas students, who at least tried to visit Australia for various periods throughout the long 1960s into the national narrative. Some of these personalities visited Australia out of interest, like Roosevelt Brown who wished not only to learn more about Indigenous Australians than he found in anthropology textbooks, but also to try and forge connections between them and the global movement he represented. Others found themselves in Australian universities through an intricate web of Cold War alliances and development plans. University students from South East

⁶ "China visit," Identity 1, No. 7 (July 1973): 28.

⁷ "Dossier on a Mao-type campus stirrer," *The Australian*, 31 October 1971. Reproduced in Shearman, Richard Francis Volume 3, A6119 4838, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

Asian nations travelled to Australia in increasing numbers throughout the period as part of the Colombo Plan and as private scholars, and by the 1970s were fired to protest by revolutionary developments in their home nations and across Asia. Australian activists also played a key role in inviting well-known overseas figures like Angela Davis, judging them to be proven newsmakers able to generate media controversy and negative response from a fearful government.

Both overseas students and visiting radicals found themselves on the receiving end of government hostility and surveillance. Building on traditions of Australian solidarity with overseas struggles, the radicalisation of South East Asian students in the early 1970s saw them forge an alliance with their increasingly fractious local counterparts to protest inequality, corruption, and imperialism in Malaysia and Singapore. A large number of radical activists were invited and many were eventually excluded as the conservative state and its security apparatus tried to impose physical and ideological barriers against an evolving Left-wing enemy. Wendy Brown writes that the state, as national borders are challenged by globalisation, enacts a spectacularised form of sovereignty to at least be seen to challenge increasing transnational flows.

As fears of international communism shifted and modified in response to the New Left and Black Power movements, government enacted a bordering mentality against a variety of activists, leading to wide condemnation. Similarly, when a properly transnational student movement emerged in the 1970s, the Asian-focused Labor government conspired with allies overseas to enforce the policing by state authority over education and activism. Australia's looking to the Asian region—so well-argued for by Cairns—seemed to mean that the Whitlam government could ignore its newfound neighbours' less-than-democratic sentiments. And, while imposing an arbitrary date range on the 1960s as a conceptual moment is problematic, the crumbling of the alliance that came to exist between Australian and South East Asian students signifies in many ways how this radical period and the global ideal that underpinned it came to an end.

The "worlding" of Australian history is well underway. Scholars have tied Australian policies and principles of racial exclusion to similar examples across the Anglo-Saxon world, unearthing the deep interconnections between Australia and Asia in spite of the official policy of White Australia, as well as how Indigenous Australians sought alliances overseas. Such studies as well as theories of transnationalism have been drawn on to fill an important gap. In identifying the myriad ways in which Australian social movement actors imagined, engaged with, visited, and critiqued some of the most important ideas and sites of 1960s revolt, the scope of transnational 1960s studies increased, helping us to understand the period as a truly global phenomenon. As Jeremy Prestholdt writes, "radicals on every continent perceived a meaningful link between their lived circumstances and a system of domination that transcended national boundaries".⁸ Australians made themselves a part of the decade in many ways, while others sought to distance themselves from its impacts, which were seen as either dangerous, ill-advised, or both. Equally, an international cast of characters sought to learn, protest, or make use of Australia's relative freedoms to campaign for political change abroad, narratives that paint Australia onto a global canvas of change.

⁸ Jeremy Prestholdt, "Resurrecting Che: radicalism, the transnational imagination and the politics of heroes," *Journal of Global History* 7, No. 3 (November 2012): 508.

Bibliography

PRIMARY

Archival Collections

Fryer Library, The University of Queensland
Andrew Stafford collection, UQFL440.
Cecily Cameron Papers, UQFL439.
Constance Healy Collection, UQFL191.
Dan O'Neill Papers, UQFL113.
Miscellaneous Publications of the Society for Democratic Action Manuscript, F3235.
Oodgeroo Noonuccal papers, 1920–1993, UQFL84.
Records of the Trades & Labor Council of Queensland, 1894-, UQFL118.
Society for Democratic Action Ephemera, FVF381.
University of Queensland Liberal Club Ephemera, FVF466.
NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF AUSTRALIA, CANBERRA
Altman, Dennis Patkin, A6119 3692.

Altman, Dennis Patkin, A6119 3692.
Black panther power movement, A446 1970/95140.
Communism – control of Communist propaganda in Australia – Vietnam War, A1838 563/20 Part 2.
Dixon, Charles Volume 1, A6119 3646.
Dixon, Charles Volume 2, A6119 3647.
Foley, Gary Volume 1, A6119 3871.
Gluckman, Max Volume 1, A6119 1230/REFERENCE COPY.

Gluckman, Max Volume 2, A6119 1231/REFERENCE COPY. How not to join the army, A432 1968/354. Langer, Albert Volume 1, A6119 3921. Little Red School Book, A6112 2388. Newfong, John Archibald Volume 1, A6119 3434. Personal Papers of Prime Minister E.G. Whitlam, M170 70/70. Private Overseas Student Program - Part 4, A446 1972/95023. Robeson, Paul Volume 2, A6119 5034. Shearman, Richard Francis Volume 3, A6119 4838. Special Commonwealth African Assistance Plan - South Rhodesia - Legal Training - Miss Sekai M. Love, A1838, 2305/4/25/2. Sykes, Roberta Volume 2, A6119 4229. Union of Australian Women - General, A6980 S250370. Union of Australian Women - Third National Conference, Sydney September 1963, A1209 1963/6602. USA - Relations with Australia - Visitors to Australia - Angela Davis - Invitation to Australia by Moratorium for Black Rights Committee, A1838 250/9/9/23. Valentine Edward "Monty" Moloney/Maloney Volume 1, A6119 2834. Vietnam Moratorium Campaign, A6980 S250654. Yew, Lee Kwan, A6119 4249.

NATIONAL LIBRARY OF AUSTRALIA, CANBERRA

Records of the Australian Union of Students, 1934–1991, MS 2412

NOEL BUTLIN ARCHIVES, AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CANBERRA

Albert Langer Papers, Z457.

STATE LIBRARY OF VICTORIA, MELBOURNE

Australia-China Society Victoria Branch Records, 1952–1982, MS 13187 Youth Campaign Against Conscription papers, MS 10002.

STATE LIBRARY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY

Communist Party of Australia Records, 1920–1987, MLMSS 5021 Peter Murphy Papers, MLMSS 6642. Phillip N West Papers, MLMSS 8758. Salmon Family – Malcolm Salmon – papers 1927–1986, MLMSS 6105

INTERVIEWS

NATIONAL LIBRARY OF AUSTRALIA

- Interview with Bob Gould, conducted by Edgar Waters, November 1994-December 1997, ORAL TRC 3185
- Interview with Chicka Dixon, conducted by Gary Foley, 5 and 12 May 1995, ORAL TRC 3282
- Interview with David Nadel, conducted by Peter Parkhill, 14 December 2001, ORAL TRC 5108
- Interview with Margaret Holmes. Conducted by Siobhan McHugh, 1993, ORAL TRC 2761/8/20-21.
- Interview with Martha Ansara. Conducted by Siobhan McHugh, undated, ORAL TRC 2761/8.

University of New South Wales Archives

Interview with Alfred Shum, conducted by Julia Horne, 30 November 2001, OH 142 Interview with Chua Yong Hai, conducted by Allison Holland, 14 February 2000, OH 125

Interview with Jimmy Koh, conducted by Julia Horne, 7 July 2000, OH 130 Interview with Long Foo Yee, conducted by Julia Horne, 10 July 2000, OH 132 Interview with Michael Chan, conducted by Julia Horne, 28 November 2001, OH 138

NEWSPAPERS AND PERIODICALS

AAL Newsletter Canberra Times Courier-Mail Daily Telegraph Direct Action Farrago Foco Newsletter Honi Soit Lot's Wife Malaya News Service National Koorier National Times National U

Nation Review New Nation (Singapore) New York Times News Weekly On Dit Origin Print Resistance Newsletter Revolution Sember Floreat Student Guerrilla The Act The Advertiser (Adelaide) The Age The Australian The Ballarat Courier The Brisbane Line The Bulletin *The Herald* (Melbourne) The Mercury (Hobart) The News (Adelaide) The Star (Malavsia) The Sun (Melbourne) The Sun-Herald The Sunday Observer (Melbourne) Sydney Morning Herald Tharunka Trihune Troll Wednesday Commentary Woroni

GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS

- Australian Bureau of Statistics. "Australian Social Trends 2007: International Students in Australia." Canberra: ABS Publishing, 2007
- Australian Security Intelligence Organisation, "Note on the General Significance of the 'New Left' on the Western World", A12389/A30/PART 7, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.
- Australian Security Intelligence Organisation, "The 'New (Young) Left' in Australia: Recent Trends in Theory and Strategy," November 1969, A12389 A30/PART 7, National Archives of Australia, Canberra

Commonwealth Parliamentary Debates (House). "Immigration." 24 March 1966.

- Commonwealth Parliamentary Debates (House). "Petitions: The Little Red School Book." 18 October 1972.
- Commonwealth Parliamentary Debates (House). "Question: Importation of Book." 11 April 1972.
- Commonwealth Parliamentary Debates (House). "Question: National Service." 30 May 1968.
- Commonwealth Parliamentary Debates (House). "Special Adjournment." 12 September 1968.
- Commonwealth of Australia Parliamentary Debates (Senate). "Answer to Question Hishamuddin Rais." 24 March 1977.
- Commonwealth Parliamentary Debates (Senate). "Defence Force Protection Bill, Second Reading." 7 September 1967.
- Department of Foreign Affairs. "Private overseas student policy task force report 1979." A2539 B1979/11, National Archives of Australia, Canberra.

PUBLISHED SOURCES

- Aarons L. What's left? memoirs of an Australian communist. Ringwood: Penguin; 1993.
- Abschol fundraising letter, undated. In *Aborigines visit the US: report on trip by five Aborigines to Congress of African People and United Nations*, np. Melbourne: ASCHOL; 1971.
- Altman D. Homosexual: oppression and liberation. St Lucia: University of Queensland Press; 2012.
- Ashbolt A. Godzone 3: myth and reality. Meanjin Q. 1966;25(4):373-88.
- "Black Power." Outlook. 1966;10(4):18-19.
- Bresland C. Moscow turned it on!: story of Australians at the 6th world youth festival. Sydney: Coronation Press; 1957.
- Brisbane K. "Guerrillas in Brisbane". The Australian, 17 July 1968. In: Brisbane K, editor. Not wrong just different: observarions on the rise of contemporary Australian theatre. Strawberry Hills: Currency Press; 2005. p. 63–5.
- Burgoyne S. The world youth festival. Aust Left Rev. 1969;1:45-9.
- Burton C. Report on Bandung. Meanjin. 1955;14(3):395-9.
- "China visit." Identity. July 1973;1(7):28-9.
- Cairns JF. "The anti war campaign 1971." In: National Anti-War conference, Sydney, February 17–21, 1971 papers presented, np. Sydney: Self-published; 1971.
- Coe P. "Racism and the anti-war movement." In: National Anti-War conference, Sydney, February 17–21, 1971 – papers presented, np. Sydney: Self-published; 1971.
- Coleman P. School power in Australia. Moree: Moree Chronicle; 1970.

- Davis J, Chesson K. Jack Davis: a life-story. Melbourne: DENT; 1988.
- Debray R. Revolution in the revolution?: armed struggle and political struggle in Latin America. New York: Grove Press; 1967.
- Dutton G. Moral protectionism. In: Dutton G, Harris M, editors. Australia's censorship crisis. Melbourne: Sun Books; 1970. p. 96–104.
- Foley G. An aboriginal in the People's Republic of China. Identity. 1974;2(1):39–40.
- Foley G, D'Cruz C. Black power and gay liberation: an interview with Gary Foley. In: D'Cruz C, Pendleton M, editors. After homosexual: the legacies of gay liberation. Crawley: University of Western Australia Press; 2013. p. 65–74.
- Fowler KT. The incoherent rebellion. Outlook. 1960;4(4):8-9.
- Fox L. Black power in Australia. Outlook. 1969;13(5):11-2.
- Freney D. A map of days: life on the left. Melbourne: William Heinemann Australia; 1991.
- Freney D. The politics of solidarity: supporting liberation struggles in the Australian context. Sydney: Communist Party of Australia; 1986.
- Freney, D. The youth revolt in Suburbia and the anti-war movement. In: National Anti-War conference, Sydney, February 17–21, 1971 papers presented, np. Sydney: Self-published; 1971.
- Gilbert K. Because a white man'll never do it. Sydney: Angus and Robertson; 1973.
- Glyde J. Students in Martin place. Outlook. 1960;4(3):14-5.
- Gordon R, Osmond W. An overview of the Australian new left. In: Gordon R, editor. The Australian new left: critical essays and strategy. Melbourne: William Heinemann; 1970. p. 3–39.
- Henderson, G (sic). The derived nature of the Australian New Left. Quadrant. December 1969;15(6):66–70.
- Hyde M. All along the watchtower: memoirs of a 1960s revolutionary. Carlton: Vulgar Press; 2010.
- Jennings K. Trouble: evolution of a radical, selected writings 1970–2010. Melbourne: Black Inc; 2010a.
- Kent J. The red and black bookshop. In: Sheahan-Bright R, Glover S, editors. Hot iron corrugated sky: 100 years of Queensland writing. St Lucia: University of Queensland Press; 2002. p. 75–6.
- Kruger P. A year in the revolutionary education. In: Aborigines visit the US: report on trip by five aborigines to congress of African people and United Nations. Melbourne: ASCHOL; 1971. p. 31.
- Laver B. Czechoslovakia...a social crisis: bureaucracy or people's control. Brisbane: Revolutionary Socialist Alliance; 1969.

- Martin D. Letter from Prague: on the fourth night of the invasion. Meanjin. 1968;27(4):509–16.
- Maza B. The Koorie's dissilusionment. Smok Signals. 1969;8(1):3-4.
- McGuinness B. Report by Bruce B. McGuiness Director Administrative Officer A.A.L. In: Aborigines visit the US: report on trip by five Aborigines to Congress of African People and United Nations. Melbourne: ASCHOL; 1971. 7.
- McQueen, H. The ALP's strategy for counter-revolution in Asia, or living off Asia. In: National Anti-War conference, Sydney, February 17–21, 1971 – papers presented, np. Sydney: Self-published; 1971.
- Monash Labor Club Committee for Aid to the National Liberation Front. Which way Treason? Melbourne: Monash Labor Club; 1967.
- Moorhouse F. Days of wine and rage. Ringwood: Penguin; 1980.
- Moorhouse F. Porno politics. In: Bacon W, Moorhouse F, Dunn P, McMichael T, May F, editors. Uni sex: a study of sexual attitudes and behaviours at Australian Universities. Dee Why West: Eclipse Books; 1972. p. 30–41.
- Mortier N. World youth festival another view. Aust Left Rev. August-September 1969; 34–5.
- Mortimer M. New words for old. Arena. 1969;18:64-6.
- O'Neill D, editor. Up the right channels. Brisbane: Bruce Dickson; 1969.
- Percy J. How not to join the army. J Percy: Sydney; 1968.
- "Roosevelt Brown meets the press." Smoke signals. September 1969;8(2):4-11.
- Roszak T. The Dissenting academy. London: Chatto & Windus; 1969.
- Sartre JP. In: Fanon F, editor. Introduction to *The wretched of the earth*. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books; 1967.
- "South Africa explosion point." Outlook. April 1960;4(2):2.
- Summers A. Ducks on the pond: an autobiography, 1945–1976. Ringwood: Viking; 1999.
- Supple, T. Festival defended. Aust Left Rev. April-May 1969: 22-3.
- Taft B. Crossing the party line: memoirs of Bernie Taft. Newham: Scribe; 1994.
- Thompson E, 3. Australia: it's White policy and the Negro. Smok Signals. 1967;6:4.
- "Too many words?" Outlook. August 1966;10(4):13.
- Turner I. The long goodbye. Outlook. 1970;14(6):3-5.
- Turnley B. Journey into China. Melbourne: Lansdowne; 1971.
- "Wider Horizons." Smoke Signals. March 1970;8(3):5.
- Walker K. Flight into Tunis. Identity. 1975;2(4):6-8.
- Walsh R. A note from a victim. In: Dutton G, Harris M, editors. Australia's censorship crisis. Melbourne: Sun Books; 1970. p. 131–3.
- Women's Liberation Group. Only the chains have changed. Sydney: Women's Liberation Group; 1969.

INTERNET SOURCES

- Cahill R, Connell B, Freeman B, Irving T, Scribner B. The lost ideal. Honi Soit. 3 October 1967. Available at http://www.reasoninrevolt.net.au/objects/pdf/a000522.pdf. Accessed 14 Aug 2015.
- Jennings K. Moratorium: front lawn: 1970. Available at http://www.poetrylibrary.edu.au/poets/jennings-kate/moratorium-front-lawn-1970-0124024. Accessed 2 Oct 2012.
- Pittock AB. Easter 1970 and the origins of the National Tribal Council. Unpublished Manuscript. 1970. Available at http://indigenousrights.net.au/files/f102.pdf. Accessed 4 Apr 2013.
- Prahran Worker, The. 15 July 1969. Available at http://www.reasoninrevolt.net. au/bib/PR0001666.htm. Accessed 20 July 2012.
- Prahran workers for a Democratic society. "Prahran Manifesto." Undated. Available at http://www.reasoninrevolt.net.au/bib/PR0001647.htm. Accessed 20 July 2012.
- US Embassy, Canberra to Secretary of State. "Visit to Australia by PRG and North Vietnamese Delegation." 4 May 1973. Available at https://www. wikileaks.org/plusd/cables/1973 CANBER02520_b.html. Accessed 24 Apr 2013.

Secondary

BOOKS

Aarons M. The family file. Melbourne: Black Inc; 2010.

- Altman D. The end of the homosexual? St Lucia: University of Queensland Press; 2013.
- Anderson B. Imagined communities: reflections on the origins and spread of nationalism. London: Verso; 1991.
- Aptheker B. The morning breaks: the trial of Angela Davis. Ithaca: Cornell University Press; 1999.
- Barcan A. From new left to factional left: fifty years of student activism at Sydney University. North Melbourne: Australian Scholarly Publishing; 2011.
- Booth D. Beyond history: racial emancipation and ethics in apartheid sport. Rethink Hist. 2010;14(4):461-81.
- Brawley S. The white peril: foreign relations and Asian immigration to Australasia and the United States, 1919–1978. Sydney: University of New South Wales Press; 1995.
- Brigham RK. Guerrilla diplomacy: The NLF's foreign relations and the Viet Nam war. Ithaca: Cornell University Press; 1999.
- Brown W. Walled states, waning sovereignty. New York: Zone Books; 2010a.

- Brown-Nagin T. Courage to dissent: Atlanta and the long history of the civil rights movement. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.
- Burgmann V. Power and protest: movements for change in Australian society. St Leonards: Allen & Unwin; 1993.
- Burgmann M, Burgmann V. Green Bans, Red Union: environmental activism and the New South Wales builders labourers' federation. Sydney: UNSW Press; 1998.
- Burke R. Decolonization and the evolution of international human rights. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press; 2010.
- Chabot S. Transnational roots of the civil rights movement: African American explorations of the Gandhian repertoire. Landam: Lexington Books; 2012.
- Christiansen S, Scarlett Z, editors. The third world in the global 1960s. New York: Bergmahn Books; 2012.
- Clark J. Aborigines and activism: race, aborigines and the coming of the 1960s to Australia. Crawley: UWA Press; 2008.
- Cockrane K, Wright J. Oodgeroo. Brisbane: University of Queensland Press; 1994.
- Coleman P. Obscenity, blasphemy, sedition: 100 years of censorship in Australia. Sydney: Angus & Robertson; 1974.
- Coombs A. Sex and anarchy: the life and death of the Sydney Push. Ringwood: Viking; 1996.
- Curthoys A. Faith: Faith Bandler, gentle activist. Crows Nest: Allen & Unwin; 2002a.
- Curthoys A. Freedom ride: a freedom rider remembers. Crows Nest: Allen and Unwin; 2002b.
- Curthoys A, Merritt J, editors. Australia's first cold war, 1945–1953: society, communism and culture. Sydney: Allen and Unwin; 1984.
- Darian-Smith K, Grimshaw P, Macintyre S, editors. Britishness abroad: transnational movements and imperial cultures. Carlton: Melbourne University Press; 2007.
- Davidson A. The communist party of Australia: a short history. Stanford: Hoover Institution Press; 1969.
- Davison G, Murphy K. University unlimited: the Monash story. Crows Nest: Allen & Unwin; 2012.
- de Costa R. A higher authority: indigenous transnationalism and Australia. Sydney: University of New South Wales Press; 2006.
- DeGroot G. The 1960s unplugged: a kaleidoscopic history of a disorderly decade. London: Pan Macmillan; 2008.
- Porta DD, Diani M. Social movements: an introduction. Malden: Blackwell Publishing; 2006.
- Porta DD. The global justice movement: cross-national and transnational perspectives. Boulder: Paradigm Publishers; 2007.
- Denoon D. A trial separation: Australia and the decolonisation of Papua New Guinea. Canberra: ANU E-press; 2012.

- Dyrenfurth N. Heroes and villains: the rise and fall of the early Australian labor party. North Melbourne: Australian Scholarly Publishing; 2011.
- Edmonds P. Tilting at windmills: the literary magazine in Australia 1968–2012. Adelaide: University of Adelaide Press; 2015.
- Enke A. Finding the movement: sexuality, contested space and feminist activism. Durham: Duke University Press; 2010.
- Evans S. Personal politics: the roots of women's liberation in the civil rights movement and the new left. New York: Vintage Books; 1980.
- Featherstone D. Solidarity: hidden histories and geographies of internationalism. London: Zed Books; 2012.
- Fenton D. To cage the red dragon: SEATO and the defence of South East Asia, 1955–1965. Singapore: NUS Press; 2012.
- Fink C, Gassert P, Junker D. 1968: the world transformed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.
- Frank T. The conquest of cool: business culture, counterculture and the rise of Hip consumerism. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1998.
- Gerster R, Bassett J. Seizures of youth: the 1960s and Australia. South Yarra: Hyland House; 1991.
- Gosse V. Rethinking the new left: an interpretative history. New York: Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.
- Goonewardena K, Kipfler S, Milgron R, Schmid C, editors. Space, difference, everyday life: reading Henri Lefebvre. New York: Routledge; 2008.
- Hasluck P. A time for building: Australian administration in Papua and New Guinea 1951–1963. Melbourne: Melbourne University Press; 1976.
- Hastings G. It can't happen here: a political history of Australian student activism. Adelaide: Student Association of Flinders University; 2003.
- Hatherell W. The third metropolis: imagining Brisbane through art and literature, 1940–1970. St Lucia: University of Queensland Press; 2007.
- Heath D. Purifying empire: Obscenity and the politics of moral regulation in Britain, India and Australia. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.
- Ho F, Mullen B, editors. Afro Asia: revolutionary political and cultural connections between African Americans and Asian Americans. Durham: Duke University Press; 2008.
- Hollander P. Political pilgrims: travels of Western intellectuals to the Soviet Union, China and Cuba 1928–1978. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1981.
- Horne D. Time of hope: Australia 1966–1972. Sydney: Angus & Robertson; 1980.
- Isserman M. If i had a hammer: the death of the old left and the birth of the new. Urbana: University of Illinois Press; 1993.
- Iveson K. Publics and the city. Malden: Blackwell Publishing; 2007.
- Kaplan G. The meagre harvest: the Australian women's movement 1950s–1990s. St Leonards: Allen & Unwin; 1996.

- Karl RE. Mao Zedong and China in the twentieth-century world: a concise history. Durham: Duke University Press; 2010.
- Katsiaficas G. The imagination of the New Left: a global analysis of 1968. Boston: South End Press; 1987.
- Klimke M. The other alliance: student protest in West Germany & The United States in the global 1960s. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 2010.
- Klimke M, Scharloth J, editors. 1968 in Europe: a history of protest and activism, 1956–1977. New York: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.
- Kurlansky M. 1968: the year that rocked the world. London: Vintage; 2005.
- Lake M. Getting equal: the history of Australian feminism. St Leonards: Allen & Unwin; 1999.
- Lake M, Reynolds H. Drawing the global colour line: White men's countries and the question of racial equality. Melbourne: Melbourne University Press; 2008.
- Lionnet F, Shih S-M, editors. Minor transnationalism. Durham: Duke University Press; 2005.
- Lodge T. Sharpeville: an apartheid massacre and its consequences. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.
- Macintyre S. The Reds: the communist party of Australia from origins to illegality. St. Leonards: Allen and Unwin; 1999.
- Markus A. Fear and hatred: purifying Australia and California, 1850–1901. Sydney: Hale & Iremonger; 1979.
- Marwick A. The 1960s: cultural revolution in Britain, France, Italy and the United States, c. 1958–1974. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1998.
- Mallory G. Uncharted waters: social responsibility in Australian trade unions. Annerley: Self Published; 2005.
- Mbembe A. On the postcolony. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2001.
- McHugh S. Minefields and miniskirts: Australian women and the Vietnam war. Sydney: Doubleday; 1993.
- McKnight D. Australian spies and their secrets. St. Leonards: Allen & Unwin; 1994.
- McMillian J. Smoking typewriters: the 1960s underground press and the rise of alternative media in America. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.
- McQueen H. A new Britannia. 3rd ed. Ringwood: Penguin; 1986.
- Mills S. The empire within: postcolonial thought and political activism in 1960s Montreal. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press; 2010.
- Murphy J. Harvest of fear: a history of Australia's Vietnam war. St Leonards: Allen & Unwin; 1993.
- Murphy J. Imagining the fifties: private sentiment and political culture in Menzies' Australia. Sydney: UNSW Press; 2000.
- Moore N. The censor's library. St Lucia: University of Queensland Press; 2012.
- Moyn S. The last Utopia: human rights in history. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 2010.

- Oakman D. Facing Asia: a history of the Colombo plan. Canberra: Pandanus Books; 2004.
- Olesen T. International Zapatismo: the construction of solidarity in the age of globalisation. London: Zed Books; 2005.
- O'Lincoln T. Into the mainstream: the decline of Australian communism. Carlton North: Red Rag Publications; 2009.
- Paisley F. The Lone protestor: A.M. Fernando in Australia and Europe. Canberra: Aboriginal Studies Press; 2012.
- Percy J. A history of the democratic socialist party and resistance, volume 1: 1965–72, resistance. Chippendale: Resistance Books; 2005.
- Prashad V. The darker nations: a people's history of the third world. New York: New Press; 2008.
- Read P. Charles Perkins: a biography. Ringwood: Penguin; 1990a.
- Robin C. The reactionary mind: from Edmund Burke to Sarah Palin. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.
- Robinson S, Ustinoff J. The 1960s in Australia: people, power and politics. Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing; 2012.
- Rossinow D. The politics of authenticity: Liberalism, Christianity and the New Left in America. New York: Columbia University Press; 1998.
- Brown RD, Richard AW. Humanitarianism and suffering: the mobilization of empathy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2009.
- Ross K. May '68 and its afterlives. Chicago: Chicago University Press; 2002a.
- Said E. Orientalism. London: Routledge; 1978.
- Scalmer S. Dissent events: protest, the media and the political Gimmick in Australia. Sydney: UNSW Press; 2002.
- Scates B. A New Australia: citizenship, radicalism and the first republic. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997.
- Slobodian Q. Foreign front: third world politics in 1960s West Germany. Durham: Duke University Press; 2012.
- Stephens J. Anti-disciplinary protest: 1960s radicalism and postmodernism. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.
- Storey I, Emmers R, Singh D, editors. Five power defence arrangements at forty. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies; 2011.
- Suri J. Power and protest: global revolution and the rise of Détente. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 2003.
- Taffe S. Black and white together: FCAATSI: The Federal Council for the Advancement of Aborigines and Torres Strait Islanders, 1958–1973. Brisbane: University of Queensland Press; 2005.
- Tarrow S. The new transnational activism. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005.
- Tavan G. The long, slow death of white Australia. Melbourne: Scribe; 2005.
- Thompson EP. The making of the English working class. London: Penguin Books; 1963.

- Turner E, Turner V. Image and pilgrimage in Christian culture. New York: Columbia University Press; 1978.
- Tzu-Chun Wu J. Radicals on the road: internationalism, orientalism and feminism during the Vietnam Era. Ithaca: Cornell University Press; 2013.
- Valk AM. Radical sisters: second-wave feminism and black liberation in Washington DC. Chicago: University of Illinois Press; 2010.
- Varon J. Bringing the war home: the weather underground, the Red Army faction and revolutionary violence in the 1960s and seventies. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2004.

Watson S. Oodgeroo: bloodline to country. Brisbane: Playlab Press; 2009.

York B. Student revolt: La Trobe University 1967–73. ACT: Nicholas Press; 1989. Zogbaum H. Kisch in Australia: the untold story. Melbourne: Scribe; 2004.

ARTICLES AND BOOK CHAPTERS

- Archer V. Dole Bludgers, tax payers and the new right: constructing discourses of welfare in 1970s Australia. Labour Hist. 2009;96:177–90.
- Bauer K. 'From protest to resistance': Ulrike Meinhof and the transatlantic movement of ideas. In: Davis B, Mausbach W, Klimke M, MacDougall C, editors. Changing the world, changing oneself: political protest and collective identities in West Germany and the US in the 1960s and 1970s. New York: Berghahn Books; 2010. p. 171–88.
- Beasley BA. Fighting for a radical city: student protesters and the politics of space in 1960s and 1970s downtown Manhattan. Urban Hist Rev. 2009;37(2):6–17.
- Berland O. Nasanov and the Comintern's American Negro problem. Sci Soc. 2001;65(2):226–8.
- Berland O. The emergence of the communist perspective on the 'Negro question' in America: 1919–1931, Part 1. Sci Soc. Winter 1999–2000;63(4):411–423.
- Berland O. The emergence of the communist perspective on the 'Negro Question' in America: 1919–1931, part 2. Sci Soc. 2000;64(2):194–217.
- Bjerregaard KS. Guerrillas and grassroots: Danish solidarity with the third world in the 1960s and 1970s. In: Klimke M, Pekelder J, Scharloth J, editors. Between Prague Spring and French May: opposition and revolt in Europe, 1960–1980. New York: Berghahn Books; 2011. p. 213–32.
- Blackburn K. Disguised anti-colonialism: protest against the White Australia policy in Malaya and Singapore, 1947–62. Aust J Int Aff. 2001;55(1):101–17.
- Bourg J. The Red guards of Paris: French student Maoism in the 1960s. His Eur Ideas. 2005;31(4):472–90.
- Brannigan A. Crimes from comics: social and political determinants of reform of the Victorian Obscenity law, 1938–1954. Aust New Zealand J Criminol. 1986;19:23–41.
- Brown N. Student, expert, peacekeeper: three versions of international engagement. Aust J Politics Hist. 2011;57(1):34–52.

- Briedis, T. 'Pornographic poison of the mind': the *Tharunka* scandal, *The Little Red Schoolbook* and Sex Education at Fitzroy High. Unpublished paper, 2010.
- Brown TS. 1968 East and West: divided Germany as a case study in transnational history. Am Hist Rev. 2009;114(1):69–96.
- Brown TS. The 1960s in the city: Avant-gardes and Urban Rebels in New York, London and West Berlin. J Soc Hist. 2013;46(4):817–42.
- Brown TS. United States of Amnesia? 1968 in the USA. In: Cornils I, Waters S, editors. Memories of 1968: international perspectives. Oxford: Peter Lang; 2010b. p. 131–48.
- Burkett J. The National Union of Students and Transnational Solidarity, 1958–1968. Eur Rev Hist: Revue européenne d'histoire. 2014;21(4):539–55.
- Butler J. Photography, war, outrage. MPLA. 2005;120(3):822-7.
- Cahill R. Security intelligence and left intellectuals: Australia, 1970. Int Gramsci J. 2008;1, Article 5.
- China C. Allegations, secrets, and silence: perspectives on the controversy of Roberta Sykes and the *Snake Dreaming* series. In: Gifford J, Zezulka-Mailloux G, editors. CULTURE + THE STATE 2: disability studies and indigenous studies. Alberta: CRC Humanities Studio Publishers; 2003. p. 108–23.
- Churchill L. Transnational alliance: radical US feminist solidarity and contention with Latin America, 1970–1989. Lat Am Perspect. 2009;36(6):10–26.
- Clemons ML, Charles EJ. Global solidarity: the Black Panther party in the international Arena. In: Cleaver K, Katsiaficas G, editors. Liberation, imagination and the Black Panther party. New York: Routledge; 2001. p. 20–39.
- Cmeil K. The emergence of human rights politics in the United States. J Am Hist. 1999;86(3):1231–50.
- Connell R. Ours is in colour: the new left of the 1960s. In: D'Cruz C, Pendleton M, editors. After homosexual: the legacies of gay liberation. Crawley: University of Western Australia Press; 2013. p. 35–48.
- Connery CL. The world 1960s. In: Wilson R, Connery CL, editors. The worlding project: doing cultural studies in the era of globalisation. Berkeley: North Atlantic Books; 2007. p. 77–108.
- Cook AC. Third world Maoism. In: Cheek T, editor. A critical introduction to Mao. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010. p. 288–312.
- Cottle D. The colour-line and the third period: a comparative analysis of American and Australian communism and the question of race, 1928–1934. Am Communist Hist. 2011;10(2):119–31.
- Curthoys A. Paul Robeson's visit to Australia and Aboriginal activim, 1960. In: Peters-Little F, Curthoys A, Docker J, editors. Passionate histories: myth, memory and indigenous Australia. Canberra: ANU E-Press; 2010. p. 163–84.
- Darden GH. The new empire in the 'New South': Jim Crow in the global frontier of high imperialism and decolonization. South Q. 2009;46(3):8–25.

- Davey E. French adventures in solidarity: revolutionary tourists and radical humanitarians. Eur Rev Hist: Revue européenne d'histoire. 2014;21(4):577–95.
- Davis B. The city as theater of protest: West Berlin and West Germany, 1962– 1983. In: Prakash G, Kruse KM, editors. The spaces of the modern city: imaginaries, politics and everyday life. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 2008. p. 247–74.
- Davis B, Mausbach W, Klimke M, MacDougall C, editors. Changing the world, changing oneself: political protest and collective identities in West Germany and the US in the 1960s and 1970s. New York: Berghahn Books; 2010.
- d'Avigdor L. "Pessimism of the intellect, optimism of the will: reading the ideas and ideals of the new student left." Unpublished paper 2011. Available at http://ses. library.usyd.edu.au/bitstream/2123/8736/1/BeauchampHistorical2010Lewisd' Avigdor.pdf. Accessed 7 Aug 2012.
- Davison G. Carlton and the campus: the university and the gentrification of inner Melbourne, 1958–75. Urban Policy Res. 2009;27(3):253–64.
- Deery P, Calkin R. 'We all make mistakes': the communist party of Australia and Khrushchev's secret speech. Aust J Politics Hist. 2008;54(1):69–84.
- Edmonds P. Unofficial apartheid, convention and country towns: reflections on Australian history and the New South Wales Freedom Rides of 1965. Postcolonial Stud. 2012;15(2):167–90.
- Ferrier C, Mansell K. Student revolt, 1960s and 1970s. In: Ferrier C, Evans R, editors. Radical Brisbane: an unruly history. Carlton North: The Vulgar Press; 2004. p. 266–72.
- Ferrier C. Women's liberation, 1965. In: Ferrier C, Evans R, editors. Radical Brisbane: an unruly history. Carlton North: The Vulgar Press; 2004. p. 254–8.
- Freney D. The youth revolt in Suburbia and the anti-war movement. In: National Anti-War conference, Sydney, February 17–21, 1971 papers presented, np. Sydney: Self-published;1971.
- Frazier RTP. The assault of the Monkey King on the hosts of heaven': the Black freedom struggle and China The new centre of revolution. In: Clemons ML, editor. African Americans in global affairs: contemporary perspectives. Boston: Northeastern University Press; 2010. p. 313–44.
- Frazier RTP. The congress of African people: Baraka, Brother Mao and the year of '74. Souls. 2006;8(3):142–59.
- Frazier RTP. Thunder in the East: China, exiled crusaders and the unevenness of Black internationalism. Am Q. 2011;63(4):929–53.
- Furst J, Oseka P, Reynolds C. Breaking the walls of privacy: how rebellion came to the streets. Cultur Soc Hist. 2011;8(4):493–512.
- Gehrig S. (Re-)configuring Mao: trajectories of a culturo-political trend in West Germany. Transcult Stud. 2011;2:189–231.
- Gildea R, Mark J, Pas N. European radicals and the 'third world': imagined solidarities and radical networks, 1958–73. Cult Soc Hist. 2011;8(4):449–71.

- Goodall H. Port Politics: Indian Seamen, Australian Unions and Indonesian Independence, 1945–47. Labour Hist. 2008;94:43–68.
- Goodall H. Uneasy comrades: Tuk Subianko, Eliot V. Elliot and the cold war. Indones Malay World. 2012;40(117):209–30.
- Gordon DA. Liquidating May 68: generational trajectories of the 2007 presidential candidates. Mod Contemp Fr. 2008;16(2):143–59.
- Grant L. The second AIF and the end of empires: soldiers' attitudes towards a 'Free Asia.'. Aust J Politics Hist. 2011;57(4):479–94.
- Gurry M, Tavan G. Too soft and long-haired? the department of external affairs and the White Australia policy, 1946–1966. Aust J Int Aff. 2004;58(1): 127–42.
- Hartley J. Black, White...and Red? the Redfern all Blacks Rugby league club in the early 1960s. Labour Hist. 2002;83:149–71.
- Hayford CW. Mao's journeys to the West: meanings made of Mao. In: Cheek T, editor. A critical introduction to Mao. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010. p. 313–31.
- Henderson M, Winter A. Memoirs of our nervous illness: the Queensland police special branch files of Carole Ferrier as political auto/biography. Life Writ. 2009;6(3):349–67.
- Heynen N. Bending the bars of empire from every Ghetto for survival: the Black Panther party's radical anti-hunger politics of social reproduction and scale. Ann Assoc Am Geogr. 2009;99(2):406–22.
- Hunt A. 'When did the 1960s happen?' searching for new directions. J Soc Hist. 1999;33(1):147-61.
- Iveson K. The spatial politics of the Aboriginal Tent Embassy, Canberra. In: Foley G, Schaap A, Howell E, editors. The Aboriginal Tent Embassy: sovereignty, black power, land rights and the state. New York: Routledge; 2014. p. 251–66.
- Jameson F. "Periodizing the 60s." Social Text 9/10. Spring-Summer 1984:178–209.
- Jennings R. It was a hot climate and it was a hot time:" Lesbian migration and transnational networks in the mid-twentieth century. Aust Fem Stud. 2010b;25(63):31–45.
- Jobs RI. Youth movements: travel, protest and Europe in 1968. Am Hist Rev. 2009;114(2):376–404.
- Kelly RDG, Esche B. Black like Mao: Red China and Black revolution. Souls. 1999;1(4):6–41.
- King J. Contested interpretations, confused pedigree, common symbol: the Eureka Flag and Australian nationalism 1970–85. Vic Hist J. 2004;75(2):145–60.
- Knight A. Ratbags, revolutionaries and free speech: the Queensland radical press in 1968. Pac Journal Rev. 2004;10(1):153–70.
- Knight N. The theory and tactics of the communist party of Australia (M-L). J Contemp Asia. 1998;28(2):233–51.

- Kornetis K. 'Everything links?' temporality, territoriality and cultural transfer in the '68 protest movements. Historein. 2009;9:34–45.
- Le Sueur JD. "Decolonising 'French Universalism': reconsidering the impact of the Algerian war on French intellectuals". J North Afr Stud. 2001;6(1):167–86.
- Lothian K. Moving blackwards: Black power and the aboriginal embassy. In: Macfarlane I, Hannah M, editors. Transgressions: critical Australian indigenous histories. Canberra: ANU E-Press; 2007. p. 19–34.
- Lothian K. Seizing the time: Australian aborigines and the influence of the Black Panther party, 1969–1972. J Black Stud. 2005;35(4):179–200.
- Lowe D. "The Colombo plan and 'soft' regionalism in the Asia-Pacific: Australian and New Zealand cultural diplomacy in the 1950s and 1960s." Alfred Deakin Research Institute Working Paper No. 1, 2010.
- Loy-Wilson S. 'Liberating' Asia: strikes and protests in Sydney and Shanghai, 1920–1939. Hist Workshop J. 2011;72(1):74–102.
- Mackay H. Australia: a nation of Lotus-Eaters. In: Gassert P, Klimke M, editors. 1968: Memories and legacies of a global revolt. Washington D.C: German Historical Institute; 2009. p. 73–8.
- Maynard J. 'In the interests of our people': the influence of Garveyism on the rise of Australian Aboriginal political activism. Aborig Hist. 2005a;29:1–22.
- Maynard F. Transcultural/transnational interaction and influences on Aboriginal Australia. In: Curthoys A, Lake M, editors. Connected worlds: history in transnational perspective. Canberra: ANU E-Press; 2005b. p. 195–208.
- Marks R. "Towards an intellectual history of the Australian New Left: some definitional problems." Melb J Politics. 2009–103;4:82–105.
- McGregor R. Another nation: Aboriginal activism in the late 1960s and early 1970s. Aust Hist Stud. 2009;40(3):343–60.
- McKinnon S. The activist cinema-goer: gay liberation at the movies. Hist Aust. 2013;10(1):125–43.
- McLaren J. Peace wars: the 1959 ANZ peace conference. Labour Hist. 2002;82:97–108.
- McLellan J. Glad to be gay behind the wall: gay and Lesbian activism in 1970s East Germany. Hist Workshop J. 2012;74(1):105–30.
- McMillian J. 'Our founder, the mimeograph machine': participatory democracy in students for a democratic society's print culture. J Stud Radic. 2009;2(2):85–110.
- McQueen H. Forward: a chance to stray. In: Ferrier C, Evans R, editors. Radical Brisbane: an unruly history. Carlton North: The Vulgar Press; 2004. p. 9–13.
- McGrogan M. Lotta Continua and Vive la Révolution: the circulation of ideas and practices between the left militant worlds of France and Italy following 1968. Modern Contemp Fr. 2010;18(3):309–28.
- Megaritty L. A highly regulated 'free market': commonwealth policies on private overseas students from 1974 to 2005. Aust J Educ. 2007a;51(1):39–53.

- Megaritty L. Regional goodwill, sensibly priced: commonwealth policies towards Colombo plan scholars and private overseas students, 1945–72. Aust Hist Stud. 2007b;38(129):88–105.
- Megaritty L. Under the shadow of the White Australia policy: commonwealth policies on private overseas students 1945–1972. Change: Transform Educ. 2005;8(2):31–51.
- Mendes P. A convergence of political interests: Isi Leibler, the Communist Party of Australia and Soviet anti-semetism. Aust J Politics Hist. 2009;55(2):157–69.
- Nichols D. Urban activist film in Melbourne 1969–1972. Local-glob. 2007;3:113–23.
- Nudelman F. Trip to Hanoi: antiwar travel and international consciousness. In: Dubinsky K, Krull C, Lord S, Mills S, Rutherford S, editors. New world coming: the 1960s and the shaping of global consciousness. Toronto: Between the Lines; 2009. p. 237–46.
- Oakman D. 'Young Asians in our homes': Colombo plan students and White Australia. J Aust Stud. 2002;72:89–98.
- Olesen T. Globalising the Zapatistas: from third world solidarity to global solidarity?". Third World Q. 2004;25(1):255–67.
- Piccini J. "'A group of misguided, way out individuals': the labour movement, the 'Old Left' and student radicals in Brisbane 1966–70." Qld J Labour Hist. April 2011;(12):19–33.
- Piccini J. Australia's most evil and repugnant nightspot': Foco club and transnational politics in Brisbane's '68. Dialogues E-J. 2010;8(1):1–17.
- Piccini J. "Light from the East: travel to China and Australian activism in the 'long 1960s." The 1960s. June 2013;6(1):Forthcoming.
- Piccini J. 'There is no solidarity with dictatorship:' Australians at the world festival of youth and students, 1957–1968. Hist Aust. 2012;9(3):178–98.
- Piccini J. 'Up the new channels' student activism in Brisbane during Australia's 1960s. Crossroads. 2011;5(1):75–86.
- Pierce P. Never glad confident morning again. In: Grey J, Doyle J, editors. Vietnam: war, myth and memory. St Leonards: Allen & Unwin; 1992. p. 64–75.
- Poiger UG. Imperialism and consumption: two tropes in West German radicalism. In: Schmidt A, Siegfried D, editors. Between Marx and Coca-Cola: youth cultures in changing European societies, 1960–1980. New York: Oxford Berghahn Books; 2006. p. 161–72.
- Prestholdt J. Resurrecting Che: radicalism, the transnational imagination and the politics of heroes. J Global Hist. 2012;7(3):506–26.
- Prince S. The global revolt of 1968 and Northern Ireland. Hist J. 2006;49(3):851–75.
- Read P. Cheeky, Insolent and Anti-White: the split in the Federal Council for the Advancement of Aborigines and Torres Strait Islanders Easter 1970. Aust J Politics Hist. 1990b;36(1):73–83.

- Ritter N. Look left, drive right: internationalisms at the 1968 world youth festival. In: Gorsuch AE, Koenker DP, editors. The socialist 1960s: crossing borders in the second world. Indianapolis: Indiana University Press; 2013. p. 193–212.
- Rootes CA. The development of radical student movements and their sequalae. Aust J Politics Hist. 1988;34(2):173–86.
- Ross A. Mao Zedong's impact on cultural politics in the West. Cult Politics. 2005;1(1):5-22.
- Ross K. Establishing consensus: May '68 in France as seen from the 1980s. Crit Inq. 2002b;28(3):650–76.
- Hosek JR. Subaltern nationalism' and the West Berlin anti-authoritarians. Ger Politics Soc. 2008;26(1):57–81.
- Scalmer S. Turner meets Gandhi: pilgrimage, ritual and the diffusion of nonviolent direct action. In: John GS, editor. Victor Turner and contemporary cultural performance. New York: Berghahn Books; 2007. p. 242–57.
- Seidmen S. Tricontinental routes of solidarity: Stokely Carmichael in Cuba. J Transnational Am Stud. 2012;4(2):1–25.
- Sheffer E. On edge: building the border in East and West Germany. Cent Eur Hist. 2007;40(2):307–39.
- Slobodian Q. Dissident guests: Afro-Asian students and transnational activism in the West German protest movement. In: Pojmann W, editor. Migration and activism in Europe Since 1945. New York: Palgrave; 2008. p. 33–55.
- Slobodian, Q. "Jurisdictional leap, political drain and other dangers of transnational history." New Global Stud. 2010;4(1):Article 5.
- Smith A. Present at the creation...and other Myths: the Port Huron statement and the origins of the new Left. Peace & Change. 2000;25(1):339–62.
- Sobocinska A. Australian fellow-travellers to China: devotion and deceit in the people's republic. Aust Hist Stud. 2008;32(3):323–34.
- Tatchell P. "The ideals and activism of the early 1970s gay liberation era." Available at http://www.petertatchell.net/lgbt_rights/history/memories_of_glf.htm. Accessed 17 Aug 2012.
- Thompson L, Rosenzweig B. Public policy is class war pursued by other means: struggle and restructuring as international education economy. Interf: J Soc Mov. 2011;3(1):39–80.
- Tothill D. Trying to sell Apartheid to 1950s Australia. Dipl Statecraft. 2006;17(1):143-71.
- Turner A. Black power in Australia: Bobbi Sykes vs. Senator Neville T. Bonner. South Yarra: Heinemann Educational Australia; 1975.
- Tyrrell I. Reflections on the transnational turn in United States history: theory and practice. J Global His. 2009;4(3):453–74.
- Tzu-Chun Wu J. Journeys for peace and liberation: third world internationalism and radical orientalism during the U.S. war in Vietnam. Pac Hist J. 2007;76(4):575–84.

- van Der K, Justus M. Australia's Maoists. J Commonwealth Political Stud. 1970;8(2):87–116.
- Walker D. Nervous outsiders: Australia and the 1955 Africa-Asia conference in Bandung. Aust Hist Stud. 2005;36(125):40–59.
- Waters C. After decolonization: Australia and the emergence of the non-aligned movement in Asia, 1954–55. Dip Statecraft. 2001;12(2):153–74.
- Waters C. Lost opportunity: Australia and the Bandung conference. In: McDougall D, Finnane A, editors. Bandung 1955: little histories. Caulfield: Monash University Press; 2010. p. 75–87.
- Weiss MW. Intellectual containment: the muting of students in semidemocratic Southeast Asia. Crit Asian Stud. 2009;41(4):499–522.
- Weiss MW. Still with the people? the chequered path of student activism in Malaysia. South East Asia Res. 2005;13(3):287-332.
- Meredith W, Ford M. Temporary transnationals: South-East Asian students in Australia. J Contemp Asia. 2011;41(2):229–48.
- White R. "Australian tourists in Britain, 1900–2000." In: Bridge C, Crawford R, Dunstan D, editors. Australians in Britain: the twentieth-century experience. Clayton: Monash University E-Press; 2009. p. 11.1–15.
- White R. Travel, writing and Australia. Stud Travel Writ. 2007;11(1):1-14.
- Wilkins FC. The making of Black internationalists: SNCC and Africa before the launching of Black power, 1960–1965. J Afr Am Hist. 2007;92(4):468–91.
- Wu JTC. An African-Vietnamese American: Robert S. Browne, the antiwar movement, and the personal/political dimensions of Black internationalism. J Afr Am Hist. 2007;92(4):492–515.
- Yang K, Yafeng X. Vacillating between revolution and Détente: Mao's changing psyche and policy toward the United States, 1969–1976. Dip Hist. 2010;34(2):395–423.

AUDIO-VISUAL

- Clarke P, dir. Whitlam: the power and the passion [video-recording]. Sydney: ABC1; 2013.
- Anemogiannis C, dir. The book that shook the world [video-recording]. As It Happened, SBS Australia; 2 November 2007.

UNPUBLISHED THESES

- Bowes D. Exposing indecency: censorship and Sydney's alternative press, 1963– 1973. BA Honours Thesis, The University of Sydney, 2012.
- Bozinovski R. The communist party of Australia and Proletarian internationalism, 1928–1945. PhD Thesis, Victoria University, 2008.
- Calkin R. 'Cracking the Stalinist crust' the impact of 1956 on the communist party of Australia. Masters Thesis, Victoria University, 2006.

- Chan C. From then to now: a pre-history of 'recalcitrance': student protest in 1974–75 and Australian-Malaysian relations. BA Honours Thesis, The University of New South Wales, 2005.
- Clohesy L. Australian cold warrior: the anti-communism of W.C. Wentworth. PhD Thesis, Victoria University, 2010.
- Fleming V. From dependence to independence: the history of the overseas student service. B.A. Honours Thesis, Flinders University, 1986.
- Forsyth H. The ownership of knowledge in higher education in Australia 1939– 1996. PhD Thesis, The University of Sydney, 2012.
- Foley G. Black power in Redfern, 1968–1972. BA Honours Thesis, The University of Melbourne, 2001. Available at http://www.kooriweb.org/foley/essays/essay_1.html. Accessed 1 May 2012.
- Gibson P. Breaking down the politics of fear: Radicalism on campus and at work, Australia 1965–75. BA Honours Thesis, University of Sydney, 2006.
- Griffiths W. Barbarians in the middle kingdom: Whitlam talks with China, 1971. BA Honours Thesis, University of Sydney, 2011.
- Hobson EK. Imagining alliance: Queer anti-imperialism and race in California: 1966–1990. PhD Thesis, University of South Carolina, 2008.
- Hollier N. From hope to disillusion? a literary and cultural history of the Whitlam period, 1966–1975. PhD Thesis, Victoria University, 2006.
- Irving N. Global thought, local action: a transnational reassessment of the Australian anti-war movement, 1959–1972. PhD Thesis, The University of Sydney/Macquarie University, Forthcoming.
- Jordon D. Conflict in the Unions: the communist party of Australia, politics and the trade Union Movement, 1945–1960. PhD Thesis, Victoria University, 2011.
- Lothian K. 'A Blackwards step is a forwards step': Australian Aborigines and Black Power, 1969–1972. Masters Thesis, Monash University, 2002.
- Mansell K. "The yeast is Red." Masters Thesis, The University of Melbourne, 1994.
- Piccini J. 'Building their own scene to do their own thing:' imagining and contesting space/s in Brisbane's youth radicalisation. BA Honours Thesis, The University of Queensland, 2009.
- Russell L. Today the students, tomorrow the workers! radical student politics and the Australian labour movement, 1960–1972. PhD Thesis, The University of Technology Sydney, 1999.
- Robin D. Melbourne's Maoists: the rise of the Monash University labor club, 1965–1967. BA honours. Thesis, Victoria University, 2005.
- Rootes CA. Australian student radicals: the nature and origins of Dissent. BA Honours Thesis, The University of Queensland, 1969.
- Sebastian E. Protest from the Fringe: overseas students and their influence on Australia's export of education services policy, 1983–1996. PhD Thesis, The University of Sydney, 2009.

- Shannon M. An Augury of revolution: the Iranian student movement and American Foreign Policy, 1960–1972. Masters Thesis, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, 2009.
- Sobocinska A. People's diplomacy: Australian travel, tourism and relationships with Asia, 1941–2009. PhD Thesis, The University of Sydney, 2010.
- Trometter AL. 'The fire in the belly': Aboriginal Black Power and the rise of the Australian Black Panther Party, 1967-1972. PhD Thesis, The University of Melbourne, 2013.
- Woodard KK. The making of the new ark: Imanu Imiri Baraka (Leroi Jones), the Newark Congress of African People and the Modern Black Convention Movement. A history of the Black Revolt and the New Nationalism, 1966– 1976. PhD Thesis, The University of Pennsylvania, 1991.
- Yeates AA. Outside men: negotiating economic and political development in Papua New Guinea, 1946–1968. PhD Thesis, The University of Queensland, 2009.
- Yeats K. Australian New Left Politics, 1956–1972. PhD Thesis, The University of Melbourne, 2009.
- Young M. Student radicalism at the University of Queensland, 1966–1972. BA Honours Thesis, The University of Queensland, 1984.

INDEX

A

Aarons, Eric, 22 Aarons, Laurie, 102, 104 Aboriginal Affairs Foundation, 49 Aborigines Advancement League (Victoria), 154, 161-3 Afro-Asian summit, Bandung (1955), 22, 120, 170 Alice's Restaurant/Bookshop (Melbourne), 41, 51 Altman, Dennis On Cultural Politics, 139, 141-2 Homosexual: Oppression and Liberation (1971), 68 American Atrocities in Vietnam (1966), 113, 137American Indian Movement (AIM), 167 Ansara, Martha, 67 Apartheid. See South Africa Australia China Society, 83–6 Australian Aboriginal Progressive Association (AAPA), 156 Australian Labor Party (ALP), 33-5, 53, 72, 197

Australian Labor Party (ALP) Club (Sydney University) involvement in Sharpeville protests, 27involvement in Vietnam protest, 34 - 5Australian Left Review, 93, 103 Australian National University (ANU) Labor Club, 36–7 Australian Peace Council, 23, 33 Australian Security Intelligence Organisation (ASIO) concern regarding black radicals, 117, 119, 129-30 overplaying dangers of radicalism, 118-22, 124-30, 132, 135, 189,206 surveillance of travelling radicals, 172 - 3Australian, The, 43, 128, 134, 140Australian Union of Students (AUS). See National Union of Australian University Students (NUAUS)

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016 J. Piccini, *Transnational Protest, Australia and the 1960s*, DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-52914-5

В

Bacon, Wendy, 60, 138, 142 Bakery, The (Melbourne), 48, 50, 55, 206Baldwin, James, 136, 145 Bandler, Faith, 169–70 Bellear, Bob, 153, 161, 167 Black Moratorium (1972), 117, 131 Black Panther Party (Australia), 150, 171, 177Black Panther Party for Self Defence (United States), 116, 129, 131, 165, 171, 174, 177 Black Power becoming part of global networks of, 151-2, 159-68 criticisms of, 156-9, 166-8, 177 discovery by Australian aborigines, 3, 5, 49, 68, 114, 153–5 employment by local activists, 52, 69-70, 154-6 Boer War, 38 Bresland, Charles, 90-1 Brisbane, Katherine, 43-6 Brisbane Line, The, 71 Brown, Roosevelt, 114, 130, 160-1, 169, 219 Builders Labourer's Federation (BLF), 11, 55-6, 103-4 Bulletin, The, 47 Burchett, Wilfred, 88 Burgoyne, Susan, 93-4, 103-5

С

Cambodia, Kingdom of, 19–20, 88, 96,110 Cameron, Donald MHR, 47 Campbell, Martha, 36 Carmichael, Stokely, 49, 153-4, 160, 165 Cassidy, Darce, 74

Censorship, 113–14, 136–45 China, People's Republic of (PRC) Cultural Revolution as global idea, 72,83-6 indigenous Australian perceptions of/travel to, 168-77 student perceptions of/travel to, 35, 72, 83-6, 89, 94-9, 106, 209, 219 Chipp, Don, 140 Civil Rights Movement (United States), 30-2, 129, 132-4, 150, 159, 170 Cleaver, Eldridge, 49 Coe, Paul, 49, 70, 145, 153-4, 178 Cohn-Bendit, Daniel, 1, 118 Cold War, 24, 32, 90, 116, 177, 182, 185-6, 193 Communist International (Comintern), 5, 21, 169 Communist Party of Australia (CPA), 23, 127, 189, 201, 207 and anti-war movement, 87 and debates around Czechoslovakia, 93-4, 102, 104-5 and indigenous people, 129, 170, 174and international solidarity, 21 and 1971 split, 105 and split with CPA-ML, 72 Communist Party of Australia (Marxist-Leninist), 72 and appeal to youth, 73, 86 and indigenous Australians, 172 and working as Chinese intermediaries, 86, 172, 176 Congress of African People (CAP), 159-68 Conscription, 8, 33, 100 use as Women's Liberation metaphor, 68 Cooper, Brian, 124

Coote, George, 73–4 *Courier-Mail*, 52–3 Cuba, Republic of, 26, 37, 46, 63, 117, 121, 167 Cultural Cringe, 2, 101 Czechoslovakia Australian interest in, 65, 89 Australian travel to, 91–4, 102–4, 170 reform communism in, 7, 118, 125

D

Daily Telegraph, 37 Davis, Angela, 116–17, 129, 131, 152 Davis, Jack, 161–2, 165, 167 Debray, Regis, 44 Decolonisation, 6, 20–2, 119, 121, 150–4, 170, 178–9 Defence Forces Protection Act (DFPA), 37, 40 Department of Immigration, Australia, 130–1 Dixon, Charles, 30, 173–5 Dutschke, Rudi, 64, 89, 118, 188 Dutton, Geoffrey, 136, 139

F

Fanon, Frantz, 10, 37, 49, 154, 193 *Farrago* (Melbourne University), 73, 107, 141
Federal Council for the Advancement of Aborigines and Torres Strait Islanders (FCAATSI), 153, 157, 161–2, 169
Fernando, Anthony Martin, 155
Ferrier, Carole, 119
Foco Club (Brisbane), 43–7
Foley, Gary, 49, 69, 152–3, 175
Freedom Rides (1965), 32, 173
Free Speech Movement (University of California, Berkeley), 44, 62

Freney, Denis anti anti-censorship activism, 137–8, 142 and indigenous movement, 70, 117 and international solidarity, 208 and Liberation bookstore, 55–6 travel of, 88, 98, 103

G

Gay Liberation, 68–9, 98, 100 Gentrification, 57 Gilbert, Kevin, 163 Gingold, Elliot, 76 Glezer, Leon, 90 Gluckman, Max, 121–4 Gordon, Richard, 74, 76 Gore, politics of, 136–45 Gorton, John MHR, 37–8, 133–4 Gould, Bob, 49 Gramsci, Antonio, 59, 63 Greenland, Hall, 33–5, 106 Gregory, Dick, 129, 132–5 Guevara, Ernesto (Che), 43, 63, 84

H

Hasluck, Paul, 122–3 Henderson, Gerard, 43, 107–9 *Herald* (Melbourne), 123, 140 Hippie Trail, the, 82, 209 Ho Chi Minh, 53, 82, 89 *Honi Soit* (Sydney University), 27–8, 31, 34, 79 Horne, Donald, 116 *How not to join the army* (pamphlet), 45, 137–8, 140 Hyde, Michael radicalisation of, 35 trip to Asia, 1968, 19–20, 89–90, 98–9

I

Identity (Journal), 162, 172 Indigenous Australians. *See* Black Power

J

Jennings, Kate, 49–50, 67–8 Jolliffe, Jill, 51 July 4th Demonstration, 1968 (Melbourne), 72–4

K

Kent, Jean, 41 Kruger, Pat, 161–2, 165–6, 168, 218

L

Langer, Albert, 35, 72 Laver, Brian influence on Brisbane politics, 41, 45, 101–3, 127 travel to Europe, 1968, 88–9, 91–2, 101–2 Lawson, Sylvia, 66 Liberation Bookshop (Sydney), 50, 55–6 Liminality, theory of, 91–100 *Little Red Schoolbook, the*, 138 *Lot's Wife* (Monash University), 31, 106, 189, 202

Μ

Macdonald, Ian, 181, 183, 196 Malaysia Australian relations with, 120–1, 185, 194–5 history of, 183–4 students in Australia, 188, 192–213 Mandel, Ernest, 116, 126–9 Mansell, Ken, 48, 52 Maoism (Ideology). See China, People's Republic of Marcuse, Herbert, 63-4, 66, 139 May '68 Revolt (Paris) Australian reactions to, 45, 65–6, 105 events of, 79, 118 Maza, Bob, 160-2, 164-5, 166-7 McGuinness, Bruce interest in Black Power, 69, 150, 154trip to USA, 154, 162-6 McQueen, Humphrey, 48, 197 A New Britannia (1970), 83 Menzies, Robert and Apartheid, 32-3 and the Cold War, 6, 23-4, 120-1, 125 Miller, Megan, 48 Monash University, 25, 106, 209 Monash University Labor Club, 19, 32, 35-9, 54, 72-4, 90 Print (publication of Monash University Labor Club), 42, 74 Moorhouse, Frank, 59-60, 138-9

N

Nadel, David, 38, 71–2 National Liberation Front (Vietnam), 19, 96, 190 relevance to black power activists, 69–70 relevance to Gay Liberation, 68–9 relevance to student movement, 32–9, 63–5, 72–4 relevance to Women's Liberation, 66–8 National Tribal Council, 161–2 National Union of Australian University Students (NUAUS), 24, 28–9, 95, 132, 161, 181 conflict within, 205–11 Nation Review, 152 Newfong, John, 153, 172 New Left government fears of, 126–9 politics of, 10, 36, 43–5, 57–8, 61–6 rise of, 2, 21 turn to violence, 65 *News Weekly*, 175 Newton, Huey, 129, 131, 153, 171 *New York Times, The*, 92, 165 Noffs, Ted, 49, 131 Noonuccal, Oodgeroo, 149–50, 156–9

0

On Dit (University of Adelaide), 67, 75 O'Neill, Daniel, 2–3, 32, 60–1, 63 Origlass, Nick, 88 O'Shea, Clarrie, 65–6 Osmond, Warren, 67, 76 *Outlook* Magazine, 26–9, 59, 66, 160, 201

P

Papua New Guinea, 120–4 Perkins, Charles, 32, 49, 161, 173 Peter, Price, 19–20 Pilgrimage. *See* Travel Playford, John, 128 Pornography, politics of, 142–4 *Port Huron Statement, The*, 61–2, 80 Pyatt, Charlie II, 31–2

R

Red and Black Bookshop, 41, 47 Resistance (organisation), 49, 135, 137–8, 144–5 Revolutionary Socialist Student Alliance/Revolutionary Socialist Alliance (RSSA/RSA), 102, 127 Revolution in the Revolution See Debray, Regis Rigg, Julie, 128 Robeson, Paul, 129, 169–70

S

Salmon, Malcolm, 87–8 Sarte, Jean-Paul, 37 Save Our Sons (SOS), 33 Semper Floreat (University of Queensland), 24, 62–3 Smoke Signals (Victorian Aborigines Advancement League), 161–2, 217Socialist Scholars Conference (1970), 127, 139 Society for Democratic Action (Brisbane), 41, 43–5, 60–4, 88–9, 102 - 3Student Guerrilla (publication), 63-4, 89, 161 Third World (Publication), 64-5 Solidarity, politics of, 20-3 Sommers, Peggy, 86-8, 96 South Africa, 8, 20, 26–30, 70, 121, 138 Stanner, Bill, 31 Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee, 150, 153, 163 Students for a Democratic Society Melbourne, 47, 56–7 Sydney, 54 United States, 7, 60-5 Sullivan, Gwen, 84–5 Summers, Anne, 47-8, 67, 75, 184 - 5Summy, Ralph, 61, 102

Sun (Melbourne), 38, 114 Sunday Observer (Melbourne), 50 Sydney Morning Herald, The, 31, 128 Sydney 'Push,' 103 Sykes, Roberta (Bobbi), 151–2, 155

Т

Tent Embassy, Canberra, 52–3, 150, 171-2, 178 Tharunka (UNSW), 60, 138, 142-3, 189, 193-4, 204 Third world. See Decolonisation Third World Bookshop (Sydney), 41, 48–50, 57 Thompson, Mitch, 65-5 Transnationalism criticisms of, 73, 166-8, 177, 182, 210as scholarly approach, 12–15, 80–2, 182 - 3, 213Travel authenticity of, 105-9 motivations for, 83-91, 162-3, 172 - 3tourist/traveler dichotomy, 82, 88, 91–100, 110 translating lessons of, 100-5, 158-9, 165 - 8, 176Tribune (Sydney), 122 Troll (Melbourne), 142 Turner, Ian, 200–1 Turner, Victor, 81, 86, 91-2, 101, 110Turnley, Beris, 95-7

U

Union of Australian Women (UAW), 124–6 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR), 72, 91, 104, 124–5 University of New South Wales (UNSW), 25, 188, 202, 207 University of Queensland (UQ), 41, 60–4 University of Sydney, 27, 79 Up the Right Channels (Publication), 58–9

V

Varghese, Frank, 74 Vietnam Action Committee (VAC), 33, 60 Vietnam Moratorium Campaign (VMC) limits of, 145 May Moratorium, 68, 106–9 September Moratorium, 70, 129, 132–5 Vietnam War role in radicalization, 32–9, 66–70 Tet offensive, 1968, 20, 83, 89 visitors from Vietnam to Australia, 126

W

Wall, Marianne, 107–9
Wednesday Commentary, 34
White Australia Policy, 114, 123
Whitlam, Gough, 8, 53, 134, 187, 195, 201
Travel to China, 176
Wilkie, Douglas, 38
Williams, Gary, 32, 49
Women's Liberation, 50, 52, 67–9
Worker Student Alliance, 55, 86
World Council of Churches, 157
World Festival of Youth and Students (WFYS)
East Berlin, 1951, 169–70

Helsinki, 1962, 90–1 Moscow, 1957, 90 Sofia, 1968, 93–4, 103–4

Х

X, Malcolm, 153-4, 165

Y

Youth Campaign Against Conscription (YCAC), 33

\mathbf{Z}

Zable, Arnold, 106-9